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## PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

The present edition is little more than a reprint of the second. A few corrections and additions have been made, chiefly in the footnotes; the most important of these being the insertion at p. 404 of the Greek fragment which follows 'Mark' xvi. 14 in the Freer MS. of the Four Gospels.

Of one important source of new knowledge I have been unable to make as much use as I conld have wished. Professor Deissmatin and Dr A. Thumb in Germany, and Professor J. H. Moulton and Dr G. Milligan in Great Britain, have taught us how much the papyri and the inscriptions have to contribute to the stady of New Testament Lexicography. Most of their researches have appeared since the publication of the first edition of this book, and it would be impossible to avail myself of them without a scrious interference with the plates. I can only refer the reader to the published papers and books of the above-mentioned scholars, and in particular to the Lexical Notes contributed by Dr Moulton and Dr Miiligan to the Expositor, and to the work which, it is understood, will be based upon them.

The conclusions with regard to New Testament Grammar which have been drawn from the non-literary papyri are not as yet, in my opinion, established beyond doubt, and I am therefore content still to rely upon the authority of Winer-Moulton, WinerSchmiedel, and Blass. But the subject is one upon which I desire to keep an open mind, and the time may come when this commentary will call for a more extensive revision in this respect than I am at present prepared to undertake.
H. B. S.

[^0]
## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

The years which have gone by since the first issue of this Commentary have been singularly fruitful in publications bearing upon the study of the Gospels. In the work of preparing a second edition for the press these new helps have not been left out of sight; and from several of them-more particularly from Dr Chase's and Dr Salmond's articles in the third volume of Dr Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible, the second volume of Professor Theodore Zahn's Einleitung in das Neue Testament, Sir J. C. Hawkins' Horae Symopticae, and Mr P. M. Barnard's Biblical Tlext of Clement of Alexandria-much assistance has been derived. If my conclusions have not often been modified, it is not because I have failed to reconsider them in the light of these and other recent contributions to Biblical knowledge.

I am glad also to acknowledge my debts to the kindness of reviewers, and of not a few private friends and some unknown correspondents, who have pointed out errors or deficiencies in the first edition of my book. These corrections have all, as I trust, received respectful attention, although in some cases the plan of the work has refused to lend itself to the proposed changes, or after full consideration I have found myself unable to accept them.

In the preface to the first edition I expressed a desire to discuss more fully at a future time some of the larger questions raised by the Gospel of St Mark. This purpose has not been fulfilled. The book has been revised throughout; the critical apparatus has been enlarged by the use of the fresh evidence printed in Mr Lake's Texts from Mount Athos, of which advanced sheets were sent to me through the kindness of the author; the foot-notes have been here and there expanded or re-written. But the pressure of other work and the call of fresh studies have precluded me from attempting the dissertations which I had intended to write. My book therefore goes forth under its original limitations. But I am confident that younger students will be found to fulfil the task which I am constrained to leave. The growing interest manifested in all problems connected with the Gospels, and more especially the earliest of the Gospels,
justifies the expectation that the next generation of New Testament scholars will carry our knowledge more than one step nearer to the fulness and certainty which all must desire to attain.

H. B. S.

Cambridge, F. of St Peter, 1902.

## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

The earliest of extant commentators on St Mark urges as his apology for undertaking so serious a task the neglect which that Evangelist appeared to have suffered at the hands of the great teachers of the Church. While each of the other Gospels had received separate treatment, the Gospel according to St Mark, so far as he could discover, had been passed by, as if it needed no elucidation or none which could not be gathered from expositions of St Matthew and St Luke.

If this plea can no longer be used, it is still true that St Mark has gained far less attention than he deserves. The importance of his work as an independent history, and the beauty of its bright and unartificial picture of our Lord's life in Galilee, are at length generally recognised; but no monograph has yet appeared which makes full use of the materials at the disposal of the expositor.

I cannot claim to have supplied this deficiency in the present volume, nor has it been my aim to do so. I am content to offer help to those who desire to enter upon the serious study of the Gospels. Such study should begin, as it appears to me, with the Gospel which I believe to be the earliest of the four and, throughout a large part of the narrative, the nearest to the common source.

My chief aids have been the concordances of Bruder and Moulton-Geden, the grammatical works of Winer-Moulton, WinerSchmiedel, Burton, and Blass, and the Greek text, introduction, and notes of Westcott and Hort. Next to these, I have learnt most from the concordance to the Lxx. compiled by Hatch and Redpath, the text and indices of Niese's Josephus, and the illustrations from the later Greek literature which are to be found
in the pages of Field, Grinfield, Grotius, Kuinoel, Kypke, and Wetstein, together with those which Deissmann has collected from the papyri. For Aramaic forms I have consulted Kautzsch and Dalman, and for Jewish thought and customs the well-known works of the elder Lightfoot, Schöttgen, Schürer, Streane, Taylor, Weber, and Wünsche. Of ancient expositors Origen, Jerome, Victor of Antioch, Bede, and Theophylact have supplied valuable help; among those of recent times I have consulted with advantage Schanz and Knabenbauer, Meyer-Weiss and Holtzmann. But no effort has been made to collect and tabulate the views of the commentators upon disputed points; it has been thought that a mere list of authorities, apart from a detailed statement of the grounds on which their opinions are based, could render little assistance to the student and might discourage individual effort. Nor have I appealed to any expositor, ancient or modern, until an effort had been made to gain light from a careful study of the Gospel itself. A prolonged examination of the text, and a diligent use of the lexical and grammatical helps to which reference has already been made, will almost invariably guide the student to a true interpretation of St Mark's rugged yet simple sentences. It is chiefly in the attempt to penetrate the profound sayings of our Lord, which this Evangelist reports in their most compact form, that valuable assistance may be gained from the suggestiveness of Origen and the devout insight of Bede and Bengel.

The text of Westcott and Hort has been generally followed; the few changes which I have permitted myself to make consist chiefly of the introduction within square brackets of words which the New Testament in Greek either omits or relegates to the margin. Even if we regard as proved the contention of $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$ Salmon that "what Westcott and Hort have restored is the text which had the highest authority at Alexandria in the third century "-i.e. that it is "early Alexandrian," rather than strictly "neutral"-we may still reasonably prefer this text on the whole to any other as a basis for the interpretation of the Gospels. At the same time it is desirable that the student should have before him materials for forming a judgement upon all important variants, or at least discriminating between the principal types of text,
and explaining to himself the grounds upon which any particular reading is to be preferred. With the view of enabling him to do this, I have printed above the commentary an apparatus of various readings, largely derived from the apparatus of Tischendorf's eighth critical edition, which has been simplified and to some extent revised and enriched.

It had been part of my original plan to discuss in additional notes and dissertations some of the points raised by this Gospel which seemed to require fuller investigation. But as the work grew under my hands, it became apparent that this purpose could not be carried into effect without unduly increasing the size of the volume and at the same time delaying, perhaps for some years, the publication of the text and notes. If strength is given to me, I hope to return to my task at a future time; meanwhile I have thrown into the form of an Introduction a portion of the materials which had been collected, and I trust that the present work may be regarded as complete in itself within the narrower limits which circumstances have prescribed.

It would be difficult to overestimate what I owe to the kindness of friends. While in each case I am responsible for the final form assumed by the text, apparatus, and notes, I desire to acknowledge with sincere gratitude the generous assistance which has enabled me to make them what they are. To the Bishop of Durham I am indebted for permission to use the WH. text of St Mark as far as I might find it convenient to do so. My colleague, Professor J. Armitage Robinson, has supplied me with copious notes upon the readings of the Armenian version, and has also frequently verified and corrected my references to the Sinaitic Syriac and the other Syriac versions. Mr F. C. Conybeare has contributed a photograph of the page of an Armenian Ms. in which the last twelve verses of the Gospel are ascribed to the "presbyter Ariston." From Mr F. C. Burkitt I have received much valuable help, especially in the earlier chapters of St Mark, in reference to the readings of the Old Latin and the treatment of various points connected with Syriac and Aramaic words. Mr H. S. Cronin has given me access to his yet unpublished collation of the new fragments of cod. N , and to the results of a fresh examination of cod. $2^{\mathrm{pe}}$; and through
the kindness of Mr A. M. Knight I have been permitted to use the proof-sheets of a new edition of Field's Otium Norvicense (pt. iii.). Not less important service of another kind has been rendered by Mr J. H. Srawley, who has revised the proofs and supplied materials for the index of subject-matter, and by Dr W. E. Barnes, to whom I owe many corrections which have been embodied in the sheets or appear in the list of corrigenda. Lastly, it is due to the workmen and readers of the University Press to acknowledge their unvarying attention to a work which has necessarily made large demands upon their patience and skill.

Few readers of this book will be more conscious of its shortcomings than the writer is. The briefest of the Gospels is in some respects the fullest and the most exacting; the simplest of the books of the New Testament brings us nearest to the feet of the Master. The interpreter of St Mark fulfils his office so far as he assists the student to understand, and in turn to interpret to others, this primitive picture of the Incarnate Life. To do this in any high degree demands such a preparation of mind and spirit as can rarely be attained; to co it in some measure has been my hope and aim.

Domine Deus...q̀uaecumque dixi in hoc libro de tuo, agnoscant et tui; si qua de meo, et Tu ignosce et tui.
H. B. S.

Cambridge,
F. of the Name of $J_{\text {ESUS }}$, 1898.
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## I.

## PERSONAL HISTORY OF ST MARK.

1. The Roman praenomen Marcus was in common use among Greek-speaking peoples from the Augustan age onwards. The inscriptions offer abundant examples from every part of the Empire, and from every rank in society.

The following are examples of the widespread use of the Greek



 с́tクs. Cyrene : 5218 M. Mápкov. Sicily : 5644 Maápкov viòs Maáp-
 last two inscriptions justify the accentuation Mâpкos, which has been adopted in this edition after Blass: see his comm. on Acts xii. 25 , and his Gramm. d. NTlichen Griechisch, § 4. 2.

In all these instances the name stands by itself in accordance with Greek practice. The same is true of its later Christian use; thus we have a Marcus who was the first Gentile Bishop of Jerusalem (Aelia), a Marcus who was a Valentinian leader contemporary with Irenaeus, and another who was eighth Bishop of Alexandria; even at Rome the praenomen occurs as a single name in the case of Pope Marcus ( $\dagger 336$ ). Christian inscriptions of the fourth century collected by Prof. Ramsay in the neighbourhood of Laodiceia combusta supply several examples of the same kind.

[^1]Mith. d. k. d. arch. Instituts (Athen. Abth.) 1888, p. 233 ff:



In the N.T. the name occurs eight times (Acts xii. 12, 25, xv. 37, 39, Col. iv. 10, Philem. 24, 2 Tim. iv. 1 r, i Pet. v. I3). In the Acts it is the surname of a Jew of.Jerusalem whose name was


 itself and without the article, as if it were the only or at least the familiar name by which the person to whom they refer was known.

The N. T. bears witness to the readiness of the Palestinian Jew to adopt or accept a secondary name, whether of Aramaic or foreign origin ${ }^{2}$. Latin names were frequently used in this way, whether epithets such as Justus (Acts i. 23), Niger (ib. xiii. I), Secundus, xx. 4, cognomina like Paulus, Lucanus, Silvanus, or praenomina, of which Caius ('álos Acts xix. 29, Rom. xvi. 23, I Cor. i. 14, 3 Jo. I) and Lucius (Acts xiii. 1) are examples. Marcus is an exact parallel to Caius and Lucius, except that in the Acts, where St Mark appears in Jewish surroundings, his Jewish name precedes, and the Roman praenomen which he had assumed occupies the place of the cognomen.

For other examples of the use of Marcus as a secondary name see Dittenberger inser. Att. aet. Rom. 1137 Аєúsios ò каì M., Mapa-


2. The mother of John Mark was a Mary who was a member of the Church at Jerusalem (Acts xii. 12). She was clearly a woman of some means and a conspicuous person in the Christian community. Her house (rìv oikíav Mapias) ${ }^{3}$ is approached by a porch ( $\pi \nu \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \nu$ ) : a slave girl ( $\pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ ), probably the portress ( $\dot{\eta} \theta \nu \rho \omega-$ pós, Jo. xviii. 16, I7), opens the door; there is an upper room or

[^2][^3]guestchamber large enough to receive a concourse of the brethren
 naturally turns his steps, when released from prison; he is content to leave in the hands of the party who are assembled there the duty of communicating the tidings of his escape to the rest of the Church ('Іак $\hat{\beta} \beta \varphi$ каi rois $\left.{ }^{2} \delta \in \lambda \phi o i ̂\right)^{2}$. John is not mentioned in this narrative, except for the purpose of distinguishing his mother Mary from others of the same name; but it is reasonable to suppose that he was present, and that he was already a believer, and intimate with St Peter and the heads of the Church at Jerusalem.

Conjecture has connected the name of John Mark with certain incidents in the Gospel history. In the Dialogue of Adamantius de recta fide (Lommatzsch, xvi. 259) we read: Mâpkos oưv кaì



 probably as baseless as many others which are due to that writer; it may be that the reference to Jo. vi. 66 has arisen from what is said of John Mark in Acts xiii. 13, xv. 38. That he was the $\nu$ vavíckos of Mc. xiv. ${ }^{1}$ f. is not unlikely: see note ad loc. Bede's supposition that he was a Priest or Levite, which is probably borrowed from the comm. of Ps.Jerome, or from the preface to Mark in mss. of the Vulgate (ef. Wordsworth-White, p. 171 "Marcus evangelista...sacerdotium in Israhel agens, secundum carnem levita"), rests ultimately upon Mark's connexion with the Levite Barnabas.
John was at Jerusalem during the famine of $45-6$, when Barnabas and Saul visited the city for the purpose of conveying to the Church the alms of the brethren at Antioch; and on their return they took him back with them to Syria (Acts xii. 25). He may have attracted them as the son of a leading member of the Church at Jerusalem, and possibly also by services rendered during the distribution of the relief fund which revealed in him a capacity for systematic work. If we assume his identity with the Mark of St Paul's Epistles, there was doubtless another reason. Barnabas was still leader of the Christian body at Antioch; he

[^4]had been sent there by the mother Church (Acts xi. 22), and Saul's position in the Antiochian brotherhood was as yet evidently subordinate (ib. 25,30 , xii. 25 , xiii. If.). It was for Barnabas to seek fresh associates in the work, and John was a near relative of Barnabas (Col. iv. io ó àvє $\psi \stackrel{\text { òs }}{ } \mathrm{Ba} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\nu á} \beta a^{1}$ ). Whether the father of John had been uncle to Joseph of Cyprus (Acts iv. 36), or the mother his aunt, is unknown; but the relationship accounts for the persistent favour which Barnabas extended to Mark.

Mark's association with the Antiochian leaders was doubtless for the purpose of rendering assistance to them in their growing work. As Saul had been brought from Tarsus (Acts xi. 25 f.), so Mark was now taken from Jerusalem; the same verb $\sigma \nu \nu \pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is used again in xv. 37, 38, and seems distinctly to indicate the position which Mark was called to fill-that of a coopted colleague of inferior rank (cf. Gal. ii. I $\mathfrak{a}^{\nu} \dot{́} \beta \eta \nu \ldots \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ Bapváßa $\sigma \nu \nu \pi a \rho a \lambda \alpha-$ $\beta \grave{\omega} \nu \kappa$ каi Títov) ${ }^{2}$. It was natural that when the Holy Spirit designated Barnabas and Saul for a new field of work, Mark should accompany them. The general character of his duties is now expressly stated; it was personal service, not evangelistic, to
 fines this service too strictly when he comments "velut ad baptizandum ${ }^{4}$ "; Mark may have been required to baptize converts (cf. Acts x. 48, I Cor. i. 14), but his work would include all those minor details which could safely be delegated to a younger man, such as arrangements for travel, the provision of food and lodging, conveying messages, negotiating interviews, and the like.
 lical Greek will shew that the word covers a wide range of offices:





[^5][^6]
 or $\left\langle\sum_{1}^{1}\right)^{1}$. Official service, not of a menial kind, is the prevalent idea of the word which distinguishes it from doindos on the one hand, and to some extent from סєákovos on the other: see Trench, syn. 9. ©єрáтни is similarly used in reference to Joshua (Exod. xxxiii. II, Lxx.).

For such forms of ministry John possessed perhaps a natural
 would be invaluable to the two Apostles, whose time was fully occupied with the spiritual work of their mission. But it was rendered only for a short time. At Perga in Pamphylia he left his colleagues, and returned to Jerusalem (Acts xiii. I $3 \dot{a} \pi o \chi \omega$ -
 records the fact in words which are nearly colourless, the censure which he represents St Paul as having subsequently passed upon Mark's conduct at this juncture is severe and almost passionate

 Nevertheless, as Professor Ramsay has pointed out ${ }^{2}$, there is something to be said on Mark's behalf. He was not sent to the work by the Spirit or by the Church, as Barnabas and Saul had been. The sphere of the mission, moreover, had not been revealed at the first; and when the Apostles determined to leave the seacoast and strike across the Taurus into the interior, he may have considered himself free to abandon the undertaking. He had left Jerusalem for work at Antioch, and had not engaged himself to face the dangers of a campaign in central Asia Minor (2 Cor. xi. 26); and he may have felt that duty to his mother and his home required him to break off at this point from so perilous a development of the mission.

To Barnabas, at any rate, Mark's withdrawal did not appear in the light of a desertion, nor was St Paul unwilling to be associated with him again in the work at Antioch; for from Acts xv. 37 it

[^7][^8]would seem that he was with the Apostles there till the eve of the second missionary journey. St Paul, however, declined to accept the cousin of Barnabas as a companion in another voyage to Asia Minor, and Mark consequently set out with Barnabas alone. Whilst Paul went by land through the Cilician Gates, Barnabas sailed with Mark to Cyprus. In the first soreness of the separation each turned to the home of his family. Barnabas was Kúmpıos т̣̂̂ $\gamma \dot{\jmath} \nu \in \iota$, for Levite though he was, he belonged to a Hellenistic family which had settled in the island (Acts iv. 36), and Mark was also probably a Cypriot Jew on one side ${ }^{1}$. Unfortunately the author of the Acts leaves the two men at this point, and there is no early or even moderately trustworthy tradition to carry on the thread of Mark's story. The Acts of Barnabas ( $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ o \delta o \iota ~ B a p v a ́ \beta a$ ), a work ascribed to St Mark, but of the fourth, or, in its present form, the fifth century, represents the Apostle as suffering martyrdom in Cyprus, and adds that after his death Mark set sail for Egypt, and evangelised Alexandria. The book as a whole is quite unworthy of credit, but it is not improbable that Mark proceeded from Cyprus to Egypt, whether in company with Barnabas or after his death.

Barnabas was still alive and at work when St Paul wrote i Cor.

i.e. in A.D. 57, or according to Harnack 52-3. In the Clementine Homilies Barnabas is represented as doing evangelistic work in Egypt (i. 9 \&c.). McGiffert conjectures, but without probability, that B. was the author of 1 Peter, which with Ramsay he places in the reign of Domitian (Hist. of Christianity in the Apostolic age, p. 597 ff.).

A widespread series of traditions connects St Mark with the foundation of the Alexandrian Church ${ }^{2}$. According to Eusebius, whose statement is possibly based on Julius Africanus or an older authority ${ }^{3}$, his first successor in the care of that Church was appointed in Nero's eighth year, i.e. A.D. 6I-2. If the date

[^9][^10]is approximatcly correct, it may be that of the departure of Mark from Alexandria after the completion of his mission there. Such a hypothesis helps to account for part at least of the long interval between Mark's separation from St Paul and his reappearance in St Paul's company at Rome.

The following are the chief early authorities: Eus. H.E. ii. 16




 itaque evangelio quod ipse confecerat perrexit Aegyptum...mortuus est autem octavo Neronis anno et sepultus Alexandriae



 "Alexandriae natalis b. Marci evangelistae... Alexandriae S. Aniani episcopi qui b. Marci discipulus eiusque in episcopatu successor... quievit in Domino."

We have assumed the identity of John Mark of the Acts with Mark of the Pauline Epistles. It is placed beyond reasonable doubt by Col. iv. Io, where St Paul refers in one sentence to the relationship which existed between Mark and Barnabas, and the hesitation which the Colossians would naturally feel as to receiving the man who had forsaken the Apostles on occasion of their first

 had thought of visiting the Churches of the Lycus valley some time before the writing of the Colossian letter, perhaps when he was on the point of leaving Cyprus; and St Paul had on that occasion sent orders to Colossae that he was to be received. There is nothing to shew that the visit took place; if our hypothesis is correct, it was abandoned for the mission to Egypt. The latter was now at an end, and Mark had proceeded to Rome.

[^11]from the imperial city." But it is explained as easily by the constant communication between the two cities.
${ }_{2}$ See Lightfoot ad loc.; for $\delta \epsilon \xi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ comp. Mc. vi. 10, ix. 37, and Didache c. 11 .

There, perhaps to his surprise, he found St Paul a prisoner. A complete reconciliation took place, and the $\dot{u} \pi \eta p \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ s of the first missionary journey became the ouvepyós of the Roman imprisonment (Col. iv. ir, Philem. 24). The fact is the more remarkable, because of all the Jewish Christians in Rome at this time only three were loyal to St PauI, Aristarchus, Jesus Justus, and Mark; his other colleagues, Epaphras, Demas, Luke, were Gentiles. The Apostle's grief was alleviated by the ministry of his Jewish friends ( $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \quad \mu o \iota \pi a \rho \eta \gamma o \rho i a$ ), and especially no doubt by the revival of his old association with Mark. After this Mark seems to have returned to the East, for in 2 Tim. iv. in, Timothy, who is apparently at Ephesus (cf. v. I9), is directed to "pick up
 The reason which is given assigns to Mark his precise place in the history of the Apostolic age; he was $\epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta \iota a \kappa o \nu i ́ a \nu . ~$ Not endowed with gifts of leadership, neither prophet nor teacher, he knew how to be invaluable to those who filled the first rank in the service of the Church, and proved himself a true servus servorum Dei.

Mark's early history had connected him with St Peter, and it is therefore no surprise to find him described by St Peter ( I Pet. v. I3) as his 'son ${ }^{2}$.' The Apostle who had been most prominent in the beginnings of the Church of Jerusalem must have known Mary and her son John from the time of their baptism, and may have been the instrument of their conversion. Yet $\delta$ viós $\mu o v$ does not involve spiritual relationship of this kind, which is more naturally expressed, as in the Pauline Epistles, by тéкдò (cf. I Cor. iv. 17, Phil. ii. 22, Philem. 10, I Tim. i. 2, 18, 2 Tim. i. 2, ii. r, Tit. i. 4). Rather it is the affectionate designation

[^12][^13]of a former pupil, who as a young disciple must often have sat at his feet to be catechised and taught the way of the Lord, and who had come to look upon his mother's old friend and teacher as a second father, and to render to him the offices of filial piety.

But the Mark of I Peter is not merely described as St Peter's son; he is represented as being with that Apostle at Rome ${ }^{1}$.
 Mapкos ó viós $\mu$ оv. 'Babylon' has been identified with (r) the city on the Euphrates, (2) a fortress in Egypt now Old Cairos, (3) Rome. The evidence in favour of the last is summarised by Lightioot, Clement, ii. p. 492, Salmon, Introduction to the N.T. ${ }^{7}$, p. 439 ff., and Hort, First Epistle of St Peter, p. 5 f.; the first and second identifications are without ancient authority, and beset with difficulties. Blass (Philology of the Gospels, p. 27 ff.) regards St Peter as having proceeded to Babylon from Antioch (Gal. ii. iI) shortly after A.D. 46. But apart from Strabo's statement that Babylon was at this time a desert, which Blass seeks to ninimise, the facts which Josephus (ant. xwiii. 9 sqq.) relates as to the condition of the Jews in Babylonia render this hypothesis highly improbable.
According to the constant and probably true tradition which brings St Peter to Rome, that Apostle suffered martyrdom there in the time of Nero and at the same time as St Paul (Dionysius of Corinth ap. Eus. ii. 25 é $\mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ $\chi$ póvov). "The expression (as Lightfoot urges, Clement, ii. p. 499) must not be too rigorously pressed, even if the testimony of a Corinthian could be accepted as regards the belief in Rome," or, we may add, the testimony of a bishop who lived in the latter half of the second century as regards matters of fact which belong to the history of the first. Lightfoot himself placed the martyrdom of St Peter in A.D. 64, and that of St Paul in A.D. 67; but if the two martyrdoms may be dissociated, it is open to consideration whether St Paul's was not the earlier.

Harnack ${ }^{3}$, who holds that the two Apostles suffered together in


[^14][^15]
#### Abstract

  Clement do not necessarily imply that the Apostles and the modì $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os suffered at the same time, or that the martyrdom of the Apostles took place at the first outbreak of the persecution. Nor does the fact that St Peter was believed to have been buried in the Vatican amount to a proof that he was among the first sufferers. Early as the tradition is (cf. Eus. H.E. ii. 25), it may rest upon inference only.


An examination of i Peter supplies more than one reason for belicving the Epistle to have been written subsequently to St Paul's death. (I) It is addressed to the Christian communities of Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia, some of which were distinctly Pauline Churches and had received letters from St Paul during his imprisonment. It was transmitted to them by the hands of Silvanus, a well-known colleague of St Paul. It contains reminiscences of two of St Paul's writings, the Epistle to the Romans and the Epistle to the Ephesians ${ }^{1}$. The conclusion can scarcely be avoided that at the time when it was written St Paul had finished his course. The care of the Churches had fallen on St Peter; the two oldest associates of St Paul had transferred their services to the surviving Apostle; both had originally been members of the Church of Jerusalem, and, when the attraction of the stronger personality had been withdrawn, both had returned to their early leader. St Peter on his part is careful to shew by the character of his letter and by his selection of colleagues that he has no other end than to take up and carry on the work of St Paul. (2) Further, it has been pointed out by Professor Ramsay that i Peter contemplates a state of things in Asia Minor which did not exist before A.D. 64, and was hardly realised before the middle of the eighth decade of the century ${ }^{2}$. Reasons have been advanced for hesitating to push the year of St Peter's death so far forwards as 75 , or beyond $70^{3}$; but even 68 , the last year
martyrdom of St Peter took place in A.D. 64 is also maintained by Chase (Hastings, D. B. iii. 777 f.) ; of. Zahn, Einleitung, ii. p. rg.
${ }^{1}$ Sanday and Headlam, Romans, p. lxxiv. ff.; Hort, Romans and Ephesicins,

[^16]of Nero's reign, will leave time for a considerable interval during which Mark may have ministered to St Peter at Rome.

Of the services rendered by Mark to Barnabas or to St Paul the tradition of the Church preserves but the faintest traces; in post-canonical Christian writings his name is persistently associated with St Peter.



 perhaps been influenced by the order of the Gospels with which he was familiar; and the latter seems in this passage to have strangely confused St Mark with St Luke (see Duncker's note ad loc.).
3. One of the oldest and most trustworthy of Christian traditions represents Mark as St Peter's interpreter, and as the author of a collection of memoirs which gave the substance of St Peter's teaching.

The chief authorities are as follows: (1) Asiatic and Western.










 Petri initium evangelicae conscriptionis fecit sic." Fragm. Murat. ad init. "[Marcus...(?) ali]quibus tamen interfuit et ita posuit ${ }^{2}$." Tertullian adv. Marc. iv. 5 "licet et Marcus quod edidit Petri affirmetur, cuius interpres Marcus." (2) Alexandrian. Clement,








[^17]chen Kanons, i. p. 87 If f.; Link, in Studien u. Kritiken, $1896,3$.

2 Comp. Lightfoot, S. R., p. 205 ff.; Zahn, op. cit., ii. p. I4 ff.
iotopíar．）Adumbr．in 1 Petr．v．13：＂Marcus Petri sectator
palam praedicante Petro evangelium Romae coram quibusdam
Caesareanis equitibus et multa Christi testimonia proferente，
petitus ab eis ut possent quae dicebantur memoriae commendare，
scripsit ex his quae Petro dicta sunt evangelium quod secundum
Marcum vocitatur．＂Origen ap．Eus．vi． 25 伦
тоиク́баvтa．Jerome gathers up the substance of the traditions
recorded by Papias and Clement（de virr．ill．8）；but elsewhere
he follows Origen（see p．xxi）．

It will be observed that while the two lines of tradition have much in common，they are by no means identical，and probably depend on sources partly or wholly distinct．The Asiatic tradition goes behind St Mark＇s work as an Evangelist，and describes the nature of his services to St Peter．He had been the Apostle＇s interpreter．According to its usual meaning in later Greek，the $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \eta$＇s is the secretary or dragoman who translates his master＇s words into a foreign tongue ${ }^{1}$ ．

Thus when Joseph as an Egyptian prince communicates with his brethren from Palestine he uses the services of an interpreter
 that the gift of tongues shall not be exercised in Christian assemblies unless there be an interpreter at hand（r Cor．xiv． 28


Now John Mark had enjoyed opportunities of becoming a serviceable interpreter to an Aramaic－speaking Jew．As a resident in Jerusalem he was familiar with Aramaic；as a Jew who on one side at least was of Hellenistic descent，he could doubtless make himself understood in Greek．His Graeco－Latin surname implies something more than this；he had probably acquired in Jerusalem the power of reading and writing the Greek which passed current in Judæa and among Hellenistic Jews．Simon Peter on the other hand，if he could express himself in Greek at all，could scarcely have possessed sufficient knowledge of the language to address a Roman congregation with success．In the phrase $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon v \tau \dot{\eta} s$

[^18] during St Peter's residence in the city ${ }^{1}$.

The traditions differ also as to some important points connected with the origin of the Gospel. Papias suggests and Irenacus expressly says that it was written after St Peter's death; Clement of Alexandria on the other hand states that the Apostle knew and permitted or even approved the enterprise. He adds that Mark wrote at the request of the Roman hearers of St Peter; but this feature in the story bears a suspicious resemblance to the account which the Muratorian fragment gives and Clement repeats in reference to the Gospel of St John. On the whole, notwithstanding St Mark's Alexandrian connexion, the Alexandrian tradition appears to be less worthy of credit than the Asiatic. Clement indeed attributes it to "the elders of olden time" ( $\pi a \rho a a^{-}$
 Pantaenus and others before him. But it must have passed through several hands before it reached Clement, whereas the statement of Papias came from a contemporary of St Mark ${ }^{2}$.

John the presbyter, on whose witness Papias relies, describes the character of St Mark's work with much precision. It was not an orderly or a complete account of the Lord's words or works. Mark had no opportunity of collecting materials for such a history, for he had not been a personal follower of Christ, and depended upon his recollections of St Peter's teaching; and that teaching was not systematic, but intended to meet the practical requirements of the Church. On the other hand there was no lack of industry or of accuracy on the part of the Evangelist; he was careful to omit nothing that he had heard and could recall, and in what he recorded he kept strictly to the facts. It will be observed that John does not describe St Mark's work as a 'Gospel.'

[^19][^20]It was a record of St Peter's teaching or preaching ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta_{\iota} \delta a \sigma-$ $\kappa a \lambda i ́ a \varrho, ~ c f . ~ I r e n . ~ l . c . ~ \tau a ̀ ~ v i m o ̀ ~ П e ́ t \rho o v ~ к \eta \rho v \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu є \nu a) . ~ Y e t ~ i t ~ w a s ~$ certainly limited to the Apostle's reminiscences of the ministry of Christ ( $\tau \grave{a}$ viтò $\tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \ddot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \chi \chi^{\theta} \nu \nu \tau a \hat{\eta} \pi \rho a \chi \theta^{\theta \prime} \nu \tau a$ ), and thus in its general scope answered precisely to the book which was
 the story exaggerate St Peter's part in the production. Even Origen seems to represent the Apostle as having personally con-
 (ad Hedib.) says that the Gospel of St Mark was written "Petro narrante et illo scribente."

The subscriptions which are appended to St Mark's Gospel in certain cursive mss. enter into further details, e.g. 293



 recognise Mark's authorship without mention of St Peter: e.g. "explicit evangelium secundum Marcum" (Latin Vulgate); cr-


 (Peshitta; similarly Harclean). The last of these seems to be an attempt to combine the Papias tradition with the ordinary attribution to Mark; the Gospel is a record of preaching at Rome, but the preaching is Mark's and not St Peter's.
4. One personal reminiscence of St Mark survives in a few authorities of Western origin. According to Hippolytus (Philos. vii. 30) he was known as $\delta$ кодоßобáктидos, and the epithet is repeated and explained in the Latin prefaces to the Gospel. A Spanish Ms. of the Vulgate, cod. Toletanus (saec. viil), says: "colobodactilus est nominatus ideo quod a cetera corporis proceritatem (sic) digitos minores habuisset ${ }^{1 "}$; whilst the ordinary Vulgate preface states that the Evangelist after his conversion amputated one of his fingers in order to disqualify himself for the duties of the Jewish priesthood ("amputasse sibi post fidem pollicem dicitur ut sacerdotio reprobus haberetur"). The explanation is ingenious,

[^21]but it is evidently based upon the conjecture that Mark, like Barnabas, belonged to the tribe of Levi. An attempt was made by Dr Tregelles ${ }^{x}$ to shew that the word is used by Hippolytus as an equivalent for 'deserter,' in reference to Mark's departure from Perga. But this account of the matter can hardly be regarded as satisfactory; it is far-fetched at the best; and so offensive a nickname is not likely to have attached itself to the Evangelist in Roman circles, where he was known as St Paul's faithful colleague. The word itself determines nothing as to the cause of the defect, or its extent; it may have been congenital, or due to accident; it may have affected both hands or all the fingers of one hand or one finger only ${ }^{2}$. The preface in cod. Toletanus seems to ascribe it to a natural cause. No authority can be allowed to a document of this kind, but the statement is not in itself improbable; at all events there seems to be no reason for setting aside the literal meaning of the word, or for doubting that it describes a personal peculiarity which had impressed itself on the memory of the Roman Church. Such a defect, to whatever cause it was due, may have helped to mould the course of John Mark's life; by closing against him a more ambitious career, it may have turned his thoughts to those secondary ministries by which he has rendered enduring service to the Church.

Kodoßos is either ( r ) of stunted growth, or ( 2 ) mutilated. Both senses occur when the word is used as part of a compound; the
 latter in кодоßóкєркоs (Lev. xxii. 23 Lxx., where it is coupled with



As to the time and manner of St Mark's death we have no trustworthy information. Jerome, as we have seen, fixes his death in the eighth year of Nero, at Alexandria; but the statement seems to be merely an unsound inference from the Eusebian date for the succession of Annianus. The Paschal Chronicle assigns to Mark the crown of martyrdom ${ }^{3}$, but the story cannot be

[^22][^23]traced back further than the fourth or fifth century, when it is found in the Acts of Mark, an apocryphon of Alexandrian origin ${ }^{1}$; the particulars as they were elaborated at a later time may be seen in Nicephorus, or in the Sarum lections for his festival ${ }^{2}$. No reference is made to the fact in the prefaces to the Vulgate, or by Jerome, though he relates that Mark was buried at Alexandrias.
 $\rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
${ }_{1}$ See Lipsius, Apostelgesch. ii. 2, p. 32 Iff.
${ }_{2}$ Niceph. Call. H. E. ii. 43 tis тì $\nu$







 Procter and Wordsworth, Sanctorale, col. 262 f . The day of his martyrdom was Pharmouthi 30 in the Egyptian Kalendar, and virr Kal. Mai=Apr. 28 in the Roman (Lipsius, op. cit., p. 335).

3 For the traditional connexion of St ,

Mark with the Church of Aquilein and the translation of his body to Venice see the Acta Sanctorum (Apr. 25), and as to the latter point cf. Tillemont, Mémoires, ii. pp. 98 f., 513 ; Lipsius, op. cit., p. 346 ff. On the mission to Aquileia Ado of Vienne ( $\dagger 874$ ) writes (Chron. vi., Migne P. L. cxxiri. col. 78): "Marcus evangelista, evangelium quod Romae scripserat Petro mittente primum Aquileiae praedicavit, itaque...ad Aegyptum pervenit." The extension of the older story (Eus. H. E. ii. 16) in this passage is instructive. The mosaic at St Mark's, Venice, which represents the remoral of the Evangelist's body is described by Ruskin, St Mark's Rest, p. 10 gff ; for his account of St Mark's see Stones of Venice, ii. p. 56 ff.

## II.

## HISTORY OF THE GOSPEL IN THE EARLY CHURCH.

I. A work which was ascribed by contemporaries to a disciple and interpreter of St Peter, and believed to consist of carefully registered reminiscences of the Apostle's teaching, might have been expected to find a prompt and wide circulation in Christian communities, especially at Rome and in the West, where it is said to have been written. Yet the letter addressed to the Corinthian Church by Clement of Rome, c. A.D. 95, contains no certain reference to the Gospel according to St Mark, although it quotes sayings which bear a close affinity to the Synoptic record.

 Mc. iv. 28, 29 ; but the passage in Clement is part of a quotation (cf. ypaфŋ̀...öтov $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \varepsilon$ ) which occurs again in Ps.-Clem. 2 Cor. II and appears to be derived from some Christian apocryphon (cf. Lightfoct ad loc.), so that the reference, if there be any, is

 which are nearer to Mc. vii. 6 than to the Lxx., but the quotation is given by Mt. in an almost identical form, and Clement (cod. A) differs from both Evangelists and from the cxx., writing án $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ for áméxel. The passage had probably (Hatch, Essays, p. 177 f.) been detached from its context and abbreviated by some compiler of testimonia before the middle of the first century, and, if so, no argument can be built upon the general coincidence of the form used by Clem. with that which appears in Mc. Ib. I Cor. 46, ovai
 with Mc. xiv. 21, but still more exactly with Mt. xxvi. 24, and may have been cited from a pre-evangelical tradition.
The same may be said of the writings of Ignatius, Polycarp, and Barnabas. Bishop Westcott, after a careful examination, arrives at the conclusion that "no Evangelic reference in the

Apostolic Fathers can be referred certainly to a written record ${ }^{1}$." Yet these writers with Clement represent the chief centres of both East and West-Rome, Antioch, Smyrna, and perhaps Alexandria. If we add other documents of the same periodthe Didacke, the so-called second Epistle of Clement, the Epistle to Diognetus, the martyrdom of Polycarp, the fragments of Papias and the Elders-the general result will not be different ${ }^{2}$. On the other hand the Shepherd, which is the next document emanating from the Roman Church, and cannot be placed later than A.D. 156 , while it may possibly belong to the first years of the second century, seems clearly to shew the influence of the second Gospel.




 (cf. Mc. iii. 29). On the general question as to the use of our four Gospels by Hermas see Dr C. Taylor, Witness of Hermas, p. 5 ff.

In Justin, again, we have an echo of Christian opinion at Rome, and though the point is open to dispute, there is ground for believing that he not only refers to the second Gospel, but identifies it with the " memoirs of Peter."




 $\epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\delta}^{\prime} \theta \eta$. It is clear from this that Justin knew certain 'Atourm-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu v i o i ~ \beta \rho o v \tau \hat{\eta} s$, or their substance. But the actual words occur in Mc. iii. I7, and in no other evangelical record ${ }^{3}$. The assumption that they were borrowed not from our second Gospel but from Pseudo-Peter appears to be arbitrary, notwithstanding the support of some great names (Harnack, Bruckstücke d. E'v. d. Petrus, p. 37 ff., and Sanday, Inspiration, p. 310). A second reference to Mc. has been found in Dial. 88 тє́ктоvos voцı乌оиє́vov
${ }^{1}$ Canan of the N. $T^{6}$, p. 63 .
2 Ignatins has (Eph. 16 ) the Marcan
 $12=$ Lc. iii. 17 ; all the passages rest on Isa. lxvi. 24. In Polyc. Philipp. 5 (rô̂ кupiov os é $\gamma \in \nu \in \tau 0$ diáкovos $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu)$ there is

[^24](Mc. vi. 3); other passages might be quoted, but they relate to contexts which are common to Mc. and Mt. or Lc., or to the non-Marcan verses xvi. $9-20$ (see Intr. § xi.).
Meanwhile the Gospel was known and used by more than one of the earlier Gnostic sects, and in other heretical circles both in East and West.

Thus Heracleon (ap. Clem. Al. strom. iv. 72 ) in a catena of extracts from the Synoptic Gospels cites Mc. viii. 38 ; cf. Zahn, Gesch. d. NTlichen Kanons, i. p. 741 f. Irenaeus (i. 3. 3) refers to the use of Mc. v. 3 I by a Valentinian school, and Mc. i. 13 is distinctly quoted by the Eastern Valentinians, Clem. exc. 85
 $\left.\tau \underset{\eta}{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \eta^{\prime} \mu \omega\right)$. A Docetic sect mentioned by Irenaeus manifested a preference for the Second Gospel (iii. ir. 7 "qui autem Tesum separant a Christo et impassibilem perseverasse Christum passum autem Iesum dicunt, id quod secundum Marcum est praeferentes evangelium "). But a mistake may perhaps lurk in this statement. Basilides, we know (Clem. strom. vii. 17), professed to have received instruction from one Glaucias, who is styled an interpreter of Peter. If this Gnostic rival of St Mark wrote a Gospel, it is possible that the words of Irenaeus refer to the Gnostic Gospel, and not to the true St Mark. In Pseudo-Peter there are distinct indications of the use of St Mark (Ahhmim Fragment, p. xl.). The Ebionite Clementine Homilies also shew an acquaintance

 29 in hom. iii. 5 I is less certain, but probable (cf. Sanday, Gospels in the second century, p. 177 f.). Hippolytus ( $p$ hil. vii. 30) strangely represents St Mark's Gospel as forming part of the canon of Marcion ${ }^{1}$. But apart from Marcion the Second Gospel seems to have found no opponents in early Christian communities, heretical or catholic.

The early circulation of St Mark's Gospel is further attested by its place among the primary Gospels, which were regarded, perhaps before the middle of the second century, as a sacred quaternion.






 conception of a $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \mu о \rho \phi о \nu$ є $\boldsymbol{v} a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \iota o \nu$ does not seem to have

[^25]originated with the Bp of Lyons. Dr C. Taylor (Witness of Hermas, i. passim) with much probability traces it to Hermas, i.e. to the generation before Irenaeus. Between Hermas and Irenaeus we have the witness of Tatian, whose Diatessaron reveals the fact that the four Gospels which had received general recognition were none other than those of the present canon, Moreover there is reason to believe (J. R. Harris, Diatessaron, p. 56) that Tatian's Harmony was not the first attempt of its kind; certainly the harmonising of portions of the Synoptic narrative appears to lave begun before his time.

If it be asked why St Mark's Gospel took its place among the four, the answer must be that in the belief of the post-Apostolic Church it was identified with the teaching of St Peter. It did not appeal in any special manner to the interests of the Ancient Church, or, like the first and fourth of our Gospels, bear an Apostolic name. It was saved from exclusion, and perhaps from oblivion, by the connexion of its writer with St Peter. Thus its position in the primitive canon bears witness to a general and early conviction that it was the genuine work of the interpres Petri.

In Irenaeus the identification of the work of St Mark with the Second Gospel is formal and complete. The great Bishop of Lyons is "the first extant writer in whom, from the nature of his work, we have a right to expect explicit information on the subject of the Canon ${ }^{1}$," and he does not disappoint our expectations here. He quotes our Gospel repeatedly, he quotes it as St Mark's, and he declares the author to have been St Peter's disciple and interpreter.

Tren. iii. 1о. 6 "Marcus interpres et sectator Petri initium evangelicae conscriptionis fecit sic: initium evangelii Iesu Christi fllii Dei," etc. (Mc. i. 1-3). Elsewhere Irenaeus quotes verbatim Mc. i. 24 (iv. 6. 6), v. 3 I (i. 3. 3), 4r, 43 (v. 13. I), viii. 3 (iii. 16. 5), $3^{8}$ (iii. 18. 6), ix. 23 (iv. 37. 5), 44 (ii. $3^{2}$. I), x. 38 (i. 2 I. 3), xiii. 32 (ii. 28. 6), xvi. 19 (iii. Io. 6). The last of these passages shews that the Gospel as he possessed it included the supplementary verses, and that he attributed the whole to Mark: "in tine autem evangelii ait Marcus Et quidem Dominus Iesus, postquam locutus est eis, receptus est in coelum, et sedet ad dexteram $D e i . "$

[^26]The century ends with the witness of an anonymous Roman writer, the author of the so-called Muratorian fragment, and that of Tertullian, who represents the belief of tho daughter Church of Carthage.

The Muratorian writer recognised four Gospels ("tertio secundum Lucam...quarti evangeliorum Tohannis"), and the single line which is all that remains of his account of St Matthew and St Mark doubcless refers to St Mark. The words are quibus tamen interfuit et ita posuit. Quibus may be regarded as the second half of aliquibus, the first two syllables having perished with the preceding leaf of the ms., or quibus tamen may represent ois $\delta \stackrel{c}{c}$ in the Greek original ${ }^{1}$. The sentence cannot mean that St Mark was on certain occasions a personal attendant on our Lord, as the next sentence ("Lucas...Dominum...nec ipse vidit in carne" $)^{2}$ clearly shews, and must therefore refer to St Peter's teaching ${ }^{3}$, which Mark reported carefully so far as he had opportunity. This may be either a reminiscence of the words of
 vevocu), or part of an independent Roman tradition. In either case it is important as evidence of Roman opinion at the end of the second century.

Tertullian's belief is clearly shewn in adv. Marc. iv. 2, 5 "nobis fidem ex apostolis Ioannes et Matthaeus insinuant, ex apostolicis Lucas et Marcus instaurant...licet et Marcus quod edidit Petri affirmetur, cuius interpres Marcus." His references to Mark are few, but some of them at least admit of no doubt; they will be found in Rönsch, d. N. T. Tertullians, p. 148 ff .

From the end of the second century the literary history of St Mark is merged in that of the canon of the Four Gospels. The Gospel according to Mark holds its place in all ancient versions of the New Testament and in all early lists of the canon. No voice was raised against its acceptance; East and West, Catholics and heretics, tacitly recognised its authority. The evidence comes from all the great centres of Christian life; from Edessa and Antioch, from Jerusalem and Asia Minor, from Alexandria and the banks of the Nile, as well as from Rome, Carthage, and Gaul.

The Gospel according to St Mark was contained in the Old Syriac version (it appears in both the Curetonian and Sinaitic

[^27][^28]texts), in the Egyptian versions, both Bohairic and Sahidic, and in the oldest forms of the Old Latin. It finds a place in all the catalogues which enumerate the Gospels, both Eastern and Western (see Westcott, Canon, app. D ; Preuschen, Analecta, p. 138 ff.).
2. But while no doubts are expressed by any early writer as to the genuineness of St Mark, it cannot be denied that the Gospel received comparatively little attention from the theologians of the ancient Church. This relative neglect is noticeable from the very first. It has been pointed out that with the exception of Hermas the Apostolic fathers contain no clear reference to St Mark, and that their quotations as a whole are in closer agreement with the first Gospel than with the second ${ }^{1}$. But it is doubtful whether the earliest post-apostolic writers of the Church made use of written Gospels at all. Papias expresses the general feeling of the age which succeeded the Apostles when he records his preference for "the living voice," i.e. the oral testimony of the elders who yet survived from the first generation; even the Memoirs of St Peter would not be widely used so long as the stream of oral tradition continued to flow. This consideration may serve to account for the absence of quotations from St Mark in such writers as Clement of Rome and Ignatius of Antioch. It is less easy to explain the apparent neglect of this Gospel long after it had taken its place in every Greek codex of the Gospels and in every version of the New Testament. The commentator known as Victor of Antioch, a compiler whose date is certainly not earlier than the fifth century, complains that, while St Matthew and St John had received the attention of a number of expositors, and St Luke also had attracted a few, his utmost efforts had failed to detect a single commentary upon St Mark.






[^29]The cause is doubtless partly to be sought in the prestige attaching to the first Gospel, which was regarded as the immediate work of an Apostle, and the greater fulness of both St Matthew and St Luke. St Mark offered, after all, merely a disciple's recollections of his master's teaching. There was little in St Mark which was not to be found in St Matthew or St Luke, or in both. Moreover, St Mark was belicved even by Irenaeus to have been written after St Matthew; and from this view men passed by easy steps to the conclusion that the second Gospel was a mere abridgement of the first.



 quatuor evangelistae...hoe ordine scripsisse perhibentur: primum Matthaeus, deinde Marcus...Marcus eum subsecutus tanquam pedissequus et breviator eius videtur. cum solo quippe Ioanne nihil dixit, solus ipse perpauca, cum solo Luca pauciora, cum Matthaeo vero plurima et multa paene totidem atque ipsis verbis sive cum solo sive cum ceteris consonante."

Such an estimate of St Mark was sufficient to counterbalance the weight which was attached to this Gospel as the work of St Peter's interpreter.

Something may be learnt as to the relative importance of the Gospels in the judgement of the Ancient Church from the order in which they are placed in catalogues and mss. The two principal groupings are as follows:
(1) Mt. Mc. Lc. Jo. (or Mt. Mc. Jo. Lc.);
(2) Mt. Jo. Lc. Mc. (or Jo. Mt. Lc. Mc., or Jo. Mt. Mc. Lc., or Mt. Jo. Mc. Lc. ${ }^{1}$ ).
The first is that of nearly all the Greek mss. and of the great majority of the catalogues and ecclesiastical writers, and in its secondary form it appears in the Curetonian ms. of the Old Syriac, and in the Cheltenham list. The second is the order of

[^30]the Gospels in Codex Bezae and one Greek cursive, in certain Old Latin mss. (a b efff q r), the Gothic version and the Apostolical Constitutions, in the Latin stichometry of Codex Claromontanus, in Tertulian, and in the vocabularies of the Egyptian versions. Each of these groupings rests upon an intelligible principle. The second, which embodies the original order of the West (cf. Tert. adv. Marc. l.c.), places in the first pair the Gospels which were ascribed to Apostles, and after them those which were the work of followers of the Apostles. The first, which ultimately prevailed in the West as well as in the East, arranges the four according to the supposed ordo scribendi ${ }^{1}$. In both the relative inferiority of St Mark is apparent; in (1) he follows Mt. as his pedissequus; in (2) he is preceded not only by the two Apostles, but usually also by St Luke. The two exceptions are probably due to a mixture of (2) with (I); the scribe began with the Western order, but when he reached the apostolici, he reverted to the customary arrangement, in which Mark precedes Luke according to the order of time ${ }^{2}$.

Another indication of the attitude of the ancient Church towards the Gospel of St Mark is to be found in the distribution of the evangelical symbols among the Four Evangelists. From the time of Irenaeus the four Gospels were associated in Christian thought with the four Cherubim of Ezekiel, and the corresponding $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$ of the Apocalypse. Irenaeus (iii. iI. 8) quotes the Apocalypse only, but he calls the living creatures Cherubim,
 $\left.\chi \in \rho o v \beta \in i \mu, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \dot{a} \nu \eta \theta_{l}\right)$. It is the Eternal Word, he says, Who sits upon the Cherubim, and their four aspects represent His fourfold manuer of operation ( $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon i ́ a, ~ d i s p o s i t i o)$; the lion answers to His royal office and sovereign authority and executive


[^31][^32]calf symbolises His sacrificial and priestly character; the human face, His coming in human nature; the flying eagle, the gift of the Spirit descending on His Church. The Gospels accordingly, which reflect the likeness of Christ, possess the same characteristics; St John sets forth the Lord's princely and glorious generation from the Father, St Luke emphasises His priestly work, St Matthew His human descent, St Mark His prophetic office:




 $\chi \alpha \rho a \kappa т \eta े \rho$ очтоs.
'Ihus Irenaeus, it is clear, regards the Eagle as the symbol of St Mark, whilst St Matthew, St Luke and St John are represented by the Man, the Calf, and the Lion respectively. This interpretation of the symbols is followed in the lines prefixed to the Gospel-paraphrase of Juvencus, according to which
"Marcus amat terras inter caelumque volare, Et vehemens aquila stricto secat omnia lapsu."
But the method by which it was reached is so arbitrary that later writers did not hesitate to rearrange them at discretion. Thus in the notes on the Apocalypse attributed to Victorinus of Pettau the Eagle is assigned to St John and the Lion to St Mark. Through the influence of Jerome this became the popular view, and impressed itself on mediaeval art, although it was based on grounds not more reasonable than those which led Irenaeus to the opposite conclusion.

Hieron. in Marcum tract. ad init. "in Marco leonem in hereno personat...qui in heremo personat utique leo est." Cf. Victorin. in Apoc. c. iv. (Migne, P.L. v. l.c.) "simile leoni animal Marcum designat in quo vox leonis in heremo rugientis auditur...Marcus itaque Evangelista sic incipiens...leouis habet effigiem."

Other arrangements were freely proposed. Thus in the PseudoAthanasian Synopsis ${ }^{1}$ Matthew is the man, Mark the calf, Luke

[^33]the lion, John the eagle. Augustine finds the lion in Matthew, the man in Mark, the calf in Luke, the eagle in John. He complains with justice of the puerility of deciding the character of a book from the opening sentences, and not from the general purpose and aim of the writer; and he justifies his assignment of the man to St Mark on the ground that the second Gospel sets forth the human life of Christ rather than His royal descent, or His priestly office.

De cons. evv. i. 9 "de principiis enim librorum quandam coniecturam capere voluerunt, non de tota intentione Evangelistarum... Marcus ergo, qui neque stirpem regiam neque sacerdotalem vel cognationem vel consecrationem narrare voluit et tamen in eis versatus ostenditur quae homo Christus operatus est, tantum hominis figura in illis quatuor animalibus significatus videtur."

A table will shew the extent of these variations ${ }^{2}$.

| Irenaeus. |  | Fictorinus. | Augustine. | Ps-Athanasius. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr. | Man | Man | Lion | Man |
| Mc. | Eagle | Lion | Man | Calf |
| Lc. | Calf | Calf | Calf | Lion |
| Jo. Lion | Eagle | Eagle | Eagle. |  |

It will be seen at a glance that while in three out of the four distributions St Matthew is the Man, St Luke the Calf, and St John the Eagle, to St Mark each of the symbols is assigned in turn. This fact illustrates with curious precision the difficulty which the ancient Church experienced in forming a definite judgement as to the place and office of his Gospel ${ }^{2}$. Irenaeus indeed has rightly seized upon the rapid movement of the narrative as one of its features, and Augustine calls attention to another and deeper characteristic, the interest which the writer shews in the humanity of the Lord. But it remained for a later age to realise and appreciate to the full the freshness and exactness of the first-hand report which has descended to us from the senior Apostle through the ministry of John Mark.

[^34]symbols in connexion with certain Irish mss. "in which, while the text followed the Vulgate order, the symbols adhered to that of the older versions,"

## III.

## PLACE AND TIME OF WRITING, AND ORIGINAL LANGUAGE.

I. According to the prevalent belief of the ancient Church St Mark wrote his Gospel in Rome and for the Roman Church. Chrysostom transfers the place of composition to Egypt, but ho is sufficiently refuted by the testimony of Clement of Alexandria and Origen.

For the Alexandrian evidence see p. xxii f. Chrysostom's words

 $\epsilon_{j}^{j} a \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{i o v}$, as the context shews). The error has possibly arisen from the statement of Eusebius (H. E. ii. гб), Mâpкоv $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau}$ о́v фа.бv
 cf. Jerome, de virr. ill. 8 "adsumpto itaque evangelio quod ipse confecerat perrexit Aegyptum." Epiphanius for once expresses him-

 Aizuntiev $\chi \omega \rho a v)$. The subseriptions to the Gospels vary; while the majority of those which fix upon a locality are in favour of Rome, others refer only to the preaching of the Gospel at Alexandria,

 synops. 76). Tischendorf mentions the subscription $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho{ }^{\prime} \dot{\phi} \phi \eta \ldots \hat{\varepsilon} v$ Aijúrte as found in certain mss. which he does not specify.
2. But if the Gospel was written at Rome or for the Church of Rome, at what time was it written? 'After the departure ( ${ }^{\text {es }} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ odo $\nu^{1}$ )

[^35]of St Peter and St Paul,' says Irenaeus; ' while St Peter was yet alive,' is the answer of the Alexandrians. The former is the more credible witness, whether we consider his relative nearness to the age of St Mark, or his opportunities of making himself acquainted with the traditions of Rome and Asia Minor.

According to the subscriptions of many of the later uncials and cursive Mss. of Mc., the Gospel was written in the tenth or twelfth year after the Ascension ${ }^{1}$. This computation is doubtless based on the tradition which represents Peter as taking up his abode in Rome in the second year of Claudius (Eus. H. E. ii. 14, Hieron. de virr. ill. I). If we dismiss this story, we are left free to adopt the terminus a quo fixed by Irenaeus and at least implied in the statement of Papias. It is more difficult to settle the terminus ad quem. As we have seen, Jerome's date for the death of St Mark (the 8th year of Nero) rests upon a mistake ${ }^{2}$. The Paschal Chronicle with greater probability places it in the reign of
 Barnabas in A.D. $47-8$ might have lived to see the last decade of the first century ${ }^{3}$. On the other hand an earlier date is suggested by the circumstances under which, if we accept the Alexandrian tradition, the Gospel was composed. The request for a written record of St Peter's teaching would naturally be made soon after the Apostle's dcath, while the Church was still keenly conscious of its loss. Thus we are led to think of A.D. $70^{4}$ as a probable limit of time, and this conclusion is to some extent confirmed by the internal evidence of the Gospel. The freshness of its colouring, the simplicity of its teaching, the absence of any indication that Jerusalem had already fallen when it was written, seem to point to a date earlier than the summer of A.D. 70.
3. It may be assumed that a Gospel written for Roman believers in the first century was composed in Greek. Even if Greek was not the predominant language of the capital, it certainly pre-

[^36][^37]vailed among the Roman Jews and the servile class from which the early Roman Church was largely recruited ${ }^{1}$. The Gospel of St Peter's interpreter, if of Roman origin, was doubtless written in the language which was employed by St Paul when he addressed the Christians of Rome, and by Clement when he wrote in the name of the Christians of Rome to the Church at Corinth. A Latin Gospel would have appealed to comparatively few of St Peter's Roman friends. Moreover it can scarcely be doubted that Greek and not Latin was the tongue into which St Mark had been accustomed to render St Peter's Aramaic discourses, whether at Jerusalem or at Rome. Bishop Lightfoot indeed maintains the opposite ${ }^{2}$, on the ground that the Apostle knew Greek enough to address a Greek-speaking people without the aid of an interpreter. But the scanty knowledge of colloquial Greek which sufficed the fisherman of Bethsaida Julias in his intercourse with Galileans, may well have proved inadequate for sustained discourses delivered at Rome. The occasions would have been few when the Apostle would have needed to use the Latin tongue, and it is at least uncertain whether Mark, a Jew probably born and brought up in Jerusalem, could have rendered him assistance here.

A few mss. (e.g. codd. 160, 161) in their subscriptions to St Mark support the view that the Gospel was originally composed in Latin,
 ${ }^{\text {'Pó }} \mu \eta$ ) suggests the origin of the mistake. The same error appears in the subscriptions to the Peshitta and Harclean Syriac (see p. xxvi); ; on the other hand the preface to the Latin Vulgate is content to say, "evangelium in Italia (or "in Italiae partibus") scripsit." Yet it was once believed that the autograph of St Mark existed in a ms. of the Latin Vulgate at Venice (Simon, hist. critique ii. p. II4, and Dobrowsky, Fragm. Pragense Ev. S. Marci vulgo autographi (Prague, 1778); cf. Gregory, prolegg. p. 185, ScrivenerMiller, ii. pp. 84, 259).

Professor Blass ${ }^{3}$ maintains that St Mark's Gospel was originally written in Aramaic, and that Papias, who knew the Gospel only in

