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## PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

THE present edition has been carefully revised in all parts, and especially in the Notes to the Translation. In this latter portion the citations of the older English Versions have been verified, and in many cases rearranged; the whole, in short, has been brought up to the exact standard which will be in future adopted throughout my Commentary on St Paul's Epistles. Some difficulty has been experienced in deciding between various editions, but it is believed that those now definitely selected have the best claim to the names they bear. I may mention that the Wiclifite Version made use of in this edition is the earlier, and that the Genevan Version is taken from the edition of 1560 : see Preface to the Ephesians. For the general revision of the work and the verification of the Notes to the Translation I am indebted to my friend and chaplain, the Rev. H. Bothamley, of Lyde House, Bath.

## Glodoester,

Aug. 1864.

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

THE second edition of the Epistles contained in this volume has been thus long delayed, that it might not appear before the reader till the interpretations advanced in the first edition had been fully and maturely considered with reference to the opinions of more recent interpreters.

The result of the revision is but a very slight amount of change in the interpretations formerly proposed, and, it may not perhaps be improper to add, an increasing confidence in a system of interpretation which has thus apparently stood the test of the rigorous and lengthened reconsideration to which its details have been subjected in the preparation of this edition. Though but little substantial change has been made, it will still be found that improvements and slight additions appear on nearly every page, and that the edition has some claim to be entitled revised and enlarged. I may briefly specify that the references to ancient Versions are increased, that the grammatical notices ${ }^{1}$ are occasionally expanded, and that the references, especially to Scripture, have been nearly all verified anew.

For further details and comments I may now refer to the Preface to the first edition of this Commentary, and to the Preface to the second edition of the Commentary on the Ephesians, where the general standard which I have latterly attempted to reach is more fully stated. To this standard each succeeding volume has naturally tended to approach somewhat more nearly than that which preceded it. What

[^0]was once almost purely critical and grammatical has now confessedly become also exegetical; yet still to no further extent than to enable the student to grasp the general connexion of the holy and inspired Original, as well as to understand the force of isolated words and expressions.

May God's blessing go with this volume, and mercifully enable it in these our days of doubt and trial to minister to the Truth as it is in His Blessed Son, and, in its humble measure and degree, to set forth the blessed teachings and warnings and consolations of the inspired and saving Words of Life.

Cambridge, May, 186 r.

## PREFACE TÓ THE FIRST EDITION.

THE following Commentary is substantially the same, both in principles and execution, as those on the Galatians and Ephesians. I have however earnestly striven, on the one hand, to introduce improvements, and, on the other, to amend defects of which time, experience, and above all, the kind criticism of friends, have not failed to convince me.

I will briefly notice both.
In the first place the reader will find the substance of the grammatical references more fully stated in the notes, while at the same time care has been taken to modify and repress the use of technical terms, as far as is consistent with the nature of the Commentary. I confess I cannot yet persuade myself that the use of technical terms in grammar, independently of subserving to brevity, does not also tend to accuracy and perspicuity; still so many objections have been urged by judicious advisers, that I have not failed to give them my most respectful attention. This modification however has been introduced with great caution; for the exclusion of all technical terms would not only be wholly inconsistent with the lex operis, but would be certain to lead the way to a rambling inexactitude, which in Grammar, as in all other sciences, can never be too scrupulously avoided.

I have also endeavoured, as far as possible, to embody in the notes the sentiments and opinions of the dogmatical writers, more especially those of the great English Divines to whom I have been able to refer. Yet here again this has been subordinated to the peculiar nature of the Commentary, which, to be true to its title, must mainly occupy itself with what is critical and grammatical, and must in other subjects confine itself to references and allusions. Still, as in the preface to the Ephesians, so here again, let me earnestly entreat my less mature readers not to regard as the mere bibliographical embroidery of a dull page the references to our English Divines. They have all been collected with much care; they are nearly in every case the aggregations of honest individual labour, and if they prove to the student half as beneficial and instructive as they have been to the collector,
they will not have been adduced in vain. Let us never forget that there is such a thing as the analogy of Scripture; that it is one thing generally to unfold the meaning of an individual passage, and another to do so consistently with the general principles and teaching of Scripture. The first may often be done with plausible success by means of acuteness, observation, and happy intuitions; the second, independently of higher aids, can only be done by some knowledge of dogmatical theology, and some acquaintance with those masterpieces of sacred learning which were the glory of the seventeenth century. On verifying these references, the allusion to the individual passage of Scripture will perhaps sometimes be found brief and transient, but there will ever be found in the treatise itself, in the mode that the subject is handled, in the learning with which it is adorned, theology of the noblest development, and not unfrequently, spiritual discernment of the very highest strain.

With many deductions, the same observations may apply to the dogmatical treatises of foreign writers referred to in the notes. Several recent works on Christian doctrine as enunciated by the Sacred writers, whether regarded individually or collectively, appear to deserve both recognition and consideration. I would here specify the dogmatical works of Ebrard and Martensen, the Pfanzung und Leitung of Neander, and the Théologie Chrétienne of Reuss, a work of no mean character or pretensions. By the aid of these references, I do venture to think that the student may acquire vast stores both of historical and dogmatical theology, and I dwell especially upon this portion of the Commentary, lest the necessarily frigid tone of the critical or grammatical discussions should lead any one to think that I am indifferent to what is infinitely higher and nobler. To expound the life-giving Word coldly and bleakly, without supplying some hints of its eternal consolations, without pointing to some of its transcendent perfections, its inviolable truths, and its inscrutable mysteries,-thus to wander with closed eyes through the paradise of God, is to forget the expositor's highest duty, and to leave undone the noblest and most sanctifying work to which human learning could presume to address itself.

Among semi-dogmatical treatises, I would earnestly commend to the attention of grave thinkers the recent contributions to Biblical Psychology which are occasionally alluded to in the notes (comp. I Tim. iii. 16). Without needlessly entrammelling ourselves with arbitrary systems, without yielding too prone an assent to quasi-philosoplical theories
in a subject that involves much that is equivocal or indemonstrable, it seems still our duty to endeavour to grasp the general principles of psychology which appear to have been recognised by the Sacred writers, and to realize the aspects under which they viewed the parts and portions of our composite nature. No thoughtful man, after reading Philo, and observing how deeply psychological speculations, sufficiently consistent and harmonious, give their tinge to his writings, could hesitate to believe that a contemporary, at least as well educated as the Jew of Alexandria, elevated by a higher consciousness, and illumined by a truer knowledge, both thought and wrote on fixed principles, and used language that is no less divinely inspired than humanly consistent and intelligible. It is but a false or otiose criticism that would persuade us that the terms by which St Paul designated the different portions of our immaterial nature were vague, uncertain, and interchangeable: it is indeed an idle assertion that Biblical Psychology can be safely disregarded by a thoughtful expositor.

A slight addition has been made to the purely critical notices. As in the former commentaries, the Text is that of Tischendorf, changed only where the editor did not appear to have made a sound decision. These changes, as before, are noted immediately under the text. In addition to this however, in the present case, brief remarks are incorporated in the notes, apprizing the reader of any variations in the leading critical editions which may seem to deserve his attention. An elementary knowledge of Sacred Criticism can never be dispensed with, and it is my earnest hope that the introduction of criticism into the body of the notes may be a humble means of presenting this subject to the student in a form somewhat less repulsive and forbidding than that of the mere critical annotation. Separate notes of this kind are, I fear, especially in the case of younger men, systematically disregarded: when however thus incorporated with grammatical and philological notices, when thus giving and receiving illustration from the context with which they are surrounded, it is my hope that I may decoy the reader into spending some thoughts on what seem to be, and what seem not to be, the words of Inspiration, on what may fairly clain to be the true accents of the Eternal Spirit, and what are, only too probably, the mere glosses, the figments, the errors, or the perversions of man.

Possibly a more interesting addition will be found in the citations of authorities. I have at last been enabled to carry out, though to a very limited extent, the long cherished wish.
of using some of the best versions of antiquity for exegetical purposes. Hitherto, though I have long and deeply felt their importance, I have been unable to use any except the Vulgate and the Old Latin. I have now however acquired such a rudimentary knowledge of Syriac, and in a less degree of Gothic, as to be able to state some of the interpretations which those very ancient and venerable versions present. The Latin, the Syriac, and the Gothic, have been somewhat carefully compared throughout these Epistles. I know that my deficiency in the two latter languages will be plainly apparent, and I seek in no way to disguise it: this only I may be permitted to say in justice to myself, that the Latin interpretations annexed to the words are not borrowed from current translations, but are fairly derived from the best glossaries and lexicons to which I have had access. Mistakes I know there must be, but at any rate these mistakes are my own. These it is perhaps nearly impossible for a novice to hope to escape; as in both the Syriac and Gothic, but more especially the former, the lexicographical aids are not at present of a character that can be fully relied on. And it is here that in the application of Ancient Versions the greatest caution is required. It is idle and profitless to adduce the interpretation of a Version, especially in single words, unless the usual and current meaning of those words is more restricted or defined than in the original. Half the mistakes that have occurred in the use of the Peshito,-mistakes from which the pages of scholars like De Wette are not wholly free,-are referable to this head. It is often perfectly apparent that the partial interpretation supplied by the Latin translation appended to the Version, has caused the Version itself to be cited as supporting sone restricted gloss of the original Greek words, while in reality the words both in the original and in the Version are of equal latitude, and perhaps both equally indeterminate.

This error I. have especially endeavoured to avoid; but that I have always succeeded is far more than I dare hope.

In thus breaking ground in the Ancient Versions, I would here very earnestly invite fellow-labourers into the same field. It is not easy to imagine a greater service than might be rendered to Scriptural exegesis if scholars would devote themselves to the hearty study of one or more of these Versions. I dwell upon the term scholars, for it would be perhaps almost worse than useless to accept illustrations from a Version, unless they were also associated with a sound and accurate knowledge of the original Greek. This applies especially to the Syriac; and the remark is of some moment: for it
is now a common opinion among many Oriental scholars, that the language of the New Testament is yet to receive, in a mere grammatical point of view, its most complete illustration from Syriac. That there are some points of similarity, no student in both languages could fail to observe; but it may be seriously doubted whether nine-tenths of the suspected Syriasms of the N.T. are not solely referable to the changing and deteriorated constructions of later Greek. To accumulate Syriac illustrations, which may only serve to obscure or supersede our accurate study of later Greek, is a very doubtful, and perhaps profitless application of labour.

Under these, and perhaps a few other limitations, the study of the ancient V v . for exegetical purposes may be very earnestly recommended. The amount of labour will not be very formidable, and in some cases we have fair, if not good, literary appliances. There seems good reason for not going beyond the Syriac, the Old Latin, the Vulgate, the Gothic, the Coptic, and the Ethiopic. The remaining Vv. are of doubtful value. The Armenian, though so much extolled, is said to have undergone no less serious than unsatisfactory alterations. The Arabic Versions are of very mixed origin; the Slavonic is late; the Georgian has been but little used, and is deemed to be of no great value; the Persian and Anglo-Saxon, as far as they extend, are not free from suspicion of dependence, the ove on the Syriac, the other on the Vulgate. For the present, at any rate, the Syriac, Old Latin, Vulgate, Gothic, Coptic, and Ethiopic are all that need demand attention. Most of these are rendered perfectly accessible by the labours of recent scholars. The Syriac has been often reprinted; grammars in that language are common enough, but the Lexicons are but few and unsatisfactory ${ }^{1}$. The Old Latin I fear is only accessible by means of the large work of Sabatier, or Tischendorf's expensive edition of the Codex Claromontanus.

The Gothic, independently of not being at all difficult to the German or Anglo-Saxon scholar, has been admirably edited. In addition to the very valuable edition of De Gabelentz and Loebe, and the cheap Latin translation of that work in Migne's Patrologia, there is the available edition of Massmann, to which, as in the case of the larger work of De Gabelentz and Loebe, a grammar and perhaps glossary is to be added. In addition to the Lexicon altached to De Gabelentz and Loebe's edition, we have also the Glossary of Schulze (Magdeb. 1848), both, as far as my very limited ex-

[^1]perience extends, works constructed on sound principles of philology. In the Coptic there is a cheap and portable edition of the Epistles by Bötticher; and with the Grammar by Tattam, and the Lexicon by the same author, or the Glossary by Peyron, it is not very probable that the student will encounter much difficulty. Of the Ethiopic, there is an early but not very satisfactory edition in Walton's Polyglott, the Latin translation of which has been re-edited by Bode. The original Version has been recently edited by Mr Platt with great care, but unfortunately without any preliminary specification of the manuscripts that formed the basis of the work. An Ethiopic grammar is announced by Dillmann, but I should fear that there is no better lexicon than that of Castell ${ }^{1}$. The study of this language will be perhaps somewhat advanced by a forthcoming tetraglott edition of Jonah (Williams and Norgate), which is to include the Ethiopic, and to have glossaries attached.

I sincerely trust that these brief notices may tempt some of our Biblical scholars to enter upon this important and edifying field of labour.

The notes to the Translation will be found a little more full (see Introductory Notice), and, as the subject of a Revised Translation is now occupying considerable attention, a little more explicit on the subject of different renderings and the details of translation generally. With regard to this very important subject, the revision of our Authorized Version, I would fain here make a few observations, as I am particularly anxious that my humble efforts in this direction should not be misinterpreted or misunderstood.

What is the present state of feeling with regard to a revision of our present Version? It seems clear that there are now three parties among us. The first, those who either from what seem seriously mistaken views of a translation of the Holy Scripture, or from sectarian prejudice, are agitating for a new Translation. The second, those who are desirous for a revision of the existing Version, but who somewhat differ in respect of the proposed alterations and the principles on which they are to be introduced. The third, those who from fear of unsettling the religious belief of weaker brethren are opposed to alterations of any kind; positive and demonstrable error in the representation of the words of Inspiration being in their judgment less pernicious than change. Of these three parties the first is far the smallest in point of

[^2]numbers, but the most persistent in activities; the second class is daily increasing, yet at present greatly inferior both in numbers and influence to the third.

Which of these three parties will prevail? We may fervently trust not the first. Independently of the extreme danger of unsettling the cherished convictions of thousands, of changing language that has spoken to doubting or suffering hearts with accents that have been to them like the voice of God Himself,-independently of reversing a traditional principle of revision that has gained strength and reception since the days of Tyndale,-independently of sowing a strife in the Church of which our children and children's children may reap the bitter fruits,-independently of all these momentous considerations, have we any good reason for thinking that in a mere literary point of view it would be likely to be an improvement on the Old Translation? The almost pitiable attempts under the name of New Translations that have appeared in the last twenty years, the somewhat low state of Biblical scholarship, the diminished and diminishing vigour of the popular language of our day, are facts well calculated to sober our expectations and qualify our selfconfidence.

But are we unreservedly to join the third party? God forbid. If we are truly and heartily persuaded that there are errors and inaccuracies in our Version, if we know that though by far the best and most faithful translation that the world has ever seen, it still shares the imperfections that belong to every human work however noble and exalted,if we feel and know that these imperfections are no less, patent than remediable, then surely it is our duty to Him who gave that blessed Word for the guidance of man, through evil report and through good report to labour by gentle counsels to supply what is lacking and correct what is amiss, to render what has been blessed with great measures of perfection yet more perfect, and to hand it down thus marked with our reverential love and solicitude as the best and most blessed heritage we have to leave to them who shall follow us.

It is vain to cheat our own souls with the thought that these errors are either insignificant or imaginary. There are errors, there are inaccuracies, there are misconceptions, there are obscurities, not indeed so many in number or so grave in character as the forward spirits of our day would persuade us,-but there are misrepresentations of the language of the Holy Ghost, and that man, who, after being in any degree satisfied of this, permits himself to lean to the counsels of a timid or popular obstructiveness, or who, intellectually
unable to test the truth of these allegations, nevertheless permits himself to denounce or deny them, will, if they be true, most surely at the dread day of final account have to sustain the tremendous charge of having dealt deceitfully with the inviolable Word of God.

But are we to take no thought of the weaker brethren whose feelings may be lacerated, or whose conscience may be offended by seeming innovations? That be far from us. We must win them by gentle wisdom, we must work conviction in their minds by showing how little, comparatively speaking, there is that is absolutely wrong,-how persuasively it may be amended,- how we may often recur to the expressions of our older Versions, and from those rich stores of language, those treasuries of pure and powerful English, may find the very rectification we would fain adopt, the very translation we are seeking to embody in words. No revision of our Authorized Tersion can hope to meet with approval or recognition that ignores the labours of those wise and venerable men who first enabled our forefathers to read in their own tongue of the marvellous works and the manifold wisdom of God.

Let there be then no false fears about a loving and filial revision of our present Authorized Version. If done in the spirit and with the circumspection that marked the revision of that predecessor to which it owes its own origin and existence, no conscience, however tender, either will be or ought to be wounded. Nay, there seems intimation in their very preface that our last translators expected that others would do to them as they had done to those who had gone before them; and if they could now rise from their graves and aid us by their counsels, which side would they take? Would they stay our hands if they saw us seeking to perfect their work? Would they not rather join with us, even if it led sometimes to the removal or dereliction of the monuments of their own labour, in laying out yet more straightly the way of divine Truth?

How this great work is to be accomplished in detail is not for me to attempt to define. This only I will say, that it is my honest conviction that for any authoritative revision we are not yet mature, either in Biblical learning or Hellenistic scholarship. There is good scholarship in this country, superior probably to that of any nation in the world, but it has certainly not yet been sufficiently directed to the study of the New Testament (for of the N. T. only ann I now speaking) to render any national attempt at a revision either hopeful or lastingly profitable. Our best and wisest course
seems to be this,-to encourage small bands of scholars to make independent efforts on separate books, to invite them manfully to face and court impartial criticism, and so by their very failures to learn practical wisdom, and out of their censors to secure coadjutors, and by their partial successes to win over the prejudiced and the gainsaying. If a few such attempts were to be made, and they were to meet with encouragement and sympathy, such a stimulus would be given to Biblical studies that a very few years would elapse before England might be provided with a company of wise and cunning craftsmen, into whose hands she might hopefully confide her jewel of most precious price.

A single word only with regard to the translation which accompanies this volume. It is exactly similar in principles and construction to the former attempts,-attempts made at a time when the question of a revision of the Authorized Version had been but little agitated. It lays no presumptuous claim to be a sample of what an authoritative revision ought to be. It is only the effort of a fallible and erring man, striving honestly and laboriously, and on somewhat fixed principles, to present to a few students of his own time a version for the closet, a version possibly more accurate than that which it professes to amend, yet depending on it and on the older Versions for all the life and warmth with which it may be animated or quickened. The time and pains I have bestowed on this translation are excessive, and yet in the majority of corrections I feel how little cause I have for satisfaction.

Lastly, with regard to the Epistles themselves now before us, it remains only to commend them to the reader's most earnest and devout attention. They are distinguished by many peculiarities of language, and many singularities of expression, and are associated together by an inter-dependence of thought that is noticeable and characteristic. They seem all composed at a time when the earthly pilgrimage of the great Apostle was drawing to its close, and when all the practical wisdom of that noble and loving heart was spread out for the benefit of his own children in the faith, and for the edification of the Church in all ages. On the question of their genuineness,-without entering upon investigations which would be foreign to the nature of this Commentary, it will not be perhaps presumptuous to say that a very careful study of their language and turns of expression has left on my mind a most fixed and most unalterable conviction that they came from no other hand and heart than those of the great Apostle of the Gentiles, and that it seems hard to understand
how accomplished scholars like De Wette could so decidedly maintain the contrary hypothesis. This conviction however has never prevented me from freely and frankly calling attention to all the peculiarities in thoughts, words, and expressions which characterize the three Epistles, but which nevertheless, when viewed in connexion with the age and experiences of the Sacred writer, and the peculiar nature of the errors he was opposing, can cause neither surprise nor difficulty.

In the present Commentary I am much less indebted to the labours of my predecessors than in the two former Epistles. The commentary of Huther, except in the Prolegomena, is a sad falling off after the able and scholarlike expositions of Meyer. De Wette, owing to his doubts about the authorship, is often perplexed and unsatisfactory. I have derived benefit from the commentary of Wiesinger, which though somewhat prolix, and deficient in force and compression, may still be heartily commended to the student. The commentary of Leo is mainly sound in scholarship, but not characterized by any great amount of research. The commentary on the second Epistle to Timothy was written some years after that on the first, and is a noticeable improvement. The commentaries of Mack, Matthies, and Heydenreich (of whom however I know very little), are useful in examples and illustrations, but perhaps will hardly quite repay the labour of steady perusal. Something less may be said of Flatt and Wegscheider. The Danish commentary of Bp. Möller is brief and sensible, but lays no claim to very critical scholarship. I have made far more use of the extremely good commentary of the distinguished Hellenist, Coray. It is written in modern Greek, under the somewhat curious title of इvvéкоүиоs 'Iєратько́s (Vade-mecum Sacrum), and, with the exception of the somewhat singular fact that Coray seems only to have known the Greek commentators through the medium of Suicer, shows very extensive reading, and generally a very sound judgment. It is very remarkable that this able commentary, though it has now been more than five-andtwenty years before the world, should have attracted so little attention. As far as my observation extends, it is not referred to by any English or foreign commentator, and there are not many expositions on this group of epistles that more thoroughly deserve it.

These, with the Patristic commentators, the able Romanist expositors, Justiniani, Cornelius a Lapide, and Estius, and a few other writers noticed in the preface to the Epistle to the

## xvi PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

Galatians, are the principal authorities which I have used in the present commentary.

I now commit this volume to the reader with the humble prayer to Almighty God that He may vouchsafe to bless this effort to expound and illustrate a most vital and most consoling portion of His holy Word; may He pity the weakness and forgive the errors of His servant.

TPIAE, MONAD, 'EAEHEON.

IIPOE TIMO日EON A.

## INTRODUCTION.

THE date and general circumstances under which this and the accompanying Epistles were written have long been the subjects of discussion and controversy.

As our opinion on these points must first be stated, it may be said briefly,-(a) that when we duly consider that close connexion in thought, subject, expressions, and style, which exists between the First Epistle to Timothy and the other two Pastoral Epistles, it seems in the highest degree incredible that they could have been composed at intervals of time widely separated from each other. When we further consider (b) the almost insuperable difficulty in assigning any period for the composition of this group of Epistles in that portion of the Apostle's life and labours included in the Acts; (c) the equally great or even greater difficulty in harmonizing the notes of time and place in these Epistles with those specified in the Apostle's journeys as recorded by St Luke; and add to this the important subsidiary argumeats derived from (d) the peculiar and developed character of the false teachers and false teaching alluded to in these Epistles (i Tim. i. 4 sq.; iv. $18 q . ;$ vi. 3 sq.; 2 Tim. ii. r6 sq.; iii. 6 sq.; iv. 4 ; Titus i. rosq.; iii. 9 sq.), and from (e) the advanced state of Church organization which they not only imply but specify ( Tim. iii. I sq.; v. 3 sq.; Titus i. 5 sq.; ii. isq.), it seems plainly impossible to refuse assent to the ancient tradition that St Paul was twice imprisoned at Rome (Euseb. Hist. Eccl. 11. 22), and further to the simple, reasonable, and highly natural opinion that the First Epistle to Timothy and the other two Epistles which stand thus closely associated with it are to be assigned to the period between these two imprisonments.

This being premised we may now express the opinion that the present Epistle to Timothy was written by the Apostle towards the close of the above-mentioned period (perhaps A.D. 66 or 67 ), while he was passing through Macedonia (ch. i. 3), after a probable journey to Spain (Conybeare and Howson, St Paul, Vol. iI. p. 548, ed. 2) and a return to Ephesus (comp. ch. i. 3), at which city he had left Timothy in charge of the local Church.

The object of the Epistle may be clearly inferred from ch. i. 3, 4, and iii. I4, I5, and may be roughly defined as two-fold; first, to exhort Timothy to counteract the developing heresies of the time, and secondly, to instruct him in all the particulars of his duties as overseer aud Bishop of the important Church of Ephesus. With this design the contents of the Epistle, which are very varied and comprehensive, have been well shown by Dr Davidson to accord in all respects most fully and completely: see Introduction, Vol. nII. p. 39 sq., where the Student will also find a good summary of the contents of the Epistle.

In reference to the genuineness and authenticity of this Epistle, with which that of the other Pastoral Epistles is intimately connected, we may briefly remark, (a) that there was never any doubt entertained in the ancient Church that these Epistles were written by St Paul (see the testimonies in Lardner and Davidson), and (b) that of the objections urged by modern scepticism the only one of any real importance,-the peculiarities of phrases and expressions (see Huther, Einleitung, p. 50, and the list in Congbeare and Howson, St Paul, Vol. II. p. 663 sq. ed. 2) may be so completely removed by a just consideration of the date of the Epistles, the peculiar nature of the subjects discussed, and the plain substantial accordance in all main points with the Apostle's general style (admitted even by De Wette), that no doubt of the authorship ought now to be entertained by any calm and reasonable enquirer: see the very elaborate and able defence of Davidson, Introduction, Vol. III, p. 100 sq.

## IIPOE TIMOOEON A.

Apostolic address and salutation.
 $\sigma c \hat{v}, \kappa \alpha \tau ' \epsilon \in \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$
I. àmóбтo入os X. 'I.] 'an Apostle of Christ Jesus;' an Apostle (in the higher and mure especial sense, see notes on Gal. i. I, and on Eph.iv. I 1), who not merely derived his commission from, but belonged to Christ (gen. possess.) as His minister and servant; see notes on Eph. i. 1. The use of this formal designation does not seem intended merely to support the authority of Timothy (Heydenr.), or to imply a destination of the Epistle for others (Calv.), or for the Church at large (comp. Bp. Möller), but simply to define and maintain the true nature of the document. As this epistle mady be most naturally regarded as an official letter, the A postle appropriately desienates himself by his solemn and official title: compare 2 Tim . i. I sq., and esp. Tit. i. i sq., where this seems still more apparent. In Philem. i, on the other hand, the Apostle, in exquisite accordance with the nature and subject of tbat letter, styles bimself simply $\delta \in \sigma \mu \mathrm{cos} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \hat{v}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$; see notes in loc.
кат' éтเтаүท̀̀ Өє๐и̂] 'according to the commandment of God;' not simply equivalent to the customary $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta}-$ $\mu a \tau o s \theta_{\text {eov ( }}$ ( and 2 Cor. i. r, Eph.i. i, Col. i. ı, 2 Tim. i. г; comp. Möller), but pointing more precisely to the
immediate antecedents of the Apostle's call (the $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \pi \\ i \\ \tau\end{array}\right) \gamma \dot{\eta}$ was the result of the $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu a)$, and thus perhaps still more serving to enhance the authoritative nature of his commission: see Tit. i. 3, and comp. Rom. xvi. 26, the only other passages where the expression occurs. $\sigma \omega T \eta$ pos
$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}]$ 'our Saviour;' not merely in reference to His presurving and sustaining power (compare $\mathrm{Z} \in \dot{\text { cs }} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$, dc.) but to His redeeming love in Christ, more distinctly expressed in Jude $25, \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \mathrm{q} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$. X. (Tisch., Lachm.) ; comp. 2 Cor. v. 19, and see Lieuss, Théol. Chrét. iv. 9, Vol. II. p. 93. This designation of God is peculiar to the Pastoral Epistles (1 Tim. ii. 3, iv. so, Tit. i. 3, ii. 10, iii. 4), Luke i. 47, and Jude 25, but occurs many times in the LXX, e.g. Psalm xxiv. 5, Isaiah xii. 2, xlv. 15, 2 I , al. Its grammatieal connexion with $\Theta \epsilon \delta s$ is slightly diversified in the N.T.: in r Tim. iv. io $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ is added epexegetically in the relative clause, $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \ldots$ ơ' $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$; in Luke l.c., here, and Jude 25, it stands in simple, or what is termed parathetic apposition (Krüger, Sprachl. § 57.9) to $\theta \in b$, in the first of these passages with, in the two latter without the article. In all the other places the formula is

 $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к а i ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o v ̂ ~ ' I \eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ K u \rho i o v ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\dot{\delta} \sigma \omega \tau \mathfrak{j} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \in \epsilon s$; the tenor of the sentence (esp. I Tim. ii. 3, 4) probably suggesting the prominence of the appellation. According to Huther, the anarthroas $\sigma \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \dot{\eta}^{\mu} \hat{\nu}^{\nu}$ is here an adjectival apposition appended to $\theta \epsilon \hat{0}$, while in Luke l.c. ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho i \quad \mu o v$ ) the article marks it as a substantive. This is very doubtful; the usage of Attic Greek in similar cases seems here correctly maintained;-if the name of the deity have the article, the appellation has it also; if the former be anarthrous, so usually is the latter ; see Kı ïger, Sprachl. § 5o. 8. io.
 merely the object of it (Leo), nor the author of it (Flatt), but its very substance and foundation; 'in eo solo residet tota salutis nostre materia,'
 $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \lambda \pi i s \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{\delta} \xi \eta s$, and comp. Eph. ii. 14, aütòs $\gamma \alpha \dot{\beta} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \nu \dot{\eta} \epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\nu \eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$, where (see notes) the abstract subst. must be taken in a sense equally full and comprehensive. The same expression ofcurs in Ignat. Magn. 1 I, Trall. Inser. and 2.
2. Tı $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{0}$ \& $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ к.т.入.] 'to Timothy my true child.' There is no necessity to supply $\chi$ al $\rho$ etv; for, as Möller rightly observes, the following wish forms really part of the salutation. It is best, in accordance with the punctuation adopted in the former Epp., to place a period after $\pi / \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$; for although in St Paul's salutations, with the exception of this passage, 2 Tim. i. 2, and Tit. i. 4, the resumption is made more apparent by the insertion of $\dot{v} \mu i \nu$ after $\chi$ ápls, yet this appears to liave arisen either from the plurality of the persons saluted (e.g. Phil., Philem.) or the generic expression ( $\tau \hat{n} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i q$

1 and 2 Thess. i. 1, raîs $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime}$ acs Gal. i. 2) under which they are grouped. Here the resumptive pronoun would be unnecessary. On the form of salutation see notes on Gal. i. 3, and Eph. i. 2.
 (the) faith,' 'in the sphere of Christian faith;' not to be connected merely with $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon_{\psi}^{\varphi}$ (a grammatically adınissible, though not natural connexion; see Winer, Gr. §20. 2. a, p. 124), or merely with $\tau \hat{\epsilon \kappa} \neq \mu$ (comp. Alf.), but with the compound idea $\gamma^{v} \eta \sigma i \omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \varphi$. Every part of the appositional member has thus its complete significance: $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{\epsilon k v} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ denotes the affectionate ( 1 Cor. iv. 17 , $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \nu$ a $\gamma a \pi \eta \tau \grave{o} \nu)$ as we'l as spiritual (Plilem. Io) nature of the connexion; $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{(} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ (not 'dilecto,' Vulg., but
 $\omega_{\nu}$, Plato, Politic. p. 293 E, and opp. to $\nu$ btos, Plilo, Somn. II. 6, Vol. I. p. 665 , ed. Mang.) specifies the genuineness and reality of it (Phil.iv. 3),

 otec marks the sphere in which such a connexion is alone felt and realized, more generally, but not less suitably (De W.) expressed by кarà кoù̀ $\pi i \sigma \pi t \nu$, Tit. i. $\left.4 . \quad E_{\text {leos] }}\right]$ The insertion of this substantive in the Apostle's usual form of salutation, रápıs кal єip $\eta \nu \eta$, is peculiar to the Epp. to Timothy (in Tit. i. 4, ècos [Rec., Lachm.] is appy. not genuine): see however 2 Joh. 3, and Jude 2. It here probably serves to individual$i z e$, and to mark the deep and affectionate interest of the Apostle in his
 oropylas, Chrys.: see notes on Eph. i. 2.

 wonld-be teachers of
the law: the law is not for the righteous, but for open sinners and opponents of sound doctrine, as the spirit of the Gospel shows.
3. Kafف́s] 'Even as;' protasis, to which there is no expressed apodosis (neither at ver. 5, nor ver. 18, Beng.), but to which the obvious and natural
 ch. ii. 1), can easily be supplied ; see Winer, Gr. $\S 63 . ~ ェ, ~ p . ~ 503, ~ w h e r e ~$ there is a good list of the imaginary farentheses in St Paul's Epp. All other explanations, whether by an interpolation before iva ('ita facito,' Erasm.), or by an arbitrary change of reading ( $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \mu \mu i \nu a s,-$ Schneckenb. Beitr. p. 183), seem forced and unsatisfactory. $\quad \pi \alpha \rho \in \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma a]$ 'I besouglu,' Auth.: ӓкоve тд тооб-

 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa d \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$, Chrys.; comp. Philem, 8, $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{j} \eta \sigma i a \nu \notin \chi \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \ldots \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ $\pi$ такак $\lambda \hat{\omega}$. The above comment is certainly not invalidated by Tit. i. 5 (Huther); for there the use of $\delta \iota \epsilon \tau a \xi \alpha$ $\mu \eta \nu$ was probably suggested by the specific instructions which follow the general order. It may be observed however that $\pi \alpha \rho a к a \lambda \hat{\omega}$ is a word of most frequent occurrence in St Paul's Epp., being used more than fifty tines, and with varying shades of meaning (comp. notes on Eph. iv. 1 , I Thess. v. נ1), while of the other words mentioned ly Clirys., one only ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{d} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ) is used by the Aportle, and that only once, Philem. l.c. No undue stress then ('recommended,' Peile) should be laid in translation.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon i v a r]$ ' to alide still,' 'tarry on,' 'ut permaneres,' Beza; certainly not in an ethical sense, 'to adbere to a plan' (Paulus),-an interpretation framed only to obriate supposed historical difficulties: see Wieseler, Chro-
nol. p. 302. The tense cannot be pressed; as the arr. inf. is only used on the principle of the 'temporum $\tau \delta$ $\kappa а т a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda о \nu$ ' (Schaefer, Demosth. Vol. III. p. 432),-a usage not always sufficiently borne in mind. All that can le said is, that if the pres. inf. had been used (comp. Acts xiv. 22), the contemplated duration of Timothy's stay at Ephesus would lave been more especially marked. In the present case no inference can be safely drawn. On the use of the inf. pres. and aor. after $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon i p, \pi a \rho \alpha-$ калєї к.т.入., see Winer, Gr. § 44.7.c, p. 296, comp. Lobeck, Phryn. p. 748 sq.; and on the general distinction between these tenses in the inf., consult the good note of Stallbaum on Plato, Euthyd. p. $z 88$ c.
 'as $I$ was going,' Hamm. It is not grammatically possible, as De Wette seems to imagine, to refer this participle to Timothy; see Winer, Gr. § 44. 3, p. 287. Such participial anacolutha as those cited by Matth., e.g. Eph. iii. 18, iv. 2, Col. iii. 16 (but see Meyer), are very dissimilar: there the distance of the part. from the words on which it is grammatically dependent, and still more the obvious prominence of the clause (see notes on $E p h$. iii. 18) render such a construction perfectly intelligible; here no such reasons can possibly bo urged; see exx. in Winer, Gr. §63. 2, p. 505. There is confessedly great difficulty in larmonizing this historical notice with those contained in the Acts. Three lypotheses bave been proposed, to all of which there are very grave objections, historical and exegetical. These
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can only be noticed here very briefly. (a) If the journey here mentioned be that related Acts xx. 1, 2 (Theod., Hemsen), how is it possible to reconcile the stay of Timothy at Ephesus with the fact that St Paul despatched him, a short tine only before his own departure, to Macedonia (Acts xix. 22), and thence to Corinth (r Cor. iv. 17), and that we further find him at the latter place ( 2 Cor. i. 1) with the Apostle? Moreover, when St Paul then left Ephesus, he certainly contemplated no speedy return (i Tim. iii. 14), for see Acts xix. 2r, xx. 3 : compare Huther, Einleit. p. 13, 14, Wieseler, Chronol. p. 2gosq. (b) If St Paul be supposed to have sent Timothy forward to Ephesus from Achaia (Matth.), having himself the intention of following, can this be reconciled with Acts xx. 4, ouveiteto, and with the fact that when St Paul was near Ephesus, and might have carried out his intention, he кєкрiкєь $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{" E} \phi$.? see Wieseler, p. 294, Wiesinger, Einleit. p. 370 sq. (c) Even Wieseler's opinion (Chronol. p. 313 , comp. p. 295 sq.) that this was an unrecorded journey during St Paul's 2-3 years' stay at Ephesus, though more reconcilable with historical data, seems inconsistent with the character of an Epistle which certainly recognizes (a) a fully developed form of error (contrast the future єi $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma 0 \nu \tau \alpha t$, Acts xx .29 ), ( $\beta$ ) an advanced state of Church discipline not wholly probable at this earlier date, and further ( $\gamma$ ) gives instructions to Timothy that seem to contemplate his continued residence at Ephesus, and an uninterrupted performance of his episcopal duties; see Huther, Einleit. p. 17. These objections are so grave that we seem
justified in remanding this journey (with Theoph., Ecum., and recently Huther and Wiesinger) to some time after the first imprisonment at Rome, and consequently, beyond the period included by St Luke in the Acts: see Pearson, Ann. Paul. Vol. I. p. 393, Guerike, Einleit. §48. I, p. 396 (ed. 2), Paley, Hor. Paul. ch. xi.
\{va mapayץє( $\lambda_{n s}$ ]' that thou mightest command:' purpose contemplated in the tarrying of Timothy. The verb here used does not apparently mark that it was to be done open'y (Matth.), bat authoritatively; $\pi$ арака $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ being the milder, $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ the stronger word; comp. 2 Thess. iii. 12. In the Epist.e to Titus the Cretan character suggests the use of still more decided
 ver. $\mathrm{I}_{3}, \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$.
ть๘[v] ' certain persons,' 'quibusdam,' Vulg. : so ver. 6, iv. 1, v. 15, 24, vi. 2 I. We cannot safely deduce from this that the number of evil teachers was small (Huther) ; the indef. pronoun is more probably slightly contemptuous; ' le mot rupes a quelque chose de méprisant,' Arnaud on Jude 4; comp.
 'to be teachers of other doctrine,'

[docsre diversas doctrinas] Syr.; $\delta l^{\prime}$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$., here and ch. vi. 3. Neither the form nor meaning of this word presents any real difficulties. In form it is analogous with $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o j v \gamma \varepsilon i v, 2$ Cor. vi. $\mathbf{r}_{4}$, and is the verbalized derivative
 خos, Tit. ii. 3); not $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon p o \delta i \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, but $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon p o \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, 'to play the $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o \delta \delta \delta$. . The meaning is equally perspicuous if we adhere to the usual and correct meaning of $\ddot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho$ os (distinction of kind, -see notes on Gal. i. 6): thus $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \tau \rho 0$ -
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$\delta \delta \delta$. imp.ies 'teaching,'-not necessarily 'what is doctrinally false,' nor even so much as 'what is strange,' but 'what is different to, what deviates from ('afvigende,' Möller) sound doctrine;' see ch. vi. 3, where this meaning is very clearly confirmed.
 tians was $\varepsilon_{\tau \in \rho o \nu}$ from its assimilation of Judaical elements, so here the $\delta t_{-}$ $\delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda(a$ was $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ from its commixture with an unedifying (ver. 4), vain (ver. 6), and morbid (ver. 10) theosophy of similarly Jewish origination. It will thus be seen that, with Chrys., Theod., and the other Greek commentators, we regard the error which St Paul is here condemning, not so much as a settled form of heresy, pre-Marcionite or otherwise, as a profitless and addititious teaching which, arising from Jewish (comp. Tit. i. 14), perhaps Cabbalistic sources, was afterwards an affuent of the later and more definite Gnosticism; see especially Wiesinger, Einleit. \& 4, p. 2 12, Huther, Einleit. p. 41, and (thus far) Schleiermacher, über I Tim. p. 83 sq.
4. $\pi$ poóéx ELv ] 'give heed to,' Auth., a felicitous translation; so Tit. i. 14. The verb $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \chi \in \omega$ does not imply 'fidem adhibere' (Heinr.), and is certainly not synonymous with $\pi t$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Krebs, Obs. p. 204), either here or elsewhere (Acts viii. 6, if, xvi. 14, al.), but simply indicates a prior and preparatory act, and is, as it were, a mean term between dкои́єiv and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$; comp. Polyb. Hist. Iv.
 Joseph. Bell. Jud. vi. 5. 3, ойтє $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ -
 adduced by Krebs and Raphel (Obs. Vol. II. p. 113) only serve to confirm the strict interpretation. The canon

 dantly disproved by his commentators; see p. 749, ed. Bernard.
 and endless genealogies.' It is very doubtful whether the popular reference of these terms to the spiritual myths and emanations of Gnosticism (Tertull. Valent. 3, de Presscr. 33, Iren. Her. [Præf.], Grot., Hamm., and most modern commentators) can be fairly sustained. The only two passages that throw any real light on the meaning of these terms are Tit. i. 14, iii. 9. In the former of these the $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta o c$ are defined as 'Iovioükó, in the latter the $\gamma \in \nu \in a \lambda o \gamma l a i$ are connected with $\mu \alpha^{\prime}$ a $_{\text {a }}$ עо $\mu \iota \kappa a l$; in both cases then the words have there a Jewish reference. The same must hold in the present case; for the errors described in the two Epp. are palpably too similar to make it at all probable that the terms in which they are here alluded to have any other than a Jewish reference also; so Chrys., Theod., al., comp. Ignat. Magn. 8 : see esp. Wiesinger, Einleit. p. 21 I sq., Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 342 (ed. Bohn). For a discussion of the various refęrences that have been assigned to $\gamma^{\varepsilon \nu \epsilon a \lambda \text {. in the present passage see the }}$ note of De Wette translated by Alford in loc. Thus then $\mu \hat{v} \theta 0 \mathrm{o}$ will most probably be, not specifically $\tau \dot{a} \pi a \rho d ́ \sigma \eta \mu a$ סó $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu a \tau a$ (Chrys.), nor a supplementary $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon 1 a$, a $\delta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \sigma t s$ (Theod.), but generally, Rabbinical fables and fabrications whether in history or doctrine.
 gies' in the proper sense, with which however these wilder speculations were very probably combined, and to which an allegorical interpretation may have been regularly assigned; comp. Dähne, Stud. u. Krit. for 1833 ,
p．soo8．It is curious that Polybius uses both terms in similarly close con－ nexion，Hist．Ix．2．I．
dтєра́vтоเs］＇endless，＇＇interminable，＇ ＇quibus finis non est，＇Syr．：$\pi \epsilon \delta$ iov a $\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu \tau o v$, Pind．Nem．vili． $38[63]$ ； so 3 Mace．ii． 9 ，$a_{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho a \nu \tau o \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} p$ ，and Job xxxvi．26，$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{s} s . . . \dot{d} \pi \epsilon \rho a v \tau o s$. It does not seem necessary to adopt either the ethical（ $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \tau o \nu$ Hesych．， Chrys．2）or logical（ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \rho a \nu \tau o \iota$
 vil． $7^{8)}$ meaning of this word．The genealogies were vague，rambling，in－ teriminable；it was an $\begin{aligned} & \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o s ~ к a l ~ \\ & a \\ & \epsilon \\ & \epsilon\end{aligned}$ ． $\delta \iota \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s$（Philo，de Abrah．§3，Vol．II． p．4，ed．Mangey）that had no natural or necessary conclusion；comp．Polyb． Hist．т．57．3，where the simple sense appears similarly maintained．
altucs］＇inasmuch as they，＇＇seeing they；＇explanatory use of öбris，see notes on Gal．iv． 24.
〔 $\eta$ rर्ण $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ts］＇questions；＇either subjec－ tively，＇disputings，＇Acts xv． 2 （Tisch．）； or more probably，in an objective sense，＇questions of controversy，＇＇en－ quiries，＇essentially opposed to faith （Chrys．，Theod．），and of which＊pets and $\mu a ́ \chi a \iota$ are the natural and speci－ fied results；see ch．vi．4， 2 Tim．ii． 23，Tit．iii．9．oiкovoulav $\left.\Theta_{\epsilon} \in \hat{v}\right]$＇God＇s dispensation，＇not＇edi－ fying，＇Raphel，Wolf，－a translation which oikovopia cannot bear；see Po－ lyb．Hist．Iv．65． 1 （cited by Raphel）， where the proper translation is＇exse－ cutio instituti；＇and comp．Schweigh． Lex．Polyb．s．v．The exact meaning of the term is however doubtful．If oikovoula be explained subjectively，＇the stewardship，＇scil．＇the exercising of the stewardship＇（Conyb．and Hows．）， ＇the discharge of the functions of an oiкovó $\mu \mathrm{s}$ 的ov̂＇（＇actum non statum，＇ Beng．；comp．i Cor．ix．ry，iv．r），the
use of $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi_{\epsilon}{ }^{L}$ must be zeugmatic， i．e．involve two different meanings （＇præbere，promovere＇），unless $\varsigma \eta \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon / 5$ be also explained actively，in which case $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon l \nu$ will have a single mean－ ing，but the vory questionable one， ＇promovere．＇If however olкоуоиia $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ be taken objectively and passively （Clirys．），the＇dispensation of God＇ （gen．of the origin or author；comp． notes on I Thess．i．6），i．e．＇the scheme of salvation designed by God，and proclained by His Apostles，＇with only a remote reference to the otкos $\theta \epsilon o \hat{0}$ （see notes on Eph．i．ro），the meaning of $\zeta \eta r$ ．and oikov．will be more logi－ cally symmetrical，and $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon l y$ can retain its simple sense＇præbere：＇the fables and genealogies supplied ques－ tions of a controversial nature，but not the essence and principles of the divine dispensation．Tiेv $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v$ $\pi$［оте1］＇which is in faith：＇further definition of the nature of the oiko－ vopla by a specification of the sphere of its action，－＇faith，not a question－ ing spirit，＇－thus making the contrast with $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ more clear and emphatic． The easier readings oiкo $\delta$ oulay（found only in $\mathrm{D}^{3}$ ）or oikoдo $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{D}^{1}\right.$ ；Iren．1．1）， though appy．supported by several Vv．（cedificationem，Vulg．，Clarom．， Goth．，Syr．，al．），cannot possibly be sustained against the authority of all the other uncial MSS．，and are pro－ bably only due to erroneous transcrip－ tion，$\delta$ and $v$ being confused．How can Bloomf．（ed．9）adduce the Alex． MS．in favour of oiкоסонlay，and（ex－ cept from a Lat．transl．）assert that Chrys．and Theod．were not aware of any other reading？These are grave errors．
 ＇now，＇Auth．，Conyb．）the end（aim） of the commandment，\＆c．；＇a con－
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trasted statement of the purpose and ain of sound practical teaching. There ought not to be here any marks cf parenthesis (Griesb., Lachm.), as the verse does not commence a new train of thought, but stands in simple antitletical relation ( $\delta \varepsilon$ ) to ver. 4, forming at the same time an easy and natural transition to ver. 6 sq., where the errors of the false teachers are more particularly specified. Te $\lambda$ os is thus not the $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu a$ (Chrys.; comp. Rom. xiii. ro), the ' palmarium, præcipuum' (Schoettg.), or the 'sum' ('die Hauptsumme,' Luther),-meanings scarcely lexically tenable,-but the 'aim' (Beza, Hamm. 2), as in the expression noticed by Chrys., retios larpıкท̂s íyctia; see Rom. x. 4, and Chrys. in loc.,-where however the meaning does not seem equally certain. The distinction of Cassian (cited by Justiniani) between бкótos, 'id quod artifices spectare solent,' and $\tau \epsilon$ ' os, 'quod expetitur ab arte,' is not fully satisfactory. $\quad \mathfrak{\eta} \pi a p a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ la is not the 'lex Mosaica' ('hic pro lege ...pars pro toto,' Calv.), nor even the 'lex Evangelica' (Corn. a Lap.), both of which meanings are more inclusive than the context seems to require, or the usage of $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda / a$ in the N.T, (ch. i. 18, Acts v. 28, xvi. 24, IThess. iv. 2) will admit of. On the other hand, to refer $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma$. simply to the preceding $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon l \lambda p s$ (Tbeoph., Eà $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta s \mu \eta \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu, \tau 0 u ̂ \tau 0$
 narrow and exclusive. That it was suggested by the verb just preceding is not improbable; that it has however a further reference to doctrine in a preceptive form generally,-- 'practical teaching' (De W.), seems required by the context, and coufirmed by the recurrence of the verb in this Ep.;
comp. ch. iv. If, v. 7, vi. 13, 17.
a' $\alpha^{\alpha} \pi \eta$ ] 'love;' the $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ eis engendered $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\alpha}$ s, 2 Tim. ii. 23. The love here mentioned is clearly love to men
 เ $\sigma \tau a \mu \notin \nu \eta$, Theoph.) not love to God and men (Matth.): 'quum de caritate fit mentio in Scripturâ, scopius ad secundum membrum restringitur,' Calv.: see esp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. I. 4, p. 242. . Éк каӨарís карठlas] 'out of, emanating from, a pure heart;' $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa$ with its usual and proper force (Winer, Gr. §47. b, p. ${ }^{288}$ ) pointing to and marking the inward seat of the $\alpha \gamma \alpha \bar{\alpha} \eta$ : comp. Luke x. ${ }^{2}$, I Pet. i. 22. The кapiia, properly the (imaginary) seat of the $\psi v \chi{ }^{\prime}$ (Olsh. Opusc. p. 155), appears very commonly used in Scripture (like the Hebrew לֵָָב ) to denote the $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$ in its active aspects ('quatenus sentit et agitur et movetur duce spiritu vel carne,' Olsh. ib.), and may be regarded as the centre both of the feelings and emotions (John xvi. 6, Rom. ix. 2, al.) and of the thoughts and imaginations (Matth. ix. 4, xv . 19, r Cor. iv. 5, al.), though in the latter case more usually with the associated ideas of activity and practical application; see Beck, Bibl. Seelenl. iII. 24.3 , p. 94 sq., and esp. the good collection of exx. in Delitzsch, Bibl. Psychol. Iv. 12, p. 204.
 (comp. I Pet. iii. ı6; ка入 $\eta$ Heb. xiii. 18; кадapà I Tim. iii. 9, 2 Tim. i. 3) is connected with $\pi i \sigma \pi \iota s$ as the true principle on which its existence depends. Faith,-ォiotcs ájvாóкрıтos, though last in the enumeration, is really first in point of origin. It renders the heart pure (Acts xv. 9), and in so doing renders the formerly evil conscience $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$. Thus considered, $\sigma v v e i 8 \eta \sigma c s d \gamma$. would seem to be, not

#   

the antecedent of the ка日apd карঠia (Hamm.), and certainly not identical with it (Corn. a Lap., comp. Calv.), but its consequent; 'conscientia bona nihil aliud est quam scientia et testimonium animæ affirmantis se pure et sancte vivere,' Menoch. ap. Pol. Syn.; compare Pearson, Creed, Art. vir. Vol. i. p. 347 (ed. Burton). On the exact meaning of $\sigma u v \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma \iota s$ see Sanderson, de Obl. Consc. 1. 4 sq., Vol. iv. p. 3 (ed. Jacobs.); on its nature and power, Butler, Serm. 2, 3; and on its threefold character (an exponent of moral law, a judge, and a sentiment) the very clear discussion of M"Cosh, Divine Gov. III. 1. 4, p. 29I sq. It must be remembered however, that in Scripture these more exact definitions are frequently wholly inapplicable; the $\sigma v v e t \delta \eta \sigma t s$ is viewed, not in its abstract nature, but in 1ts practical manifestations; see Harless, Ethik, § 9. $\beta$, p. 35. $\quad$ dvuтокрітои] 'unfeigned,' 'undissembled;' an epithet of $\pi / \sigma \tau / s$ here and 2 Tim . i. 5 ; of à $\gamma a ́ r \eta$, Rom. xii. 9, $z$ Cor. vi. 6 ; of $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\prime}$, I Pet. i. 22; of $\dot{\eta} \alpha \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ oopla, James iii. 17 , marking the absence of everything $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \text { ( } \pi \lambda a \sigma r o \nu \\ & \text { and }\end{aligned}$ $\dot{u} \pi о к \in \kappa \rho \iota \mu \in ́ \nu о \nu$ (Cbrys.). It was a faith not merely in mask and semblance, but in truth and reality: 'notandum est epithetum; quo significat fallacem esse ejus professionem ubi non apparet bona conscientia,' Calv. All these epithets have their especial force as hinting at the exact opposite in the false teachers: they were $\delta \iota \in \phi \theta a \rho \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu 06$

 т $\grave{\eta} \nu \pi / \sigma \pi \iota \nu(2$ Tim. iii. 8). It may be remarked that the common order of subst. and epith. (see Gersdorf, Beiträge, p. 334 sq .) is here reversed in
$\kappa а \nexists a \rho a ̀$ карঠ.; so 2 Tim. ii. 22, Heb. x. 22, comp. Rom. ii. 5 ; on the other hand contrast Luke viii. $1_{5}$, and exp. Psalm li. 12, карठiav ка $\theta a \rho \dot{\rho} \nu$ $\kappa \tau i \sigma o \nu \epsilon^{2} \nu \epsilon^{2} \mu o l$. This is possibly not accidental; the heart is usually s? sadly the reverse, so often a kapoía $\pi$ rovqjà airlatias, Heb. iii. $\mathbf{I} 2$, that the A postle, perhaps designedly, gives the epithet a slightiy distinctive prominence: see Winer, Gr. § 59. 2, p. 464.
 Schleiermacher (über I Tim. p. 161), that this verse evinces an incapacity in the writer to return from a digression, cannot be substantiated. There is no digression: ver. 5 has an antithetical relation to ver. 4 ; it states what the true aim of the $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma^{e \lambda i a}$ was, and thus forms a natural transition to ver. 6 , which specifes, in the case of the false teachers, the general result of having missed it: ver. 7 supplies some additional characteristics. ${ }^{\top} \Omega \nu$ (governed of course by $\dot{\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu) \text { refers only to the three }}$ preceding genitives, not to áyá $\begin{aligned} & \eta \text { also }\end{aligned}$ ( $\mathrm{De} \mathrm{W} . ?$ ) : $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$, the principle emanating from them, forms the true aim, and stands in contrast with $\mu a \tau a u o \lambda$., the state consequent on missing them, and the result of false aim; comp. Wiesing. in loc. darox $\left.{ }^{\prime} \sigma a v \tau e s\right]$ ' having missed their aim.' This word only occurs again in I Tim. vi. 21, 2 Tim. ii. s 8 , in both cases with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ : in its meaning it is opposed to єن́ $\sigma \tau 0 \chi$ єíy (Kypke; comp. tèlos, ver. 5), and, far from being ill chosen (Schleierm. p. 90), conveys more suitably than $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho t \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ the fact that these teachers had once been in the right direction, but had not kept it; калйs




Chrys．；see exx．in Kypke，Obs．Vol．
 ＇swerved，turned themselves，from；＇＇$\xi \xi-$ $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu a \nu$, Hesych．：see ch．v． 15, vi． 20 ， $z$ Tim．iv．4，Heb．xii．iз．＇Ект $\epsilon$＇－ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ is properly＇a vià deflectere＇ （Alberti，Obs．p．392），the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ referring to the original direction from which they swerved；comp．Joseph．Ant． xiII．io．5，$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ódồ $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \epsilon \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ，and simply，ib．Ant．viII．10．2，els didi－ кous $\epsilon \xi \in \tau \rho a ́ \pi \eta \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon l s$ ．＇A versi sunt＇ （Beng．）is thus a more exact transl． than＇conversi sunt＇（Vulg．）．
رaтaьo入oүiav］＇vaniloquium＇（Vulg．）， or，in more classical Lat．（Livy，xxxiv． 24，Tac．Ann．III．49），＇vaniloquentia，＇ Beza．Tbis was an especial charac－ teristic of the false teachers（comp． Tit．i．10，iii． 9 ），and is more exactly defined in the following verse．

7．旼оvтєs］＇desiring；＇they were not really so．This and the following expressions，$\nu_{\rho} \mu \circ \delta i \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa a \lambda o \iota, \mu \grave{\eta} \nu 00 u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ к．т．ג．，seem distiactly to show，－and this much Schleiermacher（p．80 sq．） has not failed to perceive，－－that $J u$－ daism proper（Leo，comp．Theod．） cannot be the error here assailed．The $\nu^{\prime} \mu_{0}$ s is eertainly the Mosaic law，but at the same time it was clearly used by the false teachers on grounds es－ sentially differing from those taken up by the Judaists，and in a way which betrayed their thorough ignorance of its principles；see Huther in loc．The assertion of Baur（Pastoralbriefe，p． ${ }^{15}$ ），that Antinomians（Marcionites， \＆c．）are here referred to，is opposed to the plain meaning of the words， and the obvious current of the pas－ sage；comp．ver． 8 sq．
$\mu \eta े$ vooûvres］＇yet understanding not， though they understand not；＇the par－ ticiple having a slight antithetical or perhaps even concessiveforce（Donalds．

Gr．§ 621）：the total want of all qualifications on the part of these teachers is contrasted with their aims and assumptions．The correct trans－ lation of participles will always be modified by the context，as it is from this alone that we can infer which of its five possible uses（temporal，causal， modal，concessive，conditional）mainly prevails in the passage before us：for exx．in the New Test，see Winer，Gr． §45．2，p． 307 （where however the uses of the part．are not well defined， and for exx．in classical Greek，the more satisfactory lists of Krüger， Sprachl．§ 56 ．io sq．On the negative with the part．，comp．notes on ch．vi．
 tion bifurcates；the objects to which it applies，and with respect to which the ignorance of the false teachers extends，are stated in two clauses introduced by the adjunetive nega－ tives $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ；comp．Matth．v． 34，James v．12，and se日 Winer，Gr． § 55.6 ，p．433．Their ignorance was thus comp＇ete，it extended alike to the assertions they made and the subjects on which they made them．
$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau(v \omega \nu$ סเaßєßatoûvтal］＇whercof they affirm，＇Auth．，－scil．＇the subject about which（Syr．，Vulg．）they make their asseverations；＇not＇what they maintain，＇Luther，Bretsohn．，comp． De Wette．The compound verb $\delta \iota a-$ $\beta e \beta a t o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ does not here necessarily imply＇contention，＇Syr． ［contendentes］，but，as in Tit．iii．8， is simply equivalent to $\lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\beta \epsilon \beta a u \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$（＇stiurjan，＇Goth．；comp． Pollux，Onomast．v．152，$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ ， $\delta \iota a \beta \epsilon \beta$ ．，$\delta \iota \ddot{\sigma} \chi \cup \rho(\zeta 0 \mu a l), \pi \epsilon \rho l$ referring to the object about which the action of the verb takes place（Winer，$G r$ ， §47．e，p．333）；compare Polyb．Hist．
 $\mu \in \nu o s \pi \in \rho l$ $\tau o u ́ r \omega \nu$. Thus then $a^{a}$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau i \nu \omega \nu$ refer to different objects (opp. to De W.) ; the former referring to the subjective assertions, the latter to the objects which called them forth: so Huther, Wiesinger. The union of the relative and interrogative in parallel clauses involves no difficulty; see Winer, Gr. § 25. 1, p. 152, Bernhardy, Synt. xiII. ir, p. 443, and the copious list of exx. cited by Stallbaum on Plato, Crito, p. 48 A.
8. oli8apev 86] 'Now we know;'

 comp. Rom. ii. 2, iii. 19, vii. 14 (Lachm. marg.), viii. 28. The $\delta \epsilon$, though certainly not $=\mu \notin$, Möller (an unfortunate comment), is still not directly oppositive but rather $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a$ тєкóv (in a word, not 'at' but 'autem,' Hand, Tursell. Vol. r.p. $5^{62}$, comp. p. 425 ), and the whole clause involves a species of concession: the false teachers made use of the law; so far well; their error lay in their improper use
 какоîs $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o \iota s ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v * ~ T h e o d . ~$
 Theod., De W. It would seem to be the object of the Apostle to make a full admission, not merely of the usefulness, but of the positive excellence of the law ; comp. Rom. vii. I2, $14,15$. o vónos] 'the law;' surely not 'law in the abstract' (Peile), but, as the preceding expression $\nu о \mu о \delta \delta \delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \kappa а \lambda о$ unmistakeably implies, 'the Mosaic law,' the law which the false teachers improperly used and applied to Christianity. tıs] 'any one,' i.e., as the context seems here to suggest, any teacher; ' non de auditore legis [comp. Chrys.] sed de doctore
loquitur,' Beng., - and, after him, most recent interpreters.
$\nu \quad \mu[\mu \omega \mathbf{s}]$ ' lawfully,' i.e. agreeably to the design of the law; an obvious instance of that effective paronomasia (repetition of a similar or similarsounding word) which we so often observe in St Paul's Epp.; see exx. in Winer, Gr. \& 68. I, p. 560 sq . The legitimate use of the law has been very differently defined, e.g. $\begin{gathered}\text { otav }[\tau \iota s]\end{gathered}$
 Theoph. $1 ; \tau \delta \pi \alpha \rho a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau \partial \nu$ X $\rho$ обто́y, Chrys. 2, Theod., Theoph. 2;
 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ovolas, Chrys. 3, dic. The context however seems clearly to limit this legitinate use, not to a use consistent with its nature or spirit in the abstract (Mack, comp. Justiniadi), but with the admission of the particu-

 teachers, on the contrary, assuming that it was designed for the righteous man, urged their interpretations of it as necessary appendices to the Gospel; so De W., Wiesing., al., and similarly, Alf.
9. «l8ஸ்s тov̂to] 'knowing this,' 'being aware of ('mit dem Bewusstsein,' Wegsch.) this great truth and principle;' secondary and participial predication, referring, not to the subject of ot $\delta a \mu \epsilon \nu$ (' per enallagen numeri,' Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 288), but to the foregoing $\tau c s$, and specifying the view which must be taken of the law by the teacher who desires to use it rightly. vóuos oí кєîtal] 'the law is not ordained.' The translation of Peile, 'no law is enacted,' is fairly defeusible (see Middleton, Greek Art. p. 385 sq. and comp. iII. 3. 5, p. 46, ed. Rose), and not without


plausibility; the absence of the article being regarded as designed to imply that vópos is taken indefinitely, and that the sentiment is perfectly general,
 vó $\mu$ ov, Antiph. ap. Stob. Floril. IX. 16 (cited by Mack, al.). As however it is now certain that vómos, like many similar words both in the N.T. and elcewhere (see the full list in Winer, Gr. § ig. I, p. 109 sq.), even when anarthrous, can and commonly does signify 'the Mosaic law' (comp. Alf. on Rom. ii. 12), and as this sense is both suitable in the present passage as defining the true functions of the Mosaic law, and is also coincident with St Paul's general view of its relation to the Christian (comp. Rom. vi. I4, Gal. iii. 19, al.), we retain with Chrys. and the Greek expositors the definite reference of $\nu$ ómos: so Da W., Huther, Wiesing., al. Bukaị] ' $a$ righteous man.' The exact meaning of $\delta i k a l o s$ has been somewhat differently estimated: it would seem not so much, on the one hand, as $\delta \delta<\kappa a \iota \omega-$ $\theta \epsilon i s$, with a formal reference to $\delta<\kappa a l o \sigma$. $\epsilon \kappa \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, nor yet, on the other, so little as $\dot{\alpha} \kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \omega_{\kappa} \omega \dot{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, Theoph., but rather, as the context seems to require and imply, 'justus per sanctificationem,' Croc. (comp. De W.), he who (in the language of Hooker, Serm. II. 7) ' has his measure of fruit in holiness;' comp. Waterl. Justif. Vol. vi. p. $7 . \quad$ кêtral] 'is enacted,' 'est posita,' Vulg., 'ist satith,' Goth. No special or peculiar force ('onus illud maledictionis,' Pisc.; 'consilium et destinatio,' Kuittn. ap. Peile) is here to be assigned to кєír $\theta a t$, it being only used in its proper and classical sense of 'enactment,' de. of laws; comp. (even passively, Jelf, Gr.
§ 359. 2) Xen. Mem. Iv. 4. 21, roùs $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ кє $\epsilon \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o u s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s$, and the numerous exx. in Wetstein, Kypke, and the phraseological annotators. The origin of the phrase seems due to the idea, not of mere local position ('in publico exponi ibique jacere,' Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 349), but of 'fixity,' \&ec. (comp. Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. 12, Vol. I. p. 1694) which is involved in the use of $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ al.
ávópors $\delta \mathbf{E}$ к.т.入.] 'but for lawless and unruly persons.' The reference of divónots and $\dot{\alpha} \nu v \pi o \tau$. to vio'ation of divine and human laws respectively (Leo) is ingenious, but doubtful. Both imply opposition to law : the former perhaps, as the derivation seems to con vey, a more passive disregard of it ; the latter, as its deriv. also suggests (íтoтá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota=$ sponte submittere, Tittm. Synon. II. p. 3), a more active violation of it arising from a refractory will; comp. Tit. i. го, where a duntótakтol stands in near connexion with àvı入é'ovets.
$\alpha \cdot \sigma \in \beta \hat{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu v \times a l$
duapt.] 'ungodly and sinful.' These epithets are also connected in I Pet. iv. 18 (Prov. xi. 31), Jude 15. This second pair points to want of reverence to God; the third to want of inner purity and holiness; the fourth to want of even the commonest human feeling. The list is closed by an enumeration of special vices.
aंvooioss] 'unholy;' only here and 2 Tim . iii. 2. As $8 \sigma \iota o s$ and $\dot{\delta} \sigma c o ́ \tau \eta s$ seem, in all the passages where they are used by St Paul, to convey the notion of a 'holy purity' (see notes on Eph. iv. 24, and Harless in loc.; comp. also Trench, Synon. Part in. $\$ 38$ ), the same idea is probably involved in the negative. The $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta$ ins is unholy through lis lack of reverence;



the àvóros through his lack of inner purity, The use in classical authors is appy. somewhat different; it seems there rather to mark 'impiety' (Plato, Euthyphr. p. 9 D, $\hat{\delta} \hat{a} \nu \pi d \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 tion of fas in contradistinction to jus, whether in its highest sense in relation to the Gods (e.g. Schol. Eurip. Hec.

 Suppl. 377), or in its lower sense in relation to parents and kindred, e.g. Xen. Cyrop. viri. 8. 27, àvoot $\omega \boldsymbol{t}$ épous $\pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma_{\nu \gamma} \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s:$ see Tittmann, Synon. r. p. 25. Hence the frequent combination of avóocos and ädcoos, e.g. Plato, Gorg. p. 505 B, Legg. v. p. 777 E, Republ. iI. p. $3^{6} 3$ d, cornp. Thecet.

 [qui perctitiunt patres eorum] Syr.; not 'murderers of fathers,' Auth. Both the derivation ( $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \omega$, comp. Aristoph. Ran. 149) and the similar use of the word in good authors (e.g. Demosth. Timocr. $73^{2}$, Aristoph. Nub. 1327, compared with 1331, and esp. Lysias, Theomn. ır6. 8) will certainly warrant this milder translation; comp. Suidas, $\pi a \tau \rho a \lambda o l a s, \pi a \tau \rho \circ \tau u ́ \pi \tau \eta s^{\circ}$ каi $\pi a \tau \rho a \lambda \hat{\varphi} a s$ d aútós, and Poll. Onomast. III. I 3 , who even extends it to oi $\pi \in \rho i$ тoùs $\gamma$ oveîs $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \mu a \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \tau \epsilon s: ~ s i m . ~ H e-~$
 $\tau \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega \nu, \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$. It seems also more consistent with the context, as the crime of parricide or matricide would naturally be comparatively rare, and almost (even in a pagan's idea, comp. Cicero, pro Rosc. c. 25) out of the special contemplation of any law. Against the crime of the text the

Mosaic law had made a provision, Exodus xxi. 15 (obs. there is no addition וָמשת, as in ver. i2), comp. Lev.
 plies no argument against this transl. (De W.) ; St Paul is obviously following the order of the commandments: The usual Attic form is marpaloias; Thom. Mag. p. 695 (ed. Bern.), Alberti, Obs. p. 394.
10. ג́vסpamoסıбтais] 'men-stealers;' ' plagiariis' (Cicero, Quint. Frat. I. 2. 2. 6), i.e. 'qui vel fraude vel apertâ vi homines suffurantur ut pro mancipiis vendant,' Vorst, ap. Pol. Syn.; comp. Poll. Onomast. II. 78, divópar. ó $\tau \grave{\nu}$

 a repulsive and exaggerated violation of the eighth commandment, as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon-$ עoкoreiv is similarly of the seventh: they are grouped with $\delta \rho a \pi \epsilon \tau a i$ and $\mu 0 \mathrm{\chi} 0$, Polyb. Hist. xir. 9. 2, 10. 6; comp. Rein, Criminalrecht, p. 386 sq. The penalty of death is attached to this crime, Exodus xxi. 16, Deut. xxiv. 7 : so appy. in some pagan codes; see Sturz. Lex. Xenoph. 8.v.
èтьópкoเs] 'perjurcd persons,' Auth. : ' $\begin{aligned} & \text { Enfopкol sunt et ii qui quod jura- }\end{aligned}$ verunt non faciunt (Xen. Agesil. I. 12, comp. ni) et ii qui quod falsum esse norunt jurato affirmant,' Raphel. Perjury is specially mentioned in Lev. xix. 12 . $\operatorname{ll} \tau \downarrow \kappa$. $\tau$. $\lambda$. is not for $\delta^{\prime} \tau \ell$ (Mack) but is a nore emphatic and inclusive form of expression. It implies that all forms of sinfulness had not been specifically mentioned, but that all are designed to be included : Raphel (Obs. Vol. II. p. 562) very appositely cites Polyb. Hist. p. 983 [xv. 18. 5], oiкlas каl хผ́pav каі

 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ．

ע：$\sigma \sigma o v . \quad$ र̂̀ viplal－
vovion $\delta \mathbf{\delta}$ ©ark．］＇the sound（healthful， －not healthgiving，Mosh．）doctrine：＇

 $\epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$, Chrys．；comp．Plutarch，de


 is nearly identical in meaning with $\dot{\eta}$ ка入ウ $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa а \lambda i a$ ，ch．iv． 6 ，and $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \alpha \tau$＇$\epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa$ ．，ch．vi．3，and stands in clear and suggestive con－ trast to the sickly（ch．vi．4）and mor－ bid（2 Tim．ii． 1 万）teaching of Jewish gnosis．The present part．seens to convey the idea of present existing healthiness，which was to be main－ tained and not depraved：comp． Waterl．Trinity，Vol．III．p． 400.
The expressions $\dot{y}$ रıalyovoa $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda(a$ ， ${ }_{2}$ Tim．iv．3，Tit．i．9，ii．I，and írat－ voytes $\lambda$ brob， 1 Tim．vi．3， 2 Tim．i． 13 （comp．Tit．ii．8），are peculiar to the Pastoral Epistles，and have fre－ quently been urged as＇un－Pauline：＇ to this the answer of Wiesinger（on Tit．i．9）seems fair and satisfactory－ viz．that it is idle to lay stress upon such an usage，unless at the same time corresponding expressions can be produced out of St Paul＇s other Epp．， which might suitably take the p＇ace of the present：see in answer to Schleiermacher，Planck，Bemerkungen， Gott．1808，Beckbaus，Specimen Obs． Ling，18io．The majority of these objections are really fundament－ ally uncritical．If in these $\mathbf{E p p}$ ．the Apostle is characterizing a different form of error from any which he had previously described，and if the ex－ pressions he has made use of admira－ bly and felicitously depict it，why are we to regard them with suspicion be－
cause they do not occur in other Epp． where really dissimilar errors are described？That there is a certain difference in the language of these Epp．we freely adnit，yet still it is not one whit more than we may naturally expect from the form of errors described （see Huther，Einleit．p．52），the date of the composition（see notes on ver． 3 ），and，possibly，the age and expe－ riences of the inspired author；comp． Guerike，Einleit．§48．2，p． 402 （ed． 2）．It is to be regretted that so able a writer as Reuss should still feel difficulties about the authorship of this Ep．；see his Gesch．des N．T．$\S 90$ ， p． 76 ．

II．кarà rò cv̉ary $\lambda_{\text {tov }}$ ］＇accord－ ing to the Gospel；＇specification of that with which all the foregoing is in accordance．There is some little difficulty in the connexion．Three constructions have been proposed：the clause has been connected（a）with $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $i \gamma . \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa$ ．，Beng．，Leo，Peile，al．；（b） with dंयтiкєital，Mack，Matth．，comp． Justin．2；（c）with the whole foregoing sentence，ver． 9 ：q．，De W．，Huther， Wiesing．Of these（a）seems clearly grammatically untenable；for the ar－ tic＇e［inserted in $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ ；Claromn．，Aug．， Boern．，Vulg．；Bas．］cannot be dis－ pensed with，as Theoph．in his gloss．
 mits．Again（b）is exegetically un－ satisfactory，as the sentence would thus be tautologous，the ij．$\delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa$ ， being obviously the import of the
 it ；comp．ch．vi．1，3．Thus then （c）is alone tenable：the A postle sub－ stantiates his positions about the law and its application by a reference to the Gospel．His present assertions were coincident with its teaching and pris－

#  

I thank Him who entrusted that Gospel to me, and who was merciful to me in my ignorance and unbelief: to Him be all honour and glory.
12. Kai $\chi$ á $\left.\rho \iota \nu{ }^{\chi} \chi \omega\right]$ So Tisch. (ed. 2, 7) with DKL; great majority of mss. ; Clarom., Goth., Syr. (both), al.; Dam., CEcum. (text); Lucif., Ambrst. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz). The connecting кai is omitted in AFGN; about 10 mss.; Aug., Boern., Vulg., Copt., Ath. (both), Arm.; Chrys., Theod., al. ; Pel., Vig., Bed. (Mill, Prolegom. p. Lxxxiv, Lachm., Huther, Tisch. ed. i). The preponderance of external authority is thus appy, in favour of the omission. Perhaps the internal arguments slightly preponderate in the other direction: for if, on the one land, tbe important critical principle, 'prcclivi lectioni præstat ardua' (cotnp. Tregelles, Printed Text of N.T., p. 221), seems here to find an application, still, on the other, the insertion of кai is distinctly in accordance with St Paul's use of that particle. Thus then as it is possible that the omission of cai nuay have arisen from a mistaken idea of the connexion of $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ with $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$, and also as it would leave an abruptness here hardly natural, we still retain, though not by any means with confidence, the reading of Tischendorf.
ciples: so, very similarly, Rom. ii. 16; see Meyer in loc., and on кatá, Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. 357, comp. notes on Eph. i. 5 . Tins $\left.\delta \delta \xi \xi_{\eta \mathrm{s}}\right]$ is not a mere genitive of quality (comp. Winer, Gr. §34. 3. b, p. 21 1), and only equivalent to $\xi_{\nu}^{\prime} \delta o \xi o s$, Beza, A.uth., al., but is the gen. of the contents; see Bernhardy, Synt. inf. 44, p. 16r, Scheuerl. Synt. § 17. 1, p. г26, and notes on Eph. i. 13 ; and comp. 2 Cor. iv. 4. The glory of God, whether as evinced in the sufferings of Christ (Chrys.) or in the riches of His sovereign grace ( DeW .), is the import, that which is contained in and revealed by the Gospel, 'quod Dei majestatem et immensam gloriam [Rom. ix. 23, Eph. iii. 16] explicet,' Justiniani, 2. The gen. tô̂ $\theta$ cồ is consequently not the gen. originis ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a \nu \delta o \xi a \nu{ }^{\prime} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a l$, Theod., comp. also Chrys.), but the simple possessive gen., the glory which essentially belongs to and is immanent in God.
pakaplov] This epithet (only in this connexion here and ch. vi. 15), when thus applied to God, seems designed still more to exalt the
glory of the Gospel dispensation. Masápıos indeed was God, not only on account of His own inmutable and essential perfections (ös ṫoctv aútopaкарьtins, Theoph. in I Tim. vi. 15), but on account of tbe riches of H is unercy in this dispensation to man; comp. Greg. Nyss. in Psalm. i. I, Vol. I. p. 258 (ed. Morell), $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ồro $\mu$ о́vò

 Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. 11. p. 289.
 entrusted:' a common construction in St Paul's Epp., especially in reference to this subject; ses 1 Cor. ix. 17 , Gal. ii. 7 , I Thess. ii. 4 , Tit. i. 3. As the context is simply referring to the past, not (as in Gal. ii. 7) also to the present fact of the Apostle's commission, the aor. is perfectly suitable; see notes on Gal. ii. 7.
12. Kal Xáptv $\left.{ }^{[1}{ }^{\omega}{ }^{\omega}\right]$ 'And I give thanks;' appended paragraph (not however, as Alf., only with a comna after (' $\gamma \omega$ () expressive of the Apostle's profound thankfulness for God's mercy toward him, as implied in the $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{t}$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu$ of the preceding verse. It
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has been urged by Schleierm. (p. 163 sq.) in his arguments against the genuineness of this Ep., that there is here a total want of connexion. Were it even so, no argument could be fairly founded on it, for what is more naticeable than St Paul's tendency to digression whenever anything con$n$ cted with his mission and the mercy of God towards him comes before his thoughts? comp. I Cor. xv. 9 sq., Eph. iii. 8. Here however there is scarcely any digression; the Apostle pauses on the weighty words $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta \nu$ È $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ (what a contrast to the ignorance and uncertainty of the false teachers! ver. 7), to express with deep lumility (comp. Chrys.) his thankfulness; with this thankfulness be interweaves, ver. is sq., a demonstration founded on his own experiences, of the transforming grace of the Gospel, and the forgiveness (not the legal punishment) of sin. Thus, without seeking to pursue the subject in the form of a studied contrast letwcen the law and the Gospel (he was not now writing against direct Judaizers), or of a declaration how the transgressors of the law were to attain righteousness (see Baumgarten, Pastorallr. p. 224 sq .), he more thau implies it all in the history of his own case. In a word, the law was for the condemnation of sinners; the Gospel of Jesus Christ was for the saving of sinners and the ministration of forgiveness: verily it
 pion $\theta \leq o \hat{v}$; comp. Huther in loc.
$\tau \uparrow$ ' $\left.̀ v \delta v v a \mu \omega \prime \sigma a v \tau l \mu_{\epsilon}\right]$ 'to Him who strengthened me within,' sc. for the discharge of my commission, for bearing the $\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta o u p o \nu$ (Cbrys.) of Cbrist. The expressive word $\epsilon v \delta v v a \mu$., with the exception of Acts ix. 22, is only
found in the N.T. in St Paul's Epp. (Rom. iv. 20, Eph. vi. io, Phil. iv. 13 , 2 Tim. ii. 1 , iv. 17) and Heb. xi. 34 : comp. notes on Eph. vi. 10. There does not seem any reference to the $\delta v v d \mu \mathrm{cs}$ which attested the Apostleship (Macknight), nor specially to mere bravery in confronting dangers (comp. Chrys.), but generally to spiritual $\delta \dot{u}-$ vauıs for the functions of his apostle. ship. $\quad \pi$ rotóv] 'fuithful,'
' trusty;' comp. I Cor. vii. 25. Eadie, on Eph. i. у, p. 4, advocates the participial translation 'believing' (comp. Goth, 'galaubjandan'): this however seems here clearly untenable; the addition of the words $\epsilon$ is $\delta$ inkopian shows that the word is used in its ordinary ethical, not theological sense.
0tuevos cis $\delta$ tak.] 'appointing me, or in that he appointed me, for the ministry;' not 'postquam,' Grot., but 'dum posuit, dec.' Beng. The act, rò $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta c a k .$, furnished proof and

 $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \epsilon \nu$ é $\boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i$; Theoph.; see Winer, Gr. §45.4, p. 311. Schleiermacher takes exception at this expression; why may we not adduce I Thess. v. 9,

13. övra] The participle seems here to involve a concessive meaning, 'though I was,' 'cum tamen essem,', Justiniani,-not, 'a man who was,' Alf., as this gives it a predicative character. On the use of participles in concessive sentences, Donaldson, $G r . \S 621$, and comp. notes on
 phemer;' in the full and usually received meaning of the word, as it was specially against the name of our Lord (Acts xxvi. 9, If) that St Paul both spoke and acted. The verb
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$\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon i \nu$（i．e．$\beta \lambda \alpha \psi ф \phi \eta \mu \epsilon i v$ ，Pott， Litym．Porsch．Vol．I．p．47，Vol．II． p．49）taken per se is nearly equiva－ lent in meaning to $\lambda o t \delta o \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$（e．g．Mar－ tyr．Polyc．9，入oi ó $\rho \eta \sigma o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \nu, ~$ c．mpared with the martyr＇s answer，
 $\mu o v$ ；compare Clem．Alex．Pedag，i．8， p．137，ed．Potter）；when however it stands in connexion with God＇s narne it naturally has the more special and frightful meaning of＇hlasphemy，＇$\dot{\eta}$ cis $\Theta \epsilon \grave{̀ \nu} \nu \ddot{u} \beta \rho \iota s$ ，Suidas：see Suicer， Thesaur．s．v．Vol．т．p． $69^{6}$ sq．

 $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \eta \quad \nu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa a \zeta \circ \nu$, Ecum．：see Acts xxii．4，xxvi．II，Gal．i．I3， 23. ißpıotify］＇doer of outrage，＇Conyb． and Hows．；only here and Rom．i． 30 ； $i \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$［perliaps from $\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，Donalds． Cratyl．$\$ 335$ ，with verbal root，$l$（ire）， Pott，Etym．Forsch．Vol．I．p．144］ is one who displays his insolence not in words merely，but in deeds of vio－ lence and outrage：see Trench，Synon． \＆29．＇Paulus nequitiam quibusdam veluti gradibus amplificat．Primus gradus est maledicere，ideo se vocat Hasphemum ；secundus insectari，ideo se appellat persecutorem；et quia po－ test insectatio citra vin consistere，ad－ dit tertio se fuisse oppressoren，＇Justi－ niani．The translation of the Vulgate ＇contumeliosus，＇is scarcely critically exact，as，although＇enntumelia＇［per－ haps from＇contumeo，＇Voss，Etymol． s．v．，comp．Pott，Vol．I．p． 5 I ］is fre－ quently applied to deeds（e．g．Cæsar， Bell．Gall．ini：13，quamvis vim et contumeliam［fiactuum］perferre），＇con－ tumeliosus＇seems more commonly ap－ plied to words．The distinction be－
 （words），and $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s$（deeds），is in－
vestigated in Trench，l．c．；see also Tittm．Synon．I． $74 . \quad \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{d}$ ウं $\left.\lambda \in \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu\right]$＇still，notwithstanding，I ob－ tained mercy．＇＇A $\lambda \lambda{ }^{\alpha}$ has here its full and proper seclusive（＇alind jam hoc esse de quo sumus dicturi，＇Klotz， Devar．Vol．II．p．2），and thence com－ monly adversative force：God＇s mercy and St Paul＇s want of it are put in sharpest contrast．In the following words the A postle clearly does not seak simply to excuse himself（ De W．），but to illustrate the merciful procedure of divine grace．His ignorance did not give him any claim on God＇s ềcos，but merely put him within the pale of its
 yet in unbelief，＇Peile）then further defines the ground of his $a^{\prime} \gamma^{\nu o c a}$ ：his ignorance was due to his ámıбтia． How far that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i \alpha$ was excusable is，as Huther observes，left unnoticed： it is only implied that the a ${ }^{\text {quood }}$ which resulted from it was such as did not leave him wholly à $\nu a \pi o \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta t o s ; ~ o u ̀ ~$

 Theod．：comp．Acts iii．17，Rom．x．2， and see esp．the excellent sermon of Waterland，Part II．Vol．v．p． 73 I．
14．نimepealéóvavev］＇was（not ＇hath been，＇Peile）exceeding abun－ dant，$\Delta^{\nabla} \underbrace{\infty}$［magna fuit］Syr．； comp．Rom．v． 20 ，घंтєрєтєрl $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \chi \alpha \dot{\rho} / s, 2$ Thess．i．3，$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a v \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta}$ $\pi i \sigma t l s$ ．There is not here any com－ parative force in $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \pi \lambda$ ．，whether in relation to the Apostle＇s former sin and unbelief（Mack），or to the è $\lambda$ eos which he had experienced（ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \beta \eta$ каl тò̀ è̀єоу тà $\delta \omega \hat{\rho} a$, Chrys．），as verbs compounded with $\dot{v} \pi \xi \rho$ are used by St Paul in a superl．rather than a compar．sense；see Fritz．Rom．Vol．i．
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p. 350 ; the Apostle thus only explains more fully how, and in what measure, he obtained mercy. This, it may be observed, he introduces, not by an explanatory $\kappa$ al, or a confirmatory $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$, but by $\delta \epsilon$; a gentle adversative force being suggested by the last words, $\epsilon \nu$ aंтเorlq: 'yes, unbelieving I was, but God's grace was not on that account given in scanty measure:' see especially Klotz, Devar. p. 363 sq., and compare the remarks in notes on Gal. iii. 8, 11 , and al. pass. The word $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \lambda$. is excessively rare; it has at present only been found in the $P_{\text {salt }}$. Salom. v. 19, and Hermæ Past. in. Mand. V. 2, where it is used with a semi-local reference, -oủ $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ éкєî̀o
 pòv $\pi \nu \in \hat{\imath} \mu a$. On St Paul's frequent use of verbs compounded with $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$, see notes on Eph. iii 20.
$\mu \epsilon \pi \grave{̀} \pi$ iot. kal diү.] Faith and love are 'the concomitants of the grace of our Lord Jesus;' on which proper force of $\mu \epsilon \tau$ á, see notes on $E p h$. vi. 23, and comp. ib. iv. 2. Leo has rightly felt and expressed this use of the prep.,-'verbis $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ к. к.т. $\lambda$. indicatur $\pi i \sigma \tau$. к. à, quasi comites fuisse illius $\chi$ dpıros.' Of the two substantives the first, $\pi / \sigma \tau / s$, stands in obvious antithesis to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi / \iota \sigma \tau i q$, ver. I3 (on its more inclusive sense as also implying $\epsilon \lambda \pi / s$, see Usteri, Lehrb. II. I. 4, p. 24 1), while $\alpha \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$, which here seems clearly to imply Christian love, love to man (Justin.) as well as to God, suggests a contrast to his former cruelty and hatred; 'dilectio in Christo [opponitur] sævitiæ quam exercuerat adversus fideles,' Calv.

Tทis \&v Xp. 'Iŋб.] 'whieh is in Christ Jesus,'- not 'per Christum,' Justin. (comp. Chrys., rò èv diá zot(v), but in Him, as its
true sphere and element. Faith and love have their only true centre in Jesus Christ; it is only when we are in union with Him that we can share in and be endowed with those graces. This proper meaning of $\epsilon \nu$ has frequently been vindicated in these commentaries; see notes on Gal. ii. 17, on Eph.i. i, al. On the insertion of the article see notes on ch. iii. 13 .
15. $\pi$ เoto's o $\lambda$ dosos] ' Faithful is the saying,' 'triggv [trusty, sure] thata vaurd,' Gotb.; $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s,-\dot{a} \nu \tau l \tau o u ̂ a ̀ \psi \in v-$ $\delta \dot{\eta} s$ кal $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \eta \dot{\eta}$, Theod. This 'gravissima prefandiformula' (Beng.) is found only in the Pastoral Epp.; ch. iii. I, iv. 9, 2 Tim. ii. II, Tit. iii. 8; comp. the somewhat similar forms,
 Rev. xxi. 5, xxii. 6 (om. $\epsilon l \sigma \nu$ ), and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ $\theta$ ivòs ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$, I Kings x. 6, 2 Cbron. ix. 5. This is one of the many hints that may tend to confirm us in the opinion that the three Epp. were written about the same time; comp. Guerike, Einleit. \& 48. 1, p. 400
 (i.e. every kind of) acceptation,' Auth.; an excellent trawislation. 'A $\pi$ ojo $\chi \dot{\eta}$, 'exceptio studii et favoris plena,' Schweigh. Lex. Polyb. s.v. (comp. àтобєктós, ch. ii. 3, v. 4), is used very frequently and in very similar constructions by later Greek writers; e.g. a roo. ácos, Philo, de Prcem. § 23, Vol. I. p $5^{6} 5$, ib. de Profug. $\$ 2$, Vol. II. p. 4 Io, al. In Polybius (where it very frequently occurs) it is occasionally found in union with $\boldsymbol{\pi i} \sigma \tau \tau s$, e.g. Hist. 1. 43. 4, vT. 2. 13, -'etiam fides est species acceptionis,' Beng.; see the collections of Elsner and the phraseological annotators, by all of whom the word is abundantly illustrated. On this use of râs with abstract



nouns，commonly denoting extension （＇omnium totius animæ facultatum，＇ Beng．）rather than intension，see notes on Eph．i． 8.
 кór $\mu \mathrm{ov}]$＇came into the world：＇see Jobn xvi．28，and（according to the most probable construction）ib．i． 9. In these passages $\kappa \delta \delta \sigma \mu$ s is appy．used in its physical or perhaps rather（see John iii． 16 sq ．）collective sense； comp．Reuss，Theol．Chrét．iv．20，p． 228，and notes on Gal．iv．3．The allusion they involve to the $\pi \rho o \ddot{\pi} \pi a \rho \xi / s$ of Christ is clear and unmistakeable； comp．Pearson，Creed，Vol．i．p． 141 （ed．Burton）．
 （＇ү＇山］＇of whom I am chief；＇＇antece－ dens omnes non tempore sed maligni－ tate，＇August．in Psal：lxx．I．r．Jus－ tiniani and others，following a hint of Ambrose，endeavour to qualify these words，by referring the relative，not to $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oùs absolutely，but＇iis tantum qui ex Judaismo conversi erant in fiden；$\dot{\omega} \nu$ sc．$\sigma \omega \zeta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ， Wegsch．：similarly Mack，and，as we inight hardly have expected，Water－ land，Serm．xxx．Vol，v．p．729．As however the words X $\rho / \sigma \tau$ òs $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \ldots$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ mast clearly be taken in their widest extent，－＇non solos illos Judæos sed et omnes omnino homines et pec－ catores venit salvos facere，＇Corn．a Lap．，－any interpretation which would linit either $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ò̀s or its relative seems exegetically untenable．Equally unsuccessful is any grammatical argu－ ment deduced from the anarthrous $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o s$, scil．＇einer dex Vornehmsten，＇ Flatt；for comp．Matth．x． 2 （De W． also cites ib．xxii． $3^{8}$ ，but the reading is doubtful），and Middleton，Article， vi．3，p． 100 （ed．Rose）．Thus to ex－ plain away the force of this expression
is seriously to miss the strong current of feeling with which，even in terms of seeming hyperbole（ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\partial} \nu \dot{u} \pi \epsilon_{\rho} \beta a i$ ．
 the Apostle ever alludes to his con－ version，and his state preceding it； see notes on Eph．iii． 8.
$\epsilon{ }^{\boldsymbol{i} \mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ］Not $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ；＇cave existimes mo－ destim cauŝ̂ Apostolum mentitum esse．Veram enim non minus quam humilem confessionem edcre voluit， atque ex intimo cordis sensu depromp－ tam，＇Calv．See the excellent ser－ mons on this text by Hammond，Serm． xxx．xxxi．p． $63^{2}$ sq．（A．－C．Libr．）， and compare August．Serm．olxxiv． clyxp．Vol．v．p． 939 sq．（ed．Migne）， Frank，Serm．vili．Vol．i．p． 108 sq． （A．－C．L．）．

16．dג入á］＇Howbeit，＇Auth．；not resumptive（＇respicit ad ver．I3，＇ Heinr．），but，as in ver．I3，seclusive and antithetical，marking the contrast between the Apostle＇s own judgment on himself and the mercy which God was pleased to show him：$\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda{ }^{2} s$ （ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu) \epsilon i \mu \ell$ ，$\dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ ．Beza has here judiciously changed＇sed，＇Vulg．， into＇verum，＇see Klotz，Devar．Vol． II．p．3，and compare some remarks of Waterland on this particle，Serm． v．（Moyer＇s Lect．），Vol．II．p．io8．
Sıà тоиิтo］＇on this account，＇＇for this end；＇pointing to，and directing more especial attention to the iva．
èv émol］＇in me；＇not equiv．to $\delta c^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$（Theod．），but with the usual and full force of the prep．；the Apostle was to be as it were the substratum of the action：comp．Exod．ix．16， and see exx．in Winer，Gr．§48．a， p． 345 ，and notes on Gal．i． 24 ．
$\pi \rho \omega ் r \varphi]$＇ chicf，＇not＇first，＇Auth．： ＇alludit ad id quod nuper dixerat se
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primum esse inter peccatores,' Calv.
 tensive, or, as it has been termed, dynamic middle; comp. Donalds. Gr. § 432. 2. bb, Krüger, Sprachl. § 52. 8, and see notes on Eph. ii. 7, where this word and its uses are noticed and investigated.

Tท่ข äтa⿱av $\mu$ aкр.] 'the whole of His long-suffering;' i.e. 'the fulness of
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i ̀ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \rho ., \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$


 is not quite certain: the preponderance of uncial authority [AFGN opp. to DKL] is in its favour, but it may be remarked that the form ämas is only found once more in St Paul's Epp., Eph. vi. 13 (Gal. iii. 28 Lachm. is very doubtful), while the more common form occurs about 420 times. St Luke uses änas far more ( 23 times certain) than any other of the sacred writers. On the less usual position of the article, see Middl. Greek Art. ch. viI. p. 104 note, and comp. Gersdorf, Beiträge, p. 381, who has however omitted this instance and Acts xx. 18 : comp. Green, Gramm. p. 194.
We need not here modify the meaning of $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta$.: 'Deo tribuitur $\mu a \kappa \rho \circ \theta$. quia pœnas peccatis debitas differt propter gloriam suam, et ut detur peccatoribus resipiscendi locus,' Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. in. p. 293. The distinction of Theoph. (on Gal. v. 22) between $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \cap \theta \nu \mu i a(\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \in ́ v a \imath$
 (áqıteval $\pi a \nu \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma \iota$ ) cited by Suicer, s. v., and Trench, Synon. § 50. є, may perhaps be substantiated by comparing this passage with Tit. iii. 2.
 upattern for them, \&s.,' $\pi \rho o^{\prime} s a^{\prime} \pi \delta \delta \delta \epsilon \xi(\nu$,
 tensin, exemplum, 2 Pet. ii. " 61 Syr., is a $\delta i s \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\circ} \mu$.; here, and in a somewhat modified sense, 2 Tim. i. I3. St Paul's more usual expression is $\tau$ únos (Rom. v. 14, vi. 17 , I Cor. x. 6, Phil. iii. 1 , al.), but for this $\dot{v} \pi o t$. is perhaps here substituted, as it is not so much the mere passive example ( $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \sigma_{0}$ ) as the active display of it on the part of God (' ad exprimendum exemplar,' Erasm.) which the Apostle wishes to specify. The usual explanation that the Apostle himself was to be the $\dot{v} \pi o^{\prime}$ $\delta_{\epsilon c \gamma \mu a}$ (2 Pet. ii. 6), the standing type and representative, the 'all-embracing example' (Möller), of those who were hereafter to believe on Christ ('si credis ut Paulus, salvabere ut Paulus,' Beng.), is scarcely satisfactory. It was not so much the Apostle as the $\mu a \kappa \rho o \theta$. shown to him that was the object of the $\dot{\text { unnotún.; comp. Wiesing. }}$ in loc. On the technical meaning (adumbratio et institutio brevis) see the notes of Fabricius on Sext. Empir. p. I, and Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. II. p. 1398. The gen. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ('in respect of,' 'pertaining to,' see Donaldson, $G r . \S 453$ ) may be more specifically defined as the gen. of the point of view (Scheuerl. Synt. § 18, p. 129), or perhaps, more correctly, as an extended application of the possessive gen.; the $\dot{v} \pi o \tau \dot{u} \pi \omega \sigma$ os was designed in reference to them, to be, as it were, their property; so 2 Pet. ii. 6; comp. Soph. CEd. Col. 355, and see Schenerl. Synt. § 13. 2, p. 112 sq., Matth. Gr. § 343. I (not 2, where Soph. l.c. is misinterpreted, see Wunder in loc.). If the dative had been used, the idea of the 'convenience,' 'benefit,' of the parties concerned would have come more prominently into notice: con-
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trast Ecclus. xliv. 16 with 2 Pet. l. c. The explanation of Bretsch., 'ut (hoc men exemplo) adumbraret conversionem futuram gentium,' is grammatically defensible but not exegetically satisfactory.
 aủrஸ̂] 'to believe on Him.' In this construction, which only occurs elsewhere in Luke xxiv. 25 (omitted by Huther) and (in one and the same citation from the LXX.) Rom. ix. 33, x. ir, I Pet. ii. 6 (Matth. xxvii. 42 is doubtful), Christ is represented as the basis, foundation, on which faith rests; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ with dat. marking 'absolute superposition' (Donalds. Gr. §483), and thence the accessory notion of 'dependence on;' see Bernhardy, Synt. v. 24, p. 250, Krüger, Sprachl. §68. 4 I , p. 541. If we adopt the usual reading and explanation in Mark i. is (comp. John iii. 55 [Tisch., Lachm. marg.], Gal. iii. 26, Jerem. xii. 6; Ignat. Philad. 8), it may be observed that $\pi$ rotev́ $\omega$ has five constructions in the N.T., (a) with simple dat.; (b) with ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu ;$; (c) with $\epsilon i s$; (d) with $\epsilon \pi i$ and dat.; (e) with $\epsilon \pi i$ and accus. Of these it seems clear that the prepositional constructions have a fuller and more special force than the simple dative (see Winer, Gr. § 31. 5, p. 19i), and also that they all involve different shades of meaning. There may be no great difference in a dogmatical point of view (comp. Pearson, Oreed, Vol. in. p. 8, ed. Burt.), still the grammatical distinctions seem clearly marked. In a word, the exercise of faith is contemplated under different aspects: (a) expresses only the simple act; (b) involves also the idea of union with; (c) union with, appy of a fuller and more mystical nature (comp. notes on Gul. iii. 27), with probably some accessory idea of moral motion, mental direction

## $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad a i \not \omega \nu \omega \nu$,

toward ; see Winer, Gr. § 49. a, p. 354 ; (d) repose, reliance on; (e) mental direction with a view to it; Fritz. Rom. iv. 5, Vol. I. p. 217, comp. Donalds. Gr. $\S 483$. Of the four latter formulæ it may be remarked in conclusion that ( $b$ ) and ( $d$ ) are of rare occurrence; (c) only (John iii. $r_{5}$ is doubtful) is used by St John and St Peter, by the former very frequently; and about equally with (e) by St Luke, and rather more than equally by St Paul: a notice of these constructions will be found in Reuse, Theol. Chrét. Iv. 14, p. 229; comp. also Tholuck, Beiträge, p. 94 sq.
єis 乌wìv aiwiviov] 'unto eternal life;' object to which the exercise of $\pi l \sigma \tau / s$ $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ aúv $\hat{\varphi}$ was directed. It is singular that Bengel should have paused to notice that this clause can be joined with $\dot{\nu} \pi o \tau u ́ \pi \omega \sigma, \nu$ : such a ennstruction has nothing to recommend it.
17. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \ldots \beta a \sigma\llcorner\lambda \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ al $\omega$ v $\omega v$ ] 'to the
 [regi seculorum] Syr.,-a noticeable title, that must not be diluted into 'the king eternal' of Luth. and Auth., even if Hebraistic usage (comp. Winer, Gir. § 34. b, p. 2 II) may render such a dilution grammatically admissible: comp. Heb. i. 2, xi. 3. The term oi aîves seems to denote, not 'the worlds' in the usual concrete meaning of the term (Chrys., and appy. Theod., Theoph.), but, in accordance with the more usual temporal meaning of aicu in the N.T., 'the ages,' the temporal periods whose sum and aggregation (aî̀ves $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ al $\hat{\omega}$ $\left.\nu_{m} \nu\right)$ adumbrate the conception of eternity: see notes on Eph. i. 21 . The $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ al $\omega^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ will thus be 'the sovereign dispenser and disposer of the ages of the world;' see Psalm



 dight of tathit，and goot o make slippreck of it as some have done．
 $\sigma o u$ द̀ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \underline{q}$ каi $\gamma \epsilon \nu \in \hat{q}$ ，and see Exod．xv．18；so Hamm．I， comp．Usteri，Lehrb．II．2．4，p． 3 rj． Any reference to the Gnostic æons （Hamm．2）is untenable，and com－ pletely out of place in this sublime doxology．The title does not occur again in the N．T．，but is found in the O．T．，Tobit xiii．6， 10 ；comp．Eeclus．
 àфӨ́́pтч］＇incorruptible；＇nearly equi－
 vi．16．This epithet is only found in union with $\theta$ eos here and Rom．i． 23 ；comp．Wisd．xii．I．Both this and the two following epithets must be connected with $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ ，not $\beta a \sigma \iota-$ $\lambda \in \hat{i}$（Auth．，Conyb．，al．），which is scarcely grammatically tenable．Hu－ ther urges against this the omission of the article before the epithet，which however frequently takes place in the case of a title in apposition ；see Mid－ dleton，Greek Art．p． 387 （ed．Rose）． diopáтч］＇invisible；＇see Col．i． 15 ， and comp． 1 Tim．vi． $16 ; \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \delta \nu \varphi$ бкıaүрафоú $\mu \in v o s$ каi тoûto $\lambda$ càv a $\mu \nu$－ $\delta \rho \omega ̈ s$ кal $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho t \omega s$ ，Greg．Naz．Orat． xxxvili． 1 （a noble passage），p． 615 D
 God；＇comp．ch．vi． 15 ，$\dot{\text { д }}$ цака́pıos каl $\mu o ́ v o s ~ \delta u \nu d ं \sigma \tau \eta s$ ．It is not of serious importance whether，with Pseud．－ Ambrose in loc．，we refer this appel－ lation to the First Person（＇particula $\mu o ́ \nu \varphi$ extraneas tantum personas，non autem divinas excludit，＇Just．，comp． Basil，Eunom．Book Iv．ad fin．）or， with Theod．and Greg．Naz．（Orat． xxxvi．8，p． 586 в，ed．Morell），to the three Persons of the blessed Trinity． The former seems most probable；
comp．John xvii．3．The read－ ing of the text，a＇magnifica lectio，＇ as Bengel truly calis it，is supported by such preponderating authority ［ $\mathrm{AD}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}^{1}$ opp．to $K L \aleph^{4}$ ］that it seems difficult to imagine how Leo can still defend the interpolated $\sigma o \phi \hat{\varphi}$ ．
тцрі̀ кal סóga］＇honour and glory；＇ a combination in doxology only found here and（with the art．）in Rev．v．I3， comp．iv． 9 sq．St Paul＇s usual for－ mula is $\delta o ́ \xi a$ alone，with the art．：see notes on Gal．i． 5 ．
eis tovis alwvas к．т．入．］＇to the ages of the ages，＇i．e．＇for all eternity；＇see notes on Gal．i． 5 ．

18．Taúтŋv тク̀̀ тараүүe入（av］ ＇This command；＇$\tau i \delta \xi \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ， $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon$ ；iva $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta}$ к．т．入．，Chrys．The reference of these words has been very differently explained：they have been referred（a）directly to $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \eta s$ ， ver．3，Calv．，Est．，Mack ；（b）to $\pi a \mu$－ arvèias，ver． 5 ，Beng．；（c）to $\pi \iota-$
 oтрaт．，Chrys．，De Wette，al．，comp． John xiii．34．The objection to（a） lies in the fact that in ver． 3 the $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma$ ．is defined and done with； to（b）that the purport of the $\pi$ apay ． is not defined，but only its aim stated； and to both that the length of the digression，and the distance of the apodosis from the protasis，is far too great：（c）is obviously untenable as ver． 15 involves no $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a$ at all． It seems best then（d），with Chrys． and the principal modern expositors， to refer $\pi$ apay $\gamma$ ．directly to $\% \nu a \sigma \tau \rho a \tau$ ．， and indirectly and allusively to ver． 3 sq．，inasmuch as obedience to the command there given must form a part of the ка入̀̀ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a$ ．This verse
thus forms a general and appropriate conclusion; ver. 3-II convey the direct injunctions; ver. 12-16 the authority of the Apostle; ver. 18 sq. the virtual substance of his previous injunctions expressed in the simplest form.
тapart $\theta \in \mu a l$ $\sigma 01]$ ' $I$ commit to thee, as a sacred trust;' $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ фu入aкйs $\tau$ d
 2. The use and force of the middle in such forms of expression may be perhaps felt by observing that the object is represented, as it were, as emanating from, or belonging to, the subject of the verb; see Krüger, Sprachl. § 52. 8. 6, p. 365 , and comp. Donalds. Gr. § 432. 2. bb.
кatà тàs к.т.ג.] 'in accordance with the forerunning prophecies about thee;' defining clause apparently intended to add weight to the Apostle's exhortation
 Theoph.), and to suggest to Timothy an additional ground of obligation ;

 Chrys. There is thus no necessity for here assuming an hyperbaton, scil, tiva бтрaтєún кãd тàs к.т.入. (CEcum., Möller), a very forced and untenable construction. $\quad \pi \rho o a y o v ́ \sigma a s] ~$ 'forerunning,' 'precursory;' see Heb.
 order of the words might seem to imply the connexion of $\epsilon \pi \grave{\imath} \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ with $\pi \rho o a \gamma o v i \sigma a s$ ('leading the way to thee, pointing to thee as their object,' Matth.), but as this involves a modification of the simple meaning of $\pi \rho o d \gamma \omega$, and also (see below) of $\pi \rho o \phi \eta$ $\tau \epsilon i a c$ as well, it is best, with De W., Huther, and most modern commentators, to connect $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma \xi$ with $\pi \rho o \phi \eta$. relas. It is not however necessary to
give $\pi \rho o-a \gamma o i ́ \sigma a s$ a purely temporal sense (Syr.); the local or quasi-local meaning which nearly always marks the word in the N.T. may be fully retained; the prophecies went forward, as it were, the heralds and avantcouriers of the actions which they foretold; compare ch. v. 24.
 cordance with our idiom, 'concerning thee,' 'respecting thee,' Peile. 'E $\pi i$ marks the ethical direction, which, as it were, the prophecies took (see Winer, Gr. § 49. 1, p. $3^{62}$ ), and, with its proper concomitant idea of 'ultimate super-position,' points to the object on whom they came down (from above) and rested; see Donalds. Gr. $\$_{4} 83$, and compare the exx. in Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 42. i, p. 543.
тàs $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon$ las] 'the prophecies ;' not 'the premonitions of the Holy Spirit'
 viau $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \xi \xi \omega$, Theod.) which led to the ordination of Timothy (Hamm. in loc., Thorndike, Gov. of Churches, ch. Iv. 8,--an interpretation which involves a modification of the meaning of $\pi \rho o$ $\phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a$ which the word can scarcely bear), but, in accordance with its usual meaning in the N.T., 'the predictions suggested by the Spirit,' 'the prophecies' which were uttered over Timothy at his ordination (and perhaps conversion, Fell, comp. Theoph.), foretelling his future zeal and success in the promulgation of the Gospel. The plural may point to prophecies uttered at his circumcision and other chief events of his spiritual life (Theoph.), or, more probably, to the several sources (the presbyters perhaps) from whence they proceeded at his ordination; comp. ch. iv. If, vi. 12. โva $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon$ v́n] 'that


thou mayest war,' \&c. In this use of $\chi_{\nu \alpha a}$ after verbs implying 'command,' 'exhortation,' \&c., the subjunctive clause is not a mere circumlocution for a simple infinitive, but serves to mark the purpose contemplated by the command as well as the immediate subject of it; comp. Luke x. 40, al, and see Winer, Gr. §44. 8, p. 299 sq. On the uses of $\ell \nu a$ in the N.T. see notes on Eph. i. i 7 . $\mathfrak{e} v$ av̉raîs] 'in them, as your spiritual protection and equipment;' emphatic. The translation of De W., 'in the might of,' is not sufficiently exact. The prep. has here its usual and proper force; it is not identical in meaning with $\delta$ cá (Mosh., comp. EEum.), or with кafá (Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 35I, and virtually Huther), but, in accordance with the image, marks, as it were, the armour in which Timothy was to wage his spiritual warfare; so Mack, Matth., and Winer, Grr. $\S_{4} 8$. a, P. $34^{6}$; comp. also Green, Gr. p. 289. Huther objects to this as artificial, but surely his own interpretation 'within, in the bounds of their application,' is more open to the charge, and scarcely so intelligible.
otparelav] 'warfare;' not $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$, Theod. ('Kampf,' De W.), but more inclusively, 'militiam,' Vulg., Clarom., -the service of a $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \dot{\prime} \tau \eta s$ in all its details and particulars; comp. Huther in loc. For examples of this simplest form of the cognate accus. (when the subst. is involved in the verb, and only serves to amplify its notion), see Winer, Gr. § 32. 2, p. 201, and for a correct valuation of the supposed rhetorical force, the excellent article by Lobeck, Paralipom. p. 501 sq.
19. E'X $\omega \boldsymbol{v}]$ ' having,' Hamm.; not 'retinens' (Beza) as a shield or weapon
(Mack, Matth.), in reference to the preceding metaphor,-this would have been expressed by a more precise word, e.g. àva入aß'山̀, Eph. vi. 16,or 'innitens' as a ship on an anchor (Pricæus), in reference to the succeeding metaphor, but simply, 'habens,' scil. as an inward and subjective possession: so Syr., where the verb is simply replaced by the prep. $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ (in, with); see also Meyer on Rom. xv. 4. áyabìv orveiid.] 'a good conscience;" see notes on ver. 5 supra. ${ }^{\prime} v$ ]
 $\mu \in v o l]$ ' having thrust away;' $\dot{\text { an }} \dot{\dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o}$ $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \iota \psi \epsilon \nu$, Hesych.; see exx. in Wetst. on Rom. xi. I. This expressive word marks the deliberate nature of the act, the wilful violence which the $\tau$ unes (ver. 3) did to their better nature.
 elsewhere in the N.T. with persons, Acts vii. 27, 39, Rom. xi. 1, 2, LXX.) occurs very frequently in the LXX., and several times with abstract nouns ( $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \eta \kappa \eta \nu$, 2 Kings xvii. 15, Alex.; $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta a$, Jer. ii. 36 ; $\nu \delta \mu o \nu$, Jer. vi. 19; єंoptás, Amos v. 21), as a transl. of .n. The objection of Schleierm. (iub. 1 Tim. p. 36) that St Paul elsewhere uses this word properly (Rom. xi. 1, 2) as in reference to something external, not internal, is pointless; Rom. l.c. is a quotation. Conscience is here suitably represented as, so to say, another and a better self. Viewed practically the sentiment is of great moment ; the loss of a good conscience will cause shipwreck of faith, Olsh.
 wreck concerning, in the matter of, the faith:' result of the deliberate rejection of the second of the two things specified in the preceding clause; the rejection of the secoud involves the

##  $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$.

shipwreck of the first. Loesner compares Philo, de Somn. p. ine8d [in. §25, Vol. I. p. 678, ed. Mang.], vava$\gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \hat{\eta}$ тє $\rho l$ $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \tau a \nu$ a $\theta \nu \rho o \nu, \vec{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho l \gamma a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a$ ä $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \circ \nu, \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 is however some difference in the use of the prep. In Philo l.c. it marks really what led to the shipwreck; the accusatives properly representing the objects 'around which the action or motion takes place,' see Winer, Gr.
 in the present case merely the object in reference to which it happened, perhaps more usually expressed by the gen., see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$, I. f . e, Vol. ri. p. 82 I . At any rate it is surely an oversight in Huther to say that $\pi \in \rho$ ? with the accus. is here used in the sense in which it usually stands with the dat.; for, in the first place, $\pi \in \rho i$ with dat. is rarely found in Attic prose and never in the N.T.; and, secondly, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ with dat. ('around and upon,' Donalds. Grr. $\$ 482$, b), if more usual in prose, might have been suitable in Philo l.c. (the rock on which they split,-comp. Soph. Frag. 147, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho q \kappa a \tau a \dot{\gamma} \nu \nu \tau a l \tau \grave{v} \tau \epsilon \hat{v}-$ $\chi 0 s$ ), but certainly not in the present passage. Kypke (Obs. Vol. II. p. 353) cites a somewhat different use, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{K} \dot{\omega} \alpha \nu$ $\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu \nu a v a \gamma \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, Diog. Laert. I. I. 7, where the acc. seems to mark the area where the disaster took place, see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. $\pi \epsilon \mu i$, III. 2, Vol. II. p. 825 .
20. 'Yú́valos] There does not seem any sufficient ground for denying the identity of Hymenæus with the heretic of that name in 2 Tim. ii. 17. Mosheim (de Rebus, \&c., p. ${ }_{11}{ }_{6}^{\prime} \mathrm{sq}$.) urges the comparatively milder terms in which Hymenæus is spoken of,

2 Tim. l.c.; the one he says was the 'open enemy,' the other 'the insidious corrupter' of Christianity. On comparing however the two passages, it will be seen that the language and even structure is far too similar to render any such distinction either plausible or probable. The only difference is, that here the Apostle notices the fact of his excommunication, there his fundamental error; that error however was a $\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda$ os $к \in \nu 0 \phi \omega \nu i a$, 2 Tim. ii. 16. This certainly affords a hint (somewbat too summarily repudiated by Wieseler, Chronol. p. 314) in favour of the late date of this epistle; see notes on ver. 3 .
'A $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ Ésavopos] It is more difficult to decide whether this person is identical
 iv. 14, or (b) with Alexander, Acts xix. 33 , or (as seems most probable) different from either. The addition of $\dot{o}$ रanкev's in the second epistie, and the fact that he seems to have been more a petsonal adversary of the Apostle's than an heretical teacher, incline us to distinguish him from the excommunicate Alexander. All that can be said in favour of $(b)$ is that the Alexander mentioned in Acts l.c. was probably a Christian; see Meyer in loc., and Wieseler, Chronol. p. 56. The commonness of the names makes any historical or chronological inferences very precarious; see Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 347, note (Bohn).
 over so Satan,' 'tradidi Satanæ,' Vulg., -scil. at some former period. The exact meaning of this formula has been much discussed. Does it mean (a) simply excommunication? Theod. in loc. and on I Cor. v. 5, Theoph. in loc., Balsamon, on Can. vir.

I exhort that prayers be offered for all，for this is acceptable to God，who willeth the
salvation of all，and w

#   

 salvation of all，and whose Gospel I preach．（Basilii），al．；comp．J．Johnson，Unbl． Sacr．ch．4，Vol．11．p． 233 （A．－C． Libr．）；or（b）simply supernatural infliction of corporeal suffering，Wolf on Cor．l．c．，and appy．Chrys．，who adduces the example of Job；or （c）both combined，Meyer，and most nodern interpreters？The latter view seems most in harmony with this passage，and esp．with I Cor．v．2， where simple exclusion from the Church
 We conclude then with Waterland， that the＇delivering over to Satan＇ was a form of Christian excommunica－ tion，declaring the person to be re－ duced to the state of a heathen，ac－ companied with the authoritative in－ fliction of bodily disease or deatlı；on Fundamentals，ch．4，Vol．iII．p． 460. The patristic views will be found in Suicer，Thesaur．Vol．II．p．940，and Petavius，Theol．Dogm．Vol．IV．p． 108. In this fearful formula，the offender is given over $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Sigma a \tau a \nu \hat{q}$, to the Evil One in his most distinct personality； comp．notes on Eph．iv． 27.
$\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \theta \omega \bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}]$＇be disciplined，＇Hamın．； ＇taught by punishment，＇Conyb．The true Christian meaning of maidévelv， ＇per molestias erudire，＇is here dis－ tinctly apparent；see Trench，Synon． § $3^{2}$ ，and notes on Eph．vi． 4.

