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In thy Orcharde (the wals, buttes and trees, if they could speak, would beare me witnesse) $I$ learned without booke almost all Paules Epistles, yea and I weene all the Canonicall Epistles, saue only the Apocalipse. Of which study, although in time a great part did depart from me, yet the sweete smell thereof I truste I shall cary with me into heauen: for the profite thereof $I$ thinke I haue felte in all my lyfe tyme euer after.

Bishop Rideley, to Pembroke Hall (Pembroke College), Cambridge.
From $A$ letter which he wrote as his last farawel to al his true and faythefull frendes in God, October, 1555, a few days before he suffered. Transcribed from Coverdale's Letters of Martyrs, ed. 1564.

## INTRODUCTION.

## CHAPTER I.

## Philifpi: St Padl's Connexion with it.

The site of Philippi is near the head of the Archipelago (Mare Agreum), eight miles north-westward of the port of Kavala, or Kavalla, probably the ancient Neapolis. Just south of it runs the 41st parallel of north latitude; a little to the west, the 24th parallel of east (Greenwich) longitude. The place is at present a scene of ruins. A village hard by, also in ruins, still bears the name of Philibedjik. In thic first century the town occupied the southern end of a hill above a fertile plain, and extended down into the plain, so as to comprise a higher and a lower city. These were divided by the great Egnatian road, which crossed Roman Macedonia from sea to sea. The higher town contained, among other buildings, the citadel, and a temple, built by the Roman colonists, to the Latin god Silvanus. The lower town contained the market-place, and the forum, a smaller square on which opened the courts of justice. Four massive columns are still standing at the foot of the hill, probably marking the four corners of the forum. A little more than a mile to the west of the town the small river Bounarbachi, anciently Gangas, Gangites, or Angites, and still called, at least at one part of its course, Angista, flows southward into a fen which borders the plain of the city, and to the sonth of which

[^0]again rise the heights of Mount Pangæus, now Pirnári, rich of old in veins of gold and silver, and covered in summer with wild roses. The whole region is one of singular beauty and fertility.
The geographical position of Philippi was remarkable. It lay on a great thoroughfare from west to east, just where the mountain barrier of the Balkans sinks into a pass, inviting the road-builders of Greek, Macedonian, and Roman times. It was this which led Philip of Macedon (в.c. 359-336) to fortify the old Thracian town of Daton ${ }^{1}$, or Crenides (Fountains). To the place thus strengthened he gave his name, and, by pushing his border eastrard into Thrace, converted it from a Thracian into a Macedonian town ${ }^{2}$.

This position of Philippi accounts for the one great event in its secular history, the double battle in which (b.c. 42) some ninety-five years before St Paul first saw Plilippi, the combined armies of Brutus and Cassius were defeated by Octavius (afterwards Augustus) and Marcus Antonius. Cassius encamped on Pangæus, south of the town, plain, and fen, Brutus on the slopes to the north, near the town ; thus guarding from both sides the pass of the Egnatian road. First Cassius was ronted, and two days later Brutus. Each in succession was slain, at his own command, by the hand of a comrade, and with them died the cause of the great republican oligarchy of Rome.

Augustus erected Philippi into a colony (colonia, ко入 $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ia, Acts xvi. 12), with the full title Colonia Augusta Julia Victrix Philipporum, or Philippensis ${ }^{3}$. A colony, in the Roman scuse, was a miniature Rome, a reproduction and outpost of the City. The colonists were sent out by authority, they marched in military order to their new home, their names were still

[^1]enrolled among the Roman tribes, they used the Latin language aud Latin coinage, their chief magistrates were appointed from Rome, and were independent of the provincial governors ${ }^{1}$. These magistrates were two in each colony, Duumviri, and combined civil and military authority in their persons. At Philippi we find them assuming the grandiose title of commandants, prætors, orparnyoi (Acts xvi. 20), and giving their cunstables the title of lictors, $\dot{\rho} \beta \beta \dot{\delta} o \mathrm{o} \chi o c$ (ver. 35). They posed, in effect, as the more than consuls of their petty Rome. Much of the narrative of Acts xvii. comes out with double vividness when the colonial character of Philippi is remembered.
In Acts xvi. 12 we find Philippi called, in the Authorized Version, "the chief city of that part of Macedonia." The better rendering of the best-attested reading is, however, "a city of Macedonia, first of the district." This may mean, grammatically, either that Philippi first met the traveller as he entered the region of Macedonia where it lay, or that it was the political capital of that region. Mr Lewin (i. 202, 206) advocates the latter view, and holds that Philippi succeeded Amphipolis as the capital of the "first," or easternmost, of the four Roman "Macedonias." Bp Lightfoot (Philippians, p. 50) prefers decidedly the former view, maintaining that the fourfold Roman division was, by St Paul's time, long disused. We incline, however, to an explanation nearer to Mr Lewin's view; that Philippi is marked by St Luke as first, in the sense of most important, of its district ; not officially perhaps, but by prestige.
We may remark in passing that the geographical position of Philippi is incidentally illustrated by the presence there of Lydia, the purple-merchant from Asiatic Thyatira, come to this important place of thoroughfare between her continent and Roman Europe. And the colonial, military, character of Philippi explains in a measure the comparative feebleness of its Jewish element, with their humble proseucha, or prayer-house (Acts svi. 13), outside the walls.

On the story of St Paul's work at Philippi there is little need
${ }^{1}$ Britain, like other frontior provinoes, had its colonia; e.g. Lindum Colonia, Lin-coln.
to dwell in detail, so full and vivid is the narrative of Acts xvi., from the unobtrusive opening of the mission (A.D. 52) by the Apostle, with his coadjutors Silas, Timothy, and probably Luke ${ }^{1}$, to the moment when Paul and Silas quit the house of Lydia, and, probably leaving Luke behind them, set out westward along the Egnatian road for Amphipolis. It is enough to say here that the whole circumstances there depicted harmonize perfectly with the contents and tone of our Epistle; with its peculiar affectionateness, as written to witnesses and partners of tribulation, with its entreaties to the disciples to hold together in the midst of singularly alien surroundings, and, we may add, with its allusions to the "citizen-life" of the saints whose central civic home is (not Rome but) heaven.

Twice after A.D. 52, within the period covered by the Acts, we find St Paul at Philippi. Late in the year 57 he left Ephesus for Macedonia (Acts xx. 1 ; cp. 2 Cor. ii. 12, 13, vii. 5, 6), and undoubtedly gave to Philippi some of his "much exhortation." In the spring of 58, on his return eastward from Corinth by Macedonia, he spent Passover at Philippi (Acts xx. 6), lingering there, apparently, in the rear of the main company of his fellowtravellers, "that he might keep the paschal feast with his beloved converts ${ }^{2}$."

Intercourse with Philippi was evidently maintained actively during his absences. Our Epistle (iv. 16) mentions two messages from the converts to St Paul just after his first visit, and the frequent allusions to Macedonia ${ }^{3}$ in the Corinthian Epistles indicate that during the time spent at Ephesus (say 55-57) Philippi, with the other "churches of Macedonia," must have been continually in his heart and thoughts, and kept in contact with him by messengers.

[^2]Before leaving the topic of St Paul's intercourse with Philippi, we may notice two points in which distinctively Macedonian traits appear in the Christian life of the mission Church. The first is the position and influence of women. We have women prominent in the narrative of Acts xvi., and in Phil. iv. 2 we find two women who were evidently important and influential persons in the Church. And similar indications appear at Thessalonica (Acts xvii. 4) and Beroa (ib. 12). Bp Lightfoot has collected some interesting evidence to shew that Macedonian women generally held an exceptionally honoured and influential position. Thus it is common, in Macedonian inscriptions, to find the mother's name recorded instead of the father's; and Macedonian husbands, in epitaphs upon their wives, use terms markedly reverent as well as affectionate. The Gospel doctrine of woman's dignity would find good soil in Macedonia. The other point is the pecuniary liberality of the Philippians, which comes out so conspicuously in ch. iv. This was a characteristic of the Macedonian missions, as 2 Cor. viii., ix., amply and beautifully prove. It is remarkable that the Macedonian converts were, as a class, very poor (2 Cor. viii. 1) ; and the parallel facts, their poverty and their openhanded support of the great missionary and his work, are deeply harmonious. At the present day the missionary liberality of poor Christians is, in proportion, vastly greater than that of the rich.

The post-apostolic history of Philippi is very meagre. We know scarcely anything of it with the one exception that St Ignatius passed it, on his way from Asia to his martyrdom at Rome, about the year 110 . He was reverently welcomed by the Philippians, and his pathetic visit occasioned communications between them and Ignatius' friend Polycarp, bishop of Smyrna, who then wrote to the Philippian Christians his one extant Epistle (see below, ch. v.). "Though the see is said to exist even to the present day," writes Bp Lightfoot (Philippians, p. 65), " the city itself has long been a wilderness....Of the church which stood foremost among all the apostolic communities in faith and love, it may literally be said that not one
stone stands upon another. Its whole career is a signal monument of the inscrutable counsels of God. Born into the world with the brightest promise, the Church of Philippi has lived without a history and perished without a memorial." (See further, Appendix O.)

As we leave the ruins of Philippi, it is interesting to observe that among them have been found, by a French archæological mission (1864), inscriptions giving the names of the promoters of the building of the temple of Silvanus, and of the members of its "sacred college." Among them occur several names farmiliar to us in the Acts and Epistles; Crescens, Secundus, Trophimus, Urbanus, Aristobulus, Pudens, and Clemensthis last a name found in our Epistle.

## CHAPTER II.

## Date and Occasion of the Epistle.

It may be taken as certain that the Epistle was written from Rome during the two years' imprisonment recorded by St Luke (Acts xxviii. 30); that is to say, within the years 61-63. It is true that some scholars, notably Meyer ${ }^{1}$, have made Cæsarea Stratonis (Acts xxiv. 23-27) the place of writing of the Philippians, Ephasians, and Colossians; and some who hesitate to assign the two latter epistles to the Cæsarean captivity assign the Philippians to it (see Lightfoot, p. 30, note). But the reasons on the other side seem to us abundantly decisive. Bp Lightfoot gives them somewhat as follows (pp. 30, 31, note). (1) The notice of "Cæsar's household" (iv. 22) cannot naturally apply to Cæsarea. (2) The notice (i. $12 \& c$.) of the progress of the Gospel loses point if the place of writing is not a place of great importance and a comparatively new field for the Gospel. (3) St Paul looks forward, in this Epistle, to an approaching release, and to a visit to Macedonia. This does not agree with his indicated hopes and plans at Cæsarea, where certainly
${ }^{1}$ His reasons are fully stated, and answered, in Alford's Prolegomena to the Ephesians.
his expectation (Acts xxiii. Il) was to visit Rome, under whatever circumstances, most probably as a prisoner on appeal. The chief plea, in the Philippians, for Cæsarea is that the word $\pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega \rho t o n(\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{I} 3$ ) corresponds to the pratorium, or residency, of Herod at Cæsarea (Acts xxiii. 35). But here again we may remark that the allusion in the Epistle indicates an area of influence remarkable and extensive, conditions scarcely fulfilled at Cæsarea. And Rome affords an obvious and adequate solution of the problem, as we shall see at the proper place in the text:

The subordinate question arises, When within the two years of the Roman captivity was our Epistle written? Was it early or late, before or after the Ephesians and the Colossians? which are plainly to be grouped together, along with the private letter to the Colossian Philemon.

A widely prevalent view is that the Philippians was written late, not long before St Paul's release on the final hearing of his appeal. The main reasons for this view are
(l) the indications in the Epistle that the Gospel had made great progress at Rome;
(2) the absence in the Epistle of the names Luke and Aristarchus, who both sailed from Syria with St Paul (Acts xxvii. 2) and who both appear in the Colossians and Philemon;
(3) the lapse of time after St Paul's arrival at Rome demanded by the details of Epaphroditus' case (Phil. ii. iv.), which seem to indicate that the Philippians had heard of St Paul's arrival; had then despatched their collection (perhaps not without delay, iv. 10) to Rome by Epaphroditus; had then heard, from Rome, that Epaphroditus had been ill there (ii. 26), and had then somehow let it be known at Rome (ibid.) that the news had reached them;
(4) the tone of the Epistle, in its allusions to St Paul's strict imprisonment and to his entire uncertainty, humanly speaking, about the issue of his appeal; allusions said to be inconsistent with the comparative freedorn indicated by the Acts, but consistent with a change for the worse in the counsels of Nero,
such a change as would have occurred when (A.D. 62) the wicked Tigellinus succeeded the upright Burrus in command of the Guard.

Bp Lightfoot on the other hand takes the view that the Philippians was the earliest of the Epistles of the Captivity. And he meets the above arguments somewhat as follows.
(1) There is good evidence, both in the Acts and the Epistle, and above all in the Romans, for the belief that "a flourishing though unorganized Church" existed at Rome before St Paul's arrival. Already, three years earlien, he had addressed his greatest Epistle "to all that were in Rome, beloved of God, called saints" ; and there is strong reason to think that many of the Christians greeted in that Epistle (ch. xvi.) were identical with "the saints of the Household" of our Epistle (see on Phil. iv. 22), and so that those "saints" were pre-Pauline converts, at least in many instances. And when he lands at Puteoli, in 61, he finds there too Christians ready to greet him. And on the other hand the allusions in our Epistle to the progress of the work at Rome must not be pressed too far, as if the whole population of the City was being stirred. What is meant is that a distinct and vigorous "new departure" was being made by the Roman Christians, as willing evangelists, and that the warders of the Apostle were carrying out the strange and interesting news of his doctrine and character among their fellow Prætorians and "people in general" (oi خoı $\pi o i ̀ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ). But all these notes excellently suit a time not long after the Apostle's arrival, when the stimulus of his presence among the Christians would be powerful in its novelty, and when of course already the "soldiers that kept him" would be among his hearers, and not seldom, by the grace of God, his converts. Even the allusion (i. 15) to internal opposition suits such a time better than a later, "when...antagonism...and...devotion...had settled down into a routine" (Lightfoot, p. 34).
(2) As regards the absence from the Philippians of the names Luke and Aristarchus, this is in the first place an argument from silence only, which cannot be conclusive. The two disciples may be included under the "brethren" and
"saints" of iv. 21, 22. But further, it is at least doubtful whether Aristarchus, though he sailed from Syria with St Paul, landed in Italy with him. He was a Thessalonian, and the vessel in which St Paul sailed was an Adramyttian, from the ※gæan, in which Aristarchus may have been on his way not to Rome but to Thessalonical. From Macedonia he may easily have joined St Paul in Italy later, associating himself so closely there with the imprisoned Apostle as to earn the title of his "fellow-prisoner of war" (Col. iv. 10). As for Luke, it is obvious that at any time he might have left Rome on a temporary errand, to Puteoli perhaps, or some other outlying mission. And of course the same remark may be made of Aristarchus, supposing him to have been after all in Italy.
(3) The argument from the case of Epaphroditus is not strong. It is not necessary to suppose that a special message went from Rome to Philippi to announce St Paul's arrival. Very possibly through Aristarchus (see just above), if not by some other means, the Philippians may have heard that he was far on his way, and may have acted on probabilities. Epaphroditus may even have left Philippi, with the collection, before St Paul reached Italy. And a month, under favourable circumstances, would suffice for a journey from Philippi to Rome, by Brundisium (Brindisi), Dyrrachium (the Illyrian port), and the Egnatian road across Macedonia ${ }^{2}$. Thus if the Philippans was written only four months after St Paul's arrival the time would amply include all we need infer under this head.
(4) The tone of the Epistle, with its suspense, its allusions to rigour of confinement, and on the other hand its expectations of release, is not conclusive for a late date. The imprisonment as depicted in it is, after all, no less and no more severe than Acts xxviii. 16 implies. And the references to the trial and its uncertain issue would probably be at least as appropriate in the

[^3]early stages of its progress, or under early experiences of its delays, as later. Doubtless the Epistle depicts trials and sorrows where the Acts speaks only of opportunity and success; but Bp Lightfoot well remarks that this is perfectly truthlike. The historian reviews the sum total of a very fruitful period of influence; the letter-writer speaks under the immediate pressure of the day's, or the week's, chequered circumstances. St Paul's expectation of release is discussed in the notes (ii. 24); it certainly affords no decisive note of time. As for the promotion of Tigellinus, Lightfoot justly says that such changes in the Imperial court would make little difference, for better or worse, in the case of an obscure provincial prisoner, the missionary of a cultus which had not get come to be thought politically dangerous.

If these arguments for a late date for the Epistle may be fairly answered thus, we have meanwhile positive evidence for an earlier date in the doctrinal affinities of the Philippians. These point towards the great central group of Pauline Epistles (Romans, Corinthians, Galatians), and espocially towards the Romans, the latest written of that group. In Phil. iii. we have in prominence the doctrine of Justification, in the precise form of the doctrine of Imputed Righteousness, the believer's refuge and peace in view of the absoluteness of the Divine Law. Now this is the characteristic topic of the Roman and Galatian Epistles, and in a minor degree of the Corinthian (1 Cor. i. 30, iv. 4, vi. 1l ; 2 Cor. iii. 9, v. 19-21). But it is absent, as regards just this form of presentation, from the Ephesian and Colossian Epistles, in which St Paul was led by the Holy Spirit to deal more expressly with the closely related, but different sides of truth conveyed in such words as Union, Life, Indwelling, Universal Church. This is strong evidence for an approximation of the Philippians to the Romans, \&c., in point of time, as near as other considerations allow. Certainly it makes it likely that the Ephesians and its group were not interposed between the Romans and the Philippians.

And on closer examination we find many links of thought and expression between the Romans and the Philippians,
besides this main link. Bp Lightfoot (pp. 43, 44) collects the following parallelisms of this sort :

| Compare | Phil. | i. 3-8 | with | Rom. | i. 8-11: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | i. 10 | - | - | ii. 18: |
| - |  | ii. 2-4 | - | - | xii. 10, 16-19: |
| - | - | ii. 8-11 | - | - | xiv. 9-11: |
| - | - | iii. 3 | - | - | ii. 28, i. 9, v. 11 : |
| - | - | iii. 4, 5 | - | - | xi. 1 : |
|  | - | iii. 10, 11, 21 | - | - | vi. 5 : |
|  | - | iii. 19 | - | - | vi. 21, xvi. 18 : |
| - | - | iv. 18 | - | - | xii. 1. |

And he notes the following words and phrases as occurring in the two Epistles, and not elsewhere: àтокарабокía, $\sigma \dot{v}^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu о р о s , ~}$


On the whole, we may date the Epistle, with great probability, late in the year 61 or early in 62. See further The Epistle to the Ephesians, in this The Camb. Bible for Schools, \&c., Introduction, pp. 19-22.

Of the occasion of writing, little needs to be said; the Epistle itself speaks clearly on the subject. The arrival of Epaphroditus bringing the Philippian gift, his illness at Rome, and his anxiety to return to Philippi, appear to have given the immediate suggestion and made the opportunity. We gather that besides this Epaphroditus had reported, as the one serious defect of Christian life at Philippi, a tendency to party-spirit, or at least to personal antagonisms and differences, especially in the case of two well-known female converts. See i. 2, 27, ii, 2, 3, 14, 26, iv. 2, and notes. And meanwhile St Paul takes the occasion to warn his beloved Philippians against errors of doctrine and practice which, if not already rife at Philippi, were sure to find their way there; the errors both of the Pharisaic legalist (iii. 2-ll), and of the antinomian would-be Paulinist (iii. 13-19).

So, occasioned on the one hand by present circumstances, and on the other guided by the secret working of the Holy Spirit to form a sure oracle of God for the Church for ever, the Letter was dictated, and the greetings of the Writer's
visitors were added, and the manuscript was given over to Epaphroditus, to be conveyed across Italy, the Adriatic, and Macedonia, to the plain and hill of Philippi ${ }^{1}$.

## CHAPTER III.

## Authentioity of the Epistle.

No trace of doubt on this subject appears in early Christian literature. Amongst direct testimonies, and taking the later first, we may cite Tertullian (cent. 2-3). He (de Resurvectione Carnis, c. xxiii.) quotes Phil. iii. $11-13^{2}$, as "written by Paul to the Philippians." He mentions (de Prcescriptione, c. xxxvi.) Philippi among the Churches which possessed "authentic apostolic epistles," that is, apparently, letters received at first hand from Apostles. In his Reply to Marcion, bk. v., taking up the Pauline Epistles one by one for evidence against the Gnostic theory of Christianity taught by Marcion, he comes (c. xx.) to "the Epistle to the Philippians," and quotes, or refers to, i. 14-18, ii. 6-8, iii. 5-9, 20, 21 . It will be observed that this latter evidence is doubly valuable, as it assumas his opponent's agreement with him about the authenticity.

Irenarus (late cent. 2) quotes (de Horresibus, iv., c. xviii. 4) Phil. iv. 18 as the words of "Paul to the Philippians."

Clement of Alexandria (late cent. 2) repeatedly quotes the Epistle. He brings (Padagogus, i., c. vi., ed. Migne) Phil. iii, $12-14$ to refute those who "call themselves 'perfect' and 'gnostic'." In the Stromata, iv., c. iii., he refers to Phil. iii. 20 , in the words "having obtained citizenship in heaven"; c. v., he quotes i. 13, 14 as the "words of the Apostle"; c. xiii. he quotes i. 7, 29, 30, ii. 1, 2, 17, 20, 21, and refers to the Philippians as addressed by "the Apostle" in these passages.
${ }^{1}$ For further particulars of St Paul's life and work at Rome see Appendix A.
${ }^{2}$ With one curious variation of reading: persequor ad palmam incriminationis; as if reading tò $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{d} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \bar{s}$.

In the contemporary Letter of the Churches of Lyons and Vienne, describing the martyrdoms of A.D. $177^{1}$, the sufferers are said to have striven to "imitate Christ, who being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God." The Greek is verbatim as Phil. ii. 6.
Polycarp, in his Epistle to the Philippians (very early cent. 2), both refers (c. iii.) to the Epistle which St Paul had addressed to them, and manifestly echoes its phraseology. He speaks indeed of "Epistles." But the plural is often used for the singular of this word; see Lightfoot in his Edition of Polycarp (Apostolic Fathers, Pt. in. ; Vol. ii., sect. ii., p. 911). Polycarp's Epistle is given below, nearly in full; Introduction, ch. v.
Ignatius, on his way to martyrdom (about A.d. 1l0), wrote a series of Epistles. In that to the Romans, c. ii., he speaks of his desire to be "poured out as a libation ( $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\nexists} \mathrm{p} a \mathrm{a}$ ) to God"; to the Philadelphians he writes (c. viii.), "do nothing in a spirit of faction," kar' ${ }^{\prime} \rho\left(\theta \theta_{\text {eiar }}\right.$, Phil. ii. 3); to the Smyrnæans (c. iv.), "I endure all things, for He , the perfect Man, strengtheneth me"; and (c. xi.), " being perfect, be ye also perfectly minded." These passages, taken together, are good evidence for Ignatius' knowledge of the Epistle.

All the ancient Versions (see below, p. xxx) contain the Epistle.
Such evidence, combined on the one hand with the total absence of ancient negative testimony, and on the other with the perfect naturalness, and intense and tender individuality, of the Epistle itself, is abundantly enough to satisfy all but the ultra-scepticism which, however ingenious, really originates in a priori riews. Such surely is the account to be given of the theory of F. C. Baur (1796-1860)-that the Epistle is a fabrication of the second century, betraying a development of doctrine ${ }^{2}$ and life later than the age of St Paul, and aiming at a reconciliation between divergent Church parties (see on iv. 2 below). His objections to the Epistle have, however,
${ }^{1}$ Preserved by Eusebins, Hist. Eccl., v. cc. i.--iv. The quotation is from c. ii.
${ }^{2}$ See further, Appendix F.
been discarded as futile even by rationalizing critics, such as Hilgenfeld, Pfleilerer, and Reuan ${ }^{1}$. Alford (Greek Test., iii. p. 27) says, "To those who would see an instance of the very insanity of hypercriticism I would recommend the study of these pages of Baur [Paulus, der Apostel Jesu Christi, pp. 458-475]. They are almost as good, by way of burlesque, as the 'Historic Doubts respecting Napoleon Buonaparte' of Abp Whately. According to [Baur] all usual expressions prove its spuriousness, as being taken from other Epistles; all unusual expressions prove the same, as being from another than St Paul, \&c." Lightfoot says (Phil., p. 74), "I cannot think that the mere fact of their having been brought forward by men of ability and learning is sufficient to entitle objections of this stamp to a serious refutation." Salmon says (Introd. to N. T., pp. 465, 6), "Baur has pronounced this Epistle dull, uninteresting, monotonous, characterized by poverty of thought, and want of originality. But one only loses respect for the taste and skill of the critic who can pass such a sentence on one of the most touching and interesting of Paul's letters. So far is it from shewing signs of having been manufactured by imitation of the other Epistles that it reveals aspects of Paul's character which the other letters had not presented...Elsewhere we are told how the Apostle laboured with his own hands for his support, and declared that he would rather die than let the disinterestedness of his preaching be suspected; here we find (iv. 10-19) that there was no false pride in his independence, and that when there was no likelihood of misrepresentation, he could gracefully accept the ungrudged gifts of affectionate converts. Elsewhere we read only of his reprobation of Christian teachers who corrupted the simplicity of the Gospel; here we are told (i. 18) of his satisfaction that, by the efforts even of those whose motives were not pure, the Gospel of Christ should be more widely published."

[^4]Chapter IV.

## Relation of the Epistle to the other Epistles of qhe Firsit Imprisonment,

We have pointed out the strong doctrinal link of connexion between the Philippian Epistle and the Romans with its attendant Epistles. We find in the Philippians on the other hand indications of similar connexion with the Ephesians and the Colossians, and such indications as to harmonize with the theory advocated above (p. xvi) that these Epistles were dated some time later in St Paul's captivity.

In two directions chiefly these connexions appear ; (a) in the view of the Church as a City or Commonwealth, and (b) in the view of Christ's personal Glory.

Under the first head, cp. Phil. iii. 20 with Eph. ii. 12, 19, remembering that nowhere in the Epistles written before the Roman imprisonment is this view of the Church distinctly presented.

Under the second head, cp. Phil. ii. 5-11 with Eph. i. 1723, ii. 8, \&c.; Col. i. 15-19, \&c. And cp. Phil. ii. 10 with Eph. i. 20 ; Col. i. 20. In the earlier Epistles the Apostle was guided to the fullest statements of the salvation wrought out by Christ, especially in its judicial and propitiatory aspects. But this exposition of the grace and wonder of His personal majesty, personal self-abasement, and personal exaltation after it, is in a great measure a new development in the revelations given through St Paul.

Observe in connexion with this the insistence on the blessedness of "knowing Him" (iii. 10), compared with the glowing language of Eph. iii. 19 "to know the love of Christ, \&c."). Most certainly the idea is present every where in the Epistles of St Paul; but it reaches its full prominence in this group of Epistles, as other sides of truth do in the Romans and the Galatians.

Among minor notes of kinship in these Epistles observe the view of faith as the "gift of God" (Phil. i. 29 ; Eph. ii. 8); the mention of the Divine "good pleasure," or gracious sovereign purpose (Phil. ii. 13 ; Eph. i. 4); the phrase "preach Christ" (Phil. i. 16, 18; Col. i. 28) ; the Apostle's "joy" in his trials (Phil. i. 18; Eph. iii. 13 ; Col. i. 24) ; the Divine "inworking" in the saints (Phil. ii. 13; Col. i. 29 ; cp. Eph. ii. 10); and the following words or phrases peculiar to these among the Pauline Epistles-татєє $о ф \rho о \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ (Phil. ii. 3 ; Eph. iv. 2; Col. iii. 12), $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ oikт七 $\rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (or nearly so) (Phil. ii. 1 ; Col. iii. 12 ; cp.
 $\chi_{\text {о }}$ пүіа (Phil. i. 19 ; Eph. iv. 16 ; cp. Col. ii. 19).

## CHAPTER V.

## The Epistle of Polycarp to the Philipplans.

This Epistle, the only other extant letter addressed to the Church of Philippi, has been already mentioned (p. xxi). For the text, fully edited with notes, see Lightfoot's Apostolic Fathers, Part II. Vol. ii., sect. 2, pp. 898, \&c. We give a translation of the Epistle slightly abridged. It is interesting to observe the wealth of N. T. quotations, and the frequent tacit allusions to the copies of St Paul's Epistle. All clear Scripture quotations are italicized, as well as phrases apparently suggested by Scripture.

Polycarp and his elders to the Church of God sojourning at Philippi; grace and peace be multiplied from God Almighty and Jesus Clirist our Saviour.
i. I rejoiced greatly with you in the Lord, in your joy on welcoming those Copies ${ }^{1}$ ( $\mu$ г $\mu \mu a \tau a$ ) of the True Love, chained with those holy fetters which are the diadems of the elect; and that your long-renowned faith persists, and bears fruit to Christ,

[^5]who for our sins died and rose, in whom, not having seen Him, you rejoice with joy unspeakable and full of glory, a joy into which many long to enter, knowing that by grace ye have been saved, not of works, but by the will of God in Christ.
ii. So gird up your loins, forsake the prevalent specious errors, believe on Him who raised our Lord from the dead and gave Him glory, to whom (Christ) all things in heaven and earth are subjected, to whom every living thing ( $\pi \nu o \eta$ ) does service, who comes to judge the quick and dead, whose blood God will require of the unbelieving. He who raised Him will raise us also, if we walk in His ways, abstaining from all injustice, avarice, and evil-speaking, not rendering evil for evil or railing for railing; remembering how the Lord said, Judge not, that ye be not judged; blessed are the poor, and the persecuted for righteousness' sake, for theirs is the kingdom of God.
iii. I write thus concerning righteousness, not of my own motion but because you have invited me. Neither I nor any like me can approach the wisdom of the blessed and glorious Paul, who when among you, face to face with the men of that day, taught accurately and with certainty the word concerning the truth, who also when absent wrote to you letters ${ }^{1}$, which if you study diligently you shall be able to be built up into the faith given you; which faith is the mother of us all, followed by hope, and by hope's forerunner, love to God, to Christ, and to our neighbour. For if any one is given to these, he hath fulfilled the precept of righteousness. He who hath love is far from all sin.
iv. Now the beginning of all evils is the love of money. We brought nothing into the world, and can carry nothing out. Let us put on the armour of righteousness and teach one another to walk in the precept. Teach your wives too to walk in the faith, love, and purity given them, faithful to their husbands in all trutb, amiable to all around them in true modesty, training their children in the fear of God. Let your widows be sober in

[^6]the faith, instant in intercession, holding aloof from evil-speaking, from avarice, and from all wrong. They are God's altar, and He inspects the victim to see if it has any blemish.
v. God is not mocked; let us walk worthy of His precept and glory. Let the deacons (ícíкovol, ministers) be blameless before Him, as ministers of God and Christ, avoiding likewise evil-speaking, and avarice, and unkindness, before Him who was minister of all. If we please Him in this world we shall
 of Him, we shall reign with Him, if we believe. Let the juniors too walk in holy strictness. Every lust warreth against the spirit; fornicators and such like shall not inherit the kingdom. So let them watch and abstain; let them submit to the presbyters and deacons. And let the virgins walk in holiness.
vi. The presbyters should be compassionate, watchful over the erring, the weak, the widows, orphans, and poor, providing always for that which is good before God and men, renouncing wrath, partiality, avarice, and rash judgment. If we ask remission, we must remit. We must all stand before the judgment seat of Christ, and give account each of himself. Let us do Him bond-service, as He bade us, and His Apostles, and the Prophets who shewed before of His coming. Be zealous for good; avoid offences, and false brethren, who deceive the careless.
vii. For whosoever confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is antichrist. Whosoever confesses not the mystery of the Cross is of the devil. Whosoever perverts the Lord's oracles to his lusts, and says that there is neither resurrection nor judgment, is Satan's firstborn. So let us forsake the current vain doctrines, and turn to the once-delivered Gospel, watching unto prayer, persevering in fastings, praying the allseeing God not to lead us into temptation; as the Lord said, The spirit is willing, but the flesh is weak.
viii. Let us hold fast to our hope and to the earnest of our righteousness, which earnest is Christ Jesus, who bore our sins in His own body to the tree; who did no sin, neither was guite
found in His mouth; who bore all that we might live in Him. Let us imitate His patience. If we suffer for Him, let us glorify Him. -He left us this example (íтоүра $\mu \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ ढ̈ $\theta \eta \kappa \in \nu$ ).
ix. All of you obey the word of righteousness, and practise true endurance, which you have seen exemplified before you not only in blessed Ignatius, Zosimus, and Rufus, but in others of your own body, and in Paul himself and the other Apostles. You know that they all did not run in vain. They have gone, in the path of faith and righteousness, to their promised (ó ${ }^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu=\nu$ ) place, beside the Lord with whom they suffered.
x. Stand fast then, according to His example, steadfast and unmoveable in the faith, kindly affectioned one to another with brotherly love; sharing together in truth, in the Lord's gentleness ${ }^{1}$ preferring one another. When able to do good, defer it not, for almsgiving rescueth from death (Tobit iv. 11, xii. 9). All being subject to one another, have your conversation honest among the Gentiles, that by your good works you may obtain praise, and the Lord be not blasphemed. Teach all men true sobriety.
xi. I am exceedingly grieved for Valens, once made an elder among you, that he so ignores the position given him. Do you avoid avarice; be pure, be true. He who cannot steer himself aright in such duties, how can he preach them? If he avoids not avarice he will be defiled by idolatry, and judged as one of the Gentiles. Know we not that the saints shall judge the world? as Paul teaches. I never heard of such sins in you, among whom the blessed Paul toiled, who were his " (living) epistles" ${ }^{2}$ in the first (days of the Gospel). About you he glories in the churches which knew the Lord before we knew Him. I am deeply grieved for Valens, and for his wife ; God grant them repentance. Count them not as enemies, but restore them as diseased and wandering members, that your whole body may be in safety.
${ }^{1}$ Mansuetudine, perhaps representing èmıєєкelq, Phil. iv. 5. Ch. xxii., xiv., are preserved only in a Latin version.
${ }^{2}$ So Lightfoot explains the difficult sentence: ego autem nihil tale sensi in vobis, vel audivi, in quibus laboravit beatus Paulus; qui estis in principio epistola ejus.
sii. You know the holy Scriptures perfectly; a knowledge not granted to me. Only, (I know that) it is there said, Be angry and sin not; let not the sun go down upon your wrath. Now the God and Father of our Lord, and He, the eternal HighPriest (Pontifex), (our) God ${ }^{1}$, Jesus Christ, build you up in all holiness, and give you part and lot among His saints, and to us with you, and to all everywhere who shall believe on our Lord and God Jesus Christ, and on His Father who raised Him from the dead. Pray for all the saints, and for kings and rulers, and for them that persecute you, and for the enemies of the Cross, that your fruit may be manifest in all things, that ye may be porfect in Him.
xiii. Both you and Ignatius have asked me that, if a messenger is leaving us for Syria, he may carry your letter with ours. This I will do, in person or by delegate. The letter of Ignatius to us, and all others in our hands, we have sent you, as you desired, attached to this letter. They will greatly benefit you spiritually. Report to us anything you hear of Ignatius' companions.
xiv. My letter-bearer is Crescens, whom again I commend to you, as a blameless Christian. His sister too I commend to you, in prospect. Farewell in the Lord Jesus Christ, in grace, with all who are yours. Amen.

## CHIAPTER VI.

## The Greef Text in this Edition.

No attempt whatever is made here to discuss general principles of textual criticism. All that is intended is to explain the terms and signs used in the critical notes, and to state the rule of construction of the text.

[^7]
## A.

The following are the Manuscripts, Versions, Fathers, and modern Editors, referred to in the Critical (and occasionally other) Notes, and the English Versions frequently quoted in the general Notes.
i. Ungial Manoscripts, i.e. copies written in Greek capital letters, a style much more used in the early Christian centuries than later.
N. Codex Sinaiticus. Found by Tischendorf in the Convent of St Catharine, Mount Sinai ; now at St Petersburg. Cent. 4. It was corrected cent. 6 and 7 , and later.
A. Codex Alexandrinus. In the British Museum ; given by Cyril Lucar, once Patriarch of Alexandria, to Charles I. Cent. 5.
B. Codex Vaticanus. In the Vatican Library. Of the same date as $\kappa$, and probably by one of the scribes of $\kappa$.
C. Codex Ephraemi. At Paris. Cent. 5, retouched cent. 6 and 9. It is fragmentary, and in Philippians gives only i. 22 to iii. 5 inclusive. Palimpsest, with works of St Ephraim in Greek as the upper writing.
$\mathrm{D}_{2}$. Codex Claromontanus. Greek and Latin. Found by Theodore Beaa (cent. 16) at Clermont ; now at Paris. Cent. 6, probably. It contains the Pauline Epistles. (It must be carefully distinguished from the famous MS. of the Gospels and Acts, also found by Beza, now at Cambridge, and known as D, Codex Bezze.)
$\mathrm{G}_{2}$. Codex Boernerianus. At Dresden. Probably cent. 9: perhaps written by Irish scribes at St Gallen in Switzerland.
$\mathrm{K}_{2}$. Codex Mosquensis. Probably cent.9. (K denotes another MS., Codex Cyprius, of the Gospels.)
L. Codex Angelicus. At Rome. Not earlier than middle of cent. 9 .
P. Codex Porphyrianus. At St Petersburg. Cent. 9.
ii. Cursive Mandsoripts, i.e. copies written in "running" hand. Of the vast number of these extant, none probably is
older than cent. 10 or at earliest 9. Their evidence is of a secondary but often high value.

They are denoted by numbers, and a separate numeration is giren to those which contain St Paul's Epistles.

Of those cited, 17 (at Paris, bound up with the noteworthy Codex 33 of the Gospels) is of the tenth century. The remainder are of cent. 11, or later.
iii. Ancient Versions, from the Greek.

Vulgate. This word commonly denotes the Latin Version of the Bible produced by Jerome ( $331-420$ ); completed 404. This version was in parts a new rendering from the Hebrew, in parts a revision of the Old Latin Version (cent. 2) ${ }^{1}$. This latter is the character of the Vulgate of the N.T.; in the Acts and Epistles the revision is less thorough than in the Gospels. Among important MSS. of the Vulgate we have referred to that of Fulda, in Prussia; written in Italy, cent. 6.

Gothic. A rersion from the Greek by Ulphilas (311-381)2, for the Visigoths. It is fragmentary, and of Philippians gives only i. 14 -ii. 8 , ii. 22-iv. 17.

Syriac (a), Peshitto (i.e. "Simple"). Perhaps cent. 4.
Syriac (b), Harkleian. Cent. 7. A revision by Thomas of Harkel (Heraclea) of a version (the Philocenian) made cent. 6 for Philozenus of Hierapolis.

Egyptian or Coptic (a), the Memphitic, also called Bohairic. The version of the Bohaira, a district near Alexandria. Date uncertain, perhaps cent. 3 or 4.

Coptic (b), the Thebaic, or Sahidic (Phil. iii. 16). The version of Upper (i.e, Southern) Egypt. This is fragmentary. Date uncertain, perhaps cent. 3.

Armenian. Cent. 5.
Athiopic, or Old Abyssinian. Still used in Abyssinian worship, though the language is no longer spoken. Some time cent. 4-6.
iv. Fathers: Writers in the early centuries of the Christian

[^8]Church, whose frequent quotations from Scripture give evidence on readings. The date in each case is that of the death.
(a) Writers in Greek.

Clement, of Rome, cir. 110.
Clement, of Alexandria, cir. 210.
Origen, of Alexandria, 253.
Eusebius, of Cæsarea Stratonis, cir. 340.
Athanasius, of Alexandria, 373.
Basil, of Cæsarea, in Cappadocia, 379.
Epiphanius, of Cyprus, 403.
Chrysostom, of Antioch and Constantinople, 407
Cyril, of Alexandria, 444.
Theodoret, of Cyrus, in Syria, 457.
Damascene (John of Damascus), cir. 780.
Theophylact, of Greece and Bulgaria, cir. 1107.
(b) Writers in Latin.

Irenæus, of Asia Minor and Gaul (placed here because his great extant work, Against Heresies, is preserved mainly in a Latin Version), cir. 200.
Tertullian, of N. Africa, cir. 230.
Cyprian, of N. Africa, 258.
Hilary, of Gaul, 354.
Victorinus, of N. Africa, cir. 382.
Ambrose, of Milan, 397.
Ambrosiaster or pseudo-Ambrose (Hilary the Deacon, of Rome), cir. 400.
Jerome, of Dalmatia and Palestine, 420.
Augustine, of N. Atrica, 430.
v. Modern Editors of the Text.
C. Lachmann, 1793-1851. The first critical editor to desert the Textus Receptus as an authority in favour of ancient evidence only. For his text (1842-1850) he used only Uncials, the Vulgate, certain other Latin Versions, and certain Fathers of cents. 2, 3, 4.
C. Tischendorf, 1815-1.874. His life was devoted to the research and editing of MSS., in which he did a vast work, As
many as eight editions of his Greek Testament appeared ; the 8th has been used for the present work.
S. P. Tregelles, 1813-1875. He published in instalments a Greek Text founded on the oldest MSS., the Versions to cent. 7, and Fathers of cent. 1-4.
H. Alford, 1810-1871. He followed Tischendorf and Tregelles on the whole : but gave more weight to internal evidence.