[^38]```
Commentary on Romans, p. lii. ff.
    * Clement, ii. p. 494.
    3 Philology of the Gospels (1898), p.
Ig6 ff.
```

a Greek form, mistook a translation for the original. Blass supports his theory by two arguments: (I) "Luke in the first part of his Acts followed an author who had written in Aramaic. Mark is very likely to be the author who first published these stories; he seems therefore to be Luke's Aramaic authority. If Mark's Acts were written in Aramaic, his Gospel originally was written in Aramaic also." (2) "Secondly, the textual condition of St Mark's Gospel suggests the idea that there existed a plurality of versions of a common Aramaic original." It is difficult to take the first of these arguments very seriously. Granting that St Mark wrote a book of Acts in Aramaic, it is manifestly unsafe to infer that Aramaic was also the original language of his Gospel; for Mark was ex hypothesi bilingual, and he would use either Aramaic or Greek according to circumstances. The second argument is supported by examples which open an interesting field of enquiry, but cannot be regarded as supplying a secure basis for so large an inference. When he adds that the Aramaic words in St Mark are "relics of the original, preserved by the translation," Blass seems to overlook the fact that they are followed in almost every case by a rendering into Greek. A translator might have either translated the Aramaic or transliterated it; but transliteration followed by interpretation savours of an original writer.

But the theory of an Aramaic original has to meet a stronger objection. A translator may shew a partiality for certain words and constructions by employing them as often as the author gives him the opportunity. But an examination of St Mark's vocabulary and style reveals peculiarities of diction and colouring which cannot reasonably be explained in this way. Doubtless there is a sense in which the book is based upon Aramaic originals; it is in the main a reproduction of Aramaic teaching, behind which there probably lay oral or written sources, also Aramaic. But the Greek Gospel is manifestly not a mere translation of an Aramaic work. It bears on every page marks of the individuality of the author. If he wrote in Aramaic, he translated his book into Greek, and the translation which we possess is his
own. But such a conjecture is unnecessary, as well as at variance with the witness of Papias.

Blass's supposition that "Papias's presbyter knew of different Greek forms of Matthew besides the Hebrew (or Aramaic) original, but in the case of Mark, the interpreter of Peter, he knew only one Greek form of that Gospel, and nothing at all of an Aramaic original," imputes to this contemporary witness something worse than ignorance. It is evident that 'the presbyter' means to contrast the original work of St Mark with the many attempts which had been made to translate the $\lambda$ ória of St Matthew.

## IV.

## VOCABULARY, GRAMMAR, AND STYLE.

I. A complete vocabulary of $\mathrm{St} \mathrm{Mark}^{1}$ will be found at the end of this volume. It contains some 1330 distinct words, of which 60 are proper names. This is not the place to attempt a full analysis of the Greek of St Mark, but it may be useful to the student to have access to a few tables which will enable him to form some estimate of the relation in which St Mark's vocabulary stands to that of other writers in the New Testament.
i. Words in St Mark (excepting proper names) which occur in no other N.T. writing:
(Words in this list marked by an asterisk occur in the cxx. Thick type denotes that Mt. or Lc. uses another word in the same place. Transliterations peculiar to Mc. are distinguished by $\dagger$, and other words which appear to be $\tilde{a} \pi a \xi \tilde{\xi} \lambda \in \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu a$, by $\ddagger$.)

[^39]in the Index of Greek Words at the end of the volume.
ii. Words peculiar to St Mark and one or both of the other Synoptists:



































 єvvка入єîv (Lc.), $\sigma v r \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i v, \sigma v v \pi v i \gamma \epsilon \iota v, \sigma v v \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota(\mathrm{Lc}),. \sigma v v \sigma \pi a \rho a ́ \sigma-$ $\sigma \epsilon \iota v$ (Le.), $\sigma v v \tau \eta p \epsilon i v, ~ \sum v ́ \rho o s, ~ \sigma \phi v \rho i s, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \omega v ~(M t),. ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega ́ v \eta s, ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega ́ v i o v, ~$




## iii. Words peculiar to St Mark and St John's Gospel :




iv. Words peculiar to St Mark, one of the other Synoptists, and St John:



v. Words peculiar to St Mark and the Pauline Epistles (including Hebrews):




vi. Words peculiar to St Mark, one of the other Synoptists, and the Pauline writings :







 (Lc.), тара́д́обьs (Mt.), тараитєі́бӨає (Lc.), тара́ттшна (Mt.), тара-


 тoíntos (Lc.).
vii. Words peculiar to St Mark and the Catholic Epistles:
$\delta a \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota v$ (Jas.), $\delta \omega \rho \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ (2 Pet.).
viii. Words peculiar to St Mark, one other N.T. writer, and the Catholic Epistles:
 Jude), á $\sigma \in ́ \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota a$ (Paul, 1 Pet., 3 Jo.), $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (Jo., Jas.), $\lambda a i \lambda a \psi$
 $\chi^{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ (Lc., 1 Pet.), т $\boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\epsilon}_{\mu \varepsilon \iota \nu}$ (Lc., 2 Pet.).
ix. Words peculiar to St Mark and the Apocalypse, or to St Mark, the Apocalypse, and one other N.T. writer:

 фü入入ov (Mt.), $\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho o ́ s, ~ \chi o v ̂ s . ~}$
Such tables may easily be multiplied ${ }^{1}$ with the help of the index at the end of this volume and a good concordance. But
${ }^{1}$ For a good comparative table of the 'characteristic' words in Mc., see Hawkins, Hor. Syn., p. ro f .
those which are given above suffice to bring out certain features in St Mark's vocabulary. Of the 1270 distinct words (excluding proper names) which it contains, 80 are peculiar to St Mark, about I 50 are shared only by St Matthew and St Luke, and 100 more are among the less widely distributed words of the New Testament. This is not a large proportion of peculiar or unusual words. St Luke's Gospel has more than $250 \not \ddot{a}^{\prime} \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\beta} \mu \epsilon \nu a$, besides a large number of words common only to itself and the Pauline writings ${ }^{1}$. On the other hand the ä $\pi a \xi \lambda_{\ell \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon v a}$ of St Mark, if not relatively numerous, are often striking; while he has comparatively few of the compounds in which the later Greek delighted, we meet in his pages with such survivals as eitev,
 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa о u \lambda \dot{a} \tau \omega \rho$, and such transliterations as кор $\beta \dot{a} \nu, \tau a \lambda \epsilon \iota \theta \dot{a} \kappa о \dot{\jmath} \mu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \phi a \theta \dot{a}, \dot{\rho} a \beta \beta o \nu \nu \epsilon i ́$. If we might generalise from these features of St Mark's Greek as compared with the Greek of St Luke, we should be led to conclude that the writer was a foreigner who spoke Greek with some freedom, but had not been accustomed to employ it for literary purposes ${ }^{2}$. He is not at a loss for an unusual word when it is wanted to convey his meaning or give point to his narrative, but under ordinary circumstances he is comparatively limited in his choice, and he displays no familiarity with the habits of the Hellenistic writers of his age.
2. The Greek of St Mark's Gospel is characterised by peculiarities of construction and style which force themselves upon the attention of every student. A few of these may be particularly mentioned.
(a) Frequent use of $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i v a t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ with a participle: i. $6 \vec{\eta} \nu \ldots$ èvбєठข





[^40][^41]




(c) Use of article with infinitives and sentences: i. $14 \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ tò



(d) Frequent use of $\epsilon \dot{\dot{v}} \theta \boldsymbol{v} \varsigma^{\prime}$, which occurs 34 times in Mc. i.-ix. and 7 times in x .-xvi.


$(f)$ Use of broken or imperfect constructions, in cases of parenthesis (ii. 22, iii. $16-18$, vii. 19), or mixture (ii. 1, iv. 15, 26, $3^{\circ}-3$ I, vi. 8 , if, viii. 2, xiii. 34), or extreme compression (v. $3^{\circ}$, vi. 43 , viii. 8), or ellipse (x. 40 ).
(g) Constructio ad sensum : ix, 20 iồ̀v av̉ròv $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi v \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a$, xiii. 14



(i) Frequent use and careful discrimination of prepositions: e.g. i. 39 , ii. 1,2 , 10, 13 , iii. 8 , iv. 7 , 19, 2 I, vi. 5,6 , vii. 3 , 3 I, ix.
 xvi. 3 f. ${ }^{1}$
3. Such examples, however, give no just conception of St Mark's general style. The body of the work consists of a series of sentences connected by the simplest of Greek copulas, each contributing a fresh fact to the reader's knowledge, and each by its vivid and distinct presentation of the fact claiming his close attention. St Mark knows how to compress his matter, where a multitude of words would only weaken the effect, or where the scheme of his work forbids greater fulness; on the other hand, when words can heighten the colouring or give life to the picture, they are used without regard to brevity and with little attention to elegance.

[^42]asyrdeton(Hawkins, Hor.Syn., pp. 108 fi., II3 ff., I2o ff.); and ( $m$ ) disposition to employ pleonastic forms (Salmond, in Hastings, D.B. iii. p. 251).

For instances of compression see especially Mark's summaries of our Lord's teaching or of the comments of the hearers, e.g. i. 27 , ii. 7 , viii. 29, xii. $3^{8-40}$ (comp. Mt.). For his habit of adding word






 Under the same head may be placed the frequent instances in which a statement is made first in a positive and then in a negative form or the reverse (e.g. i. 22, ii. 27 , iii. 29, v. 19, x. 45).

Two other points, which the tables do not shew, deserve to be emphasised here: (I) the relatively frequent use of certain characteristic words; (2) the use of certain ordinary words in an uncommon and sometimes enigmatic sense.









Further, St Mark gives movement to his history by the remarkable freedom with which he handles his tenses.

Changes of tense occur (1) with a corresponding difference of


 (2) apparently for the purpose of giving life to a dialogue: ix.


Thus present, perfect, imperfect, aorist, are interchanged, not through ignorance of the laws of the Greek language, or with conscious artificiality, but from a keen sense of the reality and living interest of the facts. Sometimes the historical tenses are used almost exclusively throughout a paragraph (e.g. ii. 3IO, xv. 20-24); more frequently they alternate with the imperfect and aorist (e.g. iv. 35-4I, vi. $30-5 \mathrm{I}$ ). Even in indirect
narration the present and perfect are freely used (ii. $1, x v .44,47$, xvi. 4), when the writer desires to place the reader for the moment in the speaker's point of view. On the other hand St Mark frequently uses the imperfect in a sense which is scarcely distinguishable from the aorist, except that it conveys the impression of an eye-witness describing events which passed under his own eye (cf. e.g. v. 18, vii. 17, x. 17, xii. 4I, xiv. 55).

Much has been written as to a supposed tendency on the part of this writer to adopt Latin words and forms of speech. The
 ßaттоৎ, $\lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \iota \omega \prime} \nu, \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau \eta \varsigma, \sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa о \nu \lambda a ́ \tau \omega \rho$, and such a phrase as iккаעòv $\pi o t \epsilon i \nu$, lends a prima facie support to this view. But some of these Latinisms occur in other Gospels as well as in St Mark, and it may be doubted whether they prove more than a familiarity with the vulgar Greek of the Empire, which freely adopted Latin words and some Latin phraseology ${ }^{1}$. Nevertheless their relatively frequent occurrence in St Mark is one indication amongst others of his larger acquaintance with the Greek which was spoken in the Roman world, and it accords well with the tradition which represents the writer of this Gospel as a professional 'interpreter,' and as having resided for some years in Rome.

[^43]
## V.

CONTENTS, PLAN, AND SOURCES.
I. Attempts were made at an early time to break up the Gospels into sections corresponding more or less nearly to the nature of the contents. Besides the stichometry which measured the text by lines', and the 'Ammonian' sections which divided it in such a manner as to shew its relation to that of the other Gospels, there were systems of capitulation under which it was arranged in paragraphs for reading. Two such systems survive in cod. B and cod. A respectively. In the former, which is the more ancient ${ }^{2}$, St Mark is broken up into 62 sections as against 170 in St Matthew and 152 in St Luke; in the system represented by cod. $\mathrm{A}^{3}$ (the so-called $\kappa \in \phi$ á $\lambda a t a$ maiora or tít $\lambda o \iota$ ) St Mark has 48 sections, St Matthew 68, and St Luke $83^{4}$.

The following table will enable the student to compare the capitulation of codd. BA with the paragraphing adopted in the text of Westcott and Hort. Italics are used where two of the three systems coincide; where the three agree the verse-numbers are printed in thick type.
Cod. B
I. $I$
9 12
Cod. A
WH.
I. $I$
2
9 12
${ }^{1}$ For the pariations of the stichometry in St Mark see Studia Biblica, p. 268 f.; J. R. Harris, Stichometry, p. 49 ; $J . T h . S t$. i. p. 444 f., ii. p. 250 ; the majority of the subscriptions in mss. give 1600. The Ammonian sections fluctuate between 232 and 242 (Gregory, Prolegg., i. p. 152 f.; cf. Burgon, Last twelve verses, p. 3rof.). On the Church lessons in

St Mark see Gregory, p. i6z, ScrivenerMiller, p. 80 ff.
${ }^{2}$ Found also in cod. $z$.
${ }^{3}$ Found also in codd. $\mathrm{CNRZ}_{1}$ and possibly of Alexandrian origin; cf. $J$. Th. St., i. p. 419.
${ }^{4}$ Cod. D has a system peculiar to itself, in which Mc. is divided into $\mathrm{I}_{4} 8$ sections (Scrivener, Codex Bezae, p. xx.).

VI. $\mathrm{r} b$

VI. 7

14
34
47
VII. ${ }^{53}$
VII. I

17
24
VII. ${ }^{53}$
VI. i $6 b$ 14 30 45 24

Cod. B
Cod. A
WH.


The rídioc which precede the Gospel in cod. A give the contents of the successive chapters as follows ${ }^{1}$ :

























${ }^{1}$ For the variants of codd. $L \Delta$ see Tregelles, p. 486 f.; for the capitulation of cod. Amiatinus and other mss. of
the Latin Vulgate, cf. Wordsworth and White, p. 174 ; and for tables of Latin tituli, Thomasius, opera, i. p. 303 sqq.



The following conspectus shews the contents as they are arranged in the present edition.
I. I. Superscription.

2-8. Preparatory ministry of John the Baptist.
9-II. The Baptism.
12-13. The Temptation.
14-15. First preaching in Galilee.
16-20. Call of the first four disciples.
2I-28. Casting out of an unclean spirit in the synagogue at Capernaum.
29-3r. Healing of Simon's wife's mother.
32-34. Miracles after sunset.
35-39. Withdrawal from Capernaum and first circuit of Galilee.
40-45. Cleansing of a leper.
II. 1-12. Healing of a paralytic in a house at Capernaum. The forgiveness of sins.
13-14. Call of Levi.
15-17. Feast in Levi's house.
18-22. Question of fasting. The Old and the New.
23-28. Cornfield incident. Question of the Sabbath.
III. $\mathbf{1}-6$. Healing of a withered hand on the Sabbath.

7-12. Second great concourse by the Sea.
13-19. Second withdrawal from Capernaum, and choice of tlie Twelve.
19 ${ }^{\text {b }}-3$. Question of the source of the Lord's power to expel unclean spirits.
3I-35. Errand of the brothers and the mother of Jesus, and teaching based upon it.
IV. 1-9. Teaching by parables. The parable of the Sower.

10-12. Reasons for the use of parables.
13-20. Interpretation of the parable of the Sower.
$21-25$. Parabolic warnings as to the responsibility of hearing the word.
26-29. Parable of the automatic action of the soil.
30-32. Parable of the mustard seed.
33-34. General law of parabolic teaching.
35-41. Stilling of the wind and sea.
V. I-13. Casting out of the 'legion' at Gerasa.

14-17. The Gerasenes alarmed and hostile.
I8-20. The restored demoniac sent to evangelise.
21-34. Petition of Jairus. Healing of the aipoppooźa.
35-43. Raising of the child of Jairus.
VI. $1-6^{\text {a }}$. Departure from Capernaum. Preaching at Nazareth.
$6^{\mathrm{b}}$-r3. Another circuit of Galilee. Mission of the Twelve.

14-16. The fame of Jesus reaches the Tetrarch.
17-29. Episode of John's imprisonment and death.
30-44. Return to the sea. Feeding of the five thousand.
45-52. Walking on the sea.
53-56. Ministry in the Plain of Gennesaret.
VII. 1-13. Question of ceremonial washings.

14-23. Teaching based upon the question.
24-30. In the region of Tyre and Sidon. The daughter of a Syrophoenician delivered from an evil spirit.
3I-37. Return to Decapolis. Healing of a deaf man who spoke with difficulty.
VIII. :-9. Feeding of the four thousand.

10-13. Fresh encounter with the Pharisees near Dalmanutha.
14-2 $\mathbf{I}$. The leaven of the Pharisees and the leaven of Herod.
22-26. Arrival at Bethsaida. A blind man recovers sight.
27-30. Journey to the neighbourhood of Caesarea Philippi. Question as to the Lord's Person.
3I-33. The Passion foretold. Peter reproved.
34-IX. I. Public teaching on self-sacrifice.
LX. 2-8. The Transfiguration.

9-13. Conversation about Elijah, during the descent from the mountain.
14-29. A demoniac boy set free, and the sequel.
30-32. The Passion again foretold.
33-37. Return to Capernaum. Question of precedence.
38-40. On the use of the Name by a non-disciple.
41-50. The teaching resumed. On the consequences of conduct towards brethren in Christ.
X. I. Departure from Galilee; journeys in Judaea and Peraea.
2-12. Question of divorce.
13-16. Blessing of children.
17-22. The rich man who wanted but one thing.
23-27. The rich and the Kingdom of God.
28-3I. The reward of those who leave all for Christ's sake.
32-34. The Passion foretold for the third and last time.
35-45. Petition of the sons of Zebedee. Teaching based on the incident.
46-52. Passage through Jericho: Bartimaeus restored to sight.
XI. I-II. Solemn entry into the precinct of the Temple.

12-14. Fig-tree in leaf but without fruit.
15-19. Second day in the Precinct. Breaking up of the Temple-market.
20-25. Conversation on the withering of the fig-tree.
27-33. Third day in the Precinct. Authority of Jesus challenged by the Sanhedrists.
XII. 1-12. Parable of the Husbandmen and the Heir.

13-17. The Pharisees' question.

18-27. The Sadducees' question.
28-34. The scribe's question.
35-37. The Lord's question.
$37^{\mathrm{b}}-40$. Denunciation of the Scribes.
41-44. The widow's two mites.
XIII. $1-2$. Destruction of the Temple foretold.

3-I3. Question of the Four: first part of the Lord's answer.
14-23. Troubles connected chiefly with the Fall of Jerusalem.
24-27. End of the Dispensation foretold.
28-29. Parable of the budding fig-tree.
30-32. The time known to the Father only.
33-37. Final warning.
XIV. i-2. The day before the Passover.

3-9. Episode of the Anointing at Bethany.
ro- 1 . Interview of Judas with the Priests.
12-16. Preparations for the Paschal meal.
17-21. Paschal Supper: the Traitor pointed out.
22-25. Institution of the Eucharist.
26-35. Departure to the Mount of Olives. The desertion and denial foretold.
32-42. The Agony in Gethsemane.
43-50. Arrival of the Traitor: arrest of Jesus: flight of the Eleven.
$5 \mathrm{I}-5^{2}$. Story of the young man who followed.
53-65. The Trial before the High Priest.
$66-72$. Peter denies the Master thrice.
XV. I-15. The Trial before the Procurator.

16-20. The Lord mocked by the Procurator's soldiers.
$20^{\mathrm{b}}-22$. The way to the Cross.
23-32. The Crucifixion, and the first three hours on the Cross.
33-37. The last three hours on the Cross : the Lord's Death.
38-41. Events which immediately followed.
42-47. The Burial of the Lord.
XVI. $\quad$ - 8 . Visit of the women to the tomb on the third day.
[9-1. Appearance to Mary of Magdala.
12-13. Appearances to two disciples.
14-18. Appearances to the Eleven.
19-20. The Ascension, and its sequel.]
2. We are now in a position to consider how far the contents group themselves into larger sections ${ }^{1}$, revealing the existence of a

[^44][^45]purpose or plan in the mind of the writer. Even a hasty examination will shew that the book deals with two great themes, the Ministry in Galilee (i. 14-ix. 50), and the Last Week at Jerusalem (xi. I-xvi. 8), and that these sections are connected by a comparatively brief survey of the period which intervened (x. I-52). The first fourteen verses of the Gospel are evidently introductory; the last twelve have the character of an appendix, which links the Gospel history with the fortunes of the Church in the Apostolic age.

The first of the two great sections of St Mark bears manifest signs of brevity and compression, especially in certain parts of the narrative. On the other hand there are indications of the writer's desire to follow the order of events, as far as his information permitted him to do so. $\mathrm{It}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is shewn by the notes of time and place which continually occur.



















 ขо́vт
 Kафарvaớн (33).

It is impossible to resist the impression that the writer who constructed this chain of sequence believed himself to be presenting his facts upon the whole in the order of their actual
occurrence; and this impression is not weakened by the occasional dropping of a link (as e.g. at i. 40, ii. 23, vii. I), for such exceptions suggest that he was unwilling to go beyond his information, and that the indications of order which he gives are sound so far as they go. This view is supported by the absence of his favourite cu̇ $\theta \dot{v}$ s at the points of transition; at such times the writer vouches for the relative order only, and not for the immediate succession of the events. The kind of sequence which he aims to establish is consistent with the omission of many incidents or discourses, and with the bringing into close proximity of others which were separated by considerable intervals, but not with a disregard of chronological order; nor is it his habit to group together materials of similar character, or which appeared to illustrate the same principle ${ }^{1}$.

But granting that the writer intended to follow the relative order of time, is there reason to suppose that he has succeeded? Can we recognise in this part of his work the steady and natural development of events which possesses historical verisimilitude?

The answer makes itself distinctly heard by the careful student. He observes a progress in the history of the Galilean Ministry, as it is depicted by St Mark, which bears the stamp of truth. The teaching of Christ is seen to pass through a succession of stages in an order which corresponds to His method of dealing with men: first there is the synagogue homily, then the popular instruction delivered in the larger auditorium supplied by the sea-shore or the neighbouring hills, then the teaching by parables of the multitudes who had proved themselves incapable of receiving spiritual truth, and lastly the initiation of a select few into the mysteries of the Kingdom, which they were afterwards to proclaim to the world. And

[^46][^47]the course of events as sketched by St Mark answers to this progress in the teaching and partly explains it. We see the crowd growing daily in numbers and enthusiasm, the opportunities of teaching increased, the necessity arising for a division of labour, the consequent selection and training of the Twelve; and on the other hand, the growing hostility of the Scribes, their reinforcement from Jerusalem, their alliance with the party of Herod, the unintelligent and dangerous excitement of the common people, the awakened curiosity of Antipas. As we look more closely into St Mark's picture, the plan of the Ministry begins to shape itself. We see that it includes (I) the evangelisation of the lake-side towns and country, both in the tetrarchy of Antipas and in that of Philip; (2) the extension of this work to the rest of Galilee during intervals of enforced withdrawal from the lake-district; and (3) the instruction and disciplining of the men who were ultimately to carry the preaching of the Divine Kingdom to the ends of the earth. The whole of this complicated process moves onwards in St Mark's history in so easy and natural a manner that we are scarcely conscious of the movement until we come to analyse the contents of the Gospel. But in fact the scheme is developed step by step, each incident forming a distinct link in the sequence ${ }^{1}$.
 this has been taken to mean that, while his recollections were faithfully reproduced, he made no attempt to arrange them chronologically ${ }^{2}$. But fásts is order of any kind, and its precise meaning must be interpreted by the context in which it occurs. In this case the context supplies a clue, for Papias goes on to say that
 i.e. not with the view of producing a literary work. A qúvrages is a set treatise which follows the rules of orderly composition; thus the writer of 2 Maccabees at the end of his task (xv. 39) finds





[^48]ouvtágal) taîs épuqucíaus. St Mark's work, being a mere echo of
 belonged to a different category from the artificial treatises which were in fashion, and for the most part was a mere string of notes connected in the simplest way. The structure of the Second Gospel is wholly in harmony with this view. The paragraphs, often extremely brief, are connected by the simplest of Greek copulas. Tórє, which abounds in St Matthew, is not once used by St Mark as a note of transition; ovv, St John's favourite copula, is employed in narration only by the writer of the supplementary verses; $\delta 6$ occurs in this connexion but four times in the first nine chapters. Yet in the longer subsections the writer of this Gospel shews himself willing to vary the monotony of the repeated kai by the use of $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a, \gamma a, \rho^{\prime}$, îov́, or by dispensing with copulas of any kind. His invariable use of кaí at the commencement of a paragraph ${ }^{1}$ may therefore be attributed to the deliberate purpose of connecting his notes together in the least artificial manner; and this feature of his work sufficiently explains the words of Papias.

When we pass from the narrative of the Galilean Ministry (i. I4-ix. 50) to the brief summary of the Judaean and Peraean journeys which followed it, St Mark's manner changes perceptibly. He is still, at least in c. x., a compiler of $\dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o i$, but his memoranda are no longer accompanied by notes of time, and the notes of place are few (x. 1, 17, 32, 46). When Jerusalem is reached such indications of fuller knowledge appear again; the succession of the events is carefully noted, and the places where they occurred are specified (e.g. xi. I, II $12,15,19,20,27$; xii. 4 I ; xiii. $\mathrm{r}, \& \mathrm{c}$.). The hand of the writer to whom we owe the first great section of the book is clearly to be seen in the last. Yet there is a change of manner which is perhaps not wholly due to the difference of theme. The narrative of the Passion is on a scale which is out of all proportion to that on which the Ministry is drawn. The subsections become noticeably longer; instruction holds a more prominent position; the terseness of the earlier sayings is exchanged for specimens of more prolonged teaching (e.g. xi. $23-25$, xii. $24-27,29-3$ I, $38-40$ ) ; a whole chapter (xiii.) is occupied by a single discourse; the style is more varied, and the monotonous кai gives place more frequently to $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ or some other equivalent. These are among the signs which point to a

[^49]partial use in these chapters of a source distinct in character from that which supplied the materials of the first nine or ten chapters.
3. The tradition which from the days of Irenaeus has identified the Second Gospel with the teaching of St Peter is too early and too consistent to be wholly set aside, unless the internal evidence of the book requires us to abandon it. There is certainly but little in this Gospel which did not fall within the limits of St Peter's personal knowledge. He may have been present on all the occasions in our Lord's life to which St Mark refers except the Baptism, the Temptation, and the Crucifixion and the scenes which followed it. On certain occasions he was one of three selected witnesses. It is true that the figure of Simon Peter does not loom large in the Second Gospel, and some pages in the history where he fills a prominent place are wanting in St Mark; it is St Matthew who relates the high commendation passed upon Peter's confession of faith, while St Mark gives only the story of his subsequent miscarriage; the story of Peter's walking on the sea, and of the stater in the fish's mouth, are also in Matthew only; indeed the only long paragraph in Mark which concerns St Peter is the account of his three-fold denial of the Master.

This difficulty presented itself to the acute mind of Eusebius of Caesarea, and he met it by what is probably on the whole the true explanation of the facts- the Apostle's reluctance to call attention to himself in a record of the words and works of Christ;


 $\dot{\epsilon}$ єитой $\mu$ артьрєі. Such reticence may indeed serve to disarm suspicion when we remember that the Pseudo-Peter writes in the
 $\dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \phi^{\prime} s \mu o v$ ), and that the same feature appears in other Christian pseudonymous literature.
But if tokens of Petrine origination are not prominent in St Mark's Gospel, they are not wanting altogether, and the unobtrusiveness of those which meet the eye of the careful student increases his sense of their importance. Thus, while the Second Gospel omits a series of incidents relating to St Peter which find a place in the first and third (e.g. Mt. xiv. 28 f., xv. 15,
xvi. 18, xvii. 24 ff., xviii. 21, Lc. v. 3 ff., xii. 4 I , xxii. 3 1), and contains no such incident which the other Synoptists omit, it occasionally identifies St Peter where St Matthew and St Luke are indefinite.

Simon, Peter, or Simon Peter is mentioned 28 times by Mt., 25 by Mc., 27 by Lc. Of Mc.'s references to the name in separate contexts four are peculiar to him (Mc. i. 36, xi, 21, xiii. 3, xvi. 7), whilst, except in the passages cited above, Mt. has no reference which is not shared by one or both of the other Synoptists. Le. has four (viii. 45 , xxii. 8, xxiv. 12, 34), but the last two are found elsewhere (Jo. xx. 3 ff., 1 Cor. xv. 5).
There are other facts which point to the same conclusion. The reader of the Synoptist Gospels is frequently struck by the appearance in St Mark of minute details or touches which suggest firsthand knowledge. This impression may be partly due to St Mark's characteristic style, though on the other hand it is possible that the style itself may have been moulded by intercourse with an eye-witness. Such striking phrases as $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \rho \iota \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu o s$ à̉ $\frac{\omega}{\omega}$


 $\pi \rho a \sigma \iota a i$ (vi. 40), can hardly be attributed to the fancy of a compiler. Certainly no amount of realism will account for the scores of unexpected and independent details with which St Mark enriches the common narrative; as Bishop Westcott observes, "there is perhaps not one narrative which he gives in common with St Matthew and St Luke to which he does not contribute some special feature ${ }^{1 \text {." }}$

Examples may be found in Mc. i. 14 f., 20, 27, 29, 33, 35 ff, ii. 2, $3,4,13, \mathrm{I}_{5}, 23$, iii. $4,7,9,14$ f., 17,20 f., 3 I, 32,34 , iv. 33,34 , $35,36,38$, v. $13,20,2$ I, 26 , vi. 1, $5,30,32,37,45,48,51,53,56$, vii. $24,26,31$, viii. 12,22 ff., 34 , ix. 13,15 ff., 28,33 ff., x. 16 , 2 ff ., 32,46 ff., xi. $8,11,13,16,19,20$ f. 27 , xii. $12,35,37,4 \mathrm{I}$, 43 , xiii. 3 , xiv. $40,58,59,65,66,67,7^{2}$, xv. $7,8,21,23,25,4 \mathrm{I}$, $44,45,46$, xvi. $1,3,4,5,8$.
Was St Peter the eye-witness who supplied this mass of independent information? There are three narratives in the Synoptic tradition which must have been derived originally from

St Peter, St John, or St James; and there is one of which St Peter alone was competent to give a full account. A comparison of St Mark's account of these incidents ought to throw light upon the question.
(ı) Mc. v. 37-43 (Mt. ix. 23-25, Le. viii. 51-56). Mc. alone distinguishes the successive stages of the Lord's way to the

 rò $\pi a \iota \delta i o v$ ); in Mc. only the Lord's words are preserved in Aramaic, and the child's age is mentioned at this point to account for her
 only who connects this miracle with the departure from Capernaum which followed (vi. I). (2) Mc. ix. 2-i 3 (Mt. xvii. i-i3, Lc. ix. $28-36$ ). Here $\mathbf{M t}$. is in some respects fuller than Mc., and seems to have had access to another tradition. But Mc. has several striking features, some of which point to Peter as their source.
 lated "Rabbi" of Peter's 'answer,' the explanatory clause ov $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho . \eta$ " $p \mathrm{\delta} \delta \mathrm{\epsilon}$ $\tau \hat{i} \dot{d} \pi о к \rho \theta \hat{\theta} \hat{n}$, the mention of the suddenness with which the vision

 $\sigma v \nu(\eta \tau 0 \hat{v} v \tau \epsilon \varsigma \kappa \tau \lambda$.) -are just such personal reminiscences as St Peter might have been expected to retain. (3) Mc. xiv. $33-42$ (Mt. xxvi. $37-46$, Lc. xxii. 40-46). Here Mt. agrees with Mc., yet a close examination reveals the greater originality of Mc., and some probable traces of a Petrine source; thus it is Mc. only who preserves the Aramaic $\alpha \beta \beta$ á, and the $\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu$ of the Lord's address to
 clearly comes from the same mind which supplied the similar note in the Marcan account of the Transfiguration. (4) Mc. xiv. 54, $66-72$ (Mt. xxvi. $58,69-75$, Lc. xxii. $54-62$ ). All the Synoptic accounts here depend on St Peter, for St John's report (Jo. xyiii. 17-18, 25-27) is quite distinct. But Mc.'s narrative manifests

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \omega v)$. His dialogue also has greater freshness and verisimili-


 oủk oìa aủtóv, үúvai (Lc.).
The internal evidence does not amount to a proof of Petrine origination. But it is entirely consistent with the tradition which represents St Mark as specially indebted to St Peter; and the tradition is at once too early and too wide-spread to be abandoned unless the evidence of the Gospel itself renders its acceptance impossible.

It is another question whether the present book can be assigned as a whole to St Peter or even to St Mark ${ }^{1}$. The last twelve verses, as we shall see, almost certainly belong to another hand; the first verse is possibly no part of the original work. To St Mark and not to St Peter must probably be ascribed the episode of the Baptist's martyrdom, the story of the $\nu \epsilon a \nu i \sigma \kappa o s$ in Gethsemane, such explanatory notes as vii. 3-4, 19 b, and the interpretations of Aramaic words and names. It may be doubted whether the long discourse of c. xiii. was derived from St
 seems to point distinctly to a written source which St Mark has incorporated. At xiv. I we come upon the traces of another
 $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épas have the air of a new beginning and are not in St Mark's style, and the incident which follows, although it might have formed a suitable introduction to a detached narrative of the Passion, breaks St Mark's order of time, carrying us back, as St John shews, to the day before the Lord's entry into Jerusalem. Thus it is probable that at this point St Mark has availed himself of an earlier document, into which he has worked his recollections of St Peter's teaching and such other materials as his own residence at Jerusalem had placed within his reach ${ }^{2}$.

On the whole it seems safe to assume as a working theory of the origination of the Gospel that its main source is the teaching of St Peter, which has supplied nearly the entire series of notes descriptive of the Galilean Ministry, and has largely influenced the remainder of the book. But allowance must probably be made, especially in the last six chapters, for the use of other authorities, some perhaps documentary, which had been familiar to the Evangelist before he left the Holy City.

[^50][^51]
## COMPARISON OF ST MARK WITH THE OTHER SYNOPTISTS.

If we accept the traditional account of the origin of St Mark's work, the writer was far from regarding it in the light of a 'Gospel,' i.e. as one of a series of attempts to produce a record of the life of Christ. It is not impossible that the present
 hand; the superscription Katà MÂpкon was certainly added by a generation which had conceived the idea of a tetrad of Gospels. The interpreter of Peter, if he gave a title to his book, was doubtless content to call it by such a name as we find in Justin'Аtomnhmoneìmata Пе́тpoy.

But though originally an independent work, St Mark stands to the first and third of our present Gospels in a relation which is not accidental or artificial, but vital. When the three writings are compared together, they are found to deal with the same great cycles of events, and to describe them in words which are often nearly identical. The literary problem which arises from this remarkable fact belongs to the general Introduction of the Gospels, and cannot be usefully discussed here ${ }^{1}$; nor, indeed, is it one which directly concerns the student of St Mark. But he will do well to take note of the distinctive features of the second Gospel as compared with the first and the third, and to examine

[^52]Encyclopaedia Biblica is unhappily disfigured, more especially in the section on the 'Credibility of the Synoptics,' by the dogmatic statement of conclusions which are quite insufficiently supported.
their bearing upon the origin and character of the book upon which he is engaged.

The following table will shew how far the First and Third Gospels cover the ground which is covered by St Mark, and the relative order which they follow. For the contents of the sections see § v. p. liff.

lxyiii COMPARISON WITH THE OTHER SYNOPTISTS.

|  | Mc. |  | Mt. | Le. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30-44 |  | 13-21 | IX. | 10-17 |
| VII. | 45-52 |  | 22-33 |  |  |
|  | I-I3 | XV. | I-9 |  |  |
|  | $14-23$ |  | 10-19 |  |  |
|  | 24-30 |  | 21-28 |  |  |
|  | $31-37$ |  | 29 ff .-3I |  |  |
| VIII. | I-10 |  | $32-39^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | II-I 3 |  | $39^{\mathrm{b}}$-XVI. 4 |  |  |
|  | 14-2 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | XVI. | 5-12 |  |  |
|  | $22-26$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27-30 |  | $13-20$ |  | 18-21 |
|  | 31-33 |  | $2 \mathrm{I}-23$ |  | 22 |
|  | $34-\mathrm{IX}$. I |  | 24-28 |  | 23-27 |
| IX. | 2-8 | XVII. | 1-8 |  | $28-36$ |
|  | 9-13 |  | $9-13$ |  |  |
|  | 14-29 |  | 14-20 |  | $37-43^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 30-32 |  | 22-23 |  | $43^{\text {b }}-45$ |
|  | 33-37 | XVIII. | I-5 |  | 46-48 |
|  | 38 -40 |  |  |  | 49-50 |
|  | 4I-50 |  | $6-9$ |  |  |
| X. | 1 | XIX. | 1-2 |  |  |
|  | 2-12 |  | 3-9 |  |  |
|  | 13-16 |  | I3-15 | XVIII. | 15-I7 |
|  | 17 -22 |  | 16 -22 |  | 18 -23 |
|  | 23-27 |  | $23-26$ |  | $24-27$ |
|  | 28-31 |  | 27-30 |  | 28-30 |
|  | 32-34 | XX. | 17-19 |  | 3I-34 |
|  | 35-45 |  | 20-28 |  |  |
|  | 46-52 |  | 29-34 |  | 35-43 |
| XI. | I-II | XXI. | I-II | XIX. | 29-45 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | I2-I4 |  | 18-19 |  |  |
|  | 15-19 |  | 12-17 |  | $45^{\text {b }}-48$ |
|  | 20-25 |  | $19^{\text {b }}-22$ |  |  |
|  | 27-33 |  | 23-27 | XX. | 1-8 |
| XTI. | 1-12 |  | 33-46 |  | 9-19 |
|  | $13-17$ | XXII. | $15-22$ |  | 20-26 |
|  | 18-27 |  | 23-33 |  | $27-38$ |
|  | 28-34 |  | 34-40 |  |  |
|  | $35-37^{\text {a }}$ |  | 4I-45 |  | 41-44 |
|  | $37^{\text {b }}-40$ | XXIII. | I-38 |  | 45-47 |
|  | 4I-44 |  |  | XXI. | I-4 |
| XIII. | $1-2$ | XXIV. | I-2 |  | $5-6$ |
|  | $3-13$ |  | 3-14 |  | 8-19 |
|  | $14-23$ |  | $15-25$ |  | 20-24 |
|  | 24-27 |  | 29-31 |  | $25-28$ |
|  | 28-29 |  | $3^{2}-33$ |  | 29-31 |
|  | 30-32 |  | 34-35 |  | 32-33 |
|  | 33-37 |  | 42-44 |  | 36 |


| Mc. |  | Mt. |  | Lc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XIV. | 1-2 | XXVI. | I-5 | XXIT. | 1-2 |
|  | 3-9 |  | 6-13 |  |  |
|  | $10-11$ |  | 14-16 |  | 3-6 |
|  | 12 -16 |  | 17 -19 |  | 7-13 |
|  | 17 -2 |  | 20-25 |  | 21-23 |
|  | 22-25 |  | 26-29 |  | 17 - 20 |
|  | 26-35 |  | 30-35 |  | 31-39 |
|  | 32-42 |  | 36-46 |  | 40-46 |
|  | 43-50 |  | 47-56 |  | 47-53 |
|  | $5 \mathrm{I}-52$ |  |  |  |  |
| XV. | 53-65 |  | 57-68 | $54^{\text {a }}$, | 63-71 |
|  | 66-72 |  | 69-75 |  | 56-62 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1-15 \\ 16-20^{a} \end{gathered}$ | XXVII. | $\begin{gathered} 1-26 \\ 27-31^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | XXIII. | 1-25 |
|  | $20^{\mathrm{b}}$-22 |  | $3 \mathrm{I}^{\text {b }}-33$ |  | $26-33^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $23-32$ |  | 34-44 |  | $33^{\text {b }}-43$ |
|  | 33-37 |  | 45-50 |  | $44-45^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 38-41 |  | 51-56 |  | $45^{\text {b }}$ - 55 |
|  | 42-47 |  | 57-61 |  | 50--55 |
| XVI. | 1-8 | XXVIII. | I-20 |  | 56 -XXIV. |

I. It appears from this table that out of the 106 sections of the genuine St Mark there are but three (excluding the head-line) which are wholly absent from both St Matthew and St Luke; and of the remaining 102,96 are to be found in St Matthew, and 82 in St Luke. On the other hand, as the table shews with equal distinctness, there are large portions of St Matthew and St Luke (e.g. Mt. i.-ii., v.-vii., Lc. i.-ii., ix. 5 I-xviii. I4) which are either entirely wanting in St Mark, or represented there only by an occasional fragment. This is but a rough statement of the case, but it suffices to indicate the relation of St Mark to the other Synoptists ${ }^{1}$ in regard to the extent of the fields which they respectively occupy.
2. Further, the table reveals a marked difference of order in that part of the common narrative which belongs to the Galilean Ministry. From the beginning of the journeyings to Jerusalem to the Resurrection the order of the sections differs but slightly. St Matthew (xxi. 19 f .) brings the withering of the fig-tree into immediate connexion with the sentence pronounced upon it, and

[^53]St Luke (xxii. 2I f.) places the detection of Judas after the distribution of the Eucharist. With these exceptions the order of Mc. x. I-xvi. 8 is generally followed by St Matthew and St Luke. Bat in the sequence of the events narrated in Mc. i. 14-ix. 50 there is no such consensus. St Luke, indeed, is generally in fair agreement with St Mark, where the two are dealing with the same events; but St Matthew's displacements of the Marcan order are numerous and serious in the earlier chapters.

The chief differences of order in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t}$ Luke are as follows: (1) the charge of collusion with Beelzebul follows the arrival of the mother and brethren; (2) the parable of the mustard seed is detached from that of the sower and stands in a later context; (3) the preaching at Nazareth is placed at the outset of the Ministry. St Matthew's order is essentially different from St Mark's as far as Mc. vi. I3, although from that point the two are in almost complete agreement.

It may be taken as a prima facie argument in favour of St Mark's order that it is "confirmed either by St Matthew or St Luke, and the greater part of it by both ${ }^{1}$." Moreover, when one of the other Synoptists strikes out a path peculiar to himself, his order usually has less verisimilitude, and is open on internal grounds to suspicion.

Thus ( I ) when Mt. places the gathering of crowds from Decapolis and Judaea at the very outset of the Ministry (M.t. iv. 25), there can be little doubt that he antedates a state of things which Mc. rightly places at a later stage (Mc. iii. 7 ff.). (2) The crossing to the Gadarene (Gerasenc) country, if preparatory to an evangelistic tour in the Decapolis, seems to come too early in Mt.'s order, and on the other hand he places the calling of the Apostles too late; in Mc. both incidents occupy places which accord with what appears to be the natural course of events. (3) The synagogue scene at Nazareth, which Le. fixes before the commencement of the Lord's residence at Capernaum, bears upon its surface the evidence
 vaoí $\mu$ к $\tau \lambda$.). (4) Again the notes of time and place in Mc. are frequently precise where in Le. they disappear, or exist only in a weakened form-e.g. Mc. i. 22 єìvìs roîs $\sigma a ́ \beta \beta a \sigma t \nu$ (Lc. év roîs $\sigma$. ),



[^54]Mt．the incidents have sometimes fallen into new surroundings which are inconsistent with those assigned to them in Mc．or Lc．

 （Mc．and Lc．place the preceding parables in other contexts）．

3．The comparison of St Mark＇s matter with that of the corre－ sponding narratives in St Matthew and St Luke has been to some extent anticipated in the preceding section（p．lxiii ff）．But it may be useful to illustrate a little more fully the relative fulness of St Mark＇s knowledge in matters of detail．The following cxamples are taken from the first four clapters of the Gospel．

Me．
i． 20 á $\phi \in ́ V \tau \epsilon S$ тòv
 $\delta a i ̂ o v \dot{\epsilon} v \underset{\varphi}{\hat{\omega}} \pi \lambda o i ́ \varphi$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega} v \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 то́тоу кふ̉кє $\hat{\imath}$ троб－ ทú $\chi \in \tau 0$ ．
i． 43 каі̀ ${ }^{e} \mu \beta_{\rho \iota} \mu \eta-$ $\sigma \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v o s} a \dot{u} \tau \hat{Q} \in \dot{v}-$ $\theta \hat{\nu} \bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \quad a \dot{v}-$
 $\kappa т \lambda$.
ii． $2 \kappa \alpha i \sigma v \nu \eta \chi^{\prime} \neq \eta-$
 $\mu \eta к \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu \mu \eta-$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta u ́ \rho a v$.
ii． 23 ท̆р $\xi^{2}$ тто $\delta \delta \dot{\circ} \nu$ тоцєîv тídдovtes tov̀s бтá $\quad$ vas．
iii． $6 \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \hat{\theta} \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oí Фapucaîol єvंध̀̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau$ व̀ $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu{ }^{c}{ }^{\circ} \rho \propto \delta \kappa \alpha \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$.
iii． $14 \pi \rho \sigma \sigma к а \lambda \epsilon і$ ти $\alpha$ จั้ร $\eta^{\prime} \theta \in \lambda \in V$ av̉тós．．．
 ка．．．ĩva ฝै $\sigma \iota \gamma \quad \mu \epsilon \tau^{\text { }}$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \eta$ aúтò̀s к $\eta$－

Mt．
 $\pi$ то̂ov каї тòv тa－
 Ongav av่ $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{}$ ．

> viii. 4 каі̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ аย̇т $\hat{\varphi} \kappa \tau \lambda$.
xii．I $\eta^{*} \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau o$ тì入入єiv oráquas каì ̇̇ $\sigma$ Өíav．



Х．І $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa а \lambda \epsilon \sigma a^{\prime}-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оя то̀̀s $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon к а$

 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．

## Le．

v．II катаүаүór－
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ á $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$

iv． 42 रєvouє́vŋร $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s \quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$
 то́тор：

จ． 14 ка．i аư่òs
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
 $\mu a \theta_{\eta \tau a i}$ аข̇то仑̂ каі

vi．II av̉toì $\delta \dot{́}$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
vi．I3 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \phi \omega^{\prime \prime}-$ $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ то⿱̀兀 $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$

 $\delta_{\text {ека．．．．}}$


Mc．


 tat єis оіко⿱．каì $\sigma v \tau^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \tau a \ell \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota v$
 Süvaनtal av̇roùs $\mu \eta \delta \grave{a ̆ a ̆ \rho \tau o v ~ ф а \gamma є i ̂ v . ~}$ каі̀ áкои́баขтєs oì
 кратйбає айто́v，єै－
 $\sigma \tau \eta$ ．


 б̀̀v тồs $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$.
 סètoísidious $\mu a \theta \eta-$
 $\tau a$.
iv． $36 \pi a \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́-$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda o i ́ \omega, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a$ $\pi \dot{\lambda} o i ̂ a \hat{\eta} v \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} a \dot{v}-$ $\tau$ रิ．
iv． 38 кaì aủròs ทีv $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{u} \mu \nu \eta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{i}$


iv． 39 і̇ $\pi \in \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$

 $\pi є \phi і \mu \omega \sigma о$.
xili．Io $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda$－
 $\pi \alpha \nu \operatorname{av} \tau \bar{\varphi} \kappa_{\kappa}^{\kappa} \lambda$ ．

Lc．
viii． 9 ย̀ $\pi \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \neq \omega \nu$ סє̀ aùròv oì $\mu a \theta \eta t a i ̀$ aùrovิ кт入．
viii． 23 そ̀ $\mu \beta$ 人иขть

 $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̀ ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̂ ̀ . ~$
viii． 24 aủròs $\delta$ §̀ сєка́ $\theta \epsilon v \delta \epsilon \kappa$ ．
viii． $26 \hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \eta-$ $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ тoîs àvé $\mu o t s$ каì Tй $\theta a \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \eta$ ．
viii． 22 aủròs évéc $\beta \eta$ ciss $\pi$ doîov кaì oi

viii． $23 \pi \lambda \epsilon$ ย́vт $\omega \nu$

viii． 24 єтєтíu $\eta$－
 $\kappa \lambda \hat{\delta} \delta \omega \nu$ тov̂ v̌ $\delta a \tau o s$, каі̀ є̀ єаи́धаито．

When St Mark does not add to our knowledge，his presentation of a fact or saying is often distinct from that which it assumes in St Matthew and St Luke，and has the appearance of being the original from which one or both of the other accounts have been derived．

The following examples from the same chapters may suffice：

Mc．
Mt．
Le．

## i．I6 X＇ц $\mu \omega v a$ каı

之ípeyos，
iv． 18 ถ̂́o $\mathfrak{a} \delta \in \lambda$－
фoús，之＇íueva тòv
$\lambda \epsilon \gamma о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu=\downarrow$ Пє́троу

Mc．
i． $26 \sigma \pi a \rho a ́ \xi \alpha y a v^{-}$ тóv．
ii． 12 тòv кра́ßат－ тov．
ii． 17 калє́ $\sigma a t .$. ${ }_{\text {á }} \mu \rho_{\rho} \tau \omega \lambda о$ и́s．
ii． 2 I єi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime}, ~ a i ̀ \mu \epsilon \epsilon$ тò $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \rho \omega \mu \alpha a{ }^{3} \pi^{\prime}$ avitov тò кaıvòv тov̂ $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \circ \hat{\text { un }}$

 $\tau \rho о \nu, ~ к а і ̈ ' ~ ' І а ́ к ш \beta о \nu$.
iv．ІІ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} v$ тò $\mu v-$

 $\lambda u^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$.
iv． 22 ov̉ $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ！$\sigma$－
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
iv． 3 І ш́s ко́ккщ．

Mt．
каї＇Avסрє́av тò $\nu$ à－ $\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda \phi \grave{\nu} \nu \Sigma^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \alpha$ s．
ix． $6 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \lambda i \not \imath \eta \nu$.
ix． 13 калє́бат．．． á $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda о$ ús．
iv．I6 aipet $\gamma$ व̀ $\rho$ тò $\pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ шv́тov̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ тồ ípatiov．
x． $2 \Sigma i \mu \omega \nu \delta \kappa \alpha-$ доч́иєvos Пе́троs．．． каі＇Іа́кшßоя．
 סотає $\gamma \nu \omega \overline{v a \ell} \tau \grave{a} \mu \nu-$ бти́риа．
v． 15 каíovorv $\lambda$ úvov．$^{\text {．}}$

X． 26 ov̉ $8 \grave{v} \nu$ үá $\rho$
 о̆ оข้кккл．
xiii． 3 I ó $\mu$ oía é $\sigma$－ тіу．．．ко́ккс̣．

Lc．
iv． 35 pُíuav aúròv
 $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{u} \psi a \nu$ aṽтóv．
v． 24 тò $\kappa \lambda \iota v i ́ \delta \iota o v$.
v． 32 ка入 $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \iota \dot{d}$－ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́-$ vour．
v． $3^{6}$ єí $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, каі̀ тò каєขòv $\sigma \chi$ í $\sigma \epsilon$, каi т $\hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \lambda a c \hat{\omega}$ ov $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega v \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \grave{\iota}$ दो $\pi i-$ $\beta \lambda \eta \mu a$ тò dinò тove каıой．
vi． $14 \Sigma \Sigma_{i} \mu \omega v a$ öv каі ఱ̀vó $\mu a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Пє́－ т $о$ оу．．．каі＇Іа́кшßор．
viii． 9 í $\mu \hat{v} \nu$ ס́́do－ $\tau \alpha \iota \gamma \nu \omega v a \iota \tau$ à $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \tau ;$ pıa．
viii． 16 $\lambda$ v́xvov $^{2}$ ă 廿as．
viii．I7 où $\gamma$ áp
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
xiii． 19 o̊ $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ oía є̇ $\sigma$－兀iv ко́ккџ．

Although in several of these instances St Mark＇s mode of ex－ pressing himself is briefer than that which is preferred by the other Synoptists，his style is not on the whole distinguished by brevity． On the contrary his treatment of incident is constantly fuller than theirs，partly through the habit，already illustrated，of filling up his picture with an abundance of minute details，partly from his way of（1）presenting facts in a vivid and pictorial form，and （2）interpreting character and conduct．

Examples of（r）may be found in the story of the Gerasene demoniac，the narrative of the cleansing of the aimopooov $\sigma \alpha$ and the raising of the child of Jairus，the Baptist＇s martyrdom，the discussion arising out of the question about kovai $\chi$ єipts，the healing of the Syrophoenician girl，the epileptic boy，and the son of Timaeus，the scribe＇s question，the anointing at Bethany．This feature in Mc，is most apparent when he is compared with Mt，


#### Abstract

Lc. has a fulness of his own, but it is of another character, and largely due to a literary style ; cf. Mc. ii. 22 with Lc. v. 37 f., v. I with Lc. viii. 26, v. 17 with Lc. viii. 37, viii. 30 with Lc. ix. 21 , viii. 34 with Lc. ix. 23, ix. 32 with Le. ix. 45 , xi. 8 with Le. xix 37, xiii. 7 f. with Le. xxi. 9 ff .

The following may serve as illustrations of (z): Mc. i. 4 I       


As a result of this characteristic fulness of St Mark, some eighty versos in his Gospel find no direct parallel in the other Synoptists. Although he seldom introduces a narrative or a parable which is not also found in St Matthew or St Luke, the aggregate of matter peculiar to the Second Gospel cannot fall much below one-sixth of the whole book.

In one respect, indeed, St Mark is concise where the other Evangelists are full. With a single exception (c. xiii.) he represents the longer discourses of St Matthew and St Luke by a few compact sentences. Thus, the Sermon on the Mount finds only an occasional echo in the Second Gospol (e.g. iv. 2I, ix. 50, x. II); the long charge to the Twelve (Mt. x.) is reduced by St Mark to a few verses (vi. 8-ri); of the final denunciation of the Pharisees, which occupies a whole chapter in St Matthew (xxiii.), St Mark gives merely a specimen (xii. 38-40). Such public teaching as St Mark reports is chiefly parabolic (ii. I9-22, iii. $23-27$, iv. 3-32, vii. I5, xii. I-9); yet his parables are few in comparison with those of either Matthew or Luke. On the other hand instructions delivered privately to the Twelve are sometimes given more at length by St Mark than by the other two Synoptists (cf. e.g. vii. 18-23, viii. I7-2I, ix. 33-50, xiii. 34-37). And such sayings as St Mark records are often, like his narrative, characterised by touches which possess a singular freshness and originality.



## COMPARISON WITH THE OTHER SYNOPTISTS. lxxy










To sum up these remarks. It would appear that the relation of St Mark to the other Synoptists is that of an early but fragmentary record towards records of a somewhat later origin ${ }^{1}$ and more complex character. In compass St Mark falls far short of the other two ${ }^{2}$, but he excels them in approximation to chronological order and in life-like representation of the facts ${ }^{3}$. His narrative moves in a more contracted field; he reports but one of our Lord's longer discourses in full, and comparatively few of His sayings and parables. But where the three Synoptists are on common ground, St Mark is usually distinguished by signs of the minuter knowledge which comes from personal observation or from personal contact with an eye-witness ${ }^{4}$.

[^55]the marvellous. With the phenomena of the Apocryphal Gospels before our eyes it will surely be reckoned a sign of decadence that our Second Evangelist dilates so exuberantly on the Gadarene's ferocity and the epileptic's paroxysm." The comparison of St Mark with the Apocryphal Gospels is unfortunate. It calls attention to the essential difference between the real and the realistic, a report based upon a first-hand authority and an historical romance. For a criticism of MrBadham's method the student may be referred to Mr A. Wright's Some N. T. problems, p. 256 ff.

## VII.

## USE OF THE OLD TESTAMENT BY ST MARK.

This Gospel contains 68 distinct references to the Old Testament, of which 25 are either formal ${ }^{1}$ or nearly verbal quotations. Only seven of the references are peculiar to St Mark.

In the following table quotations are distinguished by an asterisk; (Mt.), (Lc.), indicate that the passage is used by St Matthew or St Luke in a corresponding context; a dagger before a Marcan reference shews that it contains a quotation peculiar to St Mark.

| *Gen. | i. 27 | Mc. x .6 (Mt.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ii. 24 | x. 7 f. (Mt.) |
|  | xviii. 14 | x. 27 (Mt., Le.) |
|  | xxxvii. 20 | xii. 7 (Mt., Le.) |
|  | xxxviii. 8 | xii. Ig (Mt., Le.) |
| *Exod. | iii. 6 | xii. 26 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | xx. 12 | vii. 10, X. I9 (Mt.) |
| * | xx. $12-17$ | x. ig (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | xxi. 17 | vii. $\mathrm{o}^{\text {b }}$ (Mt.) |
| * | xxiv. 8 | xiv. 24 (Mt.) |
| Lev. | xiii. 49 | i. 44 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | xix. 18 | xii. 3 1, 33 (Mt., Lc.) |
| Num. | xxvii. 17 | vi. 34 (Mt.) |
| * Deut. | iv. 35 | $\dagger$ xii. 32 |
|  | v. 16 | vii. 10 (Mt.) |
|  | v. $17-20$ | x. 19 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | vi. 4 | xii. 29, $3^{2}$ |
|  | vi. 5 | xii. 33 (Mt., Lc.) |
|  | xiii. I | $\text { xiii. } 22 \text { (Mt.) }$ |
|  | xxiv. 1 | X. 4 (Mt.) |
| * | xxiv. 14 | $\dagger \mathrm{x} .19$ |
|  | xxv. 5 | xii. 19 (Mt., Lc.) |
|  | xxx. 4 | xiii. 27 (Mt.) |
| I Sam. | xv. 22 | $\dagger$ xii. 33 |

1 The formal quotations in Mc. are 19 ; see Introduction to the $O, T$. in Greek, pp. $3^{82,39 \text { r. }}$

| I Sam. | xxi. 6 | Mc. ii. 26 (Mt., Lc.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Kings | xxii. 17 | vi. 34 (Mt.) |
| 2 Kings | i. 8 | i. Io (Mt.) |
| Esther | v. 3, vii. 2 | vi. 23 |
| Job | xlii. 2 | x. 29 (Mt.) |
| *Ps. | xxii. $\mathbf{I}$ | xv. 34 (Mt.) |
|  | xxii. 7 | xv. 29 (Mt.) |
|  | xxii. 19 | xv. 24 (Mt., Le.) |
|  | xli. 9 | $\dagger$ xiv. 18 |
| * | xlii. 6 | xiv. 34 (Mt.) |
|  | lxix. 22 | xv. $3^{6}$ (Mt.) |
| * | cx. 1 | xii. 35 , xiv. 62 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | cxviii. 22 f . | xii. 10 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | exviii. 25 f. | xi.: 9 (Mt.) |
| Tsa. | v. I-2 | xii. I (Mt., Lc.) |
|  | vi.. 9 f. | iv. I2 (Mt., Lc.) |
|  | xiii. 10 | xiii. 24 (Mt.) |
|  | xix. 2 | xiii. 8 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | xxix. 13 | vii. 6 (Mt.) |
|  | xxxiv. 4 | xiii. 25 (Mt.) |
| * | xl. 3 | i. 3 (Mt., Lc.) |
| * | lvi, 7 | xi. $17^{\text {a }}$ (Mt., Lc.) |
|  | lxii. ${ }^{2}$ | vi. If (Mt.) |
| * | lxvi. 24 | $\dagger$ ix. 48 |
| * Jer. | v. 21 | $\dagger$ viii. 18 |
|  | vii. II | $\mathrm{xi}_{1} \mathrm{I}_{7}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (Mt., Lc.) |
| Ezek. | xii. ${ }^{2}$ | $\dagger$ viii. 18 |
|  | xvii. ${ }^{2} 3$ | iv. 32 (Mt., Le.) |
|  | xxxiv. 5 | vi. 34 (Mt.) |
| Dan, | ii. $28,29,45$ | xiii. 7 (Mt., Lc.) |
|  | iv. 12,21 | iv. 32 (Mt.) |
|  | vii. 13 | xiii. 26, xiv. 62 (Mt., Le.) |
|  | ix. 27 | xiii. 14 (Mt.) |
|  | xi. 3 I | xiii. 14 (Mt.) |
| * | xii. I | xiii. i9 (Mt.) |
| * | xii. I 1 | xiii. 14 (Mt.) |
| Joel | iii. 13 | +iv. 29 |
| Mic. | yii. 6 | xiii. 12 (cf. Mt., Ic.) |
| Zech. | ii. ${ }^{10}$ | xiii. 27 (Mt.) |
|  | viii. 6 | x. 27 (Mt.) |
|  | ix.. II | xiv. 24 (Mt.) |
| * | xiii. 7 | xiv. 27 (Mt.) |
| *Mal. | iii. I | i. 2 (Mt, Lc.) |
|  | iv. 5 | ix. 12 (Mt.) |

A comparison of the formal and direct quotations with the Cambridge manual edition of the Lxx. ${ }^{1}$ will shew that while $\mathbf{S t}$ ${ }^{1}$ A more detailed comparison is given by Mr W . C. Allen in Exp. Times, xii. (1900-1) pp. 187 ff., 28 r fi.

Mark is generally in fair agreement with the ms．which on the whole presents the Lxx．in its relatively oldest form，there are some remarkable variations．

In the following list thick type is used where the text of the Cambridge lxx．diverges from the text of St Mark as edited in this volume．

 ös катабкєша́⿱㇒日ध т $\grave{\eta} \nu$ óóóv боv．


 Bovs aù $\mathbf{x}$ ô．

Mc．vii． 6 o $\lambda a \grave{s}$ s ovitos rot̂s





Mc．vii． $10^{a} \tau^{\prime} \mu \alpha$ тòv $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ Gov каì тйv $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ gov．

Mc．vii． $10^{\text {b }} \dot{\delta}$ какодоү ${ }^{\nu} \nu$
 та́тш．

Mc．ix． 48 о $\sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \xi$ a $\dot{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ où


Мс．х． 6 ä $\rho \sigma \epsilon v$ каì $\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v$ є̀ єтоí－ $\eta \sigma \epsilon v$ av̇tov́s．


 єis $\sigma \dot{\rho}$ ка діар．

 $\mu а \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta ̄ \sigma \eta \varsigma, \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon р \bar{\prime} \sigma \eta \varsigma, \tau і \mu а$




Mc．xi． $\boldsymbol{1}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ó oîkós $\mu$ ov oíкоs $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \chi \hat{\eta} s \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \hat{a} \sigma \omega \nu$ тois ยีย $\theta_{\nu \epsilon \sigma \tau}$ ．

Mc．$x i .17^{\mathrm{b}} \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a t o \nu \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．




Isa．xl． 3 ф $\omega v \grave{\eta}$ ßowvtos ${ }^{\text {Év }}$

 Bous тov̂ $\theta$ єov̀ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．





 кaì $\delta t \delta a \sigma к а \lambda i ́ a s$.

Exod．xx． 12 （Deut．v．i6）тípa тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma o v ~ к а \grave{~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a . ~}$

Exod．xxi． 16 （17）$\dot{\text { о какодоү }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$



Isa．lxvi． 24 ธ̊．．．$\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \lambda \eta \xi$ av̉ $\hat{\iota} \nu$ ov̉ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \epsilon\llcorner(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \underline{q} A)$ ，каi $\tau \grave{o}$

 $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aùroús．


 тal oi dúo єis $\sigma a ́ \rho к а ~ \mu i ́ a v . ~$

Exod．xx． $12-17$ тína тòv $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma o v ~ к а \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \ldots$ ．．．


 $\rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$ เ．

Ps．cxvii．（cxviii．）25， 26 のヘิ－
 èv óvónatc Kupíov．

Isa．lvi． 7 ס́．．．oíкós $\mu$ ои oíкos
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

Jer．vii．II $\sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a \iota o v \lambda_{\eta \sigma \tau} \omega \nu$ ．
 набар oi оікоборойvтєऽ, ойтоя



Mc. xii. 26 єiтєє ...'E $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ ó $\theta$ ө́os 'А $\beta$ рад̀ $\mu$ каì $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ ' I \sigma \alpha a ̀ к ~ к а i ̀ ~ \theta є o ̀ s ~$ 'І $\alpha \omega \bar{\beta} \beta$.
Mc. xii. 29 f. ằкоує, 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta_{\lambda} \lambda$.




 ${ }_{o}^{\circ} \lambda \eta \mathrm{s} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{i}$ i $\sigma \chi$ vos $\sigma o v$.


 $\pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ aủ́rov̂.
Mc. xii. 36 єitev Kivpıos $\tau \hat{\varphi}$

 ка́тө т $\omega \hat{\nu} \pi о д \hat{\omega} v$ боu.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$.
Mc. xiii. 19 $\theta \lambda i \not \psi$ s ola ou $\gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{v} . .$.
 $\theta$ च́к ${ }^{\prime}$ s.

Me. xiv. 27 тала́ $\xi_{\omega}$ тò $\nu \pi o l-$ $\mu$ '́va, каі̀ тà то́́ßата ঠьабкор$\pi \iota \sigma \theta$ グбоитa.
Mc. xiv. $34 \pi \epsilon \rho i \lambda v \pi о \varsigma . . . \dot{\eta}^{\dot{\prime}}$ $\psi v x \eta$.
 $\mu o v$, єis $\tau^{\prime}$ ' $^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda ı \pi \epsilon ́ s ~ \mu \epsilon$;

Ps. exvii. (exviii.) 22 f. тòv
 $\mu \circ \hat{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \epsilon$, ovi

 भ่ $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$

 каì $\theta$ єòs 'Іккш' $\beta$.

Deut. vi. 4 f. äкогє, ' ${ }^{\prime}$ б $\rho a \dot{\eta} \lambda$.





 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ бov ©s $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau o ́ v$.
 (à $\left.{ }^{( } \lambda \lambda o s ~ A\right) ~ \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ avioov.





Dan. xii. it (Lxx.) тò $\beta$ ốć$\lambda \nu \gamma \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$.

Dan. xii. I (Th.) $\theta$ diuts oia ov̉ $\gamma \in \operatorname{\epsilon } \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \nu .$.

Exod, xxiv. 8 тò aípa $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ हिa$\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ร.

Zach. xiii. 7 тatágare tov̀s mot-


Ps. xli. (xlii.) $6 \pi \epsilon \rho_{i} \lambda_{\nu \pi \pi} . . . \dot{\eta}^{i}$ $\psi v \times \eta$.



The variations, it will be seen, are not numerous or extensive, but they are sometimes well marked and of considerable interest. Details have been discussed, as far as space permitted, in the footnotes; but attention may be called here to a few points. (I) St Mark manifests an occasional leaning towards the text of cod. A (Gen. ii. 24 [?], Exod. xx. I3 ff. (order), xxi. I6, Deut. vi. 4, Zach. xiii. 7). (2) In a few remarkable instances he agrees with the other Synoptists against the Lxx. (Isa. xxix. I3, xl. 3,

Zach. xiii. 7, Mal. iii. 1). (3) While his s.xx. quotations usually exhibit the same text as St Matthew's and St Luke's, he is here and there independent of one or both (Exod. xx. 13 ff ., Deut. vi. 4, Ps. xxi. (xxii.) I, cix. (cx.) I).

With few exceptions (e.g. i. 2, 3) St Mark's references to the Old Testament occur in his report of the words of our Lord or of those who conversed with Him. But the commentary will make it probable that our Evangelist was intimately acquainted with the language of the Greek Bible ${ }^{1}$. To the Lxx. he was probably indebted for nearly all that he knew of Greek as a written language ${ }^{2}$, as well as for the form in which his conceptions of the Messiah and the Kingdom of GoD were generally cast.

[^56]conclasive, merely establishing a probability that Mc. had other resources, such
 unnaturally possess, which rendered him more independent of the Lxx. vocabulary than the other Synoptists.

## VIII.

## EXTERNAL CONDITIONS OF THE LIFE OF CHRIST AS DEPICTED BY ST MARK.

1. Two sections of Palestine make up the field of St Mark's
 simply $\dot{\eta}$ 'Iovסaia); and two cities stand prominently forward as the centres of the movement, Capernaum (Kaфappaov́ $\mu$ ), and Jerusalem (in Mc. always 'Ieporó $\lambda \nu \mu a$ ). Adjacent regions are also mentioned, into some of which the scene occasionally passesIdumaea, Peraea ( $\pi$ épay ’Iopóávou), Phoenicia ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ Tv́pod кai

 $\left.\Gamma_{\epsilon} \rho a \sigma \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$; and other towns and villages-Nazareth ( $\mathrm{N} a \zeta \alpha \rho \in ́ \tau$ ), Bethsaida, Dalmanutha (? Magdala or Mageda), Caesarea (Kaıбapía $\dot{\eta} \Phi i \lambda i \pi \pi o v)$, Tyre, Sidon, Jericho, Bethphage, Bethany. The river Jordan, the 'wilderness' of Judaea ( $\dot{\eta}$ ép $\rho \mu \sigma s$ ), the waste or common ground in the neighbourhood of the towns of Galilee and Gaulonitis ( $\epsilon \rho \eta \mu o \iota ~ \tau o ́ \pi o \iota, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu i a$ ), the lake ( $\dot{\eta} \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ т $\hat{\eta}$ s Гa $\lambda_{\epsilon} \iota \lambda a i a \rho$, or $\dot{\eta} \theta \dot{d} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ ), the Galilean and Peraean hills ( $\tau \dot{o}$ ö $\rho o s, \tau a ̀ \quad o \quad \rho \eta$ ), a 'high mountain' in the North which is probably Hermon, and the Mount of Olives ( $\tau \dot{o}$ öpos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ è $\lambda a \iota \omega \nu$ ), complete the geographical surroundings of the narrative.

[^57]analogy may have had weight, it is probable that $\Gamma a \lambda \varepsilon i \lambda a i a$ is a genuine attempt to reprodiace the sound of the Hebrew word, and that the diphthong answers to the long vowel in bif: Cf. WH. Notes, p. 155.

If we consider the extent of our Lord's itinerations, this list will appear singularly meagre. During the period covered by Mc. i. 14-ix. so He seems to have evangelised in person or through the Twelve every part of Galilee, and a portion at least of the vaguely defined region east of the Jordan which was known as the Decapolis, besides undertaking a journey through Phoenicia and across the Lebanon. These missionary journeys led Him through all the towns and larger villages ( $\kappa \omega \mu \circ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ) of the most densely populated part of Palestine ; but though St Mark relates the fact (i. 38 ff ., vi. 6 ff .), he is silent as to the names of the places visited. Nor again, graphic as he is, does he stop to describe the effect produced upon fishermen of the little inclosed freshwater lake by their first sight of the Mediterranean and of the glories of Lebanon and Hermon. The Evangelist keeps strictly to his purpose, and allows himself to enter into details only when they illustrate the matter which is in hand. He is more concerned to set forth the character and method of the Ministry than the names of its localities. Nevertheless the indications of place are distinct enough to fix the geographical surroundings of almost every important incident, if we may assume that St Mark's order is roughly chronological. Of the events reported in c. x. I-3 I no more can be said than that they took place in Judaea or in Peraea (x. I). But in both the greater sections of the history (i. I4-ix. 50, x. 32 -xvi. 8) localisation can be carried into details.

This is obvious in x. 32-xvi. 8; but a little examination will shew that it is true also of the earlier section. Capernaum or its neighbourhood on the west side of the Lake is the scene of i. $16-38$, ii. 1 -iii. 12 , iii. $20-\mathrm{iv} .36$, v. $21-43$, vi. $53-\mathrm{vii} .23$, ix. $33-50$, whilst v. 1-20, vi. $32-47$, vii. $32-$ viii. $9,22-26$ belong to the eastern shore, and iv. $37-4 \mathrm{I}$, vi. $48-52$, viii. 14-21, to the Lake itself; journeyings through Galilee, Phoenicia, Abilene and Ituraea occupy i. 39-45, iii. 13-19, vi. 1-13, 30-3I, vii. 24-3I, viii. 27-ix. 32. This accounts for the whole section i. 14-ix. 50 with the exception of vi. 14-29, which consists of an explanatory episode and belongs, as we learn from an independent source, to Machaerus on the east of the Dead Sea. In many cases we can locate separate incidents yet more precisely. Thus the events of i. 21-34, ii. I-12, ix. 33-50, are expressly
connected with Capernaum ; others belong to Gerasa, Gennesaret, Bethsaida, Nazareth, the neighbourhoods of Tyre and Caesarea Philippi. The exact locality however is more frequently described than named; the writer is usually content to place the event in its physical surroundings-in a house, on the road, by the side of the lake, among the hills, or wherever it may have occurred-but information of this kind is rarely withheld.

This method of localising the incidents imparts distinctness and movement to the history, while it does not burden the reader's memory with mere lists of names. At the same time it offers guidance in the construction of an intelligible plan of the Ministry. We can see quite clearly that the Ministry in Galilee found its centre in Capernaum; there it begins and ends (i. 2I, ix. 33). Other Gospels couple Chorazin with Capernaum (Mt. xi. 2I ff., Lc. x. I3 ff.); St Mark mentions no other town on the west shore of the lake, and thus fixes attention on the head-quarters of the movement. Capernaum was the home of Simon and Andrew (i. 29) and Levi (ii. 15); from Capernaum easy access could be had, not only to every part of the lake-district, but, by means of the great roads which were within reach, to every part of Palestine. The roads brought people together from east and west, north and south (iii. 8), and at other times carried the Lord and the Twelve upon their errand of preaching the Gospel to the rest of Galilee. So far as we can judge, it belonged to our Lord's design to evangelise the Tetrarchy thoroughly, while He made the lake-side the centre of His work. In St Mark we can see how the wider purpose was worked into the narrower. The itinerations occur at intervals determined by circumstances; whenever the enthusiasm of the crowd rose to a dangerous height, or the hostility of the Scribes at Capernaum or of the court-party at Tiberias rendered a temporary withdrawal expedient, the Lord used the interval either in evangelistic work (i. 35 ff ., vi. I ff.), or in intercourse with the Twelve, for which leisure and privacy were gained by travel (vii. 24 ff., viii. 27 ff.). Towards the end of the Ministry in Galilee the latter employment predominated, and in this fact it is impossible not to see the working out of a Divine plan. The solitudes of Lebanon and Hermon afforded an unrivalled scene for the teaching
of the laws of the Kingdom to the future Apostles and their initiation into the mystery of the Passion.

Besides the journey from Judaea to Galilee (i. 14), the Gospel describes (i.) three voyages on the lake, with visits to places in the neighbourhood, (ii.) three inland journeys in Galilee, (iii.) three longer journeys. The particulars are as follows: i. i. From Capernaum to the land of the Gerasenes and back (iv. 35, v. r, 21). 2. From some point on the west shore, probably north of Capernaum, to the neighbourhood of Bethsaida, and back to Gennesaret (vi. 32, 53). 3. From some point on the east shore to the neighbourhood of Dalmanutha, and from thence to Bethsaida (viii. ro, 22). ii. 1. Circuit of Galilee ; return to Capernaum (i. 39, ii. 1). 2. Visit to the hill-country; return to Capernaum (iii. 13). 3. Circuit of the villages beginning with Nazareth; return to the lake (vi. I, 6, $3^{2}$ ). iii. I. Fron Capernaum to Phoenicia, through Sidon, and round to Decapolis and the lake (vii. 24, 31). 2. From Bethsaida to the neighbourhood of Caesarea Philippi, thence northwards to Hermon; return through Gaililee to Capernaum (viii. 27-ix. 33). 3. From Capernaum to Judaea and Peraea (x. I).

For the identification of the various sites see the commentary upon the text, and the maps. It is to be understood that the dotted lines in the latter give merely the probable direction of the routes.
2. Into the political conditions of the countries where our Lord worked or travelled, St Mark allows his readers only a passing glimpse. He is almost obviously indifferent as to precise details of this kind. Herod Antipas is introduced as 'the king' (vi. 14, in a context where both Mt. and Lc. are careful to write ó $\tau \in \tau \rho a \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \bar{\zeta})$. There is nothing to shew that when Christ crossed the lake to Bethsaida or Gerasa He entered another tetrarchy, or that He came under the authority of the legatus Syriae when He visited Phoenicia, and under that of the Procurator of Judaea when He reached Jericho. Yet if St Mark's history is placed in the light of these facts, it is seen to be in full accord with them. Tyre and Sidon, Caesarea Philippi, and even Bethsaida Julias are recognised as places of relative safety, where the Lord can shelter for a time from the intrigues of Herod. On the other hand, He is represented as being aware that in going up to Jerusalem He is encountering greater peril than in Galilee; there He will be delivered to Gentile officials ( $\tau \sigma \hat{\imath} \stackrel{\imath}{s}$ ë $\nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ), and die by a Roman punishment. If the writer of this Gospel does not display a
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knowledge of the complex political life which prevailed in Palestine at the time, his reticence is not due to ignorance.
3. On the state of religion in Galilee and Judaea St Mark is less reserved. The synagogues in Galilee, the Temple and Precinct at Jerusalem, control the ecclesiastical life of the two provinces; in the North the $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \sigma v \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma \sigma$, , in the South the $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \in \epsilon \hat{\iota}$, are the ecclesiastical authorities. But in both the religious teachers of the people are the Scribes-oi yoaumateîs, as St Mark uniformly calls them-and we meet them everywhere, at Capernaum (ii. 6), among the villages under Hermon (ix. I4), and at Jerusalem. Of the two great religious sects which divide religious opinion, the Pharisees are found both in Galilee and Judaea; of the Sadducees St Mark makes no mention till he reaches the last scenes at Jerusalem. In these the Pharisaic Scribes fall into the background, and their place is taken by the Sadduceau priesthood which dominates the capital. There is a delicate mark of truth in this sudden but unannounced change, of which indications may be found everywhere in the last five chapters of the Gospel. On the first morning after His entrance into the Precinct the Lord comes into collision with the hierarchy through His action in the matter of the temple-market. From that moment they take the lead in seeking His death: they head the deputation from the Sanhedrin which demands to know His authority; they negotiate with Judas for the betrayal; a servant of the High Priest seems to have been foremost in the arrest; the Lord is taken from Gethsemane to the High Priest's Palace, and, though other members of the Sanhedrin are present, the condemnation is evidently the act of the priesthood, and it is from them that the Procurator learns the nature of the charge. Even Pilate could detect the motive which inspired them. For traditionalism, which concerned the Scribes so deeply, they cared little; but they could not suffer a superior, and if Jesus were the Christ, or were generally regarded in that light, their supremacy was at an end. Thus Jesus was condemned in the end not for His supposed contempt of the Law, written or oral, but for His acceptance of the Messiauic character. The result is widely different from what the
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experience of Galilee would have led the reader to expect; but it is fully explained by the change of circumstances which St Mark assumes but does not stop to relate.

Not less interesting is the light which the Evangelist throws upon the religious and social condition of the mass of the Jewish people. There is here again a marked distinction between the North and the South, though our attention is hardly called to it. In Galilee we find ourselves in the midst of a population which on the whole is rural; the towns are for the most part $\kappa \omega \mu о \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$, and round them are uninhabited spaces, high ground, cornfields ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\sigma \pi \dot{o} \rho(\mu a)$, open country dotted with villages and farms (ajooi). The history moves among the working classes, the fishermen and husbandmen who were the backbone of the lake-side people. At Tiberias and Machaerus the court of Antipas attracted men of another stamp, and on the occasion of the Tetrarch's birthday we see the "heads of Galilee" (oi $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \Gamma a \lambda \epsilon i \lambda a i ́ a s) ~ m i n g l i n g ~$ with high officials and military tribunes (oi $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a ̃ \nu \epsilon \rho, o i \quad \chi i \lambda_{i}$ ap $\chi 0$ o ). But at Capernaum the only indications of proximity to a seat of government are the $\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu t o \nu$ which faces the shore, and the "Herodians" with whom the local Pharisees take counsel. The most striking feature here is the vast throng (o o o $\chi \lambda$ os, oi of $\chi \lambda 0 i$ ) which surrounds the Prophet of Nazareth all day long and day after day. It is replenished from all parts of Syria, but the bulk of the crowd must always have come from the lake-side towns and villages (cf. vi. 55). This crowd is uniformly friendly and indeed enthusiastic, intent in the first instance upon getting its sick healed or watching and admiring the miracles, but also attracted by a teaching which was strangely unlike that of other Rabbis (i. 21, 27). Many elements were mingled in this Galilean audience; a few were themselves Rabbis, and these were at least secretly hostile; the majority were doubtless members of synagogues and men of unblemished orthodoxy (cf. Acts x. 14), but there was also a large following of persons who had no place in the religious life of Judaism ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} a \iota$ каi $\dot{a} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda o i ́, ~ i i . ~ I 5), ~$ but were not averse to religious instruction such as Jesus offered. Our Lord was touched by their enthusiasm; it revealed a yearning
for guidance which deserved better shepherding than it received at the hands of their official guides (vi. 34). But He was at the same time grieved by the immaturity and obtuseness which rendered the masses impervious to directly spiritual teaching, and indeed unworthy of it (iv. II ff.). Even the picked companions of His journeys in Galilee retained much of the callousness and blindness which belonged to their environment (viii. 17, 21 ). Hence the Galilean teaching of Christ was limited to elementary lessons of truth, or, if it went further, was clothed in parables (iv. II f.).

Of the Jerusalemites this Gospel tells us little, but there are indications that the influences at work among them were widely different. The Lord had friends and disciples in Jerusalem and the neighbourhood-the household of Simon at Bethany (xiv. 3), Joseph of Arimathaea, the owner of Gethsemanc, and the master of the house in the city where the last supper was eaten. But it may be doubted whether the Galilean Prophet was popular in the city. The crowds who escorted Him to Jerusalem, and who hung on His words in the Court of the Gentiles, were largely made up of Galileans and visitors; the crowd of citizens which thronged up to the Praetorium when the news of His arrest spread through the city, was chiefly interested in the opportunity of pressing its claims upon Pilate (xv. 8), and yielded to the importunity of the áp $\chi \iota \in \rho \in i s$ (xv. II). The report that Jesus had threatened to destroy the Temple easily turned the scale of feeling against Him; no release was attempted, no hands were laid on the party who had brought about His crucifixion, no sympathy was extended to Him on the cross by the passers-by, who mocked His sufferings (xv. 29). On the other hand our Lord's attitude at Jerusalem shews that He was brought face to face there with questions quite distinct from those which met Him in Galilee. He was no longer under a government which, though pagan in spirit, preserved the forms of Judaism; the shadow of the Roman imperium lay upon Jerusalem, and He was called there to vindicate. His Messiahship, and to settle the apparently conflicting claims of Caesar and God,
4. The Gospel abounds with minute references to the external features of life.

Its vocabulary is rich in words which describe clothing (íáriov,














 ture and other rural pursuits ( $\sigma \pi o ́ \rho \iota \mu a, \pi \rho a \sigma \iota a ́, \alpha ̉ \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \iota o v$,

 $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \rho, \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \rho a, \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu)$, boating and fishing ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \varsigma, \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega$,



 $\mu \dot{v} \rho o v$, áp $\dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha)$. A considerable number of these words are used by no other N.T. writer.

Besides this free use of words which describe the visible surroundings of life, there are many less manifest but not less instructive traces of local knowledge; such as the references to pauperism which appear only in connexion with Judaea and Jerusalem ( $\pi \tau \omega \chi \chi^{\prime} \varsigma$, x. 21, xii. 42 f., xiv. 5, 7; т $\left.\rho o \sigma a i ́ t \eta s, ~ x . ~ 46\right), ~$ and a similarly restricted use of $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta$ 's (xi. 17, xiv. 48) and $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta$ 's (xiv. 7); the tacit assumption of the general employment of Aramaic, at least in Galilee, which underlies such
 of words which seem to imply that in Hellenised places, such as the Decapolis and the neighbourhood of Caesarea Philippi, the Lord's ministry was limited to the villages and open country, and that He did not enter the practically pagan towns.

St Mark's interests do not lie in the field of contemporary

EXTERNAL CONDITIONS OF THE LIFE OF CHRIST. lxxxix history or political geography or in the social condition of Palestine. Every detail of this kind in his Gospel is merely incidental. But his passion for exact description, so far as it can be brought within the compass of his work, leads him unconsciously to supply a variety of information on these subjects, whilst his residence in Jerusalem and his personal relation to St Peter assure us that the information which he gives $i \approx$ first-hand and accurate.

## IX.

## ST MARK'S CONCEPTION OF THE PERSON AND OFFICE OF OUR LORD.

Whether the present headline of the Gospel in its fuller form is due to St Mark or not, it admirably expresses the idea of the book. It is the Gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God. St Mark begins (i. 2) by quoting two well-known Messianic passages (MaI. iii. I, Isa. xl. 3), and tracing their accomplishment in the mission of the Baptist; and his next step is to shew that at His Baptism Jesus was declared to be the Beloved Son (i. ir). Thus he places in the forefront of the work the presupposition of our Lord's Messianic office and Divine Sonship, and all that follows is a record of the historical maniféstation of the Christ.

According to St Mark the Lord began His Galilean Ministry in the character of the Baptist's successor, repeating St John's message, and carrying it a stage further (i. 15). His method, however, was new. John had appeared in the wilderness, Jesus shewed Himself in the heart of Galilee; John waited till men came to him, Jesus sought them out, and called them to follow Him (i. I7 ff.); John was a preacher only, Jesus on His first sabbath in Capernaum revealed His power over unclean spirits (i. 27), who at once recognised Him as the Holy One of God (i. 24), the Messiah (i. 34), and the Son of God (iii. ir, v. 7). But their premature and hostile testimony was refused and silenced, and the Lord proceeded to reveal Himself by other means. He began by applying to Himself the title Son of man (ii. ıо), which, while it implied a relation to human weakness and mortality (viii. 3I, ix. 9, 3I, x. 33,45 , xiv. 2I, 4I), at the same time asserted His
authority over all matters connected with the spiritual well-being of the human race; and in this capacity he claimed the right to forgive sins upon earth (ii. IO), to regulate the observance of the Sabbath (iii. 28), and to adjudge future rewards and punishments (viii. 38 f.).

But neither friends nor enemies could find an explanation of His extraordinary powers in a name which seemed to carry no assertion of a superhuman origin. At Nazareth the wisdom and the miracles of the Son of Mary excited both surprise and resentment (vi. 2, 3). His own family and friends saw in them indications of madness which called for interference and restraint (iii. 2r). Learned scribes, who had come down from Jerusalem to enquire and report, hazarded the conjecture that He was possessed by the chief of the unclean spirits (iii. 22). Among the crowd, on the other hand, whispers were heard that Jesus was a prophet, and one of the same rank as the Prophets of the canon; possibly Elijah himself, the expected forerunner of the Messiah (vi. I5, ix. II), or the Baptist restored to life (vi. 14, 16, viii. 28). The Twelve shared the general perplexity (iv. 4I). There is no indication that any one in Galilee, while the Ministry was in progress, stumbled upon the truth, or that Jesus during this period either publicly or privately declared Himself to be the Christ.

The Twelve were the first to make the discovery, but they did not make it till our Lord's work in Galilee was practically at an end. He was on His way to Caesarea Philippi, with his back turned upon Capernaum and the Lake, when He raised the question of His own personality, and received from St Peter the immediate answer "Thou art the Christ" (viii. 29). For the Apostles the moment was decisive. Henceforth the Messiahship of Jesus was a part of their faith, and the ruling idea of their lives; they knew themselves to be Christ's (ix. 4I). The Lord now began to speak to them freely of His future glory (viii. 38); to Peter and the two sons of Zebedee, whom he seems to have constituted His three witnesses (v. 37, ix. 2, xiv. 33), He granted a remarkable anticipation of it, which at once confirmed and interpreted St Peter's confession. The Transfiguration proved
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that Jesus was not a mere Prophet, not even Elijah, but greater than Elijah and Moses himself; it repeated the Divine assurance vouchsafed to the Baptist, that the Son of Mary was also the beloved or unique Son of God (ix. 7); it revealed Him for a moment clad in the glory of the Father, and thus rebuked the expectations which had begun to rise in minds that savoured not the things of GoD, while it encouraged hopes of a more than earthly magnificence. Raiment such as the Messiah wore at His Transfiguration no fuller on earth could whiten (ix. 3); all was celestial and superhuman in this vision of the glorified Christ.

Another revelation began simultaneously with that of the Lord's Messianic dignity. From the moment that St Peter confessed Him to be the Christ, Jesus set Himself to foretell His coming Passion (viii. 34); and the prediction was repeated more than once with growing clearness during the months which followed the Transfiguration (ix. 3r, x. 33). But the doctrine of the Cross, while it perplexed and disquieted the Twelve, awoke no response in their hearts, and did not even penetrate their understandings (ix. 32, x. 32, 35 ff.). False ambitions were at work in them, shutting out the true conception of the Kingdom of God; and the Lord was occupied at this period in dispelling these errors, and teaching the primary laws of self-sacrifice and service (ix. 33 ff., x. 2I-31, 35-45).

When at last the Lord approached Jerusalem to offer His own Sacrifice, the occasion for the reserve which He had practised in Galilee had passed away. His Messiahship was no longer a secret to be kept by the Twelve; it was openly recognised and acknowledged. At Jericho for the first time in this Gospel we hear the cry Son of David (x. 47). On the Mount of Olives the crowd acclaimed the coming Kingdom of our futher David (xi. Io). In the parable of the vineyard the Lord openly represented Himself as the Beloved Son and the Heir (xii. 6, 7). His question on Ps. cx. I, though it dealt only with the general subject of the Messianic dignity, was doubtless understood to refer to Himself. When Caiaphas asked Art Thou the Christ? the Lord, according to St Mark, replied without hesitation $I$ am,
adding words from the Book of Daniel which placed His early claim to be the Son of Man in connexion with the vision of a Messianic Kingdom (xiv. 62). It was as Messiah that He was condemned to the Cross, for the King of the Jews is but the Christ,' expressed in terms intelligible to a Roman judge. The banter with which Hc was assailed on the Cross proves that His claim to be Messiah was uppermost in the thoughts of the people of Jerusalem, from the hierarchy downwards: let the Christ, the King of Israel, come down now from the cross; He calleth Elijah...let us see whether Elijah cometh to take Him down (xv. 32-36).

The abrupt end of St Mark's work prevents us from ascertaining his conception of the Risen Christ. We do not know whether the original work was ever brought to a completion. But if it was, a comparison of Mc. xvi. 7 with Mt. xxviii. 7 suggests that St Mark, like St Matthew, proceeded to give an account of the meeting in Galilee ${ }^{1}$. In such a narrative, if it followed the general lines of $\mathrm{Mt}_{\mathbf{r}}$ xxviii. $\mathbf{1} 6$ - 20 , our Evangelist's view of the Person and work of Jesus Christ the Son of GoD would have found its natural issue. The Lord had begun His ministry in Galilee by claiming authority over the spiritual forces which are at work in man's world (Mc. ii. 10, 27); this claim was renewed in His last utterances, and extended to things in heaven (Mt. xxviii. 18). He had foretold the catholic mission of His Gospel (Mc. xiii. ro, xiv. 9) ; before He left the world He provided for its worldwide propagation (Mt. xxviii. 19). He had been revealed as the Beloved Son (Mc. i. ir, ix. 7, xii. 6), and had identified His work with the operation of the Divine Spirit (Mc. iii. 29, 30); He now completed the revelation of His oneness with the Father and the Spirit by the command that all His disciples should be baptized into the Name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost. He had taken the Twelve to be with Him in the association of a common life (Mc. iii. 14), and now He pledged Himself to be
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with them and with His whole Church until the consummation of the age.

St Mark does not write with a dogmatic purpose. But the Person whose movements are depicted in his vivid narrative is seen to be at once man and more than man. In every act and word the Christ of the second Gospel is revealed as the supreme Son of man and the only Son of God. No Gospel brings into clearer light the perfect humanity of the Lord. He can be touched (i. 41 ) and grieved and angered (iii. 5); He makes as though He does not hear (v. 36) or does not see (vi. $4^{8}$ ), He is moved with indignation (x. I4), He permits Himself to use irony (xiv. 4I) ; He sleeps from fatigue (iv. 38); He possesses a human spirit (ii. 8), soul (xiv. 34), and body (xv. 43), with all their capacities and their sinless limitations. He turns to see who has touched Him (v. 30); He asks questions, apparently for the purpose of gaining information (viii. 5). He submits Himself absolutely to the Father's will (xiv. 36); He disclaims the right to make the final award apart from the Father's predestination (x. 40); He professes Himself ignorant, as the Son, of the Father's appointed time (xiii. 32). On the other hand He claims an authority in the sphere of man's relations to GoD which is coextensive with the present order (ii. 10, 28); He knows precisely what is passing in men's minds and hearts, and the circumstances of their lives (ii. 5, 8, viii. 17, ix. 3 f., xii. 15, 44); He foresees and foretells the future, whether His own (viii. 31 , 38) or that of individual men (x. 39, xiv. 27) and communities (xiii. r ff.); in the most trying situations He manifests absolute wisdom and self-adaptation; even in His death He extorts from a Roman centurion the acknowledgement that He was a supernatural person (xv. 39). The centurion's words express the conviction with which the student of St Mark rises from his examination of the Gospel; truly this man was Son of GoD. But for those who have before them the whole record of that supreme human life they bear a meaning of which the Roman could not have dreamt; we realise that the Sonship of Jesus was unique and essential. It was not a servant who was sent in the last
resort to receive the fruits of the Divine Vineyard, but the only Son, Who is the Heir of God (xii. 2-7).

Limited as St Mark's work is to recollections of the Lord's Ministry and Passion, it is full of glimpses into His future relations to the world. I came not to call the righteous but sinners (ii. 17 ); the Son of man...came...to give His life a ransom for many (x. 45) ; My blood of the covenant...is shed for many (xiv. 24); every one shall be salted with fire (ix. 49); the Bridegroom shall be taken away (ii. 20); the Son of man...shall come in the glory of His Father (viii. 38); the Gospel must first be preached to all the nations (xiii. 10); if any man willeth to come after me let him deny himself (viii. 34); have salt in yourselves, and be at peace one with another (ix. 50); have faith in GoD...pray...believe... forgive (xi. 23 ff .) ; what I say unto you I say unto all, Watch (xiii. 37). These and similar sayings contain an almost complete outline of Christian soteriology and eschatology, and assert the principles of the new life which the Lord taught and exemplified and which His Spirit was to produce in the life of the future Church.

## X.

## AUTHORITIES FOR THE TEXT.

1. The following Uncial mss. contain the Greek text of St Mark in part or in whole.
N. Cod. Sinaiticus (rv.). Ed. Tischendorf, 1862. Ends at xvi. 8 (see § xi.).
A. Cod. Alexandrinus (v.). Ed. E. M. Thompson, 1879.
B. Cod. Vaticanus, 1209 (iv.). Ed. Cozza-Luzi, $1889^{\circ}$. Ends at xvi. 8 (see § xi.).
C. Cod. Ephraemi (v.). Ed. Tischendorf, 1843. Contains Mc. i. $\mathbf{1 7}^{- \text {-vi. } 3 \mathrm{I} \text {, viii. } 5 \text {-xii. } 29 \text {, xiii. 19-xvi. } 20 . ~}$
D. Cod. Bezae (vi.). Ed. F. H. A. Scrivener, 1864 ; reproduced in heliogravure by the Camb. Univ. Press ${ }^{1}$, 1899. Contains Mc., except xvi. 15-20, which is in a later hand.
E. Cod. Basiliensis (viri.).
F. Cod. Boreelianus (ix.). Contains Mc. i. I-4I, ii. 8-23, iii. 5-xi. 6, xi. 27 -xiv. 54, xv. 6-39, xvi. 19 -20.
G. Cod. Seidelianus I. (Ix. or x.). Contains Mc. i. 13-xiv. 18, xiv. 25 -xvi. 20.
H. Cod. Seidelianus II. (ix. or x.). Contains Mc. i. $1-31$, ii. 4 - xv. 43, xvi. $14-20$.
I. Fragm. Petropolitanum (v.). Ed. Tischendorf, mon. sacr. ined., nov. coll. i., $\mathbf{1 8 5 5}^{2}$. Contains Mc. ix. 14-22, xiv. 58-70.
K. Cod. Cyprius (ix.).
I. Cod. Regius (viil.). Ed. Tischendorf, mon. sacr. ined., 1846. Contains Mc. i. 1-x. 15, x. $30-\mathrm{xv}$. x , xv. $20-$ xvi. 20 ; the shorter ending precedes xvi. 9 (see $\S$ xi.).
M. Cod. Campianus (ix.).
${ }^{1}$ A useful collation of D with Gebhardt's text is printed in Nestle's N.T. Gr. supplementum (Lips., 1896).
N. Cod. Purpureus (vi.). Ed. Tischendorf, mon. sacr. ined., 1846; an edition including the new St Petersburg fragments has been published by the Rev. H. S. Cronin in Texts and Studies, v. 4 (Cambridge, 1899). Contains v. $20-$ vii. 4 , vii. $20-$ viii. 32 , ix. $1-$ x. 43 , xi. 7 -xii. 19 , xiv. 25-xv. 23, xv. 33-42.
P. Cod. Guelpherbytanus (vi.). Ed. Tischendorf, mon. sacr. ined., nov. coll. vi., 1869. Contains i. 2-11, iii. 5-17, xiv. $13-24,48-61$, xv. $12-37$.
S. Cod. Vaticanus 354 (x.).

Td. Cod. Borgianus (vin.). Contains Mc. i. 3-8, xii. 35-37.
U. Cod. Nanianus (IX. or x.).
V. Cod. Moscuensis (Ix.).
$W^{\text {b }}$. Fragm. Neapolitanum (viII, or Ix.). Contains Mc. xiii. 21 —xiv. 67.
Wc. Fragm. Sangallense (Ix.). Contains Mc. ii. 8-16.
Wd. Fragm. Cantabrigiense (Ix.). Contains Mc. vii. 3-4, 6-8, 30--viii. 16, ix. 2, 7-9. Ed. J. P. Harris (in an Appendix to his Diatessaron of Tatian, 1890).
W£. Fragm. Oxoniense aed. Chr. (ix.). Contains Mc. v. i6-2I, 22-28, 29-35, 35-40.
Ws. Fragm. Londiniense (Ix.). Contains Me. i. 1 - -42 , ii. 2 Iv. 1, v. 29-vi. 22, x. $50-$ xi. 13 .

Wh. Fragm. Oxoniense Bodl. (Ix.). Contains Mc. iii. $15-32$, v. 16 - 3 r .

W1. Fragm. Parisiense I. (vii.). Contains Mc. xiii. 34-xiv. 29.
$W^{m}$. Fragm. Parisiense II. (vil. or vinc.). Contains Mc. i. 27-41.
Wo. Fragm. Mediolanense (Ix.). Contains Mc. i. 12-24, ii. 26-iii. Io.
X. Cod. Monacensis (x.). Contains Mc. vi. 47-xvi. 2o; many verses in xiv.-xvi, are defective.
T. Cod. Oxoniensis (Ix. or x.). Contains Mc. i. I-iii. 34, vi. 2 I-xvi. 20.
$\Delta . \quad$ Cod. Sangallensis (rx. or x.). Ed. Rettig, 1836 . On the text of this ms. in Mc. see WH., Intr. $\$ 209,225,229$, 307, $35^{2}$; Nestle, Textual Criticism of the N.T., p. 72.
$\Theta^{\text {b }}$. Fragm. Petropolitanum I. (vir.). Contains Mc. iv. 24-35, v. $14-23$.
$\Theta^{\text {f. }}$. Fragm. Porfirianum (vi.). Contains Mc. i. 34-ii. 12, with some lacunae.
П. Cod. Petropolitanus (Ix.). Contains Mc., except xvi. 1820, which is in a later hand.
2. Cod. Rossanensis (vi.). Ed. Gebhardt and Harnack, 1883. Contains Mc., except xvi. 14-20.
Ф. Cod. Beratinus (vi.). Ed. Batiffol, 1886. Contains Mc. i. I-xiv. 6 z.
世. Cod. Athous Laurae (vinf. or Ix.). Contains Me. ix. 5xvi. 20 ; the shorter ending precedes xvi. $9^{1}$.

』. Cod. Athous Dionysii (vint. or Ix.).
ב. Cod. Athous Andreac (ix. or x.). Contains Mc.i. I-v. 4o, vi. 18 -viii. 35 , ix. $19 — x v i .20$.
$7^{10}$. Fragm. Sinaiticum (v.). Ed. J. R. Harris, Biblical Fragments, 1890 . Contains Mc. i. 1 I-22, ii. 21 -iii. 3, iii. 27 -iv. 4, v. 9-20.
711. Fragm. Sinaiticum (vr.). Ed. J. R. Harris, op, cit. Contains Mc. xii. $32-37$.
$7^{12}$. Fragm. Sinaiticum (vin.). Ed. J. R. Harris, op. cit., and in Mrs Lewis's Syriac MSS., p. 103. Contains Mc. xiv. 29 -45, xv. 27-xvi. 10; the shorter ending precedes xvi. 9.
p. Fragm. Parisiense (viri.). Ed. Amélineau, ap. Notices et Extraits, xxxiv. ii. pp. 370, 402 ff. Contains Mc. xvi. 6-18; the shorter ending precedes $x$ vi. $9^{2}$.
7 Fragm. Oxyrhynchitanum (v. or vi.). Ed. Grenfell and Hunt, Oxyrhynchus papyri, i., 1898 . Contains Mc. x. 50 f ., xi. IIf.
For the Freer ms. of the Four Gospels see p. 404.
2. The cursive Greek Mss. which contain this Gospel are far too numerous to be recited here. According to Gregory (Prolegomena (1884-94), pp. 6I6, 717, I310, the known cursive Mss. of the Gospels are 1287, besides 953 lectionaries; Mr Miller (Scrivener's Introduction (1894), i. p. 283, 396* f.) enumerates I 326 Gospels and 980 lectionaries. The following list is limited to those which are frequently cited in the apparatus.

1. Basle, Univ. Libr. (x.). Ed. K. Lake in Texts and Studies, vil. 3, 1902.
${ }^{3}$ I 3. Paris, Nat. Libr. (xili.) ; wants Mc. i. 20-45.
2. Paris, Nat. Libr. (xi.).
3. Paris, Nat. Libr. (ex. or x.); wants Mc. ix. 3I-xì. II, xiii. 11-xiv. 59.
4. Cambridge, Gonville and Caius Coll. (xıI)) cf. J. I. Harris, Origin of the Leicester Codex.
${ }^{1}$ On the text of this Codex in Mc. see J. Th. St., i. p. $2 g \circ \mathrm{ff}$., and Studia Biblica, $\nabla .2$, pp. $97-\mathrm{IO}_{4}$; the latter gives also a complete transcript of the Marcan fragment (pp. 105-122).
${ }^{2}$ For this as. Nestle proposes the
symbol $\mathbf{T}^{1}$ (Textual Criticism of the $N$. IT., pp. 7c, 74 ).
${ }^{3}$ For these mss. see Dr T. K. Abbott, Collation of four important MSS., 1877; cf. J. R. Harris, On the origin of the Ferrar Group, 1893.
5. Cambridge, Trin. Coll. (x. or xilr.).
${ }^{3} 6$ g. Leicester, Tibr. of Town Council (xv.); cf. J. R. Harris, op. cit.
rog. London, Brit. Mus. (xiv.).
1i8. Oxford, Bodl. Libr. (xini.).
${ }^{3}{ }^{\mathbf{1} 24}$. Vienna, Imp. Libr. (xir.).
6. Rome, Vat. Libi. (xiv. or xy.).
7. Rome, Vat. Libr. (xir.).
8. Venice, S. Mark's Libr. (xiv. and xv.).
9. Moscow, Libr. of the Holy Synod (xi.).
10. Moscow, Libr. of the Holy Synod (xir.).
11. Paris, Nat. Libr. (xiı.).
12. Paris, Nat. Libr. (x. or xı.).
${ }^{3} 346$. Milan, Ambr. Libr. (x. or xi.).
13. Leyden, Univ. Libr. (x.).

482 (= $\mathrm{p}^{\text {ser }}, 570$ Miller). London, Brit. Mus. (xiil.).
556 ( $=543$ Greg.). Burdett-Coutts collection (xir.). See Scrivener, Adversaria crit. sacr., p. 1 ff.
565 ( $=2^{\text {pe }}$ Tisch.,$=8$ I WH., $=473$ Miller). St Petersburg, Imp. Libr. (Ix. or x.). Edited by Belsheim, 1885 ; corrections of his text are supplied in an appendix to Mr Cronin's edition of cod. N (Texts and Studies, v. 4, p. 106 ff .).
569 ( $7^{\text {pe Tisch., }}=475$ Scriv.), St Petersburg, Tmp. Libr. (xr.).
604 (=700 Greg.), London, Brit. Mus. (xı.). Collation published by H. C. Hoskier, 1890.
736 (=718 Greg.), Cambridge, in the possession of the editor.
1071.: Athos, Laur. 104 A (xir.). See the Rev. K. Lake's description and collation in Studia Biblica, v. 2, p. I 32 ff.
3. The ancient versions of St Mark used in this edition are the Latin, Syriac, Armenian, Egyptian, Gothic, and Ethiopic.

## I. Latin (latt).

(a) Old Latin (lat ${ }^{\mathrm{vt}}$ ).

The following mss. are cited as offering a more or less purely preHieronymian text.
a. Cod. Vercellensis (IV.). Ed. Bianchini, evang. quadr., 1749 ; Belsheim, 1894 . Wants Mc. i. 22-34, iv. $17-25$, xv. 15-xvi. 20 ; xvi. 7-20 is supplied by a later hand.
b. Cod. Veronensis (v.). Ed. Bianchini, op. cit. Wants Me. xiii. $9-19$, xiii. $24-x$ vi. 20 .
c. Corl. Colbertinus (xır.). Ed. Sabatier, i75I; Belsheim, 1888.
d. Cod. Bezare (vi.). The Latin version of Cod. D (q. v.).
c. Cod. Palatinus (y.). Ed. Tischendorf, 1847 . Contains Mc. i. $20-\mathrm{iv} .8$, iv. $19-\mathrm{vi} .9$, xii. $37-4 \circ$, xiii. $2-3,24-27$, 33-36.
f. Cod. Brixianus (vi.). Ed. Bianchini, op. cit.; Wordsworth and White in the Oxford Vulgate, 89 . Wants Mc. xii. 5-xiii. 32 , xiv. $53-62$, xiv. $70-\mathrm{xvi}$.20 .
ff. ( $=\mathrm{ff}^{2}$, Tisch. Greg. Scriv.). Cod. Corbeiensis II. (vi.). Ed. Belsheim, 1887. Wants a few verses in Mc. vi., xvi.
g. ( $=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{l}}$, Tisch. Greg. Seriv.). Cod. Sangermanensis I. (viri.). Collated by Wordsworth and White, who cite it in Mc. as G .
i. Cod. Vindobonensis (vi. or vil.). Ed. Belsheim, 1885. Wants i. 1-ii. 16, iii. $29-\mathrm{iv}$. 3, x. 2-32, xiv. $37-\mathrm{xv}$. 32, xr. 40-xvi. 20.

1. Cod. Bobiensis (Iv. or v.). Ed. Wordsworth Sanday and White, O. L. Bibl. texts ii., 1886 . Contains viii. 8-ıI, 14-16, 19-xvi. 8, and the shorter ending (see \$xi.).
I. Cod. Vratislaviensis (vir.). Ed. H. F. Haase, 1865 -6.
n. Cod. Sangallensis I. (v.). Ed. Wordsworth Sanday and White, op. cit. Contains vii. $13-3 \mathrm{I}$, viii. $3^{2}$-ix. 10 , xiii. $2-20$, xv. $22-x v i$. 13 .
o. Cod. Sangallensis IL. (vir.). Ed. Wordsworth Sanday and White, op. cit. Contains xvi. 14-20.
q. Cod. Monacensis (vir.). Ed. White, O. L. Bibl. texts iii., 1888. Wants i. 7-22, xv. 5 -36.
r. Cod. Dublinensis (vi. or vir.). Ed. T. K. Abbott, ev. versio antehier., 1884. Wants xiv. 58-xv. 8, xv. 32 -xvi. 20 ; many lacunae.
t. Cod. Bernensis (v. or vı.). Ed. Wordsworth, O. L. Bibl. texts ii., 1886 . Contains i. 2-23, ii. 22-27, iii. 1 I-18.
( $\beta$ ) Vulgate (lat ${ }^{\text {rg }}$ ). Ed. Wordsworth and White.

## II. Syriac (syrr).

(a) Old Syriac (syrr ${ }^{\text {sin cu }}$ ).

This version exists in two mss., which appear to represent different recensions.
Cod. Sinaiticus (Iv. or v.). Ed. Bensly Harris and Burkitt, 1894. Wants Mc. i. r-ri, i. 44-ii. 20, iv. 19-40, v. 27 -vi. 4 ; ends at xvi. 8.

Cod. Curetomianus (v.). Ed. Cureton, 1858 ; a fresh edition is in progress under the cere of F. C. Burkitt (Texts and Studies). Contains only xvi. 17-20.
( $\beta$ ) Vulgate Syriac or Peshitta (syrpesh). Ed. Leusden and Schaaf, ${ }_{17} 17$; P. E. Pusey and G. H. Gwilliam, igor.
( $\gamma$ ) Harclean (syr ${ }^{\mathrm{rcl}}$ ). Ed. White, $\mathrm{I}_{77} \mathrm{~S}$.
(8) Palestinian (syrier). Ed. Lagarde, 8892 ; Mrs Lewis and Mrs Gibson, 1899. Contains Mc. i. I- $11,35-44$, ii. 1-12, 14-17, 23 -iii. 5 , v. $24-34$, vi. $1-5,14-30$, vii. $24-37$, viii. $27-3 \mathrm{I}, 34-39$, ix. $16-30,32-4 \mathrm{o}$, x. $3^{2-45}$, xi. 22-25, xii. 28-44, xv. 16-32, 43-xvi. 20 .

## III. Armenian (arm).

The only critical edition of the Armenian text is that of Zohrab (Venice, 1805), whose margin gives variants, without however naming the codices from which they are taken. Uscan's edition (Amsterdam, 1666) is valueless to the critic, as having been freely corrected by the Latin Vulgate. The most recent study of the Armenian version is the article by Mr F. C. Conybeare in Hastings' Dict. of the Bible (1898). Some interesting facts about Uscan's edition are given by Simon (Hist. Crit. des Versions, 1690 , pp. 196 ff. $)^{1}$.

## IV. Egyptian (aegg).

(a) Memphitic or Bohairic (me). Ed. D. Wilkins, 1717 . A new edition by Mr G. Horner with a translation and copious apparatus criticus has been issued by the Clarendon Press (1898).
( $\beta$ ) Thebaic or Sahidic (the). A list of the mss. is printed in G. Zoega's Catalogus codd. Copticorum (Romae, 1810). The known fragments of St Mark (Gregory, iii. p. 864) are i. $36-38$, i. $4 \mathrm{I}-44$, ii. $2-4$, ii. $7-9$, ii. $12-$ ix. 16 ,

1 This account of the Armenian version has been supplied by Dr J. Armitage Robinson. He adds: "According to the Armenian historians this version was translated from Syriac and afterwards subjected to a careful revision by the aid of Greek mss. Internal evidence affords striking confirmation of this view (ses Euthaliana, Texts and Studies irr. ii. pp. $7^{2}$ ff.). Two conspicuous elements of the version are (I) the Old Syriac, as now represented for us in St Mark by the Sinai palimpsest, and (2) the text represented by the Greek
cursives known as the Ferrar group; see e.g. (1) viii. 4 ; (2) iii. 18, iv. 24 , viii. 14 , xi. 9. The relation of the Ferrar groap itself to the Syriac is a vexed question. Striking correspondences are also to be noted with $1-28-209$, with $2^{\mathrm{pa}}$, and with 604 ; many too with 1 land with k ; some, both in this Gospel and in the others, with the first hand of $\mathcal{N}$. Noteworthy is xiv. 25 oर́ $\mu \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \pi \varepsilon \hat{\iota} \varphi \mathrm{D}$ ( $2{ }^{\mathrm{re}}$ ) a f arm: it is curious that for a Semitic idiom like this no Syriac attestation is fortheoming."
ix. 19-xiv. 26, xiv. 34-xv. 4I, xvi. 20-" about three quarters of [the] Gospel" (Scrivener-Miller, ii. p. 131 ).
A full account of these versions is given by Mr Forbes Robinson in Hastings' Dictionary (i. 668 ff .).

> V. Gothic (go).

Ed. Gabelentz and Löbe, 1836 ; Massmann, Ulfflas, 1857 ; StammHeyne, Ulfllas, 1878 ; Skeat, Gospel of St Mark in Gothic, r882. The extant fragments of Mark contain i. i-vi, 30, vi. 53 -xii. 38 , xiii. $16-29$, xiv. $4-16$, xiv. $4 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{xvi} .12$.
VI. Ethiopic (aeth).

Ed. T. P. Platt, 1830 (but cf. Gregory, prolegg., p. 899 f.). See Ethiopic Version, in Hastings, i. 791 f.

## XI.

## ALTERNATIVE ENDINGS OF THE GOSPEL.

In some of our authorities the Gospel according to St Mark
 (xvi. 8). Other mss. and Versions add the twelve verses which follow in the Received Text, whilst others again, usually as an alternative, present a short ending which consists of only two sentences, and is wholly independent of the printed supplement.
I. Eusebius of Caesarea in his book of Questions and Solutions concerning the Passion and Resurrection of the Saviour ${ }^{2}$ represents an apologist ${ }^{6}$ as seeking to remove a supposed inconsistency in the Gospels by throwing doubt upon the genuineness of Mc. xvi. 9 ff .

Quaest. ad Marin. ap. Mai nov. patr. bibl. iv. p. 255 f. ó $\mu \hat{e} v \gamma$ ỳ̀





 see WH., Notes, p. 30 f. The textual statement for which Eusebius appears to make himself responsible is reproduced by Jerome (ad Hedib. 3 "Marci testimonium...in raris fertur evangeliis, omnibus Graeciae libris paene hoc capitulum non habentibus"), and


 commentary ends accordingly with xvi. 8, for the note on xvi. 9 and the attempt to reestablish the authority of $w v .9-20$ which follow in Cramer are clearly due to other sources (WH., Notes, p. 35).

[^59][^60]The two great codices which have come down to us from the fourth century corroborate this evidence. Both B and $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ bring the Gospel to an end at $\dot{\epsilon} \phi o \beta o \hat{v} \nu \tau o ~ \gamma a ́ \rho$, as "the accurate copies" cited by the apologist in Eusebius were wont to do. In both the words are followed by the subscription; but in $B$ the scribe has left a column blank after катя mapкon, which has been taken to mean that he was acquainted with a text of St Mark which did not end at $v$. 8, although his own copy failed him at that point.

The Gospel ends thus in the two mss.:

Cod. B.
CTACIC KAI OYdENI OY
$\triangle E N$ EITTON EQOBOYN
TO F $\alpha p$ :
> $\bar{K} \Delta$ T $\overline{\text { a }}$ >
> Mapко言>

Cod. $\boldsymbol{N}$.
CTACIC Kal OY $>$
$\Delta \in N I$ OY $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$ El>
THON EゆOBOYN
то гар ${ }^{3}:$ :

$>\lambda \mid O N>$


Witness of a similar kind is borne by the cursive ms. 22, which places tézos after both v. 8 and $v .20$, and after the first


 Mápкov after both v. 8 and v. 20" (WH., Notes, l.c.); a few Ethiopic mss. appear to omit everything after $v .8$ (Sanday, Appendices ad N. T., p. 195). To this must now be added the testimony of the Sinaitic Syriac, which ends the Gospel at $\epsilon ̇ \phi o \beta$ ồvтo ráp, followed immediately by the subscription and the opening of St Luke. Other documentary evidence of a less direct character will come into view as we proceed.
2. Of the two endings found in Mss. and versions which do not stop short at $v .8$, it will be convenient to discuss the shorter first. It occurs in four uncial MSS, whose testimony must be given in full.

Cod．L．
eфuBoyn
то $\gamma$ Yap．
$\wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge \wedge$ ферєте поч
kai tayta
Manta ae ta maph
r「eגmena tolc
TEPI TON TETPON
CYNTOMLC $\epsilon$ Z H
Г FINan＊meta
AE TAYta Kal aytoc
o $\overline{\mathrm{C}}$ ，aпо anatoגнC
кal aXpl $\Delta Y c \in \omega c$

ayton to lepon
KaI aфӨapton KH
PYГMA THC alo
nioy cothplac＇
ecthn $\Delta \in$ kal
tayta феро
mena meta to
єфовоүмто
「ap
Anactac aє трої
трнтн саВВаточ
ктл．．．．CHMEICON．
aMHN．
$K^{\top}$ a MAPKON

Cod． $7^{12}$.
－．．．．єфо
［Boүnto r］ap＞＞＞＞
＞＞＞＞
＞＞＞＞

［KגTA Ma］PKоN
［manta de ta ma
PHITEXMENA TOIC
mepl ton metpon

「eidan meta $\Delta \epsilon]^{1}$
tayta кal aytoc
$\overline{i c}$ amo anatoAhc
aXpI $\Delta Y c \in \omega \subset \in Z A$
mecteinen $\Delta 1$ ay
t $\omega$ N to lepon kal
aфөapton кнру
「MA THC AIWNIOY
coothplac amhn
ectin ae kal tayta
феромеna meta
то єфовоүnto rap
anactac ae прwï
прютн саBBatoy
єфANH протол
mapla Th marda
גHNH Tap hc
єкВєВднкеі єпта
Дalmonia ekeinh
пореүө［еісд］апнг
「EIAEN［TOIC］ME
（cetera desiderantur）

[^61]autros．He adds，however，that as the note tativ кт入．is＂in a smaller charac－
 кa．i $\tau a \hat{\partial} \tau a$ may have stood before $\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau a$.

Cod. p.
€ $\varnothing$ oboynto
rap
$\hat{[\operatorname{Tranta}]} \hat{\Delta \epsilon} \hat{T} \lambda$

toic mepl ton
[TETPON] CYN

「EIAan.
meta $\Delta \in$ tayta
kal aytoc o $\overline{\mathrm{C}}$
EфANH aYtolc
att anatodhc
toy hinoy kal aXpl
$\Delta Y с \in \omega \mathrm{C}$ єZєпт
Cteiden al dy
TWN TO lepon
кал афӨартол
кнРүГMA THC
AIGNKY CWTH
piac amhn.
$\hat{\operatorname{El} X \in N} \hat{\operatorname{rap}} \hat{\operatorname{aYtac}}$
тромос каи ек
ctacic kal oy
$\Delta \in N$ oyden el
TON $\epsilon$ QOBOY
то 「ap'
anactac $\Delta E \ldots$... $\operatorname{mic}[\mathrm{CIN}]$
(cetera desiderantur)
It is obvious that the archetype of $\mathrm{L} 7^{12} \boldsymbol{P}$ ended at é $\left.\phi o \beta o v\right\rangle \tau o$ rá $\rho$, and that the scribes on their own responsibility have added two endings with which they had met in other mss., preferring apparently the shorter one, since it is in each case placed first. But each codex has its own way of dealing with the supplementary
 been retained after v. 8, where it stood in the archetype; in $L_{\text {, }}$
and possibly also in $7^{12}$, each ending is preceded by a brief note of origin; in $P$ there are no such notes, but the scribe, after writing the shorter ending, returns to v. 8 and annexes the longer ending to it. Cod. $\Psi$, which stands alone in placing the shorter ending immediately after é $\phi o ß 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau o$ yáp, without either break or note ${ }^{1}$, seems to have descended from an archetypo which had the shorter ending only, though the scribe of $\Psi$ proceeds to give the longer with the usual prefatory note. Since
 common to $\mathrm{L} \Psi \mathrm{T}^{12}$, we must suppose that these mss., notwithstanding other features which attest independence, drew at this point from the same relatively early archetype.

Besides these uncial authorities the shorter ending finds a place in the margin of the cursive MS. 274 and of the Harclean Syriac, in the margin of two important Mss. of the Bohairic or Memphitic version ${ }^{2}$, and in several mss. of the Ethiopic, where it stands in the text between $v .8$ and $v .9$ without note or break ${ }^{3}$. One authority which is still extant gives the shorter ending only-the O.L. ms. $k$, in which Mc. ends: "omnia autem quaecumque prae|cepta erant et qui cum puero (sic) erant | breviter exposuerunt posthaec | et ipse hī ${ }^{8}$ adparuit et ab orientē- |usque. usque in orientem. misit | per illos $\cdot$ sanctam $\cdot$ et incorruptam $\cdot\left[\right.$ [praedicationem ${ }^{4}$ ] | salutis aeternae - amen."

As the shorter ending has not been printed with the text, it may be convenient to give it here with an apparatus.


 aieviov бетпрías.
 LP] om o $\Psi$ † o кvptos I. aethodd $\mid$ єфau $\eta$ avtouts (p) me ${ }^{\text {oodd (ms) }}$ aeth $\left.{ }^{\text {codd }}\right]$

[^62]chapter expelled in the Greek' " (Oxford edition, p. $4^{80}$ ).
${ }^{3}$ So WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, pp. 38, 44 ; see however Sanday, App., p. 195.
" "Ha:" which stands here in the margin refers, as Dr Sanday points out, to praedicationis (i.e. praedicationem) which the corrector has written at the foot of the page.



 me ${ }^{\operatorname{cod} A \text { (mas) } \text { aeth }{ }^{\text {codd }} \text {. }}$

For cod. L see the facsimile in Burgon, Last twelve verses, p. if 2 , and Tischendorf, mon. sacr. ined., 1846 ; for cod. $\Psi$, Gregory, Prolegg. ii. p. 445, Lake, Texts from Mt Athos, p. 122 ; for cod. ${ }^{72}$, Mrs Lewis, Catal. of Syriac MSS. on Mt Sinai, p. 103 f .; for cod. $p$, Amélineau, Notices et extraits xxxiv. ii. p. 402 ff ; for cod. 274 , Tischendorf, $N$. $I^{\prime}$. Gr. ${ }^{8}$ i. p. 404; for syr ${ }^{\text {rcl }}$, White's edition, i. p. 258; for me, Sanday, Appendices ad N. T., p. 187, and Coptic Version of the N.T., Oxf., 1898 , i. p. 480 ff .; for aeth, Sanday, op. cit., p. $195 ; B$ is printed in full in O. L. Bibl. Texts, ii. p. 23.

As to the origin of this ending there can be little doubt. It has been written by some one whose copy of the Gospel ended at é $\dot{\text { o }} \boldsymbol{\beta o v ̂ \nu \tau o ~ \gamma a ́ \rho , ~ a n d ~ w h o ~ d e s i r e d ~ t o ~ s o f t e n ~ t h e ~ h a r s h n e s s ~ o f ~ s o ~}$ abrupt a conclusion, and at the same time to remove the impression which it leaves of a failure on the part of Mary of Magdala and her friends to deliver the message with which they had been charged. Terrified as they were, he adds, they recovered themselves sufficiently to report to Peter the substance of the Angel's words. After this the Lord Himself appeared to the Apostles and gave them their orders to carry the Gospel from East to West; and these orders, with His assistance, were loyally fulfilled.

The style of this little paragraph, as Dr Hort${ }^{1}$ observes, bears some resemblance to that of St Luke's prologuc, but it is certainly as little as possible in harmony with the manner of St Mark. Perhaps it may without rashness be attributed to a Roman hand ${ }^{2}$; a Western origin is suggested by the pointed references to the westward course of the Apostolic preaching.

One or two verbal similarities may suggest Clement, cf. I Cor.

 the more striking words are characteristic of Ps.-Clement 2 Cor.


[^63][^64]The place it occupies in $k$ and its occurrence in other versions, and in the four uncials where it is given with considerable variations of text and setting, point to an early date, and there is nothing either in the vocabulary or the manner to forbid this viow. On the other hand it must always have had a very limited acceptance, for no trace of it has been found in any Greek or Latin Christian writing. It was overshadowed almost from the first by the superior merits of the longor ending.
3. The longer ending follows v. 8 without break in every known Greek ms. except the two which end at é $\phi o \beta o \hat{v} \nu \tau o ~ \gamma a ́ p$ $(N B)$ and the four which append both endings as partially attested alternatives ( $L \Psi \boldsymbol{T}^{12}$ ). It is found or at one time occupied a place without alternative in the uncial mss. AC(D)EFGHKM(N1) SUVXГ $\Delta(\Pi \Sigma) \Omega$, in all cursive mss., in the Old Latin mss. c ff glnoq, in the Curetonian form of the Old Syriac, in the Memphitic and Gothic. Moreover, it appears as the recognised ending of St Mark in the earliest Christian writings which bear definite traces of the influence of the second Gospel. There are indications of its use in Hermas, and Justin appears to refer to $v .20$, whilst $v$. I9 is expressly quoted by Irenaeus as the work of St Mark.

For Hermas see Dr C. Taylor's Hermas and the Four Gospels, p. 57 ff. Justin either has our fragment in view or stumbles unaccountably upon its phraseology when he writes (ap. i. 45): oi à áó-
 the twelve verses" may be seen in a paper contributed by Dr Taylor to the Expositor for 1893 ( $\mathrm{Iv} . \mathrm{viii}$, p. 7 Iff .). These writers, however, may have known the fragment in another connexion; in Irenaeus it is quoted as a true part of this Gospel : iii. ro. 6 "in fine autem evangelii ait Marcus Et quidem dominus Iesus," \&c.

Thus on the whole it seems safe to conclude that at Rome and at Lyons in the second half of the second century the Gospel ended as it does now. If the last twelve verses did not form part of the autograph, there is nothing to shew when they were attached to the Gospel. But they must have been very generally accepted as the work of St Mark soon after the middle of the second century, if not indeed at an earlier time. It is significant

[^65]that a writer of such wide knowledge as Irenaeus entertained no doubt as to their genuineness.
4. The present ending of the Gospel stands in evident contrast with the formal and somewhat turgid manner of the shorter ending. Although it contains an abundance of words and phrases which differentiate it from the rest of the book, yet like St Mark's genuine work, it might have been written by a bilingual Jew of the first generation who had been nourished upon the vocabulary of the Lxx., and accustomed to translate Aramaic into Greek. But the two fragments are distinguished by a more serious and indeed fundamental difference. While the shorter ending was evidently composed with the view of completing St Mark's work, the last twelve verses of the common text are as clearly part of an independent composition. They form an epitome of the appearances of the Risen Christ from the moment of the Resurrection to the Ascension, followed by a brief summary of the subsequent work of the Apostles. Instead of taking up the thread dropt at the end of xvi. 8, the longer ending begins with a statement which, if not inconsistent with xvi. 1-8, presupposes a situation to which the earlier verses of the chapter offer no clue. It is clear that the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau \dot{a} s \ldots \notin \phi \dot{\partial} \nu \eta$ has been indicated in the sentence which immediately preceded; but $v .8$ is occupied with another subject. The writer of $v .9$ introduces Mary of Magdala as if she were a person who had not been named before, or not referred to recently; but St Mark has already mentioned her thrice in the previous sixteen verses. Moreover, both the structure and the general purpose of this ending are remarkably distinct from those which distinguish the genuine work of Mark. Instead of a succession of short paragraphs linked by кai and an occasional $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, we have before us in xvi. 9-20 a carefully con-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu o s, \delta \hat{e}$, mark the successive points of juncture. The purpose is didactic and not simply or in the first instance historical; the tone is Johannine rather than Marcan. The author wishes to exhibit the slow recovery of the Apostles from their unbelief, and the triumphant power of faith ( $\dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \ldots o u ́ \delta e ̀ ~ ध ̇ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma a \nu . . . ~$


FACSIMILE OF EDSCHMIATZIN MS.

 beyond the sphere of history to His place at the Right Hand of God, and recognises His cooperation in the work of the Church during the age which followed the Ascension. The historian has given place to the theologian, the interpreter of St Peter to the scholar of St John.
5. A recent discovery assigns a name to the author of this fragment. In November i891 Mr F. C. Conybeare found in the Patriarchal Library of Edschmiatzin an Armenian ms. of the Gospels written A.D. 989 , in which the last twelve verses of St Mark are introduced by a rubric written in the first hand, $O f$ the presbyter Ariston ${ }^{1}$. Mr Conybeare with much probability suggests that the person intended is the Aristion who is mentioned by Papias as one of the disciples of the Lord.

Papias (Eus. H. E. iii. 39) is quoted as saying : єí $\delta$ é mov каi mapך-



 Papias frequently cited him by name in his Noүi $\omega \nu$ курєак $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta^{\prime}-$




Through Mr Conybeare's kindness a photograph is given of the leaf which bears the name of Ariston. He has sent me the following note in explanation of the facsimile.
"In this codex verse 8 of ch. xvi. ends at the beginning of a line, in the second column of a page. The line is partly filled up with the vermilioned flourishes which indicate that the Gospel proper of Mark is ended. Verse 9 however is begun on the next line, and the whole 12 verses are completed in the same large uncials as the rest of the Gospels. As it were by an afterthought the scribe adds the title Ariston Eritzou just above the flourishes mentioned, and within the columnar space. It is written in vermilioned smaller uncials identical in character with those which at the foot of each column denote the Ammonian canons, and also with those which the scribe uses to complete a word at the end of a line, thereby preserving the symmetry of the lines and avoiding the necessity of placing the last one or two letters of a word by themselves at the

[^66]
#### Abstract

beginning of a fresh line. The title therefore was added by the first hand; or, if not by him, at least by the $\delta$ oop $\theta \omega \tau \eta$ 's. In any case it is contemporary and must have stood in the older copy transcribed, from which also were perhaps transferred the fifth century full-page illuminations included in the existing codex. At first it was intended to omit the title, but on second thoughts it was added. If the scribe had from the first meant to keep it, he would have left room for it, instead of cramping it in above the terminal flourishes. That he regarded Mark proper as ending with verse 8 , is further shewn by the large circular boss consisting of concentric circles of colour added against the end of verse 8 between the columns. The paler tints in the photograph correspond to vermilion in the codex; and the vermilioned lettering of the title was so faint in the positive sent to Mr Conybeare from Edschmiatzin in 1895, that he has strengthened it with ink for the preparation of the present facsimile. The parchment of the codex is so thin and fine that the writing on the back of the page here and there shews through in the photograph."


Though neither Eusebius nor Papias as quoted by Eusebius says that Aristion committed his $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ to writing, nothing is more likely than that they were collected and published by those who heard them. To such a collection, made under the influence of the school of St John, this summary of post-Resurrection history may well have belonged, and in the exemplar which was the archetype of the codices known to Irenaeus it had been judged worthy to complete the unfinished work of the Evangelist. While the shorter ending passed over to Carthage and established itself in some circles at Alexandria, Rome and Gaul were quick to perceive the higher claims of this genuine relic of the first generation, and it took its place unchallenged in the fourfold Gospel of the West.
6. The documentary testimony for the longer ending is, as we have seen, overwhelming. Nevertheless, there are points at which the chain of evidence is not merely weak but broken. Besides the fact that in the fourth century, if not in the third, the 'accurate copies' of the Gospel were known to end with xvi. 8, and that in the two great fourth century Bibles which have come down to us the Gospel actually ends at this point, those who maintain the genuineness of the last twelve verses have to account for the early circulation of an alternative ending, and for the ominous silence of the Ante-Nicene fathers between

Irenaeus and Eusebius ${ }^{1}$ in reference to a passage which was of so much importance both on historical and theological grounds. When we add to these defects in the external evidence the internal characteristics which distinguish these verses from the rest of the Gospel, it is impossible to resist the conclusion that they belong to another work, whether that of Aristion or of some unknown writer of the first century ${ }^{2}$.

1 See Zahn, Einleitung, ii. p. 227.
2 Dr Salmon (Introduction to the N.T., p. I51) writes in reference to the last twelve verses of this Gospel, "We must ascribe their authorship to one who lived in the very first age of the Church. And why not to St Mark?" St Mark, undoubtedly, has more than one manner; he writes with greater freedom when he is stating facts on his own knowledge than when he is com-
piling his recollections of St Peter's teaching. But is there anything in the Gospel, whether in its opening verses or elsewhere, which resembles the rhythmical structure and didactic tone of the present ending? Unless we entirely misjudge the writer of the second Gospel, the last twelve verses are the work of another mind, trained in another school.

## XII.

## COMMENTARIES.

We have already seen that this Gospel received little or no attention from the great commentators of the first five centuries. The commentary ascribed to Origen in a Paris MS. (Omont, Manuscrits grecs de la bibl. nat., p. 180) is identical with the work of Victor (Harnack, Gesch. d. altchr. Lit., p. 389; cf. Huet, Origeniana, iii., app. § iv.; see also Westcott, 'Origen,' in D.C.B. iv., p. II2). In Anecdota Maredsolana (iII. ii. p. 319 sqq., 1897), Dom Morin has printed some interesting homilies on St Mark which he attributes to Jerome ${ }^{1}$, but the treatment is allegorical and practical rather than exegetical in the strict sense. A few fragments which are found among the exegetical works of Theodore of Mopsuestia are probably taken from his other writings (Fritzsche, fragm. Th. Mops., p. 84). Chrysostom is said by Suidas to have written on St Mark, but the statement needs confirmation ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

The earliest extant commentary on the second Gospel is that which bears the name of "VIcTor, presbyter of Antioch."

In the Oxford ms. used by J. Cramer (Catenae in Evangelia, 1840) the argument is said to be $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ f $\overline{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$ cis aủròv ( (ròv Mâpкov)
 the same attribution, but the majority ascribe the work to Victor (Simon, hist. crit. du N.T., p. 427). For an account of the mss. and editions of this commentary see Burgon, Twelve last verses of St Mark, p. 272 ff. It was first published by Possinus in the Catena Graecorum Patrum in ev. sec. Marcum (Rome, 1673); see Burgon, p. 270.

[^67]Victor of Antioch is otherwise unknown, but his personality is of little importance, since he professes to limit himself to the task of a compiler ( $\sigma v \nu \in i ̂ \delta o \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \rho o s ~ x a i ~ \sigma \pi o \rho a ́ \delta i \eta \nu ~ \epsilon i ́ s ~$

 has shewn that while Chrysostom's homilies on St Matthew supply the backbone of the work, Origen is freely used, and there are at least occasional references to St Basil, Apollinaris, Theodore of Mopsuestia, Titus of Bostra, and Cyril of Alexandria. A suggestion of Schanz ${ }^{1}$ that the bulk of the commentary belongs to the school of Antioch is not supported by a solitary reference to Nestorius, which points the other way. Rather it seems to be the work of an industrious compiler who is willing to use all the materials at his disposal. Yet as Burgon points out ${ }^{2}$, Victor is not a catenist in the ordinary sense, for he speaks occasionally in his own person, and rarely quotes his authorities by name. The popularity of his work in the Eastern Church is shewn by the multiplication of copies; it survives in more than fifty codices of the Gospels ${ }^{3}$. As to the time of its composition Dr Hort writes": "it probably belongs to Cent. v. or vi., but there is no clear evidence to fix the date"; Dean Burgon, less cautiously : "[the] date...may be assigned to the first half of the fifth century -suppose A.D. 425-450." A conjecture which placed it a century later would perhaps be nearer to the truth.

Next in point of age to Victor of Antioch comes our countryman Baeda [ $\dagger 735$ ]. Bede's commentaries on St Mark and St Luke were written at the desire of Acca, Bishop of Hexham. A passage from a letter to Acca prefixed to the commentary on St Mark describes Bede's method: "quae in patrum venerabilium exemplis invenimus hinc inde collecta ponere curabimus, sed et nonnulla propria ad imitationem sensus eorum ubi oportunum videbitur interponemus." He complains in the preface to Luke of the difficulties which in a monastic cell beset such

[^68]work-"ipse mihi dictator simul notarius et librarius"-but tells us that he has nevertheless contrived to collect materials from all the great Latin fathers, Ambrose, Augustine, Gregory and Jerome. To the commentary of Jerome on St Matthew most of his exposition of Mark appears to be due; but the work is by no means devoid of independent merit, and perhaps its best features are those which it owes to the insight and devotion of Bede himself. Printed in Migne, P. L. xcii.

Under the name of Walafrid Strabo ( $\dagger 750$ ) we have (i) the Glossa ordinaria, and (2) a few notes on St Mark (Migne, P. L. exiii., cxiv.).

Theophylact, Archbishop of Achridia (Ochrida) in Bulgaria (fl. c. A.D. 1077), has expounded St Mark with considerable fulness
 Simon's judgement ("les commentaires de Théophylacte...sont plutôt des abrégés de S. Chrysostome que de véritables commentaires") is manifestly less applicable to this Gospel than to the others, if Chrysostom left no genuine work on St Mark; certainly Theophylact's commentary on St Mark is of considerable importance for the exposition of the Gospel, and in the dearth of older expositions invaluable. Printed in Migne, P. G. cxxiii.

Euthymius Zigabenus, a monk of Constantinople (fl. c. A.D. III5), is also a follower of Chrysostom (prooem. in Mt.:

 $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa o ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \iota \nu a ́)$. But unlike Theophylact he regards St Mark as scarcely deserving of a separate commentary, since 'the second Gospel is in close agreement with the first, excepting where the first is fuller' ( $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu \in \hat{\imath} \lambda i a \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \operatorname{Ma\tau } \theta a i \varphi$
 therefore generally mere cross-references to those on Matthew; here and there, however, where Mark differs from Matthew or relates something which is peculiar to himself, useful comments will be found. Printed in Migne, P. G. cxxix.

Bruno Astensis ( $\dagger 1125$ ) contributes a brief exposition, of which the author writes: " non multum quidem nos laborare
necesse erit quoniam valde pauca ibi dicuntur quae in Matthaeo exposita non sint." Printed in Migne, P. L. clxv.

Rupertus Tuitiensis (Rupert of Deutz, $\dagger$ II35): in vol. iv. Evangelistarum commentariorum liber unus (Migne, P. L. clxvii).
(?) Thomas Aquinas ( $\dagger$ 1274): catena aurea in iv. Evangelistas.
albertus Magnus ( $\dagger$ 1289) : commentarius in Marcum.
Dionysius Carthusianus ( $\dagger$ 1417): in iv. Evangelia.
Faber Stapulensis ( $\dagger$ 1527): commentarii initiatorii in iv. Evangelia.

Desiderius Erasmus ( $\dagger$ 1536): paraphrasis in N.T.
Jo. Maldonatus ( $\dagger$ I583): commentarii in iv. Evangelistas.
Cornelius a Lapide ( $\dagger$ 1637): commentaria in iv. Evangelia.
Among later writers on the four Gospels good work of varying merit and usefulness may be found in the commentaries of Bengel, Elsner, Grotius, Kuinoel, Kypke, and Wetstein. The Iast century produced many expositions of St Mark, and others have appeared since 1900 . It must suffice to specify the following:

Fritzsche, K. F. A.: Evangelium Marci, Lips., 1830.
Meyer, H. A. W.: in the Krit.-exegetischer Kommentar, first ed., I832; ninth ed. (Meyer-Weiss), Igoi.

Alford, H.: in the Four Gospels, London, 1849.
Alexander, J. A.: Gospel acc. to St Mark, Princeton, 1858.
Lange, J. P.: in the Theol.-homiletisches Bibelwerk, first ed., I858; fourth ed., 1884

Klostermann, A.: das Markusevangelium, Göttingen, 1867.
Weiss, B.: das Markusevangelium, Berlin, 1872; die vier Evangelien, Leipzig, 1900.

Morison, Jas.: Commentary on the Gospel acc. to St Mark, London, 1873 .

Cook, F. G.: in the Speaker's Commentary on the N.T., vol. I., London, 1878.

Riddle, M. R. : in Schaff's Popular Commentary on the N.T., Edinburgh, 1878-82.

Plumptre, E. H. (in the N.T. Commentary for English readers), London, i879.

Schanz, P.: Commentar über das Evangelium d. h. Marcus, Freiburg-im-Breisgau, 1881.

Maclear, G. F. (in the Cambridge Greek Testament), Cambridge, first ed., 1883 ; last reprint, 1899.

Chadwick, G. A.: the Gospel acc. to St Mark (in the Expositor's Bible), London, 1887.

Luckock, H. M.: Footprints of the Son of Man as traced by St Mark, London, 1889.

Holizmann, H. J.: in the Hand-commentar, Freiburg-imBreisgau, I892; third edition, 190I.

KnabenbauEr, J.: Commentarius in Evangelium sec. Marcum (in the Cursus scripturae sacrae), Paris, I894.

Gould, E. P.: a critical and exegetical commentary on the Gospel acc. to St Mark (in the International Critical Commentary), Edinburgh, 1896.

Bruce, A. B.: St Mark (in the Expositor's Greek Testament), London, 1897.

Menzies, A.: the Earliest Gospel: a historical study of the Gospel acc. to Marle, London, Igor.

Wellhausen, J.: Das Evangelium Marci. Berlin, 1903.
Gressmann, H., and Klostermann, E.: Die Evangelien. i. Markus. Tübingen, 1907.

Wohlenberg, G.: Das Evangelium des Markus (in Th. Zahn's Kommentar zum N.I'.). Leipzig, i9Io.

The following are a few of the least obvious abbreviations employed in the footnotes:

BDB. Brown Driver and Briggs, Hebrew and English Lexicon of the O.T. (Oxford, 1892- ).
Blass, Gr. F. Blass, Grammar of N.T. Greek. Translated by H. St J. Thackeray (Tuondon, 1898 ).
Burton. E. de W. Burton, Syntax of the Moods and Tenses in N.T. Greek (Edinburgh, 1894 ).
Dalman, Gr. G. Dalman, Grammatik d. Jüdisch-Palüstinischen Aramäisch (Leipzig, 1894 ).
Dalman, Worte. G. Dalman, Die Worte Jesu bd. I (Leipzig, s898): the English translation (The Words of Jesus, 1, Edinburgh, 1902) appeared too late to be quoted in this edition.
D.c.A. Smith and Cheetham, Dictionary of Christian Antiquities.
D.C.B. Smith and Wace, Dictionary of Christian Biography and Doctrines.

Deissmann. G. A. Deissmann, Bible Studies. Translated by A. Grieve (Edinburgh, 1901).
Delitzsch. N.T. in Hebrew (Leipzig, 1892).
Euth. Euthymins Zigabenus.
Exp. The Expositor.
Exp. T. The Expository Times.
Field, Notes. F. Field, Notes on the translation of the N.T. = Otium Norvicense iii., edited by A. M. Knight (Cambridge, 1899 ).
Hastings, D. B. J. Hastings, Dictionary of the Bible (Edinburgh, $\mathbf{1 8 9 8 - 1 9 0 2 ) .}$
$J, B . L$. The Journal of Biblical Literature.
J. Th. St. The Journal of Theological Studies.

Nestle, T.C. E. Nestle, Textual Criticism of the N.T. Translated by W. Edie and A. Menzies (London, 1901).

SH. Sanday and Headlam, Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans (Edinburgh, 1895).

Thpht. Theophylact.
Vg. The Latin Vulgate.
Victor. 'Victor of Antioch' (in Cramer's Catena).
WH. Westcott and Hort, N.T. in Greek (Cambridge, 1881 ); WH. ${ }^{2}$, second edition (1896).

WM. Winer-Moulton, Grammar of N.T. Greek, 8th Engl, ed. (Edinbargh, 8877 ).
WSchm. Winer-Schmiedel, Grammatik d. NTlichen Sprachidions (Göttingen, 1894- ).
Zahn, Einl. Th. Zahn, Einleitung in das N.T. (Leipzig, 1897-9).

In substance and style and treatment the Gospel of St Mark is essentially a transcript from life. The course and issue of facts are imaged in it with the clearst outline. If all other arguments against the mythic origin of the Eoangelic narratives were wanting, this vivid and simple record, stemped with the most distinct impress of independence and originality, totally unconnected with the symbolism of the Old Dispensation, totally independent of the deeper reasonings of the New, would be sufficient to refute a theory subversice of all faith in history. The delails which were originally addressed to the vigorous intelligence of Roman hearers are still pregnant with instruction for us. The teaching which 'met their wants' in the first age finds a corresponding field for its action now....The picture of the sovereign power of Christ battling with evil among men swayed to and fro by tumultuous passions is still needful, though we may turn to St Matthew and St John for the ancient types or deeper mysteries of Christianity or find in St Luke its inmost connexion with the unchanging heart of man.Bishop Westcott.

## KATA MAPKON

## 

 єvarүèton min ${ }^{\text {matruu }}$


 [ I $\eta \sigma$. tantum $28^{*}$ ] 255 syrier $^{\text {hier }} \mathrm{Ir}^{1} \mathrm{Or}^{4}$ Bas Hier ${ }^{2}$ )
I. i. Superscription.
 sibly an early heading which arose from the fusion of an original title
 that marked the beginning of a new book (Nestle, Exp., Dec. 1894; Intr. pp. 163, 26 I; see on the other hand Zahn, Einl. ii. p. 220 ff., 235). Yet the sentence is intelligible if regarded as a title prefixed to the book by the writer or editor; for a similar opening comp. Ilosea i, i (Lxx.), $\dot{a} \rho_{\chi} \dot{\eta}$入órou Kupíou $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \Omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \epsilon$; see also Prov. i. I, Eecl. i. r, Cant. i. i, \&c. Or it may have been intended to refer to the immediate sequel. Irenaeus con-


 Origen (in Jo. t. vi. 24). Others with more probability find the a $\rho \chi \chi^{\dot{y}}$ in the events described in 0.4 ff., e.g. Basil



 The starting-point varies with the

- position of the writer; Mt. sees it in the ancestry and birth of the Messiah,

Lc., in the birth of the Baptist; Jo. (but see Jo. xy. 26) looks back to the $\dot{a} \rho \times{ }^{\prime}$ in which the Word was with God ; St Paul, using the word 'Gospel' in a wider sense, sees a fresh beginning in the foundation of each of the churches (Phil. iv. 15). That Mc. begins his Gospel with the ministration of the Baptist is one indication amongst many that he preserves the earliest form of the evangelical tradition, in which the record of the Birth and Childhood did not find a place.

Evay ${ }^{\text {éntas (in class. Greek nsually }}$
 is the reward accorded to a bearer of good tidings, but in later writers (e.g. Lucian, Plutarch) the good news itself. The Lxx. use it only in 2 Regn. iv. Io, and in the class. sense, for in 2 Regn. xviii. 22, 25 we should probably read єúayүe入ía (cf. v. 20). In the N.T. the later sense alone occurs, but with some latitude of application; see $v .15 \mathrm{n}$. Ev. 'I. X. is 'the good tidings concerning J. C.' (gen. of the obj.), as revealed in His life, death, and resurrection. The phrase is unique in the Gospels, which elsewhere have $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{o}$




 $N$ alpaue me