Chapter II．I．Mapaka入へ̂ởv］＇I exhort then；＇＇in pursuance of my general adınonition（ch．i．1））I pro－ ceed to special details．＇It is singular that Schleierm．，and after him De W．， should find here no logical connexion， when really the sequence of thought seems so easy and natural，and has been so fairly explained by several older（comp．Corn．a Lap．），and most
modern expositors．In ch．i． 18 ，the Apostle gives Timothy a commission in general terms，$\chi_{\nu a} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{n}$ к．т．$\lambda$ ． This，after the very slight digression in ver．19，20，he proceeds to unfold in particulars，the first and most im－ portant of which is the duty of prayer in all its forms．The particle oûv has thus its proper collectice force（＇ad ea quæ antea posita sunt lecturem revo－ cal，＇Klotz；＇continuation and retro－ spect，＇Donalds．Gr．§（604），and could not properly be replaced by any other particle；see Klotz，Devar．Vol．II． p．717．For the use of this and similar particles，the student is espe－ cially referred to Euclid（e．g．Book 1．4，5）：the careful perusal in the ori－ ginal language of three or four leading propp．will give him more exact views of the real force of $a^{\prime} \rho a$ ，ouvv к．т．入． than he could readily acquire in any other way．
$\pi \rho \omega ̄ \tau o v \pi a ́ v \tau \omega v]$ ＇first of all，＇＇imprimis；＇not priority in point of time，sc．$\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda a \tau \rho e i ́ q \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\eta}$ ，Chrys．（comp．Conyb．and Hows．），＇diluculo，＇Erasm．，－but of dignity；see Bull，Serm．EIII．p． 243 （Oxf．1844），and comp．Matt．vi．32． The adverb is thus less naturally con－ nected with motễन $\begin{aligned} & \text { al（Auth．）than }\end{aligned}$ with the leading word таракал $\hat{\omega}$ （Syr．）．The combination $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu} \pi a^{\prime} \nu$－ $\tau \omega \nu$ only occurs in the N．T．in this
 prayers，supplications，thanksgivings：＇ see Trench，Synon．Part in．§ i．It his been somewhat hastily maintained by Heinr．，De W．（comp．Justin．）， al．，that the first three terms are little more than synonymous，and on＇y cumulatively denote prayer．On the other hand several special distinctions （comp．Theod．in loc．，Greg．Naz．



Carm. 15, Vol. II. p. 200) and applications (August. Epist. Lix. [cxlix. 12-16]) have been adduced, which certainly cannot be substantiated. Still there is a difference: $\delta \epsilon \eta \sigma t s$ seems a special form (rogatio) of the more general rporevरn' (precatio), see notes
 certainly not a $\delta \in \eta \sigma \iota s$ eis $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta i \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ (Hesych.; comp. Theod.), but, as its derivation ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega)$ suggests, prayer in its most individual and urgent form
 Pot. § 25, Vol. I. p. 209), prayer in which God is, as it were, sought in audience (Polyb. Hist. v. 35. 4, III. 15. 4), and personally approached; comp. Origen, de Orat. § 44, द̀v $\epsilon \in \dot{\xi} \epsilon \epsilon s$
 ÉXoytos. Thus then, as Huth. observes, the first term marks the idea of our insufficiency [ $\delta \kappa \hat{i}$, comp. Beng.], the second that of devotion, the third that of childlike confidence. The ordinary translation, 'intercessions,' as Auth., Alf., al. (comp. Schoettg. in loc.), too much restricts é $\nu \tau \epsilon \omega \xi(s$, as it does not per se imply any reference to others,-the meaning we now usually associate with the above translation (but see Jer. xxvii. 18; xxxvi. ${ }^{25}$ ): see ch. iv. 5, where such a meaning would be inappropriate, and comp. Rom. viii. 27,34 , xi. 2, Heb. vii. 25, where the preposition, $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ or катá, marks the reference and direction of the prayer; see especial'y the examples in Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 567 sq ., who has very copiously illustrated this word.

єv่Xapiotias] 'thanks. givings:' thanksyiving was to be the perpetual concomitant of prayer; see esp. Phil. iv. 6, Col. iv. 2; Justin M. Apol. 1. 13, 67, al., and comp. Harless, Ethik, §31. a. It is scarcely neces-
sary to say that the special translation 'eucharists' (J. Johnson, Unll. Sacr. I. 2, Vol. iI. p. 66, A.-C. Libr.) is
 $d \nu \theta \rho$. is to be connected, not merely with the last, but with all the foregoing substantives; tâ̂ta dê roctîv $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \quad \dot{a} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu \quad \pi a \rho \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu \bar{q}$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda o \dot{s} s \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$, Theod. To encourage further this universality in prayer (Justin M. Apol. II. 15), the Apostle proceeds to specify nominatio particular classes for whom it ought to be offered ; comp. Chrys. in loc.
2. ن̇т!̀ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda e ́ \omega \nu]$ 'for kings,'generally, without any special reference to the Romau emperors. It is an instance of the perverted ingenuity of Baur (comp. De W.) to refer the plural to the emperor and his associate in rule, as they appear in the age of the Antonines; surely this would have been $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$. On the custom, generally, of praying for kings (Ezra vi. io [30], Baruch i. ir), see Joseph. Antiq. xil. 10. 5, Justin, Apol. I. 17, Tertull. Apologet. cap. 39, and the passages collected by Ottius, Spicil. p. 433. It is very noticeable that the neglect of this duty on the part of the Jews led to the commencement of their war with the Romans, see Joseph. Bell. Jud. II. 17. 2.
ย่v $\mathfrak{v} \pi \in \rho \circ \times \mathfrak{n}]$ 'in authority;' all who have any share of constituted authority, the $\dot{\epsilon} \xi o v \sigma i a \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi o v \sigma a \iota$, Rom. xiii. I; comp. 2 Macc. iii. II, du $\nu \delta \rho o s$ $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ ime $\rho o \chi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \not \epsilon \epsilon \nu \rho v$, Polyb. Hist. v.

 may pass a quiet and tranquil life:' contemplated end and object, not im. port of the intercessory prayer; ö $\rho a, \tau$


## 

 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu i a \quad \dot{v} \pi d \rho \chi \epsilon \ell$, Chrys. The prayer has ciearly not a purely suljective reference, 'that we may lead a life of quietude and submission' (Mack, comp. Heydeur.), nor again a purely objective reference, 'that they may thus let us live in quiet,' but in fact involves both, and has alike a personal and a political appli-cation,- 'that through their good government we may enjoy peace:' the blessing 'the powers that be' will receive from our prayers will redound to us in outward peace and inward tranquillity; comp. Wiesing. in loc. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{H}_{\rho \epsilon \mu_{0}}$ is a late form of adjective derived from the adv. $\grave{p} \rho \epsilon \mu a$; comp. Lucian, Tragod. 209, Eustath. Il. vir. p. 142. 9. Lobeck (Patlol. p. 158) cites a single instance of its usage in early Greek; Inscr. Olbiopol. No. 2059 . The correct adjectival form is $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \epsilon$ -
 once only again, 1 Pet. iii. 4 , Tô̂ $\pi \rho a \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ каi $\dot{\eta} \sigma u x i o v ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a t o s$. The distinction drawn by Olsh. between $\eta_{\eta} \rho \in \mu_{0}$ and $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\sigma} \chi<o s$ can appy. be substantiated; the former [connected appy. with Sanscr. ram, 'rest in a chamber,'-the fundamental idea according to Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 262] seems to denote tranquillity arising fronn without, 'qui ab aliis non perturbatur,' 'Tittnaann; comp. Plato,
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu d$; Plutarch, Sol. 31, $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}_{\mu}^{\prime} \alpha \nu \kappa a i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\eta}, \epsilon \mu \alpha \iota o \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \operatorname{li}^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \nu$ : the latter [connected with 'HI-, $\hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$, Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. 1. p. 418] tranquillity arising from within, i Pet. l. c.; comp. Piato, Charm. p. 160 в, $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi$ los $\dot{o} \sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ßios. So, in effect, Tittmann, except that he assigns to $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi$. more of an active meaning, 'qui aliis nullas turbay ex-
citat,' Synon. I. p. 65 . On the use of $\beta$ ios for 'manner of life,' comp. Trench, Synon. § 27.
 liness and gravity;' the moral sphere in which they were to move. Mctà inight have been used with $\sigma \in \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta$ s (comp. ch. iii. 4), but would have been less appropriate with $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon a$; the latter is to be not merely an accompaniment but a possession (comp. Heb. xi. 2, and Winer, Gr. §48. a, p. $34^{6}$ ), the sphere in which they were always to walk. It is proper to observe that both these substantives are only used by .St Paul in the Pastoral Epistles.
 |ơ $\underbrace{p}_{0} \Delta_{\square}^{\square}$ ? [timor Dei] Syr., is a word which occurs several times in these Epp. e.g. ch. iii. 16, iv. 7, 8, vi. $3,5,6,1$, 2 Tim. iii. 5 , Tit. i. r, see also Acts iii. 12, 2 Pet. i. 3, 6, 7 , iii. if. It properly denotes only 'welldirected reverence' (Trench, Synon. $\S 4^{8)}$, but in the N.T. is practically the same as $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \beta \in \epsilon a(\mathrm{ch} . \mathrm{ii} .10)$, and is well defined by Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 146, as 'vis pietatis in ipsá vitâ vel externâ vel internâ, and more fully but with accuracy by Eusebius,


 $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu \zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$. Thus then $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \beta$. conveys the idea, not of an 'inward, inherent. holiness,' but, as Alford (on Acts iii. 12) correctly observes, of an 'operative, cultive piety :' see other, but less precise, definitions in Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I. p. 1264 , and esp. the discriminating remarks of Harless, Ethik, $\$ 37$.
$\sigma \in \mu \nu \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ (only here, ch. iii. 4, and Tit. ii. 7) appears to denote that 'decency and propriety of deportment,' 'morum gravitas et


castitas，＇Estius（＇Ebrbarkeit，＇Luther）， which befits the chaste（Chrys．；comp．， in an exaggerated sense，Eur．Iph． Aul．1350），the young（ch．iii．4），and the earnest（Joseph．Bell．Jud．II． 8. 2），and is，as it were，the appropriate setting of higher graces and virtues； compare Joseph．Vit．§ 49，$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi d$－ $\sigma \eta s \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu . \kappa \alpha i l \pi d \sigma \eta s \quad \delta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \notin \nu \theta a \delta \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a$.

 to $\theta e \lambda e$ ，Chrys．This verse stands in more immediate connexion with ver． I ，of which ver． 2 really only forms a semi－parenthetical illustration．To please God is the highest motive that can influence a Christian．$\Gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is omitted by Lachm．with AN1； $17.67^{* *}$ ； Copt．，Sahid．（not Pesch．，as Bloomf． asserts），－evidence however that can－ not be regarded as sufficient．The omission very probably arose from a want of perception of the true con－ nexion between ver． 1,2 ，and 3 ． ка入òv каl ámобєкто́v］Not＇good and acceptable before＇－Huth．，Wiesing．， Alf．，but＇good（per se），and accept－ able before God，＇Mack，De Wette，
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \epsilon \dot{a} \pi \pi o \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \delta \nu$, Theoph．Huther urges against this 2 Cor，viii． $21, \pi \rho o-$ voov̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ خà $\rho$ ка入̀̀ oú $\mu \delta \nu o \nu$ Èvढ́tıov Kıpiou к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．，but there，as still more clearly in Rom．xii．17，$\pi$ ро号оои́ $\mu \in \nu 06$
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ，the latter clause év＇́mıov к．т．入．is not connected simply with $\kappa a \lambda a ́$, but with $\pi \rho o \nu . \kappa \alpha \lambda a ́$ ，see Meyer in loc．＇A $\pi$ ojectòs（not dimó－ $\delta_{\text {кктos，as Lachm．，Tisch．；see Lobeck，}}$ Paralip．vir．II，p．490）is used in N．T．only here and ch．v．4；comp． $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ o $00 \chi \dot{\eta}$ ，cin．i． $15 . \quad$ tov̂ $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ к．т．ג．］＇our Saviour，God：＇see notes
on ch．i．I．The appropriateness of the title is evinced by the following verse．

4．ठ́s mávtas к．т．入．］＇whose，i．e． seeing His，will is（not＇whose wish is，＇ Peile；comp．notes on ch．v．14）that all men should be saved，＇\＆c．；expla－ natory and faintly confirmatury of the preceding assertion；see Col．i． 25. On this slightly causal，or perhaps rather explanatory force of ofs，see Ellendt，Lex．Soph．s．v．III．3，Vol． II．p．371，and comp．Bernhardy，Synt． vi．12．a，p． 29 I sq．
тávras］Emphatic，Rom．viii． $3^{2}$ ； ＇omnes，etiam non credentes，vult salvari，＇Beng．；$\mu \iota \mu \hat{v}$ тò̀ $\theta \epsilon$ є́̀ $\epsilon l$


 The various dogmatical expositions of this important verse will be found in Justiniani，Corn．a Lap．，and Estius in loc．；contp．also Petavius，Theol． Dogm．Vol．i．Book x．1． 2 sq．，Vol． v．Book xiII．I．3，4，Forbes，In－ struct．viII．18，p． 415 sq ．Without entering upon them in detail，or over－ stepping the limits prescribed to this commentary，it seems proper to re－ mark that all attempted restrictions （＇quosvis homines，＇Beza，comp．Au－ gust．Enchirid．§ ro3；comp．contr． Winer，Gr．§ 18．4，p．IoI）of this vital text are as much to be repre－ hended on the one hand，as that peril－ ous universalism on the other，which ignores or explains away the clear de－ claration of Scripture，that there are those whose $\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s$ shall be alúvios （2 Thess．i．9），and whose portion shall be $\delta \theta$ d́vazos $\dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon u ́ t \epsilon \rho o s$（Rev． xxi．8）：the remarks of Usteri，Lehrb． II．B，p． 352 sq．are very unsatisfactory． Setting aside all technical，though per－

## 

haps plausible, distinctions between the 'voluntas antecedens' and 'voluntas consequens' of God (Damasc. Orth. Fid. II. 29), it seems enough to say, that Scripture declares in terms of the greatest latitude (see esp. Hammond, Fundamentals, xiv. 2, and comp. Pract. Catech. II. 2, p. I8, A.-C. Libr.) that God does will the salvation ( $\sigma \omega \theta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ not $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota)$ of all; all are rendered (through Jesus Christ) 'salvabiles' and 'salvandi' (Barrow, Serm. 72). That some are indisputably not saved (Matt. xxv. 41 sq., Rev, xx. Io, 15 , xxii. $\mathrm{r}_{5}$, al.) is not due to any outward circumscription or inefficacy of the Divine $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ (Episcop. Inst. Theol. Iv. 2. 21), but to man's rejection of the special means of salvation which God has been pleased to appoint, and to which it is also His Divine $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mu a$ (Eph. i. 9) that man's salvation should be limited; comp. Müller on Sin, iII. 2. i, Vol. II. p. 2 II (Clark). In a word, redemption is universal get conditional; all may be saved, yet all will not be saved, because all will-not conform to God's appointed conditions; see Hammond, l.c. $\S 15$; and esp. Barrow, Works, $\dot{\text { Vol. iv. p. s- }} 97$, who in four sermons ( $7 \mathrm{I}-74$ ) has nearly exhausted the subject. The two further momentous questions connected with this doctrine are fairly stated by Ebrard, Dogmatik, $\S 557$ sq., Vol. II. p. 689, comp. also Martensen, Dogm. §219 sq. kal els $\overline{\epsilon \pi}\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \omega \sigma\right.$ เv к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ ' and come to the (full) knowledge of the truth;' comp. 2 Tim. ii. 25 , iii. 7 , Tit. i. 1 : no inversion of clauses, but a further specification of the more immedate object and end; see Winer, Gramm. § 6r. 3. obs., p. 488. The $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a^{2}$ is the ultimate, the $\epsilon l_{s} \epsilon \pi i \gamma v$. $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta$. $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ an immediate end leading natu-
rally and directly to the former. The introduction of this latter moment of thought is suggested by, and suitably precedes, the enunciation of the great truth which is contained in the following verse. On $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi l \gamma \nu \omega \sigma / s(' \operatorname{cognitio}$ certa et accurata') see Trench, Synon. Part II. § 25, notes on Eph. i. 17, and on the omissions of the art. notes on 2 Tim. ii. 25 . It may be remarked that $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a$ here, as commonly in the N.T., implies no mere theoretical, but practical and saring truth, 'veritas salvifica,' as revealed in the Gospel; $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$. $\pi$ olas; $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{\epsilon ls}$ avitò̀ $\pi\{\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, Chrys.; see Reuss, Théol. IV. 8, Vol. in. p. 82. A special treatise on this word has been written by Baumann, Strasb. 1838.
5. €is Yd̀ ©єós] ' For there is one God;' proof of tle foregoing explanatory assertion, the $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ having bere its simple argumentative force, and connecting this verse, not with ver. I (Leo, Mack), but with the verse immediately preceding. Elss and vaivzas stand thus in correlation; the univer. sality of the dispensation is proved by the unity of the Dispenser. The existence of different dispensations for different portions of the human race would seenı inconsistent with the conception of one supreme all-ruling Creator; 'unins Dei una providentia;' comp. Rom. iii. 30, where a similar argument is introduced by the forcible (Hartung, Part. Vol. I. p. 342) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Efei- }\end{aligned}$
 'one mediator also:' ò $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \text { éaut } \varphi \hat{\varphi} \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \iota \epsilon-~\end{gathered}$ $\sigma \tau \hat{\tau} \tau a \quad \sigma u \downarrow \alpha \psi a s$, Theod. In this and similar distinctions between the first and second Persons of the blessed Trinity (comp. I Cor. viii. 6, Eph. iv. 4-6), Reuss finds traces of a citraAthanasian view (so to speak) of the subordination of the Son; Theol. Chrét.
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rv. Io, Vol. II. p. IO2. This is not correct: all that could reasonably be inferred from such a text as the present is the catholic doctrine of a subordination in respect of office; see Waterland, Second Vind. Vol. II. p. 400. The position of De W., after Schleierm. (über I Tim. p. 177), that this use of $\mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \eta s$ without definite allusion to a $\delta \iota a \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ argues a compiler from the Ep. to the Heb. (viii. 6, ix. I5, xii. 24), is not entitled to serious attention or confutation. The previous allusion to redemption (ver. 4) and the antithesis of the cils $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s$ and $\pi a ́ v \tau$. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \theta \rho$. suggest the use of a term that best sustains that relation : see also Ebrard, Dogm. § 406, and a good sermon by Beveridge, Serm. Vol. II. p. 86 sq. (A.-C. Libr.).
©єoû кal aंv $\theta \rho \omega$ it $\omega v$ ] 'of God and men:' both anarthrous; the former in accordance with its common privilege of rejecting the article (see exx. Winer, Gr. § 19. I, p. IIo), the latter from a bare indication of the other party only being necessary. In both cases the omission is obviously suggested by the familiarity of both the terms connected by the conjunction; see Green, Gr. IV. 3, p. 18 r .
ảv日pwtos X. 'I.] 'a man Christ Jesus.' The human nature of Christ is specially mentioned as being the state in which His mediatorial office was visibly performed; ávopotov $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ тò $\nu$
 $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \cdot{ }^{\prime} \pi a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma a s \gamma^{\dot{d} \rho} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$, Theod. On the duration of Christ's mediation, see Pearson, Creed, Art. vi. Vol. I. p. 334 (ed. Burton). The omission of the article (scarcely noticed by the modern German commentators) must be preserved in translation. Middleton (Greek Art. p. 388, ed.

Rose) considers the article unnecessary, and compares a $\alpha \nu \theta \rho$. X. 'I. with кúplos X. 'I.; but the comparison fails, as kúpıos has so unequivocally the character of a proper name; comp. Winer, $G 7$. § i9. ı, p. 1 13. In a different context Christ might clearly have been designated as $\delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$., 'the (representative) man of humanity' (comp. Peile in loc.); here however, as the A postle only wishes to mark the nature in which Christ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and not any relation in which He stoud to that nature, he designedly omits the article. The distinction of Alf. between individual and generic bumanity seems here out of place, and not involved in the context: contrast Wordsw. in loc., who pertinently cites August. Serm. Xxvi. [Vol. v. p. 174, ed. Migne].
6. dur(גuтpov] 'ransom;' the duri being here by no means redundant (Schleierm. p. 42, compare Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. I. p. 377), but serving to express the idea of exchange, 'permutationem, quâ veluti capite caput et vita vitam redemit,' Just.; comp. á $\nu \tau a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu \alpha$, Matt. xvi. 26, ávтi $\psi_{u \chi \chi^{o \nu}, ~ I g n a t . ~ S m y r n . ~ 10, ~ a n d ~}^{\text {a }}$ the valuable remarks on it of Pearson, Vind. Ign. ch. xv. p. 597 (A.-C. Libr.). In this important word (a $\ddot{\alpha} \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mu$.) the idea of a substitution of Christ in our stead cannol be ignored (see, thus far, Reuss, Thêol. Chreet. IV. ${ }_{17}$, Vol. II. p. 185 sq .), especially when connected with passages of such deep significance as Rom. iii. 25 (our Lord's death was a true 'expiatorium,' a 'propitiatory sacrifice,' see Meyer on Rom. l. c.) and Eph. v. 2 ; comp. also Meyer on Rom. v. 6, and for some calm and clear comments on this 'satisfactio vicaria,' Martensen, Dog-
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matik, § 157 sq., p. 343. All the modern theories of atonement seem to forget that God hates sin as sin, not as a personal offence against Himself. How is a God thus holy and just to be reconciled? See M'Cosh, Divine Gov. iv. 2. 3, p. 475 (4th ed.). Waterland's words are few but very weighty ; on Fundam. Vol. v. p. 82.
 in dogmatical passages, see notes on Gal. iii. $\mathbf{1 3}$. Here $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ (' in commo: dum') seems to point to the benefit conferred by Christ upon us, $\alpha^{\nu} \nu \tau i$ ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau l$ $\lambda u \tau \rho o v)$ to His substitution of Himself in our place.

то̀ $\mu$ арти́.
plov к.т.入.] 'the (import of the) testimony (to be set forth) in its proper sea-
 [testimonium quod venit in tempore suo] Syr., not 'the proof of it,' \&ec., Middleton, Art. p. 389. Some little difficulty has been felt in these words, owing to the true nature of the apposition not having been recognised. Tò $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho l o y$ is an accusative in apposition to the preceding sentence, not to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda u \tau \rho o \nu$ ( $\delta \tau \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu i \lambda u \tau \rho o \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \mu a \rho t$. $\lambda \epsilon ̇ \gamma \omega$, то́́t $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \grave{~} \pi \alpha \dot{d} \theta o s$, Theoph. 2), but to $\dot{o}$ סoùs... $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, scil. 'quce res (nempe quod suâ ipsius morte omnes homines redemisset, Luke xxiv. 46, 47) testimonii suo tempore (ab Apostolis) dicendi argumentum esset,' Fritz. Rom. xii. I, Vol. III. p. I2, where this passage is very carefully investigated; see also Winer, Gr. §59. 9, p. 47², and Scholef. Hints, p. ri8. Thus there is no reason whatever for modifying the text (Lücke, Stud. u. Krit. for 1836, p. 65 r sq.) ; the insertion of oî before $\tau \dot{j} \mu a \rho \tau$., with $\mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{FG}$ al., and of $\epsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta$ after idiocs with $\mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{FG}$ are incorrect (compare Fritz.) explanatory
additions, and the omission of $\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \alpha \rho \tau$. in $A$ is due apparently to accident. The reading of $\boldsymbol{N}^{1}$ is кal $\mu a \rho \tau$. кalpoîs islors] 'in its own seasons;' scil. тoîs $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa о v \sigma$, , Chrys. It is singular that Luicke should have felt any difficulty in this formula; comp. Gal. vi. 9, and somewhat similarly Polyb. Hist. I. 30. 10, xviil. 34. 6. 'Tempus testimonio de Christi morte expiatoriâ hominibus ab Apostolis dicendo idoneum, illud tempus est quod a Spiritus Sancti adventu ad Apostolos (Acts i. 8) usque ad solemnem Christi reditum de cœolo ( 2 Thess. i. so) labitur,' Fritz. l.c. The dative then is not a quasi dat. commodi (comp. Scbolef., Peile), but the dat. of the time wherein the action takes place; comp. Rom. xvi. ${ }^{25}, \chi \rho b \nu 0, s$ aiwhious $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \eta$ $\mu \epsilon \nu o v$, and see exx. in Winer, Gr. §31. 9, p. 195. This form of the temporal dative thus approximates to the ordinary use of the temporal gen. ('period within which;' comp. Donalds. Gr. § 451.ff, Krüger, Sprachl.§ 47.2), and is more correctly preceded by $\epsilon \nu$; see Kruiger, Sprachl. § 48. z, Wannowski, Constr. Abs. 1II. i, p. 88. The temporal gen., except in a few familiar forms, is rare in the $\mathbf{N}$. T.
7. Eis sol 'for which,' scil. $\mu a \rho \tau u$. plov; 'cui testimonio dicendo constitutus sum preco,' Fritz. Rom. xii. I, Vol. III. p. i5, note.
кท́pvE]. 'a herald,' 'preco solennis, a Deomissus,' Beng.; only here, 2 Tim. i. 11 , and 2 Pet.ii. 5. There is no necessity in the present case for modifying ('prædicator,' Vulg.) the primary meaning of the word; comp. Ecclus.
 $\rho \nu \xi$, and see esp. I Cor. ix. 27 , where $\kappa \eta \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is used of the herald of the games, in accordance with the tenor

##   and comport themselves with modesty.

of the foregoing verses; see Meyer in loc. $\quad$ aimóotodos] 'an Apostle,' in the higher sense of the word; $\mu \epsilon \gamma a$
 àvııтоєєital roútou, Theoph.: see notes on Gal. i. 1 .
 'I say the truth, I lie not:' comp. Rom. ix. 1. De Wette seems clearly right in maintaining that this protes. tation refers to the preceding words; the asseveration with regard to his apostleship was of course not intended for Timothy, but for the false teachers who doubted his apostolical authority. The third official designation, $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa$. $\epsilon \theta \nu \omega \nu$, then follows with full elimactic force. To assert that $\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \theta$. к.т. .. is a phrase which the Apostle used in his later years 'with less force and relevance than be had once done' (Alf.) appears questionable and precarious.
 the spheres in which the Apostle performed his mission. The two substantives are commonly taken either both with objective reference, scil. $\epsilon^{2} \nu$ $\pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \dot{a} \lambda \eta \ell_{\iota} \hat{n},-\kappa a i$ being explanatory, Mack (comp. Peile, who inappositely cites 2 Thess. ii. 13), or both with subjective reference, 'faithfully
 ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \omega \nu(s)$, Grinf., Leo [mis-cited by De W.]. It seems however more simple to refer $\pi i \sigma \pi / s$ to the subjective faith of the Apostle, $\dot{d} \lambda i j \theta$. to the objective truth of the doctrine he delivered; 'quidquid fides docet necessario est verum,' Justin. 'A $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \varepsilon a$ logically follows nigTus, for, as the same expositor remarks, 'hæc ad illam aditum recludit;' comp. Jobn viii. 31, 32.
8. Boú入oual oviv] 'I desire then:' ' hoc verbo exprinitur auctoritas apo-
 the active wish is implied; it is no
mere willingness or acquiescence. On the distinction between $\beta o u ́ \lambda o \mu a t$ and $\theta \in \lambda \omega$, see below on chap. v. i4, and comp. notes on Eph. i. נ1, and especially the clear and satisfactory discussion of Donaldson, Oratyl. $\$ 463$, p. 694 sq. (ed. 3). ôv] Not simply illative and in reference to ver. 7 (Calv.), but retrospective and resumptive,-recapitulating, and at the same time expanding, the desire expressed in ver. I; 'in pursuance then of my general exhortation, I desire.' The proper collective force of ouv is thus not wholly lost: on the resumptive use, see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 718 , and notes on Gal. iii. 5. $\pi p o \sigma \epsilon$ र́xє $\sigma \theta a l]$ Emphatic; bringing the subject again forward, forcibly and distinctly. The allusion, as Huther properly contends, is clearly to public prayer; comp. ver. r. Tovs ávסpas is thus in antithesis to $\gamma \quad{ }^{2} a \hat{a}-$ kas, ver. 9, and marks, though here not with any special force, but rather allusively, the fact that the conducting of the public prayers more particularly belonged to the men; comp. ver. 12, 1 Cor. xi. 4, 5. Had the Apostle said $\pi$ ávras, it would not have seemed so consistent with his subsequent specific direction.
èv mavil rótu must be limited to ' every place of customary devotional resort, everywhere where prayer is wont to be made' (Peile) ; comp. Basil, de Bapt. i. qu. 8. If the allusion had here been particularly to private prayer, then $\epsilon \nu$ mavi $\tau 6 \pi \omega$ might have been referred to the indifferency of place in regard to prayer; 'omnis locus oratorium est,' August.; comp. Schoettg. Hor. Hebir. Vol. II. p. 865. This however is not conveyed by the present words. There is also no po-

## 

8．$\delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu 0 \hat{0}]$ So $\operatorname{ADKLN}{ }^{1}$ ；Aug．，Vulg．，and many $\mathrm{Vv.}^{\text {；}}$ ；Origen（3）， Chrys．，Theod．（text），al．（Rec．，Griesb．，Matth．，Scholz，Lachm．，Huther，Alf．， Wordsw．）．The plural $\delta\left(a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \omega \nu\right.$ is adopted by Tisch．with FGN ${ }^{4}$ ； $17.67^{* *}$ ． 73.80 ［MSS．that are asserted commonly to accord with B］，and many others ； Boern．，Copt．，Syr．（both）；Origen（4），Euseb．，Basil，Theod．，al．As the external authorities seem decidedly to preponderate in favour of the former， and as it seems more probable that the plural should be a correction of the less usual singular（only in Luke ix．46，47），than that the singular should have been altered from the plural for the sake of symmetry in number with $\delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ， we retain the reading of the Received Text．
lemical reference to the limitation of public worship among the Jews to the temple（Chrys．，Wolf），－a fact more－ over which is not bistorically true； comp．Est．in loc．

## èraiportas к．т．入．］＇lifting up holy

 hands；＇participial clause，of manner or accessories（comp．Jelf，Gr．§ 698， Winer，Gr．§45．2，p．307），defining both the proper bodily gesture and the spiritual qualifications required in prayer．The Christian，as well as Pagan（Virg．En．I．93）and Jewish （Psalm xxviii．2）custom of raising aloft the hands in prayer，is illustrated by Suicer，Thesaur．s．v．eixdn，Vol． x．p． 1276 ，Bingham，Antiq．xinc． 8. 1o．It was，as it were，an oblation to God of the instruments of our neces－ sities，Chrys．in Psalm．exl．Vol．v．p． 431 （ed．Bened．）．The folding together of the hands in prayer has been shown to be of Indo－Germanic origin ； see Stud．u．Krit．for 1853, p．90，and Vierordt＇s special treatise on the sub－ ject，Carlsr． 185 r．óclous］ ＇holy；＇opp．to $\beta \notin \beta \eta \lambda o 九 \chi \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon, 2$ Macc． v．ı6．It is singular that Winer（Gr． § ir．r，p．64）should suggest the pos－ sibility of so awkward a connexion as jolous（＇religione perfusos，＇Fritz．） with ėxalp．，and still more so that Fritzsche（Rom．Vol．III．p．i）should actually adopt it，when the common Attic use of adjectives in－tos，dsc． （Elmsl．Eur．Heracl．245）with only
two terminations is so distinctly found in the N．T．（ver． 9 ；see Winer l．c．）， and gives so good a sense．Contrary instances of similar＇adjectiva minus mobilia＇are collected by Lobeck， Phryn．p．ro6．Wolf cites Demosth．
 the right reading is ioias．On the true meaning of $8 \sigma$ oos（holy purity），see Harless on Eph．iv．24．It may be remarked that áyvos，a alayoos，and кatapds are all similarly used with $\chi \in \hat{\iota} \rho \epsilon$ ；see Clem．Rom．I Cor．29，
 and exx．in Suicer，Thesaur．s．v．єủXウ． The first term perhaps denotes freedom from（inward）impurity；the second， from stain（outwardly contracted）or pollution；the third，from alien ad－ mixture：see Tittmann，Synon．i．p． 26 sq. ，and on $\delta \sigma \sigma o s$, àp ${ }^{2}$ s，Trench， Synon．Part II．§ 38 ．Xwpls ópyifs к．т．入．］＇without（or apart from） wrath and doubting，＇Auth．It does not seem proper，either here or Phil． ii．14，to import from the context a meaning of $\delta c a \lambda o \gamma \tau \sigma \mu \delta s$（＇disceptatio，＇ Vulg．，and nearly all recent comment－ ators except Meyer）unconfirmed by good lexical authority．The explan－ ation of Chrys，and the Greek expo－ sitors，$\dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \beta o \lambda l a\left(\chi \omega \rho l_{s} \ldots \delta i a \lambda,=\pi \iota \sigma\right.$－ $\tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ ठть $\lambda \eta \psi \psi$ ，Theod．），＇hæsitationes，＇ Vulg．in Phil．l．c．， $\mid \Delta \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ ［cogitationes］Syr．，＇tveiflein，＇Goth．，

## 

is perfectly satisfactory，and in accord－ ance with the proper meaning of the word ；comp．Plato，Axioch．p． 367 A， ф oо $1 \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ ．．．каi $\delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o l$ ，and Clem． Rom．I Cor．21，where it is in con－ nexion with $\epsilon_{\nu \nu o t} \omega \nu$ ；so also Clem． Alex．Strom．Iv． 17 ，quoting from Clem． Rom．On the alleged distinction be－ tween $\chi \omega \rho i s$ and $a \nu \epsilon \nu$ ，see notes on Eph．ii． 12.

9．ஸ́бaúтшs к．т．$\lambda$.$] ＇（I desire）like－$ wise that women also，in secmly guise， with shamefastness and discretion，do adorn themselves，＇\＆c．Omitting all eva－ sive and virtually participial（＇ornan－ tes se，＇Vulg．）translations（comp． Conyb．）of the plain infin．$\kappa o \sigma \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ， we have two constructions；we may either supply（ $a$ ）merely $\beta_{o}$ óno $\mu a t$ ，the infin．коб $\mu \in i \psi$ being simply dependent on the supplied verb；or（b）$\beta$ oúno $\mu a \iota$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon$＇́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，the infinitival clause коб $\mu \in \hat{i \nu}$ к．т．$\lambda .$, being regarded as added ＇per asyndeton＇（Mack），or with an explanatory force（comp．De W．）．The main objection to（ $a$ ）is the less special meaning that must be assigned to $\dot{\omega} \sigma a \not ̂ r \omega s ;$ but comp．Tit．ii．3，and appy．Rom．viii．26，where $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u^{\prime} \omega s$ introduces astatement co－ordinatewith， but not purely similar to，what pre－ cedes；see also 2 Macc．ii．I2．The objection to（b）is the singularly uncon－ nected position of коб $\mu \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ ：this is far less easy to surmount，for in all the instances hitherto adduced of uncon－ nected infinitives（ch．v．I4，vi．I 8 ，Tit． iii．1）the verbs all relate to the same subject，and the construction is easy and obvious．It seems best then to adopt（ $a$ ），and to find the force of $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ \tau \omega s$ in the continued but implied （ver．II）reference to public pragers； see Bp．Möller in loc．Kal moreover has thus its full and proper ascenaive force：the women were not mere
supernumeraries；they also had their duties as well as the men；these were sobriety of deportment and simplicity of dress，at all times，especially at public prayers．It would seem almost as if the Apostle intended only to allude to demeanour and dress at the latter，but concluded with making the instructions general．
$\dot{\mathbf{e} v}$
катабто入ท̂ коб $\mu\{\Psi]$＇in seemly guise；＇
 ieporper $\epsilon \hat{i}$ ，and see notes in loc．；not to be connected directly with кo $\sigma \mu \in i \nu$ ， but forming with $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma . \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ． a kind of adjectival predication to be appended to $\gamma^{\text {volikas }}$ ；comp．Peile in loc．，and see Matth．vi．29，Tit．i． 6. Karaбтo入̀̀ is not simply＇dress＇（Lid－ dell and Scott，Lex．s．v．，Huther，al．）， a meaning for which there is not satis－ factory authority，but＇deportment，＇ as exhibited externally，whether in look，manner，or dress；see Rost $u$ ． Palm，Lex．s．v．Vol．I．p． 1655 ，and comp．Joseph．Bell．Jud．II．8．4， катабто入ウ каi $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a \quad \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$ ，and esp．Hippocr．de Dec．Habitu，1．26， where кaraбтo入̀ is associated with $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta$ ，thus appy． conveying the idea of something out－ wardly cognizable，－external appear－ ance as principally exhibited in dress； comp．Syr．
 tûs］：＇guise＇thus perhaps approaches most nearly to the idea which the Apostle intended to convey．We cannot（with De W．）cite the Vulg． ＇habitu，＇as the following epithet（or－ nato）seems to show that the translator referred it more definitely to＇apparel．＇ It would seem then not improbable that the glosses of Hesychius（кaraor． $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta 0 \lambda \eta \nu)$ and Suidas（катaбт．${ }^{*} \sigma \tau 0-$



$\left.\lambda \lambda_{i} \nu\right)$ ，and the use in later writers，e．g． Basil（see Suicer，Thesaur．s．v．Vol．ir． p．65），were suggested by a doubtful interpretation of this passage．
$\kappa \circ \sigma \mu i(\omega]$ Only here and ch．iii． 2 ，and with the meaning，＇seemily，＇＇becoming，＇ ＇orderly，＇（comp．Goth．＇hrainjai＇）， －not＇ornato，＇Vulg．，Luther ：see Suicer，Thesaur．s．v．Vol．1r．p．147．
 and discretion；the inward feelings which should accompany the outward bearing and deportment：both terms are found united，Arrian，Epict．Iv． 8. Alö̀s（only here；Heb．xii．28，re－ ferred to by Trench，Synon．§ 19，has but little critical support）marks the ＇innate shrinking from anything un－ becoming；＇$\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma u ̛ v \eta$（ver．15，Acts xxvi．25），the＇well－balanced state of mind resulting from habitual self－re－ straint；＇comp． 4 Macc．i．31，$\sigma \omega$ ．
 $\mu \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，more comprehensively，Plato，$R e$－
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \rho \dot{\gamma} \tau \epsilon \iota a$ ，similarly，Symp． p． 196 c，and more at length，Aristotle， Ethics，III．13．Chrys．is no less dis－

 $\lambda o 九 \pi \bar{\omega} \nu \pi a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\nu} \kappa \tau \partial े s \epsilon \hat{l v a l}$ ，on Titt．ii． 5 ， p．822，see Trencb，Synon．§ 20，and for the most plausible translation， notes on Transl．It may be remarked that $\sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ and its derivatives（except $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon i \nu) \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$, $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \sigma \nu \omega s$ ，$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \eta \eta$（except Acts xxvi．25），occur only in the Past．Epp． This is one amongst many hints afford－ ed by the verbalcharacteristics of these three Epp．that they were written by one hand［St Paul］，and probably at no distant period from one another． $\mu \eta ̀$ iv $\pi \lambda t$ Ү $\mu a \sigma เ v]$＇not with plaitings：＇
special adornments both personal （ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu$ ．）and put on the person（ $\chi \rho \cup \sigma \hat{\psi}$, $\mu a \rho \gamma a \rho .$, i $\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varphi)$ inconsistent with Christian simplicity；comp．I Pet．iii． 3， $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda$ oкोे $\tau \rho \subset \chi \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，and see esp．Clem． Alex．Paeday．iif．if．62，Vol．i．p． 290 （Pott．），al $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda о \kappa a l \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \rho \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ai є̇тацрєкаl，к．т．入．，where this and other kinds of personal decoration are fully discussed；comp．Wakef．Sylv． Crit．Vol．IIt．p．133．What Clement approves of is $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \hat{\delta} \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a t \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \delta \mu \eta \nu$
 aùxtva ảфє入єî $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a ~ \sigma u v a v \xi$ goú $\sigma a u s$
 фpovas кouas．On the subject gene－ rally，see Smith，Dict．of Antiq．Art． ＇Coma，＇and the plates in Montfaucon， L＇Antiq．Expl．Vol．uII．p．4 ${ }^{1}$ ，Suppl．
Vol．III．p．44．The remarks of Beng．on this use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ are not satis－ factory；oú in peculiar forros of ex－ pression is found after $\beta$ ovinoual，the regular and natural particle after verbs of＇will＇being however of course $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ； see exx．in Gayler，Partic．Neg．p． 329 sq ．
kal Xpuб＠̣］Scil． $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \in \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \quad \chi \rho v \sigma l \omega \nu$, I Pet．iii．3；ear－ rings，necklaces，bracelets；comp． Pliny，Nat．Hist．Xx． 35.

го． $\boldsymbol{d}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ò $\pi \rho \in \pi \in \epsilon$ к．т．$\left.\lambda.\right]$＇$b u t$ ，－ which becometh women professing（not ＂who profess，＂Alf．）godliness．＇The construction is slightly doubtful：$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ a $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ may be joined with $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ．（Vulg．，Theod．）；in which case the relative $\delta$ must be regarded as equivalent to $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \varphi \boldsymbol{\sigma}$（Matth．）， or ка $\theta^{\prime} \delta$（Huther），一both somewhat unsatisfactory explanations．It seenıs much more simple to connect $\delta i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma$, à $\gamma$ ．with коб $\mu \in i \nu$（Syr．，Theoph．），and to regard ö $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．as a common relatival apposition；see Winer，Gr．

#   ation, and first in respect of transgression. 

 Clarom., Vulg., Goth., al.; Cypr., Ambrst., Jer. (much appr. by Griesb., De Wette, Huther, Wiesing.). It is difficult to understand what principle except that of opposition to Lachm. has induced Tisch. (ed. 2, 7) to adopt the reading of the Rec. $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa l \delta \xi \delta \delta \delta d \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, with KL; great majority of mes. ; Syr. (both), Theod.-Mups., Cbrys., Theod., Dam., al.; Ambr. (Mill, Scholz, Alf., Wordsw.), when the uncial authority is thus noticeably weak, and the context so plainly favours the reading of the text. The $\delta \epsilon$ is not for $\gamma d \rho$ (Syr.), and has certainly no 'vim copulativam' ( $=$ 'scilicet,' Leo), but properly, and with its usual antithetical force, marks the opposition to $\mu a \nu \theta a \nu \notin r \omega$.
§ 23. 2, p. 143, note I. The objection of Huther to $\kappa 0 \sigma \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \ldots . . \delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ is not of moment: ${ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma a d \gamma a \theta \dot{\alpha}$ were the medium of the $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu o s$; the prevenient and attendant graces of soul (comp. 1 Pet. iii. 3, 4) were its actual constituents.
 fitentes,' 'pre se ferentes,' Justin.; comp. ch. vi. 2I, where this meaning is perfectly clear. Huther compares Xen. Mem. 1. 2. 7, $\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda 6$ $\mu \in v o s$, and Ignat. Ephes. I4, $\pi i \sigma \tau \nu$ $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda$. ; add Philo, de Human. § I, Vol. II. p. 384 (ed. Mang.), Ėпаү $\epsilon \lambda$ $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ $\theta \in \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon l a \nu$, and see further exx. in Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I.
 scarcely differs in sense from $\epsilon \dot{j} \sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \alpha$, ver. 2 ; comp. notes.
11. Tvvī] 'a woman, i.e. any one of the class, or, in accordance with the idiom of our language (Brown, Gramm. of G'r. II. 2. obs. 6, p. 220), 'the woman,' see notes on Eph. v. 23.
 speaking or attempting to teach in the Church:' $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$, $\phi \eta \sigma l \nu$,

$\mu a v \theta a v e ̀ t \omega]$ 'learn,' i.e. at the public ministrations; in antithesis to $\delta i \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa$., ver. 12. It is obvious that the Apostle's previous instructions, I Cor. xiv. 34 sq., are here again in his thoughts.

The renewal of the prohibition in Concil. Carth. Iv. Can. 99 (A.D. 398) would seem to show that a neglect of the apostolic ordinance bad crept into the African Church. Women were permitted however to teach privately those of their own sex, ib. Can. 12; see Bingham, Antiq. xiv. 4. 5 .
 i.e. yielding it in all cases, not 'in voller Unterordnung,' Huther; $\pi$ âs being extensive rather than intensive: see notes on Eph. i. 8. On the position occupied by women in the early Church it may be remarked that Christianity did not abrogate the primal law of the relation of woman to man. While it animated and spiritualized their fellowship, it no less definitely assigned to them their respective spheres of action; teaching and preaching to men, 'mental receptivity and activity in family life to women,' Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 147 (Bohn). What grave arguments these few verses supply us with against some of the unnatural and unscriptural theories of modern times.
12. 8九 $\delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon เ \downarrow$ 8є] Opposition to $\mu a \nu \theta a \nu \epsilon \tau \omega$ ver. II, see critical note. $\Delta \delta \delta d \sigma \kappa \epsilon L \nu$ is emphatic, as its position shows; it does not however follow, as the Montanists maintained from




I Cor. xiv. 5, that a woman might $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \cup \hat{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$ in public. Every form of public address or teaching is clearly forbidden, as at variance with woman's proper duties and destination; see Neander, Planting, l.c. note. Wolf cites Democrates, Sentent. [ap. Gale,
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$.

UB San [audacter agere super] Syr.; not 'to usurp authority over,' Auth., a further meaning not contained in the word. A $\dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu$ ( $a \pi$. $\lambda \in \gamma \dot{o} \mu$. in N.T.), found only in late and eccl. writers (Basil, Eipist. 52), involves the secondary and less proper meaning of ai v $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\prime} \tau \eta s$ (Lubeck, Phryn. p. 120) sail. $\delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta s$, à̀roঠik ${ }^{2}$, Mœris; so Hesych. avi $\theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi o v-~$ $\sigma \iota a \zeta \varepsilon \hat{\nu}$. The substantive au $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau i a$ occurs 3 Macc. ii. 29; see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 573, where verb, adj., and substantive, are explained and illustrated. The immediate context shows that the primary reference of the prohibition is to public ministration (Beng.); the succeeding arguinents however demonstrate it to be also of universal application. On this subject see the brief but satisfactory remarks of Warless, Ethic, § 52. note, p. 279.
d $\lambda \lambda$ ' єโval к.т.入.] 'but to be in quiet, i.e. in silence;' infin. dependent on Boúdopal or some similar verb (not кє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$, which St Paul does not use),
 1 Cor. xiv. 34 (Rec.); comp. I Tim. iv. 3, Herm. Soph. Electr. 72 . This form of brachylogy occurs most commonly in the case of an antithesis (as here) introduced by an adversative conjunctimon, Self, Gr. § 895. h. The antithe-
sis between each member of this and of verse $I 1$ is very marked.
 of the foregoing command, derived from the Creation. The argument from priority of creation, to be complete, requires the subsidiary statemont in I Cor. xi. 9, ov́к $\epsilon \kappa \tau l \sigma \theta \eta$ dंv̀̀ $\rho$
 $\alpha_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a$ : comp. Est. The remarks of Reuse, Théol. Chret. Vol. II. p. 210, note, are unguarded; there is here no 'dialectique Judäique,' but a simple and direct declaration, under the influence of the Holy Spirit, of the typical meaning of the order observed in the creation of man and woman.
è $\pi \lambda$ air $\theta \eta]$ 'was formed, fashioned;' proper and specific word, as in Hesiod, Op. $7 \mathbf{o}, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ gains $\pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ : comp. also Rom. ix. 20, and esp. Gen. ii. 7, val

 I. I. I.
14. kal'A8áp] Second confirmation, deduced from the history of the fall: 'docent Apostolus feminas oportere esse iris subjectas, qua et posteriors suns in ordine et priors in culpa,' Primas., cited by Cornel. a Lap. in bloc. oik $\left.\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi a r \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta\right]$ There is no necessity whatever to supply $\pi \rho \hat{\text { on os }}$, Theol., Cecum. r. The emphasis rests on àmarâv. Adam was not directly deceived, Eve was; she says to God, $\dot{o}$ ४фıs $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon$, he
 छúdov, sal źqayov. We can hardly urge with Beng., 'muller virum non decepit red ai persuasit, Gen. iii. 17 ,' for it can scarcely be doubted that the woman did deceive the man (comp. Chrys.), being in fact, in her very persuasions, the vehicle of the serpent's

##  

deceit：it is however the first en－ trance of sin which the Apostle is specially regarding；this came by means of the serpent＇s $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \tau \eta$ ；Eve directly succumbed to it（aj$\pi \dot{o} \gamma{ }^{v} v . \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ á $\mu a \rho \tau i a s$, Ecclus．xxv．24），Adam only indirectlyand derivatively．Hence observe in Gen．iii．the order of the three parties in the promulgation of the sentence；the serpent（ver．14）， woman（ver．16），man（ver．17）．Ac－ cording to the Rabbinical writers （Schoettg．Hor．Hebr．Vol．I．p．867） Eve was addressed because it was very doubtful whether man would have yielded．
 ＇being completely，patently，deceived．＇ This reading，which is supported by $\mathrm{AD}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}^{1}$ ； 17 ，al．（Lachm．，Tisch．）， seems to confirm the foregoing explan－ ation．To preclude appy．any miscon－ ception of his meaning，the Apostle adds a strenythened compound，which serves both to show that the moment of thought turns on $\dot{d} \pi a \tau d \omega$ ，and also to define tacitly the limitation of mean－ ing under which it is used．The prep． $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ here conveys the idea of cumple． tion，thoroughness，Rost u．Palm，Lex． s．v． $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa$ ，Vol．I．p． $820 . \hat{\eta} \ldots \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} v \dot{\eta}$ is here clearly＇the woman，＇i．e．Eve，not the sex generally（Chrys．）．The generic meaning comes out in the next verse： Eve was the typical representative of the race．

द̀v $\pi$ араßа́бєє $\gamma^{\prime}$＇үovev］＇became involved in trans－ yression，＇＇fell into transyression；＇the constr．$\gamma^{i} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ occurs occasionally （but not＇frequently，＇Huther）in the N．T．〈e．g．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu / q$, Luke xxii．44； $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \ell$ ，Acts xxii．${ }_{17} ; \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ dóg $\eta, 2$ Cor．iii． 7 ；$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\partial} \mu о \iota \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ ，Phil．ii． 7 ；$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ кодакєias，I Thess．ii．5）to de－ note the entrance into，and existence in any given state．On the distinction
between tivaı（esse）and $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$（ex－ istere et evenire），see Fritz．Fritzsch． Opusc．p．284，note．
 be saved；＇not merely＇eripietur e noxâ illa＇（Beng．），but in its usual proper and scriptural sense，＇ad vitam æternam perducetur；＇comp．Suicer，Thesaur． s．v．Vol．ir．p．1206．The translia－ tion of Peile（founded on the tense）， ＇shall be found to lave been saved，＇ is somewhat artificial；see notes on Gal．ii．16．The tense here only marks simple futurity．The nom．to $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta}$－ $\sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ is $\gamma u v \dot{\prime}$, in its generic sense；ou
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ，Theod．This is confirmed by the use of the plural，$\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu \mu \in i \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$
 tekvoyovias］＇by means of the child－ bearing．＇Setting aside all untenable or doubtful interpretations of $\delta c \dot{\alpha}$（＇iu＇ Beza，＇cum＇Rusenm．）and $\tau \in \kappa \nu \quad \gamma o \nu l a s$ （ $=\tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu a$ aü $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$, Syr．；тò кагd $\Theta \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu$ ［ $\tau \in \kappa \nu a]$ d $\nu a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon i v$, Chrys．，Fell，comp． Stier，Red．Jes．Vol．III．p． 13 ：＇matri－ monium，＇Heinsius），we have two ex－ planations；（a）＇by child－bearing；＇by fulfilling her proper destiny and ac－ quiescing in all the conditions of woman＇s life，Beng．，De Wette，Hu－ ther，al．；comp．Neander，Planting， Vol．I．p． 34 r （Bohn）：（ $\beta$ ）＇by the child－bearing，＇i．e．by the relation in which woman stood to the Messiah， in consequence of the primal prophecy that＇ber seed（not man＇s）should bruise the serpent＇s head＇（Gen．iii． ${ }^{15}$ ），Hammond，Peile：＇the peculiar function of her sex（from its relation to her Saviour）shall be the medium of her salvation．＇This latter inter－ pretation has but few supporters，and has even been said，though scarcely justly，to need no refutation（Alf．）；
 shop; he must be of irreproachable morals, a good father of his family, and of good report.
when however we consider its extreme appropriateness, and the high probability that the Apostle, in speaking of woman's transgression, would not fail to specify the sustaining prophecy which even preceded her sen-tence;-- when we add to this the satisfactory meaning which $\delta \iota a$ thus bears, -the uncircumscribed reference of $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ (opp. De W., Alf.),--the force of the article (passed over by most expositors),-and, lastly, observe the coldness and jejuneness of (a), it seems difficult to avoid deciding in favour of ( $\beta$ ): see the clear and satisfactory note of Hammond, and we may now add of Wordsw. in loc. £́d.v $\mu \in[\nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'if they should continue,' scil. al $\gamma{ }^{\text {vaîkes, }}$ or rather $\dot{\eta}$ $\gamma v \eta \eta^{2}$ taken in its collective sense: see Winer, Gr. § 58.4, p. $45^{8}$ : a reces. sary limitation of the previous declaration ; $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \quad \gamma$. of itself could effect nothing. The plural is referred by Chrys. and Syr. [as shown by the masc. termination] to $\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu \alpha$ : this is grammatically admissible (see Winer, Gr. $\S 67.1$, p. 555), but exegetically unsatisfactory. On the use of $\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu$ with subjunct. (objective possibility; 'experience will show whether they will abide'), see Hermann, de Partic. av, II. 7, p. 97, and notes on Gal. i. 8. In applying these principles however, it must always be remembered that in the N. T. the use of $\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu$ with subj. has almost entirely absorbed that of $\epsilon i$ with the opt. ; see Green, Gr. ب. 53 .
 sphere in which they were to con tinue. On the union of these terms, and the omission, but of course virtual inclusion, of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s$, compare Reuss, Théol. Chrét. Iv. 22, Vol. I1. p. 259. Il $\sigma \pi \iota s$ here appropriately points, not
to 'eheliche Treue,' Huth., but to faith in the cardinal promise.
кai diүı $\mu \mu \hat{\varphi}]$ 'and holiness.' 'La sanctification est donc l'état normal du croyant, Rom. vi. 22, I Thess. iv. 3 sq.;' Reuss, Theol. Chrét. Iv. 16, Vol. II. p. 167. Un $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma u ́ v \eta$, see notes on ver. 9 .

Chapter III. i. Mıotòs ò 入óyos]
'Faithful is the saying.' 'Hac veluti præfatiuncula attentionem captat,' Justin. Chrys. refers this to what has preceded (comp. ch. iv. 9) ; the context however seems clearly to suggest that, as in ch. i. 15 , the reference is to what follows. The reading $a^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi c \nu o s\left(D^{1}\right.$ and a few Lat. Vv.) is of course of no critical value, but is interesting as seeming to bint at a Latin origin. In ch. i. 15, 'humanus' is found in a few Lat. Vv. (see Sabatier), where it was probably a reading, or rather gloss, ad sensum (bum. $=$ benignus). From that passage it was ignorantly and unsuitably imported here into some Lat. $\nabla v$. , and thence perhaps into the important Cod. Clarom. Charges of Latinisms (though by no means fully sustained) will be found in the Edinburgh Rev., No. cxcr. ; see Tregelles, Printed Text of
 'ofice of a bishop.' Without entering into any discussion upon the origin of episcopacy generally, it seems proper to remark that we must fairly acknowledge with Jerome (Epist. 73, ad Ocean. Vol. iv. p. 648), that in the Pastoral Epp. the terms $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \kappa о \pi o s$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma$ are applied indifferently to the same persons; Pearson, Vind. Ign. xiri. p. 535 (A.-C. Libr.), Thorndike, Gov. of Churches, III. 3, Vol. I. p. 9 (ib.). The first was borrowed

## 

from the Greeks (oi maj' 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i \omega \nu$ cis
 $\pi a \rho ’ \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau<\iota s$ $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$, Suidas, \&. v. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa$., Dion. Hal. Antiq. II. $7^{6}$; see Hooker, Eccl. Pol. vir. 2. 2, and exx. in Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 293), and pointed to the office on the side of its duties: the second, which marked primarily the age of the occupant, was taken from the Jews (Hamm. on Acts xi. 30 ), and pointed to the office on the side of its gravity and dignity; comp. i Pet. v. r, and see Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 143 (Bohn). While this cannot be denied, it may be fairly urged on the other hand,-(I) that the loofuvapia of the two words in the N.T. appears to be such, that while $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v^{\prime}-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$, conjointly with $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$, refers to what was subsequently the higher order, it is rarely used in the N.T. (comp. James v. $1_{4}$ ?) to denote specially what was subsequently the lower ; comp. Hammond, Dissert. Iv. 6, Vol. Iv. p. 799 sq. ; to which may be added that in the second century no one of the lower order was ever termed an éviбкотоs (Pearsor, Find. Ign. ch. XIII. 2) ; and (2) that there are indelible traces in the N . T. of an office (by whatever name called, $\left.a^{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right)$ which possibly first arising from a simple $\pi \rho o \epsilon \delta \rho l a$ in a board of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ úr $\epsilon \rho \circ$ (comp. Jerome on Tit. i. 5, Vol. Iv. p. 413 , ed. Ben.) grew under Apostolic sanction and by Apostolic institution into that of a single definite rulership 'over a whole body ecclesiastical;' see esp. Blunt, Sketch of the Church, Serm. r. p. 7 sq., and comp. Saravia, de Divers. Grad. ch. X. p. ri sq. We may conclude by observing that the subsequent official distinction between the two orders (traces of which may be observed in these Epp.) has nowhere
been stated more ably than by Bp. Bilson, and consists in two prerogatives of the bishop, 'singularity in succeeding, and superiority in ordaining, Perpet. Gov. xili, p. 334 sq. (Oxf. 1842). Of the many treatises written on the whole subject, this latter work may be especially recommended to the student. Bilson is indeed, as Pearson (Find. Ign. cb. III.) truly says, 'vir magni in ecclesia nominis.' ópéyetal] 'seeketh after:' there is no idea of 'ambitious seeking' (De W.) couched in this word ; it seems only to denote the definite character, and perhaps manifestation, of the desire, the 'stretching out of the hands to receive,' whether in a good (Heb. xi. 16), or in a bad (ch. vi. Io) application; comp. Wieseler, Chronol. p. $3^{\circ 1}$, note. ! 'pyou] 'work;' not " bonam rem,' Castal., but definitely 'function,' 'occupation;' comp. 2 Tim. iv. 5, and see notes on Eph. iv. 12. On the subject of this and the following verses, see a disc. by Bp. Kenvett (Lond. I706).
2. oṽv] 'then;' continuation slightly predominating over retrospect; comp. Donalds. Gr. §604. The proper collective sense of this particle (Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 717) may however be clearly traced in the reference to the foregoing words, $\kappa a \lambda o \hat{v} \hat{c}_{\rho} \rho \gamma o u:$ so, with his usual acuteness, Bengel, ' bonum negotium bonis committendum.' тòv є̇т(бкотоv] 'every bishop' or (according to our idiom) ' $a$ bishop;' the article is not due so much to the implication of $\epsilon \pi / \sigma \kappa$. in $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa 0-$ $\pi \hat{\eta} s$ (ver. 1 ; comp. Green, Gr. p. 140 ), as to the generic way in which the subject is presented; comp. Middleton, Art. III. 2. 1, notes on Gal. iii. 20.
Huther here calls attention to two facts in relation to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \sigma \kappa$. (I) That

except here and Tit. i. 7, St Paul only uses the term once, Phil. i. 1 ; we ought probably to add Acts xx. 28 :
(2) That the singular is used here, and still more noticeably in Tit. l.c. where $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho$ ot had just preceded. Of these two points, (I) seems to be referable to the later date, as well as to the different subject of these Epp.; (2) to the desire of the Apostle to give his instructions their broadest application by this generic use of the article. $\quad \mathbf{d} v \in \pi\langle\lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \circ v]$ 'irreproachable;' 'inreprehensibilem,' Vulg., Clarom.; $a^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \sigma \nu$, а́катd́ $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \sigma \nu, \mathrm{He}-$ sych. There seems no authority for regarding àve $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda$. as 'an agonistic term' (Bloomf., Peile) ; it appears only used in an ethical sense, as 'qui nullum in agendo locum dat reprehensionis' (Tittm.; $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi \omega \nu \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ pias á $\phi o \rho \mu \eta_{\eta}{ }^{2}$, Schol. Thucyd. v. 17), and differs from $a \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau o s$ as implying, not 'qui non reprehenditur,' but 'qui non dignus est reprehensione, etiamsi reprehendatur;' see Tittm. Synon. I. p. 30. Hence its union with $\alpha \sigma \pi \iota$ ios, ch. vi. 14, and with кaOapós, Lucian, Pisc. 8; comp. Polyb. Hist. xxx. 7. 6, where however the sense seens to be simply privative: see further exx. in Elsner, and Suicer, Thesaur. s.v.
$\mu$ ûs of one wife.' These much-contested words have been explained in three ways; (a) in reference to any deviation from morality in respect of marriage, 'whether by concubinage, polygamy, or improper second marriages' [comp. 1 Cor. vii. 2], Matthies; so appy.Theod., $\tau \delta \nu \mu\left(\underset{a}{\hat{a}} \mu \delta{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa l\right.$ $\sigma \nu \nu$. оєко̂̂v $\alpha \sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \mathrm{s}: ~(b)$ contemporaneous polygamy, which at that time still seems to have prevailed among the Jews, Joseph. Ant. xvil. i. 2, $\pi d \tau \rho \iota \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \epsilon i o \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{l} \nu$

बvvocкєîv ; Justin Mart. Trypho, § i 34 : so Calv., Beng., al. : (c) successive polygamy, whether (a) specially, after divorce, Hamm., Suicer (Thesaur. в.v. $\delta(\gamma a \mu i a)$; or ( $\beta$ ) generally, after loss of first wife however happening, Fell, and appy. Huth., Wiesing., al. Of these ( $a$ ) is clearly too undefined; ( $b$ ) involves an opposition to the corresponding expression in ch. v. 9 ; (c. a) is plausible, but when we eonsider the unrestrictedness of the formula,-the opinions of the most ancient writers (Hermas, Past. Mand. iv. i, Tertull. de Monogam. cap. 12, Athenagoras, Legat. p. 37, ed. Morell, 1636 , Origen, in Lucam, xviI. Vol. III. p. 953 , ed. Delarue; see Heydenr. p. 166 sq., Coteler's note on Herm. l.c.),-the decisions of some councilf, e.g. Neocres. (A.D. 314) Can. 3, 7, and the guarded language of even Laod. (A.D. 363 ?) Can. 1, -the hint afforded by paganism in the case of the woman ('uni-vira'),-and lastly, the propriety in the particular cases of $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi о$ and $\delta$ cáxovoc (ver. 8) of a greater temperance (mox $\nu \eta \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota o \nu, \sigma \dot{\phi} \phi \rho o \nu \alpha)$ and a manifestation of that $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \delta \nu \not \psi_{\nu \alpha} \gamma \alpha-$ $\mu o \nu \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\tau} \tau \eta s$ (Clem. Alex. Strom. ini. I, Vol. I. p. 51 I , Potter) which is not unnoticed in Scripture (Luke ii. $3^{6}, 37$ ), we decide in favour of (c. $\beta$ ), and consider the Apostle to declare the contraction of a second marriage to be a disqualification for the office of an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi о s$, or $\delta$ cákovos. The position of Bretschn., that the text implies a bishop should be married (so Maurice, Unity, p. 632), does not deserve the confutation of Winer, Gr. § 18. 9, p. 107, note.
v $\eta$ фá入ıov] 'sober,'-either in a metaphorical sense ( $\sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \rho \omega \nu$, Suidas), as the associated epithets and the use of $\nu \dot{\eta} \phi \omega$ in good Greek (e.g. Xen. Conviv.
 $4 \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \eta \nu,{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \hat{\eta}, \quad \ddot{\alpha} \mu a \chi o \nu, \dot{a} \phi i \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\rho} \gamma \nu \rho o \nu, \tau o \hat{v}$
viif. 21) will certainly warrant, or perhaps more probably (as $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \rho o t \nu \nu$, ver. 3 , is not a mere synonym, see notes) in its usual and literal meaning $\mathrm{N} \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \epsilon i \nu$ ( $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \rho \epsilon i \nu, \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \beta i \varphi$, Hesych.) indeed occurs six times in the N.T. (r Thess. v. 6, 8, 2 Tim. iv. 5, I Pet. i. 13 , iv. 7, v. 8), and in all, except perhaps I Thess. l.c., is used metaphorically; as however the adj. both in ver. II (see notes) and appy. Tit. ii. 2 is used in its literal meaning, it seems better to preserve that meaning in the present case; so De W., but doubtfully, for see ib, on Tit. l.c. Under any circumstances the derivative translation 'vigilant,' Auth. ( $\delta \iota \varepsilon \gamma \eta є \rho \mu \epsilon \nu_{o s}$, Theod.), though possibly defensible in the verb (see Etym. M.s.v. $\nu \dot{\eta} \phi \epsilon(\nu)$, is a needless and doubtful extension of the primary meaning: on the derivation, see notes on 2 Tim . iv. 5 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho o v a$, кс́б $\mu \iota o v]$ 'sober-minded or discreet, orderly.' The second epithet here points to the outward exhibition of the inward virtue implied in the first,- $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \delta \iota d$ $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s \phi a i \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma u ́ \nu \eta \nu$, Theod.: see notes on ch.
 i. 8. $\left.\quad \delta_{\llcorner } \delta a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v\right]$ 'apt to teach,' Auth., 'lelirhaftig,' Luther; not only 'able to teach' (Theod.; comp. Tit. i. 9 , but, in accordance with the connexion in 2 Tim. ii. 24, 'ready to teach,' 'skilled in teaching,'
 $\rho \iota \zeta o \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi о \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, Theoph.; see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. I. p. 900 , comp. Hofmann, Schrifth. Vol. 1I. 2, p. 253. On the qualitative termination - кós, see Donalds. Cratyl. §254, p. 454.
3. Tápowov] 'violent over wine,'

Tit. i. 7 ; not simply synonymous with $\phi i \lambda o u v o \nu$ or with olv $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho 0 \sigma$ $\epsilon_{\chi}{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$, ch. iii. 8 (Ziegler, de Episc. p. 350), but including drunkenness and its manifestations: so appy. Syr.
 over wine,' Etheridge, not 'sectator vini,' Schaaf; see Michaelis in Cast. Lex., and comp. Heb. x. 28 Syr.];
 who however puts too much out of sight the origin, oivos: comp. mapcivoos Arist. Acharn. $9^{81}$, and the copious lists of exx. in Krebs, Obs. p. $35^{2}$, Loesner, Obs. p. 396. The simple state is marked by $\mu \epsilon \theta \cup \sigma o s$ ( I Cor. v . II, vi, Io), the exhibitions of it by
 रi $\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \in \tau=1$, Athen. x. § 62, p. 444. $\left.\pi \lambda_{i_{1}^{\prime}} \kappa \tau \eta v\right]$ ' a striker;' Tit. i. 7; one of the specific exhibitious of $\pi \alpha \rho o t v / a$. Chrys. and Theod. (comp. also Kypke, $0 b s$. Vol. II. p. $35^{6}$ ) give this word too wide a reference ( $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \tau \tau \varepsilon \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{a} \delta \varsigma \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon i \delta \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. Its connexion both here and '「it. l.c. certainly seems to suggest the simple and strict meaning; see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. 75 I , where both meanings
 'forbearing, not contentious,' Tit. iii. 2 , but in a reversed order; generic opposites to the two preceding terms. The force of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} s$ is here illustrated by the associated adj.; the a $\alpha$ a ${ }^{o s}$ is the man who is not aggressive (Beng. on Tit. l.c.) or pugnacious, who does not contend ; the $\epsilon \pi t \epsilon c \kappa \grave{\eta} s$ goes further, and is not only passively nou-contentious, but actively considerate aud forbearing, waving even just legal
 $\nu$ buov $\beta$ oך $\theta$ óv, Aristot. Eth. Nicom. v. 14. The former word is also illus.




trated by Trench, Synon. § 43: the derivation, it need hardly be said, is not from $\epsilon \epsilon^{\ell} \omega \omega$ but from $\epsilon l \kappa b s$; see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v, àфı入а́pyvpov] 'not a lover of money;' only here and Heb. xiii. 5. This epithet is not under the vinculum of $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a$, , but is co-ordinate with the first.two negatived predicates, and perhaps has a retrospective reference to $\phi<\lambda 6 \xi \xi^{6} 0 \nu$ (Theoph.). On the distinction between $\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma v \rho i a($ ('avarice') and $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \epsilon \xi\left(\alpha,{ }^{\xi}\right.$ ( covetousness '), see Trench, Synon. § 24.
4. Tô̂ iहiov] ' his own;' emphatic, and in prospective antithesis to $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, ver. 5. On the use of idoos in the N.T., see notes on Eph. v. 22, and on its derivation (from pronoun $\imath$ ), comp. Doualds. Cratyl. § $\mathbf{1 3 9 , 1} 52$.
${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$ úmoтayn̂ is not to be connected closely with z$_{\chi o \nu \tau a}$ (Matth.), but ap-
 a kind of adjectival clause specifying the moral sphere in which they were to move; see ch. ii. 9, and notes in loc. If the part. had been used, though the meaning would have been nearly the same, the idea presented to the mind would have been different: in the one case subjection would have been noticed as a kind of autribute, in the present case it is represented as the moral element with which they were surrounded. The transition from actual (Luke vii. 25) to figurative environment (Matth. vi. 29), and thence to deportment (ch. ii. 9), or, as here, to moral conditions, seems easy and natural. $\quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \sigma \eta \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. 'with all gravity:' closely connected with $\dot{u} \pi o \tau a \gamma \hat{n}$, specifying the attend-
ant grace with which their obedience was to be accompanied; see notes on ch. ii. 2.
 man knows not (how);' contrasted parenthetical clause (Winer, Gr. § 53. 2. b, p. 40 I ), serving to establish the reasonableness and justice of the requisition, rồ isiov к. $\tau$. $\lambda$.; the argument, as Huther observes, is 'a minori ad majus.' It is perhaps scarcely necessary to remark that there is no irregularity in the present use of $\epsilon i$ où: ‘ oủ arctissime conjungi cum verbo [not always necessarily a verb; comp. Schæfer, Demosth. Vol. III. p. 288] debet, ita ut hoc verbo conjunctum unam notionem constituat, cujusmodi est oük oito nescio,' Hermann, Viger, No. 309. This seems more simple than to refer it here, with Green ( $G r$. p. II9), to any especial gravity or earnestness of toue. The use of $\epsilon l$ ov in the N.T. is noticeably frequent; see exx. in Winer, Gr. § 55.2 , p. 423 sq., and for a copious list of exx., principally from later writers, Gayler, Part. Neg. v. p. 99 sq.
 ethical future, involving the notion of 'ability,' 'possibility;' $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\nu} \dot{\eta}^{\sigma} \epsilon \tau a l$; Chrys.; see Winer, Gr. \$ 4o. 6, p. 250 , Thiersch, de Pent. III. if. d, p. I59, and notes on Gal. vi. 5. Similar uses of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'curam gerere,' scil. 'saluti alicujus prospicere' (Bretschn.; comp. Luke x. 35), are cited by Raphel in loc.
6. $\mu \eta ̀$ vé́фuтov] ' not a recent convert' (тò̀ ขєокат $\eta \chi \eta \tau о \nu$, Chrys., $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\partial} \theta \dot{v} \mathrm{~s} \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa o ́ t a$, Theod.), rendered somewhat paraphrastically in Syr.

#  

## 

 suo]: the word is copiously illustrated by Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. ir. p. 395 . This and the following qualification are not specified in the parallel passage, Tit. i. 6 sq.: there is however surely no reason for drawing from the present restriction any unfavourable inferences against the authenticity of this Ep.; see Schleierm. über I Tim. p. 4 $^{6}$. If the later date of the Ep. be admitted, Christianity would have been long enough established at Ephesus to make such a regulation natural and easy to be complied with: see Wiesing. in loc.tu申wets] 'besotted, or clouded, with pride;' only here, ch. vi. 4 , and 2 Tim. iii. 4. Both the derivation [ $\theta$ YII-, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime} \phi \omega$, Benfey, Vol. II. p. 275, less probably $\tau u \phi \dot{\prime}$, Harpocr. 175, 16] and the combinations in which ru申óc is used (e.g.
 $\phi$ wau; sim. Demosth. Fals. Leg. p. 409, наìoual кal тєтѝ $\phi \omega \mu a t$; ib. Phil. пII.

 \&c.) seem to show that the idea of a 'beclouded' and 'stupid' state of mind must be associatid with that of pride. Obnubilation, however produced, seems the primary notion ; that produced by pride or vanity (кєvo $\delta 0 \xi^{\circ} \eta^{\prime}$ oas, Coray) the more usual application: so Hesychius, túфos" àajoovéa,
 Abrah. § 24, Vol. I. p. 457 (ed. Mang.),

 'judgment of the devil.' The meaning of these words is somewhat doubtful. As крìa, though never per se anything else than judicium, will still admit of some modification in meaning from the context (comp. Fritz. Rom. ii. 3,

Vol. I. p. 94), $\delta<a \beta o{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{o v}$ may be either (a) gen. subjecti, 'the accusing judgment of the devil' (Matth., Huther); or (b) gen. objecti, 'the judgment passed upon the devil.' In the former case крiца has more the meaning of 'criminatio' (Beza), in the latter of 'condemnatio' (Coray, al.). A.s the gen. סıaßó $\lambda o v$ in the next verse is clearly subjecti, interpr. (a) is certainly very plausible. Still as there is no satisfactory instance of an approach to that meaning in the N. T.,-as крíца seems naturally to point to God (Rom. ii. 2), -as it is elsewhere found only with a gen. objecti (Rom. iii. 8, Rev. xvii. I; xviii. 20 is a peculiar use),-and as the position of $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta<a \beta$. does not seem here to imply so close a union between the substantives as in ver. 7, we decide, with Chrys. and nearly all the ancient interpreters, in favour of (b), or the gen. objecti. Matthies urges against this the excess of Japse which wonld thus be implied; the force of the allusion must however be looked for, not in the extent of the fall, but in the similarity of the circumstances: the devil was once a ministering spirit of God, but by insensate pride fell from his hierarchy; comp. Jude 6, and Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. $\delta \iota \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta$ o 10 s, Vol. i, p. 85 r. On the meaning and use of $\delta \iota \alpha \beta$. see notes on Eph. iv. 27; the translation 'calumniatoris' (Grinf. on ver. 7, al.) is not consistent with its use in the N.T.
7. $8 \pm \mathrm{kal}]$ ' But, instead of being a $\nu \epsilon \delta \phi u \tau 0 s$, one of whose behaviour in his new faith little can be known, he must have a good testimony (not only from those within the Church, but) also from those without.'
 the prep. certainly not implying 'among' (Conyb.), but correctly mark.
 dıaßó入ou.
The deacons must also
be sininiarly irreproach- $\Delta_{\iota} \alpha \kappa o ́ v o u s \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ t \omega s$ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o u ́ s, \mu \grave{\eta}, \delta_{\iota}-8$ able, and of good report; the deaconesses too must be faithful.
ing the source from which the testimony emanates: on the distinction between a $\pi{ }^{\circ} \dot{o}$ and $\pi a \rho a ́$, esp. with verbs of 'receiving,' see Winer, Gr. § 47. a, p. 33r, note. Oi $\begin{aligned} & \xi \xi \omega \theta \in \nu \text { (in other }\end{aligned}$ places ol $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered} \omega$, r Cor. v. 12, I3, Col. iv. 5, 1 Thess. iv. i2), like the Jewish החיצועים, is the regular designation for all not Cbristians, all those who were not oikєioc $\tau$ गेs $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ (Gal. vi. 10); see Kypke, Obs. Vol. if. p. 198, and the Rabbinical citations in Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. (on I Cor. l.c.) Vol. I. p. 600.
 reproach, and (what is sure to follow) the snare of the devil;' the absence of the article betore $\pi a \gamma i \delta a$ being perhaps due to the preposition ; comp. Winer, Gr. § 19. 2, p. ti4. The exact connexion is somewhat doubtful, as the gen. may depend (a) on both, or (b) only on the last of the two substantives. The omission of the prep. before $\pi a \gamma l \delta a$ ( De W.) is an argument in favour of ( $a$ ); the isolated position however of $\dot{o} \epsilon \epsilon \delta$. and the connexion of thought in ch. v. 14,15 , seem to preponderate in favour of (b), bvet $\delta$. being thus absolute, and referring to 'the reproachful comments and judg. ment,' whether of those without (Chrys.) or within the Church. On the termination -( $\sigma$ ) mos (action of the verb proceeding from the subject) and its prevalence in later Greek, see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 5 If; comp. Donalds. Cratyl. §253, p. 420 . The expression
 devil;' appy. gen. originis, contrast ver. 6), occurs again 2 Tim. ii. 26 ; so similarly I Tim. vi. g. It is here added to $\delta \nu \epsilon \epsilon \delta$., not epexegetically (rò
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \delta \iota a \beta$. Theoph.), but rather as marking the temptations that will be sure to follow the loss of character ; 'quid spei restat ubi nullus est peccandi pudor?' Calv.
8. $\Delta$ takóvovs ' Deacons;' only used again by St Paul in this special sense Phil. i. I, and (fem.) Rom. xvi. I, though appy. alluded to Rom. xii. 7, ${ }_{1}$ Cor. xii. 28 (dं $\mu \tau \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon t s$ ), and perhaps ı Pet. iv. ir. The office of $\delta$ idкоро $^{2}$ ( $\delta \iota \eta$ 'ŋк $\omega$ Buttm. Lexil. § 40), originally that of an almoner of the Church (Acts vi. I sq.), gradually developed into that of an assistant ( I Cor. l.c.) and subordinate to the presbyters (Rothe, Anfänge, § $23, \mathrm{p} .166 \mathrm{sq}$.) : their fundamental employment however still remained to them; hence the appropriateness of the caution, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ail $\chi \rho 0$ $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon i \hat{s}$, Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 34 sq . (Bohn). On the duties of the office, see esp. Bingham, Antiq. Book II. 20. I sq., Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. I. p. 869 sq., and Thomassin, Discipl. Eccl. Part I. 2. 29 sq.
ஸ்aúrws] 'in like manner,' as the foregoing class included in the $\tau \dot{o} v$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o \nu$, ver. 2: it was not to be wis Ė $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ (Arist. Elench. Soph. 7) in any of the necessary qualifications for the office of a deacon, but $\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega s$ as in the case of the bishops. It need scarcely be added that the $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ elvac of the preceding verses must be supplied in the present member.
סı入óyovs] 'double tongued,' Auth., 'speaking doubly,' Syr.: äжaछ $\lambda е \gamma o ́ \mu$.; mentioned in Poll. Onomast. II. 118. The meaning is rightly given by Theod.



rovecs. Grinfield (Schol. Hell.) compares $\delta i \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o s$, Prov. xi. ${ }_{2}$, Barnab. Epist. 19 (Hefele): add $\delta \subset \chi o ́ \mu \nu \theta$ os Eurip. Orest. 890.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ Х $\chi$ оитаs] 'giving (themselves) up to;' $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \chi e l \nu$ thus used is more commonly found with abstract nouns, e.g. avar $\nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, ch. iv. 13, $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma v ́ v \eta, ~ J o b ~ x x v i i . ~ 6 . ~$ Here however otvos modùs (and so
 comp. Aá入a $\alpha \sigma a$, Plut. Thes. 17) approaches somewhat to the nature of an abstract noun. This verb is only used by St Paul in the Pastoral Epp.; comp. however Acts xx. 28.
alбхрокєрбєis] 'greedy of base gains;' only here and Tit. i. 7. The adverb occurs I Pet. v. 2. As in all these cases the term is in connexion with an office in the Church, it seems most natural (with Huther) to refer it, not to gains from unclean (comp. Syr.) or disgraceful actions (Theod.), but to dishonesty with the alms of the Church, or any abuse of a spiritual office for purposes of gain ; comp. Tit. i. 1 r.
9. 'XXovtas] 'haviny,' or (in the common ethical sense, Crabb, Synon. p. 252, ed. 1826) 'holding,' Auth. ' behaltend,' De Wette: not for кат $\epsilon$ रovtas, Grot., a meaning more strong than the context requires and the use of the simple form will justify; see notes on ch. i. 19. The emphasis falls on $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \kappa a \theta$. $\sigma v \nu \in \delta .$, not on the participle. rò $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau}$. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}]$ 'the mystery of the faith.' Owing to the different slades of meaning which $\mu v \sigma \tau$ ทipoov bears, the genitive in connexion with it does not always admit the same explanation; see notes on Eph. i 9, iii. 4, vi. 19. Here $\pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega$ s is appy. a pure possessice gen.; it was not merely that about which the $\mu v \sigma \pi$. turned (gen. objecti, Eph. i. 9), nor the
subject of it (gen. of content; this would tend to give $\pi i \sigma \tau \tau s$ an objective meaning, comp. exx. in Bernhardy, Synt. III. 44, p. 16I), nor exactly the substance of the $\mu \nu \sigma \tau$. (gen. materic, Eph. ǐi. 4), but rather that to which the $\mu v \sigma \tau$ inpoo appertained: the truth, hitherto not comprehensible, but now revealed to man, was the property, object, of faith, that on which faith exercised itself. So very similarly ver. 16 , $\tau \grave{o} \mu \nu \sigma \tau$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s$, 'the mystery which belonged to, was the object contemplated by, godliness ; the hidden truth which was the basis of -all practical piety:' see Tittmann, Synon. i. p. 147, and comp. Reuss, Théol. זv. 9, Vol. it. p. 89. Híotıs is faith considered subjectively; not objective faith ('doctrina fidei'), a very doubtful meaning in the N.T.: see notes on Gal. i. 24. On the meaning of $\mu v \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho t o v$, see Sanderson, Serm. 9 (ad Aul.), Vol. I. p. 227 (Jacohs.), and the notes on Eph. v. 32 .
 the 'ratio habendi,' and in close connexion with the participle : the $\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho a$ ouvel $\delta$. was to be, as it were, the ensphering principle, see 2 Tim. i. I3. On $\sigma v y \in i \delta$. see notes on ch. i. 5 .
19. kal ov̂rot 86] 'And these also,' 'and these moreover;' comp. 2 Tim.
 These words (appy. not clearly understood by Huther) admit only of one explanation. In the formula кal... $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ like the Latin 'et...vero,' or the 'et ...autem' of Plautus (see Hand, Tursell. Vol. I. p. 588), while each particle retains its proper force, both together often have 'notionis quandam consociationem;' see Klotz, Detar. Vol. in. p. 645 . Thus while kai connects or enhances, and $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ contrasts, the union
of the two frequently causes $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ to revert from its more marked to its primary and less marked oppositive force, 'in the second place' (comp. Donalds. Cratyl. § 155), so that the whole formula has more of an adjunctive character, and only retains enough of a retrospective opposition to define more sharply, expand, or strengthen, the tenor of the preceding words. Speaking roughly we might say, ' каi conjungit, $\delta \epsilon$ intendit;' the true rationale however of the construction is best seen when $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ is found in the preceding clause, e.g. Xen. Cyrop. vii. I. 30, compare Acts iii. 22, 24. The formula then may be translated with sufficient accuracy, 'and...also,' 'and...too,' the translation slightly varying according as the copulative or ascensive force of $\kappa a i$ is most predominant. In Homer каi $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is found united, in subsequent writers one or more words are interpolated; see Hartung, Partik. $\delta \in \in$ 5. 2, 3, Vol. I. p. 181 sq., Lücke on т John i. 3, and comp. Matth. Gr. §6i6. St Paul's use of it is not confined to these Epp. (Huther), for see Rom. xi. 23. It is used indeed by every writer in the N. T. except St James and St Jude, principally by St Luke and St Jobn, the latter of whom always uses it with emphasis; in several instances however (e.g. Luke x. 8, John vi. 5 I ), owing probably to ignorance of its true meaning, MSS. of some weight omit $\delta \varepsilon$.
 not formally, by Timothy or the elderhood (De W. compares Constit. Apost. viII. 4), but generally by the community at large among which they were to minister. The qualifications were principally of a character that could be recognized without any for-
 övres] 'being unaccused,' 'having no charge laid against them,' i.e. provided they are found so; conditional use of the participle (Donalds. Gr. § 505) specifying the limitations and conditions under which they were to undertake the duties of the office; comp. Schmalfeld, Synt. §207. 5. On the distinction between $\alpha^{\dot{j}} \in \dot{\gamma} \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s$ ('qui non accusatus est') and $\alpha, \nu \in \pi i$ $\lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau 0 s$ ('in quo nulla justa cansa sit reprehensionis'), see Tittm. Synon. I. p. 3 I, and comp. Tit. i. 6.
II. үuvaîkas $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ t \omega s]$ 'Women in like manner, when engaged in the same office.' It is somewhat difficult to decide whether, with the Greek commentators and others, we are here to understand by $\gamma v{ }^{2}$ îkas (a) wives of the deacons, Auth., Coray, Huth., and
 Beng.; or (b) deaconesses proper, $\gamma v \nu a \hat{i}-$ $\kappa \in s$ being used rather than סíáкovou (fem.), Roin. xvi. I, to prevent confusion with masc. The other possible interpr. 'wives of deacons and '̇ $\pi i \sigma \kappa$.' (Beza, Wieseler, Chronol. p. 309) does not suit the context, whicb turns only on ठıáкovoc; obs. ver. 12. Huther defends ( $a$ ) on the ground that in one part of the deacon's office (care of sick and destitute) their wives migl.t be fittingly associated with them. This is plausible; when however we observe the difference of class to which $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ \tau \omega s$ seems to point (ver. 8, ch. ii. 9, Tit. ii. 3, 6), -the omission of aú $\hat{\omega} v$, , the order and parallelism of qualifications in ver. 8 and in, coupled with the suitable change of je入órous to $\delta \iota \alpha \beta$ ó $\lambda o v s$, and the substitution of $\pi \omega \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \omega \nu$ for the more specific al $\sigma \chi \rho \circ \kappa$. (deaconesses were probably almoners, Coteler, Const. Apost. III. I5, but in a much less degree), - the
$\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ̛ \tau \omega s, \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ́ s, \mu \grave{\eta}$ dıaßỏ̀ous，$\nu \eta \phi a \lambda i o u s, \pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \nu$




absence of any notice of the wives of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi o t$ ，－and lastly the omission of any special notice of domestic duties， though it follows（ver．12）in the case of the men，we can scarcely avoid deciding，with Chrys．，most ancient and several modern expositors（Wies， Alf．，Wordsw．，al．），that（b）＇diaco－ nissce＇are bere alluded to．On the duties of the office，see Bingham， Antiq．II．22， 8 sq．，Suicer，Thesaur． s．v．Vol i．p．864，Herzog，Real－En－ cycl．s．v．Vol．ini．p．368，the special treatise of Ziegler，de Diacon．et Diaconiss．Witeb． 1678 ，and the good article in the Quarterly Review for Oct．I 860.

סıaßó入ovs］
＇slanderous，＇＇traducers，＇ката入а’лиs， Theoph．；only in the Past．Epp．： twice in reference to women，here and Tit．ii． 3 ；once in ref．to men， 2 Tim． iii．3．See the useful article on the word in Suicer，Thesaur．Vol．I．p． 848 sq．$\quad \boldsymbol{\eta} \phi a \lambda$ lovs к．т．ג．］ ＇sober，faithful in all things．＇The evident parallelism between the quali－ fications in ver． 8 ，and the present， seems to imply that $\nu \eta \phi \dot{d}^{\prime} \lambda$ os has its literal meaning；see motes on ver． 2. The last qualification，$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ ， is stated very generally；it of course does not preclude a ref．to domestic calls and cares（see Huther），but it certainly seems far more applicable to ecclesiastical duties．

12．Suákovou к．т．入．］Exactly the same qualifications in respect of their domestic relations required in the $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{-}$ кovor as in the étiokotos：see notes on ver． 2.

13．$\gamma^{\alpha}$ p］The importance of the
office is a sufficient warrant for the reasonableness of the preceding requi－ sitions．
$\beta a \theta \mu$ òv．．．ка入óv］ ＇a good degree，＇Auth．，Arm．Ba $\theta$－ $\mu \delta \delta$ ，a ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$ ．in N．T．（not an Ionic form of $\beta a \sigma \mu$ ós，Mack，but the very reverse：comp．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu$ ós，$\dot{a} \rho \theta \mu o ́ s$ ， and Donalds．Cratyl．§ 253），has re－ ceived three differcnt explanations； either（a）＇an（ecclesiastical）step，＇in reference to an advance to a higher spiritual office，Ath．，Jer．，and appy． Chrys．，al．；（b）＇a post，＇in reference to the honourable position a deacon occupied in the Church，Matth．，Hu－ ther；（c）＇$a$ degree，＇in reference to the judgment of God，and to their reward $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovtc $\beta i \varphi$ ，Theod．， De Wette，al Of tbese（ $a$ ）appears to be on exegetical grounds clearly untenable（opp．to Wordsw．）；for surely such a ground of encourage－ ment as ecclesiastical promotion（were this even historically demonstrable， which is appy．not the case in the first two centuries）seems strangely out of place in St Paul＇s mouth，and pre－ serves no harmony with the subse－ quent words．Against（b）the aor． $\delta_{\text {cakoy }}$ is not fairly conclusive，as it may admit a reference not necessarily to a remote，but to an immediate past； the $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o i \eta \sigma / s$ of a good position would naturally ensue after some dis－ charge of the $\delta$ uukovia．The associated clause however，and the use of the term $\pi a \dot{\beta} \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma l a$ ，especially with its
 seem so little in harmony with this ecclesiastical reference，while on the other hand they point so very natu－

I write this to guard thy conduct in the church of the living God; verily great is the mystery of godliness.


rally to the position of the Christian with respect to God (see notes on Eph. iii. 12, and comp. Heb. iv. 16, I John ii. 28, iii. 2r), and derive so very plausible a support from the appy. parallel passage, ch. vi. 19, that we decide somewhat unhesitatingly in favour of (c), and refer $\beta a \theta \mu \partial s$ to the step or degree which a faithful discharge of the $\delta$ ıaкovia would gain in the eyes of God.
غavtoîs... $\pi$ ерıாoเov̂vtal] 'acquire, ob. tain for themselves,'-only here and Acts xx. 28 (a speech of St Paul's); compare also I Thess. v. g, $\pi \in \rho / \pi$ oinou $\sigma \omega r \eta p i a s$, which seems indirectly to yield considerable support to the foregoing interpretation of $\beta \alpha \theta \mu \delta \nu$. For exx. of the reflexive pronoun with middle verbs, see Winer, Gr. § 38.6, p. 230. The insertion here perhaps makes the personal reference a little more certain aud definite: the duties of the deacon had commonly reference to others.
mapṕnб[av]
'boldness,' 'fiduciam,' Vulg., Clarom.; properly 'openness' of (Mark viii. 32, al., and frequently in St Johu) or 'boldness of speech' (Acts iv. I3), and thence derivatively that 'confidence and boldness of spirit' ( $\alpha \delta \epsilon c a$, Suidas) with which the believer is permitted and encouraged (Heb. iv. 16) to approach his heavenly Father; I John ii. 28 , iii. 2 I , dcc. The use of $\pi a \beta \beta$. in reference to the final reward is clearly evinced in I John iv. 17. Huther urges that this derivative meaning always arises from, and is marked by, its concomitants, $\pi$ foòs tò̀ $\theta \epsilon b \nu$, I John iii, 2I, dec. Here ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ miorei к.т. $\lambda$. does seem such an adjunct; at any rate 2 Cor. vii. 4 (adduced by Huther), where there is no similar addition, cannot plausibly be
compared with the present case: see De Wette in loc, whose note on this passage is full and explicit.
Iv $\pi$ Lortet k. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'in faith which is in Christ J.' By the insertion of the article (comp. ch. i. 14, 2 Tim. i. 13 , iii. 15, al.) two moments of thought are expressed, the latter of which explains and enhances the former: 'in fide ( $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ was the foundation, substratum, of the $\pi a \delta \rho$.), edque in Chr. Jes. collocatâ;' see Fritz. Rom. iii. 25, Vol. 1. p. 195. The article is not uncommonly omitted (Gal. iii. 26, Eph. i. 15, Col. i. 4) on the principle explained in notes on Eph. i. 15. On the meaning of $\pi i \sigma \pi / s \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, comp. notes on ch. i. 16 .
14. Taüta] 'These things;' not 'totan epistolam,' Beng., but more probably 'these foregoing brief directions,' Hamm. If St Paul had here adopted the epistolary aorist (comp. notes on Gal. vi. i1), the latter reference would have been nearly certain. The use of the present leaves it more doubtful, and bids us look to the context; this (comp. ver. 15) certainly seems to limit raûra to 'superiora illa de Episcoporum Diaconorumque officiis,' Goth. ap. Pol. Syn. On the uses of $\gamma \rho d \phi \omega$ and ${ }^{7} \gamma \rho a \psi a$, see Winer, Gr. $\mathrm{S}_{40 .}$ 5, p. 249 . $\quad \lambda \pi(\zeta \omega \nu]$ 'hoping,' or more definitely, 'though I hope,' the part. having its concessive force; see Donalds. Gr. $\S 62 \mathrm{I}$. The actual reason of his writing is implied in the following verse, tעa $\epsilon l \delta \bar{\eta} s$ к.т. $\lambda$. ráx.ov] 'more quickly;' not, on the one hand, 'compar. absoluti loco positum' (Beza; ráxıota, Coray), nor, on the other, with marked compar. force, 'sooner than thou wilt need these instructions' (Winer, Gr. §35. 4, p. 217), but probably with a more suppressed


compar．reference，＇sooner than these instructions presuppose，＇＇sooner than I anticipate．＇Such comparatives often refer to the suppressed feelings of the subject ；comp．Theano，ad Eubul．p． 86
 $\kappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota$ ．The reading $\epsilon^{\ell} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi \epsilon \iota(L a c h m .$, with $\mathrm{ACD}^{1}$ ）seems only an explana－ tury gloss．

15．Bpaס́v́vต］＇I should tarry；＇ only here and 2 Pet．iii． 9 ．Wieseler （Chronol．p．315）refers this to the possibility of the Apostle＇s journey， perhaps to Crete（p．347），or to some place he had not included in lis origi－ nal plan．This tacitly involves the supposition that the Epistle was writ－ ten in the period included in the Acts，－which however（see notes on ch．i．3）does not seem probable．
 being anarthrous either owing to the prep．（Winer，Gr．§ 19．2，p．114）or the anarthrous gen．which follows； comp．Middleton，Gr．Art．in．3． 6. This appellation，derived from the Old Test．，where it denotes primarily the temple（2 Chron．v．14，Ezra v．16， al．，comp．Matth．xxi．13）and secund－ arily the covenant－people（Numb．xii． 7 ，Hosea viii．1），those among whom God specially dwelt，is suitably ap－ plied in the N．T．to the Church，－ tither viewed as the spiritual building which rasts on Christ as the corner－ stone（Eph．ii．20），or as the true temple in which Christ is the true －High Priest（IIeb．iii．6， 1 Pet．iv． $1_{7}$ ）；see Ebrard，Dogmatik，§468， Vol．II．p． $395 . \quad$ dvaotpes－ фeroal］＇walk，have（thy）conversation in．＇It is doubtful whether this verb is to be taken（a）absolutely，＇how men ought to walk，＇Peile，Huther， al．；or（b）specially，with reference to

Timothy，＇how thou oughtest to walk，＇ Auth．，De W．，al．Huther urges against（b）that in what precedes Timothy has no active course assigned to him，but rather the supervision of it in others；as however a $\dot{v} a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi$ ．is a＇vox media＇which dies nut mark mere activicies，but rather conduct and deportment in its most inclusive reference（comp．Eph．ii．3，where it clos ly follows the Hebraistic $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a-$ $\tau \epsilon(\nu)$ ，一as the explicative clause $\eta \ddot{\eta} \tau / s$ $\dot{\epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu}$ к．т．入．seems intended to impress on Timothy the greatness of his olкo－ vopia，－and as the expansion of otx． $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ from the special church over which Timothy presided to the general idea of the universal Church iuvolves no real difficulty（see De W．），it seems best to adopt（b）and limit ávacto．to Timothy：so rightly Vulg．，Clarom． ท̈rts］＇which indeed；＇explanatory use of the indef．relative：compare notes on Gal．iv．24，where the uses of $8 \sigma \tau 15$ are explained at length．
 of the living God；＇ful．er definition of the otros $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ，on the side of its in－ ternal and spiritual glory：it was no material fane（＇opponitur fano Dianæ，＇ Beng．）of false dead deities，but a living and spiritual community，a life strean（see Oish．on Matth．xvi．18） of believers in an ever－living God． ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{\kappa \kappa \lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{a}$ appears to have two mean－ ings，according to the context and the point of view in which it is regarded． On the one hand，in accordance with its simple etynological sense（Acts xix． 39），it denotes a Christian congrega－ tion（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \tau \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \sigma \dot{\nu} \lambda \lambda o \gamma o \nu$ ，Theod．－ Mops．），with a local reference of greater or less amplitude；see exx．in Pearson，Creed，Art．Ix．Vol．I．p． 397 （ed．Burton）：on the other，it involves

#  

16. 8s] So Tisch., Lachm., Tregelles, Alf., Words., and appy. the majority of modern critics. Otds (Rec.) is adopted by Mill, Matth., Scholz, some commentators, Leo, Mack, Burton, Peile, al., and, it ought not to be suppressed, some of our best English divines, Bull, Waterland (Vol. II. p. 158). The state of evidence is briefly as follows. (1) " Os is read with $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ [indisputably: after minute personal inspection; see note, p. 104] $\mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{1}}$ [Tisch. Prol. Cod. Ephr. §7, p. 39] FGN (see below) ; 17. 73. 181; Syr.-Phil., Copt., Sah., Goth.; also (os or ठ) Syr., Ar. (Erp.), 不th., Arm.; Cyr., Theod.-Mops., Epiph., Gelas., Hieron. in Esaiam liii. I 1 . (2) $\delta$ with $D^{1}$; Clarom., Vulg.; nearly all Latin Ff. (3) 0eòs with $D^{3} \mathrm{KL}$; nearly all mss.; Arab. (Polygl.), Slav.; Did., Chrys. (? see Tregelles, p. 227 note), Theod., Euthal., Damasc.,
the meaning and adaptation of קהד in the O.T., and denotes the NewCovenant people of God, with spiritual reference to their sacramental union in Christ and communion with one another; see esp. Bp. Taylor, Dissuasive, Part II. I. I, Ebrard, Dogmatik, $\S 467$, Vol. II. p. 392, and the various usages cited by Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. I. p. ro49 sq. $\quad \sigma$ тüגos к.т. $\mathrm{\lambda}$.] 'pillar and basis of the truth;' no 8 סià ovoî̀ ( = 'firmly-grounded,' Beng., Peile), but a climactic apposition to
 direct allusion to nascent and developing heresies (see ch. iv. I sq.), the true note, office, and vocation of

 $\theta \epsilon i a s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} v \sigma \dot{v} \sigma \tau a \sigma L \nu$ è रoúr $\eta s$, Theodorus. Were there no Church, there would be no witness, no guardian of archives, no basis, nothing whereon acknowledged truth could rest. Chrysostom adopts the riglt conuexion, but inverts the statement, $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$
 appy, the obvious distinction between truth in the abstract, and truth, the saving truth of the Gospel, as revealed to and acknowledged by men; comp. Taylor, Dissuasive, Part 1r. 1. 1. 3. Such seems to be the only natural construction of the clause. A close con-
nexion with what follows ( $\kappa a l$ д̀ $\mu 0 \lambda$.), as has been advocated by Episcopius (Inst. Theol. Iv. I. 8, Vol. 1. p. 241) and others (it is to be feared mainly from polemical reasons), is alike abrupt (there being no connecting particles), illogical (a strong substantival being united with a weak adjectival predication), and hopelessly artificial : see De Wette in loc. It may le added
 comp. $\theta \in \mu \epsilon$ лcos, 2 Tim. ii. 19) do not appy. involve any architectural allusion to heathen temples, dec. (Deyling, Obs. Art. 66, Vol. I. p. $3{ }^{17}$ ), but are only simple metaphorical expressions of the stability and permanence of the support: see the copious illustrations of this passage in Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 1042-1066.
 ' And confessedly, or indisputably, great (i. e. deep, Eph. v. 32) is the mystery,
 num] Syr.; 'nemo (scil. of those to whom this $\mu \nu \sigma \tau$. is revealed) cui mica sanæ mentis inest de eâ re potest controversiam movere,' Altmann, Melet. 10, Vol. 11. p. 268. The kal is not simply copulative, but heightens the force of the predication, 'yes, confessedly great,' \&c.; comp. Hartung, Partik. кal, 5. 4, Vol. I. p. 145.

## 

Theoph., ©Ecum.,-Ignat. Eph. 19 (but very doubtful). A hand of the 12 th cent. has prefixed $\theta \in$ to os the reading of $\mathcal{N}$; see Tisch. ed. maj. Plate xvin, or Scriv. Collation of $\mathbb{K}$, facsim. (13).

On reviewing this evidence, as not only the most important Uncial MSS., but all the Vv. older than the 7th century are distinctly in favour of a relative, -as ${ }^{*}$ *seems only a Latinizing variation of $\delta s$,-and lastly, as os is the more difficult, though really the more intelligible reading (Hofmann, Schrifib. Vol. I. p. 143), and on every reason more likely to have been changed into $\theta$ eds (Macedonins is actually said to have been expelled for making the change, Liber Diac. Brev. cap. 19) than vice versd, we unhesitatingly decide in favour of 8 s . For further information on this subject, see Griesbach, Symb. Crit. Vol. I. p. 8-54. Tregelles, Printed Text of N.T. p. 227, Davidson, Bibl. Criticism, ch. 66, p. 828.

Several exx. of a similar use of $\dot{\dot{j}} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. are cited by Wetstein and Raphel in loc.; add Joseph. Ant. I. 10. 2, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\delta \epsilon}$
 'Eßpaicv ápıбтos; see also Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 479, and Altmann, loc. cit., where there is a discussion of some merit on the whole verse.
 mystery of godliness;' 'ipsa doctrina ad quam omnis pietas sive religio Christiana referenda est,' Tittmann, Synon. 1. p. 147: see notes on ver. 9 , where the gen. is investigated.
 fested in the flesh.' The construction cannot be either satisfactorily or grammatically explained unless we agree to abide by the plain and proper meaning of the relative. Thus then $\delta \mathrm{s}$ is not emphatic, ' He who' (Tregelles, Pr. Text, p. 278), nor 'including in itself both the demonstrative and relative' (Davidson, Bibl. Crit. p. 846,-a very doubtful assertion ; comp. Day, Doctr. of the Relative, § I. p. 3 ; § 60, 6r. p. 98),-nor absolute, 'ecce! est qui' (Matthies: John i. 46, iii. 34, Rom. ii. 23, I Cor. vii. 37, I John i. 3, are irrelevant, being only exx. of an ellipsis of the demonstr.),-nor, by a 'constructio ad sensum,' the relative to $\mu v \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o \nu$, Olsh. (Col. i. 26, 27 is no parallel,
being only a common case of attraction, Winer, Gr. § 24. 3, p. 150),but in a relative to an omitted though easily recognised antecedent, viz. Christ; so De Wette, and appy. Alf. (whose note however is not perfectly clear). To refer it to the preceding $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{0}$ (Wordsw., inferentially) seems very forced, especially after the intervention of the emphatic words $\sigma \tau \hat{v} \lambda o s$ к.r.入. It may be remarked that the rhythmical as well as antithetical character of the clauses (see the not improbable arrangenient in Mack, and comp. notes to Transl.), and the known existence of such compositions (Eph. v. 19; compare Bull, Fid. Nic. II. 3. 1), render it not by any means improbable that the words are quated from some well known hymn, or possibly from some familiar confession of faith; comp. Winer, Gr. § 64. 3, p. $5{ }^{19}$, and see Rambach, Anthologie, Vol. 1. p. 33, where Eph. v. $I_{4}$ is also ascribed to the same source; so also Huth. and Wiesinger.
'ффаvєр $\left.{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}\right]$ 'was manifested;' comp. I John i. 2, $\dot{\eta}$ j $\omega \bar{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$; iii. 5.
 as Huther well suggests, there is a powerful argument for the pre-existence of Christ.

## 

 (was shown to be, evinced to be just,
##  $\kappa o ́ \sigma \mu \varphi, \dot{a} \nu \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \phi \theta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta o ́ \xi \eta$.

Matth. xi. 19, Luke vii. 35) in spirit' (in the higher sphere of His divine life). There is some little difficulty in these words, especially in $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota$. The meaning however seems fixed by the antithesis $\sigma$ apki, especially when compared with other passages in which the higher and lower sides of that nature which our Lord was pleased to assume are similarly put in contrast. The $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ of Christ is not here the Holy Spirit (comp. Pears in,
 $\mu \mathrm{s}$, Coray (comp. Chrys., and see Suicer, Thes. Vol. II. p. 777), but the higher principle of spiritual life (Sehubert, Gesch. der Seele, §48, Vol. II. p. 498), which was not itself the Divinity (Wiesing. ; this would be an A pollinarian assertion), but especially and intimately united (uot blended) and associated with it. In this higher spiritual nature, in all its manifestations, whether in His words and works, or in the events of His life, He was shown to be the All-holy, and the Allrighteous, yea, 'manifested with power to be the Son of God,' Rom. i. 4, John i. 14; compare I Pet. iii. 18 (not Rec.), and Middleton, in loc. p. $43^{\circ}$, but esp. the excellent note of Meyer on Rom. l.c. The assertion of some commentators, that the term $\sigma \alpha^{\prime} \rho \xi$ includes the 'body, soul, and spirit' of Christ, is not reconcileable with the principles of biblical psycho$\log y$; the $\sigma d \rho \xi$ may perhaps sometimes include the $\psi v \chi \eta$, but never, in such passages of obvious antithesis, the $\pi \nu \varepsilon \hat{\varepsilon} \mu a$ as well ; see Lücke, on John i. 14. The student of St Paul's Epp. cannot be too earnestly recommended to acquire some rudiments of a most important but neglected subject-biblical Psychology. Much
information of a general kind will be found in Schubert, Gesch. der Seele (ed. 2), and of a more specific nature in Beck, Bibl. Seelenlehre (a small but excellent treatise), Delitzsch, Bibl. Psychol., and Olshausen, Opuscula,
 '[was] seen of angels,' Auth., i. e. 'appeared unto, showed Himself unto, Angels.' Both the use of $\delta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$, (occurring ${ }^{2} 3$ times in the N.T., and nearly always with ref. to the selfexhibition of the subject), and the invariable meaning of a $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ oc in the N.T. (not ' Apostles,' Leo, Peile, al., but 'Angels'), preclude any other translation. The precise epoch referred to cannot however be defined with certainty. The grouping of the clauses (see notes to Transl.), according to which the first two in each division appear to point to earthly relations, the third to heavenly, seems to render it very probable that the general manifestation of Christ to Angels through His incarnation, not, inversely, the specific appearances of them during some scenes of $\mathrm{His}_{\mathrm{i}}$ earthly life (Theoph., comp. Alf.), nor any (assumed) specific manifestation in heaven (De W.),-is here alluded


 so also Theod., $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ràp dópatov $\tau \hat{\eta} s$

 cludes also evil angels; this is possible, but the antithesis of clauses seems opposed to it.
हnıनтєi日ๆ] 'was believed on;' not 'fidem sibi fecit,' Raphek, but 'fides illi habita est,' Beza; comp. 2 Thess. i. 10, and see Winer, Gr. §39. 1, p. 233.

 －，

 shall teach principles of abstinence which are not approved by God．
in glory；＇ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}$ here being used，not simply for $\epsilon$ is（Rosenm．），nor with $\delta o ́ \xi \eta$ as an equivalent of $\epsilon \nu \delta \delta \xi \omega s$（comp． Hamm．），but in a sort of＇pregnans
 （Wahl，Hather）；see Winer，Gr．${ }^{8} 50$. 4，p． 367 sq ．，and comp．Ellendt，Lex． Sophocl．Vol．I．p．598．The event here referred to is simply and plainly the historical ascent of Christ into heaven．No words can be more dis－ tinct；compare $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu \phi \theta \eta$ ，Mark xvi． 19，Acts i．2，II（part．），22；and àve－ $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau 0$ els $\tau \delta \nu$ oúpavón，Luke xxiv． 5 I （Rec．，Lachm．）．For a good sermon on the whole verse see Sanderson， Serm．xx．（ad Aul．），p． 479 sq．（Lond． 1689），and for devotional comments of the highest strain，Bp．Hall，Great Mystery of Godliness，Vul．viil．p． 330 （Oxford，1837）．

Chapter IV．i．T＇d $\mathbf{~ S e ̀ ~ I I v e u ̂ p a ] ~}$ ＇But the（Holy）Spirit；＇contrast to the foregoing in the present and in the future，－the particle $\delta \dot{c}$ here indicating no transition to a new subject（Auth．， Conyb．；comp．notes on Gal．iii．8）， but retaining its usual antithetical force；＇great indeed as is the mystery of godliness，the Holy Spirit has still declared that there shall be disbelief and apostasy：＇$\mu \grave{\eta}$ Өavadions，Chrys． $\dot{\rho} \eta \mathrm{T} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ ］＇distinctly，＇＇expressly＇（фave－
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \not{ }^{2}\langle\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu$ ，Chrys．；＇non obscure ant involute，ut fere loqui solent prophetr，＇ Justiniani），not only in the prophecies of our Lord，Matth．xxiv．II，al．，and the predictions，whether of the Apo． stles（comp．I John ii．18， 2 Pet．iii．3， Jude 18）or of the prophets in the various Christian churches（Neander，

Planting，Vol．I．p． 340 ），but more particularly in the special revelations which the Holy Spirit made to St Paul himself；comp． 2 Thess．ii． 3 sq． v́axtpors xalpoîs］＇latter times．＇This expression，used only in this place，is not perfectly synonymous（Reuss， Théol．Chrét．Vol．1I．p．224）with $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\alpha} \tau a \iota s \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \iota s, 2$ Tim．iii．I， 2 Yet． iii． 3 （nut Rec．），James v． 3 （comp． $\kappa а \iota \rho \hat{\vartheta} \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{a} \tau \varphi$, I Pet．i．5，ध $\sigma \chi a \tau o s$ $\chi \rho o ́ v o s, ~ J u d e ~ 18): ~ t h e ~ l a t t e r ~ e x p r e s s i o n, ~$ as Huther correctly observes，points more specifically to the period imme－ diately preceding the completion of the kingdom of Christ；the former only to a period future to the speaker， －ol áкóخouӨoc रо́óvo九，Coray；see Pear－ son，Minor Works，Vol．II．p．42．In the apostasy of the present the in－ spired Apostle sees the commencement of the fuller apostasy of the future． In this and a few other passages in the N．T．kalpòs appears to be nearly synonymous with $\chi$ fóvos；comp．Lo－ beck，Ajax，p． 85.
$\left.\pi \rho \circ \sigma t \mathrm{X}^{\circ} \mathrm{vt} \mathrm{\epsilon s}\right]$ See notes on ch．i． 4. туєúp．$\pi$ 入ג́vots］＇deceiving spirits；＇ certainly not merely the false teachers themselves（Mack，Coray，al．），－a aneed－ less violation of the prinary meaning of $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, －but，as the antithesis $\tau \delta$ $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ suggests，the deceiving Powers and Principles，the spiritual emissaries of Satan，which work in their hearts； comp．Eph．ii．2，vi． 12 （see notes），I John iv．isq． $\mu \mathrm{ovi} \omega \mathrm{y}$ ］＇ductrines of devils；＇not ＇doctrines about devils，＇Mede，al．， ＇demonolatry，＇Peile（ $\delta a t \mu$ ．being a gen．objecti），but＇doctrines emanating from，taught by，devils＇（gen．subjecti）； see Winer，Gr．§ 30. ェ．obs．，p．168，



and comp. Thorndike, Cow. of Grace, II. 12, Vol. III. p. 195 (A.-C. Libr.). The term $\delta$ aı $\mu o ́ \nu \iota o \nu$, it may be observed, is not here a 'vox media' (comp. Ign. Smyrn. 3), but has its usual N.T.meaning; see Pearson, Minor Works, Vol. II. p. +6. Olshausen significantly remarks on this passage, that man never stands isolated; if he is not influenced by $\tau \boldsymbol{d}$ $\Pi \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ajıov, he at once falls under the power of $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda a \nu \eta s$ ( 1 John iv. 6).
 (through) the hypocrisy of speakers of lies,' Hamm.; prepositional clause appeoded to $\pi \mu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi^{\circ} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, defining the manner (pretended sanctity and orthodoxy) in which тò $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \in \epsilon \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. was brought about and furthered; $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ being instrumental. Leo and Matth. explain the clause as a second modal definition of the fallers away, parallel to $\pi \rho o \sigma e-$ $\chi$ रovтєs к.т. $\lambda$., and more immediately dependent on $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \sigma o \nu \tau a i ; ~ ' h a t h e n t$ in se eam $\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}$ токр., qualis est $\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ о́к $\rho$. $\psi \epsilon u \delta_{0 \lambda ., ' ~ H e i n r ., ~ a n d ~ s o ~ a p p y . ~ A u t h . ~}^{\text {and }}$ This is doubtful; the third clause $\kappa \omega \lambda . \quad \gamma a \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ seems far too direct an act of the false t:achers suitably to find a place in such an indirect definition of the falsely taught. Matth. urges the absence of the art. before $\dot{v} \pi о к \rho i \sigma \epsilon \iota$, but this after the prep. (Huther needlessly pleads N.T. laxity) is perfectly intelligible (Winer, $G r$. § ig. 2, p. II4), even if it be not referable to the principle of correlation; comp. Middleton, Art. iII. 3. 6. Thus then lying teachers will be the mediate, evil spirits the immediate causes of the apostasy.
 branded on their own conscience:' the acc. with the passive verb (comp. ch.
vi. $5, \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \nu 0 \hat{v}, \delta_{c} c$.) correct'y specifies the place in which the action of the verb is principally manifested. The exact application of the metaphor is cloubtful: it may be referred to the $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi a ́ \tau \eta \dot{a} \nu a \lambda \gamma \eta \sigma l a$ after cautery (Theod.), or more probably to the penal brand which their depraved conscience 'bore, as it were, on its brow (Theoph.) ; 'insignitæ nequitiæ viros, et quasi scelerum mancipia,' Justiniani. See the numerous and fairly pertinent exx. cited by Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 298, Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 357. 'I I iay is not without emphasis; they felt the brand they bore, and yet with a show of outward sanctity (comp. ímoкрiбєc) they strove to beguile and to seduce others, and make them as bad as themselves.
3. к $\omega \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ о́vт $\omega \nu$ үapeiv] 'forbidding to marry.' This characteristic, which came afterwards into such special prominence in the more developed Gnosticism (see Clem. Alex. Strom. III. 6, Irenæus, $H$ cer. I. 24, al., ed. Mass.), firet showed itself in the false asceticism of the Essenes (see esp. Joseph. Bell. Jud. 11. 8. z, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \cup \mu \grave{v} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \not \psi i a \pi a \rho$, aútoîs, Antiq. xviil. I. 5, oữє $\gamma$ aرetàs eloá ${ }^{\prime}$ Therapeutæ, and was one of those nascent errors which the inspired apostle furesaw would grow into the impious dogma of later times, 'nubere et generare a Sataná dicunt esse,' Irenæus, l.c.: see Suicer, Thesaur.
 $\left.\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega v\right]$ '(bidding) to abstain from meats;' $\kappa \omega \lambda v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ must be resolved into $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ (see ch. ii. 12), from which $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma$ nust be carried on to the second clause; see Winer, Gr. §66. 2, p. 548. Distinct

##  

notices of this abstinence and severity in respect of food are to be found in the account of the Therapeuta in Philo，Vit．Contempl．§4，Vol．II． p． 477 （ed．Mang．）．When there are thus such clear traces of a morbid and perverted asceticism in the Apo－ stle＇s own day，it is idle in Baur to urge these notices as evidences against the authenticity of the epistle．It may be remarked that the view taken of the errors combated in this and the other Past．Epp．（see notes on ch．i．3）appears to be confirmed by the present passage．St Paul is allud－ ing throughout，not to Judaism proper， but to that false spiritualism and those perverted ascetical tendencies，which emanating from Judaism，and gradu－ ally mingling with similar principles derived from other systems（comp． Col．ii． 8 sq．，and see Reuss，Théol． Chret．Vol．II．pp．645，646），at last， after the Apostolic age，became merged in a fuller and wider Gnos－ ticism；see also Wiesinger in loc．， whose indirect confutation of Baur is satisfactory and convincing．On asce－ ticism generally，and the view taken of it in the N．T．，comp．Rothe，Theol． Ethik，$\S 878 \mathrm{sq}$ ．，Vol．III．p． $\mathrm{I}^{20 \mathrm{sq}}$ ．
á ó $\Theta \in$ ès к．т．入．］＇which God created to be partaken of，＇\＆c．：confutation of the second error．The reason why the former error is left unnoticed has been differently explained．The most probable solution is that the prohibi－ tion of marriage had not as yet assumed so definite a form as the interdiction －of certain kinds of food．The Essenes themselves were divided on this very point；see Joseph．Bell．Jud．Ir． 8. ${ }^{13}$ ，and comp．ib．II．8．2．This per－ haps led to the choice of the modified torm $\kappa \omega \lambda \nu$ ónt $\omega \nu$ ．
$\pi$ rotois］＇for those who believe，＇＇for the faithful，＇Hamm．，Est．The dat． is not the dat．of reference to，Beng． （comp．notes on Gal．i．22），still less for $\dot{\nu} \pi \delta \partial \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$（Bloomf．），but marks the objects for whom the food was created．B $\rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a} a$ were indeed created for all，but it was only in the case of the $\pi$ ratol，after a receiving $\mu \epsilon \tau d \in \dot{d} \chi a \rho$ ．（condition attached），that the true end of creation was fully satisfied．

кal émeүvшкóのเv к．т． ．］＇and who have full knowledge of，＇\＆c．：the omission of the article （Winer，Gr．§ 19．4，p．I 16）shows that the $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o l$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu . \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．constitute a single class，the latter term being little more than explanatory of the former（Estius）．On è $\pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa$ ќтєs
 see notes on Eph．i．17，and Valck． on Luke，p．I4 sq．$\quad$ ôtı Táv к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text {．］＇because every creature of God }}$ is good：＇not explanatory of（Theoph．， Beng．），but giving the reason for the foregoing words；i．e．not what is called an objective（Donalds．Gr．§584）， but a causal sentence．The Apostle has to substantiate his former decla－ ration that meats are intended to be enjoyed with thanksgiving：this he does by the positive declaration（comp．

 supported and enhanced by the nega－ tive sentence，кai oú $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ к． $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$ ．（parallel to $\epsilon i s \mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \lambda . \mu \in \tau a ̀ \dot{a} \dot{d} x$ ．），which again is finally confirmed by the declaration in ver．5．K $\tau / \sigma \mu a$ is only here used by St Paul，his usual expression being krlocs．The argument however of Schleiermacher based upon it is suffici－ ently answered by Planck，who cites several instances，e．g．$\pi \rho о \sigma к о \pi \grave{\eta} 2$ Cor．



thus only once used, when another and more usual synonym might have been expected.
$\boldsymbol{\kappa т}(\sigma \mu a$ Өєь̂] 'creature of God,' 'every creation of His hand designed for food:' $\tau \hat{\psi} \epsilon l \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ $\kappa \tau l \sigma \mu a, \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \omega \delta l \mu \omega \nu \dot{d} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \nu i \xi a t o$, Chrys. The fact of its being His creation is enough; el ктi $\sigma \mu a$ $\Theta \epsilon \circ$, кал $\delta \nu$, ib. ; comp. Ecclus. xxxix. 33, 34 . ámóß $\lambda_{\eta}$ тov] 'to be refused:' expansion of the former statement; not only was everything $\kappa a \lambda \delta v$, whether in its primary ('outwardly pleasing,' $\kappa a \delta-\lambda \delta s$, Donalds. Cratyl. § 324), or secondary and usual acceptation, but further, ' nothing was to be rejected.' It was a maxim even of the heathen that the good gifts of the gods were not to be refused; so Horn. Il. III. 65 ,

 (cited by Kypke). The whole of this verse is well discussed by Bp. Sanderson, Serm. v. (ad Populum) p. ${ }^{2} 33$ sq. (Lond. 1689).