Chr. Wordsworth, 1807-1885. The Greek New Testament, 1856-1860; ed. 2, 1872.
J. B. Lightfoot, 1828-1890. The Epistle to the Philippians, 1868 ; ed. 7, 1883.
C. J. Ellicott. Philippians, Colossians, and Philemon, 1857 ; ed. 2, 1861.
B. F. Westcott and F. J. A. Hort. The New Testament in Greek, 1881. In this recension the highest value is assigned to B .
vi. English Versions of the New Testament.
J. Wyclif, or Wiclif, 1314 ?-1384. The whole Bible, 1382.
W. Tindale (so he always spells his name), 1484 ?-1536. The New Testament, 1525, 1526.
"Cranmer's" Bible, 1539. So called because its second edition, 1540, had a preface by the Archbishop. It is otherwise known as the Great Bible. It was the first "authorized" English Version.

The Genevan Version. By English exiles at Geneva; the New Testament, 1557. For more than half a century this was the popular English Bible.

The "Authorized" Version, 1611.
The Revised Version; the New Testament, 1881.

## B.

The Text in this Edition is based on the texts of Tischendorf (Leipzig, 1872) and Tregelles. Their agreement is treated as decisive. Where they differ, the agreement of either with Lachmann is treated as decisive. In other cases the decision is given
by the agreement of either with the Textus Receptus as printed by Dr Scrivener (Cambridge, 1876). The Editor has been careful to point out where this general method produces results which, from other points of view, are in his opinion open to criticism.

The Textus Receptus is that produced by the great French printer and scholar, Robert Estienne (Stephanus, Stephens); Paris, 1546-1650. His work was largely based on the later editions (1527, 1535) of Erasmus' Greek Testament, editions in which Erasmus had modified his earlier work (first issued 1516) by the edition called the Complutensian, published ( 1521 or 1522) at Complutum, i.e. Alcalá, in Spain, under the patronage of Card. Ximenes, Abp of Toledo (1436-1517). The MSS. used by Ximenes, Erasmus, and Stephens were neither numerous nor of the highest authority; and they are not always followed in the Textus Receptus.

## CHAPTER VII.

## Argument of St Paul's Epistle to the Philippians.

Ch. I. 1-2. Padx and Timotheus, servants of Jesus Christ, greet the Christians of Philippi and their Church-officers, invoking blessing on them from the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

3-11. Paul assures them that his whole thought of them is full of thanksgiving, his every proyer for them full of joy, in view of their warm, steadfast cooperation from the first in his evangelical labours. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is quite sure [on this bright evidence] that the work of grace in them will reach its consummation in glory. His affectionate regard for them is but just, so fully have they claimed his heart by their identification of themselves with him in the trials of captivity and the toils of Christian witnessing and teaching. God knows with what yearning tenderness, drawn from the heart of Christ, he misses them and longs for them. [And his affection expresses itself above all things in prayer], the prayer that their love [of which he for one has had such proofs] may increasingly be guided and fortified by a quick spiritual perception, sifting truth from error, holiness from sin, and forming a character which at the Great Day should prove pure in principle, and rich in the
fruit [of the Spirit], fruit generated by communion with Christ, and bringing glory to God.

12--20. As regards his own present circumstances, he rejoices to inform them that they are conducing to the advance of the Gospel at Rome. [His imprisonment is in itself a mission]; its connexion [not with political or social offences but] with Christ is now well known throughout the Imperial Guard [which supplied his warders] and among the Romans in general. And the Roman Christians, for the most part, have felt a spiritual impetus [after a time of depression]. His captivity has nerved them to bear a bolder witness among their heathen neigh. bours. [True, there is a shadow across this light]; some thus proclaim Christ [with new energy] from motives of opposition to Paul, while others do so in loyal sincerity. On the one side is love, which sees in the imprisoned Apostle a centre of action, set there by Christ, for the propagation of the Gospel; on the other side is the spirit of the partizan and of self, defiling the motive of the work, actually wishing to make his imprisonment doubly trying [by intercepting enquirers and con. verts]. Does it matter to him? [No-and] yes. [No, so far as his peace in God is concerned], yes, [happily yes, so far as the spread of the primary Gospel trath is concerned]. For thus in every way Christ is being proclaimed. Here is cause of joy for Paul; and here shall be cause of joy [even in the eternal future]; for the situation shall only animate the Philippians to earnest prayer for him, and this shall bring him a new fulness of the Holy Spirit, and so shall promote his grace and glory. Yes, it shall forward the realization of his longing anticipation, that at this crisis, as at all others, Christ shall be glorified, whether through his body's living energies, or through his submission to his body's death.

21-26. For indeed life is for him identified with, summed up in, Christ; and death, [as the introduction to Christ's fuller presence] is gain [even over such a life]. If [it is his Lord's will that] he should live on, [the prolonged life] will mean only larger work with richer fruit. And indeed the case is one of blessed dilemma. Personal preference is for dying, dying into the presence of Christ; a far, far better state [than the best here]; while daty, manifested in the needs of his converts, is for living patiently on. And thus he feels sure that he will live on, for the spiritual benefit of his converts, and particularly in order that his restoration to them in bodily presence may give them fresh occasion for triumph in Christ.

27-30. Meanwhile, let them live a life of holy practical consistency. Above all, let him see, or let him hear, as the case may be, that they are standing firm, and standing together, cordially at one in Christian witness and work, and calm amidst opposing terrors. Such calmness [under such circumstances] will be an omen of their opponents' ruin and their own coming heaven. God has thus adjusted thinga, God who has granted them not only faith in Christ but also the privilege of suffering for Him; a conflict one with that which they had seen in Paul's case [at Philippi] and now hear of in his case [at Rome].

CH. II. 1-4. [Yes, let them above all things hold together, watching against a tendency towards internal dissension; a tendency which he fears has shewn itself, however faintly, amongst them.] By the common blessings of believers, by the pity of their human hearts, he begs them to crown his joy in them with the joy of an assurance that they are living in holy harmony; shunning the spirit of self, taking each the lowest room, entering with unselfish love into each other's needs.

5-11. Let them remember, and reflect, the supreme Self-forgetfulness of their Saviour. He, [in His preexistent glory,] being and seeming God, [looked indeed on the things of others]. He dealt with His true and eternally right Equality with His Father [in nature and majesty] not as a thing held, like a prize of strength or gaile, anxiously and for Himself, [but as a thing which admitted of an act of most grasious sacrifice for others' good]. In a marvellous "Exinanition" [He laid by the manifested glories of Deity], and willed to be, and to seem, [as Man], the Bondservant [of God], putting on the visible garb of embodied manhood, [while always also more than man]. Aye, and having thus presented himself to men as man, He bowed yet lower, [in His supreme outlook "upon the things of others,"] in His supreme obedience to His God; He extended that obedience to the length of dying, dying on a Cross, [that last degradation in the eyes of Gentile and Jew]. [So He "pleased not Himself," and now, what was the result?] The Father raised Him to the eternal throne [in His now double glory, God and Man], giving to Him [as the once-abased One] the rights of supreme Majesty, that all creation in all spheres should worship Him, and the Father through Him, all beings confessing that Jesus Christ is " I am," to the Father's glory.

12-18. [With such an Example in view] let the beloved Philippians, now as always obedient to Paul's appeals, so watch, so live, in tender, solemn earnestness (and more than ever now, in the absence of their Apostle) [whose presence might have seemed to excuse in them a lack of such care] as to realize and carry out the plan of their sal. vation. [And to promote at once their solemn care and their restful hope let them remember that] it is God who is personally effecting in them [in the regenerate life] both their holy desires and their just works, in order to accomplish His own blessed purposes. Let them renounce all mutual murmurings and dissensions; seeking to prove their spiritual sonship by a perfectly consistent walk, in the midst of a rebellious world, in whose darkness they are seen as spiritual stars; offering the news of Christ to their neighbours' notice. So Paul would rejoice at the Great Day, looking back on his course of toil, that he had not lived in vain. [Aye, and that he had not died in vain]; for what if he should after all shed his blood as a libation on the altar at which the Philippians offered themselves a living sacrifice? He would rejoice, and would congratulate his converts. Let them rejoice, and congratulate him.

19-30. [But to turn to another subject;] he hopes to send Timothy ere long, to report to him (it will be a cheering report) on their state. None of the Christians round him is so entirely in sympathy with him and with Philippi. Others of his friends might otherwise go, but alas their devotedness to the Lord's will proves too partial. As for Timothy, the Philippians know by old experience bow he had done bondservice to the Lord, with Paul, [in their very midst,] in a perfectly filinl spirit. Immediately on Paul's learning the issue of the trial, Timothy shall thus be sent. And he trusts ere long to follow personally to Philippi. Epaphroditus meanwhile, Paul's fellow-labourer, and the bearer of the Philippians' hounty to him, is to be spared and sent immediately, as a matter of duty. That duty is made plain by Epaphroditus' state of feeling-his yearning to revisit Philippi, his sore trouble at the thought of the grief which must have been caused at Philippi by news there of his serious illness. He has indeed been ill, almost fatally. But God has spared him the grief [of premature removal from his work, and of being the cause of mourning at Philippi], and has spared Paul too the grief of bereavement added to his other trials. So he has taken pains to send him [in charge of the present Epistle], to the joy of the Philippians and the alleviation of Paul's
own sadness. Let them give their messenger a glad Christian welcome back again. Let them show their esteem for him and such as him. For Christ's work's sake he has all but lost his life; he has run great hazards with it, in order to do for them, in their loving assistance to Paul, what in person they could not do.

Ch. III. 1-3. Now to draw to a close. Let them rejoice in the Lord [as their all in all, cherishing a joyful insight into His fulness as their Righteousness and Life]. In effect, he has been saying this all along. But to emphasize it again is welcome to him and wholesome for them. Let them beware of the Pharisee-Christian, [cruelly exclusive, while] really excluding himself from the true Israel; of the advocate of salvation by works, himself a bungling workman; of the assertors of a circumcision that is only now a physical maltreatment. We Christians are the true circumcised Israel, worshipping by the rites of the Spirit, making Christ Jesus our boast, renouncing all trust in self.

4-11. If indeed such self-trust ever has just grounds, Paul claims it. He can surpass the claims of any such theorists [on their own principles,] in point of sacrament, pedigree, education, school of ascetic piety, tremendous earnestness, punctilious observance. These things were once his hoarded gains; but he has now decisively judged them to be one great loss, in the light of that Christ [to whose glory they blinded him]. Yes, and he holds that judgment now, conceruing not these things only, but all things whatever [that can obscure his view of] the surpassing bliss of knowing Him as Saviour and as Lord. For Him he has been deprived of his all, and treats it now as refuse, that he may [in exchange] gain Cerist for his, and be found [by the Judge] in living union with Him, presenting to the Eternal Holiness not a satisfying claim of his own, based on fulfilment of the Law as covenant of life, but the satisfying elaim, which consists of Christ for him, appropriated by humble trust; God's way of accept. ance, thus made good for Paul. [And is this to terminate in itself, in aeceptance of his guilty person, and no more? No; its true, its necessary issue is that he gets to know his Redeemer spiritually [in His personal glory and beauty], and to experience the power of His resurrection [as conveying assurance of peace and hope of glory, and also in the inflow of His blessed Risen Life], and the joy of entrance, [in measure,] into His experience as the Sufferer, [bearing the cross daily after Him], growing thus into ever truer conformity to His
willingness to die. And all this, with the longing to attain [in the path of holiness], at any cost [of self-surrender], to the resurrection of glory [in Him who died to rise again].

12-16. [Meantime-there is reason why he should say it-] he is not yet at the goal, not yet perfected. He is pressing on, aiming to grasp that crown which Christ who grasped him [in conversion] converted him that he might grasp. [Others may say of themselves and their perfection what they will]; Paul does not think of himself as having grasped that crown. His concentrated parpose is to renounce all complacency in attainment, and to seek for ever higher things, and to take for his aim nothing short of that eternal glory which is the Divine Arbiter's award at the olose of that life of heavenly conversion which is ours in Christ. Are any of us perfect Christians, then? [Christians mature and ideal?] Let us shew it [among other things] by such humbling views [of our personal imperfection, and of the greatness of our goal]. Should their views in this matter still differ from his own, he leaves them with calmness to the sure processes of God's enlightening grace [in experience]. Only, up to present light and knowledge, let harmony of conviction, and so of behaviour and action, be cherished by Apostle and converts alike.

17-21. [Nay, let him solemnly appeal to them to] become imitators, one and all, of his principles and practice, and to take for their visible models those among them who manifestly lived those principles out. For there were many [so-called Christians abroad whose life was a terrible and ensnaring travesty of the Gospel of free grace, antinomian claimants of a position in Christ lifted above the holy moral law, men] of whom he often warned them at Philippi, and warns them now, even with tears [over their own ruin and over the deadly mischief they do]. These men are the real enemies of the Cross [which won our pardon, but only that we might be holy]. Their end [in such a path] is eternal perdition. Their God is [not He with whom they claim special intimacy but] their own sensual appetites. They boast [of their insight and experience], but their lofty claims are their deepest disgrace. Their interests and ideas, [pretending to soar above the skies], are really "of the earth, earthy." [Suoh teachings, and lives, are utterly alien to those of Paul and his true followers.] The seat and centre of their life is in heaven, whose citizens they are [free of its privileges, "obliged by its nobility"]. And from heaven they are looking, [in a life governed by that look,] for the Lord Jesus Christ,
as Saviour [of body as well as of soul]. He shall transfigure the body which now abases and encumbers us into true and eternal likeness to the Body He now wears upon the throne. [Do they ask, how can this be?] It is a possibility measured by His ability to subdue to His will, and to His purposes, nothing less than all things.

Cr. IV. 1-7. [With such a present, and such a future], let the dear and sorely missed Philippians [cleanse themselves from all pollution, and to that end] let them keep close to Christ, or rather dwell in Christ. [Let them in particular renounce the spirit of self; and here] he entreats two Christian women, Euodia and Syntyche, to renounce their differences. And let his truehearted yoke-fellow [Epaphroditus?] help these two persons to a loving reconciliation, remembering how they toiled and strove for the cause of Christ, by Panl's side, [in the old days]; and let Clement, and Paul's other fellow-labourers, whose names the Lord has marked for heaven, do the like kind service [for Euodia and Syntyche]. Let all rejoice always in the Lord; yes, let them indeed rejoice in Him! Let all around them find them self-forgetful, void of self; the Lord's [remembered] presence is the way to this. Let them be anxious in no circumstance; everything must be taken at once to God in prayer, with thanksgiving. Then the peace of God, [the glad tranquillity caused by His presence and rule in the heart], shall encircle as with walls their inner world and its actings, as they dwell in Christ.

8-9. In conclusion, let their minds, [thus shielded, not lie idle, but] be occupied with all that is true, honourable, right, pure, amiable; with all that man truly calls virtue, all that has the praise of his conscience.

And once more, let them practise the principles they have learned of Paul, and seen exemplified in him. So the God of peace, [peace in the soul and in the community], shall be with them.

10-20. [He must not close without loving thanks for a gift of money, for himself and his work, received lately from them.] It has given him holy joy to find that their thought about him has burst into life and fruit again after an interval. Not that they had ever forgotten him; but for some time (he knows) no means of communication had been found. Not, again, that he has been feeling any painful deficiency; for himself, he has learned the lesson of independence of circumstances. He understands the art of meeting poverty and plenty [in equal peace]. He has been let into the secret how to
live so. [And the seeret is-Jesus Christ.] In living union with Him and His spiritual power, Panl can meet every incident of the will of God, [to bear it, or to do it]. Not that he does not warmly feel their loving participation [by this gift] in his trials. But [there was no néed of this particular gift to assure him of their affection]; they will remember that when he first evangelized Macedonia, and was now leaving it, they were the only Church which aided him with money; more such gifts than one reached him even when he was no further off than Thessalonica. Do not let them think that he is hunting for their money [by such reminiscences]; no, [so far as he welcomes their money at all] it is because such gifts are deposits bearing rich interest of blessing for the givers. But he has indeed been supplied, and over-supplied, in this contribution now sent by Epaphroditus' bands; this sweet incense from the altar [of selfsacrifcing love to Christ in His servant]. For himself, [he can send back no material present, but] his God shall supply their every need, out of the wealth of eternal love and power, lodged for the saints in Christ Jesus. To our God and Father be the glory for ever. Amen.

21-23. Let them greet individually from him every Christian of their number. The Christians associated with him greet them. So do all the Roman believers, especially those connected with the Imperial household.
May the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ be with their inmost being.

## A SCHEME OF THE EPISTLES OF ST PAUL

 (from Bishop Lightfoot's Biblical Essays, p. 224).| Period | Episties | Dates | Characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Second Missionary Journey | 1 and 2 <br> Thessalonians | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.D. } \\ 52,53 \end{gathered}$ | Christ the Judge or The Tribunal |
| 2. Third Missionary Journey | 1 and 2 <br> Corinthians Galatians Romans | 57,58 | Christ the Redeemer <br> or The Cross |
| 3. First Roman Captivity | Philippians <br> Ephesians <br> Colossians <br> Philemon | 62,63 | Christ the Word <br> or <br> The Throne |
| 4. After the Release, including the second Roman Captivity | 1 Timothy Titus <br> 2 Timothy | 67,68 | ChurchOrganization <br> or <br> The Congregation |
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${ }^{23}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ дápıs тov̂ кupiov 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ тồ $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu а т о \varsigma \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

## NOTES.

## CHAPTER I.

Title. Прòs $\Phi_{1} \lambda \iota \pi \pi \eta \sigma$ iovs. So NABK ${ }_{2}$ and many cursives. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}$

 title appear, all considerably later than that given in the text.
 order of $G_{2}$ and the large majority of other copies vulg syr (pesh and harkl). St Paul's love of the order X. 'I. inclines us to it in this case, though the adverse documentary evidence is weighty. LTTr Ell Ltft WH X $\rho$ tatov̀ 'I $\eta \sigma o \mathrm{v}$.
 evidence. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, and most cursives, with good patristic support, give $\dot{\alpha} . \pi \rho$. $\dot{\eta} \mu$. This is here durior lectio, and, possessing considerable documentary evidence, seems to us the better. Ell Ltft $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{LTTr}$

 So $\sigma \dot{v} \psi \psi v o c$ (ii. 2) and other similar words. WH (N.T. in Gr. § 393-404) deal with the question of spelling in MSS. generally, and conclude that the spellings of the best MSS. are the most trustworthy within our reach; more likely to be transmitted from the autographs than introduced at the date of transcription.
11. кap $\pi \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{y}_{\text {... }}$ òv. So $\mathrm{NABD}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, several cursives, vulg (fuld $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega \hat{\omega})$ and some Greek fathers. $P$, the great majority of cursives, some copies of valg syr (pesh and harkl) copt, Chrys Theophylact read карт $\hat{\nu} \ldots$... $\tau \hat{\mu}$. St Paul elsewhere tends to nse the singular rather than the plural of $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \delta s$, and this, with the documentary evidence, inclines the soale to картdy here. LTTr Ell Lift WH карт $\quad$... $\tau \delta \nu$.
14. $\lambda$. tov̂ $\theta_{\text {eovi. So }}$ So $\mathrm{NBD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{P}$, several cursives, vulg goth syr (pesh and harkl) copt and some other versions, Chrys (in two places) and some other fethers. The large majority of cursives omit тoû $\theta$ eov.
 is strong for this order of the clauses, reversing that of A.V. So
$\mathrm{NABD}_{\frac{3}{*}}^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}$, the important cursives 17377380 , and several others,
 and some other versions, and quotations by Basil Tertull and some other fathers. The other order is read (in certain recensions) in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{q}} \mathrm{KL}$ (with some difference in detail), the great majority of cursives, and quotations by Chrys Theodoret Damasc. To the favourable documentary evidence must be added that of the subsequent context; ver. 18 follows much more naturally on the ver. 17 of this order than on the ver. 17 of the other. So all recent Editors.
18. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö $\boldsymbol{T}$ L. So $\mathrm{NAG}_{2} \mathrm{P}, 17$ and several other cursives, sah Athan Cyr Theophyl. $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \nu$ alone is given by $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{KL}$, the great majority of cursives, syr (pesh and harkl) arm meth, Chrys Theodoret. LTTrLtft WH $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\circ} \tau \tau$. Ell om. ö $\tau$.
23. $\sigma v v \in X \circ \mu a L$ 8e. Many cursives, syr (pesh) Theodoret and Origen (translated), read $\sigma u v . \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. But the evidence for $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ is decisive. So all recent Editors.
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\psi}$ Yàp. So $\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{ABC}$, the important cursives 1767 and five others, Clem Alex Or Ambrst Aug (who makes use of enim in an argument, de Doctr. Chr. iii. 2). $\quad$ 「 $\rho$ is omitted by ${ }^{*} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{FGKLP}$, the great majority of cursives, vulg syr (pesh and harkl) and some other versions, Chrys Theodoret and some other fathers. LTTr Ltft WH $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$. Ell $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$. The evidence of copies and versions on the whole is for the omission of $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$.
26. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu є \nu \omega$. So $\operatorname{KABCD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 17 \quad 6780$ and a few other corsives, arm. Meanwhile $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$ is read by $\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\mathrm{c} K L P}$, the great majority of carsives, Chrys (who dwells on the word: ov $\mu \pi a p a-$ $\mu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \cdot$ тoù $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau\{\nu$, ö $\psi o \mu a \iota ~ \dot{u} \mu \hat{a} s$ ), Theodoret and other Greek fathers. $\Sigma v \mu \pi a \rho a \mu \in \nu \hat{\omega}$ thus has considerable support, and is recommended besides by its comparative unlikelihood. It is easier to suppose the unusual double compound shortened to $\pi \quad \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$ than $\pi а \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$ expanded without any obvious call from the context. All recent Editors $\pi a \rho a \mu \in \nu \omega$.
28. EढTiv av̂toîs. So $\mathrm{NABCD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}$, the important cursives 17178 and two others, vulg (some copies) goth arm. $\mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{9} \mathrm{P}, 47$ and some other carsives, Chrys Theophyl, read éativ aúroîs $\mu$ èv. KL, the great majority of cursives, syr (harkl), Theodoret Damasc read

ú $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. So $N \mathrm{ABC}^{2} \mathrm{P}, 17$ and three other cursives, arm syr (pesh), Chrys Aug. DigL, the great majority of cursives, vulg copt goth wth, Theodoret Ambrst and other fathers, read iuiv, which is also attested indirectly by $\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}$, which read $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \bar{\nu}$.
 "These are obviously corrections for the sake of balanoing the clauses and bringing out the contrast." They are thus rejected on the principle of preferring the durior lectio, which certainly $\dot{\gamma} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is. Otherwise, both rejected readings have considerable support, iuîv especially.

## Ch. I. 1-2. Gametina.

1. Haũ入os. The name first appears Acts xiii. 9. It was probably from the first the alternative name (for use in intercourse with Gentiles) of Saul; given him as bearing a sound resembling his Hebrew homename. It seems to have been a favourite name at Tarsus (Lewin, Life dec. of St Paul, i. 6).

He adds no allusion to his apostleship here (nor in the Ep. to Philemon). Affectionate and untroubled intimacy with his correspondents made it needless.

Tцц́̈́cos. Named 24 times in N.T. See esp. Acts xvi. 1; 1 Cor. iv. 17, xvi. 10, 11; 1 Tim. i. 2; 2 Tim. i. 4, 5; below, ii. 19-22. Timothens' connexion with Philippi was close. See Acts xvi., xvii., where it is implied that he was St Paul's habitual companion till (xvii. 14) they parted for a time at Bercea. He must thus have been present during the stormy days of the first visit to Philippi, though for unknown reasons he did not share the maltreatment of Paul and Silas. Later, Acts xx., he accompanied St Paul from Macedonia to Asia Minor, and Philippi (ver. 6) was visited then again.

His name is similarly linked with St Paul's in 2 Cor., Col., 1 Thess., 2 Thess. Here, but not in those other places, the Apostle at once goes on to speak in his own person alone to his correspondents.

Soũえou. "Bondmen, slaves." So St Paul designates himself (alone or in company) Rom. i. 1; Gal. i. 10; Tit. i. 1. Such he was, not only as Apostle, but as Christian; see e.g. Luke xvii. 7-10; Rom. vi. 19; but he loves to emphasize the fact in connexion with his special mode of service.-The bondservice of the heavenly Master is not forced labour, against the will, bat it is the labour of those who do not contract, but belong. Meanwhile, Illi servire est regnare.

Xpıarov̂ 'Iqбov̂. The order X. 'I. (see critical note) is almost peculiar to St Paul, and he uses it more often than the other order. The slight emphasis thus given to Xpıotos suggests a special reference to the Lord in glory.
difors. "Holy Ones," separated from sin to God. "A yoos appears to be connected linguistically not with $a \gamma \nu v \mu$, as if it implied a breach, a severance, but with \& zos or áros, a matter of sacred awe. The äycos should thus mean the devotee of his God. Usage affirms this, and thus sanctions in effect the suggestion of separation given by the older ( $\sigma \gamma \nu \nu \mu$ ) derivation.

The Apostle constantly denotes the Christian community and its members by this term, as equally true of all converts. He takes them on their profession; not to lower the true meaning of the word, but using it on a well-understood hypothesis. The ápos is not the professed Christian merely, but the professed Christian assumed to be what he professes to be. Otherwise he is not in deed but only in designation "a saint," " faithful," \&cc.

Ev XpLotê 'İqovi. United to Him, as the branch is "in" the tree. The äroc are what they are as they are veritably in contact with the

Holy One, by covenant and in eternal life. Cp. 1 Cor. vi. 17; I Joh. v. 12.

Oiv imurkórous kal Stakóvols. "With the bishops and deacons," though the article is absent. Context in a case like this sufficiently defines; the persons of the classes named are self-evidently those at Philippi. So we in English could say, "bishops, deacons, and all," as readily as "the bishops, \&c."

For further remarks on the offices here mentioned, see Appendix C.
 "favour," with its alternative meanings of comeliness and goodwill, of pleasingness and pleasure. The latter is its far commoner direction in LXX. and N.T., the former in the Greek Apocrypha. Linguistically, the word seems to be conneoted first with the thought of brightness, then with that of beauty, and so passes into that of the kindly pleasure given by the sight of beauty. By usage in didactic passages in the N.T. it denotes specially the unbought favour of the Holy One towards the sinful and helpless, whether in pardon, in gift of Divine life, or in development of it. It is the antithesis to efproy and to the whole idea of merit and payment. Cp. esp. Rom. xi. 6. And in itself, as the act is never apart from the Agent, $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho s$ in our acceptance is God for us, in our new life and power it is God in us.
cipijum. The word is probably cognate to $\epsilon \ell \rho \omega$, to join. "Peace" is essentially a harmony, an adjustment. Here it denotes the enjoyment of harmony with God; His reconciled favour, resulting in the Christian's and the Church's inward rest and happiness.
$\theta \in 00$. The Father; see the immediate context. Not that to St Paul the Father is more Divine than the Son, but that He is the Father, in whom Deity is as in the Fountain, while it is in the Son as in the Stream. Hence the frequent distinctive use of $\theta \in \delta{ }^{\prime}$ where He is in view.-See Pearson, Exposition of the Creed, marginal p. 40.
kypiou. Without the artiole, as frequently. Usage has given the word an adequate self-definition. Here the Lord Christ is equally with His Father the Giver of eternal blessing; a deep indication of the apostolic belief about Him.

## 3-11. Thanksgiving and Prayer for mhey Philippian Saints.

3. Ev̉xaptote. So also in the opening of Rom., 1 Cor., Eph., Col., 1 Thess., Philem. His "thanksgivings" for the two Macedonian Churohes, Philippi and Thessalonica, are peculiarly warm and full.
$\tau \hat{\psi} \theta \in \hat{\varphi} \mu o v$. The phrase is almost peculiar in N. T. to St Paul. In O. T., cp. Psal. xxii. 1 (appropriated by the Crucified Lord), lxiii. 1, \&c.-The phrase speaks a profound individual appropriation and realization.
 membrance of you." The article may best be represented here by
"my"; it was not possible to write both genitives, $\mu$ ov and $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.Cp. Rom. i. 9; Eph. i. 16; 1 Thess. i. 2; 2 Tim. i. 3; Philem. 4.
4. $\delta \in \notin \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{tl}$. "Request, petition"; a narrower word than $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon u \chi \nmid$, which may and often does denote worship at large.
$\mu e \tau \dot{̀}$ xapâs. Emphatic words by position. They strike a note continually repeated in the Epistle.

Tiv 8 E $\eta \sigma t v$. "The request" just mentioned.
тоooúncvos. The middle auggests a personal fulness in the action. The request comes from the depth of the man and relates to a welfare dear to him as his own. Only it is impossible to explain this in English without a certain exaggeration of the delicate Greek.

On the other hand roceiofac is often used with a substantive by way of periphrasis, to express what would be more simply stated by a verb.
 of $\pi$ ouciv thus used are very rare. Thus explained the phrase here nearly equals $\delta \in \delta \mu \in \nu 0 s$, though still, surely, adding a certain fulness.
 your making yourselves one with me, whether in deed or in spirit. See further just below, ver. 7 and notes. The immediate but by no means whole reference was no doubt to their generous gifts of money; cp. iv. 10-19.
 the phrase cp. 2 Cor. ii. 12, and below, ii. 22. For ejayrèicon denoting practically the work of evangelization cp. 2 Cor. viii. 18; Gal. ii. 7; below, ii. 22, iv. 3, 15; 1 Thess. iii. 2.
 $\dot{d} \pi \dot{\delta} \pi \rho . \dot{\eta} \mu$. is read, cp. Acts $x .30, \mathrm{xx} .18$, for such absence of the article; it is perhaps an unconscious nuance of idiom, refusing analysis. See Lightfoot however on this verse: "the article is frequently omitted, because the numeral is sufficiently definite in itself." With this assertion of the Philippians' original and steady sympathy cp. ch. iv. 15, 16.
6. Teாoitw's. "Feeling confident." The word sometimes denotes reliance, on sure grounds, expressed or not (so e.g. Matt. xxvii. 43; 2 Cor. i. 9; below, ii. 24, iii. 3, 4); sometimes a more arbitrary assurance (Rom. ii. 19); in every case, a feeling of personal certainty. This expression of "confidence" about their future is perbaps occasioned by the words just previous, about their preserving consistency "until now."
aủtd rov̂ro. A characteristic Pauline expression; the firm touch of an intent mind. See e.g. Rom. ix. 17, xiii. 6; 2 Cor. ii. 3, v. 5; Gal. ii. 10; Eph. vi. 18; Col. iv. 8. Elsewhere in N. T. it appears only in 2 Pet. i. 5 , in a disputed reading.
d évapgciuevos. We may perhaps render, "He who did inaugurate." 'Evápxeatac in Greek of the golden age (e.g. Eurip., I. A. 435)
habitually means the solemn opening of the sacrificial ritual, the taking the barley from the basket. And in the Apocrypha it seems to tend on occasion to a certain solemnity; e.g. Ecclus xxxviii. 16,
 enough to justify the simpler rendering "He who did begin," if it is otherwise preferred. -The aorist participle points of course to the biographical crisis of their evangelization and conversion, when the Giver of grace made His message effectual in them. Cp. Gal. iii. 3,
 version is viewed from the convert's side.

Epyov dyafiv. We may perhaps render "the good work"; so plainly is "the work of works" in view, defined by its own greatness.
 occasionally a religious solemnity of meaning; e.g. Hdt. ii. 63, $\theta$ volas
 such a meaning here. Cp. again Gal. iii. 3: "are ye now being com-
 cexxviii. 8, where it appears as the individual believer's personal assurance. (Aquila and Symmachus there have $\epsilon \pi / \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$.)
 go on till then, and be then summed up. "The day" is the goal, because not till then will the whole being of the Christian, body (Rom. viii. 23) as well as spirit, be fully "redeemed" from the results of sin. The mention of "the day" is thus equally in point, whether or not the Lord should be coming soon. In either case it, and no previous date, is the point of "completion."-"The day" is mentioned below, i. 10, ii. 16, and altogether, in St Paul, about twenty times. The Lord uses the word of His own Return, Matt. vii. 22, and in some fourteen other places in the Gospels, including Joh. vi. 39, 40, 44, 55.
7. Ver. 6 is a parenthesis in the thought, suggested probably by the last words of ver. 5. We now take up the thread of vv. 4, 5 ; the thankful remembrance, the glad prayer, occasioned by their "fellowship in the Gospel." He now justifies the assertion in detail.

Síxa.ov. Not "meet" only, but "right." He feels a delightful duty. ' $\mu \mathrm{m}$. The emphatic form; "for $m e$," whatever is right for others.
$\phi p o v e i v . ~ A l m o s t, ~ " t o ~ f e e l " ~ t h i s ~ g r a t i t u d e ~ a n d ~ j o y . ~ \Phi \rho o v e i v, ~ a ~ d ~$ favourite word with St Paul, nearly always denotes a mental state or habit, not explicit thinking. See e.g. Rom. viii. 5, 6, 7, 27; below, iii. 15, 19; Col. iii. 2. For another shade of meaning see below, iv. 10 .
 perly means "over," and so suggests, first and most surely, attention, concern, interest; as when a man is busy "over" his work. This of course lends itself, in fit contexts, to such special meanings as "on behalf of," or even "in the place of"; but these need a context to develope them. The context of prayer above (ver, 4) justifies R.V. here.
 "Because you have me, \&c." But with that meaning he would probably write ey rais kapoiaus: and the following context makes his affection for them the prominent thought.
 We can connect these words, in grammar, with either the previous or following sentences. But a connexion with the following is, in reason, the much more probable. To St Paul, his $\delta \in \sigma \mu^{\prime}$ and his dinodoyia were practically one experience; to the Philippians, they would seem two distinct calls for loving fellowship.
 article. They cover together his missionary work at Rome. His áro入oria (cp. Acts xxii. 1, xxv. 16; below, 16; and esp. 1 Pet. iii. 15) was the explanation and vindication of the Gospel to the unconvinced; his $\beta \epsilon \beta a t \omega \sigma t s$, the development of "the reason of the hope" in the minds of convinced disciples, and also perhaps the practical "planting" of the Chorch for orderly work and witness.
mov $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$ s Xápıros. Comparing Rom. i. 5, Eph. iii. 2, 8, we see a reference here not to Divine grace in general (God in Christ, for and in the saints; see on ver. 2 above) so much as to the special gracious gift of apostleship. So were the Philippians bound to him, alike in Divine life and in human love, that in his apostolic sufferings and labours they were his fellows, identified with him in everything, and by love, prayer, and gifts, working as it were through him.
 may be rendered as if almost absolute; "you all being copartners of my grace."

Observe in this whole context the iteration of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{j} \mu \epsilon \mathrm{i} s$. It has been suggested that he has in view the slight inner dissensions at Philippi, and thus delicately deprecates them. But the motive seems too artificial to be quite in place in this warm passage; the language is that of unreserved affection.
8. $\mu$ áprus... ${ }^{\text {ofós. Cp. Rom. i. 9; } 1 \text { Thess. ii. 5, 10; and see }}$ 2 Cor. i. 18 ; for similar solemn appeals, characteristic of an ardent heart, often tried by unkind suspicions.
intro $0 \hat{\omega}$. The word is not common in classical Greek, nor in Biblical Greek before the N.T., where it, with its cognates, is used 11 times by St Paul (Rom. i. 11, xv. 23; 2 Cor. v. 2, vii. 7, 11, ix. 14; Phil. ii. 26, iv. 1, and here; 1 Thess. iii. 6; 2 Tim. i. 4), once by St James (iv. 5), and once by St Peter (1. ii. 2). In all the Pauline places it indicates a homesick yearning; in 2 Cor. v. 2 the "home" is the heavenly rest. Here the verb breathes the deep family affection of the Gospel.
 monly (not invariably : e.g. Wsch., S. c. T. 1022) the viscera nobiliora, including the heart. The LXX. in their (rare) use of the word do not so limit it; they render by it the Heb. rach'min, the bowels, viewed as
the seat of affection. But the question is one not of anatomy but of current reference, and our word "heart" is thus the best rendering.
"In the heart of Christ Jesus":-the phrase is deeply significant. The Christian's personality, never lost, is yet so united to his Lord (see 1 Cor. vi. 17) that the emotions of the regenerate member are as it were in continuity with those of the ever-blessed Head. There is more than sympathy; there is communication.
9. кal тоиิто тробєv́Xоца. He defines thus the "request" of ver. 4.
\{va $\kappa \tau \lambda$. Here Ipa c. conj. denotes rather purport than purpose; less the aim than the idea of his prayer. This usage, as distinct from the strictly final usage, belongs to the later classical and the Hellenistic Greek, and is very frequent in N.T. A kindred but not identical usage appears e.g. Joh. xvii. 3, where the Greek means, in effect, that "the life eternal is, in the true import of the words, to know \&e."

$\pi \epsilon p / \sigma \sigma e i n$. He loves the thought of spiritual growth and overflow; see e.g. below, ver. 26, iv. 12, 18 ; and, for a close parallel here, 1 Thess. iv. 1.
 He prays that their love may be ever "richer in knowledge and perception" as its safety and aid. The use and construction here of $\pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ belongs to later classical and Hellenistic Greek.
$\dot{e} \pi t \boldsymbol{\mu} \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{E}$. ' $\mathrm{E} \pi i \gamma \gamma \omega \sigma \iota$ is a word of later classical and Hellenistic Greek. (In LXX. and Apocrypha the noun does not occur, but the verb is frequent.) In N.T., (more than in other Biblical Greek), it tends by asage to denote full (or true) knowledge, in spiritual things. St Paul uses it 15 times, besides Heb. x. 26; St Peter 4 times.
$\pi d \sigma \eta$ ai $\sigma \theta \eta_{n} \sigma \epsilon$. $\Pi \dot{d} \sigma \eta$, with reference to the manifold demands for its exercise. Alof ${ }^{2} \sigma$ s is used only here in N.T., and cognates to it only Luke ix. 45; Heb. v. 14. In LXX. it is frequent in Proverbs as a rendering for da'ath, "knowledge." The $\Lambda$. V. rendering, "judgment," (R.V., "discernment"), a word which we often use of the criticism of e.g. works of art, and of practical insight, is a fair equivalent to the Greek here.
 testing."
 may be either "the things which excel," or "the things which differ" (as in margin R.V.). On the whole we prefer this latter, partly as agreeing better with the (scanty) use of the verb in older Biblical Greek and in most of the N.T. examples; and more, as more obviously agreeing with the just previous thought of a growth of "judgment." The Greek commentator Theophylact (cent. xi.) explains the words

\&va intc. The "judgment" was always to issue in character and conduct.
cidıкpıveis. "Pure, singlehearted." Three derivations of elickpı $\nu$ ทีs (occasional in Attic; in N.T. only here and 2 Pet. iii. 1) are suggested;
 or racking; (3) ei $\lambda \eta$ ( $(\lambda \lambda \eta)$, к $\rho i \nu \in t \nu$ : a separation, or assortment, as in ranks or troops, with the thought of the disentanglement, simplifcation, of motive and conduct. This latter is favoured by Lightfoot. The Latin rendering is sinceri ("unadulterated"); and it is worth while to notice that this has a possible linguistic connexion with " $s i n$-gle."
$\mathbf{d} \pi p \delta \sigma \kappa 0 \pi o l$. The word may mean either (1) "feeling no stumblingblock" ( $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa о \pi \grave{\eta}$, $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu a$; Lat. offendiculum, whence our word "offence" in its antiquated meaning), or (2) "laying no stumblingblock" in the way of others. The word is not classical, and nowhere common. The only two other N.T. examples, Acts xxiv. 16; 1 Cor. x. 32 (both Pauline) ; are exactly divided as evidence for the meaning here; and thus we are left to the context. This on the whole decides for (1) ; the Apostle is mainly concerned with the inward life of the Philippians; he prays that they may be so "sincere" with God as never to "stumble over" a wrong motive.
 the crisis of absolute disclosure. So ii. 16, where see note. On the phrase $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \mathrm{X}$. see above on ver. 6.
11. $\pi \in \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu$ évol. The perfect participle seems to anticipa te "the day." He sees the Philippians as they will be then, "having been filied," and therefore then full; trees whose every branch had put forth, in their earthly life, " the fruit" described Gal. v. 22, 23.-On the reading, see critical note.-The accusative is "of reference."-Here, as in Gal., l.c., the singular ( $\kappa a \rho \pi \delta \partial$ ) is significant. The results of grace are manifold, yet as to their material they are one; and each is necessary to the fulness of the rest.

Sıawooivns. So Jas iii. 18. And in LXX. see Prov. xi. 30, xiii. 2; Amos vi. 12. The "fruit" is a result yielded by "righteousness." "Righteousness" is here probably the rightness of the regenerate will, regarded as in accord with Divine law. But there is a possible reference also, in a Pauline writing (see further on ch. iii. 9), to that aspect of the word so prominent in the Roman Epistle, satisfactoriness to the law in respect of the atoning Satisfaction of Christ; so that the "fruit" would be the outcome not only of a renewed will but of an accepted person.

Sıc̀ 'Inoov̂ Xpıनтov̂. Who is alike, by His merit, the procuring Cause of the new life, and so of its fruits, and, by His Life, the true Basis of it.
cis 8 б́gav кci. The true goal of the whole process of salration. "To Hin are all things; to Whom be glory for ever, Amen" (Rom. xi. 36). -On the use of $\theta$ és here, distinctively, as often, for the Eternal Father, see above on ver. 2.

