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## PREFACE TO. THE THIRD EDITION.

The publication in the present year of Dr Hort's lecture-notes upon the Apocalypse has rendered necessary a few additions both to the introduction and to the notes of this volume.

Until my first edition had been published I was not aware that Dr Hort had lectured upon the subject, and the announcement that his notes were being prepared for the press came as a further and welcome surprise. Their value has been justly estimated by Dr Sanday in his preface to the work, and I need only add the hope that all readers of the present book may be able to consult Dr Hort's fresh and suggestive pages. In regard to the unity of the Apocalypse I am rejoiced to find that I have the support of his great authority. On the other hand he inclines decidedly to the earlier date, and upon some important points of exegesis his conclusions differ from those to which I had come. To the latter it has been impossible to do more than refer; upon the date of the book I have added a postscript to the chapter of my introduction which deals with that question, briefly stating the grounds upon which I am unable to abandon the traditional view.

Besides these additions a few corrections, supplied by reviews or received from private friends, have been made in this edition, and the pagination has undergone some necessary changes.
H. B. S.

Cambridae,
3 Septenber 1908.

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

An unexpected call for a reissue of this book within a few months after its publication compels me to pass it through the press again before it has been reviewed by some of the chief organs of English theological opinion. I have, however, received much help in the way of corrections and suggestions of various kinds both from the notices and reviews which have appeared and from the letters of friends. Among correspondents to whom I am indebted I would mention the Bishop of Ely, the Dean of St Patrick's, Professor Gwynn and Professor Lawlor of Dublin, Dr Nestle, the Rev. C. Plummer, Professor W. Emery Barnes and Professor Burkitt, and especially Professor J. E. B. Mayor, whose stores of learning have supplied not a few fresh references and illustrations.

In preparing for this reprint I have read both the Introduction and Notes again, and have revised them freely wherever it seemed possible to remove an ambiguity by a verbal change; from the judgements passed and the principles advocated in the first edition I have seen no cause to depart. The apparatus criticus remains unaltered, except that the readings of the Coptic and Armenian versions have been corrected to some extent with the help of the new editions of those versions lately published by Mr Horner and Mr Conybeare. The references in the Index to the Introduction and Notes have been brought into agreement with the slightly altered paging, which, as the book has been electrotyped, will now, I trust, be permanent.

```
Cambridge,
    23 March 1907.
```


## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

Eight years ago I was permitted to finish a commentary on the earliest of the four Gospels. As a sequel to it, I now offer a commentary on the Revelation of St John.

The Apocalypse discloses the heavenly life of our Lord, as the Gospels paint His life in Galilee and Jerusalem. In the Gospels, He is seen teaching and working in His mortal flesh; in the Apocalypse, He belongs to another and a higher order. But the ascended life is a continuation of the life in the flesh; the Person is the same yesterday and to-day, in Palestine and in Heaven.

Thus the Apocalypse carries forward the revelation of the Gospels. It carries it, however, into a region where the methods of the biographer and historian avail nothing. We are in the hands of a prophet, who sees and hears things that elude the eyes and ears of other men; the simple narrative of the Evangelist has given place to a symbolism which represents the struggle of the Apocalyptist to express ideas that lie in great part beyond the range of human thought. Yet the life which St John reveals is not less real than that which is depicted by. St Mark, nor are its activities less amazing. No miracles meet us here, but we are in the presence of spiritual processes which are more wonderful than the healing of the sick or the raising of the dead: a supervision of all the Churches, which surpasses the powers of any earthly pastor; an ordering of nature and life, which bears witness to the investment.of the risen Lord with all asthority in heaven and on earth; a perfect knowledge of men, and a prescience which reads the issues of history. The revelation of the Lord's heavenly life becomes, as we proceed, a revelation of the things which are and the things which shall come to pass
hereafter; we see the glorified life in its bearing upon the course of events, until the end has.been attained and the whole creation has felt its renovating power.

To comment on this great prophecy is a harder task than to comment on a Gospel, and he who undertakes it exposes himself to the charge of presumption. I have been led to venture upon what I know to be dangerous ground by the conviction that the English student needs an edition of this book which shall endeavour to take account of the large accessions to knowledge made in recent years, and shall be drawn upin a scale commensurate with that of the larger commentaries on other books of the New Testament. More especially I have had in view the wants of the English clergy, who, scholars at heart by early education or by the instincts of a great tradition, are too often precluded from reaping the fruits of research through inability to -procure or want of leisure to read a multitude of books. It is my belief, and the belief has grown in strength as my task has proceeded, that the Apocalypse offers to the pastors of the Church an unrivalled store of materials for Christian teaching, if only the book is approached with an assurance of its prophetic character, chastened by a frank acceptance of the light which the growth of knowledge has cast and will continue to cast upon it.

The Apocalypse is well-worked ground. It would not be difficult to construct a commentary which should be simply a catena of patristic and mediaeval expositions, or an attempt to compare and group the views of later writers. Such an undertaking would not be without interest or value, but it lies outside the scope of the present work. In this commentary, as in the commentary on St Mark, it has been my endeavour, in the first instance, to make an independent study of the text, turning to the commentaries afterwards for the purpose of correcting or supplementing my own conclusions. As a rule, the interpretations which are offered here are those which seemed to arise out of the writer's own words, viewed in connexion with the circumstances under which he wrote, and the general purpose of his work, without reference to the various schools of Apocalyptic exegesis. There are those to whom the results will appear bizarre, and a medley of heterogeneous elements; but the syncretism, if it be such,
has been reached, not by the blending of divergent views, but through the guidance of definite principles, which are stated in the introduction. Here it may be briefly explained that I have sought to place each passage in the light of the conditions under which the book was composed, and to interpret accordingly; not forgetting, however, the power inherent in all true prophecy of fulfilling itself in circumstances remote from those which called it forth.

But, with this reservation, I have gladly used the labours of predecessors in the field, especially the pregnant remarks of the patristic writers. Of modern commentators, Bousset has helped me most, and though I differ profoundly from his general attitude towards the book, and from not a few of his interpretations, I gladly acknowledge that I have greatly benefited by the stores of knowledge with which his book abounds. The Jewish Apocalypses edited by Professor Charles, and other apocalyptic writings, Jewish and Christian, have been always at my side. For geographical and archaeological details I am deeply indebted to the works of Professor W. M. Ramsay, the article on Asia Minor by Dr Johannes Weiss in Hauck's recast of Herzog's Realencyklopädie, and the admirable monograph on Proconsular Asia contributed by Monsieur Victor Chapot to the Bibliothèque de l'Ecole des Hautes Etudes.

During my preparations for the press, I have been unable to make a personal use of the University Library; and though my difficulty has been partly overcome in the past year through the kindness of the Syndics of the Library, the loss has been serious, and I fear that it will be felt by readers who look for fulness of detail and the use of the latest editions. From gross inaccuracies my work has been saved, as I trust, by the ready help of many friends. My warm thanks are due to the Rev. J. H. Srawley; of Gonville and Caius and Selwyn Colleges, and to the Rev. H. C. O. Lanchester, Fellow of Pembroke College, who have read the proofs of the introduction, text, and notes Mr Srawley has verified nearly all the references in the notes; the indices and the Biblical references in the introduction have been corrected by the care of a relative. My colleagues, Professor Reid and Professor Ridgeway, have allowed me to submit to them the proofs of portions of my book in which I had
occasion to enter upon ground which they have severally made their own. To the Rev. A. S. Walpole, editor of a volume of Latin Hymns which is shortly to appear in Cambridge Patristic Texts, I owe my knowledge of the splendid stanzas which precede the introduction.

Other debts of various kinds call for acknowledgement here. Messis T. and T. Clark, of Edinburgh, with the ready consent of Professor Ramsay, have permitted me to adapt to my own use the map of Asia Minor which accompanies the article on Roads and Travel (in the New Testament) 'in the supplementary volume of Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible. The Rev. T. C. Fitzpatrick, President of Queens' College, supplied the negative from which the engraving of Patmos has been produced; and the specimen of MS. 186 came from a photograph of the entire MS. kindly taken for me by Professor Lake, of Oxford and Leyden. For the page of coins illustrating the life and worship of pagan Asia in the age of the Apocalypse I have to thank Dr M. R. James, Director of the Fitzwilliam Museum, who helped me to select them from Colonel Leake's famous collection, and his assistant, Mr H. A. Chapman, to whose skill the casts were due. Lastly, it is a pleasure once again to say how much I owe to the unfailing attention of the workmen and readers and the ready assistance of the officials of the University Press.

I part with the work which has occupied the leisure of some years under a keen sense of the shortcomings that are apparent even when it is judged by the standard of my own expectations, yet not without an assured hope that it may help some of my fellow-students to value and understand a book which is in some respects the crown of the New Testament canon. In letting it go from me, I can only repeat Augustine's prayer, which stood at the end of the preface to St Mark, and is even more necessary here. Domine Deus...quaecumque dixi in hoc libro de tuo, agnoscant et tui; si qua de meo, et Tu ignosce et tui.
H. B. S.

Cambridge,
F. of the Transfiguration, 1906.
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## TOHANNES APOCALYPTISTA

Caelum transit, veri rotam solis uidit, ibi totam
mentis figens aciem: speculator spiritalis quasi seraphim sub alis

Dei uidit faciem.
audiit in gyro sedis quid psallant cum citharoedis
quater seni proceres:
de sigillo Trinitatis
nostrae nummo ciuitatis
impressit characteres.
uolat auis sine meta
quo nec uates nec propheta
euolauit altius :
tam implenda quam impleta numquam uidit tot secreta. purus homo purius.

[^0]
## INTRODUCTION.

## I.

## PROPHECY IN THE APOSTOLIC CHURCH.

I. The Christian era opened with a revival of Prophecy. In the Maccabean age and the times that followed it the prophetic order was believed to be dead, or in a state of suspended vitality; in matters pertaining to God men acted provisionally, "till there should arise a faithful prophet ${ }^{1 "}$ to interpret the Divine Will, Whether this impression was correct or not ${ }^{2}$, it is certain that the Advent was marked by an outburst of prophetic utterance to which the two centuries before Christ can offer no parallel. Prophetic gifts were exercised by the priest Zacharias, by Simeon of Jerusalem, by Hanṇah of the tribe of Asher ${ }^{3}$. As for John, the son of Zacharias, he was not only universally accounted a prophet, but pronounced by Christ to be "much more," since the prophet who was the Lord's immediate forerunner had greater honour than those who from a distance foresaw His coming ${ }^{4}$.
2. Christian prophecy begins with the Ministry of Christ. The crowds which hung upon, His lips both in Galilee and at Jerusalem, and even the Samaritan woman who at first resented His teaching, recognized in Him a Prophet,--perhaps a propheta redivivus, a Jeremiah restored to life ${ }^{5}$. Nor did the Lord hesitate to accept this view of His mission ${ }^{6}$; if. it was inadequate, yet it correctly described one side of His work. A Prophet Himself, He came to inaugurate a new line of prophets; He, undertook to endow His new Israel with the prophetic Spirit which had been

[^1][^2]the glory of the ancient people of God ${ }^{1}$. The Church was to possess not only "scribes," whose task it would be to interpret the Christian tradition, but inspired teachers, able through the Spirit to guide believers into new fields of thought and action ${ }^{2}$.
3. The earliest history of the Church shews the fulfilment of these hopes and promises. On the Day of Pentecost, in a speech attributed to St Peter, the words of Joel are applied to the future Israel: your sons and your daughters shall prophesy...yea and on my servants and on my handmaidens in those days will I pour forth of my Spirit, and they shall prophes'y ${ }^{3}$. How soon a recognized order of prophets arose in the Church of Jerusalem there is no evidence to shew, but about the year $43-4{ }^{4}$ Christian prophets from Jerusalem, Agabus and others, made their way to Antioch, and shortly afterwards resident prophets ministered there in the congregations. After the conference at Jerusalem (a.D. 49) the hands of the Antiochian prophets were strengthened by the coming of two other prophets from the mother Church, Judas Barsabbas and Silas ${ }^{6}$. Seven years later, the daughters of Philip the Evangelist are found exercising prophetic gifts at Caesarea; and on the same occasion St Paul's arrest at Jerusalem is foretold by a prophet from Judaea, one Agabus ${ }^{7}$, probably the person who had predicted the Claudian famine. His prophecy came as no surprise to the Apostle, who had received similar warnings. from Christian prophets in the cities through which he had passed on his way to Palestine ${ }^{8}$. Prophets were to be found everywhere in the Churches planted by St Paul.
4. From what has been said it appears that the new prophecy began at Jerusalem, and spread from Jerusalem to Antioch, and from Antioch to Asia Minor and Greece. The Epistles of St Paul bear witness to its presence at Thessalonica, at Corinth, at Ephesus,

[^3]```
(Hastings, D.B. i. p. 415 ff.)
    5 Acts \(x\) i. 27, xiii. 1 f.
    \({ }^{6}\) Acts xv. 22; cf. \(i b .32 \mathrm{kal}\) aútol
```