or simply $\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \dot{3}$ ．（i．15）．If the heading was added early in the sccond century we might understand by $\epsilon \cdot$ ．here a record of the Lord＇s life and words： for the earliest exx．of this use of the word see Ign．Philad．5，8，Did． App．8，11，15，Justin $a p$ ．i． 66 ；and cf．Zahn，Gesch．des N．T．Kanons，i． p． 162.
viov $\left.\theta_{\epsilon 0 \hat{0}}\right]$ The evidence for the omission of these words is weighty，but meagre．WH．（Notes，p．23）relegate them to the margin as a scoondary reading，but hold that＂neither read－ ing can be safely rejected．＂Possibly the heading existed almost from the first in two forms，with and without vi．$\theta$ ．The phrase viós $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ or $\delta$ vi．$\tau$ ． $\theta$ ．occurs in Mc．iiii II，v． 7 ，xp．39； cf．i． 11 ，ix． 7 ，xii． 6 ，xiii． 32 ，xiv． 61 ．

2－8．The Preparatory Ministry of John the Baptist（Mt．iii．I－i2， Le．iii． $1-6,15-17$ ；cf．Jo．i．6－31）．
2．кaӨ̀ेs $\gamma$ ধ́ $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau a l]$ A lxX．for－ mula $=$ בּ 2 Paral．xxiii． 18 ，xxv．4，xxxiii．32， xxxy．12，Tob．i．6）．Mc．employs it again in ix． 13 ，xiv． 21 ，and it occurs in Le．${ }^{\text {er．，act，}}$ ，and frequently in St Paul；Jo．（vi．3I，xii．14）seems to
 perf．gives the sense of perpetuity ； the＇litera scripta＇abides．See WM．， p． 339 ．

The apodosis to ка $A \omega^{\prime}$ клл．is want－ ing，unless we find it in v．4．For a similar omission see the opening clause of I Tim．（i．3，4）．For other possible constructions cf．Nestle，Intr．p． 26 I ．
siv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$＇Hgaia $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{y} \tau \eta]$ The quo－ tations are from Mal．iii．I，Is．xl． 3.

In the parallels Mt．iii．3，Le．iii． $\mathrm{x}-6$ （cf．Jo．i．23）Malachi is not quoted，but hiswords are used by the two Synoptists in another connexion（Mt．xi．Io，Lc． vii．27）．Origen（in Jo．t．vi．24）


 he quotes the two under one name did not escape the notice of Porphyry （Hier．tr．in Mc．）；Jerome（on Mt．） answers：＂nomen Isaiae putamus ad－ ditum scriptorum vitio．．．aut certe de diversis testimoniis seripturarum unum corpus effectum．＂The latter solution is not improbable．Mc．（or his source）may have deponded upon a collection of excerpts in which Mal． iii．I stood immediately before Is．xl． 3．possibly on a leaf headed hcalac． A similar confusion occurs in Iren． iii．20．4，where quotations from Micah （vii．19）and Amos i． 2 are preceded by the formula Amos propheta ait． On the use of such coilections see Hatch，Essays，p． 203 ff．；SH．，Romans， pp．264，282．The reading is hotly contested in Burgon－Miller，Causes of Corruption，p．IIIf．


 Mt．（xi．10）and Lc．（vii．27）read with Me．ката⿱㇒日кєváoєt and $\sigma o v$ ，and trans－ pose $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ троб由imov aov，but both
 The Lxx．ent $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \psi \in \tau a l$ presupposes the
 represeuts zu Lucas，p．114）；Symm．（＇̇лобкєчáनєt）＊ and Theod．（éточа́áti）agree with the
$\sigma o v$, òs к $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \delta o ́ v ~ \sigma o v . ~{ }^{3} \phi \omega \nu \eta$ ßoŵv os 3



 3 autov] fou $\theta \epsilon 0 v \cup \mu \omega v$ D $34^{\mathrm{mg}} \mathrm{ab}$ c f ff $t$ (dee nostril) syr bl (ms) go Irintvidis (ante deum
 33 me ] om o $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{P} \Gamma I I \Sigma \Phi$ al syr ${ }^{\text {posh }}$ arm $\mid \epsilon y \tau \eta \epsilon \rho$. $\beta a \pi \tau . \mathrm{D}_{28} 604$ dat (exc f)


Gospels. For $\sigma o v$ the Heb. supplies no justification : it is perhaps due to the compiler of the excerpts (see last note), who has blended MaI. lc. with Exod. xxiii. 20.


 $\lambda а \mu т \rho о ́ т є \rho о$.
3. ф $\omega \nu \grave{\eta} . . . \tau \rho i \beta o v s ~ a u ̀ r o \hat{v}]$ So the Lxx. exactly, except that for the last word, following the Heb., they give
 found its way into some Western texts of Mc. (see vv. IL.). Origen (in Jo. lc.), Jerome (in MaI. iii.), and Victor notice this remarkable divergence of the Synoptists from the Lxx. The passage is quoted also by Jo. (i. 23), but he stops at Kupiov.

Tregelles connects $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$ with érotááaтє, following the M. T.; but the absence in the Greek of any parallelism corresponding to justifies the ordinary punctuation which is common to the Gospels and the Lxx., and it is supported by Jewish interpretation (Delitzsch ad l.).
 arose John the Baptizer in the wilderness, preaching' \&c. For this use of
 especially Jo. i. 6 , where it begins a sentence with equal abruptness. On
 Notes, p. 166 ; Winer-Schmiedel, p. 57 ; Bless, p. II. Mt. (iii. I) has mapayiveтal, Le. (iii. 3) $\rangle \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$. $\mathrm{o} \beta$ anti § $\omega \nu$ is nearly
 on this use of the participle see Lightfoot on Gal. i. 23. If with all the uncial except $B$ and with the versions
 clause will run on to the end of the verse ('John the Baptizer... and preacher,' \&c.).
$\left.\hat{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \underset{\sim}{\prime}\right]$ Mt. connects this with $k \eta \rho \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ and adds $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Iovסaias. According to Lc. (i. Bo, iii. 3) the Baptist was év raise ép $\eta \mu \cos$ till his call came, and then went to the Jordan; Mt. and Mc., writing in view of Ssa. xl. 3, draw no distinction between the єिpuos and the Jordan valley. The wilderness of Judah or Judaea (מְדבּבּר
 Jud. i. 16) has been described as a region "piled up from the beach of the Dead Sea to the very edge of the central plateau" (G. A. Smith, Hist. Geogr. p. 263), and, from an opposite point of view, as "the barren steeps in which the mountains break down to the Dead Sea" (Moore, Judges, p. 32); Engedi seems to have been the most southerly town of this district (Moore, lac., referring to Josh. xv. Gi f.). It was in the wilderness of Engedi that David had sought a retreat (i Sam. xxiv. 1), and the same neighbourhood would naturally have offered itself to John, whose childhood had been spent in the hill country of Judaea (Lc. i. 39).
$\kappa \eta \rho \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \quad \beta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu a . . . \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega \nu]$ The cox clamantis (Isar. l.c., cf. Jo. i. 23)






was the cry of a herald (Nרָ is rendered indifferently by $\beta \circ \not ̣ \nu$ and $\kappa \eta \rho v v^{\sigma}-$ $\sigma \in a p$, cf. Dan. iii. 4, Lxx. and Theodotion), proclaiming a religious rite which was to be at once the expression and the pledge of repentance ( $\mu \in \tau a-$ voías, gen. of inner reference, WM., p. 235), and lad remission of sins for its purpose and end ( $\epsilon i$ is ä $\phi .$, WM., p. 495). The baptism of John was strictly speaking eis $\mu \epsilon$ cáyotav (Mt. iii. I I, Acts xix. 3; cf. Wünsche, neue Beiträge, p. 385) ; it was eis ád $\phi \in \sigma \iota{ }^{2}$ only inasmuch as it prepared for the ${ }_{\text {el }}^{\boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. ais
 Creed. Ambr. in Le. ii.: "alind fuit baptisma paenitentiae, aliud gratiae


 $\psi v \chi \alpha^{\prime}$. *A申ects belongs properly to the Messianic Kingdom (Mc. ii. 5 ff .), in which it is associated with the Baptism of the Spirit (Acts ii. 38). The Law itself offered forgiveness of external offences through external rites; the new order, anticipated in the Psalms and Prophets and beginning with John, proclaimed a full forgiveness citra sacrificia levitica (Bengel).

On the forin $\beta$ ánti $\mu \mu a$ see Mc. vii. 4, note, and Lightfoot on Col. ii. I2:
 known to the uxx., and the verb is used of a religious purification only in Sir. xxxi. (xxxiv.) 3o. Metáyota is nearly restricted to the non-canonical books (E'rov. ${ }^{1}$ Sap. ${ }^{3}$ Sir. ${ }^{3}$ ); ${ }^{\text {ä } \phi є \sigma \iota s, ~}$ though frequent, occurs nowhere in the Greek O.T. in the sense of forgive-
 (Lev. xxv. Iö) is the archetype of an
era of spiritual remission (La iv. 21). In the N.T. both words are used with some reserve (ä $\phi \epsilon \sigma t{ }^{18}, \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu o t a^{22}$ ) except perhaps by Lc. ( $\left.{ }^{*} \phi .^{10}, \mu \epsilon \tau .^{11}\right)$.
 personified, as in Gen. xli. 57 rấat ai $\chi^{\omega} \rho a t \bar{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \theta 0 \nu$. So Mt. ; Lc. (iii. 7) pre-

 cf. the similar phrases in Lc. iii. r, Acts xvi. 6, xviii. 23; ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ 'Ioudaia $\gamma \bar{\eta}$
 in Acts x. $39, \dot{\eta} \chi$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ 'Iovóaias in Acts xxvi. 20. More usually we have simply ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ 'Iovסaía (e.g. Mc. iii. 7, x. 1, xiii. I4). For the limits of Judaea see Joseph. $B$. $J$. iii. 3. 5, and comp. Neubauer, géogr. du Talmud, p. 59 ff., G. A. Smith, Hist. Geogr., c. xiii. Mt. adds

 xiii. 10) ; some came from Galilee, as Simon, Andrew, and John (Jo. i. 35 ff.), and Jesus Himself. oi' 'Iepoгодขнєitaı (on the breathing see WH., p. 313 , and on the termination in -eíns, WH., Notes, p. 154 : for the form comp. 4 Macc. xviii. 5, Jo. vii. 25, Joseph. ant. xii. 5. 3); distinguished from $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{L} \chi \omega^{\prime} \rho a$ as a conspicuous portion of the whole, cf. Isa. i. I, ii. I, iii. r-not only the district in general, but the capital itself, poured out its contribution of visitors.
 with some looseness : cf. Mt. ii. 3 râca 'Ieforo $\lambda \geq \mu a$. The movemest was practically universal. The long-cherished desire for a revival of prophecy ( M Macc. iv. 46, xiv. 4I, cf. Mt. xi. 9, 32) seemed to have been realised; heuce this exodus to the Jordan.
çantiKovto] Both the exodus and





the baptisms were continnous ; comp. Jo. iii. 23, and contrast the aorists in Acts ii. 41, I Cor. i. I3f., x. 2, xii. I3. 'Y $\pi$ ' aúrov determines the voice of Eßant., 'they received baptism at the hands of John' (cf. $v .9$ ) ; the middle is also used, as in 4 Regn. v. 14, Judith xii. 7, Acts xxii. 16, I Cor. x. 2. For Josephus's account of the baptism of John see ant. xviii. 5. 2, and on the question of its relation to proselytebaptism, ef. Schürer II. ii. 319 ff. ' ${ }^{\prime} \nu$
 note): so Mt.; "im Jordanstrome" (Schanz). 'I. тотанós is regarded as a single term, needing but one article (synthetical apposition, cf. WM., p. 72 f.).

 Greek is usually to give glory to GoD ( $=$ ? הicicin), a phrase especially common in the Psalms ; see also Mt. xi. 25, Rom. xiv. if. The rarer ${ }^{\prime} \xi_{0} \mu_{0} \lambda$. á $\mu a \rho-$ tias accurs in Dall. ix. 20 (LXX.), where Th. has '̇gayopev́fuv, the usual equivalent in the Lxx. of the Hithp. of 'Egapopevifur does not occur in the N. T., but $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi{ }_{\sigma} \mu \lambda$. ràs ápaprias is used in James $\nabla .16$ as well as by Mt., Mc. in this place; see also Barnabas (19), Clement of Rome (i Cor. 51), Ps. Olement ( 2 Cor. 8), Tert. pat. I5, paen. 10, 12.
 worn a sheepskiu mantle ( $\mu \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta$, 3 Regn. xix. 19; cf. Heb. xi. 37, Clem. R. 1 Cor. 17) and a leathern girdle

 costume had become the traditional dress of the prophet (Zech. xiii. 4
 15). $\Delta e_{\rho} \rho \iota \nu$ has been transferred fron Zech. l.c. into some representatives of the 'Western' text of Mc.; see vv. ll.
 (Just. dial. 88) was probably not a camel's skin, but an ordinary garment
 12) woven from the rough hair of the animal; J. Lightfoot ad loc. points out that the Talmud speaks of suck a garment (בגר מדצמר (במלים). Cf. Victor: $\sigma a \phi$ '́ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ò Maт $\theta$ aíós $\phi \eta \sigma \nu$


 Joseph. ant. xvi. 4, B. I. i. I7. Hieron. op. imp.: "non de lana cameli habuit vestimentum ...sed de asperioribus setis." The crowd did not go out to
 (Mt. xi. 8), but one who inherited the poverty as well as the power of Elijah. Jerome claims the Baptist as the head of the monastic order: "monaehorum princeps Johannes Baptista est." With the constr. èvofed. $\tau \rho i \chi$ ixas cf. Apoc. i. 13, xix. 14.
 It was "wilderness food" (Gould). Certain locusts were accounted 'clean': Lev. xi. 22, 23, тav̂ta фáyєб白 àmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єр $\rho \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega}$ öцota auving. "The Gemarists feign that there are 800 kinds...of such as are elean" (J. Lightfoot ad loc.): Hieron. ado. Jooin. ii. 6, " apud orientales...locustis vesci moris est." It was perhaps in ignorance of this fact, perhaps from encratite tendencies, that some ancient commentators understood by dxpis in this place a
$7 \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota$ ä $\gamma \rho \iota \frac{\nu}{}{ }^{7} \kappa \alpha i$ е́кй $\rho \cup \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} Є \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ of






kind of vegetable food (cf. Euth.); see J. R. Ilarris, Fragments of Ephrem, p. 17 f . As to the wild honey of Palestine (typos, Vg. silvestre, Wycliff, "hong of the wade"), cf. 4 Regin. iv. 39, Ps. lxix. (xxx.) I4, and see Exod. iii. 8, Deut. xxxii. 13, Judy. xiv. 8, I Sam. xiv. 25; also Joseph. B. J. iv. 8. 3, where it is named among the products of the plain of Jericho. The Sinaitic (Mt.) and Jerusalem Syriac versions render $\mu \epsilon \lambda_{i}$ ä ${ }^{\prime}$ poo v 'mountain honey' (cf. Lc. xii. 28 in Syne. ${ }^{\text {since. }}$ ); the Ebonite Gospel had the curious gloss (from Exod. xvi. 31,

 texte au Mit. u. Mc., p. 56. The name $\mu \mathrm{f} \mathrm{\lambda}_{\mathrm{c}}$ äyoıv (mel siloestre) was also given by the ancients to a vegetable product: Did. Sic. xix. 94,

 8, " cst anted mel in arundinibus collectum." But it is unnecessary in the present case to go beyond the natural meaning.
${ }^{*}$ E $\sigma \theta \epsilon c y=\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \epsilon \tau$, a Homeric form which "occurs Mc. ${ }^{1}$ and probably Mc. ${ }^{1}$, Lc. ${ }^{4}$, mostly in the participle" (WH. ${ }^{2}$, Notes, p. 152 f., cf. WSchm., p. 127 , Bass, p. 54). In the lxx. the shorter form of the participle is frequent in cod. B.
 A second stage in the Baptist's preach-ing-the heralding of the Christ. Le. (iii. 15) mentions that he was led to it by the growing belief in his own


Le. xi. 22. Mt. inverts the sentence ( ${ }_{\delta}$
 Jo. i. is, where the ground of the superiority is found in the preexistence
 aùrov̂ : see WM., p. I84 f.

ойк єiцi ikavós] Cf. Exod. iv. 10 (Lxx.). 'Ikavós sim in the N. T. is followed by an inf., as here (Burton, $\S 376$ ), by iva (Mt. viii. 8), or by $\pi$ poos $\tau t$ (2 Cor. ii, 16). Jo. (i. 27) substitutes
 t. vi. $36(20)$.
 is a touch peculiar to Mc. and exmunged by D and some other Western authorities. For $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a L . . . \dot{v} \pi o \delta . ~ a u ̉ r o v ̂ ~$ (Mc. Le.) Mt. substitutes тà vino $\begin{array}{r}\dot{q} \mu a \tau a \\ \hline\end{array}$ Bartírai, cf. Victor, and Origen (in Jo. t. vi. 34), who suggests, dкónouӨóv $\gamma \epsilon$
 ...àдфо́тєра катà ס́aф́ópovs каıройs
 Aug. de cons. ii. 30. Both were servile acts connected with the use of the bath, and possibly suggested by the baptismal rite (Bengel: "ad baptismum...calcei exuebantur") : see Ps. lx. Io, and Lc. xp. 22, where the
 ii. i speaks of slaves known as sandalifrae: and cf. Lucian Herod. 5, os $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$


 $\delta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ avi $\bar{\nu} v$. Victor: i $\mu, \phi \eta \sigma \mathfrak{l}$ тà $\nu$ офацршти̂pa (Gen. xiv. 23) тои̃ vito-
 For $\lambda \hat{u} \sigma a t$ in this connexion see Exod. iii. 5 (lxx.) and Polyp. Mart. ėtє
 $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma i \varphi$.







 $\pi \circ \leftarrow \bar{\omega} v$. Oí..av่тov̂, cf. vii. 25 , and see WM., p. I 84 f.
 The aor. represents John's course as already fulfilled in view of the coming of Messiah : cf. the epistolary $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \downarrow a$
 347). "Y $\delta a \tau\llcorner. . . \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau \epsilon$ 'with water,' 'with the Spirit,' dat. of manner or instrument (WM., p. 271) : द́v víbatц, $\epsilon \nu \pi \nu$ are used (Mt. Lc. Jo. i. 33, 34, Acts i. 5) in reference to the spheres, material and spiritual, in which the action is performed (WM., p. 483 ff .) For the correlation of $\tilde{v} \delta \omega \rho$ and $\pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \mu a$ see also Jo. iii. 5, iv. 14, vii. 38, 39, Acts i. 5, Tit. iii. 5. Mt., Lc. add кaì $\pi v \rho i$. The effusion of the Spirit was a well-known characteristic of the Messianic age (see Isa. xliv. 3, Ezek. xxxpi. 25-27, Jocl ii. 28), but the phrase $\beta a \pi r i \zeta \epsilon \nu \nu \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau t$ is new, though Joel (Lxx.) has $\epsilon^{\text {ex }} \times \chi \in \hat{\omega}$ and Ezek.
 operation ; contrast $\tau$ ò $\pi \nu$. (i. 10, 12), тò $\pi \nu$. тò ay. (iii. 29), the Holy Spirit regarded as a Divine Power.
9-11. The Baptism (Mt. iii. 1317, Lc. iii. $2 \mathrm{I}-22$; cf. Jo. i. 32-34).
 ism, у... kai : both constructions oceur in the lux., e.g. Gen. iv. 3, 8 , and the N. T., but Mc. has ouly the first. For kai $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$ followed by the inf. see Me. ii. 23, and on the whole subject consult WM., p. 760 n., Burton, § 357 f. 'E $\nu$
éxeivas raîs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a t s$, another Hebraism = = בָּ Jud. xviii. I, \&c., and in the N.T. Mt. iii. 1, Mc. viii. 1 , xiii. 17,24 , Lc. ii. I,
 тin $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a$ occurs in nearly the same sense Lc. xvii. 3I, Jo. xvi. 23, 26. As a note of time the phrase is somewhat indefinite, but like тóтє (Mt. iii. I3) it brings the narrative which follows into gencral connexion with the preceding context. Here eg. it connects the arrival of Jesus at the Jordan with the stage in the Baptist's ministry de-


àmò Na乌apèt $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Гa\einaías] Mt., àmo tins $\Gamma$.; the exact locality had been mentioned by him in ii. 23. Mc.'s ápxףं does not carry him behind the Lord's residence at Nazareth ; to the first generation Jesus was $\dot{\delta} a_{m} \dot{o} \mathrm{~N}$. (Jo. i. 46, Acts x. 37), or ó Na $\mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\nu ós}$
 (Lu. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Jo}^{3}{ }^{3}$ Acts $^{8}$ ) -on the two forms see Dalman Gr. d. Aram. p. 14 I n.
 but not in Mc., see WH., Notes, p. 160) is unknown to the O. T. and to Josephas; and its insignificance seems to be implied by the explanatory notes which accompany the first mention of the place in Mt. ii. 23, Le. ii. 39, and here : perhaps also by the question of Jo. i. $4^{6}$. The onomastica revel in etymologies, e.g. "N.flos aut virgultum eius vel munditiae aut separata vel custodita"; the first was based on a

##  



 syr ${ }^{\text {hier }}$ [ $\left.\tau 0 \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a\right]+\tau 0 v$ Өєov arm
supposed reference to the בֵּ xi. I. Delitzsch (Z.f.d.l. Th., 1876 ) proposed to connect the name with (Dalman, p. II9, prefers watch-tower, in reference to its position on the flank of a hill commanding a wide prospect. On the situation see G. A. Smith's H. G., p. 432 f. and
 (Mt. xxi. II, Lc. i. 26) is the topographical gen., cf. WM., p. 234. 'H $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ Taגci入aia, or simply $\dot{\eta}$ Г., occurs in the lux. as far back as Jos. xx. 7, xxi. 32 ; cf. 3 Regn. ix. in, 4 Regn. xv. 29, i Par. vi. 76 (6i), Isa. ix. I (viii. 23), and answers to ליל, a roll, or ring, hence a circuit of conntry: see G. A. Smith, H. G., p. 413 ff., cf. Joseph. B.J.iii. 3- 1. From Nazareth the journey to the place of the Baptism would lie along the Esdraelon as far as Bethshan, and then down the valley of the Jordan. On the locality of the Baptism see G. A. Smith, H. G., p. 496.
 that the journey was taken for this purpose ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a c$ ). Eis rò $\nu$ ${ }^{\prime}$ Io $\rho \dot{\delta} a \nu \eta \nu($ WM., p. 517 f.) $=\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega}$ 'Iopodivn (i. 5), but with the added thought of the immersion, which gives vividness to the scene. In every other instance $\beta a \pi t i\} \epsilon L$ cis is followed by the acc. of the purpose (eis $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} z o t a v, ~ c i s ~ a ̈ \phi e \sigma t \nu)$ or of the object to which the baptized are united

 note), as the rest- $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\lambda} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ doúh $\lambda \nu \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta}$ $\hat{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ r \eta s(E u t h . ~ Z i g).$.

clife, anoon) is characteristic of Mc. -"ein Lieblingswort des Marcus," Schanz-occurring Mc. ${ }^{41}$ Mt. ${ }^{19}$ Lc. ${ }^{7}$; Mt. shews a similar partiality for тóre. In the Lxx. (Gen. xv. 4, xxaviii. 29)
 which, though common in the other Gospels, is not used by Mc. Of the forms evidis, $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \omega s$ the first only occurs is Mc.; the second predomimates in the rest of the N. T. ( $\frac{3}{1} \frac{2}{2}$ ).
avaßaivevék toū vidatos] Out of the river into which He had descended: cf. Jos, iv. 18 , ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \in \beta \eta \sigma a \nu$ oi iepeís...ék тô̂ 'Io 1 \&ávov, Jer. xxix. 20 (xlix. 19),
 'Iop $\begin{gathered}\text { ávou. Mt.'s ámò } \quad \text { roû v̌ठatos is less }\end{gathered}$ graplic, giving merely the point of departure: of. Acts xxy. i, Apoc. vii. 2. Le. adds $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi_{\chi}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu=s$, cf. Mc. i. 25, Fi. 46, Lc. ix. 28.
 The subject is 'I $\eta \sigma o u{ }^{\prime}$ ( $v .9$ ). Some interpreters, influenced by Jo. i. 32 ff., have regarded ávalaiver as a nom. pendens, and understood ó 'I Iwánys after єiठєv: ef. Tindale, "John saw heavens open" (so even in Mt.). It was permitted to the Baptist to share the vision as a witness (Jo. l.c. éwpaка каi $\mu є \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \eta к a$ ), but the vision was primarily for the Christ.
$\sigma \chi\llcorner$ ऽоцє́vous] Vg. apertos, with the 'Western' text, from Mt. ( $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sim} \varphi_{\chi} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ oi ovjavoí, cf. Le.); in the true text of Mc. both the word and the tense are more graphic-' He saw the heaven in the act of being riven asunder.' Bengel: "dicitur de eo quod antea non fuerat apertum." $\Sigma \chi^{i} \xi_{\xi \in \nu}$ is used of a garment (Isa. xxxvi. 22, Jo. xix. 24), a reil (Lc. xxiii. 45), a net (Jo. xxi. II), rocks (Zech. xiv. 4, Isa.


xlviii, 21, Mt. xxvii. 5I), and wood (Gen. xxii. 3): scindere caelum occurs in Silius Italicus i. 535 f . 'Avoiyecy is the usual word in this connexion (Gen vii. 11, Ps. lxxpii. (lxxviii) 23, Isa. xxiv. 18, lxiv. 1, Acts vii. 56, Apoc. iv. I, xix. II): cf. esp. Ezek.
 ópá $\sigma \in i s \theta_{\text {eồ }}$ Orig. in Jo. fragm.


 Jerome in Matt. l.c. "aperiuntur autem caeli non reseratione elementorum, sed spiritualibus oculis." This vision of the rending heavens seems to have symbolised the outcome of Christ's mission: cf. Jo. i. 51.
$\kappa a i ~ \tau \grave{o} \pi \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \mu a]$ Mt. $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a \quad \theta \epsilon o v$ (cf. Gen. i. 2), Lc. тò $\pi \nu$. rò ${ }^{a} \gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}$. The art. cither looks back to i. 8, 'the (Holy) Spirit already mentioned,' or more probably indicates the Person of the Spirit, as in Jo. i. 32, 33, Acts x. 19, xi 12 , \&c.

 veritas sed similitudo monstratur." The Ebionite Gospel paraphrased:


 є̇льлт dol, and see other references in Resel, Parallellexte zu Luc., p. 15 f. The vision corresponds to that of Gen. i. 2, where of a bird; cf. Chagigah (ed. Streane) I5 A. The dove is a familiar image in Hebr. poetry; see esp. Ps. Ixviii. 13 (Cheyne), Caut. ii. 12; F. C. Conybeare (Exp. Iv. ix. 436) produces illustrations from Plilo, e.g. quis rer.


 rovitov тара反єiүдatь (i.e. the Divine
 Joseph is said to have been marked by a like phenomenon: iסoù $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \sigma-$
 On the significance of the symbol, of. Mt. x. I6, Tert. bapt. 8, and the Greek




 ä $80 \lambda \frac{1}{}$.

катаßаîvoи єis à̀兀óp] The кaтáßaбıs answers to the áváßacts of $\mathbf{i}$, ıo ; cf. the play upon these compounds in Jo. iii. 13, Eph. iv. 9, 1o. For eis aùróv, Mt., Le. prefer $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ aúróv : only
 Isa. xi. 2; see vv. ll. here). The immanence of the Spirit in Jesus was at once the purpose of the Descent and the evjdence of His being the Christ; see note on next verse.

 тarpós. For exx. of such voices in the O. T. see Gen. xxi. 17, xxii. II, 15 , Exod. xix. 19, xx. 22, I Kings xix. 12, 13. In the Gospels the Father's Voice is heard thrice, at the Baptism and Transfiguration (cf. 2 Pet. i. 17) and before the Passion (Jo. xii. 28). The Voice was audible or articulate only to those who had 'ears to hear' (Jo. v. 37, xii. 29) : comp. the scoff of the
 $\hat{\epsilon} \xi$ ovjpavô $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} s$; On its relation to the $\overline{\text { In }}$ see Edersheim, Life and Times, i. p. 285.




 arion D
$\sigma u ̀$ єỉ ó viós $\mu_{0 v}$, ó ajaatprós] So Lc., after Ps. ii. 7 ; Mt., oữós є́ $\sigma \tau \nu$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. The words point to Gen. xxii, 2 and perhaps also to Isa, xlii. I (cf. Mt. xii. 18). 'A $\begin{gathered}\text { antrós in the LxX. answers }\end{gathered}$
 Two Diss. p. 49 f.) in seven instances out of fifteen; in the N. T., where the word is much more frequent, it is exclusively a title of Christ, or applied to Christians as such. As a Messianic title (cf. Mc. ix. 7, xii. 6,

 where however see Lightfoot), it indicates a unique relation to God; thus in Rom. viii. 3 I tov idiou vioú is sub-
 xxii. 16. The title is frequent as a nante of Messiah in the Ascension of Isaiah (ed. Charles, p. 3 \&c.; see also Hastings, D. B. ii. 501 ; ef. Test. xIL patr. Benj. in, àvaarウíceral... ajaripros Kupiov) and is used in the Targum of Jonathan on Isa. xlii. i.

 בָּ 2 Regn. xxii. 20, Mal. ii. 17, or בָּנָה Ps. xliii. (xiiv.) 4 , cxlvi. (cxlvii.) II. The reference is probably to Isa.
 Th $\eta$ Uuסórn $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ ); the exact phrase occurs in Isa. 1xii. 4. In Le. an carly Western
 $\nu \eta \kappa \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon$ (from Ps. ii. 7), cf. Just. dial. Io3; in the G. acc. to the Hebrews the two sayings seem to have been combined (Hpiph. haer. xxx. 13). Acc. to Jerome (on Isa. xi. 2) the Nazarene Gospel had the interesting gioss, "Fili mi, in omnibus prophetis expectabam
te ut renircs et requiescerem in te; tu es enim requies mea."

The aor. єúdóknoa does not denote merely "the historical process by which God came to take pleasure in Jesus during his earthly life" (Gould), but rather the satisfaction of the Father in the Son during the preexistent jife; cf. Jo. i. 2, xvii. 24. Thus it corresponds to the perf. ${ }^{-1}$ Isa. xlii. I ; cf. Driver, Tenses in HLebr. § 9, Burton, \& 55.

Theodore of Mopsuestia, in the interests of his Christology, held that the evidoxia arose from the foreseen perfection of the Man with whom the Word united Himself (Minor Epp. ii. p. 294 ff.). According to his view the Son in whom God took pleasure was not the Word, but the avad $\eta \phi \theta$ ais ä้ $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os (ib. i. 63, 260 ; Migne, $P . G$. lxvi. 705-6).

12-i3. Tee Temptamion (Mt. iv. I-II, Le. iv. I-I 3).

 expellit; other Latin texts ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f}$ ) lave duxit, eduxit: Wycliffe, "puttide


 exercised by Christ over the סacmóvta (e.g. i. 34). But expellit and "driveth" (A.V.) or "driveth forth" (R.V.) are perhaps too strong in this context, cf. Mt. ix. 38 , Mc. i. 43 , Jo. x. 4; ${ }^{2} \kappa$ -
 xxix. 5 (see Guillemard, G. T., Hebraistic ed. p. 20). At the most the word denotes here only a pressure upon the spirit(Victor: $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} k \epsilon \epsilon), ~ n o t ~ a n ~ i r r e s i s t i b l e ~}$
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power. Mt. adds the purpose ( $\pi \epsilon t^{-}$
 in Matt., "significatur libertas Spiritus sancti, hominem suam iam diabolo offerentis"; Jerome in Matt. l.c.,"ducitur autem non invitus aut captus, sed voluntate pugnandi."
els $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{u} \rho \eta \mu o v]$ To be distinguished apparently from the ${ }^{\prime} p \eta \mu o s$ of i .4 . Christian tradition from the time of the Crusades points to the Quarantania(Jebel Kuruntul), a rugged limestone height which rises 1000 feet above the plain of Jericho (cf. Josh. xvi. 1); the Arabs on the other hand select the conical hill 'Osh el Ghurab. The Gospels give no indication beyond the fact that the Lord went to the place from the Jordan.
 The same limit of time occurs in the lives of Moses and Elijah (Exod. xxxiv. 28, I Kings xix. 8), and again in the life of Christ (Acts i. 3) ; for other exx. of the number in Scripture see Trench, Studies in the Gospels, p. 13 ff. Mc., Lc. make the Temptation coextensive with the 40 days ; Mt. seems to connect the limit of time with the fasting, and to place the Temptation at the end of the days. Comp. in support of the Marcan tradition Clem. hom. xi. 35 , xix. 2 ; Orig. hom. in Luc. 29. Meıpá̧єı in the Lxx. is used of man tempting God, and of GoD tempting man, but not of Satanic suggestions: in I Cliron. xxi. I we have é $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \bar{y}$ in this connexion: in
 proaches to the latter sense, but the
readiug is more than doubtful. Iu the N.T. this meaning is common (cf., besides the present context and its parallels, 1 Cor. vii. 5, Gal. vi. I, Heb. ii. 18, Apoc. ii. ıo, iii. ıo) ; in Mt. iv. 3, perbaps also in I Thess. iii. $5, \dot{\delta} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega=\delta \dot{\delta}$ бatavàs. See Mayou on James i. 13 .


 rarór is used in the sense of an adversary in 3 Regn. xi. 14, 23, ó catavás appears first in Sir. xxi. 27 (30). In the N. T. ó fatavâs or Satavâs (Mc.ini. 23 , Lc. xxii. 3) is invariably the Adversary кat' ' ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ox ${ }^{\prime} \nu$, and the name is freely used by the Synoptists and St Paul, and in the Apocalypse. On the history of the Jewish belief in Satan see Cheyne, Origin of the Psalter, p. 282 f., Schultz, O.T. Theology, ii. p. 274 ff., Edersheim, Life dc. ii. p. 755 ff., Charles, Enoch, pp. 52 ff., 119, Weber, Jüd. Theologie, ed. 2, p. 25 If .
${ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \nu \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta_{\eta} \rho^{\prime} \omega \nu \bar{\jmath}$ Comp. 2 Macc.
 $\sigma \iota \nu$ (i.e. probably the wilderness of
 xc. (xci.) I 3 the promise of victory over the orpia follows immediately after that of angelic guardianship, cited by the Tempter in Mt. iv. 6. But this peculiarly Marcan touch may be simply meant to accentuate the loneliness of

 it was not such an ${ }^{\prime} \rho p \mu o s$ as John tenanted, but a haunt of the hyaena,



 $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \alpha L$
jackal, and leopard (cf. Tristram, Land of Israel, p. 240; G. A. Smith, H. G., p. 316 f.). The mystical reference to the Second Adam (Gen.ii. I9), which some have imagined, seems, as Meyer has well said, out of place in this narrative; see, however, Trench, Studies, p. 9 f.
 parently during the forty days, the imperf. corresponding with ग?
 ministry to the end (тóтє $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda$ Aov). Comp. Gen. xxviii. 12, Jo. i. 51, Heb. i. I4; esp. the hymn in I Tim. iii. 16,
 The daxovia may refer to the supply of physical (I Kings xix. 5 fi.) or spiritual (Dan. x. I9 ff.) needs. Such a ministration, while it attests the human weakness of the Lord, bears witness also to His Sonship; cf. Clem.



14-I5. First Preaching in Galilee (Mt. iv. 12-17, Lc. iv. 14-15).
 $\left.{ }_{\eta} \eta \nu\right]$ A definite terminus a quo for all that follows: cf. Mt., ákov́oas $\bar{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$ ö́т $\iota$
 Lxx. generally the equivalent of $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ ) acquires its special meaning from the context; the most usual complement is $\epsilon i s$ ( $\tau \dot{d} s$ ) $\chi \in \hat{\varphi} \rho a s(\tau \omega \nu) \epsilon \in \chi \theta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ or the like, but we find also $\pi$. fis Gávarov 2 Chr. xxxii. II, єis $\pi \rho о$ ро $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ (Isa. xxxiii. 23), fis $\sigma \phi y^{\prime \prime} \nu$ (xxxiv. 2). Here we may supply eis $\phi u \lambda a \kappa \eta \nu$, as in Acts viii. 3, xxii. 4; cf. Le. iii. 20, Jo. iii. 24. The events of Jo. ii. iii. must be placed before the commencement of the Synoptic Ministry. If Mark is silent as to the previous work in Galilee and

Judaea, he does not "exclude it" (Gould) ; it lies outside his subject -perhaps outside his information. From Mc.'s point of view the Lord's Ministry begins where the Baptist's ends: " Ioanne tradito, recte ipse incipit praedicare; desinente lege, consequenter oritur evangelium" (Jerome).
$\left.{ }_{\eta}{ }^{3} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}\right]$ Mt., ${ }^{2} \nu \in \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon$. This journey to Galilce was in fact a withdrawal from Judaca, where the tidings of John's imprisonment (Mt.), and still more the growing jealousy of the Pharisees towards the new Teacher (Jo. iv. I), rendered a longer stay dangerous or unprofitable. Though Galilee was under the jurisdiction of Antipas, His mission there would not expose Him at first to the tetrarch's interference (cf. Mc. vi. I4, Lc. xiii. 31 f., xxiii. 8). It was Jerusalem, not Galilee, that shed the blood of the prophets; in any case it was clear that Jerusalem would not tolerate His teaching; Galilee offered a better field (cf. Jo. iv. 45). The Greek commentators think of the move only as an cscape from peril (Theod. Heracl,
 pous: Victor, ס̌єтग́pєє éautód); but the other motive should be kept in view.
$\epsilon i s, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Гa入єLíaiav] Jo. adds $\pi a ̈ \lambda c \nu$,
 sapapias). Cana was visited on the way to Capernaum (Jo. iv. 46).

 $\mu e t a v o i a s$. Both proclamations urged repentance, and both told of good tidings; but $\mu \in \tau$ ćvota predominated in the one, єvaryedcop in the other. The preaching of Jesus began, as a regollar mission, with the silencing of John:





 $3^{6 \mathrm{er}} 4^{8 \mathrm{I}}$ bfyg Or
 took up the Baptist's note, but added
 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ) is a Pauline phrase (Rom. i. I, xy. 16, 2 Cor. xi. 7, I I'hess. ii. 8, 9), used however also by St Peter (I Pet. iv. 17). The gen. probably denotes the source: the Gospel which comes from God, of which God (the Father) is the Author and Sender ; cf. $\boldsymbol{v}$. I; see, however, the more inclusive view adrocated by SH. (on Rom. i. i). The insertion of $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ßarcheias (vv. ll.) is due to a desire to explain an unusual phrase: see next verse.
 The substance of the new proclamation. "Ort is here 'recitative' (WM., p. 683 n .), as in i. 37,40 , ii. 12, and frequently in Mc. For $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a$ used of time, cf. Gen. xxix. $2 \mathrm{I}, \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime}-$
 quently occurring in the Lxx.; and for its connexion with кalpós see Tob. xiv. 5 (B), Esth. ii. 12 (A). Kaıós
 the 'opportune moment'' (see esp. Eccl. iii. $1-8$ ), with an ethical outlook, $\chi$ póvos being merely the time, considered as a date: see Trencl, syn. §vii. and ef. Lightfoot on I Thcss. v. I. Thus St Paul speaks of the $\pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho \mu a$ tov $\chi$ póvov (Gal. iv. 4), when he has in view the place of the Incarnation in the order of events, but of the $\pi \lambda j \rho$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \ell \hat{\nu} \nu($ Eph.i. Io), when he thinks of the Divine oikovapia. Here the thought is that of the opportuneuess of the moment. The season fixed in the foreknowledge of GOD (Acts i. 7),
and for which the whole moral guidance of the world had prepared, was fully come. It is not so much in regard to Galilee that the words are spoken as in reference to the world and humanity considered as a whole. See Lux Mundi, Essay iv.
 Acc. to Mt. (iii. 2) this announcement had been anticipated by John. Mt. has usually $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma . \tau \hat{\omega} y$ o ơpavầ (rov̂ $\theta$. only in vi. 33 , xii. 28 , xix. 24 , xxi. 3 r, 43), but the two expressions are nearly equivalent (see Schürer II. ii. I7I, Bevan on Dan. iv. 26, Stanton, J. and Chr. Messiah, p. 208 f.). The term possibly originated in the language of Danicl-see esp. ii. 24, vii. 22 (Nestle, Marginal., p. 41), and ef. Stanton, p. 211 -and there are parallels in preChristian literature, e.g. Ps. Solom.

 Rabbinical use of the term see Stanton, p. 214 f . A yearning for a Divine Kingdom pervades the history of Israel, and the new preaching in announcing its realisation probably found the phrase ready. For a fresh and invigorating if incomplete view of the sulject see Ecce Homo ce. iii., iv. "Hyoıкц, appropinquavit, 'hathdrawn near,' is nigh ; cf. Isa lvi. I, Thren. iv.
 Mc. xiv. 42, Lc. x. 9, I I, I Pct. iv. 7. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu 0 \in i \tau \epsilon$, каì тเбтєv́єтє ктд.] See on $v .14$ for the connexion of $\mu$ erávola and ríatis of. Acts xx. 2 I ,
 occurs in Ps. lxxvii. (lexviii.) 22, cr.
${ }^{16}{ }^{16} K a i ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \Gamma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota-$
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(cvi.) 12 (cf. 24), Jer. xii. 6, and elsewhere, frequently however with a v. l. which omits $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$. In the N. T. the construction is perhaps unique (sce Westcutt on Jo. iii. I5, and Ellicott on Eph. i. 13-on its occurrence in Ign. Philad. 8, cf. Lightfoot adl.); nor do we elsewhere hear of believing the Gospel (see however 'Mc.' xvi. 15, 16); faith is regarded as primarily due to the Person of whom the Gospel speaks (cf. e.g. Jo. xiv. 1). Yet faith in the message was the first step; a creed of some kind lies at the basis of confidence in the Person of Christ, and the occurrence of the plirase $\pi$.
 the teaching of our Lord is a valuable *witness to this fact. Tò eviayye $\lambda_{\text {tov }}$ is the nucleus of Christian teaching already imparted in the announcement $\eta_{\eta} \gamma \kappa \kappa \in \nu, \kappa \tau \lambda$. For other meanings see note on i. I.
i6-zo. Call of the First Four Disciples (Mt. iv. 18-22; cf. Le. v. Iff.).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Mt. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon$; see vv. ll.
 curs in the lxx. (Ps. cxxpiii. (cxxix.) 8, cxliii. (cxliv.) 4) and N. T. (Mt. Mc. Jo. Paul), but the construction with rapá seems to stand alone; see however 3 Macc. vi. I6, катà $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ int $\pi \dot{-}$
 the reader at once to the lake-side; Lc. prefaces the preaching at Caper-
naum with the synagogue-scene at Nazareth: see Mc. vi. I, note.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s$ Г.] So Mt., Mc.,or more usually "the Sea.' Jo. adds(vi. I)
 Lc. prefers $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta$ to $\theta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$, and in v. I calls it $\dot{\eta} \lambda$. Гev $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \rho \bar{\epsilon} \tau$, apparently from the district known as $\Gamma \neq \nu \nu \eta \sigma a \rho \in \dot{\tau}$ on its western shore (Mc. vi. 53) : cf. Joseph. B.J. iii. ıo. $7, \dot{\eta} \lambda$. Гє $\quad \nu \eta \sigma a \dot{a}$, i
 name is
 Jos. xiii. 27. On the topography of the Lake see G. A. Smith, H. G. c. xxi .
 a Heilenized form of $\Sigma \boldsymbol{\Sigma \nu \epsilon \omega \nu \nu ( = ) , ~}$ Gen. xxix. 33, cf. Apoc. vii. 7); both forms are used in reference to Simon Maccabaeus, i Macc. ii. 3, 66, to whose reputation the popularity of this name is probably due (Lightfoot, Gal., p. 268). The Apostle is called $\Sigma \nu \mu \in \omega^{\prime} \nu$ in Acts xv. r4, 2 Pet. i. I ( $\mathbf{N A}$ ); the Synoptists call him $\Sigma_{i \mu \omega \nu}$ up to the choosing of the Apostles, after which he is Métpos (but see Mt. xvi. I6, 17, xvii. 25 , Mc. xiv. 37 , Lcc. xxii. 31 , xxiv. 34), a name which Mt. anticipates here (iv. I8, $\Sigma$. т $\dot{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu(\nu$ II.). For a fuller discussion see Hort, St Peter, p. 151 ft., or Chase, in Hastings' D. B. iii. p. 756. 'Avסিféas is a true Greek name (Herodotus vi. 126), but instances occur of its use by Jews (Srith's D.B., ed. 2, i. 128); and Andrew appears in com-






 cf vg syricl arm go aeth
pany with Greeks in Jo. xii. 20f. The brothers came from Bethsaida (Jo. l.c., i. 44 , cf. Mc. vi. 45 n.), but at this time resided in Capernaum (Mc. i. 29) ; the father's name was Jonas (Mt. xvi. 17), or John (Jo. i. 42, xxi. 15-17). Andrew had been a disciple of the Baptist (Jo. i. 35, 40), but apparently both A. and S. had for some time followed Jesus, witnessing His miracles in Galilee (Jo. ii. 2, 7) and Jerusalem (ib. 13, 23), and baptizing in His Name (Jo. iii. 22, iv. 2) ; after His return to Galilee they had gone back to Capernaum and resumed their fishing.


 $\beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$ aùrov, and see wv. 11. here. Mc. alone uses á $\mu \varphi \beta_{a ́ \lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ absolutely;
 On the synonyms $\dot{a}^{3} \mu \phi i \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$, diktoov (Mc. i. 18, 19), $\sigma a \gamma \eta \eta_{\eta}$ (Mt. xiii. 47), see Trench sym., § lxiv.: à $\mu \phi$. and $\sigma a y \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ oceur together in Hab. $i$. 16, cf. Isa. xix. 8. On à $\mu \phi \iota \beta$. єis, è $\nu$, see WML, p. 520.

ทु $\sigma a v$ y $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ à $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon i s]$ The form ád $\lambda \epsilon$ is predominates in the best mas. of the Lixx. (Isa. xix. $8 \mathrm{~N}^{*} \mathrm{~B}^{*}$, Jer. xvi. 16 $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~B}^{*}$, Ezek. xlvii. гo $\mathrm{B}^{*}$ A (but Job xl 26 ai $\lambda t \epsilon(\omega v)$; cf. WH., Notes, I51. On the fish of the Lake of G. see Sir C. W. Wilson in Smith's D.B., ed. 2, ii. p. 1074 ; Merrill, Galilee, p. 43 f.
 brothers are in their boat, Jesus speaks from the shore; cf. Jo. xxi.


Regn. vi. 19; other forms are ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho_{\chi} \in \sigma \theta a t$
 áкодоขӨєiv óтía (Mt. x. 38), or
 viii. 34 b, Jo. i. 43 , \&c.) ; for $\dot{u} \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \in \epsilon \nu$ ormion with a very different sense, see Mc. viii. 33. On the form of the sentence see Burton § 269 c .

кal $\pi$ oı $\eta \dot{\sigma} \omega . . . \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu]$ Mt. omits
 and C.W. Votaw, Use of the Infinitice,

 the metaphor, cf. Prov. vi. 26, Jer. xvi. 16, 2 Tim. ii. 26, and cf. Pitra, Spic. Solesm. iii. 419 ff.; as to its infiuence on early Christian thought and art see the articles 'fish,' 'fisherman' in D. C. A. In Clem. Alex. hymn. in Chr. the Lord Himself is
 $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \gamma o v s$ какías $\mid$ i $\chi$ قús à àvoùs $\mid$ кúpatos
 anulus piscatoris worn by the Pope is of mediaeval origin (D. C. A. ii. p. 1807). Erasmus appositely remarks, "piscantes primum piscatus est Jesus."
 So Mt.; Le., who appears to follow another tradition (cf. Latham, Pastor pastorum, p. 197 f.), and connects the call with a miraculous drauglt of fishes, concludes (r. II) : катабаү́nтеs
 aủtê.
19. каі $\pi \rho о \beta$ ás ктд.] Another pair of brothers (Mt. ä $\lambda \lambda$ ovs $\delta \hat{v} \begin{gathered}\text { a } \delta \in \lambda \phi o u ' s), ~\end{gathered}$ called shortly after the first pair




 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \tau \sigma \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu^{\pi} \dot{o} \pi i \sigma \omega{ }^{\mathrm{s}} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \sigma \hat{v}$.



$=$ = LXx. 'Iakw' (Gen. xxv. 26 and throughout O. T.), English 'James' (through Ital. Giacomo, Mayor) from Wycliffe onwards. 'I wáuns (for the

 2 Paral. xxviii. 12, I Esdr. viii. 38, cod. B uses 'I 1 d́vys, and 'Iwávops occurs in cod. A, 1 Esdr. l.c., I Macc. ii. I sq.). The father, who is meationed as present (infra), was one zeßeठiaios = for which the Lxx. have Zaßסєєá in 2 Esdr. viii. 8, x. 20, and Zaßabaías in I Esdr. ix. 35, or Zaß8aios, ib. 21 ; the mother was Salome, see Mc. xp. $40-$ on the form of the name of. Dal-
 that John was the younger or the less important at the time; of. ròv áde入фòv $\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu=s$ ( $0 . \quad$ 16). Проßàs ${ }^{\circ} \lambda_{i}{ }^{\gamma} o v$, i.e. along the shore (i. 16) towards Capernaum (ii. I).

кal aùtoi's] Mc. only. Vg. et ipsos, 'they too': cf. Le. i. 36, Acts xv. 27, 32 (Blass); the exx. of kai aúrós with a finite verb, adduced by Knabenbauer, are inapposite. James and John, like Simon and Andrew, were in their boat ( $\bar{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda$.), though not similarly occupied. Karapti(Jovras rà dixtua, Vg. componentes retia: Wycliffe, "makynge nettis," Tindale, A.V., R.V.,"mending their nets," cf. Jerome: "ubi dicitur componentes ostenditur quod scissa fuerant." Kataptijelv is used of rebuilding a ruin ( 2 Esdr. iv.

12, 13), and in surgery, of setting a bone, or bringing the broken parts together (Galen). In a metaphorical sense the word is a favourito with St Paul (see Lightfoot on Gal. vi. I, I Thess. iii. ro), but it is also used in I Pet. v. 1o. Here it may include the whole preparation (see Heb. x. 5, xi 3) of the nets for another night's fishing. Comp. the different account in Le. v. 2.
20. кaì єỉӨis éкá̀ $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \mathcal{\nu}$ aủrovis] On $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v}$ 's see $v$. Io, note. Mt. omits it here, but places $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \theta_{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}$ before ${ }^{\prime} \phi \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$, as in $v .18$. The call was doubtless as before, $v .17$; and the voice was as familiar and as authoritative in the second case as in the first.
áqévres $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \pi a \tau \in p a]$ See the archetype of this parting in I Kings xix. 20f., and cf. Mc. x. 28, 29. Mt. brings out more fully the relative greatness of the sacrifice in this case : $\dot{a} \phi \dot{\varepsilon} \nmid \tau \tau s$
 both cases the abandonment was complete (Lc. à $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тáva) ; all left what they had to leave. Mc.'s $\mu \xi \mathrm{T}$ d $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \tau \sigma \theta \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ has been thought to imply comparative prosperity, but the two pairs of brothers were partners in the fishing industry (Lc. v. 7, 10), so that there was at least no social differeuce. Of $\mu \sigma \theta \omega \omega$ oi we hear again in connexion with other businesses (Jo. x. 12, 13, cf. Mt. xx. 1).
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21-28. Casting out an Uncleak Spirit in the Synagogue at Capernadm (Le. iv. 3I-37).
21. кai єiбn. єis Kaфapyaov́ $\mu$ ] Cf.

 Sabbath at Nazareth) кaт $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon y}$ єis K. In Mc. the entrance into Capernaum follows the walk by the Sea, but cirrs. does not of course exclude a previous arrival from Nazareth. Kaфapvaoí (Karefv. is a 'Syrian' corruption, WH., Notes, p. 160): Mt. adds $\tau \dot{\eta} v$
 $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \phi \theta a \lambda \epsilon i \mu$, in ref. to Isa. viii. 23 (ix. 1). The name פָּרַר נַחוּם, 'Nahum's village,' is unknown to the O. T., but Josephus mentions a кє́мпи Кєфарлшкòv $\lambda_{\epsilon \gamma o \mu e ́ v i p ~(v i t . ~ 72) ~ a n d ~ a ~ f o u n t a i n ~}^{\text {a }}$ called Capharnaum in Gennesar ( $\pi \eta \gamma \hat{n}$
 $\sigma \iota \nu, B . J$. iii. го. 8), identified by some with'Ain-et-Tin close to Khan Minyeh, by others with 'Ain-et-Tabigal. The site has been sought either at Khan Minyeh, at the N. cod of the plain (so G. A. Smith, H.G. p. 456; Enc. Bibl. i. p. 696 ff.), or at Tell Hum $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles N.E. of Khan M. (see Wilson, Recovery of Jerusalem, p. 342 ff., and the other authorities quoted in Names and Places, s.v.). Jerome onomast. says, "usque hodie oppidum in Galilaea." On the Talmudic references see Neubauer, géogr. du Talmud, p. 221. Tell Hum is now a wilderness of ruins, half buried in brambles and nettles; among them are conspicuous the remains of a large synagogue built of white limestone (Wilson, l.c.). On the strange statement of Hera-
 $\hat{\eta} \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda a \lambda \eta \kappa \omega$ s see Origen in Joann. t. $x$. 1 .
 sabbath after the call of the Four. $\Sigma a j \beta$ $\beta$ ara (so Joseph ant. iii. 6. 6, and even Horace, sat. i. 9. 69) is perhaps pl. only in form = Aram. N
 The lxx. use both $\sigma a \dot{\beta} \beta \beta a z o \nu$ and $\sigma \dot{a} \beta$ ßata for 'a sabbath,' cf. Exod. xvi. 23, xx .8 f ., xxxi .15 ; but $\sigma$ व́ß $\beta$ Barov does not appear in cod. B before 4 Regn. iv. 23. Mc. uses the sing. in ii. 27, 28, vi. 2, xyi. I, and it is the prevalent form in the N. T.; oáßßaza occurs as a true plural in Acts xvii. 2. The metaplastic dat. $\sigma a \beta^{\prime} \beta a \sigma c \nu$ is normal in the N.T.; "B twice has oaßßátocs," WH., Notes, p. 157 (in Mt. xii. 1, 12). On rois $\sigma$. with or without '่̇ sce WM., p. 274.
$\epsilon і \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ єis т He was engaged in teaching in the synagogue, when the event about to be recorded took place. The rejection of $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu$ by some good anthorities (l'Alexandrian') may be justified by such passages as i. 39 , x. Io, xiii. 9 . The 'pregnant' use of eis is not to be attributed to confusion of $\varepsilon$ eis with $\epsilon \nu$; see WM., p. 516 ff . Tìv ovv, ; there was probably but one (see Lc. vii. 5). The synagogue teaching of Christ seems to have been characteristic of the earlier part of His ministry: we hear no more of it after Mc. vi. 2. On the Synagrogue as an institution see Schürer II. ii. 52 ff . The word occurs abundantly in the Pentateuch (Lxx.) for עיקרָה or the congregation of Israel (see Hort, Chr.





Ecclesia, p. 4 fi.): later on it is used for any assembly (Prov. v. 14 द́ $\nu \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega$

 a religious assembly, Ps. Sol. xvii. 8 ; but as denoting a place of assembly it is almost peculiar to the N. T., and occurs chiefly in the Synoptists and Acts (Jo. vi. 59, xviii. 20, James ii. 2 are not real exceptions). Teaching was a chief purpose of the synagogues; Phil. de Sept. 2 calls them
 of the Scripture lections (Lc. iv. I6, Acts xiii. 15), which were followed by a (Tָּרִשׁ) was not an officer of the synagogue, but any competent Israelite who was invited by the officers. Hence the synagogue supplied invaluable opportunities to the tirst preachers of the Gospel.
22. каі $\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma о \nu т о ~ к \tau \lambda.\right] ~ S o ~ M t . ~$ vii. 28 f., Le. iv. 32. 'Eкпл., though used from Homer downwards, is rare in the Lxx. (Ecel. ${ }^{1}$ Sap. ${ }^{1}$ Macc. ${ }^{3}$ ) and in the N. T. is limited to Mt., Mc, Lc.ev, act. For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \delta$ sce WM., p. 491 (cover'=at). The amazement was due to the manner of the teaching. It was authoritative, and that not on certain occasions only, but in general ( $\eta_{\nu} \quad \delta \omega \delta \dot{a}-$ $\sigma к \omega \nu$, periphrastic inıperf., cf. Blass, Gr. p. 203 f.). Its note was teqovaia, Justin, apol. i. I4, contrasting our Lord with the Greek ooфıotai says:

 ả入入à ớva The frequenters of the synagogue were chiefly struck by the Lord's tone of authority; there was no appeal to Rabbis greater or older than Himself, His message came direct from God. The same character pervades all our

Lord's conduct : ef. i. 27 , ii. 10, xi. 28 ff . The source of this $\dot{\epsilon} \xi_{o v a i a}$ is the Father (Mt. xxviii. 18, Jo. v. 27, x. 18, xvii. 2) ; the Son delegates His authority to His servants (Mc. vi. 7, xiii. 34, Jo. i. 12). On the distinction between $\delta \dot{v}$ $\nu \nu_{\mu}$, and ésovaia see Mason, Conditions of O. L.'s Life, p. 98: " authority is not always power delegated, [nor is it always] a rightful power...the distinction is rather between the inward force or faculty...and the external relationship." For the use of $\omega$ s with the part. to denote the manner of an action cf. Burton, § 445.
kai ov $\chi$ ws oi $\gamma \rho$.] Oi $\gamma \rho$., generic art., 'the Scribes as a class.' On the functions of this class see Schürer II. i. 306 ff ; Robertson Smith, O.T.J.C. 42 ff . The classical $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon$ 's is the secretary or clerk of a public body;
 toi $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$ are mentioned in the inscriptions, cf. Hicks, Inscr. of Ephesos, p. 8, and Blass on Acts xix. 35. In the Lxx. ypa $\mu \mu a t \in$ is first appear in connexion with the Egyptian éppodiêкrai, and Deissmann hasshewn(Bibelst. p. 106 f.) that the papyri employ the word for a class of military officers, presumably those who kept the register of the army (ef. Driver on Deut. xx. 5, Moore on Jud. v. 14). In the later sense of a Biblical scholar the word first occurs in I Esdr. viii. 3, 2 Esdr. vii. 6: cf. I Mace. yii. I2, 2 Mace. yi. 18 ; the Gospels nnow no other. But the yoam $\mu a r \epsilon i \hat{s}$ had before this time become a dominant factor in Jewish life, the recognised teachers of Israel, taking their place in the Sanhedrin with the representatives of priesthood and people (Mc. xv. 1). 'Scribe' (Latt. scriba) unfortunately lays stress on the etymological sense of the word
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 me aet）｜oi da，$\mu \nu N \mathrm{~L} \Delta$ arm me meth $\mathrm{Or}^{2} \mathrm{Eus}^{4}$
 Mt．${ }^{1}$ Lc．.$^{6}$ ）is scarcely better：Lc．＇s ронобьष́̈́ккадоs（v．17，cf．Acts v．34） is perhaps the most exact title．On the relation of our Lord＇s teaching to the Law and its authorised expounders see Hort，Jud．Chr．p．14 ff．${ }^{3} \mathrm{H} \nu$ ү⿳亠口冋阝 $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega v$ is a little wider than $\begin{gathered}\delta i- \\ i\end{gathered}$ Saone above；as He proceeded，the note of authority rang out more and more clearly．
 only．Le．omits ai $\dot{\prime} \dot{\prime}$ s and $a \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ ；both words as they stand in Mc．belong to the completeness of the picture；the events occurred at a definite time and place， on that Sabbath during the sermon in the synagogue of the Capharnaites．

 －an easier phrase．For［ $\epsilon$ iva ${ }^{2} v$ $\pi \nu$ кípate cf．Mt．xxii．43，Mc．v． 2 ， xii． 36 ，le．ii． 27 ，Ron s．viii．9，I Cor． xii．3，Apoc．i． 10 ．＇$E \nu$ is not here in－ strumental or indicative of manner （Bless，Gr．p．13I）：rather it represents the person who is under spiritual in－ fluence as moving in the sphere of the spirit．Most of the exp．refer to the Holy Spirit，but there is no－ thing in the formula to forbid its application to evil spirits in their relation to men under their control．

 cos and àка $\theta$ apaía are ordinarily used in Leviticus for the ceremonial poilu－ timon which banishes from the Divine
presence．This idea of estrangement from God probably predominates in the present phrase ：cf．Victor：$\delta \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{T} \dot{\eta} \nu$
 adding however－what should not perhaps be excluded－$\delta_{\grave{\prime}} \dot{a}$ to $\pi$ á gats
 $\pi \rho \dot{\beta} \xi \in \sigma \nu$.
 late GE．）is used again of the cry of a demoniac in Le．viii． 28 ；and of the cry of human terror（Mc．vi．49）or excitement（Lc．xxiii．18）．Lc．adds here $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$（cf．I Regn．iv． 5 and Mc．infra，v．26）．
习习ָ ：cf．Jos．xxii．24，Jud．xi．12， 2 Regn．xvi．Io， 3 Regn．xvii． 18 ；the phrase was used also in class．Gk．，see Wetstein on Mt．viii． 29 and WM．，p． 731．＇What have we in common with Thee？＇Of．Mc．v．7，and esp． 2 Cor． vi．I4，ais yàp $\mu \epsilon \tau \sigma \chi \grave{\eta}$ §ıкa未oбvivך mai
 ＇us，as a class＇；only one seems to have been in possession in this case， but he speaks for all．Na̧̧appuós is the Marcan form（cf．xiv．67，xvi．6）； Mt．，Le．（xviii．37），Jo．，Acts，give NaSopaios．On the origin of the two forms see Dolman，p．I4 in．
$\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon S}$ ar $\left.\pi o \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma a l ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s ;\right]$ Probably a second question，parallel to ti $\quad \dot{\mu i v}$ к．$\sigma .:$＇didst Thou come（hither from Nazareth，or perhaps，since $\dot{\eta}_{\mu} \hat{a} s$ is generic，into the world）to work our ruin，to destroy and not to save，in our case ？＇Contrast Lc．xix．Io．The

#  




Saviour of men must needs be the Destroyer of unclean spirits. See the use made of this context against Marcionism by Tertullian, ado. Marc. iv. 7.
oîdá $\sigma \epsilon$ tis $\epsilon i k \pi \lambda$.] See James ii. 19
 $\sigma u v$, and cf. also Lc. iv. 4 I , Mc. v. 7 , Acts xix. 15. Orig. in Jo. t. xxvini. 15 ,

 For the special meaning of oifo as opposed to $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ (Acts l.c.) see Lightfoot on Gal. iv. 9, Rom. vii. 7,
 relative. At this stage the evil spirits merely knew as a matter of fact that Jesus was the Messiah : experience of His power came later on. The slightly pleonastic $\sigma \epsilon$ is common to Mc. and Je. here, and perhaps is due to an
 ה. P ) ; for the attraction of. Mt. xxy.
 (cvi.) 16, 'Аарळ̀v тò̀ ăyıov Kupiov: 4 Regn. iv. 9, ä $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о s$ тои̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ äquos. The Apostles learnt afterwards to adopt the title (John vi. 69, cf. I Jo. ii. 20, Apoc. iii. 7). Employed in this way it distinguished the Christ from all other consecrated persons.


 used (Acts xxii. 14, James v. 6: the two stand together in Acts iii. 14). But it was the ajoórps of Jesus-[IIs absolute consecration to God (Jo. x. 36, xvii. 19)-which struck terror into the סaınóva Bede: "praesentia Salvatoris tormenta sunt daemonum."
25. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aủ $\overline{\hat{\omega}}]$ Sc. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ à $\nu-$ $\theta \rho \omega \pi \varphi$, , but in effect the spirit, as the words that follow shew; cf. v. 8.
'Eォırıцầv, Vg. comminari, Wycliffe and Rheims "threaten," other Engl. vv., "rebuke"; the strict meaning of the word is 'to mete out duc measure,' but in the N. T. it is used only of censure ; cf. 2 Timı iv. 2, where it stands between $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ and mapaка入єiv: Jude 9 (Zach. iii. 2), є่тить$\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a t$ бol Kúpoos. With these two exceptions it is limited to the Synoptists.
$\phi u \mu \omega^{\prime} \eta_{\tau \tau}$ каì $\left.\vec{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta_{\epsilon}\right]$ The rebuke takes the form of a double command:
 $\vec{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon$. The offence was two-fold: (I) The confession oidá $\sigma \in k \tau \lambda$, coming inopportunely and from unholy lips; cf. i. 34, Acts xvi. 18, and see 'Tert. Marc. iv. 7 , "increpnit illum...ut invidiosum et in ipsa confessiono petulantem et male adulantom, quasi haec esset summa gloria Christi si ad perditionem daemonum venisset": (2) the invasion of the man's spirit by an alicn power. $\Phi \mu \mu \hat{v} y$ occurs in its literal sense in Deut. xxv. 4, cited in I Cor ix. 9, I Tim. v. I8; $\phi \mu$ ой $\sigma a t$ is in the Lxx. (4 Macc. i. $35, \mathrm{SV}$ ) and N.T. unifurmly metaphorical, Yg. obmutescere. The word is not a vulgar colloquialism, as Gould's rendering suggests; it occurs in this sense in good late writers (Josephus, Lucian, (c.) ; see, however, Kennedy, Sources, p. 4I. In Mt. xxii. 34, I Pet. ii. I 5 we find the active similarly used, cf. Prov.
 For ${ }_{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \theta \in$ see v. 8, ix. 25. The summons to depart was in this case the peralty for unprovoked interruption; the סaupóvoy was the aggressor. An exodus was possible, siace the human personality, although overpowered, remained intact, awaiting the Deliverer : cf. iii. 27, Lc. xi. 2I ff.








 arm
26. каi $\sigma \pi а \rho a \dot{\xi}\{\nu . . \epsilon \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu]$ The spirit obeyed, but displayed his malice (Apoc. xii. 12); cf. Lc. píqrav
 $\beta \lambda a ́ \psi a \nu$ av̉тór. ミтapá cav, Vg. discerpens; the verb is used in reference to a spirit again in Mc. ix. 20 ( $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \pi$.) 26, Lc. ix. 39, 42 ( $\sigma v v \sigma \pi$.$) . The later$ usage of the word inclines towards the meaning 'convulse ; see 2 Regin. xxii. 8, but esp. Dan. viii. 7, where


 the second instance it is clear that, on the hypothesis of a Hebrew or Aramaic original, Lc.'s pitas may represent the same word as Mc.'s $\sigma \pi a p d \xi a v$, and that the latter implies no laceration, so that Le.'s (perhaps editorial) note $\mu \eta \delta \dot{c} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ß $\lambda$. avitóv is justifiable. The reading of D in ix. 20 ( $\left.\epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \xi_{\epsilon \nu}\right)$ and in Lc. ix. 42 (over.) is a serviceable gloss. For the mystical interpretatimon see Greg. M. how. in Ezek. i. 12. 24, "quid est quod obsessum hominem antiques hostis quom possessum non discerpserat deserens discerpsit, nisi quod plerumque dam de corde expelitur acriores in eos tentationes general ?" Фovinaav $\phi \omega \nu \bar{\eta}$ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta$, using for the last time the human voice through which he had so long spoken. Lc. has comected
 and omits it here.


Amazement (v. 22) deepened into
 $\theta a \mu \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \theta a \mu \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t$ are used in the N. T. only by Mc., but occur occasionally in tho Lxx.; in class. Gk. the words are found chiefly in poetry, and $\theta a \mu \beta \hat{\imath} \nu$ is intrans. ; cf. I Regress xiv. 15, and the reading of D here. Өá $\mu$ os is connected with ёкбтaбts in Acts iii. Io, and the verb with фoßeí $\theta a t$ in Mc. х. 32.

 usually followed by $\pi$ oas (ix. 14, Acts ix. 29), or the dative (viii. II, Acts v. 9), or a dependent clause giving the subject of debate (ix. Io); see vv. ll. here. Here, as again in xii. 28, it is used absolutely: 'they discussed.' The word is predominantly Marcan; see Hawkins, Hor. Syn. p. 1 .

 gives the incoherent and excited remarks of the crowd in their natural roughness: the Western and traditonal texts attempt to reduce them to literary form. For $\delta \iota \delta a \chi \grave{\eta}$ кашу v. 22. There was now another alemont which was new: the $\dot{\epsilon} \xi_{\text {ova tia }}$ was manifested in accompanying acts
 was not unknown among the Jews of this period, cf. Mt. xii. 27, Acts xix. 13 (on the latter reference see Bless, and cf. Edersheim i. 482); but


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \chi \omega \rho o \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \Gamma \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \lambda a i \alpha s$. 29









it consisted in the use of magical formulae，not in the power of a direet command．The tone of authority adopted by Jesus was cxtended even （kai）to the uncontrollable wills of spirits who defied all moral obliga－ tions（roís $\pi \nu$ ．roîs àka $\theta$ ．，an ordcr which emphasises the adj．，cf．Eph． iv．30，I Thess．iv．8），and even in that sphere it received attention（кai vin． avirê，cf．iv．41）．For $\delta \omega \bar{\delta} a \chi \grave{\eta}$ кanท่ cf． Acts xvii．I9，and for the sense of katyós as compared with yéos see Mc． ii．21，22．The freshness and vigour of the teaching，and not merely its norelty，attracted attention．
 $\delta v v \alpha \dot{\mu} \epsilon$ ．With кат＇$\epsilon \xi$ ．＇in the way of authority＇cf．Rom．iv． 16 ，īva катà

 brings into sight another factor（see i．22，note），in the act，which however was not in the forefront of men＇s thoughts at the time．Kai rois $\pi \nu \in i^{\prime}$ $\mu a \sigma \iota . .$. ＇even the denions obey His
 Өá入aбба．See Lc．х．17，20．＇Yтакои＇－


28．кai $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu_{+}, \ldots \pi a \nu_{\tau} a \chi o \hat{\nu}\right]$ From that hour（ $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$ ）the new Teacher＇s fame（àкоク＇，Vg．rumor）spread in all directions．＇Aкой is（I）＇heariug＇（e．g．

àкоvecv）；（2）in pl．，＇the organs of hear－ ing＇（Me．vii．35，Acts xvii．20）；（3）the thing heard，＇hearsay，＇i Regn．ii． 24 （（ where（3）passes back into（1）．
$\epsilon i s$ ö $\lambda \eta \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi . \tau \bar{\eta} s$ Г．］Either $=\epsilon i s$ ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \eta_{\nu} \Gamma a \lambda \epsilon i \lambda a i a \nu(\tau \bar{\eta} s \Gamma$ ．being epexc－ getical of $\tau . \pi$ ．），or＇into all the district round G．＇；Wycliffe，＂the cuntree of G．＂；Tindale，Cranmer，\＆c．，＂the region borderinge on G．＂The latter accords with Mt．＇s summary（iv．24，
乏upiav）and with usage：cf．$\dot{\eta} \pi$ ．тov ＇Topóávov（Gen．xiii．ıo， 1 r，Mt．iii．5），
 $\lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu$（2 Esdr．xiii．9）；and on the other hand see Deut．iii． 13 тâवay $\pi \epsilon \rho i \chi$ wpov ＇Apyós．A third interpretation is＇the whole of that part of Galilee which lay round Capernaum．＇But for this eis ö $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi$ ．Kaфаруaoú $\mu$ would have sufficed，for there was no need at pre－ sent to contrast the Galilcan $\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime} \chi^{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ os with the tetrarchy of Philip which had not yet been mentioned；moreover the report could not have been limited to the W．of the Jordan．Lc．，however， seems to incline to the narrowest sense（ $\epsilon i s \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau o ́ \pi o \nu ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \omega ́ \rho o v) . ~$ 29－3I．Heallyg of Simon＇s Wifes Mother（Mt．viii．14－15， Lc．iv． $3^{8-39) .}$








#### Abstract

30 катєкєто $\delta \epsilon \eta \pi$. $\Sigma$. D latt (exs f) $\mid$ om eveus $b$ cffig $q$ syrsinpesh aeth    


$\left.{ }_{\eta} 7 \lambda \theta c \nu\right]$ The narrative is still unbroken,
 shews. We are carried back to the end of $i .26,50.27,28$ being parenthetical. As soon as the congregation had broken up (Acts xiii. 43), Jesus went to the house of Simon. ' $\left.\mathrm{E} \xi \in \lambda \theta_{\dot{\omega} \nu}\right\rangle \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$, as it stands, is a 'subsingular' reading of B (see WII., Intr. § 308 ff .), but D gives $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta$. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \bar{\eta} s$
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s \sigma_{0 v}{ }^{3} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ : with $B$ are also a fair number of important cursives (see vy. 11.), and the sing. part. is supported by Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin. }}$ and the O. L. Ms. $f f$; besides, the roughness of B's text is in

 hardly tolerable; sce however Zahn, Einleitung ii. pp. 246, 252, where an ingenious explanation is given of the reading of $\boldsymbol{N A}$. Tì̀ oixiay Sípmos каì 'Avópéov. Mt., Lc. mention only Simon (Mt., Пéт $\rho o v$ ); the home was probably his, since he was a married man, but shared by his brother. Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ has: "Andrew and James and John were with Him" (? $\mu \in \tau \check{c}$ 'Av $\delta \rho$. к. 'Іак. каі 'Iw.). A house in Capernaum is frequently mentioned as the rendez-vous of Jesus and the disciples (Mc. ii. 1 , iii. 27 , vii 24 , ix. 33 , x. 10). Jerome: "utinam ad nostram domum veniat...unusquisque nostrum febricitat."
 was therefore "himself also a married
man" before his call, and his wife accompanied him afterwards in his Apostolic journeys (I Cor. ix. 5, cf. Suicer s. v. $\gamma v v \eta^{\prime}$ ); see the story told of her by Clem. Alex. strom. vii. in. 62 (Eus. H. E. iii. 30), and Clement's statement, strom. iii. 6.52 (cf. Hieron. adv. Jovin. i. 26): $\dot{\eta}$ каі à áлобто́גоия

 (for $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha$ and the correlative $\nu{ }^{\prime} \mu \phi \eta$ see Mt. x. 35) 'kept her bed of a fever,' decumbebat febricitans: кaraкєíatas is used of the sick by Galen, and occurs again in this sense Mc. ii. 4, Le. v. 25, Jo. v. 3,6, Acts ix. 33, xxviii. 8; cf. Mt. $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ каi тир. See Field, Notes, p. 25. For пирéббоуба Lc. has the professionally precise $\sigma v v^{-}$
 fover,' and similarly ${ }^{\prime} \rho \omega$ 'пñav for the simple $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma$ ovory. The pl. is best explained as referring to of $\pi \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{\text { rò }}$ símova. The Lord is told as soon as He enters the house ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{u}_{s}$ ) ; they have waited till He returned fromi the synagoguc.
 proached the sufferer, took her by the hand, and raised her up. Lc. sub-
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu \rho \in \tau \hat{\varphi}$ (ef. Mc. i. 25, iv. 39). For
 The aor. part. is one of 'antecedent action,' see Burton § 34 -rather perhaps of concurrent action, the grasp scarcely preceding and certainly $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a i \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ к а к \omega ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \chi о \nu \tau а s ~ к а i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$


 rat tows $\delta a \mu \mu$. syr in
coinciding with the lifting of the prostrate form ; cf. Bless, Gr., p. 197. The genitive is partitive( WM., p. 252); for an ex. from the Lxx. see Gen. xix. 16. With the whole narrative compare Acts xxviii. 8-another case of miraculous recovery from fever.
каі̀ ঠtøरóvet aùrô̂s] The prostration which attends early convalescence found no place; she at once assumed her usual function in the household (cf. Le. x. 40, Jo. xii. 2). Jerome: "nature hominum istiusmodi est at post febrim magi lasseseant corpora, et incipiente sanitate aegrotationis mana senthant; serum sanitas quad confertur a Domino tatum simul reddit." The service was probably rendered at the Sabbath meal; cf. Joseph. wit. 54 ékTท

 'to wait at table' cf. Le. lc., xvii. 8, xxii. 26,27 , Acts vi. 2. Victor: ave $\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho o v v$
 тои̂ $\mu a \theta \eta t o \hat{v} . \quad$ Aùroîs Mc., Lc.: Mt., aùtê. The Lord, Who had restored her, was doubtless the chief object of her care. Jerome: "et nos ministremus Jesu."
32-34 Miracles after Sunset (Mt. viii. 16, Le. iv. 40-41).
32. on
 cf. Judith xiii. I. Mt. omits öte
 rove $\bar{\eta} \lambda i o v:$ : comp. the similar discrepancy in the readings of Mc. xvi. 2
 Lc.'s recension is probably intended to leave time before dark for the miracles that follow. On the Sabbath the crowds would not bring
their sick before sunset, cf. Victor:



 $\ddot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} v \sigma a=\ddot{\epsilon} \delta{ }^{\circ} u \boldsymbol{v}$ see WSchm., p. frog, and cf. wv. 1 L .
ё $\phi \varepsilon \rho o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.$] Case after case ar-$
 ${ }^{3}$ /rayon, with less realisation of the scene. In using the Marcan tradition Le. has changed the position of токкỉats vórous: cf. what is said of
 $\epsilon_{\chi \in i v}$ (czech. xxxiv. 4) is not uncommon in the Gospels (Mt. ${ }^{2}$ Mc. ${ }^{4}$ Lc. ${ }^{2}$ ). K ai
 Mc. infra, v. 34). Dauóva have not yet been mentioned by that name, yet the verb is used as if familiar to the reader. The corresponding classical form is $\delta a \mu \mu \nu \hat{a} \nu$, and $\delta a \neq \frac{\mu \nu i}{} \xi_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a c$ is rare before the N. T.; there is no trace of it in the Gk. O. T., but it occurs in the later literary Greek in reference to the insane. In the N. T. its use is nearly limited to the parti-
 the sense of a person possessed by a §ачцо́và: cf. Acts x. 38 , тò̀s ката-


 end form of $\sigma v v^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \nu$ found in late Greek and frequent in the ix., normanly implying a large or complete gathering, cf. I Macc. v. Io, 16, Mt. xxiii. 37 , Mc. xiii. 27 , Lc. xii. 1 ; cf.
 Gípay: the acc. dwells on the thought of the flocking up to the door which preceded, and the surging, moving,






#### Abstract

     


mass before it：cf．ii． 2 ，xi．4，and
 Oúpa．

34．каі̀ є̀ $\theta_{\epsilon \rho a ́ a ́ t \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu ~}^{\kappa \tau \lambda}$ ．］For $\theta_{\epsilon \rho a-}$ $\pi \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$ to attend on a patient，to treat medicaily，see Tobit ii．Io（N），érapєvó－
 It is in Mt．and Mc．the nearly constant word for Christ＇s treatment of disease； iâ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ occurs only in Mt．viii．8， 13 ， xiii． 15 （Lxx．），xv．28，Mc．v．29．The treatment was not tentative；no入入oús is either coextensive with mávras（ $v$ ． 32 ，ef．Mt．），or it implies that－if all could not approach the Lord that night，there were many that did and were healed（on Mt．see Hawkins，Hor． Syn．，p．96）．Le．adds the method of individual treatment：$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\imath} \dot{\epsilon} \times a ́ \sigma \tau \varphi$ atُ－ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тàs $\chi$ モipas є́лıтı $\theta$ cis．The diseases
 $\mu i ́ a \iota ~(2 ~ T i m . ~ i i i . ~ 6), ~ \eta ं o ̂ o v a i ' ~(T i t . ~ i i i . ~ 3), ~, ~, ~$ סчшá $\mu t \leq$（Heb．ii．4），סь $\delta a \chi a i$（Heb． xiii，9）．

 is simply a power belonging to the unseen world but operating upon men

 cal Greek the word took a bad sense through its appropriation to heathen deities（Deut．xxxii．17，Ps．xcv．（xcvi．） 5，Bar．iv．7，ef．I Cor．x．20，21），re－ garded either as ${ }^{\text {a }}$ genii（？）（sce Driver on Deut．l．c．，Cheyne，Origin of the Psalter，p．334f．）or ：ירֶ＇י．In

Tobit，under Persian influenco，the conception of evil $\delta a \iota \mu$ óva is devel－

 is made in Enoch（c．xyi．），where how－ ever the Greek has $\pi v \in$ v́para．Joseph． $B$ ．$J$ ．vii． 6.3 identifies them with the


 On the later Jewish demonology see Edershein，Life and Times，ii．，app． viii．，or the subject may be studied in J．M．Fuller＇s intr．to Tobit（Speaker＇s Comm．）or in Weber Jiid．Theologie pp． 25 I－9；cf．F．C．Conybeare in J．Q．R． 1896 ，and the arts．Demon， Demons iu Hastings，D．B．，and Enc． Bibl．The N．T．uses $\delta a u$ óvta as $=\pi \nu \in \chi^{\prime}$
 belief and the word supplied by the
 Mt．adds $\lambda$ á $_{\gamma} \varphi-\mathrm{a}$ command sufficed．
 fills in this brief statement，represent－


 $\dot{a} \phi \iota \epsilon, \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\prime} \not \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{c}$ seem to have been all in use（W II．，Notes，p．167，Blass，Gr．， p．51）：aфio occurs in the best mss．of the LXx．，I Esdr．iv．jo，Ecel．v．II，and
 $\chi^{\text {ous }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \phi i \epsilon t s$, ef．Phil．leg．ad Cai．rozr． ＂Hî́є








 $\mu \epsilon \tau$ avt．］om oc $\mathrm{B}+\eta \sigma a y \Delta$
is strongly supported，yet may have been an early gloss from Lc．；ef． Victor：т̀̀ dè te入єvtaiov Mâpkos oủk ëxel．But in any case it probably strikes a true note．It does not seem as though the knowledge of the $\delta$ acmó－ va went beyond the fact of our Lord＇s Messiahslip；both $\dot{\delta}$ á $y$ os tô $\theta$ tồ and $\dot{o}$ viós $\tau$ ．$\theta$ ．are Messianic titles．

35－39．Wititidrawal from Ca－ pernaum，and First Circuit of Galilee（Lc．iv．42－44）．
 may be the morning watch－the $\phi v-$ גакो̀ $\pi \rho \omega i a($ Ps．cxxix．$=$ cxxx．6），as in Mc．xiii． 35 ；but in the present context the simpler meaning seems preferable －＇carly，＇so carly that it was still quite dark：cf．入iav $\pi \rho \omega t$（xvi．2）$=0 \rho \theta_{\rho o v}$
 ovions（Jo．xx．I）．＂Evyvoos is used by the poets from Homer downwards， and in the prose of the later Gk．， cf． 3 Mace．v．5．With the adv．${ }^{\prime \prime} p v_{v}$ a （ă $a \pi$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ．）compare $\pi$ ávpvxa（poct．and late Gk．）；Hesych．quates vóxa＝ мiктшр．The Vg．diluculo valde fails

 touch of intinate acquaintance with

 of the house and town．It is difficult to belicve that the reading $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ ．kai $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ is not a conflation which happens to have secured a consensus of the great majority of the autho－ rities（see vy．ll．），although under the circumstances it must retain its place
in the text：$\dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{s} \nu$ is probably from
 was doubtless in the neighbourhood of Capernaum ：cf．vi．3I ff．，Lc．ix．Io．
 Ixxxvii．（lxxxviii．）14．These words reveal the purpose of the sudden with－ drawal．Sunrise would bring fresh crowds，new wonders，increasing popu－ larity．Was all this consistent with His mission？Guidance must be souglt in prayer．Comp．vi． 46 ，xiv． 32，Le．vi． 12 ，ix． 18,28 ，xi．I．Victor：
 $\mu \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ тойто тоt⿳亠丷厂彡．A mbros．in Le．v．： ＂quid enim te pro salute tua facere oportet quando pro te Christus in oratione pernoctat？＂There is truth in both remarks，but they overlook the $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \dot{\lambda} \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \epsilon a$ of the Incarnate Son which made prayer a necessity for Himself（Heb．v．7，8）．
 Vg．Et persecutus est eum S．Simon （whose personal narrative we clearly have here）started in pursuit of Him with Andrew and James and John（oi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aúrov̂，cf． $\boldsymbol{r . 2 9}$ ；Bengel：＂iam Simon est eximius＂$>$ ，and tracked Him to His
 N．T．butfreq．incxx．，where it usually $=$

 ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi i \sigma \omega} \mu v v$ ；yet cf．Ps．xxii．（xxiii．） 6 ，
 intention at least was good；the Master seemed to be losing precious oppor－ tunities and must be brought back， Yet see note on $v .3 \mathrm{I}$ ．






 D latt syrr ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ posh
37. каì cưpov aù $\tau$ ón $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Le.'s account apparently is not based on the Marcan tradition, and in form at least conflicts with it: in Le. the \% $\begin{gathered}\text { d }\end{gathered}$ ot pursue Jesus and stay Him; from Mc. we learn that in fact the attempt was made by the disciples. Tatian endeavours to harmonise the tro traditions, in the order Mc. i. 35-38, Lc. iv. 42, 43- Пávtes $\zeta_{\eta}$ rovétu aє, i.c. all the Capharnaites and others on the spot. Cf. Jo. vi. 24, 26, xiii. 33. The quest was prompted by very mixed motives.
 $\chi^{0 \hat{0}} \mathrm{kr} \lambda$.] In Lce similar words are addressed to the crowd, but the occasion is clearly the same. "A $\gamma \omega \mu \in \mathrm{v}$, intrans, as in Mc. xiv. 42 ; Jo. xi. 7 , 15,16 , xiv. 31 , and as ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$ in Homer and the poets: 'let us go elsewhere'; $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a \chi o \hat{v}=a ̈ ̀ \lambda \lambda o \sigma \epsilon \quad$ or $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a \chi o ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon$, as таитахой, i. $28,=\pi \alpha ́ \mu \tau о \sigma є ~ o r ~ т а \nu т а-~$ $\chi^{\prime} \sigma_{\epsilon}$ : the latter forms are not used in N. T. Gk. 'AdAaxoú occurs here only in N. T. ; cf. $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda a \chi{ }^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$, Jo. x. т.
 neighbouring country towns (Wycliffe, "the nexte townes and citees," after Vg., in proaimos vicos et civitates: comp. the reading of D). ' 0 ' $\chi$ ' ${ }^{\text {ch }}$, $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ is freq. in the cxx., but uncommon in the N. T., cf. Lc. xiii. 33 ; Acts xiii. 44, xx. 15 , xxi. 26 ; Heb. vi. 9: the phrase "is used of local contiguity and also of temporal connexion" (Westcott on Heb. l.c.). K $\omega \mu \mu^{\prime}-$ $\pi \sigma_{i s-a n}^{q} \pi, \lambda \epsilon \gamma$, in the N. T, and not
found in the lux., though Aq. and Theod. scem to have used it in Josh. xviii. 28 (Field)-occurs in Strabo (pp. 537, 557), and in Joseph. (ant. xi. 86). According to J. Lightfoot it is the ${ }^{7}$ ּㅜT as distinguished from the 7 small country town, whether walled or not, or partly fortified (cf. Euth. ij
 $\left.\tau \epsilon \chi^{\tau \sigma} \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta\right)$. There were many such in Galilee : Joseph. B. J. iii: 3. 2, nó$\lambda \epsilon t s \pi v \kappa \nu a i ̀ k a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \theta 0 s$ таутахой то入ขáv $\theta \rho \omega \pi о y ~ \delta i \grave{̀} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v}-$ Oqviav. Lic. has merely móncs in this context. Such small towns are called indifferently $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a t$ or $\pi \hat{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon t \varsigma ;$ cf. Lc. ii. 4, Jo. vii. 42.
iva каi ধ̂ккє̂ кт入.] The Lord's primary mission was to proclaim the Kingdom (i. 14) ; dispossessing demoniacs and healing the sick were secondary and in a manner accidental features of His work. Eis то̂̀то $\gamma$ à $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ou (Me.) is interpreted for us by Lc. ö́т є́ єì toũto
 His depariure from Capernaum ( $\boldsymbol{x} .35$ ), but to His mission from the Father (Jo. viii. 42 , xiii. 3 ); whether it was so understood at the time by the disciples is of course another question. The thought, though perhaps unintelligible to those about Him, was present to His own mind from the first, as even the Synoptists shew (Lic. ii. 49). Bengel: "primi sermones Iesu habent acnigmatis aliquid, sed paullatim apertius de se loquitur."
$39 \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu . \quad{ }^{39} \kappa \alpha i \quad \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \kappa \eta \rho u ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ єis тàs $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha-$
 єєк $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.




#### Abstract

       $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \mathrm{g}$ (D) $697 \mathrm{f} 238 \mathrm{bfq} \mathrm{Vg} \mathrm{syl}^{\text {pesh }} \mid \delta v \nu \eta \mathrm{~B}$


 tour of synagogue preaching follows, extending through the whole of Galilee (Me., cf. Mt. ir. 23), and if we accept the reading 'lovoaias (see WH., Notes, p. 57) in Lc. iv. 44, through Judaea also; Judaea is occasionally used by Lc. inclusively (i. 5, perhaps also vii. 17, Aets ii. 9, x. 37, but not as = Galilee. See the references to this synagogue preaching in Lc. xxiii. 5, Jo. xriii. 20. Such a cycle may have lasted many weeks or even months (see Lewin, fast. sacr., § 1245 , Edersheim, Life and Times, i. p. 501, and on the other hand Ellicott, Lectures, p. 168), although only one incident has survived. Eis tàs cuvaywás: whereever He went, He cntered the synagrogue and proclaimed His message

 iv. 23), but with the added thought of the movement which accompanied the preaching. Mc has fuscd into one the two clauses $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\lambda} \lambda \in \boldsymbol{A}$ cis ö. т. I. (cf. i. I4),
 2I).

40-45. Cleansing of a Lepfir (Mt. viii. 2-4, Lc. v. 12-16).
40. द̈ $\rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \ell$ тро̀s aưròv $\lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ s]$ Though the purpose of this circuit was preaching, miracles were incidentally performed. One is selected, possibly
as the first of its class, or as lazving made the deepest impression. All the Synoptists relate it, but in different contexts. $\Lambda \in \pi$ oós ( 'suffering from leprosy,' is in the Gospels used as a noun. Lepers were evidently a numerous class of sufferers in Palestine in our Lord's time, cf. Mt. x. 8, xi. 5; Le. xvii. 12 , perhaps at all times (Lc. iv. 27), as indeed the elaborate provisions of Lev. xiii., xiv. seem to shew. The approach of this leper ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu, \mathrm{Mt}$.) to Jesus is remarkable ; cf. Lev. xiii. 45,46 , Lc. xvii. 12 ( $\pi о \rho \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ). He came near enough to be touched ( $c .4 \mathrm{I}$ ). The event took
 the кшнотò $\lambda \epsilon$ of Galilee where the Lord was preaching, but doubtless outside the gate (Lev. l.c.).
$\pi а \rho a к а \lambda \omega \nu$ аи̇тòv к. $\left.\gamma^{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\right]$ The entreaty begins at the first sight of the Lord; when the leper has come up with Him, the prostration follows. Fovvietfid (Polyb., but not lxx) occurs also in Mt. xvii. I4, xxvii. 29, and Mc. x. 17; in this place the words кai $\gamma о \nu$. are open to doubt (see vv. Il.), yet as they are not from Mt. ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon x_{i v e t}$ ) or Lc. ( $\left.\pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \pi \sigma \nu\right)$ it is difficult to regard them as an interpolation.

 Mt., Lc., but with a prefixed Kv́pt,




[^69]Contrast the petition in Mc. ix. 22, and the Lord's method of dealing with the two cases. On the force of the apodosis see Burton § 263 . For סíva$\sigma a \iota=$ ốvg (Mc. l.c.) see WH., Notes,
 the term used for the ceremonial cleansing of a leper in Lev. xiii., xiv., is transferred in the Gospels to the actual purging of the disease.
41. кai $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \eta \sigma \sigma \theta$ its $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] On the 'Western' reading dopyoféis see WH., Notes, p. 23: "a singular reading, perhaps suggested by $\quad .43$ ( ${ }^{\prime} \mu \beta \rho \mu \mu \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha}-$ $\mu$ eves $)$, perhaps derived from an extrancous source." Nestle thinks that it may be "an instance of a difference in translation"; see his Intr., p. 262. 'O $\rho$ y' is attributed to our Lord in Mc. iii. 5 , but under wholly different circumstances ; nor is Ephraem's explanation satisfactory: "quia dixit Si vis, iratus est" (Mocsinger, p. 144); for at this stage in the story there is nothing to suggest anger, and $\sigma \pi \lambda$. is obviously in keeping with eikт. т. $\chi$.
 is limited to the Synoptists: in the
 Конєvos ( $\mathrm{A}, \sigma \pi \lambda$.) ${ }^{2} \lambda \in \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a L$ (where the Gk is the converse of the Heb.) scems to be the only instance of its use in a metaphorical sense; for the literal sense of the verb and its derivatives, see 2 Mace. vi. 7, 8, 21 , vii 42 , ix. 5,6 . It is remarkable that, while $\sigma \pi \lambda \dot{d} \gamma \chi \nu a$ was used in classioal Gk. for the seat of the affections, the verb appears first in Biblical Greek: see Lightfoot on Phil. i. 8, "perhaps a coinage of the Jewish dispersion."
 but רִח is represented in the Lxx. by
 $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma t o \hat{v}$ (Phil. l.c.) are a favourite topic with the author of the Ep. to the Hebrews (see ii. 17, iv. 15, v. 2).

 the action is adapted to the circunstances. Even after the Asconsion the Apostles remembered the outstretched Hand (Acts iv. 30) As specimens of patristic exegesis see Origen c. Cels. i. 48 : доךт $\bar{\omega} \mathrm{S} \mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\eta}$






 Lord's human will is exercised here in harmony with the Divine: contrast Me. xiv. 36, where it renains in harmony by submission. The subject may be studied further by comparing Mt. xy. 32 , xxiii. 37 ; Mc. iii. 13 , vi. 48 , vii. 24 ; La. xii. 49 ; Jo. vii. 1, xvii. 24 , xxi. 22. For a singular misunderstanding created byan ambiguityin the Latiu version see Jerome in Matt. : "non ergo ut plerique Latinorum putant...legendum wolo mundare, sed separatim [volo, mundare]."
 text seems here to be a conflation of

 But it is possible that Mt. and Le. have each preserved a portion of the original tradition, and the general








phenomena agree with this hypothesis. For the form éxatєpía (Mt. Mc.) see W ll., Notes, p. 1 jo, and WinerSchm., p. 50. With the whole narrative it is instructive to compare 4 Regn. v. 6-14. Of Naman too exaOapi $\sigma \theta \eta$ is used.
 ${ }^{\prime}$ Е $\mu \beta \rho \iota \bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ (Aesch. Sept. c. Theß. 46, of the snorting of the horse) is to speak or act sternly: cf. Dan. xi. 30 (cxx.)
 ence to the attitude of C . Popilius Laenas towards Antiochus (Bevan on Daniel l.c.); in Lam. ii. 6, $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu \beta \rho \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota}$
 of anger is not inherent in the word; see Jo. xi. 33, $3^{8}$, where it is used of our Lord's attitude towards Himself ; rather it indicates depth and strength of feeling expressed in tone and nanner. A close parallel to the present passage is to be found in Mt. ix. 30. In neither case can we discover any occasion for displeasure with the subject of the verb: the Vg . comminatus est (Wycliffe, "thretenyde hym") is too harsh, nor is there any apparent room for $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \iota t i \mu \eta \sigma t s$, unless by anticipation. We may paraphrase, ' He gave him a stern injunction': cf.


 eiecit illum; Wyclife, "putte hym out"; Tindale, "sent him away," and so A.V..; R.V. "sent him out." If the first rendering is too strong, the last secms to fall short of the original, which involves at least some pressure and urgency.
44. каì $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ av̀r $\hat{\varphi} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The words roveal in part the need for this stern and curt manner. If the man remained even a few minutes, a crowd would collect; if he went away to spread the news, the danger of interruption to the Lord's work of preaching would be yet greater. He must go at once, keep his secret, and fulfil the immediate duty which the Law
 omits $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ ): for the double negative cf. Rom. xiii. 8. How grave the danger which Jesus sought to avert ultimately became is apparent from Jo. vi. 15.

 a narrative peculiar to the third
 roís ifpev̂ $\sigma c y$. All depend on Lev. xiii.


 ii. iI, v. 19, and frequently: a use of vimáyè which, though classical, is unknown to the Lxx.

каі $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \gamma к є \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Mt. $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \gamma-$ кov: on the two forms see WSchm.,
 in the matter of, in reference to the ceremonial purification required by the Law ; cf. Lev. xiv. 32 eis
 always used in the Gospels (cf. Lc. ii. 22, Jo. ii. 6, iii. 25); in the Epistles ( 2 Pet. i. 9, Heb. i. 3) the decper sense comes into sight. "A ( $\overline{0}, \mathrm{Mt}$.;
 Lev. xif. 4 ff. The Mosaic origin of the Levitical and Deuteronomic legis-




4 M Movis ACEGLMSUT alpt<br>45 om $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a$ D latt

lation is accepted as belonging to the recognised belief (cf. vii. 1о, x. 3, 4, Jo. vi. 32 , vii. 19), and not set forth by our Lord as part of Ilis own teaching; sce Sanday, Inspiration, p. 413 ff. There was ve revolt on His part against 'Moses,' still less auy disposition to detach the Jew from the obedience he still owed to the Law : cf. Hort, Jud. Ohr., p. 30.
cis uapripiov aúrois] The phrase oceurs again in vi. II and xiii. 9 , ef.
 raprúpuov in the Lxx. see Prov. xxis. I4 (רְ), Hos, ii. 12 (14), Mic. i. 2 , vii. 18 (\%). The cure of the leper would witness to the priests (aìrois = toîs iep $\quad$ verıy suggested by т $\hat{\varphi}$ iєfêt above) that there was a Prophet amongst them (2 Kings v. 8); the knowledge that $\lambda \in \pi \rho o l$ кatapiGovтat (Mt. xi. 5) might lead them to suspect that the Mcssiah had come. WM., p. 183, interprets aùroís of the Jews, but they are not in question: indeed it was not the Lord's purpose that the miracle should be generally known-it was enough to leave the guides of the nation without excuse, if they rejected Hina (Jo. v. 36, xy. 24). Aúroís however is not like '̇' $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ autzoús necossarily hostile; whether the wituess saved or condemned them would depend on their own action with regard to it. Victor's exposition is too harsh: tovté $\sigma \tau t v$, eis
 Comp. Jerome: "si crederent, salvarentur; si non crederent, incxcusabiles forent." Oütws (writes Origen in Jo. t. ii. 34) els uaprúptov roís àníatois of
 45. $\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \kappa \lambda$.] He left the presenceof Christ $\left(\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \sigma^{\prime} \nu\right.$ corresponds
to $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi € \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu)$, only to tell his tale to every one he met. For this use of $k \eta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ cf. v. 20 , vii. 36 ; the adverbial $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ á occurs again in iii. 12, v. $10,23,38,43$, ix. 26, with the meaning 'much' or 'often.' Both senses are almost equally in place here. An oriental with a tale not only tells it at great length, but repcats it with unwearied energy.
 v. 17, etc., and see Blass, Gr., p. 227.
 $\mu i \zeta \epsilon i v$ (Vg. diffamare), a word of the later Greek, not in Lxx. ; cf. Mt.ix. 3I, xxviii. 15. Tòv $\lambda$ '́yov $=\frac{7}{7}$ 군, the tale; Tindale, "the dede," A.V., "the matter"; cf. i Mace. viii. to é $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \eta$
 גóyos: Le. here, \&ónoұero ó dóyos. Euth. understands by tòv $\lambda$ óyoy the words of Jesus ( $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, кatapi $\sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota$ ). But Victor is doubtless right: rour-

 result was, as Jesus had foreseen, another enforced retreat, and the abandonnent of His synagogue preaching ; if He entered a town, it could only be at night or in such a manner as not to attract attention (cf. Jo. vii. Io, ov фavepôs $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega_{s}^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} v$ $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \mathscr{Q})$. But in general Ile lodged henceforth outside the walls ( ${ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$, cf. xi. 19) in the neighbouring open country ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ with dat. of place $=0$, i.e. remaining in, the locality, WM., $4^{89}$ :
 val was spent in prayer: Lc. 放 $\boldsymbol{v \pi \sigma} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ -
 On ब̈бтє $\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ s e e ~ W M ., ~ p . ~ 602 . ~$ The inability was of course relative on'y: He could not enter the towns to any good purpose, or indeed without endangering the success of H is
 $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \grave{o} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau o \theta \epsilon \nu$.
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mission; of physical danger as yet there was none.

 кaì $\theta$ epateúeroas. He could still deliver His message, but not in the synagogues, where He willed to preach at this stage in His ministry. חávtotev, cf. Lc. xix. 43, Heb. ix. 4; so the Lxx. (Jer. xx. 9, Sus. 22 Th., Sir. li. 7 (io)); the prevalent form in Attic prose is mavcađó $\theta_{\epsilon \rho}$ (vy. 11.).
II. I-12. Healing of a Paralytic in a House at capernadm. Tite Fongiveness of Sins. (Mt. ix. 1-8, Lc. v. 17-26.)
 circuit (i. 39) is now over, ended perhaps prematurely by the indiscretion of the leper (i. 45); and the Lord returns to Capernaum. Ei $\sigma \in \lambda \theta_{\dot{\alpha}}{ }^{\prime} \nu$, an anacoluthon, cf. WM., p. 709 ff . and vv. Il.; $\pi \dot{a} \lambda_{t \nu}$ looks back to the visit before the circuit (i. 21 ff). According to Mt. the Lord appears to have arrived by boat from the other side of the lake, but the impression is perhaps due simply to Mt.'s method of grouping events; in Lc. as in Mc. the healing of the paralytic follows the healing of the lepcr. Mt. in this context calls Capernaum


 (Lc. $\hat{\epsilon}_{\nu} \mu \hat{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ), Vg. post dies,
 $\tau \downarrow \dot{\omega} \nu^{\prime}$ : for this use of 8 tá see WM.,
p. 475 f. and Lightfoot on Gal. ii. I, and cf. Dion. Hal. ant. x. $\delta \stackrel{a}{a} \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}^{y}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, and the class. $\delta i a ̀ \chi \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu o v$. The note of time is to be attached to eir$\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu$, not to $\eta^{\prime} \kappa \sigma v \sigma \theta \eta$, and covers the interval between the first visit to Capernaum and the second; as to the length of the interval it suggests nothing. See note on i. 39 .
 were heard to say 'He is indoors.' 'Hкои́гөך impers., Vg. auditum est: cf. 2 Esdr. xvi. i, 6, Jo. ix. 32 ; in Acts xi. 22 we have ఫुкаv́vot ò dó yos: cf. Blass, Gr., p. 239, who suggests a personal construction here. The reading cis oikov (WM., 516, 518) is attractive, but the balance of authority is distinctly against it in this place. The house was probably Simon's (i. 29),
 sense is 'at home,' 'indoors,' cf. I Cor. xi. 34 , xiv. 35 .
2. каì $\sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \not \eta_{\eta \sigma \alpha \nu} \pi о \lambda \lambda о i ́ \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. i. 33. The concourse was so great as to choke the approaches to the house, 'so that even the doorway could hold no more,' Vg. ita ut non caperet neque ad ianuam. The $\theta$ vipa or house-door seems to have opened on to the street in the smaller Jewish

 (xiv. 68) would intervene between the door and the street, nor would there be a $\theta u p \omega \rho o ́ s$ (Jo. xviii. 16) to exclude unwelcome visitors. Tà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Oúpay is simply the neighbourhood of





the door on the side of the street : cf. $\pi$ pis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ बá八a $a \sigma a \nu$, iv. I: on the acc. cf. i. 33. For $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{L} \nu$ caper see Gen. xiii. 6, 3 Regn. vii. 24 ( 38 ), Jo. ii. 6, xxi. 25 ; and on $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau c . . . \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \in$ see notes on i. 44, 45 .
 preaching meanwhile proceeded with-
 occurs with various explanatory geniLives, egg. тồ $\theta_{\text {four, }}$ тoù кupiou (Acts viii.
 єèryy èiou (Acts xiii. 26, xiv. 3, xv. 7), тoù $\sigma \tau a \nu \rho o ̄ ̀ ~(I ~ C o r . i .18), ~ \tau \eta ̆ s ~ к a \tau a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \hat{\eta} s$

 \&c.) was also used by itself in the first generation ; cf. Mc. iv. 14 ff., 33, Acts viii. 4, x. 44, xiv. 26, xviii. 5. To

 on which see Mason, Conditions, \&c., p. 97.


 that the bearers were four. They reach the outskirts of the crowd, but are stopped before they can approach
 (xci.) liz, cited in Mt. iv. 6. papa-入utuós (not class. or in Lxx.) is used by Mt., Mc. in this context, and by Mt. also in cc. iv. 24, viii. 6 ; Le. seems to


 cum non possent offerre eur ill;
for $\pi \rho a \sigma e$ eq $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{kac}}$ the 'Western' and traditional texts read $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \gamma \gamma i \sigma a$, possibly a correction due to the absence of aủzóv. Cf. La $\mu \grave{\eta}$ eípóyт es $\pi$ dias єivevé $\gamma \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ à̀̇óv. Nothing daunted, they mounted on the roof (so Lc. alone expressly, ávaßávтes $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \mathfrak{l}$ rò $\delta \omega \mu a$, cf. Acts x. 9), by an external staircase, the existence of which in Palestinian houses of the period is implied in Mc. xiii. 15 .

 is used by Strabo (iv. 4), and by Symmachus in Jer. xxix. II (xix. Io)
 roofing was, according to Lc., limited to the removal of the tiles (ồà кє $\rho \dot{a} \mu \omega \nu$ : seehowever W.M. Ramsay, Was Christ born, \&c., p. 63 f.) just over the spot where the Lord sat. It was done by
 'E $\xi$ qovía $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ is chiefly used of putting out the eyes (Jud. xvi. 2I, i Regn. xi. 2, Gal. iv. 15); the housebreaker is said סıopú $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ (Mt. vi. I9); Joseph. ant. xiv. I5. I2 uses avacкáттєty mimilarry. It is difficult to realise the circumstances. The Lord was clearly in a room immediately nader the roof. The $\dot{v} \epsilon \epsilon \rho \bar{\varphi} 0 \nu$ would answer to the conditions, and it appears to have been a favourite resort of Rabbis when they were engaged in teaching; cf. Lightfoot ad l., Vitringa de Sign. 145, Edersheim, Life and Times, i. 503; the last-named writer suggests a roofed gallery round the $a \dot{\nu} \lambda \dot{\eta}$. But it may




[^70]be doubted whether a fisherman's house in Capernaum would have been provided with such conveniences. The next step was to lower ( $\chi$ a $\lambda \omega \sigma$ $=$ Lc. кaA $\hat{\eta} \kappa a v)$ the pallet on which the man lay (Lc. the man, bed and all). For $\chi^{a \lambda a ̣ ̀ \nu ~ c f . ~ J e r . ~ x l v . ~(x x x y i i i .) ~ 6, ~}$ Éxá入aбay aùròv els tò̀ 入ákкò, Acts ix. 25, 2 Cor. xi. 33. Kр́áßutros, said to be a Macedonian word (Sturz, dial. Mac., p. 175 f.), does not occur in the lxx., but is used by Aq. in Amos iii. I2 for and in the N. T. by Mc. (in this comtext and vi. 55), Jo. (v. 8 ff.), and Lc. (Acts v. I5, where it is distinguished
 from the N. T., perhaps, it has passed into Lv. Nicod. 6, Act. Whom. 50, 51. It was used by certain writers of the New Comedy. For the forms of the word (кра́ßatos, кра́ßактоs -so N11, cf. краßáктьov, Grenfell, Gk. papyri ii. p. 161-кра́ßßatos, кра́ßatтos) see WinterSchmo., p. 56, and n.; in Latin it became grabätus (Catullus and Martial); modern Greek retains it in the form крє $\beta \beta$ át (Kennedy, Sources of N. T. GK., p. 154). The classical equivalents

 Clem. Al. paced. i. 6 substitutes $\sigma \kappa i \mu-$ mod here; see also the story related by Sozom. II. E. i. II. The крáßartos or $\sigma \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mu \pi$ nous was the poor man's bed (Seneca, ep. mar. ii. 6, where arabatus goes with sagum and paris durius et sordidus), small and flexible, and therefore better adapted for the purpose of the bearers than the $\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta$
which Mt. and Lc. substitute. Le., who seems to feel the difliculty as to $\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta$, uses $\kappa \lambda \iota v i d i o y$ as the story advances ( 0.19 ).
 So Mt., Le.; Victor: oi тìv $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota v$
 roy. Ephrem: "See what the faith of others may do for one." Ambros. in Le. v. 20, "Magus Dominus qui aliorum merito ignoscit aliis...si gravium peccatorum diffed veniam, adnibe precatores, adhibe ecclesiam" -an application of the words which, as the history of Christian doctrine shews, needs to be used with caution. For io civ miotuy (Bengal: "opcrosam") cf. I Mace. xiv. 35, James ii.
 т. $\pi$., Le. єitev.

т́́кноу, àфíєнтаі́ nov ai ápaprial] 'Child, thy sins are receiving forgiveness. ${ }^{2}$ Téxpoy is used of disciples and spiritual children (Mc. x. 24, I Cor. iv. 14, 17, \&c.; see Intr., p. xx f.); for the contrast between réxyoy and maioion see Westcott on Jo. xxi. 5. Victor:

 case it is intended to cheer and win confidence (Schanz: "Jesus den Krankelı mit den gewinnenden tékwoy auredet"), a point of which Lc.'s ${ }^{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon$ loses sight. 'Aфiє $\mu_{\tau} a l$, dimittunlur, see vF. ll. here and in $v .9$, and cf. Mit. ix. 2, 5.-The forgiveness is regarded as continuous, beginning from that hour (see however Burton, § 13 , who calls $\dot{d} \phi$. an "aoristic prosent"). Lc. has áфé murat (a Doric

#    


 $А С Г(\Delta) \Pi \Sigma \Phi$ al 0 syrr $\left.{ }^{(p e s h}\right)$ hel arm go aeth
perfect, Winer-Schm., p. II9, cf. Blass, Gr., p. 51), regarding the ä $\phi \in \sigma=s$, from another point of view, as complete, although enduring in its effects. Jewish thought connected forgivencss with recovery: " there is no sick man healed of his sickness until all his sins have been forgiven him" (Schöttgen ad l.).
 $k_{r \lambda .] ~ T h e ~ f i r s t ~ a p p e a r a n c e ~ o f ~ t h e ~}^{\text {.] }}$ Scribes in the Synoptic narrative ; cf.
 бácкaגot (cf. Mc. ii. 16), adding od

 i.e., the local Galilemn Rabbis had now been reinforced by others from the capital, some of them possibly members of the Sambedrin (see Mc. iii. 22). The suspicions of the Pharisees of Jcrusalem had been roused before Jesus left Judaea (Jo. iv. 1, 2), and they had decided to watch His movements in Gallee (cf. Jo. i. 19, 24). The Scribes were seated ( $\kappa a d \eta \mu \epsilon \% o t$ Mc., Le.), probably in the piace of honour near the T'eacher (cf. xii. 38 , 39).

ס̀a入oyitónevol èv tais kapốats
 v. 8) ; in the immediate presence of Jesus commnnication was impossible. Like many of the finer points this passes out of sight in Lc. ( $7 \mathrm{~h} \rho \mathrm{~g}$ avro Cudoyi $\zeta \in \sigma \theta a l$. For the two senses of Sta入oytopós see Lightfoot on Phil. ii. 14. The кapoia is the source and seat of deliberative thought, cf. Mc. vii. 21, Lc. ii. 35 , ix. 47 . As the centre of the personal life, it is the
sphere not only of the pass.ons and emotions, but of the thoughts and intellectual processes, at least so far as they go to make up the moral character. Thus ס́ávoua may be distinguished from кapoía (Mc. xii. 30 , Le. i. 5 I), as one of the coutents from the seat and source; see Lightfoot on PhiL. iv. 7, and Westcott on ILebrews viii. Io (cf. p. 155 f.). Yet in the $L x x$. Stávota is for the most part used as a
 as an occasional variant; see e.g. Exod. xxxv. 9, Deat. vi. 5, Job i. 5.
7. тi oưtos oüтшs $\lambda a \lambda \in i ́ ; ~ \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta-$ $\mu \in i]$ Comp. Mt. ỡтоs $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}, \mathrm{Lc}$.
 For $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon i v=\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu \quad \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s$ cf. 2 Macc. x. 34, xii. 14, Mt. xxvi. 65, Jo. x. 36, Acts xiii. 45 , \&c.: the more usual coustructions are $\beta \lambda$. tiva
 тєрi, катá тıvos (WM., p. 278). Used absolutely the word is understood of the sin of blasphemy (sc. cis rò $\theta \epsilon o ́ v$, cf. Dan. iii. 96 (29), lxx., Apoc. xvi. II). The offence was a capital one (Mt. xxvi. 65 f .), and the normal punishment stoning (Lev. xxiv. 15 , 16, 1 Kings xxi. 13, Jo. x. 33, Acts vii. 58). The blaspheny in the present instance was supposed to lie in the words ádícpraí $\sigma o v$ ai $\dot{a} \mu$. (oürcos $\lambda a \lambda c i$ ), by which the Lord seemed to claim a Divine prerogative: ef. Jo. x. 36, Mt. xxvi. 65.
tís סúvaral...til $\mu \dot{\eta}$ єís ó $\theta$ cós; ; See Exod. xxxiv. 6, 7, Isa xliii. 25, xliv. 22. On the O. T. doctrine of Forgiveness see Schultz, ii. 96 : on the Rabbinic doctrine, Edersheim, i. p.






 $\mathrm{abeffg} \mid$ om avtors B 102 ff arm | om tavia L

508 ff . For cis solus (Le. $\mu \dot{y} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{s}$ ) ef Mc. $x$ 18. Mt. omits this clause.
 $\mu a r ı a \dot{v} \tau o \hat{u}]$ The Lord at once became conscious of the thoughts which occupied those about Him. 'E $\pi r \gamma^{2} \mathrm{ov}$ 's (so Le.; Mt. $\left.i \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu\right)$ : cf. Mc. v. $30, \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \gamma \nu \circ \dot{\nu}$
 knowledge gained by observation or experience (cf. Lightfoot on Col. i. 6, 9)-the locus classicus is I Cor. xiii.
 $\ell_{\pi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma о \mu a$. The recognition was in the sphere of his human spirit, and was not attained throngh the senses; there was not even the guidance of external circumstances, such as may have enabled IIim to 'see the faith' of the fiiends of the paralytic. He read their thoughts by His own consciousness, without visible or audible indications to sugrest them to Him. For $\tau \dot{̀} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, used in reference to our Lord's human spirit, see Mt. xxvii. 50, Mc. viii. 12. His spirit, while it belonged to the humas nature of Christ, was that part of His human nature which was the innmediate splere of the Holy Spirit's operations, and through which, as we may reverently believe, the Sacred Humanity was united to the Divine Word. Wycliffe glosses "by the holy goost"; Tindale rightly, "in his spreete." On our Lord's power of reading the thoughts of men see Jo. ii. 24,25 , xxi. 17. In the O. T. this power is represented as Divinc, e.g. Ps. cxxxviii. (cxxxix.) 2 où avpŋ̂кas roùs סıa入ojı $\sigma \mu o u ́ s ~ \mu o v, ~ c f . ~ A c t s ~ i . ~ 24, ~$
 in Jesus clearly made a deep impression on IIis immediate followers. See Mason, Conditions, \&c., p. 164 ff.



 simply omits таи̃тa.
 тi yáp... The second question justifies the first: 'why think evil...for which
 M., p. 2II). To the scribes the answer would seem self-evident; surely it was casier to say the word of absolution than the word of healing ( $\bar{\epsilon} i-$ $\pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}, . . \hat{\eta} \in i \pi \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu)_{+}$since the latter involved an appeal to scasible results. Jerome: "inter dicere et facere multa distantia est; utrum sint paralytico peccata dimissa, solus noverat qui dimittebat." Ant:cipating this reply the Lord utters the word which they deemed the harder, with results which proved His power. But His question, sinking into ninds prepared to receive it, suggests an opposite conclusion; the word of absolution is indeed the harder, since it deals with the invisible and eternal order. In speaking with authority the word of absolution Christ had done the greater thing; the healing of the physical disorder was secondary and made less demand on His power. But this answer does not lie upon the surface; the question prescnted no enigma at the time; and Christ does not stop to interpret His words,
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \nu \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ' $\lambda \not \phi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha i \quad \sigma o v$ ai $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \iota$, ì $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$




[^71]but leaves them to germinate where
 curs here in the thrce Syuoptists, and again in Mc. x. 25 (Mt. Lc.) and Lc. xvi. 17; for єйкотог see Sir. xxii. 15, I Macc. iii. I8, and ev́кoтía occurs in 2 Macc. ii. 25 ; the words belong to the later Greek from Aristophanes onwards. "E $\gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \varepsilon$ : WH. prefer ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \rho \circ$, the reading of BL 28 ; see note on p. 1 I .
 be the answer what it may-to convince you that the word of absolation was not uttered without authority, I will confirm it by the word of healing of which you may see the effects.' On the construction see Blass, Gr., p. 286 f. 'E ${ }^{\prime}$ ovoiav $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi \in i,}$ Mt., Mc., Lc., not $=$ potest, potestatem habet, as the Latiu versions render, followed by the English versions from Wycliffe onwards, but "hath authority": cf. i. 22, 27. This égovaia is not in conflict with the Sivapus of God (ii. 7), but dependent on it. It is claimed by the Lord as the Son of Man, i.e. as belonging to Him in His Incarnate Life as the ideal Man Who has received the fulness of the Spirit (cf. i. 1o, Jo. xx. 23), and as Head of the race : cf. Jo. v. 26.
$\dot{o}$ viòs roù $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega$ trov] Used here for the first time in the Synoptic narrative: cf. ii. 28 , viii. 31,38 , ix.

9, 12, 31, x. 33 , 45, xiii. 26, xiv. 21, 41, 62. The Lxx. has (oi) vioi tov̂ $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{y}$ -

 vii. 13 (Lxx and Th.) and ( ii. I, \&cc., Dan. viii. 17. The term is usually thought to be based on Dan vii. I3, but see Westcott, add. note on Jo. i. 51, and on the interpretation of Dan. l.c. cf. Stauton, J. and C. Messiah, p. Iog, and Bevan, Daniel, p. 118 f . .Comp. also Charles, B. $q f$ Enoch, p. 312 ff ., and on the use of vios roû ave $\theta$. by our Lord and in the early Church, see Stanton, p. 239 ff.; G. Dalman, Die Worte Jesu i., p. 191 ff ; the careful investigations by Dr Jas. Drummond in J. Th. St. ii. pp. 350 ff ., 539 ; and the art. Son of Man in H:ustiugs, D.B. iv.
 trast to an implied 'in Heaven,' cf.

 ovepavois. The ratification of the absolving words belongs to another order (Mt. l.c.): the act of absolution, which is committed to the Son of Man as such, takes place in man's world, and is pronounced by human lips, either those of the Son of Man Himself or of men who receive Mis Spirit and are sent by Him for that end (Jo. xx. 23). Such absolutions do not invade


 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{~s}$ каі $\delta о \xi^{\prime} \zeta_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu$






the prerogative of GoD，since they ultimately proceed from Him，and become effective only on conditions which He prescribes．

 is instructive to observe how a note which clearly belongs to the common tradition receives a slightly different form from each of the Synoptists．

II．$\sigma o i \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ ，$\left.{ }^{\text {¢ }} \mathbf{y} \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon\right]$ The absolution was declaratory（ ${ }^{\prime} \phi i \in \nu \tau a i$ ），the healing is given in the form of a command， for the recipient must cooperate．
 Lively；see Winer－Schm．，p．i26； ＇́yєípov（vv．11．v．9）seems to be a grammatical correction；${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \ell \rho a t$（Mt． ix．5，6，Mc．ad l．，Lc．v．＇24，vi．8， viii．54，Jo．v．8）is possibly an itacism，yet see WSchm．p． 126.
ar $\rho o \nu$ тì̀ крáß．$\sigma o v$ 〕 Cf．Jo．v． 8. The xpaßartos without its burden could easily be carried by one man if in good health．That the para－ lytic could do this was proof of his complete recovery．Taken with Ürayє cis rid olein fou（Mt．Mc．），the com－ mend points to his being an inhabit－ ant of Capernaum，and not one of the crowd from outside．He would therefore remain as a standing witness to Jesus．

12．каi $\eta_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \theta \eta$ ，каi $\epsilon v^{\lambda} \theta$ uss кт入．］The summand received prompt（ $\epsilon$ 论它，Mc．
only）obedience：the paralytic rose （ ${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \theta_{\eta}$ ，raised himself），took the pal－ let on his back or under his arm and， the crowd giving way，passed out into the street $(\epsilon \xi \eta \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$, Mc．；Mt．Lc． $\dot{a} \pi \dot{j} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu)$ ，in the sight of $\left(\epsilon_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu=\right.$
 F．16）the whole company．

 $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda a \beta_{\epsilon \nu} \dot{a} \pi$ anas．For the moment the general amazement was too great for words（cf．v．42，vi．51）：when they spoke，it was to glorify God for the authority committed to humanity in the person of Jesus（Mt．т̇̀ $\delta^{\prime} \nu \tau a$
 cording to Lc．the restored paralytic
 тò $\nu \theta \in o ́ v)$ ．

 contrast between this astonishment at the physical cure，and the silence with which the absolution had been re－ ceived，did not escape the ancient ex－


 construction for $i \delta$ ．totaũa，but see Mt．
 $\mu \in \nu$ cf．WH．，Notes，p． 164 ：Blass，Gr．， p．45．Lc．has given the sense in other words；both accounts convey the same impression of unbounded surprise．









13－14．Call of Levi（Mt．ix．9， Lc．v．27－28）．
 bably as soon as the crowd was dis－ parsed and the excitement had sub－ sided．＇ $\mathrm{E} \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，ie．from the house and the town，cf．i． 35 ：with $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ．$\pi a \rho a$ comp．Acts xvi．13， $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon \\ \xi \\ \eta\end{gathered} \lambda \theta o \mu \in \nu \quad \vec{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 Him to the seaside，Vg．ad mare，ie． ad tram maris．Пáגe－a note fere－ quently struck by Mc．，cf．ii．I，iii． I，20，iv．I，\＆c．－refers not to $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ ．， but to majà r．$\forall a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$, cf．i． 16 ； once again He found HImself，as at the beginning of His Ministry，by the side of the lake．
 soon as He is seen there，the crowd reassembles as thick as ever（ $\pi \hat{a} s$ ）， and the teaching，interrupted in the house，begins afresh by the lake．The
 trusted with $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \lambda \in \nu$ ，point to the continuance of the process，perhaps at intervals，through the day．Only Mc．notes the teaching by the seaside on this occasion．
14．кай тарázตy кт入．］As He teaches，or at intervals between the instructions，He passes on along the
 are used at the call of Simon and Andrew（i．16）：cf．also Jo．ix．I； even in moving from place to place the Lord was on the watch for op－ portunities．Aeveiv ròv toû＇A入фaiou （so Mc．only ：Lc．évópatı Aeveiv：Mt．

（Aevei，Y）occurs in I Esdr．in． 14 as the proper name of a Jew of the time of the exile，and is used in Heb．vii． 9 for the patriarch；cf．Avis Joseph．ant． i．19．7．In Origen c．Cols．i． 62 the true reading is Nevis，and not，as was formerly supposed，Aєßク̆s：see WH．， Intr．，p． 144 （ed．2，1896）．＂A入фаїos， Vg．Alpheus，was also the name of the father of the second James（Mc．it． 18）：hence apparently the＇Western＇ reading＇Iáксßoy in this context，see vv．ll．，and Ephrem＇s comment＂He chose James the publican，＂er．con－ cord．exp．p．58：cf．l＇hotius in Possin．aten．in Mc．p．50：8র́a गुणay


$\tau 0 \hat{u}$＇A入фaiov］${ }^{\text {＇A } \lambda \phi \text { ios }=\text { Aram．}}$ 4，cf．Ayr．${ }^{\text {sin（Lo．）mesh．}}$ ，Whether it is identical with $\mathrm{K} \lambda \omega \pi$ âs（Jo．xix． 25）is more than doubtful，see Light－ foot，Galatians，p． 267 n ．；against that view is the spelling of the latter word in Syrr．${ }^{\text {peas }}$ bier．with n instead of s．On the identity of nevis with Mat日âos see note on iii．I8．
 na rm was on the Great West road which led from Damascus to the Mediterranean（G．A．Sinith，Hist． Geogr．，p．428），and like Jericho had its establishment of $\tau \in \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu a l$ and its тєגciviov，but the tolls were here col－ lected for the tetrarch and not for the Emperor（Schürer i．ii．68）．Te גóvov （Vg．teloneum，cf．Test．de lat． 12 ； used in modern Greek，Kennedy，

##  


 avar Dabceff
p. 154) is, (i) the toll (Strabo, xvi.
 (2) the tollhouse (Wycliffe, "tolbothe," Tindale, "receyte of custome"), as in this context. Levi was seated, doubtless amongst other $\tau \in \lambda \omega \nu a t$ ( $\boldsymbol{c}$. 15), 'at' ( $a d$ ) the office. 'Ext c. acc. in the N. T. often answers the question 'whither?' (Bless, Gr., p. 136), cf. iv. 38 , Le. ii. 25 , Acts i. 21 : the phrase is here common to Mt., Mc., Le.
 note on i. 17. The command was practically a call to discipleship, involving the complete abandonment of his work. Disciples who were fishermen could return to their fishing at pleasure (cf. Jo. xxi. 3) ; not so the toll-collector who forsook his post. Yet Levi did not hesitate: avaoràs
 thinking of the life which was thus begun, writes $\eta$ ккид oi $\theta_{\epsilon \iota}$, and adds ka$\tau a \lambda \iota \pi \omega \dot{y} \pi a ́ v \tau a$. The call was given by One Who knew that the way had been prepared for its acceptnance. How the preparation had been made can only be conjectured: possilly, as in the case of the first four, through the Baptist, Lc. iii. 12. Cf. Tent. l. c., "nescio quorum fade no verbo Doming suscitatus teloneum dereliquit." To Porphyry, who saw in Matthew's prompt obedience proof of the mental weakness of Christ's disciples, Jerome replies that it rather attests the magnetic power exerted on men by His unique personality.

15-17. Feast in Levis House (Mt. ix. $10-13$, Le. v. 29-32).
15. каì रiveтal...каi] Mt. кац
 Hebraic turn of the sentence. Kava-
keíन Ara, need of the sick in i. 30, ii. 4, refers here and in xiv. 3 to persons at table (see Amos vi. 4); cf. Judith xiii. I5, Le. v. 29 , I Cor. viii. so, and in class. Greek, Plato, Sump. 185 D . Mt. prefers avaкeí $\theta a$, , which is more usual in this sense in Biblical Greek (Lxx., I Esdr. iv. io, Tob. ix. 6 (N), Mc. xiv. 18 , \&c.), so Mc. just below (ouvavéкeитo) ; the Vg. endeavours to distinguish between the two (cum accumberet...simul discumbebant $)$. ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \nu$ т̂̂ oikiạ aùroû : so Lc. ; Mt., speaking of his own house, omits avirov̂-a house to its owner or tenant is simply $\dot{\eta}$ oikia. A second house in Capernaum is now thrown open to Jesus and His disciples, ff. i. 29. On aư่ $o \hat{u}$ (nearly $=$ ékeivov) cf. WM., pp. 183, 788.

тоддоi teגติval ктл.] So Mt.; Le.
 was, as Le says, a $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ box $\eta^{\prime}$, a 'reception,' which, if intended in the first instance to do honour to the Master (avi $\bar{Q}$ ), included many of Levi's friends and colleagues. Te $\lambda \omega^{\prime} \eta_{\eta}$ occurs in Mc. only in this context. Te $\lambda \omega y \in \tilde{i} \nu$ 'to impose taxes' is used in I Macc.
 $\sigma a \lambda \eta_{\eta} \mu, \mu \eta к \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \in i ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, cf. х. 29, 30 ) of dues exacted from the Jews under the Syrian domination. The tedévns or tax-farmer was a well-known personage at Athens in the time of Aristophanes, and not popular; cf. Ar. $E q .247$ f., $\pi a \hat{A} \epsilon$ пaîє rò̀ $\pi a \nu o \hat{\nu} \rho \gamma a \nu . .$.
 a $\rho \pi a y \hat{\eta} s$. The Vg. renders the word by the title of the corresponding officer at Rome, publicanus; but the тe入बิvac of the Gospels corresponded more nearly to the portitores. With
 classes are found together again in
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Mt. ix. 19, Lc. xy. I. Fritzsche cites Lucian Necyom. if, но七хоі каіे торуоBoбко̀ кaì tèàvai kaì kódakes kaì

 probably used in this connexion with some latitude: sometimes it refers to the ontcasts of society (Lic. vii. 37), but as used by the Scribes it would include non-Pharisees e.g. Sadducees (so frequently in the Psalms of Solomon, Ryle and James, pp. xlvi, 3 f.), Gentilos (Galatians ii. 15 , Lightfoot's note, or even Hellenizing Jews (I Macc. ii. 44, 48). Many of the men thus branded in Caperinaum were probably guilty of no worse offence than abstaining from the official picty of the Pharisees, or following proscribed occupations (Le. xix 7, 8), or were of Gentile extraction, or merely consorted with Gentiles (Acts x. 28) : cf. Mt. xviii. 17
 $\tau \omega \lambda$ ós belongs to the later Greek, but was probably a colloquialism in earlier times (cf. Ar. Thesm. init); in the Lxx. it is specially common in Pss. (where it mostly $=\boldsymbol{y}$ in Sirach.
 Mt. $\Sigma_{\text {vadakeí }} \theta_{\text {at (3 }}$ (3 Macc. v. 39) occurs again in vi. 22, and in Le. vii. 49, xiv. 10,15 ; Jo. appears to prefer àvaкєía $\theta a r$ oúv (xii. 2). 'Iŋбov̂ is the N. T. form of the dat. (WM., p. 77); in Deut. iii. 21, xxxi. 23, Jos. i. I, \&c. 'Inaroi is the reading of Cod. B (in Jos. iv. 15 of a also). MaAqu's is here used by Mc. for the first time; it occurs in Cod. A of Jer. xiii. 2 I , and again in xx. if, xxyi. (xlyi.) 9 , and not
elscwhere in the Lxx., but it is used by Plato for the adult pupil of a philosopher (Prot. 315A). The Biblical $\mu a \theta \eta r \eta$ 's is the pupil (חַלְטִיר) of a religious teacher, such as a Rabbi, or a Prophet who assumed the office of סоঠ́árкадоs. On the pupils of the Scribes see Schürer II. i. p. 324 ; cf. the reference to them in Aboth i. I (Taylor, Sayings, \& c, p. 25). The master followed by his pupils was a familiar sight in Galilee; it was the teaching which was new.
$\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ jà $\rho \pi o \lambda \lambda a i]$ These words appear to refer to $\tau \in \lambda . \kappa . \hat{a}_{\mu}$., reasserting the singular fact just mentionedan editorial note, or possibly one belonging to the earliest form of the tradition. If кai ${ }^{\prime}$ кодоv́ $\theta$ ov aürệ is to be connected (WH.) with the antecedent clause, it must be taken to refor to the fact that a number of this class had already begun to follow Jesus, probably in consequence of His words of forgiveness to the paralytic, as well as through the example of Levi. But see next note.
 So the words should probably be connected and read. Jesus was followed to Levi's house by enemics as well as (kai) disciples. 'Aroдovdeì in the Gospels usually implies moral attraction, and it may be to the rarity of the ordinary meaning that the disturbance of the text is due: D) (nî kai....кai....kai єidav) mediates between the two texts. Oi $\gamma$ pap $\mu a \tau \varepsilon i=$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ Фариғаiси: those of the Scribes who belonged to the Pharisees, cf.





 9if syr ${ }^{\text {lieer }}$









 syrrpexh hel arm me aeth)
 oi Фaptбaiot, Lc., combining Mt. and М., oi Ф. каі oi $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. av̉т $\bar{\omega} \nu$.
 order ( $\mathrm{I} 5, \tau \in \lambda . к$ к. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mu}$, I 6 , $\mathfrak{a} \mu$. к. $\tau \in \lambda$. ( $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{o}}$ ), $\tau \in \lambda . \kappa . \dot{\alpha}_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} .\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ ) are singular and, if original, can hardly be accidental. Possibly Mc. means to show that in the thoughts of these Scribes, though not in their words, the charge of being in the company of simners was foremost. Herc, at least, the Master had, as they supposed, revealed His departure from the standard of the O. T. (Ps. i. 1). For iठeì ót (see v. Il.) cf. ix. 25 .
 daring to remonstrate with the Master; they have learnt caution from the experience related in ii. 8. "Orı is here $=\tau i^{\prime}$ (Mt., Lec., $\left.\delta \dot{a} \tau_{i}^{\prime} ;\right)$ :cf. ix. 11 , 28, and for the txx., I Chron. xpii. 6
 WM., p. 208, n. 5, and Barton, § 349. To eat with Gentiles was an offence recognised even by Pharisaic Christians (Acts xi. 3, cf. Gal. ii. If f.), and publicaus and sinners were ranked in the same category with Gentiles (I Cor. v. II).
 $\lambda$ os $\dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ : Le. includes the disciples (éєөíєтє ка̀̀ тірєтє).
17. каi ảкoúซas $\dot{\delta}$ 'Iqбoûs] The remark does not escape Him: cf.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$. : so the three Synoptists (Lc., íरaivo in some form was not unknown to pagan writers, e.g. Pausanias ap. Plutarch. apophth. Lacon. 230 F , ou $0^{\circ}$

 $\sigma \iota \nu$ : Diog. Laert. Antisth. vi. I. 6,

 words present an application to which Jesus docs not refer, but which is implied in the use of the saying.
 adding eis $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ávoua -a true gloss, but perhaps not so well in kecping with the proverbial form of the saying as the terser ending. There is no need to say that the physician's aim is the restoration of the patient to health. For early homiletic applications see Justin M,





 EFGHLSUVГAחะ 33 al agl syrweh oc $\Phi a \rho \sigma a \iota \omega \nu \Phi$

ó Xptorós, à̉入à roùs àreßeís каi àkoגáotous kal àdíkous. Ps. Clem. 2 Cor.


 $\lambda$ à тà $\pi i \pi \tau$ тита. The contrast of ápap$\tau \omega \lambda$ ós and síkatos appears first in Ps. i. 5. The question who are the $\delta i$ ikato whom Christ did not come to call has exereised interpreters here and in Lc. xv. 17. In such contexts the relatively righteous can hardly be in view, since all are $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda{ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$ in the sight of God and of Christ (Rom. iii. 23, 1 John i. 8). Hence Macarius Magnes, iv. I8, argues that the díkasol are the Angels. But since our Lord speaks only of those within the sphere of His mission, the explanation is inadmissible. Rather His reference is to the Pharisees, on the assumption that they were what they professed to be, and the saying in this respect should not be pressed beyond its immediate application: cf. Jerome: "sugillat scribas et Pharisacos, qui iustos se mestimantes peccatorum et publicanorum consortia declinabant"; we need not add with
 The point of it is that if the guests were $\dot{a}_{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \rho \tau \omega \lambda \frac{1}{\prime}$, it was in such company the physician of souls might be sought, and not under opposite circumstances. For this view of $\sin$ as a discase comp. Isa. i. 4 ff. and liii.
 Mt. inserts between the proverb and its application a reference to Hosea vi. 6 q. v. With $\bar{j} \lambda \theta_{o v}$ ef. ${ }^{\ddagger} \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{o \nu}$, i. 38 , aud note there; x. 45, Jo. i. I i, iii. 2, \& $c$.

18-22. Question of Fabting: the Old and the New (Mt. ix. 14 -17, Lc. v. 33-39).

erant...ieiunantes, 'were fasting' not (as WM., p. 438) 'were used to fast';
 perf. see Blass, Gr., p. 198 f., Burton, § 34. If Levi's entertaimment fell on a Sunday or a Wednesday night, the disciples of Jesus were feasting after the disciples of stricter schools had begun one of their weekly fists. The Law required abstinence only on the Day of the Atonement ( $\dot{\eta}$ pqoveia, Acts xxvii. 9), but the stricter Jews practised it on the second and fiftl days of every week (Schürer II. ii. 119). For the practico of the disciples of the Pharisees (i.e. the pupils of Pharisaic Rabbis) see Le. xviii. 12,
 $7=$ Apost. Const. vii. 23, vŋबtєv́ová
 J. Lightfoot on Mt. ix. 14. The disciples of John (mentioned again in Jo. i. 35 , iii. 25 , cf. Acts xix, 2 ff.) naturally inherited John's asceticism (Mt. xi. 18). Tatian omits this explanatory note, which is pecnliar to Mc.
 the disciples of John or of the Pharisees, but the Scribes, who have now gathered courage from confidence in the goodness of their cause: cf. Lc. oi $\delta \dot{\text { c }}$ eimay. Mt. gives another ac-
 'I $\omega$ divov, and alters the question accordingly ( $\delta \iota a ̀ \tau_{i} \dot{\eta} \mu \in i ́ s \kappa \tau \lambda$.). Tatian iguores the difference, adopting Le.'s form. Later harmonists imagine the same question to be put in varying form by the disciples and the gnests, e.g. Aug. de cons. ii. 26. 62, who is followed by Bede: "colligendum a pluribus hanc Domino objectam esse quaestionem et a Pharisacis scilicet et a discipulis Joamis et a convivis vel alis quibusdam." The uncertainty thus imported into the history is
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surely a worse evil than any doubt that can arise as to the precise accuracy of one of the reports.
 stop short of a direct attack upon the Master; cf. 8.24.
19. $\mu \grave{̀}$ סívaprat; Vg. numquid possunt? M $\dot{\eta}$ expects a negative auswer (WM., p. 641, Blass, Gr., p.254); cf. e.g. Mt. vii. 9 , Io, Jo. iii. 4, James ii. I4. Lc., as often, turns the sentence into another form with a slightly
 $\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a t$; in Mt. and Mc. סivautat points to the moral impossibility; they might be made to fast, but it would not be a fast worthy of the name.
 known in class. Greek as $\nu v \mu \phi$ evtai, and in the later literary style as
 ( $=$ тa⿱宀то́s, Joel ii. 16) cf. Tobit vi. 14, 17, and for the idiom 'sons of,' \&e.,
 men of the citadel'; see Trench, Studies, p. 170 n. The Lord perhaps designedly adopts the Baptist's own metaphor (Jo. iii. 29), substituting however ot vioi rov̂ vpuфفَvos
 tinction between the two see Edersheim i. 355, and Moore on Judges xiv. 11, 20 . The role of the 'best man' was over ; twelve disciples had taken the place of the one fore-
rumer. In the present connexion the title 'sons of the bride-chamber' had perhaps a further appropriateness; it was in fact an answer to the cavil of c. 18, for "apparentiy by Rabbinic custom all in attendance on the bridegroom were dispensed from certain religions observances in consideration of their duty to increase his joy" (Hort, Juduistic Christianity, p. 23).
 identifies Himself with the Bridegroom of O.T. prophecy (Hos. ï. 20, \&c.), i.e. God in His covenant relation to Israel, a metaphor in the N.T. applied to the Christ (Mt. xxy. I , Jo. iii. 28, 29, Eph. v. 28 ff., Apoc. xix. 7 ,



 $\Psi^{\top}$ Mc., Lc. $=\epsilon^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ öcov Mt., of. Mc.,
 substitutes $\pi \in \nu \theta \epsilon i v$. Fasting was fitting for the house of mourning, not for a time of rejoicing: cf.




 "Oбov $\chi$ рivan is the acc. of duration, WM., p. 288. Tatian again (ef $\boldsymbol{v .}$. 18) omits the words which Mc. adds.








There must be a limit to the joyous life of personal intercourse. The saying as far as $\eta \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma o v a n$ is reported in identical words in Mt., Me., Le. For the phrase ètev́goytat ijp. see Lc. xxi. 6 , and with the whole verse compare Jo. xvi. 20. "Orà à $\pi$ ap $\theta \hat{n}$, Vg. cum auferetur-rather perhaps, cum ablatus fuerit; örav leaves the moment uncertain, while of the certainty of the future occurrence there is no question: ef. Burtou, \& 316. 'Ataipeotac, here only used of Christ's departure; but cf. Isa. liii. 8, aipetat

 mand; the Lord auticipates that fasting will remain as an institution of the Church after the Passion, and regulates its use (Mt. vi. 16). Comp. Acts xiii. 2, 3, xiv. 23, Didache 7, 8,
 oкєuin. The fast before Easter was from the end of the second century specially connected with this saying of Christ: Tert ioiun. 2, "certe in evangelio illos dies ieiuniis determinatos putint in quibus ablatus est Sponsus, et hos esse iam solos legitimos ieiuniorun Christianorum...de cetero indifferenter ieiunandum ex arbitrio, non ex imperio." Cf Const. $A p$. v. I 8

 in regard to the Paschal fast there was at first no rigid uniformity; cf. Iren. (ap. Eus. v. 24) who remarks:


 for which see Mc. i. 9 note. On the change introduced by the Gospel into
the ordinance of fasting, sec Victor:
 Bede aptly compares Acts ii. I3. Cf. the logion: $\dot{e} \dot{a} \nu \quad \mu \dot{\eta} \nu_{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu$
 Geov̂ (Oxyrhynchus Papyri, i. p. 3).
 parables that follow occupy the same position in the three Synoptists, and doubtless are meant to illustrate the answer to the question of 0 . I8. 'Eri$\beta \lambda \eta \mu a$ р́́кous à $\gamma$ иáфov, Vg. adsumentum parni rudis, is explained by
 'Pákos is a rag, whether of .old stuff (Jer. xlv. (xxxviii.) it, тàaù páry), or, as here, newly torn from the piece: e.g. Artemidorus (27) uses it of the strips of cloth wound round a nummy. In the present case the pákos is äypaфov ( $=\vec{a} \gamma \nu a \pi \tau \sigma \nu,{ }_{a} \kappa \nu a \pi \tau o \nu$ )-torn off from a piece which had not gone through the hands of the $\gamma v a \phi \epsilon$ 's. Гvaфєús
 thrice in the lxx. (4 Regn. xviii. 17 , Isa. vii 3, xxxvi 2) in counexion with "the fuller's field"-possibly a bleaching ground at Jerusalem; cf. Joseph. B. J. v. 4. 2, тò тои̂ үขафє́шs тробаүорєчонєуоу $\mu и \eta \mu a$. Comp. the account of the martyrdom of James 'the Just,' Euseb. H. E. ii. 23: $\lambda a-$

 'a patch,' cf. Jos. ix. II (5), Symm., т̀̀
 рáлтєє (WH., Notes, p. 163, Blass,

 $\left.\mu \eta_{\epsilon}\right)$, Vg. alioquin, 'if otherwise': see Blass, Gr., p. 260, and cf Mt. vi. 1, Jo. xiv. 2, Apoc. ii. 5.
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 ä̈єt...тò $\pi \lambda$. aưтô à àò тồ inatiov. In each case it seems best to identify тò $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu a$ with $\tau \grave{\prime}$ є́ $\pi i \beta \lambda \eta \mu a$, and to take aủrô̂ as = rố ifariov. In adopting this view it is not necessary to give $u p$ the passive sense of $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime}-$ $\rho \omega \mu a$ for which Lightfoot contends (Colossians, p. 323 ff .) ; for as he points out, the patch may be so called " not because it fills the hole, but because it is itself fulness or foll measure as regards the defect." As $\begin{gathered} \\ \pi \\ i\end{gathered} j \lambda \eta \mu a$ is the picce laid on or applied to the rent, so $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu a$ is the same piece as filled in and bocome the complement (Vg. supplementum). Tò кatvò tô $\operatorname{ma\lambda } a t o \hat{v}$, the new complement of the old garment ; the contrast of кacyós (véos), tadatós, is frequent in the N.T., perhaps through the influence of this saying, and the examples are interesting: Rom. vii. 6, Eph. iv. 22 ff., Col. iii. 9 f., Heb. viii. з 3.
 ef. Deut. viif. 4, Isa. l. 9, li. 6.
каі 犭єîop $\sigma \chi^{i \sigma \mu a}$ زivєтal] 'And a worse rent is the result' (Wycliffe, " more brekynge is mand"). Cf. Le.'s paraplirase, and Philo, de creat. princ.



$\sigma \chi i \sigma \mu \alpha$ cf. i. 10 : elsewhere in the N.T. the word is used in an ethical sense (Jo. vii. 43, I Cor. i. $10, \&$ c.).
22. кai oúdeis $\beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.] So Lc.; Mt. ovंd̀̀̀ $\beta a \dot{\text { andovar. }}$. The worn out à áós passed into a proverb, see Job xiii. 28, Ps. cxviii. (cxix.) 83: comp.



 wine-skins in the parable are as yet whole, but thin and strained by use, and unable to resist the strength of the newly fermented wine. The contrast is here between vios and madaós: véos is recens (Vg. novellus), fieshly made, in referonce to time: for oivos ע́́os cf. Isa. xlix. 26, Sir. ix. 1o. A full treatment of the synonyms kaivós, véos may be found in Trench, syn. Io, or in Westcott on Heb. viii. 8, xii. 24.
 see on $v .2$. If any one is so unwise as to bccome an exception to the rule, he will lose both wine and skins. Mc.'s brevity is noticeable; both Mt. and Le. distinguish the manner of the loss in the two cases-o oivos ékхєitac
 (àmodoûjat). Similarly in the next clause Mt. supplies $\beta$ a $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{2} \sigma \sigma v$, Lc. $\beta$ קпréo. Attempts have been made
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in the mas. to assimilate Mc.; see wv. ll. The contrast between yéos, kasvós is preserved by the three Synoptists, but it has been missed in the Vg., vinum novum in utres novos. On the comncxion of these parables with the context see Hort, Jud. Chr., p. 24. The general teaching is that men "nova non accepturos esse nisi nowi fierent" (Hilary). The old system was not capable of being patched with mere fragments of the new, and still less could the old man reccive the new spirit and life. For some special applications of the principle of. Trench, Studies, p. 180 ff.

23-28. Corn-field Incident. Question of the Sabbatif. (Mt. xii. د-8, Le. vi. I-5.)
 factum est ut ...ambularet ( f ) ; cf. ii. 15, and see Burton, $\S 360$. Lc. has the same construction, and agrees with Mc. also in the order of events:
 є́торгi $\theta_{\eta}$, places this incident much later. 'Ev rois $\sigma$ áßßact (roís $\sigma$. Mt., द́v $\sigma a \beta \beta a ́ t \varphi$ Lc. : see note on i. 21), 'on the sabbath'; in Lc. 'Western' and 'Syrian' authorities add $\delta \in v \tau \epsilon \rho \circ-$ $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega$, cf. WH., Notes, p. 58. $\Delta \iota \alpha-$ $\pi о \rho \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t$, a common Lxx. word (usually = הָּר or or N. T., occurring, besides this context, Lc. ${ }^{\text {ev. } 2, ~ a c t . ~ 1, ~ P a u l ~}{ }^{1}$; the construction varies, the verb being used absolutely, or followed by acc. with or without prep.; for סıat. ס́á cf. Prov. ix. $12 c$, Soph. iii. I. The fields were probably in the neighbourhood of Capernaum ; there is no charge of having exceeded
the Sabbath day's journey (Acts i. I2, ef. Joseph. ant. xiii, 8. 4, ојкк ${ }^{\mathbf{\epsilon}} \xi_{\epsilon \sigma т ь \nu}$



 $\rho(\mu a=$ "sown land," "corn-fields" (V. suta), is found in a papyrus of c. a.d. 346 , and seems to have been familiar in colloquial Greck of cent. $\mathbf{i}$, for is belongs to the common tradition of the Synoptic Gospels.

 тoteì is properly, like óootoleì, to make a road, or make one's way, and suggests that the party was pushing its way through the corn where there was no path; Euth.: iva mpoßaiveiv
 (Herod., Xen., Dion. Hal., Joseph., \&c.) of simple advance (Vg. coeperunt praegredi, v.l. progredi), and ód. moteì probably bears that meaning

 Judges, p. 385 f.). As they went they plucked the ears and ate (kai є́ $\sigma i \epsilon \iota \nu$ Mt.; каї $\eta \neq \theta \iota o \nu$ Le., who adds
 pluck and eat ears of standing corn was given by the Law, provided that no instrument was used, Deut. xxiii.


24. каì oi Фapıбаío кт入.] See notes on ii. 16, 18 . The Master is again attacked through the disciples. Mt. supplies oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i$ oov before $\pi о \omega \hat{\sigma} \sigma u$, Lc. represents the question as addressed to the disciples ( $\tau i$
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 Tiṇ ) ; cf. iii. 34, xi. 2I, xiii. 1, 2T, xv. 4,35, xvi. 6. The offence was being openly committed under the very eyes of the Master. Plucking corn was considered as equivalent to reaping, the hand taking the place of the sickle, and reaping on the Sabbath was forbidden (Exod. xxxiv. 21, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$


 Mt. simplifies the construction by
 $\sigma a \beta \beta \dot{a} \tau \omega$, and similarly Lc. The act was not unlawful in itself, but only in regard to the occasion.

 the principle for the moment, content with pointing out that rules of this kind admit of exceptions. oviठ. dे $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mathrm{y}$., an appeal to an authority which they recognised and of which they were professed students. The formula is frequently used by our Lord, ef. xii. ro, 26, Mt. xii. 5, xix. 4, xxi. 16 (ov̀̀́étore,

 reference is to i Sam. xxi. $1-6$, but
 are an inference from the facts, added to bring out the parallel. David and his men find their counterpart in the Son of David and His disciples.
 I.e., the Tabernacle : cf. Jud. xyiii.

3r, I Regn. i. 7,24 It was at this
 (A), Nóß (N) ), a town of Benjamin (Neh. xi. 32) near Jerusalem (Isa. x. $3^{2}$
 here), Lc. बis tia.
 principe sacerdotum: cf. I Macc. xiii.




 Kえavoiov: when an anarthrous title is added to the personal name, the period is limited to the term of office: ' in the days when A . was highpriest.' Toû àpx. (AC) is perhaps a correction. The clause is peculiar to Mc., and may be an editorial note. It is in conflict with the account in I Sam. l.c. where the highpriest at the time of David's visit to Nob is Ahimelech (צָחִלְל, Lxx, cold. BA, 'AB( $\epsilon$ ) $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$, but in I Regn. xxx. 7, 2 Regn. viii. 17, 'АХє $\mu \in \lambda \epsilon \chi$ ), not Abiathar, Ahimelech's son and successor (I Sam. xxii. zo). The confusion between Alimelech and Abiathar seems to have begun in the text of the O. T., where (both in M.T. and Lxx.) we read of Ahimelech the son of Abiathar as high-priest in the time of David (2 Sam. viii. 17, cf. Driver, ad $l$., I Chron. xviii. 16, xxiv. 6). The clause is omitted by Mt., Le., see Hawkins, H. S., p. 99.






 $209604 \mathrm{syrr}{ }^{\text {sin pesh }}$
 proposilionis（Wycliffe，＂loues of pro－ posicioun＂）；cf．Heb．ix．2，ì $\pi$ ر $o ́ \theta \in \sigma t s$
 ＇shewbread＇as set before God is
 xxv．29），трокєінєуои（Exоd．xихіх．I8 （36）），то仑 тробю́тои（1 Regn．xxi．6）， т $\hat{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{~s} \pi \rho о \sigma \phi$ орäs（ 3 Res̃．vii． $34=48$ ）． （Oi）ä $\rho$ тоt（ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{\eta} s$ ）$\pi \rho 0 \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ oceurs also in I Regn．l．c．，but as a paraphrase for PT，and in 2 Chron．iv．Ig it stands for fon ；but elsewhere it＝ （Exod．xl． 21 （23），\＆c．）or in Chron．，לֶחם（I Chr．ix．32）；i．e．， it points to the ordered rows upon the table rather than to their ceremonial import．See however 1）eissmann， Bibelstudien，p． 155 f．（E．Tr．，p．157）． It was one of the glories of Judas Maccabaeus that ho restored the use of the shewbread（ 2 Macc． x .3 ，т $\hat{\omega} \nu$

 ＇Which it was not lawful that any should eat except the priests＇：so Lc．； Mt．has the more usual construction