12-20. St Paul's Pribent Circumstances and Inward Experience.
12. fà $\operatorname{cat}^{\prime}$ ' $\mu \mathrm{k}$. "The things relating to me, my position, my affairs." For the phrase cp. Rom. i. 15; Eph. vi. 21; Col. iv. 7. It does not appear in LXX. or Apoorypha, and is not common in classioal Greek. The special reference is to his imprisonment, as an unlooked for advantage for his missionary work.
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$. "Rather" than otherwise, against à priori calculation.
 "furtherance." Прокот́ ( $\pi \rho о к \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$, to clear the way forward) by usage (see e.g. ver. 25 below) denotes an active advance; the advanoing person or cause is given by the related noun or pronoun in the genitive.
è $\lambda$ ' $\lambda v \theta \omega$. "Have resulted in," "have come out in," the Gospel's progress. It is difficult to find an exact parallel for this use of expxoua.
 $\mu \Delta \nu$ : but the ideas are not identical. Perhaps the expanded thought here is that events have "come" to the Apostle, so as to result in the Gospel's progress.
13. фavepovis év Xpiotû. Certainly connect these words. Briefly, they are as if he had written фavєpois $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ö $\nu \tau a s$. What was "manifest" about the captivity was that it was "in Christ"; it was due to no political or social crime, but to his union with his Lord.
yevecoar. Literally, " Proved, came to be." But the aorist, as often, asks an English perfect to represent it; our English thought separates the present from the past less rapidly than the Greek's. "Have proved" expresses, for us, the fact of recent incidents felt in a present result.
 Mar. xv. 16; Joh. xviii. 28, 33, xix. 9; Acts xxiii. 35; always in the sense of the residence of an official grandee, regarded as a prcetor, or military commander. (Not that the word, in Latin, always keeps a military reference; it is sometimes the near equivalent of villa, though always suggesting a grandiose scale. E.g. Sueton. Aug., 72; Juv. i. 75.) The A.V. rendering here is an inference from these cases; as if St Paul were imprisoned within the precinots of the residence of the supreme Pretor, the Emperor-the Palatium, the imperial House on the Hill of Pales, Mons Palatinus. In St Paul's day this was a maze of buildings covering the whole hill, and more; Nero having built as far as the Esquiline (Sueton. Nero, 31) in constructing his "Golden House." The rendering of the A.V. is accepted by high authorities, as Merivale (Hist. Rom., oh. liv.), and Lewin (Life dec. of St Paul, ii. p. 282). On the other hand Lightfoot, on this verse, and in an extensive detached note (Philippians, ed. 8, p. 99), prefers to render "in all the protorian guard," the Roman life-guards of the Cæsar; and he collects ample evidence for this use of $\pi \rho a \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \rho o \nu$ from both autbors and inscriptions ${ }^{1}$. And meanwhile

[^9]there is no evidence that the Palace was called Pratorium by Romans at Rome. To this however Lewin fairly answers that St Paul, a provincial, might easily apply to the Palace a provincial term for a Residency, especially after his imprisonment in Herod's Pratorium (Acts xxiii., xxiv.). But again it is yet more likely that, as Lightfoot suggests, the word $\pi \rho a l \tau \omega \rho \iota o d$, in the sense of "the Guards," would be often on the lips of the "soldiers who kept" St Pan]; and so that this would now be to him the more familiar reference. On the whole we advocate the rendering of Lightfoot (and of R.V. text), "throughout the (whole) Pretorian guard." Warder after warder came to the Apostle's chamber (whose locality, on this theory, is left undefined; it may have been far from "the Palace," or close to it), and carried from it information and often, doubtless, deep impressions, giving his comrades at large some knowledge of the Prisoner's message and of the claims of the Saviour.

Other explanations of $\pi \rho a u \tau \dot{\omega}$ poy are ( $a$ ) the Barrack within the Palatium where a Pratorian detachment was stationed; (b) the great Guards' Camp (castra pretoriana) just outside the eastern wall of Rome. But the Barrack was too limited a space to justify the phrase iv ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \lambda \varphi \kappa \kappa \tau$.; and there is no evidence that the Camp was ever called т $\pi \rho a \iota \tau \dot{\jmath} \rho \iota o \nu$.
rois $\lambda$ dormoís $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota ้$. "To all other men"; to "the public" at large, whether through the soldiers, or as civilians of all kinds came and went as visitors to the Apostle. The words intimate a wide personal influence.
14. tov̀s $\pi \lambda$ elovas. "The majority." There were exceptions, a minority. He has in mind what comes out below, the difference between friendly and unfriendly sections among the Roman Christians. Acts xxviii. 15, and the Epistle to the Romans as a whole, assure us that the friendly were the majority. On the whole we gather from this passage (vers. 14-18) that a new energy was moving the whole Roman mission, but that the motives in it varied; the majority of the converts were stimulated by the Apostle's willing sufferings, a minority by opposition to his influence.
 R.V.), not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\dot{\nu} \nu$ к. $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \theta \delta \tau a s ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$. (with Ellicott and Lightfoot). Such authorities notwithstanding, the construction they decline is an easy que in the Greek of the N. T. In classical Greek no
 the law of N. T. usage is certainly looser in such "attributives"; see

 pared, to justify the rendering here, "Having in the Lord confidence in my bonds"; but the difference here is that $\dot{e} \nu \kappa \nu \rho / \psi$, if made to begin a clause, would take an emphasis which seems to be unoalled for. (See generally Winer, N. T. Grammar, III. § xx. Winer explains as Ellicott, \&c.)-The precise phrase $\dot{\alpha} \delta \bar{\delta} \lambda \phi \delta s \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \kappa u p l(\psi$ is not found elsewhere; but it is self-evidently possible; and see 1 Cor. iv. 15 for a (practical) instance of $\pi a \tau \eta \rho \in \dot{X} \rho \rho \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$.
 person or thing trusted, is common in Greek poetry, and occasional in Hellenistic prose. In N. T. the only parallels are 2 Cor. x. 7; Philem. 2. "Confiding in my bonds" is a singular expression; but the paradox is surely intentional. On St Paul as imprisoned they leaned, as men always tend to lean on a leadership proved to be strong by self-sacrifice. So led, they began working with a new assurance of their cause, and of their hope.

тєрьनбotép $\omega \mathrm{s}$ тo $\lambda_{\mu} \hat{\alpha} v$. "More abundantly venture"; they are more lavish of effort and venture. On the bearing of such a statement on the date of the Epistle, see Introduction, ch. ii.-IIeplofos and its cognates are favourite words in the warm style of St Paul.
 (Acts xxviii. 31); why should they not venture?

Tȯv $\lambda$ óyov tov̂ $\theta$ єov̂. The revealed account of the glory and work of the Christ of God; the Gospel. It is observable that he regards the work of "speaking the word" as the work not only of ordained messengers but of all Christians.-On the reading see critical note.
15. Ttvès $\mu \grave{v}$ кal סà $\phi \theta$ óvov кal épıv. "Some actually for envy and strife, while others, (as) actually, for goodwill." Here he refers to that Judaistic school within the Church which followed him with persistent opposition, especially since the crisis when, in council, he won a decisive victory over their main principle (Acts xv.). They held that the Gospel was indeed the crown of the Law, but that the Law was also the permanent fence of the Gospel; the blessings of the baptismal covenant could be reached only through that of circumcision. Such a tenet would not necessarily preolude a true teaching of the Person and central Work of Christ, however much it might (as in time it did) tend to a beclouded view even of His Person (see Appendix D). Thus St Paul could on the one hand rejoice that such teachers were conveying to pagan hearers the primary Fact of salvation, Jesus Christ; on the other hand he could urgently warn Christians (see the Ep. to the Galatians, and below, iii. 2) against their distinctive teaching, as pregnant with spiritual disaster.

For allusions to this class of opponents see Acts $\mathbf{x v}$. 1-31, $\mathbf{x x}, 30$ (perhaps), xxi. 20-25; and the Ep. to the Galatians at large. The passages where he asserts with a special emphasis his authority, or his veracity, very probably point towards their untiring opposition and ill-will.

Not that the Judaizer of this type was his only adversary within the Church. He had also to face an opposition of $\Omega$ "libertine" type, a distortion of his own doctrine of free grace (Rom. vi. 1, \&c., and below, iii. 18, 19); and again, of the mystic or gnostic type (see the Ep. to the Colossians). But iii. 1-9 fixes the reference here to Christians of the type of Acts xv. 1.

ס' evidokiav. Eüסokia in N. T. usually means "good-pleasure," the choice of what "seems good" to the chooser. See Matt. xi. 26; Luke x. 21; Eph. i. 5, 9; below, ii. 13. But the idea of "good-will" occurs

Luke ii．14；Rom．x．1；and perhaps 2 Thess．i．11．Both meanings appear in O．T．Greek（e．g．Ps．li．（LXX．，l．） 19 ；cxlv．（LXX．，cxliv．） 17；and see Ecclus xxix．26）．－The＂good－will＂here was that of loving loyalty to the Lord and His afflicted messenger．
 critical note．
cls d́то入оүіаv．．．кєйцаи．In defensionem．．．positus sum（Vulgate）．－For $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda o \gamma t a$ ，see note on ver． 7 above．－K $\hat{\imath} \mu a t$ ：＂I am set．＂For a similar use of the verb see Luke ii．34，oûtos кeitac els $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \lambda$ ．； 1 Thess． iii．3．The thought is as of a soldier posted；（perhape not without a reference to his＂lying＂in the prison which was his present＂post＂； but $\kappa \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a l$ is at least very rare in this reference）．These loyal and loving Christians were animated to co－operation by the fact of St Paul＇s plainly providential presence at Rome，to be a witness and exponnder of the Gospel．He was to be their centre and point d＇appui； they，in their freedom of movement，his helpers everywhere．

17．${ }^{1 \xi}$ épletias．＂Prompted by faction，partizanship．＂On the spelling épelas see Westcott and Hort，N．T．in Greek，in．Appendix， p．153．－＇Epıtela（cp．for this meaning Rom．ii．8； 2 Cor．xii．20； Gal．v．20；below，ii．3；Jas iii．14，16）is the work of an efioos，a day－ labourer；so，any work of a＂sordid＂kind；so，in politics，the trade of a hired canvasser，or the like；and so finally，partizan－work in general，and its spirit．Liddell and Scott call the alleged connexion of the word with Eptov，＂accidental．＂
 men of（i．e．siding with）love；the men of（i．e．siding with）faction．＂ But this strains the construction，certainly of ver．16，and it is needless．

тòv Xpıбтòv кaтayye入入ovotv．＂Are proclaiming the Christ．＂Kata $\gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega$（rare in classical Greek，where it sometimes means＂to de－ nounce＂；nowhere in Greek O．T．，except two places in Apocrypha） is to announce tidings with emphasis，or publicity．－It is a sorrowful paradox，but abundantly illustrated，that the true CHrist could be emphatically and in a sense earnestly proclaimed with a wrong motive，oủ $\chi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} s$ ．
oióncyol．The word seems to suggest，with a sort of gentle irony， that this＂thought＂was wide of the fact．
 ＂to make my chains gall me．＂But the physical reference of $\theta \lambda i \psi / s$ （not so of $\theta \lambda i \beta e(\nu)$ is extremely rare，and in Biblical Greek otherwise unknown；and the phrase seems forced and unlikely．The R．V． paraphrases well，＂Thinking to raise up affliction for me in my bonds．＂ So Alford．Vulgate，pressuram（a word familiar for＂trouble＂） suscitare．These Anti－Panlines would＂raise up trouble＂for him， so they＂thought，＂by preventing the access of enquirers or converts to the imprisoned Apostle；a severe test to his faith and patience．
18. Tl $\gamma$ dp; "Well, what of that?" Tl $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$; is common in classical Greek in quick steps of more or less argumentative statement. Lightfoot cites Xen. Mem. II. vi. §§ 2, 3, where $\tau i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\rho} \rho$; (varied by $\tau l$ $\delta \bar{\delta} ; \tau i{ }^{0} \hat{\nu} \eta ;$ ) repeatedly thus takes up the thread in dialogue.
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$ " $\tau$ t. "Only that." A beautiful modification of the thought, that all this "does not matter." It does "matter," in one happy respect; it helps to diffuse the Gospel.-On the reading, see critical note.
 (perhaps even to themselves) would be zeal for trath; the truer reason was prejudice against a person.
 better here than "will," an expectation rather than a resolve (so Ellicott, Alford, Lightfoot, but not R.V.), because he at once goes on to anticipate a bright future.

No long comment is needed on the noble spiritual lesson of this passage. His Lord's interests are his own, and in that faot, amidst extremely vexatious circumstances, he finds by grace more than resignation, more than equanimity; there is positive and assured happiness. Self has yielded the inner throne to Christ, and the result is a Divine harmony between circumstances and the man, as both are seen equally subject to, and usable by, Him.
19. olfa $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$. He explains why he "shall rejoice." Next to the highest reason, that "Christ is being proclaimed," comes in this attendant certainty, that bis own spiritual good will be furthered.

$\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a v$. The word includes in its widest reference the whole process of saving mercy, from the giving of the Saviour to the final glory of the saved. In the life of the Christian it points now to his first faith in Christ (2 Cor. vi. 2), now to his life-long preservation in Christ (e.g. 2 Tim. ii. 20); more frequently to the heavenly issue of the whole (e.g. Rom. xiii. 11; Heb. ix. 28; 1 Pet. i. 5). The same may be said of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota$, , only that it refers more often than $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a$ to the life-long "saving." Here the probable reference is to the final glory, to the attainment of which, by way not of merit but of training, all gifts of grace contribute. The lower meaning, that of saving of bodily life (as e.g. Acts xxvii. 34), is excluded here by the reference to "the supply of the Spirit" just below.
 power of intercession, see e.g. Rom. xv. 30; Col. iv. 3.
 xopar ${ }^{6 s}$ ), the "leader of a chorus" in the theatre. Xopayos came, in time, to mean the citizen who paid the oosts of the performance, and
 "supply" in general; and so emixopqria means additional or abundant supply. It occurs in N. T. only bere and Eph. iv. 16. 'Encxopmyeî occurs 2 Cor. ix, 10; Gal. iii. 5; Col. ii. 19; 2 Pet. i. 5 , 11; passages
which all illustrate the slightly intensive force of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota$. In classical Greek the verb is rare and the noun unknown.
 denotes the Spirit as not the Supplier but the Supply, or more exactly the Resource, "of" which comes the supply. For the thought ep. Gal. iii. $5, \dot{\partial} \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \dot{d} \pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$.

What is $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \mu a$ 'I. X.? Not merely Jesus Christ's principles and temper; such a meaning of $\pi \nu \in \hat{\imath} \mu a$ is almost if not quite unknown in Greek, classical, biblical, and ecclesiastical. The analogy of e.g. Rom. viii. 9; Gal. iv. 6; 1 Pet. i. 11, taken along with our Lord's own teaching about the relation between the Paraclete and Himself (Joh. xiv.xvi.), assures us that "the Spirit of Jesus Christ" is here none other than the Eternal Personal Spirit, "sent" by the Son (Joh. xv. 26), occupied with Him as Revealer and Imparter (Joh. xv. 26, xyi. 14). His whole work for the Church and for the soul is connected always with the glorified Lord, with Whom He is so One that where the Spirit comes Christ is (Joh. xiv. 18; cp. Eph. iii. 16, 17).

St Paul expects, in answer to his converts' prayers, a new effusion of the power of the Spirit, developing in him the presence of Jesus Christ.
20. кaт $\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. "The supply of the Spirit" will evidence itself in the "magnitication of Christ in his body." That the Lord will be so "magnified" is his eager expectation. Thus, the "supply of the Spirit" will be "according to," correspondent to, that expectation.
a токарабакla. "Longing expectation"; the outstretched head of the watcher is almost visible in the word. It is not classical, and occurs elsewhere in N. T. only Rom. viii. 19. 'Атокара $\delta$ окєі̀ occurs in Polybius (cent. iii-ii. e.c.), and in Aquila's version (circ. A.d. 150) of Psal. xxxvii. (LXX., xxxvi. 7).-The hope of bringing glory to Christ was to the Apostle the possessing and animating interest of life.
 who has reckoned badly, to his own shame. See Psal. xxv. (LXX.,
 2 Tim. i. 12.
<v...тapp $\quad$ oia. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ here, as very frequently in N. T., indicates accompaniment, as of a condition (so here) or of a means; representing thus the Hebrew bêth as a prepositional prefix. Such (classic-
 (Matt. xxvi. 52), fall under this deseription.-Mapp $\sigma$ 信. The word here naturally keeps its literal meaning, boldness of speech, for he is thinking of his testimony to his Lord. It sometimes glides into the meaning of more general security, confidence, openness; e.g. Joh. vii. 4 ; Heb. x. 19, 35.

ஸ́s тávtotє кaì vîv. "As always, so now"; with an emphasis on

$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \nu \nu \theta$ í $\sigma \in \tau a v$, i.e. practically, "shall be glorified," shall be so manifested as to be praised. He will be enabled to make his Lord bright and great to eyes which otherwise would see little of Him.
 vehicle for all action on others. Through the body alone could others "see" how the man had peace and power in his Master, living or dying; through the words of his lips, the looks of his face, the action or patience of his limbs. Cp. Rom. xii. 1, and 2 Cor. iv. 10.
 suspense regarding the issue of his trial. Wonderfully did his relation to Christ, ©ंs тáprove кal $\nu \hat{u} \nu$, make the suspense itself an occasion of peace and joy. See just below.

21-26. The bame Subject: the Alternative of Life or Death: Expectation of Life.
21. 'Euol ya.p. 'Euoi is emphatic, with the force not of selfassertion but of intense personal experience. This passage is linked with the former by explaining the secret of his holy equanimity in this suspense between life and death. Life and death are to him a dilemma of blessings, in Christ,
rò $\zeta \mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ Xpıoròs. Vivere Christus, Vulgate. Luther renders, Christus ist mein Leben, and Tindale, after him, "Christ is to me lyfe." But this would demand rather, in the Greek, euoi rd̀ jom X $\rho$ tatós: and it destroys the balance of the two clauses; we could not go on to render "Gain is death." He is thinking here not of the secret of "life" but of the interests of "living." "Living," זò 聯p, is for him so full of Christ, so occupied with and for Him, that Christ sums it up. Such is meant to be the experience of every Christian; see Col. iii. 17, and cp. Eph. iii. 14-21.
rò àmo日aveiv. "Dying." The aorist (note the change after the present, $\tau \dot{\zeta} \zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu$, which gives the thought of life as a process) denotes the act of dying, not the process, nor again the state, of death. The dying hour is to St Paul the mere gateway into the "large room" of the presence of Christ.

к<póos. Not merely "no harm," but positive "gain." "Death is his" (1 Cor. iii. 22). -This wonderful saying, uttered without an effort, appropriating as a means of bliss man's awful and seemingly always triumphant enemy, is explained just below.-It is observable that his thought here is, apparently, more distinctly fixed on death as his own experience in prospect than it seems to have been in the earlier Epistles (e.g. 2 Cor. v. 4, ou $\theta \in \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu$ éк $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$, but see ver. 8 there). Meantime the hope of the Saviour's Return is bright as ever; see below, iii. 20.
 avowal that for him "to die is gain," is that the other alternativeto live still in the body-has a charm in it, for it implies so much more time for fruitful toil for Christ; and so he is in suspense
between bliss and bliss. We may translate, slightly paraphrasing, "But if it" (my actual lot, in the will of God) "should be to live (on) in flesh," i.e. under the conditions of mortality (cp. Gal. ii. 20), "this I shall find ( $\mu_{0}$ ) to be full of fruit of work." Living will mean working, working will mean fruit-bearing (see Joh. xv. 5, 16) for Christ; and life so lived will indeed be "worth living."

The rendering of R.V. (text) is "But if to live in the flesh-if this be the fruit of my work, then (kai) what I shall choose, de." But the explanation of $\kappa$ al by "then" is improbable, and the thought of continued life as a "fruit" of previous efforts is dificult and scarcely in place.

кal. Simply "and." The "then" of R.V. and "yet" of A.V. are alike needless. He merely takes another step in the same line of thought.
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho l \xi \omega$. The knowledge of insight, recognition, is suggested. "I do not see clearly" (Ellicott).
 the last clause, with a slightly differencing addition; "What to choose I do not see, but stand in suspense."
 by forces acting "from both ( $\epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\prime} 0$ ) sides" upon him, so as to keep him fixed in the midst.

It is a wonderful and entirely Christian dilemma. "The Apostle asks which is most worth his while, to live or to die. The same question is often presented to ourselves, and perhaps our reply has been the same. But may we not have made it with a far different purport?...Life and death have seemed...like two evils, and we knew not which was the less. To the Apostle they seem like two immense blessings, and he knows not which is the better" (Ad. Monod, Adieux, No. II.).
 the preference by pleasure, from the preference by principle, the $\pi \rho o a l \rho \epsilon \sigma t s$ (if we may use the word) simply to do the will of God for others. "Where his Treasure is, there is luis heart."
els tò áva入īaa. The verb occurs elsewhere in N. T. only in Luke xii. 36, $\pi \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\dot { \alpha }} \mathbf{v} \alpha \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$., "when he shall return" (but we may well explain the word there of "setting out" homeward). 'Avóduous occurs 2 Tim. iv. 6, obviously in the sense it bears here. Verb and noun alike can refer, by usage, to either (a) the solution of a compound (so here the Vulgate, cupio dissolvi), or (o) the undoing of a cable, to set sail, or the striking of a tent, to travel. Verb and noun are both absent from LXX., but the verb is not infrequent in the Apocrypha, and there usually means to go away, or, as the other side of that act, to return (Tob. ii. 8; Jud. xiii. 1). This points to (b) as the probable thought of the verb here; and this is supported by the comments of the Greek expositors; Chrysostom e.g. paraphrases
 ctrar. St Paul "desires" to leave for home; to strike his camp, to
weigh his anchor, for the better country. See the same thought under other phriseology 2 Cor. v. 1-8; the wanderer's "tent is taken down," кaтa入úєтa, that he may "go home to the Lord,"

In Suicer's Thesaurus (of the language of the Greek Fathers) d. $2 \mathrm{\lambda} \dot{\mu} \omega$ and its noun are treated at length, and the words are shewn to have glided in post-apostolic Greek into an almost synonym for dying (Lucian, Philops. c. 14, has ठктшкаı $\delta \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathrm{s} \stackrel{*}{\nu}$ à $\nu \in \lambda \nu \epsilon \nu$ ). He tells how Melanchthon, dying ( 1560 ), talked to his friend Camerarius, "prince of Greek scholars in his day," about ápo, $\hat{v} \dot{\omega} \omega$, dwelling with delight on this passage, criticizing the Vulgate rendering, and vindicating that of departure, migration. Luther here has abzuscheiden, "to depart."
 Christian's death. Cp. carefully 2 Cor. v. 7, with its profound in. timation that to step at death out of the "walk by faith" is, ipso facto, to begin to "walk by Object Seen" ( $\delta$ tà et $\delta$ ous), in the disclosed presence of the Lord. "Christianity...does not [in the presence of death] tell us of the splendours of the invisible world, but it does far better when, in three words, it informs us that (aja入ivaal) to loosen from the shore of mortality is ( $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho / \sigma \tau \hat{\psi}$ eîvai) to be with Christ" (Is. Taylor, Saturday Evening, ch. xxvi.).

The Christian, in this life, is "with Christ," and Christ with him. But so is the Presence manifested in that life that it is as if it had not been known before. Cp. Acts vii. 39; words which St Paul had heard spoken.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \gamma \mathrm{~d} \rho \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ крєíन $\sigma o v$. On the reading, see critical note. -
 well be characteristic of St l'aul's vivid feeling. But classical Greek

 there is a distinct tendency to such donble comparatives, e.g. Plaut. Capt. 3. 4. 112, nihil invenies magis hoc certo certius; Stich. 5. 4. 22, magis dulcius. "Much rather better" is a bold accumulation. -Observe that he finds this "betterncss," in the unseen bliss, in comparison not with this life's darkest but with its brightest; he has just said that "to live (on earth) is Cemist."
 gives a pertinent meaning, "to hold by the flesh," i.e. to cling to this life (as to the Commander's post of duty : ep. e.g. Acts xiv. 33 ; Rom. vi. 1, xi. 22, 23, for illustrative cases of $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \ell \nu$ c. dat.), or, "to stay on in the flesh." 'E $\pi \iota$ - gives to $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu \epsilon \tau \nu$ the special thought of persistence or adherence.
dvaүкано́тєpov. With the noble $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ of recognized duty to the Lord, and now especially to others in Him; $\delta \iota$ ' $\dot{\dot{\prime} \mu \mathrm{s}, \text { " "on account of }}$ you."
25. oifa, öть $\mu \in \nu \omega$. We have good ground for saying that this oita was verified in the event; see 1 Tim. i. 3 for an intimation of a visit to Macedonia after this date.
 able; see critical note. Not only will he "stay" $(\mu \in \nu \hat{\omega})$ "in the flesh"; his stay will be "with and beside" ( $\sigma \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega})$ the Philippians, whether in bodily presence or in other full communication.

трокопท̀v. "Progress"; see on ver. 12.
 faith." R.V. renders "joy in the faith." But cp. Rom. xv. 13, $\chi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ ...'่ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ata $\sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota$, where joy appears as one of the bright issues of personal faith. 'H $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ in the sense of oreed, the truth believed (Jude 3, and perhaps 20), is rarely (at most) to be found in St Paul. 1 Tim . gives the most probable examples of it; cp. iii. 9, iv. 1, 6, v . 8, vi. 10, 21. Even there it is difficalt to explain the word as only objective; it may rather mean the believer's apprehension of the revealed truth. See Ellicott on Gal. i. 23.

Connect both трокопì $\nu$ and $\chi$ a $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu$ with $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$. He thinks of them as alike advancing and rejoicing in the believing life.
26. кav́хๆ̣ィa. A favourite word with St Paul, and especially in Romans, Corinthians, and Galatians; a fact bearing on the date of this Epistle. See Introduction, ch. ii. Kaú $\eta \eta \mu a$ is an act of exultation, of glorying; or otherwise (see Lightfoot on Gal. vi. 4) a ground for exultation, as distinct from кaú $\chi \eta \sigma\llcorner s$, the exultation itself. This distinction however must not be over-drawn, as there is a tendency, in later Greek especially, to blend the meanings of nouns in $-\mu \alpha$ and $-\sigma t s$.
$\pi \epsilon p \iota \sigma \sigma \in v_{7}$. Again a favourite word with St Paul, and in the Epistles named in the last note.
$\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ Xpıotむ̈ 'İбov. The "glorying," like all the actions of the Christian's spirit, was to be conditioned by his life in Christ.

Év époi. Here $\epsilon v$ doubtless means "in the oase of," "on occasion of." Cp. Gal. i. 24, and (a close parallel) 2 Thess. i. 4, $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s . . . \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 not capricious. The phrase év X $\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\psi} \hat{\hat{\psi}}$ was, so to speak, stereotyped in its reference to the mystical Union; this phrase was familiar in another reference. St Paul was to be their occasion of "glorying," because his restoration to them would be an example of their Lord's faithful love to them.
 "through my return ( $\pi \dot{d} \lambda c \nu$, rursus) to you and presence with you." R.V., "through my presence with you again." Yet the A.V., "by my coming to you again, $>$ is probably better as a short rendering. חapovaia is literally "presence," but by usage it often denotes a coming into presence, so as almost to absorb the thought of "presence" in that of "coming." Cp. e.g. 1 Thess. iv. 16, where the subject is the great mapovaia rov kupiov, the hope of the Church,

27-30. Entheaties to cherish consibiency, and bsfecially dnity, more than hyer now in his absence.
27. Móvov. "Only"; a word of corrective caution, as if to say, "Whether I come to you or not, remember the call to a holy and united life; let not that vary for you with my nearness or distance."
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є $\lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \epsilon \rho i a \nu$ єis $\dot{\alpha} \phi \circ \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \rho \kappa i:$ and see 2 Thess. ii. 7.
 sometimes means little more than d ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \rho^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \sigma 0 a$, with no articulate reference to $\pi \delta$ גcs: e.g. in the "long recension" (dated by Iightfoot cent. iv.) of the Iguatian Epistles, ad Trall. Ix., we have the words
 instances in Suicer.) But in the only two places where it occurs in Biblical Greek before N. T. (2 Macc. vi. 1, xi. 25) it seems to carry the notion of a common or corporate course of life; and so perhaps Acts xxiii. 1, the only other N. T. instance of its use: St Paul there is speaking, probably, of his "life" not from the individual point only bat as a member of the Church of Israel. Lightfoot here says, "though $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \theta a \mathrm{is}$ is used very loosely at a later date, at this time it seems always to refer to public duties devolving on a man as a member of a body." Here such a reference is entirely in point; he is about to speak emphatically of the duty of common principles and action at Philippi, See below the kindred noun moגic $\epsilon \mu a$, iii. 20, and note. The verb occurs in Polycarp's Ep. to the Philippians,


The "conversation" of the A.V. here represents the Vulg. conversamini, and means not mutual speech only, but the whole course and intercourse of life; a meaning surviving still in "conversant."

 $\kappa a l \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\imath} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \nu \hat{\omega}$ öt $\kappa \tau \lambda$. The irregularity of compression still leaves the thought perfectly clear.-Here, as below (ii. 12) he is anxious to disengage them from an undue dependence on his personal and present influence; the last thing he wishes is to be necessary to them, as only Jesus Christ should and cpuld be.
$\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon . \quad \Sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ is "a late present, formed from $\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$, perf. of iv $\sigma \eta \mu \mu^{\prime \prime}$ (Lidd. and Scott, s.v.). It does not appear before N. T., and Suicer gives no patristic example. It is used by the Byzantine writers. In N. 'T. it occurs eight times; here, and iv. 1 below; Mar. xi. 25; Rom. xiv. 4; 1 Cor. xvi. 13; Gal. v. 1; 1 Thess. iii. 8; 2 Thess. ii. 15. In Mar. (ö $\tau a \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \tau \varepsilon$ аробєvхо́дєขoc) it means "to stand" simply; in all the other cases the meaning "to stand fast" is in point,
<vévi $\pi \nu \in \dot{j} \mu a r l$. For the precise phrase see 1 Cor. xii, 13 , év évl
 $\tau \epsilon \rho o l \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\rangle \pi \nu \in \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau l$. In both these places the reference appears to be to the Holy Spirit, the Paraclete, "in" whom the saints have been imbued with new life, "in" whom they approach the Father, as
living members of the Son．We may therefore explain this place also of Him，as the Divine atmosphere，as it were，of life and power． In all three places manifestly the point of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu l$ is that the One Agent must have His counterpart in the oneness of those who are filled with Him．
$\mu \stackrel{̣}{\hat{Q}} \psi v \times \hat{\eta} . \quad$＂With one soul＂；so Tindale and＇Cranmer＇；Vulg．
 and ii．20，lóo $\psi v \chi o \nu . ~ C p . A c t s ~ i v . ~ 32, ~ \tau o \hat{u} ~ \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{0 \nu s} . . . \dot{\eta} \nu . . . \dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu i a$. It is possible that the word $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ here suggested the word $\psi v \times \dot{\eta}$ to the Apostle，by the law of association（see Isai．Ivii．16； 1 Thess．v．23；Heb．iv．12）．And if so he probably used the two words in a significant connexion．$\Psi$ ux $\dagger$ in Scripture appears often to indicate life embodied．We have then here first the Life－Giver，the One II $\nu \in \dot{\hat{v}} \mu a$ ，and then the result and manifestation of His living presence，the organization and embodiment of it，as it were，in the one $\psi v \chi \gamma$ of the believing company．
ouva日入ovivtes．So below，iv．3，and nowhere else in N．T．＊A0 10 s （contracted from the Epic ä atios）is a contest，in sport or battle， and $\dot{a} \theta \lambda o \nu\left(\tilde{a}_{\epsilon} \theta \lambda o \nu\right)$ the victor＇s prize．The Greek＂athletic＂games suggested many metaphors to St Paul；e．g． 1 Cor．ix．24，27； 2 Tim． ii．5，iv．7．See Appendix L．And cp．Conybeare and Howson， Life \＆cc．of St Paul，ch．xx．，at the beginning．But here this reference， if present at all，is quite subordinate to the general one of a close wrestling with complex obstacles．

Tî $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ．Lightfoot renders＂in concert with the faith，＂and com－
 $\gamma \in \lambda i \varphi(2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{i} .8$ ）．But such a personification of＂the faith＂is so bold as to demand special support from the context．And here the whole emphasis lies on the Christians＇co－operation with one another．
$\tau \hat{\mathrm{n}}$ Tiotel tov̀ củayfe入lov．＂For the faith in the Gospel＂；the faith which embraces it．（Cp．rioris di $\eta \theta$ eias， 2 Thess．ii．13．）They were to＂strive together＂to promote belief in the message of their Lord． $\mathrm{T} \hat{\eta} \pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon\llcorner$ may otherwise be taken as the instrumental dative；＂with the faith，＂as your weapon with which to confront the foe；cp．I Pet． v． $9, \dot{\Psi}$ à $\nu \tau i \sigma \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon o l \tau \hat{\eta} \pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ．For the reasons against ex－ plaining $\tau \hat{n} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ of the Christian＇s creed，see above on ver． 25.

28．$\pi$ тиро́цєvol．＂Scared．＂The verb（akin to $\pi \tau \sigma \epsilon \omega$ ）appears to occur bere only in the whole range of Biblical Greek．In（later） classical Greek it is used of the starting or＂shying＂of frightened animals，and thence of alarm in general，as in the Axiochus（attri－
 would well suit the situation of the＂little flock＂in violent Philippi．

そ̈rts．The feminine of the pronoun is＂attracted＂by $\neq \nu \delta \epsilon c \xi<\xi$ ． The $\quad$ ti $\delta \in \epsilon \xi / s$ would be given by the union and quiet courage of the saints in face of seemingly hopeless odds．No doubt the followers of a mistaken idea may be united and resolute．But the Apostle does not say that the Philippians＇conduct would logically prove the trath of the Gospel，to themselves or others．He says that it would be a
practical "indication," an omen, of the ruin of the foes and the triumph of the disciples of the Truth. The more the Church acted in the spirit of calm, united decision, the more the coming issue of the conflict would be realized on both sides.
 read; see critical note. T. R., aủroîs $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \nu \nu \hat{z}^{\ell} \nu \delta$. $\dot{a} \pi \omega \lambda$., $\dot{v} \mu \hat{z} \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$., seems to be a transcriber's re-writing of the less balanced
 hands to affect "the adversaries"-" Which to them is an indication of destruction, but of your salvation." But the following context (see notes just below) suggests that the Apostle's thought is rather as the T. K. interprets it.
 ruin of all persistent opponents of God and His truth. So below, iii. 19 ; and always in N. T., except only Matt. xxvi. 8; Mar. xiv. 4, where the word meaus waste, spoiling (of the precious oil).
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta$ pia. See on ver. 19 above. The prospect of final glory is "indicated" the more vividly as the disciples unite more firmly and lovingly around, and in, the canse of their Lord.

кal тоиิто $\dot{\text { ánd }} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ єov̂. Toûro of course does not refer properly to $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$, which would require aট̈rŋ (cp. $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, каl тойто oüк $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, Eph. ii. 8), but to the fact connected with it-the "indication" of its approach through the disciples' conduct in the Christian conflict. That they were enabled to meet the enemy thus, and that their heavenly hope was thus reinforced-all this was a gift, a token, "from God."
29. ötc...éxaploon. The link of thought (ört) is that their trying circumstances, and the benefits of them, were, as he has just hinted, no evil, but a gift of love ( $\epsilon \chi a \rho i \sigma \theta \eta$ ) from their Divine Friend.
iniv. Slightly emphatic by position. As if to say, "Yes, it is you whose 'salvation' is thus 'indicated,' whatever doubts and fears your trials may suggest." They were to talke fully home the concealed token of final blessing.
éxapl $\sigma \theta \boldsymbol{\eta}$. The verb denotes specially a grant of free favour, and thus often the grant of gratuitous forgiveness, as 2 Cor. ii. 7, 10; Eph. iv. 32; sometimes the work of free grace and salvation at large, as Rom. viii. 32; 1 Cor. ii. 12. (In Acts iii. 14, $\dot{\eta} \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ádópa
 in the sense of its being arbitrary, extra-legal.)

 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi \in \nu$, "To you was given the boon of suffering for Christ." Then, with characteristic wealth of thought, he brought in also the boon of faith in Christ; and the present somewhat complex grammar
 kai are parenthetioal, and ínt̀p aüroû redundant.
 tensity of saving faith; not that this explanation is to be pressed everywhere, for see e.g. Joh. ii. 23, where the $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma a \nu \epsilon l_{s} \tau \delta \quad \nu_{\nu} \mu a$ aúrô̂ refers to a faith not wholly satisfactory.-Faith in Christ is here incidentally viewed as a gift of Divine grace. See Eph. ii. 8, and note in the Camb. Bible for Schools.
 Him" were a glorious boon; not only because of the coming results in glory (Rom. viii. 17; 1 Pet. iv. 13), but because of the profound commanion with the Crucified Lord conveyed in and with the suffering.
 native, is out of construction with the $\dot{\dot{u} \mu \hat{\imath} y}$ of ver. 29 , and in construction with the $\pi \tau v \rho \delta \mu \in \nu=c$ of ver. 28 . So that, grammatically, the words from $\ddot{\eta} \tau L s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ to $\pi$ á $\sigma \chi \epsilon L \nu$ must be reckoned parenthetical. But the thought of ver. 29 glides into that of ver. 30 supra grammaticam.
ajyติva. The word (originally meaning a gathering (är $\omega$ ), as at the Greek sports) slightly suggests the athletic arena, and thus echoes avva日入oîves above (ver. 27). It recurs Col. ii. 1; 1 Thess. ii. 2; 1 Tim, vi. 12; 2 Tim. iv. 7; Heb. xii. 1. The word d $\gamma \omega \nu l a$ is used of our blessed Lord's great "Wrestling" in the Garden, Luke xxii. 44. The áy'uv here obviously is the strife of fuith and patience against persecuting violence.
 $\dot{i} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \hat{\nu}$. The verb in such a connexion comes nearly to mean "feeling," "experiencing." Cp. 2 Cor. i. 9 , ̇̇̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { є́avтоîs катáкрıца той }\end{gathered}$


dixoú $\epsilon \epsilon$. In the Roman prison. He appeals to them with the magic power of a leader in suffering.

## CHAPTER II.

 the cursives nearly the same must be said" (Scrivener, Introd. to Crit. of N. T., ii. 386). Three important copies of vulg read si quid viscera instead of si qua viscera. Basil Chrys (in MS.) tis. A few carsives read $\epsilon \tau \pi$. Most copies of vulg favour $\tau v a$, and so Clem Alex and other fathers, "as edited" (Tiseh). "It may be stated that no MS. whatever has been cited for $\tau$ "La" (Scrivener, l.c.). LTTr Alf Lett WH ef cis, Ell Wordsw reva. Tisch thinks that the evidence proves tis to have been "tolerable even to Greek ears." Scriv, on the other hand, after a strong statement of the evidence, says ( $p$. 387) "yet we may believe the evidence to be as false as it is intolerable, and to afford us another proof of the early and...wellnigh universal corruption of our copies in some minute particulars.... Probably St Pual wrote $\tau \iota_{2},$. which would readily be corrupted into
ris, by reason of the $\sigma$ following (TICHIALXNA) and the res which had just preceded."
3. $\mu$ Øб́ калえे кєvoठofíav. So NABC, 173137 , vulg copt arm xth and some fathers. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, most cursives, goth, some fathers

4. Ykaotor (first occurrence). So $\mathrm{ABG}_{3}, 17$, vulg, Bas Ambr. $\mathrm{NCD}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, most cursives, goth syr (pesh and harkl), Hilar Victorin ধккабтos. All recent editors (but Wordsw) éкaбтot. But the evidence for éka
бкотоùvтєs. So NABCD ${ }_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{P}$, several cursives, vuig goth, Basil and some fathers. L, most cursives, Chrys Theodoret Damaso $\sigma к о \pi \epsilon i \tau \epsilon . \mathrm{K}, 73$, syr (pesh and harkl), Theophylact and some fathers,

*кaotol (second occurrence). So $\mathrm{NBCD}_{2}, 17$, a few other cursives, Victorin. KL, most cursives, syr (pesh and harkl), Chrys Theodoret Damasc êkácos. All recent editors ếкaбтol.
5. тov̀ro. Some documents ( $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{AC}, 17$ and some other cursives,
 rov̂ro фpopeite. But there is no doubt of the correctness of the reading preferred here.

тoûto yàp is read by $\$_{c} \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, most cursives, syr (pesh), Chrys Theodoret Damasc. $\Gamma \dot{a} \rho$ is om by $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{ABC}, 17$ and two other cursives, copt arm æth. LTTr Alf Ltft WH om $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho$. Ell Wordsw retain. Ell remarks, "as ver. 5 begins an ecclesiastical lection, and as the...force of the rà might not have been fully understood, and have led to the omission..., the [retention of rà $\rho$ ] seems slightly more probable."