```
    7 Acts xxi. 10 ff.
```



and at Rome ${ }^{1}$; and probably also in the Churches of Soutb Galatia, at Lystra and Iconium ${ }^{2}$. To Rome as to Antioch the prophets may have come from Jerusalem; in the other Churches named above, prophecy was one of the fruits of St Paul's preaching. We are able to note the impression which the gift produced upon the Gentile converts. At Thessalonica there was a disposition to think light of it, and even at Corinth it was valued less highly than the gift of tongues. St Paul, while admitting the need of discrimination between the prophet and the pretender, or between worthy utterances and unworthys, insists that the true prophet was, after the apostle, the greatest of the gifts bestowed upon the Church by the ascended Christ ${ }^{4}$. The prophet's mission. was to build up the Church which the apostle had founded; to edify, exhort, console believers ${ }^{5}$; to convict unbelievers, laying bare the secrets of their hearts and assuring them of the Divine Presence in the Cbristian brotherhood ${ }^{\text {b }}$. The ideal prophet knew all mysteries and all knowledge ${ }^{7}$. Yet prophecy was liable to abuse, and its exercise needed to be carefully regulated. At Corinth, where, when St Paul wrote his first Epistle (probably in 55), a strong tide of prophetic power had set in, it was necessary to enact that not more than two or three prophets should speak at the same meeting of the Church, and only one prophet at a time, and to remind the prophets themselves that they were responsible for the proper control of their gift; they were not automata in the hands of the Spirit, for the spirits of the prophets are subject to the prophets ${ }^{8}$.
5. While the most remarkable display of prophetic powers of which we have any detailed account occurred at Corinth, it was perhaps chiefly at Ephesus and in the other cities of Asia that the prophets took root as a recognized order. The Epistie to the Ephesians; probably an encyclical addressed to all the Asian Churches, not merely assigns to the prophetic order the same

[^4]place of honour which they receive in I Corinthians; but lays repeated stress on the greatness of their work; the local Church had been built upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets; the prophets, as well as the pastors and teachers, had been given for the complete equipment of the saints for the work of service ${ }^{1}$.

It is from the prophetic circles in this group of Churches that the one great literary product of early Christian prophecy emanates. In St Paul's time the utterances of the prophets seem to have been exclusively oral; it is in the Apocalypse of John that prophecy under the New Covenant first takes a written form ${ }^{2}$. Both in the prologue and in the epilogue, the work of John lays claim to a prophetic character ${ }^{3}$; and in the heart of the book the writer represents himself as hearing a voice which warns him, Thou must prophesy again ${ }^{4}$. Moreover, it is clear that he is not a solitary prophet, but a member of an order which occupies a recognized and important position in the Christian societies of Asia. His 'brother-prophets' are mentioned ${ }^{\text {s }}$, and they appear to form the most conspicuous circle in the local Churches. The Church, as viewed in the Apocalypse, consists of the Spirit and the Bride, the charismatic ministry and the great body of believers. No special place is assigned to local Church officers, whether bishops or presbyters or deacons ${ }^{8}$; unless they are also prophets, which may. often have been the case, they take rank with ordinary members of the Church. We read of God's "servants the prophets," of " prophéts and saints," of "saints, apostles, and prophets""; but nowhere of "the saints with the bishops and deacons ${ }^{8}$," or even of "pastors and teachers" as distinct from prophets". The Apocalyptist's standpoint in reference to the Christian ministry is not quite that of St Paul ; indeed, he assigns to the apostles

[^5]there are few predictions, in the Apocalypse."
${ }^{4}$ Apoc. x. II.
${ }^{5}$ Apoc. xxii. 9.
${ }^{6}$ For the probable meaning of the Angels of the Churches see the commentary on Apoc. i. 20.

7 Apoc. x. 7, xvi. 6, xviii. 20, 24 -
 каl бцакброия.
${ }^{9}$ Eph. iv. ir.
and prophets a position even more prominent than that which they hold in the Didacher. In the age of the Apocalypse, as in the lifetime of St Paul, the Asian Churches doubtless had their presbyters and deacons, but in the eyes of St John they were eclipsed by the greater lustre of the charismatic orders. Such a view of the ministry is not unnatural in a prophetic book, written by a prominent member of the prophetic order; but that it should have been presented frankly and without reserve to Churches so important and well organized as those of Ephesus, Smyrna, and Pergamum, is sufficient evidence of the high honour in which the Christian prophet was held in Asia at that time. The prophets of the Church have contributed but one distinctly prophetic book to the canon of the New Testament; but it is a monument of the great position which they had attained before the end of the first century.

After the date of the Apocalypse the decline of the order in Asia must have been rapid and general ${ }^{2}$. Of pre-Montanistic prophets not named in the New Testament only two names have reached us -those of Ammia of Philadelphia and Quadratus (Eus. H. E. v. 17; cf. iii. $37^{3}$ ). It is significant also that in the letters of Ignatius, who magnifies the office of the bishop, "the prophets" are invariably those of the Old Testament canon (Magn. 8. 2, Philad. 5. 2, 9. 1, 2); and though Polycarp was remembered in his own Church as an "apostolic and prophetic teacher" (mart. Polyc. 16), in his letter to the Philippians he associates the Apostles with the old prophets, and not, as St Paul had done, with those of the New

 testifies to a reaction in favour of the prophets, which was at its strongest in Asia, but extended as far west as Gaul ; cf. Iren. ii. 32, v. 6, 3. But the "new prophecy" produced no important literary work, for the 'catholic' Epistle of Themison (Eus. H. E. v. 18) does not appear to have lrad a prophetic character.

[^6][^7]
## II.

## APOCALYPSES, JEWISH AND CERISTIAN.

I. If the book which John addresses to the Churches of Asia is a 'prophecy,' a Divine message communicated by a member of the prophetic order, it is also an 'apocalypse,' a revelation of Divine mysteries. The title 'Алокá $\lambda \nu \psi \iota s$, or 'Алокá $\lambda v \psi \iota s$ 'I $\omega a ́ \nu \nu o v, ~ m a y ~$ have found a place at the end of an early copy of the book, or on a label attached to the roll'; in any case it seems to have been familiar before the end of the second century ${ }^{2}$. The point is not material, since the author in the first words of his book describes it as an àтокá $\lambda \nu \psi \stackrel{\iota}{ }$ ' $\ddagger \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{\text { u }}$, a revelation made by God to. Jesus Christ, and by Christ through the ministry of an angel to John for transmission to the Churches. The word 'apocalypse' does not appear again in the book, but its position in the forefront of the prologue doubtless suggested the ancient title, and justifies our use of it.
2. The history of the verb $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \kappa a \lambda \dot{u} \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ and its derivative
 tion' is the converse of concealment ${ }^{4}$, the process of casting aside the veil that hides a mystery. St Paul uses the noun in reference both to the gift of spiritual vision and to its results; the gift is a


[^8][^9]gift of revelation took its place as an instrument of edification by the side of the gift of prophecy; it was in fact a particular manifestation of the prophetic Spirit, in which the spirit of the prophet seemed to be carried up into a higher sphere, endowed for the time with new powers of vision, and enabled to hear words which could not be reproduced in the terms of human thought, or could be reproduced only through the medium of symbolical imagery ${ }^{1}$. While the prophets normally dealt with human life in its relation to God, reading and interpreting the thoughts of men, and thus convicting, exhorting, or consoling them according to their several needs, he who 'had an apocalypse', strove to express his personal realization of the unseen or of the distants future.
3. The 'apocalypses' which in St Paul's day might be heard at times in the Christian assemblies were unpremeditated utterances, flashes of light which suddenly illumined the consciousuess of the men who spoke, and as suddenly vanished ${ }^{2}$. Of these revelations no trace remains, nor were they ever, so far as we know, committed to writing. The Revelation of John is the only written apocalypse, as it is the only prophetic book of the Apostolic age. Yet it was not by any means the earliest literary product of the apocalyptic movement. A written apocalypse was no novelty in Jewish pre-Christian literature; there are examples of this class of writing within the canon of the Old Testament, and besides these, eight or nine extant apocalyptic works may be enumerated which are wholly or in part of Jewish provenance.

[^10][^11]Of these the greater number were earlier than the Apocalypse of John; a few were nearly contemporary with it.

Within the canon of the Old Testament apocalyptic passages occur even in the Pentateuch (Gen. xv., xlix., Num. xxiii., xxiv.) and historical books (i Kings xxii.); in the Prophèts they form a considerable element, especially in Isaiah (Isa. xiii. ff., xxiv. ff, lxv. f.), Ezekiel, Joel, and Zechariah; Ezekiel's prophecy in particular is almost wholly of an apocalyptic character ${ }^{1}$. But it is in the Book of Daniel that the later conception of the literary apocalypse is first realized. Though reckoned among the Kethubim of the Hebrew Bible, a class in which it usually stands eighth, ninth, or tenth of eleven writings ${ }^{2}$, in the Greek Old Testament Daniel secured a place among the Prophets ${ }^{3}$, doubtless because the second half of the hook (cc. vii.-xii.) is of the nature of an apocalyptic prophecy ${ }^{4}$. Judging by its place in the Hebrew canon, and by historical and other considerations, this book seems to belong to the interval s.c. $168-\mathrm{r} 65$, the years during which the hand of Antiochus Epiphanes lay heavyron the Jewish people. The writer's purpose is to strengthen the religious section of the nation under this supreme test of their faith and loyalty. He is carried back in the Spirit to the days of the Exile, and identifies himself with Daniel, a Jewish captive at Babylon, who is represented as foreseeing in a series of great visions the course of events that cuiminated in the troubles of the Maccabean age. From the standpoint of the writer all events later than the age of Daniel are ex hypothesi future; but the book is not without actual predictions: the author, who writes while the persecution is still going on, foresees the issue with a confidence which comes from the sense of a Divine gift.

Next in importance to Daniel among Jewish apocalypsess ${ }^{5}$ is the Book of Enoch ${ }^{\text {e }}$, a composite work of which the several portions are variously dated by scholars. It must suffice here to quote an eminent German and an eminent English authority. -Schürer ${ }^{7}$ regards $c c$. i.-xxxvi. and $c$. lxxii-cv. as belonging to the time of John Hyrcanus, and places the "Similitudes" (cc. xxxvii.-

I A. B, Davidson, Ezekiel, Introd. p. xxp: : "there are three things in particular which are characteristio of the Book: symbolical figures, symbolical actions, and visions."
${ }^{2}$ Introduction to the O.T. in Greek, p. 200 .
${ }^{3}$ Ib. p. 201 fi. ; pf. Mt. xxiv, 25 To


* Of. Driver, Daniel, Introd. p.lxxvii.: " both the symbolism and the veiled predictions are characteristic of a species of literature which was now beginning to spring up, and which is known commonly by modern writers as Apocalyptio


## literature."

B.The following sketch of the noncanonical apocalypses is added for the salse of readers to whom this literature, much of whioh until recent years has been difficult of accesa, may be almost unknown. Further particulars may be found in Schürer, Geschichte des jüä. Folkes ${ }^{3}$ iii., p. 18 r ff. $[=$ E. T. נn. iii., p. 54 ff ]; Kantzsch, Die Apobryphen u. Pseudepigraphen des A. T.; Encyclopaedia Biblica, art. "Apocalyptic Literature,"
${ }^{8}$ Ed. Charles (Clarendon Press, r893).
7 Geschichte ${ }^{3}$ iii., p. 19 6 f.
lxxi.) at the earliest in the reign of Herod the Great. According to Charles, cc. lxxxiii.-xc. are Maceabean (B.c. 166-161), and cc. i.--xxxvi. pre-Maccabean, "at latest before 170 b.c. ${ }^{1}$," while cc. xxxvii.-lxxi. belong to B.C. $94-79$, or to B.C. $70-64$. As the uncertainty which attends the dating of the sections indicates, allusions to events or persons are rare in Enoch ; the book in all its parts is visionary and eschatological, dealing with angels and spirits, with the secrets of Nature and the mysteries of the unseen world and its rewards and punishments; and less often and in a vague and general way with the course of human history and its great issues. The apocalyptic imagery of Enoch anticipates that of the Apocalypse of John in not a few particulars; dboth books, e.g., know of the Tree of life and the Book of life; both represent heavenly beings as clothed in white; in both stars fall from heaven, horses wade through.rivers of blood; the winds and the waters have their presiding spirits; a fiery abyss awaits notorious sinners ${ }^{8}$.

The Book of the Secrets of Enoch ${ }^{3}$, another survival of the pre-Christian Enoch literature, has been recently given to the world in an English translation by Dr Charles. According to its editor it belongs to the half century A.D. I-50, but contains earlier fragments which have had a Hebrew original. In this attractive little book Enoch relates his travels into the unseen world; in the seventh heaven he sees the vision of Gód; he receives instructions from God, and is then sent back to the world for 30 days to teach his children, after which he is carried back by angels into the Divine Presence. As in the Book of Enoch, there are anticipations of the Johannine imagery. A great sea is above the clouds; in the third heaven there is a paradise stocked with fruit-trees bearing all manner of ripe fruits, and in the midst of it the Tree of Life. Faces are seen shining like the sun, and eyes as lamps of fire; there are angels set "over seasons and years...over rivers and the sea... over all the souls of men"; "six-winged creatures overshadow all the Throne...singing, Holy, Holy, Holy"; the world-week is of seven thousand years; Hades is a fortress whose keys are committed to safe keeping.

The Apocalypse of Baruch ${ }^{4}$ is probably later than the fall of Jerusalem. Like the Book of Daniel its aim is to console and build up the Jewish people at a time of great depression. For this purpose the writer identifies himself with Baruch, the contemporary of Jeremiah, who is represented as foreseeing the coming troubles, and looking beyond them to their issue. He finds comfort in the prospect of the Messianic reign, and speaks of its glories in terms

[^12]${ }^{3}$ Ed. Charles (Clarendon Press, 1890).
4 Ed. Charles (A. \& C. Black, r896).
${ }^{5}$ So Sohürer, Geschichte ${ }^{3}$ iii., p. 227 ; Charles (Apoc. Baruch, p. vii.) prefers to say that it is "a" composite work written in the latter half of the first century."
(c. xxix.) which stirred the enthusiasm of Christian millenarians', and were even attributed to our Lord ${ }^{1}$. He foresees also the fall of Rome (c. xxxix.), and the rise of a new Jerusalem (c. iv.). Thus the Apocalypse of Baruch approximates to the nearly contemporary Christian Apocalypse not merely in verbal coincidences and the use of similar imagery, but in some important lines of thought.

The Fourth Book of Esdras ${ }^{9}$ contains (cc. iii.-xiv.) a Jewish apocalypse which is now generally recognized as a work of the time of Domitian ${ }^{3}$, to whose reign the Apocalypse of St John, according to Irenaeus, also belongs. The Jewish portion of 4 Esdras is marked by a pessimism which contrasts strongly with the hopefulness of the older•Jewish apocalypses, and of the contemporary Christian apocalypse. The writer, who personates Ezra, arranges his matter in seven visions; the first two (iii. r-v. 20, v. 21 -vi. 34) deal with the general problem of evil; the third vision (vi. 35-ix. 25) depicts the Messianic reign, the judgement, and the intermediate state; the fourth (ix. 26-x. 60) represents the mourning of Zion for the fallen city, and the building of a new Jerusalem, whose glories, however, are not revealed; in the fifth (xi. 1-xii. 39) Rome, represented by an eagle, receives its sentence from the Messiah, who appears under the form of a lion; the sixth (xiii. 1-58) shews the Messiah rising from the sea to destrey His enemies and gather the scattered tribes of Israel; the seventh (xiv. 1-47) has to do with Ezra's personal history. Even this bare summary is enough to reveal the strong contrasts which, amidst much that is similar, distinguish the Jewish from the Christian apocalypse.

Other Jewish books, which either in literary form or in their general purpose are further removed from the Apocalypse of John, can only be mentioned here. Such are the Boolc of Jubilees ${ }^{4}$, an haggadic commentary on Genesis ; the Assumption of Moses ${ }^{5}$, which together with the oldest Enoch was used by the Christian writer of the Epistle of Jude; the Martyrdom of Isaialh, incorporated in the Ascension of Isaiah (cc. ii., Mii., v. ${ }^{6}$ ); the Psalms of Solomon ${ }^{7}$, written in the interests of the Pharisees between b.c. 70 and 40 ; the Apocalypses of Adam, Elijah, and Zephaniah; the Testament of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and the more important Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs ${ }^{8}$, all of which have been more or less worked over by Christiaa hands. More serviceable than any of the above for illustrating St John's Apoealypse are the Sibylline Oracless. Of the Jewish Sibyllines Bk iii. $97-829$ is assigned to the time of Ptolemy Physcon (b.c. 145-II7), while Bks iv. and v. are said to

[^13]belong severally to the reigns of Domitian and Hadrian. The points of contact between this strange conglomerate of Jewish and Christian oracles and the Apocalypse have been noted in the commentary as they occur.
4. The whole of this Jewish apocalyptic literature, it will be seen, belongs to times when prophecy in the stricter sense was believed to be in suspense. In no single instance do the noncanonical apocalyptists write in their own names; their message is delivered under the assumed personality of some one of the saintly or inspired teachers of the past. Moreover, their attitude differs from that of the Hebrew Prophets. The older prophecy had been concerned primarily with the moral and religious needs of the nation; it was a call to repentance and to faith in God. The prophet of the canon had been the authorized interpreter of the Divine Mind to a theocratic people; if he had foretold the future, it was "the prediction of dissatisfaction, the prediction of hope, of anticipation, of awakened thoughts, of human possibility and Divine nearness ${ }^{1}$," rather than a formal announcement of coming events. To this rôle the apocalyptists did not wholly succeed. With the Greek conquests a new order began which. was unfavourable to prophecy of the older type. Relief from the pressure of heathen domination or from the distasteful presence of heathen surroundings was henceforth sought in efforts to pierce the veil of the future, and to discover behind it the coming triumphs of the righteous. The Pharisaic movement offered. salvation to the Jewish race partly in the way of an exact observance of the Law, partly by opening wider hopes to those who obeyed, and painting in darker colours the doom of the transgressor; and the earlier non-canonical apocalypses gave literary expression to these new hopes and fears. Another cause contributed to the growth of apocalyptic literature. With the, coming of the Romans and the subsequent rise of the Herodian dynasty, the political outlook changed, and a fresh impulse was given to the expectation of a Messianic reign. In the first century the habits of thought which produced apocalyptic writing

[^14]were so firmly rooted in the Jewish mind that even the destruction of the City and Temple did not at once eradicate them; unable any longer to connect a glorious future with the Herodian buildings, the writers of the apocalypses of Baruch and Ezra looked for a Messiah who should crush the enemies of Israel, restore the nation, and realize the vision of an ideal Jerusalem. Despondent as the writer of the Ezra-apocalypse manifestly is, he does not formally relinquish the national hope, though in his case it is indefinitely deferred.
5. The first Christian apocalypse came on the crest of this long wave of apocalyptic effort. Compositions more or less similar both in form and in substance to the work of St John had been in circulation among Palestinian and Alexandrian Jews for two centuries and a half before he took up his pen to write the "Revelation of Jesus Cbrist." It may be claimed for St Paul that he created the Epistle, as we find it in the New Testament ${ }^{1}$; and the "memoirs of the Apostles," which from Justin's time have been known as "Gospels," have no exact literary parallel in pre-Christian literature. This cannot be said of the writer of the New Testament Apocalypse; he had models to follow, and to some extent he followed them. The apocalyptic portions of Ezekiel, Zechariah, and Daniel are continually present to his mind; and though it is less certain that he made use of Enoch or any other post-canonical apocalypse ${ }^{2}$, he could scarcely have been ignorant of their existence and general character. But while it cannot be claimed that the author of the Apocalypse originated a type of literature, he is far from being a mere imitator of previous apocalyptic writing. The A Apocalypse of John is in many ways a new departure. (i) The Jewish apocalypses are without exception pseudepigraphic; the Christian apocalypse bears the anthor's name ${ }^{3}$. This abandon'ment of a long-established tradition is significant; by it John claims for himself the position of a prophet who, conscious that he draws his inspiration from Christ or His angel and not at second hand, has no need to seek shelter under the name of a Biblical

[^15]saint. (2) How hard it is to determine the date and provenance of Jewish apocalypses is clear from the wide differences which divide the best scholars on these points. The fictitious names under which they pose suggest dates which are no less fictitious, and any evidence which these books can be made to yield as to the conditions under which they were written is wrung from them, as it were, against the will of their authors. The Apocalypse of John, on the contrary, makes no secret of its origin and destination ; it is the work of a Christian undergoing exile in one of the islands of the Aegean ; and it is addressed to the Christian congregations in seven of the chief cities of the adjacent continent, under circumstances which practically determine its date. (3) But it is not only in regard to his abandonment of pseudonymity and in matters of literary form that our Apocalyptist differs from his Jewish predecessors; the cleavage goes deeper. Whatever view may be taken of his indebtedness to Jewish sources, there can be no doubt that he has produced a book which, taken as a whole, is profoundly Christian, and widely removed from the field in which Jewish apocalyptic occupied itself. The narrow sphere of Jewish national hopes has been exchanged for the life and aims of a society whose field is the world and whose goal is the conquest of the human race. The Jewish Messiah, an uncertain and unrealized idea, has given place to the historical, personal Christ, and the Christ of the Christian apocalypse is already victorious, ascended, and glorified. The faith and the hope of the Church had diverted apocalyptic thought into new channels and provided it with ends worthy of its pursuit. The tone of St John's book presents a contrast to the Jewish apocalypses which is not less marked. It breathes a religious spirit which is not that of its predecessors; it is marked with the sign of the Cross, the note of patient suffering, unabashed faith, tender love of the brethren, hatred of evil, invincible hope; and, notwithstanding the strange forms which from time to time are seen to move across the stage, the book as a whole is pervaded by a sense of stern reality and a solemn purpose which forbid the approach of levity. The Apocalypse of John is differentiated from the

Apocalypse of Baruch or of Ezra just as the Book of Daniel is differentiated from the Book of Enoch. However the fact may be explained, the two canonical apocalypses possess the notes of insight and foresight which suggest inspiration; the attentive reader becomes conscious of something in them both which is better than the unchastened imaginings of the mere mystic who conceives himself to possess a key to the secrets of life. In the Apocalypse of John the presence of the Spirit of revelation is unmistakably felt, and the Christian student may be pardoned if he recognizes in this book a fulfilment of the promise of a Paraclete who shall declare...the things that are to come.
6. If it were asked with what subjects a Christian apocalyptist, writing towards the close of the Apostolic age, might be expected to occupy bimself, it is not difficult to conjecture the answer. As the first century advanced, two topics filled the field of Christian thought when it turned its gaze on the unseen and the future. Behind the veil of phenomena the human life of Jesus Christ was believed to be enshrined in the glory of God. To reveal this hidden life, to represent to the imagination the splendour of the Divine Presence in which it exists, to translate into human words or symbols the worship of Heaven, to exhibit the ascended Christ in His relation to these unknown surroundings: this would be the first business of the Christian seer. But a second great theme is inseparable from it. With the life of the glorified Loord the life of His Body, the Church, was identified in primitive Christian belief. In the last years of the first century the Church, which had begun her course with the promise of a rapid success, was reeling under the blows dealt her by the world. The two empires, the Kingdom of God and the Worldpower, were already at open war ${ }^{1}$. Men were asking what the end would be; which of the two forces would prevail. A Christian in those days who was conscious of possessing the spirit of revelation could not but endeavour to read the signs of the times and, so far as it was given him, to disclose the course and outcome of

[^16]the struggle which had begun between the Empire and the Church.

On some such lines we might have sought to reconstruct the Apocalypse of John, had only fragments of it survived, guided by what we knew of the beliefs and hopes of the Apostolic age and of the history of the last thirty years of the first century. As a matter of fact, these are the lines on which the book has been written. It is an apocalypse of the glory of the exalted Christ; it is also an apocalypse of the sufferings and the ultimate triumph of the militant Church.

Christian apocalypses later than the Apocalypse of John were for the most part either recensions of Jewish books, or original works issued under Old Testament names. In a few cases they claim to be the work of A postles or other N.T. saints. Gnosticism produced an Anabaticon Pauli ${ }^{1}$, and the Revelations of Stephen and Thomas, denounced as 'apocryphal' in the so-called Decree of Gelasius, were also probably of Gnostic origin. One apocalyptic pseudepigraphon of the second century, the 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ seemed for a time about to find a place within the canon by the side of the Apocalypse of John; it is coupled with the latter in the Muratorian Fragment (l. 7 I sqq. "apocalypse[s] etiam Iohanis et Petri tantum recipimus ${ }^{2}$, quam quidam ex nostris legi in e[c]clesia nolunt"); it was quoted, apparently as a genuine work of St Peter, by Clement of Alexandria ${ }^{3}$; it is included in the early Claromontane list ${ }^{4}$. But as time went on, the book found its own level. Eusebius reckons it among the spurious, or at least the doubtful books (H.E. iii. 25, cf. ib. iii. 2); and though it retained its popularity and was even read in some Eastern churches in the time of Sozomen (H. E. vii. 19), in the later lists of scriptural books it is placed among the antilegomena or the apocrypha ${ }^{5}$. From the large fragment ${ }^{6}$ of the Petrine Apocalypse recovered in 1892 it is easy to account for the difference of opinion which seems to have existed about the book from the first; on the one hand it appealed strongly to the uneducated imagination by its attempt to portray the joys of Paradise and the torments of Gehenna, while upon the other its tone and purpose were on a different level from those of the canonical Apocalypse.
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Epiph. haer. xxxviii. 2.
${ }^{2}$ Zahn (Gesch. d. NTlichen Kanons, ii. p. Ios ff.) would read "et Petri unam tantum recipimus epistulam; fertur enim aitera quam" etc. But neither the emendation nor the reason which he gives for it can be regarded as convineing.
${ }^{8}$ Eus. H. E. vi. I4. I ; cf. ecl. proph. 4I, 48 f .
${ }^{4}$ Zahn, Geseh. ii. p. 159.

[^17]The fourth century has given us an Apocalypse of Paul an attempt to report the áp $\rho \eta \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ which St Paul heard when he was caught up into Paradise ( 2 Cor, xii. 2 ff .), well characterized by. Augustine as a work the folly of which is no less conspicuous than its presumption ${ }^{2}$. Later still, but of mors importance to the student of the N.T. Apocalypse, is a spurious Greek Apocalypse of John ${ }^{3}$, first mentioned in a scholion of cent. iv. The author supposes St John to be, after the Ascension, alone on Mt Tabor, whence he is carried up in a bright cloud to the door of Heaven. Several of the features of the story are obviously borrowed from the canonical book; e.g. the opened heaven ( $\$ 2$ ), the book with seven seals (\$ 3), the sending of Enoch and Elijah to expose Antichrist and be slain by him ( $\$ 8)$; the Lamb with seven eyes and seven horns who breaks the seven seals (SI8). But the spurious Apocalypse is chietly occupied with eschatological speculations, grotesque descriptions of Antichrist ( $\$ 7$ ), and answers to curious questions connected with the resurrection of the body, the intermediate state, the last things, and the final judgement ( $\delta 9 \mathrm{ff}$.).

An interesting apocalypse forms the prologue of the 'Church Order' known as Testamentum Domini, printed by Lagarde in his Reliquiae...syriace, and edited by Rahmani in 1899 and in an English translation by Coopes and Maclean in rgoi ; a Latin fragment which is "the literal equivalent of certain sections" of this apocalypse is given by Dr James in Texts and Studies, ii. 3, p. 15I ff. The same volume of Texts and Studies contains an Apocalypse of Sedrach, and a late Apocalypse of the Firgin.

A study of post-canonical Christian apocalypses serves only to accentuate the unique importance of the canonical book. Among apocalypses of Christian origin the N.T. Apocalypse alone stands in a real relation to the life of the age in which it was written, or attempts to reveal the meaning and issues of the events which the writer had witnessed or was able to foresee. The N.T. Apocalypse alone deserves the name, or is in any true sense a ' prophecy.'

[^18]quam sana non recipit ecclesia, nescio quibus fabulis plenam stultissima praesumptione finxerunt."
${ }^{3}$ Edited by Tischendorf in Apocalypses Apocryphae (1866), pp. 70-94.
${ }^{4}$ On this see Harnack, Chron. ii., p. 5 [4.ff.

## III.

CONTENTS AND PLAN OF THE APOCALYPSE OF JOHN.
I. In his treatise Mepì è $\pi a \gamma_{\gamma} \epsilon \lambda \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ Dionysius of Alexandria ( $\dagger$ 265) writes as if the Apocalypse were already divided into $\kappa \in \phi a ́ \lambda a \iota a^{1}$. But if he refers to a formal capitulation, no other trace of it remains. When preparing to comment upon the book in the sixth century, Andreas, Archbishop of Cappadocian Caesarea, devised a system for his own use, which he would scarcely have done if there had been one in existence dating from the third century. Andreas's method is conventional and arbitrary, after the fashion of his age; he breaks up the Apocalypse into 24 longer sections ( $\lambda^{\prime}$ ofol), corresponding with the number of the Elders in c. iv., and subdivides each of these sections into three chapters ( $\kappa \in \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda a l a$ ), an arrangement suggested, as he says, by the threefold nature of $\operatorname{man}^{2}$. His $7^{2} \kappa \epsilon \phi a ́ \lambda a \iota a$, however, represent fairly well the natural subdivisions of the book, and are printed below as exhibiting the earliest known analysis.









[^19]p. 141 .
${ }^{2}$ prolegg. in comm., $\delta \iota \epsilon$ रb́vтєs $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$
 - $\beta^{\prime} \kappa \in \phi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \alpha \kappa \alpha, \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \delta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\phi}-$
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 vi. 7 , vii. 1 , viii. 7 , viii. $12, x$, xi. 11 , xii. 7 , xiii. 11 , xiv. 6 , xiv. 14 , xvi. 2 , xvi. 8, xvi. 17 , xviii. I , xix. II , xx. 4, Xx. II , xxi. 9, xxii. 8. They shew less discrimination than the division into кєфá ${ }^{\prime}$ aca, and it may be surmised that the latter was made
first, and that the subsequent grouping into dóyo was purely mechanical, based on the principle of trichotomy announced by its author.
2. The Latin authorities, pursue an independent course in the matter of cqpitulation. The recapitulatio which follows the commentary of Primasius ${ }^{2}$ divides the commentary into twenty heads, corresponding with Apoc. i. I-iii. 22, iv. I-II, v. Ivi. 2, vì 3-I I, vi. $12-17$, vii. I-viii. 1 , viii. 2 -ix. 12 , ix. I3- 21 , x. I-xi. 2, xi. 3-I4, xi. 15-xii. I7, xiii. I-I8, xiv. I-I3, xiv. 14 -xvi. 2I, xvii. I-I 8 , xviii. I-xix. 10 , xix. II-xx. IO, xx. II-xxii. [2, xxii. I3-15, xxii. 16-21-a distribution which shews a genuine desire to understand the plan of the book ${ }^{2}$. Moreover, each of the books of the commentary is preceded by a list of shorter capitula, 96 in all, which Haussleiter with much probability regards as due to a later hand ${ }^{3}$; as he points out, the number suggests a reference to the Elders and the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a(96=24 \times 4)$, which is of a piece with Andreas's fancy of connecting his кєфáخaca with the Elders and the human trichotomy ( $72=24 \times 3$ ). Haussleiter adds $^{4}$ a division into 48 capitula from cod. Vat. 422I, cod. Monac. 17088 (a MS. of Haimo's commentary), and cod. Monac. 6230 (a Vulgate MS.); the chapters begin at i. 4, ii. 1 , 8, I2, I8, iii. I, 7, I4, iv. I, v. I, 6, II, vi. 3. 9, I2, vii. I, 9, 12,
 xiv. 1,6 , 13 , xv. 1 , xvi. I, 12 , xvii. 1,7 , xviii. 1 , 21 , xix. I, II, xx. I, II, xxi. 9 , xxii. 1 , IO. It will be observed that seventeen of these sections start where the modern chapters do. ${ }^{5}$. Other systems of capitulation are found; cod. Amiatinus and cod. Fuldensis divide the Apocalypse into 25 chapters, while there are MSS. which give $22,23,24,4 \mathrm{I}$, and $43^{6}$.
${ }^{1}$ Haussleiter, Die lateinische Apokalypse der alten africanischen Kirche, p. 179 括.
${ }_{2}$ Primasius himself thus explains the purpose of his compendium: "ut totius libri anctoritate decursa sic omnis series brevi recapitulatione iterum evolyatur insinuata per partes, ut omnium quisque libroram textus uno summatim loco olareat definitus, cum et partitionem recipit singulorum et plenitudinern videtar obtinere per totum."
${ }^{3}$ Haussleiter, pp. 184-193; see his remarks on pp. 193-4.
4 Ibid., P. 197 ff:
5 The modern chapters are practically those of Stephen Langton ( $\dagger$ r228); see von Soden, Die Schriften d. N.T., p. 482. But in nearly every instance they were anticipated in the кepdiata of Andreas.
${ }^{6}$ See Gregory, prolegg. i., p. r6r; Textkritik, ii., p. 879 f.
3. In the present edition the Greek text is divided into 42 minor sections (i. $1-3,4-8,9-20$, ii. $\mathrm{I}-7,8-\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{I} 7$, I8-29, iii. I-6, 7-I 3, I4-22, iv. I-II, V. I-I4, vi. I-I 7 , vii. $\mathrm{I}-8,9-\mathrm{I} 7$, viii. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 3$, ix. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 2,13-2 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{x} . \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{II}$, xi. I14, $15-\mathrm{I} 9$, xii. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 8$, xiii. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{IO}, \mathrm{II}-\mathrm{I} 8$, xiv. $\mathrm{I}-5 ; 6$-I 3, I4-20, xv. I-8, xvi. I-2I, xvii. I-6, 7-I8, xviii. I-24, xix. $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{IO}, \mathrm{II}-\mathrm{I} 6$, $\mathrm{I} 7-2 \mathrm{I}$, xx . $\mathrm{I}-6,7-\mathrm{IO}, \mathrm{II}-\mathrm{I} 5$, xxi . $\mathrm{I}-8$, 9-xxii. 5, xxii. 6-20, xxii. 2I). The following table will shew the contents of the Book as thus arranged:
I. Prologue.
2. The writer's greeting to the Churches of Asia.
3. Vision of the risen and ascended Christ.

4-10. Messages to the Angels of the Seven Churches.
II. Vision of the Throne in Heaven.
12. The Sealed Book and the Lamb.
13. Opening of the first six Seals.
14. Sealing of the 144,000 from the Tribes of Israel.

I5. Triumph of the Innumerable Multitude.
16. Opening of the seventh Seal; the half hour's silence in Heaven; the first four Trumpet-blasts.
17. The fifth Trumpet-blast, or first Woe:
18. The sixth 'l'rumpet-blast, or second Woe.
19. Preparations for the seventh Trumpet-blast: the vision of the Angel with the open booklet.
20. Further preparations: measuring the Temple; the testimony of the Two Witnesses.
21. The seventh Trumpet-blast, or third Woe.
22. The Woman with child, and the Great blood-red Dragon.
23. The Wild Beast from the Sea.
24. The Wild Beast from the Earth.
25. Vision of the 144,000 on Mount Zion.
26. Three angelic proclamations, and a Voice from Heaven.
27. Vision of the Harvest and the Vintage of the Earth.
28. Preparation for the last Seven Plagues.
29. Pouring out of the Seven Bowls. .
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30. Vision of Babylon seated on the Beast.
31. Interpretation of the Vision of Babylon and the Beast.
32. Doom of Babylon.
33. Triumph in Heaven; two Hallelujah Psalms; an angelic message.
34. Vision of the Crowned Warrior.
35. Overthrow and end of the Beast and the False Prophet.
36. The Thousand Years of Satan's captivity and the Martyrs' Reign.
37. After the Thousand Years: release of Satan; war of Gog and Magog.
38. Vision of the General Resurrection and the Last Judgement.
39. Vision of a New Heaven and a New Earth.
40. Vision of the New Jerusalem.
41. Epilogue: Last words of the Angel, the Seer, and the Lord.
42. Final Benediction.
4. The whole book lies before us in this table of contents. It is found to consist of a succession of scenes and visions which are so easily distinguished that at this stage no serious difference of opinion can arise. Our difficulties begin when we attempt to group these sections into larger masses of apocalyptic matter, and by a process of synthesis to arrive at the plan upon which the author has constructed his work. The former of these operations is relatively simple. The first two sections and the last two form respectively the introduction and the conclusion of the Book; sections 3-10, 11-13, 16-18 (21), 22-24, 28-29, 30-33, $34-35,36-38,39-40$ also form coherent groups, while $14-15$, 19-20, 25-27 are episodes which can be seen to be in more or less "definite relation with their surroundings. Thus our 42 sections are reduced to 14, which may be described as follows:
I. Prologue and greeting (i. $1-8$ ).
2. Vision of Christ among the Churches, followed by messages to their Angels (i. 9-iii. 22).
3. Vision of Christ in Heaven, followed by the opening of the seven Seals of the sealed Book (iv. I-vi. I7, viii. I).
4. Episode, after the sixth Seal, of the 144,000 from the Tribes of Israel, and the countless multitude (vii. I-17).
5. The seven Trumpet-blasts (viii. 2-ix. 2I, xi. 15-19).
6. Episode, after the sixth Trumpet-blast, of the Angel with the open booklet, the measuring of the Temple, and the Two Witnesses (x. I-xi. I4).
7. The Woman with child, the Dragon and the Two Wild Beasts (xii. 1-xiii. 18).
8. Episode of the 144,000 on Mt Zion, the angelic and celestial Voices, and the Harvest and Vintage of the world (xiv. $1-20$ ).
9. Outpouring of the seven Bowls, containing the seven last plagues (xv. 1-xvi. 21).
10. Vision of Babylon the Great; her fall; the triumph of the Angels and the Church (xvii. I-xix. Io).
II. Vision of the Royal Warrior, and overthrow of the Two Beasts (xix. . II-2I).
12. The 1000 years, followed by the overthrow of the Dragon and the End (xx. I-15).
13. The New World, and the New City (xxi. I-xxii. 5).
14. Epilogue and benediction (xxii. 6-2 1).
5. As we look steadily at this scheme and study its connexion, we become conscious of a great cleavage, which practically divides the Book into two nearly equal parts (i. 9-xi. I4, xii. Ixxii. 5). In the first half the Ascended Christ appears in two capacities, as the Head of the Church, and the Controller of the Destinies of the World. The antagonism between the two bodies comes into view ; the Churches of Asia are already suffering persecution and have more to suffer; the World is ripe for judgements, which loom large in the visions of the Seal-openings and the Trumpet-blasts; the end is drawing on; the victory of righteousness and the final revelation of truth are foreseen. The first half-it might almost be called the first book-of the

Apocalypse is complete in itself, and had all our MSS. broken off at xi. 19, and no vestige of the last eleven chapters survived, it is conceivable that the loss might never have been suspected. In xii. I the author makes a fresh beginning, for which the reader had been prepared in x. II. The theme of the second prophecy is the same on the whole as that of the first, but the subject is pursued into new regions of thought, and the leading characters and symbolical figures are almost wholly new. The Churches of Asia vanish ${ }^{1}$, and their place is taken by the Church considered as a unity, which is represented by the Woman who is the Mother of Christ and the Saints. It is with her world-long struggle with the кобرокра́торєs тô акóтоvs тoúтov, the spiritual forces which lie behind the antagonism of the World, that the second part of the Book chiefly deals. These forces are revealed under monstrous forms, the Great Red Dragon, the Beast from the Sea, the Beast from the Land, and they continue to operate until their final overthrow. But we lose sight of them, except in an occasional reference, from c. xiii. to $c$. xvii. While they are working behind the scene, the apocalyptic history is occupied with mundane events--the judgements of the latter days which are now symbolized by seven bowls full of the last plagues; the greatness and the fall of the New Babylon, the Beast's mistress and representative. Beyond the fall of the World-empire the Seer can see in dim outline long days of comparative rest and triumph for the Church, and after them a temporary relapse, followed by the final destruction of the surviving powers of evil. This makes room for the manifestation of the Church as the Bride of Christ and City of God, and with a magnificent picture of the New Jerusalem, the antithesis of Babylon, the Apocalypse reaches its end.

Thus in its briefest form our scheme of the book will stand as follows:

Prologue and greeting (i. 1-8).
Part i : Vision of Christ in the midst of the Churches (i. 9-iii. 22).
${ }^{1}$. Until we reach c. zxii . 16 , where the writer reverts to the ideas of c. i. $1,4 \mathrm{ff}$.

Vision of Christ in Heaven (iv. I-v. 14).
Preparations for the End (vi, I-xi. 19).
Part ii. Vision of the Mother of Christ and her enemies (xii. I-xiii. 18 ).

Preparations for the End (xiv. I-xx. 15).
Vision of the Bride of Christ, arrayed for her husband (xxi. I-xxii. 5).
Epilogue and benediction (xxii. 6-2I).
6. Archbishop Benson relates that "in answer once to the question, 'What is the form the book presents to you?' the reply of an intelligent and devout reader was, 'It is Chaos '1." If the above scheme is accepted, chaos will give place to something like cosmic order and progress. But the order and progress of apocalyptic writings must not be judged by the standards of ordinary literature. An apocalypse is neither a history nor a homily, though it may partake of the character of each; its methods are its own, and they must' be learnt by a sympathetic study of the text.

The Apocalypse of John, in its literary setting, is an encyclical letter addressed to the Seven Churches of Asia ${ }^{2}$. If we detach the short preface (i. 1-3), it begins in the epistolary style familiar to readers of the letters of St Paul, and it ends, like the Pauline letters, with a benediction ${ }^{3}$. But this form is not maintained in the body of the work; it' is exchanged in c. i. 9 for the apocalyptic manner, which continues almost to the end. The so-called 'Letters to the Churches' in cc. ii. iii, are no exception; they are in fact messages, and not true letters, and they form a sequel to the vision of $c . \mathrm{i} .{ }^{4}$

The Apocalypse proper has been represented as a quasi-drama, divisible into acts and scenes, and interspersed with 'interludes'

[^20][^21]and 'choric songs'.' A similar view is advocated by an American writer", who, however, regards "the proper action of the Apocalyptic drama" as beginning with c.iv. But while there are points of resemblance between the Greek drama and the JewishCbristian Apocalypse, the latter refuses to be bound by the laws of the Western stage. The order of the Apocalypse is rather that of a series of visions arranging themselves under two great actions, of which the Work of the Ascended Christ and the Destinies of the Christian Church are the respective subjects. As to the progress of the Book, the two actions, from the nature of the case, are more or less synchronous, both belonging to the interval between the writer's own time and the end; but, while covering the same ground, they approach it from different points of view. Within each of the actions there is orderly movement, but this again is not tied to chronological succession; it is the movement of great spiritual forces rather than of historical persons and events.
7. It may be worth while to examine somewhat more at length the progress of the Apocalyptic visions in each part of the Book.
(a) The opening vision, with its messages to the Asian Churches, whatever may be the teaching which it holds for other times and Churches, belongs, as to its primary purpose, exclusively to the Seer's own age. In the second vision a wider outlook begins; if the breaking of the first four Seals discloses only the conditions of contemporary society, the fifth anticipates the coming age of persecution, and the sixth carries us to the verge of the end. The opening of the seventh Seal is followed after a brief pause by a vision of trumpet-bearing Angels, which works out into detail the revelations of the fifth and sixth Seals, and brings us again to the end, now seen in the light of a final triumph for the Kingdom of God. Two large episodes which follow seem to break the movement of the prophecy, but in fact assist in its development; of

[^22][^23]these the first (c. vii.) assures the Churches of safe-keeping in the coming troubles and anticipates the rest which will follow them; while the second (c. x. I-xi. I4) prepares for the seventh Trumpet-blast, as the first (c. vii.) had prepared for the opening of the seventh Seal.
(b) The second action of the book begins, like the first, with contemporary history (cc. xii., xiii.). The Church is seen struggling with Satan and his agents, the World-power and its spiritual ally, afterwards described as the False Prophet. Another large episode follows (c. xiv.), consisting of a series of secondary visions ${ }^{1}$, the purpose of which is to exhibit the safety and purity of the ideal Church, the judgements impending over her persecutors, and the impending end of all things-a set-off against the apparent triumph of evil, and a preparation for the great vision which is to follow. Then come the Seven Last Plagues, a series corresponding in this half of the book with the seven Seals and seven Trumpets of the first half. But the end is not yet; the world has its counter-manifestation to make, and the magnificence of its great City is described, though only to enhance the terrors of its downfall. The fall of the existing World-power does not, however, exhaust the resources of the Enemy; long after it the prophet foresees a recrudescence of evil, and a final conflict between Christ and the forces of Satan, which ends in the annihilation of Satan's power. So the last obstacle to the mystic marriage of the Lamb is removed, and with the glories of His Bride, seen in the light of the consummation, the Apocalypse ends.

There is order here, and there is progress. Each part of the Book fulfils its own purpose, and is complete within its own sphere; taken together, the two parts present a، revelation of the whole ordering of the world from the Ascension to the Return. If more than once, when the end is nearly reached, the writer turns back to the beginning, he does this in order to gather up new views of life which could not be embraced by a single vision. If here and there the course of the prophecy is
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broken by a by-play which seems to be irrelevant, it is because the episode prepares for an issue which is at hand. The issue is postponed for a time that when it comes its real significance may be more clearly seen.

It may be convenient to add an outline of the systems of division adopted by some of the chief modern writers on the Apocalypse, (1) in England and (2) on the continent.
(i) Alford: i. 1-3, i. 4-iii. 22; iv. I-II, v. I-14, vi. Iviii. 5 , viii. 6-xi. 19, xii. 1-xiii. 18, xiv. 1-20, xv. 1-xvi. 21, xvii. 1-xviii. 24, xix. 1-xxii. 5, xxii. 6-21. Ler: i. 1-iii. 22; iv. r-v. r4, vi. r-viii. r, viii. 2-xi. r9, xii. 1-xiii. 18, xiv. r20, xy. I-xvi. 21, xvii. 1-xxii. 5; xxii. 6-2I. Smacox: i. 1-3; i. 4-iii. 22; iv. r-v. 14, vi. r-viii. r, viii. 2-xi. 19 , xii. r-xiv. 13 , xiv. 14-20, xv. x-xvi. 21 , xvii. 1-xyiii. 24, xix. $\mathrm{r}-21$, xX. $\mathrm{I}-6$, xx. 7-10, xx. 11-15, xxi. 1-xxii. 9; xxii. 10-21. Anderson Scott: i. 1-8, g-20, ii. 1 -iii. 22, iv. 1 -v. 14, vi. 1 -viii. r,
 xix. 11-xx. 15 , xxi. 1 -xxii. 5, xxii. 6-17, 18-21. Moffatt: i. 1 - 8 ; i. 9 -iii. 22 ; iv. 1 -vi: 17 (vii. 1 - 18 , viii. 1 ); viii. $2-$ ix. 21 (x. г-xi. 13, $14-19$, xii. $1-17$, xiii. $1-18$, xiv. $1-5,6-20$ ); xv. 1 -xvi. 21 , xvii. $1-x x .10$; xx. 1I-xxii. 5, xxii. 6-21.
(2) Benarl: i $1-3,4-6,7-8,9-20$, ii. 1-iii. 22 ; if. 1v. 14, v. 15-vi. 17, vii. 1-17, viii. 1-6, 7-12, viii. 13-ix. 21, x. 1 -xi. 19 , xii. $1-12,13-17$, xiii. $\mathrm{x}-18$, xiv. $[\mathrm{I}-5$ ], 6-13, 14-20, xi. 1-xvi. 21, xvii. 1-18, xvii. 1-xix. 18, xix. 19-21,
 De Wette: i. $1-3,4-8,9-20$, ii. 1 -iii. 22 ; iv. $1-11$, v. 1-14, vi. 1-8, 9-17, vii. $1-8$, 9-17, viii. 1-6, 7-12 ( 13 ), ix.
 1-6, 7-12, 13-17, 18-xiii. ro, xiii. 11-18, xiv. $1-5,6-13$, 14-20; xv. $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{xvi} .1$, xvi. 2-11, $12-16,17-21$, xvii. $1-18$, xviii $1-24$, xix. $1-8$, 9 , то, $11-16,17-2 \mathrm{I}$, xx. $1-3,4-6$, 7-ro, if-15, xxi. r-xxii. 5, xxii. 6-2 r. Ewald: i 1 -3, 4-8, 9-20; ii. 1-iii. 21; iv. 1, $2-11$, v. I-14, vi. $1-8$, $9-11$, 12-17, vii. 1-8, 9-17; viii. $1,2-6,7-13$, ix. $1-12,13-21$, x. 1-11, xi. 1-14; xi. $15-19$, xii. 1-17, 18-xiii. 10, xiii. 11-18, xiv. $1-5,6-13,14-20$; xv. 1-4, 5-xvi. 1, xvi. 2-9, ro, 11, 12-21, xvii. 1-18, xviii. 1-24; xix. I-10, 11-16, 17-xx. 6, xx. 7-10, $11-15$, xxi. 1-8, 9-xxii. 5, xxii. 6-9, то-17, 18-20, 2 1. Holtzmann : i. 1-3, 4-8, $9-20$, ii. 1-iii. 22, iv. $1-$ v. 14, vi. $1-17$, vii. $1-17$, viii $1-5,6-$ ix. 21, x. 1 xi. 14, xi. 15-19, xii. 1-xiv. 5, xiv. 6-20, xv. 1-xvi. 1 , xvi. 2-21, xvii. I-xix. to, xix. If-xxii. 5, xxii. 6-21. ZabN: i. 1-9; 10-iii. 22; iv. 1-viii 1, viii. 2-xi. 18, xi. 19-xiv. 20, xv. I-xvi. 17, xvii. !-xviii. 24, xix. 11-xxi. 8, xxi. 9-xxii. 5 ; xxii. 10-2 1 .

It is more interesting to observe the methods of grouping adopted
by the several authorities. Most of the English commentators break up the book, after the introduction and conclusion have been removed, into two unequal parts (i. 4-iii. 22, iv. 1-xxii. 5), a modification of the scheme of Bengel, who divides the whole book into (i) introitus (i. I-iii. 22), (ii) ostensio (iv. 1-xxii. 5), (iii) conclusio (xxii. 6-2 I ). In his Historical N. T. Mr Moffatt has departed from this tradition, seeing in the Apocalypse four heptads (seven letters, seven seals, seven trumpets, seven vials), followed by two visions, a vision of doom and a vision of the end. Of the Germans, De Wette makes the second part of the book begin at xii. r, while Volkmar places the break at the end of c. ix. ; Ewald adopts a sevenfold division (i. $1-20+$ xxii. $1-2$ I, ii. -iii., iv.-vii., viii.-xi. 4, xi. $\mathrm{r}_{5}$-xiv. 2o, xv.-xviii., xix. I-xxii. 5); Holtzmann has seventeen sections, placing in the right-hand column vii. 1 - I 7 , x. 1-xi. 14, xii. 1 -xiv. 5, xvii. I-xix. 10, xxi. I-xxii. 5 which largely coincide with the portions of the book which have been thought to be of Jewish origin; while Zahn, who believes in the unity of the Apocalypse, is attracted by the theory that the body of the work falls into eight successive visions.

The division of the book at the end of c. xi. into two nearly equal sections; which is suggested in this chapter, recommended itself in the sixteenth century to the Spanish Jesuit Alcasar, but in connexion with a widely different system of interpretation ${ }^{2}$; to the present writer it has occurred independently, upon a study of the facts.

[^24]
## IV.

## UNITY OF THE APOCALYPSE.

In the attempt which has been made to establish the existence of a definite plan in the Apocalypse it is assumed that the book is a literary unity. This point, however, has been and still is hotly disputed by scholars of the first rank, and it demands a separate and somewhat prolonged examination.
I. The book creates a prima'facie impression that it proceeds from one author or editor. The first and last chapters claim to be written by the same person (i. $1,4,9$, xxii. 8); and that the first three chapters and the last two or three have come from the same hand may be shewn by simply placing in parallel columns the ideas and phraseology which they have in common.
i. I.


i. 3 .
 áкои́ovtes тойs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o u s t \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o-$

i. 3 .

i. 8 .

i. 17 .
 хатоs.
ii. 7.

тò $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \propto$ 价 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$.
xxii. 7.

нака́pcos ó т $\eta \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ тoùs 入óyovs тîs $\boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$ то̂̂ $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o v$ тоútov.
xxii. 10.
 xxi. 6, xxii. 12.

xxii. 13.
xxii 17.

ii. 7 .
xxi. 7.
 17, 26, iii. 5, 12, 2 I).
ii. II.
xx. 6.


ii. 28.
xxii. 16.
 $\pi \rho \omega \ddot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ óv.
iii. Ir.
${ }^{\text {en }} \rho \chi о \mu a \iota \tau а \chi$ v́.
iii. 12.



xxii. 12.

xxi. 2.

2. Such coincidences leave no doubt that the same writer has been at work in cc. i.-iii., xx.-xxii. But though they are most numerous in the beginning and end of the book, traces of literary unity are not wanting elsewhere, as the following examples will shew.
iv. 1.

iv. 2.
$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \epsilon \mathcal{U}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$
iv. 6.
ws $\theta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ vialivq.
v. 5 .

v. 10.
 Ba.бi入єíav каì iєpєîs.
ix. 1.
 gov.
x I.

 rvoós.
i. 1 .

i. 10.
$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ѐv $\boldsymbol{\pi} v \in u ́ \mu a \tau \iota$.
xv. 2.
 xxii 16 .

i. 6.


$\mathrm{xx} . \mathrm{I}$. $\tau \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} v \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \mathfrak{a} \beta \hat{v} \sigma \sigma o v$.
I. $\mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{f}$.



xi..
 каì $\mu \in ́ \tau \beta \eta \sigma$ оу тòv vaóv.
xi. 7 .
 á $\beta$ v́ $\sigma \sigma$ ov.
xii. 9 .
 $\chi^{\alpha i ̂ o s, ~ o ́ ~ к а \lambda o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \delta c a ́ \beta o \lambda o s . ~ \beta o \lambda o s ~ к а \grave{~ i ́ ~ \sigma a r a v a ̂ s . ~}}$ каì ó $\sigma a \tau \alpha \nu a ̄ s$.
xiv. 13.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ тò $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$.
xiv. 14

xv. 6.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\theta} \eta$弓wivas $\chi$ pvoâs.
xvi. 15.

xvii. 1.

 $\pi о ́ \rho \nu \eta s$.
xix. 12.
 тvpós.
xxi. 15.
 $\mu \in \tau \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ то́入иข...
xvii. 8.
 $\sigma 0 v$.
xX. 2.
ii. 7 etc.

т̀̀ $\pi \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \mu a \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$.
i. 13 .

i. 13 .
 тoîs ל ל $\omega v \eta \nu$ X $\rho v \sigma a \hat{v}$.
iii. 3 .

xxi. 9.
${ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau^{*} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v} \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$

i. 14 .
 тиро́s.
3. It is clear from these instances, which might be multiplied, that the hand of the man who wrote cc. i.--iii., xx.-xxii., has been busy throughout the book. This in itself may not mean more than that he has acted as editor of the whole. But there are other indications of unity, running through large sections of the book, which carry us some steps further. Certain symbolical figures reappear at intervals in contexts which deal with widely different subjects. Though, as we have seen, the eleventh and twelfth chapters are separated by a marked cleavage, the Lamb and the Beast appear on both sides of it; the Lamb occurs in cc.v., vi., vii., xii., xiv., xv., xvii., xix., xxi., xxii., i.e. practically throughout
the book from c. v. onwards, and the Beast in $c$. xi. as well as in cc. xiii., xiv., xv., xvi., xvii., xix., xx. The figure of Hades as a companion of Death occurs in cc. i., vi., $x x$. There are certain unusual words and forms which are common to every part of the Apocalypse, or are found throughout great sections or in passages which are widely separated; e.g. aै $\beta v \sigma \sigma o s$ (cc. ix., xi., xvii., xx.), á $\delta_{\iota \kappa \epsilon} \hat{\nu}$ to hurt (ii., vi., vii., ix., xi., xii.), $\beta a \sigma a \nu \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$ (ix., xiv., xviii.), $\delta \iota a ́ \delta \eta \mu a(x i i .$, xiii., xix.), $\delta \rho a ́ \kappa \omega \nu(x i i .$, xiii., xvi., xx.),
 xi., xii., xiii., xiv., xvi., xix., xx., xxi., xxii.), $\kappa a \hat{v j \mu a}$ (vii., xvi.), $\kappa \rho v ́ \sigma \tau a \lambda \lambda o s$ (iv., xxii.), $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a ́ v ~(v i ., ~ x v i i i), ~ \mu є \sigma o v ̀ \rho a ́ v \eta \mu a ~(v i i i ., ~$ xiv., xix.), $\mu o \lambda \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \ell \nu$ (iii., xiv.), oiкоข $\mu \in ́ v \eta \eta$ (iii., xii., xvi.), тарто${ }_{\kappa \rho a ́ \tau \omega \rho ~(i ., ~ i v ., ~ x i ., ~ x v ., ~ x v i ., ~ x i x ., ~ x x i .), ~}^{\sigma \nu \nu \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu,-\nu o ́ s ~(i ., ~ x v i i i .), ~}$
 xviii., xxi., xxii.), ф $\iota a ́ \lambda \eta ~(v ., ~ x v ., ~ x v i ., ~ x v i i ., ~ x x i),. ~ \chi a ́ p a \gamma \mu a ~(x i i i ., ~$ xiv., xvi., xix., $x x$.): Still more striking as an indication of an underlying unity is the resumption in $c . x v$. of the series of sevenfold visitations which began in $c$. vi.; as there were seven seal-openings and seven trumpet-blasts in the first half of the book, so the second has its seven bowls full of the seven last plagues. The cumulative force of this evidence is sufficient to create a strong presumption that the writer who announces his name in the prologue has been at work throughout the book. The impress of his peculiar style is to be seen in every part of it.
4. These considerations have not deterred modern scholars from regarding the Apocalypse as a composite work and attempting in some cases to resolve it into its sources.

Suggestions in this direction were hazarded in the seventeenth century by Grotius ( 1644$)^{1}$ and Hammond ( 1653$)^{2}$, and early in the nineteenth century by Vogel ( $18 \mathrm{III}-16)^{3}$ and Bleek ( 1822$)^{4}$. Weizsäcker ( r 882 ) ${ }^{5}$ reopened the question with a suggestion that the author, although his hand may be seen throughout, made free use of older material. In the same year his pupil Välter ${ }^{6}$

s. R.

5 In Th. Litteraturzeitung, 1882, p. 78 f .
${ }^{6}$ In Die Entstehung der Apok. (18825). Völter has recently published a recast of his theory (Die Offenbaming Johannis neu untersucht u. erläutert,
started a more ambitious theory, according to which Apoc. i. 4-6, iv. 1 -v. ro, vi. $1-17$, vii. $\mathrm{I}-8$, viii. r - I 3 , ix. $\mathrm{I}-2 \mathrm{I}$, xi. 14 - 19 , xiv. $1,3,6,7,14-20$, xviii. $1-24$, xix. $1-10$, make up the original Apocalypse, which Völter would assign to A.D. 62 ; cc. x. 1-xi. 13, xiv. 8, xvii. $1-18$ were added in A.D. 68-70, and the rest of the book was contributed by successive editors in the time of Trajan and Hadrian ; three such later redactions are distinguished, viz. (I) cc. xii. $1-17$, xix. II-xxi. 8; (2) v. 11-14, vii. 9-17, xii. 11 , xiii., xiv. $1,5,9$ - 2 , xv.-xvi., xvii. 1 a, xix. $20 f ., \mathrm{xx} .1,20$, xxi. 9 -xxii. 5,6 -19; (3) i. $1-3,7,8$, 9 -iii. 22 , v. 6 b , xiv. 13 , xvi. 15, xix. $10 \mathrm{~b}, 13$ b, xxii. $7 \mathrm{a}, 12,13,16,17,20-21$. In 1886 a new vein was struck by a pupil of Harnack, Eberhard Vischer ${ }^{1}$, who set to work on the hypothesis that the Apocalypse of John is a Christian adaptation of a Jewish original ; the specifically Christian portions of the book are i.-iii., v. 9-14, vii. 9-17, xiii. 9 f., xiv. $1-5,12,13, \mathrm{xv} .3$, xvi. 15 , xvii. 14 , xix. 9 ff., $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, xx. $4-6$, xxi. $5 \mathrm{~b}-8$, xxii. 6-2 I , together with a few words interpolated in ix. II, xi. 8, 15, xy. 3, xvii. 6, xx. 4, xxi. 14, 23. The year 1886 produced the theory of Weyland ${ }^{2}$, which assumed two Jewish sources, one ( $N$ ) written under Nero, and a second ( 1 ) under Titus. To s Weyland attributes i. ro, r2-17, 19, iv.-vi., vii. ı-17, viii. - -ix., xi. 14-18, xiv. 14-20, xv. 5, xvi. 17 b-20, xvii.-xviii., xix. $\sqrt[r]{ }-6$, xxi. $9-27$, xxii. $1-11$, 14 f. ; to $\_$x. 1 -xi. 13 , xii. 1 10, 12-18, xiii., xiv. 6-11, xv. 2-4, xvi. 13,14 , 16 , xix. $11-21$, xx., xxi. $1-8$; to the Christian redactor he leaves i.-iii., v. 6-14,
 21, xix. 7-ro, 13 b , xxii, 12, 13, 16-2I. Other theories based on the assumption of a Jewish source or sources are those of Holtzmann ${ }^{\text {a }}$, who assumes a Jewish Grundschrift of the age of Nero, in which was incorporated an older Jewish apocalypse written under Caligula ; and Sabatier ${ }^{4}$, who regards the Apocalypse as a Christian book embodying Jewish fragments (xi. x-1 3 , xii., xiii., xiv. 1-20, xvii. I-xix. 2, xix. II-xx. Io, xxi. 9-xxii. 5). Spitta ${ }^{5}$ distingulshes three sources answering to the three series of sevenfold judgements-a Seal source, which is Christian (c. A.D. 60), a Trumpet and a Vial source, which are Jewish; the present form of the book being ascribed to a Christian redactor. Erbes ${ }^{6}$, on the other hand, believing the book to be entirely of Christian origin, finds in it three Christian sources belonging respectively to the reigns of Caligula, Nero, and Domitian.
5. To the present writer it appears that most of the hypotheses which exercised the ingenuity of Germany during the ten years
r904), in which he distinguishes ( I ) an Apocalypse of John A.D. 65, (2) an Apocalypse of Cerinthus, a.d. 7o, and (3) the work of a redactor of the time of Trajan.
${ }^{1}$ Texte $u$. Untersuchungen, II. 3 (2886).
${ }^{2}$ Th. Tijdschrift, 1886, p. 454 ff.
${ }^{3}$ Gesch. d. Volkes Israel ii. 2, p. 658 ff.
4 Les origines littéraires et la composition de $l^{\prime}$ Apoc. (Paris, 1887 ).
${ }^{5}$ Die Offenbarung Johannis (1884).

- Die Off. Joh. (r89r).
that followed Weizsäcker's first pronouncement ignored the fundamental conditions of the problem. No theory with regard to the sources of the Apocalypsè can be satisfactory which overlooks the. internal evidence of its essential unity ( $\S(1-3$ ). The book has clearly passed through the hands of an individual who has left his mark on every part of it; if he has used old materials freely, they have been worked up into a form which is permeated by his own personality. This has been so far recognized by more recent criticism that less drastic methods are now being used to account for the literary phenomena of the work.

In 1886 , after the completion of Völter's theory, Weizsäcker suggested that the apparent lack of cohesion in certain passages is due to the interpolation of fragments which are not from the author's pen, specifying $c c$. vii. $1-8$, xii. $1-10$, xiii., xvii., which he assigned to the reigns of Nero, Vespasian, and Domitian An entirely new view was propounded by Gunkel in his epoch-making Schöpfung und Chaos (1894). Breaking loose at once from the prevalent view of the Apocalypse as a mere interpretation of local contemporary history, and from the tendency to frame elaborate schemes for its division into 'sources,' he saw in the book the outcome of a long course of apocalyptic traditions which in some cases went back to the Creation-myths of Babylonia. Gunkel's Chaos was followed in the next year by Bousset's Antichrist', a book succeeded in 1896 by its author's important commentary on the Apocalypse ${ }^{2}$. Bousset, while recognizing the essential unity of the Apocalypse, believes with Weizsïcker that certain contexts in it are fragments of older works, and with Gunkel finds traces of apocalyptic traditions in the writer's own work. Știll more recently a contribution has been made to the subject by Professor Johannes Weiss of Marburg ${ }^{3}$. According to his view, the original Apocalypse of John was written before 70 , and included i. 4-6, $9-19$, ii., iii., iv., v., vi., vii., ix., xii. 7-12, xiii. $15-18$, xiv. $1-5$, $14-20$, xx. r-10, $11-15$, xxi. r-4, xxii. 3-5; in its present form the book was issued at the end of the reign of Domitian by an editor who was not the original Apocalyptist.
6. It is impossible to contemplate the flood of literature on the composition of the Apocalypse which the last quarter of a century has called forth without asking the question whether there is any solid ground for the assumption which underlies it

[^25][^26]all. It is taken for granted by some recent authorities ${ }^{2}$ that the Apocalypse is a composite work. But does this conviction rest on more than the reiterated assertion of writers who have found in the analysis of the book a fascinating field for intellectual exercise? When the enquirer investigates the grounds on which the hypothesis of compilation rests, they are seen to be such as the following: ( $a$ ) the presence of well defined breaks in the thread of the movement, as e.g. after iii. 22 , vii. 17 , ix. 2I, xi. 19 , xiii. 18 , xiv. 20 , xvi. 21 ; (b) the treatment of the same idea more than once under different points of view ; thus the 144,000 of vii. 4 ff . reappear under another aspect in xiv. I ff., and the Beast of xiii. I in c. xvii. ; the New Jerusalem of xxi. 9 does not altogether correspond with the New Jerusalem of xxi. 2; (c) the representation of the Last Judgement at two widely separated stages in the development of the book, i.e. in xiv. I4 ff., and xx. II ff. ; (d) the different aspects of Christian thought revealed by the descriptions of Christ in i. I3 ff., v. 6, xiv. I4, and of the Church in xii. I ff., xvii. 7 , xxi. 2; (e) the different dates which seem to be postulated by cc. xi. I f., xiii. 18, xvii. Io f. Such a list of seeming inconsistencies is formidable until it is taken to pieces and examined in detail. But when this has been done, it will be found that the weight of the objections is greatly diminished. The phenomena which suggest diversity of authorship admit for the most part of another explanation; they may well be due to the method of the author or the necessities of his plan. Indeed the last head is the only one which demands serious consideration from those who advocate the unity of the book. If $c$. xi. I implies that the Temple at Jerusalem was still, standing, and xvii. no that Vespasian's reign had not yet ended, while the general tenor of the book points to the reign of Domitian, it is clear that as far as these passages are concerned the Apocalypse must be admitted to contain fragments of an older work; but a reference to the commentary will shew, it is hoped, that even in these contexts the inference is far from being certain.

[^27]7. That the author of the Apocalypse made free use of any materials to which he had access and which were available for his purpose, is highly probable. But did he transfer large masses of earlier apocalyptic writing to his own work, in such a manner as to make his book a compilation or to detract from its unity? Was this his method of dealing with the works of older apocalyptists? It so happens that we are in a position to give a definite answer to the second of these questions. The writer of the N.T. apocalypse has made large use of the apocalyptic portions of the Old Testament. He refers to the Book of Daniel in some forty-five places (Apoc. i. I, 7, 13, 14, 17, 19, 20, ii. 10, 18, iv. I, Io, v. II, vii. 14, ix. 20, x. 4 ff., xi. 2, 7, I3, I5, 18, xii. 3, 7 f., 14, xiii. ı f., $5,7,8$, 15 , xiv. 14 , xvi. ı 1,18 f., xvii. $3,5,8$, 12 , xviii. 2 , 20 , xix. $6,12, \mathrm{xx} .4$, I f ., 15 , xxi. 27 , xxii. 5 f., 10 ), and the Books of Isaiah, Ezekiel, and Zechariah are used with almost equal frequency, while the other Prophets, the Psalter, and the Pentateuch are often in view ${ }^{1}$. No book in the New Testament is so thoroughly steeped in the thought and imagery of the Hebrew Scriptures. Yet the writer has not once quoted the Old Testament, and rarely uses its ipsissima verba. Seldom does he borrow from it a scene -or the suggestion of a vision without modifying the details, departing from his original with the utmost freedom, or combining features which have been brought together from different contexts. This method of using Old Testament materials runs through the whole of the Apocalypse, and is characteristic of the book. Whether the writer is indebted to non-canonical apocalypses is less certain, but if he is, he has followed the same principle. There is no evidence that any one of them has served him as a 'source'; coincidences between the work of John and the extant Jewish books are nearly limited to minor points connected with the imagery and diction ${ }^{2}$. Under the circumstances it is more than precarious to postulate sources of which nothing is known ${ }^{3}$.

For these reasons it has been assumed in this edition that the Apocalypse of John is a literary unity. It may be added that, as

[^28]the work has progressed, this assumption has grown into a conviction. Everywhere the presence of the same creative mind has made itself felt, and features which at first sight appeared to be foreign to the writer's purpose were found on nearer view to be necessary to the development of his plan. It is impossible to justify in this place an impression which depends upon an examination of the text, but in the commentary the reader will find the details on which it rests, and he is asked to reserve his judgement until he has completed his study of the book ${ }^{1}$.

[^29]unity of the book. On the other hand the theory proposed by Prof. J. Weiss (supra, $\mathbf{p}$. xivii) presents difficulties which to the present writer seem to be greater than those which it seeks to remove.

## V.

## DESTINATION.

I. The Apocalypse of John professes to be an encyclical addressed to the Christian societies in seven of the cities of Asia
 ò $\beta \lambda$ е́тєєऽ үра́ч


2. At the end of the first century the peninsula known as Asia Minor ${ }^{1}$ seems to have embraced six provinces, Asia, Bithynia (including Pontus), Galatia, Cappadocia, Cilicia, Lycia (including Pamphylia) ${ }^{2}$. The Province of Asia had been created as far back as the year B.c. I $29^{8}$ out of the domains bequeathed to the Senate by Attalus III., the last king of Pergamum. Ultimately it included Mysia, Lydia, and Caria, and the three Phrygian dioeceses of Cibyra, Apamea, and Synnada, besides certain islands in the Aegean Sea off the western coast ${ }^{4}$. Thus constituted, the province was bounded on the north by Bithynia, on the east by Galatia, and on the south by Lycia; on the west it was washed by the Aegean; inland, it reached a distance from the coast of about 300 English miles, while its greatest length was about $260^{5}$. In the region which falls under our consideration four rivers, the Caicus, the Hermus, the Cayster, and the Maeander,

[^30]descended to the sea from the highlands of the interior, and three considerable ranges of hills, Sipylus, Tmolus, and Messogis, mounted up to the highlands from the coast.
3. In the Greek Old Testament Asia is mentioned only by the writers of the Books of the Maccabees, who use it to represent the dominions of the Seleucid dynasty (I Macc. viii. 6, xi. I3, xii. 39, xiii. 32 ; 2 Macc. iii. 3, x. 24 ; 3 Macc. iii. $14 ; 4$ Macc. iii. 20). But in the New Testament, under the Empire, the case is different. Asia is named by ${ }^{\text {St Luke, St Paul, St Peter, and }}$ St John (Acts ii. 9, vi. 9, xvi: 6, xix. 10, 22, 26 f., xx. 4, 16, 18, xxi. 27, xxiv. 18, xxvii. 2; Rom. xvi. 5 ; 1 Cor. xvi. 19 ; 2 Cor. i. 8 ; 2 Tim. i. 15; I Pet. i. I; Apoc. i. 4), and by all in the sense familiar at the time. "Asia in the New Testament," wrote Dr Lightfoot in 1865, "is always Proconsular Asia." ; and his dictum has not been seriously shaken by the researches of the last forty years. In Acts ii. 9 f., indeed, Phrygia is distinguished from Asia and linked to Pamphylia; but by Phrygia in that place is probably meant the non-Asian region of Phrygia, as in Acts xvi. 6, xviii. $23^{2}$. But whatever may be the practice of St Luke or St Paul in reference to the use of the name 'Asia,' it is certain that the province of Asia is contemplated by St Peter in I Pet.
 'A $A$ (as, cal B $\iota$ (vvias), where, as Dr Hort says, "the five names coincide precisely with the five names that make up the titles of the four provinces of the Roman Empire into which Asia Minor, the southern littoral eventually excepted, was divided in and after the reign of Tiberius; and it would need strong positive evidence to refute the consequent presumption that the territory denoted... was the territory of these four Roman provincess." In Apoc. i. 4 the inclusion of Western Phrygia in 'Asia' is implied by the enumeration among Asian cities of Laodicea on the Lycus, which belonged to the dioecesis Cibyratica.

[^31][^32]4. If the Apocalypse was directed to the Churches of Roman Asia, it was natural that it should be sent in the first instance to the greater cities of the province. Asia was remarkable for the number and wealth of its cities. Pliny (H. N. v. 29) mentions nine which were distinguished by being the centres of a conventus: viz. Adramyttium, Alabanda, Apamea, Ephesus, Laodicea on the Lycus, Pergamum, Sardis, Smyrna, Synnada; and to these Cyzicus, Philomelium, and Tralles should be added ${ }^{1}$. A long list might be made of less important but yet considerable towns, such as Colossae, Dorylaeum, Eumenia, Hierapolis, Magnesia on the Maeander, Miletus, Philadelphia, Priene, Thyatira; the total number of townships in the province is stated by contemporary writers to have been 500 , or even 1000 . "No province," writes Aristides of Smyrna in the second century, "has so many cities, nor are even the greatest cities of other provinces comparable to the cities of Asia ${ }^{3}$." Between the larger towns there was a keen though friendly rivalry, as the local coins and inscriptions testify. If Ephesus proclaims herself $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \omega^{\prime} \tau \eta \kappa а \dot{i} \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{l} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{o}^{-}$ mo入ıs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'A $\sigma$ ías ${ }^{4}$, Smyrna, not to be outdone by her neighbour,
 $\kappa a \grave{\lambda} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$, каi $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho о \tau a ́ \tau \eta^{5}$; while Pergamum, the old capital, is, like Ephesus and Smyrna, a $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ д $\mu \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \lambda c s . ~ T h e ~ t i t l e ~$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \rho \lambda \iota \varsigma$ is also assumed byं Cyzicus, Laodicea on the Lycus, Sardis, Synnada, and Tralles ${ }^{6}$. Magnesia on the Maeander, though it cannot rise to this dignity, is described on coins as the seventh city of Asia ${ }^{7}$.
5. In the light of these facts it is not at first sight easy to explain the principle on which the Apocalyptic list of seven has been formed. Why does it include two comparatively small

[^33]tian Life, E. Tr., p. 38z), both Ephesus and Smyrna had in the time of Augustus a population of 200,000, and Pergamum in the middle of the seeond century contained from 120,000 to 180,000 sonls.
${ }^{4}$ CIG 2992.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{CLG}_{3179,3205 ;}$ Dittenberger, Orient. Gr. inseriptiones select. ii. p. 159 f.
${ }^{6}$ Ruggiero, Dizionario epigrafico di Antichità Romane, i. p. $73{ }^{1}$.

7 Mommsen, Provinces, p. 329.
towns, Thyatira and Philadelphia, while Tralles and Magnesia, Hierapolis and Colossae, Alexandria Troas and Adramyttium, Miletus and Halicarnassus, Dorylaeum and Synnada, are passed by? Some at least of these cities had Christian communities before the end of the first century; under Trajan, Ignatius of Antioch addressed letters to Churches at Tralles and Magnesia; under Nero, St Paul spent the first day of the week with brethren at Troas", anl recognized a "Church of the Laodiceans", and the presence of Christians at Hierapolis ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

It is true that the first three cities in St John's list were by common consent $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \iota$ т $\hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ 'A $\sigma i a s$, and they stand in the order which would naturally be followed, at least by a resident at Ephesus. Moreover Ephesus, Smyrna, and Pergamum were in direct communication with one another by the great road which the Romans had constructed shortly after their occupation of Asia. So far then both the selection of the names and their order are easy to understand. But why should not the Apocalyptic messenger have been sent on from Pergamum to Cyzicus or to Troas? why was his course at this point diverted to the inland towns of Thyatira, Sardis and Philadelphia, and brought to an end in the valley of the Lycus? The true answer is doubtless that which is given by Professor Ramsay: "all the Seven Cities stand on the great circular road that bound together the most populous, wealthy, and influential part of the Province, the west-central region"." "They were the best points on the circuit to serve as centres of communication with seven districts: Pergamum for the north...; Thyatira for an inland district on the north-east and east; Sardis for the wide middle valley of the Hermus; Philadelphia for Upper Lydiá...; Laodicea for the Lycus Valley and for Central Phrygia...; Ephesus for the Cayster and lower Maeander Valleys and coasts; Smyrna for the lower Hermus Valley and the North Ionian coasts 4" Planted at these seven centres, the Apocalypse would spread through their neighbourhoods, and from thence to the rest of the province. A Roman road led from Pergamum to

[^34]Adramyttium and Troas, and another direct to Cyzicus; other roads connected Philadelphia with Dorylaeum, and Laodicea with Apamea and Synnada, and with Cibyra. From Ephesus a great road passed through Magnesia, Tralles and Laodicea, and crossing Galatia and Cappadocia ultimately reached the Euphrates ${ }^{1}$;. a branch road entered Syria through the 'Cilician Gates.' Thus the route prescribed in the Apocalypse provided for the circulation of the book throughout the Churches of the entire province and beyond it.
6. Some account of the cities to which the book was originally sent is given in the notes to $c c$. ii. iii., and much more may be gathered from so accessible a book as Professor Ramsay's Letters to the Seven Churches ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$. Here it may suffice to place before the student the general conditions of the life into which Christianity entered when it established itself in the cities of Asia.
(i) At Ephesus by custom the Proconsul landed on his entry into the Province ${ }^{3}$, and the city was regarded as the seat of the provincial government. But it retained at least the forms of municipal independence, and its civic life was full and manysided. During the Roman period the population was divided into six tribes ( $\phi$ ulaí), which were again divided into thousands (xidaartúes). Local affairs were in the hands of three assemblies, a council ( $\beta$ ou $\lambda^{\prime}$ ), which in A.d. 104 consisted of 450 members probably elected in equal numbers from each of the tribes; a senate ( $\gamma \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}{ }^{2}(a)$, which seems to have been charged with the finance of public worship ${ }^{4}$ or perhaps with municipal finance in general, and the care of public monuments; and the popular assembly, which bore the familiar name of ecclesia ${ }^{5}$. Each assembly had its ypa $\mu \mu a \tau \epsilon$ ús $^{\prime}$,
 learn from the Acts (xix. 25) could make itself respected even by an angry mob.
In the life of Ephesus commerce occupied no less important a place than local politics. The silting up of the harbour had indeed begon to threaten the city's command of the seas, but Strabo was able to report that in every other respect it was growing in prosperity day by day, and that Asia within the Taurus had no market that could vie with $\mathrm{it}^{6}$. Foreign trade brought it into

[^35][^36]communication with Greece, Egypt, and Spain, and on the other hand with the Euphrates and the East. Among its local specialities were marble, vermilion, oils and essences, and the handicraft of workers in gold, silver and copper ${ }^{1}$. Its slaves fetched fabulous prices in the Roman market ${ }^{8}$. Nor were the intellectual interests of the place less keen or varied. In the first century the city of Heracleitus abounded with persons who followed the profession of the philosopher or the rhetor, and added to its reputation as a seat of learning ${ }^{3}$. It will not be forgotten that according to Eusebius ${ }^{4}$ Ephesus is the scene of Justin's dialogue with Trypho, and probably also of his initiation into the Stoic, Peripatetic, and Platonist philosophies.5. Nor was art neglected in Ephesus; the city was a famous school of sculpture and architecture; the great theatre remains to witness to the passion of its citizens for the drama ${ }^{6}$. But religion was the paramount power at Ephesus, as perhaps in all the Asian cities. The worship of the Ephesian Artemis was an inheritance from pre-Hellenic times, and possessed all the attractions which bind a people to a traditional or localized cult. The Artemision did not indeed dominate the city as the Parthenon dominated Athens; it lay in fact, as was demonstrated by Mr Wood's discovery on the last day of 1869 , on the plain outside the Magnesian gate of Ephesus. Nevertheless it was the chief glory of the place, and life in Ephesus was at every point brought into contact with the great presiding deity of the city-the חршто日povía, as according to Pausanias (x. $3^{8} .3$ ) she was locally called. It was by the priestly college at the Artemision, known as the Essênes, that the lot was cast by which a new citizen was admitted to his tribe and thousand. In the Ephesian calendar the month of the spring equinox was named after Artemis ( $\delta$ 'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \omega \tau(\omega v)$, and during that month the city celebrated a yearly festival in honour of the goddess ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ A $\left.\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{c} \sigma \iota\right)^{7}$. On great festivals a sacred carriage ( $\dot{\eta}$ iepà aं $\pi \dot{\eta} v \eta$ ) carried the image of Artemis through the streets of the city. The great ternple employed an army of officials; it had its wardens (vewmoiau), its guards
 of ípódouдoc, its priests and priestesses ${ }^{8}$. Private beneficence added to the splendours of the goddess; a great inscription of the year A.D. 104 records the munificent bequest of a citizen for the maintenance of the worship of Artemis, "marking," in the judgement of Canon Hicks, "a reaction against Christianity,"

[^37]
 nat. deorum 2 mentions a Peripatetic school at Ephesus.

- Zimmermann, p. 73-
${ }^{7}$ Ficks, pp. 83, 117 fi.
8 The inscriptions mention also iepo-
 J. Menadier, Qua condicione Ephesii usi sunt, P . 105 f.

COINS OF THE APOCALYPTIC CITIES．
2．Smprna．

1．Ephesus．
$\triangle O M[I T I A] N O C$ KAICAP CEBACTOC 「EPMANIKOC．

R．APTEMIC E中ECIA．

5．Thyatira．
QYATEIPA．R．ӨYA． TEIPHN』N．

## 7．Sardis．

［ $\triangle$ POYEOE］KAI REP．
MANIKOE KAIEAPEE
NEOI ӨEOI 中1＾ADEへФOI．
R．「AIת AEINIR
TRAMISNI ANOYMAT $\Omega$ ．
Within wreath of oak leaves：
koinoy asias．

9．Philadelphia．
R．ФIAA $\triangle E \wedge \Phi E \Omega N E P$ ． MITTIOC APXIEPEYC．
［ $\triangle$ OMITIA］NOC KAI－CE． ГEPMANIKOC $\triangle O M I T I A$ CEBACTH．R．［ETI $\triangle H M O$ CTPATOY］CTPATHFOC CHIOC ZMYPN．

4．Pergamum．
CEBACTOI ETI TETP［ $\Omega$ NIOY］ R．GEON CEBACTON TEP． FAMHNOI．

6．Sardis．
CAPAIC．R．CAPAIAN $\Omega N$ NE $\Omega$ KOP $\Omega[\mathrm{N}]$ ．

8．Sardis．
ӨEA PתMH．R．CAPAI． AN $\Omega N \cdot \operatorname{B}$ NERKOP $\Omega$ ．

10．Philadelphia． $\triangle H M O C$ ФIAA $\triangle E \wedge \Phi E \Omega N$ NERK．R．KAI CMYP．

NAIתN T NERKOPIN OMONOIA．

11．Laodicea．
NEPRN KAICAP．
R．ГAIOY ПOGTOMOY．
AAOAIKERN．

which from the first had been felt to be a serious rival of the Ephesian cult. It is worthy of remark that the worship of the Emperors ${ }^{2}$ did not present itself to the people of Ephesus in this light, and was even regarded as an ally of the local religion; a statue of Augustus was set up in the precinct of the Artemision ${ }^{2}$, and Ephesus was proud to be the $\nu \epsilon \omega \kappa$ ópos of the Emperor as well as of her own goddess Artemis ${ }^{3}$. Indeed, there is abundance of evidence that in the cities of Asia generally the Caesar-worship was a. welcome adjunct to the worship of the local deities ${ }^{4}$.

Ex una disce omnes; the surroundings of the Church in Ephesus were more or less repeated in the other Asian cities. But each city had its special features, and something must be added in reference to these.
(ii) Sniyrna, the new city of the Diadochi, claimed, as we have seen, a primacy of beauty ${ }^{5}$. Approached by a long gulf which opened into a noble harbour, and crowned by an acropolis ${ }^{6}$, its natural advantages were in some respects superior to those of Ephesus. The city was worthy of its surroundings; its streets were straight and well paved; public buildings were numerons, including a library, an odeum, a stadium, a theatre, a temple of Homer ( $\boldsymbol{\delta} \dot{\circ}$ "O $\mu \eta \rho \in \iota o v$ ) with a portico attached to it, and other large two-storied porticoes ${ }^{7}$. The relations of Smyrna with Rome were excellent, and its loyalty received due recognition; it was an urbs libera and the centre of a conventus, and from A.D. 26 the proud possessor of an Augusteum erected in honour of Tiberius ${ }^{8}$, a privilege which Ephesus at the time coveted in vain ${ }^{9}$. If Smyrna did not claim, like Ephesus, a special cult, it could boast a number of temples, conspicuous among. which were those of the Sipylene Cybele and the local Zeus. The public games of Smyrna ${ }^{10}$ were noted for their magnificence, and it was one of the cities where periodical festivals were held under the authority of the Commune Asiae in honour of the Augusti ${ }^{11}$. On such occasions Christian
${ }^{1}$ On this see $c$. vii.
${ }^{2}$ Hicks, p. 37; Ramsay, Letters, p. 23 I .

8 Thus $\nu \in \omega \kappa \delta \rho \omega \nu$ סis (or $\tau \rho i s$ ) кal $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'A $\quad$ rembos is found on Ephesian coins; see B. V. Head, Greek Coins of Lydia, p. cvii.

4 See Chapot, p. 424 fif.
${ }^{5}$ See p. lvii.; and Aristides of Smyrna,

 тьб $\mu$ a $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ oplas. Cf. the Life of Polycarp by Pionius, where the citizens are addressed as $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ of $\tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa a \lambda$.
 iii. p. 462).
${ }^{6}$ See the description in Aristides, xv.
( = xvii. ed. Keil).
${ }^{7}$ Strabo, xiv. 37 ( 64 ) .
${ }^{8}$ The Augusteum in Smyrna was not, however, as Prof. Reid has pointed out to me, dedicated to Tiberius alone; the mother of the Emperor and the Senate were included (Tac. ann. iv. I5).
${ }^{9}$ A second neocorate was adjudged to Smyrna nuder Hadrian and a third under Sept. Severus (Head, Greek Coins of Ionia, p. 263). Cf. CIG 3266 rois $\epsilon p$




${ }^{10}$ Of. Pausan. vi. I4. 1.
${ }^{11}$ Lightfoot, Ignatius, iii. p. 405.
citizens were doubtless placed in a position of peculiar peril, but at no season would they be regarded with favourable eyes by a population imimersed in business and pleasure, devoted to the local cults, and proud of its loyalty to Rome and the Emperor ${ }^{1}$.
(iii) Pergamum, the old capital of the Attalids, still claimed an hegemony, in right of its ancient glories ${ }^{2}$. The place possessed natural advantages which fitted it to sustain the character of leadership. "Beyond all other sites in Asia Minor it gives the traveller the impression of a royal city, the home of authority; the rocky hill on which it stands is so huge, and dominates the broad plain of the Caicus so proudly and boldly"." The plain was one of the richest in Mysia ${ }^{4}$, and supplied the markets of the city; the local trade in skins ( $\delta \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \rho a l$ ) prepared for the use of writers was so brisk that the material received its name from Pergamum ${ }^{5}$. But the fame of Pergamum rested chiefly on its religious pre-eminence. A tetrad of local deities, Zeus Soter, Athena Nikephoros, Dionysos Kathegemon, Asklepios Soter ${ }^{6}$, presided over the city; the temple of Athena almost crowned the acropolis, and beneath it, on the slope of the hill and visible from the agora, stood a great al' fresco altar of the Pergamene Zeus. Still more celebrated was the Pergamene cult of Asklepios, to whose temple there was attached a school of medicine which attracted sufferers from all quarters. But in Roman times the city prided itself above all upon its devotion to the worship of the Emperors. From the time of Augustus Pergamene coins bear the inscriptions ӨEON CYГK_AHTON, ӨEAN P. $\Omega M H N_{i}$ GEON CEBACTON ${ }^{7}$. Inscriptions proclaim the dignity of the city as the first in Asia to erect a temple to Augustus ${ }^{8}$; and as it was the first, so it continued to be the chief Asian seat of the Emperor-cult. In the time of Hadrian it was already סis v $\epsilon \omega \kappa o ́ p o s$, and an inscription of the reign of Trajan mentions the $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega \delta o i \quad \theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ $\Sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ каì $\theta \in \hat{a} s{ }^{\text {'Púpins }}$; the local priest of Zeus was proud to style himself also priest of the divine Augustus. In St John's eyes this new cult was the crowning sin of Pergamum; the city which had introduced the worship of the Augusti into Asia was the dwelling place, the very throne of Satan, who reigned from its acropolis; and the Church which resided in it must expect to find itself in the forefront of the battle about to be fought between Christ and Antichrist.

[^38]book of Greeld and Latin Palaeography, p. 35 f.
${ }_{6}{ }^{3}$ The legends AOHNAC NIKHФOPOY, ACKAHTIOY C CTHPOC are frequenton coins of Pergamumsee Wroth, Greek Coins of Mysia, p. 128 ff .

7 Wroth, op. cit. p. 134 ff. E.g. CIG


${ }^{8}$ Herzog-Hauck, x. p. 55x. CIG 3569
 aürds...iєिє̀ेs тov̀ $\Delta i \delta \delta^{\prime}$.
(iv) Thyatira "lies in an open, smiling vale, bordered by gently sloping hills," and "possesses no proper acropolis'." The contrast to Pergamum thus suggested is maintained when the two cities are compared in other particulars. Thyatira had no history reaching back beyond the Seleucids, who raised the obscure township into a Macedonian colony. It was distingurshed by no famous cult; the Thyatiran coins and inscriptions mention only the local hero Tyrimnus, or his deified counterpart the Tyrimnaean Apollo, and an Artemis who bears the surname 'Boritene?.' There is no evidence that Thyatira was as yet a veckópos of the Augusti. Outside the city a Sibyl of Eastern origin known as Sambethe or Sambatha had her cell ( $\left.\tau \grave{o} \sum_{\alpha \mu} \beta_{a}^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$; and it has been suggested ${ }^{4}$, though with little probability, that this person is to be identified with the prophetess Jezebel of Apoc. ii. 20. But the most outstanding feature in Thyatiran life was probably the institution of tradeguilds ${ }^{5}$. In certain of the Asian cities these guilds may have filled the place of the 'thousands' into which the 'tribes' were divided ${ }^{6}$, and Thyatira is one of these. At Thyatira there were guilds of bakers, potters, workers in brass, tanners, leathercutters, workers in wool and flax, clothiers, dyers ${ }^{7}$; the workers in wool and the dyers were probably the most numerous, for the manufacture and dyeing of woollen goods was a Lydian speciality, in which Thyatira excelled ${ }^{8}$. To these guilds many of the Thyatirene Christians would have belonged, and their connexion with them would raise questions of much difficulty ${ }^{9}$. One of the inscriptions records an honour voted by the guild of dyers to the priest of the ancestral hero Tyrimnus ${ }^{10}$; in such circumstances what course ought the Christian members of the guild to follow? Such a problem might seldom arise, and when it arose, the Church might agree upon the answer; but there was another of frequent occurrence upon which Christians differed among themselves. From time to time the members of a guild partook together of a common meal which had a sacrificial character and moreover too often ended in revelry and licentiousness. At Thyatira, through

1 Ramsay, Letters, p. 3 I 8.
${ }^{2}$ B. V. Head, Greek Coins of Lydia, p. 294 [T]YP[1M]NOC, ib. p. 295 BOPEITHNH.
${ }^{3}$ CLG 3509.
${ }^{4}$ Schürer ${ }^{3}$, iii. p. ${ }_{42} 8$.
${ }^{5}$ At Thyatira they were known as eq $\quad$ aбiat; other names were $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon s$,
 p. 167.
${ }^{6}$ Ramsay, Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, p. 105.

7 M . Clere, de rebus Thyatirenoram, p. 92 (quoted by Ramsay l.c.). Chapot (p. 168 ff .) gives a complete list of the trades of Asia so far as they are mentioned in the insoriptions.
${ }^{8}$ Acts xvi. I4 торфupbrtulos $\pi \delta \lambda e \omega s$

Quateipwr. Cf. CIG $26,3924$.
${ }^{9}$ On this point Prof. Reid writes: " The difficalty which Christians felt in membership of the guilds was by no means confined to the question of the feasts. There was probably no guild which was not devoted to some form of heathen worship. Membership was therefore ipso facto bowing down in the honse of Rimmon. Direct participation in ceremonies was only incumbent on officials of the guild; but any one possessed of money enough to pay the summa honoraria would find it hard to decline office."
${ }^{10}$ CIG 349 tìv lepéa tô toond́topos

circumstances which will appear further on, the question whether Christians might or might not take part in such guild-feasts became acute, and the Apocalyptic message to Thyatira, turns upon it.
(v) Sardis, the capital of the old Lydian kingdom, and in Persian times the seat of a satrap, retained under the Romans the shadow of its ancient greatness ${ }^{1}$; commanding the great Valley of the-Hermus, and standing at a point to which roads converged from Thyatira, Smyrna and Laodicea and the Lycus, it could not sink into neglect. The town was shattered by the great earthquake of a.D. 17 , but with the liberal help of Tiberius it rose from its ruins. Its gratitude was shewn in a special devotion to the Emperor; in A.D. 26 it contended with Pergamum, Smyrna, and Ephesus for the privilege of erecting an Augusteum, and though it failed on that occasion, eventually it couild claim a second and even a third neocorate. The chief local cult was that of Kore, but the name of the Lydian Zeus appears also on the coins ${ }^{2}$; Dionysus, too, Athena, Aphrodite, and the local heroes Tmolus and Hermus, were honoured at Sardis. The Church perhaps encountered in Sardis no special danger to her peace; but the atmosphere of an old pagan city, heary with the immoral traditions of eight centuries, was unfavourable to the growth of her spiritual life.
(vi) Philadelphia has received a characteristic treatment from Bishop Lightfoot ${ }^{3}$, to which little need be added here. "A city full of earthquakes" is Strabo's significant comment upon it; he adds that in his time the town had been largely forsaken by its inhabitants, who lived on the rich lands which surrounded it ${ }^{4}$. With Sardis, at the time of the earthquake, Philadelphia partook of the bounty of the Emperor, and was duly grateful ; though it did not acquire the neocorate until the beginning of the third century, its special loyalty is shewn by the titles assumed on its coins; under Caligula and Claudius it styled itself Neocaesarea, and under the Flavian Emperors Flavia ${ }^{5}$. It is more important for our purpose to notice the situation of Philadelphia in reference to Central Asia Minor. The city lay on the direct route from Smyrna. to the highlands and plateau of Central Asia Minor. Thus the Church in Philadelphia had unusual opportunities òf spreading the Gospel in the interior, and she seems to have availed herself of this open door ${ }^{6}$.
(vii) Laodicea has been exhaustively described by Professor Ramsay in Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia ${ }^{7}$. The student of the Apocalypse will take special note of the specialities in wool and in eyesalve produced in the neighbourhood of this city, to which reference seems to be made in the message to Laodicea; and of the prosperity of the Laodicenes as a banking and trading com-

[^39]munity, and the singular spirit of independence indicated by their rejection of imperial help after the earthquake of a.d. $60^{1}$. It is evident that the Christians of Laodicea shared the self-sufficiency of their fellow-townsmen, and carried it into the sphere of their relations with God and Christ. The commercial pre-occupations of the place saved them from persecution, but at the cost, as at Sardis, of the life of the Spirit. Of this decline of the Christian life in the Churches of the Lycus valley (for the message to Laodicea was doubtless intended also for Hierapolis and Colossae), the neighbourhood yielded a forcible illustration, which the Apocalyptist was not slow to use. The hot springs of Hierapolis, in their course over the platform on which the city was built, lose their heat, and the traveller who drinks of the water finds it intolerable to the palate. So, St John teaches, the Christ will reject the lukewarm profession of faith from which the fire of love has departed ${ }^{2}$.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Lightfoot, Colossians, p. 44: "in all other cases of earthquake which Tacitus reoords as happening in these Asiatic cities...he mentions the fact of their obtaining relief from the Senate
or the Emperor."
${ }_{2}$ Further illustrations of the life of the Asian cities may be found in CIG 3266, $3285,3415,3416,3428,3460,3497$, 3498, 3508, 3517.

## VI.

## CHRISTIANITY IN THE PROVINCE OF ASIA DURING THE FIRST CENTURY.

1. The permanent interest of apocalyptic literature consists largely in its intimate connexion with the needs and sufferings, the hopes and fears, of the age and communities which produced it. From Daniel onwards the Jewish apocalypses reflect, with more or less distinctness, the conditions under which they were written, and the expectations which consoled or invigorated the Jews under Syrian, Hasmonaean, Herodian, or Roman rule, throwing side-lights, lurid but instructive, on contemporary life and history. The great Christian apocalypse is no exception to this rule. But whereas it is left to the critical student to elicit as he can the age and circumstances of the Jewish apocalyptists, the Christian writer, as we have already seen ${ }^{1}$, makes no secret of the conditions under which he worked. The Apocalypse of John is clearly a product of Asian Christianity, and the purpose of the book cannot be understood without an effort to realize the position of Christianity in the cities of Asia during the first century of our era.
2. Long before the Christian era the Jews had formed a considerable factor in the population of the Asian cities ${ }^{2}$. There was a synagogue at Ephesus (Acts xviii. 19) and, it may be assumed, in almost every one of the great towns. But the Jew was the unconscious or, if ever he attained to a consciousness of the fact, the reluctant avant-coureur of Christianity. Christianity

[^40]was doubtless discussed by Jewish circles in the cities of Asia as soon as the Asian Jews who had visited Jerusalem at the Passover or Pentecost of A.D. 29 returned to their homes in the Province. Even if the narrative of Acts ii. be not regarded as historical, it is clear that the story of the Crucifixion and the Resurrectioncould not fail to have been repeated everywhere. The same story came a little later across the sea from Rome and Alexandria ${ }^{1}$, or by the great trade-roads from Syrian Antioch; or it might have been brought down to the sea coast by men who had heard St Paul tell it in the synagogues of the province of Galatia, at Pisidian Antioch or at Iconium, or of the Lycaonian towns, Lystra and Derbe. Yet there is no sign of any Christian movement in Asia before the arrival of St Paul at Ephesus ${ }^{2}$, and to Ephesus his personal ministry seems to have been nearly limited.
3. Few things are more perplexing in connexion with the development of St Paul's evangelistic work than the long delay of its extension to proconsular Asia. At Antioch in Pisidia in the summer of 48 the Apostle stood literally at the parting of the ways; if he had turned to the west, he would have reached the Lycus valley and Ephesus; instead of this he turned his face eastwards, and his destination was the Lycaonian towns. On the next occasion a westward mission was in his mind, probably from the first, certainly when at Derbe or Lystra he took Timothy for his partner in a new work ${ }^{3}$, and with his two.colleagues 'went through' the 'Phrygo-Galatic region's, i.e. the Phrygian part of Galatia, which lay on the border of Asia. If he did not cross the border, he would have done so, had not a hand which was upon his spirit held him back. This mysterious check was repeated when he had got to the confines of Mysia, and wished to enter the great province of Bithynia and Pontus ${ }^{5}$. Both Asia and Bithynia were to become headquarters of Christian influence ${ }^{6}$, but their time was not yet;

[^41][^42]Macedonia and Achaia must receive their call first, and Asia must wait a while. . The turn of Ephesus came in A.D. 52-3, when St. Paul began a residence of more than two years in that city.
4. The Apostle reached Ephesus at the end of a progress through the "upper parts ${ }^{1}$," i.e. not by the direct route from Galatia (Acts xviii 23) through the Lycus valley, but over the higher ground of the interior, possibly by way of Philadelphia, Sardis, and Smyrna, or by Philadelphia, Sardis, Thyatira, Pergamum, and thence down the coast. The purpose of this détour was apparently evangelistic ${ }^{2}$, and it creates a suspicion that Ephesus was not the only or even the first Church in Asia which received the Gospel from St Paul's own lips. The outworks were carried before the citadel was attacked; in any case, the gradual approach to Ephesus is of a piece with the previous delays, and emphasizes the great importance of the city as a centre of Christian work. Meanwhile, at Ephesus itself forerunners had been at work-the Alexandrian Jew, Apollonius or Apollos ${ }^{3}$; a party of twelve men or thereabouts ( $\omega \sigma \varepsilon i$ $\delta \omega \delta \in \kappa a$ ), who had received John's baptism; and the Roman Christians Aquila and Priscilla; who had crossed with the Apostle from Corinth in the previous spring. The Apostle's own work began as usual in the synagogue. But as at Corinth (Acts xviii 6, xix. 9), when his preaching was resented by the Jewish residents, be parted company with them, and thenceforth his teaching was carried on in one of the philosophical schools of the city ${ }^{4}$. This went on for two years, so that Ephesus had unusual opportunities of hearing a great Christian teacher; and though St Paul himself does not seem to have left the place, visitors from other parts of Asia carried back a report of his teaching to their own towns, and the evangelization of Asia, begun during his journey to Ephesus, was at length fairly complete (Acts xix. 10, 26). At Ephesus a Church

[^43]began to take shape during the biennium. Disciples had gathered round the Apostle before he left the synagogue (xix. 9), and after the separation the number grew, and gave satisfactory. evidence of their sincerity ( $i b .18 \mathrm{ff}$.); there were to be found men who had filled the office of Asiarch, and yet were well disposed towards the Christian cause or its leader (ib. 31). When the crash came in a.d. 55, St Paul was able to feel that his work in Ephesus had been practically accomplished, and that he might go elsewhere without danger to Asian Christianity (xix. 2I, xx. I) ${ }^{1}$.
5. In the spring of 56, when St Paul landed at Miletus on his way to his last Pentecost at Jerusalem, the Church of Ephesus already had its college of elders³. In Asia as in Galatia and Lycaonia ${ }^{3}$ the Apostle had instituted the presbyterate; although the order is mentioned only in connexion with Ephesus, it doubtless found a place in the other Asian Churches ${ }^{4}$ which owed their origin to $S t$ Paul. Two pairs of letters, which if they are not the work of St Paul, certainly proceed from his school, supply further materials for the history of the Churches of Asia during the years that followed. (1) Colossians, Ephesians. The letter to Colossae deals chiefly with the conditions of the Church in that Phrygian city and other Churches in the Lycus valley. But Ephesians, as is generally recognized, was a circular letter intended for the cities of Asia generally ${ }^{5}$-a Pauline precursor in this respect of St John's Apocalypse-and it illumines the general situation in Asia about A.D. 60 . From this point of view it is interesting to note the repeated reference in this Epistle to a charismatic ministry (Eph. ii. 20, iv. IIf); the stress laid on the reconciliation of the Jew and Gentile in Christ (ii. if ff.); the conception of the ecclesia as an ideal unity (iv. I ff.); the conception of the Christian life as bound up with the risen and ascended life of the Lord, and working itself out into a life of actual participation in

[^44][^45]His glory (ii. 6 ff )-ideas which reappear in the Apocalypse of John. (2) I, 2 Timothy. According to I Timothy, St Paul, after his release from the Roman captivity of Acts xxviii 30, visited Ephesus again. He found that the fears which he had expressed in the address at Miletus were already realized in part. Unwholesome speculations, probably of Jewish origin ${ }^{1}$, occupied the attention of the Ephesian Church, to the neglect of practical Christianity. Other evils were rife in the Christian society, such as eagerness for office, unseemly disputes in the Church assemblies, gossip and slander if not worse sins among the women, even among those who as widows were pensioners and servants of the Church. There were Christians who attempted to make a gain of their religion, and others of the wealthier class who prided themselves on their wealth, and needed to be urged to share it with their poorer brethren. The whole picture is far from hopeful, and in the Second Epistle it becomes depressing. All Asia had turned away from its father in the faith (i. 15)-an exaggeration, it may be, but one which suggests at least an anti-Pauline movement in the churches of the province; two of the ringleaders-Phygelus and Hermogenes-are mentioned by name; a certain Onesiphorus is warmly commended, as if he were almost a solitary exception to the general apostasy. St Paul despatched to Ephesus (iv. 12) one of bis few remaining friends, Tychicus of Asia ${ }^{2}$, perhaps in the hope that a native of the province might succeed in recalling Asia to its allegiance. So the curtain falls upon the Apostle's relations with the Asian Churches.
6. It was probably after the death of St Paul that St Peter wrote his circular letter to the Churches of Asia Minor ${ }^{3}$. The letter makes no special reference to the affairs of the province of Asia, but its account of the condition of Christians in Asia Minor must be taken to apply to provincial Asia, which was one of the four provinces addressed ${ }^{4}$. In the first place it is remarkable that while St Paul himself is not mentioned, the Apostle of the

[^46][^47]Circumcision not only associates himself in this letter with two of St Paul's companions, Silvanus and Mark (iv. 12 f .), but makes considerable use of.St Paul's Epistles, and among them of the encyclical Пןós'Eфeoious. The fact has been used as an indication of date, but it may serve also to shew the delicate care with which St Peter endeavours to maintain the continuity of Christian teaching in churches which had been to some extent estranged from their founder, and without such an intimation might have been led to regard Peter in the light of a rival to whom they were invited to transfer their allegiance. But for our purpose it is more important to take note of the relations which existed at the time between the Christian communities and their pagan neighbours. Christians were spoken against as evil-doers (ii. 12); their reasons were demanded with a rudeness which called for the exercise of meekness (iii. 15); there was always a chance that any one of them might be called to suffer as a Christian; already they had been tried by fire, and were learning to bear their share in the sufferings of Christ (iv. 12 ff .). Fet the persecution was as yet unofficial. The Apostle presses on the Churches the duty of absolute loyalty to the Emperor and the Proconsul.(ii. 13 ff .). Rome, indeed, is already 'Babylon' (v. I3), but Nero, if he is still living, exercises a power which is of God, and while God is alone to be feared, the Emperor must be held in honour (ii. 17). The troubles of the Asian Christians came as yet from their neighbours ratherthan from the State; their refusal to share in the revelries and impurities of heathenism brought upon them the illwill and abuse and, as far as the civil power permitted, the maltreatment of relatives or fellowcitizens (iv. 3 ff.). The trial fell with especial weight upon Christian slaves, who had no protection against the cruelty of pagan masters, and who formed a large proportion of the early Christian societies.
7. In the Apocalypse of John the field is narrowed again to Proconsular Asia The opening chapters of the book take the reader on tour through a great part of the Province; he accompanies the bearer of the Apocalyptic circular from Ephesus to

Smyrna, and thence passes inland to Mysian Pergamum, Lydian Thyatira, Sardis, and Philadelphia, and Phrygian Laodicea. Each of the seven cities bad its Christian society, and in some cases at least this society was associated with neighbouring churches to which it would transmit the Apocalypse or a copy. Thus Pergamum was within easy reach of Adramyttium and Troas (Acts xx. 5 ff., 2 Cor. ii. 12), Laodicea of Hierapolis and Colossae (Col. ii. I, iv. 13), and Ephesus itself of Miletus, Magnesia and Tralles; so that the route indicated secured the distribution of St John's encyclical among all the Christian brotherhoods in Asia ${ }^{\text {? }}$.

St John, like St Peter, makes no mention of St Paul. The founder of the Asian Churches seems to have disappeared altogether from their field of sight. If we are to believe a considerable school of modern critics, the Apocalypse not only ignores St Paul, but bitteriy and repeatedly attacks those who still claimed to follow his teaching. In the opinion of these scholars the Nicolaitans of $c$. ii. are the Pauline Christians of the age of $S t$ John ${ }^{2}$. It is possible that this remarkable theory holds an element of truth. The advocates of laxity may have sheltered themselves under the great authority of St Paul, quoting detached sentences from his epistles ${ }^{3}$ in support of their tenets; they may have represented the rôle of the Apostle of the Uncircumcision as that of a deliverer of Gentile Christendom from the yoke which the older Apostles and the mother Church had sought to impose by the decree of A.D. 49; it is even barely possible that behind the enigmatic name which they bore there may lie some reference to the spiritual victories won by the man whom they claimed as the author of their policy. Against pseudo-Paulinists such as these John takes his stand, as St Paul himself would certainly have done; but against Paul ${ }^{4}$ or his teaching there is not a word. No doubt it is strange that so great a figure as that of St Paul should have been forgotten or eclipsed in the country which had been the earliest

[^48][^49]and principal scene of his evangelistic work. But account must be taken of several circumstances. More than a generation had passed away since his residence at Ephesus, and the other Asian cities had never seen him in the flesh ${ }^{1}$, or had known him only as an itinerant evangelist? The rapid movements of life which played over the surface of Ionian civilization in the years between the beginning of Nero's reign and the end of Domitian's ; the transit over Asia of many of the greater 'lights' of the Church on their way from Palestine westwards, and the settlement of some of them in the province ${ }^{3}$; the presence in Asia of men who had known the Lord in the days of His flesh or had conversed with those who knew Him"-these things all tended to wipe out the memory of St Paul from the minds of the Asian Christians. John himself as the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \theta$ oos, whether we regard him as the Apostle or the Elder, may well have excited throughout the province a sentiment of veneration such as had never been felt for the éк $\kappa \rho \omega \mu a^{5}$ of the Apostolic body. It is not surprising that St John is seen to fill and more than fill the place once occupied by St Paul, or that so few traces are left of the great Apostle's work in the Churches of Asia when they emerge to sight again at the end of the first century.
8. That Christianity in Asia was, in the time of the Apocalyptist, a force with which paganism had to reckon is evident from the new attitude which its enemies were beginning to assume towards it-a point to which we shall presently recur. In the larger cities the Christians probably formed an appreciable fraction of the population; Ignatius, some fifteen or twenty years after the date of the Apocalypse, can speak of the $\pi о \lambda u \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon i} a$ of the Ephesian Church ${ }^{6}$. Asia Minor was destined to become the stronghold of Christianity, and in no other province of the Empire was the faith so widely disseminated or represented by so many

[^50][^51]societies ${ }^{1}$ as in the province of Asia. Nevertheless, Asian Christianity, as represented by St John in the Apocalypse, does not create a wholly satisfactory impression. The Churches pass before us, and each is separately reviewed, with varying judgements. If good work is being done at Ephesus, it is not the work of the first days (ii. 4 f.). At Pergamum and Thyatira there is much to be commended, but also something to be censured; in each of these Churches there is a 'Nicolaitan' circle, and at Thyatira its ends are promoted by a local prophetess who is tolerated by the Church (ii. 15,20). At Sardis Christianity is in danger of becoming an empty profession (iii. 1); at Laodicea, the self-satisfaction of commercial prosperity is eating out the heart of Christian humility and love (iii. 15 ff.). Only Smyrna and Philadelphia deserve unmixed praise, and in each case it has been earned under the discipline of suffering (ii. 9 ff., iii. Io). Only at Philadelphia do we seem to hear of progress; before this Church an open door had been set in the great trade-route which connected the town with the highlands of Phrygia, and some attempt had perhaps been made to take advantage of it for missionary work*.

Yet as a whole the Asian Church as seen in the Apocalypse is still holding its own; the notes of faith, love, service, perseverance are to be found everywhere except at Laodicea, and to Laodicea itself a locus poenitentiae is still afforded. The Nicolaitan party has not as yet made great progress ; at Ephesus its practices are regarded by the great body of the Church with detestation (ii. 6

 the Nicolaitan prophetess is merely suffered (ii $20 \dot{a} \phi \varepsilon i \bar{s}$ ). As for Judaism, the purity of the faith was no longer in danger from that cause; the open and bitter antagonism of the Synagogue had opened the eyes of the Christians, and worked for the good of the Church.

[^52][^53]9. The Nicolaitan minority calls for separate consideration. As represented by the Apocalyptist, the party-for it was still

 סoú $\begin{gathered}\text { ous }) ~ t o ~ c o m m i t ~ f o r n i c a t i o n ~ a n d ~ t o ~ e a t ~ f o o d ~ o f f e r e d ~ i n ~ s a c r i f i c e ~\end{gathered}$ to idols (ii. 14, 20); it did the work of Balaam, whose counsels brought on Israel the disaster of Baal-peor; the prophetess who pushed its claims at Thyatira was a second Jezebel, pressing upon the people of God the immoralities of a heathen society. It may be assumed that the Nicolaitans themselves disclaimed any immoral object. Their purpose, it-has been pleaded, was " to effect a reasonable compromise with the established usages of GraecoRoman society"; they taught that Christians ought to remain members of the pagan clubs ${ }^{1}$, and that they might do so without disloyalty to their faith. Such a course, they would argue, involved nothing worse than the abandonment of an obsolete decree. •The Jerusalem decree had been issued' at the first beginning of Gentile Christianity; it had been circulated by St Paul in Pamphylia and Lycaonia (Acts xvi. 4), and doubtless had reached Ephesus. But St Paul himself had permitted at Corinth some modification of the ban against $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda \dot{\delta} \theta v \tau a$, recognizing the liberty of Christians to partake without question of meat which was sold in the markets or set before them at a friend's table, while he insisted that charity to weaker brethren should preclude them from eating an $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda \sigma^{\prime}-$ Ovtov which had been declared to be such or from taking part in a banquet held in a pagan temple (I Cor. viii. Io, x. 25 ff .). It may be presumed that a similar compromise had been reached at Ephesus, and throughout the Pauline Churches. But the minority was dissatisfied. The existing rule excluded members of the Church not only from the public festivals which were the pride of the Ionian cities ${ }^{2}$, but from the private clubs which connected their common meals with sacrificial rites, and met in buildings dedicated to a pagan deity. Those who desired to participate in gatherings of the latter kind might have had much to urge in their defence; it was only by such wise concessions that Christianity

[^54]could hope to leaven the life of these Greek cities; to stand aloof from all social reunions was to incur suspicion and dislike, and such conduct would end in a general uprising against the Church, perhaps in its suppression throughout Asia. These arguments might have been used by the party with more or less of sincerity, but they did not succeed in deceiving the Seer of the Apocalypse. He saw in the Nicolaitan proposals not the mere abandonment of a primitive Church order, not only the adoption of a weak concordat with the pagan society by which the Church was environed, but an indirect attack upon the sanctities of the Christian life. The Jerusalem conference had in its decree brought into juxtapositión the eating of ei $\delta \omega \lambda \dot{\lambda} \theta v \tau a$ and indulgence in sexual impurity ${ }^{1}$ (Acts xv. 20, 27), and John had not lived in a Greek city without becoming aware that the two things were in fact closely bound up together. Pagan festivities were too often occasions of immoralities from which Gentile converts had been rescued with the greatest difficulty. If words meant anything to the writer of the Apocalypse, he regarded the question raised by the Nicolaitans as vital, and the danger as imminent. From participation in a pagan guild-feast to licentiousness was but a step; yet the guilds were bound up with the life of the cities, and to repudiate them was a serious matter for Christians who were engaged in the local trades ${ }^{2}$. When even Christian prophecy, in the person of the Thyatiran Jezebel, was advocating Nicolaitan principles, it was time for the prophet of the Apocalypse to speak with no uncertain voice; and his words (ii. 22 f.), viewed in this light, are not more severe than the occasion demanded.
10. The Nicolaitan controversy raises the whole question of the relation of Christianity in Asia to Paganism at the moment when St Johr wrote. In no part of the Empire was paganism more strenuous or resourceful; and in none, so far as we can judge, was the conflict between the old religion and the new so

[^55][^56]nearly brought to a head. At Ephesus in 54-5 the cry was already raised of Christ or Artemis, and the city of the Artemision had been lashed to a fine fury by the prospect of their great goddess, the worship of Asia and the Empire, being abandoned at the bidding of a Jew. What Artemis was to Ephesus, such was Asklepios to Pergamum; indeed, each of the cities had its local cult of one or more deities, Hellenic in name, but more or less Asiatic in origin and character. These cults were intimately connected with the interests of the local tradesmen and artizans ${ }^{1}$, as, well as of the municipalities and of those in authority; anyone who attacked the religion of an Asian city brought upon himself the illwill of the whole population. The Jews from the time of the Seleucids had been free to follow their own faith and even to make proselytes where they could, and it may have been their policy to preserve the status quo, by shutting their eyes to much that their consciences disapproved. But the new religion was content with nothing less than an active crusade against idolatry ${ }^{2}$; if St Paul and his friends were not iepó $\sigma v \lambda o u$, they were scarcely free, as the grammateus of Ephesus maintained, from the charge of speaking ill of the local deity; whatever Alexander the Jew may have had to say in his defence (Acts xix. 38), the Christian Apostle could scarcely have urged this plea. What happened at Ephesus in St Paul's time must have happened, mutatis mutandis, in all Asian cities where Christianity gained an entrance. Everywhere in Asia it found itself opposed to a religious system which was deeply rooted in the affections and supported by the interests of the citizens, and which entered into every department of social and commercial life. Sooner or later an open conflict was inevitable. When the Apocalypse was written the conflict had begun all along the line.

[^57]
## VII.

## ANTICHRIST IN THE PROVINCE OF ASIA DURING THE FIRST CENTURY.

I. The conflict which in the days of the Apocalyptist lay before the Christians of Asia was more than an encounter with the prejudices or the interests of their fellow-townsmen, due to an attempt to substitute a new religion for a long-established cult. Two empires ${ }^{1}$ were about to meet in mortal combat: the Kingdom of God represented by the Church, the World-power represented by Rome. As the struggle revealed itself to the eyes of the Seer, it was a war of the Christ with the Antichrist.

Within the limits of the New Testament, the word 'Antichrist' occurs only in the Epistles of St John; cf. I Jo. ii. 18 ка $\alpha \omega$ 由s





 Antichrist is represented as finding a fulfilment in the docetic views of the person of Christ which were prevalent in St John's time, and I Jo. iv. 3 is accordingly quoted against the docetic schools of the second century by Polycarp (Phil. 7) and Irenaeus (iii. 16. 6, 8). Irenaeus, however, uses the name 'Antichrist' in connexion with eschatological speculations based on 2 . Thess. ii. (Iren. iii. 7. I, v. 25. I) and on the Apocalypse (Iren. v. 26. iff.), and from Irenaeus this use of the word descended to Hippolytus, whose
 is in our hands.

[^58]2. It is remarkable that a word so "characteristic of the School of St John ${ }^{1}$ " does not appear in the Apocalypse, where it might have served the writer's purpose in more than one passage. That the conception of a personal Antichrist existed among the Christians of Asia in the first century is certain from I John ii. 18 . Doubtless they had 'heard' it from the prophets, and the prophets had inherited the idea if not the word from the Synagogue. Whether the germ of the idea is to be found with Gunkel in the Babylonian myth of Marduk and Tiầmat, or in Daniel's presentation of Antiochus Epiphanes², Jewish apocalypses of the first century shew that the Messianic hope of the time rested on a dark background of forebodings aroused by the expectation of an anti-Messiah ${ }^{3}$. A corresponding development of the doctrine of Antichrist is to be found in Christian circles during the Apostolic age.

The locus classicus in the Epistles is 2 Thess. ii. 3 ff. Here the final antagonist of the Christ is described as of avepienos

 trast with that of the Christ; it is kar' evépycuav rove aavavâ, not
 truth. But his doom is sure ; the Christ will prevail ; the 'Law-


 this person (for such he certainly seems to be) is delayed by some
 apparently been explained by the Apostle when he was at Thessalonica (tò кaté $\chi$ ov oüठ̈atc), though for some good reason he is unwilling to commit it to writing. Meanwhile, the principle of duonia is already at work.

Assuming that 2 Thess. is a genuine work of St Paul, it is one of his earlier Epistles, and may be placed in the last years of Claudius (48-49, Harnack; 53, Zahn). 'O кат' $\chi^{\omega \nu}$ is perhaps the reigning Emperor, and tò кatéxov his policy. As for the ávouos, the conception is based partly on the O.T., and partly it is suggested by the memory of the late Emperor Gaius, and his mad attempt to set up a statue of himself in the Temple at Jerusalem. When Claudius was gone, a new Emperor might return to Caligula's folly

[^59]or surpass it, and prove himself a very Antichrist. But there is nothing in the Apostle's words which compels the belief that Nero was in his thoughts, or even that he consciously connected the Antichrist with a future Emperor. All that he definitely foretells is the advent of a great antichristian power after the removal of the existing bar, and before the second Advent of the Lord.

An earlier Christian apocalypse, based on the teaching of Christ and now embodied in the Synoptic Gospels (Mc. xiii. = Mt. xxiv. $=$ Lc. xxi), may have been already in circulation when 2 Thess. was written. It speaks of the 'Abomination of Desolation' " standing
 place" (Mt.) ${ }^{1}$. St Luke substitutes for this the paraphrase, "When ye see Jerusalem compassed with armies, then know that her desolation is at hand," i.e., writing after the fall of the city, he interprets the prophecy as fulfilled in the investment of Jerusalem by Titus. But whatever may be intended by the $\beta \delta \delta^{\prime} \lambda_{u} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\eta}_{s}$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$, it is difficult to overlook the general resemblance

 been almost realized under Caligula, and the Apostle looked forward to its full realization, perhaps in the near future; to St Luke, who outlived St Paul, the day seemed to have come when the city was invested by the Roman general.
3. In the Apocalypse another stage is reached. Assuming that the book in its present form belongs, as Irenaeus states, to the end of Domitian's reign, the follies of Caligula, the atrocities of Nero, and the victory of Titus ${ }^{2}$ belong to the past; a quarter of a century separates the fall of Jerusalem from the vision of Patmos. New developments call for new conceptions of the antichristian power, and to St John, guided by his recollections of the Book of Daniel, it assumes the form of a Wild Beast. Two Wild Beasts are mentioned in c. xiii., but the second does not retain the name; he reappears in a later chapter as the False Prophet; from ce xii. II the first Wild Beast, whose prophet he is, receives the title roे $\theta_{\eta p i o y}$ to the exclusion of his subordinate, and if we may use a word which the writer of the Apocalypse perhaps intentionally lays aside, this first Wild Beast is the Antichrist of St John's vision. To him belongs the mystic number 666; it is

[^60]he who like St Paul's ävouos ${ }^{1}$ is worshipped by the world, and sets his mark on his worshippers; it is on him that the new Babylon reposes; it is he who is at last seized and cast with his prophet into the lake of fire. In one important particular, however, St John has made an advance upon St Paul. The Apocalyptic Beast vanishes before the final parousia; a long interval appears to intervene between his disappearance and the end, during which the forces of evil muster round Satan himself, who is thus the ultimate antagonist of Christ and of the Church.
4. Who or what is the Beast of the Apocalypse? Sometimes he seems to be regarded as personal (e.g. xvii. 8, if); at other times we appear to be dealing with an impersonal abstraction (xiii. I ff., xvii. $3,7 \mathrm{f}$ ). The same phenomenon has been observed in the Synoptic apocalypse and in St Paul's prophecy of the Man of Sin, and the obvious explanation is that in each case the writer means to represent a principle which finds its illustration and works itself out in individuals. If the line of interpretation adopted in the present commentary be accepted, the Apocalypse refers in terms which are necessarily obscure to Nero and Domitian as successive embodiments of the Beast; the Beast itself is properly the hostile World-power which was identified with the Roman Empire, and personified in the first two persecuting Emperors.
"Two Empires, two social organizations, designed to embrace the whole world, started together in the first century....In principle, in mode of action, in sanctions, in scope; in history they offer an absolute contrast.... The history of the Roman Empire is from the first the history of a decline and fall...the history of the Christian Empire is from the first the history of a victorious progress ${ }^{2}$." The antithesis which is set forth in these eloquent words may not have been observed at first; it does not appear in our Lord's attitude towards the Roman rule in Judaea, or in the teaching of St Paul upon the duty of Cbristians towards civil rulers, or even in St Paul's prophecy, where the Empire and the Emperor are viewed in the light of a protecting rather

[^61]than a hostile force. St Peter's Epistle is probably later than the outbreak of the Neronian persecution, but it reinforces St Paul's appeal for loyalty. There was obviously no ground for the charge of disloyalty which the Jews brought against our Lord before Pilate (Jo. xix. 12), and against Paul and Silas before the Thessalonian politarchs (Acts xvii. 5 ff .). It was not on the side of the Church that the quarrel began ${ }^{2}$; in all probability it would never have begun had not Rome provoked it by aggressive measures which the Church could not but resent.
5. Nero opened hostilities in 64, initiating a policy of persecution which was not formally abandoned during the rest of the century. The circumstances are thus described by Tacitus ${ }^{2}$ and Suetonius:

Tac. Aun. xv. 44 "non ope humana, non largitionibus principis aut deum placamentis decedebat infamia, quin iussum incendium crederetur. ergo abolendo rumori Nero subdidit reos, et quaesitissimis poenis affecit quos per flagitia invisos vulgus Christianos appellabat. ...igitur primum correpti qui fatebantur ${ }^{3}$, deinde indicio eorum multitudo ingens, haud perinde in crimine incendii quam odio humani generis coniuncti (corr. convieti) sunt. et pereuntibus addita ludibria, ut ferarum tergis contecti laniatu canum interirent, aut crucibus affixi, aut flammandi, atque ubi defecisset dies in usum nocturni luminis urerentur. hortos suos ei spectaculo Nero obtulerat et circense ludicrum edebat, habitu aurigae permixtus plebi vel curriculo insistens. unde quamquam adversus sontes et novissima exempla meritos miseratio oriebatur, tamquam non utilitate publica sed in saevitiam unius absumerentur." Suet. Nero 16 " multa sub eo et animadversa severe et coercita...aflicti suppliciis Christiani, genus hominum superstitionis novae ac maleficae."
It is evident that Tacitus, who certainly held no. brief for the Christian faith, represents Nero as the real author of the outrage. It took the form of a police measure, as Suetonius says, but in the first instance it was simply a device for screening the Emperor's own infamy. Christians already had a bad name with the Roman populace, but no attack would have been made upon their lives had not Nero sacrificed them to save himself. When he proceeded to offer the use of the Vatican Gardens for the

[^62]

NERO.
From a bust in the British Museum.
executions and to mix with the spectators, even the Roman mob recognized the brutality (saevitiam) of his conduct.
6. Even if Nero had desired to abandon the policy of persecution, it would have been difficult for him to do so. The words of Suetonius suggest that notwithstanding the reaction brought about by the Emperor's callousness, repressive measures continued in force. Sulpicius Severus, who wrote in the fourth century, may be confusing later times with those of Nero when he writes (chron. ii. 29) in reference to the latter: " post etiam datis legibus religio vetabatur, palamque edictis propositis Christianum esse non licebati," but be is certainly right in adding with regard to the atrocities of 64, "hoc initio in Christianos saeviri coeptum"; and, as Lightfoot points out, when once persecution had begun the Roman Jews, with Poppaea Sabina at their back, would scarcely have been content to let it cease altogether. The martyrdoms of St Peter and St Paul are connected with Nero by Tertullian (scorp. 15) and Origen ( $a p$. Eus. H.E. iii. I), and those Apostles were but the leaders of a great army of martyrs ${ }^{2}$. The horrors of that first onslaught on the Roman Christians must have made a lasting impression on the Churches throughout the Empire; and the man who had exhausted every form of cruelty in his sudden attack upon an innocent community and had revelled in the agonies of his victims may well have become among Christians everywhere the symbol of brute force triumphing over righteousness and truth, of the World-power standing in direct antithesis to the Kingdom of God-in a word, of Antichrist, or to use St John's image, of the Beast.
7. So strong was the impression made by the personality of Nero upon the Roman world that after his violent death in 68 there were many who believed or professed to believe that he was still alive. While some of his friends year after year strewed his tomb with the flowers of spring and summer, others issued edicts in his name and professed that he would shortly return to the con-

[^63][^64]fusion of his enemies ${ }^{1}$. More than one pretender claimed to be a Nero returned from his wanderings, or even restored to life ${ }^{2}$. There were those who whispered that the great Emperor was hiding in Parthia, and would some day cross the borders at the head of a Parthian host. The Christian prophet would not, of course, give credit to these stories, but they served to supply some of the features of his symbolism. The Beast is represented as simulating the Resurrection and Return of the Christ; his deadly wound has been healed (xiii. 3); he is coming again ${ }^{3}$. Nero is doubly an Antichrist; the historical Nero persecuted the Church, the Nero of popular myth caricatured ${ }^{4}$ the faith. The legend, indeed, was not without a counterpart of historical fact. When the Apocalypse was written, Nero had in truth returned in the person of Domitian (xvii. II).
8. The brief reigns of Galba, Otho, and Vitellius are of no interest to the student of the struggle between the Empire and the Church and may be left out of his reckoning, as StJohn leaves them out in Apoc. xvii. io, where Vespasian follows immediately after Nero. With Vespasian the Flavian house ${ }^{6}$ entered on a spell of power which lasted for more than a quarter of a century. Its policy, in the belief of Professor Ramsay, was strongly antichristian. Attention is called to a passage in Severus Sulpicius, probably derived from the lost Histories of Tacitus ${ }^{6}$, in which the chronicler describing a council of war held after the fall of Jerusalem says (ii. 30): "alii et Titus ipse evertendum in primis templum censebant quo plenius Judaeorum et Christianorum religio tollatur...Christianos ex Judaeis extitisse; radice sublata stirpem facile perituram." This, if trustworthy, assigns a reason for a Flavian policy adrerse to the Church, and the pint dropt by Hilary (c. Arian. 3) that Vespasian was among the Imperial per-
${ }^{1}$ Suet. Nero 57 , ef. Lightfoot, Clement, ii. p. 5 II.
${ }_{2}$ Of. Renan, L'Antéchrist, pp. 317 ff., 35 ff .
${ }_{3}$ Apoc. xvii. $8 \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau a l . \quad$ Cf. 2 Thess.
 то $\hat{\imath}$ батарâ.

* The dutiरpuotos is not a mere dutlסukos or inficeluevos, but an adversary who consciously or not simnlates the
character of the Christ. Compare aurlkaloap (representative of the Emperor), a. word which passed into Aramaic (Dalmen, Förterbuch, s.v.).
${ }^{5}$ Vespasian 69-79, Titus 79-81, Domitian 8r-g6.
${ }^{6}$ Ramsay, Church in the R. Empire, pp. 253. 256 . Cf. Lightfoot, Ignatius, i p. 15 .
secutors makes in the same direction ${ }^{1}$. But neither statement carries us far. It is only when we reach the third and last of the Flavian Emperors that there is indubitable evidence of a revival on a large scale of Nero's attitude towards the Christians.

Lightfoot has collected a catena of passages which justify the belief that Domitian was the second great persecutor ${ }^{2}$. One reference to his persecuting policy is contemporary : Clement of Rome
 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma-w o r d s$ which, as Lightfoot shews, accurately describe the capricious and reiterated attacks which distinguished this Emperor's policy in reference to the Church ${ }^{3}$. It is perhaps due to the feline stealthiness and rapidity with which Domitian dealt his blows that so few details remain. The names of two of his victims at Rome are preserved, and the facts are significant. T. Fliavius Clemens, a cousin of the Emperor, had but just quitted the consulship, in which he had been Domitian's colleague, when he was arrested and put to deatk; while his wife Domitilla, Domitian's niece, was banished to one of the islands off the coast of Campania-Pontia or Pandateria-where political prisoners were detained. Suetonius ${ }^{4}$ contents himself with saying that Flavius Clemens, whom he designates contemptissimae inertiae, was put to death repente, ex tenuissima suspicione; but from Dio Cassius ${ }^{5}$ we learn that the charge brought against both husband and wife was

 $\mu \grave{y} \nu$ à $\pi e^{\prime} \theta a \nu o \nu$. Putting the data together, it is natural to infer that Fl. Clemens and his wife suffered for their Christian faith, and that they were by no means the only victims of Domitian's hostility to 'Jewish' ways ${ }^{6}$. But this attack on the members of the Roman

[^65][^66]Church, which seems to have been limited to a few leaders of Roman society, does not fully explain the position which Domitian holds in Christian tradition among Imperial persecutors of the faith. It is not only from Rome that the evidence comes, but from Sardis, whose bishop Melito writes to the Emperor Antoninus (Eus. H.E. iv. 26) : $\mu$ ó $\nu o \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̀ \nu a \pi \epsilon c \sigma \theta e ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ i ́ \pi o ́ ~$

 the Roman Church was notorious, but was Melito likely to have coupled Domitian with him as a persecutor if the latter Emperor's actions had been limited to a few arrests and executions at Rome near the end of his reign? Is it not probable that the Asian Churches felt his hand, perhaps some years earlier? And do not the words suggest a cause for Domitian's antichristian policy in Asia which is entirely in accord with the conditions described in the Apocalypse?
9. It is known that Domitian went beyond his predecessor in asserting his own divinity: "cum procuratorum suorum nomine formalem dictaret epistulam sic coepit: Dominus et Deus noster hoc fieri iubet ${ }^{1}$." The history of this extraordinary claim is instructive, and must be given here as briefly as may be; for fuller details reference may be made to G. Boissier, La religion romaine (Paris, 1900), i. pp. 109-186; G. Wissowa, Religion u. Kultus der Römer (Munich, 1902), pp. $71-78$ 280-289; V. Chapot, op. cit., p. 419 ff .

As early as the second century before Christ a complimentary cult of the genius of Rome or the dea Roma had begun in the provinces; there was a templum urbis Romae at Smyrna in B.c.
 tioned by name in a compact between Sardis and Ephesus about B.c. $98^{2}$. A new development of this cult sprang up with the rise of the Empire, when the majesty of Rome took a concrete form in the person of the princeps. After the apotheosis of Julius Caesar

[^67][^68]

DOMITIAN.
From the Statue in Munich
(after Dr J. J. Bernoulli).
(29 B.c.) a temple of Dea Roma and Divus Julius was erected at Ephesus ${ }^{1}$. Augustus had no need to wait for an apotheosis; during his lifetime temples were erected under the dedication $\theta \in a \hat{s}$
 to build one in honour of Tiberius, the example of Augustus was quoted (Tac. ann. iv. 37 "cum divus Augustus sibi atque urbi Romae templum apud Pergamum sisti non prohibuisset"). Both Augustus and Tiberius kept the new cult within limits; at Rome no temple was dedicated to either Emperor within his lifetime: Tiberius allowed only one Augusteum to be erected in his honour within the province of Asia, and refused to permit Spain to follow the example of the Asian cities. Gaius, who succeeded him, was a man of another and a weaker type; epileptic, often on the verge of insanity, incapable of self-control, he had in early life imbibed from Herod Agrippa ${ }^{\text {s }}$ a vicious taste for Oriental magnificence. The precedent sparingly allowed by his predecessors offered this prince a welcome opportunity of self-aggrandisement; as a god he could surround himself with more than royal display. Gaius carried his pretensions to a point at which they became at once ridiculous and dangerous; he removed the heads of famous statues and substituted his own: he attempted to erect a statue of himself in the Holy of holies at Jerusalem. The Alexandrian Jews were forced to admit the Emperor's image into their synagogues, and if the Church did not suffer, it was probably because she had as yet no buildings set apart for worship, and was not sufficiently powerful to attract attentioc. The "furious Caligula," as Gibbon rightly designates him, might have gone to even greater lengths, had not his reign been cut short by assassination (41). Claudius, if no better than Gaius, was saner, and during his reign, there was no fresh attempt to force the Emperor-worship on the Jews, unless indeed something of this kind, in which the Roman Christians were also involved, is suggested by the well-known

[^69][^70]words of Suetonius ${ }^{1}$ : "Iudaeos impulsore Chresto assidue tumultuantes Roma expulit." It is not clear why the Roman Jews or Jewish Christians should have given trouble on any other ground. We read, too, of a temple erected in honour of Claudius at Camulodunum in Britain, which was regarded as indicating that the Romans had come to stay and to rule ${ }^{2}$. Yet if the Imperial cult went on under Claudius, there is no evidence that it was encouraged by him. After death Claudius received his apotheosis ${ }^{3}$, but amidst shouts of ridicule which are voiced in the Apotheosis of Seneca. Nero, on the other hand, might easily have made good a claim of this kind. No Emperor on the whole made so deep an impression, a circumstance due to the dramatic power and consciousness of something approaching to genius which remained with him to the last; qualis artifex pereo! Quite early in his life in an
 But he was not tempted like his predecessors to imagine himself divine, preferring to gain credit for brilliant endowments of a human type. He shrank from the title of Divus and the erection of temples in his honour, because they seemed to forebode the approach of death, and Nero loved life better than a shadowy immortality ${ }^{4}$. No such feelings held back Domitian from pressing his claims to Divine honours. He found a gloomy and perhaps a cynical pleasure in the shouts which greeted his arrival at the amphitheatre with Domitia; domino et dominae feliciter ${ }^{5}$. Uuable to rouse enthusiasm or admiration, he could insist on being regarded as a god ${ }^{6}$.

Io. The province of Asia accepted with acclamation the new cult of Rome and the Emperor. For more than 200 years Rome had been mistress in Asia, and on the whole she had contributed to the prosperity of her great province; but the provincials had suffered from the extortions of greedy officials, and from the days

[^71]inter homines desierit."
${ }^{6}$ Suet. Domitian. 13.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. the form of oath quoted by Wissowa, p. 7 r: "per Iovem et divom Augustum... et genium imperatoris Caesaris Domitiani Augusti deosque penates."
of Augustus the paincipatus had been hailed by the Asian towns as their salvation. "Inscription after inscription testifies to the loyalty of the cities towards the Empire. At Ephesus, at Smyrna, at Pergamum, and indeed throughout the province the Church was confronted by an imperialism which was popular and patriotic, and bore the character of a religion. Nowhere was the Caesarcult more popular than in Asia ${ }^{2}$. The Augusteum ( $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \tau \varepsilon \hat{i} o \nu$ ), or Temple of Rome ${ }^{8}$ and the Augusti, had long taken its place among the public buildings of the greater cities. 'Augustus, as we have seen, refused Divine honours at Rome, but permitted a temple to be dedicated to dea Roma and himself at Pergamum. The other Asian cities followed the precedent set by the old capital. In A.D. 26 they vied with each other for the honour of building a temple to 'Tiberius, when Smyrna gained the coveted distinction over the head of Eiphesus, on the ground that the latter already possessed the Artemision'. Ephesus, not to be outdone by her neighbour, erected an Augusteum, probably to Claudius, and thus acquired the title of $\nu \epsilon \omega \kappa \dot{o} \rho \rho_{5}^{5}$ of the Imperial worship. These local temples were not of merely local interest; their affairs were managed by the provincial league known as the Commune Asiae ( $\tau \dot{o}$ кotvò $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ 'A $\sigma a^{\prime} a \varsigma$ ), whose president was styled Asiarch, and
 the Asiarch to direct the worship of the Augusti throughout the province, and to preside at games which were held quinquennially in the cities where Augustea had been erected ${ }^{7}$. Such festivals are known to have been celebrated from time to time at five of the

[^72]4 Tac. ann. iv. 55.
5 M. Chapot (p. 450) gives a useful list of the towns of Asia which possessed the neocorate, with the reigns or dates when it was received.
${ }^{6}$ On these titles see Lightfoot, Ignatius, iii. p. $4^{\circ} 4$ fi. ; Chapot, pp. 454 482 ; Pauly-Wissowa, s.vv.

7 Each of the cities had its local high priest of the Angustan cult, who seems also to have had the style of 'Asiarch,' though he was supreme only in the lacal Angusteum. See Lightfoot. P. 415 ; Hicks, p. 87.
seven cities addressed in the Apocalypse, namely, at Ephesus, Smyrna, Pergamum, Sardis, and Philadelphia ${ }^{1}$.

A system such as this, it is obvious, supplied machinery which could at any time be used against the Church with fatal facility. To refuse worship to Artemis or Asklepios was to decline a local cult; to refuse it to the statue of the Emperor at a time when the whole city was taking part in festivities organized by the Commune, was to expose oneself to the charge of disloyalty both to the provincial authorities and to the Emperor. Our only wonder is that this charge had not been laid against the Christians of Asia in the time of Claudius or of $\mathrm{Nero}^{2}$; perbaps there is a trace of such an anti-Christian movement in the reference to the days when Antipas suffered at Pergamum ${ }^{3}$, the earliest centre of the Caesar-worship, but of any general persecution under Nero there is no evidence ${ }^{4}$. Yet it is easy to understand that when Domitian's desire for Divine honours became known in Asia, the zealous provincials would resent more keenly than before the abstention of Christian citizens from the games instituted in honour of the Augusti, and the situation would become threatening. It is just this position of affairs which the Apocalypse represents; the Beast of whom Christians spoke with bated breath as 'number 666' had returned; already the markets were closed against buyers and sellers who did not bear his mark (xiii. 17), and there were rumours in the air of an approaching massacre (ib. 15). For this the Apocalypse is, it is true, our only authority, and its witness is given in an enigmatic form which cannot always be interpreted with certainty; but the main features of its story are plain enough,
${ }^{1}$ Even the calendar shewed traces of the new cult. "César a son mois, son jour comme Aphrodite; l'époque de as venue au monde inaugura l'année. Ce sont des commencements qui préparent le vrai culte" (Chapot, p. 394).
${ }^{2}$ This seems to follow not only from the silence of St Luke, but from St Paul's friendship with Asiarchs.
${ }^{3}$ It is significant that while Antipas was martyred at Pergamum, it was at Smyria, the second centre of the Augustan cult, that trouble was imminent when St John wrote (ii. IO).

[^73]and they accord with what is known of life in Asia during the first century, and of Domitian's general policy.
II. With the Beast from the sea, the hostile World-power represented by Nero and Domitian, St John associates a Beast from the land, a power no less hostile to the Church, which has its origin and home in Asia itself. This second Beast allies itself with the first, especially in the matter of the worship of the Augusti; indeed the first Beast is represented as leaving the affairs of the Emperor-cult entirely in the hands of the second. The Beast from the land works miracles ${ }^{1}$ in support of the new cult, calling down fre from heaven, and causing the statues of the Emperor to speak (xiii. I3, 15); he is the 'false prophet of the Imperial religion, and imposes on the credulity of the populace, whom he sets against the Christian recusants (ib. 12, 14 ff , 17 , xix. 20). By the second Beast Professor Ramsay ${ }^{2}$ understands "the Province of Asia in its double aspect of civil and religious administration, the Proconsul and the Commune"; in this commentary the Beast from the land is identified with the False Prophet, and regarded as the religious power represented by the Asiarch and the priesthood of the Asian temples of the Augusti; while in the $\sigma \eta \mu \in i \bar{a}$ which he works we recognize the use of the magical arts for which Asia and Ephesus in particular were notorious. The magic formulae known as 'Eф'́ $\sigma \iota a$ rүá $\mu \mu a \tau a^{8}$ had a worldwide reputation, and one of the earliest conquests which the Gospel achieved at Ephesus was the destruction of costly books which contained them ${ }^{4}$. It is noteworthy that фappacia is named immediately after ci $\delta \omega \lambda$ д $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i a$ in the Epistle to the Galatians (v. 20) ${ }^{\text {s }}$, while the Apocalypse (xxi. 8, cf. xxii. I5) places фар $\mu a \kappa o i$,


Christianity, it is evident, set its face against magic from the first; paganism, on the other hand, had no serious quarrel with it; the cultivated Roman gentlemen who administered the provinces of

[^74]the Empire did not always scorn the attentions of the professional magus ${ }^{1}$; even Emperors were credited with dabbling in their
 we see one of these conjurors on tour, and though St John's $\psi \in v \delta o-$ $\pi \rho \circ \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{\tau}$ is probably not an individual, but a class or system, it may be assumed that such travelling mountebanks were used to negotiate the wonders described in Apoc. siii. ${ }^{3}$ At Ephesus there were fourteen $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \varphi \delta \sigma^{4}$ attached to the temple of the Augusti, who are supposed by Canon Hicks to correspond with the $\dot{v} \mu \nu \varrho \delta o i$ or choirmen of the Artemision; their official name admits of this explanation, but it may also mean 'oracle-chanters,'- a name under which dealers in magic might well have been concealed. But however this may have been, it is obvious that the Church was hard pressed in Asia by the magic-mongers, and it is easy to imagine the effect of their lying wonders on an excitable population already predisposed to the Imperial cult and impatient of Christianity. Nothing was needed to light the fires of persecution but a word from the Emperor or the Proconsul, and when they were kindled, it would be long, as the prophet of the Apocalypse foresaw, before the peace of the Church was restored in Asia or in the Roman world.
12. One more force which made against the Kingdom of Christ in Asia must be mentioned here. The Jews of Asia Minor had been numerous from the days when Antiochus III sent 2000 families of the eastern Dispersion to settle in Lydia and Phrygia. ${ }^{5}$. In Cicero's time tribute went to the Temple at Jerusalem from Jews in Adramyttium, Pergamum, Laodicea, and Apamea, and there is evidence from other quarters that in the first century there were Jews resident also at Smyrna, Magnesia, Tralles, Sardis, and Thyatira ${ }^{6}$. In the year of the Crucifixion worshippers came to Jerusalem at the feast of Pentecost from

[^75][^76]Cappadocia, Pontus, Asia, Phrygia, and Pamphylia ${ }^{1}$. At Salamis in Cyprus, at Antioch in Pisidia, at Iconium, at Ephesus, St Paul feund synagogues, and in these synagogues he began his work. But the Asiatic Jews did not assimilate the new teaching; its popularity with Gentiles and proselytes aroused their suspicion and, as the writer of the Acts suggests, their jealousy ${ }^{2}$. In the Apocalypse the breach between the Synagogue and the Church is seen to be complete; the churches of Smyrna and Philadelphia have to bear the blasphemies of those who "affirm themselves to be Jews and are not," a synagogue which is not God's but "Satan's'." The Jews were protected by special privilege from molestation in the exercise of their faith ${ }^{4}$. Under Caligula indeed they had suffered severely for their opposition to the Caesar-cult ${ }^{5}$, but the persecution ended with the death of the Emperor ; under Domitian no attempt was made to enforce a worship which neither compulsion nor persuasion would have brought them to accept. Nevertheless, they had no scruple in turning the attention of the populace and the authorities to the resistance which the less favoured Christians offered to the Imperial religion. At the martyrdom of Polycarp it was noticed that the Jews of Smyrna not only made common cause with the heathen but outdid them in efforts to prepare fuel for the stake, and the Martyrdom notes that this was their wont ${ }^{3}$. Yet Polycarp was condemned for refusing to swear by the genius ( $\tau v^{\prime} \chi \eta$ ) of Caesar ${ }^{\tau}$, an act which the Jews should have been able to appreciate. This was in the year 155 (Harnack), but the attitude of the Asian Jew towards Christianity had been determined at least seventy years before. The Synagogue of Satan played the part of the great Adversary; it not only rejected Christ, but did its best by slander and delation and, when the opportunity was afforded, by cooperation with the pagan mob, to bring about the destruction of the Asian Church.

[^77]
## VIII.

## PURPOSE OF THE APOCALYPSE.

I. The Apocalypse of John is the letter of an exiled prophet to the Christian congregations to which he has ministered. He writes under the conviction that he has a message for them from the Supreme Prophet and Pastor of the Church, and his primary purpose is to deliver this message. It has come to him in the way of revelation, and under the form of a succession of visions, and he delivers it as it was given; his letter consists entirely of visions and revelations of the Lord, which he has been not only permitted but commanded to transmiti. But, as the style proclaims aloud, it is not, like some of the later apocalypses, a literary effort, appealing to readers generally without regard to special circumstances. It is a genuine outcome of the time, written with a view to the special needs of a particular group of Christian societies; it portrays the life of those societies, and ministers to their spiritual necessities. In form it is an epistle, containing an apocalyptic prophecy; in spirit and inner purpose, it is a pastoral.
2. Each of the Churches of Asia had difficulties peculiar to itself, and these problems are treated first. The first three chapters, which have seemed to some critics to have no real coherence with the rest of the book, are in fact occupied with this preliminary task. The glorified Head of Christendom is revealed as visiting the Churches, and taking note of their several conditions; and the so-called 'letters to the Churches' record the results of His inspection. Nothing in the book is more remarkable than the precision with which these separate messages differentiate be-

[^78]tween Church and Church, as the searchlight of the Spirit ${ }^{1}$ is turned upon each in succession. Only two of the Churches escape reproof: the strenuous commercial life and the material prosperity of the Asian cities have had their natural effect upon the Christian minorities, which were in the sight of the Bishop of souls suffering from this cause even more severely than from the slanders of the Jews or the menaces of the heathen. At Ephesus the standard of Christian life, though still high, had been sensibly lowered; at Laodicea the Church was lukewarm and supercilious, at Sardis it was spiritually dead. And not only is the spiritual condition 'of each society diagnosed, but the circumstances'are carefully distinguished. At Smyrna and Philadelphia the Jews are specially hostile; at Pergamum and Thyatira trouble has been caused by the Nicolaitans. At every turn the messages to the Churches shew local knowledge; some of the allusions which have not yet received a satisfactory explanation will doubtless yield their secret to a fuller knowledge of the history and antiquities of Asia. The business of the prophet is with the particular Church to which for the moment attention is called, and which would recognize at once the force of his words. It is enough for the general reader if he grasps the spiritual lesson which is to be found in these messages by everyone who has an ear to hear it.
3. After c. iii. the separate interests of the Churches pass out of sight. The visions which follow open wider fields of view that embrace the whole Church and the whole of human history, reaching to the consummation and the Coming of the Lord. But the Asian Churches are not forgotten, even if they are not mentioned again till near the end (xxii. 16, 21). Their spiritual dangers are probably in view throughout the book, but especially in passages where the vices of heathenism are condemned and the faithful are warned against participation in them ${ }^{3}$, or reminded of their obligation to keep themselves pure ${ }^{3}$. And the whole

[^79]series of visions which begins with c. iv. is in effect an answer to the forebodings by which the faithful in Asia were harassed in view of the gathering forces of Antichrist. The Churches of Asia knew themselves to be on the brink of an encounter with the greatest power the world had seen. The subject of $c c$. iv.-xxii. is the course and issue of the struggle, and the purpose of these chapters is to strengthen faith and kindle hope in the hearts of the faithful. In the light of the revelation vouchsafed to him the prophet John sees clearly that an age of persecution is beginning, and that it will affect not only the Churches of Asia, but the Church throughout the Roman world. How long it will last he does not say; in the earlier visions it seems to run on to the consummation, but in the later great reaches of time are seen to intervene between the end of the pagan power and the end of the existing order. The light grows as the Seer looks, and the issue becomes more and more distinct; Babylon falls, the Beast and the False Prophet receive their doom, Satan himself is finally consigned to destruction, and the City of God descends from heaven, idealized and glorious, as becomes the Bride of the glorified Christ. The final outcome of the struggle between the Church and the World, the Chirist and the Antichrist, is postponed to the last two chapters, but there are anticipations of it all along the course of the book: in the promises with which each of the seven messages to the Churches ends; in the vision of the innumerable multitude before the Throne of God; in the vision of the 144,000 virgin-souls upon. Mount Zion. The whole book is a Sursum corda, inviting the Churches to seek strength in the faith of a triumphant and returning Christ. In vain the Ancient Enemy stirs up trouble; in vain the Beast from the sea sets up his image, and the Beast from the land compels men under pain of outlawry or death to worship it. The seal of the living God secures those who refuse the mark of the Beast; the martyrs are conquerors, and shall not be hurt of the Second Death; their names are in the Book of life. Blessed are the dead which die in the Lord from henceforth,...they rest from their labours, for their works follow with them; after the fall of their great enemy
they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years; they shall enter through the gates into the City; the Lord God shall give them light; they shall reign for ever and ever.
4. Of the immediate effect of the Apocalypse upon the Asian Churches we cannot judge; certainly they weathered the storm, for in the next Christian writing which comes to us from Asia, the Letters of Ignatius, they are represented as large and flourishing communities. The storm itself passed within two or three years after the date which Irenaeus assigns to the Apocalypse; Domitian was assassinated Sept. 18, 96, and the accession of Nerva probably gave peace to the Asian Churches. Trajan, who succeeded in the January of 98, seems to have taken no active measures before A.D. 112 , when his attention was directed by the younger Pliny to the extraordinary progress of Christianity in Bithynia. Perhaps it may be safely inferred that in the interval between 96 and 112 the danger threatened by the Caesarworship ceased to be pressing, and for the moment the need of comfort such as the Apocalypse offered was less keenly felt. But what St John had written in the Spirit for the times of Domitian and the Churches of Asia remained as a heritage for all suffering Churches throughout the Empire. An early example of the helpfulness of the book to Christians under persecution has survived in the Epistle of the Churches of Vienne and Lyons, written in 177 to their brethren in Asia and Phrygia, which bears many signs of the use of the Apocalypse by the Christian societies of South Gaul during the troubles in the reign of Marcus Aurelius. It quotes or alludes to Apoc. i. 5, iii. I4, xiv. 4, xxii. II ${ }^{1}$. It is impossible to doubt that the roll which contained St John's great letter to the parent Churches in Asia was often in the hands of the daughter Churches in Gaul, and perhaps accompanied the confessors to the prisons where they awaited the martyr's crown.
5. There is some reason for believing that the writer of the Apocalypse, before his work was ended, realized that the book might find a larger field of service than the Churches of Asia or even the Churches of the Empire could offer. In the early chapters
$$
{ }^{1} \text { Eus. H. E. v. 1. 10, } 57 ; 2.3 .
$$
it is clear that St John writes with a view to his message being read aloud in the local Church assemblies : blessed is he that readeth and they that hear the words of this prophecy; he that hath an ear, let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the Churches ${ }^{1}$. Beyond the transmission of the letter for reading in neighbouring Churches, the prophet contemplates no circulation of his book; his message is to the Churches of Asia, and he is content to be the means of conveying it to them. But when he reaches the end a presentiment seems to enter his mind that the book will live: I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto them, God shall add unto him the plagues which are written in this book; and if any man shall take away... God shall take away his part from the tree of life ${ }^{2}$. The primary destination of the Apocalypse is still kept in view: it is to the hearer rather than the reader that the Apocalyptist makes his final appeal. Yet the appeal seems to imply an expectation that the book will be copied and circulated for wider reading. The words are based on two passages in Deuteronomy, and they practically place the Apocalypse on a level with the Torah and anticipate for it. a place among the Scriptures of the Charch. St John knew himself to be a prophet, and his writing to be a prophecy; that he was commanded to consign his visions to a book was an assurance to him that their purpose would not be fulfilled in one generation or in two. He sees the book going down to posterity, and like the Deuteronomist he endeavours to guard it against interpolation and excision. As he writes the last words upon the papyrus roll that lies upon his knee, the conviction dawns upon him that the Revelation of Jesus Ohrist was given for the warning and comfort of the whole Church to the end of time.

[^80]
## IX.

## DATE.

I. Early Christian tradition is almost unanimous in assigning the Apocalypse to the last years of Domitian.

The following are the chief authorities. Iren. v. 30. 3 ap. Eus.







 Victorinus in Apoc. (x. II) "hoc dicit propterea quod quando haec Ioannes vidit, erat in insula Patmos, in metallum damnatus a Domitiano Caesare. ibi ergo vidit Apocalypsin. et cum iam senior putaret se per passionem accepturum receptionem, interfecto Domitiano, omnia iudicio eius soluta sunt. et Ioannes, de metallo dimissus, sic postea tradidit hanc eandem quam acceperat a Deo Apocar lypsin"; $i b$. on xvii. so "intellegi oportet tempus quo scripta Apocalypsis edita est, quoniam tunc erat Caesar Domitianus...unus exstat sub quo scripta est Apocalypsis, Domitianus








 revelatio eo tempore fasta est, quo apostolus Iohannes in insula erat Pathmos, relegatus a Domitiano imperatore fidei causa." Hieron. de virr. illustr. 9 "quarto decimo anno secundam post Neronem

[^81]who banished John is not named either by Clement or Origen. But in thie absence of evidence to the contrary they may be presumed to have followed in this respect the tradition of South Gaul and Asia Minor.
persecutionem movente Domitiano in Patmon insulam relegatus scripsit Apocalypsin...interfecto autem Domitiano et actis eius ob nimiam crudelitatem a senatu rescissis sub Nerva. principe redit Ephesum."
2. According to other ancient but not early authorities the book was written under Claudius ${ }^{1}$, Nero, or Trajan.

Thus the title prefixed to both the Syriac versions of the Apocalypse assigns the banishment of St John to the reign of Nero (iono ni<



 prophetarum attribated to Dorotheus goes to the opposite extreme,





 the extract from Origen in § 1). The reference to Trajan has perhaps been suggested by Iren. ii. 22. 5 тap $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\mu}$ yà $\rho$ aủroís

3. The general situation presupposed by the book is consistent, as we have seen, with the early tradition which'represents it as a work of the last years of Domitian. The evidence may be briefly summarized here. (a) The condition of the Asian Churches, as it is described in cc. ii., iii., is that of a period considerably later than the death of Nero. Their inner life has undergone many changes since St Paul's ministry at Ephesus, and even since the writing of the Epistles to the Ephesians and Colossians ${ }^{3}$ and the two Epistles to Timothy. Deterioration has
${ }^{1}$ On this see Hort, A pocalypse, p , xviii.
2 So Theophylact, praef. in Toann., but speaking of the fourth Gospel: 0 kal


 15) does not definitely say that the exile to Patimos took place under Nero, though he is credited by Jerome (adv. Jovin. i. 26) with doing so, and his words admit of that construction.
${ }^{3}$ Lightfoot, indeed, assuming the earlier date of the Apocalypse writes (Colossians, p. 41): "the message com-
municated by St John to Laodicea prolongs the note which was struck by St Paul in the letter to Colossae. An interval of a very few years has not materially altered the charscter of these Churches. Obviously the same temper prevails, the same errors are rife, the same correction must be supplied," But the examples which he gives (pp. 4r-44). shew only that the same general tendencies were af work in the Lycus valley, as when St Paul wrote, and this might well have been so even after an interval of more than 30 years.
set in at Ephesus, and at Sardis and Laodicea faith is dying or dead. The Nicolaitan party, of which there is no certain trace in the Epistles of St Paul, is now widely distributed and firmly rooted. The external relations of the Churches shew a similar advance. In past days Pergamum had witnessed a single martyrdom: now a storm of persecution was about to break on the Churches, and the faithful might expect to suffer imprisonment and death. (b) The prevalence of the Imperial cult, and the pressure which was being put upon recusant Christians by the Asiarchs, are suggestive of the time of Domitian rather than of Nero or Vespasian ${ }^{1}$. Later than Domitian's reign this precise situation could not have arisen; Nerva did not maintain the aggressive policy of Domitian', and when Trajan's rescript began to do its work, the petty persecution described in Apoc. xiii. would give place to formal indictment before the Proconsul. Thus the death of Domitian (Sept. 18, 96) is our terminus ad quem; a terminus a quo is supplied by the date of his accession (Sept. 13, 81), but the superior limit may with great probability be pushed forward to a.D. 90 or even further, since Domitian's jealous insistence on his claims to Divine honours and his encouragement of the delatores belong to the later years of his reign.
4. There are other indications of date which are more definite, and point in the same direction. (a) It is impossible to doubt that the legend of Nero redivivus is in full view of the Apocalyptist in more than one passage (xiii. 3, 12, 14, xvii. 8).

Archbishop Benson, indeed, seeks to impale those who hold this theory on the horns of a dilemma ${ }^{\text {a }}$. If St John referred to the legend, either he believed it or he did not. If he believed it, "he believed not only what was not true, but what decently-informed and reasonable heathen never believed." If he did not believe it,

[^82][^83]he was guilty of a grave political offerice in using for his own ends a story which was "hostile to the peace of the district where it existed," and moreover was aimed against the reigning Emperor. The second alternative has been assumed in the following commentary, but the inference which Dr Benson draws is not admitted. No one who appreciates the greatness of our author will suppose that he gave credit to the wild legends which were afloat about Nero's return. But the conditions of apocalyptic writing did not preclude him from working mere legend into his symbolism, nor was there any appreciable danger in the ust of this legend in a book addressed to Christians only. The reference to the reigning Emperor was not likely to be intelligible to any non-Christian into whose hands the book might fall, and to Christians it suggested nothing which was not already notorious.

In Asia the story of Nero's recovery was common talk as early as A.D. 69 (Tac. hist. ii. 8. "Achaia atque Asia falso exterritae velut Nero adventaret vario super exitu eius rumore, eoque pluribus vivere eum fingentibus credentibusque" $\rangle$; but pretenders continued to arise, and even under Trajan the belief that he was yet living was still general (Dio Chrysostom, or. xxi., кaì $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ êt
 cc. xiii., xvii., Domitian is described in terms as plain as the circumstances allowed. Nero is dead, but the stroke of his death is healed (xiii. 3, 12). He is the Beast-he impersonates the brutal strength of the persecuting World-power, and he was, and is not, and is about to ascend out of the Abyss (xvii. 8). Nero himself was the fifth Emperor, and he has fallen; but the Beast. which was and is not reappears in an eighth Emperor, who is of the seven, inasmuch as he recalls to men's minds the fifth, and plays his part over again, till he too goeth into perdition (xvii. II f.) ${ }^{2}$.
5. Notwithstanding the external and internal evidence which supports the Domitianic date, the great Cambridge theologians of the last century were unanimous in regarding the Apocalypse as a work of the reign of Nero, or of the years which immediately

[^84]refer (xvi. 12) to the dread of a Parthian invasion, which was connected with the expectation of Nero's return: of. Tac. hist. i. 2 ; Orac. Sibyll. iv. 137 ff.
followed his death. Bishop Lightfoot seems to have accepted "the view which assigns it to the close of Nero's reign or thereabouts." Bishop Westcott placed it "before the destruction of Jerusalem²." Dr Hort in his posthumous commentary on a Peter ${ }^{3}$ writes: "there are strong reasons for placing [the Apocalypse] not long after Nero's death." Such a threefold cord of scholarly opinion is not quickly broken, and the reasons on which it was founded deserve the most careful consideration. In the partition of the New Testament between the three, the Apocalypse, unhappily, was "not finally assigned ${ }^{4}$," and their published writings ${ }^{5}$ contain but incidental references to the question of its date. From these it would appear that they were guided in their judgement on this point partly by the relation which they believed the Book to occupy with reference to the Fall of Jerusalem, partly by the contrast which it presents to the Fourth Gospel. Thus Dr Hort writes: "The day of the Lord which the writer to the Hebrews saw drawing nigh had already begun to break in blood and fire, when St John sent his Apocalypse to the Gentile Churches of Asia.". And Dr Lightfoot: "It marks the close of what we may call the Hebraic period of St John's life, i.e. the period which...he had spent chiefly in the East and among Aramaic-speaking peoples"." But perhaps the fullest treatment of the subject is to be found in Dr Westcott's introduction to the Gospel of St John: "Of the two books (he says) the Apocalypse is the earlier. It is less developed both in thought and style.... The crisis of the Fall of Jerusalem explains the relation of the Apocalypse to the Gospel. In the Apocalypse that 'coming' of Christ was expected, and painted in figures; in the Gospel the ' coming' is interpreted ${ }^{8}$."

It is clear that these arguments for placing the Apocalypse

[^85][^86]under Nero or Vespasian rest on more than one presupposition. The unity of the Book is assumed, and it is held to be the work of the author of the Fourth Gospel. But the latter hypothesis is open, and perhaps will always be open to doubt; and the former cannot be pressed so far as to exclude the possibility that the extant book is a second edition of an earlier work, or that it incorporates earlier materials, and either hypothesis would sufficiently account for the few indications of a Neronic or Vespasianic date which have been found in $i^{2}$. When it is added that the great scholars who have been named dealt with the question incidentally and not in cennexion with a special study of the Apocalypse, it seems permissible to attach less importance to their judgement on this point than on others to which their attention had been more directly turned.
6. With all due deference, therefore, to the great authority of Westcott, Lightfoot; and Hort, and of the foreign scholars ${ }^{2}$ who have supported an earlier date, adhesion has been given in this edition to the view that the Apocalypse, at least in its present form, belongs, as Irenaeus believed, to the reign of Domitian and to the last years of that reign (90-96). This date appears to be consistent with the general character and purpose of the book. The Apocalypse as a whole presupposes a period when in Asia at least the Church was compelled to choose between Christ and Caesar. And the prophet foresees that this is no local or passing storm, but one which will spread over the whole Empire, and run a long course, ending only with the fall of paganism and of Rome. The Coming of the Lord is no longer connected with the Fall of Jerusalem, which is viewed as an event of past history ${ }^{8}$. A. new Jerusalem has taken the place of the old city of God, and the Apocalyptist can already see its ideal glories revealed. But for the moment Babylon is in the foreground of the picture, and Babylon must fall before the end, and after Babylon the Beast

[^87][^88]and the False Prophet. Even the triumph that follows on their destruction is not final, for the Dragon remains to be overcome. So the Coming is postponed indefinitely, though the old watch-
 standpoint is that of the closing years of the first century, when the Church knew herself to be entering upon a struggle of which she could not foresee the end, although of the victorious issue she entertained no doubt.
[In the Apocalypse of St John i.-iii. (1908) Dr Hort deals at some length with the date of the Book, and on historical grounds strongly supports the view which places it at the beginning of the reign of Vespasian.

He admits that "if external evidence alone could decide, there would be a clear preponderance for Domitian" (p. xx.). "On the other hand the general historical bearings of the book are those of the early, and are not those of the late period" (p. xxxii). Two points in particular are urged as leading to this conclusion. (I) "The whole language about Rome and the empire, Babylon and the Beast, fits the last days of Nero and the time immediately following, and does not fit the short local reign of terror under Domitian." (2) "The book breathes the atmosphere of a time of wild commotion...it is only in the anarchy of the earlier time that we can recognise a state of things that will account for the tone of the Apocalypse" (p. xxvi. f.).

These two positions rest upon evidence which is given in full (pp. xxi.-xxvi.), and would be nearly conclusive if the Apocalypse had been addressed to Rome or written from the standpoint of a Roman Christian. But the conditions which existed in the province of Asia may have coloured events differently in the eyes of an Ephesian prophet. In the foregoing chapters of this introduction an attempt has been made to shew that in the later years of Domitian's reign the Cæsar-worship in Asia was a danger which threatened the Church with imminent destruction. If that view is correct, there is no need to take into account the shortness of "the local reign of terror" at Rome under Domitian or the com-
parative length and severity of Nero's persecution. Neither of these would have greatly influenced the attitude of Asian Christians towards the Emperor or the Empire; it would rather have been determined by what was happening in Asia itself with the sanction of the Imperial authorities. In Asia at the moment there seems to have been good reason to expect a recrudescence of the policy of Nero, and something worse; if there were no recent martyrdoms, yet persecution was ready to break out upon the least excuse, and but for the death of Domitian there would probably have been a general uprising of the pagan population against the Church. This, as it seems, was the situation on which the seer of the Apocalypse has seized as the occasion for his prophecy.

For these reasons the present writer is unable to see that the historical situation presupposed by the Apocalypse contradicts the testimony of Irenaeus which assigns the vision to the end of the reign of Domitian. But has the testimony of Irenaeus been rightly understood? Dr Hort, it appears, in his lectures on the Apocalypse referred to an article by M. J. Bovon in the Revue de Théologie et de Philosophie (Lausanne, 1887), in which it was suggested that the subject of $\dot{e} \omega \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\theta} \eta$ in Iren. v. 30.3 is not $\dot{\eta}$
 This view has been supported with great acuteness by the Bishop of Ely in the Journal of Theological Studies for April 1907. It does not, however, seem that Dr Hort himself, although he admitted "the difficulty of accounting for $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ on the common interpretation, and the force of the argument from the use of $\dot{\rho} \rho \dot{a} \omega$ with persons in Irenaeus" (p. 42), allowed M. Bovon's suggestion to weigh with him against the usual and natural interpretation of the words. On the contrary he assumes that Irenaeus bears witness to the Domitianic date, and for the view which he prefers he relies entirely on the internal evidence and the circumstances which in his judgement it must be held to presuppose.]

## X

## CIRCULATION AND RECEPTION IN THE CHURCH.

I. 'Assuming that the Apocalypse was addressed by a person of influence or authority to seven of the leading Churches of Asia between the years 90 and 96 , it is reasonable to suppose that it was copied and circulated to some extent before the beginning of the second century. As the encyclical was brought round by the author's messenger, each of the Churches addressed would transcribe it for its own use, and send a copy to the Churches in the immediate neighbourhood ${ }^{1}$, and these in their turn would repeat the process. Within a few years the circulation of such a document. would overstep the limits of the province, whether through the spontaneous action of the Asian societies ${ }^{2}$, or in answer to the appeal of foreign Churches ${ }^{3}$, or through the agency of individual Christians upon their travels. In one or all of these ways the great Christian apocalypse would have passed from Church to Church and from province to province, and wherever it went it could not fail to excite the interest of Christian readers.
2. Thus it is not incredible that Ignatius ( 1 IO-II $7^{4}$ ) may shew some knowledge of the Apocalypse of John in more than one of

[^89][^90]

 [Apoc. iii. 12]), though the coincidences are not such as to justify a definite conclusion. In the Epistle of Barnabas ${ }^{1}$, again, there are one or two passages which may allude to St John's work
 [Apoc. xxi. 3]; ib. 21. 3 є̇ $\gamma \gamma \dot{v} s$ ó кúpıos кaì ó $\mu \iota \sigma \theta o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̂ ~[A p o c . ~$ xxii Iof.]); bat the balance of probability is in each instance against the reference ${ }^{2}$. There is however abundant evidence that the Apocalypse was in circulation during the second half of the second century, not only in Asia, but in the West.
(I) Eusebius does not mention the Apocalypse among N.T. books known to Papias ( $H . E$. iii. 39), unless this is implied in his attribution of Papias's chiliasm to a misunderstanding of certain statements made by Apostolic aũthority ${ }^{3}$. But against the silence of Eusebius we have to set the express statement of Andreas, who in the prologue to his commentary writes: $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \mu$ éviol tồ $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma-}$



 of Papias upon Apoc. xii. 7 ff . Papias, it will be remembered, was according to Irenaeus (․ 33) an ăкоvarท̀s 'I 'Iáryov and an áp $\chi \alpha i \overline{o s}$ $\dot{a} v{ }^{\prime} \rho$, whose $f l o r u i t$ is likely to be nearer to the beginning than to the middle of the second century ${ }^{4}$. (2) About A.D. 180 Irenaeus knew of copies of the Apocalypse already 'ancient,' and of witness borne to the text of the book by persons who had seen the writer (v. 30 $=$ Eus. H.E. v. 8) ${ }^{\text {s }}$, and who, if not Papias and Polycarp, presumably belonged to their generation ${ }^{6}$. (3) Justin, who lived at Ephesus ${ }^{7}$ before he went to Rome, speaks of the Apocalypse as a recognized Christian book, and identifies its author with the




${ }^{1}$ A.d. 130-1 (Harnack).
${ }^{2}$ See N.T. in the Apostolic Fathers, p. 16 f.


 $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \cup \nu \epsilon \omega \rho a \kappa \delta \tau a$. Cf. Lightfoot, Supernatural Religion, p. 214, note 4.
${ }^{4}$ See Sanday, Criticism of the Fourth

[^91] $\tau \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon^{1}$. (4) Eusebius (H.E. iv. 26) mentions among the works of
 кади́ $\psi \epsilon \omega s^{\prime} I \omega \alpha ́ v v o v^{2}$. The work, whatever its nature may have been, has perished ${ }^{3}$, but the title shews that the Apocalypse was accepted at this time in one of the Churches to which it was originally sent-a Church, moreover, which had little cause to pride itself upon the character it receives from the Apocalyptist. In the wreck of the Montanistic ${ }^{4}$ and anti-Montanistic literature which perplexed the Churches of Asia at this time, we have probably lost many similar references to the book; but we know, on the authority of Eusebius (H.E. v. 18), that it was quoted by the anti-
 ${ }^{\prime}$ Aтока $\lambda \cup(\not \subset \notin \omega)^{5}$. Later, but before the end of the century, Theophilus, Bishop of Antioch, cites the Apocalypse against the teaching of Hermogenes (Eus. H.E. iv. 24 वै $\lambda \lambda_{0}$ [ $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ то̂

 Western Syria the book had clearly become a court of appeal to which Christians of opposite schools could submit their differences. (5) In South Gaul about the same time the Apocalypse was held in equal regard. The Epistle of the Churches of Vienne and Lyons, addressed in 177 to the region from which the book emanated ${ }^{8}$, cites or refers to it some five times ${ }^{7}$, and one of the quotations is introduced by the N.T. formula for the citation of canonical Scripture (iva $\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ ). With Irenaeus, Bishop of Lyons, a few years later, quotations from the Apocalypse are frequent, and they are usually introduced by the words "John (or "John the disciple of the Lord") says in the Apocalypse" (Iren. iv. 14. 1, 17.6, 18. 6, 20. 11, 21. 3 ; v. 26. 1, 28. 2, 34. 2, 35. 1); once we have "the Apocalypse of John" (i. 26.3), and once "the Apocalypse," without the author's name (v. 20. 2) ${ }^{8}$. Such is the

[^92]in the mind of Dionysius of Corinth, when he writes (ap. Eus. H.E. iv. 23):
 tò oùai keītal.



7 The passages to which reference is

 $\pi а \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \varphi \mu \eta \tau \rho$ ), хіх. 9 ( $\dot{\omega}$ є $\epsilon \bar{s} \nu \nu \mu ф \iota \kappa \delta \nu$



s See Zahn Gesch. d. NTlichen Kanons, i. 202, note 2. Quotations from the fourth Gospel are similarly announced, with the substitution of in Evangelio for in Apocalypsi, of. Iren. i. 6 . 5 , iii. 21: 2, iv. 25. I, V. 18. 2. On the titie "disciple of the Lord" see $c . \mathrm{xv}$. of this intraduction.
authority of the book that when it is silent on a point Irenaeus permits himself to write (v. 30. 1), "dignom non est praeconari a Spiritu sancto." (6) At Rome, there is some reason to think, the Apocalypse was known even before the coming of Justin. The Shepherd of Hermas twice (Vis. ii. 2. 7,iv. 3. i) uses the remarkable phrase $\eta^{\dot{\gamma}} \theta \lambda i \not \psi \iota s \dot{\eta}^{j} \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\partial} \lambda \eta$, which occurs in Apoc. vii. 14; moreover, it is hardly too bold to say with Bishop Westcott that "the symbolism of the Apocalypse reappears in the Shepherd ${ }^{1 . "}$ Certainly there is a marked affinity between the two books, which shews itself in the use of similar imagery; in both the Church is a woman, and her adversary a wild beast; in both we read of the Book of Life, and of conquerors distinguished by their white robes and palms and crowns; if the Apocalypse describes the New Jerusalem as lying four-square within walls on whose foundation stones are the names of the Apostolic college, the Shepherd describes a tower which is in building, the bright squared stones of which are the Apostles and other teachers of the Church ${ }^{8}$. That these coincidences are not purely accidental is rendered probable by the circumstance that the Muratorian fragment on the Canon, which refers to the Shepherd as written "nuperrime temporibus nostris in urbe Roma," seems to intimate that the Apocalypse of John was universally recognized at Rome, in contrast to the Apocalypse of Peter which some refused to acknowledge ("Apocalypse[s] etiam Iohannis et Petri tantum recipimus, quam [?sc. Apocalypsim Petri] quidam ex nostris legiin ec[c]lesia nolunt ${ }^{3 \prime \prime}$ ). (7), The Church of Carthage, the daughter of the Romain Church, knew and aceepted the Johannine Apocalypse at the end of the second century or in the early years of the third. Tertullian quotes from eighteen out of the twenty-two chapters of the book ${ }^{4}$, and cites it as Scripture (de res. carn. 27 "habemus etiam vestimentorum in scripturis mentionem ad spem carnis allegorizare, quia et Apocalypsis Iohannis Hi sunt, ait, qui vestimenta suanon coinquinaverunt"); it is the work of the Apostle John (Mfarc. iii. 14, 24), the instrumentum Ioannis (ib: 38), and part and parcel of the instrumentum ${ }^{5}$ apostolicum (pud. 12 sqq.) ${ }^{6}$. The Acts of Perpetua and Felicitas abound in imagery which is modelled on that of the Apocalypse (e.g. §4 "circumstantes candidati milia multa"; § 12 "introeuntes vestierunt stoles candidas, et introivimus, et audivimus vocem unitam dicentem Agios agios agios sine cessatione...et vidimus in eodem loco sedentem quasi hominem canum...et in dextra

[^93]purpose of the book.
4 The quotations are most numerous in his Montanistic books, but they occur also in the earlier works, e.g. orat. 3, 5, paen. 8.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. apol. 18 "instrumentum litteraturae"; ib. 2I "Iudaeorum instrumenta"; res. carn. 40 "instrumenta divina." Cf. Zahn, Gesch. i. p. Io7 ff.
${ }^{6}$ Zahn, Gesch. i. p. 204.
et in sinistra seniores quattuor...et introeuntes cum admiratione stetimus ante thronum." As in the case of the Shepherd, there is no direct quotation here, but the influence of the Apocalypse is scarcely doubtful. (8) At Alexandria about the same time the Apocalypse was known, and recognized as the work of St John. Clement, who cites it several times (paed. i. $6 \$ 36$, ii. ıo $\$$ ro8, in § II9; strom. iii. 18 § IO6, vi. 13 § 116 ) with the formula ws $\phi \eta \sigma t v$
 ii. $12 \S 119$ тò $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ одєкòv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, and the work of an Apostle (quis dives §4z) ${ }^{1}$.
3. From two quarters in the second century there comes a protest against the general acceptance of the Apocalypse of John. (a) "Apocalypsin eius Marcion respuits," as we learn from Tertullian (adv. Marc. iv. 5); and on Marcion's principles it would have been impossible to accept a book so saturated with the thought and imagery of the Old Testament. Whether he rejected at the same time the attribution of the book to the Apostle John which is already to be found in Justin, there is not sufficient evidence to shew ; in any case it formed no part of his apostolicum; he did not recognize John as a writer of canonical Scriptures. (b) Far more significant is the attitude of the so-called Alogi. Irenaeus (iii. II. 9), after referring to Marcion's attitude toward the Gospels, says: "alii vero, ut donum Spiritus frustrentur quod in novissimis temporibus secundum placitum Patris effusum est in humanum genus, illam speciem non admittunt quae est secundum Ioannis evangelium in qua paracletum se missurum Dominus promisit, sed simul et evangelium et propheticum repellunt Spiritum." Epiphanius represents a nameless party which he calls the Alogi as rejecting both the Gospel and
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 $\left.\varepsilon \boldsymbol{i v} a i \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} a^{1}\right)$. Against the genuineness of the Apocalypse they arged (I) that the symbolism of the book was unedifying

 tained errors in matters of fact (ib. 33 eiाтє $\pi a ́ \lambda ı \nu \Gamma \rho a ́ \psi o \nu ~ \tau \hat{c}$

 improbable that Epiphanius was indebted for this information to a lost work of Hippolytus ${ }^{3}$, and that we have here a nearly contemporaneous account of the first impugners of the Apocalypse. If they are identical, as seems likely, with the party mentioned by Irenaeus, they may have been originally an Asiatic school of extreme anti-Montanists who felt that both the Gospel and the Apocalypse of John savoured too strongly of the principles of the New Prophecy to allow of their attribution to the Apostle John. The assignment of the Fourth Gospel to Cerinthus is absurd

 Thousand Years in Apoc. xx. lent some colour to the suggestion that the Apocalypse was the work of that heretic. Possibly the idea of Cerinthian authorship was first broached in reference to the Revelation, and afterwards extended to the Gospel ${ }^{4}$.