 the shewbread see Lev．xxiv．5， Joseph．ant．iii．Ic． 7 ，oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тoîs $i \in \rho \in \tilde{v} \sigma \iota$ $\pi \rho o s ~ \tau \rho о ф \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$ дiס́orтаи．But the prohi－ bition does not seem to have been absolute ；cf． 1 Sam．xxi．4．Ov̉火 $\neq \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ is taken out of the mouth of the Scribes，and used in their sense（ 0.24 ）： it was at least as unlawful to eat the shewbread as to pluck and eat corn on the Sabbath．
 Of． 0.25 ，ni $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aviroû．An O．Ti，phrase
（sec Gen．iii．6）Delitzsch renders： ins panions were in David＇s case $\pi a \delta \delta \alpha^{\prime} p t a$ ， ，ְִשִרים，i．e personal followers，the nucleus of the crowd who gathered round him in the cave of Adullam （I Sam．xxii．2）．The contrast be－ tween these men and the peaceful disciples of Jesus is great，but it only serves to add force to the argument．

27．Mt．gives another argument： the priests in the temple were com－ pelled to violate the strict law of the Sabbath，their duties being in fact doubled on that day（Numb．xxviii．9）； if the exigencies of the temple justi－ fied their conduct，a greater than the temple was here to justify the disciples．Ho adds a quotation from Hos vi．6，which he had previously cited in connexion with the saying of v．I7（Mt．ix． $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ）．

тò $\sigma a ́ \beta \beta a \tau о \nu . . . \delta t a ̀ ~ т o ̀ ~ \sigma a ́ \beta \beta a \tau o v] ~ M c . ~ . ~$ only；cf．Hawkins，II．S．p．99．Comp． 2 Mace．v．19，où סıà tòv тóто⿱ tò

 selves occasionally admitted the prin－ ciple；see Schöttgen ad $l$ ．and the passage cited by Meyer from Mechilta in Exod．xxxi．13：＂the Sabbath is delivered unto you，and ye are not delivered to the Sabbath，＂Our Lord＇s words rise higher，and reach further： at the root of the Sabbath－law was the love of God for mankind，and not for Israel only，Cf．Ephrem：＂the Sabbath was appointed not for God＇s sake，but for the sake of man．＂Ben－ gel ：＂origo et finis rerum spectanda； benedictio sabbati（Gen．ii．3）hominem





27 om кal ovx o avop. $\delta$. то $\sigma$. syr ${ }^{\text {sin }} \mid$ om кац $2^{\circ} \mathrm{AC}^{3} \Gamma$ II alpl $\quad$ III 1 guva-
 $\mathrm{AC}^{*} \mathrm{D} \Delta \Sigma \mathrm{T}$ min ${ }^{\text {pane }}$
spectat." For a similar antithesis ef. I Cor. xi. 9. 'O äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, man, i.e. humanity ; ef. Eccl. i. 3, iii. Iq.
 "and so mannes sone is also lord of the
 Lc. In Mc. the sequence of the thought is clear. The Sabbath, being made for man's benefit, is subject to the control of the ideal and representative Man, to whom it belongs. On ब̈бre with the indic. mood see WM., p. 377, Burton § 237, and cf. Mc. x. 8. Kúpoos is here perhaps rather 'owner' than
 23, Jud xix. 22. On of vi. т. à $v$ p. see o. Ion. Tatian, followed by the O.L. cod. a, places after this verse c. iii. 21 (q.v.), as if it was His doctrine of the Sabbath which led our Lord's relatives to suspect insanity.
III. i-6. Healing of a Withered Hand on the Sabiath (Mt. xii. $9-$ I4, Lc. vi. 6-11).
 $\left.\gamma \dot{\eta}^{\prime}\right]_{]}$Another scene in a synagogue. Пáhuy points back to i. 2 I (cf. ii. I, I3; iii. 20, iv. r) unless, with Bengel, we interpret "alio sabbato." Eis
 2 I , (vi. 2), where the synagogue is localised; here the reader's thought is limited to the fact that the event took place in a synagoguc. Of. Jo. vi. 59, xxiii. 20, James ii. 2 ; similarly we speak of going 'to church' or being 'in church' when no particular building is in view. Mc. suggests, and Mt. seems distinetly
 this visit to the synagogue followed
immediately after the cornfield incident; Lc. places it on another Sabbath (èv é $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ $\sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \varphi)$. St Augustine's reply (de cons. eo. 81, "post quot dies ill synagogam eorum venerit...non expressum est") is not wholly satisfactory; the two traditions if not absolutely inconsistent are clearly distinct, Le. perhaps possessing information unknown to Me. and Mt. Cod. D meets the difficulty by omitting é $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \varphi$ in Le.

 Zach. xi. 17. Jo. (v. 3) mentions $\xi \eta$ poi as a class of chronic invalids; in the present instance the paralysis of the hand was not congenital, but as Bengel says "morbo aut verbere," as the past participle implies-a point which Mt's छ̄páy overlooks. Tì $\nu$ Xeípa, 'his hand,' ef. t. 3, vv. ll.; for exx. of the predicative use of the art. see Blass, Gr. p. 158. Lc. adds that the hand was $\dot{\eta} \delta \in \xi \in$. Jerome says that the Gospel according to the Hebrews represented the man as pleading his case with the Lord: "crementarius cram, manibus victum quaeritans; precor te, Iesu, ut mihi restituas sanitatem ne turpiter mendicam cibos."
2. каi тарєтípovv aข̀тóv] Cf. Ps. xxxyi. (xxxvii.) I2, таратпрウібєтa (Dipi) ó duaptwhòs tòv סíкatov: Dau. vi. I I, Sus. 12, 16 (Th.). The middle is more frequent, but maparnpé $\quad$ occurs in Susama and in Lc. xx. 2o. Polybius
 $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \delta \rho \in \dot{u} \in \boldsymbol{v}$. This lhostile scnse is not however inherent in the word, which








merely means (Lightfoot on Gal. iv. 10) to observe minutely, going along as it were with the object for the purpose of watching its movements. Lc. uses the middle here and in xiv. I. חaparnpeiv $\epsilon i$, to watch whether; cf. Blass, Gr. p. 21 I.
 cording to the Rabbinical rule relief might be giveu to a sufferer on the Sabbath only when life was in danger (Schürer II. ii. 104). Since in the present case postponement was clearly possible, a charge might lie against Jesus before the Sanhedrin if He restored the hand; and they watched Him closely in the hope that this opportunity might be given (iva
 Mt. they even challenged Him by
 тeveev; The question afterwards put to them by Jesis (Mc.) does not exclude this account of the matter


 consistent with it, and the additional matter in Mt. clearly belongs to another occasion (Mt. xii. $1 \mathrm{I}, 12=\mathrm{L}$ c. xiii. 5 , xiv. 5).
3. кai $\lambda \in ́ \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{a} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \kappa \pi \lambda$.] His knowledge of their purpose (Lc.) did not deter Him: comp. Dan. vi. Io. His first step was to bring the man out into the body of the synagogue where he could be seen by all (Mc., Lc.); there should be no secrecy and
no need for $\pi а \rho a \pi j \rho \eta \sigma, s$ in the matter, since a principle was involved: comp. Jo. xviii. 20. "E $\gamma \in \iota \rho \epsilon$ cis tò $\mu \epsilon$ 'oov, a pregnant construction: 'arise [and come] into the midst'; cf. examples in Blass, Gr. p. 122. Lc. interpolates kal $\sigma \tau \tilde{p} \theta_{\mathfrak{L}}$, and adds кal àvaotàs $\quad$ ধ̈rr—details which Mc. leaves to be imagined. The purpose of the command is clear. The miracle was intended to be a public and decisive answer to the question 'Will He work His cures on the Sabbath??
4. каil $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ aviroís кт $\lambda$.] The Lord anticipates their question (cf. ii. 8). Lc. prefixes $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{v} \mu \hat{a}$. His questioning of the Rabbis began in childhood (Lc. ii. 46): in the method there was nothing unusual, still less disrespectful; see J. Lightfoot on Lc. $l$. c. The present question puts a new coluur on that which was in their minds; for $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \in$ He substitutes ajatoroincau, which raises the principle. 'A ${ }^{2}$ aOtotriy (formed on the analogy of the class. какотон(iv) is a word of the Lxx. ( $=$ (היטיטיב), for which class. Gk. used eve noteiv or є $\dot{\varepsilon} \in \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon i v$. In Tob. xii. 13, I Macc.
 tuted by some of the scribes, and the same tendency appears here; but the compound is well supported in the N.T., especially in I Peter, where, besides à $\gamma$ gatoroctiy (quater), we find
 moиfoat raises the startling alternative: 'if good may not be done on






the Sabbath, are you prepared to justify evildoing on that day?' I.e., Was it unlawful on the Sabbath to rescue a life from incipient death ( $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a)^{\prime}$, and jet lawful to watch for the life of another, as they were doing at the moment? Was the Sabbath a day for malcifcent and not for beneficent action? 'Atoктеivar is used of a judicial senteuce, Jo. xviii. 3r ; Le. substitutes here the more usual àmodécat.
 or shame (ix. 34), or simply because they had no answer ready (Lc. xx. 26).
 Except in Le. vi. to (the parallel to this context) $\pi \epsilon \rho \nmid \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \sigma \theta a s$ is used by Mc. only (iii. 5, 34, v. 32, ix. 8, x. 23, xi. II), and five times out of six in reference to the quick searching glance round the circle of His friends or enemies, which St Peter remembered as characteristic of the Lord: see Ellicott, Lectures, pp. 25, 176. Bengel: "vultus Christi multa nos docuit." For the use of $\pi \epsilon \rho \varphi \beta \lambda$. in the lxx. of. Exod. ii, 12, 3 Regn. xxi. (xx.) 4o, Tob. xi. 5. Met' opyn̄s: there was anger in the louk or attending it (cf. $\mu \in T a ̀$ dakpícy Acts xx. 31, Heb. xii. 17). Anger is attributed to the Lamb, Apoc. vi. 16, 17: it is "legitimate in the absence of the personal clement" (Gould), i.e. if not vindietive, and not inconsistent with a gentle character (Mt. xi. 29).
 The anger was tempered by grief: comp. I Esdr. ix. 2, $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \dot{\omega} \nu$

$\Sigma u v \lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a, \mathrm{Vg}$ contristari, implies sorrow arising from sympathy, either with the sorrow of another (cf. Ps. lxviii. (lxix.) 21, where the of ovy$\lambda \nu \pi о \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ answers to $\delta$ тарака $\bar{\omega} \nu$ ), or, as here, with his unconscious misery. With this sorrow of Christ for sinners conp. Epl. iv. 3o. Sorrow is predicated of Jesus again in Mt.
 trast with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu_{0}$ aor., points to the abiding nature of this grief: the look was momentary, the sorrow habitual. Cf. Oxyr7ymch. log. 3 тоує $i$
 $\pi \omega \nu . ~ \Pi \omega ́ p \omega \sigma t s \tau \eta ई s ~ к а р \delta i a s ~ o c c u r s ~ a g a i n ~$ in Eph. iv. 18, where it is a characteristic of pagan life : in this respect unbelieving Isracl was on a level with untaught leathendom (Rom. xi. 25); even the Apostles suffered at times from this same malady (Mc viii. 17). Hepoûatac is 'to grow callous,' and пы'рюбts in medical language is the furmation of the hard substance ( $\pi \omega \rho o s, ~ c a l l u s$ ) which unites the fractured ends of a broken bone; transferred to things spiritual, it is the process of moral ossification, which ronders men insensible to spiritual truth. Cod. D and the Sin. Syriac express the result by substituting $\nu$ éкршoıs: so some O.L. texts, super emortua illorum corda. The idea seems to be derived from Isa. vi. 10,
 тoû $\lambda a o \hat{v}$ тoúrov, but Jo. (xii. 40) para-
 The Vg. renders super caecitate $(m)$ cordis eorum (Wyclife, " on the blyndnesse of her harte," followed by Tindale and Cranmer, reading appa-



 $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$.






rently $\pi \eta р \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t$ : cf. Job xwii. 7, B,
 Na. ${ }_{A}$ have the variant $\pi \in \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \tau u$. See however J. Th. St. iii. 1, p. 8t ff., where Dr J. Armitage Robinson maintains that $\pi \omega \dot{\rho} \omega \sigma \sigma$ acquired by use the sense of $\pi \dot{\eta} p \omega \sigma$ s.
 turned to the paralytic, ii. 10, II. A command in each case precedes the healing; recovery comes through faith and obedience. With the whole scene comp. 3 Regn. xiii. 6.
 $\dot{i \gamma \varphi} \dot{\eta}_{s}$ ब's $\dot{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$. For this use of inte. cf. Me. viii. 25. The verb is frequent in the later Gk. and in the lxx.; in the N.T. (exc. Heb. xiii. 19) its use is always more or less distinctly Messianic, and based perhaps on Mal. iv. 5 (sce on Mc. ix. 12). Each miracle of healing was an earnest in an individual case of the d̀ длоката́-
 double augment sec WII., Notes, p. 162, and Blass, Gr., p. 39.
 Pharisees left the synagogue mad with rage ( ${ }^{\prime} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta_{\eta \sigma a \nu}^{a v o i a s, ~ L e .) ~}$ and lost no timo ( $\epsilon \dot{i} \dot{\theta} \dot{s}, \mathrm{Mc}$. only) in plotting revenge. Le. speaks only of an informal discussion ( $\begin{aligned} \text { itedàaovy }\end{aligned}$ $\pi \rho \partial_{s} \dot{d} \lambda \lambda{ }_{j} \lambda_{\text {ous }}$ ), Mc., Mt. of a council or consultation ( $\sigma v \mu \beta o v i d e o v-i n ~ P r o v . ~$ x5. 22 it is Th.'s word for tid, mxx.
 only in the N.T.; the usual phrases
 (Mc. xv. I, with a variant érourásen ). 'E $\begin{gathered}\text { íoov } \\ \text { (émoiovy) perhaps implics that }\end{gathered}$ the consultation held that day was but one of many ; the last is described
 presents the purpose and ultimate issue of their counsels (ef. Burton, § 207)-uot however without reference to the means to be employed. Le. gives the immediate subject of
 Mce's form implies the question Hôs

 Tindale, "with them that belonged to Herode." The 'H $\rho \varphi \delta$ iuvoi appear again in the same company c. xii. $13=\mathrm{Mt}$. xxii. 16 , and some understanding between the two parties is implicd also in Me. viii. I5. Josephus (ant. xiv. 15. 10) speaks of roùs tà
 ${ }^{\text {'Hpqudapós occurs only in Mt., Mc. }}$ Adjectives in -a $\nu o ́ s$ denote partisanslip (Blass on Acts xi. 26). All Herodian party, so far as it found a place in Jewish life, would be actuated by mixed motives; some would join it from sympathy with the Hellenising policy of the Herod family, others because they "saw in the power" of that family "the pledge of the preservation of their national existence" (Westcott in Smith's B.D., s., s.v.). The Intter would have certain interests in common with the lharisees, and

#    

might have readily joined them in an effort to suppress a teaclice who threatened the status quo; althougl, as Bengel quaintly suggests, "fortasse non magnopere curabant Sablyatum." The Pharisees on their part, without any great affection for the Herods, could acquiesce in their rule as the less of two evils. II. the Great had made bids for their support (Schürer I. i. pp. 419, 444 f.), and Lc. shews (xiii. 3I f., xxiii. 10) that they were not unwilling to use Antipas as an ally against Jesus, or everi to act as emissaries of the Tetrarch.

7-iz. Second great Concourse by the Sea (Mt. xii. r5-21, Lc. vi. 17-19).
7. каì ó 'I $\left.\eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v} s . . . a ̀ v e \chi \omega \omega^{\rho} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\right]$ 'A $\nu a-$ $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i v$ is uscd, esp. by Mint., of withdrawal from danger, M t. ii. i2 ff., iv. I2, xiv. 13; in the present context Mt. makes this meaning clear by aduling yoous. Jesus withdrew from the town to the seaside because He was aware of the plot. He and His would be safer on the open beach, surrounded by crowds of followers, than in the narrow streets of Capernaum. His friends would prevent an arrest; in case of danger, a boat was at hand. Eis is the usual preposition after àvaxapeiv (Mt. ii. I4, \&c.) : $\pi \mu o \sigma^{\prime}$ gives the direction or locality of the retreat (cf. ii, 2). On the policy of this retreat see Bede: " neque adhuc venerat hora passionis eius, neque extra Ierusaiem fuit locus passionis."
кaì $\left.\pi o \lambda \grave{\nu} \pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta_{o s} \kappa \tau \lambda.\right] \quad$ Cf. i. 28, 37, 45 ;ii. I3. $\Pi \lambda \eta \theta_{0}$ is frequent in Lc.;
for $\pi o \lambda \geq ̀ ̀ \lambda$. cf. Le. xxiii. 27 , Acts xiv. I, xvii. 4. On the prominence giveu to the adj. see WM., p. 657; the normal order occurs when the words are repeated in $v$. 8. The punctuation of this paragraph is open to some doubt;
 the Galileans, assigning the other factors in the crowd to $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0 v}(v .8)$, or we may begin a new sentence at $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o_{s}$ тодú, or at dкovovtes. WII. and R.V. adopt the former view, but the repeated ḋó seems to point to the continuity of the words from $\kappa a l$ modé to 'I $\delta o v \mu a i a s$, and probably to $\Sigma_{\ell} \delta \omega \bar{\omega} a$ : comp. Le. $\pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta$ os $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} . . . o \grave{\eta} \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta a \nu$.

7-8. каі à $\pi \dot{c} т$. 'Iovסaías ктд.] The Galilean following is now supplemented by others from south, east, and north. Judaea had already sent Pharisees and Scribes (Lc. v. 17), and now, perbaps as a result of the synagogue preaching mentioned in Lc. iv. 45 , adds its contribution to the Lord's willing hearers. Jerusalem is named separately, as in Isa. i. i, Jer. iv. 3, Joel iii. 20; cf. i. 5. 'II 'I $\delta o v \mu a i a$, named here only in the N.T. = צודוֹת in the Lxx. (Isa xxxiv. 5, 6, \&c.). The victories of Judas Maccabacns (i Macc. v. 3) and John Hyrcanus (Joseph. ant. xiii. 9. 1) had gone far to remove the barrier between Edom and Israel, and the Edomito extraction of the Herods brought the two peoples nearer: "in our Lord's time Idumaea was practically a part of Judaea with a Jewish [circumcised] population"(G. A. Smith, Hist. Geogr. p. 240; cf. Joseph. ant. xiii. 9. 1). Moreover in Roman times Idumaea was






#### Abstract
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used loosely for the south borderland of Judaea; of. Josepil. c. Ap.





 каөض́коvaа. Thus Judaea and Idumaea together represent the South. The East too sent its contribution from Peraea ( $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a y$ тov̀ 'Iop $\delta a ́ v o v$, i.e.
 (Joseph. B. J. iii. 3. 3) is both in lxx. and N.T'. simply mépay roû
 (viii. 23), Mt. iv. 25, Mc. x. I. According to Josephus l.c. Yeraca extended on the East of Jordan from Machaerus to Pella, i.e. it lay chiefly between the Jabbok and the Arnon; but, like Idumaea, the name seems to have been somewhat loosely applied (G. A. Smith, p. 539); Mt. iu a similar list (iv. 25) substitutes Decapolis for Peraea: see note on Mc. v. 2o. From the North-West came inhabitants of the Phoenician sea-coast ( $\pi \notin \rho$ i Tupor
 $\Sigma_{i} \delta \hat{\omega} \nu o s$, Le.) ; the district is called Фovik $\eta$ in Acts xi. r9, xr. 3, xxi. 2, and in the lax. (I Esdr. ii. 16 If., 2 Macc. iii. 5, \&c.), but not in the Gospels, where it is simply $\tau \grave{a} \mu^{\prime} \rho \eta$
 21, Mc. vii. 24). The network of roads which covered Garilee facilitated
such gatherings; see G. A. Smith, p. 425 ff .
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0} \pi \sigma \lambda \nu ́ \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. $\pi \circ \lambda \grave{v} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s}$ v. 7 , note; the emphasis is no longer on the magnitude of the concoursc, but on its cause. The fame of the miracles (cf. i. 28,45 ) had brought them together, and also, as Le. adds, the fame of the teaching ( $\bar{j} \lambda \theta a \nu$ ảkovi $\sigma a{ }^{\prime}$

 dкаи́бaytes (see vv. ll.), hut the pres. part. may denote that the rumour on the strength of which they started continued and increased in strength (WM., p. 429; Burton $\$ 59$, who calls it " the present of past action still in progress"); in motei we hear the report as it is passed from one to another in the crowd. "Ooa, 'how many things" rather than 'how great,' ='all that'; cf. Mc. iii. 28, จ. 19, vi. 30 , x. 2 I; Lc. viii. 39; Acts xiv. 27, xv. 4, 12.
9. каі єimev...iva ктл.] On єimєiv tva sce WM., p. 422 . Плouápıov, Vg. navicula, probably here a light boat in contrast with a fishing smack ( $\pi \lambda$ oíov), as in Jo. vi. 22, 24, xxi. 8
 Paul ${ }^{3}$, here only in the Gospels) is rendored in the Vg . by perseverare, perdurare, instare, adhaerere, parere, servire, and here by deservire: in Mc. the English versions from Tindale have had the happy rendering 'wait on.' The boat was to keep close to the shore, moving when He
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moved, so as to be ready at any moment to receive Him ; comp. Lc. v. 3. On the present occasion He does not seem to have used it; the work of healing kept IIim on the land as long as it was possible to remain there. There was no shrinking from contact with the crowd, but only a provision against a real danger-iva $\mu \grave{\eta} \theta \lambda i \beta \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ aùzóv. For the literal sense of $\theta \lambda i \beta \omega$ cf. Mt. vii. I4 $\tau \epsilon \theta \lambda \tau \mu \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \eta$ $\dot{\eta}$ óóós: both in lxx. and N.T. it is used with few exceptions metaphorically.
 On $\theta_{\epsilon} \rho a \pi \epsilon v_{\epsilon} \nu$ see note on i. 34. For
 i. 34: all were healed who touched Him or on whom He laid hands.
 siasm grew till it became dangerous: the sufferers threw thomselves on Him in their eagerness, or impelled by the crowd. For eimenimtesy tuvi (more usuaily zini riva or rint) sce 2 Regn. xvii. 9, Job vi. I6, Judith xv. 6. The action is not always hostile (cf. Acts xx. 10), but it implies suddenness, and usually some degree of passion; Field (Notes, p. 25) adduces Thuc. vii
 rouv. In the present case it was natural enough, yet perilous. "I $\nu a$ aúrov $\ddot{a} \psi \omega \nu \tau a u$ : contact was thought to be a condition, since it was often the concomitant, of healing (Mc. i. 4I, v. 27 f., vi. 56 , viii. 22 ; ef. Le. є'̧'jтouv


 of $\mu a ́ \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \epsilon s$ see Mc. $7.29,34$, Lc.
 represents disease or suffering as a Divine scourge used for chastisement; comp. Prov. iii. 12, cited in Heb. xii. 6; the idea is frequent in the O.T. and 'Apocrypha,' cf. e.g. Ps. lxxiii. 4, 5, Jer. v. 3, Tob. xiii. 14 (18), 2 Macc. iii. 34, ix. II, Ps. Sol. x. I, but the noun does not appear in the Lxx. as interchangeable with yóros: possibly even in the N.T. it carries with it the thought of greater suffering, as well as of a more direct visitation of God.



 $\S 134 b$ ); see Burton, $\S \$ 290,315$, and cf. WM., p. 388, Blass, Gr. p. 207: 'whenever, as often as, they caught
 of homage (Acts xvi. 29) akin to adoration (cf. Ps. xciv. (xcy.) 6,
 now, as it seems, for the first time offered to Jesus since the commencement of His ministry; subsequeutly such prostrations were frequent (Mc. v. 6, 33, vii.25). The contrast between
 striking and perhaps not accidental.
 the wild cry of the demoniacs also in i. 23, v. 5, 7, ix. 26 . The words of the cry go beyond the confession of





i．24，for ó viós $\tau o \hat{v} \theta_{\epsilon} \circ \hat{v}$ ，however inter－ preted，is more definite than $\delta$ a ${ }^{g}$ oos． Comp．Mt．ir．6，ó dıáßoдos $\lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$ aúr $\bar{\omega}$ Ei víos $\epsilon \hat{i}$ tov̂ $\theta$ tov̂ krd．The earlicst confession of the Sonship seems to have cone from evil spirits，who knew Jesus better than he was known by His own disciples－тà óaqáva $\pi \iota \sigma-$ тé̌́vorıv（James ii．19）．

12．каі̀ то入入̀̀ є̀ єтєті́ца av̉roîs кт入．］ Of． $\mathbf{1} .25,43$ ．The purpose of the censure was to prevent a premature divulgence of IIis true character：cf．

 readers of Isa．xlii．I－4，which he sees fulfilled in our Lord＇s freedom from personal ambition．Пo $\lambda \lambda \dot{d}$ धлетía， Vg ．vehementer commina－ batur：$\pi \neq \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ as an adverb is charac－ teristic of Mc．，cf．v．10，23，43，ix． 26. Mt．has the less vivid eimeriapocy aúrois：Le．omits the circumstance．
 here and in Mt．＇s parallel．The фavé－ $\rho \omega \sigma$ ts was postponed only ；cf．iv．22， Rom．xyi． 26 ；it was not yet the time for a general manifestation（Jo． vii． 6 f．，xyii．6），and the $\delta a \mu \mu_{0}$ a were possibly aware that their revelations could only work mischief at this stage．＂Nec tempas erat，neque hi praccones＂（Bengel）．Bede compares Ps．xlix．（1．） 16 ．

13－19a．Second Withdrawal from Capernaum，and Choice of the Twelve（Mt．x．I－4，Lc．vi． 12－16）．

 implying an interval where Mc．＇s narrative seems to be continuous （comp．Mc．iii．I）；in Mt．the order
is entirely different．＇Avaßaivet，the historical present，frequent in Mc． （e．g．i． $2 \mathrm{I}, 40$ ，ii． $\mathrm{I} 5, \mathrm{I} 8$ ，iii． 4,8 ；cf． Hawkins，p． 113 ff．）；rò ôpos as in vi． 46－the hills above the Lake（ $\underset{a}{ } \dot{\circ} \circ \rho \eta$ ， v．5），cf．$\dot{\eta}$ Өá入a $\sigma \sigma a$（ii．13，iii．7）： any other mountain is specified，e．g． ix．2，xi．I．Similarly in Gen．xix． 17 тò öpos（ Jordan valley，and in Jud．i．19，the hill country of Judah（ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ çoún，Lc．i．39， 65）．With the phrase avaßaivety eis rò ö．compare Mt．v．i，xiv．23，xv． 29.

The purpose of this retreat to the hills is stated by Lc．：éy＇́vero．．．é $\xi \in \lambda-$

 A crisis had been reached，for which special preparation must be made． ＂A way was prepared in that night of prayer upon the hills whereby an organic life was imparted to the little community．．．Our Lord takes counsel of the Father alone，．．．when the morn－
 resolve is distinct，and it is fortll－ with carried out＂（Latham，Pastor pastorum，p．238）．It was the first Euber night；Victor：toùs ì خovuévous



 $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The King chooses His ministers： the selection is His act and not theirs：Jo．vi． $7 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{xp} .16$ ，Acts i． 2. For other instauces of the exer－ cise of our Lord＇s human will，see i． 4 I ，vii． 24 ，ix． 30 ，Jo．xvii． 24 ， xxi．22；and for its renunciation， xiv． 36 ，Jo．v．3o．Bengel：＂vole－ bat，ex voluntate Patris＂＂Two steps （Mc．，Lc．；the point is not noticed by





 heov D befffiq

Mt.) appear in this $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda o y \eta \dot{\eta}$ : (1) the summoning of an inner circle of disciples; (2) the appointment of twelve of their number to a special оfice. Проткалєькөau (vocare ad se, Vg.), first in Gen. xxviii. 1 , is from this time forth frequently used of the summons of Christ whether to the $\mu a \theta_{\eta} \tau a i$ or the ${ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda \overline{ }{ }^{2}\left(\right.$ Mc. $\left.^{8}\right)$. Those who were summoned in this
 perhaps than venerunt ( Vg .): in coming they finally parted with the surroundings of their previous life.
 those who answered His summons He again selected twelve: Lc. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda_{\epsilon} \xi \underline{\xi} a_{-}$
 ү̀̀ $\pi \lambda$ cious oi $\pi$ парóvtes. These IIe appointed (è $\pi \sigma i n \sigma \epsilon \nu$, Mc.). For aoteì in this sense see I Regn. xii. 6 ( $\delta$ тoø $\dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ тò̀ M $\omega u \sigma \bar{\eta} \nu$ каì $\tau$. 'Aap $\omega \dot{\nu}$ ), Acts ii. 36 , Heb. iii. 2 (Westcott), Apoc. Y. IO; the Vg . fecit ut essent, \&ec. presupposes
 $\iota^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aữov̂. The number (I) seens tohave reference to the tribes of Israel, to whom the Twelve were originally sent (Mt. x. 6, 23); (2) it suggests their relation to the larger Israel as patriarchs and princes of the new Kingdom (Mt. xix. 28, Lc. xxii. 30, Apoc. xxi. 12, 14). Of. Barn. 8. 3, ois $\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ toû
 єis $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{\nu} \rho t o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi u \lambda \omega \nu \nu$.
 vv. A. : the words look like an interpolation from Lc., and it has been suggested that their omission by D and other 'Western' authorities is an
instance of 'Western non-interpolation ${ }^{2}$; but the external evidence is too strong in their farour to permit their ejection from the text of Mc., even if Mc. vi. 30 does not presuppose their presence here. The name was not perhaps given at the time, but it was given by the Lord; He not only created the office but also (kai) imposed the title. 'A $\quad$ ócròos is used by the Lxx. only in 3 Regn. xir. 6 (A),

 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau a ́ s)$. For the history and N.T. use of the word see Lightfoot, Galatians, p. 92 ff.; Hort, Ecclesia, p. 22 ff .
ī $\nu a \dot{\omega} \sigma \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ à̀rov̂ $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Two immediate purposes of the creation of an Apostolate : (I) such closer association with the Master as was impossible for the general body of $\mu \Omega \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$, (2) a mission based on the special training thus imparted. Association with Christ was at once the training of the Twelve, and if they were faithful, their reward (Jo. xvii. 24). For its effects see Acts iv. 13. On понёи ${ }_{i v a}$ cf. Blass, $G r$, p. 226.

14-15. iva à дооттє $\lambda \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Hence the name of their officc. Ou ámoбтє $\lambda$ $\lambda \omega$ as distinguished from $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ sce Westcott on Jo. xx. 21 (add. note); for кприіббю cf. i. 4, 14, and vy. ll. here; the substance of the original Apos-
 Baбticia тò oujpavain. A sccond part of their commission was to exorcise and to heal; Mc. mentions only exorcism, but of. Mt, (x. I). For this work au-
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thority was necessary ( $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\chi \in l y}$ égovaiav
 oiay $\kappa \tau \lambda$.) ; authority delegated from Christ was to bo the note of their ministry, as authority delegated from the Father had been the note of the Master's (see i. 22, ii. 10). Their mission was identical in its purposes with His, but secondary, and dependent on His gifts.
 thread of $v .14$ is picked up after the
 so He created the Twelve.' $\Delta \omega \delta \delta \kappa a$ now has the article, cf. iv. 10 , vi. 7, \&c.:


 For moteìv of. $v .14$, note.
 For émettival g̈voua cf. 4 Regn, xxiv. 17, and on the practice of imposing characteristic names on scholars, see Schöttgen, ad $l$; Bengel: "domini nota est dare cognomen." The construction thus begun is broken off by the intervention of another train of thouglit. Mc. is (as it seems) about to
 Aqкev ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ о́oua Boayqpyés, when it occurs to him that a list of the twelve will
 Hence he proceeds as if he had written
 regard кai... $i(\mu \omega \nu$ as a parenthesis, but a parenthesis in such a context is almost intolerable. Such added names are common in the N.T., cf. Acts i.


 кov: in Acts a similar formula is used in Simon's case ( $\mathrm{x}, 5,18,32$, xi. 13), but only when that Apostle is mentioned by or to persons outside the Church; elsewhere in the Acts and in the Gospels he is hence-
 latter especially in St John. Mérpos $=\mathrm{K} \eta \phi \bar{s}$ (Jo. i. 42), i.e. Nפָּ - כּ, Job xxx. 6, Jer. iv. 29), Syr. $\leqslant \rightarrow \leqslant$, a rock, or usually a detached piece of rock, a stone (cf. Hort, First Epistle of St Peter, p. 152). "The title appears to mark not so much the natural character of the A postle as the spiritual office to which he was called" (Westcott): cE Victor,
 tukws. The name was actually given at the first call of Simon (Jo. l.c.), but apparently not appropriated till he became at A postle. Mc.'s є̇ $\pi \epsilon \theta \neq \eta \kappa \boldsymbol{\nu}$ leaves the time undetermined, so that Augustine (de cons. Iog) may be right: "hoc recolendo dixit, non quod tum factum sit," Justin appears to refer to this verse, dial. Io6: $\mu \in \tau \omega$ voдакє́vas



 Ėדoingev, For these Apostles see note on i. ig. They follow next after
 cause they shared with him the prerogative of a title imposed by the Lord, or because with him they were afterwards singled out for special







privileges (Mc. v. 37, ix. 2, xiv. 32 ; Acts i. 13, where the titles are not mentioned, has the same order).
 ктл.] Dalman, Gr. pp. 112 n., 158 n., suggested that Boavppre's is a corruption of Bavpooyes (), forms occur in two important cursives (see vv. M1.), and in the Syriac versions, which have the meaningless mit, and the Armenian (Bamereges). More recently (Worte Jesu, p. 39, n. 4) he has proposed to regard cither $o$ or $a$ as an intrusion into the text. Others have justified the prevalent form by such partial ana-
 תijin. The second factor in Boavnpyés is hardly less perplexing. The Syriac root $-\underset{7}{ }$ is never used of thunder, and the ordinary Heb, for
 Jerome (on Dan. i. 7) proposed Benereem or Baneraem (בדּני־רָטָ), but without Greek authority. In Job xxxvii. 2 רִּרן appears to be used for the rumbing of the storm, and this seems to point to the quarter where a solution may be found. The vioi $\beta \rho o u t \bar{\eta} s$ ( $=$ oi Bpovrồres, Euth.) were probably so calied not merely from the impetuosity of their natural character (cf. e.g. Mc. ix. $3^{8}$, Le. ix. 54), but, as Simon was called Peter, from their place in the new order. In the case of James nothing remains to justify the title boyond the fact of his carly martyrfom, probably due to the force of his
denunciations (Acts xii. 2): Joln's
 heard in Gospel, Epistles, and Apocalypse; see esp. Trench, Studies, p. I 44 f., Westcott, St John, p. xxxiii ; and for the patristic explanations of. Suicer s. v. Bpourí. Victor: \&ià rò


18. каa 'Avঠрє́ay kai Фinutтov] As Simon Peter's brother, Andrew follows the first three, although $\pi \rho$ òs tov̀s toeis oik $\hat{\ddagger} \lambda \theta \varepsilon \nu(2$ Regn. xxiii. 23) ; cf. Mc. xiii. 3, Acts i. I3; Mt. and Lc. place him second. He appears again in connexion with Philip in Jo. xii. 22. Both 'Avסó́as and фíhıtrtos are purely Greek names, whilst $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} i \mu \omega \nu$ is $\Sigma_{\nu \mu \epsilon \omega \nu}$ Hellenised (note on i. I6) : the threo men came from the same town, Bethsaida (Jo. i. 44), where Hellenising influences were at work; see note on viii. 22.
 (only in the Aposiolic lists) $=4$ בּר־תַ, Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin pesh }}$ mbodiv, the son of Talmai or Tolomai : cf. Baptevá Mt. xvi. $17=[$ viòs $]$ 'Itávou Jo. xxi. 15 , Baprıнаios=óvios Tı $\mu$ aiou (Mc. х. 46). The
 xiii. 22, Josi. xv. 14, Judg. i. Io, 2 Sam. iii. 3, xiii. 37, I Chrou. iii. 2, and among its Greek equivalents in codd. BA are Өоaл $\mu \mathrm{fi}, ~ Ө a \lambda \mu a i$, , Өод $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon i}$,
 xx. I. 1). Only the patronymic of this Apostle appears in the lists, but he is probably identical with the Natavań of Jo. i. 46 ff., xxi. 2 (see




Westcott $a d l l$ ．）．If so，he was from Cana，and his introduction to the Lord was due to Philip，whom he follows in the lists of Mt．Mc．Le． Tradition（Eus．H．E．v．Io）gave him India as his field of Apostolic work．
 names are associated，in varying or－ $\operatorname{der}$（М．к．Ө．，Mc．Le．；ө．к．M．，Mt．）， by the three Synoptists；in Acts they are separated by Bartholomew． Mt ．adds ó тe入б́vŋs to his own name． Ma $\theta$ Oiéos，Syrr．${ }^{\text {sin cu．pesh．}} \boldsymbol{J}$ d $\boldsymbol{s}$ ，is either like Mat大ias an abbreviated form of מַמִּחָּהו（i Chron．xxy． 21 Mat－ Oías，A）－so Dalman，Gr．p．142，Worte $J .$, p． 40 f．－or connected with $\mathrm{I}, v i r$ ． That Matthew is identical with Levi seems to follow from Mt．ix． 9 ff． compared with the parallels in Mc．， Lc．But some expositors ancient as well as modern have distinguished the two，e．g．Heracleon（ap．Clem．

 perhaps Origen（Cels．i．62）．No dif－ ficulty need be felt as to the double name，of which the Apostolic list has already yiolded examples．Өшんâs＝
 Dalman，p． 112 ，is interpreted by $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ ．
 $\Delta i \delta v \mu o s$, the twin）．According to the Acta Thomae（ef．Eus．H．E．i．I3） his personal name was Judas（èaxed ì＇Izôta＇Iovída $\Theta \omega \mu \hat{a} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ каì $\Delta ı \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\nu} \mu)$ ）． In Jo．xiv． 22 Syr．cu．has＇Judas Thomas＇and Syr．${ }^{\text {sin }}$＇Thomas＇for ＇Ioúdas ovंर ó＇I $\sigma$ карьө＇т $\boldsymbol{s}$ ：sec Light－ foot，Galatians，p． 263 n．If there were three Apostles of the name of Judas，the substitution of a secondary name in the case of one of them was natural enough．

 called no doubt to distinguish him

 70）is perhaps identical with Kh $\omega$－ $\pi \tilde{a}_{s}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{o}}$. xix． 25 ：if he is the $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon$ ómas $=$ Kגєóтатроs of Le．xxiv．18，the latter name must be simply a Greek sub－ stitute for the Aramaic name（cf． Lightfoot，Galatians，p． 267 n．，Dal－ man，p． 142 n ．）．If the identification of＇A入фaios with K $\mathrm{K} \omega \pi$ âs is correct， this James was also known in the Apostulic Church as ó puxpós：his mother was a Mary，and he had a bro－ ther Joses（＝Joseph）；cf．Mc．xv． 40. There is no reason for regarding him as a brother of Levi，or as one of the ＇brothers＇of the Lord（see notes on ii． 14, vi．3）．

Eaס̂סaiov］Aram． Gr．，p． 143 ；Worte J．，p．41）．Both in Mt．and Mc．the Western text
 24），either an attempt to identify this Apostle with Levi（H．），or another rendering of his name（from 2h，cor，
 mamma）．In Le．e：act his name is given as＇Iovióas＇laкळ＇$\beta v v:$ cf．Orig． praef．ad Rom．：＂eundem quem．．． Mareus Thaddaeum dixit，Lucas Iu－ dam Iacobi scripsit．．．quia moris erat binis vel ternis nominibus uti $\mathrm{He}-$ braeos．＂This Judas is apparently referred to in Jo．xiv． 22 as ou＇X ó ＇I $\sigma \kappa a \rho t \omega \tau \eta s$ ．For fuller particulars see Nestle，in Hastings，D．B．iv． p． 74 If ．
$\Sigma^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu a \operatorname{\tau ò} \nu$ Kavapaîo $]$ So Mt．；Le．${ }^{\text {ev．}}$

 is a descriptive name，not a native of

#   
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Cana (Kavaios), nor a Canaanite (Xavayaios, ' ${ }^{\prime} \underline{Y}$
 of. Exod. xx. 5, Deut. iv. 24 NȚָ
 devout Israelites I Esdr. viii. 69, A, 2 Macc. iv. 2; the model of a true $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega r i s$ was Phinehas, 4 Mace. xviii. I2. The later Zealots were a fanatical party originating among the Pharisees (Schürer I. ii. 80 n., 229 f.). This Simon cannot have belonged to the more advanced Zealots who were associated with sedition and outrage (cf. Joseph, ant. xviii. 1, B. J. if. 3. $9, \& c$.), but he may have been before (Gal. i. 14) and even after (Acts $x \times \mathrm{i} .20$ ) his call a scrupulous adherent to the forms of the Law. Yet it is difficult to suppose this of one who belonged to the inner circle of our Lord's disciples, and the analogy of other secondary names in the list lcads us to regard the name as descriptive of personal character only. As the first Simon was 'rocklike,' so the second was characterized by jealousy for what he conceived to be right or true. Possibly he was a man who under other teaching might have dereloped into the fanatic or bigot, but who learnt from the Master to cherish only the ' fire of love.'
19. 'Iov́סay 'Iซкарเш' $\theta$ ] So xiv. Io, Lc. vi. I6; elsewhere $\delta$ 'I $\sigma к а р \iota \omega$ тия (Mt. x. 4, xxvi. 14, Le. xxii. 3 (ó калоú $\mu \in \nu=5$ ), Jo. sii. 4, xiii. 2, 26 , xiv.
 for the form' 'I $\sigma \kappa a \rho t \omega$ 'тиs comp. Joseph.
 There is some dificulty in identifying

Kerioth; in Josh. xv. 25, to which reference is usually made, the word is but part of the name Kerioth-Hearon; in Jer. xlviii. 24, 41 Kerioth (Lxx., Kaptá $\theta$ ) is a town of Moab distinct apparently from Kiriathaim, one or the other of which Tristram (Land of Moab, p. 275) is disposed to identify with Kureiyat, S.E. of Ataroth on the east side of the Dead Sea. In Jo. vi. 71 the name of the town is given as Kapv́wtos by $\mathbf{N}^{*}$ and some good cursives ( $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o}$ Kapv $\dot{\prime}$ тov), and the same reading appears in D at Jo. xii. 4, xiv. 22 ; cf. Lightfoot, Bibl. Essays, p. 143 f . If this Judas came from a town east of the Dead Sea, he was possibly one of the newly arrived disciples (Mc. iii. 8)-a circumstance which would perhaps account for his position at the end of the list. His father Simon ('Iovías Si $\mu \omega \nu_{0 s} \mathrm{Jo} .{ }^{4}$ ) was also of the same town (Jo. vi. 71, 'Iov́Sav ミípeуоs 'Iбкарьш́тои, ※*BCGL). See Zaln, Einl. ii. p. 56 I , and the artt. in Hastings and Encycl. Bibl.




 $\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ ̇ t o ́ v . ~ I n ~ o n e ~ f o r m ~ o r ~ a n-~$ other the terrible indictment is rarely absent where the name of this Apostle is mentioned. For тapaঠıóvat comp. note on i. 14, and on the use of the aor., Blase, Gr. p. ig8. Kaí calls attention to the identity of the traitor with the Apostle, and contrasts the trcachery of Judas with the choice of Christ.

20 Kai ${ }^{\text {é } \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \ell ~ \epsilon i s ~ o i ̂ \kappa o \nu . ~}{ }^{20}$ каi $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \ell ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$
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19b-3o. Question of the Source of the Lord's Power to expel бацо́vıа (Mt. xii. 22-32, Lc. xi. 14 -26; cf. M(t. ix. 32-34, Le. xii. 1o).
 pared with $v .13$ the words imply an interval during which the Lord descends from the mountain and returns to Capernaum (Le. vii. 1). Le. introduces here the discourse द̀mì тónov $\pi \epsilon \delta \iota v o u ̀$ which corresponds on the whole to Mt.'s 'Sermon on the Mount,' and the harmonists from Tatian onwards place it-rightly as it seems-in this prosition. Mc., to whom the Sermon is unknown, passes without notice to his nest fact, and the Eaglish reader's sense of the relation of the sequel to what has gone before is further confused by the verse division. The house entered is probably Simon's (i. 29); for the omission of the article ef. ii. I.
 Apparently in the house and at the house-door ; cf. i. 32 , ii. 2. For mádev see note on ii. I. " $\Omega_{\sigma \tau \epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} . . . \mu \eta \bar{\delta} \epsilon$, Vg. ita ut non possent neque panem manducare, 'so that they could not cven,' \&c.; the reading ซ̈ore $\mu \dot{\mu} . .$. $\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ could only $=$ "ita ut in. p. neque pranem manducarent" (WM., p. 614,
 take food (of any kind) $=$ N, as in Gent iii. 19, xliii. 16, Exod. ii. 20 , \&c. The difficulty must often have arisen during the height of the Lord's popularity; for another in-
stance see Mc. vi. 3r. Bede exclaims, "Quam beata frequentia turbae confluentis, cui tantum stadii ad audicndum verbum Dei."
21. каі̀ àкои́баयтєs oi $\pi a \rho$ ' аи่той ктд.] Cf. Prov. xxix. 39 (xxxi. 2I) of
 oi $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aúrins are Susanna's parents, children, and other relatives (Th.), or her parents and dependents (Lxx.); in I Macc. ix. 44 ( NV , but toís à $\mathrm{D}_{\epsilon} \mathrm{A}$ фốs, A), xi. 73, xii. 27 , xiii. $5^{2}$, xy. 15 , xvi. 16, 2 Macc. xi. 20, the phrase is used in a wider sense of adhcrents, followers, \&c., cf. Joseph.
 $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aivo $\hat{v}$. Thas the Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ 'His brcthren' or the Vg. sui fairly represents its general sense; " his kynnesmen" (Wycliffe), or "kynesfokes" (Geneva) is too definite; the context, however, shews that this is practically what is meant. Clearly of map' aùroû cannot be the Scribes and Pharisees, as D, which substitutes oi $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau$ हis kai oi $\lambda o \iota \pi o i$, and Victor:

 disciples or relatives are intended, and as the former were on the spot, àкои́ชauтєs $\epsilon$ ' $\bar{\eta} \lambda$ Aov could hardly apply to them. We are thus led to think of His family at Nazareth, whose coming is annonnced in e. 3I. The incident of wc. 22--30 fills the interval between their departure and arrival. For крareív in this sense, cf. xii. 12 , xiv. $1,46$.





 see Burton, 847 ; as to the meaning cf. Euth., $\pi a \rho є \phi \rho \dot{\prime} \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$, and the Vg. here, "in furorem versus est." The sume charge was brought against St Paul, Acts xxvi. 24, cf. 2 Cor. v. 13 ,
 in this sense sec Isa. xxviii. 7 , Hos. ix. 7. The family of Jesus were doubtless inspired by a desire for His safety, but their interpretation of His enthusiasm implied want of faith in Him, of. Jo. vii. 5; the Mother perhaps was overpersuaded by the brethren. Tatian strangely places this verse in connexion with the narrative of Mc. ii. 23-28 (Hill, Diatess., p. 71 ; see above, p. 50).
22. каi oi yоандатєis' кт入.] Mt. oi Фарıбаiol, Le. тıvès é $\xi$ avirồ. The Pharisaic Scribes from Jerusalem had been from the first the instigators of the opposition (Le. v. 17; cf. Mc. ii. 6, vii. 1). The present attack arose out of the healing of a possessed man who recovered sight and speech (Mt. Le.); voiccs were heard
 vios $\Delta a v e i \hat{\delta}$; (Mt. xii. 23), and the Jerusalem Scribes were thus tempted to suggest another explanation. For
 30 f., Acts viii. 26.
 bub, which occurs in Syrr. ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ cu. pesh. and in most mss. of the Vulg., but in no Greek ms., comes from 2 Kings i. 2, 6


 $\theta \epsilon a \hat{v}$ 'Eкрш́v. The derivation of $B \epsilon \epsilon \lambda$ ¢çavid is obscure: some connect the second factor of the name with
!ֶy, whence int, a Talmudic word for dung (so Dalman, p. 105 n ), others with Kautzsch, p. 9, Dalman, l.c. Neubaver (Stud. Bibl. i. p. 55) suggests that לובו
 Boip: but the conjecture has not much to recommend it. We have then to choose between ' Lord of dung' and 'Lord of the habitation'; to the latter the apparent play upon

 the former is adopted, 'dang' is used as an opprobrious name for idols (J. Lightfoot on Mt. xii. 24), and the application of the word to the prince of the unclean spirits points to the old belief in the connexion of idols with faupóva: see note on Mc. i. 34. The form Bet $\xi_{\epsilon-}$ Boù, given by $B$ here and by $\kappa B$ in Mt. x. 25 , xii. 24, Le. xi. 15, 18, 19 , is admitted by WH. into the text (Notes, p. 166); but it is difficult to regard it as anything but a phonetic corruption, perhaps a softening of the original word. With $B \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \zeta$. $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\chi \epsilon \iota}$ cf. Jo. vii. 20 , where a similar charge comes from the ö $\chi^{\lambda}$ dos at Jerusalem. Even of the Baptist some had said
 brought against our Lord was perhaps equivalent to that of using magic: see Hastings, iii. p. 211 it.
 and name of the chicf of the unclean spirits : cf. Mt. xii. $28 \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \nu \in \dot{U}-$








 authority is not denied，but limited
 où $\begin{gathered}\text { ev（Jo．xiv．} 30 \text { ）．}\end{gathered}$

23．каі трогкадєба́нєуоs aùroús］ See on iii．13．The remark of the Scribes，if made openly，was not audible to Jesus，but He knew their thoughts（Mt．Le．）：cf．ii．8．He beckoned them to Him，and they came，little suspecting His purpose．
 proverb－like teaching．חapaßo入n＇， which occurs here for the first time， is the usual Lxx ．rendering of cf．Num．xxiii． 7 ff．（ảva入aßєì тара－
 $\Sigma a \lambda \omega \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \rho t \sigma \chi_{i} \lambda i a s$ тарaßoдás），Ps．
 גaís tò arópa $\mu$ ov，cited in Mt．xiii． 35）；the other rendering being rapot－ $\mu i a$ ，which gives its Greek title to the Book perp．The Synoptists use the former in reference to the teach－ ing of Jesus，St John（x．6，xvi．25， 29）the latter．A mapaßo $\lambda_{n}$ is pro－ perly a comparison（Mc．iv．3o），and
 20），an illustration drawn from life or nature．This meaning provails in the Gospels，but the sense suggested by the Hebrew equivalent，a gnomic saying（cf．Prov．i．6），shews itself oc－ casionally，e．g．Le．iv．23；the prosent instance may be regarded as inter－ mediate．A distinction between rap－ ot $\mu i ́ a$ and тараßод $\eta$ appears perhaps

 ，חִידָה וּטְליצּה ，cf．Prov．i．6）．＇Parable＇， comes to us through the＇European＇

O．L．and Vg ．，and appears in Wycliffe： Tindale substituted＇similitude＇（cf． similitudo of the＇African＇O．L．），but the familiar word re－appears in Crau－ mer and A．V．
 does not use $B \epsilon \in \lambda \zeta \epsilon \beta \% u \lambda$ ，but the or－ dinary name for the Chief of the evil spirits；the occasion was too grave for banter．Only Mc．reports this saying，which goes to the heart of the matter．The Scribes＇explana－ tion was morally impossible：the סat－ $\mu o ́ v i a$ could not be expelled through collusion with their Chief．For $\Sigma a-$ tavas ef．note on i．13．Saravà，i．e．
 presentatives and instruments．The identification is instructive as throw－ ing light on the manifoldness of Sa－ tanic agency．For the form of the question cf．Mt．xii．29，34，Lc．vi．42， Jo．vi． 52.

24－25．кай èàv Bafìєta кт入．］The first kai seems to be merely a con－ necting link with $v .23$ ：the two that follow（ov，25，26）coordinate the three cases of the divided king－ dom，the divided house，and the di－ vided Satan（WM，pp．543，547）．For
 substitutes the explanatory ка $\theta^{\prime}$ equ－ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ，returning however to $\bar{\epsilon} \pi^{i}$ just

 larly for ov̉ ôvujə $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon-$ both probably interpretat－ ions：cf．Burton，$\$ \$ 260,262$ ．For the phrase which Mc．uses of．Ps． xvii．（xviii） $39, \mathrm{xxxy}$ ．（xxxvi．） 13 ： the corresponding Heb．is לֹאל If the differeuce between oratinyai and $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} p a t$ is to be pressed in this
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place, it must lie in the fact that the body politic takes up and keeps a position (cf. Lc. xviii. II, 4o, xix. 8) whilst the building stands as an incrt mass; but the use of $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a s$ in the third clause is against this distinction. Jerome: "quomodo concordia parvae res crescunt, ita discordia maximae dilabuntur."
 $\rho i \sigma \theta \eta$ ] This clause might have run on the same lines as the other two
 i.e., as involving a supposition which will probably be fulfilled (Burton, p. 250 , cf. Blass, Gr. p. 214); but the three Synoptists agree in representing the action of Satan as a matter of fact: 'suppose Satan to have actually risen against himself...then he is at this moment in an unstable condition, his end has come.' 'E $\mu \neq \frac{1}{} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \theta$, i.e. Satan in his corporate capacity, as representing the Kingdom of evil; cf. I Cor. i. 12, $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau a t$ ó $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s$.
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ тénos ë́ $\chi \in \iota]$ Cf. Le. xxii. 37. A phrase frequent in class. Gk. (cf.
 $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \dot{0} \nu \tau \omega \nu=\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$. Mt., Lc. add here in almost identical words $\epsilon[$ [ $\delta \epsilon]$


 Another mapaßo入j. Mt, gives it in a form almost exactly the same as this; Lc. resets the picture. The connexion of thought is: 'so far from being in league with Satan, I am his conqueror, for he is too strong an oixaí $\sigma \pi o ́ r \eta s$ to witness with equanimity the spoiling of his goods.' 'O loxvpós possibly hints at the claims of Satan as a usurper of Divine authority (cf. e.f. Mt. iv. 9, 2 Oor. iv. 4), since ioxupós or $\delta$ i $\sigma \chi$. in the ixx. frequently represents h or .ַוּבּוּר. The parable itself is based on Isa. xlis. 24, 25.
 aíroû. Cf. Gen. xxxi. 37 ( $\pi$ ávra $\tau \grave{d}$

 how inclusive the word can be is seen
 For $\delta \iota a \rho \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \ldots \delta \iota a \rho \pi a ́ \sigma \in t$ Mt. has
 were to be even more thorough than could have been anticipated; for $\delta$ sapmá̧ধı cf. Gen. xxxiv. 27. Lc., who describes the Strong One as armed to

 тoîs vioîs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega i \not \pi \omega \nu$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ каi $\alpha i$


  

the teeth ( $\left.\kappa a \theta \omega \pi \lambda \sigma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime}\right)$ ), and keepjng guard, mentions his mavantía and $\sigma \kappa \hat{v} \lambda a$ amoug his goods (tà vimápXovta avirovi): the picture seems to be amplified from Isa. l.c. (Lxx.). In this fuller form of the parable three stages can be distinguished in the vanquislıing of Sutan: (1) a personal victory ( $\delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ Mc., $\nu \kappa \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta$ L.c., cf. Jo. xvi. 33, Apoc. iii. $21,(z)$ the disarming of the defeated oikodzorrótクs, (3) the spoiling ( $\delta \iota a \rho \pi a ́ \sigma \epsilon t$ ) and distribution ( $\delta a \delta i \delta \omega-$ $\sigma \omega v$ ) of his ill-gotten gains ( $\sigma \kappa i \bar{i} \alpha$ ).



 Aivroy. The initial victory was won at the Temptation.

Both Mt. and Lc. add here $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \notin \mu o \hat{\imath}, \kappa \tau \lambda$. ; sec the complementary canon in Mc. ix. 40.
 the first time in Mc. (Mt. ${ }^{30}$ Mc. ${ }^{13}$ Le. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~J}_{0}{ }^{25}$ ); in Jo. a $\alpha, \eta^{\prime} \nu$ is constantly doubled, ef. Num. v. 22 (Heb.), I Esdr. ix. 47 (B), 2 Esdr. xviii. 6 (Heb.). The adv. in Deut. xxvii, 15 ff : the transliteration á àj appears first in 1 Chron. xvi. 36. Ont the different uses of Amen in the 0 . and N. T., sce an article in $I . Q . R$., Oct. I8g6. The Amen of the Gospels is what the writer in J. Q. R. calls "introductory," i.e. it opens a sentence, as in I Kings i. 36, Jer. xi. 5, xxviii. 6 (Heb.); but it is sharply distinguished from the O. T. exx. inasmuch as it affirms what is to follow, not what
has just been said. The form $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ v $\mu \bar{\nu} \nu$ is characteristic of Him who is $\dot{o}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ( $\Lambda$ poc. iii. 14). Here Mt. has merely $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu i v$, but the occasion suits the giarer style. The logical victory is followed by the most solemn of His warnings.
$\pi a ́ v \tau a \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ кт $\lambda$.] Sec ii. 5 ff . There is one exception to the égovaia of the Son of Man in the forgiveness of sins, which He proeeeds to state. Tô̂s vioîs rầ à $\nu$ tpót $\omega \nu=$ Mt. rois
 see Dan. ii. 38 Th. (cf. Lxx.), Eph. iii. 5; Log. 3; cf. Hawkins, IIor. Syn.
 d $\mu$ артia: á $\mu a ́ \rho \tau \eta \mu a$, which is fairly common in the axx., is limited in the N. T. to this context and Paul ${ }^{2}$ (Rom. iii. 25, I Cor. vi. I8); as distinguished from á $\mu a \rho$ tia it is 'an act of $\sin$,' whilst $\dot{d}_{\mu}$ apria is strictly the principle (SH., Romans, p. go); but the distinction is in the case of ápaptia repeatedly overlooked. See note on next verse.
kai ai $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a \iota]$ They had charg. ed Him with blasphemy (ii. 7), and were themselves grievous offenders in this way. But blaspliemies against the Son of Man (Mt., Lic. xii. Io) formed no exception to His mission of forgiveness. "O $\sigma a$ ढ̀̀ $\nu \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ - a constructio ad sensum (=äซas ктл.); cf. Deut. iv. 2, v. 28
 Burton, \$ 304.




 $30 \mu \alpha \tau о$.





 Mc. i. 8, and for $\tau \dot{\grave{o}} \pi \nu \epsilon \bar{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a}$, i. 10 , 12 ; тò $\pi \nu$. т̀̀ ä $\gamma / o \nu$ occurs again in Mc. xiii. I1, Lc. ii. 26 , iii. 22, Jo. xiv. 26 , Acts i. I6, v. 32, \&c., and in the Lxx. Ps. l. (li.) 13, Ism lvii. in ( ip the holiness of the Spirit into prominence (cf. Eph. iv. 30, i Thess. iv. 8, where see Lightfoot), contrasting it with the akadapria of the evil spirits. The charge B $\epsilon є \lambda \zeta \epsilon \beta$ où $\lambda$ er $\chi_{\chi \epsilon}$ was directed in fact against the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ 'In $\quad$ oo (Acts xvi. 7) -not the human spirit of the Son of Man, but the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \mu a$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ (Mt. iii. 16) which perraded and controlled it. For an early extension of this saying cf. Didache II.
 the Source of good with the jimpersonation of evil implies a moral disease for which the Incarnation itself provides no remedy; äфєrıs avails only where the possibility of life remains. Els tod alâva in the LXX. $=$, xxi. 6, xl. 13), or with a negative, 'never more' (2 Regin. xii. io, Prov. vi. 33); in the N. T. it gains a wider meaning in view of the eternal relations which the Gospel reveals. 'O ai con is indeed the present world ( $=0$ ale
 future life being distinguished from
 els rò̀ ai ova in Mc. xi. 14 is used in the narrower sense. In this place however it is interpreted by Mt. as inclusive of both aiตves (ovี่ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 and this interpretation is supported by the context in Mc.
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ ह̈vo $\mu a t o s]$ 'But lies under the consequinces of an act of sin which belongs to the sphere of the world to come': Vg. revs exit aeterni delicti (Wycliffe, "gilti of euerlastynge trespas"). "E $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ os is used in the N. T. with a dative of the person or body to whom one is responsible ( $\tau \hat{\eta}$ रрícє, $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma v v \epsilon \delta i \dot{\varphi} \omega$, Mt. v. 22), and a genitive of the penally (egg. $\begin{aligned} & \text { avátov Mc. xiv. 64, } \\ & \text { gov- }\end{aligned}$ $\lambda$ dias Heb. ii. 15), or of the offence
 $\chi^{o v}$ ), or of that against which the offence is committed (тои $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{z} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }} \mathrm{k}$. тои̂ aïpatos тô̂ kupiov, I Cor. xi. 27). The man is in the grasp of his sin, which will not let him go without a Divine al $\phi \in \sigma \iota s$, and to this sin, since it belongs to the eternal order, the power exercised by the Son of Man on earth does not apply. Aióvos in the N. T. seems never to be limited to the present order, as it often is in the Lxx. (cf. egg. Gen. ix. in, Lev. vi. 18 (ir)), always reaching forward into the life beyond (as in the frequent phrase广市 aicuvos) or running back into a measureless past (Rom. xvi. 25, 2 Tim. i. 9). On the aívov af ${ }^{\text {atp }} \rho \tau \eta \mu a$ see the interesting remarks of Origen, de ort. 27 , in Jo. t. xix. I4, and comp. Heb. vi. 4 ff., I Jo. r. 16, with Bp Westcott's notes. Bengel: "peccata human sunt, zed blasphemia in Spiritum sanctum est peccatum satanicum."
 this suggestion which called forth the








#### Abstract

        34 om кац I $^{\circ}$ B


Lord's utterance on the Eterual Sin. Mc. only; perhaps an editorial note. Jerome: "[Marcus] caussas tantae irae manifestius expressit."

31-35. The Errand of the Brothers and the Motiter of Jesus, and the Teaching based UPON IT (Mt. xii. 46-50, Le. viii. 19-21).
 note on v. 2I. Mt. explicitly connects this incident with the fore-
 mother of Jesus docs not appear again in Mc., bat is mentioned in vi. 3 (o viós rins Mapias) in company with the brothers; see notes on vi. 3 and comp. Acts i. 14 .
" $\xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \omega$ от $\dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ On $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ see WH.,
 They were crowded out, as in the case of the paralytic, ii. 4 ; cf. Le.
 ${ }_{0}{ }^{2} \lambda \frac{1}{2}$. Naturally they were unwilling to disclose their errand (iii. 2I), and therefore contented themselves with asking for an interview. Kajoûvtes: on the reading see Nestle, T. C., p. 263.
32. каi éкá白 The scene is similar to that in c . ii. I ff., but the Scribes seem to have left, and the Lord is surrounded by a
crowd of friends (not $\dot{\delta}$ ä $\chi \lambda o s$ ), amongst whom the Apostles and other $\mu a \theta \eta r a i$ form an inner circle ( $\boldsymbol{e} .34$ ). The message is passed from ono to another till it reaches Jesus.
$i \delta \frac{0}{2} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The addition кà a! à $\delta \in \lambda \phi a i ́ \sigma o v$ is "Western and probably Syrian" (WH., Notes, p. 24). The sisters of Jesus are mentioned in vi. 3 as living at Nazareth ( $\omega \delta \epsilon$ mpòs $\dot{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}$ ). But they would scarcely have taken part in a mission of this nature, and the addition was probably suggested by vi. 3 or by $\dot{d} \delta \in \lambda \phi \dot{7}$ in v. 35.
33. каi àтокрı $\theta$ tis av̉rois $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon t]$ Not to His relatives who are still
 and through His informant to the audience. The interruption affords, as so often, an opportunity for fresh teaching ; it is instruction and not ceusure which is the purpose of the Lord's answer. 'Aтокрiteis is the later-Gk. for à àoк pıvápevos (Blass, Gr., pp. 44, 177) ; so Lxx. and N. T.; àлєкрivato appcars however in Mc. xir. 6I, and a few other passages.
 is a Lxx equivalent for (Gen. xviii. 27, \&c.).



 $\kappa \alpha i \not \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ é $\sigma \tau i \nu$.



 a. (l) syrrin pesh me al
relative renurciation of kinship appears at the outset of the Ministry (Jo. ii. 4) and continues to the cnd (Jo. xix. 26), and a similar attitude is urged upon the disciples (Mc. x. 29). But it is a relative attitude only (Mt. x. 37), and is perfectiy consistent with tender care for kinsmen, as the saying on the Cross shews : cf. I Tim.



 Ambrose: "neque tamen iniuriose refutantur parentes, sed religiosiores copulae mentium docentur csse quam corporum." At the present moment the relatives of Jesus were forfeiting their claim to consideration by opposing His work (Mt. x. 35). Here again His knowledge of the unspoken purposes of men appears; for He conld hardly have been informed of the nature of their errand.
 For $\pi \in \rho \& \beta$. cf, note on iii. 5. Who those round Him were appears from ML., ékreivas rì̀ $\chi \in \hat{p} p a$ aùroû $\dot{\epsilon}_{\pi i}$ тoùs $\mu a \theta \eta$ riàs aitov̂. Stretching forth the hand was another characteristic movement (Mc. i. 4I), which may well have accompanicd the searching and inclusive glance. oi $\mu a \theta_{\eta r a i}$ need not be linited to the Apostles: cf. Le. vi. 17.
îं $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho]$ Of. $\nabla .32$, lồv $\dot{\eta} \mu$. On the difference between $\langle\overline{\delta o v}$ and đibe see WM., p. 319. Both are re-
garded as interjections (en, ecce), and not as verbs.

 ozpayois (perlaps a reminiscence of the Lord's Prayer); Lc. interprets the phrase oi tò $\lambda$ дózoy roù $\theta_{\text {eov }}$ àко́ouves каі̀ тоouytes-the particular fulfilment of the Father's Will in which those who were present were then engaged. The bond which unites the family of God is obedience to the Divine Will. This was the end of the life of the Iucarnate Son (Jo. v. 30 , \&e., Mt. xxvi. $4^{2}$ ), and is the aim of the adopted children (Mt. vi. Io, vii. 21). Tò $\theta$ ө́̀ $\lambda \mu \mu a$ became a recognised term (SH. on Rom. ii. 18); тà $\theta \epsilon \lambda$ भ́mara (B) is an O. T. equivalent (Chase, Lord's Prayer, p. 39 f.).
каì à $\left.\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi^{\prime}\right]$ So Mt. also. See $v .3$ r. The word would have its fitness in the teaching even if the sisters were not among the relatives without; doubtless the ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }_{\chi} \lambda$ os contained women as well as men who were attached followers: cf. Lc. viii. 2, 3, Mc. xv. 40. Our Lord, however, characteristically lays stress on the works which reveal faith and are the truest note of His next of kin.

каi $\mu \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho$ ] Jerome: "isti sunt mater mea qui me quotidie in credentium animis gonerant." But the form of
 àdeגфoेs...каil $\mu \dot{\eta} ग \neq p)$ seems to forbid this mysticism in details. Hilary's interpretation is truer to the text:













"respondit...quicunque voluntati pateriae obsecutus est, eum esse et patrem et sororem et matrem...propinquitatum omnium ius atque nomen iam nou de conditione nascendi sed de ecclesiae communione retinendum." He justly adds: "ceterum non fastidiose de matre sua sensisse existimandus est, cui in passione positus maximae sollicitudinis tribuerit affectum. ${ }^{2}$
IV. i-9. Thaciing by Parabifes. The Parable of the Sower. (Mt. siii. 1-9, Le. viii. 4-8.)

1. каì $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota v \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Пà̀ıv (see on ii. i) looks back to ii. 13 , iii. 7. Mt. places this new teaching by the sea, immediately after the indoor scene of
 $\mathfrak{e} \xi \in \lambda A \omega \nu \quad \delta \quad$ 'I. $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ oixias'); in Le. this order is inverted. For mapà тìp $\theta a ́ \lambda$. see ii. 13.

кaì ovváyєтal] The pres. (Burton, § I4) places the scene before us, the crowds flocking together as the Lord begins to speak. The gathering was even greater than on former occa-
 iii. 7, 8. Mt. and Lc. are less precise

 $\nu \omega \nu$, i.e. the audience came from the other towns as well as from Capernaum.

ш̈ate aùúv кгд.] He was seated at first on the beach (Mt. xiii. I), but when He saw the crowd hurrying down, He took refuge in a boat (cf. iii. 9)-possibly Simon's (Lc. v. 3), but if so, no stress is laid upon the fact, for $\pi \lambda \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{}$ is anarthrous in the best text of Mc. and Mt. "The whole multitude" (all were by this tine
 on the land facing ( $\pi$ ós, WM., p. 504) the sea, the sloping beach (Mc.) forming a theatre from which He could be scen and heard by all. Thphit.


 $\nu \in \dot{u} \omega \nu$ тoùs द̇v $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{n}$.
 a series of parables; ${ }^{\prime} \nu \quad$ тараßодais $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\text { á, i.e. as } 1 \mathrm{D}}$ rightly interprets, $\pi a p a-$
 is less exact, while Le., who limits himself here to the Parable of the Sower, has nothing to mark the commoncement of a new course of teaching
 see iii. 23 note. 'E $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta i \delta_{r}$ aúroû, in the course of His tcaching, $=\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$

3. àkov́є $\tau \epsilon$ A characteristic summons to attend-"ad sediandum populi strepitum" (Bengel) ; cf. Mt. xv. io, xxi. 33, Mc. vii. 14 It finds its







 B $\left.a^{\text {rid }}\right] \kappa a t$ оть D beff отои rell
prototype in the famous ypef of Deut. vi. 4 (Mc. xii. 29): but see also Gen. xxiii. 5, I 3, Jud. v. 3, I Regn. xxii. 7, 12, \&c. Mt., Lc., omit it here ; Lc. omits also the idou which follows and strengthens the cail (cf. iii. 32).
$\dot{\epsilon}(\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho a \iota]$ ' $0 \quad \sigma \pi$. (so also Mt., Lc.), the sower (see on i. 4), i.e. the particular sower contemplated in the parable, the representative of his class (W M., p. I32). $\Sigma_{\text {meípa }}$
 the inf. of purpose which may be used with or without the article (Burton, $\$ \$ 366,397$ ): both uses occur together
 סoùrat.
4. каї '́ 'є́vєто $к \tau \lambda$.] The pleonastic кaì є́ $\gamma \epsilon \in \nu .(c \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{i} .9)$ is abandoned by Mt., Lc. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} p \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \in \iota \nu$, in the process of sowing: the article points back to $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\rho} a$, , whilst the change of tense brings into view the succession of acts which constitutes the sowing. In $\sigma \pi \epsilon i p a s$ the whole is gathered up in a single purpose; it is $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota$, as the sower carries out his purpose, that the things happen which are about to be related. This delicate train of thought is lost in Mt.


 каї ётєрои...каї є̈тєрод. Cf. WM., p. 130. Some part of the seed ( ${ }^{\circ} \mu \bar{\nu}$ ), i.e. some seeds ( $\hat{a} \mu \epsilon \in)$, fell by the side of the road ( $\pi a \rho \sigma_{3}, \mathrm{Mt}$. Mc. Lc.; WM.,
p. 502); not of course that the sower deliberately sowed the pathway, but that he partly missed his aim, as in such rapid work must needs happen; or he had not time to distinguish nicely between the pathway and the rest of the field. CE Victor: ovk
 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$.
$\kappa а і$ 予 $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Lc. каі катє $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \theta_{\eta}$ каi...катє́фаүоу av̀тó. But in the interpretation he adds nothing to correspond to this new feature, which has possibly been suggested by the mention of óoós. The birds would be on the spot immediately and leave little for the passers by to spoil; moreover the point of the illustration is that the seed, if unable to penetrate the soil, will presently be stolen away. For karaфауєiv, comedere, used in reference to the clean sweep which birds make of food, see Gen. xl. 17, 3 Regn. xii. 24, xiv. II (cod. A), xvi. 4, xx. 24 (cod. A).
5. 'And another (portion) fell upon the rocky (part of the field)': $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\circ}$ $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s=\mathrm{Mt}$. т̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \hat{0} \eta$, Le (less
 not occur in the Lxx, or in the N.T. except in this context (Mt., Mc.), but it is used in good Greek (Soph., Plat., Arist.); the word implies not a stonestrewn surface, as the English versions except R. V. suggest, but rock thinly coated with soil and here and there cropping up through the earth-a claracteristic feature in the corulands





#### Abstract

    


of Galilee, still to be noted by the traveller among the hills which slope down to the Lake. Kai $\delta$ tov кrд. Kai if genuine is probably epexcgetic (WM., p. 545 f.); Mt. omits it without detriment to the sense. The $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho \bar{\omega}-$ סes was that part of the ground where the earth was shallow.
 agrees with Mc. almost verbatim; Le. compresses greatly (kal $\phi \cup \epsilon \in \nu)$. ' $\mathbf{E} \xi a-$ vare $\lambda \lambda \omega$ in the Lxx. is trans., see Gen. ii. 9, Ps. cxlvi. (exlvii.) 8, but àvare $\lambda \lambda \omega$ is used transitively of vegetable growth (Gen. iii. 18, cf. Is. lxi. 11). Nearness to the warn surface induced rapid growth, but it also led to the shortening of the young plant's life. Bátos $\gamma \hat{\eta}_{s}$ : Syr. ${ }^{\sin }$. adds 'below its root.' The reading of D , 'because the earth had no depth,' does not suit the context so well; both in oúk $\epsilon_{X_{X} \in \nu}$ (v. 5) and $\delta \iota \dot{a} \tau \dot{c} \mu \dot{\eta} \ddot{\epsilon}_{\chi \in \iota \nu}\left(2^{\circ}, v .6\right)$ itt is the seed which is the subject of the verb.
6. кaì örte àvéteinct ктд.] In Mc.'s simpler style kai merely adds a fresh particular, without regard to the logical connexion. Here there is in
 teidautos). The plant grew rapidly in the warm Eastern night (comp.
 as soon as the sun grew hot it languished and withered. 'Exay is a word of the later Greek (Plutareh, \&c.), not used in the Lxx., but occurring again in Apoc. xvi. 8, 9 :
'it felt the burning heat' (кай $\alpha$ ), was scorched; Latt., aestuacit, exaestuavit. The same illustration occurs in Janes i. 1 I , à détel $\lambda \epsilon \nu$ jàp
 т̀̀ $\chi$ о́ $\rho т о д$. See also Mc. xi. 20, 21, Jo. xr. 6, i Pet. i. 24 (Isa. xl. 7). In this case the withering is due to the very cause which led to rapid growth -the shallowness of the soil which did not permit the plant to develop
 Lc. has the remarkable variant $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ tò

 бетае ơтау ধ̀ $\lambda \theta_{\eta}$ каиิра-a passage which may have suggested the Lucan gloss, if it be such.
 'And another (portion) fell into the thorns.' Mt. é $\pi \bar{i}$ тàs àk., Lc. év $\mu \in ́ \sigma \varphi$
 peated in the interpretation (Mt. xiii. 22, Lc. viii. 14), both agree with Mc. Cf. Lc. x. 36 , той द́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ t o v ̀ s ~$

 Mc.'s word, retained by Mt., is more fully descriptive of the process: the thorns not only grew with the wheat, but grew faster and higher. For àvaßaivetp ( $=$ ה of vegetation, see Gen. xli. 5, Deut. xxix. 23 (22), especially Isa. v. 6, xxxii. 13.
 the interpretation all have $\sigma v \nu \pi \nu i$ yeav; the Latin versions use suffocare with-






 ter lattpler syrf posh vid
out distinction. $\Sigma v y \pi \nu$. suits Mc.'s context best, for he adds кaì kapmì $\nu$ oik édoкєv, which Mt., Lc. omit. The thorns, crowding round the wheat and keeping off light and air, effectively prevented the yielding of fruit, and ultimately (but this is not the point on which Mc. dwells) killed it off. For the distinction between $\dot{\boldsymbol{a}} \pi \sigma \pi \nu$., бvитv., comp. Lc. viii. 33, 42 ; and for the use of $\sigma u \nu \pi \nu$. in reference to plants, Theophrast. plant. vi. 1I. 6,
 $\kappa \in \nu: ~ к а р \pi д \nu \nu$ ф́́pєt, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, usual phrases; but cf. Mt. xiii. 8, and see next note.
 $\left.\kappa a \lambda \not \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'And other (seeds) fell into the good soil' Wycliffe, "in to good lond." Mt. $\epsilon \pi i$ т. $\gamma$. т. ка入̀ $\nu$, Le. єis
 to that which met the eye; dqatn' to the nature and condition of the soil. The repetition of the article ( $\boldsymbol{\tau \eta \nu \nu} \gamma . \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ к., not $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa$ к. $\gamma$.) gives prominence to the adjective: the seeds now in view not merely fell into the ground (in contrast with those which fell cis áxáveas or $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{l}$ rò $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \bar{\omega} \hat{\delta} \epsilon s$ ), but into ground specifically good: cf. Jo, x. II, 14, о тоци $\boldsymbol{\nu}$
 $\ddot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$, a continuous process, con-
 (נָּ tion of the wheat ear, which under the circumstances would be concurrent with the growth of the young wheat (àvaßaìovta кaì avisavómeva). For dua-

Baivecy, now applied to the wheat, see on v. 7 and reff. there; the Vg., following the reading avi $\xi$ avó $\mu \in \nu o \nu$, wrougly interprets it of the ear (fructum ascendentem et crescentem) and so the English versions except R.V. With à'gavi $\mu \in v a$ compare Col. i. 6, Io, and for фє́ $\epsilon \epsilon \nu(\kappa a \rho \pi о ́ v)$ see Jo. xii. 24, xv. 2 ff.
cis tptázovta $\kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \lambda$.] The text here is embarrassing. Of the possible

 haps the best supported, and has been adopted by W H.; but thechange of preposition is meaningless and intolerably harsh, and it has the appearance of being due to a partial assimilation of v. 8 to $v .20$. Eis ( $\epsilon \nu$ ) answers to $\underset{?}{3}$ 'at the rate of,' cf. BDB., p. go; Harcl. represents it by $\rightarrow$. If we read $\in N$ ter, there is something to be said for printing it $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu$ : the triple eis occurs in I Regn. x. 3, and elsewhere, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} y$ will accord here with Mt,'s ò $\mu \epsilon \nu$, ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon} . . . \dot{b} \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$. The Vg. has unum both here and in $v .20$; hence Wyclife, "oon thritty fold," \&c.
 the highest rate of increase named here is not extravagant: cf. Gen.
 and see Wetstein and J. Lightfoot ad l. The fertility of Esdraelon and of the volcanic soil of the Inauran was prodigious, and there were rich cornfields about the Lake which may have justified these figures : cf. G. A. Suith, H. G. pp. 83, 439 ff., 6I2; Merrill, Galilee, p. so ff. дфкои́єьข дккоиє́тш.









 syrr ${ }^{\text {pabh hier }}$ aeth $\delta . \gamma \nu . \tau a \mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota a \operatorname{G\Sigma \Phi } \Phi$ min $^{\text {nona }}$ syr ${ }^{\text {hel }}$ arm
9. ós ढ̈ $_{\chi} \in \ell$ ตiva $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The parable ends as it began with a solemn call to attention; the picture might easily be regarded as a pleasant picture and no more. With one exception (Apoc. xiii. 9) the present formula is found only in contexts ascribed to our Lord (Mt. xi. 15 , xiii. 9 [ $=$ Me. iv. 9], 43, Mc. iv. 23, Lc. xiv. 35, Apoc. ii. $7,11,17,29$, iii $6,13,22$ ). The forms vary slightly; besides that which is given in the text we have




 the inf. after $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi \in c}$ see Blass, Gr., p. 226. For the idea ef. Deut. xxix. 3 (Lxx., 4), Isa. vi. 1o, Ezek. iii. 27. Wetstein (on Mt. xi. i5) quotes from

 Some Gnostic sects saw in these words an encouragement to find in the Parable of the Sower mysteries which the Church did not recognise; cf. Hippol. haer. v. 8, тоvтध́бтו, фךбiv,



 цата.
io-12. Reasons for the Use of Parables (Mt. xiii, io-i5, Le. viii. 9-10).
 bably when the public teaching of the day was over. Karà $\mu$ óvas (frequently used in lxx. for לבִך), Vg. singularis, is relative only: He was apart from the multitude, but the Twelve and
 8.) shared His solitude ; cf. Lc. ix.


 Bodís (WM., p. 284) is expanded by Mt. 〈 $\delta u \dot{a}$ rí èv $\pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \lambda a \lambda e i ̂ s ~ a v j-~$
 $\left.\beta_{o} \lambda_{\eta} ;\right)$ : the iatter narrows the enquiry to the particular parable, but, as the answer shews, it raised the whole question of parabolic teaching.
 variations in the other Synoptists are
 тท́pıa Mt. Le.). Гyติva interprets $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\delta} o t a t$, but like other interpretations of Christ's words, does not exhaust its seuse. The mystery was given to the disciples, and the knowledge of it followed in due time; but the gift was more than knowledge, and even independent of it. Muarýpoos occurs hero only in the Gospels; its later use in








 $a \nu \tau \sigma s]+\tau a \alpha \mu a . p \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau a(\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu) \mathrm{AD}(\Delta) \Pi \Phi\left(60_{4}\right)$ lattrtplvg me (syrrin $\left.{ }^{\text {sin }}{ }^{\text {pesh }}\right)+\tau a \pi a \rho a-$ $\pi \tau \omega \mu a \tau a \Sigma$ min $^{\text {pauc }}$
the N.T. is limited to Paul(21) and Apoc. (4). The tux. employ it in Daniel( ${ }^{(9)}$ (for ${ }^{7}$, a secret of state), Tob. ${ }^{(1)}$, Judith ${ }^{(1)}$, Sap. ${ }^{(4)}$, Sir. ${ }^{(1)}, 2$ Macc. ${ }^{(1)}$, in Daniel ii. 28 ff., 47, Sap. ii ${ }^{22}$ the word passes into the theological sense which it exclusively has in the N.T.; see Hatch, Evsays, p. 58. 'The mystery of the Kingdom of God' is the content of the Gospel (тò $\mu$. тồ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \overline{0}$, Eph. iii. 4, Col.
 $\lambda_{i o v,}$ Eph vi $19, \tau \hat{j} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, I Tim. iii. 9 , गîs eोंधeßeias, ITim. iii. 16), i.e. ChristHimself as revealing the Father, and fulfilling His counsels. As given to the Apostles it was still a secret, not yet to be divulged, nor even except in a small degree intelligible to themselves. On the Pauline sense of $\mu u$ orijpoov see Lightfoot on Col. i. 26. Tà $\mu \nu \sigma r i j o u(M t . L$ Le.) loses sight of the unity of the gift, and belongs to a somewhat later form of the common tradition.
 qui foris sunt"; 'but to those, the men who are outside, i.e. the ${ }^{0}$ ox $\lambda$ os as contrasted with the $\mu a \theta_{\eta}$ rai, ef. xii. 7 , Le. xii. 38. Le. toîs $\dot{\text { dè }}$ 入oumois, Mt.
 not be understood as a repronch; they merely state the fact. Oi $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ are 'non-disciples,' who are as yet outside the pale-a Rabbinical phrase (החחיצוֹנים) for Gentiles or unorthodox

Jews (see J. Lightfoot ad h. l., Bp Lightfoot on Col. iv. 5); ot ékrós is similarly used in Sir. prol. 1. 4: of ${ }^{\prime} \xi(\omega d \epsilon v$, which has some support here, is used by St Paul (I Tim, iii. 7). To such, while they remained outside, the mystery was not committed in our Lord's lifetime; nevertheless, they rcceived what they could. On exoteric teaching among Greek philosophers cf. A. Gellius $N . A$. xx. 4, and for the practical application of the principle by the later Church see Cyril. Hier. catech. vi. 29.
 in parabolis omnia fiunt: ' the whole is transacted in parables,' i.e. the mystery takes the form of a series of illustrative similitudes. Euth : $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ à $\pi$.

12. iva $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ кт入.] An adaptation of Isa. vi. 9 , Io, Lxx., àкo $\hat{\eta}$


 whole passage is quoted by M. with the preface ad a $a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{i} \tau a, ~ a u ̈ r o i s s ~ i n ~ \pi \rho o-~$
 39 f., Acts xxyiii. 25 ff. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Iva, which is not part of the quotation, explains the purpose of the parabolic teaching in regard to those who, after long attendance on Christ's Ministry, were still 'without'; it was intended to fulfil the sentence of judicial blindness pronounced on those who will not see.




$1+\sigma \pi \in \rho \in L$

Bengel: "jam ante non videbant; nunc accedit iudicium divinum." Mt. substitutes ö̃ for iva, 'I speak in parables, because they cannot see-tho sentence is already working itself out in their incapacity to understand.' The result, however, is due to themselves: cf. Thpht. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi о ч \tau \in s \cdot$ тойто той $\theta \epsilon \sigma \hat{v} \cdot \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \sigma \cdot$ тойто тท̂s какіаs aù兀ติ̃. Cf. Iren. iv. 29. I: "unus et idem Deus his quidem qui non credunt...infert caecitatem, quemadmodum sol in his qui propter aliguam infirmitatem oculorum non possunt contemplari lumen eius."

The distinction between $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and iocín corresponds here to that between áкovecд and ovvícu. The Syriac versions and the Vg. (ut videntes videant et non videant) fail to notice this. Kaì à $\phi \in \theta \hat{\eta}$ aùrois (impers.) ispreferred by Mc. to каі láronat aủtov̀s which Mt., Jo. and Acts borrow from the Lxx.; in form at least it is nearer to the original (i) ורָָָא : see Delitzsch ad l.); for à $\phi$. impers. cf. Mt. xii. 3I, 32, Lc. xii. IO, James v. I5. On the reading


13-20. Interpretation of the Parable of the Sower (Mt. xiii. I8--23, Lc. viii. II-I 5).

I3 ff. The disciples' question had implied that they needed to have the parable of the Sower explained to them. To this point the Lord now addresses Ilimself. Mc. alone prefaces the interpretation with a re-buke-oviк oídate ктл. 'Ye know not (or, "Know ye not?"-so all the English versions) what this first parable means: how then will you come to understand the parables which are to follow?' oioa is used in reference to a know-
ledge which comes from intuition or insight, $\gamma^{t}{ }^{\text {vofrow }}$ of that which is gained by experience or acquaintance (see Lightfoot on I Cor. ij. I I). An initial want of spiritual insight boded ill for their prospect of becoming apt interpreters of parabolic teaching. Cf.
 тараßод $\eta \nu$. Kal тйs; 'how then ?' ef. Le. xx. 44, Jo. xii. 34 . Пáбas tàs тараßoдàs, not 'parables in general'
 which you are to hear from Me.'
 That which the sower sows is the word. Lc. more explicitly, ó $\sigma \pi \dot{\rho} \rho o s$ ćvтivo $\lambda$ dó $\mathbf{y}$ os. "The sower' is not interpreted. Theophylact's view (tís oviv
 correct (cf. Mt. xiii. 37), if it bo borne in mind that Christ acts through His Spirit in the Church. For the sense of $\delta$ dópos see note on ii 2. Mt. adds tŷs ßaбuteías, Lc. тoù $\theta_{\epsilon}$ oû; in the phraseology of Mc. it is usually unqualified (ii. 2, iv. 14-20, 33, viii. 32 [xvi. 20]). For the comparison of teaching to sowing see Philo, de agr. 2, ó voîs... $\tau$ às àm $\dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 here is not simply, as in $v, 2$, the sower, whoever he may be, but the sower to whom the parable refers; the same remark applies to $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ó óóv
 (o. 18), $\dot{\eta} v \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu(v .20)$.
15. ovitot $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ кт $\lambda$.] A compressed note which it is difficult to disontangle. Lc. gives the general sense, oí $\delta \dot{e} \pi a \rho \dot{a}$ т̀̀v ódóv єiouv oi ảkov́alates. As the words stand in Mc. we must either







#### Abstract

      


translate "these are they by the wayside where," \&c., leaving the construction incomplete, or "these are they by the wayside, (namely those who are) where," \&c. The analogy of $v$. 16 points rather to the former rendering; the Evangelist has written каi öray for oistay, forgetting that a relative clause ought to follow oitoc. Oímapà rì סõ̃v, sc. $\pi \epsilon \sigma \partial \partial \tau \epsilon s$ or (as in Mt.) $\sigma \pi a-$ $\rho e$ étes: the hearers are identified with the seed, and not, as we might expect, with the soil. Since this identification is common to Mt., Mc., Le., it probably belongs to the essence of Christ's teaching, and represents a "truth both of nature and of grace; the socd sown...becomes the plant and bears the fruit, or fails of bearing it; it is therefore the representative, when sown, of the individuals of whom the discourse is" (Alford, on Mt. xiii. 19).
öтab áкои́бшбtu] On each occasion, as soon as their hearing of the message, or of any part of it, is complete.

 xiii. 38, 1 Jo. ii. $13, \& c$.). Le. єíтa
 on Mc. i. 13. Evédu's retains its proper sense; the birds lose no time, nor does Satan. With this interpretation
 Tò égraphévoy els aùroús leaves the region to which the word had penetrated undetermined; Mt.'s $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$ kapoia (cf. Lc.) represents it as having entered the intellectual life, which is less in accord with this part of the parable. Lc. adds Satan's purpose,
 xvi. I6. The perf. part. є́vтариéyoy (Mt. Mc.) indicates that the sowing was completed, and the seed not yet disturbed when Satan arrived (Burton, § 154 ).
 same principle of interpretation ( $\delta$ $\mu{ }^{\prime}(\omega s)$ those who are sown on the rocky plices are, \&c. oi $\sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota, ~ q u i$ seminantur, the class of persons to whom belongs tò $\sigma \pi \epsilon і \rho \in \sigma \theta a l \notin \pi \grave{\epsilon}$ тà $\pi$. Cf. Burton, \$ 123, and contrast ol $\sigma \pi a p \epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon s$ in $v .20$, where the notion of time comes in. In one sense 'the word is sown,' in another the hearers are the seed; see above on $v .15$.

 of the enthusiastic hearer corresponds to the bursting through the soil of the fresh green blade-a visible response to the sower's work. Lc. substitutes for $\lambda a \mu \beta$. the warmer $\delta \in \chi$ रovra (cf. Acts xi. I, xvii. II, I Thess. i. 6, ii. 13, James i. 21).




 syr $^{\text {pesh }}$ arm
17. ovik $\left.\ddot{\epsilon}_{\text {Kourcv }} \dot{f} t \zeta a \nu\right]$ The seed of the word has not driven its way into the soil. With this use of písa cf. 4 Regn. xix. 30, Job xix. 28, Sap. iii. 15 , iv. 3, Sir. i. 6, 20 , Isa. xl. 24 ; and contrast Deut xxix. i8 (Heb. xii. 15), I Mace. i. 10.
\& $\boldsymbol{y}$ faviois] So Mt.; Le. omits the words. The hearer of the Gospel is atonce plant ( $\left.\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \cos _{\text {oir }} \sigma \pi a \rho \epsilon i s\right)$ and soil; the roots which the seed under normal conditions throws out are within, in his heart, the seat of the personal life. In the case now contemplated the heart is $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \eta s$; there has been a $\pi \omega \rho \omega \sigma$ s within (iii. 5) which stops the development of the roots.
 porales sunt: ' but (so far from being well rooted) they are short-lived'; Lc. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ k a u \rho o ̀ v ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{o} v \sigma \sigma t \nu$. Nearly all the English versions paraphrase тоо́тк. єiouv, e.g. Wyeliffe, "thei ben temporal, that is lasten a lytil tyme"; Tindale, Cranmer, Geneva, A. V. "endure but a time" or "for a time": "for a season" (Heb. xi. 25) has perhaps been avoided as ambiguous in this connexion. Dpórкalpos, though common in the later GK., is rare in the Greek of the Bible, occurring only in 4 Macc. xv. 2, 2 Cur. iv. 18, Heb. l.c., besides the present context.
 as the next step consequent upon the non-development of the roots; cf.
 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \sigma \hat{v})$, crushing sorrow of any kind, or in the particular form of
persecution. eגiw s (on the accentuation see WM., p. 56 n. ), though rarely used in non-Biblical Greek and only in its literal sense, is common both in hxx. and N.I'; in the former it is ustally an equivalent of $\overline{\text { Y }}$ or one of its cognates. It is coupled with $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma-$
 A 7 (xi. 8), Is. viii. 22, Rom. ii. 9, viii. 35), ơoúv (Ps, cxiv. (cxvi.) 3), ảváyкך (Ps. cxviii. (cxix.) 143, Zeph. i. 15 , 2 Cor. vi. 4, i Th. iii. 7), ovetot $\sigma \mu$ ós (Is


 viii. 10), äveबıs ( 2 Th. i. 7). See Lightfoot on 1 Th. iii. 7, 2 Th. i. 7. For $\delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu o ́ s$, another too familiar word in Apostolic times, see x. 30, 2 Macc. xii. 23 , Acts viii. 1 , xiii. 50. The two words correspond here to the fierce heat which withers the rootless plant (0. 6) : cf. Ps. cxx. (cxxi.) 6, Is. xxv. 4, xlix. Io, Jer. xvii. 8. Dıà tòv $\lambda o ́ y o v ~ i s ~$ a new point, which is not represented in the parable: cf, xiii. 13, íà rò ö $о \boldsymbol{\mu}$ а́ $\mu ө v$.
 in Dan. xi. 4I, Lxx. (=hede), Sir. ix. 5, xyiii. 8, xxyv. 15 , Pss. Sol. xvi. 7, and in Aq., Symm., but perhaps not elsewhere except in the N.T. and Church writers; and whereas ocávóadoy is used occasionally in its literal scnse (Judith v. I, Isan viii. 14, Aq., i Pet. ii. 8), the verb seems to be limited to the sphere of ethics. Lc. interprets it here of apostasy (aфiqтavac), but there may be moral stumbling which falls short of that: see Mc. xiv. 27.









 min ${ }^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{f}$ syr ${ }^{\text {peeh }}$ arm me go aeth | $\eta$ a a

 $\min ^{\text {forteomn }}$ latt syr ${ }^{\text {hel }}$ go aeth Or
class consists of those who are sown upon the thorns: cf. $v .16$, oưrot $\begin{gathered}\text { © }\end{gathered}$ clocy of $\kappa т \lambda$. The construction is broken after akovíavtes (Mt. Mc.); we expect, what Lc. gives, кai....vvz тиізотаи.
19. ai $\mu \tilde{\rho} \rho \mu \mu a \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The thorns of the spiritual soil. Ai $\mu$. тov̂ aî̀vos: the cares of the age (usually $\delta$ aicu outros), the present course of eventswider than Le.'s $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \mu \nu a l$ tov̂ $\beta i o v$ (or
 warnings against worldly care see Mt. vi. 25 f. ( $=$ Le. xii. 22 ff.), Le. x . 4 r , xxi. 34 ; Phil. iv. 6 , I Pet. v. 7. With


 in some mss. finds an interesting paral-
 émıvpiat is peculiar to Mc.; Le.'s equivalcnt is $\dot{j} \dot{o v a i}$ tov $\beta i o v$, but Mc. is again more comprehensive; ef. Euth.: $\sigma \nu \mu \tau \epsilon \rho \lambda \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu \quad \beta \lambda a \beta \epsilon-$ $\rho \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { e x }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota \theta_{v \mu i a \nu}$, where however $\beta \lambda a \beta$. narrows the reference unduly if it suggests only such desires as are vicious in themselves (see I Jo. ii. 15 ff with Westcott's notes). On this interpretation of the äкav $\theta a$ see Herm . sim. ix. 20 ; for the phrase al $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. , see WM., p. 240.

 with the $\lambda$ ópos and in greater strength, gathering round it (for $\sigma v y \pi y$. see v.7) and excluding from it the action of the understanding and the affections which are as light and warmth to the spiritual plant.
 (v. 7) : Le. ov $\tau \in \lambda \in \sigma \phi \quad \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$. The fruit does not mature itself, and so the word proves in their case fruitless. For the metaphorical use of äкартos
 mos: Eph. v. 11, Tit. iii. 14, 2 Pet. i. 8.
20. ย̇кêvou...oittues] 'Those who are such as,' \&e. 'Eкєivol contrasts this last class with oivol (or. 15, 16) and
 ...éкєivos. For öctus as distinguished from ös see Lightfoot on Gal. iv. 24 and $2 \mathrm{Th}, \mathrm{i}$. 9 . The timeless $\sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma}^{-}$ mevoc (vo. 16, 18) is now exchanged for orapévees-'those who in the parable were represented as sown,' \&c.: those of this type (1) hear the
 a $\quad$ a $a \vec{\eta}$ ), ( 2 ) accept it, (3) yield fruit. Hapadéfरoutal (Exod. xxiii. I, 3 Mace. vii. I 2 , Acts xvi. 2I, xxii. 18 , Heb.




al ceff gir adfertur b (aeth)
cf. Mt. avvteis (probably in contrast
 $\chi^{\text {ovaı. }}$
каї картофоройєь ктд.] For картофарєiv (Xen., Theophr. \&c.) see Hab. iii. 17 (=חาจํ) , Sap. x. 7, Mc. iv. 28 ; and in the metaphorical sense Rom. vii. 4, 5, Col. i. 6 (middle, see Lightfoot), Io. Lc. adds $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\chi} \pi о \mu о \nu \hat{\eta}$, "the opposite of áфiotauta,$v .13 "$ (Plum-


 equivalent of $\underset{\sim}{\square}$, 'at the rate of'; see note on $v .8$. The employment of this detail in the interpretation by Mt., Mc. is remarkable. Le. omits it, but it clearly asserts a principle which is as true in the kingdom of GoD as in nature. Cf, Victor: тє́тартоу oủ̉
 карлофорєi. The comment of Theophylact serves to throw light upon the estimate of Christian perfection formed by a later age: oí $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ cioc

 үá $\mu \mathrm{c}$. (Cf. Jerome on Mt. xiii.)
2I-25. Parabolic Warnings as to the responsibility ${ }^{\circ}$ of hearing the Word (Le. viii. 16-18; cf. Mt. v. 15, x. 26, vii. 2, xiii. 12, xxv. 29; Lc. xi. 33, xii. 2, vi. 38 , xix. 26).

2I. каì $\epsilon_{\epsilon \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$ occurs with remarkable frequency in this chapter (cv.9, II, 13 ( $\lambda \epsilon \operatorname{\epsilon } \epsilon \iota), 21,24,26,30,35(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota)$ ). Possibly its repetition indicates that the editor had before him here a number of detached sayings of uncertain order, which he has thus strung together without note of time. Several of these sayings are given by Mt. in other contexts (see last note),
or occur in a slightly different form which suggests a double rendering of the same Aramaic words: cf. Lc. viii. 16 with xi. 33 , viii. 17 with xii. 2 , viii. 18 with xix. 26(A. Wright ad l.). These phenomena at first sight throw doubt upon the Marcan sequence in this place, and it is worthy of notice that Tatian passes from $v .20$ to $v .29$; but the inner coherence of the sayings with the preceding context supports Mc, and, unless they were repeated on other occasions, it is probably Mt.'s order which is at fanlt.
 quid venit lucerna? Mウ́rı expects a negative answer, cf. c.g. Pilate's ques-
 eijt; and see on Mc. xif. 19. With $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho_{\chi \epsilon \tau a t}$ the commentators compare
 The reading of D (äлтєтat for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \chi є \tau a t:$ cf. Lc. ä廿as) is a harmonising gloss, unless, as has been ingeniously suggested, we may see in it a retranslation of accèditur (accenditur), Harris, Cod. Bez., p. 8g. 'o $\lambda$ íxvos"a lanterne" (Wycliffe); rather, the lamp (on the article see $v .3$ ), as contrasted with the $\lambda a \mu \pi$ ás or torch: see exx. in Trench, syn. § xlvi, and cf. Lamp, Lantern, in Hastings, D.B. iii. The $\lambda \dot{u}^{\chi}$ vos when at rest is placed on a stand- $\lambda u \chi^{2} v_{i}$-a later form of $\lambda v_{\chi^{-}}$
 the uxx. for the nacle (Exod. xxy. 3I, \&c., esp. xl. 4,
 $\lambda u^{\prime}$ vous). In the present context the $\lambda u \chi^{v o s}$ is the word, the $\lambda u \chi v^{v i a}$ the hearer or body of hearers (cf. Apoc. i. 20) ; in Lc. xi. 34, Apoc. xsi. 23 the metaphor is applied somewhat






#### Abstract

    EFGHMSUV $\Phi\left[\epsilon \lambda \theta_{\eta}\right.$ єis $\left.\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho o \nu\right] \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta$ B (syr ${ }^{p s h}$ ) aeth


differently. When the word has been proclaimed, its purpose is defeated if it be concealed by the hearers; when the lamp comes in, who would put it under the modius or the couch of the triclinium? Móotos (Mt. v. ${ }^{15}$, Lc. xi. 33,-in viii. 16 Lc. has $\sigma \kappa \in \mathcal{I} s)=16$ sextarii, a sixth of a
 a bushel (so all the English versions), is a Lativism common, as the reff. shew, to the three Synoptists; the word had doubtiess been adopted into colloquial Greek. The reading $\dot{v} \pi \dot{o} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda_{u} v i a v$ is rightly called by Holtzmann "ein Beispiel ältesten Textreiderbs" ; cf. WH., Notes, p. 24.

This saying brings before us the commonest furniture of a Galilean home, and the details add to its picturesqueness-í $\lambda u ́ \chi$ vos, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \chi \nu i a$,

 non enim est aliquid, \&c., ef. Mt.
 there is not [anything] hidden (Mt.
 $\mu$ fév) except with a view to its future manifcstation, neither did it become a secret [to remain a secret], but on the contrary ( $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ ) that it might pass into the light of day.' The interpretation of the parable takes the form of a parallelism after the manner of Proverbs and Sirach. While asserting a great principle of the Divine government, our Lord corrects a false impression which might have arisen from the mention
of a $\mu v \sigma r r^{\prime} p t o v(0$. II). If the Gospel was for the moment treated as a secret, this was so only because temporary secrecy was essential to its successful proclamation after the Ascension. Those to whom the secret was now conlided were charged with the responsibility of publishing it then. The $\lambda_{\nu \chi \nu i a}$ must be ready to receive and exhibit the $\lambda v_{X}{ }^{p o s}$ as soon as the appropriate time had come.

K $\rho$ vitós and à áóкрuфos are both O. T. words : cf. esp. Dan. ii. 22, Th.

 дvбти́pta критгá. On áто́крифоs cf. Lightfoot on Col. ii. 3. 'Eà $\nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ ïva $\phi$., 'except for the purpose of being revealed'; for $\begin{gathered}\text { èa } \\ \nu\end{gathered} \mu \dot{\prime}$ without a verb see Blass, Gr. p. 216. 'A $\lambda \lambda$ ' $\ddot{v} a$ answers
 (ag. Blass) there is a perceptible difference of meaning : see the paraphrase attempted above. Similarly ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \sigma \tau v$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\operatorname{y}} \mathrm{v} \in \tau \sigma$, though relating to the same set of facts, present them in different lights; what 'is' now hidden from us 'became" so through the will of GoD working its way through darkness to the perfect light. Thpht.

 Bengel: "id axiona valet de rebus naturae, de sensibus et actionibus hominum malis et bonis in statu naturali et spirituali, de mysteriis divinis."










v. 9. The warning is needed for the Apostles as for the rest.
24. $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ тíáко́vєтє] Lc. $\beta \lambda$. oỉy $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ aкоиєтє. In Mc.'s form of the saying $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ 'teıv is to consider: ' look well what it is that ye hear,' i.e. weigh its meaning; be not as those who $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ov̀ $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \sigma \nu \sigma \iota \nu(M t . x i i .13)$.


 If.
 back (Lc. àvтı $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota)$ in your own measure.' The proverb occurs in several contexts (Mt. vii. 2, Lc. vi. 38) with different applications: here the sense is: 'your attention to the teaching will be the measure of the profit you will receive from it.' Euth.

 The $\mu$ étpov however is not intellectual merely, but spiritual ; its capacity depends on the moral condition of the hearer. Bengel: "est cor cum sua capacitate, cupiditate, studio impertiendi aliis, obsequio." Nor is the return limited by it: кà $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau a \iota$ vaiv (Mi. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \cup \forall \eta \eta_{\sigma} \epsilon a t$ ), i.c. the do oos when received by one who is not an dंкроat $\dot{\eta}_{s} \dot{\epsilon} \pi c \lambda \eta \sigma \mu o \nu \eta \hat{\eta}_{s}$ exceeds lis immediate power of assimilation; he is rich beyond his measure, richor than he knows.
25. ôs $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho$ ё $_{\chi}{ }^{\epsilon L} \kappa \tau \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.] Another proverbial saying, found also in other connexions (Mt, xiii. 12, xxv. 29, Lc.
xix. 26). Here the sense is: 'for the appropriation of any measure of Divine truth implies a capacity for recciving more; and each gift, if assimilated, is the forerunner of another'; Bede: "qui amorem habet verbi dabitur illi etiam sensus intellegendi quod amat." But the converse is also true: "incapacity for receiving troth leads to a loss of truth already in some sense possessed.' The paradoxical form of the original tradition is removed by Lc. who writes $\hat{\text { of }} \delta$ oreí
 characteristic of Christ's sayings (cf. e.g. viii. $35, \mathrm{x} .3 \mathrm{r}$ ), and it is true: the man both 'has' and 'has not': cf. Rom. ii. 20, 2 Tim. iii. 5. With d $\rho \theta \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \tau a i$ à $\pi^{\prime}$ à̀ $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ cf. Mt. xxi. 43, xxy. 28,29 . On the readings ốs $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\chi} \epsilon$, ôs ầ $\nu$


26-29. Parable of the Automatic Action of tie Soil (Mc. only).
26. каl $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{k} \tau \lambda$.] The record of the public teaching seems to begin again here; the unexplained parable belongs to the op $\chi \lambda$ dos, not to the $\mu a$ Ontai (see below $v .33$ f.). The parable which follows is peculiar to Mc., unless we accept the improbable theory of Weiss and Holtzmann that it forms one side of the picture of which the other is preserved in the Parable of the Tares (Mt. xiii. 24 ff ). There are verbal coincidences, e.g. кa $\theta \in \dot{v} \delta \eta$ (cf. Mt., $v .25$ ), $\chi$ ботои...бitov (cf. Mt., vo. 26, 30), $\theta$ epterpis (cf. Mt., v. 30); but both the purpose and the story differ

##   $32 \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \hat{\eta} s-{ }^{32} \kappa \alpha i$ ó $\tau \alpha \nu$







conj., WM., p. 356, Blass, Gr., p. 210). Le. (who bas placed this parable and the parable which follows it in Mt. in quite another context) retains the double question which Mt. has lost; for the form ef. Isa. xl. i8. 'How are we to depict the kingdom of God? in what new light can we place it?' The Lord, as a wise teacher, seems to take His audience into His counsels, and to seek their help (cf. Blass, Gr., p. 166). But the parable is ready, and follows without a break.
 "as a corn of sencucye." Answer to $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\delta} \mu о \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ kr $\lambda$.; two constructions seem to be combined-ws ко́ккоу [ $\left.\theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu\right]$ and ко́ккө [ $\dot{\delta} \mu о \iota \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ]. Kóккos is here a grain or seed, as in к. aítov Jo. xii. 24, I Cor. xv. 37 ; in the cxx. кóккоs is the scarlet dye

 (cf. Mt. xxvii. 28, \&c.), produced from the berry-like grub which fceds on the ilex coccifera. The oipamt is probably sinapis nigra, which, though biat a herb (Aáxavo Mt. xiii. 32), grows to a great height in the warm valley of the Jordan, forming branches and assuming the appearance of a small tree (Lc. xiii 19, द́yéveto eis 8ivd $\rho o \nu$ ). The point of the parable lics in the contrast between the relatively small seed and the size to which the plant attains ; cf. Mt. xvii. $20=$ Le. xvii. 6. The disproportion seems to have been proverbial. Pa -
tristic writers refer also to the properties of the mustard seed e.g. Hilary (in Mt.) : "grano sinapis seipsum Dominus comparavit acri maxime ...acrius virtus et potestas tribulationibus et pressuris accenditur." But this, if designed, is quite in the background of the thought.
 particularise: the mustard is sown not in the open plain like the wheat,
 [xxi.] 2); it is a garden herb. Mıкрó$\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ : the construction is again involved: we expect ث̀ (se. $\sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu a) \mu \iota \kappa \rho$. $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{o} \nu \ldots \gamma \hat{\eta} s$,

 reads like a rough note translated without any attempt to remove grammatical difficultics. On the use of the comp. when the supertative seems to be required see WM., p. 303. The seed is relatively the least of sceds, i.e. in proportion to the plant. For one of several possible applications cf. Jerome in Mt. xiii. : "praedicatio evangelii minima est omnibus disciplinis. ..bominem Deum, Deum mortuum, scandalum crucis praedicans. Confer huiuscemodi doctrinam dogmatibus jhilosophorum...sed illa cum ereverit, nihil mordax, nihil vividum, nilil vitale demonstrat."
32. кai örav $\sigma \pi a \rho \hat{\eta}$ takes up the thread of ós व̈tav $\sigma \pi$., broken by the intruded participial clause. For dvaBaivel, ascendit, see above, v. 7. MLt and Le.exaggerate the growth (yivetau


 катабкпиої．







 $\min ^{\text {fore om n }} \mid a \pi \epsilon \lambda v \in \nu \theta^{b}$
 adheres to the fact：it becomes the
 $\chi^{\text {avo v，as Theophrastus calls such }}$ towering succulent plants（hist．plant． i．3，4）．For $\lambda$ da $_{\chi}$ vo see Gen．ix．3， Prov．xv．17，Le．xi．42，Rom．xiv．2； for moteî̀ cגádous cf．Ezech．xvii． 8 тoú тоєєír $\beta$ 人aatovis．
kail $\pi 0 t f i ̂ k \tau \lambda$ ．refers to Dan．iv． 9 （12），Th．，év toils кגádous aủrov кatẹ́－
 тà $\pi \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota a ̀$ ）тồ oưpavov̂ $k \tau \lambda$. ：cf．Ps． chi．（civ．）I2，Ezech．xvii．23．Kara－ aкpuoiv：see WH．，Notes，p．173； WSchm，p． 116 n．，Bless，Gr．p． 48.

The parable supplied the followers of the Gnostic Marcus with materials for one of their mystic formulas：


 $\sigma \iota \nu a ́ \pi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ais $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ar $\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ．

The three parables of the Sower， the Growth，and the Seed，direct attention successively to the soil，the hidden life working in the seed，and the seed itself in its relation to the final results of the sowing．Any in－ pression of failure derived from the first parable is corrected by the second and the third．While the first two regard the Kingdom of

Heaven in its operations upon the individual，the third represents it as an imperial power，destined to over－ shadow the world．

33－34．General Lat of Para－ bolo Teaching（Mt．xiii．34）．

33 f．тotaúrats tapaßo入aís mo入入ais］ The parables just given are to be regarded as specimens，a few ont of
 ．．．év mapnßo入aís most not be taken as limiting the parables to the seven which lie relates．＇E $\lambda$ in mci aúroís tod dor ${ }^{\prime}$ ow：the subject of the teaching was the same as at the outset（ii．2）－ the word of the Kingdom－though
 àкのย́єıy：comp．Jo．xvi．12，I Cor．iii．2， Heb．v． 12 f．，xii．co．X Wo pis $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \rho a-$ Bo入解 ктл．，＇hut apart from a parable，＇ except in a parabolic form，He did not speak to them（sc．rots of $\chi^{\prime}$ dots， Mt．），ie．at this stage of His ministry； with the form of the sentence comp． Jo．i．3，Philem．14，IIeb．ix． 18. Mt．finds in this a fulfilment of Ps． lxvii． 2 f．
 silf，＂by themselves．Kat＇isiav（for the form ka $\theta^{\times}$iliad see WH．，Notes， p．145）＝катà $\mu$ novas．$v$ ． 10 －when the crowd had dispersed and He was left with His immediate followers．Tais







idioos $\mu a \theta$., possibly suggested by кat'
 but emphasising the relation. 'Ent$\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon i v$ is used of interpreting dreams (Gen. xl. 8, xli. 8, 12, Aq. $=\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i v \epsilon \iota$, $\dot{a} \pi a \gamma \gamma^{\prime}(\lambda \in \epsilon t$, Lxx. $)$, and of deciding a question (Acts xix. 39); $\bar{\epsilon} \pi$ invors in 2 Pet. i. $20=$ the exposition of Scripture. Mc. has given us our Lord's éridvors of one of the parables ( v. 14 fi) : : exposition now regularly fol-
 ing. Cf. Orig. c. Cels. iii. 46 , $\neq \pi \in \lambda \nu \in \nu$


35-41. Stilling of the Wind and Sea (Mt. viii. 23-27, Le. viii. 22-25).
 sequel with iv. I ff., and therefore with iii. 20 ff. Lc. seems to have lost this note of time, but preserves the general
 Mt. transfers this miracle and the next into another context.
ówias $\gamma \in \nu \quad \mu \dot{e} \varphi \eta s$ s] Late in the afternoon, but probably before sunset; for the crowd had not yet left the shore; see however i. 32, Jo. vi. 16, 17. The immediate purpose of the crossing was pertaps to disperse the crowd before nightfall. $\Delta_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, 'let us go through'; so Lc., Mt. uses ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$. $\Delta u a \pi \epsilon \rho \overline{̣ ̆} \nu$ is the usual word (v. 2I, vi. 53), $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \rho_{\chi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ being more appropriate to travelling by land (Lc. ii. 15, xvii. 21, Jo. iv. 4, Acts viii. 4, \&c.), or, if used of the water, meaning to wade (Ps. lxy.
(lxvi.) Iz) rather than to cross.

 the two striking incidents which Mt. connects with this departure (viii. 18-22). The Lord was already on board (Mc. iv. I)-a point which Mt.
 overlook,--and He now put to sea (Lc. dàix $\theta_{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ ) without going ashore to make preparations ( $\omega$ is $\bar{j}, \mathrm{Vg}$. ita


 Fritzsche cites Lucian, As. 24, $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \phi \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ каи
 Acts xv. 39 : in the Gospels the word is commonly used of the Lord 'taking' the Twelve, e.g. ix. 2, x. 32, xiv. 33, cf. Jo. xiv. 3; but here the disciples, as owners and navigators of the boat, 'take' Him with them. Mc. alone adds that other boats started with them, either as an escort, or through oagerness to follow the Rabbi; these were probably scattered by the storm, or soon turned back again. One boat seems to have sufficed for the Twelve and the Lord, see vi. 32,45 ; otherwise we might suppose the $\tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda o i a$ to be those of other disciples.
37. үiveтat $\lambda a i ̂ \lambda a \psi ~ \mu e \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta ~ к г \lambda] ~$. Mt. speaks only of the $\sigma \in u \sigma^{\mu} \dot{o} s \mu^{\prime} \dot{\gamma}$ as on the water which resulted. Lc. on the other hand adds to the picture, possibly from his knowledgo of the
 The cyclonic wind which arose swept down upon the lake from the hills through the ravines on the $W$. shore:












cf. G. A. Smith, $H$. G. p. 44I f. For גail $\alpha \downarrow$ see Ps. liv. (lv.) 9, Aq. ( = Lxx. кататүis), Job xxi. 18, Sir. xlviii. 9 ( (פֻע), 2 Pet. ii. 17.
 waves came crowding up into the boat.' For various uses of $\epsilon \pi!\beta a \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \epsilon \nu$ intrans. of. Tob. vi. II, Judith xi. 12, I Macc. iv. 2, 2 Macc. iii. 3, Mc. xiv. 72 , Lc. xy. 12: of classical exx. Plat. Phaedr. 248 a comes fairly near to the sense of the present con-
 $\lambda a s$ kai $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda$ ovara. If we follow these analogies $\epsilon i s$ is not 'against,' but 'so as to enter'; the point is not the violence of the waves, but the filling of the boat.
$\left.\tilde{\Xi}^{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \quad \gamma \epsilon \mu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota\right]$ Mt. $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \ldots$
 ing kaì ধ́xcyóvvєvav (Jon. i. 4). For $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ cf. Le. xiv. 23, Apoc. xv. 8.
38. каì aùròs... $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \notin \phi$ ádatov] $\mathrm{Pe}-$ culiar to Mark ; the other Synoptists
 Le. á $\phi$ út $\nu \omega \sigma \epsilon y$ ). Comp. Jon. i. 5, 'I $\omega v a$ as
 exiéevofy. Our Lord's work for the day was done; the navigation belonged to others, and He took the opportunity of repose. He was in the stern (Acts xxvii, 29, 41), where He would not interfere with the working of the ship, on the head-rest- $\pi \rho o \sigma к є \phi a ́ \lambda a t o \nu$,
properly a pillow ( $\pi \rho \grave{s} \boldsymbol{\kappa \epsilon} \phi \mathrm{a} \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, Gen. xxviii. in, i Regn. xxvi. if ff., i Esdr. iii. 8, Ezech. xiii. 18, 20), here possibly a rower's cushion (see Smith, Shipwreck, p. 126 ff .) ; the art. indicates that there was but one on board, or in that part of the boat. According to the later Greek interpreters, it was merely a wooden head-rest (Thpht.
 a stage or platform ; cf. Macgregor, Rob Roy on the Jordan ${ }^{4}$, p. 321. See however Hesychius ad v.: rò

 our Lord in this context ouly; but it is probably implied in i. 35 , and in passages which describe His vigils as if they were exceptional. The fact that He slept is rightly regarded by Lco M. (ad Flav.) as fatal to a Eutychian view of His Person: "dormire evidenter humanum est." Yet, as Ambrose says (in Lc.), "exprimitur securitas potestatis quod...solus intrepidus quiescebat." On aúrós see WM., p. 187.
 -all probably $=$ Rabbi, cf. Mt. xvii. 4 with Mc. ix. 5 , Lc. ix. 33, and Jo. i. 39. The tonch of natural resentment at His seeming neglect which is seen
 and Lc. For the phrase see Tob, x. 5 , Lc. x .40 .






#### Abstract

  al min ${ }^{\text {fereornn }} \operatorname{syrr}$ arm go (om NBDL $\Delta 2^{\mathrm{pe}}$ latt me aeth) $\mid$ ova $\omega$ NBDL $\Delta$ minpauc lattertvg arm me aeth] $\pi \omega$ ouk $\operatorname{AC\Pi \Sigma \Phi }$ al $33^{\text {alpl }} \mathrm{f}$ syrr go


 need to repeat their cry; it had the effect of fully arousing Finin. From Wycliffe onwards the English versions follow the Vg. exsurgens, " He rose up," or "He arose"; R.V. rightly, "He awoke." The rebuking of the wind and sea prosents a striking analogy to that of the unclean spirit in i. 25 . The Sea is personified (cf. Ps. cv. (cvi.) 9), or perhaps regarded as the instrument of adverse powers; but comp. xi. 14, 23 , for exx. of dramatic cominands to inanimate objects. Mc. alone gives the words of the rebuke: $\pi \epsilon \phi i \mu \omega \sigma \circ$ (Wycliffe, "wexe doumb"), be still and continue so (WM., p. 395 f.), stronger than $\phi \iota \mu \omega^{\prime} \theta \eta \tau \iota$ (i. 25).
 of water in repose after a storm or a flood, Gen. viii. 1 ff., Jon. i. 11 , 12 ; of firc, Num. xi. 2 ; of wind again in Mc. vi. 5 . The wind, as if weary of a fruitless struggle, "sank to rest," and the result was ('є́єчєтo) a "great calm": the little lake rapidly settled down again into its normal state of repose. Гa入 ${ }^{2} \nu \eta$ in Biblical Greek occurs only in this context and in Ps. cvi. (cvii.) 29, Symm.

4o. ti ס́ciAoí $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$;] Mt. with less probability makes the rebuke precede the stilling of the storm. In classical Greek $\delta \epsilon c \lambda i a$ is the extreme opposite
 (see Trench, sym. §x.). The סer̀ós is the man who lacks physical or moral courage and therefore fails to do his duty in danger : Arist rhet. i. 9 , àv-
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ riod. Jewish ethical writers connect סeidia with an evil conscience (Sap. iv. 20 , xvii. 11). In the N. T. a new element enters into the conception; סenia is comected with ònıyomıatia (Mt. here) and iлльтia ( $\Lambda$ рос. xxi. 8); it is excluded by miotis. Thus it becomes a sin of the first rank, for which the $\delta \in u ́ \tau \in \rho u s$ Oávatos is reserved. Hence tle warning now, and again before the end (Jo. xiv. 27). The $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \quad \delta \epsilon i \lambda i a s$ is not of God ( 2 Tim i. 7); it is the opposite of the $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$ סvvápews which was in Christ, and comes of faith.
ov̈rn $\left.{ }^{*} \chi \chi \epsilon \tau \in \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu ;\right]$ Not yet, after months of discipleship. Comp. viii. 17, Jo. xiv. 9, Heb. vi. 12. Faith in its fulness. (Mt. viii. 26) was still wanting to them ; or as Lc. puts the matter, if they had faith, it was not ready at hand for use in time of need ( $\pi \circ \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau t s \dot{\nu}^{\dot{\mu}} \omega \tilde{\nu} \nu$;). This is the first of a series of censures on the Apostles for their lack of faith or understanding ; seo vii. 18 , viii. 17, 21, 33, ix. I9, [xvi. 14], Mt. xiv. 3 I, xvi. 8 , xvii. 20.
 awe of the Presence of Christ generically different from the fear which sprang from want of faith in Him -indeed its direct opposite. This miracle came home to the Apostles above any that they had witnessed. It touched them personally: they had been delivered by it from imminent peril. It appealed to them as men
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used to the navigation of the Lake. Thus it threw a now and aweful light on the Person with Whom they daily
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{2}$ (conn. ace., WM., p. 281) comp. Jon. i. io, I Pet. iii. 6, I4 (Iss. viii. 12).
 they said nothing, their awe kept them silent (cf. Jo. xxi. I2). But as they worked the ship while He perhops was resting again, the question went round dis äpa oi tós évтw (Mc.
 live; 'in view of what we have just witnessed, what can we say of Him?' Cf. Mt. xviii. 1 , xix. 25 ; Le. i. 66 , and see WM., p. 556. Wycliffe, "who, gessist thou, is this?" Tis...öt , cf. Bless, Gr. p. 293 n.
 only the demons (i. 27), but, what to these sea-going mich was a greater marvel, the wind and the sea. For a promise of the further extension of this power of Christ over the creation see I Cor. xT. 25 f., Heb. ii. 5 ff.

An exquisite homiletical treatment of the story may be found in Aug. serm. 63: "audisti convicinm, ventus est; iratus es, fluctus est...periclitatur navies, periclitatur cor tuum...oblitus es Christum; excita ergo Christum, recordare Christum, evigilet in te Christus, considera illum...imperavit Christus mari, facta est tranquiliitas.
quod autem dixi ad iracundiam, hoc tenete regulariter in omnibus tenttionibus ventris."
V. i-li3. Casting out of tie Legion (Mt. viii. 28-32, Le. viii. 26-33).
I. pi $\lambda$ Gov cis $k \tau \lambda$.] Lc. recasts the whole sentence: катє́ $\pi \lambda \epsilon u \sigma a \nu$ ais тìv
 Taneincias. They reached the land of the Gerasenes right over against the Galilean shore. For to tépay see iv. 35 .
「å̃apquây is the best attested reading. The 'Western' text substitutes $\Gamma_{\epsilon} \rho a$ $\sigma \eta \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ for $\mathrm{Fa} \delta$. in Mt., the 'Syrian' on the other hand changes $\Gamma \notin \rho a \sigma \eta \nu \omega \nu$ into Ca. in Me and Le.; whilst the 'Alexandrian' text reads $\Gamma \in \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in all three: see WH., Notes, p. 1 i. Origen (in Loan., t. vi. Ar) supports Yep, on purely internal grounds:






 Jerome, who like Origen knew Palestine, bears witness to the existence of a Gergesa on the E. shore of the lake (do situ, p. I 30 : "et hodieque super montem viculus demonstrator iuxta







stagnum Tiberiadis"). Almost directly opposite to Mejdel on the Ghuweir are the ruins now known as Kersa (Wilson, Recovery of Jerusalem, p. 369) or Kursi ; the nature of the place answers fairly well to the description in $v v$, if ff . where see note; comp. Thomson, Land and the Book, pp. 374 f. But the Arabic name, which means a 'stool,' may be merely descriptive (Schumacher, Joulan, p. 179); and there secm to be philological difficultiesin the way of an identification of Kursi with either Gerasa or Gergesa. The Decapolitan city Gerasa, Jerash.(Joseph. B.J.i.4. 8, iit. 3), was thirty miles to the S.E., and, as Origen saw, impossible(see however Burkitt in J.B.L. xxvii. ii. (1908)). On the other hand the neighbourhood of the lakeside Gerasa might perhaps be loosely described as Gadarene territory; Gadara, Um Keis (Joseph. B.J. iv. 7), was but 6 miles S.L. of the southern extremity of the Lake, and Josephus (vil. 9, ro) mentions Ta



 $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$ ) when the incidentoccurred. ' $Y_{\pi a \nu}$ rạ̀y is common to Mt., Mc., Lc.; for $\epsilon \kappa$
 but apparently in the sense of 'belonging to the town,' for he agrees with Mt. that the man had his residence in the tombs. "There do not appear to be any rock-hewn tombs near Kersa; but the demoniac may possibly have lived in one of thoso tombs built above ground" which were " much more common in Galilee
than has been supposed" (Wilson, l.c.). Munutioi is used of both, see Mt. $x \times v i i .60$ Lc. xi. 47.
 in the sphere of, under the influence of: see note on i. 23. Mt. סvo $\delta$ aumovi-乌óneyot, ef, súo тифлoí, Mt. xx. 30, where Mc. and Ic. mention one only. As Victor remarks, тov̂to ov่ ôtaфovíav $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi$ aive, since the mention of one demoniac does not exclude the presence of a second, umless it is expressly stated that he was alone: still it indicates cither a distinct or a blurred tradition. Me.'s description is too minute in other respects to permit us to suppose that it is defective here.
 Vg. domicilium habebat in monumentis. On the practice of haunting sepulchral chambers sce Ps. lxvii. (Ixviii.) 7, Lxx. Tov̀s katotкoûvtas є่v táфots, Isa. lxv. 4 èv тoîs $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . .$.
 in the N.T.; in the Lxx. it is fairly distributed ( $=\mathbf{Z} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ the non-olassical катоккєбía. Mиๆ̂رд and $\mu \nu \eta \mu e i o v$ are used with nearly equal frequency in the uxx.; in the N.T. $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ is relatively rare (Mc. ${ }^{1}$ Lc. ${ }^{\text {eq. } 3 \text {, act. } 2,}$ Apoc. ${ }^{1}$, against about 40 exx. of $\mu \nu \eta-$ $\mu \in \tilde{i o \nu})$.
 even (ovió ) fetters availed any longer (ovंќ́ть); the malady had grown upon him to such an extent that coercive measures were now fruitless. $\Delta \dot{\text { à }}$ тò aviriv... $\sigma v \nu_{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau$ íd $\theta a t$ : reason for the statement just made: 'since the experiment had often been made and proved futile.' $\Delta i a ̀$ with the inf, here














"expresses the evidence rather than the cause" (Burton, § 4o8). Пédais kai à̀úvect, Vg. compedibus et catenis, with fetters and manacles; Wycliffe, "in stockis and cheynes"; cf. Ps. civ. (cv.) 18, 3 Macc. iv. 9, Acts xii. 7, and Lightfoot, Philippians, p. 8: Horace, ep. i. 16, 76 "in manicis et compedibus saevo te sub custode teuebo." The perfects $\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$, $\delta \iota-$ $\sigma \pi a ́ \sigma \theta a L, \sigma v v \tau \epsilon \tau p i \phi \theta a \downarrow$ refer to actions "whose result was existing not at the time of speaking, but at an earlier time" (Burton, § Io8). It is as if the writer's imagination had caught the words of the neighbours as they told the tale of their repeated failures (ou סvvá $\mu \epsilon \theta_{a}$ aùtòv $\delta \bar{\eta} \sigma a t$, тоддákıs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ $\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \tau a \varepsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.), and he had cmbodied them without a change of tense. The scene reminds the reader of Samson,
 $\sigma \pi a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ тàs vєupéas ( $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \rho p \eta \xi \in \nu, A ; c f$. Lc., $v .29, \delta \iota a \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu a ́) . \quad \Delta \iota a-$ $\sigma \pi \hat{a} \sigma \theta a c$ is more than 'to be torn apart,' rather 'torn to shreds': cf. Jud. xvi. 9, Jer. x. 20, Acts xxiii. Io; avit $i^{\prime} \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a=$ is 'to be crushed' or 'broken into pieces,' like glass or pottery or a bone; cf. Mc. xiv. 3, Jo. xix. 36 , A poc. ii. 27.
 In its logical connexion the clause
belongs to the evidence introduced by $\delta i a$, so that we should expect $k a i$ $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu a$ i $\sigma \chi \nu \dot{\chi} \epsilon \nu$. Mc. however reverts to the ind. imperf. of $v$. 3. On $i \sigma-$ $\chi^{v}$ viy $=\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta a t$ see Field, Notes, p. 26 f. $\Delta a \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \omega$ is used properly of wild animals: see however James iii. 7, 8, with Mayor's note. Even iron ó $\delta \pi \mu a ́-$ § $\omega \nu$ пávta (Dan. ii. 40, Lxx.) failed in the present case.
 vals during the night and the day (see note on iv. 27); yet without any long intermission-practically $\delta$ tà ravrós, cf. Deut. xxxiii. 1o, Lc. xxiv. 53, Heb. ix. 6 .

द्ध rois öpeciv] At times he left the shelter of the tombs for the open downs, and his cry was heard among the hills.
 кра̧́cu used of demoniacs or the possessing spirits see i. 26 , iii. 1 i, ix. 26. St Paul transfers it to the domain of the Spirit of God, Rom. viii. 15, Gal. iv. 6. The word suggests strong emotion, which may be either good or evil. For катако́ттєу, Vg. concidere, to cut to pieces (here only in N.T.) cf. 2 Chron xxxiv. 7 (k. $\lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau a ́), ~ J e r . ~ x x i . ~}^{\text {a }}$
 may in this way have been gashed and scarred all over, for (Lc.) $\chi \rho о$ о́о





  

 （Notes，p．27）defends the Wycliffite rendering＂betynge hymsilf，＂quoting Chrysostom for this use of катакат－ tel y；but $\lambda i$ tots seems to determine its meaning in this context；cf． Syrr．${ }^{\text {sin．}}$ mesh Mt．adds that the man was a source of danger to passers by， so that people avoided that way（ie． apparently the way from the shore over the hills）．At times a paroxysm seized him（Le．борпрта́кєє aṽ兀óv，
 he was at his worst．Nevertheless the man did not attempt suicide； ＂servatus est homo ne，ut pori，in mare se praecipitaret＂（Bengel）．
 （WM．，p． 753 f．）occurs again viii．3， xi．I3，xiv．54，xv．40，＂ein dem Mirk． besonders belicbter Pleonasmus＂ （Meyer－Weiss）；it occurs also Mt．2， Le．${ }^{2}$ ，Apoc．${ }^{3}$ ，and is fairly common in the Lxx．；cf． 4 Regn．xix．25，A； 2 Esd． iii．I3，xxii．43，Ps．xxxvii．（xxxviii） IV（ ${ }^{\text {caa }}$ ART），xxxvii．（cxxxviii） 6 ， cxaxviii．（cxxxix．） 2 ：Aq，has elis ad $\pi \mathrm{o}$ $\mu$ ．， 4 Regin．xix．25．Maкро́ $\theta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ itself is a late Greek equivalent for roo po－ $\theta_{\epsilon \nu}$（BIas，Gr．p．59）．＂E $\delta \boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu-a t$ first perhaps with hostile intentions． The onrush of the naked yelling maniac must have tried the newly recovered confidence of the Twelve． We can imagine their surprise when， on approaching，he threw himself on his knees；comp．iii．in，गà $\pi v \in \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau a . .$. тробध́титтои．Проткинєiv is rarely used in the Gospels in reference to these acts of prostration exc in Mt．
（only here and Mc．xp．iq，Lc．xxiv． 52，Jo．ix．38）．

7．каі̀ крá gas］Luce．ảvaкpáǵas（cf． Mc．i．23）．The words of the cry begin as in Mc．lc．（where sse note） by repudiating fellowship and inter－
 тô̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ cf．ó ar afros roo $\theta_{\epsilon} \hat{v}$ in the earlier incident．Toû iqriorov，not in Mt．，but probably original；$\delta \dot{v} \psi \iota \sigma-$ cos or（as a proper name）＂Y Y kotos
 from Gen．xiv．18， 19 onwards：in the N．T．it occurs only in passages with an O．T．ring，Lc．i．32，35，76； vi． 35 ，viii． 28 ，Heb．vii．I（where see Westcott＇s note），or in sayings at－ tribnted to the possessed（here，and in Acts xvi．17）．This name，which Israel used in common with other monotheists and even pagans，seems to have been displaced in Christian Gentile circles by words which gave a fuller view of Gov as revealed in Christ－Kípıos，$\theta$ єós，ie татíp．
$\mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon$ 及acaviongs］Mt．䂆 $\lambda \theta \epsilon s \omega^{2} \delta \epsilon$
 markable variation which has the air of originality．The unclean spirits re－ cognise that $\beta a \sigma a v t \sigma \mu o s$ awaits them； it is only a question of time；cf．Act．
 évєбтஸ̂tos．．．and on кaıós see Mc．i． 15 note．The ill－sounding words $\beta$ ai－ avos ßacavi̧ $\omega$ ßacavtoroós meet the reader constantly in the Books of the Maccabees in descriptions of physical torture ；in Wisdom they are used in reference to the plagues of Egypt （Sap．xi．9，xii．23，\＆c．）．The N．T．







 го $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ※


traniers them to the spiritual consequences of sin: cf. Mt. xviij. 34, Lc. xvi. 23 , Apoc. xx. to. Mc. alone retains the form of adjuration which accompanied this despairiug appeal.
 the Lxx. form (3 Regn. ii. 43, cf. Mt. xxvi. 63), but the present construction occurs again in Acts xix. 13, I Thess.
 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \beta$ paíy ${ }^{2} \tau \lambda$. in the long Jewish incantation printed by Deissmann, Bibelstudien, p. 28 ff. ( $=$ E. Tr. p. 274 ffi).
8. èt $\lambda \in \gamma \in \nu$ дá $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'He had been saying'; cf. Burton, § 29. The command probably followed the words $\tau i$
 ix. 25. Tò̀ $\pi \nu$. rò à ádé., nom, for vocative; see WM., p. 227 f. and Blass, Gr. p. 86 f.
 The imperfect carries on the narrative of the conversation. The question is probably a reply to the appeal $\mu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon$ вaбavígps. Who was the suppliant? was it the man or his oppressor? This was the first point to be determined. Aùróv, ef Euth. : tòv

 е́ $\rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ s.
 its way not only into the later Greek, bu th Hellenistic and literary (Plutarch, i. 1072, Mt. xxvi. 53), but probably into the Aramaic of Palestine;
it is found in Rabbinical writings
 and in early Aramaic iuscriptions (S. A.Cook, Glossary, p. 67 s.v. לניונא), and it survives in Lejjun, the modern name of a site usually identified with Megiddo (G. A. Smith, I.G. pp. 386, 407). To a Palestinian of our Lord's time the name would connote not only yast numbers--the strength of the legion often reached 5000 to 6000 men (Marquardt, ii. 389, 441)-aud submission to a superior will (Bengel: "uni parebant ut legio imperatori"); but the miseries of a military occnpation by a fureign power (on the listory of the Roman legion in Syria see Schürer ir. i. p. 5off.); even such small bodies of irregular troops as served under Herod Antipas and Philip knew how to harass and plunder (Lc. iii. 14). For other exx. of possession by more than one unclean spirit cf. 'Mc.' xvi. 9, Le. xi. 26; cf. Tertull. anim. 25 , "septenarii spiritus, ut in Magdalena, et legionarii numeri, ut in Geraseno."
 sing. is used because the spirits, speaking by the voice of the man, are still regarded as a single ego; the imperfect implies repetition. Hò̀áá, Vg. multum, ef. i. 45, vi 20; so $\mu$ акр́́ Mc. xii. $40, \pi v k v \dot{\text { L }}$ Le. v. 33 .










 avtous $60_{4}{ }_{2}^{\text {pe }}$
sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{T} \epsilon \rho a \sigma \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Le. has the re-
 which may have the double meaning, (I) "into the depths of the sea" (so ${ }_{a} \beta_{v a \sigma o s}$ is frequently used in the lxx., cf. egg. lIsa, lvii. 13) ; (2) into the place of punishment (Apoc. ix. I, \&c.). An attempt has been made (Exp. Iv. iv. p. 377) to treat these two versions of the demoniac's words as renderings of nearly identical Aramasc; but it is probably safer to regard Le.'s phrase as interpretative. The man feared nothing worse than expulsion from his native hills; the spirits dreaded a graver punishment. Bede: "hostis humanae salutis non exiguum sibi ducit esse tormentum ab lıominis laesione cessare."
 but (Mt.) at some distance. The herd was a large one ( $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\lambda}_{\eta}$ Mc., cf. $\pi \mathrm{m} \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$

 'at,' on the side of the mountain, cf.
 -a construction more frequent in the Lxx. than in the N.T. (WM., p. 493).
${ }_{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\lambda} \eta \eta \chi^{\circ} \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ ] For the mumbet see 0.13 . The O.T. mentions
 ai $\gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$ (Cant. iv. I, vi. 4), ka $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ (Iss. lx. 6); an ad. voip $\omega$ y was perhaps hardly to be found W. of the Jordan and its lakes: even the word रoipos is unknown to the Lxx. who use is in the few passages where they have oc-
casion to mention the unclean animal. On the moral difficulty which the destruction of the swine has been felt to present see Plumber, St Luke, p. 228 f.

Bogкамє́vп] For the middle voice of this verb cf. Gen. xii. 2, Job i. 14, Iss. xi. 6 , etc. The swine were under the control of swineherds (oi Börкортєs $v$. I4): for this class see Lc. xv. 15.
12. тарєка́入єбаข] Contrast $\pi \alpha \rho є к a ́ \lambda є \iota$
 at length dissociate themselves from the man, for they know that their hold over him is at an end, and the plural is consequently used ; cf. $v .13$.
 difference of meaning see on iii. 14. Le. avoids both verbs (wa é $\pi \iota \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \eta$ av̉roùs ais éxєivous five $\theta \epsilon i v)$. The Lord's $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{-}$ fєтє (Mt.) was permissive only: they were left free to go if they would.
 note. The reading of D (ぇiधध $\omega \mathrm{s}$ kipuos
 loses sight of an important distinction. The permission shewed how completely the spirits were subject to His
 cis $\chi^{o i \rho o v s ~ \epsilon i \sigma e \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̀ ~ a ̈ p \epsilon v ~} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ avi
 Tertull. fug. z: "nee in porcorum gregem diaboli legio habuit potestathem nisi eam de Deo impetrasset," and Thpht. ad bloc.
 si $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ are regularly used in refer-
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ene to possession: cf. Mc. i. 25, 26, vii. 29, 30, Mt. xii. 43 , Lc. viii. 30 ,

 (o. 7). The corporate unity which resulted from their identification with the man's personality is now lost : see on $\boldsymbol{0}$. II. Ais toùs $\chi^{\text {oópous. Patristic }}$ writers point out the fitness of the coincidence which brought unclean spirits into fellowship with the most unclean of beasts: egg. Macarius Mag-



 af $\partial \rho o \iota \sigma \mu$. The moral was readily drawn: Clem. Home. x. 6, $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ oủv




 impetus grex praecipilatus est ; Wycliffe, "with a great lire the flok was cast donn." Driven to madness by a new and sudden impulse the lied rushed to its destruction. 'Op $\mu \hat{a} \nu$ is used of the unreasoning onrush of a crowd, 2 Mace. ix. 2, x. 16, xii. 22, Acts vii. 57, xix. 29. Katà roû kp $\eta_{-}$ $\mu \nu o \hat{v}$, "down from the steep," WM., p. 477. Kpquvós = ע?, 2 Chron. xxv. 12. Of Kersa Schumacher (p. 180) reports: "steep precipices at a
slight distance from the Lake...are numerous." ' $\Omega_{S} \delta \iota \sigma \chi$ t $\lambda t o s$ : the number is given by Mc. alone. Dr Plummer (Si Luke, p. 23I) remarks that it "may be an exaggeration of the swineherds or owners," adding, "Had the number been an invention of the narrator, we should have had 4000 or 5000 to correspond with the legion."

 roil vioaray. The word is used in i Regin. xvi. 14 f . of the effect of possession by an evil spirit.

14-17. The Gerasenes alarmed and hostile (Mt. viii. 33-34, Le. viii. 34-37).
14. каi oi Bórkovtes kT .] The $\chi$ on oß́óкoє fled, narrowly escaping the fate of the herd, and reported the matter in Gerasa and the country places round the town (kali cis roves ar $\gamma \rho o u ́ s$, Mc. Lc., cf. Mc. vi. 36, 56 , xv. 21). Kali $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta o v i \delta \varepsilon \in i \nu$, ie. the townsfolk and the countryside poured down to the place where Jesus was apparently still halting by the Lake; cf. Mt.
 'I $\eta \sigma o v$. Their immediate object was to see what had happened ( $\tau \dot{o} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{v o s}$ ); but finding all quiet again, they went
 Mc., cf. Le.) and there witnessed a scene more remarkable than that which the swineherds had described.
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 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] For $\theta \in \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ cf. iii. 11 , xii. 41,
 (see note on i. 4), the man who, as they knew him, belonged to the class of demoniacs: see WM., p. 444, Burton §123. Contrast ó dacpovoceis (v. 18), where the fact of the possession being now at an end is emphasised. Ka $\theta$., $i \mu, \sigma \omega \phi \rho$., "cum antea fuisset sine quiete, vestibus, rationis usu" (Bengel). Kaf $\eta^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, as a disciple (Lc. ii. 46, x. 39). Lc. adds here mapà toùs пódas rov̂ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$, the technical phrase for the position of the scholar (Acts xxii. 2, cf. Schürer 11. i. 326).
[ $\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu]$ Before he took his seat among the disciples he had been clothed (cf. Lc. viii. 27), perhaps with a spare $\chi$ <cóv belonging to one of the Twelve. Though iرarıб $\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ s is fairly common, the verb has not been detected elsewhere in Greek literature, yet here it is used both by Mc. and Lc., who also share кatrír. and ашфроуоичта-a coincidence difficult to explain exccpt on the hypothesis of a common Greek tradition or document, or on that of one of the two Evangelists having borrowed from the other. $\Sigma \omega \phi_{\rho} \boldsymbol{v e i v}$ is opposed to $v \pi \varepsilon \rho$ -
 Cor. v. 13); the $\sigma \omega{ }^{\prime} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ goes with the
 T'im. iii. 2, Tit. ii. 2), $\sigma \omega \phi \rho a \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ with ai $\delta \phi^{\prime}$ (I Tim. ii. 9 ). These conceptions however belong to a developed Chris
tian ethic; in the present passage the word scarcely rises above its ordinary Greek sense. Cf. Arist.


 pavtiov. 4 Macc. i. 31 б $\omega \phi \rho$. $\delta \bar{\eta}$ тoivv
 man was not simply sanae mentis (Vg.), but free from the slavery of headstrong passions, master of himself
 sises the contrast between his present state and that from which he had been just set free; the words are not in Lc. and may be an editorial note due to Mc. For the perf. part. see Burton, § 156 ; while i $\mu \mathrm{a} \tau \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v o \nu$ describes a condition which belongs to the time indicated by $\theta_{\epsilon \omega \rho 0} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu \nu,{ }^{\prime} \sigma_{\chi} \eta-$ кóta goes back behind it, to a state which had ceased to exist, 'who had had the Legion'; so the mss. of the Vg. which retain the clause ( $q u i$
 cf. iv. 41 ; both events excited the awe which attends the supernatural.
 folk turned to those who had witnessed everything-the Twelve, and perhaps a few bystanders-and learnt from them the whole story. $\Delta u \eta \gamma \in i \sigma \theta a t$ (a common equivalent of in the Lxx. but relatively rare in the N.T., Mt. ${ }^{2}$ Lc. ${ }^{\text {ev. } 2, \text { act. } 3}$ Heb. ${ }^{1}$ ) well expresses the voluminousness of the Eastern storyteller; cf. ix. 9.









#### Abstract

   flvg syr ${ }^{\text {pesh hel }}$ me go] кal o Indous 69 arm o $\delta \in I$. D rell beeff g i aeth $\mid a \pi a \gamma-$   ort $\eta \lambda$. D b cff i syr ${ }^{\text {pesh }(n o n \sin )}$


 (conc. exp. e0. p. 75) represents the Gerasenes as hostile from the first. It is difficult to say how far this kittle town within Gadarene territory may have fallen under pagan influencesthe owners and keepers of the swine were surely indifferent Jews-but their unwillingness to receive Christ was probably due to the fear that His miraculous powers might bring upon them further losses. The demand for His departure was unanimous ace.

 the Galilean Ministry is the expulsion from Nazaretl' (Lc. iv. 29). The apea would be the bounds of the district attached to Gerasa, cf. Mt. ii. 16, xv. 39, Mc. vii. 24, 3 I.

18-20. The restoned Demoniac sent to evangllise (Lc. viii. $3^{8-39}$ ).
18. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$ ßaivoltos aútoû кт入.] As He was going on board, the released demoniac begged to be taken with Him as a disciple : cf. Mc. iii. i4, Le. xxii. 59. Thpht.'s explanation is quite un-




tion is now called to his deliverance; the possession was a thing of the past. On the constr. rapєкá $\lambda \in \epsilon . . i v a$ see Burton, $\S 200$, and cf. v. 1o supra.
 $\lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ aúróv. The request is refused, because the man is wanted for inmediate service. The eastern shore of the Lake was for the present closed against Jesus and the Twelve. A preparatory publication of the demoniac's story was necessary in antic:pation of a later visit (vii. $3 I$ ff.). What had been prohibited in Galileo (i. 43 f.) is under other circumstances not only permitted but commanded in Decapolis: cf. Eccl. iii. 7, кaupòs toû $\sigma c \gamma a ̂ \nu$ каіे кацрòs той дадєî.
cis tò̀ olkóv qov toòs tov̀s $\left.\sigma o v_{S}\right]$ Cf. ii. II. The man's first duty was to his own house (where he had long been a stranger, Lc. viii. 27), and his relatives and acquaintances. Comp. I Tim. v. 4, 8. His tale was to be told in his own circle first. oi aoí: cf. $\tau \dot{0} \sigma \dot{o} \dot{p}$, Mt. xx. 14; тà $\sigma a ́, L$ L. vi. 30.
 D. 16).
ö́a ó кúptós $\sigma$ ко кт入.] On öga see iii. 8 note, and infra, v. 20. Le. o $\theta_{\text {gós: }}$
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$\delta$ ки́poos is here $=$ Kúpos as in Lc. i. 6, \&c., either זוֹה", or as repeatedly in the Lxx. ; $\dot{\delta} \kappa$. is used of Jesus by Mc. only in xi. 3 where it possibly $=\delta$ d $\delta \dot{\partial} \sigma \kappa$ калоs (Jo. xiii. 13). Euth.:

 каi $\eta$ ग̀f́ $\eta \sigma \in \nu$ : the combination of tenses expresses two sides of the transaction, its historical completeness and its permanent results. The act of mercy was momentary, the consequences would be before the eyes of those who listened to his tale. On such combinations see WM., p. 339. In some cases the perfect appears to bear a sense almost undistinguishable from that of the aorist, ib., p. 34o, Burton, $\$ 880,88$; but here the change of tense can be conveyed in a translation : cf, R.V. 'hath done,' 'had mercy.' In the next verse where an ordinary narrative is in view Mc. writes $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i -}$ $\eta \sigma \in \nu$. For moleìv ti rive of. Mt. xxvii. 22. ${ }^{7}$ Ora, which belongs propertly to $\pi \epsilon \pi \frac{i}{} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \nu$, is loosely carried on to $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\prime}$, before which we should expect $\omega$ s.
 $\left.\pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon t}\right]$ Le. $\kappa a \theta^{\circ} \check{\sigma} \lambda \eta \nu \tau \eta \grave{\eta}_{\nu} \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \nu$ ie. Gerasa. The Decapolis (G. A. Smith, H. G. p. 595 ff., Schürer II. ii. 94 ff.) was strictly a confederation of Greek cities, perhaps originally ten in nomer. Pliny H. N. v. 18. 74 mentions Damascus, Philadelphia, Raphana, Scythopolis (the O.1. Bethshan), Gadara, Hippos, Dis, Pella, Gerasa (now Jerash), Kanatha: but he warns his readers that the names varied in different lists. As a geographical name the word was prob-
ably used with a corresponding laxity, and the territory of each city in the league was regarded as the local 'Decapolis.' If so, the Decapolis of the Gospels (Mt. iv. 25, Mc. v. 20, vii. 31) may be sought for in the neighbourhood of Gadara and Hippos, which bordered on the Lake (Joseph.