нетà є $\mathfrak{x}$ X. $\lambda a \mu \beta$.] 'if it te received,' \&c.; conditional use of the participle; sea Donalds. Gr. § 505, Krüger, Sprachl. § 56 . Ir, and comp. Winer, Gr. § 45 . 2, p. 307. This clause specially limits the assertion oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \beta \lambda$., and while it shows how the assertion is to be accepted serves also to echo and elucidate the previous limitation, $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ civ. ., in ver. 3. Wiesinger considers $\kappa a \lambda \partial \nu$ as also dependent on $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi$. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta$., and not a positive and independent assertion. This however does not seem satisfactory: for as the previous verse virtually contains two assertions, viz. that $\Theta \in \partial s$ हैктıбєע єis $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda$., and that the $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu \psi / s$ was to be $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \rho \rho$., so the present verse contains two confirmatory clauses, viz. that the food, being God's creation, is
absolutely good (see Sanderson, Serm. v. § 4), and also that if so, $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{d} \mathrm{evx}$. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu \delta \mu$. it is oú火 $\dot{a} \pi \delta \beta \lambda$., or relatively good as well. It is best then to retain the punctuation of Lachm. and Tisch.
5. ayıáfetal Yáp] 'for it is sanctified,' i.e. each time the food is partaken of; present tense corresponding to $\lambda a \mu \beta a v \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$. This verse is confirmatory of ver. 4 , especially of the latter clause; the general and comprehensive assertion, that noth'ng is to be rejected or considered relatively unclean if partaken of with thanksgiving, is substantiated by more nearly defining cúxapıatia and more clearly showing its sanctifying effect. 'Ayıa' $j^{e c v}$ is thus not merely declarative, 'to account as holy,' but effective, 'to make holy,' 'to sanctify.' In some few things (e.g. $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda \delta \theta_{v} \tau a$, Chrys.) the dy $\alpha a \sigma \mu \partial$ might actually be absolute in its character; in others, whether pronounced legally dкá $\dot{\theta} a \rho \tau a$, or accounted so by a false asceticism (e.g. the Essenes avoided wine and fleah on their weekly festival, Philo, Vit. Contempl. § 9, Vol. II. p. 483), the $\dot{a} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu \delta s$ would naturally be relative. Estius and Wiesinger seem to take $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ as comprehensively absolute, and to refer the inpurity of the $\kappa \tau i \sigma \mu a$ to the primal curse; but is this consistent with Matth. xv. II, Rom. xiv. 14, I Cor. x. 25, 26, and can it be proved that the curse on the earth (Gen. iii. ${ }_{17}$, observe esp. the reading of the LXX., $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa a \tau a \dot{\rho} \rho a \tau o s \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} \epsilon \nu$ $\tau$ oîs ${ }^{\text {E }} \rho \gamma \mathrm{ols} \sigma 0 \hat{0}$, and see also Joseph. Ant. I. 1. 4) took the special effect of unhallowing the animal or vegetable creation? If so, would not a law such as that in Lev. xix. 23, 24, which applied to the polluted land of Canaan,




have been of universal application？ The effect of the primal curse is in－ deed most plain and palpable（see Destiny of the Creature，p．to sq．），but it seems doubtful whether it is to be recognised in the special form here alluded to．

入óyov к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text {．］}}$ ＇the word of God and supplication．＇ The regular and unvarying use of $\lambda$＇yos $\theta$ soo in the N．T．wholly pre－ cludes the gen．being taken as objecti， －＇oratio ad Deum facta，＇Wahl．The $\lambda \delta \gamma o s \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ is the word of God as uttered and revealed by Him in the Scriptures，and here，as the close union with $\begin{gathered}\text { z } \\ \text { utev } \\ \xi \\ \text { cs clearly suggests，}\end{gathered}$ must be referred not to any decree of God（Sanders．Serm．v．§ 39），but to the contents of the prayer；the word of God as involvel and enbodied in the terms of the prayer．Thus，as Wiesinger suggests，the idea of $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \alpha-$ pıotia is expressed in the fullest man－ ner；on its objective side as to the contents of prayer，and on its subjec－ tive side（ $\left(\ell \nu \tau \nu \gamma \chi^{d} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\right)$ as to the mode
 notes ch．ii．I，and for an ancient form of grace before meat，ste Alf． in loc．

6．Tav̂тa viтoтเ日．］＇By setting forth，＇scil．＇if thou settest forth， teachest（Syr．），these things：＇oviк єimev $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ，oùк $\epsilon \tau \pi \epsilon \pi \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ímotıt．，Tovtéのтıv，ìs $\sigma v \mu \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ raûta íтotiteco，Chrys．On the con－ struction and more exact transl．of the participle，see notes on ver． 16 ．
The reference of $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ is somewhat doubtful．As ímori $\theta \epsilon \sigma$ Aal（dynamic middle，－i．e．application of the simple meaning of the active to mental and noral forces；sce Krtiger，Sprachl． § 52．8．4，and comp．notes on ch．i．

16）seems clearly to imply not merely ＇in memoriam revocare，＇Auth．，but ＇docere，＇＇instituere，＇whether＇amice et leniter＇（Loesn．；comp．Philo，Vit． Mos．II．$\S 9$ ，Vol．II．p．142，ed．Mang．，

 $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma a l)$ ，or，as in the present case， somewhat more positively and pre－
 （Budæus；comp．Joseph．Rell．Jud．ır．
 exx．in Krebs，Obs．p． 355 sq．），$\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha a$ will most naturally refer to ver． 4,5 ， and to the principles and dissuasive arguments which it involves．See esp．Raphel，Annot．Vol．ir．p．582， who well supports the latter meaning of $\dot{\text { vjotit} \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l . ~} \quad$ SLáкovos］ ＇minister：＇＇thou wilt fitly and pro－ perly discharge thy $\delta$ cakoviav，＇ 2 Tim． iv． 5 ；＇tuo muneri cumulatissime sa－ tisfacies，＇Just．èvepéó－ $\mu \epsilon v o s]$＇being nourished up．＇The present properly and specially marks a continuous and permanent nutrition in＇the words of faith；＇see Winer， $G r . \$ 45.5$, p． 3 II．So，with his usual acuteness，Chrys．，ro $\delta \iota \eta \ni \in \kappa \epsilon s \tau \eta$ tis $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ roaûra $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o \chi \hat{\eta} s \delta \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．Loesner aptly compares，among other exx．（p． 399，400），Philo，Leg．ad Cai．§ 29，Vol． II．p． 574 （ed．Mang．），oúк दोєr $\rho d \phi \eta$ s
 comp．also $\$ 26$ ，Vol．II．p． 57 r ，and see D＇Orville，Chariton，p．37：similar exx．＇of＇innutriri＇are cited in Suicer， Thesaur．s．v．Vol．I．p． 1227. тoîs $\lambda$ óyous $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{i} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}]$＇the words of faith，＇gen．subjecti；＇words，terms， in which，as it were，faith expresses itself，＇Huther．II $\sigma \sigma \tau 1 s$ ，as Beng．sug． gests，involves a reference to Timothy， $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \lambda \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa$ ．a reference to others．



On the meaning of miotis, see notes on Gal. i. 23, and Reuss, Theol. Chrét. Vol. II. p. 127, who however too much gives up the subjective reference which the word always seems to involve. In the following relative clause, if $\eta$ js the reading of Lachm. [ed. min.; only with A, 80] be adopted, it must be regarded as an instance of unusual, though defensible attraction ; see W:ner, Gr. § 24.1, p. 148 .
 followed (as a disciple), hast been a follower of;' 2 Tim. iii. io; perf. in appropriate cunnexion with the pres.
 ut assequaris,' Valck. on Luke i. 3) is frequently used with ethical reference (e.g. $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa o \lambda . ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho d \gamma \mu a \sigma l \nu$, Luke l.c., Demosth. de Coron. p. 285 ; тарак. тoîs $\chi \rho b$ vors, Nicom. ap. Atben. 291) to denote 'tracing diligently out,' 'attending to the course of,' and thence, by an intelligible gradation, 'understanding the drift and meaning' of any facts or subjects presented for consideration; see exx. of this latter meaning in Kypke, Obs. Vol. I. p. 207, and comp. Dissen, on Demosth. l.c. Buth here however, and 2 Tim. iii. 10, the meaning appears to be simply 'followed after,' not merely in the sense of imitating a pattern (De W. on 2 Tim. l.c.), but of attending to a course of instruction, $\dot{\omega} s \mu a \theta \eta r \dot{\eta} s \delta_{i} \delta \alpha-$ $\sigma \kappa a \lambda o \nu$, Coray; the ка入ウ̀ $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a$ was, as it were, a school of which Timothy 'was a disciple;' see Peile in luc. The Syr. 40 ,
[in quâ doctus es] and the Vulg. 'quam assecutus es' (comp. Auth.) express rather too strongly the simple result, and too insufficiently the process by which it was attained.
 with the (current) profare and oldwives' fables having nothing to do.' 'The article (not noticed by the majority of expositors) appears to allude to the well koown character and the general circulation which the $\mu \hat{v} \theta o l$ had obtained. These Jewish fables (Chrys., see notes on ch. i. 4) are designated $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda o c$, 'pröfane' (ch. vi. 20, 2 'Tim. ii. $\mathbf{1 6}$; of persons, I Tim. i. 9, Heb. xii. r6), in tacit antithesis to $\dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta$., as bearing no moral fruit, as lying out of the holy compass, and, as it were, on the wrong side of the $\beta \eta \lambda \grave{\rho}$ of divine truths (comp. Schoettg. in loc.),-and $\gamma \rho a \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon s$ ( $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$.) as involving foolish and absurd statements. Wetst. aptly compares Strabo, I. p. $32 \mathrm{~A}, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\boldsymbol{v} \in$. The assertion of Baur that र $\rho a \dot{\omega} \delta \eta \mathrm{~s}$ points to a $\gamma \rho a i a$, the SophiaAchamuth (comp. Gieseler, Kirchengesch. $\$ 45$ ), is untenable; independently of other considerations, it may be remarked that $\gamma \rho a i ̈ \kappa d s$ (Clem. Alex. Ped. III. 4, p. 270, Pott.) would have been thus more gram natically exact than the present $\gamma \rho a \omega{ }^{\prime} \eta s$ ( $\gamma \rho a 0 \in i \delta \eta s$ ). mapaitov̂] 'decline, have nothing to do with,' $\dot{\pi} \pi$ о́фєv $\boldsymbol{\prime}$, Coray; always similarly used in the second person in the Past. Epp., e.g. ch. v. II and Tit. iii. 10 (persons), 2 Tim. ii. 23 (things). Mapalt. does not occur again in St Paul's Epp.; it is however used three times in Heb. (xii. 19, 25 bis) and four times by St Luke: comp. Joseph. Antiq. III. 8. 8, $\pi$ apaıт $\eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ $\tau \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$. Loesner, Obs. p. $4^{\circ}+4$, gives a copious list of exx. from Philo, the most pertinent of whicb is Alleg. ili. § 48 , Vol. I. p. $1 I_{5}$ (ed. Mang.), where $\pi \rho о \sigma \iota \epsilon \epsilon \mu \in \nu O S$ and $\pi a \rho a \iota \tau о \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 s$ are put in opposition: see also notes on ch. v.



II. Yínvage $\delta 6$ 'and rather exercise; so Auth., correctly marking the $\delta \epsilon$, which serves to present antithetically the positive side of the conduct Timothy is urg do assume. He is first negatively $\boldsymbol{\pi} a \rho a u t e \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a r ~ \mu u ́ \theta o u s, ~$ then positively $\gamma^{\nu \mu \nu a_{j} \epsilon \nu}$ к.т.ג. The special term $\gamma^{\nu \mu \nu i \xi i \epsilon t \nu}$ (Heb. v. 14, xii. 11, 2 Pet. ii. 14) appropriately marks the sircnuous effort which Timo. thy was to make, in contrast with the studied $\alpha_{\sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \iota s}$ of the false teachers.
 'practical, cultive, piety' (see notes on ch. ii. 2), was the end toward which Timothy was to direct his endeavours.
8. yaip confirms the preceding clause by putting $\sigma \omega \mu a r \iota \kappa \eta \gamma^{\nu} \mu \nu a \sigma i a$, the outward and the visible, in contrast with $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma i a \quad \pi \rho o s ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta$., the internal and the unseen.

training, of the body,' Syr. ºn', $_{\circ}^{\circ}$, lingén [exercitatio corporis]. The exact meaning of these words is somewhat doubtful. Гunvacia nay be referred, either (a) to the mere physical training of the body, gymnastic exercises proper, De W., Huth., and, as might be expected, Justin., Est., Mack, al.; or (b) to the ascetic training of the body ( 1 Cor. ix. 27) in its most general aspect ( $\dot{\eta} \alpha_{\kappa \rho \alpha} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho a-$ $\gamma \omega \gamma^{i \alpha}$ тoû $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu$., Coray), with reference to the theosophistic discipline of the false teachers, Thomas Aq., Matth., Wiesing., al. Of these (a) is not to be summarily rejected, as it was maintained by Chrys., Theoph. (though on mistaken grounds), Theod., Ecum, and has been defended with
some ingenuity by De Wette: see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I. p. 804 . As however $\gamma \lim _{\mu} a \sigma i a$ is not uncommonly used in less special references (e.g. Aristot. Top. viIt. 5, Polyb. Hist. 1. I. 2), -as $\gamma \dot{\prime} \mu \nu a \zeta \epsilon($ ver. 7) prepares us for this modification,- as the context seems to require a contrast between external observances and inward holiness, -and, lastly, as ascetic practices formed so very distinctive a feature of that current Jewish Theosophy (Joseph. Bell. Jud. II. 8. 2 sq., Philo, Vit. Contempl. 84 sq .) which in this chapter appears so distinctly alluded to, it seems impossible to avoid deciding in favour of the latter interp. ; so Beveridge, Serm. ci. Vol. Iv. p. 408 (A.C. Libr.), Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 340 (Bohn), and appy. the majority of modern expositors. If it be urged that $\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \gamma \nu \mu \nu$. (in this sense) was unrestrictedly condemned in ver. 2,3 , and could never be styled even $\pi \rho \delta{ }^{2}$ $\delta \lambda / \gamma o \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \mu_{0} s$, it seems enough to say that there the Apostle is speaking of its morbid developments in the $\ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o t$ кalpol, here of the more innocent though comparatively profitless asceticism of the present.
т $\rho$ ds ojiyov taken per se may either refer to the duration (Syr., Theod.; comp. James iv. I4) of the $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a$, or the extent to which it may be applied (Huther, De Wette). The context however, and the antithesis $\pi \rho o \dot{s} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \alpha$, seem to be decidedly in favour of the latter, and to limit the meaning to 'a little' ('admodicum,' Vulg.)-'the few objects, ends, or circumstances in life,' toward which (rpos òizov, not $\delta \lambda i \gamma \varphi$ or $\epsilon \nu \delta \lambda i \gamma \varphi)$ bodily training and asceticism can be profitably directed.


10. кот $\uparrow \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ] In ed. 1, 2, and Tisch., кal is prefixed, with FGKL; many mss.; Cbrys., Theod., Theoph., Ecum. (Rec.). It is omitted by ACDN; Clarom., Aug., al.; Cyr., Chrys., Dam., al. (Lachm.), and perhaps rightly, the addition of $\mathfrak{N}$ being appy. just sufficient to turn the scale.
'Xovala] 'as it has,' 'since it has;' causal use of the particle (comp. Donalds. Gr. § 655 sq .) in confirmation of the preceding assertion. On the practical application of this clause, see Barrow, Serm. in. ini. Vol. I. p. 23 日q. (Oxf. 1830). दो yellav...\}ovis] 'promise of life.' The genitival relation is not perfectly clear. If it be the gen. of identily or apposition (comp. Scheuerl. Synt. § i2. i, p. 82), $\zeta \omega \boldsymbol{\eta}$, the import or rather object of the promise, would seem at first sight to involve two applications, quantitative ('long life,' Eph. vi. 3, De W.) when in connexion with $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ $\nu u ̄ v$, qualitative ('holy, blessed life') when in connexion with $\tau \hat{y_{s} s} \mu \in \lambda \lambda o v$ $\sigma \eta$ s. If again it be the gen. of reference to (Huth., comp. Alf.), or of the point of view (Scheuerl. Synt. § 18. I, ก. 129 sq .), $\zeta \omega \eta$ retains its general meaning ('vital existence,' de.), but ใ $\pi$ aryє入ia becomes indefinite, and moreover is in a connexion with its dependent genitive not supported by any other passage in the N.T. This last objection is so grave that it seems preferable to adopt the first form of gen., but in both members to give $\zeta \omega$ خे its higher and more definitely scriptural sense, and to regard it as involving the idea, not of mere length, or of mere material blessings (contrast Mark x. $30, \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$, but of spiritual happiness ( $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \mu \mu \nu i a$, Coray) and holiness; in a word, as expressing 'the highest blessedness of the creature:' see Trench, Synon. § 27 , whose philology however, in connecting $\zeta<\dot{\eta}$ with
${ }_{\alpha} \omega$, is here doubtful ; it is rather connected with Lat. 'vivere' (Sanscr. jiv); see esp. Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 265, Donalds. Cratyl. § ifi, Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. L. p. 684. There is a good treatise on $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ in Olsh. Opusc. p. 187 sq . Tîs vîv к.т. $\lambda$.] The two independent parts into which the life promised to $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \in \beta \epsilon \iota a$ is divided, life in this world, and in that which is to come: the promises of the Old covenant are involved and incorporated in the New (Taylor, Life of Christ, III. 13, Disc. 15. 15), and enhanced by it. On the use of the art., which thus serves to mark each part as separate, comp. Winer, Gr. § r9. 5, p. 117.
9. $\pi$ ưTd̀s ó $\lambda$ óyos к.т. $\lambda$.] See notes on ch i. 15 ; here the formula is confirmatory of what immediately pre-
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ каil $\epsilon l s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$. $\zeta \omega \grave{\eta} \nu$
 [modern Greek]. The particle $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$, ver. 10 , obviously precludes any reference to what follows (opp. to Conyb.) ; comp. nutes on ch. iii. I.
10. єls tov̂to yóp] 'For looking to this' (Col. i. 29, comp. Donalds. Cratyl. § $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ ), 'in reference to this,' viz the realization of the promise in our own

 $\pi{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ àvti $\delta o \sigma t s$; Theod. The reference of cis rốto (by no means synonymous with $\delta$ à $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau 0$, Grot.) to the following $\quad$ ö $\iota$, - 'therefore we both labour...because,' Auth. (comp. Theoph., Beza, al.), has been recently

#  $\theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. 

defended by Wiesinger; but surely this interrupts the causal connexion ( $\gamma \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{d}} \rho$ ) with ver. 8 , and its confirmatory sequel ver. 9. It is not necessary to restrict $\tau 0 \hat{0} \tau 0$ to $\overline{\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma e \lambda \text {. ऽ } \zeta \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s}$ $\mu \in \lambda \lambda o \delta ́ \sigma \eta s$ (Wiesing.), for although this would naturally form the chief end of the $\kappa 0 \pi i a \hat{a}$ and $\delta \nu \epsilon \delta \delta i\} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, still $\zeta \omega \eta{ }^{\prime}$ (in its extended sense) $\dot{\eta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ might also suitably form its object, as being a kind of pledge and ápóaßओ̀
 к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] 'we labour and are the objects }}$ of reproach;' not merely St Paul alone (Col. i. 29), or St Paul and Timothy, but the Apostles in general (I Cor. iv. 12), and all Christian missionaries and tcachers. Kontá $\omega$ is frequently used in reference to both apostolic and ministerial labours (Rom. xvi. I2, y Cor. xv. Io, Gal. iv. if, al.), with allusion, as the derivation [кол-, ко́тт $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, -not Sanscr. kap, Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. I. p. 268] suggests, to the toil and suffering which accompanied them. The reading is not perfectly certain: $\delta \nu \epsilon t \delta$. is replaced by $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \zeta \dot{\zeta} \mu \epsilon \theta a\left(\right.$ Lachm.) in ACFGKN ${ }^{1}$; it is however adopted appy. only by one Version, Syr.-Phil., and is sus. picious as being easier, and as having possibly originated from Col. i. 29. If каі кот. (Rcc.) be adopted (see critical note) the kai has an emphasis which, it must be said, seems peculiarly appropriate, comp. i Cor. iv. II ; not only, 'toil and shame' (кai) nor 'where toil, there shame' ( $\tau \epsilon$. кal), but 'as well the one as the other' (кai...кai), both parts being simultaneously presented in une predication; see Wintr, Gr. $\S 53.4$, p. 389 , and comp. Donalds. Cratyl. § 189, 195, pp. 322, 338 . $\boldsymbol{r}_{1} \lambda_{\pi t}(\kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu]$ 'we luave set our llope on,' 'have set and
do set hope on,'-the perfect expressing the continuance and permanence of the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s$; see Bernhardy, Synt. x. 6 , p. 378 , and comp. ch. v. 5 , vi. 17 , John v. 45, 2 Cor. i. ro. Peile and Wiesinger compare I Cor. xv. 19, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon s \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, but it should not be forgotten that there $\dot{\eta} \lambda \pi$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{e} \nu$ is not merely $=\dot{\eta} \lambda \pi i \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$, see Meyer in loc. 'E $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$, like $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ (comp. notes on ch. i. 16), is found in the N.T. in connexion with different prepp. ; (a) with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, I Cor. xv. r9, 'spes in Christo reposita;' (b) with eis, John v. 45, 2 Cor. i. ro, i Pet. iii. 5 (Lachm., Tisch.), marking the direction of the hope with perhaps also some faint (locative) notion of union or communion with the object of it; comp. notes on ch. i. 16, and on Gal. iii. 27; (c) with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ and dat., ch. vi. 17 , Rom. xv. 12 (LXX.), marking the basis or foundation on which the hope rests ; (d) with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ and acc. (ch. v. 5 ), marking the mental direction with a view to that reliance; comp. Donalds. Gr. $\S_{48} 8$. The simple dative is found (Lachm., Tisch.) in Matth. xii. 2 I.
ös हैттเv к.т. $\lambda$.] ' who is the Sariour of all men;' relative clause, not however with any causal or explanatory force (this would more naturally be ठot $\sigma(s)$, but simply declaratory and definitive. The declaration is made to arouse the feeling that the same God who is a living is a loving God, one in whom their trust is not placed in vain; the Saviour of all men, chiefly, especially, of them that believe. De Wette objects to the use of $\mu$ ádiora; surely the primary notion of $\mu \alpha \lambda a$, 'in a great degree' [closely connected with $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\gamma} \lambda a$, comp. 'moles;' Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 283], is here perfectly suitable and proper; God is

Let not thy youth induce contempt; be rather a model Neglect not thy spiritual gitts, but persevere in all thy duties.

Пара́ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ таи̂та каì diơaбкє. ৷
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau u ́ \pi o s ~ \gamma^{i} \nu o u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, èv $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$,
the $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ of all men, in the greatest degree of the $\pi$ racol ; i.e. the greatest and fullest exlilition of His $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$, its complete realization, is seen in the case of the rıotoi; comp. Gal. vi. 10. There is involved in it, as Bengel observes, an argumentum a minori; 'quanto magis eam [Dei beneficentiam] experientur pii qui in eum sperant,' Calv. On this important text, see four sermons by Barrow, Works, Vol. iv. p. i вq. (Oxf. i830).

I I. Mapá $\left.\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\lambda} \lambda_{\epsilon}\right]$ 'Command,' Auth., Vulg., Goth. ; not 'exhort,' Hamm., or ' mone privatim,' Grot., but in the usual and proper sense, ' $p$ rececipe,' $\dot{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{i} \tau a \tau \tau \epsilon$, Chrys., who thus explains the use of each term : $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \dot{a} \tau \omega$



 $\kappa \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu . . . . \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \tau a \hat{v} \theta a \quad \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a s \quad \chi \rho \epsilon i a$, Homil. xin. init.

тaûta]
'these things,' not merely the last statement, bs दo $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. (Wegsch.), nor, on the other haud, more inclusively, 'omnia quæ dixi de magno
 $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \dot{o} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta \delta-$
 in fact all the statements included between the last $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ (ver. 6) and the present repetition of the pronoun.
 despise thy youth;' oou being connected, not directly with катaф $\rho$, - 'despiciat te ob juvenilem ætatern' (Bretsch. Lex.; comp. Leo, al.), but with the
 former construction is grammatically tenable (Winer, Gr. § 30. 9, p. 183), but is not supported by the use of катафр. in the N.T., and is not re-
quired by the cintext. It has been doubted whether this command is addressed (a) indirectly to the Church (Huth.), in the sense, 'no man is to infringe on your authority,' avi $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau l$ $\kappa \omega \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \rho \nu \pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, Theoph. I, Chrys. 1, or (b) simply to Timothy, in the sense, 'let the gravity of thy life supply the want of years,' Hamm., Chrys. 2, al. The personal application of the next clause, à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ тúnos $\gamma^{\prime} \nu 0 \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda$., seems decidedly in favour of (b); 'do not only negatively give no reason for contempt, but positively be a living example.' There is no difficuity in the term $\nu \in \sigma^{\prime} \tau \eta s$ applied to Tirmothy. It is in a high degree probable (see Acts xvi. $1-3$ ) that Timothy was young when he first joined the Apostle (A.d. 50, Wieseler): if he were then as much as 25 be would not be more than 38 (according to Wieseler's chronology) or 40 (according to Pearson's) at the assumed date of this Ep. -a relative עєóт $\boldsymbol{y}_{s}$ when contrasted with the functions he had to exercise, and the age of those (ch. v. I sq.) lie had to overlook.
dı入à тútos к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'but become an cxample, model,$ for the belicvers:' $\theta \in \lambda \epsilon t s, \phi \eta \sigma i, \mu \grave{\eta} \mathrm{ka}$ -
 $\gamma \in \nu 0 \hat{v}$. Theod. Túnos is similarly applied ir a moral sense, 1 Pet. v. 3, Phil. iii. 17, 1 Thess. i. 7, 2 Thess. iii. 9, Tit. ii. 7; comp. Rom. vi. 17. In the following words the insertion of a comma after $\pi / \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Lachm., Tisch.) is distinctly to be preferred to the ordinary punctuation (Mill, Scholz), as serving to specify with greater force and clearness the qualities and conditions in which the example of Tim. was to be shown. There is indeed, as Huther suggests, a kind of order pre,



served in the five substantives, which seems designed and significant; Words, whether in teaching or in social intercourse; Conduct (comp. notes on Transl. and on Eph. iv. 22), as evinced in actions; Love and Faith, motive forces in that inner Christian life of which words and conduct are the outward ma-
 not 'castitate,' Vulg., Beng., either here or ch. v. 22,-on the true meaning of ajpós, see notes on ch. v. 22), the prevailing characteristic of the life as outwardly manifested and developed. The omissions of the article in this list might be thought to confirm the canon of Harless, Eph. p. 29, 'that abstracts which specify the qualities of a subject are anarthrous,' if that rule were not wholly indemonstrable: see Winer, Gr. § ı9. 1, p. 109. The
 only found in KL; great majority of mss.; Arab. [Polygl.]; Theod., Dam., al., is rightly rejected by Lachm., Tisch., and most recent editors. It might have crept into the text from 2 Cor. vi. 6; comp. Mill, Prolegom. p. 6r.
 the present is perhaps used rather
 $\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega$ (Luke xv. 4, xvii. 8, al., comp. Herm. de Part. à, II. 9, p. íosq.), as implying the strong expectation which the Apostle had of coming, $\overline{\lambda \pi \pi}$. $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \tau a ́ x \iota o \nu$, ch. iii. 14 ; comp. John xxi. 22, and Winer, Gr. § 40. 2, p. 237. On the constructions of $z^{2} \omega \mathrm{~s}$ see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 505 sq. тро́テ€X€] 'apply (thyself), diligently at. tend to;' comp. notes on ch. i. 4. The meaning here and ch. iii. 8 seems to be
a little more definite and forcible than in ch. i. 4 and iv. I ; comp. Herod. Ix. 33, тробєiरє $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma i o i \sigma t$, and the good list of exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. 3. c, Vol. II. p. ing2. Tn̂ àvaरvácel] 'the (public) reading' of the Scriptures, theOld, and probably (comp. Col. iv. 16, 1 Thess. v. 27, and Thiersch, Hist. of Church, Vol. I. p. 147, Transl.) parts of the New Testament: comp.
 2 Cor. iii. $14, \dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \hat{\eta}$ dava $\nu \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi a \lambda a \iota a ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta \kappa \eta s$. On the public reading of the Scriptures in the early church, see Bingham, Antiq. XIII. 4. 2, and comp. notes on Gal. iv. 21.
 the teaching:' both terms occur again together in Rom. xii. 7, 8. The distinction usually made between $\pi a \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda$. and $\delta \iota \delta$., as respectively 'public exhortation' and 'private instruction,' seems very doubtful. Both appear to mark a form of public address, the former (as the derivation suggests, comp. Theod.) possibly directed to the feelings, and app. founded on some passage of Scripture (see esp. Acts xiii. 15, and Just. M. Apol. I. 67, where however the true reading is $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ ), the latter ( $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \eta \sigma \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \rho a \phi \hat{u v}$, Coray) more to the understanding of the hearers; perhaps somewhat similar to the (now obscured) distinction of 'sermon' and 'lecture.' On $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa$. comp. notes on Eph. iv. II, and Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. i. p. 901.
14. $\mu \dot{\eta} \mathbf{~ d} \mu\left(\lambda_{\epsilon 1}\right]$ ' Be not neglectful of,' i.e. 'do not leave unexercised;' comp. 2 Tim. i. 6, $\dot{a} \nu a j \omega \pi \nu \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi ~ \chi a ́-~$ $\rho \iota \sigma \mu a$. The following word $\chi$ á $\rho \sigma \mu a$, with the exception of i Pet. iv. 10 , occurs only in St Paul's Epp. where


it is found as many as sixteen times, and in all cases denotes 'a gift emanating from the Holy Spirit or the free grace of God.' Here probably, as the context suggests, it principally refers to the gifts of тарáк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ cs and $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa$. just specified; comp. Rom. xii. $6-8$. On the later use to denote Baptism (Clem. Alex. Padag. i. 6, Vol. I. p. If3, ed. Pott.), see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 5503.
év $\sigma 0{ }^{\prime}$ ] The parallel passage, 2 Tim. i. 6, clearly developes the force of the prep.: the $\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \mu a$ is as a spark of holy fire within him, which he is not to let die out from want of attention; comp. Taylor, Forms of Liturg. § 22, 23.
Sıa mpoфทrelas] 'by means of, by the medium of prophecy.' The meaning of this preposition has been needlessly tampered with: $\delta \iota a$ (with gen.) is not for $\delta \iota a$ with acc. (Just.), nor for $\epsilon i s$, nor for $\epsilon \nu$ (Beza), nor even, ' under inspiration,' Peile, but simply points to the medium tbrough which the gift was given; comp. Hofmann, Schriftb. Vol. II. p. 256. The close union of $\pi \rho о \phi$. with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \pi t$. $r \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \in \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ( $\mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{a}$ points to the concomitant act, Winer, Gr. § 47. h, p. 337) renders the $\delta(\alpha$ perfectly intelligible: prophecy and imposition of hands were the two coexistent (Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 13. 1) circumstances which made up the whole process (comp. De W.) by the medium of which the $\chi$ dipı $\mu a$ was imparted. The association of $\delta \iota a$ with $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta$. $\chi \in \iota \rho$. is so perfectly regular (Acts viii. 18,2 Tim. i. 6), that its use with $\pi \rho о \phi$. gains by the association a kind of reflected elucidation. The $\epsilon \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma$ s $\chi \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ or $\chi \epsilon \rho \rho \theta \epsilon \sigma i a$ (Conc. Nic. xix. Conc. Chalced. xv.) was a symbolic aetion, prubably derived from the

Jewish סמיבה (see Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. I. p. 874), the outward sign of an inward communication of the Holy Spirit (Acts viii. ${ }^{17}$, ix. 17) for some spiritual office (Acts vi. 6) or undertaking (Acts xiii. 3), implied or expressed : comp. Wiesinger in loc., Neand. Planting, Vol.'. '. p. 155 (Bobn), and esp. Hammond's treatise, Works, Vol. r. p. $6 \mathbf{3}_{2}-6 \mathbf{j a}^{0}$ (ed. 1684). In the early church only the superior orders of clergy, not the sub-deacons, readers, dec. (bence called axєьpotóvךros ín $\eta \rho \epsilon \sigma i a)$ received $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho 0 \theta \epsilon \sigma i a \nu$ : see Bingham, Antiq. in. 1. 6, and iv. 6. 11. $\quad \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ vтєрiov] 'presbytery,' ' confraternity of presbyters' at the place where Tinoothy was ordained (perhaps Lystra, if we assume that the ordination closely followed his association with St Paul), who conjointly with the Apostle (2 'Tim. i. 6) laid their hands on him. II $\rho \in \sigma \beta u t \epsilon \rho t o \nu$ (used in Luke xxii. 66 and Acts xxii. 5 for the Jewish Sanhedrin) occurs very often in the epp. of Ignatius in the present sense (Trall. 7, 13, Philad. 7 , al.), to denote the college of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ -
 in each particular city or district: comp. Thorndike, Prim. Gov. xII. 9, Vol. i. p. 75 (A.-C. Libr.).
15. тaûta $\mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau a]$ 'Practise these things, exercise thyself in these things,' Hammond, Scholef. Hints, p. 119; partial antithesis to $\mu \eta^{\prime} d \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, ver. I4. Me $\lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ only occurs again in the N.T. in a quotation from the LXX., Acts iv. 25, $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ кevá: Mark xiii. 1I, $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\delta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau a ̂ t \epsilon$ (rejected by Tisch. ed. 2 [not 7], T'regelles, and placed in brackets by Lachm.) is very doubtful. As there is thus no definite instance from which its exact meaning can be elicited in the N.T., it
$16 \pi \rho о \kappa о \pi \grave{\eta} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu . \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \quad \sigma \epsilon \alpha v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ каì $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta_{\iota} \delta \alpha-$


seems most accurate to adopt the prevailing meaning of the word, not 'meditari,' Vulg., Clarom., Syr., Arm. (though the idea of 'thinking about' really does form the primary idea of its root, Donalds. Cratyl. § 472), but 'exercere,' 'diligenter tractare,' Bretsch., $\dot{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon i v$, Hesych.; comp. Diog. Laert. Epicuir. х. 123 , таи̂та $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon$ каl $\mu \epsilon$ $\lambda \in ́ \tau a$ (cited by Wetst), and see esp. the exx. in Raphel, Annot. Vol. Ir. p. 586. The transl. of Conyb. (comp. Alf.), after De W., 'let these things be thy care' would be more appropriate to $\tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \sigma o l ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \omega$, comp. Hom. Il. v. 490 , xvill. 463 .
èv тov́тoเs $\left.\mathbf{~ ' \sigma} \theta_{l}\right]$ 'be occupied, spend thy time, in these things,' Hamm.; 'hoc age, his in rebus esto occupatus,' Valck. on Luke ii. 49, comp. Prov. xxiii. 17 , $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \phi \delta \beta \varphi$ Kupiou $\boldsymbol{l} \sigma \theta \iota \quad \delta \lambda \eta \nu$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu$, and exx. in Wakefitld, Sylv. Crit. Vol. IV. p. 198: a stronger enunciation of the foregoing words, corresponding to $\epsilon \pi i \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. in ver. s6. трокот́!] 'advance,' 'progress;' only here and Phil. i. 12, 25 (with a dependent gen. in all three cases): 'non immerito bæc vox a Grammaticis contemta est, quæ nullum antiquum nedum Atticum auctorem habet,' Lobeck, Phryn. p. 85. The 'advance' may be in godiness generally, 2 Tins. iii. 17 (De Wette), but more probably in all the particulars mentioned ver. 12-14; comp.
 $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, except that this throws the emphasis a little too much on $\delta \delta \delta \sigma_{\kappa} \lambda i a$. It is curious that Raphel should not, either here or on Phil. i. 12, 25, have adverted to the not uncommon use of
the word by Polyb., e.g. Hist. I. 12. 7, II. 45. 1, III. 4. 2, al.
16. धтєХє к.т.入.] 'Give heed to thyself (thy demeanour and conduct, ver. I2), and to the doctrine which thou dost deliver, ver. 13.' 'Eл $\epsilon \chi \in \iota$ (' to fix attention upon,' $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \kappa i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$, Hesych., Suid.) is somewhat similarly used in Luke xiv. 7 , Acts iii. 5 , comp. 2 Macc. ix. 25; not Phill. ii. 16
 either 'occupantes,' comp. Syr., al., or more probably 'prætendentes,' Beza, al.; see notes in loc. St Luke mainly uses the formula $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \chi \in \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\varphi}$, Luke xii. 1 , xvii. 3, xxi. 34, Acts $v$. $35, \times x .28$. The difference in meaning is very slight; $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is perhaps rather stronger, the idea of 'rest upon' being probabiy united with that of simple direction, see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. c. 3, Vol. 1. p. 1045. Timothy was to keep lis attention fixed both upon himself and his teaching; his teacling was to be good (ver. 6) and salutary (ch. i. 10), and he bimse.f was practically to exemplify it both in word and deed (ver. I2).
 comp. Col. i. 23 , є่ $\pi \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \downarrow \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \pi / \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$, and similarly Rom. vi. 1 , xi. 22, 23: this tropical use of $\epsilon \pi / \mu$. is peculiar to St Paul. The reference of aurois has been very differently explained. By comparing the above exx. of the Apostie's use of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \mu$. with a dat., it would seem nearly certain that aviroîs must be neuter: if the Apostle had here designed to refer to persons (aviois masc., see Grot., Beng.) he would nore probably have used $\pi \rho o$ os with an acc.; comp. 1 Cor. xvi. 7, Gal. i. 18 . Aú $\tau \dot{a}$

Behaviour of Timothy toward the elder and younger members of the church. Distinct tons to be observed in the support of widows.
$\Pi_{\rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \varphi} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi_{\eta} \eta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{~V}$.



may then be referred either to the
 baps more probably to all the points alluded to in ver. 12 sq . (Math., Muher), so as to form a final recapitulatory echo, as it were, of the tẫ $\tau \alpha$ and EL $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ oúroıs, ser. 15.
тоûто ү⿺̀р к.т.入.] 'for by doing this,' \&c.; confirmatory clause. The pres. part. is used with a similarly gerundial force (comp. Herm. Soph. Elect. $5^{6}$ ) in ver. 6, where it is also better to preserve the more exact participial translation. This form of protasis involves a temporal reference (rather however too fully expressed by Syr. ค tinguished from $\epsilon l$ with pres. indic., or $\epsilon \dot{a} \dot{a} y$ with pres. subj., with either of which it is nearly synonymous (Donalds. Gr. § 505), as connecting a little more closely the action of the verb in the protasis with that of the verb in the apodosis. It is singuar that De W. assigns a higher meaning to $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ in reference to Timothy, but a lower ('Befestigung') in reference to his hearers. In both it has its normal and proper sense, not merely 'servabis ne seducamini,' Beng. (comp. Theod.), but 'salvum facies,' Vulg., 'salvabis,' Clarom., and, as Wiesinger well remarks, conveys the important truth, 'that in striving to save others, the ininister is really caring for his own salvation.' On the force of кal...кal, see notes on var. 10.

Chapter V. i. Mpeg $\beta u \boldsymbol{\prime}$ 'f pu]' $a n$ elder,' Auth., ie. 'an elderly man' (not 'a presbyter'), so Vulg.: $\tilde{a} \rho a, \tau$
 тauròs $\gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \eta \rho a \kappa o ́ r o s$, Chrys. This interpretation is rendered nearly certain by the antithetical vest $\rho \circ$ gus in the following verse, and by $\dot{\omega} s \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a$ in the adversative clause. The exhortation, as Leo observes, follows very suitably after the reference (ch. iv. 12) to the $\nu \in \delta \tau \eta s$ of Timothy, 'rita se gerat erga senores ut reverâ decent virum juni-
 not sharply rebuke, reprimand.' 'Елı$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\left(\mathrm{a} \not \ddot{q}_{\pi} \pi . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\gamma} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu\right.$ in the N.T.), Syr. $\underset{\gamma}{\text { P }}$ [increpavit], vow $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ $\pi a \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma l a \nu$ каі aüбтทрó tara, Cory (mod. Greek), seems to involve the notion of sharpness and severity: $\tau \delta$

 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ el $\rho \eta \tau a \zeta$ Eustath. on Home. Il. x. 500 (cited by Wetst.). The usual word in the N.T. is $\epsilon \pi c \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu$, used very frequently by the first three evangelists, but only once by St Paul, 2 Tim. iv. 2.
$\nu \in \omega T$ 'pons] The grammatical construction requires $\pi a-$ рака́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ to be supplied. The context however seems to suggest a more generall word, e. g. you $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota$ (comp. 2 Thess. iii. 15 , nov $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} s \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\rho} \nu$ ), a mean term, as it were, between $\epsilon \pi i \pi \lambda \eta \tau \tau \epsilon$ and $\pi a \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon$, this last verb here appearing to mark 'exhortation' in its most gentle and considerate form. This mean term was probably omitted on account of the following $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ But $\epsilon \rho a s$, where a milder term would again be more appropriate.
2. èv $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ á $\gamma v \in$ la] ' in all purity;' with exclusive reference to the $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon$ pas: the bishop was so to order his

## 

conversation to the younger women of his flock, with such purity, as not to afford any ground even for suspicion (Chrys.). The rule of Jerome (Epist. 2) is simple; 'omnes puellas et virgines Christi aut æqualiter ignora aut æqualiter dilise.'
3. Xripas tipa] 'Pay due regard to widows,' Conyb. The meaning of $\tau t \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ and the connexion of the following verses, ?-16, has been from the earliest times so much a matter of dispute, that it is very difficult to arrive at a certain decision. On the whole, when we observe the economic terms, $\dot{\alpha} \mu o c \beta \dot{a} s \dot{a}^{\pi} \pi \delta \delta \delta$. (ver. 4), $\pi \rho o-$ voєî̀ (ver. 8), and esp. taîs b$\nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ $\chi$ дпраıs є̇тарк. (ver. 16), it seems best with De W. (after Theod., al.) to give $\tau l_{\mu}$ a somewhat extended meaning, -'honour,' not by a simple exhibition of respect ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma d \rho \delta \neq \circ \nu \tau a l \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$ $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \omega \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu a$, Chrys., - a somewhat insufficient reason), but also by giving material proofs of it: $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ каі $\tau \grave{a}$ àvaүкаía $\chi$ ор $\dagger \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$, Theoph. The translation of Peile, al., 'support, provide for,' $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \in \mu \bar{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu \circ \sigma \dot{v} \nu \alpha \varsigma$, Coray (mod. Greek), involves too great a departure from the simple sense; the context however does certainly seem to require some intermediate translation, which, without obscuring the primary and proper meaning of $\tau \iota \mu a ́ \omega$, may still leave the latter and less proper meaning fairly discernible: comp. $\tau / \mu \hat{\eta} s$ ver. 17, Matth. xv. 4 sq. If this view be correct, ver. $3-8$ will seem to relate specially to the support widows are to receive, ver. 9-16 to their qualifications for an office in the church; see Wieseler, Chronol. p. 309, and notes on ver. 9. On the position which widows occupied in the early church, see Bingham, Antiq. vil. 4. 9, Winer, RWB. Art. 'Witwen.'
 indeed:' i.e. as ver. 4, 5 , and esp. ver. 16, clearly explain it,-destitute and
 $\delta \in \mu i a \nu \quad \beta o \eta=\epsilon \epsilon a \nu$, Coray. There seems then no sufficient gruand either (a) for assigning to $\chi$ そ́ $\rho$ a its ecclesiustical sense (Baur, Paulus, p. 497, who compares

 Vol, II. p. 38), so that $\dot{\eta}$ bעt $\omega$ s $\chi$. is 'a widow proper,' opp. to a $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$ in the official meaning of the term; or (b) for giving $\dot{\eta} \delta \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s} \chi \hat{\eta} \rho a$ a strictly ethical reference, ' bona vidua et proba,' Leo; for the 'nervus argumenti'
 $\epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \delta \nu \theta \epsilon b \nu$, does not mark exclusively the religious attitude, but the earthly isolation of $\dot{\eta} \delta \nu \tau \omega s \chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$, and her freedom from the distractions of crdinary domestic life; comp. I Cor. vii. 33, 34, and, thus far, Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 154 (Bohn).
 widow,' i.e. 'in every case in which a widow has,' dec.; comp. Syr., where this evident opposition to $\dot{\eta} \delta \nu r \omega s$ s $\chi$. is even more distinctly maintained. Having spoken of the 'widows indeed,' the Apostle proceeds to show still more clearly his meaning by considering the case of one who dues not fall under that class.

Eкyova] 'descendants,' or more specially, as the context implies, 'grandchildren;' 'cbildren's children,' Syr., 'nephews,' Auth.,-in the original, but now antiquated sense of the word; comp. Thom. M. p. 850 (ed. Bern.). The term ex fovod only occurs here in the N.T., but is sufficiently common in the LXX., as well as in earlier Greek, see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. Maveavetwoav] 'let then learn.' Who? The



$\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a r$ implied in the collectively-taken $\chi \eta \dot{f} a$ ? or the $r \epsilon_{\kappa v a}$ and En'yova? The former is supported by Vulg., Clarom., Chrys., and Theod.; the latter however, which has the support of Syr., Theoph., Ecum. 2, al., seems more in accordance both with the context generally, and with the use of the special terms $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ (see below) and $\dot{\alpha} \mu o<\beta d s=\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta i \delta$. The explanation of
 ...èv roîs ék $\kappa$ boocs aúvoû à $\mu \mathrm{E}$ ißou, àmo$\delta i \delta o v \tau \grave{\partial} \delta \phi \epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu a \delta i \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$, can scarcely be regarded as otherwise than artificial and unsatisfactory.
$\pi \rho \tilde{\tau} 0 v$ ] ' $i$ irst,' scil. 'before thou hast to do it,' De Wette.
© $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \beta \in \hat{v} \mathrm{v}]$ ' to be dutiful to,' 'to evince (filial) piety towards,' 'barusnjan,' Goth. (Massm.) ; compare Acts xvii. ${ }^{2} 3, \delta \dot{\text { à }} \gamma{ }^{2} 0000 \nu \tau \epsilon s \in \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$. This verb can hardly be referred to the $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a t$, as it certain'y cannot be taken actively, 'regere,' Vulg., and not very plausibly, 'to practise piety in respect of,' Matth.; whereas when referred to the children, its primitive sense is but slightly obscured; comp. Philo, de Dec. Orac. § ${ }^{23}$, Vol. iI. p. 200 (ed. Mang.), where storks are similarly said $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ and $\gamma \eta \rho o \tau \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$. The ex-
 singular in such a connexion, but the remark of DeW . (who has elucidated the whole passage with great ability), that oixoy was expressly used to mark the duty as an act of 'family feeling and family honour,' seems fairly to meet the difficulty. Tò $\boldsymbol{\text { to }} \boldsymbol{\delta o v}$ marks the contrast between assistance rendered by members of the same family and that supplied by the comparative strangers conuposing the local church.
kal ajporßàs к.т.久.] 'and to requite their parents;' further explanation of $\tau \delta \nu \nu \delta$. o $\tau_{\kappa}$. $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$. The expression $\dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \iota \beta a ̀ s \quad \dot{a} \pi{ }^{\circ} \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota$ is illustrated by Elsner, and Wetst. in loc. (comp. Hesiod, Op. 188, токє $\hat{\sigma} \tau \nu$ аікд $\theta \rho \in$ -
 able in the case of children, would certainly seem very unusual in reference to parents. The duty itself is enforced in Plato, Legg. 1v. p. 717 C ; see also Stobæus, Floril. Tit. 79, and esp. Taylor, Duct. Dub. III. 5. 3. Прb̌ovo七 does not commonly refer to living parents (De W. however cites Plato, Legg. xI. p. $93 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{D}}$ ), but in the present case suitably balances the term $\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa$. rova, and seems to be adopted as brietly comprehending both generations, mothers or grandmothers. тои̂то үàp к.т. .] $^{\text {] See notes on ch. }}$ ii. 3 .
 'now,' Auth.) she that is a widow indeed;' sharp and emphatic contrast to the foreguing, serving to specify still more clearly to Timothy the characteristics of the 'widow indeed.'
кal $\mu \epsilon \mu \circ v \omega \mu(\nu \eta]$ ] and left desolate;' explanatory, not merely addicional (Schleierm.) characteristic. Matthies urges that if this were an explanatory characteristic it would have been either $\mu \epsilon \mu \Delta \nu \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ Ė $\sigma \tau i \nu$, or $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu \Delta \nu \omega$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \eta \eta$. This does not seem necessary; the Apostle probably feeling and remembering the adjectival nature of $\chi \dot{\eta} \mu a$ [xa-, perhaps Sanscr. $h \hat{a}$, 'deserere,' Pott, Etym. Vol. I. p. 199 ; but comp. Donalds. Cratyl. \& 280, 287, and Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. in, p 188] adds another epithet, which explains and more exactly marks the
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characteristic (orbitas) which is involved in $\chi^{\dot{\eta} \rho a \text {, and forms the princi- }}$ pal subject of thought.
 on God;' ' hath hoped and still hopes;' see Winer, Gr. §4I. 4, p. 242. On the distinction between $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \xi \omega$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ and accus. and with $\varepsilon \pi i$ and dat. see notes on ch. iv. io.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \epsilon \mathrm{\epsilon}]$ 'abides in;' the preposition apparently intensifying the meaning of the simple verb; see Acts xi. ${ }^{23}, \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o \theta \xi \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S \kappa а \rho \delta$. $\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \in \iota$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{K} v \rho l \varphi$, , xiii. 43, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \chi \chi^{\alpha}-$ $\rho \iota \tau \iota$; comp. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \cup \lambda \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma к а \rho \tau \epsilon-$ $\rho \in \hat{v}$, Acts i. I4, Rom. xii. 12, Col. iv. 2, and consult Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. $\pi \rho \phi s, \mathrm{C}$. c, Vol. II. p. iifr. On the distinction between $\delta \hat{\eta} \eta \sigma$ s and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ $\epsilon \cup \chi \dot{\eta}$, see notes on ch. ii. 1 , and on Eph. vi. 18. It may be observed that the article is prefixed to both; it clearly might have been omitted before the latter; St Paul however chooses to regard prayer under two separate aspects; comp. Winer, Gr. § 19. 5, p. 117 , note.
vukтòs кal íj $\mu$ épas] 'night and day,' i.e. grammatically considered, within the space of time expressed by the substantives: see Donalds. Gr. § 45 I, Krüger, Sprachl. §47. 2, and comp. notes on ch. ii. 6 ad fin. St Luke (ii. 37 ) in the very parallel case of Anna uses the acc. pv́ктa кal $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu$, but there the previous occurrence of p $\eta \sigma$ orials renders the accus., and perhaps the order (fasts appy, began at eve, Winer, $R W B$. Art. 'Fasten,' compare Lev. xxiii. 32), perfectly appropriate; in Acts xxvi. 7 and 2 Thess. iii. 8 (Tisch.) the acc. is appy. hyperbolical. On the order рvкт. каl $^{\eta} \mu$. (always in St Paul), comp. Lobeck,

Paralip. p. 62 sq. It may be observed that St Luke adopts the order vúkr. кal $\dot{\eta} \mu$. with the acc, (comp. Mark iv. ${ }^{27}$ ), and inverts it when be uses the gen. (opp. to Mark v. 5). St John (Rev. iv. 8, vii. r5, xii. ro, xiv. II, $x x$. io) uses only the gen. and the order $\dot{\eta} \mu$. каi $\nu \cup \kappa \tau \delta$ s. Is the order aluays to be explained from internal considerations, and not rather to be referred to the habit of the writer?
 liveth riotously;' one of the sins of Sodom and her daughters (Ezek. xvi. 49), forming a sharp contrast to the life of self-denial and prayer of $\dot{\eta}$ ö้pтшs $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$. $\Sigma_{\pi a \tau a \lambda a ̂ \nu}$ only occurs again in the N.T. in James v. 5, غंт $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ v-

 Ecclus. xxi. $1_{5}$, $\dot{\delta} \sigma \pi a \tau a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. As the derivation of each word suggests, $\sigma \pi \alpha$ $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$ [EIIA-, cognate with $\sigma \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ] points more to the 'prodigality' and 'wastefulness' (Benfey, Wurzellex. Vol. I. p. 592), the somewhat synonymous word $\tau \rho \nu \phi \alpha \omega(\theta \rho \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega)$, more to the 'effeminacy' and 'luxury' of the subject : so also rightly Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 193. The present verb is thus, etymologically considered, more allied in meaning to $\alpha \sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \mathrm{s} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, comp. notes on Eph. v. 18, though it is occasionally found (Theano, ad Eubul. p. 86, ed. Gale, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \tau a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\nu} \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \omega \nu)$ in a sense scarcely at all differing from т $\rho$ ифâv. See also Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. II. p. 992.
 liveth;' so Rev. iii. I, 弓 $\hat{\eta} s$, кal עeкро̀s $\epsilon \ell$, comp. Eph. iv. 18. The meaning is rightly expressed by the Greek expositors, e.g. Theoph. (most incorrectly quoted by Huther), $\kappa \bar{d} \nu \delta o \kappa \hat{\eta} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$



8. olкєi $\omega \nu]$ So Lachm. with $\mathrm{AD}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}$ : $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oicel $\omega \nu \mathrm{CD}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{KL}$; all mss.; Chrys., Theod., Dam. (Tisch., Alf., Wordsw.). It may be observed that this omission of the second $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ tends to bind the $\delta \delta \iota o c$ and oikeioc more explicitly into one class; see Winer, Gr. § 19. 4, p. 116.
$\tau \alpha u ̛ ́ \eta \nu \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \zeta \omega \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ai $\sigma \theta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ [comp. Gal. ii. 20] $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \kappa a \tau d \dot{\alpha} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ : similarly Theod., but with less theological accuracy of expression. Her life is merely a conjunction of soul and body, destitute of all union with the higher and truly quickening principle; comp. Olsbausen, Opusc. p. ig6. Numerous quotations involving similar sentiments will be found in Wetst. in loc.; the most pertinent is Philo, de Profug. § 10, Vol. 1. p. 554 (ed.
 $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa b \tau \epsilon s \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ к.т.入.; comp. Loesner, Obs. p. 404.
7. Tavital 'these things;' what things? Those contained (a) in ver. 3-6, Theod. (appy.), and Hutb.; or (b) in ver. 6 only, Chrys.; or (c) in ver. 5 and 6, De Wette and Wiesing.? Of these ( $a$ ) is very plausible on account of the simple mandatory force of $\pi a \rho d \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, but involves the difficulty that $a^{2} \nu \in \pi i \lambda$. must then be referred to $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a$ and $\xi_{\kappa \gamma}{ }^{2} \nu a$ as well as the widows, whereas the latter seem manifestly the principal subjects. The use of кal (not simply $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ as in ch. iv. 6) is in favour of (b), but then again it seems impossible to disunite two verses so closely connected by the antithesis involved as ver. 5 and 6. On the whole then it seems best to adopt (c), and to refer the pronoun to the two foregoing verses: kal thus binds ver. 7 to ver. 5 and 6 , while ver. 8 concludes the whole subject by a still more emphatic statement of the rule involved in ver. 4, but not then
furt': er expanded, as the statement of the different classes and positions of the widows would otherwise have been interrupted.

тарárye $\lambda \lambda \epsilon]$ 'command;' see notes on ch. iv. II: the choice of this stronger word seeming to imply that the foregoing contrast and distinction between $\dot{\eta}$ övt $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$ and $\dot{\eta} \sigma \pi a \tau$. was intended to form the basis for a rule to the church. divєп $(\lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau о 1]$ 'irreproachable;' the widows, not the widows and their descendants, see above. On the meaning of the word, see notes on ch. iii. 2 .
8. $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\text { è }}$ к. т. $\lambda$.] Recurrence to the same subject and the same persons, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha a$ and $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon \\ \kappa \gamma o \nu a, ~ a s ~ i n ~ v e r . ~ 4, ~ b u t, ~ a s ~\end{gathered}$ the $\tau$ ts implies, in the form of a more general statement. The $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ (not $=\gamma^{\dot{a} \rho}$, as Syr.) is correctly used, as the subjects of this verse stand in a sort of contrast to the widows, the subjects of ver. 7 .
 ' his own (relatives) and especially those of his own house;' toto here marks the relationship, oik $\epsilon$ o those who were not only relations but also formed part of the family,一тойs катоскойvтas
 mestici, qualis vel maxime est mater aut avia vidua, domi,' Beng. On oinє̂̂ou, comp. notes on Gal. vi. io. It is worthy of notice that the Essenes were not permitted to give relief to their relatives without leave from their emit $\rho o \pi o l$, though they might freely do so to others in need; see Joseph. Rell. Jud. in. 8. 6. ov่ тpovoєî] 'does not provide for;' only again
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Presbyteral widows must be sixty years of age and of good character; refuse younger widows, whom I desire rather to marry and not to give offence.

Rom. xii. 17, 2 Cor. viii. 21 (both from Prov. iii. 4); in both cases with an accus. rei (Jelf, Gr. § 496, obs. 1), in the former passage in the middle, in the latter (Lachm.) in the active voice. On the connexion $\epsilon i$ ov (here perfectly intelligible as ov is in such close connexiou with $\pi \rho o v o c i$ ), see the copious list of exx. in Gayler, Partic. Neg. pp. 99-115, and notes on ch. iii.
 'he has denied the faith;' not 'doctrinam Christianam,' but 'the (Cbristian) faith,' considered as a rule of life; comp. notes on Gal. i. 23. His acts are a practical denial of his faith: faith and love are inseparable; in not showing the one he has practically shown that he is not under the influence of the other. On the meaning of $\pi$ lotis, see Reuss, Theol. Chret. 1 v . 13, Vol. II. p. J 28 sq .
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{T o v}]$ Not 'misbelieving,' (comp. ${ }_{2}$ Cor. iv. 4, Tit. i. 15), but 'unbelieving,' opp. to $\dot{\delta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\cup} \omega v$, I Cor. xiv. 22 sq. Such a one, though he might bear the name of Christian, would be really worse than a heathen, for the precepts of all better heathenisn forbad such an unnatural selfishness; see Pfanner, Theol. Gent. xi. 22, p. 320, and comp. the quotations in Stobæus, Floril. Tit. 79 .
 widow let no one be put on the list,' \&c. In this doubtful passage it will be best to consider (a) the simple meaning and grammatical structure; (b) the interpretation of the clause. First then, ката入є $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (кататd́ттє $\nu$, Suid.) simply means 'to enter upon a list' (see exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. I. p. (624 $^{4}$, the contents and object of which must be deduced from the context. Next, we must observe
that $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha$ is in fact the predicate ' als Witwe werde verzeichnet,' Winer, Gr. §64.4, p. 52 I . Grammar and lexicography help us no further. (b) Interpretation: three explanations have been advanced; (a) the somewhat obvious one that the suliject of the preceding clause is simply continued; so Chrys. in loc., the other Greek expositors, and the bulk of modern expositors. The objections to this are, grammatically considered, the appy. studied absence of any connecting particle; exegetically considered, the bigh improbability that when criteria had been given, ver. 4 sq., fresh should be added, and those of so very exclusive a nature: would the Church thus limit her alms? ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) That of Schleierm., Mack, and others, that deaconesses are referred to: against this the objection usually urged seems decisive,-that we have no evidence whatever that deaconesses and $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a \iota$ are synonymous terms (the passage in Ignat. Smyrn. 13, cannot bere fairly be made use of on account of the doubtful reading), and that the age of 60 , though deriving a specious support from Cod. Theod. xvi. 2. 27 (comp. however Conc. Chalc. c. 55, where the age is fixed at 4c), is wholly incompatible with the active duties (comp. Bingham, Antiq. II. 22.8 sq.) of such an office. ( $\gamma$ ) The suggestion of Grot; ably expanded by Mosh., and followed by De W., Wiesing., Huth. (Einleit. §4), that an order of widows ( $\chi \eta \rho \hat{u} \nu$ $\chi^{\circ} \rho o s$, Chrys. Hom. in Div. N. T. Loc. 31, compare Tertull. de Vel. Virg. 9, and the other reff. in Mosheim) is here referred to, whose duties appy. consisted in the exercise of superintendence over, and the ministry of counsel and consolation (see Tertull. l.c.) to


the younger women; whose office in fact was, so to say, presbyteral ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ $\beta u ̛ T \tau \delta \epsilon s$ ) rather than diaconic. The external evidence for the existence (though not necessarily the special ecclesiastical organization) of such a body even in the earliest times is so fully satisfactory, and so completely in harmony with the internal evidence supplied by ver. io sq., that on the whole ( $\gamma$ ) may be adopted with some confidence; see the long note of Wiesing. in loc., and Huther, Einleit. § 4, p. 46 . We thus find noticed in this chap., the $\chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$ in the ordinary sense ; $\dot{\eta} b^{b} \nu \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \chi$., the desolate and destitute widow; $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \tau e \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \chi \dot{\eta} \rho a$, the ecclesiastical or presbyteral widow. ycyovuia is now properly referred by Lachm., Tisch., al., to $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ย̀ $\lambda a \tau \tau o \nu$ к.т. $\lambda .$, see exx. in Raphel, Annot. Vol. iI. p. 592. The construction, Enartoy $\eta$ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\xi} \kappa 0 \nu \tau a$, would be perhaps more correct, but the somewhat concise gen. is perfectly intelligible.
Évòs àvరpòs $\left.\gamma v v{ }^{\prime}\right]$ ' the wife of one husband;' comp. ch. iii. 2. It is obvious that this can only be contrasted with successive polygamy, and cannot possibly be strained to refer to the legitimacy of the marriage (comp. Beng.). In plain terms the woman was to be univira; so Tertull. ad Uxor. I. 7, 'prescriptio Apostoli...viduam allegi in ordinem [ordinationem, Seml.] nisi univiram non concedit;' comp. notes on ch. iii. 2, and the oopious list of exx. in Wetst. in loc.
 reported of in the matter of good works,' scil. 'for good works:' comp. notes on Tit. iii. 8. ' $\mathbf{E} \nu$ denotes the sphere to which the woman's actions and the consequent testimony about them was confined. Huther cites Heb. xi. 2 as
evincing the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ to mark the reason of the $\mu a \rho \tau v p i a$, but there $\epsilon^{2} \nu$ is simply 'in,' 'in hâc fide constituti,' Winer, $G r .848$. a, p. $34^{6}$, note. Mapтvpєíotal appears frequently used in the N. T., e. g. Acts vi. 3 , x. 22, xvi. 2, al., in special reference to a good testimony. The simple meaning is retained by Syr., Vulg., Goth., al.
 up children;' hypothetical clause, ultimately dependent on кaтa入 $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$, but still also more immediately explanatory of $\varepsilon_{\rho \gamma \gamma}$. к $\alpha \lambda$. It is doubtful whether $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \tau \rho o \phi \epsilon i \nu$ is to be confined to the widow's own children (Vulg. [appy.], Chrys. and Greek commentators), or extended also to the orphans she might have brought up 'ecclesio commodo' (Reng.). The latter seems most probable, especially as in three passages which have been adduced, Herm. Past. Mand. 8, and Simil. i, and Lucian, de Mort. Persgr. § 12 , widows and orphans are mentioned in a suggestive connexion. In either
 necessarily implied, though not expressed in the word.
ésєvo8óx $\eta \sigma \in v]$ ' entertained strangers;' $\ddot{\alpha} \pi$. $\lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu$., but comp. Matth. xxv. 35 . The sequence of duties may have been suggested by the relations of proximity; ópâs $\pi \hat{\omega} s \pi a \nu \tau a \chi 0 \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oikel $\omega \nu$
 $\theta \eta \sigma$, Chrys.; the widow's own children would clearly be comprehended in, and even form the first objects of the $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu о \tau \rho о ф i a$.
cl áyโ $\omega \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'if she (ever) washed the feet of the saints;' an act not only connected with the rites of Oriental hospitality (Jahn, Archrool. § i49), but demonstrative of her humility (I Sam. xxv. 4I,-it was commonly a servant's

 Ecum．（Griesb．，Scholz，De W．e sil．，Wordsw．）．Lachm．（ed．min．），Tisch．，
 future might fairly be borne with（comp．pres．，Mark xi．25），as in Rev．iv． 9 （Rec．，but doubtful），the external authority does not seem sufficient，for it must be remembered that $F$ and $G$ ，even in errors of transeription（＇mira est utriusque ［codicis］consensio in lectionibus in ipsisque multis calami erroribus，＇Tisch．），are
office，Elsner，Obs．Vol．r．p．338），her love（comp．Luke vii．38），and，it might be added，the practical heartiness （comp．Chrys．）of her hospitality：＇nec dedignetur quod fecit Christus facere Christianus，＇August．in Joan．Tract．
 $\epsilon \beta=\eta \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，Hesych．，enmp．Polyb． Hist．I． 5 I．Io，where it is used as nearly synon．with $\epsilon \pi \kappa \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i \nu$ ．It thus need not be restricted merely to alms （ $\dot{\pi} \pi$ орl̆ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon i v$, Clem．Alex．Strom． I．10，comp．Vales．on Euseb．Hist． vil．5），nor $\theta \lambda\left(\beta_{0} \mu\right.$ ．to＇pressis pauper－ tate＇（Beng．），but，as appy．Syr．
 the relief of necessity in its most gene－ ral form；каl $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ र $\quad \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ，кal $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \sigma i a s$, каi $\mu \in \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$, Theoph．

 $\ell_{\chi}{ }^{\nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu}$ ：the $\epsilon \pi i$ does not appear to in volve any idea of intensity，scil．$\pi \rho 0-$ $\theta \dot{\prime} \mu \omega s$ каi кат＇$\ell_{\chi} \downarrow \eta$ ，Coray，Auth． （comp．Steph．in Thesaur．s．v．），but only that of direction．The sense is thus not very d fferent to that imphed in $\tau \delta \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \partial \nu \delta \iota \omega \in \kappa \epsilon \nu, 1$ Thess．v． 15 ； comp．Plato，de Rep．ir．p． 370 в，$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \rho a \tau \tau о \mu t \nu \varphi$ दтакодоvөєì，where the next words，$\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \sigma 0 \psi \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \ell$ ，sup－ ply the notion of $\pi \rho o \theta u \mu i a$ ；see ib． Phedo，p． 107 B，where also the force of the compound does not seem very
strongly marked．The meaning is rightly conveyed by Chrys．，$\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau b s$

 $\dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\sim} \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ．
 studied reference to ver． 9 ，＇widows under sixty years of age，＇Wiesing．， but，as the context seems to imply， ＇younger＇with nearly a positive sense， ver．2．mapaitov̂］＇shun，＇or，as the contrast with кara入єү $\epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$（ver．9） seems to require，－－＇decline＇（＇refuse，＇ Auth．，$\dot{a} \pi \delta \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ，Coray），scil．＇to put on the кãdidoyos of the pres－ byteral widows．＇They were not ne－ cessarily to be excluded from the alms of the Church（Taylor，Epise．§ i4）， but were only to be held ineligible for the＇collegium viduarum；＇comp．how－ ever ver．i6．On $\pi$ aןaliô̂，comp． notes on ch．iv．7：the regular mean． ing（as Huther properly observes） suggested by ch．iv．7， 2 Tim．ii． 23 ， Tit．iii．io，need not here be lost sight of；Timothy was to shun them，and not entertain their claims ；＇noli cau－ sam earum suscipere，＇Beng．
örav ката⿱宀т $\rho \eta$ ．］＇when they have come to wax wanton against Christ， Auth．（＇begun＇），＇lascivieru［i］nt，＇Beza； the aor．subj．with öray marking an action which takes place at some sin． gle point of time distinct from the actual present，but otherwise unde－

## 

practically little more tban one authority. Moreover, the only correct principle
 of the whole conditional force to the particle, and the absence of necessary internal connexion between the verb in the protasis and that in the apodosisdoes not seem here to apply. St Paul does not appy. desire to mark the mere relation of time, but the ethical connexion between кa $\alpha a \sigma \tau \rho$. and $\gamma \alpha \mu$. $\theta \in \lambda$.: a weariness of Christ's yoke involves a further and more decided lapse. On the use of $\mathfrak{\epsilon a j} \nu$ and örav with the indic., see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 468-478.
fined ; see Winer, Gr. § 42. 5, p. 275, and notes on 2 Thess. i. ro. This
 retained if 'lascivire' be taken more in its simple ('instar jumentorum quæ cum pabulo ferociunt,' Scul. ap. Pol. Syn.) than in its merely sexual reference (quæ fornicatæ sunt in injuriam Christi, Jerome, Epist. 11, al. 223), tbough this, owing to the $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon i \nu$ $\theta \epsilon$ גovacl, not simply fut. $\gamma a \mu \eta \sigma o v \sigma i \nu$ [usual later form], cannot wholly be put out of sight. $\Sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu a \dot{a} \omega$, a word of later comedy (see Lobeck, Phryn. p. 38 I, Trench, Synon. Part II. §4), implies the exhibition of 'over-strength,' 'restiveness,' and thence of 'fulness of bread' (Antiph. ap, Athen. III. 127 ) and 'wanton luxury;' comp. Rev.xviii. 7, 9 . The adject. $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu \eta$ 立 is far more probably connected with the Sabine 'strena' (Donalds. Varron. Iv. 2), and the Lat. 'strenuus' (Pott, Etym. Vol. I. p. 198) than with ropos, $\tau \rho a \nu \delta s$, which is suggested by Lobeck. The prep. кarà expresses the direction of the action (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. кaтá, IV. 2), and points to the object against which the $\sigma \tau \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o s$ was shown: comp. катакаиұаَ $\sigma \theta a l$, James ii. I3.
 bearing about with them, a judgment that,' \&c.; comp. $\phi \delta \beta_{0 \nu}$ è $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, ver. 20, $\dot{\alpha} \mu a p t i a \nu \quad$ E $\chi \in \iota \nu$, John xv. 22. The judgment or sentence is a load wbich they bear about with them (comp. Gal. v. Io) ; and this judgment is öTı
$\ldots \dot{j}_{\theta}^{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu . \quad$ "Otı is thus not causal, but objective, and so must not, as in Mill, be preceded by a comma,-a punctuation probably suggested by a misinterpretation of крî $\alpha$. This it need scarcely be said is not for ката́крı $\mu$ ('damnationem, ' Vulg., Clarom.; ката́крьєь, 'Theoph.), much less ='punishment' ('beladen sich mit Strafbarkeit,' Mack), but retains its usual and proper meaning. The context will alone decide the nature of the jurgment, whether favourable or unfavourable; comp. notes on Gal. v. ro, and Fritz. Rom. Vol. г. p. 94 $\tau \grave{̀} \boldsymbol{\pi \rho \omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.$] ' they broke their$ first faith;' clearly, as it is explained by the Greek commentators, their engagement ( $\sigma v v \theta \dot{\eta}_{\kappa} \eta \nu$, Chrys.) to Cbrist not to marry again, which they virtually, if not explicitly made, when they attempted to undertake the duties of the presbyteral office as $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{o} \dot{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \delta s$ $\gamma v \nu a i k e s ;$ so Theod, $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma v \nu \tau a-$
 $\dot{\dot{o}} \mu \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma t \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu o s s$. The only seeming difficulty is $\pi \rho \dot{\cos } \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$, not $\pi \rho o \tau \notin \rho a \nu$, as the $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \pi$ rionts was really to the first husband. This is easily explained: there are now only two things put in evidence, faith to Christ, and faith to some second husband. In comparing these two, the superl., according to a * very common Greek habit of speaking, is put rather than the compar.; see Winer, Gr. § 35. 4. note 1, p. 218. The phrase $\dot{a} \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \nu \nu \pi l \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, 'fidem ir-s,

##   $I_{4} \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \rho \gamma o l, \lambda a \lambda o v ̂ \sigma a l ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta ̀ ~ d e ́ o v \tau a . ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda o \mu a l ~ o i ̂ v ~ \nu \epsilon \omega-~$

ritam facere，＇is illustrated by Wetst． and esp．Raphel in loc．；the latter cites Polyb．Hist．vili．2．5，xi．29．3， xxili．16．5，xxiv．6．7．The numer－ ous illustrations that the language of St Paul＇s unquestioned Epp．has re－ ceived from Polybius are well－known and admitted．This persistent simi－ larity，in the case of an Ep．of which the genuineness has been（unreason－ ably）doubted，is a subsidiary argument which ought not to be lost sight of．
 difficulty in the construction ；$\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ． is usually connected with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \chi$ ．，but unless with De W．and Wiesing．we plainly assume that the participle is incorrectly used for the inf．，we shall have an incongruous sense，for $\mu a \nu$ ．
 learn that I am going about，＇J elf，Gr． $\$ 683$ ．Again if with Wordsw．we translate＇being idle they are learners， running about＇we have an absolute use of $\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$（comp．bowever 2 Tim． iii．7），and a dislocation of words，that seem harsh and unnatural．It will be best then，with Syr．，Chrys．，al．，and also Winer，Gr $\S 45.4$ ，p． 310 ，to connect $\mu a \nu \theta$ ．with $\alpha \rho \gamma a l$ ，＇they learn to be idle，＇esp．as this can be sup－ ported by Plato，Euthyd．p．${ }_{2} \mathfrak{F}_{6}$ B，oi
 however omits $\sigma 0 \phi o i$ ］，and in part by Dio Chrys．p． 283 （ed．Reisk．），दौáp－
 both of which exx．are appositely cited by Winer，l．c．If it be urged（ De Wette，Wiesing．）that running about would be more naturally the conse－ quence of idleness than vice vers $\hat{a}$ ，it may be said that $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu \chi$ ．may possibly refer to some portion of their official duties，in the performance of which，
instead of rather acquiring spiritual experiences，they only contracted ile and gossiping habits．Tds oixias might seem to confirm this，＇the houses of them they lave to visit；＇but comp． 2 Tim．iii．6，where（as bere）the ar－ ticle appears generic，or at most，＇the houses of such as receive them；＇comp． Winer，Gr．§ i 7．r，p．if 6 ，note（ed． 5 ）． терьерхбнєขal］＇going round to；＇the part．is certainly used with reference to an idle，wandering，way of going about，in Acts xix．13；this meaning． however is derived from the context， which does not oblige us necessarily to retain the same meaning here．Other exx．of accusatives after the $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ in the comp．verb are found in the N．T．， e．g．Mark vi．6，Acts ix．3，al．；comp． also Matth．Gr．§ 426，Bernhardy， Synt．v． 30 ad fin．，p． 260.
à $\lambda \lambda$ à кal ф $\lambda^{\prime}$ úapot к．т．$\left.\lambda.\right]$＇but also tattlers and busybodies；＇$\epsilon \pi a v \delta \rho \theta \omega \sigma, s$ of preceding epithet；beside being merely idle，they also contract and display a ＇mala sedulitas＇in both words and actions．$\Phi \lambda$ úapos，a $\dot{a} \pi$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma 6 \mu$ ．in N．T． （but see $\phi \lambda v a \rho \epsilon i v, 3$ John 10），as its derivation［inar－，fluere，Pott，Etymol． Forsch．Vol I．212］obviously sug． gests，points to a babbling，profuent， way of talking．חIepiepros（set Acts xix．19）marks a meddling habit，a per－ verted activity that will not content itself with minding its own concerns， but must busy itself about those of others；comp． 2 Thess．iii． $1 \mathrm{I}, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{\nu}$
 ［Demosth．］Philipp．iv．150， $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{\omega}$

入a入oíal к．т．${ }^{\text {．］］＇speaking the things }}$ which they ought not，＇carrying things




 $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \ell_{o \nu \tau a}$, compare notes on Tit. i. II.
14. $\beta$ oú $\lambda$ opal] 'I desire;' not merely 'I hold it advisable,' De Wette, 'velim,' Beza, comp. notes on ch ii. 8. The comparison of this verse with ver. II is instructive; there the widows themselves $\theta \epsilon \lambda$ дovalv $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$; their $\theta \epsilon$ $\lambda \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ lead them to it (Eph. ii. 3); their will is to marry ; here St Paul desires ('de'iberato et propenso animo,' Tittm.) that-not being on the listthey would do so. Chrys. makes no
 ßoùخo $\mu$ aı кà $\gamma \omega \dot{\omega}$ к.т.入. As a general rule, the distinction of Tittmann, Synon. 1. p. $1 \mathbf{2}_{4}$, 一' $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ nihil aliud est quam simpliciter velle, neque in se habet notionem voluntatis propensie ad aliquam rem, sed $\beta$ ouv $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a r$ denotat ipsam animi propensionem,'-will be found satisfactory, but in the application of it to individual cases proper caution must be used. It ought to be remarked that $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ is very far more frequently used by St Paul than $\beta$ ov́ $\lambda$., the latter occurs only i Cor. xii. if, 2 Cor. i. 15 , and $\mathrm{I}_{7}$ (Lachm.), Plil. i. 12, $^{\text {I Tim. ii. } 8 \text {, vi. } 9 \text {, Tit. iii. }}$ 8, Philem. 13 ; once only I Cor. l.c. in reference to God (the Holy Glost). Bov́n. is most ustd by St Luke in the Acts, where it occurs thirteen times, and consequently, if we except quotations, rather more frequently than $\theta \epsilon$ $\lambda \omega$. oűv has liere its proper collective force (Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 717), 'in consequence of these things being so, I desire,' \&ec.; 'igitur,' Beza,-not an injudicious change for ' ergo,' Vulg., as there is here no 'gravior argumentatio;' see Hand, Tursell. Vol. iII. p. 187.
$\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\ell} \mathrm{pas}]$ 'younger widows,' not
merely 'younger women,' as Auth.; still less 'Jungfrauen,' as Baur. The context seems to confine our attention simply to widows. The true aspect of this precept is, as $W$ iesinger observes, defined by oîv here, and $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ver. 15 ; the precept involves its own restrictions. The Apostle desires the younger widows to marry, rather than attempt a course of duties which they might swerve from or degrade ; comp. Chrys. texvoy., olso8.] 'to bear children, to rule the house;' regular inf. after verhs denoting 'a motion of the will,' Jelf, Gr. § $66_{4}$; comp. Winer, Gr. §44. 3, p. 287. Both words are $\alpha^{2 \pi} \pi . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$. in the N.T.; the substantive $\tau \epsilon \kappa$ vo $\begin{aligned} & \text { ovia }\end{aligned}$ however occurs ch. ii. 15, and olko $\delta \epsilon \sigma$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s$ several times in the first three gospels. Both the latter subst. and its verb belong to later Greek, olkias
 olкoঠєбпó $\eta \eta$ s, Phrynichus; so Pollux, Onom. x. 2 I: further exx. are cited by Lobeck, on Phryn. p. 373. It is an untenable position that $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \tau \rho o \phi$. is included in $\tau \epsilon \kappa v o \gamma o \nu$. (Möller); if included in any word, it would far more naturally be so in oikoঠєбтoteiv (Leo), which points to the woman's sphere of domestic duties. Tथิ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\iota}$ $\kappa \in \propto \mu \nu \omega]$ 'to the adversary;' not 'the devil,' Chrys., for though this application derives sone plausibility from тoû $\Sigma a \tau$. ver. I5, yet the $\lambda o t \delta o \rho$. $\chi$ d$\rho / \nu$ seems far more naturally to suggest a reference to human opponents, -the adversaries of Christianity (Phil. i. 28, Tit. ii. 8) among the Jews or the Gentiles; so Hamm., De W., Wiesing. On this word, and the possibly stronger dıгєтаббо́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ ('qui in adversâ acie stantes oppugnant'), see Tittm. Synon. II. p. II. $\quad$. Soplas Xdpıv]'for reviling,' lit. 'to



16. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta]$ So Lachm. with ACFGN; 17. 47; Vulg. (Amit., Harl. ${ }^{1}$ ), Copt., Arm. The longer reading $\pi \iota \sigma \pi o \dot{s} \hat{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta}$ is adopted by Tisch. with DKL; nearly all mss.; Vulg. (Fuld., Tol., Harl. ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ), Syr. (both), Ar., Slav.; Chrys. (distinctly), Theod., Dam., al. (Griesb., De W., Wiesing.); though less easily to be accounted for than the shorter reading, it must now appy. give way to the definitely better attested reading in the text.
further, promote, reviling;' prepositional clause, appended to d doop $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \delta$ סóval to specify the manner in which, and purpose for which, the occasion would be used; on the meaning of $\chi$ ápı cnmp. notes on Gal. iii. 19, and Donalds. Cratyl. $\$ 278$. The 'reproach' must be understood as directed not merely against the widows, but against Christianity generally; comp. Tit. ii. 5.
15. ท̈ $\delta \eta$ үáp тtves] 'for already some,' sc. widows; amò $\pi \epsilon i \rho a s \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}^{\nu} \mu \mathrm{o}$ $\theta \in \sigma i a \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta \tau a l$, Theod. Matthies here gives the pronoun a more extended refereuce, but without sufficient reason; $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ clearly confirms the command in the preceding verse, and thus naturally refers us to the special cases of those mentioned in it. The in-
 by Tisch. (ed. 7) with AFG; al., is of less critical authority than the reading in the text.
 '(have) turned themselves out of the way,' sc. of chastity, propriety, and discretion : comp. 2 Tim. iv. 4. It is unnecessary to give this aberration a wider or more general reference,' from the faith' (Mosh.), 'from right teaching' (Heydenr.). The younger widows, to whom the Apostle alludes, had swerved from the path of purity and chastity, which leads to Cbrist, and followed that of sensuality, which leads to Satan: Christ was the true spouse, Satan the seducer.
 believing woman have widows, let her relieve them.' This might fairly seem a concluding reiteration of the precept in ver. 4 and ver. 8 , or a species of supplementary command based on the same principles (comp. Mosh.). The comexion however, and difference of terms, $\boldsymbol{\xi} \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon i \tau \omega$ not $\pi \rho o \nu 0 \epsilon i \tau \omega$, suggest a different applicatiou of the precept. In ver. 4,8 , the duties of children or grandchildren to the elder widow are defined: here the reference is rather to the younger widows. How were such to be supported? If they married, the question was at once answered; if they remained unmarried, let their relatives, fathers or mothers, uncles or aunts, brothers or sisters, support them, and not obtrude them on the $\chi \eta \rho \epsilon$ ò̀ $\tau$ á $\gamma \mu a$, ver. 9 , when they might be unfit for the duties of the office, and bring seandal on the church by their defection. The reading $\epsilon$ тарккl$\sigma \theta \omega$ (Lachm.) is well supported [AF GN] but may be due to an assimilation with the $\beta a \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$ that follows.
$\beta a p \epsilon(\sigma \theta \omega]$ 'be burdened,' Luke xxi. 34, 2 Cor. i. 8, v. 4; later and less correct form for $\beta a p i v \varepsilon \iota \nu$. The assertion of Thomn. M. s.v., $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ тov̂ $\pi a \rho a \kappa \epsilon t-$
 $\beta$ а́ $\eta к а$, is somewhat doubtful ; $\beta \epsilon \beta a$. $\rho \eta \omega$ 's (intrans.) is used by Homer, and $\beta \epsilon \beta a \rho \eta \mu \notin \nu o s$ certainly appears in Plato, Symp. p. 203 в, as well as in Aristides (cited by Thom. M.), but the latter

Let the elders who rule well receive double honour ; be guarded in receiving accusations against them. Rebuke simners.
 $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \varsigma \quad т \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \dot{\alpha} \xi_{\imath} о v ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu, \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ оi

passage is an imitation of Homer, and the former has a very poetical cast; the use of $\beta \epsilon \beta$ áp $\quad \mu a \iota$ as the regular Attic perfect (Huther) cannot therefore be completely substantiated: compare Buttm. Irreg. Verbs, s.v. $\beta$ apúvo.
 rule, preside (surely not 'have presided,' Alf.), well;' not in any special antithesis to those 'who preside ill.' but in contra-distinction to other presbyters, to the presbyter as such (Wiesing.). The meaning of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \rho 0 \epsilon \sigma$ távat is approximately given by Chrys.
 $\mu o v i a s$ èveкev; this however too much obscures the idea of rule and directive functions (Bloomf.) implied in the participle $\pi \rho \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau . ;$ comp. ch. iii. 4.
 muneration;' double, not in comparison with that of widows or deacons (Chrys. r, comp. Thorndike, Relig. Assembl. 18. 22), vor even of oi $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa a \lambda$. $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \sigma \tau$. (comp. ol $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \nu \nu \tau \epsilon s$, ver. 20) but, with a less definite numerical reference, 一 $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ (not $\delta \iota \pi \lambda a \sigma i a s \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$, as in Plato, Legg. v. p. 730 D ), i.e. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$, Chrys. 2, $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{i o v o s ~}^{\tau} \tau \mu \hat{\eta} s$, Theod. Ti $\mu \grave{\eta}$ again, as $\tau i \mu a$ in ver. 3 , includes, though it does not precisely express, 'salary, remuneration,' and is well paraphrased by Chrys. as $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a$ [каi] $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa a i \omega \nu \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \gamma i a, ~ c o m p . ~$ Clem. Rom. I Cor. 1. Kypke (Obs. Vol. rI. p. $3^{61}$ ) cites several instances of a similar use of $\tau(\mu \dot{\eta}$, but in all, it will be observed, the regular meaning of the word is distinctly apparent: comp. Wakef. Sylv. Crit. Vol. Iv. p. 199.

'be counted worthy,' Auth., 'digni habeantur,' Vulg., comp. Syr., not
merely 'be rewarded,' Hammond. They were $\not \xi \iota \iota \iota \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$, and were to be accounted as such. oi котเิิขтєs к.т. $\lambda$.] ' they who labour in word and doctrine;' no hendiadis, scil. $\epsilon i s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i \delta \alpha \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o u ̂ \lambda o \gamma o v(C o r a y$, al.), but with full inclusiveness,- in the general form of oral discourse (whether monitory, hortatory, or prophetic), and the more special form of teaching; see Thorndike, Prim. Gov. Ix. 3, Vol. I. p. 42 (A.C. Libr.). Mosheim (de Reb. ante Const. p. 126 sq.) throws a stress upon кortêvits, urging that the verb does not imply merely 'Christianos erudire, sed populos veræ religionis nescios ejus cognitione inbuere,' p. 127. We should then have two, if not three classes (comp. I Thess. v. 12),- the preachers abroad, and rulers and preachers at home, the former of which might be tbought worthy of more pay: this is ingenious, but it affixes a peculiar theological meaning to колı $\alpha \omega$ which cannot be fully substantiated; comp. ch. iv. Io, I Cor.iv. I2, al. The concluding words, $\epsilon \nu \lambda 6 \gamma \varphi$ кal $\delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa$., certainly seem to imply two kinds of ruling presbyters, those who preached and taught, and those who did not; and though it has been plausibly urged that the differentia lies in коть $\hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$, and that the Apostle does not so much distinguish between the functions as the execution of them (see esp. Thorndike, Prim. Gov. Ix. 7), it yet seems more natural to suppose that in the large community at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{l}}$ hesus there would exist a clerical college of $\pi \rho 0 \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho 0<$ (Thorudike, ib. III. 2), some of whom might have the $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \mu a$ of teaching more eminently than others; see notes on Eph. iv. If,

## 

and Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 149 sq. (Bohn).
 tation is taken from Deut. xxv. 4, and is quoted with a similar application in : Cor. ix. 9. The Jaw in question, of which the purport and intention was kindness and consideration for animals (see Philo, de Human. § 19, Vol. II. p. 400, ed. Mang., Joseph. Antiq. IV. 8. 2I), is applied with a kind of 'argumentum a minori' to the labourers in Gol's service. The precept can hardly be said to be generalized or expanded (see Kling, Stud. u. Krit. 1839 , p. 834 sq.), so much as reapplied and invested with a typical meaning. And this typical or allegorical interpretation is neither arbitrary nor of mere Rabbinical origin, but is to be referred to the inspiration of the Holy Spirit under which the Apostle gives the literal meaning of the words their fuller and deeper application; comp. notes on Gal. iv. 24.
Boûv dंगoŵvta] 'an ox while treading out the corn;' not 'the ox that treadeth,' \&cc., Auth.,-an inexact translation of the anarthrous participle; comp. Donalds. Gramm. § 492. Threshing by means of oxen was (and is) performed in two ways; either the oxen were driven over the circularly arranged heaps, and made to tread them out with the hoof (Hosea x. If, comp. Micah iv. 13), or they were attached to a heavy threshing-wain (Heb. חָרוּ, Isaiah xxviii. 27, , מוֹרַ, xli. I5, or ברּרָנְים , Judges viii. 7, see Bertheau in loc.), which they drew over them, see esp. Winer, $R W B$. Art. 'Dreschen,' Bochart, Hieroz. Vol. i. p. 310, and the illustrations in Thomson, Land and the Book, Vol. II. p. 314. There is some little doubt pbout the order; Lachm. reads ov $\phi_{t}$.
$\beta$. $\dot{\lambda} \lambda$. with AC; seven mss.; Vulg., Syr. [incorrectly claimed by Tisch.], Copt., Arm ; Chrys., al. As this might have been a correction from 1 Cor. l.c., and as the weight of MS. authority is on the other side, it seems best to retain the order of the text. oú фццஸ́णєเs] 'thou shalt not muzzle;' imperatival future, on the various usages of which see notes on Gal. v. 14, and Thiersch, de Pentat. MII. S in, p. I57. The animals that laboured were not to be prevented from enjuying the fruits of their labours (Joseph. Antiq. Iv. 8. 21), as was the custom among the heathens in the case of their cattle (comp. Bochart, Hieroz. Vol. I. 401), and even (by means of a $\pi$ avaıkám , Poll. Onom. vil. 20) in the case of their slaves; see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. mavaık. Vol. II. p. 774 .
 tion (Stier, Red. Jes. Vol. I. p. 400 ) made use of by our Lord (Luke x. 7, comp. Matth. x. 10), and bere repeated by St Paul to enhance the force of, and explain the application of, the precering quotation. There is nothing in the connexion to justify the assertion that this is a citation from the N. T. (Theod.), and thus necessarily to be connected with $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \ldots . . \dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, as is contended by Baur and others who deny the genuineness of this Epistle; $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, it need scarcely be said, being always applied by St Paul to the Old Test.; comp. Wieseler, Chronol. p. 303, and see notes on 2 Tim. iii. 16. Though a similar mode of citation is found elsewhere in the case of two actual passages of scripture (Mark vii. 10, Acts i. 20, compare Heb. i. 10), yet we must remember that this is not a case of two parallel citations, but that the second is only explanatory of the first; the compari-




son therefore fails. Even De W. admits that Baur has only probability in his favour.
i9. Katà $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau$ fpou] 'Against an elder,' Vulg., Goth.; not 'an elderly man,' Chrys., Theoph., Ecum. The context clearly relates only to presbyters. катпүopiav] 'a charge, an accusation;' оік єโтє $\delta \varepsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$
 Theoph. It has been asked (De W.) whetber Timothy is not to observe the judicial rule here alluded to (Deut. xvii. 6 , xix. 15, comp. Mattb. xviii. 16, 2 Cor. xiii. 1) in all cases as well as merely in the case of an elder. The answer is, that Timothy was not a judge in the sense in which the exercise of that office was presupposed by the command. He might have been justified in receiving an accusation at the mouth of only one witness; to prevent however the scandals that would thus frequently occur in the church, the Apostle specifically directs that an accusation against an elder is only to be received when the evidence is most legally clear and satisfactory.
tkxòs el $\mu$ in] 'except it be,' I Cor. xiv. 5, xv. 2; a pleonastic negation, really compounded of two exceptive formule; comp. Tbom. M. s.v. $\chi \omega \rho t$, and see the exx. cited by Wetst. on I Cor. xiv. 5, and by Lobeck, Phryn. p. 459.
\& iti 8vo к. т. $\lambda$.] 'on the authority of ['on the mouth of,' Syr.] two or three witnesses;' comp. Xen. Hell. vi. 5. 4 I, $\epsilon \pi$ ' $\dot{\lambda}\langle\gamma \omega \omega \nu \ldots \mu \rho \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$, ' paucis adhibitis testibus;' Winer, Gr. § 47. g, p. 335. Huther finds a difficulty in this meaning of $\xi \pi i$ with the gen. Surely nothing can be more simple.

As $\in \pi i$ with a gen. properly denotes superposition (see Donaldson, Cratyl. § 173 ), the кат $\quad$ rүopia is represented as resting upon the witnesses, depending on them to substantiate it: comp. Hammond. The closely allied use, $\epsilon \pi l$ $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} y, \delta i k a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i o v, ~ \& c c$., in which the presence of the parties (coram) is more brought into prominence (i Cor. vi. I, 2 Cor. vii. 14), is correctly referred by Kühner (Jelf, Gr. § 633) to the same primary meaning. The idea of 'connexion or accompaniment,' which Peile (following Matth. Gr. § $584 \eta$ ) here finds in $\epsilon \pi l$, is not sufficiently exact: see further exx. in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. $\epsilon \pi i$, Vol. I. p. 1034.
20. Toìs ápaptávovtas] ‘Then that sin, sinners;' apparently not the offending presbyters (Huth., Alf.), as the expression is far too comprehensive to be so limited, but sinners generally, 'persistentes in peccato' (Pricæus ap. Pol. Syin.),-whether presbyters or others. This very constant use of the article with the pres. part. as a kind of equivalent for the subst. is noticed in Winer, Gr. § 45. 7, p. 3 16; see also notes on Gal. i. $23 . \quad$ evórmıv $\pi$ ávicuv must obviously be joined with ${ }^{\ell} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi^{\epsilon}$, not with $\dot{\alpha} \mu a p \tau$. (Cajet.). This text is perfectly recoucileable with our Lord's instruction (Matth. xviii. 15), not because 'Christus agit de peccato occulto, Paulus de publico' (Justiniani), but because, first, Timothy is here invested with special ecclesiastical authority (comp. Thorndike, Prim. Gov. ch. xill.), and secondly, because the present participle (contr. $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \alpha \rho \tau$. Matth. l. c.) directs



 works．
the thought towards the habitually sinful character of the offender（ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \mu \dot{\varepsilon}^{-}$－ $\nu_{\nu} \nu \tau a s \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau .$, Theoph．），and his need of an open rebuke；see notes on Eph．iv． 28.

2r．$\Delta$ เацарті́роцаı］＇I solemnly charge thee，＇＇obtestor，＇Beza，－or with full accuracy，＇obtestando Deum（Dei mentione interpositâ）graviter ac serio hortor，＇Winer，de Verb．c．Prepp．v． p．20；similarly used in adjurations， 2 Tim．ii．r4，iv．r．In I Thess．iv． 6 ，the only other passage in which it occurs in St Paul＇s Epp．［Heb．ii．6］， it has more the sense of＇assure，so－ lemnly testify；＇comp．Acts xx．21，23， 24．In this verb（used several times by St Luke），the preposition appears primarily to mark the presence or in－ terposition of some form of witness， ＇intercessionis（Vermittelung），ad quam omnis testimonii provincia redit，no－ tionem；＇Winer，l．c．p．21．On verbs compounded with $\delta, a$, ，see the remarks of Tittmann，Synon．I．p．223；and on the present and other uses of iva（here appy．purpose and purport united）， comp．notes on Eph．i．r7．$\quad$ тov̂ ©єov̂ к．т．入．］＇God and Christ Jesus．＇With the present reading this text cannot possibly be classed under Granville Sharpe＇s rule（Green，Gr．p．亿16），and even with the reading of the Rec．（кvp． ＇I．X．，with D ${ }^{3}$ KL ；mss．；Syr．，Goth．， al．；Chrys．，al．）the reference of the two substantives to one person is in the highest degree doubtful and pre－ carious：the Greek Ff．are here for the most part either silent，or adopt the usual translation；see notes on Eph．v． 5，Middleton，Art．p． 389 （ed．Rose）， Stier on Eph．Vol．I．p． 250.

angels；＇＇he adds＇the elect angels＇ because they in the future judgnent shall be present as witnesses with their Lord，＇Bp．Bull：comp．Joseph．Bell． 11．16． 4 sub fin．（cited by Otto and Krebs），$\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\dot{\prime}} \rho \rho \mu a ، \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\dot{v}} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\theta \in o \hat{0}$ ．There is some little difficulty in deciding on the meaning of the term $\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau o t$ ．It surely cannot be a mere ＇epitheton ornans＇（Huther；compare Calv．，Wiesing．），nor does it seem pro－ bable that it refers to those of a higher， as opposed to those of a lower rank （Cathar．ap．Est．；comp．Tobit xii． ${ }^{15}$ ），as all such distinctions are at best uncertain and precarious；comp．notes on Col．i．16．With such passages as 2 Peter ii．4，Jude 6，before us，it seems impossible to doubt that the ＇elect angels＇are those who kept their first estate（Chrys．，Theoph．，Ecum．）， and who shall form part of that count－ less host（Jude 54 ，Dan．vii．10）that shall attend the Lord＇s second advent； so Stuart，A ngelology，iv． 2 （in Biblioth． Sacra，1843，p．103）；comp．also Twes． ten，Angelol．$\S 3$（translated in Bibl． Sacr．for $1_{44}$, p． 782 ）．On the exist－ ence and ministry of these Blessed Spirits see the powerful and admirable sermons of Bp．Bull，Engl．Works，p． 194 sq．тaûta］＇thcse things，＇ which have just been said（ver．19，20）， about caution in receiving accusations， and necessary exercise of discipline when sin is patent；so Theod．（ex－ pressly）and the other Greek expositors． De W．and Wiesing．refer raûra only to ver．20，but would not roûto have thus been more natural？At any rate it seems clearly unsatisfactory to ex－ tend the reference to ver． 1 亿 sq．（Huth．？
$\pi \rho о к \rho i ́ \mu а \tau о \varsigma, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi о \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат̀̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \nu . \quad$ Xєîpas 22

al.): instruction about the exercise of discipline might suitably be connected with the weighty adjuration in ver. 21 , but scarcely mere semi-fiscal arrangements.

X wpls трокрі$\mu$ aros] ' without prejudice, prejudying' (' faúrdômein,' Goth.) ; ‘judicium esse debet, non prajudicium,' Beng. In the participial clause that follows the contrary aberration from justice is forbidden, scil. 'inclinatio per farorem,'
 $\epsilon \nu l \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon l$, Theoph. The reading $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma-$ ${ }_{\kappa} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ (Lachm. with ADL; al. 50 ; Copt.? Chrys.?), though deserving some consideration on the principle 'proclivi lectioni præstat ardua,' can scarcely be forced into yielding any natural sense. Both $\pi \rho o \kappa \rho$. and $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \lambda$. are $\ddot{\alpha} \pi . \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in the N. T. : the latter occurs also in Clem. Rom. I. 21, 47, 50 (comp. Polyb. Hist. v. 5 I. 8, vi. io. ro), Iren. Her. i. 6. I (ed. Mass.), and is illustrated by Krebs, Obs. p. $35^{6} \mathrm{sq}$. On the alleged distinction betwetn $\chi \omega \rho i s$ and $d \nu \in \nu$ see notes on Eph. ii. I 2.
22. Xєipas taxt ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ к.т. $\mathrm{\lambda}$.] 'Lay hands hastily on no man.' Indisputably the most ancient interpretation of these words is 'the impcisition of
 Chrys. ; so Theod., Theopb., (Ecum., and of modern expositors Alf., Wordsw., and Conyb., but without success in explaining the context. The preceding warnings however, and still more the decided language of the following clause (comp. $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \tau a s ~ v e r . ~$ 20) appear to point so very clearly to some disciplinary functions, that it seems best with Hammond (so also De Wette, Wiesing.) to refer these words to the $\chi$ єцpo $\theta_{\epsilon}$ cia on the absolution of penitents, and their re-admission to church-fellowship; so apry.

Taylor, Dissuasive, Part II. I. I1, though otherwise in Episcopacy, § i4. The prevalence in the apostolic age of the custom of imposition of hands generally, aud the distinct evidence of this specific application of the custom in very early times (Euseb., Hist. vir. 2, calls it a $\pi \alpha \lambda a \iota o ̀ v ~ t ̈ o s ; ~ s e e ~ C o n c i l . ~$ Nic. Can. 8), seem to render such an assumption in the present case by no means arbitrary or indemonstrable; see esp. Hammond in loc. and comp. Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 1517, Bingham, Antiq. xviII. 2. .
$\mu \eta \delta$ кє коเขต́vєє к.т. $\lambda$.] 'nor yet share in the sins of others,' i.e. $\mu \eta \delta \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{y}$ got kal
 Gr. § з o. 8, p. 180 ; 'do not share with them their sins, by restoring them to church-fellowship on a doubtful or imperfect repentance.' The rendering of Auth., 'be partaker of' (' mache dich theilhaftig,' De Wette), is not quite sufficiently exact, as this would rather imply a gen. Kolvaveî is commonly used in the N. T. with a 'dativus rei' (see notes on Gal. vi. 6), and in this construction seems to involve more the idea of community than of simple participation; see Winer, l.c., Poppo on Thucyd. II. 16, Vol. III. 2, p. 77, and comp. notes on Eph. v. נI. On the continued negation $\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \mu \eta \delta t$, see notes on Eph. iv. 27 , and the treatise of Franke, de Part. Neg. II. 2, p. 6. The remark of De W . on this clause seems reasonable, that if the reference were to ordination, this sequence to the command would imply a greater corruption in the Church than is at all credible. To admit that $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau i a c s$ points to $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{p}{ }^{2}$ conceive that presbyters are referred to in the latter expression and candidates for ordination in the former

 $24 \sigma o v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i a s . T(\nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ai $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ d \eta \lambda \lambda i$
(Alf., Wordsw.), is a narrow and somewhat cheerless view of a church which, with all its faults, could not bear 'them which were evil,' and knew how to reject false apostles (Rev. ii. 2).
oreautòv к.т.入.] 'Keep thyself (emphatic) pure;' 'purum,' Beza, not 'castum,' Vulg., Clarom. The positiou of the reflexive pronoun and the sort of antithesis in which it stands to $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho$. seem to imply, 'while thou hast to act as judge upon other men, be morally pure thyself.' 'A $\gamma^{\nu} \delta$ ( $\left.d \zeta \omega\right)$, as its termination suggests (' object conceived under certain relations,' Donalds. Cratyl. § 255), inıplies properly an outward, and thence an inward purity; ' $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \dot{\nu} v$ est in quo nihil est impuri,' Tittmann, Synon. 1. p. 22; comp. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta$, $\quad$ Pet. iii. 2, бoфla $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \dot{\eta}$, James iii. 17, and see Trench, Synon. Part II. § 38. The derivative sense of 'castitas' (' puritas a venere,' $\dot{a} \gamma \nu \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{s} \lambda \epsilon \in \chi o v s, \gamma a \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, Valck., Eur. Phcen. 953) comes easily and intelligibly from the primary meaning ; comp. 2 Cor. xi. 2, Tit. ï. 5, and Reuss, Théol. Chrét. iv. 16, Vol. i. p. r 70 , except that he adopts this derivative meaning far too generally. On the distinction between it and drcos ('in $d \gamma \omega o s$ cogitatur potissimum verecundia quæ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \hat{\varphi}$ rei vel personæ debetur'), compare Tittmann, loc. cit.

23. $\mu \eta \kappa$ ќть $\mathbf{v} \delta \rho \circ \pi$.] ' Be no longer a water-drinker.' There is no necessity to supply 'only' (Conyb., Coray, al.); i $\delta \rho \circ \pi o \tau$. not being exactly identical with |  |
| :---: |
| $\delta$ |$\pi / \nu \epsilon \omega$, but pointing more to the regular habit; comp. Artemidorus, I. 68 (Wetst.), $\pi / \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu u ̈ \delta \omega \rho \psi \nu \chi \rho \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha-$


 $\dot{v} \delta \rho o \pi o \tau \epsilon і \downarrow$ к.т. $\lambda$., and see Winer, Gr.
§55. 8, p. $4^{22}$, and the numerous exx. cited by Wetst. in loc. The position of this precept in ref. to the context is certainly somewhat singular, and has given rise to many different explanations. The most natural view is that it was suggested by the previous exhortation, to which it acts as a kind of limitation; 'keep thyself pure, but do not on that account think it neces. sary to observe an doupo $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \in i a \nu$ (Plutarch, de Iside et Osir. § 6), and ascetical abstinences.' To suppose that the Apostle puts it down here just as it came into his mind, fearing he might otherwise forget it (Coray in loc.), seems very unsatisfactory; still more so to regard it as a hint to Timothy to raise his bodily condition above maladies, which, it is assumed, interfered with an efficient discharge of his duties (Alf.). That the Apostle's 'genuine child in the faith' (ch. i. 2 ) was feeble in body is certain from this verse ; that this feebleness affected his character is, to say the very least, a most questionable hypothesis. It may be remarked, in conclusion, that some ascetic sects, e. g. the Essenes, were particularly distinguished for their abstinence from wine, especially on their weekly festival ; $\pi о \tau \delta \nu v \delta \omega \rho$ va $\mu a \tau \iota a i o \nu$ aưroîs є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota$, Philo, de Vit. Cont. § 4, Vol. 1I. p. 477, see $\S 9$, p. 483, and comp. Luke i. ${ }_{5} 5$, Rom. xiv. 25 .
Sià tòv бтómaxóv orov] 'on account of thy stomach.' Wetstein and Kypke very appropriately cite Libanius, Epist. 1578, $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon$ каl $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\text { ó }} \sigma \tau \delta \mu а \chi$ оs
 noun is omitted by $A D^{1} \aleph$, and thus, to some extent, may be considered of doubtful authority.


## 

connexion is not perfectly obvious． Heinsius（Exercit．p．49r），not with－ out some plausibility，includes ver． 23 with the last clause of ver． 22 in a parenthesis．This seems scarcely ne－ cessary；$\sigma \epsilon a u \tau \delta \nu$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．is a supple－ mentary command in reference to what precedes ；ver． 23 is a kind of limita－ tion of it，suggested by some remem－ brance of Timothy＇s habits．The Apostle then reverts to $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ ког． $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau$ ．with a sentiment somewhat of this nature．＇There are two kinds of sins，the one crying and open which lead the way，the other silent which follow the perpetrator to judgment； so also there are open and hidden（ $\tau \dot{\mathrm{c}}$
 ever and good works alike shall ulti－ mately be brought to light and to judgment．＇The two verses thus seem mainly added to assist Timothy in his diagnosis of character；ver． 24 ap－ pears to caution him against being too hasty in absolving others；ver． 25 against being too precipitate in his censures；so Huther．
тро́\＆ŋ入ol］＇openly manifest：＇the pre－ position does not appear to have so much a mere temporal as an intensive reference；see Heb．vii．14，where Theod．remarks，$\tau \dot{d} \pi \rho \delta \delta \eta \lambda o \nu$ is d $\alpha, \nu \nu-$ $\tau i \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \tau 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon L \kappa \varepsilon$ ；comp．also $\pi \rho o \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega$ Gal．iii． 1 ，and notes in loc．So sinii－ larly Syr．and Vulg．，both of which suppress any temporal reference in the prep．Estius compares＇propalam，＇－ a form in which Hand similarly gives to＇pro＇only an amplifying and in－ tensive force，＇ut palam propositam rem plane conspiciamus，＇Tursellinus， Vol．Iv．p． $59^{8 .}$

тро⿱㇒木⺕оибаі к．т．入．］＇going before，leading the way， to judgment，＇as heralds and apparitors （＇quasi anteambulones，＇Beza）pro－ claiming before the sinner the whole
history of his guilt．The＇judgment＇ to which they lead the way is certainly not any ecclesiastical крiбıs，－－for does any such к $\rho$ íts really bring all sins and good deeds thus to light？－－but either＇judgment＇in its general sense with reference to men（Huth．），or， perhaps with ultimate reference to ＇the final judgment＇（comp．Chrys．）； they go before the sinner to the judg－ ment seat of Christ；see Manning， Serm．5，Vol．III．p．72，in the opening of which this text is forcibly illus－ trated．To limit the kplats to the case of candidates for ordination（Alf．， Wordsw．）is to give to a verse almost obviously and studiedly general a very narrow and special interpretation．So much was this felt by Basil，that we are told by Theoph．（on ver．24）that he conceived the present portion to have no connexion with the $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o r o \nu l \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \delta \gamma^{\prime} \nu$, but to form a sepa－ rate кєф́́入alon：comp．Cramer，Caten． Vol．VI．p．44，where this and the following verses form an independent section．kal émako入ovӨov́бıv］ ＇they rather follow after，＇sc．єis крiбцข； not merely indefinitely，＇they follow after，and so in their shorter or longer course become discovered，＇De W．，－ an explanation which completely de－ stroys the image and apposition，－but， ＇the sins crying for vengeance follow the sinner to the tribunals whether of his fellow－men，or，more inclusively， of his all－judging Lord ；＇oú $\gamma \dot{d} \rho \sigma u \gamma$－
 $\sigma \iota v$, Theoph．；comp．Manning，l．c． On $\epsilon \pi a к о \lambda$ ．see notes on ver．10：the antithesis $\pi \rho o-a$ rovalal precludes the assumption of any special force in $\epsilon \pi l$ ， scil．＇presse sequi，＇di $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi a ́ \sigma \tau \omega s ~ \sigma u \nu 0-$
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ，Coray；the only relations pre－ sented to our thoughts seem those of


人
 and brethren．Teach this．
before and after．Kail clearly does not belong to rain（Huther），but is at－ tacked with a kind of descensive force to éraкод．；see notes on Gal．iii． 4.

25．ஸ́aútws］＇in like manner ；＇ good works are in this respect not is $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ to sins，the same characteristic division may be recognised；some are open witnesses，others are secret wit－ nesses，but their testimony cannot be suppressed．Lachmann inserts $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ after由jauúras，with AFG；Aug．，Bern．， Goth．：this reading is not improbable， but has scarcely sufficient external support．
 ＇their good works；＇the repetition of the article is intended to give pro－ minence to the epithet and more fully to mark the antithesis between the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i a \iota$ and the $\kappa a \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ddot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$ ；see Mid－ dleton，Art．chap．viII．p．II 4（ed． Rose），comp．Winter，Gr：$\$ 20$ ．a，p． 120. On the somewhat frequent use of the expression ка入à $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \gamma a$ in these Dp．， comp．notes on Tit．iii． 8.
 otherwise，＇ie．which are not $\pi \rho o ́ o \partial \eta \lambda a$. To refer this to ка入̀ alike mars sense and parallelism．In the concluding words the paraphrase of Huther，＇they cannot always remain hidden＇（ $\kappa \rho \cup \beta \hat{\eta}$－ $\nu a l$ ），is scarcely exact：the arr．inf．， though usually found after $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ ，$\delta \dot{\nu} \nu a-$ $\mu u \iota$ ，dec．（Finer，Gr．§ 44．7，p．298）， cannot wholly lose its significance， but must imply that the deeds cannot be concealed at all．They may not be patent and conspicuous（ $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}-\delta \eta \lambda a$ ）， but they cannot be definitely covered up：they will be seen and recognised some time or other．

The reading in this last clause is not quite
certain；$\delta$ v́varal is supported by FG KLN and most mss．；the plural only by AD and about 30 mss ：this latter reading is however certainly to be preferred on internal grounds，as the singular may so easily have been a grammatical correction．
 ＇under the yoke as bond－servants；＇ not＇servants．．．．．．under the yoke，＇ Auth．；still less＇under the yoke of
 Syr．，）a needless $\not \approx v$ dià סvoîv．$\Delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda o c$ is not the subject，but an explanatory predicate appended to $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\grave{o}} \varsigma v \gamma \dot{\prime}$, words probably inserted not to mark an ex－ treme case（＇the harshest bondage，＇ Blooms．），－for the language and ex－ hortation is perfectly general，－but to point to the actual circumstances of the case．They were indisputably $\dot{v} \pi \bar{o}$ juyòv doûdoc，let them comport them－ selves accordingly．Similar exhorta－ lions are found Eph．vi． 5 sq．，Col． iii．22，Tit．ii． 9 ；comp．I Cor．vii． 2 I， where however the meaning is not perfectly certain，all apparently di－ rected against the very possible mise－ conception that Christianity was to be understood as putting master and bondservant on an equality，or as in－ terfering with the existing social vela－ lions．tout Lots $\delta \in \sigma \pi$ ．］＇their own masters，＇those who stand in that distinct personal relation to them，and whom they are bound to obey；see esp．the note on $\delta \delta \iota o s$ on Eph．v． 22. On the distinction between $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \sigma \eta s$ and кúpoos［кúp．ruvacкòs кaì vîûv àvŋ̀̀ $\kappa а i \quad \pi u \tau \dot{\eta} \rho, \delta \in \sigma \pi$ ．$\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \nu \rho \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ ， Ammonius，8．v．］，see Trench，Synon．





§ 28. St Paul here correctly uses the unrestricted term $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\delta} \tau \eta$ s as more in accordance with the foregning $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi} \mathrm{o}$ ju $\quad$ o $\nu$, comp. Tit. ii. 9 ; it is noticeable that in his other Epp. he uses $\kappa$ v́pos. דáaŋs $\tau<\mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}]$ 'all honour;' honour in every form and case in which it is due to them. On the true extensive meaning of $\pi$ âs, see notes on Eph. i. 8. गं $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda(\alpha]$ ' the doctrine,' sc. 'His doctrine,' Syr., Auth.: comp. Tit. ii. 10, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau 0 \hat{0} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta_{\varepsilon} \hat{v}$. $\quad \Delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa$. clearly points to the Gospel, the evangelical doctrine (Theod.), which would be evil spoken of, if it were thought to inculcate insubordination; see Chrys. in loc.
2. movoús] 'believing,' i.e. Christian masters; slightly emphatic, as the order of the words suggests. The slaves who were under heathen masters were positively to regard their masters as deserving of honour, the slaves under Christian masters were negatively not to evince any want of respect. The former were not to regard their masters as their inferiors, and be insubordinate, the latter were not to think them their equals, and be disrespectful.
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{0 \nu}$ Soul.] 'the more serve them;' $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is not merely corrective, 'potius serviant,' Beza, but intensive, ' the rather,' Hamm., 'magis serviant,' Vulg., Goth. Beza's correction, as is not unfrequently the case, is therefore here unnecessary; see Hand, Tursell. s.v. 'magis,' Vol. III. p. 554 .