4. Like other Asiatic parties, the anti-Montanistic opponents of St John's writings made their way to Rome. At all events the controversy, so far as the Apocalypse is concerned, finds its centre in Rome at the beginning of the third century. Eusebius quotes

[^96]$\gamma \in \lambda l o v k a l$ átoка入ó $\psi \in \omega s$, or both of these works. See Dr Stanton's note ( $p$. 200).

4 Dr Sanday (Criticism of the Fourth Gospel, p. 6I) calls the attribution of the Fourth Gospel to Cerinthus ' a piece of sheer bravado," and such indeed it was, if the Alogi began with the Gospel ; but the other course seems more natural.
from Gaius, a Roman churchman, who lived in the days of Bishop Zephyrinus (202-219) and wrote against the Montanist Bishop Proclus', a statement that Cerinthus forged 'apocalypses' in the name of ' a great Apostle':






 रivétal.
The words in spaced letters come very near to the terms of our Apocalypse, but until 1888 it was competent for scholars to suppose that Gaius referred to a book or books written by Cerinthus in which he imitated or travestied the work of St John ${ }^{2}$. In that year Dr Gwynn, of Dublin, published in the Hermathena (vi. p. 397 ff.) five Syriac scholia from Dionysius Barsalibi on the Apocalypse, consisting of extracts from "the heretic Gaius" in which Gaius comments on the Apocalypse in terms which shew that he did not admit the authority of the book. Gaius, therefore, was more or less in sympathy with the Alogi, and it is not improbable that, in his zeal against Montanism, he adopted the Cerinthian attribution. In any case it is to Gaius and his school ${ }^{3}$ rather than to the Eastern 'Alogi' that Dionysius of Alexandria refers when he writes fifty years after:
 $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in \mathcal{U}^{\prime} \sigma a \nu$ [Rufinus, a canone soripturarum abiciendum putarunt]










[^97][^98]5. Neither the 'Alogi' of Asia Minor nor the party of Gaius at Rome proved dangerous to the general acceptance of the Apocalypse. At Rome Gaius was answered by Hippolytus. On the back of the chair which holds the seated figure of the Bishop of Portus, a list of his works is graved, and among them is one
 coupling of the Fourth Gospel and the Apocalypse suggests that this book was directed against the 'Alogi,' or, more probably, a similar party at Rome represented by Gaius ${ }^{2}$. The same book may be intended by the Heads against Gaius, which Ebedjesu attributes to Hippolytus ${ }^{8}$, and from which Dr Gwynn's fragments have been drawn. In his extant works and fragments Hippolytus repeatedly asserts his belief in the Johannine authorship of the Apocalypse

 and he identifies John the disciple of the Lord with the Apostle (ib.
 $\epsilon i \delta e s$ каì $\left.\eta^{\prime} \kappa o v \sigma a \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ B a \beta u \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu o s\right)$. During the remainder of the first half of the third century we hear no more of the countermovement. At Carthage Cyprian uses the Apocalypse freely, both in the Testimonia ${ }^{4}$ and in his treatises and letters; at Alexandria Origen entertains no doubt as to the authenticity of the



 however, to the reopening of the question by Origen's pupil and successor, Dionysius, during the years when the latter was Bishop of Alexandria (247-265). The facts are given in the large fragments of a treatise by Dionysius Пєр $\grave{\ell \pi} \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \iota \omega \nu$ preserved by Eusebius H. E. vii. 24 f.s

[^99]${ }^{3}$ Cf. Assemani, bibl. orient. iii. p. 15 (九ul) bin wainnas .
${ }_{4}$ It is quoted 27 times in the Testimonia alone.
© The fragments are edited by Dr Feltoe in Letters and other remains of Dionysius of Alexandria, pp. 106-125.

It appears that on the occasion of a visit to Arsinoe, where Chiliasm had long disturbed the peace of the Church, Dionysius found him-
 an Egyptian Bishop, in which, according to Eusebius, Nepos 'taught that the promises made in the Holy Scriptures to the saints will be fulfilled in a Jewish sense ('lovסaïкќтєpov), and held that there will be a millennium of bodily enjoyment on this earth.' A three days' conference followed which brought the Arsenoites back to a healthier view. But the incident led the critical mind of Dionysius to examine afresh for himself the grounds on which the Apocalypse was held to be the work of the Apostle John, and the results of his enquiry are given in the third, fourth, and fifth of the fragments of his answer to Nepos.

Dionysius refuses to follow the party who ascribed the Apocalypse to Cerinthus ${ }^{1}$. He cannot venture to reject a book which is held in high esteem by so many members of the Church ( $\epsilon \mathrm{j} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}$
 ${ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \chi \chi^{o} v \tau \omega v$ dं $\left.\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega v}\right)$; with the modesty of the true scholar he is ready to attribute the difficulties which it presents to the limitations of

 to challenge the inspiration of the Apocalypse or its claim to be the work of a John, he declines to accept it as the work of the Apostle, to whom he attributes the fourth Gospel and "the Catholic Epistle" (i.e. I John). He is led to this conclusion by comparing (I) the character of the writer of the Apocalypse with that of the writer of the Gospel, (2) the thought and style of the

 $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s)^{8}$. John the Evangelist abstains from mentioning his own name, but John the Apocalyptist names himself more than once at the very outset of his book, and again near the end. Doubtless there were many who bore the name of John in the early Christian communities; we read, for instance, of "John whose surname was Mark," and there may have been a second John in Asia, since at Ephesus, we are told, there were two tombs
 éxárєpov 'I wávvov $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a u)$. Again, while the Gospel and Epistle of John shew marks of agreement which suggest a common authorship, the Apocalypse differs widely from both in its ideas and in its way of expressing them; we miss in it (e.g.) the frequent references to 'life,' 'light,' 'truth,' 'grace,' and 'love'. which are characteristic of the Apostle, and find ourselves in a totally


 linguistic eccentricities of the Apocalypse bar the way against an acceptance of the book as the work of the Evangelist. The Gospel and first Epistle are written in correct and flowing Greek,

[^100]and there is not a barbarism, a solecism, or a provincialism in them; whereas the Greek of the Apocalypse is inaccurate, disfigured by unusual or foreign words, and even at times solecistic ( $\gamma \lambda \bar{\omega} \sigma \sigma a v ~ o v ̋ ~$
 $\mu \in \nu о \nu$, каí тоу каї бодоккі́бота).
6. This criticism, not the less trenchant because carefully guarded against the imputation of levity or irreverence ${ }^{1}$, and proceeding from so distinguished a Bishop as Dionysius 'the Great ${ }^{2}$, could not fail to carry weight in Egypt and in the Greek-speaking East, shaking the faith of many in the apostolical authorship of the Apocalypse, and therefore in its canonical authority. In the fourth century Eusebius is unable to speak




 later, not only omits the Apocalypse from his list of canonical books, but seems definitely to exclude it from private as well as

 $\sigma a v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a \dot{\nu} a \gamma i \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon)$. It is more remarkable that Asia Minor should have ignored the book even in formal canons; it finds no place in the Laodicean list of 363 , or in that of Gregory of Nazianzus; while Amphilochius of Iconium expressly says: $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 was either still unknown or it was ignored; it formed no part of the Peshitta New Testaments. Junilius, who represents the Biblical criticism of the school of Nisibis in the sixth century, is silent about the book; the Jacobite Barhebraeus ( $\dagger$ I286) passes it over without notice in his Nomocanon, and so does the nearly contemporary Nestorian Ebedjesu, both following herein the

[^101][^102]'Apostolic Canons,' which agree in this respect with the canons of Laodicea. Western Syria, as represented by the School of Antioch, looked with little favour on the most mystical of early Christian writings. Neither Theodore, Chrysostom, or Theodoret is known to have quoted the Apocalypse ${ }^{1}$. Constantinople inherited the traditions of Antioch in this respect as in others, and the Apocalypse is omitted altogether in the Synopsis scripturae sacrae which is found among the work of Chrysostom, nor has it any place in the catalogue of "the Sixty books" or in either of its supplementary lists. As late as the beginning of the ninth century Nicephorus places it among the antilegomena with the Apocalypse of Peter. It is significant of the slow progress made by the circulation or acceptance of the book in eastern lands that no Greek commentary seems to have been written upon it before the fifth or sixth century ${ }^{2}$. Several causes may have concurred to cause this delay. There may have been in some minds a lingering dread of Montanism, and in many others a doubt as to the inspiration or the apostolical authority of the Apocalyptist. Moreover, the Apocalypse may have been known in the East only to a few. From the first perhaps the book went west rather than east; traders from Smyrna and Ephesus carried it to Italy and Gaul, to North Africa and Egypt; few copies seem to have penetrated to Antioch, and fewer or none to Edessa and Nisibis.
7. In the West, on the contrary, the Apocalypse, which had won acceptance in the second century, held its own notwith-
${ }^{1}$ Suidas, indeed, remarks: $\delta$ éxerat
 this is true," Dr Westcott writes, not without a tonch of hamour, "it is a singular proof of the inconclusiveness of the casual evidence of quotation" (Canon, p. 442, note 3).
${ }^{2}$ It is to be noted, also, that Greek MSS. of the Apocalypse, uncial or cur-
 to this book are rare (von Soden, Die Schriften d. N. T., i. p. 360); and that no Greek MS. shews a stichometry (Tischendorf, i. 1044 ), though the stichi were counted-according to Nicephorus they were 1400 , according to the Claromon-

[^103] apostolic authorship by Dionysius at Alexandria Alexandria soon returned to its allegiance; in his Festal Epistles (Ep. 39), Athanasius ends his list of the canon with the words cai má入ıv

 pseudo-Athanasian Synopsis the Apocalypse forms the eighth and last book of the New Testament, and later Alexandrian writers accept it without hesitation ${ }^{3}$. The Latin West was from the time of Gaius practically unanimous in its favour ${ }^{2}$. It was there that the book found its earliest interpreters, Victorinus of Pettan, Tyconius, Primasius. It takes its place in all Western lists of the canonical Scriptures: in Mommsen's canon, in those of Codex Claromontanus and the Carthaginian Council of 397, in the 'Decree of Gelasius.' The authority of the great Latin fathers confirmed the general verdict of the Church; Ambrose, Jerome, Rufinus, Augustine, Innocent, accepted the Apocalypse as the work of the Apostle John.

The Eastern Church has long followed the example of the West. Although the Quinisextine Council endorsed without remark the Laodicean Canon which omits the Apocalypse, the commentaries of Oecumenius, Andreas, and Arethas must have gone far to secure a favourable hearing for the book. Even the Syrian Church in the seventh century possessed two versions, one which has been identified with the work of Thomas of Harkel, and another of a Philoxenian type ${ }^{3}$.

No book in the New Testament with so good a record was so long in gaining general acceptance. The reasons for this are well summarized in a scholion to one of the MSS. of the Apocalypse ${ }^{4}$ :


[^104]me) has established the genuinemess of
the attribution of this book to Gen-
madius, somniator, if the true reading,
refers to Nepos. On the attitudes of
Erasmus, Luther, and Calvin towards
the Apocalypse see Westcott, Canon,
pp. 472 f., 483, 488 .
3 See p. cxev.
4 Cod. 24.

 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \in ́ \varsigma$. The key to the interpretation disappeared with the generation to which the book was addressed, perhaps even with the relief which the Asian Churches experienced upon the death of Domitian; and apart from any clue to its immediate reference, it was little else but a maze of inexplicable mysteries. "Apocalypsis Ioannis," exclaims Jerome, "tot habet sacramenta quot verba?" It was not everyone who was able to meet the situation with the patient modesty of the great Dionysius, and in the circumstances we can only recognize with thankfulness the Providence which has preserved for us a treasure of which the full value is even now scarcely realized.
${ }^{1}$ Ad Paulin., ep. liii. 8.

## XI.

## VOCABULARY, GRAMMAR, AND STYLE.

I. A complete vocabulary of the Apocalypse will be found at the end of this volume. Here it will suffice to point out some of the results which may be gleaned from it.

The Apocalypse contains 913 distinct words, or, excluding the names of persons and places, 87 I . Of these 87 I words, 108 are not used elsewhere in the New Testament, and 98 are used elsewhere in the New Testament but once, or by but one other writer. It may be useful to the reader to have these relatively uncommon words placed before him in separate lists.
(a) Words in the Apocalypse which occur in no other N.T. writing ${ }^{1}$.


















[^105] occur in the Greek O. T.; those printed
(b) Words in the Apocalypse used elsewhere in the N.T. but once, or by one other writer ${ }^{1}$.























2. An examination of these tables leads to some interesting facts. Relatively to its length the Apocalypse has an unusual number of words peculiar to itself. While the Second Gospel shews 80 such words in 2000 stichi, the Apocalypse has more than 100 in $1400^{2}$; one in eight of its words is used by no other N.T. writer, whereas in St Mark the ratio is about one in sixteen ${ }^{3}$. But it is to be remembered that whereas the simple narrative of the Evangelist demands for the most part only the commonest words of daily life, the Apocalyptist deals with a great variety of subjects, some of which call for a liberal use of special terms. Thus, e.g., the enumeration of articles of merchandize in Apoc. xviii..II-13 is responsible for twelve of the words peculiar to this book, and the list of precious stones in c. xxi. ig f. for ten more. Most of the Apocalyptic words which are not found
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or are found but rarely in other N.T. writings belong to the language of common or commercial life, which would be familiar to one who had been for many years resident in Ephesus. Further, it will be observed that two-thirds of the words in the first list ( 722 ), and nearly eleven-twelfths in the second (89) , had been previously used in the Greek Old Testament. In the second list, the student will find it worth his while to notice the distribution of the words amongst other N.T. writers. St Paul, it will be seen, has 33, St Luke 30, St Matthew 9, St John (in the Gospel and Epistles) 8, Șt James 6, St Mark 5, the author of Hebrews 3, and St Peter 2. The great preponderance of Pauline and Lucan words is remarkable, but perhaps it is sufficiently explained by the circumstance that both St Paul and St Luke wrote under conditions not altogether unlike those of the author of the Apocalypse. Their lives, like his, had been largely spent among Greek-speaking peoples, and in intercourse with Greek-speaking Churches.

The true äтаگ $\lambda є \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ of the Apocalypse are few. Some
 $\lambda a i t \eta s$ ), which are perhaps in every case due to the writer. Others ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda a \rho i \delta_{i} \iota \nu, \pi о \tau а \mu о \phi o ́ \rho \eta \tau o s, \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa о \lambda i \beta a \nu o s) ~ a r e ~ p r o b a b l y ~}$ words current in Asia, although hitherto they have not been detected in any other Greek writing. Kaт $\dot{\eta} \gamma \omega \rho$ and $\kappa a \tau a ́ \theta є \mu a$ seem to be of Jewish-Greek origin; $\dot{\eta} \mu i \omega \rho o \nu$ is either a slip, or an alternative form of $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \rho \iota o v$. The MSS. of the Apocalypse shew a considerable number of orthographical peculiarities, chiefly affecting the terminations of nouns and verbs, such as $\chi \rho \nu \sigma a \hat{\nu} \nu$
 (ii. 24), $\epsilon \hat{i} \chi a \nu$ (ix. 8), $\mathfrak{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a$ (x. 9), $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \omega \kappa a \nu$ (xviii. 3), $\check{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda a \nu$ (xviii. 19), yérovay (xxi. 6), and some of these are so well supported that they claim a place in the text. But there are comparatively few lexical eccentricities, and if we are reminded by an occasional transliteration that the author was a Jew by birth and education, it is clear that he had lived long enough in the Greek cities of Asia to have ready to his hand all the Greek words that he needed for the purpose of his book. .The Greek vocabulary of the Apocalypse does not suggest that the
writer was crippled by a want of appropriate words. His store is ample for his needs, and it seems to have been chosen with care.
3. When we pass from vocabulary to grammar, the case is different. Dionysius, as we have seen, with the acumen of an Alexandrian scholar, was struck by the many departures from the rules of syntax which mark the Apocalypse, and charges its author with writing incorrect Greek and even occasional solecisms. His criticism is courageous, but not unjust. Fortunately no systematic attempt was made in Egypt or elsewhere to bring the book up to the standard of literary orthodoxy, and in the best MSS. it has come down to us with many at least of the writer's grammatical peculiarities untouched.

Nothing like a grammar of the Apocalypse ${ }^{1}$ can be attempted here, but some of the more striking features of its peculiar style are collected below.
(1) The 'solecisms' of the book consist largely of various forms of anacoluthon, shewing a singular indifference to the laws of concord. They may be roughly classed as follows. (a) Nomina-



 т̀̀ éxovтa $\psi$ vxás. Other examples may be found in xiv. 12 , xvi. I $^{2}$, xx. 2 , xxi. if. (b) The participle $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \omega \nu$-occasionally ${ }^{4} \chi \omega \nu$-follows irregularly after the announcement of a fresh voice or



 construction is broken by a parenthetic clause, after which the sentence may or may not return to its original course: i. 5 f. $\tau \hat{\omega}$


 disturbed by the otiose addition of a personal pronoun or an adverb
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 aủrov̂. (e) Genders, numbers, or cases are at fault: vii. 9 eioiov,





(2) Besides 'solecisms' the Apocalypse has, to borrow another term from Dionysius, a large number of 'idiotisms.' The idiosyncrasy of the writer shews itself sometimes in a startling phrase such as

 in grammatical peculiarities, some of which frequently recur, such as the following: (a) Different tenses and moods are joined by a copula without any clear reason for the change: ii. 2 f. èmeíparas...





 (b) Adjectives and verbs are made to govern cases other than those required by usage; i. 13, xiv. 14 ฮ̈moov viòv àvepuítov. ii. 14

 (c) Other unusual constructions abound, such as: iv. 9 f. ö $\boldsymbol{o}$ av






Many attempts have been made to minimize the grammatical irregularities of the Apocalypse. In the most recent of these, a chapter of Archbishop Benson's Apocalypse which bears the characteristic heading "A Grammar of Ungrammar," the instances are classified with the view of shewing that in most of them the Apocalyptist had a definite reason for his departure from usage. Whatever may be thought of the explanations which are offered in his defence, it is evident that he has not erred in all cases through ignorance ${ }^{2}$, and it is possible that he has not done so

[^110]in any instance. His eccentricities of syntax are probably due to more than one cause: some to the habit which he may have retained from early years of thinking in a Semitic language ${ }^{1}$; some to the desire of giving movement and vivid reality to his visions, which leads him to report them after the manner of shorthand notes, jotted down at the time; some to the circumstances in which the book was written. But from whatever cause or concurrence of causes, it cannot be denied that the Apocalypse of John stands alone among Greek literary writings in its disregard of the ordinary rules of syntax, and the success with which syntax is set aside without loss of perspicuity or even of literary power. The book seems openly and deliberately to defy the grammarian, and yet, even as literature, it is in its own field unsurpassed. No judge who compared it with any other Greek apocalyptic work would hesitate to give the palm to the canonical Apocalypse.

4 Apart from solecisms and other idiosyncrasies, the style of the Apocalypse is distinguished by a number of characteristic phrases and turns of expression which give it individuality.

Some of these recur with slight variations throughout the book.



 тov̂ $\theta$ єô. The reader meets again and again the phrase of кatot-


[^111]been materially different had he been a native of Oxyrhynohus, assuming the extent of Greek education the same." But the facts seem at present insufficien $\downarrow$ to warrant this conclusion. It is precarious to compare a literary document with a collection of personal and business letters, accounts, and other ephemeral writings ; slips in word-formation or in syntax which are to be expected in the latter, are phenomenal in the former, and if they find a place there, can only be attributed to lifelong habits of thought. Moreover, it remains to be considered how far the quasi-Semitic colloquialisms of the papyri are themselves due to the influence of the large Greek-speaking Jewish population of the Delta.
xi. Io, xiii. 8, 12,14 , xvii. 2,8 ), the combination $\pi \iota \sigma$ òs кai à $\lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu$ ós (iii. 14 , xix. 11, xxi. 5, xxii, 6), the refrain ${ }_{0}^{*}$ è $\chi \omega \nu$ oủs

 $\theta \rho o ́ v o v, \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \rho o ́ v \varphi)$ are other examples. Further, the writer has a habit of repeating the article or a governing clause before every member of a series when the same subject or class of subjects is





 singularities, such as the frequent use of the instrumental dative
 xix. 15 ), ėv $\phi \omega V \eta$ (v. 2, xiv. 7), ėv taîs кı $\theta$ ápaıs (xiv. 2), ẻv тupí (xvi. 8, xvii. r6) ; the nearly constant omission of the article before proper names, not excluding 'Inoous; the employment of cis as almost equivalent to an indefinite article (viii. 13 єvòs áєrov̂, xviii. 2 I


 followed by a noun, an infinitive, or a subjunctive with "iva, the partiality shewn for the perfect tense, especially in the case of $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \alpha$ (ii. 27 , iii. 3, v. 7, viii. 5, xi. 17) and єip $\rho \kappa \alpha$ (vii. 14, xix. 3) ; the many beatitudes interspersed among the visions (i. 3, xiv. 13, xvi. 15, xix. 9, xx. 6, xxii. 7, 14). Lastly, a considerable number of ordinary words occur with remarkable frequency, catching the eye again and again as the book is turned; a few may be









世ojn. This list will be found a suggestive one; in most cases the subject of the book or the circumstances of the author sufficiently account for the more or less frequent recurrence of the words; in some the reason lies deeper. But however their repetition may be explained, it goes far to impart to the Apocalypse the colouring which marks its style.
5. It is of interest to compare the vocabulary, grammar, and style of the Apocalypse with those of other New Testament writings traditionally assigned to St John, and especially with those
of the Fourth Gospel. (I) Vocabulary. Of the 913 words used in the Apocalypse 416 are found also in the Gospel, but the words common to both books are either of the most ordinary type, or are shared by other N.T. writers. The eight words ajpiov,
 which occur only in these two books, do not supply a sufficient basis for induction. 'A $\rho v i o v$, used 29 times in the Apocalypse, is used but once in the Gospel, and then with a different reference; the form $\kappa v \kappa \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ in Jo. x. 24 and Apoc. xx. 9 is found in the Gospel only in Cod. B; ŏ $\psi \iota s, \pi o \rho \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \in o s$, and фoivı $\xi$ are fairly well established in the Greek of the O.T.; on the other hand, 'Eßpaïтi is somewhat markedly Johannine, occurring five
 $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o \hat{v} \nu$ is strongly characteristic of the teaching of the fourth Gospel, though it occurs there but once ${ }^{1}$, and the use of $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi \in \kappa \in \mathcal{E} \nu-$
 is certainly noteworthy and probably more than a coincidence ${ }^{3}$. If we extend our examination to words which, though not exclusively used in these books, are prominent in them or in one of them, the evidence is similarly divided. On the one hand there are not a few points in which the diction of the Apocalypse differs notably from that of the Gospel: the conjunctions $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}^{\prime}$, yá $\rho$, oviv, which continually meet the reader of the Gospel, are comparatively rare in the Apocalypse ${ }^{4}$; $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\sigma} \pi i o \nu$, a characteristic preposition in the Apocalypse, occurs but once in the Gospel; the Evangelist invariably writes 'Iєporó ${ }^{\prime} \nu \mu a$, the Apocalyptist 'Ispov$\sigma a \lambda \eta \mu^{5}$; the one chooses á $\mu \nu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ when he is speaking of the Lamb of God, the other appiov; to the one the Eternal Son is simply $\dot{o}$

[^112][^113]cxxviii
$\lambda o ́ y o s$, to the other the glorified Christ is $\dot{o} \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$. The Apocalyptist uses the Synoptic and Pauline terms evaryé̀ $\iota o \nu$,
 oiкоv $\mu \in ́ \nu \eta$, $\sigma \cup \nu \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, from which the Evangelist seems to refrain; while on the other hand, as Dionysius long ago pointed out, of many of the key-words of the Gospel he shews no knowledge. On the other hand the two books have in common a fair number of characteristic words and phrases, such as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta c \nu o ́ s$,
 $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$, $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$. It is still more significant, that both attach a special meaning to certain words; both use 'Iovסaios of the Jew considered as hostile to Christ or the Church, and in both such words as $\zeta \omega{ }_{\eta}^{\prime}, \theta$ ávatos, $\delta \iota \psi \hat{q} \hat{\nu}, \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{a} \nu, \nu u ́ \mu \phi \eta, \delta_{o}^{\prime} \xi a$, bear more or less constantly a spiritual sense-a remark which applies also to several of the words mentioned above (e.g. $\nu \iota \kappa a ̂ y, \dot{c} \delta \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu)$.
(2) Thus on the question of the literary affinity of the Fourth Gospel and the Apocalypse the vocabulary speaks with an uncertain sound, though the balance of the evidence is perhaps in favour of some such relationship between the two writings. This probability is increased when we compare them from the point of view of their grammatical tendencies. While the solecistic anacolutha of the Apocalypse have no parallel on any large scale in the Gospel, there is a considerable number of unusual constructions which are common to the two books. Some may be mentioned here. (a) The partitive é $\kappa$ with its dependent noun or pronoun is used in both as the object or subject of a

 $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \pi o v \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu$. (b) Both books place $\mu \in \tau a ́ a$ after $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (Jo. iv. 27 bis, ix. 37 ; Apoc. i. 12, iv. I, x. 8, xvii. i, xxi. 9, 15 ), and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (Jo. vi. 66; Apoc. iii. 4), and $\epsilon \in \kappa$ after $\sigma \omega \dot{\varphi} \xi \in \iota \nu$ or


 ...ìva $\tau v \phi \lambda o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} ;$ xi. $15 \chi^{a i ́ \rho \omega . . . i \nu \nu a ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon: ~ A p o c . ~ x i v . ~}$ 13, xxii. 14).
(3) Coming to the style of the books, a comparison will lead to results very similar to those which were obtained by examining their vocabularies. The general effect of the style of the Gospel is as far as possible from the effect which the Apocalypse produces on the mind of the reader: "it is free from solecisms, becaùse it avoids all idiomatic expressions ${ }^{1}$." The book flows along smoothly from the prologue to the end; there is no startling phrase, no defiance of syntax; if it is obviously the work of one who was more familiar with the construction of the Semitic than of the Greek sentence ${ }^{2}$, yet the author seldom or never offends against definite laws. In these respects he not only differs from the Apocalyptist, but stands at the opposite pole to the eccentricities, the roughnesses, the audacities, of the latter. Yet it is also true that he has many points of resemblance with the writer of the Apocalypse, both in regard to sentence-formation and to the phrasing of his thoughts. As to the former, the following points have been noticed amongst others. (i)' Both the Evangelist and the Apocalyptist fall in places into parallelisms;




 partial to the form of antithesis which presents first the positive and then the negative side of a statement or direction; e.g. Jo.



 oйтє $\psi \nu \chi \rho o ́ s . ~ x . ~ 4 \sigma \phi \rho a ́ \gamma \iota \sigma o \nu ~ a ̀ ~ e ̀ ̀ a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu ~ a i ~ e ́ ~ \pi \tau a ̀ ~ \beta \rho o \nu \tau a l, ~ к a i ~$ $\mu \eta े ~ a v i \tau a ̀ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \eta s . ~(i i i) ~ B o t h ~ r e p e a t ~ t h e ~ a r t i c l e ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ s a k e ~ o f ~$ emphasis: Jo. i. 9 тò $\phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta ı v o ́ v, ~ v i . ~ 32 ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \rho \tau \tau о \nu . . . \tau o ̀ \nu ~$
 Apoc. i. 5 ó $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v s$ ó $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s, ~ i i . ~ I I ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \partial a \nu a ́ t o v ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \delta e v \tau e ́ \rho o v, ~$

[^114]
 add parenthetic explanations for the sake of circumstantial fulness : ef. Jo. vi. 22 f., xi. I ff., xviii. 13 f.; Apoc. xii. 9 (xix. 2), xiv. II (xix. 20), xx. I4 (xxi. 8). (v) Similar or identical phrases occur in both, e.g. moteî̀ $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ (Jo. iii. 2 I ); motєî̀ $\psi \in \hat{\nu} \delta o s$ (Apoc. xxii. I 5) ; $\pi ⿰ \iota \epsilon \hat{\ell} \nu$ a $\eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~(J o . ~ i i . ~ I ~ I, ~ 23, ~ i v . ~ 54, ~ e t c ., ~ A p o c . ~$ xiii. 13 f., xix. 20) ; $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Jo. xiii. 8, Apoc. xx. 6) ; о้ขо $\mu a$ aủtê (Jo. i. 6, iii. r, xviii. Io, Apoc. vi. 8, ix. it). Even more remarkable are the following coincidences of language: Jo. i. I4






 Apoc. iii. 4 év $\lambda \in v \kappa o i s$. . The bearing of this evidence on the question of authorship will be discussed in a later chapter ${ }^{1}$; meanwhile we may observe that it creates a strong presumption of affinity between the Fourth Gospel and the Apocalypse, notwithstanding their great diversity both in language and in thought.
$$
{ }^{1} \text { c. } \mathrm{xy} .
$$

## XII.

## SYMBOLISM.

I. The Apocalypse of John shares with other apocalyptic writings a partiality for symbolical imagery and the symbolical use of numbers. Teaching by the use of symbols is found in every part of the Old Testament, but it becomes especially noticeable in the later prophecies, and in the book of Daniel. The visions of which these books largely consist present a succession of strange and sometimes weird or even monstrous shapes, designed to suggest ideas that could not be expressed in words, or persons or forces that the writer preferred to leave, unnamed. This habit was adopted by the non-canonical apocalyptists, from Enoch onwards, and it receives illustration in every page of St John's book.
2. The imagery of the Apocalypse lays under contribution all the departments of nature and life. The animal kingdom lends its $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ and its $\theta \eta \rho i a$-horses white, red, black and pale, the lamb and the calf, the lion, the leopard and the bear, the locust; the scorpion and the frog, the eagle and the vulture, the birds of the air and the fishes of the sea; the vegetable kingdom, its trees and herbs and grass. Earth, sea, and sky bring their tribute. Agricultural operations such as harvest and vintage, the life and trade of great cities, the march and clash of great armies, are all depicted on its canvas. A sea of glass is spread before the Throne in Heaven: a river flows through the Holy City. The sky yields its stars, now shining in the firmament, now falling to the earth, now forming a cluster in the hand of the Christ, or a coronet on the head of the Mother of Christ and Christendom. Across the heavens there sweeps from time to time a more than tropical storm of thunder, lightning, and hail, followed by earth-
quake. Human life supplies an abundance of imagery. We see the mother and her child, the harlot and her lovers, the bride arrayed for her husband. Crowned heads wear the $\sigma \tau$ '́ $\phi=1 \nu o s$ or the $\delta t a ́ \delta \eta \mu a$; warriors carry the two-edged sword; the shepherd appears with his iron-tipped staff, the reaper with his sickle, the herald with his trumpet, the builder with his measuring rod, the holiday-keeper with flute and harp, the reveller with golden cup, the king with his roll, written within and on the back with the secrets of State and sealed. Figures move across the stage attired in the long girdled robe of kingly or priestly dignity, or in the shining white of byssus; two are dressed in sackcloth; one wears purple and scarlet, and is decked with gold and precious stones and pearls.
3. (a) A large proportion of this imagery is drawn, as a previous section will have shewn, from the Old Testament. Places, persons, and objects which occur in the historical books reappear in the Apocalypse as symbols of facts in the life of the Church or of the new world to which the Church points and which lies behind the visible order. Familiar place-names meet us here and there-the Euphrates, Egypt, Sodom, the Hill of Megiddo, Babylon, Jerusalem. The seven-branched candlestick of the
 Churches of Asia; Balaam finds his analogue in the Nicolaitans, and Jezebel in a Thyatiran prophetess. The new Israel is confronted by a new Babylon, and the Bride of Christ is a new Jerusalem. The Elders round the Throne answer to the elders of Israel ; the Two Witnesses exercise powers which remind the reader of the miracles of Moses and Elijah. Tabernacle and Temple, altar and censer and ark, recall the religious glories of ancient Israel. A holy place not made with hands is seen in the heavenly places; the manna laid up before God finds its counterpart in the future life of the victorious Christian. (b) In other instances the N.T. Apocalypse adopts in part or in whole the symbolism of the O.T. writers, as when it speaks of the Tree of Life, the Book of Life, the Water of Life; or the metaphors of the O.T. become the symbols of the new prophecy, as when our

Lord is designated the Lamb and the Lion of the Tribe of Judah, or the Root of David; or again, a whole system of O.T. symbolism is more or less fully pressed into the service of the book, as in the case of the High Priest's breastplate, and of Ezekiel's scheme of a restored Jerusalem.
4. The Apocalyptist, however, does not limit himself to O.T. imagery, but has much that is his own, or that belongs to the-common stock of the later apocalyptic. The Woman with Child has no parallel in the O.T., and in spite of Gunkel's efforts to find the genesis of this fine conception in Babylonian folklore, it' may be confidently regarded as essentially a creation of the writer's own mind, under the influence of the Spirit of Christ. The description of the Harlot Babylon, seated on the scarlet Beast, has points of contact with passages in the Hebrew Prophets; but as a whole it is new and original. A like verdict may be passed upon the three great sevenfold visions, the Seal Openings, the Trumpet Blasts, and the Outpouring of the Bowls; their partial indebtedness to the Old Testament does not take from the freshness and vigour of St John's symbolism. The idea of a millennium was in the air when St John wrote, but no writer had used it as the symbol of a spiritual triumph, or worked it into a scheme of the Divine ordering of history.
5. Much of the imagery of the Apocalypse is doubtless not symbolism, but merely designed to heighten the colouring of the great picture, and to add vividness and movement to its scenes. Such secondary details, like many of the minor features in the Parables of our Lord, must not be pressed into the service of a spiritual interpretation, or indeed of any specific interpretation whatever, their purpose being simply to contribute to the general effect of the context where they occur. These non-symbolical images are sometimes taken from the life of the times, as when the writer recounts the imports that found their way to the new Babylon, many of which he may himself have seen shipped off to Ostia from the port of Ephesus; or they belong to the common stock of the eschatological language of apocalyptic writing (e.g. vi. I2 ff.); or they are due to the inspired imagination of the

Apocalyptist himself, forming part of the picture which is present to his mind as he writes.
6. But there is also much which is directly symbolical. In not a few cases the writer stops to interpret the symbol (e.g. in cc. i. 20 , iv. 5 , v. 6 , xii. 9 , xvii. 9 f., 12,15 ). In others the symbolical meaning is only half veiled; thus it is impossible to mistake the import of the standing Figure in i. 13 ff ., or of the seated Figure in c. iv. 2, or of the Lamb, or the Lamb's Wife. There remain, however, a certain number of symbolic forms as to which there is room for diversity of judgement even among interpreters who follow the same general method of interpretation. Thus in c. vi. 2 the rider on the white horse is by some commentators identified with the Divine Rider of c. xix. II, while others regard the former as symbolizing either the Roman or the Parthian conqueror. In c. vii. the 144,000 are by some understood to represent, like the countless multitude, the whole body of the Church, though under a different aspect or at another stage of its history, whereas others take the two visions to set forth respectively the Jewish and Gentile Christians, or the Jewish Church and the Christian Church. In c. xi. 8 interpreters are divided as to the meaning of "the great city"; in xvii. 12 there is considerable difference of opinion as to the identity of the "ten kings." Many other such ambiguities perplex the student of the Apocalypse, and though he may be able to arrive at conclusions which satisfy his own judgement, it is impossible to offer such reasons for them as will compel assent. But the uncertainty which thus besets apocalyptic interpretation does not seriously detract from the general value of the book. Nor can it be laid to the charge of the author that he is unnecessarily obscure. It is of the nature of apocalyptic literature to be involved in some measure of obscurity; and this is not the least valuable of its characteristics, for it affords scope for the exercise
 ' $\chi \omega \nu$ $\sigma o \phi i ́ a \nu$ (xiii. 18 , xvii. 9). In the elasticity of symbolical language the Apocalypse has its chief advantage over the more exact and didactic, but less inspiring and suggestive style of ordinary prophecy.
7. No reader of our Apocalypse can have failed to notice the frequent recurrence of numbers which appear to carry with them a certain symbolical meaning ${ }^{1}$.

The following are the numbers that are met with in the book: $2,3,3 \frac{1}{2}, 4,5,6,7,10,12,24,42,144,666$ (or according to another reading, 616), $1000,1260,1600,7000,12,000,144,000,100,000,000$, $200,000,000$. The predominant number is seven, which occurs fiftyfour times. The book is addressed to seven Churches represented by seven lampstands, while their 'angels' are seven stars. There are seven Spirits of God, symbolized by seven lamps. The Book in the Hand of God is sealed with seven seals; the Lamb before the Throne has seven eyes and seven horns. Seven angels blow seven trumpet-blasts; seven other angels pour out the contents of seven bowls full of the seven last plagues. Seven thunders utter voices which the Seer is bidden not to write. Seven thousand are killed in the great earthquake which follows the ascension of the Two Witnesses. The Dragon has seven heads, and upon them seven diadems; the Wild Beast from the Sea has seven heads on which are "names of blasphemy"; the Scarlet Beast on which Babylon sits has likewise seven heads, variously interpreted by the writer as seven mountains, or seven kings. Next in frequency to the heptad is the dodecad. The new Israel, like its predecessor, consists of twelve tribes; the Mother of Christ is crowned with twelve stars; the new Jerusalem has twelve portals, and the wall that girdles it rests on twelve foundation stones on which are engraved the names of the twelve Apostles; the Tree of Life in the new Paradise bears twelve manner of fruits, after the number of the months. Maltiples of twelve, also, are common. Each of the tribes of the new Israel contains 12,000, making a total of 144,000 ; and 144,000 is also the number of the virgin souls which in the second part of the book are seen surrounding the Lamb on Mount Zion. The Elders round the Throne are twenty-four, and they are seated on as many subordinate thrones. Each side of the Holy City is 12,000 stades in length, and the wall which surrounds it is 144 cubits in height.
$T e n$ is another favourite number. The time of pressure which

[^115]is coming on the Churches of Asia will last ten days. Both the Dragon and the first of the two Wild Beasts have ten horns ; and so has the Scarlet Beast, whose horns' are interpreted as "ten kings." As a multiple ten enters into most of the higher numbers in the book. Four, again, occurs frequently. The $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$ are four; four angels stand at the four corners of the earth, charged with the control of the four winds of heaven; four angels are bound at the Euphrates, until the moment comes for the execution of their work of slaughter. The Holy City lies foursquare, and forms a perfect cube. Three is somewhat less prominent, but the last three Trumpets constitute a triad of " Woes," and under the earlier Trumpets a third part of everything which has been attacked is smitten (viii. 7-12; cp. ix. 15, xii. 4). The "great city" is rent by an earthquake into three parts; each side of the square which forms the new Jerusalem is entered by three portals. There are other numbers which are used symbolically but once. The wings of the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ are six; there are five months during which the world is tortured by the locusts of the Abyss; the Witnesses who are slain and rise again and ascend to heaven are two.
8. The recurrence of some of these numbers, notably of seven ${ }^{1}$, twelve, ten and four, can scarcely be accidental. The writer's partiality for them is due in some measure to his Semitic habits of thought. To the Hebrew mind seven denotes completion, as we gather from countless passages of the Old Testament ${ }^{2}$. An apocalyptist who was a Christian Jew would find a special attraction in a number which had already played a great part in Jewish apocalypses from Daniel onwards. It would fall in with this tendency of the writer's mind if, as has been thought, the most prominent of the Churches of Asia were as a matter of fact seven in number, so that, as the phrase ai $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{i} a i ~ a i \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'A $\sigma$ ía (i. 4) suggests, they were probably known as the Seven Churches in Asia even before they were so addressed by St John ${ }^{3}$.

[^116][^117]But in any case the selection of Seven Churches as the recipients of the Apocalypse strikes a keynote which rings through the earlier chapters, and determines the number of the lampstands, the Angel-stars, the Spirits of God, and the Eyes of the Lamb. In the second part of the book the seven heads of the Dragon and the Wild Beast are perhaps suggested by the seven hills of Rome and the seven Augusti who preceded Domitian. But though local circumstances chimed in with the traditional use of this number, the writer, as we have said, was doubtless drawn to it by its O.T. associations, and it is used in conformity with O.T. practice. Each series of seven is complete in itself, and each suggests the perfection which belongs to the Divine, or that which is claimed by the Antichrist.

Of other numbers which appear to be symbolically used in the Apocalypse three and four occur in connexion with memorable incidents or contexts of the Old Testament (Gen. xviii. 2, Ex. xxiii. 14, Deut. iv. 4I, Dan. vi. ıo; Gen. ii. ro, Ez. i. 5, Dan. vii. 2, vii. 8). Three seems to denote limited plurality; four, the number of the winds and the quarters of the sky, is a fitting symbol for the visible creation. Ten, also, has a recognized meaning; as the round number, it is suggestive at once of indefiniteness and of magnitude; in the thousand both these features are magnified, and a thousand years thus represents a great period of time stretching over many generations, but of unknown length. The uncertainty which results from such a use of numbers would be fatal to the value of a historical document, but it is admirably adapted to the purpose of an apocalypse, where the veil is lifted only so far as to disclose the dim outline of great issues.
9. Two of the Apocalyptic numbers call for separate treatment. (a) Three and a half days are given as the interval between the death and resurrection of the Two Witnesses (xi. 9, I I). This period corresponds with the "time, times and a half" of c. xii. 14, which is taken over from Dan. vii. 25, xii. 7. In Daniel this expression probably represents the three and a half years during which Jerusalem was in the hands of the Syrian oppressor, and the Apocalypse accordingly uses it or its equivalents ( 42 months, 1260 days) to signify the age of persecution, whatever its duration
might be. Other explanations are less probable. Gunkel thinks of the $3 \frac{1}{2}$ months which intervened between the winter solstice and the Babylonian festival of Marduk ${ }^{1}$. Others, again, identifying the time, times, and a half of Dan. vii. 25 with the half-week
 light of a 'broken seven,' a symbol of the interruption of the Divine order by the malice of Satan and evil men.
(b) If the number 666 in Apoc. xiii. I8 is to be regarded as a symbol, there is verisimilitude in Dr Briggs' suggestion that a number which in every digit falls short by one of the completeress and perfection of the mystic seven, fitly represents the failure of Antichrist to reach the goal to which he aspires. But (1) this conception might have been conveyed with equal effect by 66 , or 6666; (2) it leaves the alternative reading (6I6) wholly unexplained; and (3) from the time of Irenaeus tradition has fixed on another and a more natural explanation: The number, whether we read $\chi{ }^{\xi} 5^{\prime}$, or with some contemporaries of Irenaeus $\chi \quad 5^{\prime}$, is probably a cryptogram, and not a true symbol. It is possible that the Number of the Beast holds its secret still ${ }^{2}$.
 been accepted by the scholars of many generations, no solution hitherto offered commands general assent.

Io. In this chapter a Semitic origin has been claimed for the symbolism of the Apocalypse. The force of local circumstances is

[^118][^119]not, indeed, to be overlooked. In the words of Sir W. M. Ramsay ${ }^{2}$, "such ideas and symbolic forms were in the atmosphere and in the minds of men at the time; and the ideas with which he [St John] was familiar moulded the imagery of his visions, unconsciously to himself." But apart from influences of this kind, it must not be forgotten that it was necessary to provide the Church with a make-weight against the power which heathenism exerted over the Asian cities through its abundant use of symbolism in literature and in art. In art Christianity could as yet do nothing to counteract this hostile force. The Apostolic age was necessarily opposed to the Art of the time ${ }^{2}$, which was pagan to the core; the Church of the first century had not either the power or the desire to emulate the splendours of the heathen temples. She could not erect statues to the Glorified Christ, or stamp His image and superscription on the currency, or institute public festivals in His honour. But if she might not avail herself as yet of the help of Art, there was abundant precedent in the Hebrew Scriptures for the literary representation of the unseen world. It was permissible to assist the faith of the suffering Churches by symbolical visions of the majesty of their Divine Lord, now walking in their midst, now standing before the celestial Throne, now riding forth to victory with the armies of Heaven under His command. It was not less permissible to paint in glowing colours the moral glory of the Christian Society, and her magnificent destiny, or to place in contrast with them the abominable vices, the paltry display, and the certain doom of Rome. Yet in this legitimate appeal to the Christian imagination the Apgcalyptist is careful to avoid representations which could be placed before the eye by the painter's art. No scene in the great Christian Apocalypse can be successfully reproduced upon canvas; "the imagery...is symbolic and not pictorial ${ }^{3}$."

[^120][^121]
## XIII

## USE OF THE OLD TESTAMENT <br> AND OTHER LITERATURE.

I. The Apocalyptist's use of the Old Testament is by no means limited to its symbolical imagery and numbers; its thoughts and its very words appear in every part of his book. It is true that the Apocalypse is marked by an entire absence of the formal quotations which are to be found in other parts of the New Testament ${ }^{1}$; the nature of the work precluded the author from a direct appeal to his source. Fet no writer of the Apostolic age makes larger use of his predecessors. From the list of "quotations from the Old Testament" with which the appendix to Westcott and Hort's second volume ends, it appears that of the 404 verses of the Apocalypse there are 278 which contain references to the Jewish Scriptures.. The following table is not exhaustive, but it will suffice to shew the extent of St John's debt to the Old Testament, and his method of using it.

## Apocalypse. <br> Greek verbions of the Old Testament ${ }^{2}$.

i. I (iv. I, xxii. 6) ä $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \gamma \epsilon \operatorname{lv}^{\prime} \sigma \theta a u$.

i. 4 (i. 8, iv. 8, xi. т7, xvi. 5) • Ex. iii. 14 \% ©゙v. $\stackrel{\delta}{\boldsymbol{o}} \omega$.
 т $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ тós.
i. $5^{\text {b }}$ ó $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ о́токоs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ עєк $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$


[^122]tion, $\sigma^{\prime}=$ Symmachus, of $\lambda=0 \ell_{0}$ hom , Where the version is not specified it is that of the cxx.
 т $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$.



 каì oítuvєs av́rò̀ $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ́ v \tau \eta \sigma a v, ~ к а i ̀ ~$
 गे $\mathrm{s} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$.
i. 8 ó таутокра́тшр.
i. I3 (xiv. 14) ŏ ofocov viòv áv $\theta \rho \dot{\jmath} \pi$ ov.

 $\zeta \omega v \hat{\eta} v \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{a} v$.

 abrov̂ és $\phi \lambda \grave{\varrho} \xi$ тupós (cf. ii. 18, xix. 12 ).
i. 15 (xiv. 2, xix. 6) $\dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu \eta$

 a

i. $16^{b}$ (cf. x. I) ws $\begin{gathered}\text { o } \\ \eta\end{gathered} \lambda \cos$

i. $17^{a}$ è $\pi \epsilon \sigma \alpha$ т $\rho$ òs тò̀s $\pi o ́ \delta \alpha$,
 фoßov̂.
i. $17^{\text {b }}$ (ii. 8, xxii. 13) é $\gamma \omega$ є є $\mu \iota$

${ }^{1}$ Both Ixx. and Thr. have $\dot{\omega} \sigma \in l$ Xaba ( $x \omega \dot{\omega}$ ) just before, in reference to the



 iєрєís Kupiov кл $\boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

Dan. vii. $13{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi i\left(\theta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}\right) \tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$




 фu入às фvגás...тấal ai vito $\mu \epsilon ́ v a l$ фv $\lambda a i^{\prime}$

Am. iv. 13 ó таутокра́тшр.
Dan. vii. 13 wis viòs àvt $\rho$ úrtov. Ci. Dan. x. $16 \theta^{*}$ ws ${ }^{\circ} \mu \nu \dot{o} \omega \omega \sigma t s$

 ảvס́ós.,


 ßúcotva каi тท̀̀v ö $\sigma \phi \grave{v} v \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon-$ §んб $\mu$ и́vos $\beta$ vacoíve.

Dan. vii. 9 тò т $\tau i \chi \chi^{\omega \mu \alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$

 є́pго ка $\theta$ аро́и $)^{1}$ : x. 6 oi ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu о$ i


Ez. i. 24 wंs $\phi \omega \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} v$ v̈ $\delta$ aros $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̂: ~ x l i i i . ~ 2 ~ i ́ s ~ \phi \omega v \eta ̀ ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha-$





Isa. xlix. 2 êt $\theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \nu$ тò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a$

 ėv $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ aùтoû.

Dan. х. 9, $12 \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \pi є \pi \tau \omega к \omega$ м...
 єітєє $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ M ̀ ̀ ̀ ̀ ~ ф о \beta o v ̂ . ~$.




clothing.
i. i 8 (vi. 8 , xx. 13 f.) tov̂ $\begin{gathered}\text { avár }\end{gathered}$ rov кaì tô̂ ậ $\delta o u$.
 тav̀тa.
i. 20 тò $\mu v \sigma \tau$ ти́poov.
ii. 7 (xxii. 2, 14,19 ) èk тov
 $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta ¢ \epsilon i ́ \sigma \varphi ~ \tau о \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \hat{v}$.






 рєфроѝs каї кардías.
ii. $23^{\text {b }}$ (xxii. 12) $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \mu i v$

ii. 26 (xii. 5, xix. 15) $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$




iii. 5 (xiii. 8, xvii. 8, xx. 12 ,

 § $\omega \hat{\eta} \overline{\text { s. }}$.

 клєiec ḱaì ov̉deìs ảvoígє!.










 Ez. xxxi. 8).




 16 тoîs viois 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta$ in.
 aùtoîs $\mu a ́ v v a ~ ф а у \epsilon \hat{v}, ~ к а i ~ a ̈ \rho т o v ~$ oủpavồ ह̂ठwкev aùtoîs.

Isa. lxii. 2 тò ớvоца тò каıvơv (cf. lxv. 15).
3 Regn. xx. (xxi.) $2{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{I} \epsilon \zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \lambda$


 xi. 20, xx. 12; Ps. vii. 10 , xxv. (xxvi.) 2).


 $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu i a v ~ \sigma o v \cdot ~ \pi о ц \mu а \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a u ̀ t o u ̀ s ~$




 (cf. Ps. exxxviii. (exxxix.) 16, Mal. iii. 16, Dan. xii. I).

Isa. xxii. 22 (B) $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



 àpoír $\omega$.

Isa. xlv. 14 $\delta \iota a \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v t a l ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s$
 xlix. 23, lx. 14).


 $\theta \in \mathfrak{0}$.
 каì $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda о$ и́т $\eta \kappa \alpha$.



 $\theta$ v́pav...

iv. 2 iठov̀ $\theta$ ро́vos éкєєтo èv


iv. 3 ipıs кук $\lambda o ́ \theta \epsilon v$ тov̂ $\theta \rho o ́ v o u . ~$
iv. 5 (cf. viii. 5, xi. 15, 19,

 $\beta$ pouraí.
 крибтá $\lambda \lambda \mu$.
 $\gamma^{\prime} \mu о \nu \tau \alpha$ ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota}$ отเซ $\theta \in \nu$ (cf. 8).

 $\dot{\alpha} \in \tau \hat{Q}$,




 $\tau \omega \nu$ ai $\omega \nu \omega \nu$.
v. 1 €̇ $\pi \grave{\imath}$ тク̀v $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota a ̀ v . . . \beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ o v$
 катєбфраүиб $\mu$ є́vоу.



 то́лєшs.

Prov. viii. 22 Kúplos ếктьб́́v
 aย่ากขิ.
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ ои́т ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \alpha$ (cf. Zech. xii. 5).

Prov. iii. 12 ồv jà $\rho$ aja ${ }^{2} \hat{a}$



 *Avoţóv $\mu \mathrm{ot}$.

Ex. xix. i $6 \phi \omega \nu \eta ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi i r \gamma \gamma^{\circ}$.
3 Regn. xxii. Iq єíóov $\theta$ còv
 (cf. Isa. vi. I, Ps. xlvi. (xlvii.) g).

Ez. i. 28 wis óparcs тógov... оӥт $\tau \varsigma \ldots \kappa v \kappa \lambda$ ó $\theta \in \nu$.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi є \pi о р є \cup ́ \epsilon \tau о$ ӓотралй.

Ez. i. 22 о́ $\mu \circ i ́ \omega \mu \alpha \ldots \ldots \sigma \epsilon$ отє-



 тoîs $\tau \in ́ \sigma \sigma a \rho \sigma \iota \nu$.

 14, $\alpha^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ ).


 Kv́́noos $\sigma \alpha \beta a \omega^{\prime} \theta$.
 tòv aī̂va (cf. vi. 26 (27), xii. 7).


 Isa. xxix. il wis oi $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\prime} o c$ tov̂ $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ óov тov̂ è $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ évov...ov̉ ถúvацац àvaүvติval, ѐ $\sigma ф \rho a ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho . ~$

Gen. xlix. 9 бкv́циоя $\lambda$ є́ovtos, ${ }^{2}$ Ioúda....́s $\lambda$ é $\omega v$. Isa. xi. I, Io




v． $6^{\mathrm{b}}$ ỏ $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o u ̀ s ~ \dot{\in} \pi r \dot{r} . . . \epsilon i s$ $\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha \nu \tau \eta \grave{\eta}^{\nu} \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$.
v． 8 （viii． $3^{\text {b }}$ ）$\theta \nu \mu a \mu a ́ т \omega \nu$ aí єírl aí тporev $\chi$ aí．

v．II $\mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ \delta \in s \quad \mu \nu \rho t a ́ \delta i \omega \nu$ каі̀

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma \ldots \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho o ́ s .}$
vi． 8 àтоктєîvą èv ค̊орфаía．．．
 Өпрí $\omega$ у．


 xix．2）．





vi． 14 ó ovjpa òs．．．．ws $\beta \iota \beta$ iov é $\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma$ ớ $\mu \in \nu o \nu$.

vi． $15^{\text {b }}, ~ 16$ éx $\kappa \rho \cup \psi a \nu$ éauroùs єis

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ย́vov．．．
 5，Zech．iii．8，vi．12）．

 （cf．Lev．i．ro f．）．Isa．liii． 7 ws



 бфа $\gamma^{\prime} v$ ）．

Zech．iv．ro én $\pi$ à ovito ó ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i ́$
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\hat{\eta} \nu}$.

Ps．cxl．（cxli．） $2 \boldsymbol{\eta}$ тротєux

 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \sigma о \mu a i ́ \sigma o L$.

Dan．vii．Io $\chi^{i \lambda c a l} \chi^{\iota} \lambda_{c}{ }^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon s . .$.


Zech．i． 8 iт $\pi \pi=\pi$ тирроi каi廿ароі̀ каі тоєі́入оь каі̀．入єукоі́．



Jer．xiv． $12 \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{e} \nu$ maxaipa кai $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$
 aưroús．Ez．xiv． 21 คоо $\mu$ фаíav каі
 （cf．Jer．xxi．7，Ez．v．12， 17 ， xxix．5，xxxiii． 27 ，xxxiv．28）．

Zech．i． 12 ÉNs tivos ob $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vínv avirov̂ éк $\delta \iota \kappa$ âtal．Hos．
 катоцкоиิ้таs $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$.

 aipa．

 $\sigma v \kappa \eta$ §．

Isa．xxxiv． 4 è $\lambda \iota \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \in \tau \alpha l$ wis $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ o \nu$ ó oúpavós．

Ps．ii． 2 oi $\beta$ aб亢 $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} s \hat{\eta}_{s} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ （xlvii．（xlviii．）5，A：Isa．xxiv． 2I，xxxiv．12）．

Isa．ii．Io， 19 єíć̇ $\lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ єis тàs

 каขтєs єis $\tau \grave{a} \sigma \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha \iota a$（cf．Jer．iv． 29）．

 бтаӨ̂̂val:
vii. x (xx. 8) ẻ̉ǐ tàs тévoapas $\gamma$ witas $\uparrow \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\hat{\eta}}$.
vii. 3 .(ix. 4 , xiv. 1 , xxii. 4)
 $\pi \omega \nu$.
 $\lambda \eta \mathrm{s}$.

 ápvíov.



 ข์ס́́t $\boldsymbol{\tau}$.

 аu่тติข.
 átpoíou.

 тоvิ Өvataotทoiou.


 $\mu$ нvov.
viii. $8^{\text {b/ }}$ (xvi. 3 ) द̀ द́'veto то̀ тpítov



 кацívov.
 кvpíov...каì тís ếctai îkavòs aủrท̂;


 Kupiov. Nah. i. 6 tís ävtıotóбeєac; (cf. Ps. lxxv. (lxx́vi.) 8, Mal. iii. 2).

Ez. vii. 2. $\dot{\text { érì }}$ tàs $\tau \in ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho a s$ ттépvyas भท̂s $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$.
 нє́тшта



Gen. xlix. $11 \pi \lambda \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} . . . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau 0-$ $\lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ av̉roû...èv $\alpha \boldsymbol{\imath} \mu \alpha \tau<$.

Isa. xlix. 10 ov่ $\pi \epsilon$ tváóovarıv

 $\pi \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ vi $\delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\xi \in \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ́ s ~(c f . ~ J e r . ~$ ii. 13 ).

 ảmò $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi т v . ~$
 Ovaraotipiov.

 тov̂ Өvaıa⿱亠тpiov.

 Ez xxxviii. 22 крเv̂̂ av่тòv...




Jer. xxviii. (li) 25 wis őpos

 $\mu \in \tau \in ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ тâv тò vínop tò èv tệ тот $\alpha \mu$ © єis ai $\mu$.
 oủpavov̂ ô é $\omega \sigma \phi$ ópos.

Gen: xix. 28 àvé $\beta a \iota v e v$ ф ${ }^{\text {ò }} \xi$
 (7ทํ) канívov. Ex. xix. 18 ávéßąvè ó калvòs ws калvòs каци́vov:
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.


 mos．
 $\tau \omega \nu$ ที $\sigma \alpha \nu$
ix． $9 \eta^{\circ} \phi \omega v \eta^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \cup \gamma \omega \nu \ldots \omega^{\prime}$

 Eủфpáty（cf．xvi．Iz）．
 $\alpha \hat{\Delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
 тà $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v ı a$.
 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \grave{a} a j \rho \gamma \nu \rho a ̂$ кaì $\tau a ̀ \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\lambda i ́ \theta \iota v a \kappa \alpha i ̂ \tau a ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda ı \nu a$.


ix． $21 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фар $\mu \alpha \kappa \iota \hat{\nu} \nu \ldots \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ торvєías．
x． $3 \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 入 $\epsilon \in \nu \mu \nu \kappa \hat{\alpha} \tau a \iota$.
x． 4 （xxii．10）$\sigma \phi \rho a ́ y เ \sigma o v ~ \stackrel{a}{a}$ ė $\lambda a^{\prime} \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu . .$.





 Soúdovs $\tau \circ$ ѝs $\pi \rho \circ \not \eta^{\prime} \tau a s$.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．

Job iii． 2 I ó $\mu \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta a v a ́-~$ тov каі̀ oú $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\text {ávozocıv．}}$



Joel i． 6 oi ơoóvtes av̉rov̂ ỏoóvtes $\lambda$ éovtos．
 cis $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o v$ ．

Gen．xv． 18 モ̃ $\omega \mathrm{s}$ то̂̀ motapô̂
 Jos．i．4）．
 $\chi \epsilon!\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ av่̉ $\hat{\omega} \nu$.

Deut．xxxii． 17 そ̈voal $\delta \alpha \iota-$ $\mu$ оvíoss каì ov̉ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ ．

Dan．v． 23 クُvé $\sigma a \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ v \tau a$ тà є $i \delta \omega \lambda a\left(\theta^{\prime}\right.$ тoùs $\theta \epsilon o \grave{s}$ тoùs $\chi \rho v \sigma o u ̄ s$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̀ \rho \gamma v \rho o u ̂ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho o ̂ ̂ s ~ к а ı ~ \xi v \lambda i ́-~$ vous ка̀ $\lambda_{e} \theta^{\prime}$ voves $^{\prime}$ ；cf．v． $3 \theta^{\prime}$ ．

Ps．cxiii． 13 ff．（cxv． 5 ff．）．．．ou
 боvтац．．．ov $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma v \sigma t$ ．

4 Regn．ix． 22 ai торveial


Dan．viii． $26 \pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu\left(\Theta^{\prime}\right.$ $\sigma \phi \rho a ́ \gamma \iota \sigma o v)$ тò б̈ $\rho \alpha \mu \alpha$ ．xii． 4 $\sigma \phi \rho a ́ \gamma \iota \sigma a l\left(\theta^{\prime} \sigma \phi \rho a ́ \gamma \iota \sigma o v\right)$ тò $\beta \iota \beta$－入íou．



 aủrov̂．．．＇is тòv oủpavòv кaî $\tilde{\omega}^{\prime} \mu о \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 aî̀va．Deut．xxxii． $40 \dot{a} \rho \hat{\omega}$ eis

 Z $\hat{\omega}$ éyè̀ ciss tòv aièva．Ex．xx．I I
 đà $\grave{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ aúroîs（cf．Ps．cxlv．（cxlvi．） 6， 2 Esdr．xix．6）．

 $\pi \rho$ òs toùs Soúdous aủtov̂ тoùs $\pi \rho о ф \eta_{\tau}=5$（cf．Dan．ix．6，10， Zech．i．6）．




X．II $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \in \pi{ }_{\imath} \lambda_{l \nu} \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$
 каі $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma เ \nu$ то $\lambda \lambda \sigma i ́ s$.




xi． 4 aî סv́o ẻ̉aîal kaì aî סv́o入uxvía ai èvóttiov tov̂ кupíov tท̂s $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ．




 $\boldsymbol{\pi o} \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \ell \quad \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ айт $\hat{\omega} \nu$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu$ ．
 $\delta о \mu \alpha$ ．
 $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \% v \sigma เ \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \lambda o t s$.



 є $\pi$ íc．．．
xi． 12 f, àvé $\beta \eta \sigma a v$ єis $\tau \grave{v} v$ ov̉pa－
 $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \mu$ òs $\mu \epsilon$＇$\gamma \alpha$ ．



 aíuvav．



Ez．iii．1， 3 єĩєє $\boldsymbol{\pi \rho o ̀ s} \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon ́ . . . ~}$ ката́фаүє ті̀v кєфа入íó таúтךv．．．



 iii．4，vii．14）．

Ez．xl． 3 f．кáлацоs $\mu$ ét $\rho o v$. Zech．ii．I（5）f．$\sigma$ Хovio $\gamma \in \omega \mu \in \tau \rho-$ $\kappa о ́ v \ldots \delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{I} \epsilon \rho о v \sigma a \lambda \eta^{\prime} \mu$ ．







2 Regn．xxii． $9 \pi \hat{v} \rho$ є่к то仑
 i．то катє́ß $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ті́ ．．．каі̀ катє́фаүєV av่тóv．

Dan．vii．3，тé $\sigma \sigma a \rho a$ $\theta \eta \rho i ́ a ~ a ̉ v e ́-~$
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$ ouvtorá $\mu \epsilon \nu=\nu$ т $\rho$ òs тoùs áyiovs（ $\theta^{\prime}$ ढ̇тoícl mo入．$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha}{ }^{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime}(\omega \nu)$ ．

Ps．civ．（cv．） 38 є $\boldsymbol{v} \phi \rho \alpha^{v} \theta_{\eta} \mathrm{A}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ $\gamma$ vatos． 2 Esdr．xviii． 12 ảmo－
大白 $\eta$ v．

Ez．xxxvii．5，so ф＇́pw cis $\dot{\imath} \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \quad \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s . . . \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$


 ย่ $\pi^{\prime}$ aủroús．

4 Regn．ii．II $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \phi \theta \eta$
 ov̀pavóv．

Dan．ii． 44 ธ̊ $\theta$ єòs tov̂ oủpavov̂．
Ps．ii． 2 тои̂ кขрíov каі̀．．．то̂̀ रøıotov aủrov（cf．I Regn．xii． 3），ix． 37 （x．16）$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ v́ $\epsilon \epsilon$
 xv．18）．

Ps．xcviii．（xcix．）I Kúpıos
 （cf．ii．5，12）．

## cxlviii USE OF THE O．T．AND OTHER LITERATURE

xi． 18 тoîs фоßou $\mu$ évots тò òvo $\mu$ á vov，тov̀s $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha}^{-}$ גovs．
xii．2， 5 wóívovaa．．，тєкєîv．．．



xii． 8 （xx．I I）oủถ̀ tótos épé ${ }^{\prime} \eta$ $\alpha$ น่т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．
 $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
xii． $9^{\text {b }}$（xx．12）о кадои́ $\kappa є \nu о$ я $\Delta$ เáßo入os каl ó $\sigma$ атагâs．
xii． 12 єv́фpaívєo $\theta \epsilon$ ，oủpavoí．
xii． 14 кацрòv каï кацро̀̀s каi ท̈นเซv кацроิ．
xiii． 2 тò Anpíov．．．ク้̈ ö $\mu$ ocov


xiii． 5 бтó $\mu a$ 入a $\lambda о \hat{v} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda a$ ．


xiii．то $\epsilon i ̈$ ris cis aix $\mu a \lambda \omega \sigma i a v$, cis aiұ $\mu a \lambda \omega \sigma$ íav írá $\gamma \in \iota$ кт $\lambda$ ．







 $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {á }} \lambda_{\eta}$（xvi．ıg，xvii． 5 ，xviii．2， 10，21）．


Ps．cxiii． 21 （cxv．13）toùs
 кроѝs $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu$ ．





 $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota)$ ．

Dan．ii． $35 \theta^{\circ}$ каì то́ттаs ov̉ $\chi$ évét $\theta_{\eta}$ avitois．

Job i． 6 （Zech．iii．r）ó $\delta e a ́ \beta o \lambda o s$




Dan．vii． 25 ढ́s каиоо仑 каi

 кацрòv каі кацроѝs каі ท̈цибv кацроиิ．



Exi．xv． 1 I rís öpotós rot；（Ps． xxxiv．（xxxv．）10，1xx．（lxxi．）19）， of．Isa．xiv． 4 ．

Dan．vii． 20 бтó $\mu \alpha$ גàov̂r $\mu є \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda a$ ．

 av̉тoús．
 єis $\mu$ áxalpav．．．каi öбои єis aíx $\mu a-$ $\lambda \omega \sigma i a v$, eis aix $\mu a \lambda \omega \sigma i a v$.

Dan．iii． 6 Tâs ớs âv $\mu$ ŋ̀ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \grave{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v \nu \eta \sigma_{\eta}[\tau \hat{\eta}$ єiкóvı］кт入．

Isa．liii． 9 ov̉סt $\delta$ ódov $\dot{\epsilon} v$ r $\hat{\varphi}$ бто́матı av̉rov̂（Zeph．iii．13）．

 $\theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu B^{\text {ab }}$ AF］．
 Baßu入手v．Jer．xxviii．（li．） 8 äфv
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ．

 àкра́тоv.

xiv. І І ơ кат
 ท̀ $\mu$ épas каі vшкто́s (cp. xix. 3, xx. 10).




 і̇ $\pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \eta^{\eta} \lambda \eta \nu o ́ s$.
xv. $1 \pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ às $\varepsilon$ ér $\pi \alpha$.


xv. $3^{\text {b }} \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a$ каì Oav $\mu a \sigma$ тà $\tau \grave{a}$

 ódoí $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ov.
 тis ov̉ $\mu \hat{\eta}$ фо $\beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \ldots$...каì $\delta o \xi a ́ \sigma \in \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ övouá $\sigma o v ;$


 eis tòv vaóv.
 тov̂ $\theta v \mu o \hat{~ т o v ̂ ~} \theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \epsilon i ร ~ \tau ̀ ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} v$.
 тогпро́v.


 $\mu$ ми́s...каì éү́́veто аіра.

 (cf. Ps. lxxiv. (lxxv.) 8 поти́ $\rho \iota o v$



Gen. xix. 24 Gtiov каi mīp (Ez. xxxviii. 22).

Isa. xxxiv. го уvктòs каî répépas,

 au่าทิs ä̀vต.

Joel iii. (iv.) 13 е́ $\xi a \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda a t \epsilon$






Lev. xxvi. $21 \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a ̀ s$ é $\pi \tau$ á.


 థंठ̄ท̂s тaúrns. Jos. xiv. 7 M. © тaîs. tov̂ $\theta \in \theta \hat{v}$.
 Kupíov. cxxxviii. (exxxix.) I4 $\theta a v \mu a ́ \sigma \iota a$ тà éf $\rho \gamma^{\circ}$ vov.

Deut. xxxii 4 ध cós, ả $\lambda \eta \theta$ $\theta$ và $\tau \grave{a}$
 крíaєıs.

Jer. x. 7 (M.T.) $\theta^{\prime}$ тís ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\phi \circ \beta_{\eta} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v{ }^{2} \theta_{\nu} \omega \nu$; Ps. lxxxv. (lxxxvi.) 9 каi סó̧áбovorv tò övo $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ бov.
 катьov̂. Ex. xl. 28 (34) סóğŋs


Ex. xl. 29 oùк $\dot{\eta} \delta \partial v a \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta$ M $\omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$


 Zeph. iii. 8).



Ex. vii. 21 oi í $\chi \theta$ ves oi $\bar{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{q}$


Ps. lxxvii. (lxxviii:) 44 цет́́. отрє屯er cis aima toùs тотаноùs av̀$\tau \hat{\nu}$ (cf. Ex. vii. 20).

Ps. cxliv. (cxlv.) 17 Síxaws Kи́pıos...каĭ ö́tos.
xvi． 6 aif $\alpha$ aủтoîs $\delta \in \dot{\delta} \omega \kappa \alpha \varsigma \pi \epsilon i v$.




xvi．I3 cis $\beta$ át $\rho a \chi^{\circ}$ ．




 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．

 ．．．ệк тồ oûvov tîs торvєías aủtท̂s．
xvii． 14 （xix．ı6）кúpıos кчрíwv є́бті̀ каі̀ $\beta$ абı $\lambda \epsilon$ ѐs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
xviii． 4 ѐ ${ }^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \lambda \theta a \tau \epsilon$, ó $\lambda a o ́ s ~ \mu o v$, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \dot{u} \tau \eta{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ ．



 сiцц＇．
 крívas av̉тグァ．
xviii．9－19．


Ps．lxxviii．（Ixxix．） $3{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi} \xi^{\epsilon} \chi \in \alpha v$


Isa．xlix． 26 тíovtal．．．tò dîua $\alpha$ นั่ติ้น．

Ps．cxviii．（cxix．） 137 סiкаиos

 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ Ai $\gamma \dot{\prime} \pi \tau o v$ ．

Isa．xliv， 27 toùs morapoús $\sigma o u$ $\xi \eta \rho \alpha \nu \hat{\omega}$ ．Jer．xxvii．（l．） $3^{8}$（Heb． Hex．）．

Ex．viii． 3 （vii．28） $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \in \mathfrak{e} \xi \in \tau \alpha L$ © тотанòs ßatрáхovs．
 $\mu$ évov（v．l．Mayeódóv）．




Jer．xxviii．（li．） 13 катабкๆ－
 тod入oís．
 （ oíкоv $\mu$ évŋs．Jer．xxviii．（li．） 7 $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \frac{\nu}{\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\gamma} \hat{\eta} \nu$.

Deut．文． $17 \theta \epsilon \cos \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi кúp．os $\tau \omega \nu$ кv $i ́ \omega \nu$ ．Dan．ii． 47 $\theta \epsilon \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \hat{i}$ кúplos т $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega v$（cf．iv．34）．

Isa．xiii． 21 f．ảvanav́бovtá ѐкєî $\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ ，кай̀ $\delta а \iota \mu о ́ v \iota \alpha ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 катоєки́боvбен（cf．xxxiv．14）． Jer．ix．II катоцитт́pıо ঠракóv－ $\tau \omega \nu$ ．

Jer．xxviii．（li．） $45 \theta^{\prime}$（？）＇$\epsilon \xi^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda-$


Ps．cxxxvi．（cxxxvii．） 8 накá－

 （cf．Jer．xxvii．（l．）29）．

Isa．xlvii． 7 f．citas Eis tò̀




Jer．xxvii．（1．） 34 ivxupós，Kú．


Ez．xxvi．，xxvii．passim．
Jer．xxviii．（li．） 63 f．$\lambda$＇$\theta o \nu .$.
 каі̀ ov̉ $\mu \eta े ~ \epsilon ย ์ \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta}$ Еัтє.
xviii. 22 ф $\omega v \grave{\eta} \ldots \mu$...

xviii. $23^{\text {in }}$ ф $\phi \nu \eta ̀ ~ \mu v ́ \lambda o v \ldots \phi \omega \bar{s}$ $\lambda$ ú $^{\chi} v o v . . . \phi \omega v \eta ̀ v v \mu \phi i ́ o v$ каìvv́ $\mu \phi \eta$ s.
 oi $\mu \epsilon \gamma \omega \tau \tau \hat{a} \nu \epsilon s \tau_{\hat{\eta} s} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$.
xix. Iff. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v i \alpha ́$.
xix. 3 ธ̀ катvòs av̉rท̂̀s àvaßaívє cis tov̀s aîurpas.
xix. 6 f. $\omega_{s} \phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \nu{ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda o v . .$.

 $\gamma^{\mu}$ évov, каì $^{\text {idoúoú. }}$
xix. 17 f. $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha \xi \in \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$

 фа́дұтє ба́ркам.
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \dot{e} \kappa \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ айт $\tau \hat{\nu}$.


xx .8 тòv $\Gamma \omega \grave{\gamma}$ каì May ${ }^{\prime} \gamma$, бvvaүаүєî̀ aย̉тоús.


 каі̀ катє́фаүєv av̉тои́s.

 av่rois.



xxi. $\mathbf{I}$ oủpavòv кauòv каì $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ каєьйv.



Ez. xxvi. I 3 т $\hat{\omega} v \mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ боv

Jer. xxv. Io фwvìv vu $\phi \omega v \grave{\eta} \nu \nu v{ }^{\prime} \mu \phi \eta \mathrm{s}, \dot{o} \sigma \mu \eta \eta_{\nu} \mu$ v́́oov (Heb.
 $\phi \omega v \eta ̀ \nu \mu v i \lambda o v) ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \phi \omega ̂ s ~ \lambda u ́ \chi v o v$.



Ps. civ. (cv.), al., tit. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ovïá.
Isa. xxxiv. Io cis tòv aichva xpó-


Dan. x. $6 \theta^{\prime}$ ws $\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta}$ oै $\chi \lambda$ 人ov.
Ps. xcvi. (xcvii.) I ò кúplos $\dot{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ä ${ }^{2} \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota a ́ \sigma є \tau \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$.
 каì єi̊̀ov....

Ez. xxxix. I 7 єimòv mavai obpv' $\epsilon$
 ...日vбíà $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta \nu \ldots$ каi фа́ $\gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ кре́a.
ib. $20 \dot{\dot{\epsilon}} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$



 ( $\theta^{\prime}$ то̀ крі́ка) є̈ $\delta \omega \kappa є$ roîs áyíols.

 $\sigma \epsilon$.
 $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau o s) \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$.

Jer. xi. $15 \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \varepsilon \eta$ (cf. Ps. lexxvi. (lxxxvii.) I).

4 Regn. i. то катє́ $\beta \eta \pi \hat{\imath} \rho$ є̇к то̂̂ oủ $\rho a v o \hat{v}$ каì кат́́фаүєv av̉тóv.

Ps. cxiii. (cxiv.) 3, $7 \eta^{\dot{v}} \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$
 Kvpiov є̇ $\sigma a \lambda \epsilon \tilde{v}^{\prime} \eta \eta \dot{\eta}^{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$. Dan. ii.


Dan. vii. 10 $\beta i ́ \beta \lambda o \dot{\eta} \quad \eta \in \dot{Q}^{-}$ $\chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \beta \dot{\beta} \beta \lambda_{\omega}$ ) (cf. Ps. lxviii. (lxix.) 29).


 'Iє ${ }^{\prime}$




 є́ $\sigma$ оитас.

xxi. $6 \tau \hat{\psi} . \delta \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \delta \omega \sigma \omega \ldots \delta \omega-$ مєáv.
 aủròs é $\sigma$ тal $\mu$ ol viós.


 $\theta \in \circ \hat{v}$.




 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{i} \frac{\imath}{i} a \sigma \pi t \mathrm{~s}$.
 ба́тфесроя.

 $\sigma \in \lambda \not{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \mathrm{s} \kappa \tau \lambda$.


 §ógav aùt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ єis aủt $\eta$ v.
xxi. 25 oi $\pi u \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ avit $\hat{\mathrm{s}}$ ov่ $\mu \grave{\eta}$


 Tầ кoเvóv.
 èк тov̂ Өpóvov.

 $\kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \hat{\eta} v a$ ékкaorov...каì $\tau$ à $\phi u ́ \lambda \lambda a$ тov̂ छú $\lambda o v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \grave{c} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 àyía.
 $\sigma \mu \eta \sigma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \mu \epsilon$.
 $\nu \omega \sigma$ '́s $\mu$ ov év aưtoîs, каi ếvo $\mu a c$ aủtoîs $\theta \epsilon$ ós, каì aủtoí pov ếซortai Xaós (cf. Zech. ii. Io (I4)).




 vióv (cf. Ps. Ixxxviii. (lexxix.) 29 f.).


 тєриттє入єî $\sigma \epsilon$ (cf. lx. If.).

Ez. xlviii. 3 I ff. тúdat $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~$
 трòs vótov... тà mpòs $\theta$ áta,


 oov tacatu.
 бár $\phi \in \iota \rho \sigma$.
 ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \lambda c o s \epsilon i s h o ̂ s ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$.

Isa. 1x. 3 торєи́боитає $\beta$ аблıлєis
 $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$ (cf. $\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ). Ps. Ixxi. (lxxii.)










 LXX., al. lect. ávádoots) av̀rôv єis íyíciav.
 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ t.
 aủ่า๐ิ.
xxii. 5 ßaनi入ev́वovoty eis toùs aicivas т $\omega \hat{\nu}$ aićvev.
xxii. 13 ó $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ós $\mu \sigma v \mu e \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v}$.



 $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i \not \omega$ тои́т $\varphi$.
 $\tau \hat{\Psi} \pi \rho o \sigma \omega ́ \pi \psi$ oov.

Dan. vii. 18 ка. $\theta$ ésougt गì


Isa. xl. Io ó $\mu \mathrm{c} \sigma$ Oòs aù $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ aư่ovิ.

Deut. iv. 2 ov̉ $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 $\dot{a}^{\prime} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ aย̇тov (xii. $32=$ xiii. I).

Deut. xxix. 20 (19) ai $\gamma^{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \rho a \mu-~}$

2. An examination of this table brings to light some instructive facts. (a) The writer of the Apocalypse refers to each of the three great divisions of the Hebrew canon, and to most of the books. He lays under contribution each of the books of the Law, the Book of Judges, the four Books of Kingdoms, the Psalms, the Proverbs, the Song, the Book of Job, all the major and seven of the minor Prophets. But there are certain books which he uses with especial frequency; more than half his references to the Old Testament belong to the Psalms, the prophecies of Isaiah and Ezekiel, and the Book of Daniel, and in proportion to its length the Book of Daniel yields by far the greatest number ${ }^{1}$. The preponderance of these four books is easily explained; they are those which most abound in mystical and apocalyptic elements. (b) The references are of two kinds. One, which is to be found in every page of the Apocalypse, consists of Old Testament words and phrases, used with no special allusion to particular contexts. If GoD is frequently described as $H e$ that sitteth on the throne, and the saints as they which are written in the book of life, while the Roman Emperors or their vassals are the kings of the earth, and the pagan inhabitants of the Empire they that dwell on the earth, the recurrence of these and similar terms is sufficiently explained by the writer's lifelong familiarity with Old Testament language. But there are other references in which it is clear that he has in view certain books and passages, and is practically

[^123]quoting from them, although no formula of quotation is used. These occur chielly in the visions of the Apocalypse, which are based in almost every case on the histories or the prophecies of the Old Testament. Thus the vision of the Glorified Christ walking in the midst of the Churches (i. 13-16) rests on Ezekiel and Daniel ; the vision of the Court of Heaven (iv. 2-8) on Isaiah and Ezekiel and Zechariah; the four horses of $c$. vi. are from Zechariah; Isaiah supplies much of the description of the bliss of the redeemed in $c$. vii.; the vision of the seven last plagues in $c$. xvi. is suggested by the Plagues of Exodus, and the dirge of Babylon the Great by the doom pronounced upon Tyre and the older Babylon; the vision of the New Jerusalem is inspired by the patriotic hopes of Isaiah and Ezekiel. (c) In many cases, indeed in most, the Apocalyptist blends two or more Old Testament contexts, whether from different books or from different parts of the same book. The result has been described as a 'mosaic,' but the word is not altogether apt as an illustration of his method. It suggests the work of a cunning artist who has formed a design out of the fragments which were at his disposal. But the Apocalyptist's use of his Old Testament materials is artless and natural ; it is the work of a memory which is so charged with Old Testament words and thoughts that they arrange themselves in his visions like the changing patterns of a kaleidoscope, without conscious effort on his own part. (d) There.is not a single.instance in which the Christian prophet of the Apocalypse has contented himself with a mere compilation or combination of Old Testament ideas. His handling of these materials is always original and independent, and he does not allow his Old Testament author to carry him a step beyond the point at which the guidance ceases to lend itself to the purpose of his book. Thus in the first vision of the Apocalypse, while nearly every feature is drawn from Ezekiel or Daniel, and the words ö $\mu o c o \nu$ viò à à $\theta$ ю́tov point beyond doubt to a direct use of the latter book, the conception of the Glorified Christ as a whole has no parallel in the Old Testament. If the vision of $c$. iv. owes much to Isaiah, Ezekiel and Zechariah, no mere compiler could have produced it; and the same may be said with
absolute conviction of every other vision throughout the book. Though in constant relation to the older apocalyptic, St John's pictures of the unseen and the future are truly creations, the work of the Spirit of prophecy upon a mind full of the lore of the earlier revelation and yet free to carry its reminiscences into new and wider fields of spiritual illumination.
3. An inspection of the table further shews that the Apocalyptist generally availed himself of the Alexandrian version of the Old Testament. The familiar phraseology of the Lxx. meets us everywhere, and here and there we observe its peculiar renderings; e.g. in xi. 17 ఱ $\rho \gamma i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ is a scarcely doubtful recollection
 $\left.\phi a \beta_{\epsilon i} \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu\right)$. On the other hand many of the references depart widely from the Lxx. in particular words, where the writer of the Apocalypse has either rendered independently, or has used another version, or possibly a text of the Lxx. different from that which is found in our MSS.; e.g. i. $6 \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a \nu, i \epsilon \rho \epsilon i s\left(\theta^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right)$,


 (so some MSS. of the Lxx.), xxi. 12 f. $\pi \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon$, xxii. $2 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \in \hat{v} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$
 the Apocalyptist seems to adopt a conflation of two versions,
 often he has brought together readings from two separate contexts, as when in iv. 8 he substitutes талтокрát $\omega \rho$ for the $\sigma a \beta a \dot{\omega} \theta$ of the Greek Isaiah.

The references in the Apocalypse to Daniel demand separate notice. Dr Salmon (Introduction to the N.T.?, p. 548 ff.) calls attention to the affinity between these references ăd the version of Theodotion. He finds "no clear evidence that St John had ever seen the so-called Lxx. version" of Daniel ${ }^{2}$; if in two passages (i. 14 f., xix. 16), the writer may be thought to follow the

[^124]Lxx. against Theodotion, there are seven (ix. 20, x. 6, xii. 7 , xiii 7, xix. 6, xx. 4, II) in which he supports Theodotion against the Lxx. The evidence at any rate shews that Theodotion preserved a considerable number of readings which were current in the first century, and that the Greek text of Daniel known to the Apocalyptist came nearer to the Theodotionic than to the Chigi text.

If it be asked whether there are traces in the Apocalypse of a direct use of the Hebrew Old Testament, the answer must be. that the departures from the Lxx. may perhaps in every instance be otherwise explained. But the forms 'A $\beta a \delta \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$ (ix. II) and "A $\rho$ Mare $\delta \omega \bar{\omega} \nu^{\prime}$ (xvi. 16) seem to imply acquaintance on the writer's part with Hebrew or Aramaic, and this inference is supported, as we have seen, by the style and manner of his work.
4. If we accept the later date of the Apocalypse, it may be assumed that the Churches of Asia were already in possession of some of the earlier books of the New Testament. Certain of the Pauline Epistles, and if not one or more of our present Gospels, some collection or collections of the sayings of the Lord wefe probably in their hands, and familiar to our author. Such documents would not be regarded as possessing canonical authority, like the writings of the Old Testament, but they could not fail to influence a Christian writer who was acquainted with them. If the earlier Epistle of St Peter uses Ephesians and Romans ${ }^{1}$, and the contemporary Epistle of Clement of Rome refers to Hebrews and some evangelical collection ${ }^{2}$, we may reasonably look for similar traces of Apostolic writings in the Apocalypse of John.

This expectation is to some extent borne out by an examination of the book. (a) The Apocalypse contains distinct reminiscences of known sayings of Christ. Perhaps the most remarkable instance is the formula $\dot{o}$ é $\chi \omega \nu$ ov̉s ákovad́tc which recurs toward the end of each of the messages addressed by the Spirit of Christ to the Churches. The following parallels also are fairly certain :

[^125]Apoc. iii. 3 éàv oűv $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \gamma \rho \eta$ -




 aข้นกิิ.




 $\xi \omega \eta ิ s ~ \delta \omega \rho \subset \alpha ́ v$. xxii. 17 ó $\delta \iota \psi \omega \hat{\omega}$
 $\zeta \omega \bar{\eta} s \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \alpha^{\prime} \nu$.





 $\theta \in \boldsymbol{e v})$.
M.t. xxvi. 52 mávres $\gamma$ àp oí
 גôviral.

 $\Delta o ́ s \mu o t \pi \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ \sigma \grave{v}$ äv ที้ $\quad \eta \sigma a s$ aỉróv,

 каі̀ $\pi เ v e ́ \tau \omega$.

The Apocalypse has also a considerable number of probable allusions to the teaching of Christ, such as ii. $17 \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ av̉ $\hat{\varphi}$ tov




(b) There are no such close parallels between the Apocalypse and the Apostolic Epistles ${ }^{1}$, yet there is much in the Apocalypse which suggests that its writer was acquainted with some of them. Bishop Lightfoot has pointed out ${ }^{2}$ that "the message communicated by St John to Laodicea prolongs the note which was struck by St Paul in the letter to Colossae." Here and there even the phraseology of the book reminds us of the Pauline letters to Asian Churches; thus Apoc. i. 5 ó $\pi \rho \omega \tau \dot{\prime} \tau о к о s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\nu} \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ recalls
 $\kappa \tau l \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ has affinities with Col. i. 15 т $\rho \omega \tau$ о́токos $\pi a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma$ $\kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega s \kappa \tau \lambda$. ; while echoes of Eph. ii. 19ff $\sigma v \nu \pi \rho \lambda i ̂ \tau a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma i \omega \nu . .$.
 ...eis äruov vaìv ė̀ Kupíq may be heard by those who 'have an ear' in Apoc. iii. 12, xxi. 14 Points of contact have also been

[^126][^127]found between the Apocalypse and the Epistle of James ${ }^{1}$ and the first Epistle of Peter ${ }^{2}$, and it has occasional resemblances to the Epistle to the Hebrews ${ }^{3}$. Yet on the whole, except in the case of our Lord's sayings, which may or may not have been known to him in a written form, there is no convincing evidence that our author was indebted to the Christian writers who preceded him.
5. Can a better case be made out for the Apocalyptist's use of non-canonical Jewish writings? Dr Charles pronounces the "writer or writers" of the Apocalypse to be."steeped in Jewish Epocalyptic literature." The details may be seen in his editions of Enoch and other Jewish apocalypses, and most of them are briefly enumerated in c. ii of this introduction ${ }^{4}$ and quoted in the commentary, where the parallels occur. Here it is enough to say that while they shew the writer of the Christian Apocalypse to have been familiar with the apocalyptic ideas of his age, they afford little or no clear evidence of his dependence on Jewish sources other than the books of the Old Testament. Certainly he does not use these sources with anything like the distinctness with which he refers to Isaiah, Ezekiel, or Daniel, or to sayings of Christ which are in our present Gospels. The most that can be safely affirmed is that he shared with the Jewish apocalyptists the stock of apocalyptic imagery and mystical and eschatological thought which was the common property of an age nurtured in the Old Testament and hard pressed by the troubles and dangers of the times.

This consideration does not encourage the view which regards the Apocalypse of John as a composite work largely made up of extracts from unknown non-Christian apocalypses. If it cannot be shewn that the author availed himself to any extent of sources still extant, including the well-known Book of Enoch, it is certainly precarious to build theories upon the hypothesis that he was indebted to lost works of which not a trace remains.

[^128][^129]
## XIV.

## DOCTRINE.

I. No one who comes to the Apocalypse fresh from the study of the Gospels and Epistles can fail to recognize that he has passed into another atmosphere. The great objects of faith are the same, but they are seen in new lights, and the general impression differs from that which is left on the mind by the teaching of our Lord or of St Paul. Nor is it only in the region of eschatology that the book takes its own course; its views of the Person of Christ, of the Holy Spirit, of Redemption, and of the Church, are its own; even its doctrine of God has no exact parallel in the rest of the New Testament.
2. The Apocalypse takes its stand on a monotheism which is Jewish in the sharpness of its opposition to polytheistic systems of every kind. Its God is the God of the Old Testament, the I am of Exodus, the Holy, Holy, Holy of Isaiah, the Lord God of Ezekiel, the God of heaven of Daniel ${ }^{1}$. The writer adopts the titles which the Greek translators found to express the glories of

 later Jewish use contributes a designation for His unique eternity: He is the Alpha and the Omega, the Beginning and the End ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The God of the Church is the Supreme King Whose Throne is in heaven, the Master and Lord of all ${ }^{4}$; He is the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { i. } 4 \text {; iv. } 8 \text {; i. } 8 \text {, xxii. }{ }^{5} \text {; xi. } 13 \text {, - 8, i. } 17 . \\
& \text { xvi. II. } \\
& { }^{2} \text { i. } 4 \text {, iv. g f. ; i. 8, vi. 10, xv. 4, xviii. 4iv. 2, vi. ro, xi. 4, r5, xv. } 3 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Creator of earth and sea and sky, and of all that is in them ${ }^{1}$, the Judge of mankind, the Avenger of the wrongs that are done on the earth; He is to be feared and worshipped by all${ }^{2}$. But of His love no express mention is made, although there is frequent reference to His wrath ${ }^{8}$. He is nowhere represented as the Father of men, even of the righteous; His righteousness and truth, are magnified, but there is no proportionate exhibition of His goodness and beneficence. The picture inspires awe, but it wants the magnetic power of our Lord's doctrine of the Divine Fatherhood. In fact it serves another purpose. Like the solemn descriptions of Godhead in the Hebrew prophets, it is an answer to the inanities of heathenism rather than a call to fellowship with the Living God. A revelation of the "severity of God" was needed by Churches which were hard pressed ${ }^{\circ}$ by the laxity of pagan life and the claims to Divine honours made by the masters of the Empire. The Apocalyptist meets the immoralities and blasphemies of heathendom by a fresh setting forth of the majesty of the One God and a restatement of His sole right to the worship of men. Thus he represents a view of the Divine Character which, apart from his book, would be nearly wanting in the New Testament, and supplies a necessary complement to the gentler teaching of the Gospels and Epistles.
3. The doctrine of God maintained in the Apocalypse cannot be rightly understood apart from its Christology. Our author's revelation of the Father is supplemented by his revelation of the Son. The Christ of the Apocalypse is the Christ of the Gospels, but a change has passed over Him which is beyond words. He is still like unto a son of $\mathrm{man}^{4}$, but the weaknesses and limitations of His humanity have finally passed away. He was dead, but now He is alive for evermores. He was slain as a victim, but only the splendid results of His Sacrifice remain ${ }^{\text {b }}$. The Woman's Son has been caught up unto God, and unto His Throne ${ }^{7}$; He sits and reigns with His Father ${ }^{8}$. All this had been taught by

[^130][^131]St Peter, St Paul, and the writer to the Hebrews; but it was left for the Apocalyptist to describe the glorified life. In the Apocalypse the veil is lifted, and we see the extent of the change wrought by the Resurrection and Ascension. Even the Lord's human form is idealized; the face shines as the noonday sun, the eyes flash, the hair is white as snow, the feet glow like metal in a furnace, the voice is like the thunder of the waterfall; at the sight of the glorified humanity the Seer swoons, as Daniel before the angel ${ }^{1}$. Other appearances of the ascended Christ are not less overwhelming; whether He sits on the white cloud, crowned, and carrying the sharp sickle with which He will presently reap the harvest of the world ${ }^{2}$, or comes forth from the open heavens as the Warrior-King, followed by the armies of Heaven, His head encircled by the diadems of many empires, His paludamentum inscribed with the title King of kings and lord of lords, all is transcendental and on a scale which surpasses human imagination ${ }^{3}$. But these three great symbolical visions do not by any means exhaust the wealth of 'St John's conception of the glorified Christ. He depicts with great fulness His relations to the Church, to the world, and to God. (a) To the members of His Church the ascended Christ is all in all. He loves them, He redeemed them, and He has made them what they are, a new Israel, a kingdom of priests4. His ascension has not separated Him from them; He is in their midst, regulating all the affairs of the Churches ${ }^{5}$; removing, punishing, guarding, giving victory, as He sees fit ${ }^{\epsilon}$. From Him are to be obtained all spiritual gifts and helps ${ }^{7}$; from Him are to be expected the final rewards ${ }^{8}$. The martyrs are His witnesses, the saints His servants?. He penetrates the inner life of the faithful ; He leads them on, and they follow Him ${ }^{10}$. They keep the faith of Jesus, as they keep the commandments of God; they share His sufferings, and expect His kingdom ${ }^{\text {in }}$. (b) In the creation Jesus Christ holds the foremost

[^132][^133]place. He is its beginning and its goal ${ }^{1}$; He receives its tribute of praise ${ }^{2}$. In human history He is supreme: He alone is able to open, one after another, all the seals of the Book of Destiny ${ }^{3}$; He is the Ruler of the kings of the earth ${ }^{4}$; He was born to rule the nations with the iron-tipped rod of the universal Pastor of men ${ }^{8}$; the greatest of Emperors is His vassal ${ }^{6}$, and the day will come when the Augustus and the meanest slave in his empire will tremble alike before His victorions wrath ${ }^{7}$. The Apocalyptist foresees an empire more truly oecumenical than that of Rome, in which Christ shall reign with God ${ }^{8}$. (c) What is the relation of Christ, in His glorified state, to God? (i) He has the prerogatives of God. He searches men's hearts ; He can kill and restore to life ${ }^{10}$; He receives a worship which is rendered without distinction to God ${ }^{11}$; His priests are also priests of God ${ }^{19}$; He occupies one throne with God ${ }^{13}$, and shares one sovereignty ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$. (ii) Christ receives the titles of God. He is the Living One ${ }^{15}$, the Holy and the True ${ }^{16}$, the Alpha and the Omega, the First and the Last, the Beginning and the End ${ }^{17}$. (iii) Passages which in the Old Testament relate to God are without hesitation applied to Christ, e.g. Deut. x. 17 (Apoc. xvii. 14), Prov. iii. I2 (Apoc. iii. 19), Dan. vii. 9 (Apoc. i. 14), Zech. iv. Io (Apoc. v. 6). Thus the writer seems either to coordinate or to identify Christ with God. Yet he is certainly not conscious of any tendency to ditheism, for his book, as has been said, is rigidly monotheistic ; nor, on the other hand, is he guilty of confusing the two Persons. The name of God is nowhere given to Christ in the Apocalypse; He is the Son of God ${ }^{18}$, the Word of God ${ }^{18}$; but the Apocalyptist does not add, with the fourth Evangelist, "the Word was God," nor does he say that the Father and the Son are

[^134][^135]one. He is careful to identify the ascended Cbrist with the Christ of the humiliation; He is the firstborn of the dead ${ }^{1}$, the root and the offspring of David², the Lion of the tribe of Judah ${ }^{3}$; He can call God His God ${ }^{4}$. The enigma meets us everywhere in the New Testament, but in no book is it so perplexing to those who reject the Catholic doctrine of our Lord's Person as in the Apocalypse of John. It has been urged that " the point of view of the Seer is continually changing. He conceives of Jesus now as the highest of the creatures, now as the eternal beginning and end of all things...to us each of these is a definite and separate conception, while to him such definiteness and separation did not exists." But this explanation is doubly unsatisfactory. The Seer's consciousness of the gulf which parts the creature from the Uncreated was far from indefinite; twice he represents an angel as flatly refusing divine honours-see thou do it not...worship God ${ }^{6}$; the assumption or acceptance of divine names by the Roman Emperors was in his judgement the damning sin of the Empire. Nor is it quite fair to charge him with shifting his ground from time to time; from the first his Christ is a complex conception in which human and Divine characteristics coexist. On the other hand we should doubtless err if we read into the Seer's visions the precision of the Nicene or the Chalcedonian Christology. An intuitive faith carries him beyond the point reached by the understanding; he knows that the identification of the ascended Christ with the Almighty Father is not inconsistent with strict monotheism, but he does not stop to ask himself how this can be. Some of his words point to the preexistence of the Son, others represent His exalted condition as the reward of victory. The reconciliation of these points of view is not necessary to the purpose of the book; it is enough that the Head of the Church is master of the situation which had arisen in Asia and of every similar situation that can arise to the world's end. The John of the Apocalypse is less of the theologian than St Paul, and less of

[^136]the mystic than the author of the fourth Gospel, but he surpasses both in his revelation of the unbounded power of the exalted Christ. Nowhere else in the New Testament are the personal activities of Jesus Christ present in His Church, the glories of His heavenly life, or the possibilities of His future manifestation so magnificently set forth. The Christology of the Apocalypse may evade analysis, but it meets the need of the Church in times of storin and stress. It is the New Testament counterpart of the Old Testament hymns of anticipated triumph: God is our refuge and strength, a very present help in trouble; therefore will we not fear.... God is in the midst of her ; she shall not be moved. However the fact may be explained, Christ is in the Apocalypse the power of God and the wisdom of God present with the Church, while in His exalted life He is in the midst of the Throne.
4. Of the Spirit we expect to hear much in the one prophetical book of the New Testament, and we are not altogether disappointed, though there is less on the surface of the book than we might have looked for. It is in the Spirit that the Seer receives his first and second visions ${ }^{1}$; in the Spirit, again, he is carried into the wilderness where he sees the harlot Babylon, and to the mountain from whence can be descried the new Jerusalem ${ }^{2}$; and doubtless we are to understand that the same condition of spiritual exaltation accompanied the other visions of the Apocalypse. The Spirit of prophecy speaks everywhere, bearing witness to Jesus ${ }^{3}$, exhorting the Churches in His Name ${ }^{4}$, conveying the revelation of Jesus Christ to the Seer, and through him to the readers and hearers. It is the Spirit of prophecy who answers to the voice from heaven ${ }^{3}$; who identifies Himself with the Church in her call for the Lord to come ${ }^{6}$. But the book recognizes other and wider manifestations of the Spirit of God. When the writer desires grace and peace for the Churches of Asia from the seven Spirits which are before His Throne it is probable that he is thinking of the One Spirit in the variety and completeness of

[^137]His gifts. The phrase might in itself mean only the seven Angels of the Presence ${ }^{1}$, and this interpretation receives some support from c. iv. 5, but it does not accord either with the trinitarian character of c. i. 4 f. ${ }^{2}$, or with c. v. 6 . The seven Spirits which blaze like torches before the Throne, are in the last passage the eyes of the sacrificed Lamb, i.e. they are the organs of supernatural vision which illuminate the humanity of our Lord, and which He sends forth into the world. It is impossible not to recognize here the mission of the Paraclete, Who is at once the Spirit of Christ, and the Spirit sent by Him from the Father to the Church. And on looking back to c.i. 4 we see the fitness of the number seven; each of the seven Churches has its own $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$ of the Spirit; only to the Christ and to the whole body of the Church considered in its unity belongs the fulness of spiritual powers and gifts, the septiformis Spiritus Who is in His essence indivisible. Thus the Apocalypse extends the teaching of the Epistles. Diversities of gifts mark the work of the Spirit in the Churches as in their individual members; to each is given the manifestation of the Spirit. Yet the individual is not overlooked. The action of the Spirit on the personal life is shewn in the symbolism which points to the water of life. The Lamb... shall guide them unto fountains of waters of life. I will give unto him that is athirst of the fountain of the water of life freely. He shewed me a river of water of life,...proceeding out of the throne of God and of the Lamb. He that is athirst, let him come; he that will, let him take the water of life freely ${ }^{3}$. These passages are remarkable for the width of their outlook: they carry us from the beginnings of the spiritual life to its maturity, from the first gift of the water of life to the state in which access is given to the fountain-head. There is no stage in the progressive development of the new life at which the human spirit is not dependent on the Divine; the water of life which satisfies the first thirst, is not less necessary to the ultimate perfection of the Saints. On the essential nature of the Spirit the Apocalypse has nothing

[^138]to add to the teaching of other New Testament books. But in its symbolism we catch glimpses of His relation to the Father and the Son. Jesus Christ hath the seven Spirits of God; they are the eyes of the Lamb, sent forth by Him into all the earth. The River of the water of life issues from the Throne of God and of the Lamb ${ }^{1}$. There are echoes here of the teaching both of Christ and of St Paul. The Spirit of God is also the Spirit of Christ, and the outpouring of the Spirit which began on the day of Pentecost was a direct consequence of the Ascension; the Paraclete was sent by the Ascended Lord from the Father, and by the Father in the name of the Son. The temporal mission of the Spirit is here in view, but behind it there may also be the eternal procession from the Father through the Son of which the Creed speaks. But the latter does not come within the express scope of the Apocalyptist's words.
5. His treatment of the doctrine of the Church is not less interesting. Like St Paul's Epistle to the Galatians and perhaps also the Epistle to the Ephesians, the Apocalypse is addressed to a plurality of Churches; seven are named, but after the first chapter the number is dropped, and the writer speaks simply of $a \dot{i} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{a} a \iota^{2}$, or once of $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \iota a i \notin \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a \iota^{3}$. The singular $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ is used of each of the local Christian societies, but not of the Churches in the aggregate, or of the ideal unity of the Christian body. Each society is symbolized by a separate $\lambda v \chi$ via, and each has its own presiding spirit, its star or angel. There is no spiritual counterpart to the кouvò $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ ias, no provincial Church or representative council, though the seven Churches may be taken as in a sense representative of the Churches of Asia in general. Yet, as the book proceeds, the conception of an universal Christian society, a catholic Church, appears. under more than one symbolical figure. We have first the 144,000 sealed out of every tribe of the children of Israel ${ }^{4}$, changing, as the Seer watches, into an innumerable company before the Divine Throne, and afterwards seen again as 144,000 , surrounding the Lamb on

xxii. 16 .

Mount Zion. Then a great sign appears in heaven, a woman arrayed with the sun, and the moon under her feet, and upon her head a crown of twelve stars, who becomes the Mother of the Christ and His Saints ${ }^{2}$. Lastly, in sharp contrast with the Harlot Babylon, we see the Bride of Christ arrayed for her marriage day, and presently transfigured into a new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God ${ }^{2}$. In the first of these visions the Church appears as a collection of units, making up the whole number of the elect; in the second and third she is seen in the unity of her common life, first as militant against the evil of the world, her life hid in God, herself imperishable but suffering in the persons of her members; and then, in the final picture, as reaching her ideal in the presence of God and of Christ. There are side-lights, also, in this great series of pictures which deserve attention; in the first, the reconciliation of Divine foreknowledge with the freedom of the human will; in the second, the relation of the Church of the Old Testament to the Church of the New, and of both to the individual; in the third, the social aspect of the Christian life, as set forth in the order and beauty of the City of God.

On the local ministry in the Churches the Apocalyptist preserves a complete silence; he speaks of the itinerant, charismatic, ministry of Apostles and Prophets, but not of tho bishops or presbyters and deacons who were doubtless to be fou $: .$. n the Christian communities of Asia. The prophetic order, from his point of view, eclipses the officers of the Church. But it does not take from the lustre of the Church herself. She is a kingdom and a priesthood; all her members have been made by the sacrifice of the Cross kings and priests unto God and to the Lamb ${ }^{3}$. The Augustus and the Caesars, the Asiarchs and highpriests of the Augustea, are of little account in comparison with the despised and persecuted members of the Christian brotherhoods.
6. The soteriology of the Apocalypse demands attention. Thrice in the book " Salvation" ( $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ ) is ascribed to God,

[^139]or to God and Christ. The phrase is perhaps suggested by the free use of coctท́p on coins and in inscriptions in reference to certain of the heathen deities (e.g. Zeus, Asklepios), and to the Emperors. John recalls the word from these unworthy uses and claims it for the Ultimate Source of health and life. But in this attribution he includes Jesus Christ; Salvation unto our God... and unto the Lamb ${ }^{1}$. It is by the Sacrifice of the Lamb that the salvation of men has become possible: thou wast slain and didst purchase unto God with thy blood men of every tribe; unto him that loved us and loosed us from our sins by his blood...to him be the glory; the Saints washed their robes, and made them white in the blood of the Lamb: they overcame the accuser because of the blood of the Lamb ${ }^{2}$. Whatever may be the exact meaning of these words, it is clear from them that the writer attached the greatest importance to the death of Christ; His sacrificed life was the price of man's redemption from sin to the service of God. The idea is St Paul's, who twice in one epistle writes: "ye were bought with a price ${ }^{3}$," and lays emphasis on the virtue of the sacrificial blood ${ }^{4}$; and the latter point was present to the mind of our Lord Himself when He spoke of His Blood as "shed for many unto remission of sins $5^{5}$." The writer of the Apocalypse took over the familiar figures by which the Churches had long expressed the mystery of the Atonement. But there are new features in his use of them. Redemption is a liberation from the sins of the past life, which have hitherto "tied and bound "the sinner with their chains; it is a purchase for God, its purpose being to transfer the sinner from the service of sin to the service of God ${ }^{\text {g }}$. But its end is not attained without the concurrence of the human will. The redeemed cooperate with the Redeemer; they wash their robes and make them white, they fight and overcome. Neither action would have been possible without our Lord's sacrifice, but the sacrifice would have been ineffectual without

[^140]\[
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repentance and faith on their part. The Apocalyptist dwells more frequently on "works" than on "faith ${ }^{1}$." To represent this as a return to a Jewish standpoint is arbitrary ${ }^{2}$, but it cannot be denied that it is a distinguishing note of the Apocalypse. Faith is rarely named in the book ${ }^{3}$, and when it is, it does not appear as the primary necessity of the Christian life; the decisive place is given to works; the fair linen which decks the Saints is woven out of their righteous acts'. Salvation is the fruit of the Lord's victory, but the faith which appropriates it overcomes the world as He overcame it.
7. The Angelology. of the Apocalypse is abundant. Beyond any other book either in the Old Testament or in the New, it occupies itself with the inhabitants of the unseen order; even of apocalyptic writings the Enoch literature alone perhaps is more fruitful in revelations of this kind. The Apocalypse of John, however, is singularly free from the wild speculations of Jewish angelology. If angels frequently appear in its visions, they belong to the scenes which the visions reveal, and are there because the supermundane events which are in progress demand their intervention. They are seen engaged in the activities of their manifold ministries, now as worshipping before the Throne ${ }^{5}$, now as bearing messages to the world ${ }^{6}$, or as stationed in some place of trust, restraining elemental forces ${ }^{7}$, or themselves under restraint until the moment for action has arrived, or as presiding over great departments of Nature ${ }^{9}$. Sometimes their ministries are cosmic; they are entrusted with the execution of worldwide judgements ${ }^{10}$, or they form the rank and file of the armies of heaven, who fight God's battles with evil, whether diabolical or human ${ }^{11}$; the Abyss is under their custody ${ }^{12}$. Sometimes an angel is employed in the service of the Church, offering the prayers of the Saints, or

[^141][^142]presiding over the destinies of a local brotherhood or ministering to an individual brother, e.g. to the Seer himself ${ }^{1}$. No charge seems to be too great for an angel to undertake, and none too ordinary; throughout the book the angels are represented as ready to fill any place and do any work to which they may be sent. Little light is thrown on such a speculative topic as the distribution of the angelic host into orders or ranks. The greater angels are distinguished by their superior strength or more splendid surroundings. Only one angel receives a name, and it is borrowed from the Book of Daniel ${ }^{2}$; there is but a passing allusion to the seven angels of the Presence, of whom Enoch has so much to say ${ }^{3}$.

The Apocalypse is comparatively silent as to fallen angels and evil spirits. The Dragon of $c$. xii. is identifed with Satan or the Devil of the Old Testament; in the celestial war of xii. 7 ff . he is followed by his "angels" who fight his battles". Idolatry is regarded as demonolatry ${ }^{5}$ : heathen magic is due to spirits of demons, working signs. Babylon becomes a habitation of demons, and a hold of every unclean spirit ${ }^{6}$. The Seer is able to foresee the course of Satanic activity from his own age to the end. Failing to dethrone the ascended Christ, Satan turns his attention to the Church which is left on earth ${ }^{7}$. He finds ready allies in the persecuting Emperors and the heathen priesthood ${ }^{8}$, backed by the power of the new Babylon on the Tiber. Babylon falls at last ${ }^{10}$, and for a long period Satan is bound, and the Church dominant ${ }^{11}$. Then a reaction follows, and the whole world is persuaded to attack the Church ${ }^{12}$. But her hour of greatest peril ushers in the final victory. Fire falls from heaven upon the enemy, and Satan himself is consigned to the burning morass from which there is no escape. The fate of his "angels" is not described, but it may be assumed that they perish with

[^143]their leader ${ }^{3}$, for from this point all superhuman forces of evil disappear.
8. Eschatology, in the widest sense, forms one of the main subjects of this book, which from c. iv. deals chiefly with the things which must come to pass hereafter ${ }^{2}$. Here our discussion of the subject must be limited to the "last things" in the narrower use of the phrase, i.e. to the Coming of the Lord, the Judgement, and the new world beyond them. No mention is made of the
 the response $\overleftarrow{\epsilon} \rho \chi \chi^{\circ}$ are watchwords in this book, the "coming" intended, in some instances at least, is not the final Advent, but. the visitation of a Church or an individual ${ }^{5}$. Moreover, there is no one vision which answers altogether to the conception of the Return, as it is presented in our Lord's teaching and in the Epistles. We look for such an appearance immediately before the general resurrection and judgement (xx. in ff.), or in connexion with the descent of the Bride, but it is absent. Perhaps the Reaper on the white cloud ${ }^{6}$, and the crowned Warrior on the white horse ${ }^{7}$, may describe, each in its own way, the Last Coming, but neither of these visions exhausts the conception, or occupies the position which the Parousia might have been expected to fill. Yet the book starts with a clear reference to the Advent, which is represented as visible to the whole world: behold, he cometh with the clouds, and every eye shall see him ${ }^{8}$; and it ends with the solemn witness, Yea, I come quickly. The hope of a visible Coming, and that a speedy one, has not vanished, though it is clear that raxu must be interpreted relatively, in the light of a prophecy which interposes between the Seer's time and the Return an age of persecution of unknown length and a subsequent millennium of dominant Christianity. The Lord's quickly is His final answer to the rising impatience of the Church ${ }^{9}$, now on the

[^144]verge of the second century; measured by the standard of His endless life, the time is at hand.

The final Reign of Christ and of His Saints is connected with the hope of His return. His own Reign began with the Ascension, and it is spiritually shared by the Church even in an age of persecution; the Saints reign upon the earth ${ }^{1}$, though a Nero or a Domitian may be on the throne. The Apocalyptist dimly foresees the conversion of the Empire, when the kingdom of the world became the kingdom of our Lord and of His Christ, and the Church entered on a long period of triumph, reigning with Christ for a thousand years². But he also anticipates a future kingdom of the Saints which will fulfil its ideal, and to which no period can be put: they shall reign for ever and ever ${ }^{3}$.

The General Resurrection and the Judgement belong to the same series of events. If the interpretation of the Thousand Years which is given in this commentary ${ }^{4}$ is correct, the "first resurrection" of $c . \mathrm{xx} .5$ is, like the resurrection of the Two Witnesses in c. xi., a symbol of the revival and extension of the Church which would follow the age of persecution. No "second resurrection" is mentioned, but a resurrection of the body is implied in c. xx. 12 and the glory of the risen Saints is perhaps symbolized in c. xxi. II. The former of these passages clearly teaches the doctrine of a general Judgement. But the Judge seems to be not the Incarnate Son, but the Almighty Father: the Apocalyptist does not appear to recognize with the Evangelist that all judgement has been given to the Son ${ }^{5}$.

The vision of the Last Judgement is followed by a vision of the new world and the new City of God. Perhaps it will always be a matter of dispute whether the final vision of the Apocalypse is an idealistic picture of the Church as she now is, or a realistic picture of the Church as she will be hereafter. There is in fact an element of truth in each of these views, for the best ideals

[^145][^146]of the present are the realities of the future. The position of the vision points to the future, for though the succession of the Apocalyptic visions is not chronological, there is in it a certain sequence which, accords with the orderly development of the Divine purpose. And no stretch of the imagination can discover in any period of the Church's lengthening history the full counterpart of the glories described by St John. The Bride of Christ has not yet made herself ready; the City of God is not free from the presence of the unclean and the false: night still falls upon her streets, alternating with periods of daylight. But the future holds the perfection of the present; in the imperfect life of the Asian brotherhoods the Seer can find the earnest of a maturity which, when extended to the race, will leave no part of God's great plan for the reconstruction of human society unrealized.
9. It is not the purpose of the Apocalypse to teach Christian doctrine, but to inspire Christian hope. But incidentally it instructs, and its teaching, so far as it goes, is fresh, strenuous, and suggestive. While it has points of contact with the sayings of our Lord in the Synoptic Gospels, with the doctrine of St Paul and his school, and with the Gospel and the First Epistle of St John, there are features in the doctrine of the Apocalypse which are peculiar to itself; nor is the proportion in which it presents the aspects of Christian truth quite that which is to be found in other books of the New Testament. Without the Apocalypse, so far as we can judge, our knowledge of the teaching of the Apostolic age would have been imperfect ; in this respect the book is complementary to the Gospels and Epistles, and fulfils the important worl of preserving the balance of truth. This is not the least of the reasons for which St John's great vision deserves careful study, and may in itself be held to justify the felicitation: blessed is he that readeth, and they that hear the woords of the prophecy.

## XV.

## AUTHORSHIP.

I. At the beginning of the book, and again at the end ${ }^{1}$, the Apocalypse professes to be the work of John. The author further states that he is a servant of Jesus Christ, a brother of the Churches of Asia, and a partaker in their sufferings, and that at the time when he received the revelation he was in the island of Patmos for the word of God and the testimony of Jesus ${ }^{2}$. By the "testimony of Jesus" he appears to mean the witness which he had borne to our Lord in his capacity as a member of a brotherhood of Christian prophets ${ }^{8}$. The intimate knowledge which he shews of the circumstances of the Churches in Asia, and the unhesitating tone of authority in which he addresses them, leave no doubt that he had resided in the province, and had exercised his office in the Christian societies there.

It is scarcely possible that the book can be pseudonymous. The Jewish pseudepigrapha bear the names of Old Testament patriarchs; kings, or prophets; and a Christian apocalypse, if pseudonymous, would naturally have been attributed to an Apostle. But in that case the writer would assuredly have "proclaimed his identity with the son of Zebedee. The apocryphal apocalypse of Paul begins:

 are later documents, but even in a first century apocryphon we should have expected some such note of identification as $\dot{\delta}$ tov̂

[^147]Apocalypse of Peter has not been recovered, but in the Petrine Gospel the


 $\pi \rho є \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \sigma$. But not only is there an entire absence of suck appellatives; the indications, so far as they go, are unfavourable to the hypothesis that the writer meant to pose as an Apostle. The John of the Apocalypse is simply a "brother," and the only office which he claims is that of prophet This does not indeed disprove his identity with the Apostle ${ }^{1}$, but it is not what might have been expected from a writer whe wished to pass as one of the Twelve.
2. The name Johanan ${ }^{2}$ was by no means uncommon in Jewish history from the time of the Captivity onwards. Some fifteen persons of this name are mentioned in the books of Chronicles, Ezra, and Nehemiah, and five more in the books of the Maccabees. Josephus refers to seventeen Johns ${ }^{3}$; in the New Testament there are at least five-the son of Zacharias, and the son of Zebedee, the father of St Peter ${ }^{4}$, John whose surname was Mark, and a John who was of the kindred of the High Priests. Of these, John the son of Zebedee was from an early time identified with the author of the Apocalypse.

The witness of Justin has been given already ${ }^{6}$. Irenaeus calls the
 Domini discipulus (iii. II. Iff., iv. 20. ri), but the title, as he uses it, does not exclude Apostleship ; cf. ii. 22. 5, where, immediately after mentioning "John, the disciple of the Lord," he proceeds: "non solum Ioannem, sed et alios apostolos." Hippolytus expressly calls the writer of the Apocalypse "Apostle" as well as "disciple"," and Tertullian is no less explicit ${ }^{B}$. Origen, again, entertains no doubt that both the Gospel and the Apocalypse proceeded from the son of Zebedee ${ }^{2}$. The earliest suggestion that the Apocalypse was the work of a second John, not of apostolic rank, came from Alexandria after Origen's death ${ }^{10}$; earlier opponents of the apostolic authorship regarded the book as pseudonymous ${ }^{11}$.
3. As an alternative to John the son of Zebedee, Dionysius of Alexandria mentions the name of John Mark, but he dismisses it on the ground that Mark did not accompany St Paul to Asia.

[^148][^149]Apart from this objection, the hypothesis of Marcan authorship has little to recommend it; the style of the second Gospel has no marked affinity with that of the Apocalypse, and its author shews none of the characteristics of the prophet or the mystic: he is graphic and can draw a telling picture, but he is not a visionary and has no eye for the transcendental The John of the Apocalypse, if not the son of Zebedee, must be, Dionysius concludes, some otherwise unknown John who visited Asia ${ }^{1}$; and he finds some support for this view in the story he has heard ( $\phi a \sigma_{i v}^{\prime}$ ) that there were at Ephesus two monuments which passed as the tomb of John. To this Eusebius adds that Papias also seems to speak of two Johns who were both disciples of the Lord, and putting the facts together he infers that if the Apocalypse is not to be ascribed to the Apostle, it was probably the work of the second John who is known to Papias as the Elder ${ }^{2}$.

The following are the words of Papias as reported by Eusebius:





 éwpaкéval

4 Perhaps no conjecture hazarded by an ancient writer has been so widely adopted in modern times. A conjecture it still remains, for no fresh light has been thrown on the enigmatic figure of John the Elder. But this circumstance has not prevented scholars from confidently attributing to him one or more of the Johannine group of writings. Even in Jerome's time it was usual to identify the Elder of 2 and 3 John with the second John of Papias.

Hieron. de virr. ill. 9 "Iohannis presbyteri adseruntur, cuius. hodie alterum sepulcrum apud Ephesum ostenditur." In c. 18 he speaks of the "opinionem qua a plerisque rettulimus traditum duas posteriores epistulas Iohannis non apostoli esse sed presbyteri." On the other hand he holds that both the Gospel and the Apocalypse were written by the Apostle (c. 9).

[^150]

PATMOS.
From a photograph taken in 1887 by Rev. T. C. Fitzpatrick.

The Apocalypse is now ascribed to the Elder by perhaps a majority of critics. But recent criticism goes further, and transfers to the Elder nearly all that has been hitherto given to the Apostle. There were two Johns in the Apostolic age, but only one of them was a resident in Asia, and he was the Elder and not the son of Zebedee. It was the Elder, it is said, and not the Apostle who was the disciple that Jesus loved, who gave his name to the Johannine books of the New Testament, and claims to be the writer of the Apocalypse.

At this point it will be convenient to collect the traditions which relate to the residence of John in Asia and his exile to Patmos, (1) Residence in Asia. Iren. ii, 22. 5 (ap. Eus. H.E. iii. 23):















 (A.d. 196-7, Harnack) Eusebius writes (H. E. v. 18): кє́хоүтає $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каі






 Tertullian, praescr. 36: "habes Romam...ubi apostolus Ionnnes, posteaquam in oleum igneum demersus nihil passus est, in insulam





 "quando haec Ioannes vidit erat in insula Patmos, in metallum
damnatus ${ }^{1}$ a Domitiano Caesare. ibi ergo vidit Apocalypsin...et cum iam senior putaret se per passionem accepturum receptionem, interfecto Domitiano, omnia eius iudicia soluta sunt, et Ioannes de metallo dimissus sic postea tradidit hanc eandem quam acceperat a. Deo Apocalypsin." ${ }^{2}$
6. Read cursorily, this evidence may seem to establish the identity of John the Apostle with the resident in Asia and the exile of Patmos. But a more careful examination suggests caution. The witness of Irenaeus shews beyond a doubt that a John who had been a disciple of the Lord resided in Asia within the lifetime of Polycarp Bishop of Smyrna, who was born (Harnack) in A.D. 6g. A bishop of Ephesus at the end of the second century asserts that the John who lay on the Lord's breast was buried at Ephesus; and another Asian writer of the same period speaks of a miracle which John the author of the Apocalypse performed in that city. But no second century testimony, except that of the Leucian Acts, excludes the hypothesis that the John who lived in Asia and wrote the Apocalypse was the Elder, or compels us to believe that John the Apostle ever resided in Asia. Moreover it is certainly remarkable that in so many of the earliest references to him John of Asia is called "the disciple," and not, expressly at least, the Apostle ${ }^{3}$. Nor is the evidence for the Apostle's exile to Patmos quite conclusive. It begins with Clement of Alexandria, and it is chiefly western; Irenaeus does not mention the exile; from residents in Asia, where the event would have made the deepest impression, no reference to it is forthcoming. We cannot overlook the possibility that the tradition rests ultimately on Apoc. i. 9 , though against this we must set the apparent independence of the witnesses, and certain amplifications of the traditional story, for which the Apocalypse offers no support.

1 "Down the middle of the island run a succession of hills; in one of them, in the northern half of the island, there are quarries. This, perhaps, is the explanation of the statement that St John was 'damnstus in metallum,' as there do not appear to have been any mines, properly so called. The rock is
chiefly volcanic." T. O. Fitzpatrick, A visit to Patmos (in Christ's College Magazine, 1887).
${ }^{2}$ On the scurce of the statement in Eus. H. E. iii. 18 see an article by Prof. Lawlor in J. T. S. for April, $190 \%$.
${ }^{3}$ See Bousset, Die Offenbarung,p. 4 f ., and in Encycl. Bibl., i., col. 198.

On the whole it may be said that if early Christian tradition favours the identification of John of Ephesus with the Apostle, it does not exclude the opposite hypothesis, whether in the Eusebian form or in that which is now advocated.
7. It would materially assist us in arriving at a decision if we could ascertain the length of the Apostle's life. Irenaeus, as we have seen, represents John, the disciple of the Lord, as having lived to the time of Trajan, i.e. to the year 98 at least. That the Apostle lived to old age is assumed by ancient writers, e.g. by Clement of Alexandria in his Quis dives ${ }^{1}$, and by Jerome in his commentary on Galatians. There is, however, some evidence to be set on the other side. A MS. of Georgius Hamartolus (cent. Ix.) alleges the authority of Papias, in the second book of his work, for the statement that John the son of Zebedee was martyred by the Jews ${ }^{2}$, and the reference to Papias is now supported by an extract printed by Dr C. De Boor from an Oxford MS. of the $7^{\text {th }}$ or 8 th century ${ }^{3}$, an epitome probably based upon the Chronicle of Philip of Side (cent. v.).

The Coislin MS. of Georgius adds at Chron. iii. I 34 : ['I $\omega$ ávv ${ }^{\prime}$ s]
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With this testimony before us it is not easy to doubt that Papias made some such statement, for the suggestion of a lacuna, offered by Bishop Lightfoot in $1875^{5}$, is now scarcely tenable, though it has been lately revived by Harnack ${ }^{6}$. But if Papias made $i t$, the question remains whether he made it under some misapprehension, or merely by way of expressing his conviction

[^151][^152]that the prophecy of Mc. x. 39 had found a literal fulfilment. Neither explanation is very probable in view of the early date of Papias ${ }^{1}$. He does not, however, affirm that the brothers suffered at the same time: the martyrdom of John at the hand of the Jews might have taken place at any date before the last days of Jerusalem. But even if we postpone it to the year 69, and accept the earlier date of the Apocalypse, the book can hardly have come from the hand of the son of Zebedee ${ }^{2}$.
8. Thus, if the statement of Papias is to be allowed to enter into our calculations, it becomes a very important factor, for it disposes of the Apostolic authorship of the Apocalypse ${ }^{3}$. If we believe it, we shall be compelled to attribute the book to an unknown John, who will probably be the second of the two who are named in the Eusebian fragment of Papias. To John the Elder we shall then ascribe the residence in Ephesus and the exile to Patmos which from the time of Clement of Alexandria it has been usual to ascribe to John the Apostle. The Elder will also be, as it seems, the "disciple whom Jesus loved," and whose personality is felt throughout the Johannine literature. If an unverifiable reference to a lost book seems too narrow a basis for so large a superstructure, there is still the chance of a primary error, a confusion between the Apostle and the Elder, which may have existed even in the mind of Irenaeus, and have perpetuated itself in the writings of his successors. On this supposition, again, the Apocalypse is not the work of the son of Zebedee and probably comes from the disciple who was not of the Twelve.
9. But there is something to be said on the other side. The Synoptists have preserved some characteristic recollections of John the son of Zebedee, from which the reader of the Gospels may gain an impression of the man. He was one of the three who formed the inner circle of the Apostolic college, and had

[^153]statement from the place of St John's Day in early Church Calendars.

3 Unless we follow Dpiphanius, who places the exile and the visions of the Apocalypse under Claudins; see above, p.c.
shared with Peter and James opportunities which were denied to the other nine. He was one of the two brethren who received from the Lord the great name of Boanerges, a word which, whatever its exact history, seems to indicate a strenuous nature ${ }^{1}$. It was John the son of Zebedee who confessed that he forbade one who did not follow our Lord in the company of His disciples to use His name for the working of miracles. It was John and his brother who would have called down fire from heaven upon the Samaritan villages which refused to receive the Master on His way to Jerusalem. It was for John and his brother that their mother sought the nearest places to the Messiah in the glory of His Kingdom. In all these respects the Apocalyptist shews some affinity to the John of the Synoptic Gospels. He is a son of thunder; he calls down fire from heaven; his aversion to the enemies of the Christ and His Church is whole-hearted. The hostile Jews of Smyrna and Philadelphia are the synagogue of Satan; Nero, Domitian, the Empire itself so far as it adopts their policy, is the Beast; Rome is Babylon, the mother of the harlots and of the abominations of the earth. The tone of the book when it lashes the persecutor, the idolater, the unclean, is almost truculent ; the Seer's righteous wrath reaches a white heat. The conception of the Christ is one which might seem impossible for the $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \theta L o s$, though not for the son of Zebedee as he appears in the Synoptists. The Christ of the Apocalypse is infinitely majestic and august, but His predominant characteristic is unbounded power, shewing itself in a just severity. As the Shepherd, He rules with a rod of iron; as the Lamb, He is terrible in His anger; as the King, He treads the winepress of the wrath of God. Only once or twice does the tenderness of our Lord's compassion, or the intimacy of His fellowship with men make itself felt in this book. There are few echoes in the Apocalypse of the intense sympathy for the suffering and for sinners which the Gospels associate with the human life of our Lord. The Ascension and Exaltation account for the power and glory with which He is invested by the Apocalyptist, but they do not wholly explain the ${ }^{1}$ St MAark ${ }^{2}$, p. 60 .
changed point of view; we feel that the Revelation of Jesus Christ has passed through a mind which has coloured it with its own severity, and the colouring is not uniike that which the John of the Synoptic Gospels might have been expected to impart. This fact, though far from being decisive ${ }^{1}$, may well lead us to hesitate before we definitely reject the attribution of the Apocalypse to the Apostle John.

IO. The subject must not be dismissed without an attempt to consider, however briefly, the literary relation between the Apocalypse and the fourth Gospel. Some of the evidence has been collected in an earlier chapter of this introduction ${ }^{2}$. It appears to shew that there is an affinity between the two books, extending occasionally to minute resemblances, but counterbalanced by differences so profound that the doubt raised by Dionysius remains unsolved.
(a) The difference of style and language has been explained as due in part to a "difference in the scope of the books ${ }^{3}$," and in part to their relative dates. (i) Dr Lightfoot calls attention to the peculiar style of the apocalyptic passages in the Epistles to the Thessalonians and in 2 Peter; "we seem," he writes, "to have stumbled on a passage out of the Hebrew prophets," adding that this "explains also to a great extent the marked difference in style between the Revelation of St John and his other writings ${ }^{4}$." But the analogy of apocalyptic passages in other books of the New Testament goes only a little way towards explaining the stylistic eccentricities of the author of the Apocalypse. Even the cxx. version of the Prophets, uncouth and unintelligible as it often is, can shew no succession of anomalies comparable to those of the Revelation of St John. The argument from analogy would be convincing if the style of the Revelation differed from the style of the Gospel in the same or nearly the same degree as the apocalyptic passages in St Paul differ from the rest of his writings. But in the former case the difference is in truth not one of degree, but of kind. It is incredible that the writer of the Gospel could have written the Apocalypse without a conscious effort savouring of literary artifice. (ii) Is this difficulty removed if we suppose that the Apocalypse was written twenty or five-and-twenty years before the Gospel? Dr Westcott (l.c.), arguing for the priority of the Apocalypse, says that it is "very difficult to suppose that the language of the writer of the Gospel could pass at a later time in a Greek-speaking country

[^154]into the language of the Apocalypse," but on the other hand he thinks that "intercourse with a Greek-speaking people would in a short time naturally reduce the style of the author of the Apocalypse to that of the author of the Gospel." To the present writer the latter hypothesis is at least as difficult as the former. The writer of the A pocalypse may not have been either more or less of a Greek scholar than the writer of the Gospel ; but in their general attitude towards the use of language they differ fundamentally. The difference is due to personal character rather than to relative familiarity with Greek. And when style expresses individual character it undergoes little material change even in a long life of literary activity, especially after the age which St John must have reached in A.D. 69 or 70.
(b) The differences of thought which distinguish the two books have never been more successfully delineated than by Dr Westcott in his introduction to the Gospel of St John ${ }^{1}$. Of these, too, he finds a sufficient explanation in the priority of the Apocalypse ${ }^{2}$ : "the differences," in conception as in language, "answer to differences in situation, and are not inconsistent with identity of authorship." "Of the two books the Apocalypse is the earlier. It is less developed both in thought and style... to go back from the teaching of the Gospel to that of the Apocalypse...to reduce the full expression of truth to its rudimentary beginnings, seems to involve a moral miracle." But, even conceding the priority of the Apocalypse, can we explain the difference of standpoint by development? Is the relation of the Apocalyptic to the Evangelic teaching that which exists between rudimentary knowledge and the maturity of thought? And is it to be maintained that St John's conceptions of Christian traths were still rudimentary forty years after the Ascension, and reached maturity only in extreme old age?
II. But how are we to explain the affinities of the two books-the characteristic phrases and ideas which they have in common? It is usual to account for these by saying that all the Johannine books proceed from the same school, the school of John of Ephesus, whether the Apostle or the Presbyter. Perhaps it is possible to advance a step further. While the Apocalypse definitely claims to be the work of John, no such claim is put forth in the Gospel; for such passages as Jo. xix. 35, xx. 30 f., do not assert more than that the book contains the testimony of
 an editorial note which must not be pressed too closely. On the other hand early tradition explicitly states that the Gospel was

[^155]written from dictation, and underwent some kind of revision at the hands of those who received it.

The Muratorian fragment thus describes the genesis of the fourth Gospel: "quartum ${ }^{1}$ euangeliorum Iohannis ex discipulis. cohortantibus condiscipulis et episcopis suis dixit: Conieiunate mihi hodie triduo et quid cuique fuerit reuelatum alterutrum nobis enarremus. eadem nocte reuelatum Andreae ex apostolis ut recognoscentibus cunctis Iohannes suo nomine cuncta describeret." With this should be compared the singular statement of a Latin prologue to the Gospel, printed in Wordsworth-White (N. T. Latine, i. 490 f.): "hoc igitur evangelium post apocalypsin scriptum ${ }^{2}$ manifestum, et datum est ecclesiis in Asia a Iohanne adhuc in corpore constituto, sicut Papias nomine Hierapolitanus episcopus, discipulus Iohannis et carus, in exotericis ${ }^{3}$ suis, id est, in extremis quinque libris, retulit, qui hoe evangelium Iohanne sub dictante conscripsit ${ }^{4}$." An anonymous Greek writer in the catena of Corderius
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The frrst of these statements deserves especial attention. It belongs to the second century, and proceeds from the Church of Rome, which was in frequent communication with the Churches of Asia Minor, and had recently been visited by Polycarp: it may even have originated with Polycarp. If its main points are true, the fourth Gospel was not written by the hand of John, but dictated-a word which may be interpreted with some laxity; and it underwent much editorial revision (recognoscentibus cunctis). In these circumstances it is possible to conceive of the writer of the Apocalypse being the author of the Gospel, in the sense of having supplied the materials from which it was written.
12. But the question of the authorship of the Apocalypse must not be complicated by considerations connected with the still more vexed question of the authorship of the fourth Gospel.

[^156]Essays, p. 6g, n. 5; Supernatural Religion, p. 210 ff.
${ }^{4}$ So Cod. Toletanus; Cod. reg. Suet. ends: descripsit vero evangelium, dictante Johanne recte. (The spelling of the MSS. has been conformed to the usual orthography.)

The issue which lies before the student of the Apocalypse is in fact independent of the decision at which the critics of the Gospel may ultimately arrive. Was the John who wrote the Apocalypse the Synoptic son of Zebedee? Was it John the son of Zebedee who lived in Asia, and was exiled to Patmos, or was it the mysterious Elder, who is distinguished by Papias from the Apostle of the same name? A fair case may be made for either view. On the one hand the general character of the book accords with what the Synoptists relate with regard to the Apostle John, and the main current of Christian tradition favours this conclusion. On the other hand, there is some uncertainty as to the length of the Apostle's life, and some reason to suspect that the Apostle and a disciple who was not of the Twelve are confused in our earliest authorities. While inclining to the traditional view which holds that the author of the Apocalypse was the Apostle John, the present writer desires to keep an open mind upon the question. Fresh evidence may at any time be produced which will turn the scale in favour of the Elder. There are those whom this indecision will disappoint, but it is best frankly to confess the uncertainty which besets the present state of our knowledge. We cannot yet with safety go far beyond the



## XVI.

TEXT.
I. The following Uncial MSS. contain the Greek text of the Apocalypse, or a part of it.
$\aleph . \quad$ Cod. Sinaiticus (rv.). Ed. Tischendorf, 1862.
A. Cod. Alexandrinus (v.). Ed. E. M. Thompson, 1879.
C. Cod. Ephraemi Parisiensis (v.). Ed. Tischendorf, 1843 . Contains Apoc. i. I-iii. 19, v. 14-vii. 14, vii. 17 viii. 5, ix. 16 -x. 1o, xi. 3-xvi. 13 , xviii. 2-xix. 5 .
P. Cod. Porfirianus Chiavensis (rx.). Ed. Tischendorf (in mon. sacra ined. vi.), 1869; cf. Gregory, Prolegomena, p. 417. Contains Apoc. i. I-xvi. 12, xvii. I--xix. 2I, xx. 9-xxii. 6.

Q (= $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ). Cod. Vaticanus Gr. 2066, olim Basiliensis 105 (viII.). Ed. Tischendorf (in app. N. T. Vatic.), 1867; cf. Gregory, Prolegomena, p. 435.
2. Cod. Kosinitsanus (Ix.) : see Scrivener-Miller, i., p. 377; Gregory, $I^{\prime}$ extkritik des N. T., i., p. 96; Kenyon, Handbook to the textual critieism of the N.T., p. 104. Von Soden, Die Schriften des N. T., i. i. p. 104, locates it at Drama. Not yet edited or collated. This MS. contains the whole of the N. T., in the order Ev. Acts Cath. Apoc. Paul.
2. Thus at present there are available only three complete and two imperfect uncials of the Apocalypse. The minuscules also are comparatively few; while we have 1725 MSS. of the Gospels, 520 of the Acts and Catholic Epistles, and 619 of Paul, those of the Apocalypse do not reach 2301. The following list is based on Dr C. R. Gregory's Prolegomena to Tischendorf and Textkritik.

[^157]I. Maihingen, Libr. of the Prince of Öttingen-Wallerstein (xiI. or xiII.). The only MS. used by Erasmus in 15 I 6 for the Apocalypse ${ }^{1}$. Rediscovered by Delitzsch in 186i: collated by Tregelles in 1862.
2. Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 237 (x.) = Acts io, Paul 12.
[3. A MS. cited by Stephen : otherwise unknown.]
4. Paris, Bibl. Nat. Gr. 219 (xi.) = Acts 12 , Paul 16.
[5. Readings cited by Laurentius Valla a. 1440.]
6. Oxford, Bodl. Barocc. 3 (xi.) = Acts 23, Panl 28.
7. London, Brit. Mus. Harl. 5537 (A.D. 1087 ) $=$ Acts 25, Paul 3I.
8. London, Brit. Mus. Haṛl. $577^{8}$ (Xir.) $=$ Acts 28, Paul 34.
9. Oxford, Bodl. Misc. Gr. 74 (xı.) $=$ Acts $3 \circ$, Paul 36 .

1о. Cambridge, Univ. Dd. ix. 69 (xv.) $=$ Ev. 60 .
[II. Petavius $2=$ Acts 39 , Paul 45, has disappeared.]
12. Rome, Vat. Reg. Gr. $\mathrm{F}_{79}$ (xv.) $=$ Acts 40 , Paul 46.
13. Frankfort on Oder, Lyceum (xi.) = Paul 48.
14. Leicester, Libr. of the Town Council (xv.) =Ev. 69, Acts 3I, Paul 37.
55. Basle, Univ. A.N. iii. 12 (8) : annexed to Cod. E of the Gospels, but in a later hand; contains only Apoc. iii. 3-iv. 8.
16. Hamburg, City Libr. (xv.) =Acts 45, Paul 52.
17. Paris, Bibl. Nat., Coisl. Gr. 199 (xi.) $=$ Evv. 35, Acts 14, Paul 18.
18. Paris, Bibl. Nat., Coisl. Gr. 202 (xir.) $=$ Acts 18 , Paul 22.
19. Paris, Bibl. Nat., Coisl. Gr. $205(\mathrm{x})=$. Acts 17 , Paul 21.
20. Rome, Vat. Libr., Gr. 2080 (x. or xi.) $=$ Ev. 175 , Acts 4I, Paul 194.
2I. Rome, Vallicelli D. 20 (xv.).
22. Rome, Vallicelli B. 86 (xiv.) = Acts i66, Paul 204.
23. Florence, Laur. Conv. Soppr. 53 (A.d. 133I) = Ev. 367, Acts 146, Paul 182.
24. Rome, Vat. Gr. 2062 (x. or xi.) =Acts 160, Paul 193.
25. Rome, Vat. Palat. Gr. 17 I (xv.) $=$ Ev. 149, Acts 77, Paul 88.
26. Oxford, Christ Ch. Wake 12 (xi. or XII.) = Ev. 506, Acts 199, Paul 256.
27. Oxford, Christ Ch. Wake 34 (xi. or XII.) = Ev. 517, Acts 190, Paul 244.
28. Oxford, Bodl. Barocc. 48 (xv.) : ends at xvii. 5.
${ }^{1}$ On the text of Erasmus see Hort, introd. to WH., § 346 .
29. London, Brit. Mus. Harl. 5613 (A.d. 1407 ) $=$ Acts 60 , Paul 63.
30. Wolfenbüttel, xvi. 7 (xiv.) $=$ Acts 69 .

3I. London, Brit. Mus. Harl. 5678 (xv.)
32. Dresden, Reg. A 124 (xv.).
33. Vienna, Imp. Gr. th. 23 (xim.) $=$ Ev. 2 18, Acts 65 , Paul 57 : wants xiii. 5-xiv. 8, xv. 7-xvii. 2, xviii. 10-xix. 15, xx. 7-xxii. 2 I.
34. Vienna, Imp. Gr. th. 302 (xr.) $=$ Acts 66, Paul 67 ; wants xv. 6-xvii. 3, xviii. 10 -xix. 9, xx. 8-xxii. 2 г.
35. Vienna, Imp. Gr. th. 307 (xiv.).
36. Vienna, Imp. Libr. suppl. Gr. 93 (xirr.).
37. Rome, Vat. Gr. $3^{66}(\mathrm{xv})=$. Acts 72 , Paul 79.
38. Rome, Vat. Gr. 579 (xv.).
39. Rome, Vat. Gr. II $3^{6}$ a (xiv.) $=$ Paul 85 ; wants i. I-3, 17 ; vi. 18 -xiii. 1 I.
40. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1160 (ximi. or xiv.) $=$ Ev. 141, Acts 75, Paul 86.
4r. Rome, Vat. Reg. Gr. 68 (xv.).
42. Rome, Vat. Pius II Gr. 50 (xir.) $=$ Acts 80 , Paul 9 I.
43. Rome, Barb. iv. 56 (xiv.). Contains Apoc. xiv. 17xviii. 20.
44. Rome, Propag. L. vi. 19 (xiv.) $=$ Ev. 180, Acts 82, Paul ${ }^{2}$.
45. Florence, Laur. iv. 32 (A.d. 1og2) $=$ Acts 89, Paul 99.
46. Venice, St Mark's io (xv.) =Ev. 209, Acts 95, Paul io8.
47. Dresden, Reg. A I7 $_{2}$ (xi.) = Ev. 24r, Acts i04, Paul 120.
48. Moscow, Syn. 380 (xit.) $=$ Ev. 242, Acts ro5, Paul 12 I.
49. Moscow, Syn. 67 (xv.).
50. Moscow, Syn. 206 (xv.).
51. Paris, Nat. Gr. 47 (A.d. $3^{664}$ ) $=$ Ev. i8, Acts 11 3, Paul 132 .
52. Paris, Nat. Gr. 56 (xit.) $=$ Acts 5 I, Paul 133.
53. . Paris, Nat. Gr. 59 (xv.) $=$ Acts ${ }_{\text {it }} 6$, Paul I 36 .
[54. Vacant.]
55. Paris, Nat. Gr. ior (xiir.) $=$ Acts in 8 , Paul 138 .
56. Paris, Nat. Gr. io2 (xim. or xiv.) = Acts ir9, Paul 139 .
57. Paris, Nat. Gr. 124 (xvi.) =Ev. 296, Acts 124, Paul 149.
58. Paris, Nat. Gr. 19 ( xv . or xvi).
59. Paris, Nat. Suppl. Gr. 99 (xv. or xvi).
[60. Vacant.]
6I. Paris, Nat. Gr. 49 (xim. or xir.) ; contains i. I-xxii. 8.
62. Paris, Nat. Gr. 239 (A.d. 1422).
63. Paris, Nat. Gr. 24 (xvi).
64. Paris, Nat. Gr. 224 (xi.) = Paul 159.
65. Moscow, Univ. 25 (xit.) ; contains xvi. 20-xxii. 2 I.
[66. Vacant.]
67. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1743 (A.D. I301).
68. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1904 (xi. or xir.). Contains Apoc. i. inii. 20 , iii. 16 -vi. 9 , vii. 17-ix. 5 , xxi. 18 -xxii. 2 I.
69. Rome, Vat. Ottob. 258 (xiv.) $=$ Acts 16 r, Paul 198; a Graeco-Latin text. Wants xviii. 22-xxii. 21 .
70. Rome, Vat. Ottob. 66 (xiv.) $=$ Ev. 386 , Acts is i, Paul 199.
[7I. Vacant.]
72. Rome, Chigi R. iv. 8 (xvi.).
73. Rome, Corsini 4i E. 37 (xv.).
74. Venice, St Mark's 546 (xi.) = Acts 140, Paul 215.
75. Florence, Laur. iv. 30 (x.) $=$ Acts 86 , Paul 96.
[76. Vacant; $=75$.]
77. Florence, Laur. vii. 9 (xvi).
78. Rome, Vat. Ottob. Gr. 176 (xv.) $=$ Paul 197.
79. Rome, Vat. Gr. 656 (xiv.).

79 a. Munich, Reg. Gr. $24^{8}$ (xvi.).
8o. Munich, Reg. Gr. 544 (xiv.).
81. Munich, Reg. Gr. 23 (xvi.).
82. Munich, Reg. 21 (xi.) = Acts ${ }_{\text {17 }}$, Paul 128.
83. Turin, Univ. B. v. 8 (302) (xini.) = Ev. 339, Acts 135 , Paul 170.
84. Florence, Riccardi $84(\mathrm{xv})=$. Ev. 368, Acts I 50.
85. Jerusalem, Holy Sep. 9 (xiir.) $=$ Acts 184, Paul 232.
86. St Saba io (xiv.) = Ev. 462, Acts 187, Paul 235.
87. Berlin, Reg. Phillipps 146I (xiv. and xv.) $=$ Acts 178 , Paul 242 ; wants xiv. 4-14, xxi. 12-xxii. 2 I.
88. Venice, St Mark's 5 (xv.) = Ev. 205, Acts 93, Paul 106.
89. St Saba 20 (xili) $=$ Ev. 466, Acts 189, Paul 237.
90. Dresden, Reg. A. 95 (xir.).
91. Rame, Vat. Gr. r209 (xv.) $=$ Paul 293 [the supplement of Cod. B, to be found in Vercellone and Cozza's edition (I868), and in the recent photographic reproduction of the Vatican Codex (N. T.)].
92. Dublin, Trin. A. 4. 21 (xv.) $=$ Ev. 6I, Acts 34, Paul 40.
93. London, Lambeth 1 if6 (xi.) $=$ Paul 290 ; wants xiv. 16 xv. 7; xix. 4-xxii. 2 I.
94. London, Brit. Mus. Add. 11837 (A.d. 1357) $=$ Ev. 201 , Acts 91, Paul 104.
95. Parham, Curzon 82. I7 (xi. or xil.).
96. Parham, Curzon 93. 28 ? (xiv.).
97. London, Brit. Mus. Add. r7469 (xiv.) $=$ Ev. 498, Acts 198, Paul 255.
98. Oxford, Bodl. Canon. gr. 34. (A.D. 1515) = Ev. 522, Acts 200, Paul 257; wants ii. 11-23.
99. Naples, Nat. ii. Aa. 7 (xir.) $=$ Acts 83 , Paul 93 .
roo. Naples, Nat. ii, Aa. ro (xiv. or xv.).
ioi. Petersburg, Muralt 129 (xv.).
102. Paris, Nat. Armen. 9 (xi.) $=$ Acts 301 , Paul 259 ; wants xix. 16-xxii. 21.
103. Ferrara, Univ. 188 NA. 7 (A.d. 1334 ) $=$ Ev. 582 , Acts 206, Paul 262.
104. St Saba 20 (xi.) $=$ Acts 243, Paul 28 .
105. Athens, Nat. (43), Sakk. 94 (xit.) = Acts 307, Paul 469; Ap. xxi. 27-xxii. 21 in a later hand.
ro6. Zittau, Town Libr. A. I (xv.) = Ev. 664, Acts 253, Paul 303.
107. Cheltenham, 7682 (xi.) $=$ Ev. 680, Acts 255, Paul 305.
108. Highgate, Burdett-Coutts ii. 4 (xi.) = Ev. 699, Acts 256, Paul 306.
109. Venice, St Mark's 6 (xv. or xvi.) $=$ Ev. 206, Acts 94, Paul 107.
110. Athens, Nat. th. 12, Sakk. 150 (xiri. or xiv.) $=$ Ev. 757 , Acts 260, Paul 309.
ifi. Athens, Nat. $67{ }^{\text {m }}$, Sakk. 107 (xiri.) $=$ Ev. 792.
112. Athens, Mamouka (xii.) =Ev. 808, Acts 265 , Paul 314.
113. Grottaferrata $A^{\prime}$. a'. I (xiv.) =Ev. 824, Acts 267, Paul 316.
114. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1882 (xiv.) $=$ Ev. 866. Contains Apoc. vi. 17-xiii. 2 in Greek and Latin.
if5. Rome, Vat. Reg. Gr. 6 (A.D. 1454) $=$ Ev. 886, Acts 268 , Paul 3 17.
116. Athos, Greg. 3 (A.D. ini6) $=$ Ev. 922 , Acts 270, Paul 320.
117. Athos, Esphigm. 186 (xiv.) = Ev. 986, Aets 277, Paul 326.
i18. Athos, Laur. (xiv.) $=$ Ev. 1072, Acts 284, Paul 333.
119. Athos, Laur. (xiv.) =Ev. 1075, Acts 286, Paul 334.
120. Athos, Panteleem. xxix. (xiv.) = Ev. 1094, Acts 287, Paul 335 .
121. Paris, Nat. Coisl. 224 (xI.) =Acts 250, Paul 299.
122. Athens, Nat. th. 217 , Sakk. 490 (xiv.) $=$ Acts 25 1, Paul 301 .
123. Paris, Nat. Suppl. Gr. 159 (xiv.) $=$ Ev. 743, Acts 259.
124. Athens, Nat. (64), Sakk. 9 r (xir) $=$ Acts $3 \circ 9$, Paul 300 ; wants xviii. 22 -xxii. 2 I .
125. Escurial, 世. iii. 6 (xi.) $=$ Acts 235 .
126. Escurial, $\mathbf{\Psi}$. iii. 18 (x. $)=$ Acts 236 .
127. Lesbos, тoṽ $\lambda_{\text {et }}$ ûvos 55 (ix. or X.) $=$ Acts 323 , Paul 429.
128. Venice, St Mark's ii. if4 (A.d. 1069) = Acts 332, Paul 434.
129. Linköping, Dioc. Libr. 14. 35 ( x . or xi.) $=$ Acts 334 , Paul $43^{6}$.
130. Athos, Iveron $25($ xi. $)=$ Acts 359, Paul 452 [see p. cxcvi.].
131. Athos, Iveron 60 (xini.) $=$ Acts 362 , Paul 455 .
132. Athos, Paul 2 (ix.) = Acts 374, Paul 463.
${ }^{1} 33$. Chalcis, schol. $26(\mathrm{x})=$. Acts 384 , Paul 355 .
134. Chalcis, schol. 96 (xir.) $=$ Acts 386, Paul 357.
135. Sinai, 279 (xv.) $=$ Acts 399 , Paul 367 ; contains i. I-xiii. 8.

I 36 . Vienna, Imp. Gr. th. 69 (A.D. 1507 ).
137. Vienna, Imp. Gr. th. 163 (xv.).
138. Vienna, Imp. Gr. th. 220 ( xv .).
${ }^{139 .}$ Paris, Nat. Gr. 240 (a.d. 1543).
140. Paris, Nat. Coisl. Gr. 256 (xi. or xiI).

142. Escurial, T. iii. I7 (x.).
143. Escurial, X. iii. 6 (A.D. I Io7).
144. Madrid, O. i9, no. 7 (xvi).
145. Florence, Laur. vii. 29 (xvi.) ; contains i. I-vii. 5.
146. Messina, Univ. 99 (xili.).
147. Modena, Este iii. E. I (xv. or xvi.).
148. Modena, Este iii. F. i2 (xv.).
149. . Rome, Angel. A. 4. I (xiv. or xv.).
150. Rome, Angel. B. 5.15 (xv.).
151. Rome, Chigi R.V. 33 (xiv.).
152. Rome, Vat. Gr. 370 (xi.).
153. Rome, Vat. Gr. 542 (A.D. 1331 ).
154. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1190 (xv. or xvi.).
155. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1426 (xim.).
156. Milan, Ambr. H. 104. sup. (A.D. 1434) $=$ Acts 139 , Paul 174.
157. Rome, Vat. Gr. 1976 (xvi.).
158. Rome, Vat. Gr. 2129 (xvi.).
159. Rome, Vat. Ottob. Gr. 154 (xv.).
160. Rome, Vat. Ottob. Gr. 283 (a.D. 1574 ).
161. Rome, Vat. Palat. Gr. 346 (xv.).
162. Venice, St Mark's i. 40 (xvi.).
163. Venice, St Mark's ii. 54 (xv. or xyr.).
164. Athos, Anna xi (A.D. 1356).
165. Athos, Vatoped. go.
166. Athos, Vatoped. 90 (2) (?).
167. Athos, Dionys. 163 (A.D. 1622) $=$ Evst. 642, Apost. 170.
168. Athos, Docheiar. 8 ( (A.D. 1798).
169. Athos, Iveron 34 (xiv.).
170. Athos, Iveron 379 (x.).
171. Athos, Iveron 546 (xiv.).
172. Athos, Iveron 594 (xvil).
173. Athos, Iveron 605 (A.D. I60I).
174. Athos, Iveron 644 (A.D. 1685).
175. Athos, Iveron 661 (A.D. 1562).
176. Athos, Konstamon. 29 (xvi).).
177. Athos, Konstamon. 107 (xiII.).
178. Patmos, St John 12 (xiv.) $=$ Apost. 161 .
179. Patmos, St John 64 (xiu).
180. Florence, Laur. Conv.Soppr. 150 (xin.) $=$ Acts 149, Paul 349: Graeco-Latin.
181. London, Brit. Mus. Add. 28816 (A.d. 1111 ) $=$ Acts 205 , Paul 477.
182. Dresden, Reg. A. 187 (xvi.).

184. Leyden, Univ. Isaac Voss Gr. 48 (a.d. 1560).
185. Cambridge, Univ. (xi. or xir.) $=$ Ev. 1277, Acts 418 , Paul 484.
186. Athos, Pantocr. 44 (x.) ; contains xii. 4-xxii. 21 [see p. cxevi.].
187. [Greg. 495.] Jerusalem, Patr. 38 (xi.) $=$ Acts (Paul) 495.
188. [Greg. 500.] Jerusalem, Patr. Saba 665 (xi.) = Acts (Paul) 500.
189. [Greg. 5or.] Jerusalem, Patr. Saba 676 (xil.) =Acts (Paul) 50 I .
190. [Greg. 504.] Jerusalem, Patr. Staur. 57 (xiI.-xiII.) $=$ Acts $5^{\circ} 4$, Evl. 991 b.
191. [Greg. 506.] Constantinople, Holy Sep. 303. 2 (xiv.).
192. [Greg. 511.] Athens, Nat. Sakk. 142 (xv.).
193. [Greg. 1328.] Jerusalem, Patr. Saba 101 (xiv.) = Ev. 1328.
194. [Greg. I380.] Athos, Greg. 3 (A.D. III2) $=$ Ev. (Acts, Paul) 1380.
195. [Greg. 1384.] Andros, Mavaגрávтov 13 (xi.) $=$ Ev. (Acts, Paul) 1384

Von Soden (Die-Schriften des N.T. I. i. p. 289) raises the number of Apocalypse MSS. to 229, of which 223 are cursives.

Of the cursive texts, so far as they are known, the following are perhaps specially noteworthy: $1,6,7,12,14,31,36,38$, 9 r , 92, 93, 95, 130, 152, 170, 186. An appreciation of the available uncials is given by Dr Hort in his introduction to The N.T. in the original Greek, § 344 .
3. The ancient Versions of the Apocalypse are as follows:

## I. Latin (latt.).

(a) Old Latin (lat $\left.{ }^{\text {tt }}\right)^{1}$.
g. Cod. Holmiensis (xil.), known as Gigas, from its size; a Bohemian MS. now at Stockholm. Ed. Belsheim, 1878. The text of the Apocalypse is "late European" (WH., Intr. § ir6) ; "scheint italienischer Art zu sein" (Gregory, Tk. p. 608).
h (or reg). Cod. Floriacensis (vir.), formerly at Fleury, now at Paris. Ed. Berger, 1889 . Offers, according to WH., l.c., "a purely African text." Contains only Apoc. i. 1-24, viii. 7 -ix. 12 , xi. 16 -xii. 5 , xii. 6 - 14 , xiv. ${ }^{15}$-xvi. $5^{2}$.
m. Text of the Apocalypse in the Speculum (a PseudoAugustinian treatise de divinis scripturis). The book is edited by Weilrich in the Vienna Corpus ser. eccb. lat., vol. xii. p. 296 ff. (1887). The fragments of the N.T. text are collected by Belsheim (1899). Hort (Gregory, Tk. p. 606) was disposed to regard the N. T. text of the Speculum as Spanish, or a recension parallel to the European text.
Prim. Text of the Apocalypse in the commentary of Primasius (vi.). Ed. Haussleiter, I89r (in Zahn's Forschungen, Iv.).
( $\beta$ ) Vulgate (lat ${ }^{\mathrm{vg})}$.
am. Cod. Amiatinus (c. A.D. 700).
dewid. Cod. Demidovianus (xir).
fuld. Cod. Fuldensis (vi.).
harl. Cod. Harleianus (ix.).
lipss. ${ }^{4,7,5 .}$ Codd. Lipsienses (xiv., xv.).
tol. Cod. Toletanus (yin.).
vg.cle Edition of the Vulgate issued by Clement VIII. in 1592 (Vercellone, Biblia sacra vulgatae editionis Sixti V. et Clementis VIII. iussu recognita atque edita. Romae, 1861).

## II. Syriac (syrr).

(a) Supplement to the Vulgate Syriac or Peshitta (syr., Gwynn's इ). Ed. Leusden and Schaaf, Leyden 1708, 1717. The canon of the true Peshitta did not contain the Apocalypse (above, p. cxv.), and the version of this book printed in Schaaf's edition and originally published by De Dieu in

[^158]2-12, xi. 18--xii. II, xv. 4-xvi. 5 is given in J.T.S. viii. 29 (Oet. 1906), p. 96 ff., but it adds little of importance for our purpose.

1629 is that of Thomas of Harkel (A.D. 616), as has been placed beyond doubt bynotes appended to aFlorentine MS. ${ }^{1}$ A version printed in 1897 by Dr Gwynn ${ }^{2}$, Regius Professor of Divinity in the University of Dublin (syr ${ }^{\text {gw. }}$, Gwynn's S), from a MS. (xir.) in the library of the Earl of Crawford and Balcarres. As Dr Gwynn shews ${ }^{3}$, syrbw. is prior to syr., and is probably "the work of Polycarpus, and belongs to his version of the whole New Testament into Syriac, the Philoxenian proper of A.D. 508."

Thus our extant Syriac texts of the Apocalypse correspond in character with the Philoxenian and Harkleian versions respectively. The book was not included in the canon of the Peshitta.
III. Armenian (arm).

On the editions of the Armenian N. T. see St Mark, p. ci. Zohrab held that the Apocalypse was not translated into Armenian before the eighth century, and Goussen (Studia theologica, ii.), while printing a version of the Apocalypse which he calls antiquissima and regards as based on a copy of extraordinary age (mirae veturtatis exemplar habuisse videtur fontem), pronounces the ordinary Armenian Apocalypse to be a work of cent. xir. ${ }^{4}$

Since the publication of the first edition of this commentary, Mr F. C. Conybeare has issued his promised edition of the Armenian Apocalypse, under the auspices of the Text and Translation Society. Besides the Armenian text and an English translation the book contains a critical introduction, in which Mr Conybeare shews (r) that the Apocalypse was admitted into the Armenian canon through the influence of Nerses of Lambron in the twelfth century ; and (z) that Nerses produced a recension in which he revised an older version traceable to the first years of the fifth century. Mr Conybeare has used four MSS. which give pre-Nersesian texts, viz., a Bodleian MS. dated A.D. 1307 (1), a British Museum MS. (2), a MS. of the Bibliothèque Nationale at Paris (3), and a Jerusalem MS. dated A.d. ifgi (4). His collations have been employed in this edition to correct and, to some extent, supplement Tischendorf's references to the Armenian version.

## IV. Egyptian (aegg)

(a) Memphitic or Bohairic (me). Ed. D. Wilkins, r717; G. Horner, 1898 -1905. Mr Horner prints the text of the Apocalypse from the Curzon MS. 128, with the variants of ten other MSS. In the present edition of this commentary the readings of me have been corrected with the help of Mr Horner's translation of his text.
( $\beta$ ) Thebaic or Sahidic (the). Large fragments of the Sahidic Apocalypse are known to have survived, including cc. i.

[^159][^160]13-ix. $21, x .6$-xvi. 18 , xvii. $2-x v i i i .2$, xviii. 12- 23 , xviii. 25 -xix. 2, xix. 7-xxi. 9, xxi. 25 -xxii. $2 \mathrm{I}^{1}$. Some of these have been collected by A mélineau (Zeitschrift $f$. Aeg. Sprache, xxvi. 1888), and Goussen (Apocalypsis S. Iohannis Apostoli, Leipzig, 1895) ${ }^{2}$.
The Apocalypse seems to have formed no part of the original Bohairic or Sahidic N. T., or at any rate it was held to be of inferior authority; for with few exceptions it is written separately from the rest of the N. T., and it is not represented in the Coptoarabic vocabularies ${ }^{3}$.

## V. Ethiopic (aeth).

Roman edition, $1548-9$. Ed. Platt, $1826-1830$ (1874). Cf. Dr Charles in Hastings, D. B. i. p. 79 r.

> VI. Arabic (ar).

Ed. Erpe, Leyden, 1616 ; Paris polyglott, 1645 ; Roman edition of 1703 . Cf. Prof. Burkitt in Hastings, D. B. i. p. 36 ff.
The Arabic versions of the Apocalypse are said to "vary greatly," and to shew the influence of the Coptic and Syriac ${ }^{4}$.

In their L'Apocalypse en Francais, MM. Paul Meyer and Delisle have printed a twelfth century version of which the earliest MSS. are written in the Anglo-Norman dialect. English versions of the French Apocalypse were current in the fourteenth century, and on one of these the later Wycliffite version was based. An interesting account of the early English Apocalypse is given by Miss A. C. Paues, late Fellow of Newnham College, Ph. D., Upsala, in her degree thesis : A fourteenth century English Biblical Version (Cambridge, 1902, 1904). Miss Paues, to whom this information is dne, is preparing for publication a fuller description of these versions.
4. The patristic evidence for the text of the Apocalypse, if not so extensive as in the case of some of the other books of the New Testament, is both early and important. The book is cited, sometimes in large contexts, by Irenaeus, Hippolytus, Origen, and Methodius, and, among Latin fathers of the Ante-Nicene period, by Tertullian and Cyprian, and by Augustine. But the most important witness under this head is Primasius, whose commentary retains its original text, and has secured for the Apocalypse " the unique advantage of having been preserved in a Latin text at once continuous and purely African ${ }^{5}$." The African text of Tyconius also is repre-

[^161][^162]sented, probably with fair accuracy, in the pseudo-Augustinian homilies ${ }^{1}$ which embody much of his commentary. On the commentary of Victorinus some doubt still rests, and his text, as printed, is largely Vulgate in character. In the MSS. of the commentary of Andreas the Greek text of the Apocalypse varies considerably ${ }^{2}$; its evidence has been used in the apparatus of this edition only where the MSS. agree.
5. The grouping of the authorities for the text of the Apocalypse is a task of more than ordinary difficulty, for, as Dr Hort remarks, "historical landmarks are obscure, and familiar documents assume a new position." Since Dr Hort's Introduction was written, much has been done to bring the problem nearer to a solution, and the student of the text will find help in various directions from the following writers: Weiss, Die JohannesApokalypse (in Texte und Untersuchungen VII. I, 1891); Bousset, Zur Textkritik der Apokalypse (in T. u. U. xI. 4, I894); Bousset, Die Offenbarung Johannis, 1896; Haussleiter, Die lateinische Apokalypse der alten afrikanischen Kirche (in Zahn's Forschungen IV., I891); Gwynn, The A pocalypse of St John, in a Syriac Version (1897).

The text of the present edition will be found to differ only in a few places ${ }^{4}$ from that of Westcott and Hort, although the editor has held himself free in each case to follow to the best of his own judgement the leading of the evidence. In the apparatus he has used the materials collected in Tischendorf's editio octava critica maior (1872), as amended in Gregory's prolegomena iii. (I894)", and he has added to them the evidence of Dr Gwynn's Syriac, and of two early Athos minuscules (130, $186^{\circ}$ ), which were kindly photographed for his use by Professor Lake, of Oxford and Leyden. It is hoped that an apparatus thus constructed, though far from complete, will be sufficient to provide the student of the Apocalypse with opportunities of testing for himself the principles of criticism which the works enumerated above will suggest.

[^163][^164]
## XVII.

## COMMENTARIES ${ }^{2}$.

The literature of the Apocalypse is immense, but it is unequally distributed in regard both to time and to place of origin. From the Greek-speaking East, which produced the book, no exposition has reached us which is earlier than the sixth century, and none of any importance which is later than the tenth. The West, on the other hand, began to comment upon St John's prophecy in the time of Diocletian, and has occupied itself with Apocalyptic problems from the days of Irenaeus to our own.

The following list is fairly complete so far as regards the patristic period, but from the age of Charlemagne to the end of the Middle Ages it has been thought sufficient to notice the more important commentaries. Since the invention of printing the output of books upon the Apocalypse has steadily increased, and a bare enumeration of them would occupy more space than we can afford. Only those have been mentioned which possess some permanent value, or may be regarded as representative of the several schools of Apocalyptic interpretation.
A. Greek commentaries.

Melito, Bishop of Sardis, who flourished under Marcus Aurelius, wrote, according to Eusebius, H.E. iv. 26, $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{u}$

[^165][^166] Devil in which certain passages in the Apocalypse (e.g. cc. xii., xx.) came under discussion. A fragment of this work may survive ${ }^{2}$ in


 ròv rórov. On a Pseudo-Melito super Apocalypsin see Harnack, Gesch. I. p. 254.

Irenaeus (ii.). A MS. found at Altenberg by Martène and Durand ${ }^{2}$ bore the title Herenei Lugdunensis episcopi in Apocalypsin, but it proved to contain extracts from later writers as well as from Irenaeus. The statement of Jerome, de virr. illustr. ii. 9, "Apocalypsin, quam interpretantur Iustinus martyr et Hirenaeus," is satisfied by the expositions of certain Apocalyptic passages which are found in their works (cf. Harnack, Gesch. i. p. 272).

Hippolytus (ii.-iii.). Jerome (op. eit. 61) says of this profuse writer: "scripsit nonnullos in scripturas commentarios, e quibus haec repperi...De Apocalypsi." The exact title of this work is given on the back of the Chair as ymep toy кata lwanhn e[ya]r[єגוoy kat дпокалүчє $\omega \mathrm{c}$, on which Lightfoot (Clement ii. p. 374 ; cf. p. 420 ) remarks: "from the preposition ( $v \pi \epsilon \rho$, not $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ), and from the association of the two words together, it is a safe inference that this was an apologetic work directed against those persons who objected to both works alike," i.e. the so-called Alogi. Harnack, on the other hand, writes (Gesch. ii. p. 642): "De Apccalypsi ist wahrscheinlich...als besonderes Werk zu betrachten...welches wahrscheinlich auch Andreas für seinen Commentar benutzt hat (zu e. i3. s und I7. 10)."

Clement of Alexandria (ii.-iii.), according to Eusebius, H.E.vi. 14, commented in his ' $\mathrm{Y} \pi о \pi v \pi \omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ on all the canonical books not excepting the antilegomena ${ }^{3}$.

Origen (iii.), it is known, intended to expound the Apocalypse; cf. in Matt. §49 (Lommatzsch): "omnia haec exponere singillatim ...non est temporis huius; exponentur autem tempore suo in Revelatione Ioannis...horum autem principales expositiones atque probationes oportet fieri cum ipse liber propositus fuerit nobis ad exponendum." But the commentary on Matthew was probably one of his later works, belonging to his sixtieth year (A.D. 2464), and, as his death followed in 253 , it must be feared that he did not succeed in reaching the Apocalypse ; certainly no fragments of homilies or a commentary on that book from his pen have been produced.

Oecumenius (vi.), Bishop of Tricca in Thessaly. A complete commentary under this name has been discovered in a Messina MS. (cod. S. Salvatore 99, xii.) ${ }^{5}$ by Dr F. Diekamp, who described it in

[^167]D.C.B. iv. p. III.

SThe work is also found, but in a shorter form, in a Turin MS. (cod. gr. 84) and the Roman MSS, Vat. gr. I426, Ottob. gr. 126 - 8 .
COD. APOC. 186 (ATHOS, PANTOCRATOR 44).
the Berlin Sitzungsberichte der Kön. preuss. AKademie der Wissen schaften for 1901 (p. IO46 ff.)'. The commentary is entitled 'E $\rho \mu \eta$ -

 written more than 500 years after the Apocalypse (cf. i. $2 \dot{\eta} \delta \eta$
 there are indications which mark the work as not much if at all later than A.D. 600. The discoverer proceeds to shew that Oecumenius has been used by Andreas, and must therefore in future take precedence of him and stand first in the short list of extant Greek commentators upon the Apocalypse.

Andreas ${ }^{2}$, metropolitan of Cappadocian Caesarea has left us
 second half of the sixth century. He quotes patristic authorities from Papias to Cyril of Alexandria, and refers (on xx. 7 f.) to the invasion of the Empire by barbaric hordes á ка入ои̃ $\mu \in \nu$ Ovivvcкá, and to Dionysius the Areopagite, who is styled ó paкápoos. While the work of Andreas takes account of earlier writers and occasionally quotes them, yet, as the preface leads the reader to expect, it is in no sense a catena, but an independent effort to interpret the book. The interpretation is on Origenistic lines, but though it allegorizes to some extent, an attempt is made from time to time to find historical fulfilments of the A pocalyptic visions. Such a work naturally attracted attention in the Greek-speaking East, and from the ninth century onwards the commentary of Andreas was widely transcribed: nearly a third of the known minuscule MSS. of the Apocalypse contain it, viz. codd. $1,18,21,35,36,43,49,59,62$, $63,67,68,70 \mathrm{a}, 7^{2}, 73,77,79,79 \mathrm{a}, 80,81,100$, Іо1, $123, \mathbf{1 3 6}, 137$,
 ェ61, $163,164,167,168,169,17 \circ, 171$, І $74,175,179,184,186^{3}$, 192, and seven more which have not received a number.

The editio princeps of Andreas is that of Sylburg (1596). The commentary was also printed in the Bibliothecae Patrum of 1589 , 1618,1644 and $16.77^{4}$; in the present volume it is quoted from Migne, $P$. G. evi.

Arethas, a successor of Andreas in the see of Caesarea (ix.-x.), occupied himself with a compilation in which his predecessor holds


 c. A.D. $900^{5}$.

I I owe this reference to Mr C . H . Turner's article Patristic Commentaries, in Hastings' D.B. v. p. 523 .

2 On Andreas (Andrew) of Caesarea see Fabricius-Harles, viii. p. 696 ff.; Smith and Wace, D.C.B. i. p. I54f.; Herzog-Hanck, i. p. 514 ff. ; Bousset, Die Offenbarung, p. 68 f.; Gregory, prolegg. p. 1159 ; von Soden, pp. 284 ff., 702 f.
${ }^{3}$ Of this MS. a photograph is shewn opposite. Cod, r86=Athos, Pantocrator 44 , was photographed for the writer by Mr (now Professor) Lake in rgoi-2, and a collation of its text of the Apocalypse has been made for this edition.
${ }_{4}$ Ittig, De bibliothecis et catenis patrum, pp. 52, 109, 426, 492.
${ }^{5}$ See Harnack in T. u. U. i. 1, Pp. 39 fi., 43 f.

Arethas is printed in the Cologne and Lyons Bibliothecae Patrum ${ }^{1}$, in Cramer's Catena, viii. pp. 18x-496, and in Migne P. G. cvi.; the quotations in the notes of this volume are from Migne. A critical edition of Andreas and Arethas is still a desideratum.

Besides the commentary of Andreas and the compilation of Arethas we have in print (Cramer, viii. pp. 497-582, from MS. Coisl. 224, f. 333 v., sqq.) a briefer exposition of which Diekamp truly says that it is " nichts Anderes als der etwas verkürzte Commentar des Andreas ${ }^{\text {a }}$." Cramer himself represents it as Occumenian (ib. p. vi.), for what reason it does not appear; Montfaucon (Biblioth. Coislin., p. 275) mentions no name in connexion with it, though Oecumenius is named in the heading to the previous item (p. $33^{\circ}$ v.).
B. Syriac commentaries.
"The chief Nestorian commentator, Isho'-dād of Merw (fl. A.d. 850), covers both Testaments in his exegetical works, but passes over the four shorter Catholic Epistles and the Apocalypse, which were not included in the canon of the Peshitta. The Jacobite Barhebraeus ( $\dagger$ A.D. I286) in his Auşar Rāzē has the same range and the same exceptions as Isho'dād. The known Syriac commentaries on the Apocalypse seem to be no more than three, and they are unpublished. (i) An anonymous commentary of unknown date accompanies the text in Brit. Mus. Add. 17127; an extract from the comment on $c$. iii. is given in Wright's Catalogue of Syriac MSS., part ii. p. IO20 f. (2) The second commentary is that of Jacob (Dionysius) Barsalibi ( $\dagger$ a.d. xifi), preserved in Brit. Mus. Rich. 7185 ; extracts are given by Dr Gwynn in Hermathena vi., vii. (3) The third is found in Cambr. Univ. Lib. Add. x970, a Nestorian MS. of the eighteenth century. An extract from it is given in the Catalogue of Syriac MSS. in the Library of the University of Cambridge, vol. i. p. 44 f. It is apparently a recent production, not much earlier in date than the MS. ${ }^{3 "}$
C. Latin commentaries from the third century to the sixteenth.

Victorinus, Bishop ${ }^{4}$ of Pettau, in Pannonia (iii.) ${ }^{5}$. Of this earliest - of Latin interpreters of the Apocalypse Jerome, himself a Pannonian, writes (de virr. ill. 74): "Victorinus, Pitabionensis episcopus, non aeque Latine ut Graece noverat. unde opera eius grandia sensibus viliora videntur compositione verborum. sunt autem haec: commentarii in Genesim, in Exodum...in Apocalypsim Tohannis." Elsewhere he says of Victorinus (ep. 58): "quod intellegit eloqui non potest," and again (ep. 70) : "licet desit eruditio, non tamen deest eruditionis voluntas." According to the same authority,

[^168][^169]Victorinus was a chiliast (de virr. ill. 18 : "Tertullianus...et Victorinus Pitabionensis et Lactantius hac opinione ducuntur"), and in his expository methods a follower of Origen (ep. 62: "taceo de Victorino Pitabionensi et ceteris qui Origenem in explanatione dumtaxat seripturarum secuti sunt'"). His exact date is not known, but he suffered martyrdom (de virr. ill. 74: "ad extremum martyrio coronatus est"), probably during the last persecution-an epoch when the Apocalypse may well have recovered in the eyes of Christians much of the freshness of its original interest.

A commentary on the Apocalypse bearing the name of Victorinus is extant in two forms-a shorter form printed in De la Bigne's Bibliotheca Patrum, t. vi. (Paris, I 575$)^{1}$, and a longer which appears in Gallandi, t. iv., and in Migne, P. L. v. In the Zeitsahrift f. kirchl. Wissenschaft u. kirchl. Leben for 1886 Haussleiter maintained that neither form represents the original work as it came from the pen of Victorinus. The shorter form is a revision of Victorinus by Jerome, who used also the commentary of Tyconius, and the longer is based on a later recension of the shorter. Since this theory was broached Haussleiter has been engaged in preparing an edition of Victorinus for the Vienna Corpus, and his researches have convinced him that the text presented by Cod. Vat. Ottob. Lat. 3288 a approaches more nearly to the original than either of the printed texts, and in particular that it contains the chiliastic end of the commentary, which Jerome removed ${ }^{2}$. In the notes of the present volume 'Victorinus' stands for the longer form of the JeromeVictorinus commentary, which is quoted from Migne's reprint.

Tyconius (? Tichonius, Ticonius ${ }^{3}$ ), African and Donatist, followed Vietorinus after an interval of about a century; his floruit is usually given as c. A.d. 390 . According to Gennadius of Marseilles he was "in Divinis litteris eruditus iuxta historiam sufficienter, in saecularibus non ignarus." His exposition differed widely from his predecessor's: "exposuit et Apocalypsin Iohannis ex integro, nihil in ea carnale sed totum intellegens spiritale...mille quoque annorum regni in terra iustorum post resurrectionem futuri suspicionem tulit...neque duas in carne resurrectiones mortuorum futuras, unam iustorum et alteram iniustorum, sed unam et tunc semel omnium."

Donatist as he was, Tyconius wins high praise for his exposition of the Apocalypse from one who was no mean judge of the interpreter's art. Bede writes of him: "[Apocalypsin] et vivaciter intellexit, et veridice satisque catholice disseruit, praeter ea duntaxat loca in quibus suae partis...schisma defendere nisus, perse-

[^170]p. 103. On Tyconius himself and his commentary see D.C.B. iv. 1025 ff ., Haussleiter in Zeitschrift f. kirchl. Wissenschaft etc., vii. ( 886 ), p. 239 ff., and in Zahn's Forschungen, iv. (i89r); Tr. Hahn, Tyconius-Studien in Bonwetsch and Seeberg's Studien, vi. 2 (Igoo) ; and Prof. Burkitt's edition of the Regulae, already named.
cutiones quas ipsi...pertulerunt...in eadem gloriatur Apocalypsi fuisse praedictas ${ }^{1}$." 'That this judgement is just is shewn by the free use which was made of Tyconius not only by Bede himself, but by a succession of Catholic writers-Primasius, Beatus, the author of the homilies on the Apocalypse printed in the appendix to the third volume of the Benedictine Augustine and in Migne, P. L. xxxv. ${ }^{2}$, and the commentary published by Dom Amelli in the Spicilegium Casinense (iii. pp. 263-331) ${ }^{3}$. The work of Tyconius as a whole is perhaps no longer extant, but it can be largely reconstructed from those Catholic expositors who followed in his steps.

Primasius, of Hadrumetum in Byzacena, another African, but a Catholic Bishop, wrote on the Apocalypse before 543-4, when his commentary is mentioned by Cassiodorius (de inat. div. libr. 9: " nostris quoque temporibus Apocalypsis... Primasii antistitis Africani studio...quinque libris exposita est"). It was thus an early work, completed before Primasius was embroiled in the controversy raised in Africa by the 'Three Chapters.' With regard to its character it possesses, as Haussleiter remarks, only a secondary value, being largely made up of Tyconius and Augustine. Augustine is in places (e.g. in the comment on Apoc. xx.) transferred almost bodily to the pages of Primasius; Tyconius is a "preciosa, in stercore gemma," which the Bishop picks out of the mire to adorn his pages.

The commentary of Primasius has come down to us entire. The editio princeps was that of Cervicornus (Hirschhorn), Cologne, 1535. This was followed by editions in the Cologne, Paris, and Lyons bibliothecae of 1618,1644 , and $1677^{5}$; the Paris edition is followed generally in Migne, $P . L$. lxviii., whose reprint is quoted in the present volume. The African Latin text of the Apocalypse, which happily has been preserved in the commentary of Primasius, is cited from Haussleiter's admirable edition in Zahn's Forschungen. It is in this text that the value of Primasius to the modern student chiefly lies: see above, p. cxcv.

Apringius (vi.) Bishop of Pax (whether Pax Julia = Béja, in Portugal, or P. Augusta $=$ Badajoz, in Spain), under Theudis, King of the Visigoths (A.D. 53 I -548), was working upon the Apacalypse nearly about the time when Primasius wrote his commentary. So we learn from Isidore of Seville (de virr. ill. 30: "Apringius, ecclesiae Pacensis Hispaniarum episcopus...claruit temporibus Theudis principis Gothorum"). The commentary of Apringius was published

1 Migue, P. L. xciii. col. I32 f.
${ }^{2}$ See Haussleiter, Zeitschrift, p. ${ }^{24}$.
The psenudo-Augustinian homilies are
represented in the apparatus to the text
of this commentary by the symbol
anonaug, used by Tischendorf. In a
St John's (Cambridge) MS. this com-
mentaryis entitled: "tractatus Gennadii
presbiteri Massiliae de mille annis et de
Apocalypsi"; seo Dr M. R. James in

[^171]at Paris in 1900 by Dom Féroten from a MS. belonging to the University of Copenhagen. Unfortunately the MS. gives the work of Apringius only so far as regards Apoc. i. r-v. 7, and xviii. 6xxii. 21 , the lacuna $v .8$-xviii. 5 being filled with scholia from Jerome-Victorinus.

According to Isidore, Apringius expounded the Apocalypse "subtili sensu atque illustri sermone, melius pene quam veteres ecclesiastici viri exposuisse videntur." A few specimens from M. Féroten's edition have been given in the notes of this commentary.

Cassiodorius, probably after his retirement to Viviers (a.d. 540), wrote brief notes (complexiones) on the Acts, Epistles, and Apocalypse, which were first published by Maffei in 1721, and are reprinted in Migne, $P . L$. lxx. In the Apocalypse he refers his readers to Tyconius, and shews also the influence of Victorinus and Augustine.

Baeda of Wearmouth and Jarrow (A.D. 672-735) comes next in order of time among Latin commentators on the Apocalypse. In his explanatio Apocalypsis, as in his other expository works, Bede freely recognizes the secondary character of his expositions; in the Apocalypse, while drawing on the Fathers generally, he makes especial use of earlier Western commentators on the book, especially of Primasius and Tyconius; the latter is not seldom quoted by name. Yet Bede is no mere compiler, and not the least valuable of his remarks are those where the personality of the Northumbrian saint reveals itself. Bede's work on the Apocalypse is quoted in this volume from Migne, $P$. $L$. xcv.

Ambrosius Ansbertus (or Autpertus) ${ }^{1}$, a Benedictine monk of French origin who died as Abbot of an Italian monastery, composed his commentarii in Apocalypsim during the pontificate of Paul I. (A.D. $757-767$ ), and dedicated them to Paul's successor, Stephen IV. (A.d. 768-772). He makes use of Jerome-Victorinus, Tyconius, and even of Bede, but especially of Primasius, who supplies the staple of his expositions. The work is printed in the Cologne and Lyons Bibliothecae Patrum, but does not appear in Migne's Latin Patrology.

Beatus of Liebana (Libana), the Spanish Benedictine who in A.D. 785 joined Etherius Bishop of Osma in a work against Elipandus of Toledo on the Adoptianist question. His commentary on the Apocalypse ${ }^{2}$, which is dedicated to Etherius, is, like Bede's, professedly based to a great extent on the works of his predecessors, among whom he specifies Jerome (i.e. Victorinus in Jerome's recension), Augustine, Tyconius, and Apringius. Tyconius, in particular, has been largely used, although it is possible to exaggerate the debt

1 See Fabricius-Harles, Bibl. Lat, i. p. 77; Smith and Wace, D. C. B. i. p. 232 ; Herzog-Hauck, ii. p. 308 f.

2 On the Commentary of Beatus and its MSS. see two articles by Dom H. L.

[^172]which Beatus owes to him. The conclusion at which Dom Ramsay arrives is probably not far from the truth: "je crois que partout où Beatus, Primasius, et le Pseudo-Augustine exploitent un fonds commun, ce fonds est celui de Tyconius (sinon de Victorinus) ${ }^{1}$."

The MSS. of Beatus have long been famous for their illuminations, which supply rich materials for the study of early Spanish art ${ }^{2}$. But there is only one printed text ${ }^{3}$, and the book is so rare that no copy is to be found at the British Museum or in the Cambridge University Library ${ }^{4}$.

Of Latin writers on the Apocalypse from the beginning of the ninth century to the sixteenth the following deserve to be specially mentioned:

Cent. ix. Alcuin (Migne P. L. c.). Berengaddus (Migne xvii.). Haymo (Migne cxviii.). Walafrid Strabo (?) (Migne cxiv.).

Cent. xii. Anselm of Havilberg (D'Achéry, Spicilegium, i.). Anselm of Laon (Migne clxiii.). Bruno of Asti (Migne clxv.). Joachim of Calabria (Venice, 1519 and 1527). Richard of St Victor (Migne xcvi.). Rupert of Deutz (Migne clxix.).

Cent. xiii. Albertus Magnus (Opera, t. xii., Lyons, 1651 ). Hugo de S. Caro (postilla vii., Cologne, 1620). Peter John Oriva (postilla in Apocalypsin). Pseudo-Aquinas (Opera S. Thomae Aq., t. xxiii., Parma, 1869 ).

Cent. xiv. Nicolas de Gorhami (Antwerp, r6if-20). Nicolas of Lira (Rome, $147 \mathrm{I}-2$ ).

Cent. xv. Dionysius Carthosianus (Paris, i530).
Most of these mediaeval expositors follow their predecessors more or less closely, and satisfy themselves with a spiritualizing exegesis. But there are exceptions, especially Berengaud, Rupert of Deutz, and Joachim ; the last-named has left a work which is a landmark in the history of Apocalyptic interpretation.
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## XVIII.

## HISTORY AND METHODS OF INTERPRETATION.

i. More than once ${ }^{1}$ the Apocalypse appeals to the intelligence of the Christian student, inviting him to unravel its meaning if he can. Here is wisdom. He that hath understanding, let him count the number of the Beast. Here is the mind which hath wisdom. The challenge was accepted almost from the first, but with results which shew by their wide divergence the difficulties of the task. Schools of Apocalyptic interpretation have arisen, varying not only in detail, but in principle. It is the purpose of the present chapter to sketch ${ }^{2}$ the progress of this movement from the second century to our own time, and then to indicate the lines which have been followed in the present exposition.
2. The Ante-Nicene Church, although she seems to have produced but one exposition of the book, was certainly not indifferent to the chief problems which it raises. Two of these, in particular-the questions connected with the coming of Antichrist and the hope of the Thousand Years-excited the liveliest interest during the age of persecution. Justin, as we have seen, found support for his chiliastic views in Apoc. xx. Irenaeus ${ }^{3}$ bases upon Apoc. xxi., amongst other prophecies, his expectation of a terrestrial kingdom and a restored Jerusalem. He identifies the first of St John's Wild Beasts with St Paul's Man of Sin, and gives as one reading of the Number of the Beast the word Aatecvós, adding:

[^173]"Latini enim sunt qui nune regnant'." From Apoc. xvii. I2 ff. he gathers that the Empire would be broken up into ten kingdoms, and Babylon (? Rome) be reduced to ashes ${ }^{2}$. Hippolytus, especially in his tract On Christ and Antichrist, carries the interpretation of Irenaeus some steps further. The first Beast is the Empire, which will be wounded to death, but restored by Antichrist; the Second Beast represents the ten kingdoms that are to take the place of the Empires. The Woman with child is the Church ${ }^{4}$; Babylon is Rome ${ }^{5}$; the Two Witnesses are Enoch and Elijah, the $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ} \delta \rho o \mu o 九$ of the Second Coming. In common with Justin and Irenaeus, Hippolytus entertains millennarian hopes, which he grounds on Apoc. xx. ${ }^{7}$

In Justin and Irenaeus-probably aiso in Hippolytus-we seem to catch a glimpse of the interpretation which prevailed in Asia in the early decades of the second century. The Alexandrians, who were without such guidance, interpreted the Apocalypse spiritually. Thus Clement sees in the four and twenty Elders a symbol of the equality of Jew and Gentile within the Christian Church ${ }^{8}$; in the tails of the locusts of the Abyss, the mischievous influence of immoral teachers": in the manycoloured foundation stones of the City of God, the manifold grace of Apostolic teaching ${ }^{10}$. Origen repudiates as "Jewish ${ }^{11}$ " the literal interpretation which the chiliasts gave to the closing chapters of the book; and his incidental references to the Apocalypse savour of an arbitrary though often noble and helpful mysticism. Thus he takes the sealed roll to be Scripture, to which Christ alone has the key ${ }^{12}$ : the vision of the open heaven, from which the Word of God issues forth on a white horse, suggests to him the opening of heaven by the Divine Word through the white light of knowledge which He imparts to believers ${ }^{18}$. Methodius must on the whole

[^174]be ranked with the Alexandrians, in regard to his method of interpreting the Apocalypse. In his exposition of Apoc. xii. ${ }^{1}$ he finds in the Woman's child not Christ Himself but the baptized soul in which Christ is born. . The seven heads of the Dragon are the greater sins ${ }^{2}$; his ten horns are contrasted with the Ten Commandments of the Decalogue. The Beast appears to be regarded as a symbol of fleshly lust ${ }^{3}$.

The Latin fathers of the first three centuries, on the other hand, carry on the line of interpretation started by Irenaeus and Hippolytus. Thus Tertullian regards Babylon as an image of Rome, "ut proinde magnae et regno superbae et sanctorum Dei debellatricis ${ }^{4}$." The Beast from the sea is Antichrist, who with his False Prophet will wage war against the Church ${ }^{5}$. A kingdom of the Saints is expected which will have its seat on earth, though it belongs to another order, and will be preceded by a resurrection of the body. An orderly plan runs through St John's work, though the order must not be pressed so far as to include chronological details?

Of the commentary of Victorinus in general it is impossible to speak with confidence until it is before us in a form nearer to that in which it came from his pens. But the extract published by Haussleiter ${ }^{9}$ from what appears to be the original work confirms the statement that Victorinus held firmly by the chiliastic interpretation of Apoc. xx.

A few sentences will sufficiently illustrate his attitude. "In hac eadem prima resurrectione et civitas futura et sponsa per hane scripturam expressa est...quotquot ergo non anticipaverint surgere in prima resurrectione et regnare cum Christo super orbem...surgent in novissima tuba post annos mille...In regno ergo et in prima resurrectione exhibetur civitas sancta, quam vidit descensuram de caelo quadratam, differentem a vice mortuositatis et doloris et genesis...ostendit scriptura adferri ibi munera regum serviturorum novissimorum...et civitatum."
3. A new stage of Apocalyptic interpretation is reached at the end of the fourth century, when Tyconius wrote his epoch-

[^175][^176]making commentary. Though the work has not survived as a whole, its line of interpretation and many of its details can be recovered from later expositions ${ }^{1}$. It is abundantly clear that Tyconius trod in the steps of Origen rather than of Victorinus; he inclined to a mystical exegesis, even if he did not altogether exclude literal or historical fulfilments. But his method was largely new, and his own, as may be gathered from his liber regularum. His fourth 'rule' reveals the principle with which he approached his task: "loquimur secundum mysteria caelestis sapientiae magisterio Sancti Spiritus, qui cum veritatis pretium fidem constituerit mysteriis narravit in speciem genus abscondens...dum enim speciem narrat, ita in genus transit ut transitus non statim liquido appareata." The expositor of the Apocalypse, on this principle, would pass insensibly from a name which suggested a particular object to the universal fact which it symbolized; e.g. from Jerusalem to the Church, or from Babylon to the hostile world ${ }^{3}$. By this means Tyconius was enabled to pass lightly over the references to Rome and the persecuting Emperors, which since the conversion of the Empire had ceased to be of special interest, and to fix the attention of the reader upon the world-long struggle between good and evil; while on the other hand his 'rule' did not prevent him from finding a crucial instance of that struggle in the fight which his own party were making at the time in Africa against the Catholic Church, identified in his judgement with the evil of the world.