 т $\hat{\eta}$ Tau $\lambda \omega \nu i ́ t i \delta t)$. See note on vii. 3I infra. K $\quad$ púarecy: the man became a $\kappa \eta \not \rho v \xi$, sharing in his measure the ministry of Christ and the Apostles (i. 14, iii. 14). For the moment the result was merely to excite astonish-


21-34. On His Return to the Western Shore the Lord is called to heal the Child of Jairus, and on His Way thither is touched by a Woman in the Crowd (Mt .ix. is22 , Le. viii. 40-48).
 To $\pi \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho a y$ is here the Western shore; the place of landing is apparently Capernaum. See below, v. 22. For ठtarєןāv ('cross the water') cf. vi. 53 , Acts xxi. 2.
$\left.\sigma v \eta^{\prime} \chi \theta \eta \kappa \tau \lambda.\right]$ The contrast is remarkable ; on the E. side He had been desired to depart; on the W.,
 reading of $N D$ looks back to iv. I: 'again a great multitude assembled.' 'E $\pi i$ with acc. of a person is not common (WM., p. 508), and when preceded as here by a verb which inplies rest it is a little difficult; the multitude had come together at the first sight of the boat putting out from Gerasa, and as soon as He had












landed, it swarmed down upon Him -a constr. praegnans. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H} \nu \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Bỉ $\alpha a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ may merely mean, ' He was by the Sea'; cf. WM., p. 503, Blass, Gr. p. $13^{8 .}$
 The teaching is interrupted by an arrival. Mt. (ix. 18) places this incident in an entirely different context; Le. agrees with Mc. For eis têy

 ywos further on (viii. 49). In a small synagogue there might be but one such officer (Lc. xiii. 14); im larger synagogues there were sometimes several (Acts xiii. 15, xiv. 2, D). The d $\rho \chi \iota \sigma \nu \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma o s$ ( supervisor of the worship of the synagogue (Sclürer II. ii. p. 63 ff.), but not (as Irenaeus v. 13. I calls him) an deXtepeus: his functions were not priestly but administrative ouly. For a later distinction between ${ }^{\text {ám }} \rho \chi^{0 \nu \tau \epsilon s}$
 W. M. Ramsay, Exp. v. i. p. 272 ff.
 xxxii. 41, Jud. x. 3 f.; in Esth ii. 5, r Esdr. v. 31 'Iáetpos; Syrr. sin pesh. have Joarash. For the Jair of Judges Josephus (ant. v. 7) gives 'lápqs (Niese), but with the variants 'Iacipqs, 'Iáє In view of these facts it is arbitrary to derive 'Iásepos from $\overline{\prime \prime}$
it arose out of the story itself (Chcyne, in Encycl. Bibl. s. v.). Both the earlier Jairs were Gileadites.

 More probably, because it was familiar to the first generation of believers; cf. xy. 2I. Bengel: "quo tempore Marcas hoe scripsit [? Petrus hoc dixit] Jairus einsve filia adhuc reperiri in Palestina potuit." The name occurs also in Le., but not in Mt
 єкúveє aúróv: see on c. 6 . The prostration is the more remarkable as that of a dignitary in the presence of a crowd. His dignity was forgotten in the presence of a great sorrow; he recognised his inferiority to the Prophet who had the power to heal.
 note. Evyárpıov, cf. vii. 25 : a diminutive of affection used in later Greek (Plutarch, Athenaeus) ; in the N. T. peculiar to Mc. Lc. adds that she was
 $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \chi \epsilon$, , also peculiar to Mc., a phrase condemned by the Atticists, see Lob. Phryn. p. 389 ; Josephus has (ant. ix.
 in extremis est. Wyclife ${ }^{2}$, "is ny3 deed." Mt. substitutes ă $\rho \tau \iota$ є̇тєえєи́-

 ellipsis see WM., p. 396. Either $\pi a \rho a-$








кa入 $\hat{\omega}$ or $\theta_{c} \lambda \omega$ may be mentally supplied: cf. ve. io, I8, and see Burton, KS 202, 203. Mt. gives a simple imperative ( $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ è $\lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau(\theta \epsilon)$ ), and so the Western text in Mc.; cf. Vg. reni impone manus; Mc.'s broken construction reflects the anxiety of the speaker. The Greek expositors contrast the superior faith of the centurion (Mt. viii. 8). For the use of imposition of hands in liealing sce vi. 5 , vii. 32 , viii. 23,25 , [xvi. 18]; Acts ix. 17, xxviii. 8; as a primitivo form of benediction (Gen. xlviii. I4ff.) in common use among the Jcws (Mason, Baptism and Conf. p. ıо, cf. Mastings, $D . B$. iii. p. 84 f.), it was adopted by our Lord, and employed in the Church in various rites to symbolise and convey gifts whether of healing or of grace. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Iva $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\vec{l}}$ кai $\zeta \prime \sigma \pi \eta$ is not a hendiadys: 'that she may be healed (of her disorder) and her life may be spared.' For $\sigma \omega \omega^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \nu$ 'to restore to health,' in cases where the discase is not fatal, see vo. 28,34 , vi. $56, \times .52$.
24. à $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avं $\left.\tau \hat{v} \bar{v}\right]$ The Lord rose and followed the synagogueruler, and after him went the I'welve ( Mt. .), and a vast crowd (Lc.), eager to see another wonder. The crowd pressed round Him, leaving Him scarce space to move ( $\sigma v y$ é $\theta$ hipon aủtóv, Mc.) or even to breathe ( $\sigma \nu \nu$ є́тvıүov aùтóv, Le.). $\Sigma \nu \nu \theta \lambda i \beta \omega$ (Sir. xxxiv. $14=$ xxxi. 17), Mc. only ; cf. $\theta \lambda i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$, Mc. iii. 9, àmo $\theta \lambda i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$, Le. viii. 45 .
 Mt. $\gamma$ ai $\mu \rho \rho \rho o o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$. For єivat $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\rho}$. see WM., p. 23a: $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\rho}$. in a condition of, i.e. suffering from, hemorrhage.
 Soph Aj. 27r. 'Púcos is used in Lev. xv. 2 ff. for בitt aluoppoeiv occurs in the same context ( 0.33 ). The trouble had lasted as many years (12) as Jair's child had lived, cf. inffa, v. 42 ; Bengel: "uno tempore initium miseriae et vitae habuerant." For a curious use made of this number by the Valentinian Gnosties see Iren. i. 3. 3.
 larpш甲] She had suffered much at the hands of many physicians: cf. Mt. xvi. 2I, $\pi c \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} y$ àmò $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$
 used with verbs of passive signification to denote the agent: Blass, Gr. pp. 125 f., I 35. For some of the prescriptions ordered by the Rabbinical experts see J. Lightfoot on this verse.
 et erogaverat omnia sua; cf. iii. 21 oi $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aùroṽ, Le. х. 7 тà $\pi a \rho^{2}$ av̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, Phìl. iv. $18 \tau \dot{a} \pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, and see Field, Notes, p. 27; the phrase is
 avंrगेs (xii. 44), which might indeed be little enough, as the last reference shews. In Le. BD Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ omit the corresponding words larpois mporava-
 exclude them from margin as well as text. For varying estimates of the physician in later Jewish writings see






[^72]Tobit ii. 10 ( B and $\boldsymbol{k}$ texts)-an interesting parallel-and on the other hand Sir. xxxviii. I ff. Holtzmann quotes from the Mishna a sentence which seems to shew that they were in ill odour with the Rabbis ( $\boldsymbol{F i d} \boldsymbol{d}$ dushim, iv. I4,"medicornm optimus
 $\theta$ fíra, as her experience told her; ovióev $\omega \dot{\prime} \phi$. would have merely stated the fact ; see, however, Blass, Gr. p. 255.
 $\pi \rho о к$ о́ттєи (2 Tim. iii. 13).
27. тà $\pi \in \rho \grave{\imath}$ тov̂ 'Iqcoû] I.e. the report of His powers of healing; cf. Lc. xxiv. I4, Acts xxiv. Io, Phil. ii. 23 .
 mixed with the crowd which followed the Lord and contrived to make her way to the front, immediately behind Him. For a similar touch of delicate feeling cf. Gen. xviii. 10.
 touched was the кра́ттє $\delta$ ov (Mt. Le.), i.e. the edge of the outer garment. The Law required every Jew to attach to the corners of his quadrangular covering tassels, which according to later usage consisted of three threads of white wool twisted together with a cord of blue; see Num. xv. $3^{8}$ f. :


 $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \nu \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \mu a$ vaкiv $\theta \nu \nu \nu$; Deut.

 ( Driver, ad l.). Interesting details will be found in Hastings, D. B. i. p. 627, ii. p. 68 ff., and Encycl. Bibl. ii. p. 1565. The Lord doubtless conformed to the precept of the Law, though he afterwards censured the Scribes for their ostentatious obedience (Mt. xxiii. 5). The кр́á $\pi \in \delta o \nu$ may have been either one of the tassels, or the corner from which it hung (so the Lxx. in Deut. l.c., Zach. viii. 23). One corner with its tassel was behind Him, and on this the woman laid her hand (entoúa ...ä $\pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ).
28. $\notin \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu]$ Mt. adds $\dot{\epsilon} y$ éavtī: the words were unspoken. 'Eàu... cäp has caused trouble to the copyists, but кäv qualifies rôv i $\mu$ ati $\omega \nu$ (WM., p. 730), cf. Vg. si vel cestimentum eius tetigero; similarly we find iva...kảy in vi. 56, and Acts v. 15 (where see Blass, and of. his Gr. pp. 19, 275). Mt. substitutes $\mu \dot{\prime}$ vo $\nu$ for кäv without materially modifying the scnse. T $\omega y$ i $\mu a \tau i \omega \nu$, 'the clothes,' general and inclusive, as in r. 30 infra. On the expectation of a cure by contact comp. iii. 1o, and on $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu a t$ see $v .23$ supra.
29. єủÀ̀s '̇ $\xi \eta \rho \dot{a} v \theta_{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The hemorrhage ceased: Lc., using perhaps a medical term (cf. Plummer,
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 $\xi \eta \rho a i v o$ in the sense of drying up a spring of. 3 Regn. xvii. 7, Jer. xxviii.
 $\pi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$ тồ a $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu a \tau o s$ is from Lev. xii. 7 .
 from her bodily sensations, cf. ii. 5 , $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \nu \dot{v} s \ldots \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{y} \mu a \tau \iota$, dat. of sphere (WM., p. 270). "Iarac transfers the reader into the region of the woman's thoughts: the conviction flashed through her mind, "Iapau: 'I have received a permanent cure.' The perf. pass. of iáopat occurs here only in Billical Greek, for tapat in 4 Regn. ii. 21, Hos. xi. 3 is middle ; but iá $\theta n$, lat $\eta$ 'оонаи are repeatedly used in a passive sense both in txx. and N.T. For $\mu$ áctı $\xi$ plaga sce iii. ıо, note.
 also experienced an instantaneous sensation in the sphere of His consciousness ( $\dot{\nu} \quad \dot{\varepsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega}$ ), amounting to a definite knowledge of the fact; for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \gamma \nu o u$ 's as contrasted with ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega$ (0. 29) see note on ii. 8. He was fully aware that this power had gone forth from Him- тìv é $\xi$ av̉rov $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu \nu$ ' ' $\xi \in \lambda \theta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma a \nu-u o t$ as Vg ., virtutem quae exierat de eo, but "virtutem quae de eo [erat] exisse": cf. Lc. $\epsilon_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \nu$
 virtutem de me exisse. Tク̀ $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ avirov, that which belonged to Him and from time to time proceeded from Him ; ' ' $\xi \in \lambda \theta o u \sigma a \nu$, "t the substantive part. as object," Burton, § 458 ; cf. Acts xxiv. Io, Heb. xiii. 23. That miraculous encrgy went forth from Jesus was notorious, cf. vi. 14 ; con-
trast the disavowal of personal power on the part of the Apostles, Acts iii. I2. The Gk. commentators are careful to point out that the Lord's power did not leave Him when it went forth to heal; the movement is not to be understood тотıкөิs $\hat{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega}$ (Victor, Thpht.).
 $\phi \eta \nu$ in a middle sense : cf. Sap. xvi. 7, Mt. x. 13, Mc. viii. 33, Jo. xxi. 20. The Lord turned and questioned the crowd which pressed upon Him from behind ( $w v .24,27$ ). The act of turning was characteristic ; see viii. 33, Lc. vii. 9,44 , ix. 55 \&c. The question seems to imply that He needed information; see Mason, Conditions, dre. p. 149 f ; on the other hand ef. Jerome, tract. in Mc.: "nesciebat Dominus quis tetigisset? quomodo ergo quaerebat eam? quasi sciens, ut indicaret...ut mulier illa confiteatur et Deus glorificctur."

The order tis $\mu \circ v . . . \tau \hat{\omega} y$ i $\mu$. may perhaps be intended to bring together the two persons of the toucher and the Touched, ef. v. 3I, Ti's $\mu$ оv $\bar{\eta} \psi$ taro; sce however WM., p. 193.

 Peter's might have been inferred from its hasty criticism, and a certain tone of assumed superiority, which at a later time called for a severe rebuke; cf. viii. 32 ff .

On the spiritual significance of $\sigma \nu \nu \theta \lambda \beta_{\epsilon \epsilon}$ and $\not \tilde{a}_{\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l}$ see Victor:

 $\lambda u \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. Compare especially Aug. serm.





 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma$ ós $\sigma o v$.






62; Bede ad l.: "quem turba passim comitans comprimit, una credula mulier Dominum tangit."
32. $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \tau \circ$ i $\delta \epsilon i \Leftarrow \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Lord's only reply was to look round with a prolonged (imperf.) and scrutinising gaze (iii. 5,34 ) which revealed to Him the individual who had stolen a cure. 'I $\delta \epsilon i v$ is the inf. of purpose, Burton, § 366 ; on the distinction between i i $\epsilon \bar{u}$ and $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \subset$ see note on iv. 12. The use of the fem. ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \mathrm{v}$ $\pi o \neq \prime \sigma a \sigma a \nu)$ is anticipatory: 'the person who had done this and who proved to be a woman' Or it may refer to Christ's knowledge of the fact -' whom He knew to be a woman.' Her 'woman's touch' (Bruce) had revealed her sex.

 partly by her nearness to Christ,-a position from which she could not withdraw, on account of the crowdpartly by her own consciousness (ei§uia of $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ yovev avirĝ). She felt the Lord's eye resting on her, and knew herself discovered. The fear and trembling with whicl she came forward are not fully explained by the Western gloss $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ô $\pi \epsilon \pi o \nmid \eta ์ \kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda a ́ \theta \rho a$ (WH., Noies, p. 24); a deeper psychology would take into account the excitement of the inoment and the
spiritual effort. For the combination фпß. каì тр́́ $\mu$. cf. Jud. ii. 28 (B), Dan. v. If (Th.), 4 Mace. iv. ıo, i Cor. ii. 3, 2 Cor. vii. 15 , Eph. vi. 5, Phil. ii. 12. The inward movement expressed itself in visible signs of excitement.
 truth.' Cf. Jo. xvi. 13 ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \vec{d} \lambda . \pi$.) and Westcott's note. Lc. gives the details. The confession revealed both the purpose ( $\delta i^{\prime} \eta_{\nu} \nu$ airiav) and effect ( $\omega \bar{s}$ lá $\theta \eta \pi а р а \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a)$. Moreover it was made publiely (èv́ntov maviòs toù Aaô). Bede: "ecce quo interrogatio Demini tendebat."
34. $\theta v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho=\theta \dot{v} \gamma a \tau \epsilon \rho$ : so the Lxx. (codd. BA) in Rath ii. 2, 22 ; iii. I ; cf. WH., Notes, p. 158. With this

 $\sigma \epsilon$ : 'thy restoration is due to thy faith,' cf. x. 52, Lc. xvii. 19-a statenent which does not of course exclude the complementary truth that she was healed by power proceeding from the person of Christ (o. 30). Christ's purpose in detecting her was to perfect her faith by confession (Rom. x. Io) ; this end being now gained, she is free to reap the fruits of her venture. Jerome: "nec dixit ' Fides tua te salvam factura est,' sed 'salvan fecit.'"


T W' 35


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о и ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda о u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ т \varphi ̣ ~ \alpha ́ \rho \chi \iota-~$



cip.,' go and enjoy peace'; an O. T.
 cf. I Regn. xxix. 7, 2 Regn. xv. 9. The Vg. vade in pace answers better to the tamer $\pi o \rho . \vec{\epsilon} \nu$ cipívg (Acts xvi. 36, James ii. 16, where see Mayor's
 sound (and therefore free) from thy scourge': i.e. continue so from this

 Rom. ix. 3 àvá $\theta_{\epsilon \mu a} \epsilon_{z \nu a}$ ảmó. For $\mu \Sigma \operatorname{cri} \xi$ see note on iii. Io.

Acc. to Ev. Nicod. i. 7 (B) the woman's name was Veronica. Eusebius (H.E. vii. 18) relates a tradition that she was a native of Caesarea Philippi or Paneas, where a brazen statue of her in the act of knceling before the Saviour had been seen by himself. Macarius Magnes (i. 6) represents her as a princess of Edessa,
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ таิ̀ $\pi о \tau а \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. For the mass of legend which has gathered round the story see Thilo, Cod. apocr. i. 560 n .

35-43. Raising of the dead Child (Mt. ix. 23-26, Le. viii. 4956).
35. Ё́tı aủrov̂ 入a入oûutos] So Le.: the exact phrase occurs in Gen. xxix. 9, Lxx. The coincidence was a happy one for the aipoppoovara, for the now arrival at once diverted the attention
 he was present ( $v .36$ ), so that the

 "man kommt" (Le. ${ }^{\underline{\epsilon}} \rho_{\chi} \in \tau a i$ ris); cf.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \omega$, i. 30 (Meyer). 'А ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \theta a \nu \epsilon \nu=$ $\tau \in \dot{\theta} \nu \eta \kappa \in \nu$ (Lc.) ; see Burton, § 47.

тí ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau i \sigma \kappa v \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon i s} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Tindale: "why diseasest thou the master any fur-
 properly to flay or to mangle (Aesch. Pers. 577), but in later Greek 'to harass, annoy' (Euth. d̀vri rô̂ mept-


 Here and in Le. vii. 6 the verb means scarcely more than 'to trouble,' 'put to inconvenience' (Vg. vexare). Tò̀
 Worte Jesu, p. 278 ; cf. Mc. xiv. 14. The remark shews that the power of raising the dead was not yet generally attributed to Jesus; only onc instance, so far as we know, had occurred, and that not in the Lake district (Lc. vii. II ff.). Victor: $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \mu \sigma a v \mu \eta \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota ~ a u ̀ r o \hat{v}$



36. таракои́баs тòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \lambda a \lambda o u ́-~$ $\mu e v o v]$ On the constraction sec WM., p. 436. In the lxx. таракои́єн is miformly to hear without heeding, to neglect or refuse to hear, or to act as if one did not hear ; cf. Ps. xxxix. 13 Symm., I Esdr. iv. II, Esther

 ): and so the word is used in Mt. xviii. 17 bis; whilst mapaкоп is the reverse of íтaкoŋ (Rom. 7. I9, 2 Cor, x. 6, Heb. ii. 2). The Lord heard the words said (for $\lambda_{a} \lambda$. see WM., p. $43^{6}$, Burton, $\$ 458$, and note











on 0 . 30 supra), but spoke as if He had not heard, passed them by in silence and followed His own course. Contrast Act. Ioann. 17 (ed. James,

 Field's note $a d l$.
 faith leing viewed as an act rather than as a state. With hóyov tantummodo cf. Mt. viii. 8. There was no cause for fear, unless the man's faith broke down.
37. The crowd is not suffered to approach the house. Lc., perhaps with less exactness, represents the Lord as dismissing them on reaching


 in Biblical Greek ( 2 Mace. ii. 4, 6 ; in N. T. only here and in xiv. 5 I , Le. xxiii. 49) ; comp. $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text {кодаи̇ }} \theta_{\epsilon c}$ in $v .24-$ the crowd followed, but there was no bond of fellowship to keep them with Him to the end.
 Apostles only three are permitted to enter; so careful is the Lord not to invade at such a time the seclusion of the home life. Three were sufficient as witnesses (Mt. xviii. 16) ; and the same triad were chosen on other occasions when privacy was desired (ix. 2, xiv. 33).

The order of the names is the same
as in Mc.'s list of the Apostles (iii. 16), and it is maintaned in ix. 2 , xiii. 3 , xiv. 33 ; Mt. on the whole agrees (x. 2, xvii. I): Le. on the other hand usually writes П. кai 'Јшávəs каì 'IáкшBos (viii. 51, ix. 28, Acts i. 13), though his Gospel preserves the older order in the Apostolic list (Le vi. 14). See note on Mc. iii. 16 . The single article in Mc. before the three names seems to represent the three as a body. But the practice of the Evangelist varies; thus in ix. 2 we have rò ח. кal tò 'Iták. каі 'I $\omega$., while in siv. 33 an article stands in WH.'s text (though the margin agrees with $v .37$ ) before each
 16, i9 notes.
 The Lord has dismissed one crowd only to find the house occupied by
 Mt.). For the moment He stands gazing at the strange spectacle ( $\theta \in \omega-$ $\rho \in \hat{\imath}$, cf. xii. 41). Oó $\rho v, \beta$ os is the uproar of an excited mob (xiv. 2, Acts xx. 1, xxi. 34). The kai which follows is epexegetic (WM., p. 345) ; the uproarious crowd within consisted of mourners. 'Aдa入á̧єı is 'to shout,' whether for joy (so often in the
 $\xi a \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi})$, or in lamentation, ef.
 The correction dגo入v́fouras proposed by Naber is unnccessary; even if




 D lat et ${ }^{\text {vip }}$
ai $\lambda a \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \mu$ is to be taken in its ordinary sense, the heartless uproar was an
 The mourners were probably professional; among them were musicians (au $\lambda \eta r a t, M_{t}$., and wailing women (ai $\theta \rho \eta$ you $\sigma a L$, Jer. ix. 17); "even the poorest of Israel will afford his dead wife not less than two minstrels and one woman to make lamentations" (J. Lightfoot), and this was the house
 ness of the feeling which prompted these demonstrations see Sir. xxxviii. 16 ff .
 tired the court, and expostulated. For Mc.'s ti $\theta_{0 \rho \nu} \rho_{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \in$ and Lc.'s milder $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \lambda a i \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, Mt has the sterner $\dot{a} \nu_{a \chi} \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$, which may have followed when the call to silence had proved
 is enigmatical ; ka $\theta \in \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \in m$ may $=\tau \epsilon-$ $\partial_{v \eta x} \neq a$, , as in Dan. xii 2 (Lxx. and Th., I Th. v. 10 ; cf. коц $\mu \hat{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \boldsymbol{L}$ in Jo. xi. II ff., but this sense seems to be excluded when the verb is placed in contrast with àmotaveip. Hence some have declined to regard this miracle as a raising of the dead (see Trench, Miracles, p. 182 f.). But the fact of the child's death was obvious to the bystanders, aud is apparently assumed by the Evangelists, at least by Lc. (eióótes ötı àméAavev). The Lord's meaning seems to be: 'a death from which there is to be so speedy an awakening can only be regarded as a sleep.' Cf. Bede: "hominibus morta, gui suscitare nequiverant, De dormiebat." Ambrose: "fleant ergo
mortuos sums quip putant mortuos ; bi resurrections fides est, non mortis est species, med quiets."
40. катєү'̇ $\lambda \omega \nu$ à̀той] So Mt., Mc., Lc. The compound is used in the N. T. only in this context, but it is common in classical Gk. and in the lxx., eg. Ps. xxiv. (xxv.) 2, Prov. xvii. 5, 4 Mace. vi. 20. The Encl. versions rightly lay stress on the scornfulness of the laughter expressed by nad (e.g. Wycliffe, "thei scorneden hym"; Tindale, "they lawght him to scone"). On the gen. see WM., p. 537 n. According to the Gk. expositors the Lord suffered these hirelings to deride Him in order to prevent them from saying afterwards that the child was not really dead (Thpht. as at $\nu \mu$ 力

 to seek for any such explanation; $\dot{\eta}$

 е́крá̀ $\lambda \omega$ see i. in. In this case some pressure was needed, for it was the interest of these paid mourners to remain. There is a sternness manifester in their ejection which finds a counterpart on other occasions when our Lord is confronted with levity or greed; cf. xi. 15, Jo. ii. 15. Jerome: "non anim errant digni ut viderent mysterium resurgentis, qua resuscitantem indignis contumelies deridebant." Aùròs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, 'He on His part,' Vg. ipse veto. Парада $\beta$ ávé, cf. iv. 36. Five persons enter the chamber of death by His invitation. In the O. T. instances of the raising of the dead the prophet is alone (r K. xvii.










$19 \mathrm{ff} ., 2 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{iv} .33$ ), and this seems to have been the case also at the raising of Tabitha (Acts ix. 40). Our Lord, knowing the issue (Jo. xi. 4I, 42), chooses to work in the presence of witnesses, not excepting the mother, though He ejects the jeering hirelings who were not in sympathy with His purpose. Eth.: $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\partial} \nu \mu \dot{\mu} y$ out $\nu$





 Wycliffe, "he heed the hond of the damysel"; Tindale rightly, "toke the hayden by the honde." See WM., p. 252 ; Bless, $G r . p$. sol ; and cf. i. 31, ix. 27. He addresses Himself to the personality, not to the body only
 comp. Jo. v. 28 , oi $\dot{\text { en }} \boldsymbol{y}$ тoîs $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ tots
 construction ad sensum : cf. Bless, Gr. p. 166.

талеєө́a, кои́ $\mu$ ] (Dabman, p. $118 \mathrm{n} ., 266 \mathrm{n}$. ; with $\tau a \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \theta a ́$
 vii. 9, Isar. xl. 11, lav. 25). On the strange corruptions of these Aramaic words in some Western texts see Chase, Syro-Latin Text, p. 109 f.; tabita for talitha found its way into our earlier English versions, Tindale, as well as Wycliffe. For other Ara-
macc words preserved by Mc., see vii. 34 , xiv. 36 ; and on the general subject of Aramaisms in the Gospels, Schürer II. i. 9. "O é $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu_{\epsilon} \mu_{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta^{-}$ vevóucyov, a phrase common to Mt., Mc., Jo., and Acts; other forms are

 late compound for the class. ep $\eta$ $y \in \dot{v} \epsilon(\nu)$ is already used in reference to the translation of Hebrew into Greek in the prologue to Sirach (1. 19). To кopáaon: the word is late and colloquial (Lob. Phryn. p. 74), and survives in modern Gk. (Kennedy, Sources, p. 154); in the Lxx. where it usually represents common from Ruth onwards; in the N. T. it is used only of the girl in this narrative and of the daughter of Herodias. On the nom. (тò корá⿱宀ov) see o. 8 note, and cf. Lc. if $\pi$ ais, є́үєіроу.
 The effect was instantaneous (m asa$\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a, L c$.), the child rose and walked (imperf., since the act was continuous, and not, like the rising, momentary; cf. Jo. v. 9, Acts iii. 8). Strength returned as well as life : cf. Lc. vii. 15

 $\delta \omega^{\prime} \delta є к а$ justifies $\pi є \rho \iota \in \operatorname{a} \tau \epsilon-$-the child was of an age to walk; the correction in D has ariscu from a failure to understand $\gamma$ af $\rho$. For the gen. of



VI. I
 $\S s y^{\text {hier }}$

 ADNШЕ $\Phi$ al min ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ latt syrr arm go al (hab NBCL $\Delta 3$ me aeth) $\quad 43 \mathrm{om} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a$
 $\aleph B C L \Delta] \kappa$. $\eta \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ AN $\Pi \Sigma \Phi$ al min ${ }^{p}$ Or $\kappa a \pi \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{D}$ (sic)
time cf. lac. ii. 37, 42, iii. 23, Acts iv. 22. For a patristic homily on the three miracles of raising the dead recorded in the Gospels see Aug. serm. 98 (Migne).
 note on ii 12, and for $\begin{gathered}\text { écotacts in this }\end{gathered}$ sense xvi. 8, Lc. v. 26, Acts iii. 10. The nearly equivalent phrase éкoтìvac
 33. Eviقús is not necessarily otiose: the astonishment was instantaneous and complete.
43. ठiєбтєinato кrג.] Two directions follow the miracle: (1) the facts are not to be made public, (2) the restored child is to receive nourishment. The purpose of (I) was partly to prevent idle curiosity, and the excitement which would cheok spiritual work (cf. i. 44 note, vii. 36 ), partly to gain time for His departure (vi. I note). In (2) we have fresh evidence of the sympathetic tenderness of the Lord, and His attention to small details in which the safety or comfort of others was involved. Iu the excitement of the nioment the necessity of maintaining the life which had been restored might have been overlooked. But life restored by miracle must be supported by ordinary means; the miracle has no place where human care or labour will suffice. Clirss. :

 aúróv. Victor sees in this command evidence of the reality of the miracle:

 ferring to Lc. xxiv. 41 f. ; cf. Iren. v . 13. I, Jerome, tract. in Mc. ad l.
$\Delta a a \sigma t \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon c$ is properly to divide or distinguish : cf. e.g. Gen. xxx. 35, 40, Deut. x. 8. In the mid. the word in later Gk. has acquired the meaning 'to give an explicit order,' 'to elljoin': Jud. i. 19, Judith xi. 12, Ezech. iii. 18 ff ., and this sense it uniformly bears in the N. T. (Mc. ${ }^{5}$, Acts ${ }^{1}$; ef. the pres. part. pass. in Heb. xii. 20). With the conj. $\gamma$ voî cf. $\pi a p a \delta o i$, iv. 29 note, and WM., p. 360 . For the inf. סoӨ̂̄pat sce Burton, $\S \S 337$, 39I ; for фаүєiv, almost $=\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$, cf. vi. 37, Jo. iv. 33 .
Vi. i-6 $\alpha$. Departure from Capernaum: Preaching at Nazareth (Mt. xiii. 53-58 ; cf. Le. iv. 16--30).
I. $\left.\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi \lambda \lambda \theta_{\epsilon} y \dot{\epsilon} \in \in \dot{\theta} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu\right]$ From the house of Jairus (cf. v. 39, є $\downarrow \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega_{\nu}$ ), but also from Capernaum ; of. Mt. xiii. 53,
 mention of Jairus in the context. The purpose was probably to escape from the enthusiasm of the crowd, who, notwithstanding the charge to conceal what had occurred (v. 43), must soon hear of the miracle.

Eis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi a r \rho i ̂ i o a ~ a ̀ ̀ r o \hat{v}] ~ I . e . ~ t o ~ N a z a-~$ reth, ef. Lc. iv. 23, 24 ; the word can be used of a town, cf. Phil. leg. ad
 Neither Mt. nor Mc. mentions Nazareth here, but Mc. i. 9, 24, Jo. i. 46 imply that the Lord was regarded by the Galileans as a Nazarene; His birth at Bethlehem was forgotten

 $\sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$. каi оi то入入оi áкоvovтєs $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta$ й $\sigma \sigma о \nu \tau o$




#### Abstract

      


(cf. Jo. vii. $4 \mathrm{I}, 42$ ), and even if it had been notorious, the village where His family lived ( $v .3$ ), and where He had passed His youth (Lc. iv. 16), might woll be called His aatpís. Lic. places this visit, of which he has preserved a much fuller account, at the outset of the Ministry, but without note of time.
 was not a private visit to His family; He came as a Rabbi, surrounded by His scholars.
2. $\gamma \in \nu о \mu$ е́vou oaßßárou] Vg. facto sabbato, 'when Sabbath had come.'
 took His place in the synagogue as the reader (Ambr."ille ita ad onmia se curvavit obsequia ut ne lectoris quidem adspernaretur oficium"). Lc. describes the whole scone from the recollections of some eyewitness, perhaps the Mother of the Lord. The Scripture expounded was Isa. Ixi. i, 2.

 used in i. 45 , iv. I, v. 20 , vi. 34 , viii. 31, always apparently with reference to a new departure. It was perhaps the first time He had taught officially in His own town, and but for the hostility of the Nazarenes it might have been the beginaing of a course of teaching there. On this use of


 Tipove aưọ. Mc. is more exact : the majority were impressed, but there was an undercurrent of dissatisfaction which in the end prevailed. For $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \lambda$. cf. i. 22.
 had come over Him for which they could not account; the workman had become the Rabbi and the worker of miracles. Of His wisdom they had evidence in His discourse; it was a gift ( $\bar{j} \delta_{0} \theta$ cion $)$ and not the result of study (Jo. vii. I5) ; it had shewn itself in childhood (Le. ii. 40, 47), and now was revealed again in the man. But whence and what was it ( $\pi \delta^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon v} ; ~ \tau i s ;$ )? And the miracles-such miracles as report said were being wrought from time to time ( $\gamma \iota v \dot{c}_{\mu} \mu \mathrm{vai}$ ) by His instrumentality ( $\delta \stackrel{a}{a}$ tầ $\chi \in \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̇rô, ef. Acts V. I2, xix. II), whence werc these? No similar powers distinguished any other member of the family, mother or brothers or sisters; why should they distinguish Him?
 Jerome: "mira stultitia Nazarenorunn ; mirantur unde habcat sapientiam Sapientia, et virtutes Virtus." On ris $\dot{\eta} \sigma$. see Blass, Gr. p. 176. Ai
 such miracles wronght,' \&c. For $\delta \dot{-}$ vaцєs, a miracle, see vi. 5, 14

#   


 $I_{\omega \sigma \eta \phi} \mathrm{N}$ 12I befqug aeth I $\omega \sigma \eta$ ACN $\Pi \Sigma \Phi$ al minpl syrr go arm
 viós. To the sneer of Celsus técton



 got this passage or, perhaps more probably, did not hold Mc. responsible for the words of the Galileans" (WH., Notes, p. 24: see however the app. crit. above). As the son of a $\tau \in \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ Jesus would naturally have learnt $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тєктоиккウ́ע (see Lightfoot and Schöttgen ad loc.). This inference, if it was no more, was early drawn : cf. Justin,

 answer to the scoffing question of Libanius (Thdt. H. E. iii. 18). Téкт is properly an artificer in wood, but it is occasionally used of a worker in metals (I Regn. xiii. ig $\tau \in ́ \kappa \tau \omega \nu \sigma \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \eta \rho v$ ), and several of the Fathers held Joseph to have been a smith (see Thilo, Cod. apocr. N. T. i. p. 368 f. n.). Mystical reasons werc found for the Lord's commexion with one or other of these trades; thus Hilary (on Mt. xiv.) writes: "Fabri erat filius ferrum igne vincentis, omnem saeculi virtutem iudicio decoquentis," and Ambrose (on Le. iii. 25) : "hoe typo patrem sibi esse demonstrat qui Fabricator omnium condidit mundum." The family continued to be engaged in manual labour to the third gencration; see the story of the grandsons of Jude in Sus. H. E. iii 20, tàs रeîpas tàs



 rúkovs maptarávras. Of the particu-
lars of Joseph's work, and of the interest manifested in it by the Child Jesus, the apocryphal Gospels have much to tell: see Thilo lec.
$\dot{\delta}$ viós $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ Mapias] The absence of any reference to Joseph in Mc. is noteworthy; contrast Lic. iii. 23, iv. 22 , Jo. i. 45, vi. 42. He was still alive in our Lord's thirteenth year (Lc. ii. 41 ff .), but there is no evidence of his life having been prolonged further; according to Protev.9.Joseph was already all old man before the Birth of Jesus, and all the later notices of the Lord's Mother (e.g. Jo. ii. I ff. ; Mc. iii. 3I ff. ; Jo. xix. 25 ff .) confirm the supposition that he died before the Ministry began. The Arabic Historia Josephi (cc. I4, 15) places his death in our Lord's eighteenth year, when Joseph had reached the age of ind.
$\left.\dot{a} \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{o}^{\prime}\right]$ On this relationship see Lightfoot (Galatians," The Brethren of the Lord") and J. B. Mayor (St James, Introd.). Lightfoot disposes of Jerome's view (cf. de vir. ill. 2) that the 'brothers' were cousins, sons of "Mary the sister of the Lord's Mother," and on the whole supports the alternative, which was widely held by Catholics of the fourth century, that they were sons of Joseph by a former marriage. This belief is traced by Origen (in Matt. x. 17) to the apocryphal Gospel of Peter, and it finds some support in the Protevangelium (c. 9). On the other hand the more obvious interpretation, which makes the brothers sons of Joseph and Mary, born after the Birth of Jesus, was apparently accepted by Tertullian (cf. adv. Marc. iv. 29, de



3 om ouk ssryier

carn. Chr. 7), who does not shew any consciousness of departing in this matter from the Catholic tradition of his time.

The names of the four brothers are given only here and in Mt. xiii. 55 ;
 'Iovions. The loyalty of the family to the traditions of the O.T. appears in the selection: Joseph named his firstborn after Jacob, and his other sons after the greater patriarchs.
'Iaw ${ }^{\prime} \beta$ Ov] This James is mentioned as ó àse入 фòs tô rupiov in Gal i. I9; see also Josepl. ant. xx. 9. 1, tòv
 'Iáкш३os övoда aúrต̣, and Hegesippus ap. Luseb. H. E. ii. 23. His eminence in the Church at Jerusalem, to which Heg. refers, is implied in Acts xii. 17, xy. 13, xxi. 18, and in Gal. ii. 9, 12, where he is classed with Peter and John (oí סoкои̂vtes atúגou єivaut); by a somewhat later age he was regarded as an $\dot{\epsilon \pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi o s, ~ a n d ~ e v e n ~(i n ~ E l b i o n i t e ~}$
 Hom. ad init.), or archiepiscopus (Recogn. i. 73, cf. Hort, Clem. Recogn. p. 116 f.). In the heading of his own letter he describes himself simply as $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ каì кирiou 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma т o \hat{v}$ סoin $\frac{\mathrm{os}}{}$. For further particulars see Mayor, p xxxvi ff, and Hort, Ecclesia, p. 76 ff., who suggests that "he was at some early time after the persecution of Herod taken up into the place among the Twelve vacated by his namesake."
'Iwoñros] The name is another form of 'I $\omega \sigma$ ' $\phi$; see Mt. xiii. 55 and cf. Mc. xv. 40, 47 with Mt. xxvii. 56; also Acts iv. 36 , where for ' $I \omega \sigma \eta{ }^{2} \phi$ o é $\pi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \theta_{\varepsilon}$ is Bapvá $\beta_{a s}$ the R.T. reads 'I $\omega \sigma \hat{\eta} s$. Lightfoot's difficulty (Galatians, p. 268, n. I) seems to be met by Dalman's view (p. 75) that יום was a Galilean abbreviation of
cf. the Rabbinic forms which he quotes, pp. 139, 143. For the Hellenised termination - $\hat{s} s$, gen. $\hat{\eta}$ ras, see Blass, Gr. p. 30 f . This brother is mentioned only here and in the parallel passage of Mt.; the Joses of Mc. xv. 40 f . is another person (see note there).
'Iovióa] The Judas who styles himself (Jude 1) 'Iov́óas 'I $\eta$ raû X
 was the third brother (or fourth, according to Mt's order) born after B.c. 4, his age at this time could not have been thirty, and his grandsons might well have been men in middle life during the reign of Domitian (Euseb. H.E. iii. 20). St Paul speaks of the Lord's brothers as married men ( ( Cor. ix. 5).

E( $\mu \omega \nu \sigma s]$ Mentioned only here and in Mt. xiii. 55: for the form of the name see note on i. 16. The Symeon who succeeded Janies as Bishop of Jerusalem was, according to Hegesippus, a son of Clopas, Josepli's brother (Euseb. H. E. iii. iI).
ai à $\left.\delta \in \lambda \phi a_{i}^{\prime}\right] \quad$ Mt. adds $\pi a ̂ \sigma a L . ~ E p i-~$ phanius haer. lxxvii. 9 gives the names of two-Salome and Mary, but his statement possibly rests upon a confused recollection of Mc. xy. 4o; for other accounts see Thilo, Cod. apocr. p. 363 n . The sisters of Jesus are not mentioned elsewhere (cf. however Mc. iii. $3^{2}$ v.l.), even in Acts i. 14 where the mother and brothers appear among the disciples at Jerusalem. They were settled at Nazareth ( $\bar{\delta} \delta \in \pi$ $\pi$ ò̀ $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ ), and possibly were already married women whose duties tied them to their homes; while the brothers passed from unbelief (Jo, vii. 5) to faith, the sisters were perhaps scarcely touched by the course of events.
 passes over this intermediate stage of
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feeling, but adds afterwards $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta_{\eta}$. बav $\pi$ ávers $\theta \nu \mu o \hat{\text {. }}$. Amazement rapidly gave place to jealous suspicion, and jealoisy to anger. The cкávoiadoy was the fact that the Lord till lately had been one of themselves. For бкаи $\delta a \lambda i j_{\xi} \sigma \theta a l$ see note on iv. 17, and for $\sigma \kappa$. ̈̀ $\nu$ ruve ef. Mt. xi. 6 , xxvi. 31 f .; the construction occurs also in Sir. ix. 5 , xxiii. 8 , xxxy. 15 (xxxii. 19). The Nazarenes found their stumblingblock in the person or circumstances of Jesus; He became a
 ix. 33) to those who disbeliered. The Cross enormously increased the difficulties of belief for those who expected external display; see I Cor. i. 23, Gal. v. 11. But for such there were difficulties from the first.
4. каì ë̀ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$ aúroîs $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] An answer to the objection which He anticipates (Le.), that the Capharnaites had been more favoured than His own fellow-townsmen. In His own city He would have been reccived with less alacrity; people are slow to credit with extraordinary powers one who has lived from childhood under
 ärıдos $\epsilon i \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \lambda$. . (Mt. Mc.) Lc. sub-

 to regard Judaea as the $\pi a \tau \rho$ is (cf. Westcott ad l. and Origen in Corderius, p. 138), has a reminiscence of the saying in its earlier form (iv.



Comp. Oxyrhynch. log. 6. The Lord here assumes the rôle of the Prophet which was generally conceded to Him (vi. 15 , viii. 28 , Mt. xxi. 11, 46 , Lc. xxiv. 19, Jo. iv. 19, vi. 14, vii. 40 ,
 $\sigma u \nu=\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu_{\epsilon \in \sigma y}$ : for the form of. I Macc. x. 89 ( $\mathrm{Nc}^{c \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{A}$ ), Le. ii. 44 ( $\mathrm{LX} \Delta \mathrm{A}$ I, 13, 33, 69, al.); see WH., Notes, p. 158, W Schm., p. 89, Blass, Gr., p. 27. Of the $\dot{d} \tau u \mu i a$ cast upon the Lord by His kindred and family (in oikía aírov̂) see exx. in iii. 21, Jo. vii. 3 f.

 an interesting comment on Mc.'s




 To work a miracle upon a responsible human being it was necessary that faith on the part of the recipient should concur with Divine power; neither was effectual without the



 sary also on the part of the worker of the miracle (see Mt. xvii. 19, 20), but in our Lord's case this condition was always satisfied (Mc. xi. ${ }^{2}$ f., Jo. xi. 41).



 $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ \alpha \nu \alpha \cup \backslash \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
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of the imposition of hands in such cases，Mc．v．23，vii．32，viii．23， 25. These works of healing at Nazareth must，it would appear，have preceded the scene in the syuagogue，which was immediately followed by the Lord＇s expulsion from the town（Lc．iv． 28 ff ．）．
 as the limitation of His power，was real and not apparent only．Cf．Mt． viii．Io，where the Lord expresses wonder at a high degree of faith under conditions where faith was not to be expected．The surprises of life， especially those which belong to its ethical and spiritual side，created genuine astonishment in the human mind of Christ．Өaupásety is usually followed in the N．T．by $\epsilon \pi i$ with dat． （Le．iv．22，xx．26，Acts iii．12），rep with gen．（Lc．ii．I8）or an acc．of the objeet（Le．vii．9，xxiv．12，Acts vii．31）． $\Delta a$ with ace points to the cause of the sensation wilich the Lord experienced． Cf．WM．，p． 497.

6b－i 3．Anotuer Clrcuit of Gali－ lee；Mission of the Twelve（Mt． ix．35－x．I，x．5－xi．I，Lc．ix．I－6）．
$6 b$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho\left\llcorner\hat{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu\right.$ tàs $\left.\kappa \omega^{\prime} \mu a s\right]$ Another circuit of the villares and towns（Mt． ràs $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ тágas）of Galilee（cf．Mc． i． 38 f．）．Kún $\lambda \boldsymbol{\mu}$ does not limit the tour to the neighbourtrood of Naza－ reth，but implies that，after passing from town to town，He came back to a point near that from which He started，i．c．the neighbourhood of the Lake；see vi．32．$\Delta ı \delta a ́ \sigma x \omega \nu: ~ M t$.

 as usual，included（1）Synagogue－ teaching，（2）proclamation of the Kingdom in houses or by the road－ side，（3）incidental miracles of heal－ ing．Unbelief no longer prevented the manifestation of His power．For $\pi \epsilon \rho \cdot a \dot{\gamma \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ intr．with acc．loci cf．Mt．ix． 35，xxiii．I5．

7．трогкалєітає то⿱亠乂s $\delta$ б́ठєкка］The Twelve are now a recognised body， who can be summoned as such at the pleasure of the Head．Пробк．implies authority，cf．Mc．xv．44，Lc．xv． 26. It is，however，characteristic of our Lord that His summons is by no means linited to disciples ：cf．iii．23，
 14，viii．34，тробкал．то̀ у ${ }^{2} \chi$ доv．With

 （Acts xxi．8）．
 the ultimate purpose of their selection （iii． 15 ，where see note）．The time had now come for testing the results of their preparatory training．

סvio ov́o］As in Lxx，Gen．vi．ig f．， vii． 2 f．， 9,15 ．Vg．binos，in pairs $=2 \boldsymbol{\nu}{ }^{2}$ súo（cf．D here），a Hebraism which Delitzsch renders שִׁen p．312，Blass，Gr．，p．145．On the purpose of this arrangement see Lathan，Pastor p．，p． 297 f．Thpht．
 éva．Galilee was now evangelised in six different directions．The pairs




 KMAI alpt
were probably arranged as in the A postolic lists, as Victor suggests.

 'EXiOov: He was occupied in giving them their authority (imperf.), and while doing so, He charged them (aor.) etc. 'Egovaía is the note of the authorised servants, as it was that of the Master Himself, cf. i. 27 , xiii. 34 . T $\begin{gathered}\omega \nu \\ \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\end{gathered}$ gen. of the object, cf. Jo. xvii. 2, Rom. ix. 21, I Cor. ix. I2; other constructions are in use, as $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ with acc. (Lc. ix. I) or gen. (Apoc. ii.
 17) or катá тıvos (Jo. xix. it). On $\pi \nu$. àка́ $\theta a \rho т а$ see $\mathbf{i} .23$ note.

Mt., Lc. extend the commission to the healing of diseases and the preaching of the Kingdom. Both preaching and healing were in fact included, cf. Mc. $v .12$.
 is used after $\pi a \rho a y y^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ again in 2 Thess. iii. 12; after таракад $\hat{\omega}$ it is frequent (I Cor. i. 10, xvi. 12, 15 , 2 Cor. vii. 6, xii. 8). In all these cases the tclic use of iva is in the background of the thought, but the sense is hardly distinguishable from that of the ordinary construction with the inf., or from a direct imperative; cf. Lc. here ( $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \ldots \mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \quad a \ddot{\nu} \rho \epsilon \tau \varepsilon)$. Eis ódóv, as a travelling outfit: Lc. more explicitly, cis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ódóv, for this particular journey. For the anarthrous phrase ef. Mc. x. 17, Lc. xi. 6.
 $\dot{\rho} \dot{a} \beta \delta o \nu)$ and Lc. ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \quad \dot{\rho}$.) exclude cven this-an early exaggeration of the stermess of the command, for it is impossible to assent here to Augustine's ruling (de cons. ev. ii. 75)
"utrumque accipiendum est a Domino Apostolis dictum." The staff was the universal companion of the traveller, whatever else he might lack; see
 $\mu o v \quad \delta u \notin \beta \eta \nu$ т̀̀ $\nu$ 'Iop $\delta a ́ v \eta v$, and with the whole passage comp. Exod. xii. II. Much forethought was ordinarily expended on a journey, cf. Tob. v. 17, and the delightful picture in Jos. ix. 10 (4) ff. M $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \ldots \mu \eta^{\prime} \ldots \mu \eta$ carry on the
 Lc.). The order is ascensive: 'no bread, wo bag to carry what they could buy, no money to buy with.' This point is missed in Le., and in the later text of Mc. (cf. Vg. non peram non panem). חńpa is a leathern bag to carry provisions, cf. 4 Regn. iv. 42 (cod. N, Compl.), Judith x. 5, xiii.
 äprav. The word is found from Homer downwards. On the significance of this direction cf. Victor: ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon$ кай



 a silver piece' (shekel or drachma); Mt. $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \quad \chi \rho u \sigma \grave{o} \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ă $\rho \gamma \nu \rho о \nu$ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\iota} \chi \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa o ́ v . ~ T h e ~ g i r d l e ~ s e r v e d ~ a s ~ a ~}$ purse for smail change (cf. the classical phrase єis $\zeta \omega \nu \eta \nu$ סiסoन $\theta a i$ ), or, when secrecy was necessary, for considerable sums of money (Suet. Vitell. 16, "zona se aureorum plena circumdedit"), but on this occasion it was to be empty; much less was the missioner to carry a $\beta$ a $\lambda \lambda$ d́vt $\omega$ v (Lc. x .4 ).
9. à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ vinoôє $\delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ к т \lambda.] ~ A ~ s u d-~$ den break in the construction, suggestive of the disjointed notes on


 me go arm $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \delta v \sigma \theta a \iota$ LN $\Sigma \min ^{\text {mu }}$
which the Evangelist depended. The writer, forgetting that he has used $i v a$, falls back upon the ordinary construction of mapaj ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with the inf. (oratio variata, WM., p. 724, Buttmann, p. 330, Blass, Gr. p. 286; Bengel compares xii. 38 , $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i \nu . . . \kappa a i$ d̀ $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu o u ́ s)$; others with less probability regard $\dot{v} \pi \circ \delta \in-$
 'infinitive imperatives,' cf. Burton, § 365. If we read t̀ovívaन $\theta_{\epsilon}$, another change follows, from the oratio obliqua to the o. recta; see other N. T. cxx. in WM., p. 725. For vinod.

 both used in the Lxx. as equivalents of xx. 2, Judith x. 4, xvi. 9); in the N. T., $\sigma a \nu \delta$. occurs again only in Acts xii. 8; the form סנדל is found in Rabbinical writings (Schürer II. i. p. 44 n ). The ravóàtov was in Greece part of the womal's attire (Becker, Charicles, p. 447), but in the East it appears to have been used by men also, esp. perhaps in travelling. There seems to be no warrant for distin-
 may have been used here and in Acts l.c. (see Blass) in order to avoid
 Mc. is here again at issue with Mt.; see note on $v .8$ ( $\epsilon \hat{i} \mu \grave{\eta} \hat{\rho}$. $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu o \nu)$.
 sufficed, cf. Jo. xix. 23, тà í $\mu$ átıa... $\delta$ $\chi^{\iota \tau} \dot{\nu} v$ : to possess two was a sign of comparative wealth, ef. Lc. iii. it. Two were however sometimes worn at the same time, esp. perhaps in travelling; see Joseph. ant. xvii. 5 -
 cf. Mc. xiv. 63 . It is the wearing of
two on this journey which is prohibited ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta$.) ; Mt. and Lc. extend the prohibition to the possession of



On the general purpose of these directions see Latham, p. 290 ff . No hardship was suffered by the Apostles in consequence (Lc. xxii. 35), while an important lesson was taught to the future Church: comp. Mt. x. io with I Tim. v. I8. For the mystical interpretation see Origen in Jo. t. i.


 19; de princ. iv. 18; and cf. Bigg, Christian Platonists, p. 137 f.
 directions given above imply that the missionaries were to look for froc entertainment. The Lord adds two general rules for their guidance in this matter: (I) 'during your visit to any town remain in the same house,' (2) 'do not force yourselves on an unwilling people or quit them withont solemn warning.'
 was not to be chosen at haphazard, but by a careful selection of the fittest (Mt.) ; Jerome in Mt. ix., "apostoli novan introeuntes urbem scire non poterunt quis qualis esset. ergo hospes fama eligendus est populi et indicio vicinorum." Having made their choice, they wore to be content with the fare it offered, and not to change their lodging unnecessarily (cf. Lc. x. 7). St Paul scems to have followed this rule in his mission to the Gentiles; see Auts xvi. 15, xvii. 5-7, xviii. 7; only during his captivity at lome do we find him dwelling ev idio $\mu \sigma \theta \omega_{\mu}^{\prime} a \tau \iota$. Contrast the care with












which the next age found it necessary to guard itself against an abuse of this privilege of the itinerant preach-





 The giving or withholding hospitality in this case was not a personal matter; it was a visible sign of acceptance or rejection of the Master and the Father Who sent Him (Mt. X. 40, Lc. x. 16), and therefore an index of the relation in which the inhabitants as a whole stood to the eternal order. Mt. extends the principle to the case of the individual householder who refuses hospitality. For $\delta \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ in the sense of hospitable or courteous reception comp. Acts xxi. 17, 2 Cor. vii. 15, Gal. iv. 14 ,
 $\dot{\chi} \mu \omega \nu$ : 'nor will they even give you a hearing.'

 то́лєшs éкєìns: see last note. 'Eктt-
 Kaì тò̀ коviopтòv (Mt. x. 14) тòv код-
 тоѝs то́das алтодагбо́ $\epsilon \theta$, and Acts xiii. 5I, where Paul and Bamabas are said to have acted upon this
precept at Pisidian Antioch. The act was understood to be a formal disavowal of fellowship, and probably also an intimation that the offender had placed himself on the level of the Gentilcs, for it is a Rabbinical doctrine that the dust of a Gentile land defiles. The Israelite who rejected the Messiah became as an є́ $\theta_{v i \kappa o ́ s, ~ c f . ~ M t . ~ x v i i i . ~ 17 . ~ T h e ~ g a r-~}^{\text {g }}$ ments were sometimes shaken with the same purpose (Acts xviii. 6).
eis paptipioy avirois] Cf. i. 44, xiii. 9. The action just prescribed was not to be performed in a contemptuous or vindictive spirit, but with a view to its moral effect: either it would lead to reflexion and possibly repentance, or at least it would justily God's future judgment (cf. Mt. x. 15, Le. x. 12). The reference to Sodons and Gomorrah inserted by $A$ and a few of the later uncials is from Mt.
 this use of iva see note on $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \eta_{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ ...iva (v. 8). Metávola was the theme of their preaching, metavocite its chief summons ; ff. i. 15, Lc. xxiv. 47, Acts xx. 21. Further, its aim and purpose were to produce repentance, and from this point of view iva retains its telic force : cf. Vg. praedicabant ut paenitentiam agerent. The pres. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o-$ $\bar{\omega} \sigma, y$ represents the repentance as a

## 

 бтоvs каi $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon є o v$.


#### Abstract

  


state and not merely an act following upon the preaching.
13. $\delta a \mu \mu o ́ v a \operatorname{\pi o\lambda } \lambda \grave{a} \notin \xi \in \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu]$ They found themselves invested with the same authority over unclean spirits which had been the earliest note of the Master's mission (i. 23), and from time to time they exercised it (imperf.). But they were not invariably suceessful (ix. 18); and when they succeeded, it was through a believing use of the Master's Name (' Mc. xfi. 17, Lce, ix. 49).
 єiкòs d̀́ kaì toûto mapà tṑ kupiov $\delta \delta \delta a \chi$ Ồnva toùs àmoaródous. Oil was much used in medical treatment: ef. Le. x. 34, Joseph. B. J. i. 33. 5. Galen (cited by J. B. Mayor) calls it
 $\mu e ́ \nu o t s ~ к а і ̀ ~ a v ̉ \chi \mu \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma t ~ \sigma ต ่ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu: ~ I s a i a h ~$

 See also J. Lightfoot ad loc. and Schöttgen on James v. I4. As used by the Apostles and followed by immediate results, it was no more than a sign of healing power, but it served perhaps to differentiate their miracles from those performed by the Master, Who does not appear to have employed any symbol but His own hands or saliva. After Ilis departure the Apostles and other disciples laid their hands upon the sick ('Mc.' xvi. I8, Acts xxpiii. 8, Iren. ii. 32. 4), but the use of oil held its place at least among Jewish Christians (James, l.c.). Traces of a ritual use of the unction of the sick appear first among Gnostic practices of the second century (Iren. i. 21. 5) ; on the later ecclesiastical
rite see the anthorities cited in D.C.A. ii. p. 2004 f. Victor remarks: $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon$





 in this Apostolic practice a precedent for the Western use of unction with which he was familiar: "unde patet $a b$ ipsis apostolis hune sanctae ecclesiae morem esse traditum ut energumeni vel alii quilibet aegroti ungantur oleo pontificali benedictione consecrato."
 change of tense is perhaps intended to mark the incidental character of the miracles The preaching is regarded as a whole, the miracles are mentioned as occurring from time to time during the course of the preaching. The traditional text misses this point; cf. Vg. praedicabant...eiciebant...unguebant.

14-16. The Fame of Jesues beaches the Tetrarch (Mt. xiv. I2 , Le. ix. 7-9).

 $\mu \in \nu a$ пád $\nu a$. Mt. distinctly connects this with the circuit of Galilee which began at Nazareth (xiv. 1, dy éxeive T仑̂̀ кalpê). It was not so much the miracles at Capernaum, as the stir throughout the entire tetrarchy (Lc. тà $\gamma(\nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ла́ $\nu \tau a)$ and the great diffusion of the movement caused by the mission of the Twelve, which attracted the attention of Antipas. The court, even if located at Tiberias, could regard






with indifference the preaching of a local prophet，so long as it was limited to the Jewish lake－side towns ；but when it was systematically carried into every part of the country，suspicion was
 （Mt．Lc．）．Mc．does not use the latter word，and Mt．falls back on $\beta$ agidev＇s in the course of his narrative（xiv．9）； cf．Acts iv． 26,27 ，Justin，dial． 49 （ $($






 tetrarch was in fact a petty king，and may have beencalled $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \in u_{s}$ as an act of courtesy：he possessed a jurisdiction with which the Imperial authorities were ordinarily reluctant to interfere （Lc．xxiii．7）．Yet an attempt to claim the title from Caligula led to the downfall of Antipas：Joseph．aut． xviii．7．2．On the life and character of Antipas see Schürer Lii． 17 ff．

фаvє $\dot{\partial} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{a} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］Notoriety was inevitable，although it was not desired； cf．iii．12，vii． 24 Bengel ：＂Iesus prius non innotuit．．．sero aula accipit novellas spirituales．＂What especially arrested Herod＇s attention was the common report（ $\epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \% \nu}$ ：see vw．ll． and cf．Field，Notes，p．28）that the new prophet was a resuscitated John． As Elijah was thought to lave re－ appeared in John，so John had re－ turned to life in his successor． Origen（in Jo．t．vi． 30 ）suggests that the Baptist and our Lord were so like in personal appearance $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{c} \dot{a} \tau \grave{u}$

 án⿻甲一 ：cf．however his remarks in Mt．
 ＇Eyj $\boldsymbol{y}$ epral，＇has risen＇and is there－ fore alive and amongst us again ：cf． I Cor．xy．2o．＇H ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \theta \eta_{\eta}$（Mt．Le．，and below，$v .16$ ）is scarcely distinguish－ able in a translation（cf．xwi．6，and see Burtom， 52 f．），but the perf．concerns itself less with the historical fact and more with the result．
 In life John did no miracle（Jo．x． 4 I）， but John risen from the dead might well be supposed to have brought with him new and supernatural powers（ek
 ovpүєiv，Thpht．），or，as Origen（in Mt．t． x．20）suggests，the same powers turned



 otiovs ôvvápets．＇Evєpyoūct，Vg．in－ operantur，＇are operative，＇intrans．， as in Gal．ii．$\overline{8}$, Eph．ii．2，Phil．ii．I3 （rò évep $\bar{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \nu$ ）：cf．Sap．xv．iı，$\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$
 followed by an ace．of the thing effected，cf．I Cor．xii．6， 1 1，Gal．iii．5，
 used intransitively，e．g．Rom．vii．5， 2 Cor．i．6，Eph．iii．20，Col．i．29；for a further distinction noticed in St Yaul see Lightfoot on Gal．v．6．On
 Lightfoot on Gal．ii．8，and for other instances cf．Eph．i．20，ii．2，r Thess． ii．13．Ai סuvajets，the miraculous powers of which report spoke ；for Sivapis in this sense see 1 Cor．xii．10， 28，Gal．iii 5 （Lightfoot）－more usually， the miraculous acts which the powers









 o jap $\mathbf{N s}^{\mathrm{c} a} \mathrm{~L}$ me go
effect（vi．2，Acts xis．11， 2 Cor． xii．12）．
 were agreed as to the wonder－working power of Jesus，opinions differed as to His personality．Those who saw the ab－ surdity of identifying Lin with John， took Him for Elijah，with whom John had refused to be identified（Jo．i． 21）．This opinion was perhaps widely spread in Galilee，where no suspicion seems to have been as yet entertained of His Messiahship．If Elijah must come before Messiah（ix．I1），why should not this be Elijah？Of．viii． 28，and note on ix．II．Others again were content to say that Jesus was a prophet of the highest order，the equal of the Prophets of the O．T．canon（oi $\pi \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$, Tob．xiv． 4 （N），5，Acts iii． 21， 24 f．）．＇$\Omega s \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i s} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \omega \bar{\nu}:$ cf． Jud．xvi．7，II（cod．BA），光боцаи wis e is $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ，on a par with other
 takes another form ：$\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \eta s \tau i s \tau \omega \nu$
 －the name of Jeremiah was especi－ ally connected in the popular expec－ ration（Mt．xvi．14）with the hope of a revival of the propheticorder．This hope，which seems to have been based on Deut．xviii． 15 ，appears in the Maccabean age（i Mace．iv．46，xiv． 41），and was revived by the appear－ lance of the Baptist（Jo．i．2I）．Jesus Himself claimed to be a Prophet（see note on $v .4$ ）．
 ＇Aкov＇ras takes up the thread which had been dropped in $v .14$ ，whero instead of continuing kali ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{O} \nu$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．，the Evangelist goes off into the parenthesis фаvepòv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \pi \rho o \phi \eta$－ ： $\bar{\omega} v$ ．Herod was at first in doubt which of these conjectures to accept （Lc，$\left.\delta \iota \eta \pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho \epsilon\right)$ ，but finally decided in favour of the first．His conscience turned the scale in its favour．Le．re－ presents him as still sceptical（I adar $\nu$
 in Mc．fear has changed a reasonable doubt into credulity：＇I put John to death，and now he has risen to con－ demo me．＇This conviction is the more remarkable since Herod＇s frank worldliness probably predisposed him to Sadducean views（comp．Mt．xvi． II with Mc．viii． 15 ）．Eth．：$\dot{\delta}$ фovevigas
 $\gamma^{\grave{a} \rho}$ o．какós．For the construction ${ }_{o v}^{2} \ldots{ }^{2} \mathrm{I} \omega a ́ v \eta y$ giros see WM．，p． 205 ：
 chi．7：Kennedy，Sources，p． 130. ＇by＇ $\mathrm{f} \theta_{\mathrm{p}}$ ：has risen（as a fact）：see note on $v$. IA．

On the treatment of this verse in the Eusebian canons see Nestle，Text． Crit．p． 263 f．

17－29．Episode of Joni＇s Tm－ prisonment and Death（Mt．xiv． 3－12；cf．Le．iii．19－20）．

17．aùròs yáp kT．］Mc．is here much fuller than Mt．，while Lc．gives but a bare summary of the causes of

##  

 


the imprisonment. Certain coincidences (comp. vv. 17, 22, 23, 26, 28, 29 with Mit. xiv. 3, 6, 8, 9, 21, 22) point to the dependence of Mt. and Mc. on a comnion source which Mt.'s sense of the secondary importance of the narrative has perhaps led him to abbreviate. Aúrós answers to the emphatic $\epsilon \gamma \omega^{\prime}$ of $v$. 16: the first step at least had been taken by Herod himself, who had sent (to Aenon ? cf. Jo. iii. 23; on the position see Tristram, Bible Places, p. 234) to have John arrested. For this sense of крateir see xii. I2, xiv. I ff. The events can be placed with some precision. John was still baptizing during the Lord's early ministry in Judaea, after the first Passover (Jo. iii. 23 f .). But before Jesus left Judaea (Mt. iv. i2), certainly before ILe began His ministry in Galilee (Mc. i. 14), the Baptist was already a prisoner. On the other hand his dcath had not long preceded the report of the new Prophet's successes. He was alive for some time after the beginning of the Galilean ministry (Mt. xi. 2 ff., Lc. vii. I8), and the tidings of the murder of the Baptist seem to have brought the recent circuit to an end (ML. xiv. 12, 13). Hence, while the narrative of Mc. vi. 17, 18 carries us back to the interval which follows i. I3, Mc. vi. $2 \mathrm{I}-29$ is but slightly out of its chronological order. 'E $\nu$ $\phi u \lambda a \kappa \hat{\eta}:$ cf. $\bar{\epsilon} v \tau_{\hat{l}}^{\hat{l}} \phi \quad \phi \lambda a k \hat{\eta}(v .28)$ and $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ ant. xviii. 5. 2 gives the locality of


 таúty ктivvutal. For a description of this formidable fortress see $B . J$. vii. 6. I , and for the local histury and
topography see G. A. Smith, II. G. p. 569 f., Schürer I. ii. p. 250 f. n., Neubaver, G. du T. p. 4o, Tristram, Land of Moab, p. 253 ff. Machaerus (רוור, M'khawr) overlooked the Dead Sea, perched on the wild heights opposite to the wilderness of Judaea (i, 4) ; the tragedy of the Baptist's death was enacted within view of the scene of his early work. The citadel stood on the summit of a cone, a small but almost impregnable circular keep, within which Tristram noticed two dungeons with "small holes still visible in the masonry where staples of wood and iron had once been fixed."
 Her first husband was not Philip the tetrarch (Lc. iii. 1, cf. Mc. viii. 27), but another half-brother of Antipas, son of Herod the Great by Marianne danghter of Simon. Joseph. ant.






 $\zeta \varrho \nu \tau 0 s$. From the Gospels it appears that this Herod also bore the name of Philip, and it is arbitrary to assume with Holtzmann that this is an error. Herodias herself was a granddaughter of Herod the Great (child of Aristobulus, Herod's son by the other Mariamne), and therefore niece to both Philip her first husband and Antipas.
 here in its proper sense =uxorem ducere: for $\gamma$ quêty $=$ nubere see x .12 , I Cor. vii. 28, 34. Autipas so far yielded to public opinion as to divorco






his first wife before lie married lierodias. She was a daughter of Areas the Nabathacan king of Petra, and her father subsequently severely chastised Antipas for his faithlessness (Joseph. ant. xviii. 5. 1).
 was, like Elijah, no frequenter of courts (Mt. xi. 8), and the message was perlaps sent by his disciples (cf. Mt. xi. 2); see on the other hand $v .20$, which implies some personal intercourse bctween Antipas and John. That the Baptist should have visited the court at Tiberias is inconceivable, but he might have shewn himself more than once at times when Herod was at Machaerus (cf. I Kings xvii. 1, xviii. I ff., xxi. I7 ff., 2 Kings i. 15).

 av่ทท่v); Mc. adds the principal ground on which the union is attacked. Antipas as a Jew was under the law of Lev. xviii. 16 . John's conduct is a notable instance of "boldness in rebuking vice" ( 1549 Collect for St J. Baptist's day).
 Herod silenced the Baptist by sending him down to the dungeons, and dismissed the matter from his mind. Not so Herodias; her resentment could be satisfied only by the Baptint's death. 'Eveizev, Vg. insidiabator. Wycliffe, "leide spies to him"; Tindale, "loyd wayte for him"; R.V., "set herself against him." For this intrans. use of évé $\bar{X} \boldsymbol{L} \nu$ cf. Gen, xix.
 tendebant in eur, Lyons Pent. insdiati sunt ai) кúpıo七 тоگєขдáт由у (see

Field, Notes, p. 28 f.): Le. xi. 53,
 The grammarians suggest an ellipsis of $\chi_{\text {ódoy (BIas, Gr. p. 182, cf. WM. }}$ p. 742 ; cf. Herod. i. 118 , vi. 119 , viii. 27). Hesychius gives the general
 mev ( $J$. Th. St., i., p. 619) compares the English provincialism 'to have it in with' (or 'for') 'a man,' ie. 'to be on bad terms or have a quarrel with him.' Aùrê may be regarded as the dat. incommode (WM., p. 265).
 was wanting, not the will. The inperfects indicate the normal attitude of Herodias toward the Baptist.
 $\nu \eta \nu]$ The tradition in M t , is strangely different: $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ aủròv àmoктeivas éqo-
 $\epsilon \epsilon_{X} \in \nu$. The end of this sentence occurs again with unimportant varialions in Mt. xxi. 26, and is perhaps a reminiscence of that context. Mc.'s account has the ring of real life : Herod was awed by the purity of John's character, feared him as the bad fear the good (Bengel: "renerabilem facit sanctitas...argumentum verse religions timor malorum"). The attitude of Ahab towards Elijah is remarkably similar ; it is Jezebel, not Ahab, who plots Elijah's death (i
 blameless in his relations to his fellowmen and to God. The order is ascensive, as in Apoc. xxii. ir ; for ảytos $k$. סíkatos sec Acts iii. 14, Rom. vii. 12. $\Delta$ ckatorivn is also coupled with of cato Ts (Sap. ix. 3, Lc. i. 75, Eph. iv. 24) and єváféa ( I Tim vi. 1 , Tit. ii, ri z).








 ${ }^{\alpha}$ ávos.

кaì ovverýpet à̀úov] protected him, Vg. custodiebat eum, Wycliffe, "kepte him," Tiudale, Cranmer, Geneva, "gave him reverence," A.V. "observed him": R.V. "kept him safely" ("contra Herodiadem," Bengel). surmpeiv, which belongs to the later Greek, is common in the Apocr. (Tob. ${ }^{2}$ Sir. ${ }^{14}$ I, 2 Macc. ${ }^{11}$ ), and occurs also in Prov. ${ }^{(1),}$ Ezek. ${ }^{(1)}$, and Dan. (Lxx. ${ }^{4}$ Th. ${ }^{3}$ ), meaning 'to keep' (e.g. tòv vóuov, ràs èpro入ás'), or 'preserve' (e.g. Sir. xvii. 22, $\chi$ ápı $\nu$
 meaning there is an example in Lc. ii. 19; the latter is illustrated by Mt. ix. 17, and is clearly required herc. Possibly under the circumstances Autipas regarded imprisonment as the best safeguard. From time to time during his visits to Machaerus he had the Baptist brought up from the dungeon, and gave him audience. These repeated interviews (imperf.) pleased Antipas ( ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \delta \bar{\delta} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ $\eta^{\prime} \times \sigma v \in \nu$, cf. Lc. xxiii. 8) at the time, bracing his jaded mind as with a whiff of desert air. At the same time they perplexed him ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi$ ópfi), leaving behind a tangle of confused thoughts and purposes which led to 110 definite course of action. This psychological picture-the portrait of a $\delta i \psi w_{0}$ as avp (Bruce)-is one of great interest for the Christian teacher and the student of liuman nature. For $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ́$ used adverbially see i. 45 , iii. 12, v. 10, 43; and for the reading $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀$ є̇поifı (Vg. mulla faciebat) see WH., Notes, p. 25 ; Field, Notes, p. 29 f.;

Nestle, Text. Crit., p. 264 'Алорє is less usual than àmopeiotac, but sec Sap. xi. 5, 17, and Lc. ix. 7 ( (\$ヶp $\quad$ ópec).
 cum dies oportunus accidisset. IIerodias found her opportunity (cf. 2 Mace, xiv. 29, єยี้каєрои є́тท́pєі, Mt.
 occurs again in Heb. iv. 16, кis єïкацро $\left.\beta_{0} \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon} a \nu\right)$. It was supplied by the birthday of Autipas: cf. Gen. xl zoff. In Attic Gk. тà $\begin{aligned} \text { evé } \sigma t a \\ \text { is used of }\end{aligned}$ commemorations of the dead, the birthday feast of a living man being
 (2 Mace. vi. 7); see Lob. Phryn. p. 103, Rutherford, N. Phr., p. 184. But the later Gk. neglects or even reverses this distinction; cf. Polyc.



 has been made in the interests of a particular scheme of chronology to interpret $\tau$ à $y \in \nu \dot{\cos \sigma a}$ as the day of Herod's accession (Wieseler, syn. p. 266 ff .) ; on this see Schürer I. ii. p. 26 n .

тоїs $\mu \in у \iota \sigma \tau \bar{a} \sigma \iota \nu \kappa т \lambda$.$] Vg. principi-$ bus et tribunis et primis Galilaeae. Mcyurtàves ( $\mu$ cүuctáv), freq. in the later books of the Lxx., esp. I Esdr., Sir., Jer., Dan., in the N. T. used again Apoc. vi. 15 , xviii. 23; cf. Joseph. ant. xi. 3. 2, vit. 23, 3 I ; a word of the later Gk. (Lob. Phryn. p. I47, Sturz, de dial. Mac., p. 182): the Vg . equivalent is usually mag nates, but the Gk. word was taken over by later writers under the Empire (Thac., Suet). Cf. Dan. v. I (Th.),






 syrr ${ }^{\text {sin pesth }}$ arm me go aeth)] avtou $\mathrm{H} \rho$. NBDL $\Delta 2^{\text {pe }} 2^{3}{ }^{8} \mid \eta \rho \in \sigma \epsilon \mu N B C^{*} \mathrm{~L} 33^{\text {e ff me }}$






 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a ̂ \sigma \iota y$ aủtô̂ (LXx, тoîs étaípots
 (Jo. xviii. 12, Acts xxi.-xxy. passim ; see Blass on Acts xxi. 31) was properly the tribunus militum, who commanded a Roman cohort; here he is doubtless the corresponding officer in the army of the tetrarch.
 civil dignitaries, so the $\chi^{\text {ditap }} \chi^{\boldsymbol{r}}$ were the chief military officers of Galilee and Peraea (cf. Apoc. vi. 15, oi ßact-
 $\left.\chi^{i \lambda i} a^{2} \chi^{\circ}\right)$. With these were invited the leading provincials, oi $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{o c}$ tîs

 xix. 47 , Acts xiii. 50, xxv. 2, xxviii. 7 ,
 (Joseph. vit. 5, 7). The three classes are distinguished by the repetition of the article : of. WM., p. 160.
 Antipas, true to the Greek tastes of his family, permits licentious dancing after the $\delta \varepsilon i \pi \nu 0 y$ (sec reff. in Wetstein on Mt. xiv. 6), and the priucipal op$\chi^{n} \sigma \pi \rho i s$ is the daughter of Herodias. Notwithstanding the weighty documentary evideuce by which it is supported, the reading rìs $\theta u \gamma$, à่тои
 the girl as bearing her mother's name and as the daughiter of Antipas, can scarcely be anything but an crror, even if a primitive one; her name was Salome and she was the grandnicee, not the daughter of Antipas (see note to 0.17 , and cf. Justin, dial.
 Aưगخ̄s $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $\mathrm{H} \rho$. yields an excellent serse, emphasising the fact that for the sake of gratifying her resentment this haughty woman, the daughter of a king and wife of a tetrarch, submitted her child to a degradation usually limited to étaîpas.
 another mood, had found pleasure in the preaching of John (c. 20). Oi $\sigma v y-$
 39 , Le. vii. 49 , xiv. 10,15 .

ס $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ßaci入tús] See note on v. 14 T $\hat{q}$ корабі白: cf. $\mathbf{v}, 41,42$. For коpácoor used of a girl of marriageable age of. Esth. ii. $9, \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \rho \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$ av̀rộ rò коpáotov; and sec Kcunedy, Sources, p. 154. Salome was alterwards married to Philip the tetrarch, and after his death to another nember of the Herod family (Joseph. ant. xviii. 5-4).
 Esther is still in the writer's mind;
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 For aireìd scyá $\tau \iota$ see WM., p. 284, and for $\dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma o v s=\frac{j}{\eta} \mu i \sigma \in o s$, Lob. Phryn. p. 347 ; cf. Blass, Gr., p. 27. ${ }^{*} 0 \mu \sigma-$
 aưT $\hat{\imath}$, ef. Heb. vi. 16.
 Leaving the banqueting room when her part was finished, Salome joins her mother in the women's apartments and enquires eagerly' What am I to ask for myself? With airívomas (delib. conj., WM., p. 356, Burton, § 168 f.) comp. Herod's aín $\quad$ rov, air $\eta$ '$\sigma_{\text {In }}$ : in the girl's mind the nppermost thought is her own advantage. See James iv. 2, I Jo. v. 14, I5, with Mayor's and Westcott's notes; and ef. Blass, Gr., p. 186. The answer of Herodias is ready: ' the head of John.' Thuiz, as Mt. says, in the outrage that followed the daughter was
 -not an uncommon feature in the history of crime. The unfortunate use of this incident by Chrysostom in his quarrel with the Entpress Eudoxia is familiar to students of Church History
 Yg. baptistae; see on $v .14$, and cf. то̂́ $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{\Delta}$, v. 25.
 The girl seems to have entered at
once into the spirit of her mother's thirst for revenge, whether because she shared Herodias's aversion to the stern preacher, or rejoiced in the opportunity of shewing the power she had gained over her stepfather. Mєтà anovṑns, Exod. xii. 1I, Ps. lxxyii. (lxxviii.) 33 , Sap. xix. 2, Ezech. vii. II, Sus. 50 (74), 3 Macc. v. 24, Le. i. 39 ; other phrases in Lxx. and N. T. are
 Өé $\lambda \omega$ ì $\nu a$ (WM., p. 422 f.) occurs again in $x .35$, Jo. xvii. 24 ; the conjunction is often dropped (x. $3^{6}, 5 \mathrm{I}$, xiv. 12, xv. 9 , al.), the subjunctive being in such cases perhaps simply 'deliberative'; sce Burton, § 171. 'E $\xi a v i \hat{\eta} s$, i.e. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in$ aù $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} p a s$, 'at once, here and now'; elsewhere limited within the N.T. to Acts ${ }^{(4)}$ Paul ${ }^{(1)}$, a word of the later Gk., see Lob. Phryn. 47; Wctstein ad loc. cites exx. of its use in Philo, Josephus and Polybius. This demand for the immediate delivery of the head seems to locate the banquet at Machaerus ; cf. Mt. $\bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon-\mathrm{a}$ supposition surely not excluded by the presence of the $\pi \rho \hat{\rho}$ Herod the Great had built a large and splendid palace at Machaerus (Joseplı. B. J. vii. 6. 2, cf. Sclürer r. ii, 27 n., Hastings, D. B. iii. p. 196 f.). 'Eni $\pi$ ivaкı, Vg . disco: the word is used in the same sense in Lc. xi. 39 , т̀̀ $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \omega \theta \in \nu$ тои̂ тотทрíov каі тои̂ тivaкоs: for other meanings cf. 4 Macc. xvii. 7 ,

тои̂ $\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{u} . \quad{ }^{26}$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho і$ íдитоs $\gamma \epsilon \nu о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ ó $\beta \alpha \sigma t-26$









 $\left.28 \kappa a \iota 1^{\circ}\right]$ o $\delta \in \operatorname{ADCII}$ al $\mid$ om authy $I^{\circ} \mathrm{L} \Delta \mathrm{I}$ bcq syppesh arm

Lc. i. 63 ( $\pi$ uvaki $\delta i o \nu$ ). The banquet suggested the use of a plate, but this piece of grim irony was due, it may be hoped, to the older woman (cf. Mt. xiv. 8; Justin, dial. l.c.).
26. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \lambda \nu \pi$ os $\gamma \epsilon v^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} о$ os $\beta$.] The sense of $\pi \epsilon \rho \sum^{\prime} \lambda u \pi$ os is well illustrated by the following passages where it occurs: Gen. iv. 6, I Esdr. viii. 71
 кaì $\pi$., lxx.), Lc. xviii. 23. Mt. has merely $\lambda u \pi \eta \theta$ cis. Herod's grief was genuine, if shallow : it is unnecessary to suppose that he was dissembling (Jerome, "iustitiam praeferebat in facie, quum laetitiam haberet in mente"). $\Delta$ à toùs öpкous: for the pl see 2 Macc . iv. 34 , vii. 24 . Thpht., $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \tau o p-$
 ка入óv. Jerome asks, "Si patris, si matris postulasset interitum, facturus fuerat an non?" Oủк $\dot{\eta} \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{a} \theta \epsilon-$
 with her, set aside her claims,' "disappoint her" (Ficld) : cf. Lc. x. 16, I Th. iv. 8 ; the word is more commonly used of things than of persons,
 т $\dot{\eta} \nu \chi^{\text {á } \rho \nu \nu ~(G a l . ~ i i . ~ 21), ~ \delta ~ \delta a \theta \eta ́ к \eta \nu ~(G a l . ~}$
 (1 Macc. vi. 62). For the sense 'to break faith' of. Ps. xiv. (xv.) 4, $\delta$

(ו!לא צימר), where the P.B. version renders "disappointeth him not."
27. à $\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon і \lambda a s . . . \sigma \pi \epsilon к о \nu \lambda a ́ \tau о \rho a] ~ M t . ~$ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ as (omitting $\sigma \pi$.). $\Sigma \pi \in \kappa о \nu \lambda$ át $\omega \rho$, speculator or less accurately spiculator, in the later Heb. ספקלטור (J. Lightfoot and Schöttgen ad loc.), is (1) a spy or scout, (2) an offcer attached to a legion for the purpose of keeping the look-out and of carrying dispatches; (3) since such military officers were frequently employed to carry out a sentence, an executioner-
 Tlupht.). The word occurs in the N.T. here only, but is of fairly frequent use in pagan and Rabbinic literature, and in the Acta Martyrum; see the reff. in Wetstein ad loc. or in Schürer 1. ii. 62 f . n . As illustrations of the meaning which the word bears in Mc., it may be sufficient to quote Seneca de irai. 16, "centurio supplicio praepositus condere gladium speculatorem inbet": de benef. iii. 25, "speculatoribus occurrit...cervicem porrexit." See the full discussion in Archbp Benson's Cyprian, p. 505 n., f.


28. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \ldots, \tau_{\hat{\eta}} \mu \eta \tau \rho i$ aivins $]$ For
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 vg syr ${ }^{\text {pest }}$ arm aeth $\quad 29$ aivo ABCLГ



25: for корaitiov, v. 22. The Evangelists draw a veil over the treatment which the head received from Herodias and Salome. For the legends connected with its subsequent fate see Sozom. H. E. vii. 21, Papebroch, Acta Sanctorum. The 'Decree of Gelasius" mentions an anonymous writing "de inventione capitis beati Johannis baptistae," adding "nonnulli eas catholicorum legunt." The Cathedral Church of Amiens claims to be in present possession of the head. In the Sarum Calendar Aug. 29 is marked Deollatio Jo. Bapt.; the Inventio capitis was sometimes identified with the Decollatio (see Bede ad loc.), but more commonly observed on Feb. 24. On the cause of John's martyrdom Victor quaintly remarks: $\mu$ оиХєía каі ö $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota s$ каі ӧркоs

 ขô̂ซtu.
29. каì ùкоv́qavтєs...‘̀ $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i \varphi]$ For other notices of the disciples of $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ hn see ii. 18 , Jo. i. 35, iii. 25, iv. 1, Acts xiк. if. T' $\pi \tau \bar{\omega} \mu a$ (Mt. Mc.), the headless body, the corpse, cf. Mt. xxiv. 28, and Apoc. xii. 8, 9 ; $\pi$ r. is also used in this sense by the cxx., see Ps. cix. (cx.) 6 ( $=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{?}$ ), Ezech. vi 5 (AQг, $=$ רנפ $)$. It was probably buried in one of the rock tombs round Machaerus (Mc. év $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i \varphi)$; but it was belicved to have been found at Sobaste (Samaria) in the time of Julian, when the bones were
burnt and the dust was scattered by the pagan party (Thdt. H. E. iii. 3); some portion of the remains, however, were secured by Christians, and preserved as relics (H. R. xxi.). Both the Baptist and our Lord received honourable burial ; contrast the fate of the two Apocalyptic witnesses (Apoc. xi. 9).

Mt. (xiv. 12, 13) adds that after the burial the disciples of John made their way to Jesus with the tidings, and that the Lord's movements were affected by what He heard from them: see note on the next verse.

30-44. Return to tile Sea. Feeding of tie Five Thousand (Mt. xiv. $13-21$; Lec. ix. $10-17$; Jo. vi. $1-13$ ).
 The Twelvo have now carned the title àmóvroдo which had been given to them apparently at the time of their selection (iii. I4); "apta huic loco appellatio" (Bengel). Mc. does not nse it again; in the later narrative of Le. it becomes an official name (Le. xvii. 5, xxii. 14, xxiv. IO, Acts passim). See Hort, Ecclesia, p. 22 f. Their present mission fulfilled, they return from various parts of Galilee to headquarters, i.e. the place where the Master had probably arranged to be, and reported (Mc. à át $\gamma \gamma \in \leq \lambda a v$,
 of their work and teaching. For the












Their return seems to have synchronised with the arrival of John's disciples (Mt.), and to have helped to determine the Lord's course.
 'Come apart by yourselves-away from the crowd-and rest for a while.' Two things pointed to a temporary withdrawal from public work, (1) the danger of arrest by order of Antipas, who might think it desirable to follow up his murder of John by silencing John's successor ; (2) the Apostles' need of rest. Mc. recognises only the latter. On סєӣтє see i. 17 , and on кат' i8iar, iv. 34 ' 'Y $\mu$ eis au'rol, ' ye by yourselves' (cf. Jo. vi. 15); or perhaps, 'ye yourselves'-even workers must now and again halt to take breath. 'Ayaraviaac $\theta_{\epsilon}$ gives the idea of the momentary rest better than the present (see wv. li.) ; the verb is well illustrated by Exod. xxiii. 12, Job x. 20 (Lxx.). 'olíyov, of time here, as of space in



 є̈ $\rho \eta \mu \mathrm{os}$ то́тоя may well have been in the neighbourhood of a town (see i. 35,45 ) ; the conflate reading in
 is probably right as an interpretation. Jo.'s recollection that the spot lay across the Lake shews that Bethsaida Julias is intended; see note on $v .45$ -
 distinguish two distinct streams of
people: cf. xi. 9. The departures and the new arrivals left no intervals for refreshment, and not even leisure for a meal ; cf. iii. 20. Evikatetiy was condemned by the purists (Lob.
 $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \lambda \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\prime} \chi_{\chi \in i v} ;$ ef. Sturz, dial. Alex. p. 168 f .) ; it occurs again in Acts xvii. 2I, I Cor. xvi. 12; cod. D substitutes cúкaipos éxєiv bere. The word seems to be found first in Polybius (Blass on Acts lce.) and is common in Philo, but has no place in the lxx. Comp. the interesting practical reflexion in Bede: "magna temporis illius felicitas de labore docentium simul et discentium studio demonstratur: qui utinam nostro in aevo rediret!"
 rendez-cous was therefore close to the Lake, probably near Capernaum, as $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda$. suggests. The boat took an easterly course and they landed perhaps a little south of Bethsaida, on the edge of the plain now known as elBatîhah (Schumacher, Jaulan, p. 106, Butaiha, Smith, H. G. p. 457)"a part of the old lake basin...sown two or three times during the year... and grazed by the buffalo herds...in its north western part...covered with ruins." For є̈ $\rho \eta$ поя то́тоs see i 35,45 .
 Many witnessed the departure; the course of the boat could be seen by all, even perhaps the landing of the party on the opposite shore. The
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Lord was recognised, and the report of His return spread rapidly (Mt. a่кои́ $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ).
$\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta} \ldots \sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \delta \rho a \mu \sigma \nu \ldots$ каі̀ $\left.\pi \rho \circ \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0 \nu}\right]$ The crowd went round by land- $\pi \epsilon 5 \hat{\eta}$ as contrasted with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda o i \omega-c f$.
 where Blass remarks, " $\pi \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota$ de terrestri (non necessario pedestri) itinere." Across the Lake from Tell Hum or Khan Minyeh is scarcely more than four miles; by land the distance to the upper part of Batihah could hardly be above ten (Sanday, Fourth Gospel, p. 120), unless they went by road and crossed the Jordan by the bridge. If there was little wind, it would be easy to get to the place before a sailing boat. On the reading kai $\pi \rho \circ \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\text {eov }}$ aúroús see the important discussion in WH., Intr. ${ }^{2}$, pp. 95 f., 327 ; for the construction $\pi \rho о є \lambda \theta_{\epsilon} i \nu \quad \tau \iota v a$ cf. Le. xxii. 47 : Vg. praevenerunt eos. Mc. alone has preserved this interesting detail.
 was not till He had landed (cf. v. 2 ; Dr Hort (l.c.) prefers "came out of His retirement in some sequestered nook") that the crowd came into sight. He knew then that His effort to find a retreat had failed, yet no impatience revealed itself in His manner. On the contrary, He was
touched ( $\epsilon \sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi^{\nu i} \sigma \theta \eta$, cf. i. 45) by their carnestness of purpose, and bade them welcome (Lc. $\boldsymbol{a} \pi \circ \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon \mathfrak{\xi} \dot{\mu} \mu \in v o s$ aủroús), as if their presence had been
 curs also in Mt. xv. 32, Mc. viii. 2, ix. 22 ; other constructions are $\sigma \pi \lambda . \epsilon \pi i$ rıvi Mt. xiv. I4, Le. vii. I3, $\pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime} i \operatorname{tivos}$ Mt. ix. 36 . 'Err' aviroús = 'towards them,' as those to whom His com-
 represent the multitude as the object on which it rested.
 ground of His compassion. The blind zeal of the common people shewed both their need of a leader and their readiness to follow one who offered them what their official teachers failed to supply. The phrase $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{s} \pi \rho . \mu \dot{\eta} \bar{\epsilon}_{\chi}{ }^{\nu \nu}$ -
 text (Mt. ix. 36). It is based on the O.T. (Num. xxvii. 17, 3 Regn. xxii. 17 , 2 Chron. xviii. r6, Judith xi. 19) where
 uniformly rendered $\pi \rho$. ois ( $\pi$ oi $\mu \nu t o \nu \oiint$ )
 between the false pastors and the True is worked out in Jo. x. 11-16; for other references to the pastoral character of our Lord cf. Mc xiv. 27, Heb. xiii. 20, I Pet. ii. 25. "Hp ${ }^{\prime}$ ato
 aùtoîs afè̀ tîs $\beta$ aacileias tov $\theta_{\epsilon} o \hat{v}$,













 тєias iâto（cf．Mt．）．＂Hp gavo：＂denuo， ut si antea non docuisset＂（Bengel）． Their first need was teaching－first at least in His sight；but teaching，as at other times，brought opportunities of healing disease．The Lord，as He taught，sat on the rising ground above the plain（Jo．à $\nu \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \bar{y}$ єis $\tau \grave{o ̀}$ ópos каì
 cf．Mt．v．I）．
 cum iam hora multa fieret；Mt．，

 tam vespertinum tempus dicit．＂Mc．＇s phrase $\boldsymbol{\varpi}_{p a} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \eta$ ，which is repeated at the end of the verse，occurs also
 то入入解 由ر＂as，＂to a late hour．＂That Lc．＇s interpretation is right appears from $\boldsymbol{v}$ ．47．Since the passover was at hand（ $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ），it was near the time of the spring equinox，and the sun set about 6 p．m．；the miracle was probably wrought an hour or so be－ fore sunset．Пробє $\lambda \theta$ óvтєs $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \% \nu$ кт $\lambda$ ． According to Jo．the thought of pro－ viding for the multitude had presented itself to our Lord some hours before， when He first saw them coming to



36．àmóduaoy av̀roús］For àmo入íw $=$＇dismiss，＇see Tob．x． 12 （ $\mathfrak{N}$ ），Mc． vi． 45 ，viii． 3 ， 9 ，Acts xiii． 3 ，xv． 30 ， 33，xix．41．Eis tò̀s кúк $\lambda \omega$ áypoùs каi ко́pas does not exclude the suppo－ sition that Bethsaida was near，cf．
 Tàs кळ́pas aủrท̂s．The＇Western＇text （WH．，Notes，p．25）substitutes ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}-$
 villas et vicos．＇Aypoi＇，villae，are the scattered farms，cf．V．I4；for the single article in the gender of the first noun，see WM．，p．158．Ti＇фá ${ }^{\prime} \omega$－ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \nu$（WM．，p． 210 ），Mt．$\beta \rho \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$, Le． $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \iota \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ \nu . ~ L c . ~ a d d s ~(i ̀ \nu a) ~ к а т а \lambda \dot{́} \sigma \omega-$ $\sigma \omega \nu$ ，a necessity scarcely less pressing， considering the time of year，and that the crowd contained women and children．For this our Lord provided shortly afterwards in the way pro－ posed by the disciples（ $v v .45,46$ ）． Food was a more immediate want， and more difficult to supply．

37．Sóte aùroís vícis фауеiv］Mt．
 an answer to àme入 Oóvtes of $v .36$ ，as the emphatic ineis（WM．，p．130） replies to $̈ \nu a . . . a ̀ \gamma o p a ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ éavtois．Of this conversation between our Lord and the Twelve we have two inde－ pendent accounts，St Peter＇s（Me．， abbreviated in Mt．，Lc．）and St John＇s．





   

A comparison shews that the words
 part to Philip, and $\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma \tau \epsilon}$ cal duo ixAias to Andrew. On the whole "the superiority in distinctness and precision is all on the side of $S t$ John" (Sanday, lc. p. 121 ; cf. Lightfoot, Bibl. Essays, p. 182). For an attempt to bring the two accounts into precise agreement see Aug. de cons. vv. ii. 96. With his conclusion we may heartily concur: "ex qua universa varietate verborum, rerum autem sententiarumque concordia, satis apparet salubriter nos doceri nihil quaerendum in verbs nisi loquentium voluntatem."
 flation, as appears from Jo. vi. 5-7, of the Lord's question $\pi \delta \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ ayaoá$\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ar $\rho \tau о u s$ iva фázбनty ovitot; and Philip's answer סtakoatwy סोvapicy
 the cost of 200 denarii, the gen. of price, WM., p. 258. On the denarius see Madden's Jewish Coinage, p. 245 ff., Hastings, D. B. iii. p. 427 f.; the mean value at this time is stated to have been $9 \frac{1}{2} d$. It was the ladourer's daily wage (Mt. xx. 2 ff.) : two denari were sufficient to pay the expenses of a $\pi$ av $\delta 0 \chi \in \hat{i} 0$, for at least a day or two (Lc. x. 35); the costly oil of spikenard poured on the Lord by Mary of Bethany was worth three hundred or more (Mc. xiv. 5, note); five hundred was a typically large debt (Lc. vii. 41). Two hundred of these silver pieces may well have been more than the Twelve had in their $\gamma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \sigma \sigma \delta=$

конор (Jo. xii. 6). Yet even this outlay would have been inadequate: Jo. oui
 $\Delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ is possibly an or. conj., cf. WSchm., pp. 107, 120. WH. prefer $\delta \dot{\sigma}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \epsilon$, on which see Bless, Gr., p. 212.
38. то́боиs $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\chi \in \tau є}$ ar $\rho$ nous;] This questimon interprets the previous one. They were not called to imagine impracticable schemes of charitable action, but to give what they had (cf. 2 Cor. viii. 12). Bede: "non nova creat cibaria, sed acceptis dis quad habuerant discipuli."
$\gamma^{\text {vónces }} \lambda$ '́yovacu] The discovery was made (Jo.) by Andrew, and the supply belonged, it appears, not to the Twelve, but to a lad in the crowd
 alone (Orig. in Mt. xi. 2) mentions that the cakes were made of barley-
 and cheapest kind, the food of the working man: cf. Jud. v. 8 (A), vii. I3, 4 Regn. iv. 42 : for the relative cost of wheat and barley see 4 Regin. vii. 18 and Apoc. vi. 6 ( $\chi^{a i v i \xi}$ kirov $\delta \eta \nu a$ -
 For ix $\theta \dot{\text { ias, Jo. has sivápa (cf. Numb. }}$
 fish-two to five loaves-were a mere relish, and probably pickled or cooked : for the use of cooked fish with bread see Jo. xxi. 9, 13. Taricheae at the S.W. corner of the Lake derived its name from the curing of fish. Some of the older commentators find mystries in the numbers: egg. Thpht.


 $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha i \quad \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha i$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha i$ к $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$.

 40 om $\pi \rho a \sigma t a 2^{\circ} \mathrm{NL} \Delta \min ^{p e a c} \mid$ кata bis NBD $\left.2^{\mathrm{po}} \mathrm{me}\right]$ ava bis ALNГAПIS al min ${ }^{\text {tereomn (om ava } 2^{\circ} 33 \text { al panc Or) }}$
 бтодоs каì тò Eủaүүє́入tov. Similarly Aug. in Jo. tract. xxiv.
39. є́ $\pi \epsilon ์ \tau \alpha \xi \in \nu$ aùroís àvak $\lambda \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a t]$ The command was given through the Twelve (Lc. кatakגipate aùroús, Jo.

 of taking places on a couch before a meal see Mt. viii. II, Lc. xiii. 29; Lrc. xi. $37, J_{0}$. xiii. 12. Order was secured by breaking up the crowd into com-

 19 (Esther, Sirach), but $\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma t o v$ occurs without ourou in the first three books of Maccabees, and apparently in the wider sense. The form preferred by D ( $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \sigma \sigma^{i} a$ ) is also to be found in Sirach and 3 Macc.; Le.'s more precise term occurs in 3 Macc. vi. 3I. The construction $\sigma v \mu \pi \sigma \sigma a$ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma a=$ à̀à or кarà $\sigma \nu \mu \pi o ́ \sigma t a$ is Hebraistic: cf. Exod. viii. 14 (10), $\sigma u \eta^{\prime}-$ yayov aùrov̀s $\theta_{c} \mu \omega \nu$ bas $\theta_{\iota} \mu \omega \nu \iota{ }^{\prime} s$ (
 next verse: see also Mc. vi. 7 (WM., pp. 312, 58 I , Blass, Gr. p. 145). On the constructionávaкд. пávтая $\sigma \nu \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota a$ see WM., pp. 282, 663 ff.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \lambda \omega \rho \hat{\varphi} \chi \dot{\rho} \rho \tau \omega]$ See note on v. 32. The place supplied in the early spring a natural carpet on which thousands could recline in comfort;
 X $\lambda \omega \rho$ òs $\chi^{\prime} \rho$ ros, faenum viride, is 'green food,' i.e. growing grass or crops, as contrasted with dry fodder: cf. Gea. i. 3o, Isa. xv. 6, xxxvii. 27 , Apoc. viii. 7. The epithet is not otiose or merely picturesque; it indi-
cates the season of the ycar, and thus, so far as it goes, supports the existing text of Jo. чi. 4 (cf. WH., Notes, p. 77 ff.).
40. àvé $\pi \epsilon \sigma a \nu \pi \rho a \sigma \iota a \grave{i l} \pi \rho a \sigma a i]$ The act implies trust on the part of the crowd (Bengel : "fides populi"). The $\sigma \nu \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota a$ took the form of rectangular garden beds. Moagiai occurs in Homer, Od. viii. 127, where the Sch. interprets ai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фuтєī̂v тєт $\rho a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ os $\sigma \chi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon c s$, and reappears in Theophrastus and in the lxx. (Sir. xxiv. 31


 Mc. probably uses the word to convey the notion of regularity of form, not of variety of colouring (Farrar, Life, i. p. 402); the $\pi \rho a \sigma t a ́$, unless otherwise defined ( $\pi \rho, a_{\nu} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) is the bed of garden herbs (גaXavá, Hesych.), as its probable etymology shews. See the somewhat similar comparison, quoted from the Talmud by J. Lightfoot ad loc., of Jewish scholars to the rows of vines in a vineyard, planted $\boldsymbol{n}$ niר

ката̀ éкатò̀ каі̀ кат̀̀ терт $\left.{ }^{\prime} к о у \tau а\right]$ The groups consisted roughly of fifty, in other cases of a hundred each;
 all these dotails-the greenness of the grass, the orderly distribation of the crowd, the size of the groups; nor do they find a place in the recollections of St John, though he remembers the number of the party as a whole (dंve$\pi \epsilon \sigma a \nu \ldots \omega$...s тєעтакьб $\chi^{i \lambda \iota o t) . ~ T h e ~ p u r-~}$ pose of the arrangement was probably to prevent a dangerous scramble for the food, or at any rate, confusion and






[^73]disorder（cf．I Cor．xiv．33，40），and to secure an easy and rapid distri－ bution：twelve men could serve fifty to one hundred compauies in a com－ paratively short time．Incidentally the division into companies made the counting of the multitude a simple matter，and accounts for the same number being given by the four evangelists．

41．Kail $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu$ toùs $\pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon$ äprovs ${ }_{k} \tau \lambda$ ．］The cakes and fish were brought to Him（Mt．xiv．18），pro－ bably in a кó $\phi$ cvos（cf．o．43），and the Lord took the basket，or one of the cakes，into His hands．The action marked Him as the Master and Host；cf．xiv．22，Lc．xxiv． 30 ，Acts xxvii．35．＇Avaß入є́千口as eis tò̀ oưpa－ yó（Mc．Mt．Lc．）：the attitude of prayer（vii．34，Jo．xi． 4 I ；for the O．T．see Job xxii． 26 ，and cf．i K．viii． 22，Ps．xxviii．2，Ixxiii．4，cxxxiv．2）， specially characteristic of Him Who knew no sin（contrast Lc．xviii．13）． The ancient Liturgies have trans－ ferred this feature to the institu－ tion of the Eucharist（Brightman， Liturgies，pp．20，51，133，\＆c．；cf． the words of the Roman canon，＂ele－ vatis oculis ad te，＂\＆c．）．Eùдóyqбє
 similar variation occurs in the ac－ count of the first Euclarist，where evंxapıateiv is used of the blessing of the Bread by Lc．，Paul（i Cor．xi．）， and of the blessing of the Cup by Mt．，Mc．，Lc．；the two verbs are practically synonymous，the blessing
being in fact in the form of a thanks－ giving（cf．I Tim．iv．3，4）；the Cup， in reference to which the three Syin－ optists use єu่ $\chi a p \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i \nu$ ，is called by
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda о \gamma o \hat{v} \mu \in \nu$ ．The recognised form of blessing was（Edersheim，i．p．684）： ＂Blessed art Thou， 0 Lord our God， King of the world，Who bringest forth bread from the earth．＂Karé－
 simple vorb is used in all our ac－ counts of the Eucharistic fraction（cf． $\dot{\eta}$ клáots $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ äprov，Acts ii．42）；per－ haps the compound points here to the breaking of each cake into seve－ ral pieces（cf．катако́тт $\omega$ ，v．5）．The distribution was entrusted to the Twelve：édioov（Mc．Lc．）may imply that they came to Him at intervals to be replenished，but is perhaps more naturally understood of the repeated action involved in the gift to each of them severally（cf．Jo．סьє́ $\delta \omega \kappa \varepsilon \nu$ ）． The fish was no doubt distributed in the same way，though Mc．for the sake of brevity writes $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ ；

 this sense of the verb cf．Lc．x． 8



 ＇Iqбoū $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̀ ~ a ̀ \pi ' ~ a u ̀ \tau o u ̂ ~ r o ̂ ̂ ~ ' I \eta ซ \sigma o u ̂ . ~$

42．е́хортá $\sigma \eta \eta \sigma a v]$ Vg．saturati sunt．The food more than sufficed （contrast Jo．vi．7）．All had as much as they would，even of the fish（Jo．

 $\kappa \iota \sigma \chi^{i} \lambda \iota o l \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon$.




 $+\varepsilon \xi \in \gamma \in \rho \theta \in \epsilon$ D abcfic
 the Synoptists; Jo. uses $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta_{\eta}-$ oav. For the former word cf. Lightfoot on Phil. iv. 12, Kennedy, Sources, p. 82 ; it is fairly distributed in the N. T. (Mt. ${ }^{4}$ Mc. ${ }^{4}$ Lc. ${ }^{4}$ Jo. ${ }^{1}$ Cath. ${ }^{1}$ Paul ${ }^{1}$, Apoc. ${ }^{1}$, but in the uxx limited to Pss. ${ }^{9}$, Job ${ }^{1}$, Jer ${ }^{1}$, Lam. ${ }^{1}$ ( $=$ = $=$
 $\rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \hat{v} 0 \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ к $\lambda .$, Le. т̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ aúroîs (sc. $\left.\tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda \bar{\prime}\right) \kappa \lambda$. So the Master directed: Jo. वvyaүáyєтє тà $\pi \epsilon$ -

 xix. 5 (A, = $\psi \omega \mu \dot{o}$ ä́ $\rho$ точ B), Ezech. xiii. 19. $\Delta \omega^{\prime} \delta є \kappa а$ кофivшу $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega^{\prime} \mu а т а$, in apposition to $\kappa \lambda$., 'wherewith were filled twelve hampers': cf. Mt. $\delta$.
 ขous клабка́тєข. Mc. uses $\pi \lambda$. коф. again in viii. 20: for a discussion of $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu a$ see note on ii. 21. Kóфevos is common to the four accounts. The word is used by Aq. in Gen. xl. 16 for a bread-basket (b), and by the Lxx. in Jud. vi. 19 ( $\mathrm{B},=$ каной A ) for the basket (also bob) in which Gideon places cooked meat; in Ps. lxxx. (lxxxi) 6 it is the pot-shaped basket (7) 7 ) in which the Israelite during the Egyptian oppression carried his clay or bricks. A "stout wicker basket" appears to be intended, "as distinguished from the soft fiexible 'frails'" (Westcott, on St John). 'The xó $\phi$ eyos is contrasted in the Gospels with the opupis (vii. 19, 20), for which see note on viii. 8. In Rome
it was the characteristic appendage of the poorer class of Jews (Juv. iii. I4, vi. 542, "quorum cophinus faenumque supellex"; see J. E. B. Mayor's note). The twelve кó $\phi$ wo were possibly those in which the Apostles had carried what they needed for their recent circuit of Galilee; cf.

 кopivous. With the excess of the miraculous supply above the requirements of the people comp. 4 Regn. iv. 44, єै $\phi$ ауоу каї катєлıпоу катà тò р̂̀ $\mu$ а Kupiov.
 The number was doubtless roughly
 (note on c. 39) ; cf. Mt. Lc. $\omega \sigma \epsilon i$, Jo. ws, revr. The men perhaps alone composed the groups, but the women and children were not neglected (Mt.).

On the miracle as a whole Victor




45-52. Walking on tite Sea (Mt. xiv. 22-33, Jo. ni. 16-21).
 For once the Lord put a severestrain upon the loyalty of the Twelve. His command was in direct conflict with all that seemed to be reasonable and right. He had led them to the place that very day, and now required thom at once to leave it. On other occasions He led the way (see x. 32,




 ox ${ }^{\text {dous 107I }}$
xiv. 28, Jo. x. 4); now He would only undertake to follow them. The Synoptists throw no light on the situation, but it is explained by St John (vi. 14, 15). The enthusiasm of the multitude was not limited to a recognition of the Lord's prophetic office: they were on the point of seizing His person and proclaiming Him King. "No malice on the part of the Scribes could have been so fatal...as their giving of a political turn to the movement...Ho hurried the disciples on board that they might not catch the contagion of the idea" (Latham, Pastor p., p. 307). Origen in Jo. t. xxviii. 23: $\mu \dot{\eta}$ тарє́ $\chi \omega \nu \mu \eta{ }^{2} \dot{\epsilon}$




т $\rho o ̀ s$ B $\theta_{\text {有 }}$ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$; Jo. says, $\vec{\eta} \rho \chi$ оито те́ $\rho a \nu$ т $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ Ea入áa $\sigma \eta s$ eis Kaфapvaoú $\mu$. Both Mc. and Mt. represent the Twelve as landing eventually fis Tevvjaapét (vi. 53, Mt. xiv. 34). The direction of the boat was therefore ultimately westwards, and this fact has led to a conjecture that there was a Western Bethsaida (Reland, Stanley, Tristram), which has been identified with 'Ain etTabigha (Tristram, Bible Places, p. 315); in support of this theory it has been urged that Jo. (xii. 2I) mentions
 Merrill, Galiles, p. 27). But there is no direct evidence for the existence of two Bethsaidas on the Lake, and the Bethsaida of which Josephus speaks (ant. xviii. 2. 1, B. J. ii. 9. I, iii. 1o. 7) Was in Philip's tetrarchy and therefore on the East bank of the Jordan. Unless Lc. has misunder-
stood his source, the starting-point of the boat was near this town (Lc. ix. Io, see note on $v .32$ ), and the Lord directed the Twelve to cross to the town in the first instance (Bengel : "terminus navigationis non totius sed ex parte"). In this case to répay is here not the Western shore, but the opposite side of the little bay which lay between the sloping ground where the miracle was wrought and Philip's new city-an alternative which presented itself to Bede (ad l.). Tò $\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu$ is interpreted by $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ B $\eta \theta-$ oaudáv. Why they did not reach Bethsaida, but landed on the Western shore, appears as we proceed. On the
 160, WSchm., pp. 62 f., 91 ; and for the question of locality, the articles in Hastings, D.B., and Encycl. Bibl.
 He for His part dismisses the multi-
 $\S 321 \mathrm{ff}$, esp. §§ 326, 330; Blass, Gr. p. 219. The shortness of the interval suggested agrees with the view that the original destination of the boat was Bethsaida Julias.
46. каі àтотає̧́́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о s]$ Mt. has àmodúras. Mc. changes the word. The dismissal (o. 36) was friendly and courteous, if peremptory; nothing in His manner betrayed anxiety or consciousness of their intentions. 'A $\begin{gathered}\text { otá } \sigma \sigma \in \sigma \theta a t ~ i s ~(i n ~ l a t e ~ G k ., ~\end{gathered}$ see Lob. Phryn. p. 24) to bid farewell to friends; cf. Lc. ix. 6r, Acts xviii. 18, 21, 2 Cor. ii. I3. It is possible that avirois may $=$ tois $\mu a A_{\eta}-$ rais aưroû, and that Mt. has misinterpreted the pronoun ; but if so, Mc. omits altogether the dismissal of









the people, which was the next step and an important one. On the whole the Vg. is probably right in referring both $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota$ and àmoтagáuєvos to the crowd (dum dimitteret populum... cum dimisisset eos), though it misses the significant change of verb. $\Pi \rho \circ \sigma$ є́ǵacӨau, inf. of aim or object; cf. Blass, Gr. p. 223.
$\dot{a} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu \in i s$ тò öpos] When all were gone He returned to the higher ground (cf. Jo. vi. 3, 15), partly to escape the crowd (àvє $\bar{\omega} \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \in \nu, \mathrm{Jo}$.), but chiefly to pray (oía ä $\nu$ $\partial \rho \omega \pi$ os, Vic-

 cf. i. 35. Another crisis had come; the way to further usefulness in Galilee seemed to be blocked, partly by the attitude of Antipas, partly by the unreasoning enthusiasm of the people; He needed counsel and strength for the immediate future.

47-48. oұias $\gamma є \nu о \mu \dot{e} \eta \eta s \kappa \tau \lambda$.] More than an hour must have passed since the conversation before the miracle (see note on $r .35$ ), and the sun had now probably set: cf. Jo. vi. 17,
 breeze had sprung up, and it was against the rowers (Mc. Mt.), blowing probably from the N. or N.W. and raising so much sea (Jo.) as to distress them (ßacavu(onévovs) as well as to alter their course. The Paschal moon gave light enough to reveal the boat struggling with the waves ( $\beta a \sigma a \sim \mu \zeta^{\circ}$ $\mu \varepsilon \nu a y \mathrm{Mt}$.), and well out to sea (Mc.


 WH., Notes, p. 25). The Lord, who was now alone on the land, realised their position and, breaking off His rigil, went down to the sea and took the direction of the boat.

For $\dot{o} \psi i a=$ the early hours of the night see Judith xiii. 1 , Mc. xiv. 17 , Jo. xx. 19. Baбapi(co has already occurred in v. 7 (q.v.); the different applications of the word in this context by Mt. and Mc. are instructive as shewing the degree of latitude which the Synoptists allowed themsclves in dealing with the common tradition, even when they retained its actual terms. For a metaphorical use of the verb cf. Sir. iv. 17, 2 Pet. ii. 8. On $\beta a \sigma$. द̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega}$ è $\lambda$. see Blass, Gr. p. 237. "Avenos दे ${ }^{\text {enartios, cf. Acts }}$ xxvii. 4.
48. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\grave{\iota}} \boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon \tau а ́ \rho \tau \eta \eta ~} \phi \cup \lambda a \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Lord reached the boat about 3 a.m. (cf. WM., p. 506); Mt., more precisely, тєтápтд фидакй. Cf. Macar. Magn.


 and Mt. count four watches in the night after the Roman system ; see Mc. xiii. 35 , and cf. Acts xii. 4 (Blass). Lc. on the other hand (xii. $3^{8}$ ) seems to follow the Jewish division into three. Фuдaк $\dot{\eta}$ occurs in this sense in the lxx. (Jud. vii. 19, i Regn. xi. I i, Ps. Ixxxix. (xc.) 4, cxsix. (exxx.) 6, cf.






 <br> 

 Jo. says that when they caught sight of the Lord they had rowed ws atadious
 lake was forty stades broad (Joscph. B. J. iii. ro. 7), this agrees fairly well with Mc's è $\nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$, if we allow for the tortuous course of the boat, her general direction (N.L. to S.W. by W.), and the interval between the Lord's departure from the hill and' arrival at the spot where they saw Hím. Пeptratôy $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\pi}$ रो̀ s
 т $̀ \nu$ өáגaббav. The gen. points to the apparent solidity of the water
 the acc. to His progress implied in $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} y$; in $v .26$ where the order is different Mt. also prefers the gen. The reader is left to complete the picture ; the Lord must be imagined as walking on a seething sea, not upon a smooth surface (Jo. $\dot{\eta}$ Өáda $\sigma \sigma a$ ...ठєєүєipєтo: cf. Victor, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\iota} \mu \omega \nu$

 víárav $\beta a \delta i(\zeta \omega \nu)$; now on the crest of a waye, now hidden out of sight. It was the darkest hour of the night, and the moon had probably set ; only the outline of a human form could be seen appearing from time to time, and approaching the boat. The conception is found in Hebrew poetry, but only in connexion with Divine prerogatives, e.g. Job xxxviii. 16,


xxiv. 5 Wisdom says év $\beta$ áधєı $\mathfrak{a} \beta \dot{u} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi \dot{\pi} \eta \sigma a$. For a mystical application see Aug. in Jo. tract. xxv.: "venit...calcans fluctus, omnes tumores mundi sub pedibus habens...quid ergo timetis, Christiani ? Christus loquitur Ego sum, nolite timere." Cf. serm. 75.
$\ddot{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i p$ av̀roús] Vg. volebat praeterire eos; the imperfect is conative (Burton, p. I2); for the acc. cf. Lc. xi. $42, \times 7.29$, Acts xvi. 8. With the feigned purpose comp. Lc. xxiv. 28, and see Mc. v. 36 , vii. 27. The purpose in each case was to try, and by trial to strengthen faith (cf. Jo. vi. 6).
 Wycliffe, "thei gessiden that it were a fantum"; Tindale, "they supposed it had been a sprete." Cf. Lc. xxiv.
 this sense is followed almost indifferently by öt or by acc. and inf.; for $\delta$. ö $\tau \iota$ see Mt. vi. 7 , xxvi. 53 , Lc. xii. 5 I, xix. II, Jo. v. 45, \&c. Фа́ขтаб $\mu$, an apparition: here only and in Mt.; cf. Job xx. 8 (A) $\mathscr{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ф
 presents the thought as it took shape on their tongues: 'it is a phantom' (cf. Mt.). For earlier evidence of a popular belief in apparitions among the Hebrew people see Job iv. I5 ff., xx. 8, and esp. Sap. xvii. 4, I5. 'Avéкра ${ }^{2} \nu$ : the appearance drew forth a shriek of terror: cf. i. 23.
 not the fancy of an individual; all



 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \rho \delta \delta_{i \alpha}^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \pi \omega \omega \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$.


#### Abstract

    


the Twelve saw the Form on the water, as all the Eleven afterwards saw the Risen Christ. The fear was momentary: it was relieved at once by the well-known voice; cf. the similar circumstances in Lc. xxiv 37 ff ., Apoc. i. i7 ff. For $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i v e \mu \epsilon \tau a ́$ tıvos cf. Jo. iv. 27 , ix. 37, xiv. 30 : the phrase is probably preferred here to the more usual $\lambda$. тun or após tuda, as 'implying familiar intercourse. Mctá implies "mutual action" (WM., p. 475), and with $\lambda_{a \lambda \epsilon i v}$, the exchange of conversation.
 the imper. of $\theta a \rho \sigma \epsilon i=$ (so always in the Gospels and Acts, Gappeiv in Epp.; WH., Notes, p. 149) cf. х. 49, Mt. ix. 2, 22, Jo. xvi. 33, Acts xxiii. 1 I. 'E $\gamma \omega^{\prime}$ є $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mu t}=$ 'It is I,' cf. Lc. xxiv. 39,
 Lxx. є' $\gamma \omega$, in the O.T. (BDB., p. 59). In the Fourth Gospel the phrase sometimes (viii. 24, 28, 58, xiii. 19) rises to the level of its use in Deat. xxxii. 39, Isa. xliii. 10; see Westcott on Jo. viii. 24. M ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\circ} \beta \in \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : see Burton, § I65. Augustine points the moral of this little episode: "quomodo eos volebat praeterire quos paventes ita confirmat, nisi quia illa voluntas praetereundi ad eliciendum illum clamorem valebat cui subveniri oportebat?"

 $\epsilon l_{s}^{\tau}$ т̀ $\pi \lambda \frac{i}{o \nu}$ (Westcott). 'Avé $\beta \eta$, in-
stead of the usual e'vé $3 \eta$, perhaps to depict the climb from the hollow of the wave over the side of the boat.
 and Simon Peter. The latter had gone down (karaßás) into the water and attempted to walk on it to the Lord: Mt. (xiv. 28-3I) alone relates the incident. Upon the return of Peter to the boat accompanied by the Lord the wind at once fell: cf. iv. 39 (where see note on кота́ $\zeta \epsilon \omega$ ).
$\dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{V}$ éavtoís égíatarto] The astonishment did not express itself in words; for év éautoís see ii. 8, v. 3o. Mt., however, represents them as falling at His feet with the exclamation
 fession is in its right place, it anticipates St Peter's (Mt. xyi. 16, Mc. viii. 29). The excitement of the moment may have given voice to a growing impression which had not yet reached the maturity of a definite judgment. Victor points out that on the previous occasion when a storm was stilled they had been content to exclaim Tis ắpa oûtós é $\sigma \pi \iota \nu$; (iv. 41).
 Vg. non enim intellexerant de panibus. Their amazement would have been less had they realised the wonder of the preceding miracle; "debuerant a pane ad mare concludere" (Bengel). Somehow the miracles connected with the multiplication of food failed to impress the Twelve (cf. viii.

 $\mathrm{min}^{\text {pave }} \mathrm{arm}^{\text {roh }}$ om $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta \nu$ me armin ${ }^{\text {codd }}$ om $\Gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$. i $\mid \Gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau\left(\Gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma\right.$. FHN 69 al ${ }^{\text {nonn }}$



17 ff.); perhaps their administration of the food diverted their thoughts from the work wrought by the Lord. ' $\mathrm{E} \pi i^{\text {' }}$ in the matter of,' in reference to,' WM., p. 489, Blass, Gr. p. 137 ; ovycévar émí (but with gen. or acc.) occurs in Dan. xi. 37 (Th.); cf. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. eis, Ps. xxvii. (xxviii) 5 ; ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu, 2$ Esdr. xviii. (Neh. viii.) 12.
 Vg. erat enim (see vv. ll.) cor illorum obcaecatum; Wycliffe, "her herte was blyndid." For m $\omega \rho 0 \hat{\sigma} \theta$ al see note on iii. 5. The карঠia (ii. 6) includes the intelligence considered in its relation to the moral and spiritual life


 eals and фpóvposs (for the distinetion of these synonyms see Lightfoot on Col. i. 9) depend for their right exercise upon moral conditions.
53-56. Ministry in the Plain of Gennesaret (Mt. xiv. 34-36).
 $\theta_{0} v$ ] Jo. remembers another incident of this voyage which appears to be miraculous. When Jesus and Peter entered the boat and the wind ceased, they found themselves at once
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\imath} \tau \bar{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s \epsilon i s \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{u} \pi \hat{\eta} \gamma o v: ~ s e e ~ W e s t-~$ cott's note; Euth. explains: mi $\eta \sigma$ cion
 phrase used by Mt., Me. (סtan. $\tilde{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \lambda$ $\theta o v$ ) merely sets forth the welcome ending of a laborious and hazardous crossing. Of. Ps. cvi. (cvii) 24 ff . ${ }^{2} E \pi i$ г $\eta \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ : cf. Acts xxvii. 44
 landed neither at Bethsaida (v. 45) nor at Capernaum (Jo. vi. 17), but
a few miles to the south of the latter town, on the edge of the plain from which the lake took its usual name (Le. v. I, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda i \mu \nu \eta \nu$
 тồ Гevvŋбá $\rho$, Joseph. ant. xviii. 2. I, $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta$ Tevvjaapitus). On the form Fev$\nu \eta \sigma a ́ p$ which occurs in D (Mt. Mc.), in many mss. of the Old Latin and Vg., and in the Syriac versions, see Chase, Syro-Latin Text of the Gospels, p. Io5. Gennesaret is usually identified with the present el-Ghuweir, a semi-elliptical plain on the West shore between 'Ain-et-Tin and Mejdel, three miles long and rather more than one mile in breadth. Josephus, who is enthusiastic in praise of the fertility of this district, writes


 таратєive катà rì̀ alyıàòp $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ д́ $\mu \omega-$

 recent travellers see Stanley, S. and $P$., pp. 374, 382 ; Wilson, Recovery, p. 338; Tristram, B. P., p. 3I3; G. A. Smith, H. G., p. 443 n. ; Merrill, Galilee, p. 32 f The place has lost the glories which Josephus praises; towns and villages, cultivated lands and vineyards are gone. But the visitor still finds much to admire-the pearly whiteness of the shell-strewn beach, the thickets of oleander blossoming along the watercourses, the profusion of wild flowers, the fine cliffs which guard the two extremities of the plain, and then recede to join the Galilean liills. In extent el-Ghuweir corresponds very nearly to the Batithah which the Lord had just left; but










 syr ${ }^{\text {hel }}$ me arm $\quad 56$ a ABDLNח] $\epsilon a \nu N X \Gamma \Delta$
while the scene of the miracle was little more than a waste of pasture dotted with an occasional village or lomestead, the plain to which He had now come was densely populated. The retirement and rest He had sought were at an end, as soon as He was seen on the beach of Gennesaret.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \rho \mu i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu]$ Vg. adplicuerunt; they brought the boat to her moorings, casting anchor, or lashing her to a post on the shore. The word is än. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in Biblical Greek, but both act. and mid. are classical, and there are examples of the ist aor. pass. in a middle sense in late writers, e.g. Aelian and Dio Cassius.
 must have been early and hardly daylight (comp. vi. 48 with Jo. vi. 21); yet, as on the previous day when He left the neighbourhood of Capernaum (v. 33), there were people about who recognised Him and spread the news. For émiүıшंधкєи in the sense of personal recognition cf. Mt. xvii. 12, Lc. xxiv. 16, 31, Acts iv. 13 .
55. $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \in \delta \rho a \mu о \nu$ ฮ̈ $\left.\lambda \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega^{\prime} \rho a \nu\right] \mathrm{Mt}$. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \chi \omega \rho \circ$ : the news was hastily carried round to all parts of the
 the N. T.; but occurs in the lxx. (Amos viii. 12, Jer. v. $\mathrm{I},=0$ טive).

Here it vividly depicts the circulation of the tidings throughout the Ghuweir. As the result, there came from every quarter streams of people bringing their sick for healing. For $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ф \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ see 2 Cor. iv. 10. With
 Mt.'s tamer àmé $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda a \nu . . . \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa a \nu$. The sick were carried on their pallets
 on ii. 4); the course of the bearers was shaped by the reports that reached them from time to time as to the Lord's
 ${ }^{4}$ E $\sigma \pi \tau v$, the present, as if one caught the reply of those of whom inquiry was made: 'he is here,' or 'there.'
 Whenever in His progress He entered a village, He found the sick laid in the open spaces ready for His healing. In strictness ajopai would exist only in the towns, at Magdala and Capernaum and Chorazin and Bethsaida; but the word is apparently used here loosely to include other open spaces. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ tais $\pi \lambda a r \epsilon i a u s$ (D), Vg. in plateis, which is followed by all the English versions except R.V., is perhaps from Acts iv. 15. Hó̀ets and кш̈нaь are classed together in Mt. x. II, Lc. viii. I, xiii. 22, к $\omega \mu$ a and àypoi in vi. 36 , Lc. ix. 12 : the combination of the three covers every collection of dwellings large and

 $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o v ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ iцатíov аu่то人 $\ddot{v} \psi \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ каi öбо८ ä้

VII ${ }^{1}$




small．On the construction see WM．， p． 384 ，Burton，$\S 315$ f．，Blass，$G r$ ． p． 207.

каіे тарєкà入оuv aủтóy ктл．］Again and again the entreaty was heard． The fame of the healing of the ai－ $\mu о \rho \rho o o u ̄ \sigma a$ had spread（Victor ：र् $\gamma$ à $\rho$
 $\phi \in(\nu)$ ；so simple a means of obtain－ ing a cure appealed to the popular imagiuation，and under the circum－ stances the Lord permitted its use． Cf．Acts iv．15，xix．II f．On the кра́бтєঠ̊ov，and on кăy，see v． 27,28 notes．
 For the construction see the refer－ ences at the end of the last note． The aor．（see vv．ll．）points to the momentariness of the touch in each case ；the imperfect which follows， to the rapid succession of the cases． Mt．again is less picturesque（ ${ }^{\sigma} \sigma o \iota$
 reference to physical restoration see v．28；on the orthography of． WSchm．，p． 41.
VII．1－13．Question of Cere－ monlal Washings（Mt．xv．i－9）．

I．avvá ${ }^{\prime}$ ovacal See iv．1，v． 2 I ， vi． 30 ．The Lord＇s person is the rallying－point for both friends and enemies ；cf．Mt．xxy．3I，32．Of the

Pharisees there has been no mention since iii．6；during the interval they may have been occupied by their intrigue with the Herodians，of which perhaps we see the fruit in vi．i4． Now that Jesus has returned to the W．shore，they fall back upon their old policy of insidious questioning． The Scribes from Jerusalem（iii．22） are still with them，unless，as rutcs．．． e $\lambda$ Oóvres suggests，these are another party，newly arrived．Mt．is less pro－
 Фарıбаîoı каі $\gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є \hat{\iota}$ ．Of．Bede： ＂non ad verbum audiendum．．．sed ad movendas solum quaestiones pugnae ad Dominum concurrunt．＂
 mixture of the two constructions idóy－ tes $\tau$ tuàs．．．éa $\sigma$ iop 49）and io．ötь є́vӨiovaiy twes（ii．16， ix．25）．The opportunity probably arose during the passage of the party through the plain（vi．56）；the loaves were very possibly some of the $\kappa \lambda \lambda^{-}$ бнara with which their baskets had been filled the night before，and which now served them as au é $\phi$ ó $\delta t o \nu$ ．
 Kouvós，＇polluted，＇＇ceremonially un－ clean，＇occurs in I Macc．i． 47 もúєc



#  



 D vituperaverunt lattrt plvg（syrr ${ }^{\text {pesh }}$ hel arm）

lemard on Mt．xv．11），cf． 4 Mace．vii． 6
 $\mu \epsilon \rho о ф a y i a$ ：in the N．T．，outside this context，kocoós is similarly used in Acts x．14，28，xi．8，Rom．xiv．14， Heb．x．29，Apoc．xxi．27，and кowovิข or кolyoûr品（mid．and pass．）in Acts x．15，xi．9，xxi．28，Heb．ix． 13. This use of kotvos corresponds to the Rabbinic חוֹלא（Edersheim，ii． 9 n ．）；the кoua，is the opposite of the áytoy or kaधapóv（Westcott on Heb． x．29）Hence Mc．＇s explanation，т． $\begin{gathered}\text { ．}\end{gathered}$ avistocs，must be taken to interpret the word only in reference to the particular case；unwashed hands were，for the purpose of eating，kowai． For roût द̈́roty as a formula of in－ terpretation cf．Mt．xxpii．46，Acts i．19，Rom．vii．18，Heb．ii．14；on the question whether it is to be written as two words see WSchm．，p．37，Blass， Gr．，pp．18，77．On é $\sigma \theta i \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ тoùs ä $\rho$－ тous（тò ă $\rho \tau о \nu, v .5$ ）see Dalman， Worte，p． 92.

3－4．Another apparently editorial note．There is no trace of it in Mt． Cf．Zahn，Einleitung，ii．p． 24 T ．

3．of yàp Ф．кai $\pi$ ávtes of＇Iovôaioı］ Except in the phrase of مacidèेs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Iou $\delta a i=\nu$（xv． 2 ff ），oì＇Iouठaîot is used by Mc．here only；in Mt．with the same exception it is limited to xxviii．I 5，and in Le．to vii．3，xxiii． 5 I． On Jo．＇s use of the term see Westcott＇s St John，Intr．p．lx ；oi＇Iovôacot are in the Fourth Gospel the opposite of the ö $\chi$ 入os：＂as＇the multitude＇re－ flect the spirit of Galilee，＇the Jews＇ reflect the spirit of Jerusalem＂；they are＂the representatives of the narrow finality of Judaism．＂In some such limited sense the term is probably used here by Mc．and Mt．；＂the Jews＂

Who＂all＂hold the tradition of the Elders are not the masses，but the strict and orthodox minority who supported the Scribes．Yet ceremo－ nial purification was usual in religious households（cf．Westcott on Jo．ii．6）， and the Lord had probably conformed to it at Nazareth ；He resists merely the attempt to enforce it as an essen－ tial（Hort，Jud．Chr．，p．29f．）．On the origin and extent of these practices see Schürer II．ii．p． 106 ff ．
 （Exod．xxi． 18 ，Isa lviii．4，$=$＝ is the closed hand，the fist－av＇$\gamma-$ к久elनts $\delta a \kappa \tau u ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ，Suid．；ef．Pind．Ol．
 used in late Gk．for the length of the arm between the fist and the elbow； hence Euth．and Thpht．interpret
 arm into the water up to the elbow． Cf．J．Lightfoot ad l．，and Eder－ shim，who renders the wrist＂；but it is difficult to see how $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}$ can be made to bear the meaning of $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\omega s} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi_{\tau} \gamma \mu \mu \hat{\eta}_{s}$ ．The reading $\pi v_{k} \nu_{a ́ a}^{(V g . ~ c r e b r o, ~ W y c l i f f e ~}$ and the other English versions exc． R．V．，＂oft＂）may be a gloss bor－ rowed perhaps from Lc．v．33，if it be not due to corruption（cf．$\pi \dot{\sim} \kappa \mu \neq$ ， D）；the rendering of the Pesh． （du＜ xv．8）is another gloss which we have no means of verifying（see however Mori－ son，St Mark，ad l．）；for the marginal gloss in Syr．${ }^{\text {hcl }}$ see Field（Notes，p． 30 f．），who renders it $\dot{a} \pi \sigma_{\kappa} \lambda \dot{v}$（ुovtєs $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ चíatt тoùs $\delta a \kappa \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \frac{0}{}$ air $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ．On the whole it is perhaps best to take $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}$ literally，＇with the fist，＇i．e．either with the hand held out with clenched fingers while the attendant pours

## 


$\epsilon \sigma \theta \cdot]+(\tau \circ \nu)$ aptov $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ al abceffi syr ${ }^{\sin }$ arm
$4 a \pi$ ayopas]+oтav $\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma<\nu \mathrm{D}$
abcffilqr (arm)
water over it (2 Kings iii. I I) ; or as Meyer-Weiss explains, "so dass sie die geballte Faust in dic hohle Hand stecken, erstere in der letzteren reiben und drehen." In the first case the dat. is modal, in the second instrumental. A possible alternative is to treat $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}$ as the dat of measure'by elbow-length' (see above). But it must be confessed that no explanation hitherto offered is wholly satisfactory.

Nitreiv, vitite $\sigma a a$ are used of the feet (Gen. xviii. 4, 2 Regn. xi. 8, Jo. xiii. 5 ff., 1 Tlim. v. 10), the hands (Exod. xxx. 19 ff., Lev. xv. if, Ps. xxv. (xxvi.) 6), the face (Mt. vi. I7, Jo. ix. 7 f.), in contrast to $\lambda o v \in \sigma \theta a t$, to bathe the whole body: cf. Jo. xiii. Ic,
 пó óas pí廿агөaь.
 $\beta u \tau \in \rho \omega \nu$ Cf. Joseph. ant. xiii. 1о. 6,

 oúk àvaүє́ $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau a l$ év toîs Mavá́as pópots. The rule, at least in its details, belonged not to the Torah, but to the Qabbalah (Taylor, Pirqe Aboth, pp. 120, 128), and to its non-canonical part (Ldersheim, ii. p. 9). The Elders (!? the officers of the synagogue or members of the Sanhedrin, but such great teachers as Hillel and Shammai, or the scribes of former generations (cf. Heb. xi. 2, where oi $\pi \rho$. $=$ oi $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \in s$, i I), perhaps especially the members of the 'Great Synagogue,' see $A b o t h$, i. I ff., and Dr Taylor's account, p. 124; the $\pi a \rho a \dot{0} 0 \sigma \iota s \tau . \pi \rho$. is the sum of the тараб́о́бєts латрькаі (Gal. i. 14) after-
wards cmbodied in the Mishnah, which every Pharisee and disciple of the Pharisees sought to keep inviolate. On St Paul's attitude with regard to tradition cf. Hort, Jud. Chr., p. ri8, and cf. Lightfoot on 2 Th. ii. 15. For кратєíy mapáóorıv see 2 Th. l.c., and cf. кратєip סiঠaxíp, Apoc. ii. 14, 15 , or with the gen., кр.
 cott's note. The affection with which even the Egyptian Jews in the second century before Christ clung to a similar tradition is illustrated in the


 J. Lightfoot on Mt. xv. 2 ff., and especially Edersheim, Life, ii. p. 9 ff.
 gling with men of all sorts in the open market, they purified the whole person before taking food. The Apostles had been є́v raîs áropaîs (vi. 56), jostled by a mixed crowd, yet they had not even washed their hands. 'A $\pi$ ' à $\gamma o \rho a ̂ s, ~ V g . ~$ a foro, 'after market'; a pregnant construction, see WM., p. 776 n ., and cf. Theophrast. char. 16, $\pi \in \rho \iota \rho \rho a \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ àmò ifpô. The purification was effected by sprinkling (cf. the $\boldsymbol{z} \delta \omega \rho$ pavtequov of Num. xix. 9 ff., and the metaphorical use of the verb and substantive in Ps. l. (li.) 7, Zach. xiii. r, Heb. x. 22, Apoc. xix. 13), or, according to the alternative reading (see vv. ll.), by dipping (cf. 4 Regn. v. I4, Judith xii. 7). But $\beta$. suggests a standard which is Essene rather than Pharisaic, unless, as J . Lightfoot suggests, an immersion of the hands only is intended. Cf how-





#### Abstract

    


 -ever Justin, dial. 46, where Trypho mentions among ordinary Jewish prac-


$\left.\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda a \pi o \lambda \lambda a^{\prime}\right]$ I.e. in the way of lustration or ceremonial purification, besides the purification of the person. For $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\varepsilon}$ as the correlative of тарабoûpat see I Cor. xv. I, 3, 2 Thess. iii. 6: крareiv is the inf. of purpose (Burton, § 366), cf. WM., p. 401.
$\beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ$ ѝs $\pi о т \eta \rho i \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. Heb. ix. 10, סtaфópots $\beta$ antionois, on which see Westcott's note; the word does not occur in the O.T., but $\beta a \pi r i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ àmó yekpoú is used in Sir. xxxi. (xxxiv.) 30 in reference to the law of Num. xix. For Talmudic directions as to the dipping of vessels see Chagigah (ed. Streane, p. 115 ff ). The vessels specified are (r) тот $\quad \rho i a$, ordinary drinking cups (ef. ix. 41, xiv. 23, Lc. xi. 39), whether of earthenware or metal (Esth. i. 7, Apoc. xvii. 4), (2) $\xi \in \sigma \tau a c$, Vg. urcei, pitchers or ewers, possibly of wood (Lev. xv. 12) or of
 кia, vessels of brass or copper, as pots used in cooking (I Regn. ii. 14, 2 Chron. xuxp. 13, i Esdr. i. 12). इéorys (sextarius) occurs in two ass. of Lev. xiv. io (see Hastings, D. B. iv., art. Weights) and in Joseph. ant. viii. 2. 9
 dion) as a measure; the word passed into Rabbinic (?ְָׂ). The Western addition кацे $\kappa \lambda<\nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ (vr. ll.) is interesting and possibly genuine, though $\beta a \pi$ -

combination; the mention of кגivac (whether 'beds' or triclinia) may have been suggested by the legislation of Lev. xv. See WH., Notes, p. 25.
5. кà̀ é $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a v$ aứóv] The sentence broken off at the end of 0.2 is resumed, but kai is repeated in forgetfulness that кai $\mathbf{i 8 o u r f s}$ remains without a finite verb. The R.T. gets rid of the anacoluthon by adding $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ ауто to 0.2 (Vg. cum vidissent... vituperaverunt). 'Елєן@rạ̀, supra v. 9 ; cf. vii. 17, viii. 23, \&c. The word does not imply hostility, but the question itself leaves no doubt of the attitude of those who put it; cf. ii. 18, 24. The Pharisees and the Scribes (oi $\Phi$. kal oi $\gamma \rho$.) are distinguished as in $v$. ; they formed on this occasion two parties, distinct though allied. Шєрıтaтєiv, here only in the Synoptic Gospels in the ethical sense, which is fairly common in St John (viii. 12, xii. 35 bis, i Jo. i. 6, \&c.), and frequent in St Paul; the idea is found in the O.T., see Gen. v. 22 (where for the LXX. $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \eta \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \varphi \tau \hat{\omega} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, Aq. renders literally $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \iota$ ซ̀̀y $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta$.), Prov. viii. zo, Eecl. xi. 9. For $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$. кaтá (כָּ x. 2,3 , Eph. ii. 2 ; катá indicates conformity with a rule or standard, WM., p. 500. The standard maintained by the Scribes was that of the Halachah (הֲלָּה, the rule by which men must 'walk'). Mt., less idiomatically, тарaBaivovoty т. тарáboбtv. For т. тарá§ $\sigma \tau \nu$ т. т $\rho$. see note on $\boldsymbol{v .}$. .









 $\mathrm{ab} \mid$ om ort $\mathrm{ADXI} A I I$ al minomnvid
$\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ кои $v i \hat{\iota}$ кт $\lambda$ ．］Mt．paraphrases，
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta i \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. Mc．，after the explanation of $v o .2,3$ ，is able to give the words
 afprous，$v .2$ ；for the sing．with art．cf． Jo．vi．23；фаүкiv äprov（ is usual，bat the article points to what is passing before the eyes．
6．$\delta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ єì $\pi \epsilon \mu$ av่тois $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The time had come for plain speaking，for the Scribes had called attention to the very heart of the controversy between Jesus and themselves．The answer consists of two parts，（a）vo． 6－8，（b）9－13；Mt．has both，but inverts the order－perhaps rightly， for the sharp retort dià $\tau i$ kaì $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i s . .$. is lost in Mc．，and the stern viпокрита． seems to come better after the ex－ posure of their inconsistency than at the outset．
 I．e．＇Isaiah＇s denunciation of Israel in his own day is admirably adapted to your case．＇For this sense of кал⿳亠二口欠s cf．xii． 32 （where it is followed by $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ $\mathfrak{a}^{2} \lambda_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon}(a s)$ ，Jo．iv． 17 ，viii． 48 ，xiii． 13 ， and see Schöttgen ad $l$. ；for $\pi \rho a \phi \eta-$ $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ тє $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}$ with gen．，I Pet．i．Io，other constructions are $\pi \rho$ ．$\epsilon^{\prime} \pi i$ with acc． （Am．vii．15，16，Jer．xxxii． 16 （xxv． 30 ），$\pi \rho$ ．тıví（Jude 14）；on the position of the augment（ $\epsilon \pi \rho о ф$.$) cf．WSchm．，$ p． 102.
$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ข่токрєт $\hat{\omega}$ ］The charge of＇hy－
pocrisy＇is here for the first time directly laid at the door of the Scribes；yet see Mt．vi．2， 5,15 ， vii．5．＇Y токрьт ${ }^{\text {＇}}=$＝ xxxiv． 30 ，xxxyi． 3 （Lxx．），and in Job xx． 5 （Aq．）．In the Pss．of Solomon v̇óкрияıs is a charge constantly brought against the Sadducees by the Pharisaic author，e．g．iv． $7,{ }^{\prime} \xi$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ б́iiov（see Ryle and James， ad l．）．The Scribes may well have been startled to hear the reproach cast back upon themselves．
 i． 2 （note），and for ötc as introducing a citation see ii．17．The passage quoted is Isa．xxix．13．In the quo－ tation Mt．and Mc．agree，whilst both differ from the lxx．in two points．


 （B），or in the shorter text of $\mathbb{N A}, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \%$ ．
 $\mu \epsilon$ ：in Mt．，Mc．the sentence is ab－ breviated still further．（2）The Luxx．
 каі diठacka入ias．Here there is no important variant in the mes．，yet Mt．，Mc．onit kai and place סo $\delta \mathrm{\delta a}-$ aкадías before $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau$ ．，without approach－ ing nearer to the M．T．which gives （lu．V．）＂their fear of me is a command－ ment of men which hath been taught them＂（cf．Aq．Symm．Th．，éqéveto т̀̀







 $+\beta$ алтиг



 Si $\delta$ ak tin）．St Paul（Col．ii．22）seems to follow the Lxx．；Justin has both forms（dial．78，ı40，see Resch，Par－ allellexte， p .170 ）．The facts are per－ plexing，but a solution is perhaps to be sought in the direction to which reference has been made in the note on i． 2 ；see Hatch，Essays，p． 117 f． The readings of D and some of the Old Latin texts are interesting ：see vv．ll．；with ajyanạ cf．Ps．lxxvii． （xxxviii．）36．On the readings of Clement of Rome see Intr．to O．T． in Greek，p．408，and on those of Clement of Alexandria，Barnard，Bib－ local Text of Clement，p． 30 f．
 $\delta \epsilon$ represents read in place of M．T．${ }^{4}$ ？ in Exp．T．xi．p．330f．The fruitless－ ness of the Pharisaic religion was due to its self－imposed and external cha－ racter．$\Delta i \delta a \sigma k a \lambda i a$ ，a rare word in Biblical Gk．（Prov．${ }^{1}$ Sir．${ }^{2}$ Rom．${ }^{2}$ Eph．${ }^{1}$ Col ${ }^{1}$ ），except in the Pastoral Sp． （I Tim．${ }^{8}{ }_{2}$ Tim．${ }^{3}$ Tit．${ }^{4}$ ），is a doctrine，a definite piece or course of instruction， as contrasted with $\delta \delta \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}$ ，which is properly an act or line of teaching（i． 22，27，iv．2），though $\delta \iota \delta a x \eta$＇sometimes （Rom．vi．17，xvi．17）is used in a sense scarcely distinguishable from סioforka入ia．The two words may be
studied in juxtaposition in Tit．i． 9 （see Hort，Ecclesia，p．191）．＇Evтá入дата is in apposition to $\delta \delta \delta$ ．，＇inasmuch as they teach doctrines（which are） commandments of men＇；cf．vi．43，
 664 f．）．The pl．perhaps points to the multiplicity of the details，and the absence of an underlying principle： contrast évтo入̀＇，v． 8 （note），and cf．

 hays a doublet of $c .9$ ；Mt．has an－ other form of the saying，correspond－ ing more nearly with the next verse． The Law of God（ $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} v \tau o \lambda \dot{r}$ ，Ps．cxviii． （cxix．）96，cf．I Tim．vi．14， 2 Pet．ii． 21 ， iii．2）is regarded as an unit ；évodín is properly a single commandment，but seems to be here used in opposition to èvád iata（vi）for the Law as a whole，the manifold expression of the one principle of love（Rom．xiii． 8 ff ， Gal．v．14）．The＇iviodi＇is here the Torah as contrasted with the Hala－ chat．Toft $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u} \ldots . . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\nu \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ：the Elders were but $\begin{aligned} & \text { EN } \\ & \text {（Is．lc．）；the }\end{aligned}$ Torah was，as the Scribes themselves believed，of God．A like claim is made in the Talmud for the oral tradition（cf．Taylor，Aboth，p．II g ff．， Streane，Chagigah，p．vi．），but this does not seem to have been openly maintained in our Lord＇s time．
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 in part ironical (cf. Jo. iv. 17), bat see v. 6. For $\dot{a} \theta_{\epsilon \tau \epsilon} \hat{y}$ see vi. 26 ; and for the sense it bears here (nullify, evacuate, reduce to a dead letter) ef. Isa. xxiv. 16 (oviai roís $\dot{a} \theta \epsilon \tau о \tilde{v} \sigma t \nu^{\circ}$ of $a^{\prime} \theta \epsilon$ -

 The oral law was professedly a 'fence' to the written law; in practice it took its place and even reversed its decisions. When the two were in competition, the tradition was preferred: cf. the frank saying of R. Jochanan quoted by Dr Taylor l.c., "words of Soferim...are more beloved than words of Torah." With the "Western" reading $\sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ cf. Exod. vi. 4, 2 Esdr. xix. 8 , Heb. x. 9 .
10. M $\omega v \sigma \hat{\eta} s$ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ єíTє $k \tau \lambda$.] An instance of the tendency censured in o. 9. Mt. $\dot{o}$ jàp $\theta \epsilon$ òs $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$. The first citation is from the Divinc Ten Words, incorporatcd in 'Moses,' i.e. the Pentateuch; ef. 2 Cor. iii. I5,
 passages, which follow the Lxx. with some slight variations, are from Exod. xx. 12 (Deut. v. 16), xxi. 16 (I7); cf.



 ovivтa. In the second passage ó кa-
 Wycliffe, and the other English versions, exc. R.V.) 'he that curseth';
though ${ }^{4}$ phas this meaning (e.g. in I Regu. xvii. 43 where the Lxx. renders каттןácaro), yet in Deut. xxvii. 16, which closely corresponds with Exod. xxi. 16, opron is represented by ó árци $_{\boldsymbol{T}} \leqslant \omega \nu$ (cf. Guillemard on Mt. xv. 4). The correction is clearly important in view of the Lord's argument. Өaváre
 codd. AF in Exod. xxi. I6 (17), where cod. B has re入evt $\dot{\prime} \sigma \in \epsilon$.
 (emph.) set yourselves against Moses (cf. Jo. v. 45 fi.), for your tradition ( 0.9 ) permits, and under certain circumstances requires, a son to dis-
 'suppose a man shall say,' Mt. às àv $\epsilon \ell \pi \eta$. The apodosis would naturally be, as in Mt., oủ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ т $\mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \in \iota$ (see Burton, \& 260), but Me. cuts the sentence short in order to proceed with the Lord's comment on the rule (oủkย́тє àфієтє кт入., v. 12).
 Marcan Aramaism(but see Daiman, Gr. p. I39n.), with its explanatory Greek;

 literation does not occur in the Lxx. or apparently in the later Gk. versions of the O.T., or again in the N.T., but of. Joseph. ant. iv. 4. 4,
 ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \omega \bar{\nu} \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a \nu:$ c. Ap. i. 167, то̀