ӧть \#ьттоі к.т.入.] 'because believing and beloved (of God) are,' \&c. There is some little difficulty in the construc-
tion and explanation. The article however shows that ol $a^{2} \tau i \lambda$. is the subject, $\pi / \sigma \tau o l$ кal $\mathfrak{a} \gamma$, the predicate: the recurrence of the epithet $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o l$, and the harmony of structure, still further suggest that the masters, and not the servants (Wetst., Bretschn.), are the subjects alluded to. The real difficulty lies in the interpretation of the following words. oi...avtl$\left.\lambda_{a \mu} \beta a v o ́ \mu.\right]$ 'they who are partakers of,' 'qui...participes sunt,' Vulg., Clarom.; so too Copt., Goth., Arm., comp. Syr. ${ }^{x}{ }^{x}{ }^{2}$ requie fruuntur]. ' $A \nu \tau i \lambda a \mu \beta$. is used in two other passages in the N. T., in both in the sense 'succurrere,' Luke
 Acts xx. 35. This is obviously inapplicable. The usual (ethical) meaning in classical Greek is 'to take a part in,' 'to engage in,' whether simply, e.g. Thucyd. II, $8, \alpha y \tau i \lambda$. (sc. the war), or with reference to the primitive meaning, in a more intensive sense, 'to cling to,' and thence 'to secure, get possession of,' e.g. Thucyd. iti. 22 ad fin., $\tau 0 \hat{u} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda o \hat{s}$ a $\dot{\nu} \tau i \lambda$. It does not then seem a very serious departure from the classical meaning of $\alpha \nu \tau \lambda \lambda$. to take it, with a subdued intensive force, as 'percipere,' 'frui' (see Euseb.
 cited by Scholef. Hints, p. 120, and exx. in Elsner, Obs. Vol. II. p. 306), if we may not indeed almost give $\dot{\mu} \nu \tau l$ a formal reference to the reciprocal relation (comp. Coray) bet ween master and servant, and translate 'who receive in return (for food, protection,

 $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ирíov } \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}_{\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}} \kappa \alpha \hat{i} \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau$＇

If any one teach def－ ferently，he is besot－ ted，fosters disputes， and counts godliness a mere gain．Let us be contented；riches are a snare and a source of many sorrows．
dc．）their benefit．＇In either of these latter meanings，$\dot{\eta}$ ejep $\gamma$ ．will most simply and naturally refer to the ＇beneficium＇（not merely the evंepria， Coral）shown to the master in the services and $\epsilon y_{\nu o c a}$（Eph．vi．7）of the bondservant．Chrys．，al．，refer the eve $\rho \gamma \in \sigma$ la to the kind acts which the masters do to the slaves；this，though perhaps a little more lexically exact， is contextually far less satisfactory； and this seems certainly a case where the context may be allowed to have its fullest weight in determining the meaning of the separate words．To refer $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma$ la to the divine benevo－ lance（＇beneficentia Di，nimirum in Christo，＇Beza）seems manifestly un－ tenable．

тaûta к．т．入．］ ＇these things teach and exhort；＇$\tau \grave{\mu} \mu \dot{\text { en }}$ $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ тो̀ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ，Theod． Tisch．and Lachm．both refer these words to the next clause；so appy． Chrys．，but not GEum．It is doubt－ fut whether this is correct：the oppo－ sition between $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta a \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \delta$ ．is certainly thus more clearly seen，but the prominent position of $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$（con－ tract ch．iv．11）seems to suggest a more immediate connexion with what precedes．For the meaning of tapas． see notes ch．i．3，and on Eph．iv．I．

3．Éтєроסıסaбка入єi］］＇teaches other doctrine，＇＇plays the $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho о \delta \delta \delta \alpha ́ \sigma к а \lambda_{\text {os }}$ ；＇ comp．$\lambda a \theta \rho o \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ ，Iran．Herr． III．4．2，and see notes on ch．i．3，the only other passage in the N．T．where the word occurs．
$\pi$ тог＇ярхетаи］＇draws nigh to，＇＇as．
 Bentley（Phileleuth．Lips．p．72，Lond． 1713）objects to r $\rho \circ \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \rho \chi$ ．，suggesting
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon$ or $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ；there is no reason however for any change in the expression．$\Pi_{\rho o \sigma} \epsilon_{\rho} \rho$ ．，when thus used with an abstract subst．，appears to convey the idea of＇attention to，＇e．g． $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ тoîs $\nu o ́ \mu o s$, Did．Sic．I．95， $\pi \rho \circ \sigma . \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \lambda \lambda о \sigma \circ \phi i ́ q$, Philostr．Ep．Socr． II．16，and thence of＇assent to，ad－ heston to＇（comp．Acts x．28，and the term xor $\eta \lambda \nu \tau o \iota$ ），any principle or object，ecg．$\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ ，Philo， Migr．Abr．§ 16，Vol．I．p． 449 （ed． Many．），and still more appositely，rois
 næus，Fragm． 3 （faff，p．27）．Bretsch． cites Ecclus．i．30，but there $\phi \delta \beta \varphi$ $\mathrm{K} v \rho$ ．is clearly the dat．of manner． See Loesner，Obs．p． 405 sq．，where several other exp．are adduced from Philo．ìytaiv．入óyovs］＇sound （healthful）words；＇see notes on ch． i．so．toîs toû K vp．к．т． $\mathrm{\lambda}$ ．］ ＇those of our Lord Jesus Christ，＇ie． which emanate from our Lord，－either directly，or through His Apostles and teachers：not the gen．object，＇ser－ menes qua suit de Christo，＇Est．，but the gen．originis；comp．Hartung， Casts，p．23，and notes on I Thess．i． 6.
 the doctrine which is according to godli－ ness；＇clause cumulatively explanatory of the foregoing；＇verbal Christi verse suns doctrine ad pietatem faciens，＇ Grot．The expression $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \beta$ ． is not，＇que ad pietatem duct，＇Leo， Möl：er，－a meaning however which with some modifications may be gram－ matically defended（comp． 2 Tim．i．I， Tit．i．i，and see Whiner，Gr．s．v．кaтá， § 49 d．c，p．358，Ross u．Palm，Lex． ib．II．3，Vol，I．p．I598），－but ac－ cording to the usual meaning of the



prep．，＇quæ pietati consentanea est，＇ Est．；there were（to imitate the lan－ guage of Chrys．on Tit．i．I）different kinds of $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda l a$ ；this was specially $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta$ ．$\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda l a$ ．For the meaning of cú $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\ell} \beta$ ．，see notes on ch ． ii． 2.

4．тєти́ф由таи］Not simply＇super－ bus est，＇Vulg．，nor even＇inflatus est，＇ Clarom．，but＇he is beclouded，besotted， with pride，＇see notes on ch．iii．6．The apodosis begins with this verse：even if aфl $\sigma \tau a \sigma 0$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．（Rec．，ver．5）were genuine it would be impossible to adopt any other logical construction．
 thing；＇see notes on ch．i．7．If it had been ou＇$\delta \dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau$ ．，it would have been a somewhat more emphatic state－ ment of an absolute ignorance on the part of the $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o \delta \iota \delta d \sigma \kappa$ ．：it must be always observed however that this latter is a less usual construction in the N．T．，see Green，Gr．p．122．The connexion of $\mu \grave{\eta}$ and ovं with participles， a portion of grammar requiring some consideration，is laboriously illustrated by Gayler，Part．Neg．p．274－293．
vorêv $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{\zeta} \eta \tau$ ．］＇doting，ailing（opp． to íүıaiv．入ó $\gamma \circ$ ），about questions：＇$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ marks the object round about which the action of the verb is taking place； comp．notes on ch．i．ig．In the use of $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ with a gen．，the derivative meanings，＇as concerns，＇＇as regards，＇ greatly predominate：the primary idea however still remains：$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ with a gen．serves to mark an object as the central point，as it were，of the activity （e．g． 1 Cor．xii． 1 ，the $\pi \nu \epsilon v \mu$ ．$\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ formed as it were the centre of the arvota），the further idea of any action or motion round it is supplied by $\pi \epsilon \rho l$
with the accus．；comp．Winer，Gr． §47．e，p．334，Donalds．Gr．§482． On $\zeta \eta r \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ ，see notes on ch．i． 4 ． גоүонах［as］＇debates about words；＇ ＇verbal controversies；＇dл．入єү $\rho \mu . ;$ in Latin，＇verbivelitationes，＇Plaut．Asin． II．2． 4 I ，$\lambda$ б́үov $\pi \rho о \sigma$ á $\nu \tau \eta$ ，Greg．Naz Carm．I5，Vol．II．p．200：＇conten－ tiosas disputationes de verbis magis quam de rebns，＇Calv．These idle and barren controversies degenerate into actual strife and contention，and give rise to bad feelings and bitter expres－ sions of them：$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\partial}$ סokoбoфias $\epsilon \pi \eta \rho$－ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~ \epsilon \rho i ́ ̧ o v t \epsilon s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota, ~ C l e m . ~ A l e x . ~$ Strom．VII．p． 759 （cited by Hath．）． The reading is extremely doubtful．We still retain the plaral Epecs（as in ed．2）； but it must be observed that the ad－ dition of $\boldsymbol{x}$ to the evidence in favour of the singular renders it prcbable that the reading of ed．i（ $\epsilon \rho c s$ ）may be the most correct．In this，as in some few other passages，we pause till the pecu－ liarities of $\$$ are more fully ascertained， its authority in some portions of the N．T．being clearly not so great as it is in others．$\quad \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu[a$,$] ＇evil$ speakings，＇＇railings，＇－mot against God （Theod．），bat，as the context clearly implies，against one another ：comp． Eph．iv． 3 r and notes．On the deri－ vation of $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \omega$ ，see notes on ch． i．I3．$\dot{v} \pi b v o l a l$ mov．is simi－ larly referred to God by Chrys．and Theoph．；but the context here again seems clearly to limit the words to＇evil and malevolent surmisings＇against those who adopt other views．＂Tло⿱亠䒑⿱口儿， a $\psi_{\pi}$ ．$\lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \delta} \mu$ ．in the N．T．，occurs not unfrequently in classical Greek joined with epithets or in a context which convey an unfavourable meaning，e．g．
$\tau \rho \iota \beta a i \delta_{\iota \epsilon} \phi \theta a \rho \mu \dot{\iota} \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ тòv $\nu 0 \hat{v} \nu \kappa \alpha i \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta-$

 times even alone, e. g. Polyb. Hist. v.
 Leg. ad Caium, §6, Vol. Ir. p. $5^{\text {I }}$ (ed. Mang.), द̈ $\xi \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ tàs útovolas toû T $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ iov.
5. Sıaтаратрıßal] 'lasting conAicts,' 'obstinate contests;' 'conflicta-
 [contritio,-see Michael. in Cast. Lex. s.v.]. The prep. $\delta \iota a ̀$ has here its usual and primary force of 'thoroughness,' 'completeness,' intensifying the meaning of the binary compound maparpt$\beta a l$, scil. á $\mu о \iota \beta$ aîal каl $\dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa a l$ $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \rho$. , Coray; comp. Winer, $G r . \S$ 16. 4, p. 92. This latter word ( $\pi$ apaqp.), as its derivation suggests, properly signifies 'collisions,' thence derivatively, 'hostilities,' 'enmities,' comp. Polyb. Hist. II. $3^{6 .}$, , vimo廿iai $\pi \rho$ òs

 13.5, xxiri. 10. 4, al. There is then no allusion to moral contagion (comp. Chrys.), but to the collision of disputants whose mere גoyouaxiac had led at last to 'truces inimicitias.' To retain $\pi a \rho a \delta, a \tau \rho \iota \beta a i$ (Rec., 'profitless disputations'), as is still done by Bloomf., following Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 233 , is contrary to every principle of sound criticism: for (r) $\pi a-$ $\rho a \delta c a \tau \rho$. is found only in a few cursive mss. and Theopl., while $\delta \iota a \pi a \rho$. is found in ADFGLN ; great majority of msss.; Clem., Bas., Chrys. (Griesb., Scholz, Lachm., Tisch.) ; (2) it is highly probable that the reading $\pi a \rho a \delta c a \tau \rho$. was a correction, as compounds of $\delta(a-$ $\pi a \rho a$ are rare; and (3) $\pi a \rho a \delta \iota a \tau \rho$. is in fact expressed in $\lambda_{0}$ ouax. and superfluous, while the reading of the text is perfectly natural and consistent.

There are a few similar compounds, e.g. $\delta \iota a \pi \alpha \rho a r \eta \rho o \hat{\mu} \mu a, 2$ Sam. iii. 30 , $\delta t a \pi a \rho d \gamma \omega$, Greg. Nyss. Vol. ir. p. 177, $_{17} \delta a \pi a \rho a \sigma \nu ́ \rho \omega$, Schol. Lucian. Vol. II. p. 796 (Hemst.). $\delta \mathbf{\delta \iota \phi \theta a p \mu} .$. ròv voûv] ' cornupted in their mind.' There is no reason whatever for translating voûs 'intellect,' as Peile in loc., nor any scriptural evidence for the distinction he draws between the $\nu$ ous as 'the noetic (?) faculty, the understanding,' and the $\phi \rho \grave{\eta} \nu$ as 'the reason.' Nous is here, as not unfrequently in the N.T. (comp. Rom. i. 28, Eph. iv. ${ }_{17}$, Tit. i. $1_{5}$, al.), not merely the ' mens speculativa,' but the willing as well as the thinking part in man, the human $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ is one of its aspects, not simply 'quatenus cogitat et intelligit' (Olsh. Opusc. p. 156), but also 'qua. tenus vult:' $\phi \rho \dot{\eta} \nu(\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon s$ ) on the other hand only occurs twice, in I Cor. xiv. 20. For a detailed account of yoûs, see Beck, Seelenlehre, II. 18, p. 49 sq., Delitzsch, Bill. Psych. Iv. 5, p. 139 sq., and comp. also Olshausen, Opusc. p. 156, whose definitions are however rather too narrow. The accus., it need scarcely be remarked, is an accus. 'of the remoter object,' and specifies that part of the subject in or on which the action of the verb takes place, Winer, Gr. § 32. 5, p. 204, Scheuerl. Synt. 1x. 2, p. 65 . The origin of this construction is probably to be looked for in verbs with two accusatives, which when clanged into the passive retain the accus. rei unaltered; thence the usage became extended to other verbs, comp. Kruiger, Sprachl. § 52. 4. 2 sq., Hartung, Casus, p. 6 I sq.
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho . \tau \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{~d} \lambda$.]
'deprived of the truth;' immediate consequence of the foregoing: they were not only $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta u$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mathfrak{d} \lambda$. ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega$ however does not occur in N.T.), but




$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu$. ; the truth was taken away from them; comp. ch. i. 19, Tit. i. 14, where its first rejection is stated to be the act of the unhappy men themselves. $\quad$ торьб $\mu$ о̀ к. т. $\lambda$.] 'that godliness is a source of gain;' clearly not, as the article proves ( $\mathbf{J}$ elf, Gr. § 460. ) $)$, 'that gain is godl.,' as Syr. and Auth. IIopıoubs, here and ver. 6, appears to be not so much 'gain' in the abstract, as 'a source or means of gain' ('a gainful trade,' Conyb.); comp. Plutarch, Cato Major, § 25,
 каi $\phi \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{i}$; and on the termination $\cdot \mu \mathrm{s}$, Donalds. Crat. §253, Lobeck, Phryn. p. 5Ir. The sentiment of the verse is expressed more fully in Tit. i. II, $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ â $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ al $\sigma \chi \rho \circ \hat{v}$ кє́ $\rho \delta o u s$ $\chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota$. The Rec. inserts $\dot{\alpha} \phi i \sigma \tau a \sigma o$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o c o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ with KL, Syr. (both), al., but the authorities for the omission, $\mathrm{AD}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}$; Vulg., Clarom., Goth., Copt., al., very distinctly preponderat..
6. $\pi 0 \rho\llcorner\sigma \mu$ òs has here no immediate spiritual reference (Matth.) to future and heavenly gain (alaviov порi̧є $\ddagger \omega \dot{\eta} \nu$, Theod.), but points rather to the actual gain in this life, and the virtual riches which godliness when accompanied by aúrá $\rho$. (comp. notes on ch. i. I4, and on Eph. vi. 23) unfailingly
 каl $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o ́ v \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \iota \notin \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad$ [sic],
 sinnilarly Chrys., Theoph.: 'the heart, amid every outward want, is then only truly rich, when it not only wants nothing which it has not, but has that which raises it above what it has not,' Wiesinger. Pagan authors (see exx. in Suicer, Thes. Vol. I. p. .575) lave
similarly spoken of aúтápк. being gain; the Apostle associates aúrápк. with $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \beta$., and gives the mere ethical truth a higher religious significance. aưтapkelas] 'contentedness,' not 'com. petency,' Hamm.; ' sufficientia est animus suâ sortè contentus, ut aliena non appetat nec quidquam extra se quærat,' Justin. in loc.: compare the perhaps slightly more exact definition of Clem. Alex. Pad. if. 12, Vol. I,
 $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ oís $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ [see Estius], кal $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ aúv $\eta$ s
 $\lambda o i ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ plov. The subst. occurs again in 2 Cor. ix. 8, but objectively, scil. 'sufficiency,'-a meaning which obviously would not be suitable in the present case; av́rápкךs occurs Phil. iv. II.
7. ovi $\left.\delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha^{\alpha} \rho\right]$ Confirmation of the preceding clause, especially of the last words in it, $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ aùrapкelas. As we brought nothing into the world, and as that very fact renders the inference more than probable that we shall carry nothing out (comp. Job i. 21), our real source of gain must be something independent of wbat is merely additi-

 Theoph.: we entered the world with nothing, we shall leave the world with nothing, why should we then grasp after treasures so essentially earthly
 к.т. ${ }^{\text {.] ' we also cannot take any thing }}$ out;' these words are clearly emphatic, and contain the principal thought: 'excutit natura redeuntem sicut intrantem,' Senec. Epist. 102. It is this inalility to take anything away


which furnishes the most practical argument for the truth of the asser－ tion．If we could take anything out there would be an end to aúrápкeaa； our present and future lots would be felt to be too closely dependent on each other for us to acquiesce patiently in any assigned state：piety with content－ ment would then prove no great $\pi 0$－ pıo $\mu$ ós．

8．＇xovres 8e］＇but if we have；＇ conditional member（comp．Donalds． Gr．$\S 505$ ），introducing a partial con－ trast to what precedes：the $\delta \dot{\delta}$ is thus not for ouv，Syr．，－a particle which would give a different turn to the statement，－still less equivalent to kui，Auth．，but points to a suppressed thought suggested by ovidè $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \varepsilon v e \gamma \kappa \in \hat{i} \nu$ к．т．入．；＇something addititious we must certainly have while we are in this world，but if，\＆c．The oppositive force of the particle is thus properly preserved：＇aliquid in mente habet ad quod respiciens oppositionem infert，＇ Klotz，Devar．Vol．II．p．365，comp． notes on Gal．iii． 1 r．
Starpoфàs кal $\sigma k$ ．］＇food and cloth－ ing；＇both words är．$\lambda е \gamma o$ ó ．in the N．T．The prep．in the former subst． perhaps may hint at a fairly sufficient and permanent supply，comp．Xen． Mem．II．7． $6, \tau$ 名 $\tau \in$ oixia $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \delta \iota a-$ $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \in \iota$ каi $\zeta \hat{n}$ $\delta a \psi i \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ．The latter substantive probably only refers to ＇clothing，＇Clarom．，Arm．，not to＇shel－ ter，＇Goth．（？），Peile，or to both，as Vulg．（？）＇quibus tegamur，＇De W．； for see Aristot．Polit．VII．17，$\sigma \kappa \in \pi \alpha a \sigma \mu \alpha$ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{a} \mu \pi \tau \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$（Wetst．），and compare the passage cited by Wolf out of Sext． Empir．Ix．I，$\tau \rho о ф \hat{\eta}$ к каі $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi a \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 where it similarly does not seem neces－ sary（with Fabricius）to extend the re－
ference：soalso Chrys．，all theGk．expo－
 ［tegumentum］occurs elsewhere，e．g． Acts xii．8，in definite reference to a garment．$\quad d p \kappa \in \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \in \theta a]$＇$w e$ shall be satisfied：＇the use of the future is slightly doubtful．It does not seem exactly imperatival，Goth．，Auth．，－ though this meaning might be defend－ ed，see Winer，Gr．§ 43．5，p．282，nor even ethical，＇we ought to be，we must be so，＇comp．Bernhardy，Synt．x．5， p． 377 ，－but，as the following verse seems to suggest，more definitely future，and as stating what will ac－ tually be found to constitute av̉rd́pкea； ＇simul etiam affirmare aliquid intendit Apostolus，＇Estius，who with Hamm． refers to Syr．（＇sufficient to us are＇）， where this view is more roughly ex－ pressed：so appy．Green，Gr．p．${ }^{27}$ ， and De W．，who refers the future to what might＇reasonably be expected．＇ For the practical applications of this text see ro sermons by Bp．Patrick， Works，Vol．IX．p． 44 sq．（Oxf．1858）．
9．Ot $\mathbf{\delta \epsilon}$ к．т． $\mathrm{\lambda}$ ．］Class of persons opposed to those last mentioned． Chrys．with his usual acuteness calls attention to $\beta$ oùó $\mu \in \nu 0 \ell$ ；oủ $\chi \dot{a} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$

 оікоуонєì катафроуоө̂̀та аи̇т $\hat{\nu}$ ．
$\pi a \gamma(8 a]$＇$a$ snare；＇not＇snares，＇Syr． （comp．Bloomf．），but＇a snare，＇scil． tô̂ $\delta$ caßó ${ }^{\prime}$ ov，which is actually added by $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ FG；Vulg．，Clarom．，al．There is of course here no it $\delta \delta \alpha^{\alpha} \delta v o i v$（Coray）： the latter substantive somewhat speci－ fies and particularizes the former．The form the temptation assumed was that of an entangling power，from which it was not easy for the captive to ex． tricate bimself；comp．Möller in loc．



divon'rous] 'foolish:' on the proper meaning of this word, and its distinction from á $\phi \rho \omega \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{v} \varphi \in \tau o s$, see notes on Gal. iii. x. Three mss., Vulg., Clarom., Goth., read àvo ${ }^{\text {ńrous, }}$ a wholly unnecessary correction: the lusts involved elements of what was foolish as well as what was hurtful. Chrys. explains this by an enumeration of several specific instances.
altwes] 'which indeed,' 'seeing they;' explanatory of the foregoing epithets, more especially of the last: on the force of $\delta \sigma \tau / 5$ see notes on Gal. iv. 24 .及u0lYourเv...cls] 'plunge into,' 'whelm in;' only here and Luke v. 7: ' $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$ $\pi i \pi \tau \ldots . . . \beta u \theta i \xi$. tristis gradatio,' Beng. The word, as Kypke suggests, 'subinnuit infinita et ineluctabilia esse mala in quæ præcipites dantur avari,' Obs. Vol. II. p. 367 ; there is however no idea of 'preceps dari,' nor is it a metaphor from a ship 'that is plunged head foremost into the sea,' Bloomf., who cites Polyb. II. ro. 2, where $\epsilon \beta v^{\prime}-$ $\theta \iota \sigma a \nu$ means, as the verb always does, 'caused to sink,' without any reference whatever to direction.
6 $\lambda \in \theta$ pov кal $\dot{\text { ám }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'destruction and perdition.' The force of the compound form ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò marks ' completion,'
 Lex. s.v. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}, \mathrm{E}_{4}$ ), and more abstract termination of the latter word, perhaps afford a hint that a climactic force is intended: $8 \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o s$ (on the termination, see Pott, Et. Forsch. Vol. iI. p. 555) is 'destruction' in a general sense, whether of body or soul; $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega}$ $\lambda_{\epsilon c a}$ intensifies it by pointing mainly to the latter. "Oגє $\theta \rho o s$ is used by St Paul alone, 1 Cor. v. $5, \dot{\partial} \lambda . \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa o ́ s$, I Thess. v. 3 , alфvidoos...èфiбтaral $\dot{\lambda}$.,
where it points more to temporal destruction, and 2 Thess. i. 9 , where the epithet aíuvos is specially added to support its application to final 'perdition.'
10. $\dot{f}(\zeta \mathrm{Ga]}$ ' a root,' or perhaps rather 'the root,' Copt., the absence of the article probably not leaving it to be implied that there are other vices which might be termed ' roots of all evils' (ed. r, comp. Middleton, Gr. Art. iII. 4. I, p. 5 I 8 sq .), but simply disappearing owing to the rule of subject and predicate overriding the law of 'correlation' (Middl. Art. III. 3. 6); comp. Lysias, de Cced. Eratosth. § 7,
 $\pi$ divт $\omega \nu$ т $\hat{\nu}$ какஸ̂̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \theta a \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma a$ airia нol reqév $\eta$ ral, Demosth. de Megalop.
 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. The example urged by Alford ( ( Cor. xi. 3) is not fully in point, for ( I ) the article is inserted in the first member, and ( 2 ) in the second member the governed substantive is anarthrous, and in the third a proper name. In illustration of the general form of the expression, comp. Plut. de Lib. Educ. § 7, $\pi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$ каi $\rho \stackrel{\zeta}{\zeta}$ к кало-

 $\lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu$. in the N.T.; the adject. occurs twice, Luke xvi. 14, 2 Tim. iii. 2. The kindred but more general and active $\sin \pi \lambda \epsilon 0 y \in \xi i a$ is that which is dwelt upon by the sacred writers. On the distinction between these words (which however is almost self-evident) see Trench, Synon. § 24, but comp. notes on Eph. iv. 19. The sentiment is illustrated by Suicer, Thes. Vol. II. p. 1427. गis $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ twès ópeүór.] 'which some reaching out after.' Commenta-

#  

 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu$ ódúvaus $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha i \hat{s}$.
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \nu, \dot{v} \pi o \mu \circ \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu, \pi \rho a \ddot{u} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \cdot \begin{gathered}\text { His conmands, even } \\ \text { till Mis glorious con- }\end{gathered}$ , ing; glory to Him ; amen.
tors have dwelt much upon the impropriety of the image, it being asserted
 W.). The inage is certainly not perfectly correct, but if the passice nature of $\phi$ i $\lambda a \rho \gamma u \rho i \alpha$ (see Trench, l.c.) be remembered, the violation of the image will be less felt. Under any circumstances $\delta \rho є \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu 0<$ cannot be correctly translated 'giving themselves up to,' Bretschn., al. Eoth here, ch. iii. r, and Heb. xi. 16, the only passages in the N.T. where the word occurs,
 'concupivit,' 'desideravit') is simply 'desired,' ' coveted,' literally ' reached out the hands eagerly to take;' comp. Donalds. Cratyl. \& 477. On the derivation ( $\boldsymbol{b}-\rho \epsilon \gamma$, comp. 'rego'), see Donalds. ib., and Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 219 , Vol. II. p. 167.
éautov̀s $\pi \in p ı$ ételpav] 'pierced themselves through;' äт. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mu$. in N.T.; comp. Philo, in Flacc. § i, Vol. in.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \varepsilon$ какоis, and the numerous instances of a similar metaphorical use collected by Suicer, s. v. The prep. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ does not here define the action as taking place 'round' or 'about' ('undiquaque,' Beza), but conveys the idea of 'piercing,' 'going through,'-a meaning well maintained by Donalds. Cratyl. § 178 ; comp. Lucian, Gall. § 2, кр'́a... $\pi \epsilon \mu เ \pi \epsilon \pi a \rho \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a$ тoîs $\delta \beta \epsilon \lambda 0 i ̂ s$, Diod. Sic. xvi. 80, 入ó $\gamma \chi$ aıs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́-$ $\mu \in \nu o l$. The ósíval bere mentioned are not merely outward evils' ('gravissima mala hujus sæculi,' Estius), nor even
the anxious cares (Justin.) or desires (Chrys.) which accompany $\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma v \rho i a$, but more probably the gnawings of conscience, -'conscientiæ de male partis mordentis,' Beng. The word odóv $\eta$ (only here and Rom. ix. 2), it may be remarked, is not derived from ojou's (Bloomf.), but from a root $\Delta \Upsilon$ - (comp. $\delta u ́ \eta$ ), with a vowel prefix; see Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 210.
II. $\Sigma \mathbf{v i}$ 86] ' But thou,' in distinct contrast to the preceding $\tau \omega \nu \epsilon$ 's, ver. co. äv $\theta$. тov̂ $\Theta_{\epsilon o v ̂}$ It is doubtful whether this is an official term (se. 'internuncius Dei,' Beng., אישׁ אְלֶּ (, compare 2 Pet. i. 21), or merely a general designation. The former view is adopted by Theod., and is certainly plausible, as the evangelists' office ( $\mathbf{2}$ Tim. iv. 5) ial the N. T. might be fairly compared with that of the prophets in the O.T.: as however the context is of a perfectly general character, it seems better to give the expression a more extended reference, as in 2 Tim.


 каi катd̀ тò̀ $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s}$ оікєє $\omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$.
taûta] The reference of this pronoun is frequently a matter of difficulty in this Epistle: it seems here most naturally to refer to ver. 9,10 , i.e. to $\phi i \lambda a \rho \gamma u p i a$ and the evil principles and results associated with it, 'avaritiam et peccata quæ ex illâ radice procedunt,' Estius. $\delta$ \&кatoov́v $\eta \nu$ ] 'righteousncss;' not merely 'justice,' but either the virtue which is opposed to diciкix (Rom, vi. 13), and to the
general tendency of the powers of evil (2 Cor. xi. 15 ), or, as appy. here and 2 Tim. ii. 22, iii. i6, in a more general sense, - 'right conduct conformable to the law of God' ( 2 Cor. vi. r4, comp. Tit. ii. 12) ; see Reuss, Théol. Chrét. rv. 16, Vol. I. p. I69, Usteri, Lehrb. iI. i. 2, p. 190. On the more strictly dognatic meaning, see the excellent remarks in Knox, Remains, Vol. r. p. ${ }^{27} 6$. $\quad \pi(\sigma \tau เ \nu]$ 'faith,' in its usual theological sense ( $\eta$ グr $\epsilon \rho$ toriv $\epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i a \tau \hat{n} \zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon$, Chrys.), not 'fidelity,' ' die einzelne christliche Pflicht der Treue,' Usteri, Lehrb. In. I. I, p.
 'brave patience' ('malorum fortis tolerantia,' Grot. on Rom. viii. 25), see notes on a Tim. ii. ro, and on Tit. ii. 2. $\left.\quad \pi \rho a \ddot{\pi} \pi \dot{a}^{\theta} \epsilon \mathrm{Lav}\right]$ ' meekness of heart or feelings;' a word of rare occurrence (Philo, de Abrah. $\mathrm{S}_{37}$, Vol. II. p. 3r, Ignat. Trall. 8), and a $a \pi a \check{\xi} \lambda_{\epsilon} \sigma \mu$. in the N.T., perhaps slightly more specific than $\pi \rho a \hat{u ̈} \tau \eta s$,
 Coray in loc. The reading of the Rec. $\pi \rho a \delta \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ (with KL [ $\pi \rho a \ddot{u} \tau ., \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathbf{N}^{\text {t }}$ ]; al.; Chrys., Theod.) has every appearance of being a mere correction, and is rejected even by Scholz. The virtues here mentioned seem to group themselves into pairs; סєкaloб. and ${ }_{\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta$. have the widest relations, pointing to general confornity to God's law and practical piety; $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ and $\dot{a} \gamma \dot{a} \pi \eta$ are the fundamental principles of Christianity; $\dot{\dot{\pi}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mu$. and $\pi \rho a \ddot{u} \pi$. the principles on which a Christian ought to act towards his gainsayers and opponents; comp. Huther. The article is not uncommonly omitted before abstract nouns, see exx. in Winer, Gr . § 19. I, p. 109.
12. Tòv кàòv ajyŵva] 'the good strife,' Hamm.; the contest and
struggle which the Christian has to maintain against the world, the flesh, and the devil; comp. 2 Tim. iv. 7 . It is doubtful how far the metaphor taken from the games is to be maintained in this verse. Grammatical considerations seem certaisly in favour of the two imperatives (here, on account of the emphatic asyndeton, without кal) being both referred to the metaphorical contest, 'strive the good strife, and (in it and through it) seize hold on eternal life,' Winer, Gr. §43.2, p. 279 : it is bowever very doubtful whether the remaining expressions, калєiv (as by the praco?) $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \pi . \pi o \lambda \lambda . \mu a \rho \tau$. (the spectators? see Hammond in loc.), can fairly be regarded as parts of the continued metaphor. In $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i} \boldsymbol{s} \eta \ddot{\nu} \nu$, as DeW . has observed, there would in fact be an impropriety; aíuv. $\zeta \omega \bar{\eta}$ is not the contert or the arena into which the combatants were called, but has just been represented as the $\beta \rho a \beta \epsilon i o \nu$ and $\xi_{\pi a \theta \lambda o v}$ (Theoph.), the object for which they were to contend. Similar but more sustained allusions to the Olympic contests occur in a Cor. ix. ${ }^{2} 4 \mathrm{sq}$., Phil. iii. $12 . \quad \boldsymbol{\ell} \pi \cdot \lambda a \beta \circ \hat{1}]$ ' lay hold of;' only here and ver. 19 in St Paul's Epp., three times in Heb., and frequently in St Luke: Grot. cites Prov. iv. I3, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda a \beta o \hat{\imath} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi a t \delta \epsilon i a s$, $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \varphi \hat{\eta} s$, to which we may add Martyr. Ignat. 4, ìs oùpavô̂ $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ध̇ $\pi \iota \lambda a \mu$ $\beta a_{v e \sigma \theta a l}$. The change to the aor. imper. must not be left unnoticed; it was one act in the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$; see the exx. in Winer, Gr. § 43. 4, p. 281. The usual sequence, first pres. imper. then aor. imper. (Schömann, Isceus, p. 235), is here observed: there are exceptions however, e.g. I Cor. xv. 34. In the application of the verb there is no impropriety ; $\dot{\eta}$ al $\omega \nu \cos \delta \omega \grave{\eta}$ (the epithet slightly emphatic; see notes on ch. i.





5）is held out to us as the prize，the crown，which the Lord will give to those who are faithful unto the end； comp．James i．i2，Rev．ii． 10.
кal 凶́ $\mu 0 \lambda$ о́ $\eta \eta \sigma a s]$＇and thou con－ fessedst，＇or＇madest conf．of，＇\＆c．，not ＇hast made，＇Scholef．Hints，p． 121, － an inexact translation for which there is here no idiomatic necessity．Kal has its simple copulative power，and subjoins to the foregoing words another and co－ordinate ground of encourage－ ment and exhortation；＇thou wert called to eternal life，and thou madest the good confession．＇The extremely harsh construction，кal（ $\epsilon$ ls $\hat{\eta} \nu) \dot{\omega} \mu 0 \lambda 6$－ r $\eta \sigma a s$ к．.$\lambda$ ．（Leo，al．），is rightly re－ jected by De W．and later expositors．
 fession，－of faith＇（De W．），or，－－＇of the Gospel＇（Scholef．）；good，not with reference to the courage of Timothy， but to its own import（Wiesing．）．But made when？Possibly on the occasion of some persecution or trial to which Timothy was exposed，$\dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\kappa} \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} v o l s$
 more probably at his baptism，$\dot{\partial} \mu о \lambda . \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \nu \beta a \pi \tau l \sigma \mu a \tau \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ，Ecum．，Theoph． 2，and appy．Chrys．；but perbaps most probably at his ordination，Neander， Planting，Vol．II．p． 162 （Bohn）；see ch．iv．I4，and comp．i．18．The gene－ ral reference to a＇confessio non verbis concepta sed potius re ips\＆edita，neque id semel duntaxat sed in toto mini－ sterio＇（Calv．，see also Theod．），seems wholly precluded by the definite cha－ racter of the language．The meaning ＇oblation，＇urged by J．Johnson（Unbl． Sacr．II．I，Vol．I．p．223，A．－C．Libr．）， is an interpr．which $\dot{j} \mu o \lambda o \gamma l a$ cannot
possibly bear in the N．T．；see 2 Cor． ix． $1_{3}$ ，Heb．iii．I，iv．14，x．${ }_{23}$ ．

13．Параүү $\lambda \lambda \lambda_{\omega}$ боו к．т．$\left.\lambda.\right]$ The exhortation，as the Epistle draws to its conclusion，assumes a yet graver and more earnest tone．The Apostle having reminded Timothy of the con－ fession he made $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \nu} \dot{\omega} \pi$ ．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda . \mu a \rho \tau$ ．， now gives him charge in the face of a more tremendous Presence，$\overline{\epsilon \nu \omega ́ \pi . ~ \tau o \hat{v}}$ $\theta \epsilon \circ \hat{0}$ то̂́ $\zeta \omega 0 \gamma$. к．т．$\lambda$ ．，not to disgrace it by failing to keep the commandment which the Gospel imposes on the Chris－ tian． тô̂ 乌んoyovoûvtos］ ＇who keepeth alive ；＇not perfectly syn－ onymous（De W．，Huth．）with 广由orot． the reading of the Rec．：the latter points to God as the＇auctor vite，＇ the former as the＇conservator：＇comp． Luke xvii．33，Acts vii．19，and esp． Exodus i．17，Judges viii．19，where the context clearly shows the proper meaning and force of the word．In－ dependently of the apparent prepon－ derance in external evidence［ADFG opposed to KLN］，the reading of the text seems on internal grounds more fully appropriate；Timothy is exhorted to persist in his Christian course in the name of Him who extends His almighty protection over all things， and is not only the Creator，but the Preserver of all His creatures；comp． Matth．x． 29 sq．

тои̂ $\mu$ артирท́－ oavtos к．т．$\lambda$ ．］＇who witnessed，bore witness to，the good confession．＇It seems by no ineans correct to regard $\mu a \rho \tau v$－ $\rho \in i \hat{\nu} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu_{0} \lambda$ ．as simply synonymous with $\dot{\partial} \mu 0 \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \mu 0 \lambda$ ．（Leo，Huther， al．），the difference of persons and cir－ cumstances clearly caused the differ－ ence of the expressions，＇testari con－
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fessionem erat Domini，confiteri con－ fessionem Timothei，＇Beng．Our Lord attested by his sufferings and death（ $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ， $\omega \nu$ ध̈ $\pi \rho a \tau \tau \epsilon \nu$ ，（Ecum．）the truth of the duo入ovia（＇martyrio complevit et con－ signavit，＇Est．），Timothy only con－ fesses that which his Master had thus autheuticated．The use of $\mu a \rho \tau$ ．with an accus．is not unusual（comp．De－ nosth．Adv．Steph．1，p．ilif，סia－
 is an expression confessedly somewhat anomalous：it must be observed how－ ever that the juo入ogia itself was nut our Lord＇s testimony before Caiaphas， Matth．xxvi．64，Mark xiv．62，Luke xxii． 69 （Stier，Red．Jes．Vol．vi．p． 386），nor that before Pilate，John xviii． $3^{6}$（Leo，Huther），but，as in ver． 12 （see notes），the Christian confession generally，the good confession кaт， $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \circ \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．The expression thus consi－ dered seems less harsh．$\quad$ eri Movtiov $\Pi_{\text {．，in accordance with the }}$ previous explanation of $\dot{j} \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathrm{a}$ ，is thus＇sub Pontio Pilato，＇Vulg．，Est．， De Wette，not＇before Pontius Pilate，＇ Auth．，Syr．，Ath．（Platt），Arm．， Chrys．，al．，－－a meaning quite gram－ nuatically admissible（see notes on ch． v．19，Herza．Viger，No．394，comp． Pearson，Creed，Vol．r．p．153，ed． Burt．），but irreconcileable with the foregoing explanation of $\dot{\dot{o}} \mu_{0} \lambda o \gamma i a$ ． The usual interpretation of this clause， and of the whole verse，is certainly plausible，but it rests on the assump－ tion that $\mu a \rho \tau$ ．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \mu_{0} \lambda$ ．is simply synonymous with $\dot{o} \mu_{0} \lambda_{0} \gamma \epsilon i \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \mu_{0} \lambda$ ．， and it involves the necessity of giving $\dot{\eta}$ ка入̀̀ $\dot{\partial} \mu_{0} \lambda$ ．a different meaning in the two verses．Surely，in spite of all that Huther has urged to the con－ trary，the $\dot{\delta} \mu 0 \lambda o \gamma i a$ of Christ before Pilate must be regarded（with De W．）
as a very inexact parallel to that of Timothy，whether at bis baptism or ordination；and for any other confes－ sion，before a tribunal，dec．，we have not the slightest evidence either in the Acts or in these two Epp．We retain then with Vulg．，Clarom．，Goth．（De Gabel．），and perhaps Copt．，the tem－ poral and not local meaning of $\epsilon \pi l$ ．

14．тทрฑ̂бal］Infin．dependent on the foregoing verb $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．The purport of the $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \lambda \grave{\eta}$ which Timothy is here urged to keep has been differ－ ently explained．It may be（ $a$ ）all that Timothy has been enjoined to ob－ serve throughout the Ep．（Calv．，Beza）； or $(b)$ the command just given by the Apostle，$\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ ä $\gamma \rho d \phi \omega$ ，Theod．（who however afterwards seems to regard it as $=\theta \epsilon(a \quad \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i(a)$ ，and perhaps Auth．；or，most probably，（c）the commandment of Christ，－not specially the＇mandatum dilectionis，＇John xiii． 34，but generally the law of the Gos－ pel（comp．$\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$, ch．i．5）， the Gospel viewed as a rule of life， Huth．；see esp．Tit．ii．10，where the context seems distinctly to favour this interpretation．domı入ov
 $a b l e, '$ i．e．so that it receive no stain and suffer no reproach；$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \in \delta_{o \gamma \mu \dot{\gamma} \tau \omega \nu}$
 $\mu \in \nu o s$ ，Chrys．［the usual dat．with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho .$, e．g．Plut．Mor．p．89，859， 869，is omitted，but seems clearly $\grave{\epsilon} \nu-$ $\tau 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}]$ ；comp．Theod．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \alpha \nu a \mu i \xi \eta s$
 these epithets are in the N．T．referred only to persons（ä $\sigma \pi$ ．James i． 27 ，
 iii．2，v．7），it seems very plausible to refer them to Tim．（Copt．，Beza，al．）； the construction however seems so distinctly to favour the more obvious

## 


 22, 2 Cor. xi. 9, James i. 27 ; [Clem. Rom.] II. 8, $\tau \eta \rho$. ті̀े $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta a$ ä $\sigma \pi \iota-$ $\lambda_{0 \nu}$ ), and the ancient $V$ v., Vulg., Clarom., Syr. (appy.), al., seem mainly so unanimous, that the latter reference is to be preferred; so De W., Huther. The objection that d $\dot{\operatorname{c}} \pi \pi(\lambda$. can only be used with persons (Est., Heydenr.) is disposed of by De W., who compares Plato, Phileb. p. 43 c, Philo, de Opif. § 24, Vol. I. p. 17; add Po'yb. Hist. xiv. 2. 14, d̀ $\nu \epsilon \pi i \lambda \eta \pi \tau o s \pi \rho o a i \rho e-$ $\sigma t$. The more grave objection, that
 to conserve, a commandment' (comp. Wiesing.), may be dilated by obserwing that $\tau \eta \rho \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ in such close connexion with the epithets may lose the normal meaning it has when joined with èvo o$\lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ alone: it is not merely to keeping the command, but to keeping it spotless, that the attention of Timothy is directed. This is a case in which the opinion of the ancient interpreters should be allowed to have some weight. For the meaning of a $\nu \varepsilon \pi i \lambda$. see notes
 veias] 'the appearing,' the visible manifestation of our Lord at His second advent; see 2 Tim. iv. I, 8, Tit. ii. I3, and comp. Reuss, Theol, Chrét. iv. 21, Vol. 1I. p. 230. This expression, which, as the context shows, can only be referred to Cbrist's coming to judgment, not merely to the death of Timothy ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \chi<\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \delta o v$, Chrys., Theoph.), has been urged by De W. and others as a certain proof that St Paul conceived the Advent to be near ; so even Reuss, Théol. III. 4, Vol. I. p. 308. It may perhaps be admitted that the sacred writers have used language in reference to their Lord's return (comp. Hammond, on 2 Thess. ii.
8) which seems to show that the longings of hope hall almost become the convictions of belief, yet it must also be observed that (as in the present case) this language is often qualified by expressions which show that they also felt and knew that that hour was not immediately to be lonked for (2 Thess. ii. 2), but that the counsels of God, yea, and the machinations of Satan (2 Thess. ib.), must require time for their development.
15. kalpois i8loss] 'in His own seasons:' see notes on ch. ii. 6, and on Tit. i. 3. 'Numerus pluralis observandus, brevitatem temporum non valde coarctans,' Beng. $\delta_{\epsilon}(\xi \in t]$ 'shall display;' not a Hebraism for roıi $\sigma \in$ or $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, Coray : the $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota a$ of our Lord is, as it were, a mighty $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu$ (comp. John ii. 18) which God shall display to men. $\quad \delta \mu a k \alpha p o s]$ Compare notes on ch. i. ir. Chrys. and Theoph. regard the epithet as consolatory, hinting at the absence of every element of $\tau$ ò $\lambda v \pi \eta \rho o ̀ v ~ \eta ँ ~ a ̈ \eta \delta e ̀ s ~ s$ in the heavenly King: Theod. refers it to the ${ }^{2} \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau o \nu$ of His will. The context seems here rather to point to His exhaustless powers and perfections. $\quad \mu$ óvos $\delta v v a ́ \sigma t \eta s$ ] 'only potentate;' it is scarcely necessary to say that $\mu$ bovos involves no allusion to the polytheism of incipient Gnosticism (Conyb., Baur, al.), but is simply intended to enhance the subst., by showing the uniqueness of the $\delta u y \alpha-$ $\sigma \tau e l a$. God is the absolute $\delta u \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta s$, dus solus ille] Syr.; to no one save to Him can that predication be applied; comp. Eph. iii. 20, Jude 25. $\Delta u v \dot{a} \sigma \tau \eta s$ occurs Luke i. 52, Acts viii. 27, and in reference to God, 2 Macc. iii. 24,


 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

Charge the rich not to trust in riches, but in God, and to store up a good foundation.
 $\pi \alpha \rho u ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\cup} \psi \eta \lambda o \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i v, \mu \eta \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda \pi \iota-$
xii. $15, \mathrm{xv} .4,23$. On the dominion of God, see Pearson, Crced, Art. I. Vol. i. p. 51 (ed. Burt.), Charnock, Attributes, xIII. p. 638 (Bohn).
ßa.aı入єv̀s к.т.入.] 'King of kings and Lo'rd of lords:' so $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu$ 's $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, Rev. xvii. 14, xix. 6 (both in reference to the Son; see Waterl. Def. 5, Vol. I. p. 326), and similarly, кúpios $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa v \rho i \omega \nu$, Deut. x. 17, Psalm cxxxvi. 3,-both formulæ added still more to heighten and illustrate the preceding title. Loesner cites from Philo, de Dec. Orac. p. 749 [Vol. II. p. 187, ed. Mang.], a similar enumeration of va-



 Өє $\omega$ : comp. Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 670 .
16. ó $\mu$ óvos к.т. .] ' who alone hath immortality;' He in whom immortality essentially exists, and who enjoys it neither derivatively nor by participa-

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta \hat{\eta}_{s}$ oiкeias ovoias, [Just. Mart.]
 Theod. Dial. ז1. p. 145 ; see Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. I. p. 109, Petavius, Theol. Dogm. III. 4. 10, Vol. I. p. 200. фज̂s olк $\hat{\nu} v$ aimpóv.] 'dwelling in light unapproachable.' In this sublime image God is represented as dwelling, as it were, in an atmosphere of light, surrounded by glories which no created nature may ever approach, no mortal eye may ever contemplate; see below.

Somewhat similar images occur in the O.T.; comp. Psalm civ. 2, divaßa $\alpha \lambda \delta \mu \epsilon$ -
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \hat{\omega s} \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' aùtoû $\epsilon \sigma \tau l$.
 ever saw or can sce:' so Exodus xxxiii. 20, Deut. iv. I2, John i. 18, I John iv. 12, al. For reconciliation of these and similar declarations with texts such as Matth. v. 8, Heb. xii. I 4 , see the excellent lecture of Bp. Pearson, de Invisibilitate Dei, Vol. I. p. 1 I 8 sq . (ed. Churton). The positions laid down by Pearson are 'Deus est invisibilis (I) oculo corporali per potentiam naturalem, (z) oculo corporali in statu supernaturali, (3) oculo intellectuali in statu naturali,' and (4) 'invisibilitas essentiz divines non tollit claram visionem intellectualem in statu supernaturali:' Petav. Theol. Dogm. viI. I. I sq. Vol. I. p. 445 sq.
17. 'Tois $\pi$ तovoiots к.т. ${ }^{\text {.] ] 'To the }}$ rich in the present world;' 'multi divites Ephesi,' Beng. 'E $\nu \tau \hat{\psi} \nu \tilde{\nu} \nu$ ait̂vu must be closely joined with roûs $\pi \lambda$., so as to make up with it one single idea; see notes on Eph. i. ${ }^{15}$, where the rules for the omission of the article with the appended noun are briefly stated ; see also Fritz. Rom. iii. 25, Vol. I. p. 195, and Winer, Gr. § 20. 2, p. 123. The clause is perhaps added to suggest the contrast between the riches of this world and the true riches in the world to come; $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s} \epsilon \Pi \pi \epsilon \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \nu$
 $\sigma \iota o \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \iota$, Chrys. The expression appears to have a Hebraistic



cast（עששירי עולח）；see exx．in Schoettg． Hor．Hebr．Vol．I．p． 883 ．For a power－ ful sermon on this and the two follow－ ing verses，see Bp．Hall，Serm．vir． Vol．v．p． 102 sq．（Oxf．1837）．
グ入тıкéval］＇to set hopes，＇＇to have hoped and continue to hope ；＇see Wi－ ner，Gr．§ 40．4．a，p．244，Green，Gr． p． 2 r ．On the construction of $\mathrm{\epsilon} \lambda$－ $\pi i \zeta \omega$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，see notes on ch． iv．ro．$\quad \pi \lambda$ ov́тov dं $\delta \eta \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau 1]$ ＇the uncertainty of riches；＇an expres－ sion studiedly more forcible than $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda o u ́ \tau \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega ;$ comp．Rom．vi． 4．The distinction between such ex－
 Gal．ii．5，14，though denied by Fritz． Rom．Vol．I．p．368，is satisfactorily maintained by Winer，$G r . \S 34 \cdot 3$ ，p． 2 Ir ．In such cases the expression has a rhetorical colouring．In the following words，instead of $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, Lachm．reads $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta$ ．with $\mathrm{AD}^{1}$ FGN（D1FGN om．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ）； 15 mss．；Orig． （mss．），Chrys．，Theoph．The external authority is confessedly of very great weight；the probability however of a confirmation of the second clause to the first，and St Paul＇s known love of prepositional variation，are such important arguments in favour of the text［supported by $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{KL}$ ；great ma－ jority of mss．；Orig．，Theod．，Dam．，al．， and adopted by the majority of receut editors］，that we may perhaps be justi－ fied in still retaining the present read－ ing．The attribute $\tau \hat{\varphi} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$, ，added to $\boldsymbol{\theta} \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ in Rec．，though fairly supported ［DE（both om．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ）KL ；al．；Syr．（both）， Clarom．，al．］，does not seem genuine， but is perhaps only a reminiscence of ch．iv． 10.
els àmó入avoıv］
＇for enjoyment，＇＇to enjoy，not to place
our heart and hopes in，＇comp．ch．iv． 3，$\epsilon$ ls $\mu \epsilon \tau$ á $\lambda \mu \mu \psi i v$ ．＇Observa autem esse tacitam antithesin quum predicat Deum omnibus affatim dare．Sensus enim est，etiamsi plenà rerum omnium copià affluamus，nos tamen nihil ha－ bere nisi ex solâ Dei benedictione，＇ Calv．

18．àyäoєpyeiv］＇that they do good，＇ ＇show kindness；＇inf．dependent on $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ，enjoining on the positive side the use which the rich are to make of their riches．The open form dira－ Өofp $\gamma$ ．only oecurs here；the contracted aja $\begin{aligned} & \text { ouv．in Acts xiv．17．The distinc．}\end{aligned}$ tion of Bengel between the adjectives involved in this and the following clause is scarcely exact，＇$\alpha$＇$\alpha$ atòs in－ fert simul notionem beatitudixis（coll． Marc．x．18，not．），кa入òs connotat pulchritudinem．＇The latter word is correctly defined，see Dunalds．Cratyl． $\$ 3^{24}$ ；the former，as its probable deri－ vation（ $-\gamma$ a，cogn．with $\chi$ a，Donalds． ib．§ 323，comp．Benfey，Wurzellex． Vol．II．p．64）seems to suggest，marks rather the idea of＇kindness，assist－ ance；＇comp．notes on Gal．v． 22.
 distributing，ready to communicate；＇ scarcely＇ready to distribute，＇Anth． （comp．Syr．），as this seems rather to imply the qualitative termination－tкos： on the passive termination－oos（here used with some degree of laxity），see Donalds．Cratyl．\＄255．Kouvovcois is not $\dot{\delta \mu i \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s, ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \nu \eta \prime s, ~ C h r y s . ~ a n d ~}$ the Greek expositors（＇facilis convic－ tus，＇Beza），but，as the context clearly shows，＇ready to impart to others，＇ see Gal．vi．6．Buth adjectives are $\ddot{d} \pi$ ．$\lambda \in \gamma o{ }^{\prime} \mu$ ．in the N．T．For a prac－ tical sermon on this and the preceding

#   

Keep thy deposit，and avoid all false know－ ledge．

verses see Beveridge，Serm．cxxvil． Vol．v．p． 426 （A．－C．Libr．）．
 in store，＇Auth．There is no necessity for departing from the regular mean－ ing of the word；the rich are exhorted to take from（ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ ）their own plenty， and by devoting it to the service of God and the relief of the poor actual－ ly to treasure it up as a good founda． tion for the future：in the words of Beveridge，＇their estates will not die with them，but they will have joy and comfort of them in the other world， and have cause to bless God for them to all eternity，＇Serm．cxxvir．Vol．iv． p． 439 （A．－C．Libr．）．The preposition a $\pi$ ò does not exactly mean＇seorsum，＇ ＇in longinquum＇（Beng．），but seems to point to the source from which，and the process by which（＇seponendo the－ saurum colligere，＇Winer，de Verb． Comp．IV．p．It），they are to make their $\theta \eta \sigma a v \rho o$ ús $^{\prime}$ ；compare Diodor．Sic．
 $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ ．
$\theta \in \mu$ елıоv ка入óv］＇a good foundation；
 $\lambda o \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu a \dot{a} \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \pi \delta$ ． $\lambda a v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \iota \circ \nu \kappa \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \kappa i \nu \eta \tau a \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 it need scarcely be said，is not here used for $\theta \epsilon \mu a$（comp．Tobit iv．9），nor as equivalent in meaning to $\sigma v \nu \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ （Hamm．），but retains its usual and proper meaning：a good foundation
 were，a possession which the rich are to store up for themselves；comp．ch． iii．r3，$\beta a \theta \mu \grave{\partial} \nu$ éavtoîs ка入òv $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ mooouvial．There is not here，as Wie－ singer remarks，any confusion，but only a brevity of expression which
might have been more fully but less

 the rich out of their riches are to lay up a treasure；this treasure is to be a $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda$ cos кa入ós，on which they may
 $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ ．The form $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota o s$ is properly an adj．（comp．Arist．Aves，ir $37, \theta \in \mu \epsilon$－ $\lambda$ ious $\lambda$（toos），but is commonly used in later writers as a subst．，e．g．Polyb． Hist．1． 40.9 ，comp．Thom．M．s．v． Tท̂s övt $\omega \mathrm{s}$ G $\omega \hat{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{~s}$ ］＇the true life，＇＇that which is truly life；＇＇celle qui mérite seule ce nom，parceque la perspective de la mort ne jette plus d＇ombre sur ses jours，＇Reuss，Théol．Chrét．IV．22， Vol．11．p．252：that life in Christ （2 Tim．i．I）which begins indeed here but is perfected hereafter；тò кupics
 gen，in Joann．II．it，Vol．Iv．p． 7 I （ed．Bened．），see notes on ch．iv．8．On the meaning of $\zeta \omega \eta^{\prime}$ ，see Trench，Synon． § 27 ，and the deeper and nore com－ prehensive treatise of Olshausen，Opus－ cula，p． 187 sq．The reading alwifou ［Rec．with $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{E}^{2} \mathrm{KL}$ ］for ö ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ is re－ jected even by Scholy，and has every appearance of being a gloss．

20．${ }^{\top} \Omega$ T $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \mu_{0} \theta_{\epsilon \epsilon]}$ The earnest and individualizing address is a suitable preface to the concluding paragraph， which，as in 2 Cor．xiii． r ，al．，con－ tains the sum and substance of the Epistle，and brings again into view the salient points of the Apostle＇s previous warnings and exhortations．
 （a）here，and（ $\beta$ ） 2 Tim．i．12，ovvacós $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a \rho a \neq \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu \quad \mu o v \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \alpha \iota$ ，and $(\gamma) 2$ Tim．i．I4，$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a p a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$


## 

three passages the exact reference of $\pi \alpha \rho a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ is somewhat doubtful. It seems highly probable that the meaning in all three passages will be fundamentally the same, but it is not necessary to hamper ourselves with the assumption that in all three passages it is exactly the same,-the unnecessary supposition which interferes with De Wette's otherwise able analysis. What is this approximately common meaning? Clearly not either 'his soul,' I Pet. iv. 19, Beng. on ( $\beta$ ), or his 'soul's salvation,' for this interpretation, though plausible in ( $\beta$ ), would by no means be suitable either in ( $\alpha$ ) or ( $\gamma$ ); nor again $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \Pi \nu \in \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau o s$, Theod. $h . l$., for this would in effect introduce a tautology in ( $\gamma$ ). Not improbably, as De W., Huther, al., 'the ministerial office,' i.e. 'the apostolic office' in ( $a$ ), 'the office of an evangelist' in ( $\beta$ ) and ( $\gamma$ ) : there is however this objection, that though not unsuitable in $(\beta)$ it does not either here or in ( $\gamma$ ) present any direct opposition to what follows, $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \lambda$ ous кєvoф $\omega$ vias kal ajvit日. к.т. $\lambda$. On the whole then, the gloss of Chrys. on ( $\beta$ ), $\dot{\eta}$ тíбтıs, тò к $\eta \rho v \gamma \mu a$ (comp. Theoph. I, Ecum. I), or rather, more generally, 'the doctrine delivered (to Timothy) to preach,' 'Catholicæ fidei talentum,' Vincent. Lirin. (Common. cap. 22, ed. Oxf. 1841), seems best to preserve the opposition here, and to harmonize with the context in $(\gamma)$, while with a slight expansion it may also be applied to ( $\beta$ ); see notes in loc. Compare 1 Tim. i. 18 and 2 Tim. ii. 2, both of which, especially the former, seem satisfactorily to confirm this interpretation. On $\pi a \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ and $\pi a \rho a \kappa a \tau \alpha-$ $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ (Rec.,-but with most insufficient authority, the latter of which is appy. the more idiomatic form, see Lobeck,

Phryn. p. 312, and compare the numerous exx. in Wetst. in loc.
ѐктрєто́ $\mu \in v o s]$ 'avoiding,' Auth., 'devitans,' Vulg., Clarom.; the middle voice, esp. with an accus. objecti, being sometimes suitably rendered by a word of different meaning to that by which the act. voice is expressed: comp. Winer, Gr. § 38. 2, p. 226.
кєvoфفv(as] 'babblings,' 'empty-talkings,' 'vanos sine mente sonos,' Raphel, -only here and 2 Tim. ii. 16, and scarcely different in meaning from $\mu a \tau a \iota o \lambda o \gamma i a$, I Tim. i. 6; contrast James iv. 5: and comp. Deyling, Obs. Vol. Iv. 2, p. 642 . On $\beta \in \beta \eta^{\prime} \lambda$ ous (which, as the omission of the article shows, belongs also to $a^{v} v(\theta \in \in \tau \in s)$ and the prefixed art., comp. notes on ch. iv. 7 .
 falsely-named Knowledge,' ' of the Knowledge which falsely arrogates to itself that name,' 'non enim vera scientia esse potest quæ veritati contraria est,' Est. The exact meaning
 positiones] Syr., it is somewhat difficult to ascertain. Baur (Pastoralbr. p. 26 sq.), for obvious reasons, presses the special allusion to the Marcionite oppositions between the law and the Gospel (see Teriull. Marc. I. 19), but has been ably answered by Wieseler, Chronol. p. 304. Cbrys. and Theoph. (comp. Ecum.) refer it to personal controversies and to objections against the Gospel ; ais oúdè a $\quad$ токрive $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta$; this however is not quite sufficiently general. The language might be thought at first sight to point to something specific (comp. Huther); when however we observe that $\kappa \in \nu o \phi \omega v i a s$ and $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon t s$ are under the vinculum of a single article, it seems difficult to maintain a more definite meaning in
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau o ́ X \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

the latter word than in the former. These $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau, \theta \in \sigma \epsilon / s$ then are generally the positions and teachings of false knowledge which arrayed themselves against the doctrine committed to Timothy,-tàs $\epsilon y a \nu \tau i a s ~ \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota s$, Cory; so even De Wetter. This use of the peculiar term $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma$ cs seems to show that it was becoming the appellation of that false and addititious teaching, which, taking its rise from a Jewish or Cabbalistic philosophy (Col. ii. 8), already bore within it the seeds of subsequent heresies, and was preparing the way for the definite gnosticism of a later century : comp. Chrys. and esp. Theol. in loc., and see notes on ch i. 4.
 fission of;' 'pro se ferentes,' Beza; see notes on ch. ii. 10.
$\eta_{i} \sigma$ тóx $\left.\eta \sigma a v\right]$ 'missed their aim;' Wiisinger here urges most fairly that it is perfectly incredible that any forger in the second century should have applied so wild an expression to followers
of the Marcionite Gnosis. On $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 \chi{ }^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$ see notes on ch. i. 6, and for the use of $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ see notes on ch. i. rig. $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d}$ नov̂] So Tisch. with DEKL; nearly all mss.; majority of $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{v}}$, and many Ff., and perhaps rightly. The plural $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is very strongly supported [AFGN ; 17; Bern., Copt., al.], but still may be so far regarded with probability as a correction derived from 2 Tim. v. 22, or Tit. iii. 15, that we may hesitate to reverse the reading until the exact value of the additional evidence of $\boldsymbol{N}$ is more fully known. At any rate, if $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ be retained, no stress can safely be laid on the plural as implying that the Epistle was addressed to the Church as well as to Timothy. All that could be said would be that St Paul sent his beediction to the Church in and with that to its Bishop. Huther somewhat singularly maintains $\sigma o \hat{v}$ in his critical notes, and, as it would seem, $\dot{j} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in his commentary.

## Note on I Tim. iii. 16.

The results of my examination of the Cod. Alex. may be thus briefly stated. On inspecting the disputed word there appeared (a) a coarse line over, and a rude dot within the $\mathbf{O}$, in black ink; (b) a faint line across $\mathbf{O}$ in ink of the same colour as the adjacent letters. It was clear that (a) had no claim on attention, except as being possibly a rude retouching of (b): the latter demanded careful examination. After inspection with a strong lens it seemed more than probable that Wetstein's opinion (Prolegom. Vol. I. p. 22) was correct. Careful measurements showed that the first $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \beta \epsilon 1 a v$, ch. vi. 3 , on the other side of the page, was exactly opposite, the circular portion of the two letters almost entirely coinciding, and the thickened extremity of the sagitta of $\epsilon$ being behind what had seemed a ragged portion of the left-hand inner edge of $\mathbf{O}$. It

## 104

 MPOE TIMO日EON A.remained only to prove the identity of this sagitta with the seeming line across O. This with the kind assistance of Mr Hamilton of the British Museum was thus effected. While one of us held up the page to the light and viewed the $\mathbf{O}$ through the lens, the other brought the point of an instrument (without of course touching the MS.) so near to the extremity of the sagitta of the $\epsilon$ as to make a point of shade visible to the observer on the other side. When the point of the instrument was drawn over the sagitta of the $\epsilon$, the point of shade was seen to trace out exactly the suspected diameter of the 0 . It would thus seem certain that $(b)$ is no part of $\mathbf{O}$, and that the reading of $\mathbf{A}$ is $8_{s}$.

## IIPOS TIMO日EON B.

## INTRODUCTION.

THIS Second Epistle to his faithful friend and follower was written by the Apostle during his second imprisonment at Rome (see notes on ch. iv. 12, and comp. ch. i. 18), and, as the inspired writer's own expressions fully justify our asserting (ch. iv. 6), but a very short time before his martyrdom, and in the interval between the 'actio prima' (see notes on ch. iv. 16) and its mournful issue; comp. Euseb. Hist. Eccl. II. 22.

It would thus have been written about the year A. D. 67 or perhaps A. D. 68 , i. e. the last but one or last year of the reign of Nero, which tradition (Euseb. Chron. ann. 70 A. D. ; Jerome, Catal. Script. cap. 5, p. 35, ed. Fabric.), apparently with some degree of plausibility, fixes upon as the period of the Apostle's martyrdom ; see Conybeare and Howson, St Paul, Vol. II. p. 596, note (ed. 2), and compare Pearson, Annal. Paul. Vol. I. p. 396 (ed. Churton).

Where Timothy was at this time cannot very readily be decided, as some references in the Epistle (ch. i. 15 sq. compared with iv. I9, ch. ii. I7, al.) seem to harmonize with the not unnatural supposition that he was at Ephesus, while others (ch. iv. 12, 20) have been thought to imply the contrary ; comp. notes on ch. iv. i2. On the whole the arguments derived from the generally similar terms in which the present tenets (comp. ch. ii. I6 with I Tim. vi. 20, and ch. ii. 23 with 1 Tim. vi. 4), future developments (comp. ch. iii. I, 5 with I Tim. iv. I sq.), and even names (comp. ch. ii 17 with 1 Tim. i. 20), of the false teachers are characterized in the two Epistles, seem to outweigh those deduced from the topographical notices, and to render it slightly more probable that, at the time when the Second Epistle was written, Timothy was conceived by the Apostle to be at the scene of his appointed labours (I Tim.
i. 3), and as either actually in Ephesus or visiting some of the dependent churches in its immediate neighbourhood : see Conybeare and Howson, St Paul, Vol. II. p. 582, note (ed. 2).

The Apostle's principal purpose in writing the Epistle was to nerve and sustain Timothy amid the now deepening trials and persecutions of the Church from without (ch. i. 8, ii. 3, 12 , iii. 12 , iv. 5), and to prepare and forewarn him against the still sadder trials from threatening heresies and apostasies from within (cll. iii. I sq.). The secondary purpose was the earnest desire of the Apostle, forlorn as he now was (ch. iv. I6), and deserted by all save the faithful Luke (ch. iv. II), to see once more his true son in the faith (ch. iv. 9, 2 I ), and to sustain him not by his written words only, but by the practical teaching of his personal example. In no Epistle does the true, loving, undaunted, and trustful heart of the great Apostle speak in more consolatory yet more moving accents : in no portion of his writings is there a loftier tone of Christian courage than that which pervades these, so to speak, dying words; nowhere a holier rapture than that with which the reward and crown of faithful labour is contemplated as now exceeding nigh at hand.

The question of the genuineness and authenticity stands in conuexion with that of the First Epistle. This only may be added, that if the general tone of this Epistle tends to make us feel convinced that it could have been written by no hand save that of St Paul, its perfect identity of language with that of the First Epistle and the Epistle to Titus involves a further evidence of the genuineness and authenticity of those Epistles which it thus resembles, and with which it stands thus closely connected.

## חPOE TIMO日EON B．

Apostolic address and salutation．

II
 $\delta_{i} \dot{a} \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s ~ Ө \epsilon o \hat{v} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i \alpha \nu$

 тô̂ Kupíov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
I bear thee ever in my memory，and call to mind the faith that is in thee and thy $\pi \rho o \gamma o ́ v \omega \nu$ év ка $\theta \alpha \rho \hat{a} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ，$\dot{\omega} s$ à $\delta \iota \alpha$－ family．Stir up thy gift．
 the will of God：＇＇apostolatum suum voluntati et electioni Dei adscribit， non suis meritis，＇Est．；so 1 and 2 Cor．i．i，Eph．i．I（where see notes）， Col．i．I．In the former Epistle the Apostle terms himself ámóo $\sigma$ ．X．＇I $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$＇̇ $\pi \iota \tau a \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ，perhaps thus slightly enhancing the authority of his commission，see notes；here，pos－ sibly on account of the following катa， he reverts to his usual formula． кат＇е̇таүүе入íav must be joined，as the omission of the article clearly de－ cides，not with $\delta i a ̀ \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu a \tau o s$, but with
 $\kappa a \tau a$ denoting the object and intention of the appointment，＇to further，to make known，the promise of eternal life，＇$\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \lambda o ́ \nu \mu \epsilon \pi \rho о \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \tau о \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \sigma=$ $\pi o ́ \tau \eta े s$ Өєós．．．ひ̈́vTє $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ द̇ $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}-$ бav aí́vıov $\zeta \omega \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o l s ~ \kappa \eta \rho u ́-~$ $\xi a \iota$, Theod．，EEum．；see Tit．i．I， катà $\pi l \sigma \tau \nu \nu$ ，and comp．Winer，Gr． § 49．d，p．358，and notes on I Tim． vi．3．On the expression $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda$ ．
$\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ ，and the nature of the genitival relation，see notes on I Tim．iv． 8.
 child：＇so in 1 Cor．iv．17，but $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma i \varphi$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \varphi$ in 1 Tim．i． 2 and Tit．i． 4 ； ＇illud quidem（ $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma$ ．）ad Timothei commendationem et laudem pertinet； hoc vero Pauli in illum benevolentiam et charitatem declarat，quo ipsum tamen，ut monet Chrys．，in ejus lau－ dem recidit，＇Justiniani．It is strange indeed in Mack（comp．Alf．）to find here an insinuation that Timothy did not now deserve the former title． Scarcely less precarious is it（with Alf．）to assert that there is more of love and less of confidence in this Epistle；see ver．5．On the construc－ tion see notes on I Tim．i． 2.
Xápıs，tौeos к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．］See notes on Eph． i． 2 ；compare also on Gal．i．3，and on 1 Tim．i．2．On the scriptural meaning of $\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho t s$ see the brief but satisfactory observations of Waterland， Euch．ch．x．Vol．iv．p． 666 sq．

3．Xápıv EXw］＇I give thanks；


more commonly $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi a \rho \rho \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$, but see 1 Tim. i. 12. The construction of this verse is not perfectly clear. The usual
 which $\dot{\omega}$ s is taken for ötı (Vulg., Chrys.), or quoniam (Leo), independently of its exegetical difficulties, for surely neither the prayers themselves, nor the repeated mention of Timothy in them (Leo), could form a sufficient reason for the Apostle's returning thanks to God,-is open to the grammatical objections that $\dot{\omega} s$ could scarcely thus be used for ö $\bar{\tau} \iota$ (see Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 765, comp. Ellendt, Lex. Soph. Vol. II. p. 1002), and that the causal sense is not found in St Paul's Epp. (see Meyer on Gal. vi. 10). Less tenable is the modal (' how unceasing,' Alf.), and still less the temporal meaning, 'quoties tui recordor,' Calv., Conyb. (comp. Klotz, Vol. II. p. 759 ), and least of all so the adverbial meaning assigned by Mack, 'recht unablässig.' In spite then of the number of intervening words ( De W.), it seems most correct, as well as most simple, to retain the usual meaning of $\dot{\omega} s$ (' $a s$, ' Germ ' $d a$,' scil. ' as it happens that I have'), to refer $\chi \alpha^{\prime} \rho(\nu$ ' $\chi \chi \omega$ to $\dot{u} \pi \dot{o} \mu \nu$. $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, ver. 5 , and to regard iss á $\delta a \dot{a} \lambda$. к. $\tau$. $\lambda$. as marking the state cf feelings, the mental circumstances, as it were, under which the Apostle expresses his thanks; 'I thank God ...as thou art ever uppermose in my thoughts and prayers...when thus put in remembrance,' \&c. This seems also best to harmonize with the position of the tertiary predicate $\alpha \delta \delta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau o \nu$; see below. Under any circumstances, it seems impossible to suppose with Coray an ellipsis of каi $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \mu a ،$ before is; Rom. i. 9 is very different. On the use of $\dot{\omega}$, compare notes on

'from $m y$ forefathers,' ' with the feelings and principles inherited and derived from them,' -not 'as my fathers have done before me,' Waterland, Serm. III. Vol. v. p. 454 ; see Winer, Gr. 冬47. b, p. 333. These were not renote (Hamm.), but more immediate (comp. 1 Tim. v. 4) progenitors, from whom the A postle had received that fundamentalreligious knowledge which was common both to Judaism and Christianity; comp. Acts xxii. 3, xxiv.
 pure conscience;' as the sort of spiritual sphere in which the $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i a$ was offered; see Winer, Gr. §48. a, p. 346 . On кaO. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \delta$. see notes on I Tim.
 'as unceasing, unintermitted, is the remembrance which,' \&c., not 'unintermitted as is,' \&cc., Peile; the tertiary predicate must not be obscured in translation: see Donalds. Cratyl. \$301, ib. Gr. $\S 489$ sq. vukròs kal
 $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \in i \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (Matih.), and still less, on account of the absence of the article, with $\delta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma l \nu \mu o u$ (Syr.), but with $\dot{a} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda$. $\quad \chi \chi \omega$, which these words alike explain and enhance. On the expr.ssion see notes on I Tim. v. 5 .
 pendent on $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \omega \mu \nu \epsilon i a \nu$, expressing the feeling that existed previously to, or contemporaneously with that action (comp. Jelf, Gr. §685), and comected with the final clause $i \nu a \operatorname{\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega 0} \hat{\omega}$. The following participial clause, $\mu \epsilon-$ $\mu \nu \eta \mu \notin \nu 0$ о к.т. $\lambda$. (' memor lacrymarum tuarum,' Vulg., Clarom.), does not refer to $\chi \chi^{d \rho \nu}{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega$, as the meaning of iva would thus be wholly obscured, but further illustrates and explains er $\pi \iota \pi o \theta \hat{\theta} \mathrm{v}$, to which it is appended
$\delta \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{v} \omega \nu, \ddot{\imath}_{\imath \alpha} \chi^{\alpha} \rho \hat{\alpha}_{\varsigma} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\omega}, \dot{v} \pi o ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma 5$



with a faint causal force; ' longing to see thee, in remembrance of (as I remember) thy tears, in order that I
 at first sight seem to be intensive ('vehementer optans,' Just., 'greatly desiring,' Auth.) both here and Rom. i. ir, al. As however the simp'e form $\pi$ ro $\theta \epsilon \omega$ is not used in the N.T., and as this intensive force cannot by any means be certainly substantiated in other authors, $\epsilon \pi i$ will be more correctly taken as marking the direction (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v.

 esp. the good note of Fritz. Rom. Vol.
 ' the tears which thou sheddest,'- pro-

 ทै $\pi a \iota \delta i ̀ v ~ \tau o v ̀ ~ \mu a \sigma \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ к a l ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \iota \tau \theta \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \pi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ vo , Chrys. Coray compares the case of the $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ úrepot at Ephesus, Acts xx. 37; see also Wieseler, Chronol. p. 463.
5. ن́то́ $\boldsymbol{\nu \eta \sigma เ \nu ~ \lambda a \beta \omega ́ v ] ~ ‘ b e i n g ~ p u t ~}$ in remembrance;' literally, 'having received reminding,' not, with a neglect of tense, 'dum in mem. revocn,' Leo (who reads $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu$ ). The assertion of Bengel, founded on the distinction of
 $\epsilon i s$
 p. 16 , ed. Valck.), that St Paul might have been reminded of Timothy's faith by some 'externa occasio aut nuncius,' is not to be dismissed with Huther's summary ' unbegrundet;' it is plausible, harmonizes with the tense, and lexically considered is very satisfac-
tory; comp. 2 Pet. i. 13, iii. J, the only other passages in the N.T. where the word occurs. The intrans. meaning is fully defensible ( $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$, каl $i \delta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s} s \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ้ \nu$ ír $\delta \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, Eustath. Il. xxini p. r440, see also Polyb. Hist. 1. I. 2, III. 31. 6), and $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, 2 Pet. i. 9 , is certainly analogous, still on the whole the transitive meaning seens preferable; comp. Eph. i. I5, where the construction is similar. The reading is scarcely doubtful : $\lambda a \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ is found in DEKLN ${ }^{4}$; most mss.; but seems clearly inferior in authority to the text, which is supported by ACF GN¹; 17.31. $73.8^{\text {pe. }}-\mathrm{mss}$. of some authority [Lachm., Tisch.]. Tîs èv ool к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'the unfeigned faith that is (not 'was,' Alf.) in thee,'-more exactly, 'quæ est in te non ficta,' Vulg., sim. Goth.; object which called forth the Apostle's thankfulness. On adv$\pi \delta \kappa \rho \iota \tau o s$, see notes on r Tim. i. 5 .
 nor again for $\pi \rho \sigma$ óepoy ('prius quam in te,' Leo), but simply 'first:' the indwelling of faitb in Timothy's family first began in the case of Lois. The relative $\eta^{\prime \prime} \tau$ s here seems used, not, as often, with an explanatory, but with a specifying, and what may be termed a differentiating force, -' this particular ávuாókp. $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$, no other, dwelt first,' \&ec.; see notes on Gal. iv. 24, and comp. Jelf, Gr. § $8 \mathbf{1} 6$.
$\left.\mu \alpha \mu_{\mu} \mathrm{n}\right]$ ' grandmother.' The Atticists condemn this form, the correct expression being $\tau \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ (not $\tau i \tau \theta \eta$ ), Lobeck, Phryn. p. r34, Thom. Mag. s.v. rit $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. The mother Eunice (possibly the daughter of Lois) is alluded to in Acts xvi. .
wai $\left.{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} i\right]$ Scil.
'̇vockê' ; comp. Arm., 'et in te est.' De W. seems inclined to favour the supplement of Grot., al., e่vook $\hat{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$, on the hypothesis that Tim. had become weak in faith (ver. 13, ch. iii. 14),-an hypothesis, which though advocated by Alf. throughout this Epistle, is certainly precarious, and, it seems reasonable to add, improbable. The transition to exhortation does not at all favour such a supposition; 'imo quo certius Paulus de Timothei fide persuasus erat, eo majorem habebat causam adhortandi ut aleret $\tau \grave{\partial} \chi$ ápec. $\mu a \tau \circ \hat{\theta} \Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{\text {, }}$, quo gauderet,' Leo.
6. $\Delta l^{\prime}$ ทivalvlav] ' For which cause,'
 $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, Theoph.; $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho t$ $\sigma o v \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \in ́ v o s ~ \pi а \rho а к а \lambda \hat{\omega}$ к.т. $\lambda$., Theod., comp. notes on ver. 12: as tbe Apostle knew that this faith was in Timothy, he reminds him ('in memoriam redigit,' Just., comp. r Cor. iv. 17) to exhibit it in action. It is by no
 was suggested by a knowledge of the grief, and possibly despondency, into which Timothy might have sunk at the absence, trials, and imprisonment of his spiritual father in the faith;
 $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}, \pi \omega \hat{s} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \eta \phi \in i(q$, Chrys. This we may reasonably assume, but the theory that this 'dear child' of the Apostle was showing 'signs of backwardness and timidity' (Alford, Proleg. on I Tim. § 2. 9) in his ministerial work needs far more proof than has yet been adduced. $\dot{\alpha} v a \xi \omega \pi u p \in i v]$ 'to kindle up,' à $\epsilon i \zeta \hat{\zeta} \sigma a \nu ~ к a i ~ a ̀ к \mu a ́ \zeta o v-~$
 Theod., $\stackrel{5}{5}{ }^{5}$ ? [ut excites] Syr.; see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I. p. 265. There is no lexical necessity for pressing the meaning of this word, 'sopitos
ignes suscitare,' Grot., al. Indeed it may be further said that $\alpha \nu a \zeta \omega \pi v \rho \in i \nu$ (a d $\pi \pi a \xi \lambda \in \gamma b \mu$. in the N.T.) is not here necessarily 'resuscitare,' Vulg., ' wieder anfachen,' Huther, but rather 'exsuscitare,' Beza, 'anzufachen,' De Wette,-the force of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a}$ being $u p$,
 єүєєрєє к.т. 入.; see Winer, de Verb. Comp. III. p. I, note, Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. àpd', e. I ; comp. Plutarch,
 $\pi а р а \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \zeta \phi \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. The simple form $\zeta \omega \pi v \rho \varepsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ is 'to kindle to flame' (roùs д̀vөракаs $\phi v \sigma a ̂ v$, Suidas), the com-
 kindle,' and in a metaphorical sense 'revivify,' Joseph. Antiq. viII. 8. 5, ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi \omega \pi \nu \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \xi c a \dot{\prime} \nu$ (Jeroboam's hand), comp. Plato, Charm. p. 156 c, àv $\theta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \sigma \alpha \quad \tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa a i \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \zeta \omega \pi v \rho o \tilde{\mu} \mu \eta$; or (b) as here, 'to kindle up' (à $\nu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho a t$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \zeta \omega \pi v \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, Suidas), 'to fan into a flame,' without however involving any necessary reference to a previous state of higher ardour or of fuller glow: comp. Marc. Anton. vir. 2, a $\alpha,\lceil\omega \pi v$ $\rho \in i ̂ \nu$ фàtaalas opp. to $\sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu v i v a l$, and appy. Plato, Republ. vil. 527 D, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\kappa \kappa \alpha-}$
 has been before said, it is not wholly improbable that Timothy might now have been in a state of $\dot{\alpha} \theta v \mu i a$, but this inference rests more on the general fact of the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \sigma$ cs than on the meaning of an isolated word. Ninmerous exx. of the use of $\zeta \omega \pi$. and $\dot{a}^{2} \nu \zeta \omega \pi$. will be found in Wetst. in loc., Krebs, Obs. p. 360 , Loesner, Obs. p. 412 ; see also Pierson, $M$ oer. p. 170. то X dipto $\mu \mathrm{a}$ ] 'the gift, the charism,' -not the Holy Spirit generally, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \tau o \hat{v} \Pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau o s$, Theod., and appy. Waterland, Serm. xxi. Vol. v. p. $6_{41}$ (whose clear remarks however on the concurrence of our spirit with the

 $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \imath \sigma \mu 0 \hat{v}$.

Do not then shrink from afflictions, for the sake of Him who made death powerless. I am
His preacher, and know that He will keep my deposit. Guard thine.

Holy Spirit are not the less worthy of attention),-but the special gift of it in reference to Timothy's duties as a bishop and evangelist, eis ipootafial
 ämafav, Chrys.: compare Hooker, Eccl. Pol. v. 77.5 . 8 tà Tîs $\dot{\text { èmil }}$.] 'through the laying on,' \&c.; the bands were the medium by which the gift of the Holy Spirit was imparted. On the $\overline{\epsilon \pi} l \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ रet $\rho \hat{\nu}$, see notes on I Tim. iv. 14, where it is mentioned that the presbytery joined with the Apostle in the performance of the solemn act.
7. Пvev̂ couardice,' où s८à toûto to Пvev̂дa
 $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \sigma t a \zeta \omega^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, Chrys.; not 'a spirit, a natural and infused character,' Peile: see notes on Eph. i. 17, and on Gal. vi. I. By comparing those two notes it will be seen that in such cases as the present, where the $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a$ is mentioned in connexion with $\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a l$ к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. , it is better to refer it directly to the personal Holy Spirit and the abstract gคn. to His specific $\chi \dot{d} \rho с \sigma \mu a$. Where however, as in 1 Cor. iv. 21, Gal. l.c., the connexion is different, the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ may be referred immediately to the human spirit (comp. Olshausen, Opusc. p. 154), though even then ultimately to the Holy Spirit as the inworking power. In such formulæ then, the meaning of $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, whether it be the human spirit as wrougbt on by the Holy Spirit, or the Holy Spirit as working on the human spirit, will be best deduced from the context: with
the present passage comp. Rom. viii. ${ }^{5} 5$, Gal. iv. 6. On the omission of the article with $\pi \nu \in \hat{\cup} \mu a$, see notes on Gal. v. 5. $\quad \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu เ \sigma \mu \circ \hat{]}]$
 tionis] Syr., 'sobrietatis,' Vulg., Clarom.; a d́r. 入eró $\mu$. in N. T., but -compare Tit. ii. 4. $\Sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \iota \sigma \mu$ ós, as its termination suggests (Donalds. Cratyl. § 253, Buttm. Gr. § ing. 7, see exx. in Lobeck, Phryn. p. 5if), has usually a transitive force, e.g. Plutarch, Cat. Maj. $5, \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, comp. Joseph. Antiq. xvir. 9. 2, Bell. II. I. 3 ; as however both the substantives with which it is connected are abstract and intransitive, and as the ordinary meaning of nouns in - $\mu \mathrm{os}$ ('action proceeding from the subject') is liable to some modifications (e.g. xp $\eta \sigma \mu$ ós, comp. Buttm. l.c.), it seems on the whole best, with De W., Wiesing., al., to give it either a purely intransitive (Plutarch, Qucest. Conviv. viri. 3, $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v i \sigma \mu o i ̂ s ~ \tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu \geqslant \mu \epsilon \tau a v o l a c s)$, or perhaps rather reflexive reference; iva
 $\pi \alpha 0 \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \tau a \xi l a \nu$, Theod., Chrys. 2; comp. Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. II. p. 1234, Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 486 (Bohn).
8. Mì oûv к.т. $\lambda$.] Exhortation, immediately dependent on the foregoing verse; ' ${ }^{2 s}$ God has thus given us the spirit of power, love, and self-control, therefore be not ashamed of testifying about our Lord.' On the connexion of aiбxúvoual and similar verbs with the accus., see Bernhardy, Synt.

#   

III. 19, p. 113, Jelf, Gr. § 550 . The compound form $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a, \sigma \chi$. [ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ probably marks the imaginary point of application, that on which tbe feeling is based, Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. c. 3] is frequently thus used in the N. T., both with persons (Mark viii. 38, Luke ix. 26), and with things (ver. 16, Rom. i. 16), but not so the simple form. Observe the aor. subj. with $\mu \eta$ ', 'ne te pudeat unquam,' Leo; Timothy had as yet evinced no such feeling; see Winer, Gr. $\Sigma_{5} 56.1$, p. 445.
toû Kuplov] 'of the Lord,' i.e. 'about the Lord,' gen. objecti; see Winer, Gr. § 30. I, p. 168, and esp. Krüger, Sprachl. §47.7. i sq. The subject of this testimony was not merely the sufferings and crucifixion of Christ (Chrys. and the Greek commentators), but generally 'omnis predicatio vel confessio quæ de Cbristo fit apud homines,' Est.; comp. Acts i. $8, \notin \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta t$ $\mu o v \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s$. Bengel remarks on the rareness of the formula $\dot{o} \mathrm{~K} \dot{\nu} \rho$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in St Paul, without 'I. X.; add however I Tim. i. I4: see also Heb. vii. 14, but not 2 Pet. iii. 15, where the reference appears to be to the Fatber. тòv $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \mathrm{Lov}$ av́тov̂] 'His prisoner,' i.e. whom He has made a prisoner, gen. auctoris; see notes on Eph. iii. 1, and also Harless, in loc. p. 273. 'Ne graveris vocari discipulus Pauli hominis captivi,' Est., Ecum.
 (on the contrary) join with me in suffering ills for the Gospel;' $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ (as usual after negatives, Donalds. Cratyl. § 201) marking the full opposition between this clause and the words immediately preceding (comp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 2, 3), 'do not be ashamed of me, but rather suffer with me.' It is thus perhaps better to retain with Lachm.
the comma after $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. The preposition oiv must be referred, not to $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ єvarर. (Syr., Theod.), as this would involve a very unusual and unnecessary prosopopœia ( $\pi a \dot{\nu} \tau a s$ tovis tô єं́arү. кทंрикаs каi $\mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau a s$, Theoph. 2), but to $\mu o t$ supplied from the preceding $\epsilon \mu \hat{c}$. The dat. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{v} a \gamma \gamma$. is then either the dat. of reference to (see notes on Gul. i. 22; comp. the fuller expression Phil. iv. $3,{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \gamma$. $\sigma v \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \nu$ $\mu 0<$, and below, ch. ii. 9), or more probably and more simply the dat. commodi, $\dot{\pi} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ тồ єvंaरु. $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, Chrys., Theoph. i. кarà Súvapıv] 'in accordance with, correspondingly to, that dóvaues which God has displayed towards us in our calling and salvation,' ver. 9 sq. (Wiesing.), not with any reference to the spiritual Súvaucs infused in us, ver. 7 (De W., Huth.). The prep. кatà has thus its usual meaning of norma (Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. $35^{88}$ ) ; the $\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mu \mathrm{c}$, as ver. 9 shows, was great, our readiness in какота́ $\theta \in \iota a$ ought to be proportionate to it. It need scarcely be added that this clause must be connected, not with $\epsilon \dot{a} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda(\omega$ (Heirrich, al.), but with $\sigma v \nu \kappa а к о \pi a \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma о \nu ; ~ \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon і$ фортєко̀v $\dot{\eta} \nu$

 $\mathrm{X} \rho$. [ $\Theta$ єо仑̂], Theoph., (Ecum.
9. тoû бẃбavtos $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}]$ 'who saved $u s$,' 'exercised His saving agency towards us ;' 'servatio hæc est applicativa, non tantum acquisitiva, eam ipsam ob causam quia tam arcte cum vocatione connectitur,' Beng., comp. also Green, Gr. p. 318; we must however in all cases be careful not to assign too low a meaning to this vital word (comp. notes on Eph. ii. 8) ; the context will generally supply the proper explanation ; sye the collection of passages in




Reuss, Theol. iv. 22, Vol. 11. p. 250. On the act of $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i \alpha$ applied to God, see notes on I Tim. i. 1. Mosheim and, to a certain degree, Wiesinger refer $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s} s$ to St Paul and Timothy: this is very doubtful; it seems much more satisfactory to give $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ here the same latitude as in ver. 7.
кa入tधavtos] The act of calling is always regularly and solemnly ascribed to God the Father; see notes on Gal. i. 6, and compare Reuss, Theol. jv. 15, Vol. II. p. 144 sq. This $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma$ ts is essentially and intrinsically $\dot{a} \gamma j a$; it is a $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma t s \in l s$ кolv $\omega \nu$ là rov̂ $\mathrm{X} \rho$., i Cor. i. 9 . On the 'vocatio externa and interna,' see esp. Jackson on the Creed, Dook XII. 7 (init.).
 to our works;' comp. Tit. iii. 5, oúk $\xi \xi$
 may certainly be here referred to the motives (Beza, De W.) which prompted the act ; see exx. in Winer, Gr. $\S 49$. d, p. 358: it seems however equally satisfactory, and perhaps more theologically exact, especially in the latter clause, to retain (with Vulg., Clarom., al.) the more usual meaning 'in accordance with;' comp. i. I1, iii. II, al. islav $\left.\pi \rho \rho^{0} \theta \in \sigma เ \nu\right]$ 'His own purpose;' observe the $l$ İav; 'that purpose which was suggested by nothing outward, but arose only from the innermost depths of the divine єvidoкía;' otкоөєv
 Chrys. ; comp. Eph. i. 5. The nature of the $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is further elucidated by the more specific каl $\chi \dot{d} \rho \iota \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. ; there is however no $\frac{y}{\nu} \delta$ did dvoiv, 'propositum gratiosum' (comp. Bull, Prim. Trad. vi. 38), but simply an explanation of the $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ by a statement
of what it consisted in and what it contemplated.
 к.т. $\mathrm{A}^{\text {. ] 'which was given to us in Christ }}$ Jesus;' scil. the $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ immediately preceding. The literal meaning of these words must not be infringed on. $\Delta o \theta \in \hat{\epsilon}-$ $\sigma a \nu$ is simply. 'given,' not 'destined;' it was given from the beginning, it needed only time for its manifestation : again év $\mathbf{X} \rho$. is not 'per Christum,' Est., but 'in Christo,' 'in His person,'
 'I $\eta \sigma . \gamma^{\prime} \ell \ell \sigma \theta a \iota$, Chrys. ; comp. у Pet. i. 20, see notes on Eph. i. 7, and the good remarks of Hofmann, Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 205.
 ai $\omega \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{L} \omega \boldsymbol{v}]$ 'before eternal times;' comp. I Cor. ii. $7, \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ al $\dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$, Eph. iii. II, $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \theta \in \sigma \tau \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ al ${ }^{\prime} \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, and see notes. The exact meaning of the term रpóvot alévioc (Rom. xvi. 25 , Tit. i. 2) must be determined from the context; in the present case the meaning is obviously 'from all eternity,' somewhat stronger perhaps than $\pi \rho \delta$ катаß $\quad \lambda$ خेs ко́ $\sigma \mu v$, Eph. i. 4, 'before times marked by the lapse of unnumbered ages,' times, in a word, which reached from eternity ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ' $a l \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ ) to the coming of Christ, in and during which the $\mu v \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$ ptov lay $\sigma \in \sigma<\gamma \eta \mu^{\prime}$ vov, Rom. xvi. 25 ; see Meyer in loc., and comp. notes on Tit. i. 2, where however the meaning is not equally certain.
 -not 'realized,' Heydenr. The word implies what is expressed in other passages, e.g. Rom. xvi. 25, Col. i. 26, that the eternal counsels of mercy were not only formed before all ages, but hidden during their lapse, till the appointed $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ arrived; comp. notes on Eph. ïi. 9.

Tท̂s èmıqavcias]


'the appearing;' not merely the simple act of the incarnation ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ eva $\theta \rho \omega \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, Theod.), but, as the context and the verb $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta$ in Tit. iii. 4 seem to suggest, the whole manifestation of Christ on earth ( ${ }^{( }$ข $\sigma$ арко olкovoula, Zonaras, Lex. Vol.I. p. 806), the whole work of redemption, sc. 'tota commoratio Christi inter homines,' Bengel; so Wiesing. and De W. In the words that follow, the order ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{u} \mathrm{X} \rho$. [ $\left.\mathrm{CD}^{3} \mathrm{E}^{2} \mathrm{FG} K L \mathbf{N}^{+}\right)$is perhaps to be preferred to $\mathrm{X} \rho$. 'I $\eta \sigma o u$ [AD ${ }^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathbf{N}^{1}$; Tisch.], both on account of the weight of the external evidence, and the probability of a conformation to ver. $9 . \quad$ катарүทंoavtos] 'when He made of none effect,' or, more exactly, 'having made, as He did, of none effect,' not 'who,' \&c., Alf.; it being always desirable in a literal translation to preserve the fundamental distinction between a participle with, and a part. without the article; see Donalds. Gr. $\$ 49^{2}$, and comp. Cratyl. § 305 . Tòv Oávarov] 'death,'-either regarded ( $a$ ) objectively, as a personal adversary and enemy of Christ and His kingdom,
 rєital $\dot{\text { o }} \theta$ divatos; or (b) as a spiritual state or condition, including the notions of evil and corruption, I John iii. I4, $\mu \epsilon \tau а \beta \epsilon \beta \eta^{\prime} \kappa а \mu \epsilon \nu$ ढ́к той $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau \sigma v ~ є i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$乡 $\omega$ in ; or more probably (c) as a power and principle ( $\tau o \hat{u}$ tavátou $\tau \mathfrak{a} \nu \in \hat{v} \rho a$, Chrys.) pervading and overshadowing the world; comp. Heb. ii. ı4, iva $\delta \iota a$ то̂̂ $\theta a v a ́ \tau o v ~ к а т а \rho \gamma \eta ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к р a ́ т о s ~$
 (a) lies in the fact that I Cor. xv. 26 refers specially to the second advent of Christ, when Death and the powers of evil, aggregated as it were into per-
sonalities (comp. Rev. xx. 13, 14), will be individually ruined and overthrown. In (b) again, the usual and proper force of кaтapy $\epsilon \omega$ ('render inoperative,' Rom. iii. 3, iv. 14, al., or 'destroy,' 1 Cor. xv. 24, 2 Thess. ii. 8) is too much obscured; while in (c) this is fully maintained, and in the opposed clause ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu . . \delta \epsilon$ ) the force of $\phi \omega \tau i \sigma a \nu \tau o s$ (not $\pi \rho o \mu \eta \nu v i \sigma a \nu \tau o s$, Theod., but els
 5 ; the principle of death cast a shade over the world, Matt. iv. 16) is more distinctly felt. On катар $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon} \omega$, comp.
 d\$0apoiav] 'life and incorruption;' of course no $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \text { dia } \\ \text { duoiv, as Coray, }\end{gathered}$ and Wakefield, Sylv. Crit. Vol. rv. p. 208: the latter substantive characterizes and explains the former, not however with any special reference to the resurrection of the body ( I Cor. xv. 42), as this would mark d $\phi \theta a \rho \sigma i a$ as a condition ('conditio illa felicissima,' Leo), but with a reference to the essential quality of the $\zeta \omega \eta^{\prime}$, its imperishable and incorruptible nature (1 Pet. i. 4), and its complete exemption from death (Rev. xxi. 4): comp. Rom. ii. 7. It may be observed that Oávatos as being a known and ruling power has the article, $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ and $\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta a \rho \sigma l a$ as having been only recently revealed are anarthrous.

סıà тoû cúayץeliou is perhaps more correctly referred to $\phi \omega \tau i \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ к . \tau . \lambda$. (Alf.) than considered as loosely appended to the whole foregoing sentence (ed. I, Wiesing.), as it thus seems suitably to define the medium by which the $\phi \omega$. tif $\mu \dot{s}$ s took place, and to form a natural transition and introduction to ver. II sq. All that follows'I $\eta \sigma$. X $\rho$. thus forms (as seems most natural)




one connected and subordinate (tertiary) predication: comp. Donalds. Gr. § 489 sq .
 evangelium prædicandum,' Est., not ' in quo,' Vulg., Clarom. On the remaining words, see notes on I Tim. ii. 7 , where there is the same designation of the Apostle's offices, though, as the context shows, the application is somewhat different. There the Apostle is speaking of his office on the side of its dignity, here in reference to the sufferings it entailed on him who sustained it. The éyw here thus marks not 'dignitatem predicantis,' but 'dignitatem cohortantis;' $\mu \dot{\eta}$ кататє́ $\sigma p s$


 1 Tim. i. 12.
12. Si'îv aitlav]'for which cause;' scil. because I am thus appointed as a herald and Apostle; comp. ver. 6. This formula is only used by St Paul in the Pastoral Epp. (ver. 6 and Tit. i. i3): see also Heb. ii. ir, and Acts x. 21, xxii. 24, xxiii. 28, xxviii. 20.

кai tav̂ra] 'even these things;' bonds, imprisonment, and sufferings, see ver. 8 , to which the following $\overline{\epsilon \pi a \iota \sigma \chi \text { ivo }}$, shows a distinct reference.
§ $\pi \in \pi[\sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa a]$ ' in whom $I$ have put my trust, and still do put it' (comp. notes on Eph. ii. 8), literally, 'to whom I have given my $\pi / \sigma \pi \tau s$,' scarcely 'on whom I have reposed my faith and trust' (Bloomf.), as this would rather imply $\epsilon \pi i$ with the dative ; see notes on I Tim. i. I 6 , where these constructions are discussed. It need scarcely be said that $\dot{\psi}$ refers to God the Father,
not to Jesus Christ (ver. ro).
Svvarós é $\sigma \tau \iota v$ ] 'is able,' has full and sufficient $\delta v_{v} \mu \mu t s$, in apparent refer. ence to the $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu i s \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{v}$, ver. 8.
Tìv $\pi a p a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \nu \quad \mu \mathrm{ov}]$ ' the trust committed to me,' 'my deposit,' т̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ -
 after Cbrys. I; or here perhaps, with a slight expansion, 'the office of preaching the Gospel,' 'the stewardship committed to the Apostle;' see notes on I Tim. vi. 20. The meanings assigned to $\pi a \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ are very numerous, and it must be confessed that not one of them is wholly free from difficulty. The usual reference to the soul, whether in connexion with $\mu o v$ as what the Apostle had entrusted to God (Beng.; comp. i Pet. iv. ig, Luke xxiii. 46), or as a deposit given by God to man (Bretschn., Alf., comp. Whitby), is at first sight very specious; but if, as the context would then seem certainly to require, it had any reference to life, surely $\epsilon i s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon / \nu \eta \nu \tau$. $\dot{\eta} \mu$. must be wholly incongruous; and if again we refer to 1 Thess. v. $2 \hat{\jmath}$ (Alf.), the prayer for the entire preservation of the personality is there intinately blended with one for its $a \mu \epsilon \mu \phi i a$ $(\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \omega s . . . \tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i \eta)$, a moral reference, which finds no true parallel in the simple $\phi \nu \lambda a \xi a \iota$. It is moreover an interpr. unknown to the Greek expositors. Less probable seems the idea of an $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \mu \sigma \theta i a$, Theoph. 3, maintained also by Wiesing., i.e. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \notin a \nu 0 \nu$ ¡ $\omega \hat{\eta}$ s, comp. ch. iv. 7,8 , for how can this consistently be termed $a$ deposit? We retain therefore the meaning advocated in notes on I Tim. vi. 20, with that expansion only which the context

 $14 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ фú入a $\xi_{o \nu} \delta_{\iota a} \Pi \nu \in u ́ \mu a t o s ~ a ́ y i o u$

here seems itself adequately to sup－ ply．The only difficulty is in $\phi$ udó ${ }^{\prime}$ as， which is certainly more suitably ap－ plied to the holder than the giver of the deposit．The gen．$\mu o v$ is thus the possessive gen．，＇the deposit which is definitely mine．＇The other interpr． are fairly discussed in the long note of De Wette in loc．
cis dexeivnv $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{v} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$ ．］＇against that day，＇Auth．，i．e． to be produced and forthcoming when that day－not rô̂ aavátou（Coray）， but of final reckoning－comes；I shall then render up my trust，through God＇s preserving grace，faithfully dis－ charged and inviolate．Eis does not seem here merely temporal（John xiii． 1），but has its more usual ethical sense of＇destination for ；＇comp．Eph．iv．30， Phil．i．ıo，ii．16，al．

13．ن่тотúтшぁเv］＇The delineation，
 in rebus fidei et vitæ respicitur，＇ Schaaf］Syr．The meaning of $\dot{\mathbf{v} \pi o \tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ． is here only slightly different from that in I Tim．i． 16 ；see notes．In both cases imor．is little more than rúmos（see Rost u．Palm，Lex．s．v．）； there however，as the context seems to require，the transilive force is more apparent，here the word is simply in－ transitive；comp．Beveridge，Serm．vi． Vol．I．p．ili（A．－C．Libr．）．What St Paul had delivered to Timothy was to be to him a＇pattern＇and＇exern－ plar＇to guide him；$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \tau v \pi \omega \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$


 $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \epsilon$ ，Theoph．，after Chrys．and Theod．The subst．і̇потút，dispenses
with the article on the principle of correlation（see Middl．Art．nil．3．6， p． 48 ，ed．Rose），and is moreover suffi－ ciently defined by the following gen．； comp．Winer，Gr．§ ig．2．b，p． 114.
＇Xt］＇have，＇＇habe，＇Vulg．，as a pos－ session，＇let the $\dot{\text { unot．be to thee，＇}}$ Syr．；not for кáтєХє，Huth．，Wiesing．， though somewhat approaching it in meaning ；see notes on I Tim．iii． 9 ， and comp．ib．ch．i．Ig．
บ́yเaเvóvтตv $\lambda_{\text {óy } \omega \nu \text { ］＇of sound words；}}$ ； comp．notes on I Iim．i．io．The omission of the article seems properly accounted for（ $D e$ W．）by the proba－ ble currency（comp．$\nu \delta \mu o s$ ）of the for－ mula，comp．i Tim．vi． 3 ．
 in which the íтoтúr．is to be held． ＇ $\mathrm{E} \nu$ is not to be joined with ${ }^{\eta}$ кovoas， and regarded as equivalent to $\pi \in \rho t$ （Theod．，comp．Chrys．），still less with ircalvóvtav（Mattb．），but obviously with $\epsilon \not \chi \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \circ \tau$ ．，marking，as it were， the sphere and element to which the holding of the inot．was to be re－ stricted；comp．I Tim．iii． 9.
 nature of the $\pi i \sigma \tau \tau s$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma d \pi \eta$ ．The anarthrous nouns（contrary to the more usual rule）have an aricle in the defining clause，as the object is to give that defining clause prominence and emphasis；＇in Christo omnis fides et amor nititur，sine Christo［extra Christum］labitur et corruit，＇Leo ：see Winer，Gr．§ 20．4，p．126，and notes on 1 Tim．iii．13．Huther joins $\tau \hat{\eta}$ हो $\mathrm{X}_{\rho}$ ．only with $\boldsymbol{a} \gamma \dot{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{m} \eta$ ，but is thus in－ consistent with his own note on I Tim． i． 14 ．

[^3]They which are in Asia all deserted me．The Lord give mercy at the last day to Onesi－ phorus．



yood deposit，＇＇the good trust com． mitted（to thee）；＇the doctrine deli－ vered to Timothy to preach，＇catho－ licæ fidei talentum，＇as in 1 Tim．vi． 20；compare ver． 12 above，and see notes on both passages．It is here termed the good trust，as $\dot{\eta}$ кa入ウ̀ $\delta \iota-$ бабка入la，I Tim．iv．6，$\delta$ к $a \lambda d s$ à $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ ， I Tim，vi． 12.

Sıd̀ חvev́pazos ajlou］The medium by which Timothy was to guard his deposit was the Holy Spirit，still further specified（not without a slight hortatory notice aud emphasis）as tô̂ t̀vockoûvtos év $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ； compare notes on ver．13：$\sigma \pi$ oúdaбov

 Theoph．

15．Oifas roûto］The Apostle now，with a slight retrospect to ver． 8 ，stimulates and evokes the energy of his disciple by reminding him of the defection of others．What pos－ sibly might have been a cause of depression to the affectionate and faithful Timothy is actually made，by the contrast which St Paul implies and suggests（ $\sigma \dot{v}$ oủv $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \nu \mu o v$ ，ch．ii． 1），an inspiriting and quickening call to fresh efforts in the cause of the Gospel．à $\pi \in \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \sigma a ́ v$ $\mu \epsilon]$＇turned away from me：＇not an apostasy from the faith（Erasm．），but， as the context implies（comp．ver． 8 ， 16），defection from the cause and in－ terests of St Paul；aversion instead of sympathy and co－operation；comp． ch．iv．І6，$\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \dot{\epsilon} \gamma к а \tau \epsilon \lambda ı \pi о \nu . ~$ The aorist passive has here，as in Matth．v． 42 ，the force of the aor． middle；$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \in \phi_{0} \mu a \iota$ with an acc． personce（Heb．xii．25），or an accus． rei（Tit．i．14），being both of them
legitimate and intelligible construc－ tions；comp．Winer，Gr．§ 39．2， p． 233.
$\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ oi．$\notin \tau \tau \hat{n}$ ＇Aбla］＇all who are in Asia．＇These words can imply nothing else than that those of whom the Apostle is speaking were in Asia at the time this Epistle was written；it being impossible（with Chrys．，Theoph．， （Ecum．，al．）so to invert the meaning of the prep．（ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ or $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ ，as to refer it to Asiatic Christians then at Rome．The $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta}$ however may have taken place in Asia or else－ where；it may have been a neglect of the absent Apostle in his captivity （Leo），or a personal manifestation of it during a sojourn at Rome（De W．， Wiesing．，Huth．）．The context，cou－ pled with ch．iv． 16 ，seems most in favour of the latter supposition；so also Wieseler，Chronol．p．405．Of Phygelus（＇Fygelus，＇Clarom．，Aug．） and Hermogenes nothing is known． On the geographical limits of＇A $\sigma$ la
 and the wider（Acts xx．16，I Pet．i． I，Rev．i．4）or narrower（Acts ii．9， $\mathrm{xvi.6} 6$ ）applications of the term，see Winer，RWB．Art．＇Asia，＇and espe－ cially Wieseler，Chronol．p．31－35， where the subject is very satisfactorily investigated．
16．$\Delta \psi \dot{\psi} \eta]$ On this form see notes

 ii．13）only occurs in this place． Onesiphorus showed $\epsilon \lambda$ eos to St Paul； the Apostle in turn prays that $\epsilon \lambda$ eos may be granted to his household． From the use of the form＇ $0 \nu \eta \sigma$ ．olкм here and ch．iv．1g，but still more the terms of the prayer in ver， 18 ，it has





been concluded，not without some show of probability，that Onesiphorus was now dead；so De W．，Huth．， Wiesing．，Alf．，and，as might easily be imagined，Estius and Mack．It does not however at all follow that the Romanist doctrine of praying for the dead is in any way confirmed by such an admission，see Hammond in loc．，and comp．Taylor，Sermon viri．
 ＇refreshed；＇a $\ddot{\alpha} \pi$ ．$\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu$ ．in the N．T． （the subst．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \psi v \xi \iota s$ occurs，Acts iii． 19）；comp．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \pi a v \sigma a \nu$, I Cor．xvi． 18. Neither from the derivation［ $\psi i \chi \omega$ ， －not $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, Beza，itself a derivative from the verb，comp．Orig．de Princ． II．8］，nor from the prevailing use of the word elsewhere，have we sufficient reasnns for limiting the $\dot{a} \nu \dot{d} \psi v_{c}^{\xi}$ ts merely to bodily refreshment（Mosh．， De W．）；comp．e．g．Xen．Hell．vir．
 лакєб．$\sigma \dot{\imath} \mu \mu \alpha \chi^{\prime}$ ．

Tiv
äגvoiv $\mu \mathrm{ov}]$＇my chain．＇On the sin－ gular＇catenam meam，＇Vulg．，Clarom．， but not Syr．［comp．Mark v．4， Luke viii．29］or Goth．，comp．notes on $E p h$. vi．20．As is there remarked， an allusion to the＇custodia militaris，＇ though not certainly demonstrable，is not wholly improbable；comp．Wie－ seler，Chronol．p． 405.
émaioxuven］The evidence of the MSS．is here decidedly in favour of this irregular form；comp．Winer，$G r$ ． § 12, p．68，obs．On the meaning of the compound，see notes on ver． 8 ．
 the contrary（far from being ashamed of my bonds）when he had arrived in

Rome；＇the $\alpha \lambda \lambda{ }^{a}$ answering to the preceding negative，and serving to introduce a contrast of conduct which still more enhances the exhortation in ver．8．The correction of Beza， ＇cum esset Romæ，＇for＇cum Romam venisset，＇Vulg．，Clarom．［Romæ］， $(12\}^{\circ} \stackrel{\rho}{\rho}$ Syr．）is uncalled for，and inexact．Nor is $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$＇being at Rome＇（Hamm．），still less＇after he had been at R．＇（Oeder，Conject．de diff．S．S．loc．p．733），but literally ＇when he arrived and was there；＇ comp．Xen．Anab．Jv．3．29，ofs à $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau \sigma \mathrm{e} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \pi \epsilon \rho a \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a \iota$ ，ib．Cyrop．

бтovסalóтєpov］＇with greater dili－ gence，＇not merely＇with diligence，＇ Syr．，nor even＇very diligently，＇Auth．， both of which obscure the tacit com－ parison．The comparative does not imply any contrast between Onesi－ phorus and others，nor with＇the diligence that might have been ex－ pected＇（Huther），but refers to the increased diligence with which Onesi－ phorus sought out the Apostle when he knew that he was in captivity．He would have souglit him out $\sigma \pi$ ovóailus in any case，now he sought for him
 4，p． 217.

кal єûpev］
＇In carcerem conjicitur et arctâ cus－ todiâ tenetur，non ut antea in domo conduct今̂ omnibus notâ；unde Onesi－ phorus non nisi postquam sollicite que－ sivisset invenit eum，＇Pearson，Annal． Paul．Vol．I．p． 395 （ed．Churton）．

18．© Kípıos к．т．入．］The repe－ tition of Kúplos is certainly not to be
 ther soldier, athlete, or husbandman, reaps reward without toil.
explained away as a Hebraistic periphrasis for the pronoun, Coray, Peile; the exx. cited in Winer, Gr. § 22. 2, p. 130 , are, as all recent commentators seem agreed, quite of a different nature. It is however doubtful whether the first Kúpoos is Christ and the second God, or vice versâ. The express allusion in $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \eta \eta \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \underline{q}$ to that day when all judgment is committed to the Son (John v. 22) would seem to be in favour of the latter supposition: as however in ver. $16 \dot{\text { o }} \mathrm{K} \dot{u} \rho$., in accordance with the prevailing use in these and St Paul's Epp. generally (see Winer, Gr. §.19. 1, p. 1 I3), seens to be 'our Lord,' $\dot{\delta}$ K $\dot{u}$ pıos can scarcely be otherwise in the present verse; see Wiesing. in loc. It may be added too, that if the idea of the judicial function of our Lord were intended to be in especial prominence, we should rather have expected $\pi a \rho a ̀ \mathrm{~K} v \rho i \psi$, 2 Pet. ii. Is, see Winer, $G r . \$ 4^{8}$. d, p. 352. Even if this be not pressed, it need scarcely be said that (as would seem to be the case here) judgment is not unfrequently ascribed to the Fiather ; see Rom. ii. 5, 16, Heb. xii. 23, al. It may be observed that some MSS. and Vv. ( $\mathbf{D}^{1} \mathbf{E}^{1}$; Clarom., Sangerm., al.) read $\theta \epsilon \varphi \hat{\varphi}$ : this however can only be alleged as showing the opinion of the writer, or possibly the current interpr. of the time.
 cially 'unto me' (Syr., Auth.); for then $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i o \nu$ would be out of place, or 'to the saints at Ephesus' (Flatt, Heydenr.), but simply and generally, ' how many good offices he performed.' The assertion of Wieseler, Chronol. p. 463 , that Onesiphorus was a deacon at Ephesus, cannot safely be considered as deducible from this very general
expression.
$\beta$ 畆っov]'better than I can tell you,' Beza, Huther, al. ; see above, and Winer, Gr. $\$ 35$. 4, p. 217.

Chapter II. i. $\mathbf{\Sigma v}$ oìv, tékuov Hov] 'Thou then, my child;' affectionate and individualizing address to Timothy, with retrospective reference to ver. 15 sq . The oiv is thus not merely in ref. to the example of Onesiphorus (Möller), ver. 16, still less in mere continuation of the precepts in cli. i. 1-I4 (Matth., Leo), as the $\sigma \dot{v}$ would thus be otiose, but naturally and appropriately refers to the whole sulject of the foregoing verses, the general defection of oi $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'A $\sigma i a$ from St Paul, and the contrasted conduct of Onesiphorus. This address then is not simply intended to prepare Timothy for suffering after his teacher's example ( $\epsilon \bar{i} \dot{\delta} \delta \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \alpha$ доs $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ i $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} s$, Chrys.), but rather tostimulate him to make up by hisown strength in grace for the cowardice and weakness of others; see notes on ch. i. 15 . évסvvapoû] 'be inwardly strengthened;' not with a medial force, 'fortis esto,' Bretschn. (a meaning which it never has in the N.T.), but simply passive: see notes on Eph. vi. io, and Fritz. Rom. iv. 20, Vol. 1. p. 245. The element and principle in which his strength is to be sought is immediately subjoined; comp. Eph. vi.
 the grace;' not $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \bar{\chi} \chi \dot{\rho} \iota \tau o s$, Chrys., Beza. The prep., as its involution in the verb also confirms, points (as usual) to the spiritual sphere or element in which all spiritual strength is to be found. Xapis is clearly not to be explained as the 'preaching of the Gospel'. (Hammond on Heb. xiii. 9),

#    

nor regarded as merely equivalent to $\tau \partial \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ ，ch．i． 6 （comp．Leo），but has its more usual reference to the grace of＇inward sanctification＇（comp． Hooker，Append．to Book V．Vol．II． p．696），and betokens that element of spiritual life＇which enables a man both to will and to do according to what God has commanded，＇Water－ land，Euch．ch．x．Vol．iv．p． 666.
 Jesus，＇which is only and truly cen－ tered in Him，and of which He is the mediator to all who are in fellowship and union with Him；furtber specif－ cation of the true nature of the $\chi d \rho \iota s$ ， ＇ut doceat non aliunde contingere quam a solo Christo，et nemini Chris－ tiano［qui est in Christo］eam defutu－ ram，＇Calv．：comp．Reuss，Theol． Chrêt．iv．9，Vol．ir．p．92，and Meyer on Rom．viii． 39.