So far as his principle of interpretation is concerned Tyconius had many Catholic followers, who made no secret of their indebtedness to the great Donatist. In his interpretation of Apoc. xx. ${ }^{4}$ Augustine agrees in the main with Tyconius. Primasius, Cassiodorius, Apringius, Bede, Beatus, and most of the writers on the Apocalypse who followed them in the earlier centuries of the Middle Ages, were content with a mystical exegesis which varied in its details according to the fancy of the individual expositor or the needs or ideas of his time.

[^177]4. While Primasius and others were popularizing the method of Tyconius in the Latin West, the Greek East made its first and only serious attempt to expound the Apocalypse. Of Oecumenius nothing can be said until his commentary finds an editor. But Andreas is perhaps the best known of ancient expositors of the Apocalypse, and certainly none of them is more edifying or, in his own way, more attractive. Entering on his work with the conviction that Scripture holds a threefold sense ${ }^{1}$, he agrees with the Alexandrians in attaching especial importance to the spiritual interpretation of a book, which beyond other books in the New Testament lends itself to such treatment. But he does not depart so entirely from the earlier school of Irenaeus and Hippolytus as his Western contemporaries did; side by side with mystical exposition he places suggestions of a historical fulfilment. If he regards Babylon as the World considered as the standing enemy of the Church, in the seven kings he sees successive embodiments of the World-power, of which the sixth was Rome and the seventh Constantinople. On the other hand the millennium is explained as it is by Augustine and the other followers of Tyconius. Thus the greatest of the Greek commentaries on the Apocalypse is a syncretism, blending the methods of Irenaeus, Origen, and Tyconius, while at the same time the writer feels his way towards the later system of interpretation which discovers in St John's prophecy anticipations of the course of history.
5. In the West at long intervals one or two expositors succeeded in breaking loose from the tradition started by Tyconius. Berengaud, a ninth century writer whose commentary has found a place in the appendix to the works of St Ambrose, combines the mystical with the historical interpretation, and endeavours to make the Apocalypse cover the whole course of human events The first six seals carry the history of the world from Adam to the fall of Jerusalem; the first six trumpets represent the preaching of the word from the age of the patriarchs to the age of the Christian martyrs. The Two Witnesses are Enoch and Elijah,

[^178]whose coming will precede the second Coming of the Lord. The first Beast is Antichrist, and his seven heads are the seven deadly sins ${ }^{1}$; the second Beast is a follower of Antichrist, or those who preach him taken collectively; as for the number of the Beast, Berengaud is afraid to inquire into it, lest it may correspond with the letters of his own name. Babylon is Pagan Rome, but Rome regarded as representing the "civitas Diaboli"; the ten horns of the Beast on which she sits are the successive incursions of barbarians which broke up the Roman Empire. The Thousand Years reach from the Ascension to the end of the world; the first resurrection is the condition of the Saints in the present life. A more remarkable departure from the older interpretations is made in the Enchiridion in Apocalypsim of Joachim ( $\dagger$ 1202), founder of the Ordo Florensis². Joachim's work is an attempt to find correspondences between the Apocalypse and the events and expectations of the twelfth century. The Beast from the sea is Islam, wounded to the death by the Crusades; the False Prophet is identified with the heretical sects of the age; Babylon is Rome, no longer pagan, but worldly and vice-ridden nevertheless. Of the seven heads of the Beast the fifth is the Emperor Frederick I., and the sixth Saladin; the seventh is Antichrist; the destruction of Antichrist will be followed by the millennium, which thus recovers its place as a hope of the future.

Of Joachim's personal loyalty to the Roman Church there can be no doubt. But his method was speedily turned against the Church by less discreet followers. Under the year 1257 Matthew Paris relates that certain Franciscans of Paris "quaedam nova praedicabant...deliramenta quae de libro Ioachim Abbatis...extraxerunt, et quendam librum composuerunt quem sic eis intitulare complacuit Incipit Evangelium aeternum ${ }^{3}$ "; the Pope, he adds, commanded the book to be burnt, "et alia quae de Ioachim corruptela dicuntur emanasse." But the movement continued, and early in the fourteenth century the fate of the Evangelium

[^179]aeternum was shared by the postilla super Apocalypsim of Peter John Oliva, another Franciscan ; nor can we wonder, when among the scanty extracts of Oliva's work which escaped the flames we read: "Per sedem bestiae principaliter designatus carnalis clerus ...in quo quidem bestialis vita...regnat...longe plus quam in laicis."..."Mulier stat hic pro Romana gente et imperio, tam prout fuit quondam in statu paganismi quam prout postmodum fuit in fide Christi."..."Quidam putant quod tam Antichristus mysticus quam proprius et magnus erit pseudo-papa." When such things were written within the Church, it is not matter for surprise that the sects took the further step of identifying Antichrist with the Papacy or the occupants of the Papal See, or that this became a commonplace of Apocalyptic interpretation among reforming sects and Churches.

On the papal side a counter-attempt to interpret the Apocalypse in the light of history was made by Nicolas of Lyra ( $\dagger 1340$ ). He finds in it a forecast of the course of events from the time of Domitian to his own. In Lyra's judgement the millennium began with the founding of the Mendicant orders, which had bound Satan, as he thinks, for a considerable period of time.
6. With the Reformation of the sixteenth century a new era of Apocalyptic exegesis begins. Each side in the great controversy found inspiration in this book. The reforming party inherited the method of Joachim and the Franciscans: the equation 'the Pope, or the Papacy, is Antichrist' was the cornerstone of their interpretation. On the papal side, under the stress of the Protestant attack, new methods arose, which at a later time found followers among the reformed. Their authors were Spaniards and members of the Society of Jesus. Francis Ribeira ( $\dagger$ 160I), a professor at Salamanca, came to his task equipped with a knowledge of both the Greek and Latin commentators of the patristic period, but with an open mind which refused to be bound by their exegesis. He took his stand on the principle that the Apocalyptist foresaw only the nearer future and the last things, and offered no anticipations of intermediate history. Thus he was able to relegate Antichrist to the time
of the end, and though with the majority of interpreters he identified Babylon with Rome, he could contend that the city which St John saw upon the Beast was not, as some said, Rome under papal rule, but the degenerate Rome of a future age. Ribeira has been described as a futurist, but the designation is inaccurate if it overlooks his real appreciation of the historical groundwork of the Revelation. His brother-Jesuit, Alcasar ( $\dagger 1613$ ), on the other hand, was a thorough-going ' preterist.' In his judgement the body of St John's prophecy falls into two great portions, cc. iv.-xi., and cc. xii.-xix., answering severally to the conflict of the Church with Judaism and her conflict with paganism; while the closing chapters (xx.-xxii.) describe her present triumph and predominance. Both Alcasar and Ribeira wrote in the interests of a party, and neither of the schemes which they propose is free from manifest difficulties; yet both works mark an advance upon earlier interpretations in so far as they approach the book from the standpoint of the writer and his time, and abstain from reading into it the events or ideas of a widely different period.
7. The seventeenth and eighteenth centuries were busy with the work of Apocalyptic exposition. In England Joseph Mede and two eminent Cambridge mathematicians, Sir Isaac Newton and William Whiston, found minute fulfilments of St John's prophecy from the days of Domitian to their own ${ }^{1}$; on the continent the same general system of interpretation was adopted, with varying results, by two no less eminent authorities, Vitringa and Bengel. On the other hand Grotius and Hammond trod generally in the steps of Alcasar, while on the papal side the great Bossuet suggested the division of the prophecy into three historical periods, the age of persecution (cc. v.-xix.), the triumph of the Church ( $c . \times x .1-10$ ), and the epoch of final conflict and victory (cc. xx. II-xxii. I3). At the end of the eighteenth century Eichhorn struck a note which has been taken up again quite recently. The Apocalypse is in his view a great poem, or

[^180]victories over the Emperor in defence of the German afflicted Protestants '." (Elliott, H.A. iv. p. 474.)
rather a drama, which may be broken up into acts and scenesthe drama of the progress and victory of the Christian faith.
8. While inheriting the methods of its predecessors, the nineteenth century found itself in possession of new data by which it was enabled to correct or extend their application. The progress of events shifted the point of view from which the advocates of the continuously historical interpretation regarded St John's visions; room had to be made, for instance, for the French Revolution and all the disturbing tendencies which it represented or set going ${ }^{1}$. Among expositors who revolted from a system which was under the necessity of revising its results with the progress of events some, like S. R. Maitland and Isaac Williams in England, and Stern, Bisping, and others on the continent, revived and carried to greater lengths the 'futurist' views of Ribeira; while others, like Auberlen, fell back upon the position that the Apocalypse revealed a philosophy of history and anticipated persons or events only when they were "solitary examples of a principle?." In Germany a new attitude towards the interpretation of the book was created by the endeavour to investigate its sources. If the Apocalypse of John is a Jewish work adapted for reading in Christian congregations, or a compilation from non-canonical apocalypses, it is difficult to regard the book as more than a storehouse of first-century eschatology, or a historical monument which throws light on an obscure age. In that case it is undoubtedly of first-rate importance to the student of history, but its claims to be regarded as a prophecy in any true sense of the word can no longer be taken seriously. In Germany this estimate of the Apocalypse is still dominant, and it has revolutionized the interpretation of the book. In England there are signs of a desire to assimilate all that may be of permanent value in the results of research, without abandoning belief in the canonical authority or prophetical character of St John's work. Examples of this attitude may be found in Professor Sir W. M. Ramsay's Letters to the Seven Churches, and in the most recent of English commentaries on the Revelation, the brief but

[^181]suggestive contribution made to Professor Adeney's Century Bible by Mr Anderson Scott.
9. It remains to state the principles of interpretation by which the following exposition has been guided.

The interpretation of an ancient book, especially of a book such as the Apocalypse, must depend in great part on the view which the interpreter is led to take of its literary character, purpose, destination, and date. These points have been discussed in the earlier chapters of the introduction, and it is only necessary here to shew how the judgements which have been formed upon them affect the present writer's attitude toward the problems and the general significance of the book.
(I) This commentary has been written under the conviction that the author of the Apocalypse was, what he claimed to be, an inspired prophet. He belongs to the order which in older days produced the books of Isaiah, Ezekiel, and Zechariah. He knows himself to be a medium of communication between God and Christ on the one hand, and the Church on the other. His mind has been lifted into a sphere above its natural powers by the Divine Spirit, which has enabled him to assimilate a message from the invisible world. His rendering of this message into human thought and speech must be interpreted as we interpret the prophecies of the Old Testament canon; it will possess the same Divine elevation that we find in them, and be liable to the same human limitations. The student who approaches the Apocalypse from this point of view will not expect to find in it express predictions of persons and actions which in St John's day were yet hidden in the womb of a remote future; nor will he look for exact chronological order in its successive visions, or for a sense of the distances which part great epochs from one another. But on the other hand he will expect and, it is firmly believed, will find that the prophet of the New Testament is not less able than the prophets of the Old Testament to read the secrets of God's general purpose in the evolution of events, to detect the greater forces which are at work in human life under all its vicissitudes, and to indicate the issues towards which history tends.
(2) As the title suggests, the prophecy of this book possesses a special character of which the interpreter must not fail to take note. The Divine message came to John in a series of visions; it is an apocalypse, and it uses the ideas, the symbols, and the forms of speech which were characteristic of apocalyptic literature. Thus St John's work challenges comparison with the apocalyptic portions of the Old Testament, more especially with the Book of Daniel; and further, with the non-canonical Jewish apocalypses, to which ready access can now be had through the labours of Professor Charles and Dr M. R. James. It is possible to exaggerate the influence which these Jewish books exerted over the mind of the Christian Apocalyptist, and it may be questioned whether he has made direct use of any of them; but they establish the existence of a common stock of apocalyptic imagery on which St John evidently drew. The modern interpreter of the Apocalypse is bound to take into account the presence in St John's book of the conventional language of apocalyptic literature, and to refrain from pressing it into the service of his own line of interpretation. Phrases and imagery which fall under this category must generally be held to belong to the scenery of the book rather than to the essence of the revelation. A recognition of this canon of interpretation. will save the student from adopting the naïve and sometimes grotesque attempts which have been made to interpret every detail in a book which, like all writings of its class, defies treatment of this kind.
(3) Another important landmark for the guidance of the interpreter is to be found in the purpose of the book and the historical surroundings of its origin. The Apocalypse is cast in the form of a letter to certain Christian societies, and it opens with a detailed account of their conditions and circumstances. Only the most perverse ingenuity can treat the messages to the Seven Churches as directly prophetical. The book starts with a well-defined historical situation, to which reference is made again at the end, and the intermediate visions which form the body of the work cannot on any reasonable S. R .
theory be dissociated from their historical setting. The prophecy arises out of local and contemporary circumstances; it is, in the first instance at least, the answer of the Spirit to the fears and perils of the Asian Christians toward the end of the first century. Hence all that can throw light on the Asia of A.D. $70-100$, and upon Christian life in Asia during that period, is of primary importance to the student of the Apocalypse, not only in view of the local allusions in cc. ii.-iii., but as helping to determine the aim and drift of the entire work. No one who realizes that the prophecy is an answer to the crying needs of the Seven Churches will dream of treating it as a detailed forecast of the course of mediaeval and modern history in Western Europe. So far as the Apocalyptist reveals the future, he reveals it not with the view of exercising the ingenuity of remote generations, but for the practical purpose of inculcating those great lessons of trust in God, loyalty to the Christ-King, confidence in the ultimate triumph of righteousness, patience under adversity, and hope in the prospect of death, which were urgently needed by the Asian Churches, and will never be without meaning and importance so long as the world lasts.

It will be seen that an interpretation conducted upon these lines will have points of contact with each of the chief systems of Apocalyptic exegesis, without identifying itself with any one of them as a whole. With the 'preterists' it will take its stand on the circumstances of the age and locality to which the book belongs, and will connect the greater part of the prophecy with the destinies of the Empire under which the prophet lived; with the 'futurists' it will look for fulfilments of St John's pregnant words in times yet to come. With the school of Auberlen and Benson it will find in the Apocalypse a Christian philosophy of history; with the 'continuous-historical' school it can see in the progress of events ever new illustrations of the working of the great principles which are revealed. And while it maintains, against the majority of recent continental scholars, the essential unity of the book and its prophetic inspiration, it will gladly accept all that research and discovery
can yield for the better understanding of the conditions under which the book was written. Indeed it is from this quarter that it will look most confidently for further light.

No attempt to solve the problems of this most enigmatic of canonical books can be more than provisional; even if the principles on which it rests are sound, their application must often be attended with uncertainty through the interpreter's lack of knowledge, or through his liability to err in his judgements upon the facts which are known to him. The present writer expects no immunity from this law; he has stated his conclusions without reserve, but he is far from desiring to claim for them a finality which perhaps will never be attained. Nor has he gone to his work with any preconceptions beyond the general principles just indicated. His purpose has not been to add a system of interpretation to those which are already in the field, but simply to contribute whatever a personal study, conducted in the light shed upon the Apocalypse by many explorers, may be able to offer towards a true appreciation of this great Christian prophecy.

The following are a few of the less obvious abbreviations employed:

Andr. Andreas.
Ar. Arethas.
BDB. Brown Driver and Briggs, Hebrew and English Lexicon of the O.T. (Oxford, 1892-1906).
Blass, Gr. F. Blass, Grammar of N.T. Greek. Translated by H. St J. Thackeray (London, 1898 ).
Burton. E. de W. Burton, Syintax of the Moods and Tenses in N.T. Greek (Edinbargh, 1894).
CIG. Corpus inscriptionum graecarum.
Enc. Bibl. T. K. Cheyne and J. S. Black, Encyclopaedia Biblica (London, 18991903).

Ev. Petr. The Gospel of Peter (cited from the writer's edition).
Exp. The Expositor.
Hastings, D.B. J. Hastings, Dictionary of the Bible (Edinburgh, 1898-1904).
J. Th. St., or J.T. S. The Journal of Theological Studies,

SH. Sanday and Headlam, Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans (Edinburgh, 1895).

St Mark. The writer's edition.
Tyc. Tyconius (see p. ocif.).
Vg. The Latin Vulgate.
Vict. Vietorinus (see p. cof.).
WF. Westcott and Hort, N.IT. in Greek (Cambridge, r89r); WH. ${ }^{2}$, second edition (1896).

WM. Winer-Moulton, Grammar of N.T. Greek, 8th Engl. ed. (Eainburgh, 1877).
WSchm. Winer-Schmiedel, Grammatik d. NTlichen Sprachiaioms (Göttingen, 1894- ).
Zahn, Einl. Th. Zahn, Einleitung in dâs N.T. (Leipzig, 1897-9).
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＇Ttile．＇A was the title of the book in the second century，cf．Iren．v． 30.3 tov̂ kaì t $\dot{\eta} \nu$ а̀тока́ли廿ı є́шрако́тоs：can．Murat． 1． 7 I sq．＂apocalypse［s］etiam Iohannis et Petri tantum recipimus＂：Tert．ado． Marc．iv． 5 ＂apocalypsin eius Marcion respuit．＂Tô̂ àлобтóNov xaì єúa $\gamma \gamma € \lambda \iota \sigma$－ rô̂，rov̂ Beolóyov etc．are manifestly due to later transcribers．＇o $\theta$ ধodónos as the distinctive title of St John is perhaps not earlier than the end of cent．iv．；in Eus．praep．ex．xi． 19 the Evangelist $\theta$ endoyē̆，but the writer of Hebrews is ${ }^{4} \lambda \lambda \lambda o s ~ \theta \epsilon o \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ óos．Yet cf． Ath．or．c．gent． 42 ò $\theta є ө \lambda$ о́yos à àp （Hort，Apoc．p．xxxvi）．

I．I－3．Prologue．
 кá入vұts occurs here only in this book． The noun is rare in literary Greek， but Jerome＇s dictum（in Gal．i． 1 s sq．） ＂verbum ipsum àтока $\lambda \dot{\psi} \psi \epsilon \omega$ s．．．proprie scripturarum est et a nullo sapientum saeculi apud Graecos usurpatum＂is too sweeping，for it is found in Plutarch mor． 70 F ．In the Lixx．ámoкалvintety is far more frequent than dyaкади and the noun is used euphemistically for metaphorically in Sirach（xi．27，xxii． 22，xlii．1）；in the N．T．ámoкá $\lambda \psi \psi ı s$ in a metaphorical sense is fairly common（Lc．${ }^{1}$ ，Paul ${ }^{14}$ ，Pet．${ }^{3}$ ，Apoc．${ }^{1}$ ）． The Epistles use it eschatologically
（ r ）in reference to the revelation of God（Rom．ii．5），of Christ（I Cor．i．7， 2 Th．i．7，I Pet．i．7，13，iv．13），and of the Saints（Rom．viii．19），which is to be made at the Parousia；and also （z）of any revelation now made to the Church（Rom．xvi．25，I Cor．xiv．6，26， 2 Cor．xii．1，7，Gal．i．12，ii．2，Eph． iii．3）through the Spirit as a $\pi \nu e \hat{v} \mu a$ а́тока入и́ $\psi \leqslant \omega_{s}$（Eph．i．17）．The corre－ sponding $\chi$ ápıo $\mu a$ was exercised not only by Apostles（2 Cor．xii．7，Gal．ii．2）， but at fimes as it appears by ordinary believers in the congregation（ef．I Cor．

 is coupled with other gifts，such as $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma t s, \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a, \delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime}(1$ Cor．xiv．6）， $\psi а \lambda \mu o ́ s, \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a, ~ є \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon i a(i b . ~ 26), ~$ оз $\pi-$ ravia（2 Cor．xii．I），voфía（Eph．i．17）．

Here the exact meaning depends upon the interpretation of the geni－ tive．Is＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ the gen．of the object or of the subject？Dr Hort （on 1 Pet．i． 7 and ad loc．）supports the former，but the next words，$\stackrel{\eta}{\eta}_{\nu}$ है $\delta \omega \kappa є \nu$ к．т．$\lambda$. ，seem to point the other way．The book is a Divine reve－ lation of which Jesus Christ was the recipient and the giver：cf．Gal．i．12， where $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi о к а \lambda \dot{\prime} \psi \epsilon \epsilon \overline{ }{ }^{\prime}$＇．X．means ＇by revelation from J．C．＇（Light－ foot，in contrast with teaching re－ ceived raןà à á $\partial \dot{\omega}$ точ．The titlo might have been＇A




 

though the instinct of the Church has rightly substituted the name of the disciple through whom the message was delivered.


 aúrov. The Father is the ultimate Revealer (Mt. xi. 25 áтєкá $\lambda v \psi$ qus); the Son is the medium through Whom the revelation passes to men (ib. 27 §

 caro). That the Son receives what He is and has from the Father is the constant teaching of the Gospel of St John (iii. 35, v. 20 ff., 26, vii. 16, viii 28 , xii. 49 , xvi 15 , xvii 2 ff.), cf. Bede: "Iohannes more suo filii gloriam ad patrem referens"; for a statement of this doctrine in its relation to the Christology of the Creeds see Hooker E. P. v. 54 ff. The particular revelation now about to be made was given to Jesus Christ that it might be communicated ( $\delta \in i \hat{\xi} a t=i v a$ $\delta_{\text {ei }}$ y, palam facere) to the servants
 primarily the Christian prophets (see

 roùs סov́خovs aủrô̂ toùs т $\rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} r a s$, and Apoc. x. 7, xi. 18 , xxii. 6), but not to the exclusion of the other members of the Church; in vii. 3 oi $\delta$ ồnot tov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ are the whole company of the sealed, and the reading of $\kappa^{*}$ (díiots) is doubtless a true gloss in this piace.
 of the Apocalypse. $\Delta \in \hat{i}$ yevé $\sigma \theta a \varepsilon$ is from Dan. ii. 28, see Mc. xiii. 7, note; $\delta_{\text {ei }}$ denotes not the necessity of a blind єi $\mu a \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, but the sure fulfilment of the purpose of God revealed by the
prophets ; cf. Mc. viii. 3I, ix. 11, xiii. 10, Le. xxiv. 26, Jo. xii. 34. To this the keen hope of primitive Christianity adds év táxєi (Le. xviii. 8, Rom. xvi. 20, Apoc. xxii. 6), another O.T. phrase (Deut. ${ }^{6}$, Jos. ${ }^{2}$, I Regr. ${ }^{1}$, Ps. ${ }^{1}$, Sir. ${ }^{1}$, Bar. ${ }^{3}, \mathrm{Era}^{1}{ }^{1}$, which nust be interpreted here and in xxii. 6 relatively to Divine measurements of time (Arethas, napa-


Dr Hort, placing a comma after aùrov, takes $\mathbb{d}$ as in apposition with $\ddot{\eta} \nu$.
 Xportós. The Latin significavit nuntianda seems to imply a reading àroartìicu, with of $\theta$ cós as the subject. With earipavey compare the use of the verb in Jo. xii. 33, xviii. 32, xxi. I9,
 тvev́patos. Here the message is sent
 Beatus: "non cogitatione concepta res est, non aliquibus scripturarum carminibus; sed per angelum, id est, puritatissuaenuntium...Ioanni directa est"; see Mt. xiii. 41, Mc. xiii. 27, Apoc. xxii. 16. 'Атобтє $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \delta a^{\prime}$ (= $=$ בְּ xi. 14 , xii. 25 , xv. 36), cf. Mt. xi. 2


 'I $\omega$ ávy ${ }^{\prime}$ see Rom. i. I, Jas. i. r, Jude 1. John is named again in i. 4,9 and xxii. 8; the question of his identity with the Apostle is discussed in the Introduction, $c$. xv.

The genesis of the Apocalypse has now been traced from its origin in the Mind of God to the moment when it reached its human interpreter.

 quent in the Apocalypse, as in other










Johannine books; the verb is usually followed by пє $\rho$ i or ötc, but the cognate ace. occurs again in I Jo. v. Io, Apoc. xxii. 16, 20.

Tò̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o y . . . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu a \rho \tau v p i a \nu$, i.e. the revelation imparted by God and attested by Christ; the phrase occurs again, with some modifications in form or meaning, in i. 9 , vi. 9 , xii. $17, \mathrm{xx} 4$ This word and witness reached John in a vision ( ${ }^{\circ} \sigma a \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \delta \in \nu$ : the reading ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a$
 standing). Eidien strikes a note which is heard repeatedly throughout the book (cf. i. 12, 17, 19f., iv. I, v. I f., etc.) and indicates its general character, which is that of a prophetic vision (cf. Isa. i. 1). The aorist é $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \eta \sigma \in \nu$ is epistolary; from the reader's point of view John's testimony was borne at the time when the book was writtell. Dr Hort regards $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{a} \rho$ r. as referring to John's "coufessing of Jesus Christ before men," and not to the visions of the Apocalypse.
 Felicitation of the reader and hearers of the vision ; similar $\mu$ ака $\rho \tau \sigma \mu$ oi, making with the present instance seven in all, occur at intervals throughout the secoud half of the book (Apoc. xiv. 13, xvi. 15, xix. 9, xx. 6, xxii. 7, 14).
 student (cf. Mc. xiii. I4, note), but, as oi $d_{k}$. shews, the person who reads aloud in the congregation. The Church inherited the Jewish practice of reading in the congregation (cf. Exod. xxiv. 7, Neh. viii. 2, Le. iv. 16, Acts xiii. 15, xv. 21, 2 Cor. iii. 15), and extended it to such Christian
documents as Apostolic letters (Col. iv. 16, r Th. v. 27, and see also Justin $a \boldsymbol{a}$ i. 67 , Dionys. Cor. ap. Eus. H. E. iv. 23); and the writer of the Apocalypse clearly desires to encourage this public use of his book. The reader ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$, lector), soon acquired an official position, and became a member of the clerus (Tert. de praescr. 41; see Wordsworth, Ministry of Grace, p. 187 f .). But no such character was attributed to him in the first century; in the Apostolic Church as in the Synagogue the reading of the Scriptures was probably deputed by the presbyters or the president to any member of the congregation who was able and willing to perform it.

The $\mu$ aкapıб $\mu$ ós of the reader ( $\mu$ aкá-
 i. 1) is extended to the hearers if they keep what they have heard. There is here a scarcely doubtful reference to our Lord's saying in Le. xi. $28 \mu a \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \iota o \iota$ oí dُкоv́outes tò̀ $\lambda$ óyoy tov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \mathrm{kal}$ $\phi \nu \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu t \epsilon s$, though the Johannine ग刀еє̂̀ (Jo. viii. 51 f., xiv. 23, xv. 20, xvii. 6, I Jo. ii. 5 , etc.) takes the place of $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$. The thought is worked out by St James (i. 22 f .).

Tis $\pi р о ф \eta \tau \epsilon i a s: ~ t h e ~ A p o c a l y p t i s t$ claims for his book that it shall take rank with the prophetic books of the O.T.; cf. 2 Chr. xxxii. 32 '̇ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o-$ $\phi \eta \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ ' H a z i o v, ~ S i r . ~ p r o l . ~ i s ~ a l ~ \pi \rho o-~$ $\phi \eta r e i a l$. The claim is repeated in Apoc. xxii. $7,10,18$ f.
'0 yàp кatpòs é 'y $\gamma$ u's: a motive for hearing and keeping: the season (cf, xi. 18, xxii. 10; Acts i. 7) for the fulfilment of the vision is at hand; the

#  
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hopes and fears which it arouses belong to the near future; cf. Beatus: "perficientibus enim non longum tempus remunerationis facit." The words, like
 They rest ultimately on such sayings of Christ as Mc. xiii. 28 f . and are among the commonplaces of primitive Christianity ; cf Rom. xiii. 1 I, I Cor. vii. 29, Phil. iv. 5 (where see Lightfoot's note).

4-8. The Writer's greeting to the Churches addressed.
 The customary form for beginning a letter; cf. Gal. i. I חầios...vaîs èk-

 2 Cor. i. i, Ign. Eph. 1 etc. Though we are not again reminded of the fact till we reach the closing benediction (xxii. 2I), the Apocalypse is in fact a letter from i. 4 onwards; it might have borne the title Пןòs $\tau$ d̀s $\zeta^{\prime}$ є́кк $\lambda \eta$ aias, or II ós 'Aglayoús.
'H'Aria in the Books of Maccabees ( I Macc. viii. 6, xi. I3, xii. 39, xiii. 32 ; 2 Macc. iii. 3, x. 24; 3 Macc. iii. I4; 4 Macc.iii. 20) is conterminous with the empire of the Seleucids. But before N.'T. times it had acquired another meaning. The Romans identified Asia with the Pergamene kingdom, and when in B.c. 129 the possessions of Attalus III. passed into their hands, theygave thename to the newprovince. The province of Asia at first included only the western sea-board of Asia Minor, but after b.c. 49 two dioeceses of Phrygia were added to it; see Cic. pro Flacco 27 "Asia vestra constat ex Phrygia Mysia Caria Lycia." In the N.T. ग' $^{\prime}$ Avia is always Proconsular Asia, with the possible exception of Acts ii. 9 , where Phrygia appears to be definitely excluded; on this see, however, the Introduction, c. v. In addition to the cities named below in $\boldsymbol{v}$. 1 , there were

Christian communities at Troas (Acts xx. 5 ff., 2 Cor. ii. 12), Hierapolis and Colossae (Col. i. I, ii. 1, iv. I3), possibly also at Magnesia and Tralles; and the question arises why John addresses only the seven churches which are specified (тais é $\pi \tau$ à $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ iats). The selection may be explained by circumstances; Troas lay far off the road which the messenger would naturally follow, while Hierapolis and Colossae were so near to Laodicea and Magnesia and Tralles to Ephesus that they might be disregarded. The seven Churches addressed were fairly representative of Asiatic Christianity; and as Ramsay points out (Exp. 1904, i. p. 29), the "seven cities were the best points of communication with seven districts." But the repeated occurrence of the number seven in this book (i. $4^{\text {b }}, 12,16$, iv. 5, v. 1,6 , viii. 2 , x. 3 , xi. 13 , xii. 3 , xiii. I, xiv. 6 f.) suggests another reason for the limitation. Seven, the number of the days of the week, presented to the Semitic mind the idea of completeness (Adrian

 "the seven Churches" may represent to us not only the Churches of Asia as a whole, but (can. Murat. 57 f.) all the Churches of Christ; and Andreas is probably not altogether wide of the mark when he writes: $\delta$ cà toû éfio-

 sius: "id est, uni ecclesiae septiformi; septenario numero saepe universitas figuratur"; and Rupert of 1 beutz: "idem nobis sit ac si dixerit 'Ioannes omnibus ecclesiis quae sunt in mundo'"; cf. Beatus: "quid sibi Asianus populus esse videtur ut solus suscipere revelationem apostolicam mereatur ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ But any such application of raís émrà ékk $\eta$ चiaus is ouly in the background of


 ev. NA 477999 om 8o
the words; as they stand, they have a definite reference from which they must not be diverted to mystical uses.

Xápıs vín кai eipron. So all the Pauline Epistles open except I, 2 Tim., where and in 2 Jo. we find $\chi$ д́pıs є̀лєоs єipjivๆ. The same salutation is used in I, 2 Peter; St James prefers the classical $\chi$ aipet (Acts xy.
 ó $\epsilon \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ i . e . ~ a ̀ m o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ matpós (Rom. i. 7,1 Cor. i. 3 etc.). That this is the true interpretation appears from кai àmo 'I. X. which follows; the view of
 тqros), and that of Primasius ("ad personam tamen filii hic proprie redigendus est locus") are equally excluded by the context. As to the phrase itself, $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the Lxx rendering of
 de Abr. 24 '̇y taîs iepaîs ypaфaîs
 however, followed by Theodotion, translated [os] $\boldsymbol{\circ} \sigma \circ \mu a t$, and the Targums read into the words a reference to the infinite past and future of God's eternal 'now'; thus the Jerusalem Targum interprets "qui fuit est et erit," and the T. of Jonathan on Deut. xxxii. 39 renders
 et qui erit." Similar descriptions of the Divine Life are cited from Greek poetry, e.g. the saying ascribed to
 кaì $\begin{gathered}\text { égat } \\ \text {; the oracle in Paus. x. } 12\end{gathered}$
 the Orphic lines Zev̀s $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тоs $\gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v e t o , ~}$
 Zè̀s $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o s$. Thus the Apocalyptist strikes a note familiar both to Jewish and Hellenic ears. But he expresses his thought more suo: $\dot{\delta}{ }_{j}{ }_{\nu}$ (Benson: 'the Was') is a characteristically bold
attempt to supply the want of a past part. of cipí, while ó íхо́дєvos is perhaps preferred to ó <'ópevos because it adumbrates at the outset the general purpose of the book, which is to exhibit the comings of God in human history; if épXec $\theta a t$ is used elsewhere chiefly of the Son, the Father also may be said to come when He reveals Himself in His work-
 $\pi a \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \mu o v \ldots e ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta a$. As a whole the phrase exhibits the Divine Life under the categories into which it falls when it becomes the subject of human thought, which can conceive of the eternal only in the terms of time. Such a title of the Eternal Father stands fitly among the first words of a book which reveals the present in the light both of the past and of the future.
 must be explained by regarding the whole phrase as an indeclinable noun (Vitean, Étude, ii. pp. 12, 126); a more exact writer would perhaps have said à $\pi \dot{o}$ тоv̂ 'O ${ }^{\omega} \nu \kappa \kappa \uparrow$. (cf. WM. p. 79 f.).
 Cf. iii. I, iv. 5, v. 6, where after $\pi \nu$. the writer adds tồ $\theta \in o \hat{u}$. Jewish angelology recognised seven angels of the Presence (Tob, xii. 15, Enoch xx. 7, xc. 2I; cf. Targum Jon. on Gen. xi. 7: "dixit Deus vii angelis qui stant coram illo"). Seven angels are mentioned in Apoc. viii. 2 ff., xv. I ff.; and some early interpreters were disposed to identify the "seven spirits of God" with such a group of angelic beings. Thus Andreas: 政rà $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau a$ то̀̀s é $\pi \tau a ̀$ à àjêhous voeív סvvaróv, and Arethas more confidently:


 icóturov. But against this view must be set ( 1 ) the description of the 'seven spirits' in c. v. 6, with obvious reference to Zech. iv. Io; and (2) the apparent coordination of the spirits in this place with the Father and the Son. Bousset finds a parallel to this in Justin, ap. i. 6, but Justin's Christology is less consistent than that of the Apocalypse, where Christ is distinguished from the angels (see upou this the notes to c. xxii. 8 f ., 16). Moreover, the N.T. rarely uses $\pi \nu \epsilon \tilde{v}^{-}$ нara of angels; Heb. i. 7, 14 is based on a quotation, and in Apoc. xvi. 13 f. пvev́mata is qualified by ákiAapra or $\delta$ aццоуicu, which removes all ambiguity. On the whole, therefore it is safer to accept the alternative followed by the best Latin commentators, Victorinus, Primasius, Apringius, Beatus ("sanctus scilicet Spiritus unus in nomine, virtutibus septiformis") and offered as an alternative by Andreas ( $\boldsymbol{\prime} \sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$ 㬵 kai

 пуго́ратоs [ $\sigma \eta \mu a \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu]$ ). We may compare Heb. ii. 4 avєíuatas áyiov $\mu \in \rho \iota \sigma \mu \theta i s$, I Cor. xii. 10 Staкрíates
 $\phi \eta^{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu$, Apoc. xxii. 6 ó $\theta$ sòs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ r \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$. Here the 'spirits' are seven, because the Churches in which they operate are seven. An early interpretation connected them with the aspects of the
 Lux.; cf Justin, dial. 87, and Ps.Hippolytus (ed. Lagarde, p. 198), where the passage in Isaiah is quoted in the

 septiformis of Latin devotional theology. But there is nothing to shew that the writer of the Apocalypse had Isa l.c. in his thoughts; moreover the septenary number appears there only
in the uxx, to which comparatively little weight is assigned in this book.
à évótrov rov̂ $\theta$ gáyov aủroù anticipates the vision of iv. 2, 5, q.0. The readings $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu(\epsilon i \sigma(\nu)$, are grammatical corrections for the rougher á: for the omission of the verb cf. c.v. I3 $\pi$ ầ ктír $\mu a \hat{o ̂}$ év кr入. Nestle (Textual Criticism, p. 331) suggests that the original reading was rá.
 Grace and peace come also from the Person who received and communicated the revelation. 'Arò 'L. X $\rho$., as in the Pauline form of salutation from Rom. i. 7 onwards; St John (2 Jo. 3) has rapá in the same sense. Since our Lord is the medium rather than the source of the Divine favour we might have expected $\delta$ óa,
 'I. X $\rho$. é $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in \tau 0$. But the Son in His oneness with the Father may also be regarded as the source of the gifts which He communicates. From this point the full title 'I $\eta$ ooous Xocorós disappears, unless we read it in the closing benediction (xxii. 21); elsewhere throughout the Apoc. 'Incouns stands alone (i. 9 bis, xii. 17, xiv. 12, xvii. 6, xix. Io bis, xx. 4, xxii. 16 , 20)-a use which is rare except in the Gospels and the Ep. to the Hebrews. It may be the purpose of the writer to emphasize in this way the humanity of the glorified Christ, and His identity with the historical Person who lived and suffered.
'O $\mu$ áprus $\delta$ s murós, and the other nominatives which follow, are the first examples of an anomaly which is common in the Apoc.; cf. ii. 13, 20, iii. 12 etc. Such irregularities may be partly attributable to Semitic habits of thought-a Greek could scarcely have permitted himself to use them; but they are partly due to the character of the book and perhaps are parenthetic rather than solecistic;








see the Introduction, c. xi. Md ${ }^{2}$ looks back to $\boldsymbol{0} .2$ ті̀े $\mu$ артvpiav 'I $\eta \sigma o v$, but the phrase $\delta \mu . \delta$ murtós has a wider reference; of Jo. iii. 11,32 f, viii. 14 f., xviii. 37 , I Tim. vi. 13 ; во Victorinus: "in homine suscepto perhibuit testimonium in mundo"; we are reminded also of Prov. xiv. 5
 It occurs again in c. iii. 14 ( $q$.v.), where





 $\mu \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$. Though others had risen, those e.g. who were raised by Him, yet as Alcuin (quoted by Trench) well observes, "nuilus ante ipsum non moriturus surrexit." In His capacity of 'firstborn' Jesus is further $\dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{a} \not \rho_{\chi \omega \nu}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Bacthéc $\tau$ tìs $\gamma \bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$. Here John follows another line of thought, suggested by Ps. lxxsviii. (lxxxix.) 28

 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{n} \mathrm{~s}$. The Resurrection carried with it a potential lordship over all humanity (Rom. xiv. 9\% not ouly over t the Church 〈CoL l.c.). The Lord won by His Death what the Tempter had offered Him as the reward of $\sin$ (M..t. iv. 8 f.); He rose and ascended to receive universal empire ; cf. c. xix.


 $\beta$. $\boldsymbol{n} \bar{n} s \gamma \bar{n} \mathrm{~s}$, imperator regum terrae, stand appropriately at the head of a
book which represents the glorified Christ as presiding over the destinies of nations.
The threefold title $\mu$ aprus... $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta-$ токоs...äpx ${ }^{\omega \nu}$ answers to the threofold purpose of the Apocalypse, which is at once a Divine testimony, a revelation of the Risen Lord, and a forecast of the issues of history.
 the many doxologies of the book (iv. 1f, v. 9 , 12 f., vii. 10 , 12 etc.) is offered to Jesus Christ. "To Him that loves us and-the crucial instance of His love-loosed us from our sins at the cost of His blood." " The reading dyanfioavt, though it represents a fact (Jo. xiii. r, 34, xv. 9, Rom. viii. 37, Apoc. iii. 9) misses the contrast between the abiding dydin and the completed act of redemption. Between $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma a v \tau \iota$ and $\lambda$ дóvavtc it is not so easy to decide. $\Lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$ ámaptial is Biblical, see Job xlii. 9 (cxx.), and the construction $\lambda \hat{u} \epsilon t \nu$ àmó occurs in Le. xiii. 16, 1 Cor. vii. 27; cf. Apoc. xx. 7
 other hand dov́aupt yields a good sense, and presents a more usual metaphor; cf. Ps. l. (ii.) 4, Isa. i. 16, 18, I Cor. vi. ir, Eph v. 26, Tit. iii. 5, Heb. x. 22; but it rests on iuferior authority and may be "due to failure to understand the Hebraic use of $\epsilon \nu$ to denote a price...and a natural misapplication of vii. 14 " (WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 136; cf. Nestle, Textual Criticism, p. 332). It is interesting to find Plato by a play upon the words bringing together the two verbs in a very similar comexion: Crat.

## 








 $\lambda o v i \epsilon \iota y$ and $\lambda$ v́єєr abundantly accounts for the interchange of the two，not－ withstanding the difference of mean－ ing：one spelling or the other was adopted according to the sense pre－ ferred；cf．Arethas：\＆ıббоүрафєі́та
 aifuar：：the blood，emblem of the sacrificed life，was the $\lambda$ úrpoy（Mc．x． 45，note；ef．Rom．v．9，i Pet．i．ig， 1 Jo．i．7）；for ${ }^{2} y$＇at the price of＇ （＝Эֶ）see I Chron．xxi．24，Jer．xxxix． （xxxii．）44，Apoc．v． 9 ク่ $\gamma \dot{o} \rho a \sigma a s ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ $\theta \in \underset{̣}{e}$ év râ aíparí aou．The gift of äфєбts $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau t \bar{\omega} \nu$ bestowed upon the Church on the very day of the Resur－ rection（Jo．xx．23）was an immediate result of the＇loosing＇effected by the Cross；cf．Jo．xi． 44 入úgate aưtò̀ каì $a b \notin \tau \epsilon$ ，and Aug．ad loc．
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］Beatus：＂quia pro nobis passus est et resurrexit a mortuis，nostrum regnum ipse construxit．＂The con－ struction of the sentence requires cai тoingavel，but the writer more suo （see on 0.5 ，ó $\mu$ áprus）suffers the new thought that rises in his mind to take the form of a parenthesis．

As the apparatus testifies，early students of the book were driven to despair by the words which follow． They rest on Exod．xix． 6 ＂ye shall be to Me a kingdom of priests＂
 $\mu a$, Aq．Baбi入 cía ifpéco，Symm．，Th．$\beta \alpha-$ oı入eía ífeís，Vg．regnum sacerdotale）． Exod．l．c．is quoted also in 1 Pet．ii． 9 （where see Hort＇s note），Apoc．v． 9 （ $\beta$ agtheíav кaì ifpeis），fubilees xvi．I3
（ed．Charles，p． 116 note）．As Dr Hort has shewn，the Lxx．probably read מַטְלָּה，and the same reading is re－ presented by Th．and in the Apoc． （on the frequent agreement of the latter with Th．see Salmon，Introd． to the N．T．, p． 548 ff．，and the writer＇s Introd．to the O．T．in Greek，p．48）． It is a further question whether Bart－ deia in this passage means a nation under the government of a king，or a nation of kings；for the latter inter－ pretation see the Jer．Targum cited by Charles l．c．（מלכיץ ובהנים）．But，as Hort observes，＂in Exodus＇Kingdom＇ is little more than a synonym of ＇people＇or nation，with the idea of government by a king added＂；and this sense suits the present context． The Apoc．is largely a protest against the Caesar－cult and the attitude of the Empire towards the Church，and at the outset it places the Divine Kingdom in sharp contrast to the im－ perial power．As Israel when set free from Egypt acquired a national life under its Divine King，so the Church， redeemed by the Blood of Christ，con－ stituted a holy nation，a new theocracy．
＇Iepeis stands in apposition to $\beta a-$
 iepeis are needless attempts to save the grammar．The members of the Church，a Kingdom in their corporate life，are individually priests；as Bede truly says：＂nemo sanctorum est qui spiritualiter sacerdotii officio careat， cum sit membrum aeterni Sacerdotis．＂ Baptism inaugurates this priestly service（Eph．v．26，Heb．x．22，Tit．iii． 5），which is fulfilled by the offering of living，reasonable，and spiritual sacri－ fices（Rom．xii．I，Heb．xiii． 15 f，






I Pet. ii. 5). These are presented to the God and Father of Jesus Christ. From another point of view the Christian priesthood is exercised towards both the Father and the Son, see $c$. xx. 6 ëgoutal íspeís toû $\theta_{\text {eov̂ }}$ кaì roú xpıctov; here the Father alone is named. Avirov̂ should probably be taken with $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\omega}$ as well as with $\tau \hat{\omega}$ татрi (Jo. xx. 17, Apoc. iii. I2); if the Incarnate Son is not ashamed to call men His brethren (Heb. ii. i I), weither is He ashamed to call the Father His God.

The Church, like Israel, is a great sacerdotal society. That there are special ministries within the body which belong to an ordained clerus, an iєpoupyia тoṽ єùay $\bar{\epsilon}$ iou committed to Apostles and their successors (Rom. xy. 16), in no way conflicts with the reality of the priesthood which is the privilege of every baptized member of Christ.

 to Jesus Christ. The Apoc. freely associates Christ with the Father in doxologies; cf. v. 13 f., vii. ıo. An equally unequivocal instance is to be found in 2 Pet. iii. 18; others which are cited from the Apostolic writings (I Pet. iv. 11, Rom. xvi. 27, Heb. xiii. 21, 2 Tim. iv. 18) are for various reasons open to doubt. The simple formula $\bar{\eta}$ סóga cis tò̀s aî̀vas [ $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ aia' $\nu \omega \nu]$ is found in 4 Macc. xviii. 24 , Rom. l.c., Gal. i. 5, etc.; каі̀ тò крáтоs is added in 1 Pet. l.c., and other amplifications occur (cf. Mt. vi. 13 , T.R., 1 Tim. i. 17, vi. 16, Jude 25, Apoc. v. 13, vii. 12); for further details see Chase, Lord's Prayer in the Early Church, p. 168 ff . 'А $1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ is
well supported at the end of nearly all the N.T. doxologies; it had taken its place at once in the worship of the Church as the $\epsilon \pi \epsilon є \phi \dot{j} \mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ of the private members to the prayer or thanksgiving of the presiding Apostle prophet or presbyter ( 1 Cor. xiv. 16 ; Justin, ap. i. 65).
 To the doxology the writer adds a forecast of the coming of the Lord, to which he points as if it were already imminent. The words are from Dan. vii. 13 Th. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{3} \theta \epsilon \omega^{\prime} \rho o v y . . . к a i$

 Mc xiii. 26, xiv. 62, notes; Acts $i$. 9 ff., I Thess. iv. 17). The note thus sounded at the beginning of the book is repeated more than once at the end (xxii. 7, 12, 20).
 With Dan. l.c. the Apocalyptist combines Zech. xií. 1o. His reminiscence of Zech. agrees with the form which the words take in Jo. xix. 37 oै ${ }^{\text {ofovat }}$

 кат $\rho_{\chi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a v \tau о$ (רקדו). Zahn (Einleitung, ii. p. 563) argues that St John trauslated dircet from the Hebrew, using a text which read as M.T.; but as égexévтrซay appears also in Aq. and Th., and in an independent quotation by Justin, dial. 32, it is more probable that both Gospel and Apocalypse were indebted to a Greek version of the prophecy other than the Lxx., perhaps to some collection of prophetic testimonies. With ö้ $\psi \in \tau a t$ aủròv $\pi$ âs $\dot{\delta} \phi \theta$.

 specifies a class already included in $\pi \hat{a} \varepsilon$ á $\phi \theta$. (cf. Mc. ì. 5 , note); oítıves is





 $35(36,49,79,80) .92^{\text {mg }} 99 \times 30$ alma $^{\text {mid }} \mathrm{vg}$ me
generic (WM., p. 209), pointing not so much to the original crucifies as to those who in every age share the indifference or hostility which lay behind the act. Mai кóqo ural $\dot{\epsilon}^{3}$ aviró̀ ('over Him,' Vulg. super cum; cf.
 first three words are from Zech. xii. 12
 Mt, who also (xxiv. 3o) blends Dan. vii. 13 with Zech. xii. 10 , turns the sentence precisely as John does-a circumstance which increases the probability that the quotation came as it stands from a book of excerpts. Prim. renders: "et videbit cum ornis terra talem"; other Latin texts give "omanis car terrace" or "omnes tribus terrace." Did they read, with the Coptic and Armenian versions, oै $\psi$ onrat aúró̀ and add salem (i. q. ékkey$\tau \eta \theta \in \rho \tau a)$ to relieve the monotony of the repeated of $\psi$ oval?

Hippolytus (ed. Lag. p. 117) interprats too narrowly: $\theta$ єá́co vita of $\tau \hat{\omega}$
 $\phi \nu \lambda a i$ strikes quite another note.

N $\alpha \dot{\imath}, \dot{a} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ unites the Greek and Hebrew forms of affirmation, as Andreas

 somewhat similar combination is the $\dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \dot{a}$ o $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ of Mc. xiv. 36 , where see note. The words ai, d $\mu \dot{\prime}$, , however, are not quite synonymous; from its associations $\dot{a}^{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ possesses a religious character, which gives it greater solemnity; cf. 2 Cor. i. 20 ö rat $\gamma$ à $\rho$

 self $\delta$ adj $\mu \eta \nu \quad$ (iii. 14) ; $\delta$ vail would be felt to be unbecoming. Elsewhere in the book (xiv. 13, xvi. 7, xxii. 20)
the writer contents himself with the simple affirmation which sufficed for Christians in their ordinary intercourse (Mt. v. 37, Jas. v. 12) ; but in this extremely solemn announcement of the coming Parousia the double asseveration is in place. Hort interpress otherwise: " $\nu$ ai the Divine promise, a ja' the human acceptance."
 The solemn opening of the book reaches its climax here with words ascribed to the Eternal and Almighty Father.


 xiii. ı, slip. 6, xviii. 12. The book being for Greek readers, the first and last letters of the Greek alphabet are used, but there is doubtless a reference to the Jewish employment of $N, ~ D$; cf. egg. Jalkut Rub. f. 17. 4 "Adamus totam legem trausgressus est ab Aleph usque ad Tau" ('ת (מN); ib. f. 48.4 , where the contrary is said of Abrahan. The symbol תN was regarded as including the intermediate letters, and stood for totality; and thus it fitly represented the Shekinah (Schoettgen, i. p. 1086). Early Christian writers enter at large into the mystical inport of AD, e.g. Tertullian, de mong. "duas Graecas litteras, summam et ultimam...sibi induit Dominus, uni... ostenderet in se esse inti decursum ad fines, et finis recursum ad initium; ut omanis disposition in cum desinens per quem coepta est...proinde desinat quemadmodum et coepit." So Clement of Alexandria, strom. iv. $25 \S 158 \mathrm{sq}$.


 $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ т \omega \rho$.
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 $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{v}$. See also Origen in Joann.
t.i. 3I. The phrase is seen to express not eternity only, but infinitude, the boundless life which embraces all while it transcends all, "fons et clausula omnium quae sunt" (Prudentius, cathem. ix. Io ff.). In xxii. 13 tò ầ $\lambda \phi$ кай rò $\omega^{*}$ is applied by Jesus to Himself, and this reference is assumed by the ancient interpreters in the present case (cf. Hippolytus adv. Noet. (ed.
 Clem. Al. strom. iv. 25 § I59, Orig. de princ. i. 2, to "qui enim venturus est, quis est alius nisi Christus?"
 and the passages cited above), but incorrectly, as the next words shew.
 a phrase specially common in Ezekiel (vi. $3, \mathrm{II}$, vii. 2 etc.), with whom and the rest of the O.T. prophets the Christian prophet of the Apocalypse associates himself by his use of it.
 крát $\omega \rho$, which in other books of the N.T. is foumd but once and then in a quotation ( 2 Cor. vi. 18), occurs again in Apoc. iv. 8, xi. 17, xv. 3, xvi. 7,14 , xix. 6 , 5 , xxi. 22. Like K. í $\theta$ eós, $\dot{o}$ тavтoкрát $\omega \rho$ is from the O.T., where the Lxx. use it for in Job and in the other books for

xii. 5 (6), and in Amos passim; in 2 , 3 Macc. ó $\pi$. often stands alone.

 viii. 3), the All-Ruler rather than the Almighty (ó $\pi$ avroíviva $\mu \mathrm{os}$, Sap. vii. 23, xi. 17, xviii. 15); see Suicer ad v., and Kattenbusch, Das apost. Symbol, ii. p. 533 f., or the editor's Apostles ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Creed ${ }^{4}$, p. 2of.

9-20. Vision of the Risen and Glorified Christ.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] From the ecstatic utterances of vo. 7,8 the writer returns to his address to the Churches. 'Eyc 'I. identifies him with the Johu of $v 0.2$, 4 , and is after the manner of the apocalyptic prophets when they relate their visions ; cf. Dal. vii. 28, viii. I
 'Epต́x, 4 Esdr. ii. 33 "ego Esdras accepi praeceptum," Apoc. xxii. 8 ка่ ${ }^{\text {® }}$
 not claiming for John an official character, does not exclude it; cf. 2 Pet.
 His purpose being to establish a community of interests with the Churches, he is content with the title which Apostles and presbyters shared with other Christians (cf. Acts xv. 23 of

 $\nu \omega \nu o ́ s ~ к \tau \lambda .: ~ к о \nu \nu \omega \nu \epsilon i \nu(-\nu i a,-\nu o ́ s,-\nu i \kappa o ́ s)$
 but not exclusively so: cf. I Pet. iv.

13, v. I, I Jo. i. 3, Apoc. xviii. 4 ; for the construction with $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{y}$ cf. Mt. xxiii. 30. The thought of a коьуovia in suffering belongs to the stock of primitive Christian ideas ; see I Pet. l. c., 2 Cor. i. 7, Phil. iii. ro, iv. I4

 Mc. iv. I7, note, xiii. 19, Jo. xvi. 33; for $\beta a \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$, Le. xii. 32, xxii. 29, Jas. ii. 5, 1 Th. ii. 12,2 Th. i. 5 ; ข่тоцон is not less constantly connected with the Christian life (Lc. viii. I5, xxi. 19,
 Scrat, viii. 25 f., Apoc. ii. 2 f, 19, iii. IO, xiii. IO, xiv. I2), and with the coming Kingdom (2 Tim. ii. $12 \epsilon i$
 obvious order is $\partial \lambda i \psi i s, \dot{v} \pi о \mu о \nu \dot{\eta}$, Baбıлeia; but that which is adopted here has the advantage of leaving on the reader's mind the thought of the struggle which still remains before the kingdom is attained. The juxtaposition of $\theta \lambda i \psi u s$ and $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda c i a$ (Beatus: "retributionem tribulationis regnum") is quite usual, cf. Acts xiv. 22 ofà

 equivalent to the Pauline év Xoıor $\hat{\omega}$,
 personal name in the Apoc. see v. 5 , note. The whole life of a Christian, whether he suffers or reigns or waits, is in union with the life of the Incarnate Son.

On the question whether John of the Apocalypse is the son of Zebedee see the Introduction, $c . x y$.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Patmos, Patino, one of the Sporades, though seldom mentioned by ancient writers (Thuc. iii. 33, Strab. x. 5, 13, Plin. H. N. iv. 23), finds a place in the inscriptions ( $C / G$ 2261, 2262 etc.), and its safe harbourage must have made it a place of some importance to narigators; see Renan, L'Antechrist, p. 372 f., who remarks: "on a tort de la représenter comme
un écueil, comme un désert. Patmos fut et redeviendra peut-être une des stations maritimes les plus importantes de l'Archipel." Lying in the Icarian Sea between Icaria and Leros, about 40 miles S.W. by W. from Miletus, it was " the first or last stoppingplace for the traveller on his way from Ephesus to Rome or from Rome to Ephesus." The island forms a crescent with its horns facing eastward (H. F. Tozer, Islands of the Aegean, p. 179); the traditional scene of the Apoca-
 and the monastery of St John are towards the southern horn. The locality has doubtless shaped to some extent the scenery of the Apocalypse, into which the mountains and the sea euter largely; see Stanley, Sermons in the East, p. 230. John found himself ( (' $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$, v. го) in Patmos, not as a traveller or a visitor, but
 тvpiay 'I $\ddagger \sigma o \hat{u}$. For the phrase as a whole cf. $v .2$, note; $\dot{\eta} \mu a \rho t$. 'I. occurs again xii. 17 , xix. so (where see note), xx. 4. Here "the word of God and the witness of Jesus" are not as in v. 2 the Apocalypse itself, but the preaching of the Gospel : for $\dot{o} \lambda . \tau . \theta$. in this sense of. I Jo. ii. 7, 1 Th. ii. 13 , 2 Tim. ii. 9, and for $\eta^{\prime} \mu . \tau$. 'l., Jo. viii. 13f. The meaning may be either that John had gone to the island to carry the Gospel thither, or that he was sent to Patmos as an exile (cf. Pliny, l. c.) because of his preaching. The latter view is confirmed (a) by the use of $8 t a ́$ in vi. $9, \times x .4$; (b) by $\sigma v y-$
 that the writer has in view his own sufferings $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$; (c) by an early and practically unanimous tradition of the Church: cf. Tert. de praescr. 36 "apostolus Ioannes...in insulam relegatur," Clem. Al. quis dives 42

 "Eфєбov, Orig. in Mt. t. xvi. 6 ó ò $\bar{\epsilon}$




 arm ${ }^{4}$


 Пáт $\mu$ оу тウ̀̀ עท̂̃ov. See also Eus. H. E. iii. 18; Hieron. de virr. ill. 10.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \nu \in \dot{v}_{\mu}$ atı is the normal condition of Christians, in contrast with eival èv тapki (Rom. viii. 9); $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a t$ è $\nu \pi \nu$. denotes the exaltation of the prophet under inspiration; see Ez. iii. 12, 14, xxxpii. 1 , and cf. Acts xxii. 17 ধ́yє́vero...
 a non-ecstatic state being described as Év $\mathfrak{E} a u r \hat{\varphi} \gamma$. (Acts xii. II). The phrase '́ $\gamma$. èv $\pi \nu$. is repeated c.iv. 2 q.v. ${ }^{2} E \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ кирıак $\bar{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a ;$ : the second $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ dates the revelation; it was vouchsafed on the Lord's Day; on the dative of time, with or without a preceding ${ }^{3} \nu$, see Blass, Gr. p. 119 f . ' H кириакो̀ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ є́ра, the day consecrated to the Lord; cf. I
 фayeiv, 'it is not (possible) to eat a Supper of the Lord.' 'The Lord's day,' according to the analogy of writings some of which are but a few decades later than the Apoc., is the first day of the week, the day of the Lord's Resurrection; cf. Didache 14 катà
 ăртоу, Ign. Magn. 9 ката̀ кขрıакฑ̀̀ کलิचts (see Lightfoot's note), Ev. Petri
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ кvpıaк $\bar{s}$; Melito of Sardis wrote $\pi \neq \rho \mathrm{i}$ кข $\rho t a \kappa \bar{\eta} s$ (Eus. H. E. iv. 26). Since all the early examples are from Asia Minor, it is not improbable that the term arose in Asiatic circles; but before the end of the second century it was used generally, cf. Dionysius of Corinth ap. Eus. H. E. iv. 23 rìv


סøๆүáүонєv, Clem. Al. strom. vii. 12, Tert. cor. 3, orat. 23, anim. 9 (dies dominicus, or dominicae resurrectionis, dominica sollemnia). To interpret $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ кvpuax $\hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu$. here as $=\hat{e} \nu$ Tñ $\pi$ apovoia (Hort) seems to introduce a thought foreign to the context; it is not Christ at His coming who is revealed, but Christ present with the Church on earth. The exile of Patmos, shat out from the weekly Breaking of the Bread in the Christian assembly at Ephesus, finds the Lord's Presence in his solitude. Bede: "congruum quoque spirituali visioni tem. pus indicat."
 The Seer follows Ez. iii. 12 kai à det-

 Lyc. 23, cited by Wetstein: àкov̂́ac

 comes with startling suddenness as from one who, approaching from behind, is unobserved until he speaks. ${ }^{\prime} O \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ is a correction for the less exact óniow: for $\boldsymbol{3} \pi i \sigma \omega$ 'behind' cf.
 $\pi t r y o s ~ l o o k s ~ b a c k ~ t o ~ t h e ~ t h e o p h a n y ~$ of Sinai (Exod xix. 16 ф $\omega \nu \grave{\eta}$ गйs

 but the trumpet blast had already acquired Christian associations ( Mt . xxiv. 31, I Th. iv. 16). Here it is probably the voice of Christ's Angel (v. I) rather than of Christ Himself, whose utterance is otherwise described (v. 15); see Benson, Apocalypse p. 95 n.几єyov́rns for $\lambda$ ézovoav, by hypallage; the true antecedent is not ád $\pi \iota r y o s$ but $\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$.
















 The vision was not for John's perzonal benefit only, but for transmission to the Church; cf. Mc. iv. 22, note. It brought with it to the Seer the responsibility of witnessing to what he had seen ( 0.2 ), and the witness must be borne in a literary form ( 0.19 ). Bu $\boldsymbol{\beta \lambda i o v}$ (cf. v. I ff., x. 2, 8), a papyrus roll, as distinguished from a parchmont book; cf. 2 Tim. iv. 13 rà $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i a$, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \tau \grave{a} s ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \beta \rho a ́ v a s . ~ T h e ~ A p o c a-~$ lyse formed a $\mu \circ v \sigma^{\prime} \beta\left\langle\beta \lambda_{o v}\right.$, the length of which "may be estimated at 15 feet" (Kenyon, Text. Crit. p. 30); on the length to which such rolls sometimes ran see the same writer's Paleograph of Greek papyri, p. 17 f.
 Cf. v. 4, note. The messenger would carry the roll to each of the Churches in turn, and by each it would be read and probably copied; cf. Col iv. 16, Polyp. Phil. ı3. His route is indicated by the order in which the Churches are named. Starting from Ephesus, he is to proceed northward to Smyrna and Pergamum, and from Pergamum in a southeasterly direction to Thyatira, Sardis, Philadelphia, and Laodicea, doubtless making his way back to

Ephesus along the valley of the Macadder; the reverse order (Ephesus, Laodicea, Philadelphia, Sardis, Theatire, Pergamum, and Smyrna) would have been less natural in view of the importance of Smyrna and Pergamum. As to the roads which connected the seven cities see Ramsay, History of the Geography of Asia Minor, p. 164 ff.; and his art. on Roads and Travel in N.T. times, in Hastings' D.B. v. Starting from Ephesus the Cyzican road conducted the traveller to Pergamum, whence another road led through Thyatira Sardis and Phyladelphia to the valley of the Lycus. See the Introduction, c. v., and the accompanying map.

The book is sent to the several cities ( $\mathrm{cis}^{*}$ Eqeqoy $\kappa \pi \lambda$. ; on the diraclive sense of $\epsilon$ is see Bless, Gr. p. 122, and of. Acts xxi. 1), for the use of the Christian communities in them
 localities see the notes to ii. $1,8,12$, 18, iii. $1,7,14$.
 $\nu \eta^{\prime} \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] For $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \nu$ converters se cf. Acts xv. 36, xvi. 18, and for
 29) see Mc. vi. 50 (note), Jo. iv. 27, ix.








37, xiv. 30 , Apoc. iv. r, x. 8, xvii. 1, xxi.
 On turning, John's attention was at first arrested by seven golden lampstands (cf. Mc. iv. 2I, note; Arethas



 ,ponjun the candelabrum bearing seven lamps ( $\lambda$ vर⿰口o, תind), which according to P in Exod. xxv. 36 ff . were placed in the Tabernacle outside the second veil (cf. Heb. ix. 2). Solomon's Temple had five $\lambda v_{\chi}$ viac on the right side and five on the left before the oracle ( I Kings vii. $49=35$ Lxx.), but in Zechariah's vision (iv. z) the one $\lambda v \chi^{p i a}$ reappears with its seven $\lambda \dot{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \nu o c$; see also I Mace. iv. 49 f., 2 Macc. i. 8, x. 3 ; Joseph. B. J. vii. 5. 5, and comp. the representation on the Arch of Titus (W. Knight, Arch of T, p. 109 ff .). Our writer, more sua, takes from each source the features which lend themselves to his conception-the septenary number from Exodus and Zechariah, the row of separate $\lambda v_{X}$ piat from Kings. On the symbol see $\boldsymbol{r} .20$.
 viò à à $\theta$ а́тог] A second glance shewed a human form in the middle of the row, either behind the fourth $\lambda_{v \chi v i a}$, or moving freely from one to another (ii. i). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \mu o i o \nu$ viò̀ áv $\theta \rho$. is doubtless, both here and in xiv. 14 , from Dan.
 the recurrence of ör $\mu$ otov viòv in xiv. 14 (where it is supported by A) suggests that this use of of $\mu$ oto (as if "an adv. like oiov," Hort) is due to the translation employed by our
writer, who elsewhere consistently uses the dative after of ${ }^{\prime}$ osos (see i. 15 , ii. 18 , iv. 3 bis, etc., 20 times in all). Yiòs àv $\theta \rho \alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ ov, 'a son of man,' a human being, with allusion perhaps to our Lord's application of Daniel l. $c$. to Himself (Mc. xiii. 26); yet not to be taken as equivalent to ó viós tồ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime}$ rov, which outside the Gospels appears only in Acts vii 56. The glorified Christ is human, but transfigured: Victorinus: "similem dicit post mortem devictam, cum ascendisset in caelos." Irenaeus, who (iv. 20. 11) quotes the passage at length, well says that John sees in it "sacerdotalem et gloriosum regni eius adventum"; the form is at once priestly and royal.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The clothing is first described.
 Vulg., cf. Roensch, Itala u. V., p. 245, arr. ${ }^{\text {erf. }}$ in the N.T., but used in the lxx. of Exodus for various priestly garments, as the breastplate (inin, cc. xxp. 6 (7), xxxy. 8 (9)), the ephod (xxviii. 27 (31)), the robe of the ephod (מְעֵ, xxviii 4, xxix. 5) ; cf. Jos. antt.



 $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma a \nu, \zeta \omega^{\prime} \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \phi \dot{\gamma} \gamma \bar{\epsilon} \tau a \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$. But perhaps the reference is rather to the Prophets, e.g. Zech. iii. 4, where $\delta$ тоồ $\rho \eta s=-$ nis robes of state, or Ez. ix. 2 f ., if, where
 man with the inkhorn ; cf. Dan. x. 5
 $\pi a \delta \eta \eta^{2} \rho$ is thus seen to denote dignity. or high office, usually but not neces-






 $x$ lwy arm
sarily the office of High Priest (cf. Sap. xviii. 24, Sir. xlv. 8); the ancient commentators are perhaps too positive on this point, e.g. Irenaeus (iv. 20. II) "aliquid vero sacerdotale, ut podere"; Victorinus: "in veste talari, id est sacerdotali" ; Arethas: wis ápхıєpéa $\boldsymbol{\text { tò }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 does the $\delta \omega \dot{\sim} \eta \chi^{\prime} \rho v \sigma \hat{a}$ quite determine the highpriestly character of the costume : the High Priest's girdle was of linen richly embroidered (Exod. xxxix. $29=$ xxyvi. 37 Lxx .), with a liberal use of gold thread (Jos. antt.
 girdle points rather to Daniel's vision

 golden clasp ( $\quad$ ó $\rho \pi \eta$ ) is a royal distinetion. On the whole, as Hort says, "not improbably the conception is that of sacred repose....So the gods were represented in a mo $\delta \dot{\eta} \rho \eta \eta^{\prime}$." $\mathrm{X} \rho v-$ $\sigma a ̂ ̀ \nu$ is characterised by Blass (Gr., p. 24) as a gross blunder ; more probably it is a colloquialism to which the writer was accustomed-that it is from his pen its retention in $N^{*}$ A C leaves little doubt.

Пoòs тois $\mu a \sigma r o i s$. High girding is said to have been usual when the Toठinj


 where beings of angelic rank are
 x $\rho v a$ âs. For $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ with the dat. cf. Mc. v. 1 I note, Jo. xx. in, see Blass, Gr. p. 140. The mss. vary (see app. crit.) between $\mu a \sigma r o i ̂ s, \mu a \sigma \theta o i ̂ s, ~ \mu a \zeta o i ̂ ; ~$ cf. W. Schm., p. 59, Blass, Gr. p. 24.

The lexicographers endeavour to distinguish the forms (e.g. Suidas: $\mu$ a§os
 кupíws émi yvvaukós), but the distinction does not seem to have been observed.
 From the costume the Seer proceeds to describe the person of the Central Figure. He has in view the locus


 where however the white hair belongs to the Ancient of Days. The transfer of this feature to the Son of Man is the more striking since Enoch (xlvi. I, ed. Charles, p. 127) adheres strictly to Daniel's account. Our writer's Christology leads him frequently to assign to the glorified Christ attributes and titles which belong to the Father, e.g. in i. I8, ii. 8, v. I2, xxii. I 3 . Ancient expositors find in the hair white as snow a symbol of the eternal preexistence of the Son; e.g. Andreas:
 кaì ápхaios, $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ dè $\pi \rho o a t \omega \dot{\nu} l o s$, and this view seems to be justified by Daniel's שעׁתיָ יוִֹין cannot be pressed; white hair, though regarded as honourable (Lev. xix. 32, Prov. xvi. 3I), yet suggests decay, whereas Jesus Christ is unchangeable; cf. ad Diogn. II oủtos ó à $\pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$,
 та́pтатє рє́os...ó áєi. ' $\Omega_{s} \chi^{\iota \omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ perhaps adds the thought of His sinlessness (Ps. l. (li.) 9, Isa. i. 18, Mt. xxviii. 3).
 Cf. ii. 18, xix. 12. In Dan. vii. 9 it is the throne of the Ancient of Days which is $\phi \lambda o ̀ \xi \pi v \rho o o_{\text {s }}$, but in x. 6 the

## 

 aurichalco Cypr Viet pg aur. Libano Prim aes Libani syrr arm ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ aeth
man clothed in linen has eyes $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ $\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{d} \delta e s ~ \pi u \rho o ́ s$, and the latter passage is perhaps in view here. The metaphor is common, as Wetstein shews, in Greek and Roman authors (e.g. Homer, $I l$. xiii. 474 ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \grave{c} \delta^{\prime}$ á $\rho a$
 "oculis micat acribus ignis"), and indeed in descriptive writings of every age and country. The penetrating glance (Apringius: "inevitabile lumen oculorum") which flashed with quick intelligence, and when need arose with righteous wrath, was noticed by those who were with our Lord in the days of His Flesh (Mc. iii. 5, 34, 7. 32, x. 21, 23, xi. 1f, notes, Lc. xxii. 6I), and finds its counterpart, as the Seer now learns, in the Risen and Ascended Life.
 $\lambda_{\iota} \beta \dot{a} \nu \varphi \varphi_{\dot{c}} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Of. Dan. x. 6 Th. $\tau i$


 due ultimately to Ez. i. 7, where the same Heb. is similarly rendered by the Lxx. See also Ez viii. 2 ifou
 íme
 c. ii. I8 only) is a word of unusual difficulty. Suidas defines it as eilos


 H. N. 33. 4 where ${ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \in \kappa$ r $\rho o \nu$ is a mixture of gold and silver). A somewhat similar sense is yielded by the Latin
 aurichalco or orichalco (so, with or without the addition of Libani, Cypr. test. ii. 26, Victorinus, Primasius, Beatus, etc.), a word which seems to have meant a mixture of metals similar to brass or bronze; cf. Verg. Aen. xii. 87
"auro squalentem alboque orichalco ...loricam," on which Servius remarks: "apud maiores orichalcum pretiosius metallis omnibus fuit." A precious metal, bright and flashing, would suit the present context well, but the explanation leaves the form $\chi^{a \lambda k o \lambda i-}$ Bavos unexplained. Arethas offers the


 таîठєє ä $\rho \rho \epsilon \nu a$ ка入ой $\sigma u$. The former conjecture is unsupported, and seems to require $\lambda_{1}$ ßavoxá $\lambda k \omega$; the latter finds some confirmation in a fragment of Ausonius, cited by Salmasius exercit.


 But 'brass-coloured frankincense' is not a very apposite metaphor, notwithstanding the efforts of the Greek interpreters to educe a mystical meaning from it. The etymology proposed by Bochart ( ${ }^{\prime} \bar{\top}$ h, $x^{\text {a }}$ кós, brass at a white heat) is even less tolerable. On the whole, with our present knowledge, it is best to follow the guidance of Suidas and the Latin versions and regard $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa о \lambda \text {. as the name of a mixed }}$ metal of great brilliance, leaving the etymology uncertain.

Feet of brass represent strength and stability (contrast Dan. ii. 33, 4I); such a mystical interpretation as that
 $\dot{\text { antrortodot }}$ is unnecessary and improbable.

The reading $\omega_{s}$ iv канivш $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega-$
 mended by its difficulty. If $\pi \epsilon \pi v \rho \omega-$ $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$ is preferred, the reference must still be to $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa о \lambda \iota \beta a ́ \nu \omega \text {, for кá } \mu \iota \nu o s ~}$ seems to be invariably fem. (cf. Mt.
 is probably a correction intended to





#### Abstract

 $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega{ }^{N}{ }_{16}{ }_{4} 66988 h$ vg aegg eyrrid aeth Irint Cypr Vict Prim $\pi \epsilon \pi v \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu=\iota$    41 aeth


bring the part. into line with of nódes ...ö $\mu$ oco. For $\pi v \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a z$ used of a glowing metal see Eph. vi. 16 rà $\beta e \lambda \eta$
 Robinson's note. In Apoc. iii I8, $\chi \rho \cup \sigma i o \nu \pi \in \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \in \dot{v} \circ$, the sense clearly is 'refined by having passed through the fre,' and R.Y. adopts this meaniing here ; but 'glowing' suits the context better; the metal is not only of the finest and brightest, but it is aglow as if still in the crucible.
 $\left.\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \bar{\omega}{ }^{2}\right]$ Cf. Ez. xliii. 2, where the voice of the God of Israel is is ברבּּים. In Dan. x. 6 , from which many of the details of this description are taken, the voice of the Angel is לְקוֹל ; multitude; but at Patmos it is the roar of the Aegean which is in the ear of the Seer. It is instructive to contrast 3 Regn. xix. 12 фwì̀ aṽpas $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\eta} s$ : the Divine Voice can be of the gentlest or the most appalling as occasion requires. Irenaeus (iv. I4 2) finds a mystical sense in vidátoy тоддิิ้: "vere enim aquae multae Spiritus,"
 à $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} a s \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a}]$ To the Semitic mind the stars of heaven were in the Hand of God (ef. Job xxxviii. 3 f.f, Isa. xl. 12), and would fall (Mc. xiii. 25, Apoc. vi. 13) if the support were withdrawn. No particular constellation or group of planets can be intended by the anarthrous $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi r \dot{a} \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \dot{\epsilon} p a s ;$ the number
is determined by the requirements of the symbolism (v. 20).
 Sícтоноs] The elements of this bold conception are as usual from the O.T. ; see Isa. xi. 4 тarásec $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$




 $\mu \dot{\chi} \chi a \varphi \rho a \nu$ dioropoz. The image is repeated in Apoc. xix. 15 in the description of the armed and militant 'Word of God.' There is a fine parallel in Sap. xviii 15 o $\pi$ arrodi-


 ронфаіа дібтоноs see Ps. cxlix. 6, Sir. xxi. 3. 'Poнфаia, used in N.T. in the Apoc. only, except Lc. ii. 35, occurs frequently throughout the Lxx. from Gen. iii. 24 onwards as a synonym of $\mu{ }^{\prime} \chi$ aupa, both words being used to translate $\overline{7}$ ? large blade of Thracian origin (for a full account see Hastings, D.B. iv. p. 634). $\Delta i \sigma r o \mu o s ~ a n s w e r s ~ t o ~ t h e ~ H e b . ~$ ת connexion with the sword even by the Greek poets (e.g. Eur. Hel. 983 siarouov si申os). The sword is regarded as proceeding, like the spoken word, from the mouth; "this last image is not so strange as appears at first sight, for the short Roman sword was tongue-like in shape" (Hastings, l.c.). With éкторєvoцè











cf. Eph. iv. 29, Apoc. ix. 17 f., xi. 5.
 Cf. Jud. v. 31 oit ajarêvres aưtòy ás
 aưтov̂, Mt. xiii. 43 of Síкаьo éк入á $\mu$ -

 Enoch i. 5, ed. Charles, p. 2, "their faces shone like the sun." If the John of the Apocalypse is the son of Zebedee, he could scarcely have failed to think of the Transfiguration which anticipated the glory of the ascended Christ,
 © ${ }^{\eta} \lambda_{i o s}$ (Mt. xvii. 2). Andreas refers
 $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta s .{ }^{*} \mathrm{O} \psi \iota s=\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$, though fairly common in the lxx., occurs in the N.T. only here and in Jo. xi. 44 (cf. vii. 24). ' $\boldsymbol{l}$ s фaive, a constructio praegnans: "as the sun shines [when he shines] in his might."
 Cf. Isa. vi. 5, Ez. i. 28, Dan. viii. 17, x. 9, I1, Enoch xiv. 14, 24, Lc. v. 8. Beatus: "fragilitatis suae et humilitatis et subiectionis pavore perterritus corruit." As a whole the passage is moulded on Dan. x. 8 f. Lxx. iơov̀




 hand holds seven stars does not hinder
it from being laid on the Seer, for the whole representation is symbol and not art. The Hand which sustains Nature and the Churches at the same time quickens and raises individual
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$., cf. Mt. xvii. $7 \pi \rho \rho \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \delta$

 of contact between this vision and the history of the Transfiguration. Irenaeus (iv. 20. II) reminds us that the awful Form which John saw was that of Him on whose breast he had lain at the Last Supper.
' $\mu$ '́ фовой- $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega^{\prime} \epsilon i \mu \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The words recall another scene in the Gospels
 ci $\mu$ were familiar sounds to the ear of an Apostle. On the other hand

 a title of the God of Israel ascribed, according to the writer's habitual practice, to the exalted Christ (cf. vo. 5 f., 8 notes and the Introduction, p. clxi.). It is given to Him again in c. xxii. with enlargements which leave no doubt as to its significance (xxii.

 The reading of A (т $\rho \omega \boldsymbol{\tau}$ о́токоs) here and in ii. 8 is probably a mere reminiscence of $i$. 5 .
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] ' $\mathrm{O} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ is another Divine title





based on the O.T., cf. $\theta$ coos in Jos iii. 10, Ps. xli. (xlii.) 3, lxxxiii. (lxxsiv.) 3, Hos. i. 10 (ii. I), and the
 (רַי תְּנִי in Deut axxii. 40, Isa xlix. 18, Jer. v. 2, Dan. xii. 7. In the N.T.
 (Mt. xvi. 16, xxvi. 63, Acts xiv. 15, Rom. ix. 26, 2 Cor. iii. 3, vi. 16, I Th. i. 9 , 1 Tim. iii. 15 , iv. 10 , Heb. iii. 12 , ix. 14, x. 31, I Pet. i. 23). A fuller phrase is $\dot{\delta}$ ك xviii. I) or eis rov̀s aî̀vas rôv aićvon (Apoc. iv. 9 f., x. 6, xv. 7). On $\dot{\delta} \zeta \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ as applied to Christ we have a comment in words ascribed to Christ Himself, Jo. v. 26 : $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ үà $\rho$ o $\pi a \pi \grave{\eta} \rho$

 to the Johannine Christology, the Son is $\delta \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ by the communication of the Father's Life; He is $\delta$ ऽ $\hat{\omega}^{\nu} \nu$ ék rov ऽ $\omega$ ртоя.

As a title of the God of Israel and of the Church $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ places Him in sharp contrast with the dead or inanimate gods of heathenism. Here, in its reference to Christ, it draws another contrast scarcely less pointed:
 The antithesis is twofold; є' $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \eta$ is opposed to cipi as in Jo. i. I, I4, viii. 58, and veкрós to ${ }^{\text {¢ }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ (Orig. in Joann. t. i. 31 (34)), cf. Phil. ii. $5{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu} \nu \mu o p \phi \hat{\eta}$
 $\theta$ aváтov, where however the shock of the contrast is broken by the intervening
 Nexpós takes up wis veкpós of $v$. I7; the Lord Who says Mì doßồ, had experience, not of the semblance of death, but of its reality. Kal iठov $\zeta \omega \nu$ $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\top} \mu($ Burton, § 409); not here of $\zeta \omega \nu$, for it is the restored human life
which is now in view, not the essential life of Godhead; nor again $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma \in-$ $\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ or $\bar{\epsilon}\langle\eta \sigma a$ (Rom. xir. 9) for attention is directed to the life which the Lord still lives, and not to the historical fact of His resurrection. The risen life of Jesus Christ is henceforth concurrent with His Divine life, els toùs aî̀vas tû̀ aíóvol ; cf. Rom. vi. 9

 afoou Death and Hades are joined again in vi. 8 , xx. 13 f .; the couception fluctuates between two localities (xx. 13), and two personalities (vi. 8); here it is difficult to determine which view is uppermost. Other instances of quasi-personification of Death and Hades (Jikej) are Ps. xlviii. (xlix.) 15 , Hos. xiii. 14 (cited I Cor. xv. 54 f.). The 'gates of Death' appear in Ps. ix. 14 , cvi. (cvii.) 18 , and the 'gates of Hades' in Isa xxxviii. 1o, Sap. xvi. I3, Mt. xvi. 18 ; see also Job xxxviii. 17
 passage comnected by Christian interpreters with the descensus ad inferos. To "have the keys of Death and of Hades" is to possess anthority over their domain ; cf. Mt. xvi. 19, Apoc. iii. 7 , ix. I, $x \times$ I (notes). According to Rabbinical teaching, this is the sole prerogative of God; see Targ. Jon. on Deut. xxviii. 12 "quatuor sunt claves in manu Domini, clavis vitae et sepulchrorum et ciborum et pluviae"; Sanhedrin f. 113. x "Elias petiit ut daretur sibi clavis pluviae, petiit ut daretur sibi clavis resurrectionis mortuorum; dixerunt ipsi: 'tres claves in manum legati non dantur, clavis partus, pluviarum, et resurrectionis mortuorum.'" The claim to possess potentially the keys of death is made








by Christ Himself in Jo. v. 28 ; the Apoc. comects the actual possession of the keys with His victory over death; they are from that moment in His keeping ( ${ }^{*} \chi \omega$ ). For $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \bar{s}=$ к入eîouas see Blass, Gr. p. 26; кגeìv is beyond dispute in iii. 7, xx. I. In the Gospels, on the other hand, $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\delta} a$, $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta a_{\mathrm{L}}$ are well supported (Mt. xvi. 19, Lc. xi. 52), though there also cod. D gives the shorter form.
19. रoáqov oủv â eî̀rss $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Oz̉v resumes (Blass, Gr. p. 273) the direction given in $\boldsymbol{v}$. Ir, enforcing it with the authority of One Who has declared Himself conqueror of Death : cf. Mt.
 $\pi$ agevetertes oiv kT入. "A eides, i.e. the vision of the Glorified Christ. Besides this the book contains a revelation of the present state of the Church and the world ( $\hat{a}$ eiziv), and a revelation
 тaìta). The former is chiefly to be found in $c c$. ii., iii.; the latter begins at
 taüra. But the division is rough and supericial ; for $c \mathrm{c}$. ii., iii. look forward to the future, while cc. iv.-xxii. are by no means limited to it. On eiciv, $\mu € \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ see WM. p. 645 ff ; things present are seen distinctly and separately, while things future are blended in a more or less confused whole. For $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \frac{1}{}$ followed by a pres. inf. see Blass, Gr. pp. 197, 202.
 ${ }_{\kappa<\lambda}$.] On $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho t a \nu$ in Biblical Greek see the note to Mc. iv. II. Here rò $\mu \nu \sigma \tau$. is the inuer meaning of a sym-
bolical vision, as in Dan. ii. 47; cf
 rîs quvatiós. The grammar presents some difficulty. Tò $\mu v \sigma \tau$ गिprov....às גuxvias are not governed by $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi o v$ or in apposition to à... $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ (WM. p. 290), for the secret about to be revealed relates only to certain points of interpretation. A new sentence begins with $\boldsymbol{v}$. 20 , yet the verse opens with two accusatives without a verb. There are partial parallels in Rom.
 $\theta \epsilon o ́ s ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$. (see SH. ad l.), and 2 Cor.
 $\pi \lambda a \tau u v \theta_{\eta \tau \epsilon}$, where the acc. anticipates the contents of the sentence which it opens. In the present instance the construction is further complicated by
 we expect $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon}, \lambda \nu \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Translate: 'As for the secret of the seven stars... and as for [the secret of] the seven

 rested on the open palm; cf. v. I $\dot{\pi} \pi$

 $\sigma \iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ cictp] The usage of the N.T. permits us to translate ä $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ oc as 'messengers'; cf. Mt. xi. ro, Lc. vii. 24 , ix. 52, Jac. ii. 25. The seven stars, therefore, might represent certain delegates from the Asiatic Churches
 presumably delegates seut to Patmos who were returning with the book of the Apocalypse. Or we might accept the interpretation of Primasius (followed by Bede): "angeli ecclesiarum



 93 a $\mathrm{Im}^{\text {muvid }} \mathrm{me}$ syrew
hic intellegendi sunt rectores populi," i.e. either the Bishops, or if the monarchical episcopate had not yet established itself in Asia, the presbyteral colleges, in the several cities. In support of the view that the rulers of the Churches are intended it has been usual to quote Mal. ii. $7{ }^{\boldsymbol{d}} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{e \lambda o s}$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$ [ 0 iepev's], or to refer to the title
 the Synagogue; this person however was in no sense a Church-ruler, and offers no true analogy (see Schürer ${ }^{3}$, ii. p. 442, and ef. Lightfoot, Philippians, p. 199 note). And tempting as it is to discover in these al $\gamma \gamma{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{0}$ an allusion to the rising order of the Episcopate, the invariable practice of our writer forbids such an interpretation. The Apocalypse uses $a_{y}{ }^{\prime}$ elos some sixty times, excluding those in which it is followed by $7 \boldsymbol{i n}$
 in the technical sense of a superhuman being employed in the service of God or of Satan. There is therefore a strong presumption that the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ oc $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ are 'angels' in the sense which the word bears elsewhere throughout the book. In Dan. x. I3, xii. I a $\pi \rho o \sigma t a \sigma i a$ over particular nations is ascribed to certain angelic beings, and a like relation to individuals is implied in Mt. xviii. to of

 That John should have extended this conception to Churches (Andreas:
 $\sigma \pi \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ) is not surprising, especially in view of the highly developed angelo$\operatorname{logy}$ of the book; cf. Ascension of

Tsaiah iii. 15, "the descent of the angel of the Christian Church, which is in the heavens." The objection that the angel is in that case unduly credited with the praise or blame which belongs to bis Church had occurred to Origen, who however was not deterred by it; hom. in Num. xx. 3 "admiratione permoveor quod in tantum Deo cura de nobis sit ut etian angelos suos culpari pro nobis et confutari patiatur." As a $\pi a \iota \delta a-$ yayós is blamed if his pupils go wrong, so, he adds (§ 4), "venient enim angeli ad iudicium nobiscum... ne forte minus erga nos operis et laboris expenderint quo nos a peccatorum labe revocarint." But in this symbolical book the angel of a Church may be simply an expression for its prevailing spirit, and thus be identified with the Church itself (Beatus: "ecclesias et angelos earum intellegas unum esse"). An interesting parallel to this idea is presented by the fravashis of Zoroastrianism : cf. Hastings, D.B. iv. p. 991 , J. Th. St. iii. p. ${ }_{52 \text { I }}$.
 If the angels of the Churches are represented by stars, the Churches themselves are lampstands, both giving light in their own measure and degree; cf. Lightfoot, Philippians l.c.: "[the] contrast between the heavenly and the earthly fires...cannot be devoid of meaning. The star is the suprasensual counterpart, the heavenly representative; the lamp, the earthly realisation, the outward embodiment." For the use of stars as symbols of angelic beings see Enoch Ixxxvi. I ff., and cf. Ramsay, Letters to the Seven Churches, p. 62 ff . On $\dot{\epsilon} \pi r a ́\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ cf. WH. ${ }^{2}$ Notes, p. 156.

## 




II．I－7．The Mebsage to thet Angel of the Church in Ephesus．
 repeated at the head of each address． The mss．fluctuate between т $\hat{\underline{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda$ ． and $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ekk ．；the former has the best support in ii． 1 ，and is found in ii． 8,18 ，iii． 1,7 ，but is without ms． authority in ii．I2，iii．I4．WH．，who （Notes，p．г 36 f．）believe rê to be the original reading in all the seven occurrences of the phrase，compare the title of the highpriests of the Augustan cult（àpXuepè̀s rîs＇Agias
 anarthrous as ékкдクбias in the form

 Ohristian letters to Churches this is the usual mode of locating a Church，



 ${ }^{\prime} E \phi \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \omega$ ：less frequent forms are to be found in Gal．i． 2 тaîs èкк入ךбiaus $\tau \eta{ }_{\eta}$「a入atias，I（2）Thess．i．I $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\varepsilon} к \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ $\tau \omega \nu$ Өєббаддоעcк$\epsilon \omega \nu$, Clem．R．Cor．ad
 Kópıд $\theta$ ov．The Christian communities had as yet no territorial settlements； there was a＇Church in Ephesus，＇but no ecclesia Ephesina in the stricter sense．

Ephesus stands first among the cities to which addresses are sent． Thither the messenger from Patmos would sail by an easy course of 60 miles． Moreover on many grounds this city took first rank．In a series of in－ scriptions found at Ayasaluk，near the site of Ephesus，it receives the proud
 rîs＇Agias（Hicks，Inscriptions nir． ii．，dxli．，dxlvii．，dli．，dlv．，dlxiii．）．A libera urbs，with its own $\beta$ oun＇， yєрovaia，and éккл $\eta \sigma i a$ ，and the head
of a convontus－an assize town，－ Ephesus was also a seat of proconsular government（Acts xix．38）．Its com－ mercial prosperity kept pace with its political importance ；cf．Strabo c．64r
 ＇Aaiav т̀̀v èvтòs $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ Taúpov．The great road which brought the trade of the East from the Euphrates to the Aegean reached the sea at Ephesus； and though the port of Ephesus suffered from the silting up of the mouth of the Cayster，this process had been arrested for a time by works undertaken in A．d．65．Ephesus was not less conspicuous as a centre of religious life．It was proud to be known as Warden（veढкópos）of the Temple of Artemis，a shrine of world－ wide reputation（Acts xix．27，35）． Further it was the headquarters of the magical arts which at this time were widely practised in Asia Minor （ef．Acts xix．19）；the＇Eф́є́ $\sigma a{ }^{2}$ үрá $\mu$－ натa were famous everywhere．The city was a hotbed of cults and super－ stitions，a meeting－place of East and West，where Greeks Romans and Asiatics jostled one another in the streets．See further the Introduction to this commentary，p．lix．ff．

The founder of the Ephesian Church was the Apostle Paul，As early as A．D． 50 （ $3 \mathrm{5}, ~ ? 52$ ）he made an in－ effectual effort to reach the province of Asia（Acts xvi．6），and his first visit to Ephesus（xviii．19 ff．）was too brief to bear permanent fruit．But he realized the importance of the place as a field of Christian work，and in 53 （ 354, ？55）returned to spend over two years there（xix．8，ro）．Though he does not seem to have visited any other city in Asia，his Ephesian resi－ dence was the occasion of a general evangelization of the province（l．c．





 me syrs" arm ${ }^{4}$ aeth Andr Ar
 St Paul's work at Ephesus was carried on by Timothy ( Tim. i 3) and, after the Apostle's death and the with.drawal of Timothy, by St John, if we may believe the traditions of the second century; see Iren. iii. I. I, 3.4 ; Polycrates ap. Eus. F.E. iii. 3I, v. 24 , and cf . the Introduction, $c$. vi.
 of the introductory formula. It is followed in each case by a description of the Speaker, in which He is characterised by one or more of the features in the vision of ch. i. (ii. $1,12,18$, iii. 1,7), or by one or more of His titles (ii. 8, iii. 7, 14); the features or titles selected appear to correspond with the circumstances of the church which is addressed. With táde $\lambda \in ́ y \in \iota$ ("perhaps from Am. i. 6 " (Hort)) of. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in t$ ${ }^{2} I \eta \sigma o \hat{v}_{s}$, with which each of the Oxyrhynchus Sayings begins. The seven so-called letters are not 'epistles of Christ,' but rather utterances, pronouncements, judgements passed upon the churches as they pass in succession under the eye of the supreme 'Eriбкотоя. See p. 65 f., infra.
$\dot{\delta}$ крат $\hat{\nu} \nu \ldots \dot{\delta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a r \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \tau \lambda$. recalls

 in a stronger form ; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{X}} \boldsymbol{\omega \nu}$ has become $\kappa \rho a \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$, and $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \varphi$ is qualified by $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. Kрareiv, the opposite to áфıévat, is to hold in one's grip (e.g. Mt. xxvi. 4, Acts ii. 24), whether for the purpose of retaining (Jo. xx. 23) or of restraining (Apoc. vii. I); here the former meaning is evidently in view, as in ii. $13 \mathrm{ff} ., 25$, iii. II; the acc. follows, because the Church as a whole is thus firmly
grasped, and not only a part of it (cf. Blass, Gr. p. roi). As the Enemy тєрıтатєî $\zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кататเєî̀ (i Pet. v. 8, cf. Job i. 7), so the Lord patrols the ground, is ever on the spot when He is needed; His Presence is not localized, but coextensive with the Church (Mt. xviii. 20, xxviii. 20, 2 Cor.

 $\gamma_{\text {filáacevos Kúpos. The two images }}$ are complementary, representing the security which comes from strength and vigilance.

To the Church in Ephesus, the mother of the Churches of Asia, the Lord writes under titles which express His relation to the Churches generally. As Ephesus represented the
 by Ramsay, Letters, p. 238), so the Ephesian Church stands here for the seven. Yet the message shews the special need which the Ephesian Church had both of a firm grasp and a watchful safeguarding.
 often struck in these letters (cf. ii. 9, 13, 19, iii. 1, 8, 15). The Apostles were deeply impressed by the Master's knowledge of men; see Jo. ïi. 25, xxi. 15 ff., Acts i. 24 The Apocalypse does not use $\gamma \iota \nu \omega i \sigma \kappa \omega$ of Christ; oida emphasizes better the absolute clearness of mental vision which photographs all the facts of life as they pass. The distinction is well seen in Jo. xxi. 17
 ©̈т $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$, where the universal knowledge passes into the field of special observation. oỉa tà ${ }^{\text {E. }}$. $\sigma o u$ is in itself neither praise nor blame, for 'works' may be either good (kn入á,




áyäá，Mt v．16，Jo．x．32，Acts ix．

 viii．41，Gal．v．19，Eph．v．11）；blame is conveyed by it in iii．I， 15 ，but praise in iii． 8 ；here and in ii．19， while praise predominates，it is not unmixed．The spirit，the $\bar{j}$ oos of each Church，represented as its＇angel，＇is judged by its results，according to Christ＇s invariable rule（Mt．vii． 16 f ．， Apoc．ii．23，xxii．12）．
 The single pronown after $\boldsymbol{v} \pi о \mu$ ．Tinks ко́тоs and ímoцогŋ́ together，as in－ dicating the character of the $\epsilon p$ ； they were signalized by two notes of excellence，self－denying labour and perseverance．Compare（with Light－ foot＇s note）I Th．i． $3 \mu \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ viontes

 е $\lambda \pi i \delta i o s$, where however $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o \nu$, ко́тоя， and $\dot{\text { in }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \% \nu \bar{\prime}$ are strictly coordinated． Kóroos，often found with $\mu \dot{o}_{X} \theta_{o s}$（2 Cor． xi． 27 ， 1 Th．ii． 9,2 Th．iii．8），is with its cognate кortą almost a technical word for Christian work；cf．Rom．xyi． 6，12，i Cor．iii．8，xy．10，58，xyi．16， 2 Cor．vi．5，xi． 23 ；Gal．iv．II，Phil． ii． 16 ，Col．i．29，I Th．v．ı2，I Tim．v． 17，Apoc．xiv．I3．On ч́troноví see i． 9，note，and of．Le．viii． 15 карто－

 Another good thing which has not escaped the eye of Christ The vitopory＇of the Ephesians did not imply indifference to sin；they could not bear the company of bad men； cf．Ps．cxxxix． 2 I f．，Rom．xii．9， 2 Jo． 10 f ．，and the story of St John＇s attitude towards Cerinthus（Iren．iii． 3．4）．These како＇（ef．Phil．iii． 2 tov̀s какоіे épyáras）who tried the patience of the Ephesians were not their pagan
neighbours（Eph．iv． 17 ff．），but the false brethren mentioned in the next clause ；cf．Ign．Eph． 9 ois oủk єiárare


 is to calty a burden（ßápos，Mt．xx． 12；бтavpóy，Le．xiv．27，Jo．xix． 17 ； фopriop，Gal．vi．5）．Hort compares Epict．i．3，2，oưßcís aov $\tau$ ．ỏ ỏpò̀ ßacráace．The form $\delta \dot{v} \nu \bar{y}=\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma a$, condemned by Phrynichus，occurs also in Mc．ix． 22 f．，Lc．xvi． 2 （Blass， $\boldsymbol{G r}$ ． p．49）．

каі̀ è éeipagas toùs $\lambda$ éyovtas кт入．］ The $\lambda$ úro ßapeîs foreseen by St Paul （Acts xx．29）had come，and in sheep＇s clothing（Mt．vii．I5）；cf． 2 Cor．xi．I3

 dous Xpigrov̂．The false teachers claimed to be àmócto入oc in the wider sense，itinerant teachers with a mission which placed them on a higher level than the local elders（I Cor．xii．28， Eph．iv． 1 I ；cf．Lightfoot，Galatians， ＇The name and office of an Apostle，＇ Harnack，Die Lehre der zü̈lf Apostel， p． 93 ff．）．When such itinerants， whether＇Apostles＇or＇Prophets，＇ visited a church where they were unknown，unless they brought＇com－ mendatory letters＇（ 2 Cor．iii．r），it was necessary to test their claims （I Th．v． 20 f．，I Jo．iv．I）．A strangely superficial test，such as that enjoined in Didäche c．II（ $\pi$ âs $\delta \dot{e ̀}$ àmóoto

 $\pi \rho \circ \phi^{\prime} \tau \eta s$＇̇ $\left.\sigma \tau i \nu\right)$ ，or by Hermas mand． II（ $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \partial ̀ \nu$ 入a $\beta$ ßáveı $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ т $\pi \rho о \phi \eta$ reías
 thought of here；éreípagas（ $=$ édooi－ $\mu a \sigma a s$ ，as in 2 Cor．xiii． 5 éavroùs
 refers to such a probation as the Lord








 the Didache itself regards as the






With тoùs $\lambda$ е́रoutas éavtoùsàm. cf.ii. 20

 fìat éavooús. Kaì ouk eigev, a parenthesis $=$ каі oỉk ö̀ras: cf. i. 6 , ii 9 , iii. 9 .
 false apostles, for such might be selfdeceived, but deceivers; for this use



 Endurance was one of the best assets of the Ephesian angel. Unable to bear the society of the deceivers, the faithful at Ephesus had for the sake
 13, note) patiently borne the labour of resisting them or enduring their taunts (Arethas), and had not grown weary of the task. The play in vo. 2,

 бov....ov кєкотiaкes) has perplexed the scribes; see app. crit. Kai où kéк $\kappa \mu \eta-$ kas of the T. R. appears to rest on no better authority than a conjecture of Erasmus, but it gives the sense; for кomiṭ̂y, to be weary, cf. Mt. xi. 28, Jo. iv. 6, and for the form кeкoriakes see W. Schm. p. i13, note 16, and cf.

...éßíaragas...кєкол: : such combinations are frequent in the Apoc. (e.g. v. 7, vii. 13 f., viii. 5) and not always easy to explain; here the perf. кєкот. indicates a condition which continued when the endurance (é $\bar{\beta} \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma a s)$ was at an end.
 on the other hand ( $\dot{a \lambda \lambda a ́ \text { ) there is }}$ ground for complaint; for ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \epsilon(\tau)$ katá revos of. Job xxxi. 35 (Lxx.), Mt. v. 23 , Mc. xi. 25 , note, and below, $v$. 14, 20. Patience and unremitting toil in His cause are not all that Christ requires, and indeed are of little value, if the spirit of love is absent. But at Ephesus love was waning, perhaps as the result of the controversies through which the Church had passed. T $\grave{\eta} \nu$
 this position limits and corrects: 'thou hast left thy love, at least the love of the first days,' i.e. the days of St Paul's ministry at Ephesus; how fervent it was appears from Acts xix. 20, xx. 37, cf. Eph i. 3 ff. Another generation has taken the place of the first converts; the loyalty and activity of the Church have been well maintained, but there is some falling off in the greatest of Christian gifts (cf. Mt.
 shewn perhaps, as the Greek commentators suggest, by a comparative indifference to the necessities of the poorer brethren. The phrase $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{d} \gamma . \tau$. $\pi \rho$. à $\phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon s$ is probably a reminiscence of Jer. ii. 2, Ez. xvi. 8 ff . The new Israel had begun too soon to follow the example of the aucient people of God.






 om eк nov toto avis syr sw
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Comp. iii $3 \mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \epsilon \cup \epsilon \operatorname{ov} \nu \pi \omega \hat{\varsigma}$
 taters contrast Cis. ad Attic. iv. 16 "non recorder unde ceciderim sed unde resurrexerim," a fine sentiment which is not really in conflict with the call to remember 'undo cecideris' as a motive to repentance. St Paul's
 iii. 14) refers to past successes which must be disregarded in view of ra $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu-a n$ entirely different case from that which is contemplated by
 pónoov, $\pi$ ain $\sigma o y$ answer to three stages in the history of conversion; the pres. imper, perhaps represents the first as continuous or habitual, but it is noteworthy that while $\mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ v \epsilon v \epsilon$ occurs seven times in the N.T., there is no well-supported instance of $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ óvevvv.

For $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ in reference to a moral fall, cf. Rom. xi. if, i Cor. x. 12, and the use of $\pi$ a $\rho a \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota, \pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega \mu a$ in Ps. xviii. (xix.) 13, Sap. x. 1, xii. 2, Mt. vi. 14 f., Heb. vi. 6. Moínaov qà $\pi \rho \hat{\sigma} \tau a \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ : the Lord does not say
 cent which perhaps could not have been fulfilled; the last may be better or worse than the first, but never can be the same.

This verse is frequently quoted by Cyprian when he urges repentance upon those who had lapsed in the Decian persecution (de laps. 16, cp. 19. I, 34. I, 55. 22) ; and with other passages from the Apoc. it became a
commonplace in the Novatianist controversy (ad Novation. 13).

 written in full just below ; on the elliptical form ( $==$ 'otherwise'), see WM. pp. 729, 757 ; Burton, § 275. ${ }^{*}$ Е $\rho \chi$ оцас refers to a special coming or visitstimon, affectingaChurchor auindividual, as in $v .16$, iii. 11 ; throughout the Apoc. the present of this verb is used in a quasi-future sense ; cf. Bless, Gr. p. 189. Eon is a datious incommode (WM. p. 265); for another view, see Bless, $G r$. p. 113.
 church. Since the $\lambda v \chi \nu i a l$ are separate and do not form a single candelabrum, any one of them can be removed at pleasure. Kıveip (cf. vi. 14) is preferred to $\dot{a} \phi a \iota \epsilon \hat{i} p$, perhaps as indicating deliberation and judicial calmness; there would be no sudden uprooting as in anger, but a movement which would end in the loss of the place that the Church had been called to fill; unless there came a change for the better, the first of the seven lamps of Asia must disappear; its place must be filled by another (cf. Apoc. iii. 1 I , Mt. xxi. 43). This warning seems to have been taken to heart, since in the next generation Ignatius (Eph. prob. 1) could pronounce the 'church in Ephesus' to be àgıoнакápıatos, and
 though deferred, the visitation came at last. The Greek commentators mention the curious fancy that the removal of the candlestick from


6 om a A sicut aeth Prim｜$\kappa a \gamma \omega]$ e $\gamma \omega$ syrow $\quad 7$ ovs］aures $\mathrm{vg}^{\text {codd }} \operatorname{Prim}+$ aкove． me（ita pene rbique）

Ephesus had its fulfilment in the rise of the See of Constantinople，which eclipsed the glory of the older Church． But the Church and See of Ephesus lived on for centuries after the creation of the patriarchate of Constantinople． After the eleventh＇century however the line of Ephesian Bishops seems to have become extinct（Gams，series episc．p． 443 ；see however Ramsay， Letters，p．243），and in 1308 the place was finally surrendered to the Turks （Muray，Handbook，p．28o）．The little railway station and hotel and few poor dwelling－houses of Ayasaluk（＂Ayos © $\epsilon$ дд́gos），which now command the ruins of the city，are eloquent of the doom which has overtaken both Ephesus and its church．
 This second ả̉ $\lambda \lambda$ á modifies the $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ of $v .4$ If the loss of her first love was a heavy charge against the Church in Ephesus，there must be set against it and in her favour her hatred of deeds which Christ hated．

Irenaeus（i．26．3，iii 10．7），followed by Hippolytus（philos．vii．36），asserts that the Nicolaitans of the Apocalypse werefounded by Nicolaus the proselyte of Antioch who was one of the Seven

 $\tau \epsilon$ каì $\beta \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$（Hipp． l．c．）．There was a sect which bore the name at the end of the second century，but its identity with the Nıкòaitrat of the Apoc．cannot be assumed（Tert．de praeser． 33 ＂sunt et nune alii Nico－ laitae＂）and its claim to be spiritually descended from Nicolaus of Antioch was questioned（Olem．Al strom．ii． 20，§1i8 фáóroovfes éavtò̀s Nıкo入áq
 povtes：cf．ib．iii 4，§ 25 ；Eus．$H$ ． E．iii． 29 ；Constitutions vi． 8 of vûv

廿evónvunot Ncxoдaital，with which ct． the interpolated Ignatius，Trall．1I， Philad．6；Victorinus ad l．＂ficti homines et pestiferi qui sub nomine Nicolai ministri fecerunt sibi haere－ sim＂）．A modern conjecture（due to C．A．Heumanm， 17 I 2 ）takes Nıкo入aîra in Apoc．ii．6，i5 as＝Ba入aapĩтai （cf． $\boldsymbol{v}$ ．14），בלעם being derived either from בטל play upon the etymology of Greek and Hebrew words is perhaps too subtle for the genius of the writer，and （2）no etymology has been suggested which makes N $\iota x$ ó入aos atrue equivalent of בִּלִיָם．On the whole it seems best to fall back upon the supposition that a party bearing this name existed in Asia when the Apoc．was written， whether it owed its origin to Nicolaus of Antioch，which is not improbable （see Lightfoot，Galatians，p．297，n．）， or to some other false teacher of that name．According to Ps．－D）orotheus he was a Samaritan Ohristian who joined the party of Simon Magus，but the statement lacks confirmation．On the teaching of this sect see 0.14 ，note， and the Introduction，c．vi．
${ }^{2}$ A к $\dot{d} \gamma \omega{ }^{\omega} \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\omega}$ ．Hatred of evil deeds （â，not oús ；contrast Ps cxixix． 2 I f．） is a true counterpart of the love of good，and both are Divine；cf．Isa． lxi．8，Zech．viii．17．There is a míros as well as an ópyn（Mc．iii．5，Apoc． vi． 16 f ．）which can be predicated of Christ．To share His hatred of evil is to manifest an affiuity of character with Him，which is a sign of grace in Churches and in individuals．
 other formula common to the seven messages preceding the promise to the conqueror in the first three，and following it in the last four．It

 $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \omega$ той $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$.


 syr arm aeth Orint Cypr Prim al
recalls a familiar saying of Christ which is found in the three Synoptists （Mt．xi．15，xiii．9，43；Mc．iv．9，23； Lc．viii．8，xiv．35），but not in the Gospel of St John．On variations in the form of the saying see Me．iv．9， note；the consistent use of ovis for wita in the Apoc．，even in xiii． 9 ，shews independence；yet see Mt．x．27，Lc． xii．3．At the end of each of these
 vidualizing note，calling upon each of the hearers of the book（i．3）to appropriate the warningsand promises addressed to the Churches．Tais ék－ $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a u s$, not $\tau \hat{\eta}$ éкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \dot{a}$ ：cf．Pri－ masius：＂Si quae singulis partiliter ecclesiis praedicat universanı gene－ raliter convenire dicatur ecclesiam． neque enim dicit＇Quid spiritus dicat ecclesiae＇sed＇ecclesiis．＇＂Bede： ＂quae singulis scribit universis se dicere demonstrat ecclesiis．＂

Tò $\pi \nu \varepsilon \bar{v} \mu a \quad$ Хе́ $\gamma \epsilon \varsigma$ cf．Aets viii． 29 ， xiii．2，Apoc．xiv． 13 ，xxii 17 ．Ac－ cording to the opening formula（ii．i） the Speaker is Christ ；but the Spirit of Christ in the prophet is the inter－ preter of Christ＇s voice．
$\tau \hat{\epsilon} \nu \iota \kappa \omega \nu \tau \iota \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \omega$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ фауєî̀ $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］ In $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \iota x$ ．there is a possible allusion to Nıка入aïr $\hat{\nu}$ ，but vıкậ is a charac－ teristically Johannine word（Jo．xvi． 33 ，I Jo．ii． 13 f．，iv．4，v． 4 f．），and specially frequent in the Apoc．（ii．7， 1I，17， 26 ，iii． $5,12,21$, v． 5 ，xii．II， xv．2，xvii．14，xxi．7）；the book is a record and a prophecy of victories won by Christ and the Church．The note of victory is dominant in StJohn， as that of faith in St Paul ；or rather， faith presents itself to St John in
the light of a victory（ x Jo．v．4）．T $\hat{\omega}$
 at the end of each utterance begins，
 pres．part．here is timeless，like o ßanti̧ $\omega \nu$ ，ó $\pi \in \iota \rho a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$（Mc．i． 4 note， Mt．iv．3）；$\dot{\delta}$ икw้̄（vincens，qui vi－ corit）is＇the conqueror，＇the victorious member of the Church，as such，apart from all consideration of the circum－ stances；cf．Tert．8corp． 12 ＂victori cuique promittit nunc arborem vitae．＂ $\Delta \omega \sigma \omega$ is another Apocalyptic word （ii． $10,17,23,26,28$ ，iii．21，xxi．6）． There is here nothing inconsistent
 Christ gives it as Judge to those for whom it has been prepared by the Father；see Mt．xxv．34， 2 Tim．iv．8，

 hands of the ascended Christ are full of gifts（cf．Eph．iv． 7 ff．）．With the promise $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ aưt $\hat{\omega} \phi a \gamma \varepsilon i ้ ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．，cf．xxii．
 т．乌．and Test．xii patr．，Levi 18 ס́áनe九 тoîs áyiots фayeì éк tov̂ gúdou t．ک．；for
 $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{l} \nu_{,}$vii．2，xiii．7，14，xvi．8．Tò
 is of course from Gen．ii． 9 ；on $\xi \hat{v} \lambda o \nu=8 \in ́ v \delta \rho o \nu$ see WM．，p．23．In the LXX．$\pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o s$ represents either 1 d （Gen．ii．，iii．，passim）or ロTֶ？a pleasaunce（2 Esdr．xii．8，Eccl．ii．5， Cant．iv．13）＂from the old Persian pairidaêza＂（Encycl．Bibl．av．）；and
 added from Gen．xiii 10 or Ez．xxviii． 13，xxxi．8．The Rabbinical writers use the word of the heavenly

 os $\min ^{20}$
is the opposite state to their הִ see Weber, Jüd. Theol. p. 344 ff. Of the idealized Tree of Life we read already in Prov. iii. 18 (cf. Isa. lxv. 22, LxX., 4 Macc. xviii. 16), but its first appearance in a vision of the celestial Paradise is in Enoch xxiv. f. тà




 Slavonic Enoch 8, and Ps. Sol. xiv. 3. In the N.T. 'Paradise' is either the state of the blessed dead (Lc. xxiii. 43), or a supra-mundane sphere identified with the third heaven into which men pass in an ecstasy ( 2 Cor. xii. 2 f.) ; or, as here, the final joy of the saints in the presence of God and of Christ. On the history of the subject generally see Tennant, Sources of the Doctrine of the Fall and of Original Sin, passin.

The general sense of the promise $\delta \omega \sigma \sigma \omega \kappa \tau \lambda$. is clear. Man's exclusion from the Tree of Life (Gen. iii. 22 f .) is repealed by Christ on condition of a personal victory over evil. To eat of the Tree is to enjoy all that the life of the world to come has in storo for redeemed humanity. Apringius: "pomum ligni vitae aeternitatem immarcescibilem subministrat." Bede: "lignum vitae Christus est, cuius in caelesti paradiso visione sanctae reficiuntur animae."

8-il. The Message to the Angel of the Church in Smprna.
 Ephesus-a distance of about 35 miles -entered Smyrna by the 'Ephesian Gate.' The city, which had been rebuilt by Lysimachus, was now the finest of the Asiatic towns (Strabo, 646), and
 Situated at the head of a well protected gulf, with an ample harbour, it possessed an export trade second only to that of Eplesus, while like Ephesus it was the terminus of a great road, which tapped the rich valley of the Hermus and penetrated to the interior. As far back as the reign of Tiberius the loyalty of Smyrna to Rome procured for it the privilege of erecting a temple to the Emperor, and the city henceforth claimed the title of vecorópos of the new cult. She disputed with her neighbour Ephesus the honour of being styled $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \bar{\eta} s$ 'Acias and $\mu \eta \tau \rho \sigma^{\prime} \pi о \lambda \iota s$. But the writer of the Apocalypse follows an order to which Ephesus itself would have assented, when he assigns to Smyrna the second place among the seven.

The N.T. throws no light on the origin of the Church in Smyrna beyond the general statement as to the evangelization of Asia in Acts xix. 10; see Lightfoot, Ignatius, i. p. 462. But according to Vita Polycarpi 2 St Paul risited Smyrna on his way to Ephesus
 $\mu \epsilon ́ p \eta$ ), and found disciples there, as he did at Ephesus. The Church is still strong at Smyrua ; out of a population of perhaps 250,000 more tham half are Christians, while the Evia $\gamma_{\gamma} \lambda_{\iota \kappa \grave{j}} \Sigma_{\chi 0} \lambda_{\eta}$ with its fine library witnesses to the vigour and intelligence of the Orthodox community.

On the form $Z \mu u{ }^{2} \rho \nu a$ see $W H{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 155; Blass, Gr. p. 10. It occurs on coins of the period and in inscriptions (see e.g. CIG iii. 3276 ff.). On Smyrua itself see further the Introduction, p. lxi.f.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] These titles (from i. 17 f.) are
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chosen with the view of inspiring confidence into a Church threatened with suffering and death; cf. Bede: "apta praefatio patientiam suasuro." Ramsay (Exp., 1904, i. p. 321 f.) finds a reference also to the early struggles of the city (Strabo, 646

 purpose being to fix -attention upon the fact of the Resurrection. As the Lord rose, so will His martyrs triumph over death ; cf. 2 Tim. ii. $8 \mu \nu \eta \mu$ óvє $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
 The parallel in Apoc. xiii 14 is in-
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \rho \mu a \chi a i \rho \eta s$ каîé $\delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ (see note ad l.).
9. oifá aov тग̀े $\theta \lambda i \psi \iota \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Church in Smyrna was characterized by its endurance of suffering and poverty in the cause of the Gospel. With the paradox oiờá cov...тウ̀v $\pi T \omega-$ $\chi^{\epsilon i ́ a \nu}, \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda a v ́ \sigma c o s ~ \epsilon \bar{i}$ comp. Jac. ii.


 Soures, and contrast Apoc. iii. 17

 The nature of the wealth possessed by the Ohurch in Smyrna but lacking to the Church in Laodicea is well shewn in Lc. xii. 2 I єis $\theta \in \grave{o} \nu \pi \lambda \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} \nu$,
 The poverty ( $\pi \tau \omega$ xia, not merely $\pi \epsilon v i a$; cf. Mc. xii 42, note) of the Apostolic Churches, even in so rich a city as Smyrna, is remarkable; it may have been due partly to the fact that the converts were drawn chiefly from the poorer classes (Jac. l. c., I Cor. i. 26), partly to the demands made upon them
by their faith (cf. 2 Cor. viii. $2 \boldsymbol{f}$ кarà

 also in some cases to the pillage of their property by a Jewish or pagan
 $\left.\chi \chi^{\dot{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{i} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi a \rho a ̂ s ~} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in \delta \dot{\epsilon} \xi a \sigma \theta \epsilon\right)$. The context suggests that the poverty of the Smyrnaean Church was at least aggravated by the last of these causes.

кaii $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a v$ кт $\lambda$.] Andreas:

 at Smyena were both numerous and aggressively hostile; see Lightfoot, Ignatius, i. p. 468 f., Schürer, Geschichte ${ }^{3}$, iii. pp. $11,29,34$ In the martyrdom of Polycarp they took a leading part, even surpassing the heathen in their zeal, and this, it is added, was their wont: Polyc. mart.
 čقos aúroîs, cis tavita vitovpyoúntw At present they contented themselves with blaspheming, railing at Christ and Christians (cf. Vg. "et blasphemaris ab his"), as they had done from the first days of St Paul's synar gogue preaching in Asia Minor (Acts xiii. 45). Against their sharp tongues the Christians are fortified by the reflexion that these blasphemers are Jews in name only. They called themselves Jews (for the constr. see v. 2, note), but were not so in truth; comp. Rom. ii. 28 oủ 犭à $\rho$ ó év т $\begin{gathered}\text { â }\end{gathered}$

 є́̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi \nu є \dot { \jmath } \mu а т \iota ~ o u ́ ~ \gamma р а ́ \mu \mu а т ь , ~ G a l . ~ v i . ~} 15$ f.











 ＇Ípaŋ入єízas（Ja i．47），such men were

 not a бvyayळyウ̀ Kupiov（Mum．xvi．3，24， xxvi．9，xxxi．16）．On ovvayay relation to ékк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ ia see Hort，Ecclesia， p． 4 ff ．＇H бvขayळỳ̀ тoû $\sigma a \tau a v a ̂ ~ o c c u r s ~$ again in iii． 9 ；comp．ii．is os Opóvos roû $\sigma$ ．，ii． 24 тà $\beta$ Ba日éa тoû $\sigma$ ．

The commentators refer to an in－ ascription of the time of Hadrian which has been thought to mention Jewish renegades（CIG 3148 oi потє＇Iovס́aiot， cf．Lightfoot，Ignatius，i．p． 470 ；see however Ramsay in Hastings，D．B． iv．p．555，for another view of the words，and cf．Letters，p．272）．But the＇synagogue of Satin＇at Smyrna professed Judaism and perhaps sin－ cerely，though their hostility may have been partly due to a desire to curry favour with the pagan mob or the Imperial authorities．
 There were worse things in store than $n \tau \omega \chi$ da or even $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$ ；in－ prisonment，perhaps death，might await the faithful at Smyrna Behind the ＇synagogue of Satan＇was the Devil himself（ $\delta \quad \delta \quad \delta a ́ \beta o \lambda a s=\delta \quad \kappa a \tau \eta \dot{\gamma} \omega \rho$ т $\omega \hat{\nu}$
 2），who by means of false charges laid before the magistrates would cast certain members of the Church （ $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\jmath} \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu\right)$ into prison．His purpose was to try the faith of the whole body（ ${ }^{(\nu \nu a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ）：cf．Lc．xxii． 3 I
 as tod $\begin{gathered}\text { Giro．That its Jewish and }\end{gathered}$ pagan adversaries were prompted by

Satan was the firm belief of the early Church ；cf．e．g．Polys．mart． $2 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$
 Ens．H．E．v．у étépas $\mu \eta \chi a \nu a ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ o ̂ t a ́-~$

 ovjкरोeigets $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
 that ye may have affliction for（＇during，＇ the temporal gen，see Bless，Gr．p． 109）ten days．＂A further disclosure of Satan＇s plans ；it was his purpose to prolong the persecution if the faithful did not yield at once．This point is missed by ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \in \tau \epsilon$ ，doubtless a correction made in the interests of the sense．$\Delta$ éka has perhaps been suggested by Dan．i． 14 émeipaote
 Nom．xiv．19，xiv．22，Job xix．3．Beatus thinks of the＇ten persecutions，＇but it is unnecessary to seek for any historical fulfilment．Equally wide of the mark is the interpretation preferred by Bede：＂totum tempos significant in quo Decalogi suit memorize mandata．＂ The number ten is probably chosen because，while it is sufficient to sur－ gest continued suffering，it points to an approaching end．Ten days of suffering and suspense might seem an eternity while they lasted，yet in the retrospect they would be but a moment


 $\mu \dot{\varphi} \eta \eta$ ）．The trial might be prolonged， but it had a limit known to God．
 ＇Prove thyself loyal and true，to the extent of being ready to die for My
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sake.' 「ivov т., cf. iii. 2 үivov $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$,
 Here miotós is 'trustworthy' rather than 'believing', as in Mt. xxv. 21, 23, Le. xvi. ıof., Apoc. ii. 13, iii. 14. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ A $\chi \rho \iota$
 martyrdom may follow; comp. Phil.

 ávтькатє́бтŋтє. "Aфря occurs in this book eleven times, $\mu \dot{e} \chi \rho$ not once; the other Johannine writings, as it happens, have neither, but in the rest of the N.T. the proportion is a little over 2 to 1 .
 'And so,' the consecutive kai which is "specially found after imperatives" (Blass, Gr. p. 262). Zồs stauds in sharp contrast with $\theta a v a ́ \tau o v$, and $\tau$. ot'́qayoy comes naturally after the prophecy of a coming struggle. The exact phrase ó aréquvos $\tau$. 豸. occurs in the very similar passage, Jac. i. 12


 aùvóv: elsewhere we have $\dot{\delta} \sigma \tau . \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 (Ez. xvi. 12, xxiii. 42, I Th. ii. 19),
 (I Pet. v. 4), тîs áp $\begin{aligned} & \text { apofias (Polyc. }\end{aligned}$ mart. 17, ig, Eus. H.E. v. 1). So familiar a metaphor need not have been suggested by local circumstances, yet it is noteworthy that Smyrna was famous for its games (Paus. vi. 14. 3, cited in Enc. Bibl., 4662) in which the prize was a garland. There may be a reference to this, or again, as Ramsay thinks (Hastings, D.B. iv. p. 555 ff .) the writer may have in his mind the garlands worn in the service of the pagan temples, or the circle of buildings and towers which 'crowned'
the fairest city in Asia (Ramsay, Letters, pp. 256 f., 275). In any case the $\sigma$ т́́ $\phi$ avos is not a royal diadem, but an emblem of festivity : cf. Mc. xv. r 7 , note. Tins $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ is epexegetical: the crown cousists of life, so that the promise is practically equivalent to that of $v .7$, though it is presented under another aspect.
 special promise of the second message, appropriate to a Church which may presently be called to martyrdom. He who conquers by proving himself faithful unto death shall possess immunity from the second death 'O ס́єv́repos Gávatos occurs again in c. xx. 6, I4, xxi. 8, where it is defined as $\dot{\eta} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta$ той $\pi u \rho o ́ s$; see notes ad $l$. The conception is partly anticipated in Dan. xii. 3 and Jo. v. 29, and yet more distinctly by Philo, de praem. et poen. ii. 419 gavátou $\gamma$ à $\rho$

 $\pi \dot{\pi} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$. But the exact expression was probably current in Jewish circles, for it occurs frequently in the Targums; cf. e.g. Targ. Hieros, on Deut. xxxiii. 6 "vivat Reuben in hoe saeculo et non moriatur morte secunda"; other exx. may be seen in Wetstein. $O \vec{v} \mu \dot{\eta} \vec{a} \delta t-$ $\kappa \eta \theta \hat{n}$, 'shall in no wise be hurt'; see Blass, $G r$. p. 209 f. For $\mathfrak{c} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ in this sense see Isa. x. 20, Apoc. vi. 6 ; vii. 2 f., ix. $4,10,19$, xi. 5 bis. The attempt to retain in these contexts the etymological meaning of ádixeì (Benson, Apocalypse, pp. xvi. f., 73 n.) cannot be regarded as successful; in usage ádccềp, like our 'injure,' has acquired a weaker sense and is nearly a synonym of $\beta \lambda$ д́nte! (cf. Thuc. ii. 71, Xell. de se eqzu vi. 3).






12-17. The Mesbage to the Angel of the Churge in Pergamum.
 Smyrna the road from Ephesus followed the coast for about 40 miles and then struck N.E. up the valley of thes Caicus, for a further distance of 15 miles, when it reached Pergamum. Pergamum in Mysia, on the Caicus
 and Dion Cassius, but rò Hépyapov in Strabo and Polybius and most other writers and in the inscriptions; the termination is left uncertain in Apoc. i. I1, ii. 12), now Bergama, the capital of the Attalid Kingdom (b.c. 241 133), held a similar position in Roman Asia (Plin. H. N. ₹. 30 "longe clarissimum Asiae") until its place was taken by Ephesus. If Pergamum had no Artemision, it was richer in temples and cults than Ephesus. Zeus Soter, Athena Nikephoros, Dionysos, Asklepios were the chief local deities; the temple of A thena crowned the steep hill of the Acropolis, and beneath it on the height was a great altar of Zeus. Beside these, the city possessed as early as A.D. 29 a temple dedicated to Rome and Augustus (Tac. ann. iii. 37); a second temple was erected in the time of Trajan, when Pergamum acquired the title of $\delta i$ s pewrópos. At so strong a centre of paganism the Church was confronted with unusual difficulties, and to these the message to Pergamum refers (o. 13f.). See further the Introduction, c. $\boldsymbol{F}$.

 To what use it is to be put at Pergamum appears below, $v, 16$.
13. oìठa moí кatoskeis xrג.] The
special point in the life of the Church at Pergamum which the Lord singles out for notice. She resided in a city which was also Satan's residence (örov ó gatavâs кaтoukí), nay more, where he had set his throne. Opóvos in the N. T. is always the seat of office or chair of state, whether of a judge (Mt. xix. 28), or a king (Lc. i. 32, 52), or of God or Christ (Mt. v. 34, xxv. 31); in the Apoc. the word occurs 45 times in this sense. At Pergamum Satan was enthroned and held his court. The question arises what there was at Pergamum to gain for it this character. The Nicolaitans were there, but they were also at Ephesus; the Jews, who at Smyrna formed a 'synagogue of Satan,' are not mentioned in the Pergamene message. It remains to seek a justification of the phrase in some peculiarly dangerous form of pagan worship. Pergamum was the chief seat in Asia of the worship of Asklepios (cf. Philostratus, Vit. Apollon. iv. 34
 Herodian, iv. 4. $8 \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \pi \in i x \theta \eta$ $\epsilon i s$ II. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\tau o \hat{\text { ' }} \mathrm{A} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi i o v$, Mart. ix. I "Aesculapius Pergamensis deus" : according to Galen a common form of oath was $\mu \dot{a}$
 serpent which was the symbol of the


 is in this book (xii. 9) the symbol of Satan. But attractive as this explanation is, it does not altogether satisfy ; the Aesculapian cult, with its therapeutic aims, would scarcely have been marked out for special reprobation by the Christian brotherhood. It is better to find in 'Satan's throne' an allusion
ò $\theta \rho o ́ v o s ~ т о \hat{u} ~ \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \nu a ̂ . ~ к а i ~ к \rho а т \epsilon i ̂ s ~ т o ̀ ~ o ̀ v о \mu \alpha ́ ~ \mu о v, ~$





to the rampant paganism of Pergamum (Arethas: $\omega^{\prime}$ s kareîionov ov̉aay
 by the great altar which seemed to dominate the place from its platform cut in the Acropolis rock, but chiefly perhaps to the new Caesar-worship in which Pergamum was preeminent and which above all other pagan rites menaced the existence of the Church. The insidious plea Tí какóy è $\sigma \tau \iota v$ cl-

 (mart.Polyc. 8), must have appealed to many Christians wlo would have stood firm against the grosser idolatries of heathenism. If the worship of the Emperor is in view, $\dot{\text { ó }} \theta$ óóyos roû oatavâ may be an occult reference to the agents of this false Imperialism, corresponding with $\sigma v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta ̀$ тầ $\sigma a-$ ravâ, which refers to the hostile Jews.

For $\pi o \hat{v}=\delta \pi \pi o v$ see WM. p. 640 . Katoькєis, кaтotкєî, point to settled resideuce. There was no possibility of escaping from the situation; the local Church could not migrate in a body, and Satan would not quit lis vantage ground. From another point of view even the residents in any place are, from the Christian standpoint, 'strangers and pilgrimes,' and such words as пароькєì, тá $о \iota к о s, ~ \pi а р є \pi i-~$ סnuos are usually preferred in describing the relations of the Chureh to the locality where she is placed; see I Pet. i. I (with Hort's note), I7; ii. if, Heb. xi. 9 , and the opening words of Clem. R. Cor. cited in the note to $v$. 1 .

каì кратєis тò öyодá $\mu$ ои ктл.] The Church in Pergamum maintained her Kúplos 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}_{s}$ ( I Cor. xii. 3), and refused to say Kúptos Kaîqap and to
revile her Master ; cf. mart. Polyc. 9. For крateíl see ii. I note, and for ouvk

 faith in Me'; $\mu o v$ is the gen. of the object as in Mc. xi. 22 ё $\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$
 тíтти 'І $\eta \sigma o$ ù.

Kaì ধ̀v taîs $\mathfrak{\eta j \mu \epsilon ́ p a t s ~ ' A \nu t i t a : ~ ' e v e n ~}$ in the days of Antipas.' The reading 'Avteimas (avreinas) must be ascribed to itacism, while the proposal to treat 'Avtitas as a nom de guerre (àvi', $\pi a ̃ s, ~ a ~ p r i m i t i v e ~ A t h a n a s i u s ~ c o n t r a ~$ mundum) can scarcely be taken seriously. The name is an abbreviated form of 'Avritatpos, as Kגєótas of K入єóтarpos, and occurs frequently in Josephus (e.g. antt. xix. I. 3 ovitos toilv ó "Avtiтatpos 'Avtitas тò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ éka$\lambda \in i ̄ \tau o)$. There is little to be gleaned about this primitive martyr from postcanonical writings. Tertullian'sallusion to him (scorp. 12 "de Autipa fidelissimo martyre, interfecto in habitatione Satanae") shews no independent knowledge. Andreas had read his 'acts' (oürє $\rho \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \nu \omega \nu$ тò $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{p} \rho \iota \nu \nu$ ) and there are acts under his name printed by the Bollandists (April ir), according to which he was burnt to death in a brazen bull in the reigu of Domitian. But the date at least is probably wrong, for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ rais $\dot{\eta} \mu$. 'A. throws the time of the martyrdom back some years before the writing of the Apocalypse ; cf. Lc. i. 5, Acts v. 37. Other martyrs connected with Pergamum in the first two centuries were Carpus, Papylus, and Agathonice, mentioned by Eusebius (H. E. iv. 15) ; Attalus, also, the 'pillar and ground' of the persecuted Viennese, was Hє $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{a} \mu \eta \nu$ òs
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observes（Hastings，D．B．iii． 75 f．），it is not certain that Antipas was a member of the Pergamene Church； he suffered at Pergamum，but may have been brought thither from one of the smaller towns．
＇Avtitas is indeclinable，if we accept the reading of the best mss．WH． however［but see Hort，Apoc．p．28］ are disposed to favourLachmann＇s con－ jecture that the fiual c arose from an accidental doubhing of the following o ， while Nestle（Text．Crit．p．33I）thinks that＇Avría was written＇Avrimas in order to conform it to $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\mu} \rho$ rvs．The anomaly，however it may have arisen， has misled the scribes，who lave sought to save the grammar by inserting ais or omitting ôs：see app．crit．For $\delta$

 ミтє申́qov той $\mu$ áptopós rov，Арос．
 дaprípay＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}$ ．It is tempting to translate $\mu$ ápous by＇wartyr＇in the last two passages，and even R．V． yields to the temptation in Apoc．l．c．， though it is content to call Stephen and Antipas＇witnesses．＇But it may be doubted whether the word had acquired a technical sense at the end of the first century ；Clem．Cor． 5 等 $\rho-$
 тómoy $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\xi} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ is not decisive．Even in the second half of the second century the title could be given to confessors at Lyons and Vienne， though it is significant that they dis－ claimed it as due only to the Lord （Apoc．i．5）and to those who had
died for Him．By that time the technical sense had nearly established itself（see Lightfoot＇s note on Clem． l．c．，and Benson＇s Cyprian，p． 90 f．）； but in the N．T．this stage has not been reached，though the course of events was leading up to it．The Lord gives Antipas His own title，ó $\mu a ́ p \tau v s$ i $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s($ i． 5, ，iii．I4），qualifying it by a double $\mu$ ov，＇my wituess，my faithful one＇；Antipas bore witness to Christ，was loyal to Christ evell unto death，as Christ to the Father


 Attic Greek a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \operatorname{\epsilon avev}$ would have been preferred，cf．Blass，Gr．pp．44， 55. Пар＇$\dot{\text { миiv．．．катоикеí recalls at the end }}$ of the sentence the solemu fact with which it began：the home of this Church was also the residence of Satan．
 Ephesus the attitude of the Church towards the Nicolaitans was matter for praise，but at Pergamum it invited censure ；contrast ${ }_{\chi}^{\prime} \chi \omega$ катà $\sigma o u$ with
 could resist Satan in the form of the Emperor－cult was not equally proof against an insidious heresy within its own ranks．
 Ba入дáд，ктд．］A party in the Church
 as Balaam had done ；cf．J．B．Mayor， St Jude，p．clxxvi．Balaam made it his aim to teach（eסi8aбкєע）Balak how to beguile Israel into the double sin of idolatry and fornication．The







reference is to Num. xxxi. I6, where the sin of Peor is traced to Balaam's suggestion (cf. Philo, vit. Moys. i. 54 , Jos. antt. ix. 6. 6, Origen in Num. hom. xx.). Modern O.T. scholars (e.g. Driver, Introd. p. 62 f., F. H. Woods in Hastings, D.B. i 233) point out that the story of Balaam blends two accounts, Num. xxii. I-xxv. 5 belonging to JE, while Num. xxy. 6 ff. is from $P$; in the former Balaam after blessing Israel returns to Pethor (Num. xxiv. 25), in the latter he is the author of Balak's later policy and eventually is slain by Israel in battle (Num. xxxi. 8, cf. Josh. xiii. 22). Josephus l.e. reconciles the two stories by supposing that Balaam on reaching the Euphrates sent for Balak and imparted his scheme; some such addition to the history was doubtless in the mind of the writer of the Apoc. There is an interesting parallel in the stratagem suggested by Achior in Judith v. 20, xi. I ff,

For the construction $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \delta \delta \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\hat{\omega}} \mathbf{B}$. reference has been made to Job xxi,
 with the dative is found in Plutarch and other later Greek writers (Hort).
 Ps, xlix. (l.) 20 , Judith v. r, Hos. iv. 17, Rom. xiv. 13. A orkávóa入oy (Att. $\sigma \times a v \delta a^{\lambda} \eta \eta \theta_{\rho o \nu}$ ) is any object that is apt to trip up one who is walking carelessly; see Hort on 1 Pet. ii. 8. The women of Moab were deliberately thrown in the way of unsuspecting Israel, in the hope of bringing about the downfall of the latter. The order фаүєì...каì торуєйбаи is the opposite of that in Num. xxv. Iff., which is
followed below, v. 20; but it doubtless answers to the experience of the Church at Pcrgamum, where the mixed company at pagan feasts was the occasion of the greater evil E $\delta \delta \omega \lambda \omega_{0}^{-}$ $\theta$ Otov, see 4 Macc. v. 2, Acts xv. 29, xxi. 25, I Cor. viii. I ff.; cf. iєpú $\theta_{\nu \tau 0 \nu}$ in 1 Cor. $x .28$.
 up the thread of $\boldsymbol{v}$. I4 (é $\chi \in t s$ ékeit
 compares the situation at Pergamnm with that of Israel exposed to the wiles of Balaam ; $\dot{\delta} \mu$ oicos at the end of the sentence emphasizes oütos, and keeps the parallel still in view. The general sense of $v v$. 14,15 would have been clearer if the Apocalyptist had





For the Nicolaitans see note on v. 6. As to their teaching, it is clear that they disregarded the restriction imposed upon the Gentile Churches by the Apostolic council held at Jerusalem in 49-50 (Acts xv. 29 àné-

 practical result that they encouraged a return to pagan laxity of morals (cf. v.6). Writing to Corinth some fifteen years after the council St Paul had occasion to argue with Christiaus who regarded the eating of $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda \dot{\partial} \theta_{v}$ a as a thing indifferent; and though he does not take his stand on the Jerusalem decree, he opposes the practice on the ground that it gave offence to weak brethren (i Cor. viii. 4,9 f.), and also because of the comexion
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which he regarded as existing between idol-worship and unclean spirits (I Cor.


 the 'table of unclean spirits' (ib. 2 I
 with participation in the Eucharist. In the face of these facts a perverse theory, originating with the T'übingen school, identifies the Nicolaitans with the followers of St Paul; cf. Renan, Saint Paul, p. 303 f. : "on s'habitue à désigner l'apôtre des gentils par le sobriquet de Nicolas...ses disciples du même coup furent appelés nicolaïtes"; and see van Manen's art. Nicolaitans in Enc. Bibl. 3410 f. It would be nearer to the truth to say that they were the spiritual desceudants of the libertines who perverted the Pauline doctrine and against whom St Paul strongly protests. In the next century these views were embraced by certain Gnostic teachers; see Justin, dial. 35



 Iren. i. 6. 3 каi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ cì $\delta \omega \lambda o ́ \theta \nu \tau a ~ a ́ \delta ı a-~$

 ซаркòs $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu a i ̂ s ~ к а т а к o ́ p \omega s ~ \delta o v \lambda \epsilon \mathfrak{o v t e s ~}$ ктл. The Nicolaitans of the next century were of this class, cf. Iren. iii. I. 3 "indiscrete vivuut"; Hippol.

 adv. Marc. i. 29 "aliqui Nicolaitae assertores libidinis atque luxuriae." According to Clement Alex. strom. iii. 4 they quoted a saying of their


 It is noteworthy that the party was strong at Ephesus and Pergamum ; they had established themselves at the two most important ceutres in Asia, the 'metropolis,' and the ancient and perhaps still official capital.
16. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o ́ \eta \sigma o \nu \quad$ oiv] There was occasion not only for vigilance, but for
 see v. 5). The Church was already compromised by undue tolerance of the Nicolaitans; she had not purged herself of complicity with them as the Cliurch at Ephesus had done (cou-


 v. 5 note; raxu' is now added, for the matter would brook no delay. Yet the Lord does not say $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$

 if the Church had tolerated the Nicolaitans, and some of her members had listened to their teaching, yet she lad not as a whole identified herself with the party; cf. Andreas: $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}$


 frequent in the Lxx ., is used in the N.T. only by the Apocalyptist (ii. 16, xii. 7, xiii. 4, xvii. 44), and the verb itself outside the Apoc. only in Jac. iv. 2. The glorified Christ is in this book a Warrior, who fights with the sharp sword of the word; cf. i. 16, xix. 13ff., and see Eph. vi. 17, Heb. iv. 12. The idea of a Divine Warrior, which appears first in the Song of
 equivocally rendered by the Lxx. Kí-
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pos $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho i \beta \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu$ оvs) is associated with the Logos in Sap xviii. 15 o


 Word is directed especially against those who "turn the grace of God into lasciviousness," as the Nicolaitans did. Possibly, as in v. 14 , there is an allusion to the story of Balaam (Num. xxii. 23 , xxxi. 8).
17. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \ell \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega$ av̀r $\hat{\varphi}$ тov́ $\mu a ́ \nu \nu a$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] On $\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \iota \kappa$. $\delta$. aùtộ see v. 7, note. To $\hat{v} \mu \dot{a} \nu \nu a$ is the partitive genitive, WM. p. 247 ; Blass, against the documentary evidence, discounts this solitary instance of the gen. after סıסóvas as "not authentic" (Gr. p. 100, note 3). Mávya (伃, Aram. Nỉp, Lxx. máv in Exod. xvi. 3I ff., páv̀a elsewhere) has passed from the Lxx. into the N.T. (Jo. vi. 31, 49, Heb. ix. 4) and Josephus (antt. iii. i. 6). Tô кexpva$\mu \epsilon$ уov refers no doubt to the golden pot "laid up before God" (Exod. xyi. 23), i.e. in the Ark (Heb. ix. 4); the Ark itself was believed to have been hidden by Jeremiah in a place where it would not be discovered until Israel was restored ( 2 Macc. ii. 5 ff . ; cf. the Rabbinical traditions in Abarbanel on I Sam. iv. 4 "haee arca futuro tempore adveniente Messia nostro manifestabitur"; Tanchuma, 83. 2 "Elias Israelitis restituit...urnam mannae"; other passages may be seen in Wetstein). The Apoc. of Baruch has the story in $c$. vi. 7 ff. and adds in xxix. 8 (ed. Charles): "at that self-same time [when the Messiah is revealed] the treasury of
manna will again descend from on high, and they will eat of it in those years"; cf. Orac. Sibyll. vii. 148 f


 interpretation of the promise, its fall meaning is hardly covered by St Paul's
 $\mu \dot{\omega} \nu \eta$ ( 1 Cor. ii. 7), or by Origen's "intellectus verbi Dei subtilis et dulcis" (hom. on Exod. ix. 4); rather
 understood the life-sustaining power of the Sacred Humanity now "hid with Christ in God" (Col. iii. 3), of which the faithful find a foretaste in the Eucharist but which can be fully known only to the conqueror (Jo. vi. 31 f., 54 ff.). Victorinus: " manna absconditum immortalitas est." Primasius, followed by Bede: "panis invisibilis qui de caelo descendit" Arethas points out the fitness of this reference to the heavenly food at the end of a message which condemns participation in heathen feasts: r $\boldsymbol{\omega}$



 $\Psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ is a rare word in Biblical Greek (Lxx. ${ }^{6}$, N. T. ${ }^{3}$ ), where it is used to denote (I) a piece of rock ( 7 S, Exod. iv. $25 ; \gamma \geqslant \prod_{\tau}, L a m$. iii. 16, cf. Sir. sviii. 10) ; (2) a counter or voting pebble, calculus (4 Regn. xii. 4 (5) A, EccL vii. 26, 4 Macc. xv. 26, Acts xxvi. 10). Here it is to be noted that the $\psi \bar{\eta} \phi o s$ is white, and that it bears a mystical
name which only the possessor can read. Few of the solutions hitherto proposed satisfy these conditions. The Rabbinical tradition that precious stones fell with the manna (Joma 8) may have suggested the collocation of the manua and the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$, but it carries us no further. Züllig's theory, adopted by Trench, that there is an allusion to the Urim (Exod. xxviii. 30), supposed to have been a diamond engraved with the Tetragrammaton, is too purely conjectural to be satisfactory, even if it were not open to other objections. If we turn to the Greek surroundings of the Asiatic Churches, which must not be excluded, as Trench maintains, from the field of Apocalyptic hermeneutics, there is a larger choice of interpretations. $\Psi \eta$ inos may refer to the ballot thrown into the voting urn (Ovid, mel. xv. 4 I "mos erat antiquis niveis atrisque lapilis, | his damnare reos, illis absolvere culpa") or to the counters used for calculation (cf. Apoc. xiii. i8 $\psi \eta \phi \iota \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$ тòv $\left.\dot{a} \rho \iota \theta_{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \nu\right)$; or the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ גeukí might be the symbol of a good time (Plin. ep. vi. 4. 3 "o diem notandum candidissimo calculo"), or of



 there may be a reference to the tickets which were sometimes distributed to the populace and entitled the holders to free entertainment or amusement (cf. Xiphilin. epit. 228 бфаipıa ү̀̀p



 тò émtyєүрадиéyoy), or to the tessera frumentaria or the $t$. hospitalis of Roman life (cf. Plaut Poen. v. r. 8). Each of these explanations, however,
leaves something to be desired; either the $\psi$ 万ोos is not inscribed or it is not necessarily white. Prof. Ramsay (Hastings, D.B. iii. 75I) supposes a coutrast with the parchment which took its name (charta Pergamena) from the city, and interprets: "the name is written not on white parchment such as Pergamum boasts of, but on an imperishable white tessera." "The white stone," he writes elsewhere (Letters, p. 302), "was, doubtless, a tessera." But the tessera does not suggest imperishableness. Possibly $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s \lambda_{\epsilon} \kappa \bar{\eta}$ may refer to the engraved stones which were employed for magical purposes and bore mystic names; see King, Engraved Gems, p. 97 ff : Gnostics and their remains, passim. Magic in all its forms entered largely into the life of the great cities of Asia; for its prevalence at Ephesus see Acts xix. 19. The Divine magic which inscribes on the human character and life the Name of God and of Clirist is placed in contrast with the poor imitations that enthralled pagan society.

It may be that the precise reference will be ascertained in the course of explorations which are still in progress in Asia Minor and in particular at Pergamum. Meanwhile the general sense is fairly clear. The white stone is the pledge of the Divine favour which carries with it such intimate knowledge of God and of Christ as only the possessor can comprehend:

 ноv tò кatróv, and on this knowledge as the gift of Clrist see Mt. xi. 27. The alternative is to regard the ${ }_{0} \nu_{0} \mu a$ кaıvó as the symbol of the new life and relations into which moral victory transports the conqueror, an interpretation supported by Isa lxii. 2





 кúplos óvopáaєє avitó，lxy． 15 toîs 8 è
 and suggesting a reference to the mysteries and the prevalent magical rites（Ramsay，Letters，p．306；see also his reference to a $\sigma v v^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu a$ re－ ceived by Aristides of Smyrna from Asklepios，ib．p． 312 ff．）．If this view be accepted－and it is perhaps the more probable－the victorious disciple is represented as resembling in his measure the victorious Master ；cf．xix．
 oi $\delta \epsilon \nu \in i \mu \eta$ avitós．The＇new name＇is one of a series of кacpá which belong to the Church（kaıvòs än $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ ，kavv̀）

 $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ）；cf． 2 Cor．v．17，Apoc．xxi． 5 ． Néos is used in this connexion only in Heb．xii． 24 ；it is not the recent origin of the Gospel－its $\nu \epsilon$ órخs，but its кauvótクs，its unfailing freshness， to which attention is called．The Christian＇name，＇i．e．the character or inner life which the Gospel inspires， possesses the property of eternal youth，never losing its power or its joy．

18－29．Messagh to the Avgel of the Church in Thyatira．

18．T仑̂ év Өvatcípors］Some 40 miles S．E．of Perganum lay Thyatira（rà Өvátetpa），a Lydian city on the bor－ ders of Mysia and sometimes claimed by the latter（Strabo， 625 BadiKourtr

 $\left.\phi a \sigma^{i}\right)$ ．It was founded by the Se － leucidae，but since b．d． 190 it had been in the hands of the Romans，and was included in the province of Asia． Though not the equal of Ephesus， Smyrna，or Pergamum（Pliny，H．N．
v． 33 ＂Thyatireni aliaeque inhonorne civitates＂），Thyatira was a thriving centre of trade（Ramsay，Letters， p． 324 ff ．）；the inscriptions shew that the city was remarkable even among Asiatic towns for the number of its guilds（Ramsay，Cities and Bishoprice， i．p．105），among which may be men－


 $\chi^{\text {anкотúлоь ；to the } \beta \text { aфeis }}$ there is a reference in Acts xvi． 14 үvvì obyó $\mu a t \iota$ Avoía（was she so called as coming from a Lydian town ？），$\pi о \rho \phi$ иоо́т $\omega \lambda$＜s $\pi$ подєшs Өvareipuv．There were temples of the Tyrimnaean Apollo（Ramsay， Letters，p． 319 f．）and Artemis in the city，and near it the shrine of Sambathe（ $\boldsymbol{r}$ 文 $\Sigma a \mu \beta a \theta \epsilon i o \nu$ ），an Oriental （Chaldean or Persian）Sibyl；but Thy－ atira had no temple dedicated to the Emperors．The Church in Th．was probably small，even relatively to the population ；according to Epiphanius （haer．li．33）the Alogi towards the end of the second century asserted that no Church was then to be found there．．Its dangers arose from within rather than from Jews or pagans． Epiphanius（l．c．）represents the place as having become at a later date a stronghold of Montanism．See further the Introduction，p．Ixiii．f．
 vios $\tau$ ．$\theta$ ．occurs here only in the Apoc．，but the title is implied in i． 6 ， ii．27，iii．5，2I，xiv．I ；on its import see Dr Sanday＇s art．Son of Goxd in Hastings＇D．B．iv． 570 ff．In this place it adds solemmity to the quasi－ human features which are recited from the vision of ch．i．For ${ }_{\delta}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi{ }_{\chi}^{\omega \nu}$
 the notes on $i .14 \mathrm{f}$ ．This mention of













the eyes that flash with righteous indignation and the feet that can stamp down the enemies of the truth perepares the reader for the severe tone of the utterance which follows.
 fuller and ampler tribute of praise than that awarded to the Church in
 тїтıу каi ті̀ $\nu$ daкoviay enumerates the motive forces of Christian activity and their most characteristic result. Love is characteristically placed first in a Johamine book, though faith is not overlooked (cf. ii. 13, xiii. 10, xiv. 12) ; the Pauline order is the reverse (I Th. iii. 6, v. 8; I Tim. i. 14, ii. 15, vi. 11 ; 2 Tim. i. 13 , ii. 22 ; Tit. ii. 2 ; the only exception is Philem. 5). The scribes, as the apparatus shews, have endeavoured to conform St John's order to St Paul's. What kind of 'service' is intended by siakovia may be gathered from Rom. xv. 25, 31 , 1 Cor. xvi. 15,2 Cor. viii. 4, ix. 1, Heb. vi. no. The acts of service had shewn no tendency to diminish, as at Ephesus (cf. vo. 4, 5) ; on the contrary they were still increasing in number, "the last more than the first." It is noteworthy that in these addresses praise is more liberally given, if it can be given with justice, when blame is to follow; more is said of the good deeds of the Ephesians and Thyatir-
ans than of those of the Smyrnaeans and Philadelphians, with whom no fault is found.

 menes, the Thyatiran Christians were harbouring an enemy of Christ, but their guilt seems to have been greater, since $\dot{a} \phi \in i s$ implies a tolerance of evil which is not suggested by ex $\chi$ eos (o. 14); and their attitude was certainly the very opposite of that of the Ephesians towards the Nicolaitans; cf. vo. 2, 6
 form ảфєis see WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 174, W. Schm. p. 123; it occurs already in

 Isabel), the Phoenician wife of Ahab ( 1 Kings xvi. 3I), who sought to force upon the northern kingdom the warship of Baal and Astarte and ( 2 Kings ix. 22) the immoralities and magical practices connected with it, doubtless represents some person or party at Thyatira in whose doings the writer saw a resemblance to those of Ahab's wife ; cf. his use of the name Balaam in v. it. But while 'Balaam' is identiffed by the context with the Vicolaitans, there is no such clue to the meaning of 'Jezebel.' There is much to be said for Schürer's suggestion (in Th. Abl. Weizsäcker gevidmet,
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1892), that the Thyatiran Jezebel is the Sibyl of the $\Sigma_{a \mu \beta a} \theta_{\epsilon i o \nu}$ (see $v$. I8, note). Her shrine was situated in the 'Chaldean' quarter (CIG 3509 т $\rho$ ò

 described as Chaldean, Hebrew, Egyptian, Persian, and Babylonian (Paus.




 kai Mepois). But it is difficult to believe that this person, even if of Semitic origin, could have gained admission to the Church under the guise of a Christian prophetess (i)
 bably her success as a $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu 0 \lambda$ ó ${ }^{2}$ os was emulated by some female member of the Church who claimed the gift of prophecy and exercised it in the interests of the Nicolaitan party ( vo . 14f.) ; cf. Tert. de pudic. is, "haereticam feminam quae quod didicerat a Nicolaitis docere susceperat." In the O.T. prophetesses are not infrequent; $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s$ occurs in Exod. xv. 20 (Miriam), Jud. iv. 4 (Deborah), 4 Regn. xxii. I4 (Huldah), Isa. viii. 3 (Isaiah's
 Moreover, notwithstanding St Paul's rule (i Cor. xiv. 34 ai yupaî́ss $\boldsymbol{\text { en }}$ raîs



were not unknown in the early Church; cf. Acts $x x i$. 9 , and the cases of Priscilla and Maximilla (Eus. H. E. v. 14) and Ammia (ib. 17). This Jezebel of the Thyatiran brotherhood was still teaching when the Apocalypse was written ( $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \epsilon c$ ), and making converts to her immoral creed; with travâ roìs ś $\mu$ ò̀s


 Порveiora is here perhaps significantly
 justifying the use of the name Jezebel;
 The well supported reading т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ yvvaîá oov (Vg. uxorem tuam) was perhaps suggested by 3 Regn. xix. 1, xx. (xxi.) $5,7,26$; the Angel of the Church is regarded as the weak Ahab who allows himself to be the tool of a new Jezebel. Grotius, who accepted this reading and believed the Angels of the Churches to be their Bishops, was driven to the strange but logieal conclusion that the false prophetess was the wife of the Bishop of the Church at Thyatira.



 кацро́y. On this use of iva cf. Jo.
 xvi. 32. The evil had been going on for some time (cf. $v .13$, note), not necessarily, however, at Thyatira, since the prophets were itinerant, though
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they might settle in a locality where the Church was willing to provide for them; see Didache in f. 'Jezebel,' who was prospering at Thyatira, had up to the present moment shewn no disposition to change her course (ov $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, cf. Mt. xxiii. 37 oủk $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon)$. Meradoєì éк is the usual construction in this book (cf. ii. 22, ix. 20 f., xvi. II) ; elsewhere we find $\mu є \tau a \nu o \epsilon i ้ \nu$ àmó Jer. viii. 6, Acts viii. 22.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The time for repentance having expired, judgement follows; $\beta$ aid $\lambda \omega$ is preferred to $\beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$, since the event is regarded as imminent (cf. $\boldsymbol{v}$. 5 , note). K $\lambda i u \eta$ may be either a bed (Mt. ix. 2, 6 , Mc. vii. 30 ), or the couch of a triclinium; or eren (Hort) the fumeral bier. Ramsay (Exp. 1901, p. 99 ff. and in Hastings, D. B. iv. 759), and J. H. Moulton (Exp. 1903, ii. p. 431) adopt the second meaning here, supposing the writer to refer to the guild-feasts. In this case there is a sharp coutrast between the luxurious couch where the sin was committed and the bed of pain (Ps.
 which the parallelism cis $\theta \lambda i \psi с \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a \dot{-}$ $\lambda \eta \nu$ obviously suggests; cf. Sap. xi.
 ко入а̧́єта. Báдд̀ does not imply violence, but merely the prostration of sickness, cf. Mt. l.c. тарадvтıко̀

 3. Motxé́ontes suggests a reference to the charges of unfaithfulness laid against Israel by the O.T. prophets (see Hosea ii. 2 (4), Ezek. xvi. 17 f., 32). Members of the Church who were led into pagan vices by the teaching of 'Jezebel' were guilty of spiritual adultey (cf. 2 Oor. xi. 2). 'Eàr $\mu$ गे
 leaves a dodr of hope open still for the dupes of the false prophetess; for the fut. after $\mathfrak{e} \mathfrak{a} \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$, see Blass, $\boldsymbol{G r}$. p. 215. Avirìs is doubtless right, for ториєia and poweia are Jezebel's works, not those of the members of Christ (Gal. r. 19, Eph. v. 3 ff.).
23. каі тà тék $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau \varphi]$ Her children, i.e. her spiritual progeny, as distinguished from those who have been misled for a time; the $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu а ~ \mu о ь \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$ каі по́р $\bar{\eta}$ s (Isa, lvii. 3), who inherit the parent's character and habits; contrast Gal. iv. ig $f$. The children of the Thyatiran Jezebel are doomed like those of Ahab ( 2 Kings x. 7). 'A év Aaváre is an O.T. phrase ; cf. Ez.
 Өáparos is probably 'pestilence,' as in vi. 8 f., where see note.
 Remote as Thyatira was from the greater cities of Asia, the news would spread through the province, and reach "all the churches." The phrase
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$\gamma^{\nu} \omega \sigma \sigma \frac{1}{}{ }^{\kappa}{ }^{\tau} \lambda$. is from the O.T. (cf. e.g. Exod. vii. 5 кal $\gamma p$. $\pi$ d́vetes ol
 same is true of $\dot{o}$ épavpầ veфpovis кai



 $\alpha$ útov́: ib. xi. 20, xx. 12). By $\nu \in \phi \rho o i$ (renes, 'reins,' i.e. the kidneys, nemb are denoted the movements of the will and affections, and by карвia the thoughts; see Delitzsch, Biblical Psychology, p. 317. Both are subject to the scrutiny of Him Whose eyes are as a flame of fire ( 0.18 ), the
 xxi. 17, Acts i. 24, xv. 8. 'Epavvạ̀v is said to be an Alexandrian form (Blass, Gr. p. 21 ; cf. Oxyrhynchus Papyri, i. 67. 18, ii. 294. 9f.) ; for its use in the N.T. see WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 157. 'O є́ $\rho a v \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ тàs к. occurs also in Rom. viii. 27, cf. I Cor. ii. IO; the Lxx. use érá̧єı or $\dot{e} \xi \in \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ in this connexion.
 the Angel, i.e. the Church collectively, but ijiv: 'to you, members of the Church, even to each individual.' Another Divine prerogative (Ps. lxi.

 was claimed by the Lord even in the days of His Flesh; see Mt. xvi. 27
 $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ r \grave{\eta ̀ \nu} \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} t \nu$ av̀тoṽ.

'The rest,' i.e. the members of the Church who had not been deceived by 'Jezebel,' not necessarily a minority; see I Thess. iv. I3, where oi $\lambda$. are the heathen world; Apoc. ix. 20, where they are two-thirds of the whole, and xix. 21 , where they are contrasted with oi orio. Tìv $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \eta \eta^{\nu}$ raúr $\eta$, i.e. the teaching of the prophetess, whether professedly Nicolaitan or not; cf. v. 20 with $v v .14$ f. The age was one in which $\delta \iota \delta a \chi^{a i}$ mot-
 'Doctrine' is an unfortunate rendering, suggestive of a logical system rather than a heterogeneous mass of wild speculations and loose views of life.
 бaravä] A definition of the faithful borrowed from the taunts of the Jezebelites; they were such as (ef. i. 7, note) "knew not the deep things," were lacking in the intuition which penetrated below the surface of things, and reached the deeper mysteries of the Nicolaitan creed: depths, the writer adds, not of God ( 1 Cor. ii. Io $\tau \grave{a} \beta \dot{A} A_{\eta}$ тou $\theta_{\epsilon} \in \hat{v}$ ) but of Satan (cf. ii. 9,13 ,
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \delta \delta a \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau a \dot{v} \tau \eta \nu:$ " the deep things,' as they speak" or "as they call them." The term, perhaps taken over from St Paul (see I Cor. l. c., Rom. xi 33, Eph. iii. 18) was used by more than one Gnostic sect in the second century ; cf. Iren. ii. 21. 2 "profunda Bythi adinvenisse se dicunt"; 22. 3 "pro-
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 $\mathrm{r}^{\circ} 716386998$
funda Dei adinvenisse se dicentes"; Hippol philos. v. 6 é $\pi \in \kappa \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma a v$ [oi Naaन
 adv. Valent. I "nihil magis curant quam occultare quod praedicant (si tamen praedicant qui occultant)...si bona fide quaeras, concreto vultu, suspenso supercilio, 'Altum est' aiunt." They professed to commiserate those who remained in ignorance of their secrets: Tert. de res. carn. ig "vae qui non dum in hac carne est cognoverit arcana haeretica."
 scarcely doubtful reference to the



 of the prohibitions imposed in the
 $\pi \nu \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \nu)$ are not reimposed. Contrast this wise concession with the exacting spirit of the Pharisees : Mt. xxiii. 4


 After ov $\beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \ldots \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o$ oápos the reader expects $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} y$ followed by the genitive (Gen. xxxix. 6, 9, Mc. xii. 32, Acts, l.c.); but ä入 $\lambda \lambda o$ is left standing by itself, and $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu$ begins a new sentence as a conj. ('howbeit'). Neither ồ $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon}$ nor кратท̆батє can well refer to burdens already being borne; rather they point back to v. 19 тà épya kai

 to be indicated by крагїбatє. " $\mathrm{H} \xi \omega$ may be either the future ind. or the conj. of the aor. $\eta^{\prime} \xi a$ (cf. W. Schm.
p. 109, n. 10); on the 'supposed fut. conj.' in the N.T. see WH. ${ }^{4}$ Notes, p. 179, W. Schm. p. 107.
 Primasius rightly: et qui vicerit et qui servaverit. He who conquers is he who keeps, but the art. is repeated to emphasize the two conditions of success. At Thyatira the battle was to be won by resolute adherence to the 'works of Clirist,' i.e. to the purity of the Christian life, as opposed to the

 Ev. ${ }^{18}$, Ep. I $^{7}$, Apoc. ${ }^{11}$ ) is usually followed by ròv 入óyoy or tàs évcoגás: tà Ëpra presents the same thought in a
 tov ( $\theta_{\text {foí }}$ ). 'Works' are in these addresses to the Churches constantly used as the test of character; cf. ii. 2, 5 f., 19, 22 f., iii. I f., 8, 15 . *Ax ${ }^{\circ}$ têhous correspouds with äx api ỡ â $\nabla^{\nabla} \xi \omega, v .25$; cf. Mc. xiii. 7 , note.
 struction reverts to that of vv. 7, 17, as if the sentence had begun $\tau \bar{\psi}$
 similar anacoluthon in iii. 12, 21. The promise is based on Ps. ii. $8 \mathrm{f} . \delta \omega \sigma \omega$

 кєраде́шs $\sigma v y \tau р i \nmid e t s$ aútov's, where the lxx. read תרקרעִ while M. T. has inço (Symm. ouv-
 5, xix. I5. Houmave h, Prim. pascet, Vulg. reget, 'will do the part of
 feeding ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \kappa \in \nu$, Jo. xxi. 15 ff .) or of ruling ("pastoraliter reges," as Hilary on Ps. ii. 9 well expresses






<br> $\mathrm{min}^{\text {feroso }} \mathrm{vg}$ (me) syr (arm) aeth Prim Ar

it). Here the second point is emphassized by $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ (instrumental) $\dot{\rho} \dot{\beta} \beta \dot{\beta} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ asס $\eta \rho a \mathfrak{a}$. The "rod of iron" (
 developed on the one hand into the sceptre (Gen. xlix. Io), and on the other into the formidable weapon" (Cheyne, Psalms, p. 6; cf. Hastings, D. B. iv. p. 291); in the latter case it would be capped with iron, and capable of inflicting severe punishment. Such is its character in the Psalm, l. c.; the Gentile nations are to be shattered like pottery by the Divine Shepherd of Israel Tà $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \eta \tau$ rà кє $\rho a \mu \kappa \kappa \dot{\alpha}$, i.e. тои̂ кєрац'́шs, cf. Vg. vas figuli; for

 carries on the reference to Ps. ii. (cf. 0.7 Kúplos einєy $\pi \rho o ̀ s \mu^{\prime}$ र́tós
 The Only Begotten Son imparts to His brethren, in so far as their sonship has been confirmed by victory, His own power over the nations; cf. Mt. xxv. 21, 28, I Cor.vi. 2, Apoc. xx. 4, xxi. 5. On the contrast between this promise and the outward conditions of life at Thyatira see Ramsay, Letters, p. 332, ii. 40 f. Historically the promise fulfils itself in the Church's influence upon the world; no other voluntary society can be compared with her as a factor in the shaping of national character and life, and the individual disciple, in proportion as he is loyal, bears his share in the subjugation of the world to Christ; cf. Rom. xv. 18 кatelpyágato Xpıotòs $\delta i$
 fulfilment of this promise, as of the rest of the series, awaits the Parousia;

 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \quad \phi \omega \nu \eta \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a l$ aút $\hat{\varphi}$ rò̀s $\delta o v i \lambda o u s . .$.
 $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a$ ( $\pi \epsilon \ell \nu \epsilon \epsilon$ ) $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$. The new order must be preceded by the breaking up of the old ( $\sigma v y \tau \rho i \beta e t a l$ ), but the purpose of the Potter is to reconstruct; out of the fragments of the old life there will rise under the Hand of Christ and of the Church new and better types of social and national organization.
 rocitoo 2 ] The conqueror is not only to share Christ's activities; he is to possess Christ. The ancient expositors offer a choice of interpretations; the morring star is "the first resurrection" (Victorinus), or it is the fallen Lucifer put under the feet of the saints (Andreas, citing Isa.


 it is Christ Himself (Beatus: "id est, Dominum Jesum Christum quem numquam suscepit vesper, sed lux sempiterna est, et ipse super in luce est"; and Bede: "Christus est stella matutina qui nocte saeculi transacta lucem vitae sanctis promittit et pandet aeternam"). The last explanation is surely right, on the evidence of the Apocalypse itself; see xxii. 16 ' $\gamma \omega$
 the Churches are $\lambda u \chi_{\text {viat }}$ and their





angels à $\sigma$ épes, the Head of the Church
 brightest of stars, whose advent ushers in the day; cf. 2 Pet. i. 19 éws of

 mise points to the Parousia, and yet does not exclude the foretastes which are given to the faithful in the growing illumination of the mind and the occasional flashings upon it of the yet distant light of "the perfect day" (Prov. iv. 18).
III. i-6. The Address to the Angel of the Church in Sardis.
I. Tijs év इáp $\delta \epsilon \sigma \omega \overline{\text { I }}$ A little over 30 miles S.E.S. of Thyatira the messenger would reach Sardis (£ápótes, Eápdécs, इápós, Sardis), now Sart, the old capital of Lydia, lying at the foot of Mount Tmolus. Under Roman rule it recovered some of its aucient importance, becoming head of the local conventus (Ramsay, Hist. Geogr. p. 120); aud though in A.D. I7 it suffered severely from an earthquake, through the liberality of Tiberius (Tac. ann. ii. 47) Sardis rose rapidly from its ruins, so that $S$ trabo ( 625 ) is able to characterize it as mó $\lambda \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\dot{d}} \lambda \eta$. Like Thyatira, it was famous for its woollen mauufactures and dyeing industry (cf. Smith, D. B. p. I140), and, the ancient system of roads of which it was a meeting-point secured for it the trade of central Asia (cf. Ramsay, Hist. Geagr. p. 42 ff., Encycl. Bibl. 4286). The chief cult of Sardis was that of Cybele, two columns of whose temple are still visible (Murray, Turkey in Asia, p. 305). The inhabitants bore a bad name in antiquity for luxury and loose living, as indeed did the lydians geuerally (Herod, i. 59,

Aesch. Pers. 41). The Church of Sardis lingered to the fourteenth century, but did not play a distinguished part in Christian history; among its early Bishops, however, appears the name of Melito (f. 165-195: Eus. $H$. E. iv. 13, 26; v. 24), the earliest interpreter of the Apocalypse. See the Introduction, p. lxiv.

 ${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega \nu$ is preferred to $\kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ because
 are the churches in the hand of Christ, but the spirits also belong to Him ; it is His to guide or withhold the powers of the $\pi \nu \in \hat{\varepsilon} \mu a \zeta$ §oomotóv, on which the life of the Churches depends. The Ascended Christ 'has' the spirits of God in virtue of His exaltation,




 ${ }_{\kappa r} \lambda$. A further view of the relation of the seven Spirits to the glorified Christ is given in c. v. 6 , where see notes.
 б. т. द̈. see ii. 2 note. Here the words introduce almost unqualified censure : the Church at Sardis presented to the eye of Christ the paradox of death under the iname of life. For the constr.

 for the general sense 2 Tim . iii 5

 Mt. viii. 22 ä $\phi$ es tov̀s $\nu \in \kappa$ рov̀s Oáqa тoùs éavtề $\nu \in \kappa$ коớs, Lc. xv. 24 vưtos

 oi $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { expoi...ऽウ̈rovary, Rom. vi. } 13\end{aligned}$




 min $^{\text {freroomn Andr Ar }}$
 retaining the Christian name, had relapsed into the state of spiritual death from which Christ had raised her (Eph. ii. I, 5 ; Col. ii. I3). Victorinus: "non satis est Christianum dici et Christum coufiteri, ipsum vero in opere non habere."
 After vekpòs ei we expect the call
 amid the general reign of spiritual death Christ detected vestiges of life, though they were on the point of be-
 $\theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} y$ ). There was therefore still room for a final appeal. For $\gamma^{\prime} \nu v u \gamma \rho$. (Syr. ${ }^{\text {WW }}$ <ice must be made to restore vigilance, and to maintain it when restored ; on
 word is frequently on the lips of Christ in the Synoptic narrative of the last days of His intercourse with the Twelve. It has been pointed out that it is specially suitable in an address to the Church at Sardis; twice during the history of that city the acropolis had fallen into the hands of an euemy through want of vigilance on the part of its citizens (yiz. in b.c. 549, 218 ; see Hastings, D. B. iv. 49 ; Ramsay, Letters, p. 376 ff.); and a similar disaster now threatened the Church of Sardis from a similar cause. But more than vigilance was needed; the Church must set herself to work for the establishment of any faith, love, or works of piety that were left: cf. Ez. xxxiv. 4, 16 тò $\eta^{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \kappa \grave{s}$ oủk

 גounov́s (v. 4), but more generally, whatever remained at Sardis out of the wreck of Christian life, whether persons or institutions: all must be preserved and set on a firmer basisa principle of reconstruction worthy of the notice of Christian teachers who are called to deal with corrupt or decaying branches of the Church. $\Sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, like $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta a \iota a \hat{\nu} \nu$ and $\theta \epsilon \mu \in \lambda \iota o u ̃ \nu$, is a technical word in primitive pastoralia; cf. Acts xviii. 23, Rom. i. 1 r , xvi. 25, ITh. iii. 2, 13, 2 Th. ii. 17, iii. 3, Jac. v. 8; 1 Pet. v. 10, 2 Pet. i 12. This frequent reference to the need of $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \leqslant \gamma \mu$ ós in Christian communities planted in the heart of a heathen population will readily explain itself to those who are familiar with the history of Missions.

On the form oryporay see WH. ${ }^{2}$ Notes, p. 177 ; W. Schm. p. 105, Blass,
 the imperfect looks back from the standpoint of the reader to the time when the vision was seen, and at the same time with a delicate optimism - it expresses the conviction of the writer that the worst would soon be past; for another explanation see Burton §28. The plural is used because the things that remain are regarded as living realities; on the augment see W. Schm. p. 99, and on the aor. inf. after $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, Blass, $G r$. p. 197.
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wanting to this Church, but they lacked the $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu a$ which makes humau actions acceptable in the sight of God; in some unexplained way they were 'deficient.' Of. the use of
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu=1$, and the Johannine
 (Jo. xvi. 24, I Jo. i. 4, 2 Jo. 12):
 preted by $\nu \in k \rho o ̀ s \in i$ above; 'works' are 'fulfilled' only when they are animated



 cupiokc : the perf. implies that at Sardis the search was not yet ended. इov $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a_{\text {, }}$ 'works of thine,' i.e. 'any of thy works'; a more sweeping censure than $\sigma . \tau \dot{\text { c }}$ E., 'thy works as a whole.'
 Eph. i. ${ }_{7}$, Heb. i. 9, and the phrase $\delta$
 (Rom. xy. 6, etc.; see Hort on I Pet. i. 3). The Son of God (ii. 18) does not forget that He is also Son of Man, and as such stands in a creaturely relation to God. Fet this relation is in some sense unique, as $\mu \nu v$ shews (not in $\mu \nu$ );

 Oũv resumes and coordinates, as often in the Fourth Gospel (Blass, Gr. p. 272 f.) and in the Apoc. (i. 19, ii. 5,16 , iii. 19). In order to stimulate the Church in her work of selfrecovery, her thoughts are sent back to the first days; cf. the appeal to the Church at Ephesus, ii. $5 \mu \nu$. oiv
 the faith as a trust; cf. Mtu xxv. 20 ff.
 ó тò év rá̀ ${ }^{2}$ avtov ein $\eta \phi \omega^{\prime} s$ : I Cor. iv. 7
 confesses (ii. 28), Etin $\eta \phi a$ rapà то̂̀
 aor. looks back to the moment when faith came by hearing (Rom. x. 17, cf. I Th. i. 5 f., ii. I3); the perf. calls attention to the abiding responsibility of the trust then received. Típet кal $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu$ ó $\sigma o \nu:$ 'keep that which thou hast received, and promptly turn from thy past neglect.'
 again resumptive, looking back to 0. 2 रivov $\gamma \rho \eta$ रo $\bar{\omega} \nu$, to which the succeeding imperatives ( $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma o \nu, \mu \nu \eta$ -
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \boldsymbol{\prime}$, not speedily only ( $\tau a x \chi^{\prime}$, ii. 16), but stealthily, at an unexpected moment For the figure cf. Mt. xxiv. 43, Le. xii. 39, I Th. v. 2, 2 Pet iii. 1o,
 preferred to the less ignoble $\lambda$ noovńs, because the point of comparison is the stealthiness of the thief's approach. In His relation to the faithful the Lord is the opposite of both (Jo. x. 1, 7).
 see Blass, Gr. p. 209 f.; $\gamma^{\text {yórg ( }}$ ( Q ) is a grammatical correction. The whole sentence is another echo of the Synoptic tradition; ef. Mc. xiii. 35


 ápay (Prim., Vulg. qua hora), strictly 'during what hour'; but the acc. is
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used occasionally even in classical Greek in answer to móre; (see Blass, Gr. p. 94).
 इápঠє $\sigma \nu \nu \kappa \boldsymbol{k} \lambda$.] Beatus: "notandum est quod Dominus ait: Multi sunt vocati, sed pauci electi; et pusillus est grex cui compromittit dare hereditatem." Bede: "proprias enim oves rocat nominatim." For óvó$\mu a \tau a=$ 'persons,' see Acts i. 15 бै $\chi \lambda a s$
 ávó $\mu a \tau a$ à $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$. Deissmann (Bible Studies, p. 196 f.) shews that ékagтoy ${ }^{\circ} y_{0} \mu a$ was freely used in papyri of the second century A.D. in the sense of 'each individual.' oit is a needless correction; the sense is clear from

 days of general defilement they remained pure. Modívecy differs from $\mu$ uiveı as inquinare from maculare (Trench) ; in the Lxx., while $\mu a i v e \iota \nu$ usually represents legal defilement
 actual pollution, as with blood (Gen. xxxvii. 3I, Isa. lix. 3, Thren iv. 14) or with pitch (Sir. xiii 1). Here the reference is doubtless to heathen impurities into which the Sardians had plunged, spiritual deadness having issued in indifference to moral evil. For the metaphor $\mu$. rà í $\mu d r ı a$ see Jude
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \iota \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu \chi^{\iota \tau} \hat{\nu} \nu$, Apoc. vii. 14, xxii. 14; the ipátıa of the Christian life are the profession made in Baptism (Gal. iii. 27) which at Sardis had been besmirched by too many in the mire of the streets. The few who had kept them clean and white (cf. Tob. iii.
 tîs cì $\chi \mu a \lambda \omega \sigma$ ias $\mu \nu v$ ) should be suitably

 $\mu a \lambda a k o i s$, and see $v r .5,18$, iv. 4 ; Latt. in albis). For the general sense of the promise see note on the next verse. In $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ there may be a reference to the story of Enoch

 Aq. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \grave{v} \nu ~ r . ~ \theta.), ~ b u t ~ m o r e ~$ probably the writer has in view the peripatetic ministry in Galilee (Jo. vi.
 Cf. vii. 17, xiv. I, 4
${ }^{*} \mathrm{~A} \xi$ toí $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{l} \sigma \iota \nu:$ contrast c. xvi. 6. 'A $\xi^{\prime}$ órys in the good sense is elsewhere in this book attributed only to God and Christ (e.g. iv. in, v. 9): but a relative 'worthiness' is predicated. of the saints in Lc. $x \mathbf{x} .35$, Eph. iv. I, Phil. i. 27, Col. i. 10, 1 Th. ii. 12, 2 Th. i. 5 .
 The promise of $v .4$ is repeated in general terms, corresponding with those of the promises appended to the other messages to the Churches. 'The conqueror, whoever he may be, shall be clad after the manner aforesaid (for this use of ouftcos cf. xi. 5 ,
 éxa $\begin{gathered}\text { ég } \\ \text { evo ovitcos, is not apposite, nor }\end{gathered}$ is there any need to read ov̀zos for $o v i \tau \omega \varsigma)$, i.e. clad in white garments.' On the Roman use of the white toga see Ramsay, Exp., 1904, ii. 164 In Scripture white apparel denotes (a)
 є̈ $\sigma \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ iцátıá $\sigma o v \lambda \epsilon v \kappa a ́$ i.e. 'be always gay'), (b) victory ( 2 Macc. xi. 8 द́ dávn $^{\prime}$








 ढ́ $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \iota \tau \lambda$.), (c) purity (Apoc. vii. 9 ff .); (d) the heavenly state, Dan. vii. 9 Th.
 Apoc. iv. 4, vi. Ix, xix. 11, 14). All these associations meet here: the promise is that of a life free from pollution, bright with celestial gladness, crowned with final victory. The glory of the risen body may enter into the conception ; see Mt. xiii. 43, I Cor. xv. 43, 49, 54, 2 Cor. v. 2, Phil. iii. 2I, Enoch lxii. 15 f., xe. 32.

Перц aid $^{\lambda} \lambda_{\epsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ o c c u r s ~ a g a i n ~ w i t h ~ a ~}^{\text {a }}$ dat. but without $\epsilon v$ in c. iv. 4; for the construction $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta$. ti see vii. 9, I 3, x. 1 , xi. 3, xii. I, xvii. 4, xviii. 16, xix. 8, 13 .
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] A Divine register of men is mentioned first in Ex. xxxii. 32 f.

 only the names of living citizens, so this Book of God is a $\beta i \beta \lambda o s$ ك' $\omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (Ps. lxvii. (lxix.) 29), the 'living' being in this case the righteous(Mal. iii. I6, Dan. xii. 1). The conception established itself in Jewish thought (I Sam. xxv. 29 , Ps. lvii. 29 , exxxviii. 16, Neh. xii. 22 f., Isar, lvii. 19, Jer. xxii. 30, Ez. xiii. 9, Enoch xlvii. 3 (where see Charles' note), Pirqe Aboth 2, Tang. on Ez. l. c.), and appears in the N.T. (Lc. x.
 ov̉pavaîs, Phil iv. 3 ฝึ้ qà óvó $\mu a \tau a$ èv $\beta \dot{\beta} \beta \lambda \varphi, \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s, A p o c . \times 1 i i .8, \times x .15, x x i$. 27). The blotting out of names from the Book of Life is frequently referred to; beside the passages cited above
see Deut. ix. 14, xxv. 19, xxix. 20. Ovid éganciqw implies that the book is in the hands of Christ; cf. xiii. 8, xxi.


This promise is singularly appropriate at the end of the present message. The 'few names' in Sardis which are distinguished by resisting the prevailing torpor of spiritual death find their reward in finally retaining their place among the living in the City of God.

каі̀ on $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ тò on on $\nu \mu a$ av̀тov̂ кт入.] A further grant to the conqueror. Not only shall his name be found in the register of the living; it shall be acknowledged before God and His Angels. Another reminiscence of the sayings of the Ministry (Mt. x. 32, Lc. xii. 8); $\delta \nu \kappa \omega \nu \nu$ here answers to órots
 The reverse of the picture, $\dot{o} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \nu \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha}-$
 withheld; even in the message to Sardis the last note is one of unmixed encouragement and hope. 'E $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\pi} \pi \iota \nu$


7-13. The Message to the Angel of the i Church in PellaDELPHIC.
 of a little less than 30 miles from Sat the railway from Smyrna reaches Ala Shehr, 'the white city,' the modern representative of Philadelphia The ancient city, founded by Attalus II. (Philadelphus) who died in B.c. 138 , commanded the trade of the rich volcanic region lying to the $N$. and

##  







N.E. and known as the Katakekaumene (Burntland), from the cinders and scorias with which the ground was strewn. Philadelphia itself was subject to frequent shocks of earthquake (Strabo, 628 тó入ıs $\Phi_{\iota} \lambda a \delta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \phi_{\epsilon} \epsilon a \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \eta s)$; like Sardis it was rebuilt by Tiberius after the great earthquake of A.D. 17 (Tac. ann. ii. 47), and subsequently it bore on coins for a time the name of Neocaesarea, but the old name reasserted itself or perhaps never went out of common use. The city was not a large one, the fear of earthquakes driving most of the inhabitants into the surrounding country (Strabo, l. c.), and the Church was probably proportionately small, at least within the walls. As was natural in a vine-growing district, the worship of Dionysos was the chief pagan cult; but the difficulties of this Church arose from Jewish rather than pagan antagonists, and the message contains no reference to direct persecution from without or heresy within the brotherhood. It offers a strong contrast to the Sardian utterance which precedes it; for the Church at Philadelphia the Lord has no censure and scarcely a word of waming. It is interesting to note that in later times, "long after all the country round had passed finally under Turkish power, Philadelphia held up the banner of Christendom" (Ramsay, Letters, p. 400). The modern city has its resident Bishop, five churches, and about 1000 Christian inhabitants.


Holy, the True,' Vg. sanctus et verus;
 True Saint.' Cf vi. to $\dot{\delta}$ סeonórps ó
 title (Hab. iii. 3, Isa. xl. 25), is applied to Christ with the qualifying words тои̂ $\theta$ cô̂ or $\begin{aligned} \text { aîs } & \text { r. } \theta \text {. in Mc. i. } 24, ~\end{aligned}$ Jo. vi. 69, Acts iv. 27, 30 , and here absolutely. 'O ả̉ $\eta$ trós is used of Him

 'A $\lambda \eta \theta$ ovós is verus as distinguished from verax (ảخท $\begin{aligned}\text { n's }) ; ~ c f . ~ O r i g . ~ i n ~ J o a n n . ~\end{aligned}$
 тútov каì єikóvos, i.e. the ideal, contrasted with all imperfect representations or approximations; see Jo. iv. 37, vii. 28, viii. 16, and see Lightfoot on I Th. i. 9 , Westcott on Heb. x. 22, and Trench, syn. 8. The Head of the Church is characterised at once by absolute sanctity (Heb. vii. 26 тоюoûtos

 $\lambda \omega \bar{\omega})$, and by absolute truth; He is all that He claims to be, fulklling the ideals which He holds forth and the hopes which He inspires.
 xxii. 22, where it is said of Hezekiah's faithful vizier ( 2 K . xviii. 18ff), Eliakim the son of Hilkiah: $\delta \omega \sigma \omega$ rìy $k \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \delta a$


 QT, with M.T.). Eliakim, with his key of office (Andreas, $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta \circ \lambda o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon_{\xi} \xi-$ ovaias) slung over his shoulder, is the antitype of the exalted Christ, set over the House of God (Eph. i. 22, Heb. iii. 6), and exercising all authority in




  

heaven and on earth(Mt. xxviii.18), and even in Hades (Apoc. i. 18 , cf. Rom. xiv.

 $\Delta$; the reference to David recalls the long series of prophetic hopes now fulfilled in the exaltation of the Christ. Compare Mt. xvi. 19 §́ávo voc tàs
 The grant to the Church in the person of St Peter is less comprehensive, for the keys of the Kingdom unlock but one of the great areas of the House of God; moreover it is significant that the Lord does not say to him of

 $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma y s$, changing the metaphor; the supreme power of shutting and opening is kept in His own hands (cf. Mt. xxv. Iof., and comp. the Te Deum : "tu devicto mortis aculeo aperuisti credentibus regna caelorum "). The ancient interpreters blend the present passage with c. r. 5 ff ., and thus unduly limit the meaning of this power: cf. Hippolytus (Lag. 159) : $\tau \dot{a}$
 ұápıtos tô̂ кupiou távta toîs à yiols




 $=\kappa \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \delta a$ see i . I8 note; the o.l. ą ${ }^{\text {alov }}$ for $\Delta a v e i \delta$ is from the same passage.
8. oỉáá $\sigma o v$ тà $\left.{ }^{\text {t }} \rho \gamma a\right]$ No description follows as in ii. 2, 19, iii. I. The Lord's oida is here one of unqualified appror-
 needing no specification, since there are no deductions to be made. This tacit witness is the more remarkable
in view of His claim to be $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{a}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{z}$ os,

 $k \tau \lambda$.] The 'key of David' has already unlocked a door, which nowstands open before the Church. Cf. Isan xlv. if.
 ои̉ $\sigma v \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau a L . . . \theta \dot{v} \rho a s \quad \chi a \lambda \kappa a ̂ s$
 клáco. The metaphor of the 'open door' was familiar to the Apostolic age : cf. Acts xiv. 27 (the door of faith), I Cor. xvi. 9, 2 Cor. ii. 12 , Col. iv. 3 (the door of speech and preaching); see Lightfoot on Col. l.c. The latter is here probably in view (Arethas: ij
 the faithfulness of the Philadelphian Church found its reward in fresh opportunities of service, on the principle of the Lord's familiar saying
 of Philadelphia on the borders of Mysia, Lydia and Phrygia, and "on the threshold of the eastern country" (Ramsay, in Hastings iii. p. 83i; Letters, p. 404 ff .), gave this Church peculiar opportunities for spreading the Gospel. If she had already availed herself of these, the 'open door' would readily explain itself; her opportumities were to be regarded as Christ's gift (§є́فюкa) and she was assured of its continuance (ovideis Súvara؛ к入єíval aưvท́v).
 thread broken by the parenthetic
 know thy works. .that thou hast" etc.; cf. oi8a...ört in ii. 2, iii. I, 15. The Church had little influence in Philadelphia; her members were probably drawn from the servile and com-








mercial classes ; cf. I Cor. i. 26 ov ròndoi $\delta$ vvaroi. And under these circumstances (for the slightly adversative force of cai see WM. p. 545, Blass, Gr. p. 26I), the word of Christ had been kept (cf. ii. 26, iii. 3), and there had been no backwardness in confessing His name (for oủk à àvío $\theta a c$ see
 to some period of trial, now for the moment gone by; its character may be conjectured from the next verse.



 The opposition implied in érर́pŋбas каì oủk ท̉ $\rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ came at Philadelphia, as at Smyrna, from the Jews; cf. ii. 9
 'Iovoaious civau éautoús. kaì oúk eioiv,
 tion repeated here with the addition of $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \psi \epsilon \dot{v} \delta o v \tau a t$, which contrasts the Philadelphian Jews with ó d̀ $\lambda \theta_{t}$ vós
 claim is a sin against truth. The construction is broken by the explanatory clauses т $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ónt $\omega \nu$ кr $\lambda$., but starts afresh with i8où novi$\sigma \omega$ aútov́s. For seóóvas and moceiv in this sense see Blass, Gr. p. 226, and for the form $\delta \delta \delta \hat{\omega}$, WH. Notes, p. 174.
"Iva $\eta$ п̈ is a phrase borrowed from Isaiah (xlv. 14, xlix. 23, lx. 14, cf. Zech. viii. 20 ff .) ; the prophet's anticipations of the submission of the Gentile nations to Israel will find a fulfilment in the submission of members of the syna-
gogue (on ék t. $\sigma$. see ii. 9, note) to the Ohurch, the Israel of God. Пробкv-
 cringing attitude of a beaten foe, familiar to us through the Assyrian sculptures; in what sense the picture was realized in the conversion of Jews and pagans may be gathered from 1 Oor. xiv. 24, where an ä $\pi$ uctos entering a Christian assembly é $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \in \tau a \iota$


 It is noteworthy that twenty years later the Philadelphian Church was more in danger from Judaizing Christians than from Jews (Ign.



 $\beta \dot{v}$ стоv iov $\delta a i ̈ \sigma \mu o ́ y)$. Was this the result of a large influx of converts from Judaism in the previous generation?

For other instances of the fut. ind. after iva in the Apoc. see vi. $4, \mathrm{II}_{\text {, }}$ viii. 3, ix. 4 f., xiii. 12, xiv. 13 , xxii. 14 ; and cf. Blass, $G r$. p. 21 If .
 change to the aor. conj. perhaps indicates that the purpose of the whole action now comes into view. Both the phrase $\ddot{\imath \nu a} . . . \gamma \nu \bar{\omega} \sigma \Delta \nu$ and the words
 the former see Isa. xxxvii. 20, xlv. 3 , et passim; for the latter Isa. xliii. 4 The aor. (contrast i. 5 r $\hat{\oplus} \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \omega \nu \tau \iota$ ) carries the love of Christ for the Church back into an indefinite past;





 wpas me|

cf. Jo. xiii. r, 34, I Jo. iv. Io, where see Westcott's note.
 $\mu o v \hat{s} \mu o v$ ] Not 'my word of patience,' i.e. my commandment to exercise patience, but 'the word of my patience,' i.e. the teaching which found its central point in the patience of Christ; cf. 2 Th. iii. 5 т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ vinouovì $\nu$ то̂ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{v}, ~ H e b . ~ x i i . ~ I ~ f . ~ \delta i ' ~ ข ่ т о \mu о \nu \eta ̂ s ~$ т $\rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \mu є \nu . . . a ̉ \phi о р \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ els...'I $\eta \sigma о$ и̂ע...ás


 (Apoc. xiii. IO, xiv. I2) is the echo of the $\lambda$ óyos $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ रं
 of the Kingdom of God (as Trench observes) oue tippors is followed by another; Christ on His part (the kai of reciprocal action, as in Mt. x. 32
 Himself to keep those who have kept His word. Cf. Jo. xvii. 6, if тòv
 proov aùzou's. The promise, as Bede says, is "non quidem ut non tenteris, sed ut non vinearis [ab] adversis." 'Ek
 $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a c$ : 'from that season (cf. Sir.

 of trial which is coming upon the whole habitable earth'; i.e. the troublous times which precede the Parousia. In the foreshortened view of the future which was taken by the Apostolic age this final sifting of mankind was near at hand, not being as yet clearly differentiated from the im-
perial persecution which had already





 xpiorov кivqoav $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \in \epsilon$. To the Philadelphian Church the promise was an assurance of safekeeping in any trial that might supervene-an appropriate promise, see Ramsay, Letters, p. 408 ff . It is at least an interesting coincidence that in the struggle with the Turk Philadelphia held out longer than any of her neighbours, and that she still possesses a flourishing Christian community; see note on $\boldsymbol{v}$. I.

 in vi. 10, viii 13 , xi Io, xiii. 8, 14 , xvii. 8, and always, as it seems, means either the pagan world or the world in contrast with the heavenly state. Cf. Enoch xxxvii. 5, with Charles' note.
 paopoós will be followed by the Parousia, and the Parousia is near (cf. ii. I6, xxii. $7,12,20$ ). The shortness of the interval is urged as a motive for persevering: the Advent is the limit of the Church's $\dot{\text { in o }}$ о $\nu \eta$.

крáтєt ó © ё $\chi \in t s$ кт $\lambda$.] The promise of safekeeping (v. 10) brings with it the responsibility of continual effort (крátel). Each Church has its own inheritance (ó $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \chi \text { cts), which it is called }\end{gathered}$ to guard on pain of losing its proper








#### Abstract

  


 which may be taken from it and given to another; cf. Mt. xxv. 28 äpare ov̀ $\nu$


 the picture is not that of a thief snatching away what is feebly held, but rather of a competitor receiving a prize which has been forfeited. The vacant room left by the lapse of a Church may be filled by the rise of another ; cf. Rom. xi. 17 f.
 The discourse turns, as at the end of each address, to the individual members of the Church. 'O עıкиิข...av́тó̀, cf. ii. 26, iii. 2I; the anacoluthon may in this case be "very awkward" from the grammarian's point of view (Blass, Gr. p. 283), but it adds to the movement of the sentence; it is only