``` \(\tau \eta \nu \pi а р а б о \sigma \iota \nu\) чи. 107I
```

phrastus). A qorban is a consecrated gift; the Temple treasury is called кopßavâs in Mt. xxvii. 6, Joseph. B. J. ii. 9. 4 : cf. Cyprian, de op. et el. I5, "Dominicum celebrare to credis quac corban omnino non respicis?" In Syriac itself, as the Christian offering. The Scribes held that the mere act of declaring any property to be gorban alienated it from the service of the person addressed ; cf. Edersheim, Liffe, ii. p. 19: "it must not be thonght that the pronunciation of the rotive word qorban..necessarily dedicated a thing to the Temple; the meaning might be that in regard to the person or persons named the thing [so] termed was to be considered as if it were qorban, laid on the altar and put entirely out of their reach." A son who took this way of relieving himself from the support of a father or mother was not only justified in his unfilial conduct, but actually prohibited from returning to his duty.



 rò̀ татє́pa. Origen (in Matt. t. xi. 9) mentions a somewhat similar case which had been reported to him by




 procceding which prevented the debtor's escape. For $\omega \phi \in \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$, pass., see v. 26, Heb. xiii. 9; द́к points to the source of the expected profit, cf. WM., p. 458. The Yg. gives the

$\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s$-quodcumque ex me tibi pro-
 $\hat{\delta} \hat{a} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\prime} \mu \hat{v}$ кє $\rho \delta a \nu \epsilon i s$. The son speaks from the parent's point of view, which regards his support as practically secure: 'the assistance which thou lookest to reccive from me is now irrevocably alienated.' For the Rabbinical formulae see J. Lightfoot and Schöttgen ad $l$.
12. oủkétı àфíєтє кт入.] Mt. ov่ $\mu \grave{\eta}$


 Comp. the English Ch. catechism: "my duty is...to love, honour, and suecour my father and mother." In illustration of this use of tupâv Jerome produces I Tim. v. 3, 17; cf. Theod. Mops. ad l.: "honora, hoc est, diligentiam illis adhibe." With oúкє́ть

 liypothetical case all hope of material assistance from the moment the qorban is uttered. Пoteiv ri тını, sc. daja $^{\prime} \dot{\text { ón }}$, cf. v. 19, 20; the phrase may have, as in English, an opposite sense, cf. ix. 13. Thpht. points out that the Scribes may have often been not disinterested in their judgement: aviroi
 40).
 stronger than $d \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i y \quad$ o. 9 ; but he who habitually $\grave{\varepsilon} \theta_{\epsilon \tau \in \hat{L}}$, practically àzvpoî, invalidates and, so far as in him lies, repeals a law. The distinction is well seen in Gal. iii. 15,17, кєку-


 occurs in I Esdr., 1, 4 Mace., and is
 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi o l \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$.





 BHLA ${ }_{2} 3^{8]}$ бunlete $\mathrm{NAX} \mathrm{\Gamma} \mathrm{\Pi} \mathrm{\Sigma} \Phi$ al min ${ }^{\text {foreomn }}$
fairly common in Aq.; in the N.I. it is limited to the context (Mc. Mt.), and Gal. l.c.
 parently the dat. of instrument, but
 sake of your tradition.' For mapaסঠס́vola тарádoซıv see WM., p. 282, and for $\mathfrak{\eta}$, WM., p. 202 f . The 'Western' text glosses again, adding $\pi \hat{\eta}$ $\mu \omega \rho a ̣ ̂ ; ~ s e e ~ v y . ~ l l . ~ П а р o ́ \mu о t a ~ т о ґ a v ̂ т а, ~$ 'such like things'; the Vg. keeps the tautology, similia huiusmodi. חapó $\mu o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ is $\tilde{a} \pi$. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in Biblical Gk., though frequent in class. and late writers; for its exact meaning of. Pollux cited by Wetstein : ó $\gamma$ àp $\pi$ apó-
 adds the wholesome rellexion: $\phi$ oß $\beta$ -



14-23. Teaching based upon the Question (Mt. xy. Io-20).
 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda \lambda_{0}\right]$ The question of 0.5 had been put and answered at a time of comparative privacy, which the Twelve had used for snatching a hasty meal. But the principle which had been asserted was too important to be dropped. It touched the heart of things, and was necessary for all. For тробкалєєَөөar see note on iii. 13; тá入cv (omitted by Mt.) points to an unnoticed dispersion of the Gennesaret crowd (vi. 55 f.). For àkoviбat' fov т, кal oviveтe Mt. has less pre-
cisely àкои́єте каi бuviete: cf. WM., p. 393 f., and contrast Mc. iv. 23, ix. 7, Eph. v. 17.
 fundamental canon, differentiating the Kingdom of God from Pharisaic Ju-
 тat yó $\mu o s$ ó катà à $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \mu a$. The mercly external cannot defile man's spiritual nature (Euth., ovंdè $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ änteтal тîs $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s)$-the converse of the principle that the merely external cannot purify it (Mt. xxiii. 25, 26, Heb. ix. 9 ff.).

 oto $\mu a$, ' nothing in the way of food'; and similarly to тà éкторєvó $\mu \epsilon \nu a$ he adds ék tô̂ orófatos. Even when thus limited the canon goes much further than a protest against the unwritten law of Scribism; its logical effect was to abrogate the Levitical distinction of meats clean and unclean. In defence of this distinction the Maccabean heroes had given their lives (I Macc. i. 62 f., 4 Macc. vii. 6), and a Jewish crowd, even in Galilee, would probably have resented the principle now asserted by the Lord, had they understood it. But it was not understood even by the Apostles until long afterwards, Acts x. 14 ff .; for the time the Lord was content to drop the seed and leave it to germinate. Kouvovy is used in the N.T. only in the teehnical sense (o. 2 note), though the Vg ., which renders it coin-







[^74]quinare in Mt. xv . and on its first occurrence in Mc., retains the O.L. communicare (Rönsch, Itala, p. 354) throughout the rest of this chapter;
 $\nu \eta \sigma a s$ in the mss. of 4 Macc. l.c.

 positive side of the canon; the source of human defilement is internal to the nature of man. 'o ăy $\begin{aligned} & \text { eporos, as in ii. }\end{aligned}$ ${ }_{27}$, Jo. ii. 25 , I Cor. ii. 15, =man, ie. men regarded as a generic unity. Tà кocuov vata : on the art. with the predicate see WM., p. r4i f. For $\boldsymbol{n}$. 16 of the R.T. see vv. Il. It has been introduced as the proper sequel to $v .14$; cf. iv. 9 .
 stage in the incident. To the crowd the new law was stated in a parabolic form ; to the disciples it is now interpreted (cf. iv. 10 fi., 33 f.). Eis oikov, whether Simon's house at Capernaum (i. 29, ii. I, \&c.), or the house of some disciple in one of the Gennesaret villages, does not appear; in either case it supplied a temporary rest, For à $\pi$ o' 'away from' see WM., p. 463 . This detail is wanting in Mt., who on the other hand is alone in attributing the question of the disciples to Peter.


Mt. x. 2) or from natural readiness to speak, St Peter seems to have been the usual spokesman, ef. Mc. viii. 29ff, ix. 5, x. 28, xi. 21, xiii. 3, Mt. xy. 15, Lc. viii. 45 , xxii. 8 . With द́ $\pi \pi \eta{ }^{\circ} \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu$

 here little more than a proverbial saying, as in Le. iv. 23. See the conversation which precedes this request in Mt. (xy. 12-14).

 is sic (Vg.) or siccine (Field) rather than tam ; in Gal. iii. 3, Heb. xii. 2I the juxtaposition of the adr. with the adj. decides for the latter meaning. Kai véeis, 'ye (emph.) also' (Jo. vi. 68) as well as the crowd (cf. iv. II).
 (Isa. vi. 9, cited Mc. iv. 12) : the word occurs also in Rom. i. 21, 31, x. 19. The ávivetos is the man who lacks
 Arist. Eth. Nic. vi. II, cited by Ligltfoot on CoL i. 9) which comes from the due use of the illuminated intelligence; hence he is near of kin to the aróntos (Lc. xxiv. 25, Gal l.c.; cf. Me. viii. 17, 2 Tim. ii. 7). Thus à𧰨iveтou prepares for ov voeite which immediately follows (Mt. Mc).






#### Abstract

    


 Mc. only. The words state explicitly the principle involved in $v$.
 sense contcmplated by the Scribes can be predicated only of that which affects man's moral nature. There was no question between Christ and the Scribes as to external cleanliness, for their censure rested purely on religious grounds. It is therefore of spiritual pollution only that He speaks. T'he two spheres of human life, the physical and the spiritual, are here distinct; to confuse them, as the Scribes did, is to ignore the commonest facts of daily experience. 'A $\varnothing \varepsilon \delta \rho \omega^{\prime}$
 secessus; the word occurs in Biblical Gk. only in this context (Mt. Mc.); the lxx. use $\dot{\eta}$ äde $\delta \rho o s$ in another connexion (Lev. xii, 9), employing $\lambda u \tau \rho \omega{ }^{\prime} y$ in this sense (4 Regn. x. 27). Cod. 1 substitutes ó óerós in Mc., retaining à $\phi$. in Mt.

Origen in Mt. t. xi. 14 has an interesting reference to the Eucha-





 є́ $\sigma$ Єiov
 A note added by a teacher or editor who has realised that in the preceding words the Lord had really abrogated
the distinction between clean and unclean food. The true reading and interprotation were known to Origen


 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i o u t \epsilon s$ à ’Iovofatoí $\phi a \sigma \iota$ кт $\lambda$.), who is followed by Gregory Thaum. and Chrysostom: see Field, Notes, p. 32. This interesting reference to the interpretation put upon the Lord's words by the Apostolic age (cf. Acts x. 15 à
 (see $\mathrm{Fr} . \mathrm{ll}$.$) . In support of ка \theta a \rho i \delta \omega \nu$ see Scrivener-Miller, ii. p. 336 f., and for a defence of ratapiJov BurgonMiller, Causes of Corruption, p. 6if.; but few students of St Mark will follow Mr Miller in rejecting кäapí $\langle\boldsymbol{\omega}$ on the ground that its distance from $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\bar{\epsilon}$ ( $v .18$ ) is inconsistent with the style of this Gospel. Field ad loc. rightly points to iii. 30 for another instance of a brief explanation parenthetically added by Mc. For the interpretation which the supporters of the R.T. propose to give to $\mathrm{ka} \theta a \rho \mathrm{i}$ Sov cf. WM., pp. 669,778 ; the view that kataji乌 $\omega$ y is a nom. pendens in agreement with ó à $\phi \varepsilon \delta \rho \omega \dot{y}$ scarcely calls for consideration.
 v. I5 $b$. Mt. narrows the statement
 and anticipates the explanation (é

 Blass, Gr. p. 172.






 ${ }_{\kappa \pi \lambda}$ ．］${ }^{*} \mathrm{E} \sigma \omega \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ answers to $\vec{\epsilon} \xi_{\omega} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$（vo． 15，18）；for the contrast in this reference see Mt．xxiii． 25,26 （rò éytós，tò éxtós），Le．xi．39，40， 2 Cor．
 remark needs modification，but is just on the whole：＂animae principale non iusta Platonem in cerebro，sed iuxta Christum in corde est．＂For kapסia see ii． 6,8 ，iii． 5 ，vi． 52 ，vii． 6 ；the seat of the moral nature is in man the source of moral defilement．The Lord states the fact without explain－ ing it；into the question of the origin of evil in man He does not enter． His teaching stauds midway between the O．T．doctrine of $\sin$（e．g．Ps．li．5， Isa．liii．6，Jer．xvii．9，cf．Schultz，ij． p． 292 ff．），and the Pauline doctrine （cf．SH．，Romans，p． 143 ff．）．$\Delta ı a \lambda o-$ yı $\sigma \mu$ oi，thoughts，elsewhere chiefly in Lc．and Paul．

The list of sins which follows is twice as full as in M．．，who，while adding $\psi \epsilon \cup \delta o \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a t, ~ o m i t s ~ \pi \lambda_{\epsilon}-$



 ávédyєıav кт入．）．Moreover，in those which are common to both the order differs：Mt．seems to follow that of the Decalogue as arranged in the M．T． and in cod．A of the Lxx．，whilst Mc． is in partial accord with cod． B （ov $\kappa \lambda$ é $\psi \in t s$ ，ov̉ фovevicecs）．While both lists begin with the $\delta a \lambda \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o i$ ，in the specification which follows Mt． limits himself to external sins，whilst Mc．passes from these to mental acts

is instructive to compare with both the catalogues of sins in Sap．xiv． 25 f．， Rom．i． 29 ff．，Gal．v． 20 f．，Eph，iv． 31，v． 3 ff．，Col．iii． 5 ff．，Didache 5， Hermas mand．viii． 5 ；cf．Harnack， T．u．U．v．i，p． 86 f．The last two shew the influence of the Gospel lists， whilst Wisdom has possibly suggested some of its details；bat in the Pauline passages we strike a new vein；such Gentile sins as єijळגодarpeía，фар $\mu a-$ кia，and such peculiarly Greek vices
 naturally not represented in our Lord＇s enumeration．

 of any $\sin$ is preceded by a delibera－ tion，however rapid，in the mind of the sinner ；cf．ii． 6 ff．，Lc．v．22，Rom． i．21，James ii．4．On $\delta \iota a \lambda$ ．see Hatch， Essays，p．8．Oí 8．，such inward de－ liberations regarded as a class of mental acts；the addition of oi како́ marks off a part of the class，such as are evil in themselves（какаi），or mis－ chievous in their effects（ $\pi$ a $\quad$ qpoi）－see Trench，syn．xi．

торVєiat $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The plurals indicate successive acts of sin，as they emerge from the inner source of human cor－ ruption；the more subtle tendencies to evil which follow are in the sin－ gular（v．22）．Of．Gal．v． 20 「 $\bar{\eta}$ hos， $\theta \mathrm{u} \mu \mathrm{o}$ ，the spirit of rivalry，＇outbursts of wrath＇（Lightfoot），and see WM．， p．220．Kえотаí：cod．D，клє́ $\mu \mu a \tau a$ ，cf． Herm．l．c．For this combination of sins ef．Hos．iv． 2 фóvos каіे клотì каदे


#   



22．$\pi \lambda$ eove $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ iat $]$ Vg．acaritiae；rather， impulses or acts of self－seekiug．Of．

 This commonest corruption of human nature is not spared by our Lord（Lc． xii．15），or by St Paul（Col．iii． 5 т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 vékins is classed by the latter with the $\pi$ óppos（ 1 Cor．v．Io， 1 I，Eph．v．5）， the $\kappa \lambda$ érrys，the $\mu$ étvaos（I Cor．vi． 10），as his vice is herc mentioned in the same breath with фóvor and $\mu о \imath \chi$ єial；see also 2 Pet．ii．I4．
$\pi о \nu \eta i a t]$ Vg．nequitiae，purposes or acts of malicious wickedness，cf． Mt．xxil．18，Le．xi． 39 ；in Rom．i． 29 rovpia is in the same company as here（ $\pi о \nu \eta \rho i a, \pi \lambda є а \nu \epsilon \xi i a$ какіа）．

סodns］A besetting sin of Orientals， repeatedly illustrated and condemned in the O．T．（e．g．Gen．xxvii．35，Deut． xxvii．24，Ps．ix． 28 （x．7），and charac－ teristic of our Lord＇s oppcnents（Mc． xiv．1）；its absence was a note of the true Israelite and of Christ Himself （Ps．xxiii．（xxiv．）4，xxxi．（xxxii．）2， Jo．i．48，I Pet．ii．22）．It appcars in Rom．i．29，but not in the lists of sins which occur in Epistles addressed to Churches in which Gentiles largely predominated（Gal．Aph．Col．）．
d $\sigma e ́ \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota a]$ Vg．impudicitia．Cf．
 on which Lightfoot remarks：＂a man may be ảkáधapros and hide his sin； he does not become $\mathfrak{a} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \dot{\eta} s$ ，until he shocks public decency．＂The word， which is class，finds no place in the Lxx．exc．in Sap．xiv．26， 3 Macc．ii． 26，where Gentile habits are in view； in the N．T．it is used in the same connexion（Eph．iv．19，I Pet．iv．3）． Here the reference is probably to the dissolute life of the Herodian court， and of the Greek cities of Galilee and
the Decapolis；if סódos characterised the Jew，his Greek neighbour was yet more terribly branded by dं $\sigma \hat{\lambda} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \epsilon a$ ．
$\dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{s} s \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ́ s]$ On the Hebrew belief in the evil eye see Lightfoot on Gal．iii．I．The àv̀̀ Báakavos（viv （Y）Prov．xxpiii．22）was a dreaded enemy（Sir．xip．Io，xxxiv． 13 （xxxi．

 ＇the evil eye＇became a synonym for jealousy，or a jealous grudge；cf．

 $\dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma o v$, i．e．＇lest thou grudge him his due＇；Tob．iv． 7 （B），$\mu \grave{\text { д }} \phi$ өоуєба́ть
 $\mu о \sigma v ่ \nu \eta \nu:$ cf．Mt．vi． $\mathbf{2 3}_{3}$ xx．15．＇O $\phi \theta$ ． rovqoós is thusakin to $\phi$ Óávos，butwider in meaning；the self－seeking which， not satisfied with appropriating more than its share（ $\pi \lambda$ גо⿱丷天 $\xi i a$ ），grudges and，where it can，withholds，diverts， or spoils that which falls to another．
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a]$ Mt．$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i \alpha u$ ．Slan－ der，detraction ；cf．Eph．iv．31，CoI． iii．8， 1 Tim．vi．4．The Lord may have had in view the slanders per－ petrated against Himself（Mc．iii．28， cf．Mt．xii．${ }^{2}$ ）．
 $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ v．катафро́v $\eta \sigma i$ is тıs $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ávíô $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu-\mathrm{a}$ Pharisaic $\sin$（Lc．xviii．9）． The noun，though common in the lxx．， occurs here only in the N．T．，but the $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \phi a v o s$ appears in company with
 i． 30 ，and with the a $\lambda_{a} \sigma_{\omega} \dot{y}$ and the $\beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \phi \eta \mu o s$ in 2 Tim．iii． 2 ；see Trench， syn．xxxix．，and cf．Theod．Mops，on

 עoûvtes $\frac{\epsilon}{\operatorname{co}} \mathrm{t}$ toîs oủaly．The sin of the latter lies not so much in exaggerating their endowments，as in claiming for themselves the merit of them．In




[^75]Biblical Gk. the opposite of $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \phi$ avos
 James iv. 6, i Pet. v. 5.
d $\phi$ pooivn] The list culminates in a word which may seem to imply a relatively low degree of moral culpability. But $\not \subset \phi \rho \omega \nu$ like à ávéveros is a word of strong censure on the lips of Christ; see Lc. xi. 40, xii. 20 (cf. $\mu \omega \rho o ́ s$, Mt. v. 22, vii. 26, xxy. 2). His $a ̈ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ is the and the Schultz, ii. p. 284 'Aфpocu'r $\eta$ is in its Biblical use moral and not intellectual only-the shortsightedness and wrongheadedncss of unbelief and sin; "a rooted incapacity to discern moral and religious relations, leading to an intolerant repudiation in practice of the claims which they impose" (Driver, on Deut. xxii. 21). Euth. is


23. па́ขта таи̂та ктд.] These vicious acts and principles constitute a real profanation of human nature, and they come from man himself. Eath. :
 карঠ̀́a $\pi \eta \gamma$ á̧єє є $\epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$. Mt. adds тò
 ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$, but it seems more after our Lord's manner to stop abruptly when He has affirmed a great principle, than to revert to the circumstances which led Him to enunciate it.

24-30. In the Region of Tyre and Sidon. The Dadghter of a Syrophoeniclan woman delivered from an evil Spirit (Mt. xp. 2I-28).



The departure was a retreat. Not only were the Pharisees scandalised (Mt. xy. 12) by His denunciation of the unwritten Law, but the discourse in the synagogue of Capernaum, which inmediately followed or preceded it (Jo. vi. 59 ff.), had alienated friends, and Capernaum was again hostile and perhaps unsafe ; cf. iii. 7, vi. 31. The policy of withdrawal from danger was criticised by Celsus (Orig. c. Cels. i. $65=$ Philoc. p. 107); Origen replies:


 каl ả入ó ${ }^{\prime} \omega s$ о́д The earliest withdrawal, as Celsus pointed out, was during the Infancy (Mt. ii. 13 ff.) ; the Lord's life was threatened from the first. If He safeguarded it, the motive was that it might be freely given in due time (Jo. x. II, I5, 18). It was saved for the Cross
 v. 17. The word may mean either the boundaries or borders of a district, or the territory of a city; see for the former sense Gen. x. 19, xlvii 21, and for the latter Num. xxxv. 26, Jos. xiii.
 Here, if we accept the reading of КАВ, га̀ ӧ $\rho \iota a$ T. к. $\Sigma$. (cf. iii. 8) appear to be equivalent to the entire district (Mt. $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \eta$ ) dominated by the two cities, i.e. the coast of Phoenicia Politically Phoenicia had formed part of Syria since the days of Pompey: geographically and ecclesiastically it remained distinet (Acts xi. I9, xii. 20f., xxi. 2, Blass). According ta Josephus













(B. J. iii. 3. ı, cf. ant. xix. 5. 6) it embraced the whole seacoast and plain-at least from Carmel northwards. Phoenicia, like the Decapolis, was frankly pagan, and the Tyrians bore a special illwill towards the Jews (Joseph. c. Ap. i. 13). In crossing the border the Lord passed into a Gentile land. Phoenicians had sought Him in Galilee (iii. 8), but He had no mission to their country; His purpose in entering it was retirement and not public work. Eige $\lambda$ À̀ eis oikiay: cf. v. 17; on oùd. $\ddot{\eta} \theta \in \lambda \in \nu \quad \gamma^{\nu}$., see ix 30 , and for $\eta \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \nu}$, cf. vi. 48 .
 quasi-adversative sense of кai see
 is frequent in the Lxx., cf. Gen. xxx. 8, Exod. xii 39 (A), Jos. xv. 63, xvii. 12, Jud. i. 19, 32 (A), 2 Regn. iii. 11 ; in the N.T. jojuad $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ occurs here (NB), and Mt. xvii. 16 (B). See WSchm., p. 208 n. $\Lambda a v \theta a ́ v e b \nu$ is one of the rarer words of N.T. Greek, occurring elsewhere Lc. ${ }^{2}$ Heb. ${ }^{1} 2$ Pet. The aor. inf. is usual after $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta a t$ (Blass, Gr. p. 197).
 vi. 33, 54 f. Eveu in Phoenicia He was recognised. Tò $\theta_{v \gamma \text { át } p t o v, ~ c f . ~ v . ~}^{\text {v. }}$ 23, 42: another child-applicant for healing. Children as well as adults were liable to the inroads of unclean
spirits, cf. ix. 21. The phenomena and the belief whicl assigned them to the agency of evil spirits were, as it appears, not limited to Jews or to the land of Israel (Acts xvi. 16 f .). On īs...aù $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}$ s, cf. WM., p. 185; Blass,

26. 'Eス入 $\eta \nu i s, ~ \Sigma i ́ \rho a ~ \Phi o u i k t \sigma \sigma a ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ $\left.\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in t\right]$ Mt. Xavavaia. The woman was a Gentile ( $f$, vg., gentilis), probably Greek-speaking, but descended from the old stock of the Phoenicians of Syria, who belonged to the Canaanites of the O.T. ${ }^{\sigma} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ in the Acts and Epistles is contrasted sometimes with 'Iovóaios (Acts xiv. I, Rom. I. I6, ii. 9 f. \&e., I Cor. i. 24, Gal. iii. 28), sometimes with $\beta$ ápßapos (Rom. i. 14), i.c. it represents either the Gentile as such, or the civilised and generally Greek-speaking Gentile (see Lightfoot's note on $\beta$ áp $\beta a p o s$, Col. iii. II). In the Gospels ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$, ${ }^{\text {' } E \lambda \lambda \eta p i s}$ occur only here and in Jo. vii. 35, xii. 20 , and the word must in each case be interpreted by the context. The Phoenician language may have lingered in country places round Tyre and Sidon, as the Punic tongue was still spoken in Augustine's time by descendants of the old Phoenician colony in N. Africa (Aug. ep. 209).
 surely an implied contrast between
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27 кає є $\lambda$ ．］о $\delta \epsilon$ I．єьтє ANXFПЕФ minpl

Phoenician extraction and Greek speech ；cf．Euth．，who however partly



 The fem．of ${ }^{4} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ occurs again in Acts xyii． 12 ；cf． 2 Macc．vi． 8.

 inhabitant（or as here，a descendant of the old inhabitants）of Syrian Phoe－ nicia（ $\grave{\eta}$ इupoфои $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \eta$ ，Justin．dial．78）， so called in contrast to the Gartha－ ginian seacoast（Strabo xvii．Iq र्श $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\Delta \iota \beta v \phi о \iota i k \omega \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta})$ ．Svoофоì $\xi$ occurs in Lucian deor．eccl．4，and Syro－ phoenix in Juv．sat．viii．I59；on the late and rare form of the fem，see WSchm．，p． 135 n．，Blass，Gr．，p． 63. The Clementines（hom．ii．19，iii．73） name the mother Justa，and the daughter Bernice．With tọ̀ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \iota$ cf． Acts xyiii．2， 24.
 Io．Mt．gives the words：єौє́ךбóv $\mu \epsilon$ ，
 ঠацоуі乌єтац：cf．Mt．ix．27，xx．30， 31 （Mc．x．47，48）．Such a formula as viós $\Delta$ ．once used in public would soon become customary，but its occarrence in this narrative is remarkable；as yet，so far as we know，the title had been applied to Christ only once even in Galilee．On the contrast between this mode of addressing Him and that adopted by the סacpóvia and， with an added ả $\lambda \eta \theta \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，by the disciples，







 тékva］The тéкра are of course the Jews；cf．Isa．i．2，Lc．xv．31．They had the first claim，and by this prin－ ciple not only the Lord＇s ministry， but the subsequent mission of the Church was regulated；see $\mathrm{Mt} \mathbf{x} .5$ ， 23，Acts i．8，iii． 26 ，Rom．i．16，ii．9， 10．To Marcion，in whose Gospel this incident had no place，Tertullian （adv．Marc．iv．7）well replies：＂de－ trahe voces Christi mei，res loquen－ tur．＂The conversation with this Phoenician woman merely calls atten－ tion to a rule which is everywhere apparent．Yet if the Jew justly claimed precedence，he had no ex－ clusive right to the Gospel；$\pi \rho \omega$ тоу implies that the Gentile would find his opportunity；cf．Mt，viii． 1 i，Acts xiii．46，xxviii 28 ．For ádes with the inf．cf．Mt．viii．22，Mc．x． 14 ；the subjunctive follows in Mt．vii．4，Mc． xv．36．For $\chi о \rho \tau a ́ \zeta є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ s a t u r a r i, ~ s e e ~$ note on vi． 42.

Mt．，who in the early part of this incident is on the whole much fuller than Mc．，relates the circumstances which led to this reply（xv．23，24）， but omits the words ád $\phi \in s . . . \tau a ̀$ tékia．
ov่ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ द́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ка入óv кт入．］So Mt， Mc．Tà kvyápıa are тà kvvíớa т $\hat{\eta} s$ oikias（Origen）－－the housedogs（rpa－ $\pi \in \zeta \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ кúnes Hom．Il．xxii．69），as the dim．possibly indicates；though not children of the house，they have a place within its walls，and are fed，if not with the children＇s bread．I＇hus the term，which on Jewish lips was








usually a reproach, is used by the Lord to open a door of hope through which the suppliant is not slow to enter
 J. Lightfoot and Schöttgen on Mt. $x$., and Bp Lightfoot on Phil. iii. 1. Jerome, after observing that the relative positions of Jew and Gentile have been reversed, exclaims " 0 mira rerum conversio! Israel quodam filius, nos canes." Origen suggents that the saying may have its application still: тáXa ঠ̀̀ каі т $\hat{\omega} \nu$



 tues $\psi u \chi a i$ $\omega$ s кúves. Tertullian thinks (de orate. 6) of the Bread of life which only the faithful can receive: "cetera enim nationes requirunt... ostendit enim quid a pare pili expectent."
 saying was in the strictest sense an answer: she laid hold of Christ's word and based her plea upon it. The usual phrase in the Synoptists is

 in St John. Mé $\gamma \epsilon$, the historic present (Hawkins, H.S. p. 113 ff.); on its combination with an ar. see WM., p. 350 .

раі, кúpıє, каі̀ тà кшу'́рıa кг入.] 'True, Rabbi; even (Mt. sci $\gamma$ af $\rho$, 'for even') the dogs (of the house) are fed with the crumbs which the children leave. ${ }^{\text {? }}$ Mt., $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \pi \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}_{\xi} \eta_{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кupi $\omega \nu$ ait $\omega \nu$, 'with the crumbs which their masters let fall' (cf. Lc. xvi. 2I).

The woman accepts and affirms the Lord's saying about the dogs; it serves her purpose; there is that in it on which she can build an argument;

 20, Apoc. i. 7 , xiv. 13 , xxii. 20 ; kail is here simply 'even,' as in i. 27 , not 'and yet,' 'yet even'; for cai $\gamma$ áp (the reading followed by A.V.) see Bp Elliott on 2 Th. iii. 10 'E adieu artó $={ }^{\dagger} \ddagger$ lical Gk. from Gen. ii. 16 onwards; cf. WM., p. 248 f. Two early variants are of interest; the Western text begins Kíptc, àd入à кaí, sod et; at the end of the verse 'Tatian' and the earlier Syriac versions in Mt. add "and live."

 $\gamma є \nu \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ коь iss $\theta \in \lambda_{\text {cts. }}$. Cf. Victor:

 áperýp. Tatian gives both answers, placing Mt.'s first. . Throughout the incident Mt. and Mc. seem to depend on different sources, the only strictly common matter being the
 $\lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ тò $\delta a \mu o ́ \nu \iota \nu$ Bede remarks (with a reference to the baptismal exorcism of the Latin rite): "per fidem et confessionem parentum in baptismo liberantur a diabolo parvuli."
30. каі̀ àтє $\lambda \theta$ ойба кл $\lambda$.] Mt. каі
 ékeims. The result finds a parallel in the miracle of Jo. iv. 46 ff. Be $\beta \lambda \lambda_{\gamma}$ -


s $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{d}}$






 ГПII al min ${ }^{\text {pl }}$
 had not yet spent itself, though the foul spirit was gone ; cf. ix. 26. On the place of this incident in the Ministry, see Hort, Jud. Chr. p. 34 : "when at length the boon is granted her, nothing is said to take away from its exceptional and as it were extraneous character; it remains a crumb from the children's table." Euth. treats the incident as prefiguring the call of the Gentiles: $\pi \rho o-$

 On the participle after $\epsilon \bar{v} \rho \epsilon \nu$ see Blass, Gr. p. 246.

3i-37. Return to the Decapolis. Healing of a deaf Man Who spoke with diffioclity (Mt. xy. 29, cf. 30, 31 ).
31. каі $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] With $\pi \dot{a} \lambda \iota y \quad$ é $\xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} y$ ef. ii. 13, xiv. $39,40$. The last incident took place in the neighbourhood of Tyre. The Lord now leaves the of ofa Túpou and following the coast-line northwards across the Leontes and perhaps through or within sight of Zarephath (Éá $\epsilon \pi \tau a$ rîs Eєiónias, 3 Regn. xvii. 8, Lc. iv. 26), passes through Sidon. $\Sigma \iota \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu$,
 N. of Tyre on the Phoenician coast, first mentioned in Gear. x. I5: in N.T. cf. Mt. xi. 2 If . $=$ Lc. x .13 f., xv. $2 \mathrm{I}=$ Mc. vii. $24,3 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Mc}$ iii. $8=$ Le. vi. 17 , Acts expii. 3. The traditional text avoids the reference to the Lord's passage through a Gentile city (w.

1l.). Ho went through merely as a traveller on route (for this use of Stá see Mc. ix. 3 o, Jo. iv. 4, 2 Cor. i. 16 ), and in so large and busy a place may easily have escaped notice. From Sidon and the Mediterranean coast He returned to (eis, for emi or $\pi \rho \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$, cf. Blass, Gr. p. 124) the Sea of Galilee, but to its eastern shore (àdà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ орíw $\Delta \epsilon \kappa a \pi \dot{\prime} \hat{\lambda} \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). A road led from Sidon across the hills (Merrill, p. 58, G. A. Smith, p. 426); it crossed the Leontes near the modern Belfort, and climbing the ranges of the Lebanon, passed through the tetrarchy of Abilene, and eventually reached Damascus. The Lord probably left it where it skirted Hermon, and striking south kept on the east bank of the Jordan till He reached the Lake (see map). The long détour may have served the double purpose of defeating the immediate designs of His enemies and providing "for the Apostles the rest which He had desired to give them before" (Latham, p. 333; cf. vi. 31). 'Avà $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma O \nu=\dagger$ 른 (Gen. i. 4, \&c.); the öpta $7 \hat{\eta} s \Delta$ are the districts under the influence of the cities of the Decapolis, see note on v. 20 . No mention is made of a passage through, still less of a ministry in any of them; but in the country round those cities (G. A. Smith, p. 6oi) preaching and the working of miracles are resumed, probably among the Jewish or mixed population prepared by the work of the released demoniac (v. zo). The











Lord is again in the land of Israel, for Gaulanitis, though the towns were Hellenised, had belonged to the tribe of Manasseh (Jos. xiii. 29 f.), and still had a predominantly Jewish population (Schürer, II, i. 3).
 again is, at least in part, independent of Mc.; he locates the scene of the Lord's work in the Decapolis among
 cf. Mt. v. I), and he represents Him as surrounded by the usual crowd of applicants for relief from various dis-

 фoús, кai étéfovs mod入oús: cf. iv. 24), but describes no case in detail. The recovery of hearing by the deaf was a note of the Messianic age (Isa. xxxy. 5 , xiii. 18), and had accompanied the Ministry in Galilee (Mt. xi. 5). In this case deafness was attended by such an impediment in the speech that the man was practically dumb
 $\kappa \varpi ф \dot{i} \nu$ пує $\dot{\mu} \mu$ ). Moүıдádos (here only in N.T.) is probably from Isa. xxyy. 6
 word occurs also in Exod. iv. if (Aq., Symm., Th. = Lxx. $\delta \dot{u} \sigma \kappa \omega \phi o s$ ), Isa. lvi. Io (Aq., = Lxx. èveoí, Symm., Th., ä $\lambda \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\lambda oc}$ ), and in each case $\mathrm{it}=\mathrm{a}$, Here the Vg. has mutum; Wycliffe follows with "a man deef and doumbe"; Tindale prefers "one that was deffe and stambed in his speech" ; "had an impedimeut in his speech" (A.V.,
R.V.) begins with Cranmer. The stricter meaning is supported by

 Lxx. (Isa. xxxv. 6, ef. Ps. Iv. (lvi.), tit., where the Quinta has $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \rho \hat{\rho}$ $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu_{0} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v\right)$, is said to be a distinct word, a compound of $\mu$ oryós, 'thickvoiced' (WSchm., p. 65, see Steph.Hase, s.v. ; Exp. viI. vii. p. 566).
 Lord's ordinary sign of healing, familiar to every Jew through long use in Israel ; cf. v. 23, vi. 5. For some reason which does not appear other symbols are employed in this case, such as suggest the presence of unusual difficulties. Tì̀ $\chi$ र̂í $a$, usually tàs $\chi$ єipas: yet sce Mt. ix. 18 .
 Cf. 2 Macc. vi. 21, àmoдa $\beta$ áyovtes
 in nearly the same sense in viii. 32 , cf. Acts xiii. 26 ; in $\mathfrak{a} \pi o \lambda$. the isolation of the person who is taken comes more strongly into view. The Lord takes the $\mu$ oyı $\lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda o s$ away with Him, because a crowd was gathered round
 wished to be alone with the man (kar) isíav, iv. 34 , vi. 3 I, 32 , ix. 2,28 , xiii. 3). The miracles were usually wrought under the eyes of the crowd, but in special cases relative (v. 37) or even absolute (cf. viii. 23) privacy seems to have been necessary.
 organs affected receive the signs of








healing power; the ears are bored ( $\epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ $\epsilon i s$ ), the tongue is touched. Пrúgas, see viii. 23, Jo. ix. 6 (Westcott). Saliva was regarded as remedial, but the custom of applying it with incantations seems to have led the Rabbis to denounce its use; see Wetstein and Schöttgen ad l. Possibly to this Decapolitan it appealed more strongly than any other symbol that could have been employed. The faith of a deaf man needed all the support that visible signs could afford. The use of the Lord's fingers and saliva emphasised the truth that the healing power procecded from His own person (cf. v. 30). Victor: ©etkvùs wis

 On the remarkable variants in $\mathrm{W}^{d}$, Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ see Nestle, Introd. p. 264 f.
 $\beta \lambda$. єis тòv oủgavóv see vi. 4I, Jo. xi. 41, xvii. I ; St John's phrase is aüpecy (є'тaipeav) тò̀s ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \sigma u ' s, ~ c f . ~ L c . ~ x v i i i, ~$ 13. 'E $E \tau \in \nu a \xi \in \nu$ : cf. viii. 12 àvactepágas т̂̂ тгєúpatı aùtoû. In both cases perhaps the vast difficulty and long delays of His remedial work were borne in upon the Lord's human spirit in an especial mamer. So His Church, or His Spirit in her, inwardly groans while waiting for the redemption of the body (Rom. viii. 23, 26). Such a $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu \dot{\partial}$ d d̀à $\lambda \eta$ ros here proceeds from the Lord's humanity.
 for Aram. (Dalman, p. 202, 222), Syr. who ht $\leqslant$.

The earlier Syriac versions naturally onit Mc.'s explanatory ó éorıv diav. (it is in Syr. hcl, bier-): the Latin transliterations are ephphetha, ephetha, effetha,effeta and the like (Wordsworth and White, p. 225). For Mc.'s use of Aramaic words in the sayings of Clirist, see note on Y. 4I. On the word as addressed to a deaf man Origen has some interesting remarks (in Jo. t. xx. 20 (18).

Both the word and the use of saliva passed at an early time into the Baptismal rite as practised at Milan and Rome: cf. Ambr. de myst., "aperite igitur aures...quod vobis significavimus cum apertionis celebrantes mysterium diceremus 'Ephphatha quod est adaperire." The ceremony, which was known as aurium apertio, and immediately preceded the renunciation, is thus described in the 'Gelasian' Sacramentary (ed. Wilson, pp. 79, 115) : "inde tangis (saliva oris sui cum digito tangit) et nares et aures de sputo et dicis ei ad aurem ' Effeta, quod est adaperire, in odorem suavitatis'"; comp. the more elaborate ritual in the Sarum ordo ad faciendum catechumenum (Maskell, rit. i. i) and the similar form in the modern Roman Rituale. Bede refers also to the versicle Domine labia mea ( Ps .1 . (ii.) 17).

For סtavoiyeay ff. Le. xxiv. 31 f, 45, Acts xyi. 14
 late aor. $\eta_{\nu o i} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta} \nu$ cf. WH., Notes, p. 17O, Deissmann, B.St.p. 18g. Itoccursagain Mt. xx. 33, Acts xii. ro, Apoc. xi. 19,
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 ＇Aкоа $i_{i}=$ r $^{2}$ ，as in 2 Mace．xv． 39 ；ef． Lc．vii．I，Acts xvii 20 ，Heb．v．If．

 тои́тои where the reference is to an
 belonged to the office of the Messiah to release the captives of Satan（Isa

 $\theta$ ats）．The phrase ${ }^{2} \lambda \hat{\prime} \theta \eta \pi \tau \lambda$ ．docs not perhaps necessarily imply that the man was＇tongue－tied＇（Vg．solutum est vin－ culum linguae）；however caused，the impediment was a bondage from which he rejoiced to be set free．＇Eגv́dŋ．．． ${ }^{3} \lambda{ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon t$ ：the momentary act of libera－ tion gave birth to a new faculty of articulate speech．

36．каì §tєनтєinato av̀roîs iva кт入．］ Cf．v．43．For some reason，special perhaps to the particular case，privacy was expedient after the miracle as woll as during the act of releasc．But the charge seemed to defeat its own end；not only was it ineffectual，but its very vehemence increased the zeal of those who spread the story．＂Oarov $\ldots \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ：fuller forms are $k a \theta^{\prime}$ ócoo
 ．．．тобои́т（Heb．x．25）followed by a comparative ；cf．Vg．here，quanto．．． tanto magis．The imperf．（ $\delta$ teatè $\lambda$－ $\lambda_{\text {evo }}$ ）is apparently that of repeated
action（Burton，\＆24）；the charge （ ©ıf effect described．Mâ入入ov $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma o ́-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, cf．WM．，p． 300 ；Vg．magis plus．The repetition of commands which experience shewed to be in－ effectual（i． 43 f．）is analogous to much in the ordinary dealings of God with man．Bede has the practical remark： ＂volebat ostendere quanto studiosius quantoque ferventius eum praedicare debeant quibus iubet ut praedicent．＂

37．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} s]$ Another ä $\pi$ ． $\lambda \epsilon \gamma ;$ ；$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\hat{\prime} \epsilon L \nu}$ occurs in St Paul twice（Rom．y．20， 2 Cor．vii．4）， but for the adv．he prefers the strengthened compound $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho / \sigma$－
 22，vi．2，xi．18；it expresses the normal impression produced on the mass of the people by both the teach－ ing and the niracles．Mt．，describing the general effect of the miracles in Decapolis，uses the milder term $\theta a v-$ $\mu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon L \nu$.
 without special reference to this mi－
 partly pagan crowd recognised in the miracles of Jesus the glory of the God of Israel，in Whose Name Jesus came ；cf．v．19， 20 ．To some it re－
















act continues in its abiding effects． The plurals $\kappa \omega \phi$ ov́s，à $\lambda$ áous may in－ clude the classes represented by the case of the moyidádos，or they may refer to other miracles of the same kind on the same occasion（cf．Mt．， $\kappa \omega \not \subset o ̀ ̀ s ~ \lambda a \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau a s) . ~ ' A \lambda a ́ \lambda o v s ~ \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̀ v, ~$ perhaps an intentional paronomasia； see WM．，p． 793 f ；for a similar justaposition of $\kappa \omega \phi$ ós and ä̀ $\lambda a \lambda o s$ cf． Ps．xxxvii．（xxxviii）I4．Kai．．．kaí， WM．，p． 547 ；ả̀á入ous，anarthr．，the ä $\lambda$ a $\lambda o c$ being usually identical with the $\kappa \omega \phi$ oi．

VIII．I－9．Feeding of the Four Thousand（Mt．xy．32－39）．
I．év ékeívaıs taîs j̀mépats］During the period to which the preceding iucident belonged（see note on i．9）， i．c．in the course of the Lord＇s journey through the Decapolis（vii．31）．חá入ı $\pi о \lambda \lambda о \bar{v} k \tau \lambda$ ．The crowd which fol－ lowed Him was so great that it reminded the disciples of the crowds on the western shoro（iii．20，iv．I， T ． 21），especially perhaps of the five thousand men who assembled near Bethsaida（vi．34）．Панлод入ov（cf． vv．ll．）is probably due to a misreading
 view see Burgon－Miller，Causes of Corruption，p．34．The word，though classical，is unknown to Biblical Gk． Пробккдєба́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ о $\tau . \mu$ ：in vi． 35 the
disciples take the first step．For $\pi \rho а \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a$ see note on iii． 13 ．
 The Lord had known the pangs of hunger（Mt．iv．2）．Even under or－ dinary circumstances there was some－ thing in the sight of an eager crowd which moved Him；see Mt．xiv．14， Mc．vi． 34 For $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi^{2} i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \varepsilon$ see note on i． 41 ．This crowd was suffer－ ing through its attendance upon Him：

 upon；see Sap．iii． 9 oi mıarò è
 Acts xi．23，xiii．43，and cf．троткар－ тєןєip tive Mc．iii． 9 （note）．The con－ struction $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$ épa $\tau \rho \epsilon$ is $\pi \rho o \sigma \mu$ is explained by treating $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta \dot{\eta}, \tau \rho$ as a parenthesis（WM．，p．704），but it is simpler to supply $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, ，and trcat $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\mu$ évovou and ${ }^{\prime \prime}$＇Xovets as datives of the participle．The reading of $D$ is an interpretation of a difficult phrase； the Vg ．iam triduo sustinent me（ q ， adherent mihi）evades the difficulty； the singular reading of $B$ appears to be a grammatical correction（cf，WM． p．273）．

 was spent，for the stay had been longer than they anticipated．In the case of the Five Thousand，only a
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day seems to have passed, but no provision had been made for more than a few hours' absence from home. On ri фár. see vi. 36 .

 The Lord anticipates the proposal with which the Twelve were doubtless again ready (vi. 36 àmódvoou aủraús). NīซTıs, a classical word, occurs here only (Mt. Mc.) in Biblical Gk. Elis oixov avitev "to their home"; for cis oikoy ( $\epsilon \nu$ oik $\omega$ ) in this sense see ii. г. For éxגv́є $\sigma$ Oat of the faintness caused by want of food see Jud. viii. I5 A (where B has є́к $\lambda \epsilon(\pi \epsilon \omega$ ), i Regn. xiv. 28, Is. xlvi. I, Then. ii. 19, I Mace. iii. 7 .
 Mc. only. Gamala, Hippos, Gadara were perhaps the nearest centres of populartion. The towns and villages of the Decapolis were fewer, and at longer distances from each other than those of the populous western shore. Nothing is said here of кúк $\lambda \omega$ áqpò cal кө̄нац where bread could be bought. The Decapolitans, unlike the Five Thousand, were in their own country, and if dismissed would make their way home. For ánò $\mu a \kappa p o ́ \theta \in \nu, \mathrm{Vg}$. de longe, see $v .6$, note: on the variant ग̈кабtр cf. WM., p. Іо6.


For the 'recitative' ${ }^{\circ} \pi \iota$ cf. i. 15, 37, 40, ii. 12, iii. 11, 21, iv. 21, v. 23, 28, 35, vi. $4,14,15$ bis, 18,35 , vii. $6,20$. The objection raised by the Twelve corresponds to the circumstances: at Bethsaida they had urged the want
 кобi $\omega \nu$ äprous; ;-in this thinly popupted region they plead the scarcity of
 тобоитос ктג. Gould's remark, "the stupid repetition of the question is psychologically impossible," is doubly at fault. The question is not repeated exactly, and such stupidity as it shews is in accordance with all that we know of the condition of the Apostles at this period (cf. viii. I7 ff.). For xoptá( $\epsilon \omega$ see vi. 42, note, and for the gen. cf. Ps. cxxxi. (cxxxii.) I5, and Bless,
 of a desert, cf. WM., p. 468. 'E $\rho \eta \mu i a$ occurs in the Gospels only in this context, and not a dozen times in Biblical Gk, ; the usual phrase is $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \eta \mu o s, \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \eta-$ $\mu o s ~ т o ́ t o s ~ o r ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta}$, see i. 3, 4, 12 f ., 35 .
5. aórous...émтá] The question is the same as in vi. 38. With the loaves, as before, there were a few small fishes, as a relish (Mt. cai jodi $i_{\chi} \theta \dot{d} \delta t a$, see below, $c .7$ ). For the use of fish with bread see note on vi. $3^{8 .}$
6. тараүүє $\lambda \lambda \epsilon 1$ ] Mt. $\pi а \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a s-$ another trace of the dependence of
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 $\pi \varepsilon \rho!\sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma a \nu \tau \alpha$ к $\lambda a \sigma \mu a \tau \sigma$ 33 $0 \mathrm{~m} \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu a \tau \omega \nu \Delta \mathrm{k}$

Mt. on Mc, or of their use of a common Greek source. In the Feeding of the Five Thousand the direction is given to the Twelve; hore apparently the Lord Himself addresses the crowd. No mention is made here of $\chi^{\lambda} \omega \rho \dot{\rho} s$ xópros; the spring was now past, and the hills were bare.
 edióov] See notes on vi. 4r. The in-
 in Mc. seems to imply that the bread was blessed and distributed firstanother detail which has escaped Mt. On єv̉хариттєì see Lob. Phryn. p. 18, Rutherford, N. Phr. p. 69.
 v. 5. The form elxay occurs again Acts xxviii. 2 ( $\pi$ арє $\hat{\chi}^{\chi}{ }^{a d}$ ), Apoc. ix. 8 f., cf. $\epsilon$ l̈ $_{\chi}$ aرєv, 2 Jo. 5, and elsewhere as a variant, see WH., Notes, p. 165,
 here a true diminutive; cf. A.Y., R.Y.
 тарatet.] The blessing was probably distinct from that of the loaves (see note on $v$. 6), but similar; tùnoyeiv and $\varepsilon_{\chi} \mathbf{Z}_{\text {apioteiv }}$ are practically synonynous, see Mt. xv. 36 тoùs émтà äprous
 vi. 41 ; sec $J$. Th. St. iii. p. 163. For $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu^{\prime}$ bade,' cf. v. 43 , Lc. xii. 13 , xix. 15 ; and on mapartecvas and its variants see Blass, Gr. p. 230 , who chooses the
less definite raparєӨ̂̄̀at. Kaì тaûta, these, as well as the loaves.
8. каіे Ёфаүоу каl éхорта́л $\theta_{\eta \sigma а \nu]}$ Cf. vi. 42. Пєрєббєن́ната кдабда́тшข, Mt. $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \bar{v} о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \lambda$., as in xiv. 20, where Mc has simply кда́ $\sigma \mu a \tau a$.
 ( 2 Cor. viii. 13, 14), that which is left or remains over when all present needs are satisfied; an active form $\pi \epsilon p a \sigma \sigma \epsilon i a$ also occurs (Eccl. ${ }^{13}$ Panl ${ }^{4}$ Jas. ${ }^{1)}$. $\Sigma \pi u \rho i^{\prime}($ in the N.T. oqvois, see WH., Notes, p. 148, WSchm., p. 63 , Deissmanu, B. St., pp. 158, 185 , a late form rejected by the Atticists, cf. Lob. Phryn. 43) is used by Herodotus and re-appears in comedy (Ar. Pax 1005) and in the later writers (Theophrastus, Epictetus, \&c.). It is said to be akin to $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i p a$, and to denote a basket of coilcd or plaited materials, cord or reeds ; in Ar. l.c. it is an cel-basket ( $K \omega \pi \dot{a} \delta \omega \nu$ e $\lambda \theta \epsilon \in$ $\sigma \pi v i \delta a s$ ), in Athen. 365 A ( $\delta \in \hat{i} \pi \nu o y$ $\dot{\mathbf{a} \pi \grave{o} \sigma \pi v i(\delta o s) ~ a ~ d i n n e r-h a m p e r . ~ S o m e-~}$ times baskets of this sort were of considerable size, ef. Acts ix. 25 , where Saul makes his escape in a $\sigma \pi v \rho i s$ (= aapyávך, 2 Cor. xi. 33). That the word is here not a mere synonym of
 in $v .20$, q. v. The Yg. renders $\sigma \phi$. by sportas: Wycliffe has "leepis," rescrving "coffiyns" for ко $\phi$. ; frails'
 $\kappa \iota \sigma \chi^{i} \lambda \iota о \iota . \quad к а i ́ a \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ аuтоús．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \sigma \hat{\nu} \hat{\eta} \lambda \bar{\theta} \epsilon \nu$ є is $\tau a^{\prime} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \quad \Delta \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \nu о \nu \theta \alpha^{\prime}$.





 1 1369209271347 Maidan d Magedan a ff Magedam b ir Mageda ck Ma $6 \epsilon \delta a \nu$ syr $^{\text {sin via }}$ Magdal syr $^{\text {paled（Land）Ma M }}$ Ma nay go
is the equivalent of $\sigma \phi$ ．in modern colloquial English（cf．Westcott on $J_{0 .}$ vi． 13 ），but it has not been admitted by the Revisers of the English Bible． See art．Basket in Hastings，D．B．i．p． 256．Probably the correspondence of the number of the odvoi $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ with that of the loaves is accidental，like the relation between the number of the loaves in the earlier miracle and that
 to assign a $\sigma \phi$ oi to each pair of Apostles and the seventh to the Lord is as puerile as to infer from such a coincidence the untrustworthiness of the whole story．－${ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{E} \pi \tau \dot{a} \sigma \phi$ ．is in ap－ position to тєрtббє讠́uata（WM．，p． 664）；$\sigma \phi$ pions is written inexactly for $\sigma \phi . \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\rho} \epsilon i s$（Mt．），or $\sigma \phi u \rho i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu a \tau a$（infra v．20）．

 $\pi a \delta i \omega \nu$（as in xiv． 2 I ）．The number was probably ascertained as before by an orderly division of the crowd into $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota a$ of a certain size．

For a comparison of the details of the two miracles（Mc．vi． 35 ff ．，viii． If．）see Origen，Hilary，and Jerome on Mt．xu．；Jerome＇s quaint and terse summary may be quoted：＂ibi v panes rant et ii pisces，hie vii panes et pauci pisculi；rbi super faenum dis－ cumbunt，hic super terram ；ibi qua comedunt v ilia sunt，hic iv millia； ibis xii cophini replentur，hic vii spar－
the．＂Each of these fathers adds a mystical interpretation of some in－ tersest．

1o－i3．Fresh Encounter with the Pifarisees near Dalmanutha （Mt．xv． $39 b-\mathrm{xvi} .5$ ）．

Io．$\epsilon$ viق̀̀s $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ ás $k \pi \lambda$ ．］After dis－ missing the crowd the Lord Himself at once left the neighbourhood by boat；cf．vi． 45 f．His destination was Dalmanutha or Magadan（Mc． $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$
 Mayday；cf．Mc．vii． 24 with Mt．xv． 21）．Neither name has been definitely identified，and the geographical ques－ lion is complicated by the uncertainty of the text in both Gospels：in Mt．
 the readings Mayóciá，May סa入áv；in Mc．，for $\Delta a \lambda \mu a \nu o v \theta \dot{a}\left(B, \Delta a \lambda \mu a \nu o v \nu \theta \dot{a}^{\prime}\right)$ ， cod．D has Me 入єүǻá（D＊），Maya ióá （ $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ ）－a form which appears substan－ tally in all true O．L，texts and in the Sinaitic Syriac．Dr J．R．Harris（Cod． Boz．p．178）suggests that $\Delta a \lambda \mu a \nu o v \theta a ́$ represents the Syriac $<$ hour $=$ cis тà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ ，and Dr Nestle inclines to a similar view（Philol．Sack．，p．17）； on the other hind see Chase，Syriac element，\＆e．p．146n．Daman（Gr． p．133），with perhaps slightly more probability，suggests that $\triangle a \lambda \mu a v o v \theta a ́$ is a corrupt form of Maүठàov $\theta \dot{\alpha}$ ：cf． Wort Jesu，p． $5^{2} \mathrm{f}$ ．Assuming that both Magadan and Dalmanutha are genuine names，we may accept as a




working hypothesis a modification of Augustine's opinion (cons, ev. ii. 5 I "non dubitandum est eundem locum esse sub atroque nomine""); both places must at least be sought in the same neighbourhood. Was it to another part of the eastern coast that the Lord sailed, or did He cross to the west side of the lake? Eusebius (onomast.), who read Mєүaidáy in Mc., adds кaí द̈ate pûy ì Mayatoav̀̀ mepl Tìv $\Gamma_{\epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma a p . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ o t h e r ~ h a n d ~ i t ~ i s ~}^{\text {a }}$ usually assumed that Mayadion is another form of Magdala, i.e. el Mejdel at the southern end of the plain of Gennesaret, and that eis rò mípay (v. I3) implies a return from the western to the eastern shore; on the Jatter point cf. vi. 45. Robinson (B.R. iii., p. 264) and Thomson (Land \&c., p. 393) mention a site known as edDelhemîyah near the junction of the Yarmatk with the Jordan, some five miles S. of the Lake (see map); if its territory ran down to the shore (cf. v. 1), the locality is consistent with Mc.'s account. Of a Magadan however in this neighbourhood there is as yet no trace: but the form like Mayסàá may represent Maүa the whole question see Encycl. Bibl., s.v, and Hastings, D.B. iii. art. Magadan.
 каi $\Sigma$ इoঠסоикаíou-the only mention of the Sadducces as present at any interview with our Lord during the Galilean ministry; as the aristocratic and pricstly party they resided principaily at Jerusalem and in its neighbourhood. Some were possibly connected with the court of Herod (see on $\boldsymbol{v} .15$ ), residing at Tiherias. Their association with the Plarisces on this
oceasion indicates the extent to which the hostility of the latter was now carried. ' $\mathrm{E} \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$, i.e. from Dalmanutha (cf. Mt. xv. 22), or possibly from the towns on the W. coast. Their appearance is an argument against locating Dalmanutha on the $S$. of the lake, but not perhaps an insuperable one; the journey from Capernaum to the S. end was not a serions one for men who had been watching their opportunity to retaliate.
 " ${ }^{\eta} \rho \xi$ guvo...post pausan." Their plan was to tempt Him by a leading question to commit Jimself to a damaging statement of His claims. $\Sigma v v \zeta_{\eta} \eta_{\text {reiv }}$ is a favourite word with Me. (i. 27, ix. $10,14,16$, xii. 28), found also in Lc. ev. ${ }^{2}$ act. 2 ; see note on i. 27.
 In Lic. xi. I6, 29 the incident occurs in another context; in Mt. it appears in both (xii. 38 f., xiv. I f.). The request may naturally have been repeated, but the substantial identity of the answer, especially the recurrence of the $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i o \nu}{ }^{\prime}$ I $\omega \nu \hat{a}$, is suspicious; that the conversation is here at least in its right place is attested by the agreement of Mt. and Mc. The demand was for $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ of a higher order than the miracles (Bede: "signa quaerunt quasi quae viderant sigua non fue-rint"-a visible or audible interposi-
 The manna is cited in Jo. vi. 3of. as such a sign; the Bath Qol might have been regarded as another. Such wonders had more than once signalised the ministry of Elijah ( $1 \mathrm{~K} . x$ xiii. 38, 2 K . i. Ioff.). The more fruitful but more human and less startling miracles of the Gospel appealed less forcibly to a generation which was possessed by a








 vgodd opt)
passion for display (i Cor. j. 22, cf. Bp Lightfoot ad l.). As Thpht. sug-


 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mu o ́ v a$ o $\eta \mu \epsilon i a$. On the two participles without intervening copula see W M., p. 433.

тєєрá̧ovtes à̀róv] The second part. qualifics the first; the request had a purpose which did not appear on the surface of the words-it was of the nature of a test. Such a test or question may be friendly (Jo. vi. 6), or hostile (Mc. x. 2, xii. 15) ; in the present case the intention could scarcely have been doubtful to any who knew the men.
 oтєyáऽєє, used here only in the N.T., occurs in the Lxx. (Sir. xxy. 18, Thren. i. 4, 2 Macc. vi. 29; cf. Sus. 22, Th.). Like àvaкpá̧єıv (Me. i. 23, vi. 49) and diva $\phi \omega \nu \bar{i} \boldsymbol{i}$ (Lc. i. 42), it is more intense in meaning than the simple verb: the sigh seemed to come, as we say, from the bottom of the heart ; the Lord's human spirit was stirred to its depths. On тề $\pi \nu \epsilon \mathcal{v}_{\mu}^{\prime} a \tau \iota$ see ii. 8 , note. Bede: "vcram hominis naturam, veros hamanae naturae circumferens affectus, super corum dolet et ingemiscit erroribus." Obstinate sin drew from Christ a dceper sigh than the sight of suffering (sec vii. 34, and cf. Jo. xiii. 21), a sigh in which anger and sorrow both had a part (iii. 4, note).

 which occurs infra $v .38$, see Orig. in Mt. t. xii. 4. The phrase $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{a}$ av́r $\eta$ is used again $0.3^{8,}$, (ix. 19), xiii. 30 , and is frequent in Mt. and Lc.; it appears to look back to the age of the Exodus, and to point to sucl passages as Deut. xxxii. 5, Ps. xcv. (xciv.) 10 ; cf. Acts ii. 40, Phil. ii. 15. As the generation which came out of Egypt resisted Moses, so the generation to which Jesus belonged resisted its greater Deliverer; see the parallel worked out, with a slightly different reference, in Heb. iv. 7 ff . On the guestion whether $\gamma \in \nu \in a ́$ bears in the Gospels the wider sense of yévos see xiii. 3 o, note. For $\mathfrak{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ cf. iii. 28, note.






 is based on the use of D to tommence an imprecation which is in fact a solemn form of ncration; for other exx. in the Lxx., of. Gen. xiv. 23, Deut. i. 35,3 Regn. i. 5I, Ps. lxxxyiii. (Ixxxix.) 36 , xev. (xciv.) II, Isa. lxiii. 8. This is the ouly ex. of its employment in the N.'T., except where Ps. xcr. is cited (Heb. iii. ir, iv. 3, 5). See WM., p. 627, Burton § 272 . The exception
 xii. 40 , Lc. xi. 30), points to the




 $2093466042^{\text {pe }}$（arm）nisi umum panem quem habebant（b）（c）dff iq rom et $\mu \eta$ syssin
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Resurrection as the supreme proof of the Divine mission of Jesus，and one which that generation was to receive： cf．Acts ii． 32 ff ．

13．каì à $\phi \in i s$ aùroús ктג．］Mt．каì ката入ıтњ̀ aúroús．．．His departure was significant，an anticipation of the end （Lc．xiii．35）；since there was no scope for His ministry among these men，He entered the boat again and crossed the Lake．Thpht．：äфínoı тoùs Фapıбaious
 $\pi \in \rho a \nu$ is here the western or the eastern shore，or merely a point on the same shore where He was，cannot be determined from the word（cf．iv． 35，v．I，21，vi．45）．The destination on this occasion was Bethsaida（v．22）； if＂the parts of Dalmanutha＂were near the cxit of the Jordan，the boat must lave traversed nearly the whole length of the lake，from S．to N．E．

14－2 2 ．The Leaven of the Pha－ risees and the Leapen of Merod （Mt．xvi．5－12 ；cf．Lc．xii．1）．
 Mt．alters the setting of this incident by placing it on or after the arrival （ $\left.\grave{\lambda} \theta_{0}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . . . \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a v\right)$ ；in Mc．the omission is discovered，as it appears， while they are crossing（cf．$v v .14,22$ ）． Ordinarily，at least when in thinly peopled neighbourhoods，the Twelve carried the thin flat loaves of the country in their $\pi \hat{\eta} \rho a \iota$ or кó $\phi \iota \frac{1}{}$－the direction given in vi． 8 is clearly exceptional．It probably rested with Judas of Kerioth to purchase food for the party（Jo．xii．6），but owing per－ haps to the sudden departuro（ $c$ I 13 ），
or under the impression that the fragments of the seven loaves were amply sufficient，the matter had been overlooked．When they came to search their bread baskets only one cake could be found（Mt．omits this detail）．＇Eтe入á $\theta_{o v t o ~ i s ~ r e n d e r e d ~ b y ~}^{\text {a }}$ the English pluperfect in all the English versions except Wycliffe， Rheims，and R．V．；cf．Burton $\S 48$ ， and see Field，Notes，p．ir．The form $\epsilon \bar{\pi} \epsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \nu T o\left(\mathrm{~B}^{*}\right)$ is not uncommon in the best mss．of the rxx；see Jud． iii． 7 （A），Ps．lxxvii．（lxxviii．）II （ $\mathrm{B}^{*}$ ），Hos．xiii．6，Jer．xiii．2I（ $\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathbf{N}$ ）． Mє $\theta^{\prime}$ є́qut $\omega \nu$ ：cf．ix．8，xiv． 7.

15．$\delta t \epsilon \sigma \tau$＇$\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$ ］Either＇during the crossing Me charged them＇；or，＇He charged them more than once＇（Burton §§ 2I，24；cf．vii．36）．Bגє́ $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ àmó $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．，Mt．$\pi \rho о \sigma є \chi \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ á $\pi \dot{o} . .$. ＇keep your eye（mind）upon it with the view of avoiding it＇；cf．xii． 38 （WM．，p．280）， and sco Wilcken in Archiv f．Papy－ rusforschung，iv．P．568；other con－ structions are $\beta \lambda \notin \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ т $\tau \nu \dot{a}$（xiii．9， Phil．iii．2），$\beta \lambda . \mu \mu_{\eta}^{\prime}(x i i i . ~ 5, ~ C o l . ~ i i . ~ 8) . ~$ Zún is used with an ethical reference in two other contexts of the N．T．， （I）in the parable of the leaven （Mit．xiii．33，Lc．xiii．2I），（2）in the Pauline proverb $\mu \iota \kappa$ à $\zeta$ ．ö̀ $\lambda o y$ тò $\phi$ и́papa ̧vんoí（I Cor．v． 6 ff．，Gal． v．9）；on both these uses sec Bp Lightfoot＇s notes）．The word repre－ sents a tendency working invisibly， and，except in the Parable of the leaven，an evil tendency，partly be－
 cited by Lightfoot），partly owing to











the rigid exclusion of leaven during the Passover and in certain other sacrificial rites (Lev. ii. II $\pi$ âcay
 present case the $\zeta$. was (Mt. xv. I2) the teaching of the Pharisees, or (acc. to Lc. xii. 1) the spirit of hypocrisy which their teaching encouraged. Once admitted into the heart or into a society, this principle would spread until it rendered the spiritual service of God impossible.
 tion of the art. implies the distinctness of the two tendencies indicated; in Mt. this point is overlooked ( $\overline{T j} s$
 Ea $\delta \delta$ оuкаi $\omega \nu$ (Mt.) appears to answer to
 a Sadducee, i.e., he did not reject the Pharisaic doctrine of a resurrection (cf. vi. 16). But the worldliness of the Herod family and of Antipas's court was not far removed from the temper of the Sadducean aristocrats; and the supporters of the Herod dynasty were probably disposed to Sadducean rather than Pharisaic views. Mt. seems to have used इadoovkazo in this passage as roughly
 Mt. xxii. 16). 'The leaven of Herod' was donbtless the practical unbelief which springs from love of the world and the immoralities to which in a coarser age it led. Bede: "fermen-
tum Herodis est adulterium, homicidium, temeritas iurandi, simulatio religionis." There are occasions when this tendency can ally itself with punctilious externalism in religious practice; the two are never perhaps fundamentally at variance. Both were to be carefully shunned by the Twelve and the future Church.
 The mention of leaven led to a discussion among the Twelve as to thcir mistake-how it arose, who was to blame, how it could be rectified. For $\delta_{\iota \in \lambda} o \gamma$. of. ii. 6,8 ; with $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$. (Mt., év éautoís) cf. $\pi \rho$ òs éautoús, xi.

 tative'; their conversation turned on the omission to provide themsclves with loaves.
17. $\gamma$ vois $\lambda$ é $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon]$ When He became aware what they were saying, and what had led to it; see ii. 8, note;
 is the aor. part. of antecedent action (Burton, § I 34). "Ort may again be recitative: 'why discuss such a sub-
 as the equivalent of what he afterwards omits (sec below).
 not yet learnt the habit of attending to and refecting upon the facts that pass under your observation from day to day? For similar questions imply-







 кас CN f
ing censure comp. Mc. iv. 13 , 40 , vii. 18, Jo. xiv. 9; for voeiv see vii. 18,
 vii. 14. Oviò $\begin{gathered}\text { avi } \epsilon \tau \epsilon \text { has no place in }\end{gathered}$ Mt. who passes on to kail od (oui $\begin{gathered}\text { t }\end{gathered}$ $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ( $p$. 18). For the sequence oủ...oừfé see WM., p. 613 .
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \omega \rho \omega \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$ ё $\chi є \tau \epsilon к \tau \lambda$.$] Wanting$ in Mt. On $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega \rho$. (Wycliffe, Tyndale, Cranmer, "blinded") see iii. 5, vi. 52 ; as to the reading of D here cf . Chase, Syro-Latin text, p. 42. The train of thought is well explained by Bengel : "ex cords induration manat in visum auditum et memoriam." For the predicative use of the participle see Bless, $G r$. p. 158.
 were as men who possessed organs of sight and hearing which they could not or would not use. The words are adapted from Jer. v. 2 I $\dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{i}$ auтoîs kail out $\beta \lambda$ étovvav, sita aùroîs kail


 oủk ákovovow. The condition of the Twelve was perilously near to that of the judicially blinded multitude
 Lord blames a lapse of memory which was due to heedlessness and lack of spiritual vision. Their forgetfoulness needed and found a spiritual


 Oxyrh. Logia 3, 8, and see Salmon's

Cath. and Univ. Sermons, vii. (on ‘Colour-blindness'.


 ministerial action of the Twelve passes out of sight in this review of the two
 breaking of the loaves was symbolical of the munificence which fed the multitudes; cf. Isar. lvii. 7 d̀ü $\theta_{\rho} v \pi \tau \epsilon$
 reference see WM., p. 267, and esp. Deissmann, B. St., p. 117 f.; к $\lambda \hat{a} \nu \quad$ т wt is the more obvious construction, of.
 (
 $\delta \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau а$ к $\lambda а \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu=\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{v}-$ $\mu a \tau a ~ к \lambda а \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu . . . \sigma \phi \nu р i o ̂ a s ~(v i i i . ~ 8) . ~$ For ax. of the double gen. $\sigma \phi$ vi $i \delta \omega$
 instance the construction may perhap be more conveniently explained
 light of a single noun-'a basketful,' on which $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{\mu} \tau \omega \nu$ depends as the gen. of content (WM., p. 235). Lightfoot (Colossians, p. 326) compares Eccl. iv. $6 \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu a$ ठ̀ $\rho a \kappa \grave{s}$ àvaлavi $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ... $\mu \dot{\circ} \chi \theta_{\text {oo }}$, 'a handful of rest...of toil'; Fritzsche points to Eur. Ion 1069
 pi $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$. Wycliffe, "coffyns...leepis"; cf. $\varepsilon .8$, note.
 memory does not fail them as regards

тoùs $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o v s, \pi o ́ \sigma \omega \nu \quad \sigma \phi \nu \rho i ́ \delta \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \tau \alpha$






 syrr go $\pi \omega$ ouv ou $\pi \omega$ 13 69 I 24346 farm $\pi \omega s$ ov BEFGHSVT min ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ bdq me aeth


 D $26 \mathbf{2}^{*}$ affilqrgo
their own part in the transaction, so far at least as it had its immediate reward.
 Even now their powers of reflexion were not in exercise. Mit. represents the Lord as anticipating their riper


 upon this they understood that the teaching of the Pharisees and Sadducees was the leaven of which they must beware. But Mc.'s stimulating question, which leaves the Twelve to think out the matter for themselves, is certainly more characteristic of our Lord's method of dealing with souls. Nor does the equation $\zeta \dot{j} \mu \eta=\delta i \delta \partial \times n$ at all exhaust the purpose of His reference to the two miracles of the loaves. The inability to understand a metaphor was but a part of their offence; their anxiety about the want of bread had shewn a distrust of His power to provide which the experience of baskets twice refilled ought to have made impossible. It is $\delta \lambda \iota y o-$ rtaria and not mere want of intelligence which He censures (Mt. xvi. 8).

22-26. Arkival at Bethsaida. A Blind Man recofers Sight. (Mc. only.)


Dalmanutha (viii. soq.v.). Bethsaida, sc. Julias; see note on vi. 45. The remarkable reading of D and some other O.L. authorities (B $\eta$ Aaviau) either refers to an unknown Bethany on the Lake, or has arisen from a confusion of Bethsaida with the Bethany beyond Jordan (Jo. i. 28) where John baptized; the latter locality is excluded by its inland position. Bethsaida Julias was at this time more than a. к $\omega^{\prime} \mu \eta$ (ev. 23, 26, cf. Jo. i. 45), but it may have lept its old style in the popular speech; or one of the villages in its territory may be intended in the sequel (cf. vi. 36 ).
 second miracle recorded only by Mc. (cf. vii. 32 fi). There are some remarkable coincidences between the two narratives, both of language and of detail. The words ф'िovory aito
 $\beta \lambda^{\prime} \psi{ }^{\prime}$ as are common to both; cf.
 (vii. 33). Both again agree in many of the circumstances: the withdrawal from the crowd, the touching of the organs affected, the strict charge to keep the matter close. Yet there is no room for suspecting either of the two miracles. Similarity of surroundings may have led to partial similarity of circumstauces; but the





 $2^{\mathrm{pe}}$ ifor alpauc f 1 vg (autou et autw syricl me)
narratives are at the heart of the facts distinct.

тuф ó $^{\prime}$ ] The first mention in Mc. of blindness as an infirmity for which a cure was sought from Christ: a scoond case occurs in x. 46 ff.; for cases in the other Gospels see Mt. ix. 27, xi. 5, xii. 22 , xv. 30 , xxi. I4, Jo. ix. I ff.
 x. 13; and for the converse, iii. 10 , $v$. 27 ff., vi. 56. Паракалєiv iva: cf. т. Іо, I8 (noto). Aúroù $=$ тoû тuф入oû, cf. WM., p. 186. "A $A \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a t=$ nearly $\epsilon \pi t-$

 cf. Mc. i. 41 , x. 13 .
 Cf. кратйซas т̂̀s $\chi$ еєpós, i. 31, v. 41,
 in Lc. ${ }^{\text {er. } 5, \text { act. } 7}$, I Tim. ${ }^{2}$, Heb. ${ }^{2}$, but in the other Gospels only here and Mt. xir.

 33), but since he canuot follow, the Lord leads him by the hand (Bengel : "ipse ducebat: magna humilitas"). For the double gen. ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ òs $\tau \boldsymbol{v}$ тиф $\lambda_{o}$ ) see WM., p. 252, Blass, Gr. p. IOI ; as Blass observes, the reading of $D$ is in the style neither of classical nor of N. T. Greek ; R.V. rightly, "he took hold of the blind man by the hand."
 brought him outside the village' (Wycliffe: "out of the streete"); the appeal had evidently been made in one of the thoroughfares or open spaces where a concourse might be expected. For this use of ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ cf. i. 45 , xi. I9. The isolation was probably for the
sake of the blind man himself. Euth.

 $\mu e v o \nu$ тò touovtov $\theta a \hat{v} \mu a$. But there is no ground for this supposition. Cf. 0.26.

ттúgas cis тà ơّ $\mu \mu a \tau a$ av่̉тô̂] Cf. vii. 33, note. The Lord condescends to use a popular remedy as a symbol of the healing power which resided in His ofrn humanity. Suetonius ascribes a similar miracle to Vespasian: Vesp. 7 "e plebe quidam luminibus orbatus, item alius debili crure sedentem pro tribunali pariter adierunt... 'restitaturum oculos si inspuisset, confirmaturum crus si dignaretur calce contingerc'...utrumque temptavit, nec eventus defuit." See also Tac. hist. iv. 81. The poetical word ${ }^{0} \mu \mu \alpha$ is rare in Biblical Gk. (Prov. ${ }^{5}$ Sap. ${ }^{2} 4$ Macc. $^{3}$ Mt. $^{1}$ Mc. ${ }^{1}$ ). ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathbf{E} \pi t \theta$ eis tàs $\chi \in i \hat{p} a s$ au'r $\hat{\varphi}$ : the laying on of hands is vouchsafed as an additional help to the blind man's faith. In some cases it seems to have been the only sign of healing used (vi. 5, Le. iv. 40 , xiii. 13).
 the imperf. cf. v. 9, viii. 27, 29. The question is regarded as a factor in a process which is passing before the reader's mind. On ei as a direct interrogative see WM., p. 639; the traditional text softens but at the same time weakens the sentenco (see rv. 1L.). The Lord recognises that the recovery of sight in this case will be gradual ; Victor: $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \omega \nu$ ws áтє $\lambda$ خेs
 тои̂ $\pi \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ т a ̀ s ~ o ̈ \psi \epsilon \iota s . ~$












 latt
 the question the man involuntarily raised his eyes. 'Avaß入é $\pi \epsilon \ell \nu$ is either (a) to look up (vi. 4 I, vii. 34 , xvi. 4) or (b) to recover sight (x. 51, 52); the courtext determines the meaning in each case. The same ambiguity appears in certain other verbs compounded with

 "I sce men, for I perceive objects like trees walking." As yet he can discriminate a man from a tree of the same height only by his movements; the image reflected on the retina is still indistinct; "nec eaccus est nec oculos habet" (Jerome). Cf. Jud. ix.
 $a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a s:$ Field compares the proverb
 The roading of the R.T. which omits ö́t and $\dot{\text { a }} \hat{\omega}-$ "I see men like trees, walking"-is easier, but comparatively pointless. On the distinction between $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega$ and $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma}$ see iv. 12, note.
 second application of the Lord's hand completes the cure. $\Delta \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon-$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta$, еौєє $\bar{\beta} \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$, represent the completeness of the recovery in three aspects; the man saw perfectly, his faculty of sight was from that hour restored, he was able henceforth to
examine every object and interpret the phenomena correctly. The reading
 aims at removing a tautology, misses the point of Mc.'s description; the second imposition of hands, unlike the first, was followed by perfect restoration. $\Delta a \beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, to see clearly, does not occur in the Lxx., but. Aq. substitutes $\delta$ tá $\beta \lambda \epsilon \psi u s$ for a a vá $\beta \lambda \in \psi \iota s$ in Isa. lxi. I ; in the N. T. its meaning is well illustrated by Mt. vii. $5=$ Lc. vi. 42
 ékßалєì тò кá $\rho \phi$ оs, ' thou shalt gain clearness of vision.' 'E $\mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, to turn and fix the eyes upon (cf. Jud. xvi. 27 (A), i Esdr. iv. 33 (A), Mt. xix. 26, Mc. x. 21, 27, xiv. 67, Jo. i. 36, 43), implies the power to concentrate the attention on a particular object : the construction is usually $\epsilon \mu \beta \lambda$. tiví or eis, but $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu} \mu \lambda$, тivá occurs in Jud. l.c., Isa. т. 12. T $\eta \lambda a v \gamma \omega \bar{s}$, 'clearly, though at a distance'; his sight served for distant objects as well as for those near at hand, so eompletely was it restored;

 adv. is ${ }^{\circ} \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in the N. T.; the Lux. use Tग入av ${ }^{\prime}$ 's (Lev. xiii. 2, 4, 19, 24, Job xxxvii. 21, Ps. xviii. (xix.) 8), т $\downarrow \lambda a \dot{\gamma} \gamma \eta \mu a$ (Lev. xiii. 23), т $\ddagger \lambda a v ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s$ (Ps. xvii. (xviii.) I2). $\Delta \eta \lambda a v \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ (vy. II.),
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besides being a word of doubtful authority, misses an important point.

As Gould rightly remarks (in opposition to Weiss): "we have no right to argue from this single case that gradualness was the ordinary nethod" of the Lord's working. On the contrary, the abnormal character of this incident is probably the cause of its being selected by the Evangelist or St Peter. Euth. is probably not far from the truth in his explanation of the slowness of the recovery : áreג $\omega$ s

 ment cf. Bede: "paullatim et non statim repente curat quem uno mox verbo si vcllet poterat curare, ut magnitudinem humanae caecitatis ostenderet, quae quasi pedetentim et per quosdam profectuum gradus ad lucem divinae visionis solet pervenire."
26. $\epsilon$ is oikov aủrô̂] Our Lord seems to have desired that those who had been recently healed should seek the retirement of their own homes, cf. ii. II, v. I9. The house was apparently away from the town : see next note.
 far from holding any conversation with the people of the village, do not even enter it for the present: go straight home.' The reading is discussed at some length in WH., Intr., § 140; a defence of the traditional
text is attempted by Burgon-Miller, Causes of Corruption, p. 273 f. Dr Hort points out that "the peculiar initial $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ has the terse force of many sayings as given by St Mark." $\mathrm{M} \eta \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ is used with the imperative in the same sense (= ne quidem) in Eph. v. 3, 2 Thess. iii. Io, and with the infinitive by Mc. (ii. 2, iii. 20); but there is no precise parallel in the N. T. Jerome's mystical interpretation is curious: "vade in domum tuam, h.e. in domum fidei, h. e. in ecclesiam; ne revertaris in viculum Iudaeorum."

27-30. Journey to Neighbourhood of Caesarea Philippi. Qjestion as to the Lord's Person (Mt. xvi. 13-20, Lc. ix. 18-21).
 Bethsaida the Lord and the Twelve moved northwards, following the course of the Jordan till they reached the neighbourhood of its sources; the road may have lain entirely on the E. bank, or the party may have crossed the river below the waters of Merom where the bridge knownas Jisr benat Yalûb joins the Jaulàn to Galilee. The Caesarea to whose 'villages' they came was distinguished from that upon the coast of the Mediterranean (the Caesarea of the Acts, $\mathrm{K} . \dot{\eta}$ тapá-
 $\left.\pi \dot{i} \rho \gamma_{0 s}\right)$ as Caesarea Philippi : it was in Philip's tetrarchy (Lc. iii. I), and




 ANXГII alpl syrr go om aut fq q arm om $\lambda$ evoyecs $\mathrm{C}^{2} 33$ alpauc
had been recently rebuilt in part by Philip's munificence, and named after Augustus, as Bethsaida had been renamed Julias after the daughter of the Imperator; Joseph. ant. xviii. 2. I





 ing from one of Philip's new cities to the other the Lord found Himself in a more distinctly and aggressively Hellenised country. The old name of the town-Paneas, now Bâniasmarked it as sacred to the worship of Pan; its second name connected it with the worship of the Emperor, in whose honour a temple had been erected close to the old shrine of Pan (Joseph. ant. xv. ro. 3). The population was chiefly Gentilo (cf. Schürer IL. i. 133 ff.), yet, as this context shews, not exclusively so, especially in the suburbs, to which the Lord seems to have confined Himself. The physical surroundings of Caesarea are graphically described by Stanley, S. and $P$. p. 397 : "over an unwonted carpet of turf...through a park-like verdure... the pathway winds, and the snowy top of the mountain itself is gradually shut out from view by its increasing nearness, and again there is a rush of waters through deep thickets, and the ruins of an ancient town...rise on the hill side: in its situation, in its exuberance of water, its olive groves, and its view over the distant plain, almost a Syrian Tivoli"; cf. G. A. Smith, H. G., p. 473 f. For the Tal-
mudic name, ?קִדִריץ or per Neubauer, Geogr. du Talm., p. 237.
 note on vii. 24) are the villages and small towns that clustered round Caesarea, and belonged to its territory (WM., p. 234)-its 'daughter towns'; so the phrase is used repeatedly in the ixx. of Joshua and I, 2 Chronicles.
 one of the chief purposes of the long journey over a relatively unfrequented road was to afford opportunities for the instruction of the Twelve. The Lord begins by eliciting their views with regard to Himself. The Galilean ministry was now practically at an end; the way to the Cross was opening before Him. Thus the moment had come for testing the result upon the Twelve of what they had seen and heard, and preparing them for the future. It was felt by Jesus Himself to be a crisis of great moment, and He prepared for it by prayer (Lc. ix. r8), as He had prepared for the first circuit of Galilee (Mc. i. 35), and for the selection of the Twelve (Lc. vi. 12). For another important conversation $\hat{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \delta \delta \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{x} .32$.


 He asks for information, perhaps in order to lead them to the further question which follows, or it may have been from a desire to ascertain by the ordinary methods of human knowledge what they would have had opportunities of knowing, which were denied to Uim by the circumstances of His position (cf. v. $30 b$, note). Not

 $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ т п р \rho \omega ́ t a ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o u ́ s ~ ' Y \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau i ́ \nu a ~ \mu e ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~$

 $29 \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ autovs $\left.\mathrm{NBC}^{*} \mathrm{DL} \Delta\right] \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ autous $\mathrm{AC}^{2} \mathrm{NX} \Gamma \Pi \Sigma$ al min ${ }^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{b}$ (f) i vg (syrr) go


even the Pharisees ventured to discuss the Master in His presence.
 $\lambda^{\prime}$ 'ुovaı oi àp $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ oí $\sigma \epsilon$ civat. These conjectures have already been mentioned (vi. I4, I5, where see notes). Matthew adds that some had singled out the prophet Jeremiah-possibly (Edersheim, ii 79) on account of the denunciatory character of one side of our Lord's teaching, possibly (J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvii. 9), because Jercmiah occupied the first place in the order traditionally assigned to the 'Latter Prophets' (cf. Ryle, O. T. Canon, p. 225 ff ). Cf. the references to Jeremiah in 2 Macc. ii. 5 ff., xv. 14 f. ; in 4 Esdr. ii. 18 the return of both Isaiah and Jeremiah is anticipated, "mittam tibi adiutorium pueros meos Isaiam et Hieremiam"; see Weber, Jüd. Theologie $e^{2}$, p. 354. Fcw in Galilee, it seems, had spoken of Jcsus as Messiah (see however Mt. ix. 27), though in Judaea this possibility had been freely discussed (Jo. vii. 28-31, 4I, ix. 22), and even in Samaria (Jo. iv. 29), and perhaps in Phoenicia (Mt. xy. 22). Perhaps the advent of a uational deliverer was not so anxiously awaited in a country where members of the IIerod family were in power as in Judaea under Roman sway; yet see Jo. vi. 15.
29. кal aứrós] Aủtús is not emphatic, but, like $\delta \delta \dot{\delta}$, serves to shew that the previous speaker takes up the conversation again. 'Yueis $\delta \dot{e}$ riva $\kappa-\lambda$. 'but ye'-in contrast to men in general-'those without' (cf. iv.
i1). Áє $\gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, in your ordinary conversation, among yourselves or with others. 'Amoкри $\theta$ cis... $\lambda \in$ ' $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ : an instance of the aor. part. of identical action coupled with a pres., cf. Burton, $\S 141$; Mt., aпокр. єimey. All tho Synoptists attribute the answer to Peter, but they report it differently. Mc.'s brief
 $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$ тov̀ $\theta_{\epsilon} \hat{v}$, and in Mt., $\sigma \dot{v} \epsilon \hat{i}$
 But in each of the forms the essence of the confession is the same. In the O.T. the priest or king is GoD's Anointed: i Regn. xxvi. 9, if xpiorò̀ Kuptou ( (n)
 the ideal King of the Psalins is also son of God (Ps. ii. 7, lexxix. 26, 27); cf. Enoch cr. 2, and on the import of the last ref. Stanton, J. and Chr. M., p. 288. For a discussion of the title as applied to Christ in the Gospels see Dalman, Worte, i. p. 219 ff., and art. Son of God in Hastings, $D . B$. iv. The epithet $\delta$ $\langle\bar{\omega} \nu$ is possibly suggested by the pagan surroundings of Cacsarea; for its use in the O. T. cf. Esth. vi. 13, viii. 13 , Sir. xviii. I, Dan. v. 23 (LXX.), vi. 20 (2I) (Th.), and the constant phrases $\zeta \hat{\eta}$ Kúptos, $\zeta \bar{\omega}$ $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega^{\prime}, \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ Kúpıos: in the N. T. it occurs again in Mt. xxvi. $6_{3}$, Jo. vi. 57 ( $\dot{\delta} \zeta \omega \nu$ $\pi a r \dot{\eta} \rho)$, Rom. ix. 26, 2 Cor. vi. I6, I Th. i. 9 , I Tim. iii. 15, iv. 10, Heb. iii. 12 , ix. I4, x. 3I, xii. 22, I Pct. i. 23, Apoc.
 тヘ̃ท aicivon).

According to Mt. xiv. 33 (ả̉ך $\theta \hat{\omega} s$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau о \overline{ }$.



 $\tau \omega \nu$ apर. к. $\tau \omega \nu \gamma \rho$.] om $\tau \omega \nu$ bis AGKN $\Delta \Pi \Sigma$ om $\tau \omega \nu \mathrm{I}^{\circ} \mathrm{FLI}$ om $\tau \omega \nu 2^{\circ} \mathrm{X} \Phi$
 той $\theta$ єồ), this was not the first occasion upon which the Messiahship of the Lord had beeu confessed by the Twelve. Peter in particular had known who He was from the first (Jo. i. 41). But his belief is now solemnly and formally professed, and the Lord rewards this act of recognition on the part of His Apostle with a remarkable promise which Mt. alone has preserved (Mt. xvi. 17 ff., ef. Hort, Ecclesia, p. ro f.). On Mc.'s omission of the reward ef. Victor : rì


 $\chi a \rho i\} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t \delta \delta \delta а \sigma к а \lambda \varphi$. Eusebius (D.E. iii. 3) is perhaps more accurate: taî̃a

 тарѐ $\iota \pi \epsilon$.
30. каіे è $\pi \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ av̀roîs кт入.] Le.,

 censure which the word implies belongs here only to the disobedience which the Lord has reason to anticipate (cf. i. 45, vii. 36); Vg. comminatus est eis ne cui dicerent. Пєрì aìtov,
 $\dot{\text { o }}$ र $\rho$ ortós. The spread of such a rumour would have either precipitated the Passion, or prevented it at the cost of substituting a national and political movement for one which was spiritual and universal.

3I-33. The Passion foretold; Peter reproved (Mt. xyi. 21-23, Le. ix. 22).
 $\eta_{p}{ }^{2}$ gato 'In was a new departure, beginning with the moment when by the confession of the Twelve he was acknowledged to be the Christ. The Christ must suffer (Le. xxiv. 26, Acts xxvi. 23 $\pi a \theta \eta r o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \chi \rho.) ; ~ s o ~ p r o p h e c y ~ h a d ~$ clearly foretold (Acts viii. $32-35$ ). But the idea was nevertheless strange and repulsive to the Jewish mind; see Westcott, Study of the Gospels, p. 14I, Stanton, p. 125 ff., Schürer II. ii. p. 184 ff. ; quite other thoughts were associated with the name of Messiah. The Lord therefore does
 $\pi a \theta \epsilon i v$, but calls Iimself as heretofore
 naeus (iii. 16. 5) quotes this passage against the Docctic notion of an impassible Christ. For $\delta \in i ̂$ of. ix. in, xiii. 7, Le. xxiv. 26, Acts xxiii. II, xxvii. 24 , I Cor. xv. 25 , Apoc. i. I. Пə $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ тa $\theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ : a frequent phrase in reference to the Passion, cf. Mt. xvi. 21, Me. ix. 12 , Lc. ix. 22, xvii. 25 ; the Lord suffered mò入ác but not $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ k ı s$, Heb. ix. 26.
 $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} v a]_{]}$A remarkably complete outline of the Passion in its three stages: (1) the official rejection of the Messiah by the Sauhedrin, (2) His violent death, (3) His victory over death. Kai dimo-
 looks back to Ps. exvii. (cxviii.) 22 ; cf. xii. Io, I Pet. ii. 4 ff. ; à $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ oסoкi$\mu \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \Delta(=D \mathbb{N} \underset{\sim}{p}$ Ps. $l . c$.$) is to reject after$ scrutiny, and implies an official test-



ing and rejection of His clams. This was to be conducted by the three factors in the national council acting together ( $\dot{v} \pi \dot{o} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho$. кai $\dot{a} \rho \chi$. каi $\gamma \rho$. Mt., so Lc.), but each severally responsible and consenting to the verdict (ino
 The words distinctly contemplate Jerusalem as the secne of the rejection, for there only conld the apxiepeis be found, or the three classes take common action. -The three are mentioned together again xi. 27 , xiv. 43 , 53 (oi da $\rho \chi$. к. of $\gamma \rho$. к. oi $\pi \rho$.), xv. I (ot àpX. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho$, каі̀ $\gamma \rho$.). For the yo, see note on i. 22 d the a $\rho \chi$, $\epsilon \rho \in$ is (Vg. summi sacerdotes, A.V. and R.V., "chicf priests") are the heads of the priestly class, High Priest and ex-High Priests, and other leading members of the sacerdotal aristocracy;
 indiepatiкov, and see Blass ad l. and Schürer II. i. p. 177 ff . The $\pi \rho \in \sigma-$ Búrepor (to be distinguished of course from the eiders of vii. 3, 5) appear to have been tho nom-professional or lay clement in the Council-a survival apparently of the repoveia of Maccabean times (I Macc. xii. 6, 3 Macc.
 (Exod. xvii. 5).
 this late pass. aor. oceurs in I Macc. ii. 9, and again in Mc. ix. 3I (cf. WSchm., p. 128). Kai $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\tau} \grave{\alpha}$ т $\rho \in i ̂ s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\kappa} \rho a_{s}$ àvaбт $\tilde{\eta} \nu a \imath: ~ M t ., ~ L e ., ~ к а і ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$
 used of the Resurrection in Mc. (WH.) exclusively, in Mt. and Le. the two verbs appear to be employed indiscriminately; in doctrinal passages éरeípouat as a pass. in form suggests the thought of ó ézeipas (Rom. iv. 24 f., viii. II, 34, I Cor. xv. I4, I5, cf. Ign. Trall. 9), but this is hardly
present to the writers of the Gospel narrative. Mєтà $\tau \rho . \dot{\eta} \mu$. ; so Mc. always (ix. 31, x. 34), except when he
 58) in reference to the saying of $J_{o}$. ii. 19. Mt. also has $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \rho$. $\dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$. in xxvii. 63 , but elsewhcre he writes $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \rho$ 'rn $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a$ (xvi. 21, xvii. 23, xx. 19), and so Lc., ix. 22, xviii. 33 ( $\tau \hat{n} \dot{\eta}_{\mu} . \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \rho$.), xxiv. 7, 46, Acts x. 40 , and Paul ( $\mathbf{1}$ Cor. xv. 4, $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta}_{\mu} . \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \rho$.). Mc.'s phrase occurs also, with another reference, in Acts xxv. I; cf. $\mu \in \tau$ à $\tau \rho \in$ îs
 Gal. i. 18 ; $\tau \hat{\eta}$ т $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ íry Acts xxvii. 19. Both phrases were porhaps suggested

 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma o \dot{\prime} \mu \theta$ a. The earliest tradition seems to have inclined to the former, modifying it however so as to retain a reference to the third day. That $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$ трfís ìmépas in this connexion is equivalent to $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \bar{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{p} i r \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ is clear from
 in Mt. xxvii. 64 ; cf. Mt. xii. 40 where the stay of the Lord in the grave is described as "three days and three nights"; see also Field, Notes, p. in. The easier phrase however soon superseded the harder, and is almost universal in early citations from the Gospels (Resch, aussercan. Par. zu Le. p. 147 ff ), and in Creeds it is varied only by the equivalent $\delta i \dot{a} \tau \rho t \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon-$ рйע or $\tau \rho \subset \not \subset \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu$ (Caspari, Quellen, iii. p. 7of.). On the singular renderings of some O. L. texts sce J. K. Harris, Codex Bezae, p. 91. The Sinaitic Syriac substitutes 'on the third day' in Mc., but in Mt. xxvii. 63 retains 'after three days.'
 spake the saying (so probably here, but cf. i. 45) without reserve (Wyclife, "pleynli," "opeuli"), in the presence of




[^76] ракади́ттшs), and in plain, directwords. Mapp naía (hero only in the Synoptists) is contrasted with $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ र $\kappa \nu \pi \tau \hat{\varphi}$ (Jo. vii. 4): Є̇у параидías (Jo. хvi. 25, cf. 29). The more usual forms are $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d}$ map$\rho \eta \sigma i a s$ (Prov. x. Io, Acts ii. 29), èv таррпбі" (Sap. v. ı, Jo. хvi. 29); тар$\rho \eta \sigma i a$ is specially frequent in Jo. (vii. 13, 26, x. 24, xi. I4, 54, xví. 25, xviii. 20). For the general sense and use of the word see Lightfoot on Col. ii. I5.
 To Peter such frankness seemed to be indiscreet; such premonitions of failure were at variance with all his conceptions of the Christ. The Master had manifested a momentary weakness; it was his duty as senior of the Twelve to remonstrate. He took the Lord aside a little, as if to ask a question or to give some information privatoly, perhaps in order to spare the Master the pain of a public remonstrance, 'as if sparing Him,' Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin. (Bede: " } n e ~ p r a e s e n t i b u s ~ c e t e r i s ~}$ condiscipulis magistrum videatur arguere"). Проблаßє́б $\theta a t$ (Mt. Mc.) is used of the stronger or wealthier coming to the help of the weaker or poorer (Ps. xvii. (xviii) 17 (NA), xxvi. (xxvii.) Io, Acts xviii. 26, Rom. siv. i, 3, xv. 7), and carries here an air of conscious superiority (ef. Hastings, $D$. B., iii. p. $760 a$ ). Something of this officiousness had shewn itself already in Simon Peter's relations to his Master (i. 36); the tension of his recent act of faith and the exaltation of feeling which followed it probably exaggerated a fault of natural character, and led to the astounding conduct described in the next words.
 the words: ï $\lambda \epsilon \omega$ 's $\sigma o l$ ( 1 Macc. ii. 21),

33. ó ठ̀̇ é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon i ́ s ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Lord turned sharply round as if to face the speaker-a characteristic act, see ч. $30, \mathrm{Mt}$ ix. 22, Lc. vii. 9, 44, ix. 55, x. 23 , xiv. 25 , xxii. 6I, xxiii. 28 ,
 $\phi \epsilon(\nu)$ in this sense cf. v. 30 , Jo. xxi. 20, Acts ix. 40, Apoc. i. 12 . On this, as on a later occasion (Lc. xxii. 6r), a mere look might have sufficed to bring Peter to repentance ; but Jesus as He turned caught sight of the rest of the
 were probably watching the scene with interest, and perhaps shared Peter's views. A public reproof was therefore necessary, and the Lord did not spare His first Apostle; є̇єєтi $\mu \eta \sigma \in \nu$ Пе́тpe, so Mc. only, apparently in
 Bengel: "dum increpat, increpationem meretur," a point which the Vg. misses-coepit inerepare...comminalus est. Mc., who does not record the Lord's commendation of Peter, accentuates the reproof.
v̈ $\pi a \gamma \epsilon \dot{\delta} \pi i \sigma \omega \mu \nu v, \Sigma a \tau a \nu \hat{a}]$ Cf. Mt. iv. 10 йтаує, इaravâ-the words in which the Lord before the beginuing of His public work dismissed the 'Tempter, when he offered the kingdoms of the world on condition of receiving homage for them. This temptation was now renewed by Satan in the person of the Apostle who desired his Master to put from Him the prospect of the Cross. It is unnecessary to suppose either that Peter is here called 'Satan' (cf. Jo. vi. 70), or that the word is to be understood
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$.


 $\min ^{\mathrm{pl}}$ syrr me go aeth |om $\sigma \sigma \omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] om k
simplyin its etymological sense, 'adver-
 note on i. i3). The Lord recognises His great adversary in Peter, who for the moment acts Satau's part. 'Thpht.:

 इatavâ фооуồvta, cf. Macar. Magn.

 tuós (Mt., Mc. here: not in the true text of Mt. iv. Io) is interpreted by Origen in a favourable sense as



 not $=\epsilon \lambda \theta \in \hat{i} \nu$ (v. 34); it implies removal, not approach, and oni $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ нои in this connexion represents defcat and banishment from the sight of the conqueror, not a closer attachment to the company of the Master; cf. Ps. vi. II (N $\Lambda$ ), ix. 4, xlix. (1.) 17 , Isa. xxxviii. 17. If Peter identified himself with Satan, he must share Satan's repulse and exile.
öt८ oú фооуєis кт入.] It is not merely the officiousness of Peter which is rebuked, but the graver error which led him to interfere. His resistance to the thought of the Passion revealed a deep cleavage between his mind and the mind of GoD. The illumination which had enabled him to apprehend the Messiahship of Jesus (Mt. xvi. 17) left him still unable to assimilate the $\lambda$ dozos rov aravoou. On this fundamental point he was not in sympathy with the Divine order of things. Фроує $\nu$ rà toû $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ $=\phi \rho$. тà $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \nu \in \dot{f} \mu a \tau o s$, the opposito of $\phi \rho$. тà т $\hat{\jmath} s$ бapкós (Rom. viii. 5) or
 Col. iii. 2); such conformity with the Divine Mind distinguished the Master and is the aim of the true disciple (Phil. ii. 5). It is interesting to see how this Gospel phrase reffects and expands itself in the Pauline Epistles.
 tevos cf. Esth. viii. 13, 1 Macc. x. 20, and in non-Biblical Gk., Dem. in Phil.

 and for a practical application of the present passage see Orig. in Mt. t. xit.
 á $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \tau \eta \mu a \quad \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon$ ív $\tau \grave{a}$ т $\tilde{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$,
 Iren. iii. 18.4. Mt. prefixes $\sigma \kappa a ́ v \delta a \lambda o u$ $\epsilon \hat{i} \mu o u$-words that reveal the reality of the temptation which such a suggestion as Peter's presented to our Lord, and serve to explain the warmth with which he repels it.

34-ix. i. Public Teaching on Self-sacrifice (Mt. ivi. 24-28, Le. ix. 23-27).
 Mt. eîmey roîs $\mu a \theta_{\eta}$ raîs aủtov, Le.
 attention to the unexpected prosence of a crowd. Even in the villages of Caesarea the Lord was recognised and followed by the Jewish population. The prediction of the Passion was for the Twelve alone; but the crowd could share with them the great practical lessons which it suggested, and it needed them at this moment when it was pressing with too light a heart into the Kingdom of God. Bengel: "doctrina catholica."
 The words are identically the same in



  eavtou K

Mt. down to $\tilde{\text { enfece }} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{\imath}$, and with one exception there is no important variation in Le. Such a saying uttered on such an occasion would naturally impress itself verbally on the Twelve, and gain currency in an identical form. The phrase $\dot{e} \lambda \theta_{\varepsilon} \hat{\imath} y \dot{\partial} \pi$. $\mu_{0 v}$ is not suggested by the $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pi} \pi \gamma_{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda$. of $v .33$ but by the eagcrness of the crowd or the presence of the Twelve: see note on i. I 7.1 To constitute a loyal disciple three things were necessary. (1) 'A $\pi a \rho \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta a{ }^{\prime}$ єavtóv, to deny, i.e. to refuse to recognise, to ignore, oneself. The verb occurs in Isa. xxxi. 7
 $\chi \in \varphi \rho о \pi о i \eta \tau a$ aviт $\hat{\omega} \nu$; in the N. T., besides this context, it is used in reference to the disciple who denies all knowledge of his master (Lc. xxii. 34), or the master who refuses to recognise the unworthy disciple (Lc. xii. 9): àpveíatat is similarly employed by Mt., Lc., Jo., Jude, Paul. The idea is very inadequately represented by the current notions of 'self-denial' which regard it as the abnegation of a man's property or rights rather than of himself: the true interpretation is given by St Paul, Gal. ii. ig f. àmé Aavov, $^{\prime}$







 סєiv. Bede: "pensemus quomodo se Paulus abnegaverat qui dicebat, 'Yivo autem iam non ego." (2) "Apat tò̀ $\sigma \tau a v \rho \dot{\partial}^{2}$ avirô, to put oneself into the position of a condemned man on his way to execution, i.e. to be propared
to face extreme forms of shame and loss. This reference to crucifixion was perhaps not new to the Twelve (Mt. x. 38); to the crowd at least it must have been deterrent in a high degree, suggesting a procession of furcifori headed by Jesus and consisting of IIis followers. Such wholesalc crucifixions had occurred within memory (Schürer, II. i. p. 5) and might be expected in case of a revolt. Lc. adds ка $\theta^{\prime}$ д $\mu$ ́́ $\rho a \nu$ in view of Christian experience, which had learnt to see the Cross in ordinary trials, but the Lord's words were doubtless intended also to prepare His followers for the supreme trial of faith, '(3)'Aко$\lambda o v \theta i v$, to persevere in the exacting course of a personal following (cf. i. 18). Without this martyrdom itself would be insufficient; cf. Victor:




 to be habitual and permanent (áкoдov$\theta є i \tau \omega$, pres., cf. àmapvך $\sigma a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, d́áт $\omega$ ).
35. ôs yà $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \theta \in \lambda \eta \kappa \pi \lambda$.] A saying attributed to our Lord on more than one other occasion (Mt. x. 39, Le. xvii. 33, Jo. xii. 25). The key to its interpretatiou lies in the Biblical use of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$. In the O. T. $\psi$. is tho usual equivalent of $\dot{C}$ of feeling and desire (Schulz, ii, p. 246). The N. T. distinguishes this life from merely physical animation on the one hand (Mt. x. 28, ef. 4 Macc. xiii. I4), and from the higher life of the $\pi \nu є \hat{\jmath} \mu a$ on the other (I Cor. ii. I4, xv. 45, I Thess. v. 23, Heb. iv. 12). Thus the $\psi v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ holds a mediating position between $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ and $\pi \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \mu a$ (see EIli-








 $\mathfrak{*}^{*} \mathrm{C}^{3} \mathrm{EFGHLMX} \mathrm{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ I 3369 al ${ }^{\text {nonn }}$
cott, Destiny of the ereature v. ; Lightfoot on I Thess. l.c.), and the word is used with a lower or higher reference in different contexts; for exx. of the former seo Mt. ii. 20, vi. 25, Jo. x. I 5 ff., Rom. xi. 3, Phil. ii. 3o, and for the latter, Mt. xi. 29, Mc. xiv. 34, Jo. xii. 27, Heb. vi. 19, I Pet. i. 22; the English versions scek to distinguish the two uses by the double rendering 'life' and 'soul.' In the present saying both meanings are in view, and an adequate translation is perhaps impossible. We may paraphrase: 'the man whose aim in life is to secure personal safety and success, loses the higher life of which he is capable, and which is gained by those who sacrifice themselves in the service of Christ.' The immediate reference is doubtless to the alternative of martyrdom or apostasy, but the saying admits of wider application; cf. the form which it takes in Jo. xii. 25 , and the variations here in Mt., Lc. All self-seeking is condemned as self-destruction, all true self-sacrifice is approved as selfpreservation. Victor: $\hat{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon c$ тоo $\hat{-}$ -

 Bede: "ac si agricolae dicatur, ' Frumentum si servas, perdis; si scminas, renovas." "
 is a construction which appears occasionally in Biblical Gk., ef. Jud. xi. 24


for N. T. exx. see WII., Notes, p. 172, WM., p. 385 , Blass, Gr. p. 217. "Evєкєע ' $\mu 0 \hat{u}$ (omitted in 'Western' texts) is one of those striking claims upon the absolute devotion of His followers which reveal our Lord's consciousness of a Divine right. The addition kai тô $\epsilon \dot{v} a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda i ́ o u$ is charactoristic of Mc.; cf. i. I, I $_{5}$, x. 29. Mc. alonc of the Evangelists uses т̀ ciay ${ }^{\prime} \lambda_{ı}$ ov absolutely; cf. Salmon, H. E. p. 37. For the corstrast of $\sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \dot{v} v a \iota$ comp. I Cor. i. 18,2 Cor. ii. 15 , James iv. 12 ; similarly $\sigma \omega r \eta p i a$ is opposed to $d \pi \omega$ -入ea, Phil. i. 28. Silvation is predicated of the soul in Jas.i. 20, v. 15, i Pet. i. 9.
 Self-sacrifice is the truest self-interest, for ( $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ) a man gains nothing by the acquisition of the whole world if the penalty is his own personal life. "The question is,..between that life which consists mainly in having, and tiat which consists in being" (Gould). The Lord seems to have still in view the temptation described in Mt. iv. 8

 Sap. v. 8, 1 Cor. xiv. 6, Heb. iv. 2 ; Mt.,
 Clem. Al strom. vi. I3, Ps.Clem. hom.
 $K \epsilon \rho \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma a c . . \zeta \eta \mu \iota \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ : for the contrast cf. Phil. iii. 8. The population of the northern towns, esp. perhaps of such a town as Caesarea, was deeply occupied in the pursuit of wealth (cf. Merrill, cc. viii., xvi.), as the frequent
$\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к о ́ \sigma \mu о \nu ~ o ̈ \lambda о \nu ~ к а і ~ \zeta \eta \mu \iota \omega \theta \bar{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \nu \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$




#### Abstract

    $\epsilon a \nu]$ os $\epsilon a \nu \mathrm{~A}$ os $\delta$ av $\mathrm{D} \mid \epsilon \pi a \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \theta \eta \mu \epsilon] \epsilon \pi a \iota \sigma \chi \cup \nu \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mathrm{D}$


references in the Gospels to wealth and worldly care suggest. The Lord saw that the penalty was too often the loss of the higher personal life
 perly to confiscate or fine ( 1 Esdr. i. 36, viii. 24), but also to inflict a penalty of any kind (e.g. death, 2 Macc. iv. 48 ; loss of one's handiwork, I Cor. iii. 15); for (G. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi$. of. Prov. xix. 16



 foot on Phil. l.c. cites a line from Menander which is a partial parallel
 ро̀ $\zeta \eta \mu i a \nu$ àє̀ ф́́́pє. Cf. Origen in


 au̇rov̂. The кó $\sigma \mu$ os is the cxternal considered as a counter attraction to the spiritual and eternal : cf. I Jo. ii. 15 ff., with Westcott's notes. For an carly comment on this saying of Christ see Ps-Clem. hom. § 6.
37. Ti $\gamma$ àp $\delta 0 i ̂{ }^{\kappa} \tau \lambda$.] Another link in the chain of reasoning. The man is not a gainer by his transaction, for ( $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ) the loss he has suffered is irreparable. 'Avт $\dot{\lambda} \lambda a \gamma \mu a$, commutatio, is the price received in exchange for an article of commerce; cf. Ruth iv. 7, 3 Regn. xx. (xxi.) $2 \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ бон $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{\text { úpuo }}$
 vos, Job xxviii. I 5 oú $\sigma \tau a \theta \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \ell ~ a u ̛ \tau \eta ̆ ~$ (sc. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ бофía) àp ${ }^{2}$ v́ptoy à àrá $\lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a$ av̉亍ท̂s (cf. v. 17), and esp. Sir. xxvi. 14

$\psi v \chi \bar{\eta} s, "$ no money can purchase (i.e. there is nothing so valuable as) an insuructed, disciplined soul" The saying before us carries the thought of Jesus ben Sira farther: there is nothing which can take the place of the soul in any man: comp. the fine lines in Eur. Or. 1155 ave $\begin{gathered}\text { ËのTay ovió̀ }\end{gathered}$ крєїббоу ${ }^{\eta}$ фídos аафйs, | oú тдойтоs,
 àvá̀ $\lambda a \gamma \mu a$ үeyvaiou фí $\lambda o u$. The idea of the irredeemableness of the lost soul (Wycliffe, "what chaungyng schal a man syve for his soule?" Tindale, "what shall a man geve to redeme his soule agayne?"), to which expositors usually refer, does not lie in the word, even if it is in the background of the thought; for a redemptive price Mc. uses $\lambda \dot{u} r \rho o y_{\text {, }}$ see x. 45, note. On the form $\delta 0 \hat{\imath}=\delta \omega$ conj. cf. iv. 29, ${ }^{\text {r. }}$. 43, notes.
 This final $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ carries us on to the issue of human life, and places the whole struggle between self-seeking and self-sacrifice in the light of the eternal order. The words retain their Marcan form in Le.; in Mt. they are more general and at the same time more dogmatic ( $\mu \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \ddot{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \chi^{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma} \theta_{a i} \ldots \kappa a i$



 $\gamma_{\mathrm{E}} \lambda i$ iou. If some would lack physical courage to face death, more would fail through want of moral courage, as St Peter himself did more than once (xiv. 66 ff., Gal. ii. II ff.; con-






Tsyr ${ }^{\text {sider }}$
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$\S \mathrm{N}$


 om $\omega \delta \epsilon \mathrm{bir} \mid \epsilon \sigma \tau$ ．］$+\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \mathrm{D} 2^{\mathrm{pe} \mathrm{\theta}} \mathrm{abffnqr}$
trast Rom．i．16，Gal．vi．14， 2 Tim．i． 12，16， 1 Pet．iv．16）．On the бкávбa入ov
 i．I8 ff．；and for a magnificent instance of the spirit in which it could be met cf．Tert．de carne Chr．5，＂salvus sum si non confundar de domino meo； ＇qui mei（inquit）confusus fuerit，con－ fundar et ego eius．＇alias non invenio materias quae me per contemptum ruboris probent beno impudentem et feliciter stultum．＂For the compound $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \sigma \chi^{v} \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ cf．Job xxxiv．19，Ps． exviii．（cxix．） $6\left(N^{*}\right.$ A），Isa．i． 29 （A）； it occurs also in the parallel passage of Lc．，and seven times in the Pauline Epp．and Hebrews．The construction
 Rom．i． 16,2 Tim．i．8， 16 ，Heb．xi． 16.
 see viii．I2，note；for $\mu o t \chi^{a \lambda}$ is，Mt． xii．39，xwi．4．The comparison of lsrael to a $\mu o t \chi a \lambda i s$ is adoted from the prophets，esp．Hosea（ii． 2 （4）ff）， and Ezekiel（xvi． 32 ff ）；for duapta入o＇s cf．Isa．i． 4 ov̀à $\frac{\ddot{\epsilon}}{} \theta \nu o s$ á $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \dot{o} \nu$, but the word is perhaps used here as equivalent to $\pi$ óp $\boldsymbol{q}_{\eta}$（Isa．i．2I，Jer．iii． 3）．In either case the sin laid to the charge of the Lord＇s own generation is spiritual：their attitude towards the Christ was evidence of apostasy from God．
 i．e．＇shall disown him＇；cf．Le．xii． 9
 the $\lambda$ óyos of 2 Tlim ．ii． 12,13 єi àpm－
 the converse see Lc．xii．8，Apoc．iii． 8 ft ．
 carliest announcement of a glorious mapovtia（excepting perhaps Mt．x．32， 33）．The $\delta o \delta a$ anticipated is clearly that of the Divine Presence，not of a temporal kingdom；there is perhaps an implied contrast to the $\delta o ́ \xi a$ a $\tilde{\omega} \nu$ ßaбı $\lambda \epsilon t \omega ̄ \nu$ той ко́ $\sigma \mu о \nu$（Mt．iv．8）．For
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \boldsymbol{i} \omega \nu$（Mt．av̇rồ），Le．substitutes
 perhaps a later form of the tradition （Dalman，Worte，i．p．158）：yet cf． Mt．xix．28，xxp．31，Mc．x．37， and esp．Jo．xvii．5，22， 24 ；Bengel： ＂gloria．．．ut unigeniti．＂For the angelic manifestation at the nupouria see Mt． xiii． 4 I ，xxiv． 3 I ，xxv． 3 I，Mc．xiii． 27 ， 2 Thess． i .7 ；and for the relation of the angels of God to the Son of Man， Jo．i． 5 I，Heb．i．6，Apoc．i．1，xxil． 16.
 separate note in Mc．（cf．iv．2I ff．）， which in Mt．and Lc．has been fused with the preceding context．The words were probably spoken to the Twelve privately after the crowd（viii． 34）had dispersed．
$\dot{a}_{\mu} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ：ef．iii 28 ，note． So Mt．；Lc．，$\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ 犾 $\dot{v} . ~ d \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ． Jerome：＂iurat Christus：debemus Christo iuranti credere．quod enim in Y．T．dicitur，＇Vivo ego，dicit Domi－ nus，＇in N．T．dicitur，＇Amen amen dico vobis．＇＂
 The statement was very possibly an answer tosome such enquiry，expressed


or anticipated, as we find in xiii. 4 (ло́тє $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota \tau \pi v \tau a ;$ ). 'l'he prospect of seeing the Son of Man in His glory must have excited the liveliest hopes; the Lord at once encourages and guides this new enthusiasm by a prophecy which events alone could fully inter-
 of those that stand by"; for this use of oí $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$. cf. Mt. xxvi. 73, Jo. iii. 29, Acts xxii, 25. In Mt. the phrase has been changed into $\tau$. тิิע $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$., whilst for $\hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \mathrm{Lc}$. writes à̉zoù. For the phrase $\gamma \in \dot{́} \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ gavárou cf. Jo. viii. 52 (Westcott), Heb. ii. 9; the phrase is not found in the O.T., but the Talmud has the corresponding טַטַם צִיחָה (Schöttgen, i. p. 148), and the metaphorical use of $\gamma \in \dot{v} \in \sigma \theta a u$ occurs in Job xx. I8, Ps. xxxiii. (xxxiv.) 9, Prov. xxix. 36 (xxxi. 18). Origen seeks (on Jo. $l$. c.) to distinguish between $\gamma$.

 $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ठivivauls кaì $\theta \in \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}, a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta}$ $\gamma^{\nu \omega \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{~} \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̀ \tau \iota \lambda \eta \pi \tau \tau \kappa \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi о \iota o ́ r \eta \tau о s}$ $k \pi \lambda$. ; but the distinction can hardly Be pressed in a context where the words are not contrasted. ${ }^{7}$ E $\omega$ s ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \nu$ " $\delta \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ кл入., Yg. donec videant (cf. vi. Io, xii. 36 , and see Burton § 322) regnum dei veniens in virtute; for the participle see v. 30,36 , notes ; the perf. implies that the event described is at once a (potentially) realised fact, and one which, when realised, will abide ; in one at least of its aspects
 have been fulfilled.

The question remains in what sense these words were accomplished in the lifetime of any who heard them. Mt.'s substitution of tò $\nu$ viòv rov du $u \neq \rho$.
 ßacideiav...év ס̂vyáuct (cf. Le.) perhaps
indicates that the first generation looked for a fulfilment in the $\pi$ apoveia (cf. I Thess. iv. I5). When the event reudered that view untenable, it was natural to connect the promise with the vision which three of the Twelve wore privileged to see a week after ( $v .2$ fit). This interpretation occurs already in the excerpta Theodoti ap.
 ฮ̃ тє Пє́троs каі̀ 'Iáкшßоs ка̀̀ 'I $\omega a ́ \nu \nu \eta s$. Origen (in Mt. t. xii. 31 raûra àva-

 dismisses it in favour of a mystical sense which is not wholly satisfactory; but the old Gnostic explanation survives in most of the patristic interpreters (Chrys., Thpht., Euth., etc.). Many post-Reformation expositors have thought of the fall of Jerusalem as the fulfilment of the Lord's words. A more satisfactory solution is that which finds it in the coming of the Spirit and the power manifested in that triumphant march of the Gospel through the Empire which was already assured before the death of at least some of the original apostolate: cf. Jo. xiv. I8, 19, xvi. 16 ff., Acts i. 8, Rom. xv. 17 II., Col. i. 6. Yet this view need not exclude a secondary reference to the auticipation of the Lord's glory which was to be vouchsafed almost immediately to some of the Twelve. Mc., by detaching the saying from the previous conversation (кai ${ }^{\star} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ), seems to suggest that it forms a link between the conversation and the event which follows.
2-8. The Transfigubation (Mt. xvii. 1 - 8 , Lc. ix. 28-36; cf. 2 Pet. i. 16 ff .).
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The discrepancy is usually explained by assuming that Lc.'s formula means 'on the octave'-aútìv $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a v$ кa $\theta$ '
 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ (Victor). But according to the analogy of viii. 3 I Mark's $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \dot{\eta} \mu$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ should mean 'on the sixth day, not on the eighth. Perbaps a truer explanation is to be found in Le.'s $\omega \sigma \epsilon$ : limits of time were less distinctly marked in his later form of the tradition : cf. Le. iii. 23, ix. 14, xxii. 59. The Transfiguration is usually commemorated in both Eastern and Western Calendars on Aug. 6; the Armenian Calendar howerer places it on the 7 th Sunday after Pentecost. No inference as to the exact day or month can be drawn from the Gospels; but the circumstances point to the summer. On the relation of this event to the revelations of the proceding chapter cf. Yictor: $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mathfrak{l}$ mod
 є́autoû...ঠ̇єiкvuбv aúroîs каì àmoкa入út-



 For $т а \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \nu$ in this sense of iv. 36, v. 40, x. 32. The Lord takes with Him three witnosses (Tert. ado. Marc. iv. 22 "tres de discentibus arbitros futurae visionis et vocis assumit...' in tribus,' inguit, 'testibus stabit omne verbum' "; for other instances of the choice of these three see v. 37, xiv. 33. Tòv 'Iák. кaì 'I $\omega$ áv.: the single article contrasts the two, as brothers, with Peter; for other gronpings see note on v. 37.〉 Le's order Пétpò каà

three held in the light of history : comp. Acts xii. 2 with Mc iii. 17, v. 37 ;
 For ayaфéfecy in this sense see i Esdr. ii. $1_{5}$, Dan. vi. 23, Lc. xxiv. $5^{1 .}$ Lc.
 prevalent tradition, which identifies the mountain of the Transfiguration with Tabor, is perhaps based on the singular saying in the Gospel according to the Mlebrews cited by Orig. in

 кaì àлє́vєүкє́ $\mu \epsilon$ cis tò ôpos tò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a$ Өđßш́ (cf. Resch, Agrapha, p. 383). The truth of this tradition is assumed by Cyril of Jerusalem cat. xii. 16 , and by Jerome epp. 46, 108 ; and the festival of the Transfiguration is known to Eastern Christians as rò Өaßळ́pıv. If the locality was suggested by Ps. Lxxyiii. (lxxxix.) 13
 áj$^{2}$ àдıágouтat, cf. Euseb. ap. Corder.

 $\mu \epsilon \tau а \mu о р \phi \omega^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ) the choice of Tabor was unfortunate; this relatively low rounded kuoll (not rooo feet above the plain) was crowned by a fortress (Joseph. B. J. iv. I, 8), and at the southern end of Galilee (c£ Ps. l.c.); whilst Hernon, which rises to the height of 9200 feet, overlooked Caesarea and offered a perfect solitude (кат' isíay $\mu$ óvous, cf. iv. 34, vi. 31). One of its southern spurs became the ópos ã $\boldsymbol{\sigma} / \sigma$ of the Gospel ( 2 Pet. i. 18).

 aùtòv (cf. Le. iii. 21) Tò ctioos toû
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occurs in Ps. xxxiii. (xxxiy.) tit., Symm. ( $=\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda$ дotov̀, Lxx., cf. Dan. vii. 28 Th. $\hat{\eta} \mu o \rho \phi \dot{n} \mu \sigma v \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda o \omega \dot{\omega} \eta)$, and is adopted by St Paul with an ethical reference (Rom. xii. z, SII., 2 Cor. iii. 18) and in partial contrast to $\mu \epsilon \tau a-$ $\sigma_{\chi \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon c \nu \text {. The latter verb might }}$ perhaps have been expected here, but " $\mu$ етац, alone is adequate to express the completeness and significance of the change" (Lightfoot, Philippians, p. 129). "Was transfigured" (Vg. transfiguratus est ) has held its place in all the English versions of Me. from Wycliffe onwards, though 'transformed' is the rendering in Rom., 2 Cor. (Vg. reformamini, transformamur). An O.T. archetype of the Transfiguration is to be found in Exod. xxxiv. 29




 For a mystical yet practical application see Orig. in Mt. t. xii. 36 sq.









 xv. ed. Robiisson, p. 83 f., and Jerome tr. in Mc: " vere enim in monte consistimus quando spiritaliter intellegimus." On the Synoptic narrative of the Transfiguration and the signific-
ance of the event see Biblical and Semitic Studies (N. Y. 190I), pp. 159210.


 i. I3 f., xii. I. $\Sigma \tau i \lambda \beta \epsilon \nu$ is used in the uxx. of the flashing of burnished brass or gold (I Esdr. viii. 56, 2 Esdr. viii. 27) or steel (Nah. iii. 3) or of sunlight (I Mace. vi. 39) : cf. Joseph. ant. xix.
 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \lambda \beta \varepsilon$. In the N.T. it does not occur again ; Mt.'s equivalent leere is
 $\tau \omega \nu$. The reading $\omega_{s} \chi \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ (vy. II.) is attractive, especially in view of the perennial snows on the summit of Hermon; but it is probably borrowed from Dan. l.c., or from Mt. xxviii.
 earthly fuller could have produced such a dazzling whiteness. On $\gamma$ va-
 in reference to clothing, cf. Isa i. 18 , Apoc. vii. I4, whence candidati martyres in the 'Te Doum.' This is Me.'s special contribution to the picture; he makes no direct reference to the glory of the Lord's Face (Mt. ${ }^{\text {en }} \lambda a \mu \psi \epsilon$,

 The vision was for the bencfit of tho
 " $\Omega \phi \theta \eta$ is used not only for augelic (Jud. vi. 12, Le. i. II, xxii. 43) and Divine (Gen. xii. 7 , Acts vii. 2, 30) appearances, but in reference to the Lord's self-revelations after the Resurrection (Lc. xxip. 34, Acts ix. 17). The word does not jmply either an

# 'Hлєías $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \quad$ M   


 (I3 2860 ) $604(\mathrm{rO} 7 \mathrm{I}) 2^{\mathrm{pe}}$ alporpauc $b \mathrm{fli}+\omega \delta \in \mathrm{C} 2^{\mathrm{pec}}$ c fi
illusion or a dream; the three, acc. to Le., had been disposed to slumber, but were thoroughly roused by the occurrence and saw everything (8ca-
 кai roìs sía ävodas). How the vision was impressed upon the cyes it is useless to enquirc.
'Hineias $\sigma \dot{v} v$ M $\omega v \sigma \epsilon \bar{i}]$ The best supported form of the latter name is
 and the terminations $-\sigma \hat{\eta},-\sigma \hat{\eta},-\sigma \hat{\eta} p$ are also found in good mas. of the Lix. and N.I'. ; see Wschm., pp. 51, 94, WH., Notes, p. 165. Mc.'s order seems to be based upon Mal. iv. 4 (iii. 23) ff.
 M $\omega \sigma \hat{\eta}$. Elijah was expected and had been lately in their thoughts (viii. 28 , ix. I1); to their surprise le was accompanied by Muses, for whom they had not looked (see however J. Lightfoot on Lc. ix. 30, and Wünsche, neue Beiträge, p. 394). The re-arrangement in Mt., Lc. (Mwv$\sigma \hat{\eta} s$ kai 'H $\mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{as}$, so Syrr. ${ }^{\text {sin pesh }}$ here, and cf. e. 5) has the appearauce of being an historical correction. The two men represented the Law and the Prophets (Tert. ado. Marc. iv. 22, Aug. serm. 232); both were seen to be in perfect harmony with the Gospel represented by the Christ; cf. Victor: $\delta \eta \lambda o i ́$ dé kai $\sigma v \nu a ́ \phi \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$
 pearance refuted the charge of lawbreaking brought by the Scribes against the Master; Thpht.: ó $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu$





general drift of the conversation was remembered by Lc.'s informant (? St John); it was in keoping with Christ's recent teaching about the Passion:
 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$ 'I $\epsilon \rho о v \sigma a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$. Cf. Jerome, tr. in Mc. ad l.: "lex enim et prophetae Christi passionem adnuntiant." $\sum_{u v \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu}$ is followed either by the dat., as in Mc. and Lc. here (cf. Exod. xxxiv. 35, Le. xxii. 4), or by a prop. ( $\mu \mathrm{erá}$ twos, Mt. herc, Acts $\operatorname{xxp} .12$; тро́s тıva, 3 Regn. xii. 14(A), Le. iv. 36).
5. àтокриөєis ó Пе́троs кт入.] Apparently no word had beeu addressed to Peter or his companions by any of the glorified Three; yet Peter felt that some response was called for. For a similar use of àmoк $\rho_{\nu} \nu \in \theta$ at cf. x. 24, xi. 14, xii. 35, xr. 12; Syr. peah and various forms of the O.L. omit it here. The Synoptists agree in attributing the remark which follows to Peter; no Apostle found it so hard to leatn the lesson kaupòs rô̂ $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a} \nu$ kaì кaupòs tov $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$. Acc. to Le. the occasion was specially inopportune:
 aùtav̀.
 The title of Rabbi had been given to Jesus from the first (Jo. i. 38, 49, iii. 2), and was probably the usual name by which both disciples and others addressed Him (Mt. xxiii. 7, 8, Jo. vi. 25 , xi. 8, Mc. x. 5 I , xi. 2 I , xiv. 45). Mt. translates it by кúpıє, Le. by émectáta (cf. La. v. 5, viii. 24, 45, ix. 49, xvii. 13) ; Mc., after his manner, retains where he can the Aramaic word (cf. Dalmal, Worte, i. pp. 269, 276). It nceded no interpretation for Geutile readers; yet see the 'Western'




 $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \phi$. (vel $\epsilon \mu \phi$.) $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{K}) \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{U}) \mathrm{X} Г \Pi \Sigma \Phi$ al $\mathrm{min}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{vg}$
text of x. 5r. Kàóv '̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. "it is good that we-the Apostles-are herc," implying 'it were good for us to stay where we are.' Origen : to yout-
 'Incoûs. Victor: tí of̉n ó Métpos ó





 or booths: Wyeliffe, "tabernaclis"= תָּ, as in Gen. xxxiii. 17, Lev, xix. 21, 2 Esdr. xviii. i4 ff., Ps. xxx. (xxxi.) 20. The materials would be found in the brushwood which clothes the spurs of IIermon-Jerome's question "numquid arbores erant in monte illo?" is unnecessary-and the ideal in Peter's mind seems to be that of the annual $\sigma к \eta \eta_{0} \pi \eta$ ia (Lev. xxiii. 40 fl., 2 Esdr. xviii. I4 ff.) ; he would anticipate it by a wook spent on this leafy height in the prosence of the three greatest masters of Israel. इol piar кai m. $\mu i a \nu$ каі̀ 'Н入. нíav. Jerome: "erras, Petre...noli tria tabernacula quaerere, cum unum sit tabernaculum evangelii, in quo lex et prophetae recapitulanda sunt"; "si quando inaequales aequaliter honorantur, maioris iniuria est... non cnim sciebat quid diceret cum Dominum cum servis aequaliter honoraret." For a practical reflexion on кадóv є́ซтєข ктд. cf. Bode: "O quanta felicitas visioni Deitatis inter angelorum choros adesse perpetuo, si tantum transfigurata Christi humanitas duorumque societas sanctorum ad punctum visa delectat."

non enim sciebat quid diceret: the same plirase occurs in connexion with the Agony (xiv. 4o). Lic. substitutes
 was so dazed by the awfulness of the vision that he neither knew what to say (for the subjunctive see WM., p. 374), nor yet what he was saying when he spoke. "Екфовоt үа̀ $\rho$ є́ $\bar{\epsilon}$ youro, not Peter only, but the Three, became panic-stricken, wero seized with extreme alarm; cf. the abrupt ending of the Gospel, xvi. 8 ' $\phi$ овойигo
 Heb. xii. 2I. Lc. comnects this fear with the next occurrence: é $\phi o \beta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta-$
 $\nu є ф \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda \nu$.
 For this use of éyévero cf. i. 4, note. Each Synoptist adopts a different

 cloud occurs as the symbol of the Divine Prosence in the theophanics of the Exodus (Exod. xvi. 10, xix. 9, 16, xxiv. I5 f., xxxiii. 9, Lev. xvi. 2, Num, xi. 25) and at the dedication of the first Temple (I Kings viii. Io; cf. Ps. civ. 3, Nah. i. 3). It was expected to reappear in Messianic times
 кupiov каi $\dot{\eta}$ vєфè $\lambda \eta$, $\omega_{s} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \mathrm{M} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta}$
 the N. T. it is connected with the Transfiguration, the Ascension (Acts i. 9) and the rapovaia (Mc. xiii. 26 (ef. Dan. vii. 13), xiv. 62, Apoc. i. 7). The cloud of the Transfiguration was $\phi \omega \tau \imath \eta^{\prime}$ (Mt., cf. Apoc. xiv. I4): when the Synoptists add that it "overshadowod" the Apostles, the refer-


 $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$.





 Gr afilk (post povou pos NACDLEXY cet bnvg arm me go aeth)
ence is to Exod. xl. 29 (35) $\in \pi \epsilon \sigma \times i a \zeta \epsilon \nu$


 ool. The appearance was that of the






 42.) Cf. Ephrem, hom. in transf:






 on i. in, and cf. Dalman, Worte, i. pp. 167 f, 226 ff . It is instructive to compare the four reports of this Voice. Taking Mc.'s as the standard, we note that, besides variations of order,



 from the Voice at the Baptism; Le.'s
 xl. 5) is based on Isa. xlii. a בְּחִירִי,
 $\left.\pi \eta r o s^{\prime} \mu o v\right)$ : on the interchange of these two titles of the Messiah see Resch, l.c., p. 164. The essential difference
between this Voice and that which was hcard at the Baptism is the $\dot{a}$ áov́єтє avitov or avitoû àk. which the three Synoptists add here. The words are from Deut. xviii. 15 , 19, and seem to be suggested by the appearance of Moses. The Prophet like unto Moses is identificd with the Christ, the beloved or elect Son; the allegiance due to Moses is now with Moses' concurrence transferred to Jesus. Victor: кàp $\sigma$ тaupe $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a t$ ßov-


 єє (nearly = imaкоиє $\epsilon$ ) cf. Mt. xviii. 15 f., Jo. x. 8, 16, xviii. 37. The fears of the three Apostles, already excited by the vision (Mc.) and the bright cloud (Lc.), were intensified by the

 i. 17). In 2 Peter it is the Voice of the Father rather than the visible splendour of the Transfiguration to which attention is called ( $\phi \omega r \hat{\eta} s{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon-$
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi 0$ is $\delta \delta \xi \eta s$. It was the first Voice from heaven which the Apostles had heard.
 Lord meanwhile had raised them up from the ground (Mt.). When they ventured to lift their eyes again
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ and to look round them, the
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vision was gone ; of the august Three Jesus alone remained (Lc. $\epsilon \dot{v} \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \theta \eta \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta$ aois móvos) with them on the Mount. The Transfiguration was at au end, and they saw before them only the familiar form of the Master. The words of Mc. are perhaps suggested by Exod. ii. $12 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$
 the word is elsewhere used only in reference to Christ (cf. iii. 5, note).
 about a dozen times, but in the N. T. only hero, the prevalent N. T. form being $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a i \phi \nu \eta s, \hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \dot{\xi} \phi \eta_{j}$ (xiii. $3^{6}$, Le. ${ }^{\text {er. } 2, \text { act. } 2 \text { 2). Jerome brings out the spi- }}$ ritual significance of the disappearance of Moses and Elijah: "sic vidi Moysen, sic vidi prophetas, ut de Christo intellegerem loquentes...ut nonpermaneam in lege et prophetis, sed per legem et prophetas ad Ohristum perveniam."

9-13. Conversation about Elijah during the descent (Mt. xvii. 9-1 3, cf. Lc. ix. $3^{6}$ b).
 they descended from ( $\epsilon \kappa$, as if issuing from) the mountain (probably on the following morning, cf. Lc. ix. 37) the Lord enjoined secrecy. For $\delta \ell \epsilon \sigma t)^{-}$ גато (Mt. еंveтєìato), cf. v. 43, note, and for $\delta m \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a t$, v. I6. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A} \epsilon i \delta o \nu, \mathrm{Mt}$. тò ö $\rho a \mu a$ (cf. Exod. iii. 3, Num. xii. 6). The concealment is for a limited

 the phrase $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \nu a \dot{\epsilon} \in \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ see WM., p. 153: $\epsilon_{\kappa} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \omega \nu \nu$ occurs only in Eph. v. 14, Col i. ı8, i Thess. i io, à à̀ гйע עєкрळَ̀ in Mt. xiv. 2, xxvii. 64 ,
xxviii. 7 ; ढ̀к $\nu є к \rho \omega \hat{\omega}$ predominates also in early patristic and symbolic use (Hahn, Symb., ed. 3, p. 380).
10. тò̀ גóүov éкрát $\eta \sigma a y$ кт入.] Vg. "verbum continuerunt apud se"; Wycliffe, " thei heelden the word at hem


 $=\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a} \nu$ the commentators quote $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n}$. 7. 12 where Th. renders שְאחִיָּ by краточ́ $\mu \boldsymbol{v a}$. But N.T. usage is in favour of translating éкрá $\quad \eta \sigma a y$ ' they held fast' ("kept" R.V.), retained in their memory (cf. vii. $3,4,8,2$ Thess. ii. 15, Apoc. ii. I4 ff.). The 入óros in this ease is not the fact of the Transfiguration, but the Lord's saying, especially what He had said about rising from the dead; they discussed this among themselves, not venturing to ask Him the meaning (rò àvactท̂vat; Blass, Gr. p. 233 f.). So little had they realised His earlier words (viii. 3I); if their attention was arrested now, it was because the Resurrection was made the limit of their silence. For $\pi \rho$ òs $\mathfrak{\text { fautaùs }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} u \boldsymbol{u}$ Sqreiv ef. Lc. xxii. 23. Some inter-



 But the construction seens to be without example. Victor is probably right: тòv $\mu \dot{e ̀ v} \lambda o ́ \gamma o y ~ \epsilon ́ к \rho a ́ \tau \eta \sigma a \nu, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ eavtous $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ avyelgítove: so Syr. ${ }^{\text {inn. }}$. During the days that preceded the Passion the matter was often discussed among the Three, or perhaps (ix. 32 ,












 1071 $1 a^{\text {nonn }}$ syr ${ }^{\text {bel (mg) }}$ quia $\mathbf{k}$
x. 34) among the Twelve. Eup̧̧qroûrres $\kappa \tau \lambda$. is a detail peculiar to Mc.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The train of thouglt is perhaps that suggested by Mt. (ri ouv $k \tau \lambda$.). The three have been reflecting upon the vision, and it has revived and given fresh point to an old perplexity. How was Elijah's appearance at the Transfiguration to be reconciled with the official doctrine of his return? As Origen observes (in Mt. t. xiii. 1): $\mathfrak{\eta}$


 aủroís è $\lambda \eta \lambda u \theta \in ́ y a c ~ o ́ ~ ' H \lambda i ́ a s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ' ~$ av̉róv. The first ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ is interrogative as in I Chron. xvii. 6 ( $=$ ה Mc. ii. i6 (note), ix. 28, cf. WM., p. 208 n . in Mc. ll. cc. the R.V. (text) treats ö $\mathrm{T} \iota$ as a formula of citation, but the context and the corresponding words in Mt. support the ether view; see Field, Notes, p. 33. For the dictum of the Scribes to which the question refers see J. Lightfoot on Mt. xvii.; it was an inference from Mal.

 Justin dial. 49, Trypho urges : mávtes



S. M. ${ }^{2}$
 àтофаivoцає єivat. The Rabbinic traditions are collected by Edersheim, ii. p. 706 ff. Cf. Mc. xp. 35 f.
 'Elijah, it is true, cometh first.' For this use of $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{y}$ with no following $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ see WM., p. 7 Ig f.; the counterbalancing clause is left to be supplied from the question which succeeds. Mc.
 $\sigma \pi \eta \sigma \epsilon t(\mathrm{Mt}$.$) , converting the prophecy$ into a proposition which may or may not have been realised; 'as a proposition it is correct to say that Elijah's coming and work precede those of the Messiah.' Пávra (Mt., Mc.) extends the scope of the prophecy (aпок. карঠiav
 $\left.\pi 0 v \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} \nu \nu\right)$, including in it the ultimate purpose of the Messianic kingdom; the Forerunner restores all things by initiating the new order out of which will come in due course a true ḋтокагáqтабıs $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ (Acts iii. 2r). WH. print, "but with hesitation," the form àmokatıataves on which see their Notes, p. 168. 'Aло-
 or àтока ${ }_{\iota \sigma \tau}$ ầ $($ Ps. xv. (xvi.) 5) occurs again in Acts i. 6 (Blass).
 solving the difficulty the Lord pro-


 خ́́ $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota$ è $\pi^{\prime}$ айтóv.



 autov 132869346 de eo lattrtplvg
poses another, in which however the true solution lies. He anticipates an objection which would be sure to rise in the minds of the Three. What then (kai $\pi \hat{\omega} s$;) do the Scriptures mean when they foretell a suffering Messiah? how call the Passion follow the Restoration? It is unnecessary to suppose that the order of Mc. has here been disturbed, the true sequence being in, $122^{\mathrm{b}}, 12^{\text {a }}$, i.e., that каі $\pi \hat{\omega}_{s} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau a c .$. $\epsilon \xi^{\prime}$ ov $\delta \epsilon \tau_{7} \theta \hat{\eta}$ forms part of the disciples' question. The Apostles would scarcely have recognised the Scriptural basis of the Lord's prediction in viii. 31 . Гє $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau a l .$. iva : the telic sense need not be excluded (WM., p. 577); the Scripture foretells and by foretelling determines the issue; $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho$. о́ $5 九$ is the nornal formula when a passage is merely citcd, e.g. vii. 6, xi. ı7. Г'́ $\gamma \rho$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t$, 'it is written with reference to ' Him (cf. $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi v i\} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, vi. 34, viii. 2); the ordinary construction is $\gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \rho . \pi \epsilon \rho i$ with geu. (xiv. 21, Lc. vii. 27, \&c.). Kaì є' $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ov}} \delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \eta \theta_{\hat{\eta}}$ : cf. Ps. xxi.


 עos, סıò oủk é $\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \in \theta a$ aùvóv. There are four forms of this verb- $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi=0 \delta \in-$
 Schm. p. 61, and Lob. Phryn. p. 182.
 ever (taking up the thread broken by the last question) I tell you that Elijah not only must come first, but has moreover (kai) actually come ( $\bar{\eta} \delta \eta$
$\eta{ }^{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu, M t$.); and men did not recognise him (Mt.), and did with him (Mt. $\epsilon^{2} \nu a^{\prime} r \hat{a}=i=1$ ) as they would.' The phrase mouєiv $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma a$ ( $\hat{a}) ~ \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ ( $\tau \nu \nu$ ), frequently used in the O.T. to represent irresponsible or arbitrary action (e.g. 3 Regn. ix. I, x. 13, Ps. exiii. I ( cxv. 3), Dau. viii. 4 (Th.), 2 Macc. vii. 16), points with sufficient distinctness to the murder of John by Autipas.
 only. In this case Scripture had foretold the future not by prophecy but by a type. The fate intended for Elijah (I Kings xix. 2, 10) had overtaken John: he had found his Jezebel in Herodias. Orig. in Mt. : d̈גдos $\delta^{\prime}$





The identification of Elijah with John was so evident that, as Mt. adds, it was understood by the Three at the

 On another and earlier occasion, according to Mt, it had been made in express terms (Mt. xi. i4 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i}$ $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon$
登 $\rho \in \sigma \theta a t$ ). The reference in Mal. $l . c$. to "the great and terrible day of the Lord" led the ancient Church to expect an appearance of Elijah bimself before the end; cf. Justin dial. 49, Chrys. ad loc., Aug. tract. in Jo. iv. 5,6 .

14-29. A demonlac boy set free,


 $\theta \alpha \mu \beta \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu, \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \eta ं \sigma \pi \alpha ' \zeta о \nu \tau о$ аи́то́v.

 tous D $1133^{8} 691246042^{\text {pe }}$ arm $\mid \pi \rho o s$ autous $] \pi \rho$. equtous C autors ADNXГIIइ $\Phi$


and the sequel (Mt. xvii. 14-20, Lc. ix. 37-43).
 Returning to the plain where they had left the nine (Euth. : $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$ rovis évyéa $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \mathrm{c}$ ), they saw that they were surrounded by a crowd of people who were listening to a discussion which was passing between the disciples and certain scribes ( $\gamma \mathrm{p} \mathrm{a}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mu \mathrm{areit}$, anarthrous: contrast oi $\gamma \rho$. v. II). Mt, who throughout this narrative is much briefer than Mc., writes simply ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \theta_{0}^{\prime}$
 to know the cause which had brought it together. The scribes were probably Rabbis attached to the local syuagogues, but as ready as the rest of their class to seize an opportunity of discrediting the disciples of Jesus before the people. The absence of the Master and the jncapacity of the nine furnished what they sought. (Victor:



 as Zahn remarks (Einl. ii. p. 245 f.), to the narrative having originated with one of the three, doubtless Peter, who has told his story in the form 'E $\lambda$ Oón$\tau \in s . . \epsilon \not \subset \partial a \mu \in \nu$.
 soon as Jesus came into sight the Scribes lost the attention of the crowd. The first feeling was one of amazement, almost amounting to awe (cf. i. 27). Both $\theta a \mu \beta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \theta a \mu-$ Beitoat are in the N. T. peculiar to
Mc. (for the latter cf. xiv. 33, svi. 5, 6); Éx $\theta a \mu \beta$ os occurs in Acts iii. Io quvé $\delta \rho a \mu \epsilon \bar{y}$ тâs ós $\lambda a o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ r o u ̀ s . . . ~$ єк $\theta a \mu \beta o t$, a near parallel to the present passage. Interpreters have found it difficult to assign a cause for the $\theta{ }^{2} \mu \beta$ os in this instance. Some (cf. Thpht., Euth.) have thought of a radiance from the transfiguration still brightening the Lord's Face (Euth.
 $\mu о р \phi \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s)$, recalling the glory on the face of Moses (Lxod. xxxiv. 29 f. ws $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$


 of such a phenomenon is dropped by Mc. in the context, (2) it would have betrayed what the Lord desired to keep secret, (3) the result is just the opposite of that which followed the appearance of Moses ; of Moses it is

 The alternative is to fall back upon Fictor's explanation: aiфvióoov aúròv
 The sudden appearance of the Lord when they thought Him far arfay on Hermon amazed and awed them for the moment. But the next impulse was to hasten towards Him, drawn by the irresistible attraction of His Presence. The remarkable reading of D and some O.L. texts ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi_{\chi}$ aipovtes, gaudentes, cf. Prov. viii. 30, and see Tatian (Ciasca) ad. loc, 'hastening for joy') deserves attention, but is probably an early corruption ( $\chi \in p$ for





#### Abstract

   


$\mathrm{PEX})$ : for another instance of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \in$ -
 the $\dot{a} \sigma \pi a \sigma \mu o ́ s$ of the crowd would be such as they were accustomed to accord to their own Rabbis (cf. xii. 38, Mt.xxvi.

 question shews that the Lord had at once grasped the situation, and was prepared to neet it. He addresses the people, not noticing the Scribes; for the moment the crowd had been with the Scribes in their attack on the disciples, but already perhaps a reaction had begun. The Lord took the matter into His own hands, at once relieving the disciples and disappointing the Scribes. Tí $u v \zeta \eta \eta$ reire is a bona fide request for information; the human mind of Christ acquires knowledge by ordinary means; cf. viii. $27^{\mathrm{b}}$, note. Пò̀s aù $\frac{\text { ús }}{}$ i.e. $\pi \rho$. тò̀s $\mu a \theta \eta \tau$ ás (cf, $v$. I4).
 ö $\left.\chi^{\lambda o v}\right]$ The crowd preserved a discreet silence (cf. $v .34$ ) ; the answer came from an individual (eis) whose interest in the matter was deeper than any $\sigma u v \zeta \grave{\eta} r \eta \sigma t s$. Le. like Mc. represents the man as telling his tale from the heart of the crowd (àvip aimè rô ${ }_{\sigma}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\epsilon}\left(\beta_{0}^{\prime} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\right)$; in Mt.hecomes forward and prostrates himself before Christ
 cf. Mc. i. 40). Without undue harmonising we may perhaps accept both statements ; the man began his tale in the crowd, but was presently called or pushed forward by the people to the feet of Jesus. The words of the
father are reported with more than usual independence by the three Synoptists. Mt. gives us details which are not to be gathered from Mc. and Lc., yet his account is clearly much compressed; in $v .15$ he has brought together words spoken by the father at different points in the conversation (cf. Mc. wo. 17, 22). Lc. again has some particulars which are not in

 statement that the spirit крá̧єє...каi но́үьs длтодюрєі̂ ктд. (see however Mc., $v .26$ ). But on the whole Mc.'s account is not only the fullest but has the most verisimilitude, and Mc. alone has preserved the undoubtedly original tradition in $v e .20-24$. For details see the following notes.
 doubtless = 'ירִ ; sce note on $v .5$, and cf. iv. 38 . The word is here simply a name of office, for the relation of teacher and taught did not yet exist. between our Lord and the speaker.
 "Нусүка, the historical aorist, R.V. 'I brought'; the English idiom prefers the perfect. The man had brought his boy that morning under the impression that Jesus was there, and on discovering that the Lord was on the mountain had applied to the disciples ( $c, 18$ ). This feature of the story disappears in Mt., Lc.: in Mt. the father
 as if the application had been made to them in the first instance (cf. $\boldsymbol{v}$. 18).







#### Abstract

  



$\kappa$ каі кафф̀ $\pi \nu$ ；for the concurrence of the two infirmities see vii． 32 ff ．，notes． The participle suggests the reason for which the boy had been brought．The effect produced upon the demoniac is transferred in thought to the dat－
 Mt．$\sigma є \lambda \eta \nu a ́(\epsilon \tau a t(\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{Mt} . \mathrm{iv} .24)$ ，pep－ haps in reference to the periodical return of the attacks：see next verse． The father＇s trouble was the greater
 cf．Le．vii． 12 ，viii． 42 ）．
 $\pi \nu \in i ̄ \mu a \quad \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ y \in \iota$ av̀тóv．The scizures might occur anywhere，and they oc－ curred frequently（ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ákis Mt．，Mc． v．22）．Катá̀дұıs，катадұттós are used by Galen and Hippocrates in reference to fits，and persons subject to them．The effeets of the seizure in the present case are described in detail：first there came a sudden scream（Lc．），then the patient was thrown upon the ground in a strong convulsion，＇Pŕ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$, Lc．$\sigma \pi a \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$,
 $\rho_{5} \xi_{\epsilon}$ ，where Mc．（v．20）has only $\sigma \nu \bar{\sigma} \sigma a ́ \rho a \xi \epsilon \nu: \quad \sigma \pi a p a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and $\sigma \nu \nu-$ $\sigma \pi a p a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon i v$ describe the actual con－ vulsion（see note on i．26），pívocev appears to be used of the preliminary heavy fall（Euth．：àvті той＇катаßá入入єє cis $\gamma^{\hat{\eta}}{ }^{\prime}$＇）．For this sense of the latter
 yous $\pi \rho \eta \nu \in i s$ ；Kuinoel cites also Arte－ midorus（i．62）$\hat{\rho} \hat{\eta} \xi u \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \pi a \lambda o \nu$＇to give one＇s adversary a throw．＇In this use pijo $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ approaches to the mean－ ing of pácotєv，d’á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，and cod．D，
with the apparent concurrence of the Latin versions（see w．ll．），substitutes pácoes for it in this place；ef．the Wycliffite＂hurtlith hym doun．＂After being dashed to the ground the patient （r）foamed at the mouth（diфpíccu，foet． and late Gk．，here only in the N．T．）， （2）ground his tecth（rpi〕civ，another N．T．ä $\pi$ ．$\lambda_{\in \gamma}$ ，used of any sharp or grating sound，is here interpreted by roìs óo．，cf．Vg．stridet dentibus： the usual phrase is $\beta_{p i \prime} \chi^{\prime}$ er tò̀s òd．，
 oঠoyrav，Mt．viii．12）；and（3）ap－ peared to shrivel，or perhaps＇bceame rigid＇（3 Regn．xiii．4），Vg．aressit（for $\xi_{\text {ppaiv，cf．izi．I，note）．Celsus gives a }}$ similar account of the symptoms of catalepsy：＂homo subito concidit；ex ore spumae moventur．．．interdum ta－ men，cum recens est［morbus］，homi－ nem consumit（med．iii．23，de morbo comitiali 3）．

кaì єîma roîs $\mu$ ântais oov］Le． éseritin rô $\mu a \theta . \sigma$ ．The father ex－ pected the disciples to possess the Master＇s authority ；possibly he knew that they had formerly used it with success（vi．I3）；even the disciples of the Rabbis claimed this power（Le．
 Saupóvia］）．It was a genuine surprise to him as well as to them to find that they were powerless in this case（ovk
 v． 3,4 ）．
 Synoptists，in marked contrast to the freedom with which the father＇s words are treated by them，give the reply








 afarm
of Ohrist in nearly identical terms.
 Mt. and Le, add кai $\delta є \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \sigma \mu \mu \dot{\varphi} \eta$, a reminiscence possibly of Deut. xxxii. 5 (cf. Phil. ii. 15). The repeated $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}$ по́тє (Mt, Mc.)-the Lord's quousque tandem, ef. Jo. x. 24, Apoc. vi. IO, and see WM., p. 591-has the ring of originality rather than Lc.'s ${ }^{\text {ciss }} \pi$. кaí, and Mce's abrupt ф́́pere aù is superior to Lc.'s softened $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma a \gamma \epsilon$ $\dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ тò v vióy $\sigma o v$. But the answer is substantially the same in all, and it is the only feature in which they clearly follow the same tradition. The Lord replies to all whose feeling the father had voiced (avzots) ; the reproof $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{*} \gamma \\ & \gamma\end{aligned}$
 so, including the Scribes, the people, and the father (vo. 22, 23) so far as their faith had been at fault, and the disciples not the least ( 0.29 ).

 and ef. Isa. xlii. 14, xlvi. 4, Ixiii. 15 ; in the N. T., outside this context, it appears only in the Pauline Epp. and Hebrews.
 e $\rho \chi$ онévov av่тồ. It is implied (cf. $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau \varepsilon$ aù̀òv $\pi \rho \dot{\prime} s \mu \xi, v$. 19) that the boy was not with his father in the crowd, but in safe keeping not far off. 'I $\delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ a (WM., p. 7 Io) and Blass (Gr. p. 283),
 $\pi \nu . \kappa \pi \lambda .$, cf. Syr, ${ }^{\text {sin. }}$, but a constructio
ad sensum-the gender of the noun is overiooked in view of the personal action of the spirit; cf. Jo. xvi. I 3 f.
 masc. pronoun is suggested by ó tapá$\kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s(v .7)$, its repetition would be impossible but for the personal life iniplied in тò $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$. Svvє Vg. conturbavit ; see notes on i. 26, ix.
 With the strengthened $\sigma v \nu \sigma \pi a ̈ \rho a ́ a \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, cf. $\sigma v \nu \pi \nu$ '́yє $\nu$ (iv. 7), $\sigma v \mu \tau \eta \rho \epsilon i v$ ví. 20 , $\sigma v \pi \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \nu$ Lc. viii. 23, $\sigma v a \rho \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ Le. viii. 29, аиркали'ттєєр Le. хіі. 2. 'ЕкvXícto is än. Xe $\gamma$, in the N. T., but $\kappa \nu \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s$ occurs ill 2 Pct. ii. 22 ; the verb, which is a later form of $\kappa v \lambda i \nu-$ $\delta \varepsilon u$, is used freely in the lxx. (e.g. ки入ifiv $\lambda i \theta_{o \nu}$, Jos. х. ı8, i Regn. xiv. 33, Prov. xxvi. 27, к. áproy, Jud. vii. 13 (A) ; ef. also 4 Regn. ix. 33 (of Jezebel's fall), Amos ii. 13 (of the wheels of a cart). For áфpi̧єty see ix. 18 .

 ws... 'how long is it that (since)...?' Cf.


 is used elliptically for $\dot{d} \phi$ ' ờ ; cf. vv.ll.
 manifestly still upon him. 'Ek $\pi$ aıồ$\dot{\sigma} \theta_{\epsilon v}$ : 'from a little boy,' 'from a mere child'; i.c. he was a mation when it first took him: his age at the time is not mentioned, but he was
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still a mats（Le．ix．42）．The Attic phrase is $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \pi a t \bar{\delta} i o n ~(c f . ~ D) ~ b u t ~ f r o m ~$ Xenophon downwards maióádev takes its place ：the pleonastic es $\pi a t \delta_{0} \dot{\theta}_{\epsilon} \nu$ is a survival of Homeric usage（cf． eff．Il．viii． $34,{ }^{\epsilon} \xi$ ovjov od $\theta \epsilon \nu$ ）which is censured by the Atticists（Lob．Phryn． p．93），but found a place in late Gk．： cf．v． 6 （ ${ }^{2} \pi \dot{o} \quad \mu a \kappa \rho \dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon v$ ），and WM．， p． 752 f．，Bass，Gr．p． 59.

22．каì mo ג入ákıs kali cis $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ кт $\lambda$ ．］ The seizures were often accompanied by a tendency to suicidal mania．Mt． has simply（xvii．15）minter，but Mc．＇s
 that in the view of the father these frequent mishaps were not accidental． Kai．．．．kai：the spirit had tried both means of destruction．$\Pi \hat{\imath} \rho$ ，vi $\delta a r a$

 тò тoú $\theta v \mu o \hat{u}$ kail тò т $\hat{\eta} s$ énetupias．

 is used absolutely as in Lc．xii．26， 2 Cor．xiii． 8 ；cf．WM．，p．743．The man＇s faith had been shaken by the failure of the disciples；contrast the leper＇s ćàv $\theta \in \lambda \eta s, \delta \dot{v} v a \sigma a u(i .40) . ~ P o s-~$ silly no miracle had been wrought in this neighbourhood as yet，so that in the struggle to believe the father had no experience to assist him．The form

Súvn is poetical and late（WM．，p．go）； on its occurrence in the N．T．side by side with סv́vaar cf．WH．，Notes，p． 168，WSchm．，p． 123 n．For $\sigma \pi \lambda a y-$ $\chi^{\nu} \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ see note on i． 41 ：$\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{i} \nu, \dot{\eta} \mu a s$, ie．both father and son．

23．тò El סívı，ráura סuvarà Tố $\pi$ rotevourt］The Lord repeats the father＇s words and places them in contrast with the spiritual facts which he had yet to learn：＇if thou canst： for one who believes all things are possible ${ }^{\text {：}}$ i．e．it is for thee rather than for Me to decide whether this thing can be done；it can be if thou believest（cf．xi． 23 f．）．Thpht．：av＇ $7 n$ oikeía סvvápєı ả入入à tit ékeivov $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon t$ àvati $\theta_{\eta \sigma \iota} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Өєратєiav．Cf．Iran．iv． 37． 5 ＂omnia talia sure potestatis sccundum idem ostendunt hominem．＂ Tam＇$\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \dot{v}_{n}$＇is a nominations abso－ lotus（WM．，p．226，cf．135）；for the clause preceded by an article and treated as a noun，cf．Rom viii． 26 with SH．＇s note，and Bless，Gr．p． 158.

From its extreme compression the sentence has given trouble to scribes and commentators．The Western text followed by a majority of the

 $\pi$ пбтєvovть：si poles credere，omnia possibilia credenti．Attempts have
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been made, but with poor success, to extort a better sense from this reading (e.g. $\epsilon i$ Búvaбal, míarєvaal), or to amend it ( $\epsilon i \delta$., $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon v \epsilon$ ). Some who accept the shorter text place a mark of interrogation after $\delta \dot{v} v \eta-$ "sayest thou 'If thou canst'?" But there is nothing in the context to suggest a question, and the English Revisers of 1881 rightly render "If thou canst! all things are possible to him that believeth," without marginal variant.
24. єنं $\dot{u s}$ крवं $\xi a s k \tau \lambda$.] The father instantly responds to the demand for fuller trust on his part; his strength of feeling shews itself in a cry as piercing as that of the demoniac son (Le. ix. 39). He recognises that the lielp he needs is in the first instance help for himself and not for his boy ( $\beta$ orj$\theta_{\epsilon \iota} \mu o v \tau \hat{\eta}$ àn., cf. o. 22 $\beta o \eta \dot{\eta}_{\eta \sigma o \nu}^{\dot{\eta} \mu i v}$ ). He believes ( $\pi \iota \sigma-$ т $\epsilon \dot{j} \omega$ ), but his faith is defective, and its defect needs the Mastcr's succour (for this use of $\beta o \eta \theta_{\epsilon} i v$ cf. 2 Cor. vi. 2, Heb. ii. I8, iv. 16). Wycliffe: "Lord, I bileue; help thou myn unbileuefulnesse." Bede: "uno eodemque tempore is qui necdum perfectecrediderat simul et credebat et incredulus erat."


 haps suggested by $\gamma \in \nu \in a ̀ a ̆ ̃ \pi \iota \sigma r o s(v .19):$

it is ready to fail,' nearly $=\mu \circ \tau \tau \hat{\omega}$
 $\mu 0 \nu$ т $\hat{\mu} \nu$ i $\mu a t i \omega \nu$, Rom. xi. I4 $\mu=v$ тì $\sigma$ ápкa: the position is perhaps slightly emphatic, though WM. (p. 193) appears to doubt this. 'A $A \pi \sigma \tau i a$, cf. note on vi. 6. The reading $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ סaxpúvy (' Western' and Syrian, WH., Notes, p. 25) is at least an interesting gloss; for the phrase cf. Acts xx. 19, 3I, Heb. v. 7, xii. I7.
 versation then was not in the presence of the crowd, but was interrupted by its arrival. The Lord had probably retired with the father and the boy to a distance from the öx $\lambda$ dos, but the cries of both brought them running to the spot and privacy became impossible. This has been overlooked in the text of $\mathbb{N A}$, where $\dot{\circ}$ ot $\chi$ गos refers

 ouváyelv i. 33 ; the Lxx. has also

 by Mc. in vi. 33 : the double compound perhaps calls attention to the return of the crowd (cf. тробтрє́रоขтєs, v. 15) after it had been for the time dispersed. There is no indication in Mc. of the habit of using otiose compounds (WM., p. 25 f.) which disfigures much of the later Gk.
 Mt, and Lc. rejoin Mc. Mc. however











alone gives the words of the rebuke (for $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \pi \tau \mu \hat{a} y$ see note on i. 25). Tò $\not{ }_{a} \lambda a \lambda o \nu$ каіे кюфò̀ $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, a nom. used as a vocative: cf. тò кopácıov, v. 4 I , and $v$. Iq supra, and see WM., p. 327, BIas, Gr. p. 86. Kw ф'́y is a new feature in the case ( $\pi \nu$.af ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda a \lambda o \nu, v$. 17), but see note on vii. 32, and cf. Ps. xxxvii. (xxxviii.) 14 with Ps. xxxviii.

 since this spirit had refused to acknowledge the authority of the disciples, the Master emphasises His personal claim to obedience. For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau$ af $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ cf. i. 27 ; for the emphatic 'roc see x. 38 f., xiv. 58 , and the Fourth Gospel passim. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \xi_{\epsilon} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon} \quad \epsilon_{\xi} \xi$ av่тồ ordinarily sufficed (i. 25, v. 8); in this desperate case of periodical seizures it was necessary to add cai $\mu \eta \kappa$ ќть lice $\lambda \theta \bar{\eta} s$. For the spiritual analogy see Lc. xi. 24 ff.
26. крáğas кaì $\pi ⿰ \lambda \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \pi a \rho a ́ g a s ~ к \tau \lambda]$. For the moment the only result was a fresh seizure (see on $v .20$ ); the spirit wreaked its revenge on its victim even in the act of quitting its hold upon him. For the masc. participles cf. $v .20$ î̀ $\omega \nu . .$. to $\pi \nu \in \bar{\imath} \mu a$. The convulsions were violent and prolonged ( $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda$ af, cf. iii. 12 , note), and when $^{\prime}$ they ceased, the sufferer's strength was exhausted; a collapse followed; be lay motionless and pallid as a corpse. For $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ see note on $v .29$;

द́yéveto đ́acl vexpós: contrast Apoc. i. 17. There was a general cry among
 is dead.' Oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o$ i, cf. vi. 2 , xii. 37 ; Gregory, prolegg. p. 128: "Marcus
 et $\pi о \lambda \lambda$ oi satis videntur esse." For the ar. anteavov see Burton, § 47 , and cf. v. 35, 39, Jo. viii. 52. This incident again is peculiar to Mc.;


 i. 3I, v. 4I. The Lord seems to have offered this help only where great exhaustion had preceded; cf. Acts ix. 4I, and contrast ii. II f. 'Avéorm: he rose from the ground where he had been rolling ( 0.20 ), and afterwards lay prostrate. Lc. helps us to com-


 $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$ (cf. Mc. i. 27, ii. 12, vii. 37). Mt. adds-probably in reference to the

 22, xv. 28). The epileptic fits did not return.
 On the vv. ll. and construction see Alas, Gr. p. 251 f. The Lord went indoors, into the lodging where the party were housed ( $\epsilon$ is oikoy, cf. iii. 20, vii. 17), to escape from the enthusiasm of the crowd, and because


 $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \bar{\eta}$.






on such occasions further teaching was impossible. He and the disciples were now in privacy ( $\kappa a \tau^{3}$ idíav Mt., Mc.), and the nine took occasion to seek an explanation of their
 ...cinay, approaching Him probably (as was their wont on these occasions) by one of their number ( $?$ Andrew). " $\mathrm{O} \tau \iota=\delta \iota \grave{\text { à }} \boldsymbol{\tau}$, Mt. (Euth. :
 Mat日aios: ef. Blass, Gr. p. 176); see note on o. II supra, and for the circumstances of the failure, $\boldsymbol{v}$. 18 .
29. тойто тò yévos ктд.] Either 'this class of $\delta a \mu \dot{v} \nu a$, or 'this kind' generally, i.e. the $\delta a \mu \rho_{v a} ;$ cf. Thpht.:

 uationality (vii. 26, Acts iv. 36), a family (Acts iv. 6, vii. 13, xiii. 26 , xviii. 2, 24), or a species (Mt. xiii. 47), or class of things (I Cor. xii. Io). Hence it is used of the spiritual affinity which associates moral beings of the same order or type of claracter (I Pet. ii. 9). Similarly St Paul speaks of marptai jn heaven as well as
 тat $\epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{L}$, 'can take its departure (i.e. be cast out, $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ being in such contexts practically the pass, of $\dot{\epsilon} \times \beta a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu)$ in the strength of no power
 one,' i.e. in the strength of (believing) prayer (xi. 23, 24) ; cf. Clem. Al. ecl. proph. 15. The Lord seizes on the
essential weakness of their case. They had trusted to the quasi-magical power with which they thought themselves invested; there had been on their part no preparation of heart and spirit. Spirits of such malignity were quick to discern the lack of moral power and would yield to no other. To ${ }^{\prime} y, \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi \hat{n}$ the 'Western' and 'Syrian' text adds kai ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}) ~ \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a, ~ b u t$ the time for fasting was not yot (ii. 19); comp. the similar gloss I Cor. vii. 5 Mt., who omits this answer, has the
 $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega}$, to which he adds the sayings about the grain of mustard seed and the removal of mountains which are found in other eontexts (Lc. xvii. 6, Mt. xxi. 21). Tatian combines Mt.'s answer with Mc.'s, placing Mt.'s first, and connecting Mc.'s with it by a ráp.

30-32. The Passion again foretold (Mt. xvï. 22, 23; Lc. ix. 43-45).
 Lord and the Twelve now leave their retreat at the foot of Hermon and travel southwards. Their way to the North bad perhaps led them through Gaulanitis and Ituraca (cf. viii. 22, 27, note), but they return $\delta \dot{t} \dot{a} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ Гàfchaias i.e. probably along the West bank of the Jordan. Mt.'s $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \in ф о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega y$ є $\nu$ т $\hat{\eta}$ Гadeidaia suggests that they broke up into small parties which mustered at certain points in the route (for $\sigma \nu \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ cf. 2 Regn. xv. 3I, 4 Regn. ix. $14, \mathrm{x} .9$ etc.), the purpose being
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perhaps to aroid attracting notice
 and on $\gamma \nu \hat{\imath}=\gamma \nu \hat{\varphi}$, v. 43, note. The reading тарєторєvoyта, which is well supported and perhaps genuine, conveys the idea that the transit was made without unnecessary breaks: "obiter profecti sunt...intenti viae conficiendae, non invisendis hospitibus aut instituendao plebi" (Fritzsche).
31. édidaqkè $\gamma$ áp кт入.] Reasons of the Lord's desire to escape recognition. He was now fully occupied with the training of the Twelve (Latham, Pastor past. p. 351). A journey through Upper Galilee, in which He could attach Himself now to one party of two or four Apostles and now to another, afforded an opportuuity of quiet teaching which might never return. The substance of this reiterated teaching ( $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu . . . \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon-$ $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \nu\right)$ is the same as that of the first prediction of the Passion near Caesarea (viii. 3I), with one new element -a reference to the Betrayal. Lc. points out the occasion of this fresh prediction of the Passion: $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma-$

 ois èmoitc eimev kt入. There was reason to fear that this new outburst of enthusiasm would lead them to forget Ilis warning, or even frustrate His purpose.
$\delta_{0}$ viòs $\left.\tau 0 \hat{v} \quad \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi o v\right]$ Notwithstandiug Peter's confession and the revelation of His glory ou Mt. Hermon the Lord retains the old title which
asserts the truth of His humanity and His liability to suffering.
 Mt., Le., $\mu$ í $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \ldots . . . \pi a p a \delta i \delta \sigma \sigma \theta a$. The event is regarded as imminent and indeed in process of accomplishment;
 סiסoral: Bengel: "iam id agitur ut tradatur"; for this use of the present see WM., p. 33 Iff., Burton, § I 5, who calls it (but inexactly) "the present for the future." The instrument of the betrayal-i $\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta o u$ s, xiv. 42 was in the company, and the Lord could see the purpose already lying as an undeveloped thought in his heart (Jo. vi. 70 f ). On mapaồóovar see i. I4, note. Moodóóval tradere does not occur in the N. T., but its meaning is more or less imported by the circumstances into mapaסı $\delta$ óval, which even in class. Gk. is patient of a bad sense. / Yet, as Origen (in Mt.) reminds us, $\pi$ apaoioóvar may be used with quite another purpose; in the eternal counsels of God, the Father delivered up the Son (Rom. viii. 32), and the Son delivered up Himself (Gal, ii. 20). Eis xeipas àv $\partial \rho$. is less precise than the corresponding words in viii. $3 \mathbf{1}$ ( $\mathfrak{v} \pi \grave{o}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каі
 But on the other hand it is wider, and prepares the Twelve for the further

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. On the form $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к т а \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$,
 ә́ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho q$ see viii. 3I, note.


$33{ }^{33}$ Kail $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$ ais Kaфкруаоúp. каi є̇v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ оiкiá




 $\Pi \Sigma \Phi$ min ${ }^{o m n v i d} f$ syr arm go acth $\quad 34 \epsilon \sigma t \omega \pi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \Psi$
 remained in ignorance of the import of the Lord's words, especially of the saying about the Resurrection (cf. ix. Io), for of the Passion they had some dim and sorrowful conception (ML, kail $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \nu \pi \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\eta} \sigma a \nu \sigma \phi \dot{\prime} \delta \rho a\right)$. Le. explains that there was a Divine purpose in their temporary ignorance: ; $\quad$ таракєка $\lambda \nu \mu$ -
 They shrank from seeking enlighten-
 Me.; similarly Le.), partly from natural reluctance to enter upon a painful subject, partly perhaps from their recollection of the censure incurred by Peter (viii. 33). There is weight also in Bengel's remark: "de quaris re facilius interrogant Iesum quad de ipso; sic fit inter familiars." 'Ayvoeip in the N. T. is chiefly a Pauline word (Mc. ${ }^{1}$, Lc. ${ }^{\text {ev.1, act. } 3, ~ P a u l . ~}{ }^{25}$, Heb. ${ }^{1}, 2$ Pet. ${ }^{1}$ ). 'P $\eta$ ja, a common word in the Lxx. and fairly frequent in the N.'T., occurs in Me. only here and xiv. 72.

33-37. Return to Capernaum. Question of Precedence (Mt .xviii. I-5, Le. ix. 46-48).
33. каi $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{0 \nu}$ є is Kaфapvaov́ $\mu$ ] Capernaum (i. ar, ii. i, Jo. vi. 59) had ceased to be the centre of the Ministry; but it was a convenient torminus to the northern journey, and starting point for a fresh field of work in the south; and Simon's or Levi's house (i. 29, ii. 15) afforded a shelter there. The Galilean Ministry
ends as it began at Capernaum. No subsequent visit to the town is mentioned in the Gospels, although after the Resurrection the Lord was seen by the shore of the lake (Jo. xxi. I ff.) and among the hills (Mt. xxviii. 16).
 they had reached the privacy of the house the Lord questioned the Twelve on a discussion He had overheard during the journey ( $\bar{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{\eta}$ od $\hat{\varphi}, \mathrm{Vg}$. in via, cf. viii. 3, 27). Evidently they had not thought Him to be within

 angry voices and knew the cause (Lc.

34. oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \epsilon \omega \dot{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$ Cf. iii. 4, Le.

 $\delta_{\text {ti }} \lambda \epsilon_{\chi} \theta_{\eta} \sigma a v$ : the discussion was at an end and the silence which followed the Lord's question continued until it was broken by His words in v. 35 $\Delta t \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \ldots \tau i s \quad \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$, 'they had discussed (Burton, S 48) the question who is greater (than the rest)' ; Le.,
 Mt., who represents the Twelve as themselves propounding the question
 $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{n} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ дúpav $\bar{\omega}$; The comparative has practically the force of a superlative, see Class, $G r . p p .33,14$ f., and on the other hand WM., p. 305; cf. Mt. xi. II, xxiii. 1 I, I Cor. xiii. 13 .
 suggested by the selection of the










Three for the mysterious ascent of Hermon, and the prominence of Peter among the three (cf, Bede), Origen:

 катєі入ं $\phi \in \iota \sigma a y$ (comparing Mt. v. 29). See Dalman, Worte, i. p. 92 f.
 Lord assumes the attitude of the Teacher (Mt. v. i, xiii. i, Lc. v. 3, 'Jo.'
 $\nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, cf. x. 49 , Tob. v. 9 , not $\pi \rho \rho \sigma-$ єкадє́бато, cf. iii. 13,23 , vi. 7 , viii. 1, 34)-all the Twelve ( $\tau o v i s \omega^{\prime} \delta \epsilon к a$ ), for the lesson He is about to give is needed by them all and by the whole future Church. How important it is appears from its repetition towards the end of the Lord's life (Lc. xxii. 24 ff ., Mt. xxiii. 8 ff ; for other parallels see x. 42 ff., and the saying in x. 31). The intention of the Master is not to enact " a penal provision against seeking the mastery," but (cf. Lc. ix. 48) to point out the way to true greatness (Latham, Pustor past. p. 355). The spirit of service is the passport to eminence in the Kingdom of God, for it is the spirit of the Master Who Himself became fiákovos $\pi a \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$. The סáaovos is properly the attendant at table (i. 31, Le. xxii. 27, Jo. ii. 9, xii. 2) ; for the later Christian history of the word see Flort, Ecclesia, p. 202 ff . A lower depth is sounded and a higher dignity offered in the $\pi \dot{d} v \tau \omega \nu$ סoûdos of $x .44$, q. $\mathbf{\nabla}$. With $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тos... $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \operatorname{aros}$ ef. x. 3I. In
quite another sense the Lord is at
 17, ii. 6, xxii. 13).
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$. The new rule of life just enunciated is illustrated by a visible example. A child is playing near (? Peter's: see note on i. 30 ; on the late tradition that the child was Ignatius of Antioch-ó $\theta_{\text {to }}$ о́pos read as ธ $\theta_{\text {fódopos-see Lightfoot, Ignatius, i. }}$ p. 27), and the Lord calls it to Him
 His side in the middle of the group

 $\tau \hat{\omega})$, and then takes it into His arms
 x. I6; the verb, which belongs to the later Gk., occurs in Prov. vi. 10, xxiv. $4^{8}$ (33) and the noun èvaүкáдıб $\mu a$ in 4 Macc. siii. 21 (NA, but the text is possibly corrupt) ; Le. (ii. 28) prefers the paraphrase $\delta$ é $\chi$ eo $\theta a i$ eis tàs áरќdas. The act was accompanied by words of which Mt. preserves the fullest account. According to Mt.

 $\kappa \pi \lambda$. (xviii. 3, 4). The words carry with them the assurance of their genuineness, answering the question tis
 ful, the least self-conscious and selfsufficient') and preparing for the next
 $\delta i \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.), the substance of which is common to the throo Synoptists.







 $+\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ ALNXГП al $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{pl}} \mid \epsilon \nu\right] \epsilon \pi \iota \mathrm{U}$ minpanc om $\operatorname{AX} \Gamma \Pi \Phi$ al min ${ }^{\mathrm{pl}}$

 to mavoiov, i.e. this child regarded as the representative of its class, or rather of the class of disciples whom it symbolises (see WM., p. 138). Cf.







 who recognises and welcomes such, because he sees in them the type of character which Christ Himself approved and exhibited (Mt. xi. 29, Phil. ii. 5 ff.), recognises and welcomes Clurist Himself-is a true and loyal disciple. On $\delta \dot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ see vi. in, note, and Dalman, Worte, i. p. Ior f.; $\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu a r i ́ \mu o v$ (cf. ix. 38 f., xiii. 6, and see i Regn. xxy. 5, Gal. iv. I4, Col. iv.

 ground of My Name,' i.e. the act being based upon a recognition of his conncxion with Me, cf. WM., p. 490. Other nearly equivalent phrases are ס̀ıà тò öyoua (Jo. xy. 2I, Mc. xiii. I3,