фрoveite. So NABCD ${ }_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 17$, vulg syr (pesh and harkl) æth, Hilar Cyr Victorin. $\mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, most cursives, copt arm goth, Origen Euseb Ath Bas Chrys фpoveiotw. LTTr Alf Ell Ltft qpoveite. Wordsw $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$. Ell remarks, " $[\phi \rho \rho \nu e l \sigma \theta \omega]$ is insufficiently attested by uncial authorities, and, on internal grounds, quite as likely to be a correction of $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ (to harmonize with $\delta$ кal $\epsilon^{2} \nu$ X.' I.) as vice versî." Still the all-bat unanimity of the cursives, and the Greek patristic evidence, give $\phi \rho o v e i \sigma \theta \omega$ a strong case.
9. тठ бैvoца. So NABC, 17, Euseb Cyr. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{I} P$, most cursives, arm, Origen Euseb (alibi) Ath and many Greek fathers om т̀̀. LTTr Alf (doubtfully) Ltft WH тò. Ell om. The case for omission is strong.
11. ङょоолоүทंбєтаи. So $\mathrm{ACD}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, several cursives, Origen Ath Chrys (alibi). NB, 17, most eursives, Iren Clem Eus Ath (alibi) Chrys (alibi) Cyr $\xi^{\xi} \xi_{0} \mu \boldsymbol{1}$
 evidence is weighty for this latter.
15. yévnote. So $\mathrm{NBCD}_{2}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, all cursives, Chrys and many Greek fathers. $\mathrm{AD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}$, vulg, Cypr Origen and some fathers $\hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, All editors $\gamma \in \sim \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$.
${ }^{\mu} \mu \omega \mu$. So $\mathrm{KABC}, 1723$, Clem Victorin Cyr. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, most cursives, Chrys Theodoret and some other Greek fathers $\alpha^{\mu} \mu \mu \mu \eta \pi a$. LTTr Alf Ltft WH $a^{\mu} \mu \omega \mu$. Ell Wordsw ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \omega \mu \eta \eta r a$. For ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta r a$ it may be pointed out that Deut. xxxii. 5 (LXX.), a passage certainly in
 $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$. But it is of course possible to see in d́ $\mu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \tau a$ a later adjustment to this.
$\mu \dot{\text { érov. So }} \mathrm{NABCD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 173173$, Clem. $\mathrm{D}_{8}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{aKL}$, most cursives, Chrys and some other Greek fathers $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$. Here the less easy reading seems to have adequate support, and is therefore the more probable.
 many cursives, Clem and many other fathers. Many cursives, Chrys (but not consistently) Theodoret $\tau \grave{\text { à }} \tau 0 \hat{v}$.

Xpıotov̂ 'Iqбov̂. So BL, most cursives, a copy of vulg copt syr ( pesh ), Chrys and some fathers. $\mathrm{KACD}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{P}, 17$ and several other cursives, some copies of vulg, Clem Chrys (alibi) and several other fathers 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$. T X X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$. LT'r and all other recent editors ' $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{1} \mathrm{X}$ Х $\iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$.
23. $\dot{\alpha} \phi i \delta \omega$. So $N A B^{*} D_{2}^{*} G_{2}, 17$. $B^{3} C D_{2}^{c} L P$, most cursives, all Greek quotations aji $i \delta \omega$. Ltft says, "If any weight is to be attached to the agreement of the older MSS., the aspirated form ( $\dot{\alpha} \phi \delta \omega$ for $\alpha \pi(\delta \omega)$ must be read here." He collects from MSS. of the LXX. several instances of aspirates in compounds of el $\delta a v: ~ \epsilon \phi t \delta \omega \nu$, $a \phi \epsilon i \partial \eta, \kappa a \theta i \delta \epsilon, \& c$. ( $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ occurs in an inscription), and gives some such compounds with $\epsilon \lambda \pi i s$ : $\alpha \phi \epsilon \lambda \pi i \xi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ \epsilon \phi$ ' $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \iota$. "The aspirates are doubtless to be explained as remnants of the digamma." All recent editors (but Wordsw) ḋфi $\delta \omega$.
 Lightfoot inserts these words, in square brackets.
26. $\pi \alpha ́ v t a s ~ \hat{v} \mu a ̂ s . \quad N^{*} \mathrm{ACD}_{2}$, several cursives, syr (pesh and harkl) copt arm æeth, several Greek fathers, add lifîv. All recent editors read text.
30. tò èpyov Xpıбтoṽ. So $\mathrm{BG}_{2}, 73$ 80. $\quad \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, most cursives,

 $\tau o \hat{0}$. WH Kupíou (marg X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ ). Ell Wordsw $\tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho l \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$. Alf
 divided, neatralize each other. All alike are insertions to explain $\tau \delta$ ${ }^{\text {tp }}$ pov." But the evidence for $\tau 00 \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{0}$ is surely preponderant. Tisch remarks that $\overline{\mathrm{Xr}}, \overline{\mathrm{Kr}}$, the familiar MS. abbreviations, would easily drop from the text.

тараßл入єขба́нєvos. So $\mathbb{K A B D}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}$, some cursives. $\mathrm{CK}_{2} \mathrm{LP}$, most cursives, Greek fathers $\pi a \rho a \beta o u \lambda \in v \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu 05$. The versions are not decisive. In this case the rule of durion lectio fairly supports the evidence of the chief uncials. And Ltft says, "no one who has felt the neryous vigour of St Paul's style will hesitate between
 rare." All recent editors $\pi$ а $\rho a \beta$ одєขбá $\mu \epsilon \nu o s$.

Ch. II. 1-4. The subject continued: Appeal for Self. forgetfil Uniry.

1. oivv. The connexion of thought with the preceding sentences is close. He has pressed on them the duty and blessing of concord and co-operation, and now enforces this with a special appeal to them to minister happiness to himself, in Christ, by obedience.

тара́к $\lambda \eta \sigma$. Vulg. consolatio; Wyclif, "counforte"; other Eng. versions before R.V., "consolation"; R.V. "comfort." This latter is best. IIapdкл $\eta \sigma t s$ (with its cognate verb) habitually (not quite invariably; see perhaps Acts xx. 12, тарєк $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ov́ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho i \omega s)$ denotes rather encouragement than the tenderer "consolation," and so "oomfort" (confortatio) may fairly represent it. Mutual love at Philippi would strengthen St Paul at Rome.
 with Christ of the Philippians and the Apostle.
mapaúúrov. Vulg. solatium. The word occurs here only in N. T., and once only in O. T. Greek (Wisd. of Sol. iii. 18); тарадvӨla occurs 1 Cor. xiv. 3. Mapauiviov (as also rapauvila) is classical; e.g. Soph.
 means the converse which draws the mind aside ( $\pi$ a $\alpha$-) from care; the agrimonia alloquium of Horace (Epod. xiii. 18). Our "solace" fairly represents it.
 $\mu a \tau o s$. That paraliel fairly fixes the reference of $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ here to "the one and the self-same Spirit" ( 1 Cor. xii. 11), the promised Paraclete, whom all the saints "share" as their common Life-Giver, Strengthener, and Sanctifier, the One Spirit of the One Body. The article is indeed absent here, and some say that in such cases not the Spirit as Person but His gifts or influences are meant. But such presence or absence of the article is a precarious index of reference when the substantive is a great and familiar word; context or parallels must be brought in.
 appeuls here to their and his part together in the Life-Giver as a motive to holy sympathy.
cil $\tau$ rs. On the reading see critical note.
$\sigma \pi \lambda$ áyxva kal oiктгрцоi. Vulg. viscera miserationis; Wyclif, happily, "inwardnesse of merci doynge"; A.V. "bowels and mercies"; ll.V., better, "tender mercies and compassions." On the word $\sigma \pi \lambda a^{\gamma} \gamma \chi^{\nu a}$ see above on i. 8. Oiktıpubs appears always, with very few exceptions, in the plural in Biblical Greek.-He appeals with pathetic simplicity and directness, last of all, to their human kinduess as such.
2. $\pi \lambda \eta$ pporare. His cup of joy for Philippi (i. 4) needed only the certainty that the Philippians were one in holy love, to be full to the brim.

Y̌a тò aủtò фpavìte. On the construction, see on i. 9. We have here a modification of the purport-meaning. He here practicully asks them to be what he now describes, and their being so is the purport of this implied longing.

тò aúrò фpoveiv. Almost, "to be of the same feeling"; see on i. 7 . The lack of a full unity of hearts in Christ was clearly the weak point of the mission at Philippi.
 ality.
$\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \psi v$ xor. On the spelhing sce critical note on $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \kappa \iota \nu \omega v o u s, ~ i . ~ 7 . ~$ On the word, see note on $\mu\langle\hat{a} \psi u \chi \hat{n}$, i. 27.

тò $\mathfrak{\ell l v}$. T̀ aúrò intensified; "being of one feeling." The article defines and so accentuates the idea suggested by $\epsilon ⺌ v$.
3. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} v \kappa \tau \lambda$. Note the brief energy of the verbless phrase, and also the absoluteness of the prohibition, which is binding on all Christian lives at all times.
 words here, "faction-wise," or "party-wise." But t $\rho \cdot 1 \theta \in i a$ would cover also the notion of an individual ambition, working by intrigue for merely personal ends.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\kappa} \kappa a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \in \mathbf{v} \delta 0 \xi i a v$. For the reading see critical note.
Tी़ी Tamelvoфpooívn. The dative may be rendered (as Vulg., A.V. and R.V.) "in," or somewhat better, "with." The definite article gives the noun a certain concreteness, which might almost be represented by "with your lowliness." But this would slightly exaggerate the effect. Taneєvoфpooúv $\eta$ is apparently not found in Greek before the N. T.; but $\tau a \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \neq \rho \rho \nu^{\prime} \omega$, ratє connexions where they denote pious bumility. In the classics rameavs (used of moral not physical subjects) and its compounds almost invariably carry a tone of blame, as of a defect of proper courage and self-assertion.-The good references of the words in Biblical Greek are deeply instructive. Revealed religion bases its mighty positive morality on the profound negative of the surrender and dethronement of self before a Redeeming Lord who bas had pity on perfectly unworthy objects. The world"s "poor spirited" and the Lord's "poor in spirit" are phrases of very different tones.

ท̀yov́nevol. Such participles, where the normal grammar would place imperatives, are frequent in N.T. See for a group of examples Rom. xii. 9-19.-This precept must be read in the light of the Holy Spirit's illumination of the individual conscience. Where the man habitually viewed himself in the contrasted glory of the Divine holiness he would respond instinatively to the call to rank himself as low as possible in the spiritual scale.
 "look" is the look of sympathy, kindly interest, self-forgetful ( $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ тd $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ co-operation. This short verse is a far-reaching lesson in Christian ethics.
 groups than of persons. We may almost render "each circle." If the Philippians tended to gather in cliques this phrase would have a special point.

5-11. The Appeal enforiced by the Supreme Example of the Saviour in Hif Incarnation, Obedience, and Exaltation.
6. Tầтo фроvєite. "Be this your mind," your "feeling." On the reading, see critical note. Practically, фpoveite and $\phi \rho o v e l \sigma \theta \omega$ give the same thought.

In the great passage which follows we have a suggestive example of Christian moral teaching. A simple element of daily duty is being enforced; and the inmost secrets of the Person and Work of Christ are used to enforce it; the spiritual and eternal, in deep continuity, descends into the practical. This process is characteristic of Christianity all through. To isolate Christian morality from Christian theology is to rend asunder the teaching of the New Testament as to its deepest aud most vital elements. See further Appendix E.
 supplied after these words. And what was His фpopqua, in that mysterious past, is such now and for ever; the Christian feels the power not only of his Lord's act of infinite kindness, but of His eternal character.
 though in view of His glory before Incarnation. But the Person who willed to come down and save us is identically the Person who did so save us. And also, what is decreed in the Eternal Mind is to It
 кббдои.
 note" to this chapter, traces the use of the word in Greek philosophy, in Philo (the link between the language of Scripture and of Plato), and in the N. T. The conclusion is that it denotes the "form" of a thing in the most ideal sense of form; its specific character, its correspondence with its true notion. Visible shew may or may not enter into it; for invisibles have their $\mu \circ \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$, to pure thought. 'I'he $\mu \circ \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$ $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{u}$ is thus in fact His Nature "seen" in its attributes; and to be "in" it is to be invested with them. See Lightfoot as quoted, and Trench Syn. of N. T., under $\mu \circ \rho \phi \eta$.
ísápx $\omega v$. R.V. text, "being," margin, "originally being"; but the American Revisers expressly omit the margin (and give "existing" in the text). " $\gamma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho_{\mathcal{X} \epsilon \nu}$ in the classics, meaning first "to begin" (doing or being), then comes to mean "to be there," "to be ready"; e.g. when the Athenians equipped a fleet against the Persians, they
had to build some ships, but some ürnpरò aúroîct (Hdt. vii. 144). Thence apparently the word came to mean simply "to be," though the use was not common. In Biblical Greek the use fluctuates between a mere equivalence to eivac and the distinct suggestion of a being already; as Acts vii. 55, 升 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta \eta \rho \eta$ s $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau o s: ~ v i i i . ~ 16, ~$ $\beta \in \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0<\dot{\nu} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \chi o \nu$. In this passage the context decidedly favours this latter meaning. For though some expositors have referred the whole statement to our Lord's incarnate state, as if it viewed Him as e.g. resolving when on earth to decline a majesty and dominion which He might have exerted, while yet He shewed Himself at least God-like in His deeds, this is impossible when the context is fairly remembered. For it is plainly implied (ver. 7) that His voluntary humiliation included His becoming ooùnos and taking $\dot{\delta} \mu o i \omega \mu \alpha \dot{d} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega}$ $\pi \omega \nu$. So the will to humble Himself was antecedent to that condition, and so to Incarnation. Thus the tendency of $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \in L \mathcal{\nu}$ to indicate being already, or beforehand, has legitimate scope here, and an impressive fitness.

Here then our Redeeming Lord is revealed as so "antecedently being in the form of God" that He was, before He stooped to our life, nothing less than Bearer of Divine Attributes, that is to say, GoD. "I'hough $\mu o \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$ is not the same as oujta, yet the possession of the $\mu$ op $\phi \dot{\eta}$ involves participation in the ovjla also; for $\mu$ орфウ implies not the external accidents but the essential attributes" (Lightfoot).
 once (Lightfoot) in secular Greek (Plutarch, Mor. p. 12a). Words ending in - $\mu$ os properly suggest an act or process; in this case, therefore, a "seizing," or "robbery." But in usage they readily get the meaning of the matter or aim of the act; e.g. $\theta \in \sigma \mu b s$, properly "a setting," is by usage "a thing set," "a statute." "Apтayubs may therefore be an equivalent here to ápтay $\rho a$, a thing seized, or grasped,
 uncommon in later Greek, in the sense of "highly prizing," "wel-
 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a r o$ here gives a sense perfectly fitting the context: "Possessed of the Divine Attributes, He did not treat His co-equality as a prize, to be held only for Himself, but rather made it occasion for an intinite act of self-sacrifice for others." Such on the whole is the explanation given by the Greek fathers and by some of the ablest Latins (see Lightfoot's "detached note" on dip $\quad$ a $\mu \mu$ 's). On the other hand some Latins, and St Augustine in particular, give a different turn to the thought, which appears in our A.V. Taking the Latin rendering, non rapinam arbitratus est, they made the meaning to be that the Lord Christ claimed co-equality, as not a usurpation but a right, and yet humbled Himself. To this the objection is that (a) it lays a needless stress on the derivation of $\dot{a}_{\rho \pi a \gamma \mu \dot{\prime} s \text {, for by usage it (or its equivalent }}$ ä $\rho \pi а \gamma \mu a)$ need not mean more than a prize or treasure; (b) it makes $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{d}$ equal to $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \delta \mu \omega s$, which is forced Greek; (c) most of all, it dislocates text and context. St Paul is emphasizing not mainly our Lord's majesty but His self-sacrificing mercy. His majesty is sufficiently (for the purpose) given in the words $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \mu o \rho \phi \hat{v} \theta \in o \hat{v} \dot{v} \pi \dot{d} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ :
the point now is that He made an infinitely generous use of His majesty. This is exactly given, and at the right point, by oix $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma \mu \partial \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., explained as, "He treated it not as a treasure for Himself but as something to lay aside (in a sense) for us."

An intermediate explanation, by St Chrysostom, gives the thought somewhat thus: "He knew that Deity was so truly His by right that He laid it (in a sense) aside, with the generous grace of the rightful owner (who knows he is owner all along), instead of clasping it with the tenacity of the usurper." To this Lightfoot objects, with apparent reason, that "it understands too much, requiring links to be supplied which the connexion does not suggest."
R.V. renders д̀ $\rho \pi a \gamma \mu \partial \nu$ " a prize," and (margin) "Gr., a thing to be grasped"; Ellicott, "a thing to be seized on, or grasped at"; Liddell and Scott, "a matter of robbery."
rò clvar tora $\quad \theta \in \hat{\varphi}$. Not troos. The neuter plural perhaps suggests a reference rather to equality of attributes than of Person (Lightfoot). R.V. "to be on an equality with God."

Let us remember that these words occur not in a polytheistic reverie but in the Holy Scriptures, which are everywhere jealous for the prerogative of the Lord God; and they come from the pen of a man whose Pharisaic monotheism sympathized with that jealousy to the utmost. May it not then be asked how, in any way other than direct assertion, as in Joh. i. 1, the true and proper Deity of Christ could be more plainly stated?

On the use of the word $\theta \epsilon$ bs here, distinctively of the Father, see note above on i. 2. And cp. Joh. i. 1; 2 Cor. xiii. 14; Heb. i. 9; Rev. xx. 6, xxii. 1.
7. ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \alpha$. "But"; not "yet," which would require $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \mu \omega$. (See note on $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma \mu \delta \nu$ above.) The word introduces the infinitely gracious action of the Saviour as not what He would have done had He "thought His Equality a prize." See Ellicott's careful note here.
 a stress on the sacred freedom of the Lord's will.
R.V. "emptied himself"; Vulg. semetipsum exinanivit, following which the Rhemish (Romanist) Version, 1582, renders, "exinanited Himself"; Wyclif, "lowide him silf."

From the verb, the noun $\kappa \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \sigma$ cs has passed into theology, appearing here and there in the Fathers (e.g. Cyril. Alex., dial. V. de SS.
 $\chi \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu)$, and in many modern treatises. Of late years much has been said on this great mystery by way of proving or suggesting that "in the days of His Flesh" (Heb. v. 7) our Lord (practically) parted with His Deity, and became the (Incarnate) Son of God only in His glorification after death. In particular it is suggested that He accepted all the limits and defects of humanity as it is in us, moral defects excepted (and this exception is not always adequately made); and so was liable not only to hanger, fatigue, and agitation, but also to mistakes about fact, even in so great a matter as the nature of the O. T. Scriptures. On such inferences it must be enough here (see
further Appendix G.) to say first that they can be connected only remotely with this passage, which practically explains the képoats to mean His becoming the truly Human Bondservant of the Father; and then that they are little in harmony with the whole tone of the Gospels, which present to us the Lord Jesus on earth as "meek and lowly" indeed, but always mysteriously majestic; dependent indeed on the Father, and upheld by the Spirit, but always addressing man with the manner. of absolute knowledge and of sovereign power to meet his needs.

It is enough for us to know that this $\kappa \in \nu \omega \sigma$ cs was for him unspeakably real; that He was pleased, as to His holy Manhood, to "live by the Spirit," as we are to do; yet that the inalienable basis of His Personality was always, eternally, presently, Divine. The ultimate and reasoned analysis of that unique Phenomenon, God and Man, One Christ, is Hrs matter, not ours. It is for us to accept Him in its good and certain results, at once our Brother and our God. Lightfoot says here nearly all that can be said with reverent confidence: "'He divested Himself' not of His Divine nature, for this was impossible, but of the glories, the prerogatives, of Deity. This He did by taking upon Him the form of a servant."
 (Himself) in taking'; not as if there were two acts, but two aspects of one act. The $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ lay in the $\lambda a \beta \varepsilon \hat{\imath} \bar{v}$, not in something before it, or after it.

$\mu o \rho \phi \eta \dot{\eta}$ סovidou. On $\mu o \rho \phi \eta \eta^{\prime}$ see note on ver. 6 above. It points to an essential and manifested reality, not to a mere semblance or make-believe. As He was $\theta$ ebs, essentially and in manifestation, so He became doûdos essentially and in manifestation. And in what respect $\delta o u \lambda_{0}$ ? In that He stooped to serve men? Or in that He undertook, in the act of becoming Man, that essential condition of humanity-bondservice to God? The order of thought is in favour of the latter. The Apostle goes on to say that His taking $\mu \circ \rho \phi \eta_{\nu} \nu$ doúdov was coincident with His coming to be $\dot{z} \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, " just like men." But men as men are not each others $\delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda o t$, while they are, as men, $\delta o \bar{\lambda} \lambda o c \theta \epsilon o \bar{v}$. To God, as Lord of Man, the Incarnate Christ $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta o u ́ \lambda e v a \epsilon$, and was in this, as in all things, the Archetype of His disciples.

True, He made Himself the Helper of all. And on one occasion (Joh. xiii.) He literally took a menial's place; a fact to which Chrysostom here alludes. But at that very moment He took care to assert Himself Kúpos all the while. Literal "slavery" to man He certainly never accepted; royally descended, working as a free artificer, and speaking always with authority.
 was really like men, as He was truly man; accepting a truly human exterior, with its liabilities to trial and suffering; (b) He was also more than men, without which fact there would be no significance in the $\delta \mu o i \omega \mu \mu$, for there would be simple identity, See Rom. viii. 3, for
 tias.
$\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \omega \nu$, not ${ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma u$. The thought given is as concrete as possible; He was like, not abstract Man, but men as we see men.
 nected, like $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ just before, with the aorist $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \bar{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon$. These aspects of the Humiliation are given as coincident.
8. kal. Here another movement of thought begins. We have seen the $\kappa \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ of simple Incarnation. We now pass to the Sacrifice to which, in Manhood, He descended.

бхๆ́мать. Habitu, Lat. Versions. $\Sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ indicates appearance, with or without underlying reality; and thus is a partial antithesis to $\mu 0 \rho \phi \eta^{\prime}$ (see first note on ver. 6 above, and cp. Rom, xii. 1). In itself it neither affirms nor denies reality; it emphasizes appearance. Thus here it carries out the suggestion just given by j $\mu \mathrm{o} i \omega \mu \mathrm{a}$. The Lord was (a) man not only in nature but in look, patent to all; and He was (b) more than met the eye: the true and manifest Manhood was the veil of Godhead.

The dative ( $\sigma \times \mathfrak{\eta} \mu a \tau c$ ) is the not infrequent dative of relation, connexion; cp. 1 Cor. vii. 34 , $\dot{a} \gamma^{\prime} a \quad \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota ~ к а l ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{u ́ \mu a t e, ~ a n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~}$

єùpeftls. He was "found," as one who presented Himself for scrutiny. Eijigke in Biblical Greek somewhat tends to less distinctive meanings; e.g. Luke ix. 36, єúp $\epsilon \theta \eta$ ' 'I $\eta \sigma o$ ôs $\mu o ́ v o s$, where in effect we have Him simply "seen alone." But the thought of inspection, examination, is suggested by association here.

凶́s ävepartos. Either, "as man," or (A.V., R.V.)"as a man." As the Second Man, Head of redeemed Manhood, He is rather Man than a man. Yet we may remember that the point of thought here is not on His difference from His brethren but on His likeness to them; He moved among them, in fact, as "a man." So, with wonderful condescension, H.e calls Himself (the rendering must obviously be thus there) " a man that hath told you the truth" (Joh. viii. 40).
 His Father, in the life of surrender which led to the supreme surrender of the Cross. The following context seems to point the reference in this direction.
 aorist verb (Ė and of obedience ; the "hamiliation" coincided with, was expressed in, the "becoming obedient" to the Father's will that He should suffer.

笑pp Gavárov. "To the length of death." "Even unto death," R.V. Usque ad mortem, Lat. Versions. The A.V., "obedient, unto death," might seem to mean that He "obeyed death." This He never did; He obeyed His Father in dying, in order to "abolish death" ( 2 Tim. $\mathfrak{i}, 10$ ); dying as our Sacrifice, to meet the кatápa toû vóuou
(Gal. iii. 13), by the holy will (Acts ii. 23) of the Lawgiver. Thus He carried His life-long "Patience" "to the length of" His "Passion," seeking not His own will, but the will of the Father in our salvation.
 only death, but death of cross."-The Cross (infelix arbor) was the death not only of extreme agony but of the utmost degradation; to the Roman, certainly in all but the earliest ages of Rome, it was reserved for the slave and for the basest ruftian. Mors si proponitur, in libertate moriamur...nomen ipsum crucis absit non modo a corpore civium Romanorum, sed ctiam a cogitatione, oculis, auribus (Cioero, pro C. Rabirio, v. § 10). In the case of our Redeemer's Crucifixion, we see combined the Hebrew's dread of any death-penalty by suspension (Deut. xxi. 23) with the Roman's horror of the servile cross. Thus the supreme Obedience expressed the Sufferer's willinguess both to "become a curse for us" (Gal. iii. 13) as before God the Lawgiver, and to be "despised and rejected of men" (Isai. liii. 3) as "the outoast of the people." "Who shall fathom the abyss Where Thou plungedst for our love?"
9. $\mathbf{\delta c}$. The glorification of the crucified Christ Jesus was, from the view-point of this passage, the Father's reward for His supreme "regard for the things of others"; His "pleasing not Himself" (Rom. xv. 2). The application intended is that self-forgetting love, for the disciple as for his Lord, is the way to the true exaltation of his being.
intepíభwatv. The verb occurs only here in N. T.-St Paul loves

 in Biblical Greek. - Render here, "highly exalted," rather than "hath highly exalted." The aorist refers to the historical moment of the Resurrection crowned by the Ascension. For the action of the Eternal Father in the exaltation, cp. e.g. Acts ii. 23, 24, 32, 33, 36 ; Eph. i. 20-22.
Exaploato. "Bestowed," as a gift of supreme and rejoicing love.
rò oैvopa. For the reading, see critical note. Whether or not ti is omitted, we must render "the name which," in view of the to $\dot{\delta \pi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$. next following.

What is this "Name bestowed"? Is it (a) the sacred personal name 'I $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ oûs? (Alford, Ellicott). Or is it (b) "Name" in the sense of revealed majesty and glory (Lightfoot), as where the Lond proclaims His "Name" to Moses, Exod. xxxiv. 5? The dificulty of (a) is that the personal human name was of course distinctively His before His glorification, and is as a fact less used in Scripture after the Gospel narrative is closed; so that there would be a paradox in the thought of a "bestowal" of it on the glorified Christ. True, its then elevation to the highest associations, in the love and worship of the saints, was as it were a giving of the name as a new name; yet this haydly satisfies the intensity of the Apostle's assertion here.

In favour of (b) are the clear cases in the N. T. of the use of ${ }^{6} \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \mu \alpha$ to denote recognized dignity or glory; e.g. Eph. i. 21. And the true explanation seems to lie in this direction. "The Name bestowed" is the supreme Nume, Kúplos (see ver. 11 below), Jehovar. In other words the suffering Jesus was, as the once abased and slain sufferer, now raised to the eternal Throne; recognized there by the universe as He who, for mau, and for the Father's will, chose in His preexistent glory to stoop even to the Cross. As God and Man, one Christ, as at once the co-equal Son and the sacrificed Lamb, He there receives the worship which belongs to the Eternal; 'Inaous is saluted Kúpos, in the supreme sense of that "Name."

On St Paul's view of the unique exaltation of the Lord in comparison with every created being, see Liddon, quoted below, Appendix H .
 dative. If dative, we must render "in the name Jesus." But if the note just previous reasons rightly, we must choose the genitive; "the name of Jesus," the Name borne by Jesus; the Divine Name, Kiplos, proclaimed as the true name of the once humiliated Jesus. So Lightfoot ; and so A.V., R.V.

What is the meaning of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \nu \dot{\rho} \mu a \tau_{c} \kappa \tau \lambda$.? That all creation is to bow to Him thus glorified? Or that all creation should worship through Him (cp. e.g. aiteìv è̀ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ dóvó $\mu a \tau \ell(\mu o v$, Joh. xiv. 13)? The context seems to decide for the former; dealing as it does not with His mediation but with His personal glorification. So Lightfoot; and he gives examples in evidence from the LXX.; e.g. Psal. 1xii. 5
 paraphrase here, "that before the revealed majesty of the glorified Jesus all ereation should bow."

The ancient custom of bowing at the utterance of the Name Jesus (see Canon xviii. of the Church of England) derives no direct sanction from this passage.

тâv үóvv ка́ $\mu \psi \psi_{\eta}$. An implicit quotation of Isai. xlv. 24, é $\mu o l$ $\kappa \alpha \mu \psi \epsilon c \pi \hat{a} \nu \gamma \delta \nu \nu$. The prophet (see the whole context) speaks there in the name of the Eternal Himself; thus we have here a profoundly significant index of St Paul's view of the Nature of the Lord Jesus Christ.

Observe further that in Isai. xlv. 21 we have the words, "a just
 $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ ), and in ver. 25 occur the words, "all the seed of Israel shall be

 the Apostle of Justification thus specially led to the passage as relating to the Son of God and His work?-The same place in Isaiah is directly quoted Rom. xiv. 11.
 mean all created existence, in its heights and depths. Cp. Rev. v. 13,
 whose whole context, full of the enthronement of the Lamb, is a

Divine commentary here. We need not elaborately divide the reference here, e.g. between angels, living men, buried men (Alford), or angels, men, and lost spirits (Chrysostom). Rather we have Creation in its total before us, animate and inanimate existence alike; the nonpersonal and unconscions creation being said to " worship," as obeying, after its manner, the lordship of the exalted Jesus.

 $\lambda о \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha u \pi a ̂ \sigma a \gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma a \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$.
 almost resigned its meaning of "open avowal" to take that of praise and thanksgiving. (It is used thus, Matt. xi. 25; Luke x. 21; ${ }^{\mathbf{k}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-$入оүоचрal бol, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$.) So "every tongue" is to "give thanks for His great glory" to the exalted Jesus.

It may be asked, how shall this be fulfilled in the case of the lost,
 here at all (see note on Eph. i. 10); or their mysterious state may admit, beyond our knowledge, such a recognition that even it is the ordinance of "supremest wisdom and primeval love," manifested in Jesus Christ, as shall give them a part in the adoration indicated here.

кúpıos "I $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma$ oûs X рьттòs. Cp. 1 Cor. xii. 3, where the "Lordship" is seen to be knowable only by Divine revelation. He who took "the form of a bondservant, and became obedient," even so as to die on the cross, is now seen and worshipped as "God, whose throne is for ever" (Heb. i. 8), while yet He is "Christ Jesus, Man" (1 Tim. ii. 5).

It is observable that the Valentinian heretics (cent. ii.), according to their contemporary Irenæus, ascribed to Jesus the title Saviour but denied Him that of Lord.

Assuming кúpoos here to represent Jehovaf (יהוה), it is important to
 Isai. vi. 5, the place reforred to by the Apostle, "Mine eyes have seen the King, the Lord of hosts," יִוֹה צְבָה
 tion, as He is the eternal Origin of the eternal Godhead of the Son. Cp. Joh. v. 23, xvii. 1; 1 Pet. i. 21; for this profound relation between the glory of the Son and the glory of the Father. But no isolated references can properly represent a subject so deeply woven into the very texture of the Gospel.

In the light of the revealed trath of His Nature, summarized with luminous fulness in the "Nicene" Creed, we see the Christ of God as at once divinely adorable in Himself and the true Medium for our adoration of the Father.

[^10]St Chrysostom has a noble comment here, shewing how the attribution of proper Godhead to the Son can only enhance the Father's



 aùrov, $\pi \lambda i \hat{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \hat{0} \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a$ єtrat (Hom. vir. in Philipp. c. 4).

Thus closes a passage of the Epistle in which, in the course of practical exhortation, the cardinal truth of the true Godhead and true Manhood of Christ, and the greatness of His Example, are presented all the more forcibly because incidentally. 'Ihe duty of self-sacrificing mutual love is enforced by considerations on His condescension which are meaningless if He is not pre-existent and Divine, and if the reality of His Manhood does not thus involve a supreme instance of unforced self-abasement for the good of others. All merely humanitarian views of His Person and Work, however refined, are totally at variance with this apostolic passage, written within fresh living memory of His life and death.

A striking commentary on the passage is afforded by the hymn (by the late Prof. Anstice) Thou the cup of death didst drain (Lord Selborne's Book of Praise, Appendix, no. 11).

12-18. Infenences from the Foregoing Passages: the Great. ness of the Methode of Salvation: the conseqdent Call to a Liffereverent, self-forgetyul, fruitful, fatthfol, joyfol.
12. "תorc. He has now pressed on them the duty and blessing of self-forgetting love, above all by this supreme Example. Here this is still in view, but subordinately; he is possessed by the thought of "so great salvation," and through this views the obligation and joy of Christian humility and harmony.
áyatクroí $\mu$ оu. So again iv. 1. Cp. 1 Cor. x. 14, xv. 58; 2 Cor. vii. 1, xii. 19; where this tender term similarly goes with earnest practical appeals.
 looks back to Philippi and the old days there. Let these be like those.
$\mu \dot{\eta}$. Not ovं : it is not a statement but an appeal; they are to "work out their salvation" not only when he is there to help them, but now when he is away.
 point of view; "influenced by my presence with you." Movop is as if to say, "My presence was good for you in its time, but your 'working out' was never to end with it." "The sentence is a fusion of two


$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\mu} \lambda \lambda$ ov. His absence was to be the occasion for a far fuller realization of their own personal obligations, and personal resources in Christ, for the spiritual life.
 is not of tormenting misgiving about either present peace with God or final perseverance; it is of a reverent and wakeful conscience in His holy presence.
 He appeals to them to "learn to walk alone"-alone not of the Lord, but of Paul; not leaning too much on his present influence. "Do not make me your proxy in spiritual duties which are your own."

E $\omega \tau$ pila here is our whole "saving" from evil, in union with Christ. This the Christian катерүd́geтal (cp. 2 Cor. iv. 17, an instructive
 $\delta \delta \xi \eta s$ ) in the sense of his watchfully applying, and as it were developing, in temptation and duty, the free Divine gift of peace and strength in Christ. "In this way of diligence we receive daily more and more of 'salvation' itself, by liberty from sin, victory over it, peace and communion with God, and the earnests of heavenly felicity " (T. Scott).

There is no contradiction here to the profound and radiant truth of Justification by Faith only. It is an instance of independent lines of truth converging on one goal. From one point of view, that of justifying merit, man is accepted and finally glorified (Rom. viii. 30) because of Christ's work alone, applied to him through faith alone. From another point, that of qualifying capacity, man is glorified as the issue of a work of training, in which he in a true sense has his operating part, though God (see next verse) is the secret of even this operation.
13. Efòs yáp. Here is the reason both for "fear and trembling" and for the assurance that their Apostle's absence " leaves them not comfortless": they are indwelt by the eternal Holy One and Loving One Himself; let that fact at once awe them and give them a calm confidence.
 meaning, and is used habitually in N.T. of spiritual forces. Cp. Matt.

 èveprєitcu. Here it is supremely appropriate therefore.

The In-dwelling and In-working of God in His saints is a main doctrine of the Gospel. The manner is perfectly mysterious; the faot is certain. By the Holy Spirit, Christ is "in" the disciple (2 Cor. xiii. 5) ; and "in Christ dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead" (Col. ii. 9). See further Eph. iii. 17. In the light of a passage like this we read the deep truth that the "grace" which is in the Christian is not merely an emitted influence from above; it is the living Lord Himself, present and operative at the "first springs of thought and will."
 (spiritual) working." Here, though in passing, we touch one of the deepest mysteries of grace. On the one hand is the Ohristian's
will, real, personal, and powerfully appealed to as such. On the other hand, beneath it, as cause is beneath result, is the will and work of God; God Himself the hidden secret of the right action of the true human will. Let us recognize with equal reverence and simplicity both these great parallels of truth. "With fear and trembling" let us remember human responsibility; with deep submission let us adore the ways of grace, attributing ultimately to God alone every link in the chain of actual salvation.
 sovereign and gracious will. The Christian, enabled by the Divine power within to will and to do, wills and does, not for himself, but for Him whose implement he is.
14. пávia тоиєitє ктл. He carries now into detail the general principle of holiness in the power of the Divine In-dweller, holding still in view the unselfish love for which he pleaded above (ii. 1-4). Observe the characteristic totality of the precept, the $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha$.



 bates" are not as towards God, but as towards one another; expressions of personal or connexional alienation and prejudice. So we gather from the direction of the appeal above, ii. 1-4, and below, iv. 2, 3; and other places in the Epistle. Such things were to die in the air of the love and presence of God in Christ.
 more fally what Christians should be. On the reading, see critical note.
" $\mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau 0$. "Except concerning the law of their God" (Dan. vi. 5).
diképaco. Literally, "unmingled" ( $\kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu \nu \mu c)$; pure in purpose, guileless. The rendering " harmless" seems to assume a derivation from $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$, which cannot be sustained; as if it meant what would not push or strike. See Trench, Synonyms, s.v.

The word occurs elsewhere in N. T. only Matt. x. 16; Rom. xvi. 19. It is classical. See e.g. Euripides, Orest. 922, where a disinterested citizen is described as àкєिpaos, $\alpha_{\nu \in \pi i \lambda \eta \pi \tau o \nu}^{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s \beta i o \nu$.

 $\mu \dot{e} v a$ : Rom. viii. 16 (of the witness of the Spivit with our spirit), 17,

 the born child, shewing the family likeness; the thought in point here.

As a rule, Scripture uses the words "Frther," "son,"" child," as between God and man, to mark the connexion not of creation but of new ercation; as here.
$\ddot{a}_{\mu} \mu \mu \mathrm{c}$. On the reading, see critical note. The word (from $\mu \hat{\omega} \mu \rho s$, blame, connected with $\mu \epsilon(\mu$-фo $\mu \iota$ ) occurs in Eph. i. 4, v. 27; Col. i. 22 (besides a few other N.T. places)-passages in the same group of Epistles. The Philippians were to become blamelessly true to their character as God's children.

The LXX. rendering of Deut. xxxii. 5 was here in the Apostle's
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$. The "true Israelites" of Philippi were to be the antithesis of the ancient rebels.
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. On the reading, see critical note. The words of Moses (see last note) are still in his mind; but "the crooked and distorted generation" are now not the Lord's Israel in rebellion, but the unsubdued outside world. "Amidst" that world, not in selfish or timorous isolation from surrounding life, the saints were to walk; in it, not of it (Joh. xvii. 15) ; a visible contrast, and an attracting power. The Gospel gives no real sanction to the anchorite theory of holiness.
iv ois. The $\gamma \in \nu e d$ is viewed as in its individual members (ots).
$\phi \quad(\boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon . \quad$ "Ye appear," rather, pernaps, than "ye shine" (for which фal $\mu, \nu$ is the somewhat commoner word); though "there is very little difference between 'appear' and 'shine' here" (Alford).Фaivecial is used of the rising and setting of the stars, as in the famous place, Il. viII. 556:
фаірєт' $\dot{\alpha} \iota \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \neq$.

Hence $\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{2} \phi a t \nu \delta \mu e \nu a$, the title of one of Aratus' astronomical poems (eent. iii. в.c.). Perhaps such a speciality of meaning is traceable here; the saints, in the beautiful light of holiness, rise star-like on the night of surrounding sin.
$\phi \omega \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \epsilon 5 . L u m i n a r i a, ~ V u l g$. See last note. The word occurs in the Greek of e.g. Gen. i. 14, 16, $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu \phi \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$
 occurs only here and (apparently in the very rare sense of "radiance") in Rev. xxi. 11.

He who is "the Light of the World" (Joh. viii. 12), "the Sun of Righteousness" (Mal. iv. 2), "the Morning Star" (Rev. xxii. 16), can make His servants reflect and in that sense repeat Fimself. Cp. Isai. lx. 1; Matt. v. 14; Eph. v. 8.
 life in Christ. So the Saviour's teachings are $\dot{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \tau a \mathfrak{\zeta} \omega \hat{\eta} s$ alwviov, Joh. vi. 68, and the message of Fis grace is $\lambda$ oyos $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s, 1$ Joh. i. 1 (see Westeott in loco against a reference there to the Personal Logos).


èméxovits. "Holding forth" for notice and acceptance. So Homer, od. xyi. 443:


He drops the metaphor of the luminary, and thinks of the banquet and its provision. 'ETe $\chi$ civ occurs in some other N.T. passages, but in the sense of giving attention, or (Acts xix. 22) of lingering.-On the phrase $\lambda 6$ yov $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \in \omega$ see Appendix I.
els кaúx $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a}$ épol. ' $\mathrm{E} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ is slightly emphatio; he thankfolly claims his part in their work and its fruits, as he had brought the light to them.-For the thought of such кaix $\eta \mu \alpha$ cp. 1 Thess. ii. 19, $\tau i s \dot{\jmath} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ mapovala aúroũ; There as here he looks forward to a personal recognition of his converts at the Lord's Coming, and to a special joy over them.