2．kal ä к．r．ג．］The connexion with ver． I ，though not at first sight very immediate，is sufficiently per－ spicuous．Timothy is to be strong him－ self in grace，and in the strength of it is to provide for others：he has re－ ceived the true doctrine（comp．ch．i． ${ }^{13}$ ），he is to be trusty himself in dis－ pensing it，and to see that those to whom he commits it are trusty also．
Sıà mo入入（̂ิv $\mu$ apt．］＇among，in the presence of，many witnesses，＇＇coram multis testibus，＇Tertull．Preser．cap．
 （Coray in metaph．）：so Chrys．，$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，correctly in point of verbal interpr．，but he is too vague in his explanation，oú $\lambda \dot{d} \theta \rho a \quad \eta ँ \kappa o u \sigma a s$ oúoċ
 primary meaning somewhat obscured， though it can still be sufficiently traced
to warrant the translation．Timothy heard the instruction by the media－ tion of many witnesses（＇interveni－ entibus multis testibus＇）；their pre－ sence was deemed necessary to attest the enunciation of the fundamentals of Christian doctrine（scarcely＇a liturgy，＇J．Johns．Unbl．Sacr．，Part II．Pref．，Vol．II．p．20，A．－C．Libr．） at his ordination；they were adjuncts to the solemnity，comp．Winer，Gr． §47．i，p．338．There is some doubt who the $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 i \mu d \rho \tau u \rho e s$ were，and what is the exact occasion referred to．The least probable opinion is that they were＇the law and the prophets，＇ Ecum．，after Clem．of Alex．in his ［now fragmentary］Hypot．Book VII．； the mast probable is that they were the presbyters who were present and assistedatTimothy＇s ordination；comp． 1 Tim．i．18，iv．14，vi．12， 2 Tim．i． 6；see Scholef．Hints，p． 122.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma o i s}$ ］＇faithful，＇－not＇believing：＇ the context evidently requires the for－ mer meaning；the $\pi a \rho a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ was to be delivered to trusty guardians，rô̂s $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \delta \iota \delta 00 \sigma \iota \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \grave{\eta} \rho \cup \gamma \mu a$, Chrys．；see notes on 1 Tim．i．12．The verb $\pi a \rho d \theta o v$ seems clearly to point to the $\pi$ apaөض่к $\eta$ alluded to in ch．i．12， 14 ， and I Tim．vi． 20. oltures does not appear to have bere any explanatory force，but to refer to the $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha<\alpha \alpha \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ as belonging to a particular class；＇to faithful men of such a stamp as shall be able，＇de．；

 $\pi i \sigma \pi \iota \nu \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\mu} \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \kappa а \tau a-$
 Coray（Romaic）：see notes on Gal．ii． 4 and iv．24．The future 甘бovial


does not necessarily point to the special time of Timothy's removal or death (Beng., Leo), but simply and generally to the result that will naturally follow the mapádooss.
Though this verse certainly does net refer to any $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta_{0} \sigma$ ts of dostrines of a more mystical character (Theoph.), and can never be fairly urged as recog. nizing any equal and co-ordinate authority with the written Word (comp. Mack), it still may be said that the instructions seem definitely to contemplate a regular, orderly, and successive transmission of the fundamentals of Christian doctrine to Christian ministers and teachers, see Mosheim, de Rebus Christ. p. izo. On this subject generally, see the calm and sensible remarks of Waterland, Doctr. of Trin. vil. 5 sq., Vol. iII. p. 6 Io sq.
3. $\Sigma v v к a к о \pi d i \theta \eta \sigma o v] ~ ' S u f f e r ~ a f f i c-~$ tions with me;' compare notes on ch. i. 8. This reading, supported as it is by $\mathrm{AC}^{1} \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{FG}$ ( $\sigma v \gamma \kappa$. $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ); 17. 31, al. ; Syr.-Phil. in marg., and appy. Syr., Vulg., Clarom., Copt., Arm. (Lachm., Tisch.), is now rightly adopted by all recent critics and commentators except Leo ; so also Mill, Prolegom. p. cxxxvi: où oûv (Rec.) ouly rests on $\mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{KL}$; mss. It is doubtful on what grounds Bloomf. (ed. 9) can assert that the Syr. (Pesh.) must have read oiv out, when the
 is omitted in the present verse; and wholly inconceivable how it can 'be found in the Vatican B,' when, as is perfectly well known, the Past. Epp. and Philem. are not found in that venerable MS. at all; comp. Tisch.

X. 'I.] 'a soldier of Jesus Chirist,' 'miles quem Christus sibi obstrinxit,' Leo; on the gen. compare notes on Eph. i. i. The nature of the service and its trials and sufferings are vigorously depicted by Tertull. ad Mart. cap. 3 sq. ; the scriptural and Pauline (e.g. I Cor. ix. 7,2 Cor. x. 3 sq.) character of the image is vindicated by Baumg. Pastoralbr. p. 106.
4. отратєvóцєvоs] 'serving as a
 Scholef. Hints, p. i22. On this use of what Krüger terms the dynamic middle,-in which while the active simply has the intransitive sense of being in a state, the middle also signifies to act the part of one in such a state,-see his Sprachl. \$52.8.7, and the exx. (esp. of verbs in evíw) in Donalds. Gr. §432. 2, p. 437, Jelf, Gr. s 362.6. $\quad\langle\mu \pi \lambda$ (кетаи] ' en tangleth himself,' Auth., 'implicat se,' Vulg., Clarom. 'Hoc versu commendatur $\tau \mathrm{d}$ abstine, accedit versu seq. to sustine,' Beng.; comp. Chrys. on ver. 5. There does not seem any necessity for pressing the meaning of the verb beyond that of 'being involved in,' 'implicari' (Cic. Off. II. I r. 40) ; comp. 2 Pet. ii. 20, тoútoıs [ $\mu$ cá $\sigma$. $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu] \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda a \kappa \epsilon \ell \nu \tau \epsilon$, Polyb. Hist. xxv. 9. 3, тoîs ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \kappa o i s ~ \pi \rho d \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \quad{ }^{\prime} \mu$. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa 6 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, and (with $\epsilon l s$ ) $i b$. 1. 17.3, xxpir. 6. if.
taîs tov̂ $\beta$ lou тpaypartious] 'with the affairs of life,' 'negotiis vite civilis,' Leo: on the distinction between $\beta$ ios and the higher term $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$, see Trench, Synon. § 27. It does not seem necessary to restrict $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu$. (a $\alpha \pi a \xi$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$. in the N.T.) to 'mercatura' (Schoettg. Hor. Hebr. Vol. I. p. 887 ;


## 


it rather includes, as the contrast seems to require, all the ordinary callings and occupations of life, which would necessarily be inconsistent with the special and seclusive duties of a soldier ; comp. Philo, Vit. Mosis, int. ${ }_{27}{ }^{2}$, Vol. II. p. ${ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ (ed. Mang.), ${ }^{\ell} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ $\kappa a i \quad \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єis $\pi о \rho \iota \sigma \mu b \nu$, каi $\pi \rho a \gamma-$
 p. 168, тє́ $\chi$ раı каі $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu$. каі $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$
 (Wetst.). Compare Beveridge, Can. Apost. vi. Annot. p. I7, who specifies what were considered 'sæcularia negotia.'
 rolled him as a soldier:' orparo入., a

 properly 'milites conscribere' (Plutarch, Mar. § 9, al., comp. Dorvill. Charit. 1. 2, p. 29), and thence, by a very easy transition, 'deligeremilitem,'
 comp. Joseph. Bell. v. 9. 4, $\beta$ оך $\theta \delta \nu$ ї $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon$.
 also contend in the games,' 'certat in agone,' Vulg., comp. Scholef. Hints, p. I23: $\delta \frac{1}{\text { entroduces a new image ('qua- }}$ si novam rem unamquamque enuntiationem affert,' Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 362 , 'in the second place,' Donalds. Cratyl. § 155) derived from athletic contests, I Cor. ix. 24 sq. In the former image the Christian, as the soldier, was represented as one of many; here, as the athlete, he is a little more individualized, and the personal nature of the encounter is a little more hinted at ; comp. notes on Eph. vi. 12. The kal, as usual, has its ascensive force, pointing to the previous image of the
soldier ; what applied in his case applies also and further in the case of the athlete; comp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 638 . Of the two forms, $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ and $\dot{d} \theta \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \omega$, it is said that (in the best Attic Greek) the latter is more common in allusions to the games, the former in more general references (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. a $\theta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}(\omega)$; comp. however Plato, Legg. viII. p. 830 A , with ib. Ix. p. 873 E.
$\nu 0 \mu[\mu \omega s]$

 тıvás, ка $\theta$ ' oüs $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ тoùs $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a ̀ s$ $a j \omega \nu i \bar{j} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, Theod. This however must not be restricted merely to an observation of the rules when in the contest, but, as the exx. adduced by Wetst. seem certainly to prove, must be extended to the whole preparation ( $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau a$ $\tau \dot{a}$ тoîs $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} к о \nu \tau a$, Chrys.) before it as well; comp. Arrian, Epict. III. Io, $\epsilon i$ yo $\mu i \mu \omega s$ $\eta^{\eta} \theta \lambda \eta \sigma a s$,
 то̂́ á̀ $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. Ir. p. 4 14 , where the force of this word is well illustrated by patristic citations. The tacit warning $\delta \iota a \pi a \nu \tau o \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ tival (Chrys.) thus has its full force.
6. то̀v котьิิขта к.т. .] $^{\text {] 'The la- }}$ bouring husbandman must needs first partake of the fruits (of his labour). There is some difficulty in (a) the connexion and (b) the application of this verse. With respect to (a) it seems wholly unnecessary to admit an hyper-
 $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ ко $\kappa \iota \hat{\alpha} \nu$, a grammatical subterfuge still partially advocated by Winer, Gr. § 6r. 4, p. 490; so Wakefield, Sylv. Crit. Vol. I. p. 155. The ex. which Winer adduces, Xen. Cyrop. 1. 3. 5 , $\dot{o}$ бòs $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \tau o s ~ \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \tau \dot{a}$


 fer in His Gospel for the sake of the elect; if however we endure, He will reward us.
$\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu a \ldots \pi \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \hat{1}$, is surely very different, being obvious and self explanatory. The meaning of the words seems sufficiently clear if a slight emphasis be laid on котt $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ (ó'x $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma . \epsilon \tau \pi \epsilon \bar{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ кот., Chrys.), and if $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \pi o \nu$ (certainly not 'ita demum,' Grot.) be referred to other participators; 'the labouring husbandınan (not the idle one) ought to partake first (before all others) of the fruits:' it is his inalienable right ('lex quardam nature,' Est.) in consequence of his $\kappa \dot{\pi} \pi о s$. If коп $\iota \hat{\omega} \tau \tau$ and $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ had been omitted, it would have been a mere general and unconnected sentiment; their insertion however turns the declaration into an indirect exhortation, closely parallel to that of ver. 5 : 'that atblete only $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu 0 \hat{u} \tau \alpha \iota$ who $\nu \circ \mu l \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ $\dot{d} \theta \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$, only the husbandman who колı $\langle\hat{a}$ has the first claim on the fruits.' On the derivation, and intension implied in кот. (oú $\chi \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \nu \tau \alpha$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ копт $\tau \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$, Chrys.), compare notes on 1 Tim.iv. ro. The real difficulty is in (b) the application: what are the картоi? Clearly not the support which must be given to ministers (Mosh.), as this would be completely alien to the context;-nor the fruits of his labour and instruction which St Paul was to reap from Timothy (Beng.),-nor the spiritual gifts which Timothy imparted to others and was to show first in himself (comp. Greg. Nyss. ap. (Ecum.),-but, as the context seems to require and even sug-gest,-the future reward (comp. $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ фavoûtal) which the faithful and laborious teacher is pre-eminently to receive in the world to come (comp. Matth. v. 12, xix. 2I), not perhaps
excluding that arising from the conversion of souls (Theod., and appy. Syr.
 comp. ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ Hamm.) to be partaken of even in the present world.
7. vóti] 'understand, grasp the meaning of;' not 'perpende,' Beza, or 'attende,' Beng.,—translations of $\nu 0 \epsilon \omega$ which can hardly be substantiated in the N.T., but 'intellige,' Vulg., $\left.\underset{\sim}{\square} \|_{\square}\right)_{\text {[intellige] Syr., as }}$ the context and prevailing meaning of the word (see esp. Beck, Bibl. Seelenl. II. 19. p. 56) evidently require : $\epsilon^{2} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$
 $\tau \iota \dot{\omega} \tau o v, \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \hat{u} \dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta \tau o \hat{u}, \tau \grave{a} \tau 0 \hat{u} \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ $\gamma o \hat{v}, \nu \dot{\delta} \epsilon \iota \phi \eta \sigma l$, Theoph. The reading in the following clause is not quite certain ; $\delta \psi \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ к.т.д. (Rec.) deserves some consideration on the principle, 'proclivi lectioni prostat ardua;' the uncial authority [AC1DEFGN] seems however so distinctly to preponderate as to leave it scarcely defensible. If it be retained, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ may be taken in its most simple and primary meaning, 'sane pro rebus comparatis' (Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. ${ }^{232}$, comp. notes on Gal. ii. 6), or, more probably, in its usual argumentative sense (De W., Peile), the command being explained by the prayer. $\quad \sigma \dot{v} v \epsilon \iota v]$ 'understanding;' according to the somewhat elaborate definition of Beck (Bibl. Seelenl. II. 19, p. 6o), the faculty by which we mentally apprehend and are enabled to pass judgment upon what is presented to us; comp. notes on Eph. iii. 4, and Schubert, Gesch. d. Seele, $\S 40$, notes, Vol. II. p. 345 (ed. 4).
8. Mขךцóvevє] 'Bear in remem-

##  

brance; here only with an acc. personce: it is found with an acc. rei, Matth. xvi. 9, i Thess, ii. 9, Rev. xviii. 5, but more commonly with a genitive. The distinction between the two cases neems to be, that with the gen. the meaning is simply 'to remember,' the object being perhaps regarded as that from which, as it were, the memory emanates (comp. Donalds. Gr. § $45 \mathrm{~F} . \mathrm{gg}$ ); with the accus. the meaning is rather to 'keep in remembrance,' ' to bear in mind;' see Winer, Gi. § 30. so, p. J84, and comp. Bernbardy, Synt. 1II. 5I, p. 77. The exhortation does not seem dog-
 $\mu \in v o s$, Chrys., Est.), nor even directly hortatory ('recordare, ita ut sequare,' Beng.), but intended to console and encourage. Timothy was to take courage, by dwelling on the victory over death and the glory of his Master, his Master who was pleased to assume indeed man's nature, yet came, as the word of promise had declared, of the kingly seed of David.
 connected immediately with 'I. X.; not, 'that He was raised,' \&ec., Vulg., Auth., Alf. (in loc.), but 'as one raised,' \&c. (Goth. 'urrisanana'); compare Winer, Gr. § 45. 4, p. 309, and see Alford on 1 John iv. 2, but correct 'primary' and 'secondary' into 'secondary' and 'tertiary ' (Donalds Gr. $\left.\$ 4^{17}\right)$. On the use of the perfect ( ${ }^{2} \gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \rho \mu$ ) in this and other events in our Lord's life as marking their permanent character, see Green, Gr. p. 22.
 not $\tau \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \partial \nu$, De W. The meaning of this clause, thus placed (appy. with studied emphasis) out of its natural order, can only be properly un-
derstood by comparing Rom. i. 3 . From that passage it would seem that it can here scarcely be intended to point to Christ merely on the side of His human nature (Mosh.), and as a bare antithesis to $\epsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \rho \mu$.: much less has it any reference to current Docetist doctrines (De W., Baur, Pastoralbr. p. 102). It points indeed, as the context here suggests, and the words кatà $\sigma d \dot{d} \rho \kappa \alpha$ in Rom. l.c. seem to render certain, to Chist's human nature, but it points to it at the same time as derived through the greatest of Israel's Kings, and as in the fulfi]. ment of the sure word of prophecy, Jer. xxiii. 5, Matth. xxii. 42, John vii. 42 ; see Wiesing. in loc., who has very ably elucidated the force and meaning of this clause.
кaтà тঠ̀ ciarү. $\mu \mathbf{\mu v}$ ] 'according to my Gospel,' i.e. ' the Gospel entrusted to
 јо $u a$, I Cor. XV. I, comp. Rom. ii. I6, xvi. 25 ; 'suum appellat ratione ministerii,' Calv. on Rom. ii. 16. The remark of Jerome, 'quotiescunque in epistolis suis dicit Paulus juxta evang. meum de Lucæ significat volumine,' noticed by Fabricius (Cod. A pocr. N.T. p. 372), and here pressed by Baur (Pastoralbr. p. 99), cannot be substantiated. There may be an allusion
 Theoph., but it here scarcely seems intended.
9. 'tv §i] 'in which,' as the official sphere of action, scil. 'in quo predicando,' Möller,-not, ' on account of which,' Beza 2: comp. Rom. i. 9, 2 Cor. x. 14, Phil. iv. 3. Wiesinger hesitatingly proposes to refer $\epsilon \nu \dot{\psi}$ to Christ; such a construction is of course possible (comp. Eph. iv. r), but involves a departure from the ordinary


rule of connexion, which does not seem required by the context. $\mu$ éxpı $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$.] 'even unto bonds,' Auth.; comp. Phil. ii. 8, $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \subset$ $\theta a \nu d \tau o v$, Heb. xii. $4, \mu \epsilon \chi \bar{\chi}$ сs a ${ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s$. The distinction between $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$ and $d \chi \rho \iota$, urged by Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 34, according to which 'in à $\alpha \rho$ c cogitatur potissimum totum tempus [ante], in $\mu \hat{e} \chi \rho!$ potissimum finis temporis [usque ad], in quo aliquid factum est,' independently of being appy. exactly at variance with the respective derivations [connected with áкрбs, $\mu$ ккр́́s, see Donalds. Cratyl. § 181], has been fully disproved by Fritz. Rom. v. i4, Vol. I. p. 308, note. The only reasonable and natural distinction is that suggested by derivation, viz. that $a^{2} \rho \rho$, in some passages, seems to preserve an ascensive, $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho t$ an extensive reference (see esp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 225); yet still usage so far contravenes this, that the real difference between the particles seems only to consist in this, that ${ }^{2} \chi \rho t$ is also an adverb, $\mu t \chi \rho t$ not so; that $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho, s$ ovi is used with a gen. (Herm. Viger. No. 251 ), but not so axpes ovi; and finally, that the one occurs in certain formulæ more frequently than the other, and yet that this again seems only fairly referable to the 'usus scribendi' of the author. The note of Fritzsche, Rom. l.c., on these particles, and the good article by Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 224-23I, will both repay the trouble of consultation.
какойpyos] 'a malefactor,' only here and Luke xxiii. 32, 33, 39. It enhances the preceding words $\tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 may be too perhaps a paronomasia, какотав. какои̂́., 'mala patior tanquam malefactor,' Est.
ou' $\varepsilon$ E $\delta \epsilon \tau a l$ ] 'is not (has not been and is not) bound;' with evident allusion (per paronomasiam) to the preceding $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$. The reference must not be limited to the Apostle's particular case ( $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota$ ai $\chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \epsilon \varsigma$, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\chi \dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \tau$ $\tau \alpha$, Chrys.; 'this hath not restrained me in mine office,' Hamm.), but seems perfectly general, whether in reference to himself or others, $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon-$
 comp. Phil. i. 12. The full adversative force of $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda d$, ' $y e t$, nevertheless,' must not be left unnoticed; comp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. ir. p. 3.
10. Sıà tov̂to] Scarcely 'quia me vincto evangelium currit,' Beng., still less a $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu a \sigma \mu \partial s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \beta \rho a i ̈ x o ́ s$, Coray, but rather, 'propter hoc, id est, ut evangelium disseminetur, ut verbum Dei currat et clarificetur,' Est., the negative statement ov $\delta \delta \delta \epsilon \tau a l$ being treated as if it had been a positive statement of the $\pi \rho o \kappa o \pi \grave{\eta}$ of the Gospel. Having mentioned the bonds which his preaching had entailed on him, he adds with increasing emphasis, $\pi d \nu \tau a \dot{u} \pi о \mu \notin \nu \omega$; bonds,-yea all things, sufferings, death: see Acte xxi. 13.
vi $\pi 0 \mu\langle\mathrm{v} \omega]$ 'endure,' 'sustain,' 'sustineo,' Vulg.,-not exactly 'am con. tent to suffer anything,' Peile ( $\pi \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \sigma \chi \omega$, Chrys.), as this too much obscures the normal meaning of $\dot{v} \pi о \mu$. in the N.T., which is rather that of a brave bearing up against sufferings (' animum in perferendo sustinet,' Tittm. Synon. i. p. 194, see Trench, Synon. Part 11 . § 3) than a mere tame and passive sufferance ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) of them; see below, ver. 12, Rom. xii, 12, James $\mathrm{j}^{2}$ 12, al., and contrast ávє $\chi \dot{o} \mu \in \theta a$, 1 Cor. iv. ${ }^{2} 2\left(\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu\right.$, Psalm lxxxix. 51 ), where a meek suffering is intended to be specially depicted. Even in the


 （Heb．xii． 7 Rec．，comp．ч Pet．ii．20）， it is to be the endurance of a quick and living，not the passiveness of a dead and feelingless soul．Thus then the meaning assigned to $\dot{v} \pi о \mu o \nu \dot{\eta}$ by Reuss，Theol．Chret．iv．20，Vol．in． p．225，as its primary one，viz．＇la sou－ mission pure et simple qui accepte la douleur，＇seems certainly too passive， and is moreover not substantiated by the exx．adduced，Rom．viii．25，xv．4， 2 Cor．i．6；see Meyer on I Cor．xiii．7， Fritz．Rom．Vol．I．p．${ }_{5} 58$.
 God in His infinite mercy，and in ac－ cordance with the counsels of His ＇voluntas liberrima，＇has been pleased $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \xi a \sigma \theta a l$ ；see notes on Eph．i． 4. There appears no reason whatever for here liniting the $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau o l$ to those who had not yet received the message of the Gospel（De W．），＇qui adhuc ad Christi ovile sunt adducendi＇（Menoch． ap．Pol．Syn．），and still less for con－ fining it to those who had already received it（Grot．）：the reference is perfectly general，timeless，and unre－ stricted．On St Paul＇s use of $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa$－ tol，comp．Reuss，Théol．Chrét．IV．I4， Vol．11．p．г33．кai aútol］ ＇they too，＇they as well as I ；$\dot{\omega}$ s кai
 aro，Chrys．The reference advocated by De W．，＇they as well as those who already believe，＇seems certainly un－ tenable，－on this ground，that it would imply a kind of contrast between the $\pi \iota \sigma t o l$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa т о i$ ；whereas the $\pi \iota$－ aró，as Wiesinger fairly observes， must both be and remain $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau o l$ ． The tacit reference of the Apostle to himself does not involve terms of greater assurance than the date of the

Ep．and its language elsewhere（ch． iv．8）fully warrant．
 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a s$, Chrys．On the use of the article，see notes on ch．i．13．
$\mu e \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ठó $\xi \eta s$ al $\omega \nu$ ．is appended to $\sigma \omega \tau \eta$ ． pla，and，while serving to enhance it， also marks it as in its highest and completest realization belonging to the
 voîs Ėotur，Chrys．Thus then，though there were sufferings in this world， there was in the world to come salva－ tion and glory．

11．тtocòs $\delta \lambda$ 入óyos］＇Faithful is the saying：＇compare notes on I Tim． i．i5．Here，as in I Tim．iv． 9 ，the use of $\gamma{ }^{\dot{d} \rho}$ in the following clause seems to suggest a reference to the preceding words；$\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ ．ò 入óq．moîos；

 Chrys．；similarly EEeum．If with Huth．，Leo，al．，the formula be re－ ferred to what follows，the proper force of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ can searcely be main－ tained：even in its most decidedly explanatory uses，the conclusive force （the ăpa portion，see Klotz，Devar． Vol．II．p．232），though subordinated to the affirmative，is never so com－ pletely obscured（＇videlicet，＇Peile，＇ni－ mirum，＇Leo）as must be the case in the present passage．In Matth．i．I8， noticed by De W．，the use of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ was suggested by the preceding oüt $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ，be－ sides the reading is doubtful；see Kühner on Xen．Mem．І．у． 6.
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon l} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{a} \rho$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．］It has been asserted by Münter）Christl．Poes．p．29），Mack， Conyb．，al．，that the latter part of this，and the whole of the two follow－ ing verses are taken from some Chris－ tian hymn．Though the distinctly

 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \in \iota \cdot \grave{\alpha} \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ éavtòv où divataı.
rhythmical character of the clauses (see the arrangement in Mask, who however erroneously includes the first $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ in the quotation), and the eparent occurrence of another specimen in I Tim. iii. In, certainly favour such a supposition; still the argumentative $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ (Lachm., Tisch., with all the undial MSS. [probably A] except $\mathrm{KN}^{4}$ ) in ver. 13 seems so far opposed to the hymnal character of the quotation as to leave the supposition very doubtful. lt is not noticed in Rambach's $A n$ thologie, Vol. I. p. 33, where it would scarcely have been omitted if the bypothesis had not seemed untenable.
$\epsilon i$ ouvarteávo $\epsilon \in \boldsymbol{v}]$ 'if we died with (Him);' the $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ obviously refers to X $\rho$. 'I $\eta \sigma$. var. 10. The death here alluded to must, in accordance with the context, be simply $\dot{o} \delta\left(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \eta \mu \alpha{ }^{-}-\right.$ $\tau \omega \nu$ बávaros, not also oj סcà $\tau o \hat{u}$ dou$\tau \rho o \hat{\text {, Chrys., and the Greek exposi- }}$ tors. In the very similar passage, Rom. vi. 8 , the reference, as vier. 11 sq. clearly show, is ethical; here however such a reference would seem inconsistent with the general current of the argument, and esp. with ver. 12. The aorist must not be passed over; it marks a single past act that took place when we gave ourselves up to a life that involved similar exposure to sufferings and death; the Apostle died when he embraced the lot of a daily death ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$, i Cor. xv.3I), and of a constant bearing about the $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ rove 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}, 2$ Cor. iv. 10.
kail $\sigma u v$ そうं $\sigma$.]
'we shall also live with (Him),' not in an ethical sense, but, as the antithesis necessarily requires, with physical reference to Christ's resurrection (comp.

union with Him in His death, we shall hereafter share with Him His life ; comp. Phil. iii. io.
12. ai чітоцєขоцєv] 'if we endure,' scil. with Him ; present; this was a continuing state. On the meaning of $v \pi \sigma, \mu \epsilon \nu \in L \nu$, see notes on var. no. kail $\sigma v v \beta a \sigma \downarrow \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \circ \mu \leqslant v]$ ' we shall also reign with (Him);' extension of the previous idea $\sigma v \nu \zeta_{\eta}^{\eta} \sigma o \mu$. ; not only shall we live, but also be kings with Him; comp. Rom. v. ${ }^{17}$, viii. ${ }^{17}$, Rev. i. 6. $\Sigma v \nu \beta a \sigma$. is only a $\delta i s \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$. in N.T., here and I Cor. iv. 8 ; comp. Polys. Phil. 5. $\quad$ ci $\alpha \rho \nu \eta \sigma \dot{\circ} \mu \theta a$ ] 'if we shall deny ( Him ),'rut factor, ut verbo, ut etiam silentio,' Est. ; comp. Match. x. 32, 33 : oúk $\begin{gathered}\text { c } \\ \text { lois } \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o i ̂ s ~\end{gathered}$ $\mu 6 \nu o \nu$, d $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ каl èv roîs èvavtiocs ai a $\mu \circ \neq \beta a i$, Chrys. The future conveys the idea of the ethical possibility of the action ; comp. Winer, Gr. § 40. 6, p. 250: we have thus in the hypothetical clauses, aorist, present, and future. The precedence of $\alpha^{\rho} \rho \nu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ to ${ }^{\pi} \pi \iota$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ is not to be ascribed to the fact that 'abnegatio...fidem que fuerat extinguit,' Beng., but rather to this, that a persistent state of unbelief (in $\pi \stackrel{\sigma \tau 0 \hat{u}-}{ }$ $\mu \in \nu$ ) is far worse than a denial which might be (as in the case of St Peter) an act committed in weakness and bitterly repented of; comp. Leo. The reading is not quite certain: $\dot{a} \rho \nu \eta \sigma b \mu$. is supported by $A C N^{1}$; Syr., Vulg. (FG here omit some words), while $\alpha \rho$ $\nu \operatorname{ớ}_{\mu}$. (Rec.) has DEKLN ${ }^{4}$; Carom., Vulg. (Amiat.), but seems, on the whole, more probably corrected to harmonize with the pres. $\dot{\cup} \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \in \nu$, than altered to balance d $\rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha$.
13. Gl àmьттoû $\mu \mathrm{cv}]$ ' if we are un-believing'-or, to preserve the paronomasia, 'are faithless,' and $\sigma$ moi $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \in \mu$

#   <br> Charge men to avoid babblings which really lead to the subversion of faith. God knows His own. <br> Follow practical religion, be meek and eschew contentions. 

(comp. Fritz. Rom. iii. 3), -not specifically 'in Him' (Syr.), or 'in His resurrection,' $\partial \quad \tau \iota \dot{\iota} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ (Chrys.), or 'in His divinity,' $\delta \tau \iota \Theta$ đós $\dot{\text { é } \sigma \tau \iota}$ (Ecum. 2),-but generally, 'if we exhibit unbelief,' whether as regards His attributes, His promises, or His Gospel; - infidelitas positiva significatur, quæ est eorum qui veritatem auditam recipere nolunt, aut semel receptam deserunt,' Estius. De W., Wiesing., and others,following Grot., translate $\alpha^{\prime} \pi \iota \sigma \tau$. 'untreu sind,' 'are unfaithful,' appealing to the similar passage, Rom. iii. 3. This is certainly plausible on account of the following $\pi$ atorós, still neither there (see esp. Meyer in loc.) nor here is there sufficient reason for departing from the regular meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i \nu$ (Mark xvi. if, 16, Luke xxiv. II, 4I, Acts xxviii. 24), which, like àmetia, seems always in the N.T. to imply not ' untrueness,' 'unfaithfulness,' but definitely 'unbelief.' This is still furtber confirmed by the species of climax, dip $\nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu$, , án $\sigma \sigma \tau o v \hat{-}$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$; see above, on ver. 12 .
$\pi$ เбTठs] 'faithful,' both in His nature and promises; comp. Deut. vii. 9 , Rom. iii. 3, 4. Though we believe not Him and His promises, yet He remains unchanged in His faithfulness and truth;


 к. т. $\lambda$., Athan. cont. Arian. ini. Vol. I. p. 377 (Paris, 1627).
ou' Súv. к.т.ג.] ' He cannot deny Himself,' or be untrue to His own essential nature; $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а \theta ' ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi d \nu \tau a \quad \dot{o}$


 see also Pearson, Crced, Art. vi. Vol.
I. p. 339 (ed. Burt.). On the aor. infin. after dívazal see notes on Eph. iii. 4 .
 in remembrance of these things,' scil. of the truths mentioned in ver. II--13; comp. Tit. iii. I, 2 Pet. i. 12. The most natural supplement to $\dot{v} \pi o \mu i_{\mu \nu \eta}$ - $^{\text {- }}$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon$ is not di $\lambda \lambda$ ous (Theoph., (Ecum.), but aitou's (Syr.), whether generally ' eos quibus prees,' Beng., or, as the meaning of the verb seems to suggest, 'the faithful,' those who already believe, but require to be reminded of these eternal truths.
סıaцартиро́ $\epsilon$ vos] 'solemnly charging (them);' similarly with an inf. in Polyb. Hist. I. 33. 5, ib. 37.4, III. 55.5 : see notes on I Tim. v. 2 I .
 words,' 'not to indulge in $\lambda_{0 \gamma o \mu a x i a l, ' ~}^{\text {, }}$ see notes on I Tim. vi. 4. The reading is somewhat doubtful: Lachm. reads $\lambda o \gamma o \mu \alpha_{\chi} \epsilon \iota$ with $\mathrm{AC}^{1}$; Clarom., Aug., Vulg., Ech. ; Latin Ff.; so also Tiseh. ed. I, who however in ed. 2,7 , has (as it would seem, rightly) restored the inf. with $\mathrm{C}^{3}$ DEFGKLs; nearly all mss.; Syr. (both), Goth.; Clem., Chrys., Theod., al.; so Mill, Prolegom. p.xux. Though the change from the imper. to the infinitive might be thought not wholly improbable, as the inf. might seem an easier reading (comp. however ch. iv. 2), yet a conformation of the inf. to the preceding and succeeding imp. seems equally plausible. The preponderance of external authority may thus be allowed to decide the question. If the imper. be adopted, a stop must be placed after Kupiov. $\quad \epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ oúठ̇̀v Xpウ'бıноv] '(a course) useful for nothing;' not an independent clause involving a separate predication ('ad

#   

niliil enim utile est, nisi,' \&e., Vulg., sim. Clarom.), but in opposition to the preceding sentence; comp. Mark vii. 19, and see Winer, Gr. § 59.9, p. 472. The reading is here again by no means certain. The balance of critical authority seems now in favour of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ cú-
 17 (Lachm., Tisch. ed. 7) ; so Huther. . Still the reading retained in ed. $\mathrm{r}, 2$, $\epsilon i_{s}$ oúdè with DEKL ${ }^{4}{ }^{4}$ (Tisch. ed. 2), deserves much consideration, especially on internal grounds; for though, on the one hand, it is possible that eis might have been the result of a change to avoid the seeming difficulty of $\epsilon \pi i$ twice used thus contiguously, and the $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ ovi $\delta \epsilon \nu \dot{l}$ of FG might have been a correction; it is certainly not improbable, on the other hand, that the eye of the transcriber might have been caught by the following $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$, and that the substitution is accidental. St Paul's love of prepositional variation (comp. notes on Gal. i. r) is also an argument of no inconsiderable weight. In eis oidè $\nu$ the idea of destination is marked perhaps a little more laxly (comp. Acts $\mathrm{x} \vee \mathrm{ii} .21$, and Winer, $G r$. § 49. a, p. 354), in $\bar{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ oúò̀̀ (comp. $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\phi}$ 8, Matth. xxvi. 50, вcil. тд ката̀ бко́тор $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon, \mathrm{Eu}-$ thym.; [Demosth.] Aristog. p. 7ヶ9, $\epsilon \in \pi \grave{l} \kappa a \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \ldots \pi \rho a \hat{\gamma} \mu a \ldots \chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta}_{\sigma}(\mu o s)$ a little more stringently. It is singular that $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \nu$ is a $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \pi a \xi \lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu$. in the N.T.; ev́xpךбтos however is found with els in ch. iv. If.

є̀ $\pi \boldsymbol{l}$ ката$\sigma \tau \rho o \phi \hat{n}]$ 'for the subversion,' not, as it ought to be, for the edification (olko$\delta о \mu(\eta)$, of the hearers; comp. els кatai$\rho \in \sigma \tau, 2$ Cor. xiii. נo. ' $\mathrm{E} \pi l$ here seems to include with the idea of purpose and object (comp. notes on Gal. v. 13, and on $E p h$. ii. so) that also of the rcsult to which the $\lambda$ oromax!at inevit-
ably led, 'subversionem pariunt,' Just. The primary object of the false teachers, in accordance with their general cbaracter, might have been to convince, or to make gain out of the hearer (comp. Tit. i. I I) ; the result, whether contemplated or not, was his kata$\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta$. These ideas of purpose and result are frequently somewhat blended in the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ with the dat. ; comp. Xen. Mem. II. 3. r9, toîs én'
 $\sigma \theta a$, , and compare the formula $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\theta a \nu \dot{d} \tau$, , Arrian, $A n a b$. vir. 8.7 (Xen. Anab. I. 6. 10) ; see Winer, Gr. §48. c, p. 35 r, Bernhardy, Synt. v. 24, p. 25 I.
15. \&óкццоv] 'approved,' one who can stand the test (comp. סókimov di $\rho$ ríptov, Poll. Onomast. III. 86), just as a $\delta 6$ кст $\mu$ os (ch. iii. 8, Tit. i. 16 , al.) is one who cannot (comp. Rom. xiv. 18, xvi. 10, I Cor. xi. 19, al.), explained more fully in the following clause, but obviously not to be joined with $\epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ $\tau \eta \nu$ (Mack). The termination $t-\mu o s$ (the first part of which points to quality, the second to action, Donalds. Cratyl. $\S{ }^{2} 58$ ) is annexed according to somewhat differing analogies; comp. Buttm. Gr. § in8. iz.
тарабтท̂бaı $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ © $\epsilon \hat{\varphi}]$ 'exhibere Deo,' Vulg., Clarom. ; comp. Rom. vi. 13 , I Cor. viii. 8, Eph. v. 27 : the assertion of Tholuck (on Rom. l. c.), that $\pi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau d \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota v i \tau$ is 'jemandem etwas zu freiem Gebrauch vorlegen,' cannot be substantiated; it is simply 'sistere, exhibere, alicui aliquid' (Fritz. Rom. Vol. I. p. 403), the context defining the application and modifying the translation. ${ }^{\rho} \rho \gamma$ ár $\left.^{2} \nu\right]$ ' $a$ workman,' not perhaps without reference to the laborious nature of the
 5, al. : similarly, but in a bad refer-


ence, 2 Cor. xi. I3, Phil. iii. 2 ; comp. Deyling, Obs. Vol. Iv. 2, p. 623 .
 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu$. : not with any active or middle
 $\tau \epsilon \nu$, Chrys.), with reference to feeling shame in the cause of the Gospel (Theoph., Ecum. ; comp. $\mu \grave{\eta} \notin \pi a l-$ $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \theta \hat{\eta} s$, ch. i. 8), but passively, 'non pudefactum,' Bengel ; comp. Phil. i.

óp日отоцои̂vтa] 'cutting, laying out, straight,' as a road, \&cc.; comp. Theod., $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoùs $\epsilon \dot{v}-$ Aєias $\tau \alpha \dot{s}$ aǜakas àva $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu o \nu \tau a s . ~ V a r i-~$ ous interpretations have been assigned to this passage, in most of which the idea of $\tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \epsilon \nu \nu,-$ e.g. $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\prime} \theta a, \kappa a l$
 tio snmpta ab illâ legali victimarum sectione,' Beza; 'acsi pater alendis filis panem in frusta secando distribueret,' Calv.,-is unduly pressed and arbitrarily explained. The real emphasis however rests rather on the ó $\rho \theta$ ós ; comp. óp $\theta_{0} \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i v$, Gal. ii. I4, and the force of the adj. in кalขoто$\mu \epsilon i v$, Plato, Legg. vil. p. 797 B, al.; but this again must not be pressed to the complete exclusion of the verbal element, as in Greg. Naz. Orat. II. p.
 see Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 370. Thus then it will be most correct to adhere closely to the primary meaning 'to cut in a straight line' (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v.), and to regard it as a metaphor from laying out a road (comp. Prov. iii. 6, iva o o $\rho \theta 0 \tau \sigma \mu \hat{\eta} \tau$ d̀s $\dot{\text { ojoús }} \sigma o v$ ), or drawing a furrow (Theod.), the merit of which is to consist in the straightness with which the work of cutting or laying out is performed. The word of truth is, as it were, au obos (c)mp. De W.), which is to be
laid out straightly and truly. The meaning is rightly retained by Sjr.
 and Vulg., 'recte tractantem,' but the metaphor is thus obscured. For the various interpretations of this passage, see Wolf, in .loc. Vol. iv. p. 513 sq., and esp. Deyling, Obs. Vol. Iv. 2, exerc. III. Io sq., p. 618 sq., where this expression is very elaborately investigated.
 ' of Truth,' not the gen. of apposition, but substantice; see notes on Eph. j. I3, and compare Scheuerlein, Synt. § 12. I, p. 82.
16. Kevoфwvlas] 'babblings;' only here and I Tim. vi. 20, where see nutes. $\quad \pi$ epitoraco] 'withdraw
 Syr., $\pi \epsilon \rho i \phi \epsilon v \gamma \epsilon$, Hesych., - not 'cohile, sc. ne ulterius grassarentur' (Raphel, Beza, and even Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. iI. p. 673), a meaning not lexically tenable. It occurs in the N. T. (in the present form) only here and Tit. iii. 9 ; comp. Lucian, Hermot.
 but not Polyb. Hist. iiI. 84. II (cited by Raphel), as there the verb has its usual meaning. The expression $\pi \epsilon \rho l-$ iota $\sigma \theta a i \quad \tau \iota$ or $\tau \iota \nu a$ (the latter [in the sing.] condemned by Lucian, Pseudos. §4, and Thom. M. s. v. p. 708, ed. Bern., but defended by Lobeck, Soph. Ajax, 82, p. 109), in the sense of 'making a circuit so as to avoid,'surely not 'to hedge oneself in,' Peile, -occurs occasionally in later writers, see exx. in Elsner, Obs. Vol. i. p. 3 I4, Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. Vol. II. p. 846, and comp. Dorville, Chariton, I. 13, p. 136 , by whom this use of $\pi \epsilon \rho i t \sigma r$. is fully illustrated.

 oïтıves $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau o ́ \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，$\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu 18$
$\pi \rho o \kappa$ óqovorv］＇they will make ad－ rance，＇scil．＇the false teachers，＇those who utter the $\kappa \in \nu 0 \phi \omega \nu i a s$（comp．aút $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ， ver．17，and ch．iii．9， 13 ），not the кєขoфwhiar themselves，Luther，al． Observe the future，which shows that the error of the false teachers in its most developed state had not yet appeared；see notes on I Lim．i．3， iv．I．The form $\pi \rho o \kappa 6 \pi \tau \omega$ ，though condemned by Lucian，Pseudos．$\$ 5$ ，is rightly maintained by Thom．M．and Phrynichus；the subst．тоокопиे is however indefensible，see notes on it Tim．iv．I5．It is used in the N．T． de bono（Luke ii．52），de malo（here， and ch．iii． 9,13 ）and de neutro（Rom． xiii． 12 ）．$\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sigma \in \boldsymbol{\beta} \in$［as］＇of impiety，＇or，better to preserve the antithesis to $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \in \beta$ ．，＇of ungodliness；＇ gen．dependent on $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ ，and either the gen．of the point of view（Scheuerl． Synt．§ 18，1，p．129），or more proba－ bly the gen．materice，as in the gen． after тои̂то，тобойто，к．т．ג．；comp． Joseph．Bell．vi．2．3，тройкочау єis тобойтоу тapavoulas（De W．），and see Krüger，Sprachl．§ 47．10．3．In such cases，as Krüger observes，the gen．is commonly anarthrous，and a prepo－ sition（as here）not unfrequently pre－ cedes．

17．үáүүpaเva］＇a gangrene，＇＇an eating sore；＇according to Galen on Hippocr．de Artic．Vol．xir．p．407， intermediate between the $\phi \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \circ \nu \grave{\eta}$ and the $\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon$ оs，and leading the way to the latter．The rather singular ex－ pression $\nu 0 \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ 䓂єc（＇pastionem habe－ bit，＇Erasm．）and the deriv．of jayरp． ［ $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega, \gamma^{\rho} \alpha i \nu \omega$ ，connected with Sanscr． gras，＇devorare，＇comp．Pott，Etym． Forsch．Vol．1．p．278］both point to
the evil as being extensize in its nature （comp．Gal．v．9，and notes in loc．） rather than intensive（Mack），though it is not improbable that the ray，was primarily an intensive reduplication； see Bopp，Gr．p． $5^{69}$ ．So also distinctly， though with a retention of the origi－ nal word，Syr．$\rightarrow$ נ
 tis］；comp．Ovid，Metam．II．825，＇so－ let immedicabile cancer Serpere，et illossas vitiatis addere partes．＇The errors of these teachers was spreading， and the Apostle foresees that it was yet further to spread，and to corrupt the Ephesian community to a still more lamentable extent；＇res misera－ bili experimento notior quam ut plu－ ribus verbis declarari debeat，＇Est．
${ }^{\prime} Y \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}$. кal $\Phi[\lambda$.$] Two false teachers of$ whom nothing certain is known；Vi－ tringa（Obs．Sacr．1v．9，Vol．I．p．926） thinks that they were Jews，and pro－ bably Sadducees．The latter suppo－ sition seems very doubtful；comp．next note，and Burton，Bampt．Lect．p． 135 sq．Hymenæus is probably the same as the false teacher mentioned in T Tim． i．20；see notes in loc．

18．oltcves］＇men who，＇pointing to them with a very faint explanatory force as members of a class；see notes on Gal．ii． 4.
$\pi \in \rho \backslash \tau \dot{\eta} v$ $\alpha$ d $\eta^{\prime} \theta$. к．т．入．］＇as concerning the truth missed their aim：＇so 1 Tim．vi． 21.
 6 ，and on the use of $\pi \in \rho l$ ，notes on ib． i．19．$\lambda$ é $\mathbf{y}$ оитеs к．т．入．］＇saying that the resurrection has already taken place：＇characteristic and distinguish－ ing feature of their error．All recent


commentators very pertinently adduce Iren. Hcer. It. 31. 2. ed. Mass., 'esse resurrectionem a mortuis agnitionem ejus quæ ab ipsis dicitur veritatis;' Tertull. de Resurr. 19, 'asseverantes...resurrectionem eam vindicandam quâ quis aditâ [additâ, Rhen., Seml.] veritate redanimatus et revivificatus Deo, ignorantiæ morte discussâ, velut de sepulchro veteris hominis eruperit;' August. Epist. 55 [119]. 4, 'nonnulli... arbitrati sunt jam factam esse resurrectionem, nec ullam ulterius in fine temporum esse sperandam.' These quotations both verify the Apost'e's prediction, and serve to define, with some show of probability, the specific nature of the error of Hymenæus and Philetus. The false asceticism which is so often tacitly alluded to and condemned in these Epp. led very probably to an undue contempt for the body (developed fully in the 'hylic' theory of the Gnostics, Theod. Har. i. 7, comp. Neand. Hist. of Ch. Vol. II. p. II6, Clark), to false views of the nature of death (see Tertull. l.c.), and thence to cqually false views of the resurrection: death and resurrection were terms which had with these false teachers only a spiritual meaning and application; 'they allegorized away the doctrine, and turned all into figure and netaphor,' Waterl. Doct. of Trin. Iv. Vol. III. p. 459. Grinfield (Schol. Hell. p. 603 ) cites Polyc. Phil. 7, but there the heterodoxy seems to be of a more fearful and antinomian character. The error of Marcion, to which Baur (Pastoralbr. p. 38) here finds an allusion, was of a completely different kind; ' Marcion in totum carnis resurrectionem non admittens, et soli animæ salutem repromittens, non qualitatis sed substantiæ facit questionem,' Tertull.
adv. Marc. v. io. The reference to the renewal of generations $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi a \iota \delta o$ aotas (Theod.), or to the resurr. at the crucifixion, Matth. xxvii. 52 (Schoettg.), scarcely need be alluded to. Further notices of this early heresy will be found in Walch, Gesch. der Ketz. Vol. I. p. 129, Burton, Bampt. Lect. Note 59, p. $4^{28}$; comp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2. в, р. 344 . ávarpémovatv к.т. ${ }^{\text {.] ' subvert the faith of some;' see }}$ Tit. i. Ir. We eannot safely infer from this use of $\tau \nu \omega \omega$ that the number of the subverted was small (comp. Chrys. ở $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau(\nu \omega \nu)$; $\tau \iota \nu \in \in s$ is simply 'sundry persons,' the old Germ. 'etwelche,' Krüger, Sprachl. \& 5 1. 16. 14 ; comp. Meyer on Rom. iii. 3.
 less;' this compound particle-which prinarily conveys 'majorem quandam asseverationem' (Klotz, Desar. Vol. ir. p. 663), and, as its composition shows, unites botb confirmation ( $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ) and restriction ( $\tau 01$ ), 'certe quidem' (Hartung, Partik. Vol. i. p. 593),-frequently, as in the present case, involves an opposition to a preceding clause, and meets a possible objection ; 'though some may be subverted, yet assuredly the firm foundation of God stands unsbaken as ever;' 'quamvis quorundam subvertatur fides, non tamen fundamentum Dei,' Est. The particle only occurs here in St Paul's Epp., five times iu St John (ch. iv. ${ }_{27}$, vii. 13 , xii. 42 , xx. 5, xxi. 4), once in St James (ch. ii. 8), and once in St Jude (ver. 8). As a general rule, $\mu \epsilon \nu \tau 0 c$ is perhaps most correctly printed as one word, as by Lachm., Tisch., especially when other enclitics are joined with it; see Ellendt, Lex. Soph. Vol. in. p. 80.



foundation of God;' i.e. 'laid by Him,' not so much a possessive gen. as a gen. auctoris or originis, see Scheuerl. Synt. § 17.1 , p. 125, compared with p. 115 , and with notes on I Thess. i.6. It is unnecessary to recount the different and very arbitrary interpretations which this expression has received. The only sati ffactory interpr. is that adopted by Kist. I, Tirin. (ap. Pol. Syn.), and now nearly all modern commentators, according to which the $\theta \epsilon$ $\mu \in \lambda$. тô̂ $\boldsymbol{\theta} \epsilon \hat{v}$ is the Church,-not merely the $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon a i \psi v x a l$ (Chrys.), the a $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau o l$ (Ecum.) viewed separately, and in contrast with the subverted (comp. Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. $49^{2}$ Bohn), but collectively, the
 is here called a $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota o s$, not 'per metonymiam' for oifos, Coray, al., but (a) to mark the Church of Christ and His Apostles as a foundation placed in the world on which the whole future oikoסo ${ }^{\text {g }}$ ) rests (comp. Eph. ii. 20 sq.) ; and (b) to convey the idea of its firmness, strength, and solidity; comp. especially I Tim. iii. I5. On $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda$. compare notes on I Tim. vi. 19. Notices of the various aberrant interpretations will be found in De W. in loc. ' $\mathrm{X} \omega \mathrm{v}$ ] ' seeing it hath;' part. with a very faint causal force, illustrating the previous declaration : comp. Do-
 тaútఇv] 'this seal,' i.e. 'this impression, inscription;' comp. Rev. xxi. I4, where each $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda$ ios had the name of an apostle inscribed thereon. There may possibly be, as De W. suggests, an allusion to Deut. vi. 9, xi. 20. The term $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \hat{i} \delta a$ is used rather than $\dot{\epsilon \pi}<\gamma \rho a \neq \grave{\eta} \nu$ to convey the idea of its solemn, binding, and valid character. Of the two inscriptions, the first $\varepsilon^{2} \gamma \omega$
к. т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. seems certainly to involve an allusion to Numb. xvi. 5 , ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega$ o $\theta_{\epsilon} \dot{d}$
 and is in the language of grave consolation, John x. 14, 27; 'He knoweth (not necessarily 'novit amanter,' Beng., comp. notes on Gal. iv. 9) who are His true servants, and will separate them from those who are not.' On the practical aspects of this declaration, comp. Taylor, Life of Chr. III. 13, disc. 16, and the brief but consolatory remarks of Jackson, Creed, xiI. 6. 3. The second 'Aтобт. к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. possibly has continued allusion to Numb. xvi., see ver. 26 , $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \chi i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \pi \delta \partial \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$, though expressed in a wider and more general form (comp. Isaiah lii. ir), and is in the language of warning.
 [qui vocat] Syr., 'qui invocat,' Wahl, but 'qui nominat,' Vulg. (misquoted by Beza), Goth.,-scil. as his Lord and God, 'qui rogatus cujus sit disciplinæ Christum nominat ut magistrum,' Grot.; comp. Isaiah xxvi. I3, Kúpıє

 righteousness;' the opposite of $\delta$ icalooivn, Aristot. Rhet. 1. 9. 7, joined by Plato, Gorg. p. 477 o, with $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi a \sigma a$ $\psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s \pi o \nu \eta \rho i ́ a$. In its Christian usage and application it is similar in meaning to, but of wider reference than àvouia, comp. ı John v. 17; 'ajıкka de quâcunque improbitate dicitur, quatenus $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \iota \kappa a l \psi$ repugnat,' Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 48; as סıкaloбúv $\eta$ is $\sigma u v a \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta}$ кal $\begin{aligned} & \nu \\ & \omega \sigma \sigma \iota s \\ & \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu \\ & \tau\end{aligned} \nu$
 Job. r.), so didrcia is the union and accumulation of all that is the reverse: comp. notes on Tit. ii. 14.





20． 8 ？is certainly not＇for＇（Bloomf．）， but，with its proper antithetical force， notices a tacit objection which the im－ plied statement in the last clause of the preceding verse，viz．＇that there are a $\delta$ tкo in the Cburch of Christ，＇ might be thought to suggest：this it dilutes by showing it to be really in accordance with the counsels and will of God；＇the Church is indeed intrin－ sically holy，but in a large house，＇\＆c．； comp．notes on Gal．iii，in．The con－ nexion and current of the Apostle＇s thought will be best recognised，if it be observed that in ver．ig the Church is regarded more as an invisible，in the present verse more as a visible commu－ nity：on the true import and proper application of these terins，see Jack－ son，Creed，xir．7．6，and Field，Of the Clurch，I．10，p． 14.
èv $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \lambda_{\mathrm{g}}$ olkica］＇in a large house； observe the epithet，and its position， Winer，Gr．§ 59．2，p．464．The olkia is not the world（Chrys．，Theoph．）， but，in continuation of the previous image，the visible Church of Christ （Cypr．Ep．55）；the Apostle changes however the term $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota o s$ ，which marked the inward and essential cha－ racter of the Church，into oixia，which serves better to portray it in its visible and outward aspect．The Church was $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \lambda$ ，it was like a net of wide sweep（ $\sigma a \gamma \dot{\eta} \eta$ ，Matth．xiii．47）that included in it something of every kind； see especially，Field，Of the Church， 1． 7 sq．，p．II sq．，Pearson，Creed， Art．rx．Vol．I．p． 405 （ed．Burton）， and Hooker，Eccl．Pol．iir．i． 8.
 and silver．＇By this and the following
metaphorical expressions the genuine and spurious members of the Church are represented as forming two distinct classes，each of which，as the terius $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \hat{\alpha}, \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho \hat{\alpha}$ ，and again $\xi v i \lambda$ ．and ббт $\alpha$ к．，seem to imply，may involve different degrees and gradations；the former the $\sigma \kappa \in \dot{\prime} \eta$ eis $\tau \mu \mu \dot{\eta}$ ，who are callerl by a＇vocatio interna，＇and are united in heart to the Church；the latter the $\sigma \kappa \epsilon i ́ \eta ~ \epsilon l s \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu i \alpha \nu$ ，who are called by a＇vocatio mere externa，＇and who pertain not to the＇compages do－ mûs＇（August．de Bapt．viI． 99 ［li］，－ a chapter that will repay consulting）， but belong to it merely outwardly and in name；comp．Jackson，Creed，xII． 7. Isq．，Neander，Planting，Vol．I．p． $49^{2}$ （Bohn），and on the whole subject，exp． the great work of Field，supr．cit．， particularly Book I．6－II．Thus then the $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ and $\dot{a} \tau \iota \mu i \alpha$ have no re－ ference to the honour or dishonour that redound to the oikia or to the olkodeand ${ }^{2} \eta$ s（comp．Mack，Matth．）， but，as in Rom．ix． 21 （see Meyer in loc．），simply appertain to，and quali－ tatively characterize，the vessels them－ selves．Möller（p．106）justly finds in the image being thus left for interpre－ tation to Timothy＇s spiritual discern－ ment（see ver． $\mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{sq}$ ．）a mark of genu－ ineness；a forger would have hardly left it unexpanded and unexplained．

25．（adv oviv tis к．т．入．］An encou－ raging and consolatory exhortation， general in form，yet not without spe－ cial reference to Timothy；$\dot{\in} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i s=$ ＇si ergo quis，verbi gratia，Timo－ theus，＇Beng．Éкка日ápp éavt．］ ＇shall have purged himself，＇＇expur－ garit se，＇Beza；not тavte入ิิs ка日áp $\eta$ ，




Chrys., but (in sensu pragnanti) 'purgando sese exierit,' Beng.,-the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \kappa \text { re- }}$ ferring to those whose communion was to be left, comp. ver. 19, dimoat $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{T} \omega$. The verb $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta$. occurs again in I Cor. v. 7, where the force of the prep., in allusion to the 'purging-out' from the
 Hor. Hebr. Vol. 1. 598 ), is fully apparent. Theod. (comp. Chrys.) calls attention to $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta s \in \xi \eta \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \nu$ тồ креіттovos alp $\rho \sigma(\nu$, here fully conveyed by the act. verb with the reflexive pronoun (Beng.), and denied in a manner very unconvincing by Beza. On the great practical principle involved in this verse, -'no communion with impugners of fundamentals,' see the sound remarks of Waterland, Doctr. of Trin. ch. Iv. Vol. mil. p. 456 sq. dimò $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ seems clearly to refer to a $\epsilon i s$ drıuiav, i.e. the persons included in that simile, -not to the $\beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \lambda$ ous $\kappa \in \nu o \phi \omega v i a s$ mentioned in ver. 16 (Est.), nor to aidckias, ver. 19 (Coray), which latter seems a very far-fetched reference. In using the terms $\dot{d}$ eis $\dot{d} \tau(\mu$., the thoughts of the Apostle were in all probability dwelling on the $\psi \in v \delta o-$ $\delta i \delta d \sigma \kappa a \lambda o c$ to whom he had been recently alluding.
cis $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \mu \eta{ }^{2}$ is not to be connected with $\dot{\eta} \gamma / a \sigma \mu \hat{v} \nu \mathbf{\nu}$, Syr., Vulg., Chrys., Lachm., Leo (who however adopts in his text a contrary punctuation), but, as the previous connexion in ver. 20 obviously suggests, immediately with $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{\hat{O}} \mathrm{os}$, the three defining clauses more fully explaining the meaning of the term.

 tıva $\chi \rho \epsilon l a \nu$ è̇тıтє入єí; Chrys. The $\epsilon \dot{\nu}-$ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau l a$, as the following clause shows,
is 'per opera bona, quibus et sum et aliorum saluti ac necessitati ad Dei gloriam subserviant,' Estius.
cls $\pi \hat{a} \boldsymbol{v}$ èpүov к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'prepared for$ every good work;' $\epsilon i$, as usual, referring to the ultimate end and objects contemplated in the preparation; comp. Rev. ix. 7, and Winer, Gr. § 49. an, p. 354. Though opportunities might not. always present themselves for an exercise of the $\dot{\text { éotuaoia, }}$ yet it was there against the time of need; $\kappa$ ä $\mu$
 $\delta_{\epsilon \kappa t ı к \delta \nu, ~ C h r y s . ~}^{\text {. }}$
22. Tàs $\delta$ è vewteplkdes $\mathbf{~} \pi \tau \bullet \theta$.] 'But the lusts of youth,' 'juvenilia desideria,' Vulg., Clarom.; certainly not ' cupiditates novarum rerum,' Salmas., nor 'acres, vehementes, cupid.,' Loesner, Obs. p. 4 I7; see esp. Pearson, Vind. Ign. (ad lect.), Vol. I. p. 7 sq . (A.-C. Libr.). The previous indirect exhortation is now continued in a direct form both negatively and positively: the $\delta \epsilon$ (which must not be omitted, as Conyb.) marks the contrast between $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau$. $\epsilon \pi i \theta$. and $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \mu a-$
 not merely refer to ropveia, but, as the Greek commentators remark, in-

 каì тà roútots $\pi \rho o \sigma o ́ \mu o z a$ (Theod.), in a word, all the lusts and passions which particularly characterize youth, but which of course might be felt by one who was not a youth in the strictest sense of the term. On the comparative youth of Timothy, comp. notes on I Tim. iv. 12 . $\delta\left(\omega_{\mathrm{K} \epsilon}\right]$ 'follovo after.' So, with the same subst., ${ }_{1}$ Tim. vi. II; comp. also Rom. ix. 30, 3 r, xii. $1_{3}$, xiv. 19 , 1 Cor. xiv. $1_{2}$





1 Thess．v． 15 ［Heb．xii．14］，where
 Psalm xxxiv．15］is used by St Paul in the same characteristic way with abstract substantives；the correlative term is ката入а $\beta \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$, Rom．ix．30， Phil．iii．i2．On $\delta<k a \iota o \sigma$ ．and $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s$ ， see notes on I Tim．vi．I i：$\delta$ tav $\lambda \in \gamma \eta$
 eip $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \nu$ must be joined with $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa a \lambda$ ．，not with $\delta \ell \omega \kappa \epsilon$, Heydenr．： comp．Heb．xii．г4，єip $\quad \nu \eta \nu ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ к є \tau \epsilon ~$ $\mu \in \tau a ̀$ ád $\pi \tau \omega \nu$ ．It denotes not merely ＇peace＇in the ordinary sense，i．e．ab－ sence of contention，but＇concordiam illam spiritualem＇（Calv．）which unites together all who call upon（I Cor．i．2） and who love their Lord；comp．Rom． x．12，Eph．iv． 3.
èk кäapás кар反．（see notes on 1 Tim．i．5）be－ longs to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa a \lambda . \tau \delta \nu \mathrm{K} \dot{v} \rho$. ，and tacitly contrasts the true believers with the false teachers whose кapoia like their $\nu_{0}$ îs and $\sigma u v e l \delta \eta \sigma \iota s$（Tit．i．15）was not $\kappa a \theta a \rho \alpha$, but $\mu \epsilon \mu \kappa a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ．

23．Tds $\delta$ è $\mu \omega \mathrm{pds}$ к．т．$\lambda$.$] ］＇The$ foolish and ignorant questions which the false teachers especially loved to entertain and propound；＇comp．Tit．
 in N．T．）is not exactly＇sine disci－ plinâ，＇Vulg．（comp．Syr．），but，in ac－ cordance with its usual lexical mean－ ing（Suid．àvó $\quad$ тos，Hesych．${ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \theta \eta^{\prime} s$ ）， ＇indoctus，＇and thence，as here，＇inep－ tus，＇＇insulsus，＇Goth．＇dvalôns＇［cog－ nate with＇dull＇］；comp．Prov．viii． 5，xv．14，and esp．Ecclus．x．3，where ßaбi入eùs àmalסevtos stands in a kind of contrast to крıт $\dot{s} s$ бoфós，ver．I； comp．Winer，Gr．§ i6．3，p． 88.
［ $\eta$ ríjocts］＇questions（of controversy）；＇
see notes on $\mp$ Tim．i．4．On $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota \tau 0 \hat{u}$ see notes $i b$ ．iv． 7 ．єi $\delta$ लेs öть к．т．$\lambda$ ．］ ＇knowing（as thou dost）that they en－ gender contentions；＇comp．I Tim．vi．

 $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \eta$ in such applications is more ex－ tended than that of $\pi$ ó $\lambda \epsilon \mu o s$ ；＇dici－ tur autem $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ de quâcunque contentione etiam animorum etiamsi non ad verbera et cædes［ $\pi$ ó $\lambda \epsilon \mu 0 v$ ］ pervenerit，＇Tittm．Synon．I．p．66： comp．Eustath．on Hum．Il．I． 177 ，
入orouaxia $\delta \eta \lambda o i ̂: ~ s e e ~ a l s o ~ T r e n c h, ~$ Synon．Part II．§ 36 ．The terms are joined in James iv．i，but there the conflicts are not，as here，upon abs－ tract questions between rival teachers or rival sects，but are about the rights of property，compare ver．2，3．It need scarcely be said that $\mu a^{\prime} \eta$ has no connexion with AK－or ai $\chi \mu$ भो（Pape， Wörterb．s．v．）；the most plausible de－ rivation seems Sanscr．maksh，＇irasci＇ （ $\chi=$ ksh），see Benfey，Wurzellex．Vol． II．p． 42 ；＇si recte suspicamur，pro－ pria ab initio illi verbo fuit notio con－ tentionis seu impetus quo quis se in alium infert，＇Tittmann，Synon．l．c．

24．Sov̂خov Kvp．］＇a servant（so Copt．）of the Lord，＇－not merely in a general reference（comp．Eph．vi．6， I Pet．ii．16），but，as the context seems to require，with a more special reference to Timothy＇s office as a
 $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ ，Coray；comp．Tit．i．r，James i．I，al．$\left.{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathrm{tov}\right]$＇gentle，＇ ＇mild＇（＇mitem，＇Clarom．，not very happily changed into＇mansuetum，＇ Vulg．），both in words and demeanour；


only found bere and (if we adopt the reading of Rec., Tisch.) in 1 Thess. ii. 7 ,
 ク̈тьo. "H H tos (derived probably from
 IV. 218 , al., with primary ref. perhaps to healing by incantation) appears to denote an outward mildness and gentleness, especially in bearing with others: ' $\pi \rho \hat{q} o s$ (when not in its specific scriptural sense, comp. notes on Eph. iv. 2) ipsam animi lenitatem indicat, $\eta \ddot{\eta} \pi$ os qui hanc lenitatem in aliis ferendis monstrat,' Tittm. Synon. I. p. 140. The subst. $\dot{\eta} \pi t o$ ó $\eta \mathrm{\eta}$ is placed between $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\tau} \eta \eta_{s}$ and $\phi \iota \lambda a v \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ in Philo,
 to teach; ready to teach rather than contend; see notes on I Tim. iii. 2. There seems no reason (with De W.) to give $\delta i \delta a \kappa \tau$. here a different shade of meaning ; the servant of the Lord was not to be merely 'lehrreich,' but 'lehrhaftig' (Luther), ready and will-
 рara, Theod. divȩlkakov] 'patient of wrong,' 'forbearing:' àveद̆cкакia, ì
 dom ii. 19, where it is in connexion with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon a$, and see Dorvill. Charit. viII. 4, p. 6i6.
25. $\pi \rho \alpha$ üт $\eta \tau 1$ ] 'meekness:' see notes on Gal. v. 23,' and on Eph. iv. 2. 'E $\nu$ $\pi \rho a: \%$. is obviously not to be connected with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \xi \xi^{\prime} k .$, as Tynd., Cran., Gen., but with the part., defining the manner in which the $\pi a i \delta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon i \nu$ is to be
 ' those who are contending against lim; ;' 'those that are of different opinions from us,' Hamm., 'qui diversam sententiam fovent,' Tittmann,-who distinguishes between $\dot{d} y \tau u \delta_{0}$, the perhaps
 the more decided avtioucor; see Synon.
iI. p. 9. The allusion is thus not so much to positively and wilfully hereti-
 $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ ( T Tim. vi. 4), those of weak faith and morbid love of á a $u \epsilon \theta \in \in \sigma \in!s$ (Theod.) and controversial questions. The definite beretic was to be admonished, and in cases of stubbornness was to be left to himself (Tit. iii. 10); such opponents as the present were to be dealt with gently, and to be won back to the truth: comp. Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 343, note (Bohn). $\mu \eta$ ' $\pi о т \in$ к. т. $\lambda$.$] 'if per-$ chance at any time God might grant to them,' \&e.; 'in the hopes that,' de., see Green, Gramm. p. 83. M $\eta$ is here used, somewhat irregularly, in its dubitative sense; $\pi 0 \pi \epsilon$, with which it is united, is not otiose, but 'adfert suam indefiniti temporis significationem ${ }^{\text {' }}$ (Klotz, Derar. Vol. II. p. 674), and while marking clearly the complete contingency of the change, still leaves the faint hope that at some time or other such a change may by God's grace be wrought within; $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \ell \nu \omega \nu$

 $\sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a$, ö $\tau \iota$ oư $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \dot{o} \tau \iota o \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta \tau a \iota \mu \epsilon \tau a$ $\sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\sigma \nu} \quad \alpha a$, Chrys. The optative $\delta \dot{\varphi} \eta$ (see notes on Eph. i. 17), with ACD ${ }^{1}$ FGN ${ }^{1}$, al., is not here treated simply as a subjunctive (Wiesing.), but seems used to convey an expression of hope and subjective possibility ; comp. Winer, Gr. §41.2.c, p. 260. On the construction of the dubitative $\mu$ ' , see the good article in Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. o, Vol. ir. p. 226, and on $\mu \eta^{\prime} \pi \% \tau \epsilon$, comp. Viger, Idiot. p. 457, but observe that the comment is not by Hermann, as cited by Alf. in loc.
 not 'conversion from paganism to

#   

Christianity＇（Reuss，Théol．Chrét．iv． 16，Vol．11．p．163），but＇pœniten－ tiam＇in its usual and proper sense， scil．an ḋ $\pi \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau a \sigma c \nu$ a $\pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta c k l a s$ and an
 on Repent．II．I），a change of heart wrought by God＇s grace within．It may be observed that $\mu \in \tau a \nu o \epsilon \omega$（only 2 Cor．xii．2I）and $\mu \in \tau$ ávota（only Rom．ii．4， 2 Cor．vii．9，ro）occur less frequently in St Paul＇s Epp．than we might otherwise have imagined， being not seldom partially replaced by ката入入d $\sigma \sigma \omega$ and ката入入аү ${ }^{\prime}$ ，terms peculiar to the Apostle；see Usteri， Lehrl．II．I．I，p．102，and comp．Tay－ lor，on Repent．in．2． 1 I ．
 the truth，＇i．e．of gospel－truth，Beza： the Gospel is the Truth ка⿰㇒土＇$\xi \xi \circ \times \dot{\eta} \mu$, it contains all the principles and ele－ ments of practical truth；see Reuss， Theol．Chrét．Iv．8，Vol．II．p． 82. The omission of the article before $\dot{d} \lambda$ ． is due to the principle of correlation， the article before $\epsilon \pi\{\gamma \nu$ ．being omitted in consequence of the prep．；see Mid－ dleton，Art．III．3．7，p． 49 （ed．Rose）．

26．kal a＇vavŋ́ $\psi \omega \sigma$ ки к．т．入．］＇and they may return to soberness out of the snare of the devil，being held captive by him，to do His［God＇s］will．＇The diffi－ culty of this verse rests entirely in the construction．Of the various in． terpretations，three deserve considera－ tion ；（a）that of Auth．，Vulg．，Syr． （appy．），followed by De W．，Huth．， Alf．，and the majority of modern com－ mentators，according to which aúrov and èxeivou both refer to tov̂ סtaßó久ov； （b）that of Wetst．，Beng．，al．，accord－ ing to which aùtov̂ is referred to the סoû̀os Kvo．，$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon l \nu 0 v$ to God，and $\dot{\epsilon} \leqslant \omega-$ rp $\eta \mu t y o u$ to the spiritual capture and reclaining of sinners，Luke v．ro，
comp．${ }^{2}$ Cor．x． 5 ；（c）that of Beza， Grot．，Hammond，and appy．Clarom． （＇eo．．．ipsius＇），according to which àav． ．．．$\pi a \gamma i \delta o s$ is to be connected with $\epsilon i$ s
 devil，è éévou to God，and $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{j} \omega \gamma \rho$ ．$\dot{u} \pi$ ， av่ $\tau o \hat{u}$ being an explanatory clause to $a^{\prime} \nu a \nu . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi a \gamma$ ．（almost，＇though held captive，＇${ }^{\circ} c c$ ．），marking more distinctly the state preceding the $\alpha \nu \alpha \nu \eta \psi / s$ ．Of these（a）labours under the almost in－ surmountable objection of referring the two pronouns to the same subject， esp．when a few verses below，ch．iii． 9，they are used correctly．De W．and his followers imperfectly quote Plato， Cratyl．p． 430 E ，as an instance of a similar use of the pronouns，but if the passage be properly cited，e．g．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda$－

 кós，it will be seen that the antithesis of the last clause（omitted by De W．） suggests some reason for the irregular introduction of the more emphatic pronoun ；the other instances referred to in Kühner，Gr． $\mathrm{S}_{629}$（add Bern－ hardy，Synt．vi．5，p．277），in which є́кєiv．precedes and aùtòs follows，do not apply．The sense moreover con－ veyed by this interpr．is singularly flat and insipid．The objections to（b）are
 （as indeed it is used by Theoph．）， which marks the act（comp．$\delta \psi^{\prime} \eta \dot{\alpha}$－ $\nu a \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \psi$ ．），would certainly have been used rather than the perf．part．which marks the state：and 2ndly，aúvoú is separated from its subject by two n－ terposed substantives，with either of which（grammatically considered）the connexion would have seemed more natural and perspicuous．The only serious objection to（c）is the isolation of $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{j} \omega \gamma \rho$ ．$\dot{v} \pi$＇aúrồ ；this however may

In the last days there shall be every form of vice．Avoid all exam－ ples of such；they ever strive to seduce others and thwart the truth．



1．$\left.\gamma^{(\nu} \omega \sigma \sigma_{\kappa \epsilon}\right]$ Lachm．reads $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ with AFG； 3 mss．；Boern．，Ath．－Pol．； Aug．（Tisch．ed．1，Huther）．Being a more difficult reading，it has some claim on our attention ；as however the reading of the text is so strongly supported－ viz．by CDEKLN ；nearly all mss．；Syr．，Vulg．，Clarom．，Sangerm．，Aug．， Copt．，Æth．－Platt，Goth．，al．；several Greek and Latin Ff．（Rec．，Griesb．，De Wette，Alf．，Wordsw．）－and as it is possible that the following ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \iota$ may have given ıise to the reading［ $\gamma i \nu \omega \sigma_{\kappa} \epsilon \delta \tau \iota$ being changed by an ignorant or careless writer into $\gamma^{\nu \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon], ~ i t ~ w o u l d ~ s e e m ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ e a s i e r ~ a n d ~ m o r e ~ n a t u r a l ~ r e a d i n g ~ m u s t ~}$ certainly be retained．
be diluted by observing that the simile involved in $\pi$ aris did seem to require a semi－parenthetical illustration．As then（c）yields a very good sense，as ávav．．．tis is similar and symmetrical to $\mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{v} o l a \nu \epsilon i s \in \pi i \gamma \nu$ ．，as the force of the perfect is unimpaired and the＇pro－ prietas utriusque pronominis＇（Beza）is thns fully preserved，we adopt，with but little hesitation，the last interpre－ tation：see Hammond in loc．，and Scholef．Hints，p．123．We now no－ tice a few individual expressions．
àvavท́安七七（＇resipiscere，＇Vulg．）a $\ddot{d} \pi a \xi \lambda \in \gamma^{\prime} \mu$ ．in the N．T．（comp．how－ ever $\epsilon \kappa \nu \dot{\eta} \phi \epsilon \iota v$, I Cor．xv．34），implies ＇a recovering from drunkenness to a state of former sobriety，＇＇crapulam excutere＇（Porphyr．de Abst．Iv．zo， $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \theta \eta s \dot{\alpha} \nu a \nu \hat{\eta} \psi a \iota\right)$ ，and thence me－ taphorically＇ad se redire，＇e．g．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\theta \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ ，Joseph．Antiq．vi．11．10；see further exx．in．Wetst．，Kypke，and Elsner in loc．There is appy．a slight confusion of metaphor，but it may be observed that $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \nu . \hat{\epsilon}_{\kappa} \pi a \gamma i \delta o s$ is really a＇constructio pregnans，＇scil．＇come to soberness and escape from，＇see Winer，Gr．§ 66．2，p． 547.
 on the use of the term $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \beta$ ．，see notes on $E p h$ ．iv．27．$\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \in \hat{v}$ is properly
 $\beta a^{i v e t}$ ，Suid．），e．g．Polyb．Hist．int．

 Thucyd．Hist．II．92，al．；thence＇to capture，＇in an ethical sense，Luke v． 10，－but even there not without some allusive reference to the primary mean－ ing；see Meyer in loc．In the LXX． it is used several times in the sense of ＇in vit̂ servare＇（Heb．چֶחֶיֶה），Numb． xxxi．I5，Josh．vi． 25 ，al．；comp．Hom． Il．x．575，and see Suicer，Thesaur． s．v．Vol．I．p． 1302.

Ceapter III．1．Touto $8 \in \in$ The $\delta \dot{e}$ is not $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$, but continues the subject implied in ch．ii． 26 in an antithetical relation：ver． 26 mainly referred to the present，and to recovery from Satan＇s snare，ver．I sq．refers to the future，and to a further progress in iniquity．

 period of the Christian era，the times preceding the end，not merely＇at the conclusion of the Jewish state， （Waterl．Serm．III．Vol．v．p．546）， but at a period more definitely future （ $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ，Chrys．），as the tense
 comp．I Pet．i．5， 2 Pet．iii．3，Jude 18，and see notes on I Tim．iv．i．It would seem however clear，from ver． 5 ，that the evil was beginning to work even in the days of Timothy；see Bull，Serm．xv．p． 276 （Oxford， 1844 ）． On the omission of the article，com－
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pare Winer, Gr. §ig. r, p. II 3 , where a list is given of similar words found frequently anarthrous.
evoriñovtal] 'will ensue,' 'will set in;' not 'imminebunt,' but 'aderunt,' Beng., $\underset{\sim}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{\left.2\right|_{0}}$ [venient] Syr.,
 see notes on Gal. i. 4. De Wette objects to Vulg. 'instabunt' ['advenient,' Clarom.], but 'instare ' appears frequently used in Latin to denote present time, compare Cic. Tusc. iv. 6. 11, and esp. Auct. ad Herenn. 11. 5, 'dividitur [tempus] in tempora tria, præteritum, instans, consequens.' It is possible that the choice of the word may have been suggested by the Apostle's prophetic knowledge that the evil which was more definitely to work in times farther future was now beginning to develop itself even in the early days of the Gospel ; є́ $\sigma$ тiv $\varepsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \bar{i} \nu$
 גos, Theod. : comp. 2 Thess. ii. 7.
кalpol $\chi^{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \circ$ ] 'difficult, grierous, times;' not merely in respect of the outward dangers they might involve ('periculosa,' Vuly.), but the evils that marked them ; ơ $\chi i \tau \alpha \dot{s} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho a s \quad \delta \iota a \beta a \lambda$ -

 comp. Gal. i. 4, alùv ropqpós, Eph. v.
 of the times would be felt in the embarrassment in which a Christian might be placed how to act ('ubi vix reperias quid agas,' Beng.), and how to eonfront the various spiritual and temporal dangers of the days in which he was living; comp. 2 Macc. iv. 16, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ aủ $\tau o v ่ s \chi \chi \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon s$.
2. of àvөp $\omega \pi \mathrm{ol}$ ] ' men, generally:' the article must not be overlooked; it does not poiut merely to those of
whom the Apostle is speaking (Mack), but clearly implies that the majority of men should at that time be such as he is about to describe.
$\phi(\lambda a v t o l]$ 'lovers of self;' a äm. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{0} \mu$. in the N.T., defined by Theod.-
 $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ moloûv $\epsilon \epsilon$. It may be observed that ф८入autia properly occupies this $\pi \rho o \epsilon \delta \rho i a$ in the enumeration, being the represser of $\dot{a} \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \pi \eta$ ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ à $\gamma$. ovaтé $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ кal $\epsilon$ is $\beta \rho a \chi \dot{v}$ ovvá $\gamma \epsilon!$, Chrys.), the true root of all evil, and the essence of all sin; see esp. Müller, Doctr. of Sin, I. I. 3, Vol. I. p. ${ }^{136}$ sq. (Clark), and for an able delineation of its nature and specific forms, Barrow, Serm. Lx-Lxili. Vol. III. p. 333 sq., and Waterland, Serm. iiI. Vol. v. p. 446 sq . On $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha^{\rho} \rho \gamma \mathrm{\rho} \rho \circ$, which here very appropriately follows фinavto
 Coray), comp. notes on I Tim. vi. 10.
 haughty,' Rom. i. 30 , where vipısтai is also added. The distinction between these terms ('a $\lambda \alpha a_{0} v e i a$ in verbis magis est ostentatio, ímєpךфavía superbia cum aliorum contemtu et contumelia conjuncta,' Tittm.) is investigated by Trench, Synon. § 29, and Tittmann, Synon. 1. p. 73. The derivation of the latter word is to a certain extent preserved in the Syr. ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{n}{ }^{n}{ }^{\circ}$; [alti], the Lat. 'superbi,' and the Engl.'haughty;' see notes to Transl. In the case of the former word, the transl. of the Vulg. 'elati' ['fastidiosi,' Clarom.], is judiciously changed by Beza into 'gloriosi.'
$\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \phi \eta \mu \circ t]$ ' blasphemers,' or 'evil speakers,' катךroplats xaipovtes, Theod.-Mops.; most probably the former, both 'vi ordinis' (Calov.), and because $\delta, a \beta$ onol follows


in ver. 3 ; comp. notes on I Tim.i. 13 . The $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \phi a \nu i a$, a vice of the mind (see Trench, l. c.), develops itself still more fearfully in vußpıs against God;
 кó $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ каі катà $\tau о \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon о \hat{v}$, Cbrys. The transition to the following clause is thus also very natural and appropriate ; they alike reviled their heavenly Father and disobeyed their earthly parents.
dxápırтol (Luke vi. 35) naturally follows; ingratitude must necessarily be found where there is $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \epsilon a$ to parents; $\delta \delta \varepsilon$ yoveís $\mu \bar{\eta}$
 Theoph.
d.vó́rol $]$ See notes on I Tim. i. g.
3. äoropyol] 'without natural affections;' $\delta i s \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu$., here and Rom. i. 3 r ;
 Mops., $\mu \grave{\eta}$ àranềvтєs $\tau \iota \nu a$, Hesych., but most exactly, Ecum., ädi $\lambda_{0 \iota} \pi \rho o ̀ s$ toùs oikcious, - destitute of love towards those for whom nature herself claims it. $\Sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega$, a word of uncertain derivation [possibly connected with $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$-, and Sanscr. sprih, 'desiderare,' Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. 1. p. 284], denotes pimarily and properly the love between parents and children (compare Plato, Legg. vi. p. 754 в, Xen. Econ. viI. 24), and thence between those connected by similar or parallel relations. Like $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi d \omega$ (the usual word in the N.T.) it is rarely used in good authors of mere sensual love. It does not occur in the N.T., or LXX., except in Ecclus. xxvii. 17, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \xi_{0} \nu \phi(\lambda o \nu$ (Ecclus. viii. 20 is more than doubtful). diन $\quad$ oro 0 or]
 3I (Rec.) being of very doubtful authority. The difference between $\alpha \sigma \pi o v \delta o u$
 by Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 75, ' $\dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$.
qui non ineunt pacta, $\alpha \sigma \pi$. qui redire in gratiam nolunt,' is lexically doubtful. The former seems to denote one who 'does not abide by the compacts into which he has entered,' $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ тaîs $\sigma v \nu \theta$ ŋ̀каus, Hesych. (comp. Jerem. iii. 8, 10 ; Demosth. de Fals. Leg. p. 383 , connected with $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu \eta \pi o s)$; $\alpha \sigma \pi o \nu \delta o s$ one who will not enter upon them at all; see Trench, Synon. Part II. § 2. This and the foregoing epithet are onitted in Syr.

Sıáßo入ot] Comp. notes on I Tim. iii. i I.
 $\pi a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, Theod.-Mops., 'intemperantes,'
 $\tau \grave{r}, \mathrm{~s}$ occurs in Tit. i. 8, the subst. $\alpha^{\prime} \times \rho a-$ oia (Lobeck, Phryn. p. 524) in Matt. xxiii. 25, 1 Cor. vii. 5 .

 $\dot{a} \nu \tau i \quad \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$, Theoph., comp. Syr.
 seems far too mild a translation, $\dot{\omega}$ $\mu o ́ \tau \eta s$ and $a \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \in a \quad$ (Chrys., comp. (Ecum.) are rather the characteristics of the d $\dot{\nu} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$.
 'haters of good,' ' éx $\theta$ ooì $\pi a \nu \tau$ òs à $\gamma u \theta 0 \hat{1}$, (Ecum., Theoph.; another $\ddot{\text { a }} \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu$.: the opposite $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma^{2} \theta o \iota$ occurs Tit. i. 8, where see notes; comp. Wisd. vii. 22. It does not seem necessary, with Beza and Auth., to limit the reference to persons, either here or Tit. l. c.; comp. Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 1426. So appy. Goth. 'unseljai' [cognate with 'selig'], Vulg., Clarom., 'sine benignitate,' and, so far as we can infer from the absence of any studied reference to persons, Syr., Arm., Copt., Eth. This is a case in which the best ancient Vv. may be profitably consulted.
4. тробótaı] 'betrayers,' most probably of their (Christian) brethren


and friends ; $\pi \rho o \delta o ́ t a l ~ \phi ı \lambda l a s ~ к a i ~ ̇ ̇ \tau a l-~$ $\rho \epsilon . a s$, EEcum. : comp. Luke vi. 16, Acts vii. $5^{2}$.
$\pi р о \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon і$ ]
'headstrong,' headlong in action,-not merely in words (Suid., $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \eta$ 's, o $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o s)$, or in thoughts (comp. Hesych., $\pi \rho \grave{o} \tau o \hat{v}$ 入oy $\iota \sigma \mu o \hat{\text { ) }}$; see Acts xix. $36, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\iota} \nu \quad \pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ̀ s, \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, and comp. Herodian, Hist. II. 8. 4, то̀
 $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \bar{s}$ каi $\quad$ драб́́. The partial synonym $\pi \rho o a \lambda \eta$ '́s, Ecclus. xxx. 8, is condemned in its adverbial use by Pbryn. p. 245 (ed. Lob.), and Thom. M. p. 744 (ed. Bern.). $\quad \tau е т v ф \omega \mu i ́ v o l]$ See notes on I Tim. iii. 6.
 rather than lovers of God;' both words $\ddot{a} \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mu$. in the N.T. Wetstein cites very appositely Philo, de Agricult. $\S 19$, Vol. I. p. $3{ }^{\text {r }} 3$ (ed. Mang.), $\phi \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ Sovov каl $\phi \iota \lambda o \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ทn $\phi \stackrel{\lambda}{ }{ }^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$

 ward form of godliness,' $\mid{ }^{\circ}$ [ $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a]$ Syr., 'speciem pietatis,' Vulg.,

 $\sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \eta \lambda o u ̂ \nu$, Chrys. Móp $\phi \omega \sigma \iota s$ occurs again in Rom. ii. 20, but, as Chrys. rightly observes, in a different application; here, as the context clearly shows, it implies the mere outward form as opposed to the inward and pervading influence ( $\delta \dot{v}$ apls). The more correct word would be $\mu$ ó $\rho \phi \omega \mu a$ (.Esch. Agam. 873, Eum. 4 12), $\mu$ о́ $\rho \phi \omega$ $\sigma \iota s$ being properly active, e.g. $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha-$ $\tau \iota \sigma \mu \grave{s} \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu o ́ \rho \phi \omega \sigma \iota s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$, Theophr. Caus. Plant. III. 7. 4 : there is however a tendency in the N.T., as in later writers, to replace the verbal nouns in $-\mu a$ by the corresponding nouns in - $\sigma t s$; comp. $\dot{v} \pi о \pi \dot{u} \pi \omega \sigma t s$, cl. i .
13. For a plausible distinction between $\mu o \rho \phi \grave{\eta}$ and $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, the former as what is 'intrinsic and essential,' the latter as what is 'outward and accidental,' -hence $\mu$ ó $\rho \phi \omega \pi / s$ here (an aiming at, affecting, $\mu o \rho \phi \dot{\eta})$ not $\mu o \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$, - see Lightfoot in Journ. Class. Philol. No. 7 , p. II5. On the meaning of $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon 1 a$, see notes on I Tim. ii. 2. This enumeration of vices may be compared with Rum. i. 29 sq., though there absolute heathenism is described, while here the ref. is rather to a kiud of heathen Christianity; both lists however have, as indeed might well be imagined, several terms in common. The various attempts to portion out these vic.s into groups (comp. Peile) seem all unsuccessful ; a certain connexion may be observed in some parts, e.g. àa̧óves к.т. $\lambda$., $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \eta \mu 06$ $\kappa . \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$., but it appears so evidently in other parts to give way to similarity in sound or similarity of composition (e.g. $\pi \rho o \delta ., \pi \rho o \pi$.), that no practical inferences can safely be drawn.
 denied the power thereof.' 'To deny the power of godliness is for a man by indecent and vicious actions to coutradict his outward show and profession of godliness,' Bull, Serm. xv. p. ${ }^{279}$ (Oxf. 1844 ) : comp. Tit. i. гб. The term $\delta i v v a \mu s$ appears to mark the 'practical influence' which ought to pervade and animate the $\epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \epsilon a$; comp. i Cor.iv. 20. On the character depicted in this and the preceding clauses see a striking Sermon by Bp. Hall, Serm. xxvini. Vol. v. p. 366 (Oxf. 1837). каі тои́тоvs д́тотр.] 'from these turn away.' The kai seems here to retain its proper force by specifying those particularly who were to be avoiled; there were some
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of whom hopes might be entertained (ch. ii. 25), these however belonged to a far more depraved class, on whou instruction would be thrown away, and who were the melancholy types of the more developed mystery of iniquity of the future; 'каl ponimus si duas personas taciti contendimus,' Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 635,-by whom this and similar usages of кal are well illustrated. Heydenr. seems to have missed this prelusive and prophetic reference, when he applies all the evil characteristics above mentioned specially and particularly to the erroneous teachers of the present: these latter, as the following verses show, had many evil elements in common with them, but the two classes were not identical. 'A $\pi 0 \tau \rho \epsilon \pi$. (a a $\quad \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\sigma} \mu$.) is nearly synonymous with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho \epsilon \pi$., I Tim. vi. 2o, and joined similarly with an accusative.
 be omitted in transl., as Conyb., al.) serves clearly and distinctly to connect the future and the present. The seeds of all these evils were germinating even at the present time; and Timothy, by being supplied with criteria derived from the developed future (some
 к.т..., applied obviously enough to the teachers of his own days), was to be warned with respect to the dereloping present: comp. Chrys. in loc. There is thus no reason whatever with Grot. to consider cigiv a 'præs. pro futuro.' oi Ev8́uvovtes] 'they who creep in,' like serpents (Möller), or wolves into


 Chrya.: compare Jude 4, $\pi a \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \delta \delta v \sigma a v$,
where the covertness and furtive character of the intrusive teachers is yet mure fully marked. The verb is (in this form) a d $\pi$. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in the N.T., but is used sufficiently often in classicsl Greek in similar meanings, both with els, e.g. Aristoph. Vesp. Iozo, єis... raotépas $\epsilon \nu \delta \delta \dot{s}$, and with a simple dat., Xen. Cyr. II. I. I3, évoiovzaı тaîs $\psi v \chi$ ais $\tau$ ầ akovóvт $\omega \nu$.
aix $\mu \mathrm{a} \omega \omega \tau[$ Govtes] 'leading captive;' Luke xxi. 24, Rom. vii. 23, 2 Cor. x. 5. This verb is usually specified as one of those words in the N.T. which have been thought to be of Alexandrian or Macedonian origin; comp. Fischer, Prolus. xxi. 2, p. 693 : it is condemned by the Atticists (Thom. M. p. 23, ed. Bern., Lobeck, Phryn. p. 442), the Attic expression being $\alpha i \chi \mu a \lambda \omega \tau \pi$ $\pi$ otw. Examples of the use of the word in Josephus, Arrian, \&c., are given in the notes on Thom. Mag. l.c. $\gamma^{\text {valasápıa] 'silly women,' 'muliercu- }}$ las,' Vulg., 'kvineina' [literally 'muliebria,' an abstr. neut.], Goth; the diminutive expressing contempt, $\gamma v$ -
 $\gamma^{u v a \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \gamma u v a c \kappa a p i \omega \nu, ~ C h r y s .: ~}$ compare àvó $\rho$ á $\rho t a$, Aristopl. Acharn. $517, \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a$, ib. Plut. 416. This mention of women in connexion with the false teachers is, as might be imagined, not passed over by those who attack the genuineness of this epistle; comp. Baur, Pastoralbr. p. 36. That the Gnostics of the second and third centuries made use of women in the dissemination of their heresies is a mere matter of history; comp. Epiphan. Haer. xxiv. il, àmatềtes tò aủroîs
 Harr. 1. 13. 3, al. (ed. Mass.). Are we however hastily to conciude that a

  

course of action, which was in fact as odd as the fall of man ( 1 Tim. ii. 14), belonged only to the Gnostic era, and was not also successfully practised in the Apostolic age? Heinsius and Elsner notice the somewhat similar course attributed to the Pharisees, Joseph. Antiq, xvir. 2. 4. Justiniani adduces a vigorous passage of Jerome (Epist. ad Ctcsiph. 133. 4) on the female associates of heresiarchs, which however is too long for citation.
$\sigma \in \sigma \omega \rho \in v \mu i ́ v a]$ 'laden,' 'up-heaped:' the verb $\sigma \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ (connected probably with $\sigma o \rho \delta s$ ) occurs again, in a quotation, Rom. xii. zo, and forcibly depicts тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, каì $\tau \grave{\partial}$ á $\tau \alpha-$ $\tau о \nu$ каi $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \chi \nu \mu \not{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu$, Chrys. On the instrumental dative in connexion with a $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a l$, see notes on Gal. v. 18, and on the form $\pi$ ouki ${ }^{2}$ [ [TIK-, connected with $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \sigma$ s], see Donalds. Crat. § 266, Pott, Etymol. Forsch. Vol. II. p. 600 .
7. та́vтотє $\mu \mathrm{av} \theta$.] 'ever learring,' -not necessarily 'in conventibus Christianorum' (Grot.), but from any source open to them. It was no love of truth that impelled them to learn, but only a morbid love of novelty; 'pro curiositate et instabilitate animi semper nova quærunt, eaque suis desideriis accommoda,' Estius.
кal $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi}$. к.т.入.] 'and yet never able to come to the full knowledge of the truth;' comp. notes on ver. II, where the faint antithetic force of kal is more strongly marked. The $\delta \nu \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ is not without some significance; in their better moments they might endeavour to attain to some knowledge of the truth, but they never succeed; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ $\rho \omega \theta_{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ карঠia, Chrys. The conditional
negative $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \pi$. is used with the participle, as the circumst nnce of their inability to attain the truth is stated not as an absolute fact, but as subsequently a characteristic of their class, and of the results to which it led; though they were constantly learning, and a knowledge of the truth might have been ultimately expected, yet they never did attain to it: see Winer, Gr. §55.5, p. 428, and the copious list of exx. in Gayler, Partic. Neg. ch. Ix. p. 284 sq . In estimating however the force of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with particip.es in the N.T., it must not be forgotten that this usage is the prevailing one of the sacred Writers; see Green, Gr. p. t22. The subject generally is largely illustrated by Gayler, chap. Ix., but it is much to be regretted that a work so affluent in examples should often be so deficient in perspicuity. On $\epsilon \pi i$ $\gamma^{\nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , see reff. in note on 1 Tim. ii. 4.
8. 'Iavvīs kal'Ia $\mu \beta$ pris] 'Jannes and Jambres;' $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ìb $\mu a \tau a$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iou $\delta a i \omega \nu$ di $\delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda(a s$, Theod. in loc. Jannes and Jambres ['I $\omega$ ai $\nu \eta \eta$ $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ : and Ma $\mu \beta \rho \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{FG}$; Vulg., al.], according to ancient Hebrew tradition, were chief among the magicians who opposed Moses (Exodus vii. 1I, 22),
 ทัт $\tau$ menius in Orig. Cels. 1v. $5^{1}$; see Targum Jonath. on Exod. i. 15, and vii. 11, and comp. Euseb. Prcep. ix. 8. They are further said to have been sons of Balaam, and to have perished either in the Red Sea, or at the slaughter after the worship of the golden
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calf；see the numerous passages cited by Wetst．in loc．It is thus probable that the Apostle derived these names from a current and（being quoted by him）true tradition of the Jewish Church．The supposition of Origen （Comment．in Matth．§ 117 ，Vol．int． p．916，ed．Beued．），that the names were derived from an apocryphal work called＇Jamnis et Mambris Liber，＇ cannot be substantiated．Objections urged against the introduction of these names，when gravely considered，will be found to be of no weight whatever； why was the inspired Apostle not to remind Timothy of the ancient tradi－ tions of his country，and to cite two names which there is every reason to suppose were too closely counected with the early history of the nation to be easiiy forsotten？For further reff． see Spencer＇s note on Orig．Cels．l．c．， and for literary notices，\＆cc．，Winer， RWB．Art．＇Jambres，＇Vol．J．p． 535. There is a special treatise on the sub－ ject by J．G．Michaelis， $\mathbf{4}^{\text {to，Hal．}} 1747$ ． oṽtos kal ovitol］＇thus do these men also withstand the truth．＇The points of comparison between the false and depraved teachers of the present and the sorcerers of the past consist in （a）an opposition to the truth，$a^{2} \theta l$－ бтavтal $\tau \hat{\eta} d \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i q($（comp．Acts xiii． 8 ，
 the profitless character of that oppo－ sition，and notorious betrayal of their

 without insisting on a further＇tertiun comparationis，＇it is certainly consistent both with the present context（comp． róvies ver． 13 ）and with other pas－ sages of Seripture（e．g．Acts viii． 9 sq．， xiii． 6 sq．，xix．$(3,19)$ to assume that， like Jannes and Jambres，these false
teachers were permitted to avail them－ selves of occult powers incommunica－ ble and inaccessible to others；see Wiesing．in loc．，and comp．Neander， Planting，Vol．i．p．216，note．
катє中日appévou тòv voûv］＇corrupted． in their mind；＇comp．I Tim．vi．5， $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu$ ．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ，and see notes and references．The clause marks the utter moral depravation of these unhappy men；their voûs（the human spirit viewed both in its intellectual and moral aspects，Delitzsch，Bibl．Psychol． IV．I5，p．244）is corrupted，the me－ dium of communication with the Holy Spirit of God polluted；the light that is within is becoming，if not actually become，darkness；comp．Eph．iv． 17 sq．，and notes in loc．The difference between the cumpounds $\delta, a \phi \theta$ ．（r Tim． l．c）and кaтaф $\theta$ ．is very slight；both are intensive，the former pointing per－ baps more to the pervasive nature，the latter to the prostrating character of the $\phi \theta o \rho \alpha$ ．So somewhat similarly Zonaras，катафөopá，$\dot{\eta} \pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} s \dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega}-$ $\lambda \epsilon i a \cdot \delta \iota a \phi \theta o \rho a ̀ \quad \delta \epsilon ́, \quad \ddot{\sigma} a \nu a^{a} \lambda \lambda \eta$ oúoia $\delta \iota^{\prime}$
 $\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \kappa \omega \nu$, Lex．p．${ }^{1}{ }_{54}$ ．
diסoкццоь к．т．入．］＇reprobate concerning the faith；＇unapproved of（＇unprobe－ haltig，＇DeW．），and consequently＇re－ jectanei，＇in the matter of the faith． The active translation（＇nullam pro－ bandi facultatem habentes，＇Beng．）is plainly opposed buth to St Paul＇s and the prevailing use of the word；comp． Rom．i．28， 1 Cor．ix． 27,2 Cor．xiii．5， Tit．i． 16 ，and see notes on ch．ii． 15 ， and Fritz．Rom．Vol．i．p．8I．On this use of $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ ，see notes on 1 Tim． i． 19.
 withstanding they shall not make fur－ ther advance；$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{2}$ with its full ad－


 Evil men shall advance but do thou hold fast to the Holy Scriptures, which will make thee wise and perfect.
10. $\pi a \rho \eta к о \lambda \circ u ́ \theta \eta \sigma a s]$ So Tisch. ed. 1 , with ACFGN゙ (FG $\dot{\eta} \times 0 \lambda o u ́ \theta \eta \sigma a s) ;$ 17; (Lachm., Huther, Wiesing., Leo, Alf.). In his 2 nd and $7_{7}$ th edd. Tisch. adopts $\pi а \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \eta \kappa a s ~ w i t h ~ D E K L ; ~ a p p y . ~ n e a r l y ~ a l l ~ m s s . ; ~ C h r y s ., ~ T h e o d ., ~ D a m ., ~ a l . ~$ (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, Wordsw.). The change does not seem to be for the better. External evidence seems now to be clearly in favour of the aorist; internal evidence is also equally clear, the hortatory tone of the chapter (comp. ver. 5, 14) being far more in harmony with the aorist than with the perfect. The perfect would imply that the conduct of Timothy noticed in ver. ıo sq. was continuing the same ('argumento utitur ad incitandum Timotheum,' Calv.); the aorist, on the contrary, by drawing attention to the past, and being simply silent as to the present (see notes on 1 Thess. ii. 16), suggests the latent exhortation to be careful to act now as then.
versative force (ubi gravior quædam oppositio inter duo enuntiata intercedit, Klotz, Devar. Vol. Ir. p. 3) here contrasting the opposition and its ultimate results, and thus introducing a ground for consolation: 'fiducia victoriar Timotheum animat ad certamen,' Calv. There is however no statement contradictory to ver. 13 and ch. ii. 16 (De W.); all the Apostle says in fact is that there shall be no real and ultimate advance; кă $\nu$ п $\rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ $\tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda a ́ v \eta s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau e ̂ \lambda o s ~ o u ̀ ~ \delta c a \mu e ́ v e l$, Chrys. The gloss of Bengel-'non proficient amplius; non ita ut alios seducant; quanquam ipsi et eorum similes proficient in pejus, ver. 13,' is obviously insufficient to meet the difficulty; comp. ver. ı3, $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, and ch. ii. ${ }_{7} 7, \nu 0 \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\xi} \xi \in$. The advance is not denied, but the successful advance, i.e. without detection and exposure, is denied; ov $\lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \chi \chi \rho \iota$
 $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \delta \tau \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \gamma \nu \mu \nu \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a l$, Theod., see Est. in loc. d"vora] 'senselessness,' 'wicked folly,' ' amentia,' Beza;
 where the meaning is nearly the same, and is not 'rage of an insensate kind,'

De Wette, al. (see Thucyd. III. 42, where it is spoken of as an accompaniment of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi o s$ and as such unfavourable to e $\dot{\nu} \beta$ où $(a)$, but, as in the present case, 'senselessness' in a moral as well as intellectual point of view, 'wicked, as well as insensate, folly;' compare Beck, Bibl. Seelenl. II. 18, p. 51 , and see 2 Macc. xiv. 5, esp. xv. 33, and Joseph. Antiq. viII. I3. I, where divota is joined with $\pi$ op $\eta$ pia, and ascribed to Ahab. The remark of Coray is very
 то̂̂ aúroû alıaтos єival $\dot{\eta}$ какıa каì $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu \omega p i a$.
 фа $\downarrow$ ¢ós, Coray ; comp. Exod. viii. 18, ix. it. The word is a ${ }^{2} \pi$. $\lambda \in \gamma$. in the N.T., but is found in earlier (Hom. Il. v. 2), and is of common occurrence in later writers, 3 Macc. iii. 19, vi. 5, Polyb. Hist. III. 12. 4, iII. 48. 5, al.
 lower of,' $\mathbf{j} \triangle \underset{y}{\circ} \triangle \underset{\square}{2}]_{n}$ [venisti post] Syr., i.e. 'followedst as a disciple,' and thence 'hast fully known,' Auth., which however is rather too distant from the primary meaning, see notes on I Tim. iv. 6 , where the meaning of this word
$\delta_{\iota} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i \alpha, \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{n}, \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \prime \sigma \epsilon!, \tau \hat{n} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota, \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha-$


is investigated. On the force of the aor., see critical note. In the following words, $\mu$ ov $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta_{i} \delta a \sigma \kappa$., the pronoun, though not necessarily always so (see Winer, Gi. § 22. 7, p. 140), seems to stand in emphatic opposition to the subjects of the preceding verse.
Tn̂ $\left.{ }^{\text {à }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \mathrm{\gamma} \hat{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ ' my manner of life, con-
 -nearly equivalent to $\tau \mathrm{d} s$ ódoús $\mu 0 v$ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{X} \rho$., I Cor. ip. 17. The word is a äл. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$. in N.T.; see lowever Esther ii. 20, ov $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda a \xi \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ aù $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ('vitæ suæ rationem,' Schleusn.), and comp. 2 Macc. iv. 16 , vi. 8 , xi. 24 . The meaning is rightly given by He sych., á $\gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \cdot \tau \rho \delta \pi o s$, à $\nu a \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \eta$; see also Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I. p. 72. Leo refers $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ to the 'doctrinæ ratio' followed by the Apostle, referring to Diod. Sic. Hist. I. 52, 92, but both reff. are false. $\quad \tau \hat{n} \pi \rho \circ \theta \boldsymbol{\theta} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{l}]$ 'my purpose,' scil. (as the following word $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ seems to hint) of remaining true to the Gospel of Christ and the great spiritual objects of his life; 'propositum propagandi Evangelii, et credentes semper meliores reddendi,' Grot. In all other passages in St Paul's Epp. $\pi \rho b \theta \in \sigma \iota s$ is used with reference to God; see Rom. viii. 28, ix. if, Eph. i. II, iii. If, 2 Tim.i. g. The peculiar and ecclesiastical meaning ('altare propositionis') is noticed in Suicer, Thes. s. v. Vol. II. p. $8{ }_{42}$.
$\tau \hat{n} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$ is referred by some commentators to 'faith' in its usual acceptation, $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \nu \tau 0 i s \delta \delta \gamma \mu a \sigma \nu$, Theoph. I, on account of the near position of $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \alpha \eta$;
 $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \pi$ тоои́ $\eta \eta$, (Ecum., Theoph. 2, so also Usteri, Lehrb. II. I. 4, p. 240. Perhaps the gloss of Theod., dтoiay
$\epsilon^{\ell} \chi \omega \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu$, is the most inclusive and satisfactory.
$\tau \hat{n} \quad \mu а к р о \theta v \mu(\underline{q}]$ ' $m y$ long-suffering,' forbearing patience, whether towards sinners generally (Theod.), or the ${ }^{2} \nu$ $\tau \iota \delta a \tau \iota \theta \in \mu \in v o l($ ch. ii. 25 ) specially : see notes on Eph. iv. 2, and on the distinction between $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \theta v \mu i a$ and $\pi \rho q 6$ $\tau \eta s$, notes $o n_{1}$ Tim. i. 16. The definition of Zonaras (Lex. p. 1330) is brief, but pithy and suggestive; $\mu a \kappa p o \theta v \mu i a$, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \psi \iota s$ 入úr $\eta s$. The concluding word $\dot{\text { úтомоѝ marks further bis brave pa- }}$ tience in enduring not only contradiction andopposition, buteveninjury and wrong, and leads on naturally to rois $\delta \omega \omega \mu$. к. $\tau . \lambda$., ver. II. On $\dot{\delta} \pi о \mu$., see notes on ch. ii. Io, and on Tit. ii. 2.
ir. tois $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu$.] 'my persecutions;' 'injurias complectitur quas Judæi et ethnici Christianis propter doctrinæ Christianæ professionem imposuerunt, ut verbera, delationes, vincula, relegationem,' Fritz. Rom. viii. 35, Vol. if. p. 22 I. oid $\mu$ oc к.т. $\lambda$. 'such (sufferings) as befel me in A ntioch (Acts xiii. 50), in Iconium (Acts xiv. 2 sq.), in Lystra (Acts xiv. 19);' on the repetition of $\pi a \theta \neq \dot{\eta} \mu \pi \alpha$ in translation, see Scholef. Hints, p. 124. It has been doubted why these particular sufferings have been specified. Chrys. refers it to the fact of Timothy's acquaintance with those parts of Asia ('utpote ex Lystris oriundi,' Est.); this is not at all improbable, especially if we suppose that these sufferings had been early known to Timotby, and bad led him to unite himself to the Apostle; it is however perhaps equally likely that it was their severity which suggested the particularmention; comp. Acts xiv. 19, $\nu_{0} \mu l \zeta \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ a $\dot{\tau} \tau \partial \nu$ [ $\Pi a \hat{0} \lambda o \nu$ ]


$\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \alpha a$. 'such persecutions as I endured;' as these (particularly at Lystra) were especially $\delta i \omega \gamma \mu o l$, not merely general жа $\theta$ huaca, but sharp and active inflictions, by stoning, dc., St Paul repeats the word, joining it emphatically with otos, still more to specify the peculiar cases which he is mentioning as examples. It is certainly not necessary to regard the clause as an exclamation (Heydenr., Mack), nor is there even any occasion for supplying '[thou hast seen] what, \&c.' (Conyb., comp. Alf.), as this seems to weaken the force of the sentence, and indeed to vitiate the construction.