 40, ix. 16, xv. 26, 3 Jo. 7) ; cf. $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 то̂ ô óóдaтоs (Acts iv, 30), ধis тò övода (Acts viii. 16). On the use of of opo a in the papyri of. Deissmann, B. St.,
pp. 146 f., 196 f. For the absolute use of $\tau \dot{o}$ oै $\partial 0 \mu a$ see Bp Westcott's note on 3 Jo. 7, and Lightfoot on Ign. Eph. 3 .
 of recognition is evidence of a state of heart to which Christ Himself is a welcome guest.
 passes into a region beyond that of the visible order; to receive a lowly brother in Christ's Name is to receivo Christ, and to receive Christ is to receive the Eternal Father in Whose Name He came. Cf. Mt. x. 40, Lec. x. 16, Jo. xii. 44, 45. 'O àmoarєìas $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon}$ ( $\dot{\delta} \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \psi$ as $\mu \epsilon$ Jo. l.c., see Bp Westcott, Add. Note on Jo. xx. 21), sc. $\delta$ $\pi a т \eta \rho, ~ J o . ~ v . ~ 36, ~ v i . ~ 57, ~ x . ~ 36, ~ x v i i . ~ I 8, ~$ xx. 2I; the Son is $\dot{\delta} \dot{\text { ánógrodos...tins }}$ $\dot{\phi} \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma i a s \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu($ Heb. iii. I) Other references to the Mission of the Son in the Synoptists will be found in Mt. x. 40, xv. 24, Mc. i. 38 (note), xii. 6, Lc. iv. 18,43 , ix. 48, x. 16; the idea is in the background of the whole Ministry, which rests on $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$ ova'a, and supports itself by faith and prayer. On ס́́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ see vi. in, note. Ov̉к...d̀入á, not so much...as: Blass, Gr. p. 267, n. 2.

38-40. The dse of the Name by a Non-Disciple (Le. ix. 49-50).
 only remark attributed by the Syuoptists specifically to St John (cf. however









#### Abstract

      


and it creates an impression of candour and conscientiousness not unworthy of the future $\theta_{\epsilon \rho \lambda}$ diyos．His words are
 $\theta$ cis，Lc．；cf．Mc．ix．5）to the teaching just received．＇l＇he phrase $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\underline{\varphi}}$ óvo $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \%$ had put him iu mind of a recent occurrence，and he takes the opportunity of laying the facts before the Master．He and one or more of the other disciples，probably during their recent journey through northern Gatilee，had prohibited a non－disciple from using the Master＇s Name for the purpose of exorcising demoniacs Ought they rather to have welcomed hin as a brother？For the use of the Lord＇s Name by non－Christian ex－ orcists cf．Acts xix． 13 （where see Blass＇s note）．＇Eкшג＇a，$\epsilon$ ，the＇con－
 cf．x．14，I Cor．xiv．39．Oúк ท̀ ко入оú $\theta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \nu\left(\right.$ Lec．$\left.\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$ is a frank confession of jealousy for the honour of the Apo－ stolate．In the light of the Lord＇s words the action had began to wear a different aspect to the mind of John．

39．$\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \omega \lambda$ v́єтє av่róv кт入．］The sincerity of the speaker saves him from censure；the Lord merely cor－ rects the crror．He does not say $\delta_{\epsilon} \bar{\chi} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ a＇̇тóv，for the man＇s motive did not appear；but the attitude of His disciples towards such an one should have been at least neutral．

Oủdès үáp éarıv кт入．（Mc．only）： whatever his intention，the man is for the time（ovं．．．$\tau a \chi^{\text {í }}$ ）practically com－ mitted to a coursc of action which at least cannot be unfriendly．For $8 \mathbf{v i}^{-}$ yaut motiv see vi．5，and with où divar $\theta$ at used in reference to a moral impossibility cf．Mt．vi． 24, Heb，vi． 4 f． To work a miracle in Christ＇s name was not a test of moral character or proof of spiritual affiuity to $\operatorname{Him}(\mathrm{Mt}$ ． wii．22，Acts xix．13），as childlike trust and humility must always be；but it was a safeguard against open and immediate（ov raxvi）hostility（for kako－ גoyeiv cf．vii．10，Acts xix．9），and might be the beginning of better



 use of this incident is interesting： ＂itaque in hacreticis ac male catholicis non sacramenta communia．．．sed divi－ siones pacis detestari et prohibere debemus．＂

The Lord＇s answer finds a partial parallel in Num．xi． 28 f ．
 The indicative expresses the assump－ tion that such a person exists（Blass， Gr．p．217）．Lc．gives $\dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ bis．An opposite rule appears to be laid down in Mt．xii． $30=$ Le．xi $23, \delta \dot{\sigma} \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$


#  




[^77]$\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ є’ $\mu о \hat{v} \sigma к о \rho \pi i \zeta \epsilon c$ ．But the two rules are in fact complementary（Gould）；in the latter words the Lord refers to the relations of a man＇s inner life to Himself，whilst in this context He deals with outward conduct．Upon conduct，in our partial ignorance，the most hopeful construction should be put；the man who is not a declared enemy of the Christian brotherhood may be provisionally regarded as a friend．In the present case，indeed， there was presumptive evidence of something better than neutrality，since the person in question had used the Name of Christ．

41－50．The Teaching rested： on the Consequences of Conduct towards Brethren in Christ（Mt． xviii．6－9；cf．Mt．x．42，Lc．xvii．I， 2，xiv．34）．

41．台s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ à̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ morígך íuâs ктд．］ The thread of the teaching，broken off at v． 38 by John＇s question，is now resumed．The spiritual significance of help offered to a brother for Christ＇s sake is independent of the material value of the gift．A cup of water may be judged worthy of an eternal recompense．Victor：тои̃то
 मévaus．For moti̧elv tadá ta cf，Gen．
 1 Regin．xxx．11，Job xxii．7，Jer．xvi． 7 out потtoṽoty aùròv noтiptov．The morypoo is the ordinary cup used both for wine and water：cf．vii． 4 ，Lc．xi． 39，I Cor．xi．25．＇Y8atos，Mt．（x．42），廿vरoô．
 renders in nomine meo，quid Christi
estis；and so Wycliffe，Rheims，A．V．， whilst Tindale has＂for my name＇s sake．＂But $\mu$ ow has no right to a place in the text（see ww．ll．）；and cay óvó $\mu a \tau \iota$ öтı $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．is nearly equivalent to ola $\tau \mathbf{~}$ Xpuatoú eivat，on the score of your being Christ＇s－a use of ovó $\mu$ at ı not un－ known to class．Greek，cf．e．g．Thus．iv． 60．Xoratoû siva is a Pauline phrase， Ron．viii．9， 1 Cor．i．12，iii．23， 2 Cor． x .7 ；the anarthrous $\mathrm{X} \rho ⿺ 𠃊 \mathrm{\sigma ros}$ is unique in sayings attributed to our Lord by the Synoptists ；cf．Dalman，Forte，
 is perhaps nearer to the original： cf．ais üvoua тоофทitov，ठıкаiov Mt．х． 4 I ；＂a later editor＇s hand is very probably to be seen in＂the words which now stand here in Me．（Hawkins，
 тò̀ $\mu \sigma \theta$ òv aưrav̂ presents the recon－ pence of eternity in a form appreciable by the Jewish mind，cf．Mt．v．I2，vi． If．，xx．I ff．，I Cor，iii． 8 ff．，Apoc．xxii． 12；for the nature and conditions of






42．kail is adv бкаудa入iog кт入．］The converse is equally true．A wrong done to a disciple however insignificant will bring incalculable evil upon the evildoer．On qканסад $<\zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ see iv．I7， note．It is possible to be an innocent cause of stumbling；the Lord Himself was such，cf．vi．3，I Cor．i．23，i Pet． ii．8．But He was careful to abstain from placing unnecessary stumbling－ blocks in men＇s way（see Mt．xvii．27，

 òvıкòs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \tau \tau \rho \alpha ́ \chi \eta \lambda о \nu$ аѝтой, каi $\beta \epsilon \in \beta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ єis
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 it is this scrupulous regard for the infirmities of others that Ho enjoins (cf. Rom. xiv. 21, I Cor. viii. I3, 2 Cor. xi. 29), and the wilful or heedless creation of oкáydàa that He condemns. T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ at length shews how tà tolaûta masớa (v. 37), are to be understood : the little ones (Zach. xiii. 7, usually in contrast with oi $\mu \epsilon$ үáлo A Apoc. xi. 18, xiii. 16, xix 5, 18, xx. 12, or in the phrase à $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }} \mu \mathrm{k}$ крои́
 viii. 1I) who beljeve, i.e. the lowliest, whether in their own eyes or in the eyes of men, in the outward order of the Church or even in the spiritual order, cf. Mt. xi. in, Le. vii. 28, $\dot{\text { o }}$
 ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \quad \theta_{\epsilon o \hat{v}}$ ) : the reference is here especially to the last type of $\mu c \kappa \rho o i$, St Paul's ảốvator (Rom. xv. I) or
 xiv. I, 1 Cor. viii. Io ff., ix. 22).

ка入óv є́ $\sigma \tau \iota y$ aùtô $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v]$ Mt. $\sigma v \mu$ -

 good...by comparison,' cf. Acts $x$ x. 35, Gal. iv. 27 (Isa. liv. 1 Lxx.) ; and for кадòv...єi, Mt. xxvi. 24; for é $\sigma \tau i \nu$ we should expect $\eta \nu$, as in Mt. l.c., but the present brings the alternative before the reader more vividly : the man is seen at the moment when the weight is placed round lis neek ( $\pi \in \rho$ i- $^{\prime}$ кєitai), and then lying at the bottom of the sea $\left(\beta^{\prime} \beta \lambda \lambda ; \tau a i\right)$; even under
these circumstances he is in a better case than if he had caused the feeblest brother to stumble; ef. Rom. xiv. I 3 ff., I Cor. viii. gff. Instead of the simple $\epsilon 2$

 ти̂s $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s . ~ C o d . ~ D ~ c o r r e c t s ~ b o t h ~$ tenses; see Blass, Gr. p. 215. Múhos óvıкós Mt., Mc.; Lc. $\lambda i \theta_{o s} \mu v \lambda c \kappa o ́ s . ~$ Múdos in the Lxx. is the handmill (ロיר?, see Driver on Deut. xxiv. 6, and cf. Num. xi. 8) nisually worked by women, especially female slaves (Exod. xi. 5, Jud. ix. 53, Mt. xxiv. 41); the upper stone is the $\epsilon \pi \iota \tilde{v} \lambda c o n$ (ixx., Deut, l.c., Jud. l.c. (B), but the word is not used in the N.T.). Distinct from this handmill ( $\chi \in \iota \rho \rho \mu \dot{y} \lambda \eta$, $\chi \in \iota \rho o-$ $\mu v \lambda \omega \nu$, Xen. al.) was the larger sort of mill, which was driven by an ass (Ovid, fast. vi. 318, "pumiceas versat asella molas"), the the Talmud (J. Lightfoot on Lc. xviii.). Since the millstone é $\pi \iota \mu$ vinton is also called ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ os in classical Gk., it has been thought that $\mu \dot{0} \lambda_{\text {os }}$ óviкós may have the same sense here; but the conjecture is unnecessary. Cf. Origen : ti $\sigma \boldsymbol{j}$ yàp кà


 mill' would be a $\mu$ ú $\lambda$ os $\mu \dot{\prime} \gamma$ as (Apoc. xviii. 2I), and this is to the point; the stone round the neck is heavy enough to render escape impossible. Schöttgen produces a parallel from







Kiddushin：＂even though a man had a millstone round his neek yet ought he to attend to the study of the Law．＂For an early instance of the use of this saying of Christ see Clem．


 oт $\rho \in \notin$ a．The form，it will be observed， does not agree with either Mt．，Mc．or Le．，but comes nearest to Mt．
 oov к $\tau \lambda$ ．］＂The offender of the littlo ones is still more an offender against himself＂（Bruce）．A man may place moral stumbling－blocks in his own path；the temptation may proceed not from without，but from some part of his own nature．As men submit to the loss of a bodily organ or limb in order to preserve the body as a whole，so it is their interest to sacri－ fice powers and functions of their spiritual nature which have been found to be inevitable occasions of sin．Better to live under a sense of partial mutilation and incompleteness than to perish in the enjoyment of all one＇s powers．Origen：ínows




 $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ठvvá $\mu \epsilon \omega \nu \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．The word， he adds，may be applied in various ways：to the excision of an offendiug member of the Cluristian brotherhood， or to the surrender，for Christ＇s sake， of a friend or near kinsman．For

 WM．，p．302，and cf．Ps．cxvii．（cxviii．）

8 f．ảyatò̀ ．．．グ，Hos．ii． 7 （9），калаิs $\eta$（in are in marked contrast；for the former cf．Mt．v． 30 ，xxv．46．The issues of life are on the one hand an entrance into the higher life which is its proper end，and，on the other， a departure from it．On $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \rho_{\chi} \rho_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a t$ tis r．Swit see Dalman，Worte，i． pp．95， 127.
Kud ós，used in class．Gk．of one who has a crushed or crippled limb， is employed here and apparently also in Mt．xy． 30 （ $\chi \omega \lambda$ ois，кù $\lambda o u ́ s$ ）with special reference to the loss of a land．Tì $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \omega \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，the higher life；the word is occasionally used in the N．T． for physical existence（Acts xvii．25，
 i．3），but in the great majority of instances it means life in union with God（cf．Mt．vii．I4，Jo．iii．36，v．24， 40 ，vi． 53 etc．，esp．I Jo．v． 1 f f．），often more closely defined as $\zeta \omega \bar{\eta}$ aidivos，$\dot{\eta}$
 $\theta$ eov（Eph．iv．18）．In this context， it is instructive to note，$\dot{\eta}$ $\zeta \omega$＇ corre－ sponds to $\bar{\eta}$ ßagideia toû $\theta \in o \hat{u}(v .47)$ ．
Г＇єยva，
 $\nu \omega^{\prime} \mu$（Jos．xy． 8,2 Esdr．xxi． 30 （ ${ }^{\text {ce．a）}}$ ），
 тоגvávópıò viov̀＇E．（Jer．six．6），Гaì
 or Гац弓è＇ $\mathrm{Evdó} \mu$（A）（z Chron．xxviii． 3），Гє ${ }^{\text {Bavé }}{ }^{\text {＇Evyó }}$（2 Chron．xxxiii．6），「aieшンa（Jos．xviii．16，B）．In the 0 ．T．the name denotes the ravine which，starting from the N．W．of Jerusalem，sweeps round the S．W． angle of the city，and then，taking a south－easterly course，meets the
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Kidron below the Pool of Siloam at the well now called Bir Eyûb (Recovery, p. 6). This valley is the traditional site (but see ib. p. 306 ff ) of the fire-worship which began in the reign of Ahaz ( 2 Chron. xxviii. 3, xxxiii. 6, Jer. vii. 3r, xix. 2 ff.), and after its desecration by Josiah ( 2 Kings xxiii. 10) it became a common receptacle for the offal of the city, and, in the later development of Jewish thought, a symbol of the supposed place of future punishments (cf. Staaton, p. 325 ff .) ; the conception occurs already in Enoch axvii. I,
 $i b . ~ x c . ~ 24 \mathrm{ff}$., and the name is so used in the Talmud, e.g. Aboth i. 6, "[the sinner] desists from words of Torah, and in the end he inherits Gehinnom" (ט. Worte, i. p. 131 f ; Wünsche, neue Beiträge, p. 596, gives other references). The N. T. form $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon} \in \nu \nu a$ (Mt. v. $22,29,30$, x. 28 , xviii. 9 , xxiii. 15 , 33, Mc. ix. 43, 45, 47, Le. xii. 5, James iii. 6) is used exclusively in the figurative sense, and only (as the references shew) in Synoptic reports of sayings of Christ, and by St James. It appears also in the

 trausliteration gehenna it occurs in the Latin version of 4 Hsdr. (ii. 29, vii. 36, "clibanus gehennae ostendetur et contra eum iocunditatis paradisus": cf. Tert. Apol. 47, "geheımam...quao
est ignis arcani subterraneus ad poenam thesaurus...paradisum ... locum divinae amoenitatis recipiendis sanctorum spiritibus destinatum" $\rangle$, and has established itself in the Latin Bible (O. L. and Vg.). From Anglo-Saxon times the word was rendered into English by the amhiguous "hell," used
 here in the text.

то̀ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ т̀̀ $\vec{o} \sigma \beta \beta \sigma \sigma \tau \nu]$ The phrase appears to be based on Isa. lxvi. 24 (see below $v .48$ ); cf. also 4 Regn. xxii. 17, Isa. i. 3I, Jer. vii. zo; the uitimate reference is perhaps to the altar-fire
 ou $\sigma \beta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a L$ "A $\boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \in \sigma \tau o s$, a revived Homeric word, occurs as a variant in Job xx. 26 ( $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{camg}} \mathrm{A}$ ), and also in Mt. iii. $\mathbf{1 2}=$ Le. iii. 17. Here Mt. uses aićvos as its equivalent. The fire which devours sin belongs to the eternal order and burns as long as sin remains to be consumed. For the repeated article see note on iii. 29 .
 $\sigma \epsilon$ ] This mention of the foot naturally follows that of the hand; if the two members are to be distinguished in the interpretation, the morements of life will be represented by the foot and its activities by the hand. On both a check may be wisely placed, if it is found that they minister to $\sin$.
к. $\dot{\epsilon} . \sigma \epsilon \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \in i \nu]$ On the readings $\sigma \epsilon, \sigma o t$ cf, Blass, Gr. p. 240 f. BAr$\theta$ $\hat{\eta}_{v a c}$ is substituted in vo. 45, 47 for àre $\lambda \theta$ eiv-the punishment is involun-
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tary, though it has been reached through successive acts of the will.
 eye is here the symbol of the lust which works through it ( $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta_{v p i a}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, I Jo. ii. 16). "Eк ${ }^{\prime} \beta \lambda_{\epsilon}$
 rov̀, expanding Mc.'s compressed thought-'tear it out and cast it away.' Such a wrench may be necessary in the moral nature; the love of visible beauty is a true and noble element in man, but if it becomes in any individual the occasion of sin, he must put it from him; better to cnter life with no eye but for the spiritual and eternal beauty than to indulge the lower taste to the loss of all. Monó$\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o s$, one of the Herodotean words (Herod. iii. 16, iv. 29) revived in the koo $\nu \eta$ but condemned by the Atticists;


 note).

[^78]Cited from Isa. lxvi. 25, o $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ окळ́̀ $\eta \xi$
 тò $\pi \hat{\nu} \rho$ av่ $\frac{1}{\omega} \nu$ ov̀ $\sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a c$. The words have impressed themselves on more than one passage in Jewish writing outside the Canon; ef. Sir. vii.


 каs aviтต̀ " $\Sigma \kappa \omega$ ' $\eta \xi$, animae" (Bengel). For the significance of such language as adopted by Christ cf.


乌оעта тоѝs a a $\mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ oùs $\dot{\eta}$ avveíñois

 ws $\pi \hat{v} \rho \phi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon$. Like the fire, the worm is undying: "the wounds inflicted on the man himself by his sins, the degradation and deterioration of lis being, have no limitations [of time]." (Gould.) The presents on' тє $\lambda \epsilon u \tau \hat{a}$, oủ $\sigma \notin \epsilon \in \varphi p u r a i$ (cf. lxx.) state simply the law or normal condition of




the $\sigma \kappa \omega \dot{\lambda} \eta \hat{\xi}$ and $\pi \hat{v} \rho$. The question of the eternity of puaishment does not come into sight.

The 'Western' and Syrian texts add these words as a refrain to $v v$. 43, 45 ; see app. crit. supra.
 ${ }^{6}$ Fire, I said, for with fire shall every man be salted.' The 'Western' gloss
 $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a c$ rightly sceks an explanation

 was the symbol of a covenant-relation with God (טְּרְ בְּת 2 Chron. xiii. 5). In the case of every disciple of Christ the salt of the covenant is a Divine Fire (Mt, iii.
 ayic кai $\pi v \rho i)$ which purifies, preserves and consummates sacrifice-the alternativo to the Fire which consumes (Mt. iii. 12, Heb. xii. 29). Cf, Euth. :


 aंтoßanei. On the reading see WH., Intr. p. IOI, Notes, p. 25: the traditional text is defended by BurgonMiller, Causes of Corruption, p. 275.
 the salt' implied in ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota(v .49)$.
 cf. Lev. ii. 13, Jud. ix. 45, 2 Esdr. vi. 9, vii. 22, Mt. v. 13 , Lc. xiv. 34 ; the dat. å $\lambda$ ate occurs in Col. iv. 6; the nom. is also written ä $\lambda a$ (cf. yá $\lambda a$ ) in Sir. xxxix. 26 (B), and as a variant in each of the passages where ädas is found in the N. T.; cf. Blass, Gr. p. 27,

WII., Notes, P. 158. *Avodos insulsus is used here ouly in the Lxx. and N. 'I', but it is Aquila's rendering for in Ezech. xiii. 10, 1 I, 15 , xxii. 28. In the parallel saying of $\mathrm{Mt} . \mathrm{v} .13=\mathrm{Lc}$. xiv. $34, \mu \omega \rho a \nu \theta$ takes the place of Mc.'s ävàov үèvpral. ' $\mathrm{E} \nu$ тín aùtò
 'Aptúecy äגate occurs in Col. iv. 6, where see Bp Lightfoot's note; Symm. gives àváprutos for Aq. s äppanos in Ezech. $l l . c c$., and for ävev à àós (Lxx.) in Job vi. 6.

In its immediate reference to the Apostles the passage is well explained by Mr Latham (p. 360): "if the preserving principle cmbodied in the Apostles, and which was to emanate from them, should itself prove corrupt [? inoperative] then whore could help be found? If they, the chosen ones, became selfish, if they wrangled about who should be greatest, then the fire which our Lord had come to send upon earth was clearly not burning in them, and whence could it be kindled afresh?" For a wider application ef. Victor: ë̌ucs oưv $\chi^{\text {ápt- }}$


 the seasoning power, the preserving sacrificial Fire, within your own hearts, and as a first condition and indication of its presence there, be at peace with your brethren.' Thus the discourse reverts to the point from which it started (o. 33). Disputes about precedence endangered the very existence of the new life. Eipquevery is elsewhere in the N. T. linuited to
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St Paul (Rom. xii. 18, 2 Cor. xiii. 1 I, I Thess. v. 13).
X. i. Departure from Galilef; Journeys ln Judaea and Peraba (Mt. xix. I-2).
 phrase (which corresponds to the
 3, Num. xxii. $14, \& c$.) seems to be used for the commencement of a considerable journey, cf. vii 24 On the present occasion the Lord is finally quitting Galilee (Mt. $\mu \in \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in$ à $\pi \dot{o}$ тins 「aגєıaias) and Capernaum (ix. 33) ; His face is henceforth turned towards Jerusalem (Lc. ix. 51, xvii. II). The departure followed soon after the teaching recorded in ix. 35-50, Mt. xviii. I-35; cf. Mt. xix.
 rovíous. The incident of the $\sigma$ тatip seems also to have occurred doring this interval (Mt. xvii. 24 ff .).
cis тà öpea rîs 'Ioudaias каí ктд.] These words cover the whole interval between the end of the Galilean Ministry and the final visit to Jerusalem. The time was spent partly in Judaca, partly beyond the Jordan. It seems to have included a jonrney to Jerusalem in September for the Feast of Tabernacles (Jo. vii. 14), and another in December for the Feast of the Dedication (Jo. x. 22), a retreat to Bethany beyond the Jordan (Jo. x. 40), a visit to Betliany on the Mount of Olives (Jo. xi. i ff.), a second retreat to "Ephraim" (? Ophrah)
"near the wilderness" (.Jo. xi. 54), ending in the last journey through Jericho. Tà öpla r. 'I, not the frontier only (as Origen in Mt.t. xiv. 15, ovk
 the region as a whole; cf. vii. 24 Kai $\pi \epsilon$ єिa : Mt. omits кaí, and is followed by the 'Western' text of Mc.; the R.T. (8̀à тov̂ $\pi$.) is perhaps an attempt to extract sense from the clause denuded of $k a i$.
 Galilee before the departure to the North (iii. 7 f., 20, iv. I, 7. 21, vi. 33, 54 f.), and even under Mt. Hermon (ix. I4 f.). "OX ${ }^{\prime}$ 人ot: Mc. uses the sing. elsewhere, but the pl. occurs repeatedly in Mt., Le. With the return of the ${ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda$ dos, the Lord reverted to His old methods of teaching; chiefly, no doubt, as St Luke's account of this period (Lc. ix. 3i ff.) suggests, employing the parable as the relicle of instruction. ' $\Omega_{s}$ cici $\theta \epsilon \varepsilon$ : cf. Le. iv. I6, кatà тò ciluÒ̀s aùrọ. The Gospels reveal certain habits of thought and action which invest the Lord with a true human claractor. The Lord, after an interval during which He has devoted Himself to the training of the Twelve, returns to His customary teaching of the multitude. The reading of D and a few 0 . L. texts,
 looks like a correction and renders wis $\epsilon i$. otiose, for it is implied in $\pi a \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu$. 'Еঠiסaqкєу (cf. vi. 34)-the teaching continued throughout the period,





[^79]whencver opportunities offered themselves. Mt. refers only to the miracles which incidentally accompanied the
 As before the journey to Hermon, the teaching was doubtless chiefly parabolic.

2-12. Question of Divorge (Mt. xix. $3-9$ : cf. Mt. v. $3 \mathrm{I}-3^{2}$; Lc. xvi. 18).
 $\tau \omega \nu k \tau \lambda$.] With the resumption of the public teaching the Pharisees return to the attack (cf. vii. 5, viii.


 But their present attitude marks an advance; for the first time they venture to test the Teacher's orthodoxy by a leading question ( $\pi \in \iota \rho a ́ z o u t \epsilon s$
 (anarthrous), individual members of
 $\Phi$. occurs elsewhere in Mc. quite constantly, and has been substituted here in the R.T. The reference to the Pharisecs is strangely omitted by D and a few good O. L. authorities.
 question appears to lave been already answered during the Galilean Ministry (Mt. v. 31, 32), but possibly on an oceasion when no Pharisees were present. They may have heard a rumour as to His view of the matter and wished to verify it, but it is unlikely that they hoped to draw Him in a moment of forgetfulness into a denial of His earlier teaching (Euth.: द̀vópıgay ö́t


 in Mt.). Rather they expected a negative reply, and wero prepared to turn it to their own purposes. It might be used to excite the anger of Antipas, who had put away his first wife and married again (cf. vi. 17, note) ; more probably their intention was simply to place Him in apparent opposition to Moses, who hark permitted divorce. Mt's addition, karà $\pi$ âनav airicu, turns the edge of the question, leaving an escape from the alternative of an unconditional 'yea' or 'nay': cf. the exception allowed in xix. 9 ( $\mu \bar{\eta}$ द̀ $\pi \grave{\imath} \pi о \rho \nu \epsilon i ́ a)$.
 Lord anticipates the appeal to Moses, and asks for the Mosaic ruling upon the point. Since they recognised the authority of Moses, He will go to Moses in the first instance (cf. vii. 1o). Mt., who seens to have missed this point, almost inverts the order of the dialogue, and places $\tau i$ M $\omega v \sigma \tilde{\eta} s$ evereinaro in the mouth of the Pharisees, as an objection to the Lord's appeal to Gen. i. 27. For M. द́vereiגато cf. Deut. vì. 6, Jos iv. 12.
 refer to Deut. xxiv. I lux., $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi \in \iota$

 '́к $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ oikias aùton. The words, as the context shews, are simply permissive, the general purpose of the passage being to provide against a certain contingency which might follow the divorce. They recogniso the validity of the husband's act but do not
$5 \beta \iota \beta \lambda_{i ́ o \nu}^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i ́ o v \quad \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \alpha \iota$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \bar{v} \sigma \alpha \iota . \quad{ }^{5} \delta$
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 бív ( $=$ ת Symm. $\beta$. סьaкomîs), Vg. libellus repudii, "a libel of forsakinge" (Wycliffe), "a testimonial of devorsemont" (Tindale), occurs again in Is.

 On the history of the word $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau$. see Kennedy, Sources, p. 121 ; unlike the Hebrew term it stamps the divorced wife as disloyal, cf. the classical $\dot{d} \pi \sigma_{-}$ oraбtou 8íkn (Dem. 790. 2, 940. 15). For $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a l$ Mt. has louvar; both acts wore essential to a valid divorce. For a specimen of a Jewish 'bill of divorce' see J. Lightfoot on Mt. v. 31.
5. of $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 'I $1 \eta \sigma 0 \hat{s} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ] The Lord does not deny that 'Moses' permitted divorce; command it he did not. The commandment (rì̀ $\epsilon \forall \tau o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ avi$\tau \eta \nu$ - $\tau a v i \tau \eta$ is emphatic-this particular commandment) consisted of "regulations tending to limit it and preclude its abuse" (Driver). No such regulations would have been necessary but for the ok $\quad$ дрокаро $i a$ which had been innate in the Hebrew people from the first (cf. Ezek. iii. 7,
 The purpose of the legislation of Deut.l.c. was to check this disposition, not to give it head; and for the Pharisees to shelter themselves under the temporary recognition of a necessary evil was to confess that they had not outgrown the moral stature of
their fathers ( $\left.\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \sigma_{\kappa} \lambda . \hat{v}_{\mu} \hat{\omega}\right)$. $\Sigma_{\kappa} \lambda \eta \rho o-$
 кapoias, Vg. duritia cordis, occurs in Deut. x. 16, Jer. iv. 4, Sir. xvi. Io; in 'Mc.' xvi. I4 it goes along with astoria. With this history the word must be taken to mean a condition of insensibility to the call of God, and not only the want of consideration for a fellow-creature which the peresent context suggests. But incapacity for comprehending this Divine love (Rom. ii. 4, 5) implies the absence of an unselfish love for men, and both result from the withering up of the moral nature under the power of a practical unbelief.
 the temporary permission of divorce under the Deuteronomic law the Lord appeals to the principle enunciated in the original constitution of man. Cf. Hort, Jud. Christianity, p. 33;



 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \bar{\eta} s$ ктікє
 ктiбєळs ко́ $\sigma \mu \omega v, 2$ Pet. iii. 4 (where the exact phrase occurs again) ; and see Dolman, Worte, i. p. 136 . Kricts is (I) the act of creation (Rom. l.c.), (2) the totality of created things (cf. egg. Sap. xix. 6, Judith ix. 12, xvi. I4, 3 Macc. ii. 2, 7, vi. 2, Rom. viii. I9 ff., Col, i. I5; 23 (cf. Lightfoot)),
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(3) a creature (Rom. viii. 39), 2 Cor. v. 17 (?), Heb. iv. 13. The senses run one into the other, so that it is sometimes difficult to decide between them, but (r) appears to predominate here. On the other hand in Apoc. iii. 14, where Christ speaks of Himself as
 be preferred.
 verbal citation from Gen. i. 27, Lxx. The subject of the verb is $\delta \theta$ $\theta$ os (Gen. l.c.) ; Mt. supplies o кrías.
 nearly verbal citation from the Lxx. (Gen. ii. 24), omitting каì $\pi \rho о \sigma к о \lambda \lambda \eta$ -
 ever is supplied by Mt. and finds a place in a great majority of the mss. and versions of Mc . The passage is cited again in I Cor. vi. 16 (partly), and in Eph. v. 31 (cf. Ps. Clem. 2 Cor. 14), where there are some interesting variants.
 fis (? p. 226. ${ }^{*} \Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with ind. introduces an actual consequence which follows from the foregoing words, as in ii. 28 , Rom. vii. 12, xiii. 2, I Cor. iii. 7, xi. 27. Mía óáp $\xi$ : cf. I Cor. vi. IG, $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu a$. But in the intention of the Creator the union is not carnal or corporeal only ; Origen in Mt.: д̈тоу үє о́до́voıa каі





 Tindale: "what God hath cuppled, let not man separat." In Genesis
 cribed to Adam, not to the Creator (Mt. of kríacas...einev). But they point to a Divine purpose already revealed in the creation of mutually complementary scxcs and in the blessing pronounced upon their union (Gen. i. 27 f.), and these constitute a Divine sauction that renders lawful wedlock indissoluble at the discretion of the individual (äa $\boldsymbol{\theta} \rho \omega \pi \sigma s$, cf. Jo. iii. 4).

 in this sense, I Cor. vii. 10 ff . This verse was introduced into the English Form of Matrimony in 1548, but it had previously stood in the Gospel of the Ordo sponsalium.

For a perverse use of this passage by certain Gnostics of the second century see the letter of Ptolemaeus to Flora in Epiph, haer. 33.3 ff.
10. kai eis ग̀े oikiav кл $\lambda$.] The incident was at an end, so far as the Pharisees were concerned ; but it led afterwards to a private conversation between the Lord and the Twelve (cf. vii. 17 , ix. 28). Mt. overlooks the change of surroundings, and represents the Lord as atill addressing the
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Pharisees ( $\lambda \epsilon$ '́ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ dè íjiv). Eis गìv oixiay, when they had entered the house (cf. ix. 33, and WM., p. 517 ; such a conjectural addition as ciaє $\lambda$ -
 is wholly unnecessary) where they were lodging, probably in one of the villages on the road to Jerusalem (Lc. ix. 5 If., x. 38, xiii. 22); opposed as in ix. 33 to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\theta} \dot{\theta} \dot{\varphi}$ understood in x. if. $\Pi \dot{q} \lambda \iota \nu$ ...'є $\pi \eta \omega^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$, they repeated the question which had been put by the Pharisees (o. 2). The answer was explicit and authoritative, as that of a Master speaking to an inner circle of disciples.
II. ós ầ àmodúvg $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Of simple divorce the Lord has spoken-sufficiently; it is a dissolution of a Divinely constituted umion. He deals now with the case of marriage after divorce, and pronounces it to be adultery.
 committit super eam, "commits adul-
 $\lambda \in \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \varphi \eta \nu$ (not, as Victor, èmì $\delta \epsilon u \tau \dot{t} \rho a \nu$
 the cix. (Jer. ${ }^{6}$ Ezech. ${ }^{3}$ ) absolutely or with the acc. of the object and with either of the guilty parties for subject (Jer. xxxvi. (xxix.) 23; Ezech. xvi. $3^{2}$ ); in the N. T., outside the present context (Mt., Mc.), it is used only in Mt. v. $32^{\text {b }}$, the ordinary practice being to write $\mu \circ \tau \chi \in \dot{v} \epsilon \boldsymbol{y}$ of the man, and $\mu 0 \tau \chi \in \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \theta a i$ (pass.) of the woman (Mt. v. 28, 32), as in class. Gk. ;
 the man in Lev. xx. io bis. Clement of Alexandria, who reads $\mu$. aù $\frac{1}{\eta} \nu$,
explains (strom. ii. 23): toutéatıp, avaүка̧́єє $\mu о \iota \chi є \cup Ө \bar{\eta} \nu a$. On the con-
 $\mu о \chi$ а̄тсu) see Burton, § 312, and for $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi$ ' av่ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'in reference to her,' and so 'to her detriment,' cf. vi. 34 , ix. 22 , xiv. 48.

In both v. 32 and xix. 9, Mt.
 adding $\pi$ apektòs $\lambda$ dóyou $\pi$ opveías or $\mu$ गे є́тi $\pi$ opveía. If we may assume (cf. $v$. 12 , note ad fin.) that these words formed part of the Lord's judgement on one at least of the occasions when it was pronounced, He allows a solitary exception to the indissolubility of marriage, viz. in the case of unfaithfulness. חopveia, though it is to bc distinguished from $\mu$ кохєia when the two are named in the same context (vii. 2I), can scarcely in this connexion refer to an act of sin committed before marriage; the word is used as in Hos.

 торреи́re. This then is the only
 still justifies, nonder the law of Christ, the use of divorce. Whether in such a case the words added in Mt. permit or tolcrate re-marriage is a question of much difficulty, which belongs to the interpretation of the first Gospel. The post-Christian history of the subject is treated by H. M. Luckock, History of Marriage (1894), and O. D. Watkins, Holy Matrimony (1895) ; for contemporary and later Jewish opinion upon the conditions of a lawful divorce comp. Jos, ant. iv,
 $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu, \mu о \iota \chi \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$.




 ducit moechatur (a) b f (f g)
8. 23, J. Lightfoot on Mt. v., Edersheim, Life, ii., p. 332 ff., Schürer II. ii. 123, Driver on Deut. l.c., and Marriage in Hastings, D. B., and Encycl. Biel.
 Mc. only. For àmoגícu used in reference to the action of the wife sce


 used indiscriminately of both parties. The divorce of the husband by the wife was possible under both Greek and Roman Law (see Plutarch, Alc. 8, Gaius i. 127, cited by Stanley on 1 Cor. vii. 13, and other reff. in Wetstein ad loc.); and St Paul ( I Cor. l.c.
 recognises the legal right of Christian women at Corinth to leave their husbands on the mere point of incompatibility of religious belief, though he prohibits them from using this right. J. Lightfoot (on I Cor.) quotes a Rabbinical opinion that the same privilege was conceded to married women by Jewish custom; on the other hand Josephus (ant. xv. 7. 10), writing of Salonc, says quite positively: $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \tau є \ldots \gamma \rho а \mu \mu a ́ \tau i o v ~ a ̀ т о д \nu о-~$ $\mu e ́ v \eta ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o \nu ~ o v ̀ ~ k a t a ̀ ~ т o u ̀ s ~ ' I o u \delta a i o u s ~$ vópous. See however Burkitt, G. II. p. 99 ff. In any case it is unnecessary to regard this view as "derived from an Hellenic amplification of the tradition" (Meyer), a hypothesis which is excluded by the general character of the second Gospel. In His private instruction to the Apostles, as Peter remembered, the Lord completed His teaching by a refereuce to the prac-
tice of the Pagan and Hellenised circles which must hare been already familiar to the Twelve, and with which they would shortly be called to deal. See Burkitt in J. Th. St., v. p. 628 . For the sequel see Mt. xix. $10-12$.

13-16. Blessing of Cilledren (Mt. xix. $13-15$, Lc. xviii. 15-17).
 This iucident follows with singular fituess after the Lord's assertions of the sanctity of married life. Mt. regards the sequence as strictly chronological (тórє $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ кл $\lambda$.), and Mc. appears to locate the arrival of the children at the house where the Lord delivered to the Twelve His judgement on marriages after divorce (cf. x. 10, 17). Le., whose narrative here rejoins that of Mt. and Mc., has no note of time or place, for Lc. xvii. II cannot be taken as a guide; but the faet that from this point the three Synoptists proceed in almost unbroken order to the history of the Passion may suggest that these events belong to the last journey from Ephraim to Jericho
 peatedly used of the ministry of friends who brought their sick to the Lord, Mt. iv. 24, viii. 16 , ix. 2 (Mc. ii. 4), 32 , xii. 22 , xiv. 35 ; young children needed the same service, and now at length received it. It was a sign of the growing reverence for the great Rabbi when even infants (kai т $\grave{a} \beta \rho \epsilon \emptyset \phi \eta$, Lc.) were brought to Him for His blessing. חaudiov, though used of a child twelve years old ( v . 39,42 ), could be applied to an infant
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eight days old (Gen. xvii. 12); whilst $\beta \rho$ é $\phi$ os may be the unborn fetus (Lc. i. 4I, 44), the babe in its cradle (Lc. ii. I2, 16) or at the breast (4 Macc. iv. 25 ), or the child who is learning his first lessons at his mother's knee (2 Tim. iii. 15). Those who were brought to Jesus were doubtless of various ages, from the infant in arms to the elder children still under the mother's care. The Lord Himself had passed through all the stages of human imnaturity (Iren. ii. 22. 4), and this group of children with their friends would recall Hisown experience at Nazareth. The youngest were not too young for His benediction; Tertullian's "veniant ergo dum adolescunt, veniant dum discont, dum quo veniant docentur" (de bapt. 18) strikes a false note which has been taken up and exaggerated in later times. Contrast Victor: $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\circ}$
 трого́dov.
ĩva aùrồ ãұqrat] Similarly Lc.
 aùrois каì т $\rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \dot{j} \xi \eta \tau a-\mathrm{a}$ commentary on the briefer original. On the conjunctive cf. WM., p. 358 ff. The custom of laying on of hands with prayer upon children for the purpose of
 Clem. Al. paed. i. 12) finds its archetype in Gen. xlviii. 14, 15 (see Hastings, $D . B$. iii. p. 84 f.). Such benedictions, it seems, were commonly obtained by parents for their children from the
 p. 138); and here was Onc greater than any local synagogue-ruler. But perhaps the purpose of the friends was simply to secure a blessing by contact with the wonder-working Prophet (i. 4I, viii, 22, cf. iii. 10, v. 28,
vi. 56). Cf. Orig. in Mt.: тáza $\delta \dot{\text { e }}$





 Bengel [iva]...á $\psi \tau_{i} r a s$ : "modestum petitum."
 Vg.comminabantur; Wycliffe, "thretenyden to men offringe"; Tindale, "rebuked"; cf. ix. 38 f., x. 48 f. The Lord, who was in the house, was approached through the Twelve or one or more of the senior members of that body (cf. Jo. xii. 2r f.) ; and they discouraged the attempt as idle or, more probably, as derogatory to the Master's dignity. Victor: Tivos' $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$



 the house Jesus saw what was happening, and His displcasure was aroused
 is attributed to Him on no other occasion, but it is recognised by St Paul as under certain circumstances a Christian feeling ( 2 Cor. vii. II rò


 nature of His kingdom should still be misunderstood and His work hindered by the Twelve was just cause for indignant surprise. Bengel: " ${ }^{\gamma} \gamma a-$ עáктŋ $\quad \sigma \epsilon[\nu]$... propter impedimentum amori suo a discipulis oblatum."
 children come to Me , hinder them not.' Both in Mt. (äфєтє...каi $\mu \dot{\eta}$
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хєбGau...кaì $\mu \dot{\eta}$ к.) the words assume a later form ; in Mc. we hear the Lord's indiguant call, as it startles the disciples in the act of dismissing the party. "Aфєrє... $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\operatorname{cote}}$ : "an expressive asyndeton" (Bruce). With $\mu \eta{ }^{\lambda} \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\nu} \in \tau \epsilon$ cf. ix. 39 n. The children are regarded as themselves coming and being hindered; cf. the Office for Public Baptism: "we call upon Thee for this infant that he, coming, \&e."
 Worte, i. p. 104. Origen : totov'





 pracfertur aetati; alioquin obesset adolescere"; Jerome in Mt.: "talium, ut ostenderet non aetatem regnare sed mores." That this teaching is latent in the words the next verse shews ; but it is their immediate purpose to assign a reason ( $\gamma$ áp) for the Lord's command. To exclude children from the Kingdom of GoD is to exclude those who of all human beings are naturally least unfitted to enter it, and whose attitude is the type of the converted life (Mt. xviii. 3).
15. $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{i} \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The Lord coufirms with His solemn ả $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ (cf. iii. 28 , note) the final lesson of His ministry in Galilee. Mt., who has preserved the words on that occasion (Mt xviii.
3), omits them here; but the repetition was clearly necessary under the circumstances. $\Delta_{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta a i$ elsewhere
 ix. 37), a message ( rò̀ $\lambda$ óyò, Le. viii. 13, Acts xi. 1, Jas. i. 21), or a gift ( 2 Cor. vi. I , xi. 4); the kingdom embraces all these; to receive it is to receive Christ, the Gospel, and the grace of the Spirit. $\Delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \eta$ qua: : Bengel, "offertur enim." For the phrase $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda$ -
 23, 25, Jo. iii. 5 ; with $\omega$ s maioter cf. Ps. cxix. (cxxxi.) 2, ws àmoүєүa入aктиб $\mu$ évov, and for an early Christian use of the words sce Herm. sim. ix.



 $\delta_{\text {féfetvav. It is, however, not so much }}$ the innocence of young children which is in view, as their spirit of trustful simplicity.
16. є̀уаукадıаа́нєขоs ктл.] He had already called them to Him (Lc. $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ єкалє́ $\sigma a t o$ ), and as they came up in succession, each was taken iu His arms and blessed (катєvגóyєt). For
 repetition of the characteristic act would perhaps recall to the minds of the disciples the forgotten teaching of the last days at Capernaum. Katev$\lambda о \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, är. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in the N. T., occurs in Tob. xi. I, 17, and in Plutarch; as in катवуєגạ̀ (v. 40), and катафıлє $\hat{\nu}$
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(xiv. 45), the force of katá seems to be intensive-He blessed them fervently, in no perfunctory way, but with emphasis, as those who were capable of a more unreserved benediction than their clders. Instcad of the mere touch for which the friends had asked, He laid his hands on them
 ràs $\chi$. aùrois) with the words of blessing. "Plus fecit quam rogatus erat" (Bengel): cf. the Gelasian collcet (Wilson, p. 228): "abundantia pietatis tuae et merita supplicum excedis et vota."

In the N. African Church this incident seems to lave been urged in support of Infant Baptism as early as the time of Tertullian (cf. $v$. I3, note). Mt. xix. 13 ff . occurs as the Gospel of the Baptismal Office in an ordo of the 12th century (Muratori, De ant. eccl. rit., i. p. 44), and was used as such in the English Church until 1549, when the more impressive and suggestive narrative of Mc . was substituted by Cranmer and his colleagues.

17--22. The Righ Man who wanted but one thing (Mt. xix. 16-22, Le. xviii. 18-23).
17. Є̇кторєvоцє́vov aùtồ єis ó óóv]
 incident occurred when the Lord had left the house, and was beginning His journey again. For sis óóó cf. vi. 8, note, and contrust fis tin ódóv (xi. 8).

The text of Clement of Alexandria throughout this context has becu carefully examined by P. M. Barnard, in Texls and Studies, v. 5, q. v.
$\pi р о \sigma \delta \rho व \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ єis кт $\lambda$.] He was an ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ (Le.) and yet a $\nu \in a \nu i \sigma \kappa o s$ (Mt.). ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~A} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ is a term of some latitude; it is used by Mt. (ix. 18) for an ajpðơv-
 (Lc.), and by Le. for a chief Pharisee (xiv. r, cf. Syr. ${ }^{\text {cu. in xviii. 18); in Acts }}$
 (e.g. iii. I, vii. 26 ff.) apparently understands by ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ any member of the great Sauhedrin. The word passed into Rabbinic (ארכונטוא, ארכ, Dalman, Gr. p. 148 f .) as a general term for a great man or prince (ef. Westcott on $\mathrm{J}_{0}$. iii. I). If it is used by Le. here in this looser sense no difficulty arises from the youth of this $\vec{a} \rho_{\chi} \omega \nu$; his large property (o. 22) sufficiently accounts for his local eminence, not to urge that veaviokos is a relative term which may be used of any age between boyhood and middle life (Lob., Phryn., p. 213 ; cf. Diog. Laert. 8. 10). Moor$\delta \rho a \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ (Mt. $\pi \rho о \sigma є \lambda \theta_{\omega}^{\prime} \nu$ ), cf. ix. I5; for cis (Mt., Mc.) 'one,' $=\boldsymbol{\tau} / \mathrm{s}$ (Lc.) ef. Mt. viii. 19, ix. I8, Apoc. viii. 13, ix. 13, ェix. 17 (WM., p. 145, BDB., s.v. אֶהד (3)). Гоעчтєт
 strated himself; but the homage paid by this ${ }^{\text {a }} \rho \chi^{\omega}{ }^{\omega}$ is more remarkable because he is not a suppliant for material help. In his eagerness to obtain spiritual advice he shews no less zeal than if he had sought the greatest of temporal benefits.

 throughout the story follows another tradition, changes the point of both question and answer ( ঠıঠáбкалє, тí





 The change may be due to the shifting
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of the place of the adjective in the origival(ef. Delitzsch ad loc., and Resch, Paralleltexte zu Lc., p. 494). Resch endeavours to shew that both forms of the answer may
 see also J. T. Marshall, Exp. in. iv. p. 384 , vi. 88 , where the corresponding Aramaic is given. 'Ayaté is probably sincere, not a fulsome compliment, still less intended for irony. But it implies an imperfect standard of moral goodness, siuce the speaker regarded the Lord as a merely human teacher; cf. Ambrose: "in portione dixit bonum, non in universitate."
iva $\zeta \omega \eta \eta_{\nu}$ aiciviav k $\lambda \eta \rho$.] No more appropriate question could have becu put to our Lord; Clem. Al. quis

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\text { i }} \sigma \omega$ тпрius. It was put moreover by an earnest enquirer; contrast Le. x. 25 , where the same question is asked by a voukós as a test of ortho-
 (שָׁ) , v. 5) is a phrase which runs through the O.T.; but a more spiritual conception of the inheritance of the just finds a place in the later books, e.g. Sir. iv. 13 ( $\kappa \lambda .8 \alpha \xi a \nu)$, xxxvii. 26 ( $\kappa \lambda . \pi i \sigma \tau \nu)$,

 quis rer. div. heres. The use of the term $\zeta \omega \overline{\text { an aidúnos }}$ first appears in connexion with the hope of the Resurrection, cf. Dan xii. 2 (עוֹלס Sal. iii. 16, Enoch xxxvii. 4, xl 9, lviii. 3, 2 Mace. vii. 9 . In adopting these words into its creed the Gospel transfigured their meaning; Christ had p pijara 〔 $\omega \overline{\text { ghs aiaviou (Jo. vi. 68) which }}$
were unknown to the Pharisees. But the term itself, it is important to remember, was of O. T. growth and familiar to the Pharisaic Scribes.
 sis is on drativ, not on the pronoum. The Lord begins by compeling the enquirer to consider his own words. He had used ázafé lightly, in a manner which revcaled the poverty of his moral conceptions. From that word Christ accordingly starts. Clem. Al.






 summoned to contemplate the absolute dyatwoivq which is the attribute of God, and to measure himself by that supreme standard. Viewed in this light the words are seen not to touch the question of our Lord's human simlessness or of His onencss with the Father; on the other hand they are consistent with the humility which led Him as Man to refrain from asserting His equality with God (Phil. ii. 6) : ef. Athan. c. Arian. iii. 7, $\epsilon$ i...


 $\mu \grave{\jmath}$ єis ó $\theta \in$ és....пoia èvavtoórns; Hilary surely misreads the Lord's words when he says: "nomine bonitatis abstinuit...quod congrua in eum severitate iudex esset usurus." Only the supremely Good can be the perfect Judge. To Christ both characters belong, but this was not the moment for revealing Himself in either. See next note.
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Marcion，the Clementines（homs．xviii． 3），and Ephrem（er．conc．exp．），add
 and $\dot{\delta} \pi a r i f$ is read by Origen（in Jo． t．i．35）；see WH．，Notes，p． 14. Ephren＇s commentary is interesting： ＂et tu，Domine，none es bonus．．．et adventus tuns nome rat adventus bonitatis ？Sud＇ego，＇ait，＇non a me－ ipso vent．＇Et opera ta nonce suit bona？＇Mater meas，＇ait，＇quit est in me，ipse operatur haec opera．＇＂The Son，as Origen points out（in Jo．t． xiii． 25,36 ），is the єiк凶̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ à子a日ót $\eta$ os тố matpós，and not，qua Son，to aúroáradov．Hence He disclaims the title ajafós，when it is offered to Hin ı without regard to His oneness with the Father，and refers it to the Source
 àja甘ós тробпүорía т $\grave{\eta} \nu$ киріау кай

 $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi a p i \sigma \tau \omega s$ т $\hat{̣}$ тarpt́）．Similarly Ben－ gel：＂non in se requiescebat，ged se penitus ad Patrem referebat．＂On theother hand Ambrose rightly pleads： ＂si a De Filius non excipitur，utiquo nee a bono Christus excipitur．．．com bonus Pater，utique et ille bonus que omnia haber quae Prater haber＂－ ＂bonus ex bono，＂as Ephrem well says． For Gnostic perversions of this text see Oren．i．20．2，Hippol．haver．p． 7 ， vii．3I，Clem．how．lc．，Epiph．haver． 33．7．On the relation of the doctrine of the Divine goodness to the harder facts of life see Origen in MIL．ad loco．

For O．T．anticipations of the Lord＇s saying cf．i Sam．ii．2，Ps．cxviii．I ff．

19．tàs èvrodàs ollas $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］Having fixed the standard of goodness the Lord proceeds to rehearse the Divine precepts which were regarded by the Jew as the highest expression of the $\theta e \lambda \eta \mu a$ àjatóo（Rom．xii．2），and as the source of all that is good in man （cf．Weber，Jüd．Theologie，p．20）．

 makes the enumeration which follows an answer to a second question （rotas；）．The Lord cites only the commandments which regulate man＇s duty to his neighbour，probably be－ cause they admit of a relatively simple application to the conduct of life．He cites these in the order vi．，vii．，viii．， ix．（x．），v．（Mt．，Mc．）or，according to Lc．，vii．，vi．，viii．，ix．，v．；Mc．＇s order （on the vv．ll．cf．WH．，Notes，p．25） is that of cod．A and of the M．T．in Exod．xx．and Deut．v．，whilst Lc．＇s agrees with that of cod．B in placing vii．before vi．（cf．Rom．lc．，Jas．ii．I i， Philo，de x or ac．Io，de spec．lego．iii． 2，and on the other hand，Jos ant．iii． 5.5 ；and see Intr．to the O．T．in GK．，
 seems to be derived from Exod．xxi． io，Deut．xxiv． 14 （A），cf．Sir．iv．I， Jos．ant．iv．8． 38 ；but it may be intended here to represent the tenth commandment，while summing up the sins committed against vi．－ix．；on the class．and later use of àmoctєрє̂̀p








 Clem Al
see Field, Notes, p. 33 f., and for the N.T. use cf. I Cor. vi. 7 f., vii. 5. The fifth commandment is reserved to the last place, possibly in order to emphasise its importance in view of its practical abrogation by the oral law (vii. Io ff.). Mt. adds the summary of the Second Table from Lev. xix, 18 (cf. Mc. xii. 31). The form $\mu \eta$ ो фovev́ons $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (Mc., Lc.) occurs also in Jas. ii. if ; Mt's ov' фoyev́aeis follows the Lxx. (Exod., Deut.).
 Lc. '̇фínaga. In the lxx. both voices aro used in this connexion, with perhaps a preference for the mid. (cf. Gen. xxvi. 5, Exod. xx. 6, Dcut. xxyi. 18, 3 Regn. ii. 3, viii. 6ı (act.); Lev. xviii. 4, Deut. iv. 2, I Chron. xxviii. 7, 2 Esdr. xx. 29 (30), Ps. cxviii (cxix.) 4 ff ( (nid)). The N.T. elsewhere uses $\phi u \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon c \nu$ only in this sense (Lc. xi. 28, Jo. xii 47, Acts vii. 53, xvi. 4, xxi 24, Rom. ii. 26, Gal. vi. 13, \&c.).
 who calls the man a yeaviokos, onits these words. The phrase $\hat{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$ (or ajo) veótytos with or without the pronoun following is frequent in the Lxx., e.g. Gen. viii. 2I ( ©́к $\nu$. av̉rov̂= Regn. xii. 2, Ps. lxx. (lxxi.) 17; in the N. T. it is used again in Acts xuvi. 4.

The young man is relieved by the Lord's answer. If the eternal inlieritance could be secured on so simple a condition as the keeping of the Decalogue, it was his already. He had
thought perhaps (as Mt.'s moias; seems to shew) of the precepts of the Halachal. Something more than the letter of the Torah must surely be necessary; what was it? (Mt. тi Ëт८ vio$\tau \in \rho \hat{\omega} ;$ ). The deeper meaning and larger requirements of the Law were yet hidden from lim.


 (viii. 25, x. 27, xiv. 67, Lc. xxii. 6I) is to fix the eyes for a moment upon an object,-a characteristically searching look turned upon an individual; cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ (iii. 5, x. 23), which describes a similar look carried round a circle. 'H $\mathbf{\gamma}$ án $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ av̀róy. The look revealed that which attracted love, such as the Lord entertained for a genuine, however imperfect, disciple; cf. Jo. xiii. 1, 23, 34; xv. 9, 12. Tindale's endeavour to weaken the force of $\dot{\eta} \gamma$. by translating "Jesus... favoured him" is unnecessary; still less can we adopt the rendering "caressed him " which Ficld (Notes, p. 34), though with some hesitation, suggests; the Lord loved in the man what He saw to be good and of God. Cf. Grotius: "Amat Christus non virtutes tantum sed et semina virtutunn"; Godet: "ce regard d'anour etait en même temps un regard plein de pénétration par lequel Jésus discerna les bomes et les mauraises qualités de ce cœur, et qui lui inspira la parole suivante." On the distinction between


 

$\dot{a} \gamma a \pi a ̂ y$ and $\phi c \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ (Jo. xi. 3,36 , xx. 2) sce 'I'rench, syn. 12, Westcott on Jo. v. 20 , xi. 3 .




 x. 41). For igrepeiv in this sense see Jo.ii. 3, and for the acc. of the person, cf. Ps. xxii. (xxiii.) I ov̉ð́́v $\mu \epsilon \in \mathfrak{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \in c$ (לֶּ), lxxxiii. (Ixxxiv.) 12 ; the construction viarefô $\tau \iota$ (Sir. li. 24, Mt. xix. 20, 2 Cor. xii. 1I) or tivós (Lc. xxii. 35, Rom. iii. 23, \&c.) is more usual in the N.T. Mt. represents the enquirer as asking $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon_{\epsilon} \tau \iota \dot{v} \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$; and

 thing was wanting to perfect the man's fitness for the inheritance of eternal life.

च̈ $\pi a \gamma \epsilon$, ö $\sigma a$ ё $\chi \epsilon \epsilon s \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \sigma o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The sale and distribution of his property were the necessary preparations in his case for the complete discipleship which admits to the Divine kingdom.

 $\pi \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a L$. The words are not a general counsel of perfection, but a test of obedience and faith which the Lord saw to be necessary in this particular case. The demand of the Divine Lover of souls varies with the spiritual condition of the individual; for one equally great see Gen. xii. 1, Heb. xi. 8 ff . Whether this precept led to the sacrifices described in $\Delta$ cts ii. 44 f., iv. 34 ff . cannot now be known; the Life of St Anthony relates its effect on the great Egyptian hermit: chancing one day to hear Mt. xix. 21 read in the Gospel for the day, ws $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ avitò





 destitute poor (oi $\pi \tau \omega \chi o \hat{i}$ ) were a numerous class in Palestine in the first century (cf. xii. 42, xiv. 5 ff., Le. xvi. 20, Jo. xiii. 29 , Jas. ii. 2 f.), and one for which no regular provision was made. The Gospel acc. to the Hebrews is eloquent on this point: "quomodo dicis, "Legem fecisti et prophctas'...et ecce multi fratres tui, filii Abrahae, amicti sunt stercore, morientes prae fanie, et domus tua plena est multis bonis et non egreditur omnino aliquid ex ea ad illos."
The self-sacrifice which the Lord imposed on this wealthy enquirer asserts in principle the duty of the rich to minister to the poor; the particular form which their ministry must take varies with the social conditions of the age. Of the form embodicd in this precept it is probably safe to say ‘o dováuєvos $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i v \quad \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega$. See Clem. Al. quis dives 13 ff. for some weighty remarks upon the question of a voluntary porerty. While discouraging the abandonment of wealth in a general way, he admits that there are cases in which it may be expedient: $\S 24$ à $\lambda \lambda^{x}$ ópấs $\sigma \epsilon a u \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$

 (adding a reference to Mt. v. 29). Cf.





 фє́отато⿱.

 (Mt. vi. 19), cf. Lc. xii. 33 f. ; compare


 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha$.



#### Abstract

    


the remarkable paraliel in Mt. xiii. 44, and the imagery of Apoc. iii. 17 f .
 I4, note. The final test of character, proposed to all candidates for eternal life; cf. Jo. x. 27 , xii. 26. This essential condition is not necessarily involved in even the greatest sacrifice of outward things; cf. Jerome: "molti divitias relinquentes Dominum non sequuntur."
 Mc. only: Mt. áкov́fas....ò̀ $\lambda o ́ y o y ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ rov, Lec. àkoíaas... тaùra. As he heard the sentence, his brow clouded over ( $\sigma$ тuyoòs каì кат门́фрs Clem. Al, quis dives 4), the lighthearted optimism of his mood broke down. Stuyvá̧̧ıv is used of the saddening of either the faee of nature (Mt. xvi. 3, 'Western' text) or the human face (Ezech. xxvii. 35, xxpiii. 19 (A), xxxii. 10 ); the dark and stormy night is $\sigma$ ouyví (Sap. xvii. 5); the $\sigma \tau u \gamma^{\prime}$ ós is the sombre, gloomy man who broods over unwelcome thoughts (Isa. lvii. 17, Dan. ii. 12
 In the last passage the effect is partly
 but usually it is the result of disappointment or grief, and that is clearly what is intended here; cf. Vg. contristatus in verbo; Wyeliffe: "he was ful sorie in the word." The answer did not exasperate, but it gave him pain which was visible on his countenance: $\dot{a} \pi \tilde{j} \lambda A \epsilon \nu \lambda \nu \pi \sigma \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$
 His hopes were dashed; the one thing he yet wanted was beyond his reach; the price was too great to pay even for eternal life. For the time the love of the world prevailed. Yet it is umnecessary with Origen and Jerome to characterise his sorrow as that of the world ( 2 Cor. vii. ro); rather it may have been the birthpangs of a spirit struggling for release. His riches were indeed as thorns (Jerome) which threatened to choke the seed of the word (iv. 7, 19), but the end of the struggle is not revealed. For the time, however, he answered the Lord's $\delta \in \hat{\jmath} \rho o$ by turning his back on Him ( $\dot{\pi} \pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu)$.
 bably estates, lands; cf. Acts $\mathbf{i}$. 18


 Acts ii. 44 ктŋ̆ $\mu a \tau a$ are apparently distinguished from the vaguer $\dot{v} \pi$ áp-
 one that had'-see Burton, $\$ 432$. Cf. Bede: "inter pecunias habere et pecunias amare multa distantia est. multi enim habentes non amant, multi non habentes amant."

23-27. The Rich and the Kingdom of God (Mt. xix. 23-26, Lc. xviii. 24-27).
 the man was gone the Lord's eye swept ronnd the circle of the Twelve
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（iii．5，note），as He drew for them the lesson of the incident．So Mc．only；

 $\delta u \sigma \kappa \delta \lambda \omega s$, Mt．$\Delta v i \sigma \kappa o \lambda o s ~ a n d ~ \delta u \sigma-$ кó ${ }^{2} \omega \mathrm{~s}$ occur in the N．＇T＇．only in this context；the Lxx．use $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \lambda o s$ in Jer．xxix． 9 （xlix．8），סvoкo入ia in Job xxxiv． 30 ；cf．єüкo入os in 2 Regn．xv． 3．The rarity of this class of words in Biblical Gk．renders the occurrence of $\delta v \sigma \kappa$ ód $\omega \rho$ here in the three Synop－ tists the more siguificant．With $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ $\delta$ ．，＇with what difficulty，＇comp．$\pi \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{s}}$

 ＇they who have money＇；cf． 0.22 方 $\psi$ $\dot{\epsilon}_{\chi} \omega \nu \propto \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma a$ ．The wider word which is preferred here includes all pro－ perty whether in coin or convertible into it（cf．Arist．eth，iv．I $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ dè
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \in i \tau a u)$ ；for the former sense of $\chi \rho^{\prime}$－
 2 Macc．iii．7， 4 Mace．iv．3，Acts iv． 37 （ $\tau \grave{o} \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a$ ），viii． 18 ff．，xxiv． 26 ：for the latter， 2 Chron．i． $1 \mathrm{I}, 12$（עְבְִִים）， Sir．v．I，8，\＆e．Eis т．Bagineiav ктд．； cf．$v .15$ ，note．For a partial parallel to the saying see Sir．xxxiv．（xxxi．）8， 9 ．
 $\kappa ז \lambda$ ．］Mc．only．The Twelve were thrown into consternation（for $\theta a \mu-$ Brígat sco i． 27 n．）at（i．22）the Lord＇s sayings（ $\lambda$ óoots，contrast $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ ， $v .22$ ）on this occasion，but especially， no doubt，at this last remark．What manner of kingdom was this which men must become as children to enter （ 0.15 ），and which men of substance could scarcely enter at all？Their surprise was probably expressed in words，perhaps by Peter；cf．Ev．sec． Hebr．ap．Orig．：＂conversus dixit Simoni discipulo suo sedenti apud se ＇Simon fili Ioanne，facilius cst \＆c．＇＂
 técya，which occurs here only（cf．Jo． xiii． 33 тєкvia，xxi． 5 тavoia）in refer－ ence to the Twelve，see ii． 5 n ．The Lord，in sympathy with their growing perplexity，adopts a tone of unusual tenderness．Yet He repeats His hard saying（ $\pi a^{\prime} \lambda(\nu)$ ，and this time removes the qualifying reference to the rich： ＇it is hard to enter in any case，though specially hard for such．＇Euth．：＇̇ori
 On the＇Western＇addition，＂inserted to bring the verse into closer con－




 ХГПГ $\boldsymbol{m i n}^{\text {feramm }}$ latt syrr arm go aeth $\pi \rho$. a $\lambda \lambda \eta$ hous $\mathrm{M}^{*}$
nexion with the context by limiting its generality," see WH., Notes, p. 26; and cf. Prov. xi. 28 for its probable source.
 єікотыітєро́⿱ є̇бти see ii. 9, note. $\Delta i n$

 $\beta \in \lambda o ́ v \eta s$. Tpvuàiá, a late and rare word, is a perforation, e.g. $\pi$ é $\overline{\text { pas }} \mathbf{~ J u d .}$ (vi. 2), xv. 8, 11 B (A has $\mu \mathrm{a} v \delta \rho a$, $\sigma \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda a t o v$, or $\dot{o} \pi \dot{\eta}$ ), Jer. xiii. 4, xvi. 16, xxix. (xlix.) 16 ; т $\rho \tilde{\eta} \mu a$, три́л $\eta \mu a$ are classical words of the same general meaning. Of papis and $\beta \in \lambda o v_{\eta} \eta$ Phry-

 Nevertheless, as Rutherford shews (N. Phr. p. 174 f.), $\dot{\rho} a$ ís $^{\prime}$ is the older word, and reappears in late Gk. In both cases Mc. has used the colloquial word; in both Lc. prefers the forms of literary Gk., while Mt. retains jó $\bar{i}$ s, but excludes $\tau \rho v \mu a \lambda \iota a ́$. In the mss. uaturally the forms are interchanged.

Similar sayings in reference to the elephant are quoted from Rabbinical writings by J. Lightfoot and Schöttgen ad loc. The exact metaphor occurs in the Koran (Plummer), and in proverbs current among the Arabs (Bruce), but in these it is possibly borrowed from the Gospels. Celsus (Orig. c. Cels. vi. 16) held that the words äprcxpus dं $\pi \dot{\delta}$
 $\phi \theta \in i ́ p a \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ П \lambda a \tau \omega \nu \iota к o ́ v, ~ r e f e r r i n g ~ t o ~$

 parov. The general similarity and the essential difference of the two sayings are worthy of remark. The attemits to soften the proverb which Christ
 кámıдоv, cf. WH., Notes, p. 151) for a

 aүкі́раs, cf. Thpht., Euth., Arm.), or explaining faфis as a narrow wady, or a gate through which a camel can scarcely pass, misses the point of the simile, which is intended to place the impossibility in the strongest light ( 0.27 ). To contrast the largest beast of burden known in Palestine with the smallest of artificial apertures is quite in the manner of Christ's proverbial sayings: cf. iv. 3 I f., Mt. xxiii. 24. Origen in his reply to Celsus l.c. rightly compares with the saying as a whole Mt.
 $\tau \epsilon \theta \lambda e \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \eta^{\eta}$ ódòs $\dot{\eta}$ ànáyovva eis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ §wip. It is remarkable at how many points the present context recalls the language or the teaching of the 'Sermon on the Mount' (cf, e.g. ov. 17, 19, 21).
 $\kappa т \lambda$.] Their astonishment now passed all bounds and broke out into a cry of despair. ' $\mathbf{E} \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau o, ~ c f .1 .22, ~ v i . ~$ 2, vii. 37. Kai tis Mc., Le, R.Y. 'then who?' = ris äpa Mt., cf. tis ouvy Clem. AL quis dives 4 ; see WM., p. 345, and Holtzmane ad loc:: "das kai nimmt den Inhalt der vorhergehenden Rede auf"; another ex. may be seen in Jo. ix. 36. "Who can be saved if the rich are excluded?" The Twelve have not yet grasped the special dilficulties of the rich, who seem from their position to Lave the first claim to admission into the Kingrdom. If they are excluded, they ask, who can dare to

 $\dot{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta \sigma_{0}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\varphi}^{\cdot} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \delta \nu \nu a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$.






 Clem Al| $\sigma o t]+\tau \iota \alpha \rho a \in \sigma \tau a l \eta \mu u \$ \min ^{2} \mathbf{b}$
$29 \epsilon \phi \eta \circ \mathrm{I} . \Omega \mathrm{B} \Delta \mathrm{me}]$ каl алокре $\theta \in \iota s$
 vg syrr ${ }^{\text {sin pesh (hall) arm go (aeth) (Clem Al) } \in \phi \eta \text { aurots } \Psi ~}$

Bactineíay тō̃ $\theta$ قoú (vo. 24, 25), or cis §oì aiळvov (v. 17) ; for this higher sense of $\sigma \dot{\alpha}\langle\epsilon \iota$ cf. viii. 35 , xiii. 13 , [xvi. 16]. On sivarai Jerome well remarks: "ubi dificile ponitur non impossibilitas praetenditur."
 the second $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ as (cf. e. 21, note) is wanting in Le. In the words which follow His searching look, He does not retreat from His position, though He reveals the true ground of hope. The saying is based on Gen. xviii. 14
 xlii. 2, Zech. viii. 6. Пapá (dat.), penes, as in Mt. vi. I, viii. so, Rom. ii. 11 , ix. 14; in Lc. i. 37 тapà тoй $\theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$ introduces another thought, that the power proceeds from God. 'The power of GoD converts impossibilitios into facts.' The Western text of Mc. (cf. WH., Notes, p. 26) limits the saying to the particular case; Lc. expresses its general truth in the epigrammatic form tà àov́vata rapà àp-
 Lc., as Plummer notes, an incident follows (xix. I ff.) which proves that the salvation of the rich is "possible with Gop." On the apparent limitation of God's power by His gooduess and righteousness cf. the remark of Euth.:





28-35. The Reward of those who leaye all for Cimist's safe (Mt. xix. 27-30, Lc. xvii. 28-30).
 то́tє адтокрiteis $\dot{\delta}$ П. єĩtev. The conversation which follows arose out of the previous incident ( $\mathbf{a}_{\pi}$ ккр., cf. ix. 5), yet it struck a new note. It was Peter who characteristically broke in with this fresh question (Mt., Mc., Lc.); cf.
 кai $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta a \lambda_{\epsilon}$ тòy $\lambda$ óyov. The call $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$ ákодои́ $\theta_{\epsilon \iota} \mu о \iota$ reminded him that the sacrifice required from the rich man and withheld had been actually made by himself and his brother. Victor, Euth. : поia пávia,
 Siктvov, тò $\pi \lambda o ̂ ̃ o \nu, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau є ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu, ~ \tau a u ̂ т a ́ ~$

 i. 18,20, ii. 14): Lc., as if to soften the tactless frankness of the speech,
 "we followed, and are following still" is changed into the aor. in Mt., Le.
 ท̆piv; (Mt. only) was left unspoken; that it was in the speaker's mind, tho Lord's answer shews.
29. $\ddagger \notin \eta$ ó 'I $\eta \sigma o u s$ s] Though Peter ouly spoke, the Lord addresses the








 406 akq syrin

Twelve, whose thoughts Peter had interpreted ( $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \gamma$ av̉inois, Mt., Lc.; $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ v́ $\mu \bar{\imath}$, Mt., Me., Le.). The first part of the answer is preserved by Mt. only (v.28, cf. Lc. xxil. 28 ff.), and affects the Twelve only; the common tradition related only what was of importance to all believers.
 sacrifices contemplated embrace all the matcrial possessions included under the three heads of home, relatives, and property; the sacrifieo in life is not at present in view, since none of the Twelve has been called to that as yet. Lc. adds jovaika immediately after oikiav, and omits ajpoús. Of the Twelve, as we know, Simon Peter had left house and wife (i. 29 f.), the sons of Zebedee their father, and Levi at least a lucrative occupation ; cf. Act. Thom. ad fin.

 Philo de vit. cont. p. 50 (ed. Cony-
 үuvaîkas, زoveîs...tàs matpiôas. "H...
 relinquuntur disiunctive enumerantur; quae retribuuntur, copulative"


 Mc.'s phrase has already occurred in viii. 35 , where Mt., Lc. have simply द้̈ยкєу $\epsilon \mu о \hat{v}$ (Dalman, Worte, i. p. 84): perhaps it is an expansion of the
original ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \epsilon \nu{ }^{\prime}$. which was characteristic of Peter's Roman preaching; references to ' the Gospel,' rare in Mt. and altogether wanting in Lc., are fairly frequent in Mc. (i. I, 14, 15 , viii. 35 , x. 29 , xiii. 10, xiv. 9 , [xvi.






 receiving'; for the construction cf. iv. 22, and see Blass, Gr. p. 215. The rough but forcible phrase oủסcis éatav ös... $\dot{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha} \nu}^{\nu \dot{\eta}} \lambda \dot{a} \beta_{n}$ is avoided by Mt . ( $\pi \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ öatis... $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \epsilon \tau a c)$ and corrected by
 ${ }^{\text {'Ekatovtandafioza (2 Regn. xxiv. 3, }}$ Lc. viii. 8, cf. I Chr. xxi. 3 є́катоута$\pi \lambda a \sigma i \omega s)$ is softened by Mt., Lc. into $\pi$ т $\lambda \lambda_{a \pi \lambda a \sigma i o v a ~(D a l m a n, ~ W o r t e, ~}$ i. p. 53). On the reading of $D$ in Mt . (єлтam入aciova) see Nestle, Philol.
 ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \nu \tau \hat{\theta}$ к. т., Lc.; Mt. omits both this and the corresponding $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ aî̀nt $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\vec{\epsilon} \rho \chi$. For кal ós see i. 15, note; $\dot{\delta}$ кaıpòs ov̉ros for $\delta$ aic̀v oûtos is unique, but $\delta \nu \tilde{\nu} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$. is a Pauline phrase (Ront. iii. 26 , vīi. 18 , xi. 5,2 Cor. viii. 13, cf. $\delta$ к. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \prime s$, Heb. ix. g, Westcott); here, as contrasted with $\delta$ aiciv ó $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi$., $\dot{\delta}$ к. ovitos seems to be the present season, the era of the Advent, the opportunity of sacrifice, beyond which






#### Abstract

       V $\Delta I I \Psi$ min $^{\text {mu }}$ me go


spreads the yet limitless age of the rcalised Kingdom. Mc. alone specifies the present rewards, and he describes them in the terms of the sacrifice. Пarépas is omitted, possibly for the reason mentioned in Mt. xxiii. 9 , but каi $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ (if we accept that reading) suffices to shew that the relations enumerated in $v .30$ are not to be understood literally ; cf. Jo. xix. 26 f., Rom. xvi. 13. A moment's reflexion should have saved Julian from his senseless sncer (Theophylact: 'Iou $\lambda_{d}$ -
 gen thinks only of the recompenses of "Paradisc," he loses sight of a distinction which the Lord's promise certainly recognises ( $\epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha a \rho \hat{\varphi}$ тои́т $\varphi$,
 promise was used with still less reason by the Millenarians (Jerome in Mi.). Without doubt the relations which the Lord offers "now in this time" in place of those which have been abandoned for his sake are the spiritual affnities which bind the nembers of the family of GoD (cf. iii. 34 f.). Victor appositely quotes I Tim. v. 2 (he might have added Rom. xvi. 13, Gal. iv. 19): $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ yà $\rho$
 yoveís rois où joveís кai tékva đà oủ тéxya. In D and a few O. L. texts a new sentence begins aftur $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{\varphi}$



 $\psi \in \tau a l$. Metà $\delta t \omega \gamma \mu \circ \hat{u}$ here, it will be seen, strengthens $\dot{a} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, and does not, like $\mu$. $\delta i \omega \gamma \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ in our other authorities, qualify $\lambda \dot{\alpha}_{\eta \eta}$.

As for oikíac and á $\gamma \rho o i$, see 1 Cor. iii. 22 f. That even in this life the compensations of sacrifice are an hondredfold was matter of common experience in the age of the confessors. M $\epsilon \tau \dot{a} \delta \iota \omega \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$ : Mc. only; but cf. iv. 17, where Mt. confirms ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \omega \gamma-$ pô: even in the Sermon persecution is already foretold (Mt. r. Ioff.). Not simply "in the midst of persecutions" (WM., p. 472 ; cf. l'hpht. точтє́́oтc $\delta \iota \omega-$ $\kappa о ́ \mu \in \varphi \sim t$ ), but 'accompanied by' them, cf. Blass, Gr. p. 134; нeтá adds an element which was to temper the compensations of the present, and warns against dreams of unbroken peace (Bengel: "ne discipuli sperarent felicitatem externam"). The qualifying clause is eutirely in the manner of Christ, cf. Jo. xv. 20, xvi. 33. "O
 xii. 32, ó aidy ékeivos, Lc. xx. 35, the age which is to follow the rapovaia. $Z \omega \eta$ aicuvop: cf. the question of $v$. I8, to which the Lord looks back; Mt. makes the reference more distinct by adding $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ$ нор $\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon!$.
 A saying which occurs also in Mt. xx. 16, Lc. xiii. 30 ; Lc. omits it here.






 $\pi a \lambda t \nu \operatorname{syr}^{\mathrm{rimer}^{\text {ier }}} \mid \tau$. $\left.\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa a\right]+\kappa a \tau i \delta \iota a \nu$ arm ${ }^{\text {vid }}$

As it stands it is a rebuke to the spirit which is impelled to the sacrifice by the mere hope of the reward. How much need there was of the warning, the experience of Judas Iscariot and of Simon Peter himself was to shew. Bede: "pide enim Iudam de Apostolo in apostatam versum...vide latronem in cruce factum confessorem. et quotidie videmus multos in laico habitu constitutos magnis vitae virtutibus excellerc, et alios a prima aetate spiritali studio servientes, ad extremum otio torpentes flaccescerc." The Lord's words have a lesson for each successive age of the Church.

32-34 The: Passion foretrold for tife titidd and hast time (Mt. xx. 17-19, "Lc. xviii. 3I-34).
 issue of the journey ( $v .17$ ) now becomes apparent; the road leads to Jerusalem, and to the Cross. 'Avaßaiyodtes (Mt. $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega v \ldots$ àvaßaivetv); the verb is used of any ascent (Gen. xxxv. 3 єis Bat ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$, Num. xxi. 33 osiov rỳ̀ $\operatorname{\epsilon is}$ Bagáv, Jos. viii. I cis 「aí, 3 Regin. xxii. 12 cis 'Рє $\mu \mu a ̀ \theta$ Гa入aá $\delta$ ), butespecially of journeys to Jerusulem (4 Regn. xvi. 5, 2 Esdr, i. 3, 3 Mace. iii. 16 , Jo. ii. I3, v. I, xi. 55, Acts xi. 2, xay. I, 9, Gai. ii. 1), which stands near the highest point of the backbone of Palestine, and caunot be approached from any quarter without an ascent. 'Iє $о \sigma \sigma o ́ \lambda \nu \mu a$ : so Mc., Jo. ${ }^{\text {ev. }}$, Josephus always; 'I 1 oou $\sigma a \lambda \eta^{\mu} \mu$ accurs once in Mt. (xxiii. 27), thrice in the Apocalypse (iii. 12, xxi 2, 10), and
predominates in Lc. and Paul; for the distinction which seems to regulate St Paul's choice see Lightfoot on Gal. iv. 25. 'Iepovaadخं $\mu$ is archaic, and suggests the associations of O.T. history ; 'Iepogó $\nu \nu a$, the Greek equivalent, was the geographical name in common use. For the breathing sco WH., Intr., p. 313.
$\left.\bar{\eta}_{\nu} \pi \rho \circ a \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \nu, \ldots \dot{\phi} \phi \circ \beta \circ \hat{u} \nu \tau 0\right]$ Mc. only. For $\pi \rho \circ a \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \nu$ see vi. $45, ~ x .32, ~ x i . ~ 9, ~$ xiv. 28 , xvi. 7 ; the acc. is frequent after $\pi \rho \circ a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (cf. 2 Macc. x. 1, Mt. ii. 9); but the gen. with or without évórtov is also used (Judith x. 22, Le. i. 17). The Lord walked in advance of the Twelve with a solcmnity and determination which forcboded danger (ef. Lc. ix. ${ }^{1} 1$
 tis ' I є $\rho$ оv $\sigma a \lambda \eta$ ) ), " more intrepidi ducis" (Grotius); see Jo. x. 4. His manner struck awe into the minds of the Twelve, who were beginning at length to anticipate an impending disnster ( $¢$ Ga $\beta$ ßoùvo, of. i. 27, x. 24;
 the rest of the company (oi ठ̀
 who usually hung upon the Lord's footsteps (cf. x. I, 46), or His fellowtravellers on their way to the Passover, were conscious of a vague fear ( $\dot{\phi} \phi \mathrm{o}-$ Boürro). There was risk of a real panic, and the Lord therefore checks His course, till the Twelve have come up to Ilim.
 admitted them again to His company; for $\pi а \rho \alpha \lambda a \beta e i \nu$ in this sense cf, iv. $3^{6}$,

 $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ тоîs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \bar{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ каi тоîs $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \cdot$ каi




v. 40, ix. 2, xiv. 33. Mt. adds kat' i i iap-the words that follow were not intended for the crowd (oi akoдouAoivpecs), but for the Twelve only.


 The subject was not a new one, but it had been dropped for a while, and it was in sharp contrast to the hopes of reward which were uppermost in the minds of the Twelve ( $\mathbf{x} 28 \mathrm{ff}$.). With тà $\mu € \lambda \lambda$. av่ง $\hat{\varphi} \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ cf. Le. xxiv.
 тоитср. The phrase is frequent in the Lxx. (cf. e.g. Gen. xlii. 4, 29, xliv. 29, Job i. 22, Esth. vi. 13, I Mace. iv. 26).

33, 34. iถ̊où avaßaivo $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ кт $\lambda$.] The Twelve shared the journey if not its issue; contrast Jo. xx. I7 àaßaivo $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{̀ v} \tau а \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \mu о v$. Their destination was self-evident ( $\left(\delta 00 v^{\prime}\right.$ ), and there was always risk involved in a journey to Jerusalem (Jo. xi. 8 ff.); but the Twelve had still to learn that this particular journey was to end in the Master's death (kaì ó viós кт入.). The third and final prediction of the Passion which follows is far more explicit than the first or the second (Mc. viii. 31 ff ., ix. 31), and indeed anticipates every important stage in the history. Six successive steps are clearly enumerated, and in their actual order-(I) the betrayal ( $\pi a \rho a \delta о \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ тоîs त̇ $\rho \chi$. к. тоîs үрацц.; the Elders, who were mentioned in viii. 3I, are onitted here, as the least important factor in the Sanhedrin), (2) the sentence of the Sanliedrin (катакрı $\nu=\hat{v} \sigma \nu$ ),
(3) the handing over of the Prisoner to the Roman power ( $\pi a \rho a \delta \delta \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota$ тois $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu)$, (4) the mockery and its details ( $\epsilon \mu \pi a i \xi o v \sigma t y . . . \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \dot{\prime} \sigma o v \sigma \iota y . .$. $\mu a \sigma \tau \tau \omega \sigma \sigma o u \sigma \iota \nu$, (5) the Crucifixion (а่токтєнойбь, Mc., Lc.; cf. Mt. $\sigma \tau a v-$ $p \hat{\omega} \sigma a t$ ), (6) the Resurrection (àa $\alpha \sigma \eta^{\prime}$ -
 The Kesurrection finds a place in all three predictions; of the other details only (2), (5) are distinctly announced in the earlicst prediction, and (1), (5) in the second. Lc. prefaces the whole series by a reference to the Prophets
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \phi \eta \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, cf. Lc. xxiv. 44). For the construction катакрivelv Gaváтф cf. Dan. iv. $34^{\text {a }}$ (LxX.), WM., p. 263, Blass,
 = הַ, Wycliffe, "hethene men"; cf. Ps. ii. I, 8, Isa. Ix. 2, Ezech. iv. I3, Sir. x. 15 f., Bar. ii. 13, I Macc. ii. 18 , Rom. ii. 14 (SH.), 24, Gal. i. 16, ii. 12 , I Tim. iii. i6. The Lord speaks as a Jew to Jews; that He was to be delivered to a heathen power, was no small aggravation of His sentence and of the national sin (cf. xii. 8, Acts iii, I3).
 19, 20, Jo. xix. I, and cf. Isa. 1. 6, Ev.

 punishment of scourging was kept by Pilate in his own hands, the mockery was left to the Procurator's soldiors, but in both cascs Gentiles were the agents; over the mockery He was to sustain at the hands of the High Priest's servants (xiv. 65) and from the chief priests themselves ( $\mathbf{x v} .3 r$ )











the Lord mercifully draws a veil. The order of the R. T. (vv. M.) is probably based on the supposed order of the events (cf. Jo. l.c.). Metà tpeis
 Le.; sec viii. 3I, note. Le. adds that this third prediction, like the second (Mc.ix. 32 ), failed to reach the understandings of the Twelve, notwithstinding its explicitness (aủtoi ovं $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$



35-45. Petition of the Sons of Zebedee. Teaching based on the incluent (Mt. xx. 20-28; cf. Le. xxii. 25 f.).
 Mt. again (cl. xix. 27) fixes the sequence by beginning the sentence with тót . The occasion was peculiarly inopportume, but there is nothing psychologically improbable in this; cf. ix. 30-34. The incident is wanting in Lc. Mt. agrees with Mc. in the dialoguc, but represents the mother of James and John (i.e. Salome, Mt. xxvii. 56, Mc. xy. 40) as the actual petitioner; she was in the company (Mc. l.c.), and though the sons were certaiuly to some extcut responsible (Mt. xx. 20, 22), it is more than probable that maternal ambition prompted their application to our Lord. The recent promise of Mt. xix. 28 would have suggested it; and her near relationship to the Lord (see

Bp Westcott's note on Jo. xix. 25) may have inspired her with some hope
 in the N. T., but fairly frequent in the lax. (cf. e.g. Exod. xxiv, If I Esdr. xx. 28 (29), Sir. xii. 14).
'Iákcßas kaì 'I $\omega$ ávns] The usual order, probally that of seniority (i. 19, note); Lc. however inverts it occasionally (viii.. 51, ix. 28, Acts i. 13), in view of the later pre-eminence of John. Mt. uses the phrase of [ $\delta v_{0}$ ] vioi $z$. without the personal names here and in xxvi. 37 , xxvii. 56; cf. Jo. xxi. 2. Of Zebedee (cf. i. 19) no notice is taken after the parting from his sons; he may have died in the interval, or remained indifferent to the new movement.
 cording to Mt., Salome approaches with her sons, prostrates herself, and intimates that she has a request to
 aúrov̀). Mc., who has for once lost the pictorial details, preserves the words, putting them, however, into the mouth of the sons. Both the homage offcred and the terms of the petition (cf. vi. 23) suggest that the Lord is approached in the character of a King, who can gratify the desires of His subjects without limitation, as indeed in another sense He afterwards declared Himself able to do (Jo. xiv. I3, I4, xv. I6, xvi. 23, 24).








 arm
 ri $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \in i s ;$ Mc. blends the two forms

 note, WM., p. 256. The Lord will not grant the prayer until the thing desired has been specified.
37. Sòs t̀miv iva ктג.] Mt. єins ì iva

 p. 423, Blass, Gr. p. 226. 'Ек $\delta \in \xi \in \omega \hat{\omega} . .$.
 the King on cither hand. The right hand was the place of honour ( 2 Regn. xvi. 6, 3 Regn. ii. 19, 1 Esdr. iv. 29, Ps. cix, (cx.) I, Sir. xii. 12, Acts vii. 55 f., Rom. viii. 34) ; and next to it, the immediato left (Jos. ant. vi. I I. 9 rapa-


 in this phrase denotes the direction'starting from' the right hand (or the left); WM., p. 459. The petition was a bold attempt to raise afresh the question tis $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \mu$ (ix. 34) which the Lord had already dismissed. ${ }^{3} E v \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\delta 0 \xi \eta$ orov: ef. Mt. xix. 28 द́mi $\theta_{\rho}{ }^{2} \nu o u$ dojins avirov. Ephrem thinks that the idea was suggested by the vision of the Transfiguration in which the Lord appeared in glory between Moses and Elijah.
 Mt., who agrees with Mc. (Bede) in representing the answer as addressed to the two and not to the mother. Jerome: "mater postulat et Dominus
discipulis loquitur, intelligens preces eins ex filiorum descendere volunt:ite." With aireiote following airn -

 middle perhaps calls attention to the self-sceking which inspired the request and was its decpest condemnation-
 petition displayed ignorance (ovx oi-

 $a i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ка $\theta \epsilon \in \delta \rho a \nu$ ait $\epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon)$ as well as lack of love; of the latter the Lord had already spoken at length; the former he procceds to expose.
 the petition is sustained in this question. The cup belongs to the royal banquet at which the King sits between His most honoured guests, cf. Gen. xl. 1 If, 2 Regn. xii. 3, 2 Esdr. xii. 1, Esth. 1. 7. But by an easy transition the Lord passes in thought to another set of associations which comnects the winc-cup with the allotted share of joy or suffering which is the portion of men and of nations in the course of their life (Ps. xxii. (xxiii) 5, lxxiv. (Ixxv.) 9, exv. 4 (exvi. 13), Isa. li. 17 ff., Lam. ii. I3, iv. 21, Ezech. xxiii. 3I (f.). What this cup was in the present case both the brethren afterwards learnt in Gethsemane (xiv. 36). Mivety motйрио =
 (I Cor. xi. 28); cf. I Cor. x. 21, xi. 26 f. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ є $\gamma \omega$ c $\pi / \nu \omega$ : the drinking of the cup





  

was cocxtensive with the incarnate lifo on earth, but the Passion is of course cliiefly in view (Mt. ô ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ тivetv). Hilary: "de calice sacramenti passionis interrogat."
 only. The royal baths in which the Herods delighted may possibly be in view, though $\beta$ ámtıбцa and $\beta a \pi r i-$ کopat are preferred to $\lambda_{o u \tau \rho o ́ v ~ a n d ~}^{\text {a }}$ גovo $\mu a$, in order to bring the imagery into line with the thought which is in the Lord's mind. Of a 'baptism' which awaited Him He had already spoken to the Twelve (Lc. xii. 50), and He now reminds the two of it. The metaphorical use of $\beta a \pi \pi i \zeta \in \sigma \theta a i$ is common in the later Gk., e.g. Isa.

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda c \nu$, Plut. Galb. 21 óф $\lambda_{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \sigma \iota$ $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta_{a \pi t \iota \sigma \mu}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ os: and the metaphor itself is among the most usual in the O. T.; the sufferer is regarded as plunged and half-drowned in his gricf or loss, e.g. Ps. xviii. 16, xlii. 7, lxix. Iff., cxxiv. 4 f. A reference to the cleansing virtue of the Cross communicated to the soul in Baptism

 necessary; nor need we suppose an anticipation of St Paul's thought eis
 vi. 3). For the construction $\beta \dot{a} \pi \tau \tau \sigma \mu a$
 xvi. 9 (WM., p. 28ı f.).
39. סuvápe $\theta a]$ A lighthearted and easer roply, which reveals the absence even in a disciple like John of any clear understanding of the

Master's repeated warnings, and at the same time the loyalty of the men who were ready to share the Master's lot, whatever it might be. This trustful $\delta v v a \mu \epsilon \theta a$ however falls short of the meaning of the Lord's $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, which had reference to spiritul power (ix. $23, \mathrm{x} .27$ ); it is a mere profession of moral courage at the best. Contrast
 $\mu$ мѝтí $\mu \epsilon$ (Plil. iv. I3).
$\left.\pi i \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta_{j}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\right]$ This then they shall do, since they have strength for it; they shall share the Master's cup and baptism. The promise was fultilled in the case of both brothers, but in singularly different ways. James, as Origen alrealy points out (in Mi. t. xvi. 6), fell under the sword of Ilerod Agrippa I. (Acts xii. 2); John was condemned by the Emperor to exile in Patmos (Apoc. i. 9). Both suffered with Christ, one as a martyr, the other as a confessor ; one by an early death, the other throughout a long life. The Lord's words are thus seen to assign to these two no more than IIe assigns to all disciples (Mc. viii. 34, Rom. viii. 17, 2 Tin. ii. II ff.). Yet it was natural that in an age of persecution the words should be felt to be peculiarly applicable to martyrdom strictly so called, and this application is early and widespread; cf. Polyc. mart. 14
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#### Abstract

    


 ' $\gamma$ бo.' The passage was regarded as investing martyrdom with a baptismal character, of. the treatisc De rebapt. 14 "homines non solum aqua verum etiam sanguine suo proprio habere baptizari, ita ut et solo hoc baptismate baptizati fidem integram et dignationem sinceram lavacri possint adipisci." For examples of the abuse of the Lord's words by Gnostic sects of the second century, see Iren. i. 2I. 2, Hipp. haer. y. 8. The story of St John's being compelled by Domitian to drink a cup of poison (Tisch. act. App. apocr., p. 269) is possibly a realistic attempt to shew that the words received in his case a literal fulfilment. The same may perhaps be said of the statement said to be due to Papias, that St John as well as St James was slain by the Jews (see Encycl. Bibl. ii., p. 2509 ff.).
40. ті̀ סè каAívat кт入.] The Lord disclains the right to dispose in an arbitrary manner of the higher rewards of the Kingdom, Cf. Thpht.:







 some sense He could not give what was asked, seeing that it belonged to Another to determine whose it should be. Christ is indeed the appointed Distributor of all eternal rewards
(2 Tim. iv. 8, Apoc. xxii. 12), but He will distribute them in accordance with the Father's dispositions. This, which is implied in ois $\dot{\eta}$ roipaorat, is expressed by Mt, who adds vimò toù татро́s $\mu$ ои-a form of words frequent in Mt. (vii. 21, x. 32 f., xi. 27 \&c.) and Lc. (ii. 49, x. 22, xxii. 29, xxiv. 49), but not found in Mc. For érothágety ( $\pi \rho о є \tau о ц \mu$.) in reference to Divine preparations see Dalman, Worte, i. p. Io4 ff., and ef. Ps. vii. 14, xxii. (xxiii.) 5, Mt. xxv. 34, 4I, Le. ii. 31, Rom. ix. 23, 1 Cor. ii. 9, Eph. ii. 1o, 2 Tim. ii. 2r, Heb. xi. 16; it is used, as the exx. shew, either of persons or things, but chiefly, as here, of the latter. Ois jitoíuaбtac involves an $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha \gamma \dot{n}$, but on what the selection turns does not appear. The $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ which precedes does not contrast those to whom the Lord reserves the right of giving the reward with others to whom it is not His to give-which would have been expressed rather by $\epsilon i \mu j$--but those who shall receive with those who shall not; i.e. the true complement of the
 סovval. In the sense which is here in view the Son does not give to any. On the reading ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ors, implied in some of the versions, see Nestle, T. C. p. 37.
41. каi àкоv́бavтes кт入.] If the rest of the Twelve were not present, the report naturally reached them; and it at once revived the spirit of jealousy which had been checked by the teaching of ix. 35 ff , and went far to create a now group in the Apostolate (oi ঠéкк, Mt., Mc.). Hitherto Peter,








James and John had formed a recognised triumvirate; now Peter joins and probably leads the other nine in their iudignation. The bitter feeling was perhaps not expressed in the presence of the two-both Mc. and Mt. use à $\gamma \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} a \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \pi \epsilon \bar{p}$, not dà $\gamma$. кatá (Sap. v. 22)-but it threatened the harmony and spiritual life of the Apostolate, and called for immediate correction. Euth. (in Mt.) : ovีт $\omega$



 Lord called the ten to him, and without referring to the circumstances, pointed out that neither ambition nor jealousy had any place in the brotherhood of the Son of Man. The tone of His words is singularly gentle; the occasion (for there had been great provocation) called for definite teaching rather than for censure.
 begins with matters within their cognisance (cf. x. 19). They knew enough of the Gentile world to be aware that the sort of greatness which they desired was just that which the Gentiles sought. oi סoкoùves äpXєty, 'those who are regarded as rulers,' Mt. ot ä $\rho \chi$ ovtes ; for Mc.'s unusual phrase ef. Gal. ii. 2, 6, 9, with Lightfoot's note (c. Hastings, D. C. G. ii. p. 538 b ),


 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ тòv докойขта аітоктєнєiv, and esp. Sus. 5 (lxx. and Th.) ô è èókovv кv$\beta \in \rho \not a ̣ a y ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda a i ́ v . ~ T h e ~ M a s t e r ~ r e c o g-~$ nised the Empire and other iustitutions
of society as facts belonging to the Divine order of things (xii. 17), but He did not admit that the power of such a ruler as Tiberius was a substantial dignity; it rested on a reputation which might be suddenly wrecked, as indeed the later history of the Empire clearly proved. T $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$, see $v .33$, note (Thpht. : тo áp $\quad$ áşav

 would shrink from following Gentile precedent (cf. Mt. vi. 32). oi $\mu$ cyìiдot aù $\hat{\omega} v$, the great men of the heathen world, the officials and other persons in authority or influence (oi $\mu \mathrm{e} \mathrm{\gamma} \mathrm{t}^{-}$ otâves, vi. 21). These Gentile magnates exercise arbitary rule over their suljects and inferiors, whether as lords paramount (катакvplévováv, Mt., Mc., Vg. dominantur) or as* subordinates (катєछ彑vadágovalv, Mt., Mc.). For катакириє́̇́єv see Gen. i. 28, ix. I, Ps ix. 26, 3 (x. 5, 10), cix. (cx.) 2, Acts xix. I6, and esp. I Pet. v. 3 , where there is possibly a reminiscence of the
 other example is quoted, but ' $\xi$ ovacui$\zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ occurs in Le. xxii. 25, I Cor. vi. 12 , vii. 4 bis , and both verbs doubtless carry the sense of 'fovatia ('derived authority,' cf. i. 22, note).

 13.
 Another order prevails in ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v$, denoting the sphere, WM., p. 483) the new Israel, whoso standards of greatness are wholly unlike those of the Gentile world. Jesus had already inaugurated these new eonditions of social life-






[^80]the true reading is eivriv, not éaraı (see app. crit.)-both by example (c. 45), and precept (ix. 35). The latter He now repeats with some amplification. Scrvice is henceforth to precede greatness, preeminence can only be secured by a true servus servorum Dei. Comp. I Cor. ix. 19, 2 Cor. iv. 5, Clem. R. I Cor. 48 , and for the necessary safeguard of a Christian ambition, see Gal. v. 13; the סountia which ennobles is that of disinterested love, based on absolute submission to God and Christ, and consistent with a true é evevepia. That the Kingdom of God admits of degrees of spiritual greatness is tauglt also in

 $\delta o u \lambda$ os see ix. 35, note, and with $\pi a \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu$ §oûhos cf. I Cor. ix. Ig, 2 Cor. iv. 5 .
 Vg. nam et, see WM., p. 560 . The law of service is recommended by the example of the Head of the race; even the Son of God made its fulfilment the purpose of His life, when He took upon Him the $\mu о \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$ סovidou and became the Son of Man. For ${ }_{\eta} \lambda^{2} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ in reference to the Lord's entrance into the world cf. i. 38, ii. 17 ; it is used also of the Baptist (ix. II ff., Jo. i. 7) regarded as a Divine messenger. The purpose of the Lord's advent was to minister (Lc. xxii. 27, Rom. xv. 8); His life as a whole was
 if He received the services of others
(as of angels, i. 13, women, xy. 41), it was not for this end He came. Nothing could more clearly mark the contrast between the Kingdom which is not of this world (Jo. xviii. 36) and earthly kingdoms as they existed in the days of Christ. The pass. 8taкoveiodal occurs again in 2 Cor. iii. 3, viii. 19 f., but in connexion with the service rendered; for its use with reference to the person who receives service cf. Blass, Gr. p. 184.

кal doûvat $\tau \eta \nu \psi \nu \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \kappa r \lambda$.] Yg. et daret vitam suam redemptionem pro multis; Wycliffe: " and zeue his lyf a3en biyinge for manye" (Tindale, Cranmer, \&c. "for the redemption of many"; A.V., R.V., "a ransom for many ${ }^{2}$ ). The ministry of the Son of Man culminates in the sacrifice of His life. He had required this supreme service from His disciples (viii. 35), and He will be the first to render it. Yet His sacrifice is to be doubly unique. The disciple may lose
 the Master only can give it in the fullest sense (Jo. x. 18, Gal. i. 4, I Tim. ii. 6, Tit. ii. 14). Further, whilst the disciple parts with his hfe for the sake of Christ and the Gospel, the Master gives it as a $\lambda \dot{v} \tau p o \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{i}$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}--H i s$ Death is to be a supreme act of service to humanity. For a full discussion of $\lambda \boldsymbol{\prime} \tau \rho o \nu$ and its cognate words see Westcott, Hebrews, p. 295 f. Aúrpov, which occurs in the Lxx. fairly often (Exod. ${ }^{8}$, Lev. ${ }^{5}$, Num. ${ }^{8}$,




Prov. ${ }^{2}$, Is. ${ }^{1}$ ), and in various senses
 is used in the N.T. only in this context (Mt., Mc.) ; àviduve $o v$, which is a variant for $\lambda \dot{u} \tau \rho \omega \sigma t s$ in Ps. xlviii. (xlix.) 2, appears in I Tim. ii. 6, also in reference to the sacrifice of Christ. In certain cases the Law provided
 price for a life which had been dedicated or lost (Exod. xxi. 30, xxx. 12 ; cf. Num. xxxy. 3 I f.). The Lord contemplates a $\lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \rho o \nu$ which is $\psi u x \grave{\eta}$ arti $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ (Lev. xxiv. I8), His own $\psi v \chi \dot{y}$ (xiv. 34) given as a ransom for the $\psi{ }^{2}$ ai of men. The idea was not unfamiliar to the later Jews, cf. 2 Mace. vii. 37, 38, 4 Mace. i. 11 , and esp. xvii. $22 \ldots \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ àvtivvरov



 סúfocotv: something of this kind was probably in the mind of Caiaphas, Jo. xi. 50, and the disciples may have understood the Lord to say that He was about to offer himself as a victim for the redemption (Lc. ii. 38, xxiv. 21) of Israel. ' ' $n \nu \tau i \operatorname{mo\lambda \lambda \omega \nu }$ : St Panl

 2). For the present the Lord is content with the less definite statement, which if it does not involve, certainly does not exclude the other. Jerome's comment " non dixit...' pro omnibus,' sed 'pro multis,' id est, pro his qui credere voluerint" is quite unwarranted; cf. Rom. v. 12, I5, 18. 'Avit' belongs to the inagery of the $\lambda$ úrpov, cf. viii. $37 \dot{\alpha} v \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a \operatorname{\tau } \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{p} s$, and Mt. v. 38 , xvii. 27 ; elsewhere v $\frac{1}{} \epsilon \rho$ is used in this connexion (xiv. 24, Jo. xi. 50 f., xvii. 19, xviii. 14, Rom. v. 8, xiv. 15 , I Cor. i. I3, xy. 3, 2 Cor. v.

15, Gal. i. 4, ii. 20, Eph. v. 2, 25, I Thess. v. Io, I Tim. l.c., Tit. ii. I4, Heb. ii. 9, x. 12, I Pet. ii. 21, iii. I8, I Jo. iii. 16), or cven $\pi \in \rho^{\prime}(\mathbf{1}$ Jo. ii. 2, and as a variant in several of the passages cited for $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ ). For an carly expansion of $\lambda \dot{́} \tau \rho o \nu$ aivтi $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ see the beautiful passage in Ep. ad Diogn. ix. 2.

46-52. Pasbage timougit Jerioho. Bhind Bartimaets Restored to Steht (Mt. xx. 29-34, Lc. xviii. 35-43).
 the modern et-Taiyibeh is the site of Ephrain (Jo. xi. 54), the place of the Lord's last retirement (see note on x. I), a road still "marked by Roman pavement" (G. A. Smith, $H$. G., p. 269 n .) led straight from the spot to Jericho. The travelier from Ephraim who reached Jericho by this road would enter through a gate on the $N$. side of the city, and in order to proceed to Jerusalem, he would cross to the west gate:
 (Mt., Mc.), єiбє $\lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \delta व \not \rho_{\chi} \in \tau \sigma$ (Lc.). Jericho is mentioned in the Gospels only here and in the parable of Lc. x. 30 ff ., but the Lord and His disciples had doubtless passed through it before, perbaps more than once, when journeying to Jerusalem ; the journey to Bethany from Pcraca (Jo. x. 40, xi. 1, 7, 17) must at least have led Him past the town. Now however He enters with a crowd of followers (Le. xviii. 36), as a great Rabbi on His way to the Passover ; and His passage through the city bears the character of an ovation. "E $\rho$ रovтat, the 'historic' present (Hawkins, H. S., p. If).

The Jericho of our Lord's time (Lxx. (B) and N.T. ' $I_{\epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \chi{ }^{\omega} \text {, WhI., }}$

#  ó $\chi \lambda o v$ iк $\alpha \nu o \hat{v}$ ó viòs Tçaíov Bapтiцаîos, тvф入òs 


#### Abstract

   pro АСХГПI $\Sigma \Phi \min ^{\text {p1 }}$


Notes, p. 155; Josephus, 'Iepcxoves or 'If $\rho \iota \chi \omega$ ', gen. -ov̀s, represented by the modern er Riha) was about five miles W. of the Jordan and fifteen N.E. of Jerusalen, near the mouth of the Wady Kelt, and more than a mile south of the site of the ancient town. The fertility of the climate and soil, described in glowing terms by Jos. B. J. viii. 3, attracted Herod the Great and Archelaus, who adorned it with public buildings and a palace. Under the Procurators it seems to have been held by a Roman garrison (B. J. ii. I8. 6). Yet the town was not given over to a Hellenistic population like the cities of the Decapolis, or the neighbouring Phasaelis; Priests and Levites from Jerusalem found their way thither (Le. x. $3^{I}$ f.), and the Lord, who seems never to have entered Tiberias, did not hesitate to be a guest at a house in Jericho (Lc. xix. 5). His arrival there marks another distinct stage in the journey to the Cross; by publicly entering Jericho He places Himself in the power of the Procurator and the Great Sanhedrin.

кай є́кторєиоре́vои à̉той ктд.] Similarly Mt. Both Mt. and Me. omit the striking story of Zacchaeus (Lc. xix. 2-Io), which appears to have had no place in the common tradition. Further, they both differ from Lc. with regard to the time and place of the miracle (Lc. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$
 Augustine's suggestion (de cons. ev. ii. I26) "duo similia similiterque miracula fecisse Iesum" is not recommended to the modern student by the alternative "mentiri evan-
gelium"; the trustworthiness of the Gospels is now seen to be maintained and not impeached by a frank recognition of their independence in details. In the present instance the statement of Mc., which is in every way fuller and more precise, is probably to be preferred to that of Le. "OXגov iкадov:
 ö́रдоs тоди́s. 'Iкауós = тодús, here only in Mc., is frequent in Lc. (Ev.e, Acts ${ }^{16}$, and occurs occasionally in the later books of the Canon (Hab. ii. 13 дaoì iкavoi... $\epsilon \theta \nu \eta \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́$, Zach. vii. 3
 the word was used in this sense by the comic poets, and in colloquial and the later literary Greck.
óviòs Tıaiov Bapripaios] Mc. only. Bengel is doubtless right in inferring: "notus apostolorum tempore Barti-

 v. 22, xiv. 3, xv. 21. The Greek name Típaıos, familiar as that of the interlocutor in the Timaeus of I'lato, probably covers an Aramaic name, which also underlies the patronymic Baptıpaios. According to Jerome (interpr. hebr. nom., ed. Lagarde, p. 66), the true form of the latter word is Barsemia, filius caecus ( $<$, mss. lend no support to this reading. Baptıpaios suggests טִp may be either an adjective 'unclean' or a personal name. In cither case the accent ought probably to follow the analogy of Bap才odo $\quad$ aios (Bengel's "proparoxytonon ut ipsum Típaios" rests upon the assumption that Bapr. is compounded of Báp, Tíuaus).





#### Abstract

 $\min ^{\text {fereumn }}$ emaitwl (item post od.) (D) $n^{p e}$ : nendicans abedffiq vg syrr go aeth   min $^{\text {pl }} \mid$ om Inoou $\Psi$


It must be admitted that we should have expected Mc. to write Baprıpaios, of évety vios Tıuaiou (cf. iii. 17, vii. i I, 34, xiv. 36); yet see v. 47 viè Daveì 'Incoi. Both the Sinaitic Syriac and the Peshitta read "Timaeus son of
 had written Tipauas ó viòs Tcuaíov, but this may be due to the difficulty of rendering the Greek into Syriac exactly without iteration. On the whole question see Nestle, Marg. p. 83 ff., and in Hastings, D. B. iv., p. 762 ; and Schmiedel in Encycl. Bibl. i., s.v. Bartimatus.
 late word (Plutarch, Lucian), found also in Jo. ix. 8; Lc. uses є̇maıtєì here and in xvi. 3 , and aì $\epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu \dot{e} \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$

 after a verb of rest see WM., p. 503, Blass, Gr. p. 138. Probably Bartimaeus had his seat on the high road just outside the wall, so as to attract the attention of all who passed in and out of the gate. Mt., who agrees with Mc. against Lc. as to the locality, differs from both in representing two men as subjects of the

 where Mc. has äp $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ and Lc. divip $\tau t s$; in is. 27 Mt . records another miracle in which two blind men are healed. See note on $\begin{aligned} \\ 2\end{aligned}$. Thpht., following Aug, suggests:




This is possible, but in such cases the student may well be content to note the apparent discrepancy in the two traditions. If he must harmonise, he will be wise to follow Tatian (Hill, Diatess., p. 167), in constructing his narrative on the basis of Mc. See the curious fusion of this narrative with that of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{o}}$. ix. in Eo. Nicod. c. vi.
 The tramp of many feet (Lc. ${ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda \begin{gathered}\text { ov }\end{gathered}$ statopevonéyov) told him that something unusual was happening; and in answer to his enquiries (Lc. é itupधánero тí єï тoùro) he learnt that Jesus was passing (Mt. тарáyєє, Lc. тарє́ $\rho \chi є \tau a \iota$ ). 'O Najapquós, Lc. ó Na̧wpaîos: on the distribution of the two forms in the N. T. see i. 24, note, and on the origin of the latter form cf. Dalman,

 on).
vié $\Delta a v e i ́ \delta$ кт入.] Kúpıє, vié $\Delta$. Mt, 'Iqซov, viè $\Delta$. Lc. Cf. Mt. ix. 27, xy. 22 ; in Mc., Lc. vios $\Delta a v e i \delta$ as an appellative occurs here only. Bengel: "magna fides, quod caecus filium Davidis adpellat quem ei Nazoraeum praedicabat populus." The use of the term reminds the reader that the Lord is now on Judaean soil. Once indced the identification of Jesus with the Son of David had been suggested in Galilee (ML. xii. 23), but the cry does not seem to have been taken up. At Jerusalem all Jews thought of David as their father, and of Messiah as the Son of David in an especial sense (xi. Io, xii. 35, Jo. vii.
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42 ; cf. Dalman, Worte, i. p. 262); for the sources of the latter belief sec note on xii. 35.

The petition "O Son of David," \&c. in the English Litany of 1544 , had been nsed in some mediaeval devotions (Blunt, Ann. PB., p. 234), but the corresponding versicle in the third Sarum Litany for St Mark's Day had Fili Dei vivi and not Fili David. The Kyrie eleison of both East and West is due to the Psalter of the lxx. (Ps. vi. 2, ix. 13, \&c.) and not directly to the present context; see Intr. to the O. T. in GK., p. 473 .
 monstrance came, Lc. says, from the crowd in front (oi mpoá $\gamma$ outes, cf. xi. 9 ), i.e. the man began his litany before Jesus Himself had reached the spot. The cry spoilt the harmony of the triumph. Why should this beggar force his misery on the attention of the great Prophet? Victor: oúк émt-

 indignant $\sigma \iota \omega ́ \pi a$ (Mt., Mc., $\sigma i \gamma a \mathrm{Le}$.), was general ( $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i, ~ M c ., ~ o ́ ~ o ̈ \chi \lambda o s, ~$ Mt.). But it seemed only to add vigour to the reiterated è é $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma o \nu$
 ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \kappa \rho a \xi a v, \mathrm{Mt}$.).



iii. 24 f. The procession was stopped, and the call was passed on to the front till it reached Bartimaeus. Reproofs were at once changed into worts of encouragement, which Mc. alone has preserved in a Greek sentence, the music of which caught the fancy of Longfellow. Өápoci, Vg. animaequior esto; cf. Gen. xxxv. 17, Exod. xiv. 13, xx. 20, 3 Regn. xvii. 13 ( $=$ N \&c., Mt. ix. 2, 22, xiv. 27 (Mc. vi. 50), Jo. xvi. 33, Acts xxiii. ri. St Paul ( 2 Cor. ${ }^{5}$ ) and Heb. ${ }^{1}$ write aapן $i v$, and this form occurs also in Prov.
 Bar. iv. 2I B ( $\theta a \rho \rho \epsilon \bar{\tau} \epsilon$ ), 27 B ( $\theta a \rho \rho \eta \eta^{-}$ гатє), 4 Macc. xiii. ı 1 , xvii. 4 ( $\theta$ áppєь). In view of the last four references it is precarious to lay stress on the circumstance that in the N. 'I'. $\theta a p \sigma$. is limited to the imperative. \$avé $\sigma \epsilon$ : so the Lord's $\phi \omega \nu \eta$ jarate is rightly interpreted by those who execute it. He calls through the voices of His messengers.
50. $\quad \delta \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ à $\pi о \beta a \lambda \omega \nu . . . a ̀ \nu a \pi \eta \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a c \ldots$
 is thrown aside in his haste; cf. 4 Regn. vii. 15 iठò̀ тẫa ${ }^{\eta}$ í óò̀s

 $\mathbf{a} \pi \circ \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu=九 \pi{ }^{\prime} v \tau a \ldots \tau \rho \in \chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ : the point is missed in the tame $\epsilon \pi \beta \beta \square \omega^{\prime} \nu$ of the Syr. ${ }^{\sin .}$ and one of the cursive mss. (vy. ll.). 'A ${ }^{2} a \pi \eta \delta \dot{a} \nu$ is ${ }^{\delta} \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in the










N. T., but occurs in I Regn. xx. 34 (미), xxy. ro, Tob. ${ }^{4}$ Esth. ${ }^{1}$; cf. Acts
 whole context cf. Luc. Catapl. 15 '่ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$

 Acc. to Lc. the blind man was led by

51. тi ool $\theta$ édess $\pi o u \eta \sigma \omega ;$ ] For the construction see x. 36 , note, and for ri $\pi$ orfíco cf. xy. 12; on the position of aoc see Blass, Gr. p. 288. Obvious
 the Lord will lave the want specificd. faßßovvєi, iva àvaß入є́ $\psi \omega]$ Mt. кúple,

 the Aramaic original of the ки́pıs: of. ix. 5, note. The form paßßovvei appears again in Jo. xx. 16, where see Westcott's note, with which compare Dalman, Worte, i. p. 279; on the broadening of the second vowel cf. Dahman, Gr. p. 140 n. and Worte, i. p. 267. The Syriac versions have
 (hel.); Syr. ${ }^{\sin }$ has, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ again in Jo. l.c., Syr. ${ }^{\text {cr. }}$ is unfortunately wanting in both passages. The English versions before Rheims and A.V. render

 $\pi \in \epsilon$ 'to recover sight' see Tob. xi. 8 (א), xiv. 2, Isa. xlii. 8 ( $=$ hiph. of נבט), and in the N. T., Mt. xi. 5, Jo. ix.
 to the blind was a prerogative of the

Son of David (Is. Jxi. I, Lc. iv. 18, vii. 22). To duaphé $\psi \omega$ Tatian and Syr. ${ }^{\text {cu. (Att., Le.) }}$ add "that I may see Thee"; cf. Hill, Diatess., p. 167 n.
 Lc. à ád ${ }^{\beta} \lambda є \psi{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \kappa \tau \lambda$. Mt., who omits the words, adds the customary sign :
 The eulogistic $\dot{\eta} \pi$. бov кт $\lambda$. seems to have been reserved for cases of more than ordinary faith; see Mt. ix. 22, Mc. v. 34, Lc. vii. 50 . In such passages $\sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \epsilon t v$ probably includes the deeper sense; see $\mathbf{V} .34$, note. All the Evangelists note that the cure was immediate (Mc. $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta_{\nu}^{\prime} s$, Mt. $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \in \omega s$, Lc. $\pi a \rho a \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a)$-a contrast to the method employed in more than one other case of blindness (viii. 23 f., Jo. ix. 6 ff). Ephrem: "o felicem mendicum qui manum extendens ut ab homine obolum acciperet, dignus habitus est nt donum a Deo acciperct."

каi गेкодог $\theta \epsilon \iota$ ктд.] Bartimaeus, no lunger blind or a begarar, joins the crowd of followers "in the way," i.e. on the road to Jerusalem (Bengel).
 the words of some well-known Psalm (cf. cxiv. (cxlvi.) 8), which may have been taken up by the crowd (Lc. $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ as $\dot{\delta} \lambda a o ̀ s \epsilon \in \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ aivoy $\tau \bar{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi})$. For an admirable honiletic use of the story





##  









 тои $\beta \lambda є ́ т є \iota \nu . . . \sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \nu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota ~ o ́ ~ \sigma \omega-$


 $\lambda о и \forall \dot{j} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ аи่т $\hat{\varphi}$.
XI. i-II. Solemn Entri into the Precinot of the Temple (Mt. xxi. 1-1 I, Lc. xix. 29-45, Jo. xii. ı, 12-19).
 road from Jericho (cf. Lc. x. 30) up the Wady Kelt has brouglit the party to the East slope of the Mount of Olives, within three miles of Jerusalem ; for é $\gamma \boldsymbol{i} i \zeta \epsilon \omega$ eis cf. Tob. vi. 6, Io ( $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ ), Le. xviii. 35 ; the dat. is also used, Acts ix. 3, x. 9. According to
 пá $x_{\chi a}$, i.e. probably Nisan 8, the eve of the Sabbath (cf. Lewin, fast. sacr. p. 230; Westcott on Jo. xii. 1).

єis B $\begin{aligned} & \theta \phi \text { ау̀ каì B } \eta \theta a v i a v] ~ M t . ~ \epsilon i s ~\end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{B} \eta \theta \phi$ ay'. More exactly, the spot they approached was not Jerusalem, but the villages nearest to the eity on the Jericho road; for the repeated cis, the second limiting the first, cf. o. in, єis 'I. єis тò icpóv. Bethphage (v. l., Bethsphage) has not been identified, but the Talmud (Neubauer, p. 147 fi.) mentions a בית באית בית (or Dalman, Gr. p. 152) which seems to have been near Jerusalem; cf. Eus.





יִּ, Neubauer, p. I49f.) is the modern el 'Azariyeh, the Lazarium of the fourth century (Silvia, p. 57 : "Lazarium, id est, Bethania, est forsitan secundo miliario a civitate"). The village lies in a sheltered and fruitful hollow, of which a picturesque description will be found in Stanley, $S$. and $P$., p. 186 ff . As to the meaning of the names, Jerome gives for Bethphage domus oris valium, vel domus bucae or (tr. in Mc.) d. maxillae ("Syrun est," he says, "non Hebraeum"), and for Bethany domus adflictionis eius vel d. oboedientiae (בֵּית
 nects them respectively with the fig ( $\square^{\prime}$ 导, Cant. ii. 13 , but see Buxtorf, sub v.) and the date, which certainly were grown in the neighbourhood.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o ̀ ~ o ̈ \rho o s ~ т o ̀ ~ ’ Е \lambda a \iota \omega ́ \nu] ~ C f . ~ c i s ~ т \grave{o}}$ öpos, iii. 13 , vi. 46 , ix. 2, xiv. 26 ; $\pi \rho$ ós $_{s}$ with the acc. expresses motion towards, as in i. 5 , iv. 3 , I3, 32 , \&c.; the Mount was the object immediately in view as they approached. The hill to the Fast of J erusalem is called in the O.T. "the olive-trees" (2 Regn. xv. 30), "the mountain of the olive-trces" (Zach. xiv. 4), or simply" the mountain" (2 Esdr. xviii. ${ }^{5}$ ). In the N.T. тó öpos r $\hat{\nu} \nu$ è $\lambda a t \omega \nu$ predominates (Mt. ${ }^{3}$, Mc. ${ }^{2}$, Lc. ${ }^{2}$ ) ; but the hill is also known as ó èauav, " the olive-grove" (Acts i .
 where Blass corrects é $\lambda a t \hat{\omega} \nu$ in defiance of the mss.); cf. Jos. ant. vii. 9. 2 ápaßaivoиtos aủroû óà̀ toû 'Eגauầos öpous. As late as the fourth century the name 'Eגatón seens to have lingered





[^81]on the spot, for Silvia (p. 70) gives it as an alternative to the Latin olicetum, and indeed appears to prefer Eleon. These facts lend a high probability to the reading of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{\Sigma}) \mathrm{kr}$ in the present context, and tempt us to prefer 'E ${ }^{\prime} a \omega^{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ to è $\lambda a t \omega \hat{\nu}$ in Lc. xix. 29, xxi. 37 ; cf. Deissmann, Bible Studies, p. 208 ff., where the objections raised by Blass (Gr. p. 85) are sufficiently answered, For the distance of the Mount of Olives from the city see Acts i. i2, of
 óóv. Jo. xi. 18 ws àmò $\sigma \tau a \delta i \neq \nu$ סєка-
 тє́tт. Bethphage was one of the limits of the Sabbatic zone round the city.
àтобтé $\lambda$ дє кт $\lambda$.] According to Jo. (xii. I, 12) this occurred on the morrow ( $\tau \hat{\eta}$ द́тav́poov) after the arrival at Bethany, the events of Mc. xiv. 3-9 having intervened (Jo. xii. 28); see note on Mc. xiv. 3. 'Ало$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, 'gives them a commission to execute" (iii. i4 note, vi. 7). $\Delta$ ve $^{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ $\mu a \partial \eta \tau \omega \nu$, probably one of the six pairs which made up the A postolate, cf. vi. 7, Le. х. ; on the other hand cf. xir. 12, note. The Baptist also seems to have arranged his disciples in pairs, cf. Le. vii. 19, Jo. i. 35. The minuteness of Mc.'s account suggests that Peter was one of the two selected on this occasion.
 ing to John the Lord was now on His way from Bethany to Jerusalem, the village was probably Bethphage (cf. Mt. xxi. I), which seems to have been
on the opposite side of the ascent; for katévaytc (לִכְ Num. xvii. 4 (19), Mc. xii. 41 , xiii. 3 .
 cf. i. ıo; Mt. is content with cu' $\hat{U}^{\prime}$ s, Le. with eioroop.; the combination in Mc. is characteristically precise. $\Pi \bar{\omega} \lambda o \nu$
 каi $\pi \bar{\omega} \lambda o v \mu \epsilon \tau^{*}$ aúrj̄s. Hềos may be the young of any animal; the Greek naturally used it for the most part of the horse, the Greek-speaking Jew of the ass ; cf. Gen. xxxii. 15 (I6), xlix. II, Jud. x. 4, xii. 14, Zech, ix. 9. Mt. who quotes Zech. l. c. (xxi. 4 ff.) fills in the picture from the prophecy; in Jo. (xii. 15) on the other hand the prophecy is slightly modified to bring it into correspondence with the event; Mc. and Lc. simply state the facts. The foal was unbroken, had never been ridden (Mc., Le.), as befitted an animal consecrated to a sacred purpose (Num. xix. 2, Deut. xxi. 3; cf. Hor. epod. ix. 22, Verg. georg. iv. 540). The Lord was born of one who äpojpa oúk $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \omega$ (Lc. i. 34), and was buried
 xxiii. 53). His choice of an animal not ridden by any before Him is another of those claims to uniqueness which contrast forcihly with His usual condescension to the cireumstauces of an ordinary human life. It is arbitrary to refer the clause ' $\dot{\prime} \phi$ ' ${ }^{\circ} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. to the narrator (Gould). Av́бaтє...каi $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ : the aorist and present imperatives are both appropriate, cf. WM., p. 393 f.











 Lord provides against a possible difficulty. The proceeding seemed high-handed, and if it was witnessed by any, the objection would certainly be raised Tí $\pi$ оєє $i \tau \varepsilon$ тойто $;=$ Lc. $\delta \iota a ̀$ tit $\lambda$ v́єтє; For answer they were instructed simply to state that the Master (os xúptos, cf. Jo. xiii. 13)

 $=\pi \underline{\underline{e n}}$ Dan. iii. 16 (Lxx. and Th.); for the construction cf. ii. 17, xiv. 63 , Jo. xiii. 29, Heb. v. 12, Apoc. xxi. 23, xxii. 5. Wycliffe : "seic se that he is nedeful to the Lord." The words have reference chiefly to the didactic purpose which the Lord had in view; cf. Jerome ad l., and Victor:



 tullian (de coron. 13) remarks quaintly but suggestively: "dominus tuns obi ...Hierusalem ingredi voluit nee asinom habit privatum."
 The animal is not to be detained longer than the occasion requires; the Master will send him back to Bothphage as soon as He has reached Jerusalem. In Mt. the sentence has taken quite another turn ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\theta} \dot{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 (vii( $\omega \nu$, and the harmonisers have
imported this into Mc.'s text; see w. Il. Field, Notes, p. 34 f., offers some defence of the R. T. on internal grounds which are not conwincing. Mt. adds here a reference to Zech. ix. 9, in which he sees a prophecy of the present incident (cf. note on $v .2$ ).
 каӨ̀̀s єỉtev aủroís. For other examples of this supernatural knowledge of circumstances of. xiv. 13, Mt. xvii. 27, Jo. i. $4^{8}$. While they fall short of a logical proof of omniscience (Gore, Dissertations, p. so f.), they must be allowed due weight in any estimate of the powers of the Sacred Humanity (Mason, Conditions, p. 157 ff.). In Mc. the coincidences between the Lord's anticipations and the event appear in detail (vo. 4-8). The foal was tied up тоо̀s $\theta \dot{\text { úpav }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \omega$, at (here nearly = $\pi \rho$ òs $\quad$ vi pa, of. Class, Gr. p. 139) a hoase-door, but outside, not in the house, but in the street. For $\theta$ ipa a house-door, see Gen. xix. 6, $9, \mathrm{Mc}$. i. 33, ii. 2, and for ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega^{\prime}$ 'out of doors,' iii. 31 f., Le. xiii. 25, xxii. 62, Jo. xviii. 16. 'E $\pi i$ tau ar $\mu \phi$ ódou, Vg. in bivio, whence Wycliffe "in the meeting of tweye weyes,"Tindale, A.V." in a place where two ways met": R.V. "in the open street." "A $\mu \phi$ odoy occurs in Jer. xvii. 27, xxx. 16 (xix. 27), as the equivalent of have papers, but the Greek lexico-
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 ANXII min ${ }^{\mathrm{pl}}$ lattrtpl gg
graphers explain the word by dyvó, 8toסos, $\rho \dot{v} \mu \eta$ and the like: cf. Epiphanius cited by Wetstein: ả $\mu \phi o ́ \delta \omega \nu$

 * A $\mu \phi$ ofon occurs again in the D text of Acts xix. 28 (סjoapóvтes cìs tò
 note. Av́ovaty aùróv: cf. v. 2, and for other examples of this use of $\lambda \dot{v} \in \nu$ see Le. xiii. 15 , Apoc. ix. 14 f.
 Idlers hanging about the lanes in the outskirts of the village, cf. Mt. xx. 3,6 ; for the phrase see $\mathrm{ix} . \mathrm{I}$, xv. 35. According to Le. they were the owners (oi kúpiot), which is probable enough ; they had tied up the animals while they enjoyed the gossip of the street. That they were satistied with the answer ' 0 кípos aviroù $\chi \rho$. ё́ $\chi \epsilon$ кт入. need cause no surprise; the Master was well known in the neighbourhood, and His disciples had been with Him before on a memorable occasion (Jo. xi. 7 ff ). The promise to return the animal at once could be trusted; for the present it was not required by the owners, and they might well be proud that it should be used by the Prophet. So they let the two go off (à $\phi \tilde{\eta} \kappa a v a v i z o v{ }^{\prime}$ ) with the foal. It is quite unnecessary


 тои̃то; ข. 3): ef. Acts xxi. 13, with Blass's note, and WM., p. 76 I .

 foal, being yet unbroken, had no trappings (Gen. xxii. 3, Num. xxii. 21, 2 Regn. xvii. 23, 3 Regn. ii. 40, xiii. 13 ff .) and as a substitute for the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i-$ баунa (Lev. xv. 9), some spare clothing ( $\tau$ à í $\mu \mathrm{a}$ тa, cf. v. 28,30 ) was hastily

 and the Lord took His seat-for Le.'s è $\pi \epsilon \beta i \not i \beta a \sigma a y$ тò̀ 'I $\bar{\eta} \sigma o \hat{v} \nu$ can scarcely be understood literally-the rope with which the foal had been tied serving for bridle. As Jerome remarks, Mt.'s $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ v \omega$ avi $\hat{\omega} \nu$ cannot be taken strictly, and he seeks a solution in allegory ("cum historia vel impossibilitatem habeat vel turpitudinem, ad altiora trausmittimus"). There can be little doubt that MLT.'s form of the story is coloured by the details of the prophecy which he quotes (see note on 0.2 ); Mc. on the other hand records the simple facts.
 perhaps suggested by the use of iцára for the saddling of the foal. Other disciples, not to be outdone, stripped off their quadrangular wraps and carpeted the bridle path, and the ontlusiasm spread to a crowd












 eis tò tapeior. Le. represents the action as repeated along the line of progress (порєvopépov $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ av̀roũ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon-$
 All the commentators refer to Robinson, Researches in Palestine, i. p. 473, ii. p. 162 for an illustrative incident; an O.T. parallel will be found in 4 Regn. ix. 13.

 $\Sigma \tau \iota \beta$ áôts (from $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \beta \omega$-the form $\sigma$ rotBás (R.T.) is incorrcet, see Fritzsche, though $\sigma$ roik' occurs in the Lxx.), Vulg. frondes, Wycliffe "bowis or braunchis," is a litter of leaves or other green stuff from the meadows or trees; cf. the Schol. on Theocr. vii. 67 cited by Wetstein: ar. Bé éart
 uses the pl. for the materials of the litter-boughs, long grass, \&c., collectcd from the cultivated lands ( $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \rho \omega \bar{p}$, of v . 14 , vi. $36,56, \mathrm{x} .29$ f.) on either side of the path. The word is fairly distributed in class and later Gk. (cf. e.g. Plato, resp. 372 B ; Philo, de vit. cont., ed. Conybcare, p. 109), but án. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in the Lxx. and N.T.; Aq. uses it in Ezech. xlvi. 23 for חự, which he perhaps understands as sheepfold enclosures constructed of interwoven
 Baía $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фоиíx $\omega \nu$ seems to refer to another concourse which came from

Jerusalem: see next note. The triumph of Judas Maccabacus (1 Macc. xiii. 5I) may have been in the thoughts of many.
9. oi троáyovtes каì oi ảкодєvOovives] So Mt. For the contrast ef. x. 32 f. On this occasion the Lord seems to have been in the middle of two crowds (oi...kaì oi...WM., p. 160); see Stanley, S. and P., p. 191: "two vast streams of people met on that day. The one poured ont from the city...from Bethany [and Bethphage] streamed forth the crowds who had assembled there on the previous night. ...The two streams met midway. Half the vast mass turning round preceded; the other half followed." If this suggestion is accepted, oi mpoáyoutes are the Galileans from Jerusalem (Jo. xii.
 $\dot{\dot{\epsilon}} \mathbf{o \rho t \eta ^ { \prime }}$, cf. Westcott's note), who bring

 or on the western slope of Olivet; whilst of áko $\lambda_{o v} \theta_{0}$ ouves are the villagers who strew the path with garments and foliage. Jerome allegorises: "qui sunt qui praecedunt? patriarchae et prophetae. qui sequuntur? apostoli et gentilium populus. sed et in praccedentibus et in sequeutibus una vox Christus est; ipsum laudaut, ipsum voce consona concrepant."
ékpa̧ov 'Sanana'] The cry rose again and again. It began $\pi \rho \dot{o} s ~ \tau \hat{g}$ катаBácet qov̂ öpous, as the 'city of David' came into view: see Stanley, $S$.




#### Abstract

    oup. к. $\delta . \epsilon \nu \nu \psi . \omega \sigma . \epsilon \nu \nu \psi .251$ syr hel(txt)


and $P$., p. igo. 'Soavý represents
 סrj), in the Aramaic form Nwein; see Kautzsch, p. 173, Dalman, Gr. p. 198, for the breathing cf. WH., Intr., p. 313; other views of the derivation of the word are discussed by Cheyne in Encycl. Bibl. s. v.; cf. Thayer in Hastings D.B.ii. p. 418 f. Ps.exviii., whether it celebrates the triumph of Judas Maccabacus (Cheyne, Origin of the Psalter, p. 16), or the dedication of the Second Temple (Delitzsch, Westcott), was intimately connected in the minds of all loyal Jews with the hope of national restoration, and its liturgical use at the Feast of the Tabernacles (cf. J. Lightfoot on MLt. xxi. 9; the seventh day of the Feast is still called "the Great ILosanna," Taylor, Teaching, p. 79), and at the Passover in the Hallel, rendered its words doubly familiar. It appears that the palm-branches which were carried in procession round the altar (Ps, cxviii. 27, cf. Cheyne, Psalms, p. 315 ff.) were waved at the words הוֹשִׁיעה (J. Lightfoot, l.c., Edersheim, Temple, p. 19 ff .); so that the palms of the $\pi \rho o \alpha^{\prime} o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ may have suggested the use of this cry. The addition of $\boldsymbol{r} \hat{\theta}$ vị̆ $\Delta a v \epsilon i \not \subset(M t$.$) , if it was made at$ the time, pointed to Jesus as the Messiah through whom the salvation of Israel was expected. But wiv. $\tau \dot{\varphi}$ viê $\Delta$. was apparently an early liturgical form in Jewish-Christian churches (Didache ro), and may have been introduced in this way into the evangelical tradition; it is worthy of note that Mc. and Jo. agree to omit $\tau$.
viô $\Delta$. here. For an early Christian interpretation of Hosama see Clem.


 $\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha y$ á. Cf. Thayer in Hastings, l.c.
 Ps. cxriii. 26 (Lxx.); Lc. alone inserts $\dot{\delta}$ 阬ideús. In the P'salm the words are clearly a solemn welconse to the pilgrim, Israelite or proselyte, who comes up to worship at the Feast-the accents of the Heb. shew that nected with 7 구T-the blessing in the Name of the Lord (Num. vi. 27, Deut. xxi. 5) is invoked upon every such visitor (cf. Perowne ad l.). But the words (as the next verse will shew) are used with some perception that this
 deeper sense; cf. Mt. xi. 3, Jo. iii. 3I, xi. 27.
 This clause, preserved by Mc. only, is possibly the origin of the liturgical additiou to Hosanna (see on $v, 9$ ), and also of Le.'s $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \epsilon$ ús (Lc. xix. $3^{8}$ ). It is a comment on the words of the Ps., due perhaps to a few among the crowd who realised more fully than the rest the meaning of this reception of the Galilem Prophet. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \beta a \sigma \iota$ גcia may have been suggested by the Lord's frequent phrase if $\beta$. тô $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, or by the knowledge that He had taught Ilis disciples to pray
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta$ ( $\operatorname{not}$ тỗ viov $\Delta$.) betrays the limitations which still beset their highest hopes. To what cxtent the




Pharisaic conception of the Messianic kingdom admitted of spiritual ideas may be learnt from Pss. Sal. xvii., xviii. (cf. Ryle and James, Intr., p. Ivi. ff.).
 $=$ = in the Lxx, of Job xvi. ig, xxxi. 2, Ps. lxxi. 21, cxlviii. I; in the N. T. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{e} \nu(\tau a i s)$ iv $\psi \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau o u s$ occurs only in this context and Le. ii. I4, but St Paul has év tois timoupapioes (Eph. i. 3, vi. 12). As connected with cioavva, onless the whole phrase is to be regarded simply as a shout of triumph like 'Ì mau'g, fo triumphe (Thayer l.c.), év roîs vi $\psi$. must be taken to mean: 'let the prayer for our deliverance be ratified in high heaven.' Cf, 3 Regn. viii. 30 à̀ cioa-

 God answers in heaven, and the result appears on earth. Lc. writes év oujpav $\hat{\varphi}$
 (as it seems) the Angelic Hymn with the welcome of the multitude; comp. the similar combination in the Clementine Liturgy (Brightman, p. 24). The use of the present passage in the 'Preface' of the Liturgy is ancient and wide-spread ; cf. e.g. the Liturgy of St James (ib. p. 51), and the Gelasian canon actionis (Wilson, p. 234).

St Luke adds at this point (r) a remonstrance from certain Pharisces who were present, and our Lord's reply (xix. 39, 40); (2) the magnificent lamentation over Jerusalem (xix. 4 I44).
 On the double cis see note to $v$. I. The Precinct of the Temple immediately overlooked the valley of the Kidron, and the Lord entered Jeru-
salem when He passed within the great eastern gate of the icfóv. Tò ifpóv in this sense occurs only in the Synoptists and in Acts; in the lxx. it is frequent, but only in the later books (chiefly i Esdras and I-4 Macc.). On the distinction between iefóv and vaós see Westcott on Jo. ii. 14, and Trench, syn. § iii., who refers to Jos. ant. viii. 3. $9, \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \delta \in{ }^{\circ}\left[{ }_{0}\right.$


 dian ícoóv Joscphus has left a description in ant. xv. II. 3 f., B. J. vi. 5. 4; another account is to be found in the Mishna Middoth ii. i. For a popular treatment of the subject see Edersheim, The Ternple, its ministry and services; recent discoveries upon the spot are described in the Recovery of Jerusalem and other publications of the Palestine Exploration Fund. The Lord on entering the Precinct found Himself in the Court of the Gentiles, and probably did not go beyond it on the first day. But the report of His arrival and solemn entry spread through Jerusalem, and Mt. describes the excitement which the tidings caused (धं $\sigma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta$ тâवa $\dot{\eta}$ то́дıs кт $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {., }}$ xxi. 10 f .).

On the remarkable change of policy implied in this formal avowal of Messianic claims see Victor: тo入入áxıs



 autem ubi passurus Hicrosolymam vonit, non refugit cos qui se regem faciunt...non reprimit voces, regnumque quod adhue victurus in mundo suscipere noluit, iamiam exiturus per passionem crucis de mundo non negavit suscipere."

 § 33





 $\mathrm{Or}^{\mathrm{bs}}$
 rome: "quasi cum lucerna quaereret (Zeph. i. 12)...quaerens in templo, et nihil quod eligeretur invenit." Euth. :
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ see iii. 5,34 , v. 32 , ix. 8 , x. 23. Nothing eseaped His comprelensive glance ( $\pi \epsilon p \nmid \beta \lambda$. $\pi \dot{d} v \tau a$ ), which revealed much that would call for serious work on the morrow (c. 15 , note). It was too late to begin that
 towards or after sunset, i. 32, iv. 35 , vi. 47 , xiv. 17, xv. 42 ; with the read-
 є́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \in \tau о$.
 xi. 19 (Mt. xxi. 17), xiii. 1, 3. The nights of Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday before the Passion were spent at Bethany, or rather in the open air on the Mount of Olives in the neighbourhood of the village (Lc. xxi. 37; comp. Le. xxiv. 50 with Acts j. 12). The bivouac among the hills offered comparative sccurity against the danger of a sudden arrest; and the conditions were favourable to meditation and prayer; cf. Wuth. ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi o-$
 The crowd of followers was at length dispersed, and though the days were passed in the bnsy Precinct, at night the Lord found Himself alone with the Twelve.
i2-14. The Figtree in leaf but without fruit (Mt. xxi. 18-19).
12. $T \hat{\eta}$ єं $\pi$ aipory $]$ On the morning of the fourth day before the Passover
i.e. Monday, Nisan 1 (Jo. xii. 1 , 12).
 interpreted with the same latitude which appears to belong to $\dot{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ eis Bqfavíav ( $c$. 11); Mt. more exactly,
 cf. Mt. iv. 2. The Lord had not broken His fast (cf. Jo. iv. 32 ff.), or the morning meal had been scanty or hurried; a day of toil was before Him, and it was important to recruit His strength on which the spiritual exercises of the night had perhaps drawn largely. The wayside figtree seemed to offer the necessary refreshment.
 The fresh green foliage caught the eye long before the tree was reached. It was a solitary tree, standing by the
 derelict perhaps of some old garden or vineyard (Lc. xiii. 6, Jo. i. 48), now offering its fruit to every passer-hy. 'Anò $\mu$., ef. v. 6, note.
 si forte, cf. Acts viii. 22 el ăpa á $\phi \in \theta_{\dot{\eta}}$
 aữò v каіे єüpoцєv: the äpa reviews the circumstances already recited and infers from them the chance of success; for the constr. see Burton, $\S 276$, and on this use of ápa cf. WM., p. 556, Blass, Gr. p. 250 f . The direct question might have run $\epsilon$ ä ä $\rho a \operatorname{\tau t} \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$; The tree was prematurely in leaf; phanted in some sheltered hollow, it was alrcady in leaf before the Passover, when other trees of its sort were


 каі йкоуоу oi $\mu \alpha \theta_{\eta \tau \alpha i} \alpha \dot{\jmath} т о \hat{u}$.





only beginning to bud (xiii. 28) ; and it was reasonable to expect a corresponding precocity in regard to the figs. But when the Lord had come up to it ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ aủ $\eta^{\prime} \nu$, ef. v. 2 I, xv. 22 , the result of motion towards, WM., p. 508), He found that the tree did not fulfil its promise. Therc were no figs under the leaves-not even the half-ripe figs which the peasants of Palestine ate with their bread in the fields (Edersheim ii. p. 375).
 the season was not that of figs.' (Wyeliffe," for it was no tyme of figgis.") In Palestine the figtree yields more than one crop in the course of the summer (Smith, D.B. ${ }^{2}$, p. 1066), but even the early figs are not in season before May. There was then no reason to expect fruit upon this tree beyond the promise of its leaves. Premature in foliage, it prored to be not earlier than the yet leafless trees in regard to its fruit. Bengel: "propior aspectus arboris ostendit arborem nou esse talem qualem folia singulariter promittebant." He is surely right in adding: "supersederi potuit tota quacstione de generibus ficuum arborum." Equally unnccessary is it to suppose that the Lord expected to find a few figs left over from the previous crop; sec the curions theory built on this view by Ephren (ea. conc. exp. p. 182).
 answer is to the invitation which the tree by its foliage had seemed to
offer to the hungry tiaveller. For the address to an inanimate object, cf. iv. 39: such personifications of natural phenomena are in accordance with the genius of Hebrew poetry and prophecy, ef. Num. xx. 8, Ps. extviii. 3 ff., Dan. iii. 57 ff. Mqкé $\tau, \ldots \mu \eta \delta \in i s:$ for the (emphatic) donble negrative see WM., p. 625. The optative (WM., pp. 357, 627, Burton, \& 175 f.) is replaced in Mt. by the subjunctive with ou $\mu$, i.e. for the expression of a desire Mt. substitutes a negative which nearly amounts to a prohibition (Burton, $\S 167$ ). Neither form can properly be called an imprecation or curse ; contrast Gen. iii. r7, Heb. vi. 7 f., and see note on v.21. Bengel: "quod Iesu Christo non servit, indignum est quod ulli mortalium serviat."

The sentence on the fruitless figtree repeated in a tangible form the lesson of a parable spoken during the Lord's recent journeyings (Le. xiii. 6 ff .), But in repeating it extends the teaching of the parable. It is not mere fruitlossness which the Lord here condenins, but fruitlessness in the midst of a display which promises fruit. Of. Origeu in Mt. єípev $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu}$




 Óeras. Bede: "arefecit Dominus arborem... ut homines... intellegerent sese divino condemnandos iudicio si absque opertun fructu de plausu tan-





 DEGHSVXГ $\Delta \Phi \Psi \min ^{11}$ Or $2^{\text {po }}$ arm
tum sibi religiosi sermonis volut de sonitu et tegumento blandirentur viridantium foliorum." The immediate reference is doubtless to the Jewish people, so far in advance of the other nations in knowledge and the forms of worship, so nearly on a level with them in regard to spiritual religion and the love of God. Hilary: "in facie synagogae positum exemplum est"; Victor: $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \rho v \sigma a \nu$ ката̀ тウ̀ $\nu$ 'І $\epsilon \rho о \nu \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$
 compares Ezekiel xvii. 9.
 The sentence prepares the reader for the sequel, v. 20 ff . All heard, one remembered ( $c, 25$ ).

15-19. Second Day in the Temple. Breaking up of the Temple Market (Mt. xxi. 12-I7, Lc. xix. 45-48).

 day's work by ejecting the traffickers, making no distinction between sellers and buyers ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma o v} s \pi \omega \lambda$. kai rovis ár.). The market was within the Precinct ( $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega}$ ic $\rho \hat{\omega}$ ), and had already attracted the attention of Jesus at the first Passover of ILis ministry (Jo. ii.
 was a recognised institution, under the
 in Rabbinical writings as the shops of the sons of Hanan, i.q. Avnas (sce Lightfoot on Mt. xxi. and Edersheim, Life, i. p. 369 ff). The sales were limited to Temple-requisites, victims for the sacrifices (Jo.

and the wine, oil, salt, \&c., used in the ritual. The purchasers were not only pilgrims from a distance, but probably all whose means enabled them to buy on the spot and thus to escape not only the trouble of bringing the animals with them, but also the official inspection which was compulsory in such cases (cf. Edersheim, l.c.).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. Jo. l.c. єîpєע toìs кєp $\mu a \tau t-$ $\sigma \tau$ às каA $\eta \mu \epsilon \dot{y}$
 change,' кó $\lambda \lambda \nu \beta$ os a small coin (Ar.
 кo $\lambda \lambda$ i $\beta$ ou), but the latter word acquired in practice the meaning 'rate
 ries with it the thought of the (often usurious) profit which the кє $\rho \mu a \tau, \sigma \tau a i$
 Temple nummularii was a fixed sum per half-shekel, the equivalent of a third or fourth of a denarius (Edershein, Life, i. p. 368, Temple, p. 48). Since every Israelite was required to pay his half-shckel yearly (Mt. xvii. 24, cf. Exod. xxx. 13 ff.) to the support of the Temple, and it could be paid only in the .Jewish coin (cf. Madden, Jewish coinage, p. 43 f.), a large profit would be reaped at the approach of the Passover from the pilgrims who asscmbled from Geutile countries (cf. Jo. xii. 20, Acts ii. 5) and brought with them Greek or Roman moncy. To spill their piles of half-shekels over the floor of the Court on the cue of the Passover was to deal a blow to their traflic at a time when it was at




 armeoda aeth
its height. The history of the Temple tax will be found in Schürer in. i. p. 249 ff.; for a Rabbinical description of the traffic see J. Lightfoot, l.c.
 xix. 23; the moneychanger or broker is a $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \epsilon i \eta \eta$, Mt. xxv. 27. On the whole subject sce Hastings, D. B. iii. p. 432 f. Origen (in Jo. t. x. 23) applies the passage to abuses in the




 arepas] The doves (Wyelife "culueris ") required by the Law for the purification of women (Lev. xii. 8, Lc. ii. 22 f.), for the ceremonial cleansing of lepers (Lev. xiv. 22), atd on certain other occasions (Lev. xy. 14, 29). Every branch of the Temple trade suffered, and not only those forms which were specially offensive or aggressive; the Lord was opposed to it on principle, not on aesthetic grounds. The Fathers regard the dove-sellers as representing allegorically ecclesiastics who traffic in spiritual gifts, e.g. Jerome ad l.: "vere cathertra pestilentiae (Ps. i. 1) quae vendit columbas vendit gratiam Spiritus sancti. multae cathedrae sunt usque hodie quae vendunt columbas."
 the incident, which in the midst of so much that was more stirring passed out of the recollection of the other witnesses, was remembered and reIated by St Peter. Persons carrying goods or implenents were accustomed to pass through the Precinct, from the eastern to the western gate, or the
reverse, as a short cut between the city and the Mt of Olives. The practice appears to have been interdicted ly the Jewish authorities; "what is the reverence of the Temple? that none go into the mountain of the Temple with his staff and his shoes, with his purse, and dust apon his feet; and that none make it his common thoroughfare" (J. Lightfoot ad luc.); cf. Jos. c. $A p$. ii. 7 "denique nec vas aliquod portare licet in templum"; cf. Wünsche, neue Beiträge, p. 398; but if the interdiet existed, it had become a dead letter, and the Lord did not shrink from the invidious task of putting it into execation. "H $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{\prime}$, see WH., Notes, p. 167, WSchm. pp. I02, 123; for àiévau iva, ef. Jo. xii. 7, Burton, §210. इкễos: cf. iii. 27, note; here probably any household goods, tools, utensils, or the like. Jerome remarks upon the whole incident: "si hoc in Iudaeis, quanto magis in nobis? si hoc in lege, quanto magis in evangelio ?"
 The Lord's action had brought a crowd together, which afforded an opportunity for continuous teaching (imperf). As His custom was, He bases His lesson on Scripture (ov

 vii. 6 , ix. 12 f., xii. 29,35 ), an authority against which no Jew could appeal. "Ott, recitativum ; cf. WM., p. 683 , note. The quotation in Mc. and Mt. is in the words of the uxx. (Ixa. 1vi. 7), though Mt. stops short at $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ : Le. quotes loosely, writing égrat for
 v. 9 , 19, Lc. i. 32, 35, Rom. ix. 7, 26),





and like Mt. he omits mâcuv toís $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \mathrm{y} \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu$, which he would scarcely have done had Mc. been before him (cf. Plummer). The last words have a special appropriateness in the present context; for the part of the iєpory which the Lord had just reclaimed from secular use was the Court of the Gentiles, where only within the Precinct Gcutiles were at liberty to pray. So far as in them lay, the authorities had defeated the fulfilment of the prophecy; for who could pray in a place which was at once a cattle-market and an exchange, where the lowing of oxen mingled with the clinking of silver and the chaffering and haggling of the dealers and those who came to purchase? Origen in MIt.:
 For the homiletic treatment of the incident the whole passage in Origen (t. xyi. 20 sqq .) is valuable; see also in Jo. t. x. 23 (16).
 was worse than this; the house of prayer had not only become an oikos
 $\lambda_{\eta j \sigma \tau \omega \nu}$ (on $\lambda_{\eta \sigma \pi \eta}{ }^{\prime} s$ see Trench, syn. xliv., and cf. xiv. 48, xy. 27); 10 bandits' cave along the Jericho road (Lc. x. 30), by which the Lord had lately come, was the scene of such wholesale robbery as the Mountain of the House. The words are from another prophet, Jer. vii. 1 I $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda a \omega$ $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ (ם) $\omega$ ' $\pi t o \nu \dot{v \mu \omega} \nu$; 'Y $Y \epsilon i s$, addressed to the crowd, for in this matter all were to blame, from the High Priest to the pilgrims who encouraged the traffic by purchasing, or the townsfolk who used the Court as a thoroughfare. Henornкатє is nore exact than either Mt.'s
 been stopped for the moment, but its
results were enduring. Neither the salesmen nor money-changers were better than $\lambda_{\eta j \sigma t a i}$-the pilgrins were practically at their mercy, and thoy did not content themselves with a fair margin of profit; their extortion was more than mere dishonesty, it was downriglit robbery. The Talmudic tract on the sale of doves relates how Rabban Simeon ben Gamaliel, finding that the dealers exacted a piece of gold for each bird, insisted that they should be content with a silver piece (J. Lightfoot on Mt. l.c.). If this extortion was practised on poor women who came to be purified, what may not have been demanded of wealthy Jews from Rome and the provinces?
 the first time in the Synoptic Gospels the doxicpeis are represented as conbining with the ypaцдareis against Jesus. Jo. mentions two carlier occasions on which this coalition existed (Jo. vii. 32 ff., xi. 47, 57); but there can be no doubt that His attack upon the Temple-market and exchange, which contributed largely to the revenues of the Temple, and was under their immediate protection, incensed the priestly aristocracy in the highest degree. Henceforth they took the lead in the conspiracy against the Galilean Prophet, and the Scribes were content to follow; the Eiders (Lc., of $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau}$ rô̂ $\lambda a o u ́$ ) were naturally guided by the two professional classes. "Hrovara, the matter came to their ears; the report seems to have been brought by some of their party who were on the spot, for Mt. adds (xxi. 15 ff.) that they saw the Lord working wonders and heard the Hosannas of the Entry repeated by children in the Temple-court. They remonstrated with Him to no purpose,
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and withdrew to consider plans of revenge.
 Cf. Acts xxi. 31. It was not easy to find the way so long as He had the ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda$ os with Him The great majority of the people who thronged the Court were not drawn from Jerusalem, where the priestly class were paramount, but from Galilee and from Gentile countries, and a crowd so constituted might be dangerous in their present humour; death by stoning was not impossible even within the Precinct (Jo. x. 31), and might overtake the priests themselves or the Levitical guard (Lc. xx. 6, Acts v. 26, Er. Petr. Io), if they attempted to arrest a popular Prophet.
 the Lord's teaching on the populace was the same at the end as at the outset of His work, cf. i. 22. It was still a кawì do $\delta a \chi \eta$, never losing its freshness.
 mentions only the rectum to the Mount on Monday night ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{o \nu} \ldots \eta \mathcal{V}^{\prime} \lambda i \sigma \theta \eta$ ); Mc. states once for all the Lord's practice on each of the first three days of Holy Week ; cf. R.V. "every evening He went forth out of the city." Similarly Lc., xxi. 37. Field (Notes, p. 35), while regarding of ofay...
 to St Mark himself," thinks that a
single action is intended. For öray with the ind. cf. iii. II; the amor. is used in this connexion again in Apoc. viii. I (WM., p. $3^{89}$ note). The day had begun for Jesus and the Twelve $\pi \rho \omega i$ (Mt. xxi. 18); it ended ob ${ }^{2} \dot{\epsilon}$. Hunger ( 0.12 ) and fatigue were forgotten in the work of God (cf. Jo. iv. 31 ff.). Only the approach of the hour for closing the gates and the melting away of the crowd in the Court (cf. Edersheim, Temple, p. 116 ff .) induced Him to retire for rest. ${ }^{2} \mathbf{E} \xi \in \pi$. $\quad \mathbf{\epsilon} \xi \omega$
 Bq$\theta a v i a v ~ h e r e, ~ b u t ~ M t . ~ s u p p l i e s ~ i t, ~$


20-25. Conversation on the Withering of the Figure; the Omnipotence of Faith, Prayer, and Love (Mt. xxi. $19^{\mathrm{b}}-22$ ).
 the early light of the next (Tuesday) morning the figtree ( $\mathrm{xi} . \mathrm{I} 3 \sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta} \nu$ ) by the wayside was as conspicuous for its shrivelled leaves as it had been for their freshness the day before. All saw it ( $(i \delta \delta o v)$, and marked how the tree was blasted root and branch ( $\epsilon \kappa \dot{\rho} / \zeta \omega \bar{\omega})$. In Mt. the entire incident belongs to the Tuesday morning, and the figtree is withered under the eyes of the Apostles ( $\epsilon_{\zeta} \xi_{\eta \rho \alpha}{ }^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ тарахр $\hat{\eta} \mu a$ ), whose astonishment is at once expressed; Augustine's "alio die viderunt alio die mirati aunt" (de cons. en. ii. IS) is certainly not warranted by Mt.'s







[^82]words. That the tradition has been preserved in a more accurate form by Me. is scarcely open to doubt; cf.



 The classical phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\rho} \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} y$ is $\dot{a} \pi$. $\lambda_{\text {f }} \gamma$. in the N. T., but occurs in Job xxviii. 9, xxxi. 12, Ezech. xvii. 9.

 боутац
21. каi dj va $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta$ 'is кт $\lambda$.] The connexion between the withered tree and the Lord's words on the previous morning flashed at once on Peter's quick thought: cf. xiv. 72 a $\nu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta$
 xiv. 45 , Jo. i. 39. Kat ŋpáo : in the light of the event the Lord's words shaped themselves into a katápa to the recollection of the disciple; see
 pau $\boldsymbol{q}_{\eta}$ (Mt., see WM., p. 345)-the enduring effect of the 'curse' was before the eyes of all; cf. $\pi є \pi о \eta$ रोкатє, $\boldsymbol{v}$. 17. For $\xi \eta p a i v \in \sigma \theta a l$, of plants, see iv. 6, Jo. xiv. 6, Jas. i. il, I Pet. i. 24.
22. каi diтокри $\theta$ cis кт $\lambda$.] The answer is remarkable; the Lord does not explain the lesson to be learnt from the fate of the tree, but deals with a matter of more immediate importance to the Twelve, the lesson to be learnt from the prompt fulfilment of His
prayer ( $\mu \eta к \in ́ т \iota . . . \phi a ́ \gamma o t, v$. 14). The answer is addressed not to Peter only, but to all.
 cis tod $\theta$ corn. The gen is that of the object, as in $\pi i \sigma \pi \iota s$ 'I $\eta \sigma a \hat{u}$ (Xpıaroû), Rom. iii. 22, 26, Gal. ii. 26, \&c. (cf. WM., p. 232) ; mi gray is anarthrous, as being sufficiently defined by the genitive- 'a faith which rests on God.'
 Ocóv. Elementary as the command may have seemed to be, it was necessary even for professed theists and Jews (James ii. 14 ff.). Mt. omits $\theta_{\text {co }}$

23. $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \omega \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu}]$ The solemn preface which prepares for a specially important saying (iii. 28 , viii. 12 , ix. I, 4I, X. 15, 29).
 crossing the Mt of Olives; below them, between the mountains of Jusdea and the mountains of Moab, lay the hollow of the Dead Sea. 'Faith, cooperating with the Divine Will, could fill yonder bason with the mass of limestone beneath their feet.' The metaphor was in use among the Rabbis ; e.g. J. Lightfoot quotes from the Talmud: "he saw Resh Lachish...as if he were plucking up mountains"; a famous master in Israel was known as rooter up of mountains.' Of the $\mathrm{M} t$ of Olives Zechariah had foretold that







when the feet of the Lord stood upon it, the mountain should cleave asunder and the two masses be removed to the north and south (xiv. 4). Standing on Olivet, the Lord may have had this proplecy in His thoughts; but His saying had been uttered before, under the heights of Hermon (Mt. xyii, 20). For another saying of the same type, see Lc. xvii. 6. The teaching is substantially
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon v(o y \tau u)$; for a practical application to common life see Thpht. ad loc.:



 Victor's caution is important: $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o \nu$





 the aorists point to momeutary effects,
 sitaverit, 'hesitate,' 'doubt'; cf. Acts x. 20, xi. 2, Rom. iv. 20, xiv. 23, James i. 6 , ii. 4 ; in these passages סtakpi$\nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha=$ secum disceptare $=$ dubitare (Blass)-a sense "apparently confined to the N.T. and later Christian writings" (Mayor on James i. 6, q.v.), where otakp. "appears as the proper opposite" of $\pi$ iotıs, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon u(\omega)$ (SH., Romans, p. 155). Hertєín (see vv. ll.) is more accurate than noveध́v $\eta$ : faith is regarded as the normal attitude of the heart, not a sudden emotion or isolated act. Faith contemplates the effect as potentially accompanying
its exercise (ô $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \tau a l$ ), though the actual fulfilment may be delayed (Mt. $\left.\gamma \epsilon \cup \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau a i\right)$. It endows even a passing utterance ( $\lambda_{a} \lambda \epsilon i$ ) with a power to which there is no limit but the $\mu \epsilon^{\prime}$ $\tau \rho o y$ niartews which God has bestowed (Rom. xii. 3). On the construction


St Paul, with this saying in view, recognises the need of something higher than the faith which could move mountains ( 1 Cor. xiii. 2 кầ

 The Lord, however, does not overlook this higher principle, or proclaim a

 practical instruction based (ס̀à тои̂тo)
 ধ̈orat aùrô. 'Since this is the criterion of success in spiritual things, let it be the constant attitude of your minds when you pray? "Oaa $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каіे air $\hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, Mt. oै öa $\vec{a} \nu$
 $\sigma \theta a t$ is used absolutely, or followed by iva or ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega$ ws with a clause expressing the desire (xiv. 38, Jas. v. 16), or by rove with the inf. (Jas. ₹. I7) ; the acc. of the prayer is rare, but cf. Lc. xviii. II тайта трагтй $\chi \epsilon \tau о$, Rom. viii. $26 \tau t$ $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in v \xi \omega_{\mu} \mu \theta a$. As distinguished from
 plies a Divine Object of prayer; a $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi^{\eta}$ is exclusively a religious act, an aulrpua may be addressed either to GOD (Phil. iv. 6, I Jo. v. 15) or to man (Lc. xxiii. 24) ; cf
 тадтòs $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ kal à à $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o v$. On the mid aitcíveau see vi. 23, 24. 'Eגáßєтє,
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the petition was granted and potentially answered at the moment when it was offered. Пєбтєúєтє öть є $\lambda \dot{a} \beta є \tau \epsilon$
 imperative for protasis, Burton, $\$ 269$. Mt. omits this reference to the realising power of a successful faith, reducing the promise to atarevóvtes $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$. $\operatorname{\Lambda a\mu \beta á\nu \varepsilon \iota \nu }$ is the correlative of aireí $\theta a t$, ef. Mt. vii. 8, Jas. iv. 3, I Jo. iii. 22, and see Wünsche, p. 102.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.$] 'Whenever ye stand at prayer,$ forgive.' Another condition of effective prayer. The same lesson occurs in another form and setting, Mt. vi. 14; the R. T. adds here from Mt. the
 and a few Mss. append $M t$. vii. 7 f . As the words stand in the true text of Me., thcypossess an individuality which shews that they have not been imported from another context. $E \vec{i} \pi /$
 кatà oroû, Col. iii. 13 cád tis toós tiva $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\chi \eta} \mu_{0} \mu \phi \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ 'Aфieтє balances $\pi \iota \sigma$ тєиєєт; the act of prayer must be accompanied by love as well as by faith. For aTグкєь see WH., Notes, p. I69; for ö öaц... $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, cf. WM., p. 388, Burton, $\S 309$, Blass, Gr. p. 218. Standing was the normal attitude in prayer (I K. viii. 14, 22, Neh. ix. 4, Ps. exxxiv. 2, Jer. xviii. 2o, Mt. vi. 5 ; cf. Lightfoot on Mt. l.c.); in the temple-court even the Publican stands, though afar off (Le. xviii. II, I3); but kneeling seems to have been preferred
on occasions of great solemnity or of distress (I K. viii. 54, Ezra ix. 5, Dan. vi. ro, Mt. xxvi. 39, Acts vii. 50 , xx. 36, xxi. 5, Eph. iii. I4): cf. the story which is told of James 'the Just,' Eus. II. E. ii. 23. In the ancient Church knecling was forbidden during the Great Forty Days and on Sundays (Tert. de coron. 3, can. conc. Nicaen. 20), and the Eastern Church adheres to the practice of standing at prayer (Stanlcy, E. O. p. 195 ff.). The Lord's reference to the contemporary custom imposes of courso no ritual order upon the future Church.
iva каі $\delta \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi a \dot{\eta} \rho \hat{\nu} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] A reference to the Lord's Prayer, or the early teaching connected with it, cf. Mt. vi. 12,14 f. This is the ouly place where the phrase $\delta \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu[\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ tois ovipavois] is found in Mc.; c. 26 ( R . T.) is an interpolation from Mt. Comp. however iii. 35, where the doctrine of a Divine family is implicitly taught. Пaןánтшиa occurs in the Gospels only here and Mt. vi. 14 f., but it is fairly common in the later books of the lax. (cf. e.g. Ps. xyiii. (xix.) 12, Dan. vi. 4 (5) Th.) and in St Paul. The word, which is coupled with $\dot{d} \mu a \rho \tau i a$ in Eph. ii. I, means specifically a 'false stcp,' a fall from the right course, whilst apapría is a falling short of the true end or aim; see Trench, syn. 16; тарant. is perhaps preferred in this context becanse offences against God are for the moment placed in the same catcgory







with those committed against men, to which the lighter term properly belongs.

27-33. The Authority of Jesus challenged by Members of the Sanhedrtn (Mt. xxi. 23-27, Le. xx. I-8).
 visit to the Temple (cf. $v v .11,15$ )the day, apparently, Tuesday in Holy Week.
$\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau o s]$ Probably in the colonnades of the Court of the Gentiles, either in the aroà $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{\iota \kappa \eta}$ on the S. side of the Court (see Recovery, p. 9) or in the oroà Eodo$\mu \bar{\omega} \nu o s$ (Jo. x. 23) on the E. side. As He passed along, or at intervals when He was stopped by the crowd, He

 pov). While He was teaching, members of each order in the Sanhedrin were seen to approach (Mt. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{p} \lambda \theta a v$, Lc.
 only (oi d̀ $\rho \chi$. кaì oi $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$.), but Le. agrees with Mc. in adding the Scribes; it is conceipable that the latter, who were our Lord's ordinary opponents, kept in the background on this occasion, since the question concerned the custodians of the Temple rather than the interpreters of the Law. The repeated article (oi...kail oi...kai oi) seems to indicate that those who came were representatives of their respective classes: cf. viii. 3I, x. 33 . The united action of the three bodies
was probably resolved upon in conference the night before ; see $\boldsymbol{r} .18$, note.
28. द́y moía ésouria rav̂ta motîs;] The question in itself was a reasonable one, and the men who asked it felt that they had a right to do so. The Temple was in their charge, and by forcibly ejecting the vendors whom they allowed, Jesus had laid claim to a superior jurisdiction. They now ask Him publicly to produce His credentials, to state (I) the nature of His authority, (2) the name of the person from whom He had received it. Moia, qualis, tis, quis; cf. I Pet. i. II tiva $\bar{\eta} \pi$ oinov kaıpóv, with Hort's note, and see note on xii. 28 . 'E $\boldsymbol{\nu} \pi$. ' $\xi$, in right of what authority? cf. Acts iv. 7 év moia סovápet $\grave{\eta}$ moí $\omega$ óvó-
 words further define the point at issue (Burton, § 216) ; even if Jesus had received some measure of authority, was it such as to justify His interference in the control of the Temple? Taũa, notably the expulsion of the licensed salesmen (Euth.: тоía; тò



 iєfov, кail rouv̂ra); but the vagueness of the word covers a reference to the whole career of Jesus, which from their point of view had been continually in conflict with lawful authority, in Galilee as well as in Jerusalem.













 Question is met by question (cf. x. 4, 18) ; Mt. є́ $\rho \omega+\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ v. кả $\gamma \omega$ ', ' I also on my part have a point to raise.' "Eya גóyov, 'just one preliminary matter for consideration'; fis neither contrasts the Lord's single question with the two put by the Sanhedrin, nor is it a mere substitute for ros, but points to the simplicity of the issue; the answer to that one question will decide it. Let them answer first ( $\dot{q} \pi о к \rho!\theta_{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ t$ ), as became the teachers of Israel, and He will then be prepared with Hisreply (каіे $\frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \hat{\omega}$ víiv ктд.). Baljon's кầv ảmoкрı $\begin{aligned} \eta & \text { é } \\ \mu \circ \iota & \text { is less after the style of Mc. }\end{aligned}$
 The enquiry is pushed a stage further back. Though Jesus had not received His authority from John, John had borne public and repeated testimony to His Divine mission (Jo. i. 26 f., 29 ff . 36). The question of the Sanhedrin therefore resolved itself into a question as to the source of John's
 tò 'Iwápov : i.e. the Baptist's work and teaching as a whole, symbolised by its visible expression, cf. Acts i. 22, xviii. 25 ; for the form $\beta$ án $\tau \iota \sigma \mu a$ see i. 4, note. 'E $\xi$ ouparov, of heavenly origin (Blass, Gr. p. 147 f.; cf. Wünsche, p. 398 f., Dalman, Worte, i. p. 178), i.e. from God, as the alternative $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi}$
${ }^{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \nu$ shews ; cf. Acts $\nabla .38,39$; for the phrase, cf. Jo. iii, 27 . The Baptist knew himself to be personally ek $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \bar{\eta} s$, and recognised the limita-
 ib. v. 3r); but his 'baptism,' his message and its seal, were Divine (Jo. i. 6).
 answer, as from authorised teachers and men who were acquainted with the facts.

Dr Bruce's use (comm. on Mt. xxi. 23 ff .) of the Lord's question as an antidote to the "notion of church sacraments and orders depending on ordination" is entirely beside the mark. The question refers to the authority of a prophet, not to that of a regular ministry; the latter derives its powers from Christ (Jo. xx. 21) through the hands of men ( $2 \mathrm{Tim} . \mathrm{j}$. 6 ) ; the former, if not directly $\epsilon \xi$ ov$\rho a \nu o \hat{v}$, can only be $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\alpha} \nu \nu \theta \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu$, and is therefore futile.

 The Marcan phrase occurs in viii. 16, where $\pi \rho$ òs $\bar{\varepsilon}$. probably $=\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ ous. In the present instance conference was scarcely possible, and Mt.'s in éavtais probably gives the true sense, cf. Mc. ii. 6, 8. The same thought flashed across the minds of all; they realised that there was no way of








 $281242^{\text {pe }}$ alpare c k syrsin arm aeth
escape but one. Bede: "riderunt quod utrumlibet horum responderint in laqueum se casuros, timentes lapidationem, sed magis timentes veritatis confessionem."
 acknowledge the Divine mission of John was to charge themselves with unbelief in having as a class rejected his baptism (Le. vii. 30), and to give an advantage to their Questioner which He would not be slow to use ( $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \Delta i \dot{a}$ тi ктд.). They do not appear to havo seen the real drift of the Lord's question, or the direct answer which the reply 'E $\xi$ oủgavô would give to their own. For ruactúcu with dat.



 aùтóv. As distinguished from $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon \iota$ followed by $\epsilon \nu, \epsilon \in \pi i$, or $\epsilon i s$, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \tau \nu i ́$ regards faith as placed in the word of another rather than in his person.
32. ád $\lambda a ̀$ ácta $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] "Shall we then say ' Of men'?-they feared the crowd." The normal construction is
 $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ т. $\begin{gathered}\text {. ) ; in Me. the protasis takes }\end{gathered}$ the form of a question, and the apodosis disappears, the Evangelist supplying its place by narrative (WM., p. 725, Blass, Gr. p. 286). On the deliberative subjunctive cf. xii. I4, and WM., p. 356 . Lc. specifies the fear which was uppermost in their minds: ó haòs ämas катадıөácє! $\mathfrak{j} \mu a ̂ s . ~ F r o m ~$

Jo. viii. 57 it is clear that even within the Precinct the danger was a real one, if the susceptibilities of a Jewish crowd (ö ${ }_{K} \lambda$ os, Mt., Mc.) were aroused. A denial of John's Divine mission might be treated by his adherents as blasphemy, since it would amount to an attribution to man of words which were heid to be of the Holy Ghost.
 John, all really held that he was a prophet' (cf. WM., p. 781). Mt. has softened this rough note into ws moo-


 For ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\chi \in L}$ 'to regard' cf. Lc. xiv. 18, Plil. ii. 29, Blass, Gr. pp. 23I, 247; D's $\not \ddot{\partial \prime \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \nu}$ is a correction or a gloss, "Oит note), but the adverb is to be taken with $\epsilon^{3} X^{0 \nu}$ - the people were seriously impressed with a conviction of John's prophetic character. His martyrdom had perhaps decpened the reverence which was entertained for him by the thousands who had received his baptism. He had seemed to fulfil a long cherished hope (cf. i. 5, note), and to suggest that the confidence of the people had been misplaced would rouse a dangerous storm. "Ovecs occurs herc only in Mc.; Le. uses it twice, Jo. once, St Paul six times; in the Lxx. it is rare, but well distributed (Num. ${ }^{1}, 3$ Kegn. ${ }^{1}$, Sap. ${ }^{1}$, Jer. ${ }^{\text {² }}$. ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \nu$, 'had been': see Blask, Gr. p. sgz.




 They saved themselves from the dilemma by a disgraceful profession of ignorance. The Lord does not go behind their answer, or expose its disingenuousness; it was enough that it released Him from His undertaking to reply to their challenge ( $v, 29$ ). If they could not tell, the compact had fallen through; and He refuses accordingly to fulfil His part (où $\delta \mathrm{E}$ єं $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ גє́ $\gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu$ ). His position was unassailable, and they left Him without a word. Oúdé takes up oik in the answer of the Sanhedrin: for a somewhat similar use cf. Mt. vi. I5, 'Jo.' viii.







XII. i-i2. The Husbandmen and the Heir. (Mt. xxi. 33-46, Lc. xx. 9-19.)
 A new commencement was made of parabolic teaching, addressed to the Sanhedrists (avirois), and intended to expose the true character of their hostility. 'Ev $\quad$ apaßo入ais, cl. Ps. lxxvii.
 34 f., xxii. 1 , Mc. iii. 23, iv. 2 , if, Le. viii. 1o. Lc., who with Mc. relates but one parable in this context, changes
 $\left.\beta_{o \lambda \grave{̀} \varphi} \tau a v ́ r \eta \nu\right)$ : Mt. on the other hand,
who has already recorded the parable of the 'Two Sons' ( $00.28-32$ ), begins
 connexion of this parable with the foregoing narrative of. Victor: $\mathfrak{\eta} \pi a \rho a-$





 was not simply the owner of a vineyard, but a master who had slaves at his command ( 0.2 ff ; cf. Mt. xiii. 27, Lc. xiv. 21). The land of Israel was a land of the vine (Gen. xlix. in, Deut. viii. 8 ), and the planting of vineyards was one of the cares of the prudent householder (Deut xxviii. 30, 39). The vineyard had become a recognised symbol of Israel itsclf, as the coveuant people (Ps. lixx. 8 f., Isa. v. 2 ff., Jer. ii. 21 ), and it was impossible for the members of the Sauhedrin or for the better-taught among the crowd to mistake the drift of the parable (see v. 12). The imagery and even the language is largely derived from Isa.


 бat $\sigma \tau a \phi u \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu)$; cl. dial. Tim. et $A q$. (ed. Conybeare, p. 93) єincy aúrois тìv
 ' $\lambda \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$, a word chiefly found in the later Gk., is common in the lxx., where it usually represents $\underset{\square}{\text { B. . For } \phi v-}$





Deut. xx. 6, xxviii. 20, 39, Am. v. 11 , Soph. i. 13, Isa. xxxvii. 30, lxp. 2I, Ezech. xxvii. 26, I Macc. iii. 56, 1 Cor. ix. 7; the Vg. vineam pastinavit is more realistic: "dug and trenched the ground (to receive the vines)"; ef. novellavit ( $\mathbf{k}$ ).
 tion partly against human depredators, partly against wild animals (Ps.






 Lc. xiv. 23, Eph. ii. 14. Le. omits

 aùt $\hat{\text { a }} \lambda \eta \nu o ́ v$. The $\lambda \eta \nu o$ ós, torcular, is properly the trough which receives the grapes, and where they are trodden (of. Num. xviii. 30 , Prov. iii. ıo, Sir. xxx. 25 (xxxiii. r6), Isa. Ixiii. 3, Thron. i. 15). It was asually excavated in the rock, see Moore on Jud. vi. in and cf. Joel i. 17. The vat was furnished with a $\pi \rho \circ \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu t o v$ (Isa. v. 2, cf. lxiii. 3) under which was the vioג $\eta$ poov, lacus, R.V. "pit for the winepress" (Joel iii. (iv.) 13, Hagg. ii. 17 (16), Zach. xiv. Io, Isa. xri. to ou' $\mu$ गे
 into which the juice ran. Mc. adheres to Isa. v. in referriug to the ביק, but does not follow the Lxx. rendering.
 were built in exposed places to protect cattle and vines (cf. 2 Chron. xxvi. Io, Mic. iv 8, Isa. l.c.), and for the convenience of the herdsmen and $\dot{d} \mu \pi \epsilon$ $\lambda o u \rho \gamma o i$ : similar structures may still be seen among the terraced hills about Hebron. On such traces of the formor
culture of the vine in Palestine see G. A. Smith, pp. 8I, 208.

The patristic interprotation of these details is not quite consistent; e.g. Hilary sees "in turri eminentiam legis...ex qua Christi speculari posset adventus," whilst Jerome comments: "turrim, haud dubie quin templum":