 $\sigma \tau u ́ \lambda o v$ in order to see what we have gained by the boldness of the Apocalyptist. In $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \lambda o \nu$ év $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ pậ̀ a reference has been found to the brazen pillars 'Jachin' and 'Boaz' which stood before the sanctuary in Solomon's temple ( 1 K. vii. 15, 21, 2 Chr. iii. 15 ff.); or to the porticoes of the Temple of Herod, or even to the magnificent colonnades which surrounded the Artemision at Ephesus. All these, however, are excluded by $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu a \hat{\varphi}$, for they were external to the sanctuary. It is better therefore to start with the metaphorical use of the word in Scripture and in Jewish and early Christian literature. In Prov. ix. 1 we read: $\dot{\eta}$ бофía olкоঠ́ó $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ є́avт $\hat{\eta}$


Jud. xvi. 29 toùs Sío ríavas tov̂ oîkou
 the word is used as a pure metaphor,


 otúגot elyat; cf. Clem. R. Cor. 5 oi
 personal use is common in Rabbinical writers, by whom a great Rabbi is
 Gal. l. c.). There is a double fitness in this metaphor ; while a pillar gives stability to the building which rests upon it, it is itself firmly and permanently fixed; and this side of the conception often comes into view (cf. Isa. xxii. 23, lvi. 5, Sap. iii. 14
 Kupiou), and is paramount here. With Év T仑̂ $\nu a \hat{\varphi}$ cf. vii. $15, \times x i .22$, notes, and for $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \in o \hat{v} \mu \sigma v$ see iii. 2 , note.
 27, xxii. 15. As the pillar cannot be moved out of its place while the house stands, so a lapse from goodness will be impossible for the character which has been fixed by the final victory. A $\lambda v_{0}$ pia may be removed (ii. 6), but not a $\sigma \tau v ่ \lambda o s$.
 Each pillar in the sanctuary (Arethas: éni тò $\nu \nu o \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \dot{u} \lambda \alpha \nu)$ is to be inscribed by the hand of Christ with three names, the Name of God, the name of the new Jerusalem, and the new name of Christ. (I) The Name of God was 'put on' every Israelite in the priestly blessing (Num. vi. 27
 'Irpay' $\lambda$ ); on members of the Israel of







 gyrgw arm aeth (Prim)

God it is to be inscribed by the Spirit of the great High Priest (ef. 2 Cor. iiii 3

 and characters are to be dominated by the sense of their consecration to the service of God as He is revealed in Christ. (2) The name of the new

 successor of the old Jerusalem which was already of the past, not however
 a kaum', instinct with the powers of an endless life (cf. ii. 17, note), and like Christ Himself of heavenly origin ( $\bar{j}$ кataßaivovaa ктд., ef xxi. 2 , and see Jo. vi. 33; the idea is found al-

 pavíc). To bear the name of the City of God is to be openly acknowledged as one of her citizens, a priyilege already potentially belonging to the members of the Church (Gal l. c.

 íтд́pхє́ Heb. l. c.), but not as yet confirmed or proclaimed. (3) Christ's
 sizes the кauótys-can scarcely be one of the names or titles familiar to the Church from the first (Jesus, Christ, Son of God, the Lord, etc.); if any such designation were meant here, it would rather be the Johannine title



$\theta$ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{0} \hat{\mathrm{v}}$. But the 'new name' of Christ is more probably a symbol for the fuller glories of His Person and Character which await revelation at His Coming (Andreas: rò èv toits àyiots év

 victorious Christian and the victorious Christ will receive a new name, i.e. sustain a new character and appear in a new light; ef. Col. iii. 4, I Jo. iii. 2. There are interesting parallels in the Rabbinical writers; cf. Baba Bathra, f. 75. 2 "tres appellari nomine Dei, iustos, Messiam, et Hierosolyma"; Bereshith Rabba in Gen. xviii. 17 "Abralamus etiam novit nomen norum quo appellanda erat Hierosolyma." Ignatius (Philad. 5) draws a picture which presents a striking contrast to this: $\dot{e}^{a} a \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon} \ldots \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\grave{c}}$



'Iepouga入 ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ : so the name is written in the Apoc. (iii. 12, xxi. 2, 10); the Gospel of St John has uniformly


Ramsay (Letters, p. 409 ff.) finds in 0.12 a reference to the name Neocaesarea assumed by Philadelphia in honour of Tiberius.
14-22. The Message to the Aygel of the Chubch in Ladicea,
 S.EL. of Philadelphia the road from Sardis reached Laodicean-the-Lycus. The valley of the Lycus has been described by Lightfoot (Colossians, p.






Iff.) and more recently and in some respects more fully by Ramsay (Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, p. I ff.; there is a useful map in his Church in the Roman Empire, pp. 472-3). Laodicea (nao8íketa in literature and inscriptions, but in mss. of the N.T. naodicia is well supported at each occurrence of the name; Lat. Laodicea, and in the N.T. also Laodicia, Laudicia) was founded about the middle of the 3rd cent. B.c. by Antiochus II., and named in honour of his wife, Laodice. Under Roman rule the city flowrished, and became a centre of commercial activity. Cicero repaired to it for monetary transactions (ad fam. iii. 5, ad Att. v. 15); and the neighbourhood was noted for the manufacture of woollen carpets and clothing (Ramsay, Cities, p. 40 ff .). So opulent were the Laodiceans under the earlier Emperors that after the great earthquake which overthrew the town in A.D. $60-\mathrm{I}$, it rose from its ruins without being compelled to accept an Imperial subsidy (Tac ann xiv. 29 "tremore terrae prolapsa nullo a nobis remedio propriis viribus revaluit"). The Church in Laodicea was perhaps founded by Epaphras of Colossae (Col i. 7, iv. 12 f.). St Paul had not visited the Lycus valley down to the time of his first Roman imprisonment (Col. ii. 1), but brethren at Laodicea were known to him by name (Col. iv. 15), and he had addressed a letter to the
 unless the circular now entitled חpos ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E} \phi \epsilon \sigma$ ious is intended; for the apocryplal letter Ad Laodicenses see Lightfoot, Colossians, p. 393 ff.). The rains which strew the site of Laodicea are known as Eski Hissar ; it is now
without inhabitant, but a Bishop of Laodicea is mentioned as late as A.D. 1450 (Ramsay, Cities and Bishoprics, p. 79).
 Amen, whose character and nature are in themselves a guarantee for the truth of His testimony. The commentators refer to Isa. lxv. 16 בֵּאלהֵי
 apparently, тòv $\theta \epsilon \dot{o} \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$. But it is simpler to explain $\dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ as referring to our Lord's repeated use of the


 as the Greek fathers express it. Cf. ii. 16, note. '0 $\mu$ áprus ó $\boldsymbol{\pi} \iota \sigma \tau$ ós looks back to c. i. 5; for $\begin{gathered}\delta \\ \text { à } \lambda \eta \theta_{t} \nu o ́ s ~ s e e ~\end{gathered}$ iii. $7 ; \delta \mu$. ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{d} \lambda \eta \theta c \nu \rho^{\prime}$ s is the witness who fulfils his ideal, whose testimony never falls short of the truth.

 ...ós $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \chi \eta$-a passage doubtless familiar to the Church of Laodicea (cf. Col. iv. 15). This title of Christ rests on Prov. viii. 22, Lxx. Kúpoos
 av่тov eis $\epsilon \rho \gamma a$ av่roû, but readjusts the conception; He is not, as the Arians
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ (Andreas: $\hat{\eta} \pi \rho о к а т а \rho к \tau \iota \bar{\eta}$ airía каі̀ ä́ктьтros), the uncreated principle of creation, from whom it took its origin-the principium principians, not the principium principiatum. The whole tendency of the Johannine writings and of the Apocalypse in particular (cf. Introd. c. xiv.) forbids the interpretation 'the first of creatures.' 'H à $\rho \chi \eta$ is applied to our'






 xxii 13, which adds ó $\pi \rho \omega$ тos kaì ó光 $\sigma$ аatos. In its present connexion ग ${ }^{1}$ apx $\eta$ perhaps carries the further thought of preeminence, cf. Gen. xlix.

 family as well as the first in point of time. The Creation is subjected (Heb. ii. 8) to the Eternal Word with Whom it began. Tồ $\theta$ eov reserves the supreme proprietorship for the Father;


 by itself, e.g. Rom. viii ig f.
 Amen, the Head of the whole Creation, bears witness to the condition of the last of the Seven Churches. The solemnity of the title prepares for a searching and severe criticism. From the faults of the Churches at Ephesus, Pergamum, Thyatira, and Sardis the Laodicean angel seems to have been free. No Nicolaitans, no Jezebel, infested Laodicea. But his error, if less patent, was even more vital. Judged by his works he was neither frigid ( $\psi v{ }^{2} \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, icy cold : cf. Sir. xliii.

 x. 42 тотipoov $\psi v \chi \rho o \hat{u})$, nor at boiling heat ( $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ s$, ä $\boldsymbol{a}$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in Biblical Greek, 'boiled'i.e. boiling hot,Syr. <residi). L.e. the Church was neither wholly indiferent, nor on the other hand 'fervent in spirit' (cf. Acts xviii. 25,
 held an intermediate position between the two extremes. Cf. Sohar, Gen. f. 83 "tres dantur classes hominum, sunt enim vel iusti perfecti, vel impii imperfecti, vel intermedii."

 a particle and followed by a verb in the ind., see I Cor. iv. 8, 2 Cor. xi. 1 , GaL v. 12 , and in the Lxx., Exod. xvi. 3, Job xiv. 13 (= $=$ 品), Num. xiv. 2, xx. 3 (= לi), 4 Regn v. 3,
 Blass, Gr. p. 206 f., and W. Schm. p. 102, note. Andreas (citing Greg.


 the Great, reg. past. iii. 34 "qui vero post conversionem tepuit, et spem quae esse potuit de peccatore subtraxit. aut calidus ergo quisquis esse aut frigidus quaeritur, ne tepidus evomatur." $\mathrm{x} \lambda$ apoós is neither boiling nor cold, 'tepid'; like ऍєorós, the word is a är. Ney. $^{\text {in }}$ Bihlical Greek The $\chi^{\lambda}$ acapos is the Christian who is without enthusiasm (Arethas: ôs


 draught of tepid water provokes nausea, and a tepid Christianity is
 $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \kappa \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau . \mu \nu v\right)$; He prefers the frigid indifference which the Divine Love has not begun to thaw. There is probably an allusion to the hot springs of Hierapolis, which in their way over the plateanbecome lukewarm, and in this condition discharge themselves over the eliff right oppositetoLaodicea; cf. Strabo, 903 катаутıкр̀̀ $\operatorname{\Delta aoò̀-~}$
 It is but six miles across the valley from one city to the other, and the cliff over which the $\chi^{\lambda \lambda a \rho o ̀ \nu ~} \tilde{\imath} \delta \omega \rho$ tumbles is visible for a great distance,





 Andr Ar］$\psi$ ．oute 乌．AP 1718 （19）vg syrs＊om 10 vghari＊aeth Amb Ambrst Prim



 a $\lambda \eta \theta 2 \nu \mathrm{vos}$ Iz ${ }^{\circ}$
owing to the white incrustation of lime which has been deposited upon it in the course of ages．The allusion is the more apposite，since the letter for Laodicea was practically addressed to the other Churches of the Lycus valley，to the Church of Hierapolis as well as to Laodicea and Colossae． On the hot springs of Hierapolis see Ramsay，Cities，ii．p． 85 f．
 The Laodicene Church was not only tepid；it was contented to be so，and thought highly of its own condition． External circumstances were favour－ able to this state of feeling；the city was one of the most prosperous of the Asiatic towns（Ramsay，Cities，i．p．38f．）． The Christian community earried the pride of wealth into its spiritual life， ＂I am rich，＂it boasted，＂and have gotten riches（ $\pi \in \pi \lambda o u ́ \tau \eta \kappa a$ ），＂i．e． my wealth is due to my own exertions． Cf．Hos．xii． 8 （9），єittev＇Eфрá $\mu$
 $\hat{\epsilon}^{2} \mu a v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ，Zech．xi． 5 єủ入oqךтòs Kưpıos，


 of reference（cf．Blass，$G r$ ．p．94，and
 of content（Blass，p． 9 r ，where however the note should be cancelled）；aviôenós is an obvious correction，cf．I Th．iv． 12. The Church brags like a nouveau
riche，but in complete ignorance of the true condition of affairs．
 Contrast Christ＇s oiso（o．15）．$\Sigma v$ is emphatic，＇thou that boastest，＇and the article that precedes the predicates （cf．Blass，Gr．p． 1 57）strengthens the picture：＇it is thou that art the（conspicuously，pre－eminently） wretched＇etc．For тa入aincopos cf． Rom．vii．24，and for è ectvós＇pitiable＇ see Dan．ix．23，x． 11 ， 19 （lxx．），i Cor．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu_{\epsilon} \nu$ ：the form é $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ ，given by AC ， is perhaps to be preferred here；see however Blass，$G r:$ p．23．The next three adjectives state the grounds for commiseration；a blind beggar（ef． Mc．x．46），barely clad（Matt．xxv． 36 ff ，Jae．ii．2，5；for this sense of yunyós cf．Jo．xxi．7），was not more de－ serving of pity than this rich and self－ satisfied Ohurch．On $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós see ii． 9 ， Mc．xii 43，note；the $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós is the direct opposite of the $\pi \lambda$ ov́ $\quad$ tos，cf．Lc． xvi．I9 f．， 2 Oor．vi．10．It is possible that each of the epithets alludes to some local subject of self－complacency． On other local allusions see the next note．
 $\Sigma \nu \mu \beta o u \lambda \epsilon i \epsilon \epsilon \nu(\tau \iota v i)$ is to give counsel （Exod．xviii．19，Num．xxiv．14， 2 Regn． xvii．II，${ }^{5}$ ，Jo．xviii．14）；$\sigma \nu \mu$ ßov $\lambda \epsilon v^{2}-$ єб $\theta a \iota$ ，to take counsel together（Sir．ix．


 ко入入oúpiov É $\gamma \chi \rho \bar{\imath} \sigma \alpha \iota$ toy＇s on $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o u ́ s ~ \sigma o v ~ i ̀ v a ~$







14，Isp．xl．I4，Mt．xxvi．4，Acts ix．23）． There is perhaps a reference to Is

 ar $\gamma o \rho a ́ \sigma a c ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu о \hat{~ c f . ~} 2$ Esdr．xx． 31
 dyopá̧ecv in this metaphorical sense， Mt．xxv． 9 f．The allusions to local conditions are here even more dis－ tinct．Xpvoion presents a contrast to the wealth of the Laodicene roast－ Sita ；íáтıa $\lambda$ єuкá，to the black fabric for which the neighbourhood was famous（Ramsay，Cities and Bishop－ tics，p． $40^{\text {＂}}$ a fine kind of wool，soft in texture and glossy black in colour， grew on the Laodicene sheep．．．a kind of small cheap cloak．．．was manufac－ tured at Laodicea and called Laodicia，
 probably refers to the school of medicine attached to the neighbour－ ing temple of Asklepios，and the eye－ powder（ $\tau \dot{\prime} \phi \rho a$ Фрuyía）used by its physicians（Ramsay，p．52）．It is possible to make too much of these coincidences，which may be in part accidental，but at least they are interesting and suggestive．

As to details．With $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu 0 \nu$ ék $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ vo os，cf Ps．xvii．（xviii．）3I
 xxiv． 28 （xxx．5）；the thought is of purity attained by removing dross（cf．
 тvройтaı тò àpyúpıov，Zach．xiii．g，Iss． i． $25 \pi v \rho \omega^{\prime} \sigma \omega$［ $\left.\sigma \dot{\epsilon}\right]$ є is ка $\theta a \rho \dot{v} \nu$ ），per－ hops with reference to the fiery trial
which attends the process（cf．i Pet．


 ad $\pi \dot{o}$ or $\dot{v} \pi \bar{\circ} \pi$ ．，but hints at the metal coming out of the fire intact．＂I $\nu a \mu \dot{r}$ фагєршөी $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．；an O．T．idea，cf．Exod． xx．26，Nah．iii．5，Ezek．xvi． 36 ；there is perhaps special reference to Ezek． xxiii．29，Lxx．Ko 入入oúpıoy（or код入ú－ prov，cf．Boissonade，anecd．i．237， collyrium Hor．Sat．i．5．30，the קילוֹרִית of Jewish literature），a di－ minutive of кo入入 ipa，is（I）a small roll of bread（3 Regn．xii． 24 ff．）， （2）from its roll－like shape，a kind of eye－salve made according to Celsus （vi．7）from the poppy，the acacia，and other flowering plants；here possibly used with reference to the local powder already mentioned．For é $\gamma$－ $\chi^{p} i \epsilon, y$ of applications to the eyes see Tobit ii．Io（ $\boldsymbol{N}$ ），vi． 9 ，xi． 7 ；it is instruc－ five to compare the construction of the verb in Tobit with that employed here ；cf．Jo．ix． 6 （ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \chi \rho \varepsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{AD})$ ．

With regard to the interpretation， the gold which is to be acquired is doubtless faith with its accompanying


 кa入ois）；the white raiment is a life in Christ unspotted by the world（Gal． iii．27，Jac．i．27），which alone can escape disgrace under the fierce light of the Parousia（ 2 Cor．v．10）；the eye－salve which stings while it heals is




the é $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ of the Holy Spirit（Jo． xvi． 8 ff ．），which destroys self－deception and restores spiritual vision．To buy these from Christ is to seek His gifts at the cost of personal ease or self－



19．ढ＇$\gamma \dot{\omega}$ ö $\sigma$ ous éà $\bar{\prime} \phi \dot{\lambda} \hat{\omega} \kappa \uparrow \lambda$ ．］The plain speaking of this letter was not to be attributed to aversion on the part of Christ，notwithstanding His $\mu \hat{e} \lambda \lambda \omega$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma \epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{́} \sigma a \mathrm{a}$ ；rather it was evidence of friendship and love．$\Phi_{\iota} \lambda \hat{\omega}$（Bengel ： ＂Philadelphiensem $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\pi} \pi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，Laodi－ censem $\left.\phi \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{7}\right)$ is perhaps deliberately preferred to the less emotional and less human ${ }^{\text {djamè（i．} 5, ~ i i i . ~} 9$ ；cf．Jo． xi 3， 36 ，xyi 27 ，xx． 2 ，xxi 15 ff ）， notwithstanding the use of the latter in Prov．iii． 12 （Lxx．ồ yà $\rho$ à $\gamma a \pi a ̣ ̂ a$ Kúpoos é $\lambda \in ́ \gamma X \in \iota$ ）which supplies the groumdwork of the thought．＇E $\lambda$＇$\gamma$－ $\chi^{\omega}$ каi $\pi a \iota \delta \delta^{\prime} \omega$ ：two stages in one process ； $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \in \gamma \xi \mathrm{cs}$ aims at effecting by words or thoughts what raiofia ac－ complishes，where èney $\xi_{s s}$ fails，by act ； $\pi a i \delta \in i ́ a$ is ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \xi t s$ brought about through exterual means．The two verbs are perhaps a double rendering of
 $\pi a \iota \delta \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$（and the reading $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \varepsilon_{\epsilon}$ ） may have been suggested by the pre－ ceding verse in Prov．（víć，$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ỏ̀ıүळ́pє тaı $\delta$ eias Kupiov）．For è $\bar{\prime} \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota$ it is instructive to compare Eph．v．13， 2 Tim．iv．2，and St John＇s use of the verb in Jo．iii．20，wiii． 46 ，xvi．8；on $\pi a_{\imath} \delta \in \dot{v} \epsilon t \nu$ a good note will be found in Westcott on Heb．xii．7；cf． H．A．A．Kennedy，Sources，p．IoI． Perhaps the deplorable condition of the Laodicene Church was due to lack of chastisement；there is no word of any trials hitherto under－
gone by this Church．The needed discipline cameat length under Marcus Aurelius，when Sagaris，the Bishop of Laodicea，was martyred（Eus．H．E． iv．26，v．24）．

 In the present case not memory but enthusiasm was at fault．$Z \eta \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \in \iota \nu$ is a late and rare form for $\zeta \eta \lambda o u ̂ p$ ，as
 Notes，p．178），but with the sense＇be zealous＇；for other exx of late verbs in－ev́civ see WM．，p．114，Kennedy， Sources，p．43，Introd．to the O．T．in Glb，p．503．Zम่̈入єve looks back to〔єбtós（o．15 f．；Bengel ：＂et そcotós et ک $\bar{\eta} \lambda o s$ est ex $\left.\zeta \epsilon \omega^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ ，dwelling upon its ethical meaning：＇prove thyself to possess（pres．imper．）a whole－hearted devotion for the Master．＂So doing， the Laodicean Church would arrive at a better mind（ $\mu$ eтavó $\eta \sigma o \nu$ ），and be no longer＇tepid＇but＇fervent in spirit．＇
 Arethas：àßíartos，$\phi \eta \sigma i \nu, \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \pi a-$ povoía．The voice is that of a friend （ 0.19 ）；there is perhaps a reference to Cant．v． $2 \phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi \iota \delta \hat{o v} \mu \nu v$ ，
 $\mu o v$, if $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ \mu o v$. In this light the homiletic use of the passage，which sees in it a picture of our Lord knocking at the hearts of men，and which Holman Huut＇s great painting has made familiar，finds its justifica－ tion．But as they stand in this con－ text，the words are eschatological

 $\kappa \in \nu)$ ；the opening of the door is the joyful response of the Church to the









 16r vg syr me arm auth Or Gil Ar)
 exactly reversed in Le. xiii. 25 , where the Master shuts the door and the servants knock in rain; cf. Mt. xxv. Io $f$.
 If any Church (or individual) gives heed to the call of Christ (cf. Jo. x. 3

 $\dot{\alpha}$ over $\mu$ ow tins $\phi \omega \eta \eta s$ ) and opens the door, Christ will enter that dwelling



 and exchange with such an one the felllowship of intimate communion (cf. Jo.

 in that endless feast of Love of which the Eucharist is the earnest (Mt.

 ßacinciạ тоv $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s ~ \mu о v) . ~$

Eí $\sigma$ '́ $\chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ т $\rho$ ós $\tau \iota \nu a$, to enter a man's house; cf. Mc. xv. 43 , Acts xi. 3. $\Delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma$ is preferred to ápı $\boldsymbol{a} \tau^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ partly because the $\delta \in i \pi \sigma_{0} y$ came at the end of the day and was the principal meal and the usual occasion for hospitality, but perhaps chiefly with reference to the кuptakò $\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu o \nu$. Origen's ova j̀̀ $\rho$ ס̂eîtal eioay wy $\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \mu a \nexists \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ (in Joann. t. xxxii. 2) is ingenious but far-fetched.
 f $\mu 0 \hat{v} k \tau \lambda$.] An extension of the promise made to the Twelve in Mt. xix. 28







 where, as here, the enthronement follows immediately after the mention of the heavenly feast. The $\theta$ porno however (cf. ii. 13, note) are not places on the triclinium, but thrones of dignity and judicial power, cf. I Cor.

 $\nu a v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$; The Apocalyptic promise adds that the conqueror shall not merely be enthroned like Christ, but be His

 implies a share in the same throne, ie. in the glory and powers of Christ's own triumphant humanity.


 $\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \iota s{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \omega \nu \nu$, Here $\dot{\epsilon} \nu i \kappa \eta \sigma a$ looks back upon the historical fact of the Lord's victory as past and complete; $\nu \in \nu i к \eta к a$ in Jo. l. c. regards the victory as abiding in its effects. The rewards of victory are not the same in the case of Christ as in the case of the disciple; the disciple becomes ovivopovos with Christ in Christ's throne, whereas the Lord is $\sigma \dot{v} \theta_{\rho o w s ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ F a t h e r ; ~ c f . ~}^{\text {chen }}$

 is the historical aorist; the session followed at the moment of the Ascension,



22 ovs] aures vg fanl arm Prim
whilst the victory was achieved by the Resurrection; see Mc. xvi. 19, Eph. i. 20, Heb. i. 3, viii. I , xii. 2. The ultimate source of the conception is Ps cx. i ; on its meaning see Apringius ad l. : "quid est in throno Dei sedere, nisi quiescere et gloriari cum Deo et eius adsistere tribunalibus beatis, atque immensa praesentiae illius felicitate gaudere?" With the parallel ${ }^{\circ}$.s $\kappa{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$. cf. Jo. xv. 10, xvii. 18, xx. 21, Apoc. ii. 28.

Looking back over the seven $\lambda^{\prime}$ 'you (ii. I-iii. 22), it is easy to see that, widely as their contents differ, they are constructed upon a common plan. Each begins with the formula T $\hat{\varphi}$
 Táde $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \dot{\circ} . . .$, and ends with the call 'O ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi$ © preceded (4-7) by a promise to the
 ( $\mathrm{I}, 3$ ), or $\dot{\delta} \nu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots, \ldots \hat{\delta} \sigma \omega$ avit $\hat{\imath}(4,7$ ),
 followed by a verb expressing the reward to be received ( 2,5 )).

Even in the contents of the several messages a certain uniformity may be detected. After the opening words each $\lambda$ óros begins with oî $8 a-o i \delta \dot{a}$ aov
 (2), or oi̊ $\delta$ пои катоккeis (3); i.e. each is based on the Speaker's knowledge of the conduct or circumstances of the several churches. The distinctive merits and faults of each community are then set forth, together with suitable encouragement and reproof. Lastly, advice is given as to the

 $\mu \epsilon \tau а \nu o ́ \eta \sigma o \nu(7), \mu \grave{\eta}$ фоßой...yivov тıaтòs



Yet uniform as the $\lambda$ dóoc are in
their general structure, they present a rich variety of detail As each Church passes under review, it receives a judgement which is evidently based upon a full knowledge of its condition, both exterual and spiritual. Smyrna and Philadelphia gain unqualified approval; Ephesus, Pergamum, Thyatira, are commended, but
 oftc...): for Laodicea there is only censure, and Sardis would fall under the same category, were it not for a few loyal Christians (è $\chi$ eıs ỏ $\lambda i$ ía óvó натa кгд.) whose fidelity is not overlooked. But the discrimination goes further. The Supreme Pastor descends into the minutest particulars which affect the well-being of the several brotherhoods: the decay of love at Ephesus, redeemed in part by hatred of Nicolaitan laxity ; the fidelity of the Smyrnaeans under the bitter reproaches of the self-styled Jews; the concessions to Nicolaitauism which marred the zeal of the Pergamenes; the indulgence shewn at Thyatira to a prophetess who, like a new Jezebel, initiated her disciples into "deep things" of Satan ; the deadness of the great majority of the members of the Church at Sardis; the patient efforts of the Philadelphians to spread the faith of Christ in the teeth of Jewish opposition ; the tepid, nauseous Christianity of the prosperous and selfsatisfied Laodiceans. Nothing has escaped the Eye of flame, which reads the secrets of men and of churches.

Even in the formulae with which the $\lambda$ óyot are opened and closed there are variable elements, which shew the same discrimination. Each тádé $\lambda є ́ y є!$ is followed by a title of the Speaker, usually borrowed from the vision of c. i., which has special significance

## 







when we consider the circumstances of the Church addressed. Each promise to the victor places the final reward in a light which gives it special attractiveness under the circumstances in which the local Church is placed. Thus the Ephesian Christian, tempted to participate in pagan banquets, is promised that, if he conquers, he shall eat of the fruit of the Tree of Life; the Smyrnaean, called to face martyrdom, is assured that he shall not be hurt of the Second Death; the Pergamene, if he rejects the eldw入ótvra, shall taste of the Hidden Manna. If it is not always easy to discover the appropriateness of the form which the victor's prize assumes, there is reason to believe that the problem would be solved were our knowledge of the special circumstances less incomplete.
IV. I-II. The Vigiton of the Throne in Heapen.
I. $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau a \hat{v} \tau a \epsilon i \delta i o \nu]$ This formula, which occurs again vii. I ( $\mu$. тov̂ro), g, xy. 5 , xviii. I , serves to introduce a new vision of special importance, kai fidov (v. I etc.) being used in other cases. Here $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ taûta refers to the
 кr $\lambda$.) which, with the messages to the Churches arising out of it, has occupied the first three chapters. The vision of the glorified Christ walking among the Churches on earth is followed by a vision of the Court of Heaven.
 as in iii. 8 the door of opportunity,
or as in iii. 20 the door of the heart, but the door of revelation; cf. Enoch
 катєчадтi $\mu$ ои. The conception of the opened heavens occurs first in Ezek.

 كouévous toùs oùpavov́s, Jo. i. 5 I ö $\psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ тò̀ ov̀pavò̀ à $\nu є \underline{\text { ¢ }}$ door only is opened (cf. Test. xii patr., Levi 5), and not heaven as a whole, i.e. the vision is limited to the Seer ; only one who has been lifted up into the heavenly places can see what is passing within. The perf. part. $\eta \nu \in \varphi-$ $\gamma \mu \dot{e} \nu \eta$ implies that the door stood open, ready for the Seer's coming.
 "The first voice which I heard" is apparently the voice of i. Io $\tilde{\eta}_{\kappa} \kappa v \sigma a . .$.
 note; cf. Victorinus: "id est spiritus quem paulo ante quam filium hominis ...se vidisse fatetur"; Bede: "similis utique priori voci quae dixerat Quas vides scribe in libro." Now it comes again to prepare John for the second great vision, and calls him up to the height where the Angel stands. Lé$\gamma \omega \nu$, a constructio ad sensum; behind the trumpet voice there is a personality who speaks. 'Avá $\beta a\left(=\vec{a} v \dot{́} \beta_{\eta} \theta_{l}\right.$ W. Schm., p. 115, cf. катdßa Ar. Ran. 35, Vesp. 979; $\mu \in \tau$ á $\beta a$, Mt. xvii 20) recalls the summons at the Lawgiving, Exod. xix. 24 f. ; for $\boldsymbol{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, 'hither' (Blass, Gr. p. 58 f.), cf. Jo. vi. 25, xx. 27; for $\delta \in i \xi \omega$, the Hierophant's (Benson, Apocalypse, p. 15) offer of guidance, see i. I , xvii. I , xxi. 9 f .,




   ouocos $\mathrm{I}^{\circ}$...tou $\theta$ povou $\mathrm{N}^{*}$

comes from Dan. ii. 28 f., 45. The vision that follows is an anticipation of a future which is yet to find its accomplishment ( $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тâ̂ta). WH. connect $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ тaûta ( $2^{\circ}$ ) with $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$, but the analogy of i. 10 and Dan. l.c. (Th.) seems to be decisive in favour of the usual punctuation.
 once,' as the words were spoken, 'I found myself in the Spirit.' The state of spiritual exaltation which preceded the first vision (i. 1o note) has returned, but in greater force; then it gave the Seer ears to hear and eyes to see; now it lifts him up and places him by the Angel at the open door.
 ктл.] When he looked in, the first object that met his eyes was a throne and One seated on it. The Person is identified by $v .8$ with the God of Israel (i. 4, 8), Who is represented in the O.T. sometimes as making the heaven His throne (Isa. lxvi i; cf. Mt. v. 34 f., xxiii. 22), sometimes as enthroned in heaven ( P s. x. (xi.) 4


 the Apocalypse requires the latter symbolism, in which the Throne is distinguished from the sphere in which it stands.
 rather than 'was set up,' a rendering which permits the English reader to suppose that the placing of the throne entered into the vision. For $\kappa \in i \sigma \theta a t$ in this sense cf. Jo. ii. 6, xix. 29, xxi. 9 , and see Blass, Gr. p. 5I. In this book émì tòv Apóvoy can scarcely
be distinguished from the more exact
 the gen. see iv. 9 ff., F. $1,7,13$, vi. 16 , vii. 15 ; for the dat, vii 10, xix. 4 , xxi. 5 ; for the acc., iv. 4 vi. 2,4 f., xi. $16, \mathrm{xx} .4$
3. каì $\delta$ каАп́ $\mu \epsilon \mu$ кs ктд.] The description rigorously shuns anthropomorphic details. The Seer's eye is arrested by the flashing of gemlike colours, but he sees no form: cf. Exod. xxiv. Io eỉ̃ov tò̀ tónoy ov̉ iotrixec

 тov̂ $\boldsymbol{\omega \sigma \epsilon i} \hat{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o \nu \pi \lambda i \nu \theta o v$ бarффípou, каì
 т $\mathfrak{\eta} \kappa a \theta a \rho \iota o ́ t \eta \tau c . ~ L e s s ~ r e s e r v e ~ i s ~ m a n i-~$


 каӨaןóv ; cf. Enoch xlvi. i, lxxi. 10. In the great Christian apocalypse there is no need for anthropomorphic descriptions of Deity; one like a Son of Man is always at hand to whom they are naturally transferred (see i.



 óттабia.

The Enthroned Majesty was like in
 to the light of two precious stones, the $\lambda i \theta_{0}$ zacris and the rápostov, and their brilliance was relieved by a circle of emerald green. The three stones are named together as samples of their kind by Plato (Phaed. yom
 та́vтa тà тoぃaûta), and hold an honour-

 тои̂ $\theta \rho o ́ v o v ~ \theta \rho o ́ v o u s ~ \epsilon i ́ к о \sigma t ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho є s, ~ к а і ~ є ̇ т i ~ т о и ̀ s ~$


#### Abstract

     


able place in Biblical lists of gems; thus, ace. to Exod. xxviii. 17 ff., the oaporoy and the emerald stand in the first row of stones in the High Priest's breastplate, and the tavnis in the second: among the precious stones which adorn the person of the King of Tyre (Ezek. xxviii. 13) the same three stones stand first, third, and sixth respectively; and of the twelve foundation stones of Apoc. xxi. 19 the tiagnts is first, the emerald fourth, and the rápotay sixth. The uarats (, word, B. D. B. s. v.) appears to have been translucent like glass or rockcrystal (Apoe. xxi. II криятад入i Sovт، (where see note), Pliny, H. N. xxxvii. 115 "semper translucent"), whereas the modern jasper is opaque; the opal has been suggested (Enc. Bibl. s. $v$. ., but it is excluded by the same consideration. The $\sigma$ ápôov (口דֶis, 'redness,' ${ }^{\text {ch. }}$ Epiph. de gemmis пирютòs
 the caruelian, or other red stone (see Hastings, D.B. s.v.); acc. to Pliny, H.N. l.c., it derived its name from Sardis, where it was found Most of the engraved gems of antiquity were of 'sard,' see King, Antique Gems, p. 5.
In the vision the flashing lustre of the tarmts and the fiery red of the sard are relieved by the halo (iots) of emerald which encircled the Throne
 From Homer downwards ipes is the rainbow; the Lxx. however use $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\operatorname{j}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}$ in this sense (Gen. ix. I $_{3}$, Ezek. i.

28), and ipts is perhaps preferred here and in x . I because it may also be used for a complete circle, e.g. a solar or lunar halo. The conception is borrowed from Ezek. l.c. ws | ofagıs |
| :--- |


 кик $\lambda^{\circ} \theta_{\varepsilon ย}$. But the circle of light seen by the Apocalyptist was like (for ö $\mu$ oos, used as an adj. of two terminations, ef. WM. p. 80, Blass, Gr. p. 33) in appearance (see v.3) to an emerald $(\sigma \mu a \rho a \gamma \delta i \nu \varphi$ sc. $\lambda i \theta \varphi)$, $\mu$ ovocioìs $\sigma \mu a \rho a \gamma \delta i \zeta$ ouva, as Arethas says.
 ${ }^{\sigma} \mu a \rho a \gamma \delta i m s$ s $\lambda$ i $o$ os occurs in Esth.i. 6A, and $\sigma$ нápay $\delta o s ~ \lambda$. is used by Herod. ii. 44, iii. 41. Archbp Benson translates 'like to a vision of emerald,' taking
 but $\dot{\phi} \mu$. ópágec $\lambda i \theta \omega$ above does not lend itself easily to this construction ; cf. however Yg. similis aspectui lapidis iaspidis...similis visioni smaragdinae. In Exod. xxxvi. 17 (xxxix. Io) $\sigma \mu$ а́payдos $=$ ת brilliantlike rock-crystal (see Hastings, D. B. iv. 620 ); on its identification with the emerald see King, Antique Gems, p. 27 ff . Since iots is substituted for $\tau \delta \xi_{o v}$, it is precarions to press a reference to the rainbow of the covenant (Gen. ix. iz ff.); but б $\mu$ apay $\delta$. (see note on xxi. 19) may perhaps represent the mercy which tempers the revelation of the Divine Majesty.

 WH. we read $\begin{aligned} & \text { póvos; see their note }\end{aligned}$





[^182](p. 138). Tє́ $\sigma \sigma a \rho \epsilon s$ acc. is well supported, see WH. ${ }^{2}$ Notes, p. 157, Blass, Gr. p. 26.

Beyond the emerald halo there is another circle round the Throne, an environment of four and twenty other thrones on which are seated four and twenty Elders, white-robed and goldcrowned. The Elders are not oivApovol (iii 21), but тєpt Apóviol or
 Heaven. There may be a reference to the Elders of Israel in Exod. xxiv.
 and to Isa xxiv. 23 ßacı入єv́rec Kúpos
 revah But the number is at first sight perplexing. As a symbolical number 24 occurs in the Apocalypse only, and there only when these Elders are mentioned (iv. 4, IO, v. 8, xi. 16, xix. 4). It has been supposed to refer to the 24 courses of the sons of Aaron (I Chron. xxiy. 1-I9); but the Elders do not fulfil any special priesthood, though they take their part (iv. 10, v. 8) in the worship of Him Who sits on the Throne. Gunkel suggests (Schöpfung u. Chaos, p. 302 ff .) that they answer to the 24 stars of the Babylonian astrology
 кòv ки́клоу єїкобıv каі тétтарая àфорí-







but the parallel is only partial, and the whole question of the Apocalyptist's indebtedness to Babylonian sources needs further investigation Meanwhile a key which seems to fit the lock is supplied by the earliest Latin commentator on the Apocalypse, Victorinus, who sees in the 24 Elders "duodecim Apostoli, duodecim Patriarchae"; similarly Audreas and Arethas. The symbol appears to be based on the number of the tribes of Israel; the $\delta \omega \delta \in \kappa a ́ \phi u \lambda o \nu$ is represented by 24 Elders, two for each tribe, the double representation suggesting the two elements which coexisted in the new Israel, the Jewish and Gentile believers who were one in Christ. Thus the 24 Elders are the Church in its totality, but the Church idealized and therefore seen as already clad in white, crowned, and euthroned in the Divine Presence-a state yet future ( ${ }^{a}$ i $\delta \in \hat{i}$ jevé $\sigma \theta a u$ ), but already potentially realized in the Resurrection and Ascension of the Head;


5. каі̀ éк той Өро́voy éкторєv́ontal ávтןatai $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The eye of the Seer returns to the central Throne. What he sees there reminds him of the Lawgiving ; cf. Exod. xix. 16 '́yivovro ф $\omega$ val каì à atpata', and Ezek. i. I3 ék той
 imagery occurs again in xi. 19, xvi. 18, and (with the order $\beta$ povial kai $\phi \omega v a i$ каі à $\sigma$ трала $\hat{i}$ ), in viii. 5. The thunderstorm is in Hebrew poetry a familiar symbol of the Divine power and glory :









cf. e.g. I Sam. ii. ro, Ps. xviii 9 ff., Job xxxvii 4 f.
каі̀ énтd̀ $\lambda a \mu \pi \alpha ́ d e s ~ \pi v \rho o ́ s ~ к \tau \lambda$.] $\Lambda a \mu-$ mádes occur also in Ezekiel's vision (l.c. ws ö $\psi$ cs $\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{d} \dot{\delta} \omega \nu$ ); but whereas Ezekiel's torch-like lights flashed hither and thither (avvaтpєфанévay
 ily before the Throne, and they are seven in number, corresponding, as the Seer recognises, with the Seven Spirits of God (i. 4, iii. 1). They are $\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{d} \grave{\delta} \epsilon$, not $\lambda v \chi$ viat as in i. I2, where the reference is different; the idea presented here is rather that of the
 Io), except that the torch-like star is seen falling across the sky, whereas these torches blaze perpetually before the Throne of God
 ${ }_{k \tau \lambda .]}$ Iu Exod. xxiv. io the Elders see under the Feet of God wбel tepov

 Tnrh, and this conception is reproduced in Ezekiel (i. 22, 26). But instead of the 'firmament,' the Seer of the Apocalypse sees a glassy Sea before the Throne. The idea of a celestial sea was current in Jewish circles, ef. Enoch xiv. 9, Secrets of Enoch, ed. Charles, p. 4; Test. xii Patr, Levi 2, where a sea greater than any on earth is seen suspended between the first heaven and the second: cf Gen.
 $\mu a \tau o s$, Ps. ciii. (civ.) 3. The Аросаlyptic sea is vadion, a pavement of
glass resembling an expanse of water; comp. a legend in the Qurân (xxv.), that the Queen of Sheba mistook for water a glass pavement in Solomon's palace. The Seer, still looking through the door, sees between himself and the Throne a vast surface which flashes back the light that falls upon it, like the Aegean when on summer days he looked upon it from the heights of Patmos; cf. xv. 2 eionov
 Though of glass, the sea was onoia крvarà $\lambda \dot{\phi}$, not semi-opaque, like much ancient glass, but clear as rock-crystal. Kрzicta入дos may be 'ice,' both here and in Ezek. i. 22, but the mineral is more probably intended in a context which mentions precious stones; the metaphor occurs again in xxii. I
 costliness of glass in ancient days enhances the splendour of the conception ; cf. Job xxviii. 17 Lxx. ovik
 But the Sea of glass is not only a striking and splendid feature in the scene; it suggests the vast distance which, even in the case of onewho stood at the door of heaven, intervened between himself and the Throne of God.

 Baruch li. II (ed. Charles). The exact position assigned to the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ is not easy to grasp. ' ${ }^{\prime} p \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \varphi$ is from

 cursives and versions of the Lxx add





## 





каl кúк $\lambda \varphi$ то仑 $\hat{v}$ Ө óvov，but probably from the Apoc．But ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu$ ．in Ezekiel
 fire，＇which has no parallel in the present passage．The words must therefore be interpreted independent－ ly．As they stand here，followed by kal кúk $\lambda \omega$ r．$\theta \rho$ ．，they seem to imply that the figures are so placed that one of the 乌 $\hat{\varphi} a$ is always seen before the Throne，and the others on either side of it and behind，whether station－ ary or moving round in rapid gyra－ tion；the latter is suggested by Ezek． i． 12 f．Z $\underset{\sim}{ } a$（Syr．${ }^{\text {gw }}$－ answers to Ezekiel＇s תin ${ }^{\text {O }}$ ，who in Ezek．ix．3，x． 2 ff．， 20 ff．，are identified with the Cherubim．The Cherubim are previously mentioned in Scripture in connexion with（ 1 ）the story of the Fall（Gen．iii．24），（2）the Ark（Exod． xxv． 18 etc．），（3）the inner chamber （רְבִיר）of Solomon＇s Temple（i Kings vi． 25 ff．，etc．），and（4）in the Divine title＇He that sitteth upon the Cherubim＇（Ps．lxxx．1，xcix．I，Isa． xxxyii．16）．The Ark and the Oracle had but two representations of cherubic figures；in Ezekiel they are four and yet one，and seem to sym－ bolize the power which in its world－ wide and manifold operations upholds and pervades while it transcends Creation．The Apocalyptist abandons the complexities of Ezekiel＇s imagery； the wheels and lightning－like move－ ments of the 乌命a disappear，and so does their mysterious unity：the ＇living creatures＇of the Apocalypse
are four distinct organisms．But in the main no doubt he presents the same idea；the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ represent Creation and the Divine immanence in Na － ture．Of．Andreas：ठ̀̀̀ T $\hat{\nu} \nu$ тє $\sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ठi $\eta \lambda o \hat{u} \nu \tau a \operatorname{\eta \dot {\eta }\nu } \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu$
 $\sigma v \mu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.





 toîs téa $\sigma a \rho \sigma \iota \nu$ т $\rho о \chi$ रoís．Again Ezekiel＇s description is simplified，while the main thought is preserved；the $\zeta \boldsymbol{\varphi} a$ are full of eyes before and behind and（ 0.8 ）around and within．The symbolism sets forth the ceaseless vigilance of Nature，or rather of the immanent Power which works under visible forms．$\Gamma \notin \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，a somewhat rare word in Biblical Gk generally （Lxx．${ }^{8}$, Mt．$^{2}$, Lc．$^{1}$ ，Paul ${ }^{1}$ ），occurs seven times in the Apoc．（iv．6，8，v．8，xv．7， xvii． 3 f．，xxi．9）；on the construction， see Blass，Gr．p．Io2．
 $\lambda$ е́ovtı кт入．］Cf．Ezek．i． 10 （x．14）каі
 $\omega \pi о \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega_{\pi}^{\pi} о v . . . \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu \tau о s . . . \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \chi о \nu . .$. $\dot{a} \in \tau o \hat{v}$ ，where the forms are the same， but the order differs．The four forms suggest whatever is noblest， strongest，wisest，and swiftest in animate Nature．Nature，inchuding Man，is represented before the Throne， taking its part in the fulfilment of the Divine Will，and the worship of the









 intus et foris al trap Prim in priora et retro anonang（ef arm）$\left|\gamma \epsilon \mu \mathrm{vrar} \mathrm{I} 3^{8} \mathrm{Ar}\right|$ ovk
 $82949^{* *} 9396$

Divine Majesty．On the early（Iren． iii．11．8）but umfortunate identifica－ tion of the $\zeta \stackrel{\Phi}{\Phi} a$ with the $\tau$ erpaevay－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {Eidaon，}}$ ，see St Mark ${ }^{2}$ ，p．xxxviff，and Zahn，Forschungen，ii．p． 257 ff．＂E ${ }^{\text {TL }}$ ，
 p． 132 ．
 yas $\quad$ 家］＇Each one of them having severally six wings．＇Ezekiel（i．6） gives each of the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ four wings；six is the number assigned to the Sera－ phim in Isa．vi．2，a passage which the Apocalyptist，who does not iden－ tify his $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ with either the Cherubim or the Seraphim，has constantly in view．The wings，if our interpretation is right，represent the velocities of Nature，as the eyes represented its sleepless vigilance．For $\epsilon i \mathbf{i s}$ кat＇（кatà） cis see Mc．xiv．ig，note ；and for à áa， used as a distributive adverb，WM． p． 496 f．，Blass，Gr．p．122，Abbott， Johannine Grammar，§§ 1890，228r．
 haps because the $\zeta \stackrel{\varphi}{a}$ are invested with intelligence（v．6，xxi．14，and see WM．p．660）；yet cf．${ }^{\text {ongoov }}$ bis（0．7）． The remarkable reading of Syr．${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ （ $\omega_{0}$ m $\pi$ 前）seems to have arisen from Ez．i． 27 （Lxx．）；see Gwynn ad loc．
 tempting to connect kurd．with the previous clause，especially if we read
with $Q \kappa a i ̀ \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \times a i ̀ \nLeftarrow \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ：cf．Vict． ＂habentes alas senas in circuitu et oculos intus et foris＂；but Ezekiel i． 18 （ $\mathbf{x} .12$ ）seems to decide in favour of the punctuation given in the text， and $\kappa \nu \kappa \lambda \dot{\lambda} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ corresponds with $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mu}-$
 adds a new feature，pointing to the secret energies of Nature．
 man and the other animals divide the twenty－four hours between work and repose，and are allowed by the Creator one day in seven for rest（Exod．xyi．
 individual worker rests at length in the grave（Apoc．vi．if，xiv．13），the wheel of Nature（Jac．iii． 6 то̀̀ т $\quad$ оофо̀ $\left.\tau_{\hat{\eta} s} \gamma^{\epsilon \varepsilon \nu} \bar{v}^{\prime} \sigma \omega s\right)$ ，i．e．The Divine activity immanent in Nature，pursues an un－

 This ceaseless activity of Nature under the Hand of God is a ceaseless tribute of praise．Cf．Enoch xxxix． 12 ＂those who sleep not bless Thee＂；lxxi． 7 ＂round about were Seraphim，Cheru－ bim，and ${ }_{2}$ Ophanim；these are they who sleeṕ not and guard the throne of His glory．＂Arethas well remarks：

 à $\nu$ évóorov．
入éyoutes＂Aywos ä ${ }^{2}$ wos äyos ${ }^{\kappa \tau \lambda}$ ．］ Another loan from Isaiah＇s description

#  






#### Abstract

    


of the Seraphim (vi. 3 èкéкраүєи ëтероs

 list, as usual, does not tie himself to his source ; he inserts $\delta$ ofós after
 $\tau \omega \rho$, and adds $\dot{\delta} \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. from i. 8, dropping altogether Isaiah's $\pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \eta s$
 appropriate in a tribute of praise which is offered in heaven. On maven-
 i. 8, note. The Liturgies retain the Isaianic form (Brightman, pp. 18 f ., 50,132 , etc.; cf. Clem. R., Cor. 34), which has also found its way into the Te Deum; but they attribute the Ter Sanctus to "Cherubim and Sarawhim," as if meaning to blend Isaiah's with Ezekiel's vision, after the manner
 in His future self-manifestations) in the mouth of the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ suggests the àтокарадокía of Creation (Rom. viii. 19 ff., Aрос. xxi. i ff.).
 ${ }_{\kappa c \lambda .]}$ The difficult $\delta \Phi \sigma \sigma o v a v$, which is probably the true reading, is not without example, see WH. ${ }^{2}$ Notes, p. 178 , WM. p. 388 , Burton, $\$ 308$; Viteau, Etude, i. pp. 125, 227 ff., and cf. Mc. viii. 35, note. Twonslate: "whensoever the living creatures shall give" (ie. as often as they give) "glory...the Four and twenty Elders shall fall" etc. The two actions are coordinated as simultaneous. Nature and the Church must ever unite in the praise of God; when the one begins
its anthem, it is the signal for the other to fall upon its knees before the Throne. The Seer states this fact, of which the vision made him cognisant, in the form of a law. This concurrence of the kor pos and the íкк入 $\eta \sigma i a$ in the worship of God was keenly realised by the Ancient Church; cf eeg. the Liturgy of St Mark



 $\nu o \dot{y} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda$. There is certainly not less cause for its recognition in an age which like our own is replete with new revelations of the wonders of the physical universe. Every fresh discovers of physical science should deepen the adoration of the faithful.
 the Lxx. (Ps. viii. 6, xxviii. (xxix.) I, xiv. (xcvi.) 7). The phrase is coupled in the N.T. with d $\phi \theta$ apo ia (Rom. ii. 7),
 II, V. I2). Eixapuctia, a word which with its cognate verb is unknown to the canonical books of the Lxx, occurs in a theological sense Paul ${ }^{12}$, Apoc. ${ }^{2}$, and in both the Apocalyptic passages is found in a doxology. While run and $\delta \delta{ }^{2}$ a have regard to the Divine perfections, eixapıatia refers to the Divine gifts in creation and redemptin.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \in i s$ The Living Creatures and the Elders offer their tribute to the Living God; created life adores the Uncreated





 $\kappa \alpha i ́ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \tau i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.







 om каı єктєбӨךбау A каи $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ arm $^{4}$

On $\dot{o}$ ̧̧̂̀ see i．18；here it is evidently
 тоу $\theta \rho \dot{\partial} y o v$ ，though not to the ex－ clusion of the Son，Who is the Father＇s的voporos（iii．21），or of the Spirit， Who is represented by the Seven Spirits before the Throne．With 5 万亏̀ tis toùs aiêvas cf．Deut．xxxii．40，Dan． iv． 3 （ 34 ），Apoc．x．6，xv． 7.
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{u} \tau \epsilon \rho o u \kappa \tau \lambda$.$] Hitherto the Elders$ have been silent assessors；now they rise from their thrones（ $v .4$ ），fall upon their knees，and prostrate themselves
 on the floor of heaven，in readiness to offer their tribute of praise，laying their crowns of victory at the foot of the central Throne．The last act is suggestive either of the homage paid to an overlord，or of the submission of a suppliant，seeking mercy from a conqueror．Cf Plutarch，Lucull．，

 pro P．Sest． 27 ＂hunc Cn．Pompeius， quum in suis castris supplicem abiec．
tumque vidisset，erexit，atque insigne regium，quod ille de suo capite abie－ cerat，reposuit＂；Tac．ann．xy． 29 ＂ad quam［sc．effigiem Neronis］pro－ gressus Tiridates sublatum capite dia－ dema imagini subiecit．＂In Jabbuk， If．55，Pharaoh and the Kiugs of the East are represented as taking off their crowns in the presence of Moses and Aaron．The＇crowns＇of the Elders however were not $\delta$ a $\alpha \hat{\gamma} \eta \mu a \tau a$ but $\sigma$ тéqavo，symbols of victory and eternal life，and in their case the act is equivalent to an acknowledgement that their victory and their glory were from God，and were theirs only of His grace．Cf．Andreas：ov́，$\phi \eta \sigma i$ ，




 addressed the Creator simply as of Áòs ó таитакрáтap．The Elders recognise a relation to Him which the Creation as such cannot claim．He is（1）the Lord，the of revelation，

#   


 Hipp ${ }^{\text {dan }}$ Andr Ar
and (2) their God ( $\dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \dot{\partial} s \dot{\eta}_{\mu \omega} \nu$, cf. iii. $\left.12 \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon o{ }^{\prime} s \mu o u\right)$. On the use of the nominatives $\delta$ кúpıos, $o$ ofós, for the vocatives see Blass, Gr. p. 87. To the $\delta o{ }_{\xi} \sigma$ and $\tau \mu \eta{ }^{\prime}$ which the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ ascribe to God the Elders add ©ivyauts, cf. v. 12, vii. 12, xix. I, and the doxologies in Mt. vi. ${ }^{3}$, T.R., and Didache 8. Glory, honour, and power are rightly ascribed to the Creator of the universe ( $\ddagger \grave{a}$ mávta), which owes its existence to His will. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{H} \sigma a \nu$ кal ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \pi i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a y$ is at first sight perplexing; we expect

 Oùk ที่ซay к. èкт. (Q), 'they were not, and out of that state of non-existence were called into being by the act of creation,' is an ingenious correction. But the better supported $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a v$ also yields a good sense. It places the potential existence of the universe before its creation. The Divine Will had made the universe a fact in the scheme of things before the Divine Power gave material expression to the fact Thus foay looks back to the eternal past, ékrígovaay to the genesis of Nature. Both are ascribed to the Father; His Will was
 Logos was the Agent of Creation:




Of this chapter as a whole it may well be said with Tertullian de coron. ${ }^{5} 5$ "si tales imagines in visione, quales veritates in repraesentatione?"
V. 1-14 The Slaled Book and the Lamb.
 Looking again at the Majesty upon the central Throne the Seer sees a
book-roll upon (emi with acc., ef. xx. I) the open palm of his right hand. Bußiáoy, a roll of papyrus (Maunde Thompson, Palaeography, p. 54 f.);
 $\beta \beta \beta$ iov, Le. iv. 17,20 , and 2 Tim. iv. 13 where $\beta i \beta \lambda i a$ are contrasted with $\mu \in \mu \beta \rho a ̂ v a t$. The present roll was 'sealed down' and made fast (кare$\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ y o v$, cf. Isa. xxix. if ov่

 àvaбт $\rho$ é $\phi=t$ ) with sevell seals, as if to ensure perfect security; cf. Ev. Petr. 8 ; where $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota \sigma a \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a} \quad \sigma \phi \rho a y \hat{\delta} a_{5}$ answers to Mt. xxvii. 66 गु $\sigma \phi{ }^{2} \lambda i \sigma a \nu \tau o-$
 secret as the contents were, the roll was so full that they had overflowed to the verso of the papyrus, so that it was an ò öv tóvoaфov (see Maunde Thompson, p. 59, Hastings, iv. p. 946, and cf. Lucian, vit. auct. 9 ì $\pi \dot{\eta} \rho a . .$. $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \ldots \delta \pi \iota \sigma \theta \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\gamma} \rho a \dot{\phi} \omega \nu \quad \beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda i \omega \nu$, Juv. Sat. i. 6 "summi plena iam margine libri | scriptus et in tergo necdum finitus Orestes"). The description is based




 Apocalyptic roll is sealed against inspection and not offered to the Seer to read. - It contains no doubt the unknown future (i. is á $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon t}$ riveg $\theta a c$ ); it is the Book of Destiny, to be unrolled and read only as the seals are opened by the course of events. The prevalent view of the ancient expositors, beginning with Hippolytus (ed. Lag. p. 159 ё $\lambda a \beta \in \nu$ ởv










#### Abstract

       mod $\lambda o l$ I armeodd aeth $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ me


 that the opening of the seals means the interpretation of the O.T. by the coming and teaching of Christ, or the allegorical interpretation of Scripture




 consistent with the account of the process which is giveu in Apoc. vi. I ff. Apringius is nearer to the truth: "liber hic praesentis est mundi totius creatura"; and better still is the comment of Andreas: $\beta_{i} \beta \lambda_{i o \nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$

 (Einl. ii. p. 596), followed by Nestle (Text. Crit. p. 333), regards the Bu 6 iov as a papyrus in book-form,

 not convincing.
 боәтa кт .] A "strong angel" (․ I, xviii. 21) is needed to be the herald of a challenge addressed to the whole creation. Tísä ätos; cf. Tis ixavós; (2 Cor. ii. 16). The ${ }^{2} \xi$ Los supports his claims on moral grounds; the ixavós, on grounds which prove him capable whether morally or otherwise. In the
present case moral fituess is the only
 order occurs in 2.5 . The hysteron
 $\boldsymbol{\sigma a v}$, is apparent rather than real ; to be able to open the book is the first necessity and therefore takes the first place in the order of thought.
 ${ }_{k \tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] The challenge is not taken up by any being in heaven, on earth, or in Hades. For this threefold division of created life see Phil ii. io $\grave{\epsilon \pi}$ mov-
 an earlier grouping in Exod xx. 4


 quasi-ascensive scale, which has given trouble to the scribes, and the mss. waver between oùst and oüre; the point appears to be that as one after another of the three regions declines the clallenge, the hope that it will be met approaches a vanishing point; cf. Primasius: nec quisquam...neque
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ (here and in v. 4) there is an implied ovzte before àvoi $\bar{\xi}$ a, cf. WM. p. 66. For avoiyetv in reference to a roll see Le. iv. 17 .
 With the unrestrained emotion of one

[^183]in a dream or ecstasy the Seer wept at the result, whether because of his own disappointment, or because of the failure of creation to open the roll. Its inability implied moral incapa-

 ( $\epsilon$ кклнuov) until it was stopped by one of the Elders ( $\epsilon \hat{i} \mathcal{S} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho$.). Here and in vii. is the Elder is merely an interlocutor, as an Angel is on other occasions (xvii. I, xxi. 9), and his intervention has no symbolical meaning. M $\grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda a \hat{i} \neq o c c u r s$ on the lips of Christ in Lc. vii. 13 viii 52 etc., and ti к $\lambda$ aitess in Jo. xx. 13 ff. Higher natures see that homan grief is often needless, springing from insufficient knowledge.
 may be either 'prevailed' (A.V.)


 'overcame' (R.Y.), as in iii. 21. But both the usage of the Johannine books, and the position of evirn $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$, which is separated by a whole line from àpoigat, are in favour of the latter rendering, which places in the forefrout the great historical fact of the victory of the Christ; 'behold, a victory was won by Him Who is the Lion, etc....which gives Him the right to open the book.'


 Blessing of Jacob Judah is the lion of
the tribes (ef. Prov. xxiv. 65 ( xxx .15 )
 Dan is in the Blessing of Moses (Deut. xxxiii. 22); and the noblest son of the tribe of Judah is fitly styled the Lion of that tribe ; cf. Hippolytus, ed.



 кi$\rho t o s ~ \dot{j} \mu \hat{\omega}$. His Judaean origin was bound up in the primitive belief with His descent from David. 'H pi i̧a





 as Messianic in Rom. xv. 12. As the Prophet foresaw, the stump of the old tree of the House of David had sent forth a new David to rule the nations. The Apocalyptist evidently finds satisfaction in this title of Christ, for he

 note) : cf. also c. iii. 7, note.
The Lion of Judah, tho Son of David, conquered the world (Jo. xvi. 33, Apoc. i. 18, iii. 21), and one fruit of His victory is that it belongs to Him to open the seals of God's Book of Destiny, i.e. to carry history onward through successive stages to the final revelation.


#  








The Seer, roused from his dejection by the Elder's idov, looks again, and sees, not a Lion but a Lamb (ajvior). The conception is from Isa. liii. 7 is

 ' $A \mu v o{ }^{\prime} s$ has passed from the exx. into the other passages in the N.T. where Clirist is described as the Lamb (Jo. i. 29, 36 , Acts viii. 32, I Pet. i. 19), but it does not occur in the Apocalypse, which uses rod dovion as a title of our Lord 29 times in 12 chapters. It is possible that the Apocalyptist has taken the latter word from a nouSeptuagintal version of Isaiah, l.c.; or he may have had in view Jer. xi. I9
 The diminutive must not be pressed, since ajpós has no nom., but the contrast of the Lamb with the Lion is sufficiently striking in any case, directing attention to the unique combination of majesty and meekness which characterized the life of Jesus Christ. Cf. Victorinus: "ad devincendam mortem leo, ad patiendum vero pro hominibus tanquam agnus ad occisionem ductus est." 'Erotpròs
 by Isaiah and Jercmiah has taken place and is yielding lasting fruits (perf.), and there are indications of the fact that it has been offered ( $\omega$ s $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi$.$) ; yet the Lamb stands erect$ and alive in the sight of Heaven (cf.
 The position which He occupies in the picture is not quite clear, for
 'between the Throne and the Four Living creatures on the one hand and
the Elders on the other' (cf. Gen. i. 7
 or 'in the midst of all,' the Centrepiece of the whole tableau. But the relative positions of the Throne, the $\zeta \varphi a$, and the Elders (iv. 4, 6), seem to exclude the former interpretation, and the latter is wholly consistent with the general place assigned to the Lamb throughout the Apocalypse. With $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ s$ cf. Acts vii 56 $\theta \in \omega \rho \omega \hat{\omega} . . . \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ viò $\frac{10}{}$
 ध́gròs émi tò ópos इtáy. The position is that of the Priest offering sacrifice (Heb. x. II), and the Lamb is both Sacrifice and Priest. But perhaps $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$. denotes here no more than the restored life and activity of the Victim; cf. vii. 17, xiv. I.
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau+\dot{a} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The horn as the symbol of strength is an old Hebrew metaphor which occurs first in Deut. xxxiii. 17, where Ephraim is said to have the horns of the B N?, Lxx. $\mu$ ovoképos (a species of wild ox); cf. I Regn. ii. I, 10, 3 Regn. xxii. I I, Ps. xvii. (xviii.) 3, exi. (cxii.) 9. In the later books of the O.T. the horn is the symbol of a dynastic force (Zech. i. I8 (ii. I) ff., Dan. vii. 7 ff., viii. 3 ff .); and in this sense it is used in Apoc. xii. 3, xiii. 1, II, xvii. 3 ff. (where see notes). The 'seven horns of the Lamb' symbolize the fulness of His power as the Victorious Christ; cf.


 xc. 37 f . the Messiah appcars as a white with great black homs (see







Charles，$a d$ l．）．With the fulness of strength the Lamb possesses also the fulness of vision，symbolized by seven eyes；cf．iv．6， 8 ，where the $\zeta \bar{\omega} a$ have eyes before and behind，around and within，yet do not possess the plenary illumination ascribed to the Lamb． The Apocalyptist has in view Zech．


 （pֶשְׁupu）．He identifies the＂seven eyes of the Lord，＂which are also the eyes of the Lamb，with the＂seven Spirits of God．＂The eyes of Christ are $\omega \boldsymbol{s} \phi \lambda \dot{\partial} \xi \pi \nu \rho o{ }^{\prime}($ i．14），and the seven Spirits（i．4，note）blaze like torches be－ fore the Throne of God（iv．5）．But in their position before the Throne they are stationary，whilst，as the eyes of the Lamb，they have a mission to all the earth．The reading is uncertain； we have to choose between ajtcota入－
 талле́yoc（A）．The last agrees with
 has the merit of being the harder reading．The seuse in any case is materially the same；the eyes，that is the Spirits，are sent．＇A it can hardly be doubted，has reference to the Mission of the Spirit（cf．Lc．


 $\pi ข \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a$ тov̂ vioû aưtoû cìs тàs кapঠías $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu)$ ，though the Johannine Gospel uses $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon c \nu$ iu this connexion（xiv． 26, xv．26，xvi．7）．A mission of the Spirit to the whole world carries us beyond the earlier conception of His work，yet see Jo．xvi． 8 f ．As the Spirit of Jesus
（Acts xpi．7）and the＂Elyes of the Lamb，＂His mission is oecumenical．
 $\delta \in \underline{g}$ tâs $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］＇And I saw Him go（aor．）， and now He has taken［the book］out of the hand of Him Who sits on the
 viii． 5 єiौخ $\eta \phi \epsilon \nu \ldots$ ．．．кal ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，xi． 17
 similarly joined with an aorist in vii． 13 f．，xix．3．WM．（p．340）holds the perf．in v． 7 ，viii． 5 ，to be simply aoristic；cf．Blass，Gr．p．200，who gives other exx from the Panline Epp．，and from subapostolic litera－ ture．On the other hand see Benson， Apocalypse，p． 150 f ．，who makes a good case for retaining in the Apoca－ lyptic instances a more or less distinct flavour of the sense of the perfect． Here $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$ may point（Weiss， Bousset）to the abiding results of the action，or it may be simply realistic， as explained above．Realism also explains the absence of rò $\beta i \beta \lambda$ ion； the movement is so rapid that the subject is left to be understood．
 The aorist of ordinary narration is resumed．When the Lamb took the roll，the representatives of the animate creation and of the universal Church fell before Him．Прогкúrךбts，though not mentioned as in iv．10，is perhaps implied ；cf．$v .14$ ，where after their praise of God and of the Lamb the
 res $\tilde{\text { encaotos }}$ is probably to be referred to the Elders only，for though the masculines might include the 广命a （cf．$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi}{ }^{\omega \nu}, \mathrm{iv} .7$ f．），the particulars which follow are not appropriate to the








latter. Fach Elder is now seen to carry a к九Aipa, i.e. a lyre or zithern קיתרס (the of the O.T., in Daniel (k'ri (ק) ), the traditionalinstrument of psalmody (cf. Ps. xxxii. (xxxiii.) 2, xcvii. (xcviii.) 5 , cxlvi. (cxlvii.) 7, cl. 3); the word is used again by the Apocalyptist in another description of the celestial music (xiv. 2 ws $\kappa \iota \theta a \rho \omega \hat{\delta} \hat{\omega} \nu$

 their lyres the EIders had golden bowls or saucers (фıá入at, paterae, see xvii. 1), full of incense, such as according to Josephus were placed on the shewbread (antt. iv. 6. 6; in iii. ro. 7 he calls them тivakes, plates). Өvцıá$\mu a r a$, pl., as usually in the Lxx. (Gen. xxxvii. 25, I Chr. vi. 49, Jer. xvii. 26) and elsewhere in this book (Apoc. viii. 3 f., xviii. 13). At probably refers to $\theta v \mu$. and not to фuádas, deriving its gender by attraction (WM. p. 206 f .) from $\pi p o \sigma \epsilon v \chi^{a i}:{ }^{a}(\mathbb{N})$ is the correction of a scribe who has felt the difficulty without realizing the true solution. The prayers of the Church are symbolized by the incense (Ps.



 mody, already an important element in Church worship (I Cor. xiv. I5, 26, Eph. v. I9, Col. iii. I6), is represented by the lyres. The Elders are fitly charged with both, since they represent the Church, and in the act which follows symbolize the Church's adoration of Christ. For ai mporevza', the
normal, familiar, acts of prayer, individual or collective, see Acts ii. 42, Rom. i. 10, I Tim. ii. 1, v. 5, I Pet, iii. 7 , and esp. Apoc. viii. 3 f.

The ceremonial use of incense in the services of the Church, which might have been suggested by this passage, does not seem to have any ante-Nicene support; Christians of the first three centuries were probably deterred from adopting it by the place which it held in pagan worship (cf. Tert. apol. 30, 42, and other passages cited in D.C.A., s. v. 'Incense'). Even 'Silvia' (ed. Gamurrini, p. 49) states the purpose of the thymiamateria in the great Church at Jerusalem to have been merely "ut tota basilica Anastasis repleatur odoribus." The Apostolic Canons, however, recognize iucense as a legitimate accessary at the offering of the Eucharist (can. 3 ovpia $\mu a$ $\tau \bar{\omega}$

 song' ( viavos кatvós) is mentioned in Ps. xxxii. (xxxiii.) 3, xxxix. (xl.) 4, xev. (xcvi.) I, xevii. (xcviii.) I, cxliii. (cxliv.) 9, cxlix. 1, Isa. xlii. 1o. Originally denoting only a fresh song of praise, the phrase lent itself especially to songs composed for great occasions; e.g. in Isa. l. c. the new song springs out of a prophecy of the new order which is to be inaugurated by the Servant of Jehovah; and similarly Judith's paean over the death of Holofernes is a $\mathbf{v} \mu \mathrm{pos}$ кatvós (Judith xvi. 13). In the Apocalypse it is appropriately used for the Church's
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praise of Redemption (cf. xiv. 3); the
 (ii. 17 , iii. 12), the ' $1 e \rho o v \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ Nav' (iii. 12, xxi. 2), the oupavòs кatvos kail
 of the great Christian prophecy.
 Elders recognize in Christ the absolute moral worthiness which has qualified Him to take the Book of Destiny from the hand of God and open its seals
 $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \tau$ às $\sigma \phi \rho .$, v. 2). This ágtórys is based neither on His unique relation to God, nor on the perfection of His human life, but on the fact of His
 é $\sigma \phi a \gamma \mu \dot{\prime} \nu 0 \nu)$. $\Sigma \phi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ is used to describe the Death of Christ only in this book ( $v v .6,9,12$, xiii. 8), where its use is due to Isar iii. 7 w's $\pi \rho o ́ \beta$ cato $\dot{i} \pi \bar{i} \sigma \phi a \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \bar{\eta} \chi \partial \eta$; it is interesting to find it occurring also in references to the martyrdoms which were trying the faith of the Churches of Asia (vi. 9, xviii. 24). Other Apostolic writings speak of Christ as 'crucified' or 'sacrificed,' or simply as having 'died.' 'Ayopá̧єı, a Pauline word (I Cor. vi. 20, vii 23 , and in the compound ex $\xi a \gamma$., Gal. iii. 13, iv. 5), is used in this sense elsewhere only in Apoc. (here and xiv. 3 f.) and in 2 Peter (ii. 1); it rings with echoes of the Greek adonai, familiar both to St Paul and St John. The 'purchase'
was made with the Blood of the slain Lamb ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ aituati gov, where ty denotes the price, as in i. 5 入viбaurt
 I Cor. vi. 20 , 1 Pct. i. 18 ff . It was made "for God," the thing purchased being destined for His service (Rom. vi. 22, I Cor. l.c.). In what it consisted, ie., what was purchased, appears in the words that follow: ék $\pi$ apo $\quad \phi \quad \lambda \lambda \bar{\eta} \kappa \kappa \pi \lambda$., 'representatives of every nationality, without distinction of race or geographical or political distribution ${ }^{\text {' }}$; cf. vii. 9 , xiv. 6 and the similar enumerations in x. 11 , xi. 9, xiii. 7, xvii. 15 . The origin of the phrase is perhaps to be sought in Dan. iii. 4, 7, v. 19, vi. 25 : cf. also 4 Esdr. iii. 7 (I6). The scope which it assigns to the redemptive virtue of the Cross is less wide than that which is contemplated in I Tim. ii. 3 f., I Jo. ii. 2 ; but the 'new song' refers only to those in whom Redemption has become effective by. their incorporatimon in the Body of Christ. The oecumenical mission of the Church is, however, fully recognized; the Seer sees in it a worldwide Empire extending far beyond the shores of the Mediterranean and the sway of the Caesars.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] A further result of the Lamb's Sacrifice. Those whom He purchased He made a Kingdom and
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priests unto God. Cf. i. 6 ėmoingev

 тои̂ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$, кal $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aùroí, and see notes on both verses. The fact that this chord is struck thrice in the Apoc. seems to imply special familiarity on the part of both writer and readers with the words as well as the thought: possibly they entered into a primitive hymn which may



 is perhaps to be preferred; the reign of the Saints had begun in the life of the Spirit, though in the fuller sense it was yet future: cf. Mt. v. 3, 5



 $\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. For the future, see Apoc. xx. 6, xxii. 5.

The 'new song' vindicates for Jesus Christ the unique place which He has taken in the history of the world. By a supreme act of self-sacrifice He has purchased men of all races and nationalities for the service of God, founded a vast spiritual Empire, and converted human life into a priestly service and a royal dignity. He who has done this is worthy to have committed into His hands the keeping of the Book of Destiny, and to break its Seals and unroll its closely packed lengths; to preside over the whole
course of events which connects His Ascension with His Return.
 d $\gamma \gamma \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \mathrm{\pi} \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] A new feature in the vision introduced by a fresh каі єídov (v. 1, 2, 6, vi. 1, $2,5,8,9,12$ etc.; .cf. iv. I, note). Except the 'Hierophant' (iv. 1), and the Strong Angel of v. 2, this vision has been hitherto without angelic appearances; now at length the Angels are seen in their myriads, forming a vast ring around and therefore outside the Elders, who are themselves around the central Throne (cf. iv. 4). The Seer gives their numbers from Dan. vii. 10:

 Enoch xiv. 22 кúк $\lambda \omega$ ни́pıat $\mu \nu \rho \operatorname{cádes}$
 1, lxxi. 8, and Heb. xii. 22 f. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta-$
 of all these computations is probably


 Ps. Invii. (lxviii.) I8. With the phrases $\mu \nu \rho เ a ́ \delta \epsilon \varepsilon \quad \mu \nu \rho t a ́ \delta \partial \nu, \chi^{\lambda \lambda t a ́ \partial \epsilon s} \chi^{2} \lambda t a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ cf. Gen. xxiv. 60 yiuov els $\chi^{\text {i } \lambda t a ́ o i a s ~}$ $\mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$, Num. x. $3^{6}$ रıııádas $\mu \nu \rho t a ́-$
 The voice of this vast concourse -a $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á $\eta \eta$ ф $\varphi \nu \eta$ indeed-is a shout rather than a song. There is no mention of kıtcupat or cior' here; the Angels simply acclaim the Lamb as worthy.
 äkus $\boldsymbol{f}$ as in $v .9$. The terms, more-

$\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̀ v \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \alpha \mu \nu$ каi $\pi \lambda о и ̆ \tau о \nu$ каi бофíaע каi
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over, are more general-тò é $\sigma \phi a \gamma \mu$ évop for öтt $\epsilon \sigma \phi \dot{a} \eta \eta s$, and for $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$ т̀̀ $\beta i \beta \lambda i o \nu$ the usual $\lambda$. rì $\nu \delta \dot{v} v a \mu u \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. (iv. II). The Angels stand outside the mystery of Redemption, though they are far from being uninterested spectators (Eph. iii. Io, I Pet, i. 12), and recognize both the grandeur of the Lord's sacrificial act, and its infinite merit. The doxology which they offer to the Lamb is even fuller than that which in iv. II is offered by the Elders to the Creator, for to glory and honour and power it adds riches, wisdom, strength, and blessing. ІІлои̃тоs, шофía, íruùs, єủ̀oyia, are specially appropriate in a doxology offered to Christ; cf. 2 Cor. viii. 9




 For $\pi \lambda$ ди̂tos and $i \sigma \chi u{ }^{\prime} s$ in a doxology see I Chron. xxix. if f. The seven attributes form a heptad of praise which leaves nothing wanting in the Angels' acclamation of the Lamb. Arethas compares Mt. xxviii. 18 édín



 9 f.).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] A still wider circle offers its doxology. The whole Creation is summoned from its four great fields of life (cf. v. 3); the Sea is now added explicitly. The gathering is no longer representative only, but exhaustive, not one created thing being omitted
 occurs first in Sirach and Wisdom, where it seems to be distinguished from ( $\eta$ ) кriбus; in the N.T. (Jag $\mathbf{i}$. 18, I Tim. iv. 4, Apoc. v. 13, viii. 9) it is invariably concrete, 'a creature,' 'a created thing.' The Seer does not himself see Creation rising in its innumerable forms of life to offer its doxology; this is no part of the vision which comes to him through the open door. But he hears the roar of the great acclamation as it rises to heaven, and it is heard also within the circle round the Throne, for the seta respond ( $\boldsymbol{v}$. 14). John's nearness to the Throne, or (what is the same thing) the elevation of his spirit, enables him to voice the purpose of universal Nature; he becomes conscious that it exists only to glorify God aud the Lamb.
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 Throne belongs to God and to the Lamb conjointly (see iii. 2I note); but the offering of the doxology to Both in the same terms is scarcely less significaut. While the Angels' doxology was sevenfold, the Creation's is fourfold, consisting of the last three points in the former, with the addition of крátos which takes the place of is oús, active power being here in view rather than a reserve of secret strength (ef. Eph. i. 19, vi. 10). This fourfold attribution of praise agrees with the character of those who offer it, for four is the number of the creature; see Mc. xiii. 27, Apoc. iv. 6, vii. 1 ; Iren.

 $\pi \nu \in \dot{\epsilon} \mu a \pi a$. It is perhaps not without meaning that each of the perfections named is separately emphasized by the article ( $\dot{\eta}$ єj̉dovia к. $\dot{\eta} \quad \tau \mu \eta)$ к. $\dot{\eta}$ §óǵa к. тò крáros): contrast $v .12 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 whole ; the exaltation of the Lamb is not temporary but enduring.
 'A $A \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ] The heavenly representatives of animate creation confirm the dosology which rises from the earth.


 เбтia Justin, apol. i. 65 тâs $\begin{gathered}\text { onapò }\end{gathered}$
 The words are probably suggested by the familiar 'Amen' with which at Ephesus and elsewhere in Asia the Seer's own Eucharistic thanksgiving had always been ended. The whole passage is highly suggestive of the devotioual attitude of the Asiatic Church in the time of Domitian towards the Person of Christ. It confirms Pliny's report "[Christianos] carmen Christo quasi deo dicere secum invicem," and the statement




 єкivqбav] The whole service of praise ends with a fresh act of homage on the part of the Church's representatives. Here as in iv. io it is the Elders who prostrate themselves. The deepest homage is due from the Church, which has been redeemed and made a royal priestliood unto God.
VI. I-17. The Openivg of the pirgt six seals.
I. кai $\left.\epsilon^{d} \delta o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda.\right]$ The vision proceeds (on kai єìiov see v. 1, 6, 11). The Lamb, who has already taken the roll (v. 7), now opens the seals one by one. The first four openings (vo. 1-8) form a series, marked by a commou note ; each is preceded by an utterance from one of the four $\zeta \bar{\varphi} a$, and









 syrsw $\left.^{\text {| }} \boldsymbol{\nu i \kappa \omega \nu}\right]$ proA arm ${ }^{2,4}$
followed by the appearance of a horse and his rider, whose significance is partly explained.
 ex with a partitive genitive is especially frequent in the Apoc., cf. Blass, Gr. p. 96 f. The writer declines to say which seal was opened first, or which of the $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a$ began ; neither point is material ' $\Omega s$ ф $\alpha \nu \hat{\eta}$ हिoutî̀s, ef. xiv. 2, xix. 6 , and for the instrumental dative see v. 12, vi, 10; $\phi \omega \nu_{i j}^{\nu}(\mathbb{N})$, $\phi \omega \nu \overline{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{s}$ ( P ), are corrections. It is umnecessary to create an irregularity 'by reading $\phi \omega \nu$ ' (with Tischendorf, Bousset, Nestle).
Each of the $\zeta \hat{\varphi}, a$ in succession thunders out his $\stackrel{\ddot{\epsilon}}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \text { Xov (ve. 1, } 3,5,7 \text { ). }}$ The scribes have understood this as a call to the Seer, and many mss. ac-
 see app. crit. But (I) devipo would have been the natural word to invite the approach of the Seer; and (2) no reason can be shewn why he should have been called within the door and across the Sea in order to witness the visions which follow. Many ancient interpreters, regarding the white horse as the "verbum praedicationis" (Victorinus, cf. Zahn, Einl. ii. p. 689), explain $V_{e n i}$ as the summons to faith (e.g. Apringius : " eeni dicitur invitatio ad fidem"). But throughout the Apoc.自 $\rho_{\chi \in \sigma} \theta a t$ is used of the comings of

iv. 8; ${ }^{\epsilon} p \chi^{\circ} \mu a \iota$, ii. 5,16 , iii. 1 r, xvi. 15 ,
 xxii. 17, 20). The last two references help to determine the meaning of 'exov here; the 'Come' of the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$ corresponds to the 'Come' of the Spirit and the Bride, and of the hearer and the writer of the book (xxii. 17, 20); Nature no less than the Spirit in redeemed Man calls for the coming of the Chisist. Thus the fourfold epxou
 т̂̀s ктíge each crisis in the preparatory process becomes vocal in the ear of the prophet.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The vision of the four horsemen, distinguished by the colour of their horses, who follow successively the opening of the first four seals, has evidently been suggested by Zech. vi.




廿apó (grizzled bay). Zechariah's four horses are "the four winds of heaven" ( $v .5$ ), and their mission is to execute judgement upon Babylon, Egypt, and the other heathen nations of the world. The Apocalyptist borrows only the symbol of the horses and their colours, and instead of yoking the horses to chariots he sets on each

## 3 каi ïva vıкйбท. <br>  

<br> 

of them a rider in whom the interest of the vision is centred.

In the first vision the horse is white, the rider carries a bow and receives a conqueror's crown ( $\sigma r$ '́ $\phi$ avos); he goes forth, it is noted, as a conqueror, and with the purpose of winning fresh
 It is tempting to identify him with the Rider on the white horse in xix. 1 If., whose name is 'the Word of God'; cf. Iren. iv. 2 I. 3 "ad hoc enim nascebatur Dominus...de quo et Ioannes in Apocalypsi ait Exivit vincens, ut oinceret." But the two riders have nothing in common beyond the white horse; the details are distinct; contrast e.g. the סıaסףं $\mu a \tau a \operatorname{\pi o\lambda \lambda á~of~}$ xix. 12 with the single orédayos here,
 A vision of the victorious Christ would be inappropriate at the opening of a series which symbolizes bloodshed, famine, and pestilence. Rather we have here a picture of triumphant militarism. The lust of conquest which makes great Empires, whether the Seer had in view the Empire of the Caesars or the Parthian power which menaced it (for, as Prof. Ramsay says (Letters, p. 58), the bow points specially to the latter; cf. Mommsen, röm. Gesch. v. 389), was the first and most momentous of the precursors of the final revelation.

In a Roman triumphal procession the victorious general did not ride a white horse, but was seated in a four-horse car (Ramsay, Letters, l.c.). Yet white was the colour of victory; cf. Verg. Aen. iii. 537 "quattuor hic, primum omen, equos in gramine vidi | tondentes campum late candore nivali"; on which Servius remarks, "hoc ad victoriae omen
pertinet." Moreover the horses which drew the quadriga were on occasions white; see Plutarch, Camill. 7



 but cf. Dio Cassius, $H$. R. xliii. 14 (C. Julius Caesar) tà émivixıa tà



 his rider vanish, bent on the career of conquest (iva $\nu \kappa \kappa \eta \sigma \eta$ ), the Lamb opens the second seal, and there comes forth another horse, not white

 ai $\mu a)$; the word is used of the redbrown of the heifer (Num. xix. 2), and here, as in Zech. i. 8, vi 2, of the roan of the horse, not however without allusion to its proper meaning. The rider on the red horse has received ( ${ }^{\prime} \delta \dot{\delta} o \partial \eta$ aú $\left.\tau \omega\right)$ a great sword, as a symbol of his mission. Máxąpa may be either a knife carried in a sheath at the girdle (Jo. xviii. IO), or a weapon for use in war (see Hastings, D. B. iv. 634); this one is clearly of the latter sort, and it is large of its kind ( $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \lambda \eta$ ).

Together with the sword the second rider had received power to plunge the world into war; his sword was not the symbol of civil justice (Rom. xiii. 4) but of bloodshed. "It was given him to take Peace ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\nu} \nu \in \dot{\rho} \rho \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu\right)$ from off the earth and (to cause men) to slay one another"-the negative and positive sides of warfare. The construction is rugged and broken, as if in sympathy with the subject ( $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha a \theta$.
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 fins). For iva with the fut. ind. see WM. p. $360 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Blass}, G r$. p. 211 f.; other exx. may be found in Apoc. iii. 9 , vi. 11 , viii. 3 , ix. 4 f., 20 , xiii. 12 , 16(?), xiv. 13 , xxii. 14
If the first Seal has been interpreted rightly, there can be little difficulty in explaining the second. Victory, white-horsed and crowned, wears another aspect when viewed in the lurid light of the battlefield Triumph spells much bloodshed and slaughter in the past, and the maintenance and extension of an Empire based on conquest demands more in the future. On the sword as the emblem of Roman domination see Mommsen, röm. Gesch., l. c.
 $\tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The breaking of the third seal lets loose a black horse. Bloodshed is not the only attendant upon couquest; Scarcity follows. The rider
on the black horse is not named, but this deseription leaves no doubt who he is. He carries in his hand, not bow or sword, but the beam of a pair of scales. For the meaning of suyós



 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho o v$; the masc. is found also in the uxx., wherever the gender can be determined, and in Mt. xi 29 f
 $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$. $\left\{\varphi^{\circ} \omega \nu\right.$ ]. Lest this rider should not he sufficiently identified by his equipment, there comes from the midst of the S $\varphi$ a what sounds like a voice ( $\omega$ s, ef. v. II, vi. I, xix. I, 6), the protest of Nature against the horrors of famine.
 a maximum price for the main foodstuffs. The denarius, the silver 'frauc' of the Empire, was the daily wage (Mt. xx. 2), and a choenix of wheat










the average daily consumption of the workman (Suidas: $\dot{\eta}$ خà $\rho \chi^{o i v} \psi \hat{\xi} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta}-$ clos too 申 $\eta^{\prime}$, cf. Athens. iii. 20). Barley was largely the food of the poor, as being relatively cheaper than wheat,

 in N.T. times the proportionate cost was probably as three to one, as the Apocalyptist puts it here ( $\chi^{o i v c} \xi$ kirov,
 sent the Hebrew $ת$ Iַ in Ezek. xiv. Io f. $\mathbf{L x x} .$, i.e., $60-70$ pints (Hastings, D. B. iv. 912); but the Greek measure in view was something under two pints ; the Vg. renders $\chi^{0 i \nu} \boldsymbol{\psi} \xi$ here by bilibris. The proclamation, then, forbids famine prices, ensuring to the labourer a sufficiency of bread, and warning the world against such a rise in the price of cereals as would deprive men of the necessaries of life. A similar embargo is laid on any attempt to destroy the liquid food of the people-rò Ë̀ azov cai tò $\nu$ oivod $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ad $\delta t k \dot{\eta} \sigma z$, -the prohibition is addressed to the nameless rider who represents Dearth. The oliveyards and vineyards are not to suffer at all. In Th. Litteraturzeitung, 1902 (22, p. 591) Harnack points to a decree of Domidian in A.D. 92 which implies that the grape harvest was abundant at a time when there was a corn famine: cf. also Rev. Archéol. ser. iii. t. xxxix. 1901 (Nov.-Dec.), pp. 350-374 (I owe these references to Dean Bernard).

Wheat and barley, oil and wine, were the staple food both of Palestine and Asia Minor, and the voice from the midst of the ك $\varsigma$ a deprecates any heavy loss in these crops. Yet the very cry reveals the presence of relative hardships, and the danger of worse things;

 iii. $432 a$.

On ádixeíd to 'injure,' hurt, see ii. if, note.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] At the opening of the fourth seal, after the call from the fourth $\zeta \varphi \underline{\rho}$, , another horse is seen, described as $\chi$ capos, which the Apocalyptist substitutes for Zechariah's moккìos $\psi$ após. In the LxX. and N.T. $\chi^{\lambda}$ no oós is the usual epithet of $\chi$ ópros,
 26, Ezek. xvii. $\mathbf{2 4}_{4}$ Mc. vi 39, Apoc. viii. 7), and $\pi \hat{a} \nu \chi^{\lambda}$ copóv is 'vegetation' generally (Gen. ii. 5, Apoc. ix. 4). But "equas viridis" (Tart. pud. 20) is scarcely tolerable, even in this book of unimaginable symbols; $\chi^{\lambda \omega}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ os must bear here its other meaning, 'of pale complexion'; the word is used especially in reference to the grey, ashen colour of a face bleached by
 'pale' horse is the symbol of Terror, and its rider a personification of Death (ot Gávaros, as in i. 18, ix. 6, xx. 13 f., xxi. 4 ; cf. 1 Cor. xv. 26, 54 f.), with whom follows-whether on



 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \eta \nu \quad \sigma \phi \rho \alpha \gamma \hat{i} \delta \alpha$, єî̀ò viтока́тш тồ $\theta v \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ o u$





the same or another horse or on foot the writer does not stop to say or even to think-his inseparable comrade, Hades (i. I6, note, xx. 13 f.). -
 A far wider commission is given to the fourth rider than to the second; his authority extends over a fourth of the earth (cf. viii. 7 ff .), and his opportunities of exercising it are manifold. Tò tétaptoy shews that this is no mere commonplace of human mortality, but describes an unusual visitation, in which Death is busy in various forms.
 Anpicy-the 'four sore judgements' of


 cf. Lev. xxvi. 23 ff., Jer. xxi. 7, Ezek. y. 12-17, xxix. 5, xxxiii. 27, xxxiv. 28. In these O.T. passages do dápatos is $=$ = הֶַּּ, pestilence; and such is doubtless the meaning of $\begin{aligned} & \text { apát } \\ & \omega\end{aligned}$ here, as distinguished from other causes of mortality. On ${ }^{\text {po }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \phi$ aía see Apoc. i . 16, note. The devastations caused by wild beasts are perhaps mentioned chiefly because they belong to Ezekiel's list of judgements. But they suggest the depopulation caused by war, dearth, and pestilence (cf. Deut. vii. 22), and so have a special fitness in this context.

The first group of seal-openings, now completed, describes the condition of the Empire as it revealed itself to the mind of the Seer. He
saw a vast world-wide power, outwardly victorious and eager for fresh conquests, yet full of the elements of unrest, danger, and misery; war, scarcity, pestilence, mortality in all its forms, abroad or ready to shew themselves. This series of pictures repeats itself in history, and the militarism and lust of conquest, which it represents both in their attractive and repellent aspects, are among the forces set loose by the hand of Christ to prepare the way for His coming and the final publication of the secrets of the Sealed Book.
 $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta a]$ The Lamb continues to open the seals, but no ${ }^{*} E \rho \chi^{o v}$ comes from the $\zeta \omega a$; the history of the world-wide Empire has been exhausted by the first four. With the fifth seal the Church comes into sight, in its persecuted, suffering, state. While the Empire was pursuing its victorious course through bloodshed and death, the Church followed the steps of 'the Lamb that was slain.' The loosing of the fifth seal interprets the age of persecution, and shews its relation to the Divine plan of history.
 An altar is mentioned also in viii. 3,5 , ix. 13, xi. I, xiv. 18, xvi. 7 , where see notes. Though no altar appears in the vision of $c$. i ., its existence is assumed by the article, perhaps on the ground that the heavenly worship which the Seer had witnessed is the
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àjritutov of the earthly ; ef. Heb.viii. 5. The altar here in view is the counterpart of the Altar of Burnt Offering, and the victims which have been offered at it are the martyred members of the Church, who have followed their Head in the example of His

 ( $\psi v u^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ) are seen "under the altar," because in the Levitical rite the blood, which is the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ (Lev. xvii.
 av่тov́ éativ), was poured out at the foot of the altar (Lev. iv. 7 rầ to
 той $\theta_{\nu \sigma \iota a \sigma t \eta p i o v: ~ c f . ~ P i r q e ~ A b o t h ~ 26) . ~}^{\text {2 }}$ They had been slain 8ià tò̀ $\lambda o ́ y o v ~ t o u ̂ ~$
 phrase repeated with a slight change from i. 9 , and found again with variations in xii. II, I7, xix. Io, xx. 4 If the two causes of martyrdom are to be sharply distinguished, as the repeated ס́á seems to indicate, the first will be the martyrs' confession of the One Living and True God, as against polytheism and Caesarism, and the second their witness to Jesus Christ. In mart. Polyc. 9 the test offered to


 $\psi^{u} \chi^{\alpha ́ s}$ see Tertullian anim. 8 "animae corpus invisibile carni, spiritui vero visibile est."
 Beatus: "animarum verba ipsa sunt desideria"; cf. Bar. iii. 4 As the blood of Abel cried for vengeance on Cain
 xii 24), so in the ears of the Seer the souls of the martyrs (i.e. their sacrificed lives) called aloud for judgement on the pagan world. It was a quousque tandem? 'how long, Master Holy and True, dost thou not judge and avenge? ${ }^{2}$ For $\tilde{\epsilon} \omega$ по́тє see Mc. ix. 19, and ef. Exod xvi. 28 ש̈̈ $\omega$ s rivos; 2 Esdr. xii. 16

 occurs in the voc., whether alone or with Kúptos (Gen. xv. 2, 8, Jer. iv. 10,
 see Blass, Gr. p. 87 . Christ is $\dot{o} \mu$ óvas סєбтóт力s кal кúpıos $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ in Jude 4 (cf. 2 Pet. ii. 1), and receives the epithets äycos, à $\lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu o ́ s$ in Apoc. iii. 7 ; but in a passage so full of O.T. reminiscences as this is, the Person
 Father, as in Lc. ii. 29, Acts iv. 24. The martyrs being Christ's are also God's (I Cor. iii. 23), and the holiness and truth of the Supreme Master demand the punishment of a world responsible for their deaths. The words only assert the principle of Divine retribution, which forbids the exercise of personal revenge (Rom.


 it was long before this was fully understood, and the Acts of the martyrs relate many instances in which the sufferers met their judges with threatenings of the coming wrath, not always free from the spirit of vindictiveness; even Polyc. mart. If
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shews something of this tendency. It is not however to be read into this quousque, as the fiery Tertullian more than once implies; cf. Bede: "non haec odio inimicorrm, pro quibus in hoc saeculo rogaverunt, orant, sed amore aequitatis."

Ov̇ крíveıs кai ékónceis: 'dost Thou refrain from pronouncing judgement and executing vengeance.' Cf. Lc.



 which goes far to answer many questions in theodicy. 'Eкঠıкєív qò aipá timos ék occurs again in xix. 2; cf.
 xxxii. 41 A, 43, Hos. i. 4, Joel iii 2r A, and ékóckeì ék in Dent. xviii. 19; other combinations are éx $\delta$. tıyá, 1 Regn. xiv. 24 ; $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́$ tivos, 1 Macc. xiii. 6; 光 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \imath \boldsymbol{\nu}$, Jer. v. 9,29 ; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ tiva, Hos ii. 13, iv. 9, Soph. i. 8, 12 ff.; àmó tıvos, Lc. xviii. 3 .
 $\lambda \epsilon v \kappa \eta$ ] The present condition of the martyrs is revealed. (1) They have received a white robe (see iiit 4 f ., iv. 4 , vii. 9,13 , xix. 14 and cf. Le Blant, Les Actes des Martyrs, p. 240, n. 2; on $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ ' see Mc. xii. 38, note); the honours of victory have already been conferred upon them individually ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha_{\sigma \tau c}$ ), though the general and public award is reserved for the Day of the Lord. The Ascension of Isaiah rightly represents the "white array" of the Saints as stored up for them in the seventh heaven, ready against the day when they will descend with Christ (iv. 16), after which all the righteous
are seen "in their celestial apparel" (ix. 9 "existentes in stolis excelsis"). But the martyr's individual victory is assured as soon as he is 'with Christ'; he knows himself a conqueror, while on earth the Church recognizes his victory by adding his name to her hagiographies.
 ктג.] On the other hand (2) for their full reward, for the triumph which they will share with Christ, they must await the completion of the martyrologion. But their waiting is qualified by two considerations; (1) it is but
 cf. év тáXet, тađú, i. 1, xxii. 6 f., 12, 20 -the exact phrase occurs again in another connexion, xx. 3 ; cf. Heb. x. $37 \mu$ ккрò ö́ $\sigma o y$ ö $\sigma o \nu$ ); and (2) the waiting is a rest ; they are not bidden simply to wait (ìa т $\left.\rho о \sigma к а \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu\right)$,

 ко́т $\omega \nu$ aj $\boldsymbol{z} \omega \omega$ ). The delay is itself a part of the reward; to the Church on earth it may be irksome, to the martyrs themselves it is an dंvíanaves. Further, the cause of the delay is revealed. They are kept waiting ${ }_{\text {ćc }} \omega$ s
 the number of their fellow-slaves is fully made up. For this use of $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{u} \nu$, cf. Mt. xxiii. 32, I Thess. ii. I6; and for the idea see Baruch xxx. 2 "aperientur promptuaria in quibus custoditus erat numerus animarum iustarum ${ }^{n}$; and cf. the Anglican Order for the Burial of the Dead: "that it may please Thee...shortly to accomplish the number of Thine Elect, and to hasten Thy Kingdom." The harder












reading $\pi \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ implies a scarcely tolerable ellipse of т̀̀̀ $\delta \rho \rho_{\mu}{ }^{\circ}$ (Acts xiii. 25, xx. 24, 2 Tim. iv. 7) or to $\nu$
 rest of the saints (cf. Mt. xviii. 28 ff ., Col. i. 7, iv. 7, Apoc. xix. 10 , xxii. 9); oi d $\delta \in \lambda \phi$ oi auer $\bar{\omega} \nu$ is limited by the participial clause which follows to the rest of the martyrs; kai...kat, both the saints in general, and the martyrs
 the Apocalyptist foresees an age of persecution impending, cf. ii. Io, iii. Io. The sufferers in the outbreak under Nero are awaiting those who will suffer under Domitian and under other persecuting Emperors who are yet to come. On the form àmoктévעє $\sigma \theta a t$ see WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 176, Blass, Gr. p. 4I, 55.

There is a remarkable parallel to this passage in 4 Esdr. iv. 35 f. "no me de his interrogaverunt animas insthrum in prumptuariis suis dicentes Usquequo spero sic? et quando vent fructus areal mercedis nostrae? Et respondit ad eas Hieremihel archangelus et dixit Quando impletus fuerit numerus similium vobis." It is difficult to believe that the Esdras writer or his redactor has not here been indebted to the Christian apocalypse ; but see Enc. Bibl. ii., col. I 394 -
 т $\boldsymbol{T} \nu$ etc $\kappa \tau \eta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The first five openings had revealed the condition of the
world and of the Church; the sixth opening looks on to the troubles which were expected to precede the end. The sufferings of the nations and of the Church were but an $\dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}{ }^{\omega} \delta i \nu_{\omega} \nu$ (Mc. xiii. 8); with the opening of the sixth seal the cosmical disturbances of the last age begin ; cf. Mc. xiii. 24 ff .
 exeivq $k \tau \lambda$. First there is a great earthquake, not one of the ociaroi ката̀ то́movs of which Asia had much experience in the first century, but the final upheaval of Mag. ii. 6


 $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau a$ Td $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta$, where the last words supply the key to the meaning of the symbolism : racial and social revolulions are the $\sigma \in i \sigma \mu o i$ which herald the approach of the end.
 трixivos, kr ג.] The earthquake is followed by the celestial phenomena which find a place in all apocalyptic descriptions of the last day : cf. Joel
 бтрафи́णетаи єis бко́тоs каl $\dot{\eta}$ бе $\lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$


 $\phi \omega \bar{s}$ au $\boldsymbol{\eta} \bar{\eta}$ : (Mc. xiii. 24): ib. 1. 3














Assumption of Moses, 10. 5f. "sol non dabit lumen et in tenebras convertet se; cornua lunae confringentur et tota convertet se in sanguinem." इáккоs т $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}$ vos, Vg. saccus cilicinus, made of the hair of the black goat; cf. Isa. 1. 3, and Sirach xxv . 17 бкөтoî rò
 well depicts the deep copper colour which the moon assumes when totally eclipsed; with $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ö $\lambda \eta$ contrast
 $\lambda \eta \eta \eta s$. Eclipses and occultations of the heavenly bodies are treated in Eccl. xii. 2 as symbols of old age and failing strength: here they seem to represent the decay of society, such a period of collapse as followed the ruin of the Empire, and may yet be in store for our present civilization.
 бay кrג.] The stars fell from the sky as unripe figs fall when the tree is swept by a gale. Cf. Isa xxxiv. 4



 saw the terrible vision realised ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ aav). "Oגvp日o are the green figs (grossi) which appear in winter and of which, while some ripen, many fall off in spring : cf. Cant. ii. II ff. © $\chi \in \epsilon \mu \omega$
 av่̉ท̂s ( that during the Ministry the fig-tree supplied our Lord with a parable of
the Last Things (Mc. xiii. 28). Its early greenness suggested the approaching end of the world's long winter, proclaiming 'Eypis tò $\theta$ épos

 $\mu \in \nu о y$.
 'The heaven was parted ${ }^{\text {' }}$ cf. Acts
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$. Here the exact sense is deternined by what follows: ws
 roll ( $\mathbf{v}$. I) when it is being rolled up';
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon(\omega \mu a)$ was seen to crack and part, the divided portions curling up and forming a roll on either hand. The conception is borrowed from Isa.
 vós, cf. Ps. ci. (cii.) 27 बंबei $\pi \epsilon p t \beta o ́ \lambda a t o \nu$ eni $\xi \in s$ aùroús. The writer of 2 Peter explains the cause of the phenomenon






 Jer. iv. 24. But to 'move mountains' was a proverbial expression for attempting apparent impossibilities, cf. Mc. xi. 23, note, I Cor. xiii. 2; whilst the residence of the Seer in Patmos suggests a reference to the rocky islands of the Aegean. The last








#### Abstract

    TクS opyos $\mathrm{syr}^{\mathrm{gw}}$


times held in store movements not less improbable than the upheaval of Mt Sipylos or Messogis or Cadmos，or the submerging of Patmos or Samos， or even the whole archipelago；move－ ments，however，not disastrous in their ultimate results，but issuing in a higher order，cf．Arethas：єilıçнóv тıva kai
 $\downarrow \hat{\eta} \sigma o s$, i．e．$\pi \hat{a} \nu \stackrel{\text { ö．каіे }}{\pi a} \sigma a \nu$, ；cf．WM． p． 66 r ．
 Seven conditions of life are named， covering the whole fabric of society from the Emperor down to the meanest slave．For oi Bacileis rins $\gamma \bar{\eta} s$ ，the heads of states hostile to the Christ， see Ps．ii． 2 ff．，Acts iv． 26 ff．；the Caesars are in view here，but inot exclusively；of the other persons in authority who are named the $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{a}-$ $\dot{\nu} \in s$（magistratus）are the civil officials （e．g．the persecuting proconsuls），while the $\chi^{i \lambda i}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi^{0}$ o（tribuni）are the military authorities（cf．Mc．vi．2I，note）；the former word is frequently coupled with $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$ ís（Jon．iii．7，Isa．xxxiv． 12，Jer．xxv． 18 （xlix．38），xxxii． 5 （xxy．19），Dan．v． 2 f．Th．，vi．17）； $\chi^{i \lambda i a \rho \chi o s}$ is the Lxx．equivalent of方家 and in the N．T．（e．g．，Acts xxi． 31 ff．，xxii． 24 ff．，xxiii． 10 ff．，xxiv． 22）usually represents the Roman tribunus militum（see Blass on Acts l．c．）；it is therefore not necessary to find an allusion in the use of the
word to the Parthian as contrasted with the Roman authorities（Mommsen v． 343 f．cited by Bousset）．

кail oi $\pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~ к \pi \lambda$ ．］Not only officials will be terror－struck by the signs of the approaching end，but all classes of society；wealth and physical strength will afford no security（for oi ioxupoi see Jer．xxvi．（xlvi．） 5 f．，xxxi．（xlviii．） 14）；slaves and free－the contrast indicates the deepest of class－distinc－ tions in ancient life－will be huddled together in the frantic attempt to


 тà хєєротоі́ŋта па́ита катакри́ұоvбเц，
 $\sigma_{\chi} \tau \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \nu_{*}$

16．кaì 入éyov


 were quoted by our Lord on His way to the cross，Le．xxiii． 30 тóte ă $\rho$ govta $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тois ö $\rho \in \sigma \sigma \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．What sinners dread most is not death，but the revealed Presence of God．There is deep psychological truth in the remark

 The Apocalyptist foresees the same shrinking from the sight of God in the last generation of mankind which Genesis attributes to the parents of the race．But there will then be a
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further source of terror: the end brings with the revelation of God "the wrath of the Lamb." The words dimò $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oे $\rho \gamma \bar{\eta} s$ rov̂ àpviou are pregnant with the grave irony which has already
 кai $\epsilon i \delta o p$...àpviov. But the situation is now reversed. The Lion standing before the Throne is the Lamb; the Lamb in the great day of His appearing is once more the Lion, in the terribleness of His wrath. In the Gospels op $\gamma^{\prime}$ is attributed to Christ once only (Mc. iii. 5, see note), but His scathing denunciations of the Pharisees (Mt. xxiii. I4 ff) and His stern predictions of the doom of the impenitent make it evident that the Sacred Humanity is capable of a righteous anger which is the worst punishment that the ungodly have to fear, more insupportable even than the vision of the Divine Purity.
 $\dot{\partial} \rho \gamma \hat{\mathrm{g} s} \mathrm{a}$ à $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ] 'The great day' is a phrase borrowed from the Prophets (Joel ii. II, 3T, Zepl. i. I4; cf Jude 6). Here it is combined with another prophetic phrase, 'the day of wrath' (Zeph. i. 15, 18, ii. 3; cf. Rom. ii. 5). The Great Day of the Lord is a dies irae to the world. ' $\mathrm{H} \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, 'is already come' (i.e. it came when the signs of the end described in vo. $12-14$ began). Fear anticipates the actual event, for there is another seal to be opened before the parousia. There have been epochs in history when the conscience
of maukind has antedated the judgement and believed it imminent. Tins à $\rho \gamma \overline{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{s}$ aù $\hat{\omega} \nu$, se. the wrath of God and of the Lamb : cf. v . 13, $^{2}$ xxii. I.
 who, that has to meet that wrath, can hold his ground ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ Cf. Nah. i. 6 à $\pi \grave{o}$





 possible answer is given by Christ


 - 0 с́jov.
VII. 1-8. The Seaing of 144,000 from the Tribes of Israel.

1. $\mu \epsilon \tau$ à тойтo єỉoor $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. iv. 1, note. The reader expects kai
 (viii. I). But two episodes, occupying the whole of $c$. vii., are introduced between the loosings of the sixtl and seventh seals. A similar break follows the blowing of the sixth trumpet ( x . 1-xi. 13). The purpose of the prosent pair of risions ( $\mathrm{I}-8,9-17$ ) is to contrast the preparedness of the Church for the coming end with the pavic of the unprepared world (vi. 15 ff.).
 xx. 8. The earth is regarded as тє $\frac{1}{} a^{-}$ yovos, in view of the four quarters from which the winds blow-the ,




 $2692939598 \mid \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta s \quad \gamma \eta s]$ om $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{I}_{\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda} \mathrm{r} 30 \mid \tau \eta s \theta a \lambda$.] om $\tau \eta s \mathrm{~A}+\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu$

 om $\eta \lambda เ o v$ arm $^{4}$
of Isa. xi. 12, Ezek. vii. 2. For of
 Dan. vii. 2, viii. 8, xi. 4, Mc. xiii. 27 ; Enoch (lxxvi. 7) mentions twelve winds (E. S. W. N., and the intermediate points).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] At each of the quarters one of the four winds is held prisoner by an angel appointed to the task. For кратєiv, 'hold fast,' 'detain,' cf. Cant.


 angel-custodians of the winds may be compared the angel $\dot{\delta} \tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi \omega \nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \operatorname{lovata\nu }$
 of the waters" (xvi. 5). The angels of the winds control their movements; it is their mission to prevent outbreaks of elemental fury. According to Jewish belief a terrific storm was to usher in the end, cf. Orac. Sibyll. viii.


 specified, as suffering most severely from the violence of the winds. The change of case ( $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} . . \theta_{a} \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s . . . \delta \dot{\theta} y-$ סoov) answers to a subtle difference in the force of $\epsilon \pi i$; the winds blow on laud and sea, but the trees are singled out for a direct attack.
2. кaì $\epsilon i \delta o \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{a} \ddot{a}_{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ à $\nu a \beta a i-$ vouta $k \tau \lambda$.] A fifth angel is seen mounting up from the sunrising, i.e. from the Orient; $\dot{\mathbf{i}} \pi \dot{\partial} \dot{\alpha} \nu a r o \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$ is the usual Lxx. phrase (Gen. xi. 2, Mt. ii. I) or less frequently, àm̀̀ àparoえ $\bar{\eta} s$
(Num iii. 38, B), but $\eta^{\prime} \lambda i ́ o v$ is sometimes expressed (Jos. i. 15 , xiii. 5 ; Isa. xi. II, 14, Apoc. xvi. 12). From the writer's point of view the East is the direction of Palestine and the countries beyond it; and it was fitting that the angel who is to seal the tribes of Israel should appear from that quarter. Or there may be a reference to Ezek. xliii. 2 lionv dóga $\theta \in o \hat{v}$
 àvarodás, Mal. iv. 2 (iii. 20) ảvare ${ }^{2}$ eí
 ascent implies that he has been employed in some service on the earth, and now rises into the sky to deliver his message.
 yis is here the signet-ring $=\delta$ okrúhlos (Gen. xli. 42, Esther iii. 10, viii. 2 ff., Dan. vi. 17, I Macc. vi. 15), which the Oriental monarch uses to give validity to official documents or to mark his property. The symbohism seems to be based on Fzek. ix. 4, where a man provided with an inkhorn is bidden to set a mark (㬳, $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o v$, i.e. the letter $\Omega$ which in the older script was cruciform, see Hastings, D. B. i. p. 7I) on the foreheads of the righteous in Jerusalem, with a view to their being spared in an impending massacre. But for a mark made by the pen of a scribe the Apocalyptist, who has lately had before him the vision of the sealed roll, substitutes the impression of the Divine signet-ring. The conception of a Divine sealing occurs freely in






 $\phi u \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ vi$\omega \nu$ ' $/ \sigma \rho \alpha \bar{\eta} \lambda$.





St Paul ( 2 Cor. i. 22 ó kaì $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma a ́-$



 Gospel (Jo. vi. 27 roûtov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ó $\pi a \tau \grave{\jmath} \rho$ i $\sigma \phi \rho \alpha \dot{y} \ell \sigma \in \nu$ ó $\theta$ eós). In post-Apostolic writings 'the seal of the Lord' is either Baptism (Herm. sim. ix. 16


 кupiov), or the chrism which followed it. Here the seal, being in the hands of an angel, can hardly be sacramental. The general sense is well given in


 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. Cf. Orig. in Joann. t. i. I ris ouy


 x. 6, xv. 7 : the phrase, which is fairly common in the N.T. (Mt. ${ }^{2}$, Acts ${ }^{1}$, Paul ${ }^{\mathbf{5}}$, Heb. ${ }^{4}$, Apoc. ${ }^{3}$, rests on the the O.T. (Jos. iii. Io, Ps. xli. 3 (xlii. 2), Hos i. 10 (ii. 2)). In the Apoc. it suggests a contrast between the God of Christ and of Christians and the nonentities (I Cor. viii. 4) of pagan worship.
 first care of the Angel with the Seal
is to prohibit the angels of the winds from letting loose the elements until his work of sealing is done. For $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma$. see vi. 1o. The angels of the winds are identified with the winds, as the angels of the Churches with the societies they represent (see i. 20, note) ; it is theirs to hurt or not as they will, unless withheld by a special
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \cdot \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon)$. The restraint which is put upon them represents the Divine postponement of the catastrophe until the Church is ready (xxi. 2).

For ois...avitoís see ii. 7, note ; and
 vi. 6 .
 $\kappa т \lambda$.$] Cf. Apoc. ix. 4, xiv. 1, xxii. 4;$ a mark ( $\chi$ ápaypa) of the opposite character is mentioned in xiii 16 , xiv. 9, xx. 4. On r. סovinovs tov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ see Apoc. i. 1, ii. 20, xix. 2, 5 , xxii. 3,6 . ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$, addressed by an angel to angels, points to the bond of a common service which links angels with the saints: 'they are the servants of the God whom we also serve.'
 The Seer does not witness the sealing, but he hears the uumber of the sealed announced, and who they are. 'E $\sigma \phi \rho a$ $\gamma^{\prime} \sigma \mu \in \dot{\nu} \circ \iota$ : the gender is determined
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comma after $\chi^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$., but perhaps unnecessarily. The sum is $12 \times 12,000$, and each of the tribes of Israel contributes an equal proportion. The tribes are named separately in the order: Judah, Reuben, Gad, Asher, Naphtali, Manasseh, Simeon, Levi, Issachar, Zebulon, Joseph (ie. Ephraim), Benjamin.

Lists of the patriarchs or of the tribes occur in Gel. xxxv. 22 ff., xxvi. 8 ff., xix., Exod. i. I ff., Nom. i, ii., xiii. 4 ff., xxvi., xxxiv., Deut. xxvii. II $\mathrm{ff}_{\text {r, }}$ xxxiii. 6 ff ,, Josh. xiii-xxii., Judy. v., I Chron ii.-viii., xii. 24 ff, xxvii. 16 ff., Ezek clvii.; a comparative table will be found in Hastings, D. $B$. iv. p. 8if. The order differs more or less in every case. The Apocalyptic order starts with the tribe from which Christ came (cf. c. v. 5) ; and then proceeds to the tribe of the firstborn son of Jacob, which heads most of the O.T. lists; next come the tribes located in the North, broken by the mention of Simeon and Levi, who in other lists usually follow Reuben or Judah; while Joseph and Benjamin bring up the rear. This arrangement seems to have been suggested partly by the birth order of the patriarchs and partly by the geographical situation of the tribes; Christian associations have probably determined the place of Judah and of the Galilean tribes. Since Levi is counted in, it has been necessary to omit one of the other tribes; the
omitted name is Dan, a tribe which perhaps is dropped also, together with Zebulun, in 1 Chron. ii. 3-viii., but see Enc. Bibl i. p. 996, note 4. A mystical reason was given for the omission of Dan from the Apocalyptic list by Irenaeus v. 30.2 "Hieremias... et tribum ex qua veniet[Antichristus] manifestavit dicens: ex Dan audiemus vocem velocitatis equorum dits (Jer. viii. 16)...et proper hoc non annumerator tribus haec in Apocalypsi cum his quae salvantur." Cf. Hippo-





 ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ad $\nu \tau \dot{1}$ au cis $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ éXoцét $\nu \eta$. Either from a misunderstanding of Gen. xix. I7 or from the story of Judges xviii. (cf. Tang. Jon. on Exod xvii. 8), Dan is associated in Rabbinical lore with idoltry and apostasy (see Shebbath 66); the Testaments of the xii Patriarchs (Dan 5) seem to predict an alliance between Dan and Beliar. On the late Christian tradition which assigns Antichrist to this tribe, see Bousset Antichrist, p. 112 ff ; it may partly be due to Jewish sources, and partly have been suggested by the omission of Dan from the Apocalyptic list.

It is more important to enquire whether the Apocalyptist intends the




 $\mathrm{al} \mid \mathrm{ov}]$ кal A

I44,000 sealed Israelites to represent the elect of Israel (cf. Rom. xi. 5
 Christians (Victorinus), or the whole number of the faithful(Primasius: "omnis significatur ecclesia," and so Bede). The third of these views is supported by (a) the tendency of the Apocalypse to regard the Church as the true Israel (cf. e.g. ii. 9 , iii. 9 ff.), ( $b$ ) the use of the same number in xiv. I for the followers of the Lamb, whose foreheads bear the names of God and Christ, and (c) the circumstance that none are sealed but the 144,000 of Israel. Had it been the purpose of the Apocalyptist to distinguish between two bodies of the elect, he would surely have represented both as alike receiving the seal which was to mark the "servants of God"; but the scaling is expressly limited to the twelve tribes. It follows that the Israel of the first vision is coextensive with the whole Church (cf. Orig. in Joann. t. i. I, Renan, ${ }^{\circ}$ Antechrist, p. 390), and the ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda o s$ тo入ús of 0.9 have been sealed already in their capacity of elect Israelites. The two visions depict the same body, under widely different conditions; in vv. 4-8 the true Israelites (Jo. i. 17, Rom. ii. 29, Gal. vi. 16) of a single generation are marshalled under the banuers of their several tribes for the
campaign which is yet before them, whereas in $w v .9-17$ all the generations of the faithful appear in their countless numbers, no longer needing the safeguard of the Divine Seal, but triumphantandatrest. Cf. Beatus: "exlivmillia omnino ecclesia est ; quid sit ex omni tribu exposuit dicens ex omni gente."

9-17. The thiumph of the innumerable multitude.
9. $\mu \in \mathrm{ra}$ raita $\epsilon$ i $\delta o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The second vision, introduced by a fresh $\mu e \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тav̂ta, presents a series of sharp contrasts when compared with the first. In the first, the concourse can be counted; in the second, it is incalculably great. In the first, it is drawn from the twelve tribes of Israel; in the second, from every nation. In the first, it is being prepared for immineut peril; in the second, it is victorious and secure.
 I, 6 . The writer perhaps recalls the vast crowd that thronged our Lord during His ministry; see Mc.iv. I, v. 21,24 , Le. xii. 1, Jo. vi. 2, xii. 9, 12 .

 possibly there is an allusion to Gen. xv. 5, xxxii. 12 (cf. Heb. xi. 12). In the Church, which is Abraham's seed, the promise of a countless progeny will at length be realised (Gal. iii. 7,








 Apoc. v. 9 , xi. 9 , xiii. 7 , xiv. 6 , xvii. 15 ; this favourite formula found a daily illustration in the polyglott cosmopolitan crowd who jostled one another in the agora or on the quays of the
 constructio ad sensum ; the crowd is in thought resolved into the plurality of its countless constituents) $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi t o \nu$ то̂́ $\theta_{\text {póvov кт }}$. Hitherto only the Elders, the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$, and the Angels have had places assigned to them in the presence of God and of the Lamb, but in this prospective vision the presencechamber is crowded with $a$ vast assemblage of men; drawn from every nation upon earth and by some unexplained process transported to heaven. Perhaps no passage in the Apocalypse has had so wide an influence on popular eschatology. The symbolism must not however be pressed into the service of the fancy which places redeemed humanity in a localised abode of God and of Angels. Life "before the Throne of God" is life wherever spent, if it is dominated by a joyful consciousness of the Divine Presence and Glory. The present picture must be correlated with that of cc. xxi., xxii., where the future state is presented in the light of a City descending from Heaven, yet possessing within its walls the Throne of God.

The scene of vii. 9 ff. anticipates the final condition of redeemed humanity. Like the Transfiguration before the Passion, it prepares the Seer to face the evil which is yet to come.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The construction is much broken, as if in sympathy with the rapture and abandon of the moment.
 каі фоі̀икєs...каi крá(оvбиy). The acc.
 an filov, understood in îov́ (WM. pp. 671,724 ; Blass, Gr. p. 81) ; rє $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}-$ $\beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon$ 'voc is an obvious correction. The whole company of the elect are now seen clad in the white robes which in vi. II distinguish the Martyrs; what thesymbol here represents is explained below, $v .13 \mathrm{f}$., where see notes.
 pukes, palm branches $(=\kappa \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \nu \nu \theta \rho a$ фotעíкшу (Lev. xxiiii. 40), фú入入a ф. (2 Esdr. xviii. (viii) 15) or Baia $\phi$. (Jo. xii. 13)),
 xapiórovy ; cf. Pollux i. 244 тồ $\mu$ évtos
 калєíтah They were carried at the Feast of Tabernacles, and used in constructing the shelters on the housetops required on that occasion (Lev. xxiii. 42, 2 Esdras $l l$. cc.); an allusion to these $\sigma \kappa \eta u a i$ may be latent in $v$. I 5 $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \quad$ ' $\pi \pi^{\prime}$ aviтov's. But palm* branches were regarded as appropriate at any season of joy or triumph ; the Triumphal Entry (Jo. l. c.) may be in view, or such a scene as that described in 1 Mace xiii. ${ }_{51}$ Elaŋ̂ $\lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$


 l.c. Cf. Verg. Aen. v. I I " "palmae, pretium victoribus"; Pausanins, Arcad.





 $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \in \nu \omega]$ pr кає вyrsw |



 scorp. 12 "palmis victoriae insignes revelantur scilicet de Antichristo triumphantes"; Andreas: toùs $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s$

 suggestion (Bible Studies, p. 370) needs confirmation.
 The polyglott multitude (és aavtòs
 praises as with one voice ; for $\phi \omega \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta}$ see vi. Io, vii. 2. The key note of the strain is $\hat{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ (cf. xii. 10, xix. 1) ; those who raise it have all experienced the great deliverance ( $v .14$ ) which they ascribe to God and the Lamb: cf. Ps. iii. 9 tou kupiou ji $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$. To cry 'H $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \operatorname{tê} \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\varphi}$ кai $\tau \hat{\hat{a}} \dot{\alpha} p \nu i \omega i s$ equivalent to attributing to Both the title of $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$, so freely given by the loyal or pliant cities of Asia to the Emperors, but belonging in Christian eyes only to God and to His Christ. The Pastoral Epistles supply examples of both applications,
 Tit. i. 3, iii. 4 тỗ $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s \dot{\eta}_{\mu}, \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{v}$ : (2) Tit. i. 4 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$

 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ тои̂ $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \hat{\omega} y$. For $\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega \tau$. compare Jo. iv. $22 \hat{\eta} \sigma$. ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ : cf. $v .3$, note. The elect of mankind claim God as their God, since He is the God of Christ (Jo. xx. 17, Apoc. iii. 12).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'I $80 v$ (exclaims Andreas) $\mu$ ia
 Angels endorse the ascription of praise, as in iv. II ff. They form, as there, a circle round the Throne, outside the Elders and the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$; their
 is not stated, but the exigencies of the scene appear to require that they should stand nearer the Throne. For
 тô $\theta$ óóvov (vv. 9, 15), seeing the God Whom they serve.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] Cf. iv. Io, xi. 16; and for ${ }^{3} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, i. 7 , v. I4, xix. 4 . The Angels, while adding their 'Amen' to the doxology of the Church, offer their own tribute in other words. It is addressed to the Majesty on the throne, Whom like the redeemed they call their God (o. 12) ; the Lamb is not included as in v. 13. The ascription is sevenfold, as in v. 12, but it does not exactly agree with any of the previous doxologies, although each of its features has occurred in one or more of them;
 11, Y. 12, 13 ; бофіа, v. 12 ; єíxapıбтia,


 aiciv $\omega \nu$ a $\mu \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.





 Ar $\mid$ om $\mu o v$ A I vgedd aethatr arm $^{1}$ Prim |om $\mu o t \kappa$
iv. 9 ; $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$, iv. 9, II, v. 12,$13 ; \delta \dot{v} y a \mu t s$,
 ad $l l$. As in v. 12 , each word is emphasized by the article. The concluding à $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is perhaps a liturgical addition, but it rests on good authority.
 т́́pov кrג.] An Eider intervenes, as in $v$. 5 , to interpret the vision. For àneкpitn see Mc. ix. 5 note; for a similar use of $\boldsymbol{a}_{\pi} \quad$ крiveg $\theta a \iota$ in the lixx.
 $\mu о v$ каі̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ноє 'Avíata, è $\lambda \hat{\epsilon}$.. The Elder anticipates the questions which the Seer was ready to put (ovirou...tives
 rogat ut doceat." The vision was not a mere spectacular display, but a revelation; and its points must not be missed. Tás otoえàs tàs $\lambda \epsilon v k a ́ s$, the white robes which arrest attention: cf. $\sigma$ тo入às $\lambda$ evkás, $v .9$, note.


 таûta; каì єīa Oưxi, кúpєє. If the perfect ( $\epsilon{ }^{z} \rho \eta k a$ ) is to be pressed here, it must be explained as meaning that to the Seer's mind the whole scene was still fresh and vivid, that he seemed to himself to have but just spoken, as if the echoes of his voice were not yet silent. On the quasiaoristic use of the perfect in this
book, see v. 7, note. Kúpıє, so the O.T. apocalyptic writers address a superhuman person ; cf. Dan. x. I6 f., Zech. iv. 5, 13; or кúptє may be merely the 'sir' of courtesy, as in Jo. xx. 15 , where it is addressed to one who is supposed to be a kjrovoós. $\Sigma \dot{v}$ oidas is at once a confession of ignorance, and an appeal for information; cf. Ezek. xxxvii. 3 кaì єitev $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu ́ ́ \ldots$... $i$
 à̀ ériact raûta. Contrast the aù oîlas of Jo. xxi. 15 ff.
 ${ }_{k r \lambda}$.] Theanswer covers both questions ( $\tau i v e s, k a i ̀ m \dot{c} \theta \in \nu ;$ ). 'These who wear the white robes are such as come (oi е́ $\rho \chi$ о́ $\mu \in у=$, timeless, cf. WM. p. 444) out of the Great Tribulation.' The reference is probably to Dan. xii. i Th.

 xiii. 19. There is a $\theta \lambda i \psi c s$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}$ which His servants share (i. 9, ii. 9 f.), but the Great Tribulation ( $\dot{\eta}$ $\theta \lambda . \dot{\eta} \mu \in \gamma$., cf. Acts viii. Io $\dot{\eta}$ 8íva $\mu$ is $\dot{\eta}$
 latively great crisis of trial through which all must pass (iii. io), and from which the servants of God alone emerge unscathed. The present vision, which anticipates the issue of the final judgement, represents the latter as already delivered out of the evil to come.






 The conception .comes partly from Exod. xix. 10, 14, where the Israelites wash their clothes before the lawgiving; partly from Gen. xlix. II

 The oroגaí of the redeemed, however,
入єvкai. Hence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \lambda_{\text {uvav }}$ is explained by é $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ravà (Tert. candidaverunt, Prim. candidas fecerunt, Vg. dealbaverunt); cf. Ps. 1. (li.) 9, which may also be




 reference to the fuller's art, ef. Mc.ix.

 סúvaтaı oũrตs $\lambda \epsilon u \kappa a ̂ y a l$ The whiteness of the saints' robess is gained e'v т̣̂̂ aïuatı тồ àpviou; cf. i. 5, v. 9, parallels which ought to have saved some ancient writers (e.g. Tertullian, scorp. 12; Arethas: $\dot{\eta}$ ขंтє $\rho \chi \rho \iota \sigma т о \hat{u}$ ëкरuais) from the mistake of understanding the Blood of the Lamb here to mean the blood of martyrs shed for His sake; the candidatus martyrum exercitus itself owes its whiteness to the Great Sacrifice. Cf. Beatus: "hi sunt qui venerunt etc.: non ut aliqui putant martyres soli sunt, sed omnis ecclesia; non enim 'in sanguine suo' lavari dixit...sed in sanguine agni." To aipa rov ápviov is the Sacrifice of the Cross, of. I Pet. i. 2 , 19, I Jo. i. 7, Rom. iii. 25, v. 9, Eph. i 7 , Col. i. 20, Heb. ix. 4 ; the paradox $\lambda_{\text {evkaivet }}{ }^{i \nu}$ aípatı is in accord with
the manner of this book, where violent contrasts abound. The aorists $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \nu \nu a \nu$, èєúкауау, look back to the life on earth when the cleansing was effected (Mc. ii. ro). The act is ascribed to the saints themselves, and not to Christ, as is the act of redenuption
 saints are not passive recipients of redemption, but cooperate with the Divine grace by repentance and faith and the use of the Sacraments (Acts
 ápaptias $\sigma o v:$ Mt. xxvi. 27 f. $\pi i \in \tau \epsilon \in \mathfrak{e ́ \xi}$


 by vigilance and victory over sin (c. xii. II).

 the whole of the preceding sentence (kal द̈́ $\pi \lambda v \nu a v . . . r o v ̂ ~ a ́ p \nu i o v) . ~ T h e ~ p u r i f i-~$ cation of the conscience and character derived in their lifetime from faith in the Blood of Jesus Christ (Acts xv. 9, Heb. ix. 14) had fitted them for the Presence of God; cf. Mt. v. 8 нака́рıo
 oै $\psi$ одта See Ephes. v. 26 f. for a picture of the Church in her final purity, fresh from the bath of a perfect


 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. xxii. 3 of $\delta \circ$ и̂入ol av̉тỗ
 Lightfoot, Philippians (iii. 3). In the LXX (excepting Daniel) it is the normal equivalent of from the priestly





represented by $\lambda$ ctrovpyeiv. Since the members of the Church are 'priests unto God' (i. 6, v. ıо, xx. 6) $\lambda_{\text {eitovpyєiv }}$ might have been expected here and in xxii. 3 rather than $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \omega$. But the conception is that of a vast worshipping congregation, and the use of hectoupyєip would rather have suggested that of an exclusive priesthood admitted to the sanctuary, while the great majority were content to pray without (Lc. i. 10, 21). 'Ev rê pâ̂ av́roû. The Israelite who was not a Priest or Levite did not proceed beyond the icpóv, one tribe alone having access to the paós. But in the Eternal Temple the Seer sees the whole 'Israel of God' admitted to the $\nu a o f s$, and the occasion for the $\lambda$ etrovpria of a tribal or special priesthood has disappeared, all being priests and all serving in the Presence of God. The mention of a temple must be corrected by the later revelation in

 'temple' is here the Divine Presence, realized and enjoyed; $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu a \hat{\oplus}$ av่тoû is equivalent to èvळ́тtov tov̂ apóvov (ve. 9, 55).

The גatpeia of the Church is not interrupted by nightfall (for ín $\mu$ ¢́pas каì vuкт ós see Lc. xviii. 7, I Thess. v. 5, Apoc. iv. 8). Even the Temple had its night offices; see I Chron. ix. 33
 סoís) è $\nu$ toîs éf $\rho$ yots, Ps. cxxxiii. (cxxxiv.)
 rà äya. The Church inherited the practice, and the stillness of the night was broken by the vigil services of the early times (Batiffol, Breviaire, p. 2 ff .) and at a later date, in monastic communities, by the matin-lauds.

But the vision of ceaseless worship is realized only when life itself is regarded as a service. The consecration of all life to the service of God is the goal to which our present worship points, and it is symbolized by the Apocalyptist's גarpev́ovocy ŋ̀ $\mu$ épas кaì चuкrós. Here again the later vision of the closing chapter corrects the eariier: cf. Apoc. xxi. 25,

 то̀ àката́та⿱㇒日ттоv.
 $\nu \omega \in \epsilon \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ aviroús] Perpetual service will find its stimulus and its reward in the perpetual vision of Him Who
 the uxx. (Jud. v. 17, viii. II (B), 3 Regn. viii. I4 $(A)$ ); in the N.T.its use is limited to the Johannine writings (Jo. i 14, Apoc. vii. 15, xii. 12 , xiii. 6 , xxi. 3). The refereuce both here and in xxi. 3 is to the O.T. promise that God would 'walk' or 'dwell' in Israel (Lev. xxvi. $22 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi є \rho \iota \pi a r \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ év $\dot{v} \mu i v \nu$, Zech ii. 10

 $\mu 0 v$ '̇ע av่ot̂s). The assonance of
 suggested the use of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ both in
 and in Apoc. vii., xxi. $\Sigma_{\kappa \eta \nu \sigma \sigma \epsilon є ~}^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ aúroús (here only: cf. xxi. $3 \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon$ $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aúr $\left.\hat{\omega} \nu\right)$ brings in the further idea of God's Presence as a protection from all fear of evil, with reference perhaps to Isa. iv. 5 f., where the Pillar of the Exodus suggests the overshadowing of Israel by the Shekinah. An allusion to the $\sigma \kappa \eta v a i$ of the Feast of Tabcrnacles is also possible; see $v .9$, note.

The Apocalyptist now passes from the present tense to the future $(\sigma \mathrm{kg}-$

## 






$\nu \omega ் \sigma \epsilon$, cf. $刀 .16 \mathrm{f}$.); the vision becomes a prediction.


 This verse, with part of the next, is borrowed from Isa. xlix. to where of Israel returning from exile we read:



 changes which the Apocalyptist makes are interesting: $k a v i \sigma \omega \nu$ (the sirocco, cf. Mt. xx. 12, Le. xif. 55, Jac. i. II) is changed into $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \kappa a \hat{\mu a}$ (Latt. aestus, scorching heat of any kind), rapa-

 becomes tà àpyiov.

For the interpretation of ov' $\pi \varepsilon \iota \nu a^{-}$ govaly here see Jo. vi. 35, and for ov $\delta \iota \psi \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$, Jo. iv. 14, vi. 35, vii. 37. With oủס̀̀ rầv кaט̂цa contrast xvi. g.
 conjecture; it agrees with $\pi a \pi d \xi \eta$ (Isa. l. c.), and for the itacism cf. the apparatus here and at ix. 5.


 $\mu$ écov (used here only in Apoc.) is usually 'between,' 'amongst' (cf. Mt. xiii. 25 , Mc. vii. 31, I Cor. vi. 5), but it sometimes stands for $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{\mu} \sigma \omega$ (e.g. Jos. xix. I, Sir. xxvii. 2, Mt. xiii. 25), and this must be its meaning here. Tò dupiov...touravei is a bold mixture of two metaphors. Holuaiveiy has been used of Christ in ii. 27, where and in xii. 5, xix. 15 , there is a reference to Ps. ii. 9 ; here the context guides us to Isa. xl. if ws
 Ezek. xxxiv. 23, but especially to Ps. xxii. (xxiii.) 1 ff. Kúpıos тоцаiveє $\mu \epsilon \ldots$

 In Christ the Shepherd has taken the nature of the sheep; the $\pi о ц \mu \bar{\eta} \boldsymbol{p}$ of

 noless than rocuaipec has an interesting history in Biblical Greek. It is used of the Divine guidance of Israel (Exod. xv. 13, Deut. i. 33), of the guidance of individual lives (Ps. v. 9, lxexy. (lxxxvi.) II, Sap. ix. II); of the work of the Spirit of Christ (Jo. xvi. 13); and lastly, in this place, of the work of Christ Himself in the future order. The Divine shepherding and guidance of men belongs to the future as well as to the present life, and in the future only meets with a full response (cf. Jo. x. 4, Apoc. xiv. 4).
 emphasizes $\delta \omega \bar{\eta} s-$ to Life's. watersprings,' Vg. ad vitue fontes aquarum; Alford well compares I Pet. iii. 2I




 prominence to the mention of life. It is to God as the Fountain of life (Ps.
 that the Lamb leads His sheep: cf. xxi. 6, xxii. 1, 17. The interpretation is again supplied by the Johannine Gospel ; see Jo. iv. 12, 14 ; vii. 38 f. The plurals $\pi \eta \gamma^{a}$ s $\mathbf{~ v i ~} \delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ are perhaps not to be pressed, being merely echoes of the Hebrew (cf. viii. IO, xiv. 7, xvi.
 $\alpha u ं \tau \omega \nu$.





4) ; if they have any significance here, they point to the secondary sources which are replenished by the Fountain itself, or to the manifold energies of the one Christ-life (i Cor. xii. 4 ff ), as the $\pi \nu \epsilon \mathrm{v}^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{ara}$ of i. 4 etc. represent the $\delta t a \iota \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon t s$ रapı $\sigma \mu a ́ t \omega y$ of the One Spirit.
 ${ }_{k T} \lambda$.] Yet another reference to the O.T.; cf. Iss. xxv. 8 where the Lxx.

 influenced perhaps by his recollections of this passage, renders מָּרָה by kai ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \xi a \lambda \epsilon i \psi \in$. The sentence occurs again with verbal changes in c. xxi. 4; indeed, the whole of the episode c. vii. 9-17 finds echoes in the last two chapters of the book, where the climax here anticipated is fully described. On the main thought see Tertullian de res. carn. 58 "delebit deus omnem lacrimam ab oculis eorum, utique ex iisdem oculis qui retro fleverant, quique adhuc flere potuissent, si non omnem lacrimae imbrem indulgentia divina siccaret... dolor et maeror et gemitus...quomodo auferentur, nisi cessaverint causae ?... ubi casus adversi apud Deum, aut ubi incursus infesti apud Christum ?... quae infirmitas post virtutem? quae imbecillitas post salutem?"

Beati-so Bede sums up in the words of the second Beatitude-qui lugent, quoniam ipsi consolabuntur.
VIII. I-13. The Opening of the shventh stal; the halfHOUR'S SILENCE: THE FIRST FOUR trumpht-blaste.
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \mu \eta \nu$ The sequence broken by the two visions of $c$. vii. is resumed. The Lamb opens the last of the seals (cf. vi. 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12), and the book can now be unrolled and read. We expect the catastrophe, which had been foreboded by the signs and by the panic that followed the penultimate opening, at length to supervene. But all is still ; there is neither sight nor sound to indicate the approach of the end.
"Otay is substituted for öre, which is used on previons occurrences of the formula, perhaps with the view of emphasizing the uncertainty of the time of the end; cf. Mc. xi. I9, Apoe. iv. 9, where it implies the indefinite repetition of an act. The construc-
 \#̈vot $\xi \in \mathrm{p}$. Blass ( $G r$. p. 2I8) prefers to regard it as due to linguistic deterioration, urging that in late Greek ótav and öte are indistinguishable. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{H} \nu o t \xi \in \nu$, sc. тò dipyov, as in vi. і.
 Heaven, hithento resonant with voices, now holds its peace: neither Elder nor Angel offers a word of explanation (v. 5, vii. 13); there is neither choris of praise nor cry of adoration (iv. 8, II, v. 9 f., 12 f., vii. 10, 12); no (फ़ov calls *Epxov (vi. 3 etc.) ; no thunders issue from the Throne (iv. 5). This silence does not spell a cessation of the Divine workings (Ign. Eph. 19 è

 suspension of revelation ; cf. Renan, l'Antechrist, p. 391 "le premier acte






du mystère est terminé" There is a partial parallel in Apoc. x. $4 \sigma \phi$ áćry $^{\prime} \sigma$
 aùrà $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi n s$, but there the Seer hears though he may not impart; here the Seer himself is kept in ignorance.

The remark of Victorinus, "significatur initium quietis aeternae, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ is attractive, but exegetically irrelevant; $\sigma c y n$ is not characteristic of the heavenly rest. Nor is it more to the point to refer to such passages as Hab. ii. 20, Zeph. i. 7, Zech. ii. 13; the Apocalyptic silence is in heaven and not on earth.
 somihora, Vg. quasi media hora, icc. of duration. The adjective is $\stackrel{a}{\boldsymbol{a}} \pi$.
 For $\omega_{\rho \rho a}$, as the twelfth part of the natural day, see $\mathrm{J}_{0}$. i. 40 , iv. 6 , xix. 14, Acts v. 7, x. 3 .
Half-an-hour, though a relatively short time, is a long interval in a drama, and makes an impressive break between the Seals and the Trumpets.
2. кaì єî̀ov roùs è érrà à àyenous ${ }_{k \tau \lambda}$.] Seven Angels are required by the situation, and the number finds a parallel in the 'seven Spirits of God' and other hebdomads in this book. The article seems to point to the well-known group of Angels first mentioned, as it seems, in Tobit xii.

 'ayiov. In Enoch xx. 7 (Gr.) they are styled 'archangels', and their uames are given as Uriel (4 Esdr. iv. I), Raphael (Tob. l.c.), Raguel, Michael (Dan x. 13, 21, xii. I, Jude 9, Apoc. xii. 7), Sariel (Eth. Saraquâl), Gabriel (Lc. i.

19, 26), Remiel (Hieremihel; 4 Esdr. iv. 36 ); cf. $i b$. Ixxxi. 5 , xc. 21 f. 'Angels of the Presence' are mentioned repeatedly in the Book of Jubilees (i. 27,29 ; ii. If., 18, xv. 27, xxxi. is, where see Charles's note); the title comes from Isa. lxiii. 9 מַלִSa, and the idea from the practice of Oriental courts (cf. Gen. xlv. 1, 2 Esdr. vii. 24, Esth. i. 14, viii. 4, Job i. 6, Zech. iv. 14, vi. 5, Dan. vii. Io, 4 Mace. xvii. 18 , Le i. 19). On the possible connexiont of the later Jewish angelology with Parsism or Zoroastrianism, see Hastings, D. B. i. 96, iv. 99I; Driver, Daniel, p. xcri., J. T.S. iii., p. 514 ff.; the evidence, so far as it has been produced, is interesting but scarcely conclusive. ot èvómoon ктд. ; cf. Le.


 Trumpets are assigned to Angels in Mt. xxiv. 3I, I Cor. xy. 52, I Thess. iv. 16, Apoc. iv. I, 4 Esdr. vi. 23, Apoc. Mos. 22 ; the coneeption rests ultimately on the scene of the Lawgiving (Exod. xix. 16 ff), which Jewish thought connected with the ministry of Angels (Acts vii. 38, Gal. iii. 19). The Trumpets of the Seven are presently to break the silence which followed the opening of the last seal with fresh revelations of the Divine purpose. There is possibly an allusion




3. каi äd $\lambda$ os ä äyf $\lambda$ os $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ кт $\lambda$.] Another Angel, not one of the Seven (cf rii. 2, x. 1, xiv. 6 ff., xviii. I), came





forward and took his place ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau a \dot{A} \eta \eta$, cf. Lc. xviii. I I, 40, Acts v. 20, xvii. 22) over; i.e. before, the Altar, as in Amos ix.

 the position of one who stands (B.D.B., p. 756) "by (prop. leaning over) an altar or sacrifice." The celestial messenger takes the place of the priest, and offers the incense; contrast the position of Gabriel in Le. i. II
 тov̂ $\theta$ vacáparos). The altar is not as in vi. 9 the Altar of Burnt offering, but the Altar of Incense; тò $\theta$. тò


 iv. 7 évarrion Kupiov; it is the dvalacтйpıoy tov̂ $\theta v \mu$ ááatos of Lev. iv. 7, 18
 Iren iv. 18. 6 "est ergo altare in caelis, illuc enim preces nostrae et oblationes nostrae diriguntur."
 $\beta a \nu \omega$ ós is elsewhere 'frankincense'; the commentators quote the scholiast on Ar. nub. $\lambda i \not \beta a \nu o s . . . a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ́ v ̌ \delta \rho o \nu, ~$
 Ammonius: $\lambda$ íßavos $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ кotvôs тò
 $\delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu o ́ v o \nu \quad \theta u \mu \iota \omega \mu \in \nu o s$. The latter is evidently the meaning of $\lambda_{\ell} \beta_{a \nu \omega \tau}{ }^{\prime} s$ in I Chron. ix. 29, 3 Macc. $\begin{array}{r}\text {. } 2 \text {, as of }\end{array}$ $\lambda$ ißanos in Lev. if. 1, Apoc. xviii. 13 ; but here and in v. 5 र 0 vooviv shews that a censer is intended; for 'censer'
 (Exod. xxvii. 3, xxxviii. 23 (3), Num. xvi. 6 ff., Sir. 1. 9), or $\theta$ viakg ( 3 Regn. vii. 36 ( 50 )), or avurarípion ( 2 Chron. xxvi. 19, Ezek. viii. I I, 4.Macc. vii. II);
the later Greek has $\lambda_{l} \beta a v \omega t i s$ or入ıßavarpis.

каi $\epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\theta} \eta \ldots$..ïva $\delta \omega^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Angel received the incense for a particular purpose. 'E8́O $\eta_{\eta}$, as $\epsilon 8 \delta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ in 0.2 (cf. vi. $2,4,8$, 1 , vii. 2 , et passim), does not describe an act which forms part of the vision, but is simply a recognition of the Divine ordering of
 è $\lambda a \beta \in s ;$ On the future $\delta \omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ (NAC) see iii. 9 , note ; $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta, \delta \hat{\omega}$, are probably corrections of the less usual form. Өvцда́ $\mu a r a$, as in v. 8, where see note; but the metaphor is differently handled here, for while in c. v. the prayers of the saints are the incense or incensebowls, in this place they are apparently the live coals on which the grains of
 xaîs, Prim. ut daret orationibus, Vg. wrongly, ut $d$. de orationibus); the meeting of the incense and the hot coals produces the fragrant smoke cloud, the symbol of Divine acceptance. This change brings into sight the relation of Christ's sacrifice and intercession to the prayers of the Church ; cf. Bede : "Christo Domino se hostiam suavitatis offerente compunctio cordis sanctorum acceptabilis facta est." Of. Eph. v. $2 \dot{\delta}$ र $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ òs...

 the doctrine is substantially that of Jo. xiv. I6, xvi. 23 f., I Jo. ii. If., Rom. viii. 34, Heb. xii. 25. T T $\nu$ diy' ${ }^{2}$ тávrav, not of the martyrs only (vi. 9f.) but of all the faithful; cf. Eph. iii. I8. The Angel with the golden censer belongs perhaps to the scenery of the vision rather than to its teaching; at the same time it does not








#### Abstract

   


seem improbable that the $\lambda$ eıtovpyıк $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ (Heb. i. 14) are concerned in some way with the ministry of prayer-an idea anticipated in Tob.

 ${ }_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma} \boldsymbol{i} \omega v$, and frequent in Enoch (ix. 3, xv. 2, xl. 6, xlvii. 2, civ. 1). 'E $\pi \grave{l}$ rò Overaoringov, 'upon the altar (of incense)'; one sees the whole process depicted, the fire kindled on the altar, and then taken up into the censer where it receives the incense: see



 ảnà tồ Gvacaotypiou, Num. xvi. 46



4 каl $\mathfrak{a} \nu \in ́ \beta \eta$ ó кат $\nu$ ós кт入.] I.e., from the censer in the Angel's hand;


 the dat. commodi, 'for the benefit of the prayers,' i.e. to help them (Blass, Gr. p. III), or perhaps (WM. p. 270) the dative of reference; the incensecloud stood in a certain relation to the prayers, as their symbol and representative; it was 'given to them' (v. 3). The symbolical meaning of the incense offered in the Temple was well understood in pre-Christian



The words added by 0 (app. crit.) appear to be a gloss from c. xi. 3 .
 тóy ктл.] The Angel had laid aside the censer. But he takes it again (on $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$ followed by $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \mu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ see v. 7 f., note) in order to fulfil another office; it is to be used now not for intercession but for judgement. The censer is again filled with fire from the altar: cf. Isan vi. 6 ty $r \hat{\eta} \quad \chi \in \iota p i$

 is added, and no fragrant cloud goes up; the contents of the censer are poured upoll the earth; the prayers of the saints return to the earth in wrath: cf. Ezek. x. $2 \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu$ тàs

 perhaps an ultimate reference to the doom of Sodom (Gen. xix. 24).

This casting of fire on the earth (cf. Lc. xii. 49) is immediately followed

 of a great visitation; cf. iv. 5 , vi. 12 , xi. 19, notes, and for $\sigma \in \iota \sigma \mu$ ós see Ezek.

 aúrov̂. The whole scene in vv. 3-5 is a prelude to the Seveu Trumpets, which now begin to sound.
 $\kappa т \lambda$.] The Angels of the Presence who are charged with the Seven Trumpets know the signal, and make










ready. They are seen to take their stand and to raise the trumpets to their mouths. $\Sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu: \sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \omega$ ( $\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \hat{\omega}$, Num. x. 5 ff.), $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \sigma a$, in Biblical Greek take the place of $\sigma a \lambda$ $\pi i \gamma \xi \omega, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi \mathfrak{G}$ (W. Schm. p. 105); cf. $\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, Apoc. xviii. 22.

The first four Trumpet-blasts, like the first four Seal-openings, form a closely connected group. They describe the coming visitation as primarily affecting inanimate Nature; although animals and men are involved in the destruction which is caused (vv. 9, 1I), direct judgements upon mankind are reserved for the last three. The imagery was perhaps in part suggested by the storms, earthquakes, and eclipses of the first century.
 $\chi^{d} \lambda a{ }^{〔}$ а $\kappa_{\kappa} \lambda \lambda$.] The judgements ushered in by the first four Trumpets borrow many of their features from the Plagues of Egypt; cf. Iren. iv. 30. 4: the attentive reader "inveniet casdem plagas universaliter accipere gentes quas tunc particulatim accepit Aegyptus." Xádaça kal $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ recalls the seventh plague ; Exod. ix. $24 \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon^{\dot{E}} \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 $\chi^{a} \lambda a ́ S \eta-a \quad$ description of a semitropical thunderstorm which is heightened here by $\mu \epsilon \mu \tau \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ èv aï $\mu a \tau_{\text {s. }}$ Mıquvivat év aipatı 'to mix with blood,'


$\mu \epsilon \tau d^{\prime}$ (Mt. xxvii. 34, Lc. xiii. I), or the simple dative (Apoc. xv. 2 日á ${ }^{\prime} a \sigma \sigma a y$
 mingled fire and blood is mentioned also in the Sibyllines, v. $377 \pi \hat{v} \rho$ yà $\rho$
 Blood-red rain is not unknown in nature; in the spring of igo the daily journals contained accounts of this phenomenon, which was then being witnessed in Italy and the South of Europe, the result, it was said, of the air being full of particles of fine red sand from the Sahara. The interpretation suggested to Andreas by passing events is interesting as a specimen of its kind: rò $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi \hat{v} \rho$ oùv




The storm flung itself ( ${ }^{\prime} \beta \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta_{\eta} \eta_{\text {, }}$ cf. vv. 5,8 , xii. 9 f., xx. 14 f.) on the earth, with the result that a third part of its surface and the whole of the verdure were devoured by the fire (катєка́n=катєкаíө $\eta$, cf. I Cor. iii. 15, 2 Pet. iii. Іо (A) катакаঘ́бєта!-an early form which survives in late Gk , cf. W. Schm. p. 108). Tò $\tau$ ítop (sc. $\mu$ е́pos, cf. Num. xxviii. 14) appears again vo. 8 f., 1 I f., ix. 15, 18, xii. 4. See


 compare the Rabbinical parallel cited by Schoettgen: "percussus est mundus, tertia nempe pars olearum, tertia pars







 $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu a \tau \omega \nu]+\pi a \nu \tau \omega \nu \operatorname{syrr} \mid \tau \omega \nu \in \nu \tau \eta \quad \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta]$ om $\tau \omega \nu \mathrm{Q}$ min ${ }^{\text {nonn }}$ Ar om omnia
 aeth $\tau 0$ e $\chi{ }^{0 \nu} \psi \sim \chi \eta \nu$ syr ${ }^{g \pi}$
tritici et tertia hordei．＂Tins $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ，the land（ $=\hat{\eta} s \quad \xi \eta \rho a \hat{s}$ ）as contrasted with the sea（ $v .8$ ）and other waters（ $v 0$. to f．）．The fire destroyed the whole of the vegetation，which was scorched at once（cf．Jac．i．12），and one－third of the trees and other perishable things．Two－thirds escaped every－ where，i．e the visitation was partial， and not final ；cf．vi．8．T T $\nu$ dévòpon： the fruit－trees especially，the olive， the fig，and the vine，on which the inhabitants of Palestine and Asia Minor depended so largely：
 a prohibition now partly withdrawn． For $\chi^{\text {óptos }} \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho o ́ s ~ s e e ~ M c . ~ v i . ~ 39, ~}$ note，and Apoc．ix．4；cf．vi．8， note．
 kai ws of ofos кт入．］As at the first trumpet－blast the fiery hail was flung upon the earth，so at the second a burning mass falls into the sea．
 perhaps be compared Jer．xxviii．（li．） 25，where Babylon is likened to an
 Babylon is not in view here，and ws öpos кató $\mu$ ．may be merely a figure of speech for a blazing mass．If a voleano is in the Apocalyptist＇s mind， the simile may have been suggested either by the eruption of Vesuvius which desolated the Bay of Naples in August， 79 ，or by some movements
among the volcanic islands in the Aegean，of which Thera（Santorin） was the chief（cf．Tozer，Islands of the Aegean，p． 94 ff．）；Strabo（i．3．16） reports an eruption in B．c． 196 which issued in the formation of a new island afterwards known as Palaea Kaumene．But volcanoes are not flung bodily into the sea，so that such phenomena were at most but re－ motely suggestive of the writer＇s bold conception．He is possibly indebted to Enoch for the figure of the burning

 is curiously close to ws ópos $\mu^{\prime} \gamma a \operatorname{ar} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ касо́рєvov．The phrase seems to have been proverbial；cf．Plaut．mercat． iii． 4.32 ＂montes tu quidem mali in me ardentes iamdudum iacis．＂

каі̀ é＇ध́veтo тò тоítov тท̂s $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ aipa kT入．］The sea is smitten，like the Nile in the first plague（Exod．vii．
 $\pi о \tau а \mu \hat{\omega}$ tis aifa）；as the fish in the Nile died $\langle i b .2 \mathrm{I})$ ，so do the animate inhabitants of the stricken Aegean． With $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \theta a \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{cf}$ ．下． I 3

 quae habebant animas，＇animate，＇see
 $\psi \boldsymbol{\chi} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \omega \sigma \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{y}$（ lapse of the burning mass had a still more serious result；the ships in the waters disturbed by its fall were











 $\left.\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho . \tau a, v \delta a \tau a \operatorname{syr}^{\mathrm{gw}} \mid \epsilon \kappa\right] \epsilon \pi \iota \mathrm{A}$
wrecked; for $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ of wrecked or disabled ships see Herod. i. i66 ai
 Bap jay. Yet in the case of the sea as in that of the dry land, the visitation was partial ; two-thirds of the inhabitants of the sea and the ships on its surface were unhurt.
 understood in to трітоy $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \pi \lambda$.) attributes a quasi-personal life to the ships, in view of their human masters and crews.
 каі $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \pi \in \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \kappa \tau \lambda.\right]$ The fresh water supply is smitten next. At the third trumpet-blast there falls from heaven upon a third of the rivers and upon the water-springs a great meteor (a ̉orif , cf. Mt. ii. 2), flashing across the sky like a blazing torch ( $\lambda a \mu \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime}$, cf. $c$. iv. 5); for wis $\lambda$. see $v .8$ wis őpos.

 and Mc. xiii. 25, note; here the 'star' is merely a symbol of Divine visitation, like the burning mountain in $\boldsymbol{v}$. 8.
 common phrase in the lxx. (cf. egg. 3 Regn. xviii. 5, Ps. cxiii. (cxiv.) 8, Hos. xiii. 15).
 "A $\psi \iota \nu$ © os] "A $\psi \iota \nu$ os, normally $\dot{\eta} a \not{ }^{2} \psi \iota \nu \theta o s$
or to ar $\psi \dot{\nu} v \theta_{\imath}{ }^{\circ}$ but here assimilated in gender to ad $\sigma \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \rho$, does not occur elsewhere in the N.T. or the Lxx., though it is used by Aquila in Prov. v. 4, Jer. ix. I5, xxiii. 15; the Lxx. render wormwood, variously by $\chi^{0} \lambda \dot{\eta}$, $\pi \iota \kappa \rho i a$, őóviv, àvá $\kappa \kappa \eta$. The Heb. word is employed in the O.T. as a metaphor for (I) the perversion of justice (Amos v . 7, vi. 12); (2) the bitter fruits of idolatry (Deut. xxix. 17); (3) Divine chastisements (Jer. ix. I4); see B.D.B. s.v. The genus Artemisia, to which wormwood (A. absinthiaca) belongs, is represented in the flora of Palestine by several species; see Tristram, N.H., p. 493; Hastings, D. B., iv. p. 941.
 cis au $\psi \iota \nu \theta o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The reverse of the miracle at Marah (Exod. xv. 23). Wormwood water is more than once in the Prophets a symbol of suffering, e.g. Jer. ix. I 5 (14) поть $\omega$ au่roùs vi $\delta \omega \rho$ $\chi^{0} \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, xxiii. 15 ; cf. 4 Esdr. v. 9 "in dulcibus aquis salsas invenientur." Wormwood mixed with water does not kill, but in the Apocalyptic vision the waters are not mixed with wormwood
 As the creatures in the sea perished when it was smitten by the burning mass (v.9), so the rivers and fountains converted into wormwood are de-






 $\eta \mu \varepsilon \rho a Q$ min ${ }^{\text {nonn }}$ (multum hoc loco inter se variant tam codd min quam verss) | $\mu \eta$


 ${ }^{13}$ unus ut aquilam Prim $\mid \pi \epsilon \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v Q 16^{*} 7^{*} 3^{2}$ I 30 al ${ }^{\text {noonn }}$
structive of human life. For àmotaveiv ék, 'to die of,' see WM. p. 460 .
 каì $\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\gamma} \eta{ }^{2} \kappa \lambda \lambda$.] Visitations on land and water are followed by a fisitation on the heavenly bodies, having for its object the further punishment of mankind. The conception is borrowed from the ninth of the Egyptian plagues


 Am. viii. 9, Joel iii. (iv.) I5). To the Apocalyptic plague no time limit is fixed, but it is limited in its extent; only a third of the sum's and moon's disk is obscured, and a third of the stars suffer occultation. By this partial eclipse of the lights of heaven a partial darkness would obyiously be produced, but not a shortening of the duration of daylight and moonlight and starlight such as the following
 autris) seem to suggest. There is an inconsistency here which shews the writer's independence of the ordinary laws of thought; he is content to produce a desired effect by heaping up symbolism without regard to the consistency of the details. Here his purpose is chiefly to emphasize the partial character of the visitation. Its purpose is the reformation and not the destruction of mankind; it is
charged with serious warning, but not with final doom. Contrast Isa xxx.


 see Isa. ix. I3, and for $\phi$ árg (not фavî) c. xviii. 23 .
The first series of Trumpet-blasts is now complete. It has set loose the elemental forces of Nature and wrought havoc on a large scale. But the next verse warns the reader that worse things are to follow.

 vi. I; the scene which follows is one which arrests both eye and ear. 'A $\gamma_{\gamma \epsilon}{ }^{\epsilon}-$ $\lambda_{o v}$ may be a correction for the harder áerov̂, suggested by xiv. 6; or possibly it is due to the error of a scribe who read aetoy as arpedoy; for áctòs петонешая, see iv. 7, Job ix. 26, Prov. xxiv. 54 (xxx. 19). Had the apocalyptist written à $\gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda o v$, âd $\lambda o v$ would probably have taken the place of évós; cf. vii. 2, viii. 3. The eagle is chosen not only for his strength of wing (xii. 14), but as the emblem of coming judgement (Mt. xxiv. 28, Apoc. Bar. lxxvii. 19 ff ); évós points perhaps to the solitary figure projected against the sky (ef. Mt. xxi. 19), but $\epsilon$ is in such instances approaches in meaning to $\boldsymbol{T}$ s or the indefinite article, ef. ix. I3, xviii. 21, and see Blass, Gr. p. 144. 'E $\nu$


 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.



 c. xiv. 6) ey oupapm syr ${ }^{\text {gw }}$ | om $\phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta$ syrr ] ovac bis tantum 1 syr aeth $\mid$ тous


 $3^{8} 97$ syrr
$\mu \epsilon \sigma о v \rho a \nu \eta$ пиати, "in the meridian" or "the zenith"; that part of the sky where the sun is at noon-day; cf. xiv. 6, xix. 17. The eagle $\mu$ erovpavé, i.e. he flies not near the horizon, where he might pass unobserved, but overhead, where his course can be seen by all. The word is said to belong to Alexandrian Greek: Pollux iv. 157



 ovai $k r \lambda$.] The eagle is not only seen but heard In Ezek. xvi. 23 (A), Apoc. xviii. 10, 56,19 , the donble vevai is merely for emphasis; the triple ovai here has reference to the three remaining trumpet-blasts or rather the visitations that will follow them;

 the acc. after ouai is unusual, the dativus incommodi might rather have been expected, as in Lc. vi. 24 ff ;
 Gä入agary, and see Blass (Gr. p. in2), who compares vae $m \in=v a e ~ m i h i$. The earth has suffered already from the first four Trumpets; the time has now come for her inhabitants to suffer yet more severely. Oi катокоо̂vтєs $\mathfrak{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{i}$ fins $\gamma \mathrm{j} \mathrm{s}$, the pagan or non-Christian
population of the Empire, as in iii. $10_{2}$ vi. 10, xi. ro, xiii. 8 ff., xvii. 2 ff.
 "by reason of the remaining trumpetblasts." Tîs $\sigma a ́ \lambda \pi c \gamma y o s ~ m o d i f i e s ~ \phi \omega \nu \omega ̂ \nu ~$ -the sound is that of the trumpet; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ is unnecessary, since the reader's attention is not called to the plurality of the trumpets but to the trumpet-like utterauce which proceeds from each of the angels. On ék in this sense see WM. p. 461.
IX. 1-12. The Fifth Trumpet, of the First Woe.
 *iòo digrépa кт入.] In viii. 8, io the Seer witnesses the fall of a star ; now he sees only a star lying where it fell ( $\pi \in \pi \tau \omega x \dot{\partial} \tau a$ ). Of. Isa. xiv. $12 \pi \bar{\pi} \bar{s}$

 èк тồ ov̀papov̂ тєбóvza. As the sequel shews, this fallen Star represents a person, possibly Satan, as a comparisou of Lc. l. c. with Apoc. xii. 9 may suggest. For a personification of the stars comp. Jud. v. 20 ' ' $\xi$ ov̉ $\rho a v o v ̂ ~ t a \rho \epsilon-~$
 the fallen star see Enoch lxxxviii. i.
 $\dot{\alpha} \beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma o v]{ }^{*}{ }^{\text {A }} \beta$ vvaros is the usual equivalent in the Lxx. of binf, whether in the seuse of 'deep waters' (Gen. i. 2,








 Andr Ar] avtocs $\mathrm{NQ}_{7}$
vii. 11, Ps. cv. (cvi.) 9, cri. (cvii) 26), or in reference to the depths of the

 Deut. viii. 7). By an easy process of thought, it is applied to Sheol: Job






 depth is sounded, and it is this which is in view when $\ddot{a} \beta v \sigma \sigma o s$ is used in the Apoc (ix. 1, 2, if, xvii 8, xx. 1, 3). The Enochic literature has much to say of this 'abyss' (Enoch xviii. f., xxi., xc.; Slavonic Enoch, xxviii. 3; cf. Charles, Eschatology, p. 198). The Apocalyptist represents it as entered by a shaft or well ( $\varnothing \rho$ éap, cf. Jo. iv. 11), the mouth of which is kept under lock and key; the key is in the custody of an angel (xx. I) or, as here apparently, of Satan, i.e. he is authorised to open and shut the mouth of the abyss at his pleasure (for k $\lambda$ cis see Mt. xvi. 19, Apoc. i. 18, iii 7; and on the idea, Slavonic Enoch, xlii. 1). This power however is exercised only
 behind it is the omnipotent Hand which controls both the visible and the invisible order; cf. Prayer of

 ò дó $\mu a t i ́ \sigma o v$.
 Fallen Star-spirit unlocks the mouth of the Abyss, and at once the sky is darkened by a volume of smoke which rises from it; cf. Gen. xix. 28 dé ${ }^{\prime}$ Gaive

 карivov. The sun's face is hidden (Joel ii. 20), and the atmosphere ( 0 anp $)^{\text {) , the }}$ region of the clouds ( 2 Regn. xxii. 12, Ps. xvii. (xviii.) 12, I Thess. iv. 17 f), the air through which the birds fly (Sap. v. II), and which men breathe (Sap. xv. 15), and in which evil spirits were thought to exercise a limited
 '́govaias tồ $\vec{\alpha} \in \dot{\epsilon} \rho o s)$, is darkened by reason of ( $\epsilon \mathrm{c}$, cf. viii. 11,13 ) the smoke cloud emitted from the well as from the chimney of a furnace. On axotov̂бAal see WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 178: the verb is used of an occultation of heavenly bodies in Job iii. 9 бкот $\omega$ बein

 ктג.] The smoke wrought worse evil than the darkening of the air ; out of it came a swarm of hellish locusts; for áxpífes see Mc. i. 6, note. There may be a reference both to Exod. x. 13 ff. and to Joel i. 4 ff. But these a-
 a power ( $\bar{\delta} \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ} \theta_{\eta}$ avirais $\epsilon$ ' $\xi$ ouria) wholly unlike that of the locust tribe, and akin to that of the common scorpion
 $\dot{a} \kappa p i \delta i \in s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \dot{v} \sigma \sigma 0 v)$. The venomous stab of the scorpion is proverbial in








 + aut $\omega \mathrm{Q}$ min ${ }^{p 1}$ vgele fudem lipen syrr arm aeth Ar 5 autocs NA I 7 12] avtacs $P Q$
 $\min ^{\mathrm{pl}}$ Ar $\beta a \sigma a \nu \sigma \sigma \omega \sigma \nu \quad 7$ cruciarent $h$ cruciaverint lattd similiter arm aeth
both O. and N.T.; see e.g. 3 Regn xii.


 The scorpion takes its place with the snake and other creatures hostile to man, and with them symbolizes the forces of spiritual evil which are active in the world: cf. Sir. xxxix. 29 f. пdáva





 бovaty $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Their mission, moreover, is not that of the locust tribe; they are, in fact, prohibited from devouring herbage and stripping trees (Exod.


 $\pi \epsilon \delta i o v a \dot{a} \phi a \nu(\sigma \mu \circ \hat{\imath})$; this had been done sufficiently by the hail which followed the first Trumpet (viii, 7). The produce left by the hail in Egypt was devoured by the locusts (Exod. l. c.), but the Apocalyptic locusts are bent on another errand; men and not mere food stuffs are their goal. For épée $\theta$ see vi. I I, note; on the future after ${ }^{2} \nu a$,
 ii. 11 , note. $O \dot{v} \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{a} \nu=$ 'nor any'; cf.
 for ovi 8 '́ after $\grave{\iota} \nu \alpha \mu \eta^{\prime}$, see WM. p. 602, note 3 .
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon i} \mu \dot{\eta}$ тov̀s à $\nu \partial \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi$ ovs $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'But only the men,' etc.; for this use of $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ cf. WM. p. 789. The power to hurt men is to be exerted only upon a particular class of men (rov̀s aj $\partial \rho$. oituves; on this use of ofotus see Lightfoot on Gal. v. 19 and Blass, Gr. p. 173, and ef. Apoc. i. 7 , ii. 24, xx. 4), viz. upon those whose foreheads have not been marked by the Seal of God (vii. 3ff.). As Israel in Egypt escaped the plagues which punished their neighbours, so the new Israel is exempted from the attack of the locusts of the Abyss.
 the commission which they received ran Mì à $\pi о к \tau \epsilon i \nu a \tau \epsilon ~ a v ̉ r o u ́ s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma a-~$ $\nu t \sigma \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$. The wound inflicted by the scorpion is fot usually fatal, but it causes exquisite pain ; and this is the point of resemblance between the scorpion and the Apocalyptic locusts; it was no part of their mission to kill, but rather to inflict suffering worse than death. Bagavi $\epsilon \in \nu_{,}$'to apply the touchstone, ' is used, from Thucydides downwards, of torture, and this is its meaning in the Lxx. (I Regn. ${ }^{1}$, Sap. ${ }^{4}$, Sir. ${ }^{1}, 2$ Macc. ${ }^{3}, 4$ Macc. ${ }^{20}$, a significant distribution); in the N.T. 及avavi $\zeta \epsilon \nu$, $\beta a \sigma a v t \sigma \mu a_{s}$ describe acutepain whether physical (Mt. viii. 6, Apoc. xii. 2), or mental (Mt. viii. 29, 2 Pet. ii. 8), or are employed metaphorically (Mt. xiv. 24, Mc. vi. 48); in the Apocalypse, written
 ws $\beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu I \sigma \mu$ òs $\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i ́ o v, ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha \nu ~ \pi \alpha i ́ \sigma \eta ~ \grave{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \nu$.






#### Abstract

      


at a time of imminent persecution, the thought of punishment is again uppermost (ix. 5, xi. 1o, xiv. Io f., xviii. $7,10,15, \mathrm{xx} .10$; xii. 2 is the only exception).
$\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu a s \pi \in ́ v \tau \epsilon]$ This limit of time has been supposed to be a reminiscence of the 150 days of the Flood (Gen. vii. 24) or to refer to the duration of locust life. But the number five is frequently used without any apparent purpose beyond that of giving definiteness to a picture, e.g. Mt. xxv. 15 $\pi \epsilon ́ \varphi \tau \epsilon ~ т a ́ \lambda a \nu т a, ~ L e . ~ x i i . ~ 6 \pi \epsilon \ell \nu \epsilon є ~ \sigma \tau \rho o v A i ́ a, ~$


 ther reason is to be sought for its employment here, $\pi$ tevte may point to the incompleteness of the visitation; it lasted five-twelfths of the year, as the plagues of $c$. viii. affected a third of nature. There is a progress in the visitations, but the end is not yet.

 $\chi \epsilon i \rho a$. For $\pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau=\pi a \pi a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ see Num. xxii. 28, 2 Regil. xiv. 6, Mc. xiv. 47 (comp. with Mt. xxvi. 51). The ictus is inflicted by the scorpion-like tails ascribed to the locusts in $v$. io; cf. Plin. h. n. ii. 25 "semper cauda in ictu est, nulloque momento cessat ne quando desit occasioni." The reading
 less arisen from $\pi a i \sigma \eta$ written as $\pi \epsilon \sigma_{\eta}$; see app. crit., and cf. note on vii. 16 .
 aovaı $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] During those terrible months of torture men will prefer death to the agony of living. Cf. Job iii. 2 I ó ócipoutac тov̂ धavárov
 єï $\lambda \frac{1}{}$ Apoc. vi. 16, Orac. Sibyll. ii. 307 kaì
 à $\pi^{\prime}$ cu't $\hat{\nu}$. The thought was familiar to the Greek and Roman poets: Soph.

 тоиิт' $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\chi \eta} \lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$. Ovid, Ib. 123 "desit tibi copia mortis, | optatam fugiat
 avizóv: such a death as they desire, a death which will end their sufferings, is impossible; physical death is no remedy for the $\beta$ aravıorós of an evil
 Aaveív Alford aptly contrasts Phil. i.

 cumstances death is a gain, but it is not sought, for life also has its compensations, in duty and in enjoyment. Zqтeiv, é $\pi t$ Uveiv, form a climax.
 ${ }_{k c \lambda} \lambda$.] Hitherto only the powers of the locusts have been in view; now they







7 opotoc $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \omega$ staP 1 al vg me syrr arm aeth Andr］xpuoou $Q$ min ${ }^{\text {fere } 40}$ Ar 8 eıरav NA］eixoy $P Q$ minomnvid Andr Ar

 a word＂midway between $\mu \circ \rho \phi \eta$＇and $\sigma \chi \eta \hat{\eta} \mu$ ，＂Lightfoot on Phil ii．7，cf． Ezek．i． 16, x． $21=\pi \cdot \square$ ？ were like horses caparisoned for battle． The description is borrowed from Joel＇s account of a locust swarm（ii．


 metaphor chosen＂partly on account of their speed and compact array，but chiefly on account of a resemblance which has been often observed between the head of a locust and the head of a horse＂（Driver，ad loc．，citing Theo－ doret：ei yáp tis àкpuß̄̄s кatí⿱o兀 tìv




 фavo九 ${ }^{\kappa} \tau \lambda$ ．］So far the picture might have been that of an ordinary swarm of locusts：the next two features are peculiar to the locusts of the Abyss． （I）They are crowned like conquerors （cf．iv．4，xiv．14），as indeed they are so long as their power lasts．（2）Their faces are strangely human，suggesting the intelligence and capacity of man ； their long hair resembles that of women（I Cor．xi．I5）．Perhaps it is unnecessary to take $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ here as＝ajvóp $\omega v$ ，though some support for this view may be found in Esth．iv．Io

 ＇ss reixas may allude to the long
antennae of the locust tribe，or，as some suppose，to the long hair worn by the Parthians（Suet．Fesp．20）．The ancient commentators for the most part regard the reference to women as symbolizing the abuse of the sexual relations ；e．g．Bede，＂in capillis mu－ lierum fluxos et effeminatos mores．＂ But it is safer not to press the details． As to the general sense，the locusts of the Abyss may represent to us memories of the past brought home at times of Divine visitation，which hurt by recalling forgotten sins；cf．
 кr入．looks back to Joel i． 6 oi ódóvtes aủtov̂ óóóvtes $\lambda$ éovtos．For eition see WH．${ }^{2}$ ，Notes，p． 172.
 The scaly backs and flanks of the insects resembled coats of mail，whe－ ther the scale－armour worn by Goliath
 cf．Driver，ad loc．，＂like the scales of a fish，plates overlapping each other and allowing free movement＂），or a cuirass of＂metal plates across the chest and long flexible bands of steel over the shoulders＂（Enc．Bibl．i．6o6， and see Dean Robinson＇s note on Eph． vi．14）．$\Sigma i \delta \eta \rho o u$ s points to the material of which such armour was ordinarily made，and at the same time indicates the hopelessness of any effort to de－ stroy assailants who were so protected． The next feature is again from Joel（ii．

 In the onrush of the locust－swarms












 Prim Labbadon Haym alia alii
the Prophet heard the din of war chariots; the Seer adds $i \pi \pi \omega \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega$ т $\rho є$ रóvт $\omega \nu$, thinking of "the pransings of their strong ones" (Jud. v. 22) as well as of the clatter of the chariots and the rumbling of their wheels (Jer. xxix. $=$ xlvii. 3) ; comp. 4 Regn. vii. 6


 the vast numbers of the chariots employed in ancient warfare cf. I Sam. xiii. $5(30,000)$, I Chron. xix. $7(32,000)$; for the phrase ápuara int $\pi \omega$ see 3 Regn.
 ฉттшข.
10. kaì ढ̈̀ $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̀jàs ópoias $\sigma k o p-$ mious $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The body of the locust of the Abyss ended in a flexible tail (Clem. Al. strom. iii. I8 § 106 ovipaís...
 tail of the scorpion. 'Opoias oxoontious $={ }_{\delta}^{\delta} \mu$. тaís oúpais $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa o \rho \pi i \omega \nu$, as in Mt. จ. $20 \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ ура $\mu \mu а \tau^{\prime} \omega \nu=\pi \lambda$.
 377). The tails were armed with stings, in which resided the power of the locusts to hurt. Kéntpò is properly the goad used for oxen (Prov. xxvi. 3, Acts xxvi. 14), and in a secondary sense the sting of the bee (4 Macc. xiv.
 $\tau \rho \Phi \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma c)$ or other insect. ${ }^{\text {' With }}$ the symbolism cf. Hos. xiii. 14 moũ rò
 кévrpò tô̂ قavárov ì ápapria. Шénte $\mu \bar{\eta} \nu a s: ~ s e e ~ v . ~ 5, ~ n o t e . ~$
 In Prov. xxiv. 62 (xxx. 27) we read:
 alyptist remembered thisstatement, he found an exception to it in the locusts of the Abyss, which are in other respects quite abnormal ; perhaps he has been influenced by Amos vii. I

 for M.T. ":̣ their king the locusts of the Abyss have the Angel who presides over it ( 0.1 ), i.e. they obey his orders and do his work. The Seer knows the name of this angel; it is in Hebrew ('Eßpaïтi', as in Jo. v. 2, xix. I3, 17, 20, xx. 16, Apoc. xvi. 16; cf. Introduction, c: xi.) Abaddon, and in the Greek ( ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ rin ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu c \kappa \hat{\eta}$, sc. $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \eta$ $={ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \tau \sigma r i$; for the latter see Jo. xix. 20, Acts xxi. 37) 'A $\boldsymbol{\text { mo }} \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \omega \nu$, Destroyer: Vg., Exterminans; the rendering in Syr. ${ }^{\mathrm{gw}}$. $<$ iv rests upon the false reading 'A $\pi \frac{\lambda}{}$ vi $\omega \nu$ (app. crit.). Abaddon, exclusively in the Wisdom literature (Job xxvi. 6, xxviii. 22, xxxi. 12, Ps. lexxviii. II, Prov. xv. II, xxvii. 20) is represented in the Lxx. (exc. Job

 т $\alpha \hat{u} \tau a$.

 exet vg arm | Anodiucry (of vg latine habens nomen Exterminans; anonaug cui nomen



 syr ${ }^{\text {m }}$
'xxxi. 12) by $\dot{a} \pi \omega \prime \lambda \epsilon \iota a$, meaning either destruction generally (Job xxvi. 6, Esth. viii. 6) or destruction in Sheol. (Embl hammelek, f. 15. 3 "infimus gehennae locus est Abaddon, unde nemo emergit"). Here Destruction in the deeper sense is personified, and ' $A \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \omega \nu$ is therefore preferred to $\dot{a} \pi \omega \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \iota a$ (cf. I Cor. x. 10 той $\dot{\text { ono- }}$ $\theta \rho \in u r o \hat{v})$; the allusion to 'A $\pi \bar{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, suggested by some commentators, seems far-fetched, but in this book it is not impossible. The personification of Abaddon is known to the TaImud; see $S h a b b$. f. 55 a, where six destroying Angels are mentioned, over whom preside
 to enquire whether by Abaddon, the Destroyer, the Seer means Death or Satan; perhaps he does not consciously identify the personality, which belongs to the scenery of the vision. The Apollyon of Pilgrim's Progress is a more fully developed conception, and indeed in all but the name it is a creation of Bunyan. With the construction
 övора $\gamma_{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu e ́ v o \nu$ Baot $\lambda \epsilon$ с́s, $\kappa т \lambda$., and see WM. p. 226; on the form àmod$\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ see WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 175 f.
 the first is gone past; behold, there come yet two Woes after this," i.e., the sixth and seventh Trumpets have yet to be blown (cf. viif. 13 , note). ${ }^{\text {' } H \text { ovaí, which occurs again in xi. } 14}$
(र्ף oủai $\dot{\eta}$ סevtépa, $\hat{\eta}$ oủaì $\dot{\eta}$ тpít ${ }^{\prime}$ ), is not easy to explain: Blass (Gr. p. 32) seems to attribute the gender to the fact that the word ouai is here equivalent to $\theta \lambda i \psi c s$, but it is simpler to regard the three Woes in the light of female personages, the Erinnues or Eumenides of the Apocalypse, representing the avenging powers evoked by the last three Trumpets. Mia $a=\pi \rho \dot{\omega}$ a Hebraism which the Lxx. takes over in Gen. i. 5, 8 市 $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \mu i a$ : cf. Mc. xvi. 2 т $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{a} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ with 'Mc.' xvi. $9 \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ caz $\beta$ árov, and see notes there. In ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \rho \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota$ סío ovaí' the personification seems to disappear, for the writer treats ovai as a neuter. For ov̉ai as a noun see Prov. xxiii. 29, Ezek. vii. 26, 1 Cor: ix. 16.

13-2I. The Slxth Trumpet, of Second Woe.
 kaí $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The sixth trumpet-blast is followed by a solitary voice ( $\mu$ iav $\phi \omega \nu \eta ์ \nu$, cf. viii. 13 évòs áєтô) which seems to proceed from ( ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathbf{\kappa}$ ) the horns of the Golden Altar mentioned in viii. 3. The voice may be that of the Angel who had been seen standing over the Altar with a golden censer; or it may represent the prayers of the Saints, which now have the effect of a command issued to the Angel of the sixth Trumpet. The general sense is the same in either case; the prayers of the Church, which initiated the entire scries of visitations connected







 Andr Ar (om Ne.a A 2879 vgamfuharlipastol me syri aeth) \| om tov $\chi$ pooov $1492 \mathrm{arm}^{4}$




with the Trumpets, now bring about a greater catastrophe than the world has yet experienced. Tầ кєןátcuv $\tau$. Avg. (Exod. xxvii. I, 2) may be intended to point to the four corners of the earth (vii. I) from which prayer ascends; the single voice interprets the desire of the 'Holy Church throughout all the world.'
 ті̀े $\sigma.] \quad \Lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \sigma \tau \pi a$ personifies the voice,
 regarded as a parenthesis; the alternative of connecting the words with $\lambda \hat{u} \sigma o \nu{ }^{\operatorname{cr}} \lambda$. ('thou that hast the trumpet, loose,' etc.), is less in accordance with the manner of the Apocalypse. Similar constructions occur in iv. I, xi. 15 .
 $\delta \varepsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \dot{\operatorname{covovs}} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Another quaternion (Acts xii. 4) of angels ; cf. vii. I eidon
 restrain the winds of heaven; these are themselves bound, for they are Angels of the Divine wrath which is not to be executed before the predestined time; cf. Mt. xiii. 41. They are held in readiness "at the great river Euphrates"; a phrase which sends the reader back to Gen. xv. 18, where the Land of promise is said to extend ámò
 тoû $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime}$ ánov Ev̉фpátov, cf. Exod. xxiii. 3I (LxX.), Dent. i. 7, xi. 24, Josh. i. 4,

I Kings iv. 21, Ps. lxxxii. The Euphrates was on the East "the ideal limit" of the land of Israel (Driver on Gen. l.c.). Beyond it lay the great heathen kingdoms of the East, Babylonia on the east bank of the river, the Assyrian Empire further to the N.E.; an ilvasion of Israel by these nations is likened to an overflow of the Great River in Isa. viii. 7 Kúpoos dyáyec ' ' $\phi$ '

 pinv. Thus the idea presented by the angels of vengeance bound on the banks of the Euphrates is that the day of vengeance was held back only till God's time has come. When at length they are loosed, the flood will burst its barriers, and ruin will follow. The Euphrates is mentioned again in connexion with the Sixth Bowl (xvi. 12, where see note). The ancient Latin commentators explained the Euphrates mystically, e.g. Bede: "Euphrates qui fluvius est Babyloniae mundani regni potentiam...indicat." Andreas

 rò̀ àvilupıotov. It is possible that the Apocalyptist had in mind the unknown and at the time greatly dreaded resources of the Parthian Empire; cf. Mommsen, röm. Gesch. v. 359
 is the correlative of $\begin{gathered} \\ \delta\end{gathered} \in \eta \sigma a v$, cf. Mt.
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xvi. 19, xviii. 18, Mc. xi. 4 f., Le. xiii. 16, I Cor. vii. 27. The ministers of vengeance, now set free, at once enter on the work for which they had been prepared in the Divine foreknowledge.
 ready"; for this quasi-pluperfect sense of the part, see Jo. ii. 9, Acts xviii. 2,
 of Divine preparation, Mt. xxv. 34, 4I, Mc. x. yo, Lc. ii. 3I, I Cor. ii. 9, Apoc. xii. 6, xvi. 12. Elis т. jav kr $\lambda$.; the preparation had been made with a view to the result being attrained at a definite time ; for this use of cis cf. $v .7$, and 2 Tim. ii. 20 , and for a similar use of $\pi \rho o s_{s}$, Tit. iii. I, i Pet. iii. 15, 2 Pet. i. 3. The four notes of time are under one article, since the occasion is one and the same. The ascensive order (由̈pav...évıavtóy) is difficult to explain, but it occurs also in the O.T. (egg. Nam. i. I, Zech. i. 7, Hagg. i. 15), and probably has in this place no special significance; perhaps it originated, as Primasius suggests, in the thought that "et horis gradatim dies et diebus menses et mensibus certum est annos impleri." The 'hour' and the other 'times and seasons' are not revealed till they may be gathered from the event; cf Mc. xiii. 32, Acts i. 7 .
 $\pi \omega \nu$. If the fifth trumpet brought torture, the sixth brings death. But again the destruction is partial only;
two-thirds remain unscathed, as in the lesser visitations heralded by the first four trumpets (viii. 7 ff.).
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The work of the destroying angels is done by the vast forces under their command. This new feature is introduced with strange abruptness, as if the Seer in his eagerness to describe it had forgotten to prepare the reader by some such connecting
 aT $\rho a \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ avi $\omega$, or (as in xix. 14)
 av่rois. The hosts (for orparéjuata see Judith xi. 8, 4 Macc. v. I, Mt. xxii. 7 , Lc. xxiii. 1 , Apoc. ix. I6, xix. 14 , 19) consisted of cavalry (cf. Herod vii.

 ber, which was stated in the Seer's hearing (cf. vii. 4), was $\delta \iota \sigma \mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ \delta \epsilon s$ $\mu \nu \rho t a ́ \delta \omega \nu=200,000,000$. The figures rest ultimately on Ps. lvii. 18: "the
 ( $\mathrm{Lxx} . \mu \nu \rho \iota \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o v, \chi^{\lambda \lambda \iota o \iota) " ; ~ c f . ~ D e u t . ~}$ xxxiii. 2, Dan. vil io, Apoc. v. 1 I note.
 $\mu \dot{\nu} \rho \iota o t($ Esth. i. 7) , $8 \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\jmath} \rho t o t(2$ Macc. . 24, viii. 9), $\delta \iota \sigma \chi$ i $\lambda \iota o t$ (Mc. v. 13). These vast numbers forbid us to seek a literal fulfilment, and the description which follows supports this conclusion. On dंкaviєs with the acc. see Bless, Gr.

 $\gamma \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \geqslant$.






[^184] A mixed construction which blends $\kappa$. oütcs cidov...eixour with k. eidov... ${ }^{\text {Ex }}$ Xovias. The sentence is further complicated by the introduction of a second object, the riders (iov̀s kaA

 refers to tovis intaous, or to tov̀s na $\theta$., or to both. On the whole it is best perhaps to limit the participial clause to the riders; the horses are described in the sequel. The riders were armed in cuirasses whose colour suggested fire, smoke, and brimstone. Húpıos is properly 'of fire,' while $\pi v \rho$ oós (vi. 4, xii. 3) is 'flame-coloured': cf. Sir. xlviii. 9 ['Hגias] $\dot{\delta} \dot{a} \nu a \lambda \eta \mu \phi \theta \in i s . .$.

 The defensive armour of the warriors seemed to consist of fire ; cf. Ps. ciii.

 which in Apoc. xxi. 20 is a precious
 $k \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$ ), but in the Lxx. stands for a dye ('blue,' A.V., R.V.) which is combined with purple (Exod. xxv. 4, xxvii. 16), fine linen (Exod. xxvi. I), and gold (Exod. xxviii. 8, Isa. iii. 23)-the equivalent of shell-fish helix ianthina, which yielded the famous Tyrian dye. The vákı $\nu \theta$ os of classical Greek was a vegetable, perhaps the dark blue-flowering iris. Here vaxivanos is doubtless meant to describe the blue smoke of a sulphurous flame (cf. infra, $\pi \bar{\nu} \rho \times a i$

калyòs кai $\theta \in(\hat{l o n})$. The Latin version used by Primasius strangely rendered vaк. by spincas," spineas significans vitas," as Primasins explains; but the rendering doubtless originated in a confusion between viakıvivous and axavivous. With the colour of flame and smoke the cuirasses shewed also the pale yellow of brimstone.
 but not unknown to post-classical writers. The description as a whole recalls the fate of the Cities of the Plain; Gen. xix. 24, 28 каі Kúpıos

 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon i$ à $\tau \mu$ is kapivov (cf. Jude 7, 2 Pet. ii. 6).

 ©s $\lambda \epsilon$ óvtav ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu$. The horses in the vision seemed to unite the majestic mien of the lion with the swiftness of their own kind. Like their riders they were armed with fire, smoke, and brimstone; but while these formed the cuirasses of the horsemen, they proceeded from the lion-like jaws of the horses, which thus seemed to 'breathe threatening and slaughter' (Acts ix. I). Cf. Job xli. Iof. êk arópatos aùrov̂

 vov; and see Apoc. xi. 5, and Slavonic Enoch i. 5 "fire came forth from their lips"; see also the description of the Chaldean cavalry in Hab. i. 8 ff. Possibly the Parthian cavalry are in the mind of the Seer.
















18. àmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho เ \omega ิ \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ àтєктáv $\begin{aligned} & \eta \sigma a \nu \\ & \kappa \pi \lambda .] ~ \Pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}, \text { which in }\end{aligned}$ classical Greek scarcely goes beyond its etymological meaning, is used in the Lxx. for the 'plagues' of Egypt (Exod. xi. iff., ef. Num. xxv. 8 ff.), and this sense reappears frequently in the Apocalypse (ix. 18, 20, xi. 6 , xiii. 3, 12,14 , xv. 1, 6, 8, xvi. 9, 21, xviii. 4, 8, xxi. 9, xxii. 18). The thought of the Egyptian plagues has been in the mind of the writer for some time, and he now uses the familiar Lxx. word. The "three plagues" are the fire, smoke, and brimstone which proceed from the horses; the repeated article (rov̂...roû...roû) indicates that they are regarded as distinct agencies. 'Anó, 'к, ' arising from,' 'springing out of,' are here, as often in the N.T., practically indistinguishable ; see Blass, $G r$.
 xxii. I; on àтєктáv $\theta_{\eta \sigma a \nu}$ see ii. 13 , note.
 aủrติע] Their power (ii. 26, vi. 8) resides in mouth and tail (cf. $v$. Io); if the one discharges fiery and noisome vapours, the other is armed with the poison of the suake. With of $\mu$ otat
 бкортioss (note). As a picture oúpai..., é Xov serves to enhance the horror of the situation ; ef. Introduction, c. xii.
 The two-thirds who escaped both the mouths and the tails of the horses might have been expected to take warning by the fate of their fellows, and to become servants of God and of Christ; but so far from doing this, they did not even (oủdé) repent of their idolatries. For ovió́, 'not even,' see Mc. vi. 31, 1 Cor. iii. 3, iv. 3 ( ${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \chi \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$ avi $\bar{\omega} \nu \nu$ (Prim. wrongly factorum suorum malorum, Vg. de operibus manuum suarum) 'their


 кaì $\lambda i$ Oots, Ps. cxxxiv. (cxxxv.) 15 rà є $\mathfrak{i l}$


 this is the true interpretation of the phrase here is clear from what follows.



 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} v .{ }^{21} \kappa \alpha i$ oú $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ éк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фóv $\omega \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad 21$
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 ance would have led them to abandon the worship of unclean spirits and of the idols which represented them. Both in the O. and N.T. the heathen worship is regarded as paid to demons: cf. Deut. xxxii. 17 (where see Driver's note), Ps. cv. (cvi.) 37 छ̋ยvaav... $\delta a \iota-$


 $\delta a \iota \mu \nu i \omega \nu$ yivea $\theta a c . \quad$ Cf. Ps. xcv. (xcvi.)

 the latter represents the deities of heathendom as non-existent, while the former points to the older belief that they were demigods, evil genii, or the like. In the Gospels the סatuóvıa are identified with $\pi \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau a \dot{a} \times a \dot{a} \theta a \rho \tau a$ (cf.


 this view was probably in the mind of St Paul and the Apocalyptist; it found its justification in the impurities associated with the Greek legends and the immorality too often promoted by the temples and their priesthood.
 tianity rigorously maintained the old Hebrew protest against idol-worship.

Though "an idol is nothing in the world" (I Cor. viii. 4), has in itself no spiritual significance, yet it is a visible symbol of revolt from the Living God, and the $\epsilon \grave{\delta} \omega \lambda \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{\tau} \rho \eta s$ is excluded from the Divine Kingdom (i Cor. vi. 9). The Seer goes to the O.T. for words to convey his scorn for this debasing worship: ef. Ps. cxiii. i2 ff.




 $\sigma \iota \nu$ кaì ov̉ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$, Dan. v. 23, Th. тoùs Acoùs toùs रpvaoûs ка⿺̀丶̀ àpyvpoûs каì $\chi^{a \lambda k o u ̂ s ~ к а i l ~ \sigma ı \delta \eta \rho o u ̂ s ~ к а i l ~}$

 चै $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \sigma a s$. The theme is worked out usque ad nauseam in the Epistle of Jeremiah; see also Enoch xcix. 7, Orac. Sibyll. v. 80 ff.
 av่ $\frac{1}{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] A further indictment as against the pagan world, closely connected with the first. They were no less unwilling to repent of their immoralities than of their idolatries. Murders, sorceries, fornication, thefts, appear in company in not a few lists of the vices of the time: cf. Mc. vii. 21 торусia, клотаі, фо́yot (where seo








note), Gal. v. $20 \pi$ торvєia...ci8 $\omega \lambda$ д $\lambda a \tau \rho i a$,



 In three out of these contexts, it will be observed, idolatry is placed in close connexion with vice and crime. On фарнaкia see Lightfoot's note on Gal. l.c., and cf. Exod. vii. 22, viii. 18 (14), 4 Regn. ix. 22, Mal. iii. 5, Isa. xlvii. 9, I2, Dan. ii. 2.

Primitive Christianity was a protest, not only against polytheism, but against the moral condition of the pagan world. The Seer voices this protest, and enforces it with a terrific description of the vengeance which threatened the world unless it should repent. Cf. Eph. v. 6 íà raûta yà $\rho$
 тйs àтєtđєias.
X. i-il. Prefarations for the Seventh Trumpet-blast. (i) Vision of the strong Angel with the hittle Book.
 ${ }_{k r \lambda .]}$ As the opening of the Seventh Seal was preceded by the double vision of $c$. vii., so the visions of $c c$. x., xi. are preparatory to the blowing of the last Trumpet. First the Seer sees an angel, not, as Primasius thinks, "Dominum Christum descendentem de caelo," but an "angel" in the technical sense which is maintained throughout the book; "another angel," i.e. not one of the Seven or of the Four (cf. vii. 2, xiv. 6,15 ff.), remarkable for his streugth (v. 2, xviii. 2I) coming
down from heaven (xx. i), clad in a cloud, the vehicle in which heavenly beings descend and ascend (Ps. ciii. (civ.) 3, Dan. vii. 13, Acts i. 9 ff., 1 Thess iv. 17, Apoc. i. 7 , xi. 12 , xiv. 14 ff. ; for the acc. after $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda$. seo vii. 9, note). Upon his head is the rainbow ( $\eta \dot{\eta} \rho s$ ), not the emerald bow of $c$. iv. 3 (Tert. coron. 15), but the ordinary bow of many colours connected with the cloud (Gen. ix. I3 rò
 in this instance to the sunshine of the
 ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\eta} \lambda$ os recalls the description of the glorified Christ (i. I 6 ), but does not serve to identify this angel with Him; cf. Mt. xiii. 43, Apoc. xviii. i ; nor can this be inferred from oí $\pi o ́ \delta e s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ w s ~$ $\sigma \tau u \dot{\lambda} \frac{1}{} \pi$ upós, notwithstanding that this description bears some resem-