ধis $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ fépar Xpıorov̂. "Unto the day," in view of it. He anticipates the "exultation" to be actually felt $\epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \rho \underline{X} \rho \omega \sigma \tau o v$.
els kevòv. A phrase exclusively Pauline in N.T. See 2 Cor. vi. 1,
 1 Thess. iii. 5 , $\epsilon$ ls кєขò ...ко́тоs.
 retrospect from "the day of Christ," and sees the present race and present toil summed up into recolleetions. For such an aorist ep.


On the metaphor of $\delta \delta \rho a \mu o \nu$, a favourite one with St Paul, giving the thought of both the energy and the goal of life, cp. e.g. Acts xx. 24, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \tau \delta \nu \quad \hat{\delta} \rho \sigma \mu, \nu \mu 0 v$ : Gal. ii. 2, $\mu \eta \pi \omega s \in i s k \epsilon \nu \partial \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \chi \omega \hat{\eta}$ єє $\delta \rho a \mu o \nu: 2$ Tim. iv. 7, тò̀ $\delta \rho \sigma \mu о \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa a$ : and see 1 Cor. ix. 24, 26 ; Rom. ix. 16; Heb. xii. 1.

Lightfoot thinks that in Exomiara we have a probable allusion to the training of the athletic runner; he finds $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon, \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa о \pi i a$, in a comnexion suggestive of this (Anthol. III. p. 166). He quotes (as a possible echo of St Paul here) Ignatius (ad Polyc. c. 6) $\sigma v \nu r p \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\sigma v \gamma к о \pi \iota a ̈ \epsilon$. On St Paul's athletic metaphors see Appendix L.
17. 'A $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ кl кai. He takes up the thought suggested by $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa о \pi l a \sigma a$, as if to say, "Toil it is indeed; but it is glad, ungrudging toil; if it involves my shedding my blood for you, it will be only joy to me." "Meanwhile" may thus represent $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$.

[^11]The Vulg. here has immolor, and the lexicographer Hesychius (cent. iv.) explains $\sigma$ tévסoцaı here by $\theta v \sigma t a j o \mu a \iota$. But the imagery is certainly more precise than this allows.
eml $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Ouocq. "On," as a libation is shed on the altar. He views the Philippians, in their character of consecrated believers (cp. Rom. xii. 1), as a holocaust to God; and upon that sacrifice the drinkoffering, the outpoured wine, is his own life-blood, his martyrdom for the Gospel which he has preached to them. Cp. Num. xy. 5 for the
 foot thinks that a reference to pagan libations is more likely in a letter to a Gentile mission; but surely St Paul familiarized all his converts with O.T. symbolism; and his own mind was of course full of it.
 ritual" were "of" their faith because vitally connected with it. In faith they were self-surrendered to their Saviour; so they were themselves "a living sacrifice," and their lives were a sacerdotal ordinance. Cp. Rom. xv. 16 (with note in the Cambridge Bible for Schools) for an instructive parallel. There the $\varepsilon \theta \nu \eta$ are the $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi o \rho d$, and the evar$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{e}$ dıoy is the matter on which the iepoupyia is exercised. Here the Philippians are both sacrifice and priests, while Paul is their libation.

These are the only two passages where the Apostle connects the language of sacerdotalism with the distinctive work of the Christian ministry; and both passages have the tone of figure and, so to speak, poetry.

Xalpo. With the deep joy of love in sell-sacrifice.
ouvxaip $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota v \hat{v}_{\mu} \hat{i} v$. Again the warm and significant "you all."
इuvxaipelv can mean "to congratulate"; so Plutarch, Mor. 231 в (quoted by Lightfoot), $\sigma u \nu \chi a i \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon$, in a context which leaves no donbt of the meaning. This meaning is in point here. Dying for them, his last thought would be congratulation on their faith and obedience.

The Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians, at the beginning, has $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi \alpha ́ \rho \eta \eta \dot{\mu} \mu i \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega s$ è $\bar{K} v \rho i \varphi$, words which may be an echo of these.
18. tò $8 \mathbf{\varepsilon}$ avird. "In the same manner" (R.V.). So Matt. xxvii.
 ajं 6 ] defines rather the character than the object of the action" (Lightfoot).
Xalpєтє кal $\sigma v v \chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \propto$. Gaudete et congratulamini mihi, Vulg. The Greek leaves us free to explain it as either imperative (as Vulg.) or indicative. If the latter is chosen, it is little else than the im. perative in disguise; he assumes their joy and congratulation in order to enjoin it. Lightfoot quotes from Plutarch (Mor. p. 347 c) the
 there is probably indicative.

He bids them share his martyr-joy, as partners of the inartyrspirit.

19-30. He propobes soon to send Timothedes: he sends witfout delar Epaphioditus.
 hopes soon to take a practical step to obtain fuller information about the Philippians. He refers back to the words $\grave{e} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ átovala $\mu o v$, ver. 12.


кaju. As well as you; he assumes their good courage, and with noble modesty speaks as one who needs it to kindle his own.
cú $\psi v \chi^{\hat{\omega}}$. "Be of good heart." The verb is very rare in Greek; cíquxia, eṽ $\psi u \chi o s$, are not so. For the meaning see e.g. Eurip. Med.

20. $\quad$ àp. He gives his reason for sending Timothy. There was no one like him in natural fitness for this task.
 to Timotheus (Lightfoot) ; no other delegate would have such qualifications of unselfish sympathy with Philippi. "The word lob $\psi v \chi$ os is extremely rare. It oceurs in ※sch. Agam. 1470 (1446)" (Lightfoot); $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau о s i \sigma \delta \psi . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, i.e. "a strength of soul, shewn by women, equal" to that of men. The word occurs elsewhere in the Greek Scriptures only Psal. liv. 13 (Heb., lv. 14, "It was thou, mine equal"), as a rendering of the Hebrew " after my scale, or standard," 'כערכ.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$. "Genuinely"; with quite unaffected devotion.
$\mu \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{\epsilon}$. "Shall take" anxious thought." Mép $\rho \mu \nu a$ and its verb are commonly connected with $\mu \in \rho i j \omega$, and explained of the divisions in the anxious mind. More recently a connexion has been advocated with " a root meaning to be thoughtful, and akin to $\mu$ áprus, memor, \&c." (Grimm, ed. Thayer, s.v.). Usage anywise leaves the meaning of anxiety unmistakable.-See the verb again below, iv. 6, and the note there. The two passages are not discordant. Timothy's $\mu$ épluva here would be intense thought for others (so 1 Cor. vii. 32,34 , xii. 2,5 ; 2 Cor. xi. 28). The $\mu \epsilon \rho \rho \mu \nu a$ forbidden there would be, in effect, the failure to pass on our burthens to the Lord for His care and aid. This is the ordinary reference of the word in N.T.
21. oi mávecs. Slightly more definite than $\pi d \mu \tau \epsilon s$ : it is the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ in question; "all of them."

тà éautêv. Their own ease or safety, or their personal preferences in toil or duty.
oú $\tau$ à X . 'I. The whole verse indicates some bitter disappointments felt by St Taul; Demas ( 2 Tim. iv. 10) had his precursors. Still we must not understand St Panl to condemn these disciples without reserve; like Mark (Acts xiii. 13) they may have been true men found off their guard. And again common sense bids us explain the ráv $\quad$ es with cantion. He must mean not simply all the Christians around
him, many of whom would not be free agents for this mission; it must be all who could have gone if they would.

Let us not fail to remember that to the true disciple in his true condition $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{\imath}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ are, as such, the supreme interest.
22. Trìv סokıนウ̀v. "The test" through which he passed, before your eyes, when we were both at Philippi.-Or perhaps $\delta$ onc $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ here means the result of the test, "proved fitness." In Greek, as in English, abstract nouns are constantly passing from "process" to "result" (e.g. oiкобон ${ }^{\prime}$ ), and becoming more concrete.
©́s $\pi a \tau \rho i$ тékvov. "As child with father"; supplying $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ in idea from the next words. Observe $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \nu$, the tender word, of the born child; see on ver. 15 above. For St Paul's fatherly love for Timothy see 2 Tim. i. 2, and that whole Epistle.
oviv $\mathfrak{c} \mu \mathrm{ol}$. Slightly emphatio by position; as if to say, you saw his devotion of course, for it was shewn in connexion with me, your own Apostle.
t6oúdeuनev. "He did bondservice"; almost, "he slaved." The zorist gathers up Timothy's toil at Philippi into one thought. This is better than to render it, "He entered on bondservice"; for the reference is plainly not to his first Christian work, but to his labours at Philippi.
cis tò $\epsilon$ vay ${ }^{\text {entov. Well paraphrased by R.V., "in furtherance of }}$ the gospel." See note on i. 5 above. For $\epsilon^{\dot{\prime} a \gamma \gamma^{e} \lambda \iota o \nu}$ in the sense of missionary work, see below, iv. 3.
23. тoûtov $\mu$ èv oûv. "So him," with a slight emphasis; he is about to speak of others too, himself and Epaphroditus.



ad $\delta \delta \omega$. "Get a view of," as from a point of observation. Cp.
 see above, introductory notes to ch. ii.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ द́ $\mu \dot{k} . \quad$ "My circumstances," " my position."
24. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{mot} \theta \mathrm{a}$. See above on $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$, i. 6 ; and cp . on oi $\delta \mathrm{a}$, i. 25.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ кvple. See above, on i. 8.
$\tau a x \epsilon \omega s$. The word is elastic; it may refer to weeks or to months. What he is "sure of" is that he will follow promptly in Timothy's track.-Lightfoat compares the closely parallel language of 1 Cor. iv.


25. 'Avaykaiov 8é. As against the less obligatory call for Timothy's journey. There was a duty, to Epaphroditus and to Philippi, and it must not be postponed.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha^{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$. Render, in English idiom, "I bave counted," or "I count." The aorist is "epistolary," and gives the writer's present
thought as it will appear when the reader gets the letter. (Cp. e.g. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$, Philem. 11.)
'Emaфо́́Sıтov. He has been identified with Epaphras (Col. i. 7, iv. 12; Philem. 23); and the shorter name is an abbreviation of the longer. But Epaphras belonged to Colosse in Asia Minor, Epaphroditus to Pbilippi in Europe. Both names were very common.-It is observable that this saint's name embodies that of Aphrodite. Cp. the names Phœbe, Nereus, \&c., Rom. xvi. Little scruple seems to have been attached in the early Church to the retention of pre-baptismal idolatrous names.-We know Epaphroditus only from this Epistle; the one brief portrait shews a noble and lovable character.
 emphatic commendation. Evidently he had toiled and striven "in the Gospel," in no common way, at St Paul's side, whether at Philippi in the past or now recently at Rome, as Lightfoot suggests. For the word $\sigma v \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta s$ cp. Philem. 2, where it is applied to Archippus; and for the imagery of warfare cp. 2 Cor. x. 3; 1 Tim. i. 18; 2 Tim. ii. 3, 4. The Christian is not only a worker, but in his work has to deal, soldier-wiee, with "all the power of the enemy" (Luke x. 19).
 23 ; $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o l \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o c ~ \ell \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$. There is no Scripture evidence for giving the word $\dot{a} \pi \delta \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o s$ in N.T. the meaning of chief pastor of a church or district. Meanwhile, it seems to mean more than merely a messenger; it has gathered a certain sacredness from our Lord's use of it (Luke vi. 13) for His twelve chosen Messengers; it has a religious colour, like our word missionary. May not this word fairly represent it here ?-"your missionary to me," with a gracious pleasantry, as if the Philippians were sending a eidaryencon of pious love to St Paul.
$\lambda_{\text {etrovpyòv } \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}}$ xpeias $\mu \mathrm{ov}$. Group these words, as does R.V., still under the $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ just above: "Your missionary and minister to (lit., of) my need." 'The $\lambda$ eitoupyla is explained below, iv. 18, where Epaphroditus appears as the conveyer of the Philippian offerings to St Paul.- Aetrovprós is a public servant or minister. At Athens,入eirovpria and $\lambda e t \tau o u p \varepsilon i v$ (the noun has not yet been found in this use, though it is more than probable that it bore it) denoted the discharge of a public office at the citizen's own cost. Later, the meaning widened, but commonly retaining the idea of publicity and commission. In the Greek Scriptures $\lambda e t \tau o v p \gamma$ bs is used of a king's servant ( 1 Kings x. 5) ; of a magistrate, as the minister of God's order (Rom. xiii. 6); of a priest, as minister of the temple (Heb. viii. 2).Like ámborodos above, $\lambda$ eוfoupros here may carry something of its higher meaning; he came publicly commissioned by the Philippians' love.
 "he has been," or "he is") in a state of longing"; he feels home-sick for you. See note on i. 8 .
mávtas $\mathbf{~} \mu \mathrm{\mu a} \mathrm{~s}$. One of the many instances of markedly inclusive reference to the Philippians. See the last note on i. 8. Epaphro-
ditus, St Paul implies, has no partial or partizan thoughts of the Philippians; his love knows no cliques. On the reading here see critical notes.
di $\delta \eta \mu \mathrm{ov} \omega \mathrm{y}$. "Sore troubled"; almost, "bewildered," "distraught." The word is used of our blessed Lord's Agony, Matt. xxvi. 37; Mar. xiv. 33; its only other occurrences in N.T. The derivation is either (Buttmann, Lexil. pp. 29, \&c.) from a- and $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s, "$ not at home," "uneasy" (Buttmann compares nicht dahein sein, mir ist unheimlich), or (Lobeck, quoted and approved by Lightfoot) is connected with á $\delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, to be sated, to loathe, and so to be restless.
 as a point in thought) "that he was ill," or, as an English letter would have it, "that he has been ill." Perhaps he had taken Roman fever.
 death would be $\kappa \in \in \rho \delta \delta s$ (i. 21, and cp. 1 Cor. iii. 23) from one supreme point of view. Yet death in itself is not the Christian's choice; see
 And it closes the joys of cross-bearing service. As Chrysostom aays,

 withdrawal from his beloved work for Philippi; and this pang was spared him.
 of his friends to him. Cp. e.g. Rom. xvi. 4.
$\lambda u ́ \pi \eta \eta$ è́ $\pi i$ रúrтұv. Bereavement would have been added to great and much-including trial of captivity.-Observe the perfect naturalness and candour of his thought and feeling. He has "the peace of God," and "strength for all things" (iv. 7, 13). But this means no torpor, and no hardening. He is released from embitterment and from murmurs, but by the same process every sensibility is deepened. So it was with his Lord; Joh. xi. 33, 35, 38.

Observe that the $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \sigma \mu a_{i}$ la $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, exercised by St Paul at Melita (Acts xxviii. 8), was evidently not at his absolute disposal. He could not command his friend's recovery; it was mere mercy.
$\sigma x \hat{\omega}$. "Get," not merely "have." "That I might not incur an accumulation of griefs."
28. $\forall \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$. Anglice, "I have sent," "I am sending."
di入vuб́tepos. Again with perfect candour of heart he does not say "glad," but "less sorrowful." The separation from Epaphroditus would be a human sorrow, which would temper the happiness with which he would restore him to the Philippians; and he does not disguise it.
29. $\pi$ poo $\delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots$ avizòv. "Receive him"; words which perhaps suggest that to some among them, affected by their small internal divisions, Epaphroditus would be not quite acceptable. But we may
explain the Greek rather, "Accept him," as ny gift to you; in which sense no appeal would be implied. Cp. Heb. xi. 35, ou $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha}-$

$\mu \mathrm{erd} \pi \mathrm{a}^{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ x apâs. His own "sorrow" hinders not in the least his sympathy with their joy.
 the centurion's "valuable" slave (Luke vii. 2), and of the "costly" Stone (1 Pet. ii. 4, 6).-Epaphroditus was perhaps a little undervalued at Philippi, in proportion to St Paul's estimate of him.

 construction. It is as if he were about to write $\mu \epsilon \chi \chi \rho \iota \theta$. $\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \in \nu$, and then varied the expression.

тараßо入єvбápevos $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \times \hat{n}$. For the reading, see critical notes. If we read $\pi a \rho a \beta o u \lambda e u \sigma d \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$, we must render, "taking bad counsel for his life," i.e. acting with no regard for it. The text may be rendered "playing the gambler with his life" (as Lightfoot), or "hazarding his life" (R.V.). חapaßoдev́ $\sigma \theta a t$ is a verb known only through this passage. Параß $\alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$ is to cast a die, to venture; hence the adjective $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta 0 \lambda o s$, reekless; on which apparently this verb is formed. Lightfoot compares $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \theta \theta a$, to play the spendthrift.-Connected with тара́ßoдos is the ecclesiastical term mapaßo入ávos, patabolanus, a member of a "minor order" devoted to nursing the infected, and other hazardous duties. The order probably originated in Constantine's time. It acquired later a bad reputation as a turbulent body, troublesome to magistrates for riotous interruption of public business. At the council called the Latrocinium, at Ephesus, a.d. 449, "six hundred of them appeared as the tools of the brutal Barsumas, to coerce malcontents to support his measures" (Dict. Chr. Ant., s.v.).

 $\theta \lambda i \psi \epsilon \omega \nu \tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \tau \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$, that is, the "tribulations" involved in evangelization, which the Lord had as it were left unfinished, to be completed by his followers.

St Paul here means no blame to the Philippians. - Epaphroditus had come forward to do what they, as a community, could not dotravel to Rome to help St Paul in his needs, carrying with him the collection they had so lovingly made.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o ́ s \mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\text {eitovpyias. "Of the ministration designed for me." }}$

## CHAPTER III.

3. $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{fov}}$. So ${ }^{*} \mathrm{ABCD}_{2}^{\mathrm{c}}, 17$ 37, and many other cursives, copt, Amb Aug. St Augustine says (de Trin. i. 13) that Graci codices omnes, aut pane omnes, are for this reading, while in nonnullis... Latinis he finds spiritu Deo. $N^{\circ} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{*}$, syr (pesh) vulg goth arm æth, Orig Chr Viet read $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$. All modern Editors $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$.
 classical form. In Clem. Rom. Ep. ad Cor. both forms are freely used: e.g. $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s, \S 4$, $\delta i \grave{a} \zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu, \S 5$.
4. $\mathfrak{d} \lambda \lambda \alpha$. So (or $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ) $N^{( }{ }^{-} B D_{2}^{*}$, the mass of cursives, vulg syrr copt, Aug. NAG, 17, Cyr $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{G}}$ om $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$.
5. $\mu \in \mathcal{y o u v y c . ~ N A , ~} 17$ 37, and many other cursives, Bas (in one place) Cyr. $\mu$ èv oủv $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\epsilon} . \mathrm{BD}_{2}$ G, many cursives, Bas (in one place) Chrys

$\sigma \kappa \hat{i} \beta a \lambda a$. So (without $\epsilon \tau \nu \alpha a$ ) ${ }^{*} \mathrm{BD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 17$, vulg syr (pesh) arm æth, Orig. $N^{c} A D_{2}^{0}, 3747$, and most other cursives, Bas Chrys Cyr add eival after $\sigma \kappa \dot{u} \beta a \lambda a$.
6. $\pi \alpha \forall \eta \mu$ í $\tau \omega \nu$. So $\aleph * B$. $\aleph^{\kappa} D_{2} G_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, all cursives, prefix $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. This seems preferable, though Tisch Treg omit.
 $\mathrm{N}^{c} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{c} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, the mass of cursives, Chrys Theodoret read $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о \rho \phi о{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 s$. Recent editors all prefer $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о \mu \phi \iota \delta \phi \mu \nu 0$, as the less usual form, and so less likely to be an emendation. Another reading is found in G, avvфортєi $\delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, rendered cooneratus in the Latin of the MS.: "bearing with Him the burthen of His death." But this, though there is other Latin evidence for it, is not to be considered.
7. $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ ék vekpôy. So $\mathrm{NABD}_{2}, 1773$ 80, vulg goth syr (pesh and harkl), Bas Chrys Orig (Lat. transl.) Tert. So all recent Editors. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, most cursives, copt, Theodoret Theophyl read $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \omega \nu$.
 Aug. $\mathrm{BD}_{2}^{*}\left(\dot{x}_{2}, 17\right.$, goth, Clem Or (Lat. transl.) Tert Hilar read $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta}$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 000$. The evidence is well balanced. Tisch X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$, WH X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{u}[' \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{0}]$, Lachm Treg Wordsw Ell Alf Ltft read Xpıб
8. oűrw. So $\mathrm{NAD}_{2}^{*}, 17477380$ and many other cursives, copt syr (harkl*) æth, Clem Bas. $\mathrm{BD}_{2}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 37$ and most other cursives, vulg syr (pesh and philox text) goth arm, Orig Tert read oú. Tisch WH [text: marg oủ] oünc. Treg Ell Alf Ltft où.
9. नrolxeir. So the sentence ends $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{AB}, 17$, copt sah, Hil Aug. NcKL, the mass of cursives, syrr wth add the words кavovi, тo aúvo фpopeiv. So Wordsw., alone among recent editors. Lightfoot writes ad loc., "the words after $\sigma \tau o \tau \chi \in i \nu$ in the received text (кavblı, $\tau$ ò aúvò $\phi \rho o \nu \in \hat{\nu})$ are interpolated from Gal. vi. 16, Phil. ii. 2. Of these кavbע
 context."
10. $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \mu \mu о р \phi о \nu\left(\sigma \dot{v} \mu-N D_{2}^{7} G\right)$. Before this word $\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}, 173747$ and the mass of cursives, syrr, Epiph Chrys Ang Jer read $\varepsilon l_{s} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \in \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$ ajurb. The text is read by $\mathbb{N A B D}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}$, vulg goth copt arm wth, Iren Euseb Ath Cyr Tert Cyp Hilar. All recent editors read text. The additional words are almost certainly a grammatical gloss.
av่тஸ̂. So $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{ABD}_{2}^{*}$, many cursives, Eus Epiph Cyr Chrys (in one place). $N^{\circ} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{c} \mathrm{~L}$, most cursives, Chrys (in two places) Hil Amb read ćautư. So Wordsw alone of recent editors. WH à̇rê.

Ch. III. 1-3. Let them coltivate joy in the Lord as the true

1. Tò $\lambda o u \pi \delta v$. "For the rest"; "For what remains." So below,

 2 Cor. xiii. 1; 2 Thess. iii. 1, and (roû गoctov̂) Gal. vi. 17. On the whole it seems not so much to introduce an immediate conclusion (as "finally" would do) as to mark a transition on the way to it. It "signifies for the rest, besides, moreover,...forming a transition to other things to which the attention of the...reader is directed" (Grimm, ed. Thayer, s.v. dotnós).

Here the Apostle is approaching the end of his Epistle, entering on its last large topic, the difference between a true Gospel and a false. Hitherto, on the whole, with much accessory matter, he has been dealing with the blessedness of unity. Now he will deliver a definite message about saving truth in view of particular errors; and then he will close. Tò $\lambda o \iota \pi \delta \nu$ fitly introduces this.

The connexion of the passage has been debated; particularly the
 xupl $\varphi$. No previous injunction to rejoice appears in this Epistle; and there is no trace of a previous Epistle, which might have spoken so. Bp Lightfoot's solution is as follows: "The same things" are the exhortations to unity, often made already, and which St Paul was now just about to reinforce. But he was interrupted, and did not dictate again till, perhaps, some days had intervened. He then dropped the intended appesi, and turned instead to the subject of doctrinal error. Lightfoot accordingly, in his edition, breaks the text at the close of ver. 1, and regards ver. 2 as the opening of a new paragraph or chapter.

But can we think it likely that St Paul, with his scribe beside him, would have let the Epistle go forth in a state so disjointed?

The following seems a more probable theory: St Paul sees at Philippi the risk of doctrinal error ; error which in one way or another would undervalue "Christ, and Him crucified." The true antidote would be a developed and rejoicing insight into Christ and His work, such as had been given to himself. This shall now be his theme. And this, in a sense, he has touched on already, by his frequent allusions to the Saviour's union with His people, and above all by such passages as i. 20-23, ii. 5-18. So in treating now of Christ as their righteousness, life, peace, and glory, and of "rejoicing in Him" as such, he is "writing the same things" as before, only in a more explicit way. All "other gospels," whatever their details, were alike in this, that they beclouded that great joy. Thus the special injunction to "rejoice" affects both the past context and the following; particularly it leads on to ver. 3 below, кavð'̈цєขol $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathbf{X}$.' 1 .
"From the loss of our glory in Thee, preserve us" (Litany of the Unitas Fratrum, the "Moravian" Church).
Xal $\rho$ eтt. The R.V. margin has "Or, farewell." But the rendering "rejoice" (A.Y. and text of R.V.) is supported by iv, 3, which seems to
take op this phrase, and adds mayrote. And already in ii. 18 we have had $\chi$ aipec $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ in (obviously) the sense of rejoicing. The Latin Versions read gaudete in Domino. Chrysostom writes in loc. al $\theta$ ì $\psi$ ects...al кatè

rd avira. See the notes above, on the connexion of the passage.
 trimeter ${ }^{1}$ of a rhythm frequent in the Comedians. They may be a quotation. In 1 Cor. xv. 33 we have almost certainly such a quota-
 cankers fair morality ${ }^{2}$." For similar apparent verse-quotations in the

 тé $\overline{\text { eteo }}$.

We may render here, rhythmically, "To me not irksome, it is safe for you."
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \tau 0 u ́ s:$ so frequently $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \pi \delta$ (e.g. Mark viii. 15) and $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$ (e.g. Luke xxi. 8)" (Lightfoot). -Latin Versions, videte.
tovs kívas. "The dogs"; a known class or party; evidently the Judaistic teachers within the Church, to whom he has referred already in another tone and connexion (i. 15) as active at Rome. These Pharisee-Christians perhaps called the uncircumcised converts kíves, as the Pharisees proper called all Gentiles. See e.g. Joh. Lightfoot (Hor. Hebr. on Matt. xv. 26) : "By this title the Jews disgraced the Gentiles...אומות עולם נמשלו כבלבים. The nations of the world [that is the heathen] are likened to dogs [Midr. Tillin, fol. 6. 3]." The habits of the dog suggest ideas of uncleanness ; and its half-wild condition in Eastern towns makes it a simile for an outcast. In Scripture, the "dog" appears in connexions almost always of either contempt or dread; e.g. 1 Sam. xxiv. 14; 2 Ki . viii. 13 ; Psal. xxii. 16, 20 ; Matt. vii. 6; Rev. xxii. 15. St Paul here "turns the tables" on the Judaistic rigorist. The Judaist, and not the simple believer who comes direct from paganism to Messiah, is the real outcast from Messiah's covenant. The same view is expressed more fully, Gal. $\mathrm{\nabla}$.


тoùs kakoìs épyátas. "The evil workers" (R.V.). Or possibly, "the bad," i.e. unskilful, "workmen." These are the same persons under another view. Possibly, by a sort of verbal play, he alludes to their doctrine of salvation by "works," $\xi_{\rho \gamma} \gamma$, not by faith (see e.g. Rom. iii. 27, xi. 6; Gal. ii. 16, iii. 2); as if to say, "They are all for working, to win merit. But they are bungling workmen, spoiling the

[^12]fabric of the Gospel." See 2 Cor. xi. 13 for the same apparent double


See ii. 12 above for the precept to work in the right eense and direction.

ті่ข кататоцทํ. Latin Versions, coneisionem. "The mutilation"; i.e. the persons who teach it. By this harsh word, kindred to $\pi \epsilon \rho-$ $\tau o \mu \eta$, he condemns the Judaist's rigid zeal for bodily circumcision. In the light of the Gospel, to demand circumcision as a saving ordinance was to demand a mere maltreatment of the body, no better than
 $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{u} \tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$, LXX.).
 similar use of words in a kindred connexion. Lightfoot's interesting note here gives other instances of St Paul's play on words; e.g.



Wyclif curiously renders, "se $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ dyuysioun"; Tindale and 'Cranmer,' "Beware of dissencion (dissensyon)."


 $\sigma v \mu \pi о \lambda i \tau a l[\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in] \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \gamma^{\ell} \omega \nu, \kappa \tau \lambda$.
 (R.V.). On the reading, see critical note. In this reading $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \in 匕 \in \nu$ is used without an expressed object, as in e.g. Lake ii. 37, 入arpev́ova a vóкта каl $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a v$. The verb originally means any sort of service ( $\lambda$ dípts, ancilla), domestic or otherwise; but in Biblical Greek usage gives it an almost invariable connexion (see Deut. xxviii. 48 for an exception) with the service of worship, and ocoasionally (e.g. Heb. viii. 5, xiii. 10) with the worship of priestly ritual. Probably this use is in view here. The Apostle claims the spiritual believer as the true priest of the true rite.
 viii. 9,$14 ; 1$ Cor. vii. 40 , zii. 3 ; 2 Cor. iii. 3. See 2 Cor. iii. at large for the supremely significant place given by St Paul in the Gospel message to the gift and work of the Holy Spirit.
kavx ${ }^{\mu} \mu \epsilon$ vot. "Exulting," "glorying." The verb occurs here only in the Epistle; каúx $\eta \mu a$ occurs i. 26, ii. 16. The idea is a joy emphatically triumphant, the travesty of which would be boastfulness.


What national and ritual privilege seemed to the Judaist, that Cerist Jesus was to the Christian; pedestal and crown, righteousness and glory.
kal oúk '̇v $\sigma a p k l$ тєmotÓtes. Lit., 'and not in flesh confiding." The words suggest, by their arrangement, that we Christians have a "confidence," but that it is in something better than " the flesh."

E $\alpha \rho \xi$ : the word has occurred twice already, i. 22, 24, obviously in the sense of bodily conditions of life. Here, in a moral context, it has to be illustrated by e.g. Rom. vii. 5, öтe $\hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ 纹 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \rho \kappa \ell$ : viii. 9 , oúк

 $\sigma a_{\rho \kappa} l$. Reviewing these and other like places in St Paul, we find that a fair practical equivalent for the word here is "self," as used e.g. in the English of Lavater's hymn (O Jesus Christus, wachs in mir) :

> "Make this poor self grow less and less, Be Thou my life and aim."

It denotes man as apart from God, and then at discord with God. Accordingly it often comes to stand for whatever in man is not subject to the Holy Spirit; and so reaches what is its practical meaning here-anything, other than God, taken by man for his trust and strength, e.g. religious observances, traditional privilege and position, personal religious reputation. From this whole region the Christian's $\pi \epsilon \pi o t \theta \eta \sigma \iota s$ is transferred to Christ and His Spirit.

## 4-11. St Padl's own experience as a converted Pharisee, and irs Lessons.


 context stands in apposition with e $\gamma \dot{\omega}$. But the meaning is luminous.

Strictly, the Apostle asserts that he has, not merely might have, this "confidence." But the whole context of this passage, and of St Paul's entire Gospel, assures us that this is only "a way of speaking." He is looking from the Judaist's view point, and speaks so. Granted those premisses, he has, in an eminent degree, what his adversary claims to have. R.V. rightly paraphrases, "though I myself might have confidence even in the flesh."-Kai $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \quad \sigma a p \kappa i$ : on the Judaist's principles, he is so good a legalist that he might rest his salvation even on "the flesh," should Christ be not enough !

סoкєi. "Thinketh." So R.V. text, and A.V. R.V. margin, "seemeth." But the other is right in this context. For this (fre-


 "Do not think in yourselves that you may say." So here, "Thinketh that he may have confidence."

b. пєрьтонй. "As to circumcision." For the dative of reference

óктarj $\mu \epsilon \rho \frac{s}{}$. He was a born child of the covenant, and so received its seal as early as possible; no proselyte, circumcised as an adult; no Ishmaclite, waiting till he was thirteen (Gen. xvii. 25); cf. Joseph.
 тона́s].
 here may either be Israel collective, the chosen Nation, or Israel individual, the Patriarch who became "a prince with God" (Gen. xxzii. 28). The latter reference gives the more vivid emphasis, and so seems the more probable here.

See Trench, N.T'. Synonyms, \& xxxix, and Lightfoot on Gal. vi. 16, for the idea proper to the words Israel, Israelite. Lightfoot says, "Israel is the sacred name for the Jews, as the nation of the Theocracy, the people under God's covenant. Compare Ephes. ii. 12 ám $\pi \lambda \lambda 0-$



Bevcauelv. So Rom. xi. 1; and cp. Acts xiii. 21. His tribe might give him special occasion for $\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma i \theta \eta \sigma$. Its head was Jacob's muchloved son; it gave Israel its first lawful king (whose name the Apost'e bore); and it had proved "faithful among the faithless" when, under Rehoboam, the Ten Tribes forsook the Davidic crown (1 Ki. xii. 21). Ehud (Judg. iii.) and Mordecai (Esth. ii. 5) were Benjamites. St Paul's character nobly illustrates the courage and the fidelity of his tribe.See further Conybeare and Howson, Life \&cc. of St Paul, ch. ii.
 again 2 Cor. xi. 22.-In the O.T. and Apocrypha the word "Hebrew" (occurring about 40 times altogether) is the distinctive national term, by which an Israelite would describe himself, or be described, as against such similar terms as Philistine \&e. But in the N.T. (not in later Christian writers, or in Jewish or pagan literature) it denotes the Jew who cherished his national language and manners, as distinguished from the "Hellenist," who usually spoke Greek and largely conformed to Gentile custoins. See Acts vi. 1. The "Hebrew" would thus pose as one of an inner national circle. See further Trench, ut supra, and Conyb. and Howson, ch. ii.

кãà vópov. "The law," in the sense of the Mosaic ordinances, is obviously intended. Here, as often, the article is omitted, because the word is otherwise sufficiently defined.

Фapıraios. So Acts xxiii. 6, xxvi. 5; cp. Gal. i. 14. In rabbinic Hebrew the word is $\dagger$ 范, P'ruishin, from fine." "Suidas s.v. quotes Cedrenus as follows, Фарıгаîot, ol £́ $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in v \dot{\partial} \mu \in \nu$ ои

 द̇vтá入 $\mu a \tau a "$ (Grimm, ed. Thayer, s.v.). See Josephus, Antt. xiii. 18, 23, xvii. 3, xviii. 2, for accounts of the Pharisees by a Pharisee of the Apostolic age. "The Pharisees were the enthusiasts of the later Judaism" (Conyb. and Howson, as above); the votaries of religious precision, elaborate devotion, vigorous proselytism, exclusive privilege, and the most intense nationalism. They were in high esteem with the common people, according to Josephus. He gives their numbers as about 6000 (Antt. xvii. 3); when an oath of allegiance to Herod I.


St Paul was "son of a Pharisee" (Aets xxiii. 6; though Lightfoot here suggests that this means "a Pharisee's disciple"); and the student
and follower (Acts xxii. 3) of the Pharisee (Acts v. 34) Gamaliel, probably " Rabban" Gamaliel, grandson of Hillel.
 though sinfully conditioned by moral blindness. (See Acts xxyi. 9
 sometimes takes the meaning of jealousy, rancour; e.g. Rom. xiii. 13, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ep $\delta \iota$ кal $\varsigma \eta \lambda \psi$. But this would be out of place here.


 aủ $\boldsymbol{x} \eta$.
 righteousness." He means evidently completeness of legal observance, with its supposed claims to merit. No inquisitor could have found him defective here.
 "found blameless."
On the Pharisaic theory, his position was perfect, his title to " confidence in the flesh" complete.
 is just more than a. He thinks not only of the things as things, but of their class and character.-On the reading $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, see critical note.
$k \in p \delta \eta$. Observe the plural. He had counted over his items of privilege and pride, like a miser with his bags of gold.
ทౌүๆuar. "I have accounted"; we may say, "I have come to reckon."

8ıà $\tau \grave{v} v$ Xpıotòv. "On account of the" (almost, "our") "Ohrist"; not "for His sake" (ívṫp rồ X.) bat "because of the fact of Him"; because of the discovery, in Him, of the infinitely more than equivalent of the $\kappa \in \rho \rho \delta \eta$ of the past. Messiar, found out in His true glory, was cause enough for the change of view.
\} $\eta \mu i a v$. Observe the singular. The $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \eta$ are all fused now into one undistinguished $\zeta \eta \mu i a$. And $\zeta \eta \mu i a$ imports not only "no gain," but a positive detriment. True, some of the к $\epsilon \rho \delta \eta$ at least were in themselves good things; pedigree, covenant-comexion, zeal, exactitude, self-discipline. But as a fact, viewed as he had viewed them, they had been shutting out Christ from his soul, and so every day of reliance on them was a day of deprivation of the supreme Blessing.
 use in dialogue and discussion. "Nay rather, I even, \&c."
गंyoûpar. The present tense emphasizes the present consciousness; the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \eta \mu a_{c}$ is carried full into the present moment of thought.
maived. He has enumerated many things, but he will sweep everything into the scale which Ceriss has over-weighed. All that goes
under the head of personal ambition, for example, must go; his prospects of national and Church distinction; all, all is $\zeta \eta \mu i a$, as against Christ.

Sia tò ímepéxov. "On account of the surpassing(ness)." See on ii. 9 for St Paul's love of superlative and accumulative words.
 (Joh. xvii. 3). On the conditions and bliss of such knowledge see e.g. Joh. i. 10-12, xiv. 7, xvii. 25; Eph. iii. 19.-St Paul sometimes depreciates $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma t s$ (e.g. 1 Cor. viii. 1, xiii. 2, 8). But there he means a knowledge separable from Divine light and life, a knowledge of mere theory, or of mere wonder, not of God in Christ. The $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma$ 价 here is the recognition of the glory of the Son of the Father, a knowledge inseparable from love; see the great paradox of Eph. iii. 19, $\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$ au


Note the implicit witness of the language before us to the Deity of Christ. In Him this man had found the ultimate repose of his whole mental and moral nature.
 of the terms; a "final cadence" of faith, as its glorious Object is viewed anew. See too the characteristic $\mu_{0}$ (cp. note on i. 3 above). The Gospel has an individualism, perfectly harmonious with its communism, but never to be merged in it. The individual "comes to" Christ (Joh. vi. 35, 37); and has Christ for Head (1 Cor. xi. 3); and lives by faith in Him who has loved and redeemed the individual (Gal. ii. 20). And such individual contact with the Lord is the secret of all true diffusion and communication of blessing through the individual.
$\delta_{l}$ ' ov . Again, "on account of whom"; because of the fact of His glory.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ ét $\ddagger \eta \mu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \eta$. "I was deprived of my all." He echoes the $\zeta \eta \mu l a \nu$ twice uttered above. His estimate was rudely verified, as it were, by circumstances. The treasures he inwardly surrendered were, as far as could be done, torn from him by man, when he deserted the Sanhedrin for Jesus Christ.

Deoply moving is this passing reference to his tremendous sacrifice, a sacrifice which has of course a weighty bearing on the solidity of the reasons for St Paul's change, and so on the evidences of our Faith. On this last point see the deservedly classical Observations on the Character \&c. of St Paul, by George, first Lord Lyttelton, 1747.
rà mávia. Rendered above, "my all." This may be just too much as a translation for the $\tau \mathrm{d}$, but fairly indicates its reference.
$\sigma к i \beta a \lambda \alpha$. Stercora, Vulg. "Refuse," R.V. marg. In the medieval Lexicon of Suidas the word is explained by $\kappa \dot{v} \omega \nu$ and $\beta a \lambda \lambda e c \nu$ : Ku $\boldsymbol{i}$ $\beta a \lambda \delta \nu \tau \iota \delta \nu$, тd тоis кvai $\beta a \lambda \lambda \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. Others "connect it with $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \beta$, (cp. scoria, Lat. stercus), al. with a root meaning 'to shiver,' 'shred'" (Grimm, ed. Thayer, s.v.). "The word seems to signify generally 'refuse,' being applied most frequently in one sense or other to food,
 ...évit [k. $\tau, \lambda$.$] . The two significations most common are (1) 'Excre-$ ment...' This sense is frequent in medical writers. (2) 'The... leavings of a feast...' So again $\sigma \kappa v \beta a ́ \lambda \imath \sigma \mu a$, Pseudo-Phocyl. 144... $\sigma \kappa v \beta a \lambda_{\imath \sigma} \mu \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \zeta_{\eta s}$ "(Lightfoot). "The Judaizers spoke of themselves as banqueters...at the Father's table, of Gentile Christians as dogs... snatching up the refuse meat... St Paul has reversed the image" (Lightfoot).
 repudiation of those "gains" was the condition for the reception of the supreme "ghin," Christ Himself, received by faith. In a sense he paid them down in exchange for Christ, and so "gained" Him; Christum lucri fecit (Vulg.). Cp. the language of Rev. iii. 18, $\sigma v \mu$ -
 and Christ was all; but the imagery only enforces this by its paradox.
"I $\nu a$ кєр $\delta \eta \sigma \omega$. We might expect the optative here, as he is dealing with a past experience; and so with $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \in \theta \hat{\omega}$ just below. The conjunctive may be explained as expressing, in present terms, a past crisis, vividly realized. But besides, the subtle distinction between conjunctive and optative was not kept up in the popular language; so that the conjunctive was as a rule used for both "may"



Possibly the clause кai $\dot{\eta} \gamma$. ok. is parenthetic; the passage would thus present a vivid antithesis: "I suffered the loss of my all (and mere refuse I now see it to be) that I might make Christ my gain."
9. єúpetw. "Found," at any moment of scmutiny, here or hereafter. Lightfoot (on Gal. ii. 17, and here) remarks that evpifkety is very frequent in Aramaized Greek, and has somewhat lost its distinctive meaning. In the N.T. however it is seldom if ever used where that meaning has no point. Such a passage as 2 Pet. iii. 14 is a parallel
 ence is to the Lord's Coming.
év av่̉ $\hat{\varphi}$. Here the Christian's incorporation with his Lord, for acceptance and spiritual life, is full in view. In the Epistlos to the Ephesians and Colossians, written from the same chamber as this Epistle, we have this truth fully developed. See further above on i . 1,8 ,
 own" (R.V.). The $\epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is slightly emphatic by position.
$\Delta$ ckacooiv $\eta$ is a word characteristic, and often of special meaning, in St Paul. In numerous passages (see esp. Rom. iii. 5-26, iv. 3, 5, $6,9,11,13 ; 1$ Cor. i. 30; 2 Cor. iii. 10 ; Gal. ii. 21, with context) its leading idea is of satisfactoriness to law, to legal judgment. "A righteousness of mine own" is thus a title to acceptance before God, on my own merits, supposed to satisfy the legal standard. See further, Appendix K.