кal èk $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\alpha}, \nu \tau \omega v]$ 'and out of all;' д $\mu \phi$ о́тєра тараклй$\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \delta \tau \iota$ каі $\epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu l a \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \chi \dot{\partial} \mu \nu$
 This is no 'Hebraica constructio pro ex quibus omnibus,' Grot.; кai with its usual ascensive force gives a distinct prominence to the opposition involved in the clause which it introduces, 'my persecutions were great, and yet God delivered me out of all;' compare

 see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. iI. x. c, Vol. I. p. 1540 , and further exx. in Har. tung, Partik. кal, 5. 6, Vol. I. p. 148. It may be added that Tisch. reads $\epsilon \rho v^{\prime}-$ бaro with $\mathrm{AD}^{1}$ : the more common form $\epsilon^{\ell} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o$ has such clearly prepon. derant evidence in its favour [CD ${ }^{3}$ EFGK (e sil.) LN] as rightly to retain its place in the text.
12. kal Távets 86] 'And all too,' or sufficiently approximately, 'yea and all,' Auth. ; see esp. notes on 1 Tim. iii. Io, where this construction is investigated. De Wette is here slightly incorrect on two points; first, 'et... autem,' Beng., is a translation of кai
... $\delta \varepsilon$ which need not be rejected, see Hand, Tursellin. Vol. I. p. $55_{4}$; secondly, kai... $\delta \ell$ (even supposing ,'Tim. iii. so be not taken into account) occurs elsewhere in St Paul's Epp.; viz. Rom. xi. 23. The verse involves a perfectly general declaration (Calv.), and seems intended indirectly to prepare Timothy for encountering persecutions, and may be paraphrased, 'but such persecutions are not confined to me or to a few; they will extend even to all, and consequently to thee among the number;' comp. Luicke on a John i. 3 . ot $\theta$ enovtcs] 'whose will is to,' \&c.; 'computa igitur an velis,' Beng.: the verb $\theta \in \lambda$. is not pleonastic, but points to those whose will is enlisted in the matter, and who really have sume desires to lead a godly life; see Winer, Gr. § 65. 7, p. 541 . The Vulg., 'qui pie volunt vivere,' by its departure from what seems to have been the order of the older Lat. Vv. (comp. Clarom.), apparently desires to mark the connexion of this participle with $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega}_{5}$; it seems however almost certain that the adv. belongs to $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, comp. Tit. ii. 12 . On the meaning of є $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \beta \hat{\mathrm{s}}$, see notes on 1 I'im. ii. 2.
${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v} \mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { X }}$. ' $\mathrm{I}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$.] 'in Christ Jesus,' in fellowship, in union with Him ; 'modum exponit sine quo non contigit pie vere,' Est.; 'extra Christum Jesum nulla pietas,' Beng.: compare notes on Gal. ii. 17, Eph. ii. 6, 7, and elsewhere.
 St Paul is here only reiterating the words of his Master, $\epsilon l \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\ell} \delta i \omega \xi a \nu$ каl $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ \xi o v \sigma \iota \nu$, John xv. 20; comp. Matth. x. 22, I Thess. iii. 3, \&c. This declaration clearly refers to the outward persecutions which the Apostles and their followers were to undergo; it may be extended however, in a prac.



tical point of view, to all true Christians; comp. August. Epist. 248 [145], de Civ. Dei, xvin. 51, and ver. I of that noble chapter, Ecclus. ii.
13. Пovทpol $\delta$ t àv $\theta \rho$.] 'But evil men;' immediate contrast with oi $\theta \in \mathrm{A}$. $\epsilon \dot{\dot{j}} \sigma . \zeta \hat{n}^{\nu}$; the subject of the verse however reverts to ver. 10 sq., and, as ver. 14 seems to hint, to the contrast between Timothy and the false teachers. The latter are included in the general and anarthrous nov $\eta \rho o i$ $\alpha a \nu \theta \rho$.; evil men, and consequently they among the number.
үо́ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{s}]$ 'deccivers,'—Goth., 'liutsi'' [deceivers,-cogn. with Angl.-Sax. lytig]; sim., though a little less exactly,
 кal appends to the general movnpol, apparently with somewhat of an explanatory force, a more specific and definite appellation, comp. Fritz. on Mark i. 5, p. it. Гóns (derived from roá $\omega$ ) has properly reference to incantations by howling; $\epsilon \neq \eta \tau a \iota a^{\prime} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 das, s. v. (comp. Soph. Ajax, 582, Herodot. vil. 191); thence to the practice of magic arts generally, $\delta \in \iota \nu \grave{s}$ yóns каi фарракєìs кal бофıбтйs, Plato, Symp. p. 203 D, and thence by a very natural transition to deception and innposture generally,-appy. the prevailing meaning; Etymol. M. róns, $\psi \in \cup \dot{-}$ a $\tau \eta s$, à $\pi a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu}$, , Pollux, Onom. 1v. 6, ró $\eta s, \alpha \pi a \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \nu$, similarly Timæus, Lex. Plat. s. v. ; comp. Demosth. de Fals. Leg. p. 374, áriotos, زóns, aop noós, Joseph. contr. Ap. II. 16, oú yóns oú ${ }^{\prime}$ $\boldsymbol{d} \pi a \tau \epsilon \omega \nu$. This general meaning then (opp. to Huther) seems fully substantiated. We cannot indeed definitely
infer from this term that magic arts were actually used by these deceivers, but there is certainly nothing in such a supposition inconsistent either with the context, the primary meaning of the word, or the description of similar opponents mentioned elsewhere in the N. T. ; see notes on ver. 8. In the eccl. writers $\gamma^{\prime} \eta$ s and royrela are frequently (perhaps commonly) used in this primary and more limited sense of the word, see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. r. p. 776 . $\quad \pi \rho o \kappa o ́ \not \subset o v-~$ बLv к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] 'will make advance toward the worse: ' $\frac{\epsilon \pi i}{}$ pointing to the $\chi \in \hat{\epsilon} \rho o \nu$ as the degree to which the wickedness was, as it were, advancing and ascending; compare Winer, Gr. §49. l, p. 363 . The $\pi$ рокол $\grave{\eta}$ is here considered rather as intensive, in verse 9 rather as extensive. On the apparent contradiction in the two verses, see above, notes in loc.
$\pi \lambda a v \omega ิ \nu \tau e s$ kail $\pi \lambda$.]
'deceiving and being deceived;' certainly not middle, 'letting themselves be deceived' (Beng., appy.), but passive.
 they begin by deceiving others, and end in being deceived themselves. Deceit, as De Wette remarks, is never without self-deceit.
 abide,' \&c.; $\sigma \dot{v}$ in sharp contrast to the 'deceivers' of the foregoing verse; $\mu t \nu \varepsilon$ in antithesis to $\pi \rho \rho_{k}{ }^{\prime} \pi \tau \epsilon$. In the following words the relative a taken out of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ots ( $=\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \epsilon \kappa \epsilon$ (vois a) must appy. be supplied, not only to $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mu \mathrm{a}} \theta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ but $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \mathrm{s}$, the accus. being that of the 'remoter object;' comp. Winer, Gram. § 32. 5, p. 204. Bretschneider (Lex. s. v. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$.), and perhaps Sjr., connect $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ots with $\epsilon \pi / \sigma \tau$. ;


this can be justified，see Psalm lxx viii． 37，but involves a less satisfactory meaning of the verb．
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \mathrm{s}]$＇wert assured of，＇ampli－ fication of $\ell_{\mu \mathrm{a} \theta \mathrm{\epsilon s} \text { ；not＇credita sunt }}$ tibi，＇Vulg．，Clarom．，Goth．（＇gatrau－ aida，＇a hint perhaps of the occasional Latinizing of this Vers．），which would require $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta s$ ，but＇quorum firma fides tibi facta est，＇Fuller，ap．Pol． Syn．；$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi o \rho l a$ sé $^{\epsilon} \mu a \theta \epsilon \varepsilon$ ，Theoph．；
 $\dot{a} \sigma \phi \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ ．Пしょтỗv is properly＇to make $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s '$（ 1 Kings i． 36 ，$\pi \iota \sigma \tau$ ف́ $\sigma a \iota$ $\dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \bar{o} s$ tò $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a)$ ，thence in the pass． ＇stabiliri，＇＇confirmari＇（2 Sam．vii． 16，$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ dे otкos aìтov̂，comp． Psalm lxxviii．8），and with an accus． objecti＇plene certiorari；＇comp．Suicer， Thesaur．s．v．Vol．II．p．744，where this meaning of the verb is well ex－ plained and illustrated．
clסws］＇knowing as thou dost，＇comp． ch．ii． 23 ．$\quad \pi \alpha \rho$ à $\tau(\nu \omega \nu]$ ＇from whom，＇scil．from Lois and Eu－ nice（ch．i．5），not also from St Paul and others（comp．Grot．，Matth．），as the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \beta \rho \epsilon \phi \phi{ }^{2}$ which follows seems rather to limit the reference to the period when Timothy was first in－ structed in divine truth．The reading is somewhat doubtful．The text is supported by $\mathrm{AC}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}$ ；17． 71 ；Cla－ rom．，Boern．（Tisch．ed．7，Huth．，Alf．， Wordsw．），and is now perhaps to be preferred：the reading however of ed．1，2，$\pi a \rho a$ rlvos，with $\mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{DEKL}$ ； nearly all mss．；Aug．，Vulg．，Goth．， Copt．，Syr．（both），Chrys．，Theod．（Mill， Griesb．），has fair external authority in its favour，and is not without some support from internal considerations； comp．Mill，Prolegom．p．lxxv．

15．kai ठть к．т．$\lambda$ ．does not seem parallel to and co－ordinate with $\epsilon \delta \delta \dot{\omega}$

к．т．$\lambda$ ．，＇sciens．．．et quia nosti，＇Vulg．， Beng．，－öt $九$ having the meaning＇be－ cause，＇and the participial construc－ tion＇per orationem variatam＇（comp． Winer，Gr．§63．II．1，p．509）pass－ ing into the indicative，－but is rather to be considered as simply dependent upon $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\delta} s$ ，the particle ö $\tau \iota$ retaining its more usual meaning＇that，＇and the direct sentence presenting a second fact which Timothy was to take into consideration：$\delta \dot{v} o$ airias $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon t$ toû


 Both constructions are，grammatically considered，equally possible，but the latter seems most satisfactory：the former is well defended by Hofmann， Schriftb．Vol．I．p． $57^{2}$ ．
dimo $\beta$ р́́́фovs］＇from a very child，＇ ＇from infancy；＇$\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ 剖ккias， Chrys．The expression is perbaps used rather than $\epsilon \kappa \pi a \iota \delta i \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$, Mark ix． $2 I$ （om．$\epsilon \kappa, R c c$ ．），to mark still more de－ finitely the very early age at which Timothy＇s instruction in the Holy Scriptures commenced ；comp．ch．i． 5 ． Bpégos in two instances in the N．T． （Luke i．41，44）has its primary mean－ ing，$\xi_{\mu} \beta \rho$ ovov，Hesych．；in all others （Luke ii．12，16，xviii． $\mathrm{s}_{5}$ ，Acts vii． 19，I Pet．ii．2，à $\rho \tau 1 \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau a \beta \rho$ ．）it points to a very early and tender age．This remark is of some little importance in reference to Luke xviii． ${ }_{15}$ ，where the ascensive or rather de－ scensive force of кal is not to be over－ looked．Tà itedà $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \mu \mu$ ．］＇the sacred writinys，＇i．e．of the Old Test．，or，possibly with more lexical exactness，－＇sacras literas，＇ Vulg．，＇the principles of scriptural learning＇（surely not letters，in the ordinary educational sense，Hervey，

Serm．on Insp．p．if）；comp．John vii．15，Acts xxvi．24，and see Meyer on both passages．It is doubtful howerer whether this latter meaning is here suitable to the context，and whether $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \tau a$ does not simply mean＇writings＇（see Suicer，Thesaur． s．v．Vol．I．p． 780 ），with perhaps the associated idea，which seems always to have marked this usage of the word in good Greek，of being expressed in solemn or formal language；see esp． Plato，Legg．ix．p． 858 E ，where it is contrasted with $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho d \mu \mu a \tau a$ ，and ib． Gorg．p． 484 A，where comp．Stall－ baum＇s note．Thus then the state－
 خovy of ma入acol $\tau$ à $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \dot{\beta} \mu \mu a \tau a$ ，will require modification．The expression is a $a^{\circ} \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$ ．in N．T．，but comp． Joseph．Antiq．Proœm．§ 3，$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ iє $\omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ，and the numerous exx．in Wetstein in loc．The usual terms are $\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}, a i{ }^{i} \gamma \rho a \phi a l$ ，once $\gamma \rho a \phi a i$ äzıal，Rom．i． 2 ；see notes on ver．I6． тì Suvápeva］＇which are able，＇not ＇qua poterant，＇Beng．The present is used conformably with the virtual present otias，to denote the perma－ nent，enduring，property of the Holy Scriptures．

бoфifal］＇to make wise；＇comp．Psalm xix．8，$\sigma 0-$
 pous aúrô̂ $\sigma o \phi l \sigma a l$ ，and with an acc． $r e i$ ，cxix．98．This meaning must be retained without any dilution；$\sigma 0 \phi i \zeta \omega$ is not merely equivalent to $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ， but marks the true wisdom which the Holy Scriptures impart．The two prepositional clauses which follow further specify the object contem－ plated in the ooploal，and the limita－ tion under which alone that object could be attained．
cis $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a y$ must be joined immediately with $\sigma 0 \phi l \sigma a l$ ，pointing out the direc－
tion and destination of the wisdom， the object at which it aimed ；$\dot{\eta} \ddot{\xi} \xi \omega$
 $\tau \eta \nu$ каi бофlбцата каi 入oүодахіаs．．．
 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a v$, Theoph．
 que in Christo Jesu collocatam；＇see notes on I Tim．iii．I3．This clause cannot be joined with $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$（Hey－ denr．），as the article in such a case could not be dispensed with before $\delta \iota a ́$ ；comp．notes on Eph．i．I 5 ，where the only cases in which such an omis－ sion can take place are recounted． The clause obviously limits the pre－ vious assertion；＇those Scriptures he ［the Apostle］granteth were able to make him wise to salvation，but he addeth，through the faith which is in Christ，＇Hooker，Eccl．Pol．I．14． 4 （quoted by Bloomf．and Peile）．In the same section the difference between the two Testaments is thus stated with admirable perspicuity；＇the Old did make wise by teaching Salvation through Christ that should come，the New by teaching that Christ the Sa－ viour is come．＇On $\pi i \sigma \pi / s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathbf{X} \rho$ ．，see notes on I Tim．i．јб．

16．тâoa үрaфท̀ к．т．入．］＇Every Scripture inspired by God is also use－ ful，＇\＆c．；so Origen expressly，$\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ $\gamma \rho ., \theta \epsilon \delta \pi \nu$ ．oiv $\sigma a, \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda$ ．$\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，in Jos． Hom．xix．Vol．il．p． 443 （ed．Bened）， Syr．［both however omit kal］，Ham－ mond，and the Vv ．of Tynd．，Cov．，ard Cranmer．In thisimportant and much contested passage we must notice briefly（a）the construction，（b）the force and meaning of the separate words． It may be first remarked that the reading is not perfectly certain，kai being omitted in someVv．（Aug．，Vulg．， Copt．，Syr．，Ar．）and Ff．；it seems however highly probable that this is
due rather to non-observance of the true ascensive force of the particle than to its absence in the original MSS. With regard then to (a) construction it is very difficult to decide whether (a) $\theta \epsilon \delta \pi \nu$. is a part of the predicate, $\kappa a l$ being the simple copula (Auth., al.) ; or whether ( $\beta$ ) it is a part of the subject, кal being ascensive, and Ėorl being supplied after $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda_{\lambda} \mu$ os (as Clarom., Syr. Phil., al.). Lexicography and grammar contribute but little towards a decision : for on the one hand, as $\gamma \rho a \neq \grave{\eta}$ here appy. does mean Scripture (see below), the connexion by means of kal copulativum is at first sight both simple and perspicuous (see Middleton in loc.); on the other hand, the epithet thus associated with $\pi \hat{a} s$ and an anarthrous subst. is in a position perfectly usual and regular (e.g. 2 Cor. ix. 8, Eph. i. 3, i Thess. v. 22, i Tinı. v. 10, 2 Titn. ii. 21 , iii. 17 , iv. 18 , Tit. i. 16, iii. ı, comp. iii. 2, al.), and in that appy. always assigned to it by St Paul: contrast James iii. 16, i Pet. ii. $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, where the change of position is appy. nade to mark the emphasis, see Winer, Gr. § 59. 2, p. 464 . We are thus remanded wholly to the context: and here when we observe ( $\mathbf{t}$ ), on the negative side, the absence of everything in the preceding verses calculated to evoke such a statenient, the $\theta \in 0 \pi \nu \in \cup \sigma \pi i a$ of Scripture not having been denied even by implication, comp. Huther ; (2) that if кal be copulative, it would seem to associate two predications, one relating to the essential character of Scripture, the other to its practical applicabilities, which appear scarcely homogeneous; and (3), on the positive side, that the terms of ver. 16 seem in studied and illustrative parallelism to those in ver. ${ }^{5}, \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$
being more specific than $\gamma \rho d \mu \mu a \tau a$, $\theta \in \delta \pi \nu$, than $i \epsilon \rho \delta$ (see Tittm. Synon. I. p. 26), and каl $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda$. к.т. $\lambda$. showing the special aspects of the more general $\tau a \dot{d} \delta \nu . \sigma \epsilon$ vopi$\sigma a l$, and with кai ascensive detailing, what gopigal might have been thought to fail to convey, the various practical applications of Scripture ; when (4) we add that Cbrys.,-whose assertion $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha$. ov̉v $\dot{\eta}$ roaaúr $\eta$ $\theta \epsilon 6 \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \sigma \tau o s$ [see below] would really he pointless if the declaration in the text were explicit, and not, as it is, implicit-Theod.
 $\lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu \delta(\delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota)$, and, as far as we can infer from collocation of words, nearly all the best Vv., viz. Syr. (both), Vulg., Clarom., Goth., Copt., appy. Eth., and in effect Arro. (inserts copula after $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa$.), all adopt construction ( $\beta$ ), we have an amount of external evidence, which, coupled with the internal evidence, it seems impossible to resist. We decide therefore, not without some confidence, in favour of ( $\beta$ ) ; so Huth., Wiesing., but not De Wette. We now notice (b) some individual expressions.
тâбa үpaфй] 'Every Scripture,' not 'tota Scriptura,' Beza, Auth.,-a needless departure from the regular rules of grammar. Hofmann (Schriftb. Vol. I. p. $57^{2}$ ) and others (Hervey, al.) still defend this inexact translation, adducing Eph. ii. 21; but it may be observed that in Eph. l.c. there are strong reasons for a deviation from the correct transl. which do not apply to the present case; see notes in loc. Here $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \quad \gamma \rho$. implies every individual $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \eta$ of those previously alluded to in the term iєpà $\gamma \rho$.; $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$, $\pi 0$ la;

 (thus far) Middleton, Gretk Art. p.
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392, ed. Rose, comp. also Lee, on Insp. Lect. vi. p. ${ }^{254} 4 \mathrm{sq}$., and Winer, Gr. § 18.4, p. іоі. $\quad$ үрафウ̀ has by some interpreters been translated 'writing;' so appy. the $\tau$ cues noticed by Theoph., and perhaps Theod., $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \iota o \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \eta \sigma d \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ à $\pi \epsilon$ $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ div $\theta \rho \omega \pi l \nu \eta s$ бoфias $\sigma v \gamma-$ रоáццата. This however, owing to the usual meaning of roaør in the N. T., seems very doubtful. It may be observed indeed that with the exception of this and four other passages (John xix. 37 , Rons. i. 2, xvi. 26, 2 Pet. i. 20) $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ or $\gamma \rho a \phi a l$ always has the article, so that its absence might warrant the translation. As bowever in Jobn xix. 37 r $\rho a \phi \eta$ clearly involves its technical meaning, 'another passage of Scripture,' and as the context requires the same in 2 Pet. l.c. (comp. Huth.), so here and in Rom. ll. cc. there is no reason to depart from the current qualitative interpretation, especially as the associated epithets, and here moreover the preceding iє $\rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu$., show that that special meaning was indisputably intended by the inspired writer.
$\theta \in \dot{o} \pi$ vevoros is a passive verbal, see Winer, Gr. § 16. 3, p. 88; it simply denotes 'inspired by God' (comp. Phocyl. 12I, $\theta \epsilon \delta \pi \nu \epsilon \cup \sigma \tau o s ~ \sigma o \phi i \eta$, Plutarch, Mor, p. 904 F, Tous bevelpous toùs $\theta \epsilon 0 \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{U} \sigma \tau 0 \cup s$; comp. $\theta \epsilon 6 \pi \nu 0 o s$, Porphyr. de A ntr. Nymph. p. i16), and only states what is more definitely ex-
 [quod a Spiritu scriptum est] and still more by 2 Pet. i. 21, $a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi d \dot{\alpha} \pi \nu c v^{-}$
 Өєồ äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi \pi$. Thus then, without overstepping the proper limits of this commentary, we may fairly say, that while this pregnant and inclusive epi-
thet yields no support to any artificial theories whether of a 'dynamical' or a 'mechanical' inspiration, it certainly seems distinctly to imply (comp. Chrys., - in the otber translation it would formally enunciate) this vital truth, that every separate portion of the Holy Book is inspired, and forms a living portion of a living and organic whole; see (thus far) Hofmann, Schriftb. Vol. I. p. 572, Reuss, Théol. Chrét. iir. 3, Vol. I. p. 297. While, on the one hand, this expression does not exclude such verbal errors, or possibly such trifling historical inaccuracies, as man's spirit, even in its most exalted state, may not be wholly exempt from (comp. Delitzsch, Bibl. Psychol. v. 5, p. 319), and human transmission and transcriptions may bave increased, it still does certainly assure us, on the other, that these writings, as we bave them, are individually pervaded by God's Spirit, and warrants our belief that they are $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{i} s[\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon s$ ] II $\nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau o s$ tô ajiov, Clem. Rom. I. 45, and our assertion of the full Inspiration of the Bible ; comp. Pref. to Galatians, p. xvi (ed. 3), Aids to Faith, Ix. p. 417 sq. трòs סiסagka入iav refers, as De Wette observes, to the theoretical or rather doctrinal application of the Holy Scriptures; the concluding expressions refer rather to their practical uses; see Beveridge, Serm. Lx. Vol. tiI. p. 150 (A.-C.Libr.). Beza refers the two former 'ad dogmata,' the two latter 'ad mores,' but $\pi \rho \partial े s ~ e ̀ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu$. seems certainly to belong more to the latter, comp. ch. iv. 2 , ${ }^{1}$ Tim. v. 20, Tit. ii. 15.
 tion,' $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \xi a \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \psi \epsilon \cup \delta \hat{\eta}$, Chrys., or better more generally, $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\delta} \nu \pi a \rho \alpha-$ עouov $\beta l o v$, Theod. ; comp. Eph. v. 1 i. The reading ${ }^{\boldsymbol{t}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma \chi^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ [ed. 1, 2, with
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DEKL; most mss.; Chrys.;] occurs several times in the LXX. e.g. Lev. xix. 17, Numb. v. 18, 2 Kings xix. 3, al. : but minst now give way to $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu o ́ \nu$ [ACFGN; 4 mss. (Lachm., Tisch.)].
 [directionem, emendationem]; mapa$\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \bar{i}$ тov̀s $\pi a \rho a \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \nu t a s ~ द ̇ \pi a \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\epsilon i s$ word is a $\ddot{\alpha} \pi$. $\lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu} \mu$. in N.T., but sufficiently common elsewhere, e.g. Philo, Quod Deus Imm. $\$ 37$, Vol. I. p. 299, ह̇тavóp $\theta \omega \sigma \iota$ т тô̂ $\beta i o v$, Arrian,
 $\theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon!$ tồ $\beta$ iov, Polyb. Hist. I. 35. I, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \theta \omega \sigma \tau s \tau_{0} \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ßiov, comp. also III. 7.4 , v. 88. 3, xxVII. 6. 12 , al. The prep. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ is apparently not merely directive but intensize, implying restoration to a previous and better state, Plato, Republ. x. p. 604
 see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. iv. c. 5, Vol. I. p. 1046. The distinction between $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \gamma \mu$. and $\dot{\epsilon \pi a \nu}$. is thus not incorrectly stated by Grot., ‘‘ं $\bar{\lambda} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi$ оитal inverecundi, ėтapopOoî̀tal teneri, fragiles.' $\quad$ тaıסєiav к.т.ג.] ' discipline which is in righteousness;' not exactly 'que veram perfectamque justitiam affert,' Just., comp. Theoph., but ' which has its proper sphere of action in righteousness,'-in that which is conformable to the law of God. Conybeare, in translating the clause 'righteous discipline,' seems to regard $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ as merely equiv. to the ' Beth essentiæ;' this however appears to be untenable; comp. Winer, $G r$. $\S 29$. 3. obs. p. 166. On the proper meaning of $\pi \alpha \delta \delta \in i \alpha$ (' disciplinary instruction,' a meaning which Theod., al., here unnecessarily obscure), see notes on Eph. vi. 4; and on $\delta$ skalo $\sigma \dot{v} \eta$, see notes on I Tim.
vi. ir. Thus to state the uses of Holy Scripture in the briefest way ; it $\delta i \delta d-$ $\sigma K \in \iota$ the ignorant, $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \chi \in \iota$ the evil and prejudiced, $e^{\pi} \pi a \nu o \rho \theta_{0} \hat{\imath}$ the fallen and ering, and $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \ell \quad \epsilon \nu \delta \kappa$. all men, esp. those that need bringing to fuller measures of perfection. For a good sermon on the sufficiency of Scripture see Beveridge, Serm. lx. Vol. ini. p. ${ }^{1} 44$ sq. (A.-C. Libr.).
17. 'aptios] 'complete' in all parts and proportions ('in quo nihil sit mutilum,' Calv.), a $\ddot{\alpha} \pi a \xi \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu}$. in the N. T., explained more fully by the
 stantially correct definition is given by Greg. Nyss. in Eccl. v. Vol. I. p. 432,

 thus àproos is opposed to $\chi \omega \lambda \dot{o}$ s and кодоßо́s,-comp. Lucian, Sacrif. § 6, where he speaks of V ulcan as oі̀к äpтios $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi b \delta \epsilon$, and see Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. I. p. $5^{15}$. It is not easy to state positively the distinct:on between $\tau \epsilon$ $\lambda_{\text {elos }}$ and äprios, as in practice the two words seem nearly to interchange meanings ; e. g. comp. Philo, de Plant. Noe, § 29, Vol. I. p. 347, d"pтiov кai $\delta \lambda$.'к $\lambda \eta \rho o \nu$, with James i. $4, \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o l$ кai бло́клдрои: as a general rule á $\rho \tau$ соs seems to point to perfection in regard of adaptation of parts ('qui suam retinet compagem,' Just.) and special aptitude for any given uses; $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \cos$, like'perfectus' (comp. Doederl. Synon. Vol. iv. 366), seems to imply a more general and absolute perfection ; comp. Matth. v. 48.
 God.' The very general reference of the context seems to show clearly that here at least this is certainly not an official designation, 'the servant of God,' 'the evangelist' (Beng., De

I solemnly charge thee to be active and urgent， for evil teachers will abound．Discharge thy ministry：nine is well nigh done，and my re－ ward is ready．
$\Delta_{\text {lapaptípoual èvétiov tồ }}$ Өєồ IV．



Wette），but the Christian generally， ＇qui se Deo penitus devovit，＇Just．： see Philo，de Nom．Mut．§ 3，Vol．I． p． 582 ，where $d \nu \theta \rho$ ．$\theta_{\epsilon \theta \hat{v}}$ is used in a similar extended reference，and comp． notes on I Tim．vi．I I．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \hat{a} \boldsymbol{v}$ к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}$ ］＇fully furnished for， or（to preserve the paronomasia）made complete for，every good work：＇e乡apt． （ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{i}, \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, Hesych．）is a $\delta i s \lambda_{\epsilon}$－ $\gamma \delta \mu$ ．in the N．T．；see Acts xxi．5， where however it is used somewhat differently，in reference appy．to the completion of a period of time；see Meyer in loc．It occurs in its present sense，Joseph．Ant．iII．2．2，к $\alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ є $\ddagger \eta \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 u s$, comp．Lucian，Ver． Hist．1．33，тä入入a $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered} \rho \tau \iota \sigma \tau o$ ．The com－ pound катартij $\omega$ is of frequent occur－ rence．In accordance with the view taken of $\dot{o} \tau o \hat{u} \theta \epsilon o \hat{u} \dot{a} \nu \nu \theta \rho$ ．，the words $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \xi_{\rho \gamma} \dot{d} \gamma$ ．must obviously be refer－
 $\lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau o 0, ~ c h . ~ i v . ~}^{5}$（De Wette），but to any good works generally；so Huth．， Wiesing．，and Leo．

Chapter IV．i．$\Delta$ la ${ }^{2}$ aptupopal］＇$I$ solemnly charge thee；＇see notes on I Tim．v．21．The words oĩv $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ，in－ serted after $\delta \iota a \mu$ ．in Rcc．［with $\mathbf{D}^{3} \mathrm{~K}$ ； －－Syr．－Phil．，Theod．omit $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$ ，others oûv］，are rightly rejected by Griesb．， Lachm．，Tisch．，as＇injecta ob cohæ－ rentiam，＇Mill，Prolegom．p．exxix． The longer reading of Rec．，rô̂ Kup． ＇I．X．（with $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{EKL}$ ）for X．＇I．，is equally untenable．
то仑̂ $\mu$ endovtos к．т．${ }^{\text {．］}] ~ ' w h o ~ s h a l l ~ h e r e-~}$ after judge the quick and dead：＇clearly those alive at His coming，and the dead，Chrys． 2 （comp．I Cor．xv． 5 I， 52，I Thess．iv．16，17），not＇the spi－
ritually alive and dead，＇$\dot{\alpha} \mu x \rho \tau c i \lambda o u$ s $\lambda \epsilon$ үє кal $\delta \iota \kappa a i o u s$, Chrye．1，Peile． The mention of the solemn account which all must render is not without emphasis in its application to Timo－ thy；he had a weighty office intrusted to him，and of that His Lord cu̇vívas àтаıт $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \iota$（Chryw．）．
 charge thee）by His manifestation．＇The re．ding katà［Rec．with $\mathrm{D}^{3}$ EKLN ${ }^{+}$； Goth．，Syr．（both）；Theod．，al．］is here rightly rejected by Gries3．，Lachm．， Tisch．，with $\mathrm{ACD}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}^{1}$ ；17．67＊＊； Clarom．，Aug．，Am．，Harl．，al．，for the less easy kai．With this latter reading the nost natural construction seems to be the connexion of $\tau \grave{̣} \mathrm{y} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \phi$ ． with dıa $\mu a \rho t$ ．as the usual accus．in adjuration；comp．Mark v．7，Acts xix． ${ }^{13}$ ，I Thess．v．27．As the foregoing $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi t o \nu$ could not be joined with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$ ． к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．，the nouns naturally pass into the accusative；so Vulg．，Clarom．， ＇per adventum ejus，＇comp．i Cor． xv．31．De Wette regards $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$ ． as the accus．objecti，e．g．Deut．iv．
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ；this seems undesirable，as it in－ volves a change of meaning of the verb in the two clauses．
kal тク̀̀ $\beta$ aar．av่тov̂］＇and by His kingdom；＇no ęv dià dvoì，＇the reve－ lation of His kingdom＇（Syr．，Beng．）， nor an expression practically equiva－ lent to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$ ．aúv．（Calv．），but introductory of a second subject of thought，－－and by His kingdom＇（ob－ serve the rhetorical repetition of $a \dot{v}$－ rov̂），that kingdom（regnum glorice） which succeeding the＇modificated eternity＇of His mediatorial kingdom （regnum gratice）is to commence at
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His $\epsilon \pi ィ \phi d \boldsymbol{v}$., and to know neither end nor modification ; see Pearson, Creed, Art. vi. Vol. I. p. 335 (ed. Burt.).
2. кijpugov] 'proclaim,' 'preach.' ' Notandil est diligenter illatio, quâ apte Scripturam (ch. iii. 16) cum pradicatione connectit,' Calv. The solemn charge is not succeeded as in I Tim. v. 2 I by iva with the subj., nor by the inf. as in 2 Tim. ii. 14, but with unconnected yet emphatic aorists; compare the very similar instance in I Thess. v. 14. Examples of auch asyndeta are, as might be expected, not uncommon in a style so furcible and sententious as that of St Paul; see the list in Winer, Gr. § 60. I, p. 475. The aor. is here used rather than the present (I Thess. l.c.), as being more suitable to the vivid nature of the address ; comp. Winer, Gi. § 60. 2, p. 476 . The distinction in the N.T. between the imper, aor. and pres. can usually be satisfactorily explained, but it must not be forgotten that even in classical authors the change of tense seems often due to the 'lubitus aut affectus loquentis,' see Schömann, Isceus, p. 235.

 diligentià Syr. This, on the whole, seems the simplest translation of $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \cdot$ $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a$, while it scarcely amounts quite to 'instare,' Vulg., it is certainly stronger than $\epsilon \pi i \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon$, I Tim. iv. I6, and appears to mark an attitude of prompt attention that may at any moment pass into action; comp. Demosth. Phil. II. 70 (cited by De W.), モ̇ $\gamma \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \quad \rho \epsilon \nu, \dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$, Polyb. Hist. I.
 $\sigma \pi o u \delta \dot{\eta}$. It naturally points to the preceding $\kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \nu \xi o \nu$ (comp. Theod.),
which it slightly strengthens and expands; 'preach the word, and be alive to the importance of the duty, ever ready to perform it, in season and out of season;' so in effect Theoph.,
 except that the action, rather than the readiness to action, is made somewhat too prominent. De Wette and Huth. (after Bretschn. Lex.) retain the semilocal use 'accede ad ceetus Christianos,' a meaning lexically tenable (see exx. in Schweigh. Lex. Polyb. s.v. p. 21I), but involving an ellipsis which St Paul would hardly have made, when $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i s ~ \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. could so easily have been supplied: see Leo in loc.

єúкаil $\rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ dкка. $\rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ ] 'in season, out of season;' an oxymoron, made still more emphatic by the omission of the copula; comp. 'nolens volens, ultro citro,' \&ec., Winer, Gr. § 58. 7, p. 461. De Wette cites, as from Wetst., Nicetas Choniates (a
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, but the citation is due to Bengel. The Greek commentators principally refer the tivalpia and áкацрía to Timothy, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ кацро̀̀ є $\chi$ є
 Calv., Beng., and others to both Timothy and his hearers. The context seems to show that the latter (comp. ver. 3) are principally, if not entirely, in the Apostle's thoughts, and that the adverbs will be referred most naturally to then alone; comp. August. Serm. xlvi. 14 [vii.], 'Quibus opportune, quibus importune? Opportune utique volentibus, importune nolentibus.' Uגey5ov]'reprove,' 'convict them of their want of holiness and truth;' comp. ch. iii. 16, $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \delta \nu$ : the stronger term $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau i \mu \eta \sigma o \nu(-\sigma a \iota$, Jude 9), ‘rebuke as



blameworthy,' suitably follows. There is some parallelism between the verbs here and the nouns in ch. iii. 16, but it is not by any means exact ; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau i \mu \eta \sigma o \nu$ cannot tally with $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi a v \delta \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota s$, nor indeed таракá入. with $\pi a \iota \delta \in i a$ (Leo), if the usual force of the latter word be retained. The change of order in $\mathbf{F G N}$; al. ; Vulg., Clarom., Copt., Goth., al.,
 desire to preserve a kind of climax.
 and teaching,' 'in every exhibition of long suffering and every method of teaching;' clause appended not merely to таракál. (Huth.), but, as in Lachm., Tisch. (so also Chrys.), to the three preceding verbs, to each one of which, especially the first (Chrys., Calv.), it prescribes suitable restrictions. The extensive rather than the intensive (Chrys.?) force of $\pi$ âs may be clearly seen in this combination; it gives to both abstract nouns, esp. to the former, a concrete application, see notes on Eph.i.8. There is thus no reason for supposing an $\xi \nu \nu \delta a ̀$ dooî (Grot.), or for tampering with the normal meaning of $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta$, scil. 'teaching,'-not 'studium docendi,' Heinr., Flatt, 'readiuess to teach,' Peile. It may be remarked that $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta$ is only used twice in the Past. Epp., here and Tit. i. 9, while $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a$ occurs no less than fifteen times. As a very general rule, $\delta i \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}$ (teaching) seems to point more to the act, кıסaбкa入!a (doctrine) more to the substance or result of teaching; comp. e.g. Thucyd. iv. 126, where $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta े$ is joined with a verbal in $-\sigma \iota s$, $\pi a \rho a \kappa$ è $\lambda \in v \sigma$ ts. This distinction however cannot be pressed in the N.T., for comp. I Cor. xiv. 26, and observe
that all the other writers in the N.T. (except James, Peter, Jude, who use neither) use only $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \eta^{\prime}$; Matth. xv. 9 and Mark vii. 7 are quotations. It is just possible that the more frequent use of $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a$ in these Epp. may point to their later date of composition, when Christian doctrine was assuming a more distinct fcrm; but we must be wary in such assertions, as in St Paul's other Epp. (we do not include Heb.) $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}$ and $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa$. occur exactly an equal number of times.
3. Yotal yàp Kalpós] 'For there shall be a time:' argument drawa from the future to urge diligence in the present; $\pi \rho i \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$, $\pi \rho о к а т a ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a s ~ a u ̉ r o u ́ s, ~ C h r y s . ~$ It is singular that Beng. should fore $Z_{\sigma \tau a}$ to mean 'erit et jam est,' as the allusion to the future is distinctly similar to that in ch: ii. 16, $\mathrm{I}_{7}$, iii. I , I Tim. iv. I. On ífalyoufa $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa$., see notes on 1 Tim. i. ı.
ovic $\alpha v \epsilon \xi \circ v \tau a 1]$ ' they will not endure, put up with;' 'sordet iis doctrina vera quia eorum cupiditatibus adversatur,'
 Paul's Epp. and 5 times with persons expressed: comp. however 2 Thess.
 the following words observe the force of litas; their selfish lusts (surely not 'inclinations,' Conyb.) are what they especially follow in the choice of teachers. $\quad \boldsymbol{\pi} เ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \rho \in \dot{v}$ бovotv] 'they will heap up,' 'will gather round them a rabble, a $\sigma v \rho \phi \epsilon-$ тós, of teachers;' тò ádıáкрıтор $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \omega \nu$ ठıà $\tau 0 \hat{u} \quad \sigma \omega \rho \epsilon \dot{́} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota$ $\epsilon \partial \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$, Chrys. The compound form ( $\epsilon \pi l=$ 'hinzu;' addition, aggregation,




Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. ${ }^{2 \pi i}$, c. 4) only occurs here and Cant. ii. 4 (Symm.); the simple in ch. iii. 6 , Rom. xii. 20 , and in the LXX.
 ing ears,' Auth., 'prurientes auribus,' Vulg., sim. Clarom.,-both excellent translations; 'metaphora desumpta a scabiosis quibus cutis prurit adeo ut scalpendi libidine ardeant,' Suicer, Thesaur. в. v.: this itch for uovelty the false teachers gratified; comp. Philo, Quod Det. Pot. \& $2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{Val}$. I . p. 205 (ed. Mang.), аітокиаіоvaı бои̂v [oi $\sigma о \phi \iota \sigma \tau a i] ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$. K $\nu \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$ (connected with $\kappa \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, Lobeck, Phryn. p. 254) in the active is 'to scratch,' in the middle 'to scratch oneself' (Arist. Hist. An. Ix. I), in the pass. ' to be scratched or tickled,' and thence (as appy. here) 'prurire' in a tropical
 Hesych., $\tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma_{0} \nu \tau a s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \circ \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \eta$ roồres, Chrys. In the present passage Theod. and Theoph. (not Chrys., as De W. asserts), and so too, it would seem, Goth., al.,-unless they read $\kappa \nu \eta \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau a s,-$ take $\kappa \nu \eta \theta \delta \mu$. as purely passive, paraphrasing it by $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \mathbf{o ́}_{\mu \epsilon-}$ pot: this does not seem so forcible; the Apostle does not appear to desire merely to notice the fact that they were having their ears tickled, but to mark the uneasy feeling that always was seeking to be gratified. A word of similar meaning, rap $\alpha \lambda i \xi \omega$, is found occasionally in similar applications; comp. Lucian, de Calumn. 2 I, cited by Wetst. in loc. On the accus. גкой $\nu$, see notes on r Tim. vi. 5 .
4. кal äd к.т.入.] 'and they will turn auay their ears from the truth.' The result is a complete turning away from every doctrine of Christian truth;

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' | коутєs, Theoph. On the $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta c \iota$ |
| :---: | compare notes on I Tim. i. 4 ; it must be observed however that as the reference is future their nature cannot be apecifically defined; still, as throughout these Epp. tbe errors of the future seem rejresented only as exaggerations and expansions of the present, the allusion is probably substantially the same. The use of the article (as in Tit. i. 14) is thus also nore intelligible.

еєктраті!Govtal] 'will turn themselves aside;' pass. with appy. a middle force, as in I Tim. i. 6, v. 55 ; see Winer, $G r$. § 39. 2, p. 233, Krüger, Sprachl. \& 52. 6, p. $3^{61} \mathrm{sq}$., and the exx. in notes on ı Tim. i. 6.
5. oi $8 €$ ' 'But do thou;' in marked contrast to the false teachers; comp. ch. iii. 10 .
$\nu \eta ิ \phi \epsilon$ iv $\pi \hat{a} \sigma เ \nu]$ 'be sober in all things,' 'sobrius esto,' Clarom., Goth., not 'be watchful,' Syr., Vulg. N $\dot{\eta} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is connected with $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma 0 \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ in 1 Thess. v. 6, i Pet. v. 8, but is by no means synonymous with it (Huth.) ; both here and in all other passages in the N. T. it implies 'sobriety' literal or metaphorical; comp. notes on I Tim. iii. 2. Theod. here, and the Greek expositors on other passages, all seem to refer it to 'wakefulness,' appy. of an intensive nature, èmiтaбts è $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \delta \rho \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ тò $\nu \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, Ecum. on I Thess. l.c., $\nu \dot{\eta} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ кal $\delta \iota \epsilon \gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \rho \theta a \iota$, ib. in loc., and there are a few passages in later writers (e.g. Polyb. Hist. xvi. 21. 4, $\epsilon \pi$ tová$\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ кal $\nu \eta \prime \psi \epsilon \omega s)$ which seem to favour such a meaning; still, in the present case, and in the N.T. generally, there seem to be no sufficient grounds for departing from the regular use and


applications of the word．The deri－ vation is doubtful，but it does not seem improbable that the idea of $d r i n k$－ ing is involved in the root．Benfey （Wurzellex．Vol．II．p．74）derives it from $\nu \eta$ and $\epsilon \phi$ ，compared with Sanscr． $a p$ ，＇water；＇comp．eb－rius．
 imp．following the pres．imp．，possibly with some degree of emphasis；see notes on ver．2，and on I Tim．vi． 12. є $\mathfrak{c} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda เ \sigma$ rov̂］＇of an evangelist：＇the $\epsilon \dot{a} a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a l$ did not form a special and separate class，but were generally preachers of the Gospel in different countries，subordinates and mission－ aries of the Apostles；comp．Eusel． Hist．III．37，ג̇ $\pi 0 \delta \eta \mu l a s ~ \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$
 Suicer，Thesaur．s．v．Vol．I．p．1234， and notes on Eph．iv．Ir．This was the work to which Timothy was called when he journeyed with St Paul（Acts xvi．3）；the same duties，as far as con－ cerned preaching the Gospel to all within the province of his ministration， still were to be performed．The sphere was only more circumscribed，but there would be many occasions on journeys，dec．，ver．9，when Timothy could resume the functions of an $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}-$ a $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ ．in their fullest sense；comp． Taylor，Episcopacy，§ 14，Hofmann， Schriftb．Vol．II．2，p．250．The term epyov has probably an allusion to the laborious nature of the duties；see notes on ch．ii．15，and comp．exx．in Raphel，Obs．Vol．Ir．p． $622 . \quad \tau \dot{\eta} v$ Sıakoviav oov $\pi \lambda \eta \rho$ ．］＇fully perform thy ministry；＇＇ministerium tuum im． ple，＇Vulg．，Clarom．；$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi$. тоит $\epsilon \sigma \pi \iota$ $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma o v$, Chrys．Beza translates $\pi \lambda \eta$－ $\rho 0 \phi$ ．somewhat artificially＇ministerii tui plenam fidem facito，＇i．e．＇veris argumentis comproba；＇this is unne－
cessary，it is here nearly synonymous with，though perhaps a little stronger than $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \sigma, \gg$［absolve， adimple］Syr．，＇usfullei，＇Goth．；comp． т $̀ \nu \quad \delta<a \kappa o \nu i a \nu ~ \pi \lambda \eta p o i ̂ v, ~ A c t s ~ x i i . ~ 25, ~$ Col．iv．17，see Suicer，Thesaur．s．v． Vol．Ir．p．753．It appy．differs only from the simple form in being a little more intensive in meaning．

6．＇Ey⿳亠 үáp］＇For $I$ ，＇E＇$\gamma \dot{\omega}$＇，with emphasis in reference to the preceding $\sigma v$. ．The force of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is differently explained；it does not enforce the ex－ hortation by showing Timothy that he must soon rely on himself alone（＇jam tempus est ut．．．natare incipias sine cor－ tice，＇Calv．），nor urge him to imitation， comp．ver． 7 （Heinr．），but，as the con－ cluding words of ver． 5 seem to sug－ gest，urges him to additional zeal on account of the Apostle＇s departure； ＇tuum est pergere quo cœepi，＇Leo．On the different modes of explaining the connexion，see Alf．on ver． 5 sq ．
グסף $\sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta o \mu a \iota]$＇am already being poured out（as a drink－offering）；＇his present sufferings form the commence－ ment of the＇libatio；＇not＇am now ready to be offered，＇Auth．，which slightly infringes on the exact force of $\eta ँ \delta \eta$ and $\sigma \pi \epsilon \nu \delta$ ．The particle $\eta ँ \delta \eta$ is not simply equivalent to $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ，but in its primary use appears rather to de－ note what is＇near to the here＇（comp．
 ros），and thence by an intelligible transition＇what is near to the now，＇ calling attention to what is taking place＇on the spot＇and＇at the mo－ ment，＇e．g．Aristoph．Ran．527，ov่ $\tau \alpha \chi^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta \pi o t \omega$ ；see eap．Rost u． Palm，Lex．s．v．6，where this particle is well discussed．Klotz（Devar．Vol． II．p．598）is thus far right in not re－

## 

 appy. rightly. The reading of ed. $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda$., with DEKL ; most mss.; Chr., Theod. (Tisch.), is fairly supported, but by critical authority inferior to that in favour of the text.
7. ка入ò $\nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a]$ So Lachm. with ACFGN; 2 mss.; Ath., Chrys. The reading of ed. $1,2, \dot{a} \gamma . \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o ́ v, ~ w i t h ~ D E K L ; ~ m o s t ~ m s s . ~ ; ~ O r i g ., ~ E u s e b . ~$ (Tisch.), is apparently now to be withdrawn in favour of the text, the chief authorities being divided exactly as in the previous verse.
ferring $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$ originally to time, but his derivation from $\eta \delta \eta \eta$, 'novi,' is as hopeless as that of Hartung (Partik. Vol. 1. p. 223), who refers the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ to the Sanser. dina, 'a day,' and makes the particle originally temporal; comp. Donalds. Cratyl. § 201. $\Sigma \pi \in \ell \delta o \mu a \iota$, 'delibor,' Vulg. (not middle 'sanguinem meum libo,' Wahl, and certainly not ' aspergor vino,' sc. 'præparor ad mortem,' Grot.), is not synon. with
 crificor] Syr., but points to the drinkoffering of wine which among the Jews accompanied the sacrifice (Numb. xv. 5, xxviii. 7 ), and was poured $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \partial \nu$ $\beta \omega \mu$ b (Joseph. Antiq. III. 9. 4, comp. Ecclus. 1. 15), while among the heathen it was comnonly poured upon the burning victims (Smith, Dict. Antiq. Art. 'Sacrificium'). See the very similar passage Phil. ii. 17 , in which however there is no reason to refer the allusion to this latter Gentile practice, as Jahn, Antiq. $\S 378$, and appy. Suicer, Thesaur. s.च. ; вee Meyer in loc. Chrys. urges the use of $\sigma \pi \epsilon \nu \delta$. not

 $\tau \delta$ 方 $\lambda$ o : the allusion seems rather to the Apostle's anticipated bloody death; see Waterl. Distinct. of Sacr. § Io,
 'departure;' not 'resolutionis,' Vulg.,
 Goth. 'disvissáis,' but 'discessus e
 ă $\lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\kappa} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu o \nu$, Coray (Romaic); comp.
 $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a$, . There is no reason whatever for adopting the explanation of Elsner (Obs. Vol. II. p. 317) who refers $\dot{\text { diva }}$. to 'discessus e convivio,' comp. Luke xii. 36 , and $\sigma \pi \epsilon \nu \delta o \mu$. to the libations of the parting guests: the term is perfectly general, comp. Philo, Flac. § 21 , Vol. II. p. 544 (ed. Mang.), $\tau \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\text { \& }} \kappa \tau$
 Joseph. Antiq. xix. 4. I, Clem. Rom. 1. 44; see also Deyling, Obs. Vol. ir. No. 46, p. 540, who has commented upon the whole of this and the following verses with his usual ponderous learning. His interpr. of $\sigma \pi \epsilon \nu \delta$., scil. $\theta v \sigma a d j o \mu a t$, is however incorrect.
 not 'hath been nigh at hand,' Hamm., nor 'ist vorhanden,' Luther, comp. Gotb. 'atist' [adest], but 'stands by' (Acts xxii. 20), 'is all but here,' 'stelit nähe bevor,' Huther; comp. Acts xxviii. 2, and notes on ver. 2.
 strife,' scil. $\pi$ lo $\tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$; see I Tim. vi. 12 . The metaphor itself is thus nobly expanded by Chrys. ; oưdèv qoứтov $\beta$ è $\lambda$ -



 $\lambda \omega \nu$ бú $\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \tau a, ~ \tau d \quad \theta \epsilon a \tau \rho o \nu$. How amply does this great expositor repay perusal. If the reading of Rec., $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \bar{\nu} a$ rò $\nu$ $\kappa a \lambda o ́ v$ (comp. critical note), be retained,


then the repetition of the article with the epithet must be regarded as giving special force and emphasis; oṽtos $\dot{o}$

 p. 165.
 force of the perfect is here very distinctly apparent; the struggle itself was now all but over, little more than the effects were remaining; 'notat actionem plane presteritam, que aut nunc ipsum seu modo finita est, aut per effectus suos durat,' Poppo, de emend. Matth. Gr. p. 6: his character and claim to the crown were now fully established, see Green, Gramm. p. 23 .
 metaphor taken from the games here passes into the more specific one of
 $\mu 0 \nu ; \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oikov $\mu \in \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \nu d^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \sigma a \nu \pi \epsilon \rho(\eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \nu$, Chrys.; 'finivi cursum non tam vitæ quam muneris,' Leo. See esp. Acts xx. $2_{24}$, where the Apostle expresses his resolution to do what now be is able to speak of as done, sc. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \tau \grave{o} \nu$


 faith;' the faith entrusted to me I have kept as a sacred and inviolable deposit; comp. 2 Tim. i. I4. Hilorts is not ' fidelity' (Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 375, Raphel, Annot. Vol. II. p. 623 ), but 'faith,' in its usual and proper sense; 'res bis per metaphoram expressa nunc tertio loco exprimitur proprie,' Beng. In this noble passage, so calculated to cheer the sorrowing heart of Timothy (Chrys.), yea, so full of unutterable consolation to every thoughtful Christian, Cbrysostom confesses to have long felt a difficulty ( $\left.\alpha \pi o \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \delta_{l e \tau \epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda o u v\right)$; and even still De

Wette finds in it only a contrast to the Apostle's usual humility (I Cor. iv. 3 sq .), and but a doubtful adaptation of Phil. iii. i2 sq. It is true that in both passages the same metaphor is used; but the circumstances and application are wholly different; in the one case it is the trembling anxiety of the watchful, labouring, minister, in the other, it is the blessed assurance vouchsafed to the toilworn, dying, servant of the Lord; see esp. Waterl. Serm. xxv. Vol. v. p. 679, Hammond, Pract. Catech. 1. 3, p. 4 I (A.-C. Libr.), also Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. $34^{6}$ (Bohn).
8. Xolmòv is not for $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ 入ocrồ or tò $\lambda o c \pi \delta^{\prime} \nu$, as any reference, whether to a period in the future, or to duration in the future (see notes on Gal. vi. 17), would not accord with the present passage; nor can it be for $\eta \ddot{\partial \eta}$, which, if admissible in later writers (Schæfer, Longin. p. 400, cited by De W.), is not demonstrable in St Paul's Epp. The context seems to show that it is in its most literal meaning, 'quod reliquum est' (Beza), sufficiently preserved in translation by the Syr. ไٌơl Auth. This adverbial adjective is very frequently used in Polybius; often, as here, at the beginning of sentences, e.g. Hist. u1. 68. 9, 1v. 32. 5, x. 45. 2 , but usually in the sense 'proinde igitur,' and answering to our 'further,' 'furthermore:' a more distinctly temporal use occurs $\boldsymbol{H}$ ist. 1 . 12. 4, where it is carried on by $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon$ -
 ' is reserved,' 'reposita est,' Vulg., Clarom. The verb dлокєí $\sigma a l$ is applied both to foture rewards, as here and Col. i. 5, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о к \epsilon \epsilon$.



 20, xix. 21), and to future punishments (Plato, Locr. p. 104 D), and in fact to anything which is set aside, as it were, as a treasure, for future uses and applications; comp. Philo, Quod Det. Pot. § 34, Vol. 1. p. 216 (ed. Mang.),
 крилтаи, comp. Kypke, Obs. Vol. it. p. 320.
 of righteousness;' resumption of the former metaphor. The genitival relation is not perfectly clear, owing to the different meanings which $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \sigma v^{\prime} \eta$ may receive. As this subst. appears in all cases in these Epistles to have not a dogmatical, but a practical reference (see notes on I Tim. vi. II), sc.
 will most naturally be objecti, 'the crown for which (so to speak) $\delta$ oxatoov́vך has a claim,' $\beta$ paßeiov $\delta i \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ cis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ סıxatocúvp, Coray (Romaic), and is in fact a sort of (proleptic) gen. possessivus; comp. Krüger, Sprachl. §47.7.6sq. Huther and Leo, with less probability, make it the gen. of apposition, comparing James i. I2, I Pet. v. 4, Rev. ii. 10, where however $\zeta \omega \Pi$ and $\delta o ́ \xi a$ are not strictly analogous to the present use of $\delta$ ixalooivn.
àmo8ẃ $\epsilon \mathrm{\epsilon}]$ ' will give,' 'reddet,' Vulg. In this compound the $\alpha \pi \dot{o}$ does not necessarily convey any sense of due
 though such a meaning can be grammatically sustained, and confirmed by occasional exx. ; comp. Winer, de Verb. Comp. Iv. p. s3. Here, and for the most part elsewhere, the preposition only seems to allude to the reward as having been laid up, and being taken, so to say, out of some reserved trea-
sures; 'ibi hujus verbi sedes propria est, ubi quid de aliquâ copiâ das,' Winer, p. 12; comp. in a contrary sense, Rom. ii. 6 , and see notes on Gal. iv. 5.
 of final retribution. The expression ${ }^{z} \kappa \in \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$ is used three times in this Epistle (ch. i. 12, 18), and once in 2 Thess. (i. 10), the context there referring more especially to the coming of the Lord; see Reuss, Theol. Chret. Iv. 21, Vol. 11. p. 243. The following words, $\partial \delta \ll \kappa a c o s ~ \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$, stand in apposition to $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}}$ Ḱóos with great weight and emphasis: how this declaration of God's justice is out of harmony with St Paul's views of grace (De W.) it is difficult to conceive. The Apostle, as Huther well observes, uses the $\delta$ ккala кpiбcs $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$ not only as a ground of warning, but even of consolation; see 2 Thess i. 5 .
 loved (and do love) His appearing,' scil. His second '̇тıфd́veta: not His first coming in the flesh (ch.i. io), nor the first and second (Beng.), but, as the context requires, only the latter. The perf. is not here 'in the sense of a present,' Huther; it is only thus far present that it points to the persistence of the feeling; it was a love $\epsilon \nu$ d $\phi \theta a \rho \sigma i l e$ (Eph. vi. 24, and see notes), that beginning in the past was alike present and enduring; comp. Green, Gramm. p. 319. There is thus no need to give to $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ the sense of 'longing for' (Beza, Wiesing.) ; it is simply 'diligere,' and implies a combined feeling of reverence and love, ' inest notio admirandi et colendi,' Tittm. Synon. I. p. 55 ; see also Trench, Synon. \& 12. In a practical point of view, the remark of Calv. is gravely

Come to me; all except
Luke are absent on missions. Beware of Alexander. At my defence $m y$ friends deserted me, but the Lord stood by me.



suggestive; ' $\theta$ fidelium numero excludit quibus formidabilis est Christi adventus:' thus then we may truly say with Leo, 'habemus hic lapidem Lydium, quo examinemus corda nostra.'
 vour,', 'Do thy best,' $\|_{\sim} \triangle \Delta_{n}$ [curre sit] Syr.; comp. ver. 2 I, Tit. iii. 12. There is scarcely a pleonasm in the expression $\sigma \pi \sigma^{\prime} \delta \alpha \sigma_{0} \nu \ldots \tau a \chi \epsilon \omega$ (Winer,
 volves more the idea of earnest and diligent endeavour than that of mere haste ( $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon(\nu)$, though the latter meaning is also sometimes found, e.g.
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \chi \chi$, al.: thus then, as a general rule, ' $\sigma \pi$ eúdel y est festinare (de tempore), $\sigma \pi$ ou $\dot{\alpha} \bar{\xi} \epsilon \iota \nu$ properare, i.e. festinanter et sedulo aliquid facere,' Tittm. Synon. I. p. 1go. According to Pott, Etym. Forsch. Vol. I. p. 239, the fundamental idea of both verbs is 'premere,' 'pressare.' On the strengthened vowel (guna), see Donalds. Cratyl. § 223. $\quad$ tax $\left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{s}\right]$ More fully explained in ver. $2 \mathrm{I}, \pi \rho \delta \chi \epsilon \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ os. It is singular that so intelligent a commentator as De W. should represent this invitation as the main object of the letter (Einleit. $\S 3$ ); surely the solemn and prophetic warnings of the previous chapters cannot be merely 'obiter dicta.'
10. $\Delta \eta \mu \hat{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}]$ Mentioned with St Luke (Col. iv. 14) as sending salutations to the Colossians, and with the same evangelist and others as a $\sigma u \nu$ eppbs (Philem. 24). Mournful and unmanly as the conduct of Demas is here described to be, there seems no just reason for ascribing to him utter
apostasy (Epiph. Haer. 4 I. 6); be left the Apostle in his trials and sufferings ( $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu)$ because he loved safety and ease and the fleeting pleasures of this world ( $\tau \partial \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ai $\hat{\omega} v a$ ), and had not the Christian fortitude to share the dangers, or the Christian love to minister to the sufferings, of the nearly desolate Apostle; $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ dé $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{c} \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{l}$,
 $\lambda_{o \nu} \epsilon\left(\lambda \epsilon \tau о\right.$ ôkoc $\tau \rho \cup \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{v}$ $\tau a \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ каl $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \phi e ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \circ \iota$
 Mosheim, de Reb. Christ. § 60, p. 174, and comp. Taylor, Duct. Dub. I. 2. 5. 19, who however makes the singular mistake of asserting (from Col. and Philem.) that Demas returned to his duty. The name is probably a shortened form of Demetrius ; comp. Winer, $R W B$. s. v. Vol. 1. p. ${ }^{264}$.
 Vulg. (codd.), Clarom. The compound form seems here to imply leaving behind in his troubles and dangers; comp. ver. 16, 2 Cor. iv. 9, and esp.

 meaning however must not always be pressed, as there are several instances, esp. in later Greek, in which E' $\gamma \kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda$. seems scarcely different from катал.; see Ellendt on Arrian, Alex. 1. 20. 6, p. 100. The reading $\begin{gathered} \\ \gamma \\ \kappa\end{gathered} \sigma \tau \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ is adopted by Tisch. (ed. 7) with ACD ${ }^{2}$ $\mathrm{D}^{3}$ EFGL,-strong uncial authority. The itacism ( $\epsilon c$ for 4, \&cc.) however that is found even in the very best MSS. renders it doubtful whether the same tense is not interded, whichever reading be adopted: see ver. 13,16 , 20, Tit. i. 5; and Tisch. Prolegom. p. xxxvii. (ed. 7).
a'Yantions] 'having loved,' sc. 'be-

##  

II. à ${ }^{\circ}$ ] So CDEFGKLs ; most mss.; Chrys., al. (Griesb., Scholz, Lachm. ed. maj., Wordsw., Huther, and appy. Wiesing.). The aor. draye is adopted by Tisch. (ed. I, 2, 7) on the authority of A; some mss.; Theod., Dam. (Lachm. ed. stereot., Alf.). It would seem however that this is insufficient authority for the change, and that Lachm. was right in the alteration adopted in his larger edition.
cause he loved:' apparently rather a causal (comp. Donalds. Gr. § 616) than a temporal use of the participle; his love of the world was the cause of his learing. There is apparently a contrast between this clause and $\dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha$ $\pi \eta \kappa \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ' $\bar{\pi} \iota \phi$., ver. 8; 'luctuosum antitheton,' Beng. on ver. 8.
Tòv रûv aîūva] 'the present world,' 'the present (evil) course of things.' On the meaning of alciv, see notes on Eph. ii. 2. Beside the regular temporal meaning [Syr. मْดْ which is always more or less apparent in the word, an ethical meaning (as here) may often be traced; see Reuss, Theol. Chret. Iv. 20, Vol. II. p. 228.
©́coradov(kฑv] Perhaps his home; є $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \tau$ тo olko $\tau \rho v$ фầ, Chrys. For an account of this wealthy city, see notes on I Thess. i. I.
 Of Crescens nothing is known; the accounts of his having been a preacher in Galatia (Const. Apost. vii. 46, Vol. I. p. 385 , ed. Cot.) or in Gaul (Epiph.), and having founded the church of Vienne, are mere legendary glosses on this passage. The reading 「adilay [Cs; 5 mss.; Amit. ${ }^{1}$, Æth.-Roin. ; Euseb., Theod.-Mops., Epiphan., Hier.] is probably due to these current traditions. $\quad \Delta a \lambda \mu a \tau l a v]$ A part of Illyria on the eastern coast of the Adriatic, lying south-east of Liburnia, and mainly bounded by the Bebii Montes on the north and the river Drinus to the east : the principal cities
were Salona on the coast, and Na rona a little inland; comp. Plin. Hist. Nat. ini. 26, Cellarius, Notit. Lib. II. 8, Vol. I. p. 614, and Forbiger, alt. Geogr. $\mathrm{S}_{12 \mathrm{I}}$, Vol. III. p. 838 .
II. \oukâs] Comp. Col. iv. 14, Philem. 24 ; the evangelist accompanied St Paul on his second missionary journey (Acts xvi. Io), again, in his third journey, goes with him to Asia (ch. xx. 6) and Jerusalem (ch. xxi. (5), and is with him during his captivity at Cæsarea (ch. xxiv. 23 compared with ch. xxvii. 1) and his first captivity at Rome (ch. xxviii. 16 ). Of the later history of St Luke nothing certain is known; according to Epiphanius (Har. LI. 1I), he is said to have preached principally in Gaul; see Winer, $R W B$. s. v. Vol. II. p. 35, and comp. the modern continuation of the Acta Sanct. (Octr. 18), Vol. viir. p. 295 sq. The name is probably a contraction of $\Lambda$ oukavos, and is said to indicate that he was either a slave or a 'libertus;' see Lobeck's article on substantives in -âs, in Wolf, A nalceta Lit. Vol. in. p. 47 sq.
Mápoov] The Evangelist St Mark was converted appy. by St Peter (I Pet. v. 13) ; he however accompanied St Paul and his due $\psi$ ios St Barnabas (Col. iv. ro) on their first missionary journey (Acts xii. 25), but departed from them (ch. xv. 38) and was the cause of the dissension between the Apostle and St Barnabas (ver. 39). He was again with St Paul (Col. iv. io), and


lastly is here invited to return to him, having been a short time previously (if we adopt A.D. $65-67$ as the probable date of 1 Pet.) with St Peter (I Pet. v. I3). Of his after history nothing certain is known; the most current tradition assigns his latest labours to Egypt and Alexandria, Epiph. Har. Li.; comp. Acta Sanct. (April 25)
 'having taken (to thee);' in the present use of this compound the primary local force of $\alpha \nu \dot{a}$ (more clearly seen Eph. vi. 13, 16) is somewhat obscured (comp. duadıobval), though still not to be wholly passed over ; Timothy was to take to himself as a companion the evangelist; see Winer, de Verb. Comp. Fasc. III. p. I, who very clearly defines the two uses of this prep. in composition, (a) the usual physical sense; (b) the derivative sense, involving the ideas of return or repetition.
©űXpクotos] 'serviceable,' ch. ii. 21; possibly, as Grot. suggests, on account of his knowledge of Latin; though more probably in reference to assistance in preaching the Gospel ; $\epsilon$ ls $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 púrtay, Chrys. The translation of Auth. 'for the ministry' (objected to by Conyb.) may thus be defended; the omission of the art. (after the prep.) of course causing no difficulty; see Winer, Gr. § 19. 2, p. II4. On the whole however it is perhaps more exact to retain a neutral translation 'for ministering,' which, while it does not exclude other services, may still leave
 fairly prominent.
12. Tv́xıкov 86] 'But Tychicus;' the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ appears to refer to a suppressed thought; not however to one sug-
gested by the first member of ver. in (Wieseler, Chronol. p. 428), but, as the more immediate context seems to require, by the concluding portion, $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \rho \eta \eta \sigma \tau$ оs к. $\tau . \lambda$. ; 'bring Mark, I need one who is $\epsilon^{v} \chi \rho \rho$. ; I had one in Tychicus (Eph. vi. 21), but he is gone.' On the accent, see Winer, Gr. § 6, p. 49.

The chronology is here not without difficulty. Tychicus, who was with the Apostle on his third missionary journey, and went before him to Troas (Acts xx. 5), is mentioned (Eph. vi. 21, Col. iv. 7) as sent by St Paul into Asia to comfort the hearts of his converts. Now as the Epp. to the Eph. and Coloss. cannot with any show of reason be assumed as contemporaneous with the present Ep., we must assume that this was a second mission to Ephesus, the object of which however is unknown. The first mission took place during the Apostle's first captivity at Rome; this, it would seem, takes place at a second and final captivity. We thus take for granted that the Apostle was twice in prison at Rome. Without entering into a discussion which would overstep the limits of this commentary, it may be enough to remark that though denied by Wieseler (Chronol. p. 472 sq.), and but doubtfully noticed by Winer, $R W B$. Vol. il. p. 220 (ed. 3), the ancient opivion of a second imprisonment (Euseb. Hist. 11. 22) is in such perfect harmony with the notices in these Epp., and has, to say the least, such very plausible external arguments in its fr. vour, that it seems still to be by far the most satisfactory of all the hypotheses that have as yet been advanced; see esp. Neander, Planting, ch. x. Vol. I. p. 33 r sq. (Bohn), Wiesinger, Einleit. § 3, p. 576.
cis "Eфtrov]



These words have been urged byTheod. and De W. as affording a hint that Timothy was not then at Ephesus; comp. Tit. iii. 12, $\pi \rho \partial s=\sigma \epsilon$. This is perhaps doubtful; comp. Wieseler, Chronol. p. 462. This latter writer taking $\dot{d} \pi \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha$ as an epistolary aor. conceives that Tych. was the bearer of this letter (see Chronol. p. 428); this again is very doubtful, and is in many respects a very unsatisfactory hypothesis. Does however the language wholly forbid the conjecture that Tychicus was the bearer of the first epistle? It has been frequently remarked in these notes that the Grst Ep. seems to have been written at no great distance of time from the second.
 'penulam,' Vulg., 'hakul,' Goth.,-a long, thick, and appy. sleeveless cloke, with only an opening for the head, Smith, Dict. Antiq. s. v. ; $\phi \in \lambda \delta \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ -

 $\beta \lambda / \alpha$ ढ́ккєтто, Chrys. There seems no reason to depart from the former and usual sense; the second interpr. noticed by Chrys., 'case for writings'
 nol. p. $4^{23}$ ), was probably only an interpr. suggested by the connexion, and by the thought that the Apostle would not have been likely to mention an article so comparatively unimportant as a cloke, esp. when near his death. One reason at any rate seems suggested by $\pi \rho \partial \quad \chi \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu o s$, ver. 21 . The word is found in several other passages, e.g. Poll. Onomast. vir. 65, Athen. Deipn. III. p. 97, Arrian, Epict. iv. 8 ; see also Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. Vol. II. p. J422, who however, with but little probability, seems to advo-
cate two forms, фauvó入 $\eta$ s and фe $\lambda_{o ́ \nu \eta s}$ (comp. Hesych.) deriving appy. the former from paipe and the second from $\phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ós, 'pellis.' There is indeed an almost hopeless confusion among the Greek lexicographers on this word or words, some making фal$\lambda \omega ́ \nu \eta s$ (Suid.), aliter $\phi \in \lambda$ óv ${ }^{\prime} s$ (Etym.M.),
 (Suid.), or yet again $\phi \in \nu o ́ \lambda \eta s$ (Suid.), to be the cloke. On the whole, it seems probable that the true form is $\phi a c \nu 0$ ' $\eta s$, and that it is derived from the Latin 'pænula' (Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v.), not vice versâ, as in Voss, Etymol. s.v. Here Tisch. rightly adopts the orthography best supported by MS. authority. For further information, see the dissertation 'de Pallio Pauli' in Crit. Sacr. Thes. Vol. II. p. 707, the special treatise on the 'pænula' by Bartholinus in Grævius, Antiq. Rom. Vol. vi. p. 1867 sq ., and the numerous archæological notices and reff. in Wolf, Cur. Phil. in loc.
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda_{1} \iota_{0} \boldsymbol{v}\right]$ On this reading comp. notes on ver. 10 ; the authority for
 most miss.,-evidence appy. scarcely sufficient to justify the adoption of the somewhat improbable imperfect.
kal $\tau \grave{\alpha} \beta \iota \beta \lambda(\alpha] T l \delta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \iota \beta \lambda l \omega \nu$
 каi $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ ë $\delta \epsilon \iota, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ r o i ̂ s ~ \pi \iota-~$
 $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a s{ }^{\sharp} \chi \epsilon c \nu$ aúrá, Chrys.: more probably perhaps, books generally, Bull, Serm. xv. p. 180 (Oxf. 1844). It is however useless to guess at either the contents of the $\beta i \beta \lambda i a$, or the reasons for the request.
 parchments;' the former were probably written on papyrus, the latter on parchment, 'membrana' (membrum,


 ported by ACD ${ }^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}$; 15 mss ; Aug., Boern., Vulg.; Chrys. (Griesb., Scholz, Lachm., Alf., Wordsw.), and perhaps is now to be preferred. In Ed. .I, 2 the later and incorrect form daod $\dot{\prime} \eta$ (comp. Lobeck, Phryn. p. 345, Sturz, vle Dial. Maced. p. 52) was adopted with $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{E}^{2}(\mathrm{~K}-\delta \omega \epsilon \mathrm{l}) \mathrm{L}$; most mss.; Clarom. (Rec., Tisch.), and with the support of internal considerations of no little weight: see notes. These however now appear to be fairly outweighed by the amount of external evidence ( $N$ ) being added to the authorities for the future), and the reading is changed accordingly.
membrana cutis); comp. Hug, Einl. Vol. I. §ri. It is not wholly improbable, as the $\mu \mathrm{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ seems to indicate, that the parchments were writings, whether 'adversaria' or otherwise, of the Apostle himself; comp. Bull, Serm. xv. p. 183 sq .,-a sermon well worthy of perusal. Of Carpus nothing is known, nor of the journey to Troas; it certainly could not have been that mentioned Acts xx. 6, a visit which took place more than six years before.
 Tim. i. 20: whether this evil man was then at Ephesus or not cannot be determined; the former supposition is perbaps most probable; see Wieseler, Chronol. p. 463 . $\quad \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ к.т.入.] 'shewed me much ill treatment;' 'multa mihi mala ostendit,' Clarom., Vulg. [mala mihi]; $\theta \theta \lambda \iota \psi \epsilon$ $\mu \in \delta l a \phi b \rho \omega s$, Chrys. The trans. 'hath (?) shown much ill feeling' (Peile) is unnecessarily restricted, and that of Conyb., 'charged me with much evil in his declaration' (forensic use of the active), in a high degree improbable. The 'intensive' middle (see Krüger, Sprachl. § 52.8. 5, and notes on Eph. ii. 7) $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \dot{\xi} a \sigma \theta a l$, with a dat. personce and acc. rei, is frequently used both in a good (e.g. [Demosth.] Halonn. p. 87) and a bad sense (Gen. l. 15, 17), and seems clearly to point to the exhibition of outward acts of injury and wrong to the Apostle.
 ward him according to his works;
 bowever adopts the more difficult reading $\alpha \pi 0 \delta \dot{\psi} \eta$. Even if we adopt this latter reading (see crit. note) we may rightly urge that St Paul might properly wish that one who had so withstood the cause of the Gospel
 who had as yet shown no symptom of repentance ( $\delta \nu \kappa \alpha i \sigma \dot{v} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ), might be rewarded according to his works. On the late and incorrect form $\boldsymbol{a} \pi o \delta \dot{\varphi} \eta$ for $\dot{i} \pi{ }^{\prime} \delta o i \eta$, comp. Lobeck, Phryn. p. 345, Sturz, de Dial. Maced. p. 52.
15. ठv кal $\sigma \dot{\Delta}$ к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'Of whom$ do thou also beware.' This advice seems to confirm the supposition that Alexander was then at Ephesus (see ver. 14), unless indeed we also adopt the not very probable opinion of Theod., noticed in notes on ver. 12, that Timothy was not now at Ephesus. $\quad \lambda$ lav $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ à к.т.ג.] ‘for he greatly withstood our words;' reason why Timothy should beware of
 allude to the defence which St Paul made, and which Alexander opposed (see Wieseler, Chronol. p. 464), Alexander must be conceived (if he came originally from Ephesus) to bave gone to Rome and returned again. It must be observed however, that the studied connexion of this clause with $\delta \nu \mathrm{kal}$




 The less natural reading d $\nu \theta \ell \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ was adopted in Ed. 1,2 with $D^{3} E K L N^{4}$; most msss.; and many Ff. (Rec., Tisch.); but now on the authority of $\mathbf{N}^{1}$ is perhaps rightly changed for the more strongly attested reading in the text.
 al.]; (Tiseh.).
$\sigma \dot{v} \kappa . \tau . \lambda .$, rather than with $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\mu}, 0$ к. т. $\lambda_{\text {., }}$ seems somewhat to militate against this supposition, and to suggest a more general reference, $\tau 0 i \hat{s} \tau 0 \hat{v}$

16. 'Еv т̂̂ $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \square ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' A t ~ m y$. first defence;' comp. Phil. i. 7, but observe that there $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda$., on account of the article, must be connected with $\tau 0 \hat{0}$ ciaryentou, and that the circumstances alluded to are in all probability wholly different. Timothy was then appy. with him (Pbil. i. 1); now he is informing him of sometbing new, and which happened at his last imprisonment, see Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 334 (Bohn). This $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda$. $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ was in all probability the 'actio prima,' after which, as a 'non liquet' (see Smith, Dict. Antiq. s.v. 'Judex') had been returned, an 'ampliatio' (comp. बiveßdieto, Acts xxiv. 22) had succeeded, during which the Apostle is now writing; see esp. Wieseler, Chronol. p. 409 sq., and comp. Rein, Röm. Privalrecht, v. 2. 6, p. 450. Conyb. and Howson (St Paul, Vol. II. p. 580 , ed. 2) deny the continuance under the emperors of this custom of 'ampliatio,' on the authority of Geib, Röm. Crim.-Proc. p. 377: this however does not appear to have been fully made out.
mapeytvero] ' stood forward for me,' 'adfuit,' Vulg., scil. as a 'patronus' to plead in my defence, or more
probably as an 'advocatus' to support by his counsel; comp. Herod. vil. iog, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̂ \sigma \iota \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \eta \nu$, and, as regards the practice of Christians supporting and comforting their brethren in prison, Lucian, de Morte Peregr. § I 3. Examples of the similarly forensic expressions
 are cited by Elsner, Obs. Vol. I. p. 3 I9. On the respective offices and duties of 'advocatus' and 'patronus,' see Rein, Röm. Privalrecht, v. 1. 3, p. 425.
tүкareגıтov] On the meaning of this compound, see notes on ver. 10. The reason of the desertion was obviously
 $\dot{v} \pi o x \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma t s$, Theod. The knowledge of this suggests the clause $\mu \grave{\eta}$ aúroîs $\lambda_{0}$ of $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, in which the Apostle's pardon is blended with his charitable prayer; 'may God forgive them even as I do.' The reading of $\mathrm{ACD}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{EF}$ GL (- $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi$ оу, so $T_{i s c h}$ ) appears simply due to itacism; see notes on ver. 10.
17. $\delta \mathbf{\delta t}$ Kúpıos] In marked contrast to ver. 16; 'man, even my friends, deserted me,-but my Lord stood by me. $\quad\langle v e \delta v v a \mu \omega \sigma \in \nu \mu \in]$ 'gave me inwurd strength,' i.e. $\pi a \dot{\rho} \rho \eta$.
 Chrys.; see notes on I Tim. i. 12. The purpose of the $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \nu a \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \sigma$ cs then follows. The Apostle here, as always, loses all thought and feeling of self, and sees only in the gracious aid ministered to him a higher and a greater


purpose: so Chrys., and after him Theoph. and Ecum.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho \eta \theta \hat{n}]$ ' might be fully performed, fulfilled,' 'impleatur,' Vulg., 'adimp.,' Clarom., Syr.,-not 'might be fully known,' Auth., 'certioraretur,' Beza. There seems no reason to depart here from the meaning assigned to $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi$. in ver. 5 (see notes); the $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho v \gamma \mu a$ (observe, not $\epsilon \dot{d} \gamma_{\gamma}{ }^{(\lambda} \lambda(o \nu)$ was indeed fully performed, when in the capital of the world, at the highest earthly tribunal, possibly in the Roman forum (Dio Cass. LviI. 7, Lx. 4, -this however after the time of Claudius is considered somewhat doubtful), and certainly before a Roman multitude, Paul the prisoner of the Lord spake for himself and for the Gospel; see Wieseler, Chronol. p. 476 , who has illustrated and defended this application with much ability.
 Gentiles might hear:' further amplification of the preceding words; not in reference to any preachings after his first captivity (comp. Theod., De W.), but simply in connexion with his public a $\pi$ o $\lambda$ orla in this his second captivity. The position of $\ell \nu a$, after $\pi a \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ кal ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \delta$. rather than after ${ }^{\epsilon} \dot{\phi} \dot{\rho} \dot{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, seems certainly to confirm this: see Wieseler, Chronol. p. 476. The reading of Rec. dikoúvy (with KL; most mes.; Chrys., Theod.) is only a grammatical correction.
 ' and $I$ was rescued;' second and further act of the Lord towards His servant; He inspired him with strength, and more, He rescued him. The aor. is purely passive; several of these 'de-
 रapl广oнaı к. $\tau . \lambda$. have, besides an aor. med., an aor. in the pass. form which (unlike $\dot{\eta} \beta$ ou $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta u \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$.) is
completely passive in sense; comp. $\epsilon \theta \epsilon d \theta \eta \nu$, Matth. vi. I, Mark xvi. 11, $l^{\prime} \theta \eta \eta$, Matth. viii. 13, छ́ $\chi a \rho l \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, Cor. ii. 12, Phil. i. 29, and see further exx. in Winer, Gr. § 38. 7, p. 231. Lachm. and Tisch. read $\epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ with ACN . ék бто́датоя $\lambda$ éovtos is very differently explained. The least probable interpr. makes it refer to the lions of the amphi. theatre (Mosheim, and even Neand. Plant. Vol. I. p. 345, note), the most probable perhaps is that of the later expositors (De W., Huth., al.), that it is a figurative expression for the greatest danger, 'generaliter periculum,' Calv., comp. I Cor. xv. 32, è $\theta \eta$ -

 plo $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \hat{\omega}$, where the somewhat parallel allusions are equally figurative. The most current interpr. is that of the Greek commentators, who refer the expression to Nero; $\lambda \epsilon o v \tau a \gamma \dot{a} \rho \tau \delta \nu$
 al.; but it is doubtful whether he was then at Rome; see Pearson, Ann. Paul. Vol. 1. p. 395 (ed. Churton), who consequently transfers it to Helius Cæsareanus. Wieseler finds in $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ the principal accuser (Chronol. p. 476); alii alia. Leo, with very good sense, retracts in his preface, p. xxxviii., his reference of $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ to Nero, observing the omission of the article (which might have been expected, as in Joseph. Antiq. xviil. 6. 10, $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta}$ $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu)$. This omission cannot indeed be pressed, as it might be due to correlation (Middleton, Art. III. 3. 7); it may be said bowever, that it is highly probable that if Nero, or a definite person (human or spiritual, e.g. Satan, comp. Alf. in loc.), had been here meant, it would have been inserted,

 aî̀vas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aì＇v$\nu \nu, \dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.
as in the exx．in Winer，Gr．§ $18.2 . \mathrm{b}$ ， p． 114 sq ．The most pertinent re－ mark is that of Huth．，that it is to the $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ $\lambda$ f́o $\sigma \tau o s$（Löwenrachen），not to the $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，that the attention is prin－ cipally directed．

18．púซєтal к．т．入．］＇The Lord shall rescue me from every evil work；＇con－ tinuation of the foregoing declaration， in a somewhat changed application： kal，which would make the connexion more close，is rightly omitted by Lachm． and Tisch．，with ACD ${ }^{1}$ ；3r，al．；Cla－ rom．，Sangerm．，Aug．，Vulg．，Copt．， Arm．，al．The change of prep．（cu－ riously enough not noticed by appy． any commentator，but marked in Auth．），points more generally to the removal from（see Winer，Gr．§47， p．331 compared with p．327）all the evil efforts that were directed against the Apostle，and the evil influences around him，－not merely all that threatened him personally，but all that thwarted the Gospel in his per－ son．Thus $\pi$ ounpods retains its proper sense of＇active wickedness＇（ $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau o \hat{u}$ тóvos $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {（עó }} \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon \nu o s , ~ S u i d a s ; ~ c o m p . T r e n c h , ~}$ Synon．§ II），and $\neq p \gamma o \nu$ its more usual sense．Most modern commentators （except Wiesing．），following Chrys．， al．，either explain $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \delta{ }^{\text {ct }} p \gamma$ ．$\pi 0 \nu$ ． as $\pi a \nu \tau \delta s \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s$, in reference to St Paul，－a change from the ob－ jective in ver． 17 to the subjective which is not very satisfactory，－or take ${ }^{z} \rho \gamma{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ as equivalent to $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma} \mu a$ ， $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，a meaning which though de－ fensible（see exx．in Rost u．Palm， Lex．s．v．）is not necessary．There is no declaration that the Apostle shall be rescued out of his dangers，which would be inconsistent with ver． 6 ；it
is only said in effect in ver． 7,8 ，that he shall be removed from the sphere of evil in every form ：＇decollabitur？ liberabitur，liberante Domino，＇Beng． The transition to the next clause，from the $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\alpha}$ to the cls，becomes thus very easy and natural．
 prægnans constructio，＇shall save and place me in，＇comp．ch．ii． 26 ，and see further exx．in Winer，Gr． 866.2 ， p．547．There is thus no reason for modifying $\sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$（scil．$a \xi \xi \epsilon \mu e \epsilon l s$ к．т．入．，Coray；comp．Eurip．Iph．T． ro68），still less for referring it merely to preservation from earthly troubles （Reuss，Theol．Chrét．IV．22，Vol．II． p． 251 ），followed as it is by the explicit $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon l a \nu \tau \eta े \nu$ énoupávov．In these last words，it has been urged by De Wette and others that we have a thought foreign to St Paul．Surely this is an ill－considered statement： though the mere expression $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma i \lambda$ ． $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ovo．may not occur again in the N．T．，still the idea of a present sove－ reignty and kingdom of Christ in heaven is conveyed in some passages （Eph．i．20，Col．iii．r），and expressed in others（ I Cor．xv．25，$\beta a \sigma\left(\lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \nu\right)$ too plainly to give any cause for diffi－ culty in the present case；comp．Pear－ son，Creed，Art．II．and vi．Vol．r．p． 124， $3^{28}$（ed．Burt．）．Had this ex－ pression appeared in any other than one of the Past．Epp．，it would have passed unchallenged．On the term éroupdulos，comp．notes on E＇ph．i．3． $\Psi$ ท́ Sóga к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．］Observe especially this doxology to Christ；loov $\delta 0 \xi o \lambda o \gamma i a$ тồ Yîồ ùs kal tov̂ Пarpós，oṽtos $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ $\dot{\delta}$ Kúpoos，Theopb．Waterland might have added this，Def．of Queries，xvir．

Salluatangs and per- "A
sonal 1 notices



Vol. I. p. 423 . On the expression $\epsilon$ ls toùs al̂̀vas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ al $\omega \nu \omega \nu$, see notes on Gal. i. 5 .
19. Прโбкаข каl 'Акú入av] Prisca or Priscilla (like Livia or Livilla, Drusa or Drusilla, Wetst. on Rom. xvi. 3) was the wife of Aquila of Pontus. They became first known to the Apostle in Corinth (Acts xviii. 2), whither they had come from Rome on account of the edict of Claudius; the Apostle abode with them as being $\dot{\dot{j}} \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu} \nu 0$, and took then with him to Syria (ver. 18). They were with him at Ephesus (surely not at Corinth! Huther) when he wrote $I_{\text {Cor }}$ Coe (seh. xvi. 19), and are again noticed as being at Rome (Rom. xvi. 3) where they had probably gone temporarily, perhaps for purposes of trade: of their after history nothing is known, see Winer, $R W B$. s. v. 'Aquila,' Vol. I. p. 73, and Herzog, Real-Encycl. Vol. I. p. 456, who however ascribes their migrations to the difficulties and troubles encountered in preaching the Gospel.
tòv 'Ov ${ }^{\prime} \sigma$. oifov] See notes on ch. $i$. 16. Onesiphorus is said to have been bishop of Corone in Messenia; Fabricius, Lux Evang. p. 117 (cited by Winer). This however must be considered highly doubtful.
20. "Epartos] A Christian of this name is mentioned as oiкoуópos (arcarius) of Corinth, Rom. xvi. 23. Mention is again made of an Erastus as having been sent from Ephesus to Macedonia with Timothy, Acts xix. 22. Whether these passages relate to the same person cannot possibly be determined; but it may be said, in spite of the positive assertion of Wieseler (Chronol. p. 47I) to the contrary, that the identity of the Erastus of

Corinth and Erastus the missionary seems very doubtful. It is scarcely likely that the olkovónos of Corinth would be able to act as one $\delta$ сакоу $\hat{\omega} \nu$ (Acts l. c.) ; see Meyer, Rom.l.c., and Winer, $R W B$. s. v. Vol. . p. 335 ; so also Neand. Planting, Vol. i. p. 334 (Bohn). It is perhaps more probable, from the expression ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \in \nu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{Kopi} \mathrm{\nu} \nu \omega$, that the present Erastus was identical with Erastus of Corinth; comp. Huther. All however is conjecture.

Tро́финоv] 'Trophimus,' a Gentile Christian of Ephesus, who accompanied St Paul (on his third missionary journey) from Troas (Acts xx. 4) to Miletus, Syria, and ultimately Jerusalem, where his presence was the cause of an uproar (Acts xxi. 29). Legendary history says that he was beheaded under Nero : Menolog. Grac. Vol. III. p. 57 (Winer).
$\mathbf{\alpha} \pi \in \lambda^{\prime}$ เmov] ' $I$ left;' certainly not plural, 'they left,' scil. 'his comrades,' an artificial interpretation (see Winer, $R W B$. Art. 'Troph.' Vol. 11. p. 634 ) which would never have been thought of, if the doubtful hypothesis of a single imprisonment of St Paul at Rome had not seemed to require it. The supposition of Wieseler (Chronol. p. 467) that he accompanied St Paul on his way to Rome (Acts xxvii.), but falling sick returned to Miletus in the Adramyttian ship from which St Paul parted at Myra (Acts xxvii. 6), may be ingenious, but seems in a high degree improbable, and is well answered by Wiesinger in his notes on this verse, p. 684 sq. Still more hopeless is the attempt to change the reading, with the Arab. Vers., to M $\epsilon \lambda i \tau \eta$, or to refer it to Miletus on the N. coast of Crete, near which St Paul never went. If à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̀ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
 $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau$ ós $\sigma o v . \quad \dot{\eta} \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
22. Kúpıos'Inooûs X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ós] So Rec., Griesb., Scholz, with CDEKLN'; al.;
 only Kv́pos with FGN¹; 17. al.; Boern., Æth. Though an interpolation is not improbable, yet the uncial authority for the omission is not strong, F and $G$ being little more than equivalent to one authority.
we suppose this journey to have taken place after the period recorded in the Acts (see notes on 1 Tim. i. 3), and adopt the theory of a second imprisonment, all difficulty ceases. Here too the form $\alpha \pi \in \lambda \in \tau \pi o v$ is found in CL, but the uncial authority greatly preponderates on the other side: see ver. $10,13,16$.

2 I. $\pi \rho$ ò $\chi \in\llcorner\mu \dot{\nu} v o s]$ 'before winter;' not necessarily 'before the storms of winter,' Wieseler, Chronol. p. 472. The expression seems only an amplification of ver. 9 ; $\pi \rho \grave{\partial} \chi \epsilon \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \omega s$, lıa $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\kappa a \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \in \theta \hat{\eta} s$ (Chrys.), whether by dangers on the sea (Coray), or difficulties of travelling on the land. In this repeated desire of St Paul to see his son in the faith, and the mention of a possible cause which might detain him, we see tokens of the Apostle's prescience of his approaching death; $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, Theod.
Eüßovios к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] Of Eubulus, Pudens, and Claudia, notbing certain is known ; they were not companions of the Apostle (ver. I I), but only members of the Church at Rome. The identity of the two latter with the Pudens and Claudia of Martial (Epigr. IV. I3, XI. 53)
seems very doubtful; see however Conyb. and Hows. St Paul, Vol. II. p. 595 (ed. 2), Alf. Prolegom. on 2 Tim. § 2. 4. Linus is in all probability the first bishop of Rome of that name; see Iren. Har. III. 3, Euseb. Hist. III. 2.
22. $\mu \in \tau$ à Tov̂ Tvev́ $\mu$. $\sigma 0 v]$ 'with thy spirit;' so Gal. vi. I8, Philem. 25. The Apostle names the 'spirit' as the ' potior pars' in our nature, see notes on Gal. l. c. There is no allusion to the Holy Spirit (Chrys., al.), nor to $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \chi \alpha \rho \iota s$ (Ecum.) ; the $\pi \nu \in \hat{v}$ $\mu a$ is the human $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\jmath} \mu a$ (not merely the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, Coray), the third and highest part in man; compare Olshausen, Opusc. vi. p. 145 sq ., and Destiny of the Creature, p. II 5 sq .
$\left.\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}\right]$ ' with you;' not exactly 'tecum et cum totâ ecclesiâ tibi commissâ' (Mill, Prolegom. p. 86), as there is no mention throughout the Epistle of the Church at Ephesus; but simply 'with thee and those with thee.' This benediction is somewhat singular as being twofold, to Timothy separately, and to Tim. and those with him: I Cor. xvi. 23, 24, is also twofold, but relates to the same persons.

MPOS TITON.

## INTRODUCTION.

THE Epistle to Titus was written by St Paul apparently only a short time after his missionary visit to the island of Crete (ch. i 5), and when on his way to Nicopolis to winter (ch. iii. 12). On the occasion of that visit he had left his previous companion Titus in charge of the churches of that island, and may not unreasonably be supposed to have availed himself of an early opportunity of writing special instructions to him concerning the duties with which he had been entrusted.

If we are correct in supposing that the Nicopolis above alluded to was the well-known city of that name in Epirus (see notes on ch. iii. i2), we may conceive this Epistle to have been written from some place in Asia Minor, perhaps Ephesus (Conyb. and Hows. St Paul, Vol. II. p. 566, ed. 2), at which the Apostle might have stayed a short time previous to the westward journey. If we further adopt the not unreasonable supposition that the Apostle was arrested soon after his arrival at Nicopolis, and forwarded from thence to Rome (Conyb. and Hows. loc. cit.), and also agree to consider A.D. 67 or 68 the year of his martyrdom (see Introd. to 2 Tim.), we may roughly fix the date of this Epistle as the summer of a.d. 66 or 67 , according as we adopt the earlier or later date for the Apostle's martyrdom. Whichever date we select, it will clearly be most natural to suppose that the winter alluded to in this Epistle (chap. iii. 12) is not the same as that referred to in 2 Tim. iv. 2I, but belongs to the year before it. If we suppose them the same (comp. Alford, Prolegom. on Past. $E p p . \S 2.3^{2}$ ), the occurrences of 2 Tim . will seem somewhat unduly crowded ; compare Conyb. and Hows. St Paul, Vol. II. p. 573, note (ed. 2).

The object of the Epistle transpires very clearly from its contents. The Apostle not having been able to remain long enough
in Crete to complete the necessary organization of the various churches in the island, but having left Titus to complete this responsible work, sends to him all necessary instruction both in respect of the discipline, ecclesiastical (ch. i. 5 sq., comp. ch. iii. ro) and general (ch. ii. I sq., ch. iii. I sq.), which he was to maintain, and the erroneous teaching which he was to be ready to confront (ch. i. I3 sq., ch. iii. 9, al.). The Cretan character had long been unfavourably spoken of (ch. i. 12), and, as we learn from this Epistle, with so much truth (ch. i. 13, 16, ch. iii. 1 sq.), that though Titus was instructed by the Apostle to come to him at Nicopolis (ch. iii. I 2), but a short time probably after he would have received the Epistle, it was deemed fitting by the Apostle that he should have written instructions for his immediate guidance. On the adaptation of the contents to the object of the writer, see Davidson, Introduction, Vol. ini. p. 90 sq.

On the genuineness and authenticity of the Epistle, see the Introduction to the First Epistle to Timothy. The Pastoral Epistles in respect of this question must be regarded as a whole ; no writer of credit, except Schleiermacher, having failed to admit that they must all be attributed to one writer.

## IIPOS TITON.

 salutation 

 mss. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, De W., and Huth. e sil.); the order is inverted by Tisch. only with A; 3 mss.; Tol., Copt., Syr.-Pbil.; Ambrst. (ed.), Cassiod. There certainly does not seem sufficient authority for any change of the received Text in the present case; indeed it may be remarked that Tisch. appears to have been somewhat precipitate in always maintaining the sequence ámó $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. $\mathrm{X} \rho$. 'I $\eta \sigma$. in St Paul's introductory salutations. In I Cor. i. 1 and 2 Tim. i. I certainly, in Col. i. I and I Tim. i. i probably, and perhaps in Phil. i. i ( $\delta o \hat{i} \lambda o c$ ), this order may be adopted; but in 2 Cor. i. 1 , and especially in Rom. i. I and here, it seems to be insufficiently supported, and is rightly rejected by Lachm.; in Eph. i. I the authority is slightly in favour of 'I $\eta \sigma$. $\mathrm{X}_{\rho}$. It is not perhaps too much to say that some passing thought in the Apostle's mind may have often suggested a variation in order; in ver. 4, for example, $\mathbf{X} \rho$. 'I $\eta \sigma$. (Tisch.) seems more probable, 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{\imath}$ and $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ being thus brought in more immediate contact. It is not well to be hypercritical, but variations even in these frequently recurring words should not wholly be passed over.

Chapter I. I. Soû入os ©eoû] ' $a$ servant of God;' the more general designation succeeded by dixó $\sigma \tau .$. 'I. X. the more special. On all other occasions St Paul terms himself $\delta o \hat{v} \lambda o s$ 'I. X., Rom. i. 1, Phil. i. i, comp. Gal. i. Io; so also 2 Pet. i. i, Jude I, comp. Rev. i. i, and see James i. I. Surely a forger would not have made a deviation so very noticeable: in salutations more than in anything else peculiarities would have been avoided. The expression itself occurs in Acts xvi. ${ }^{7}$, Rev. xv. 3, compare ib. x. 7; and in a slightly different application, ${ }_{1}$ Pet. ii. if, Rev. vii. 3 . àтóvтo入os 8'] ' and further an Apostle,' \&c. ; more exact definition. The
$\delta \varepsilon$ here has not its full antithetical force (Mack), but, as in Jude I, appears only to distinguish and specify, by the notice of another relation in which the subject stood to another genitive; see esp. Klotz, Devar. Vol. II. p. 359 ; comp. Winer, Gr. § 53. 7. b, p. 393, and the list of exx. (though not very critically arranged) in Ellendt, Lex. Soph. Vol. II. p. 388. Forgetfulness of this common, perhaps even primary (comp. Donalds. Cratyl. § 155) use of $\delta \dot{\text { ch }}$ has led several expositors into needlessly artifcial and elliptical translations; comp. even Peile in loc. кatd $\pi$ lбтเv к.т. ${ }^{\text {. }] ~ i . ~ e . ~ ' f o r ~}$ (the furtherance of) the faith of God's elect;' the $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s \tau \hat{\omega}\rangle \epsilon \kappa \lambda$. is the desti-

## 

nation of the apostleship: not 'secundum fidem,' Vulg., Clarom., which, though defended by Matthies, seems very unsatisfactory; the faith or knowledge of individuals cannot, without much explaining away (comp. Peile), ever be the rule or norma of the Apostle's office. The meaning is thus nearly as enunciated by Theoph., $\pi \rho$ oेs
 scarcely so much as $\nu$ à $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ roùs èk $\lambda$. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i s$ aürò $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ (Coray), and the sentiment is parallel to Rom. i. 5 . Though it may be admitted that the idea of 'object,' 'intention,' is more fully expressed by $\epsilon l^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ pós (Matth.), it still seems hopeless to deny that калd in such exx. as калà $\theta \dot{\epsilon} a \nu$, Thucyd. vi. $30, \kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \pi a \gamma \nmid \nu$, Xen. $A n a b$. III. 5. 2, al., plainly points to and implies some idea of purpose; see Rost u. Palm, Lex. s.v. if. 3, Vol. I. p. I598, Jelf, Gr. § 629. If it be not undue refinement, we may say that in the three prepp., eis, $\pi$ oós, кaтá, 'object' is expressed in its highest degree by the first, and in its lowest by the last; but that the two former are very near to each other in meaning, while $\kappa a \tau a$ does not rise much above the idea of 'special reference to,' 'destination for.' We might thus perhaps say $e i$ rather marks immediate purpose, $\pi \rho$ oेs ultimate purpose, катà destination; comp. notes on Eph. iv. I2. These distinctions must however be applied with great caation. It need scarcely be said that there is here no parenthesis; see Winer, Gr. § 62. 4, p. 499.
$\dot{\mathbf{e x}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{e k t} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ Otoû] ' of the chosen of God.' There is nothing proleptic in the ex-
 Theod., and more expressly, DeWette: ' the faith of the elect' forms one compound idea, it is on the riovis rather than the defining gen. that the mo-
ment of thought principally rests. Nay further, Acts xiii. 48 shows this, -that election is not in consequence of faith, but faith in consequence of election; comp. Eph. i. 4, and notes in loc.
 the truth;' i.e. of evangelical truth, comp. Eph. i. 13; 'in hoc, inquit, missus sum Apostolus ut electi per me credant et cognoscant veritatem,' Estius. ' $A \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ has thus reference to the object (surely not to be resolved into a mere adj., $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta$., Coray), $\bar{e} \pi i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ s to the subject; on the latter ('accurata cognitio,') see notes on Eph. i. 17. This 'truth' is defined more exactly by the clause $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ єं $\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$, comp. notes on 2 IIm. i. 13, у Tim. iii. I3. Tरीs кат" eváßelav may be translated 'which is according to godliness ' (see notes on I Tim. vi. 3), but as Gospel truth can scarcely be said to be conformable to $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \in \beta \in \iota a$ (still less to be 'regulated by' it, Alf.), and as it is not probable that the prep. would be used in the same sentence in different senses, the more natural meaning is, 'which is (designed) for godliness,' scil. which is ' most naturally productive of holy living and a pious conversation,' South, Serm. 5, Vol. III. p. 214 (Tegg). The meaning adopted by Huther, 'which is allied to ' ('bezeichnet die Angehörigkeit'), even in such passages as Rom. x. 2, is more than doubtful ; see Winer, Gr. §49. d, p. 359. On the meaning of $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \in \beta \in l a$, see notes on I Tim. ii. 2.
 hope of eternal life,' - not 'in spem,' Vulg., Clarom., Goth. ('du') : comp. Rom. iv. 18, viii. 20, 1 Cor. ix. 10 ; hope is the basis on which all rests, see Winer, Gr. § 48. c, p. 349. The connexion of the clause is not perfectly


clear；it can hardly be connected with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o s$, as it would thus form a co－ ordinate clause to кaтd $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．， and would more naturally be intro－ duced by some specifying particle； nor can it be attached to $\epsilon \pi\{\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \omega \nu$ к．т．入．，as this would violate the close union of $\pi l \sigma \tau / s$ and $\epsilon \pi l \gamma \nu$ ．We must then，with De W．and Huther，and， as it would seem，Chrys．and Theod．， refer it to the whole clause， $\operatorname{kara} \pi i$ ． $\sigma \tau \iota \nu-\epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \in \beta \in ⿺ 辶 ⿱ 亠 乂$, the Apostle＇s calling had for its destination the faith of the elect and the knowledge of the truth， and the basis on which all this rested was the hope of eternal life．
غ̀ $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma \in$ © $\lambda a \tau 0$ ］＇promised，＇＇proclaimed， sc．in the way of a promise；＇so Rom． iv．21，Gal．iii．19．The force and truth of the $e_{\pi} \pi a \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda(a$ is then en－ hanced by the expression，unique in
 however for the sentiment，Heb．vi．18， and for the expression，Eurip．Orest．

$\pi \rho \grave{~ X \rho o ́ v \omega \nu ~ a l \omega v i \omega v] ~ ' b e f o r e ~ e t e r n a l ~}$ times．＇It is not easy to decide whe－ ther xpboo alisulo are here to be con－ sidered（a）as simply＇very ancient times＇（ed．1，Wiesing．），mod入ois кal $\mu a \kappa \rho o v ' s ~ \chi p o ́ v o u s ~(C o r a y), ~ c o m p . ~ C a l v . ~$ in loc．；or（b）as equivalent to $\pi \rho \bar{o} \tau \hat{\omega}$ aiávolv（Theod．，Alf．，Wordsw．，al．）， as in 2 Tim．i． 9 ．In favour of（a）is the reflection that though it may be truly said that God loved us from all eternity（Ecum．），it still cannot strictly be said that $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ aiwntos was promised before all eternity（see Hammond in loc．）：in favour of（b）is the use of aiúvios in the preceding member，and the partial parallel afforded by 2 Tim ． i． 9 ．On careful reconsideration the preponderance is perhaps to be regard－ ed as ulightly in favour of（b），and the
$\epsilon \lambda \pi i s$ itself and general counsels re－ lating to it，rather than the specific promise of it，to be conceived as mainly referred to．
 in practical though not verbal anti－ thesis to $\varepsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a \tau 0$ ，ver． 2 ；the primary $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda l a(G e n$. iii．15），yea， even the cardinal $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$ to Abra－ ham（Gal．iii．8），required some fur－ ther revelation to make it fully dave－ póv．The more strict antithesis occurs in Col．i．26，where however the al－ lusion is different；comp．Rom．xvi． ${ }^{25}, 26,2$ Tim．i．9，1o．The accus． objecti after $\epsilon \phi a \nu \hat{\ell} \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is clearly $\tau \delta \nu$ $\lambda$ órov aúrồ，not $\bar{\zeta} \omega \eta^{\prime} \nu$（Ecumen．，al．）， or $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a j \omega \hat{\eta} s$（Heinr．）．The Apostle changes the accus．for the sake of making his language more exact；$\zeta \omega \eta^{\prime}$ alúvoos was，strictly speaking，in re－ gard of its appearance，future：the Gospel included both it and all things， whether referring to the present or the future；see Theoph．in loc．，who has explained the structure clearly and correctly．kaipoís i8ioss］＇in His own，＇i．e．＇in due sea－
 Theoph．On the expression and the peculiar nature of the dat．，see notes on 1 Tim．ii．6．Here and in I Tim． vi． 15 （comp．Acts i．7）the reference to the subject，God，is so distinct，that the more literal translation may be maintained．Tòv $\lambda$ ójov av่าov̂］＇His word，＇i．e．as more fully
 Gospel，which was the revelation both of the primal mystery（Rom．xvi．26）， and all succeeding $\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i a \iota$ ，and was announced to man in the кरोpu $\gamma \mu a$ （＇the message，＇－not，as sometimes understood，$=\kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \rho v \xi(s)$ of the Lord and His Apostles．To refer it to the

## 


 $\sigma \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} I \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

Loyos, with Jerome, EEcum., and others, is wholly unsatisfactory. On the change of construction, see Winer, Gr. § 63. r, p. 50 r , where numerous exx. are cited of far more striking
 दүш'] 'with which I was intrusted;' on this construction, see Winer, Gir. § 32. 5, p. 204, and for a similar ex-
 к.т. ג.] 'according to the commandment of our Saviour God;' so, but with a slight change of order, 1 Tim. i. I. It has been suggested that the Second Person of the blessed Tinity may be here intended; comp. notes on ch. iii. 6, and Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2. 4, p. 310 : the analogy of 1 Tim. i. 1 renders this here, and perbaps also in ch. ii. 11 , very doubtful. The $\alpha \xi \iota_{0}{ }^{-}$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ inplied in the $\delta \in \pi \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\theta} \eta \eta \nu$ (Chrys.) is further defined and enhanced by the declaration that it was not 'proprio motu,' but in obedience to a special command; see notes on I Tim. i. I, where the clause is considered.
 my true (genuine) child.' The receiver of this epistle is far too distinctly mentioned to make the supposition admissible that it was addressed (comp. ch. iii. 15) to the Church, see Wiesing. Einleit. 1. s, p. 260. Of Titus comparatively little is known. His name does not occur in the Acts, but from the Epp. we find that he was a Greek (Gal. ii. 3), converted, as the present verse seems to imply, by St Paul himself, and with the Apostle at Jerusalem on his third visit (notes on Gal. ii. 1). He was sent by St Paul, when
at Ephesus, to Corinth (2 Cor. vii. 6), on some unknown commission (Meyer on 2 Cor. p. 3), possibly with some reference to a collection ( 2 Cor, viii. 6 , $\pi \rho 0 \in \nu \dot{\eta} \rho \xi a r o)$, is again with the Apostle in Macedonia (2 Cor. ii. 13 , comp. with vii. 6), and is sent by him with the second Ep. to Corinth ( 2 Cor. viii. $6,16 \mathrm{sq}$ ). The remaining notices of Titus are supplied by the Pastoral Epp.; see 2 Tinı. iv. ıo, Tit. i. 5 sq., iii. 12. According to tradition, Titus was bishop of Crete (Euseb. Hist. III. 4), and died on that island (I.id. de Vit. Sanct. 87); see Winer, $R W B$. s.v. 'Titus,' Vol. II. p. 625, and comp. Acta Sanct. (Jan. 4), Vol. I. p. 163 . On the expression $\gamma \nu \eta \sigma i \varphi$ $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \psi$, see notes on 1 Tim. i. 2. кaтà кoเvìv $\pi / \sigma \tau เ v]$ ' in respect of (our) common faith;' 'fidei respectu qua quidem et Paulo patri et 'Tito filio communis erat,' Beza, т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ á $\delta \epsilon \lambda$ фо́т $\eta \tau a$ मु $\nu \dot{\xi} a \tau о$, Chrys.: a reference to the faith that was common to them and all Cbristians (Beng., Wiesing.) would, as Jerome suggests, be here too general. Grotius finds in cowòs a reference to the Greeks in the person of Titus, and to the Jews in the person of St Paul; this seems 'argutius quam verius dictum.'
Xápıs кaì clp $\eta \dot{\eta} \eta \eta$ ] For an explanation of this form of Christian salutation, see notes on Gal. i. 3, and on Eph. i. 2. There seems now fully sufficient autbority to justify Tisch. in his insertion of kal and omission of the more individualizing $\begin{gathered}\text { enteos, with } \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{D}\end{gathered}$ EFGIN, i7. 73.137; Vulg., Clarom., Copt., Syr., Æth.-Platt, Arm.; Chrys. (expressly), and many others. The

I left thee in Crete to ordain elders, who must have all high moral qualities and teach sound doctrine.



reading however is not perfectly ertain, as $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon o s$ ( $R e c$. ) is retained in $\mathrm{AC}^{2} \mathrm{KL}$; Syr.-Phil., al.; Theod., al., and is adopted by Lachm. The addidion of $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s \dot{\eta}_{\mu} \hat{\omega} \nu$ to $\mathrm{X} \rho$. 'I $\eta \sigma$. (comp. ch. iii. 6) is peculiar to this salutation.
5. $\mathbf{d \pi e \lambda ı \pi o ́ v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ к.т. $\lambda$.] 'I left thee in Crete.' When this happened can only be conjectured. The various attempts to bring this circumstance within the time included in the Acts of the Apostles (comp. Wieseler, Chronol. p. $3^{29} 9 \mathrm{sq}$.) seem all to be unsatisfactory, and have been well investigated by Wiesinger, Einleit. I. 4, p. $26_{2}$ sq., and (in answer to Wieseler) p. 360. Language, historical notices, and the advanced state of Christianity in that island, alike seem to lead us to fix the date of the Ep. near to that of 1 Tim., and of this journey as not very long after the Apostle's release from his first imprisonment at Rome; see Neander, Planting, Vol. i. p. 338 sq. (Bohn), Conyb. and Hows. St Paul, Vol. II. p. 565 (ed. 2), Guerike, Einleit. §48. I, p. 396 (ed. 2). There seems to be no sufficient reason for supposing, with Neander (p. 342), that Christianity was planted in Crete by St Paul on this occasion; reorganized it might have been, but planted by him it scarcely could have been, as the whole tenor of the Ep. leads to the supposition that it had been long established, and had indeed taken sufficient root to break out into heresis. Christianity might have been planted there after one of the carly dispersions; Cretans were present at the Pentecostal miracle (Acts ii. II): see esp. Wiesing. on ver. 5. Tisch.
here reads $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \in เ \pi o v$, with ACFGI (L катє $\lambda \epsilon i \pi$.): see however notes on 2 Tim. iv. 10. кaтє $\lambda \iota \pi \frac{\mu}{}$ (Rec.) has only the support of $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{EKL}$; most mss.
 ing;' ' qua ego per temporis brevitatum non potui cram expedire,' Beng. The more special directions at once
 mightest further set in order;' the prep. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$, according to its common force in composition, denoting 'insuper;' St Paul $\delta \omega \omega \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \tau 0$, Titus $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \delta \delta i o \rho \mathcal{O}_{0} \hat{0}-$ $\tau a l$, Beng. The reading is far from certain, but on the whole Tisch. seems to have rightly adopted the middle; the form $\epsilon \pi \star \delta \iota o \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta \mathrm{~g}$ (Lachm.), though well supported ( $\mathrm{AE}^{\mathrm{L}}$; comp. $\mathrm{D}^{1} \epsilon \pi a \nu$ $0 \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta \mathrm{~s}$, and $\mathrm{FG} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta s)$, might have had its termination suggested by катабтท்брs below. The middle, it must be owned, has here scarcely any force (Finer, Gr. § 38. 6, p. 230), unless it be taken as an instance of what is now called an intensive or 'dynamic' middle; see Krüger, Sprachl. §52.8 sq., and comp. notes on r Tim. iv. 6. кaтà $\pi$ ó̀ $\iota$ ] ' in every city,' 'from city to city;' 'oppidatim,' Calv.; comp. Acts xiv. 23, хєєротод $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s . . . к а \tau^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ ia $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon \rho o u s$, and as regards the expression, Luke viii. I, Acts xv. zr, xx. 23. The deduction of Bp . Taylor, 'one in one city, many in many' (Episc. \& 15 ), is certainly arecarious. On the connexion between $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$, both in this distributive and in other senses, see Donalds. Cratyl. \& 183 sq .
is होүले к.т.入.] 'as I directed thee,' 'disposui tibi,' Vulg.; in reference, as De W. says, not only to the 'Dass,' but the 'Vie,' as the following requisi-



tions further explain ; the Apostle not only bid Titus perform this duty, but taught him how to do it wisely and efficiently. The verb is elsewhere in the N.T. active when joined with a dat. (Matt. xi. I, I Cor. ix. 14, xvi. 1), except in Acts xxiv. 23. This again seems to be more a 'dynamic' middle than the ordinary middle ' of interest.' The force of the compound $\delta \iota a \tau d \sigma \sigma \omega$ may be felt in the 'dispositio (sc. eorum que incomposita vel implicata et perplexa erant;' comp. I Cor. xi. 34) which a directive command tacitly involves: see Winer, de Verb. Comp. Fasc. v. p. 7.
6. $\mathfrak{\text { t }}$ Tis к.т. $\mathrm{\lambda}$.] 'if any one be unaccused, have naught laid to his charge;
 Chrys.; substance of the directive order, and in close connexion with what precedes. The form of expression certainly does not seem intended to imply that it was probable few such would be found (comp. Heydenr.); it only generally marks the class to which the future presbyter was necessarily to belong. For the exact meaning of aंvé $\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s$ ('sine crimine,' Vulg.), see notes on I Tim. iii. 1o, and Tittio. Synon. 1. p. 3I. $\quad$ ulâs puvalkòs ávíp] 'a husband of one wife:' for the meaning of this expression see notes on I Tim. iii. 2. The remark of Chrys. may be here adduced, as certainly illustrative of the opinion held in the




Téкva к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {.] 'having believing chil- }}$ dren;' the emphasis seems to rest on $\pi \iota \sigma \pi a$; the Christian $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \in \rho o s$ was
not to have heathen, Judaizing, or merely nominally-believing children; ceqmp. I Tim. iii. 4, 5, where the duty of the father is more fully specified. The expression, not perhaps without reason, has been urged as a hint that Christianity had been established in Crete for some time.
 accusation of dissoluteness,' i.e. 'not accused of,' Auth. The кarqropia (John xviii. 29, I Tim. v. 19) is, as it were, something in which they might be involved, and out of which they were to take care to be always
 [ $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\ell} \pi \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}_{s} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \sigma .$, conject. Bened.],
 Chrys. On the meaning and derivation of $\dot{d} \sigma \omega \tau i a$, see notes on $E p h$. v. 18. भैं ávvто́такта] 'or unruly,' scil. disobedient to their parents; the reason is given in I Tim. iii. 5, paraphrased by Theoph., $\dot{\delta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau d$ otкєía $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a \mu \dot{\eta}$
 the meaning of divutór., see notes on ${ }^{1}$ Tim. i. 9 .
7. тঠे $\mathfrak{\text { é } \pi \{ \sigma к о т о v ] ~ ' e v e r y ~ b i s h o p , ' ~}$ or, according to our idiom, ' $a$ bishop,' Auth.; on the article, see notes on Gal. iii. 20 , and on the meaning of the term $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa$., and its relation to $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u ́ t \epsilon \rho o s$, see notes on I Tim. iii. I. The Apostle here changes the former designation into the one that presents the subject most clearly in his official capacity, the one in which his rela. tions to those under his rule would be most necessary to be defined. The excellent treatise of Bp. Pearson, Minor Works, Vol. I. p. 271 sq., may be added to the list of works on episcopacy noticed on I Tim. l.c.: his posi-

#   

tions are，that episcopal government was＇sub Apostolis，ab Apostolis，in Apostolis，＇p． $278 . \quad \omega \in \Theta \in \hat{v}$ olkov．］＇as being God＇s steward；＇$\Theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ not without prominence and emphasis． While the previous title is enhanced and expanded，the leading requisition （d $\nu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \kappa \lambda$ ．）is made more evidently ne－ cessary from the position occupied by the subject ：he must indeed be $\alpha \nu \in \gamma \kappa \lambda$ ．， as he is a steward of the otkos $\theta_{\epsilon} \hat{0}$ ， the Church of the living God（t Tim． iii．15）．On this use of $\dot{\omega} s$ ，see notes on Eph．v．28．From what has been said，and from the more pregnant meaning of oixovó $\mu$ os in that passage， we can hardly consider I Cor．iv．I （compare i Pet．iv．io）as a strict parallel of the present passage．
 a derivative sense，＇haughty，＇Goth． （＇háuh－häirts＇），but，as Syr．correctly， though somewhat paraphrastically，
 tus voluntate sui－ipsius］；tı̀े $\delta^{\prime}$ avi $\theta \dot{d}-$ $\delta \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon a \nu$ aùvapé $\kappa \epsilon \epsilon a \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ，Greg．Naz． Vol．in．p．199．The adj．，as its deri－ vation suggests（aủtós，ク̈ $\delta o \mu a \iota$ ），implies a self－loving spirit，which in seeking only to gratify itself is regardless of others，and is hence commonly $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho$－
 rightly defined as＇qui se non accom－ modat aliis，ideoque omnibus incom－ modus est，morosus，＇Tittm．Synon．I． p． 74 ；see esp．Theophrast．Charact． xv．，［Aristot．］M．Moral．I．29，the essay on this word in Raphel，Annot． Vol．iI．p．626，and the numerous ezx． in Wetst．in loc．，and Elsner，Obs． Vol．I．p．320．It occurs in the N．T． only here and 2 Pet．ii． 10 ，ro $\lambda \mu \eta \pi a l$ $\alpha \dot{u} \theta \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon!$

Winer has here re－ marked that $\mu \dot{\eta}$ rather than $o v$ is pro－
perly used，as the qualities are marked which the assumed model bishop ought to have to correspond to his office（ $G r$ ． § 59.4 ．obs．，p． 566 ，ed． 5 ，－арpy． withdrawn from ed．6）：in a general point of view the observation is just， but in this particular case the $\mu \gamma_{1}$ is probably due to the objective form of the sentence in which it stands；see Donalds．Gr．§ 594．opyidov］ ＇soon angry，＇＇irascible；＇${ }^{2} \pi$ ．入еүо́ $\mu$ ． in N．T．；thus specially defined by Aristotle（Ethic．זv．ix），ot $\mu \bar{\varepsilon} \nu$ oz $\nu$


 ened termination－$\lambda o s$ ，esp．in－$\eta \lambda$ ós， －$\omega$ 入ós，denotes＇habit，＇＇custom，＇ Buttur．Gr．§ ifg． 13 e．$\quad \mu \eta े$
 I Tim．iii．3，and on aloxpoкєp $\delta \tilde{\eta}$ ，ib． iii．8，and comp．below，ver． 11 ．

8．$\phi \backslash \lambda \mathbf{b}_{\xi}$ evov］＇hospitable；＇so I Tim． iii．2，comp．v．10， 3 John 5，6．This hospitality，as Conyb．remarks，would be especially shown when Christians travelling from one place to another were received and forwarded on their journey by their brethren．The pre－ cept must not however be too much limited ；comp．Heb．xiii． 2. ф $\lambda$ 人́ $\mathbf{y}$ a日ov］＇a lover of good，＇＇benig－ num，＇Vulg．，Clarom．；see notes on 2 Tim．iii．3．Here at first sight the masculine reference（＇bonorum aman－ tem，＇Jer．）might seem more plausible as following $\phi \iota \lambda o \xi \xi \in \nu 0 \nu$（Est．）；still，on the other hand，the transition from the special to the general，from hospita－ lity to love of good and benevolence， would appear no less appropriate；see Wisd．vii．22，where the ref．（though so implied by Schleusner，Lex．s．v．） does not seem to be to persons．Both meanings are probably admissible（Rost




u．Palm，Lex．s．v．），but the analogy of similar compounds（e．g．фıдо́калоs） would point rather to the neuter．
б由́фpova］＇discreet，＇or＇sober－minded；＇ see notes on I Tim．ii．9，where the meaning of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \sigma \sigma$ óvy is orietly inves－ tigated．
8ikatov，8б七ov］＇righteous，holy；＇comp． r Thess．ii．ro，Eph．iv．24．The ordinary distinction recapitulated by

 507 B ），does not seem sufficiently ex－ act and comprehensive for the N．T． siкalos，as Tittmann observes，＇recte dicitur et qui jus fasque servat，et qui facit quod honestum et æquum postulat，＇Synon．I．p． 2 I：bowos，as the same author adnits（p．25），is more allied with á $\gamma \nu o{ }^{\prime}$ s，and，as Har－ less has shown（Ephes．p．427），in－ volves rather the idea of a＇holy purity，＇see notes on Eph．iv．24．The derivation of סotos seems to be very doubtful；see Pott，Etym．Forsch．Vol． I．p．126，compared with Benfey，Wur－ zellex．Vol．I．p． $43^{6}$.
 N．T．，but the subst．occurs in Acts xxiv． 25 ，Gal．v． 23,2 Pet．i．6，and the（nearly unique）verb $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{v}-$ єotal，in 1 Cor．vii．9，ix．25．The meaning is sufficiently clear from the derivation（ $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\theta}$ ous кратоі̂ $\nu \tau a, \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ каi $\gamma \lambda \omega ́ \tau \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ каi $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta s$ каі $\dot{\text { ó } \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu}$ $\dot{a}^{\prime} \kappa о \lambda a^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ，Chrys．），and though of course very pertinent in respect of ＇libido＇（comp．De W．），need in no way be limited in its application；comp． Suicer，Thesaur．s．v．Vol．I．p． 1000.
 comp．Matth．vi．24，Luke xvi．${ }^{2} 3$ ，
and in a somewhat more restricted sense I Thess．v．14，$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi$ ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon$－ $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．The $\dot{a} \nu \tau i$ appears to involve a faint idea of holding out against some－ thing hostile or opposing（comp．Rost u．Palm，Lex．s．v．），which however passes into that of＇steadfast appli－ cation to，＇\＆c．；e．g．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ Єa入d ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{m}$ ， Thncyd．I．I3，Polyb．I．58． 3 ；$\epsilon \lambda \pi i$－ dos $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \hat{a} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{P}$ ㄱlyb．I． 56.9 ，in which latter author the word is very com－ mon；see Schweigh．Lex．Polyb．s．v． той кaта̀ к．т．入．］＇the faithful word which is according to the teaching；＇i．e． the true Christian doctrines set forth by，and agreeing with Apostolic teach－ ing；comp． 2 Tim．i． 13 ，$\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ î $\nu$
 ols $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon\end{gathered} a \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ．There is some slight diff－ culty in the explanation．The position of the words shows plainly that there are not two distinct specifications in respect of the lózos（Heydenr．），but one in respect of the $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o{ }^{s} \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma o s, ~ v i z$. that it is $\kappa a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \delta a \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，＇eum qui se－ cundum doctrinam est fidelem sermo－ nem，＇Vulg．：the only doubt is what meanings are to be assigned to $\kappa a \tau \alpha$ and $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \eta$＇；is it（a）＇sure with re－ spect to teaching others＇（＇verba ip－ sius sint regula veritatis，＇Jerome）， $\delta i \delta a x \dot{\eta}$ having thus an active refer－ ence？or（b）＇sure in accordance with the teaching received＇（＇as he hath been taught，＇Auth．），$\delta \delta \delta a \chi \grave{\eta}$ being taken passively？Of these（ $b$ ）seems certainly to harmonize best with the normal meaning of $\pi$ เotós；the faith－ ful word is so on account of its ac－ cordance with Apostolic teaching． Of the other interpr．that noticed by Flatt， 2 （compare Calv．），＇doctrina eru－

There are many evil teachers and seducers； the Cretan character has always been bad， so rebuke and warn them．In the unbe－ lieving and polluted

Eigàv rà̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda o \grave{c}$ каì àvuaóza． 10

 $\lambda \iota \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$ $O i \in \kappa$
ith，nor obedience．
diendis hominibus inserviens，＇seems as unduly to press кат $\dot{\alpha}$（comp，ver．i） as that of Raphel（Annot．Vol．II． p．681），＇sermo doctrinæ，＇unduly ob－ scures it．

каl тарака入єі̂ к．т．入．］＇as well to exhort with the sound doctrine as，＇\＆c．：on the con－ nexion каi．．．кal，see notes on 1 Tim ． iv．Io．＇E $\nu$ is here instrumental，a construction perfectly natural，espe－ cially in cases like the present，when ＇the object may be conceived as exist－ ing in the instrument or means，＇Jelf， Gr．§622． 3 ；see Winer，Gr．§48．a，p． 346，and notes on I Thess．iv．I8．On
 $\mathbf{~} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{\epsilon L v}]$＇to confute：＇the words of Chrys．are definite，ó $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ои́к $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\omega} s ~ \mu a ́-$ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ тoîs є̀ $\chi \theta \rho o i s . . . \kappa \alpha i$ 入o $\gamma \iota \sigma \mu o u ̀ s \kappa \alpha-$
 ко仑．The clause leads on the subject
 ＇gainsayers，＇see notes on ch．ii． 9 ．

Io．Yáp］In confirmation more es－ pecially of the preceding clause．
mo入入oi kal àvvт．］＇many unruly vain－ talkers and inward deceivers．＇In his second edition Tisch．has here made two improvements；he has restored кai with DEFGKL；al．；Clarom．，Aug．， Vulg．，al．；Chrys．，Dam．（Rec．）－its omission though well supported［ACI ※； $30 \mathrm{mss} . ;($ Lachm．$)]$ being apparently referable to an ignorance of the idi－ omatic $\pi 0 \lambda$ ùs кal（Jelf，Gr．§ 759．4． 2）；he has also removed the comma （Lachm．）after á $\nu v \pi$ ．，as that word is clearly a simple adjective，prefixed to $\mu a \tau \alpha \iota o \lambda$ ．and $\phi \rho \in \nu a \pi$ ．，and serving to enhance the necessity for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \mu\lceil\epsilon \iota \nu$ ． The $\mu a \tau \alpha \iota o \lambda$ ．（är．$\lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu$ ．，but see 1 Tim．i．6）and ф $\rho є \nu а \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau а \iota(d \pi . \lambda е \gamma \delta \mu .$, but see Gal．vi．3）are the leading
substantival words．On $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu a \pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$ （＇mentis deceptor，＇Jerome，＇making to err the minds of men，＇Syr．），which seems to mark the inward－working， insinuating，character of the deceit （＇quia．．．mentes hominum demulcent et quasi incantant，＇Calv．），see notes on Gal．vi．3，and on＇the case of deceivers and deceived＇generally， Waterl．Serm．xxix．Vol．v．p． 7 I 7 sq ． ol＇ék $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \tau о \mu \eta \boldsymbol{s}_{\text {，}}$ defines more particu－ larly the origin of the mischief；comp． ver．I4．The deceivers here mentioned were obviously not unconverted Jews， but Judaizing Christians，a state of things not unlikely when it is remem－ bered that more than half a century before this time Jews（perhaps in some numbers）were living in Crete； see Joseph．Antiq．XVII．I2．1，ib．Bell． Jud．II．7．r，and Philo，Leg．ad Caium， $\S 36$, Vol．II．p． 587 （ed．Mang．）．On the expression ol $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau$ ．，comp． notes on Gal．iii． 7.