 In $\sigma$ vúdo $\pi v p o{ }^{\prime} s$ there is perhaps a reference to Exod. xiv. 19, 24 єध $\xi \hat{\eta} \rho \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 $\sigma \tau u ́ \lambda o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \nu \in \phi \in ́ \lambda \eta s . . . \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \in \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \in \nu$ Kúpıos
 pillar-like extremities of the Angel's form accord with the posture ascribed to him in $\boldsymbol{v .} 2$.

 continued in the nom., as if the Seer
 $\beta a i \nu \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. The Angel's hand grasped a small papyrus roll which lay opena double contrast to the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ iov катє-
 roll contained but a fragment of the





  $\mathrm{C}\lceil\tau \eta \nu \quad \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \ldots \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \eta \nu \mathrm{I}$ alpauovid

great purpose which was in the Hand of God, a fragment ripe for revelation. $B_{\imath} \beta \lambda a \rho i \delta \delta t o y$ is a diminutive of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda a^{-}$ ptop, with which may be compared
 9), fuyaıкápıò (2 Tim. iii. 6); other forms are $\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda_{i} \delta_{i} \iota \nu, \beta_{i} \beta \lambda_{\iota} \delta a_{\rho} \rho o \nu$, cf. Pollux vii. 2 Io: $\beta i \beta$ дos, $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \nu, ~ \beta \iota \beta$ -入ápıov, тарà סè 'Apıбтофávea $\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda_{t}$ סáptov. B $\quad \beta \lambda a p i \delta t o \nu$ seems to be found here only, and, as the app.crit. shews, it has given the scribes trouble.

The Apocalyptist has in his mind



 दो $\pi i \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Angel's posture denotes bothi his colossal size and his mission to the world: 'sea and land' is an O.T. formula for the totality of terrestrial things (Exod. xx. 4, 11, Ps. lxviii. (lxix.) 35). Sea and land offer an equally firm foothold to the servants of God (Mc. vi. 48 , note ; Mt. xiv. 28 ff.); the Angel plants his right foot on the sea, as if to defy its instability. The sea is ever present to the mind of the Seer (v. 13, vii. I ff., viii. 8 f., etc.); to the exile in Patmos there must have been a peculiar attraction in the thought of the strong Angel to whom the Aegean was as solid ground.
3. каі є̈кра $\xi_{\epsilon \nu} \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \lambda_{a} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Most things in the Apocalypse are on a great scale, and a $\phi \omega \nu \eta$ 市 $\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ is common (e.g. i. 10, v. 2, 12, vi. 1o, vii. 2, io, etc.) ; but the strength of this Angel's voice is emphasized by the
 Mикâ $\sigma \theta a t$, mugire, is used of a low deep sound like the lowing of the ox (Job
vi. 5 Lxx., and an anonymous translatorin I Regn. vi. 12), or the growl of thunder (Ar. nub. 291) ; cf. Arethas:

 lion's roar is more exactly expressed

 (Hos. xi. ıo, Am. iii. 4), or $\beta$ púxect, Bovxấ $\theta a \boldsymbol{a}$ (Arethas, Phavorinus); but as Theocritus (xxvi. 21) has $\mu \dot{v} \eta \eta \mu a$ $\lambda \in a i m s$, it is possible that $\mu v к a \hat{\sigma} \theta a$, was so employed in AlexandrianGreek. The word may have been preferred here, to indicate that the voice of the Angel had not only volume, but depth, at once compelling attention and inspiring awe. It was a signal rather than a message. No words were spoken, yet a reply was at once elicited.
 Ai é. Bp., clearly a recognized group,

 other heptads are defined, the Seer does not stop to explain 'the Seven Thunders,' but assumes them to be known. No satisfactory explanation of the article has been given ; unless (Züllig) it points back to the sevenfold קוֹל of Ps xxix. which describes a thunderstorm upon the soa. The Thunders uttered their own ( $\varrho_{a v \tau} \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ ) voices, distinct from the Angel's cry, and charged with a message intelligible ( $\epsilon \lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$ ) to those who had ears to hear; cf. Ps. xix. I, and the remarkable parallel in $\mathrm{J}_{0}$. xii. $28{ }_{\eta} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$
















$\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \lambda \lambda \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \nu$ ．In $\lambda a \lambda \in \hat{L} \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta \eta_{\nu}$ ，the acc．is that of＇content＇（Blass，Gr．p．gof．）；
 креїттov $\lambda a \lambda o u ̂ y \tau \iota$.
 $\phi \epsilon \pm \nu]$ The Seer in his vision seems to be engaged in taking notes of what he sees and hears（i．II，19，ii．1，etc．）． He has understood the special（ $\dot{\varepsilon} u v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ） utterance of the Thunders，and at once takes his papyrus－sheet and dips his reed pen into the inkhorn（ 2 Jo ． 12,3 Jo．13），intending to write them down，when a voice from heaven（xiv． 2， 13 ，xviii．4）bids him refrain．The form $\eta_{\mu \epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ occurs in Jo．iv．47，xii． 33，xviii．32，while on the other hand in Jo．vi．6，Apoc．iii．2，the best text has ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ；see WH．${ }^{2}$ Notes，p． 169. ${ }^{2} E \kappa$ тô̂ oủpavoû：Syr．${ }^{\text {TF．}}$ adds $=$ rov és\＆ópov apparently，and this interesting reading is now supported by the Athos ms．I30．
$\sigma \phi \rho a ́ \gamma ı \sigma a v \ldots \mu \grave{\eta}$ aùrà $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi \eta!s . \quad \Sigma \phi \rho$. is from Dan．xii． 4 каì $\sigma \dot{v}, \Delta a \nu \iota \eta \lambda$ ，
 גeias（cf．$i b$ ．viii．26）；but the application of the metaphor to unwritten utter－ ances is a bold innovation．Mウ̀ aútà $\gamma \rho$ í $\psi n s$ stands in sharp contrast with
 of aưzá is emphatic，cf．xi． $2 \mu \bar{j}$ av่тク̀ $\nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \sigma_{\eta j}$ ．What the utterances were， or why they were not to be revealed，
it is idle to enquire；but compare


 $\theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} 0 \leq$－to be forbidden to write was to be forbidden to communicate to the Church what he had heard． The Seer＇s enforced reticence wit－ nesses to the fragmentary character of even apocalyptic disclosures．The Seer himself received more than he was at liberty to eommunicate． He was conscious of having passed through experiences which he could not recall or express，and he rightly interpreted his inability to put them on paper as equivalent to a prohibition Such a revelation was，for all practical pur－ poses，a $\hat{\rho} \tilde{\eta}_{\mu}$ ă ${ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \eta \tau o x$ ．Of．Origen in Joann．t．xiii．5：c．Cels．vi． 6.
 ${ }_{\kappa r \lambda}$ ．］See $v$ ．I，notes．The angel now speaks（ $c .3$ ）and answers the Seven Thunders by a solemn oath． But first he lifts up his hand to heaven，a gesture which in the O．T． accompanies an adjuration；cf．Deut． xxxii． 40 à $\rho \bar{\omega}$（ぶ



 of ónvívau，see e．g．Gen．xiv．22，Exod． vi．8，Num．xiv．30，Ez．xx．15， 28.










 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta(Q) \times(7) 283^{6} 4^{8} 799196 \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau a \iota$ arm Ar ${ }^{8}$ consummabitur Vg finietur Prim | ws]o 10283749799196 Izo syrsw arm

The passage in the Seer's mind is
 aùtô̂ kaì rì̀ àpıotepày aùtô cis tòv




 ai $\omega v a s$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ai $\omega \nu \omega \nu$ is frequent in the A pocalypse (i. 18, iv. 9 f., xv. 7). ${ }^{\text {ºs }}$
 familiar formula (Exod xx. 1I, Ps. cxlv. (cxlvi.) 6, 2 Esdr. ix. 6), which increases the solemnity of the oath by rehearsing the visible proofs of the almighty power of God; cf. Gen. xiv. 22. On ëктưєン see Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 284
 followed by ( I ) the object of the appeal in the acc. (Jac. v. 12) or governed by $\epsilon \nu(M t . v .34,36$, xxiii. 16), tis (Mt. v. 35), or кaтá (Heb. vi. 13, 16); (2) the contents of the oath, preceded by $6 l$ (Gen. xiv. 23, Ps. xciv. (xcv.) 11), or recited with or without oft (Ps. cix. (cx.) 4, Mc. vi. 23, xiv. 71). The Angel's words were,

 ancient commentators for the most part interpret (e.g. Bede: "mutabilis saecularium temporum varietas...cessabit"), but 'there shall no more be
any interval of time, any further delay': cf. 'Hab. ii. 3 (Heb. x. 37),
 contrast Apoc. vi. i I $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \dot{\theta} \theta_{\eta}$ av่ ioîs ìa
 be an allusion to Dan. xii. 7 , which foretells a $\sigma v v t$ ètia. But how necessary so solemn an assurance became towards the end of the Apostolic age, when the early hopes of an immediate mapovaía had been dispersed, is clear from such a passage as 2 Pet. iii. 3 ff .

 $\gamma \in \lambda i ́ a ~ r \hat{\jmath} s$ mapouotias aùroû; cf. Le.



 delays supervening, as soon as the days of the Seventh Trumpet have come, at the moment when the Seventh Angel is about to blow, then (for kai in apodosis, cf. WM. p. 546 f.) the Secret of God is finished.' The clause as a whole corrects the impression that x $\chi$ óvos oúкét ${ }^{\text {ér }} \boldsymbol{\sigma t a}$ implies an immediate end. It will come in 'days' which though future are so distinctly present to the mind of the speaker that he writes '́r $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ rather than $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ Orivetas (the aor. of anticipation, WM. p. 346 f., cf. Burton, § 50).

Tò $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} / o \nu$ roû $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}:$ cf. the




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \nu, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau \varphi ̣ ̂ ~ \delta o u ̂ \nu \alpha i ́ ~ \mu o ı ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta \iota \beta \lambda \alpha-$











Synoptic phrase tò $\mu$. тîs $\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon i a s$ т. $\theta$. (Mc. iv. I i, note), and St Paul's тò $\mu . \tau . \theta$. (I Cor. ii. I, Col. ii. 2), or тồ $\dot{\chi} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{u}$ (Col. iv. 3). The mystery of which mention is made here is perhaps wider than these, including the whole purpose of God in the evolution of human history. The whole is now at


 That a final and joyous clearing up of the problems of life should find a place in the last days was the Gospel of the prophets both Jewish and

 the phrase 'His servants the prophets' see Am. iii. 7, Jer. vii. 25, xxy. 4, Apoc. i. 1, 3, xi. I8. The rare active $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i \zeta \in \epsilon \nu$ occurs also in I Regn. xxxi. 9,2 Regn. xviii. 19, Apoc. xiv.
 St Luke, and is found also in Gal. i. 9 , 1 Pet. i. i2, but the usual construction
 p. 89 f.).
 Another example of mixed construction: normally, the sentence would
run either $\dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}_{\eta} \tilde{\eta}^{\eta} \kappa \sigma v \sigma a \ldots \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

 (cf. app. crit.). The sense is clear; the same heavenly voice, which had bidden the Seer not to write the utterance of the Seven. Thunders (v. 4), now bids him take the roll that lay open in the Angel's hand (v. 2). Cf. iv. I, note.
9. каі ä $\pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta a \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu a ̆ ้ \gamma \gamma \in \lambda о \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Seer in his rapture quits his position at the door of heaven (iv. I), and places himself before the great Angel whose feet rest on sea and land. On à $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta a$ see $W H .{ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 17 I , W. Schm. p. III. $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ aútồ ooṽvas 'telling (bidding) him to give'; cf. Acts xxi. 21 入é $\gamma \omega \nu \mu \lambda_{\lambda} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ av̇roùs tà $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa y a$. The Angel does not give the book, but invites the Seer to take it, and thus to shew at once his fitness for the task before him (cf. v. 2 ff .), and his readiness to undertake it. The book did not need to be opened, like that which the Lamb had taken out of the Hand of God, nor were its contents to be read or published; it was to be consumed by the Seer (on кavaфayєiv see Mc. iv. 4,





 каi $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ каi $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \sigma \iota \nu$ то $\lambda \lambda о i ̂ s$.




 $\epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$ pr $\epsilon \pi \iota$ Q min ${ }^{p l q}{ }^{80}$ syr Prim Ar
note) i.e. taken in and digested mentally; cf. Primasius: "id est 'in secretis recondi visceribus," and Arethas:

 reference to Ez. iii. i, 3 каì єinty $\pi \rho o ̀ s$




 Seer adds: kaì tiкрapeî oov tì кou-
 The sweetuess of the roll reminds the reader of Ps. xviii. (xix.) Io, II



 beauty of the revelation, the joy of insight and foresight which it afforded, the promise it held of greater joys to come, are well expressed by this metaphor: cf. Jer. xv. 16 ढ̈́rat ó $\lambda$ óyos
 карঠías $\mu$ ov. But when the message has been digested, it has other and opposite effects- тькраעє $\hat{\imath}$ бov $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ коi入ian (for this use of кoìía cf. Jo.
 คєє purposes, even though a mere fragment, a $\beta_{i} \beta \lambda a p i \delta i o v$, is 'bitter-sweet;' disclosing judgement as well as mercy.

The Seer, if he would be admitted into a part of God's secret, must be prepared for very mixed sensations; the first joy of fuller knowledge would be followed by sorrows deeper and more bitter than those of ordinary men. Cf. Orig. philoc. v. 6.
 катє́фауоу кт入.] The Seer obeys, and the result is as the Angel had said. There is however an instructive change of order: the Angel's words are $\pi<\kappa \rho a-$

 his experience naturally places first the sensation which was first in order of time. The remarkable variant $\epsilon \gamma \varepsilon-$ $\mu i \sigma \theta \eta$ for ${ }^{\prime} \pi \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha^{\prime} \nu \theta \eta$ is best explained as the first word of a gloss $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \mu i \sigma \theta \eta$ $\pi$ ropias, accidentally transferred into the text from the margin or from a position over émıкрáv $\eta_{\eta}$; the gloss itself may have been şuggested by Job xxxii. 19. Cf. app. crit.
 $\pi \rho \circ ф \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota{ }_{\kappa \tau} \lambda$.] $\Lambda$ é $\gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ is the plural of indefinite statement, nearly equivalent to $\epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \theta \eta$; whether the words come from the heavenly voice (vo. 4, 8), or from the Angel (o. 9), or from some unknown source, is not obvious or material. $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$. recalls the commission given to the prophets of Israel, especially to Jeremiah (i. 10

##  







 vi. 2, xi. 4 et passim). The Seer of the Apocalypse, full ofethe bitterness of the roll which he has devoured, is now bound ( $\delta \varepsilon i$ ) to prophesy again. After the Seventh Trumpet (xi. 15) a second $\pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \epsilon i a$ will begin (xii. 1; see Introduction, c. iii.) in which the destinies of nations and their rulers will be yet more fully revealed. The Seer is not sent to prophesy in their presence (éni with gen., cf. Mc. xiii. 9
 nor against them (émi with acc., see Ez. l. c.), but simply with a view to their several cases (émi $\lambda$ aois ктл.). Пod入ois emphasizes the greatness of the field. It is no one Empire or Emperor that is concerned in the prophecies of the second half of the A pocalypse; not merely Rome or Nero or Domitian, but a multitude of races, kingdoms, and crowned heads.
XI. i-14. Pbeparations forthe Seventh Trumpet. (2) Measuring the Temple. The Holy City and the Two Witnesses.
 Seer is no longer a mere witness; the new inspiration imparted by the roll (x. i i) prompts him to take his place among the actors in the great drama. His part is to measure the Sanctuary, and for this end a reed is put into his hands. The conception is from Ezek.









 т $̀ \dot{\nu} \nu$ mó入ıv. The кä̀aرos (Ezekiel's (קְנֵה הַמִּדָּה ) is perhaps a cane of the Arundo donax which (Hastings iv. p. 212) grows in 'immense brakes' along the Jordan valley (cf. Mt. xi. 7), and often reaches the height of 15 or 20 feet. Such a reed would be in strength and straightness of ${ }^{\prime}$ oosos $\dot{\rho}{ }^{\prime} \beta \dot{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \omega$ (Mc. vi. 8), but far longer and therefore better fitted to take the measurements of a great building. Ezekiel's reed was of six cubits, i.e. about 9 feet (xl. 5, see A. B. Davidson ad loc.).
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu{ }^{*}$ Еүєьрє каі $\mu \epsilon ́ т \rho \eta \sigma о \nu$ кт $\lambda$.] On
 There is no need to ask with Andreas
 or with Bp Chr. Wordsworth to understand by the reed the Canon of Holy Scripture regarded as the measure of human life. The speaker is the person who gave the reed, and whose presence is implied in cióon . A heavenly sanctuary has been mentioned in iii. 12, vii. 15 ; cf. xi. $19{ }^{\circ}$ yaòs rov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ ó $\dot{\epsilon} y$ oưpay $\hat{\text { on }}$. But the sanctuary which is now to be measured is evidently on earth (cf. $v .2$ ), and its form is suggested by the Temple of Jerusalem; it has an 'outer court' and is in 'the Holy City.' At Jerusalem the Altar of Burnt-offering, which is probably meant by vò orocactíptov, was in the Court of the Priests, while the worshippers filled the Court of the Israelites and the Court of the Women, so that the paós here must be taken to include the iєpóv, with the exception of the Court of the Gentiles. The Seer however has in view not the material Sanctuary, but the spiritual building of the Church ; ef. I Cor. iii.









16f., 2 Cor. vi. 16, Eph. ii. 21, 2 Thess. ii. 4 The measuring of the Sanctuary provides for its preservation from the general overthrow, and thus corresponds with the sealing of the 144,000, which preceded the seventh seal-opening as the measuring precedes the seventh trumpet-blast. Mé-
 a zeugma; some such verb as кata$\rho i \theta \mu \eta \sigma o \nu$ must be mentally supplied (WM. p. 777).
 \% $\kappa \beta a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The outer court is passed over and left to its fate. Solomon's Temple had two courts (3 Regn. vi. 34
 चि $\omega \boldsymbol{\tau}$ Hastings, iv. 702), and so had Ezekiel's (Ezek. xl. 17, 20); but in Herod's Temple the inner court was divided into three spaces, from the last of which the outer court was parted by
 Eph ii. 15, where see Dean Robinson's note) which might not be passed by a Gentile. The onter court was "given to the Gentiles" as an oikos $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \tilde{\eta}$ s (Mc. xi. 17), and the Lord taught that its sanctity was not impaired by their admission; it was a true part of the iepóv. Now, however, the Seer is directed to 'cast it out' ( $\vec{\epsilon} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \omega$, as in c. xiv. 20; cf. Blass, Gr. p. 59), i.e. to exclude it from the paós, though the other courts are included. It is to be "given to the Gentiles" in another sense, to be profaned and, with the rest of the Holy City, trodden under foot. If the vaós represents the Church, the outer court is perhaps the rejected

Synagogue ; as in ii. 9, iii. 9 , the tables are turned, and while the Church fills the court of Israclites and worships at the Altar of the Cross (Heb. xiii. ro), Israel after the flesh is cast out (Mt.
 oovial) and delivered to the heathen. This interpretation of the outer court seems to have been in the mind of Andreas, though he obscures it by including the pagan world: $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \overline{i s} \delta \dot{\delta}$


 avvaywyin. See Hort, Apoc. p. xxxif.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] A reminiscence of Zech. xit. 3





 Ixxix. I, Ps. Sol. vii. 2, xvii. 25, i Mace. iii. 45, 5 I . There is a yet nearer parallel in Lc. xxi. 22 'I $\epsilon \rho \circ v \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ ё $\sigma \tau \alpha u$

 comes perhaps from Dan. ix. 24 Th.
 in 2 Esdr. xxi. I, Isa xlviii. 2, lii. 1, Mt. iv. 5, xxvii. 53. In Apoc. xxi. 2, xxii. 19 it is applied to the ideal City of God, but here, as the context shews, it stands for the Jewish polity, as the outer court of the Temple for the Jewish faith and worship.
 of time is derived from Dan. vii. 25 Th ,
 kalpov, i.e. $3 \frac{1}{2}$ years or 42 months, the




#### Abstract

  $47284^{87996}$


duration of the sufferings of the Jews under Antiochus, whether we reckon from June 168 to Dec. 165 , or from Dec. 168 to the middle of 164 ; see Driver ad loc. The same limit is given under various terms in Apoc. xi. 3, xii. 6 ( 1260 days), xii. 14 (" a time and times and half a time" as in Daniel), xi. 2, xiii. 5 (42 months). By comparing these passages with the present context we get the equation : the duration of the triumph of the Gentiles $=$ the duration of the prophesying of the Two Witnesses, $=$ the duration of the Woman's sojourn in the wilderness. The time-limit serves of course no further purpose than to synchrouize the several periods, and to compare them with the greatest crisis through which the Jewish people passed between the Exile and the Fall of Jerusalem. In this place it suggests that as the Syrian domination yielded at last to the faith and courage of the Maccabees, so when the appointed time has come the Jewish people may be emancipated from Gentile oppression, and restored to the unity of the people of God. The words have a special interest in view of the recrudescence of AntiSemitism.
3. каì $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega$ тоı̂s $\delta v \sigma i \nu \nu a ́ \rho \tau v \sigma i ́ \nu \mu o v$ $\kappa т \lambda$.] The Speaker is Christ (cf. ii. 13, xxi. 6) or His Angel-representative (xxii. 7,12 ff.). $\Delta \omega ́ \sigma \omega . . . к а і ̈ ~ \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau є \underset{\sim}{-}$

 MIְְְבְ: Neither Moses and Elijah, nor Elijah and Elisha, nor Enoch and Elijah (Tert. anim. 50, Hipp., ed. Lag., p. 21, Hier. ep. 59. 3; see Arethas,




тov̂ Beoßitov, and Thilo, cod. apocr. N. T., p. 765 f. ; cf. Bousset, Der Antichrist, p. 134 ff.) can exhaust the meaning of the two witnesses who prophesy through the whole period of Gentile domination, though, as the sequel shews (ov. 5, 6), the first pair at least are in the mind of the writer, suggested doubtless by Mal. iv. 4, 6, and by the vision of the Transfiguration (Mc. ix. 4). Nor again can such allegorical interpretations as the Law and the Prophets, the Law and the Gospel, the Old Testament and the New, be maintained in view of all that follows. Rather the witnesses represent the Church in her function of witness-bearing (Acts i. 8 㿠 $\sigma \sigma \hat{\epsilon}$
 her testimony is symbolized by tuco witnesses, partly in reference to the well-known law of Deut. xix. is ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{i}$



 to correspond with the imagery of Zechariah iv. 2 ff., about to be cited; or, as Primasius says, they may represent the Church in both stages of her career, "ecclesia duobus testamentis praedicans et prophetans" The witness of the Church, borue by her martyrs and confessors, her saints and doctors, and by the words and lives of all in whom Christ lives and speaks, is one continual prophecy (cf.

 throughout the 1260 days of the triumph of heathendom. Her witnesses are clad in sackcloth (for the construction see $x$. I), a reference perhaps to the rough costume worn by ancient prophets; cf. 4 Regn. i. 8
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 rov, and see Mc. i. 6, note. But $\pi \epsilon \rho t-$ $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda$. бáxкovs has a special appropriateness in its present connexion; the sackeloth dress indicates that the attitude of the Church during the prevalence of paganism, if not to the end of her course on earth (Mc. ii. 20), must needs be penitential and not triumphant ; ef. Jonah iii. 6, 8 тє $\boldsymbol{\pi} \ell \epsilon-$

 Bede: "saccis amicti, id est in exomologesi constituti." On the readings
 WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 138 .
4. oîroí єiซty ai òvo é̀aiau $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] After Zech. iv. 2 f., 14 iठov̀ $\lambda v \chi \nu i a ~ \chi \rho v o \tilde{\eta}$ ö $\lambda \eta$


 the $\lambda u \chi_{p i} i$ is Israel, and the two olive trees which feed it are either the priesthood and the royal house, represented by Joshua and Zerubbabel, or, as some suppose, certain heavenly ministries through which the Spirit was poured upon the nation. The Apocalyptist adopts so much of this as lends itself to his purpose. He has already hikened the seven Churches to $\lambda_{u \chi \text { víat (i. 12, 20) ; from another point }}$ of view the whole Church is a single $\lambda v \chi{ }^{\text {ia }}$, fed by those of its members who are specially set apart to be

Christ's witnesses. These, if faithful, carry with them the oil of the Spirit, which keeps alive the light of life (cf. Mt. xxy. 4, Rom. xi. 17). They stand before the Lord of the earth, living in His Presence, and ministering to Him by their confession of His Christ.

Ai...є $\sigma \tau \omega \tau \epsilon s$ : in $\varepsilon \in \sigma \tau$. the thought of the writer goes back to oüros i.e. oi סv́o $\mu$ áprvpes, and, full of his great conception, he is indifferent to the demands of grammar.
 ктд.] To kill God's witnesses is impossible, so long as their witness is unfulfilled; those who attempt it bring destruction upon themselves. There is an allusion to Elijah's treatment of Ahaziah's messengers ( 2 Kings i. Io ff., cf. Lc. ix. 54), but as usual the details are modified; the fire comes not from heaven but out of the mouths of the witnesses (cf. i. 16, ii. 16, ix. 17), i.e. the witnesses slay their enemies by the fire of the word which they utter ; of

 каì катафáyєтаи aviroús. Sir. xlviii. I
 ¿̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ a v ̉ r o i ̂ ~ w s ~ \lambda a \mu \pi a ̀ s ~ e ́ x a i ́ \epsilon т o . ~ V i c-~$ torinus rightly: "ignem...potestatem verbi dicit." Bede thinks of the Christian revenge inculcated in Rom.



For $\epsilon \frac{7}{2}$ tis $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ see WM. p. 368 ; Blass, Gr. p. 216; other exx. of $\epsilon i$








with the subj．may be found in Lc．ix．13，I Cor．xiv．5．If $\theta \in \lambda \eta \sigma_{\eta}$ differs in meaning from $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon t}$（see app．crit．）the former must be held to state a hypothetical case，whilst the latter posits the $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\eta \sigma t s}$ as a fact． For $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$＇to be minded＇see the in－ teresting parallel in Lc．xiii． 31 ＇ $\mathrm{H} \rho$ ớ亢̄s

 slain in this manner＇；cf．xiii．Io $8 \in \hat{i}$
 àdockip see ii．if，note．
 Another reference to Elijah，the re－ presentative of O．T．prophecy．In I Kings xvii．I the drought pro－ claimed by Elijah is for＇these years＇
 an indefinite term of years beginning with the date of the prophecy．Ac－ cording to Menander，cited by Jo－ sephus（ants．viii．13．2），the period was actually one full year；see Burney ad loc．But a tradition adopted in Le．iv．

 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ évauvooùs $\tau \rho \epsilon i$ iss kail $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s \tilde{\epsilon} \xi$ ），made the length of the great drought cor－ respond with that of the Syrian domi－ nation；and this agrees with the Apocalyptist＇s scheme of things，for according to $v$ ． 3 the days of the wit－ nesses＇prophesying are 1260 ，ie． $3 \frac{1}{2}$ years．Tin＇govaiav，the power exer－ cised by Elijah and now revived in the case of the two witnesses．Kגєi cu tò̀ ovjoavóv occurs elsewhere in this con－ nexion only in Lc．lac．＇Yetis $\beta \rho^{\prime} \chi_{\chi \epsilon t}$ is
unusual；the customary phrase is $\delta$ Oè̀s Bpé set vítón（Joel ii．23），ó $\theta$ eòs $\beta \rho \epsilon_{\chi \epsilon L}$（Gen．ii．5，Mt．v．45），or simply
 the execution of the prophetic office， as in 2 Esdr．vi． 13 站 $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta r \in i a ̣$
 more usually the noun denotes either the gift of prophecy（ r Cor．xii．Io）， or a particular prophecy or collec－ dion of prophecies（Apoc．i．3，xxii． 7 ff．）．
 Reference is now made to Moses，the other prototype of the Church＇s wit－ nesses．Like Moses in Egypt，they can inflict plagues．The first of the Egyptian plagues has been already in－ traduced into the scenery of the Third Trumpet（viii．8），but less precisely； here $\sigma \tau \rho$ é $\phi \in c y$ aùvà（sc．тà vi vara）cis nipa answers to Exod．vii． 20 號

 Harágau．．．év $\pi \dot{a} a r \eta ~ \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta}$ comes from r Regn．iv．8，where the Philistines ex－

 $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \omega \nu$ carries the power given to the Church far beyond that exercised by Moses，who received an express command before he inflicted a plague． The＇govaía committed to the wit－ nesses of Christ has no bounds but those which are imposed by their own want of faith；cf．Mc．xi．23，note；

 The general sense of the verse is well







 vg ponet Prim proicietur 'al transl' ap Prim (cf arm)


 aùvêv кт入.] The witnesses are immortal for so long a time only as their allotted term of office lasts; when they have delivered their message, their immunity from danger ceases, and they are at the mercy of their enemies. These are represented by
 Of the Abyss we have heard in c.ix. I ff., but hitherto no mention has been made of a Wild Beast: there have been $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$, but there has been no Anpiov, nor is there any further reference to one until we reach $c$. xiii. I. Yet the article ( $\tau$ ò $\theta$.) assumes that this Wild Beast which comes up from the Abyss is a figure already familiar to the reader. Perhaps it points back to

 lyptist mentally merging the four in one, or fixing hisattention on the fourth (ib. 7 f ., zof.), while for the sea he substitutes the Abyss (cf. Deut. xxx. 13 with Rom. x. 7, and the note on $c . \mathrm{ix}$. I). In Daniel the Aqpia are earthly kingdoms or empires (Dan. vii. 17), which are contrasted with the Kingdom of the Saints (vr. 18, 27). A similar interpretation may be provisionally adopted here. This $\theta$ qioiov from the Abyss is clearly a power of imperial magnitude and great strength which derives its origin from beneath, and opposes itself to Christ's witnesses. The ancient commentators identify
this power with the Antichrist (cf.
 xpigtos, and so Arethns). For a fuller discussion of the symbol see notes on cc. xiii. I , xvii. 8.

The Wild Beast prevails over the Witnesses; cf. Dan. vii. 21 Th. rò кépas éкếvo èmoítı mó入 $\epsilon \mu о \nu \quad \mu e \tau a ̀ ~$ ( $\sigma \in \nu \pi \rho o \dot{s}$ aúzoús. The Seer anticipates a struggle between the Church and the whole power of the Roman Empire; he foresees that the troubles which began under Nero and Domitian will end in such a conflict as was actually brought about under Decius and in the last persecution under Diocletian. But his words cover in effect all the martyrdoms and massacres of history in which brute force has seemed to triumph over truth and righteousness.
 $\pi \lambda a \tau \varepsilon i a s k r \lambda$.] 'Their corpses (for $\pi \tau \hat{\mu} \mu$, cadaver, see Jud. xiv. 8, Ez. vi. 5 (A), Mc. vi. 29, xv. 45 (notes), and for the collective sing., cf. Gen. xlviii. i2, Lev. x. 6, Jud. xiii. 20, and see Blass, Gr. p. 83) lie on the open street ( $\tau$ चुs $\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a s$, cf. cc. $\mathrm{xxi} .21, \mathrm{xxii} .2$ ) of the Great City.' With the sentiment of his race the Seer strongly resents the indignities offered to the bodies of the martyrs ; cf. Ps. Ixxix. 2 f., Tob. i. 18, ii. 3 ff .

The Great City is defined as "one which ( $\eta^{7} \tau \mathrm{~s}$ ) in the language of mystery or of prophecy ( $\pi \nu є v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$, cf. I Cor. ii. I3 (cod. B) $\pi \nu \in \cup \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \varsigma \pi \nu \epsilon \nu-$







#### Abstract

    


 $\beta p \omega ̂ \mu a$, and contrast $\sigma a \rho к \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$ in Justin, dial. 14) is called 'Sodom' and 'Egypt': The name of Sodom is given to Judah in its worst days (Isa


 and suggests at once moral degradation and utter ruin Egypt, the 'house of bondage,' though not applied in the O.T. to Jerusalem or the Jewish people, is an obvious symbol of oppression and slavery. That Jerusalem is intended here seems to follow from óтои каi ктд.; in the latter half of the book the 'Great City' is Babylon (xyi. 19, xvii. 18 , xvii. Ioff.), but the epithet $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \overline{ }{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta}$ is one which a Jew might not unnaturally give to the capital of his native land (cf. Orac. Sibyll. v. I54, 226, 4:3); even pagan writers extol its size (Appian, Syr. 50 нєyiorך ródis 'Iєюотó $\lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{a}$ ). But if Jerusalem is in the Seer's thoughts, it is Jerusalem no longer regarded as the Holy City, but as given over to heathendom ( $r .2$ ), and thus for the time representing the world. The measured Sanctuary remains in its midst, an impregnable fortress, but the Witnesses go out into the strect where the power of the Beast is supreme, and there, after a while, they meet their fate. In the ultimate meaning of the symbols, the City is doubtless not Jerusalem, but Rome, the persecutor of the Saints, the mystic Sodom and Egypt of the
early centuries, where Christ was crucified afresh in His Saints. But this line of thought has not yet come into view ; for the present, Jerusalem, the city of the Crucifixion and of the earliest Christian martyrdoms, by a strange irony represents the autagonist of the civitas Dei.
 recalls the saying of Jo. xy. 20 avik


 $\phi v \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda$.] Men of all races and nationalities (cf. v. 9 , vii. 9 ; on this use of éкsee Blass, Gr. p. 97, who compares it with a similar use of 9 ) gaze at the spectacle, which lasts $3 \frac{1}{2}$ days-as many days as the years of the witnesses' prophesying-a short triumph in point of fact, but long enough to bear the semblance of being complete and final. The delight of the spectators is represented as at once fiendish and childish; they not ouly leave the bodies without burial, but refuse to permit the friends of the martyrs to bury them (cf. Tobit i. I8ff.). Further, they celebrate their victory by keeping holiday and exchanging gifts. The words depict the hatred entertained for the Christians by the pagan majority, and the joy with which edicts against them would be received.

Tà $\pi \tau \omega \prime \mu a t a$ : the plural is used in reference to the burial of the bodies, in which separate treatment would be
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necessary; contrast тò $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ( $\boldsymbol{v} .8$,
 Mc. i. 34 xi. 25 ; and for á $\phi$ є́éva, sinere, see Jo. xi. 44, 48, xii. 7, xviii. 8 .
 $\chi$ aipovaı $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The non-Christian world-an Apocalyptic formula, ef. iii. 10, vi. Io, viii. 13 , xiii. 8 , 12 , 14 , xvii. 2 , 8-shew their joy at the overthrow of the Witnesses after the customary manner, keeping holiday ( $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \phi \rho a i v \in \sigma \theta a$, used specially of 'good cheer' and the mirth which it induces ; cf. Le. xii. 19
 19), and sending portions from their own table to friends or to poorer neighbours ( 2 Esdr. xviii. Io ф́र ${ }^{2} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$

 тоє $\bar{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \epsilon \dot{\cup} \phi \rho о \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma$ à $\eta \nu$; Esth. ix. 22 éğ кai rois $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ois). The cause of joy was not so much the death of the Witnesses as the relief which the cessation of their testimony afforded; "the two prophets (cf. $0.3 \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon$ v' $\sigma o v \sigma(\nu)$ tortured" the world by setting men's consciences at work ; cf. I Kings xviii. 17 , xxi. 20 , Mc. vi. 20, Apoc. ix. 5 f. note. Such a sense of relief is not seldom folt by bad men, when a preacher of righteonsness or a signal example of goodness is removed,
though good breeding may prevent outward manifestation of joy; cf. Bede: "quoties affliguntur iusti exsultant iniusti." On ßaravi\}́cu see c. ix. 5, note.
 $\nexists \mu \nu \sigma v \quad \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The exultation of the pagan world will be shortened; when the $3 \frac{1}{2}$ days are over, the Witnesses return to life. The Seer has in mind


 Church of the martyrs recovering herself from the effects of an age of persecution, as Ezekiel had seen new life infused into a dead Israel. Compare

 ם*ㄲำ (Gen. vi. 17, vii. 15, 22), the respiration of animal life, in this case proceeding directly 'from God.' With $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{j} \lambda \theta \in \nu \in \dot{e}^{\varepsilon} y$ aúroîs cf. Lc. ix. 46, and Blass, Gr. p. Іза
 xv. 16, Ps. liv. (lv.) 5, 2 Esdr. xvi. 16; in N.T., Lc. ́. 12, Acts xix. 17) roùs $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{r} a \mathrm{a}$ aúroús: the spectators were panic-stricken. Each unexpected revival of the Church after an edict aimed at her extinction would strike dismay into the hearts of the persecutors, for it was manifestly ék rồ $\theta$ eoù.
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 The resurrection of the Witnesses is followed, as their Lord's (v. 8) had been, by an ascension into heaven in a cloud. But whereas none saw the Lord rise from the dead, and His Ascension was witnessed only by a few (Acts i. $9 \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ av่ $9 \hat{\omega} \nu$ sc. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$, His witnesses rise and ascend in full view of their enemies
 v. II tov่s Efcoov̂vras aviroús) ; their triumph is celebrated openly. This predicted exaltation of the martyrs and saints will find its fulfilment in the rapture which St Paul foresees

 кupiov cis ả́f́pa). But meanwhile it has been partly anticipated in the sight of the world by the tribute paid to the victims of a persecution, sometimes within a few years after their dishonour and deatl. Quite early in the history of the Church festivals were instituted in honour of the martyrs, martyria erected at their tombs, basilicas dedicated to their memory, their names were inserted in the diptychs and recited at the Christian sacrifice; and the later processes of canonization and invocation were at least an endeavour to do honour to those who had wituessed to Christ at the cost of their lives. In the popular esteem the Church's
earlier witnesses were erected into a new Olympus; paganism saw the men it had hated and killed called up to heaven before its eyes. Thus if the full realization of the Seer's vision is still in the future, it found a partial accomplishment even before the age of persecution ceased. For $\omega$ 'hither' (Syr. ${ }^{\text {gw. }}<\mathbf{S}$ ) cf. c. iv. 1. 'Ep $\tau \hat{\eta} v \in \phi \in \lambda \eta$ : the cloud already associated with ascension into heaven in the Master's case (Acts i. 9). The Seer may also have in view the translation of Enoch and Elijah (Sir. xliv. 16 , xlviii. 9 , xlix. I4; cf. c. xi. 3, note).
 $\sigma \in \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Earthquake (in the first century a too familiar experience of the Asiatic towns) is in the Prophets a constantsymbol of great upheavals in the social or spiritual order; see Ez. xxxvii. 7, xxxvili. I9, Hagg. ii. 6 (cf. Heb. xii. 26f.), Mc. xiii. 8, Apoc. xvi. 18. Here it seems to indicate the breaking up of the old pagan life which would follow the foreseen victory of the faith. The prophecy clothes itself in language borrowed from the well-known phenomena of a physical upheaval. Tò
 numbers like тò трíroy in viii 7-I2,
 in Israel. But there is a studied moderation in the present figures;









 Andre Ar
that but a tenth part of the great city should be overthrown and but 7000 souls should perish out of a population of at least Ioo，000（cf．Jos．c．Apron． i．22）indicates that the disaster was to be partial and ordinary．
 ＇persons＇：cf．iii．4，note；to the ex－ amples of this use of oo $\nu \boldsymbol{\mu} a$ given by Deissmanı，Bible Studies，p． 196 f．， may now be added one published by Grenfell and Hunt in the Tebtunis Papyri，24．65．＂E $\delta \omega \kappa a \nu$ סógav $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\theta_{\in \hat{c}} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ oui $\rho a \nu \hat{\nu} y$ ：they glorified the True God by confessing their sin in having forsaken Him for idols；Jos．


 N期皆）is from Daniel（eng．ii． 18 f．， Th．，iv． 28 （3I）f．，Lxx．；see Driver， Daniel，p．23），and reminds the reader that the Church was suffering，as Israel suffered during the Babylonian cap－ tivity，from a predominant and trucu－ lent heathenism．The＇God of heaven＇ （2 Esdr．v．I2，vi．Io，xii．4）is the in－ visible God of Jewish and Christian Monotheism，the＂caeli numen＂of Jus．xiv． 97 （see Mayor＇s note），as contrasted with the＇gods many＇ whose images were to be seen in the pagan temples．In the end the Seer foresees a general movement towards Christianity，induced by fear or
 cf．Acts xxiv． 24 f．）－a prediction fulfilled more than once in ecclesias－ tical history．
 ${ }_{k T \lambda}$ ．］See ix．12，note．The Second Woe is the Sixth Trumpet，with the two episodes（x．I－－xi．I3）appended to it．The Seventh Trumpet－$\dot{\eta}$ oval $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau$ pirn－is now to follow without further delay．For $\check{\epsilon} \rho_{\chi \in \sigma \theta a i}$ тадú see ii． 16 ， iii．I 1, xxii． $7,12,20$ ；it seems always to refer，more or less directly，to the Parousia or to events leading up to it．

15－19．Tel Seventh Trumpet－ blast or Third Woe．
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.$] There is a marked contrast be－$ tween the result of the opening of the Seventh Seal，and that of the blowing of the Seventh Trumpet．In the former case there was silence in Heaven；now there are＇great voices＇；and the Seer can hear and write down what they say． The voices may be those of the $\zeta \stackrel{\omega}{a}$ （cf．vi．1，3，5，7），who represent Creation and rejoice in the subjection of the cosmos to their Lord and His Christ． Á＇रovtes，ie．the persons or personifi－ cations from whom the voices come；
 ＂this knowledge at present is wholly in heaven．．．not manifested yet to the creation，but to be wrought out＂ （Benson）．

#  

 єis $\tau 0 \dot{s}$ ai $\omega \bar{\nu}$ as $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ai $\omega \bar{\nu} \omega \nu$.


 ${ }_{1} 7^{17}$ 入é $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ оутєs
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 "The kingdom of the world has become (for the aor. cf. Lc. xix. 9) our Lord's and His Anointed's." The words suggest the vision of a world-empire, once dominated by an uslurping power, which has now at length passed into the hands of its true Owner and Imperator; cf. Mt. iv. 8, 9, Jo. xiv. 30, Eph. ii. 2, vi. 12. The world-long struggle which will end in this transfer is described in Ps. ii. (cf. Acts iv. 26), which yields the phrase of кúpıos kai of xptotòs aùroû, Dan. vii. 13 ff ., 22 ff ; and the magnificent issue is celebrated again in Apoc. xii. Io, xix. 6, 16. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ xipoos $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ is here plainly not the Son, but the Father; the speakers are representatives of Creation, not of the Church, and the Lord of the Church is from their point of view not the Lord, but "the Lord's Christ" (Le. ii. 26, ix. 20), an O.T. phrase for the anointed King of the theocracy. Kal
 not $\beta$ aбi $\lambda$ eúrovaru, for the rule of God and of Christ is one, and the Kingdom of the Son will ultimately be merged in the Reign of God (I Cor. xv. 27). That Reign is perennial; no age will see its end (Dan. ii. 44, vii. 14, 28), and the Son's re-delivery of His
mediatorial power to the Father does not exclude Him from sharing the Father's kingdom ; against the perversion of the Pauline teaching by Marcellus the Church was able to cite Lc. i. 33 т $\hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ßač $\lambda$ eias a тélos: see Robertson, Regnum Dei, p. 5 If .
16. каi oi єíкобц тéのбарєs $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{v}$ тєpoь oi $k \tau \lambda$.] The Elders take up the witness of the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$ (if we may assume that they are the speakers in $v .15$ ), as they do in iv. 9 ff. Ordinarily the Elders are seated ( $\kappa \alpha A \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu o r$ ) even in the Divine Presence on thrones which surround the central Throne (iv. 4), for the Church is the orivelpovos of the Incarnate Son Who is the aivepanos of the Father (iii. 21); but they prostrate themselves at every act of adoration (iv. 10, v. 8, 14 , xix. 4). With $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ т $\dot{\alpha}$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$ aúт $\bar{\omega} \nu$ cf. c. vii. 11 , where the same prostration is ascribed to the Angels. The Angels and the Church, as creatures, share a common worship.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Elders represent the Church in her great function of $\epsilon \dot{y} \chi a p ı \sigma=i a$. On Kúpte ó $\theta$. ó таутокрár $\omega \rho$, "Lord God of Sabaoth," see cc. i. 8, iv. 8; and








 каироs] к $\lambda \eta \rho$ оs C
omitted, since the future does not fall within the scope of the passage. Eil $\lambda \eta$ фаs...каi éBarinevgas, "Thou hast assumed Thy power, and didst begin

 nation of tenses see iii. 3 eìn kai $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa o u \sigma a s$, v. $7{ }_{\eta}^{3} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ каі $\epsilon i \hbar \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$, viii. 5
 with $\beta_{a \sigma} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ in this sense cf. 2 Regn.
 $\lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ X $\epsilon \beta \rho \omega \dot{\nu}$, Ps. xcii. (xcini.) I Kúp
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma$ á $\lambda \eta \nu$, not the normal exercise of the Divine power, but that final and overwhelming display to which all prophecy points. Compare and contrast Acts viii. io $\dot{\eta}$ ठ́v́valus tov̂ $\theta_{\text {coû }}^{\eta}$ $\kappa а \lambda о v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta$.
 Ps. ii. is still in view, cf. vv. $\mathrm{I}, 5$ dua $\tau \mathfrak{i}$



 In Acts iv. 25 ff., Ps. ii. If. is interpreted by the Church of Jerusalem in reference to the treatment of Christ by Antipas and Pontius Pilate ( $\sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ -


 $\lambda$ aois 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta$ in $\lambda$ ): with a wider outlook the Seer of the Apocalypse sees in it the hostility of the world against the Church. ' $\Omega \rho \gamma i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu . . . \dot{\eta}$ ó $\rho \gamma \gamma_{\eta} \sigma o v$; the futile violence of men is answered by the effective judgements of God.

dies irae is imagined as already come, and is seen to coincide with the Resurrection and the Judgement. With o
 $\sigma \dot{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$, Lc. xxi. 24 к. $\dot{e} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. The dead will rise in their season, when all is ripe for the final award; cf. Mc. iv. 29, Apoc. xiv. I5 ff.; the scene is described in $c$. xx. ff. Oi $\nu \in x p o i$, good and bad, as in Jo. v. 25 , Acts xxiv. 2 I.

The three infinitives, kpı白val... סoúvaı...סtaфөєípaı, dependupon katpós, as in Eecl. iii. 2 кaupòs toû à ào日avềv or without the article, in Judith xiii. 5
 the construction is partly changed, and the writer proceeds as if he had

 to be given in the evening of the world to God's labourers (Mt. xx. 8) is with the Father (Mt. vi. I) in heaven (Mt. v. 12), and will be dispensed by the Lord at His return (Apoc. xxii. 12); though essentially the same in all cases (Mt. l.c.), and though its payment is in all an act of grace on the part of God (Rom. iv. 4), it will vary in proportion to the work of the recipient ( 1 Cor. iii. 8). The prophet's $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime} s$ is in some sense distinct from the $\mu \sigma$ Oos $\delta$ sкaiov (M. x. 41), but no emphasis is laid here upon the differ-
 каi тоís áyiots кr $\lambda$.). "Thy servants the prophets" are the prophets of the Church, as in cc. i. I, x. 7; "the saints" are, as always, the faithful in general. But who are "they that fear Thy Name"? In the Acts (xiii. 16,

 $\tau o u s$ s $\delta \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu$.








 тòv $\theta$ éd are proselytes of the Synagogue; in the Apoc. (here and perhaps also in xix. 5) analogy suggests that they may be the unbaptized adherents of the Church, enquirers and catechumens. These too, if their desire to serve God be sincere, shall not lose their reward; though not aycot in the technical sense, they will receive the $\mu \tau \sigma$ ols $\delta i k a i o v$. Small or great, the least in the Kingdom of Heaven (Mt. xi. II), as well as those who stand in the foremost rank of God's servants, the prophets of the New Covenant, are all remembered before Him. The acc. toùs $\mu$ ккроùs кaì roùs $\mu$ куá入ous must be explained by supposing that the writer has forgotten that he started with 8ov̀vat $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ óv. The phrase (used also in cc. xiii. 16 , xix. 5, 18, xx. 12 ; cf. Gen. xix. 11, Sap. vi. 7) includes all sorts and conditions of men, and witnesses to the $\dot{a} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \pi 0 \lambda \eta \mu \psi i a$ of the Judge. The meanest slave among the catechumens of the Church will receive the same consideration as a convert of Imperial rank.


 topveía $a u^{3} \tau \hat{y}$. Here the reference is more general; by a Divine ius talionis (cf. Rom. i. 28 ff., ii. 5 ff.) destroyers of every kind shall be destroyed.

preferred to the more usual ároлє́ca, à $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{o}{ }^{\prime}$ дтas (Jo. iii. 16, Rom. ii. 12, 2 Cor. ii. 15, 2 Thess. ii. 1o), because of the double sense of $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \in i \rho \epsilon \tau$. Paganism was 'destroying'-the lapse into the present is significant-'the earth' by corrupting the fountains of moral life, as well as by the physical horrors of the amphitheatre and the tyrannies of imperialism; and this moral reference is probably uppermost. All who helped to poison society
 ( 1 Tim. vi. 5), and their true character would be recognized and fixed by the judgement of God.
 The Sanctuary in heaven (iii. 12, vii. 15, xv. 5 ff., xxi. 22, cf. Iren. iv. 13. 6), as distinguished from the sanctuary on earth (xi. I) was opened ( $\dot{\eta} \nu o i \gamma \eta$, as in xv. 5; cf. Blass, Gr. p. 43); i.e. the Great Award is to be accompanied by a manifestation of the Divine glory; cf. Mc. viii. $3^{8}$ ö́таע $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta_{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ §ógn тov̂ maroòs aùrồ. So Victorinus: "templum apertum manifestatio est. Domini nostri." Apparently the vision is but momentary, for the heavenly vaós is opened again in xv. 5; but the Seer has time to catch sight ( $\bar{\omega} \phi \theta \eta$ ) of the Ark of the Covenant which was within. On rुvoiz see Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 189.
 or as it is usually called in Exodus $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{k}$.


 om cat $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu o s \mathrm{Q} \min ^{\mathrm{pl}} 39 \mathrm{Ar}$
 the sacred veil of the Tabernacle (Heb. ix. 4), and afterwards stood in the inner chamber of Solomon's Temple (I Kings viii. 6). Probably it perished when Nebuchadrezzar burnt the Temple (2 Kings xxr. 9), for Jeremiah speaks of it as if it would shortly pass out of memory (Jer. iii. 16), and Tacitus (hist. v. 9) scoffs at the Jewish Sanctuary as "vacuam sedem et inania arcana." In Ezekiel's Temple the Ark does not. appear, which renders its presence in the heavenly temple of the Apocalypse more remarkable. A legend related in 2 Macc. ii. 5 fi. represents Jeremiah as having hidden both the Ark and the Altar of Incense (which reappears in Apoc. viii. 3 ff ) in a cave against the day of Israel's restoration; it is added: кai ä ${ }^{2} \nu \omega \omega \sigma$ os ${ }^{\text {d }}$


 tov cupiov. Other forms of the legend may be seen on p. 39, supra. This story in its earliest form may have been in the mind of the Seer, but he has his own reason for introducing the Ark at this point. In Christ God has made a new covenant with men (Heb. viii. 6 fi., ix. 15 ff.), and the appearance of the Ark of the Corenant through the opened doors of the heavenly temple, at the moment when the time has come for the faithful to receive their reward, indicates the restoration of perfect access to God through the Ascension of the Incarnate Son. Andreas: Sià tijs àvoi $\xi \in \omega s$ soù



 usual symbols of majesty and power
which attend manifestations of the Divine Presence, of. (e.g.) Exod. xix. 16, Ps. xxix. 3 ff.--"the solemn salvos so to speak, of the artillery of Heaven" (Alford). Of a "great hail" (Exod. ix. 18 ff .) we hear again in c. xvi. 21 ; lightning flashes across the sky in iv. 5 , viii. 5, xvi. 18 ; earthquakes are felt in vi. 12 , viii. 5 , xi. 13 , xvi. 18 .

The great section of the Book now completed ends, as it began, with a vision of the heavenly order. In iv. Iff. a door is set open in heaven, through which the Seer is able to discern the Throne of God and its surroundings; in xi. is the Temple of God in heaven is opened, and the Ark of the New Covenant is seen standing in the celestial Sanctuary. Moreover, the whole series of visions which intervenes between these two revelations is full of heavenly things and persons. Most of the scenes are laid in heaven; the rest, though on earth, are illuminated by the presence of superhuman agents. The seven Seals are opened by the Lamb Who is in the midst of the Throne; the seven Trumpets are blown by seven Angels. Angels are charged with the custody of the four winds; an Angel impresses on the elect the Seal of God; an Angel with one foot on the sea and the other on the dry land, makes solemn oath that the end is near.

Yet as a whole the section is concerned with movements which find their sphere on the earth. The purpose of the celestial scenery and the celestial agencies which are employed is not to take the attention of the ronder from contemporary or coming events, but to lead him to connect these with the invisible powers by which they are controlled, and to let
the light of heaven fall upon the earthly tragedy. The Throne and the Temple in the énoupávca are seen to be the ultimate source of the energies by which human history is carried to its goal. But it is in human history that the interests of the prophecy are centred. In the events which follow the opening of the Seals, if they have been rightly interpreted in this commentary, the Seer depicts the conditions under which the Empire, as he knew it in Asia, was fulfilling its destiny, and passes from these to the great dynastic and social changes which must accompany or follow its collapse. In the scenes announced by the Trumpetblasts, he works out at greater length the second of these topics; the revolutions which were in the lap of the future, the woes which it held in store for the unbelieving and impenitent world, are painted in a vivid symbolism borrowed partly from the Old Testament, partly from the apocalyptic thought of the time. These kaleidoscopic effects must be taken as a whole, and not pressed in detail, as if they were so many specific predictions; nevertheless they doubtless represent the impressions made upon the mind of the Seer, as in the Spirit he gazed into the future of the Empire and of the race. His sight does not reach as yet to the end; when the seventh Seal is opened, there is silence in heaven; when the seventh Trumpet is blown, he hears the acclamations of the invisible world, but the actual result is not revealed to him even under a symbolical disguise.

If the Seals and the Trumpets disclose the fortunes of the Roman Empire, and, in a foreshortened view, the troubles of the age which would follow its fall, the Seer is not left without a vision of the future of the great spiritual Power which was destined to outlive the rule of the Caesars. Both the seventh seal-opening and the seventh trumpet-blast are preceded
by episodes which deal with the wider history of the Church. Each episode consists of two pictures. In the first pair the Church is represented as the Israel of God, marching in its tribal divisions to the inheritance of the Saints; and again as the universal brotherhood of all races and nations, seen in the glories of its ideal life. In the second, the Christian society is seen in two aspects of its long struggle with the world; as the Sanctuary surrounded by the profanations of heathendom, and again as the Two Witnesses, the Enoch and Elijah or the Moses and Elijah of the new Covenant, to whom it is given to witness throughout the days of a militant paganism, dying for the faith, to rise again like the Master and ascend to heaven.

With the seventh trumpet-blast the Kingdom of God has come, and the general judgement is at hand. Thus this section of the Apocalypse brings the course of history down to the verge of the Parousia. If the Book had ended here, it would have been within these limits complete. But the Seer pauses for a moment only to take up his role again with a fresh presentation of the future, in which the vision is to be carried to its issue. A new prophecy begins in c. xii., the contents of the open $\beta_{i} \beta \lambda a-$ piotoy which the Seer had been directed to take from the hand of the Angel and consume. Impelled by a fresh gift of prophetic energy, he feels himself bound to prophesy again to a larger circle of hearers and with wider aims (x. II); and this second message occupies the remainder of the Book. On this second prophecy and its relation to the first see the Introduction, p. xxxix. f. The two prophecies (i. 8-xi. 19, xii. I-xxii. 5) are nearly equal in length, and shew a correspondence in scope and plan which suggests that the book is the work of one mind.




 12 Hip
XII. mimi 8 . The Woman with Child, and the great blood-red Dragon.
 Hitherto a fresh vision has been anpounced by the formula [ $\mu \in$ rà тaûta] eidos kali $i \delta o v$, or the simple ciao or ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \phi \theta \theta_{\eta}$ (xi. 19). The present vision is the first characterised as a $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o v$; others follow, cf xiii. $3 \boldsymbol{\omega} \phi \theta \eta \boldsymbol{a} \lambda \lambda o$

 In the Lxx. onpetov is usually the equivalent of $\boldsymbol{n i} \kappa$, and is used either of celestial phenomena, e.g. the heavenly bodies (Gen. i 14), and the rainbow (Gen. ix. 12 ff .), or of tokens of God's presence or purpose given upon earth, eng. the miracles in Egypt (Exod. vii. 3, etc.). In the N.T. the latter is the prevalent sense of onpetov; the word goes with tépas (Jo. iv. 48) and ס́vyauts (Acts ii. 22), and it is thus used in this book (cc. xiii. 13 ff ., xvi. 14, xix. 20), though only of wonders wrought by evil powers. But the Gospels speak also of $\sigma \eta \mu \in i ́ a ~ a ́ \pi o ̀ ~(\epsilon 火 火) ~$ тov̂ oủpavồ (Mc. viii. II, Mt. xvi. I,
 3,30 ), which is to attend the Parousia Such signs, like the Kinin of Gen. ll. cc., would be visible in the skies to men upon earth, and this is probably the nature of the 'sign' now displayed to the Seer. It is not the interior of the heavenly world that he sees, as in iv. I ff., but its outer veil, the sky, on which the vision is depicted.
 'The first 'sign in heaven' is a Woman -the earliest appearance of a female figure in the Apocalyptic vision. She
is arrayed with the Sun; for the constr. see vii. 9, 13, x. I, xi. 3, xvii 4, xviii. 16, xix. 8, 13 , and for the idea, cf. Ps. clii. (civ.) 2 db $\nu a \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \phi \omega ̈ s$ os ifárov, and the partial parallels in Apoc. $i .16, x .1$, xix. if. The moon is her itronódov; the phrase
 from Ps. cid. (ex.) I (Mc. xii 36) or from Ps. viii. 7 (Heb. ii. 8). The Seer perhaps has in mind Cant. vi. 9 (Io)

 Further, this Woman in the sky is crowned with a wreath (c. ii. Io, note) of twelve stars, a coronet of celestial diamonds. The reader is reminded of Joseph's second dream (Gen. xxxvii.
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \kappa \dot{y} \frac{\nu \nu}{} \mu \epsilon$ ), and of Test. xii. pair.





 passages which shew that Semitic fancy was apt to decorate ideal or representative persons with the hearvenly bodies. The mention of twelve
 $\rho \omega \nu)$ is sufficiently explained as an allusion to the twelve tribes (Jas. i. r, Apoc. xxi. 12) or possibly the twelve Apostles (xxi. 14), regarded as the crowning ornament of the Jewish Church; for the notion of the stars forming a wreath or circlet, cf. Sap.
 and perhaps Apoc. i. 16.
 Woman is with child, and near to

## 
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 kal syrr \| om kac ante $\beta a \sigma .130$her delivery ; in sharp contrast with the splendour of her adornment the Seer places her cries of pain and the
 pangs. He can scarcely have failed to remember Isan vii. $14 \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Kúpıos


 to Christian thought towards the end of the Apostolic age, as its use by Mt., and apparently also by Lc. (i. 31), attests. But if so, he purposely
 Virgin-Birth is not a point on which he wishes to insist; the mystical mother of the Lord, whom he has in view, is not the Virgin, but the Jewish Church (see below). Jerusalem is described in the Prophets as a travailing woman ; cf. Mic. iv. Io





 The same metaphor is used by our Lord to characterize the anguish of the Apostles on the eve of the Passion

 and by St Paul in reference to the spiritual travail of the guide of souls



The reading is somewhat uncertain:

 makes excellent sense and has on the whole better support; if it be accepted,
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \eta \pi \lambda$., while кal крáģc begins a new clause.

The ancient expositors in general,
beginning with Hippolytus and Me thodius, understood the Woman with child to represent the Church, though some identified her with the Blessed Virgill. See Hipp (ed. Lag. p. 31) : Tì





 ciav '́ $\xi \in \lambda a \beta \in \nu$. The majority take the birth-pangs to symbolize the spiritual travail of the Church (Hipp. l. c. : ov

 $\delta \iota \omega \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ;$ Ps. Aug.: "quotidie parit


 Bede : "semper ecclesia, dracone licet adversante, Christum parit"). But the earliest Latin expositor of the Apocalypse, Victorinus (if the words are his), has grasped the meaning more precisely : "antiqua ecelesia est patrum et prophetarum et sanctorum et apostolorum ; quae gemitus et tormenta desiderii sui habuit usquequo fructumex plebe sua secundum carnem olim promissum sibi videret Christum ex ipsa gente corpus sumpsisse "-a comment which Beatus repeats, adding: "semper enim haec mulier ante adventum Domini parturiebat in doloribus suis." Similarly Augustine in Ps. cxlii. : "haec autem mulier antiqua est civitas Dei." The two views are not, however, wholly inconsistent. Doubtless the Church of the Old Testament was the Mother of whom Christ came after the flesh. But here, as everywhere in the Book, no sharp dividing line is drawn between the Church of the Old Testament and the Christian
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Society; the latter is viewed as the Jewish Church come to its maturity. Thus the woman who gave birth to the Christ is afterwards identified with her who after His departure suffered for her faith in $\operatorname{Him}(v .13)$ and who is the mother of believers ( 0.17 , cf. Gal. iv. 27).

In $\beta a \sigma a \nu \iota$ gonén texeì the infinitive is epexegetical (WM., p. 140), representing the issue, almost the purpose (Vg. cruciabatur ut pariat), of the torture endured. Burton, $\S 389$, less simply explains it as "an object inf. governed by the idea of desire implied in the preceding participle."

 second tableau, following close upon the first and inseparable from it. The Dragon is the Serpent of Gen. iii. iff., as the Apocalyptist himself tells us (v.9). But the preference of $\delta \rho$ áncw $^{2}$ ( $=$ = תַּ Job vii. 12 , ? in this context and in cc. xiii., xvi., xx., is significant. It is a mythical, symbolical, monster which is before us, whether suggested by the Babylonian Tiâmat (Gunkel, Schöpfung u. Chaos, p. 361, Enc. Bibl. II3Iff.; see Introduction, p. li.), or by Hebrew fancy (Ps. lxxiii. (lxxiv.) 13 $\sigma \grave{v}$ аvขе̇три $\psi a s$ tàs кєфа入às тй̀ $\delta р а к о ́ v т \omega \nu-c o d . ~ R, ~$ тои̂ $\delta \rho a ́ x o v t o s ~ т o v ̃ ~ \mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda o v-~ \grave{\epsilon \pi i} i$ roû vióaros: cf. Job xxvi. I3, Isa. xxvii. I, Ez. xxix. 3. The Seer's Dragon is тupoós, fiery red (Apoc. vi. 4, note;

 epithet denoting his murderous work
(Andreas, Bià tò фоиıкò̀ aủroū, cf. Jo.
 I Jo. iii. 12 Káıv ék tov̂ movqoồ ${ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{y}$
 has seven heads (cf. xvii. 3, 7; Kiddushim, f. $29 b$, "visus ei est daemon forma draconis septem habentis capita"; Pistis Sophia, p. 90 "basilisci serpentis, cui septiem erant capita"), symbolical of a plenitude of power; and every head is crowned with the fillet which denotes sovereignty : for סtádqua as contrasted with oréqavos (v. I) see I Esdr. iv. 30 à áatoốany rò
 Isa. Lxii. 3 dádíjua Baбt入eias, 1 Macc.
 and for the conception of a diademcrowned serpent cf. Pliny, $H . N$. viii. 21. 33, where he describes the basilisk as "candida in capite macula ut quodam diademate insignem." The Beast of $c$. xiii. has ten diadems on his horns; the Divine Conqueror of c. xix. has on His head $\delta t a \dot{\partial} \eta \mu a t a ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha$. The Dragon's ten diadems represent his power over the kingdoms of the world ; cf Lc. iv. 6 éно̀ mapaóє́óorat,
 тoṽ кó́ $\mu$ оv roútov, and contrast Apoc.
 xvii. 3, 7, 9 ff., notes.
 reference to Dan. viii. Io where it is said of the Little Horn : וַתְּדֶּל עַר
 тò $\tau \rho i ́ r o \nu$ softens the hyperbole, as in c. viii. 7 ff . A similar incident occurs in the Babylonian myth of the conflict between Tiâmat and Marduk (Gunkel, op. cit. p. 387), but the
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Apocalyptist may well have had no other thought than to depict the colossal size and vast strength of the monster. Heaven (the sky) is too small to hold him; when he lashes his tail, it drags along (oúpet, Vg . trahebat, cf. Jo. xxi. 8, Acts xiv. 19, xvii. 6) a third of the stars, and dashes them to the earth: for the change of tense cf. ii. 3, note. "EßaXev cis rì $\nu$ $\gamma \eta_{\nu} \nu$ was frequently understood by the ancient interpreters in reference to the fall of the Angels (Jude 6 roùs
 Dr Bigg's note); thus Arethas: $\sigma v \gamma$ -

 $\theta$ cov̂. But other views obtained support; e.g., according to Bede, "Tyconius more suo tertiam partem stellarum quae cecidit falsos fratres interpretatur." Origen has a similar explanation in Mt. comm. (Lomm., iv. p. 306): "qui...peccatum...sequitur, trahitur a cauda draconis vadens post eum."
 yuvaıкós кт入.] The relation of the second $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \boldsymbol{y}$ to the first now becomes evident. The appearance of the Woman with Child has provoked a counter-manifestation on the part of the Dragon. His quarrel, however, is not immediately with the Woman, but with the Child, and he waits his time till the Child is born. For rins $\mu \in \lambda \lambda o u ́ \sigma \eta s$ тeкeì cf. iii. 2, 16, note. ${ }^{\circ}$ E $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in \nu$ is at first sight a strange verb in comnexion with the serpent,


a glorified oz $\phi t s$, which, as Pliny (H.N. viii. 21. 33) says, "nec flexu multiplici ut reliquae corpus impellit, sed celsus et erectus in medio incedens."
"Iva...катаф́ryg: : cf. Jer. xxviii.(li.) 34


 т $\rho \cup \phi \bar{\eta} s$ ноv. A greater sufferer than Jerusalem is here, and a greater foe than the King of Babylon. The Seer looks back over the long period of expectation which followed the original sentence on the Serpent (Gen. iii. 15; see Driver's remarks on this in Genesis, p. 57, and cf. Primasius: "in conspectu autem mulieris stetisse dicitur, quoniam illa (inquit) observabit caput tuum," etc.). Two figures dominate pre-Christianhistory-humanity, fallen but struggling to the birth of a higher life, and the hostile power of evil, watching (Gen. l.c., Lxx, тлр $\quad$ ' $\sigma \epsilon s$ ) its opportunity to defeat the realization of the hope; such tyrants as Pharaoh (Exod i. 22, ii. I fi.) and Herod (Mt. ii. 7 ff .) may be in the Seer's mind, but his words cover the whole conflict which culminated in the Cross and its issue. On đ̈fay rékn see Burton, § 305.
 Either vióv or ä́pored seems to be redundant. "Etekey afoce is a familiar phrase in the Lixx. ; cf. Exod. i. I6ff., ii. 2, Lev. xii. 2, 7 , Num. iii. 40, Isa. lxvi. 7 , Jer. xx. 15 , xxxvii. (xxx.) 6, and would have sufficed here. On the other hand vióv, äpoce or viò ắpocya may have been suggested by






(Jer. xx. 15), or deliberately written instead of maidion áarev (cf. Ar. Eccl. 549) in order to emphasize the sex of the Child: cf. Hippolytus (ed. Lag.,
 Andreas: тaîs $\dot{\eta} \delta o v a i s \mathrm{~d}$ d $\eta_{\eta} \lambda$ vuros.

The 'man-child' is primarily the Son of Mary, with whom he is identified
 26 f., xix. 15 , notes. The reference to Ps. ii. does not necessarily exclude the thought of the members of Christ who are potentially interested in the promise, as ii. 26 shews (ó vıкêv...

 and the ancient interpreters lay the chief stress on this wider sense, cf. e.g. Primasius: "Christus in singulis membris dicitur nasci" and Bede, quoted above, p. 148 b. But it seems better in this place to limit the words to our Lord Himself, regarded as the offspring of the O.T. Church; the faithful (o. 17) are of

 $\theta \epsilon o \dot{\nu}{ }^{\kappa} \kappa \lambda$.] The Seer foreshortens the Gospel history ; for his present purpose the years between the Nativity and the Ascension are non-existent, and even the Passion finds no place in his summary. It is enough to point out that the Dragon's vigilance was futile; he failed to destroy the Woman's Son, and his failure was manifested by the Ascension. Interpreters who understand the whole passage in reference to the Church think here of the conglorification of the members with the Head; e.g. Primasius: "licet in capite Christo praecesserit...congruit tamen et cor-
pori. hinc sunt illae voces Apostoli, qui nos resuscitavit et consedere fecit in caelestibus."

With $\dot{\eta} \rho \pi \dot{\sigma}^{\sigma} \theta_{\eta}$ (Vg. raptus est, A.V., R.Y., "was caught up") compare Acts


 рáóecoov, I Th. iv. 17 áp $\pi a \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ év $\nu \epsilon \phi \in$ גats. Here, if our interpretation is correct, it answers to $\dot{a} \nu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mu \phi \theta_{\eta}$ in 4 Regn. ii. 11, Acts i. 2, II, 22, 1 Tim. iii. 16, representing the Ascension as a 'rapture'-a graphic and true, if not exhaustive description. חpós indicates the direction or goal, which was (I) God Himself (cf. Jo. xx. 17 ảvaßnìy $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ т \grave{̀} \nu$ татє́pa $\mu о v . .$. каі $\theta$ ©ó $\nu \mu v$ ), and (2) God's Throne. The Ascension involves the Session of the Sacred Humanity at the Right Hand of the Father (see 'Mc.' xvi rg, Eph. i. 20, Heb. i. 3, Apoc. iii. 21), and not merely an elevation of spirit into the Divine Presence, which was never wanting to the Divine Son of Man.
 кт $\lambda$ ] The Mother of Christ, the Church (which has now become the larger Israel, the Christian Society, does not at once share the rapture of her Son, but is put beyond the reach of the Dragon's rage, so that his efforts to destroy are as unavailing in her case as in that of the Lord. A place of safety has been provided for her in the wilderness, and thither she flees after the Ascension. The Seer may have in his thoughts either the wanderings of Israel in the wilderness of Sinai (Deut. viii. 2 ff .), or Elijah's two withdrawals from Ahab and Jezebel ( 1 Kings xvii. 2f, xix.






3f.), or the flight of many devout Jews from Antiochus (I Mace. ii 29 катє́-
 крíна єis тì̀ $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu о \nu$ ), or the flight of Mary and Joseph with the Child into Egypt (Mt. ii. 13). But the event immediately in view is doubtless the escape of the Church of Jerusalem to Pella, alluded to in Mc. xiii. 14 of év
 Eus. H.E. iii. 5). In the wider sense the $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu o s$, as Primasius says, is the "solitudo huius ritae...in qua...ut passer singularis [Ps. cii. 7] vivit ceclesia" ; and the figure is suggested either by the rocky wastes of the Sinaitic peninsula, or more probably by the "wide wild country of rolling hills and hollows" (Benson, Apocalypse, p. 32) which lay to the south of Jerusalem, or the high lands to the east of it on the further side of Jordan. Of this country the most striking feature is the absence of human habitations, and the mention of it suggests what was after all the heaviest trial of the Christian life in early times, the loneliness experienced by those who had cut themselves off from the sympathy of their neighbours and even of their nearest relatives. On the Lord's Day the brethren met for fellowship, but for the rest of the week the majority of them stood alone-in the world, but not of it. Yet in this solitude of her life the Church has a place of safety and repose prepared for her by God; for this use of $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau o c \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \nu$ see Mt. xx. 23, xxv. 34, 41, Lc. ii. 31, 1 Cor. ii. 9 , Heb. xi. I6, A poc. ix. 15, and for éroı $\mu$ á̧av тómon ef. I Chron. xv. 3, Jo. xiv. 2 f. What is meant by this toitos iroцpac$\mu$ énos may be gathered from Ps xxx.


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\omega}$ Fellowship with the Father and the Son in the Spirit (i Jo. i. 3, 2 Cor. xiii. I3) is at once the Church's consolation and her safeguard.

 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. The reference to Elijah is here apparent, of. 3 Regn. xvii. 4 sots
 ib. xix. 5, 7; though the subject of т $\bar{\epsilon} \phi \omega \sigma \iota y$ is purposely left undefined. But the daily supply of manna during the Wanderings in the desert of Sinai may also be in view, as Bede supposes: "instar Israeliticae plebis, quae pane caelesti pasta [est] in eremo." The provision made for the Church in the wilderness of life is the spiritual food of the word of God (Mt. iv. 4) and the Flesh and Blood of the Lord (Jo. vi. 48 ff.). The supply lasts for 1260 days, or ( $\boldsymbol{v}$. 14) "a season, seasons, and a half," $=3 \frac{1}{2}$ years; see Dan. vii. 25, and $c$. xi. 2 , note ; i.e. to the end of the age of persecution, and beyond it, to the end of the present order, or, as Primasius well says, "omnia Christianitatis tempora." Thus the story of the Woman in the wilderness synchronizes with the prophesying of the Two Witnesses (xi. 3) ; in fact the Woman and the Witnesses symbolize the one Catholic Church under different aspects.

The whole of this verse is anticipatory, and the symbolism is repeated in v. I2 f., where see notes.
 Another tableau, not a $\sigma \eta \mu$ fiov ( $v v$. 1,3 ), but consequent upon the two aque $\hat{i} a$ which precede it. The birth and rapture of the Woman's Son
 á $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda о \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau о \bar{u} \tau о \bar{u}$ толє $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ той $\delta \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau о s$.







issue in a war which invades the érovpava; for the conception cf. Yalebut Rub. f. 87.2 (on Ex. xiv. 7): "belmum fecit grave in caelo." It is ampossible to admit with Andres that the original rebellion of Satan is intended, though Papias whom he quotes seems to have understood the passage so. Still less can we accept the interpretation of ex $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ out jav proposed by several of the Latin commentators, eng. Bede: "caelum ecclesiam significat"-a view which throws the symbolism into hopeless confusion. The Seer sees an assault directed by the powers of evil against the Exalted Christ. As the Invarnation called forth a counter-manifestation of diabolic power on earth (Mc. i. I3, Lc. xxii. 3, 3I, Jo. xii. 3I, xiv. 30, xvi. it ), so after the Ascension the attack is supposed to be carried into Heaven.

Battles in the sky, suggested no doubt by the threatening phalanxes of clouds which forebode a storm, are familiar to the later Jewish writers (e.g. 2 Macc. v. 2 f. $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \in \beta \eta \ldots$... ai ne $^{\prime} \theta a \iota$
 inpoбßо入às $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu$ ย́vas, Oral. Sibyll, iii.
 te каі̀ $(\pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu)$. But in St John's vision
 in $v$. I, a mere spectacle in the upper air. The words hint at nothing less than a supreme attempt on the part of the Dragon to unseat the Woman's Son, and to reestablish himself in the Presence of God.
 $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.] It is a war of Angels, in which one angelic host is led by the Archangel Michael, and the other by the Dragon. According to Daniel
 see note on c. viii. 2) Michael is 'one of the chief princes,' and champion of the Jewish people (Dan. x. 21, xii. 1); and consistently with this position he now leads the armies of Heaven against the adversary of the Woman's Son. The construction is one of unusual difficulty; the inf. $\tau \circ \hat{v} \pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ seems to require some such verb as
 on Bag. тєкєiv). But it is simpler
 'there arose war in heaven; there arose] Michael. . .to make war.' Bless's rendering ( $G r$. p. 236) 'it happened that there fought' (= є' $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ éveто rove $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma a \iota$ rò $\nu$ M.) involves an unnecessary solecism; Viteau's explanatimon (Eludes, i. p. 168) is better, but the plural ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \nu$, or er évopoto) is not required. Alford supposes a fusion of two sentences (é '́'vero rove tò̀ M.

 av), but the construction suggested above is simpler. For $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ́$ see ii. 16, note.
 Dragon also claims the rank of Archangel, and has angels under his com-
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The Dragon's supreme effort was not only a failure, but it ressulted in his final expulsion from heaven. "E $\tau$ seems to imply that up to this moment Satan's claims had not been finally disallowed; compare Job i. 6 गु入 $\begin{gathered}\text { ov ol }\end{gathered}$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ à̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega}$, where he still takes his place in the council-chamber of God. The O.T. phrase тónos oủX eipét (Dan. ii. 35 Th., ef. Zech. x. 10, Heb.)
 in this sense see WM. p. 769 f .
 Tìy $\gamma \hat{\eta}{ }^{2}$ ] Cf. Sohar Gen. f. 27. 107 "proiecit Deus Sammaelem et catervam eius e loco sanctitatis ipsorum." A similar vision was present to the mind of our Lord, when the Seventy reported to him their successes;



 attempt to grasp the nature of the spiritual fact which these visions symbolize, so far as it belongs to the celestial order. But the extraordinary progress of the Gospel and the Church during the first three decades and a half that followed the Ascension may well be the earthly counterpart of Satan's fall, while the outbreak of persecution in A.D. 64 shewed that the earth was still to be the field of his activities ; see $r$. 13 note.

 serpens antiquus, the Primaeval

Serpent (so Tanchuma, f. 50. 2 , הנחשׂ, Debarim Rabba, f.
 < $\quad \underset{i}{i}=\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$, and for this use of áp $\chi$ aios see Acts xv. 7, 21, xxi. 16), identifies the Dragon with the serpent

 be the person so named in the later books of the O.T. and in Jewish litera-
 name= $=$ ןiv see Job i. 6 f., Zech. iii. I, Sap. ii. 24 ; though aatáy occurs in the sense of 'an adversary' in 3 Regn. xi. 14, 23 , ミatáy or ó gatavâs (so written eג $\lambda \eta \nu \kappa \kappa \bar{t} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, as Origen says (c. Cels. vi. 44)), is scarcely found in the Lxx (cf., however, Job ii. 3.A, and Sir. xxi. 27), but the name had become familiar to the later Jews, and is used in the latter form in the Gospels (I4), Acts (2), Pauline Epistles (ro), and
 $\mu \epsilon \ell \eta \nu \bar{\partial} \lambda \eta \nu:$ cf. $x \mathrm{x} .3,7$. The earth was no new sphere of Satan's working:

 But he was henceforth to be limited to it, until the time came for him to fall yet lower.

 xi. 12, xiv. 2 , 13 , xriii. 4 No intimation is given as to the source from which the voice proceeds, but as $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\grave{a} \delta e \lambda \phi \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{j}} \hat{\omega} \nu$ seems to exclude both the Angels and the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a-B e d e ' s$ "congratulantur angeli saluti fratrum suorum" camot be maintained in

#      

$909^{2} 98 \mathrm{me} \mathrm{arm}^{1}$ seth II avtot otto $\mathbb{N}$
view of the usage of the Book-we are led to attribute it to one of the Elders, who represent the Church.
 pare the outbreak of voices at the sounding of the Seventh Trumpet (xi. 15); for $\dot{\eta}$ aw t pea see vii. to note, xix. I. 'H $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{e} i a$ is not qualified by tout кóarرov as in xi. 15 ; it is sovereignty, empire in the abstract, which is here in view. This is attribute to ' our God,' i.e. the Father; to the Son as His anointed (rove x $p \iota \sigma r o \hat{v}$ aùtav̂= ${ }^{\prime}$ 'gouria, the authority which He exercises by the Father's gift (Ps. ii. 8, Mt. xxviii. 18, Jo. xvii. 2).

The downfall of Satan manifests afresh (éy'өєтo) the saving and sovereign power of God, and its active exercise by the exalted Christ. The victory is not Michael's, but the Lord's.
 O.T. representation of Satan as the accuser of Job (Job i. 9) suggests that the Dragon similarly attacks the faithfull under the New Covenant. There is perhaps a reference to the zeal of the delatores (cf. Suv. i. 33 with Mayor's notes), who abounded in Domitian's time, and were busy with their diabolical attacks on the Asian Christians. But the epithet must not be limited to one department of Satan's work ; in Renan's words (l'Antechrist, p. 408), he is the "ripique malveillant de la création"-the
cynical libeller of all that God has made, but especially of His new areaton, the Christian Church. 'E $\nu \omega$ ' $n \iota o \nu$ tout $\theta_{\epsilon 0 \hat{v}}^{\hat{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}}$ follows the lines of
 iv. 8) indicates the sleepless vigilance of evil when it seeks occasion against the good (r Pet. v. 8).

The form кал $\eta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \rho$, though preserved only by cod. A, is probably right ; a transliteration of the Aramaic 7 (Dalman, Gr. p. 147), it was perhaps preferred to the usual Greek кaтíyopos (Acts xxiii. 30, 35; xxv. 16,18 ) on account of its associations. (See, however, Deissmann, Light from the East, p. go.) In Rabbinical writings Satan or Sammael is the accuser of Israel, while Michael appears as its advocate
 f. 12I. 2: "eo tempore quo Israelitae ex Aegypto egress sunk, stetit Sammael angelusadaccusandum (לקטר )eos"; ib. 129.2: "si homo praecepta observat... tuneSatanstatetaccusat eum(מקטרגו); ged advocati quoque ipsius stent iuxta ipsum"; Vayyikra Rabba f. 1643 "omnibus debus anne Satanas homimes accusat, sola die expiationis excepta." Shemoth Rabbi f. 117. 3: "R. Jose dixit, Michael et Sammael similes aunt ovvךүо́р et катәүópф (דומים לםנינור וקטיגור)...Satanas accusat, Michael vero merita Israelitarum proponit."
 ai pa $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The victory of the martyrs
 тòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ цартирías aust $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ，каi oủk $\eta^{\prime} \gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha}-$




#### Abstract
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marks the failure of Satan＇s endea－ yours．＇Eviкŋбey is said of Christ Himself（v．5，cf．iii．21，and see Jo． xvi．33）；the normal condition of His members is progressive conquest （ii．II，etc．，and even xv．2）．But the martyrs＇fight is over，and they are already victors，though their triumph is not yet．The Blood of the Lamb is here as in vii．I4（where see note）the Sacrifice of the Cross，which is regarded as the primary cause（ $\delta i a$ ， propter，cf．WM．p．498）of the martyrs＇victory；His conquest of Satan rendered conquest possible for them（cf．Lc．xi． 21 f．，Heb．ii．I8）， while the loosing of sins which it effected（Apoc．i．5）silences Satan＇s accusing voice．Thus the Lamb is
 its тара́к入ŋтоs $\pi \rho$ òs тò̀ татє́ра（I Jo． ii．1）．His Blood speaks of accept－ ance and not，as Abel＇s，of wrath （Heb．xii．24）．Yet the Sacrifice of the Death of Christ does not spell victory except for those who suffer with Him（Rom．viii．17， 2 Tim．ii． if f．）．Thus a secondary cause of the martyrs＇victory is found in their personal labour and self－sacrifice； they overcame $\delta \mathrm{a}$ ai $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu a \rho-$ торias aúт̄̄（cf．vi．9，xi． 7, xx．4），ie． because of their testimony to Jesus （ii．13，note）and their indifference to life itself in comparison with loyalty to Him．Kail ov̇к $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma$ ain $\eta \sigma a \nu$ states the ex－ tent of this victory；for Christ＇s sake they overcame the natural love of life． There is here a clear reference to the Master＇s teaching in Jo．xiii． $25 \cdot \dot{\delta} \phi \stackrel{\lambda \omega}{\omega} \nu$


 other sayings of the same type occur in the Synoptic Gospels（Mt．x．39，xvi． 25 ，Mc．viii． 35 f．，Lc．ix．24，xvii．33）． Compare St Paul＇s response in Acts
 $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \mu \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \tau \lambda .$, and see also Acts xxi．13，Phil．i． 20 ff．On $\psi v \chi$ 市 see Mc．viii 35，note，and for ovid

 tavátov is elliptical：＇their non－at－ tachment to life was carried to the extent of being ready to die for their
 Oavátov，＇obedient to the extent of surrendering life．＇Op ä $\chi \rho \iota, \mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho \iota$ ，see c．ii．Io，note．On the whole verse Bede well remarks：＂merito animas pro Christo contemnunt，qua per san－ guinem Christi tantrum vicerunt ad－ versarium．＂

This reference to the martyrs is proleptic in the present context，for the fall of Satan precedes the age of persecution．But the age of persecu－ timon and the victory of the martyrs， which had begun some time before the Apocalypse was written（ii．13）， were consequent upon the expulsion of Satan from heaven，and are there－ fore anticipated in this acclamation of the Divine victory．
 $\kappa r \lambda$ ．］The heavens（oi oúpava＇，here only in Apoc．；cf．Dan．iii． 59 є亡̇доүєїтє， ov́payó，，tod кúptov）and their inhabit－ ants might well keep high festival（cf． xi．Io，note，xviii． 20 ，for this sense of evंфраiveб日ai）．Earth had cause to

#    <br>  





mourn, since it was henceforth the only field of his balefal energies. Eкпиoüves here and in xiii. 6 seems to be equivalent to кaтocкoivvtes, and not to indicate brief or temporary residence, as in 2 Cor. v. I, where oikia rô $\sigma$ кŋ́yous is opposed to oikía aíúvos. Perhaps karomeìy is avoided because elsewhere in the Apocalypse it is used in reference to the pagan world (c. iii., note); and in okqpoû̀ there may be a reference to the Divine tabernacling of which mention is made in vii. 15 and $x x i .3$. As God 'tabernacles' in Heaven 'with' or 'over' its inhabitants, so they are said to tabernacle there with Him or under His safe keeping. Earth and Sea are probably not to be explained allegorically (as by Andreas: roùs tà
 Biov к $\lambda_{\nu} \delta \omega \nu \iota \zeta$ (opévous), but literally, of the world as the scene of Satan's future operations.
 The Dragon's ignominious fall ( $\epsilon \beta \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \theta_{\eta}$ ) is euphemistically described as a descent ( $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \beta_{\eta}$ ). It has not impaired his strength, and he sets to work at once with redoubled zeal, goaded by his defeat ( $\tilde{\epsilon}_{X \omega \nu} \theta_{\nu \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu) \text {, and } r e-~}^{\text {en }}$ solved to make the most of an opportunity which he now knows to be brief
 participial clauses are parallel to one another, revealing the two motives which actuate Satan since the Ascension. With $\epsilon i \dot{\theta} \omega \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \tau$. Primasius acutely compares the cry of the
 каıрои̂ ßacavícal ìmâs; Cf. St Luke's
comment (viii. 32): mapfкá入ovy aùtùv
 $\dot{\operatorname{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda} \hat{\epsilon} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}$. Earth is still the sphere of devilry in all its forms, but the abyss is its ultimate destination. 'Oגi $\gamma_{0}$ is relative, like the tax ${ }^{\dot{v}}$ which accompanies announcements of the Parousia. In vo.6, 14, the same interval of time is represented as $3 \frac{1}{2}$ years.
13. каі̆ öтє cîcע ó ठо́ккшע öть ${ }_{c}^{d} \beta \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The narrative of $v .9$ is now resumed. The Dragon is too shrewd to ignore the fact that his expulsion from Heaven is final and irretrievable. But he recognizes also that his position on the earth offers fresh opportunities. If he cannot directly attack the Woman's Son, he can luurt the Son through the Mother (cf. Mt. xxy. 45, Acts ix. 4). So he goes in pursuit of the Woman, who is identified with ( $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \iota s$, acc. to Blass, Gr. p. 173, here nearly $=\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ ) the Mother of the man-child: see note on $\boldsymbol{0}$. 2. 'E $\delta i \omega \xi \in \nu$, while bearing its original sense 'pursue' (cf. Rom. ix. 30 f., xii. 13, Phil. iii. 12, 14), implies hostile pursuit, as in Mt. x. 23, xxiii. 34, Acts xxyi. I I, and thus approaches to the technical 'persecute' which is
 the N. T. (Mt. v. 10 ff., 44, Acts vii. 52, ix. 4f., Rom. xii. 14, I Cor. xv. 9, Phil. iii. 6). The historical moment in the Seer's mind is doubtless the dark day in A.D. 64 when Nero began the policy of persecution. From that time the Empire as such was more or less hostile to the Church, and in this hostility the Seer sees the haud of the great Adversary.







 кас $\eta \mu \iota \sigma$ каигои $\mathbf{C}$

 as in Mt. xxiv. 28, and elsewhere in this book, not the true eagle but the griffon ( bird of the vulture type which abounds in Palestine (Enc. Bibl., II45); for tố $\mu \in$ yá入ov cf. Ez. xvii. 3 áeròs ó

 into the interpretation by Hippolytus (ed. Lag. p. 32 : тov̂t' è $\sigma \tau i \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota-$ otov̂...ốs éктєivas tàs àyias रeîpas ề
 adds a reference to Mt. xxiii. 37, Mal. iv. 2), Victorinus ("duo sunt prophetae"), and Primasius ("duobus utitur testamentis"), but perhaps unnecessarily. The figure as a whole is based


 éóćgato aùroús [sc. Kúptos]; a still nearer parallel is Isa. xl. 31 пт $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ -
 transfers the eagle's wings to the men who are endowed with Divine strength. For ésót $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} a \nu$ see $c c$. viii. 2, ix. 1, 3 .

The escape of the Woman ( $v .6$ ) is now explained; even the Dragon is no match for God-given powers. חध́тcotar is used of the eagle's flight in iv. 7, viii. 13; ef. Job ix. 26 áєтой


 comparison of the two verses shews

 the 1260 days and the 'season, seasons, and a half' are strictly convertible expressions; see xi. 2 f., note. On the meaning of the time limit here see Hippolytus (ed Lag. p. 32) : aỉtai





To some extent the solitary life is a necessity imposed upon Christians by their religion: to the end of the present order the Church dwells in the wilderness, and is a vox clamantis in deserto. But as an historical fact the withdrawal into the wilderness began with the outbreak of persecution. The Church was constrained to meet the policy of persecution by a policy of secrecy ; she began to guard the mysteries from the sight of the heathen, to withhold the Creed and the Lord's Prayer from catechumens till the eve of baptism, to abstain from public amusements and from society, to substitute loyalty to the Christian brotherhood for an exclusive patriotism ; cf. the interesting passage in Ep. ad Diogn. v. 4, 5 mapáóogov











 $\epsilon \beta$ on $\theta \eta . \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta \gamma v$, me $\mid$ om $\eta \gamma \eta 2^{\circ} 343540414^{2}$ alidarm anonaus
paros aúrov̀ кrג.] The Serpentסpákcy is dropt here and in 0.14 ; the mind of the Seer glancing back at
 follow the Woman in her flight, seeks to intercept it by a flood of waters which he pours out from his mouth (contrast i. 16 , ii. 16 , xix. 15 ff.). The thought of the godly wrestling with a flood of evil is familiar to the Psalmists (Ps. xvii. (xviii.) 5) ұкípappot àouías







 aroviv $\sigma \epsilon$ ); it may have been suggested by the passage through the Red Sea and the Jordan, or possibly by the $\chi$ єiцароо of Palestinian wadys (cf. Mt. vii. 27).

Ps. Cyprian (ad Novat. 14) interprets the flood from the Dragon's mouth of the Decian edicts which led to the fall of many of the faithful; Victorinus sees in it the passions of the populace aroused against the Church: "aqua...populum qui persequatur eam significat," cf. Primasius : "impetum persecutorum aqua significat." Andreas offers a choice of ex-

 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ Oos.

The torrent let loose by the Serpent is designed to sweep away the Woman. Morauoфópqтos is formed regularly after the example of àf $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0-}$ фо́p刀ros, v́daroф́́pqтos (WM. p. 124);

$\gamma v \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ (xvii. 16)-the exact phrase is used by Hesychius in his note on $1 l$.
 $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. The purpose which, consciously or not, animated Imperial persecutors was to destroy the Christian name. The Seer discovers it already in the work of Nero and Domitian ; in the edicts of Decius and Diocletian it was openly avowed.
 ${ }_{\kappa \tau} \lambda$.] Instances were known in Asia in which rivers or streams disappeared into the bowels of the earth; thus Herodotus had heard (vii. 30) that the Lycus flowed underground near Colossae, and the statement is confirmed by Strabo and Pliny (Ramsay, Cities and Bishoprics of Phrygia, i. p. 210 f .) ; at the present time the Chrysorrhoas, which flows from the hot springs of Hierapolis (cf. iii. 16, note), is said to bury itself in the plain between Hierapolis and Laodicea (Ramsay, op. cit. ii. p. 86, note 2). It is not easy to conjecture the exact meaning of the symbol here. But the general sense is clear: the Apocalyptist foresees the failure of any attempt, however virulent, to destroy the Church (cf. Mt. xvi. 18). Help would arise from unexpected quarters; the death of the persecuting Emperor, followed by a change of policy on the part of his successors, sudden revulsions of public feeling, or a fresh turn of events diverting public attention from the Church, would from time to time check or frustrate Satan's plans.

 av̀т $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ кататі́є тає aüroús; cf. Num. xxvi. 10, Deut. xi. 6, Ps. cv. (cvi.) 17.


 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 入oi $\pi \bar{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \alpha u ̉ t \hat{\eta}, \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \rho o u ́ v-$







 guvaкi кт入．］The Dragon，enraged at the escape of the Woman（for ojopi－
 Num．xxxi．14；other constructions are ópy．è $\pi i$ with ace．， 4 Regn．xix．28， Ps．lxxiii．（lxxiv．）1，cv．（cvi．） 40 ；dop． ei＇s（Deut．vii．4）or ${ }^{\prime} \nu \quad$（Jud．ii．20，iii． 8，x．7）；ob $\rho \gamma$ ．followed by dat．without preposition（Num．xxv．3，Mt．v．22））， seeks his revenge in other ways．If he can neither unseat the Throned Christ nor destroy the Church，yet individual Christians may enjoy no such immunity．In this hope he goes off（ $\dot{a} \pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ ）to make war on＂the rest of the Woman＇s seed＂－a clear reference to Gen．iii． 15 ëx $\chi \rho a \nu \theta_{n}^{\prime} \sigma \omega$
 даккós，каі̀ à̀à $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \nu$ тои̂ $\sigma \pi$ t́puaтós
 aư̇グs．That believers are（I）brethren of the Incarnate Son，and（2）children of the Church，is taught elsewhere in the N．T．（Rom．viii． 29 eis tò eivat

 écTiv $\left.\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \dot{\eta}_{\mu} \mu \omega \nu\right)$ ．From these two conceptions，combined with that of the Church as the Mother of Christ， it follows that the Seed of the Woman is not to be Iimited to the Messiah， but embraces all who are Christ＇s： compare St．Paul＇s argument as to the Seed of Abraham（Gal．iii．18， 29 т


 7 ，xix． 19.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The younger sons of the Mother of Christ are to be distinguished by two notes；they keep the command－ ments of God（xiv．12），and they bear witness to Jesus（i．9，vi．9，xix．10， xx．4）．The O．T．note of piety takes precedence，for the Apoc．comes from a Christian Jew，whose mind is steeped in the thought and language of the older Covenant；but it does not stand alone，for the writer sees that obedience to the Law does not constitute sonship without faith in Christ．It is those who possess both marks with whom the Devil is at war；as Bede well points out：＂man－ data Dei in fide Jesu Christi cus－ todire，hoc est pugnare cum diabolo， et ipsum provocare in praelium．＂On т $\eta \rho \in i=$ see i．3，note．
 $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s]$ On his way to the war the Dragon comes to a halt（ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{a} A \eta$ ，cf viii．3）by the seashore（ $\stackrel{\eta}{\eta}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega s \tau \bar{\eta} s$ $\theta a \lambda .$, ， 12 （13）onwards：$\psi$ á $\mu$ нos occurs only in Sap．vii．9）．＇Eorá $\theta \eta \nu$ is an attractive reading in view of the Soer＇s circum－ stances；nothing more natural for an exile in Patmos than to stand gazing out to sea，and in that position to




 $\kappa \in \phi \quad \lambda \eta \nu$ arm
receive one of his great inspirations. And, it may be added, nothing more easy than for естаөн to lose its bar at an early stage in the transcription of the book, and degenerate into éorá $\theta \eta$. Nevertheless, the latter reading must be accepted, in view of the overwhelming support which it receives from the best mss. (see app. crit.). Moreover it yields perhaps a more relevant if a less obvious sense. The picture of the Dragon halting on the seashore to call up his terrible ally is one of the highest interest, and forms a real feature in the revelation, whereas $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \dot{\theta} \theta \eta y$ is merely scenic. If $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{d}^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ is read, the sentence clearly belongs to $c$. xii. (R.V.); if écrá $\theta \eta \nu$, it will naturally stand as in A.V. at the beginning of $c$. xiii.
XIII. i-io. The Wild Beabit prom the Sea.
 piov ajpaßaîvoy кr入.] The Seer has anticipated this vision in xi. 7 tò Onpiov тò àvaßaívò ék rîs ảßúcrov, where see note. The scene is suggested by


 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta y$ (the Mediterranean), каì té $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ -
 $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ : cf. 4 Esdr. xi. I"ecce ascendebat de mari aquila." The Sea is an apt symbol of the agitated surface of unregenerate humanity (cf. Isa. lvii. 20), and especially of the seething cauldron of national and social life, out of which the great historical movements of the world arise; cf. Isa. xvii. 12 ov̉ai $\pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta_{0}{ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \theta_{\nu \omega} \nu$
 тарах $\theta_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$; Apoc. xvii. 15 тà vífara
 каі $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma a \sim$ The Oqpio which rises out of this troubled sea is, as in Dan. vii. 17, 23, some vast Empire, possessing a strength which is used in the interests of brute force. It is described at length, still after the manner of Daniel, but with independent details. Like the Dragon, it has ten horns and seven heads (cf. xii 3), but in the case of the Beast it is the horns which are crowned and not the heads. The 'ten horns' come from Daniel's description of the Fourth Beast, in the interpretation of which they are explained as "ten kings" (Dan. vii. 24 Th. тà סéкк кépata aùrov̂, ס́єка ßaгt-
 Daniel's Fourth Beast is in all probability the Empire of Alexander, and its horns either the Kings of Antioch or the kingdoms of the Diadochi; see Bevan, Daniel, p. 122 f., and Driver, p. 98 f. The Seer has in view the great persecuting. Power of his own age, the Empire of Rome; on its seven heads and ten horus see $c$. xvii. 9, 12, notes. An early interpretation, however, identified the Beast from the Sea with Antichrist, e.g. Irenaeus (v. 28. 2), who compares 2 Thess. ii. 10 ff.
 $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s]$ His seven heads, if not crowned, wore titles (or, if we prefer the reading of NCP, a title, which were of the nature of blasphemy (cf. xvii. 3). What were the blasphemous titles assumed by the Heads of the Roman Empire in the first and second centuries may be learnt from the Imperial letters found by J. T. Wood among the inscriptions of Ephesus; see e.g. Hicks, Ephesus, p. 150 [av̉тo-








 кoù viós | $[\theta \in o \bar{v}$ Nєpoúa v]icyós, Toaï-


 $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ Nep[ovia ëxyonos, Tîtos Aǜlos 'A $\delta \rho \iota$ ]avòs !'Aytavivos $\Sigma \in \beta a \sigma t o ́ s . ~ H o w ~$ fully this language was reciprocated by the cities of Asia appears from other inscriptions which record honours decreed to the Emperor, e.g. Hicks, p. 162 [av̉]токрátopı $\theta \in \hat{Q}$ Kaíapi; ib. p. 169 өєois $\Sigma \in$ ßactoîs. No Christian, none at least of Jewish origin, could have read such inscriptions day after day without a shock to his inbred monotheism. The use of Divine titles was a $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a \pi \rho o ̀ s$ тò $\theta_{\epsilon} \dot{\partial} y$ ( $v .6$ ), and the very note of Antichrist; cf. 2 Thess. ii. 4 ff. Even apart from direct blasphemy, the pretensions of Rome were offensive to men who believed in the sovereignty of God; cf. Renan, $P$ Antechrist, p. 43," la grandeur, l'orgueil de Rome, I'imperium qu'elle se décerne, sa divinité, objet d'un culte spécial et public, sont un blasphème perpétuel contre Dieu, seul souverain réel du monde." See the Introduction to this commentary, p. Ixxxvi ff.
 $\pi a \rho \delta \hat{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon 6{ }_{\kappa \pi} \lambda$.] Daniel's first Beast was wofi 入éalva, his second öpolov $\ddot{a} \rho \kappa \varphi$, his third $\dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon i \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \alpha \lambda \iota s$. The Seer's Beast combines these features; whatever the Babylonian, Median and Persian Empires had of strength and brutality, was present in their latest successor, the Empire of Rome, as it was seen under Nero and Domitian.

In the Seer's eyes Rome had the disposition of the leopard-the agility, the cat-like vigilance and craft, the fierce cruelty of that too familiar inhabitant of Palestine and the further East (Sir. xxviii. 23 (27) ws $\pi$ áp $\delta a \lambda c s$ $\lambda \nu \mu a v \epsilon i \tau a l ~ a u ́ t o v ́ s, ~ H o s . ~ x i i i . ~ 7 ~ є ̈ ซ o \mu a!~$


 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s$ aúv $\omega \nu$ ); the feet of the bear (äpкos rather than ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \rho \kappa$ кое, see W.Schm., p. 65 , Blass, $G r$. p. 24), with their slow strength and power to crush (on the bear in Palestine see r Regn. xvii. 34, 4 Regn. ii. 24, Amos F. 19), and the roar of the lion (also in ancient times a Palestinian beast, haunting the Jordan valley (Jer. xxvii. (l.) 17), and occasionally found prowling among the Judaean hills (I Regn. l.c.), and specially dreaded by the shepherd in charge of a flock (Zeph. iii. 3, Zech. xi. 3)). The description, however impossible to realize as a picture, is surely admirable as a symbol of the character of the foe which the Church found in the Empire, blending massive strength with feline dexterity, following up a stealthy and perhaps unobserved policy of repression with the sudden terrors of a hostile edict. On otóaa $\lambda$ е́ovtos see 2 Tim. iv. 17, and cf. Victorinus: "ad sanguinem armatum os"; Primasius: "leoni [comparatur] propter...linguae superbiam."
 $\mu c y$ aúrov $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] "The Dragon works through the Beast as his agent; the war is of Satan's making, but the



 $\min ^{\text {plq }}{ }^{30}$ Ar $^{\text {txt }}$ om arm ${ }^{1}$

Empire is his tool for waging it. The Seer regards the persecuting Emperors as vassals of Satan; a great change has passed over the attitude of the Church in this respect since St Paul wrote to Roman Christians: ov

 (Rom. xiii. I). Even after persecution had begun, St Peter takes the same position ( 1 Pet. ii. I3). The Apocalyptist himself does not hint at resistance, and the Church of the first three centuries continued to be loyal under the greatest provocations. Nevertheless, it was clear to him that the new Imperial policy towards the Church was not of God. In some sense Satan was the source of power so abused ; his claim (Mt. iv. 9 тâ̂тú

 $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ à $\left.\tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$ is admitted, so far as regards the persecuting Emperors: Nero, Domitian, were his vassals, and all the powers and authority of the ${ }_{a}^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi \omega \nu \tau 0 \hat{u}$ ко́б $\mu$ аv тои́тоv were at their
 ...é' ${ }^{\prime}$ ovoia: "jedes Wort ist mit feierlichem Nachdruck gesetzt" (Bousset). With $\pi . \theta$ óvou cf. ii. 13 , note.

 sc. $\epsilon i \delta o v$, which has been supphed by some mss. (see app. crit.); v. 3 takes up the narrative of $\boldsymbol{v}$. r. ' $\Omega_{s}$ ' $\sigma \phi a \gamma-$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ hints at a comparison between the Beast and the dopiop wis éapay$\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (cf. Bede: "imitatione veri capitis nostri" ${ }^{\prime}$. Like the Lamb; the Beast has sustained a mortal wound, a death-
 WM. p. 297), which has fallen on one of his seven heads (cf. xyii. 8, 11). Ac-
cording to $c$. xvii. 9 the seven heads have a double meaning; they are seven mountains, but also seven kings, i.e. they represent seven Emperors who reigned over the city of the Seveu Hills. If it be asked whether any of the earlier Roman Emperors received a death-blow from which he recovered or was supposed to have recovered, the answer is not far to seek. In June 68 Nero, pursued by the emissaries of the Senate, inflicted upon himself a wound of which he died. His remains received a public funeral, and were afterwards lodged in the mausoleum of Augustus. Nevertheless there grew up in the eastern provinces of the Empire a rumour that he was still alive, and in hiding. Pretenders who claimed to be Nero arose in 69 and 79, and even as late as 88 or 89 (Tac. hist. i. 78, ii. 8, Zonar. xi. 18, Suet. Nero 57). The legend of Nero's survival or resuscitation took root in the popular imagination, and Dion Chrysostom (orat. xxi. 9) at the end of the century sneers at it as one of the follies of the time. Meanwhile the idea of Nero's return had begun to take its place in the creations of Jewish and Christian fancy, e.g. in the Ascension of Isaiah (ed. Charles, iv. $2 f$.) we read that Beliar will

 iv. 119 f. кai тór' àr' 'Ita入íns $\beta_{\text {act- }}$



 $\lambda$ aîs ă $\mu \alpha \mu \nu \rho t a ́ d i \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ (cf. ib. v. 143 ff., 362 ff ). The legend has been used by St John to represent the revival of Nero's persecuting policy by Domitian,
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"portion Neronis de crudelitate" (Wert. apol. 5); see more upon this point in $c$. xvii. 8 ff. That Nero is intended by the wounded but restored head of the Beast did not escape the earliest of the Latin commentators, though he failed to detect the reference to Domitian ; on c. xvii. 16 Vietorinus remarks: "unum autem de capitibus quasi occisum in mortem et plagam morris ecus curatam, Neronem dicit. constant anim tum insequeretur eam equitatus missus a senate, ipsum sibi guam succidisse. hunt ergo suscitatum Deus mittet."
 Anpiov] Both for the use of $\theta a v \mu a ́ s \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$ (cf. BIas, Gr. p. 44) and for the general

 Arpiov кг入. The eyes of the whole earth- $\overline{i j s} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, not simply $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oikov-
 after the Beast and his restored head.
 see Jo. xii. 19 in $\pi i \sigma \omega$ av̉rov̂ $\dot{\mathbf{~}} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$,


 тov̀ oatauñ. Gunkel (Schönfung, p. 358), postulating a Semitic original, believes orion to be a rendering of שַּאחרחרית read for but the conjecture is unnecessary, and not supported by evidence.
 ктд.] In its worship of the Beast and the persecuting Emperors the ad-
miring world worshipped in fact the evil Power which was behind them. Or the sense may be that the vices of the Emperors found ready imitators; the demoralizing effects of their example were apparent throughout the Empire. As for the direct worship of the Beast, toward the end of the first century it was already coordinated with the local cults; in Asia the cities vied with one another for the honour of erecting a temple to Rome and the Caesars and the neocorate attached to it. Such fragments as the following from the record of an 'Epigraphical Journey in Asia Minor' (Papers of the American School at Athens, vols. ii., iii.) speak for themselves: [ $\nu \epsilon \omega \pi o ́ \rho]$ gov $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi a-$



 $\Sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \omega \bar{L}$. More upon this subject may be found in Renan, Saint Paul, p. 28 f., Ramsay, Church in the Roman Empire, Letters to the Seven Churches, passim; the authorities are collected by Mayor, Juvenal i. pp. 229, 404 ff.; for an exhaustive monograph see E. Burier, Le cute inperial,son histoire et son organisation (Paris, 1891).
 parody of Exod. xp. II cis $\begin{gathered}\text { fours } \\ \text { got }\end{gathered}$
 1, lexxviii. (lxxxix.) 6, cxiii. 5, Mic. vii. 18, Isar. xl. 25, xlvi. 5-perhaps not


 $\alpha u ̛ \tau o \hat{v}$ єis $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma ф \eta \mu i ́ \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta є o ́ v, ~ \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma ф \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$
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without reference to the name pitpor. The worship of a monster such as Nero was indeed a travesty of the worship of God. Tis dúparat mone$\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a l \mu \epsilon \tau^{2}$ aúroú; points to the motive which prompted the worship of the Beast. It was not moral greatness but brute force which commanded the homage of the provinces. The invincible power of Rome won Divine honours for the worst and meanest of men.
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á $\lambda a \quad \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The words $\sigma \pi$. $\lambda a \lambda$. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \alpha$ are from Daniel's description of the Little Horn (Dan. vii. 8, 20). In their assumption of Divine titles ( $x$. I note) the Emperors followed in the steps of Antiochus Epiphanes, who (1 Mace. i. 24, NV) é $\lambda a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$

 $\dot{v} \psi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \lambda a \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$. In the repeated $\epsilon^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ there may be a reference to $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ av่ $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{}$ ó $\delta \rho \alpha_{\kappa} \omega \nu$ of $v .2$, cf. $v .4$; but more probably, as elsewhere in the Apocalypse, edó $\theta \eta$ points to the ultimate Source of all power, without Whose permission Satan himself can do nothing.
 xii. 6, i4, notes. Пot $\bar{\eta} \sigma a t$ may be simply 'to do,' i.e. to carry on his work, as $\frac{\forall}{T} \frac{y}{T}$ in Dan. viii. 24, xi. 28 ; $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s$ will then be the accusative of duration. But perhaps it is better
to understand $\pi$. here in the sense of 'passing time'; cf. Mt. xx. 12 нín
 $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu a s$ т $\rho \in i s$, and the Latin facere diem. The Beast's power endures as long as the Woman's abode in the Wilderness, the prophesying of the Two Witnesses, and the Gentile' profanation of the Holy City.
6. xai ク้̈
 тò $\sigma$ rópa is used frequently, if not exclusively, of the beginning of a discourse or prolonged utterance; cf Ps. xxi. (xxii.) 14, lxxvii. (Ixxviii.) 2, cviii. (cix.) 1 ; Sir. xv. 5; Mt. v. 2; Acts viii. 35. The Beast's blasphemy was not casual but sustained, when once his silence had been broken; the assumption of Divine Names in public documents and inscriptions was a standing and growing blasphemy. This blasphemy was aimed at the Divine $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$, i.e. as the Apocalyptist hastens to explain, toùs $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ tê oủpay $\hat{\omega} \sigma \kappa \eta-$ ขov̂vtas; cf. xii. 12 ovjpavoì kail oi ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ aùroís $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \square \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon$. Primasius seems to
 culum eius qui in caelo habitat"), though he interprets: "id est, adversus deum et ecclesiam quae in caelo habitat" (Haussleiter, p. 130); but the harder reading of the Greek text is to be preferred. Tois, ..бкпиoû̀ras either the 'company of Heaven,' or









possibly the Church viewed as ideally installed in the énovpáva; Andreas is perhaps on the right track when he

 àváтavars (cf. Jo. i. 14, Apoc. vii. 15). Blasphemy against God was coupled with false accusations laid against His saints, the loyal members of the Church. The clause $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \bar{\eta} \sigma a L .$. $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o u ̂ \nu \tau a s$ is epexegetical, developing $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ Өcóv.
 кrג.] Daniel's aecount of the Little Horn is still in view ; cf. Dan. vii. 21 étećpovv, kaì tò képas ékeîvo émoíє
 modes aviravis. The Beast, acting for the Dragon (xii. 17), makes war upon the Seed of the Woman, i.e. the faithful, and succeeds. Like the Daniel's vision, i.e. the Ioyal defenders of Jerusalem against Antiochus, the citizens of the new Jerusalem must expect to fall before the persecuting Emperor. Wherever the Gospel was carricd, Rome was there beforehand; the Beast's authority extended over all the nations and races which surrounded the Mediterranean ( $\tilde{e}^{\delta} \delta \theta_{\eta}$
 No escape from him was possible for the members of the Church, although, as the Seer has already foreseen (xii. 14 ff .), the Church herself, the Mother of the Saints, was beyond his reach. With vıर̂̄at cf. c. vi. 2, note.
 by the best uncials, but probably
through homoeoteleuton, the eye of some early scribe having passed from

 of katockoйvтes кт入.] Not only did the Roman Empire seem to the provincials a power of world-wide extent, but it had acquired a religious significance which rendered it yet more formidable ( 0.4 note). The Caesars were not merely obeyed, they were worshipped by the whole world. The masc. ayjún points to the impersonation of the Beast in such Emperors as Nero or Domitian; for the acc. after $\pi \rho \rho \sigma$ kuveiv (the older construction), cf. Mt. iv. 10, Le. iv. 8, Apoc. ix. 20, xiii. 12, xiv. $9, I I, x x .4$, and see Blass, Gr. p. 89. Mávtes oi katoıkoùvtєs imi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\eta} \hat{\eta}_{s}$ is hyperbolical, even if the Empire is viewed as co-extensive with the orbis terrarum; and the writer hastens to guard himself by adding : oṽ ov่ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau a t$ ктл. There were those in the Roman world who, like Daniel and the three at the court of Babylon (Dan. iii. 16 f.), refused to worship the Caesars. Those who worshipped, though for the moment the immense majority, were only such as were not in the Book of Life. Oĩ...avirov̂ is unexpected after $\pi$ áv $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$, but the purpose may be to minimize the significance of the general acceptance of the Caesar-cult, or possibly to call attention to the individual responsibility of the worshippers. Each Caesar-worshipper by his very act proclaimed limself to have






 (hab A vg ${ }^{\text {am fu }}$ )

no place among "the living in Jerusalem." On the 'Book of Life' see iii. 5 , note; and compare with the present passage cc. xvii. 8, xx. 12, 15 , xxi. 27. Here and in xxi. 27, the Divine Register is represented as belonging to "the Lamb that was slain," i.e. the crucified but now risen and exalted Christ, Who purchased the Chureh for God with His Blood (v. 9), and has authority to cancel the names of disloyal members (iii. 5). The reference of à à | катаßодйs ко́ $\sigma \mu о v$ |
| :---: | is somewhat ambiguous; the order suggests that the words should be taken with roû $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi a \gamma \mu \dot{e} \nu o v$, in the sense indicated by I Pet. i. 18 f .



 parallel in xvii. 8 ( $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \theta$ rigovra oi


 be decisive in favour of connecting
 this context also ; and this is supported by such passages as Mt. xxv.


 the whole Arethas is right: $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{u} \pi \epsilon \rho-$




 ápvíov $\sigma \phi a y \eta$.

As to the phrase $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\prime}$ ( $\pi \rho \dot{\circ}$ ) кaraßo$\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ кó $\sigma \mu \circ$, it is unknown to the uxx., though used by Mt. l.c. in a quotation
from the Psalms, where it represents ( has it ten times (Mt. ${ }^{2}$, Lc. ${ }^{1}$, Jo. ${ }^{1}$, Wph. ${ }^{1}$, Heb. ${ }^{2}$, I Pet. ${ }^{1}$, Apoc. ${ }^{2}$ ). Karaßo入 ${ }_{\eta}^{\prime}$ is the foundation of a house in 2 Macc .
 occurs in Heb. vi. I; the кaтaßo入h кó $\sigma \mu$ ov is 'the founding of the whole visible order,' the creation being represented as a vast building under the hands of the Divine Architect, as

 катабкєváras $\theta$ eós: cf. Hort on I Peter l.c., and Dalman, Die Worte Jesu, i. p. 136 .
 the Apocalyptic form of this saying see ii. 7, note. It is a call to serious attention, and here, as in ii. 7, 15, 17, it is prospective and not retrospective, preparing the hearer for the proclamation which is to follow. 'Let every member of the Church who has the power to comprehend it take to heart the warning now about to be given.'
10. єï Tis cis aì $\mu a \lambda \omega \sigma i a \nu$, cis al $\chi \mu$.
 of this saying has perplexed the scribes (see app. crit.) ; some add a verb after the first $\epsilon i s$ aix $\mu a \lambda \omega \sigma i a \nu$, while others omit the second. Translate: "if any [is] for captivity, into captivity he goes; if any shall slay with the sword, he must with the sword be slain." The verse starts upon the lines of Jer. xy. 2 ofor cis




 $\dot{\eta} \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \tau s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$.








ain $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \omega \sigma i a \nu$. But after adopting the last clause of Jeremiah's proclamation, it goes off in quite another direction, referring to the saying of our Lord in Mt. xxvi. 52 тápres yà $\rho$ oi $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$
 masius conforms the first half of the verse to the last, translating: "qui captivum duxerit et apse capietur," as if it had run: $\epsilon^{\prime} a ́ \nu ~ \tau t s ~ a i x \mu a \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \cup ̛ \sigma \eta$,
 is necessary; the verse hangs together well enough as it stands in the best Greek text. The whole is a warning against any attempt on the part of the Church to resist its persecutors. If a Christian is condemned to exile, as St John had been, he is to regard exile as his allotted portion, and to go readily; if he is sentenced to death, he is not to lift his hand against the tyrant ; to do so will be to deserve
 see xiv. 12, note.
if-18. The Wild Beast from the Earth.
 ink tins $\gamma \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{k} \tau \lambda$.] A second Beast is seen in the act of rising, not as the first out of the sea, but out of the earth. In Daniel's visions four Beasts "came up from the sea" (Dan. vii. 3), but in the interpretation (ib. 17) and in the Gk versions of both passages they "arise out of the earth." From this Bede infers the identity of the origin of the two Apocalyptic

Beasts ("quod est autem mare, hoc, testa Daniel, est terra"). But the cases are different; the Apocalyptist is not, like Daniel, interpreting his vision, but relating another, which he contrass with the first. If the Beast from the sea denotes the world-wide Empire of the West, the Beast from the earth is of humbler pretensions, a native of the soil (cf. Arethas: exc tins
 -a product of the life of the Asian cities.

Early Christian opinion was divided upon the interpretation of the second Beast. Irenaeus (v. 28. 2), who identifies the first Beast with Antichrist, finds in the second Antichrist's 'armour-bearer' (cf. I Sam. xvii. 7), the false Prophet. Similarly Hippolytus (ed. Lag. p. 24): tò $\mu$ èv oưv


 $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \dot{\prime} \tau \nu$. Andreas mentions other interpretations: тò $\theta_{\eta \rho i}$ io v тoûтo


 $\psi \epsilon v \delta о \pi \rho \circ ф \eta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \eta \nu$.
 The equipment of the second Beast was as unpretending as his origin. In sharp contrast to the first he had but one head furnished with two horns (cf. Dan. viii. 5), which were like those of a lamb. But if his appearance aug-
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gested innocence and even weakness, his voice was the roar of a dragon; cf. a fragment of Hermippus quoted by Wetstein: tò $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu ~ \grave{a} \rho \nu i o v$
 $\delta \rho a ́ k o \nu \tau o s . ~ T h o u g h ~ b o t h ~ a p \nu i \varphi ~ a n d ~$ $\delta \rho a ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ are anarthrous, they doubtless allude to the Lamb of c. v. 6 and the Dragon of $c$. xiii. I. The second Beast is in some sense at once a Pseudochrist and an Antichrist: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{\xi}$ -

 (Hippolytus): "agnum fingit, ut Agnum invadat" (Primasius).

The description recalls Mt. vii. 15


 тaүes. Of. Victorinus: "magnum falsumque prophetam dicit, qui facturus est signa et portenta." The second Beast is in fact in later chapters of
 13, xix. 20, xx. 10), while tò ä́ $\lambda_{0}$ Oทpion or тò $\theta$. тò סє́tŕfor does not appear ; from this chapter ouwards the only Anpion mentioned is the first Beast, or the wounded head which is identified with him (xiv. 9, II, xv. 2, xvi. 2, 10, 13, xvii. 3 ff., xix. 19, 20, xx. 4, 10). In the second Beast we have a religious, as in the first a civil, power; he is a $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \pi \rho o \phi \dot{j}+\boldsymbol{n} s$ (xvi. 23, xix. 20, xx. Io), who claims a spiritual power which he does not possess, and misinterprets the Divine Will in the interests of the persecuting State. Some ancient interpreters saw in him
the Christian ministry turned to unworthy uses; cf. Beatus: "bestia do terra praepositi mali sunt in ecclesia." Such men may be in the background of St John's thought, but the immediate reference is rather to the pagan priesthood of his own time ; cf. iv. 14, 15 , note.
 Aqpiov mẫav $\pi 0 t \epsilon \hat{\imath} k \tau \lambda$.] The authority of the Dragon, which was delegated to the first Beast (xiii. 2), descends to the second; the first fights the Dragon's battles, the second supports the first by methods of his own, but with a strength which is derived ultimately from the Dragon. Ti力
 sentence; written out at length it would be тì̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { égovaíà т. } \pi \rho \text {. } \theta \text {. тẫap }\end{gathered}$

 $\pi t o \nu$ aủrô̂ recalls 3 Regn. xvii. I $\dot{\text { on }}$
 The true prophet lives in the presence of God, taking his orders from Him and doing His pleasure; the False Prophet stands before the Beast, whose interpreter and servant he is.
 $k \pi \lambda$.] It is the business of the second Beast to promote the worship of the first; for this end the False Prophet has been entrusted with his power. Пoиfi...iva, 'causes to,' cf. Jo. xi. 37, Col. iv. 16, Apoc. iii. 9 (Blass, Gr.














ố $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \dot{v} \eta \quad$ кт $\lambda$. is repeated from v. 3, where see note.
13. каї тоєєî $\sigma \eta \mu \hat{i} a \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \quad k \tau \lambda$.] Being a false prophet the second Beast simulates the miracles wrought by true prophets; cf. Exod. vii. II f. ( 2 Tim. iii. 8), and see Deut. xiii. I

 were expected and believed to accompany the mission of the Church (cf. Jo. xiv. 12, 'Mc.' xvi. 20), but they were not to be limited to it; see Mc.





 ing down fire from heaven was one of the miracles attributed to Elijah (1 Kings xviii 38, 2 Kings i. 10); if the writer of the Apocalypse was the son of Zebedee, he would not have forgotten that he had himself desired to imitate the O.T. prophet (Lc. ix.

 oupavoû;). In the present case the sign of calling down fire would doubtless he exhibited in connexion with the worship of the Beast, for which it would seem to be a Divine guarantee. "Iva after motfí $\mu$. $\sigma$. is scarcely distinguishable from ẅote (Burton § 222); the Prophet's powers extend so far that he can even ( $k a_{i}^{\prime}$ ) cause
fire to descend from heaven, and that
 $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu)$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau \lambda$.] To deceive mankind is a characteristic power of Satan (xii. 9
 see note) and it has descended to the false Prophet; see reff. cited on $v .12$. The success of the latter is due to
 empowered to work (ov. 13, 15). These are done 'before the Beast' (v. 12 , note), i.e. in the presence and with the approval of the Imperial officers. It is hardly possible to misunderstand the Apocalyptist's meaning. The Caesar-worship was a State function at which the Proconsul and the other magistrates assisted, and the pagan priesthood wrought their $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \bar{l} a$ before these representatives of the Empire; their jugglery addressed itself to persons in authority and not only to the ignorant populace. Cf. the Introduction, p. xci. f.

 purpose of the onucia wrought by the magic of the priests of the Augnsti was to popularize the new cult, by promoting the religious use of the statues of the Emperor (on $\lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu=$ $\kappa є \lambda \epsilon v i \omega y$ followed by the infinitive see Blass, Gr. pp. 232, 240). Any representation of the reigning Caesar which served to place him before the eyes of





the provincials might be described as an eirw'y (see Lightfoot's note on Col. i. I5), whether it were merely the Emperor's head (effigies) upon a coin (Mc. xii. 16), or an imago painted or wrought upon a standard, or executed in metal or stone. Busts or statues, however, are doubtless intended here. Such imagines, together with other symbols of the power of Rome, had always received the highest honours from loyal subjects of the Empire ; cf. Suetonius, Tib. 48 "largitus est... quaedam munera Syriacis legionibus, quod solae nullam Seiani imaginem inter signa coluissent" (i.e. because they alone had been loyal to himself; ib., Calig. 14 "aquilas et signa Romana Caesarumque imagines adoravit"). When Christians were brought before Imperial officials an image of the reigning Emperor was produced by way of testing their Christianity. Cf. Pliny's famous letter (ep. 96, a.d. 112): "qui negabant esse se Christianos aut fuisse, cum praeeunte me deos appellarent et imagini tuae quam propter hoc iusseram cum simulacris numinum adferri ture ac vino supplicarent ...dimittendos esse putavi," and the appeal of the cipyंvapxos to Polycarp (Mart. P. 8): тí үàp какóv éarıv
 (i.e. to offer incense, see Lightfoot,


 av́ovit. But in the present passage the reference is rather to imagines set up in the $\Sigma \epsilon \beta$ aбteia or temples of Rome and the Augusti. The judicial
use of the Emperor's 'image' was perhaps as yet unknown, but already, as it seems, the pagan priesthood had succeeded in securing for it religious worship with results disastrous to the Christian communities ( $v .15$ ).
 12, but with the addition of $\mu$ axaip $\rho$ sa new feature which makes for the identification of the wounded head
 tuted for $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \ldots \hat{\epsilon} \theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta$. The Beast did not die with Nero; he lived on and reappeared in Domitian, who resumed Nero's policy of persecution (cf. note on xiii. 3).
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ cixóvl $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Another $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o y$ wrought by the magic of the second Beast. That such tricks were employed in the $\mathrm{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { f }} \mathrm{\beta a} \mathrm{\sigma tea}$ is by no means improbable. As we are reminded by Andreas, it was the age of Apollonius of Tyana, whose legerdemain was freely attributed to the powers of evil: iorópqraє mo入入áкıs јоптєíats $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ di' $\epsilon i \kappa o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каı go-

 In the Clementine Recognitions (iii. 47), Simon Magus is made to boast, "statuas moveri feci, animari exanima ...haec non solum feci, sed et nunc facere possum," a claim doubtless suggested by the writer's experience of contemporary magic; as for calling down fire, see Apringius on v. 13: "haee magi per angelos refugas et hodie faciunt." It is not necessary to suppose that either Simon or Apollonius (Ramsay, Exp. 1go4, ii. 4,
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p. 249f., Letters to the Seven Churches, p. Ion ff.) is directly referred to ; the second Beast is probably, like the first, a system rather than a person, though, as the first culminated in Nero, so the best known magician of the age may have been regarded as an impersonation of the second. But that magic was used by the Caesarpriests is probable enough, as Ramsay has well pointed out (ib. p. 98 ff.), even if the Apocalypse is the only witness to the fact; nor is it impossible that theymay have acted under the sanction of the officials, so that the Empire itself lent its weight to the proceeding. 'Magic' was not thought unworthy of a place in high quarters, as st Parl learnt at the outset of his missionary

 ar $\nu$ өлй ora.

This in the immediate view of the Seer the second Beast represents the sorcery and superstition of the age as engaged in a common atternpt to impose the Caesar-cult upon the provices, behind which there lay the Satanic purpose of bringing ruin upon the rising Christian brotherhoods. In its wider significance the symbol may well stand for any religious system which allies itself with the hostile forces of the world against the faith of Jesus Christ.
 in the sense of breath or animation. ${ }^{\tau}$ Iva kail $\lambda a \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ : the vitalizing of the image went so far that it was even able to speak, an effect doubtless pro-
duced by the art of the cizyacrрípuAos; of contemporary ventriloquism there is probably an instance in Acts xvi. 16, where see Knowing's note. The reading $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \theta \eta$ à̀rŷ has good support (see app. crit.), but, as Dr Hort admits, it is unintelligible: "it is impossible either to account for the text [ai $\hat{\eta}]$ as a corruption of au $\tau \bar{\omega}$, or to interpret it as it stands"; he suggests that " $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\gamma_{\hat{n}}$ may have been lost after avi vi, or have given place to it" (Notes, p. 138). But to bring in from vo. 11, 12 ff. "the conception of a spirit of the earth" seems artificial. Can au $\hat{\eta}$ be a mrimary error due to the mind of the writer having reverted to eixóva (0. 14), or to his eye having been caught by $\tau \hat{\eta}$ єixóy, which immediately follows?
 stand, the words can only mean that the ventriloquist used his opportunity to make the image suggest that all who refused worship to the image of Caesar should be put to death.
16. kail moteî $\pi$ dap vas, toùs $\mu$ ukpoús $\kappa т \lambda$.] The False Prophet causes all who accept the Caesar-cult to receive a mark of fealty. Tais $\mu$ кkpoús $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (cf. xi. 18 , xix. 5,18 , xx. 12) covers the entire population, from the Asiarch down to the meanest slave. The construction changes after the long string of accusatives: had the writer stopped to think of the formation of his sentence, he would naturally have


 то̀̀s $\mu$ ккрои́s ктл., $\lambda a \beta \in i v$ or iva $\lambda a ́ \beta \omega$ -
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$\sigma c \nu$. The indefinite plural $\delta \omega \sigma \tau \nu(o . l$. $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \sigma v \nu)$ finds a parallel in cc. x. II $\lambda_{\epsilon} \neq \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$, xvi. $15 \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. Dr Hort suggests (Notes, p. 139) that the original reading was $\delta \omega \sigma \in$, , written by itacism $\Delta \omega c t$. But $\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma t \nu$, which is read by all our uncials, makes excellent sense ; the second Beast worked through his ministers, the menials of the Augustan temples.

Xápay $a$ may be either a work of art such as a graven image (Acts xvii
 in cc. xiv., xvi., xix., xx., the impress made by a stamp; cf. the use of xapakти' $\rho$ in Lev. xiii. 28 where the scar of a leprous spot is called $x$. тои катакаи́цатоs. To the procedure ascribed to the second Beast there is a striking parallel in 3 Macc. ii. 29, where Ptolemy Philopator I. (b.c. 217) orders such Jews as submitted to registration to be branded with the badge of the Dionysiac worship: soús

 $\kappa \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \phi v \wedge \lambda \omega$. Deissmann (Biblical Studies, p. 242) shews that in Egypt under the Empire official documents were stamped with the name and year of the Emperor (e.g. L « $\beta^{\prime}$ Aủroxpátopos
 Гepдадıкои $\Delta а к к к о \hat{\imath}$ ), and that the stamp was known as a $\chi$ ápay $\mu$; but he produces no instance of persons being similarly marked. Others have thought of the branding of soldiers, slaves, and temple devotees ; cf. Gal. vi. 17 , with Lightfoot's note, and Philo
de monarch., p. 22 їevtat т pòs סov-


 it is difficult to believe that such a mark was actually imposed on all the provincials who conformed. Ramsay (op. cit., p. i Iof.) is disposed to think rather of certificates, similar to the libelli of the Decian persecution, which were put into the hands of those who sacrificed, and to regard the mark on the forehead as merely "the apocalyptic description of a universal reputation for conspicuous devotion to the cult of the Emperor." This is hardly a satisfactory solution, and in our present ignorance it is perhaps better to be content with one which is suggested by the symbolism of the Book. As the servants of God receive on their foreheads (vii. 3) the impress of the Divine Seal, so the servants of the Beast are marked with the 'stamp' of the Beast, "in fronto propter professionem, in $\cdot$ manu propter operationem" (Ps. Aug.) ; the word $\chi$ ápay $\mu$ a being perhaps chosen (as Deissmann suggests) because it was the technical term for the Imperial stamp. For a partial parallel see Pss. Sol. xy. 8 ff. тò aquєîoy тov̂ $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{\chi} \epsilon \dot{\pi} i$
 тal oi $\pi$ оьồдтes ảpopià тò крípa Kvpiov
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\pi} \pi о \boldsymbol{a}$ à่т $\hat{\nu} \nu$. That the Antichrist would seal his followers became a commonplace in the Christian legend; see Bousset, Der Antichrist, p. I 32 ff.
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 оуона 1430 (92) arm $\pi \omega \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ кт $\lambda$.] There is possibly a reference to I Macc. xiii. 49 oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \kappa$

 pá̧ধıy кai $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i ̂ y$. But the cases differ materially. Here citizens who do not bear this mark are not prevented from entering the markets, but if they enter none will buy their goods or sell them the necessaries of life. Such a 'boycotting' of Christians might result partly from the unpopularity of their faith, partly from a dread of offending the dominant priesthood or their Roman supporters. If we ask whether the fear expressed by the Apocalyptist was realized, there is no certain answer. As Ramsay says (op. cit., p. Io7 f.), "how much of grim sarcasm...there lies in those words [ $\because \omega a$ $\mu \bar{\eta} \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \tau a \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.] it is impossible for us now to decide...but that there is an ideal truth in them, that they give a picture of the state of anxiety and apprehension, of fussy and over zealous profession of loyalty which the policy of Domitian was producing in the Roman world, is certain." Cf. Eus.


 тои̂ óvóцatos aủrov̂ is in apposition to тò $\chi^{\text {á }}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu a$; the stamp may bear the name or its number. The number of the name is probably the name itself written in numerals, aecording to a sort of gematria known to the Apocalyptist and his Asian readers, but
not generally intelligible. The point of
 to Arethas, the name and the number are alternatives ( $\delta \iota \tau T \eta$ ) $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тov́rov $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\omega}-$
 $\left.{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \delta \iota \dot{a} \psi \dot{\eta} \phi o v\right)$. But as no $\chi^{\prime} \rho a \gamma \mu a$ would have borne the Christian cipher, it is better to treat $\eta^{\prime}$ here as practically equivalent to raûr' '̇oriv-' the name, or, which is the same thing, the number.' Where the heathen provincial saw only the name of the reigning Emperor, the Christian detected a mystical number with its associations of vice and cruelty.
 similar formula occurs in c. xvii. 9
 gen compares the cabbalistic phrase
 apparently the spiritual gift answering

 power of apprehending and interpreting mysteries. Here was an opportunity for the exercise of this power; let the hearer or reader interpret what is now about to be revealed. 'O $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi \omega \nu} \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., 'let him who has intelligence-- ${ }^{\text {o }}$ yovyexijs, a character not without its value in spiritual things ; cf. Dan. xii. ro ov



 calculate (for $\psi \eta \phi i \xi \in l \nu$ cf. Lc. xiv. 28) [the meaning of] the Beast's number, for [beast though he is] his number




 ap Ir anlonaus
is that of a man,' ie. so far as the arithmetic goes, it is simple and intelligible, because it is human and not


 coyta $\tilde{\epsilon}^{z} \xi$ ] Within a century after the date of the Apocalypse the precise figures were uncertain. Irenaeus bears witness that while all good and old copies had $x \xi 5^{\circ}$, and this reading was attested by those who had seen St John, there were those who read





 ßovגо́рєнои rival), and attempted to interpret the cipher on these lines. The reading thus curtly dismissed gained so good a footing that it survives in one of our best uncial and in two cursives, and in the commentary of the Pseudo-Augustine, where the writer, probably following Tyconius, says (Mine, P. L. xxxv. col. 2437)" sexcenti et sexdecim graecis litters sic faciunt $\chi^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$," and interprats accordingly (see Introduction, p. xxxvii, note 2). It can hardly therefore have originated in a simple confusion between $\xi$ and $t$ (which indeed is itself unlikely, see Nestle, Text. crit. p. 334), and is probably a true though less widely received alternative for $\chi \xi 5^{\prime}$. With reference to the meaning of the cipher, Irenaeus, notwithstanding his Asian origin, speaks with far less confidence. If a clue had existed at first in the churches of Asia, it had been lost,
or had not reached the Churches of Gaul. Irenaeus's guesses (for they are obviously no more) are based on the hypothesis that the second Beast directly represented Antichrist. The number, he says, is that of Noah's age at the time of the Flood (Gen. vii. 6), plus the height and breadth of the image set up by Nebuchadnezzar ( ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \eta$

 alludes to the six millennia of the world's history (v. 29, § 2). When he comes to transform this number into a name for Antichrist, he mentions several guesses-the impossible word eyaneac $(=5+400+\mathrm{I}+50+9+1+$ 200), 入גтеіnос ( $=30+1+300+5+10$ $+50+70+200$, "Latini denim suit qua nuns regnant," and teitan (300 $+5+10+300+1+50)$; of these he thinks the last best, though he declines
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{~ t o v ̂ ~ o ̉ v o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ \tau \iota \chi \rho i ́ \sigma \tau o v) ; ~}$ urging that "if the writer had wished us to know the name, he would have written it in full' (ib. $30, \$ 3$ ). And this in the face of St John's $\delta \tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\omega \nu}$ ขoûv $\downarrow \eta \phi \iota \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$.

Nor is Hippolytus more illuminating. Regarding the stamp as bearing the number of the Beast, which like Irenaeus he reads as $\chi \xi 5^{\prime}$, he sees in it the word apNOYME=apvồ $\mu a$ $(=1+100+50+70+400+40+5)$, explanning: er $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ каi $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \nu . . . \tau o i ́ s ~ \mu a ́ \rho-~$
 ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~A} \rho \nu \eta \sigma a t, \quad \phi \eta \sigma i$, to $\nu \quad \theta \epsilon o ́ y$ gov tò
 patristic interpreters offer a large choice of conjectures, some of which are yet more improbable or even absurd. Such attempts to solve the



enigma can only be regarded, as Andreas remarks, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \quad \mu \nu a \sigma i a s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$, and bring us no nearer to the truth. Least probable of all are the attempts of many interpreters to find in the cipher 666 the name of one or another of the conspicuous characters of modern history; such guesses not only are inspired by personal antipathies, but betray ignorance of the real functions of Apocalyptic prophecy. Gunkel's theory (Schöpfung, p. 378) which finds in 666 the words קרמוניה, 'primitive chaos,' i.e. Tiâmat, is not more convincing. If the number represents a name, the name is doubtless to be sought among the Anpia of the first century. It is interesting to find that the Greek letters of the style of Caligula (raioc kaicap) represent numbers which added together make 616, while the Hebrew letters פרון קטר (Nero Caesar) make 666 , or 616 if the first word is written as in Latin without the final n. Against this last explanation it has been urged that Caesar is written קיפר in the Talmud, a spelling which would bring the total to 676 ; but the abbreviated קטר is perhaps admissible in a cipher, and it is not without example (Renan, VAntechrist, p. 415, note 4). Certainly Nero Caesar suits the context well; the Beast or persecuting world-power might fitly be named after the Emperor who began the policy of persecution, and was himself an incarnation of its worst characteristics. Another line of interpretation may perhaps be combined with this. It has been pointed out (Briggs, Messiah, p. 324 , Milligan, Revelation, p. 235) that in 666 every digit falls short by one of the perfect number-a mark of Antichrist. In

Orac. Sibyll. i. 328,888 represents



 oròv mâ̂ $\delta^{\prime}$ vं $\psi$ íaroo. The contrast is significant.

See further the Introduction to this commentary, p. cxxxviii. (text, and note 2); and Hort, Apocalypse, p. xxix ff.
XIV. i-5. The vision of the 144,ooo on Mount Zion.
 кrд.] The vision of the two Beasts and their followers is fitly followed by a reassuring picture of the Lamb in the midst of His Church; "au milieu de flots de colère apparaît maintenant un ilot de verdure" (Renan). Cf. Primasius: "invicta quoque ecclesiae castra oportuit declarari, ne tam vehementi persecutionis impetu vel succubuisse vel periísse eandem ecclesiam infirmus animus aestimaret." Tò àpioy looks back to v. 6 (where sec note), vii. I 7 , xii. II, xiii. 8, and stands in contrast with the anarthrous duvie in xiii. II. On the other hand the
 though doubtless alluding to the 144,000 of $c$. vii. (cf. Origen, in Joana. t. i. 1), are not directly identified with

 $\varepsilon i \pi \omega \nu$ ). The distribution of the 12,000 among the tribes is no longer in view: the total number is used either as that of a great but limited gathering, or possibly with reference to the "Twelve Apostles of the Lamb" (xxi.





С七ш́v, каì $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau о \hat{v}$ є̇катòv $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon s$









$\mu \dot{e} \nu \eta s$ s. But, as in vii. 4 ff ., it is the living Church which is in the Seer's
 of vii. 9 ; not, i.e ${ }_{\omega}$ the Church in her final completed glory, but the faithful who are on earth at any given time.
 new City of God; cf. Heb. xii. 22
 cott remarks: "Zion is distinctively the Acropolis...Mount Zion represents the strong Divine foundations of the new Order." For 'mount Zion' ( $\mathfrak{j}$ 鿊
 (xlviii.) I ff., lxxvii. (lexviii.) 54, 68, lxxxvi. (Ixxxvii.) I, cxxiy. (cxxp.) I, Mic. iv. 7, Obad. 17, 21, Isa. xxviii, I6, lix. 20; it is the O. T. symbol for the security and strength which belong to the people of God. Thus 'Mount Zion ' is the counterpant to the cónos ทंтоццабне́vos of $c$. xii. 6,14 ; seen in the light of this new vision, the place where the Woman takes refuge is none other than the impregnable rock on which the Church reposes (Mt xvi. 18). With the present passage cf. 4 Esdr. ii. 42, "ego Ezra vidi in monte Sion turbam magnam, quam numerare non potui, et omnes canticis conlaudabant Dominum"; ib. xiii. 35, 39, "ipse autem stabit super cacumen Montis Sion...et quoniam vidisti eum colligentem ad se aliam multitudinem pacificam," etc.

Dr Barnes points out that é $\sigma$ ò̀s $\vec{e}_{\mathrm{e} \pi i}$

${ }^{a}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \nu \nu$ (xii. 18); the Beast is on the sand, the Lamb on the rock. Compare the contrast in xvii. 3 , xxi. yo.
 c. vii. the 544,000 bear the imprint of the Divine Seal, which protects them against assault (cf. ix. 4). Here their foreheads are inscribed with the Name of the Lamb and that of His Father

 тò каıvóv, xxii. 4 тò ö ö $о \mu a$ aưtoû [sc. тov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ or tov̂ $\theta$. кai rov̂ ảpvíov] $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$ au่ $\hat{\omega} \nu$, and see notes ad ll.) a metaphor which supplies a more direct parallel to the methods of the Beast, whose servants are branded with the $\chi$ ápay $\mu a$ of his name (xiii. 17, xiv. 11). The Divine name on the forehead suggests at once the imparting of a character which corresponds with the Mind of God, and the consecration of life to His service.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] Not, as the ancient commentators usually assume, the voice of the 144,000 , but that of the 'company of Heaven' with whom the Church is closely united through the presence in her midst of the Lamb; cf Heb. l. c.

 Westcott's notes.

Much of the phraseology of this verse occurs elsewhere in the book:







2 aurcu] om C avrou syr ${ }^{8 \pi} \quad 3$ om $\omega$ ( KPQ min ${ }^{40}$ me syr arm auth Or Meth




$\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, i. 15 (4 Esdr. vi. I7); for as ф. $\beta \rho o \nu r \hat{\eta} s$, vi. I, xix. 6, and on cc $\theta$ Aa $\rho a$ in connexion with celestial music see
 again in xviii. 22 , and kıAapíEer in Is. xxiii. 16, I Cor. xiv. 7. For the meaning of $k i \theta d \rho a$ see the note on v. 8.
 On каเทो̀ $\varphi^{3} \delta \dot{\eta}$ see V .9 , notes. In c. v. the New Song is sung by the $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$ and the Elders, representing Creation and the Church. Here it is sung before the $\zeta \bar{\omega} a$ and the Elders, and therefore not by them, but apparently by the Angels, who are not themselves recipients of the benefits of Redemption. They are represented, however, in the N. T. as deeply interested in all that concerns the salvation of man (Lc. xp. 7, 10 , Eph. iii. 10, I Pet. i. in), and as joining in the praises of the Lamb (Apoc. v. II f.). Here they lead the Song, which the redeemed themselves have yet but imperfectly learnt. There is a feeling after the truth which lies behind this vision in more than one of the Prefaces that precede the Sanctus in the ancient liturgies; cf. egg. the Liturgy of St James (Bright-

 $\pi \rho \omega т о т о ́ к \omega \nu . . . \pi \nu є \dot{\prime} \mu а т а \delta_{\iota к}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu . . . \psi \nu \chi a i$
 $\chi^{\epsilon \rho o v \beta i \mu} . . . \kappa а і . . . \sigma \epsilon \rho а ф і \mu$ ă...кє́крауєє...
 still more explicit form in the Roman Preface: "cum angelis et archangelis... hymunm gloriae tue canimus," and
our own: "with Angels and Archangels...we laud and magnify thy glorious Name."
 $k \tau \lambda$.] Even the 144,000 have need to learn the Song; it does not come to them naturally, or without effort; every Eucharist, every thankful medistation on the Passion, is an exercise in the art. And only they can learn it; the music of the heart (Eph. v. Iq, Col. iii. 16) cannot be acquired without a receptivity which is a Divine gift; cf. Jo. xiv. 17 of of kó $\sigma \mu \mathrm{os}$ oủ §̂́varal


 Commentators. who interpret the 144,000 as an inner circle of saints, whether ascetics or others, and Mount Zion as belonging to the future order, are compelled to limit the New Song to a section of the redeemed: egg.


 «ेуршбтау.
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ : 'the...thousands, namely, those who have been purchased [for God, by the Blood of the Lamb, cf. v. 9] from the earth' or (c. 4) 'from among men.' 'Amos here denotes not 'separatimon,' but 'extraction,' as ék in v. 9 ; see Bless, Gr. p. 125. The 144,000 are not taken away from the earth (Jo. xvii. 15), but while they are upon it they recognize their relation to God and to Christ.

 $\boldsymbol{v \pi a \gamma} \mathrm{SPQ} \min ^{\mathrm{pl}}$
 Of. Tertullian, res. carn. 27 "virgines scilicet significans et qui semetipsos castraverunt propter regna caelorum." But if our interpretation is right, ot
 taken metaphorically, as the symbolical character of the Book suggests. As Tyconius cited by Bede remarks, "virgines...castos dicit et pudicos"; they are the кatapol $\boldsymbol{T} \hat{\eta}$ кapoía of
 $\dot{\eta} \rho \mu о \sigma \mu$ év $\nu$ of 2 Cor. xi. 2. No condemnation of marriage, no exclusion of the married from the highest blessings of the Christian life, finds a place in the N. T. Our Lord recognizes abstinence as a Christian practice only in cases where men are able to receive it (Mt. xix. I2). If St Paul thinks of celibacy as the better state ( 1 Cor. vii. I, 8), and moreover gives his reasons for doing so (ib. 32), yet he does not discourage marriage between Christians; indeed, he not only allows (ib. 36) but in many cases recommends it (ib, i, 8). The Epistle to the Hebrews even eulogizes "the honourable estate of matrimony" (xiii.
 $\dot{a}$ aiaytos). The Apocalyptist does not differ from the Pauline school, but he remembers the attitude of the Levitical ritual towards sexual intercourse (Exod. xix. I5, I Sam. xxi. 4), and transfers the $\mu 0 \lambda v \sigma \mu o ́ s$ which it involved in the eyes of the Law to the abuses of God's ordinance of which pagan society was full. That chastity should be chosen as the first distinctive virtue of the Christian brotherhood will not seem strange to those who reflect that pagan life was honey-
combed with immorality of the grossest kind.

With the use of mapAévos masc. ef. the Apocryphal Life of Asenath, 3
 каі $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ каі̀ $\pi а \rho \theta є \nu о$ s, $i b .6$ äa $\sigma \pi a \sigma o \nu$
 tap $\begin{aligned} & \text { évos. }\end{aligned}$ The term is applied by Suidas to Abel and Melchizedek, and by Nonnus to St John, who was traditionally a celibate to his death. In Clement of Alexandria's Hypotyposes the first Epistle of St John is said to have been addressed "ad virgines ( $\pi \rho \dot{o} s \pi a \rho \theta e ́ v o v s), "$ and an echo of this inscription probably survives in the headings of the Epistle in one of Sabatier's Latin mss. (Ad Sparthos), as well as in the Hpòs Lap Aoves of a cursive Greek ms. ; cf. Westcott, Epp. of St John, p. xxxii. f., note 2.
 A reference to the Lord's familiar call d́кo入oú $\theta_{\text {et }} \mu \mathrm{ot}$ (Mc. ii. 14, x. 2I, Lc. ix. 59, Jo. i. 43, xxi. 19), and to such sayings as those reported in Me. viii. 34, Jo. viii. 12, x. 4, 27, xii. 26. The conception had rooted itself in the Christian imagination from the


 Augustine's "sequimini virginitate cordis...quid est enim sequi nisi imitari ?" supplies the only answer: the Christian life is from first to last an imitatio Agni. Cf. Eus. H. E. v. I,


 Joann. xi. i6 fragm. (ed. Brooke, ii.
 (St Thomas in Jo. xi. 26), крivas av̀т $\underset{\varphi}{\text { a }}$


[^186]
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 à่̀สิ̀.
 rejected by Blass (Gr. p. 217), admits
 the direction is uncertain, but the movement (ísส́qєє) is actual. In all life Christ is leading, as a matter of fact; and the indicative emphasizes this point.
 кal $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ d $\left.\rho v i \varphi{ }^{\circ}\right]$ This amplifies and inter-
 144,000 were purchased as an àmap $\chi \dot{\eta}$, the firstfruits of the harvest of the world; for this sense of $\dot{a} \pi a \rho \chi \eta_{n} \mathrm{cf}$. Rom.
 1 Cor. xvi. 15 dтapxì rjs 'Axaias. Here the à $\pi a \rho \chi \eta$ is the generation of Christians who were living in the last years of the first century, and who, relatively to the company of the faithful in all future time, were as the firstfruits of the great $\theta_{\epsilon} \rho \cdot \sigma \mu$ ós (Mt. ix. 37). An alternative but perhaps less probable interpretation regards a $\pi$ ap $\chi^{\prime}$ as contrasting the contemporary Church with the mass of mankind (cf. 2 Thess. ii. 13 єỉגaxo
 Syr. ${ }^{\text {hcl- } i s ~} \sigma \omega \tau^{2} p i a \nu$ ), or with creation in general (cf. Jac. i. i8 sis rò
 $\mu$ ítw, , where see Mayor's note).

But the $\dot{a}^{\prime} \pi a \rho \chi \dot{y}$ is not only the first instalment of the human harvest; the word is connected by its O.T. associations with the service of God. The
 (for the collocation see vii. so, xxii. I, 3), i.e. they are offered and consecrated to the Divine service : cf. the law of the firstfruits in Exod. xxii. 29 (28), Deut. xxvi. 2 ff.; the phrase
 кupí $\varphi$ occurs in Lev. ii. 12, Ez. xlv. 1, xlviii. 9. The new Israelite offers to God his own body (Rom. xii. 1), and the spiritual sacrifice of praise and thanksgiving (Heb. xiii. 15), of alms and offerings (ib. 16 f .) of heart and will (I Pet. ii. 5).



 and with the passage as a whole cf.



 purity truthfulness was perhaps the most distinctive mark of the followers of Christ, when contrasted with their heathen neighbours; cf. Eph. iv. 20-25. The Lamb was characterized by the same trait: cf. Isa. liii. 9, as quoted in

 (נpמְמְ)Tyconius cited by Bede remarks: "non dixit, 'non fuit...' sed non est inventum." The distinction, however, is in practice often slight: cf. WM. p. 769 f., \&c., see cc. v. 4 , xij. 8, xvi. 20, xviii 21 ff., xx . 1 .



## 




this fatal blemish the followers of Christ were free. ${ }^{*} А \mu \omega \mu$ os is fairly frequent in the Epistles of the N.T.; cf. Eph. i. 4, v. 27, CoL i. 22, where it goes with ázios or with áyos and déć $\gamma-$
 äratios (I Pet. i. I9), and Christians are тéкขa $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ â $\mu \omega \mu a$ (Phil ii. 15 , and cf. Jude 24). Behind all such uses of the word there lies the tradition of the Greek O.T., in which ä $\mu \omega \mu$ os is a Levitical term for sacrifices not vitiated by any flaw rendering them unfit to be offered. In this sense it is the regular equivalent of for the history of this use see Dr Hort's interesting note on I Pet. l.c. Hence ä $\mu \omega \mu$ os in Biblical Greek is not 'blameless,' as the etymology would suggest, but 'umblemished,' sacrificially perfect. The 144,000 were such; their self-consecration was free from the insincerity which would have rendered it unacceptable in the sight of God. The interpretative gloss évómov тoû $\theta_{\rho}$ óvov тои̂ $\theta_{\text {eoû }}$ (cf app. crit.) is misleading; the scene is not laid in Heaven, but on Mount Sion ; see v. I, notes.

6-13. Three Angelic proclamations, and a Yoice from heafen.
 Each of the angels who now appear in succession is a new persona dramatis (ä̉入os, cf. vii. 2, viii. 3, x. I, notes), to be distinguished from his predecessor. The first of the three is thus distinguished, as it seems, from the Seventh Angel of the Trumpets, the angelic being last mentioned (xi. 15). He appears flying in the meridian (for $\mu \varepsilon \sigma$ оvpáı $\eta \mu a$ see viii. 13, xix. 17, notes), i.e., where he can be seen and heard by all whom his message concerns; and he carries ( ̈ $^{\text {оута, сf. i. 18, v. 8, vi. 2, al.) an }}$
annonncement of good tidings to the world at large. On cỉay $\begin{gathered}\text { ®ico see }\end{gathered}$ Mc. i. t , note; the noun is not used elsewhere in the Johannine writings, though the verb occurs here and in c. x. 7. The ancient interpreters (e.g. Primasius) compare Mt. xxiv. 14


 Origen seems to think of a literal proclamation of the Gospel before the end by an angelic ministry (in Joann.





 aíaviov єv̉ayyètov cannot be rendered, as by A.V., "the everlasting Gospel"; the parallel cited from Rom. i. I, cuary'́ $\lambda$ ion $\theta$ єoû, is not apposite, since
 by the genitive which follows it (cf WM. p. 155). Doubtless like doviq and 8pákov in xiii. II, and $\chi^{\iota \lambda c a ́ o ́ \varepsilon s ~ i n ~}$ xiv. I, this anarthrous evayyètov alludes to that which answered to the name par excellence, but it is not synonymous with it. St John has in view, as the sequel shews, a particular aspect of the Gospel, a Gospel which announces the Parousia and the consummation which the Parousia will bring. Aićvioy, like evaryè $\lambda c o \nu$, is är. $\lambda \in \gamma$. in the Apoc., though frequent in the Gospel and first Ep. of St John; and it is not easy to determine its import in this connexion. Origen supposed it to refer to a future revelation as compared with the Gospel which the Church preaches already; thus he writes (in Rom., i. 4): "quod aeternum dicit Ioannes in Apocalypsi, quod tume












revelandum est cum umbra transierit et veritas venerit, et cum mors fuerit absorpta et aeternitas restituta"; but the contents of the Angel's message do not accord with his suggestion. The middle ages produced an Evangelium aeternum (c. A.D. 1254 ; cf. Introduction, p. ccxii. f.), and a book with the same title appeared in Germany as late as r699, both works being founded, as it seems, upon a similar misapprehension; see Fabricius, cod. apocr. N.T. p. 337 ff.; Fabr-Mansi, Bibl. lat. med. aet,
 the epithet may be either retro-spective-'a gospel which has had an age-long history' (see Rom. xvi. 25 avatipion $\chi$ pópots alaviots oe Gty $\eta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} v o v$ ), or, as is more probable, prospective,--'a gospel belonging to, stretching forward to, the eternal order' (cf. Mc. iii. 29, note)-aićvıo as contrasted with the $\pi \rho o \sigma_{\sigma} \sigma a \rho a$ of the present life (2 Cor. iv. 18), a gospel which is a direct antithesis to the promises of brief indulgence with which the Empire excited the hopes of its subjects, the panis et circenses after which the Roman populace gaped (Jury. sat. x. 80).
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\hat{\eta} s} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] On the act. $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \omega \nu$ see $x .7$, note; the infinitive defines the purpose for which the $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} a \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{t}$ tv
wasentrusted to the angel, and is nearly equivalent to iva єüarye入ion. The Angel's gospel was directed to ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$



 peoples who made up the Empire; for $\pi \hat{a} \nu \quad \ddot{\epsilon} \theta \nu o s$ к. $\phi u \lambda \grave{\eta}$ к. $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$ к. $\lambda a_{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ see v .9 , vii. 9 , xi. 9 , xiii. 7. The
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \pi_{i} \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\hat{\eta} s}$ is Hebraic, cf. eng. Jer.
 є. т. $\gamma==$ כ other instances in the N.T. see Mt. iv. 16, Lc. xxi. 35 , and cf. Apoc. xvii. i.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Angel's call seems to be the reverse of a gospel; it announces that judgement is imminent, and summons the pagan world to repentance. Like St Pauli speech at Lustra (Acts xiv. 15 f.) it contains no reference to the Christian hope; the basis of the appeal is pure theism; the terms
 $\theta \in \mathscr{G}$ (xi. 13) are O.T. phrases (Eccl. xii. [3, Josh. vii. 19), and no tuatєv́ete
 of the cry (Mc. i. 14). It is an appeal to the conscience of untaught heathendom, incapable as yet of comprehending any other. Yet there is a gospel in the implied fact that repentance is





#### Abstract

     


still possible, and the very judgement that impends promises a new order which is the hope both of the Church and of the world. ${ }^{\top} \mathrm{H} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu{ }^{\dagger} \dot{\omega} \omega \rho a \kappa \tau \lambda$. Of. Jo. sii. 23, xvi. 32 , infra 0 . 15 .
 from the O.T. : ef. Ps. cxlv. (exlvi.) 6, and see Acts l.c.; the phrase sums up the claim of the Creator as such upon the allegiance of mankind, and the appeal of Nature can go no further. п $\eta$ үaì ióárov, in Exod. xv. 27, Lev. xi. 36; cf. $c$. viii. 10, xvi. 4.
 $\left.\lambda_{o i \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \nu} \kappa \pi \lambda.\right]$ Another angel, a second, follows the first. His message interprets in part the "hour of judgement" of which the first had given warning: " fallen, fallen is Baby-
 an echo of Isa. xxi. נִבְּלָה גָפְלָה בְּבֶל 9
 xi. 7 ( (à $\theta_{\text {rpiop }}$ ), the writer assumes that the recipients of the book are familiar with a symbol which he has not hitherto used, and therefore pardy anticipates what he has to say about it at a later stage. There is reason to think that in Jewish and Christian circles Babylon was already an accepted syuonym for Rome; besides I Pet.
 most of the indications point to Rome, cf. Orac. Sibyll. v. (a pre-Christian Jew-


 $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu a \mid$ 'Itàiŋŋs रaīáy $\theta$ ', ib. 434 at at
 and the Apoc. of Baruch (contemporary with the N.T., Charles, p. xvi.) xi. I. Early Christian interpretation supports the view that Babylon= Rome in I Peter and the Apoc.; cf. Eus. H. E. ii. 15 бovrágat фagì


 aóvтa (the information appears to be derived from Clement of Alexandria and perhaps ultimately from Papias of Hierapolis); Tertullian, adv. Marc. iii. 13 "Babylon etiam apud Ioannem nostrum Romanae urbis figura est, proinde magnae et regno superbae et sanctorum Dei debellatricis." The phrase B. $\dot{\eta}{ }^{\mu \epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \eta$ comes from Dan.
 epithet is used wherever Babylon is mentioned in the Apocalypse (xiv. 8, xvi. 19, xvii. 5, sviii. 2, 10, 2I), and emphasizes the Nebuchadnezzar-like self-importance of the rulers of Rome rather than the actual size or true greatness of the city; in the latter respect Jerusalem was in the eyes of

But Rome was as dissolute as she was proud, and a source of moral infection to the world; $\hat{\eta} \hat{e} \times$ т тov̀ ouvou ктд. justifies the doom pronounced by the second Angel upon her. Tav̂ outvou
 in xviii. 3) brings together two phrases which occur separately elsewhere, viz













(xvii. 2). There is doubtless a reference to Jer. xxviii. (li.) 7 motípov

 av่rท̂s $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ́ o \sigma a \nu ~ \ddot{e} \theta \nu \eta$; cf. also Hab. ii. 15, where the Chaldeans are in view:
 $\theta 0 \lambda_{\epsilon \rho \hat{a},}$, and see infra, c. xvii. 4, note. The wine of Rome, as of Babylon, was the intoxicating influence of her vices and her wealth; but viewed from another point, it was the oivos rov $\theta \nu \mu o \hat{u}$, the wrath. which overtakes sin; cf. Ps. lxxiv. (lxxv.) 9 zoтipor


 the Seer ascribes to Rome a character which the Prophets of Israel had ascribed to more than one of the great pagan cities of antiquity ; thus Nineveh (Nah. iii. 4) is a $\pi o ́ \rho \nu \eta$ кa入̀̀ кai
 aúrîs, and Tyre (Isa xxiii. I6 f) à $\pi \dot{o} \rho \nu \eta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ who, on her restoration to favour, Ëotal é $\mu \pi$ ópooy (ה oixovééns; even Zion had come to

 charge of mopveia might be amply justified by the moral condition of Rome under the Empire, it probably refers chiefly to the utter venality of the capital, which was ready to sell both body and soul for a price; cf.

Sallust, Jug. 35 "urbem renalem et mature perituram, si emptorem invenerit," and see Mayor's note on Juv. x. 77. As Delitzsch (Isaiah, i. p. 412 f ) truly says, a "commercial activity" which, "thinking only of earthly advantage, does not recognize a God-appointed limit, and carries on a promiscuous traffic with all the world, is...a prostitution of the soul." On the aropveia of Rome see xvii. 2, 4, xviii. 3, 9 , notes Tyconius seems to have followed a text which for $\stackrel{\dot{1}}{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \ldots$
 leiter, p. 136, cf. xviii. 3), while the text of Primasius had пधлтнкау for $\pi$ п́лткка (a vino irae fornicationis suae ceciderunt universae civitates).
 ӨךGey кл入.] The third of this succession of herald angels denounces the Caesar-worshippers; of xiii. 12 ff , notes. This is a counter-proclamation to that which is put into the mouth of the Image of the Beast; if the supporters of the Caesar-worship threatened recusants with boycotting and even death (xiiii 15, 17), the angel seeks to deter them from yielding by the prospect of a worse doom.

On ті̀े $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i \kappa o ́ v a ~ a v ่ т o u ̂ ~ s e e ~ x i i i . ~} 15$, note, and on $\chi$ व́ $\rho a \gamma \mu a$ xiii. 16,17 , notes.
10. каĭ aùròs тієтан ктд.] Not, 'he too as well as Babylon' (Bousset), for Babylon is not represented as drinking of her own cup; but rather 'he shall






[^187]also drink,' where kai opens the apodosis (WM. p. 547, note I), identifying the person who is to drink with him who has worshipped. The wrath of which he must drink is now defined; it is the wrath of God; the cup which holds it is the cup of His anger against sin. A Divine obovn, which is correlated with the Divine righteousness, is postulated throughout the N.T., see esp. Rom. i. 18, iii. 5, xii. 19, Col. iii. 6, Apoc. vi. 17. Bupòs (or $\left.\dot{o}_{\rho} \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \theta_{\nu \mu} \hat{v}\right)$ тov $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, the white heat of God's anger, is an O.T. phrase usually representing (cf. Num. xii 9 xxii. 22)-an anthropomorphic image, but one which covers a terrible reality; in the N.T. it occurs only in the second half of the Apocalypse, where it is frequent (xiv. IO, 19, xv. I, 7, xvi. 1, 19, xix. 15).
 moron taken over perhaps from the Lxx. of Ps. lxxy. 9 where ắkpatod кє́paбرa represents מֶסֶ, wine mixed with spices but not with water (see B.D.B., s. v.). Cf. also Jer. xxxii. ( xxv . 15) тò тотท่pıov тov̂ o九̀vov тov̂ áxpárov
 viii. 15 ȯà toũto énépagev av̉zoîs ó

 "Aкрáтov emphasizes the strength of the intoxicant; or, as Andreas says,


 $\tau \iota \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, 8ıà тò крícews ठíkatov.
 $\kappa т \lambda$.] For $\beta a \sigma a v i \zeta \epsilon \iota v$ see $c$. ix. 5 , note, and for $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ kal $\theta$ fiov, ib. 17, note; compare also xix. 20, xx. Io, xxi. 8; the imagery looks back to lsa xxx. 33. Ez. xxxviii. 22 and ultimately to Gen. xix. 24 (cf. 3 Macc. ii. 5). The punishment is aggravated by the presence of spectators. If Christians at the stake or in the amphitheatre suffered in the sight of a multitude of their fellowmen, those who deny their faith must suffer before a more august assembly, composed of the holy angels and the Lamb. There is a partial parallel in Le. xii. 9 ó $8 \hat{\epsilon}$

 $\theta$ eov ; but in this passage not only angels are witnesses of the punish-ment-it is inflicted also in the presence of the Lamb. As in vi. 16 , Tîs doyñs rov̂ doviov, the name intensifies the horrors of the situation. The pacaviouós is aggravated by a consciousness of the pure spiritual beings which are around, but still more by the presence of the Lord Who died for the sins of men and has been denied and rejected by these sufferers,
 aưrw̄̀ cis aiôvas kr $\lambda$.] The Beer is







 $\lambda \eta{ }^{1}$ I 30 me
stiIl thinking of the fate of Sodom and Gomorrah; cf. Gen. xix. 28 i iov̀




 av่т ${ }^{2} s$ ằ $\nu \omega$. Contrast Apoc. ix. 5
 partial punishments inflicted under the Trumpets have now given place to a judgement which is final and a sentence without time-limits. The denial of Christ by a Christian was a $\sin$ for which the Church knew no remedy, an aiఱ́vtov á áápıда which brought a corresponding recompense.
 тós, sc. àmò тoū ßacavıoцoû ; contrast

 desert Christ for Caesar will be the victims of a remorse that never dies or sleeps. The passage is quoted by Cyprian (ep. 58. 7) in A.d. 252-3 to deter the African Churches from sacrificing: "grassatur et saevit inimicus, sed statim sequitur Dominus passiones nostras et vulnera vindicaturus...ille metuendus est cuius iram nemo poterit evadere, ipso praemonente et dicente: ne timueritio eos qui occidunt corpus...qui amat animam suam perdet illam...et Apocalypsis instruit et praemonet dicens: si quis adorat bestiam etc."
 A comment by the Seer, in a characteristic form ; cf. xiii. 10 बิठє $\epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \nu$ गो

 ё $\chi \omega \nu$ оофiav. Here, in this struggle with the Empire, lay the Church's opportunity of working out her salvation through patient endurance in well-doing. For iтто $о \nu$ и́ see i. 9, ii. 2 f.,




 plied the Saints with a test of loyalty which strengthened and matured those who were worthy of the name. Such were those who kept the commandments of God and the faith of Jesusoi $\tau \eta \rho \frac{\tilde{c}}{\boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \epsilon s}$ defines $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma i \omega \nu$, though the construction is broken, as if rives tioiv ol äyıot had intervened-aphrase which combines the chief note of O.T. sainthood with the chief factor in the Christian life; cf. xii. 17, note. Tìu riatev'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$, the faith which has Jesus for its Object; cf. Mc. xi. $22 \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \theta_{\epsilon 0} \hat{u}$ (note), Jac. ii. I tì̀ ríctıv tồ кvpiou


 meditation is broken by a Voice from heaven. His own insight had enabled him to see in the persecution which impended a call to viтоцау'. But something further was needed for the comfort and guidance of the Asian Christians in the immediate future; and the Voice now imparts it. It is a message for the Churches, to be registered and communicated to them;

#     







 $\mathrm{me}^{\text {vid }}$
for $\gamma{ }^{\prime} \dot{u} \psi o \nu$ as a formula introducing such messages see i. II, ig, ii. I, 8 etc., iii. 1, 7 etc., xix. 9 , xxi. 5, and contrast x. $4 \mu \eta \eta^{\gamma} \gamma \dot{a} \psi \eta$ s.

Maxáptot oi peкpoì oi è è Kupíq àmo$\theta_{\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa о \nu т е s ~ i s ~ a ~ n e w ~ b e a t i t u d e ~ w h i c h ~}^{\text {a }}$ needed a Voice from heaven to proclaim it. St Paul, speaking by revelation (éj $\lambda_{o ́ \gamma \varphi}$ Kupíov), had taught that the dead in Christ (i Cor. xy. I8 oi коцдךө́évтes ì̀ Xpıotô, I Th iv. I4

 subjects of a hopeless grief, as if they were shut out from the glories of the Parousia ( 1 Th. iv. 15 ff.). St John (Apoc. vi. 9) had seen the souls of the martyrs under the Altar, crying, 'How long?' and had heard them bidden to
 $\mu \iota \rho \rho o ́ v)$. The Voice from heaven carries these revelations a stage further. Those who should diein the Lord henceforth, as the martyrs did, were to be felicitated for the rest on which they entered. 'A $\pi^{\prime}$ 'ä $\rho \pi$, 'from this time forth' (Jo. xiii. 19, xiv. 7), must be connected, as its position shews, not
 nothing is said with regard to the past, the purpose of the revelation being to bring comfort to those who in the coming persecutions would need a strong consolation. It is a messoge in the first instance for a particular age, and referred to those who were
to be called to suffer for their faith. Yet in view of the quite general terms
 év Kupiè), the later Church has felt herself at liberty to use it for the comfort of her mourners; audioi vacem do caelo found a place in the Sarum offices for the dead, and its English equivalentimmediately follows the committal to the grave in our own Burial Service Cf Primasius: "universis pollicens felicitatem." But the limitation év Kvpíw remains; as An-


 this Divine $\mu$ aкapı $\sigma$ дós differs widely from that which is sometimes indiscriminately pronounced on the dead by pagan writers (see exx. in Wetstein); a general pakápıo of vexpoí finds no justification here.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Spirit in the mind of the Seer responds to the Voice from above him 'Yea (cf. i. 7, xvi. 7, xxii. 20), they are blessed, to rest (as they shall) from their labours.' "I $\nu$ a here passes into the meaning of $\begin{gathered}0 \\ \tau\end{gathered}$, 'in that' rather than 'in order that,' nearly as
 rejoiced to see." For the future after ${ }_{i \nu a}$ cf. $c c$. vi. $4, \mathbf{i x} .5$; and for the form àvaraŋ́бovtac see Blass, Gr. p. 44, and add to his exx. Oxyrh. Papyri iv. p. 4











Oat is more usually followed by amó ( 2 Regn. vii. in, Esth. ix. 16), but és occurs, e.g. Plat. Crit. 106 a ws

 (ii. 2 , note) antithetical ; the 'labours' of the saintly life end in the grave, but not its 'works'; its processes, methods, habits, results remain, and follow the saint into his new life; cf. Pirke Aboth vi. 9 (ed. Taylor ${ }^{2}$, p. IO3) "in the hour of a man's decease not silver nor gold nor precious stones and pearls accompany the man, but Thorah and grod works alone." The contrast is latent in ráp: 'they shall rest from their labours-I say not from their works, for their works go with them.' There is a further contrast between the sentence as a whole and the doom pronounced on the disloyal
 masius: "e contrario illos impios dixit die ac nocte requiem non habere." 'Axo$\lambda_{o v \theta \varepsilon i \nu}^{\mu \epsilon \tau a ́, ~ c f . ~ v i . ~ 8 ; ~ B l a s s, ~ G r . ~ p . ~} 113$ f.

14-20. Tefe Vision of the Harvest and the Vintage of the Eartie.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.]. The revelations of the last section (wv. 8-I3) now culminate in a vision of the Parousia, represented as a time of general ingathering of the fruits of life. First, the Seer sees 'One like a Son of Man' (for of ofotov vióv see i. I3, note), the same Person who had appeared in the first chapter of the Book, seated on a cloud (Dan.



Mt xxiv. 30, xxvi. 64, Acts i. 9, 11), the white cloud (cf. Mt. xvii. $5 \nu \epsilon \phi \in \lambda \eta$ $\phi \omega \tau=\nu \eta)^{\prime}$ which was so familiar an object to dwellers by the Mediterranean and Aegean; not the dark storm-cloud which to the Hebrew mind suggested the inscrutable mystery of unrevealed Deity (Ps. xcvi. (= xcvii.) 2 ขєфе̃ $\lambda \eta$ каì $\boldsymbol{y}^{\nu}$ ó $\phi o s ~ к \dot{v} \kappa \lambda \omega$ avirov̂), but the symbol of light and blessing. Like the Elders in c. iv. 4 (cf. Tert. de coron. 15) the Figure on the Cloud is crowned with a victor's wreath wrought in gold, a oré申avos $\chi \rho v a o u{ }^{\rho}$, contrasting sharply with the ot. adkapecvos of the Passion (Mc. xv. 17), but not an imperial $\delta t a ́ \delta \eta \mu a$; the crowned Christ is here the Conqueror rather than the King. He comes however not to conquerthis He has already done (iii 2I)but to reap, and His hand carries not a sword but a sickle, sharp and ready for its work. It is instructive to compare this description with the vision of c. i. 13 ff . on the one band, and with that of xix. IIff. on the other; in each case the ornaments and instruments are appropriate to the character sustained. In c. i. the royal Priesthood of Christ is the predominating thought; in c. xix. He appears as the true Imperator; here the writer's aim is to bring together the thought of Christ's victory over sin and death with the hope of His return to raise and judge mankind.
 кра́b $\omega \nu$ ктл.] "A $A \lambda o s$ here looks back to $v .9$, not to the human form on the





cloud just described. Another angel -the fourth in this context-comes forth from the Sanctuary (cf xi. 19, xiv. 17, xv. 5 ff., xii. I, I7), i.e. from the Presence of God, carrying to the Reaper the command of the Lord of the Harvest (Mt. ix. 38) to begin His work Even the Son does not fix or even know the time, which it belongs to the Father to determine (Mc. xiii 32, note ; Acts i. 7). ' 'Eni
 v. 14 and perhaps $\boldsymbol{v .}$. 16 ; there is no perceptible change of meaning.
 more than one passage in the Prophets, e.g. Joel iii. (iv.) I3 ésamocтєinare ठ $\rho \in ́ \pi a v a$ (定

 Baßvī̄иos). There are also parallels in our Lord's teaching, e.g. Mc. iv. 29

 í $\theta \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ (where see notes); Mt. xiii.
 The harvest, however, is not here, as in Mt. l.c.e, the whole produce of the world, the results, good and evil, of human history, but rather the wheat-harvest considered apart from the tares; the evil appear below ( $\tau .18 \mathrm{ff}$ ) under another metaphor,
 the Owner's Hands (Acts i. 7), does not depend on any arbitrary decree,
but on the maturity of the crops of which He alone can fully judge. 'E $\xi \eta \rho \dot{a} v \theta \eta$, aruit, arida esl, properly of the drying up of the juices of the wheat plant; in Joel i. 17 غ $\xi$ npáv $\begin{aligned} & \eta \\ & \end{aligned}$ vitos refers to premature desiccation, but here that which indicates perfect ripeness is probably intended. The R.V. 'overripe' is perhaps scarcely justified; the idea conveyed is rather that the precise moment has come for reaping, and there must be no further delay. The aorists $\eta_{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$, é $\xi \eta \rho a \dot{v} \theta \eta \eta$ approach the sense of the perfect; cf. Ellicott on 1 Thess. ii. 16 (pp. 31, 147).
 Vg. rightly: et misit...falcem suam in terram. No violence is suggested

 on the cloud, at the Angel's call, sets His sickle to work, by casting it on the earth, and in due time the earth is reaped. There is no need to fill in the imagery; enough is said to emphasize the fact that the Son of Man is the Divinely commissioned Reaper (Jo. v. 27). He may use the ministry of men (Mt. ix. 37 f .) or of angels (Mt. xiii. 39, 41), but it belongs to Him to put in the sickle. It does not appear how the ingathering is to be effected, or how long the process will last. In the vision there is no interval between
 but the completion of the work may occupy a generation or an age.






 $\operatorname{arm} \mid \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu]+e \nu 678$ I $_{4} 29$ almu $\left.\mathrm{Ar} \mid \phi \omega \nu \eta\right]$ крav $\eta \mathrm{CP} \min ^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{Andr} \mathrm{Ar}$
 тov̂ עaov̂ кт入.] Another-a fifth-angel issues from the Sanctuary, who like the Reaper on the cloud (kai aúzós) is armed with a sharp sickle. A second ingathering follows the first, as the vintage followed wheat-harvest (cf. Deut. xvi. 9 f., 13). In this second process the chief part is assigned to an angel, who gathers in the fruit of the Vine of the Earth, as the Son of Man had gathered in its wheat.

Both the wheat-harvest and the vintage are mentioned in Joel iv. 13
 follows the O.T. prophet, but with a difference; he treats the two harvests as distinct, placing them in their natural order, and using them as symbols of two separate spiritual ingatherings. In the Prophets the harvest, whether wheat-harvest or vintage, represents the overthrow of the enemies of Israel, who are ripe for their fall; in the Apocalypse, which like the Gospels identifies the wheat with the true 'children of the kingdom' (cf. Mt. xiii. 30, 38 Tòv 8 è

 Tîs Bagt vintage, from its association with the 'wine of wrath' (xiv. 8, io, notes), represents the evil, whether within the kingdom (M.t. l.c.) or outside it (Mt. xxy. 3I f). Thus, by a new treatment of the old metaphor of a Divine harvesting of men, the

Apocalyptist gives full expression to the Lord's teaching as to the great separation between man and man which is reserved for the Parousia. There is delicate beauty in the assignment of the ingathering of the Vintage to an angel, while the Son of Man Himself reaps the Wheatharvest. The work of death is fitly left in the hands of a minister of justice; the Saviour of men appears cis $\sigma \omega$ тipiay (Heb. ix. 28). Cf. Arethas:



 $\tau \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{2} \gamma \gamma \bar{\jmath} \lambda \omega \nu$.
 тov̂ $\theta$ vacaortioiov $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Another angel -the sixth-brings to the Angel of vengeance a message similar to that which the angel in $v .15$ had brought to the Son of Man-the Divine authority to begin the Vintage of the earth. The two messages closely correspond, mutatis mutandis; тò סिémavor tò $\dot{o} \xi \dot{v}$ holds its place, the sickle being used in vine-culture and the vintage as well as in harvesting the grain (cf. Hesiod, scut. 292 of $\delta$ '





 $\gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, and the Lxx phrases $\tau \rho \gamma \gamma \hat{a} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{\nu} a$ (Deut xxiv. 2I), $\tau \rho v \neq a ̣ ̂ \nu$
 är. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in N.T., but fairly common in
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the Lxx. , with or without $\sigma \tau a \phi v \lambda \hat{\eta}_{s}$ following. "Ot $\ddot{\eta}_{\kappa \mu}{ }^{\prime} \alpha a \nu$ ai $\sigma \tau a \phi \cup \lambda a i$
 $\theta \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ in $v$. I5, where see note. 'Aкна̧́еь is used in 4 Macc. ii. 3, the only other instance in Biblical Greek of the use of the verb in the ordinary sense of adolescence, but the lexicons quote passages from Thucydides (ii. 19) and Xenophon (Hell. i. 2. 4) where it describes the ripening of corn. Era$\phi v \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}$ is properly the ripe grape-cluster as opposed to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \phi a_{\xi}$, cf. Gen. xl. 10

 as contrasted with $\beta$ of thus, it describes the grapes rather than the cluster on which they grow.

The Angel-reaper of the Vintage proceeds from the Altar, where he is in charge of the fire; cf. xvi. 6, note. Earlier passages in the Book refer to the Altar of Burnt Offering (vi. 9, xi. 1), and the Altar of Incense (viii. 3, 5, ix. 13); here and in xvi. 7 there is nothing to shew which of the two is intended. If the former, we are reminded of the blood of the martyrs which cries for vengeance; if the latter, of the prayers of the saints by which the end is hastened. ' $0{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \chi \chi \omega$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ gavin $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ rout mupós is a suggestive description of the minister of wrath ;



auitô $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The ingatherer of the Vintage does as the Reaper of the Wheat-harvest had done; cis tin $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ is practically $=\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau . \gamma$ in $v .16$; while $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$ is balanced by

 $\kappa \tau \lambda$., enters upon a detail which has nothing to correspond with it in the former scene, and its object is to leave no doubt as to the symbolical meaning of the Vintage. It is the Vintage of the Vine of earth as contrasted with the 'Vine brought out of Egypt' (A rethas) and the "True Vine," whose branches bring forth fruit unto God; it is that part of the earth's produce, those results of human history and life, which must be trodden by the Feet of God; cf. Iss. xiii. 2 Sià $\tau i$ gov


 "calcatio torcularis retribution est peccatoris"; Arethas: $\dot{\eta} \tau \rho \dot{\prime} \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 On $\lambda$ quós see Mc. xii. 1 , note, and on Gu poss, in relation to God, r. 8 supra, note. Tò $\nu \mu$ é $\gamma a \nu$ is explained by some of the Latin commentators as an acc. after $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ : 'he cast the great one into the wine press'; so Primasius: "mist in torculari iras Dei magnum. Superbum etiam magnum vocat...nam torcular, scut Graeca exemplaria continent, feminini generis posit"; and

## 





 Andromm decem et sex seth

Beatus: " misit in torcular irae Dei illum magnum...id est unumquem-
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \nu$ is doubtless a solecism, which can only be excused on the ground of rapid writing, but finds a parallel in
 though used in class. Gk, receives no support from the best mss. of the Lux and N.T.; in Gen xxx. 38, adduced by Blass, the true reading is èv raís $\lambda \eta$ voî́s.
 ró $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] Apparently the scene is laid in sight of the city, though not within its walls. The city is doubtless the 'Holy City' of xi. 2, i.e. Jerusalem, but Jerusalem idealized as in c. xxi. At Jerusalem in the time of Zechariah (xiv. 10) the King's vimo入 ${ }^{\prime} \nu \mathrm{y}$ a seems to have been on the slope of the Mount of Olives, the predicted battlefield on which the nations gathered against Jerusalem were to receive their final defeat (Joel iii. 12 ff , Zech. xiv. 2 ff., 12 ff.). Possibly there is an allusion here to these facts; but in any case the place of execution would naturally lie "outside the gate" (Heb. xiii. 12).
 The red blood of the 'Vine of the Earth'(cf. Gen xlix in, Deut. xxxii. 14); bursting from the trodden ovaфu入aí, overflowed and spread to a distance of 1600 stades, rising so high that riders or men in chariots (cf. xix. it-15) passing through would find it up to their horses' bridles; cf. Enoch c. 1, 3 (ed. Charles, p. 286 f): "in those days the fathers together with their sons will be smitten in one place...until it streams with their
blood like a river...and the horses will walk up to the breast in the blood of sinners, and the chariots will be submerged to its height." The conception rests ultimately on Isa. 1xiii. 3 , 6 , but the metaphor is worked out with the exuberance of apocalyptic symbolism. Much difficulty has been found in explaining the distance named as the limit to which the overflow spreads. It has been supposed to answer to the length of Palestine, which is given by Jerome (cf. ep. 129, ad Dard.) as 160 Roman miles $=1280$ stades (cf. the reading of $\aleph$ Syr. ${ }^{\text {w }}$-), and by Antoninus in the itinerarium as 1664 stades, measuring from Tyre to El-Arish In this
 is practically equivalent to the O.T.
 But it is more in accordance with Apocalyptic arithmetic to regard i600 ( $=4 \times 4 \times 100$ ) as symbolical of completeness; except within the walls of the City, the deluge of blood was everywhere; or as Victorinus explains, followed by Primasius and the later Latin commentators, it spread "per omnes mundi quattuor partes; quaternitas enim est conquaternata; quater enim quadragies mille sexcenti sunt." The point to be illustrated is the finality of the blow dealt to the enemies of the Lsrael of God; cf. Lactantius instit. vii 19: "virtus. angelorum tradet in manus iustorum multitudinem illam quae montem circumsederit...et fiuet sanguis more torrentis."

According to Bede Tyconius wished to interpret the whole passage (ov. 14






-20) as a prophecy of the beniguant work of the Church after the conversion of the Empire: "messorem et vindemiatorem ecclesiam interpretatur post persecutionum flammas clarescentem et potestatem ligandi solvendique tenentem." But such a view is inconsistent with the general purpose of this chapter, which leads the reader on from the existing condition of the Church to her final triumph at the end of the present order.
XV. i-8. Preparation for tiet Last Seven Plagues.

 to xii 1,3 . This view of the appearances as 'signs' belongs exclusively to the second half of the Apocalypse, and serves to connect the present vision with the series which began with the Sign of the Sun-clad Woman. The Seven Bowls are usually classed with the Seven Seals (c. vi) and the Seveu Trumpets (cc. viii--xi.), and with the latter especially they have an obvious affinity; but their relation to the great section of the book which begins at xii. $I$ is even closer; they belong to the drama of the long conflict between the Church and the World.

 phrase occurs in the later Greek writers, e.g. Dionysius of Halicarnassus and Diodorus Siculus (Wetstein ad l.).

 are named in ix. 18, and in xi. 6 the Witnesses are empowered to strike the earth $\hat{i v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \bar{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \eta \tilde{n}$; but the
plagues now about to begin are distinguished from all that came before them as 'the last' (cf. xxi. 9), the final cycle of such visitations: the last, because, as the Seer hastens to explain, they complete the physical manifestations of the Divine Wrath. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \tau \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$. explains and justifies the emphatic ràs écxáras. But the explanation is not altogether easy to understand; the aor. can cause no difficulty in view of x. 7 द̀тє $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta$ тò uvatiphov toû $\theta$ eô (where see note), but is it possible to conceive of the wrath of God as burning itself out in any manifestations such as these? Must it not endure as long as evil endures? 'Eтe入é $\theta \theta$ च, then, can only be taken in a limited sense, as meaning that there will be no more similar displays of God's righteous displeasure against human sin; there may be reserves of wrath, but its cosmic effects will cease. With $\pi \lambda_{\eta} \eta$ às é $\pi \pi r \dot{d}$ the commentators compare Lev. xxvi.



 In the case of the Last Plagues the septenary number is peculiarly appropriate; cf. Victorinus: "septem plagis, id est, perfecte"; Primasius: "angelorum numero vel plagarum umiversitatem consummationis arbitror praesignari." It denotes at once the finality and the completeness of the visitation.
 $\mu \epsilon \mu y \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \eta \eta \nu \pi v \rho i]$ A parenthesis follows (vo. 2-4), in which the Seer, after briefly introducing the Seven Angels, catches a view of the Martyrs in their



 aurou 1 I7 $353^{6} 397980$ ェ6r 186 al
bliss on which his eye rests for a moment before he proceeds with the terrors of the Last Plagues. In the Vision of Heaven, the distance between the spectator and the Throne is filled by a Sea of Glass (iv. 6 is
 note ad $l$.), and this image is now recalled, though the writer, after his manner (xiii. iI; xiv. I, notes) does not use the article to emphasize the identity of the Sea in this place with the Sea in c. iv. As he now sees it, the crystal light of the Sea of Glass is reddened as by fire; with $\mu \in \mu \iota \gamma \mu$ é $\nu \eta \nu$