тìv ék vónou. "The (righteousness) which is derived from the law," on the Pharisaic theory of law and law-keeping, or any theory akin to it. For though he has the Pharisee proper, and the Christian Judaist, first in view, he looks beyond them to the whole principle they represent; this we may surely affirm in the light of the Epistles to the Romans and the Galatians. From the special Mosaic code he rises to the larger fact of the whole Divine preceptive code, taken as a covenant of "righteousness," of acceptance: "Do this, perfectly, and live; do this, and claim your acceptance." Against this whole idea he places in its radiant simplicity the idea of "faith"; an acceptance procured for us by the Redeeming Lord, and appropriated by us by the single means of faith, that is to say, acceptance of Him as our all, on the warrant of His promise. Suoh "faith" unites as to Christ, in the spiritual order; and in that union, by no "fiction" but in fact, we receive His merits for our acceptance, and His power for our life and service. See further, Appendix K.

Here we infer (from the general line of Pauline teaching) that the primary thought is that of an acceptance for Christ's sake, as against acceptance for any personal merits of the man. Then comes in the spiritual development of the accepted person, as he receives the Christ who has died for him to live in him.

тì̀ Sıd $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{X}$ Xiotov̂. " That which is through faith in Christ." For the construction ai $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \iota s$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$, with $\mathrm{X}_{\rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta s}$ for object not subject,

 the genitive gives the idea of cohesion, nexus; it presents the Object as clasped by $\pi i \sigma \pi / s$.

Here again, as with $\nu \delta \mu \mathrm{s}$ and $\delta_{\kappa \kappa a \iota o \sigma u v \eta, ~ S t ~ P a u l ' s ~ w r i t i n g s ~ a r e ~ t h e ~}^{\text {a }}$


 what is only latent here, the thought that the "faith" has reference specially to Christ in His propitiation, and that the blessing which it immediately receives is the justification (acceptance) of the believer. See further Rom. iv., v., viii. 33, 34 ; Gal. iii. 1-14, 21-24; Eph. ii. 8, 9 . As to the $\pi$ ioves itself, at least its leading idea is personal trust in a promise, or, better, in a Promiser. Setting aside Jas ii. 14-26, where the argument takes up and uses an inadequate notion of miorts, namely correct creed (see Lightfoot, Gal., detached notes following ch. iii.), the word constantly conveys in Scripture the thought of personal reliance, trustful acceptance ${ }^{1}$. The essence of such reliance is that it goes forth from self to God, bringing nothing that it may receive all. Thus it has a moral fitness (quite different from deservingness) to be the recipient of Divine gifts. In faith, man forgets himself, to embrace his Redeemer.

${ }^{1}$ Fides est fiducia, Luther. See this admirably developed by J. O. Hare, Fictory of Faith, pp. 15-22 (ed. 1847). Below, Appendix K.
ance, "which has its origin in God." Its source is the pure Divine love, flowing out in the line of Divine holiness.
 то仑̂ b̀ómatos aủtov̂.

On the doctrine of this verse see Appendix K.
10. Tov̂ $\gamma \nu \omega ̂ v a \iota ~ a u ̉ r o ̀ v . ~ " I n ~ o r d e r ~ t o ~ k n o w ~ H i m . " ~ T h i s ~ c o n s t r u c-~$ tion is very common in the LXX. In the N.T. it is used especially by

 peculiar to Hellenistic Greek; it appears in classical prose, particularly after Demosthenes' time (Winer, Grammar, iii. § xliv.).--Note the sequence of thought: he embraces the Divine "righteousness," and renounces his own, in order to the end here stated-the true knowledge of Christ, communion with Him, and so assimilation to Him. Accepting Christ as his one ground of peace with God (Rom. v. 1), he now gets such a view of himself and his Redeemer as to affect profoundly his whole conscious relations with Him, and the effect of those relations on his being. Thus ver. 10 is no mere echo of ver. 9; it gives another range of truth, which yet is in the deepest connexion with the previous thought. To use a convenient classification, ver. 9 deals with Justification, ver. 10 with Sanctification in relation to it.

Tô $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} a c$. The aorist suggests a crisis of knowledge. From such a crisis a process of growing knowledge is sure to issue; for the Object of the $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a a^{\prime}$ "passeth knowledge" (Eph. iii. 19). But it is the crisis which is in immediate view here.
 "power." It evidences justification (e.g. Rom. iv. 24, 25, and esp. 1 Cor. xv. 14, 17, 18). It assures the Christian of his own future resurrection (1 Cor. xv. 20; 1 Thess. iv. 14). Yet more, it is that by which (completed in the Ascension) the Lord became actually tho Giver of the Spirit which unites us to our Head. See Joh. vii. 39,
 aspect of truth is prominent in the Epistles to Ephesus and Colossw, nearly contemporary with this Epistle; we have here a passing hint of what is developed there.

The thought of the Lord's Resurrection is probably suggested by the implied reference just above to the atoning Death on which it followed. The whole passage indicates that while our acceptance rests always on the propitiatory work of Christ for us, our power for holy service and suffering lies in our union with Him as the Risen One, to whom we are joined by the Spirit.
 $\mu \epsilon \theta a \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \zeta \omega \hat{g}$ aù $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ : and 2 Cor. iv. 10 ; Col. iii. 1-4; Heb. xiii. 20, 21.
 Sufferer. The Lord who has redeemed us has done it, as a fuct, at an awful cost of pain, physical and spiritual ; so a moral necessity calls His redeemed ones, united as they are to Him, to "carry the cross"
after Him, in His Spirit's strength, and for His sake. And this will prove a deep secret of fuller spiritual sympathy and fellowship with



 Vulg. But the Latin, with its lack of a present pass. part., misses the point of the Greek-a process of conformation; R.V., "becoming conformed."

The immediate thought is that of spiritual harmony with the suffering Lord's state of will. His Death, as the supreme expression of His holy love and surrender, draws the Apostle as with a spiritual magnet to seek assimilation of character to Him who died. The Atoning Work is not forgotten; for the full glory of Christ's Death as Model is never wholly seen apart from a view of its propitiatory purpose; but that purpose is not the first thought here.-Cp. 2 Cor.


 Observe the (unusual) use of the conjunctive with $\epsilon$. Cp. 1 Cor. xiv. $b$,
 1 Cor. ix. 11. The construction is found in e.g. the Greek tragedians, and in Greek of the Roman period it is not unfrequent.- Note the
 $\gamma$ '́vopat. Contrast the exulting assurance of Rom. viii. 35, tis $\dot{\eta} \mu a \mathrm{a} s$ $\chi \omega \rho i \sigma \epsilon \epsilon ; \kappa \tau \lambda$. : and cp. ibid. ver. 30 ; Joh. x. 27-29; \&c.; and indeed the whole tone of "joy and peace in believing" so largely pervading the Scriptures. The two classes of expression represent as it were parallel lines, each of which is necessaxy to convey the idea of salvation. One line is the omnipotent grace, " made perfect in our weakness" (2 Cor. xii. 9). The other is the unalterable fact of our duty, to watch and pray. As one line or the other is brought into prominence (and there are times when one, or the other, must be stated alone), the language of assurance or of contingency is appropriate; till the parallel lines (as to us they seem and practically are) prove at last, in the love of God, to converge in glory.
 note. "At the resurrection which is from the dead." The phrase is peculiar and forcible, both by the use of the rare $\epsilon \xi a v d \sigma r a \sigma \tau s$, found here only in Biblical Greek (but $\epsilon \xi a \nu l \sigma \tau \eta \mu$, with no special emphasis of meaning, is not uncommon in O. T. Greek), and by the $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{e} x$ $\nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. The double compound $\epsilon \xi a v a$. must not be pressed; such forms are a characteristic of later classical Greek, in which (Folybius, Strabo) $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \text { avá } \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s \\ \text { occurs ; } \bar{\xi} \bar{\xi} a v(\sigma \tau \eta \mu c \\ \text { being familiar earlier, in e.g. }\end{gathered}$ the Tragedians, Thucydides, and Plato, and often without emphasis on the $\epsilon \xi$. But in the phrase here as a whole there is assuredly a fuiness and force of its own. Accordingly it has been held that St Paul refers to a special resurrection, and that this is the mysterious "first resurrection" of Rev, xx. 5, 6, a rising of either all saints only, or of
a special class of saints only；a resurrection＂up from among the dead，＂leaving the multitude behind．But St Paul nowhere else makes any certain reference to such a prospect（ 1 Cor．xv．23， 24 ，is not decisive，and 1 Thess．iv． 16 has another bearing）．This surely makes it unlikely that he should refer to it here，where he is plainly dealing with plain and ruling truths and hopes．It seems best then to explain these words of the glorious prospect of the resurrection of believers in general，as it is seen in 1 Cor．xv．；and the force of the phrase may be due to the energy and climax of the passage；he throws his whole soul into the thought of leaving behind for ever the state of death，which state he denotes（on this hypothesis）by the concrete phrase，oi עeкроí．

It is observable that he here implies his expectation of death，to be followed by resurrection；not of survival till the Lord＇s Return．

## 12－16．His sptritual Conditton is one of Progresb， not Perfection．

12．ỞX öтı $\kappa \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．This passage of caution and reserve，following out the $\operatorname{elj}^{\ell} \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ кaтav $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ just above，is probably suggested by the thought of the antinomian teaching which he denounces explicitly below，ver．18，19．Such teaching would represent the Christian as already at the goal；lifted beyond responsibility，duty，and the call to go forward．No，says St Paul；I have indeed＂gained＂Christ； I have＂the righteousness of God＂；I＂know＂my Lord，and His ＂power，＂and am＂getting conformed to His death＂；but I must be only the humbler and more watchful；the process，the outcome， must be ever moving on；the goal lies，from one great view－point，only at the close of a path of watching and prayer．
 have already obtained，＂R．V．The rorist is best represented here by our perfect；with＂already＂we can hardly do otherwise．Greek tends，more than English，to throw back the past；to treat as in the past what still affects the present．－The verb gives the notion not of ＂attaining＂a height but of＂receiving＂a gift．What the gift is，is


$\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \mu a l . ~ H e ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon t o s$ ，in the absolute sense，only when he joined the $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ д $\delta \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ тєтє $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$（Heb．xii．23）．Indeed， as to his whole being，he would be $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \cos$ only when the ámodút $\rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ тov̂ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu$ uatos was achieved in resurrection（Rom．viii．23）．Only when ＂we see Him as He is＂shall we be altogether ämoo aüz⿳⺈⿴囗十一．And nothing short of that can be an absolute＂perfection，＂the goal of the $\sigma \nu \mu \mu о \rho \phi l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$（ver．10）．
$T \varepsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon 6 \omega$ ，$\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \iota s$ ，were used in later church－Greek as special terms for the death of martyrs ；in the Menologium it is the regular phrase：
 ziv．on 1 Tim．），in a passage on the monastic life，says that the monks never speak of a brother＇s＂end，＂but of his＂perfecting＂：

 тeтє $\frac{1}{} i \omega \tau a t$. In Scripture this bright ideal is intended to be realized by all believers, as they enter on the heavenly rest.
$\delta เ \omega \kappa \omega$ סé. "But I press on," R.V. He thinks of the race, with its

 Heb. xii. 1.

єi kara入á $\beta \omega$. "If I may grasp." Again the conjunctive with $\epsilon$ e.

 into $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ here; he thinks of the crown, till in thought he not only "receives" but "grasps" it.

Lightfoot quotes $\delta \iota \dot{́} к о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ oủ катє̇ $\lambda a \beta o v$ from Lucian, Hermot. 77.

 Rom. v. 12) or, "That, with a view to which I was actually grasped." St Paul's usage (as quoted) inclines to the former rendering; the phraseology and context somewhat recommend the latter, which is adopted by A.V., R.V. (text; margin, "seeing that I was apprehended"), Ellicott, Alford, and (on the whole) Lightfoot.-He presses on to "grasp," with the animating thought that Christ had "grasped" him, in the hour of conversion, on purpose that he, through the path of faith and obedience, might at length reach the goal and prize of glory. The remembrance of the Divine energy of that "grasp" energizes here all his thought and language.
13. $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \phi o l . ~ A ~ p e r s o n a l ~ a d d r e s s, ~ t o ~ b r i n g ~ h o m e ~ a n d ~ e n f o r e e ~ t h e ~}$ truth.
 has the antinomians of ver. 18, 19 in his mind.
14. \& $\delta \delta$. The concentration of purpose makes all thought and

$\langle\pi i \lambda a v \theta a v \delta \mu \epsilon v o s$. As to complacency, not as to gratitude.
$\boldsymbol{i \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~ T h e ~ c o m p o u n d ~ p r e s e n t s ~ t h e ~ r u n n e r ~ a s ~ s t r e t c h i n g ~}$ out his head and body towards the goal.-C. Simeon, of Cambridge, says in one of his last letters, alluding to his still abundant toils, "I am so near the goal that I cannot help running with all my might."

 and more" ( $\mathbf{1}$ Thess. iv. 1, 10) was St Paul's ideal of Christian life for others, snd above all for himself.

катd бкотдข $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \omega$. "I press on goal-ward." Cp. 1 Cor. ix. 26,
 clear in view. The word okotos is used in the classics rather of a target than a goal ; but the context here is decisive.
als qò $\beta$ paßeiov. "Unto the prize" (R.V.); $\epsilon$ ls leads the thought up to the attuinment itself.

Bpajeiov. The word occurs (in N.T.) only here and 1 Cor. ix. 24,
 late and scarce in classical Greek, though $\beta \rho a \beta$ eús (an umpire, arbiter, and then, more widely, a leader) is familiar in the Tragedians, and Bpaßeia (an umpire's office) occurs in Euripides. In patristic Greek $\beta p a \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, naturally, is often found. E.g. Clement of Rome (1 Ep. Cor.
 transliterated in Latin brabeum, brabium, bravium; so in the Lat. Versions here.-The "prize " is " the crown," "the wreath," $\sigma \pi \epsilon \notin a \nu o s$, glory everlasting as the issue and triumph of the life of grace. Cp. Rev. ii. 10, and esp. 2 Tim. iv. 7, 8.

On St Paul's use of athletic metaphors, see Appendix L.


 influence, and its issue.
 Epistles, refer not merely to the external invitations of the Gospel but to the internal attraction and victory of grace. See e.g. 1 Cor. i. 23, 24, where the $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 l$ are differenced from those who have heard the message of Christ bat who find only $\sigma$ кáv $\delta a \lambda o \nu$ or $\mu \omega \rho i a$ in it. - Tov $\theta$ eov. $^{\text {. The Father. He is the ultimate "Caller" (so Hom. viii. 29, oüs }}$ $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon, \kappa \tau \lambda$. , and cp. Gal. i. 15; $2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{i} .9$ ); and the "call" is $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ X $\rho$. 'I. as it comes through the Son and leads to union with Him. Cp. for the phrase 1 Cor. vii. $22, \delta \epsilon \nu \kappa v \rho i \varphi(\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \in i s \delta o \ddot{\partial} \lambda o s, \kappa \tau \lambda$.
15. teגtol. Here is an apparent discrepancy with his rejection of the thought of his being " perfected," just above. But he seems to be taking up here, with a sort of loving irony, a word used by those who favoured some form of "perfectionism." It is as if he would say, "Are we really perfect Christians, all that Christians should be, in thought and life? Then among the things which should be in us is a holy discontent with our actual holiness. The man in this sense perfect will be the very man to think himself not yet perfected." We may notice also that $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \cos$ is an elastic word; it often means "full-
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$. The $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ as in this respect would have mature faculty, but would not therefore claim ideal character. The Apostle may thus be using the word with reference at once to a misuse of it, and to a legitimate use.
$\phi \rho o \nu \omega \mu \epsilon v$. See notes on $\phi \rho \sigma \nu \epsilon \bar{\sim}$ above, i. 7, ii. 2, 5.
 discipline of life, shewing more and more the correspondence of the inspired Message with the facts of the soul.-Such words, while they breathe a deep tolerauce and patience, imply the Apostle's commission as a supernatarally inspired messenger of Christ; otherwise he would
make an undue claim. Op. Gal.i. 6-12, where the strong assertions of the absolute and unique truth of "his Gospel" are expressly based on

16. $\pi \lambda$ r̀̀v. "Only." He qualifies the thought of certain present differences of view, by a plea for all the agreement possible.
tis $\delta$ éd日áap,iv. "(As regards) the point we have reached." $\Phi \nexists a v e l v$, in olassical Greek, implies properly arrival beforehand, out-
 Later, and ordinarily in N.T., it loses much of this speciality, and means little but "to arrive." Yet in most places a shadow of its proper meaning can be traced; the arrival is usually either sudden

 trace a hint of difficulty; the thought of the toilsome race is still present; as if to say, "as regards the point we have succeeded in reaching."-On the rendering of $\epsilon \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ by an English perfect, see ubove, note on thaßor, ver. 12.
$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aủtథ̣ $\sigma$ roxxeiv. "Take your steps on the same (principle)."乏rocरeip, more than $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i \nu$, suggests the step, the detail. Cp.
 infinitive for the imperative" is familiar in classical Greek, especially
 $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \notin \mu^{\prime} \eta \delta \eta \eta \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i \nu$. The construction is regularly used in address to others (see Alford here), not in appeals to self; we render here therefore, "Take your steps, \&c."

Here, as in so many places, the Apostle makes a sidelong reference to the need of the spirit of unity at Philippi. "As regards the point they have reached," they are besought to cultivate a conscious harmony in principle and practice.

On the reading of this verse, see critical note.
17-21. Application of the thodght of Progress: Warning agatnet unholy misuse of the truth of Grace: the doming Glory of the Body, a motife to Pubity.
17. $\Sigma \nu \mu \mu \mu \eta \tau а l \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{v \epsilon \sigma t \epsilon}$. Literally, "Become my co-imitators"; "join in copying my example." In this case, the example is that of the renunciation of self-righteousness and of the dream of an attained perfection. St Paul often thus invites "imitation"; see

 2 Thess. iii. 7, ot $\delta a \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \epsilon \hat{i} \mu \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a l \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s: 9$, ìa éavzoùs тütov $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$
 but a mark of entire confidence in his message and its principles, and a clear conscience as to the power of them on his own life.

бкотєitc. Observate, Vulg.- $\Sigma$ котєì usually implies the need of


reforence; to see St Paul's example, for daily practice, let them watch its reflection in his attached followers among themselves.
 Epistle. Elsewhere it is a favourite with St Paul, to denote life in its action and intercourse; e.g. Rom. vi. 4, $\langle\nu \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \delta \tau \eta \tau \iota ~ \zeta \omega \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \eta \sigma \omega-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ : хiii. 13, є่ं $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \nu \omega \omega s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$; Gal. v. 16, $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\cup} \mu a \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a-$ $\tau \epsilon i ̄ \tau \in:$ Eph. ii. 2, 10, iv. 1, 17, v. 2, 8, 15; and many other places.
túrov $\bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathbf{a}$. "Shrinking from the egotism of dwelling on his own personal example, St Paul passes at once from the singular ( $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) to the plural ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{as})$ " (Lightfoot). He similarly uses the plural in 2 Thess. iii. 7, quoted above, and 1 Thess. i. 6.
18. mo入入ol. So early did an antinomian travesty of the Gospel of free grace arise and spread. Similar errors are in view in Rom. xvi. 17, 18, where he denounces the utterers of unwholesome $\chi$ р $\eta$ oro 0 ofia кal єüloria. The moral disorders at Corinth ( 1 Cor. v., vi.) were probably defended on such principles. To this class of error fom.

 doubt under a common moral likeness. Some would hold the tenet prominent later in "Gnosticism," that matter must be evil, and that the body therefore can never be holy. Others (and these surely are in view in the Roman Epistle, and probably here) would push the truth of free justification into a real isolation from other truth, and so into deadly error; teaching that the $\pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a r t \kappa 6$ s is so accepted in Christ that his moral actions matter not to God. Every great period of spiritual upheaval and power is, as by a subtle law, defaced by some such growths of great misbelief. Such were the phenomena, cent. xvi., of the Libertines at Geneva, and the Prophets of Zwickau; and in one degree or another such things are continually felt in Christian life and history.

At Philippi, this "school" would be broadly, perhaps bitterly, divided from the Judaists. But the "extremes might meet" so as to account for the mention of both here in a certain connexion. A stern formal legalism has a tendency to slight "the weightier matters of the law," heart-purity among them. Still, the persons here directly in view (vv. 18, 19) "gloried in their shame"; this must mean a positive and reasoned libertinism.

тo $\lambda \lambda$ ákıs. Sadly echoing $\pi$ ro $\lambda \lambda o l$.
eneyov. "I used to tell you of as...." As if he would write,

 former days, when among you. So very early was the mischief in the air.
vûv סè kal k $\lambda a i(\omega v$. "But now actually weeping." Years had only shewn him more clearly the deplorable mischiefs of the delusion.

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$ дакр $\partial \omega \nu$.-K $\lambda a t \epsilon \iota \nu$ implies not tears only but lamentation,
audible grief, and thus gives a peculiar pathos to a passage like this. -See Appendix $M$ for an extract from a sermon by Adolphe Monod (in his Saint Paul, Cinq Discours), Son Christianisme, ou ses Larmes.
roùs Ex $^{\theta}$ poùs rov̂ $\sigma$ taupov̂. "As the personal enemies of the cross"; deluding themselves and their followers into the horrible belief that the Cross of Atonement, God's own argument and secret for our holiness, was in effect intended to give security to sin. Possibly the praise of the Cross was much on their lips; but their doctrine and practice made them its most formidable enemies, disgracing it in the world's eyes.


 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{\omega}{ }^{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda l \varphi$;

d $\theta$ còs. The antinomian boasted probably of a special intimacy with God.
$\dot{\eta}$ кoilia. "The" (not necessarily "their") "belly." Cp. Rom. xvi. 18, where probably the same "school" is in view; X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ou
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ко८ $\lambda(q$ каl $\dot{\eta} \kappa$. тоís $\beta \rho \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \nu \nu$ are probably quoted from a supposed advocate of this same evil "Gospel."-Koinia is not used in classical Greek in other than its physical meaning ( $\gamma$ a $\tau \tau \dot{\prime} \rho$ appears for "gluttony"; e.g. $\gamma a \sigma \tau \rho l$ $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon(\nu$, Xen. Mem. i. 6. 8); but we have кoi $\lambda$ to $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$ in the fragments of Eupolis (Ko八aк. 4), for "a votary of the belly." So venter in Latin; Lightfoot refers to Seneca, de Vita Beata, ix. 4: hominis bonum quaro, non ventris.
 larger liberty, a deeper insight, a sublimated Christianity. But their vaunted wisdom was exactly their foulest shame.
 earth." The construction is free but clear.-Contrast Col. iii. 2, $\tau$ d $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ фроveĩ $\epsilon, \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ émi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ रभीs: and see the practical precepts in the
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s, \kappa \tau \lambda$.-For $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i \nu$ see notes above, on i. 7, ii. 2.

The dogmatic libertine would claim to live in an upper region, to be so conversant with celestial principles as to be free of terrestrial restraints. As a fact, his fime-spun theory was a transparent veil over the bodily lusts which were his real interests.
20. $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ yàp. The link of thought with ver. 18 is easily traced; "Such thoughts and lives are wholly alien to ours; for \&c."
"While the earliest MSS. all read $\gamma \alpha \rho$, the earliest citations (with several versions [e.g. Vulg., autem]) have persistently $\delta \delta$. I have therefore given $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ as a possible alternative; although it is probably a substitution for $\gamma$ d $\rho$, of which the connexion was not very obvious" (Lightfoot).

то̀ $\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \epsilon \cup \mu a . ~ R . V . ~ t e x t, ~ " c i t i z e n s h i p " ; ~ m a r g i n, ~ " ~ o r, ~ c o m m o n-~$ wealth." A.V., "conversation" (which is the rendering of all our older versions, except Wyclif's, which has "lyvyng"). This represents the conversatio of the Vulg.; "the intercourse of life" (see above, note on $\pi \sigma \lambda_{\iota} \tau \epsilon \dot{U} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, i. 27). The meaning is thns, in effect, "We live and move (on earth) as those who are (spiritually) in heaven."

The word mo久i $\tau \in \mu a$ occurs here alone in Biblical Greek. In classical Greek it denotes (a) an act, or measure, of government;
 (b) the governing body of a state, a "government"; (c) the constitution of a state, e.g. rो $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \delta \eta \mu о к р a \tau i a s ~ m o \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a($ (出schin., 51. 12). This latter meaning obviously is most in point here. St Paul means that Christians are citizens of the heavenly city or realm, free of its privileges, but therefore also "obliged by their nobility" to live on

 probably with this passage in his mind. Meanwhile, for reasons to be further given from below (on $\bar{\xi}$ ovi) it seems at least possible that St Paul's thought, in the use of moגiтєvдa here, glided from "citizenship," or "commonwealth," almost to "city"; it at least bordered upon locality. The translation "seat of citizenship" may thus not unfairly represent it.

Ȧv oủpavois. "In the heavens." (The word is self-defined; the article is not necessary.) A very frequent plural in Biblical Greek; the classics always use the singular.-For the Heavenly City cp. Gal.

 (Euseb., Dem. Ev. iv. p. 126, oúpavoro $\lambda \iota s$, $\dot{\eta} \dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \widehat{s}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho о v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ :
 with its oúpavonoxital (Elcum. in c. ix. ad Hebreos; oúpavotoरîfal
 work, de Civitate Dei (about A.D. 420), contains a wealth of illustrations of the idea of this passage. To him, at the crisis of the fall of the imperial City, the Christian appears as citizen of a State which is the antithesis, not of civil order, which is of God, but of "the world," which is against Him. This holy State, or City, exists now, and works for good through its citizens, but it is to be completed and revealed only when eternal glory begins. See Smith, Dict. Chr. Biography, i. 221.

The thought of the City was dear to St Augustine. The noble medieval lines of Hildebert, Me receptet Syon illa, Urbs beata, urbs tranquilla (see Trench, Sacred Lat. Poetry, p. 332, with pp. 312320), quoted at the close of Longfellow's Golden Legend, come almost verbatim from Augustine, de Spiritu et Animd, c. Lx.: O civitas sancta, civitas speciosa, de longinquo te saluto, ad te clamo, te requiro.
$\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ ovi. The pronoun cannot refer directly to the plaral oujpavoi. It must either be the mere adverbial equivalent of $\bar{\sigma} \theta \in \nu$, or it must refer to $\pi$ o $\lambda$ l $\tau \epsilon \boldsymbol{e} \mu a$. The first explanation is simple; and it is asserted (see Winer, Gr. of N.T. Greel, ed. Moulton, p. 177) that $\bar{\xi} \xi$ ov is used for
$\Delta \theta \epsilon \mathrm{v}$. But the evidence produced is, to say the least, inconclusive. The reference of ovi to $\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a$ seems preferable. St Paul seems to use mo入itev $\mu a$ with, so to speak, a local notion in it.

каi $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{j} \rho a$ áтєкбєхо́цєөa. " We are actually waiting for, as our
 persistence and desire. It occurs elsewhere, Rom. viii. 19, $\dot{\eta}$ dro-




 but the last (and perhaps Gal. v. 5) refer to the Lord's longed for Return in glory, $\dot{\eta} \mu$ мкарia $\epsilon \lambda \pi l_{s}$ (Tit. ii. 13), which everywhere shines out in the N.T. as the Promise of promises to the believer and to the Church.
$\Sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$. At His coming He will complete our "salvation" by accomplishing the $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \dot{u} \tau \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ rov̂ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$, and so realizing in all its aspects our viotegia (Rom. viii. 23) in Himself. With $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ here compare Rom. xiii. 1, ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \dot{u} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho / a:$ where "salvation" has the same reference to the Lord's Return.

кúpıov 'I $\eta$ ooîv Xpıoтóv. The full designation well accords with the holy hope and joy of the context.
21. $\mu$ етar $\chi \eta \mu a \tau i \sigma \epsilon l$. See the note on $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, above, ii. 8. Cp.

 obviously, superficial changes are in view, true to the distinctive meaning of $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$. And so it is here, in a true sense. Already the essentials of the "new creation" (2 Cor. v. 17; Gal. vi. 15), which is to be "manifested in glory" (Col. iii. 4), are present in the believer. Where the Holy Spirit "dwells," there already, even for the body, resides the pledge and as it were germ of the heavenly state (Kom. viii. 11). Thas the final transfiguration will be, so to speak, rather of guise than of being; as with the Lord Himself on the mountaintop. (But observe that in Matt. xvii. 2; Mar. ix. 2, we have $\mu \in \tau \varepsilon$ $\mu \circ \rho \phi \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$.)
 common) " body " (Beza's corpus nostrum humile, and Lather's unsern nichtigen Leib). This is a paraphrase of the Greek, involving the reader's loss and possible serious misguidance. No contempt of the body is implied by the Greek; only the body is " connected with our humiliation" as being, in its present state, inseparably connected with the burthens and limitations of earth, and conditioned by mortality.

Observe this peculiar mystery and glory of the Gospel, a promise of heavenly perfectness for the body of the Christian. It is no mere prison of the spirit ; it is its counterpart, destined to share with it, in deep harmony, the coming bliss. Its stricken condition, in the Fall, makes it often the load of the spirit now; hereafter it shall be its wings.

The bearing of all this on the libertine, who sinned eis to iotov $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a(1 \mathrm{Cor} . \mathrm{vi} .18)$, is manifest.
$\sigma \dot{\sim} \mu \mu o p \phi o v . ~ " T o ~ b e ~ c o n f o r m e d, " ~ R . V . ~ S e e ~ n o t e ~ o n ~ \mu o \rho \phi \eta ं, ~ a b o v e, ~$ ii. 6. It is implied that the coming likeness to our Blessed Lord's Body shall be in appearance ( $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ) because in reality; the glorious surface shall but express the glorious substance. "O $\mu 0 t o t$ a立 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \delta-$ $\mu \in \theta a(1$ Joh. iii. 2) : to Hrm, not only to His "guise."
 Resurrection, and carricd it up in Ascension, and manifests Himself

 Exaltation, and being, so far as He pleases, the vehicle of its display. Of it thus conditioned St Panl had a glimpse at his conversion (Acts



Our future likeness in body to His body is alone in direct view here, because the Apostle is dealing with specially sensual forms of error. But it stands in profound implied connexion with moral and spiritual likeness.

From this passage, as from others (see esp. 1 Cor. xv. 42-44, where $\sigma \pi \varepsilon(\rho \in \tau a t$ and $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau a l$ refer to the same thing), we gather that the Christian's body here and hereafter is somehow continuous; not wholly a new thing in subsistence. But when we say this, we have said all we know; the mystery of the nature of matter falls upon our attempts to think the question out. The émovodivo (I Cor. xv. 48) will be "the same"; truly continuous, in their whole being, with the pilgrims of earth. But no one can say that therefore some particle of the body of humiliation must live on in the body of glory; any more than it is necessary to bodily identity now that constituent particles of the body of childhood should contipue in the body of old age. However, the next words assure us that we may leave the matter in peace in the hands of "the Saviour, the Lord Jesus Christ." Somehow, in His will and power,
> "Though changed and glorified each face, Not unremembered [we shall] meet, For endless ages to embrace."

(The Christian Year, St Andrew's Day.)
 working of His being able." Secundum operationem qua possit, Vulg. The A.V., "mighty working," aims to represent the special force of ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a$ (see note on $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, ii. 13); but it is too strong. The $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a$ is just the putting forth of the $\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \theta a u$.
 Elsewhere the Father appears as "subduing all things" to the Son, in the final victory. So 1 Cor. xv. 25 (Psal. cx. 1), 27 (Psal. viii, 6),
 the Son are One in will and power.-Cp. Joh. v. 31, oütws אal $\dot{o}$ viṕ

avit $\hat{\varphi}$ ．On the reading，see critical note．－＂To Himself＂：so we must render，in common sense，whether we read aưt⿳⺈⿴囗十一⿱䒑䶹．or airû．－We too，in English，sometimes say＂him＂where＂himself＂is meant．－ In such cases the thought is from the speaker＇s or writer＇s view－ point，rather than from that of the subject of the words．

His＂subjugation＂is thus such that what He subdues shall some－ how serve Him．His very enemies shall be＂His footstool＂；and in His glorified saints He shall be glorified（2 Thess．i．10）．Through this great conquest of the Son the Father will be supremely magnified；
 our full understanding，but pointing to an infinitely developed mani－ festation in eternity of the glory of the Father in the Son．But the immediate thought of this passage is the almighty grace and power of the incarnate，glorified，returning Saviodr of His people．
$\tau \dot{d}$ пávia．The expression differs just so far from $\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau a$ that it sums up＂all things＂and presents them together．

## CHAPTER IV．

2．Eúosiav．So certainly，not Eúwofay，which appears in a very few MSS．not of high authority．

3．yviout $\sigma \dot{v} v \zeta \nu y^{\epsilon}$（the MSS．are divided between $\sigma v \nu \zeta$－and $\sigma u \zeta$－）． So NABD， 17274773 and some other cursives，vulg（germane compar） copt goth æth，Orig Victorin．KL，most cursives，syrr，Chr Thdt，read $\sigma \dot{v}\left\langle\nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\nu} \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \epsilon\right.$ ．WH print $\Sigma \sigma_{\nu} \delta \nu \gamma \epsilon$（as a proper name）in the margin．
 Clem Victorin Amb．So all recent Editors，$N \in D_{2}^{c} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ ，almost all cursives，Orig Ath Cyr Chrys add X $\boldsymbol{X} \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\psi}$ ，and a few fathers $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\psi}$

 （twice）． $\mathrm{D}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 1737$ ，and several other cursives，vulg，Chrys（twice） Cyr Victorin and other fathers read $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a$. ．All recent Editors－$\sigma e l$ ． In such a case it is the more likely alternative that the assertion would be softened，in copies or quotations，into an aspiration．

т̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} 0 \hat{\imath} \tau \mathbf{c}$ ．So $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{ABD}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ ，17．Most cursives and quotations read the masc．form，$\tau \delta \nu \pi \lambda_{0} \hat{0} \tau 0 \nu$ ．

23．tov̂ K．＇I．X．So $\mathbb{N A B G}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ ，most cursives，arm． $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ，some cursives，syr（pesh）copt weth and some fathers add $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ．

то仑̂ $\pi v \in \dot{u} \mu a \operatorname{tos} \dot{\tilde{v}} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．So $\aleph^{*} \mathrm{ABD}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}, 61731477380$ and some other cursives，copt arm ath，Damase Victorin．So all recent Editors． NcKL，most cursives，syrr，Chrys Thdrt Thphyl read $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{u} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．
＇$A \mu \nsim \nu$ is added by $N A D_{2} K_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ ，almost all cursives，vulg copt syrr arm æeth．Wordsw alone of recent Editors retains it．The evidence for it is strong．

Subscription．This is omitted in the present edition，with Lachm

 ( $\mathrm{D}_{2}, 1$ Thess. following), è $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \pi \rho \grave{s} \Phi^{\Phi} \downarrow \lambda \iota \pi \pi \eta \sigma$ ious ( G , Col. following),



Cb. IV. 1-7. With such $a$ Hope, and such $a$ Lord, lef thim bra gieadpast, united, joyful, belf-forgetfoth, restyul, prayerfol; the Peace of God shall be theirs.

1. " $\Omega$ ore. The word is frequent in St Paul to introduce an inference. He has now to infer much from the glorious data just stated.
dyarniol. A word characteristic of the Gospel of love, and used by all the apostolic writers. St Paul has it 27 times.
iminóonpol. The word is found here only in N.T. 'Eminotề is used not seldom in LXX. In this Epistle it occurs i. 6, ii. 26; and
 onots, 2 Cor. vii. 7, 11.

 addressed to the sister Macedonian Church. Here, as there, he is looking forward to the Lord's Return, and to a joyful recognition of his converts then.
oṽтws. In the faith and in the practice just expounded.
$\sigma$ тinkere. For this verb see above, note on i. 27. Cp. 1 Cor. xvi.
 кvpíc : and see Gal. v. 1.
t $\boldsymbol{v}$ кvpic. In recolleation and use of your vital union with Him, as your righteousness and your hope.
dyarntoc. He can hardly say the last word of love.
2. Eibofav... Evviuxìv. Both are feminine names (the bearers are referred to as women just below, ver. 3), and both are known in the insoriptions. Lightfoot quotes (from the collections of Gruter and Muratori) e.g. Euhodia, Euodia, Syntyche, Suntyche, Syntiehe. In Tindale and 'Cranmer' the second name appears as "Sintiches," intended (like Euodias, shortened from Euodianus) to be masculine. But the inscriptions give neither Euodias nor Syntyches; this last would be at best a very doubtful variant for (the regular) Syntychus.
We know nothing of Euodia and Syntyche outside this passage.
 certainly given St Paul active help. Perhaps their high repatation had ensnared them in self-esteem and so led to mutual jealousy.Lightfoot (Phil,, pp. 55-57) points out that "the active zeal of the women [in the Macedonian missious] is a remarkable fact, without a parallel in the Apostle's history elsewhere, and only to be compared with their prominence at an earlier date in the personal ministry of our Lord"; and that "the extant Macedonian inscriptions seem to
assign to the sex a higher social influence than is common among the civilized nations of antiquity." See above, Introduction, ch. i.

As a curiosity of interpretation Eilicott (see also Lightfoot, p. 170) mentions the conjectures of Schwegler (developed by Volkmar) that the two names are really designations of Church-parties, and were devised with a meaning: "Euodia," "right-path," is orthodoxy, i.e. Petrinism; Syntyche, "partner," symbolizes the incorporation of the Gentiles, Paulinism. Of course this theory views the Epistle as a fabricated eirenicon, belonging to an after-generation.
3. ¿р $\omega \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$. "I beg"; as in our polite use of that word. In secular writers (and often in Biblical Greek) ép $\rho \tau \dot{a} \omega$ regularly means "to enquire," " to question." The meaning "to request" is very rare in sccular Greek; occasional in LXX., and somewhat frequent in N.T.,
 here) : John xiv. 16, $\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \eta \sigma \omega \tau \delta \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho a: 1$ Thess. v. 12, $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$


кal $\sigma$ t. "Thee also," as co-operating with St Paul.
 which Wyclif renders, "the german felowe," i.e. "thee, genuine (germane) comrade."-For the metaphor (oúsuros) cp. 2 Cor. vi. 14, $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 explanation is, St Paul's wifel. So Clem. Alex., Strom. iii. p. 535
 $\sigma u ́ s v \gamma o \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu$ ou $\pi \varepsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \delta \mu c \zeta \in \kappa \tau \lambda$. This is not only unlikely in itself, but $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta_{\sigma}$ te is against it; "the uncertain gender of oúvS. would cause $\gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ$ os to revert to three terminations" (Ellicott). Another suggestion
 Philippian leader, and that St Paul describes him as "true to his name" ( $\gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \epsilon$ ). Such a play on 'Oעウ́бıцos occurs Philem. 11. But Syzygus does not occur as a name in inseriptions. Chrysostom suggests a husband or brother of one of the women; others, Timothy. Lightfoot advocates Epaphroditus, who would thus have this friendly commission given him in writing as well as orally. This is at least probable.
 women. The word "help" happily suggests that they would themselves do their best for peace. This open mention of a personal difficulty seems to indicate the modest and, so to speak, domestic scale of the Philippian community.
altıves. Just more than at: see above on ätıдa, iii. 7. R.Y., well, "for they."
 These two women had given earnest and energetic aid in St Paul's work at Philippi; perhaps with special $\chi$ aplopara (see Acts xxi. 9;

[^13]cp. 1 Cor. xi. 5), or simply as instructors of other women, or in practical labours of love.

 associated with them in the special "wrestling" to which St Paul refers. The words may of course mean that Clement dc. are asked to join the "yokefellow" in "helping" the two women (a view preferred in the note here in the Camb. Bible for Schools); but it seems less likely that St Paul would thus call in help from many quarters in a personal matter than that he should (with happy tact) pass from his allusion to the disagreement to expand his allusion to past labours in which the two persons at variance had joined.