11．oús $\delta \in \mathfrak{\epsilon}$ к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．］＇whose mouths must be stopped，＇Auth．；a good idio－ matic translation，very superior to the Vulg．，＇quos oportet redargui，＇which， though making the reference to rovs $\dot{\alpha} \nu T l \lambda . e \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma$ ．（ver．9）a little more evi－ dent，is not sufficiently exact．＇E $\pi<$ $\sigma$ то $\mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \nu$ has two meanings；either（a） ＇frenis coercere，＇$̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau о \mu \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каl $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \alpha$－入ı山́ょcє，Philo，Leg．Alleg．III．53， Vol．I．p． 1 if（ed．Mang．）；comp． James iii． 3 ，and the large list of exx． in Loesner，Observ．p． $4^{25}$ ；or（b）＇ob－ turare os，＇Beza， $50090 ; 000$ ［occludere os］Syr．，＇Theoph．，－the meaning most suitable in the present case，and perhaps most common；see the exx．in Wetst．and Elsner in loc．，


the most pertinent of which is perhaps Lucian, Jup. Trag. § 35, ix $\begin{aligned} & \text { ט́v } \sigma \epsilon \text { dimo- }\end{aligned}$ $\phi \mu_{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \tau о \mu i j \omega \nu$.
oltıves] 'inasmuch as they;' explanatory force of $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$, see notes on Gal. iv. 24 .
öגovs к.т.入.]
'overthrow whole houses;' i.e. 'subvert the faith of whole families,' the emphasis resting appy. on the adjective. ${ }^{\prime} A \nu a \tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ occurs again 2 Tim. ii. 18, but here, from its combination with oikous, is a little more specific : exx. of $\alpha \nu a \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, the meaning of which however is quite clear, are cited by Kypke, Obs. Vol. II. p. 378. The formula is adopted in Conc. Chalced. Can. 23.
à $\mu \dot{\eta} \varepsilon_{\epsilon} \uparrow$ ] 'things they should not;' $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, not ov (as usually in the N.T.), after the relative 8 s ; the class is here only spoken of as conceived to be in existeuce, though really that existence was not doubtful ; see Winer, $G r . \S 55.3$, p. $4^{26}$. In reference to the distinction between $a$ ov́ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ and $\hat{a} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\zeta}$ Winer refers to the exx. collected by Gayler, Part. Neg. p. 240; as bowever that very ill-arranged list will probably do little for the reader, it may be further said that $a$ oi $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ points to things which are definitely improper or forbidden, $\mathbb{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ to things which are so, either in the mind of the describer, or which (as here) derive a seeming continyency only from the mode in which the subject is presented. On the use of ov and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with relatives, see the brief but perspicuous statement of Herm. on Viger, No. 267, and Krüger, Sprachl. § 67. 4. 3.
aloxpov̂ $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \hat{\rho} \delta \delta o u s$ ] 'base gain,'-marking emphatically the utterly corrupt character of these teachers. It was not from fanatical motives or a morlid and Pharisaical (Matth. xxiii. 15) love of proselytizing, but simply for
selfish objects and dirty gains. The words may also very probably have had reference to the general Cretan character; the remark of Polybius is very noticeable; кa $\alpha \dot{0} \lambda$ ov $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ ai $\sigma \chi \rho o ̀ \nu \quad \nu о \mu i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ кє́ $\rho \delta o s$, Hist. vi. 4 6. 3 ; see Meursius, Creta, iv. io, p. 23 г.
12. $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ avitôv can only refer to those whom the Apostle is about to mention by name,-the Cretans; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ K $\rho \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \psi$ $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \omega \nu$ тो $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\gamma} \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ s $\alpha \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \nu$, Theod. To refer the pronoun to the preceding ol $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau$., or $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. (as appy. Matth.), would involve the assumption that the Cretan Jews had assimilated all the peculiar evil elements of the native Cretan (see De W.), a somewhat unnecessary hypothesis. The Cretans deserved the censure, not as being themselves false teachers, but as readily giving ear to such.
 phet.' There is here no redundancy; $a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ states that he belonged to them, tocos marks the antithesis; he was a prophet of their own, not one of ano-
 $\tau \eta s$, Theod.; see Winer, Gr. § 22. 7 , p. I39. The prophet here alluded to is not Callimachus (Theod.), but Epimenides (Chrys., al.), a Cretan, born at Cnossus or Gortyna, said to bave been priest, bard, and seer, among his countrymen, to have visited Athens about 596 b.c., and to have died soon afterwards above 550 years old. He appears to have deserved the title $\pi \rho o \phi$. in its fullest sense, being termed a $\theta \epsilon i o s$ à $\nu \dot{\eta} \rho$, Plato, Legg. I. p. 642 D, and coupled with Bacis and the Erythræan Sibyl by Cicero, de Div. I. 18.




The verse in question is referred by Jerome to the work of Epim．$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．For further details see Fa－ bricius，Bibl．Greca，i．6，Vol．ı．p． 36 （ed．1708），and Heinrich，Epimenides （Leips．1801）．
áel $\Psi$ ย̂̀бтaı］ ＇always liars．＇Repeated again by Callimachus，Hymn．ad Jov．8，and if antiquity can be trusted，a character only too well deserved ：hence the cur－ rent proverb，$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ K $\rho \hat{\eta} r a ~ к \rho \eta \tau l \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ， Polyb．Hist．viir．21．5，see also ib． vi．48．5，Ovid，Art．Am．I． 298 ；comp． Winer，$R W B$ ．s．v．＇Kreta，＇Vol．I． p．676，Meursius，Creta，Iv．10，p． 223. Coray regards this despicable vice as not improbably a bequest which they received from their early Phœenician colonists；comp．Heeren，Histor．Re－ searches，Vol．II．p． 28 （Transl）．
кака̀ $\begin{aligned} \text { np } & \text { a］＇evil beasts，＇in reference }\end{aligned}$ to their wild and untamed nature （comp．Joseph．Antiq．xviI．5．5，$\pi$ ovך－ $\rho o ̀ v$ $\theta \eta \rho l o \nu$ in reference to Archelaus， and the exx．in Wetst．and Kypke）， and possibly，though not so pertinent－ ly ，to their alo $\sigma \rho \circ \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon \iota a$ and utter worthlessness，Polyb．Hist．vi．46． 3 ． They formed the first of the three bad $\kappa \alpha ́ \pi \pi a ' s\left(\mathrm{~K} \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \mathrm{~K} a \pi \pi d \delta о \kappa \alpha \iota, \mathrm{Ki} \lambda_{\iota \kappa \epsilon \varsigma}\right.$ ， $\tau \rho \prime a ~ к d \pi \pi a ~ к к \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a)$ ，and appy．de－ served their position．
yaortpes d＇pyal］＇idle bellies，＇i．e．＇do－ nothing gluttons，＇Peile，comp．Phil． iii． 19 ；in ref．to their slothful sen－ suality，their dull gluttony and licen． tiousness；＇gulæ et inerti otio deditæ，＇ Est．The Cretan character which transpires in Plato，Legg．Book I．，in many points confirms this charge，esp． －in respect of sensuality．Further ex－ amples of ap $\alpha$ òs in the fem．form， nearly all from late writers，are given by Lobeck，Phryn．p． 105.

13．$\dot{\eta} \mu a p \tau u p(a \quad$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．］＇This testi－ mony is true．＇It is very hasty in De W．to find in this expression anything harsh or uncharitable．The nature of the people the Apostle knew to le what Epimenides had declared it； their tendencies were to evil（＇dubium non est quin deterrimi fuerint，＇Calv．）， and for the sake of truth，holiness， and the Gospel，the remedy was to be firmly applied ：see some wise thoughts of Waterland on this subject，Doct．of Trin．ch．4，Vol．III．p． 460 sq． Sレ＇îv altiav］＇for which cause，＇on account of these national characteris－
 каl $\delta о \lambda \epsilon \rho \grave{\nu}$ каі áкбддабтоу，Chrys． Compare notes on 2 Tim．i．I 2.
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \text { к．т．入．］＇confute them，set them }}$ right，with severity；＇not the deceivers so much as the deceived，who also by their ready acquiescence in the false teaching（8 $\lambda$ ous otkous，ver．11）might tend to propagate the error．The ad－ verb $a^{\pi} \pi o \tau b \mu \omega s$（ $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} s, \dot{a} \pi a \rho a \iota \tau \eta \tau \omega s$ ， Hesych．）only occurs again in 2 Cor． xiii．to（ámorou＇a is found in Rom． xi．22，in opp．to $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \delta \tau \eta s)$ ，and，as the derivation suggests，marks the as－ perity（＇asperum et abscissum castiga－ tionis genus，＇Valer．Max．II．7．14）of the rebuke：in Dion．Hal．viri．6I， the substantive stands in opp．to $\tau \grave{a}$ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \mathrm{K} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ，and in Diod．Sic．Xxxili． frag．I，to $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma} \eta \eta s$ ．See further exx． in Wetst．Vol．II．p．75，and esp．Kypke， Obs．Vol．iI．p．179，compared with Fritz．Rom．Vol．in．p． 508.
tra к．т．入．］＇in order that they may be sound in the faith；＇object and intent of the recommended course of action． De Wette here modifies the meaning of $z_{\nu} a$ as if it were used to specify the substance of the reproof：such an



interpr. is grammatically admissible (Winer, Gr. § 44.8, p. 299, see notes on ch. ii. 12 and on Eph. i. 17 ), but in the present case not necessary ; the Cretan disciples were doctrinally sick ( $\nu$ oбoû̀тes, 1 Tim. vi. 4), the object of the sharp reproof was to restore them to health; comp. Theod. The sphere and element in which that doctrinal health was to be enjoyed was $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$.
14. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ тpocéXovtes] 'not giving heed;' see notes on 1 Tim. i. 4 ; and on the $\mu \hat{v} \theta o c$, here specially characterized as 'Iov same verse, where the nature of the errors condemned by these Epp. is briefly stated.
évionaîs
$\alpha^{2} v \theta$.] 'commandments of men' (comp. Matth. xv. 9, Col. ii. 22), in antithesis to the commandments of God (Wiesing.), though this antithesis, owing to the necessarily close connexion of a ${ }^{i}$. $\theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \omega \nu$ and the tertiary predicate $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma$ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \rho \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$, must not be too strongly pressed: compare the following note. The context seems clearly to show that these $\epsilon \nu \tau 0 \lambda a i$ were of a ceremonial character, and involved ascetical re-
 $\mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$, Theoph. They had moreover an essentially bad origin, viz. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho$. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu:$ a $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i a \sigma \omega$ $\mu a \tau \kappa \kappa \mathfrak{\eta}$, based not on the old ceremonial law but on the rules of a much more recent asceticism, formed the background of all these commandments. $\quad \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi . \tau \grave{\eta} v \quad \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \dot{\eta} \theta$. 'turning aside from the truth,' sc. 'turning aside as they do,'-not (if we adopt the strictest rules of translation) 'who are turning away,' \&c. Alf.; see Donalds. Gr. § 492, and comp. notes to Transl. On $\dot{a} \pi o \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \notin$. compare notes on 2 Tim. i. 15, and on the ab-
sence of the article before $\dot{i} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi 0-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, Winer, $G r . \S 20.4$, p. 126. If the article had been prefixed to the two substantives and to the participle, then the two thoughts, that they were ordinances of men, and that these men were also very bad men, would have been made more prominent; comp. notes on Gal. iii. 26 : if the art. had stood before the part. only, then the $\check{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma a$ would be regarded as an undefined class, which it was the object of the participial clause more nearly to specify; see notes on $\times$ Tim. iii. 13 .
${ }^{5} 5$. $\left.\pi \alpha^{2} v \tau a\right]$ 'All things,'-not merely in reference to any 'ciborum delectum,' Calv., but with a greater comprehensiveness (comp. oư $\delta \notin \nu$ below), including everything to which the distinction of pure and impure could be applied. Here however Chrys. seems unduly inclusive when he says,
 the statement must necessarily be con. fined to such things and such objects as can be the materials and, as it were, the substrata for actions (De W.); comp. Rom. xiv. 20. The insertion of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ after $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ is rightly rejected by Lachm. and Tisch. with $\mathrm{ACD}^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}^{1}$; al.; as being very probably occasioned by the following $\delta \epsilon$. Winer, Gr. §61. 5, p. 493 sq., urges its juxtaposition to a word with which it is not naturally connected (Acts xxii. 3, 1 Cor. ii. 15) as a reason why it was struck out; this is plausible, the uncial authority however seems too decided to admit of this defence.
tois xatapois] 'for the pure,' scil. 'for them to make use of;' dat. commodi, not dat. judicii, 'in the estimation of,' which, though admissible in this clause (see exx. in Scheuerl. Synt.




§ 21．5，p． 163 ，Winer，Gr．§ 31．4， p．190），would not be equally so in the second；the $\mu \epsilon \mu \iota a \mu \mu \dot{\nu} \nu o \iota$ and ${ }^{\circ} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \iota$ do not merely account all things as im－
 өapтa rif ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ，（Ecum．），but convert them into such ；＇pro qualitate vescen－ tium et mundum mundis et immun－ dum contaminatis fit，＇Jerome．Their own inward impurity is communicated to all external things；the objects with which they come in contact become materials of sin ；comp．De W．in loc． dimiorots］＇unbelieving；＇a frightful addition to the preceding $\mu \epsilon \mu \tau a \mu \mu$ évos． Not only are they deficient in all moral purity，but destitute of all $\pi$ iotics． The former epithet stands in more ex－ act antithesis to кa日apois，while the latter heightens the picture．Practical unbelief（ver．16）is only too commonly allied with moral pollution．On the form $\mu \epsilon \mu a \mu \mu$ ．［with $\mathrm{AC}\left(\mathrm{D}^{1} \mu \epsilon \mu \operatorname{a\nu } \mu\right.$ ．， FG $\mu є \mu є \iota а \mu \mu.) ~ K L N ; ~ a l.], ~ c o m p . ~ L o-~$ beck，Phryn．p． 35 ．
di入入ฝ．$\mu \epsilon \mu$ iavtal к．т．$\lambda$.$] ＇but both their$ mind and their conscience have been polluted；＇declaration on the positive side of what has just been expressed on the negative，and in direct confirm－ ation of it．It need scarcely be ob－ served that $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ is by no means equi－ valent to $\gamma \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ ；the latter would give a reason why nothing was pure to the polluted；the former states with full adversative force the fact of an internal pollution，which makes the former statement＇that nothing external was pure to them＇feeble when contrasted with it ；see esp．Klotz，Devar．Vol．II． p．9．On the more emphatic enume－ ration кai．．．кah，see notes on r Tim．iv．

10，and Donalds．Gr．$\$ 550 \mathrm{sq}$ ．
$\delta$ voûs is here not merely the＇mens speculativa＇（comp．Sanderson，de Obl． Consc． § $_{17} 7$ ，Vol．Iv．p．13，ed．Jacobs．）， but the willing as well as the thinking part of man（Delitzsch，Psychol．1v．5， p．140，Beck；Bibl．Seelenl．it．18．b， p．54）；see also the notes on 1 Tim． vi．5．$\dot{\eta} \sigma v v e \ell \delta \eta \sigma \iota s$ is the conscience， the moral consciousness within（see esp．notes on 1 Tim．i．5）；the two united thus represent，in the language of Beck，the＇Lebenstrom in seinem Aus－und Einfluss zusammen，＇p．49， note．Bp．Taylor（Ductor Dub．I．．． 1．7）somewhat infelicitously regards the two terms as identical．

16．íroлоүoûбเv］＇They profess；＇ they make an open confession of God， but practically deny it，being deficient in all true earnestness；＇quotiescum－ que vincimur vitiis atque peccatis，to－ ties Deum negamus，＇Jerome．
ápvoûvtal］＇deny（Him）；＇in opposi－ tion to $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mu \circ \lambda$ ．The Vulg．（perhaps） and a few commentators（Wiesing．，al．） supply $\epsilon i \delta e ́ v a l ~ a f t e r ~ a ̀ \rho \nu o ̂ ̂ v \tau a \iota . ~ T h i s ~$ does not seem necessary；the use of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a u$ with an accus．personce is so extremely common，that it is best， with Syr．，to retain the simpler con－ struction．Though so common in the N．T．，dopeioftat is only used by St Paul in the Past．Epp．；add Heb． xi．24．$\quad \beta 6 \epsilon$ ликтоl］＇abomi－ nable；＇${ }^{2} \pi . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu$ ．in N．T．；comp． Prov，xvii．15，áкаं $\theta$ аттоs каl $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v$－

 oblique reference to idolatry（ $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda$ ú $\gamma$－ $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ，Deut．xxix．17，al．），nor neces－ sarily to the abomination in which

##  <br>  ,  

certain animals, de., were formerly held (Lev. xi. 10), and which they might still have felt, though this is more plausible; comp. Wiesing. It is simply said that their actions and primciples made them 'abominable ' ( $\mu \iota \sigma \eta$ roil, Hesyoh.) in the sight of God. The verb is used metaphorically in Attic writers, but not in a sense so far removed from the primary notion ( $\beta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ) as in the LXX. and eccl. writers; comp. Aristoph. Vesp. 792.
di $\delta$ óкцног] 'reprobate;' not actively 'qua bonum probare non possunt,' Beng., but passively, 'reprobi,' Vulg., Clarom., Goth. ('uskusanái,' coon. with 'choose'), as in 2 Tim. iii. 8, and elsewhere in the N.T.; see notes in bloc. The use of the word, if we except Heb. vi. 8, is confined to St Paul.

Chapter II. i. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{~ S t ]}$ ' But do thou;' address to Titus in contrast to these false teachers; so 2 Tim. iii. 10, iv. 5. Chrys. has here missed the force of the contrasted address, avo $\epsilon$ lo uv $\dot{\alpha} \kappa d$ -
 comp. also Theod. ; Titus is not tacitly warned not to be deterred or disheartend, but is exhorted to preach sound doctrine in opposition to their errors. $\lambda_{a ́ \lambda \epsilon l] ~ ' s p e a k, ' ~ ' u t t e r ; ' ~ ' o r e ~ n o n ~ c o-~}^{\text {co }}$ hibito,' Beng. On the difference between $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$, 'vocem ore mittere' [ $\lambda a \lambda$-, Germ. lallen, comp. Benfey, Wureellex. Vol. II. p. 9], $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'dicere, sc. colligere verbs in sententiam, (comp. Donalds. Cratyl. § 453), and $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \bar{v}$, 'verbs facere,' see Tittmann, Synon. r. p. 8 aq .; comp. also Trench, Synod. Part II. \& 26.
 trine;' see notes on 1 Tim. i. 10.
2. трєб $\beta$ v́тas] 'aged men,' 'renes,' Vulg., Carom. ; not $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta u \tau \notin \rho o u s$, in an official sense: 'in duad classes $\nu \epsilon \omega$ $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ et $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ dividunt Apostoli populum Christianum in unaquâque Ecclesia,' Pearson, Find. Ign. (ad Lect.), p. 12 (A.C. Libr.). The inf. with the accusative specifies the substance of the order which was containe in what Titus was to enunciate; comp. Madvig, Synt. § 146.
ข $\eta$ фа ${ }^{2}$ lows] 'sober,' Vulg., Clarom. ;
 lati], and even Chrys.; see notes on I Tim. iii. 2, and on 2 Tim. iv. 5. On the meaning of $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta s$, comp. notes on I Tim. ii. 2, and on that of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, ib. ii. 9 . $\quad \tau \hat{1} \pi(\sigma \tau \epsilon 1]$ ' in respect of faith;' dative ' of reference to,' see notes on Gal. i. 22, and Wines, Gr. § ar. 6, p. 193. It may be observed that this expression may almost be interchanged with $\epsilon \nu$ and the dat. as in ch. i. 13: this seems to confirm the remark in Gal. l. c., that this class of datives may not uncommonly be considered as a species of the local dat. ethically used. Here the $\tau \delta$ ijuai$\nu \epsilon l \nu$ of the aged men was to be shown in their faith; it was to the province of that virtue that the exhibition of it was to be limited. Tn ขंสо 'in patience;' ' in rations bent considerata stabilis et perpetua mansion,' Cicero, de Invent. Ir. 54. 164. It is here joined with $\pi i \sigma \tau \tau s$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$, as in I Tim. vi. 11 (comp. I Thess. i. 3), and serves to mark the brave patience, the enduring fortitude, which mark the true Christian character; see notes on 2 Tim. ii. 10, and comp. Usteri, Lehr. II. I. 4, p. 240.



4．$\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu i j \omega \sigma \omega{ }^{2}$ ］So Rec．with CDEKLN ${ }^{4}$ ；al．（Gries5．，De Wette，Huther， al．）．Both Tisch．and Lachm．read $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu l \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu$ with AFGHN ${ }^{1}$ ；al．Although this evidence is strong，we may well hesitate to adopt a solecism so glaring， especially when in the very next verse iva is used again and correctly．In 1 Cor．iv．6，Gal．iv． 17 ，this may be more easily accounted for；see notes on Gal．l．c．，and comp．Winer，Gr．§4r．I，p． 259.

3．трєб $\ddot{a} \pi . \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$ ．，synonymous with the $\pi \rho \epsilon-$ $\sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau$ epal， i Tim．v．2．They were to be $\dot{\omega} \sigma a \dot{u} \tau \omega s$ ，and not is $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \omega \bar{s}$ in re－ spect of any of the foregoing qualifi－ cations：comp．r Tim．iii． 8.
iv катабти́лать］＇in demeanour，＇ 7 $a \pi$ ．入eүb $\mu$ ．，in meaning a little，but a little only，different from кaтaoto入n， t Tim．ii．9．In the latter place the prevailing idea is perhaps outward deportment as enhanced by what is purely external，dress，\＆c．，in the pre－ sent case outward deportment as de－ pendent on sometbing more internal， e．g．manner，gesture，\＆c．，＇incessus et motus，vultus，sermo，silentium，＇Je－ rome；see also Coray in loc．It is manifestly contrary to the true mean－ ing of the word to refer it to the mere externals of dress on the one hand（ $\tau$ d $\pi \epsilon \rho(\beta o \lambda a i ̂ a$, （Ecum．），and it seems in－ exact，without more precise adjuncts in the context，to limit it solely to in－ ternals（＇ornatus virtutum，＇Beng．）on the other．Wetst．cites Porphyr．de Alst．Iv．6，$\tau \grave{\partial} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \partial \nu \nu \dot{d} \kappa \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s \dot{\epsilon} \omega \rho a \hat{\tau} \sigma$ ，with which comp． Ignat．Trall．§ 3，oũ aù $\tau \delta$ т̀̀ кaтá $\sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu a \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ía．Plutarch uses some－ what similarly the curious adjective катабтๆцатько́s，e．g．Tib．Gracch．§ 2，


iєротрєтєis］＇holy－beseeming，＇＇as be－ cometh holiness，＇Auth．；the best gloss
is the parallel passage，i Tim．ii．so，$\hat{o}$
 ßєtav；comp．＇Eph．v．3，каө̀ेs $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ àiocs．The word is a $d \pi$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\partial} \mu$ ．in the N．T．，but not very uncommon elsewhere，e．g．Xen．Sympos．vin．40， Plato，Theages，p． 122 D：see these and other exx．in Wetst．On $\delta i a-$ $\beta$ ódous，see notes on I Tim．iii．if．
$\mu \eta$ оlvఱ к．т．入．］＇not enslaved to much wine；＇an expression a little stronger than I Tim．iii． $8, \mu \grave{\eta} o t \nu \varphi \tau \pi \rho \lambda \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma-$ € $\chi o y \tau \epsilon s$, and possibly due to the greater prevalence of that vice in Crete ：this transpires clearly enough in Plato， Legg．I．and II．，comp．Buok I．§ II， p． 64 I ．
ка入o $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa d \lambda_{0}$ s］＇teachers of what is good，＇＇honestatis magistre，＇Beza， not by public teaching，but，as the context implies by its specifications，in domestic privacy， $\boldsymbol{e n}^{\prime}$ olkias，Chrys． On кa入òs compare notes on I Tim． iv． 4.
 they may school the young women to be， \＆c．；＇$\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega \sigma \iota v$ ，Theoph．，－not ex－ actly＇prudentiam doceant，＇Vulg．， Clarom．（comp．Syr．），which，though perfectly correct per se，would here，on account of the following $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho o v a s$, be somewhat tautologous：numerous exx． of this less special sense of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \zeta \xi \in \nu$ are cited by Loesn．（Obs．p． 427 ）from Philo，all appy．confirmed by its con－ nexion with，and juxtaposition to，the weaker $\nu 0 u \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \nu$ ．It may be remarked that in the corresponding passage，i




Tim. v. 2, Timothy is himself directed to exhort the $\nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon \rho a s$, here it is to be done by others: this was probably in consequence of the greater amount of practical teaching and exhortation which the Cretan women required. It does not seem necessary to adopt, with Tisch., a solecistic reading when the correct mood is fairly supported; see crit. note.

ф $1 \lambda a ́ v \delta \rho o v s]$ 'lovers of their husbands;' тo кєфd-
 $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, Chrys. This and the adjectives which follow are, as $\epsilon$ fivac further suggests, dependent on the verb immediately preceding, and serve more specifically to defiue the nature and substance of the $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu / \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime} s$. If the connexion had been with $\lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon l$ as in ver. 3 , the infinitive, as there, would more naturally have been omitted. Calvin evades this objection by referring $\phi \iota \lambda d \nu \delta \rho$. and $\phi \iota \lambda o \tau \in \kappa \nu$. to the $\nu \in \dot{a} i$, but $\sigma \dot{\prime} \phi \rho o \nu a s$ к. $\tau . \lambda$., to the $\pi \rho \in \sigma$ $\beta$ vict $\delta e s$ : this however wholly mars the natural sequence of the epithets. The péal are here, as the immediate context shows, primarily the young married women, but of course not exclusively, as four out of these epithets can belong equally to married or single; comp. notes on ver. 6.
5. न'́фpovas] 'sober-minded,' 'discreet;' see notes on I Tim. ii. g. The more general $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho$. is then followed by the more special $\dot{a} \gamma \nu a ́ s$, which here, as the subject and the context seem to require, has reference, not to purity from $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa$ òs $\mu 0 \lambda \nu \sigma \mu$ ós (Coray), but more particularly to 'chastity;'

 Theoph. oikovpyoús] 'workers
at home;' there is to be no desire or
 home occupations are to preclude it. We now (with Lachm. and Tisch.) adopt this reading owing to the very distinct preponderance of external evidence $\left[\mathrm{ACD}^{1} \mathrm{EFG} \mathbb{N}^{1}\right.$ ], but, as the spaced Greek in the text is intended to imply, with much hesitation, no other clear example of its use baving yet been adduced, and no distinct trace of this reading being recognizable in the older versions. The verb occurs Clem. Rom. I. I, and appy. in reference to this passage. It has also been found in Soranus (A.D. 120 ?), de Arte Obst. viII. 2I, but its association with $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \delta \rho \circ \rho$ makes the reading very doubtful. If we retain the more familiar oixoupoús [.Rec. with $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{HKL}$ (not $\mathbf{I}$ as Tisch.) $\mathbb{K}^{4}$; nearly all mss.], the meaning will be, 'keepers at home,' Auth., 'domisedas,' 'casarias,' Elsner, or more literally, 'domum custodientes,' Clarom., 'domus curam habentes,' Vulg., sim. Syr. According to Hesych. oiкоирòs is $\dot{o} \phi \rho о \nu \tau l \zeta \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ôरou каl $\phi u \lambda d \tau \tau \omega \nu$, the Homeric oipos, 'watcher' [possibly from op. 'watch' (?), Pott, Etym. Forseh. Vol. I. p. 123 , comp. ф $\rho 0 \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ ], giving the compound its definite meaning: see Suicer, Thes. s. v., and the large collection of exx. in Elsner, Obs. Vol. 11. p. 324 sq.
ajadàs is not to be joined with olkouprois, as Syr. and Theoph., but regarded as an independent epithet = 'benignas,' Vulg., Arm., al. ; comp. Matth. xx. 15. On the distinction between àa $\begin{aligned} & \text { os ('qui commodum aliis prestat') }\end{aligned}$ and $\delta l$ кalos ('qui recti et honesti legem sequitur'), see Tittm. Synon. I. p. 19 sq.; comp. also notes on Gal. v. 22.

Exhort young men to be sober, being thyself a pattern; exhort servants both to please their masters and to be trusty

The interpr. of Bloomf., 'good managers,' according to which it is to be considered as 'exegetical of the preceding,' is wholly untenable. It is rather added with a gentle contrast; the oikoupia was not to be marred by 'austeritas,' sc. 'in servulos' (Jerome), or by inproper thrift (Heydenr.).
 themselves to their own husbands.' On the distinction between $\dot{u} \pi o \tau a \dot{\sigma} \sigma$. (sponte) and $\pi \epsilon \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ (coactus), see notes on ch. iii. r, Tittmann, Synon. Part II. p. 3, and on the proper force of the pronominal toios (Donalds. Cratyl. § I 39) when thus connected with di $\nu \dot{\eta} \rho$, see notes on Eph. v. 22. The concluding words of the verse, iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ к.т. ג., may be regarded as dependent on all that precedes, but perhaps are more naturally connected with this last clause (Est.) ; the $\lambda$ b yos $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}$ (the Gospel) would be evil spoken of if it were practically apparent that Christian wives did not duly obey their husbands; comp. I Tim, vi. I. Theodoret refers it, somewhat too narrowly, to the fact of women leaving their husbands $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s:$ the inplied command here, and the expressed command in Eph. v. 22, are perfectly general and inclusive.
6. Tous vewtipous] 'The younger men,' in contrast with the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{r}$ as, ver. 2 ; just as the $\nu \notin a l$ form a contrasted class to the $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau i \delta \in s$, ver. 3 . There is tbus no good reason for extending it, with Matth., to the young of both sexes. It seems to have been the Apostle's desire that the exhortations to the Cretan $p \notin a c$ should be specially administered by those of their own sex ; contrast I Tim. v. 2. owdpoveiv] 'to be sober-minded;' in
this pregnant word a young man's duty is simply but comprehensively enunciated; où $\delta \grave{\nu} \gamma$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oü $\tau \omega$ $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa 0 \lambda o \nu$

 $\dot{\alpha} \tau\langle\pi \omega \omega$, Chrys.: comp. Neand. Planting, Vol. 1. p. 486 (Bohn). The repeated occurrence of this word in different forms in the last few verses, would seem to bint that 'immoderati affectus' were sadly prevalent in Crete, and that tbe Apostle had the best of reasons for that statement in i. 12 , 5 , which De W. and others so improperly and unreasonably presume to censure.
7. $\pi \in \rho i \operatorname{ta} u v \tau a$ is not to be connected with $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ ('ut pudici sint in omnibus,' Jerome), but, as Syr.; Vulg., Chrys., and in fact all the leading versions and expositors, with $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau . \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi b \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ s. It can scarcely be necessary to add that $\pi d \nu \tau a$ is neuter; for the uses of $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$, see notes on I Tim. i. 19 .
бєavtòv mapex.] 'exhibiting thyself;' reflexive pronoun with the middle voice; see Winer, Gr. § 38. 6, p. 230. In this use, not without precedent in earlier Greek, e.g. Xen. Cyrop. virf. I. 39, Plato, Legg. x. p. $8 g 0$ c, emphasis and perspicuity are gained by the special addition of the pronoun. Here for instance without the pronoun the reference might have seemed doubtful; the $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi$ ov might have been referred to one of the $\nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o r$ and the use of the middle to the interest felt by Titus in making him so. In such cases care must be taken to discriminate between what is now termed an intensive or 'dynamic' middle (Krüger, comp.notes on 1 Tim. iv. 6) and a simple reflexive middle: in the former case the pro-



noun would seem to be generally admissible, in the latter (the present case) it can only legitimately appear when emphasis or precision cannot be secured without it ; see Kriuger, Sprachl. § 52. ro. ro, and on the uses of $\pi a \rho^{\prime} X$. comp. Kuster, de Verb. Med. \& 49.
ка入̄̄̃ ${ }^{\text {Ëpy.] }}$ On this expression, which is perfectly comprebensive and inclusive, comp. notes on ch. iii. 8. Few will be disposed to agree with Calvin in his connexion of these words with $\epsilon_{i} \tau \hat{\eta} \delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i q$.
àdooplav] 'uncorruptness,' ' sincerity,' sc. $\pi \alpha \rho \in \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$; 'integritatem,' Vulg., Clarom.: Syr. paraphrases. The associated word $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta s$ as well as what would otherwise be the tautologous $\lambda$ orov iryı̂, seem to refer ápoopiav, not objectively to the teaching (scil. $\delta ı \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a \nu$ adíá $\phi \theta \rho \rho o \nu$, Coray), hut subjectively to the teacher, comp. 2 Cor. xi. 3; in his $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma k a \lambda i a$ he was to be atoopos (Artemid. v. 95), in his delivery of it $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ ós : a chaste sincerity of mind was to be combined with a dignified $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ of manner. This connexion is rendered perhaps still more probable by the reading of the text (Lachm., Tisch.): of two similarly abstract substantives, it would seem hardly natural to refer one to the teaching and the other to the teacher. For di $\phi \theta o \rho i a v$, FG read id $\phi \theta o v i a \nu$, and $D^{3} E^{2} \mathrm{LN}^{4} \dot{\alpha} \delta c a \phi \theta$. but neither reading deserves consideration. The addition dंфӨapolà (Steph. 1550, but not Rec.) is not well supported, viz. only by $\mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{E}\left[{ }^{2}\right.$ ? $] \mathrm{KL}$; about 30 mss ; and a few Vv.: still less so is the addition $\dot{a} \gamma \nu e l a v$ with $\mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{mss}$., Syr. Phil. On $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ t \eta s$, see notes on 1 Tim. ii. 2, and on the practical applications of the verse, Bp. Taylor, Serm. x. xi.
8. $\lambda$ óyov ท̂yıท̂] 'sound discourse,' not merely in private life ('in consuetudine quotidianat,' Beng.), but, as the context seems to require, in the exercise of his public duties, more especially in preaching, comp. I Tim. v. I7: 'inter docendum nibil aliud loquere quam quod sanæ fidei conveniat,' Estius. Several exx. of this use of $\dot{v} \gamma(\grave{\eta} s$ are cited by Raphel, Annot. Vol. iI. p. 636. The $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\prime}$ os is moreover not only to be intrinsically iychs, but so carefully considered and expressed as to be $\dot{d} \kappa a \tau d \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \sigma$, open to neither contempt nor animadversion ; 'nihil dignum reprehensione dicat aut faciat, licet adversarii sint ad reprebendum parati,' Jerome: comp. i Tim. vi. ${ }^{4}$.
 sc. $\chi \omega \rho a s$ (Bos, Ellips. p. 562 [325], ed. Schaef.), if indeed it be thought necessary to supply the ellipsis at all. The reference is doubtful; the 'adversary' ('he who riseth against us,' Syr.) seems certainly not $\dot{o} \delta \alpha^{\prime} \beta_{0} \lambda_{0}$ (Chrys.), but rather $\pi$ às $\delta$ éceivu $\delta \iota a-$ $\pi$ ovoúpevos, whether the opposing false teacher, or the gainsaying heathen. On the whole, the allusion in ver. 5, compared with the reading $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ (us Christians), makes the latter reference (to the heathen) the most plausible; comp. I Tim. v. 14. The statement of Matth. that ACDEFG read $\dot{\boldsymbol{i} \mu} \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is completely erroneous; all the above, with the exception of A, read $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$; see Tisch. in loc.
èvtpaiñ] ' may be shamed,'-not middle 'sich schäme,' Huther, but appy. here with a purely passive sense (comp. Syr. $\mathbf{L o}^{\text {ºn }}$, 'pudefiat,' 'erubescat'), as in 2 Thess. iii. 14; comp. : Cor. iv. 14, Psalm xxxv. 26, aid.

##  

9．Lioocs $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ．］So Rec．with CFGKLN；most mss．；Chrys．，Theod．，Dam． Lachm．and Tisch．（ed．7）reverse the order with ADE； 6 mss．，but on evidence inferior in critical value to that in favour of the text．

10．$\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu]$ So Lachm．with $\operatorname{ACDEN}^{4}$（ $\mathrm{FG} \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \hat{\epsilon}^{2} \nu \delta . \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu ; \aleph^{1}$ om．$\pi i \sigma \tau(v)$ ； 5 mss．；Clarom．，Sangerm．，al．；Lat．Ff．The order is reversed by Tisch．with KL；great majority of mss．；Copt．，al．；Chrys．，Theod．，Dam．， al．＇（Rec．，Griesb．，Scholz），but the weight of uncial authority is certainly in favour of the reading of the text．It may be also remarked that appy．in every other instance in St Paul＇s Epp．（except Eph．iv．19）where $\pi$ âs is in connexion with an abstract and anarthrous substantive，it does not follow but precede the noun．
$\chi \nu \nu \theta \in \mathfrak{l} \eta \sigma a \nu \kappa a l$ द̀vт $\rho a \pi \epsilon l \eta \sigma a \nu$.
фaî入ov］＇bad，＇مـُ［odiosum］Syr．；
 James iii．16；Rom．ix．II and 2 Cor． v．so are both doubtful．This adjec－ tive，in its primary meaning＇light，＇ ＇blown about by every wind＇（Donalds． Cratyl．§ I52），is used with a distinct moral reference in earlier as well as later writers（see exx in Rost u．Palm， Lex．s．v．）；in the latter however it is used in more frequent antithesis to ajaAós，and comes to mean little less than какòs（Thom．M．p．889，ed． Bern．）or $\pi$ o $\begin{aligned} & \text { p } \rho o ́ s ; ~ ; ~ s e e ~ T r e n c h, ~ S y n o n . ~\end{aligned}$ Part II． § 34，and comp．Fritz．Rom．$^{\text {a }}$ ， Vol．II．p． 297.

9．$\Delta$ oú入ovs к．т．入．］＇（Exhort）bond－ servants to be in suljection to their own masters．＇It does not seem necessary to refer this construction to ver．I （Matth．）；the infin．is dependent on $\pi а р а к а ं \lambda \epsilon$, ver． 6 ，the two following verses being dependent on the parti－ ciple $\pi a \rho \in \chi$ ．and practically paren－ thetical．On the general drift of these exhortations to slaves，and on the meaning of some particular terms （ibiocs，$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ órals），see notes and reff． on I Tim．vi．у sq．The deportment and relations of women and servants to the oixodé $\pi$ тotal were practically to teach and edify the heathen；ov $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$
 $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каl ßlov $\tau$ à סór $\mu a \tau a$ крlขovaì ＂Eג入ך pes ，Chrys．，－who however in an interesting passage speaks very de－ spondingly of the moral and religious opportunities of $\delta o \hat{\text { undou．}}$
єv่aptorovs］＇well－pleasing；＇a term fre－ quently used by St Paul，Rom．xii． $\mathbf{1}$ ， 2，xiv． 18,2 Cor．v． 9 ，al．，but in all pas－ sages except the present with relation to God or our Lord．Fritz．（Rom．l．c． Vol．iII．p．3I）rightly objects to the translation＇obsequiosus，＇Bretschn．，－
 prebeant］，but doubtfully advocates a purely passive or rather neutral translation，＇is cui facile satisfacias，＇ ＇homo contentus，＇similarly Jerome， ＇complacentes conditioni sure．＇This certainly does not seem necessary， the reference is more naturally to $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ t a c s$, ＇well pleasing to them，＇ i．e．＇approved by them（comp．Phil． iv．18）in all things；＇comp．Clem． Alex．Strom．vir． 13 （ 83 ），p． 883 （ed．
 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \quad \gamma^{\hat{c} \nu \eta \tau a l, ~ к а l ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к o ́ \sigma \mu o \nu ~}$ éralveтós，where this passage or Rom． xiv．is seems to have been in the thoughts of the writer．
àvti入є́үovtas］＇gainsaying，＇＇con－ tradicting，＇＇contradicentes，＇Vulg．， Clarom．，and perbaps even more de－


 us to be godly in this world, and to look forward to our Redeener's coming.
finitely Syr. $\sim$ م thwarting, or setting themselves against their masters' plans, wishes, or orders;
 Chrys. The Auth., 'not answering again' ('non responsatores,' Beza), seems too narrow; comp. John xix. 12, ${ }^{2} \nu \tau i \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{Y}$ Kaifapl, Rom. x.
 (LXX.), and in this Epistle, ch.i. 9, where ducidéyelv probably involves some idea of definite opposition; comp. Tittm. Synon. II. p. 9.
 Acts v. 2, 3, with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha}$ of the thing from which purloined; comp. Josh. vii. 1, 2 Macc. iv. 32. This use of $\nu \circ \sigma \phi l \zeta .=\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \tau \nu$ (Hesych.), or with more accurate reflexive reference, $i \delta \iota \pi \pi o i o v ́ \mu \in \nu o s$ (Suidas), requires no illustration ; exx. if needed will be found in Wetat. $\pi a ̂ \sigma a v$ miotiv к.т.入.] 'showing forth all good fidelity;' '̇̀ठєєкข. is only used by St Paul, and in Heb. vi. IO, Ix; see notes on Epll. ii. 7, where the word is briefly noticed, and comp. Donalds. Gr. §434, p. 447. The appended epithet $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ can scarcely refer to the actions, 'in rebus non malis,' Beng., but seems merely to specify the 'fidelity' as true and genuine, opposed to a mere assumed, eye-serving, तioris, comp. Eph. vi. 6. On the various meanings of $\pi i \sigma \tau / s$ in the N.T., comp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. I. I, p. 91, note, and on the use of $\pi \mathrm{a} \sigma \alpha \nu$, 'every form of' (comp. '̇̀ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ below), see notes on Eph. i. 8.

โva...
коopễเv] 'in order that they may adorn;' definite object and purpose contemplated by such conduct. The

Apostle knew well the force of practical teaching; a $\delta 0 \hat{\lambda} \lambda_{\text {os }}^{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi} \phi l-$ $\lambda o \sigma o \phi \hat{\nu}$, to use the words of Chrys., must in those days have been, even though a silent, yet a most effective preacher of the Gospel. The concluding words, which refer to God the Father ( I Tim. i. i, ii. 3, iv. ro, Tit. i. 3), not to God the Son, specify the $\delta i \delta a \sigma \kappa$. as being 'the doctrine of salvation,' 'the Gospel,'-an expression at which De W. unnecessarily takes exception.
ir. $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ gives the reason for the foregoing practical exhortations, and seems to have been immediately suggested by the last words of ver. ro, which, though specially referring to slaves, may yet be extended to all classes. It is thus really a reference to ver. 9,10 , but virtually to all that precedes from ver. isq. The saving grace of God had among its objects the $\dot{\alpha}$ (a $a \mu$ oेs of mankind; comp. Eph. i. 4, and the four good sermons by Beveridge, Serm. xc.-xciri. Vol. iv. p. 225 sq. (A.-C. Libr.). This $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho / s$ need not be limited to the incarnation (Theod., Jerome, al.), though this, as the context and perhaps $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta$ show, is the leading reference; 'the grace of God doth not so bring salvation as to exclude the satisfaction of Christ for our sins,' Beveridge, l.c. p. 229.
'ETıqaivecp (ch. iii. 4, Luke i. 79) and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \alpha \dot{\nu} \in i a$ are normal words in con. nexion with our Lord's first or second advent (Waterl. Serm. vi. [Moyer's Lect.] Vol. II. p. 134), possibly with a metaphorical reference, comp. Luke i. 78, 79, with Acts xxvii. 20 ; the dog. matical reference involved in the compound $\dot{\imath \nu a \tau \dot{\eta} \nu a ̈ \nu \omega \theta \in \nu \quad \ddot{u} \pi \alpha \rho \xi \iota \nu \mu \eta \nu \dot{v} \sigma \eta}$


11. $\sigma \omega \omega^{\prime} \eta$ plos] So Lachm. with $\mathrm{AC}^{1} \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathbf{N}^{4}$; Syr. (both) ; FGN ${ }^{1}$ also omit the article, but for $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho l o s ~ r e a d ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \hat{\rho} \rho s$, FG further inserting $\tau o \hat{u}$ before it. In ed. I and $2 \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} p l o s$ was adopted with $\mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{EKL}$; mss. (Rec., Tisch.), but is now altered, though not by any means with confidence, in consequence of the further testimony of $\boldsymbol{\aleph} \boldsymbol{\aleph}$ in favour of the omission of the article.
(Zonaras, Lex. Vol. r. p. 831), seems to be clearly indemonstrable. Xápts к.т. ${ }^{\text {.] ' 'the grace of God, bring- }}$ ing salvation to all men,' 'that grace of God whereby alone it is possible for mankind to be saved,' Beveridge, l.c. p. 229; $\sigma \omega+\dot{\eta} \rho \iota \circ$, as its position shows, introducing a further predication, scil. 'and it is a saving grace to all men' (Donalds. Gr. § 400), which more fully defines the $\dot{\eta} \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota s$ rov̂ $\Theta \in o \hat{v}$. The reading is not certain (see critical note): uncial authority appy. now preponderates in favour of the text, but internal arguments would seem to be in favour of the insertion of the article before $\sigma \omega \tau$ jpoos, as the principal thought would then rest more completely on $\pi a \cup \delta \in$ v́ovara. Huther, in contending for the omission of the art. on the same internal grounds, does not appear to have been fully aware of the nature and force of these predicates. In either case, on account of the following $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$,
 turally and plausibly appended to $\sigma \omega$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \rho \cos ;$ joined with $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi$., it would be, as Wiesinger remarks, aimless and obstructive.
12. тaıбеv́ováa ทi $\mu$ âs] 'disciplining us.' The proper force of this word in the N.T., 'per molestias erudire' (see notes on Eph. vi. 4, Trench, Synon. § 32), preserved in the 'corripiens' of Clarom., must not here be lost sight of or (as in Bloomf.) obscured. Grace exercises its discipline on us ( $\mathbf{I}$ Cor. xi. $\mathbf{3}^{2}$, Heb. xii. 6) before its benefits can be fully felt or thankfully acknow-
ledged: the heart must be rectified and the affections chastened before sanctifying grace can have its full issues; comp. (on the work of grace) the excellent sermon of Waterland, Serm. xxvi. Vol. v. p. 688.
'va] ' to the intent that;' not merely the substance (De W., Huth.) but the direct object of the $\pi a \delta \delta \epsilon i a$. De W. considers iva with the subj. as here only tantamount to an infin.; this is grammatically admissible after verbs of 'command,' 'entreaty,' al. (see Winer, Gr. §44. 8, p. 299, comp. notes on ch. i. 13 and on Eph. i. 17), but doubtful after a verb so full of meaning as $\pi a \iota \delta \in \dot{u} \epsilon \ell$. The opinion of Chrys. seems definite with regard to liva, but he is appy. inclined to join it with the finite verb, $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \mathrm{X} \rho$. $\bar{\nu} \nu a \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \eta \sigma \omega \mu \mu \theta a$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon c \alpha \nu$ : this does not appear to be admissible. dpvๆбá $\mu \in v o t]$ 'having denied;' not 'denying,' Auth., Alf.,-which, though grammatically defensible, seems to obscure that formal renunciation of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$. which was characteristic of the Christian profession, and to which the Apostle seems here to allude. On the use of the verb, comp. notes on ch. i. i6. The participle, as Wiesinger remarks, states on the negative side the purpose of the $\pi a \| \delta i l a$, which is further expressed on the positive in $\sigma \omega \phi \rho$. $\zeta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta \epsilon \operatorname{lav}$, here not
 $\tau a$, Theoph., but 'practical impiety' (' whatsoever is offensive or dishonourable to God,' Beveridge, Serm. xo.




Vol. iv. p. 239 sq.), is the exact antithesis to $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \leqslant \beta \in \iota a$, on which latter word see notes on I Tim. ii. $2 . \quad \tau \boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{s}$ $\kappa о \sigma \mu$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \stackrel{\theta}{\mathrm{\theta}}$.] 'the lusts of the world,' 'all inordinate desires of the things of this world,' Beveridge, l.c., comp.



 Chrys. The adj. ко $\sigma \mu$ кòs is only found twice in the N.T., here (ethical) and in Heb. ix. 1 (local), being commonly replaced in such combinations as the present by words or expressions of a more distinct ethical force, Gal. v. 16 , Eph. ii. 3, I Pet. ii. Ir, 2 Pet. ii. 10 , al. It is here probably used in pre-
 being more general and inclusive, and as enhancing the extent of the abnegation : all $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta u \mu l a c$ are here included which, in a word, eis $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0 \nu$ нópoy $\tau \grave{\nu}$
 Coray ; comp. esp. I John ii. 15. In later writers the moral reference is very decided; кобцuкoús, $\tau o u s$ els $\tau$ h
 $\mu$ ias, Clem. Alex. Strom. II. 9. 4 I , Vol. I. p. $43^{\circ}$ (ed. Potter), Suicer, Thesaur. Vol. II. p. 147. On the various meanings of кó $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \varsigma$, comp. notes on Gal. iv. 3 . $\quad \sigma \omega \phi$ рóvos к.т.ג.] 'soberly, righteously, and godly.' The meanings assigned to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho$. (notes on I Tim. ii. 9), $\delta_{\text {ckalus (comp. note on }}$ $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{a} s$, ver. 5 ), and $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} s$ must not be too much narrowed, still in a general way they may be conceived as placing Christian duties under three aspects, to ourselves, to others, and to God; comp. Beveridge, Serm. xor. Vol. iv. p. 253. The termis indeed are all general and comprehensive, $-\delta i$.

кalos, for example ('qui jus fasque servat,' Tittm. Synon. 1. p. 21), includes more than duty to others, but the order as well as the meanings alike hint that this distinction is not to be wholly ignored; comp. Raphel, Annot. Vol, II. p. $6_{39}$, Storr, Opusc. Vol. I.
 'in the present worid,' 'the present course of things.' On the meaning of aićl, see notes on Eph. ii. 2, cump. also notes on 2 Tim. iv. ro.
 for the blessed hope and manifestation. of the glory;' comp. Acts xxiv. 15, and
 where see notes. In this expression, which, on account of the close union of $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta a$ with $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi$., is slightly different to Gal. l.e, è $\lambda \pi$ is is still not purely objective, sc. the 'res sperata,' $\tau \grave{̀} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda$ $\pi i \zeta \zeta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu_{0 \nu}$ (Huth., al.), but is only contemplated under objectiva aspects ('objectivirt'), our hope being considered as something defiaite and substantire, comp. Col. i. $5, \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \epsilon \iota-$ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu . . . \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs oùpa $\nu 0 \hat{c} s$, see notes in loc., and notes on Eph. i. 18. The nature of the hope is more fully defined by the gen. $\delta \delta \xi \eta s$ with which it is associated: see below. Theodoret seems to regard the whole expression
 $\pi$ apouolas aúrồ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a$ : this is not satisfactory; though the meaning may sometimes be practically not very different, yet such systems of interpretation are at best only eva ive and precarious; see Fritzsche's careful Excursus, in his Comm. on Matth. p. 853 sq. The different objects of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s, e . g . \delta \dot{\delta}$ -
 grouped together by Reuss, Theol. Chrét. IV. 20, Vol. II. p. 221.
'In

 explained away as a mere epithet, "glorious appearing, Auth., Scholef., but is a true and proper genitive, see notes on Eph. i. 6: there is a twofold $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota$ -
 ver. II, the other an $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi . \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta b \xi \eta s$, see Beveridge, Serm. xciI. Vol. rv. p. 271 (A.-C. Libr.). It is also plain!y dependent on $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta a$, as well as on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi$. (De W., Wiesing.), the two substantives being closely united, and under the vinculum of a common article; ses Winer, Gr: § i9. 4, p. 1 i6. It is singular that Scholef. (Hints, p. 126, ed. 4) should not have given this interpr. more prominence.
то̂̂ $\mu$ суá入ov к.т.入.] 'of our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ;' $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma a \nu \quad \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 Chrys. It must be candidly avowed that it is rery doubtful whether on the grammat:cal principle alluded to in the preceding note (the identity of reference of two substantives when under the vinculum of a common article) the interpretation of this passage can be fully settled; see Winer, Gr. § ig. 5, p. I 18 , and comp. notes on Eph. v. 5 . There is a presumption in favour of the adopted interpr., but, on account of the (defining) genitive $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ (Winer, p. II 4 ), nothing more: comp. Alf. in loc. (ed. 1) who, it may be observed, by an oversight has cited this note as advocating the view to which it is opposed. When however we turn to exegetical considerations, and remember
 and peculiarly applied to the Son, and never to the Father, see esp. Waterland, Serm. vi. (Moyer's Lect.) Vol. n. p. 134, comp. Beveridge, Serm. xcu. Vol. Iv. p. 268; (b) that the immediate context so specially relates to our

Lord; (c) that the following mention of Christ's giving Himself up for us, of His abasement, - does fairly account for St Paul's ascription of a title, otherwise unusual, that specially and antithetically marks His glory; (d) that $\mu \varepsilon \gamma{ }^{d} \lambda o v$ would seem uncalled for if applied to the Father, see Usteri, Lehrb. iI. 2. 4, p. 3 ro, Hofmann, Schrifib. Vol. I. p. 127; and (e) lastly, observe that appy. two of the ante-Nicene (Clem. Alex. Protrept. § 7, Vol. I. p. 7, ed. Potter, and Hippolytus, quoted by Wordsw.), and the great bulk of post-Nicene writers (aee Middleton, Gr. Art. p. 393, ed. Rose, Wordsworth, Six Letters, p. 67 sq.) concurred in this interpretation,--when we candidly weigh all this evidence, it does indeed seem difficult to resist the conviction that our blessed Lord is here said to be our $\mu \mathrm{t} \gamma \mathrm{as} \theta$ $\theta$ ós, and that this text is a direct, definite, and even studied declaration of the divinity of the Eternal Son. For further patristic citations, see the good note of Wordsworth in loc. It ought not to be suppressed that some of the best $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$., Vulg., Syr., Copt., Arm. (not however Ath.), and some Fathers of unquestioned orthodoxy adopted the other interpr. ; in proof of which latter assertion Reuss refers to Ulrich, Num Christus in Tit. ii. 13 Deus appellatur, Tig. 1837,-a treatise however which the present editor has not seen. The note of DeW ., in keeping in the background the palmary argument (a), scarcely reflects his usual candour ; the true rendering of the clause really turns more upon exegesis than upon grammar, and this the student should not fail cl ariy to bear in mind.
 Himself,' Gal. i. 4, Eph. v. 25 ; expan.

## 

sion of the preceding word $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$, with a distinct retrospective reference to $\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota s \sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho o s, v e r$. ir. The forcible $\varepsilon a u \tau \delta \nu, ~ ' H i m s e l f, ~ H i s ~ w h o l e ~ s e l f, ~$ the greatest gift ever given,' must not be overlooked; comp. Beveridge, Scrm. xcIII. Vol. IV. p. 285.

ข่тìp $\dot{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \mathrm{l}$ ] 'for us.' On the meaning of this expression, which must not be here too hastily pronounced to be equivalent to $\dot{a} \nu \tau l \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Beveridge, l.c.), see notes on Gal. iii. I3.

גuтрј́бๆтal] 'He might transom,' 'pay a $\lambda u ́ \tau \rho o \nu$, 'that $\lambda$ úc $\rho o \nu$ being His precious blood; see notes on Eph. i. 7 , and comp. Matth. xx. 28, Mark x. 45. Not only does our Lord's death involve our reconciliation and our justification, but, what is now often too much lost sight of, our ransoming and redemption ( $\mathrm{Be}-$ veridge, Serm. xc. Vol. IV. p. 230 ), whether, as here, from the bondage, or, as elsewhere, from the penalties of à $\mathrm{vo} \mathrm{\mu la}$; see Reuss, Théol. Chrét. iv. ${ }_{17}$, Vol. II. p. 182 sq., who, with some exceptions, has expressed himself clearly and satisfactorily.
divoplas] 'iniquity;' properly 'lawlessness,' the state of moral licence ( $\dot{\eta}$
 which either knows not or regards not law, and in which the essence of sin abides, y John iii. 4 ; 'in ávopía cogitatur potissimum legem non servari, sive quod ignota sit lex, sive quod consulto violetur,' Tittmann, Synon. I. p. 48 , where a distinction between avoula and the more inclusive $\dot{\alpha} \delta$ ıкia (see notes on 2 Tim. ii. 19) is stated and substantiated; see also Trench, Synon. Part II. § 16.
кäaplon к.т.入.] 'purify to Himself a peculiar people;' affirmative statement (according to St Paul's habit) and expansion of what has been just expressed negatively. The tacit
connexion of $\dot{a} \nu o \mu l a$ and $\dot{a} к a \theta a \rho \sigma i a$ (see last note) renders $\kappa a \theta a \rho l \zeta \omega$ very pertinent and appropriate. It does not seem necessary with Syr. (here incorrectly translated by Etheridge), De W., Wiesing., al., to supply $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ and understand $\lambda a \delta \nu$ as an accus. 'of the predicate,' scil. 'for a peculiar people:' the Greek commentt. (see esp. Theod.) all seem clearly to regard it a plain accus. objecti; so Vulg., Clarom., and Æth. The Coptic Version, on the contrary, distinctly advocates the ' predicative' accusative. $\quad \pi \epsilon \rho เ o v ́ \sigma เ o v]$ 'peculiar,' Auth., oikєiov, Theod.; very doubtfully interpreted by Syr.
 by Vulg., 'acceptabilem,' and Chrys., $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$, both of which seem to recede too far from the primary meaning. The most satisfactory commentary on this word (är. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$. in N.T.) is supplied by $\boldsymbol{r}$ Pet. ii. 9 , $\lambda$ ads eis $\pi \epsilon$ $\rho \iota \pi o i \eta \sigma c \nu$, compared with the of the Old Test., translated $\lambda a o^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ oúgoos, Exod. xix. 5, Deut. vii. 6, al.; see notes on Eph. i. I4. It would thus seem that the primary meaning, 'what remains over and above to' (comp. Bretsch. Lex.),-a little too coarsely expressed by the 'populum abundantem' of the Clarom.,-has passed by an intelligible gradation into that of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \iota \eta \tau \delta ́ \nu$, Hesych., ${ }^{\text {zै }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \tau \eta \tau \circ \nu$, Suid., and thence, with a little further restriction, olkeiov; the connexion of thought being that indicated by Steph. (in Thesaur. s.v.), 'quæ supersunt a nobis reconduntur.' On the derivation of this word, see Winer, $G r$. § 16 . 3, p. 88, and on the general meaning, sec Suicer, Thesaur. s.v. Vol. Ir. p. 678, and Hammond in loc. In this clause the sanctifying, as in the former the redeeming purpose of the atoning death
 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ s ~ \sigma o v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota ф \rho о v e i \tau \omega$.
 the contrary, but lave been saved and regenerated through Gods' nercy in Jesus Christ.
of Christ comes mainly into promi. nence; see Hammond, Pract. Catech. 1. 2, p. 24 (A.C. Libr.).
 works;' the gen. objecti specifying the objects about which the $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ was displayed; compare Acts xxi. 20, xxii. 3, I Cor. xiv. 12, Gal. i. 14.
15. Tâ̂тa к.т.ג.] Retrospective exhortation (ver. I), serving as an easy conclusion to the present, and a preparation for a new portion of the Epistle. Taû̃a may be united with $\pi a-$ рака́入є (comp. x Tim. vi. 2), but on account of the following $\quad \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \gamma \chi \epsilon$ is more naturally attached only to $\lambda a^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon$; Titus is however not to stop with $\lambda a$ $\lambda_{\epsilon i v}$, he is to exhort the faithful, and reprove the negligent and wayward. On the practical duties of Titus's office, comp. South, Serm. v. Vol. I. p. 76 (Tegg).
 every exhibition of) authority;' $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$
 who also remarks on the inclusive $\pi d$ $\sigma \eta s$. The term $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \pi \tau a \gamma \grave{\eta}$ occurs in I Tim. i. , Tit. i. 3, in the more specific sense of 'commandment;' in the N.T. it is only used by St Paul, viz. Rom. xvi. 26, 1 Cor. vii. 6, 25 , and 2 Cor. viii. 8. The present clause is probably only to be connected with the last verb (as Chrys. and Theoph.), thus far corresponding to $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$,
 'let no one despise thee,' 'slight thee;' not 'give no one just cause to do so,' Bloomf. (comp. Jerome), a meaning which is here purely imported; contrast $x$ Tim. iv. II, where the context supplies the thought. All the Apostle says here is, as Hamm. rightly para-
phrases, 'permit not thy admonitions to be set at naught,' 'speak and act with vigour;' the Cretan character most probably required it. The verb $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \rho$. is a $a \dot{a}$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu$. in the N.T., probably somewhat milder (comp. Thucyd. I. 25 , with accus.) than the more usual ката́фрорє̂̀. The ethical distinction urged by Jerome, that $\pi \epsilon$ $\rho \phi \phi \rho$. means an improper, while катaфp. may mean a proper contempt (e.g. of sufferings, dec.), does not seem tenable.
 ' Put in mind,' 'admone,' Vulg,, $\mathrm{Cla}_{\mathrm{a}}$ rom. It is almost perverse in the opponents of the genuineness of these Epp. to call attention to this word; it occurs several times in the N. T., and though not elsewhere in St Paul's Epp., except 2 Tim. ii. 14, is nearly the only word which suitably expresses this peculiar part of the teacher's office: in ICor . iv. 17 , another compound, àva $\nu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, is properly used as implying that previous instructions had been forgotten; see Meyer in loc.
a'pxaîs Esovolars] 'to powers,'authorities,' Luke xii. I r ; general, including all constituted governors, Roman and others. It is far from improbable that there is here an allusion to an insubordinate spirit which might have been showing itself not merely among the Cretan Jews (comp. Conyb.), but the Cretans generally (Wetst.). They had been little more than 125 years under Roman rule (Metellus subjugated Crete b.c. $6_{7}$ ), their previous institutions had been of a democratic tone ( $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \notin \chi \epsilon \iota \delta c \dot{A} \theta \epsilon \sigma(\nu)$ ), Polyb. Hist. vI. 46.4), and their own preda-




tory and seditious character was only too marked ; $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ каl фóvots каl
 Polyb. vi. 46. 9; see Meursius, Greta, iv. 8, p. 226. This perhaps may be rendered still further plausible by the use of $\pi \epsilon t \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ('cactus obsequi') as well as $\dot{i \pi o \tau} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ('lumens et sponte se submittere'), see Tittm. Kynon. II. p. 3, and comp. Syr., which by $\left.\underset{\sim}{r} \Delta \Delta \Delta_{0}\right]$ [subditus est $=\pi \epsilon t \theta$.] and
 observe a similar distinction: contr. Vulg., Clarom. When $\pi \in \epsilon \theta a \rho \chi$. stands alone, this meaning must not be too strongly pressed, comp. Acts v. 32, xxvii .21 ; the idea of obeying a superiot power seems however never to be wholly lost; comp. Ammonius, de Vocab. Diff. p. 121. The omssion of cai alter ${ }^{2} \rho \chi$ ais is justified by preponderant uncial authority, $\mathrm{ACD}^{1}$ E $^{1}$ FGN ; al., and is rightly adopted by Lack., Tisch., and the majority of recent expositors.
$\pi$ recapXxiv may be connected with eqovaiacs, Theod., Huth., al., but, on account of the preceding $\dot{d}^{\rho} \chi \chi$ ais, seems more naturally taken absolutely; so Vulg., Syr. (apply.), and most modern commentators. Coray extends the refer-
 (comp. Aristot. Sic. Eth. x. 9), but this is scarcely in harmony with the immediate context.
2. $\mu \eta \delta \in \hat{\nu} \alpha \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi$.$] 'to speak evil$ of no man,' $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \cdot a$ àropev́єıl какө̂s, Theod.; extension of the previous injunctions: not only rulers, but all men are to be treated with consideration
both in word and deed. On $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi$. see notes on I Tim. i. 13, and on the practical applications and necessary limitations of the precept, the exhauslive sermon of Barrow, Ser. xvi. Vol. I. p. 447 sq.
d $\mu \mathrm{d}$ Xovs...è $\pi$ เєtкeis] ' not contentious, forbearing;' on the distinction between these two words, see notes on I Tim.
 it is to be feared, a somewhat exceptonal character in Crete, where an
 ward acts of aggression, kail li ci cal катà косvóv (Polyp. vi. 46. 9), is described as one of the prevailing and dominant vices. $\quad \pi \rho a \hat{i} \tau \eta \tau \alpha]$ 'meekness,' a virtue of the inner spirit, very insufficiently represented by the
 see notes on Eph. iv. 2, Gal. v. 23, and Trench, Synon. § 42.
 and on the practical doctrine of universal benevolence involved in $\pi \alpha^{2} \tau \pi a s$
 өnpoùs cai mop nous, Chrys.), see Wateri. Sem. II. § i, Vol. v. p. 438.
3. ii $\mu \mathrm{ev} \gamma^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{f}$ ] 'For we were;' ${ }^{j} \mu \in \nu$ put forward emphatically, and involving a sharp contrast to the better present (ier. 4). The $\gamma{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ supplies a reason for the foregoing command, especially for its concluding words; be meek and forbearing to others, for we once equally needed mercy and forbearance ourselves, and (yer. 4) have now experienced it. ' $\mathrm{H} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{s}$, as the context shows (comp. yer. 5), implies the Aposte and all believers; comp. Eph. ii. 3, where the reference is equally compere-

#    

## hensive．dंvó $\mathrm{TrOl}^{1}$＇foolish；＇

 see notes on Gal．iii．r．The meaning is said to be here somewhat more spe－ cific，nearly approaching to $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \kappa \kappa о \tau \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon \text {－}\end{gathered}$ Huth．）；this however is not involved in the word itself（Hesych．dipbytos． $\mu \omega \rho \hat{\sigma}_{s}, \dot{\eta} \lambda(\theta \omega c o s, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau o s)$ ，but only re－ flected on it from the context．
$\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda a v \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 01]$＇going astray，＇＇errantes，＇ Vulg．，Clarom．，Syr．；not＇led astray，＇ Conyb．，Alf．The associated partici－ ples as well as the not uncommon use of $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in a similar sense（simply， Matth．xviii．12，I Pet．ii．25，al．；me－ taphorically，Heb．v．2，James v．19） seem in favour of the neutral meaning． In 2 Tim．iii．13，the antithesis sug． gests the passive meaning．
ク＇Sovaîs］＇pleasures；＇a word not else－ where used by St Paul（a fact not lost sight of by De W．），and only some－ what sparingly in the N．T．（see Luke viii．J4，James iv．1，3， 2 Pet．ii．13）， but possibly suggested here by the no－ torious character in that respect of those indirectly alluded to；comp． Chrys．in loc．Jerome（1）illustrates the clause by references to St Paul＇in his Saulship＇（to use Hammond＇s lan－ guage，Serm．xxx．）：the vices enume－ rated are however far more probably those of the people with whom for the time being the Apostle is grouping himself．On the derivation of momi－入acs（used by St Paul only in the Past． Epp．），see notes on 2 Tim．iii． 6.
какla］＇malice；＇evil habit of the mind as contrasted with $\pi$ oyppla，which ra－ ther points to the manifestation of it； see notes on Eph．iv．3I（Transl．）， Trench，Synon．§ I I．It is surely very hasty in Huther to assert that in I Cor．
v． 8 it is merely synonymous with $\pi 0$－ $\nu \eta \rho i a$ ；see Taylor，on Repent．1v．I， who however is too narrow in his in－ terpretation of какia，though correct in that of $\pi$ opppla．The verb $\delta \iota \alpha$－ $\gamma \in \omega$ that follows occurs only here and （with $\beta l o \nu$ ）I Tim．ii． 2.
बтvүךтоi］‘hateful，＇$\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta \tau 0$, Hesych．， ＇odibiles，＇Vulg．：it forms，as Wiesing． observes，a species of antithesis to $\mu \sigma$－ oûyres $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ク̀ 10 ous．Their conduct was such as to awaken batred in others．
4．ท $\mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \sigma \tau$ ót $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ］＇the kindness，＇ ＇benignity，＇＇benignitas，＇Vulg．，Cla－ rom．，sc．＇que in dandis beneficiis cernitur，＇Fritz．Rom．ii．4，Vol．．．p． 98 ；used by Paul alone，in reference to God，Rom．ii．4，xi．22，Eph．ii． 7 （comp．Clem．Rom．I．9，Epist．ad Diogn． § 9）；in reference to man（Rom．iii．J2， quot．）， 2 Cor．vi．6，Gal v．22，Col．iii． 12．See notes on Gal．l．c．，where it is distinguished from a ${ }^{\prime} \alpha 0 \omega \sigma \dot{v} v \eta$ ． ทi 中L $\lambda a v(\rho \omega \pi$ la］＇the love，＇or more exactly＇love towards men，＇Alf．，＇hu－ manitas，＇Vulg．；used only again，in ref．to men，Acts xxviii． 2 ；comp． Philo，Leg．ad Cai．§ io，Vol．1I．p． 556 （Mang．），－where both words are associated，－Raphel in loc．，and for the general sentiment，John iii． 16. The article is repeated with each subst． to give prominence to each attribute， Green，Gr．p．213．On $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \phi d \nu \eta$ ，comp． notes on ch．ii．II．Tov̂
 God；＇see notes on I Tim．i．1，and Middleton，Gr．Art．p．396，whoremarks that it may be questioned whether in this place，as well as in ch．i．3，ii．ro， I Tim．ii．3，the $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \theta \in \delta$ s be not Christ，though the title is usually re－ ferred to the Father．In the present





#### Abstract

5. á éroıท̀ $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ] So Lachm. with $\mathrm{AC}^{1} \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{FGN}$; al. ; Clem., al. (Huther,  nearly all mss.; Ath., Chrys., Theod., al. (Rec., Griesb., Scholz, Words.,-and ed. I and 2), and not without considerable internal probability, as the law of attraction seems to be preserved very regularly in the N.T. Huther urges the probability of a correction from the acc. to the gen., but it may be considered doubtful whether transcribers were so keenly alive to the prevaiing coincidence of the N. T. in this respect with classical Greek as to have made the change from the intelligible accusative. Winer (Gr. §24. 1, p. 147) cites as similar violations of the ordinary rule, John iv. 5o, vii. 39, Acts vii. 16 : the first and second passages have fair critical support for the acc., the third however scarcely any. We have reversed then the reading of ed. I and 2 . on the preponderance of external authority, but not with full confidence.


verse this surely cannot be the case (see ver. 6, and comp. Usteri, Lehrb. II. 2. 4, p. 310), still we seem bound to mark in translation the different collocation of the words.
 i.e. in consequence of works; see notes on Gal. ii. 16, where this and other uses of $\epsilon \kappa$ are compared and investigated. The negative is emphatic, and, as Bengel observes, refers to the


 Theoph. The works are further defined as $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ è $\nu \delta \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma i ́ v \eta$, works done in a sphere or element of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma v ́ v \eta$, in the state of a $\delta i \kappa \alpha o o s ; ~ c o m p . ~ W i n e r, ~$ Gr. \& 48. a, p. 348.
 emphatic; the pronoun being added to make the contrast with $\tau \grave{o}$ aùvou tineos still more clear and forcible. In the following clause aard denotes the indirect reason that an agreement with a norma suggests and involves, $=$ ' in consequence of,' 'quâ est misericordiâ,' Fritz. Rom. ii. 4, Vol. I. p. 99 ; so Acts iii. 17, кard d $\begin{aligned} & \text { volav, } 1 \text { Pet. } \mathrm{i} . ~\end{aligned}$
 see Winer, Gr. § 49. d, p. 358. The transition from the regular meaning of the 'model' to that of the 'course of things in accordance with it' is sufficiently easy and intelligible; comp. Phil. ii. 3 (where $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho i \theta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ stands in a kind of parallelism to the dative $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тatelvoфpoavivp), and still more definitely Arrian, Alex. I. 99 (cited by
 $\phi i \lambda l a \tau \hat{n}$ A $\lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha d \nu \rho o v:$ see also Bernhardy, Syntax, v. 20. b, p. 240 . Huther on 1 Pet. i. 2 draws a distinction between this use of $\kappa a \tau \alpha$ and $\xi \xi$, but a bare remembrance of the primary meanings of the two prepp., origin (immediate) and model, will render such distinctions almost self-evident.
ย $\sigma \omega \sigma \in \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mathrm{s}}$ ] 'He saved $u s$, ' 'put us into a state of salvation,' 'Hammond; see esp. i Pet. iii. 21, and comp. Taylor, Life of Chr. I. § 9, Disc. vi. 29. In this important dogmatical statement many apparent difficulties will completely vanish if we remember (r) that no mention is here made of the subjective conditions on man's side ( $\delta i d \pi$ кítec $\omega$, Eph. ii. 8, comp. 1 Pet.

## 

l．c．），because the object of the whole passage is to enhance the description of the saving mercy of God，see Wies－ ing．in loc．；（2）that St Paul speaks of baptism on the supposition that it was no mere observance，but that it was a sacrament in which all that was in－ ward properly and completely accom－ panied all that was outward：he thus can say，in the fullest sense of the words，that it was a $\lambda o v \tau \rho o v ~ \pi a \lambda \iota \nu \gamma$－ $\nu \in \sigma$ ias，as he had also said，Gal．iii．27， that as many as were baptized into Christ $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ évév́́ $\sigma a \nu \tau o$ ，definitely put Him on，entered into vital union with Him，－－a blessed state，which as it involved remission of sins，and a certain title，for the time being，to re－ surrection and salvation，so，if ab：ded in，most surely leads to final $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \alpha$ ； see Neander，Planting，Vol．I．p． 495 （Bohn），and esp．the brief but most perspicuous remarks of Waterl．，Eu－ char．vLI．3，Vol．IV．p． $57^{8}$（comp．ib． IX． 3 ，p．645），compared with the fuller statements of Taylor，Life of Chr．I． 9 ，Disc．vi． 44 sq ．On the meaning of $\sigma \omega \oint \omega$, compare（with caution）Green， Gramm．p． 318 ，but observe that＇to embrace the Gospel＇（id．p． $3^{17}$ ）falls short of the plain and proper meaning of $\sigma \omega \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$（＇salvum facere＇），which even with ref．to present time can never imply less than＇to place in a state of salvation；＇comp．Beveridge，Church Cat．qu．4，and notes on Eph．ii． 8.
Sเà 入outpoû ma入ıvy．］＇by means of the laver of regeneration，＇＇per lavacrum regenerationis，＇Vulg．，Clarom．；the入out $\quad \pi a \lambda ı r \gamma$ ．is the＇causa medians＇ of the saving grace of Christ，it is＇$a$ means whereby we receive the same， and a pledge to assure us thereof；＇ ＇partam a، Christo salutem Baptismus nobis obsignat，＇Calv．Less than this cannot be said by any candid interpre－
ter．The gen．$\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \gamma$ ．appy．marks the attribute or inseparable accompa－ niments of the $\lambda o u \tau \rho o{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ，thus falling under the general head of the posses－ sive gen．，Scbeuerl．Synt．§ 16.3 ，p． II5：for exx．in the N．T．of this sort of gen．of＇inner reference，＇see esp． the collection in Winer，Gr．§ 3o．2．$\beta$ ， p．169．As for any（exegetically con－ sidered）inadmissibleattempts（Matth．， al．）to explain away the plain force and lexical meaning of $\lambda o u \tau p o$（see notes on Eph．v．26），it may be enough to say in the words of Hooker on this subject，that＇where a literal construc－ tion will stand，the farthest from the letter is commonly the worst，＇Eccl．Pol． V．59．2；see John iii．5，the reff．in Waterland，Works，Vol．iv．p． 428 ， and comp．the fair comments of Hof－ mann，Weiss．u．Erf．II．p．${ }^{2} 33 \mathrm{sq}$ ．， and Schriftb．II．2，p．ifo sq．On the true meaning of $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma i a(S y r$ ，
． est de principio，de novo］；oúк ध̀ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon U^{\prime}-$ a $\sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s \dot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ă $\nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ катє $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$, Chrys．），see the able treatise on this text by Waterland，Works，Vol．iv． p． 427 sq．，a tract which，though ex－ tending only to thirty pages，will be found to include and to supersede much that has been written on this subject：Bethell on Regen．（ed．4）and the very good note of Wordsworth in loc．may also be profitably consulted． кal ávakatv．к．т．入．］＇and renewing of the Holy Spirit，＇i．e．＇by the Holy Spirit，＇the second gen，being that of the agent，more definitely expressed by $D^{1} \mathrm{E}^{1} \mathrm{FG}$ ，al．，ávaкauv．$\delta \mathfrak{c a}^{2} \pi \nu . a^{2} \gamma$, Clarom．（＇renov．per Sp．sanctum＇）， and some Latin Ff．：comp．notes on Eph．iv．23．The construction of the first gen．àaкaly．is somewhat doubt－

## 

ful. It may be regarded either (a) as dependent on the preceding $\delta \iota d$, as in Syr., Jerome ('per renovationem'), al. ; see John iii. 5, and comp. Blunt, Lect. on Par. Priest, p. 56 ; or (b) as dependent on 入outpô, Vulg., Clarom., Copt., Arm., Ath.-Platt, none of which repeat the prep. before ${ }^{2} \nu a$ кatv. ; see Waterland, Regen. Vol. iv. p. 428 , who briefly notices and removes the objection (comp. Alf.) founded on the inclusive character that will thus be assigned to Baptism. On the whole the latter seems most simple and satisfactory: dpaкauv, к. $\tau . \lambda$. must not however be considered as merely explanatory of $\pi a \lambda_{\iota \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma l a s}$ (De W., Huther), but as co-ordinate with it, $\pi a \lambda \iota v \gamma$. and d d $\nu \alpha \alpha a \nu$. (only here and Rom. xii. 2) 'being nearly allied in end and use, of one and the same original, often going together, and perfective of each other,' Waterland, l.c. p. 428; see Hofmann, Schrifib. 11. 2, p. 17I. The exact genitival relation $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \gamma$. and divakau. cannot be very certainly or very confidently defined. The gen. is most probably an obscured gen. of the content, representing that which the入out oòv involves, comprises, brings with it, and of which it is the ordinary and appointed external vehicle ; comp. Mark i. 4, $\beta d_{\pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu a} \mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o l a s('$ which binds to rep.'), which, grammatically considered, is somewhat similar, and for exx. of these obscurer uses of the gen., see Winer, Gr. § $_{3} 30.2$, p. 168, 169. The distinction between Regeneration and Renovation (preserved in our Service of Confirmation), in respect of ( $a$ ) the ' causa efficiens,' ( $b$ ) duration, and (c) recurrence,-three important theological differentice, is nowhere more perspicuously stated than by Waterl. l. c. p. 436 ; comp.
notes on Eph. iv. 23, and there observe the force of the tenses. Lastly, for a comparison between 'regeneratio' and 'conversio,' see Ebrard, Dogmatik, §454, Vol. II. p. 357 .
6. ovं] scil. חעєjuaros airlov; not referring to $\lambda_{o v \tau \rho o v ̂ ~(C a l v .), ~ o r ~ d e p e n d-~}^{\text {- }}$ ent on an omitted prep. (Heydenr.), but, according to the usual rule of attraction, on the gen. immediately preceding: oủ $\mu \dot{v} v o v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta i ' ~ a u ́ v o ̂ ̂ ~ a ̀ ~ v e ́ \pi \lambda a-~$ $\sigma \epsilon \nu, a \lambda \lambda a ̀$ каl $\delta a \psi i \lambda \omega s$ тoútou $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \delta \omega$ $\kappa \in \nu$, Theoph. $\quad \xi_{\xi \in \chi \in \epsilon v]}$ ' poured out,' 'shed,' 'non dicit dedit sed effudit,' Corn. a Lap.; in similar reference to the Holy Spirit, Acts ii. 17, 18, 33. There does not however appear to be bere any special reference to the Pentecostal effusion (Olsh.), nor to the communication to the Church at large (Est., comp. De W.), but, as the tense and context (ver. 7) seem rather to imply, to individuals in baptism. The next clause points out through whose mediation this blessed effusion is bestowed.
$\delta_{\iota \alpha}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}$. is not to be separated, as in Mill, Griesb., Lachm., by a comma from the clause $\bar{\xi} \xi \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ к. r. $\lambda$., but connected closely with it: if the words be referred to $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$, there will be not only a slight tautology ${ }^{*} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu . .$. $\delta i \alpha$ rov̂ $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$, but the awkwardness of two clauses with $\delta i a$ each depend. ent on the same verb. Thus then the whole is described as the work of the Blessed Trinity. The Father saves us by the medium of the outward laver which conveys the inward grace of the regenerating and renewing Spirit; that Spirit again is vouchsafed to us, yea, poured out abundantly on us, only through the merits of Jesus Christ. So the Father is our $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, and the Son our $\sigma \omega \tau \eta^{\prime} \rho$, but in different ways; ' Pater nostre salutis pri-

##  $\kappa а \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a$ そ $\omega \hat{\eta} \bar{s}$ aicuiou.



mus auctor, Christus vero opifex et quasi artifex,' Justiniani.
7. Iva к.т.入.] Design of the more remote $\varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ (De W.), not of the nearer $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ (Wiesing., Alf.). The latter construction is fairly defensible, but appy. not so simple or satisfactory. Though some prominence is given to ${ }_{\xi} \xi \in \chi \in \epsilon \nu$, both by the adv. $\pi \lambda$ dovoics, and by the defining words $\delta i a^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma$. $\mathbf{X} \rho$., yet the whole context seems to mark $\tilde{z} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ as the verb on which the final clause depends. We were once in a hopeless and lost state, but we were rescued from it by the $\phi / \lambda a \nu$ $\theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ of God, who not merely saved us from the $\delta$ ouncia of sin, but asso. ciated with it the gracious purpose that we should become кл $\boldsymbol{\eta \rho o \nu o ́ \mu о с ~ o f ~}$ eternal life.
 'justified,' in the usual and more strict theological sense; not however as implying only a mere outward nonimputation of sin, but as involving a 'mutationem status,' an acceptance into new privileges and an enjoyment of the benefits thereof, Waterl. Justif. Vol. vi. p. 5: in the words of the same writer, 'justification cannot be conceived without some work of the Spirit in conferring a title to salvation,' ib. p. $6 . \quad\langle k \in i v o v m a y$ be referred to the Holy Spirit (Wiesing.), but is appy. more correctly referred to God the Father. The Holy Spirit is undoubtedly the efficient ( I Cor. vi. II), as our Lord is the meritorious cause of our justification; the use however of the expression $\chi^{\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota s, ~ w h i c h ~ i n ~ r e f e r e n c e ~ t o ~} \delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma i v \eta$ and סıкaıó $\omega$ seems almost regularly connected with the principal cause, the Father (Rom. iii. 24), and its
apparent retrospective reference to $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ ${ }^{\xi} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, ver. 5 , renders the latter interpr. much more probable; comp. Waterl. Justif. Vol. vi. p. 9. The
 to preclude a reference to 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{0} \mathbf{X} \rho$. which so immediately precedes. кат' è $\lambda \pi[\delta a]$ 'in respect of hope,' ' according to hope,' 'secundum spem,' Vulg., Clarom., surely not 'through hope,' Conyb.,-a needless violation of the usual force of the prep. These words may be connected with j$\omega \hat{\eta} s$ aicuiou (Coray, Matth., Alf.; comp. ch. i. 2), but as $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o$ ó $_{\mu o<}$, a term not in any way elucidated by a foregoing context (as is the case in al. other passages where it stands alone) would thus be left wholly isolated, it seems more natural to regard them as a restrictive addition to the latter
 $\lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, Chrys.; so, very distinctly, Theoph. in loc. The $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu i a ~ \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ al $\omega \nu$, is really future (comp. Rom. viii. 24, where $E \lambda \pi l \delta c$ is probably a dat. modi, see Meyer in loc.), though present in respect of hope; $\epsilon l \gamma$ à $\rho$ oüт $\omega$ s



 $\tau a l$, Chrys. The remark of De W. that St Paul does not elsewhere specifically join $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu$. or even $\epsilon \lambda \pi l_{s}$ (except in this Ep.) with $\zeta \omega \grave{\eta}$ al'uv. is true, but can scarcely be considered of moment, as substantially analogous sentiments (comp. Eph. i. 18, 1 Thess. v. 8) can be adduced without difficulty; comp. Wieseler in loc.
8. Iıनтоs ó $\lambda$ ópos] 'Faithful is the saying,' in emphatic reference to


what has been asserted in the preced－ ing vers．4－7（to the last of which verses they are here，and here ouly， joined in $\mathbb{K}$ ），and to the important doc－ trines they involve；$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho l \mu \epsilon \lambda$－

 formula see notes on I Tim．i．I 5 ．
 tion concerning these things；＇not＇hac asseverare，＇Beza，Auth．，De Wette， but，as in $s$ Tim．i． 7 （where see notes）， ＇de his［＇non de rebus frivolis，＇Beng．］ affirmare，＇Clarom．，changed for the worse in Vulg．to＇confirmare：＇comp． Scholef．Hints，p． 127 （ed．4）．The object and intent of the order is given in the following clause．

 $\eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \grave{e}{ }^{\prime}$ ё $\chi \chi \sigma \sigma$ ，Theoph．＇Vult eos stu－ dium suum curamque huc applicare， et videtur Apost．quum dicit ф $\rho o \nu$ r． eleganter alludere ad inanes eorum contemplationes，qui sine fructu et ex－ tra vitam philosophantur，＇Calv．The constructions of $\phi \rho \circ \nu \tau$ ．and éкфроит． are noticed by Thomas M．p． 289 （ed． Bern．）．
ка入 $\omega \nu$＇р $\rho \boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu$ ］＇good works；＇not mere－ ly with reference to works of mercy （Cbrys．），but generally and compre－ hensively．The recurrence of this ex－ pression in the Past．Epp．（ver． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ， ch．ii．7，14， 1 Tim．v．10，25，vi．18， see I Tim．iii．I，and comp．I Tim．ii． 10， 2 Tim．ii． 2 I，Tit．iii．I）has been often noticed；all that need be said is，that the nature of the errors con－ demned in these Epp．was exactly such as required the reiteration of such a command．It was not to be a hollow， specious，falsely ascetic，and sterile Cbristianity，but one that showed it－ self in outward actions；comp．Wies－
ing．Einleit．§ 4，Neander，Planting， Vol．I．p． 343 （Bohn）．
тpototaotar］＇to be forward in，to practise，＇Syr．以 ºñ［operari， facere］；so $\pi \rho o t \sigma \tau . \pi \epsilon \chi^{\nu \eta s}$ ，Athen．xiII． 612，see Rost u．Palm，Lex．s．v．Vol． II．p．II22．The translation of Vulg， Clarom．，al．，＇bonis operibus præesse，＇ makes an endeavour to retain the primary meaning of the verb，but not successfully nor idiomatically．Justi－ niani compares＇præfectus annonæ；＇ Estius adopts the gloss，＇tanquam ope－ rum exactores et præfecti ；＇Pricæus （ap．Poli Syn．）paraphrases by $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu_{0}$－ vas $\epsilon$ fual；alii alia．All this however seems slightly forced；the word ap－ pears chosen to mark a＇prompt sedu－ lous attention to（comp．Polyb．Hist． vi．34．3，троtotavral $\chi \rho e l a s)$ ，and prac－ tice of good works，＇but，as the exx． adduced appear to show，scarcely in－ volves any further idea of＇bene agen－ do pracedere，＇Beza，al．：see the nu－ merous exx．quoted by Kypke，Obs． Vol．II． $3^{81 \text { r，Loesner，Obs．p．} 430 .}$ oi $\pi \epsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau$ ．©єヘ̂］＇they who have believed God，＇－God，not perhaps without some slight emphasis；＇non dixit qui credunt hominibus sed qui credunt Deo，＇Je－ rome．The expression is certainly not to be limited to the Gentile Chris－ tians（Mack），but includes all who by God＇s grace had been led to embrace His $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ and $\delta i \delta a \sigma_{\kappa} a \lambda l a \nu$（ch．i． 3 ， ii．io），De W．，Wiesing．On the con－ structions of $\pi / \sigma \tau / s$ and $\pi / \sigma \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$ ，see notes on I Tim．i． 16.
Tav̂ra］＇These things，＇scil．these in－ structions，this practical teaching （Fell），to which the $\mu \omega \rho a l$ $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon t s$ in the next verse form a sharp and clear contrast．Wiesinger refers the pro－ noun to $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \stackrel{\varphi}{\rho} \rho \gamma \alpha$ ；this however，even




10．$\mu$ là кal $\delta \epsilon u \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu ~ \nu o v \theta \epsilon \sigma l a \nu]$ So Rec．with ACKLN；mss．；Vulg．， al．；many Gr．and Lat．Ff．（Griesb．，Scholz，Lachm．，Huth．，Alf．，Wordsw．）． The reading adopted by Tisch．，$\mu i a \nu \nu o v \theta \varepsilon \sigma i a \nu$ кal $\delta \epsilon u \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu$ ，with DEFG（but кai
 Chrys．，Theod．（r）；Lat．Ff．，though fairly supported，does not seem so satis： factory；transcribers appear to have felt a difficulty about the close union of $\mu l a \nu$ and $\delta \in u t \in \rho a v$, and to have introduced in consequence variations in the text．
if it escapes tautology，does not equal－ ly well maintain the antithesis to the meaning here assigned to $\lceil\eta \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon c s$. In the following words калà（＇good＇ per se，opp．to $\mu$ ácatol，ver．9）forms one predication，кal 山̀ $\phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu a$ roîs $\dot{d} \nu$－ $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o s s$ another；comp．notes on 1 Tim．ii． 3.
9．乌ๆтíणєเs］＇questions（of contro－ versy）；＇exactly as in I Tim．i．4，where see notes．In the latter passage De W．here assigns the meaning＇Strei－ tigkeiten，＇and yet in his note on the passage adopts the present meaning ＇Streitfragen，＇－a self－contradiction by no means usual in that careful commentator．The word is used by St Paul only in the Pastoral Epp．，I Tim．i．4，vi．4， 2 Tim．ii．23．On $\gamma^{\varepsilon \nu \varepsilon a \lambda o \gamma l a s, ~ s e e ~ n o t e s ~ o n ~ I ~ T i m . ~ i . ~} 4$ ， where the expression is investigated： it is here associated with $\zeta \eta \pi$ ．as pro－ bably marking the leading subject and theme of these controversial dis－ cussions．

ёpııs каі $\mu$ а́х．$\nu о \mu$. ＇strifes，and contentions about the law，＇ are the results of these foolish and un－ practical questions；see I Tim．vi． 4 ， 2 Tim．ii．23．The adj．$\nu о \mu к \kappa a i$ is not to be referred to both substantives （Heydenr．），but only to the latter ；the $\mu d \chi$ ．$\nu \rho \mu$ ．were a special and prevailing form of the $\notin \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ ，just as the $\gamma \epsilon \nu \in a \lambda$ ． were of the $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon s$（Wiesing．）．The contentions perhaps turned on the
authority and application of some of the precepts in the law ；comp．I Tim． i． 4 ．
$\pi \epsilon p ı t \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma 0]$＇avoid， go out of the way of，＇＇devita，＇Vulg．， Clarom．；see notes on 2 Tim．ii．16， the only other passage where the word occurs in its present form．
$\mu$ áralot］＇vain，＇from which nothing of true value results，in opp．to ка入д， ver．8．Mátalos is here and James i． 26，as in Attic Greek，of two termina－ tions；the fem．occurs I Cor．xv．I7， I Pet．i．18．On the distinction be－ tween кéros（contents，－‘das Gehalt－ lose＇）and $\mu \alpha ́ \tau a l o s(r e s u l t s,-' d a s ~ E r-~$ folglose＇）see Meyer on I Cor．xv．17： Tittmann（Synon．I．p．173）compares them with the Lat．＇inanis＇and＇va－ nus．＇
 retical man，＇＇a man who causeth divi－ sions；＇＇quisquis suâ proterviâ unita－ tem ecclesiæ abrumpit，＇Calv．The exact meaning here of this word（a $\ddot{a}^{\pi} \pi$ ．$\lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu$ ．in N．T．）must not be de－ duced from the usage of later writers， but simply from the Apostle＇s use of the subst．from which it is de－ rived．The term alpt $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ is found（not ＇often，＇Huther，but）twice in St Paul＇s Epp．，－r Cor．xi．19，where it denotes appy．something more aggra－ vated than $\sigma \chi$ l $\sigma \mu a \tau a$ ，＇dissensions of a more matured character＇（＇nullum schisma non aliquam sibi confingit

#  тávєє $\stackrel{\oplus}{\omega} \nu$ à̇токата́крıтоs. 

hæresim,' Jerome), and Gal. v. 20, where it is enumerated after $\delta i \chi o \sigma \tau \alpha-$ riat. In neither case however does the word seem to imply specially 'the open espousal of any fundamental error' (the more definite eccles. meaning; comp. Origen on Tit. Vol. iv. p. 695, ed. Bened., Waterl. Doct. of Trin. ch. iv. Vol. III. p. 64 t ), but more generally 'divisions in chureh matters,' possibly of a somewhat matured kind, $\tau \dot{d}$ s фı $\lambda$ oveıкlas $\lambda \in \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$, Theod. on 1 Cor.l.c., see Suicer, Thesaur. s. v. I. 3, Vol. I. p. 120. Thus then aiperikòs ă $\nu \theta \rho$. will here be one who gives rise to such divisions by erroneous teaching, not necessarily of a fundamentally heterodox nature, but of the kind just described, ver. 9 ; comp. ch. i. I4. If we adopt this appy. fair and reasonable interpretation, the objections of $\mathrm{De}_{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{W}$. and others, founded on the later and more special meanings of aip $\rho \sigma$ os and ai $\rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa$ ós, wholly fall to the ground.
$\mu е т \grave{\alpha} \mu i \alpha \nu$ к.т.д.] 'after one and a second [unavailing] admonition;' Titus is not to contend, he is only to use vou$\theta \in \sigma l a$, if that fail he is then to have nothing further to do with the offender. On the distinction between pou $\theta$ cola ('qua fit verbis') and $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon l a(' q u æ$ fit per prenas'), see notes on Eph. vi. 4; and on the use of $\epsilon$ is for $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$, here associated with $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, and consequently less peculiar and Hebraistic than when alone, as in Matth. xxviii. i, Mark xvi. z, al., see Winer, Gr. \& 37. 1, p. 222. Tapaitov̂] 'shun,'
 ' devita,' Vulg., Clarom. ; 'monere desine; laterem lavares,' Beng.: see notes on 1 Tim. iv. 7. There is nothing in this or the associated words which fa-
vours any definite reference to formal excommunication, $=\stackrel{\text { Ě }}{\kappa} \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, Vitringa ( $d e$ Vet. Syn. 1II. 1. 10, p. 756), who compares the $\nu 0 v \theta \varepsilon \sigma l a$ to the 'correptio' or 'excommunicatio privata' of the Jews; sim. Taylor, Episc. § 15 . This however is importing into a general word a special meaning. As we certainly have such expressions as $\pi a$ -
 Apophth. 206 A , and even $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oiкias тараıтєîซ $\theta a$, Lucian, Abdic. § ig, we perhaps may say with Waterland (Doct. of Trin. ch. iv. Vol. iII. P. 466) that $\pi$ apactov 'implies and infers a command to exclude them;' but St Paul's previous use of the word does not appy. justify our asserting that it is here formally expressed: see notes in I'ransl.
II. ei $\delta \omega_{5}$ ] 'as thou knowest,' by the ill success of thy admonitions; reason for the injunction to have nothing to do with him : $\delta \dot{\tau}$ tav $\delta \hat{\varepsilon} \delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o s \hat{\eta}$
 $\epsilon i \times \hat{\eta}$; Chrys.
 'is perverted,' مكُعْعٌ [perversus] Syr., lit. 'hath been turned thoroughly, inside out;' Schol. on Arist. Nub. 88, aं $\pi \grave{o} \mu \epsilon \tau а ф о \rho a ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\rho} \cup \pi о \nu \mu \epsilon$ $\nu \omega \nu i \mu a \tau i \omega \nu$ каi $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ф о \mu \dot{\nu} \omega \nu^{\cdot} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon-$


 Heb. . compound thus appears to denote the complete inward corruption and perverseness of character which must be predicated of any man who remains proof against twice-repeated admonitions. Baur, it is to be feared only to support his meaning of aifetıkós, refers $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \sigma \tau \rho$. to the outward act of the man, 'has gone away from us;'

Come to me at Nicopolis; bring Zenas and Apollos. Our brethren must not be unfruitful.,

this, as Wiesing. properly remarks, would more naturally be dimoor $\epsilon \in \phi \epsilon$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$. aủтокатákpıтоs] 'self-condemned:' the reason why he is to be left to himself; he has been warned twice and now sins against
 ovंठeis $\epsilon \nu o u \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, Chrys. The aggravating circumstance is not that the man condemns himself directly and explicitly, as this might be a step to recovery, but that he condemns himself indirectly and implicitly, as acting against the law of his mind, and doing in his own particular case what in general he condemns; see esp. Waterland, Doct. of Trin. ch. Iv. Vol. III. p. 464 , where this expression is fully investigated.
12. Túxıkov] On Tychicus, whom the Apostle (Col. iv. 7) terms o àa-
 oúvסoudos $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{K} \nu \rho l \boldsymbol{\mu}$, see the notes on 2 Tim. iv. 12, Eph. vi. 21 . It would seem not improbable that either Artemas or Tychicus were intended to supply the place of Titus in Crete during his absence with the Apostle. Of Artemas nothing is known.
Nıкómodıv] There were several cities of this name, one in Cilicia (Strabo, xiv. 676), another in Thrace on the river Nestus, a third in Epirus (Strabo, xiI. 325), built ly Augustus after the battle of Actium. It is extremely difficult to decide which of these cities is here alluded to; Schrader (Paulus, Vol. 1. p. 118) fixes on the first; the Greek commentators, the subscription at the end of the Ep. ( $\dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{d}$ Nıкот. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Make $\delta o \mathrm{olas}$, to which country it was near, comp. Theod.), and some modern writers, on the second; Wieseler
(Chronol. p. 335) and others on the third. The second indeed may seem to harmonize better with the scanty notices of the last journey from Asia Minor to the West in 2 Tim.iv. 10 sq. (Neander, Planting, Vol I. p. 344, Bobn), butias the city in Epirus appears to have been a place of much more importance, and not unsuitable as a centre for missionary operations, it may perbaps be assumed as not improbably the place here alluded to ; see Conyb. and Hows. St Paul, Vol. II. p. $57^{2}$ (ed. 2). кÉкрıка] 'I have determined,' with dependent inf., a form of construction adopted elsewhere by St Paul, 1 Cor. vii. 37 (perf.), 2 Cor. ii. I (aor.). тара-
 Phorm. p. 909, тарахєцца́јоить ёкєi, ib. Dionys. p. 1292, Polyb. Hist. II. 64. I, III. 33. 5. al.: in this compound the prep. mapa seems to mark the locality at which the action was to take place, comp. Rost u. Palm, Lex. s. v. IV. I, Vol. II. p. 67o. There does not appear to be anything in the expression from which a historical deduction can be safely drawn; possibly the winter was drawing near, and the Apostle was on his way (éexi, 'non dicit hic,' Beng.) to Nicopolis.
13. Zquâv] A name perhaps contracted from $\mathrm{Z} \eta \nu \delta \delta \omega_{\rho} \rho o s$ : of the bearer of it nothing is known. It is doubtful whether the term voucos implies an acquaintance with the Roman (Grot.) or Hebrew law (De W.). The latter is the opinion of Chrys., Jerome, and Theoph., and is perhaps slightly the more probable; comp. Matth, xxii. 35. For notices of an apocryphal work attributed to Zenas, 'De vitá et


 $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu{ }^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \iota$.
 diction.
actis'Titi,' compare Fabric. Cod. Apocr. Vol. il. p. 83 I . 'Amo $\left.\lambda \lambda \omega^{\prime}\right]$ 'Apol. los,' sc. Apollonius [as in codex Bezæ, Acts xviii. 24], or possibly Apollo-dorus,-an eloquent ( $\lambda$ ó $\mathbf{y}$ cos, Acts, l.c., see Meyer in loc.) Jew of Alexandria, well versed in the Scriptures, and a disciple of St John the Baptist; he was instructed in Christianity by Aquila and Priscilla (Acts xviii. 26), preached the Gospel with signal success in Achaia and at Corinth, and appears to bave maintained relations of close intimacy with St Paul, comp. I Cor. xvi. 12 . There appears no good reason for supposing any greater differences between the teaching of St Paul and Apollos (Neander, Planting, Vol. I. p. 230 sq., Bohn) than may be referred to the mere outward form in which that teaching was perhaps communicated, and which comes from the one and the same
 ßoúletal (I Cor. xii. iI) ; see Winer, $R W B$. Art. 'Apollos,' Vol. I. p. 68. Much that has been recently advanced on the differences between St Paul and Apollos is very doubtful and very unsatisfactory.
$\pi \rho o ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \mathbf{\circ} \mathbf{]}$ 'conduct,' 'forward on their journey,' with the further idea, as the context seems to require, of supplying their various needs; comp. 3 John 6 .
14. of ípétєpol] 'our brethren in Crete,' not 'nostri ordinis homines' (Beza), scil. 'Apollos, Tychicus, et alii quos mittimus, si quo in loco resederint' (Grot.), as this would imply a comparison between them and St Paul, and would involve a meaning of $\pi \rho o t \sigma \tau$. ${ }_{s} \alpha \lambda$. $\varepsilon_{\rho \gamma}$. ('habere domi officinam ali-
quam, me imitantes, Act. xx. 34,' Grot.), somewhat arbitrary, and wholly different to that in ver. 8. The $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ l$ are rather of $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma \epsilon$ (Theoph.), the ral tacitly comparing tbem not with heathens (Hofmann, Schriftb. Vol. II. 2, p. 429) but with Titus; 'let these Cretan brethren of ours be not backward in co-operating with thee in these acts of duty and benevolence.' On $\pi \rho 0$ ö́ $\tau$. see notes on ver. 8 . cis tàs d̀vaүк. Xpeías] 'with reference to the necessary wants;' i.e. to supply them: comp. Phil. iv. 16 , eis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \chi \rho \in i a \nu$ $\mu \circ \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \tau \epsilon$. Tbe article appears to mark the known and existing wants. äкартот] 'unfruitful,' not solely and specially with reference to the wants of their teachers ('quicunque evangelistis non ministraverint,' Just.), but also with reference to their own moral state, i.e. without showing practical proofs of their faith by acts of love.
15. of $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ द́ $\left.\mu \mathbf{0 u}\right]$ 'those with me,' in my company, journeying or abidisg with me; comp. Gal. i. 2 , oi $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i$, where the idea of union in action (coherence), rather than nere local union (coexistence), seems intended to be expressed ; see Krüger, Sprachl. § 68. 13. I. Toùs $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ oûvtas к.т. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ ] ' those who love us in faith,' those who love me in the sphere of faith; not merely $\pi เ \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha a l \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\sigma} \lambda \omega s$, Theoph., or $\delta \iota \grave{\pi} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, Ecum., but 'in faith,' as the common principle which bound together and hallowed their common love. From the concluding words, $\dot{\eta}$
 there is no reason to infer that the Epistle was intended for the church as
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
well as Titus. It is merely an inclusive benediction that comprehends the $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ and those committed to his oversight, Titus and all the faithful in Crete. 'A $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu\left(R e c\right.$. with $\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{3} \mathrm{EFG}$ HKLN ${ }^{4}$ ) here, as well as in I Tim. vi. 21, 2 Tim. iv. 22, seems to be an interpolation, though in this case supported by stronger external evidence. It is
bracketed by Lachm., and rejected by Griesb., Scholz, Tisch., with $\mathrm{ACD}^{1} \mathbf{N}^{1}$; 17 ;Clarom., Жth.-Pol.;Hier., Ambrst.

In the conclusion of all St Paul's Sp. except Rom. (om. 2 mss. and Am. only) and Gal. (om. G; Boers., Ambrst., only) there are similar variations. Accidental omission seems less probable than insertion.

TRANSLATION.

## NOTICE.

THE same principles are observed in this translation as in those of the Galatians and Ephesians. The Authorised Version is altered only where it appears to be incorrect, inexact, insufficient or obscure. There are however a few cases in which I have ventured to introduce another correction-viz. where our venerable Version seems to be inconsistent in its renderings of important or less usual words and forms of expression. These peculiarly occur in this group of Epistles, and the process of translation has made me feel the necessity of preserving a certain degree of uniformity in the meanings assigned to some of the unusual yet recurrent terms and expressions.

This modification has been introduced with great caution, for, as the reader is probably aware, our last Translators state very explicitly that they have not sought to preserve a studied uniformity of translation, and have not always thought it necessary to assign to the same word, even in very similar combinations, the same meaning. To affect then a rigorous uniformity would be to reverse the principles on which that Version was constructed, and would not be revision but reconstruction. I have therefore trusted to my own judgment; where it has seemed necessary to be uniform, I have been so; where this necessity has not been apparent, I have not ventured to interfere with the felicitous variety of expression which characterizes our admirable Version. A slight change has been introduced in the Versions cited, which however does not at all affect the general plan. The Versions of Wiclif, Cranmer, and Geneva, are no longer cited from Bagster's Hexapla, as it is asserted by competent judges that those there given have not the best claim to the names affixed to them. Wiclif's version is now quoted from the edition of the New Testament published by Pickering in 1848 , Cranmer's from a copy of the edition of April 1540, and the Genevan from the edition of 1560, which alone has claim to be called the first edition of the Genevan Version. The citations from the Bishops' Bible are made from the first edition 1568.

For several valuable hints on this subject I am indebted to the kindness and learning of Mr Francis Fry of Bristol.

The remaining V v. are cited as before from Bagster's reprints.

## THE FIRST EPISTLE TO TIMOTHY.

PAUL, an apostle of Christ Jesus, according to the com- I. mandment of God our Saviour and Christ Jesus our Hope, to Timothy, my true child in the faith. Grace, 2 mercy and peace, from God the Father and Christ Jesus our Lord.

Even as I besought thee to abide still at Ephesus, 3 when I was on my way into Macedonia, that thou mightest command some not to be teachers of other doctrine,

1. Christ Jesus] *Jesus Christ, Auth. According to] So Cov. (both), Rhem., and Auth. in Rom. xvi. 26 and Tit. i. 3: aftiv; WIcl. ; by, Aurt. and remaining Vv. Christ Jesus] *Lord J. C., Auth. The translation of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau a \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ adopted by CraN., Bish., 'commission,' deserves attention, but perhaps too much obscures the idea of the divine ordinance and command under which the Apostle acted; comp. Acts ix. 16 , $\partial \sigma \sigma a \quad \delta_{\epsilon} i$ к.т.ג., and 1 Cor. ix. $\epsilon^{6}$.

It may be remembered too that ' command' originally seems to have meant 'power' or authority, Synon. ed. by Whately, p. 9r. Our Hope] So Wicl., Cov. Test., Gen., Rhem.: which is our hope, AUTh. and remaining $V v$.
2. True child] Own son, Aoth.; louede sone, WICL.; beloued sonne, Cov. Test., Reem. ; naturall sonne, Tynd. and remaining Vv. ; see notes on 2 Tim. i. 2 and Eph. vi. 2I (Transl.). It is desirable to retain
the more literal translation of $\tau \in \kappa \nu 0 \nu$ wherever it does not seem to be at variance with our ordinary or idiomatic mode of expression (e. g. ver. 18): the distinction between $\tau \epsilon \kappa v o \nu$ and vios is occasionally of considerable importance.
The Father] *Our Father, Auti.
Christ Jesus] Jesus Christ, Adte., al., though doubtful on the authority of what edition.
3. Even as] As, Auth. and all other Vv. Was on my way] Went, Auth., Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem. ; departed, Tynd. and remaining Vv. Command] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish., by far the most usual translation of the word elsewhere in AUTh.: charge, Auth. ; denounse to, Wicl., Rhem.; geue...charge vnto, Cov. Test. The full authoritative meaning of the word should not be here impaired in translation; see notes. Not to be teachers, \&c.] Sim., not to teache othervise, Rhem.: that they teach no other

4 nor yet to give heed to fables and endless genealogies, seeing they minister questions rather than God's dispensa5 tion which is in faith,-so I do now. But the end of the commandment is love out of a pure heart, and a good con6 science, and unfeigned faith: from which some having gone wide in aim have turned themselves aside unto vain
doctrine, Auth., Gen. (none), Bish.
4. Nor yet] Neither, Aоtr. and all $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v} \text {. except } \mathrm{Rem} . \text {, nor. This is }}$ perhaps a case where it may seem necessary to adopt a more rigorous translation of $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ : where the things prohibited are not very different in their character the ordinary translation will perhaps be sufficiently exact; here however the tuves are not merely to abstain from teaching others such profitless subjects, but are themselves not to study them. On the fall force of oubठè or $\mu \eta \delta \overline{\text { after }}$ after oú and $\mu \eta$, see Franke's very good treatise de Part. Neg. II. 5, and illustrate his remark, -that oubè hints at an indefinite number of consequent terms, by Judges i. 27 , where oi is followed by fourteen clauses with oidé $\quad$ To give] Give, Auth. Seeing they] The whiche, Wicl.; which, Auti. and all other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v} .}$, but Trnd., Cov., give which are endl., and.
God's dispensation] Edifcacioune of god, Wiol. ; edifyenge to Godwarde, Cov. Test.; the edifying of God, Rhem. ; godly edyfyinge, Tynd. and remaining V ., but Auth. (ed. 1611) omits godly, which has been restored in modern edd.
1 do now] Do, Attr.
5. But] So Btsh., Rhem. : now, Adth.; forsothe, Wicl.; for, Tynd. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Love] So all Vv. except Auth., Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem., charity. It is doubtful why this change was made, except for variation from verse 14 ; comp. Vulg. Our last translators were by no means
uniform in their translation of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \pi \eta$ : even in cases where it is associated with $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s$ and they might have wished to mark a quasi-theolcgical meaning, it is not uncommonly translated 'love ;' compare ch. vi. ir with I Thess. iii. 6, al. And (bis)] And of, Auth. Unfeigned faith] Faith unfeigned, Auri. Slight change to preserve the unempbatic order of the Greek; see Winer, Gr. § 59. 2, p. 464. English usage is here just the reverse of the Greek.
6. Having gone wide in aim] Having swerved, Auth. ; erryng, Wiol.; hauyng erred, Bish. ; straying, Rhem.; have erred, and, Tynd., Cov. (both), Gex. It would seem that our translators made the change from a desire to preserve the construct. of dं $\sigma \tau 0 \chi \in \hat{i} \nu$ with a gen. (Vulg., al.), and yet not, as Wicl., to fall into barbarous English, or as Trnd., al., to change the part. into a finite verb,-an inexactness which Conyb. has not avoided. Perhaps the more immediate connexion of ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu}$ may be with $\dot{\xi} \xi \in \tau \rho$., especially as d$\sigma \tau 0 \chi$ eiv in the two other passages where it occurs (I Tim. vi. 2 I, 2 Tim. ii. 18) is used absolutely (with $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ and acc.) ; still it seems desirable and correct also to preserve in translation the possibility of the connexion with the participle. To 'go wide from' is perfectly correct according to the exx. in Johnson s. v. ' wide.'
Have turned themselves] Have turned, Adti. and the other $V \mathbf{V}$. except Wicl., Cov. Test., Cban., Rhem.,
babbling; willing to be teachers of the law; yet not un- 7 derstanding either what they say, or about what they make asseveration. Now we know that the law is good, if a 8 man use it lawfully, knowing this, that the law is not 9 made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and unruly, for the ungodly and sinful, for the unholy and profane, for smiters of fathers and smiters of mothers, for manslayers, for whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with 10 mankind, for menstealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to the sound doctrine,-according to the Gospel of the glory of in the blessed God, which was committed to my trust.

And I thank Him who gave me inward strength, 12 Christ Jesus our Lord, that he counted me faithful, having
which give a passive translation: it is perhaps desirable to retain here the medial force of the passive form е̇ $\epsilon \tau \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi \eta \sigma a \nu$.
Babbling] Jangling, Aure. and all Vv. except Wicl., speche; Reem., talke. The change seems required, as 'jangling’ might be understood in its secondary sense. It is found in Gower, Chaucer, al., as here, in the sense of 'prating,' 'idly talking.'
7. Willing to be] So Wiol. (for to be), Cov. (both): desiring to be, Autr.; because they wolde be, Tynd., Oran., Gen. (om. bec.) ; couetyng to be, Bish.; desirous to be, Rhem. Though it is not always possible in the N.T. to keep up the exact distinction between $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ and $\beta$ oúdoual (see notes on ch. ii. 8, and v. I4), this perhaps is a case where it may be maintained: the false teachers were quite willing to undertake the office though they had really no qualifications for it. Yet not] So Tynd., Cran., Gen.; not, Wiol., Cov. (both), Bish., Rhem.: Auth. expresses the negative by the following neither. Either...or] Neither...nor, Aotr. About what] Whereof, Aurt. Make asseveration] Affirm, Aరti. and all Vv.
8. Now] But, Autr., Cov., Bish., Rhem.; forsothe, Wicl.; and, Gen.: remaining $V \nabla$. omit.
9. Unruly] So Auth. in Tit. i. 6, 10, but here disobedient, with Tynd. and all Vv. except Wiou., not suget. Sinful] For sinners, Auth. All Vr. (except Cov. Test., which omits) give the subst., perhaps it is a little more exact to retain the adj.
For the unholy] So Cov., GEx.: for unh., Auth.: the idiomatic English article is repeated for the sake of consistency.
Smiters] Sleers, Widl.; killers, Rhem.; murderers, Auth. and all other Vv.
10. The sound doctrine] Auth, omits the art. with all Vr. except Cov., Cran.

I I. Of the glory] So rightly all the Vv. (om. the, Bism.) except Auth., Gen., glorious (before Gospel).
12. Him who, \&c.] Sim. as to order Gen., Rhem.; comp. Wicl., Cov.Test., and, it may be added, Syr. and Vulg., rightly preserving the more emphatic position: C. J. our Lord, who hath enabled me, Aणtr., and sim. the remaining Vv. (Trnd., Cov., Cran., Bist.), which translate èvovv. $\mu \mathrm{e}$ hath

13 appointed me for the ministry, though formerly I was a blasphemer, and a persecutor, and a doer of outrage: still I obtained mercy, because I did it ignorantly in unbelief; 14 yea the grace of our Lord was exceeding abundant with ${ }^{1} 5$ faith and love which is in Christ Jesus. Faithful is the saying, and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners; of whom I am chief.
16 Howbeit for this cause I obtained mercy, that in me as chief Christ Jesus might shew forth the whole of His long-suffering, to display a pattern for them which should 17 hereafter believe on Him unto eternal life. Now to the King of ages, the immortal, invisible, only God, be honour and glory unto the ages of the ages. Amen.
18 This charge I commit to thee, son Timothy, in accordance with the forerunning prophecies about thee, that thou
made me stronge. That] For that, Auth.
Having appointed me for] Putting me into, Auth., Bish. (in, Wicl., Rhem.).
13. Though furmerly I was] *Who was before, AUTH. A doer of outrage] Sim., a doer of iniurye, Cov. Test.: injurious, AuTH.; ful of wrongis, Wiol., a tyraunt, Tynd., Cov., Cran. ; an oppresser, Gen., Bish. ; contumelious, Reem.
Still] But, AUTH. and all Vจ. except Cran., Bish., but yet.
14. Yea] And, Auth., Rhem.; but, Cov. Test., Gen. ; neverthelater, Tynd.; neuertheles, Cov., Cran., Bish.
15. Faithful is, \&c.] Thys sayenge is true, Cov. Test.; this is a faithful saying, AotH., Bish. ; this is a true s., Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gkn.: a trewe worde, WıcL.; a faithful 8., Rнем.
16. As chief ] First, Auth. and all Vv. (the $f$., Bish.) except Cov. (both), pryncipally; R⿴Em., first of al. Christ Jesus] *Jes. Chr., Auth.
The whole of His] All, AUTH. and all Vv. To display a pattern for]

Sim., to declare an ensample vnto, Cran.; for a pattern to, Auth.; to the enfourmyng of, Wicl., sim. Cov. Test., Rhem.: vnto the ensample of, Tynd., Gen. (to the, Cov., Bish.).
Eternal life] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen.: life everlasting, Auth., Cov. Test., Bish, Rhem. It seems best both to adopt the order which, properly considered, most exactly corresponds to that of the Greek, and to adopt the most general and inclusive transl. of ai. w'vos; see notes on 2 Thess. i. 9 (Transl.).
17. Of ages] Sim., of worldis, WıL., Rhem. (the vv.) : eterval, Auth.; everlastinge, Tynd. and remaining Vv.
The immortal, \&c.] Immortal, invisible, the only * wise God, AUTH.
Unto the ages, \&c.] Sim., in worldis of worldis, WIcl.; for ever and ever, Auth. and all other Vv.
18. In accordance with, \&c.] According to the prophecies which went before on thee, Auth. (vpon, Gen., Bish.), and sim. Wicl., Rhem. ; accordynge to the proph, which in tyme past were prophisied of the, TYnD., Cov., Cov. Test. (tymes), Chan.
mayest war in them the good warfare; having faith, and a 19 good conscience; which some having thrust away, have made shipwreck concerning the faith : of whom is Hyme- 20 næus and Alexander; whom I delivered to Satan, that they might be taught by discipline not to blaspheme.