 The red glow on the Sea spoke of the fire through which the Martyrs passed, and yet more of the wiath about to fall on the world which had

 the agraphon ó éryús $\mu$ ou éryùs tov̂ muoós; see also Heb. xii. 29 каі үà $\rho$ ó
 and the Elders who are mentioned in c. iv., and again in xiv. 3, do not appear here, for the attention of the hearer or reader is concentrated upon another group with widely different associations. Tov̀s $\nu<\kappa \omega \bar{\nu}$ as-not $\tau$. $\nu \iota \kappa$ д́баугая (cf. xii. II), or even $\tau$. $\nu \in \nu \kappa \eta$ кóтая; for it is the ablding character of 'conqueror' on which emphasis is laid, and not the fact of conquest; cf. $\dot{\delta} \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ in ii. $7,1 \mathrm{I}, 17$, 26 , iii. 5, 12, 21, xxi. 7. The words that follow define the field on which the victory is won and the character formed; the conquerors are martyrs who suffer in the conflict with the promoters of the Caesar-cult (cf. c. xiii.,
notes), and "come victorious from the Beast" (R.V., cf. Benson: "come conquering forth from the Wildbeast"); the construction is a pregnant one, 'by virtue of their victory they escape out of the hand of the enemy.' Blass's
 frigid, and the Latin phrase "victoriam ferre ex aliquo" usually quoted from Livy viii. 8 does not altogether meet the case. The all-powerful Beast is compelled after all to let them slip from his grasp; they, and not he, gain the day. The genuine Acts of the Martyrs shew them in the light of conquerors up to the moment of death, e.g. Ep. Smyrn. ig סıà $\tau \mathfrak{j} s$




 4 Macc. xvi. 14) тарори


 S. Perpetuae 18 "inluxit dies victoriae illorum, et processerunt de carcere in amphitheatrum quasi in caelum, hilares et vultu decori." But the Apocalyptist follows the victors into the life beyond, and sees them celebrating their victory in the Presence of God. It is a strangely different view of their condition from that presented by c. vi. 9 ff., but the announcement of xiv. 6 has partly prepared the reader for it; the present vision, like that of vii. 9 ff, anticipates the final joy in which their rest will issue.

For tồ anpiov, tи̂s cikóyos, тoû d’ $\rho i \theta_{\mu} \hat{v}$ see xiii. $1,14,17$, xiv. 9 , II, xix. 20, xx. 4, and notes there.


 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$




 Not on the shore of the Sea, like Israel in Ex. xiv. 30, but on the Sea itself which forms the solid pavement (cf. Mt . xiv. 25 ff .) of the final approach to the Throne (iv. 6). Their exodus from the spiritual Egypt (xi. 8) has led them through the Red Sea of Martyrdom, which is now exchanged for the Crystal Sea of Heaven. Like the Elders in $v .8$, and the 144,000 in xiv. 2 , they carry zitherns-кı $\theta$ ápas тoû $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, not merely of unusual sweetness and power (cf. Ps. lxxix. (Ixxx.) II тàs אéóopovs rov̂ $\theta e o \hat{u}$ ), but dedicated to the service of God (cf. I Chron. xvi. 42和 $\theta \epsilon \hat{v}$, I Th. iv. 16 év $\left.\sigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi c y \gamma^{c} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}\right)$. The symbolism is well explained by the ancient commentators, e.g. Primasius: "laudibus corda dicata"; Andreas:

 Өєiov тиєن́циатоs.
 тov̂ סoúdov tov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}]$ The allusion to the Exodus, hitherto latent, now becomes evident; cf. Ex. xv. $\mathfrak{\text { a tórє }}$


 which was used as a Sabbath hymn in the Jewish liturgy (Wolff, curae, v. p. 563); the two songs are placed together among the $\dot{\text { di} \delta a i ~ o f ~ t h e ~ C h u r c h ~}$ in the liturgical Psalter of cod. A ( $a^{\prime}$

 among the Canticles both of Eastern and Western Christendom (Intr. to
the O.T. in Greek, p. 253 f.); but it is surely the song of victory which is in view here rather than the swan-like song ascribed to the dying Lawgiver.

 rendered in the Lxx. by $\theta_{\epsilon} \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$ (Ex. xiv. 3I, Num. xii. 7), סoîגos (3 Regn. viii. $53,56, \mathrm{Ps}$ civ. (cv.) 26 ), or naîs (Isa xlii. I). The contrast drawn in Heb. iii. 5 between Moses the Servant and Christ the Son (M $\omega v \sigma \bar{\eta} s \mu e ̀ \nu \pi \iota \sigma r o ̀ s$
 latent here also, for $\tau$ ô̂ $\delta$ oú $\lambda$ ov is followed immediately by roú àpiou, the exalted Person who throughout the Book is associated with God.
 of the martyrs is not only the song of Moses, triumphant over Pharaoh and Egypt; it is also the song of the Glorified Christ, the conqueror of the world (Jo. xvi. 33) and of Death (c. i. 18). The martyrs not only overcome Domitian and the power of Rome; they share the victory of Christ (c. iii. 2I). St John does not write
 the notes are distinct though they form a harmony. As throughout the Book, the Apocalyptist places together, without confounding, the experiences of the two dispensations, bringing out of his treasure things new and old. Primasius is right, if his words are taken in a wider sense than he probably intended: "in Moysis antem vetus, in Agni vero cantico novum significatum est testamentum."
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 $\sigma o v, \mathrm{~K} \dot{v} \rho \tau \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The words of the Martyrs' Song are almost wholly from the O.T., as the following brief catena will shew: Ps. lxxxv. (lxxxvi.) 9 тádra

 \% $\rho \gamma \mathrm{a}$ Kvoiov, Ps.cxexviii. (cxxxix.) I4
 Kúpıos ó $\theta$ ө́s̀s ó таитокра́тшр, Deut. xxxii. 4 Өєòs, à $\lambda_{\eta} \theta_{\iota \nu}$ à тà $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ aùrov, каі $\pi$ â $\sigma a \iota ~ a i ̂ ~ o ̀ ~ o ̂ o i ̀ ~ a u ̀ r o u ̂ ~ к \rho i \sigma \epsilon t s, ~ J e r . ~ x . ~$

 є́бтi...Baनı入є̀̀s aí'plos, Tob. xiii. Io







 thought as well as the phraseology of the Song is strangely Hebraic, and at first sight does not appear to be specially appropriate to the occasion; there is no reference to the martyrs' own conflicts, and none to the victory
of the Lamb; it is rather a hymm of praise than a paean, nor does it obviously answer to its description either as the $\begin{gathered}\delta \dot{\eta} \\ \text { Mavat́cs or as the }\end{gathered}$ థ̀ठ̀̀ rov̂ ápviov. There is perhaps a reason for this. In the Presence of God the martyrs forget themselves; their thoughts are absorbed by the new wonders that surround them; the glory of God, and the mighty scheme of things in which their own sufferings and victory form an infinitesimal part, are opening before them; they begin to see the great issue of the world-drama, and we hear the doxology with which they greet their first unclouded vision of God and His works. Their song, though it has little to do with martyrdom or victory, at any rate suits the contest, preparing the reader for the judgements which are about to follow; leading him to view them, as they are viewed by the victors, sub specie aeternitatis.
 note; for $\pi a \nu т о к \rho a ́ \tau \omega \rho, ~ i . ~ 8, ~ n o t e . ~$ 'A入ך $\theta_{c \nu a i, ~ c f . ~ i i i . ~ 7, ~ 14, ~ n o t e s ; ~ t h e ~}^{\text {a }}$ combination sixalos каi ả $\lambda_{\eta} \theta_{t}$ ós (or $^{\prime}$ $\dot{a} \lambda$. к. $\delta \iota \kappa$.) occurs again in xvi. 7,



 

xix. 2. With $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu_{o s} \delta ̈ \sigma l o s ~ c f . ~ R o m . ~ x v i . ~$
 $\overrightarrow{2} \theta a v a \sigma i a \nu$, and the clanse in the $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu 0$ s

 is used of God in the N.T. only here and in xvi. 5 (in Heb. vii. 26 it refers to the Incarnate Son) ; it represents God as fulfilling His relation to His creatures, even as He requires them to fulfil theirs towards Himself. Tà סıкatẃuatá $\sigma o v$, 'Thy righteous acts'; a $\delta \iota k a i \omega \mu a$ is a concrete expression of righteousness, whether in the form of a just decree (e.g. Deut. iv. I äкоиє $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$


 a just act, as here and in xix. 8 rà Sıкає Headlam on Rom. i. 17, v. 18, and Westcott on Heb. ix. I.

It is not easy to choose, on internal grounds, between the readings $\tau \omega \nu$ ${ }^{2} \theta \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ aicivov. For the latter, besides the references given above,




 $\gamma \eta \tau \grave{\nu}$ єis mávtas toùs aī̀vas. On the other hand $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is suggested by the passage in Jeremiah to which the next words refer, and on the whole agrees best with the drift of the canticle. The true Sovereign of the nations is not the Augustus, but their Creator, the Living God, and He will in the end receive their homage ( 0.4 ; cf. xxi. 24 f.).

The Martyrs' Song falls readily into parallelisms after the manner of O.T. poetry-a circumstance which, taken with the general tone and the wording, suggests a Jewish source.
5. кaì $\mu \in \tau$ à $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ cîdoy] A formula which usually introduces a new and important vision; cf. iv. I, note. The Seven plague-laden Angels form the most striking group since the Sevel Angels of the Temple (viii. r).


 vii. 15, xiv. 15, 17, xvi. 1, 17 . In these references to the paós, the writer, as it now appears, alludes not to Solomon's Temple or its successors, but to the Tabernacle in the Wilderness, the 'Tent of Witness' (Num. ix. 15, xvii. 7 (22) f., xviii. 2, or 'Teut of Meeting' (Ex. xxvii. 21 et passim, אֹחֶל מוֹעֵך), both of which designations the cxx. usually renders by $\hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta ̀$ тov̂ $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i o v$, and the Vg ., following the Lxx., by tabernaculum testimonii; $\delta$ paòs $\tau \hat{\jmath} s \quad \sigma \kappa . \quad$ т. $\mu$ is suggested, as Westcott points out (Hebrews, p. 234), by the phrase (Ex. xl. 2, 6, 29) which the Lxx. does not distinguish from the shorter form. That the writers of Hebrews and the Apocalypse have chosen the Tabernacle rather than the Temple as the counterpart of the heavenly PresenceChamber is due to the feeling that the Tabernacle was the archetype of the later Temple, and was itself constructed on a Divinely imparted
 катà тòv тúto $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ö $\rho \in \varepsilon$, quoted in Heb. viii. 5 with the comment that the priests under the Law consequently imodeiymatı kai

 The Sanctuary is not opened here as in xi. l.c. for the purpose of revealing the Ark of the Covenant, but to allow
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the Seven Angels to lissue in pro－ cession from the Presence－Chamber． The angels of xiv． 15,17 f．，also came forth from the Sanctuary，but singly and with less solemnity；the curtain． was not drawn back to let them pass．
 All the Seven are clad alike in the pure bright raiment of celestial beings．Unfortunately the reading is far from certain．WH．accept $\lambda i \theta$ on，urging that＂the bold image expressed by this well attested read－ ing is justified by Ez．xxviii．I3
 ＊ivófóvoa is a various reading，＂and that＂on the other hand $\lambda i y_{\nu} \nu$ ，as dis－ tinguished from $\lambda_{\text {urou }} \nu, \ldots$ never de－ notes a fabric or garment made of flax except according to Etym．Magn．and possibly in Aesch．Suppl． 12 I．＂Others have seen in $\lambda i \theta$ ov a reference to the High Priest＇s breast－plate，and some support for such a phrase as évdí $\epsilon \sigma \theta a$ $\lambda i \theta_{0}$ may be found in the imagery of cc．iv．3，xvii． 4 ，xxi．11， 18 ff．， 2 I．But when all has been said，the metaphor is intolerable even in the Apocalypse， and we turn to look again at the evidence for dinon．The argument which WH．adduce that the Apoca－ Iypse elsewhere uses $\beta$ v́rotvov for a garment of linen（xviii． 12,16 ，xix． 8 bis，14），cuts both ways，for the fact would tempt a corrector to change $\lambda i p o y$, and if he remembered Ez．l．c．， what more obvious remedy than to write $\theta$ for N ？Nor is the extreme rarity of $\lambda_{i \nu} \nu \nu=\lambda_{\iota} \nsim \hat{v} \nu$ conclusive，for
our writer is apt to use rare forms and even forms for which no other authority can be claimed．Of $\lambda_{i p o \nu,}$ however，in this sense there are traces in Homer（Il．ix．66x，Od．xiii．73， 118；cif．Eustathius：入ivov．．．żфaбرá rt $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda(\nu(2)$ as well as in Aeschylus （Suppl．120，132）；and the revival of the old poetic use in a book such as the Apocalypse need cause no sur－ prise．siva＝diktva occurs in some cursives of Mc．i．18，and in Petr． $E v$ ．，ad fin．On the whole therefore it has seemed best to place $\lambda i \nu_{0} \nu$ in the text provisionally，until further light． comes．

The Seven Angels，then，are clad in clear glistening white（cf．xix． 8

 ßúqбьขov 入єuкò̀ каӨapóv），a garb characteristic of celestial beings（ Mt ． xxviii．3，Mc．xvi．5，Le．ix．2）． Their snow－white linen tunics are girded high（ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau a ̀ \quad \sigma \pi \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}=\pi \rho \grave{s}$ roîs marrois i．13）with golden belts，the symbols of royalty or of priestly functious（l．c．，note）；they are $\lambda_{\text {t }}$－ точрүıкс̀ туєソ́pata（Heb．i．14），and they are vested for their liturgy．
 єौ $\delta \omega \kappa \in \nu \quad \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The Seven are now entrusted with power to execute their ministry．This is done by a symbolical traditio instrumentorum，which is fitly committed to one of the four representatives of Nature（see iv． 6 ff．， v．I4，vi．I f．，notes）．Control is thus given to them over the forces of
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Nature, so far as may be necessary for the purpose of giving effect to the Divine will ; cf. xiv. 18 of ${ }^{\prime} \not \subset \omega \nu$ égovaiay éni tov̂ mupós, and Ps. Ixxvii.

 instruments given to the Angels of
 cf. v. 8, note, and for xovaraî compare 4 Regn. xxv. 15, I Chr. xxviii. 17, 2 Chr. iv. 8, I Esdr. ii. 13. But whereas the bowls carried by the Elders in $c$. v . were full of the incense of the Saints' prayers, these are full of the wrath of God. Cf. Primasius : "eaedem quippe phialae et suavitates supplicationum et iram suppliciorum continere dicuntur, cum a sanctis pro regniDei adventu funduntur"; headds a reference to 2 Cor. ii. 15 f. $\chi$ рибтov̉


 $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ eis $\zeta \omega \eta{ }^{2} \nu$. In xiv. 8, 10 the Wrath of God is a deadly wine which is given men to drink, a cup ( $\pi o \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho o \nu$ ) which sinners must drain; here the metaphor is changed, the cup becomes an open incense bowl, pouring out its burning contents upon the earth ; cf. viii. 5, where a similar metaphor is used. Schoettgen notes that the

 Tov̀ کఱ̄ขtos ктג. adds to the terror of the thought; cf. Heb. x. 31 фоßєро̀̀

the gods of heathendom are dead or never were alive, and their wrath has no terrors for Christians; the Living God is to be feared indeed. For $\delta$ ऽ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ cis rov̀s aî̀vas t $\omega \bar{\nu}$ aiciv $\omega \nu$ as a title of the Eternal Father see iv. 9, note, $10, \mathrm{x} .6$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{0} \xi_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \quad \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The terrors of the imminent judgement are still further emphasized by the smoke which is seen to fill the Sanctuary ; cf. Andreas :


 symbol of the Divine Presence when the aweful majesty of God is to be insisted upon ; cf. Exod. xix. 18 tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$


 кацivov: Ps. xvii. (xviii.) 9 àvé $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\eta}$

 $\theta v \mu o \hat{v} \mu o v$. On this occasion the smoke proceeds from (éc) the Divine glory and power, i.e. from the personal character and attributes of God and His boundless resources, two grounds of undying fear to His enemies.
 vaóv кт入.] Both the Tabernacle and the Temple supply an illustration here; for the first see Ex. xl. 29 (35)
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Kvрiou $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \quad \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta^{\prime}$, and for the
 oi if leis or
 Kupiov rò̀ oikov. The Divine judgemints are impenetrable until they are past; when the last plague has fulfilled its course, the smoke will vanish, and the Vision of God be seen. Bede: "si fumum abdita iudiciorum Di interpretaris arcana, mortalibushaecimpenetrabilia manent et clause donee, finitis praesentis saeculi plages, advenit Dominus."
XVI. i-2I. The pouring out of the Seven Bowls.
 $\nu a o \hat{~} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] A great voice from heaven is usually that of an angel, cf. v. 2 eikon


 ioluvoss] $\phi . \mu$., and similarly xiv. 7,9 , 15, 18. But as this Voice comes from the vaós, which at the time, as we have been told, no creature could enter, the Speaker here must be presumed to be God Himself; cf. Mt. iii. I7, xvii. 5, Jo. xii. 28 , 2 Pet. i. If f . The Voice is repeated after the seventh Bowl, v. 17.
 (cf. Mc. vi. 38, xiv. 13, xvi. 7, Jac. ii. 16), pour out (for the form éкхєєєтє see W. Schm. p. II 5; Bias would correct ékх́́ate, Gr. p. 4I) the Seven Bowls of the Wrath of God into (cis, as in xiv. 19) the Earth.' Permission to
proceed having been given (cf. xiv. 15 , 18), the Seven advance one by one,



The Seven Plagues that follow have obvious affinities to ( I ) the Ten Plagues of Egypt, (2) the visitations which accompany the seven Trumpetblasts of cc. viii. -xi., and especially to the latter; the first, sixth, and ninth of the Egyptian plagues, and the second, third, fifth, sixth, and seventh of the Trumpet plagues are more or less distinctly in view here. Yet the Last Plagues have features peculiar to themselves; the fourth is entirely new, the rest are more or less freshly conceived. On the other hand the differences are deeper and more saggestive. While no personal suffering is inflicted on Man by the first five of the Egyptian plagues or by the first four of the Trumpet-visitations, he is attacked at the very outset of the present cycle. Again, while the first four Trumpet-plagues affect only a third of the earth, the sea, the fresh water supply, and the lights of heaven, no such limitation appears in the account of the Seven Plagues now about to be described. They are not tentative chastisements, but punitive and final.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'A $A r \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon} \nu . . . \kappa a i$ is doubtless to be repeated by the reader's thought in $ฑ 0.3,4,8$, 10, 12, 17. The Seven are not conceived as stepping for-









 Prim
ward, one by one, to discharge their tasks, and then returning to their places in the procession, but rather as going off, each in his order, until all have vanished. 'E $\xi \in \chi \epsilon \epsilon$; the metaphor is not inappropriate, cf.


The result of the first outpouring is to produce a plague on man similar to the sixth Egyptian plague;

 Deut. xxviii. 27, 35 тãágà $\sigma \epsilon$ Kúpıos
 $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda_{\kappa \epsilon \epsilon} \pi \sigma \nu \eta \rho \bar{Q}$ ( רָ


 ערㄱ). The Egyptian ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \kappa \eta$, it is noted, attacked even the magicians, the antagonists of Moses (ovik piovivave
 $\delta\left(a ̀\right.$ rà $\left.{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \kappa \eta\right)$; is the Seer mindful of this when he represents the first of the Last Plagues as breaking out in sores on the Caesar-worshippers, who were controlled by the magicians of the temples of Rome and the Augusti (cf. xiii. 13 ff., notes)? Kaxò̀ каi roथұрóy, 'bad and malignant';
 'painful' (Suidas), but the passages quoted above from the Lxx. lead us to regard it as the equivalent of $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$,
actively mischievous, 'malignant' in the technical sense. Kà éү́́veтo... $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i=$ עַ...
 $a^{\prime} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Second Bowl corresponds generally with the Second Trumpet (viii. 8 f .), and both are suggested by the first Egyptian plague (Ex. vii. 14 ff.). In Egypt the Nile alone is smitten; in Patmos the Seer naturally thinks first of the sea. The Aegean, receiving the contents of the second angel's bowl, turns (as he had often seen it turn at sunset) to a blood
 -he adds $\omega$ 's $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \circ \hat{v}$, which brings up the picture of a murdered man weltering in his blood; cf. Arethas: yeкpồ
 Nile died (Ex. vii. 2I); a third of the living things in the sea perished under the Second Trumpet (c. viii. 9); the destruction wrought by the third Bowl is complete- $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ ऽ $\omega \tilde{\eta} s$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \theta a \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \eta$, where $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau . \theta$. is in apposition with r. $\psi$., as т̀̀ ${ }^{\text {é }}$ Хоитa
 and defines it. No burning mountain (viii. 8) is needed here, and no falling star (viii. Io) in the next plague; the deadly work is done by the direct action of the wrath poured out by the Angels of the Bowls (xvi. 1).














4. каì ó трítos...єis toùs тотанойs кт入.] As under the Third Trumpet, the smiting of the fresh-water supply follows that of the sea But the result is different; in viii. II the third part of the waters is turned into wormwood; here the whole supply is turned, as in the case of the sea ( $r .3$ ), into blood. On ai $\pi \eta \gamma a i$ т $\uparrow \nu \nu \dot{v} \delta a ́ t \omega \nu$ cf. viii. Io, note. 'Eүє́vєто aíqू, sc. т̀̀
 smiting of the springs prevented any such measures as the Egyptians took for evading the effects of the plague (Ex. vii. 24).

Why the waters are turned to blood is now explained by two voices which the Seer overhears ( $v .5 \mathrm{ff}$ ).





 See also Enoch lxvi 2 (ed. Charles, p. 172): "these angels were over the powers of the waters." The Rabbinic writers speak of an angel set over the earth (מלאך הממונה על הארץ), and of awother who is prince of the sea ( $\%$ a $\square^{\prime \prime}$ ); every element, every form of created life, has its angel-counterpart (Yalkut Ruben, f. 7. I "dicunt sapientes nostri: 'Non est herba quae non habeat angelum suum in supernis'."

Similar ideas prevailed among the Persians and find a place in Zoroastrianism: see reff. in note on i. 20. Of.




 $\kappa о \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \tau о \chi \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu$. The spirit of the waters is so far from resenting the plague that he bears witness to the justice which inflicts it. His words form a sort of antiphon to the canticle in $x v .3$ f.; they illustrate the divine \&ıcatoбúv and órıórys proclaimed in the Song. 'O örcos is doubtless to be read, notwithstanding the omission of the article by our best mss. ; o would have easily dropt out before octoc, and on the other hand ofocos (anarthrous) cannot be taken as a predicate
 eras sanctus), a procedure which the usage of the Apocalypse forbids, and to treat it as in apposition with Sícacos ereates all intolerable harshness. Standing where it does, ó ö $\sigma$ cos is equivalent to a vocative (cf. R.V., "Thou Holy One," and Blass, Gr. p. 26 f.). On $\dot{\delta} \dot{\hat{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к. $\dot{\sigma}{ }_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \nu$ see i. 4, note; on $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma$ os as applied to God, xy. 4, note.
 ' $\xi^{\prime} \dot{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi} \in a \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The construction is not free from ambiguity; the two clauses beginning with öte mav be parallel,
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 the second ${ }^{\circ} \tau$ the first (cf. R.V. text, Blass, Gr. p. 274) ; or again, the second of ofı may begin a new sentence: "because they poured out the blood of saints and prophets Thou hast given them blood also to drink" (R.V.mg.). On the whole the last-named rendering seems preferable ; it gives meaning to kai, which as a mere copula is somewhat nerveless in such a context. The Seer still has in riew the condition of Asia; as the first plague is directed against the Caesar-worshippers, so the second avenges the blood of those who suffered for refusing to offer sacrifice to the Augusti. Here, and perhaps also in xviii. 24, aï $\mu a \tau a$, though read in each place by only one uncial ms., is probably original, representing the Hebrew 2 Regn. xvi. 7, Ps. v. 7, etc. ‘A ${ }^{\prime}$ i $\omega$, каi $\pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \omega \nu$, loyal Christians and their leaders, the prophetic order; for the combination of. xi. 18, xviii. 24, and for $\pi \rho \circ \phi \bar{\eta} \tau a c$ (here the Christian prophets exclusively) see Mt. xxiii. 34, Acts xi. 27, xiii. I etc., I Cor. xii. 28 f., Eph. ii. 20, iii. 5, iv. I i. On $\pi \in$ eip (also $\pi \tilde{\nu})=\pi \in \epsilon i \nu$ cf. WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 177, Blass, Gr. pp. 23, 36, W. Schm., p. 53 f.
"A ${ }^{\text {toi }}$ ciciv forms a terrible antithesis to the a. ciorv of iii. 4 , and as Alford remarks, the asyndeton adds strength to the words. For $\vec{a} \xi$ tos in a
bad sense cf. Lc. xii. $48 \underset{\alpha}{\boldsymbol{a} \xi} \boldsymbol{\mu} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$, Rom. i. 32 ä́col Gavárov, Heb. x. 29 à $\iota \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau a \mathfrak{\tau} \tau \mu \omega \rho i a s$.
 youros кт $\lambda$.] A response comes to the Angel of the Waters from the Altar in Hearen, whether the Angel of the Altar is meant (cf. xiv. 18) or the Altar itself is personified ; cf. ix. I3
 बvaraot there. The Altar or its Angel represents the sacrifices and prayers of the Church (xiv. l.c., note), and thus the vimako (Petr. Ev. 9) is ultimately that of the Saints and Prophets.

Naí, Kúpı $\dot{\delta}$ 的ás кт $\lambda$. is taken almost verbally from the 'Song of Moses and of the Lamb,' and indeed is an epitome of it. The phrase ${ }^{2} \lambda_{\eta} \theta_{i v a i}$ кai díкaus ai крígets rov, which is repeated in the émtvícood on the Fall of Babylon (xix. 2), seems to come from Ps. xviii. (xix.) 10.
 rò $\left.{ }_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \lambda t o v\right]$ The Fourth Bowl, like the Fourth Trumpet, takes effect upon the sum. But the effect is different and nearly opposite; instead of a plaguc of darkness (viii. 12) there follows a plague of excessive heat. The sun
 viii. 3, ix. 5, xiii. 7, 15) to scorch mankind with fire ( $\epsilon v \pi v p i$, cf. xiv. Io), i.e. the temperature rises to fire-heat. For каvuari\}cty, used of the sun's rays, see Mc. iv. 6, note, and for каи̂цa Dan.













iii. 66 єv̉̀дочєitє $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ каì кâ̂ua тò ки́pıov; on кav $\mu a r i \zeta_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ каиิ $\mu a$ see Blass,
 $\theta \rho \omega \pi o \mathrm{l}$ : contrast vii. 16 ov̀ठ̀̀̀ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma_{\eta}$


The moral effect of the visitation was doubly disastrous; men blasphemed God as the cause of their sufferings, and they withheld from Him the tribute of penitence which He demanded. The dлоторia of God no less than His x $\quad \eta \sigma$ rórgs (Rom. ii. 4 xi. 22) calls to repentance; but like Pharaoh the sufferers were hardened by His judgements. Andreas has a pathetic illustration to offer from his own experience: $\omega$ s kal $\nu \hat{v} \nu$


 àүаӨór $\eta \tau а$, öть тàs тобаи́таs какш́беєs $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \in \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \hat{a} \hat{a} \tau \in \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$. For the
 ( $=$ ròv $\theta_{\text {eóv, }}$ ver. II, 2I) see Isa. lii. 5, Jac. ii. 7, Rom. ii. 24, I Tim. vi. 1. Oú $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \eta \sigma a \nu$ is repeated at intervals like a refrain, ef. ix. 20 f., xvi. II ; on סov̂pat $\delta$ ógav see xi. 13, note.
 Apóvol rồ aqpiov ктג.] The Fifth Plague touches the seat of the Worldpower, and involves it in Egyptian darkness. With apóvos toũ appíou


 mentators quote Tac. hist. iv. 2 "nomen sedemque Caesaris Domitianus acceperat." If a particular place is in view, it is doubtless Rome, but the point is that whilst earlier plagues have seized on the subjects of the Empire, the very seat of government is now assailed; the Empire itself, in its heart and centre ( $\dot{\eta}$ Bacideia aviro $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$ ), is covered with a pall of darkness which forebodes death; for é $\sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ see ix. 2, note. Meanwhile the effects of the earlier plagues continue. The pain ( $\pi$ óvos = ófúvy as in Gen. xxxiv. 25, I Regn. xv. 23, Bar. ii. 25, cf. c. xxi. 4) caused by the scorching heat of the Fourth Plague, and the malignant sores of the first, was such that men chewed their tongues in agony. Marẫoat, a word used in Aristophanes and by later Greek writers, occurs in the Greek-Bible only here and in Job
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda o v$; in Sir. xix. $9 \mu a \sigma{ }^{\prime} \sigma \in$, , the reading of cod. A, is probably a scribe's

 an indication of intolerable pain in Mt. viii. 12 etc.

As in the case of the Fourth Plague
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the judgement produced no moral change, but drove men to worse sin; they blasphemed, they did not repent. Tò̀ $\theta \in \grave{e} \nu$ тoû ov่pavoû, as in Dan. ii. 44 (N: phrase recalls the pride of the rulers of old Babylon and their vain resistance to the God of Israel. For the

 кт $\lambda$., and on $\lambda_{\text {ков }}$ see v. 2 , note. On
 ix. 20 f., notes; without the addition of $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \bar{\nu} \nu$ the phrase is indefinite, and may include both the idolatries and the immoralities of heathendom.
 тотацòv... Ev̉фрár $\eta \nu$ ] It is significant that the Euphrates is named in connexion with both the Sixth Trumpet and the Sixth Bowl, see ix. 14, note. The Sixth Trumpet loosed the angels who were detained at the river, and who when released set in motion an enormous host (ib. 16). The Sixth Bowl drains the bed of the river, and thus opens the way for the advance of the 'Kings from the East,' the avant-coureurs of the forces flocking to the last war (infra, v. 14). In both cases a barrier which checks for a time the progress of events is at length removed, while in the present instance the mention of the East
points to events expected to arise on the eastern frontier of the Empire.
 than one O.T. miracle and more than one prophecy may be in view. The drying of the Red Sea (Ex. xiv. 21
 the Jordan (Jos. iii. 17 סtéfauvov סıà $\xi \eta \rho a \bar{s})$ had suggested such prophecies



 $\kappa \tau \lambda$., Jer. xxviii. (li.) 36 є́ $\eta \eta \mu \omega \sigma \omega$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$



 in the Apocalyptist's thoughts. It is possible that his mind rums also on the story told by Herodotus (i. 191) of the capture of Babylon by Cyrus, who marched into the city across the drained bed of the Euphrates; a new Babylon is to be surprised, and the drying up of the river marks the removal of the last obstacle to its fall.

 enti regi ab oriente sole"; cf. Commodian, arm. apol. 9. 5 f.: "siccatur fluvius Euphrates denique tolus, |u tia paretur regi cum gentibus illis." The expected invasion of the Empire by





the Parthian satraps (or according to the reading of Primasius, the Parthian king) was at least present to the writer's thoughts. Until Parthia was reduced by Trajan and his successors, the Arsacidae not only offered a stubborn resistance to the Roman advance but from time to time caused. serious alarm, which was increased by the popular legend of Nero's impending return at the head of a Parthian host; cf. Orac. Sibyll. iv. 137 sqq.





 крал $\eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$. The legend supplies at least in part the imagery under which the Seer imagines the gathering of the powers from East and West for the coming struggle.

 Le. i. 76, iii. 4), and for àmò àparo $\lambda \bar{\eta} s$ jidiov cf. vii. 2 , note.
 $\delta \rho a ́ k o v т o s ~ к r \lambda$.] The Dragon is doubt- .
 identified with Satan (ib.9), the Great Adversary who is behind the whole movement about to be described. Similarly the Wild Beast is the Beast
 in xiii. 12, but thenceforward simply тò $\theta$. (xiii. 14 ff., xiv. 9,11, xv. 2, xvi. 2, 10), i.e. the brute force of the Worldpower represented by the Roman Impire. Of the False Prophet we have not heard before under that name; but his association here and in xix. 20, xx. 10, with the first Wild Beast points to the second Beast of
xiiii. II, and the identification is completed by the description in xix. 20
 compared with xiii. 14 $\pi$ davậ roùs

 The $\psi \in v \delta o \pi \rho o \phi \dot{j} \pi s$, then, is the false spiritual power which made common cause with the temporal power in doing Satan's work ; cf. xiii. i Iff, notes.

Professor Ramsay (Letters to the Sevon Churches, pp. 97, roi ff.) holds that the Second Beast and the False Prophet are to be distinguished, and that the former is "the Province of Asia in its double aspect of civil and religious administration," and the latter "some definite person who exercised most influence in some part of Asia and was the leading spirit in performing the miracles and signs...as real as the prophetess of Thyatira." He suggests the name of Apollonius of Tyana. But (i) the book itself identifies the False Prophet with the Second Beast; (2) an individual could scarcely be placed in the same category with the Dragon and the Beast. On the other hand it is not impossible that such a person as Apollonius was in the mind of the Seer when he described the pagan priesthood and
 was through such men that their power over the people of Asia was secured. See Introduetion, p. xci. f.
 נָבָיא in Zech. xiii. 2, and frequently in Jeremiah, is used in the N.T. of pretenders to inspiration, or persons Satanically inspired, whether before or after Christ (Mt. vii. 15, Mc. xiii 22, note, Le. vi. 26, 2 Pet. ii. I, 1 Jo. iv. I ; cf. Didache xi. 9). The nearest



parallel to the Apocalyptic use of the term is found in Acts xiii． 6 äv $\delta \rho a \operatorname{\tau v\nu à}$

 $\chi$ piotos（I Jo．ii．22，iv．3， 2 Jo．7）， covers a whole class－magic－vendors， religious impostors，fanatics，whether dcceivers or deceived，regarded as persons who falsely interpret the Mind of God．True religion has no worse enemics，and Satan no better allies．
 Three unclean spirits came forth out of the mouths of the three evil powers， one from each．The mouth as the organ of speech，the clief source of human influence，is frequently in the Apoc．the instrument of good or evil； cf．i． 16 （xix．15，21），ix． 17 f．，xi．5， xii．15．The metaphor is specially appropriate here in view of the double sense of $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$（cf． 2 Th．ii． $8 \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \nu \in \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota$ rov arópatos av̀roû）；the three hostile powers breathed forth evil influences．On $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ áка́धaртоу see Mc．i． 23 ff．note，iii． 11 ，v． 2 ff．， Acts v .16 ，viii．7．Christ expelled unclean spirits，but His enemies send them forth，the False Prophet not less than the Dragon or the Beast；
 каі̀ тò $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ тò à $\kappa a ́ \theta a \rho \tau о \nu . ~ ' O s \beta a ́-~$ трахоь：to the Seer the spirits took the form of frogs－a refercuee perhaps to the Egyptian plague（Ex．viii． 5 （1）ff．，Ps．lxxvii．（lxxviii．） 45 ，civ．（ev．） 30，Sap．xix．IO），with a side glance at the law of clean and unclean animals（Lev．xi．ioff．）．Cf．Andreas：

 plains the frogs of Egypt as＇idle fancies＇：（de sacr．Abclis et Caini 69


 John they are worse，the symbols of impure impulses．Artemidorus comes

 бпиаiyovar The ceaseless，aimless， Врєкєкєкє $\xi$ код $\xi$ коа $\xi$ of the frog often referred to by ancient commen－ tators（cf．Aug．in Ps．Ixxvii．$\$ 27$ ＂rana est loquacissima vanitas＂） seems to be beside the mark in
 ws Bátpaxou see Benson，Apocalypse， p． 145 f．
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］A parenthesis which justifies ảkáقapta，ás $\beta$ árpaxot：＇unclean，for they are daemon－spirits＇；cf．I Tim．
 סьठaбка入iats $\delta а ц \mu о \nu i \omega \nu$ ．The sequence
 to be taken with $\pi \nu \in \dot{u} \mu a \tau a$ т $\rho i a$ ，＇I saw three spirits issuing forth．．．working signs．${ }^{\text {．}}$ 设位oy is characteristically though by no means exclusively Johan－ nine，while tépas is used in this group of writings but once and $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu$ os $=$ ＇miracle＇not at all．The false prophet of the O．T．offered $\sigma \eta \mu \in i a$ in proof of his mission（Deut．xiii．I（2）），and the Church was warned to expect such tokens from latter－day impostors（Mc． xiii．22， 2 Th．ii．9）．From the magicians who withstood Moses be－ fore Pharaoh down to such products of the first century as Simon Magus and Apollonius，pretenders to spirit－ ual powers had claimed to work signs，which the belief of the age attributed to superhuman influence， though the wonders themselves were due to such causes as sleight of hand and ventriloquism：cf．xiii．i3f．， notes．
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 While the Kings from the East, represented by the Parthian enemies of Rome, are ready to move westwards as soon as the obstacle to their progress is removed, the other rulers of the world are roused to action by impulses from without-the unclean spirits of the Beast and the False Prophet, the lust of power, and the bitterness of a false religion contending with the true. And behind these forces which make for war, the Apocalyptist discovers another which comes directly from the Dragon, who breathes forth the very spirit of antagonism to God and His Christ. There have been times when nations have been seized by a passion for war which the historian can but imperfectly explain. It is such an epoch that the Seer foresees, but one which, unlike any that has come before it, will involve the whole world in war. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ оікоบ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ ӧ $\lambda \lambda \eta$ (cf. iii. 10 , xii. 9) is perhaps wider than the simple $\dot{\eta}$ olкov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ (Le. ii. I, Acts xvii. 6, xix. 27, xxiv. 5)-not the Empire only, but the world, so far as the conception could be grasped at the end of the first century.

 mentators interpret this of an internecine struggle between the Kings; cf. Arethas: $\pi \rho \dot{o} s$ тò̀ катá $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \nu \sigma v \gamma$
 he justifies by quoting Mc. xiii. 8

 hand $\sigma v \nu a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ points to Ps. ii. 2

 катà тои̂ кupiov кà̀ кaтà тoû रpıatoû
 to the same conclusion; the war is directed against Heaven, and it will culminate in the final triumph of God. But if so, is this the battle which is described in xvii. 14 and in xix. Ig? Probably it is, for the Sixth Bowl does not open the campaign, but merely marshals the forces and places them on the battlefield. The Seer sees the whole process foreshortened, and he expresses it in the terms of his own age; the expected Parthian invasion takes shape in his mind as the first scene in the drama; a general arming of the nations follows, and the end, which is not yet, will be the breaking of the Day of God.

On тís $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s$ see vi. 17, note ; द̇кєìns, if genuine, points back to the O.T. prophecies, e.g. Joel ii. 1 I



 synonym for the Parousia (2 Th. i, 10 , 2 Tim. i. 12, 18 , iv. 8), which is also
 Xeıaroî] (t Cor. i. 8, 2 Cor. i. 14, Phil i. 6, ii. 16, 1 Th. v. 2, 2 Th. ii. 2 ); $\dot{\eta}$ тov̂ $\theta \in o v ̂ \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$ occurs in 2 Pet. iii. 12. Tồ таитокра́торог (i. 8, note) asserts the sovereignty of God, which 'that day' will manifest; or if the writer's mind reverted to the original, he may have thought of the hosts '(צְבָּ) which would be ranged on the side of righteousness and truth (cf. xix. 14).
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15．iठ̊ò̀ ëp A Voice breaks the thread of the Seer＇s report：whose voice it is there is no need to explain ；cf．iii．3，note． Its special appositeness in this context arises from the fact that the Seer has seen the gathering of the forces for the war of the Great Day begin．
 дакарєбноi in the Apocalypse；see i． 3 ， xiv． 13 ，xix． 9, xx． 6 ，xxii． 7 ， 14 On रן $\quad$ roofiip see iii 2 ，note，and on тисеiv，i 3 ，note；the whole saying is based on iii．3， 18 ，where see notes． Tグ̀ $\dot{a} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \sigma \sigma u \nu \eta \nu$ is euphemistically written for тìv aioxưvqע（iii，18）；the former word is repeatedly used in Lev．xviii．，xx．for $\begin{aligned} & \text { IT，} \\ & \text { Un，} \\ & \text { ，which is ren－}\end{aligned}$ dered by aicx ${ }^{\dot{v} \eta \eta}$ in Ez xvi．36，38， xxii．10，xxiii． 10 （B）， 18 （B）， 29 ．With
 ＇ 2 Cor．＇ 8 т тр


 Mayeicup］The Seer resumes his narrative．They（the daemon－spirits） fulfilled their mission ；they（not＇he，＇ as A．V．）gathered the kings together to the great war，as they were sent to do． The Palestinian writer recognizes the battlcficld－one familiar to a Galilean and a student of Hebrew history．＂Ap Maye $\delta \omega^{\prime \prime}$ is doubtless form Mayє $\delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ occurs in Jud．i． 27 （A） and 2 Chron．xxxv．22，and May ${ }^{2} \delta^{\prime}$ in Jud l．c．（B）；cf．Cheyne in Enc．Bibl． col．3oro．Megiddo，Leijun，＂which lay on the route of caravans and military expeditions from the Philistine littoral
and from Egypt＂（ib． 301 I ；cf．G．A． Smith，Hist．Geography，p．391），was the scene of a series of disasters； there Barak and Deborah overthrew the hosts of the Canaanite king Jabin

 there Ahaziah died of Jehu＇s arrows （2 Kings ix．27）and Pharaoh Necho overthrew Josiah（2 Kings xxiii． 29 f， 2 Chr．xxxv． 22 ；cf．Herod．ii．159）． The last of these events burnt itself into the memory of the Jewish people， and the mourning for Josiah in the valley of Megiddo was long afterwards quoted as a typical instance of national grief（Zech xii．iI）．Thus Megiddo fitly symbolizes the world－wide dis－ tress of the nations at the overthrow of their kings in the final war．
 of Megiddo，＂i．e．probably the Kishon； mentioned as the scene of Sisera＇s defeat，flows through the plain of Esdraelon；Josiah met his death in
 2 Chr．，Zech．，ll．cc．；cf．G．A．Smith， op．cit．p．385）；no instance is quoted of elsewhere．But not to mention that Megiddo itself lay at the base of the hills which terminate in Carmel，the form Har Magedon may have been purposely used to bring the final conflict into connexion

 which is evidently before the writer＇s mind in xx .8 ff ．On the proposal to write＊A $\rho$ M．$=$＂ Megiddo，see WH．，Notes，p．313，and

















to the parallels which they produce in
 Hexapla, ii p. 167). Syr. ${ }^{\text {ww. has simply }}$ and. The fancy of Gumbel that the reference is not to Megiddo but to an old myth, though accepted by Bousset and by Cheyne (Enc. Bibl., lc.), does not merit serious consideradion. On 'Eßpaïari see ix. ir, note.
 dj epa kr.] The air which all men breathe (Sap. vii 3 rot кotyò a ar epa), the 'workshop' of the physical disturbances which affect human health and life, is smitten by the pouring out of the Seventh Bowl-a plague of wider significance than the smiting of the earth (v.2), or sea (v. 3), or fresh waters ( $v .4$ ), or even the sum (v. 8). The seventh angel's action is followed by a Great Voice which proceeds out of (exc) the Sanctuary, and from ( $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ ) the Throne (iv. 2, note), and proclaims that the end has been reached. 「érovè, 'it is done,' 'it has come to pass'; cf. xxi. 6 mai cinध́y $\mu$ on「'́yovad, sc. oúrou of $\lambda$ óyou; here the sing. refers to the whole series of plagues now completed, or to the de-
cree which set it in motion ; cf. Le. xiv.
 is specially appropriate in this connexion, since these plagues are "the last" (xp. I); there remain no further manifestations of this kind.
 usual accompaniments of a great visitation ; cf. viii. 5 , xi. 19, notes; for $\sigma \epsilon i \sigma \mu o ̀ s \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma a s$, see Le. xxi. in, Apoc. vi. 12, xi. 13 . Writing in a century remarkable for thenumberand severity of its earthquakes, and to men whose country was specially subject to them, St John is careful to distinguish this final shock from even the greatest hitherto known; it was oles our


 (see note there). The striking phrase is heightened by the pleonastic $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{j}$ ac. кoûtos (Jac. iii. 4, 2 Cor. i. so, Heb. ii. 3) $\sigma$. oṽrш $\mu$ '́ $\gamma$ as. Never had the earth been shaken by such throes as these;

 kali rì ע $\xi \eta \rho a \dot{\nu}$, with the comment in Heb. xii 27.








#### Abstract

   


 трia $\mu \epsilon ́ p \eta \mathrm{kr} \mathrm{\lambda}$.] In xi. 13 a tenth part of the city falls; here the whole is torn asunder, great fissures dividing it henceforth into three parts; cf. Zech xiv. 4 $\sigma \chi \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \tau \grave{o}$ ö öpos... xáos $\mu$ é $\gamma a \sigma \sigma$ ódó $a$. In the former case it was Jerusalem that suffered (xi. 8, note); now it seems to be Babylon, i.e. Rome (xiv. 8, note). But Rome is not alone in her distress ; the effects of the earthquake are felt throughout the Empire and beyond it; everywhere the cities of the heathen ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$, cf. xi. 2) are shaken to their fall; this is no local risitation (Mc. xiii. 8 катà то́тous), but world-wide.

 seemed hitherto to have been overlooked in the meting out of Divine rewards and punishments, but her hour has come at last; cf. Andreas:
 e $\lambda \theta$ oiv $\sigma a$; Bede: "impius in memoriam Deo veniet, qui nunc dicit in corde suo Oblitus est Deus." The mills of God, if they grind slowly, are never stopped except by human repentance; cf. Jer. xxyvii. (xxx.) 24 ov̉ $\mu \grave{\text { à à } \pi о-~}$
 M $\eta \eta \sigma \theta \eta \eta \nu a$, , $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, passive, occur in Ezekiel (iii. zo oú $\mu \bar{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$
 16 (A)), and the construction is imi-


middle and passive are used in con-


 оф $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \omega \bar{\omega})$. Dr Gwynn observes that both the Syriac versions have diandr $\leqslant$, "a rare use of this form in passive sense," corresponding to the rare $\epsilon \in \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta$ (passive). With $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \ldots$

 ठои̂vat av̉т $\widehat{̣}$ סógav.

It is interesting to find Arethas writing in the tenth century: Baßv-


 aũ̃ך; oủk ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ K $\omega \nu \sigma \tau a \nu \tau i v o v$. Each age has its Babylon which seems to call for Divine intervention.
 The Seer resumes from $v .18$ his account of the effects produced by the Seventh Bowl. The words recall vi.
 aย่งติข ย่кเขท่่ $\theta_{\eta \sigma a \nu}$, where see note. OủX
 xiii. 22, Ps. xxxvi. (xxxvii) 36, Jer. xlviii. (xli.) 8); compare cc. v. 4, xii. 8 , xiv. 5, xviii. 21 ff. For a parallel to the whole verse see $c$. xx. in eैф $\phi$ uyen
 aủroîs.
 катаßаiveı кт入.] In the seventh Egyptian plague there fell a hail $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \eta$

$$
14-2
$$



 $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \grave{\eta}$ aú $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{\eta} s \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$.
 $29 \eta \pi \lambda$. $\operatorname{av\tau } \eta 714283^{1} 3^{8} a^{10}$
 yúrre( $\varphi$ (Ex.ix.24). So in the great battle of the Bethhorons a hailstorm decided



 viò̀ 'lopaj̀ $\mu$ raxaipa). Thus a great hail became the symbol of Divine wrath against the foes of Israel ; cf. Isan xxviii 2 î̀où i $\sigma \chi$ vpòv каі̀ $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta p o ̀ \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$; Ez $\operatorname{xxxviii.~} 22$ к $\rho เ \nu \hat{\omega}$ aủtò̀...

 $\chi^{\alpha} \lambda a \zeta a t$. A $\chi^{\alpha} \lambda a \zeta{ }^{\prime} a \mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\eta}$ followed the Seventh Trumpet (xi. 19), but that which came with the outpouring of the Seventh Bowl was wis tanaptiaia, grando ingens talenti ponderis (Prim.), each stone about the weight of
 invariably represents weight ranging from 108 lbs. or less to r 30 (B.D.B., p. 505). A stone weight found at Jerusalem in 1891, supposed to be a talent, weighed about 646,000 grains (Pal. Expl. Furid Statement, 1892, p. 289 f., cited in Hastings, D.B. iv. p. 906). Josephus (antt. iii. 6,7 ) speaks of the golden candlestick as weighing $\mu \nu$ âs éxatóy, and adds:

 $\gamma^{\lambda} \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \pi \nu \quad \sigma \eta \mu a i v \in \iota ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a v \tau o \nu$, which gives 631, I50 grains (light standard). The talent was afterwards regarded as = 125 librae $=631,665$ grains (Enc. Bibl. col. 4444). Striking a mean between these estimates we get a talent of 636,27I grains. Ta入aytıaîos, though
${ }_{a}^{a} \pi . \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in the Greek Bible, has good support in the later Greek; cf. e.g.

 Josephus, B. J. v. 6. 3 тàaptıaîol $\mu \dot{\nu}$
 author quoted by Pollux (ix. 53) ventured to speak of vooтiцaтa тa入aiтuaia.

A hail such as this was clearly a visitation on man; the weight of $a$ single stone was sufficient to kill anyone on whom it fell. Even the Egyptian hailstorm killed the herdsmen in the open country; cf. Diod. Sic. xix. 45



 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \lambda_{v a} \theta a$. . But the moral effect was no better than under the fourth and fifth plagues ( $\boldsymbol{v} . \mathrm{g}$ f.); once more there comes the terrible refrain ${ }^{\prime} \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta^{-}$ $\mu \eta \sigma a \nu$ of ä ä $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ot тò̀ $\theta \epsilon o ́ v$. Even Pharaoh had shewn signsof repentance under the hail (Ex. ix. 27), though he relapsed into impenitence as soon as it had ceased; but the age of the last plague blasphemed while it suffered. Cf. Andreas: кaтà тò̀ Фораш ढ̈́боита,
 ékelyov moow̄s raîs $\theta \in \eta \lambda$ d́rots m $\pi \eta \gamma$ aîs

 रоиิ $\sigma \theta a \iota \quad \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$.
 For the position of $\sigma \phi o \delta \delta \rho$ cf. Gen. xiii. 13, Deut. xxx. 14 Jud. xii. 2, 1 Regn. xii 18 (B), Ps. cxviii. (cxix.) 138, Mt. xix. 25, xxpii. 54, Acts vi. 7, and see B.D.B. s.v.








 plad K
XVII. 1-6. The Vision of Babllon aeatrd on the Beabt.
 $\lambda \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Aé $\gamma \epsilon \mu \circ$ (writes Hippolytus,


 reader of the Apocalypse who has reached this chapter reciprocates the desire. Twice already he has been told that Babylon is doomed (xir. 8, xvi. 19), but the Seer has given no clue to the meaning of the name, and no description of the city or its downfall. These are to form the subject of a new revelation ( $\mathrm{x} v i \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{xviii}$ ) which St John now receives under the guidance of an Angel, one of the Seven who had been charged with
 eixoy ; cf. xv. 1, 6, xixi. 9). For
 $\delta \epsilon i \xi \omega \sigma o t$, iv. I ; the phrase as a whole is repeated in $x \times i$


 St John has heard the sentence pronounced, and is now to see it carried into effect. On $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ пóopuns see xiv. 8, note; cf. Primasius: "meretricem vocans, quia relicto Creatore daemonibus se prostituit"one reason, doubtless, for the use of the name, but not that which the Apocalyptist has chiefly in view, as
the next verse will shew. Tins кaO $\mu \hat{e} \imath \eta s$ émì vízár $\omega \nu$ mo $\lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ is borrowed from Jer. xxviii. (li.) i2 f. monj́ $\sigma=4$


 significance of the phrase as applied to the New Babylon appears below,
 $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o u ̂ v$, see xiv. 6, note.
2. $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ìs ímópvevgav oi ßagı入єis $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\eta} s \quad \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Again the imagery comes from the O.T.; see note on c. xiv. 8. The clause is repeated in c. xviii. 3; oi $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \epsilon i ́ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ or $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oikovuéns is an Apocalyptic phrase for human rulers in general, as contrasted with the Пavroкрáros (i. 5, vi. I5, xvi. 14, xxi. 24); or, as here and in xvii. 18, xviii. 3, 9 , xix. 19, for the rulers of territories which had been absorbed into the Empire or were allied to it, and promoted its ends. The mopveia of which these kings were guilty consisted in purchasing the favour of Rome by accepting her suzerainty and with it her vices and idolatries.
 $\sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ), answers to $\pi \epsilon \pi$ о́тикє ${ }^{\text {in }}$ xiv. 8 ; if Rome was the temptress, the nations and their rulers had shewn themselves ready to comply. Few such kings remained within the Empire; but St John is speaking of the past. He could remember e.g. the princes of the Herod family.



 Hipp Andr Ar
 $\left.\pi \nu \epsilon v^{\prime} \mu a \tau t\right]$ The angel－guide not only invites（ $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$ ），but carries the Seer away，transporting him to the scene of the vision．The verb is used of the ministry of angels at the moment of
 т̀̀̀ $\pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{\partial} \nu$ каì $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ aúrà $\nu$
 ＇Aßpaá $\mu$ ），or during an ecstasy（as here and in xxi．10）：for the latter cf．


 cis Baßv入̄ิva；Ev．sec．Hebr．（ap． Orig．in Ioann．t．ii．6）ä $\rho \tau \iota$ є $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$

 тò $\mu$ е́ $\gamma a$ Өaßcí $;$ and St Paul＇s $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \pi a ́ \gamma \eta$ cis $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ тарád $\epsilon \sigma \sigma o y$（2 Cor．xii．4）．The Desert into which the Seer is trans－ ported is not the retirement and solitude of the inner life（xii．6，14， notes），for he would not have found the vision of Babylon there，but the desolation of a life without God（Pri－ masius：＂desertum ponit divinitatis absentiam，cuius praesentia paradisus est＂）．Or possibly it anticipates the time when the busy suburbs and neighbourhood of the city will be left without inhabitant；cf．Isa xiv．
 cis $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu o y$ may have been suggested by the heading to Isa．xxi．Niek ，מִדְַּּריִם，which the uxx．render
 vision of the New Babylon the Seer is carried into a desert；for the vision of the New Jerusalem he ascends a mountain（xxi．10，note）．

The inovement took place $\vec{\epsilon} \nu \pi \nu \epsilon 讠^{-}$ $\mu a \tau$, i．e．in the sphere of the Seer＇s spirit，impelled by the Spirit of God：
cf．i．10，iv．2，notes．St John does not share St Paul＇s doubt Eíre év ớpatı oviк
 oúk oit a（2 Cor．xii．2）．Probably he has in view the frequent ecstasies of Ezekiel ；cf．e．g．Ez．iii． 14 f．$\tau o ̀ m \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$






 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ．
 ко́ккıдо⿱］The Great Harlot appears riding on a monster which，notwith－ standing the absence of the article （cf．ápvíc in xiii． 11 ），is doubtless to be identified with the Wild Beast from the Sea（xiii． 1,14 ；cf．xix．20）；i．e．the World－power regarded as an enemy of Christ and the Church，and ruling by brute force．On this the Harlot－ city reposes；it gives her a proud preeminence，and carries her to victory． The colour of the Beast is now seen to be scarlet，or perhaps crimson． Kóкксроя，dyed with the colouring matter derived from the ко́ккоя，a parasite of the ilex coccifera，repre－ sents in the Lxx．תֶּ or or （see the lexi－ cons s．vv．）．The colour was much used for textile materials ；cf．Num．






 $\theta \eta \kappa a \nu$ av่ $\boldsymbol{\hat { \omega }} \hat{\hat{c}}$ ；with it were blended the dark blue known as vaкivolyoy（Isa．

## 


 $\kappa \in \rho a \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha]$ his accessit $v$ ． 18 ap P 38 （ex Andr comm）$\quad 4 \pi о \rho ф \nu \rho a \nu .16122836$ i 86 al Andr Ar
iii．23；cf．Apoc．ix．17，note），and the red－blue known as $\pi$ o $\rho \phi$ v́ $\rho a$（Ex．xxxix． 13 （1）， 2 Chr．ii． 7 （6）），while the white of the $\beta$ v́roos often completed the make－ up（ 2 Chr．iii．I4，Apoc．xviii．16）． A thread or cord dyed with the кóккоs was attached to an object with the view of arresting the eye（Gen． xxxviii．28，Jos．ii．18）．Thus the epithet conveys the idea of splendour and distinction．The colour it des－ cribes enters into the clothing of the woman herself（ 0.4 ），while the Beast she rides is completely dyed with it． There is probably no reference here to the blood of the martyrs，or to the fires in which they perished；in either case $\pi v \rho \rho o ́ s$ would have been more appropriate（cf．vi． 4 ，xii．3）；rather it is the ostentatious magnificence of the Empire which is represented by the colour of the Beast（cf．Juv．iii． 283 f．＂cavet hunc，quem coccina laena｜ vitari iubet et comitum longissimus ordo＂）；its name（Andreas：${ }^{\prime \mu}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \neq \eta$ тоs
 $\left.\gamma^{\nu} \omega \rho \sigma \mu a\right)$ is enough to indicate its persecuting policy．
 Seer personifies the Beast and writes

 governs a gen．elsewhere in the Apoc． （iv．6，8，v．8，xv．7，xxi．9），in the rest of the N．T．（Mt．xxiii．27，Lc．xi． 39，Rom．iii．14，cf．Mt．xxiii． $25 \gamma^{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma \sigma \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \xi$ dip $\left.\pi a \gamma \eta s\right)$ and in the LxX．；on the acc．here see WM．，p．287，and for the construction in 0．4，see below．For óvó $\mu a \tau a \quad \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi$ ．cf．xiii．I，note；there they stand on the Beast＇s seven heads， here they cover his body．The Empire reeked with the blasphemous worship of the Emperors；not its heads only
but the whole body politic did this dishonour to the Living God．It is a first charge against Babylon that she is supported by a system such as
 סéкc，as in xiii． 1 ；for the interpretation see vo． 9 f．， 12 ，notes．
 фирои̂̀ каі ко́ккıขоу кт入．］In Babylon＇s clothing the scarlet or crimson is relieved by purple．The colous were so near to each other that the $\chi \lambda a \mu u ̀ s$ коккivך of Mt．xxvii．is called торфípa
 20，Jo．xix．2， 5 ；here they blend，but are distinct，as in Ex．xxvi．I notn＇⿱㇒日धis
 $\kappa є \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \mu \dot{́} \nu о v$. On $\pi о \rho \phi v^{\prime} \rho a_{2}$＂the colour of clotted blood，＂see Mayor on Juv． i．27．Andreas regards it as sym－ bolizing the imperial power of Rome

 Bona）but mixed with crimson perhaps it rather points like the latter（o．3， note）to the luxurious living of the metropolis（cf．Lc．xvi．19）than to its being the seat of empire．St John shares the old Roman dislike of rich attire：cf．Juv．xiv． 187 ff．＂pere－ grina ignotaque nobis｜ad scelus atque nefas，quaecumque est，purpura ducit．＂

The whole passage was used by the Carthaginian Fathers of the third century as a persuasive against the love of dress ；cf．Tert．de cult．fem． ii．i2＂quam maledictá sunt sine quibus non potuit maledicta et prosti－ tuta describi＂；Oyprian de hab．virg． 12 ＂fugiant castae virgines et pudicae incestarum cultus，habitus impudi－ carum，lupanarum insignia，ornamenta meretricum．＂





 7303294 al
 content with costly and splendid clothing, Babylon wears all her jewellery and even gilds her person (cf.
 she is inaurata auro-a meretricious display which proclaims her vile trade ; cf. Juv. ri 122 f. (quoted in note on v. 5). The commentators compare Ez. xxviii. 12, wherre it is said of the King of Tyre $\pi$ âv $\lambda i \theta_{o v} \chi \rho \eta \sigma t o ̀ \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \delta \in \delta \in \sigma a t \ldots \kappa a i \quad \chi \rho u \sigma i o p$, but the Apocalyptist more probably reminds himself of the finery of the temple prostitutes of Asia Minor, or recalls the reports which reached the provinces of the gilded vice of the capital.
 depends by zeugma upon кєХ $\rho v \sigma \omega-$ $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \eta$, from which the reader must mentally supply some such participle as кєкоб $\mu \eta \mu$ év (xxi. 2, 19). Ai $\theta_{o s}$ is collective, of. xviii. 12,$16 ; \lambda i \theta \omega \tau \tau \mu i \omega$
 see $x x i$. 21, note.
 aúr $\bar{s} \kappa \kappa \pi \lambda$.] Adapted from Jer. xxviii.

 From one point of riew a great centre of heathenism and vice is a cup in the Hand of God, the instrument of His righteous wrath: from another the cup is in the hand of Babylon herself, for it is she that prepares and administers it (xviii. 6 т $\hat{\varphi}$ тотпíc $\hat{\varphi}$ éképavev). The cup is of gold-another sign of luxury (cf. Juv. x. 26 f. "illa (sc. aconita) time cum pocula sumes | gemmata et lato Setinum ardebit in auro")-but it is full of abomina-
tions, as the Beast's scarlet body is covered with "names of blasphemy"; its contents contrast straugely with its external beauty ; cf. Mt. xxiii. 25




 Bàé $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \mu \mathrm{a}$, a rare word in the N.T. (Mc. xiii. $14=$ Mt. xxiv. 15,-a quotation from Daniel,-LLc. xvi. 5 , Apoc. xyii. 4 f., xxi. 27) is frequent in every part of the cxx., where it usually represents either |  |
| ---: | :--- | Lev. xi. ıoff., Dan. ix. 27), or תוֹעָּבָ (so with few exceptions in Deut., 3, 4 Regn., Prov.), in the sense of ceremonial or moral impurity, or an object of idolatrous worship or an idolatrous

 $\beta \delta \in \lambda \nu ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \Sigma \iota \delta \omega \nu i \omega \mathrm{l}, 4$ Regn. xxiii. 13
 meanings suit the present context; the $\beta \delta \delta^{2} \varepsilon^{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \tau a$ which filled the cup of Rome may include both the cults and the vices of Roman life. Kaì tà
 emphasis on the impurities of Rome's traffic with the nations, the imperial and commercial relations in which she played the тópp (xiv. 8, xvii. I, notes).

A striking parallel to a part of this picture is to be found in Cebes, tab.:














#### Abstract

     s 30 apple 15 Hips Ar $\tau \omega$ al part $N^{*} 3^{8}$


 $\gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \in \nu o \nu]$ A name written on the forehead may be either that of the person who bears it (cf. xix. 16, where however the name is written éni rod iцáтcov каі̀ $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ ì̀̀ $\mu \eta \rho \dot{\partial} \nu$ ), or that of one to whom the bearer stands in a near relation (cf. xiv. 1 , xxii. 4). Here the name and style are those of the woman herself, and there is probably an allusion to a custom observed by the Roman rópyat; cf. Seneca rhet. i. 2. 7 "stetisti puella in lupanari...nomen fum pependit a fronte" [bot the meaning is doubtfurl]; Suv. vi. 122 f. "[Messalina $]$ papillis | constitit auratis, titulum mentita Lyciscae." Cf. Arethas: tod Be énì to нє́тштоу $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \theta a t ~ o ̈ y о \mu a, ~ т o ̀ ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ \eta \rho v-~$

 $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \eta \rho \quad \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The legend borne by the titulus on the Harlot's forehead.
 with Baßu入 $\omega^{\prime} \nu \kappa \lambda$., is used nearly as in

 see note. The Woman on the Beast represents, is the symbol of, Babylon the Great, while Babylon itself is a mystical name for the city which is now the mistress of the world. Her gaily attired; jewelled, gilded person, and her cup of abominations, proclaim her to be the Mother-Harlot of the Earth. All the móppac of all the subject races are her children; all the vices and superstitions of the provinces
were suckled at her breasts The $\mu \eta \tau \rho o n o \lambda_{\iota s}$ of the Empire is the source and fountain-head of its impurities, the mother of harlots, even as the Church is the mother of Christ and His Saints (xii. 5, 17). Cf Andreas:


 character of Rome was recognized by the provincials themselves as late as the end of the fourth century, but from a different point of view; cf. Libanius, ep. 247 outs mapè $\lambda \dot{\eta} \phi а т \epsilon$


 ilk rove aíparos $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] As the Seer contemplates the Woman, he sees that she is drunken, not with wine (Iso li. $2 \mu \epsilon$ Ө́vov aa oưk ảmò olvov), but with blood. The dreadful conception is familiar to Roman writers; cf Vic. Phil. ii. 29 "gustaras civilem sanguinom vel potius exsorbueras"; Pin. H.N. xiv. 22. 28 "[Antonius] ebrius sanguine cilium"; Suet. Tit. 59 "fastidit vinum, qua liam sitit este cruorem." Babylon is drunken with the blood of the citizens of the City of God, the Saints and the Witnesses of Jesus;


 book see ii. I 3 , note. The distinction suggested by the repeated ex rout aituatos is apparent only, for the saints whose blood was shed were by that









 $130+o \operatorname{arm} \mid \eta \nu] \eta \mathrm{A}$
very circumstance also witnesses to the Faith；but the repetition serves to enhance the guilt of Rome．She had not sinned in ignorance，for testimony had been borne to Christ by more than one generation of saintly sufferers in the presence of high officials of the Empire．For cî̀a see WH．${ }^{2}$ ，Notes， p． 171.
 The Seer had been invited to see the downfall of Babylon；the angel had offered to shew him her sentence ex－ ecuted．He expected to see a city in ruins．But instead of this there had risen before him on the floor of the desert the picture of a woman gilded， jewelled，splendidly attired，mounted on a scarlet monster，drunk with blood．It was a complete surprise． Who was this woman？what was the meaning of the Beast？The Seer had lost his clue；he was bewildered by a vision so widely different from that for which he looked．An in－ terpreter is needed，and he is at hand in the person of the angel who had undertaken to act as guide ； see 0.7 ．
7－18．The intebpretation of the Yision of Babylon and the Beast．
 ＇̇Өav́ $\mu a \sigma a s ;$ кт $\lambda$ ．］The Angel has read St John＇s amazement in his face or it
has been betrayed by an exclamation； and he proceeds to explain to the Seer the symbolism of the Woman and the Beast．The two belong to
 ruvaucos каі тои̂ Eqpiov，not tò $\mu$ тîs үuv．кaì qò $\mu$ ．тov̂ $\theta$ ．Tồ ßaбtá̧outos av่тท⿱亠䒑⿱亠乂，：the Harlot－city is a burden which the Beast－the Empire－has to support；cf． 2 Esdr．xxiii． 15 ＇$\pi / \gamma \in \mu$ i－
 Tàs émtà кєф．каì тà סéка кépata：the articles point back to xvii． 3 ，and ultimately to xii． 3 ．
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The interpreter begins with the Beast，for if the Beast is rightly understood，it will not take many words to explain the Woman．＂H кaì oủk $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau t r$ ：cf．Gen．xlii． $36^{3} 1 \omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi$
 there is perhaps an inteutional anti－
 scription seems at first to contradict c．xiii．，where the Beast is said to have recovered from his deadly wound （vv． $3,14 \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \grave{\eta}$ тô̂ $\theta a v a ́ \tau o v ~ a u ̉ r o u ̀ ~$
 $\chi a i \rho \eta s$ каï $z^{\prime}(\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu)$ ．Here the Beast is represented as having died of his wound（oủx écriv），and gone down to the abyss（cf．ix．iff．，xi．7），though he is about to return to life（ $\mu \dot{e} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \quad a \nu a-$
 before he meets his final doom（eis













 0 yous $0 \in \chi \omega \nu$ бoфıav cum antecedentibus coniungunt $Q$ (om $\omega \delta \epsilon$ ) 142993949798

à $\pi \omega^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ vináyєcu, cf. xix. 20). On this apparent inconsistency see below, v. Io., notes.

 óníc rove $\theta$ inpiov, and see note there. The Seer had wondered (v.7) with the amazement of a horrible surprise; the world will wonder and admire.



 omitting the reference to the Lamb (see note ad bloc.).
 miration of mankind for the Beast is due to his vitality, his recuperative power, his power to reassert his authority when they had believed him to be dying or dead. An Empire which could endure the strain upon its resources and the shock to its prestige and authority sustained by Rome during the period between the death of Nero and the accession of Vespasian might well earn the respectfut homage of a world which makes success the gauge of strength and right. The Church alone was not deceived, but could foresee the end.

Bגєтóvtay is probably not a gen. absolute, but follows the case of $\omega^{*} \nu$ by attraction. Hápearal, ventura est; the Beast, like the Lamb, has a future Parousia; cf. 2 Th. ii. 8 f. ar $\pi о к а \lambda \nu \phi \theta_{\eta}^{\prime}-$
 кат’ є่עย́ $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a \nu$ төи̂ $\sigma a t a \nu \hat{a}$. But the Lamb descends from Heaven, the Beast rises from the Abyss; the Lamb comes to celebrate His triumph, the Beast to receive his final doom. The travesty is complete, and it is to the disadvantage of the Beast.

 $\kappa \tau \lambda$., where see note. What is to follow will put to the proof the spiritual discernment of the hearer or reader. The formula $\begin{gathered} \\ \delta \\ \text { o } \\ o\end{gathered}$ lance and close attention, like of er $\chi \omega \nu$ vs ákováát $\omega$ (ii. 7, etc.); but whereas
 challenge consideration, ${ }^{\top} \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$. procedes them. As Arethas points out, the wisdom which is demanded is a higher gift than ordinary intelligence:

 $\chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a, ~ ф \eta \sigma i, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \nu o \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ т a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$.

The interpretation now begins, but (as the reader has been warned) it is





 - סe ets 96 aeth Hipp Prim |earcv] pr ouk me |outw] otov izo
itself an enigma, for which more than one solution may be found. In the notes which follow an attempt is made to offer the explanation which on the whole seems to be the best.
 reasonable doubt can be entertained as to the meaning of these words. The Seven hills of Rome were a commonplace with the Latin poets; cf. e.g. Vergil, Aen. vi. 782 "illa inclyta Roma | imperium terris, animos aequabit Olympo, | septemque una sibi muro circumdabit arces"; Horace, carm. saec. 7 "di quibus septem placuere colles"; Propertius, iii. to "septem urbs alta iugis, quae toti praesidet orbi"; Ovid, trist. i. 5. 69 "sed quae de septem totum circumspicit orbem| montibus, imperii Roma deumque locus"; Martial, iv. 64 "hinc septem dominos videre montes $\mid$ et totam licet aestimare Romam"; Cicero, ad Attic.
 é $\pi$ тá入oфos is freely applied to Rome in the later Sibyllines (ii. 18, xiii. 45, xiv. 108).


 mystically on the waters ( $v .15$ ) and on the Beast, ie. the subject races and the Empire, which support her; geographically, as the seven heads of the Beast which carries her suggest, she is seated on the seven hills that rise from the banks of the Tiber.
10. кail ßaouleîs é $\pi r$ rá єiotv] But the heads of the Beast have a further significance: they are 'kings' (cf. xiii 3, note). In Dan. vii. 17 the four
kings (מַלְי) symbolized by the Four Beasts are interpreted both by the ixx. and Th. as rérorapes Bactheias, and this interpretation is supported by $w 0.23,24$, where the fourth Beast is said to be the fourth Kingdom
 passage, where there is but one Anpiov, and the kings are his heads, no such ambiguity can arise; if the Beast is the Roman Empire, his seven heads are Emperors.

 $a_{\pi} \epsilon \epsilon \theta a v o v$, for at death, notwithstanding his apotheosis, each of the five had in fact fallen from his exalted positiou; for this use of rímety cf. ii. 5. The vision seems to be dated in the reign of the sixth Emperor (but see below on $\boldsymbol{v}$. II). Putting aside the name of Julius Caesar, who though he claimed the "praenomen Imperatoris" (Suet. Jul. 76) was a Dictator rather than an Imperator in the later sense, the Roman Eroperors of the first century are Augustus, Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius, Nero, Galbe, Otho, Vitellius, Vespasian, Titus, Domitian, Nerva, Trajan. It is, however, more than doubtful whether $a$ writer living under the Flavian Emperors would reckon Galba, Otho, or Vitellius among the Augusti. If we ehminate these names, the vision belongs to the reign of Vespasian (A.D. 69-79), and probably,
 the last years of that reign, when the accession of Titus was already in sight. Titus certainly fulfilled the




 

prediction öray $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \theta_{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$., for he died Sept. 13, 8r, "imperii felix brevitate," as Ausonius (De ord. xii. imp. 11) cynically remarks.

 ovik द̈бтьท see $v .8$, note. The eighth in the series of Emperors indicated in the last note is Domitian. But in what sense could he be described as
 said to be 'of the seven' (cf. Acts xxi. 8) $?$ The 'mystery' reaches its climax here, and is not resolved by placing a full stop after oviк $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\sigma \tau \tau v,}$ as WH. have done. A more promising key may be found in the circumstances of the age to which the Apocalypse belongs. 'One of the seven' had left a reputation which even in the last years of the century made his name a terror. Nero was the very impersonation of the Beast, the head (xiii. 9) which seemed to gather into itself all the worst qualities of the body politic. Nero was goue for the time (oux ëortv), but he would return as an eighth, the topstone to the heptad, a reincarnation of the Beast, a Nero redivivus though not in the sense which popular rumour attached to the phrase (xiii 3). Even pagan writers recognized the resemblance between Domitian and Nero; cf. Juv. iv. 37 f. "cum iam semianimum laceraret Flavius orbem | ultimus, et calvo serviret Ronia Neroni"; Mayor (i. p. 223) compares Pliny, pan. 53, where Domitian is "[Neroui] simillimus," and Ausonius, l.c. 12 [Titum]...secutus | frater, quem 'calrum' dixit sua Roma 'Neronem.' In Mart. xi. 33 Nero is supposed by some to stand for Domitian. With St John, living under

Domitian and unable to refer to him by name, Domitian takes Nero's place and style, as John the Baptist, who came in the spirit and power of Elijah, is called Elijah by our Lord (Mt. xi. I4, Mc. ix. I3). As late as the beginning of the third century the name of Nero stuck to Dominian at least in Ohristian circles; to Tertullian he is not only "portio Neronis de crudelitate" (apol. 5), but a 'sub-Nero' (De pall. 4).

One question remains. How can the date which appears to be assigned to this vision by the writer himself be reconciled with the traditional date of the Apocalypse? It may of course be that the Apocalyptist incorporates at this point an older Christian prophecy, or reedits his own earlier work. But it is equally possible that in the vision of the Woman and the Beast he purposely transfers himself in thought to the time of Vespasian ( $\delta \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ), interpreting past events under the form of a prophecy after the manner of apocalyptic writers Wither of these solutions may account for the change of standpoint which is perceptible when the reader compares xvii. 8 , to f. with xiii. 3,8 ; see note on xvii. 8. Cf. Introduction, c. iv., esp. p. lii.
 dramatic fulfilment. Domitian was assassinated (Sept 18, 96) after a terrible struggle with his murderers. The tyrant's end was a symbol of the end to which the Beast which he personated was hastening.
 $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i s \epsilon l \sigma c \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. Dan. vii. 24 каi
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where if the Fourth Beast be Alex－ ander＇s Empire，the ten horns must be explained either as the kingdoms which arose out of $i t$ ，or the successive kings of one of the kingdoms of the Diadochi，probably the Seleucidae； see Driver，Daniel，p．Ior ff．The ApocalypticeBeast from the sea has also ten horns，which are crowned

 the writer himself now interprets，ten kings．These have been taken to represent（i）the Parthian satraps， who according to Mommsen were practically independent rulers；or （2）the subordinate potentates of Asia Minor，or（3）unknown future allies of the Roman Empire ；or（4）the seven Emperors already referred to，plus the three who held rule between Nero and Vespasian．The last suggestion is excluded not only by the contrast of кépara with кєфалaí，but by the plain statement that not one of the ten had yet begun his reign；and the same objection holds against（r）and （z），notwithstanding Bousset＇s plea
 of the Parthian satraps regarded from the Roman point of view．Far nearer to the Apocalyptist＇s words is the comment of Irenaeus（v．26．r）：＂de novissimo tempore，et de his qui sunt in eo decem regibus，in quos dividetur quod nunc regnat imperium，signi－ ficavit Ioannes＂；cf．Arethas：סéка

 kalpois．The＇ten kings＇belong to a period whish in St John＇s time was still remote；they belong，as the sequel will shew，to the last days of the Roman Empire，and represent the
forces which arising out of the Empire itself，like horms from a beast＇s head， and carrying on many of the worst traditions of the Empire，would turn their arms against Rome and bring about her downfall．It is umecessary to press the number in this case；it has been suggested by the reference to Daniel（l．c．），and it is a well－known symbol of completeness（Enc．Bibl． 5437）which leaves the exact figure uncertain（cf．ii． 10 ，note）．With the indefinite oirtucs．．．ènaßov ef．i．7，ii．24， ix．4，xx．4，and see Blass，Gr．p．173－
 new potentates，though not Emperors， will in some sense succeed to the position of the Caesars，possessing quasi－imperial powers，which they will exert in concert with the Beast and to the detriment of Rome．With
 6 क̀s $\theta$ á $\lambda a \sigma \sigma a, ~ i x . ~ 7 ~ \omega i s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o l, ~ x i i i . ~ 3 ~$
 xvi．2I ©s ta入aytıaia；in such con－ texts $\omega$ s compares without identifying； the ten $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$ fís are not $\beta a \sigma t \lambda$ fis in the same sense as the seven，but resemble them．Cf．Arethas：ws $\beta$ ．，fià tò
 avirồ $\phi \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} \nu$ ；the remark of Bede， ＂tamquam reges dixit，quia velut in somnis regnant qui Christi regno adversantur，＂true as it is，misses the Apocalyptist＇s point．With miay あっav

 कupa．Great leaders and even dynasties and empires have a relatively brief existence，as compared with the world－ power of the Beast，though for the time they share his authority（cf． xiii．2）．






 souguy 33 Hipp tradent vgalofudem tollipas Prim dabunt anonaug

The＇ten kings＇are of one mind：ef． v．17．Tע凶j ${ }^{2}$ ，＇purpose，＇as in Acts


 $\uparrow \hat{刀}$ aùтŋ $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu$ g．The unanimity of the ten appears in their support of the Beast，i．e．in their worldy policy and hostile attitude towards Christ．The Seer entertains no illusions on this point ；he does not anticipate that the rise of new and unknown forces will bring any immediate improvement； the Beast will remain，and the new powers will be his allies．With the

 Mc． xY ． 17 ；the contracted present $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega}$ occurs in $c$ ．iii． 9 ；see W．Schm．， pp．118，I2I f $\Delta$ úvauts and égovaia are combined，as in xiii． 2 ；the Benst can rely both on the actual fighting power of his allies and on the moral force which belongs to their position
 covat kr入．］The allies of the Beast must be enemies of the Lamb．As in xvi． 3 fif．，the Seer sees the kings gathering for battle．That is one
 other is the victory of the Lamb－ $\nu \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ ；He will conquer the hostile coalitions of the future as surely as in the past He has overcome the solid resistance of a great empire．The Seer produces his reason for this assurance：＂for the Lamb is Lord of lords and King of kings．＂The stately phrase，so familiar to us in Christian hymns，goes back to Deut．
 $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì кípıos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кupíw
 the post－exilic Psalms（exxxv．（exxxvi．）
 and during the Maccabean struggle




 examples of the use of the title in ancient Egypt see Diod．Sic．i． 47 § 4



 N．T．St Paul（1 Tim．vi．15）uses $\dot{\delta}$
 to the Father．The Apocalypse，in its usual manner，transfers such titles to the Son；$H \theta$ is（i．5）the ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ ßacìícov $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\gamma} s$ ； He is（here and xix．16）кúpos kvpívy and facileis ßacinéw．The words have a special appropriateness if written in the time of Domitian；cf．Suet．Domit．13： ＂adclamari etiam in amphitheatro epuli die bibenter audiit＇domino et dominae feliciter＇．．．pari arrogantia cum procuratorum suorum nomine formalem dictaret epistolam sic coe－ pit；＇dominus et deus noster hoc fieri iubet＇＂；see Mart．v． 8 ＂edictum domini deique nostri．＂If the Roman Emperor，a Nero or a Domitian，could be styled princeps，imperator，do－ minus，the Head of the Church was more－princeps regum，rex regum， dominus dominorum；crowned heads were His subjects and would one day be put under His feet．


##   





 Benson), not sioiv (as A.V.). The Saints will share the victory of the Lamb, as they have shared His conflict. Oi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aviroû suggests a contrast
 xiv. I, $4 \mu \in \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}$ аข่той є́кат̀̀̀ тєббєра́коขта

 are known by three notes; they are клдтто́, èk入єктоí, тибтоí. The first two are contrasted in Mt. xxii. I4 тодлоi
 $k \lambda \eta r o ́ s$ stands often in good company (Rom. i. I-where see note in SH., I Cor. i. 2 к ${ }^{2} \eta$ ròs áazos, Rom. viii.


 yet it falls short of è en $\lambda \epsilon \kappa$ tós; to have been chosen by God is more than to have been called by Him. In order of time $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda o \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime}$ precedes $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$,"the calling being the outward expression of the antecedent choosing" (Hort on i Pet. i. I), but in the order of moral significance this is reversed, and $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau o{ }^{\prime}$, is followed by ék $\boldsymbol{\text { cestós. }}$. Yet neither of these qualifications exhausts St John's description of those who have part in the victory of the Lamb; though on God's side no failure is to be feared



 part there is no such security ( 2 Pet.

 climax is only reached when the 'called' and 'chosen' are found 'faithful.' For mıotós cf. ii. ıo, I3.
 kri.] A new point is reached in the interpretation of the vision; cf. $v .8$
 ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ єīes. At a first glance the point to which attention is now called seems to break the thread of the angel's teaching; but in fact it forms a connecting link between 00.14 and 16. Rome's greatest danger lay in the multitudes which were under her sway, and out of which would arise the 'ten kings' who were to bring about her downfall.

The waters on which the Harlot had been seen to dwell ( $\boldsymbol{c}$. I) represented the teeming and mixed populations of the Empire. Cf. Isa viii. 7 Kúpıos

 'Aarvpiov kaì тìv סóśav aùtov̂; Jer. xxix. (xlvii) 2 i̊oò vidara àvaßaivet
 катак入и́sovта клд. The Harlot-city sat on the brink of a secthing flood (contrast Ps. xxviii. (xxix.) 10)-the polyglott races of the Empire, her support and strength at present, but if they rose, as at some future time they might rise, the instrument of certain and swift destruction. For the phrase גaoí $\kappa \tau \lambda$. see v. 9 , vii. 9 , x. 11 , xi 9 , xiii. 7 , xiv. 6 ; it rests ultimately on Dan, iii. 4, 29, iv. I, F. 19, vi. 21, vii. 14.
16. kaì тà déćкa кépata à cíies kà To $\theta_{\eta \text { pion } k r \lambda .] ~ T h e ~ f a l l ~ o f ~ t h e ~ C i t y ~}^{c}$ is to come from the new powers destined to proceed from the Horns and from the Beast himself, who will turn against the Harlot he has long maintained. Sudden changes from










fierce love to bitter hatred, familiar enough in private history (ef. e.g. 2 Sam. xiii. 15), find their parallel in the history of nations, and the Seer foresees that the downfall of Rome will come in this way. Already within his memory the capital had been twice in one year (A.D. 69) the scene of carnage and pluider; and although the Flarian Emperors inaugurated a peace which had lasted more than thirty years, there were ominous signs of fresh trouble; Domitian had no obvious heir, and his life was menaced by conspiracies; at any moment Rome might be sacked again. But St John looks beyond the end of Domitian's reign to a future which he does not attempt to fix. He has a prevision of forces within the Empire taking shape under the leadership of men who, without the Imperial purple, would possess Imperial powers, and would use them for the destruction of Rome. His forecast was verified by the long series of disasters sustained at the hands of Alaric, Geuseric, Ricimer, Totila, the representatives of the hordes which overran the West in the 5th and 6th centuries; not to mention later sieges by less barbarous foes. No reader of the Decline and Fall can be at a loss for materials which will at once illustrate and justify the general trend of St John's prophecy.

With his description ef. Hos. ii. 3 (5) $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ av̉rク̀̀ $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \ldots \kappa a i ̀ \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$


 phrase $\grave{\eta} \rho \eta \mu \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu \quad \pi \quad \circ \emptyset \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ finds a

 фdyourat: for the metaphor ef. Ps,
 какоî̀таs tầ фayeiv tàs aápkas $\mu$ ov.
 $\lambda a o \hat{v} \mu \mathrm{v}$. The pl. odipkes denotes, as in classical Greek, portions of flesh, or the muscles that compose the flesh; contrast the use of the sing. in $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{o}}$. vi. 53 ff., where the whole nature of man is intended.
 the legal punishment of certain gross sins (Lev. xx. 14, xxi. 9, Jos. vii. 15). Compare Jereniah's threat, xli. (xxxiv.) $22 \boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \neq \omega$ avitovs(the forces of Nebuchadnezzar) $\epsilon$ is $\tau \dot{\jmath} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau a \dot{u} \tau \eta \nu$,




 aj̀ $\boldsymbol{\jmath} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к $\kappa \lambda$.$] The angel anticipates the$ objection that the success of such a coalition against Rome is ineredible; the ten kings will surely fall out among themselves. They will not fall out, for their unanimity is of God, Who has chosen them as instruments of His Will ; and it will continue until His words (i.e. those of the prophets speaking in His Name, of xix. 9 , xxi. 5, xxii. 6) shall be fulfilled. For


 $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\hat{\eta}} \mathrm{s}$.

##  
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סıסóvat eis ( Heb. viii. so (Jer. xxxviii. $=$ xxxi. 33); for $\mu i \alpha \gamma^{\nu} \omega^{\prime} \mu \eta$ cf. $v .13$. Tì $\nu \gamma \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ aúrov, His purpose, His royal decree, a sense which the word often bears in 1 and 2 Esdras and Daniel, whero reference is made to the edicts of the Persian kings. Te $\lambda \in \sigma$ 并 $\sigma o v a t$, cf. Le. xviii. 3I, xxii. 37, Acts xiii. 29, Apoc. x . 7.
 ${ }_{\eta}^{\dagger} \mu \epsilon \gamma \omega \lambda \eta{ }_{j} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Lastly, the Harlot herself receives interpretation. The words leave no doubt that Rome is meant, even if doubt could have remained after 0. 9. Babylon is the Imperial City of the world, the seat of the one great Empire which was left
 Marc. iii. 13 (cited in note to xiv. 8), ado. Jud. 9 ; Aug. de civ. Dei xvi. 17 "ante conditam Romam veluti alteram in Occidente Babyloniam," xviii. 2 "ipsa Roma quasi secuuda Babylonia est." Even in a series of non-Christian inscriptions (Audollent, Defixionum
 seems to occur as a synonym for Rome.

But Rome does not, of course, exhaust St John's conception of Babylon. His vision sounds a note of warning which may well be taken to heart by any great metropolis which prostitutes its wealth and influence to base or self-seeking ends. The city of the

Caesars was the contemporary representative of Babylon ; other ages may witness the rise and fall of other mistresses of the world not less magnificent and depraved.

XVIIL. r-24. Thu Doom of Babylon.
I. $\mu \in \tau$ à тaîta ктג.] The Vision of Babylom on the Beast is followed by (i) the descent of an angel who repeats and enhances the sentence of xiv. 8 (w. 1-3); (z) a voice from heaven, which passes into a succession of dirges chaunted over the doomed city (vo. 4-19); (3) a call to Heaven and to the Church to rejoice ( $b .20$ ) ; (4) the fall of Babylon, symbolically executed, and its effects described (vo. 21-24).
 The Angel of the Doom is not the angel who acted as the Seer's guide (xvii. 1, 7, 15). He comes down from heaven expressly charged with this mission (cf. x. 1, xx. 1); he possesses great authority (xiii. 2), to enable him to enforce his sentence; so recently has he come from the Presence that in passing he flings a broad belt of light across the dark Earth-a phrase used of the Vision of God in Ez. xliii.



 of,' see viii. I3, xvi. Iof., notes.












 Hipp anonauz Ar］tou $\theta$ ．tov ou ou $\tau \eta \mathrm{s} \pi$ ．P 1353637474979879196186 me arm
 om thr topletas 33
入́́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\omega \nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］A strong voice（cf．Ps．
 Heb．v． $7 \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ к $\kappa a u \gamma \bar{\eta} \varepsilon i \sigma \chi v \rho \hat{a} s)$ ，like the voice of the spheres which，in－ audible to the ear，appeals to the universal conscience（Ps．xix． 3 f．）； for the cry itself sce $c$ ．xiv． 8 ，note． ${ }^{*} E \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is still anticipatory，for the actual fall is not yet；but in the Secr＇s thought the purpose of God has been accomplished already．
 Isaiah writes of Babylon（xiii． 21 f ．



 vol év rois ot̂kots av̉rติ้），and of Edom in the very similar passage xxxiv． 14 f ． Cf．Jer．xxvii．（1．） 39 катоьки́боvбь

 Babylon）；Zeph．ii．I4 $\nu \epsilon \mu \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \nu \tau a \epsilon$


 （of Nineveh）；Baruch iv． 35 каток» －
 xpóvov（of the cities of the Exile）． The O．T．prophets fill the ruins of
cities hostile to Judah with satyrs （and the lilith；the N．T． Apocalyptist，while he takes over both the conception and the word Saı $\dot{v} \nu a$, thinks doubtless of the demon－powers represented by the idols of paganism（cf．ix．20，xvi．14） which will haunt the wrecked tem－ ples of Rome，the scene of their old magnificence．The resonant кatot－ kqripiov may be purposely chosen； contrast with кarouc．סaınoyiov St Paul＇s кatock．тov̂ $\theta_{\text {eov }}$（Eph．ii．22）． Фидакท́，refugium（Prim．），custodia （Vg．），is here perhaps rather a watcht－ tower or stronghold（as in Hab．ii．I

 фu入aкаîs aưrồ），than a prison or cage （xx．7）；the evil spirits，watching over fallen Rome like night－birds or harpies that wait for their prey， build their eyries in the broken towers which rise from the ashes of
 odibilis．
 торреias aủvĵs кт入．］Cf．xiv．ıa，xvi． 19，notes ；and on the accumulation of genitives see Blass，Gr．p． 99. Пétrokaц has overwhelming external
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 $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta_{\epsilon} \mathrm{CQ} \mathrm{min}^{\mathrm{Pl4}}{ }^{25}$ Cypr (exit) Ar
support, but can scarcely be more than an early and widespread error, due perhaps to the proximity of ërevev (c. 2) ; both the general sense and the prophetic usus loquendi (cf. Jer. xxviii. (li.) 7,39 , xxxii, (xxv.) I4 f.) require $\tau$ є́тшкау.

Two classes would be more especially affected by the fate of Babylon. The ruling class had "committed fornication with her," i.e. were deeply and often guiltily involved in the sins of Rome ; cf. xvii. 2, note The ercantile class would suffer yet more severely by the fall of the city, and the rest of the chapter is largely occupied with the effect of the event on commerce and trade. The writer has in view the graphic description of the collapse of the trade of Tyre given by Ezekiel (xxvi.-xxviii.); cf. also Isaiah's reference to Babylon (xivii. 15). Allusions to trade in the N.T. are fairly frequent (cf. Mt. xiii. 45, xxii. 5, xxv. 14, Jac. iv. 13), but it is only in this passage that we catch sight of the vast traffic which carried the produce of the East and of Egypt to Italy, and found its centre in Rome. The merchants of the world had
 note) by reason of (cf. in $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \delta \delta \xi_{\eta} \eta \mathrm{s}$, c. I) the might of her wanton luxury. $\Sigma \tau \rho \tilde{\eta}$ pos in 4 Regin. xix. 28 is the selfsatisfied, complacent, arrogance ( cf. Gwynn, Apocalypse, p. Bo) of Sennacherib, while in Iss. lxi. 6 Symm, uses

 means apparently 'to grow restive under the restrictions imposed by Christian discipline. ${ }^{2}$ In the present context $\sigma \tau \rho \eta \nu u a ̆ \mu(v v .7,9)$ is probably, as Hesychius says, סıà tò̀ $\pi \lambda_{0}$ ôrou $\dot{v} \beta p i \zeta \epsilon \nu$, and $\sigma \tau \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s$ is little more than 'insolent luxury' (deliciae, Prim., Vg.). It was by ministering to the heartless luxury of the capital that the traders of the Empire made their money. On the extravagant expelditure of the Roman Emperors and aristocracy see Dill, Roman Society from Nero to M. Aurelius, pp. 20, 32 f., 55 f., 66 ff., 128 ff., 177 f.
 pavov̂ $\lambda$ є́ $о$ ovarav кт $\lambda$.] The Angel's cry is followed by another voice which comes from heaven itself (x. 4, 8, xi. 12 , xiv. 2, 13), whether the Voice of God, as of $\lambda$ coos $\mu o v$ at first sight zuggents (cf. xvi. I), or that of one of the angels of the Presence, as the character of the whole utterance that follows
 is modelled on several passages in the Prophets which relate to Babylon,






 גaós $\mu o v$ (the last cited words, however,
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are not in codd. $B N A Q^{*}$ ). Cf. also


 $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon, \vec{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, rings through the Hebrew history; we hear it in the Call of Abram (Gen. xii I), in the rescue of Lot (Gen. xix. 12 ff ), in the Exodus, in the call to depart from the neighbourhood of the tents of Dathan and Abiram (Num. xvi. 26). In this context the sauve qui peut is to be regarded partly as a feature borrowed from the O.T. models cited above, partly as a warning to Christians at Rome and elsewhere to shun entanglement in the sin and punishment of the new Babylon; cf. 2 Cor. vi.



 There is no occasion to look for any single fulfilment in history, such as an actual exodus of members of the Roman Church: such a precept is sufficiently obeyed by aloofness of spirit maintained in the very heart of the world's traffic. As Augustine writes (de civ. Dei, xviii. 18) : "quod pracceptum propheticum ita spiritualiter intellegitur ut de huius saeculi civitate...fidei passibus quae per dilectionem operatur in Deum vivum proficiendo fugiamus."

$\nu_{0}$ ī A reminiscence of Jer. xxviii.

 conception is already in Hom. Od. xv.

 pervenerunt usque ad caelum; joined one another till they reached heaven, till the ever-growing mass rose skyhigh; for a somewhat similar use of ко $\lambda \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ cf. Deut, xxviii. 60 каl ко入$\lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma o v \tau a \iota$ (iְ ódival Ailyúntov], Bar. i. 20 éro $\lambda \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \eta$

 26 код入â $\sigma$ Oac rais $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i s ;$ the exact construction occurs in Zech. xiv. 5,
 'Ia $a$ ód.


 עevíty followed by the ace. see Blass, Gr. p. 104
 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \omega \kappa \kappa \nu \quad \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The command is addressed of course not to the 'people of God,' but to the ministers of Divine justice, the yet untrained and unknown forces which the Seer saw gathering for the work of destruction : cf. xvii. 16 ff. Several O.T. denunciations of Babylon are in view, e.g. Ps. cxxxyi. (cxxxvii.) 8
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 The principle of a Divine lex talionis runs through the O.T., and asserts itself even in the Sermon on the

 rà $\delta<\pi \lambda \hat{a}$ there is abundant support; see the legislation of Ex. xxii. 4, 7, 9, and cf. Isa. xl. 2 édégato éк रєєьòs


 thought, that good and evil returu upon the doer with interest which may reach a hundredfold, finds a place in Greek poetry ; cf. Aesch. Ag. 537
 On the vindictive spirit sometimes displayed by Christians under persecution, and its relation to such passages as this, see vi. io, note.
$\Delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}, \mathrm{Vg}$. duplicare duplicia, to pay double, is perhaps unique, but it follows the analogy of каข $\mu a \tau i \oint \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a \hat{v} \mu a$ (xvi. 9 ), $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a}$ being the acc. of content. ${ }^{\prime} E \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\pi о г \eta р і$ ¢́ ктл.: cf. xiv. 8,10 , notes, and xvii 4 , xviii. 3 .
 avev $k \tau \lambda$.] Let her share of misery be proportionate to her arrogant selfglorification Of. Isan iii. 16 ff . à $\nu \theta^{\text {² }}$

 Eєtóv кт入. The general principle is
affirmed continually, e.g. Prov. xxix.


 $\kappa \epsilon \nu 0$ ús, ib. xiv. 11 тãs ó $\dot{\nu} \psi \hat{\omega} \nu$ éautò
 loss of wealth and place is aggravated by acute suffering ( $\beta$ acavionós, cf. ix. 5, note) and sorrow ; the ease of luxury is exchanged for pain, and its lighthearted laugh for the gloom of bereavement; cf. Le. vi. 25 oúai, of

 The same sharp contrast is seen in the parable of Lc. xvi. ig ff.: ä̀ $\partial \rho \omega \pi \sigma$




 After Isam xlvii 7 ff. eimas Eis ròp

 sage applied to Rome also in Orac. Sibyll. จ. 167 ff. at̂ ầ mávт' ảkátapтє

 клavəधєтає ктд. A similar boast is ascribed to Tyre by Ezekiel (xxvii. 3). Cf. Andreas: ëtos yàp roîs èv ev่


 ai $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma a i$ aúr $\bar{s} \kappa \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The elation and self-confidence induced by luxury would be the direct cause (ört... $\delta$ à










roûto) of sudden and utter ruin. The writer still has in mind Isaiah l.c.; the prophet proceeds $\nu \bar{v} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$ ăkove



 'Ev $\mu\langle\hat{a}$ j $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{f} \rho a$ adds to the pathos of the downfall; cf. Seneca, ep. 9I "una nox fuit inter urbem maximam et nullam"; cf. Lucret. iii. gi i "omnia ademit | una dies infesta tibi tot praemia vitae." The 'plagues' of Babylon, when they come, will make a dire antithesis to her present condition ; death, mourning, dearth will reign where life at its gayest and fullest has long prevailed. Fire will complete the work of destruction : cf. xvii. 16 , note. Incredible as all this may seem, the Seer is assured that it will be realizod; Babylon had already been doomed, and the Judge who pronounced the sentence ( $\dot{\delta}$ крívas, qui iudicavit, Prim.) is strong to execute it ; cf. Jer. xxvii. (1.) 34 о́ $\lambda u \tau \rho \circ \dot{\prime} \mu є \nu о s$
 ảvaidinous aúroû. Kúpos of $\theta$ eós is the

 aùry'v кт入.] The Voice now describes the effects of the great catastrophe, in the form of a series of dirges chaunted over the dead city by the kings ( $9-10$ ), merchants (II-17), and shipowners (17-19) of the world. The whole passage seems to have been suggested by Ezekiel's dirge over Tyre (Ez. xxvii.).

The $\theta \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s$ is begun by the kings of the earth, i.e. the subordinate and allied princes who had flourished under the protection of Rome: for oi $\beta$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \bar{\eta} s$ see $\mathbf{i} .5$, vi. 15 , and for their relation to the Empire, xvii. 2, 18, xviii. 3, notes. As in Ezekiel Tyre is bewailed by the "princes of the sea" (Ez. xxvi. 16f. катаßŋ́боутая


 represents the vassals of the Empire as assembling themselves to deplore the fate of Rome. With a touch of grim humour he paints them as standing at a safe distance from the conflagration, and contenting themselves with idle lamentations. Rome's subjects and allies have shared her favours and her luxury (oi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avit $\hat{y}$ s
 cannot help her in the time of need, and are careful not to be drawn into her doom. Their oúai ovai is sincere enough, for in Rome they have lost a protectress, but it avails nothing to the doomed city.

Kגav́govory is for the Attic кладंбоута, as in Le. vi. 21, Jo. xvi. 20 ;
 $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma \omega}$ Le. l.c. (W. Schm. p. 107). For the combinations $\kappa \lambda$. кaì кóquovat cf.
 тоито айтйр; similarly, xxiii. 27 єко́тл-
 see I Pet. iv. 12, and cf. 2 Pet. iii. 12













 seqq coniungupt $\mathrm{ACQ} 95 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{Ar}$ om arm $\quad 12$ रpuбои к．apүupov к．入e $\theta$ ou
 Prim
 í io $\chi v \rho$ á，＇that seemed so strong＇：con－$^{2}$



 recurs in $v 0.16,19$.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］＇The kings of the earth＇are succeeded by the＇merchants of the earth，＇who take up the dirge，weeping and mourning for their dead mistress；
 Lc．vi． 25 ，Jac．iv． 9 ，and below， v． 15 ． The second lamentation over Babylon is even more frankly self－interested than the first；the merchants mourm because they have lost their market， and there is no longer any demand for their shiploads of costly wares．「ó $\mu$ os may be used of a load on the back of horse or camel or ass（cf．Ex． xxiii． 5 тò vinosúzıov．．．$\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa$ òs vímò $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ خó $\mu$ ò av́rov̂），but the more usual sense ＇ship＇s burden，＇＇cargo＇（cf．Acts xxi． 3
 rónov）is in better keeping with the present context．Merchandize came to．Rome by sea direct from such
ports as Seleucia，Ephesus，Smyrina， Corinth，Alexandria，Carthage，which tapped the resources of the Elast and of Africa，and on the West from Mar－ seilles and Spain．How vast the traffic was appears from hints dropped by contemporary writers，e．g．Pliny $\boldsymbol{H} . \boldsymbol{N}$ ． xii．4I＂minima computatione millies centena millia sestertium annis omni－ bas India et Seres peninsulaque illa imperio nostro adimunt＂；Galen，antid．

 Aristides，cited by Wetstein ： $\boldsymbol{z} \sigma a$ रà $\rho$ тар＇éxáotots фи́єтан каì катабкєvá乌єтац





 $\nu^{\circ} \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu \omega \nu$ ．Such words reveal the extent of the loss which the commerce of the world might be expected to suffer from a sudden collapse of its chief market．
入iOov rıuiov кrג．］A list of the imports which flowed into the port of Rome－ （1）precious metals，marbles and gems，
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（2）textile materials for costly clothing， （3）choice woods，articles of vertu， cosmetics，（4）food stuffs，（5）live stock， from sheep and cattle to slaves and other human ministers to the wants or the vices of the rich．

Only a few of these articles of commerce call for separate notice． Eı $\rho ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十 力$＇ ，＇Seric fabric，＇i．e．silk，is är $\pi$ ．$\lambda \epsilon$ ．in Biblical Greek，for Prov．xxxi． 22 is rendered by $\beta \hat{v} \sigma \sigma o s$, and tós；but aךptoós is freely used by Greek writers after the Macedonian conquest，when silk found its．way to the West；how abuadant the material was at Rome in the first century appears from a statement of Josephus （ $B . J$. vii．5．4）that at the triumph of Vespasian and Titus rò arpart $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \kappa \grave{o} \nu . .$.

 olperós（Prim．sirici）which is attested here by all the uncials，has some external support；see WH．${ }^{2}$ Notes， p．i58，W．Schm．p．46；van Herwerden cites oupuкapiov from CIA，iii． 3513 and siricarius from CIL，vi． 9674 ， 9893．Zúnov Aúivo（lignum citreum， Prim．，l．thyinum，Vg．），wood of the tree known to the Greeks as Givov，$\theta$ vía， or $\theta$ uia and to the Romans as citrus， probably the Thuia articulata of botany．This wood，which was im－ ported from North Africa，where it grew freely in the neighbourhood of
the Atlas，was much prized for its veining，which in the best specimens simulated the eyes of the peacock＇s tail（Mart．xiv．85），or the stripes of the tiger and spots of the panther （Plin．H．N．xiii． 96 ），or the seeds of the parsley；the colour also varied in different specimens；hence $\pi \hat{a} \nu \bar{\xi}$ ． Gứivoy．At Rome citrus wood was much sought after for dining tables： ＂Seneca，Dio lxi．Io，\＆ 3 ，．．．had 300 tables of citrus wood with ivory feet＂ （Mayor on Juv．i．137）；but it was also used for veneering，and for small works of art，which were made out of the hard roots of the tree（Theophrast．


 used by the Hebrews for boxes（Cant． v．I4），beds（Am．vi．4），and even in building（3 Regn．xxii． 39 oikoy ète－ фávtıyov，cf．Ps．xliv．（xlv．）9，Cant．vii． 4，Am．iii．I5）．It is mentioned by Ezekiel（xxvii．I5）among the imports of Tyre．By wealthy Romans under the Empire it was largely used in the decoration of furniture such as beds， couches，tables：thus Juvenal coni－ plains（xi． 120 ff．）：＂cenandi nulla voluptas｜．．．latos nisi sustinet orbes｜ grande ebur et magno sublimis pardus hiatu I dentibus ex illis quos mittit porta Syenes＂－people cannot enjoy their supper unless their table rests on a leopard carved in ivory．
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    $14439^{2}$ )] $\rho \in \delta$ as syr


 to Herodotus (iii. III) a word of Phoenician origin, is among the ingredients of the 'holy anointing oil' (Ex. xxx. 24 ff .), and is named with other spices in Prov. vii. 17, Cant iv. 14, Sir. xxiv. 15. Probably it was not the Ceylon spice now known by that name, but the product of the Cinnamon cassia from South China (Enc. Bibl. 828 f.). In Roman life it supplied one of the cosmetics of the banquet; Plaut. Curc. 1. 2.6, "tu mihi stacte, tu cinnamomum," Lucan, x. 165, "multumque madenti | infudere comae quod nondum evanuit aura cinnamon." "А $\mu \omega \mu$, amomum (Theophrast. H. P. ix. 7. 2, Plin. H. N. xii. 28) is another Eastern perfume familiar to Roman writers: cf. Ovid, Cydipp. xxi. 266 "spissaque de nitidis tergit amoma comis"; Martial, viii. 77 "si sapis, Assyrio semper tibi crinis amomo|splendeat" As to its place of origin, Theophrastus (ix.7)can onlysay:
 Bibl. 145 suggests that it came from the cissus vitigena, a native of Armenia. On Өvцıámara see v. 8, note, on $\mu \mathrm{v} \rho o \nu$, Mc. xiv. 3, note; on $\lambda i$ Bavos, $c$. viii. 3, note. $\Sigma_{f \mu i d i o \lambda c s ~(h e r e ~}^{\text {a }}$ only in N.T., but frequent in Lxx. =
 use of the wealthy : Plin. H. N. xiii. 2I "similago ex tritico fit laudatissimo." The wheat supply of Rome ( $\sigma$ itos) cane largely from Egypt and was brought in large cornships from Alexandria; see Blass on Acts xxvii. 6.

Kaì itm sc. rónov, though it is not easy to see $^{\text {sen }}$ why the construction should at this point revert to that of $\gamma \dot{\prime} \mu o \nu \quad \chi \rho u \sigma o \hat{u}$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$., to return almost immediately to the accusative in ка̀ $\psi u \chi$ às $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$. Mr Anderson Scott suggests that "we should see here additional items which distinguish Rome from her O.T. representative, Tyre"; but Tyre, too, had dealings in horses and human flesh (Ez. xxvii. I3f.). It would seem as if the writer merely wished to relieve the monotony of the long sentence and perhaps at the same time to throw greater solemnity into the last clause. 'Péof according to Isid. etym. xx .12 , is a "genus vehiculi quattuor rotarum," and according to Quintilian (i. 5. 5) came from Gaul ; it became fashionable at Rome, and in the third century, according to Lampridius, Senators acquired the privilege of plating their rhedae with silver. $\Sigma \omega \mu$ ít $\omega \nu$, mancipiorum, slaves, a use which is familiar to the Lxx. (Gen. xxıvi. 6 тш́дата то̂̀ oüкоv, Tob. र. 10
 kaì dúo $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \beta a t a, 2$ Macc. viii. II $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \pi$
 the papyri shew (Deissmann, Bible Studies, page 160), found it in the Egyptian Greek of the Delta. It was repudiated by the Atticists (e.g. Pollux iii.
 ठой $\lambda a$ ब $\omega \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau a)$, but established itself in the later language; the slave merchant was known as a $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi o \rho o s$ (Eustath. in $O d . \mathrm{i}$.), and as late as the end of the fourth century Epiphanius

$\sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ т \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha i \psi \nu \chi a \dot{s} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu .{ }^{34} \kappa \alpha i$ ท̀ ȯ $\pi \omega \prime \rho \alpha$ бои 14
 $\pi \alpha \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{l} \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega}^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon \tau \sigma} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \sigma o \hat{v}$,
 тои́т $\omega \nu$, oi $\pi \lambda o v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \pi ' \alpha u ̋ \tau \bar{\eta} s, \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$


$14 \eta$ on $\omega \rho \alpha$ om $\eta \mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{om}$ oov $\mathrm{I}^{\circ} \mathrm{Q}$



 то̀ $\lambda$ оитои єцторєибт 6 II 3147
 (Prim. strangely, diversi generis animalia) is from Ez. xxvii. 13


 Though in itself this old Hebrew phrase means little more than 'human live stock,' it serves to draw attention to the serious side of the Roman slave trade. The world of St John's day ministered in a thousand ways to the follies and vices of its Babylon, but the climax was reached in the sacrifice of human life which recruited the huge familiae of the rich, filled the lupanaria, and ministered to the brutal pleasures of the amphitheatre.
 $\alpha \tau \lambda$.$] 'And the ripe fruit of the$ desire of thy soul is gone from thee, and all thy rich and bright things have perished from thee.' 'Oпт $\quad$ oa is the autumn fruit, ripe for ingathering; see Jer. xlvii. (xl.) 10 , 12 avvááyete

 'trees in late autumn when the friut is past.' Just when the fruit of the labour of many generations seemed ready to fall into the mouth, it had vanished like a dream ; the long desired consummation never came. The first oov may be taken with $\eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{o} \pi \dot{\omega} \rho a($ Prim. pomorum tuorum concupiscentia animae), or
with $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{y} \boldsymbol{s} \psi u x \hat{\eta} s$ (Vg. poma desiderii animae tuae); its position in the latter case is not necessarily emphatic (WM. p. 193; Blass, Gr. p. 288). For $\lambda \iota \pi a p o ́ s$, nitidus, in the wider sense see Isa. xxx. 23 o ă aptas roù
 kai 入ıtrapós (ทֶֶí) ; 2 Esdr. xix. 35

 adjectives to be distinguished here, rà $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a ́$ is perhaps the rich and dainty food, $\tau \dot{c}$ ì $\lambda \mu \mu \pi \rho \dot{a}$ the gay attire and costly furniture, which were the fruits of Roman conquests and policy. The Seer sees them all gone, and gone for ever; another summer, another ingathering, is not to be hoped for; never again will be found (ov $\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots$ evjpioovarv, "nicht mehr wird man finden") in the city on the Tiber the extravagant luxury, the inhuman selfishness, of the age of the Caesars.

 comes back to the merchants' dirge from which he had turned aside in 0. II in order to describe the uature of their traffic with Rome. 'The merchants,' he resumes, 'who deal in these wares (oi ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \pi$. тoít $\omega$, comp. $n$. 23 oi " $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \sigma \rho o i ́ ~ \sigma o v\right)$ and have gotten their wealth from Rome (cf $v .3$ 觝
 in $\left.\lambda \frac{1}{m} \neq \sigma a v\right)$ will do as the kings did;

##     


#### Abstract

      


they will stand at a safe distance from the city ( 0.10 ), and pay their tribute of respect in similar terms.'
16. 入éyoures Oviai oviai kri.] The second dirge begins as the first did ( $\boldsymbol{0}$. Io), and ellds similarly (ôtc $\mu, a \hat{a}$ $\omega_{0} p a \kappa r \lambda$.). But there is an apposite change in the description of the city; while to the kings Rome is simply $\dot{\eta}$ i $\sigma \chi v \rho a$, the merchants naturally measure her by her opulence and splendour. For $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \not \beta_{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \imath \eta \ldots$...каi кє $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \omega \mu$ е́ $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ see xyii. 4, note ; $\beta_{v \sigma \sigma u o v,}$ which finds no place in the earlier description, has perhaps been suggested by $v .12$; it comes in here nerely as an article used in the attire of the very rich (cf. Lc. xvi. 19), and clearly has not the symbolical significance which it bears in xix. 8,14 .
 $\pi \lambda o \hat{r} 0 s]$ This corresponds to ört
 dirge of the kings. 'H $\rho \eta \mu \omega \dot{\theta} \eta$ might be more properly used to describe the condition of the city itself, as in xvii. 16 and below, v. 19; cf. Mt. xii.
 faviñs $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu o \hat{v} r a c$. But the merchants still think of the wealth of Rome; it is Rome's money they miss and deplore, not the city and its people.
 то́тоу $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] One other class
finds its interests gravely affected by the fall of Rome-the shipmasters and scafaring people in general; and from these there comes a third dirge. Compare Ezekiel's lamentation over




 ктл. If Rome was not like Tyre a seaport, and had no direct business on the sea, the sea-going population of the shores of the Mediterranean were not less interested in her fate than they had once been in that of Tyre. Ostia was doubtless the destination of most of the merchant ressels of the Empire; cf. Florus i. 4 "Ostiam coloniam posuit, iam tum videlicet praesagiens animo futurum ut totius mundi opes et commeatus illo veluti maritimae urbishospitioexciperentur."
 masters, in contrast with $\nu a u^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o t$ on the one hand and vavirac ou the other;
 $\nu a v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \rho \mu \hat{\mu} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ \& $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \tau o$, where Blass cites Plut. mor. 807 в ${ }^{2}$ vávas $\mu e ̀ \nu$
 yaúk $\lambda \eta p o s$. It is not quite so clear who is meant by $\dot{o}$ émi tóтоу $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$. The rendering of Prim. omnis super mare navigans gives some colour to Nestle's

















ingenious correction tóvtov (TOO|TON for toiton, an easy change; see Text. Criticism of $\boldsymbol{N} . T .$, p. 168); but it is perhaps unnecessary to depart from the well-attested rótor. 'He who sails for (any) part' is the merchantman who goes with his goods, or the chance passenger (vector); if the exact phrase does not occur elsewhere, it is approached in. Mc. xiii. 8 ধ̈́ooytat $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu$ катà тónous, Acts xxvii. 2




 who make their living by the sea,' not only sea captains and their crews, but
 Ëvos (Philostr. vit. Apoll. iv. 32); the
 correlative of $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma . \tau \grave{\eta} v \quad \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu$ (Gen. iii. 5) -is abundantly illustrated by Wetstein, ad lac.; on the construction ef. WM., p. 279.
 In Isa. xlvii. to Babylon boasts 'E $\gamma \omega$ '
 Ez. xxvii. 32 the exact phrase here used occurs in the Heb. though not in

 comes from the preceding verse in

 the exact words used by the Apocalyptist occur in Jos. vii. 6 (lux.). For
 etc., and in N.T. Mc. vi. in ékrıyágate

 valuableness,' i.e. her great wealth, which gave her unrivalled spending power; the word is $\dot{a} \pi$. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon$. in lxx. and N.T., but occurs occasionally in the later literary Greek, e.g. Arist.

 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$, Lib. ep. 1557
 see van Herwerden, s. v. Compare the use of $\tau \mu \mu^{\prime}$ in I Pet. ii. 7, where see Hort's note.







 quasi molarem magnum vg etc] $\omega$ s $\mu \nu \lambda o \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a \nu \mathrm{PQ}$ I 86 alpl syrr Hipp Andr $\omega$ s $\lambda t \theta o \nu$ $\mu \operatorname{cra\nu }$ N (36)
20. єv่фраivov '่ं $\pi^{\prime}$ à่ $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] While the kings and merchants of the earth and its mariners bewail Babylon, Heaven and its friends rejoice over her doom: the reverse of the picture drawn in xi. Io, where upon the death of the Two Witnesses of karotкov̀ptes
 єं $\phi$ 'paipovra: : see notes there. There is perhaps a reference to Deut. xxxii. 43, Lxx (cf. Intr. to the O.T. in Greek,









 ánócroגot кai of $\pi \rho \circ ф \bar{\eta} \tau a$, the Church and her two highest ministries (I Cor.
 $\left.\pi \rho \circ \phi_{i}^{\prime} \pi a s\right)$; in xvi. 6, xviii. 24, the Prophets alone are mentioned. It is not clear whether in the present passage the A postles are the College of the Twelve, as in xxi. 14 , or whether the word is used in the wider sense (ii. 2, note); but probably the title is inclusive. The absence of any reference to a local ministry is remarkable -contrast Phil. i. I toís ácioss...à̀v énıбкóтoıs кai סtakóvots-but it is characteristic of a book which emanates from prophetic circles and is charismatic throughout.

 a sentence pronounced by a judge, but a case for trial, as in Ex. xviii. 22 тà
 I Cor. vi. 7, коіцата ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon . ~ G o d ~ h a s ~}$ judged the case of Heaven and the Church- $\dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$, for in this instance their cause is one-against Babylon, with the result which the vision has revealed; the Church is at last avenged upon her enemy. ' $\mathbf{E} \xi$ aù $\hat{\eta} s$, at her expense; the trial has issued in justice being exacted from her. On the whole verse Andreas well remarks: ov $\chi$ ws


 Cf. vi. 1o, xviii. 6, notes.
 $\kappa т \lambda$.] In the silence which follows the Voice from Heaven (ov. 4-20), a single angel (for eis cf. viii. 13 , ix. I3, xix. 17 ; the numeral approaches the force of an indefinite article, but has not yet quite lost its proper meaning) represents the fall of Babylon by a symbolical action. He takes what appears to be ( $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ) a great millstone and with all his might (for he is ioxupos) hurls it into the sea, which in this chapter ( $v, 17$ ) as throughout the book (e.g. vii. I, viii. 8 f., x. 2 ff., xii. 12, 18, xiii. 1 , xvi. 3 f.) belongs to the scenery of the A pocalyptic drama. A $\lambda i$ Oos $\mu u ̈ \lambda \iota v o s$ (cf. $\lambda . \mu \nu \lambda \iota \kappa$ ós, Lc. xvii. 2; the former adj. lays stress upon the purpose to which the stone is put, the




latter upon its fitness for the work) or $\mu$ údos (Mc. ix ,-42) might be one of the stones of a hand-mill such as women could work (Ex. xi. 5 tins $\theta_{\text {epanainns }}$

 needed an ass to turn it ( $\mu \dot{\nu} \lambda o s$ os $\nu \iota \kappa o ́ s$, Mc. lc.); the latter or even a stone of greater weight ( $\mu$ eq $\gamma a s$ ) is intended here. The Seer has in his mind Jer.




 dj var $\hat{\eta}$, and perhaps also an earlier
 $\omega_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda_{i \theta o s}$ (cf. 2 Esdr. xix. II). Com-





ойт $\left.\omega \mathbf{s} \dot{\delta} \rho \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota \beta \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \in \tau a \iota \mathrm{~B} a \beta \nu \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'As this stone is flung into the deep, so shall Babylon vanish.' 'Op $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a \tau$, impetus, 'with a rush,' like a stone whizzing through the air; cf. Deut.




 bolizes the complete submergence, the final disappearance of pagan Imperial Rome; ova $\mu \dot{\eta}$ є $\dot{v} \rho \in \theta_{\tilde{\eta}}$ ètc-she is to vanish, as Babylon had vanished in the time of St John; cf. Strabo, xvi.


 Lucian, contemp. 23 to Nim vo $\mu$ èv...à áó-

 Nîvos.
 $\kappa_{\kappa \omega}^{\omega}{ }_{\kappa \pi} \lambda$.] No sounds of rejoicing, or of industrial life or even of domestic work, shall be heard in Babylon again. For the first compare what is said of Tyre by Ezekiel (xxvi. 13 ката入v́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ nov ( ${ }^{\eta}$
 ert), and of Jerusalem by Isaiah and





 see v. 8, xiv. 2, note; the aud $\lambda$ r in's (Mt. ix. 23) is the player on the flute ( $h^{4} \overbrace{T}$, avi $\lambda o^{\prime} s$ ), who performed, often with the кı日aposós, at the festivities of Hebrew life (z Regn. vi. 5, Isp. v. 12, xxx. 29, 32 (A); Sir. xl. 2 I, I Macc. iii. 45). $\Sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau i n s$, a later form of $\sigma a \lambda-$ $\pi เ \gamma \kappa \tau j$ s, founded on the analogy of $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \omega$, , $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \sigma a$ (viii. 6 ff .) is ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a} \pi} \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in Biblical Greek. The trumpet proper ( (הצְצ) was in Jewish use nearly limited to religious services, but at Rome the tuba was heard at the games (Suv. vi. 249) and in the theatre (ib. x. 214 , with Mayor's note), and even at funerals (Pers. iii. 103). Mourckஸ̂̀ may be songs (Gen: xxxi. 27 , Ez., lc.) or instruments of music (Dan. iii. 5 f. $=$ ? ? ), but the analogy of $\kappa \iota \theta a \rho \propto \delta \hat{\omega} \nu, a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is in favour of the masc., and by $\mu$ ovackoi must be intended either 'performers on (other) instruments,' or vocalists, R.V. "minstrels"; cf. I Macc. ix. 39, 4I, where the same ambiguity exists :
 каi $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu . . . к а і ̈ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau р а ́ \phi \eta \ldots . . ф \omega \nu \eta ̀$







 me (hab CPQ min omarid vg syr aeth Prim Andr Ar) \|om кal $\phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \nu \lambda a v . . . \epsilon \nu$ бoc etc





кal $\pi a ̂ s ~ т є \chi \chi^{\nu i ́ t \eta s ~} \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s$ тє́ $\chi^{p \eta s} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The industries of the great city will be swept away as well as its festivities A tє $\chi^{v i \pi \eta}$ may be an artist in metal (Deut. xxvii. 15 , Cant. vii. 1, Aets xix. 24, 38), in stone ( 1 Chr. xxii. 15), or even in textile fabrics (Sir. xlv. 1 I ). All the arts of civilized life are at an end in the new Babylon; one will hear no more among its ruins the stroke of the hammer or the whir of the loom ; even domestic sounds such as may be heard in the merest hamlet, e.g. the creakirg and droning of the upper millstone as it turns upon the lower, are hushed for ever; there is no hope that they will be revived in a restored city. Mìios is here apparently the mill, i.e. the whole apparatus as distinguished from the $\lambda i \theta_{o s} \mu v i \lambda, \nu o s ~(o . ~ 2 I) ; ~ c f . ~$
 Mt. xxiv. 41. The $\phi \omega v \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu v{ }^{\prime} \lambda o v$ is best explained as the sound made by the mill, and not the singing of the women
 as Wetstein shews, was traditional in Greece.
 ool ërc $^{k} \kappa \lambda$.] Whether the streets of Rome were regularly lit after dark is doubtful : Juvenal (iii. 285) speaks of the brilliant lights carried by the rich, contrasting his own dependence on the moon or on the "breve lumen
candelae"; at a festival in A.v. 32 the spectators wereescorted home by torchlight, provided by an army of slaves; on the other hand Ammianus writes (xiv, 1, § 9): "in urbe...pernoctantium luminum claritudo dielum solet imitari fulgorem" ; see Mayor's note on Juv. l.c. Certainly the houses of the wealthy were not wanting in means of illumination; lucernae and candelabra of artistic forms abounded; even bed chambers were provided with lamps (lucernae cubiculares) which sometimes were burnt all night (Mart. x. 38, xiv. 39). But in the Sear's forecast the lights of Rome have gone out in utter darkness. Even the occasional flash of the torches carried by bridal processions (Mt. xxv. I ff.) is seen no more, and with it has ceased the "voice of the bridegroom and the bride," a phrase which is frequent in Jeremiah (vii. 34, xyi. 9 , xxy. Io, xl. (xxxiii.) I I, cf. Bal. ii. 23); for $\phi \omega v \dot{\eta} \nu v \mu \phi i o v ~ s e e ~ a l s o ~ J o . ~$ iii. 29.
 $k^{2} \lambda$.] The connexion of thought is difficult. Are the two clauses introduced by öt parallel, or is the second dependent on the first? For other examples of the writer's use of ö́tc... óri see xy. 4, xvi. 6 (note). In the present instance it seems best to take the first ört as controlling the whole


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$.
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sentence, and the second as explaining the first. Babylon has been submerged by her very greatness, for her greatness has been used to bewitch and mislead the world, and not to
 кov кк入. rests upon Isa xxiii. 8 of



 vi. 15, note. Traders who could make Rome their market rose to the first rank, became merchant princes ( $00.3,15$ ), while Rome on her part acquired a worldwide influence which she used for evil; through their traffic with her all nations had learnt to adopt her false standards of life and worship. On фариакia see ix. 2I, note. Like Nineveh


 Babylon (Isa. xlvii. $12 \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \theta_{l} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$

 oov, , Rome was full of professors of the black art; for the authorities see Mayor's noteonJuv.iii. 77, and ef. Orac.


 probably used by St John in the wider sense of the witchery of gay and luxurious vice and its attendant idolatries, by which the world was
fascinated and led astray. See xxi 8, xxii. I5, notes.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] "Ore is to be carried on from r. 23; a further reason for the overthrow of Rome was her bloodguiltiness. Cf. Jer. xxviii. (li.) 35 тò aipá $\mu о \boldsymbol{u}$
 'Iє $\rho \frac{v a \sigma}{} \lambda^{\prime} \mu$ (see also v. 49, Heb.);
 The blood shed by Rome was not simply that of gladiators 'butchered to make a Roman holiday,' many of whom may have deserved their fate (cf. Dill, Roman Society, p. 242), but that also of saints and prophets: cf. xvi. 6, xvii. 6, notes. Aüaca áyicy is sufficiently explained by the massacre of 64 and the recent troubles under Domitian (Clem. R. Cor. I, 5 ff.); and among the Roman saints who suffered on both occasions there were doubtless members of the prophetic order (Rom. xii. 6), not to mention St Paul who was a prophet as well as an Apostle. But the responsibility of Rome was not limited to martyrdoms which occurred within the city; the world was under her rule, and the loss of all lives sacrificed ( ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \phi{ }^{\prime} \sigma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega \omega$, cf. $\nabla$. 9, 12, xiii. 8) throughout the Empire lay at her door. It is remarkable that the same is said of Jerusalem before her fall (Mt. xxiii. $35{ }^{\circ}$ ot $\pi \omega s$

 c. xvi. 6, note.
XIX. I ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Met $\dot{\alpha}$ тайта йкоvба $\omega$ s $\phi \omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ ó $\chi \lambda o v$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{u} \epsilon \in \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ oủpavê $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$
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XIX i-io. Triumph in Heaven. Two Hallelujah Palims ; an angelio meseage.

I f. $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ taūta $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \kappa v o r a$ as $\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ kт $\lambda$.] The triumphant shouts which follow are an answer to the appeal in
 first ( $\mathrm{I}-8$ ) is the Te Deum of Heaven èǹ̀ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ סıкаьoкрıбiạ тov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, as Arethas expresses it. It comes from a 'great multitude,' which reminds the reader of the multitude of vii. 9 , but as the Church is called to add her Hallelujah afterwards ( 0.5 ), this first-named
 host, the uvpıádes day $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ of Heb.

 paean takes the form of a Hallelujah Psalm.

The liturgical note
 Dalman, Gr. p. 152 ), alleluia) occurs at the end of Pss.civ.,cv., cxv., cxvi., cxvii., the beginning of Pss. cxi., cxii., and the beginning and end of Pss. cri., cxiii., exxxy., cxlvi.-cl. (Heb.), and at the beginning of a few other Psalms in the Lxx. which are without it in M. T. (cf. Intr. to O. T. in Greek, p. 250). The transliteration á $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v i a ́ ~$ must have come into use among the Hellenistic Jews before the Christian era (cf Tob. xiii. 18 épỗ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$


 over by the Apostolic Church from the

Hellenistic Synagogue. Like Hosanna, this Hebrew word became familiar even to the most unlettered Christians everywhere, rather perhaps through the Easter Alleluia than through the influence of the N. T., where it occurs only in this passage; cf. Aug. enarr. in Pss. xxi. 24 "his diebus per totum orbem terrarum...dicitur Amen et Alleluia," and for its early use in these islands see Bede, H. E. i. 20 , ii. I. It was hailed as a connecting link between the worship of the Church on earth and the worship of Heaven ; cf. Aug. serm. cclv. (a paschal sermon): "in hoe quidem tempore peregrinationis nostrae ad solatium viatici dicimus Alleluia; modo nobis Alleluia canticum est viatoris, tendimus autem per viam laboriosam ad quietam patriam, ubi retractis omnibus actionibus nostris non remanebit nisi Alleluia." This view of the word no doubt had its origin in the present passage, where Hallelujah is the keynote of the heavenly hymn of praise.
 тои̂ $\left.\theta_{\epsilon} \hat{u} \dot{\hat{\eta}} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ 'Salvation, glory, and power are our God's' ; cf. xii. ıo a'ptı
 the more usual form in vii. to ( $\dot{\eta} \sigma$. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\theta \in \hat{\varphi} \hat{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu})$; on $\hat{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ see note oll vii. 1o. A definite reason is given for the present psalm of praise-the execution of judgement upon Babylon

 xvi. 7. The thought of the coming
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doom of Babylon has been in view from $c$. xiv. 7 ; now at length it is seen in its realization.

The second ort, as in xviii. 23 (see note there), justifies the statement introduced by the first. That the Divine judgements are true and just has been shewn anew by His sentence on the Great Harlot (cf. xvii. I, 5, notes); on Ëкрриєу see xviii. 8, 20.


 in the Apoc. forts and of are not indistinguishable in meaning) ${ }^{*} \phi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$
 that she who brought moral ruin upon the world should herself lie in ruins.' For the general sense see xiv. 8, xvii. 2, 5 , xviii. 3, notes :

 notes there; the phrase is perhaps suggested here by Jer. xxviii. (li.) 25,

 The uncompounded verb is used freely in an ethical sense ; ff. 1 Cor. iii. 17, xv. 33, Jude ra.

The grounds on which judgement was pronounced against Babylon are again rehearsed, viz: ( ( ) тopveía, (2) аіцатєкरvola; cf. xvii. 23 ff. Тติ้ Sousa $\omega$ aùroû here includes both saints and prophets (cf. xviii. 24)the Church and her leaders. For
 ©́к $\chi$ et poos $\tau \iota v o s$ is less usual, but cf. 4 Regn. in. 7, which perhaps is in the



 oüкov 'A Xaíß. The phrase seems to be 'pregnant'; written at length it would have run : दُpúa are tov̀s doúdous aùroû


 The shout of praise ends as it began, after the manner of certain of the Hallelujah Psalms ( $\boldsymbol{b}$. I, note); compare Miriam's repetition of the first distich of the Song of Moses (Ex. xv. I, 2I). Iteration emphasizes, as



 $8 \epsilon v \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \varphi$. Thus the second Hallelujah is not merely formal, but adds strength to the first, like the antiphon with which the later Church learnt to call attention to the leading idea of a psalm or to the thought on which for the time she wished to lay special emphasis. On the termination of $\epsilon \frac{u}{\rho} \eta$ кау see WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 173, W. Schm., p. 113 , note, and cf. xviii. $3 \pi \pi^{\prime} \pi \omega \kappa \alpha \nu$, xxi. 6 yéyouap ; and out the perfect see iii. 3, v. 7 (note).

каi ot капvòs aùrồs àvaßaivel кт入.] With the offering of praise there goes
 ро̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \nu \mu \iota \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu)$, the smoke which rises perpetually from the embers of the city ; cf. xiv. II $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}}$ кäv̀̀s той $\beta a \sigma a-$
 The same is said of Edom, regarded as an enemy of Israel, in Iss xxxiv. 9 f :
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 катvòs az่रทิs ầv. The words add a last touch to the description already given (xviii. 21 ff.) of Babylon's utter collapse.

4 каі ё $\pi \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ и́тєроь кт入.] The Elders and the $\delta \dot{\varphi} a$ have not been mentioned since xiv. 3. Now that the worship of Heaven is again visible to the Seer, they are discovered in the act of adoration as before (iv. 9 ff., v. 8, 14). As in v. I4 ( $\tau \grave{a}$ t'́́ $\sigma \sigma a \rho a$

 the Angels'service of praise, saying the Amen to the celestial Eucharist (I Cor. xiv. 16)-an attitude which agrees with their character as representatives in Heaven of Nature and the Church (c. iv. 4, 6, note). For á $\mu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{p}$ in such a connexion see v . 14 , vii. 12 , and on the word, c. i. 7, note.
 גéyovaa Aiveitc $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] In c. xvi. i7 a voice comes from the Throne, but éx rồ $\nu a o \hat{v}$, which is not added on this occasion. Here the voice cannot be that either of God, or (as Bousset thinks) of the Lamb; in the latter case we should certainly have had $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\omega} \mu o v$, as in iii. I 2 , and not $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\theta$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$. It remains that one of the Angels of the Presence is the speaker. The voice summons all the Servants of God, i.e. the whole Church, which is now called to add its tribute to that of the Angels, the $\zeta \stackrel{\omega}{a}$, and the
representative Elders. The call alveitc ктл. comes from the Hallelujah Psalm cxxxiv. (cxxxv.) I, 20 aiveite (ה) тò ö้voца Kирiov, aiveite, 8ồдoc Kvpiov, ...oi фoßoú $\mu \mathrm{e} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ot tò̀ кúptov. In the original עֲבְּך" and Levites who ministered in the

 worshipping Israelites in general; but in St John's adaptation of the words their exact sense is less clear. There is a fairly close parallel in c. xi. 18


 the $\delta o \hat{i} \lambda o c$ probably include the Saints and the Prophets, as in 0.2 , and if we read кai oi фов. the latter may be, as in xi. 18, the unbaptized friends of the Church, catechumens, enquirers, and the like; if on the other hand kaí is to be omitted, of $\phi o \beta$. is merely a description, somewhat otiose as it may seem, of oi doú入ot aủroū. Oi $\mu$ ккро̀̀ каi of $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ádoь, a phrase characteristic of the book (cf. xi. 18, xix. 18, xx. 12,
 (Menl xix. 11), and in this connexion perhaps on Ps. cxiii. 21
 тoìs $\mu \mu \kappa \rho o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda \omega \nu$; it seems here to embrace Christians of all intellectual capacities and social grades, and of all stages of progress in the life of Christ, even the exaxiotot



 oi $\mu \in \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda o \iota$.

 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

‘A $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v i ̈ \alpha$, , ơтı є́ $\beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Kúpıos ó $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ o $\pi \alpha \nu т о к \rho \alpha ́ т \omega \rho . ~{ }^{7} \chi \alpha i \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ каі $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda-7$







4); all are included in the summons to thanksgiving and are capable of bearing a part in it; cf. Bede: "parvitas non nocet ingenii cuius cor et lingua Domini laude repleta est." Al$\nu \in i \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ is an unusual construction; WM. (p. 673) compares סı8́ácкєıy $\tau \iota v i ́$ in c. ii. I4, where see note.
 $\lambda_{o \hat{u}} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The voice of a second great multitude is wafted across to the
 the Angelic Host, that of $v .6$ is the Universal Church, the innumerable multitude described in Apoc. vii. 9. The sound of the collective praises of the Church was in St John's ears like the din of a vast concourse, the roar of a cataract (i. 15 , xiv. 2), or the roll of thunder (vi. I, x. 3 f.) : "magna vox canentium magna cordis est devotio" (Bede). The words could be distinguished. They begin with Hallelujah, repeated a fourth time, and thus they are connected with the triumph of Heaven. But when the grounds of the Church's thanksgiving are assigned, an entirely new note is struck. It is not the doom of Babylon for which the Church thanks God, but its sequel-the setting up of the Kingdom of God-ötc $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta a \sigma i \lambda \in v \sigma \epsilon \nu$

Kúpıos: cf. Ps. xcvi. (xcvii.) I ó кúpıos
 and see $c$. xi. 15, 17, and notes there. The aorist looks back to the fall of Babylon, now ex hypothesi past (cf. $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu . . . \epsilon \in \kappa(v a s)$, seeing in it the epoch of the entrance of God upon His Reign. The World-power has fallen, in order that the spiritual and eternal may take its place; for the deus et dominus noster of the pagan provincials St John substitutes the Kúpios ò $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ eos $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ of the Church throughout the Empire, of the אaints and the Angels on earth and in Heaven. For Kúptos
 iv. 8 , $1 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{xi} .17$, xv. 3 , xvi. 7 , xviii. 8, xxi. 22, xxii. 5 f., and for tavтохрáтшр i. 8 (note), iv. 8 , xi. 17, xv. 3, xvi. 7,14 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \theta$ өòs $\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ is used in $v o$. 1, 5 , as well as in 6; it is a mode of address which angels and members of the Church have an equal right to use.
 For this combination cf. Mt. $v .12$



 are still more frequently found together, e.g. Pss. ix. 3, xv. (xvi.) 9, etc.

#   


 $\left.\mathrm{Ar} \mid \gamma v \nu \eta\left(\nu \nu_{\mu} \phi \eta \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}}\right)\right]+\nu \nu \mu \phi \eta$ arm

The active ajo $\lambda \lambda$ ạap $y$ is used only here and in Le. i. 47 خे $\gamma \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{i a \sigma \epsilon \nu}$ tò $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a ́$
 addition of I Pet. i. 8 (WH. ${ }^{2}$, Noter,
 xi. 13 , xiv. 7 , xvi. 9 , and for the form $\delta \omega \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ (if that is to be read) see Mc. vi. 37, note, and W. Schm., p. 107.
 In these words the reason of the Church's exuberant joy appears, and at the same time there is sounded the first note of transition to the final vision of the book. It is the manner of the writer to throw out hints of the next great scene some time before he begins to enter apon it; thus
 xiv. 8, though the fall itself does not come into sight before cc. xvii-xviii. Here in like manner the Marriage of the Lamb is aunounced as imminent ( $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ ), though a thousand years are yet to pass before its consummation (xx. 3), and the Bride is not revealed until we reach c. xxi.

The conception of a Divine Marriage is deeply rooted in O.T. teaching. God is the Bridegroom of Israel (Hos. ii. $19=21 \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \in \dot{v} \sigma о \mu a i \quad \sigma \in \dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ єis тò $\nu$

 ó кúpoos ; cf. Ez. xvi. I ff.). In Ps. xliv. (xlv.) "expounded of the Messiah by the Targum and many Jewish scholars, e.g. Kimchi" (Cheyne, Psalms, p. 123), the nuptials of the King are depicted at length. All this imagery is taken over by the Gospels, and applied to Christ and the Church; we meet with the $\nu u \mu \phi i o s(M c$. ii. 19), the $\nu \mathbf{j} \mu \phi \eta$ (Mt. xxv. I, D), the $\nu \tau \mu \phi \omega^{\prime}$ (Mt. xxii.


yáuos made by the King for His Son
 xxii. (1)-all in a clearly Messianic sense. Nor has St Paul failed to seize on this group of ideas, cf. 2 Cor. xi. 2





 к $\lambda \eta \sigma i a y . ~ S t ~ J o h n, ~ f o l l o w i n g ~ S t ~ P a u l, ~, ~$ but with a characteristic independence as to detail, adopts so much of this symbolism as lends itself to his purpose; the marriage, the sapper, the bride and her attire enter into his vision ; cf. iii. 20 , xix. 9, xxi. 2,9 , xxii. 17 .

The nuptial festivity ( $\gamma \not \approx \mu$ os here, as in Mt. xxii. 8 f., Jo. ii. 1 ff. ; elsewhere in N.T. $\gamma \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ ) is come ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$, as in xi. 18, xiv. 7,15 , xvii. 10); the rejoicings in Heaven are the sign of its arrival; the Bride is ready, the Bridegroom is at hand ( $v$. II). ' H रुvì
 Gen. xxix. 21, Deut. xxii 24, Mt. i. 20, Apoc. xxi. 9. Only three female figures appear in the visions of the Apocalypse-the $\gamma v \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta_{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$
 фирой̀ каі ко́ккєноу of $c$. xvii. and the $\gamma . \pi \epsilon \rho \beta_{\epsilon} \beta \lambda$. $\beta$ v́arcyoy of $c$. xix.-the Mother, the Harlot, and the Bride; the first and third present the Church under two different aspects of her life, while the second answers to her great rival and enemy. With jroípacev
 $\phi \eta \nu$. In Eph. v. 25 ff. the preparation of the Bride is represented as the act



 $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \iota \omega \not \omega \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ढ̇ $\sigma \tau^{i} \nu$.




 see Dean Robinson, note ad loc.). Here, though no special emphasis is laid on eavrív, the complementary truth comes into sight; effort is demanded on the part of Christians, both corporate and personal; for the latter see r Jo. iii. 3 á $\gamma \nu i\} ̧ є \iota$ éavtóv,
 $\sigma a \tau \epsilon$, and 2 Cor. vii. I каӨapi $\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon$ éavtoús...è $\pi เ \tau \epsilon \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ á $\gamma เ \omega \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$.
 Bú $\sigma \sigma$ vov $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] A Divine gift supplies the Bride with the right and the power to attire herself as she does. ' $\mathrm{E} \delta \delta^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ av่r $\hat{\eta}$ (avir $\hat{\omega}$, avirois) is one of the keynotes of this Book, and occurs some twenty times in cc. vi.-xx. The bridal dress-in sharp contrast with that of the Harlot (xvii. 4, xviii. 16 )is of simple byssus, the fine linen
 which Joseph was arrayed by Pharaoh (Gen. xli. 42). For $\beta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a v y$ as a noun see Dan. x. 5 (Lixx) évסধס̀v $\mu$ évos


 кадаро̀̀ 入амлтоóv.
 Tá introduces the explanation; 'with fine linen, for this clean, glistering, byssus-made fabric represents the righteous actions of the Saints,' the two are equivalents; cf. I Jo. iii. $4 \dot{\eta}$
 lessness are convertible terms" (Westcott). For $\delta \iota \kappa a i \omega \mu a$ see xv. 4, note;
 of the saintly acts of the members of Christ, wrought in them by His

Spirit, which are regarded as making up the clothing of His mystical Body. As each guest at the wedding feast
 as the Saints are individually clad in robes made white in the Blood of the Lamb (Apoc. vii. 9, 14); so corporately the whole Church is seen to be attired in the dazzling whiteness of their collective purity.
 oi $\epsilon$ is $\tau$ ò $\delta \in i \pi \tau \nu o \nu ~ k \tau \lambda$.] The speaker is perhaps the angel-guide of xvii. $r$, who now again reveals his presence; for the form $\gamma \rho \alpha^{\alpha} \psi o v . . . c f . ~ x i v . ~ 13 . ~ M a-~$
 beatitude of xiv. 13 ( $\mu$ акќproc of עєкраі ...iva d̀vanaŋ́бovтai) a step further; rest has now ripened into high festival. The words are a Christian interpretation of the remark which called forth the parable of the Great Supper:
 Baot入cía tồ $\theta \in o \hat{0}-\mathrm{an}$ expectation based on such prophecies as Isa xxv.




 4 Esdr. ii. 38 "surgite et state et videte numerum signatorum in convivio Domini qui se de umbra saeculi transtulerunt, splendidas tunicas a Domino acceperunt. recipe, Sion, numerum tuum et conclude candidatos tuos...roga imperium Domini, ut sanctificetur populus tuus, qui vocatus est ab initio." oi eis rò ठє $\overline{\text { cintuoy }}$ кєк $\lambda_{\eta \mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu 0$, cf. Mt. xxii. 3 Le. xiv. 17 ; the 'called' here are clearly identical





 syr ${ }^{5 \mathrm{FW}}$ arm
of xyii. 14 (where see note). Cf. Primasius: "illos videlicet significans qui secundum propositum vocati sunt"; Arethas: кai $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \in \kappa \kappa a i ̀ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$

 tov̂ $\theta e o \hat{v}$ cioiv] A second utterance of the angel, setting the seal of Divine truth upon the whole series of revelations now completed (xvii. 1-xix. 9) : 'these are God's words, and they are true'; or, readiug oi $\bar{a} \lambda \eta \theta$., 'these are God's true words.' For oi $\lambda$ d́you rov̂ $\theta \in a \hat{v}$ see xvii. 17, and for the whole phrase $x x i .5$, xxii. 6 , and the opening words of the Oxyrhynchus Sayings (2nd series, 1904; cf. Exp. Times, xv. p. 489 f.).

This solemn claim to veracity does not of course require belief in the literal fulfilment of the details. Apocalyptic prophecy has its own methods and laws of interpretation, and by these the student must be guided. Under a Iiterary form Divine truth expresses and fulfils itself $\pi \circ \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{s}$ кal тодитоо́тcos; it is only in the Son that it reaches finality.
 av่rô ${ }_{k \tau \lambda}$.] The Seer, overwhelmed by the greatuess of the revelation, and realizing that God Himself has spoken in these words of the Angel, prostrates himself before his guide. It can scarcely be that he mistakes an angel for God or for Christ; rather he is tempted by his sense of reverence to a $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \varepsilon i a$ т $\omega \hat{\nu} \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \hat{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ (Col. ii. 18) from which in calmer
moments he would have shrunk. A tendency to Angel-worship lingered long in Asia Minor, as Theodoret





 Mıरà̀入 тар' ixeivors кal toîs ópópous éкeivav é $\sigma \tau i \nu$ i8eiv. Compare the 35th canon of the Council of Laodicea: ov

 obopá̧єly $\kappa \tau \lambda$., and the remarks of Hefele ad l.; for an investigation into the whole subject see Lueken, Michael. St John's repeated reference to his temptation and the Angel's rebuke (cf. xxii. 8 f.) may well be due to his knowledge that such a tendency existed in the Churches to which he wrote.

Some of the Fathers regard this prohibition of Angel worship as peculiar to the New Dispensation ; see Gregory mor. xxvii. 15, and Bede ad loc.: "postquam Dominus Iesus hominem assumptum super caelos elevavit, angelus ab homine timuit adorari, super se videlicet adorans hominem Deum; quod ante incarnationem Domini ab hominibus factum, et nequaquam $a b$ angelis prohibitum esse legimus." But this is a refinement which is not likely to have been present to the mind of the Apocalyptist.




 $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \approx \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \epsilon i a s$.

[^188] to the ellipse in öpa $\mu \dot{\prime}$ (sc. rorionns тov̀тo), as Blass observes (Gr. p. 293), it must have been a common one. The Angel disclaims worship on the ground that he is a overounos of the Seer and of his brother-prophets (cf. xxii. 9 т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ oov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho o \phi \eta r \omega \bar{\nu})$. That all Christians are oúvSoudo was taught by the Master (Mt. xviii. 28 ff., xxiv. 49), and realized by the greatest of His servants (Col. i. 7, iv. 7, Apoc. vi. 11). But Angels are servants of the same Lord (Heb. i. 4 ff .), and therefore fellow-servants of the Saints, who will be their equals in the future life

 ciaiv).

 xii. 17; ì $\mu а \rho т э \rho i ́ a ~ ' I \eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ o c c u r s ~ a l s o ~$ in i. $2,9, x x .4$ The question arises in all these cases whether 'I $\eta \sigma o v$ is the genitive of subject or object; in i. 2 the context seems plainly to require the former, and it is natural to make this fact determine the usage of the Apocalypse; on the other hand iu several of the later examples 'witness to Jesus' seems more apposite. Here the problem becomes acute, for the meaning of the following words ( $\bar{\eta}$ үàp $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a ~ к \tau \lambda$. .) depends on the answer it receives. Perhaps the true account of the matter is that the writer, starting in i. 2 with the thought of Christ as the supreme $\mu$ ápios (i. $5,^{\text {a }}$ iii. 14), falls insensibly into that of the Church repeating His witness and thus bearing testimony to Him. While
the original sense of $\dot{\eta} \mu a \rho r u \rho i a '$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ is never wholly out of sight, the latter probably predominates here. 'Those who have the witness of Jesus ${ }^{5}$ are those who carry on His witness in the world. Such, the Angel says, are the Seer and his brethren the prophets.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ торофттвias] 'For (cf. the explanatory ráp in 0.8 ) the witness of Jesus is the Spirit of prophecy,' i.e. the possession of the prophetic Spirit, which makes a true prophet, shews itself in a life of witness to Jesus which perpetuates His witness to the Father and to Himself. The two things are in practice identical (cf. 0.8 ; note 2); all true prophets are witnesses of Jesus, and all who have the witness of Jesus in the highest sense are prophets. In I Cor. xii. 3 (oviotis סúvazae cirtív
 St Paul states the general law which St John applies to the special inspiration of the Christian Prophets. The Spirit of prophecy is the Spirit of Jesus (Acts xvi.7), Who must needs testify of Jesus (Jo. xy. 26). In the prophets of the O.T. the Spirit of Christ bore witness of the coming Passion and Glory; see i Pet. i. in, with Dr Hort's note, and cf. Irenaeus
 т $\rho о ф \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кєк $\eta \rho{ }^{2} \chi^{\text {òs }}$ тàs oikovouías каi tàs ènevícts kт入. Similarly it is the office of N.T. prophecy to bear witness to the Christ as already come and glorified, and to point men to the future Parousia. The Armenian version (see above) supphes an interesting gloss upon this clause.




 the syrr aeth Irint Or Cypr Fict Hier anoneng Prim)
il-i6. Vision of the Crowned
Warbior.
 кaì $\langle\delta 0$ ov́ $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] So Ezekiel begins his

 and a similar epiphany is described in


 $\kappa а т \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma a \nu$. In the Gospels the heavens are opened to Jesus at His Baptism
 toùs ovjoavoús, Lc. iii. 21) and He promises a like vision to His disciples (Jo. i. 5 I ö $\psi \in \sigma \in \epsilon$ тòv oúpapòv àveqyćтa). Early in the Apocalypse a door is opened in heaven (iv. I), and the Sanctuary itself is opened more than once (xi. 19, xv. 5) ; angels frequently descend from heaven (x. I, xiv. 17 , xviii. I). The present revelation is on a larger scale; the heavens themselves open to disclose the glorified Christ. Sounds from heaven have been hcard already (xix. 1); the Bride has made herself ready ( 0.7 f .), the marriage supper of the Lamb is at hand (o. 9). But it is neither as the Bridegroom nor as the Lamb that the Christ is now revealed; the parted heavens shew a Figure seated on a white horse, a royal commander, followed by a dazzling retinue.

The words кaì ìov̀ itmos $\lambda \in u \kappa o ́ s$,
 from $c$. vi. 2, where see note In both passages the 'white horse' is the emblem of victory, for the allegorical sense which Origen (in Ioann. t. i. 42, ii. 4) permits himself to give to the horse in the present passage is more curious than convincing. But
the Rider here is not the rider of $c$. vi.; there we see the Roman Imperator, or possibly the Parthian King, with his bow and wreath ( $\epsilon_{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ тógov, каi
 Commander-in-chief of the host of heaven (cf. Jos. v. 14 ápरıatpár $\eta \gamma o s$ סuvápews Kvpiov), with His sliarp sword and many diadems; the superficial resemblance seems to emphasize the points of contrast. In any case no doubt is left as to the personality of the present Rider; He is known as (калоч́цєуоs, of. Le. vi. 15 калои́ $\mu є \nu о \nu$

 'Faithful' and 'True' (oerus, as Prim., not verax, as Vg . here). Both epithets are applied to our Lord in the early chapters of the Book, e.g. i. 5 o $\mu$ ápтus

 sense attached to them in this connexion see notes to those passages.
 principal feature in the Messianic character, cf. Isa xi. 3 ff. oú кaгà Tìv


 '́乌 $\zeta \sigma \mu \mu \dot{\nu}$
 xvii. 23 ff. The Christ who comes is both Judge and Warrior, and He judges first, for in the Divine order judgement precedes victory. His judgements are $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ סixatoocipm, for they are God's (cf. xv. 3 diкaıat кai à $\lambda \eta \theta_{\imath} \nu a i$

 крi(recs $\sigma o v$ ); the Seer perhaps mentally contrasts them with the corrupt practices of Eastern. courts, and





 syr
the injustice often received at the Proconsul's tribunal. The present tense ( $\kappa \rho i \nu \varepsilon t, \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{i})$ is used because the writer is stating the nomal character of Divine judgements and wars, or it may possibly imply that Christ's work as Judge and Warrior is already proceeding in the world, though the tribunal is invisible and no ear hears as yet the din of battle.
 $\pi \nu \rho o ́ s ~ к \tau \lambda$.] The Seer proceeds from the character of the Rider on the white horse to His person. The 'eyes as a flame of fire' are a reminiscence of the vision in c.i. ; cf. i. 14 , ii. 18 , notes. The next feature is new : $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$
 For $\delta t a ́ \partial{ }^{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a}$ a see xii. 3, note, xiii. 1. The Dragon wears a diadem on each of his seven heads; the Wild Beast from the Sea has one on each of his ten horns. As contrasted with the wreath, the fillet was the symbol of Regal power, going with the sceptre (Apul. met. 1o "caput stringebat diadema candida; ferebat et sceptrum"), and for this reason it was declined by the earlier principes: cf. Suet. Jul. 79 "[Julius] cam...quidam e turba statuae eius coronam lauream candida fascia praeligata imposuisset, et tribuni plebis...coronae
 sent, dolens seu parum prospere motam regni mentionem sive, ut ferebat, ereptam sibi gloriam recusandi, tribunos graviter increpitos potestate privarit"; and the somewhat similar story told by Plutarch, C. Caes. 61


 $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \dot{o ́ v \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$. Christ, who refused the diadem when offered to Him by the Tempter (Mt. iv. 9) was crowned on the merit of His victorious Passion, and now appears wearing not one royal crown alone, but many. For mod $\boldsymbol{a}_{\text {c }}$ cf.

 oủ $\rho a \nu \hat{Q}$ кaì $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ aivitтoעtat; compare



 'Agias kal Aizútrou. Not Asia only and Egypt and Europe belonged to the Lord's Christ, but all the provinces of God's Universe ; cf. Mt. xxviii. 18, Phil. ii. 9, Apoc. i. 18.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Besides the title 'Faithful and True,' which reputation gave Him, He bore a name written (? upon His forehead; see xiv. 1 , xvii. 5) which was known only to Himself; compareii 17


 similar mystery attends the name of the Angel who appears to Jacob on the Jabbok (Gen. xxxii. $29{ }^{i}{ }^{i v a}$ Ti $\sigma \grave{v}$ ć $\rho \omega \tau$ âs $\tau o ̀ o ̈ \nu \nu o \mu a ́ \mu o v ;$ ) and the same answer is made by the Angel to Manoah (Jud. xiii 18), with the reason added

 of Andreas seems to be justified : ro






#   


#### Abstract

   


 standing the dogmatic helps which the Charch offers, the mind fails to grasp the immost significance of the Person of Christ, which eludes all efforts to bring it within the terms of human knowledge. Only the Son of God can understand the mystery of His own Being. The words $\epsilon i \mu \eta ̀$ av́rós do not contradict but supplement our Lord's own saying in Mt. xi. 27 ov̉deis ént-
 Primasius rightly says: "caveudum sane est ne...nomen Filii...aut Patri aut Spiritui sancto patetur incognitum." Oúठíis excludes created beings only, not other Persons internal to the Life of God.
 рацнє́vò аїцагı] Dr Hort well observes (WH. ${ }^{2}$ Notes, p. 139 f) that "all the

 even $\left.\beta \epsilon \beta a \mu \mu \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu\right]$ are easily accounted for if the form used was $\dot{\rho} \in \rho a \mu \mu \notin \nu \subset \nu$ "-a fact which, consideringthe comparative paucity of first-rate authorities for the text of this Book, seems to justify its provisional adoption. It is worthy of notice that non-Septuagintal versions of Isa. lxiii. 3-the passage on which St Johu's conception appears to be based-rendered ly by éppavio $\begin{aligned} & \eta \\ & \text { or }\end{aligned}$ epóv $\begin{aligned} & \text {, and that the use of one of }\end{aligned}$ these verbs is pre-supposed by the ordinary Syriac, which has 31 , and possibly also by Dr Gwynn's version (Gwynn, p. 85). On the form $\rho \in \rho a \mu-$ $\mu$ évoд see WH. ${ }^{2}$ Notes, p. 172.

The Rider's cloak (the $\ell_{\mu \text { ít } \iota o v) ~ i s ~ p e r-~}^{\text {- }}$ haps a $\chi^{\lambda a \mu u ́ s ~(M t . ~ x x v i i . ~ 28, ~ 3 I) ~ o r ~ a ~}$ paludamentum, if a Roman General is in view. It is dyed or sprinkled
with blood, after the second Isaiah's conception of the Divine Conqueror from Fdom (Isa lxiii. y ff.), a prophecy which the later Jews expected to be fulfilled in Messianic times, cf. syn. Sohar, p. 113.23 (Schoettgen, i.p. 1134 ): "futuro tempore Deus...vestimentum vindictae induet contra Edom." In the original context the blood upon the Warrior's dress is that of the conquered enemy, who have been trampled under foot like grapes in the winefat; and this idea is certainly present to St John's mind (cf. 0,15 ). But in applying the figure to Christ, he could hardly have failed to think also of the 'Blood of the Lamb' (i. 5, v. 9, vii. I4, xii. I) which was shed in the act of treading the enemy under foot. To some extent this probability may be held to justify the old interpretation, that e.g. of Hippolytus (c. Noet., ed. Lagarde p. 53 f.: ópâte oủ,


 тồ $\theta_{\text {tồ }}$ 入óyos), Origen (in Ioann. t. ii. 4), and Andreas, who writes ad loc.:


 admitted, must be kept subordinate to the other. In this vision Christ is not presented as the Redeemer, but as the Judge and Warrior.
 rov̂ $\theta$ eove $]$ In the N.T. the idea of a personal Logos seems to be limited to the Johamnine writings (for Heb. iv. 12 see Westcott $a d$ loc.) and there it isfoundunder three forms- $\delta \lambda$ óyos tov
 I), $\delta$ dóros (Jo. i. I ff.). Of these the




#### Abstract

   


present is probably the earliest; the relative use of the term would naturally precede the absolute, and the relation of the Word to God would be the first to present itself. 'O $\lambda$ óyos toù $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ (Kupiov) is a familiar O.T. phrase forapropheticutterance, whichStLuke and St Paul employ for the teaching of Jesus or for the Gospel (Lc. v. i, viii. 11 , xi. 28, Acts vi. 2, xiii. 5, 44, I Cor. xiv. 36,2 Cor. ii. 17 , iv. 2 , I Th. ii. 13 etc.). Meanwhile, the thought had taken root that Jesus is Himself the final and the only perfect revelation of God to man (Heb. i. I f.), and St John gave expression to this belief when he applied the term 'Word of God' to the glorified Christ. How far at this stage he had anticipated the doctrine of the Prologue to the Fourth Gospel cannot be determined ; but it is difficult to resist the impression that there is some connexion between the present passage and the teaching of the Alexandrine book of Wisdom; cf. Sap. xviii. 15 ó mavroíviváós $\sigma o v$ גóyos à $\pi^{\prime}$





Arethas asks how the giving of this name to Christ is to be reconciled with the statement in v. 12 : cikós évTl rıva


 His answer is not very convincing; but Apringius at least strikes the right note: "sicut pro ineffabilitate virtutis cius supra fatetur incognitum omnibus eius nomen...ad professionem nostrae fidei...Verbum Dei esse significat." No Name of our Lord, not even
i $\lambda^{\prime}$ 'jos, is more than a help to faith and a step towards fuller knowledge; cf. note on $\boldsymbol{v}$. 12 .
 oúpav $\hat{\varphi} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The existence of a celestial 'army' is implied in xii. 7 o $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \chi$ а ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$
 тov̂ סрáкодтos. In the O.T.
 for(I)theordered ranks of the heavenly bodies (cf. e.g. 2 Eisdr. xix. 6 бoi $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ -
 and (2) the angelic bodyguard of the Throne of God; see Driver, art. Host of Heaver, in Hastings, D.B. ii. p. 429 ff. Here the latter are clearly meant. The angelic hosts were at the service of the Incarnate Son even in the days of His Flesh (cf. Mt. xxvi. 53


 in His exaltation they wait upon His pleasure (Heb. i. 6 ff., cf. Mt. xiii. 4 I, xvi. 27, xxiv. 3I, xxv. 31, Apoc. v. II f.). Some of the ancient interpreters thought here of the elect from among mankind (e.g. Apringius: "exercitus qui in caelo est ipsa est sponsa"), or of the "martyrum candidatus exercitus"; but though either of these bodies might, consistently with the usage of the Apoc, be placed in Heaven and clad in white (cf. vii. 9 ff .), yet the general sense of both O. and N.T. points rather to the angelic orders, and Andreas is doubtless right

 oúpavias тágets oquaivel As the Lamb, Christ is followed by the Saints (xv. 4, xvii. I4); but as the Celestial Warrior, coming from Heaven to earth upon





 Ar｜$\tau \eta s$ op $\gamma \eta s$ sou $\theta$ venlo $\aleph$ the Or
a mission of judgement，He brings with Him His Angels．

On arparє́̇uata see ix．16，note．A
 soldiers，such as Herod＇s bodyguard （Lc．xxiii．II），or the garrison of the Antonia（Acts xxiii．10，27），or a great host，taken in the aggregate（ $v .19$ ）； in the plural the word＝troops，forces， copiae．These celestial troops are all cavalry（cf．ix．16），mounted，like their Captain，on white horses，the symbol and omen of victory．But whereas their Captain is arrayed in a cloak sprinkled with blood，they are clad in pure white byssus（cf．v．8，note）．He only has had experience of mortal conflict；for them bloodshed and death are impos－ sidle．
 pcúєтal кг入．］Another feature from the vision of $c$ ．i．；cf．i．16，notes．But thesharpsword issuing from the mouth of the Word fulfils a new purpose． The Priest－King，walking in the midst of the churches，uses it to chastise the impenitent members of the Asian con－


 work lies beyond the pale of the Church；the Warrior－King comes to smite the pagan nations with it．St John has in view Isar．xi． 3 ff．out kara

 $\chi \in i \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ àve入 $\epsilon i \hat{i} \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \grave{\eta}$ ．The Word of God fights with the sword of the word； His weapons are spiritual and not carnal（2 Cor．x．4）；He smites the nations not by judgements only，but by the forces which reduce them to
the obedience of faith；cf．Apringius： ＂percuteredicitur．．．liberare，damnare， iustificare，eripere，salvare．＂The whole course of the expansion of Christianity＇is here in a figure：the conversion of the Empire；the con－ version of the Western nations which rose on the ruins of the Empire；the conversion of the South and the far East，still working itself out in the history of our own time．In all St John would have seen Christ using the Sword of His mouth；the white horse and his Rider，the diadem－crowned head，the invisible armies of Heaven．
 $\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho a ̂:$ ：an image already familiar to readers of this book（ii． 27 ，xii． 5 ，where see notes）；the same blending of the metaphor of Iss．xi．and Ps．ii is to be observed in Ps．Sol xvii 26 f ．：



 бróparos aùrô－a coincidence which may be explained by supposing that St John here follows a Jewish tradi－ timon already existing in the century before Christ．The sense is clear． The work of the Pastor，the Guide and Ruler of souls（1 Pet．ii．25）， follows that of the Evangelist；the heathen are first to be reduced to obedience，and then brought under the discipline of Christ．
 кт入．］The repetition of kail av̇rós adds solemnity；Christ Himself is in all this movement，by whatever ministry He may work．And His work in the world is not all redemptive or restorative；it

 $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ каi кúplos курímע.


 arm $a_{\gamma \gamma} \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{o \nu}$ Q 130 alfere 30 gyr anon ${ }^{\text {aug }}$
has its terrible side. The $\lambda_{\eta} \nu^{\prime}$ ós of judgement and its wine of wrath have been mentioned already more than once; for the first see xiv. 19 f ., notes, and for the second, xiv. 8, 10, xvi. I9; now we learn by Whom the winepress is trodden, though this has already been suggested by $\boldsymbol{v}$. 13, with its reference to Isa. lxiii. I ff.
 While He is known to Himself by a name which is hidden from all others, and to the Churches as the Word of God, He has a third name which all can read, for it is displayed on His habit where it falls over the thigh.
 'on the cloak and on that most exposed part of it which covers the thigh,' where it cannotescape notice. Modern commentators quote Cic. Verr. iv. 43 "signum Apollinispulcherrimum, cuius in femore literulis minutis argenteis nomen Myrouiseratinscriptum"; Paus. Eliac. (Wetstein): à $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s$ єiкळ́v...é̀є-
 $\mu \eta \rho o v i$; the Apocalyptist, perhaps, has in view some equestrian statue at Ephesus similarly inscribed. The allegorical meaning which the ancient interpreters offer (e.g. Primasius: "femore illius posteritas seminis designatur in quo benedicentur omnes gentes") is improbable ; nor can we press rò i $\langle\mu \dot{\text { á- }}$ tuov aírov after the manner of Apringius, who writes: "in veste, id est, in sacramento Dominici corporis scriptum legitur nomen eius 'Rex regum'," meaning apparently that the glorified humanity of the Lord sufficiently proclaims His wiversal Sovereignty.

The title Bacideús кт入. is given to the Lamb in xvii. I4, where see notes; the changed order can hardly be more than accidental.
"Sic semper Verbum Dei," writes Irenaews (iv. 20. I I), after quoting the three visions of the exalted Christ in Apoc. i., v., xix., "velut lineamenta rerum futurarum habet, et velut species dispositionum Patris hominibus ostendebat, docens nos quae sunt Dei."

17-21. Overthrow and end of the Beast and tief False Prophet.

17 f. кai єi̊ $\tau \dot{q} \dot{\eta} \lambda i \varphi \kappa \pi \lambda$.] As in xviii. 2 I , a single angel suffices for the task. He takes up a position in the sun, whence ho can deliver his message to the great birds of prey that fly high in the zenith (єंข $\mu \epsilon \sigma о v \rho а \nu \eta \mu а т \iota: ~ c f . ~ v i i i . ~ 13, ~ x i y . ~ 6, ~$ notes); he is sent to summon them to the battlefield which is presently to be strewn with the bodies of the King's enemies. The imagery is borrowed from Ez. xxxix. 17 ff., where the slaughter of Gog is described: єimò








 to be found in Mt. xxiv. 28 of ofov eà ${ }^{\circ}$
 Carrion, even a single corpse, has a magnetic attraction for vultures, and here is a field piled with the dead, a
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great repast spread by the hand of
 in Ezekiel's words, a sacrificial feast spread on God's table for all the vultares of the sky. In Ezekiel only the bodies of the great are offered to the birds of prey; in St John's conception all the slain lie together; nọt only kings and captains (xidiopxos, tribuni, cf. vi. 15, note), but the rank and file, made up of all sorts and conditions of men free and bond (vi. 18, xiii. 16), small and great (xi. 13, xiii. 16, xix. 5, xx 12). The great war between Christ and Antichrist, which is now about to enter upon its final stage, draws its recruits from every class, and in war there is $n o$ respect of persons.

Is this battle to be identified with that of Mar Magedon (xvi. 16), and with that of Gog and Magog (xx. 8 ff ) ? In $c$. xvi. the forces are seen gathering for battle, but the battle is not yet begun; and there seems to be no reason why we should not find its consummation here; see note on xvi. 14 It is more difficult to correlate the present passage with xx. 8 f .; the battle of Gog and Magog follows the
thousand years, and prima face is distinct from the battle of $c$. xix., and later; see notes ad loo. It may be pointed out, however, (I) that xix. 17 ff . and xx. 8 f . are based on the same passage in Ezekiel, and (2) that in the Apocalypse priority in the order of sequence does not always imply priority in time.
On бápkas see xvii. 16, note.
19. kail cîboy fò Onpioy kail roùs Bagideis kr.] When the Beast was last seen (xvii. 16 f ), he was in league with the ten kings who were to bring about the destruction of Babylon. It was foreseen by the Seer that the kings would ultimately turn their arms against the Lamb (ib. 14). This development has now been reached; Babylon is no more, but the Beast survives, and is allied against Christ with the powers which have risen on the ruins of Rome. They are now called oi $\beta a \sigma \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s}$ ring $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$-the repro-
 who are the hereditary foes of the Lord's Anointed. In c. xvii. the Beast's allies are uncrowned (0. 12

$\alpha \cup ं т \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\sigma v \nu \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha$ тоın̄ $\sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \mu о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} ~ \tau о и ̆ ~$
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 $\mu \in \tau$ à roí Onpiov), but St John foresees that they will be succeeded by crowned heads; out of the confusion of the age which saw the fall of Rome there will rise a new order with duly constituted powers. These, however, so far as they lend their authority to the Beast (xvii. I3), i.e. so far as they inherit the selfish and worldly policy of the Empire, will be animated by the same spirit, and the Seer sees them in the end banded together, like Herod and Pontius Pilate, to wage the war ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$ ) foretold in xvii. 14 and even in Ps. ii.

In what form this prediction will fulfil itself cannot be conjectured. But it seems to point to a last struggle between Society and the Church, or rather between Christ and Antichrist. Those who take note of the tendeucies of modern civilization will not find it impossible to conceive that a time may come when throughout Christendom the spirit of Antichrist will, with the support of the State, make a final stand against a Christianity which is loyal to the Person and teaching of Christ.

On tov̂ arpatevínaтos aủroû as contrasted with tà $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{y} \mu a \tau a$ aưrôv Andreas makes the shrewd remark:



 unity which comes from making common cause in evil-doing (xvii. 13, 17), but it has its limits and is apt to
break down when personal interesta differ; the unity of the heavenly arратє́јата, when engaged in the service of God and of Christ, is indissoluble. Even the Church on earth in its last struggle with Antichrist may be expected to present an unbroken front to the foe; a grave common danger will go far to cancel mutual distrust.

 of the battlefield is carried on; the course of the battle is not recorded, but its issue is stated. The Beast, who had been the prime mover in the revolt against the King of kings, when the day was manifestly lost, made an effort to escape; but his flight was intercepted, and he was
 form of $\pi t \in \zeta \epsilon c y$ which was perpetuated in Hellenistic Greek, see W. Schm. p.

 $\sigma \mu$ évov; for the meaning 'seize,' 'arrest,' cf. Cant. ii. 15, Sir. xxiii. 2I, Jo. vii. $30,32,44, \times .39, x i .57$, Acts xii. 4,2 Cor. xi. 32. With the Beast was found his subservient ally, the False Prophet (cf. Tert. de res. carn. 25 "bestia antichristus cun sue pseudo-propheta"), i.e. the Second Beast of $c$. xiii. I I ff.; on this identification see xvi. 13, note. Tà $\sigma \eta \mu e i ́ a$, not 'miracles' (A.V.), but "the signs" (R.V.), i.e. those described in xiii. I3 ff., where see notes. The Seer still has in view the magic art practised by the priests of the Caesar-temples,
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ois $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon$ тovis $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ тò $\chi^{\alpha} \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ тố







but though he can only express himself in the terms of existing conditions, his words may be held to cover all forms of religious or irreligious fanaticism, all the juggling and dishonesties of false cults and creeds, whether pagan or Christian or openly antichristian. When Beatus writes: "pseudo-prophetae sunt prae-positi...pseudo-episcopi et sacerdotes eorum similes mali," he is wrong only in limiting his interpretation to Christian false prophets; the world is full of systems which misinterpret God and His relation to the creature, and these are not to be overlooked.

 to xiii. 16 , xiv. 9 ff., xvi. 2 , xx. 4.
 $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta y$ тov $\pi v \rho o ́ s ~ к \tau \lambda$.] As the two had fought together against Christ, so they will ultimately fall together; the day that sees the end of a false statecraft will see also that of a false priestcraft. The punishment of the Beast is suggested by Daniel's account of the fate of his fourth Beast (vii. II

 cis kavotv mupós: the meaning being that the Fourth Empire "is to be utterly brought to an end" (Driver). z $\omega$ идtes adds to the horror of the picture; cf. Num. xvi. 30 катаß
 (iv.) 15 ; the Greek classical writers ase the same figure, e.g. Soph. Ant.
 бкафás. Ní $_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \nu \eta}$ (stagnum, Prim., Vg.) is a comparatively shallow pool or
lake; Ps. cvi. (cvii.) 35 (A) ${ }^{\text {étero }}$ ép $\rho \mu о \nu$ єis $\lambda i \mu \nu a s$ vi 8 ár $\omega \nu$; Cant. vii. 4
 ràs rô à̀òs $\lambda i \not \mu \nu a s$ (salt basins near the Dead Sea); Lc. v. If., viii. 22 f., 33 (the Lake of Gennesaret). Thus the $\lambda_{i} \mu_{\nu \eta}$ тov̂ $\pi$ opós stands in marked contrast with the ${ }^{\prime} \beta v \sigma \sigma o s$ (ix. I ff., xx. I ff.); the Beast and False Prophet are not cast into a bottomless dungeon, to be kept in safe custody, but into a pool of blazing sulphur, where they will be consumed. It is the utter destruction and consumption of the two systems which is in view; like Babylon (xvii. 16 , xviii. 8), they are to be burnt with fire; not a vestige of them will be left in the new order. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \lambda i_{\mu \nu \eta} \tau . \pi$. ктл., or an equivalent phrase, occurs again in $x \mathrm{x}$. $10,14 \mathrm{f}$., xxi. 8 ; the use of the definite article on its first appearance seems to imply that the conception was already familiar to the Asian Churches; compare xi. 7 тò Olpioy кт $^{\text {r }}$., note. Possibly it was a local expression for
 to Palestinian Christians (Mt. v. 22 ff., Mc. ix. 43, note, Jac. iii. 6; cf. Secrets of Enoch, x. 2 "a gloomy fire is always burning, and a fiery river goes forth," with Charles's note); кcuou'өи ì $\theta_{\epsilon i} i \omega$, however, points rather to the story of Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen. xix. 24 ; cf. Ez. xxxviii. 22). T ${ }^{2} s$ каєoнévəs, if original, can only be a slip due to hasty writing or dictation;
 $\theta$ fiov see ix. 17 f., xiv. ro, notes.
 The rest of the enemy, the kings and




21 opvea] $\theta \eta \rho t a$ A $^{* v i d}$
 arm ${ }^{\text {exe } 4}$ aeth anonaug | om $\epsilon \kappa$ тov oup. $\aleph^{*}$ (hab $\aleph^{c, a}$ )
their hosts (o. 19), were not cast, like the Beast and the Prophet, into the Lake of Fire, but slain outright by the sword of the Word; contrast $A s-$ cension of Isaiah iv. I4 (ed. Charles, p. 33), "He will drag Beliar into Gehenna, and also his armies." That this wholesale slaughter is to be understood in a purely spiritual sense is clear from the words $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \in \lambda \theta o v i \sigma \eta$ ék tov̂ atópatos aùzoû which follow. The sword is that of which St Paul

 action of the living Word who wields it may be illustrated by Heb. iv. 12



 $\mu v \in \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. In interpreting, room should probably be allowed for punitive as well as for restorative operations; the Word slays by pronouncing judgement as well as by reducing to the obedience of faith. But it is probably the latter process which is chiefly in view; the slaying of the $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi} \theta \rho a$ cis $\theta \in \dot{o} \nu$, of the self which resists Christ; cf. Gal. ii. I9 f., vi. 14, and for the exact figure, though used with a somewhat different reference, Eph. ii. 16 átoктєívas rì̀ ${ }^{4} \notin \theta \rho a \nu$. Thus the vision of the victorious Word fulfils itself in any movement which leads to conversions on a great scale, such as that which attended the preaching of Boniface; and it may find a nore complete accomplishment at a time jet fiture, when Christ will work through some new Apostle of the Gentiles for the ข่такоท่̀ ย่ $\theta \nu \omega ิ \nu$ (Rom. xv. I8).
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma a \rho \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ See $v .17$ f., notes. The words belong to the scenery of the context, and need no precise interpretation such as that of Andreas
 of Primasius ("invitantur spirituales ad caenam"). The number of the slain justified the anticipations of the angel who invited all the vultures of the world to feast upon them. Schoettgen quotes a Rabbinical parallel, syn. Sohar, p. 114, In. 25 "illo tennpore cum Deus vindictam exercebit pro populo suo Israel, carnibus hostium illorum caenabuntur omnes bestiae mensibus xii, et aves cibum exinde habebunt vii annos."
XX. I-6. The Thousand Years of Satan's captivity and the Martyrs' reign.

 cỉiov does not, like $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ raûra $\epsilon$ î̉ov, determine the order of time in which the vision was seen relatively to the visions which precede it, but merely connects it with a series of visions which for whatever purpose the writer has seen fit to bring together in this part of his book ; cf. xix. 1I, I7, 19, xx. 4, II, 12, xxi. 1, and contrast $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тaüra ei̊ov in xviii. I , and $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau$. ${ }^{\eta} \mathrm{k} o v \sigma a$ in xix. 1. It must not, therefore, be assumed that the events now to be described chronologically follow the destruction of the Beast and the False Prophet and their army.

In the present vision, as in that of c. xviii., an angel descends from heaven, charged with a special mission (xviii. I, note). He carries the key (on клєív





$=\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \not \partial a$ see i. 18 , note) which unlocks the mouth of the shaft that leads down into the Abyss;-cf. ix. I $\dot{\eta}$ к $\kappa$ neis roû
 oos stands here in sharp contrast with ${ }_{\eta} \lambda_{i \mu \nu \eta}$ (xix. 20); the locked dungeon with its black and bottomless depths forms an antithesis to the open, shallow pool of fire.

The angel who is charged with the key of the Abyss carries also a manacle;
 see Mc. v. 4, note, and Acts xii. 7
 and cf. Lightfoot, Philippians, p. 8, note 2. The fetter is of great size, being intended to hold a prisoner of no ordinary strength-one stronger than Samson (Jud. xvi. 6 ff ), stronger than the 'Legion' who tore asunder the chains that secured the Gerasene (Mc. l.c.); an ioxupós than whom there is but one stronger (Lc. xi. 21f.). The great chain lies on the angel's hand ( $\dot{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{i} \tau i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ $\chi \star i \rho a=e ́ \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\epsilon \epsilon \rho o ́ s}=$ nearly iv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\chi \in i \rho i$, cf. i. 16, 20 ), ready for use as sool as he comes upon the criminal.
 The Dragon, who from the first (xiii. 2, 4, notes) has been behind the revolt led by the Beast and False Prophet, but hitherto has escaped justice, is now seized and chained: on kpareiv followed by the acc. see ii. 1 , note.
 parenthesis (cf. i. 5, ii. 13, notes) borrowed from xii. 9 , where see note. For the present the Dragon is not slain or consumed, but only made a
prisoner (for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{E} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ in this sense see ix. I4, and cf. Mt. xxvii. 2, Mc. vi. I7, Le. xiii. 16 , Acts xii. 6 , xxii. 5) for a term of a thousand years, i.e. a long period of time, a great epoch in


 ó $\Delta a v i 8$ (Ps. civ. $=\mathrm{cv} .8$ )...eis रin ias

 "pro eloquendi modo dicit, sicut est illud intellegendum in mille generationes, cum non sint mille." For the interpretation of this period see the third note on $\boldsymbol{v} .6$, below.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Satan, powerless in the hands of the angel, who exercises Divine power (Andreas: iva $\delta \in i \xi_{\eta}$ кaì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 кavà $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu(\nu)$, and fettered, is flung down the shaft into the Abyss, the mouth of which is at once locked and made secure. The Abyss is the destination to which the 'Legion' looks forward (Le. viii. 3 I тapexáлovv aủт̀̀̀
 $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon i \nu)$, and it is under the charge of the Angel Abaddon (Apollyon) (ix. 1I, note), who is by some interpreters identified with Satan himself, and is at deast a kindred power. Thus the Dragon's committal is in effect a limitation to his proper sphere of influence; already he has been cast out of Heaven (xii. 9), now he is cast out of the earth, and returus to his own place.






 $\left.\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{pl}}\right]$ เбои $\mathrm{AQ} 792 \times 30$
 precaution taken to prevent escape. Not only is the pit's month shut and locked; it is sealed. In c. v. I seven seals guard the secrets of a papyrus roll; in vii. 2 a seal stamps the Divine impress upon the servants of God. The use of the seal here is parallel to that described in Mt. xxvii. 66 गे $\sigma \phi$ алti-
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ кovarшoঠias; cf. Ev. Petr. 8
 pose of sealing the entrance to a prison was to prevent any attempt at escape or rescue passing unobserved; see

 Bel in ff.
iya $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda a \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The confinement of Satan to the Abyss is not so much a punitive as a precautionary measure; so long as he is in the Abyss, he cannot deceive the nations, as he had been used to do. To mislead on a great scale is his business and raison d'être ; see xii. 9 ó $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\nu}$ oikov$\mu \epsilon \in \eta \eta \nu \bar{\sigma} \lambda \eta \nu$, and cf. Jo. viii. 44 örav

 his activity is checked for a season; the great malefactor is in custody, and there is no fear that he will break his prison while his term of imprisonment lasts. Afterwards he must be released for a little while: $\mu$ ккрóv is relative, as in Jo. vii. 33, xii. 35, Apoc. vi. in-the release will be brief in comparison with the captivity. But short or long, it must come; there is a necessity for it ( $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ ), founded on
some mystery of the Divine Will This use of $\delta \in i$, frequent in the N.T. (Mt. xxiv. 6, xxvi. 54, Mc. viii. 31, ix. Ir, xiii. 7, Le. xxiv. 26, 44, Jo. xii. $34, \times x .9$, Acts xvii. 3, xxiii. II ; in this book, i. I, iv. I, xi. 5, xiii. ıo, xvii. 1i) occurs first in the versions of Daniel
 N. It is in vain to speculate on the grounds of this necessity, but it may be that the Christian nations which have long acquiesced in the faith without conviction will need to be sifted before the end; cf. Le. xxii.
 othááat wis tòv $\begin{gathered}\text { ârov. A short exposure }\end{gathered}$
 ( 2 Th. ii. II) may suffice to separate the wheat from the chaff.
 aviroús кг $\lambda$.] Another vision, which is shewn by the sequel ( $v .7$ tà $x^{i \lambda} \lambda_{a}$ ér $\boldsymbol{T}$ ) to be synchronous with Satan's captivity. The scene is from Daniel
 the indefinite ' '́кá $\theta^{\prime} \sigma a v$, which follows here, resembles Dan. viii. 26 tò коь-
 plural is perhaps meant to include Christ and His assessors, the Apostles (Mt. xix. 28) and Saints (I Cor. vi. 3);
 'ryiarov. To these is given the right of pronouncing sentence ( $\kappa \rho i \mu a$ ); they are invested with judicial authority. On $\theta$ póvas see ii. I 3 , note ; it is here the judge's clair, placed upon the $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$, where he sits to hear cases and deliver judgement; cf. Jo. xix. 13 o






[^189]
 крíनews ; Acts xxv. 6, I7, I Cor. vi. 4
 The picture presented to the mind is that of a state of society in which Christian opinion is dominant, and positions of influence and authority are held by believers and not, as in the age of St Johu, by pagans and persecutors.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Sc. $\epsilon i \delta o \nu$. In vi. 9 the souls of the martyrs were seen under the Altar, crying for vengeance. It has now

 appear again, living and reiguing with Christ. For $\epsilon \in \phi a \gamma \mu \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \nu$ (vi. 9, xviii. 24), which associated the martyrs with the Sacrificed Lamb (v. 6, 9, 12, xiii. 8), the Apocalyptist now writes $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon-$
 (securis),' the traditional instrument of capital punishment in republican Rome, which, though under the Empire superseded by the sword (Acts xii. 2), still lingered in the memory of the provincials; cf. Diod. Sic. xix. 101


 kıбay. The Seer still has in his mind the martyrs of his own age, the victims of Nero and Domitian. With $\delta i a ̀ ~ t \grave{\eta \nu}$ $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a \nu$ 'In $\quad$ oí cf. i. 9, xii. 17, xix. 10,
 see i. 9 , vi. 9.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.$] Cf. Cyprian, ad Fortun. 12$ "vivere omnes dicit et regnare cum Christo, non tantum qui occisi fuerint sed quique in fidei suae firmitate et Dei timore perstantes imaginem bestiae non adoraverint." The triumph of Christ is shared not by the martyrs only but by all who under the sway of the Beast and the False Prophet suffered reproach, boycotting, imprisonment, loss of goods, or other inconveniences, though they did not win the marty's crown : cf. xiii. 15, xiv. 9 ff., xvi. 2, xix 20, notes. Kat oítupes introduces a second class of persons, 'confessors,' and others who were faithful in the age of persecution, with special reference to those who in St John's day were resisting the Caesar-worship.

 suffered under Tiberius now lives (i. 18) and reigns, as the vision of $c$. xix. has shewn (vo. 12, 16), and His life and royalty are to be shared for a thonsand years by the martyrs and confessors of the Church. 'O xpiatós occurs in the Apocalypse only in xi 15, xii 19 , xx. 4, 6, and is probably in each instance a reminiscence of Ps . ii. 2. The Lord's Auointed, against Whom the kings of the earth conspired, has









triumphed over His enemies, and His victory ensures that of those who have fought on His side.

 from this statement, as many expositors have done, that the és $\eta_{\eta \sigma a \nu}$ of v. 4 must be understood of bodily resuscitation, is to interpret apocalyptic prophecy by methods of exegesis which are proper to ordinary narrative. The Seer merely guards against the impression that he had rcferred to the General Resurrection, which will follow and not precede the Thousand Years of the Martyrs' reign. On $\bar{\epsilon} \zeta \eta \sigma a \nu=\dot{a} \nu \dot{\prime}\langle\eta \sigma a \nu$ see ii. 8, note, and for ä́रpı $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ cf. Blass, Gr. p. 219.
 the return of the martyrs and confessors to life at the beginning of the Thousand Years, is the First Resurrection. It belongs to the Apocalyptist's view of things to see the great realities of life and death arranged in antithetical pairs, in which one of the two facts belongs to the present order, and the other, its greater coumterpart, to the future;
 $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, contrasted with ouj $\rho$. katvós, $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ каlví; ii. 11, xx. 6, 14, xxi. 8 ó Gávatos ó devítepos or ó $\delta$. Aávaros, implying a $\pi \rho \overline{\cos }$ оs $\theta$ ávatos, though the latter is not expressly named. So here the First Resurrection is one which takes effect in the present life, in contrast with that which belongs to the new order and is to be introduced by the

Parousia. There is nothing analogous in this to I Th. iv. 16 of veкpoì èv
 rov is there in antithesis to $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$ j $\mu \mathrm{\mu}$ is of g $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s} \kappa \tau \lambda$., i.e. the dead in Christ are contrasted with His members who will be living upon earth at the time of His coming. Nor again is I Cor. xv. 23 really parallel; there St Paul defines the order in which the Resurrection will take place at the Second Advent, and his words
 à่гồ) are not limited, as St John's are, to the martyrs and confessors, but embrace all loyal members of the Church. Hence Origen's remark (fragm. in Isa. ap. Pamph. Apol. 7) is inapplicable here: "considerandum est...ne forte dividi possit omnis resurrectionis ratio in duas partes, id est in eos qui salvandi sunt iustos, et etiam in eos qui cruciandi sunt peccatores." On the probable meaning of St John's First Resurrection see note after $v .6$.
6. дакápıos каì äyıos ó èXw山 $\mu$ épos $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] A fifth Apocalyptic beatitude (cf. i. 3 , xiv. 13 , xyi. 15 , xix. 9 , xxii. 7 , 14), distinguished from the other six by the addition of áyios to $\mu$ ака́atos. He to whom this $\mu$ ккарьनцós belongs is not only happy, but holy; he is in the highest degree worthy of the name of Saint; he is beatified, he is canonized by the voice of the Spirit

 the use of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò $\mu$ épos in xxi. 8, xxii. 19.

#  



The grounds of the beatification are added. (1) 'Over these (i.e. $\overline{\epsilon \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu}$
 (see below, $\boldsymbol{r}$. I4, note) has no coutrol'; the first is past already and for them there remains no other. The words
 Bávatos aútoū oưkéte kvplevé, but the reference there is to the first death only. (2) 'On the contrary (a $\lambda \lambda$ ') they shall be priests of God and the


 destiny purchased by the Christ for all Christians will be realized in those who partake in the First Resurrection; for them priestly service in the glory of its ideal perfection is an accomplished fact. The inclusion of Christ with God in the Object of Divine service is peculiar to this passage, but it agrees with what has been said in c. v. 8 ff . as to the joint worship of God and of the Lamb by heavenly beings, and with the general tendency of the Book to regard Christ as the Equivalent of God. (3) There is yet a third reason for the $\mu$ aкapı $\sigma$ ós of the martyrs and confessors; 'they shall reign with the Christ during the thousand years' (i.e. those mentioned in 0. 4). Priesthood and royalty are the mutually complementary aspects of the service of God, "cui servire regnare est"; cf. i. 6, v. Io, xxii. 3, 5, notes. It is important to notice that no hint is given as to where this service is to be rendered and this royalty to be ex-