K $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ s. We cannot be sure of his identity; the name was common. Origen (in Joann. i. 29) identifies him with St Clement of
 quoting this passage. So Eusebius (H.E. iii. 4), K $\lambda \lambda \mu \mu \bar{\gamma} \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu a i \omega v$
 мaprupeital. So Jerome (Scriptt. Eccl.); not Chrysostom here. There is nothing impossible in this. But the dates of St Clement's life and work are obscure in detail, and some evidence makes him survive till quite 120, more than half a century later than this. In his Ep. to tho Corinthians (cp. xlvii.) he makes most reverent mention of St Paul, but does not claim him as his personal chief.-See Lightfoot, Phil., p. 168.
 time and in the circumstances here recalled.
 appears Exod. xxxii. 31, 33; a $\beta i \beta \lambda$ os $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \omega v$, Psal. lxviii. (Heb., lxix.) $33 ; \dot{\eta} \beta l \beta \lambda o s$ simply, Dan. ix. 12; $\hat{\eta} \beta$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$, Rev. iii. 5 , xx . 15;
 xiii. 9; Dan. xii. 1. On the whole, in the light of these passages, St Paul seems here to refer to "the Lord's knowledge of them that are His" (2 Tim. ii. 19; cp. Joh. x. 27, 28), for time and eternity. All the passages in the Revelation, save iii. 5 , connect the phrase with the ultimate preservation of the saints; especially xiii. 8, xvii. 8;
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.) seems to point another way (see Trench there, Seven Epistles). But compared with other passages, that sentence may be only a vivid assurance that the name shall be found in the (indelible) register. Exod. xxxii. and Peal. lxix. may well refer to a register of "the living" in respect of life temporal, not eternal.-Practically, Clement and "the rest" are referred to as having fully evidenced by their works their part in that "life eternal" which is to know God and Christ (Joh. xvii. 3).-The word dybuata powerfully suggests the individual incidence of Divine love. Cp. Luke x. 20, Td $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \mu a \tau \alpha$

 See above jii. 1, and notes, where the evidence of $\pi a \nu \tau \phi \tau \epsilon$ in favour of
rendering xalpere by "rejoice" is pointed out.-Cp. 1 Thess. v. 16, 17, $\pi а \nu \tau o ́ \tau \varepsilon ~ \chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \dot{a} \delta a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \tau \omega s \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

He leads them above all lower reasons for joy, and away from all variations of events and feelings, direct to Him (è кupi $(\varphi)$ who is the supreme and unalterable gladness of the believer. And now, in deep sequence, he draws in detail the ideal of the life upon whioh Christ thus shines.
 tion"; Wyclif, "youre pacience"; Tindale and 'Cranmer,' "youre softenes"; Geneva, "your patient mynde"; Rheims, "your modestie"; Luther, Eure Lindigkeit; R.V. text, "your forbearance," marg. "your gentleness." "Forbearance" is best, though scarcely adequate.
 more probably, with $\tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \delta$ (
 for his full rights; the $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \chi^{\prime} s$ will rather take sides against himself, look from the other's point of view, remember his own duties and the other's rights. 'E $\pi$ teiketa is, so to speak, $\pi p a b r \eta s$ applied in action.



 which will yield like air in matters of personal feeling or interest, while it will stand like rock in respect of moral principle. See Trench's careful discussion, N.T. Synonyms, \& xiiii.
 and operative. Estius (quoted by Trench) says that "étecixela magis [quam лга́⿱宀fทr] ad exteriorem conversationem pertinet."
ó кúplos éypús. Perhaps rather in the sense of presence than of
 secret of His presence" ( Psal. xxxi. (xxx.) 19) they were to be "hid" from the vexations of life around them. Yet the deeply calming thought of the Lord's Return may well be latent in the words too. In the Teaching of the Twelve Apostles (probably cent. i.), the final Eucharistic prayer closes with the words Mapà d $\dot{\theta} \theta \dot{d}$ ("The Lord cometh," 1 Cor. xvi. 21) d $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.
 sitis, Vulg. Sorget nichts, Luther. On the etymology of $\mu \in \rho \mu \nu a \nu$, and on the thought here, see above on ii. 20. The mental action here blamed is there (in Timothy) commended; a discrepancy harmonized by the different conditions contemplated in the two places. Here the saints are enjoined never to forget their Lord's attention and loving power, and in that spirit to meet every trial to inward peace. Cp.

 1 Cor. vii. 32, $\theta \in \lambda \omega \dot{v} \mu \hat{a s} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \rho i \mu \nu o u s$ eival. See the warnings against

$\alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ Ev mavrl. The all-inclusive positive exactly answers the allinclusive negative, $\mu \eta \delta \grave{v} \nu$. Cp. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu a \nu, \kappa \tau \lambda ., 1$ Pet. v. 7.



 rais $\delta \epsilon \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ кai rais $\pi$. Пробєvxท' is the larger word, and always sacred; it includes all varieties of worship; our "prayer" thus nearly corresponds to it, though we occasionally use "prayer," "pray," in mundane connexions. $\Delta \in \eta \sigma \iota s$ has no limitation to religious uses, and is the narrower word ; "request," petition for desired benefits. (See Trench, N.T. Syn., s.v. rpooev $\chi \dot{\eta}$.) Not that the distinction is to be much pressed in an accumulation like this; practically he means to emphasize the one thought of a reverent approach to God about our needs.



 temper of the Christian should always be one of thanksgiving...The Palms, in Hebrew, are the Praises (a? include the element of thanksgiving, for mercies temporal and spiritual" (Bp Perowne).-The privilege of access to God is itself an abiding theme of praise.
 must reconcile such action on our part with His Infinity. True faith will rest (and act) on such a precept, with little anxiety about the rationale; and Scripture is full of illustrations and encouragements, from the prayers of the patriarchal saints (e.g. Gen. xviii., xxiv.) onwards.
7. kal. An important link here. Prayerfulness and the Divine peace are in profound connexion.



 $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \tau$ vipiv.

тávтa voũv. "All mind," all mere thinking power. "It passes the mind of man," to analyse or describe. Lightfoot renders "surpassing every device or counsel' of man, i.e. [producing] a higher satisfaction than all anxious forethought." But this seems scarcely to harmonize with the lofty tone of the words. Lightfoot himself



фроvpи́नє. "Shall guard," R.V. Geneva, "shall defend." Vulg., custodiat, missing the point of the future tense, with its strong positive promise, far different from an aspiration. For the verb cp. 2 Cor, xi.



карбías...voŋ́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{a}$. "Heart" in Scripture inoludes the whole inner world, with its contents of understanding (a frequent special reference), affections, and will.-Narpata are the actions of the pôs. Cp. 2 Cor. ii. 11, ou $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ aủrố $\tau \grave{a} \nu o \eta \mu a \tau \alpha ~ d \gamma \nu \nu o u ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$ (the word is confined to this Ep. and 2 Cor. in the whole range of Biblical Greek).-Even the details of our mental action, as we plan, reason, judge, and the like, shall be shielded from evil by the peace of God.
év Xpiotề 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$. "In," not (A.V.) "through." The Lord is the Place of peace.

8-9. A last spiritual appeal: let their minds be true to ali that is good: let them follow Padl's teaching and pradtice.
8. Tò $\lambda$ doróv. See above, on iii. 1. Once more he gathers up the thought towards a close.-Are their "hearts and thoughts" thus "sentinelled," in Christ, by the peace of God? Then let them, in their safe Castle, "in the Secret of the Presence," not sleep, but give their minds all possible pure material to work upon, with a view to holy practice. Let them reckon up, think over, estimate aright ( $\lambda_{0} \gamma /(\xi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ), all things true and good; perhaps specially in contrast to the subtle "reckonings" of the teachers denounced above (iii. 18, 19), who would divoree the "spiritual" and the moral.

ठбa é $\sigma \tau l \nu \mathrm{~d} \lambda \eta \beta \bar{\eta}$. "All things which are true." Truthfulness of word and act, simcerity of cbaracter, is utterly indispensable to the holiness of the Gospel.
$\sigma \epsilon \mu v \alpha$. "Honourable," R.V.; almost, "dignified"; like the old English use of "solemn."-Vulg., pudica.-Cp. 1 Tim. iii. 8, where the children of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o s$ are to be ruled $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta s \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta \tau \eta \tau o s:$
 тas...єival $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o u ́ s$. The word points to seriousness of purpose and to self-respect in conduct.

8ikaia. As between man and man. The Christian will be a model of dutifulness.
ayvá. Probably in the special respect of true bodily chastity, in thought and act. "A Avós and $\kappa a \theta a p b s$ differ from ajpos in that they admit the thought or the fact of temptation or pollution; while $\boldsymbol{a} \gamma$ os describes that which is holy absolutely, either in itself or in idea" (Westcott, on 1 Joh. iii. 3). See also Trench, Synn. if., xxxviii.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \eta$. "Pleasing," "amiable." The Christian must remember manner. Grace must make him gracious; he is to "adorn (коб $\mu \in \hat{i} \nu$ ) the doctrine of God his Saviour" (Tit. ii. 10).
єv̋ф $\eta \mu \alpha$. "Sweet spoken"; $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}$ in a special respect. "Not 'well-spoken of, well-reputed,' for the word seems never to have this passive meaning; but with its usual active sense, 'fair-speaking,' and so 'winning, attractive'" (Lightfoot). In the classics a frequent
meaning is＂auspicious，＂the opposite of $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \phi \eta \mu_{0}$ ：so $\epsilon \ddot{\prime \prime} \not \eta \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{n} \mu \mu \alpha \rho$ ，再sch．Ag．636；and it thus glides into the meaning＂silent，＂with the silence which preoludes $\delta v \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$ ．But such aspects of the word can hardly be supposed present here．Ellicott explains，＂fair－sound－ ing，＂＂high－toned．＂R．V．（with A．V．）renders，＂of good report＂； margin，＂or，gracious．＂
 ously to avoid this common heathen term for moral excellence．．．．［It is not］found elsewhere in the N．T．except in 1 Pet．ii． 9 ［ $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau a ́ s$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}], 5$［ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi 0 \rho \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{n} \pi\{\sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ d．］，in all which passages it seems to have some special sense．In the O．T．it always signifies ＇glory，praise＇．．．In the Apocrypha it has its ordinary olessical sense．
 expressions，recapitulating the previous subjects under two general heads，the intrinsic character and the subjective estimation．The strangeness of the word，however，combined with the change of expres－ sion $\epsilon_{l}^{\prime \prime}$ ths，will suggest another explanation；＇Whatever value may reside in your old heathen conception of virtue，whatever considera－ tion is due to the praise of men＇；as if the Apostle were anxious not to omit any possible ground of appeal．Thus Beza＇s remark on $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ ysems to be just；＇Verbum nimis humile，si cum donis Spiritus Sancti comparetur ${ }^{\prime \prime}$（Lightfoot）．By origin and usage $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ is con－ nected with thoughts of manhood and self－reliance．In the Gospel， the basis of goodvess is self－renunciation，in order to the reception of $\chi$ á $\rho \iota s$ ，the undeserved gift of God．

Etravos．It is not right to do good for the selfish pleasure of praise． But to praise good deeds is right，and so may give the recipient of the praise a pure moral pleasure．St Paul appeals to the fact of such desert of praise，and uses it to attract thought in right directions． ＂Make right praise an index of the things on which you should spend thought．＂
$\lambda_{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{i \zeta} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．＂Reckon up，＂＂calculate．＂To illustrate negatively，
 evil＂done against her；does not dwell on it，brooding over it， couating up the elements of the grievance．
 iii．17．－The aorists refer to the past days at Philippi．
$\pi a p \in \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．In the sense of receiving a truth passed on by a teacher，who on his part mapaii $\delta \omega \sigma(\nu$ ．See e．g． 1 Cor．xi．23，є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$

 Mapa入a $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nu$ thus comes very nearly to mean＂to learn＂and пара－ $\delta i \delta \dot{\nu} a l(\pi a p a \delta o \sigma i s)$＂to teach．＂
év époi．Strietly，the words attach themselves to eidere only．It


траंनбєтє．＂Practise．＂＂Roughly speaking，$\pi$ o七eì may be said to ．．．designate performance，$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \quad$ intentional．．．habitual performance；
$\pi$ ．to point to an actual result，$\pi \rho$ ．to the scope and character of the result＂（Grimm，ed．Thayer，s．v．$\pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{\prime}$ ）．
kal．Sce above on the $\kappa$ al which introduces rer． 7.



 d⿱亠乂⿰丿丿⿱二小欠
 peace is plainly social peace rather than internal，personal peace． But the two are closely connected；the peace of God in the individual tends always to the peace of the society，for it means the banishment of the self－spirit．Here very possibly St Paul has in side－view the Philippians＇need of peace in their community，and of a higher tone of Christian thought and feeling as an aid towards it．But the whole context is so full of the inward aspects of Christian experience that it seems best to take this phrase as referring primarily to the sabbath of the soul，the peace of God in the man．

## 10－20．Loving Thanks for their Alms，brotght by Epainboditus．

 to the personal．－R．V．，＂But I rejoice＂；the present ；taking exápך as an epistolary aorist．See on ii．25．The time reference，how－ ever，may be to the day when the gift arrived，now probably some while ago．
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ кupiq．The persons and the act were all bound up with Him．
 last＂of A．V．No reproach，we may be sure，underlies the allusion to the interval；see the loving words of the next sentence．He may even mean to emphasize the thought of the Philippians＇persistence and fidelity．
 on my behalf．＂The poetic boldness of the phrase is unmistakable． It is an almost pleasantry of expression，full of courteous affectionate－ ness．－＇Ava日́d $\lambda \lambda \epsilon l \nu$ occurs here only in N．T．In the classics it is always intransitive；in Biblical Greek it is transitive as well，e．g．
 $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ єlpì $\eta \eta \nu$ ．Here either construction is intelligible．－Фроע $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$
 phenomenon．
 implied in the $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$ just before．
 the needed bearer for the subsidy．
 See Mar. xii. 44 for the only other occurrence of the noun in Biblical

 Here the English perfect (A.V. and R.V.) well represents the Greek aorist.
ev ols cipl. "In the position in which I am placed" (Lightfoot). It is obviously a contracted construction, for $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in l \nu o c s \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.
aủтápкฑs. Literally, "self-sufficing"; i.e. independent of circumstances. Omnia sua secum portat. The adjective occurs here only in


 $\delta \in i \sigma \theta \theta a \iota \mu \eta \theta \in \nu \delta s$ ( Polit. vii. 5 init.). And this is just the Apostle's consciousness, in his possession of Christ under all circumstances.
12. oifa кai $\tau a \pi \epsilon เ \nu \delta u ̋ \sigma \theta a l$. Apparently he would have written otia каi $\tau$. каi $\pi \epsilon \rho / \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$ : but a second otia is thrown in for emphasis. See Lightfoot's note.-"I know both how to be abased." For this use of eí̀̇val, callere, "to know how," cp. e.g. Matt. vii. 11, ot ${ }^{\text {date }}$

 Diodorus r. 36, of the fall of the Nile: ка日' $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a \nu$... $\tau \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{u} \tau a c$. The same word is used of other rivers in the context.
ol $\delta \alpha$ кal $\pi \epsilon \rho / \sigma \sigma$ éev. As I do now, thanks to the Philippians.-"I know how to abound"; for plenty as well as want needs grace if it is to be borne aright.
év mavti kal द̂v mâotv. In the detail and in the aggregate of experience. Lightfoot compares 2 Cor. xi. 6, $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \pi a \nu \pi i \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \mu \nu \epsilon s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ єís $\dot{\text { úâas }}$.
$\mu \in \mu$ v́n $\mu$. secret." The word is the perf. pass. of $\mu \nu \epsilon \omega$, "to initiate"; connected with $\mu \dot{v} \omega$, "to shat the eyes." Hence $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta s, \mu v \sigma \tau \kappa \kappa \delta s, \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta$ $\rho_{\circ} \nu_{,}$кт入.--The $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha$, or secret religious rites, were a great phenomenon in classical paganism, frequently mentioned from Herodotus downwards. The most famous were those of Demeter, at Eleusis in Attica; but every considerable Greek city had its "mysteries." The secrecy of these rites perhaps originated in the desire of the votaries of pre-Hellenic religion to protect their belief and worship by concealment. "The mysteries probably were... scenic representations of mythical legends" (Liddell and Scott, s.v.). The celebration was always secret; but initiation was granted to even slaves, while it was sought by the most cultured and dignified, including Roman Emperors; with the hope apparently of a special immunity from evil in this life and the next. See Smith, Dict. of Gr. and R. Ant., s.v. Mysteries.-Freemasonry familiarly illustrates such a system of concealment; and we now often borrow its name, somewhat as St Paul here borrows $\mu \nu \varepsilon \hat{\nu}$ ( n d $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} p o \nu$ itself, e.g. Rom. xi. 25, xvi.

25 , and about twenty times altogether ; and cp. e.g. Matt. xiii. 11 ; Rev.i. 20, x. 7, xvii. 5, 7), when we speak of "the freemasonry of the Gospel," meaning the intimate sympathy of hearts in Christ.

XopráterӨal. "To be filled," "full fed." St Paul uses the word here only. Its first meaning was to give fodder to cattle; but it lost this lower (as a distinctive) meaning in later and Biblical Greek. Cp.


$\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\nu} v$. No doubt often in stern literality ; cp. 1 Cor. iv. 11, $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$.

 bear. 'I $\sigma \chi$ ís tends to denote physical strength; the idea here passes into metaphor; his spiritual frame is strong.- Vulg., beautifully, onnia possum.-IId́pra is not, of course, "all things" absolutely. It is " all things" in the actual path of daty and suffering allotted by his Master. Along that path (let us note the word and its message) not only some things bat all can be met in peace and strength.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \in \nu \delta \nu v a \mu o u ̂ v \tau \ell \mu \epsilon$. "In Him who enableth me," who gives me $\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{~s}$, ability.-F'or the reading, see critical note.-'E $\nu \delta \nu \nu a \mu \delta \omega$ in the active occurs 1 Tim. i. 12, $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \omega \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau i \mu \epsilon: 2$ Tim. iv. 17, $\dot{\delta} \kappa \dot{\prime} \rho \circ o s t \nu \epsilon \delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ : and in the middle or passive, Acts ix. 22,
 2 Tim. ii. 1; Heb. xi. 34.

Observe $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\varphi} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta$. It is only in vital union with the Head that the member is thus "able" (Joh. xv. $5, \chi \omega \rho \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \hat{u}$ oú $\delta \dot{\delta} \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon: \mathrm{cp} .2$ Cor. ix. 8 , xii. 9,10 ).
14. $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}_{\nu} \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ émoúvart. He is lovingly anzious lest his "ability in Christ" should even seem to blunt his gratitude to his friends, whose "deep poverty had abounded to the riches of their liberality" (2 Cor, viii. 1, 2).
émoıグбatє. "Ye did"; when you sent your alms.
 Their practical sympathy, with its self-denial, blent their experience and that of the afflicted Apostle into one; and they were all of one mind ( $\sigma v v_{-}$) in so acting.
15. oi $\delta a \tau \epsilon \delta$. The $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ suggests, with the same delicacy of love, that their earlier gifts would have sufficed to assure him of their fellowship with him. "You have now done well; but indeed you had repeatedly, and to a rare degree, shewn your sympathy before."
kal úmeîs. You as well as I.
$\Phi\left(\lambda \iota \pi \pi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma\right.$ ol . This form of the civic adjective appears also in "Titles" of the Epistle, and in "Subscriptions." Other forms (in secular Greek) are $\Phi_{i \lambda \iota \pi} \pi \epsilon i s, \Phi_{i \lambda}(\pi \pi \eta \nu 0$. Probably the Latin "colo. nists "called themselves Philippenses, which is the word ased here in the Vulg. So Corinthienses, Romanenses, Sicilienses, were foreign residents in Corinth, dc. (See Facciolati, Lexicon, s.v. Corinthiensis.)

And this word may have grown out of that, for Greek tends to represent the Latin -ens- by - $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$ - : so Clemens, K $\lambda \eta$ j$\mu \eta s$.
è $\boldsymbol{v}{ }^{\prime} \rho \times \hat{n}$ tove củaryediov. In the beginning of his Gospel-work in their region. For this use of the word ciarjètov see above i. 5, 7, 12,

 "when I had left," for he proceeds to refer to an incident at Thessalonica, in Macedonia. He means the general period of his removal from Macedonia (Roman Northern Greece) into Achaia (Roman Southern Greece). For the narrative, see Acts xvii. 1-15. He is looking back now over some ten years.
ov่ $\epsilon \mu$ ia...ekк $\boldsymbol{k} \eta \sigma$ ia. We gather that thus early the Gospel had taken root in more than one or two spots in Macedonia, not counting Philippi and Thessalonica. Acts xvi. (and xvii. 1) evidently gives only the leading specimen of the first work of the evangelists.
tis $\lambda$ óyov. "As regards"; literally, "to the account of." Light-
 "states strong in regard to wealth"; and Demosth. (de F. L., p. 385), $\epsilon l s$ d $\rho \in \tau \bar{\eta} s{ }^{\lambda} \delta_{\delta \gamma o v .}$

סóv $\epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ kal $\lambda \eta$ и́ $\mu \psi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$. A recognized formula for money transactions, where one gives and another takes. Chrysostom explains the words
 Philippians gaining blessing in return for their alms. But this misses the point; St Paul is speaking exclusively of practical liberality. See Lightfoot here.
16. кal év $\Theta_{\epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda} \lambda_{0 v i ́ k \eta . ~ T h a t ~ i s, ~ e v e n ~ w h e n ~}^{\text {I was no further }}$ away from you than Thessalonica; so prompt and generous were you. -See Acts xvii. 1-9.-Thessalonica was just 100 Roman (about 92 English) miles from Philippi, on the Via Egnatia. Amphipolis and Apollonia were the two intermediate stations, each about 30 miles from the other and from one of the two other towns. Apparently Paul and Silas, leaving Philippi and hastening to Thessalonica, passed only a night at each intermediate place, and remained at least some weeks at Thessalonica. See Conybeare and H., ch. ix.; and Lewin, L. and E. of St Paul, vol. i. ch. xi. Thessalonica was thus St Paul's first long pause; and it lay comparatively near Philippi.
kal ä $\pi a \xi$ kal 81 s . Within no very long time. In Aots xvii. 2 we read of $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta a \tau a$ rpla before the disturbances began. No certain note of time is given afterwards; but the withdrawal to Bercoa was not long delayed. Short as the stay was, it was long enough to produce profound impressions, as the Thessalonian Epistles testify.
eis mìv xpelav $\mu$ ol. At Thessalonica he refused to take support from the converts, and worked for his living. See 1 Thess. ii. $9, \nu \cup v \tau \delta s$
 $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau \grave{c} \epsilon \dot{v}$. тoû $\theta$ eoù.
17. ovx O oft $\kappa$ кл. Here again see the sensitive delicacy of love. He fears lest this allusion to the cherished past, made only to shew
that he needs no present proof of sympathy，might after all read like ＂thanks for future favours．＂

द̀ $\pi\llcorner\zeta \eta \tau \omega \hat{\text { ．}}$ ．Almost＂am hunting for．＂Cp．Matt．xx．39，$\gamma \in \nu \in \dot{\alpha}$
 Tuरe．

тò $\delta$ óna．＂The gift＂；the mere money，for myself．
 to your account．＂Chrysostom writes here，í картds èкeivoıs $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \tau a l$ ．
 larly used in the sense of interest on money；and it is probable that Chrysostom＇s tiктeraı implies that he，a Greek，took St Paul to be using here the language of the money market；so that кa $\rho \pi \delta \delta, \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu a$－ $\zeta \in ⿺ 辶 ⿱ 亠 乂, ~ \lambda \delta \gamma o s$, might all be metaphorical ；＂The interest which is accruing to your credit．＂The objection is that $\kappa a \rho \pi \delta s$ and $\pi \lambda e o \nu d \xi \epsilon \varepsilon \nu$ do not appear elsewhere as technical financial words；but such an applica－ tion of them here is at least possible．
 abounding，＂not only＂may abound．＂
 of any possible mistake of his warm words．He is well supplied；he must not be suspected of suggesting more gifts in the future．
áméx $\omega$ ．＂I have received in full．＂Cp．Matt．vi 2，5，16，à $\pi \dot{e}$－
 Philem．15，qua aíóvoov aúròv ánéxŋs．So in classical Greek，Callim．，
 did owe him anything，they have amply paid！

тара＇${ }^{\text {Eradpo }}$ itov．See on ii．25－30．Here we learn explicitly what is implied there（var．25，30），that he was the bringer of the collection to St Paul．
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\imath} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．He will not say $\tau \dot{d} \pi a \rho^{\prime}$ i．$\chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ：it was more than money；the money was but the symbol of their hearts．
 the Saviour＇s atoning Sacrifice．The phrase is common in LXX．for the Hebrew of the altar，recognized by the Deity as a token of allegiance or pro－ pitiation．Here the $\dot{\sigma} \sigma{ }_{n} \dot{\eta}^{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \omega \delta i a s$ is either that of the＂burnt－offering＂ of self－dedication，embodied in self－denying giving，or that of the ＂peace－offering＂of thanksgiving，similarly embodied（op．Lev．i．9， iii．5），or that of both，as both are combined in our Liturgy of the Holy Communion．

Guaiar סeктท̀v éápeotov．Cp．Heb．xiii．16，tîs eủaoltas xal


19．í © $\theta$ tós $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．＂And my God，＂R．V．But there is surely a slight implied contrast，or correction；as if he said，＂I would requite you if I could；but my God will do so．＂
j Orós $\mu$ ov. Words deeply characteristic of St Paul; see on i. 3 above. Lightfoot well remarks that they are specially in point here; the Apostle is thinking of what God will do for others on his behalf.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \mathrm{E}$. The future of the certainty of faith. For $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o i ̂ \nu$
 өиціад aúrov.
 for the exceptional poverty ( $\dot{\eta}$ кard $\beta \dot{c} \theta$ Oovs $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ (ia) of the Macedonian converts. The main reference here is, surely, to temporal "need"; such need as the Philippians had so lovingly "filled" for St Paul.
 $\kappa r \lambda$. : where the first thought seems to be of God's ability to give His self-denying servants the means for yet further bounties for His work. But neither here nor there are we to shut out the widest and deepest applications of the promise.
 those resources which reside in the $\delta \delta \xi a$ of His manifested power and love; in fact, in His revealed Self. Cp. Rom. vi. 4 for such a use of


Lightfoot explains the thought to be, "He shall supply your need by placing you in glory," the glory of His heavenly presence. But this seems to be somewhat far-fetched, and indeed to be out of place if the explanation of $\chi$ pela given above is right.

St Paul loves the word $\pi$ roôtos, and its cognates, in spiritual connexions. Cp. Rom. ii. 4, ix. 23, x. 12, xi. 12, 33; 1 Cor. i. 5 ; 2 Cor. viii. 9, ix. 11; Eph. i. 7, 18, ii. 4, 7, iii. 8, 16 ; Col. i. 27, ii. 2.

 and providence is lodged in Him for His people.
20. T $\hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\varepsilon} \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\varphi} \kappa \tau \lambda$. "Now to our God and Father \&c."; the ultimate Source of all blessing for the members of His Son.
$\dot{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$. "It is no longer $\mu 0 v$, for the reference is now not to himself as distinguished from the Philippiang, but as united to them" (Lightfoot).
$\dot{\eta}$ 8ofa. "The adoring praise" due in view of all fruits of His grace and promises of His blessing.

गं $\delta$. cis toùs alêvas têv alóvov. For this phrase in Asoriptions cp. Gal. i. 5; 2 Tim. iv. 18; Heb. xiii. 21. The "for ever and ever" of A.V. and R.V. is a true paraphrase. The idea conveyed by the Greek is of cycles consisting of, embracing, other cycles, ad infinitum; the ever-developing "ages" of heavenly life.
duriv. Properly a Hebrew adverb, 佔令, "surely"; repeatedly used as here in O.T. See e.g. Deat. xxvii. 15, \&c., where "all the people" affirm the sentences against sin with their אָמֵ.

## 21-23. Salitations and Farewell.

 detail.
 Christ Jesus." We might explain the clause, as Lightfoot inclines to do, "Salute in Christ Jesus every saint"; cp. 1 Cor. xvi. 19, d $\sigma \pi d 50 \nu$ -
 But on the whole the other connexion seems preferable, looking at

of $\sigma \grave{v} v$ é $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ aं $\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda \phi o \mathrm{C}$. "Apparently the Apostle's personal companions, as distinguished from the Christians resident at Rome, who are described in the following verse" (Lightfoot).
22. $\mu d \lambda^{\prime}$ craca $\delta \delta$. There was something marked and emphatic about this message.
ol 'x $\boldsymbol{r y ̂} \mathrm{s}$ Kaíapos oixlas. "Probably slaves and freedmen attached to the palace" (Lightfoot). It has been thought, on the other hand, that these persons were members of the imperial family, or at least grandees of the court; and this has been used either to prove a remarkable advance of the Gospel in the highest circles during St Paul's imprisonment (and incidentally to evidence a late date for the Epistle in that imprisonment), or to indicate the spuriousness of the Epistle. Lightfoot (Phil., pp. 171-178) has fully shewn that "the Household of Cassar" was a term embracing a vast number of persons, not only in Rome but in the provinces, all of them either actual or former imperial slaves, filling every description of more or less domestic office. He illustrates his statements from the numerous epitaphs of members of the Domus Casaris found within the last 175 years near Rome, most of them of the Julian and Claudian times. It is remarkable that the names in these epitaphs afford ouriously many coincidences with the names in Rom. xvi.; among them are Ampliss, Urbanus, Stachys, Apelles, Tryphøna, Tryphosa, Rufus, Hermes, Hermas, Patrobas, Philologus, Julius, Nereis (a name which might possibly be that of the sister (Rom. xvi. 15) of a man Nereus). It appears by the way very likely that both Aristobulus' and Narcissus' "households" (Rom. xvi. 10, 11) were in fact the slave-establishments respectively of the son of Herod the Great and of the favourite freedman of Claudius-transferred to the possession of the Emperor. Lightfoot infers a high probability that the "saints" greeted in Rom. xvi., as resident at Rome, were on the whole identical with "the saints of the Household" who here send greeting from Rome. Various as no doubt were their functions, and their nationalities, the members of the Household, as suoh, must have had an esprit de corps which made it likely, humanly speaking, that a powerful influence like that of the Gospel would be felt widely among them, if felt at all; and that it would be intensified by the difficulties of their surroundings; and that so that they would be in the way to make a united and emphatic expression of their faith and love on occasion.

This view of "the saints" here mentioned, as to their associations and duties, not only in the age of Nero but in the precincts of his court, and probably (for many of them) within the chambers of his palace, gives a noble passing illustration of the power of grace to triumph over circumstances, and to transfigure life where it seems most impossible. "Dieu laisse quelquefois ses serviteurs au milisu du monde, pour montrer la souveraineté de sa grace" (Quesnel on this verse).

A certain parallel to the Domus Casaris appears in the vast Maison $d u$ Roy of the French monarchy. But the Maison was for the nobility alone.
23. 'H Xdpis rov̂ к. 'I. X. So, or nearly so, every Epistle of St Paul's closes, or almost closes. In the Ep. to the Romans the "grace" occurs twice; xvi. 20, 24. The exact form here used (roî $\pi \nu \in \dot{\theta}$ $\mu a r o s ~ \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$ occurs Gal. vi. 16; Philem. 25.

Observe the implied testimony to the Divine glory of the Saviour, named thus alone, and in conclusion, as the Fountain of grace.
 $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ is the inmost basis of the life and will of man. It is not absorbed, or annulled, by the Divine $\chi$ d $\rho(s$, which is "with" it. Cp.
 On $\alpha_{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ (here in T.R.) and on the Subscription, see critioal note.
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A. ST PaUL'S RESIDENCE AT ROME. (Introduction, Ch. i.)
"St Padr arrived in Rome, from Melita, in the spring of a.d. 61, probably early in March. There he spent 'two full years' (Acts xxviii. 30), at the close of which, as we have good reason to believe, he was released.
"In the long delay before his trial ${ }^{1}$ he was of course in custody; but this was comparatively lenient. He occupied lodgings of his own (Acts xxviii. 16, 23, 30), probably a storey or flat in one of the lofty houses common in Rome. It is impossible to determine for certain where in the City this lodging was, but it is likely that it was either in or near the great Camp of the Prextorians, or Imperial Guard, outside the Colline Gate, just N.E. of the City ${ }^{2}$. In this abode the Apostle was attached day and night by a light coupling-chain to a Pretorian sentinel, but was as free, apparently, to invite and maintain general intercourse as if he had been merely confined by illness.
"The company actually found in his rooms at different times was very various. His first visitors (indeed they must have been the providers of his lodging) would be the Roman Christians, including
${ }^{1}$ Due probably to procrastination in the prosecution and to the caprice of the Emperor. See Lewin, vol. 11. p. 286, for a parallel case.
${ }^{8}$ See Bp Lightfoot, Philippiams, pp. 9 \& $\mathrm{A} ., 9 \theta$ \&c, ; and our note on Phil. i, 13.
all, or many, of the saints named in a passage (Rom. xvi.) written only a very few years before. Then came the representatives of the Jewish community (Acts xxviii. 17, 23), but apparently never to return, as such, after the long day of discussion to which they were first invited. Then from time to time would come Christian brethren, envoys from distant Churches, or personal friends; Epaphroditus from Philippi, Aristarchus from Thessalonica, Tychicas from Ephesus, Epaphras from Colossæ, John Mark, Demas, Jesus Justus. Luke, the beloved physician, was present perhaps always, and Timotheus, the Apostle's spiritual son, very frequently. One other memorable name occurs, Onesimus, the fugitive Colossian slave, whose story, indicated in the Epistle to Philemon, is at once a striking evidence of the perfect liberty of access to the prisoner granted to anyone and everyone, and a beautiful illustration both of the character of St Paul and the transfiguring power and righteous principles of the Gospel.
' $N$ o doubt the visitors to this obscure but holy lodging were far more miscellaneous than even this list suggests. Through the successive Pratorian sentinels some knowledge of the character and message of the prisoner would be always passing out. The right interpretation of Phil. i. $13^{1}$ is, beyond reasonable doubt, that the true account of Paul's imprisonment came to be 'known in the Pretorian regiments, and generally among people around'; and Phil. iv. 22 indicates that a body of earnest and affectionate converts had arisen among the population of slaves and freedmen attached to the Palace of Nero. And the wording of that passage suggests that such Christians found a welcome meeting place in the rooms of the Apostle; doubtless for frequent worship, doubtless also for direct instruction, and for the blessed enjoyments of the family affection of the Gospel. Meanwhile (Phil i. 15, 16) there was a section of the Roman Christian community, probably the disciples infected with the prejudices of the Pharisaic party (see Acts xv., \&c.), who, with very few exceptions (see Col. iv. 11 and notes), took sooner or later a position of trying antagonism to St Paul; a trial over which he triumphed in the deep peace of Christ.
"It is an interesting possibility, not to say probability, that from time to time the lodging was visited by inquirers of intellectual fame or distinguished rank. Ancient Christian tradition ${ }^{2}$ actually makes the renowned Stoic writer, L. Annæus Seneca, tutor and counsellor of Nero, a convert of St Paul's; and one phase of the legend was the fabrication, within the first four centuries, of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ correspondence between the two. It is quite certain that Seneoa was never a Christian, though his language is full of startling superficial parallels to that of the N.T., and most full in his latest writings. But it is at least very likely that he heard, through his many channels of information, of St Paul's existence and presence, and that he was intellectually interested in his teaching; and it is quite possible that he cared to visit him. It

[^14]is not improbable, surely, that Seneca's brother Gallio (Acts xviii. 12) may have described St Paul, however passingly, in a letter; for Gallio's religious indifference may quite well have consisted with a strong personal impression made on him by St Paul's bearing. Festus himself was little interested in the Gospel, or at least took care to seem so, and yet was deeply impressed by the personnel of the Apostle. And, again, the Prefect of the Imperial Guard, a.d. 61, was Afranius Burrus, Seneca's intimate colleague as counsellor to Nero, and it is at least possible that he had received from Festus a more than commonplace description of the prisoner consigned to him ${ }^{1}$.
"Bp Lightfoot, in his Essay, 'St Paul and Seneca' (Philippians, pp. 270, \&c.), thinks it possible to trace in some of the Epistles of the Captivity a Christian adaptation of Stoic ideas. The Stoic, for example, made much of the individual's membership in the great Body of the Universe, and citizenship in its great City. The connexion suggested is interesting, and it falls quite within the methods of Divine inspiration that materials of Scripture imagery should be collected from a secular region. But the language of St Paul about the Mystical Body, in the Ephesian Epistle particularly, reads far more like a direct revelation than like an adaptation; and it evidently deals with a truth which is already, in its substance, perfectly familiar to the readers ${ }^{2}$.
"Other conspicuous personages of Roman society at the time have been reckoned by tradition among the chamber-converts of St Paul, among them the poet Lucan and the Stoic philosopher Epictetus ${ }^{3}$. But there is absolutely no evidence for these assertions. It is interesting and suggestive, on the other hand, to recall one almost certain case of conversion about this time within the highest Roman aristocracy. Pomponia Græcina, wife of Plantius the conqueror of Britain, was accused (A.D. 57, probably), of 'foreign superstition,' and tried by her husband as domestic judge. He acquitted her. But the deep and solemn seclusion of her life (a seclusion begun A.d. 44, when her friend the princess Julia was pat to death, and continued unbroken till her own death, about A.d. 84), taken in connexion with the charge, as in all likelihood it was, of Christianity, 'suggests that, shunning society, she sought consolation in the duties and hopes of the Gospel ${ }^{4}$,' leaving for ever the splendour and temptations of the world of Rome. She was not a convert, obviously, of St Paul's; but her case suggests the possibility of other similar cases."

Commentary on the Epistle to the Ephesians (in Cambridge Bible for Schools), Introduction, pp. 16-19.

[^15]
## B. "SAINTS AND FAITHFUL BRETHREN." (Ci. I. 1.)

"Ir is universally admitted...that Scripture makes use of presumptive or hypothetical language.... It is generally allowed that when all Christians are addressed in the New Testament as 'saints,' 'dead to sin,' 'alive unto God,' 'risen with Ohrist,' 'having their conversation in heaven,' and in other like modes, they are addressed so hypothetically, nnd not to express the literal fact that all the individuals so addressed were of this character; which would not have been true.... Some divines have indeed preferred as a theological arrangement a secondary sense of [such terms] to the hypothetical application of it in its true sense. But what is this secondary sense when we examine it? It is itself no more than the true sense hypothetically applied.... Divines have...maintained a Scriptural secondary sense of the term 'saint,' as 'saint by outward vocation and charitable presumption' (Pearson on the Creed, Art. Ix.); but this is in very terms only the real sense of the term applied hypothetically."
J. B. Mozley: Review of Baptismal Controversy, p. 74 (ed. 1862).

## C. BISHOPS AND DEACONS. (Сн. I. 1.)

These words have suggested to Bp Lightfoot an Essay on the rise, development and character of the Christian Ministry, appended to his Commentary on the Epistle (pp. 189-269), and now included also in his Biblical Essays. The Essay is in fact a treatise, of the greatest value, calling for the careful and repeated study of every reader to whom it is accessible. Along with it may be usefully studied a paper on the Christian Ministry in The Expositor for July, 1887, by the Rev. G. Salmon, D.D., now Provost of Trinity College, Dublin.

All we do here is to discuss briefly the two official titles of the Philippian ministry, and to add a few words on the Christian Ministry in general.

Bishops, द̇тiбкотои, i.e. Overseers. The word oceurs here, and Acts xx. 28; 1 Tim. iii. 2; Tit. i. 7; besides 1 Pet. ii. 25, where it is used of our Lord. The cognate noun, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa o \pi \dot{\eta}$, occurs Acts i. 20 (in a quotation from the O.T.) ; 1 Tim. iii. 1 ; and in three other places not in point. The cognate verb, ėтьбкотєî, occurs Heb. xii. 15 (in a connexion not in point); 1 Pet. v. 2.

On examination of these passages it appears that within the lifetime of SS. Peter and Paul there existed, at least very widely, a normal order of Church-officers called Episcopi, Superintendents. They were charged no doubt with many varied duties, some probably semi-secular. But above all they had spiritual oversight of the flock. They were appointed not by mere popular vote, certainly not by self-designation, but in some special sense " by the Holy Ghost" (Acts $x x .28$ ). This
phrase may perhaps be illustrated by the mode of appointment of the "Seven" (Acts vi. 3), who were presented by the Church to the Apostles, for confirmatory ordination, as men already (among other marks of fitness) "full of the Holy Ghost."

The éniokoтos was evidently not an official comparatively rare; there were more $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma$ котог than one in the not very large community of Philippi.

Meanwhile we find another designation of Church-officers who are evidently in the same way shepherds and leaders of the flock; $\pi \rho$. $\sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o c$, Elders. They are mentioned first, without comment, at the time of the martyrdom of James the Grest. See Acts xi. 30, xiv. 23, xv. 2, 4, 6, 22, 23, xvi. 4, xx. 17, xxi. 18; 1 Tim, v. 1, 17, 19 ; Tit. i. 5 ; Jas v. 14; 1 Pet. v. 1 (and perhaps 5). See also 2 John 1; 3 John 1. These elders appear Acts xiv. 23; Tit. i. 5; as "constituted" in local congregations by an Apostle, or by his immediate delegate.