I exhort then first of all, that petitions, prayers, sup- II. plications, and giving of thanks, be made for all men; for 2

Mayest] Mightest, Aure.; sliuldest, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish. Change necessary to preserve the law of the succession of tenses; see Latham, Engl. Lang. § 616. In them] So all Vv. except Aute., Gen., which change (not for the better) the $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ into by; see noter. The order of the Greek $\sigma \tau \rho a \dot{d}$. $\epsilon^{2} \nu \quad a \dot{u} \tau$. , reversed by Auta., is restored in the text. The good] A g., Auth. and all Vv.
19. Having] So Wicl. and all Vv. except Auti., which adopts holding.
Having thrust away] Castynge awey, Wicl.; repelling, Rhem. ; having put away, Aute. and remaining Vv.; but Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen. use the finite verb, and Tynd., Cov., Cran., add from them.
The faith] So Wiol., Rhem. : faith, Aoth. and remaining $V \mathrm{v}$. When the article is inserted after a preposition, it should never be overlooked in translation, if the English idiom will permit it to be expressed.
20. Delivered] Have delivered, Auth. and all VV. except Wicl., bitoke. There are cases where the idiom of our language may seem positively violated by an aoristic translation, esp. in cases where $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ or $\eta \delta j \eta$ is found with the aor.; these are however cases in which we do not rashly assert that the aor. is used for the perf., but in which we only recognise an idiomatic power in the Greek ${ }^{\text {t }}$ aorist which does not exist in our English past tense. Where idiom requires us to insert 'have' (as
perhaps just above, ver. 19), it must be inserted, but these cases are fewer than modern translators seem generally aware of. Might be taught, \&c.] So (omitting by disc.) Tynd., Cov.; may learn, Auth., and sim. all remaining Vv. The addition by discipline is necessary to convey the true meaning of $\pi a i \delta \in \dot{v} \omega$.

Chapter II. i. Then] Therefore, Aute. and all Vv. On this particle see notes in loc. It may be observed as a very general rule, that it is better to translate oviv 'then,' á $\rho a$ 'therefore,' or at any rate if 'therefore' be retained as a translation of the former particle, to place it as far onward in the clause as idiom will permit, so as to weaken its full illative force. The present seems an instance where the more exact distinction (see notes on Gal. iii. 5) ought to be preserved; still it is not wise in the N. T. generally to press this rule too rigorously, as in many cases the context and in many more the usus scribendi of the sacred author must be allowed to have due weight in fixing the translation. For example, St John's use of ouv appears to deserve considerable attention, especially as he never uses $d^{\prime} \rho a$; and even St Paul, it should be remembered, uses oûy on an average four times to ápa once. A really faithful translation must take all these things into account.
First...that] That first, Aure. and sim.
kings, and all that are in authority; that we may pass a 3 quiet and tranquil life in all godliness and gravity. For this is good, and acceptable in the sight of our Saviour, 4 God; whose will is that all men should be saved, and 5 should come unto the full knowledge of the truth. For there is one God, and one mediator also between God and 6 men, a man Christ Jesus; who gave Himself a ransom for all,-the testimony to be set forth in its own seasons. 7 Whereunto I was appointed a herald, and an apostle (I
all Vv. except Wicl., Rhem., which apparently adopt the order of the text.
Petitions, prayers, \&c.] Supplications, prayers, intercessions, АШтн., Сот. Test., Gen.; bisechyngis, preyers, axingis, Wicl.; prayers, supplicacions, intercessions, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish.; obsecrations, praiers, postulations, Reme. 'Supplications' is by no means a bad translation for $\delta \epsilon \eta \dot{\eta}$. (Eph. vi. 18); but as this is a technical passage, it seems more suitable to reserve

2. All] So Wicl., Rhem. : for all, Autr. and all other Vv. Pass] Lead, Auth.: slight change, but perhaps maintaining better the mixed subjective and objective ref. of the clause; comp. notes in loc. Quiet...tranquil] Quiet...peaceable, Aטth. and all other Vv. Perhaps 'tranquil' expresses the idea of the rest 'arising from within' (see notes) a little more fully than 'peaceable;' comp. I Pet. iii. 4. Gravity] Chastite, Wicl., Reem.; honesty, Aరti. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$.
In the preceding word $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \beta \epsilon \alpha$, the transl. of Auti. has been retained. Though 'godliness' more exactly represents $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta$., yet it is used in all the older Vv. (except only Wicl., Rhem., pitee, i. e. piety) as the translation of $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta$., and seems fairly to suit all the passages where it occurs. The deviation of Adtr., al., in Acts
iii. 12 is not for the better.
3. Our Saviour God] So Reem.: God our Sav., Aणth. and the remaining Vv .
4. Whose will is that] Who will have, Autr. and sim. all Vv. The translation of Scholef., who willeth, is perhaps rather too strong. Should $b c]$ T'o be, Aute. Should come] T'o come, Avtr. The full knowledge] The knowledge, Autr. and all Vv. (knowynge, Wicl.).
5. And one med. also] Sim., one also med., Reem. : and one Med., Auth. and all other $V_{v}$ (except Wicl., who omits one). The addition of 'and' in italics seems required by our idiom: indeed we may perhaps sometimes rightly say that the Greek $\kappa \alpha l$ is occasionally in itself almost equivalent to our 'and...also.'

A man] So Wiol.; man, Reem.: the man, Adte. and remaining Vv.
6. The testimony, \&c.] To be testified in due time, Auth., and sim. Tynd., Cov., Cran. The true construction appears to have been observed in Gen., to be a testimonie in due time, and Biss., a testimonie in due tymes. All the Vv., except Auti., Gen., Bisk., retain a more literal transl. of totos, 'his.'
7. Was] Am, Autr. and all Vv. Appointed] So Rhem. (and Auth. in 2 Tim. i. II) : puite, WioL. ; ordained, AUte. and all other Vv. Herald]
speak the truth, I lie not), a teacher of the Gentiles in faith and truth.

I desire then that men pray in every place, lifting up 8 holy hands, without wrath and doubting: likewise that 9 women also, in modest guise, with shamefastness and sobermindedness, do adorn themselves,-not with braided hair, and gold, or pearls, or costly apparel, but (which becometh 10 women professing godliness) through good works.

Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. II But I suffer not the woman to TEACH, nor yet to have 12 authority over the man, but to be in silence. For Adam 13

Preacher, Auth. and all Vv. Truth (1)] Trutl *in Christ, Av're.
Truth (2)] So Wicl., Cov. (both), Rhem. : verity, AUTH، and remaining Vv.
8. I desire then] I will therefore, Autr. and all Vv. (th. I wole, Wicl.). In every place] So Wicl. (al pl.), Cov. Test., Rhem. : in all places, Cov.; everywhere, Auti. and remaining $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
9. Likewise...also] So Trnd., Cov. (both), Cban., Gen., Bish., except that they insert also immediately after likewise: in like manner also, Auth., Rhem. In modest, \&c.] Adorn themselves in modest apparel, Auth.; araye them selves in comlye ap., Tynd., Cov., Cov. Test. (arayenge, omitting the preceding that), Cran., Gen., Bish.
Shamefastness] So Auti. ed. 16ir, following all the Vv . except Rhem. (demurenesse): we may agree with Trench (Synon. § 20) in regretting that this spelling has been displaced in the modern editions by 'shamefacedness,' a form in which the true etymology is perverted.
Sobermindedness] Sobriety, Adri., Rhem.; sobrenesse, Wicl., Cov. Test.; discrete behaveour, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish.; modestie, Gen. It is very difficult to select a translation for $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma \dot{v} \eta$. Our choice seems to lie
between 'sobermindedness' and 'discretion;' the latter (more especially in the adjective; see two pertinent exx. in Richardson, Dict. s.v., from Chaucer, Persones Tale, and Milton, Par. Reg. II. 157) is very suitable in ref. to women (and is so used by Tynd., Cov., Cran., in ver. I5), but the former seems best to preserve the etymology of the original word.

Braided] Broided, Aurn., the older form of the same word: some modern editions give broidered appy. by mistake.
And gold] * Or gold, Aute. Apparel] So Gen., Reem.: clothes, Wicl.; cloth, Cov. Test.; array, Auti. and other Vv.
jo. Through] So Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., Bish.: with, Auth., Gen. ; by, Wicl., Rhem.
12. The woman] A woman, Abtr. The insertion of the article seems required by our idiom, as in ver. It, see notes. Nor yet] Nor, Autr. As the command aeems to have also a general reference (see notes), it is perhaps better to be exact in oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$; see notes on ch. i. 4 (Transl.). Have auth.] So Tynd., Cov.: have lordeschip, Wıal. ; vse authorite, Cov. Test. ; haue dominion, Rhem. ; usurp authority, Adre. and the remaining V.

14 was first formed, then Eve. And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being plainly deceived fell into transgres-
15 sion. Yet she shall be saved by means of the childbearing, if they continue in faith and love and holiness with sobermindedness.
III. Faithful is the saying, If a man desire the office of a 2 bishop, he desireth a good work. A bishop then must be irreproachable, a husband of one wife, sober, discreet, 3 orderly, a lover of hospitality, apt to teach; not fierce over wine, no striker, but forbearing, averse to contention, 4 not a lover of money, one that ruleth well his own house,
14. Plainly deceived] *Deceired, Auth. Fell into] Was in the, Auth., Cov. Test., Gen., Bish. (om. the, Tynd., Rhem.) ; hath brought in the, Cov.; ras subdued to the, Cran.
15. Yet] So Reex.: sothely, Wıec.; notwithstanding, Auti. and the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. By means of the childbearing] In childbearing, Avte.; by generacon of sones, Wicl., Rerem. (children); thorow bearinge of chyldren, Tynd. and rem ining $V v$.
Love] So all $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{v}}$. except Aurf., which here gives charity; see notes on ch. i 5 (Transl.).
Sobermindedness] Sobriety, Auth.; see notes on ver. 9 (Transl.).

Chapter III. I. Faithful is the saying] a feithful worde, WICL.; thys is a true $w$., Cov. Test.; [this is] a faithful saying. Bise.; af s., Rhem.; this is a true saying, AutH. and remaining V v.
2. Irreproachable] Sim., withouten reproue, Wiol.: blameless, Autr., Cov., Oran., Bish.; fautlesse, Tind.; vnrebukeable, Cov. Test.; vnreproueable, Ger.; ;irreprehensible, Rнем. If the definition of Webster (Dict.) is right, ${ }^{\text {'irreproachable }}=$ that cannot be justly reproached,' this seems the translation needed; see notes in loc. A husband] The h., Actr.

Sober, discrect] So Trnd., Cov.: vigilant, sober, AUTH.; sobre, prudent, Wict. ; sobre, wyse, Cov. Test., Rhem.; dilygent, sober, Cran.; watch . ing. sober, Gen., Bish.
Orderly] Of good behaviour, Auth.; hinestly aparelled, Tynd.; comely app., Bish.; manerly, Cov. (both); discrete, Cran. ; modest, Gen.; comely, Reem. A lover of hosp.] So Bish., and Auth. in Tit. i 8: given to hosp., Autr. (here) ; holdynge hosp., WICL.; harberous, Trnd., Cov. (both), Gen.--a noticeable transl.; a keper of hosp., Cran. ; a man of hosp., Rhem.
3. Fierce over wine] Given to wine, Auth., Gen., Rhem., and sim. other Vv.except Tynd., dronken; Cov. Test., dronkarde. The marginal note [not ready to quarell and offer wrong, as one in wine] shows that our last translators saw correctly the meaning of the word, though they have not expressed it.
No striker] Auth. adds * not greedy of fithy lucre. Forbearing] Patient, AUTH.; temperaunt (or pacient), Wicl.; gentle, Trnd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish.; styll, Cov. Test.; modest, Rhem. Averse to contention] Not a brauler, Auth. (so Tit. iii. 2) ; not litigious (or ful of strife or chydynge), Wicl. ; abhorrynge fightyngc, Tynd., Cran., Bise., and sim.
having his children in subjection with all gravity; (But if 5 a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he take care of the church of God?) not a new convert, lest 6 being besotted with pride he fall into the judgment of the devil. Moreover he must have a good report also 7 from them which are without, lest he fall into reproach and the snare of the devil.

Deacons in like manner must be grave, not double- 8 tongued, not given to much wine, not greedy of base gain ; holding the mystery of the faith in a pure conscience. 9 And let these also first be proved; then let them serve as io deacons, if they be under no charge. The women in like iI manner must be grave, not slanderers, sober, faithful in all things. Let the deacons be husbands of one wife, ruling 12

Cov. (abh. stryfe); no stryuer, Cor. Test. ; no fighter, GEN. A lover of money] Covetous, AUTH., and sim. all other Vv. It is better to keep 'covetous' for $\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \in \kappa \kappa \tau \eta$.
4. His(2)] Not in italics in Auth. : it is omitted by all other Vv. except RHEM.
5. But? So Cov. (both), Rhem.: forsothe, WIcl.; for, Acth. and the other Vv.
6. A new convert $\dagger$ Sim., newe conuertide to the feith, WICl. : a novice, Auth.; a yange skoler, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen., Bish.; a neophyte, Rhem.
Besotted, \&c.] Lifted up with pride, Auth.; puft vp, Cov., Gen., Bish. The idea of a stupid, insensate, pride ought to be conveyed in translation; see notes.
Judgment] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Rhem.: condemnation, Auth., Gen., Bish. ; dome (or synne), Wicl.
7. Also from] Of, Auth.; the word moreover, AOTH., may be properly assigned to $\delta \ell$, which, as has been observed several times in the notes (comp. on ver. io), often appears to revert to its primary meaning.
8. Deacons, \&c.] Similarly Rhem.: likewise must the deacons be, AUTH. Deacons] Mynisters, Cov. (both), Cran., Bish. The rest give 'deacnos,' either with (AJTH., Tynd.) or without the article.

Base gain] Foule wynnynge, Wicl.; filthy lucre, Aठth. and all other Vv.
10. Serve as deacons] Use the office of a Deacon, Auth. This periphrasis might be avoided by using 'minister' with all the other Vv.; we seem however to require in ver. 13 an allusion to the office 'nominatim.'
If they be, \&c.] Sim., yf they be blamelesse, Cov.; being found blameless, AUTH. ; yf they be founde fautlesse, Tynd., Gen. (blameles); beyng bl., Bish. : hauynge no cryme, Wicl. [adding (or greet synne)], Rнem., sim. Cov. Test. (blame).
if. The women] So Wicl. (om. the), RHEM. : their wives, Auth. and all other Vv.

In like manner] So
Rhem. : even so, Adth., Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish., all placing it at the beginning of the verse.
12. Husbands] The h., AUtн.

Well] So, in a similar place, all Vv. except A OTH., which places the adverb

I3 their children well and their own houses. For they that have served well as deacons obtain for themselves a good degree, and great boldness in the faith which is in Christ Jesus.
14 These things write I to thee, though I hope to come 1.5 unto thee somewhat quickly; but if I should tarry long, that thou mayest know how thou oughtest to behave thyself in the house of God, which truly is the church of
16 the living God, the pillar and basis of the truth. And confessedly, great is the mystery of godliness; "Who was manifested in the flesh, justified in the spirit, seen of angels, preached among the Gentiles, believed on in the world, received up into glory."
IV. Howbeit the Spirit saith expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to
at the end of the verse. Where there is no liability to mistake, it seems better to keep, as far as possible, the order of the Greek.
$\mathrm{r}_{3}$. Served well as d.] Used the office of a Deacon well, Autr.
Obtain for] Purchase to, Autr., Reem. ; get, Tynd. and all the remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$ (gete...to, Wiel.).
14. Though $I$ hope] Hoping, Autr.; and sim. all other Vv. use a participle.

Somewhat quickly] Sone, Wicl.; very shortely, Gen.; quickly, Rhem. ; shortly, Auth. and remaining Vv .
15. Should tarry long] Schal t., Wiol.; t. long, Auth, and all other Vv. Which truly] The whych, Cov. Test.; which, A utr. and all other Vv. (that, Wicl.). Basis] Ground, AUTH. and all Vv. exc. Wicl., sadnesse, and Cov. Test., stablyshmente.
16. Confessedly] Without controversy, Auth., Gen.; with out naye, Tynd., Cov.; without doute, Cran., Bisr. Who] ${ }^{*}$ God, Auth.
Gen., Rem.: manifest, Auth.; shewed, Tynd. and remaining Vy.
(but Wicl. omits).
Among] Unto, Auth. and all Vv. (some to), following the Vulg. We may here briefly remark that the six concluding clauses of this verse may be arranged stichometrically in the following way:





${ }^{\prime} A \nu \in \lambda \eta \dot{\prime} \mu \phi \theta \eta{ }^{2} \nu \bar{\delta} \delta \xi \eta$.
Without urging too strongly the metrical character of the clauses, it would still seem that the supposition advanced in notes in loc. does not appear wholly without plausibility. Alford (in loc.) objects to this view, but appears clearly to lean to it in his note on 2 Tim. ij. II.

Chapter IV. 1. Howbeit] Forsothe, Wicl.; now, Auth., Gen., Bish.; and, Reem. ; the other Vv. omit. Saith] So Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem.: speaketh, Auth. and the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. all the Vv. except Rhem. preserve the order of verb and adverb adopted
seducing spirits，and doctrines of devils，through the 2 hypocrisy of speakers of lies，men bearing a brand on their own conscience，forbidding to marry，and commanding to 3 abstain from meats，which God created for them that be－ lieve and have full knowledge of the truth to partake of with thanksgiving．For every creature of God is good，and 4 nothing $i s$ to be refused，if it be received with thanksgiving； for it is sanctified by the word of God and supplication． 5

If thou settest forth these things to the brethren，thou 6 wilt be a good minister of Christ Jesus，being nourished in
in the text，and appy．correctly；the slight emphasis is thus retained on $\dot{\rho} \eta \tau \hat{\omega}$ ：comp．notes on 2 Thess．iii． 8 （Transl．）．

2．Through the hyp．\＆c．］Similarly as to $\epsilon \nu$ vंगoкр．，of them which speake falce thorow yp．，Tynd．，Cov．，Cran．； which speake lyes through h．，Gen．： $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \lambda$ ．is however by some（Gen．， Bish．，appy．）referred to $\delta a \iota \mu o \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ： speaking lies in hyp．，AUTH．（sim．WICL．）， is ambiguous．The above，it must be said，is a somewhat lax translation of ̇ $\boldsymbol{y}$ ；it seems however to be positively required by the idiom of our language． Whether we connect $\dot{\text { év }} \dot{\dot{\nu} \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rho$ ．with
 scarcely English to say＇by the lypocrisy．＇Men bearing，\＆c．］ And hauynge here conscience brente， Wicl．；having their conscience seared with a hot iron，Auth．，Bish．，and similarly all Vv．，but R⿴⿱冂一⿱一一厶儿，omits with a hot iron．The insertion of men in the text seems to make the con－ struction a little more clear．

3．Created］So Rhem．，sim．Wiol．： hath created，Auth．and all other Vv．For them that，\＆c．］To be received with thanksgiving of them， Autr．，and in like order all other Vv．It is very difficult to preserve both the correct translation of the words and the order of the original； the latter must appy．here be sacri－
ficed，
Have full knowledge of］Sim．，have kn．of，Cov．Test．： know，AUTH，and all other Vv．ex－ cept $W_{\text {ICL．，}}$ RHEM．，which give haue knowen．The transl．of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{s}$ is perhaps not perfectly satisfactory， but any change will involve an in－ sertion of the article before the next words，which is certainly very undesirable；see notes．

4．Is to be］So Wiol．，and similarly Gen．，oght to be：simply，to be，Auth． and the other Vv．

5．Supplication］Prayer，Aотн． and all Vv ；it seems however neces－ sary，as $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \cup \xi \in s$ occurs only twice in the N．T．，here and ch．ii． 1 （see notes in loc．），to mark it by a special and uniform translation．

6．If thou settest forth these things to］Sim．，puttynge forth，\＆c．，Wicl．； proposing，\＆c．，Rнем．：if thou put $\ldots$ ．．．in remembrance of these things， AUTH．and sim．all other Vv．，which from the exx．of $\dot{v} \pi o \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \quad \tau \iota \nu$ cited by Krebs and Loesner（see notes）seems certainly too weak．The translation＇if thou，＇\＆ec．is perhaps not quite so critically correct as＇by setting forth，＇dec．，or＇in setting forth，＇dec． （see notes on ver．16），but may still be left unchanged，as it certainly can－ not be termed definitely inexact．
Wilt be］Shalt be，Actr．and all Vv． Christ Jesus］＊Jesus Christ，Auth．
the words of faith, and of the good doctrine of which thou 7 hast been a disciple. But eschew profane and old-wives' 8 fables; and exercise thyself rather unto godliness. For the exercise of the body is profitable unto a little, but godliness is profitable unto all things, as it hath a promise of the life 9 that now is, and of that which is to come. Faithful is the Io saying and worthy of all acceptation. For looking to this we labour and suffer reproach, because we have placed our hope on the living God, who is the Saviour of all men, specially of believers.

Being nourished $\}$ So Cov. Test.: nourished up, Auth.; norrischide, Wicl., Rhem.; which hast bene n. vp, Tynd. and the remaining Vv.
The good] So Rhem.: good, Authe and all the other Vv. The article ought perhaps also to be inserted before 'faith' ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ ), but it would tend to give it an objective meaning, which does not seem desirable; see notes. Of which, \&c.] Whereunto thou hast attained, Auth., and sim. Cov. Test., Reex. ; that thou hast geten in suynge, Wicl.; which doctryne thou hast continually followed, Tynd. (om. doctr., Cran., Gen., Bish.) ; which thou hast foluwed hither to, Cov.
7. Eschew] So Cov. Test.: refuse, Auth. ; schone, Wicl.; auoid, Rhev.; cast awaye, Trnd. and the remainung Vv. and...rather] So Autn.: rather, Cran , Bish. ; and, Cov. Test., Gen., Rhem. :Tynd omits both. The transl. of Cov., as for vngoostly...fasles, cast them awaye, but, is good, but in thus preserving the second $\delta \epsilon$ it misses the first. The punctuation of Lachm. and Tisch., who place a period after тapalтô̂, is perbaps not an inprovement on the ordinary colon : the antithesis between the two members ought not to be too much obscured.
8. The exercise, \&o.] Badily exercise, Aure., and similarly all other Vv.: it seems desirable to try to
retain the article, 'the bodily exercise these teachers affect to lay such stress upon.' Is proftable, \&c.] Sim., to litil thing is prof., Wicl.; is prof. vnto lytle, Cov. Test., Rhem. (to): profiteth little, Autr. and remaining Vv. As it hath] As a thynge which hath, Tynd., Cov., Cran. ; $\underset{w}{\dot{w}}$ hathe, Gen. ; having, Auth. and remaining Vv.
9. Faithful is the saying] This is a fuithful s, Auth., Cov. Test.; this is a sure s., Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish.; this is a true s., Gen.: a trewe worde, Wicl. ; a faitíful saying, Rhem.
10. Looking to this] Therefore, Auti. and the other Vv . except Wicl., in this thing; Reem., to this purpose. Labour] "Both labour, Aотн. Have placed, \&c.] Trust in, Auth., Gen. ; hopen in, Wicl., Cov. (both), Rhem. ; beleve in, Tynd.; haue a stead fast hope in, Cran.; haue hoped in, Bish.
Believers] As Auth. in ver. 12 : here those that believe, with Tynd., Cov., Cov. Test. (them), Cran., Gen., Bish., which is perhaps a little too emphatic for the simple anarthrous $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. 'Faithful' (Wicl., Rhem.) is by very far the more usual translation in AUTH.; there are cases however (e.g. ch. v. 16, vi. 2) where perspicuity seems to require the change. It is noticeable too that mortol (per se, not

These things command and teach. Let no man de- iI spise thy youth; but become an example to the believers, 12 in word, in conduct, in love, in faith, in purity. Till I 13 come give attention to the reading, to the exhortation, to the doctrine. Neglect not the gift that is in thee, which 14 was given thee through prophecy with the laying on of the hands of the presbytery. These things practise, in these $1_{5}$ things be occupied,-that thy advance may be manifest to all. Give heed to thyself and to the doctrine; continue in 16 them : for in doing this thou shalt save both thyself and them that hear thee.

Do not sharply rebuke an elder, but exhort him as a V.
 Epp. (as our Translators appear to have clearly felt) seems to have become a more definite expression for 'believers,' i.e. Christians, and to have almost displaced oi $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, the expression which so greatly predominates in the Apostle's earlier Epistles.
12. Become] Be thou, Autr., Wicl., Cov., Bish. ; be, Tynd. and remaining Vv. To] Vnto, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen. : of, Authe and remaining Vv. Conduct] Conversation, Auph. and the other $V v$. except Wicl., lyuynye. Change made only to obviate a possible misunderstanding owing to word precerling.
Love] So all Vv. except Auth., Wicl., Rhem., charity; see notes on ch. i. 5 (I'ransl.). AuTh. inserts *in spirit after charity.
13. Give attention] Take tente, WIcL ; geue hede, Cov. Test. ; attend, Rhem. : give attendance, Auth. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. The reading, \&c.] Auth. and all V v. onit the articles.
14. I'hrough] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish.: by, Auth. and remaiuing $V \mathrm{v}$.
15. These things, \&c.] Sim., these thynges exercyse, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen. ; these things doe thou meditate,

Rhem.: meditate upon these things, Auty.; thenke thou thes thingis, Wicl.; thynke upon these th., Cov. Test. It seems best here to maintain the order of the original ; so also Syr., Vulg. In these things, \&c.] Give thyself wholly to them, Auti. ; in thes be thou, Wicl., sim. Rнem.; geve thy silfe vnto them, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bisf.; be diligente in them, Cov. Test.-a good transl., though perhaps a little more periphrastic than that in the text. Advance] Profiting, Aute. Be manifest] So Cov. (bnth), Rhem.; appeur, Aute. To all] So Auth.,-though, as Marg. [in all things] shows, it read $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \omega \nu$.
16. Give heed] Take heed, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., take tente ; and Rem., attend. Sare both] So Cov. Test., Rhem. : both save, Auth., Gen., Bish.; the remaining Vv. omit the first кai in translation.

Chapter V. i. Donot, \&c.] Rebuke not...rygorously, Cran.; rebuke not, Aurh, and all other Vv. except Wicl., Ulame thou not. 'Reprimand' would perhaps be the most exact trausl.
Exhort] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish.: intreat, Auth.; praye, Cov. Test.; biseche, Wicl., Rhem. It does not appear clear why Auti. made

2 father; the younger men as brethren: the elder women as 3 mothers; the younger as sisters, in all purity. Pay due 4 regard to widows that are widows indeed. If however any widow have children or grandchildren, let them learn first to shew piety towards their own family, and to requite their 5 parents: for this is acceptable before God. But she that is a widow indeed, and desolate, hath turned her hopes toward God, and abideth in her supplications and her 6 prayers night and day; but she that liveth riotously is 7 dead while she liveth. And these things command, that 8 they may be irreproachable. But if any one provide not
this change. The younger] And the $y$., Auti.
2. In] So Wicl., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem. : with, Auth. and the remaining Vv. It may be observed that in the original edition of Auth. (so also Cov.) there is no comma after sisters; see notes.
3. Pay due regard to] Honour, Aute. and all Vv.
4. If however] But if, Auth., Gen., Bish., Rhem.; forsothe if, WICL.; the rest give if only.
Have] So Aסth. and all $V$ v. except Wicl., Cov. Test., which, probably following the Latin 'habet,' use the indicative, and so Conyb. This however does not appear critical'y exact; see Latham, Eng. Lang. § 537 (ed. 4), and comp. notes on 2 Thess. iii. I4 (Transl.). The English and Greek idioms seem here to be different.
Grandolildren] Nephews, Auth. and all other Vv. except Wicl., children of sones (cosyns), and Cov. Test., chylders chyldren. Though archaisms as such are not removed from this translation, yet here a change seems desirable, as the use of the antiquated term 'nephews' (nepotes) is so very likely to be misunderstood.

Shew piety
towards, \&c.] Shew piety at home, Aणte. ; rule their awne houses godly, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish. ; rule theyr
ouvne house, Cov. Test.; shewe godlines towarde their owne house, GEN.
This is acceptable] That is "good and acceptable, Ачтн.
5. But] So Cov., Rhem.: now, Aute. ; and, Gen., Bish. ; omitted in Tynd., Cov. Test., Cran.
Hath turned, \&c.] Trusteth in, Aणтн., Gen. ; putteth her trust in, Tynd., Cov., Cran.; hopeth in, Bish. The
 should not be left unnoticed ; see notes on ch. iv. 10 .
Abideth] Continueth, Auth. (let her... continue, Cov. Test., Reem.) and all Vv. except Wicl., wake. A somewhat marked translation seems required by $\pi \rho o \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota$ with a dat.
Her suppl. \&c.] Auth. and all the Vy. leave both articles unnoticed.
6. Liveth riotously] Is lyuyng in delicis, Wicl. ; is in deliciousnes, Rhem.; liveth in pleasure, Auth. [pleasures, Cov. (both)] and the other Vv.
7. Command] So all Vv. except Aणтн., give in charge.
Irreproachable] Blameless, Auth., Gen., Bish., Rhem.; with outen reproue, Wicl.; without faut, Tynd.; without blame, Cov. (botb) ; without rebuke, Cran. See noter on ch. iii. 2 (Transl.).
8. Any one] Any, Adth.
for his own, and specially for those of his own house, he hath denied the faith, and is worse than an unbeliever.

Let no one be placed on the list as a widow under three- 9 score years old, the wife of one busband, being well re- 10 ported of in good works; if she ever brought up children, if she entertained strangers, if she washed the saints' feet, if she relieved the afflicted, if she followed after every good work. But younger widows refuse : for when they have in come to wax wanton against Christ their will is to marry; bearing about a judgment that they broke their first faith. 12 Moreover they learn withal to be idle, going round from 13 house to house ; and not only idle, but tattlers also and

Unbeliever] Infidel, Autra and all V . except Wicl., vnfeithful (or hethen man).
9. Let no one, \&c.] Let not a widow be taken into the number, AUTH., GEN.; somewhat similarly to text, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., let no (not a, Bish.) wyddowe be chosen; except that they appear to miss the fact that $\chi^{\dot{\eta}} \rho a$ is a predicate. Old 1 So all Vv. except Wicl., RHEM., which omit: the archaismis notchanged, being perfectly intelligible.

The orife]
Having been the w., Autr., Bish.; and soche a one as was the w., TYnd., Cov., Chan.; whych hath ben the w., Cov. Test., Gen. (that). Husband] So Wicl., Cov. Test., Gen., Rhem.: man, Auth. and the other Vv.
10. In] So all the Vv. except Aurh., Gen., for. Ever brought $u p]$ Have brought up, AUTH.; change only made to endeavour to preserve the force of the aorist. Wicl. alone omits the aux. verb. Entertained strangers] Have lodged str., AuTH., Cran., Gen. (the str.), Bish.; have bene liberall to str., Trnd.; haue bene harberous, Cov. (both). Washed] Have washed, Autr. Relieved] Have relieved, Auth.
Followed after] Folowide, Wicl.,

Cov. Test. (hath f.), Rhem. (haue f.); have diligently followed, AUTH.; were continually geven vnto, Tynd. and sim. remaining $V v$.
ri. Younger]So Wicl. : the y., AOTH. and all the other Vv. Have come, \&c.] Haue done leccherie, $\mathbf{W}_{\text {IcL. }}$; shal be vv., RHem.; have begun to wax w., Auth, and remaining Vv. (Cov. Test. omits). Their will is, \&c.] They will marry, Aотн. and all Vv. (will they, Tynd., Cov.) except Wicl. (be weddide). Change to prevent a confusion with the simple future; see notes.
12. Bearing about a judg.] Having damnation, Auth. and all Vv. (their d., Cov.).

That] Because, Auth. and all Vv. except Wicl., for. Broke] Similarly TyNd., Cov., Gen., (have broken): have cast off, Auth. ; haue made...voyde, Wicl., Rhem. ; haue abhorred, Cov. Test.; haue cast awaye, Cran., Bish.
13. Morenver...withal] And withal, AUTH. Going round] Similarly (as to the transl. of $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \rho \chi$.) TYND., Cran., to goo: to go aboute, Cov. Test., Gen.; wandering about, AUth., sim. Bish. ; to runne aboute, Cov. All Vv. except AUTH. connect $\mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \chi$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \frac{1}{} \quad$ The things]
busy-bodies, speaking the things which they ought not. 14 I desire then that younger widows marry, bear children, guide the house, give none occasion to the adversary for 15 reviling. For some have already turned themselves aside 16 after Satan. If any woman that believeth have widows, let her relieve them, and let not the church be burdened, that it may relieve them that are widows indeed.
17 Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the word and 18 doctrine. For the scripture saith, Thou shalt not muzzle an ox while he is treading out the corn; and, the labourer I9 $i s$ worthy of his hire. Against an elder receive not an accusation, except on the authority of two or three wit20 nesses. Them that sin rebuke before all, that the rest 2 I also may have fear. I solemnly charge thee before God and

Things, Aute. and all Vv.
14. Desire] Will, Aute.

Then] But, Cesv. Test.; therefore, AUtr. and all other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
Younger widows] The younger women, Auth. and all the other $V v$. except Wicl., Rhem., which do not supply any noun.
For reviling] To speak reproachfully, Auth. [in Marg., for their railing]; to speake evill, Trnd., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen., Rhem. (for to); to speake slaunderously, Bish. Very singularly $W_{\text {IoL., }}$ bicause of curside thing, misunderstanding the Vulg. 'muledicti gratiâ.'
15. Have already, \&c.] Are already turned, AUTH., and similarly all other Vv. It seems however desirable to retain the medial force which appears to be invoived in the passive form $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \rho$., see notes on ch. vi. 20 and 2 Tim. iv. 4. The aorist cannot here be translated without inserting 'have;' the Greek idiom pernits the union of aor. with $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta$ к.т.. ., the Englisi dues not; see notes on ch. i. 20 (Transl.).
16. Woman] *Man or w., Autr.

Her] Them, Auti.
Burdened] So Rhem.: charged, Auph. and all the other Vv. except Wicl., greuyde.
18. An ox, \&c.] The ox that treadeth, Auth. and all $V v$. except Wicl. which retains a bare participle.
Hire] So Wicl., Rem.: wayes, Gen.; rewurd, Auth. and the other Vv.
19. Except] No but, Wicl. ; saue; Cov. Test.; but, Autir. and all other Vv.; the strong formula éкcòs $\epsilon l \mu \grave{\eta}$ perhaps requires a li tle more distinctness. On the authority of] All other Vv., appy. with a similar meaning, under; Auth. alone, before, but in Margin, inder.
20. The rest] So Cov. Test., Gen., Rhem.: others, Aoth. ; other, all remaining Vv. May have fear] So Rhem.: haue drede, Wicl.; maye be afrayed, Cov. Ttst.; may fear, Aотн. and remaining $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
21. Solemnly charge thee] Charge thee, Autle Gen. ; testifie, Tynd. and all other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}$. except Wicl., preye (or coniure). The translation 'adjure,' Conyb., is better reserved for $\dot{\rho} \rho \kappa i \zeta \omega$,

Christ Jesus and the elect angels, that thou observe these things without forejudgment, doing nothing by partiality. Lay hands hastily on no man, nor yet share in other men's sins. Keep thyself pure. Be no longer a waterdrinker, 23 but use a little wine for thy stomach's sake and thine often infirmities. Some men's sins are openly manifest, going 24 before to judgment; and some men they rather follow after. In like manner the GOOD works also of some are openly 25 manifest; and they that are otherwise cannot be hid.

Let as many as are under the yoke as bond-servants VI. count their own masters worthy of all honour, that the name of God and His doctrine be not blasphemed. They 2 again that have believing masters, let them not slight them

Mark v. 7, Acts xix. 13, i Thess. v. 27. Christ Jesus] * The Lord Jesus Christ, Autr. Forejudgment] Sim., bifore doom, Wicl.; preiudice, Rhem.,Auth.Marg.: prefcrring one before another, Aute., Gen. (to) ; hasty iudgement, Tynd., Cov. (both); hastynesse of i., Cran, Bish. There seems no reason for rejecting the genuine Engl. trauslation given in the text; 'forejudgment' is used by Spenser.
22. Hastily] So Cov. Test.: sone, Wicl. ; lightly, Reem.; suddenly, Auth. and the other Vv.
Nor yet, \&c.] Nether thou schalt comyne with, WicL.; nether be partener of, Cov. Test.; neither do thou communicate vvith, Reem.; neither be partaker of, Autie. and the other Vv.
23. Be no longer, \&c.] Nyl thou yit drynke w., Wicl.; drynke nomore $w .$, Cov. Test.; dr. not yet vv., Rhem. : drink no longer water, Auth. and the other Vv .
24. Openly manifest] Open, WicL., Cov. ; munifest, Cov. Test., Rhem.; open beforehand, AणTH. and remaining Vv. Rather follow] Follow, Auth. Forsothe of summen \& thei folowen, Wicl., is the only transl.
which has preserved, though not quite correctly, the кal of the original.
25. In like manner... also] So Rhem.: also and, Wrcl.; likewise also, Auth. and the remaining Vv. Openly manifest] Manifest beforehand, Auth.

Ceapter VI. i. As many, \&c.] As many servants as are, Аuth. and all the Vv. except Wicl., whoeuer ben s.; and Remer., whosoever are s.
2. They again] And they, Auri., Gen., Bish.; forsothe thei, Wicl.; but they, Cov. Test., Reme.; the remaining Vv . omit the particle. In a case like the present, the omission in translation is certainly to be preferred to 'and,' as the contrast between the two classes, those who have heathen, and those who have Christian masters, is thus less obscured. In such cases the translation of $\delta \varepsilon$ is very difficult; 'but' is too strong, 'and' is inexact; omission, or some turn like that in the text, seems to be the only way of conveying the exact force of the original. Slight] Despise, Autr. and all Vv . except Rhem., contemne. The rather] So Rhem.; and sim., more, Wicl.: so moche the rather,
because they are brethren; but the rather serve them, because believing and beloved are they who are partakers of their good service. These things teach and exhort. not to sound words, even the words of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to the doctrine which is according to godliness, 4 he is besotted with pride, yet knowing nothing, but ailing about questions and strifes of words, whereof cometh envy,
5 contentions, railings, evil surmisings, obstinate contests of men corrupted in their mind and deprived of the truth, 6 supposing that godliness is a means of gain, But godli-

Trnd. ; rather, Autr. and remaining Vv. Serve them] So Cov. Test., and (omitting them) Wicl., Rhem.: do them service, Auth.; do service, Tynd. and remaining Vv.
Believing, \&c.] Sim. Wicl., Rhey.: they are faithful and beloved, partakers of, Auth. ; they are belevynge and bel. and p. of, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen. (faithful, and bel.), Bish.; they are $f$. and bel., for they are $p$. of, Cov. Test.

Their good service] The beneft, Auth. and all Vv. except Wiol.
3. Is a teacher, \&c.] Folowe other doctrine, Cran.; teach ocherwise, Auth. and all other Vv.: see notes on ch. i. 3. The $\epsilon t$ cis, as the context here shows (comp. ch. i. 3), contemplates a case actually in existence; we use then in Engl. the indicative after 'if;' see Latham, Engl. Lang. § 537 (ed. 4). Assenteth not to] Consent not to, Auth., Rhem.; consenteth notto, Gen., Bish. (ento) : acordith not to, Wicl. ; agreeth not vinto, Cov. (both) ; is not content with, Trnd.; enclyne not vinto, Cran. Sound] So Riev.; and Auth. everywhere else in these Epp.: here Auth. and all Vv. adopt wholesome [hool for holsom), WICL.].
4. Besotted with pride] Proud, Aute., Wicl., Cov. Test., Reem.; pufte $v p$, Tynd. and the remaining

Vv.; see notes on ch. iii. 6.
Yet knowing] Knowing, Adth., Wicl. (kunnynge), Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem.; and knoweth, Tynd. and the remaining Vv. Ailing] Doting, Auth., Bish.; doteth, Gen.; langwischynge, Wicl., Rhem. ; wasteth his braynes, Tynd. (brayne, Cov.) and the remaining $V V_{v}$ Contentions] *Strife, Auth.
5. Obstinate contests] *Perverse disputings, Auth. Corrupted in their mind] So Riem., and sim. Wicl.: of corrupt minds, Auth., Gen., Bish. ; with corrupte m., Trnd.; soch...as haue cor. m., Cov.; that haue cor. m., Cran.: that are corrupt mynded, Cov. Test. deprived] So Rhem. : pryuede, Wicl.; robbed, Cov. (both), Cran.; destitute, Auth. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
Godliness, \&c.] Gain is godliness, Auth., Gen., and sim. all the other Vv. except only Cov. (buth), which observe correctly the order of the text. This is not the only instance in which this very able translator stands alone in accuracy and good scholarship. Though he used Tyndale's translation as his basis, his care in revision still entitles him to be considered as a separate authority of great importance. The English translation however in his Diglott Testa-
ness with contentment is a great means of gain. For we 7 brought nothing into the world, and it is evident we can also carry nothing out. If however we have food and 8 raiment, therewith we shall be content. But they that 9 desire to be rich fall into temptation and a snare, and into many foolish and hurtful lusts, the which plunge men into destruction and perdition. For the love of money is the 10 root of all evils; which while some were coveting after, they erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows.
ment (Test.) being somewhat conformed to the Lat., has not always the same claim on attention as the earlier translation put forth in his Bible. $\quad A$ means of gain] Gain, Auth., and so in the next verse. After this, Aбth. inserts *from such withdraw thyself.
7. The] So Tynd., Cor., Cran., Gen., Bise.: this, Auth., Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem. Evident] Certain, Auth., Gen., Bise.; a playne case, Tynd., Cov., a curious translation. Can also] Can, Auth., and sim. all Vv. omit to translate $\delta \epsilon$.
8. If however we have] Somewhat similarly Cran., but when we haue; so also, omitting but, Tynd., Cov.; therefore when we h., Gen.: and having, Auth.; but hauynge, Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem. Auth. stands alone in its translation of $\delta \epsilon$, 'and.' Therewith, \&c.] Let us be therewith content, Auth., and (th. be) Tynd., Cov., Gen.; with thes thingis be we payede, WIcL.; we must ther with be content, Cran., Bish.; with these vve are c., Reem.
9. Desire to] Will, Autr. and all other Vv.; see notes on ch. v. i4. Into many] So Aute. and all the other Vv. except Wicl., Rhem. This insertion of the preposition,
where not expressed in the text, is sometimes very undesirable (comp. John iii. 5, and see Blunt, Parish Priest, p. 56); here however it would seem permissible; $\pi \epsilon \epsilon f a \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ and $\pi a \gamma i \delta a$ thus stand in closer union (see notes), and the relative becomes better associated with its principal antecedent. The which] So Wicl., marking the force of the aituves, though in the Lat. it is only 'que:' which, Auti. and all other Vv.
Plunge...into] Drenchen...into, Wicl.; droune...into, Cran., Rhem., sim. $d r_{\ldots} . . v n t o$, Cov. Test.: $d r \ldots i n$, Auth. and remaining $V_{v}$.
ro. Evils] So Wicl., Rhem.: evil, Auth. and remaining Vv.-appy. without any reason. While... were coveting after] While...coveted after, AutH.; whill...lusted after, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish.: coueytynge, Wicl.; lustynge after, Cov. Test. The sentence is somewhat awkward, but seems preferable to the diluted translation 'and some through coveting it have, \&c.,' as Conyb. and others. Erred] So all Vv. except Adth., Cov. Test., and Reem., which insert have. Perhaps the translation 'wandered,' or 'strayed away' (comp. notes on Tit. iii. 3), may be thought a little preferable.

II But thou, O man of God, flee these things; and follow after righteousness, godliness, faith, love, patience, meek-
12 ness of heart. Strive the good strife of faith, lay hold on eternal life, whereunto thou wert called, and thou con-
${ }_{13}$ fessedst the good confession before many witnesses. I charge thee before God, who preserveth alive all things, and before Christ Jesus, who under Pontius Pilate bore
I4 witness to the good confession, that thou keep the commandment without spot, without reproach, until the ap-
15 pearing of our Lord Jesus Christ: which in His own seasons He shall shew, who is the blessed and only Potentate,

1i. And follow] So Auth., Gev., Bish., Reex.; the extreme awkwardness of 'but' so closely following 'but thou' may justify this inexactness. Tind. and the remaining $V_{\mathrm{r}}$. except Wicl. (forsothe...sothely) omit the second $\delta e$ in translation.
Patience] So Auth. and all Vv . This is the regular translation of $\dot{\mathbf{i n}} \mathbf{\pi -}$ $\mu_{0} \nu \bar{\eta}$ in the N. T., where it occurs $3^{2}$ times. The only exceptions to this translation are in Rom. ii. 7, 2 Cor. i. 6, 2 Thess. iii. 5 . On the true meaning see notes on 2 Tim. ii. 10 , and on Tit. ii. 2. Meekness of heart ] *Meekness, Adit.
12. Strive the good strife] Sim., strife thru a g . str., WicL.: fight the good fight, Auth. and all other Vv. [ag., Cov. (both)]. The transl, in the text is undoubtedy not satisfactory, but is perhaps a little more exact than that of AuTh.
Wert called] Art *also caled, Autr.
Thou confessedst] Haste knowelechide, WIcl; hast made, Cov. Test.; hast confessed, Rнrм.: hast professed, Aore. and the other Vv. The good] A good, Avery. and all $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. Confession] So Rнем.: profession, Aотн. and the remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$ except $\mathrm{W}_{\text {Icl., }}$ knowelechynge.
13. Charge thee] So Gev.: comaunde
to thee, Wicl.. Rhem. (om. to) ; give thee charge, Auth. and the other Vv. Before] So Wiol., Cov. (both), Rhem.: in the sight of, Autt. and remaining Vv. It certainly bere seems desirable to preserve 'before' in both places: comp. notes. Preserveth alive] *Quickeneth, AUTh.
Under] So all the Vv. except Auth. and Cov. Test., which adopt the local before.

Bore witness to the, \&c.] Witnessed a good conf., Auth., Gen., Bish. (prof.); witn. a g. witnessinge, Tynd., Cov., Cran.
14. The (1)] So all the Vv. except Auth., Gen., this. Without reproach] Unrebukeable, AUTH., Trnd., Cran., Gen., Bish. ; irreprehensible, Wicl.; vnreproueable, Cov. (both); blamelesse, Rнем. The connexion of the adjectives with $\varepsilon \nu \tau o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ is perhaps made a little clearer by the cliange: so Syr., ' without spot, without blemish ;' comp. notes.
15. His own] His, Auth.

Scasons] Tyme, TYND., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen.; times, Aoth. and the remaining $V v$. Who is] So Auth., following all the older Vv. except Wicl., Rhem., which put the nominative first, and Cov. Test., which is defective. It would seem that the insertion of 'who is' is here
the King of kings and Lord of lords; who alone hath im- 16 mortality, dwelling in light unapproachable; whom never man saw, nor can see: to whom be honour and eternal might, Amen.

Charge them that are rich in this world not to be 17 highminded, nor to place their hopes on the uncertainty of riches, but in God, who giveth us all things richly for enjoyment ; that they do good, that they be rich in good i8 works, be free in distributing, ready to communicate; laying up in store for themselves a good foundation against 19 the time to come, that they may lay hold on the true life. O Timothy, keep the trust committed to thee, avoiding 20 the profane babblings and oppositions of the falsely-called
a far less evil than the loss of order. Conybeare changes the active into pass., 'be made manifest (?) by the only, dc.,'-a diluted translation that wholly falls short of the majesty of the original.
16. Alone] So Wicl.: only, Autr. and all other Vv. Immortality] Wicl. alone has the noticeable translation vndeadelynes. Lig't] So Wicl., Tynd., Rhem.: the light, Auth. and the remaining Vv. except Cov., a lighte. Unapproachable] Similarly Rhem., not accessible: which no man can approach unto, Autr.; to whiche noman may come, Wicl.; that no man can attayne, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran.; that none can atteine vinto, Gen., Bish. (no man).
Never man saw] So Tind., Gen.: none of men siye, WICL.; numan dyd euer se, Cov. Test. ; no man hath seen, Auth. and remaining $V \mathbf{v}$.
Eternal might $]$ Power everlasting, Auth. The same arlj. is preserved by all Vv. except Wicl. (into withouten ende).
17. Not to be] So Cov. Test., Rhem.; sim. Wicl.: that they be not, Auth. and rem. Vv. Slight change, designed to obviate the supposition
that the original is tua $\mu \dot{\eta}$ к.т. $\lambda$. The transition to the positive side of the exhortation in ver. 18 thus also becomes slightly more teliing and distinct.
To place their hopes on] Trust in, Auth. and the other Vv. (to tr., Cov. Test., Rhem.) except Wicl., to hope in. The uncertainty of ] So Cov. Test., Rhem., and sim. (omitting the) Wicl. and Auth. Marg.: uncertain, Auth., Cran., Gen., Bish.; the vncerta, ne, Tynd., Cov. God] The *living God, Auth. All things richly] * Richly all things, Aute. For enjoyment] For to vese, Wicl.; to enioye them, Tynd., Cov., Cran.; to enjoy, Auth. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
18. Be free in, \&cc.] Ready to distribute, Auth., Gen.; ligtely for to gyue, Wicl.; redy to geve, Tynd. (Cran., Bish., be r.); geue...with a good wyll, Cov. (both) ; yiue easily, Rhem. Ready] Willing, Auth.
19. The true] * Eternal, Auth.
20. The trust, \&c ] That whikh is committed to thy trust, Auth. ; thi depost (or thing bitaken to thec), Wicl.; that which is geven the to kepe, Tynd., Cran., Gen., Bish.; that which is

21 knowledge ; which some professing have gone wide in aim concerning the faith. Grace be with thee.
committed vnto the, Cov. (both), Gen.; lege, Cov. Test.; science, falsely 80 the depositum, RHEM. The prof. 1 Auth, and the other Vv. except Rhem. omit the article. The trauslation of $\beta \epsilon \beta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda o u s, ~ v n g o s t l y$, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., deserves recording. Profane] Profane and vain, Auth. The falsely-called knowledge] So RHEM. (omitting the): false name of kunnynge, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ICL}}$; a false name of know-
called, Auth. and the other Vv.
21. Have gone wide, \&c.] Fellen doune, WicL. ; dyd fall awaye, Cov. Test.; erred, Cran.; have erred, Auth. and remaining Vv. English idiom seems here to require the insertion of 'have' after the present participle. At the end of the verse Auth. adds * $A$ men.

## THE SECOND EPISTLE TO TIMOTHY.

PAUL, an apostle of Jesus Christ by the will of God, I. for the promise of the life which is in Christ Jesus, to Timothy, $m y$ beloved child. Grace, mercy, peace, from 2 God the Father and Christ Jesus our Lord.

I thank God, whom I serve from $m y$ forefathers with a 3 pure conscience,-as unceasing is the remembrance which I have of thee in my prayers night and day, longing to 4 see thee, being mindful of thy tears, that I may be filled with joy; being put in remembrance of the unfeigned faith 5 that is in thee, which dwelt first in thy grandmother Lois, and thy mother Eunice, and I am persuaded that it dwelleth also in thee. For which cause I remind thee to 6

1. Christ Jesus] "Tes. Chr., Aunt. For the] Similarly but more periphrastically, Tynd., Cov., to preache the: aftir the, Wicl.; according to the, Auth. and remaining Vv.
The life] So Cov. (botb), Rhem. : life, Auth. and remaining $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
2. My beloved child] My dearly beloved son, Auth.; his moste derworth sone, Wicl.; his beloved s., Tynd., Cran.; my deure s., Cov. ; my moost deare s., Cov. Test.; my beloucd s., Gen. ; a beloued s., Bish.; my decrest s., Rhem. ; see notes on 1 Tim. i. 2 and Eph. vi. 2 I (Transl.).
Peace] And peace, Auri.
3. A pure] So Cov. (both), Rhem.: pure, Auth. and the remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. except Wicl., clene. unceasing, \&c.] That without ceasing I have remembrance, AUTH., Gen., Bish.; for with outen ceesynge I haue mynde,

Wrcl. ; that without c. I make mencion, Tynd., Cov. (both), Ckan. (anye c.) ; that vvithout intermission I have a memorie, Rhem.
4. Longing] And longe, Cov.; desirynge (without any intensive force given to $\epsilon \pi t)$, WICL. and all other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. except Auth., greatly desiring.
5. Being put, \&c.] *When I call to remembrance, Auth.
That it, \&c.] So Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen., Bish., except that they put also last: that in thee also, Aure.; Rhem. ; that \& in thee, Wiol. Perspicuity seems to require in English the repetition of the verb.
6. For which cause] So WicL., and (the whych) Cov. Test., Rhem. : wherefore, AuTB. and the remaining Vv. Comp. ver. 12, where Auth. preserves the more literal translation.
stir up the gift of God, which is in thee through the laying 7 on of my hands. For God gave us not the Spirit of cowardice, but of power, and of love, and of self-control.
8 Be not thou ashamed then of the testimony of our Lord, nor yet of me His prisoner; but rather suffer afflictions with $m e$ for the Gospel in accordance with the power 9 of God, who saved us, and called $u s$ with an holy calling, not according to our works, but according to His own purpose and the grace which was given us in Christ Jesus
io before eternal times; but hath been now made manifest through the appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ, when

I remind thee to] 1 put thee in remem. brance that thou, Auth., Gen., Bish. ; I moneste that thou, WicL.; I warne the that thou, Tryd., Cov., Cran.; I exhorte the, $y^{e}$ thou, Cov. Test.; I admonish thee that thou, Reem. Though all the Vv. adopt this periphrasis, it still seems desirable to preserve the simple inf., if only to distinguish it from lya with subj., which the transl. of Conyb., 'I call thee to remembrance, that thou mayest,' de., seens still more decidedly to imply.
Through] By, Auti. and all the other Vv. Laying on] So Cov. Test.: imposition, Rhem.; putting on, Auth. and the other Vy. (on put., WICL.).
7. Gave] So Wicl.: hath...given, Autr. and all the other Vv.
Cowardice] Fear, Auth, and the other Vv. except Wicl., drede. It may be remarked that the Genevan is the only version which uses a capital to 'Spirit.' And of love] Auth. ed. 1611 omits and. Self-control] A sound mind, Auth., Gen., Bish.; sobrenesse, Wiol., Cov. Test., Cran.; sobrenes of mynde, Tynd. ; right vn. derstondynge, Cov.; solrietie, Rhem.
8. Ashamed then] Aszhamed therfore, Cov.; therefore ash., Auth., Cov. Test., Cran., Gen., Bish., Rhem.
Nor yet] Nor, Auth., Cov. Test.,

Rhem.; nether, Wicl. and the remaining Vv. But rather] But, Autr. and all Vv. Tynd. however adds also after gospell; Cov. after aduersite. Suffer, \&c.] Sim., traueyl with me in the gospel, Wicl.: be thou partaker of the afflictions of the G., Auth., Gen. (om. thou); suffre thou adversite with the g., Tynd. (om. thou), Cov., Cran., Bish.; laboure wyth the $G$., Cov. Test.; trauail veith the $G$., Reem. In accordance with] Aftir, Wicl.; thorow, Tynd.; accordiny to, Auth. and remaining Vv.
9. Saved] So Tynd., Cran., and sim. Wicl., delyueride: hath saved, Auth., Cov., Gen., Bish.; hath delyuered, Cov. Test., Reex. The grace] Grace, Auth. and all the other Vv.: but Tynd. gives which grace in the next clause. See Scholef. Hints, p. 12 I (ed. 4). Eternal times] The world began, Auth., Cran., Bish.; the worlde was, Tynd., Gen. ; worldely tymes, Wicl.; the tyme of the worlde, Cov. (both); the secular times, Rhem.
io. Hath been] Is, Auth. and all Vv. Through] By, Auth, and all Vv. Though 'by' has appy. often in English the force of 'by means of,' yet here, on account of the $\delta i \grave{a}$ below, it seems best to be uniform in translation. When $H_{e}$ ] Who, Auth.

He made death of none effect, and brought life and incorruption to light through the Gospel: whereunto I was in appointed a herald, and an apostle, and a teacher of the Gentiles. For which cause I suffer also these things: ne- 12 vertheless I am not ashamed; for I know in whom I have put my trust, and I am persuaded that He is able to keep the trust committed to me against that day. Hold the 13 pattern of sound words, which thou heardest from me, in faith and love which is in Christ Jesus. The good trust 14 committed to thee keep through the Holy Ghost which dwelleth in us.

Thou knowest this, that all they which are in Asia 1.5 turned away from me; of whom are Phygelus and Hermo-
and sim. all other Vv. Mad death, \&c.] Comp., hath taken awaye $y^{e}$ power of d., Cov.: Lath abolished death, Auth., Gen.; distruyede deth, Wiol., and (hath d.) Cov. Test., Rhem.; hath put away d., Tynd., Cran., Bise. Brought] Hath brought, AUTH. Incorruption] So Wicl. (oncor.), Rhem.: immortality, Autr. and the remaining Vv.
if. I was] $I$ am, Auth. and all Vv. Herald] Preacher Autis. and all $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
12. Which] As in ver. 6 ; so Wicl.: the which, Aute. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. $I$ suifer also] $I$ also suffer, Aure. and the other Vv. except Wicl., Cov. Test., Reem., also I suffre. In whom, \&c.] So Cran., but with a different connexion: whom $I$ have believed, Auti. and all other Vv . (to whom, Wicl.). The trust, \&c.] My depost (or thing putte in kepynge); Wicl.; my depositum, Reem. ; that which I have committed unto him, Aute., and (to him) Gen., Bise.; that which I have committed to his kepynge, Tynd., Cov. (vnto), Cov. Test. (it that I...vnto), Cran.
13. Hold] Hold fast, Avtr. ; have thou, Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem.; se
thou have, Tynd., Cran. (se that), Bish.; kepe, Gen. The transl. of Auth., thus at variance with the old versions, is still retained by Conybeare, but is clearly inexact. Pattern] So Bish. ; true pat., Gen.: form, Auth., Wicl., Reem.; ensample, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran.

Heardest]
So Wicl., Tynd., Cov.: hast heard, Autr. and the remaining $\mathrm{V} v$.
From me] Of me, Auth. and all Vv.
14. The good trust, \&c.] That good thing which was committed unto thee, Autr.; a gode depost (or a thing taken to thi kepynge office), Wicl.; that good thinge, which was com. to thy kepynge, Tynd., Cran., Bish.; this hye charge, Cov.; the good thyng that is com. vnto the, Cov. Test.; that worthie thing, which was com. to thee, Gen.; the good depositum, RHEM. Through] So Cov. (both), Cran., Gen., Bish.: by, Auth., Wicl., Rhem. ; in, Trind.
15. Thou knowest this] So Reem.: this thou knowest, Avti. and remaining Vr. except Wicl. (sothely thou voste).

Turned away] Be turned away, AणTE.; are...turned, Cov. Test.; be auerted, Reem.; bet' turnyde, Wicl. and remaining Vv. Phyyelus] *Phygellus, Auth.

16 genes. The Lord give mercy to the house of Onesiphorus; for he oft refreshed me, and was not ashamed of my
17 chain: but on the contrary, when he arrived in Rome,
18 he sought me out the more diligently, and found me. The Lord grant to him that he may find mercy of the Lord in that day: and in how many things he ministered at Ephesus, thou knowest better than $I$.
II. Thou therefore, my child, be inwardly strengthened in 2 the grace that is in Christ Jesus. And the things that thou heardest from me among many witnesses, these commit thou to faithful men, who shall be able to teach others
3 also. Suffer with me afflictions as a good soldier of Christ 4 Jesus. No man serving as a soldier entaugleth himself with the affairs of life; that he may please him who chose
17. But on the contrary] But, AUTH. and all Vv. Arrived in] Was in, Aoth., Bish.; came to, Wicl., Cov. Test.; was at, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen.; vvas come to, Rhem.
The more dil.] Bisily, W1cl.; diligently, Cov. Test. ; carefully, Rhem.; very diligently, Autr. and remaiuing Vv.
18. Ministered] Ministered unto me, Aठtic. (hathe m., Gen.) and all the other Vv. (some give to) except Cov. Test., dyd for me. Better than I] Very well, Autr. and the other Vv. except Wiol., RHem., better.

Chapter II. i. Therefore] So Adth. aud all Vv. Here perhaps this translation may be retained: 'then' may be thought slightly too weak, as the meaning seems to be, 'as others have fallen away do thou make up for their defection:' comp. notes on I Tim. ii. I (Transl.).

Child]
Son, Auth. and all Vv.
Be inwardly strengthened] Be strong, Aute. and the other Vv. except $W_{\text {ICL. }}$, be comfortide, where the passive voice is rightly preserved.
2. Heardest from] Hast heard of,

Auth. and all Vv. (om. heard, Cov. Test.). Among, \&c.] So Auth. : many bearynge witnes, TYND.; by many witn., Wicl. and remaining Vv. Perheps 'in the presence of,' or 'with many to bear witness,' may convey the idiomatic use of $\delta<a$ a little more exactly; as both translations are however somewhat periphrastic, the Auth. is retained.

These] So Wicl. (with a different order), RHEM. : the same, Auth. aud remaining Vv.
3. Suffer, \&c.] Auth. prefixes *thou therefore. Suffer...affictions] So Cran., Bish. (afficcion, Tynd., Cov., Gen.), but omitting 'with me:' endure hardness, Auti. (but comp. ch. iv. 5); trauel, Wıcl.; laboure, Cov. Test., Rhem.

Christ Jesus] *Jesus Christ, Auvi.
4. Serving as, \&cc.] Holdyng knygthode to god, W1cl.; warrynge, goyng a warre fare vntu God, Cov. Test.; being a souldiar, to God, RHem. (ull following the Vulg.): that warreth, AUTH. and remaining $V_{v}$.
The affairs, \&c.] The a. of this life, Auth., Gen., Bish.: worldely nedis, WıcL. ; worldely busynes, Tynd., Cov. (both) [plural], Crin.; secular busi-
him to be a soldier. Again, if a man also strive in the 5 games, he is not crowned, except he strive according to rule. The labouring husbandman ought to partake first 6 of the fruits. Understand what I say, for the Lord will 7 give thee apprehension in all things.

Bear in remembrance Jesus Christ as raised from the 8 dead, born of the seed of David, according to MY gospel : in the which I suffer afflictions as an evil doer even unto 9 bonds; howbeit the word of God hath not been bound. For this cause I endure all things for the sake of the elect, 10 that they also may obtain the salvation which is in Christ Jesus with eternal glory. Faithful is the saying: For if II
nesses, Rhem.
Hath chosen, Avtr. So all the other Vv. give a perf. with 'have.'
5. Again] And, Auth. and the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. except Wicl., forwhi; Cov. Test., Reme., for. Strive in, \&c.] Strive for masteries, Auth. ; str. for a mastery, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen., Rhem. (the m.); wrestle, Bise. He is] Yet is he, Aute. According to rule] Lawfully, Aणte. and all the other Vv . except Gen., as he oght to do.
6. The labouring husb.] So Cov. Test., Bish.: the husb. that laboureth, Auth., Tynd., Cov., Cran., Rhem.; an erthe tilier, Wicl. Ought to, \&c.] Must be first partaker of, Autw., Brse. (first be); it behoueth...for to receyue firste of, Wicl.; must fyrst receare of, Tynd., Cov. Test., Cran.; must first enioye, Cov.
7. Understand] So Wicl., Rhem.; consider, Auth. and the remaining Vr. except Cov. Test., marke.
For the Lord, \&c.] And the Lord "give, Auth.

Apprehension]
Understanding, Auth. and all the Vv.: change made only to avoid the repetition underst...understanding, as in Wicl., Rhey.
8. Bear in remembrance] Be thou
myndeful, Wicl.; be m. that, Rhem.; remember that, Auti. and remaining Vv.

As raised, \&c.]
Of the seed of David, was raised from, the dead, \&c., Autr., Bish., and similarly, with a few slight variations, all the other Vv. except Wrol., Recm., which keep the order of the original, retained in the text.
9. In the which] So Cov. Test. and Wicl. (om. the): wherein, AUTH. and the remaining Vv. Suffer affictions] Traueyl, Widx.; suffre, Cov.; laboure, Cov. Test., Reem.; suffer trouble, Auth. and the other Vv. Howbeit] But, Autr. and all the Vv. Hath not been] Is not, Aणth.
10. For this cause] So Auth. in 1 Thess. ii. 13, iii. 5 : therefore, A $\cup$ th. and all other Vv. (herefore, Tynd.).
For the sake of, \&c.] For the chosen, WIcL.; for the chosens sake, Cov. Test.; for the elect, Reem.; for the elect's sakes, Auri. (sake, Gen.) and the other Vv. They also may] So, as to order, Cov. (both), Reem.: they may also, Auth. and (as to order) the rem. Vv. except Wicl. (and thei).
II. Faithful is the s.] It is a faithful saying, Auth., Bise.; a trewe worde, Wicl.; it is a true sayinge,

12 we died with Him, we shall also live with Him: if we endure, we shall also reign with Him: if we shall deny
13 Him , He also will deny us: if we be faithless, yet He continueth faithful; for Hee cannot deny Himself.
14 Of these things put them in remembrance, solemnly charging them before the Lord not to contend about words, a profitless course, to the subverting of the hearers.
${ }_{5} 5$ Study to present thyself approved to God, a workman not 16 asbamed, rightly laying out the word of truth. But avoid profane babblings; for they will advance to greater mea-

Tynd., Cran., Gen.; this is a true s., Cov. (both) ; a faithful s., Remem. Died] Be dead, Auth. and all Vv.
12. Endure] Suffer, Auth., Gen.; le pacient, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bise.; haue pacience, Cov. Test. ; schulen susteyne, Wicl., Reem. A change of rendering in two verses so contiguous as tbis and ver. 10 does not seem desirable. $\quad$ Shall deny] *Deny, Aотн.
13. Be faithless] Similarly Brse., be enfaythful, to preserve the paronomasia of the original: believe not, Auth. and all other Vv.
Continueth] So Reem.: dwellith, Wicl.; abideth, Autn. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. The transl. in the text is perhaps that best suited to the context; 'abideth' seems too strong, 'remaineth' too weak; the latter, as Crabb (Synon. p. 291) remarks, is often referred to involuntary, if not compulsory actions. For He$]{ }^{*} \mathrm{He}$, Autr.
14. Solemnly, \&c.] Charging them, Auth.; and testife, Trnd., Cov. (both), Cran., Bish. (om. and); and protest, Gen.; testifying, Reem.
Not to contend] That they strive not, AUTE.,-an unnecessary pariphrasis for the inf. The same rendering also occurs in Tynd., Cov., Gen., Bish., and (as to constr.) Cran., but is made necessary in these Vv. by their translation of $\delta \iota a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu о s ;$ see above.

On the true meaning of $\mu \dot{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu a t$, see notes on ver. 23.
A profitless course] To noprofit, Auth., Bise. ; fforsothe to no thing is it profitable, Wicl.; for that is profytable for nothynge, Cov. Test., Rhem. (it); which is to no proffet, Tind., Cov., Cran. (wh. are), Gen.
To (ult.)] But to, Autr. and all Vv. except Wicl., no but to; Cov. Test., saue to ; Rhem., but for.
15. Present] So Rhem.: shero, Autr. and all $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$ except $\mathrm{W}_{\text {Icle }}$, gyue.
Not ashamed] Vnschamyde, Wicl.; not beynge ash., Cov. Test.; not to be ash., Bish.; not to be confounded, Rhem. ; that needeth not to be ashamed, Avte, and remaining Vv.
Laying out] Dividing, Auth; see notes.
16. Avoid] So Rhem. (and Auti. in Tit. iii. 9): shun, Aоtн., Wıcl.; eschue, Cov. (both) ; passe over, Tynd., Cran., Bise.; stay, Gen.
Profane] Auth. adds and vain, with Wicl., Tynd., Cov. (botb), Gen., Reme.; comp. (vanyties of $)$ Cran., and sim. Bise. Will adrance, \&c.] Will increase unto more, Auth.; profiten myche to, WidL. ; helpe moch to, Cov.; auaill much vnto, Cov. Test.; shall encreace unto greater, Trnd., Cran. (wyll), Gen. (more); Bish. (wyll) ; doe much grovy to, RНец.
sures of ungodliness, and their word will spread as doth 17 a gangrene. Of whom is Hymenæus and Philetus; men 18 who concerning the truth have missed their aim, saying that the resurrection is passed already, and overthrow the faith of some. Nevertheless the firm foundation of God doth stand, having this seal, The Lord knoweth them that are His, and, Let every one that nameth the name of the Lord stand aloof from unrighteousness. But in a great 20 house there are not only vessels of gold and of silver, but also of wood and of earth; and some to honour, and some to dishonour. If a man then shall purge himself from 21 these, he shall be a vessel unto honour, sanctified, meet for the master's use, prepared unto every good work.

But flee the lusts of youth; and follow after righteous- 22


#### Abstract

17. Will spread] So Rhem. (spreadeth): will eat, AUTH.; crepith, Wrcl.; fretteth, Cov. (botlı) ; slall fret, TYnD. and remaining $V \nabla$. Gangrene] So Auth. in Marg.: canker, Autr. and all other $V v$. except Cran., disease of a cancre.


18. Men who] The whiche, WICL.; who, A UTH. and sim all other Vv.
Have missed their aim] Have erred, Auth. The connexion of the aor. with the present part. seems to require in English an insertion of the auxiliary verb; see notes on 1 Tim. i. 20 (Transl.).
19. Firm foundation, \&c.] Foundation of God standeth sure, Auth. : all other Vv. except Gen. rightly join the adj. immediately with the subst., as is done in the text.
Doth stand] So Cov. Test., sim. Adth., Wicl., RHem., standeth : remayneth, Tynd., Gen.; stondeth fast, Cov.; standeth still, Cran., BisH. Of the Lord] *Of Christ, Auth.
Stand aloof] depart, ADth, and all Vv. (departith, W1cl.).
Unrighteousness] Iniquity, ADTH. and the other Vv. except Wiol., Cov. Test., wickidnesse; the prevailing trans-
lation of a'dкia throughout ADTH. is ' unrighteousness,' which there seems here no reason to modify ; see notes.

2r. Then] Therefore, AOTH. and all the other Vr. except Tynd., Cov., but. Shall purge] Similarly Wiol., Cov. Test., RHem., schal clense: purge, AutH. and the other Vv. The more exact translation, 'shall have purged himself out of,' is perhaps somewhat too literal.
$M_{e e t}{ }^{*}$ And meet, AutH. In ch. iv. $I_{1}, \epsilon^{u} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ is translated differently; the sense however is so substantially the same, that it seems scarcely desirable to alter, merely for the sake of uniformity, the present idiomatic translation. Prepared] So Rhem.; sim. reedy, Wicl., Cov. Test. : and prep., AOtH. and remaining $V_{v}$.
22. But flee] So RНем. : flee also, AUTH. ; flee also from, GEN.; forsothe flee, WICL.; the rest omit the particle. The lusts of youth] So C0v. (both), GEN.: youthful lusts, AठtH.; desiris of youthe, WICL.; lustes of youth, Tynd., Cran., Bish.; youthful desires, Rhem. And] So Tynd., Gen., RHEM, : but, Auth.; comp. notes
ness, faith, love, peace with them that call on the Lord 23 out of a pure heart. But foolish and unlearned questions 24 eschew, knowing that they do gender contentions. And a servant of the Lord must not contend; but be gentle 25 unto all men, apt to teach, patient of wrong, in meekness disciplining those that oppose themselves; if God peradventure may give them repentence to come to the know-
26 ledge of the truth; and that they may return to soberness out of the snare of the devil, though holden captive by him, to do His will.
on I Tim. vi. ir (Transl.). Fullow after] So Gex. : follow, Aute.
Love] So all Vr. except Auth., Wioc., Rhes., charity; see notes on I Tim. i. 5 (Transl.). $P_{\text {eace] }}$ A tit. (ed. 16ir), Cov. Test., and Reme., have no comma after this word.
23. Foolish, \&c.] So Aute. and the other $V \mathbf{v}$. except Cov. 'Test., soch ...as be foolish; the article, which appears to mark the 'current,' ' prevalent,' questions of this nature, can scarcely be expressed ; the resolution of Conyb., 'the disputations of the foolish, dec.,' fails to mark sufficiently the intrinsic $\mu \omega \rho l a$ and $d$ anadevoia of the questions themselves.
Eschew] So Cov. Test.: avoid, Auth., Resm.; schone, Whec.; put away, Gen.; put from the, Tynd. and remaining Vr. Contentions] Strifes, Auth. ; stryfe, Tynd. and the other Vv. except Wıcl., chydyngis; Rhem., braules; see notes.
24. A servant] The servant, Auth. and all $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad$ Contend] Chide, Wicl.; verangle, Rhbu.; strive, Auth. and remaining $V_{r}$.
Patient of wrong] Patient, Adtr., Wiol., Cov. Test., Rebu. ; one that can suffre the eryll, Tynd., Cran., (both connect $\alpha \nu \epsilon \xi$ kakoo with $\varepsilon \nu \pi \rho a u v$ $\tau \eta \pi)$; one that can forbeare the euell, Cov.; suffring the euill men patiently, Gen.; sufferyng euyll, BisE. (which
also connects $\mathfrak{a} \nu \epsilon \xi$. with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho$.).
25. Disciplining] See notes on 1 Tim. i. 20, and Tit. ii. 12 : instructing, Auth., Gen., Bish. (so Conyb.), is not strong enough. May give] Will give, AUTH. and the other Vv. except Wicl., Rhem., gyue. To come to, \&c.] To the acknowledging of, AUth.; for to knowe, Wicl., Trnd., Cov., Cran.; to knowe, Cov. Test., RHem.; that they may knowe, Gen.; to the knowledge of, Bish. It will be observed that there is a slight fluctuation in our translation of $\varepsilon \pi i$ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \sigma i s$. In some passages the context renders it desirable to express more fully the compound form (see notes on $E p h$. i. 17) ; in other cases (like the present) it seems to transpire with sufficient clearness, and may be left to be inferred by the reader. The truth really is that 'knowledge' alone is too weak, 'full knowledge' rather too strong, and between these there seems to to be no intermediate term.
26. Return to soberness] Recover themselves, Аотн., Rнем.; rise agen, Wicl.; come to them selves agayne, Tynd., Cran., Bish.; turne agayne, Cov.; repent, Csv. Test.; come to amendment, GEN. Though holden captive by him] Somewhat sim. Cran., Bish., which are holden captiue of hym (h. in preson of, Cov.) : who are taken captive by him, ADTH.; of

But know this, that in the last days grievous timesIII. shall ensue. For men shall be lovers of their own selves, 2 lovers of money, boasters, haughty, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, without natural 3 affection, implacable, slanderers, incontinent, savage, haters of good, traitors, heady, besotted with pride, lovers of 4 pleasures more than lovers of God; having an outward 5 form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from these turn away. For of these are they which creep into 6 houses, and lead captive silly women, laden with sins, led away with divers lusts, ever learning, and yet never able 7 to come to full knowledge of the truth. Now as Jannes 8
uhom thei ben holden caytifes, WicL., and similarly Cov. Test., Rнем.; which are now taken of him, Tynd., Gen. (om. now). Perhaps the slight modification in the translation of the part., and the attempt to express the tense, may belp to clear up this obscure passage. To do His will] At his will, Adtr. and the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. except Cov . Test., after hys wyll.

Chapter III. x. But know this] Similarly, but this shalt thou knowe, Cov.; but be sure of thys, Cov. Test.: this know also, Auth., Gen., Bish.; this vnderstonde, Tynd.; thys knowe, Cran.; and this knove thou, Rhem.
Grievous] Perilous, Avti. and all the Vv. The translation 'times' (kalpol) is defensible; see notes on 1 Tim. iv. I. Ensue] Stande nyg, Wicl.; be at hande, BisH. ; approche, Reen.; come, Adth. and remaining Vv.
2. Lovers of money] Comp. Adtr. in I Tim. vi. ro; covetous, Aणth. and all Vv .

Haughty] Proud, Auth, and all the $V v$. The term $\dot{v} \pi \in \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \nu_{0}$, coupled with the climactic character of the context, seems to mark not only pride, but the 'strong mixture of contempt for others' which
is involved in 'baughty;' see Crabb, Synon. p. 54 .
3. Implacable] Truce breakicrs, Autr. and the other $V$ v. except Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem., with outen pees. Slanderers] So Autr. in i Tim. iii. in : false accusers, Adth. and the other $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{v}}$. except Wicl., false llamers; Cov. Test., Rнем., accusers. Savage] Fierce, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., vnmylde; Cov. Test., Rнем., vnmercifull.
Haters of good] D6spisers of those that are good, Auti, and the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$. (them which) except Wicl., Reme., with outen benyngnyte; Cov. Test., without kindncsse.
4. Besotted with pride] High. minded, Autr. and the other Vv. except Wicl., bolne (with proude thougtis) ; Cov. Test., Rhem., puft $r p$; see notes on 1 Tim. iii. 6.
5. Outward form] Form, Auth., Bish. ; lickenesse, Wicl. ; similitude, Tynd., Cran.; slyne, Cov. (both); shewe, Gen.; appearance, Rhem. These] So Wicl., Bish., Reey.: such, Auth. and the other $V$.
6. Of these] So Wicl., Reem.: of them, Cov. Test.; these, Bish.; of this sort, AరTH. and remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
7. Yet never] Never, Autil. and all the other $\mathrm{V} \mathbf{v}$. Full knowo.
and Jambres withstood Moses, so do these also withstand the truth: men corrupted in their mind, reprobate con9 cerning the faith. Howbeit they shall not make further advance; for their folly shall be fully manifest to all men, as theirs also was.
io But thou wert a follower of my doctrine, manner of life, ${ }^{11}$ purpose, faith, long-suffering, love, patience, persecutions, sufferings,--such sufferings as happened to me at Antioch, at Iconium, at Lystra; such persecutions as I endured:
12 and yet out of them all the Lord delivered me. Yea, and all that will live godly in Christ Jesus shall suffer perse-
${ }_{13}$ cution. But evil men and impostors shall make advance if toward the worse, deceiving and being deceived. But thou, continue in the things which thou learnedst and
ledge] The knowledge, Autr, and all Vv. (the kunnynge, Wial.). Here the antithesis seems to suggest the stronger translation of $\overline{\epsilon \pi l} / \nu \omega \sigma \omega s$; see above, notes on ch. ii. 25 (Transl.).
8. Withstand] Resist, Autr. and the other Vv. except Wicl., agenslonden. Corrupted, \&c.] Corrupte in soule, Wicl. ; corrupte of mynde, Cov. Test.; corrupted in minde, Rнem. ; of corrupt minds, Auth. and remaining Vv.
9. Howbeit] But, Aute. Not make, \&c.] Proceed no further, Aঠth.; not profite, WicL.; farther...not profyt, Cov.Test.; prosper no further, Rhem.: prevayle no lenger, Tynd. and remaining Vv. Fully manifest] Knowen, Wiol.; vttered, Tynd., Cran.; euident, Gen.; manifest, Auth. and remaining Vv .
10. Wert a follower of $]$ Sim., hast bene a diligent follower of, Auti. Marg.: *hast fully known, Auth., Gen. ; hast geten, Wıcl.; hast sene the experience of, Tynd., Cov., Cban.; hast attayned vnto, Cov. Test., Rhem. (to); hast folowed, Bish.
Love] So all the Vv. except Auth., charity: see notes on I Tim. i. 5
(Transl.).
ir. Sufferings] So Cov. Test.: afflictions, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., Rhem., passiouns.
Such sufferings, \&c.] Similarly, such as happened unto me, Cov. Test: which came unto me, Auth., Gen., Bish.; what maner ben made to me, Wicl.; what maner of things vvere done to me, RHEM: ; which happened vnto me, Tynd., Cov., Cran.
Such persecutions as] What persecutions, AUTH.; what maner of pers., Wicl. (om. of), Cov. Test., Remm.; which persec., Tynd. and remaining Vv. And yet] But, Auth., Gev.; and, Wiol. and all other Vv.
r3. Impostors] So Conyb.: seducers, Avth., Rhem.; deceyuours, Wicl. and remaining Vv. 'Deceivers' is appy. the most satisfactory transl. (see notes), but some change seems required on account of $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ kal $\pi \lambda a \nu \dot{\omega} \mu$. following. Trnd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish., retain 'deceive' in both cases. Make advance, \&c.] Wax vorse and worse, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wigl., profte into worse; Reem., prosper to the vvorse.
14. Thou, continue] So Reey.:
wert assured of, knowing of whom thou didst learn them; and that from a very child thou knowest the holy scrip- 15 tures, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus. Every scripture 16 inspired by God is also profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for discipline which is in righteousness; that the man of God may be complete, completely fur- 17 nished unto all good works.

I solemnly charge thee before God, and Christ Jesus, IV. who shall hereafter judge the quick and the dead, and by His appearing and by His kingdom; preach the word ; be 2 attentive in season, out of season; confute, rebuke, exhort, with all longsuffering and teaching. For the time will 3 come when they shall not endure the sound doctrine; but
dwelle thou, Wicl.; continue thou, Auth. and the other Vv.
Learnedst] Hast learned, Auth. and all the other Vv. Wert assured of $]$ Hast been assured of, Auth. ; ben bitaken to thee, Wicl.; were committed vnto thee, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish.; are comm. vnto the, Cov. Test., Rhem. (to); art persuaded thereof, Gen. Didst learn] Hast learned, Auth. and all Vv.
15. From a very child] From a child, Auth.; fro thi youthe, Wicl., Cov. Test. ; of a chylde, Trnd., Cov., Cran., Gen.; from an infant, Bish.; from thine infancie, Rнем. Knowest] Hast known, Auth. and all Vv.
16. Every scripture] All Scripture, Autr. and all Vv. except Gen., the whole Scr.

Inspired by
God is] Sim., of God enspirit is, Wicl. ; inspired of God, is, RHEM. : is given by inspiration of God and is, Autr., Gen., Bish. ; geven by insp. of god, is, Tind., Cov. (both), Cran.
For discipline, \&c.] Sim., to instruction which is in, Bish. : for instr. in, Aоtн.; for to lerne in, Wicl.; to enfourme in, Cov. Test. ; to instruct in, Tynd. and remaining Vv.
17. Complete] Perfect, AUTH. and all the otber $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{V}}$. except Gen., absolute. Completely] Throughly, Auth.

Chapter IV. i. Solemnly charge thee] Charge thee, Auth., Gen.; witnesse, WICL. ; testifie, Tynd. and remaining $V_{v .}$; comp. notes on I Tim. v. 21 (Transl.). Thee] Auth. adds *therefore. Christ Jesus] * The Lord Jesus Christ, Auth.

Shall hereafter judge] Shall judge, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., is to demyng ('judicaturus est,' Vulg.); and Cov., shal come to iudge: which last appy. endeavours thus to distinguish between $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovtos and a common future. And by His (1)] *At his, A UTh. And by His (2)] And his, Auth.
2. Be attentive] Be instant, Auth., Gen., Bish., sim. vrge, Rhem.; be thou bisie, Wicl.; be fervent, Tynd., Cov., Cran.; be earnest, Cov. Test. Confute] Reprove, AOth., Cov. Test., Rhem.; argue (or proue), Wicl.; improve, Tynd. and the remaining Vv. Teaching] Doctrine, Auth, and all Vv.; sce notes.
3. Shall not] So Wicl., Cov.
after their own lusts they shall heap to themselves teachers, 4 having itching ears; and they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall turn themselves aside unto 5 fables. But do тноu be sober in all things, suffer afflictions, do the work of an evangelist, fulfil thy ministry. 6 For F am already being poured out, and the time of my 7 departure is at hand. I have striven the good strife, I 8 have finished my course, I have kept the faith. Henceforth there is laid up for me the crown of righteousness, which the Lord, the righteous judge, shall give me in that day; and not to me only, but to all them also that love His appearing.
9 Use diligence to come shortly unto me: for Demas hath 10 forsaken me from love of the present world, and is gone
(both), Cran., Bish.: will not, Acth. and remaining $V \nabla$.
It seems desirable to preserve 'shall' throughout ver. 3 and 4, as there is no apparent reason for the change. We now should probably use 'will' throughout; the 'usus ethicus' however, which is said to limit the prcdictive 'shall' to the first person, was unknown to our Translators; comp. Latham, Engl. Lang. § 521 (ed. 4). The sound] sound, Autr.
They shall (2)] So WidL.: shal, Gen.; they veil, Rhem.; shall they, Auth., following Tynd. and the remaining Vv., which however all change the order of the Greek, giving, shall they (whose eares ytche) gett them an heepe of teachers, and thus the other inversion becomes natural.
4. Turn themselves aside] Be turned, Auth., Cran., Bish.; be turnyde to gedir, Wicl. ; be geven, Tynd., Cov. (both), Gen.; be conuerted, Rhem.
5. Do thou be sober] Watch thou, Acti. and the other Vv. except Wiol., wake thou; Rhem., be thou vigilant. Suffer] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish.: endure, AuTE. Fulfil] So

Wiol., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem., and Auth. Marg.; make full proof of, Auth.; fulfill...vnto the vtmost, Tynd., Cov., Cran.; make...fully knowen, GEN.
6. Already being, \&c.] Sacrifiede nowe, Wicl.; euen novv be sacrificed, Rhem.; now ready to be offered, Аитн. and remaining Vv .
7. Striven the good strife] So Wick. (a good): fought a good fight, Auth. and all the other $V \mathrm{v}$. ; comp. notes on I Tim. vi. 12 (Transl.).
8. The crown] So Gen.: a cr., Auth. and all other Vv. In] So Wicl., Cov. (both), Reem. : at, Auth. and the remaining Vv .
Omitted by Aотн. ed. 16ri, and by Wicl., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem., following the Vulg.
9. Use diligence] Do thy diligence, Auth., Cran., Bish.; hiye, Wicl.; make spede, Trnd., Cov., Gen.; make hayst, Cov. Test., Remem.
10. From love of] Having loved, Adth., Bish. ; louyng, Wiol., Cov. Test., Rhem.; and hailh loved, Tynd.; and loueth, Cov., Cran.; and hathe embraced, Gen. The present] This, Wicl., Cov. Test., Rhem. : this.
unto Thessalonica; Crescens unto Galatia, Titus unto Dalmatia. Only Luke is with me. Take Mark, and bring him II with thee: for he is serviceable to me for ministering. But 12 Tychicus I sent to Ephesus. The cloak that I left at I3 Troas with Carpus, when thou comest, bring with thee, and the books, especially the parchments. Alexander the 14 coppersmith shewed me much ill-treatment: the Lord shall reward him according to his works. Of whom be 15 thou ware also; for he greatly withstood our words.

At my first answer no man stood forward with me, but 16 all men forsook me: may it not be laid to their charge. But the Lord stood by me, and gave me inward strength ; 17
present, Auth. and remaining V .
Is gone] So Cov. Test., Rhem. : wente, WicL. ; is departed, Auth. and remaining V . On reconsideration it would seem that the purely aoristic translations 'forsookk...went' (ed. I) throw the events too far backward into the past. As the desertion appears to bave been recent, our idiom seems here to require the use of the auxiliaries. In verse 16 the case is different: there the epoch is defined in the context. Unto Galatia] To G., Auth.
ir. Serviceable] See notes on cb. ii. 21 (Transl.); necessary, Trnd. ; profitable, Aute. and remaining Vv .
For ministering] Sim., for to minister, Tynd., Gen. (om. for ): for the ministry, Auth., Rнем.; into seruyce, WIcL. ; to the mynistracion, Cov.; for the m., Cran., Bish. ; in the m., Cov. Test.
12. But] So Reem.: omitted by Cov. (both); and, Auth. and remaining Vv. I sent] Hare $I$ sent, Auti. and all the other V . (I haue, Reem.). Wicl. omits this verse.
13. And the books] These words are omitted by Aviti. ed. 16ir. Especially] So Remм. : but cspecially,

Aסth. and all the remaining Vv. except WIcL. (moste forsothe).
14. Shewed me] So Wicl. (to me), Bish.: hath shevved me, Rhem.; hath done me, Cov. Test., Gen.; did me, Auth. and remaining Vv.
Much ill-treatment] Many euyl thingis, Wicl. ; much evil, AUth. and all other Vv .

Shall reward]
${ }^{*}$ Reward, A dif.
15. Greatly] Hath greatly, Auth., Cran., Bish., Rhem.; dyd greatly, Cov. Test.: the rest omit the ausiliary.
16. Stood forward with] Stood with, Aoti.; was to, Wicl.; vvas with, Rhem. ; assissted, Tynd. and remaining Vv. (dyd...ass., Cov. Test.),-by no means an inappropriate transl. May it not be] Sim., be it not, Wicl., Reme. : I pray God that it may not be, Auth. and the remaining Vv. [it be not, Cov. (both)].
17. But] So Cov. Test., Reess.: fforsothe, Wicl.; notwithstunding, Auth. and the remaining Vv. The transl. of these latter Vv . is perhaps slightly too strong for the simple $\delta \epsilon$. Stood by me] So Cov. (both) : stood with me, Auth. ; stoode to me, Rhem.; stode nyg to me, Wiol. ; assisted me, Tynd. and remaining $V \mathrm{v}$.
that by me the preaching might be fulfilled, and that all the Gentiles might bear : and I was delivered out of the 18 lion's mouth. The Lord shall deliver me from every evil work, and shall save me unto His heavenly kingdom: to whom be glory for ever and ever. Amen.
19 Salute Prisca and Aquila, and the household of One20 siphorus. Erastus remained at Corinth: but Trophimus ${ }_{4} 1$ I left sick at Miletus. Use diligence to come before winter. Eubulus greeteth thee, and Pudens, and Linus, 22 and Claudia, and all the brethren. The Lord Jesus Christ $b e$ with thy spirit. Grace be with you.

Gave me inward str.] As in I Tim. i. 12: strengthened me, Auth. and the other Vv. except Wicl., Cov. Test., comfortide me.

Fulfilled]
(As in ver. 5) So WICL., Cov. Test.; fulf. to the otmost, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Bish.: fully known, Aute., Gen.; accomplished, Remm. As Autr. and all the Vv. have 'by' in connexion with this verb, and as this prep. appears fornerly (as indeed not uncommonly at present) to have heen used as equivalent to 'by means of,' no change has been made. The lion's mouth] So Cov. Test.: the mouth of the Lion, Auth. and all the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$ (om. first the, Wicl.); see notes.
18. The Lord] *And the Lord, Auri. Shall save me unto] Sin., schal make saaf into, WıcL.:
will preserve me unto, Auth., Gen., Bish.; shall kepe me vnto, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran.; vill sauc me into, Rнем. Perhaps the very pregnant expression $\sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \in \nu \quad \epsilon l s$ may admit of this literal translation.
20. Remained] So Reem. and Cov. Test. (dyd rem.) : dwellide, WıcL.; abode, Auth. and remaining Vv.
I left, \&c.] Have I left at M. sick, Autr. Miletus] So Cov. Test., and Auth. in Acts xx. 15, 17: mylite, Wıcl. ; Miletum, Auth. and remaiuing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
21. Use diligence] Do thy dil., Auth., Cran., Bish.; hiye, Wicl.; make spede, Tynd., Cov., Gen. ; make haist, Cov. Test., Rhem.
22. Aurt. adds *Amen.

## THE EPISTLE TO TITUS.

PAUL, a servant of God, and an apostle of Jesus Christ, I. for the faith of God's elect and the full knowledge of the truth which leadeth unto godliness; upon the hope of 2 eternal life, which God that cannot lie promised before eternal times, but made manifest in His own seasons His 3 word in the preaching with which I was entrusted according to the commandment of our Saviour, God ; to 4 Titus, my true child after the common faith. Grace and peace from God the father and Christ Jesus our Saviour.

For this cause left I thee in Crete, that thou should- 5

Chapter I. i. For] Similarly, to preache, Tynd., Cov.: according to, Auth. and remaining $V v$. except Wioc., aftir. Full knowledge] Acknowledging, AUTH.; knowynge, Wicl. ; knowledge, Trnd. and remaining $V v$.

Leadeth untis] So Cov. : is according to, Cov. Test., Gen., Rhem.; is after, Auth. and remaining $V_{v}$.
2. Upon the] So Txnd., Cov.: in, Auth., Wiol.; in $y^{\text {e }}$, Cran., Bish.; to the, Cov. Test.; into the, Rhem.; inder the, Gen. Eternal times] Worldely tymes, Wicl.; the times of the worlde, Cov. (both); the secular times, Reem.; the world began, Auth. and remaining $V_{v}$.
3. Made manifest] Sim., hathe made...manifest, Gen., Bish. : hath... manifested, AUth., Rhem.; schewide, Wicl.; hath...sh., Cov. Test.; hath opened, Tynd. and remaining V .
His own seasons] Sim., hys searons, Cov. Test.: due times, Auth., Gen.
(tyme), Rhem. ; his tymes, Wicl., Cov. (tyme) ; the tyme apoynted, Tynd., Cran., Bise. In (2)] So Wicl., Rhem.: through, Auth. and the remaining Vv. except Cov. Test., by. The preaching] So Gen.: Autr. and all other Vv . omit the article.
With which, \&c.] Which is committed unto me, Auth. and the other $\mathrm{V} v$. (which preachynge,Tynd.;tome, Rнем.) except WroL., that is bitaken to me. Our Saviour, God] Su Wicl., Rhem.: God our Saviour, Auth. and the remaining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$; see notes on ch. iii. 4 (Transl.).
4. My true child] Mine own son, Auth. ; bilouede sone, Wicl.; his naturall s., Tynd., Cran.; my raturall s., Cov., Gen.; my deare s., Cov. Test. ; a natural s., Bise. ; my beloued s., RHEM. : see notes and reff. on 1 Tim. i. 2 (Transl.).
Grace] Auth. adds "mercy.
Christ Jesus] *The Lorl J. C.; Auth.
est further set in order the things that are wanting, and
6 any be under no charge, a husband of one wife, having believing children, not accused of dissoluteness, or un-
7 ruly. For a bishop must be blameless, as being God's steward; not selfwilled, not soon angry, not fierce over
8 wine, no striker, not greedy of base gain; but a lover of hospitality, a lover of goodness, soberminded, righteous,
9 holy, temperate: holding fast the faithful word according to the teaching, that he may be able both to exhort by the sound doctrine and to refute the gainsayers.
io For there are many uuruly vain talkers and inward
5. Further set, \&c.] Set in order, Auth.; amende, Wiol.; performe, Tynd., Cov.; refourme, Cran., Bish , Rhem. ; redresse, Cov. Test.; continue to redresse, Gen. Gave thee dir.] Had appointed thee, Auth., Cran., Bisf.; disposide to thee, Wicl.; apoynted the, Tynd., Cov., Gen., Rhem. ; haue ap. the, Cov. Test.
6. Vnder no charge] Blameless, Auth., Cov., Cran., Bish.; with outen cryme or greet gilte, Wicl. ; rvilhout crime, Rhex. ; fautelesse, Trnd.; without blame, Cov. Test.; inreproueable, Gen. $\quad A$ husban: 1 The husband, AurH. and all the other V v. except Wics. (omits $a$ or the). Believing] Faithful, Auth. and all the Vv. Dissoluteness] Riot, Avir. and all the other V v. except Wicl., lecherie.
7. A bishop] The idiom of our language seems ouly to admit of two translations, either 'a bish.' or ' every bish.;' the former is adopted by all the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$ As being, \&e.] Sim., as it be commeth the minister of God, Tynd.; as dispendour of god, Wicl.; as Gods stewarde, Gen. ; as the stevard of God, AUrH. and the other Vv. Not ferce over wine] Not given to wine, Avtr., Gen., Bish., Rify.; not rynolent (that is myche
gouen to wiin), Wicl. ; no dronkarde, Trnd.; not geuen unto moch wyne, Cov., Cov. Test. (to), Chan. (to).
Greedy, \&c.] Coueytouse of foul wynnynge, Wicl. ; gredye of filthye lucre, Cov. (both); couetous of f. l., Rhex.; given to filthy lucre, Аuth. and remaining $V_{v}$.
8. Goodness] So Tynd., Cov.,Cran., Gen., Bish.: good men, Auth.
Soberminded] So Tynd., Cov.: sober, Auth. and the remaining Vv . except Gen., wise.

Righteous]
So all Vy. except Auth., Wicl., Rhem., just: 'righteous' is adopted by Auth. in i Tim. i. 9 , 2 Tim. iv. 8.
9. According to, \&c.] Similarly, acc. to doctrine, Gen.; whych is acc. to doctr., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem. ; that is $u p$ doctr., Wicl.: as he hath becn taught, Auth. ; of doctryne, Tind, Cov., Cran. Both...and] So Auth, Bish. : also...and, Cran., Gen.; the remaining Vv . omit the first кai in translation. Both to exhort by the, \&c.] By sound doctrine, both to exhort, and to, \&c., Auth. Refute] Convince, Аuth.; reproue, Wicl., Rhem.; improve, Tynd. and remaining Vv .
10. Unruly] Auth., and all Vv. except Cov. Test. and Rhem., add and; comp. however Scholef. Hints,
deceivers, specially they of the circumcision: whose II mouths must be stopped, seeing they overthrow whole houses, teaching things which they should not, for the sake of base gain. One of themselves, even a prophet 12 of their own, said, The Cretans are alway liars, evil beasts, slothful bellies. This witness is true. For which 13 cause refute them sharply, in order that they may be sound in the faith; not giving heed to Jewish fables and 14 commandments of men that turn themselves away from the truth.

For the pure all things are pure: but for them that 15 are defiled and unbelieving there is nothing pure; but both their mind and their conscience have been defiled.
p. 125 .

Vain talkers]
So Auth., Geri., Bish.; talkers of vanite, Tynd., Cov., Cran.: veyne spekers, Wicl., Rhem.; v. ianglers, Cov. Test. 'Vain babblers' would have been more in conformity with the translation of I Tim. i. 6 , but a change is scarcely necessary.
Inward deceivers] Similarly, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish., disceavers of myndes: deceivers, Auth., Wicl., Cov. Test.; seducers, Rhem.
in. Seeing they] The whiche, Wicl.: who, Auti. and sim. all other Vv.
Overthrow] Pervert, Tind., Cov., Cran.: subvert, Auth. and the remaiving Vv. It seems desirable to preserve the more exact translation of oivives, and the simpler transl of dua$\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ov $\sigma \nu \nu$ adopted by Aбtr. in 2 Tim. ii. 18.

They should not]
They ought not, Aठte. and all the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{v}}$. except WicL., it bihoueth not. For the sake, \&c.] For filthy lucre's sake, Aणth., Gen., Bish. (lucre); for grace of foul wynnynge, Wicl.; because of filthy lucre, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran.; for f. l., Rhem.
12. Cretans] Cretians, Autr.

Slothful] So Rhem.: slow, AUte. and all the remaining $V_{v}$.
13. For which cause] Similarly, for what c., Wiol.; for the vehich c., Rhem.: wherefore, Aotr. and the remaining Vv .

Refute] Rebuke, Auti. and all the other Vv. except Wicl., blame. In order that] That, AUtr. and all the other Vv.
14. That turn themselves away from] Similarly, which turne them awaye from, Cov.; turnynge hem aweye fro, Wicl.; auerting them selues from, Rema.: that turn from, Adth.,Tynd., Gen.; that turne awaye, Crar. The translation, owing to the absence of the article, is not critically exact (see notes) ; a second participle however, as in Cov. Test., Bish., turnyng from, and Wicl., Rhem. (see above), is here so awkward, that in this particular case we may perhaps acquiesce in the insertion of the relative. If there be any truth in the distinction between 'that' and 'which' alluded to in the notes on Eph. i. 23 (Transl.), the substitution of 'who' (Conyb.) for 'that' is far from an improvement.
55. For (bis)] Unto (bis), Aoth. and all the other Vv. (to [bis], WicL., RHEM.; vnto...to, Cov.). There is] So Cov.: is, Autr. and the re-

16 They profess that they know God; but in their works they deny Him, being abominable, and disobedient, and unto every good work reprobate.
II. But do тHOU speak the things which become the 2 sound doctrine: that the aged men be sober, grave, dis3 creet, sound in faith, in love, in patience. The aged women likewise, that in demeanour they beseem holiness, not being slanderers, not enslaved to much wine, teachers 4 of good things; that they may school the young women to be loving to their husbands, loving to their children, 5 soberminded, chaste, workers at home, good, submitting
maining $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
Both...and]
So Cov., Rhem. : and...and, Wicl.; even...and, Auth. and the remaining Vv. except Cov. Test. which omits the first $\kappa a l$. Their conscience] Aणtr. and all Vv . omit their, but the clause is translated differently by Trnd., eren the very myndes and consciences of them, and Cban., Bish., euen the mynde and conscience of them. Have been] Is, Auth., Cov., Cran., Brsh.; be, Wicl.; are, Tynd. and remaining Vv.
16. Their works] So Reem.: works, Adth., Gen., Bish.; dedis, Wiol.; the dedes, Tynd., Cov. (both), Cran.

Cefapter II. 1. Do thou speak] So Raem. : thou...sp., Wicl. ; speak thou, Autre, and all other Vv.
The sound] Sound, AOtr., RHem.; holsum (no art.), Wicl. and remaining Vv.
2. Discreet] So Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gens. : temperate, Auth. ; prudent, Wicl.; wyse, Cov. Test., Rнem.; sober, Bisk., giving watchyng for v $\eta \phi$ a ${ }^{\text {lous }}$. The usual translation 'soberminded' would perhaps here tend to imply a limitation of the preceding $\nu \eta \phi a \lambda i o u s$ to 'sober' in the primary sense, which the present context does not seem to involve; contrast I Tim. iii. 2, and see notes on that passage. Love] So all Vv. except Avin., charity; see
notes on I Tim. i. 5 (Transl.).
3. That in demeanour, \&c.] That they be in behaviour as becometh holiness, Adtr. and sim. Gen., Bisk. (in suche beh.) ; in holy habite, Wrol.; that they be in soche rayment as becommeth h., Tynd., Cran.; that they shewe them selues as it becommeth $h$., Cov.; that they vse holy apparell, Cov. Test.; in holy attire, Reme.
Not being] So Cov. Test., Cran.: not, Auth.

Slanderers] (So Auth. in I Tim. iii. ni) Bacbiters (or seyinge false blame on other men), Wrol.; il speakers, Rhem.; false accusers, Adth. and remaining Vv.
Enslaved] Seruynge, Wicl.; given, A UTH. and the other Vv.
4. School] Teach.....to be sober, Auth.; teche prudence, Wicl.; make ...sobremynded, Trnd., Cran., Bise.; enfourme...to be sober mynded, Cov.; instruct...to be sobre minded, Gen.; teach...vvisedom, Rнем. To be loving, \&c.] To love their husbands, to love their children, Aoti. and sim. the other Vv. Change made to preserve the sequence of adjectives.
5. Sober-minded] To be discreet, Auth., Tind., Cov., Cran., Brae.; thei prudent, Wicl.; to be wyse, Cov. Test., Rhem. (om. to be); that thei be discrete, Gen.
Workers at home] Kecpers at home,
themselves to their own husbands, that the word of God be not blasphemed.

The younger men likewise exhort to be soberminded. 6 In all respects shewing thyself a pattern of good works; 7 in thy doctrine shewing uncorruptness, gravity, sound 8 discourse that cannot be condemned, that he that is of the contrary part may be ashamed, having no evil thing to say of us. Exhort bond-servants to submit themselves to 9 their own masters, in all things to be well pleasing to them, not gainsaying, not purloining, but shewing forth 10 all good fidelity; that they may adorn the doctrine of our Saviour God in all things.

For the grace of God hath appeared bringing salva- II tion to all men, disciplining us to the intent that having 12

Auta. Submitting themselves] (So Auth. in Eph. v. 21) Obedient, Aute. and all $V \mathrm{v}$. except Wicl., Gen., Rhem., suget.
6. The younger] Young, Auti. and all Vv. except Cov. (both), the yonge.
7. In all respects] In all things, AUTE. and the other Vv. except Tynd., Cov. (thinge), Gen., above all thynges. Thy doctrine] Șimilarly, $y^{e}$ doctr., Cran., Brse.: doctrine, Auth., Rekm.; techynge, $\mathbf{W}_{\text {illu.; learnynge, Cov. Test. }}$ Gravity] Auth. adds *sincerity.
8. Discourse] Speech, Auth.; all the other $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}$., word. A iranslation should be chosen which will not limit dóroy too much to 'speech' in private life: see notes. $\left.\quad U_{s}\right]{ }^{*}$ You, Auth.
9. Bond-servants] As in Eph. vi. 5: servants, AUTH, and all the other Vv. Submit themselves] As in ver. 5: be obedient, Auth. In all things, \&c.] And to please them well in all things, AutH., and, omitting well, Cov. Test. (om. and), Cran., Gen. (om. to), Bish.; in alle thingis
plesynge, Wicl., Rhem.; and to please in all thynges, Tynd., Cov. (om. and).
Gainsaying] So Wrcl. (agens.), Rhem., and Auth. Marg.: answering again, Auti. and the other $V^{v}$.
10. Shewing forth] That they shewe, Tynd., Cran., Gen.; to shewe, Cov.; shewing, AUTH. and remaining Vv. Our Saviour God] So Tynd., Rhem.: God our Saviour, AUTH. and remaining $V$ v.
11. Bringing] *Thatbringeth, Auti. Salv. to all men] So Auth. Marg., and (vnto) Trnd., Cov., Cran., Gen., and the same connexion is preserved by Bish.: hath app.toallmen, Auti. The slight inversion of clauses in the text is made both to preserve the connexion of $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota o s$ with $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \tau \nu a \dot{a} \nu \rho$., and also to leave $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \varnothing \phi a ́ v \eta$ as much as possible in the prominent position it occupies in the original.
12. Disciplining] Teaching, Auth., Widl., Cov. Test., Bish.; and teacheth, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen.; instructing, Reem. 'Teaching by discipline' would be perhaps a inore easy translation (comp. 1 Tim. i. zo); the
denied ungodliness and worldly lusts we should live soberly, righteously, and godly, in the present world; ${ }_{13}$ looking for the blessed hope and appearing of the glory 14 of our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ; who gave himself for us, that He might ransom us from all iniquity, and purify to Himself a peculiar people, zealous of ${ }^{1} .5$ good works. These things speak, and exhort, and reprove with all authority. Let no man despise thee.
III. Put them in mind to submit themselves to rulers, to authorities; to be obedient, to be ready to every 2 good work, to speak evil of no man, to be averse to contention, forbearing, shewing forth all meekness unto
verb however is occasionally used absolutely (as here) by some of our older writers, e.g. Shakspeare and Milton. To the intent, \&c.] That denying, AUTH., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem.; that...forsakynge, WicL.; that we shuld denye... and, Tynd., Cov., Cran., Gen.
The present] This present, AUTH. and the other Vr. except Wich., Cov. (both), RHEM., this.
13. The blessed] So WICl., Cov. Test., Gen., Reem.: that blessed, AOTH. and the remaining $V_{V}$.
Appearing of, \&c.] So Cov., Cran., Gen., Bish.; the comynge of the glorie, Wicl., Cov. Test. ; aduent of the gl., Rhem.: the glorious appearing, Auth., TYND. (om. the). It is noticeable that our older Vv. (except Tynd.) have all avoided a doubtful interpretation of the gen., into which even accurate scholars like Green (Gramm. p. 215) have allowed themselves to be betrayed. Our] The, Aoth. and all Vv. except Wicl. which omits iᄂ. And Saviour] And our S., Auth., Wicl., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem.; and of oure s., Tynd., Cov. (but no preceding comma), Cran., Gen.
14. Ransom] Redeem, Auth. and
the other Vv. except Wicl., agen bie.
15. Reprove] Rebuke, Aотн. and all Vv. except Wicl., argue (or proue).

Chapter III. I. Submit themselves] So Tynd., Cov., Cran.: be obediente, Cov. 'Test.; be subject, Auxi. and remaining Vv. To rulers, to auth.] To Principalities *and Powers, Auth., Gen. (the Pr.) ; to prynces \& powers, WIcL., Cov. Test. (vnto); to rule and power, Tynd., Cran., Bish., vnto Prynces and to the hyer auctorite, Cov.; to Princes and Potestates, RHEM. The occasional use of the term 'principalities' in AUTH. with reference to orders of angels makes a change desirable. Be obedient $]$ So Gen.: obey magistrates, Auti., Bish.
2. Averse to contention] No brawlers, Auth. ; not litigious (or ful of chydynge), Wiol.; no fyghters, Tynd., Cran., Gen., Bish.; no stryuers, Cov. (both); not...litigious, R⿴EM. Forbearing] But gentle, Auth., Cran., BisH.; but temperaunt (or pacient), WIcl.; but softe, Tynd., Cov. (both), Gen. Shewing forth] As in 1 Tim. i. 16, al.: shewing, Auth. and all Vy.
all men. For we were once ourselves also foolish, dis- 3 obedient, going astray, serving divers lusts and pleasures, living in malice and envy, hateful, hating one another. But when the kindness and the love toward man of our Saviour God appeared, not by works of righte- 5 ousness which we did, but after His mercy He saved us, by the laver of regeneration and renewing of the Holy Ghost; which He poured out upon us richly through 6 Jesus Christ our Saviour; that being justified by His 7 grace, we should become heirs of eternal life, according to hope.

Faithful is the saying, and about these things I desire 8 that thou make asseveration, to the intent that they which
3. Were once ourselves also] Ourselves also were sometimes, Auth.; and so, as to the position of $\pi 0 \tau \varepsilon$ in translation, all Vv.

Going astray] So Cov. Test.: errynye, Wicl., Rнем. ; in erroure, Cov.; deceived, Auth. and remaining $\mathrm{Vv}_{\mathrm{v}}$.
Hating] So all Vv. except Auti., Gen., and hating.
4. When] So Cov. Test., Gen., Rhem. : after that, Auth. and remaining Vv. except Wicl, which omits it. The love toward man, \&c.] So, as to order, Rhew. : love of God our Saviour toward man, Auth., Gen.
Our Saviour God] So the other Vv. except Auth., Wiol., Cov., Gen., God our Saviour.
5. Did] So Wicl., Rhem., and sim. Tynd., Cov., Cran., wrought: have done, Auth., Cov. Test.; had done, Gen. After] So Wiol., Cov.: of, Tynd.; according to, Aठte. and remaining Vv.
Laver] So Reme.: washing, Aute, Gen.: waschynge (or baptym), Wicl.; fountayne, Tynd. and remaining Vv. The comma after $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \gamma \varepsilon \nu$, of Auth., Trind., Cov., Cran., Gen., is not found in Wicl., Cov. Test., Bish., Rhem.
6. Poured out upon] Sched oute
into, Wicl.; hath poured forth vpon, Cov. Test., Rhem. (om. forth); shed on, Auth. and the other Vr.
Richly] So Bish., Autr. Marg.: plenteruously, Wicl. ; plentyfully, Cov. Test.; abundantly, AUTH. and remaining Vv .
7. Become] Be made, Auth.

Heirs of, \&c.] So Tynd. (thorowe h.), Cov., Cov. Test. (euerlastynge): heirs according to the hope of et. l., Auth., Cran., Gen., Bish.; eyres afiir hope of euerlastynge l., Wicl.; heires acc. to hope of life ewerl., Rнем.
8. Faithful is the saying] This is a faithful saying, Auth., Bish.; a trewe worde, Wicl.; this is a true sayinge, Trnd., Cov. (both), Cran., Gen. (Cov. Test. adds, it is a faythfull worde) ; it is a f. saying, Rhem.
About these] Of th., Wicl. and all the other Vr. except Auth., Gen., these.
Desire] Wolde, Tynd., Cov. (both): will, Auth. and remaining $\mathrm{V}^{\mathbf{v}}$.
Make asseveration] Afirm constantly, Aute.; conferme other men, Wicl.; certifie, Tynd., Cran.; speake earnestly, Cov.; strengthen them, Cov. Test.; affirme, Gen.; confirme, Bise.; auouch earnestly, Rhem.
To the intent that] That, AUTE. and
have believed God may be careful to practise good works. 9 These things are good and profitable unto men. But avoid foolish questions, and genealogies, and strifes, and contentions about the law; for they are unprofitable and io vain. A man that is an heretick, after a first and second II admonition, shun; knowing that he that is such is perverted, and sinneth being self-condemned.
I2 When I shall send Artemas unto thee, or Tychicus, use diligence to come unto me to Nicopolis: for there I have 13 determined to winter. Forward zealously on their jour-
all the other $V_{v}$.: the addition in the text seems necessary to obviate misconception of the meaning.
God] So Wicl. (to g.), Tynd.: in God, Aoth. and remaining Vv. May] Might, Auth. Practise] Maintain, Auth.; be bifore in, Wicl.; go forwarde in, Tynd., Cran.; excell in, Cov. (both), Rеем.; shewe forthe, Gen., Bish. Are good] So Auti., but observe that in Rec. the reading is $\tau$ d ка入д $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$., which should have been translated 'are the things which are good;' comp. Scholef. Hints, p. 128.
9. Strifes, and contentions] Contentions, and strivings, Auxh. All the Vv. except Tynd., Cov.; place a comma after $\epsilon$ écts.
10. A first] The first, A UTH.

Shun] So Wicl.: eschue, Cov. Test.; reject, Auth., Gen.; avoyde, Tynd. and remaining $V v$. The translation of Autr., though lexically tenable, appears stronger than the use of $\pi a \rho$ auteĩ $\sigma a l$ in these Epp. will fully warrant. The transl. 'refuse,' I Tim. v. in (АUтн.), would not here be suitable, as the context affords no clue to the character of the refusal; the meaning is simply 'have nothing to do with,' 'monere desine;' see notes in loc.
in. Perverted] So Tynd., Cov.
(both), Cran., Gen.: subverted, Adtr. and remaining $\nabla v$.

Self. condemned] Condemned of himself, Auth., Bise. (dampned) ; dampnyde by his owne doom, WidL.; d. by his awne iudgement, Trnd., Cov., and Cov. Test., Rhem. (both giving cond.); d. by hym selfe, Cban.; d. of his owne self, Gen.
12. Shall send] So Auti. and all Vv. except Cov. Test., send. The translation 'shall have sent,' though perhaps critically exact, appears to have been very rarely adopted by our Translators (comp. Matth. xxi. 40, Mark viii. 38, John iv. 25, xvi. 13, Aots xxiii. 35, Rom. xi. 27, 1 Cor. xvi. 3), and except where strict accuracy may be required, or where an idiomatic turn (as in I Tim, v. it) adds force and perspicuity, is best avoided, as not fully in accordance with our usual mode of expression.
Use diligence] Hiye thou, WIcl.; make spede, Cov.; make haist, Cov. Test.; hasten, Rhem.; be diligent, Auth. and remaining Vv. There I have det.] So Reem.; sim. there haue I purposed, Cov. Test.: I have determined there, Auth. and the remaining Vv. except Wicl., I haue purposide for to dwelle in wynter there.
13. Forward zealously, \&c.] Bisily sende bifore, Wicl.; sende...diligently
ney Zenas the lawyer and Apollos, that nothing be wanting to them. And let ours also learn to practise good 14 works for necessary wants, that they be not unfruitful.

All that are with me salute thee. Salute them that $I_{5}$ love us in the faith.

Grace be with you all.
afore, Cov. Test.; set forvvard...carefully, Rekx.; bring...on their journey ditigently, Auth, and remaining V v.
14. Ours] So Auth. and all Vv. except Wicl., Rhem., oure men. Practise] Maintain, AUTH.; be bifore in, WICL.; shewe forthe, GEN.; excell in, Tynd. and the other Vv .
For necessary wants] For necessary uses, Aoti. and the other Vv. (andn.,

Wicl.; vnto, Cov. Test.; to, Bish., Rhem.) except Tynd., Cov., Cran., as farforth, as nede requyreth.
15. Sabute...Salute] So Cov. Test., RHEM.: greten...grete, Wiol.; salute ...Greet, Autr. and remaining Vv. As the same word ( $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) is used in both cases, a change of rendering seems scarcely desirable. $A l l]$ Auth. adds * Amen.

THE END.

## Cambrioge:


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I may here remark that all the references to Winer's Grammar have been altered and conformed to the lamented author's 6th and last edition.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is said that Professor Bernstein has for some time been engaged in the preparation of a new Syriac Lexicon, but I cannot find out that it has yet appeared.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See however preface to the Commentary on the Philippians, \&c. p. vii. [The grammar of Dillmann, and the edition of Jonah above referred to, have. now been for some time in the bands of students. 1864.]

[^3]:    