 place here either in 0.4 or in 0.6 , and must not be read between the lines.

Any serious attempt to interpret the vision of the Thousand Years must begin with an examination, however cursory, of contemporary Jewish belief upon the subject of
the Messianic Reigu. (1) While the O.T. represents this Reign as permaneut (Dan. ii. 44, vii. 27; cf. Jo. xii. 34), the pseudepigraphic writers of 100 b.c.-100 A.D., whether influenced by Persian eschatology, as Briggs suggests (Messiah of the Gospels, p. 15 f.) or by the hopes of an unsettled age, looked for a temporary triumph of righteousness before the consummation of all things; see Charles, Eschatology, p. 200 ff. (2) To this golden age varying periods were assigned; thus in Tanchuma 7, in answer to the question 'How long are the days of the Messiah ?', $\mathbf{R}$. Akiba replies 'Forty years'; other Rabbinic computations give 100,600 , 1000, 2000, 7000 years (Weber, Jüd. Theologie ${ }^{2}$, p. 372 f.; while in 4 Esdr. vii. 28 we rcad: "revelabitur enim filius meus [Tesus] cum his qui cum eo, et iocundabit qui relicti sunt annis quadringentis"). (3) In Enoch xci. ff. human history is divided into weeks, of which the eighth and ninth witness the victory of righteousness, while the tenth is that of the final judgement, followed by the creation of a new heaven and the beginning of an eternal order. The later Slavonic Enoch (Secrets of $E$. xxxiii. I f., ed. Charles, p. 46) makes the duration of the world a single week of seven days, each day consisting of 1000 years, to be succeeded by an eighth day in which there are "neither years nor noonths nor weeks nor days nor hours," i.e. Eternity. This conception of a week of millennia took root in early Christian thought, and support for it was found in an allegorical treatment of Gen. ii. I ff. coupled with Ps. lxxxix. (xc.) 4 ; cf. Barn. ep. 15.4 т $\rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi \in \tau \epsilon$,






##  





 Al. strom. iv. 25, § 161 ó $\chi$ póvos...ó 8ià

 The idea existed also in Zoroastrianism (Hastings, D. B. iv. 990 b), but the' Judaeo-Christian tradition rests clearly and sufficiently on the O.T.

It can scarcely be doubted that St John's mind was familiar with these conceptions; yet he employs them with considerable reserve. Either from (3), or perhaps from the O.T. itself (Ps. l.c., cf. 2 Pet. iii. 8), he has adopted the symbolical term of rooo years, whilst (I) has been so far used that he assigus this limit to the reign of the martyrs with Christ. But St John does not commit himself to a reign upon earth. When Dr Charles writes (Eschatology, p. 349): "the martyrs...reign with Christ personally on earth for a thousand years (xx. 4-6), with Jerusalem as the centre of the kingdom," he introduces into the eschatology of this passage ideas collected from cc. v. Ia, xx. 9, and xxi. 10 .

Early Christian interpretation fell into the same snare. Thus Justin, in answer to Trypho the Jew, admits



 oiкодоиך $\theta_{\epsilon i \sigma \eta}$ каі̀ коб $\mu \eta \theta_{\epsilon i \sigma \eta}$ каіे $\pi \lambda а-$

入oyov̄ $\iota$; adding after a little: $\pi a \rho$ '





'Iepovarà $\eta^{\mu}$ has been suggested by Isa l.c., or imported from c. xxii. 5, which refers to the final state. The same confusion appears in Tertullian, adv. Marc. iii. 24: "confitemur in terra nobis regnum repromissum, sed ante caelum, sed alio statu, utpote post resurrectionem, in mille annos in civitate divini operis Hierusalem caclo delata." Still further from St John's thought is the picture of sensuous bliss derived by Papias (cf. Eus. H. E. iii. 39) from an apocryphal souree (see Iren. v. 33. 3 f., and Charles's note on Apoc. Baruch, xxix. 5), and strangely ascribed to our Lord, and the grosser views attributed to Cerinthus (ap. Eus. iii. $28 \lambda \epsilon \in \neq \nu$



 $\epsilon \iota \nu k \tau \lambda$.). There were, however, cven in Justin's days many Christians who refused to accept the chiliastic interpretation of St John's vision, as Justin himself candidly confesses (L.c. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s$


 in the third century a materialistic chiliasm was strongly condemned by Origen (de princ. ii. I I. 2), and Dionysius (ap. Eus. H. E. vii. 25 ; ed. Feltoe, p. x15); but no thorough examination of this passage, with a constructive purpose, seems to have been undertaken by the Alexandrian school. To Augustine the Church owes the first serious effort to interpret Apoc. xx. (de cir. Dei xx. 7 ff ). He confesses that he had at one time been disposed to adopt a modified chiliasm, in which "deliciae spirituales" were substituted for the sensuous expectations of the early milliarii. But a longer study
of the subject led him to a different conclusion. He had learned to see in the captivity of Satan nothing else than the binding of the strong man by the Stronger than he which the Lord had foretold (Mc. iii. 27, Lc. xi. 22); in the thousand years, the whole interval between the first Advent and the last conflict; in the reign of the Saints, the entire course of the Kingdom of Heaven ; in the judgement given to them, the binding and loosing of sinners; in the first resurrection, the spiritual share in the Resurrection of Christ which belongs to the baptized (Col. iii. I). This exegesis finds a place in most of the ancient commentators, both Greek and Latin, who wrote after Augustine's time.

There are points at which the Augustinian interpretation forsakes the guidance of St Johu's words; it overlooks, e.g., the limitation of the first Resurrection to the martyrs and confessors. But on the whole it seems to be on right lines. The symbolism of the Book is opposed to a literal understanding of the Thousand Years, and of the resurrection and reign of the Saints with Christ. It is "the souls" of the martyrs that St John sees alive ; the resurrection is clearly spiritual and not corporeal. Augustine's reference to the parable of the Strong Man armed is illuminating in a high degree, even if it is impossible to press it to the precise conclusion which he reached.

Turuing back to the vision itself, we observe that it has points both of contact and of coutrast with the Vision of the Two Witnesses in c. xi. 3 ff . In each a definite time is fixed -in c. xi. 1260 days, in c. xx. 1000 years. If the 1260 days symbolize the duration of the triumph of heathenism (xi. 2 f., notes), the 1000 years as clearly symbolize the duration of the triumph of Christianity. In c. xi. II ff. the Two Witnesses after their martyrdom rise and ascend to heaven in the sight of their enemies ; in $c$. xx. 4 ff . the souls of the martyrs and con-
fessors live and reign with Christ. In both passages we have virtually the same fact symbolized, viz. the victory of the principles for which tlue martyrs died and the confessors endured hardship and loss. How short the age of persecution would be, when compared with the duration of a dominant Christianity, is shewn by the adoption of a term of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ years in the one case and of iooo years in the other. Blessed and holy, indeed, were those who by their brief resistance unto blood secured for the Church so long a continuance of peaceful service ; they would live and reign with Christ as kings and priests in the hearts of all succceding generations of Christians, while their work bore fruit in the subjection of the civilized world to the obedience of the faith.

If this or some similar interpretation be accepted, the question remains at what epoch the great chapter in history represented by the Thousand Years began. An obvious allswer would be, 'With the Conversion of Constantine, or of the Empire.' If, however, the visions are to be regarded as following one another in something like chronological order (but see v. I, note), St Johu has in view the moment of the overthrow of the Beast and the False Prophet, i.e. the final break up of the Roman world-power and its ally, the pagan system of priestcraft and superstition. But possibly the question, like many another raised by this Book, admits of no precise answer. The Seer of the Apocalypse does not anticipate history; he is content to emphasize and express in apocalyptic language the principles which guide the Divine government of the world. That the age of the Martyrs, however long it might last, would be followed by a far longer period of Christian supremacy during which the faith for which the martyrs died would live and reign, is the essential teaching of the present visiou. When, under what circumstances, or by what means this happy
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result should be attained, St Johu does not foresee, and has not attcmpted to explain. It might have been well if students of his book had always followed the example of this wise reserve.

7-io. After the Thousand Years. Release of Satan: War of Gog and Magog.
 $\lambda \nu \theta$ riбєtaı кт入.] 'Whensoever the thousand years shall end, Satan shall be released' The use of the future tense is carried on from $v .6$ into vv. 7,8 , with the result that this part of the vision assumes the form of a prophecy.
 v. 3 is at length to be accomplished; the thousand years of the Martyrs' Reign (now identified with the thousand years of Satan's captivity; cf. vo. 2-5) being ended; he will. be set free from his prison (for this sense of $\phi \nu \lambda a \times \dot{\prime}$ see ii. ro, and cf. xviii. 2, note), and troublous times will begin again. As the Seer ascribes the first persccution under Nero to Satan's wrath at his expulsion from Heaven (xii. 13, note), so the final outbreak of hostility against the Church is attributed to his return to the earth after long imprisomment in the Abyss.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.$] Of. Bede: "exibit.... in apertam$ persecutionem de latebris erumpet odiorum." A thousand years have wrought no change in Satan's methods; no sooner has he been set free than he is at his old work of deceiving the world (v. 3, note), and turning it
against the Church; his limitations removed, the évépyeca $\pi \lambda a ́ v \eta s$ begins
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ (see $c$. vii. r, note), i.e. all the nations of the world, however remote;

 whole land. The movement which St John foresees is not dictated by an imperial policy, but is the result of a common impulse which will seize men of all races and nationalities.
 appears first in Gen. x. 2 (see Driver's note) ; but the immediate reference here is to Ez. xxxviii.-xxxix., where the prophet conceives of a great invasion of the land of Israel by Gog (did), whom he connects with the land
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ тô v Ma $\omega^{\prime} \gamma$ ), and describes as the prince of Rosh, Meshech, and Tubal -the two last usually identified with tribes inhabiting the S. and S.E. shores of the Euxine. "The expedition imagined by the prophet is no doubt modelled upon the great irruption of the Scythians into Asia (Hdt. i. 104-6) which took place in 630 в.c." (Driver on Gen. l.c.). Josephus identifies Magog with the Scythians (antt. i. 6. I Mayóvps $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$


 older interpreters of the Apocalypse thought of the Scythians here. But whatever Gog and Magog may have meant to Ezekiel, St John's phrase rò $\boldsymbol{y}$ I $\omega \dot{\gamma}$ кà Maj ${ }^{\prime} \gamma$ has no definite



[^190]geographical associations; possibly it comes not directly from Ezekiel, but from Jewish apocalyptic sources in which it had assumed a new connotation. In the Rabbinical writings Gog and Magog appear as the enemies of the Messiah; cf. the Jerusalem Targum on Num. xi. 29 "Eldad et Medad (cf. Herm. vis. ii. 3, Fabric. cod. pseud. V. T. i. p. 80 ff.) ambo isti prophetarunt simul et dixerunt: 'In fine extremitatis dierum Gog et Magog et exercitus eorum adscendent Hierosolyma, et per manus regis Messiae ipsi cadent'"; Aboda Sarai. f. 36 "quando videbunt bellum Gog et Magog dicet ad eos Messias: 'Ad quid huc venistis?' Respondebunt 'Adversus Dominum et adversus Christum eius'"; for other Rabbinical passages see Wetstein ad $l$.; Schoettgen, de Mess. (ii. pp. 68, 227); Weber, Jüd. Theal. ${ }^{2}$ p. 386 ff . et passim. See also Orac. Sibyll. iii. 319 ff. at aï $\sigma o t$, $\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \eta \Gamma \omega \overline{\text { (cf. Book of Jubilees, ed. }}$






 $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$; for the expansion of the legend in the later apocalypses see Bousset, Der Antichrist, esp. p. 128 f. Conjecture was busy among Christian interpreters of the fourth and following centuries as to the identity of Gog and Magog. Eusebius (dem. ev. ix. 3) mentions the view that Gog represents the Roman Empire; Ambrose (de fide ii. 16) says: "Gog iste Gothus est," while Andreas and Arethas ad loc. speak of some who thought that the Huns were intended. Augustine, ou the other hand (de cio.

Dei xx. II), rightly rejects any such narrowing of the sense: "toto namque orbe terrarum significati sunt isti esse, cum dictum est nationes quae sunt in iv angulis terras." This great uprising of the nations will, he adds, be the final protest of the world against the Church: "haec enim erit novissima persecutio quam sancta ecclesia toto terrarum orbe patietur, universa scilicet civitas Christi ab universa diaboli civitate, quantacumque erit ubique super terram."
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] Cf. xvi. 14, where the same words are used of the three froglike spirits arising from the Dragon, the Beast, and the False Prophet, which gathered the Kings to the battle of Har Magedon. A similar war is described in xvii. I4, xix. 19; whether the three passages refer to the same event is not clear, but the war of Gog and Magog appears to be distinguished by its position after the Thousand Years (örav тєौєб $\theta_{\hat{\eta}}$ тà $\chi$. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\text {. }}$ ) and immediately before the Last Judgement. Other onslaughts upon the Church were preludes to this final worldwide attack.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ the metaphor carries us back to many $O$. T. contexts in which a great host is described; cf. e.g. Gen. xxii. 17 , Jos. xi. 4, Jud. vii. 12, i Regn. xiii. 5, 2 Regn. xvii. II, Judith ii. 20 , I Macc. xi. I.

 $\dot{u} \psi o s ~ o u ̛ \rho a \nu 0 \hat{v}$ каі $\pi \lambda a ́ t o s ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} s ;$ Hab. i. 6

 T Israel is doubtless in the Seer's mind;











 $\mu o v$; Enoch lvi. 6 (ed. Charles): "they will march up to and tread under foot the land of His elect ones, and the land of His elect ones will be before them a threshing flow and a path." In the aorist dyc $\beta_{\eta}$ aay the writer slips back into his usual apocalyptic manner (cf. $v .7$, note); he sees the hosts of the invading army just as they appear on the horizon, mounting up, as it were, on the edge of the great plain -perhaps Esdraelon is still in his thoughts (xvi. 16, note). Or àvaßīvat may be used with its usual reference to the backbone of central Palestine, and the situation of Jerusalem.
 á $\gamma^{\prime} \omega_{\nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Apringius: "nihil caeleste sapiunt, nullam caelestis altitudinis potentiam metuunt." The "Camp of the Saints' and the 'Beloved City 'are two aspects of one body, the Universal Church, whichis threatened by Gogand Magog. Пapє $\mu \beta \circ \lambda \eta$, a word which, as
 a reminiscence of Macedonian military life, the constant cxx. equivalent of , (Ex. xiv. ig f.) or engaged in battle (Heb. xi. 34: see Westcott's note), recalls the picture of Israel marching through the wilderness (Num. ii. 2 ff .), and perhaps also of the brave stand of the Maccabees against Antiochus (1 Macc. v. 40 ff .). On the other hand
 Church as the New Zion, the civitas $D e i$ (Heb. xii. 22), already potentially
set up on earth (cf. c. xxi. 1o). 'H गु $\gamma a \pi \eta \mu \epsilon \bar{\eta} \eta$ looks back to Ps. lxxvii.
 Ps. lexxvi. (lexsvii.) 2 áyarâ Kúpıos




 see B.L.B.B. s.v. and Cheyne, Psalms, p. 376). Wetstein compares Aesch.
 $\theta_{\epsilon} \neq \emptyset \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~s}$. The Beloved City includes of course the Gentile Church, once $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ oủk $\eta^{\prime} \gamma a \pi \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$, but now one with Israel in Christ; see Rom. ix. 25 f. (SH.), I Pet. ii. Io (Hort). Kuk $\epsilon_{\dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu}$ $=\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu$ occurs also in Jo. x. 24 (B)
 (Notes, p. 178) compare $\zeta \eta \lambda \in \nu \dot{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ $=\zeta \eta \lambda o u ̂ p$ in Apoc. iii. 19, and ámodєкатєข่єเข $=$ ȧтаถิєкатои̂̀ in Le. xviii. 12 ( ${ }^{*}$ * $\mathbf{B}$ ); for кuк $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ô̂̀ "besiege' cf. Le. xix.
 $\sigma o 九 ~ к а і ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к и к \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma и \sigma i \nu$ б $\epsilon$, and $i b$. xxi.
 $\pi \epsilon \in \delta \omega \nu$ 'Іє $\rho \sigma v \sigma a \lambda \eta{ }_{j} \mu$. The spiritual Jerusalem will be surrounded by a greater host, but no ép $\eta \mu \omega \sigma t s$ awaits her. As to the sense in which she will be besieged, Primasius is donbtless right: "hoee est, in angustiis tribulationis arctabitur, urgebitur, concludetur."
 Cf. Ez. xxxviii. 22 каì mî́ каì $\theta \in i ́ o \nu$



 lows M.T. with Mayáy). There is probably also an allusion to 4 Regn. i. 10, 12
 aừò̀ кaì тov̀s тєขtríkoyta aủrov̂-an

 òтои каi то̀ $\theta \eta \rho i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ o ̀ ~ \psi є и б о т \rho о ф и ́ т \eta s, ~ к а і ~$
 т $\hat{\omega} \nu \alpha i \omega \nu \omega \nu$.




O.T. incident which had impressed itself, as we know (Lc. ix. 54), on the mind of St John. For the future Gog and Magog he foresees a destruction as complete as that which overtook the besiegers of the old city (4 Regn. xix. 35 ).

Io, кal ó stáßo入os ó $\pi \lambda a \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$ aùroùs ${ }^{\prime} \beta \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \theta_{\eta} k \tau \lambda$. ] The Deceiver of the nations (for the pres. part. see Blass, Gr. p. 198; Dr Gwynn's Syriac version uses the verbal noun <1evos=
 iii. I3, Pesh., Gwynn, p. 87) escapes the general doom only to be reserved for one more terrible. Like the Beast and the False Prophet before him he is flung into the Lake of Fire (cf. xix. 20, note); kai $\theta \in i=v$ answers to $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 third and final pumishment is reached (compare xii. 9, xx. 2 f.) -so slowly does the Diviue Justice assert itself, though the end has been foreseen from the beginning; see Mt. xxp. 41


 The three ringleaders are now at length involved in the same hopeless ruin, and, as was meet, suffer a puuishment more severe than those whom they misled; whilst their dupes are at once consumed by fire from heaven, they are immersed in a fiery flood where their torture is increasing and
 тыцє́pas каї риктòs (iv. 8, vii. 15 , xii. 10,
xiv. 1I) єis тov̀s aî̀pas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aiáv ${ }^{i} \nu$ (i. 18 , xi. 15 , xiv. 1 I , xix. 3 , xxii. 5). It is not certain that these terrible words can be pressed into the service of the doctrine of the Last Things; since two of the three subjects of the Ba $\sigma a v \iota \sigma \mu$ ós represent systems and not persons, it is safer to regard them as belonging to the scenery of the vision rather than to its eschatological teaching. But beyond a doubt St John intends at least to teach that the forces, personal or impersonal, which have inspired mankind with false views of life and antagonism to God and to Christ will in the end be completely subjugated, and, if not annihilated, will at least be prevented from causing further trouble. From the Lake of Fire there is no release, unless evil itself should be ultimately consumed; and over that possibility there lics a veil which our writer does not help us to lift or pierce.
it-i5. Vision of the General Resurregtion and the Last Judgement.
 $k \pi \lambda$.] All is now ready for the last scene connected with the present order. The Great White Throne contrasts with the $\theta$ póvac of $x x .4$; in the final judgement there is but one throne, since there is but One judge; cf. Heb. xii. 23 крıг $\hat{\eta} \theta \in \hat{̣} \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$; Jac.
 purity of this Supreme Court is symbolized by the colour of the Throne;



 Q4 $263^{1} 3^{2} 4^{8} \mathrm{Ar}$
cf. Dan. vii. 9, Th., $\tau^{\dot{d}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \delta \partial u \mu a \mathfrak{v} \tau o \hat{v} \omega \sigma \epsilon i$

 $\theta$ eov̂ àmò 入ítov фoukć ("of alabaster," Charles, p. 89); and see Ps. ix. I


 rov. The Judge is not named, and there is solemnity in this reserve; as Bousset says: "der Name Gottes wird hier wie iv. 2 f. ehrfurchtsvoll umschrieben." But throughout the Book
 Almighty Father (iv. 2 f., 9, v. 1, 7, 13, vi. 16, vii. 10 , 15 , xix. 4 , xxi. 5), as distinguished from the Incarnate Son; cf. 4 Esdr. vii. 33 "revelabitur Altissi-
 That the Father will be the Supreme Judge of mankind is a doctrine which seems to join direct issue with Jo. v.

 indeed with the whole current of early Christian tradition (ef. Mt. xxv. 3I ff., Acts xvii. 3I, 2 Cor. v. 10, 2 Tim. iv. 1) ; but a reconciliation of the two viows may be found in the oneness of the Father and the Son (Jo. x. 30)when the Son acts, the Father acts with and through Him (Jo. v. 19). Thus St Panl can write in one place

 another (Rom. xiv. Io): $\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ yà $\rho$
 But while this is borne in mind, recognition must be given to the fact that the Apocalypse regards judgement as the prerogative of God (cf. vi. 10, xvi. 7 , xix. 2); it belongs, perhaps, to the Jewish-Christian
character of the Book that in this supreme act prominence is given to the Person of the Father, see the Introduction, p. clxxii.
 kai ó ovipavos] The non-eternity of the external order is taught in the O.T.; cf. Ps. ci. (cii.) 27 av̉roi (sc. oí ov́pavoi)

 29, 30 ; Isa. li. 6 ó ouvavòs cós kanvòs
 $\pi a \lambda a \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota$; and the N.T. corroborates this doctrine; cf. Mc. xiii. 3 I o

 тal. As the ancient Church saw plainly, it is only the external order of the world which is to be changed and not its substance or material; so e.g.


 тои́тои; Primasius, ad loc.: "figura ergo praeterit, non natura"; Arethas :




For the metaphor ${ }_{\epsilon} \neq \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu$ cf. xyi. 20
 'Atò тои тробஸ́rov $\kappa \pi \lambda$. is illustrated
 $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\text { a }}$ тробю́тои Kupiov, and for то́тоs

12. кai єiסоy tous $\nu \in \kappa \rho \circ i{ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \lambda$.] The General Resurrection, described below in v. 13, is assumed for the moment. The Great White Throne is not surrounded, like the Throne set in Heaven (iv. 2), with heavenly beings, but with the human dead of all former generations, and the dead of the







 тaus $\beta \iota \beta \lambda_{0}$ os $\aleph$
generation which shall be found alive upon earth; the living ( 2 Tim. iv. I) are not mentioned here, partly because they form an insignificant minority, partly perhaps because the keen interest which the first generation had felt in the bearing of the Parousia upon the 'quick' (I Th. iv. 13 f.) had abated before the end of the century. But all the dead are seen standing (Lc. xxi. 36, Rom. xiv. 10) before the Throne, whatever their condition on earth may have been (rov̀s $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ ádous к. тov̀s $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o u ́ s: ~ c f . ~ x i . ~ 18, ~ x i i i ~ 16, ~ x i x . ~ 5, ~$ 18), from the Proconsul, as that official was often reminded by Christians who appeared before him, down to the meanest slave.
 of the Judge is not arbitrary; it rests upon written evidence; the books which were opened contained, as it seems, a record of the deeds of every human being who came up for judgement. The conception is based on
 $\beta i \beta \lambda o c ~ \eta \quad$ roí $\theta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, and it appears in the Jewish apocalypses, e.g. Enoch xc. 20, " that other took the sealed books and opened them before the Lord of the sheep"; Apoc. Baruch xxiv. I (ed. Charles, p. 46 f.), "behold the days come and the books will be opened in which are written the sins of all those who have sinned"; 4 Esdr. vi. 20 "libri aperientur ante faciem firmamenti, et omnes videbunt simul." The Testament of Abralam, recension A (ed. James, p. 92 f.), knows of two recording augels : oi Sí dio ä $\gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ -

 tias kai tàs סikatooúpas; in recension B (ib. p. nif.) the same office is fulfilled by Enoch, who is styled
 true interpretation of the 'books' is doubtless that given by Augustine, though, misled by a gloss ("qui est vita uniuseuiusque"), he wrongly connects it with the ä $\lambda \lambda \frac{\lambda_{0}}{} \beta_{1} \beta \lambda i o \nu$ : de civ. Dei xx. 14 "quaedam igitur vis est intellegenda divina qua fiet ut cuique opera sua vel bona vel mala cuncta in memoriam revocentur et mentis intuitu mira celeritate cernantur, ut accuset vel excuset scientia conscientiam, atque ita simul et omnes et singuli iudicentur."
 the Book of Life see iii. 5 , xiii. 8 , notes. It is the roll of living citizens of the New Jerusalem; cf.

 Enoch xlvii. 3 "the books of the living were opened before Him." It is only another and complementary view of this 'book' which Bede offers when he calls it "praescientia Dei," for God's foreknowledge fulfils itself in the lives of the elect. In their case as well as in that of the rest of mankind the sentence is ratà tà ${ }^{\circ} \rho(\rho a$, as St Paul saw no less clearly than St John (Rom. ii. 5, 2 Cor, v. 10; cf. Apoc. ii. 23, xxii. 12).
 poús $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Resurrection, implied in $v .12$, is now described. The ac-






cidents of death will not prevent any of the dead from appearing before the Judge; sea and land will alike deliver up their tale. The Sea, as ever in this island-drama, is foremost in the writer's thoughts. It has been the grave of thousands whose restingplace could not be marked by $\sigma \tau \dot{j} \lambda \eta$ or cippus, whose ashes no columbarium had ever received. Both Greeks and Romans attached great importance to burial and the inviolability of the tomb (cf. Dill, Roman Society, p. 496; Ramsay, Cities etc., ii. p. 514 ff .), and recoiled with proportionate horror from the thought of death by drowning or even of burial at sea; there were wild tales of the condition of souls whose bodies had been lost at sea, cf. Achilles Tatius, cited by



 inspired by the words of the Seer that we owe the confidence with which the Church now commits the departed to the deep, "looking for the resurrection of the body when the Sea shall give up her dead". So far as the righteous are concerned, however, the hope appears also in the Targum on Ps. lx viii. 3I: "reducam justos qui suffocati sunt in profundis maris." Enoch (vii. 32) speaks only of a rising of the dead from the dry land.
 Death and Hades are an inseparable pair, as in i. 18, vi. 8 (notes), representing the two aspects of Death, the physical fact and its spiritual conse-
quences ( $\delta \dot{a} \delta \delta \eta s \eta_{\eta} \kappa о \lambda o v \theta_{\epsilon c}$ ). Here they appear as two voracious and insatiable monsters who have swallowed all past generations, but are now forced to disgorge their prey. The 'harrowing of Hell,' which the Gospel of Nicodemus connects with the Lord's Descent into Hades, is thus seen to belong in truth to His Return, when
 be emptied by Him Who has the keys of Death. But the primary purpose of the great gaol-delivery is judgement-a judgement which will determine the spiritual condition of each individual man; $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ кабтos adds a feature not noticed in $v$. 12, but belonging to the Christian tradition; see Mt. xvi. 27, Rom. ii. 6, xiv. 12, r Cor. iii. 13, 2 Cor. v. io, I Pet. i. 17 , and already recognized in this Book (ii. 23).
 $\epsilon i s ~ т \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu$ тồ $\pi v \rho o ́ s ~ к \tau \lambda$.] I.e., Death and Hades, the phenomenon and the condition, were both irrevocably destroyed and effaced; cf.


 of this symbolical pair in the Lake of Fire is parallel to that of the Beast and the False Prophet (xix. 20); it can only mean the annihilation of the forces indicated. St John expresses in the language of symbol what St Paul has said in direct words (I Cor.
 Oávaros) ; and both have probably in
 кататоитібеє) ó Gáyatos cis vikos, and




 eis $\tau \grave{̀} \nu \quad \lambda i ́ \mu \nu \eta \nu ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ \pi u \rho o ́ s . ~$



#### Abstract

   


 quaintly rendered by Benson, "this is Death the Second, the Lake of Fire." Death itself is swallowed up by a greater and final Death. The Second Death (ii. II, xx. 6) is identified here, and again in xxi. 8 , with the Lake of Fire; the latter is in the new order the nearest analogue of Death as we know it here.
 $\beta i \beta \lambda \omega$ rîs ك $\omega \hat{\eta} s$ к $\kappa \lambda$.] The Second Death is shared by all who are not enrolled among the living; cf. Bede: "id est, qui non est iudicatus a Deo vivus." Here at length (cf. xxi. 8) the Lake of Fire is associated with the future condition of human beings; i.e. it is treated as the counterpart of the Jewish Gehenna, on which see Mc. ix. 43, note. Enoch (xc. 26) has a similar representation of the fate of the reprobate: "I saw at that time how a like abyss was opened in the midst of the earth, full of fire, and those blinded sheep were brought, and they were all judged and found guilty and cast into that fiery abyss, and they burned." Cf. Petr. Apoc. 8 入i $\mu \nu \eta$ тıs


 conception furnished the Christian martyr with a last warning for the Proconsul who threatened him with the stake; see Polyc. mart. $40 \pi \hat{v} \rho$


 тоîs $\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma l ~ т \eta \rho о ч ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho$. The Apocalyptic Lake is doubtless the $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ tò aicivey of Mt xxp. 4I, 46,-a кódaats aiwivtos which is both the reverse and the alternative of $\zeta \omega \eta$
 as in Mt. l.c. the qualification for the Second Death is a negative one (oủ $\chi$
 of eternal life is eternal death.

That there will be a resurrection to death as well as to life is taught

 aiఱ́vıov. Cf. Jo. v. 29 éxторєv่єоитац...
 крi $\sigma \epsilon \omega$.
XXI. 1-8. The Vision of a New Heaven and a New Earth
 kawn' ${ }^{\prime}$ ] All is now ready for a revelation of the bliss of the Saints; cf. Bede: "finito iudicio quo malos vidit damnandos, restat ut etiam de bonis dicat." The passing away of earth and heaven before the Face of the Judge (xx. II) has prepared the way for the present vision, but the conception of a New Heaven and Earth is not peculiar to St John or even to the N.T.; it occurs in
 каi $\dot{\eta}$ रो кашท' (

 i $\mu 0 \hat{u}$-perhaps also in Isa li. 16 (see

[^191]Charles, Eschatology, p. 122 f., n. 2)and in Enoch xlv. 4 f., "I will transform the heaven, and make it an eternal blessing and light. And I will transform the earth and make it a blessing"; ib. lxxii. , "the new creation...which dureth till eternity"; xci. 16 "the first heaven will depart and pass away, and a new heaven will appear, and all the powers of the heavens will shine sevenfold for ever"; the Apocalypse of Baruch xxxii. 6 "the Mighty One will renew His Creation"; 4 Esdras vii. 75"tempora illa in quibus incipies creaturam renovare." Compare the interesting Rabbinical parallel quoted by Schoettgen from Debarim rabba 4 f. 262. 4 "cum Moses ante obitum oraret, caelum et terra et omnis ordo creaturarum commotus est. tunc dixerunt: Fortasse adest tempus a Deo praestitutum, quo renovandus est orbis universus" (לחדשׁ את עלמו).

On кaıvós see ii. 17 , note, and cf. iii. 12, v. 9 , xiv. 3. As the opposite of $\pi a \lambda a t o ́ s, ~ i t ~ s u g g e s t s ~ f r e s h ~ l i f e ~ r i s i n g ~$ from the decay and wreck of the old



 cated is in fact a $\pi a \lambda ı \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma$ ia of heaven and earth (Mt. xix. 28), or to
 $\pi a ́ y \tau \omega \nu$ (Acts iii. 2I). As Irenaeus sees, the New Heaven and Earth correspond to the New Man, whose renovation has now been completed by the Resurrection; v. $3^{6 .}$ I à $\nu a \nu \epsilon \omega-$







 $\gamma \epsilon \nu$, $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda A a \nu$ misst not be pressed, and Andreas is not far wrong when
 writer of 2 Peter conceives of a conflagration of the old order at the Parousia (iii. 12 ov่pavol тороч́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota$
 кетat); but no such phenomena suggest themselves to the Apocalyptist, though fire is a frequent factor in his visions.
 Sea has fulfilled its last function (xx. 13), and when the Seer looks steadily at the New Earth, he sees that "the waters which are under the firmament" have vanished; no place is left for the Sea in the New Creation; it belonged to the order which has passed. There is no need to suspect with Augustine a reference to the effects of the conflagration (de civ. $D e i$ xx. 16 " utrum maximo illo ardore siccetur an et ipsum vertatur in melius non facile dixerim." The Sea has disappeared, because in the mind of the writer it is associated with ideas which are at variance with the character of the New Creation. Cf. Aug. l.c. "tunc non erit hoc saeculum vita mortalium turbulentum et procellosum"; Andreas: тòv тарахш́ôך
 Oa入áorचŋs. St John, an exile in seagirt Patmos, regarded with no favour the element which mounted guard over his prison, and parted him from the Churches of Asia. For the ancients generally the Sea possessed none of the attractions which it has for moderns. To undertake a voyage without grave cause was to tempt Providence; Hor. carm. i. 3. 2I ff. "nequicquam Deus abscidit | prudens Oceano dissociabili terras, si tamen impiae | non tangenda rates transiliunt vada." It is true that since the

 

time of Horace facilities for travel had greatly increased, and, as Dill remarks (Roman Society, p. 205), "until the appearance of railways and steamboats it may be doubted whether there was any age in history in which travelling was easier or more geueral." At the end of the first century Juvenal could write (xiv. 275 f.) : "aspice portus $\mid$ et plenum magnis trabibus mare, plus hominum est iam|in pelago, veniet classis quocumque vocarit| spes lucri"; and the Apocalyptist has told practically the same tale in c. xviii. 17 ff . Yet how great the risks of a seafaring life still were, the story of St Paul's shipwreck shews: to the Apostolic age the ocean spoke of separation and isolation, rather than of a highway linking shore to shore. For this element of unrest, this fruitful cause of destruction and death, this divider of nations and Churches, there could be no place in a world of social intercourse, deathless life, and unbroken peace.

The disappearance of the Sea from the future order is a feature in other apocalyptic writings; cf. e.g. Orac. Sibyll. v. 158 ff. $\eta \xi \in \iota \quad \delta^{\circ}$ ov $\rho a \nu \dot{0} \theta \epsilon \boldsymbol{y}$

 ૬̄pós тотє то́vтоs; Assumption of Moses x. 6 " the sea will return into the abyss, and the fulness of waters will fail"; in the Coptic Zephaniah, p. 129, flames break out and dry up the sea (Simcox, ad l.), and Bousset quotes from Plutarch, de Is. ot Osir. 7, a similar belief entertained by the

 $\sigma \mu e^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$; but the Apocalyptist (see above) shews no knowledge of this form of the conception.
 eifoy] The New Earth must have a
new metropolis, not another Babylon, but another and greater Jerusalem.
 in c. iii. 12, from which this verse borrows its description as far as ảnò тoû $\theta \in o \hat{u}$, adding rì̀ $\dot{\text { áyial }}$, which is here no mere conventional epithet (Mt.iv. 5, xxvii. 53), but one significant of the new holiness, the inner and permanent consecration of the new City of God. The Holy City of the O.T. (2 Esdr. xxi. I, Dan. ix. 24, Mt. xxvii. 53) had been in ruins for a quarter of a century, and Hadrian's new city was not yet planned. The New Jerusalem of the Seer belongs to another order; it is of heavenly origin, a city 'whose builder and maker is God' (Heb. xi. Io), $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\dot{\prime}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a$ mólıs (ib. xiii 14). Some years before the fall of the old city the thought of a celestial city had been familiar to St Paul and his school ; cf. Gal iv. 26 f.




 єлограуíq. Jewish literature also is full of the hope of an ideal Jerusalem, based on O.T. prophecy (Isa. liv., lx., Ez. xl., xlviii.); cf. Apoc. Baruch iv. 3 ff . (ed. Charles, p. 6 ff.): "it is not this building which is now built in your midst; it is that which will be revealed with Me , that which was prepared beforehand...and now, behold, it is preserved with Me"; 4 Esdr. x. 27 ff . "vidi et ecce amplius mulier non comparebat mihi, sed civitas aedificabatur...haec mulier...est Sion ...ingredere etvide splendoremet magnitudinem aedificii"; Orac. Sibyll. v










#### Abstract

  Opoyoul $\epsilon \kappa \tau$. oupavou PQ min ${ }^{\text {fersomn } m e ~ t h e ~ a y r r ~ a r m ~ a e t h ~ a n o n a u s ~ P r i m ~ A n d r ~ A r ~}$



 Rabbinical doctrine of an oúpavónodıs is worked out by Schoettgen (i. 1208 ff., de Hierusalem caelesti); see also Schürer, Geschichte ${ }^{3}$, p. 536 f., Weber, Jüd. Theologie, pp. 374, 404. On the Christian Society as the realization of the heavenly Jerusalem see Westcott on Heb. xi. 1o (additional note).

катаßaìováay ék тои̂ ov̉pavov̂ àmò тoû $\theta \in \hat{0} \hat{v}]$ Repeated from c. iii. I2 $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s}$
 roû oủpavô̂ àmò fov̂ $\theta$ fov̂ $\mu o v$, where see notes. It is perhaps unnecessary to think of a future visible fulfilment, such as is suggested by I Thess. iv. 14


 What is primarily intended is doubtless the heavenly origin (ex $\boldsymbol{c}$ ) of the Church, and her Divine mission (aंmó); as Primasius says: "de caelo descendere dicitur ista civitas, quoniam caelestis est gratia qua Deus eam fecit." The metaphor appears also in the Rabbinical writings, e.g. Sohar Gen. f. 69, col. 271; "Deus...aedificabit Hierosolyma, ut ipsam descendere faciat in medium sui de caelo." In its measure the hope fulfils itself already in the daily experience of the Church. If,

 tov $\pi$ atoós, this is in an especial manner true of the highest form of corporate human life, the Civitas Dei.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] In xix. 7 the voice of a multitude
proclaimed that the Wife of the Lamb had made herself ready for the nuptials; now at length she is revealed to the Seer in her bridal attire. Over her simple dress of white byssus (xix. 8) she wears the ornaments usual for women of rank; cf. Judith
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu \omega \tau \hat{\varphi}$ रuvaıкei $\omega$-in what this




 трохíбкоиs...каіे бтéфаюо...каl ékоб-
 I8 ff. For the ethical significance of the Bride's ornaments see 3 Macc.





 нaros; cf. also 1 Tim. ii gf On ws
 aứrav̀s $\omega^{\prime} s$ кó $\sigma \mu o \nu$, ws $\nu v i \mu \phi_{\eta} ; i$ ib. lxi. Io

 Eph. ${ }^{\text {r. }} 23$.

Fuller particulars of the bridal array of the New Jerusalem are given below ( $\boldsymbol{r} .9 \mathrm{ff}$.), where see notes.
 Opóvov кт入.] The voice is that of one of the Angels of the Presence, as in xvi. 17, xix. 5 (notes), not of God Himself, Who speaks for the first time in $v .5$. The present voice interprets the New Creation; it is that condition of humanity in which will












be realized at length the long promised life of fellowship with God. The words i8où $\dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$. rest upon a series of O.T. predictions, e.g. Lev.




 Ez. xxxvii. 27 ढ̈бтаı $\dot{\eta}$ катабкウ่ $\nu \omega \sigma$ is
 каì av̀toí $\mu$ av ë́coutal $\lambda$ aós; Zech. viii.


 dıкatoovivy. One important and doubtless deliberate change has been made in the terms of these prophecies; our writer has substituted $\lambda$ doi for $\lambda$ aós-the many peoples of redeemed humanity for thesingle elect nation, the world for Israel. Neither in the O.T. prophecies nor in their Apocalyptic echo does the use of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ and its derivatives suggest a merely temporary dwelling of God with man. As in vii. 15 , xiii. $6, x v .5$, they carry us back to the original settlement in Canaan, when the Sanctuary was still but a tent; they point to a $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \grave{\eta}$ à $\lambda \eta \theta_{\nu \nu \eta ;}$, a $\mu \in i \zeta \omega \nu$ каi тє入єьotépa $\sigma к \eta \nu \eta \eta$ (Heb. viii. 2, ix. II); perhaps by the assonance of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \circ i \bar{\nu}$ and ${ }_{j} \omega_{\top}^{\top}$ they also suggest the Shekinah, realized in the Incarnation (Jo. i. 14) and in the mystical

Body of Christ. 'o $\theta \in o s \mu_{\epsilon \tau}$ av่т $\hat{\nu}$ recalls אֵל (Mt. i. 23), and all that that name holds for both the present and the coming age.
 The effect of the Divine indwelling on the circumstances of life is described in negative terms; as to the positive conditions of the future exist-

 xxv. 8) see vii 17, mote ; ó Өávatos oúk
 14 ; cf. Shemoth rabba xv. f. II4. 4, "temporibus Messiae mors cessabit in aeternum." For oứтє $\pi \in \nu \theta_{0 \leq} \kappa \tau \lambda$. cf.


 $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ крavy $\bar{\eta}$--the exact opposite of what is said of Babylon in c. xviii. 22; see also Enoch x. 22. On móvos, 'pain,' cf. c. xvi. Io, note. Tà $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} a$ $\dot{a} \pi \tilde{j} \lambda \theta a \nu:$ 'the first things are gone by'-not, as in A.V., 'the former things' simply, but 'the first'-the things belonging to the first heaven and the first earth, the whole order of things which existed in the first creation. The thought in this verse and the next is remarkably close to


 limited to the individual life in Christ.











 om to 8293237 130 alfere 10 Ar
 $\theta \rho$ óva $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Speaker is now, probably for the first time in the Book, God Himself; cf. xx. II, xxi. 3. The words are suggested by Isa. xliii. 18 f.

 but the scope of the old prophecy is enlarged indefinitely by $\pi$ ávia; all the fruits of the new Covenant (cf. ii. 17, note) are included. Barnabas, if indeed he has this promise in view, has strangely minimized it when he

 т $\hat{\varphi}$ O $\rho \dot{\rho} \nu \underset{\sim}{\text { in }}$ this connexion cf. vii. Io, xix. 4 : the more usual éni $\tau 0 \hat{\text { of }}$ Opóvov occurs in iv. 9 f., v. $1,7,13$, vi. 16, vii. $15, \mathrm{xx} .12$.

Kaì $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$, coming between кaì $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ (v. 5) and кai єimev ( $v .6$ ), indicates a change of speaker. The direction to the Seer to write what he has just heard comes doubtless from an angel, as in xiv. 13 , xix. 9 f. He is to write, because the words he has heard are as true as they are tremendous; cf.






 For rıotòs кal à à $\theta$ trós in this Book
compare cc. iii. 14, xix. il; $\lambda$ ó $\begin{gathered}\text { os } \pi \\ \pi\end{gathered}$ кai ả̉ . occurs again in xxii. 6 and $\lambda$ óyos ${ }_{a} \lambda$. in six. 9. These great sayings which concern the future of humanity and the world must be seen to rest on a secure basis; men need to be assured that they are not only worthy of confidence, but answer to realities which in due time will enter into the experience of life, though for the present they cannot be fully realized or adequately expressed. "Haec credi oportet, non exponi" (Primasius).
 Divine Voice speaks again. Not ouly are these sayings true; they have come to pass (cf. xvi. I7 $\gamma^{\prime}$ fover). They have found a fulfilment already in the regeneration of life and thought which exists within the present Church, and the larger fulfilment which awaits the Parousia is potentially realized in the Divine foreknowledge. The aoristic termination of the perfect ( $-a \nu$ for -agtv) has perplexed the scribes, and
 to evade this difficulty; on $\gamma$ '́ yovà see Blass, Gr. p. 46, and cf. Rom xvi. 7.
 i. 8, note. Here as there the reference is to the Eternal Father, whilst in xxii. 13 it is equally clear that the Incarnate Son is in view;


##  

$6 \tau \omega \delta<\psi \omega \nu \tau \iota]$ om $\tau \omega P \mid \delta \omega \sigma \omega]+a u t \omega Q 282931354887929497$ al ${ }^{25}$ aeth  7 к $\lambda \eta \rho \circ \vee о \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon] \quad \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ autw $Q \min ^{30}$ Ar ravta] $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a$ I tavta $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a a^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{1}$

reflects a phrase of the second Isaiah
 cf, $i b$. xli. 4, xlviii. 12). 'A $\rho_{X} \eta$ ' is used in Col. i. 18 in reference to the relation of Christ to the Church, and in Apoc. iii. 14 of His relation to the cosmos; here it represents God as the First Cause, the Source and Origin of all things, a sense already found in Aristotle, by whom the Deity is called
 complementary to ${ }^{3} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$, is the end and goal-a meaning of the word which is rare in the N.T., but see I Tim. i. 5
 à $\mathbf{\gamma}$ átr $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, and perhaps Rom. x. 4 tedos रà $\rho$ yópov Xpıtotós (see, however, SH. $a d$ loc.). The full phrase is used in reference to the Divine life by Josephus, antt. viii. in. 2 [ $\dot{o} \theta \in \grave{c} s$ ] òs épyov éoтì aívov̂ каì à $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ каì тénos



 St Paul expresses the same fundamental belief in other terms, when he
 aủtòv тà mávгa (Rom. xi 36), and speaks of the Father as $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{\pi} \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ кai ótà $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каì év $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu(E p h . i v .6)$. The Infinite Life originates, embraces, and transcends the Universe.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Source and End of all life is the bountiful Giver of life in its highest perfection. Cf. Jac. i. 5



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$. With the form of this Divine offer cf. $c$. vii. I6 f. ovi $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \delta\left(\psi \eta^{\prime}\right.$

 There, however, the perfect state is anticipated; here, and in xxii. 17, it is to the Church and the world in their present condition that the water of life is promised, as rติ $\delta \iota \psi \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, $\delta \iota \psi w \bar{v}$, clearly shew. $\Delta \omega \rho \in \tau i v, ~ ' g r a t u i-$

 see Isa. lv. I oi $\delta \iota \psi \omega \hat{\mu} \tau \boldsymbol{s}$, mopev́ $\sigma \theta \epsilon$
 $\kappa a i \quad \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s ;$ Jo. iv. 10 є $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota s$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta}$


 $\chi \rho \eta \mu$ áт $\omega \nu$ ктã $\sigma \theta a<$ That God's gifts are gratuitous is rightly urged as an argument for free Sacraments, but the fact has a far wider significance, and lies at the root of the Pauline doctrine of justification by faith without 'works of law.' In the present case the Gift is one which comes here and now from the veryosource (ék $T \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \eta \gamma \tilde{\eta} s$; cf. vii. 17)-a point emphasized here but not repeated in xxii. 17. Cf. Bede," de hoc fonte irrorat nune credentes in via quem vincentibus ubertim hauriendum praebet in patria, utrumque autem gratis"; and the experience of the Viennese deacon Sanctus in the fires of persecution, related in the letter of the confessors
 каиттоs кай àvє́ндотоя, атєрро̀s $\pi \rho$ о̀s




 While 'he that is athirst' receives the Gift of the Water of Life, it is 'he that conquers' alone whose heritage it will permanently be. ' O pı $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \omega \bar{\nu}$ carries

#  



 Q $130 \mathrm{~min}^{\text {piq } 85}$ syr aeth $\mathrm{Ar} \mid$ om кal фovevat arm ${ }^{4}$
the reader back to the seven promises of cc. ii., iii., to which к $\kappa \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon!$ taû̃a adds an eighth promise that completes and in effect embraces the rest. On the pre-Christian history of кл $\lambda \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon i v$ see Mc. x. 17, note, and cf. Dalman, Words of Jesus, E. Tr., p. 125 ff ; in the N.T. the use of this verb and its coguate nowns in reference to the future of man is well distributed, but specially frequent in St Paul, with whose doctrine of the sonship of believers it accords; cf. Rom. viii. 17 el ठè тéкva, кai кл $\quad \rho о$ о́́рои, Gal. iv. 7 el $\delta e ̀$ viós, кal
 solitary instance where it occurs in the Apoc. the word has the same reference is one indication among many of the radical agreement between St John and St Paul.

The heritage of the conqueror will embrace the contents of this vision (raûta)-the new creation with its immunities from sorrow and death, the indwelling of God, the consciousness of a filial relation with Him, and the Water that quenches the thirst and quickens the life of the human soul. The v. l. пávra, with its larger but vaguer outlook, offers a less really satisfying prospect.
 pot viós] The words link themselves on to a catena of O.T. prophecies, e.g. Gen. xyii. 7 f., 2 Regn. vii. 14 , Ps. lixxviii. (lxxxix.) 27 ; the last of these passages is applied to Christ in Heb. i. 5, but may obviously include, in a laxer sense, His бvvкд $\rho_{\rho o \nu o ́ \mu o c . ~}^{\text {. }}$ Their sonship, even their relationship with God, is here regarded as be-
 when it will be manifested by the
resurrection ; cf. Luc. $x \mathrm{x} .36$ vioí єicıp


 John is not unconscious of the present existence of both ( I Jo. iii. I тотant̀

 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu(\bar{y})$, but in this passage he has in view the son who is entering on his full inheritance, and not him to whom but the ápoaßwì (Eph. i. 13 f.) has as yet been given.
 Bede: "blandis semper, ad cautelam insinuandam, austera permiscet"; the doom of the impenitent is placed in sharp contrast with the heritage of the conqueror. First among the condemned are the $\delta \epsilon i \lambda o i-m e m b e r s$ of the Church who, like soldiers turning their backs upon the enemy, fail under trial ; not, as A.F. and R.V., 'the fearful', but the cowards or craven in Christ's army. Cf. Arethas: Selioùs кa入eí toùs ékovarí à à $\sigma \in y \in i ́ c ̧$
 aîwhos àmor $\lambda$ ivapras. When Tertullian writes (de fuga 7): "in Apocalypsi non fugam timidis offert sed inter ceteros reprobos particulam in stagno sulphuris et ignis," he is led, partly by his Latin version; partly by his personal tendencies, into undue severity; it is not fear or even flight which incurs the penalty, but the cowardice which in the last resort prefers ease or earthly life to Christ; cf. Mc. viii. 35 ff. Such $\delta \in \lambda i i^{\prime}$ betrays instability of purpose, lack of any deeper faith or loyalty ; cf. Sir. ii 12 f., and Origen on Ps. xxvi. (xxvii.) If.:






 




 remedy for $\delta \in i \lambda i a$ is $\pi i \sigma t \iota s$, cf. Jo. xiv.



 in the technical sense which appears in the Pauline Episties, is the nonChristian, the pagan (cf. I Cor. vi. 6, vii. 12 ff ., $\times 27$, xiv. 22 ff ., 2 Cor. vi. I4f.); but here, following immediately after $\delta_{\epsilon i \lambda}$ ós, it is probably not to be limited in this way, or referred to the heathen as such, but means simply 'faithless,' 'unbelieving' (Mc.ix. 19, Lc. xii. 46, Jo. xx. 27, Tit. i. 15 ; cf. I Tim. v. 8), and applies to the Christian who by act or word denies his faith, as well as to the pagan who insults and blasphemes it. The other characters described, though they might be found on the fringe of the Christian brotherhood (cf. ii. 15, 20), are such as heathenism produced on a large scale; see ix. 21 where the heathen are clearly in view, and the list of

 but persons whose very natures have been saturated with the abominations which they practised in their lifetime; the context suggests that in this case the $\beta \delta \in \lambda$ v́y $\mu a \tau a$ are not merely idolatrous acts (cf. xvii. 4), but the monstrous and unnatural vices of heathendom.
 are included among prevalent sins in Mc. vii. 21, Rom. i. 29, Apoc. ix. 21 (cf. Jac. iv. 2, I Pet. iv. 15), but perhaps the reference is here chiefly to the violent deaths of Christians whether
incurred at the hands of the mob or by order of the courts. Hóp oo abounded in Greek cities-at Corinth, St Paul admits it was impossible to avoid meeting them in society (I Cor. v. io
 $\theta \in i \nu$ )-and they fitly follow фoveis (cf. I Tim. i. 9 f. àdoódóvoss, $\pi o ́ \rho \nu o u s) ~ a c-~$ cording to the M.T. order of the Decalogue. On фариакоí see ix. 2I, xviii. 23, notes; in Gal. v. 20 фпр $\mu a к i a$ follows ei $\delta \omega \lambda$ odarpia, whilst here фap$\mu a \kappa o ́ s$ precedes $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda o \lambda \dot{a} \tau \rho \eta s$; for the comnexion of sorcery and magic with idolatry in Asian cities see xiii. 13 ff., notes, and the Introduction, p. xci. f. The list ends with kaì mácı̀ toîs $\psi \in y-$ dé $\sigma$ ry, 'all the false,' i.e., as is explained below in xxii 15, $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota{ }^{\prime}$, roîs
 insincerities of heathendom are here -the conscious frauds practised by the pagan priesthood and the dealers in 'magic,' and the support rendered to them by those who 'loved to have it so'; the tricks of trade and deceits of domestic life. But the insincerities of Christians are not of course to be excluded; the baptized liar is the worst of his kind, since he lies to the Holy Ghost (Acts v. 3 f.). Tertullian, indeed, seems to limit the reference of the whole passage to Christians: de pud. I9 "non enim de ethnicis videbitur sapere, cum de fidelibus pronuntiarit Qui vicerint, etc."; but the inference is too sweeping. A better exposition will be found in Hipp. de Antichr. 38 (ed. Lagarde, p. 116).

None such have any part in the inheritance of the Saints (Eph. v. 5); their names are not in the roll-call of the living in the New Jerusalem.







 al $^{25} \mathrm{Ar}$

The alternative is a part in the Second Death, the Lake of Fire. Exclusion from eternal life burns and consumes like a perpetual fire; whether the function of the fire is to destroy or to punish or to purify is not within the scope of the revelation entrusted to the Seer; cf six. 20, xx 10, 14 f, notes. Compare the dogmatic tone of the Slavonic Enoch (ed. Charles, p. io): "this place, Enoch, is prepared for those who do not honour God; who commit evil deeds on earth...witchcraft, enchantments, devilish magic, and who boast of their evil deeds...for all these this place is prepared for an eternal inheritance."
XXI. 9-XXII. 5. The Vision of tee New Jerusalem.
 The announcement of $o .2$ (ai $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.) is here resumed and worked out in detail. The Seer tells us that this nearer view of the City was obtained through the ministry of one of the Angels of the Seven Bowls. Compare



 the same formula is used to introduce the vision of the Harlot City; its repetition here serves to place the vi $\phi \eta$ in marked contrast with the $\pi \dot{d} \rho \nu \eta$ - Jerusalem the Holy with

 $\gamma є \mu$ óvт川 is unexpected; possibly it is
a slip on the part of an early scribe or perhaps of the writer himself for tàs $\gamma \in \mu o v ́ \sigma a s$ (xv. 7), or it may be meant to suggest that these angels were still full of the great task they had accomplished, and that St John's guide came fresh from the, scene of the Last Plagues to this widely different office. Both participles are timeless; the Seven Angels have emptied their bowls, and doubtless have ceased to carry them, but they are still known
 (or oi $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{O} \tau \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ) $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$. That one of these Angels of wrath should be deputed to shew the Seer the Holy City is a Divine paradox which has not escaped the ancient commenta-

 $\tau \hat{\jmath} s$ éккл Bede: "praedicatores idem qui plagam septimariam (id est, universalem) irrogant impiis, ecclesiae quoque future gaudia pandunt."
 takes up a thread dropt at $c$. xix. 7

 wife (Mt. i. 18, 20) is now the Bride (xxi. 2) of the Lamb; the nuptials have begun; indeed, as Andreas


 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho a ̂ s ~ a i \mu a \tau o s ~ \dot{\eta}$ ékклдŋ ia $\sigma v \sigma \tau a ̂ \sigma a$
 metaphor belongs to the first days of the Gospel, and had been employed











by St Paul in a passage which has some affinity with the present; see the note on c. xix. 7 .
 ѐ $\pi \boldsymbol{i}$ ö $\rho o s$ кт $\lambda$.] Compare xvii. 3 ain $\boldsymbol{\eta}-$
 Harlot City is seen in a wilderness, the Bride City from a mountain. The mountain is not Mount Zion (xiv. I), for the New Jerusalem is not founded upon it, but is seen from it; the
 to no particular height, but rather symbolizes the elevation of spirit (Apringius: "in fidel altitudine olevatur") necessary for one who would see the heavenly vision. Of. Ez. xl.


 $\dot{\psi} \psi \eta \lambda \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{p}$ 入iav. The Seer is carried thither 'in spirit' (cf, i. IO, iv. 2); the Angel's $\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o$ is a sursum cor to which his spirit under the influence of the 'Spirit of revelation' (Eph. i. 17) at once responds.
 'If 1 see $v$. 2, note. Kavpív is not repeated here, for the City is not now regarded in its relation to the rest of the New Creation, but in its specific character, which is Holiness.
 The Church possesses the Divine Presence, which, with its illuminating
and elevating powers, she brings with her from her place of origin, and she is transfigured by it; cf. v. 23 , xxii. 5.
 адатє́тадкєу. The description belongs even to the present condition of the Christian Society: 2 Cor. iii 18 ijetis

 'reflecting as in a mirror')... $\mu \in \tau а \mu о \rho-$

 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] Her luminary resembled a rare crystalloid gem, every facet of which is radiant with a Divine light. For $\phi \omega \sigma \pi \eta \rho$, as distinguished from $\phi \hat{\omega} s$,

 т $\omega$ ara $\phi \omega \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \in s$, and of. Sir. xiii.
 A фworif is "something in which light is concentrated and thence radiates" (Benson)-luminare rather than lumen (Prim., Vg.), <in (Syr.) rather than Rimes (Syr. ${ }^{\mathrm{sw}}$; see Dr Gwynn's notes here and on iv. 5). Our Lord is represented as having spoken indiscriminately of Himself and His disciples as to $\phi \hat{\omega}$ тои̂ кó $\sigma \mu$ au (Mt. v. 14, Jo. viii. 12), but in the underlying Aramaic there may well have been a distinction such as that between $7 \boldsymbol{i n}$ and saints are properly $\phi \omega \sigma r \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$ (Dan. xii. 3, LxX, фauỗoty wis фworîjes rove
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 ब̈ $\lambda \eta \theta_{\nu} \nu_{o ́ v}$（Jo．i． 8 f ．）The distinction is ignored here by patristic com－ mentators（e．g．Andreas ：$\phi \omega \sigma \pi \eta \mathrm{\eta} \rho \mathrm{~T} \hat{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{~s}$ iкк $\lambda_{\eta \sigma i a s} \dot{\delta}$ रofotós），and by some moderns，who point to $0.23 \dot{\delta} \lambda^{\prime} \tilde{u}^{\boldsymbol{\chi}}{ }^{\text {os }}$
 to depart from the strict sense of $\phi \omega \sigma$ тíp．The light which illuminates the Church is Divine；it is the фөтьт－
 Xplotồ（ 2 Cor．iv．6）；but it shines in the hearts and lives of men．The ＇luminary＇of the Holy City is her witness to Christ：her teaching，her sacraments，her whole corporate life －the light of tens of thousands of saintly lives．

On $\lambda i$ Oos lagtas see iv． 3 ，note．
 $\lambda$ ．ไá $\sigma \pi t \delta \delta^{h}$ ，having the effect of rock crystal，＇＇crystal－clear＇（Benson）； cf．xxii．I $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ v ~ w o ́ s ~ к \rho v ́ \sigma \tau а \lambda \lambda о р . ~$ Wetstein quotes Psellus：$\dot{\eta}$ ťacmıs
 of Precious Stones，p．281）proposes to identify the lämts with the true emerald，green in colour，but lustrous as crystal．There seem to have been two kinds known to the ancients ：cf． Dioscor．v． $160 \lambda i \theta_{o s}$ tagris ó $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu$ tis
 St John combines their qualities．
 ＂Exovioa carries on the description of the City，which was broken by the parenthetic clause ó $\phi \omega \sigma T \eta े \rho ~ a u ̉ s t ̂ s . . . ~$ крибта入入ifoutı；in his eagerness to note each detail of the transient picture the Seer forgets that he had
 perhaps，a conventional feature，neces－
sary to the description of an ancient city；the earlier commentators，how－ ever，regard it as a symbol，but interpret variously ；e．g．Primasius， ＂murus ecclesiae Christus＂；Bede， ＂［murum］，id est，inexpugnabilem fidei spei caritatisque firmitatem＂； and see note on 0．17．Cf．Zech．ii．




 el＇s city also has twelve gates（ Ez ． xlviii． 31 ff．）．Пu入 $\omega^{\prime}$ may be either the vestibule of a great house，through which visitors pass from the street into the courtyard（cf．Gen，xliii． 18

 $\pi \nu \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} a \operatorname{av̌rov̂,~Acts~xii.~} 13$ к кov́caytos
 as here，the gate－tower of a city－wall （cf． 3 Regn．xvii． 10 é $\pi o \rho \epsilon v^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ eis



 $\ddot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \quad \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$ ．In both cases $\pi v \lambda \omega^{\prime \prime}$, is more than $\pi u^{\prime} \lambda \eta_{5}$ viz the whole structure through which admission is gained．

The twelve angels posted at the gateways are apparently there as $\pi v \lambda \omega \rho o i ́$ or $\phi$ vidakes－a feature sug－ gested perhaps by Isa．lxii． $6 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\tau} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

 v＇́кта．The city which descends from heaven has celestial gatekeepers； cf．Heb．i．14，and Yalkut Shim．f． 7. I＂duas portas paradisi statuunt lx myriadibus angelorum munitas．＂











 $\nu о \tau о v . . . \beta о р р а \ldots \delta v \sigma \mu \omega \nu 9^{8}$ עотоv．．．ঠиб $\mu \omega \nu \ldots \beta$ ．．．．рра me
 $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \alpha a \phi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ Again the writer is indebted to Ezekiel（xlviii．3I ff．at

 27 ＂in trio mundi futuri xii portal quarum singulis inscriptum est nomen quoddam e xii tribubus．＂The O．T． prophet allocates the gates to the several Tribes（N．，Reuben；Judah， Levi ；E．，Joseph，Benjamin，Dan ；S．， Simeon，Issachar，Zebulun；W．，Gad， Asher，Naphtali）；but the Christian Apocalyptist does not follow him in this：the enumeration in $c$ ．vii．suffices． The Seer＇s object in referring to the Tribes is simply to assert the con－ tenuity of the Christian Church with the Church of the O．T．The new Society inherits all that was per－ manet in the number and order of the Tribes，without their limitations； it is constituted éк náoŋs $\phi \cup \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ каì $\left.\gamma^{\lambda} \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta\right)_{s}$（v．9，vii．9），and the gate－ ways standing open on all sides represent its catholicity（cf．Lc．xiii． 29）．
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］In Sum．ii． 3 ff．the Tribes are marshalled in a square the sides of which look ESWN；the gates of Ezekiel＇s city which bear their names follow the order NESW．St John＇s order，ENSW，not only differs from
both，but suggests that the Seer after surveying the east and north walls returns to his starting place in order to examine those on the south and west．It is difficult to understand the purpose of this change，yet it seems to be deliberate；see $v .19$ ， note．
 ＇starting from the east，＇＇from the north，＇etc．；in Ezekiel the Lxx．
 etc．by тà $\pi \rho$ òs d’varo入ás $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．Archbp Benson renders àmó similarly here， ＇facing sunrise，＇＇facing north＇etc．， without explanation．

14 ка⿱̀兀 т
 twelve gate－towers，so there are also twelve foundation stones．The wall is broken into twelve sections by the twelve gates，and each section is seen to rest on a single $\theta_{\epsilon \mu} \lambda_{c o s}-a$ vast oblong block of worked and bevelled stone，such as the stones which may still be seen in the lower ranges of the Herodian masonry at Jerusalem．For
 $\theta \epsilon \mu \in \lambda i o v s$ тoû oûkov tout $\theta \in \hat{v}$ toû $\epsilon i s$ ＇Iepovaà $\dot{\eta} \mu$ ；I Cor．iii． 10 cs $\sigma o \phi o ̀ s$

 móhıp．It is properly an adjective （sc．$\lambda\left(\theta_{o s}\right)$ ，and in the plural nay be






either masc. or neuter; tà $\theta_{\epsilon \mu \mathrm{e} \lambda \iota a}$ is frequent in the cxx., and occurs in Acts xvi. 26.

Tò $\tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi$ os... ${ }^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \omega \nu$ is placed by Archbp Benson in his very short list of "apparently real slips." He adds also xiv. 19, which is a fairly certain reading, and on which see note ad $l$. "Ex ${ }^{\omega}$ may be due to an itacism in an early copy, but in view of the many anomalies of the book it is rather to be regarded as due to the autograph.

 of inscribed names; if the gateways bear the names of the Twelve Tribes, the foundation stones aredistinguished by those of the Twelve Apostles. On the juxtaposition of these two dode-


 Apocalypse it has been suggested already by the vision of the 24 Elders (c. iv. 4, note). In Eph. ii. 20 the Apostles and Prophets themselves


 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ )-a train of thought which goes back to our Lord's promise to Peter (Mt. xvi. 18) and, beyond it, to Ps. cxiviii. 22, Isa. xxviii. 16; cf. Acts iv. II, and I Pet. ii. 6, with Dr Hort's note on the latter verse. The Apocalyptist, as his wont is, seizes a current idea and adapts it to his own purpose. The $\lambda$ i Oos à ápoyшutaios does not come into sight here; the Prophets are not joined with the Apostles, as by St Paul; the foundation stones are those not of the Holy City, but of the exterior wall, and they are not the

Apostles, but only bear their names. As the wall gives form and compactness to the City, so the Apostolic Church is conditioned, through the ages, by the preaching and work of the Apostolate.
The Twelve Apostles are not individually named; it is the college of the Apostles as a whole to which reference is made ; cf. Mt. xix. 28, Acts vi. 2, I Cor. xv. 7. When Renan observes ( $l$ " Antechrist, p. 479), "Paul ...n'a pas de place parmi les douze apôtres de l'Agneau, seule base de l'Eglise de Dieu," he overlooks this fact. There is nothing to shew howthe number is made up, and it must not be assumed that St Paul is excluded. On the other hand it is certainly probable that St John refers here to the original Apostolate, and does not stop to consider the question raised by the lapse of Judas.

 ing of the City is here, as in c. xi. I, suggested by Ez. xl. 3 ff. In xi. I the eartlly city is measured by the Seor himself; to measure the City which is from heaven requires the capacities of an Angel, and it is done by the Angel who had been talking with the Scer about the City ( $\dot{d} \lambda a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$
 кáa a $\quad$ os which the Angel carries is not, as in the Seer's case, a natural reed, cut perhaps in the Jordan valley (Mt. xi. 7), or in the valley of the Upper Nile (Job xL I6), but a rod of gold such as befitted an instrument used in the service of God; cf. i. 12, v. 8, viii 3, ix. $13, \mathrm{xv} .7$.
The Angel is commissioned to take






the measure of the City, its gatetowers and its walls. The measurements of the City are given in v. 16, and those of the wall in $v .17$; the gatetowers are merely described ( $\boldsymbol{v}$. 21).
16. каі̀ $\dot{\eta}$ по́入ıs $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \gamma \omega y$ yos кєíтає $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] That the external walls form a square whose sides face the four winds appears from $v .12 \mathrm{f}$. It is now seen that the City itself is not only an equilateral quadrangle, but a perfect cube (cf. $v$. 17), length, breadth, and height being equal

The tetragon occurs more than once in the legislation of Exodus. Both the altar of burnt offering and the altar of incense were of this form (Ex. xxvii. 1, xxx. 2), and so was the High Priest's breastplate (ib. xxviii 16 , xxxvi. 16 $=x x x i x .9)$; the feature reappears in Ezekiel's new city and temple (Ez. xli. 21, xliii. I6, xlv. 1, xlviii. 20). In Solomon's Temple the Holy of Holies was a perfect cube, 20 cubits each way, cf. 3 Regn. ví. ig (20) єïкобь

 which may have suggested St John's тò $\mu \hat{\eta}$ кos каì тò $\pi \lambda$ áтos кaì tò च́ víos
 answers as a whole to the áyia $\dot{c}$ yí $\omega$ of the old city and therefore assumes its shape. In ancient cities the foursquare form was not unusual. Archbishop Benson, fresh from his tour in North Africa, thought of Cirta, the modern Constantine, "earth's most perfect city-throne" (Cyprian, pp. 368, 583) "située sur un cube rocheux" (Tissot, cited in Apocalypse, p. 106). Of Babylon Herodotus writes (i. 178):


 similar account is given of Nineveh by Diodorus Siculus (i. 3). As is well known, the rectangular tetragon was to Greek thinkers a symbol of perfection; see Simonides ap. Plat. Protag.


 cf. Arist. eth. Nic. i. I1, rhet. iii. II ; similarly Hermas vis. 3 , 5 oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oủע



 cube adds the suggestion of solidity, stability, and permanence : cf. Andreas:
 Primasius sees in the cube-like form of the Holy City the "soliditas veritatis invictae." The early commentators allegorize freely : e.g. the anonymous writer de monte Sion et Sina, 10: "dicit Hierusalem Novam civitatem quadratam per quattuor evangelia"; Victorinus: "civitatem... quadratam sanctorum adunatam turbam ostendit, in quibus nullo modo fides fluctuare potuit"; while Bede thinks of the three dimensions as representing the "longitudo fidei," the "latitudo caritatis," and the "altitudo spei." All such speculations must be taken for what they are worth. With regard to the dimensions of the cube, though it is natural to see in them a forecast of the extension, the comprehensiveness, and the elevation of Catholic Christianity, neither this nor any other particular interpretation call safely be pressed; cf. Eplı iii. 18 тò $\pi \lambda$ áros
 Dean Robinson's note ad l.









 $\delta i \omega u$. $\chi$. ${ }^{\kappa \pi} \lambda$.] Each side of the cube measured 12,000 stades, which, counting a stade as $606 \frac{3}{4}$ feet, gives the stupendous sum of nearly 1500 English miles. Such dimensions defy imagination, and are permissible only in the language of symbolism. Renan (l'Antechrist, p. 473) with truth calls the Apocalypse "le parfait antipode du chef-d'œuvre grec," but when he proceeds, "sa Jérusalem céleste est gauche, puérile, impossible," he judges the book by Greek standards, rather than by those of Semitic thought. It must indeed be confessed that these measurements exceed the wildest fancies of Jewish writers; cf. eg.


 Rabbinical writers are content to say that Jerusalem will reach to the gates of Damascus, will cover as much ground as the whole land of Israel, and rise to the height of twelve miles (Shir. R. 7. 5, Yalkut Shim. f. 57. 2, Baba bathra f. 75. 2, quoted by Wetstein). But their city was but a glorified Jerusalem ; a vastly greater City, expressed in the terms of symbolism, needed greater dimensions in proportion to its magnificence.
'Enì araoiciny, 'at so many stades'; the variant é. atadious offers the more usual construction (ef. e.g. Dan. iii. 47

 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The wall is found to measure

144 cubits, again a multiple of 12 (cf. vii. 4, xiv. 1 ; and Introduction, p. cxaxiv.), but falling far below the dimensions of the City. It is not clear whether the writer means to give the breadth or the height of the wall ; its length, of course, is determined by the cube which it surrounds. Babylon, with a circuit of 480 stades, was encircled by a wall 50 'royal' cubits broad and 200 high (Herod. i. 178): the porch of Solomon's Temple, according to the Chronicler ( 2 Chr. iii. 4), was 20 cubits wide and izo high. Judged by these standards, 144 cubits would not be an inordinate breadth for a wall intended to protect such a city as the Apocalyptist has conceived. But he probably intends to give its height-Tò $\bar{\sim} \psi$ os immediately precedes -and a wall 144 cubits $=216$ feet high, though in itself it might fairly
 insignificant when compared with a cube whose height is over $7,000,000$ feet. But this great disproportion may be the very point to which the writer desires to call attention. The walls of the City are not for defence -for there is no enemy at large any more (Isa. liv. 14)-but serve for delimitation, marking the external form of the civitas Dei. And the order and organization of the Church, necessary as they are, fall infinitely below the elevation of its spiritual life.
 "Man's measure which is angel's







 me syr arm aeth fundamenta autem Prim
measure" (Benson). The measurements taken by angelic hands are such as are in common use among men; 10 fantastic standards are to be employed by the reader. There is perhaps the further thought that men and angels are $\sigma$ ívòou $_{\text {oc ( (xix. }}$ Io, xxii. 9), and men shall one day be ioáryèoc; there is no reason therefore why angelic mensuration should differ from human. Compare the warning
 eotiv, and see note there.
 Tins iacnts] Only one other instance is quoted of the literary use of $e^{\prime} \nu$ $\delta ब \mu \eta \sigma t s$ (or $e^{\prime} \nu \delta \delta \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \sigma t s-$ on the spelling see WH. ${ }^{3}$, Notes p. I59, and cf. app. crit. above). Josephus describing the construction of the great mole at Caesarea writes (antt. xy. 9. 6): $\dot{\eta}$

 riovs nódas, where the word appears to mean simply 'structure.' And so
 $\delta o \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ ), and the Latin versions, which render the word asdificatio; the Syriac versions give (Syr. ${ }^{\text {wW }}$ ) or $\leqslant$ hracoman (Syr.). But the verb $\dot{e} \nu \delta o \mu \epsilon i n$ is properly 'to build into' (cf. Jos. antt. xy. II. 5 toíxov
 a sense suits the present passage; $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \omega \mu \eta \sigma t s \kappa \tau \lambda$. is apparently the equi-
 i.e. the wall had tarris built into it, it was cased with the precious stone, so that it sparkled with its crystalline radiance. Van Herwerden cites ér-
$\delta \omega \mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ (sic) from a late inscription at Smyrua (Dittenberger, $S I G .{ }^{3}, 583$, 30), where it seems to mean the materials of which a wall was built. On la $a \sigma \pi / s$ see iv. 3 , xxi. II, notes.

 (? emerald) lustre of the outer wall, the City itself shews like a mass of gold-no gilded toy, but 'pure gold, like pure glass,' i.e. so pure that it seemed to be transparent like the best glass (see iv. 6 , note). The same is said in $v .21$ of the street of the City; here it is the buildings or their towers and roofs, seen high above the walls, that are described. The writer possibly remembers the burnished gold of the Herodian Temple, as he had seen it at sumrise from the neighbourhood of Jerusalem: cf. Jos. B. J. v. 5. 6:







 double кaAapós has been well caught by Bede: "nihil simulatum est et non perspicuum in sanctis ecclesiae ${ }^{n}$-a remark conspicnously exemplified in the commentator's own life.
 $\lambda i \theta_{\omega} \tau \iota \mu i \omega$ кєкоб $\mu \eta \mu$ évol] The eye of the Seer returns to the foundation stones of the outer wall ( $v .14$ ), and he observes that they are decked (кєкобн $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}$ оц, cf. v. 2) with precious
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stones of every shade of colour, or rather that each stone is itself one vast gem. The idea comes originally
 ä̀ $\partial \rho а к а$ тò̀ $\lambda i ́ \theta o \nu$ бои каі̀ тà $\theta_{\epsilon} \mu$ énıá бov $\sigma$ а́т $\phi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu ;$ cf. Tobit xiii. 16 ӧть



 ' $\Omega \phi \in i \rho$ ) $\psi \eta \phi о \lambda о \gamma \eta \theta \neq \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a \iota$; for Rabbinical illustrations see Schoettgen ad $l$. But with the general conception of a jewelled city St John combines his recollections of the names and order of the stones set in another sacred тєт $a^{\prime}{ }^{\gamma} \omega \nu o \nu$, the High Priest's breastplate (Ex. xxviii. I7 ff., xxxvi. 17 ff. $=$ Heb. xxxix. ıo ff.); cf. Ez. xxviii. 13, where the same list is partly used in a description of the dress of the King of Tyre. The twelve stones of the breastplate are disposed in four rows as follows: i. oápôcoy ( (Th),




 óvixion ( the foundation stones in the Apocalypse, it will be seen that, while eight of the names are common to both lists, the Apocalyptist omits ävopag,

 and $\sigma a \rho \delta \delta{ }^{\prime} v{ }^{\prime} \xi$-words unknown to the cxx. as the names of precious stones. In the arrangement of the stones, again, he differs from his model; his third and fourth rows answer roughly to the third and fourth in the breastplate, but his first and second reverse the order of the first and second as
given in Exodus (see Enc. Bibl., 481 1); in other words he has started as in v. I3 from the SE. corner of his citywall, and after traversing the east and north sides has returned to the same corner to examine the south and the west.

The reader will find some curious speculations on the relation of the stones of the breastplate to the signs of the zodiac on the one hand and the twelve tribes on the other in J. T. S. viii. p. 213 ff.
 notes on iv. 3 , xxi. 11 , 18, and cf. Isa.
 That the first foundation stone is of the sort with which the whole wall is cased ( 0.18 ) shews how little our writer studies effect, even in this great picture of the New Jerusalem.



 "aedificabit Hierosolyma lapide sapphiri." The $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \pi \phi \in \iota \rho o s$ is mentioned several times in the O.T.; the most interesting examples are Ex. xxiv. Io


 i. 26, ix. 2, x. I. As the margin of R.V. suggests, the ancient 'sapphire' was probably lapis lazuli; see Pliny, H. N. xxxiii. 21, xxxvii. 39 , 54 , who describes it as a sky-blue stone, flecked with gold; and cf. Epiphanius de gemmis 5 入itos $\sigma a ́ \pi \phi \epsilon є \rho a s ~ \pi о р ф и р i-~$

 трícos $\chi a \lambda \kappa \eta \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu . \quad \mathbf{X} a \lambda \kappa$. is.är. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in Biblical Greek; in Exodus äv $\theta_{\rho} a \xi$ occupies the corresponding place. The word is supposed to denote a green silicate of copper found in the mines
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 $a^{\text {nat mu }}{ }^{\text {sardius }} \mathrm{vg}^{\text {gle dem lipa }}$ anon ${ }^{\text {aus }} \operatorname{Prim}$ sardinus vgam tol sardonius vg ${ }^{\text {fu }}$
near Chalcedon. In Pliny H. $N$. xxxvii. 18 "Chalcedonii nescio an in totum exoleverint postquam metalla aeris ibi defecerunt...fuere...colore incerti et virentium in caudis pavonum columbarumque e collo plumis similiter." The rendering of the Armenian version in cod I (Conybeare, p. 56) is 'turquoise.' 'O тє́тартоs бна́раүдоя.
 Tob. xiii. 16, Judith x. 21, Esth. i. 6, Sir. xxxy. 6 (xxxii. 8)) Pliny writes (H.N. xxxvii. 16): "Smaragdos vero tanto libentius, quoniam nihil omnino viridius comparatum illis viret." Nero, he adds, used it for the purpose of a field-glass ("gladiatorum pugnas spectabat smaragdo $"$, doubtless to protect his eyes against the glare of the sum ; cf. Epiph de gemm. 3

 In view of this evidence the $\sigma \mu a \dot{a} \rho a y \delta o s$ of the Apocalypse must be identified with the emerald, or some other green stone, and not with rock crystal (Enc. Bibl. 4804 f.). Cf. c. iv. 3, note.
20. $\dot{\delta} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \sigma 5 \sigma a \rho \delta \alpha^{\prime} \nu v \xi \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The rapóvo $\xi$ was a variety of onyx in which the white was broken by layers of red or brown. Cf. Pliny, $H . N$. xxxvii. 23 "Sardonyches olim ut ex ipso nomine apparet intellegebantur candore in sarda, hoc est, velut carne ungui hominis imposita, et utroque translucide." The sardonyx was much in request for cameos (King, $E n$ graved Gems, pp. 55, 363), and was highly valued ; cf. Juvenal xiii. 138 "gemmaque princeps | sardonychum, loculis quae custoditur eburnis." '0 ẽ́ктos $\sigma a ́ \rho \delta \iota \iota \nu:$ see c. iv. 3, note. 'O
 xxxwii 42, describes these stones as
"aureo fulgore translucentes." In the Lxx. the word represents the stone of Tarshish (Ez. x. 9) in Ex. xxyiii. and xxxvi. and Ez. xxyiii., and similarly in Aquila (Ez. i. 26, x. 9, Dan. x. 6). The identification of the ancient chrysolite is uncertain; a yellow beryl and a gold-coloured jasper much used in Egyptian art have been suggested (Enc. Bibl. 819, Hastings, D.B. iv. 620); Prof. Ridgeway holds that the chrysolite of the breastplate was the garnet. 'o of $\gamma \delta o o s ~ \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \rho v \lambda \lambda$ os ( $\beta_{r} \rho \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu$ Ex., Ez.; $\beta$ rípu $\lambda \lambda o s$, Tob.). The beryl, as Pliny points out (H.N. xxxvii. 20), has much in common with the emerald, but in colour the best stones are blue or sea green: "probatissimi sunt ex iis qui viriditatem maris puri imitantur": similarly Epiphanius: $\quad \gamma \lambda a v \kappa i \zeta \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \iota$,
 anothergreenstone-as Prof.Ridgeway informs me, "a moss-green variety of olivine, termed peridot." It was highly prized both by the Hebrews and in the West; cf. Ps. cxviii. (cxix.) 127

 Bibl. 4802), Job xxviii. 19 oủk
 Hilary on Ps. l.c.: " praestat autem, ut ceteris metallis aurum, ita et aliis lapidibus topazion, est enim ipse rarissimus et speciosissimus omnium"; Pliny II.N. xxxvii. 32 "egregia etiamnunc topazio gloria est suo virente genere." The green of the romajtod was of a golden hue, according to

 Diod, Sic viii. 39 入í̈os...ví $\hat{\omega}$ н $\pi а \rho є \mu-$
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$\pi \rho a \sigma o s$. The $\chi \rho v \sigma o ́ \pi \rho a \sigma o s$, which is not mentioned in the lxx., but answers to the $\lambda_{l}$ yuptoy of Ex., was akin to the beryl, but of a paler green; Pliny, H. N. xxxvii. 32 "vicinum genus huic est pallidius, et a quibusdam proprii generis existimatur vocaturque chrysoprasus." According to King (Precious Stones, pp. 130, 163) it is to be distinguished from the modern chrysoprase, which is applegreen, an agate coloured by oxide of
 the word váx $y$ Oos see ix. 17, where vakiv $\theta$ vos is associated with mupivos and $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \dot{\delta} \ddagger \mathrm{s}$, apparently meaning 'of the colour of blue smoke.' In Ex. the corresponding stone is the dark red àұárns (cf. Enc. Bibl. 48ı2), but in the Apocalypse at all events it is safer to follow the account of Pliny ("violaceus," see below), and Epiphanius (vinonoo $\phi u \rho i(\omega \nu)$; the modern sapphire is said to be the stone intended. 'O $\delta \omega \delta$ б́катоs $\grave{a} \mu$ '́ $\theta v \sigma \tau$ оs: distinguished from the vákıvors by its greater brilliancy; see Pliny H.N. xxxvii. 4 " differentia haec, quod ille emicans in amethysto fulgor violaceus dilutus est in hyacintho"; Epiph.


 ат $\pi о \pi є ́ \mu \pi о v \sigma a$ єíios. The Libyan sort,
 тараплі́бш"s.

Collecting results, we observe that the stones are in the main of four




yellow ( $\chi \rho v \sigma^{\prime} \lambda(\theta)$ s). But the stones of the same general colour vary greatly both in hue and brilliancy, as the descriptions shew. In several cases different shades of the same colour appear to be arranged in groups, e.g. the two reds are placed together ( 5,6 ), and the greens form two sequences ( 3,4 and 8, 9, 10) ; but it is precarious to attach significance to this order, whiclı appears to depend on an arbitrary modification of that of the stones in the High Priest's breastplate. If we may ask what purpose the Spirit of prophecy had in this enumeration of precious stones beyond the general design of connecting the New Jerusalem with the symbols of the Twelve Tribes, a key to the most probable answer is supplied by Clement of Alexandria, paed. ii. 12,








 He does not pursue the train of thought, but it is easy to do so. The Apostolic College itself was composed of men of greatly varying capacities and characters, and in passing under the hand of the great ajpхıтéкт $\omega$, Who made them foundation stones of the wall of the new City of God, no one of these lost his own individuality. The same is true of the eutire building; every colour, every shade of colour, every degree of brilliancy is found




  

among the living stones which make up the ideal City. The $\pi$ onuroícilos бофía тoû $\theta$ eoù ( Eph . iii ro) reflects itself in the saints, but not wholly in any one saint. The High Priest alone wears all the colours on His breast; of the rest it is said : סacopécfas $\chi$ apıo-


 даруapital] From the foundation stones the Seer's eye turns back to the gateways which divide them ( $v .12 \mathrm{ff}$.). Of these also each is a gem, not however a precious stone
 $\lambda i \theta_{o v s} \boldsymbol{x} \rho v \sigma \tau a ̀ \lambda \lambda o v$, but a single pearl. The pearl has no place in the O.T. lists of jewels, though a reference to it has been suspected in one or two doubtful passages (see Enc. Bibl. ad v.). But in N.T. times the dealer in 'goodly pearls' was not unknown on the great roads of Galilee (Mt. xiii. 46), and the pearl was among the treasured ornaments of the wealthier class (Mt. vii. 6, I Tim. ii. 9). The later Jews looked forward to a time when pearls would abound in Israel; Yalkut Shim. f. 54. I"fore ut limites Israelis repleantur gemmis et margaritis, venturosque Israelitas et inde accepturos quantum lubuerit." There is a remarkable parallel to the present verse in Baba bathra, f. 75. I " Deus adducet gemmas et margaritas triginta cubitos longas totidemque latas easque excavabit in altitudinem viginti cubitorum et latitudinem decem cubitorum, collocabitque in portis Hierusalem."

Bede finds a spiritual significance in the gates of pearl: "sicut lux vera
...sanctis donavit lumen esse mundi, sic et ipse cum sit margarita singularis...suos nihilominus margaritarum fulgori comparat."
 - ov]. Wach gate-tower seemed to have been carved out of a single monstrous pearl. With this use of àvá cf. the use of кará in Mc. xiv. 19 єis кatà єìs, note, 'Jo.' viii. 9 єis кat' fis, Rom. xii.
 p. 179; Abbott, Johannine Grammar, $\$ \$_{5}$ 1890, 2281. The punctuation of Syr. ${ }^{\text {gw. shews the the than }}$ before him cis àvà fis in the present place ; see Dr Gwynn's note ad l.
 ка日apóv кт入.] See $\quad$. . 18, where the same is said of the Holy City as a whole. atavyr's brings out the special $^{2}$ point of käapós; the gold was so pure that men seemed to look into and through its clear depths as they walked upon it; the word is unknown to the uxx. and $a^{\prime} \pi$. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in the N.T., but used by Philo, and by Aquila in Prov. xvi. 5, where the Lxx. has фavefá; Aq. uses also diavyá̧cty, diaúyafaa. For $\pi \lambda a \tau f i ́ a$ see Mc. vi. $56, \mathrm{D}$, and c. xxii. I; the ideal City has no narrow $\dot{\rho} \hat{v} \mu a \downarrow$ (Lc. xiv. 2I), but only the broad thoroughfare of a perfect fellowship -how striking a feature those will understand who have threaded their way through the lanes of an Eastern town; even in Tobit's picture of a restored Jerusalem these are not absent, and he is content to present them in a new light: '̇poûcu nẫau
 18).

Victorinus allegorizes: "plateae... ostendunt corda ab omnibus mundata









 rap NAP min $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{vg}_{\mathrm{syr}} \mathrm{sym}^{\mathrm{sw}}\right]$ ort $\eta$ Irbrox Angst
sordibus...perspicua luce fulgida ut merits in ifs deambulet Dominus."
 City possesses no Sanctuary, for it is itself a Holy of holes, as its cubic form suggests (v. 16); cf. 2 Cor. vi. 16

 aúrois. The Eternal Presence ( $\boldsymbol{r}$. 3) renders the new Jerusalem one vast vaós. There is therefore no conflict between this verse and c. iii. $12 \delta$
 $\tau \hat{v} \theta \in o \bar{v} \mu o v$, which in the light of the present passage is simply a promise of permanent citizenship in the Holy City. Nor do St John's words here condemn the present use or building of magnificent churches. Material sanctuaries, nevertheless, are a confession that the perfect has not yet come; the ideal Church has no need of them; cf. Andreas: xis yà $\rho$ र $\rho$ cia
 مò̀ каі̀ бкє́ $\pi \eta \nu$;
 The Divine Presence in Itself constitotes a Sanctuary which supersedes material structures; cf. Jo. iv. $2 I$

 татрі. For [ $\hat{0}]$ кúpıos ot $\theta$ eos of лаитокра́тшן see i. 8, note; it answers to the
 here significantly associated with the Lamb; cf. vii. 9 f., xiv. 4, xxii.

If. The revelation of the O.T. finds its consummation in the Incarnate Son ; the promise of God's Presence with His people is realized in the Person of the sacrificed and exalted Christ.
 filiov kr $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] A second distinction of the Holy City. As it needs no matrial temple, since it is pervaded by the Presence of God, so it needs no created light, since the same Presence irradiates it unceasingly. Cf. An-

 xpeía. Sun and moon, the luminaries of the first creation (Gen. i. 14), have no place in the second; cf. Iss. lx.


 aiciveov. The thought recurs in $c$. xxii.
 $\phi \omega r o ̀ s ~ j \lambda i ́ o v . ~ N o ~ w o r d s ~ c o u l d ~ m o r e ~$ clearly demonstrate the purely spiritual character of St John's conception of the New Jerusalem.

For a Rabbinical parallel see Yalkrut Ruben, f. 7. 3 "neque in munda futuro necesse habebunt lumen solis interdiu et lumen lunge noctu."
 Divine Glory, the revelation of the fulness of the Divine attributes, is the Sun of the ideal order-""lumen (as Victorinus eloquently writes) caius






 $\left.25 \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \aleph^{*}\left(-\rho a s \aleph^{c, a}\right) \mid \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha l\right] \eta \eta^{\mu} \operatorname{arm}^{1} \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \rho \operatorname{arm}^{4}$
splendorem nullus potuerit sensus cogitare nee lingua proloqui"; cf. Ps.
 д̀ $\psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \phi \bar{\omega} s$. We expect the writer
 àpviov, but for $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ he writes $\dot{\boldsymbol{o}}$ $\lambda i \chi^{\nu o s}$, perhaps because he shrank from likening Christ to 'the lesser light.'
 perhaps because he wished to contrast the one Lamp which illuminates the ideal Church with the many $\lambda v x^{\prime}$ ia of the churches on earth (i. 12, 20).



 such world-wide influence was ever the lot of the older Jerusalem. Rome came nearer to the ideal in her relation to the proviucès of the Empire, and her influence over the countries where she exercised the rights of suzerain. But the light of Rome was in the end to go out in darkness, as the Seer foresaw (xviii. 23). The Church alone possesses an unfailing source of illumination, which radiates far beyond her borders. Nations not yet Christian, or Christian chiefly in name, reap the benefit of Christian opinion and Christian standards of life. Whatever there is in modern life which promises amelioration of social evils is probably to be ascribed to the influence, direct or indirect, of a dominant Christianity, even where that influence is most stoutly denied. On the other hand Christianity derives certain advantages from contact with
the world. From the fourth century the Church has received the tribute of recognition from the State; the kings of the earth-not as some of the Latin commentators suggest, the "reges spirituales" of her own body, but secular princes-have heaped honours upon her. So far history has verivied the Seer's forecast, and the fulfilnent continues to this day. How it will accomplish itself when the ideals of the Church have been realized must be left to the future to disclose. The words may have reference only to the present order, or they may indicate some gracious purpose of God towards humanity which has not yet been revealed: cf. $c$. xxii. 2 тà
 $\epsilon^{2} \theta \nu \omega \nu$, and the note there.
 $\sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a s ~ \kappa т \lambda$.$] The Seer still$ follows, and while he follows expands

 $\left.\sigma \theta \eta_{\sigma o v} a s\right)$. In the ideal City night is unknown, because the sun of the Divine Presence never sets; cf. Isa. lx. 20 où
 Kúpoús $\sigma o u$ ф $\hat{\omega}$ s aiciurou. In the history of nations, as in nature, darkness succeeds to light, civilization is followed by outbursts of barbarism. In the ideal Church no such relapses are possible; the future holds no Dark Ages for the City of God In c. vii. 15
 condition of the Church, and the vision there is expressed in the terms of the present.







 $I^{\text {gr ex Anat }}$

The gates of the New Jerusalem stand open through the Eternal Day to allow of the freest ingress and egress，cf．Jo．x． 9 oft émov cary rus

 xxiii．（xxiv．）7，9）is heard no more at the approach of the King，nor is there any hasty closing of the portals as an enemy is seen to be near．＇Ex $\lambda$ ciao $\theta \eta$
 other circumstances，which can never occur in the ideal City．
 $\tau \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s$ avi $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu]$ A further presentation of the thought expressed

 As Rome in her time attracted the merchandise of the world（xviii．I If．）， so in days to come all that is best in human life will flow into the City of God．The Seer foresees the corse－ cation to the service of Christ，in the coming centuries，of art，literature， and science，of national character and power，of social and civic life．

27．кai ova $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i \sigma \in \lambda \theta_{\eta}$ є is aủrخ̀̀ $\pi a ̂ y$ коьข́⿱亠䒑日 кт $\lambda$ ．］In the ideal condition of the Church the influx of the nations with their several offerings will not bring with it the elements of evil which hitherto have been associated with wholesale conversions．The open gates of the City of Light exclude the works of darkness；rif $\gamma$ ad $\rho$（Andreas

 éкєíl áкátaptos；ib．lii．I oủкє́тı тробтє－
 каì ákátaptos；Ez．xiv．9．The reali－ ration of this vision of purity belongs to the future，but not exclusively so； the remark of Primasius：＂futuri temp－ ports circumscribit ecclesial quando non sicut hunt permixtos cum bonds cohabitants patitur malls＂must be taken with Bede＇s reservation：＂sed et nuns ornis immundus et mendax non est in ecclesia．＂On кoıvó see Mc．vii．2，note，and cf．Acts $x$ ． 14 тầ коьขò̀ kail àkáӨapтov．Here as in Mc．vii． 20,23 the word has passed into an ethical meaning ；the verdict by which Christ＇cleansed all meats＇（ib．19）leaves moral pollution
 kail $\psi \in \hat{v} \delta o s$ ．Babylon the Great was full of $\beta \delta \delta є \lambda$ ur $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} a \tau a$（xvii．4）；the New Jerusalem has no place for the ésict－ $\lambda v \gamma \mu \dot{\nu}{ }^{\prime}(\mathbf{x x i}$ 8）．Falsehood，the anti－ thesis of $\dot{\eta} \dot{d} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \in a$ ，is no less absolutely excluded．The Apocalyptist，who had experience of pagan life at Ephesus， loses no opportunity of condemning its insincerity ；cf．xiv．5，xxi．8，xxii． 15．But as the last passage shews， his exclusion of the insincere from the City＿of God must be limited to those who are consciously and contentedly insincere；o $\pi$ row ip $\psi$ ．is to be inter－ preted as of $\phi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ai mot $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ．
 those whose names are inscribed etc．＇； cf．Dan．xii．i．The exception refers not to $\dot{\delta}$ mot icy $\beta \hat{\delta}$ ．cai $\psi$ ．，but to all who seek to enter，as if the sentence had runt out $\mu \dot{\eta}$ circé $\lambda \theta_{\eta}$ ovid $\delta \in i ́ s, ~ \in i \quad \mu \dot{\eta}$





$\kappa \pi \lambda$. For the 'Book of Life' see iii. 5 , note, xx. 15 , and for the qualifying rov̂ ápvíou cf. xiii. 8, note.
 ÚSatos 〈 $\omega \hat{\eta} \mathrm{f} \kappa \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Seer is now shewn by the Angel (xxi. 9) the interior of the City. The vision combines that of Ezekiel xlvii. r-12 with the account of Eden in Gen. ii. 9 ff., adding certain new features. In Gen. l. c. the river issues from Eden and is parted into four heads; in Ezekiel a stream issues from its source in the Temple-rock, and running eastwards presently becomes a river too deep to be forded; the river makes its way to the Dead Sea, which it converts into fresh water, and on its banks there grow fruit trees which bear throughout the year. In St John's vision the river issues from the Throne of God and of the Lamb, which has taken the place of the Temple (cf. xxi: 22 with xxii. 3); and it waters not the wilderness but the City itself (cf. Ps. xlv. (xlvi) 5 тồ $\pi о \tau a \mu о \hat{u} \tau$ à óp $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$
 the fruit trees which grow on its banks are identified with the Tree of Life which grew in the primaeral Paradise.

For $\bar{v} \delta \omega \rho$ ऽ $\omega \bar{\eta} s$ see vii. 17, xxi. 6, xxii. 17, notes. The conception of a river of the water of life appears


 $\dot{\epsilon \xi}$ 'I $\epsilon \rho \sigma v \sigma a \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu$, and Ez. xlvii. 9 каi


 f. 100. I "Deus producturus est fluvium ex sancto sanctorum iuxta quem omnia genera fructuum delica-
torum erunt." See especially Jo. vii. 38

 víaros $\zeta \omega \bar{\omega}$ ros. The explanation which

 of mıorev́ซapres els aùróv) leaves no doubt how the metaphor was understood by the school of St John, and may therefore be taken to interpret the present passage. The River of Life which 'gladdens the City of God' is the gift of the Spirit which followed the Ascension and which, once bestowed, remains with the Church for ever (Jo. xiv. 16).
 like rock crystal ; cf. iv. 6 dá̀a $\quad$ a $\sigma a . .$.
 тoû $\theta$ póvov $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: the River of the lifegiving Spirit issues forth out of the Throne, or, as Andreas explains, ék toũ
 тoû àpviou. The words, however, cannot be used with any confidence in the Filioque controversy, for it is the mission of the Spirit rather than His eternal Procession which is in view here, as indeed it probably is even in Jo. xv. 26. For the patristic interpretation see History of the Doctrine of the Procession, p. 8, note.
 (oo. 1, 3) is a startling expression; elsewhere the Lamb is $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mathscr{\epsilon}$ or ả̀à $\mu \hat{́} \sigma o \nu$ toû $\theta \rho o ́ v o v ~(v . ~ 6, ~ v i i . ~ 17), ~$
 Almighty Father as distiuguished from the Incarnate Son (v. 13, vi. 16 , vii. 10). But cf. iii. 21, where the glorified Christ is represented as the Father's oúvepovos, and see note there.
 тồ $\pi$ отацо̂̀ $k \tau \lambda$.] WH., following

## 









 $3^{2} 33 \mathrm{Ar}$ (ex Andr comm)

Matthaei, connect $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\mu} \sigma \omega$ rins $\pi \lambda$. autins with $v$. I (cf. R.V. "he shewed me a river...in the midst of the street thereof"), and govern tov тотauov
 side of the river and on that"). But if the words $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \kappa \pi \lambda$. belonged to 0 . I, they would more naturally

 кal éкeitev may have a prepositional force (cf. e.g. Jos. ix. 6 (viii. 33) $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \theta \in \boldsymbol{y}$
 Dan. xii. 5 Th. eis èvtê̂قey tồ $\chi$ cỉovs tov $\pi о \tau а \mu о \hat{u})$, yet their position after $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ потauov suggests that they are used here adverbially as in
 $\underset{\epsilon}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ кail ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \nu \theta_{\epsilon \nu \nu}$; Ex. xlvii. 7 (the basis


 whole, then, the usual punctuation seems preferable, and we may translate "between the street of the City and the river, on this side and on
 note. The picture presented is that of a river flowing through the broad street which intersects the city, a row of trees being on either bank. The
 quoted by Wetstein from Aelian N.A. ii. 4 , ix. 34 , xiii. 23.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Of. Ez. xlvii. 12 кaì $\notin \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$


 $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s$ aủrov̂, cf. M.T. וֹ $\beta_{0} \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon_{h}$ Andreas well remarks:



 Le. xxiii. 3r) and the phrase $\xi$. $\langle\omega \hat{\eta} s$, see ii. 7 , note; like $\gamma \underset{y}{\text { tin }}$ Gen. i. 1 I f.; $\xi u \hat{\lambda} a \nu$ is here clearly collective, since there are trees on either side of the river. Andreas: $\vec{\epsilon} \theta$ os $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ т $\hat{\eta}$ $\gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$

 for each month, are suggested by Ezekiel l.c. and familiar to later Jewish writers, e.g. Shemoth rabba ${ }_{15}$ "tempore futuro... Deus faciet arbores quae quovis mense fructus ferant; homo vero qui de illis comedet sanabitur." It is not clear whether twelve crops of fruit are intended or "twelve manner of fruits" (A.V., R.V.); the latter idea lends itself well to the symbolism of the passage, for the one "fruit of the Spirit" is manifotd in its varieties (Gat. v. 22). The fruits of the Tree of life are doubtless life-supporting (Gen. iii. 22) and intended for the service of the citizens of the New Jerusalem; see v. 14, and cf. Ez. xlvii 12 光 $\sigma$ ral ó $\kappa а \rho \pi o ̀ s ~ a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ els $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \pi \nu$, Enoch xxv. 5
 cis ßooáv. But the tree is not only "good for food" (Gen. iii. 6); its

## $3 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad{ }^{3} \kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \mu$ ои̉к ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ є́ть. каі  



 $130 \mathrm{arm}^{4}$
leaves have therapeutic properties (Ez, l. c.); the Lxx. rendering divd-
 but our writer has access to another version or to the Heb., and rightly translates $\phi v i \lambda \lambda a$ eis $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a v$. The therapeutic work of Christ (Le. ix. il rav̀s रefiay ë́xoutas $\theta \in \rho a \pi$ rias iãto) is continued on its spiritual sidc at least by the Church, and may find in a future order opportunities at present unsuspected. As in c. xxi. 24,26 , the Seer seems to forecast the presence of $\tilde{\epsilon} \theta m$, nations not yet included among the citizens of the New Jerusalem, even after the Parousia, but the inference is too uncertain to be used for a dogmatic purpose. He may rcfer only to the functions of the Church in the present state; so far as she fulfils her true office she is the healer of the diseases of humanity.
 Kaт $\dot{\dot{\prime}} \theta \epsilon \mu a$ is without example in Biblical Greek, though ката日є $\mu a r i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ occurs in Mt. xxvi. 74 as the equivalent of Mc.'s à ${ }^{2} \theta_{\epsilon \mu} a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota$, and the noun is used in Did. 16 a $\omega$ Ójoovtal $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò $\tau o \hat{v}$ катаӨє́датоs. It is perhaps somewhat stronger than dंvá $\theta \in \mu a$ (Andreas: ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\alpha} a \tau}$ '
 an 'execration' and not simply a 'ban.' 'Avátєرa may be either the sentence pronounced, as in the phrase
 Acts xxiii. 14), or the object on which it is laid (Deut. vii. 26 ßסє $\lambda$ é $\gamma \mu a t \iota$
 ( $\sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ), cf. Rom. ix. 3, I Cor. xii. 3, xvi. 22, Gal. i. 8 f. Probably the latter is
the meaning of кала́ $\theta_{\epsilon \mu \Omega}$ here; no execrated or execrable person or thing shall be found in the Holy City; cf. v. I 5. The form of the thought is from

 Oórcos. חầ adds to the strength of the negative; nothing of the sort remains in the New Jerusalem; contrast Gen. iii. 19.
 Throne of God, when first revealed to the Seer, was seen through a door opened in Heaven (iv. 1); now he sees it in the Holy City which is descending to the earth, and on it sits not the Father only but the Incarnate and glorified Son ( $\boldsymbol{r}$. I, note). In Christ the Church has within her that which makes the chief glory of Heaven, the revealed Presence of God.
 $\sigma c \nu a v \tau \varphi \hat{\omega} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] To the final revelation of God there corresponds a perfected service; where the Throne is always in sight the service must be per-



 ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime}$ aúrov's-a vision which is now seen to find its fulfilment in the New Jerusalem. On 入arpevesty see the note on the passage just quoted.
 to the Church in her ideal state a privilege denied to the Lawgiver of the O.T. ; cf. Ex. xxxiii. 20, 23 ou




 Kúpıos ò $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \phi \omega \tau i ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi ' ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o u ́ s * ~ к \alpha i ́ ~ \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~$ єis tov's aiwvas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aićvov.











 reversal of this positive bar to complete fellowship with God seems to be foreshadowed in Ps. xvi. (xvii.) 15 є́ $\gamma \omega$

 (בְהָּ patrick and Briggs ad $l$. To see God is the reward of purity, and conversely the sight of God in Christ will perfect the process of purification (Mt. v. 8, I Jo. iii. 2 ff.).
 av่т $\omega \hat{y}$. Cf. Andreas: ìmri tov̂ $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$
 Bede: "confessio nominis sancti, nunc inter hostes servata, tune victores in patria glorificat." Entire consecration to the service of God is however the leading idea of the metaphor; see cc. iii. 12, xiv. I, notes.

 notes; the Seer repeats like a refrain the absence of night in the ideal City, and the supersession of light, natural or artificial, by the revelation of the glory of God. The more difficult read-
 force to this refrain: 'they have no
need of lamplight, and sunlight they have none.'
 aiciv $\omega \nu$ contrasts the eternal reign of the saints with the limited reign of

 av̇rov̂ тà $\chi^{i \lambda \iota a}{ }^{\text {n }} \tau \tau . \quad$ Potentially, indeed, they were reigning even in the first century (cf. v. Io $\beta a \sigma$ chev́ovar $\nu$ ध́rì $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\eta} s\right)$, but neither the first century nor the fourth witnessed a full or permanent realization of the Regnum Dei, which is reserved for the Church in her perfect state. Perfect service will be accompanied by perfect sovereignty-will be perfect sovereignty. The beauty of the
 has been finely caught by the Gregorian phrase "cui servire regnare est."

Interpreters of the Apocalypse who recognize its prophetic character differ widely with regard to the reference of this final vision. Does the New Jerusalem belong wholly to the future, or is its fulfilment to be sought in the present life of the Church? Augustine (de cio. Dei xx. 17) denounces the latter view in no measured terms: "hoc de isto tempore accipere quo regnat [sc. ecclesia] cum

## 
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rege suo mille annis impudentiae nimiae mihi videtur...quis vero tam sit absurdus et obstinatissima contentione vesanus, qui audeat affirmare in huius mortalitatis aerumnis, non dico populum sanctum, sed unumquemque sanctorum...nullas habentem lacrymas et dolores $3 . .$. In hoc quoque libro... obscura multa dicuntur...verum in his verbis ubi ait Absterget Deus omnem lacrymam ab oculis eorum etc., tanta luce dicta sunt de saeculo futuro...ut nulla debeamus in litteris sacris quaerere vel legere manifesta, si haec putaverimus obscura." Even a stronger case might be made out for a purely 'futurist' view; in its favour may be urged the place which the vision occupies in the order of the Book (but see note on xx . I); the difficulty of finding an approximately complete counterpart to it in the history of the Christian Society; the writer's use of the future tense in xxi. 24 ff ., xxii. 3 ff . On the other hand it cannot be denied that there is much in the picture which fulfils itself to a greater or less extent in the present experience of Christendom, if allowance is made for the idealism which characterizes the thought and language of Apocalyptic prophecy.

Perhaps it is in this last consideration that the solution of the difficulty is to be found. The Holy City which passes before the mind of St John is the Ideal Church as conceived in the purpose of God and to be realized in His own time. So far as this conception is purely spiritual, the powers by which it can be converted into actuality have been in the possession of the Church from the first, and the results are manifest in the moral triumphs of Christianity. Already the many colours of the New Jerusalem and the flashes of its crystal luminary may be seen by those whose
eyes are not closed against the heavenly vision; men slake their thirst in the River, and nations find healing in the leaves of the Tree. But as a whole the ideal is still far above us, nor will it be reached until a new age has been inaugurated by the Lord's Return.
XXII. 6-20. EPILOGUE: LAST words of the Angel, the Seer, and THE LORD.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The visions of the Apocalypse are now ended; they have reached their climax in the New Jerusalem. It remains for the Seer to report the parting utterances of some of the personae dramatis, and this is done in the disjointed manner which characterizes much of the latter portion of the Book; it is often difficult to distinguish the speakers, or to trace the connexion of the thought.

The first speaker ( $v 0.6 \mathrm{f}$.) is doubtless the hierophant angel of xxi. 9, ${ }^{15}$, xxii. 1. The sayings which he pronounces to be 'faithful and true' (xxi. 5, note) are, as the sequel shews, the teachings of the entire Book, and not only the noble words with which the last of its visions has just ended (250. 3-5). The kai which follows is quasi-'epexegetic': these sayings are faithful and true, seeing that they constitute a message which the Almighty Himself has sent through His angel. There is a reference here, as in more than one other phrase in the Epilogue, to the Prologue (i. 1-3); the words
 є́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{a}_{\chi \in \iota}$ are repeated verbatim from i. I. 'O кर́peos ó $\theta$ cós is doubtless the Eternal Father, as in i. 8, iv. 8, xi. 17 , xy. 3, xvi. 7, xviii. 8, xix. 6, xxi. 22, xxii. 5. Here He is ó $\theta$ Gós т $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi \nu є \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \phi \eta^{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$, the God from Whom
$\theta \iota \nu o ́$, каi oo кúplos od $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$






#### Abstract

     


prophetic inspiration proceeds, Who is the Source of prophetic gifts; cf.


 maros.' For $\pi v \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau a$ in this sense



 are not to be identified with the érica туєiцa ta of i. $4, \mathrm{~V} .6$, which are before the Divine Throne, and are the Eyes of the Lamb; they are the natural faculties of the Prophets, raised and quickened by the Holy Spirit, but still under human control, and standing in a creaturely relation to God.

 where the phrase is used in reference to human life in general. The Enochic "Lord of the Spirits" (Enoch xxxvii. 2 et passim) has quite another meaning; see Charles ad hoc., and cf. 2 Macc. iii.
 Suvaotits.
It is noteworthy that even in the visions of this book, which came to him when he was apparently alone in Patmos, St John associates himself with the whole body of the Christian Prophets. The esprit de corps thus revealed is interesting; at the same time it is to be observed that he does not isolate the prophetic order from
the rest of the Christian Society; if in the first instance the message comes to the Prophets only, it comes to them for the benefit of the Church at large (see Mc. iv. aI, note); it is their duty to communicate it to all the Servants of God. For m $\rho о \phi \hat{\eta}$ tat see x. 7, xi. 18, xvi. 6, xviii. 20, 24 , xxii. 9; and for $80 \hat{\nu} \lambda o t$, i. 1 , ii. 20 , vii. 3 , xix. 2,5 , xxii. 3 , notes.
 Voice of Christ is heard behind, or speaking through, the voice of His angel. For this parenthetical iòò
 suggested here by the Angel's iv ráx $\epsilon$, is added in ii. 16 , iii. it, and below $v 0$. 12, 20 . On ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ in this Book see vi. I, note.
The beatitude which follows is here, as in xvi. 15, part of Christ's utternance ; it is a repetition in a shorter form of $i$. 3 , so that the Book ends as it began, with a felicitation of its devout students. On $\delta$ т $\boldsymbol{\rho} \omega \bar{\nu}$ Primasius well observes: "'servare' dicit hic reverenter credere et purioris vitae proposito custodire." Tồ Buikiov toúrov points to the all but completed roll on the Seer's knee; throughout the Apocalypse he has represented himself as writing his impressions at the time (cf. x. 4
 nearly ended.
 тaîтa $\kappa \tau$.] As at the beginning of
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the Book (i. $1,4,9$ ), the author gives his name, without any distinguishing title, as Dionysius of Alexandria had alreadyobserved(Eus. H.E. vii. 25): ỗс

 a io $\eta \lambda \frac{1}{2}$. The writer claims, however, to be the Seer himself ( $\delta \quad$ ain. каi

 the things which the Angel had just shewn him (cf. infer. tit סeckvioutós Mot $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ ), ie. the revelation of the New Jerusalem. So astounding was this whole vision, the crowning glory of the Book, that the Seer forgets the warning be has recently received (xix. 10), and again prostrates himself before the Angel. The commentators offer alternative explanations, egg. Primasius writes: "aut semen factum iteravit...aut magno visionum stupor perculsus adorare se iterum voluisse confitetur." There is nothing in the context to justify the supposition that St John believed himself to be worshipping Christ; though the angel had spoken the words love ${ }^{\prime} \beta \chi o \mu a \iota$ ra Xu in the person of Christ, yet the

Seer knew him to be one of the bearers of the Seven Bowls (xxi. 9). Still less can it be maintained that it is Christ Who refuses the worship; here, as in $c$. xix., it is the cult of angelic beings that the A pocalyptist wishes to discourage by the example of his own repeated lapse; see notes on xix. 10 .

T $\omega \nu \nu \eta p o z ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. is repeated from
 $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a v$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ in xix. 10.
 roves $\lambda$ óyous $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda$.] The Angel continues; on this kail $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ see xix. 9 , note. His instruction is exactly the reverse of that which is given to


 тробта́үдата каі $\sigma ф \rho a ́ \gamma \iota \sigma a \iota ~ т o ̀ ~ \beta \iota \beta \lambda i o v ~$
 circumstances are different-indeed, they are reversed; as Milligan well says, "it was not a time" now "for sealing up, but for breaking seals"; the end was not, as in Daniel's case (see Driver on Dan. lee.), far off, but at hand, almost within sight. Therefore the
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open for all who will to read; nay, the hearing and reading of the book (i. 3, xxii. 7), and so far as may be, the study of its mysteries (xiii. 18, xvii. 9), are to be warmly encouraged. The Incarnation had brought the season for the fulfilment of God's purposes relatively near, even before the end of the first century; cf. i 3, note. On the practice of sealing books, to keep their contents secret, see c. v. r, note. Only in reference to one detail in this Book is the Seer directed $\Sigma \phi \rho a-$ yıoov... $\mu \dot{\eta} . . . \gamma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \psi \eta s$ ( x .4 ); the rest is for the ears and eyes of all Christians.
 Daniel is still in view; cf. Dan. xii. ro

 $\tau \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\dot{\alpha} \mu а р \tau \omega \lambda о \stackrel{c}{\prime}$ (Th. aं ${ }^{\gamma} о \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ävoнос); perhaps the Apocalyptist has

 sense seems to be that the great trial which Antiochus was the means of bringing upon the Jewish people, while it exercised a purifying influence upon the faithful, would but confirm the disloyal in their wickedness; see Driver ad loc. While this thought may not be entirely absent from the present passage, another is more prominent. It is not only true that the troubles of the last days will tend to fix the character of each individual according to the habits which he has already formed, but there will come a time when change will be impos-sible-when no further opportunity will be given for repentance on the one hand or for apostasy on the other. In the imagination of the Seer the moment has been reached when the

Master of the house has arisen and shut the door, and those that are without will knock in rain (Mt. xxv. Io, Le. xiii. 25); men can then no longer recede from the position which they have chosen to take up. Cf. Andreas:

 and the caution added by Arethas:


 wrong, 'the wrong-doer,' with special reference perhaps to the persecutor -so at least the sufferers in the Viennese troubles understood it; cf




 the representative of another class, the immoral pagan or reprobate; the a $\delta \hat{0}$ к $\omega \nu$ may be scrupulously moral, the fviapós disregards purity of life or even common decency; for the word and its cognates see Zech. iii. 3 e $\boldsymbol{y}$ -


 mấav $\dot{\rho} v \pi a p i a \nu$, with Dr Mayor's note. The aorists (ádııŋनátc, $\dot{\rho} v \pi a \nu \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$, not $\dot{d} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i \tau \omega, \rho$ ритане $\sigma \theta \omega)$ indicate the fixity of the state into which the
 there is henceforth no break in the downward course, which is indeed viewed as a single act; cf. Blass, $\boldsymbol{G r}$. p. 194 f. Fixity in good is in like manner to be attained when the end comes; the just (the opposite character to ód $\dot{d}(x \omega \bar{\nu})$ and the saint (the opposite to ó $\dot{\rho} u \pi a p o s)$ will enter on a permanent life of righteousness and
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 ep．eबтai auto（vel avtov eatal）Q（I） 13303549919294969798 alplqio（Andr） （Ar）ката ta ep ya（vel to epyov）auto 79 vg me syrgw（auth）Cypr anon aus Prim $13 \epsilon \gamma \omega]+\varepsilon \mu_{\mu}$ vg ceder fa＊tollipew me arm $^{2}$ aet
of holiness．It is not，of course，in－ plied by the separate mention of $\delta$ Bícaws and os aby rs that righteousness and holiness can be divorced；the true jos is always $\delta i x a t o s$, and the סikcuos is，in the perfect state at least， afros；the two qualities were united in the Son of Man（Acts iii． 14 Tò ar $\gamma$ no cai 8ikaıov），and will be united in all who are finally His；but they are kept apart here for the sake of the antithesis to $\delta$ adoк幺人，o
 the Viennese letter quoted above has $\delta$ cato $\theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ ，a reading which Kahn （Gesch．d．NTlichen Kanons，i．201） pronounces＂gewiss ursprünglich，＂ and which certainly has much to recommend it；if we accept it，the sense will be＇let him be held righteous＇（Vulg．iustificetur），which corresponds with alta $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} r \omega$ ，＇let him be held to be hallowed．＇On the other hand it is perhaps more
 which answers to ádıкnoáro as áyıa⿱－ ir $^{\prime}$ to $\dot{\rho} v \pi a v \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ ，has been changed to 8tkata日into in order to balance
 dens：＂iustus autem iustiora facial， similiter et sanctus sanctiora，＂al－ though above he rightly gives：＂qua perseverant nocere noceant，et qui in sordibus est sordescat adhuc．＂
 $\mu 0 v \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{v} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The Voice of Christ
comes in parenthetically，as in v．7； see note there．He speaks as the Steward of the great Mıotarosór刀s， Who in the eventide of the world will call the labourers to receive their day＇s wages（Mt．xx．8）；see xi．I8， note．Though the $\mu \mu \sigma$ offs is one and the same in all cases，its value to the individual worker varies according to the work he has done－a principle which is steadily maintained through－ out Scripture（Ps．lxi．I3，Job xxxiv． if f．，Iso．xl．14，lxii．if（lux．），Mc． xiii．34，Rom．ii．5，Apoc．ii．23， xx．I2 f．）；cf．Clem．Cor．xxxiv．3， Barn．xxi．3，and see N．T．in the Apostolic Fathers，pp．17，58．The use of $\mu \sigma \theta$ Offs to represent the gratui－ tows（Rom．vi．23）and spiritual com－ pensations of the future life belongs to the circle of ideas associated with
 $\mu \sigma \theta$ obs $\mu o v$ ，＇the reward which it belongs to Me to give＇（2 Tim．iv．8）；
 Mt．vi．2，5，16；aủrov̂，Mc．ix．41）， ＇the reward which ye（they，he）shall

 on $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ òs aùroû $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avitov̂ ；ib．lxii．II

 expresses the purpose for which the reward is brought（cf．Blass，Gr． p．223），so that it is nearly equivalent












often heard in this Book (ii. 23, vi. 11, xx. 13).,

 to tents, in which is now inserted from
 While $\dot{\delta}$ трөттоs кт $\lambda$. is applied only to Christ, this is the only occasion on which He receives the great title to ä入фа каіे тò $\bar{\omega}$. It is the crowning instance in this Book of the attribution of Divine prerogatives to the Incurnate Son; only of $\hat{\omega}^{\nu} \nu$ cai of ${ }^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ seems to be withheld from the Son, perhaps because it represents the underived Source of the Divine Life. On the meaning of to ä̉дфа kail тò ${ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ as applied to our Lord cf. Wert. de monog. 5 (quoted in note on c. i. 8). The phrase is applicable in many senses, but perhaps it is used here with special reference to our Lord's place in human history. As creation owed its beginning to the Word of God, so in His incarnate glory He will bring it to its consummation by the Great Award. He is the dj $\rho \chi \eta \gamma \dot{\prime}$ каi $\tau \in \lambda \in \omega \omega-$ ti's of faith (Heb. xii. 2), and not less truly the dip xगे kali rennes of all life.
 aúrติv кrג.] The reading is not altogether easy to determine. Perhaps it is slightly more probable that mAYNONTECTACCTOAAC arose out of moюoүntectacéto入ac, than that the reverse occurred; on the other hand, the documentary evidence is decidedly
in favour of the former, and it is against the latter that the use of the Johnnine writings almost invariably supports the phrase tnpeiv tàs évioخás (so Jo. xiv. 15, 2I, xv. Io, I Jo. ii. 3 f., iii. 22,24 , v. 3, Apoc. xii. 17, xiv. 12-the sole exception is I Jo. v. 2, where топєì $\tau$. $\dot{\epsilon}$. occurs); moreover, the prepossessions of the scribes would have favoured mocoû̀tes tàs èytohás
 Upon the whole, then, $\pi \lambda \dot{v} \nu o \nu \tau e s$ kr may with some confidence be arefared; and it yields an admirable sense.

This, the final beatitude of the Apocalypse, deals with the issues of the higher life. They who wash the robes of the inner life from the pumapia of the world by faith in the Sacrifice of our Lord (vii. I4, note) shall win the right of access to the Tree of Life and of entrance into the City of God; $\mu$ aкáptoc of $\pi \lambda$ vivoures... is but another version of $\mu$ akíptot oi


 $\sigma \omega$ : 'blessed are they...that the right shall be theirs...and they may enter' (Benson)-a mixture of constructions observed already in c. iii 9 ; the future after ${ }^{i} p a$ is frequent in this Book (vi 4, II, ix. 5,20 , xiii. 12 , xiv. 13 ), and if it is to be distinguished in meaning from the conjunctive, it may point to the certainty, the actuality, of the result,





while the conjunctive suggests that there are conditions which must be fulfilled first．

On the Tree of Life see $\boldsymbol{v}$ ．2，and c．ii．7，notes．The Vision of the New Jerusalem places the Paradise of God in the heart of the City，so that right of access to the Tree implies right of entrance into the City，and the en－ trance must precede the access．If in this passage the right of access is mentioned first，it is probably with the view of laying the emphasis upon the greater right，which indeed in－ cludes all．On $\tau, \pi \cup \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ see $x \times 1$ i2： the dative is instrumental，the gate－ towers being regarded as the means of entrance．

15．és $\xi \omega$ oi кúyes кт入．］Benson： ＇out，ye dogs＇－a bold and impressive rendering，but scarcely admissible in this context；the persons thus charac－ terized have already been cast out． Primasius is more true to the mind of the writer：＂foris autem remanebunt canes＂；cf．Bede：＂cuncta enim rabies improborum et nunc intrinsecus ec－ clesiam tentat，sed cum intraverit paterfamilias et sanctis secum ad nuptias intrantibus clauserit ostium， tume incipient foris stare et pulsare ostium．＂No one who has watched the dogs that prowl in the quarters of an Eastern city（Ps．lviii．（lix．）7，15） will wonder at the contempt and dis－ gust which the word suggests to the Oriental mind．For its application to unclean or otherwise offensive per－ sous see Deut．xxiii i8（Ig）ov mpoo－
 kuyòs（see Driver＇s note ad loc．）fis rò̀ oikov Kypiov；Ps．xxi（xxii．） 17


 кvaiv；Mc．vii． 27 oú fáp éatey кàd̀̀

 rov̀s кúvas（see Lightfoot＇s note）．In the last two passages at least reference is made to the use of the term by the Jews to denote the heathen or the Gen－ tiles，of which Schoettgen ad loc．quotes a typical example fiom Pirke R．Elie－ zer 29：＂quicumque edit cum idolo－ latra idem est ac si ederet cum cane． quis est canis？qui non circumcisus est．＂Butin the presentpassage neither Jews nor Gentiles as such are in view；
 are the éßס̄e入 $\tau \gamma \mu$ évo of xxi．8，i．e．those who had been defiled by long contact with the foul vices which honeycombed pagan society．These were not even in St Johu＇s day strictly limited to the heathen（see ii．14， 20 ff ．，note，and cf． 2 Cor．xii．21）；and he must have fore－ seen that as time went on，and the Church grew in numbers，she would lose in purity．Tertullian goes too far wheu he says（de pud．19）：＂non enim de ethnicis videbitur sapere．．． illorum est enim foras dari qui intus fuerunt＂；but Andreas is doubtless


 oí фариакоі́ ктл．see xxi．8，note；$\pi$ âs $\phi \nu \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$ кal $\pi \sigma \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \psi \in \bar{\delta} \delta o s$ is a welcome
 in the earlier list，which xxi． 27 os
 part．But ó $\phi \iota \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$ goes deeper than
 his nature akin to it，and has through his love of it proved his affinity to Satan，who is ó marク̀ $\rho$ aưrov̂（Jo．viii 44）；for him，while he is such，there can be no entrance into the City，no access to the Tree of Life；ef． 2 Th ．










т $\mathfrak{j}$ àdzкía. With moceì $\psi$ ûôos compare Jer. viii. 10 放 ; I Jo. i 6
 To 'do the truth,' or to 'do falsehood,' to 'act a lie,' are St John's terms for a life which is fundamentally sincere or insincere. The rendering of A.V., R.V. (text), "every one that maketh a lie," misses this point, probably out of regard for the circumstance that $\psi \in \hat{\mathrm{v}}-$ סos is anarthrous here (contrast Jo. viii. 44, Rom. i. 25, Eph. iv. 25, 2 Th.
 suited this context, if it was the writer's intention to represent the insincere life as a single act, as if the man's whole existence had been a lie.
 $\mu \mathrm{k} k \tau \lambda$.] Though the whole Book is
 the revelation has hitherto been made through the ministry of angels or in a vision of the glorified Lord, or through the Spirit in the mind of the Seer (ii. 7). Now at length Jesus speaks in His human personal name (éyc̀ 'I $\eta \sigma o v i s$, as
 bona fides of His messenger: 'it was I Who sent him; it is on My behalf that he has spoken; his testimony is Mine.' ${ }^{*} E \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$ is used rather than à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \in \iota \lambda a$

 accessory idea of a special commission (cf. Westcott, Add. Note on John xx. 2I); it is enough to say that the angel came from the Lord; by His angel Jesus Himself had borne witness to the members of the Asian Churches (imiv) and the contents of this Book (raṽтa) were thus ultimately from Him. These communications, though
addressed primarily to the Christians of Asia, had a wider purpose: they
 reference to the needs of Christians generally; cf. the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ in $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{II}$

 тол入oîs, 'in reference to peoples,' etc., and see Jo. sii. ı6. At éкк $\lambda_{\eta \sigma i a l}$ are not the Seven Ohurches only, but the Christian societies throughout the world, which in the next generation were known in their aggregate as $\dot{\eta}$
 cf. Harnack, Mission u. Ausbreitung, p. 293). The Apocalypse does not use j ixchnotia of the whole Charch, as St Paul does (Col, Eph. ; cf. Hort, Ecclesia, p. 147 ff.); when St John wishes to express the ideal unity of Christendom, he does so by means of a symbolical female figure, the Mother (xii. I ff.) or the Wife or Bride (xix., xxi, xxii) of Christ.

 $\dot{\eta} \dot{\rho} t \zeta a \Delta$; and see note there. To $\dot{\eta}$ pisa the Seer now adds: kaì tò $\begin{gathered}\text { fevos. }\end{gathered}$ Tévos does not here mean 'race,' 'family' or 'house,' as in Acts iv. 6 ék
 'I $\omega \sigma$ 'j' $\phi$; but 'offspring', as in Acts

 the similar use of genus in Verg. Aen. iv. 12 "genus esse deorum." Jesus is not only the $\dot{\rho} \dot{\beta} \beta \dot{\beta}$ (Isa xi. I), but He is at once the píasos and the $\dot{\rho} i \xi^{\prime}$ a, the Root and the Offshoot, the Beginning and the End of the whole economy associated with the Davidic family. In the Messiah,
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the latest Scion of the House of David, its earliest ideals and hopes are realized.

 yov, a promise which is now interpreted. The Morning Star, the Lord's ultimate gift to the conqueror, is Jesus Himself. Among the stars of the spiritual firmament (i. 16,20 ) He
 xxxviii. 12, xli. 9 (10)) or фшоф́́pos ( 2 Pet. i. 19), the brightest in the whole galaxy, the Light which lightens every man by its coming into the world (Jo. i. 9); the Star of Dawn, Whose coming precedes the sunrise of the Day of God. The metaphor is used by the son of Sirach in reference to Simon the High Priest (Sir. 16 ©
 Mordecai in the Targum on Esther ("ipse Mardochai similis fuit Lucifero splendenti inter stellas"); in Isa. xiv. 12 it occurs in a splendid dirge over a fallen King of Babylon: $\pi \hat{\omega} \hat{\varsigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \in-$
 $\pi \rho \omega \grave{\imath}$ àvaté $\lambda \lambda \omega$. The Morning Star of the Church shines to-day as brightly as in the age of St John; He does not fall or set.
 $\sigma \omega{ }^{*}$ E $\left.\rho_{X} o v\right]$ The answer of the Church to the Yoice of Jesus in $\boldsymbol{0}$. 12. Tò $\pi \nu e \hat{\mu} \mu a$ is probably not the Spirit regarded as the indwelling life of the Body of Christ, as in Eph. iv. 4 ép
 accordance with the general use of the Apocalypse, the Spirit of prophecy, the Spirit in the prophetic
order ; 'the Spirit and the Bride' is thus practically equivalent to 'the Prophets and the Saints' (xvi. 6, xviii 24). The Christian Prophets inspired by the Spirit of Jesus, and the whole Church-the Churches considered as an ideal unity-respond as with one voice to the Lord's great announcement. It rouses in all Christians the desire, never loug dormant, for His Return. On $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \mu \phi \eta$ see $\mathbf{x x i}$. 2,9 , notes; for $\overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{\rho xov}$ cf. ri. I , note; here it is obvious to supply $\mathbf{K} \dot{v} \rho \in \epsilon$ 'In $\eta=0$ from $v .20$. The reading implied by the Armenian version (cod. I) is worthy of remark; it seems to have arisen from inability to interpret $\nu \nu \mu \phi \eta$ in this connexion and a reminiscence of Mt. xxv. $1,6,10$.
 call is to be taken up and repeated by every hearer (i. 3, note) of this Book; not only the Church in her ideal unity, but each individual member of every Christian congregation where the book shall be read is invited to demand the fulfilment of
 In what follows there is a remarkable change of reference; for $\boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \iota \psi \hat{\omega} \nu$ cimára "E $\rho$ xov, St John writes $\dot{\delta} \delta$. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \varphi \chi^{\prime} \in \theta \omega$, i.e. instead of being bidden to welcome the coming Christ, he who is athirst is himself bidden to come; he is welcomed to Christ in words which remind us of the Johaunine Gospel (Jo. vi. 35 д́ ерхо́него
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єvipoy $\delta \iota \psi \omega \hat{\omega} \tau a$ in the Oxyrhynchus Sayings, though the latter is doubtless relatively true. Here $\dot{\delta} \delta$. is contrasted with ó áкov́凶v; he that still thirsts, the eager enquirer who is seeking after the salvation which is to be found in the Church, the unbaptized catechumen, cannot yet share in the Church's yearning for the Return of the Lord; he must first come to the Fountain of the Water of Life and drink, before he can welcome Christ Himself. 'Epx'́c $\theta \omega$ looks back to
 is wider than $\dot{\delta} \delta \Delta \psi \omega \bar{\omega}$, extending the offer to any who are conscious of a desire for the higher life; willingness to receive the truth may exist where as yet there is no thirst for it, and such willingness is of God and a first step towards eternal life: cf. Phil. ii. I3

 "et ipsum enim velle Dei donum est."
 6, note; $\lambda a \beta$ '́c $\omega$ suggests that though the supply is gratuitous, the responsibility of accepting and using it rests with the individual; cf. iii. 18 , note.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Speaker is still surely Jesus, and not, as many commentators have supposed, St John. Jesus has borne testimony throughout the Book by His angel, and now He bears it in person. His testimony, which is addressed to every hearer of the Book, is a solemn protest against wilful perversions of its teaching. The words are doubtless suggested by the warning of Moses in Deut, iv. 2, ov mpar-




 aùroì; Prov. xxiv. 29 (xxi. 6) $\mu$ خे тробӨйs тoîs גójoos aúroú, íva $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 imprecation which Aristens (ed. Thackeray, § 34) supposes to have been pronounced after the completion of the first Greek version of the Pentateuch, and the boast of Josephus, c. Ap. i. 8 :



 $\theta$ eival teтód $\mu \eta \kappa є$. It was not uncommon for writers to protect their works by adding a solemn adjuration to the scribes to correct the copies carefully, and in no case to mutilate or interpolate the original ; cf. e.g. Irenaeus ap. Eus. H. E. v. zo: оркі乡ढ बє то̀





 "omhem qui hos libros descripturus estr vel lecturus in conspectu Dei Patris et Filii et Spiritus sancti contestor...ne addat aliquid scripturae, ne auferat, ne inserat, ne immutet; sed conferat cum exemplaribus ande scripserit," etc. If the solemn warning of the present verse was intended in this sense, it has signally failed; for in no other book of the N.T. is the text so uncertain as in the Apocalypse. But, like its archetype in Deuteronomy, it has a deeper reference; it is no mere lapsus calami, no error of judgement or merely intellectual fault which is condemned, but the deliberate falsification or misinterpretation of a Divine message It is not the letter of the Apocalypse, but its spirit which is thus jealously guarded; and





 $20{ }^{20} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ ó $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ тайта Nail ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha \chi u ́$.
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no honest copyist in days before the invention of printing, no honest translater or interpreter of either those times or our own, can incur the terrible penalty. As Bede, with his usual discernment, writes: "haec proper falsatores dixit, non proper eos qui simpliciter quod sentiment dicunt." Nevertheless the warning, with its danger signal on either hand, ought to give pause to any who would lightly handle the Apocalypse, and suggests to those who venture upon handling it at all Augustine's prayer : "si qua de men, et Tu ignosce et This."
 tads $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ás there is a play upon the two meanings of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi c \tau \boldsymbol{\theta} \theta \dot{\cos }$ a and $\pi \lambda \eta$ $\gamma \dot{\eta}$ : 'if any one shall lay (more) on them (add to them), God will lay on him the plagues (blows) described in this Book.' Of. Acts xvi. 23 mod iàs



 which the man had once possessed in the Tree is regarded as taken from it,
ie. he has no longer any rights in it; cf. xxi. 8, and Acts viii. 2I ov่र ë́grıy
 тоúтф. T T $\nu \nu \quad \gamma є \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \tilde{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ : not as Vg . "et de his quad scripta suit," but in

 reyoaццєьas (supra). Consciously to rob this Book of any part of its essential teaching is to rob oneself of the bliss which it promises: to add to its teaching is to incur the vistations which it threatens. For either act, if deliberate, proclaims a will which is out of harmony with the Will of God and with His ordering of the world; and the rebellious will, while it continues such, cannot receive the things of the Spirit of God here or hereafter. The warning is addressed to Christians who by their attitude towards this Book shew themselves to be unworthy of their inheritance.
 t́pхонан тaхú кг入.] To His solemn toestimon in reference to the use of the Book the Lord adds a last word in answer to the call of the Church.
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 ( $\mathrm{om} \mathrm{A}_{79} \mathrm{vg} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{fu}} \mathrm{Ar}$ )

The Spirit and the Bride and the logal hearers of the Book had bidden Him 'come,' and to their "Ep $\chi_{0}$ He replies $N a i$, "ןхонас, 'yea, I am coming, and coming quickly'; on which the Seer, speaking both for the Prophets and for the whole Church, responds, 'Amen, so be it: come, Lord Jesus.' On vaí, à $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ see i. 7 , note; here vaí expresses the Lord's assent to the call "E $\rho \chi o v$, and $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, the absolute faith in His word of the Seer and those whom he represents, and their content with the prospect of His Coming; cf. 2 Tim. iv. 8 тâoun rots
 'l $\eta$ rovis ( I Cor. xii 3) occurs in this Book only here and in the next verse; it belongs to the language of devotion, which is appropriate to the context.
21. The final Benediction.
 cyicul An ending of this kind is unusual in apocalypses, as Bonsset points out; but it is suitable to an Apocalypse which is also a letter to the Churches (i. 4, note), designed to be read in the congregation. An Apocalypse in its inner character, a prophecy in its purpose, the Book is in its literary form an Epistle, and therefore begins and ends with the epistolary forms familiar to the Asian Churches through the Epistles of St Paul. All the thirteen Epistles of St Paul end with a benediction, constructed on the same general lines, but varying in detail. The Pauline parting benediction begins invariably with $\dot{\eta}$ $\chi^{\text {ápes, }}$, which is followed (except in Eph., Col, and the Pastorals) by rov
 ending is either $\mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\hat{*}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Rom.,

I Cor., I Thess, Col, Pastorals), or $\mu$ erà $\pi$ duvt $\omega \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ( 2 Cor,, 2 Th., and in substance, Eph.), or $\mu \in\ulcorner\grave{a}$ тồ $\pi \nu \varepsilon i \mu a r o s ~ i \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ (Gal., Phil, Philem.). Hebrews follows the Pauline model
 such form appears in the Catholic Epistles; the nearest to it is in I Peter,
 X $\rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} . \quad$ St John follows St Paul
 кvpiov 'Incoû); in the latter part of the sentence the mss. offer a choice between $\mu$ erà тávrcu and $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{̀}$ т $\omega$ ע

 rection from St Paul. On the whole the preference should probably be
 although it has the support of but one of the uncial mss. ( $\mathcal{K}$ ); not only is it less likely to have suggested itself to a copyist than $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ àd $\dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, but it is in close accordance with the writer's usual phraseology; ol ázioc is his constant term for the members of the Churches (viii 3 f., xi. 18 , xiii. 7 , 10, xiv. 12 , xvi. 6 , xvii. 6 , xviii. 20,24 , xix. 8, xx. 9). The saints, the men of consecrated lives, are, in the Apocalyptist's view, the men for whose advantage the whole course of human history is being carried to its end; who are destined as a body to survive the wreck of cities and empires, and in the end to dominate a new world. But the grace of the Lord Jesus is the only source of their strength, and the guarantee of their triumph; and the last words of the Apocalypse are at once a reminder of this primary condition of success, and a prayer that it may be realized in the ex-
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perience of the baptized, both in the cities of Asia and throughout the world.

Strsoription. Only two of the uncials give a subscription to the
 (for 'Anoкaлíqeis surely is both here
and at the head of the pages in $\mathfrak{N a}$ mere itacism), and $A$, which had 'Anoкá $u \downarrow$ us only in the title, now agrees with $N$. The forms offered by some cursives and versions add nothing to our knowledge of the Book or its writer.



[^0]:    reclesiam tuam, quaesemtes, domink, bentgnus illutitra, dt beati iohannis...illuminata doctrints ad dona perdeniat SEMPITERNA, PER DOMINULI.
    oongede, quabsumus, omnipotens deus, ut qui...unigenttum tuem redehptorey nostrum ad callos ascendisse credimus, ifsi quoque hente in cablestibus habitemus per eundim.
    excita, quagsumus, domink, potentiam toam. et bent, et magna nobis dirtetr sucuorre, ut auxilitu gratiak toak quod nostra peccata prabphdiunt indulgentla tuak propitiationis acceleret. qui divis.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ I Macc. iv. 46, ix. 27, xiv. 41 ; see also Ps. Ixxiv. 9.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Harnack, Mission u. Ausbreitung, i. p. 240 f. (E.tr. i. p. 4 I4 f.).
    ${ }^{3}$ Le. i. 67, ii. 25,36 .

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Mt. xi. 9 ff., Mc. xi. 32, Lc. vii. 26 ff.
    5 Mt. xvi. 14, Mc. vi. 15, Jo. iv. 19, vi. I4, vii. 40 , ix. 17.
    ${ }^{6}$ Mc. vi. 4, Jo. iv. 44 ; of. Acts iii. 22; vii. 37 .

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Le. xi. 49, Jo. xvi. 12 ff.
    2 Mt. xiii. 52, xxiii. 34, Le. xi. 49.
    ${ }^{3}$ Acts ii. J7 f. (Joel fi. 28 f.). On the probability that the Petrine speechea in the Acts substantially represent St Peter's words see.Bp. Chase, Credibility of the Acts, p . 117 ff.

    4 I follow Mr Turner's ehronology

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}{ }_{1}$ Thess. v. 20, ${ }_{1}$ Cor. xii. 28, xiii. 2, ziv. 3 fi., Eph. iii. 1 fi., iv. 7 ff., Rom. xii. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ I Tim. iv. 14, 2 Tim. i. 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ I Th. V. 21, 1 Cor. xiv. 29. Con-
    trast Didache 11.
    ${ }_{5}$ I Cor. xii. 28, Eph. iv. 1 I.
    ${ }^{5} 1$ Cor. xiv. 3,4 .
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Ib} .23$ ff.
    7 I Cor. xiii. 2.
    ${ }^{1}$ I Cor. siv. $3^{2}$.

[^5]:    1 Fph. iv. 12 (see Dean Armitage Robinson's note ad loc.).
    ${ }^{2}$ Except in the case of prophecies which form part of an apostolic letter, or have been incorporated in the Gospels (e.g. 2 Thess, ii., Mc. xiii.).
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Apoc. i. 3, xxii. 7, 10, 18 f. It is scarcely mecessary to say that this claim does not require us to expect direct predictions of future events. As Dr A. B. Davidson has well said (O. T. Prophecy, p. IIg), "there is much prophecy, but

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Didache shews some recovery in the position of the local officers; of.
    
     Yet the $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oûv $\dot{v} \pi \in \rho[\delta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ aízoús which immediately follows proves that there were still those who held the prophet in the highesit esteem to the disparagement of the Church-officer. And the Didache itself (§ I 3 ) says of the prophets:
    
    ${ }^{2}$ It luay have been due to the concurrence of several causes, such as persecution, which would fall on the prophets with special severity; the emer-

[^7]:    gence of the monarchical episcopate; $a_{0}$ decay of spiritual power in the prophetio order itself, and the seemingly not uncommon occurrenceof $\psi$ єv $\delta о \pi \rho о \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a t$. Yet the Catholic Church was slow to abandon her hold on the gift; of. Apollinarius ap. Eus. H. E. v. $17^{1}$ deî̀ $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{d}} \rho$ cival Tò
    
     む $\xi\llcorner 0 \hat{\text {, }}$, and see Harnack, T. u. U. ii. I, p. 123.
    ${ }^{3}$ On these see Zahn, Forschungen vi. I; Haruack, Chronologie i., p. 320 ff. Harnack places both under Hadrian.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Garcthausen, Griech. Palaeographie, p. 53; Thompson, Greek and Latin Palaeography, p. 57 f. ; Kenyon, Pal. of Gieek papyri, p. 22.
    ${ }^{2}$ See cc. ix, x. ${ }^{3}$ See p. 1.
    4 See e.g. Mt. xi. 25 Êк $\rho v \psi$ аs râ̂тa
    
    

[^9]:    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Eph. i. 17.
    ${ }^{6}$ I Cor. xiv. 6, 26, 2 Cor. xií. I (where
     7 ; the verb is similarly used in I Cor. xiv. 30.

[^10]:    
     was however no ordinary occasion; of. v. $7 \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \circ \lambda \hat{p} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dтокa $\lambda \dot{\psi} \psi \epsilon \omega \nu$. The anti-Montanist writer in Eus. $H$. E.
     $\sigma r \alpha \sigma \epsilon \lambda_{1} \lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{\sim}$, which agrees with St Paul's
     ن̇тотá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a . \quad$ Such an apocalypse, however, as that of John implies a state of 'ecstasy' at the time when it occurred (cf. e.g. i. so fi., iv. I, and passim), although the message may well have been written afterwards.
    2 Tertullian describes the revelations

[^11]:    which were heard in Montanist assem. blies at Carthage in his own day; de anima 9 "nam quia spiritalia charismata agnoscimus, post Ioannem quoque prophetiam meruimus consequi. est hodie soror apud nos revelationum charismata sortita, quas in ecclesia inter dominica solemnia per ecstasin in spiritu patitur; conversaturcumangelis, aliquandoetiam cum Domino, et videtet auditsacramenta et quorundam corde dinoscit," ete. The picture may be taken, mutatis mutandie, as descriptive of the $\dot{d} \pi$ oкa $\lambda \dot{\prime} \psi \in$ cts which broke the order of more primitive congregations at Corinth in St Paul's time.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Book of Fnoch, p. 25 ff. Cf. Dr Charles' article in Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible and Encycl. Biblica ("A pocalyptic Literature").
    ${ }_{2}$ These coincidences are noted in the commentary es they occur. On the question of John's indebtedness to Enoch see c. xiii. in this introduction.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Iren. v. 33. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ed. Bensly and James in Texts and Studies iii. 2 (Camb. University Press, 1895).
    3. For the grounds of this concifusion see Schürer, Geschichte ${ }^{3}$ iii., p. 24 I ff., and of. Mr Thackeray's art. Second Book of Esdras in Hastings' D. B.
    ${ }_{5}$ Ed. Charles (A. \& C. Black, 1goz).
    ${ }^{5}$ Ed. Charles ( 1897 ).

    - ${ }^{\prime}$ Ed. Charles ( t goo).

    7 Ed. Ryle and James (Camb. University Press, 1891).
    8 An account of these works with bibliographical materials is given in Enc. Biblica, s.vv. Apocalyptio literature, Apocrypha.
    ${ }^{9}$ Ē̈. A. Rzach (Vienna, 189r); Geffcken (Leipzig, 1902).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dravidson, O. T. Prophecy, p. go.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Ramsay, Letters to the Seven Churches, p. ${ }_{24}$ f.
    ${ }^{2}$ See c. xiii.
    ${ }^{\prime} 8$ See c. xv.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ On this subject see Bp Westcott's essay on the Church and the World (Epistles of St John).

[^17]:    ${ }^{5}$ So the list of Sixty Books and the Stichometry of Nicephorus (Zainn, ib., pp. 292, 299 ff.).
    ${ }^{6}$ Of. Dr M. M. James, Revelation of Peter, p. 5 I f.: "a fragment of sufficient length to give us a fair idea of the contents of the whole Apocalypse. As a fact, it does contain something like 140 out of the original 300 lines of which the book consisted."

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Edited by Tischendorf in Apocalypses Apocryphae (r866), pp. 34-69; an early Latin version (Visio Pauli) is printed by Dr James in Texts and Studies, ii. 3, pp. 11-42. .
    ${ }^{2}$ Aug. tr. in Joann. 98 "qua occasione vani quidam Apocalypsim Pauli,

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eus. H. E. vii. 25. I (Dionys. Al.
    
    
     bievoivoptes кт入. Cf. Gregory, prolegg.,

[^20]:    Apocalypse, p. 1.
    2 The Pauline Epistle Hods'E $\phi$ ealous is probably an earlier example of a circular letter which starting with Eiphesus made the tour of the Asian Cburehes: see WH., Notes on Select Readings, p. 123 f., and Hort, Prolegomena to Romans and Ephesians, p. 86 fi.

[^21]:    3 See notes ad loc.
    4 The formula $\tau \hat{\psi}$ d $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda \psi \ldots \gamma \rho \dot{\psi} \psi$ Tade $\lambda e ́ \gamma e c$ is not epistolary but pro-
     xix. 9, Xxi. 5. Táde $\lambda \in \gamma \in \iota$ announces a prophetic message, as frequently in the Lxx.

[^22]:    1 Benson, Apocalypse, pp. 5, 37. The Archbishop says indeed in his preface (p. 67) : "The Book is no Drama. The Action is carried on per Facta, non Ferba." But he adds : "Yet the Book is like the relating of a Drama, a narra-

[^23]:    tive of Scenes and Acts which had passed before the eye of the Seer."
    ${ }_{2}$ F. Palmer, The Drama of the Apocalypse (N. Y., The Macmillan Co., rgoz), p. 35 f.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ See $e_{\text {. }}$ xviii.

[^25]:    1 Der Antichrist in der Ueberlieferung des Judenthums, des N.T. u. det alten Kirche (1895).
    ${ }^{2}$ Die Offenbarung Johannis neu bear-

[^26]:    beitet ( 1896 ).
    ${ }^{3}$ Die Offenbarung des Johannis: ein Beitrag zur Literatur- u. Religionsgeschichte (1904).

[^27]:    1. E.g. by Boasset in Encyol. Biblica i. 205: "it seems to be settled that the Apocalypse can no longer be regarded as a literary unity." Dr Hort, on the other hand, writes (Apocalypse i-iii.,
[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ See c. xiii.
    ${ }^{2}$ See cc. ii., xiii.
    ${ }^{2}$ See c. xiii.

[^29]:    1 It is not the intention of these remarks to deny that the Apocalypse, as we have received it, may be a reissue by the writer of the original work in an enlarged or amended form; such a view does not militate against the essential

[^30]:    1 On the history of this term see Hort, First Epistle of St Peter, p. 165
    ${ }^{2}$ For the last three see Hort, op. cit., p. 158 f .

    * Marquarct, Rön. Staats-Verwaltung,
    ${ }^{4}$ On these see $V$. Chapot, La province romaine proconsulaire $d^{7} A s i e$, p. 82 ff .

    5 The frontier is carefully defined by Chapot, p. 85.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Galatians, p. 19, n. 6. The province Was assigned to the Senate by Augustus, A.D. 27 , and was from that date to the time of Diocletian administered by a Proconsal (dueftaros).

[^32]:    ${ }^{2}$ Blass (comm. on Acts, pp. 52, 176) contends that in these passages Asia $=$ Westary Asia Minor; but see Ramsay in Hastings, D. B. iii. 177.
    ${ }^{8}$ First Epistle of St Peter, p. $157 \cdot$

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Marquardt, op. cit. p. 185 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Marquardt, p. I82, J. Weiss, art. Kleinasien in Herzog-Hauck, x. 543 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Aristides of Smyrna xlii. (=xxiii. ed. Keil, p. 34) oйтє үà $\rho \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon s$ tofaútas oú-
     tás $\gamma \in \mu$ člotas totaútas ; see also Diod. xvii. 5, and Seneca, Ep. 102, 21 . Cf. Mommsen, Provinces, i. p. 354. According to Beloch (Zur Bevölkerungsgeschichte des Alterthums), cited by Dobschütz (Chris-

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Acts xx. 7 ff:
    ${ }^{3}$ Letters, p. 183.
    ${ }^{2}$ Col. ii. I, iv. ${ }_{13}, 16$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ib. p. 19 I.

[^35]:    1 Ramsay, Hist. Geogr, of Asia Minor, p. 164 ff . See also M. Chapot's chapter on the publio roads of Asia (pp. 358-368).

    2 See pp. $210-430$.
    3 Bergmann, De Asia, p. 30 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Hicks, Ancient GreekInseriptions,

[^36]:    ${ }^{5}$ For the details see Hicks, op. eit., iii. p. 68 ff. ; Chapot, pp. 194-230.
    
    
    
    

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Acts zix. 24, 2 Tim. iv. $\mathrm{r}_{4}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Zimmermann, Ephesos im ersten ehristlichen Jahrhundert, p. 50开.
    ${ }^{3}$ Apollonius of Tyana ap. Philogtrat. vit. Ap. viii. 7, 8 (cited by Zimmermann,
    
     ízú́e on olav énacroiva.

    4 H.E. iv. 28.
    

[^38]:    ${ }^{2}$ The coins shew that this loyalty suffered no decrease under.Domitian; see Head, p. 273.
    ${ }^{2}$ Strabo xiii. 4 (6.23) èzec . סé тıра $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu o v i a \mu ~ \pi \rho d s$ тous totous toútous to
    
     $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ramsay, Letters, p. 28r.
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Membrana Pergamena, 'parchment'; see Gardthausen, Gr. Palaeographie, p. 39 f., or Maunde Thompson, Hand-

[^39]:    1 Strabo xiii. $4(625)$ al dè $\sum a ́ p \delta e c s$
    
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Head, p. 246 ff.; of. p. cvii.

    * St Ignatius, ii. pp. 237-24.

    4 Strabo xiii. 10 (628).
    ${ }_{5}$ Head, pp. Ixxxv., 195 ff.
    ${ }^{6}$ Remsay, Letters, c. xxviii.
    7 i. pp. 32-83.

[^40]:    ${ }^{2}$ c. ii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Philo, leg. ad Cai. 33 'Iovóaîo
    
    
     the Jews in the Asian Cities see Ramsay, Letters to the Seven Churches, c. xij., and infra, $c$. vii.

[^41]:    1 Cf. Acts xpiii. 18, 24 ff.
    2 Acts xix. I. The brief previous visit (xviii. ig f.) scarcely counts.
     $\sigma \hat{v} p a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\psi}\} \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̄ p$.
    
    
    

[^42]:     ${ }^{6}$ Cf. I Pet. i. I with Dr Hort's note $a d$ loc., and Additional Note on p. 157 f . As to Bithynia we have the testimony of the younger Pliny (A.D. III): "multi enim omnis aetatis, omnis ordinis, utriusque sexus etiam, vocantur in periou• lum."

[^43]:     $\mu$ ќpr.

    2 Compare the use of $\delta t^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$ in viii. 40 , xi. 19, xiv. 24 , ete.

    3 On the relation of Apollos to Christian teaching at this time see J. H. A. Hart, 'J. T. S., Oet. Igo5.

[^44]:    1 His departure was perhaps slightly
    hast ned in consequence of the riot: but he had not intended to stay beyond the Pentecost of 55 (I Cor. xvi. 8).
    ${ }^{2}$ Acts Xx. 17 ff.; on Xx. 28, see Hort, Ecclesia, p. 99 f.

[^45]:    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. xiv. 23.
     'Aoins.
    ${ }^{5}$ On this see Westcott-Hort ${ }^{2}$, Notes on select readings, p. 123 弁.

[^46]:    1 Hort, Judaistic Christianity, p. 13 Iff .
     Tр $\phi \phi \iota \mu о$. Cf. Eph. vi. 21.
    ${ }^{3}$ See St Mark ${ }^{2}$, p. xxii.
    4 Not however the first province to

[^47]:    receive the letter, which, to judge from the order of the names, entered Asia Minor by way of the Euxime, possibly at Sinope; cf. Hort, First Ep. of St Peter, pp. 17,176 ff.

[^48]:    1 See above, c. v.
    2 See the commentary on $c$. ii. r3.
    
     Tit. i. I5 та́yта кадарà тоis кaөaןois.

[^49]:    That the Apostle's words were wrested after this manner we know from Rom. iii. 8; of. 2 Pet. iii. 16 .

    4 On the slight said to be intended in xxi. 14, see comm. ad loc.

[^50]:    1 Cf. Col. ii. I.
    2 See c. vi.
    ${ }^{3}$ Polycrates ap. Eus. H.E. iti. 3I kal
    
    
    
    

[^51]:    
     סé кal 'I $\omega$ à $\nu \eta$ ns...
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Ib}$. iii. 39.
    ${ }^{5}$ I Cor. xv. 8.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ign. Eph. i. 3, of. Lightfoot's note ad loc.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. A. Harnack, Die Mission u. Ausbreitung d. Christentums, p+461: "Kleinasien... ist das christliche Land кar' $\epsilon \xi \circ \chi \eta p$ in vorkonstantinischer Zeit gewesen"; ib. p. 484: "Die Provinz

[^53]:    Asien ist... die christliche Hauptprovinz in Kleinasien geworden." (E. Tr., pp. $3^{26}, 3^{6} 4$.)
    ${ }^{2}$ See Rambay, Letters, p. 404 f.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ramsay, Letters, pp. 299, 335 ff., 346.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the Ephesian festivals see Hicks, Ephesus, p. 79 f.

[^55]:     cannot be interpreted otherwise without doing violence to the plain meaning of the words, nor can the language used in ii. 6,23 be justified if the Nicolaitan surrender was merely a well-meant at-

[^56]:    tempt to live at peace with pagan neighbours.
    ${ }^{2}$ On this point see Ramsay, Letters, p. 352. He is speaking of Thyatirs, where, "Jezebel" was at work.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Acts xix. 23, 25, 27
    p. 264, and ef. Acts xix. 26.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Westcott, Epp. of St John,

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ The expression is borrowed from Bp Westcott's great Essay in Epp. of St John, p. 250 ff.

[^59]:    1 Westcott, Epp. of St John, p. 70.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Dan. xi. 36.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Apoc. Baruch xxxv. ff. Asc. of Isaiah 4,4 Esdr. 5 fi.
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Cf}$. Dan. 2.c.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Isa xi. 4, a passage which the Targum applies to Armillas.

[^60]:     $\pi \rho 0 \phi$ trou. Cf. Dan ix. 27, xi. 24 , xii. ri.
    
    the Apocalypse, but in reference not to Jerusalem but to Babylon (xvii. 4 f ., xviii. 16, 19).

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ Both descriptions rest ultimately on ${ }^{2}$ Westcott, Epp. of St John, p. 253 . Daniel vii. 8, xi. 36.

[^62]:    1 How little disposed the Church was to make difficulties on her part may be gathered (e.g.) from St Luke's readiness to use the title $\Sigma \in \beta_{\text {acotós (Acts Xxv. 21, 25). }}$

    2 On the trustworthiness of Tacitus see Lightfoot, Ignatius, i. pp. 9 f., 725.

    3 Either their Christian Faith or their guilt as incendiaries.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$. So Ramsay, Church in the Roman Empire, p. 244; but see Lightfoot, Ignatius, i. p. Iof.; Sanday, in Exp. IV. vii., p. 408.

[^64]:    
    
    
    

[^65]:    1 As to objections to this statement of Hilary founded on the silence of Melito (Eus. H.E. iv. 26) and a counter-statement of Tertullian (Apol. 5) see Lightfoot, op. cit. p. I6.
    ${ }^{2}$ St Clement, i. p. 104-
    ${ }^{3}$ Op. cit. i. p. 7 f.
    ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ Domitianus, 15 .
    ${ }^{5}$ Hist. Rom. lxvii. I4. I sq. The whole passage may be seen in Preuschen, Analecta p. 13 f .

[^66]:    All Jews must have been severely tried by Vespasian's order that the half shekel payable to the support of the Temple at Jerusalem should atill be collected and be applied to the use of the Capitoline Jupiter. This order in the hands of Domitian became a pretext for harsh measures being directed against recusant Jews. (Suet. Dom. 2; see Lightfoot Ignatius i. p. 12.) Butit could not affect the Emperor's relatives or other

[^67]:    non-Jewish Christians ; against these the charge was one of 'atheism' simply, i.e. of rejecting the religion of Rome.
    ${ }^{1}$ Suet. Domitian. 13. The claim, however, was not official; according to

[^68]:    Mommsen, Aurelian was the first Emperor who officially assumed divine titles.
    ${ }_{2}$ Tac. ann. iv. 56. See Wissowa, Religion u. Kultus der Rämer, p. 28 f f.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dio Cassius, li. $n 0$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dittenberger, Or. Gr. inscr. select. ii. p. It.
    ${ }^{3}$ Wissowa, p. 284; Westcott, Epp. of $\$ t$ John, p. 274 .

[^70]:    ${ }^{4}$ Suet. C. Caligula 22, "admonitus et principum et regum se excessisse fastigium, divinam ex eo maiestatem asserere sibi coepit."

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ Divus Glaudius, 25 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Tac. ann. xiv. 31 , "quasi arx aeternae dominationis aspiciebatur."
    ${ }^{8}$ Suet. D. Claudius, 45 "in nomerum deorum relatus." Cf. Dittenberger, Or. -Gr. inscr. ii. p. 397, d $\theta$ eds K Kaúdios.

    4 Tac. ann. xv. 74," nam deum honor principi non ante habetur quam agere

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rambay, Letters, p. 114 f.; Chapot, Laprovince Romaine proconsulaired'Asie, p. 62 fi. Cf. an inscription of Halicarnassus cited by Zimmerman, Ephesos, p. $5^{2}$ f., which describes Octavian as
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Mommsen, Provinces (E. Mr.), p. 345 .
    ${ }^{3}$ In Asia the cult of Rome was older than the province itself; a temple was raised to Rome at Smyina in B.c. 193 (Tac. Ann. iv. 56).

[^73]:    4 Dr Hort indeed writes (First Ep. of St Peter, p. 2): "It is only likely that what was begun at Rome in connexion with the fire spread through the provinces till it culminated in the state of things implied in the Apocalypse." "The Apocalypse...proves the existence of persecutions in Asig Minor, and implies that they were on a wide scale." But there is nothing to shew that the martyrs mentioned in the Apocalypse, Antipas excepted, were Asiatics; the sufferings of the Roman Christians may have been in the writer's mind.

[^74]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Cf. 2 These. ii. 9 £
    2 Letters, p. 97.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Clem. Al. strom. v. $8 . \S_{4} 6$ tà
     $\delta \grave{y} \pi_{0} \lambda \nu \theta \rho \dot{\prime} \dot{\lambda}_{\eta \tau a}$ syta. Plutarch symp.
    

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ Acts xiii. 6 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Orac. Sityll. viii. 52 ff. $\ell \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ dy $a \xi$
    
     $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a \mu \in \theta \epsilon \xi \in \iota$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Apollonins of Tyana, to whom Prof. Ramsay referg (Letters, p. roz), was a strong opponent of the prevalent jugglery; see Dill, Roman Society, p. 400.

[^76]:    
     'A A las.
    b Joseph. Ant. xii. 3 f. ; cf. Philo in Flacc. 7. See p. Ixvi, note 2.
    ${ }^{6}$ Schürex, Geschichtes, iii. p. II f.; art. Diaspora in Hastings, D.B. v. 93 ff. Chapot, p. 182 ff.

[^77]:    ${ }_{2}$ Acts ii. 9 .
     I Thess. ii. 16 .
    ${ }_{3}^{3}$ Apoc. ii. 9 , iii. 9 .
    ${ }^{4}$ On the privileges possessed by the Jews in Asia see Chapot, p. 182 f.

    5 Sçhürer, i. II. P. 9T, ii. II. p. 266 ff.,

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the one exception (x. 4) see the note ad loc.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apoc. ii. 7, 11, 17, 29, iii. 6, 13, 22.
    ${ }^{2}$ As e.g. in ix. 20 f., xviii. 9 f., $\underset{x}{ } \times$. 8 , xxii. If, 15 .

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apoc. i. 3, ii. 7 etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ Apoc. Ixii. 18 f.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Dionysius Barsalibi, Hippolytus followed Irenaeus in assigning the Apocalypse to the reign of Domitian (Gwynn, in Hermathena, vii. 137 ).
    ${ }_{2}$ It will be seen that the Alexandrian testimony is not explicit; the Emperor

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Hort (i Peter, p. 2) maintaina that "in Asia Minor, the special home of the Emperor-worship, we have no right to assume that it was only under an Emperor like Domitian...that Christians were likely to. have it forced upon them." "This no doubt is true, but the probability remains that the great outbreak of persecution, which was imminent when the Apocalypse was

[^83]:    written, belongs to the later rather than to the earlier epoch; see c. vii. of this introduction.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Dio Cassius, lxviii. 1 $\mu / \sigma \epsilon t ~ \delta \epsilon$
    
     d $\sigma \varepsilon \beta \in i \ddagger$ $\gamma \in \nu$. See also Eus. H.E. iii. 20.
    ${ }^{3}$ Apocalypse, p. 173 f.

[^84]:    1 Nero was borm in 4.D. 37, so that, had he lived till s.d. ioo, he would have been not more than 63 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Bee the commentary ad locos. It may be added that St John appears to

[^85]:    1 Biblical Essays, p. 52; cf. Supernatural Religion, p. 132 .
    ${ }_{2}$ St John, Intr. p. Ixxxvii.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. 2; cf. Hulsean Lectures, p. I4of., Judaistic Christianity, p. 160.

    * See Bp Westcott's prefatory note to Dr Hort's 1 Peter ( p . vii).
    ${ }^{3}$ On the argument by which this view is supported in Apocalypse i-iii

[^86]:    (1908) see the postscript to this chapter.
    ${ }^{6}$ Jud Christianity; p. 160 .
    7 Supernatural Religion, p. 132. Dr Lightfoot appears to be in general agreement here with his antagonist, who placed the Apocalypse."about A.D. 68, 69"
    ${ }^{8}$ St John, p. lxxxvi f.

[^87]:    1 E.g. the oryptic representation of Nero's name in xiii. 18, and the apparent reference to Vespasian as the reigning Emperor in c. xvii. 10.
    ${ }_{2}$ E.g. Baur, Hilgenfeld, Beyschlag, who assign the book to the reign of Nero,

[^88]:    and Weiss, Dusterdiek, and Mommsen, who place it under Vespasian; see C. Anderson Scott, Revelation, p. 48, note 1 .
    ${ }^{3}$ On c. xi. I ff. see the commentary adl.

[^89]:    1 Cf. Col. iv. 16 ह́tav dyajv $\omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ тар'
    
     Aaoduklas tya kal ùueis divajvare. On the method of transmission see Riamsay, Letters to the Seven Churches, cc. ii., iii.
    
     $\psi a \sigma \theta e$.

[^90]:    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ On Clem. R. Cor. 34. 3, see N.T. in the Apostolic Fathers, p. 58. Lightfoot, who placed the Apocalypse under Nero or Vespasian, was inclined to see in Clem. l. c. a reference to Apoc. xxii. 12 ; see his note ad $l$.

[^91]:    Gospel, p. $\mathbf{z}_{2} 0$ f.; Lightfoot, S.R. p. 1 go: "we may aay that Papias was probably born about A.D. $60-70 . "$
    ${ }^{5}$ The words will be found on p. 175 (note to Apoc. ziii. 18).
    ${ }^{6}$ Lightfoot, S.R. p. 218.
    ${ }^{7}$ Harnack places the Ephesian residence of Justin c. A.D. 135.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Apoc. xii. 9, x. 2.

[^92]:    1 The allusion to Apoc. xxi. in Tatian's $\lambda$ oros $\pi \rho$ òs" "E $\lambda \lambda$ gras to which reference is made by Westoott (Canon, p. 320), is too obscure to be used for the purpose of this chapter.
    ${ }^{2}$ Two separate books, according to Jerome (de virr. illustr. 9 "de diabolo librum unum, de Apocalypsi Ioannis librum unum'').
    ${ }^{3}$ On the commentary of the pseudoMelito see Harnack, Gesch. a. altchr. Litteratur, i. 254, and the chapter of this introduction on Apocalyptic commentaries (c. xvii).
    ${ }^{4}$ For some instances of a Montanistio use of the Apocalypse see Zahn, Gesch. d. NTlichen Kanons, i. p. 205 f.
    ${ }^{5}$ There is a possible allusion to Apoc. xxii. I8f. in the anonymoas anti-Montanistic writing quoted by Eusebius in H. E. v. ⒍ The name verses may be

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Canon, p. 201, note 2. Cf. Lardner, Works, ii. p. 69: "i it is very probable that Hermas had read the book of St John's Revelation and imitated it."
    ${ }^{2}$ Tis. ii. 4, iii. 5, iv. 2 ; Sim. viii. 2.
    3 That the Apocalypsis Johannis is identical with our book is clear by what precedes: "et Iohannes enim in Apocalypsi, licet septem ecolesiis scribat, tamen omnibus dicit"-an early and interesting appreciation of the wider

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the Juaicium Petri, printed by Hilgenfeld in N.T. extra canon. recept., may, be regarded as an Egyptian writing of the second century, its witness must be added here: § 2 eliкoot $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ кal téo-
    
     Apoc iv. 4 .
    ${ }^{9}$ Aocording to Pgeudo-Tert. adv. omn. haer, 6 he was preceded here by Cerdon: "Olerdon...Acta apostolorum et Apocalypsim quasi falsa reicit."
    ${ }^{3}$ Tert. op. cit. iii. 14 "Iosnnem

[^95]:    agnitum non vis." Some of the Gnostic sects knew and used the Apocalypse, as the Marcosian " $\Omega$ каil' $A$ (Iren. i. 14. 6, 15. 1) and Justin the Gnostic's aeon 'Amen' (Hipp. phil. $\mathrm{\nabla}$. 26) suggest ; see Westoott, Canon, pp. 284, 3II. Zahn (Gesch i. 761) goes so far as to say: "wenigstens für die Valentinianer des Orients und insbesondere für Marcus in Kleinasien die Apokalypse ein Buch von nicht geringerem Ansehn als die Evv. war."

[^96]:    1 The Latin writers on the heresiea copy Epiphanius, or repeat what their predecessors had gleaned from him; see Philastr. 60, Ang. 30, Praedest. 30, Isid. 26, Paul. 7 , Honor. 4 .
    ${ }^{2}$ On this singular statement and Epiphanius's explanation see Stanton, Gospels as historical documents, p. 209.

    3 The $\pi \rho \dot{s} \dot{\alpha} \dot{a} \pi d \sigma a s$ тds aipéaecs, or
    

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eus. H.E. ii. 25 , vi. 20 ; ef. Lightfoot, St Glement, ii. p. 377 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Westcott, Canon ${ }^{6}$, p. 278, note 2:
    "I may express my decided belief that Caius is not speaking of the Apocalypse

[^98]:    of St John."
    ${ }^{8}$ It will be observed that Dionysius in describing the Ohiliastic views of Cerinthus uses language which comes very near to that of Gains.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lightfoot, St Clement, ii. pp. 394, 420.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dr Stanton, however (Gospels as historical documents, i. p. 230 ff.), after discussing the attitude of Gaius towards the Fourth Gospel, comes to the conclusion that there is at present no sufficient evidence to shew that he rejected it.

[^100]:    1 See above, p. oxf.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Dr Feltoe's note ad $l$.

[^101]:    
    
     $\gamma$ рафйr. As Dr Westcott points out, Canon, p. 369, note 4, Dionysius "quoted

[^102]:    the Apocalypse with respect: Eus. H.E. vii. 10."
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Feltoe, p. xi.
    ${ }^{3}$ Gwynn, Apocalypse, pp. xiii, ciii f; cf. Zahn, Gesch. i. p. 374 f.

[^103]:    tane list, $\mathbf{r 2 0 0}$, and according to Momm. sen's list, 1800; see Zahn, Gesch. ii. p. 397. The Apocalypse holds the last place in nearly all Greek MSS. of the N.T.; the exceptions will be found in Gregory, prolegg. p. 136. In the Latin lists and the MSS. of the Vulgate other arrangements are less rare, e.g. the Claromontane list places Apoc. after the Catholio Epistles but before the Acts, while in the Mommsen list and the 'Decree of Gelasius' it finds a place before the Catholic Epistles; see Zahn, Gesch. ii. p. 383, or Preuschen, Analectá, pp. 139-149.

[^104]:    1 On the Coptic canon see c. xvi.
    2 There is an apparent exception in the liber ecclesiasticorum dogmatum attributed to Gennadius (\$ 6 "erit resurrectio mortuorum hominum, sed una et in semel ; non primit iustorum et secunda peccatorum, ut fabolat somniator"). But according to Dom G. Morin who (as Mr C. H. Turner informs

[^105]:    1 Words to which a dagger is prefixed
    

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ The letters in brackets which follow the worde in this list indicate the other N. T. writer and work in which the words are found; e.g. $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{a}}=$ St Luke in Acts, Pr St Paul in Romans, Jer St John

[^107]:    in the Gospel, Jep St John in the Epistles.
    2 The number of stichi is given in each case according to the stichometry of Nicephorus.
    ${ }^{3}$ See St Mark ${ }^{2}$, p. xlvii.

[^108]:    1 The snbject has been treated more or less fully by Vögel (Comm., p. 5 ff.), Winer (Exeg. Studien, i. p. 144 ff.), Ewald (prol. to Comm. §6), Hitzig (Über Johannes Marcus, p. $6_{5}$ ff.), Lücke, Wersuch einer vollständigen Einleitung, i. p. $44^{8}$ ff., Bousset (intr. to Comm. p. 183 fi.), and in England by S. Davidion

[^109]:    (Intr. to N. T. iii. p. 552 fi.), Archd. Lee (intr. to Comm. p. 454 ff.). A Johannine Grammar has been recently published by Dr E. A. Abbott as a sequel to his Johannine Vocabulary (1905), but it deals with the Gospel only. A thorough monograph on the grammar of the Apocalypse is still to be desired.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eseay v. p. 13 Iff .
    ${ }^{2}$ E.g. if he has twice permitted him:
    self to write $8 \mu o \sigma_{0} \nu t 6 p$, in eighteen other passages duotos governs the dative.

[^111]:    1 The present writer, while welcoming all the light that can be thrown on the vocabulary and ayntax of the N.T. by a study of the Graeco-Egyptian papyri, and in particular the researches of Professor Deissmann, Professor Thumb, and Dr J. H. Moulton, deprecates the induction which, as it seems to him, is beingsomewhat hastily based upon them, that the Greek of the N. T. has been but slightly influenced by the familiarity of the writers with Hebrew and Aramaic. "Even the Greek of the Apocalypse," Dr Moulton writes (Grammar of N.T. Greek, prolegg. p. 8f.), "does not seem to owe any of its blunders to 'Hebraisms'... Apart from places where he [the author] may be definitely translating a Semitio document, there is no reason to believe that his grammar would have

[^112]:    1 St Paul has èta $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o u ̂ v$ in a similer sense ( 2 Oor. xii. 9).

    2 On this see Deissmann, Die Septua-ginta-papyri...der Heidelberger Papyrussammiung, p. 66 f .
    ${ }_{8}$ See $c$. xi.
     times in Apoc.; $\gamma^{d . \rho} 65$ times in Jev, ${ }^{\text {en }} 6$ in Apoc. Oiv which is the favourite mark of tramsition in the Gospel is used but 6 times in the Apocalypse, and only in cc. i.-iii. But oiv is wholly absent

[^113]:    from the first Epistle of St John, and خdp occurs there but thrice (Westcott, Epistles of St John, p. xl.).

    6 The exclusively local use of the name in the Gospel does not altogether account for this difference. 'Ifpov $\sigma a \lambda y \mu$ is used freely in speaking of the locality by St Luke and St Paul; with Mt., Me., Jer, on the other hand, the use of 'Iepoobjuma is habitual, though Mt. once
    

[^114]:    1 Westcott, St John, p. 1. cal Character of the Fourth Gospel, ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Sanday, Authorship and Historip. 28 f .

[^115]:    1 On the symbolism of numbers see Tyconins reg. $\nabla$ (ed. Burkitt).

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Abbott points out (Grammar, §2624) that the Fourth Gospel is "permeated structurally with the idea" of sevenfoldness.

    2 The genesis of the idea is weil stated by Philo legg. alleg. r. 4 रalpec $\delta \dot{e} \dot{\eta} \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma c s$
    

[^117]:    
     $\tau \boldsymbol{r}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ So Ramsay, Letters to the Seven Churches, p. 178 . But this is perhaps to build too much upon the article.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schöpfung u. Chaos, p. 309 ff .
    2 My colleague, Prof. Burkitt, suggested as far back as 1896 (Cambridge University Reporter, 1895-6, p. 625 £) that $\dot{\chi}^{\circ}{ }^{5}$, written as $G$, was chosien as the number of the Beast because $F$ is "little more than ${ }^{*}$ turned round the other way." His attractive conjecture was based on Beatus in Apoc. ed. Florez, p. 440 (cf. the Pseudo-Augustinian homilies, Migne, P. L. xxxv.col. 2437), and he pointed out that the form of the episemon implied in $\$$ is "characteristio of documents of the first and second centuries." But (r) there does not seem to be any evidence that the $f^{f}$ was a recognized symbol as early as the reign of Domitian, and (2) the writer of the Apocalypse does not use the term divilipeotos.

    From another of my colleagues, Dr -Barnes, I have received an explanation

[^119]:    of $\chi \xi 5^{\prime}$ which well deserves to be considered. He writes: "In I K. x. 14 the gold that came to Solomon every year amounts to 666 talents. This passage is one of eeveral indications in the O.T. that the Hebrews took 6 as a round number...'The Apocalyptist gives a round number, as round as he can make it, to the Beast, because he dare not be more defnite, and because he had no need, to be more definite. The number of the Beast was 'a man's number' (ef. Iss. viii. I); there was nothing mysterious about it, it was common property to the extent that any man of sense could interpret it. The Beast's name was ' N or M." This solution, however, leaves the early if not original $\chi 65^{\prime}$ unexplained, and it does not seem to accord with the mystical character of the book.

[^120]:    1 Letters to the Seven Churches, p. 59.
    ${ }^{2}$ Westcott, Epp. of St John, p. 339 (App. on the relation of Christianity to

[^121]:    Art).
    8 Westcott, op. cit. p. 335.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Introduction to the O.T. in Greek, p. 381 fi.
    ${ }^{2} o^{\prime}=\mathrm{Lxx} ., a^{\prime}=$ Aquila, $\theta^{\prime}=$ Theodo-

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ The numbers in our Hist are: Exodus, Deuteronomy, Jeremiah, Joel, Psalms, 27; Isaiah, 46; Ezekiel, 29; Daniel, 3I ; after these come Genesis,
    and Zechariah. See, however, p. liii ; and cf. p. cxxxix.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the remarkable rendering of Zech. xii. 12 in Apoc. i. 7 see the note in the commentary ad loc., and of. Deissmann D $_{2}$ Die Septuaginta-papyri der

[^125]:    1 See Hort, Romans and Ephesians, p. 168 .
    ${ }^{3}$ N. T. in the Apostolic Fathers, pp. $3^{8,} 4^{6}, 6 \mathrm{If}$.

[^126]:    1 The saying in Apoc. ii. I4 oú
     been auggested by the letter of the council of Apostles and elders held at

[^127]:    Jerusalem; cf. Acts xy. 28 E
     $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau l \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha c \dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu \quad \beta$ dроs $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Colossians, p. 41 立.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mayor, St James, p. cii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bigg, 1 Peter, p. 22. He adds however: "There is nothing to show that the one book was known to the

[^129]:    anthor of the other."
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. e.g. Apoc. xxi. with Heb. xii. 22.
    ${ }^{4}$ Pp. xxyff.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ iv. II, x. 6.
    2 xiv. 7 , xv. 4 ; vi. Io, xix. 2.
    ${ }^{s}$ xiv. IO, J9, Xv. I, etć.
    4 i. I3, xiv. I4.

[^131]:    5 i. 18.
    ${ }^{6}$ v. 6 iss $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi a \gamma \mu \notin \nu o \nu$.
    ${ }^{7}$ xii. 5 .
    8 iii. 2 .

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. 14 - 17.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ viv. 14 ff .
    ${ }^{2}$ xix. 11 ff.
    ${ }^{4}$ i. 5, 6(Exod. xix. 6),
    ${ }^{5}$ i. 13 , ii. I, xiv. I.
    ${ }^{6}$ ii. 5,25 ff., iii. 9 , ro.

[^133]:    7 iii. 18.
    8 ii. 7 etc., 23.
    9 ii. 13,20 , xi. 18.
    10 iii. 20, vii. 17, xiv. 4 .
    11 xiv. I2, i. 9.

[^134]:    ${ }_{1}$ iiif. $I_{4}$, xxii. r3.
    ${ }^{2}$ v. 13 .
    3 v. 5, vi. I ff.
    4i. 5 .
    5 xii. 5 .
    ${ }^{6}$ xqii. 14 .
    7 vi. 15 ff.
    ${ }^{9}$ xi. $I_{5}$; ef. xil. 10.
    9 ii. 23.
    ${ }^{10}$ i. 18 , ii. 23.

[^135]:    11 v. 13.
    12 xx .6.
    ${ }^{13}$ xxii. 1, 3.
    14 xi. 15 .
    15 i. 18 .
    16 iii. 7.
    17 xxil. 13 .
    18 ii. 18.
    19 xix. 13.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. $5,18$.
    ${ }^{2}$ v. 5 , xxii. 16
    ${ }^{3}$ v. 5 .

    - iii. 8.
    ${ }^{5}$ F. Palmer, Drama of the Apocalypse, p. 105.
    ${ }^{6}$ xix. ro, xxii 9 .

[^137]:    1 i. 10 , iv. 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ ii. 1, 7 etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ xvii. 3 , xxi. 10.
    3 xix. 10.
    ${ }^{5}$ xiv. 13.
    ${ }^{6}$ xxii. 17.

[^138]:    ${ }_{2}$ viii. $2 . \quad 2$ Cor. xiii. 14, Eph. iv. 4 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. such contexts as I Cor. xii. 4 ff., ${ }^{2}$ vii. 17 , xxi. 6, xxii. r, 17 .

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ c. xii.
    ${ }^{3}$ i. 6, v. 10, xx .6.
    ${ }^{2}$ c. $\mathbf{x x i}$.
    ${ }^{4}$ vii. Io, xii. Io, zix. 1.

[^140]:    1 vii. 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ i. 5, v. 9 , vii. 14, xii. 1 .
    ${ }^{3}$ I Cor. vi. 20 ทुरopd $\sigma \theta \eta \tau e \gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \tau \mu \hat{\eta} s$, vii. ${ }_{23} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \gamma \quad \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$.

    4 Acts xx. 28 ; Rom. iii. 25; V. 9 ; Eph. i. 7, ii. 13 ; Col. i. 20. Cf. 1 Pet.

[^141]:    1 See ii. 2, 5, I9, 23, iii. 1 f. 8, 15, Ex. 12 f., xxii. 12 .

    * The present writer is unable to discover here or elsewhere in the Apocalypse the "unadulterated Judaism" Which hass been ascribed to it (Charles, Eschatology, p. 347).
    ${ }^{3}$ Only in ii. 13, 19, xiif. ro, ziv. 12.
    4 xix. 8.

[^142]:    5 vii. 1 r .
    ${ }^{4}$ i. 1, xxii. 6.
    7 vii. 1 .
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{ix} .15$.
    ${ }^{9}$ xvi. 1 ff.
    10 viii. 6 ff., xix. 14 .
    11 xii. 7, xix. 14.
    ${ }^{12}$ ix. II, IX. 1 .

[^143]:    ${ }^{I}$ ii. I etc., viii. $3^{\text {f., }}$ xvii. 1 , xxi. $g$.
    ${ }^{2}$ xii. 7 ; cf. Dan. x. 2 I.
    ${ }^{3}$ viii. 2 ; cf. Enoch xx.
    ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ xii. 9.
    ${ }^{5}$ ix. 20.
    6 xviii. 2.
    ${ }^{7}$ xii. 7 ff., 13 ff.
    ${ }^{8}$ xiii. 1 ff., IIff.
    ${ }^{9}$ c. X xii .
    10 c. xviii.
    11 xx. Iff.
    ${ }^{12}$ Ib. 8 ff.

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Mt. Xxv. 41.
    ${ }^{2}$ iv. r ; ef. i. 19.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mt. xxiv. 3 ff, ; 1 Cor. xy. 23 ; 1 Th. ii. 19, iii. 13, iv. 工5; v. 23; Jac. v. $7^{\text {f. }}$; 2 Pet. iii. 4 ; i Jo. ii. 28.
    ${ }^{4}$ I Tim. vi. 14; 2 Tim. i. ro, iv. $\mathrm{r}, 8$; Tit. ii. 12.

    5 E.g. ii. 5, 16, and perhaps also iii. If, zvi. 15 .
    ${ }^{6}$ xiv. 14 .
    7 xix. II.
    8 i. 7 .
    ${ }^{9}$ Of. ${ }_{2}$ Pet. iii. 9.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ จ. 10, reading $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in \dot{o} v o \sigma \iota$.
    ${ }^{2}$ xi. $15, \mathrm{xx} .6$.
    ${ }^{3}$ xxii. 5.
    ${ }^{4}$ P. 264 ff.
    5 Jo. ч. 22; cf. Mt. xxv. 3I ff. It

[^146]:    may be noted that St Paul speaks indifferently of the $\beta \bar{\eta} \mu a$ tov $\theta$ धô (Rom. xiv. 10) and the $\beta$. гаî גpiotov̂, ( 2 Cor. T. Io) ; the Father judges in the person of the Son.

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. $1,4,9$, xxii. 8.
    2 i. $g$.
    ${ }^{3}$ xix. 10, xxii. 9.
    ${ }^{4}$ Tischendorf, Apocalypses apocryphae, pp. 34, 70. The opening of the earlier

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even in 2 Peter St Paul is $\delta$ d $\gamma a \pi \eta-$ $\tau \delta s \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \delta \delta \in \lambda \phi \delta s$ (iii. I5).

    2 'I $\omega \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \eta_{s}$, or 'I $\omega$ ajus as WH., following cod. $\mathcal{N}$, write the name in c. i., is a
     or ${ }_{T}{ }_{T} \tilde{T}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{l}$ ) ) which ocours in the LXX. and in Le. iii. 27. As to the doubled $\nu$ see Dalman, Gr. p. I42.

    3 See Niese's index, p. $4^{66}$.

[^149]:    ${ }^{4}$ Jo. xxi. 15 ff. $\Sigma / \mu \omega v$ 'I $\omega d v[\nu] o v$.
    
    
    ${ }^{6}$ P. crii f.
    7 P. cxiii.
    8 P. cix.
    ${ }^{9}$ P. cxili.
    ${ }^{10}$ P. cxiv.
    II P. cexfl.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ ap. Eus. H. E. vii. $25 . \quad$ 2 H. E. iii. 39.

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ap. Ens. H.E. iii. 24, $\delta \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \tau \eta s . .$. т

    2 SeeNolte in Th. Quartalschrift, 1862, p. 466 .
    ${ }^{3}$ In Texte u. Untersuchungen (v. 2, p. 170,1888 ).

    4 "O $\theta_{\text {co }}{ }^{\circ} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma}$, as Dr Sanday points out (Griticism of the Fourth Gospel, p. 251), "may quite well have been due to the

[^152]:    fragmentist."
    ${ }^{5}$ Supernatural Religion, p. 212 : "the sentence may have run in the original somewhat in this way, Manlas... $\phi d \sigma \kappa \varepsilon$
    
     'Ioudal $\omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \eta p \epsilon \theta \eta$.".

    6 Chronologie, i. p. 665 f.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Sanday (Criticism, p. 25I) writes: "The natural date for the extracts in this chapter [Eus. H.E. iii. 39] seems to me to be circa 100 ."

    2 Prof. Burkitt (Gospel History and its transmission, p. 252 ff.) adds an interesting confirmation of Papias's

[^154]:    I Witness the severity of John the Elder in 2 Jo. 10 f., and the attitude of the Fourth Gospel towards "the Jews."
    ${ }^{2}$ G. xi.; see especially p. exxy ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ Westeott, St John, p. lxexyi.
    ${ }^{4}$ Notes on the Epp. of St Paul, p. 72 f.

[^155]:    1 P. lexxy f.
    ${ }^{2}$ On this question see $c$. ix. of this introduction.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. quarti. The MSS. have been tacitly corrected in this extract and the next.

    2 This is the order usually alleged; see e.g. the passages collected by Corssen, Monarch. Prologe, p. 80г (in T. u. U. xv. 1 ).
    ${ }^{3}$ On this word see Lightfoot, Biblical

[^157]:    1 The nambers are von Soden's (19az).

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the Old Latin version (or versions) of the Apocalypse see H. Linke, Studien zur Itala, i. ; Breslau, 1889.
    ${ }^{2}$ A fresh reading of $h$ in Apoc. ix.

[^159]:    1 See a paper contributed to Hermathena ( $x$. , no. Exiv., 1898 ) by Dr Gwynn to whose kindness Iowe this information.
    ${ }^{2}$ His edition was preceded by a

[^160]:    memoir in the Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy for 1891.

    3 Gwynn, Apocalypse, pp. xciii., xcrii.
    4 Gregory, Tk. ii. p. 368.

[^161]:    1 This information is due to the kindness of Mr Horner. Cf. Gregory, prolegg. p. 865 ; Tk, ii. p. 337. A specimen of a British Museum fragment is given by Dr Kenyon (p. 16o).
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ F. Robinson in Hastings, D. B., p. 669 ; Gregory, Tk. ii. p. 537.

[^162]:    3 Scrivener-Miller, ii. p. 123; Gregory, prolegg. $86 \mathrm{I}, 864$, Tk. ii. pp. 531, 534 ; Horner, iii. p. x. See above, p. cxvii.
    ${ }^{4}$ Burkitt, l.c. Scrivener-Miller, ii. p. 162 f.; Gregory, prolegg. p. 929 f.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hort (introduction to WH., § I17).

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ Migne P. L. xxxp. Cf. the citations in the Regulae of Tyoonius (ed. Burkitt, pp. 3, 50, 59, 60 f., 71, 82).
    ${ }_{2}$ For those used by Tischendorf see Gregory prolegg. p. 1 I 60.

    3 Introduction to WH., § 344 .
    4 The more important of these are

[^164]:    discussed in the commentary.
    ${ }^{5}$ Pp. 1298 - 1302.
    ${ }^{6}$ On these MSS. nee Lambros, Catalogue of the Greek MSS. on Mt Athos, i . p. 97, ii. p. 3. It may be added that a fresh collation has been made of cod. A, from the London photograph.

[^165]:    1 For a detailed account of commentaries on the Apocalypse see Liicke, Versuch einer vollständigen Einleitung in die Offenbarung des Iokannes (Bonn, 1852), pp. 95 I -1070; and Bousset, Die Offenbarung Iohannis neu bearbeitet (Göttingen, r8go), pp. 5I—r4r. Lücke refers to Stosch, Catalogus rariorum in Apoc. Toannis commentariorum, a book

[^166]:    which I have not been able to consult. Elliott (Horae Apocalypticae, iv. pp. 275 -528 ) is especially full on the post. Reformation period, but must be used with caution; his zeal for the antipapal interpretation leads him at times to do scant justice to writers, whether Roman Catholic or Protestant, who take. another view.

[^167]:    1 Harnack, Geschichte, i. p. 248.
    ${ }^{2}$ See their Voyages Litteraires, ij. p. 260 , cited by Harnack, Gesch. i. p. 264 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Zahn, Forschungen, iii. p. 154 ff.
    4 Westcott in Smith and Wace's

[^168]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ittig, op. cit. pp. 438, 504.
    ${ }^{2}$ Similarly Bousset, Comm. p. 70.
    ${ }^{3}$ I owe this account of the Syrine commentaries on the Apocalypse to the kindness of my colleague, Dr W. Emery Barnes, Hulsean Professor of Divinity.

[^169]:    $4{ }^{5}+$ Ex oratore episcopus," according to Cassiodorius (De inst. div. libr. 5).

    On Victorinus and his commentary on the Apocalypse see Harnack, Gesch. i. p. 371 ff., and Kattenbusch, Der Apost. Symbol, p. 212.

[^170]:    1 Ittig, p. 52. It had been previously edited in an appondix to Theophylact on St Paul by Jo. Lornicerus in 1543 .
    ${ }^{2}$ See Th. Litteraturblatt, Apr. 26, 1895; and cf. J. R. Harris, in Expositor, v. I. p. 448 , and A. Ehrard, Die altchr. Litteratur, von $1884-$ r900, i. p. 484 ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ On the speling of this name see Burkitt in Texts and Studies, iii. I.

[^171]:    Class. Revicw, iii. p. 222.
    ${ }^{3}$ See H. L. Ramsay, Commentaire de $l$ Apocalypse par Beatus, p. 17 f.
    ${ }^{4}$ On Primasius see Haussleiter in Zahn, and in Herzog-Hauck, xvi. p. 55 ff., as well as his earlier 'programm,' Leben u. Werke des Bisehofs Primasius (Erlangen, 1887) ; and of. Kihn, Theodor v. Mopsuestia, p. 248 ff.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ittig, pp. rog, 439, 505.

[^172]:    Ramsay, of Downside Abbey, reprinted from the Revue d'histoire et de littérature religieuses, t. vii. (Igoz), kindly communicated to me by Dom E.C.Butier, and Haussiciter's article already mentioned.

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apoc. xiii. 18, xvii. 9.
    ${ }^{3}$ Haer. จ. 35.2 (of. Eus. H.E. iii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Details must be sought in Lücke
    39).
    and Bousset.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ т. 28. 3, 30.
    2 v. 26.1 .
    3 E九. Lagarde, p. 24 ff.
    
    
     'I $\omega$ áv $\nu \eta v]$ é $\xi \omega \dot{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Lag. p. 26.
    7 Lag. p. 153 тд̀ $\sigma \dot{a} \beta \beta a \tau o \nu$ ти́тоs $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi l$

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ Symp. vili. 4 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Origen, in Mt. xxiv. 29.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ib. 13.
    4 adv. Marc. iii. 13 .
    ${ }^{5}$ de vesurr, carnis, 25 .
    ${ }^{6}$ adv. Marc. iii. 24.

[^176]:    7 de res., l.c. " in Apocalypei Ioannis ordo temporum sternitur."
    ${ }^{8}$ See c. xvii., p. cci.
    ${ }^{9}$ In Theologisches Literaturblatt, 26 Apr. 1905, col. 192 ff .

[^177]:    
    

[^178]:     $\tau \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \in \hat{i} \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{a} \nu \partial \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \dot{u} \pi \alpha \rho \chi 0 \mu \tau \epsilon, \tau \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \eta)=$

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. ceix.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. C.Q.R. for Oct. 2907 (p. 17 ff.).
    ${ }^{3}$ See note on Apoc. xiv. 6. The author of the Introductorius in Aeter-
    num Evangelium was a friar named Gerhard; see Giesler (E. Tr.), iii. p. ${ }_{57} \mathrm{n}$.

[^180]:    1 "، While I write,' says Mede, 'news is brought of a Prince from the North (meaning Gustavus Adolphus) gaining

[^181]:    ${ }_{2}$ See, e.g., Elliott, H. A. iii. 309 ff. Apocalypse, p. 48.
    ${ }^{2}$ Auberlen, cited by Archbp. Benson,

[^182]:    
    
    
    

[^183]:    
    
    
    
    

[^184]:    
    
    
    

[^185]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^186]:    

[^187]:    
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