It would appear that the N.T. émiqкoтos and $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ are in fact the same official under differing designations; imiokomos, a term borrowed mainly from the Gentiles, with whom it signified a superintending commissioner; $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma$ ßírepos, from the "Eldership" of the Jews. This appears from Acts xx. 17, 28, where St Paul, addressing the Ephesian "elders," says that they have been appointed "bishops" of the flock. In the Pastoral Epistles it is similarly plain that the titles coinoide. See also 1 Pet. v. 1, 2, in the Greek.

Whether both titles were from the first in ase everywhere we cannot be sure. But it is not improbable. In the very earliest post-apostolio writings we find "presbyters" at Corinth (Clem. Rom. to the Corinthians, i. co. 42, 44, but also references to $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa 0 \pi o l$, é $\pi(\sigma \kappa 0 \pi \eta)$, and "bishops" (with "deacons," as in Phil. i. 1) in the further East (Teaching of the Twelve Apostles, c. 15).

We trace the same spiritual officials under nore general designations, 1 Thess. v. 12, 13; Heb. xiii. 17; and perhaps 1 Cor. xii. 28 ( $\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta$ -


Deacons, $\delta$ cákovoc, i.e. Workers. The title does not occur in the Acts, nor anywhere earlier than this Epistle, except Rom. xvi. 1, where Phobe is called a $\delta$ dakoyos of the church at Cenchreap ${ }^{1}$. Here only and in 1 Tim . iii. 8, 12, is the word plainly used of a whole ministerial order. But in Acts vi. we find described the institation of an office which in all likelihood was the diaconate. The functions of the Seven are just those which have been ever since in history, even till now, assigned to deacons. And tradition, from cent. ii. onwards, is quite unanimous in calling the Seven by that title.

Deacons are very possibly indicated by the word duridn $\boldsymbol{\eta} \psi i s$ in 1 Cor. xii. 28.

The deacon thus appears to have been primarily the officer ordained to deal with the temporal needs of the congregation. But he was
${ }^{1}$ There is evidence of the existence in apostolic times of an organized class of female helpers in sacred work (see 1 Tim. v. 3-16). A little later the famous letter of Pliny to Trajan shews that such helpers (ministroe) were known in the Churches of Asia Minor. The order of "deaconesses" was abolished before cent. sij.
assumed to be a "spiritual man," and he was capable of direct commissioned spiritual work.

It thus appears then that during the lifetime of SS. Peter and Paul the word $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \sigma$ кoros did not yet designate a minister presiding over and ruling other ministers; a "bishop" in the later and present sense. The tirioxotos was an "overseer" of not the shepherds but simply the flock, and might be (as at Philippi) one of several such in the same place.

This fact, however, leaves quite open the question whether such a presiding ministry, however designated at first, did exist in apostolic times and under apostolic sanction. That it did so may be inferred from the following evidence, very briefly stated.

It is certain that by the close of cent. ii. a definite presidential "episcopacy" (to which the word $\ell \pi t \sigma \kappa o \pi t o s$ was then already appropriated, seemingly without the knowledge that it had once been otherwise) appears everywhere in the Church. As early probably as a.d. 110 we find it, in the Epistles of St Ignatius, a prominent and important fact of Church life, at least in the large oircle of Churches with which Ignatius corresponded ${ }^{1}$. Later Church history presents us with the same constitution, though occasionally details of system vary ${ }^{2}$, and the conceptions of function and power were highly developed, not always legitimately. Now between Ignatius and St John, and even St Paul, the interval is not great; 30 or 50 years at the most. It seems, to say the least, unlikely that so large a Church institution, over whose rise we have no clear trace of controversy or opposition, should have arisen quite out of connexion with apostolic precedent. Such precedent we find in the N.T., (a) in the presidency of Apostles during their lifetime, though strictly speaking their unique office had no "successors"; (b) in the presidency of their immediate delegates or commissioners (perhaps appointed only pro tempore), as Timothy and Titus; (c) in the presidency of St James the Lord's Brother in the mother-chureh of Christendom; a presidency more akin to later episcopacy than anything else in the N.T.

We find further that all early history points to Asia Minor as the scene of the fullest development of primitive episcopacy, and it consistently indicates St John, at Ephesus, as in a sense its fountainhead. It is at least possible that St John, when he finally took up his abode in Asia, originated or developed there the regime he had known so well at Jerusalem.

Meanwhile there is reason to think that the episcopate, in this latter sense, rather grew out of the presbyterate than otherwise. The primeval bishop was primus inter pares. He was not so much one of another order as the first of his order, for special purposes of

[^16]government and ministration. Such, even cent. v., is St Jerome's statement of the theory. And St Jerome regards the bishop as being what he is not by direct Divine institution, but by custom of the Church.

Not till late cent. ii. do we find the sacerdotal ${ }^{1}$ idea familiarly attached to the Christian ministry, and not till cent. iii. the age of Cyprian, do we find the formidable theory developed that the bishop is the channel of grace to the lower olergy and to the people.

On the whole, the indications of the N. T. and of the next earliest records confirm the statement of the Preface to the English Ordinal that "from the Apostles' time there have been these orders of ministers in Christ's Church, Bishops, Priests, and Deacons." On the other hand, having regard to the essentially and sublimely spiritual character of the Church in its true idea, and to the revealed immediate union of each member with the Head, by faith, we are not authorized to regard even apostolic organization as a matter of the first order in such a sense as that we should look on a duly ordained ministry as the indispensable channel of grace, or should venture to unchurch Christian communities, holding the apostolic faith concerning God in Christ, but differently organized from what we believe to be on the whole the apostolic model ${ }^{2}$. On the other hand, no thoughtful Christian will wish to forget the sacred obligations and benefits of external harmony and unity of organization, things meant to yield only to the yet greater claims of the highest spiritual truth.

## D. EBIONITE CHRISTOLOGY. (Сн. I. 15.)

Tre allusion in our note to "lowered and distorted views" of the Person of our Lord on the part of later Judaizers more or less Christian, has regard mainly to Ebionism, a heresy first named by Irenæus (cent. ii.) but which seems to have been the direct descendant of the school which specially opposed St Paul. It lingered on till cent. v.

It appears to have had two phases; the Pharisaic and the Essene. As regards the doctrine of Christ's Person, the Pharisaic Ebionites held that Jesus was born in the ordinary course of nature, but that at His Baptism He was "anointed by election, and became Christ" (Jnstin Martyr, Dial., c. xlix.); receiving power to fulfil His mission as Messiah, but still remaining man. He had neither pre-existence nor Divinity. The Essene Ebionites, who were in fact Gnostics, held (at least in many instances) that Christ was a super-angelic created Spirit, incarnate at many successive periods in various men (for instence, in Adam), and finally in Jesus. At what point in the existence of Jesus the Christ entered into union with Hira was not defined.

See Smith's Dict. of Christian Biography, dec., art. Ebionism.

[^17]
## E. CHRISTOLOGY AND CERISTIANITY. (Ci. II. 5.)

"A Christianity without Christ is no Christianity; and a Christ not Divine is one other than the Christ on whom the souls of Christians have habitually fed. What virtue, what piety, have existed outside of Christianity, is a question totally distinct. But to hold that, since the great controversy of the early time was wound up at Chalcedon, the question of our Lord's Divinity has generated all the storms of the Christian atmosphere, would be simply an historical untruth.
"Christianity...produced a type of character wholly new to the Roman world, and it fundamentally altered the laws and institutions, the tone, temper and tradition of that world. For example, it changed profoundly the relation of the poor to the rich...It abolished slavery, and a moltitude of other horrors. It restored the position of woman in society. It made peace, instead of war, the normal and presumed relation between human societies. It exhibited life as a discipline... in all its parts, and changed essentially the place and function of suffering in haman experience...All this has been done not by eclectic and arbitrary fancies, but by the creed of the Homoousion, in which the philosophy of modern times sometimes appears to find a favourite theme of ridicule. The whole fabric, social as well as personal, rests on the new type of character which the Gospel brought into life and action."

> W. E. Gladstone ('Nineteenth Century,' May 1888; pp. 780-784).

## F. ROBERT HALL ON PHIL. II. 5-8. BAUR'S THEORY. (Сн. II. 6.)

The Rev. Robert Hall (1764-1831), one of the greatest of Christian preachers, was in early life much influenced by the Socinian theology. His later testimony to a true Christology is the more remarkable. The following extract is from a sermon "preached at the (Baptist) Chapel in Dean Street, Southwark, June 27, 1813 " (Works, ed. 1833; vol vi., p. 112):
"He was found in fashion as a man: it was a wonderful discovery, an astonishing spectacle in the view of angels, that He who was in the form of God, and adored from eternity, should be made in fashion as a man. But why is it not said that He was a man? For the same reason that the Apostle wishes to dwell upon the appearance of our Saviour, not as excluding the reality, but as exemplifying His condescension. His being in the form of God did not prove that He was not God, but rather that He was God, and entitled to supreme honour. So, His assuming the form of a servant and being in the likeness of man, does not prove that He was not man, but, on the
contrary, inoludes it; at the same time including a manifestation of Himself, agreeably to His design of purchasing the salvation of His people, and dying for the sins of the world, by sacrificing Himself upon the Cross."

Batur (Paulus, pp. 458-464) goes at length into the Christological passage of our Epistle, and actually contends for the view that it is written by one who had before him the developed Gnosticism of cent. ii., and was not uninfluenced by it. In the words of ver. 6 , he finds a consciousness of the Gnostic teaching about the 巴on Sophia, striving for an absolute union with the absolute being of the Unknowable Supreme; and again about the 不ons in general, striving similarly to "grasp" the $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ of Absolute Being and discovering only the more deeply in their effort this $\kappa \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \mu \mu$ of their own relativity and dependence.

The best refutation of such expositions is the repeated perusal of the Epistle itself, with its noon-day practicality of precept and purity of affections, and not least its high language (ch. iii.) about the sanctity of the body-an idea wholly foreign to the Gnostic sphere of thought. As regards this last point, it is true that Sohrader, a oritic earlier than Baur (see Alford, N.T. int. p. 27), supposed the passage iii. 1-iv. 9 to be an interpolation. But, not to speak of the total absence of any historical or documentary support for such a theory, the careful reader will find in that section just those minute touches of harmony with the rest of the Epistle, e.g. in the indicated need of internal union at Philippi, which are the surest signs of homogeneity.

## G. THE 'KENOSIS' OF THE SON OF GOD. (C․ II. 7.)

[^18]Perfect Bondservant gives us an absolute warrant-not of the precariousness but of the perfection of His deliverance of His commission from His Father and Master. 'He whom God hath sent speaketh the words of God.'
" His own servant Paul was one day to claim complete authority as messenger because of the absoluteness of his slavery to the Lord. 'Let no man trouble me, for I bear in my body the oti $\gamma \mu a \pi a$, the brands, of the Master, Jesus.' The supreme Bondservant, the Bearer of the Stigmata of the Cross, has He not as such the right to claim our unreserved, our worshipping silence, when He speaks? He, in perfect relation to His Sender, perfectly conveys His Sender's mind. He says nothing otherwise than as His Sender bids Him say it. 'He whom God hath sent, speaketh the words of God.'"

From a University Sermon by the Editor.

## H. THE WORSHIP PAID TO JESUS CHRIST. (Ce. II. 9.)

"Upon this worship of Jesus Christ as we meet it in the apostolical age, [let us observe, that] it cannot be accounted for, and so set aside, as being part of an indiscriminating sultus of heavenly or supernatural beings in general. Such a cultus finds no place in the New Testament, except when it, or something very much resembling it, is expressly discountenanced, By the mouth of our Lord Jesus Christ the New Testament reaffirms the Sinaitic law which restricts worship to the Lord God Himself. St Peter will not sanction the self-prostrations of the grateful Cornelius lest Cornelius should think of him as more than human.... When St John fell at the feet of the angel in the Apocalypse... he was peremptorily checked on the ground that the angel too was only his fellow-slave, and that God was the one true Object of worship....Certainly the New Testament does teach that we Christians have close communion with the blessed angels and with the sainted dead....But the worship claimed for, and accepted by, and paid to, Jesus, stands out in the New Testament in the sharpest relief...not softened or shaded off by any instances of an inferior homage paid, whether legitimately or not, to created beings. We do not meet with any clear distinction between a primary and secondary worship, by which the force of the argument might have been more or less seriously weakened."

Liddon, Bampton Lectures, Lect. vii.

## I. "HOLDING FORTH THE WORD OF LIFE." (Ch. II. 16.)

The late Dr F. Field (Otium Norvicense, pars tertia, p. 118) has an
 where we might expect $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \chi$ ¢ct is a usage unexampled, or at best supported by remote examples. And he adduces from later Greek
authors examples (collected by Wetstein) of the phrase $\lambda$ brov $\boldsymbol{\ell} \pi \in \chi \in \boldsymbol{\chi}$ $\tau \iota v$ s in the sense of "correspond to," "play the part of." E.g. Diogenes Laertius, vir. 155, about a theory of the universe: $\mu \dot{k} \sigma \eta$ 方 $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, $\kappa \in ́ v \tau \rho o v \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ éme $\chi o v \sigma a, "$ doing duty as a centre"; St Basil, Hexaëmeron
 $\dot{v}$ icias $\dot{e} \pi \epsilon \chi \in \epsilon$, "all evil is a siekness of the soul; virtue is as it were its health." He compares the better-known phrases, $\tau \dot{d} \xi(\nu$ or $\tau \delta \pi o \nu$

 Peshitto of this passuge of Philippians, which is, "to whom ye are in place of life." His own rendering of the passage would be, "In the midst of a crooked and perverse generation, among whom ye shine as lights in the world, being (to it) in the stead of life." "To the last clause a marginal note might be added: 'Gr., holding the analogy of life."

The suggestion is important, and from a source which must always command attention. Yet the quotation from Homer, in the notes on oh. ii. 16, still seems on review pertinent, and need not be called "remote," coming from the great Poem. With some hesitation we recommend adherence to the more ordinary rendering.

## K. "THE RIGHTEOUSNESS WHICH IS OF GOD BY

 FAITH." (СЕ. III. 9.)The following extract from the Editor's running Commentary on Romans (Expositor's Bible), p. 32 (on Rom. i. 17), may be appended to the remarks in the notes above:
"This message of power unfolds first, at its foundation, in its front, 'the Righteousness of God,' not first His Love, but 'His Righteousness.' Seven times elsewhere in the (Roman) Epistle comes this phrase (iii. 5, $21,22,23,26 ;$ x. 3 twice); rich materials for ascertaining its meaning in the spiritual dialect of St Paul, Out of these passages, iii. 26 gives us the key. There 'the righteousness of God,' seen as it were in action, ascertained by its effects, is that which seoures 'that He shall be just, and the Justifier of the man who belongs to faith in Jesus.' It is that which makes possible the mighty paradox that the Holy One, eternally truthful, eternally rightful, infinitely 'law-abiding' in His jealousy for that Law which is in fact His Nature expressing itself in precept, nevertheless can and does say to man, in his guilt and forfeit, 'I, thy Judge, lawfully acquit thee, lawfully accept thee, lawfully embrace thee.'...Thus it stands practically equivalent to God's way of justifying the ungodly, His method for libersting His love while He magnifies His law. In effect, not as a translation but as an explanation, God's Righteousness is God's Justification.
"Then again we note the emphasis and the repatition here of the thought of faith...Here, if anywhere, we shall find ample commentary in the (Roman) Epistle. Only let us remember from the first that... we shall see "faith" used in its natural and human sense; we shall
find that it means personal reliance....It is in this sense that our Lord Jesus Christ, in the Gospels, invariably uses the word. For this is its human sense, its sense in the street and the market; and the Lord, the Man of men, uses the dialect of His race. Faith, infinitely wonderful...from some points of view, is the simplest thing in the world from others. That sinners...should be brought so to see their Judge's heart as to take His word of peace to mean what it says, is miracle. But that they should trust His word, having seen His heart, is natureilluminated and led by grace, but nature still....(Faith) is not a faculty for mystical intuitions. It is our taking the Trustworthy at His word....Hence the overwhelming prominence of faith in the Gospel. It is the correlative of the overwhelming...prominence of Jesus Christ. Ohrist is all. Faith is man's acceptance of Him as such. 'Justification by Faith' is not acceptance because faith is...a merit...a virtue. It is acceptance because of Jesus Christ, whom man, dropping all other hopes, receives."

See this last point admirably explained by Hooker, A Disc. of Justification, § 31. And see Julius Hare, The Victory of Faith (1847), p. 21:
"It was with the fullest right that Lather and Melanchthon, when the true idea of Faith and of its power was reasserted at the Reformation, were anxious to urge again and again that faith is trust, that faith signifies trust: fides est fiducia; fides significat fiduciam. This was only to assert that the faith required in the New Testament is a feeling of the same kind with the trust enjoined in the Old Testament; as is proved-to talse a single instance-by the passage in the Gospels, where the disciples are frightened by the tempest, while their Master is asleep..., and where...He rebukes them for their want of faith (Matth. viii. 26), that is...for their want of confidence in Him."

The Editor ventares to refer to his Tract, Justifying Righteousness (Seeley, 1885), for a discussion in some detail, with quotations.

## L. ST PAUL'S USE OF ATHLETIC METAPHORS․

(Сп. II. 16, III. 14.)
Is his constant illustration of the Christian life by the requirements and rewards of the Greek athletic contests, St Paul at once displays his own Hellenic sympathies and appeals to the noblest enthusiasm of the national life of his Greek converts. The Olympian games were closely connected with all that was most precious in the contribution made by Greece to the providential education of the world. Once in every forr years the perpetually quarrelling states of the Panhellenic union proclaimed a solemn armistice for a single summer month, and met on the sacred plain of Olympia in a brotherly contest, city against

[^19]city as well as man against man, for the highest glory that life could offer. Nothing might take precedence of this supreme festival. Even the sending of forces to support the heroes of Thermopyla ${ }^{1}$ must wait till the sacred month was over. Round this centre of Greek life religion, literature and art ranged themselves spontaneously in their most splendid forms. Historians read their histories to the assembled multitudes; poets proclaimed the glories of the successful champions, and sculptors perpetuated their noble forms. Time for the next four years was marked by the name of the victor in the foot-race, who though he carried off but a orown of wild olive returned to his city to receive substantial honours for the remainder of his days.

Something may be usefully noted here as to the training, the testing of candidates, and the actual contest. The training extended over ten months. A strict diet was enforced (avaүкофа $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{i a}$ ). The length and severity of this preparatory discipline led to a professionalism whioh is sharply criticized by several Greek writers. Athletes as such became marked off from ordinary competitors. Euripides ${ }^{2}$ denounces the uselessness of the mere athlete's life, and Galen ${ }^{3}$ (cent. ii.) its brutalizing tendency. Extreme exertion, even flagellations, inordinate overfeeding, and as a consequence excessive sleep-these were the exaggerations which accompanied the athletics of a baser period. Yet a certain moral witness was given by the necessity of abstinence from unchaste lusts: and the discipline and self-control demanded by these labours were in striking contrast with the lightness and carelessness which characterized so much of the Greek citizen's life.

A month before the contest all the candidates were tested by the Hellanodicw. Every competitor must be able to shew that he was a pure Greek, and that he had andergone the regular training. He must further declare his determination to abide by the customary rules, and take a solemn oath to this effect.

Of the contest itself two forms only need be noticed here. The Foot-race, in the Stadium, was the central event of the Festival; the Olympiad was marked by the name of the winner. The Herald proclaimed:

## "Foot by foot

To the foot-line put."
The starting-rope ( $v_{\sigma} \pi \lambda_{\eta \xi}$ ), the race, the goal, the revel, the hymnall these are familiar from the splendid verse of Pindar. And it is to this race that St Paul most frequently refers. But the severer contest of the Boxing-match, sometimes even fatal in its issue, also finds a place in his vocabulary of illustration. The Boxer's hands and arms were furnished with the dangerous cestus of twisted leather loaded

[^20]with metall. In training the competitors would practise even upon "dummies," or upon nothing, "striking the air": but their crushed ears attested more serious and painful preparations ${ }^{2}$.

The following passages in St Paul present more or less distinctly athletic metaphors. The passing character of the allusion in some cases serves to shew how familiar, and how instinctive, was the illustration.-The words printed in thicker type recall, often with unmistakable intention, sometimes perhaps half unconsciously, the phraseology of the games.
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 тঠ̀v $\delta \rho \delta \mu \circ \nu \mu \nu$.
${ }^{1}$ See e.g. Theocritus, Idyll. XXII. 80, and cp. Virg. Ain. V. 400 .


By far the most elaborate illustration is found in 1 Cor. ix. 24-27, where almost every word receives its signification from the Greek games.







It is interesting to set beside this the splendid appeal on behalf of purity in Plato, Laws, Bk viii. p. 840. After recording instances of famous athletes and their temperance in the period of training, the Athenian stranger says:
"And yet, Cleinias, they were far worse educated in their minds than your and my fellow-citizens, and in their bodies far more lusty.
"Cleinias. No doubt this fact has been often affirmed positively by the ancients of these athletes.
"Ath. And shall they be willing to abstain from what is ordinarily deemed a pleasure for the sake of a victory in wrestling, running, and the like; and our young men be incapable of a similar endurance for the sake of a much nobler victory, which is the noblest of all, as from their youth upwards we will tell them?" (Jowett's Plato, Vol, v., p. 409.)

## M. AD. MONOD ON ST PAUL'S TEARS, (Ce. LII. 18.)

"What is the Gospel of St Paul? Is it but a refined deism, announcing as its whole doctrine the existence of God and the immortality of the soul, as its whole revelation the fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man, as its only mediator Jesus Christ living as prophet and dying as martyr? Or is this Gospel a religion unlike all others (une religion tout $\bar{a}$ part)...proclaiming a God unknown, promising an indescribable deliverance, demanding a radical change, compassionate and terrible at once, ...high as heaven, deep as hell? You need not, for your answer, consult the writings of the Apostle; you have but to see him weeping at your feet."

Saint Paul, Cinq Discours (ed. 1859), p. 62.

## N. FAMILY AFFEOTION OF CHHISTIANITY. (Ce. IV. 1.)

"Whime the great motives of the Gospel reduce the multiplicity and confusion of the passions by their commanding force, they do, by the very same energy, expand all sensibilities; or, if we might so speak, send the pulse of life with vigour through the finer vessels of the moral system: there is far less apathy, and a far more equable
consciousness in the mind, after it has admitted Christianity, than before; and, by necessary consequence, there is more individuality, because more life. Christians, therefore, while they understand each other better than other men do, possess a greater stock of sentiment to make the subject of converse, than others. The comparison of heart to heart knits heart to heart, and communicates to friendship very much that is sweet and intense....
"So far as Christians truly exhibit the characteristics of their Lord, in spirit and conduct, a vivid emotion is enkindled in other Christian bosoms, as if the bright Original of all perfection stood dimly revealed....The conclusion comes upon the mind... that this family resemblance...springs from a common centre, and that there exists, as its archetype, an invisible Personage, of whose glory all are, in a measure, partaking."

Isanc Taxlon, of Ongar; Saturday Evening, ch. xix.
O. PHILIPPI AND THE EPISTLE. (CH. IV. 18.) From an essay by Prof. J. Agar Beet, in The Expositor (January, 1889), I extract the closing sentences:-
"With this reply [the Epistle], a gift infinitely more precious than that he brought from Philippi, Epaphroditus starts on his homeward journey. The joy caused by his return, and the effect of this wonderful letter when first read in the Church at Philippi, are hidden from us. And we may almost say that with this letter the Church itself passes from our view. To.day, in silent meadows quiet cattle browse among the ruins which mark the site of what was once the flourishing Roman colony of Philippi, the home of the most attractive Churoh of the apostolic age. But the name and fame and spiritual influence of that Church will never pass. To myriads of men and women in every age and nation, the letter written in a dungeon at Rome and carried along the Egnatian Way by an obsoure Christian messenger, has been a light Divine, and a cheerful guide along the most rugged paths in life. As I watch, and myself rejoice in, the brightness of that farshining light, and glance at those silent ruins, I see fulfilled an ancient prophecy: The grass withereth, the flower fadeth: but the word of our God shall stand for ever."
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Pliny (the younger), 96
Plutarch, 37, 49 (bis), 63
Polybius, 23, 66
Pomponia Græcina, 94
Pretorian guard, 92, 93
Prosencha, xi
Pseado-Phocylides, 63
Pudens, xiv

Renan, xxii, 78
Resurrection, the, 65-67
Rhemish Version, 38, 80
Robinson, Dr J. A., 103
Rome, St Paul and, xiv, xv, xvi, 92-94; Church at, 19, 93, 97; Epistle of St Paul to, xvi; its parallels with "Philippians," xix

Sacerdos, 98
"Saints," 95
Salmon, Dr G., xii, xxii, 78, 95
Salvation, contingent yet assured, 45, 66
Schrader, 100
Sohwegler, 78
Scott, T., 45
Scrivener, xxxiii, 31
Secundus, xiv
Selborne, Lord, 44
Seneca, 72, 93, 94
Septuagint, 15, 16, 17, 21, 42. 47, 58, 78, 81, 88
Silas, xii, 11, 87
Silvanus, Philippian temple of, ix, xiv

Simeon, C., 68
Socrates (the historian), 57
"Sonship," of Christ, 43, 75, 76
spiritual, 46
Sophocles, 26, 34, 70
Soul and Spirit, 29
"Spirit" (The), 23
Spiritual Life, a Progress, 6769
Stachys, 90
Stephanus (Stephens, Estienne), zxyiii
Stoicism and Christianity, 94, 97
Strabo, 66
Suetonius, 18
Suicer, 26, 28
Suidar, 60, 62
Syntyche, 77, 78
Taylor, Isaac, 26, 107
"Teashing of the Twelve Apostles," 80, 96
Tears of St Paul, 71, 106
Tertullian, xx, xxxi, 10, 55
Textus Receptus, xxxiii
Thanksgiving, 81
Theooritus, 105
Theodoret, xxxi, 10, 32, 55, 76, 102
Theophylact, xxxi, $9,10,16,32$, 55, 76
Thessalonica, xiii, 12, 87
Thucydides, 66, 87
Tigellinus, 16, 18
Timothy, xi, 50, 51, 78, 93
Tindale, xxxii, 24, 29, 58, 80
Tischendorf, xxxi, xxxii, 9, 10, 31, 32, 33, 55, 76
Trajan, 98
Tregelles, xxxii, 9, 10, 31, 32, $33,55,76,77$

Trench, Abp, 36, 60, 73, 79, 80, 81
Trophimus, xiv
Tryphæna, 90
Tryphosa, 90
Tychicus, 93
Union with Christ, 11, 16, 34, $45,63,84,86$
Urbanus, xiv, 90
Valentinians, 43
Versions, xxi , xxx
Victorinus, xxxi, 32, 33, 54, 76
Vienne and Lyons, Letter from the Churches of, xxi
Virgil, 105
Volokmar, 78
Vulgate, the, xxx, 21, 24, 25, 31, $33,34,35,38,47,49,62,63$, $66,67,69,73,75,76,78,80$, 81, 85, 86

Westeott, Bp (on 1 Joh. i. 1), 47
Westcott and Hort, xxxii, 9, 10, 21, 31, 32, 33, 55, 76
Wetstein, 102
Will, the human, 45
Winer, $9,65,73$
Women, their influence in Mace donia, xiii, 77, 96
Wordsworth, Bp Chr., xxxii, 31, 32, 33, 55, 76
Wyclif, xxxii, 31, 34 (bis), 38, 58, 73, 78

Xenophon, 22, 72
Ximenes, $x \times x i i 1$
Zwickau, the "Prophets" of, 71

## II．GREEK．

A．
$d \gamma a \pi \eta \tau b s, 77$
à $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu$ ós， 82

à $\delta \eta \mu 0 \nu \in \grave{\nu}, 53$
aī $\theta \eta \sigma \iota s, 16$
alóv， 89
d́кацрє乞̂̀， 84
áкє́ $\rho$ аıos， 46
ả $\nu a \theta a \lambda \lambda e \epsilon \nu, 84$


dт $\pi \chi \in \iota \nu, 88$
а́токарабокіа，хіх， 23
áтолоүla， 15
$\dot{\text { án }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \mathrm{os}, 52$
атрббкотоя， 17
д̀ $\pi \hat{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha, 30,72$
वंрєт市， 83
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma \mu$ bs， 37
aủта́ркךร， 85
$\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \delta \hat{\omega}, 33$
B．
$\beta_{\varepsilon} \beta a i \omega \sigma l s, 15$
$\beta$ ра $\beta_{\varepsilon \text { īo }, ~} 69$
「．
$\gamma \nu \eta \sigma t 05,50,78$
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho l \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, 25,81$
$\gamma^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \iota s, 62$
$\gamma 0 \gamma \gamma v \sigma \mu \delta s, 46$
$\Delta$.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha, 15,21$
Sıdкоуоь，xxvi（Polycarp＇s letter）， 12， 95

$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon เ \nu, 16$
סıкаıоби́vך，17， 63
ঠ七ஸ́кєเข，61， 68
бокıцá̧єıע， 16
$\delta \varnothing к \iota \mu \dot{\eta}, 51$

## E．

${ }^{\text {＇E }} \mathrm{E} \rho \mathrm{\rho} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { i }} \mathbf{0} \mathrm{~s}, 60$

el with conjunctive， 66,68
ei入ıкрии ${ }^{\prime} s, 17$
eis кevbи， 48
$\epsilon \nu, 23,27$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 13$
є่v $\dot{\nu} \nu a \mu 0 \hat{\nu}, 86$
ย̇ขєр $\gamma \in \hat{\imath} \nu, 45$ ；（ $-\gamma \in \iota a, 75$ ）
Évтццо5， 54
Éそavá $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota, 66$

ध́тalıos， 83
є̇тєктєโขєб $\theta a \iota, 68$

єтiरєढos，42， 72



̇̇тı $\theta$ vuia， 25
$\epsilon \pi \iota \iota \circ \theta \in \hat{\imath}, 15,52 ;-\theta \eta \tau \delta s, 77$
є̇лІкотоь，12，95－97
${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i v}, 14$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma i a$, xxiv， 22
є̇тоข ádlos， 42
$\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \iota \theta$ eia，xix，xxi，9，21， 35
$\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \nu, 78$
eúdocla， 20
Eủodiav，76， 77
$\epsilon \dot{v} \rho i \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu, 40,63$
$\epsilon \cup ँ \phi \eta \mu \sigma, 82$
$\epsilon \dot{u} \dot{d} l a, ~ x x i v, ~ 88$
Z．
Sท̂入os，55， 61
ऽ $\eta \mu i \alpha, 61$ ；－$\iota \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota, 62$
$\theta$.
$\theta \epsilon b s$ ，distinctively of the Father， 38，ср． 53
$\theta \lambda \hat{\imath} \psi \iota s, 21$
elvia， 48

I．
qua， 16
iobuvxos， 50

## K．

катала $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, 68$
катато $\mu \dot{\eta}, 58$
катах Óv $^{\prime}$ оо， 42
каú $\eta \mu a, 27,48$ ；－$\chi a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota, 58$
кєє̈б $\theta a, 21$

кє́pōos（in pluraì）， 61
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma, 69$
коліа， 72
коцц $\nu \mathrm{l}$ ，13，34， 65
котıằ， 48
Kúpos， 43
$\Lambda$.
$\lambda а \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \downarrow, 58$
$\lambda_{\text {eitoup }}$ la， 48 ；－${ }^{\prime}$ ós， 52
$\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{c}, 83$

$\lambda_{0}<\pi \delta \nu$ ，56， 82
M．
$\mu \in \rho \iota \nu \partial \bar{\alpha}, 50,80$
$\mu \in \tau a \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \ell \xi \in \stackrel{ }{ }, 74$
морф川，36， 39
$\mu \nu \epsilon i v, 85$
N．
$\nu$ дпиа， 82
$\nu 6 \mu \mathrm{os}, 64$

бктатлиєроs， 59
д $\mu \mathrm{o}=\omega \mu \mathrm{a}, 39$

$\delta \sigma \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \delta i a s$, xxiv， 88

## II．

тараßолеибд́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оз，33， 54
тара́кл $\eta \sigma$ 七s， 34
$\pi а \rho а \lambda а \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota, 83$
тарани́өוоу， 34
тароvala， 27
тар $\eta_{\sigma} l a, 23$
$\pi \in \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i ̂ ̀, 71$
$\pi$ iotus，27， 29 ；（Xpıбтoü），64， 65

＂$\pi \nu \in \tilde{\jmath} \mu a$＇I $\eta \sigma \circ \hat{v}$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \hat{u}$ ，＂ 23
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon u ́ \varepsilon \iota \nu, \mathrm{xxvi}$（Polycarp＇s letter）， 28 ；－$\tau \epsilon \nu \mu, 73$
$\pi \rho a \iota \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \nu, \mathrm{xiv}, \mathrm{xv}, 18$
$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon ⿺ 辶, 87$
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho 0,96$
трокожй， 18
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi u \hat{\eta} s, 82$
$\pi \tau\langle\rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a i, 29$
P．
$\dot{\rho} a \beta \delta \sigma_{0} \chi o l$, xi

## $\Sigma$.

बd́ $\rho \bar{\xi}, 59$
$\sigma \in \mu \nu 6 s, 82$
бкопо́s， 68
$\sigma \kappa u ́ \beta a \lambda a, 62$
$\sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 48$
$\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ ，xxiv，15，31， 34
$\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \iota \sigma \theta$ วิval（Ignatius），xxi
бтєфауоs， 77
$\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\chi \epsilon \iota \nu, 27,77}$
बтогхєiv， 70
$\sigma \tau p a r \eta \gamma o l$, xi
биүкоьขшขои́s，9；－עeîv， 86
$\sigma v \gamma \chi a l \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 49$
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \varphi \epsilon \nu, 78$
$\sigma \nu \mu \mu \mu \mu \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}, 70$
$\sigma \nu \mu \mu_{0 \rho \phi \delta s, ~ х і х, ~ 55, ~ 66, ~}^{75}$
$\sigma \dot{\sim} \mu \psi v \chi 0 \iota, 35$
бvva $0 \lambda \in i v, 29$
$\sigma 0 \nu \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a \_, 25$
สย̇vకuरe，76， 78

бvข $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}, 52$
$\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a, 40$
c cotppla，22， 45
T．
 －$\nu$ ốäan， 85
татєเขофроб⿱́pך，ххіv， 35
татetvéts， 74
$\tau \in \kappa \nu a \quad \theta \epsilon 0 \hat{0}, 46$
tenecos， 69
$\tau \in \lambda \in \iota v i \sigma \theta a \iota, 67$

## INDICES．

телоs， 72
Toû with infinitive， 65

## $\boldsymbol{T}$.

vi $\pi$ cipXet $\nu, 36$
$i \pi \epsilon \rho, 14,41$
vinepurfồv， 41
$\dot{\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa o o s, ~} 40$
$\dot{\dot{v} \sigma \tau} \bar{\rho} \rho \sigma \iota \mathrm{~s}, 85$

Фapıб人îos， 60
$\Phi$.
$\phi \partial \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, 70$
$\Phi_{\iota} \lambda_{\iota} \pi \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \iota \ell, 86$
фроцеї， 14
$\phi \omega \sigma \tau \tau \rho, 47$
$\mathbf{X}$.
xapl乡 $\epsilon \iota \nu, 30$
xápls， 12
Хортйєのөat， 86
$\psi u \chi \hat{\eta}$ and $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha, 29$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lewin, Life and Epistles of St Paul, Vol, i. p. 208.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lewin, i. 207.
    ${ }^{2}$ To Philip it was important not only for military strength but as a place of mines. He is said to have worked the old and almost abandoned mines so vigorously as to have drawn from them 10,000 talents yearly. Long before the Christian era, apparently, the supply of precious ore was finally exhausted.

    On this form see note on Phil. iv. 15.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The narrative (Acts xvi. 1-17) is in the first person. On the "we sections" of the Aots see Salmon, Introduction to the N.T., pp. 371 \&e. We may assume Timothy's presence from Acts xvi. 1 \&c. and xvii. 14, 15.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lightfoot, p. 60.
    8 The word "Macedonia" in the Roman period embraced Macedonia Proper, Epirus, and Thessaly. "Achaia" (as a province) denoted the rest of Greece Proper, north and south of the Gulf of Corinth,

[^3]:    1 Indeed, the first intention of the centurion Julius may have been that his prisoners should be conveyed to Rome by way of the 出gaan, Macedonia, and the Adriatic (Lightfoot, p. 35, note).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Lightfoot's interesting proofs, p. 38, note.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Harnack, in his Chronology of Early Christian Literature, 1897, pt. 1. p. 239, makes no doubt about accepting the Philippians as genuine, and considers that it was written from Rome.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ignatius and his companion Confessors.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. xxi.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ So Lightfoot; in preference to the reading Dei Filius, which he thinks to be later.

[^8]:    1 In some Apocryphal books the Old Latin appears unrevised.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is uncertain whether Ulphilas was the translator of the Old Testament.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{He}$ (p. 102) quotes from an insoription the words, Th Kגaúdıov oviexpavòv
    

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Giustizia mosse il mio alto Fattore:
    Fecemi la divina poteshate, La somma sapienza e il primo amore.

    Dante, Inferno, IIL.4-6.

[^11]:    $\sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta \delta \rho a 1$. "I am being outpoured"; "libated," in my life-blood.
    "The present tense places the hypothesis vividly before the eyes; but it does not...refer to present dangers...comp. e.g. Matt. xii, 26 " $[\epsilon l$ o $\Sigma a \tau a \nu a ̂ s ~ \tau \partial \nu \nu \Sigma$. é $\beta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon]$ (Lightfoot). But it is at least possible that, in suspense as he was about the issue of his trial, he is here thinking of martyrdom es perhaps at the door.
     compares Ignat. ad Rom. c. 2, a close parallel here, $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho d \sigma \chi \eta \sigma \theta \theta$
    

[^12]:    1 I owe this remark to a friend.
    2 The words occur in a fragment of the Thais of Menander; but Socrates (the historian) adduces (iii. 16, ad $\mathrm{fin}^{\text {. }}$ ) the verse as proof that St Paul was not
     Menander borrowed...from Euripides" (Alford.. Socrates (ibid.) quotes Tit.i. 12; Acts xvii. 28; as proofs of St Paul's acquaintance with Epimenides and Aralus respectively.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Renan translates the words here, ma chere épouse (Saint Paul, p. 148). See Salmon, Intr. to N.T., p. 465 note.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Bp Lightfoot, Philippians, pp. 99 \&c, and our notes on Phil. i. 13.
    2 The first hint appears in Tertullian, cent. ii-iii.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ We cannot but think that Bp Lightfoot (Philippians, p. 301) somewhat underates the probability that Gallio and Burrus should have given Seneca an interest in St Paul.

    2 It appears in the First Ifp, to the Corinthians, written a few years before the Ep. to the Ephesians. See 1 Cor. xii.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the curiously Christian tone of Enictetus' writings here and there, see Bp Lightfoot, Philippians, pp. 313 \&c. The Manual of Rpictetus is a book of gold in its own way, but still that way is not Christian.

    * Bp Lightfoot, Philippians, p. 21,

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ He does not mention the bishop in writing to the Roman Church. But there is other good evidence for the then presence of a bishop at Rome.
    I At Alexnndria, till at least A.D. 260, tho bishop appears to have been chosen and ordained by the presbyters. In the Church of Patrick (cent. v.) in Ireland and Columba (cent. vi.) in Scotland, the bishop was an ordainer, but not a diocesan ruler. See Boultbee, Hist. of the Church of England, p. 25.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ It will be remembered that the word iepcús, sacerdos, is never in N.T. a designation of the Christian minister as such.
    ${ }_{2}$ This was fully owned by the great Anglican writers of cent. avii See Bp Andrewes writing to Du Moulin; Bp Cosin to Basire; and Bp Hall's Peace Maker, \& 6. Cp. Bp J. J. S. Perowne, Church, Ministry, and Sacraments, pp. 6, 7, and the Editor's Outlines of Doctrine, oh. x.

[^18]:    "If we seek the true import of the word Kenosis, as applied to our Incarnate Lord, the Philippian passage (ii. 7), its original source for us, must be consulted. And it seems to guide us in a line exactly opposite to that which would make fallibility an element in our Lord's
     the Greek by well recognised facts of idiom, we should take the aorist verb, $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in \nu \omega \sigma \in \nu$, and the aorist participle, $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu$, , as conspiring to give us, from two sides, one idea. 'He made Himself void,' not anyhow, but thus-' taking Bondservant's form.' The 'making void' was in fact just this-the 'taking.' It was-the assumption of the creaturely Nature, the becoming, in Augustine's words (ad Dardanum), 'Creature, as Man' (quoad hominem, creatura); and the assumption of it in just this respect, that in it, and by the fact of it, He became $\delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda o s$, Bondservant. Now what is the implication of that unique, that absolute, unreserved, unhindered Bondservice of the Incarnate Son? What does it say to us about His capacity to do the Father's work, and convey His mind and message? The absolute subjection of the

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Contributed almost entirely by the kindness of Dr J. Armitage Robinson.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. VII. 206. The advance force under Leonidas was to be supported $\pi a \nu \delta \eta \mu$ ei by the Spartans after the Carneia, the Spartan festival which coincided with the Olympia; and the other States were parposing the like action: but iv
    
    ${ }_{2}$ In a long fragment of his tragedy of Autolycus (in Athenæus x. p. 413: Dindorf, Poetoe Scenici, Fragmenta).
    