 dè ó váós. $^{\text {. }}$
 living at a distance, instead of employing his own slaves to work the vineyard, let it out to local cultivators, who were required to pay the rent in kind. In Palestine "such leases were given by the year or for life; sometimes the lease was even hereditary" (Edersheim, L. and T. ii. p. 423). This use of $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} k-$ $\delta i \delta o \sigma \theta a t$ does not seem to oceur in the lxx., but it is common in class. Gk.; for a close parallel see Plat. legg. 806 D


 दौє́бєто see WH., Notes, p. 167, WSchm., p. r2r. The tenants are $\gamma \in \omega \rho-$ yot here in Mt., Mc., Lc.; Lc. uses $\dot{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda о э \rho \gamma o ́ s$ in xiii. 7 , but apparently in reference to the hired slave working under a master who is from time to time on the spot. Гecopyia as the wider word may include $\dot{d} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o v \rho \gamma i a$,

 On the other hand the words can be contrasted, as in Jer. lii. 16, where the ploughmen, and the vine-dressers are regarded as two distinct classes.

кai àme $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu]$ The owner, having let his land, went into foreign parts (Vg. peregre profectus est); Lc. adds that his absence was a prolonged one
 mos in the N. T. are limited to the

[^83]Synoptists, oceurring, besides this context, in Mt. xxv. i4 f., Mc. xiii. 34 ,
 2 Cor. v. 6 ff., where it is contrasted with $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i \nu$, as Xenophon contrasts
 The God of Isracl is represented in the light of an absentee proprietor. Origen




 gradual withdrawal of visible interpositions, ending in the suspension of the gift of prophecy, had borne this aspect in the eyes of the nation (cf. e.g. Jer. xiv. 8), and the absence was real in the case of the dishonest teachers and unbelieving priesthood who were now the leaders of Israel. But, however prolonged, it was as yet but an àmoঠə $\mu \dot{a}$, not a dereliction, not an abandonment of the Divine claim upon Israel's allegiance. Even the temporary withdrawal had a gracious purpose; comp. the remark of Jerome: "abire videtur a vinea ut vinitoribus liberum operandi arbitrium derelinquat." Of. Bengel: "invenitur tempus divinae taciturnitatis ubi homines agunt pro arbitrio"; and see Mc. iv. 26 ff.
 mand was not made till the vintage
 $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ (cf. xi. 13). T $\hat{\omega}$ каи $\hat{\hat{\omega}}$, dative of the point of time, cf. WM., p. 373 f .

 sion of the Prophets sce Isa vi. 8, Jer. xxy. 4 The title סobios Kvpiov is first given to Moses (Jos xiv. 7, Ps
civ. (cr.) 26) and Joshua (Jos. xxiv. 29); it is borne by David (2 Rern. iii. I8, vii. 4 ff .) ; and ultimately becomes the formal style and title of the prophet (Am. iii. 7, Zech. i. 6, Jer. vii. 25, xxv. 4, \&c.). In Mt. groups of $\delta o i \lambda_{0}$ are sent twice (co. 34, 36); in Mc. each servant receives a separate mission, and there are many such ( $\delta o \hat{v} \lambda o \nu . .$.
 то $\lambda \lambda \frac{u}{}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ä $\left.\lambda \lambda o v s\right)$, whilst Lc. stops, but perhaps without any special purpose, at the third ( $\delta o u ̄ \lambda о \nu . . . \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu . . . \tau \rho i ́ \tau о \nu)$. The groups in Mt. may be taken to represent successive periods of prophetic onergy, whilst the reference to individuals in Mc. and Lc. accentuates the distinctness of the message entrusted to each true prophet. Or, as Thpht. suggests, each of the successive messengers may represent a prophetic era: סoû̀oy éva тáza тov̀s тғpi

 ... тov̀s द́y $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ai $\chi \mu a \lambda \omega \sigma i a$. . Comp. Origen on Mt. t. xvii, 6.
${ }_{\text {fiva... } \lambda \hat{a}_{j} \beta_{\eta} \kappa \tau \text {.] Whatever the form }}$ of the message, its general purpose was one and the same-that the owner might receive (Mt $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$ ) his due. 'Апò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ карт $\hat{\omega \nu}$, the 'fruits' being the source from which (WM., p. 463) the landlord obtained his rent. He claimed merely the portion which by agrecment belonged to him (rov̀s кapaò̀s aùtov, M(t.) ; under the terms of the lease ( $r .1$, note) another portion would go to the cultivators (2 Tim. ii. 6). For the interpretation see
 In one sense GoD claims all, in another ouly a part; cf. Bengel : "pars fructuum colonis concessa."












 ACNXIIİ min ${ }^{\text {pl }}$
 $\Delta \epsilon \rho \epsilon c$ in the lax. has its original meaning " to flay," but in the N.T. it is used only in the sense of " beating severeby" or "scourging" (cf. xiii. 9, Lc. xii. 47 f., xxii. 63, Jo. xviii. 23, Acts v. 49, xvi. 37, xxii. 29), which it bears ferequently in the comic poets (cf. Ar.

 $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \bar{p}, \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu)$. The first slave is let go after his beating, but without that which he had come for, 'emptyhanded '; for this use of kevós cf. Job xxii. 9, Lc. i. 53. The repetition of
 servant, instead of taking anything, is taken ; sent to receive, he is sent back empty. It is difficult to decide whether the play on these words is intentional, or due to the simplicity of the style of the common tradition; in favour of the second explanation it may be noted that this feature is most noticeable in Mc.
 $\lambda i \omega \sigma a \nu$ is $a ̈ \pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in Greek literslure (cf. Lob. Phryn., p. 95), but formed quite regularly from кєфа́льov, a diminutive which occurs in late writers; according to the analogy of ypatoiv, 'to hit on the cheek,' кeфaגเoṽ would be 'to wound on the
head.' This sense is supported by the Vg. in capite vulneraverunt; cf. Syrr. pest., hel. lapidaverunt et contuderunt, Me. culneraverunt. It agrees in a general way with Mt.'s
 to which Mc.'s exec, seems to carespond, and with the requirements of the context in Mc. The first servant was beaten, the third killed; the second, though not killed, fared worse than the first, for he was knocked about the head. ' 'Eкєфпдаi $\omega \sigma a \nu$ would seem to mean that he was summarily dispatched, and it is difficult to believe with Field (Notes, p. 35) that Mc. adopted it in the sense of $\dot{z}_{\mathrm{k} \epsilon-}$
 employs the extreme remedy of conjectural emendation, admitting into his text éxo入́́фı $\sigma a \nu$ (cf. xiv. 65). This gives an excellent sense, but until it finds some documentary support it is safer to adhere to the reading of $N B L \Psi$ and interpret with Luth.: avi roe
 $\mu a \sigma a \nu$ : in this and other ways they heaped contumely upon hins ; for this use of àrıá̧̧єц cf. 2 Regin. x. 5, Acts v. $40,4 \mathrm{I}$.
5. кảkeivov ad $\pi$ éктєıvay кт入.] From insult the $\gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho$ poi proceeded on the next occasion to murder; and so






#### Abstract

         


matters went on for a long time, each servant who was sent suffering death or maltreatment at their hands. Kal
 like; cf. WM., p. 728 f . Ồs $\mu \epsilon \in \ldots$ oùs ठ́є: cf. iv. 4, and see WM., p. 130, Blass, Gr. p. 145 f. $\Delta \in ́ \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s: ~ s e e ~$ v. 3 note. 'Atokтєขvivies is a very rare form but "probably right" here (WH., Notes, p. 169). For O. T. parallels see 1 Kings xviii. 13, xxii. 27, 2 Chron. xxir. so ff., xxxvi. 15 f., Neh. ix. 26 (rov̀s apoфйтas aov ãєкктєvad), Jer. xliv. (xxxyii.) 15
 xiii. 34, Aets vii. 52, I Thess. ii. 15 , Heb. xi. 36 ff., Apoc. xvi. 6, xviii. 20 ff .
 whom the owner could send, and he was not a slave, but his own son.

 note, ix. 7; here it scems to be undoubtedly an adjective qualifying viós, and not an appellation. The one and only Son (dial. Tim. et Aq., tòv viò̀
 sharply with the many servants ( $\pi$ od-
 iii. 5,6 . He had been reserved to the cad ( $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\sigma} \sigma \chi a \tau o \nu, \quad \mathrm{ff}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi$ á $\tau o v$ Heb. i. 2). The mission of the Son
marked, from the N.T. standpoint, the fulness of time (Gal, iv. 4), synchronising with the completion of the ages (Heb. ix. 26).
 qualifies évt $\rho$. by prefixing $\not \approx \sigma \omega s$. But to the owner any other result was inconceivable, and the parable sets forth the improbability, from the human point of view, of such an issue as the Incarnation actually had; cf. Thpht. : दं $\nu \tau \rho$., тò $\epsilon i k o ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu, ~ B e n g e l: ~ " e x p r i m i t u r ~$ quid facere debuerint." 'Evтрéteqбai тuva, revereri aliquem, is a late construction; classical writers use the gen. of the person who is regarded with awe (Blass, Gr. p. 89). For other exx. of the acc. cf. Sap. ii. Io, Lc. xviii. 2, Heb. xii. 9.
 кepor (which is wanting in Mt., Le.) points back to the picture already drawn of the men: "those husbandmen, being such as we know they

入ous: with Mc.'s mpos é, cf. xi. 31. Lc. has clearly given the general sense: when the heir was scen making his way to the vineyard at vintage time, a hurried consultation was held, and the resolution taken to destroy him.






$$
8 \epsilon \xi \epsilon \beta a \lambda \alpha \nu(-\lambda a \nu \mathrm{~B}) a v \tau o \nu] \text { om } \alpha \nu \tau o \nu \mathrm{LX} \Delta \text { al } \mathrm{min}^{\mathrm{pl}} \mathrm{bk} \mathrm{vg} \operatorname{arm} \quad 9 \tau \iota \ldots \alpha \mu \pi-
$$ $\lambda \omega \nu \operatorname{s}]$ tunc dominus indignatus veniet $\left.\mathrm{k} \mid \tau_{4}\right]+$ ouv КАСDNXГ abeffiq vg syrresthel arm

Mc., Le. There is perhaps a reference to Gen. xv. 3, 4; the earlier messengers were but $\delta o \bar{v} \lambda o c$ and had no personal interest in the estate: the vios a y artrós is sole heir. Cf. Heb. i. 2
 see Westcott's note. Elsewhere in the N. 'I'. the word is used only in reference to the adopted sons of the Divine family ; cf. Jas. ii. 5, Rom. iv. 13, viii. 17, Gal. iii. 29, iv. I, 7, Tit. iii. 7, Heb. vi. 17 , xi. 17 ; cf. the use of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о р о \mu є і \nu$ supra, х. 17, and of $\kappa \lambda \eta$ povo $i^{\prime}$ in Gal. iii. I8, Eph. i. 14 \&c. To the only Son belongs, however, an unique heirship based on His unique
 of the Eternal Generation. $\Delta \epsilon \hat{u ̀ \tau \epsilon}$ $\dot{d} \pi о к \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega \mu \epsilon y$ aúvóv, Gen. xxxvii. 20, Lxx., the words of Joseph's brethren at Dothan. The Beloved Son was the Joseph of His own generation (cf. Gen. xxxvii. 3, 4).
 inheritance to which the parable refers is the vineyard, i.e. Israel (Ps. xxvii. (xxviii.) 9, xxxii. (xxxiii.) 12 , \&c.). If even the heathen werc to be the inheritance of the Son (Ps. ii. 8), much more was Israel. He had claimed it for Himself (cf. Jo. i. in), and even the partial response He received had awakened the jealousy of its rulers, and led to His death, which was due to a desperate effort on their part to recover their failing power over the people.
8. àmékтєьav av̉тóv] The Jewish rulens were in fact His murderers, though they were compelled to leave the execution in the hands of Gentiles
(Acts ii. 23, 36, iii. 15, I Thess. ii. 15). 'Anéктєlvà contemplates the Passion as already accomplished history; it was so in the purpose of the Sanhedrin and in the mind of Christ.
 In Mt. and Lc. the casting out precedes the death (Mt. 入aßóvres aưvòv

 to follow; but such details can scarcely be pressed. According to the imagery of the parable, casting forth from the vineyard is excommunication, formal or practical. In Jerusalem a follower of Jesus had been excommunicated some months before this (Jo. ix. 22, 34), and even if the Jerusalem synagogues had not dared to extend the sentence to the Master, He was treated as excommunicate when He was condemned as a blasphemer, and handed over for punishment to the civil power. Ori-


 тो̀ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rho$ òs Aápatov $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$. His crucifixion outside the gate of the Holy city (Jo. xix. 17) symbolised this virtual expulsion from the community of Israel ; cf. Heb. xiii. 12, I3.
 $\lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu_{0} ;$ What is the next step which the owner (for кúplos $=$ לַַַּ, cf. Lc. xix. 33) will take? He has no messenger remaining; his only son is dead: his servants are dead or their efforts have failed. Will he abandon his just claims and submit besides to outrage of the grossest kind? The



 aeth) Io $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \omega T \epsilon 60_{4}$
answer is clear: he will come in person to chastise and eject the men who have done this. In Mt. this answer is put into the mouth of the audience, whether the Sauhedrists or the people; in Le. the Lord answers His own question, and voices among the audience exclaim Mì $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ outo, betraying their consciousness of the meaning of the parable; Mc. leaves the answer unassigned, but seems to treat it as part of the Lord's own teaching. The divergence is interesting. In Mc. we probably bave the nucleus from which the two later accounts have grown; certainly it is difficult to suppose that Mt. xxi. 41 can have been uttered by the audience (Euth.: äкоутєs $\pi$ рафŋтєє́ovat каі aitol тó $\mu \dot{e} \lambda \lambda o \nu)$, though the words of Christ may well have awakened a response in their consciences and thus have become in a sense their own.
 $\kappa \dot{\prime} \rho \iota o s ~ \tau о \hat{u}$ d $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu o s$. The owner's coming will bring destruction upon the murderers, and the vineyard will be let ( $\delta \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon t=\epsilon \in \kappa \delta \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a L, \mathrm{Mt}$.) to other occupiers such as may be ready to pay him their yearly dues (Mt. only, oi-
 év roís кatpois aúr $\omega \nu$ ). The parable at this point becomes a scarcely veiled prophecy of the Divine visitation of wrath which befell Jerusalem, the call of the Gentiles, and the fruitfulness and permanence of the Catholic Church. Origen, followed by most of the ancient interpreters, explains ${ }^{\ddot{a}} \lambda \lambda o c s$ as referring to the Apostles (cf. I Cor. iii. 6 ff.) ; but a wider reference seems preferablethe 'other husbandmen' are the rulers
and guides of the Church throughout her generations. For $\epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ in reference to Divine visitations cf. Ps. xcr. (xcri.) 13, Amos r. 17, Enoch i. 9 (Jude 14); for another view of the substitution of the Gentile for the Jew, see Mt. viii. ir f., xxi. 19, and esp. Rom. xi. 17 ff, where addressing Gentiles St Paul points out that their tenure of the privilege which the older Israel had for the time forfeited is conditional upon a continued response to the Divine call (vo. 21, 23) ; cf. Jerome in Mt.: "locata est autem nobis vinea, et locata ea conditione ut reddamus Domino fructum temporibus suis."
 R. V. "Have ye not read even this scripture?" For ovió 'not even' in a question cf. Lc. vi. 3, xxiii. 40. Mt.
 yoadais; Lc., who takes the question

 тои̃o; Г $\rho a \phi \eta$ is a portion of Scripture, as in $\mathbf{x v} .28$, Jo. vii. 38, 42, xix. 37 ('єтє́ $\rho a \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ ), 2 Tim. iii. 16 ( $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ roa申' ), and almost always when the sing. is used; see Lightfoot on Gal. iii. 22. The passage was one in common use-hence ovi $\varepsilon$ : could it be that these students and teachers of the Scriptures were not acquainted even with the commonplaces of Holy Writ? (cf. v. 24).
 cxvii. (cxviii.) 22,23 , an exact quotation from the Lxx., which gives here a word for word rendering of the M. T. The quotation was perhaps suggested by the Hosanua verses (xi. 9, cf. 18, note) which it almost immediately precedes. In the Psalmist's view the
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stone is Israel, and the builders are the world-powers engaged in raising the fabric of history-whether Assyria and Babylonia, or, if the Psaln be Maccabaean, Syria represented by Epiphanes (see Cheyne, Origin of the Psalter, p. 16 f .). Israel had been cast aside (cf. Jer. xxviii. (li.) 26) by men in ligh places, but had recovered its place among the nations -had again become the $\kappa \kappa \phi a \lambda \eta$ rovias ( (ד) שixin), the bond of unity in the fabric, by reason of its unique office of witnessing to the One Living God. In our Lord's use of the words the conditions are changed; He , as the true representative of Israel's witness to GoD, is the Stone which is designed to be 'head of the corner'; the builders who cast the Elect Stone aside are the present leaders of Israel (Jerome: "quos supra vinitores appellarat, nume aedificatores"). This application of the words deeply impressed the Apostles, who reproduce it niore than once after the Pentecost (Acts if. ir, i Pet. ii. 4, 7) and connect with it the prophecy of Isa. xxpiii. I6 (Rom. ix. 32, Eph. ii. 20, i Pet. ii. 6); Christ receives the title of 入iӨos áxpoyovtaios, lapis angularis, the bond of unity in the new Israel (Eph. l.c.). The metaphor was perhaps unduly pressed by the Greek and Latin expositors (cc. T. K. Abbott, Ephesians, p. 70), e.g. by Euth., who



 кai т $\grave{\partial} \nu$ ' $\xi$ 'lovoaíwv. But the 'Corner Stone' cicarly emphasises the cohesion of believers in the Body of Christ, as the 'Foundation Stone' (1 Cor. iii. in) implies their dependence on His work and strength.

Jerome points out that, while the builders of Israel rejected both these purposes of the Lord's coming, the wise master-builder of the Gentile Church ("iuxta Paulum architectum") overlooked neither. The old hymin of the Sarum Dedication office boldly fuses both together: "angulare fundamentum lapis Christus missus est, qui compage parictum in utroque nectitur, | quem Syon sancta suscepit, in quo credens permanet."
 A continuation of the words of Ps. cxviii., omitted by Le. Aṽ ( AN ), 'this thing', a IIebraism (WM., pp. 39, 298, Blass, Gr., p. 82), which is due to the text of the Lxx. and not to the Synoptists themselves: for other exx. in the Lxx. see Driver on I Sam. iv. 7. Attempts to explain aṽrך as referriug to $\kappa є \phi a \lambda \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ or to $\gamma \omega v i a s$
 but yield am inferior sense ; see Field, Notes, p. 15. It is the elevation of the rejected stone into its predestined place at the head of the corner in which the Psalmist sees the hand of God ( $\quad$ apà Kupiov, WM., p. 457), and which is a standing miracle in the eyes of the true Israel ( $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\delta} \phi \theta . \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, W M .$, p. 482). The application of this to the Resurrection and Ascension is easy and attractive;

 $\tau є \kappa a i ̀ \epsilon \pi<\gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$.
Mc. omits a striking saying which
 т̀̀ $\lambda i \theta_{o \nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$.) and, after a slightly different form, in most texts of Mt.

 Lc. reminds us. K $\rho a \tau \eta \sigma a l$, the inf. as object, see Burton $\S 387$. For the second time (cf, xi. 18) the arrest

 $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \alpha \nu$.








would have been effected in the Orecinct by the $\sigma \tau \rho a r \eta y$ òs $\quad$ out $i \in \rho o \hat{v}$ (cf. Acts iv. I), if the people had not still

 sentence of. WM., p. 545 . Mt. adds that the crowd regarded Jesus as they had regarded His forerunner (xi. 32), in the light of a prophet. Mc. and Lc. explain the cause of the growing hostility of the Sanhedrists; they knew that the Parable of the Husbandmen was spoken in reference to them ( $\pi$ ) ods à̉roús: cf. Lc. xii. 4I, Heb. i. 7, 8, xi. 18). For the moment they had no alternative but to accept defeat and return to their council-chamber to mature their plots (ảфe ́vтes aủròv $\vec{a} \pi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta a v$, Mc. only). Meanwhile the Lord continued to teach in parables (Mt. xxii. I-14), addressing Himself to His disciples and the crowd.
13-17. The Pharisees' Question (Mt. xxii. 15-22, Le. xx. 20-26).
 The discomfiture which the Sanhedrin had suffered when acting in concert broke them up again into parties, each of which took action for itself. The Pharisees were the first to move
 $\ddot{\epsilon} \lambda a \beta o \nu)$, and they decided to send certain of their disciples (Mt. rove
 knew how to combine the vigilance of practised dissemblers with the ap-
parent innocence of young enquirers
 dıkaious eival). Their business was to entrap the Master into some remark by which He would be fatally com-
 (Mt.), are both är. $\lambda \in$ fo $^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{y}$ a in the N.T., but both are used by the Lxx. and in a metaphorical sense ( $\dot{\text { a jp }}$., Prov. v. 22, vi. 25 f., Job x. 16; may., I Regn. xxviii. 9, Eccl. ix. 12); in ar àpéévy $\lambda_{o}{ }^{\prime} \omega$, the dat. is instrumental or modal; speech-a question on their side, an answer on His -was to be the means employed in the capture of their prey. Cf Lc. xi. 54, where anpévév is similarly used; in the present context Lc. prefers the simpler phrase émıдaßєє val $\lambda$ óyou.
In this attempt the Pharisees associted with their own disciples "certain...of the Herodians" (Mc., Mt.). The Greek and Latin expositors generally understand bs 'H $\rho \varphi \delta$ avo here soldiers from Herod's arming, referring to Le. xxiii. II : but both the form of the adj. (cf. Bless on Acts xi. 26, and Gr. p. 63) and the circumstances of its occurrence decide for the meaning 'Herod's partisans'scarcely, as some authorities mentioned by Victor and Ps.-Tertull. ado. on. hater. I, persons who regarded Herod as the Messiah; see iii. 6, note. These nee were doubtless the Galilean Ilerodians who had already






#### Abstract
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proved themselves useful to the Pharisees, and might on the present occasion render service again.
 preamble is skilfully arranged with the view of disarming suspicion, and at the same time preventing escape. So independent and fearless a teacher of truth could not from fear of consequences either refuse an answer to honest and perplexed enquirers, or conceal His real opinion. For otioa $\mu \epsilon \nu$
 the opposite of $\psi \in v \delta$ ins $^{\prime}$ ( 1 Jo. ii. 8), as
 syn. § viii.) ; the use of the word by the Pharisees is an unconscious witness to the impression which Christ's life and teaching had left even upon enemies. 'A $A \eta \partial \eta$ in occurs here only in the Synoptists, but both adj. and noun are common in Jo.: truth is one of the notes of the Lord's Divine Mission as it is presented by St John (e.g. i. 17, iii. 32, v. 31 ff., vii. 18, viil. 13 ff., xiv. 6). Ovं $\mu \mathrm{A} \lambda \epsilon t$ бoi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ oúdєvós. There is veiled irony in the words. He had shewn little consideration for men of learning and hierarchical rank; doubtless He would be equally indifferent to the views of the Procurator and the Emperor himself; when the truth was concerned, His independence would assert itself with fearless innpartiality. For oú $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ бot cf. iv. 38, Le. x. 40, Jo. x. I3, I Pet. v. 7.
oủ yàp $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon s$ кт $\lambda$. $]$ Le. oủ $\lambda a \mu-$
 $\omega \pi \alpha$ (Jude 16), $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu$ (Jas.
 (Acts x. 34), $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \omega \pi \rho \lambda \eta \mu \psi i a(J a s$. ii. r, Rom. ii. if, Eph. vi. 9, Col. iii. 25): the compounds are unknown to the Lxx., which employs $\lambda . \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu(L e v$. xix. 15), $\theta$. по́о́б $\sigma \pi$ оу (Job xiii. 10),

 to the sense of the Heb. verb. Bגє́тєьン
 pay regard to the outward appearance or the personal character or position ; for the more difficult $\lambda a \mu$ -阝ónєє $\pi \rho$. ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu})$, which answers to on Gal. ii. 6, and Mayor on James l.c.
 well as life was characterised by truth. 'En' ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \theta$ eias (cf. Jobix. 2, Isa. xxxvii. I8, Dan. ii. 8 (Lxx. and Th.), Le. iv. 25, xxii. 59, Acts x. 34), "according to truth" (Blass, Gr. p. 133) -rather "with truth" (WM., p. 528). T $\dot{\eta} \nu$ a $\delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\partial} \nu$ rov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, not as in i. 3 'the way along which He comes,' but 'the way which He appoints for men,' cf. Acts xviii. 25 f., also $\dot{\eta}$ jodos $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\lambda \eta$ Gzias (2 Pet. ii. 2), or $\begin{array}{r}\text { i } \\ \text { óós simply, }\end{array}$ as a term for the Christian faith and its followers (Acts ix. 2, xix. 9, 23, xxiv. 14, 22). This use of diós is a Hebraism (cf. B D B. s.c. דֶּ דֶ), of which there are frequent instances in the lux., e.g. in Gen. vi. I2, Ps. i. I, 6 , Jer. xxi. 8 ; comp. the opening of the Didache (óoi dvo eiбi, mia rîs
 Teaching, p. 7 ff ), and the Lord's words in Mt. vii. I3, I4.




 syricl corr arm $\mid \delta \eta \mu a \rho \iota o \mu]+\omega \delta \epsilon \aleph^{*}$ i $b$
can no longer refrain from putting the question with which they had been charged．Mt．begins cimòv oủy $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu$ тí oor סoкeí；but the abrupt $\vec{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \tau \omega(\mathrm{Mc} ., \mathrm{Lc}$ ．）is perhaps more in keeping with the impatience of these young intriguers．${ }^{7} \mathrm{E} \xi \in \sigma \tau u$ ，＇does the ＇Torah permit it ？＇cf．ii．24，26，vi．18， x．2．K $\eta \nu \sigma o v, ~ M t ., ~ M e . ; ~ L e . ~ ф o ́ \rho o \nu: ~$ the Latiu word is transliterated also in Aramaic（ND，Dalman，Gr．p．147）． The census is the poll tax（éтıкєфá－入atov in cod．D，Syrr．${ }^{\text {sin．，pesl．}}$ Kama
 tis，as distinguished from the trilutum agri，and from the customs on articles of commerce（ $\tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ ，cf．Mt．xvii．25）． The Judacan poll tax went into the Emperor＇s fiscus，not into the aera－ rium，so that it was actually paid to ＂Caesar：＂The payment was objection－ able both as a sign of subjection to a foreign power（Mt．l．c．），and because of the Emperor＇s effigy stamped on the denarius in which the money was paid （Madden，Jewish Coinage，p．247）． The copper coins struck by the Pro－ curators were free from the effigies， usually bearing some device to which no objection could be taken，cornu－ copiae，or leares of the olive，vine，or paln（Schürer L ii．，p．77，Madden， p．135）；but the silver denarius， which was not a local coin，bore the head of the Imperator，and its com－ pulsory use could not but increase the scruples of patriotic Jews．For Kaíacp see Jo．xix．12，15，Acts xvii． 7, xxv． 8 ff．，Phil．iv．22．A summary of Jowish opinion on the duty of Israel towards its foreign rulers is given by Weber，Jüd．Theologie，p．

$\delta \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \bar{\eta} \hat{\delta} \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \nu ;$ Deliberative
subj．，as in iv．3o，vi．24，37．They require a direct answer，＇ycs＇or＇no，＇ as if the question called for no more． A negative auswer was of course de－ sired；they boped to hear him say OU＇к $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \tau u$ ．Such a reply，in the present temper of the crowd，might have placed Him at once at the hoad of a popular rebellion（Acts v．37）；at the least it would have involved Hims in a charge of treason（Lc．xxiii．2）． And，as they justly said，no fear of consequences would have withheld Him from making it，if it had been true．
 Mt．$\gamma^{\text {yoùs } \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ т о \nu \eta р i a y ~ a u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ L c . ~ к а т а-~}$
 variations of both verb and noun are instructive．Malice（ $\pi$ ounpia）lay at the root of their conduct，unscrupulous cunning（ravoopyia）supplied them with the means of sceking their end， whilst they sought to screen them－ selves under the pretence（vimóxpıбts） of a desire for guidance and an admi－ ration of fearless truthfulncss．The Lord detected their true character intuitively（ $\epsilon i \delta \omega^{\omega} s$ ），Ho knew it by experience（ $\gamma v o v s$ s），and He perceived it by tokens which did not escape His observation（kavavoq́oas）．Thus each Evangelist contributes to the completeness of the picture．＇Yォó－ xpuas occurs here only in Mc．；for讠ंлокригй＇s see vii．6，note；other in－ stances of the Lord＇s power of de－ tecting hypoerisy may be found in ii． 8 ，iii．Iff．，vii． I 1 ff ， x .2 ff ．
ri $\mu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon ;$ ］For this use of
 Ti remonstrates，of．ii． 7, y． 35,39 ， viii． $12,17, x .18$ ，xi． 3 ，xifi． 6 ．What was their object in provoking Him to
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deliver judgment upou a hotly contested point? The question lays bare their veiled malignity. Mt. adds $\dot{v} \pi \sigma$. kptraí, which is implied in Me's $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{j}$ крıгт.
 narius (דינרא ef. Dalman, Gr. p. 149) was not likely to be ready at hand, since only Jewish coins were current in the Temple; they must fetch oue for Him to see ( $\overline{z v a} \bar{\partial} \delta \omega)$; Bengel's suggestion, "Salvator tum primum videtur tetigisse et spectasse denarium," is improbable ; the Lord wishes to see the denarius that He may use it to demonstrate His teaching. It is casy to realise the pause which followed, the fresh interest excited by the production of the coin (oit $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ $\eta_{\eta} \dot{\gamma} \mathrm{\gamma} \times a \nu$ ), and the breathless silence while all waited for the momentous reply. Mt. and Lc. have missed this characteristic feature in the story,

 ( 2 Esdr. viii. 36, I Mace. xy. 6) tov̂ кíporv, the coin in which the tribute was paid (see note on $\boldsymbol{v}$. I4).
 $\gamma \rho a$ ń; $^{\prime} \mathrm{Vg}$. cuius est imago laaec et inscriptio (scriptio, superscriptio)? Sce the engraving of a denarius of Tiberius in Madden, p. 247, or in Hastings, D. B. iii. pp. 424-5; the
 F. $A V G \cdot$, and on the reverse, pontif maxim - In the Epp. eiкс́y passes into a theological term, the meaning of which is exhaustively investigated by Lightfoot on Col. i. I5.
oi $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ єíaly $k \tau \lambda$.] There was no
escape from this answer, even if they suspected the purpose it would serve. They could not in this case plead ouv
 legend proclaimed the fact.
 plenam miraculi responsionem et perfectam dicti caelestis absolutionem"


 The thought seems to be: 'The coin is Caesar's ; let him have his own. The fact that it cirenlates in Judaea shews that in the ordering of Gon's providence Judaea is now under Roman rule ; recognise facts, so long as they exist, as interpreting to you the Divine Will, and subnit.' Cf. Rom. xiii. 7, I Pet. ii. ${ }_{3}$ f., and see the note on The Church and the Civil Power in SH., Romans, p. 369. Contrast with the Lord's answer the teaching of another northern leader, Judas the Gaulanite, Jos. ant. xviii. I.

 t. xvii. 25). Granted that payment was a badge of slavery, there are circumstances, Christ teaches, under which slavery must be borne. 'A $\mathrm{A} \boldsymbol{0}$ סoival, which is substituted in the answer for doiva in the question, implies that the tribute is a debt: cf. Rom. l.c., and see Mt. v. 26, xvii. 28 ff.
 tion rested on an implied incompatibility of the payment of tribute with the requirements of the Law of God; the Lord replies that there is no such incompatibility: ov̉ кш入v̇єтal tis $\dot{\pi} \pi \sigma-$

##  $\alpha \cup \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega$. <br> 

 $\left.\mathrm{ACNX} Г П \Sigma \Phi \min ^{\mathrm{p}} \mid \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega\right] \epsilon \pi$ avtov $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{K}) 288^{\mathrm{pe}}$

8ıdoùs Kaíapı тà Kaifapos à àodıóóva
 to man and debts to God are both to be discharged, and the two spheres of duty are at once distinct and reconcileable; cf. Dalman, Worte, i. p. 113. Tà rov̂ $\theta_{\text {fov }}$ in the narrower and immediate sense of the words may mean, as Jerome says, "decimas, primitias, et oblationes ac victimas"; in its wider application the term includes the best that man has to offer, his own nature, which bears the image of God (Lc. xv. 8-10): "quemadmodum Caesar a nobis exigit impressionem imaginis sui, sic et Dens ut...Deo reddatur anima" (Bede); "Deo propria...corpus, animam, voluntatem" (Hilary).
 stood amazed (R. V. "wondered
 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in the N. T., but occurs in Sir. xxvii. 23, xliii. 18, 4 Macc. xvii. 17; compare Mc.'s use of $\bar{\epsilon} \times \theta a \mu \beta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a$,
 preserved a discreet silence (Lc. є $\sigma i \gamma \eta$ $\sigma a v$, and presently took their leave
 tatem cum miraculo pariter reportantes" (Jerome). They wondered perhaps not so much at the profound truth of the words, which they could scarcely have realised, as at the absence in them of anything on which they could lay
 тои $\lambda o ́$ óou).

18-27. Tefe Question of the Sadducees (Mt. xxii. 23-33; Lc. xx. $27-38$ ).

 has not been mentioned by Mc. or Lc. hitherto (see however Mc. viii. II,
note). It was nearly identified with the priestly aristocracy (Acts 4.17 o

 headquarters were at Jerusalem, whilst the Pharisaic seribes were to be found iu Galilec as well as in Judaea (Lc. v. 17); moreover, its adherents were relatively few (Jos. ant. xviii. I. 4), and were not, like the Pharisees, in possession of the popular esteem (ib. xiii. io. 6). The present opportunity of approaching Jesus upon the question which divided them from the Pharisees was probably the first which had offered itself; the discomfiture of the disciples of the Pharisees left the field free for their rivals.


 $\pi \nu \in \tilde{p} a$. Jos. ant. xviii. I. 4 Eå̂ov-
 тoís $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \sigma$. For further information as to the party and their tenets see Schürer, II. ii. p. 29 ff., Taylor, Sayings, Exc. jii., and cf. Jos. B. J. ii. 8.

 For oitcues $\lambda$. cf. iv. 20, ix. $1, x y .7$, and see WM., p. 209, note, and Bp. Lightfoot on Gal. iv. 24, v. 19; the relative elause applies to the Sadducees in general, not only to the particular members of the party to whom reference has been made. 'Aváotagts as a theological term appears first in 2 Macc. (vii. 14, xii. 43), Ps. lxy. (lxx.) tit. In the N.T., besides the present context and its synoptic parallels, it occurs Lc.er. 2, act. 11, Jo. ${ }^{\text {ev. } 4, ~ a p o c . ~}{ }^{2}$, Paul ${ }^{8}$, Heb. ${ }^{3}$, i Pet. ${ }^{2}$, usually with a qualifying genc (oıcaiav,










 arm
 （Lc．ii．34）in a non－technical sense． M $\grave{\eta}$ eivat ；this negation of the resurrec－ tion was matter of opinion，not of fact （ovik cival）；cf．WM．，p． 604.
 was perhaps partly tentative；they were curious to know the exact position which this teacher，who was known to be adverse to the Pharisees， would take with regard to the main point at issue between the Pharisees and themselves．But their purpose was hostile；the extreme case they offer for His opinion is clearly in－ tended as a reductio ad absurdum of any view but their own．

19．ס̇ঠ́árкадє］On their lips the title is purely formal；there is here no pretence of a desire to learn such as may have dictated its use by the disciples of the Pharisees（ $v .14$ ）．The actual question（ $̇ \pi \eta \rho \omega ́ т \omega \nu)$ does not come before o． 23 ；but all that pre－ cedes is preamble to what they in－ tended to ask．
 Deut．xxy． 5 f．The exact words are not cited by the Synoptists，nor do they agree in the form adopted；Lc． on the whole follows Mc．，but Mt．
 into éáp rts，and for $\lambda \dot{\beta} \beta_{\eta}$ uses the technical $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \gamma a \mu \beta \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$（ $\mathrm{LXX},{ }^{10}$ ，Aq．in Deut．l．c．；är．$\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$ ．in N．T．）．Josephus （ant．iv．8．23）states the law of




 institution as it existed in Israel see Driver，Deuteronomy，p． 280 ff ，and for an early instance of its use，cf． Gen．xxxvili． 8 （a chapter assigned to J，Driver，Intr．，p．I5）．For the at－ tribution of Deut．to Moses see x． 3 f． ${ }^{\text {＂Otathetiva：a confusion of two con－}}$

 which Lc．avoids by omitting ôt． ${ }^{*}$ Еүра廿єи ．．．̈va，i．e．$\gamma \rho a \phi \bar{\eta}$ є̀уєтєілато．．． ＂̈va，cf．xiii． 34.
táv tevos áde入фòs ḋпоө́áv］The Deuteronomic law is limited to a
 є̇лi тò av̀ró．＂When the members of the family were separated，the law did not apply．It was a collateral object of the institution to prevent a family inheritance from being broken up＂（Driver）．
 The Sadducees interpret $\dagger \frac{3}{}$ In the
 air $\hat{\omega}$ ），but the purpose of the law seems to shew that its operation is to be limited to cases where no male issue was left．Comp．Wünsche on Mt．xxii．24．Kata入eímeıv and àфtépaı are employed indifferently in this pas－ sage in reference to the issue of the










19 єद̆avaбтךбє АСНГ min

 syrr ${ }^{\text {jin peah hel }(t \mathbf{t x t})}$ arm priusquam generaret filium decessit et non remisit semen k
 21 e $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu$ avr $\eta \nu]+a d$ suscitandum semen fratris sui $\mathrm{c}+$ resuscitare semen fratri suo
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$\sigma \pi$.), but karad. only is used of the wife (19 катадimg juvaika); sce how-

 see Deissmann, Bibl. Studies, p. 19o.
 avaorticel ort. A reminiscence of Gen. xxxvili. 8 àyá $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma o v ~ \sigma \pi є ́ p \mu a ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ àठє $\lambda \phi \hat{\varphi}$
 Gen. iv. 25 , xix. 34 , and the compound verb is common in the lxx.; in the N.T. it occurs again in Acts xv. 5 (cf.

 Mt. writes as if they professed that the case had actually occurred: गoray $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{i}$ e. ad . The position of encá draws attention to the number. Victor is probably right: $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\pi} \pi \lambda a \sigma a \nu . .$.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ dyá $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota$. ' $\mathrm{A} \pi о \theta \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$, at his death; for the connesion of this pres.
 in the next verse $\boldsymbol{a} \pi$ о $\theta \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \ldots{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \phi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ becomes without change of sense
 ' $\Omega \sigma a v i t \omega s$ кai-so the words are best arranged (cf. D, кaì $\omega^{\prime} \sigma a i ́ \tau \omega s$ ढ̈ $\lambda a \beta o \nu$
 For $\dot{\omega} \sigma$. kai see xiv. 31, I Cor. xi. 25, I Tim. v. 25. Oi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau a \dot{a}$ : the $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a}$ $a \hat{a} \delta \lambda \phi 0_{i}^{\prime}$ mentioned above ( $v$. 20). ${ }^{*}$ E $\sigma$ 保ou is used adverbially as in Num. xxxi. 2, Deut. xxxi. 27, 29 ( xv. 8; Mt, Lc. substitute the more usual $\ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$. The wife survived all the seven. She too (kai) was now dead (ant $\theta a v e \nu)$; so that the interest of the case had passed over to the future life, if such there were.
23. $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta}$ àvaгтá $\sigma \in \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The drift of their story at length appears; it is supposed to present a difficulty






to believers in the Resurrection. $\mathrm{T} \hat{\eta}$ àvactáget:'that resurrection for which, on the shewing of the Pharisees, we are to look'; for the art. cf. Le. xiv. 14, Jo. xi. 24, Acts xvii. I8, I Cor. xv. 40. Mt. and Le. insert ouv: in Mc. the moral of the story is produced with characteristic bluntness (cf. $v .14{ }^{\prime \prime} \xi$ earav doûval) without conjunction or preface as in Mt. xxii. 17. Crude as the question may seem, it must have offered serious difficulties to the Pharisees, who held materialistic views as to the future state: cf.
 $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \nu \quad \chi^{\iota} \lambda$ cádas, and Sohar citod by Schöttgen on Mt. xxii. 28, "mulier illa quae duobus nupsit in hoc mundo, priori restituitur." For ë $\chi \in \epsilon \nu$ rı»à yuvaîka cf. Mt. iii. 9, Acts xiii. 5, Phil. iii. 17 . On 'Western' readings in this verse see WH., Notes, p. 26.
24. où ठ̀̀̀̀ тâ̂тo $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \hat{\theta}_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'Is not this the reason why ye go wrong, that ye know not \&c.?' The difficulty which seemed to these men insuperable was due to an error on their own part, and the error was the result of iguorance. For ov $\pi \lambda a-$ $\nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon$; (ef. I Cor. xy. 33) Mt. has the direct $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, but the question is characteristic of our Lord's manner; cf. oúoí̀ (ov̉к)...ivé $\gamma \nu \omega \tau \epsilon$ ( $v v, 10,26$ ). On ס̀à roûro.. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ єid. see WM., p. zoI; $\mu \eta$ follows $\delta . \pi$., because the ignorance is viewed relatively to the error and not simply as matter of fact (oủk $\in i \delta$., cf. I Regn. ii. 12, Prov. vii. 23). The ignorance was twofold: (I) ignorance of Scriptore, (2) ignorance of God (cf. I Cor. xv. 34 à àwatà $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ tuvès ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Хоvбu) ; both jnexcusable in members of the priesthood, as most of
these men probably were (see o. 18). The Lord deals with the second of these causes of error first, since it is fundamental. For $\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots \mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ cf. vi. II, xiii. 15 (WM., p. 6I2 f.), and for $a_{i}^{i}$ ypaфai, 'the contents of the canon,' see xiv. 49, Le. xxiv. 27, 32, 44 f .


 шу
 the Pharisees also, so far as they connected marriage and the propagation of the race with the future life) shewed themselves incapable of conceiving a power which could produce an order entircly different from any within their experience. They assumed either that God could not raise the dead, or that He could raise them only to a life which would be a counterpart of the present, or even more replete with material pleasures.


 тıs...кai à $\gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$. Compare St Paul's

 ( 1 Cor. xy. 35 ff) Neкpoi is anarthrous in the phrase é $火 v \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, with the single exception of Eph. v. 14; on the other hand we find aido $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$., Mt. xiv. 2, xxvii. 64, xxviii. 7 (àmò $\nu$, Lc. xvi. 30 , but in another connexion);
 ข. infra, v. 26; vi $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$, I Cor.
 shall have risen,' i.e. in the life which will follow the resurrection. Fauits$\sigma \theta a t, \gamma a \mu i \sigma \kappa \in \sigma \theta a c$ (Le. has both forms, cf, Blass, Gr. p. 52), of the woman,







 $\min ^{\mathrm{pl}}$
'to be given in marriage'; both are words of the later Gk.; for yapifelv cf. 1 Cor. vii. $3^{8}$ (WSchm., p. 126).「aueiv is used here, in its proper sense, of the man; see note on $x .11 \mathrm{f}$., and cf. Mt. xxiv. 38, Le. xvii. 27.
 Similarly Mt.; Le., who paraphrases

 єi大ıy $\theta \in o \hat{u}$ (cf. Gen. vi. 2, Heb. and
 See Dalman, Worte, i. p. 16ı. Their equality with angels consists in their deliverance from mortality and its consequences: cf. Phil. de sacrif. Ab. et Cain 2, 'Аßраà $\mu$ ék $\lambda เ \pi \omega ̀ \nu$

 yєyovós. Comp. Enoch xy. 4 ff. for the Jewish view of the freedom of Angels from the conditions which render marriage necessary for mankind. The reference to angels meets in passing another Sadducean tenet; the Lord was with the Pharisees in their maintenance of the doctrine of Angels and spirits, as well as in their belief in a future resurrection (cf. Acts xxiii. 6 ff .). On Christ's doctrine of the future life as disclosed in this passage see Latham, Service of Angels, pp. 40 ff ., 50 ff . Even if we omit of (pV. ll.), év roís oujanois is to be connected with ä $\gamma_{\gamma \epsilon \lambda}$ (cf. siii. 32), not with eifiv.
26. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\grave{c}}$ ถ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'It is, then, possiblo for human life to exist under new conditions which
will remove the supposed difficulty. Now as to the general question. God can create new conditions under which a risen life may be possible. But is there reason for supposing that He will do so? The law itself, rightly understood, implies that He will.' For $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, quod attinel ad, at the hoad of a sentence, introducing the subject which is to be stated or discussed, see WM., p. 467. 'Eyєiроутаи, "they rise," the 'gnomic present'; see Burton § 12, and ef.



 formula see ii. 25 , Mt. xii. 5 , xix. 4, xxi. 16, 42, Lc. vi. 3 .
 Torah is elsewhere in the N.T. called vó $\mu$ os M M $v \sigma$ '́́os (Lc. xxiv. 44, Jo. i. 45, Acts xxviii. 23) or simply Mavon̂s (Lc. xvi. 29) ; but $\beta$ itionos or $\beta t \beta \lambda i o v$ M. is frequent in the Lxx. (2 Chron. xxxv. 12, I Esdr. ч. 48, vii. 6, 9, 'fob. vi. I3, vii, 12 ( $\mathbf{N})$ ) ; for a similar use of $\beta i \beta \lambda_{\text {os }}$ in the N.T. see Lc. iii.
 ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \beta$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. The Lord refers, as the Sadducees referred, to the Pentateuch, the authority of which could not be disputed by any Jewish party; on the attitude of the sect towards the later books see Dr Taylor's remarks, Sayings, p. 128 f . and cf. Ryle, Canon, p. 175. In adopting the ordinary title of the Pentateuch the Lord does not of
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course dogmatically teach the Mosaic authorship of the Law or of any part of it in its existing form; see note on i. 44. 'Emi rov̂ Bárov, "on the bousche" (Wycliffe), "in the busshe" (Tindale); rather "in the place concerning the bush" (R.V.), or "at ' the Bush," i.e. in the section of the Law which relates to the burning bush (Fxod. iii. 1 ff ., where an open paras $/ \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { c }} \mathrm{h}$ still begins); a similar indication of a "preTalmudic system of sections" (Ryle, p. 236) occurs in Rom. xi. 2 év'H ${ }^{\prime}$ cia, where see SH. Bátos is masc. in the Lxx. (Exod. iii. 2 ff., Deut. xxxiii. 16), but fem. in Lc. $\mathbf{x x} .37$, Acts vii. 35 (cf.
 The word belongs to the numerous class of Homeric nouns which reappear in Aristophanes and the comedians (Kennedy, Sources, p. 77 f.).
 use of $\pi \bar{\omega}$ s cf. v. ${ }^{2} 6$, Acts ix. 27, xi. 13,
 тoû $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}$ : Le., less exactly, M $\omega v \sigma \hat{n} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \eta v \sigma \epsilon \nu$, attributing the Divine words to the supposed author of the book. The words were addressed to Moses (avirê Mc.), but the revelation they contained was for the latest generation of Israel ( $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\nu}, \mathrm{Mt}$ ).


 article is not repeated, for the Porson is One; the repetition of $\theta$ gós on the other hand emphasises the distinct relation in which God stands
to each individual saint. In quoting this passage the Lord argues thus: 'In this place God reveals Himself as standing in a real relation to men who were long dead. But the living God cannot be in relation with any who have ceased to exist; therefore the patriarchs were still living in His sight at the time of the Exodus; dead to the visible world, they were alive unto GoD.' Origen: ä $\tau o \pi o y$



 каі $\dot{\delta}$ 'Ібаàk каі $\dot{o}$ 'Іакш́ $\beta$. This argument establishes the immortality of the soul, but not, at first sight or directly, the resurrection of the body. But the resurrection of the body follows, when it is understood that the body is a true part of human nature; comp. Westcott, Gospel of the Resurrection, pp. 140 ff., 155 ff. God would not leave men with whom He maintained relations in an imperfect condition; the living soul must in due time recover its partner; the dcath of the body could only be a suspension of vital activities which in some other form would be resumed. For partial parallels in Rabbinical writiugs see J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxii. 32.
27. оท̉к 光 $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ө $\epsilon$ ós кт入.] ' He is not a God of dead men, but of living.'
 is a change of relation to the world and to men; it does not change our





#### Abstract

   $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ табөv $\epsilon \tau \tau 0 \lambda \eta \mathrm{M}^{*} \min ^{\mathrm{mu}}$


relation to God. There are two striking parallels in 4 Maccabees, nii. 19 oi





 ápxai. Lightfoot on Mt. quotes Rabbinical sayings to the same purpose. With the anarthrous $\nu$ eкp $\hat{\omega}$, $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ cf.

$\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \pi \lambda a \nu a ̂ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon]$ Mc. only. Not only were they in error, but their error was a great and far-reaching one. The priestly aristocrats submitted to the reproof in silence (Mt.
 thusiasm of the people rose yet higher (Mt. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau o$ ). Yet it was not a logical victory which the Lord desired, but the recovery of the erring
 are used in a moral sense by the ixx. from Deut. iv. 19 onwards, esp. in the sapiential books and the Prophets, and by the N.T. writers exclusively.

28-34. The Scribe's Question (ML. xxii. 34-40).
28. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon$ єis $\tau \omega \nu \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \in \epsilon \nu]$ Ace. to Mt. (xxii. 34) the discomfiture of the Sadducees led to a fresh gathering of their rivals, and the question was proposed by the scribe with a distinctly hostile purpose
 on Mt.: "non quasi discipulus sed quasi tentator accedit"). In lic., on the other hand, some of the Scribes openly approve of the Lord's answer to the Sadducees (xx. 39), and Mc.
clearly regards the scribe who questioned the Lord as free from malicious intent (o. 34). The Greck commentators endeavour to reconcile the two


 But the attempt cannot be regarded as satisfactory. Doubtless the repulse of the Sadducces was received by the Pharisees with very mixed feelings; the majority, in whom hatred of Jesus was stronger than zeal for a dogma, were irritated by His fresh victory; a few, among whom was this scribe, were constrained to admire, eveu if they were willing to criticise, the Rabbi who, though not ILimself a Pharisce, surpassed the Pharisees as a champion of the truth. Eif $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \rho$.,
 ขо $\iota к$ ќs (see note on ii. 6) ; for another instance of a solitary scribe approaching our Lord without hostile intentions see Mt. viii. ı9, and cf. Jo, iii. if. The Pharisees as a body were not present during the interview with the Sadducees; this man had heard the
 Wycliffe, "sekynge togidere"), and recognised ( $\epsilon i \delta{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{\omega}$ s) the excellence of
 When they were gone he stepped forward ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu)$, and put another
 the motive of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu$, and through $\epsilon i \delta \omega \dot{s}$ of $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \eta \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ also (cf. Meyer). For the construction d́кovigas av̀тay $\sigma v \nu \zeta$. cf. Acts x. 46, xi. 7 and WM., p. 434 .
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 Vg. (interrogavit tum quod esse primum omnium mandatum) and the R.V. " what commandment is the first of all ?" overlook the distinction between moos and fris which, though faint, still exists in the N.T. (see note on xi. 28). The Lord is not asked to select one commandment out of the Ten, but to specify a class of commandments, or a particular commandmont as representative of a class, to which the priority belongs; cf. Rom.



 single word-"first-of-all"; cf WM., p. 222, Bless (Gr. p. 108), who explains the construction by "a stereotyped use of the neuter najucay to intensify the superlative." The construction is perhaps without an exact parallel in class. or contemporary Gk.; see Field, Notes, p. 36, who disputes Fritzsche's reference to Ar. $A v .47 \mathrm{I}$, and seeks an example in Chrysostom.
 Lord replies in the words of Deut. vi. 4 ff., part of the first clause of the Shema, which was recited daily by
every Jew and written on the minnatore roll which the scribe carried in his phylactery (Schürer, iI. ii. pp. 84, in). The words had thus already been singled out by tradition as of primary importance; the Shema was regarded as including the Decalogue (Taylor, Sayings, pp. 52, 132); and the passage from Deut. vi. stood in the forefront of this fundamental confession of faith and duty, as if claiming by its very position the
 Wünsche, neue Beiträge, p. 399. On the various renderings proposed for
 Deuteronomy p. 89, who decides in favour of "J. our God is one J."
30. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ on $\lambda \eta s[\tau \bar{\eta} s]$ hap $\delta i a s ~ к \tau \lambda$.$] The$ present B text of the lax. gives $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$

 Stauoias is a correction by the second hand, probably for kapoias, which is the reading of cody. A and F. Kap8ía and $\delta$ cávoca are often interchanged in the lxx. and its mss. (cf. Hatch, Essays, p. 104), and almost the same may be said of $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu t s$ and $i \sigma x^{\prime}$ 's. The three

${ }^{35} \delta \epsilon \cup \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \alpha и ̆ т \eta ~ ' A \gamma \alpha \pi \eta ' \sigma \epsilon i s ~ т o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu ~ \sigma o u ~ w i s ~ 31 ~$


 $\mu \epsilon i \xi u y . . . \epsilon \sigma \pi \tau]$ hoc est magnum mandatum a
represent the sum of the powers which belong to the composite life of man; the first two are frequently combined, especially in Deut., where the writer desircs to enforce" the devotion of the whole being to GoD," the 'heart' being in the psychology of the ancient Hebrews the organ of intellect, and the 'soul' of the desires and affections" (Driver, Deuteronomy, pp. 73, 91); the third word (used in this sense only here and in 2 Kings xxiii. 25) adds the thought of the forces which reside in these parts of human uature, and in the body through which they act. See the scholastic treatment of this subject by Thomas Aq., p. 2, q. 27 , art. 5 ; q. 44 , art. 4 f.

Mt. follows the Heb. in substituting $\dot{\epsilon v}(\underset{i}{3})$ for $\epsilon \xi$, ter; on the other hand he agrees with Mc. in giving the doublet kapoias, סaayoias, and altogether omits the important clause
 x. 27) combines Mt.'s presentation of the passage with Mc.'s ( $\epsilon \mathcal{\xi}$ ö $\lambda \eta s$ кap $\delta i a s$

 Regarded from one point of view, love dwells in the heart; from another, it proceeds from it, overflowing into the life of men.

On кapঠia see ii. 6, note, iii. 5 , vi. 52, vii. 19, 21 ; סtáyou, so far as it is distinguishable from kapóia (cf. Lc. i. 51 davoia kapitas), is "the process of rational thought" (Westcott on I Jo. v. 20), or the faculty of thought itself, the mind (ef. Plat. legg. 916 a $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ кarà
 Cremer s.v.); see i Pet. i. I3, 2 Pet. iii. 1 .
'Ayantiocts, diliges, prescribes the higher love which is due to God, and
under God to man regarded as His creature ( $c .31$ ) ; cf. Trench, syn. xii. It is áján , not $\phi i \lambda i a$, which is the sum of human duty. Neither the Lax. nor the N.T. uses $\phi$ inciu of the love due to God, in respect of His essential Bcing; yet ef. Prov. viii. 17, I Cor. xyi. 22 .

3I. סeutépa aũt $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Mt. adds ópoía. In the question no reference has been made to a second commandment, but the Lord adds it in order to complete the summary of human

 The citation is from Lev. xix. 18 Lxx., verbatim; the passage is quoted again in Jas. ii. 8 (where see Mayor's note), Rom. xiii. 9, Gal. y. 14. As Bp Lightfoot points out (Gal. l.c.), "in the original text the word 'neighbour' is apparently restricted to the Jewish people," for roir víois rov̂ $\lambda$ aov̂ aov occurs in the first member of the parallelism; that Jesus used it in the widest sense is clear from Lc. $x$. 29 ff . So understood the saying was a recapitulation of the second part of the Decalogue : see Rom. l.c. sò $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ Ou $\mu 0 \tau \chi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon t s$ кј $\lambda$. (cf. note on Mc. vii. 2I)


 the prominence given to it by Jewish teachers see Wünsche on Mt. xxii. 39. Acc. to Mt. the Lord added : © $\boldsymbol{y}$ raú-
 sce Hort, Jud. Chr., p. 21) ó עо́доs кр́́датаі каі of трофйтас. They were the first two commandments becausc they revealed the ultimate principles of morality which it was the business of the Law as a whole to enforce, and on which the ripest teaching of the
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Prophets depended. As to the relative importance of the commandments the Lord is content to say that these fundamental laws of human life are second to none- $\mu \boldsymbol{i} i \zeta \omega \nu$ таи́т $\omega \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$

 This verse and the next two are peculiar to Mc. Ka入os, 'well said,' cf. Jo. iv. 17, xiii. 13, and see note on vii. 6 ; for $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \lambda \eta \theta \in i a s$ cf. xii. I4. ' $E \pi$ ' à. confirms калөิs; the saying was truly a fine one; Wycliffe, "in truthe thou hast wel seide," R.V. "of a truth ...thou hast welI said." Tindale, followed by Cranmer and A.V., conneets $\epsilon \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda$. with $\epsilon \hat{i} \pi a s$ (" well, master, thou hast sayd the truthe"), but with less probability. "Ote introduces the rehearsal of what the Lord had said, "that" (R.V.), not "for" (A.V.) ; "̈rt fis $\epsilon \sigma r u y$, "that He is one"; the Scribe refraius from unnecessarily repeating the Sacred Name. Oik $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \not{a ̈} \lambda \lambda o s$ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ av่тồ : an O.T. phrase, cf. Exod. viii. Io (6), Deut. iv. 35, Isa. xlv. 2 I.
 On ajanạy see $b$. 30 , note. The repetition is due to a desire to keep the two commandments sepa-
rate. The scribe substitutes $\sigma v v^{\prime}$ ars for $\delta$ távoua and omits $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$. For aŕveats see Bp Lightfoot's note on Col. i. 9, and the note on Mc. vii. I8 supra; according to Aristotle it represents the critical side of the in-
 which had special interest for men of this class. F'rom the scribe's ready answer Bede gathers "inter scribas et Pharisaeos quaestionem esse versatam quod esset mandatum primum...quibusdam videlicet hostias et sacrificia laudantibus, aliis vero maiore auctoritate fidem et dilectionis opera praeferentibus." It is to the credit of this scribe that he held the latter view. Пєрьббо́тєро́̀ є́бтьн кт入.: the words are bascd apparently on I Regn. xy. 22. Evaiac ('זְרָים) are sacrifices in
 ristic offerings, "nobilissima species sacrificiorum" (Bengel): a more complete classification of the varions kinds of sacrifice is cited in Heb. x . 5, from Ps. xxxix. (xl) 7 (see Westcott, Hebrews, p. 309). Пєри $\sigma$ бо́тє $\rho о{ }^{\prime}$, 'far more,' cf. vii. 36 , xii. 4o. For Rabbinical parallels to the Scribe's saying see Wünsche ad l.





 $1071 \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{enc}}$
 forestalls the subject of the dependent clause ; cf. WM., p. 78 r. What the Lord observed in reference to this man was the intelligcuce displayed by his answor. It was shewn not only in accepting the Lord's judgement as to the two primary commandments, but in detecting and admitting the principle on which the judgement rested, viz. the supcriority of moral over ritual obligations. Nouvє $\chi \hat{\omega} s, a^{a} \pi$. $\lambda_{\text {e }} \gamma$ in Biblical Gk., occurs in Aristotle and later writers, esp. Polybius, as equivalent to עоvขє $\chi^{o ́ v \tau \omega s(L o b . ~ P h r y n . ~}$ p. 599).

 $\chi^{\epsilon(\nu)}$ cf. Lc. vii. 6, Jo. xxi. 8, Acts xvii. 27. Under the old theocracy of накрás are either exiled Jews (Isa. lvii. 19), or the Gentiles (Eph. ii. 13); distance from the new Kingdom is measured neither by miles, nor by ceremonial standards, but by spiritual conditions. The man was to some extent intellectually qualified for admission to the Kingdom; certainly he had grasped one of its fundamental principles. It would be interesting to work out a comparison between this scribe and the ${ }^{0} \rho \chi \chi^{\omega v}$ of x .17 ff . In both cases something was wanting to convert admiration into discipleship. If wealth was the bar in the one casc, pride of intellect may have been fatal in the other. The mental acumen which detects and approves spiritual truth may, in the tragedy of human life, keep its possessor from entering the Kingdom of God. Bengel; "si
non procul es, intra; alias praestiterit procul fuisse."
 this the policy of questioning Jesus was abandoned; no one was bold
 to renew the attempt, and the Lord continued His teaching for the short remainder of His ministry in the Temple without interruption. Mt. places these words after the Lord's question about David's Son, and adds
 He had answered all their questions; a single instance was enough to shew that they could not answer His.

35-37 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. The Lord's Question (Mt. xxii. $4 \mathrm{I}-45$, Le. xx. 4r-44).
 use of $\begin{gathered}\pi \pi о к р i \nu \epsilon \sigma \\ \theta a s \\ \text { where no question }\end{gathered}$ precedes see ix. 5, 6, note. The question which was now asked was in fact a final answer to all opponents. It was asked, according to Mt., in the presence of the Pharisees and was in
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Phi . \epsilon \pi \pi \eta \omega \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ aúroús): the Lord demands of them Tit $\bar{\nu} \mu \bar{\nu}$ סoкє $\bar{i} \pi \epsilon \rho$ rov̂ $\chi$ риттov; and they answer "He is David's Son." Mc.'s account of the circumstances is different; the question is asked in the course of the Lord's public teaching, which is resumed after He has silenced all Ilis
 $i \in \rho \bar{\varphi})$; and it is addressed, not to the Scribes but to the people, who are invited to consider one of the dicta

 perhaps ambiguous, but in the ques-






#### Abstract

    


tion he follows the same tradition as Mc. M $\omega$ s $\lambda$ éyovour; 'how do they make good their statement in view of the fact about to be mentioned?' Cf. I Cor. xp. 12, 15.


 The inference was drawn from such passages as Ps. lixxix. 3 ff., Is. xi. i, Jer. xxiii. 5 (cf, Edersheim, Life, ii. pp. 724, 731). That the populace recognised it as a truth was made
 $\Delta a v e i \delta$, but their convictions were shared by the Scribes and indeed derived from them. Jesus does not on the one hand dispute the inference, or, on the other, press the identification; He contents Himself with pointing out a difficulty, in the solution of which lay the key to the whole problem of His person and mission. On ó xpurtós see viii. 29, and for vías $\Delta$., cf. x. 47, note.
36. aúvòs $\Delta a v e i \delta$ einey $k \tau \lambda$.] The diffeculty is stated. It has to do with the interpretation of a Psalm which by common confession was Messianic (Edersheim, ii. p. 720 f.). Ps. cx. is assigned to David in the title (M.T., lxx.), and the attribution was probably undisputed in the first century, and assumed by our Lord and His Apostles (Acts ii. 34) on the authority of the recognised guardians of the canon. It is possible, however, that He mentions David simply as being the reputed author of the Psalter (cf. Lc.,
 $\Delta a v e i \delta \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, where sce Westcott's note). It cannot fairly be claimed that our Lord is committed by His hypothetical use of a current tradition to the Davidic authorship of the Psalter or of the particular Psalm: see Sanday, Inspiration, pp. 414,420; Gore, Incarnation, p. 196 f.; Kirkpatrick, Psalms, pp. 662 f. His whole argunent rests on the liypothesis that the prevalent view was correct. 'Ev

 iv. 25 (NABE, see WH., Notes, p. 92, Blass ad l.). On $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi v \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota$ see i. 23,
 the Psalm was $\theta$ єót $\nu \epsilon v \sigma t o s$ ( 2 Tlim . iii.
 феро́нevas ( 2 Pet. i. 21). The phrase is not otiose; it gives authority to the words on which the question turns. Ps. cx. opens with a specific claim to inspiration in a high degree (נְ

$\epsilon і \pi \epsilon \nu$ Kúpoos $\tau \bar{\varphi}$ кupi $\dot{\varphi} \mu \circ v \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The words are cited from Ps. cir. (cx.) I, lxx., with two verbal changes, Kúpus (הini) for $\delta$ кúpos-a reading which serves to differentiate the word from $\tau \varphi$

 the same reading appears in Acts ii. 35, Heb. i. 13. That Mt. supports Mc.'s чंтокátш against both Lxx. and Heb. points to the probability that the quotation came into the Synoptic tradition from a collection of testi-




monia: see note on i. 2. On the
 it is used freely in the Lxx. and in Jas. ii. 3, and occurs in the Gk. of the New Comedy (Kennedy, Sources, p. 162). For $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega$ cf. x. 37, note.
 the scene in Josh. x. 24 : as cited by our Lord the words suggest (I) the ignominious defeat of His enemies which had just been witnessed; (2) the final collapse of all opposition to His work (I Cor. xy. 24 ff.). No other O.T. context is so frequently cited or alluded to by Apostolic and subapostolic writers. In the N.T. besides this context and its parallels see the direct quotations in Acts ii. 34, Heb. i. 13 , v. 6 , vii. 17,21 , and the references in Me. xiv. 62 and parallels, 'xvi. 19,' Acts vii. 56, Rom. viii. 34, I Cor. xv. 24 ff., Eph. i. 20, Cul. iii. I, Heb. i. 3, viii. I, x. I2 f., I Pet. iii. 22, Apoc. iii. 21. Of early patristic writings ef. esp.


 R. I Cor. 36 ; Justin, ap. i. 45, dial. 76, 83. On the question what our Lord, if he quoted the words in Hebrew or Aramaic, would have substituted for the Totragrammaton, see Dalman, Worte, i., p. 149 f.
37. aùròs $\Delta a v \epsilon i \delta \partial ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ к<\lambda] ~ S e e$. note on v. 36. Kíptov is here $=\dagger$ ins, sovereigu lord; cf. Symm., T仑̂ $\delta \in \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta$ $\mu o v$. The title does not involve Divine sovereignty, yet it was a natural inference that a descendant who was David's lord was also David's God: cf.


Dr C. Taylor's remarks (Teaching, p. i6o). The Lord, however, is content to point out the superficial difficulty :
 whence ( $=$ how, cf. Dem. de cor. (242)
 dic sonship be maintained in the face of this inspired assertion of a lordship to which David himself submits? For


Justin (dial. 32, 56, 83) says that the Jews of his day sought to escape from the Christian use of Psalm cx. by applying it to Hezekiah. For the predominant Jewish interpretation of the Psalm, see Perowne, ii. p. 256 ff ; and for recent opinion on its date and purpose comp. Cheyne, Origin of the Psalter, p. 20 ff . $37^{\mathrm{b}}-40$. Denunclation of the Scribes (Mt. xxiii. iff., Le. xx. 45-47).
 ${ }^{\circ} \chi^{\prime}$ dos, the great mass of the people, as distinguished from a relatively small minority led by the priestly and professional classes (Mt. oi äX ${ }^{2} \circ$, Le.
 $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v}$, where however ö $\chi$ गos modús is treated as a single word (cf. Westcott $a d l$.). For examples of this use of $\dot{\delta}$ $\pi$ oǹे ${ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda$. see Field, Notes, p. 37, who cites Plutarch, Pausanias, Dio Chrys., Lucian, and Diod. Sic. At the end of the "day of questions" the Lord's popularity with the non-professional majority of His audience was unabated. Two successive days of tcaching had exlausted neither His resources nor their delight. The discomfiture of the Scribes added flavour to the teach-


#  
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#### Abstract

 syrr ${ }^{\text {path hel }}$ go aeth] a $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa \omega \nu(+a \mu a) \epsilon \lambda$. autois (D) $2^{\text {po }}$ (a) bdi (arm) | $\tau \omega \nu$  



 tive parallel.
 Lord's teaching proceeded without further interruptions the few sentences which follow are specimens of its character and manner. Mt. and Le. help us to realise the scene; the Twelve form, as in Galilee, an inner circle round the Lord, and to them His teaching is primarily addressed, though it is not without interest or profit for the wider audience by which they are surrounded (Mt. $\epsilon \lambda \dot{a} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ тoîs ö $\chi$ 入ois к. тoîs $\mu a \theta_{\text {praîs aúroú, Le. }}$
 $\mu a \theta$. av่rov̂). Mt. has preserved a far larger part of this teaching than Me., who gives only a fragment; the two traditions are moreover independent; Mc. and Lc. have only three clauses in common with Mt. (кai à $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu o v i s . .$. Seimposs, cf. Mt. xxiii. 6, 7).
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ т \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ т. $\gamma р а \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ For the construction ef. viii. 15. In Mt. the discourse opens with a recognition of the official character of the Scribes, and of the duty of the people towards them as authorised teachers. It is their conduct only which is denounced (Mt. xxiii. 2, 3). Tथिv $\theta_{\text {- }}^{-}$入óvтшע...тєрıтатєî̀ каì à $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu o v ́ s ~ i s ~$ an instance (WM., p. 722) of the oratio variata, due to the use in the same sentence of the two constructions, $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ with inf. and $\theta \hat{\theta} \lambda \omega$ rt. Lc. avoids it by changing the verb ( $\theta \in \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ $\tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \ldots \phi \downarrow \lambda о \nu ้ \nu \omega \nu \vec{a} \sigma \pi$.). For


इroh $\eta$, stola, is ' equipment,' 'apparci,' and hence esp. 'long, flowing raiment,' a vestis talaris. The word is much used in the Lxx., chiefly as the equivalent of priestly or royal robes (e.g. Exod. xxxi. io tàs $\sigma$ rodàs ràs $\lambda$ etrovpyckás, Esth.

 $\left.\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}\right)$, and in the N. T. for dress worn on festive or solemn occasions (c.g. Lc. xv. 22, Apoc. vii. 9). On the singular change of meaning which has led to the use of the word to describe
 Syr. ${ }^{\sin }$ and two mss. of Syr. ${ }^{\text {hier. }}$ presuppose aroais, which was also the reading before Syr. ${ }^{\text {c. }}$ in Lc. $x x .46$. The variant is tempting at first sight, but besides its lack of extant Greek support, it fails to yield a quite satisfactory sense. The colonnades of the Precinct were not the resort of a privileged class of teachers only; Christ Himself and the Apostles used them freely (Jo. x. 23, Acts iii. I I, v. 12). Mit. adds other tokens of the love of display: $\pi \lambda a \pi u ́ v a v a \iota ~ \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ тà
 Not the use of dignified costume is condemned by Christ, but the use of



 instances of such salutations cf. ix. 15 , xv. i8. Mt. adds epexegetically kai
 other titles which the Scribes affected




 abcffiq syr ${ }^{\text {hier }} 1$ om кac $D$ lattexe syrrin $^{\text {in pesh }}$ arm
and Moreh (ib. $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta$ $\gamma \tau \tau a i)$; cf. J. Lightfoot on Mit. ad $l$., Schürer, il. i.p. 316 f., Wünsche, p. 400 , and on the other hand Dalman, Worte, i. p. 279. The Lord did not refuse such titles, which were pre-eminently due to Him (Jo. xiii. 13), but. Ile did not demand or desire them (Jo. v. 41). 'Ayopaí in Jewish towns have been mentioned in vi. 56 , vii. 4 ; cf. Mt. xi. 16, xx. 3 .

 not only received but claimed the place of honour at all gatherings, social as well as religious. The $\pi \rho \omega-$ тока $\theta \in \delta$ рia seems to be the bench in the synagogues in front of the ark and facing the congregation, which was reserved for officials and persons of distinction (Edersheim, Life, i. p. 436) ; the т $\rho \omega$ ток $\lambda$ соia is the place of the most honoured guest on the couch of the triclinium ; cf. Lc. xiv. 8 , and Jos. ant. xy. 2. 4 rapà ràs é étáácets $\pi р о к а т а к \lambda i \nu \omega \nu$. Acc. to the Talmud the chief guest lay in the middle, if there were three on a couch; if there were two, he lay on the right side of the couch (Edersheim, ii. p. 207). Both $\pi \rho \omega т о к а \theta є \delta \rho i a$ and $\pi \rho \omega \tau о к \lambda \iota \sigma i a$ appear to be ár. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \neq \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mu} a$ : Fritzsche
 21 , but though the passage is obscure, $\pi \rho \omega \tau о \kappa \lambda \eta$ jota is probably right in that context. The Yg . here resorts to a paraphrase; in primis calhedris sedere...et primos discubitus: similarly all the English versions.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ tois $\delta \epsilon i \pi v o t s]$ Guests were cutertained either at breakfast (Mt. xxii. 4, Lc. xi. $3^{8}$, xiv. 12) or at supper, but chiefly at the evening meal (vi. 2 , Lc. xiv. I6, Jo. xii. $2, \& \mathrm{c}$.).
40. oì катє́төоขтєs кт入.] For

кaтध́ $\sigma$. cf. iv. 4, and for the form in $-\theta \in \varepsilon$, , i. 6 (note). Like birds or locusts settling on the ripe crops, these men who claimed the reverence of Israel devoured the property of their brethren, even of those most deserving of consideration. Oikia is apparently ased here like oikos, in the sense of т̀̀ $\dot{\text { váap }}$ (BDB., p. ifo) and lxx., and see the example citcd by Wetstein from Aelian, $V . H$. iv. 2, oikíav aùĝ̀joat кai
 olkov is frequent in the Odyssey, and the Latin poets have thecorresponding comedere (devorare) patrimonium, bona, \&c. As the women who were attracted by our Lord's teaching ministered to IIim of their substance (xiy. 3, Le. viii. 2, 3), so donbtless the Plarisaic Rabbis had their female followers, whose generosity they grossly abused. Widows were specially the object of their attack; Thpht.: imecoripxouta $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{\rho}$ cis ràs

 Schöttgen on Mt. xxiii. 14, who shews that such a course was familiarly known as מכחת פרוטשין, plaga Phar risaeorum. The practice was expressly forbidden in the Law; Exod.

 asyndeton dne to the note-like form in which Mc. presents the fragments of the longer discourses which he has preserved (cf. e.g. vi. 7 ff, notes). Le., who gives the paragraph otherwise word for word, sets the construction right (oî катєб家


каі̀ трофа́тєє $\mu а к р \grave{̀} \pi \rho о \sigma.] ~ V g . s u b$ obtentu prolixae orationis; Wycliffe, "undir colour of long preier," and


## - е е тє оо ко́цма. "






 $V^{*} \Psi$ al mu
similarly Tyndale, Geneva and Rheims: A.V., R.V., "fur a pretence make long prayers." Профááє is the opposite of $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon i}$ (cf. Phil. i. 18). Men who devoured the property of widows could pray only in pretence. The word carries with it, however, the further sense of 'pretext' (Lightfoot on Phil. lc., I Thess. ii. 5); under colour of a reputation for piety due to the length of their prayers ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a t \iota$ є̇̀̀ aßcias, Thpht.) they insinuated themselves into the good opinion of their victims. On the whole subject see Mt. vi. 5 ff., and cf. J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxiii. I5, who quotes the Rabbinital saying "Long prayers make a long life." The Lord on certain occasions prayed long (Lc. vi. I2), but not $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \iota$, or with mere $\pi о \lambda v \lambda о \gamma^{\prime} \sigma^{\sigma}$ (Mt, lc.).
 teachers who use prayer as a means of securing opportunities for committing a crime, shall receive a sentence in excess of that which falls to the lot of the dishonest man who makes no pretension to piety; to the sentence on the robber will be added in their case the sentence on the hypocrite. Kpiua is the definitive issue of a judicial process ( $\kappa \rho i \sigma \tau s$ ) ; for $\pi \epsilon \rho \cdot \sigma \sigma \delta_{-}^{-}$ $\tau \in \rho o v$ крíua cf. Jas. iii. I $\mu \in i ̂$ nov к $\rho$. $\lambda \eta \mu \psi \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, and Lc. xii. 47 f .

4I-44. The Widow's Two Mites (Le, xxi. I-4).
41. каӨiбas катє́vavtı т.. .] The teaching in the Court of the Gentiles had ceased, and the Lord with the

Twelve passed within the low marble wall which fenced off the inner perecinch from the intrusion of non-Israclines; and entering the Court of tho Women (Edersheim, Temple, p. 24 ff., Geikie, $L$ fife, p .408 ) sat down opposite to (кaтє́vavtı, facing, cf. xi. 2, xiii. 3; for àtévaעrt see Mt. xxvii. 24, 61) the Treasury: cf. Jos. ant. xix. 6. I т $\hat{\omega}$
 тò $\gamma a \zeta \circ \phi$ дגákıov. A Temple Treasury
 is mentioned in 2 Esdr. xx. 37, 38, xxiii. 4 f., and 2 Mace. iii. 6 ff ., iv. 42 , v. 18, 4 Macc. iv. 3. In the Herodian temple there wore thirteen chests placed at intervals round the walls of the Court of the Women, and known from their trumpet-like form as הַטְ, each marked with the gurpose to which the offerings it received were to be devoted (Edersheim, p.26); to these, or rather to the colonnade under which they were placed, the name of 'The Treasury' seems to have been given ; see Hastings, D.B. iv. Bog. Comp. Jo. viii. zoe $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega}$
 ya̧oфu入áкso belong to the later Gk.
 Lord's attention is attracted by the rattling of the coin down the throats of the Shopharoth. He looks up (Le.
 avéкv$(\underset{\sim}{p})$ from the floor of the Court on which Ils eyes had been resting, and fixes them on the spectacle
 45) : before Him is a study of human





nature which is unique in its own way. ' $O$ ó $\chi$ Xos is as usual ' the masses,' and $\chi^{\text {a }}$ кó $\nu$ may therefore retain its proper meaning ; though zaikós like aes is used for money of all kinds (cf. vi. 8), yet the mention of the rich men's larger gifts, which immediately follows, points here to copper coins such as
 quadrans (кodрávгףs, Mt. v. 26): see mote on v. 42. The movement of the tenses in this context is interesting : $\beta a ̈ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \ldots, ., \notin \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu \ldots \epsilon \nLeftarrow a \lambda \epsilon \nu(v 0.42,43)$
 S $54,21,56$.
 time to time, as He watched, rich men (and not a few of them) cast in large sums; the Passover was at hand and wealthy worshippers were numerous and liberal. Lc. speaks only of the rich and the widow; Mc. distinguishes thrce classes.

The wealth of the temple-treasury in the time of Pompey is illustrated by Josephus (ant. xiv. 4. 4; 7. I).
42. каì $\left.\epsilon \lambda \theta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma a \quad \mu i{ }^{\prime} a \quad \chi \dot{\eta} \rho a \quad \pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{\eta}\right]$

 The widow stands out on the canvas, solitary and alone, in strong contrast to the $\pi o \lambda \lambda{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i} \pi \lambda a t \cdot \sigma o c$, and is detected by the Lord's eye in the midst of the surromnding $\ddot{o}_{\chi}$ גos. It may have been the iutention of the two Synoptists to compare her simple piety with the folly of the rich widows who wasted their substance on the Scribes (Victor), or she may once hare been one of the latter class, and reduced to destitution by Pharisaic rapacity; at least it is worthy of notice that Mt., who does not mention this feature in the character of the Scribes, omits also the incident of the mites, whilst Mc. and Lc. have both, and in the
same order of juxtaposition. The widow was $\pi \tau \omega \chi \eta^{\prime}$ (Mc.), $\pi \epsilon \nu t \chi \rho a ́$ (Lc.); the latter word is a poctical form of $\pi \epsilon \quad \nu \eta s$, which occasionally takes its place in late prose, e.g. Exod. xxii. 25 (? ${ }^{\text {W }}$ ), Prov. xxviii. 15 , xxix. 7 (75). Hatch (Essays, P. 73 ff.) argues that $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós and $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$, which are contrasted in class. Gk. (e.g. Ar. Plut. $552 \pi \pi \omega \chi^{\circ} \hat{v}$

 in Biblical Gk. for "one and the same class ... the peasantry or fellahin." But in the N.'T. at least the $\pi \tau \omega \chi^{o} s$ is distinctly the indigent and destitute man, the pauper rather than the peasant (x. 21, xiv. 5, 7, Lc. xvi. 20), and the extreme opposite of the $\pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma w s$ ( 2 Cor. vi. Io, Jas. ii. 2 ff , Apoc. xiii. 16; ef. Trench, syn. xxxvi., T. K. Abbott, Essays, p. 78). That such was the condition of this widow is clear from the sequel.
${ }_{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{a}$ 8ío кт入.] Vg. misit duo minuta quod est qradrans (Wycliffe, "tweye minutis"; Tindale, "two mytes"). The $\lambda_{\epsilon} \pi r o ́ v$ (cf. Xen. Cyrop. i. 4. II tò $\lambda \in \pi$ тótatov тồ
 rans (i.e the eighth part of an as or the $\frac{1}{128}$ th part of a denarius), as Mc. explains for the benefit of his Roman readers. It was a Greek coin, the seventh of a $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa o \hat{v} s}$ (Suidas), and no smaller copper coin was in circulation; cf. Lc. xii. 59 тò ढै $\sigma \chi$ атоу $\lambda \epsilon \pi$ róy, where D and the O.L. versions substitute the more familiar quadrans. Mc.'s ó éorıy кodóórys is an explanation for Western readers ; кобоáprns occurs also in Mt. v. 2G, but Mt. was "familiar as a taxgatherer with the Roman system of accounting by the lowest denomination in the Roman scale" (A. R. S. Kennedy, in Hastings, D.B. iii. p.428).











On the quadrans see Madden, Jewish Coinage, p. 244 f.; Hastings, l.c.; and Exp. T. х. pp. 185, 232, 286, 336. The point of the present story lies in the circumstance that the widow's last quadrans was in two coins, and that she parted with both. A Rabbinic rule seems to have prohibited the offering of a single $\lambda \in \pi r o v:$ "ne ponat homo perutam ( $\frac{10}{\top}$ 울, the Jewish equivalent) in cistam eleemosynes" (Wetstein). On ö ধ́arıy see Blass, Gr. p. 77.

43: каі $\pi \rho о \sigma к а \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $к \tau \lambda$.] The Twelve, who were perhaps conversing at a little distance, are beckoned to come near (cf. iii. I 3 , note) ; here was a lesson which they had overlooked and which He would teach them. How difficult a lesson it was for them to learn, and how important to their life, appoars from the use of the solemn formula $\dot{a} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ (Lc. $\left.{ }^{a} \lambda \eta \theta \bar{\omega} s\right) \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \quad \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu}$, on whieh see $i j i$. 28, note. The lesson is taught, as usual, by an exaniple-in the concrete, not in the abstract. 'H $\chi$ y'pa aṽ $\eta \eta \pi \tau \omega \chi \eta$ : the position of the adj. calls attention to her condition (WM., p. 168) ; pauper as she was, she had given more than the rich, more than all. Lc. here exchanges $\pi \epsilon \pi \chi_{\chi \rho a ́}$ for $\pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{\eta}$ : see note on $v .42$. Euth. єi каi $\pi \tau \omega \chi \grave{\eta}$ тоîs $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \quad \bar{\eta}_{\nu}$,
 (cf. Jas. ii. 5).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Justification of the paradox
 the active equivalent of $\tau \grave{o} \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma \epsilon v \mu a$ (comp. Mt. xiv. 20 with Mc. viii. 8)'that which aboundeth,' abundance, rather than 'that which is left over.' Superfluity is balanced by viatépךots (Aq. in Job $x x x .3$, Phil. iv. 1I), used here instead of the commoner word voripqua, which is the opposite of $\pi \epsilon \rho \prime \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v \mu a(2$ Cor. viii. 14). The rich cast in (on the aor. sce Blass, Gr.
 Relatively to their respectivo means the gift of the latter was incomparably the greatest. The principle is stated by St Puul, 2 Cor. viii. 12: $\epsilon i$ үà $\rho \dot{\eta}$








 other exx. in Wetstein of the recognition of this principle by Greek and Roman pagan writers. "Oגov tòv fiov avirîs, all that she had to live upon until more should be carned. For Bios, victus, see Lc. xy. 12, 30 , I Jo. iii. 17. The Lord not only noticed the widow's action, which needed nothing more than close observation,








 magnas aedificationes vg (cf. ff iq) ista magna et aedificia vestra e omnia illa magna k has omnes aedificationes arm hane aedificationem syrdi
but knew the precise circumstances under which she gave the two $\lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau a ́ .}$
XIII. i-2. Destruction of the Temple foretold (Mt. xxiv. I-2, Lc. $x x i$, 5-6).
 As He left the Precinct. Mt. somewhat otherwise, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{y}$ àmò rov iєpồ étoрєv́єто, i.e. He had left, and was on Ilis way (to Bethany). According to Mt. His last remark before leaving had been 'Aфierar vi $\mu$ ì ó oikos $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \mu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{y}$. The disciples invardly deprecated such a sentence upon so majestic a pile; they began talking
 cence, and one of them, the spokesman of the rest (Mt. of matirai, Mc. cis $\tau \omega \nu \mu a \neq \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ), bade Him turn and look at the glory of the buildings
 "ut flecterent eum ad misericordiam loci illius, ne faceret quod facere fuerat comminatus" (Origen). The conjecture may be hazarded that the speaker was Peter, as on some other notable occasions (viii. 29, 32, x. 28, xi. 21, xiii. 3, xiv. 29). But his name is not mentioned, since in this instance nothing turned upon his personality.
 distinguislıed from iooú see ii. 24, iii. 34 , notes. IIotanós is late Gk. for modaтós (Lob. Phryn., p. 56, Rutherford,
N. Phryn., p. 128 f.): the word does not occur in the uxx., but it is found in this form in Mt. ${ }^{1}$, Mc. ${ }^{1}$, Lc. $^{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{0}{ }^{\text {epp. }}{ }^{1}$, 2 Pet. ${ }^{1}$, in a sense approaching to môos (Vg. qualis), but with a distinct note of surprise which is wanting in the latter word. As to the stones of Herod's temple seo Jos. ant. xv. 11. 3



 and for the buildings, B.J. จ. 5. I ff., Edersheim, Temple, p. 20 ff. Oixoठouai (Mt., Mc.) is perbaps preferred to oikodoan', as representing the mass of separate edifices-enclosures, colonnades, halls, sanctuaries,-by which the platform of the iepón was occupied. The word oiko8oun' is postclassical (Lob. Phryn., p. 481 f.),
 (e.g. Rom. xip. 19, and so generally in St Paul's metaphorical use of the term), sometimes to oiкoঠó $\mu \eta \mu$ ( 2 Cor. v. I, Eph. ii. 21, where see Abbott's note). Lc. refers also to the costly offerings which the buildings con-

2. Biétets taútas tàs $\mu$. oiкo8.;] 'Art thou looking at these great edifices?' i.e. do they fill and satisfy the eye, slutting out other objeets of
 misses the point by a change of phrase (ov $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ є́тєтє...; ). The disciples are




#### Abstract

     arm the aeth $\mid \circ \Pi_{e \tau \rho o s ~}^{N D} 2^{\text {pe }}$ (alpari)


warned that the pride which as Jews they naturally felt in this grand spectacle was doomed to complete humiliation.

өи $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \theta \hat{j} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Mt. introduces this saying with the solemn $\dot{a} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu}$, but Mc.'s repeated ovं $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (Burton, $\$ 487$ ) is scarcely less emphatic. For the fulfilment see Jos. B. J. vii. r. I
 каі̆ то̀̀ עє由̀̀ катабка́ттєєข. It is the more remarkable because Titus made every effort to check the conflagration (Jos. B. J. vi. 4. 6 fi) ; it was only wher this was found to be impossible that he permitted the work of destruction to be completed (ib. 5.2). Thpht. mentions that some in his day asserted that the old walls had not been completely de-

 $\pi \dot{\theta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, and the great bevelled stones still to be seen in situ at the S.E. corner of the Haram wall, and near Robinson's Arch, attest the fact; for particulars reference may be made to Hastings, D. B. ii. p. 596 ff. But while a part of the substructions remains, the buildings on the platform of the iepor, to which the Lord referred, are wholly gone; not a stone there is left in its place. 'Eлi $\lambda i \theta_{0 \nu}$ : so also Mt., Lc. The idea of motion which the acc. suggests (WM., p. 507 f .) is faintly present in oú $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{a} \phi \epsilon \theta \hat{j}$. See on the other hand Blass,

os ov катadvбض́reтal. The story subsequently circulated by the áp $\rho$ tєpeis (xif. 58, xy. 29, Acts vi. 14), that Jesus had undertaken Himself to destroy the Temple, may have arisen partly from the saying of Jo. ii. 19, but perhaps also from a misconception of the present saying, which may have been reported to them by Judas. On the remarkable addition in D and the O.L authorities, see WH., Notes, p. 26; it is apparently suggested by xiv. 58 (cf. Jo. ii. 19).

3-I3. Tle Question of the Four, and the first part of the prophetre Answer (Mt. xxiv. 3-I4, Le. xxi. 8-19).
 very posture in which the Lord delivered His great prophecy was remembered and found a place in the earliest tradition (Mt., Me.). IIe had crossed the Kedron, ascended the steep road over the Mt of Olives which led to Bethany, and was already resting and seated, when He was approached, not now by a solitary disciple ( $n$. I), but by four-the first two pairs among the Twelve, as Mc. alone appears to know (Mt. oi $\mu a \theta_{\eta}$ -тai)-the other eight, who had possibly deputed the Four to act for them, remaining at a distance (kar' ioiav). On the order of the Four see iii. 17 , note, and cf. ix. 2 ; as on other occasions Peter is foremost-probably the spokesman ( $\epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega ́ \tau a$ aủтò ע...Пє́т pos). Kadquévov reminds us of the




  

Sermon on the Mount (Mt. v. ı); both the opening Instruction and the concluding Prophecy were delivered ex cathedra; a hill-side in each case supplied the Teacher's chair. The first discourse had set forth the principles of the now Kingdom; the last deals with its ultimate issues. Only Mc. adds that the prophecy was delivered in full view of the Precinct
 каӨ. єis see WM., p. 516).
4. $\epsilon i \pi \grave{\partial} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \kappa \pi \lambda$.] The question is twofold, $(a)$ as to the time when ( $\pi$ ótє) the Temple is to perish, (b) as to the signal ( $\boldsymbol{r} \dot{o}$ a onutiov) for its approach. Mt. cxpands ôtav $\mu \notin \lambda \lambda_{\eta}$ таûta бviv. та́via (õ. $\mu . \tau . \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta a$, Lc.) into
 aicupos, a phrase of much interest, siace it reveals the principle on which the Apostolic Church after the fall of Jerusalem interpreted the following prophecy. Later opinion was much divided, cf. Victor : oí $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ र̀̀ $\rho \pi \in \rho \grave{l}$






 бuytéleta (cf. Dalnam, Worte, i. p. 126 f .) is apparently suggested by
 and mapovoia are words peculiar to Mt. among the Synoptists ( $\pi a \rho .$, Mt. xxiv. 3, 27, 37, 39; бver., Mt. xiii. 39, 40, 49, xxiv. 3, xxviii. 20). इuyté $\overline{\text { eta }}$ and $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu,-\lambda \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \sigma a t$, used in classical Greek chiefly in reference to contributions to the public service (so
even in the late Fayûm papyrus, Grenfell-Hunt-Hogarth, p. 120), and in later Greek also of finishing off a piece of work, are of frequent occurrence in all parts of the Lxx., where they generally answer to and its derivatives; for $\sigma v v^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i p$, $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a c$ in the N.T. cf. Le. iv. 2, 13, Jo. ii. 3, Acts xxi. 27. Tó $\sigma \eta \mu$ ейov is common to the three accounts ; a single sign seems to have been expected, probably one of portentous character.
 great Prophecy begins (Bengel; " $\eta \rho$ $\xi$ aro: antea non erat multum locutus his do rebus"). The Lord deals first with the second part of the question ( $\tau \boldsymbol{i}$ rò $\sigma \eta \mu \bar{i} o v$ ). But the
 such as they expect; no one sign is mentioned, and the tone of the prediction is wholly practical.

Many recent critics hold that portions of the discourse which follows (ve.7-8, 14-20, 24-27, possibly also 30-31) belong to a Jewish-Christian apocalypse whose disiecta membra were incorporated by the Synoptists or their source. This opinion is based on the belief that the excision of the verses in question restores unity to the context and removes ideas alien from the teaching of Christ. But in the entire absence of documentary evidence it scarcely calls for serious consideration here. The arguments urged in support of it may be seen in Charles, Eschatology, p. 325 ff.; Moffatt, Historical N. T., p. 637 ff.; Schmiedel, art. Gospels in Encycl. Bibl. ii. (col. 1857).








 7 акои $\tau \in \mathrm{B} \mid \mu \eta]$ pr opare $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ c.b(vil) $\left.60_{4} 8^{\mathrm{pa}} \mid \mu \eta \quad \theta \rho \circ \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\right] \mu \eta \quad \theta \circ \rho v \beta \in \sigma \theta \in \mathrm{D}$ minpauc nolite turbari an nolite timere vel ne timuistis bcdffgiklg vg
 Mc.; $\beta \lambda, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda a \nu \eta \theta \ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, Le. Cf. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ àmó, viii. 15 , xii. 38 ; $\beta \lambda . \mu \dot{\prime}$ occurs again in I Cor. viii. g, Gal. v. 15, Col. ii. 8, Heb. iii. 12 (with fut.), xii. 25. For $\pi \lambda a \nu \overline{a ̆ \nu}, \pi \lambda a v a ̂ \sigma \theta a c$, in reference to religious error, see xii. 24,27 , Jo. vii. 12, 47, I Jo. ii. 26, 2 Tim. iii. 13 , Apoc. ii. 20, xii. 9 ; cf. the use of $\pi \lambda a \dot{a} \eta$, Eph. ir. I4, 2 Thess. ii. if, i Jo. iv. 6, and of $\pi \lambda$ ávos in 2 Jo. 7. This warning against impostors is not inconsistent with the promise of the Spirit of truth (Jo. xyi. 13), for the Divine Spirit is not irresistible, and the spirit of crror ( I Jo. iv. 6) may be the stronger in individual cases.
 21 ff , notes. One such impostor is described in Acts viii. $9 \sum_{i}^{i} \mu \omega \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon ́ y \omega \nu$



 of another, ant. xx. 5. I: yóns tis







 $\theta$ epías. Such impostors came $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ т $\varphi \underset{\varphi}{ }$
 false Messianic hope, claiming powers which belonged to the true Christ, even if they did not assume the title.

The vague boast ' $\begin{gathered} \\ \omega \\ \epsilon i \mu l \\ \text { (Soph. ii. }\end{gathered}$
 but of an actual usurpation of the name we hear nothing before Barcochba. For the phrase $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\partial} \nu . \mu o u$ sce ix. 39 note; for $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\epsilon}$ єiц in a Messianic sense, comp. note on vi. 50 .
 warning. The Apostles are not to permit the political troubles which would surely precede the cnd to distract them from their proper work.
 actual progress, or commonly expected and on all men's tongues; unless àkò̀s $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega_{\nu}$ is simply a doublet, added to
 For ákón see i. 28 note; and for the pl. in this sense ef. Dan. xi. 44 Th .
 or ákoás is a lixx. phrase, occurring e.g. I Regn. ii. 24 Le., who omits
 interpreting the words in the liglt of events. The reference is primarily, no doubt, to the disturbed state of Palestine during the intersal between the Ascension and the fall of the City; we may think e.g. of the expedition of Cuspius Fadus against Theudas and of Felix against the Egyptian Jew; the riots at Jerusalem under Agrippa II.; the early movements of the last struggle which began in a.d. 66. To the carly Jewish Chureh, which is immediately in view, the suspense which these and other outbreaks occasioned must lave been unsettling and disquieting. St Paul



 аעабтך

uses the same word in deprecating the restlessness which was occasioned in a Gentile Church by the expectation of a speedy mapovota ( 2 Thess. ii.
 $\ldots \mu \eta \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}(\rho o \kappa i \sigma \theta a v)$, and the warning is doubtless necessary at all seasons of feverish unrest. Opociv, in class. Gk. 'to raise an outcry,' is used in Biblical Gk. in the pass. only, of the alarm occasioned by a sudden cry, or of mental uneasiness in general ; cf.


 rô kvpiou: and see Kenuedy, Sources,
 by the 'Western' text, and Lc. has $\pi т о \eta \theta \bar{\tau} \tau \epsilon$.



 epigrammatic brevity of Mc. (lisch. on $v .6$, "abiectis coniunctionibus scribcre adamat") is specially striking
 боутає (Mt., Lc. $\pi . \gamma^{\mathfrak{a} \rho} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ е.) ; v. 8


 tac). For $\delta \in \hat{L}$, 'such is the Divine purpose,' cf. viii. 3I, ix. In, xiii. 10 , xiv. 3 r ; the phrase 8 e $\hat{i}$ yevéodal is from the O. T. (llan. ii. 28). Tò tédos looks back to $\sigma v \forall \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, and may therefore be presumed to refer primarily to Jerusalem. But a more distant end may also be in view; cf. I Cor. xv. $24 \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ t $\hat{\eta}$ mapovaía aùrov.


 Nations will rush into warfare from causes partly racial, partly political.



 disquieting events will mark the times - $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ i, \lambda_{t \mu \rho i}$, and $\lambda \omega \mu \rho i$ (Lc.), unless גochoí is a primitive error due to the confusion of $\lambda \iota \mu$ ós and $\lambda o t \mu \dot{o}_{s}$ in the source (cf. 3 Regn. viii. 37, Ezech. xxxvi. 29, vv.ll.); Field's remark (Notes, p. 37) that $\lambda e \mu o i$ and $\lambda o t \mu o i$ have been connected ever since Hesiod, op. 242, loses its force if we assume an Aramaic original. On the addition kai rapaxai see WH., Notes, p. 26. The commentators point out that such troubles were frequent during the period A.D. $30-70$ (cf. e.g. Alford on Mt. xxiv. 7) ; the famine of A.D. 44 ( $45-46$, Ramsay) is familiar to us from Acts xi. 28, and earthquakes are reported to have taken place kazà тótovs-in Crete and Asia Minor, and at Rome and in Italy: in Lc. кarà tónovs is connected with doroi, but see above. Such disasters are frequently foretold by the O.T. prophets as marks of Divine visitation (e.g. Isa. viii. 21 , xiii. 13 , xiv. 30 , xxiv. 18-20, Jer. xxiii. 19, Fzck. v. 12 ; cf. Apoc. vi. 8, xi. 13, xvi. ı8, xviii. 8, Enoch i. 6, 4 Esdr. xvi. 36-40); they belong to the imagery of an apocalyptic passage, and while it is intercsting to notice particular fulfilments in the Apostolic age, the wider reference is not to be left out of sight. Each age brings public troubles which excite disquietude, and may at times suggest the near approach of the end. Yet the end is not reached by such vicissitudes; they are but the beginuing-the ${ }^{2} \rho \chi \chi^{\prime}$, and not the $\tau$ cios, as men may be led to suppose. "Talis et tanta creatura











mundi...necesse est ante corruptionem ut langueat" (Origen).
 of the sharp pangs of childbirth (Ps.

 $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ ovi $\sigma \eta$ ), or of dcath (Ps, xvii. (xviii.)
 Either may be thought of here: these things are the first death-throes of the old order, or the first birth-pangs of the new; but the hopefulness of Christian eschatology is in favour of the second thought being at least the more prominent ; cf. Jo. xyi, 2r, Rom viii. 22 , and the doctrine of the $\pi a \lambda a \nu-$ $\gamma \in \nu \in \sigma i a$ (Mt. six. 28), and the àmo-
 iii. 12 ff .). Moreover thero may possibly be a reference to the Rabbinic expectation of the (J. Lightfoot ad l.; and sec esp. Schürer, II. ii. p. I 54 f, Weber, p. 350 f.), or rather perhaps to the O.T. language which suggested it.
 ye to yourselves,' think not only of what is coming on the nation and on the world (Bengel: "cetera nolite curarc, tantum vos ipsos spectate").
 again in 2 Jo. 8, where it is followed by iva $\mu \eta^{\prime}$-here it is used absolutely, with the added force which brevity gives; Mt., who places the rest of this verse in the original charge to the Twelve (x. 17), paraphrases тporé-
 that the troubles will overtake the Christian community first ( $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ тov́rop $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu)$; cf. і Pet. iv. 17 ó каирòs $\tau 0 \hat{v}$
 $\theta$ єoù.
 carliest sufferings would come from their own countrymen, and from the representatives of religion; 'men will hand you over to the Sanhedrins, and flog you in the synagogues.' Who the rapadozai will be appears below 0. 12. इuvédpıa... $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega y$ ýs: the former term inciudes both the Great Sanhedrin of Jerusalem (cf. Acts iv. 15, v. 21 ff., vi. 12 ff., xxii. 30 , xxili. I fi.), and the local courts of discipline described by Josephus (ant. iv. 8. 14), i.e. the clders of the synagogues assembled for the purpose of exercising disciplinary powers; see Hatch, Organization, p. 58 . Le.'s briefer mapadıסóvtes єis tàs ovnayayás is correct, for the local court was attached to the synagoguc, and its sentences were carried out in it (Acts ix. 2); the Lord foresces that His Apostles and disciples will be takeu from the courts into the synagogucs and there openly scourged-cis avar-
 struction, cf. Mt. (x. 17) év taîs avva-
 $\delta a \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \in$ see xii. 3, 5 (to which passage the Lord possibly refers), and cf. Acts v. 40 . St Paul, who

#  



  $33^{\text {almonn the } \mid \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa a \quad B}$

before his conversion had inflicted this punishment on Christians，under－ went it himself five times（ 2 Cor．xi． 24，where see Schöttgen）．
 $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon]$ The secular power would follow the example set by the Syna－ gogue．＇Ye shall be placed before high officials and kings．＇In the N．T． the $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega^{\prime} \nu$ is especially the Procurator


 as contrasted with Barideús，may be used of any subordinate governors；

 $\mu \epsilon$ voss，where the lmperator and the provincial representatives of Rome， whether proconsuls，propraetors，le－ gates，or procurators，are clearly in－ tended ；ef．Acts xvi． 20 ff，xviii． 12 ff． ＇Епi．．．ßaai入é $\omega \nu \sigma \tau a \theta$ ．becomes in Mt．
 $\mu e ́ v o u s ~ e ́ \pi i ̀ ~ \beta a a l \lambda e i ́ s, ~ i . e . ~ M t . ~ a n d ~ L c . ~$ represent the persecuted disciples as on their way to the court，whereas in Mc．they are already there，standing before the judge．For this use of ägraf日al comp．Mt．xxvii．1I，Acts xxiv．20，xxv．Io．＂Eveкєो $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu} \mu \hat{v}$ ，Le．

 ye入iov，i Pet．iv． 16 os Xpıotaqós．
eis papriptov aivois］See notes on i． 44 ，vi． 11 ；the phrase occurs only in the Synoptics and in Jas．v． 3. Lc．gives here quite another turn to
 poov，i．e．he seems to have had before him eis $\mu a \rho \tau . \mathfrak{j} \mu \hat{i} \nu$. As it is presented by Mc．and Mt．，the sense is that the appearance of Cliristians before the magistrates on a charge of loyalty to
the Name of Christ would be in itself a proclamation of the Name to those who from their social position might otherwise have failed to hear it．Mt． adds каi rois $\bar{\xi} \theta \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ：the Gospel would in this way make its way into Gentile society，cf． 2 Tim．iv． 16 f．

10．каì cis mávтa $\tau \dot{a} \ddot{\epsilon} \theta_{\nu \eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．］The Lord foresees the extension of the Gospel to the whole Gentile world by the direct proaching of the word ； there was a Divine necessity（ $\delta \epsilon i$, cf． v．7）that this should take place before the end came（ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \nu, \mathrm{Mt}$ ．
 xvi．15，Mt． $\mathbf{~ x x y . ~ 3 2 , ~ x x v i i i . ~ 1 9 , ~}$ Lc．xxiv．47．The work which began in Galilee with the personal Ministry of the Lord（i． $14 \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \mu \ldots \kappa \eta \rho \dot{\sim} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \tau \dot{\theta}$
 $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$ deà tov́ kupiou）was to be carried forward by the Apostolic ministry to the ever－expanding con－ fines of the habitable world（Mt．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 this purpose was perhaps the chief con－ dition of the final issue being reached． The disclosure of this fact could not but be stimulating to the early preachers of the Gospel；they felt that it was in some sense within their power to hasten the end by extending the kingdom（ 2 Pet．iii． 12 $\sigma \pi \epsilon$ v́dovтas $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho o u \sigma i a v)$ ．Origen＇s remark here is interesting：＂nondum est prae－ dicatum evangelium regni in toto orbe；non enim fertur praedicatum esse evangelium apud omnes Aethio－ pas．．．sed nee apud Seras nec apud Orientem audierunt Christianitatis sermonem．quid autem dicamus de Britannis aut Germanis ？．．．quorum plurimi nondum audierunt evangelii verbum，audituri sunt autem in ipsa
 öт $\tau \alpha \nu$ ár $\gamma \omega \sigma t \nu$ ن̀ $\mu a ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \delta i \delta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho о \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \tau i$








saeculi consummatione." For another condition cf. 2 Thess ii. $3 \stackrel{\text { ćà } \nu}{\mu \dot{\eta}}$

 Verses 1 I -I 3 are placed by Mt. in the original charge to the Twclve (Mt. x. 19-22, cf. 0.9), but traces of them occur also in Mt. xxiv. (9, 13); Lc. also has reminiscences of this teaching in an carlier chapter (Lc. xii. II f.) as well as in the present context. Such counsels may well have been repeated.

The Lord returns to the personal trials awaiting the disciples. First of these was the fear with which inexperienced provincials would anticipate an appearance before a Roman judge, whether Proconsul or Imperator; on their way to the court (of ofay $\left.{ }^{*} \gamma \omega \sigma \omega \nu\right)$, besides the bitter sense of being betrayed by friends and rela-
 would be distracted by anxiety as to their dofence. The Lord provides against this: 'the IIoly Spirit will be your mapák $\lambda \eta$ ros, and speak by your mouths.' Mì т $\rho о \mu є \rho є \mu \nu a \hat{\tau} \epsilon$ : 'be not anxious beforehand'; $\tau \rho о \mu \epsilon \rho \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$ is $a \pi . \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma$. in the N.T. and perhaps in writers earlier than the close of the canon ; Mt. has $\mu \in \rho \iota \mu v a ̂ ̀$, Le. the classical тронє $\lambda_{\epsilon \tau \hat{a}} \nu$, 'to prepare a
 $\tau i ́ \lambda$.-neither the matter nor the words need be considered; for the construction cf. vi. 36 , ix. 6 (WM.,

§ 303. The whole passage resembles the promise to Moses, Exod.iv. 11 ff.

 allusion to Exod is yet more apparent
 must be borne in mind that both the
 and the promise of Divine assistance in doing so are limited to an occasion when effective premeditation would be impossible.
 Wycliffe: "for 30 ben not spekinge (or, the spokeris) but the Hooly Gost." The Holy Spirit would speak for them and by their lips. For tò $\pi \nu$. т̀̀ ä $\gamma$. see iii. 29, note. Mt. has $\tau \grave{o}$ $\pi \nu$. тov̀ $\pi a \tau \rho \grave{s} \dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, in Lc. (sec last note) the Lord represents Himself as the source of the inspirationa noteworthy variation, with which compare Jo. xiv. 26, xv. 26. The whole passage anticipntes the promise of the "other Paraclete" (Jo. xiv. 16). Mt. completes the sentence di $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$
 pare St Paul's doctrine of the Spirit's agency in prayer (Rom. viii. 15, 26, Gal. iv. 6). The present passage cannot properly be used to support a theory of verbal inspiration either in the speeches or the writings of the apostolic age ; the IIoly Spirit does not, like the evil spirits (cf. v. 6 ff), so identify Hinself with the inspired as to destroy or even to suspend their responsibility or individuality.






[^84]12. кai mapad́ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The note already struck in $v o .9$, is is taken up again. The thought of treachery on the part of friends must have been uppermost in the Lord's mind; He was speaking in the presence of a traitor who had been a friend. What had befallen Himself must befall His followers. The sentence is moulded


 penalty of confessing Christ would be more than stripes ( $v .9$ ); the Sanhedrins might be content with these, but the civil rulers would inflict death.
 surgents (e.g. Dan. xi. 2, 14), but in the cxx. of revolt against any constituted authority. Bavará́oovary (so all the Synoptists here), 'shall be the cause of death" (Rheims, "shall worke their death"), rather than imoктєvovocv, 'shall put them to death.' Le. guards the sentence further by
 all would win the crown of martyrdom. One had been already marked out for it in the Lord's forcknowledge (x. 39, cf. Acts xii. I); another was about to be forewarned of his end yet more distinctly (Jo. xxi. 18, cf. 2 Pet. i. 14).
 This clause is given in identical words by the three Synoptists; it must have early passed into a commonplace among Greek-speaking Christians. No fact in the early history of the Church is more certain or more sur-
prising than that which the Lord here foretells. It is explained by Tacitus as due to a suspicion of criminality (ann. xF. 44, "per flagitia invisos... Christianos"), but the mere name was enough to provoke it (Justin, apol. i.
 $\nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ : Tert. apol. 2 "id solum expectatur quod odio publico necessarium est, confessio nominis". It was in fact the name of Christ Himself ( $\delta i \grave{a} \tau \grave{o}$ övopá $\mu \circ v$ ) which repelled the unbelieving majority (cf. Jo. iii. 20, vii. $7, \mathrm{xr} .23 \mathrm{ff}$ ), and in this thought there was infinite comfort for the persecuted; cf. i Pet. iv. i4, Polyc.



 avuфopas. On the causes of the unpopularity of the early Church see Ransay, Oh. in the Empire, p. 346 ff., and ef. Origen in Mt.: "cum haec ergo contigerint mundo [the disorders foretold in ve. 7, 8] consequens est quasi derelinquentibus hominibus deorum culturam ut propter multitudinem Christianorum dicant fieri bella et fames et pestilentias." "E $\sigma \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$ $\mu \iota \sigma o v i \mu \in v o t$ is not an exact equivalent of $\mu \nu \sigma \bar{\theta} \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, but carries "the thought of continuance" (Burton § 71, cf. WM., p. $43^{8)}$.
 exactly, but in a somewhat different connexion; Lc. paraphrases èv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ vino-
 a valuable clue to the interpretation. Eis rèios does not look back to rò
 $e(k) 1$ syrr ${ }^{\text {pesh hol }}$ aeth

тédos (vo. 4, 7), but as in Lc. xviii. 5, Jo. xiii. I and in numerous passages of the Lxx., it is an adverbial phrase, 'finally,' 'at last,' 'to ' or 'in the end'; cf. I Chron. xxviii. 9 ( 7 (2), 2 Chron. xxxi. ı (לְבְלִ), Ps. xlviii. (xlix.) 9 , Job xx. 7 ( ( $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ ). He who is finally victorious, who perseveres in his confession till death puts an end to the conflict, shall save his soul's life. The teaching is similar to that of viii. 35, but it strikes the note of $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime}$ of which from this time forth all Christian teaching is full ; cf. e.g. James i. 3 f., Ron. v. 3 f., viii. 25, I Thess. i. 3, 2 Thess. i. 4, iii. 5, Heb. xii. i, $\Lambda$ poc. i. 9, Tertullian de patientia, Cyprian de bono patientiae; on the last two see Archbp Benson's remarks, Cyprian, p. 439 ff. ; and on the characteristics of Christian imoнov' comp. Trench, syn. liii. For the higher sense of $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \leqslant \omega \nu$ cf. viii, $35, \mathrm{x} .26$; preservation from the destruction which overtook the Jews can hardly be in question here, or again deliverance from the sword of the persecutor; the thought is rather of a salvation which is not fully realised till death or the mapovoia.

14-23. Thoubles connected chidfly with the Fall of Jehusalem (Mt. xxiv. 15-25, Lc. xxi. 20-24).
 answers the question rí тò onarion in reference to the end of the City and Temple, so far as an answer was needed for practical guidance.

 то̂ $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau o \hat{v}$, a later note which is wanting in the true text of Mc. The phrase occurs in the Greek







 nected with the Heb. text (sce Bevan, Daniel, ad ll., esp. p. 192 f.; Driver, Daniel, pp. 151, 188, and in Hastings, D.B. i. p. II) do not directly concern us here; if the Lord cited it, He did so doubtless in tho sense which the Greek translations had long impressed upon the passage. The Greek phrase $\beta \delta$. $\epsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ occurs also in I Maccabees, where it is applied to the altar of Zeus erected in the Temple by Antiochus, B.c. 168 (I Macc. i. 54, cf. v. 59, vi. 7). B 8 é$\lambda v \gamma \mu a$ is a frequent lxx. rendering of
 ef. Deut. xxix. 17 ( r 6 ), or a false god (Ezech. vii. 20), but as the passages just cited from I Macc. shew, it is not limited to an object of idolatrous worship; any symbol of heathenism which outraged the religious feelings of the Jewish people might be so described. The defining genitive ' $\rho \eta \mu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega s$ limits us to an outrage which was the prelude of national ruin, a crisis corresponding in effeet if not in circumstances with the invasion of Antiochus. What this new $\beta \delta$. $\epsilon \rho \eta \mu \sigma \epsilon \sigma s$ was St Luke, taught by the event, plainly


 баддं . The presence of the Roman army round the Holy City was itself a $\beta$ friduyua of the worst kind, and one which foreboded coming ruin. The words of Daniel seemed to find a second fulfilment; Rome had taken the place of Syria. Cf. Jos. ant. x.

#  







The patristic interpreters thought of Pilate's attempt to introduce the effigy of the emperor into the city (B.J. ii. 9. 2), or of similar insults offered to the Jewish faith by Hadrian (Jerome: "potest...aceipi ... aut de imagine Caesaris quam Pilatus posuit in templo aut de Hadriani equestri statua quae in ipso sancto sanctorum usque in praesentem diem stetit") or of acts committed at the time of the capture of the city (Victor: $\beta \delta$. tuvés


 standards, which bore the figure of the cagle (Ephrem).
 ad sensum (WM., p. 176) ; the $\beta$ 86:$\lambda_{u} \neq a$ is personified, or regarded as personal: 'when yc sce...him standing where he ought not'; cf. 2 Thess. ii. 6 f. тò катє́ $\chi о у . . . \delta$ катє́ $\chi \omega \nu$. Mt. prefers éotós, and interprets of óou oủ $\delta \dot{\text { fit }}$
 contirmed the impression, based on I Macc. l.c., that the sign must be sought within the sacred precinct. But his anarthrous rómos á $\boldsymbol{y}$ oos is perhaps not equivalent to ó az. тónos ( 2 Macc. viii. 17, Acts vi. I3) or о̀ то́тоs (Jo. xi. 48), ó т. oítos (Acts xxi. 28). All Palestine, but especially Jerusalem
 iii. I) was to a Jew holy ground, where the Gentile had no right to be. On ö $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o v}$ oủ $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ cf. Bengel: "sermo ad hominem; Judaei putabant non oportere, et non oportebat quatenus locus erat sanctus."
© àvayı thesis finds a place both in Mt. and Mc, and probably belonged to a common source. The words may be either those of the Lord directing attention to the passages in Daniel, or those of the writer of a docunient on which both Mc. and Mt. drew, directing attention to the Lord's words in this place. But the former supposition is alnost excluded by the fact that in Mc.-the earlier narrative-no mention is made of Daniel or any propletic writing. If $\dot{o}$ àvayúóoкav is the reader (Apoc. i. 3) of the document on which Mc. here depends, we are carried back to days before the first investment of Jerusalem (A.D. 66) when the sign yet needed interpretation: "the time has not yet come...but it is near at hand" (Sanday, Inspiration, p. 292).
тóre of $\hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta}$ 'Iovolia $k \tau \lambda$.] Not the A postles themselves, but other Jewish Christians who remained in the

 द́v т $\hat{a}$ 'Iov

 Lc. adds a special waruing to those who should be in Jerusalem itself or its neighbourhood (кai oi ċं $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \Phi$ aủr $\hat{y}$ s $\kappa \tau \lambda$.$) . Acc. to Eus. H. E. iii. 5. 3$ the Christians of Jerusalem were warned before the war broke out by a prophetic revelation (кaтá тıца $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ у

 the city and retire to Pella in Peraea; Epiphanius (de pond. et mens. 15) has nearly the same story, but attributes the revelation to an angel. Pella (Jos. D. J. iii. 3. 3, G. A. Smith, p. 593 ff., Merrill, East of the Jordan,


 \& go







p. 184 fi.) lay between Gerasa and Hippos on the edge of the table-land, scarcely among the mountains; but the way to it from Judaea led across both the Judaean and the Moabite hills, so that fis rà ö $\rho \eta$ is a sufficient index of the direction which the flight was to take. Details as to the precise locality would be more appropriately given through one of the 'prophets' of the Church of Jerusalem (cf. Acts xi. 27 f., xxi. 10) when the time drew near.
 When the signal is given, not a moment may be lost; the citizen who is resting or praying on his roof must not stop to collect his property, or the countryman who is at work to go after the clothing he has left in another part of the field. Men went up to the flat roofs of their houses to sleep (I Sam. ix. 25), to worship (Jer. xix. 13, Zeph. i. 5, Acts x. 9), to watch (Isa. xxii. 1), to proclaim tidings good or bad (Isa. xv. 3, Mt. x. 27), to spend the Feast of Tabernacles (Neh. viii. 16), and doubtless for many other purposes; so usual a place of resort was the roof that the law required it to be fenced with a parapet (Deut. xxii. 8) as a protection against accidental falls. The roof was accessible from without (ii. 4, note, cf. Lc. v. 19) by a staircase, or ladder, so that the man on the roof might escape with-
out entering his house. 'O eis tò $\nu$ à $\gamma \rho \frac{0}{v}$, he who is at work on the farm; eis calls attention to the movement which attends labourthe man has gone out to his plot of ground (for áypós see v. 14, vi. 36, 56, xi. 8, xv. 2I, Lc. xv. 15), and while there, is moving from place to place; for this use of cis cf. ii. I (v.l.), Acts viii. 40 , Blass, Gr., p. 122 f. Meanwhile his outer garment ( $\boldsymbol{c}$ c̀ íá-
 home, or at the entrance of the field; he is working rupvós (Jo. xxi. 7) or $\mu o \nu o \chi i \tau \omega \nu$, and he must be content to make his escape as he is. Eis ta $\dot{\sigma} \pi \sigma \omega$ is a frequent phrase in the Ixx. (usually = רims) ; for the N. T. cf. Luc. ix. 62, Jo. vi. 66, xviii. 6, xx. I4. The passage as a whole recalls Lot's escape from Sodom (Gen. xix. $17 \mu \bar{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho t^{\beta} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon$ -
 Lc. has these verses in another connexion, where the allusion to Sodom is clear (Lc. xvii. 28 ff). .
17. oúai ס̀è taîs $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] Alas for mothers with children at the breast, and those who are soon to becone mothers, for whom a hasty flight is impossible, who cannot leave their burdell. The horrors of the siege would convert the joy of maternity into a woe: cf. Lc. xxiii. 28 f . Oṽá has the true ring of apocalyptic prophecy; both the O. T. propiets and the Apocalypse use it abundantly; Me. has it only here and itı xiv. 2I,












 oo $\mu \eta$ ] oud $\delta \epsilon \mu \eta$ D ovid au $\mu \eta$ FEE $113691572533462^{p p}$
but it is frequent in Mt., Lc. Eq入á¢ civ is used of the mother (Genu. xxi. 7, Exod. ii. 9, I Regn. i. 23, 2 Mace. vii. 27), as well as of the child (3 Regn. iii. 25 , Ps. viii. 2, Joel ii. I6, Jer. li. (xiv.) 7, Lc. xi. 27)-a fact which appears to have been overlooked by the 'Western' corrector who wrote
 ' $\mathbf{E} \nu$ ya rt $\rho$ i ${ }^{\text {Ex }} \chi \neq \iota \nu$ is a Herodotean phrase revived in late Greek; in the Lxx. it is the usual equivalent of To.
 Mt. supplies $\dot{\eta}$ $\phi u y \dot{\eta}$ from $\phi \varepsilon v \gamma \epsilon \in \tau \omega$ ray 2 . I6, bat the reference may well be wider- 'pray that this sign and all that must follow its appearance come not to pass during the winter, when the hardships of flight and privation will be greater.' For the gen. of time see WM., p. 258, and cf. $\nu$ verós, I Thess, ,. 7. Mt. has the
 'nor yet (at whatever season) on a sabbath,' when many Jews, even Christian Jews (cf. Acts xxi, 20 f.), would be hindered by their scruples from escaping beyond the immediate vicinity of Jerusalem (Acts i. 12); in the Maccabean wars such scruples had borne deadly fruit (I Macc. ii. 32 ff .), and their influence was now perhaps
stronger than ever through the teaching of the Scribes. Me's omission of this point has been commonly expained by the fact that he wrote for Gentile readers, to whom the strength of Jewish feeling on the subject would be unintelligible. But it is quite possible that $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ oakBate had no place in the common tradition, though it had clung to the memory or had been added by the zeal of the Palestinian Church. For $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \dot{\chi}=\sigma \theta a t \quad{ }^{7} \nu a(o ̈ \pi \omega s)$ cf. xiv. 35,38 ; Phil. i. 9, Jas. v. 16; for other constructions see Lc. xxii. 40, Jas. v. 17.
 'Those days shall be straitness the like of which hath not come to pass' \&c. Mt. softens the harshness of Mc.'s sentence, but at the same time
 ${ }^{\mu \epsilon} \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ oi a oz $\left.\gamma \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \nu\right)$. The Book of Daniel is again in view: cf. Dan. xii. I Lxx, éкєivך $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}_{\dot{\eta}} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \quad \theta \lambda i \psi \epsilon \omega s$ ot a


 $\gamma \epsilon \notin \dot{\varphi}$ (see iv. 17, note, and cf. Lc.'s áváyкø) used almost in its literal sense for the daily tightening of the meshes of the siege; cf. Deut. xxviii. 53 (which is also perhaps in the Lord's thoughts),



 $+\delta \iota a$ tous eк入ектоиs autow D abffiqarm

 duced in Jer．xix．9，where the Lxx．

 Ota．．．тоtavíๆ for тotaít oin is perhaps unique；the passages quoted in Grimm－Thayer（i Cor．xv．48， 2 Cor． x．iI）are not exact parallels．Гє́ $\mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ represents the fact as standing in its completeness on the page of history： ＇no such event has ever occurred＇； comp．Jos．B．J．prooem． 4 тà үoûp

 ＇ $\mathrm{A} \pi$＇ $\mathfrak{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega s \kappa \tau \lambda .:$ cf．x． 6 ，note； similar phrases oceur in Exod．ix．18，


 5 ；àmò tô̂ vî̀，Lc．xxii． 18,69 ，Acts xviii．6， 2 Cor．v． 16.

Le．adds（xxi． $23^{\text {b }}, 24$ ）some re－ markable words，based partly on Zach．xii．3，partly anticipating the Pauline view of the relation between the fall of Israel and the conversion of the Gentile world（Rom．xi． 25 ff ．）．

 vas．Mc．＇s form of the sentence has a note of greater originality－the use of the anarthrous Kivoos＝הini：which is limited in the N．T．to O．T．quotations and phrases，and a few passages where a Hebrew or Aramaic original seems to be directly in view（e．g．Lc．i．5－ ii．52，where it occurs eight times）． Koko $\beta o u$ v is properly to＇amputate＇

 the epithet кодоводáктvдаs applied to St Mark，p．xxvi f．）；hence to＇curtail，＇ ＇cut short，＇Vg．（Mt．，Me．）breaiare．

With the thought of a Divine curtail－ ment of time comp．Barnabas 4． 3 eis


 $\mu i a \nu$ aúrov̂ $\tilde{\eta} \xi \eta$ ：but the purpose in Barn．is difforent，and the reference is to Dan．ix． $24 \sigma u \nu \in \tau \mu \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ，and not to the Gospels．On the con－
 ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \theta \eta$ sce WM．，p． 382.
 （Delitzsch）．Two Heb，idioms are combined here－the use of草预 for＇all men＇（Gen．vi．12），and the use of＇3．．．for＇nonc＇（Gen． ix．11）；cf．Blass，Gr．pp．162， 178 ， WM．，p． 214 f．For the construction see WM．，p． 382 ．Not a soul could have escaped from Jerusalem，had not the hand of God brought the siege to a speedy end．It lasted five montlis，from the Passover（Jos．B．J． r．3．1）to September（ib．vi．8．4）， when Titus entered the city；but the investment was not complete beforc May．Notwithstanding the horrors of the time the survivors were in－ credibly numerous， 97,000 acc．to Josephus（B．J．vi．9．3）．For the causes which＂combined to shorten the siege＂see Alford on Mt．xxiv． 22.
סià toùs éeरोєктotis ктג．］In the
 covenant people（Ps．civ．（cr．）6，Isa． xliii．20），but more especially Israel idealised and responding to GoD＇s choice（Isa．xlii．r，lxv．gff．）．In Enoch the term is used for the righteous in Israel（En．i．I єủ̀ó Suaious）for whom the Messianic Kingdom is reserved．The Gospels retain this general sense，transferring





 N()
the word to those of the кגqrot who answer to the call and prove themselves worthy of it (Mt. xxii. 14, ef. Lightfoot on Col. iii. 12). Here the elect, for whose sake the siege was shortened, are probably the faithful members of the Church of Jerusalem, the ${ }^{\circ} \lambda$ as $\tau \bar{\eta} s \gamma^{\eta} \bar{n}$, whose intercession or whose presence secured this privilege, though it did not avail to save the city (Gen. xviii. 32); Thpht. would include those of the Jews who should afterwards be brought to the faith,


 є́kтьสєь ó $\theta_{\epsilon}$ ós, where Mt. has merely то̄ ко́ $\sigma \mu$ ои.
 The warning of $v .6$ is resumed, with special reference to the circumstances of the last days of Jerusalem. Such a crisis would be sure to call up a host of pretenders to Messiahship, whether the title were used or not (see note to v. 6). ${ }^{3} I \delta \epsilon \omega^{2} \delta \epsilon \ldots$.. $i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \in \epsilon \hat{t}$ : Mt. expands

 тois тaцєioıs, $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$. Too little is known of the life of the Church at Pella to enable us to say whether it was disturbed bysuch reports. But the tidings of the siege which reacled the refugees from time to time would have predisposed them to accept any stories which chimed in with their growing belief that the mapourta was at hand. Mì тєттєvєтє : incredulity is sometimes a Christian duty. On the pres. imperative see Burton § 1656.

 to the Lxx. (Zach. xiii. 2, Jer. ${ }^{9}=\mathbf{N}$ for there were such under the old covenant (z Pct. ii. i, ef. Deut. xiii. Iff.); and the Lord had at the outset of the Ministry warned His disciples against this class of men (Mt. vii. 15 ), for the return of a true prophecy would bring back the spurious imitations. One such appears in Acts xiii. 6 ; many such were abroad before the end of the Apostolic age (I Jo. iv. I, see Westcott's note ; cf. Apoc. xix. 20, xx. Io) ; they were familiar to the writer of the Didache ( II тâs $\delta \dot{\xi}$


 sarily a far less common character, and the word is probably a creation of the Evangelists or their Greek source. St John's àvtixptavos (I Jo. ii. 22, iv. 3, 2 Jo. 7) presents a different conception; the Antichrist opposes Christ, the Pseudochrist is merely a " pretender to the Messianic oflice" (Westcott on I Jo. ii. 22, cf. Trench, syn. xxx.). The pretended Messiahs were scarcely a source of serious danger to the Church, after the end of the Jewish polity, and it is to these only that the Lord's words directly refer. Even the earlier Church writers however do not always observe this distinction; cf. Hegesippus ap. Eus. H. E. iv. 22 ànò тovitwy (he has named various early heretical sects)

 katà tồ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ kai katà tov̂ रpiotov̀ aủroû. Similarly Justin (dial. 82)
$\psi \in и \delta о \pi \rho о ф \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma о и \sigma \iota \nu ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ \alpha ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha т а ~$




 pr $\iota \delta \frac{N}{N A C D W} W^{b} X \Gamma \Delta \Pi \Sigma \Phi \min ^{\text {fereomn }}$ lattexen ayr arm go Cypr
quotes the present context with the


 thess are the ävrixportot of $\mathrm{I}, 2 \mathrm{Jo}$. rather than the $\psi$ evodoxperou of the Gospels.
 words look back to Exod. vii. II, zzz, and are based on Deut. xiii. I (2) éà $\mu$...

 nation of $\mu \mu \bar{a} a$ ai $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\epsilon} p a r a$ is common in the Q.T. (egg. Deut. xxviii. 46, xxix. 3 (4), xxxiv. if, 2 Esdr. xix. io, Ps. cxxxiv. (cexxy.) 9, Is. viii. 18; what Dr Driver (Deut. p. 75) says of the corresponding Heb. words is true of the Greek- $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon$ io p is "a sign, i.e. something, ordinary or extraordinary, as the case may be, regarded as siguificant of a truth beyond itself," whilst tépas is "a portent, an occurrence regarded merely as something extraordinary"; cf. Trench, syn. xii. The Gospels prefer orpeiov and divaucs in reference to the miracles of Jesus; the Jews sought for starling répaza (Jo. iv. 48), but the Lord's work did not usually assume this form; the latter word, however, is used freely in the Acts (ii. 22,43 , iv. 30, v. 12, vi. 8 , xiv. 3 , xv. 12 ), and occasionally by St Paul (Rom. xv. 19, 2 Cor. xii. 12), to describe the effect which the Christan miracles produced, rather than their actual character or their purpose. To exhibit portents belongs especially to the false prophet or false Christ, whose ambition it is to startle and
excite admiration. But his tépara are as false as his pretensions ( 2 Thess ii. 9 т. $\psi$ є́̇órous).
 view of misleading'; cf. WM., p. 505. 'A $\quad$ топлavâ $\nu$, 'to lead astray by diverting from the right path,' used absolately (2 Chiron. xxi. if, Prov. vii. 2I, Sir. ${ }^{3}$, 2 Mace. ii. 2), or followed by

 row's, cf. v. 20, note; Mt. emphasises the boldness of the aim by prefixing ai. ${ }^{2} \mathfrak{i}$ סuvazóv, sc. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v$, si protest fir, R. V. "if possible"; the phrase leaves the possibility undetermined, cf. xiv. 35, Rom. xii. 18.
 for your part, be on your guard'; cf. vv. 5,9 ; $\beta \lambda$. is used absolutely again
 that is necessary to direct your conduct'; if the prediction was not full or exact enough to gratify curiosity, it was sufficient to create responsibility and supply practical guidance. Host$\pi \epsilon i \nu$ is used of prophetic announcemeats; cf. Acts i. $16 \pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \bar{i} \pi \epsilon \tau \grave{̀} \pi \nu \nu \epsilon \overline{\hat{\imath}} \mu a$
 'Haidas.

24-27. The End of tie Dispenstation foretold (Mt. xxiv. 29-3I, Le. $x$ xi. 25-28).
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \kappa \tau \lambda$.$] ' \operatorname{But}(\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \bar{a})$ there is more to follow; in those days, \&c.' The prophecy now carries us beyond the
 cf. $e$. 19.) 'Eve éxetivaus taîs j j $\mu$ épus is indefinite (i. 9, note), merclyconnecting







the sequel with what has gone before, so that the destruction of the Jewish polity is regarded as the starting point of the era which will be ended by the mapovaia. Mt., interpreting the Lord's words by the conviction which possessed the first generation, prefixes $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \omega s$, with which compare the $\tau a x u$ of Apoc. xxit. zo; the original form of the sentence, as wo see it in Mc., leaves the interval uncertain. The Jord merely foretells that His persoual coming will follow the capture of Jerusalem, and not precede and prevent it, as many might be tempted to expect (o. 21 f.). Lc. has lost the note of time altogether.
 symbolical description which follows is gathered from O.T. predictions of the ruin of nations hostile to Isracl; cf. Isa. xiii. io (of Babylon) oi yàp d̀ $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in s$


 ib. xxsif. 4 (of Edom) такฑ́боита тã $\sigma a \iota$ ai тávta тà ă $\sigma \tau \rho a$ т $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ íral: Ezech. xxxii.

 Joel (ii. $30=$ iii. 3) connects similiar portents with the dispeusation of the Spirit (cf. Acts ii. 17 ff). In all these cases physical phenomena are used to describe the uphearal of dynasties, or great moral and spiritual changes; and it is unnecessary to exact any other meaning from the words when they are adopted by Christ. The centurics which followed the fall of Jerusalem were dcstined to witness
dynastic and social revolutions greater and wider than any which swept over Babylon and Perypt, and to theso portents of Christian history the Lord's words may reasonably be referred. On the other hand they do not exclude, perhaps they even suggest, a collapse of the present order of Nature immodiately before the mapouria (2 Pet. iii. 12). One of the phenomena described accompanied the Crucifixion (Lc. xxiii. 45); the Return may well be signalised by greater disturbances of the visible order. Ф́ $\bar{\gamma} \gamma$ os is used specially, though not invariably, of the "lights thatgovern the night'; see Treneh, syn. clxxxvii., and cf. Joel ii. 10 , iii. (iv.) 15 ; this word is stronger than $\phi \omega$ s, the brightness or lustre of light; cf. Hab. iii. 4

 The conception is that of individual stars (not tà ägrpa as in Le. xxi, 25) falling at various times: ef. Apoc. vi. 13, viii. Io, ix. I. For the periphrasis
 Mt. has $\pi \epsilon \sigma o u v \tau a l$, but it is unsafe to infer (W M., p. 437) that Mc.'s expression is a simple substitute for the future; as usual, Mc. is more precise in his descriptive language than Mt. इaג $\epsilon$ ひ̈́roova on the other land is equally accurate, for the distarbance is in this case regarded as final (Heb. xii. 26). The "powers in heaven" (Mt.
 Isa. xxxiv. 4 ; the heavenly bodies in geucral. $\Sigma a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ (used here by the three Synoptists) is frequently employed by the Lxx. for earthquake



$26 \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda a \iota s] \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu \nu \in \phi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{D}$ syr ${ }^{\sin \mathrm{rid}} \mu \epsilon \tau a \tau . \nu \in \phi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$（ut vid）adffiq om X

 syrr arm aegg go aeth Orint（om autov BDL a effikq）
（Ps．xvii．（xviii．）8，xlv．（xlri．）7，lxxvi． （lxxyii．）Ig，lxxxi．（lxxxii．） 5 de．），with special reference to the scene of the Law－giving；here the movement is extended to hearen and the heavenly hosts，as in Hagg．ii． 6 （Heb．l．c．）． Lc．adds a striking description of the distress which these extraordinary phenomena will produce on carth（kai


26．каі то́тє oैұovtal ктд．］This time of unrest and fear will culminate in the Vision of the Son of Man fore－ shadowed by Daniel（vii．I3 Lxx．


 $\mu \epsilon \nu o s$, Th．））．In Daniel the Man
 represents the kingdom of saints which is to supersede the heathon empires indicated by the Four Beasts（cf． Stanton，J，and Chr．Messiah，p．Io9； Bevan，Daniel，p．I 8 ；Driver，Daniel， p．Io2 ff．）．The Lord had from the beginning of His Ministry assumed the title of the Son of Man（ii．io， where see note），and now at length He identifies Ilimself with the object of Daniel＇s vision；in Hin the king－ dom of regencrate humanity will find its Head，and His manifestation in that capacity is to be the crowning revelation of the future（cf．xiv．62， Apoc．i．7，xiv．14）．＂O廿ovtat，＇men shall sce，＇cf．v． 9 ；the Apocalypse

 Worte，i．p．Ig8．

Mt．prefixes каі то́тє фаро́бєтає тò

$\nu \hat{\text { u．Cf．Didache } 16: ~ т о ́ т є ~ ф а \nu \eta ́ \sigma є т а є ~}$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mathfrak{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ év ozjpavâ．Cyril．Hier．cat．


 PW．，Sarum Breviary，Sanct．，p． 278 ＂hoc signum crucis erit in caelo cum Dominus ad iudicaudum venerit．＂ But the meaving may be simply＂the sign which is the Son of Man＂（Bruco）； the Vision of the Christ will itself be the signal for the $\sigma u \nu \tau \in \in \epsilon a$（ $v, 4$ ）．
 viii． 38 ，Mt．xxv． 3 I ；the conception is based on 1）an．vii． 14 （ $\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta \dot{\partial} \theta \eta$ aṽrஸ̣．．． $\tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \eta ́ \kappa \tau \lambda).$.

27．каі то́тє й $т о \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ктд．］ Another link in the chain of events （cf．ка⿱亠乂 то́тє，v．26）．＂The Son of Man shall send the Angels＂－＂His Angels，＂Mt．（cf．Mt．xiii．4I，Heb．i． 6，and see Mc．i． 13 ，viii．38）；Mt．adds $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma o s \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s$ ，with a refer－ ence to the scene of the Law－giving （Exod．xix．16；cf．i Cor．xv．52， I Thess．iv．I6）－＂＂and shall assemble
 xiii． 4 I $\left.\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \xi^{\prime} \circ v \sigma \iota \nu\right)$ His elect．＂Such a gathering of men into a true and lasting brotherhood had proved to be impossible under the conditions of Judaism（Mt．xxiii． 37 тоба́кıs $\eta^{\prime} \theta \in \lambda \eta \sigma a$
 be realised in the Israel of God， at the mapovoia；ef． 2 Thess．ii．I
 $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ is suggestively used for the ordinary gatherings of the Church， which are anticipations of the great assembling at the Lord＇s Retura

 oủpavov."

If


(Heb. x. 25). Both noun and verb are employed by the lxx, in passages where the reassembling of the scattered tribes of Israel into the Messianic kingdom is in view : see Deut. xxx. 4 (ovyá $\xi_{\epsilon}$ ), Tob. xiii. 13, xiv. 7 (N), Ps. cv. (cvi.) 47, cxlvi. (cxlvii.) 2 , Zach. ii. $6(\sigma v \dot{j} \xi \omega), 2$ Macc. ii. 7. Toùs éкגектoùs nưtoû. The Father elects (v. 20), but in the Son (Eph. i. 4); and the elect belong to the Son by the Father's gift (Jo. x. 27, xvii. 6 , 10).
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \tau \sigma \sigma \dot{a} \rho \omega \nu$ à $\nu \in \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] From Zach. ii. 6 (10) є́к т $\bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ p \omega \nu$ à $\bar{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ то̂̀ oủ $\rho a \nu \alpha \hat{u} \sigma v y a ́ \xi \omega$ ípãs, and Deut.

 $\sigma v v a ́ \xi \in t ~ \sigma \epsilon$ Kúptos: cf. also Deut. iv. 32; Deissmann (B. St. p. 248) quotes
 papyrus. 'The four winds' (ef. Apoc. vii. I) stand for the four points of the compass. The Lord's thought is still dwelling on the new Isracl, in which are to be fulfilled the O.T. anticipations of the reassembling of the tribes.
 oúparoz is unusual and difficult; the
 \%. (Deut. xiii. 7 (8), Jer. xii. 12), as
 xxx. 4, Ps. xviii. (xix.) 7), and even speaks of tícorapa ä́кра тoû oùpavoû (Jer.xiv. 16 (xlix. 36)), but the contrast
 appears only here; the sense seems to be, "from any one to any other opposite meeting-point of earth aud sky" (Bengel: "ab extremo caeli et
terrae in oricnte usque ad extremum caeli et terrae in occidcute"), i.e round the whole horizou of the world. But the phrase is perhaps colloquial rather than exact, and intended ouly to convey the impression that no spot on the surface of the earth where any of the elect may be will be overlooked.

28-29. The Lesson of the Budding Figtree (Mi. xxiv. 32-33, Le. xxi. 29—31).
28. d̀ $\pi \dot{d} \dot{\delta} \hat{\delta} \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \nu \kappa \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau \lambda$.] 'From the fig-tree learn the parable (it offers),' i.e., the aualogy which will serve to illustrate this particular point. The first article is generic (WM., p. 132), the second possessive (WM., p. 135). On тараßо入ín see iii. 23. The illustration is not worked out in the customary
 $\sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda_{\text {, }}$, or the like, but is merely suggested in passing; nevertheless the essence of the 'parable' is here. With $\mu \dot{d} \theta \in \tau \epsilon$ (the Master's call to the $\mu a \neq \eta r a i)$ cf. Mt. ix. 13, xi. 29. Under Christ's guidance teaching may be extracted from (àmó) the most familiar of natural objects. The fig-tree was among the commonest products of the neighbourhood of Jerusalem; yet twice within two days it furnished Him with materials of instruction (cf. xi. 13 ff ). Lc. lessens the intcrest of the passage by adding кaì $\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ v d \rho a . ~$
 not yet in full leaf like the precocious
 the Passover the leaves would be just escaping from their sheaths. 'Aлa入o's is used of young vegetation in Lev. ii.
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 kéofov]; here it denotes the result of the softening of the external coverings of the stem, as it grows succulent under the moisture and sunshine of spring. This stage has been already ( $\eta$ ( $\delta \eta$ ) reached; and it is succeeded by
 branch puts forth its leaves. The Latin versions and the Sinaitic and Peshitta Syriac support tox un (see vv. IL), which might certainly stand (WSchm., p. ITo); but фúєcv trans. occurs in Cant. v. I3, Sir. xiv. 19, and $\bar{\epsilon} \times \phi \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ trans. in Ps. viii. (civ.) I4 Symm, and there is no sufficient reason for changing the subject here. Field's argument that if the transitive were used " we should have expected the or. $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \dot{v} \sigma \eta$ " overlooks the fact that the parable represents vegetation as still in its first stage. The bursting of the fig-tree into leaf is the earliest sign of the approach of summer; cf. Cant. ii. inf. For $\theta$ epos, the season of summer, of. Gen. viii. 22, Ps. lxvii. (lxiv.) 17, Jer. viii. 20 ; the noun is elsewhere anarthrous, and the article, which occurs here in all the accounts, is perhaps emphatic-"the summer," as contrasted with the leafless winter. Meyer's identification of $\theta$ expos in this place with $\theta \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu o{ }^{\prime}$ is out of keeping with the context; though the rapovaia is elsewhere regarded as the harvest time of the world (Mt, xiii. 30,39 ,

Apoc. xiv. 15, , another train of ideas prevails here: cf. Origen: "unusquisque forum qua salvantur.. in se absconditam haber vitalem virtutem; Christo autem inspirante, ...qua suit abscondita in is progrediuntur in folia restate instance." Thpht.: [ix ] $\pi \sigma \hat{v}$

 not imper., Vg. cognoscitis; ' experience tells you.' On the reading yuma бкєтаи-a common itacism-see Field, Notes, p. 37 f .
 lesson of the parable enforced. ouitows кaí, 'so in like manner' (WM., p. 548) ; ن́utîs, 'ye disciples,' as distinguished from the rest of men. As all men (and you among them) recognise the signs of approaching summer, so ye, with your special opportunities, ought to recognise ( $\gamma \omega \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \in \tau \epsilon$, imper. ; Vg. scitote) the premonitions of the

 are to supply a subject in ML. and Mc., $\dot{\eta}$ бuvte dea or rad rédos will naturally suggest itself; but the impersonal ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon}_{\text {. }}$ is in better accord with the mysterious vagueness of an apocalypse; on the phrase see Dalman, Torte, i. p. 87. 'Exit Uúpats: with foot already firmly set upon the doorstep; cf. Prov. ix. 14 є́кádtбєע є́ $\pi i$
 Sap. xix. 17 ai mi raîs тồ סıкaiov $\theta$.





  

Oúpas tố ơkov [1át]; ; James v. 9 $\dot{\delta} \kappa \rho \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \rho \dot{o} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \theta_{\nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ is perhaps a reminiscence of this saying; cf. also Phil. iv. 5, Apoc. i. 3, xxii. 10, and the Aramaic watchword $\mu a \rho a ̀ v$ dáá in i Cor. xvi. 22, Didache 10.

30-32. The Efrit certain; the exact Time known to none but the Father (Mt. xxiv. 34-36, Le. xxi. $32-33$ ).
30. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ víiv ớт $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Having answered the question $\pi i$ tò $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$ the Lord addresses Himself to the other point raised in $v .4$, $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a$
 $\dot{v} \mu i v$ demands serious attention (ef. xii. 43). The dificult saying which follows is given in nearly identical words by the three Synoptists. 'H $\gamma \in \nu \in a ̀$ aṽ $\eta$ is frequent in the Gospels (cf. e.g. viii. 12 (note), 38 , Mt. xi. 16 , xii. 41 ff., xxiii. 36, Lc. xvit. 25), referring apparently in epery instance to the generation to which the Lord Himself belonged. In the Lxx . $\gamma \in \nu \in \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ (=7i') occasionally means 'a class of men, with an ethical significance

 (where see Dr Kirkpatrick's note), xiii. (xiv.) 5 , xxiii. (xxiv.) 6 ; and there are passages in the N. T. where this use of the word comes into sight (e.g. Mt. xvii. 17, Mc. ix. 19, Acts ii. 40 , Phil. ii. 15 ). In the present context it
 in its normal signification ; the passage is similar to Mt. xxiii. 36 , where there can be no doubt as to the meaning. Men who were then alive would sce
the fulfilment of the sentence pronounced upon Jcrusalem ( $x .2$ ). If таüta mávia be held to include, as the words are probably meast to include, the $\sigma v v e \epsilon \lambda \in i a$ and mapou $\sigma i a, \gamma \in v \in a ́$ must be widened accordingly: cf. e.g. Theod.

 Jerome: "aut genus hominum significat, aut specialiter Iudacorum ";
 $\pi \sigma \sigma \omega \mathrm{\omega}$. It is possible that a word was purposely employed which was capable of being understood in a narrower or a wider sense, according to the interpretation assigned to the passage by the hearer or reader. On ov $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \tilde{\eta}$ see Burton, § 172: in v. 31 the future is used without change of meaning.
31. ó ovjpà̀s кai $\hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} k \tau \lambda$.] The disturbances of Nature and Society foretold in $v v .24 \mathrm{ff}$, would leave the great revelation of the Father's Love and Will unshaken (cf. Isa li. 6, Heb. xii. 25 ff .). The Lord claims for the Gospcl a permanence even more absolute than that which at the outset of His Ministry He had claimed for the Law (Mt. v. 18, Lc. xvi. 17, cf. Hort, Jud. Chr. p. 16). Oi גóyoı $\mu o v$, not this particular apocalypse only (oi גózo九 oûroц, Mt. vii. 24, Lc. ix 28), but Christ's teaching as a whole (oi finoi $\lambda o ́ \gamma u!$, viii. $38=\dot{\text { ó }} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o ̀ s ~ \lambda \dot{́} \gamma o s$, Jo. viii.

 тарє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma о \nu \tau a, ~ A p o c . ~ x x i . ~ I ~ o ́ ~ j \grave{a} \rho$



 viós, $\epsilon i \quad \mu \eta े ~ o ́ ~ \pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho . ~$








 min $^{\text {fere omn }} \mathrm{fffiq} \mathrm{qg}$ syrr arm aegg aeth (om BD i22ack)
 ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mathrm{\rho a}$ éкєivך is here apparently (cf. xiv. 25, Le. xxi. 34, 2 Thess. i. Io, 2 Tim. i. 18) the day of the final Return in which "those days" (vo. 17, I9, 24) will find their issue; elsewhere described as $\dot{\eta}^{\dot{\eta}} \sigma \chi^{\dot{a} \pi \eta} \dot{\eta} \mu$. (Jo., passim), $\dot{\eta} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$. тои кupiov ['I. X.] (Paul), or simply $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ (Mt. xxv. 13, I Thess. \%. 4). The end is assured, it belongs to Revelation; but the time has not been revealed, and shall not be. Oúdris...ov̉ḋ̀̇...où $\delta \dot{f}$, 'no one...not even (ne quidem)...nor yet': for the sequence cf. Mt. vi. 26, Apoc. v. 3, and
 $a_{\gamma}{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{e} \lambda o \iota_{\text {, }}$ who are to be employed in the work of 'that day,' cf. v. 27. Comp. the Rabbinical parallels cited by Wünsche, p. 404 ; and for other references to the limitations of angelic knowledge see Eph. iii. 10, i Pet. i. 12. Oúbè óviós. Not $\dot{\text { on vias toû }}$ ảv $\partial \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi o u$, but $\dot{o}$ viós absolutely, as contrasted with of тary่p: cf. Mt. xi. 27 , Luc. x. 22, Jo. v. I9 ff., vi. 40 , xvii. 1 , 1 Jo. ii. 22 \&e. By the Father's gift all things that the Father hath are the Son's (Jo. v. 20, xyi. 15), and as the Eternal Word it would seem that He cannot be ignorant of this or any other mystery of the Divine Will (Mt. xi. $27, \mathrm{Jo}$ i. 18). But the time of the predestined end is one of those things
which the Father has "set within His own authority" (Acts i. 7), and the Son had no knowledge of it in His human consciousness, and no power to reveal it (Jo. viii. 26, 40, xiv. 24, xy. 15). See upon the whole context Mason, Conditions, p. 120 ff .

The patristic treatment of the passage is fully examined by Bp Gore, Dissertations, p. 1 II ff. Irenaeus (ii. 28. 6) is content to call attention to the practical reproof which the Lord's words administer to idle curiosity. In Origen (in ML. ad l.) the exegetical difficulty comes into riew, and he offers alternative explanations; the ignorance of which the Lord speaks belongs either to His luman nature, or to the Church, as whose Head He speaks. Later expositors, influenced by a just indignation at the Arian
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o ́ \nu$, oủk $\stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\partial} \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{f} \boldsymbol{p}_{\text {s }}$, regarded the ignorance as 'economic' only; whilst others understood $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\delta}$ i $\pi$ aríp as nearly equivalent to $\chi$ wpis rov a a




That the day is known to God was taught in Zech. xiv. 7; cf. Pss. Sol.
 $\theta$ eós (Lalman, Worte, i. p. 235).
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33-37. Tile final Warnive, based on the Uncertainty of tie Tlme (Mt. xxiv. 42 ff., Le. xxi. 36 ).
33. $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \rho \nu \pi \nu \epsilon і ̈ \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Wycliffe: "se 30 wake 3 e and preie зe." For $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ cf. $v \boldsymbol{v} .5,9,23$; it is the keynote of the discourse. 'Aypunveite, 'do not permit yoursclves to sleep'; cf. I Esdr. viii. 58
 (cxxvii.) I $\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \quad \phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$,
 $\dot{a} \gamma \rho v \pi v \in \hat{L}$. In the Epistles the verb is used in reference to prayer (Eph. vi. 18) and spiritual work (Heb, xiii.

 forms of spiritual àyounvia: "vigilat autem qui ad adspectum veri luminis mentis oculos apertos tenet, vigilat qui servat operando quod credit, vigilat qui se torporis et neglegentiae tenebras repellit." oủk oíßarє $\gamma$ र̀ $\rho$ то́те кт $\lambda$. If the Master Himself does not know, the disciples must not only acquiesce in their ignorance, but regard it as a wholesome stimulus to exertion ( $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ). On ó кaloós see i . I5; each appointed time of Divine visitation is a katpós, occurring at the moment predestined for it in the ordering of events.
 Another mapaßo入 $\eta^{\prime}(v .28)$, and as appears from ML. xxiv. 43 ff., xxv., one of a serics delivered at this time. With äyd $\rho$. ànóònuos 'a man on lis travels' (Wycliffe, "a man the which gon far in pilgrimage"), comp. xii. I $\dot{\pi} \nu \theta \rho$. ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \varphi$, and Mt. xxy. 14

aooos). The traycller is here and in Mt. l.c. the Son of Man, and the journey is His return to the Father (Jo. xif. 3). ' $\Omega_{\text {s, " }}$ it is as if," cf. $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \mathrm{Mt}_{0}$ xxv. 14 (Blass, Gr., p. 27 O , cf. WM., p. 578 n .). The construction of the sentence which follows is broken by the intrusion of кai before 'veтєi$\lambda a \tau o$; the rcader desiderates either


 profectus... reliquil ...et dedit...et praecipiat, v.L praecepit; see Words-worth-White ad l.) ; Fritzsche's and Meyer's expedient of taking the last кai as =etiam (WM., p. 578) is adopted by R.V., but seems to be unnecessary in view of other indications of grammatical laxity in Mc.'s style.
 ro $\left.{ }^{\prime} p \gamma o \nu\right]$ The authority is committed to the servants collectively (Bongel : "hanc dedit servis coniunctim"), the task is assigued individually. On є́govaia see i. 22, vi. 7, notes ; for סoûdos in this reference cf. xii. 2, Jo. xiii. 16, xy. 15, 20; the Apostolic writers glory in the title 'I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{u} \mathrm{X} \rho \ell \sigma-$ tồ ooùdos (James i. i, Jude i, Apoc. i. r, Rom. i. r, Phil. i. i ; cf. $\delta 0 \hat{u} \lambda o s \theta_{\text {eou }}$ Tit. i. I, I Pet. ii. I6). Here apparently the doù $\lambda_{0,}$ are the disciples in general, the $\theta u \rho \omega \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ is the Apostolate and the ministry (cf. Jo. x. 3 тоút $\varphi$ ó $\theta$ vopò̀s avo( $\gamma(t)$, to whom especially belongs the responsibility of guarding the house and of being ready to open the door to the Master at His return (Lc. xii. 36, cf. Ezek. xxxiii. 2 ff.). Bede: "ordini pastorum ac rectorum ecclesiae curam







#### Abstract

     autem uni dixi omnibus vobis dico (om $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma$.) k


solerti observantia iubet impendere," adding, however, "vigilare praccipimur universi ianuas cordium." "Iva $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma_{\rho \rho \hat{\eta}}$ : $\gamma \rho \not \gamma^{\prime} \rho \rho \epsilon \bar{i}$, a late formation from 'iरp' $\gamma \quad \rho a$, condemned by the Atticists (Lob. Phryn. p. 18 , cf. Rutherford, p. 200 f., WSchm., p. ro4 $n$.), is found in the Iater books of the Lxx. (2 Esdr. ${ }^{1}$ Jer. ${ }^{3}$ Bar. ${ }^{1}$ Thren. ${ }^{1}$ Dan. (Th. ${ }^{1}$ ) I Mace. ${ }^{1}$ ), and in the N. T. (Syn. ${ }^{14}$ Acts ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ Paul ${ }^{4}$ I Pet. ${ }^{1}$ A poc. ${ }^{3}$ ). The passage in I Mace. (xii. 27) is an interesting illustration of its use here : $\bar{\pi} \pi \epsilon \tau u \xi \in \nu$

 use cf. Ign. Polyc. I үртүореі̂, àкоі́-


 ó katpós èmrtv in $v .33$ and explains its ultimate meaning (cf. Mt. xxiv. 3 $\left.\tau \hat{j} \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho o v \sigma^{\prime} a s\right)$. With the plirase
 x. 25 , xx. Iff., Le. xiii. 25 , and esp.
 oikoy aùtoū. Mt. (xxiv. 42) substitutes $o$ кúpoas $\dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$, cf. Heb. iii. 6 ovi

 one of the four watcles of the night;

 fold division of the night is mentioued in the O. T., cf. Jud. vii. I9 rins $\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \hat{\eta} s \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \eta s(\tau \hat{\eta} s \rho \epsilon \sigma o v i \sigma \eta s, A)$ : the
first two Gospels speak of a fourth watch (Mt. xiv. 25, Mc. vi. 48 , where see note; cf. Jos. ant. v. 6.5 кaтà
 ті̀े $\dot{\text { éaurov̂ }}$ бт $\rho a \tau u \dot{u}:$ : Berachoth, cited by Wetstein, "quatuor vigiliae fuerunt noctis")-a Roman arrangement (Blass on Acts xii. 4), but not unknown in classical Greece (Eur. Rhes. 5, cited by Kypke: тєтра́доьоо дикто̀s $\phi$ ооv $\alpha \dot{\prime} \nu$ ). The watches were distinguished as vigilia prima, secunda,
 popular equivalents, not to be too strictly interpreted. For á\& ${ }^{\prime}$ see xi. II, 19; for $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v v^{\prime} к т \iota o$, , Jud. xvi. 3 , Ps. cxviii. (cxix.) 62, Isa. lix. ro (where it is the opposite of $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu-$ Boia), Le. xi. 5, Acts xvi. 25, xx. 7;
 Gk. (but cf. 3 Macc. v. 23, 24), is used in Aesop, fab. 44: $\pi \rho \omega i^{\prime}$ corrc-
 xiv. 24, i Regn. xi. if (A, $\pi \rho \omega \omega \eta^{\prime}$ ), or $\phi . \pi \rho \omega i a$ of Ps. cxxix. (cxxx.) 6. On the acc. $\mu$ кборiкт兀ov see WM., p. 288.
 Mt. xxv. 5, Rom. xiii. 11 , I Thess. v. 6 ; the need of the caution was soon to be forcibly iilustrated (xiv. 37 ff ). For the orthograply of
 cf. ix. 8, note ; for the ethicai import
 suddenuess is not due to caprice on the part of the Master, but to




neglect of duty on that of the servant.
 Comp. Peter's question in Lc. xii. 4I, which here receives a direct answer. Watching was not to be limited to the Avpopos, all must keep vigil till He returned; priest and people, the man of the world as well as the recluse ; cf. Ihplit.: $\pi$ â $\sigma$

 rais. The early Church expressed her sense of the importance of this charge by the institution of the $\pi a \nu \nu v \chi i \delta \epsilon s$ or vigiliae; see Batiffol, hist. du brêviaire Romain, p. 2 ff .
XIV. I-2. The Day before tile Paschal Meal. Destens of the Priests and Scribes (Mt. xxvi. I-5, Lc. xxii. $\mathrm{I}-2$ ).
 (Aram, NחD'b, NחDS, cf, Dalman, Gr. py. 107, 126) is the prevalent translite-
 4 Regn. ${ }^{3}$ I Esdr. ${ }^{14} 2$ Esdr. ${ }^{3}$ Ezech. ${ }^{1}$ ), the alternative form ф'́ack or $\phi \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \chi$ occurring only in 2 Chron. (xxx. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ xxx. ${ }^{12}$ ), Jer. xxxviii. (xxxi.) 8 ; in the N. T. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{\chi}{ }^{a}$ is used uniformly (Mt. ${ }^{4}$ Mc. ${ }^{5}$ Lc. ${ }^{7}$ Jo. ${ }^{9}$ Acts ${ }^{1}$ Paul ${ }^{1}$ Heb. ${ }^{1}$ ). Philo also has máa $\chi$ a (e.g. de decal. $\dot{\eta} y$
 ropev́ova $w$ ); in Josephus the mss. vary between $\pi \dot{A} \sigma \chi^{a}$ and фá $\sigma к а$ (sec Nicse's text and app. crit, ant. F. I. 4, xiv. 2. 1, xvii. 9. 3, B. J. ii. I. 3). Tò $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \chi^{a}$ is either (a) the lamb (Exod. xii. II, $21, \& c$.), or (b) the feast at which it was eaton, or (c) the Paschal festival as a whole (Jos. ant. wvii. 9. 3 фá $\sigma \kappa \alpha$

 0.12 ; in the present passage ( $b$ ) seems
to be intended, since rò $\pi$. is distinguished from $\tau \dot{a}$ ä $\langle v \mu a$, the opening meal from the period of abstinence from leaven. Tà ă̧., "the azymes" (
 eaten throughout the Paschal week, but here $=$ 'the Feast of Azymes,'
 18) or at $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \varepsilon ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\zeta$. (Acts xii. 3, xx. 6). The word lends itself easily to this sense, the neut. pl. being commonly employed for the names of
 the class. тà $\Delta t o v u ́ \sigma a, ~ \tau \grave{a} ~ П а \nu a d \eta \dot{\eta} \nu a i a$ (Blass, Gr. p. 84 f.).
 cisely, $\eta_{\gamma} \gamma\langle\epsilon \nu$ : Mt. represents the Lord as calling attention to the approach of the Feast ( $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \ldots$... ${ }^{*} \delta a r \epsilon$
 Mc.'s ${ }^{\prime} \nu=\ddot{\eta}_{\mu} \mu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ єival is noticeable; the Evangelist looks back on the eveut as past. Metà dóo ju $\mu \epsilon \in \rho a s=\tau \grave{\eta}$
 analogy of $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \tau \rho \epsilon \overline{i s} \dot{\eta} \mu$. (viii. 3I, note); cf. Hos. vi. 2 where $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{d}$ dóo $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ is
 $\tau \rho i \tau g$ and, as Field points out (on Mt. $x \mathrm{xi} .2 \mathrm{I}$ ), is equivalent to $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ठeurepa.... The day will thus, on the Synopticreckoning, be Wedıesday, Nisan 13; cf. Exod. xii. 6. Thpht.: $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta}$ тєтрáót тò $\sigma v \mu \beta a v ́ \lambda \iota o v$ (v.infra) $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta$,
 тєт $\rho$ ádas (see Did. 8, Ap. Const. v. 15).
 18 , xii. 12. The plot was now under discussion at a meeting consisting of representatives of each order in the Sanhedrin: Mc., Lc. oi d $\rho \chi$. каl oi $\gamma \rho$., Mt. ouvix $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ of d $\rho \chi$. кail oi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma-$ ßútepot tov̂ $\lambda a o \hat{u}$ (cf. Mc. xi. 27). Mt. adds that the meeting was held in the

#   <br>  

[^85]house of Caiaphas, who for some time had advocated the policy of sacrificing Jesus to the Roman power (Jo.xi. 49 f.). There was no division of opinion now as to the principle, or as to the character of the means to be employed for the arrest ( $\epsilon_{\nu} \delta_{o ́ \lambda} \lambda$, Mc., $\delta \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega$, Mt.; cf. Me. vii. 22); only the opportunity ( $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s) was still wanting. On the subj. after $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ see WM., p. 373 f .; in direct discourse the question would run
 mood is retained notwithstanding the

 from the council chamber which reached the Apostles and found its place in the traditions of the Church. Voices were heard deprecating an arrest after the Paschal week had well begun ( $\hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon}$ eo $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ); it must be made during the next few hours, or postponed till after the Fcast. My, used elliptically, cf. Blass, Gr. p. 293 f., and Lightfoot on Gal. v. 13 ; if we are to supply a verb, the provious words

 ढ̈ $\sigma \tau a t$, more vivid than Mt.'s iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ yevintar ; the use of civer and the ind. fot. represents the danger as real and immineut, and adds force to the deprecation : ef. Liglitfoot on Col. ii. 8, Westcott on Heb. iii. 12, and Field, Notes, p. 38. The Sanhedrists lived in fear of their own people (Lc. $\begin{gathered}\text { ф } \\ \text { o- }\end{gathered}$ Boûvto үàp ròv daóv: cf. xi. 18, note, xii. 12). Єópvßos toû haov, not merely "clamour," "uproar" (v. 28), but as Vg. tumultus, a riot, or its precursor, an outbreak of disorder (Acts $x x$. I, xxiv. 18).

3-9. The Episode of the Anolnt-
ivg at Bethany (Mt, xxyi. 6-i3, Jo. xii. 2-8).
 There is nothing either in Mc. or Mt. to raise a doubt as to the historical sequence ; indeed Mt.'s $\gamma \epsilon \nu_{0} \mu$ с́vov fol-
 may seem to suggest that the supper occurred immediately after the Lord's arrival at Betbany on the evening of the " Day of questions." St Johu, howevor, places it before the Triumphal Entry (Jo. xii. I ff, I2; see Mc. xi. 1, note); and his order has been generally accepted from the time of Tatian (cf. Hill, p. 196 f.). Augustine (de cons. ev. ii. 78 ) rightly points out that the two Synoptists do not definitely contradict the Fourth Gospel: at the same time it may be questioned whether either of them consciously connected the erent with the first day at Bethany ("recapitulando crgo ad illum diem redeunt in Bethaniam qui erat ante sex dies paschae"). For some reason which does not lie upon the surface (cf. $v e .4,10$, notes) this episode had been dislodged from its historical order in the tradition to which Mc. and Mt. were indebted for their account. On the whole question and the history of opinion upon it see Hastings, D.B. iii. p. 279 ff .
 double gen. absolute accords with Mc.'s often disjointed style.
 rightly limits himself here to Mt. Mc. Jo., placing Lc. vii. $3^{6} 6 \mathrm{ff}$. in another and much earlier connexion (Hill, p. 100 ff.), and this view was held at a later time by Apollinaris and Theo-




#### Abstract
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dore of Mopsuestia（Victor）．Origen， however，speaks of the two narratives as commonly coufused in his time（in Mt．ad l．＂multi quidem existimant de una eademque muliere quatuor evan－ gelistas exposuisse＂）．There are points of resemblance－the name of the host， and the use of an dגáßararos，to which Jo．adds the anointing of the Feet， and the wiping them with the hair－ but，as Origen points out，there is an essential difference in the persons whose act is described（＂non enim credibile cst ut Maria quam diligebat Iesus．．．peccatrix in civitate dicatur＂）． That the circumstances were intention－ ally modified by Le．（Holtzmann，see Plunmer ad l．）is scarcely less in－ credible in vicw of Le．＇s own state－ ment of his historical principles（i．3）．

According to Jo．the supper at Bethany was given in the house of
 38 ff ．and Mc．i．31）．It is not neces－ sary to regard the reference to Simon in Mt．and Mc．as due to the iufluence of Lc．＇s story．Simon the leper（on the commonness of the name see i． 16 ， note）may have been Martha＇s hus－ band，now dead or parted from her by his disease，or the father of the family（Thpht．：фa⿱i rives kai

 The epithet $\delta \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ s$ may have clung to the leper after hisrecovery；Jerome， who compares Mädaios ó te $\lambda \omega \nu \eta \bar{\prime}$ （Mt．x．3），remarks：＂sic et leprosus Simon iste vocatur antiquo nomine， ut ostendatur a Domino fuisse cura－ tus．＂The suggestion of Ephrem（er． conc．exp．p．205）is improbable： ＂quomodo lepra in corpore Simonis permanerc poterat，qui purificatorem leprae in domo sua recumbentem
vidit ？forsitan．．．pro sua hospitalitate mercedent accepit purificationem．＂ That Simon was the actual host and present at the feast cannot be inferred from éy oikia $\Sigma^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{m}$

ग̉̉ $\lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$ ктд，Jo．$\dot{\eta}$ oủv Maptá $\mu$ （cf．Jo．xi．z）：her anouymity in the Synoptists is perhaps due to the Galilean origin of the synoptic tradi－ tion．In the cycle of events litherto described by Mc．Mary of Bethany had no place；Lc．＇s reference to her （x． 38 ff ．）comes from another source． ＂EХováa d̀d́ßagtpò $\mu$ úpov：so Mt．；
 gen．sce WM．，p．235．＇A入́́paartpos （so Mc．，cf．ті̀̀ dì．，infra；also ó $\dot{a} \lambda$ ．（B）and т̀̀ $\dot{a} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta a \sigma \tau \rho o \nu(\mathrm{~A}) 4$ Regn． xxi．4）is an alabaster flask such as was commonly used for prescrving precious unguents ；cf．Herod．iii． 20
 Plin．M．N．xiii． 2 ＂unguenta optume servantur in alabastris．＂This＇ala－ baster＇held a $\lambda i ́ \tau \rho a$（i．e a Roman libra）of fragrant oil of the most costly kind（M．．Raputínou，Jo．то入у－ $\tau i \mu o v)-f o r ~ \pi o \lambda v \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ cf．Prov．i． 13 （ $\kappa \vec{\eta} \sigma t s$ ），xxxi．Io（גiOos），Sap．ii． 7 （oivos），I Tim．ii． 9 （ípatiapós）．On the genitives $\mu \mathrm{v} \rho \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ pápóov see WM．， pp．235，238；the first expresses the local relation of the $\mu$ upon to the à ${ }^{\prime} \beta a \sigma \tau \rho o s$, the second defines the former as of the particular kind known as $\nu$ ápóos mıatıkí．Nápóos （Heb．극，from a Sanscrit root），a product of the Nardostachys nardus jatamansi，a native of the Himalayas （Tristram，N．H．of the Bible，p．485）， was used by lusurious Israclites （Cantu i．12，iv． 13 f．，cf．Driver Intr．， p．422，note 2；Enoch xxxii．I），and at a later time by the Greeks（Athen，









Mévadópos) and Romans (Plin. H. N. xiii. 5, Hor. Od. ii. If, iv. 12, Ov. de arte am. iii. 443, Tib. ii. 2. 7, iii. 6. 9). The epithet $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$ (Mc., Jo.) is not without difficulty. Heorıкós occurs in the sense of 'trustworthy,' 'genuine,' in late writers, e.g. Artemid. Onir. 2. 32 үигаікка $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota к \grave{\eta} \nu$ каі оікої $\rho о \nu$, and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \hat{\omega} s$ is found nearly in the sense of $\pi$ เov $\omega$ s. The epithet has therefore been taken to mean that the nard was genuine, not a cheap imitation;

 H. N. xii 12 "adulteratur et pseudonardi herba...sincerum quidem levitate deprchenditur et colore rufo odorisque suavitate." Jerome (tr. in Mc.) plays lightly on this meaning of the word: "ideo vos vocati estis 'pistici,' fideles : ecclesia... dona sua offert...fidem credentium." Something however may be said for the alternative offered by Thpht., $\epsilon_{i-}^{i-}$
 is transliterated in the Sinaitic Syriac (confone rais), and in some O.L.texts (e.g. nardi piscicae(sic), $\lambda ;$; n. pistici, $d$ ), whilst the Vg. nardi spicati suggests that $\pi \iota \sigma \tau<\kappa o ́ s ~ m a y ~ b e ~$ an attempt to represent spicatus; cf. Galen cited in Wetstein: $\epsilon \pi \grave{\imath} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 is no good authority. Подитєдоis: cf. o. 5, note. Clem. Al. paed. ii. 8 § 6 I


$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\rho} i \psi a \sigma a \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda$.] A detail peculiar to Mc. Vg.fracto alabastro; she crushed or knocked off the head of
the thin alabaster flask; it had served its purpose and would not be used again. Renan (Vie, p. 385) gives another reason: "selon un vieil usage qui consistait à briser la vaisselle dont on s'etait servi pour traiter un étranger de distinction," adding "j'ai vu cet usage se pratiquer encore ì Sour." For this use of $\sigma u \boldsymbol{u} \rho i^{\prime} \beta_{\epsilon}, \mathrm{cf}$. Ps. ii. 9
 Apoc. ii. 27), Sir. xxi. 14 ( $\omega_{s} a_{\gamma} \gamma \psi \omega$ бvขтєт $\lrcorner \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu)$ ).

катє́ $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ av̀rô̂ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s$ кєфал $\left.\hat{\eta}_{s}\right]$ Mt.
 has already represented the Lord as lying on the triclinium (катакєцнє́роv axiooi); the woman is standing behind and over Him. The gen. кєфад $\bar{\eta} s$ answers to the downward direction of the fluid, expressed in кaтє́ $\chi \epsilon \epsilon$, , cf. WM., pp. 477, 537 n.; Blass, Gr. p. 106; and see Gen. xxxix. 21, Ps. lxxyviii. (lxxxix.) 46. Such an act was not an unusual attention to a guest ; cf. Ps. xxii. (xxiii.) 5, Cant. i. I2, and the passages from Roman poets cited above; and add Plat. resp. iii. 398 a
 Acc. to Jo. the Feet were anointeda reminiscence, possibly, of the earlier anointing described by Lc. The woman may, however, as Aug. supposes, have performed both acts, though we cannot unreservedly admit his canon, "ubi singuli evangelistae singula commemorant, utrumque factum intellegere [oportet]." To anoint the feet of a recumbent guest would have been possible (see note on $r$. I8), but less easy and usual, and on this occasion perhaps less appropriate.

[^86]



[^87] 'Iovios $\delta$ 'I $\boldsymbol{I} к а р ь$ 'тйs. The indefiniteness of Mc.'s statement may be an indication of the early date of his source; personal considerations still had weight in dictating reserve under such circumstances. Cf. xiv. 47 tis $\delta \epsilon$ $\tau \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi а р \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$, where again Jo. supplies the name. The feeling expressed aloud by Judas may have been shared by others in the A postolic body; as men unaccustomed to luxury they might naturally resent the apparent
 Vg., erant indigne ferentes intra semet ipsos, but rather as R.V. "had indignation among themselves," i.e. exchanged remarks or looks which betrayed their sympathy with Judas.
 for $\pi$ pòs éavroús ad invicem, cf. xvi. 3 , and the nearly equivalent $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$ dous in iv. 41, viii. 16.
 end can it bave served!'-theplausible cui bono of a shortsighted utilitarianism. For eis ti cf. xv. 34, Mt. xiv. 31, and esp. Sir. xxxix. 17 (26) oủk є̈тtıy
 'A $\boldsymbol{\prime} \omega \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ fıa in the active sense of wasting (Vg. perditio) is perhaps unique in Biblical Gk. ; the commentators refer to Polyb. vi. 59.5 , where ${ }^{\prime} \pi$. is contrasted with $\tau \dot{\eta} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$. For $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \nu \sigma \theta a \iota$ 'to be wasted' cf. ii. 22. Г'́yovev: the perfect calls attention to the act as complete and still abiding in its seusible cffects; cf. v. 33, ix. 2 I.


The unguent might well be said to have been wasted, in view of ( $\gamma^{\prime}, \rho$ ) the good which the owner might have done with it. $\Delta \eta \nu a \rho i \omega \nu$ тракобi not governed by $\epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ (WM., p. 3I 3), but is the gen. of price (WM., p. 258, cf. Jo. xii. 5); as to the amount see Pliny H.N. xiii. 4 , who speaks of certain uuguents which "excedunt quadragenos denarios librae." Mt.'s $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda$ noũ seems to indicate a fading interest in such details. On roîs $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ois sce X. 2 r , note, and cf. Gal. ii. io. The Passover was perhaps a time when alms of this kind were specially demanded; cf. Jo. xiii. 29. How many of the poor of Jerusalem might have been relieved and gladdened by the money wasted on an extravagance! The force of the remark becomes apparent when it is remembered that the labourer's daily wage was a denarius ( $\mathrm{Mt} . \mathrm{xx}$. 2) and that two denarii sufficed for the innkecper's payment in Lc. x. 35, whilst two hundred (Mc. vi. 37) would have gone some way to feed a multitude.
 352, and on the augment, WSchm.,
 The remarks were directed against tho woman, for no one ventured to complain of the Lord's accoptance of the offering. For ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mu \beta \rho \mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\theta} a \iota$ see note on i .43 ; the word takes its note whether of strictness or hatshuess from the occasion. Here the Vg . rendering is doubtless right: fremebant in cam. Cf. Thpht.: èveffot










 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] "A ${ }^{*} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ avi $\dot{\eta} \nu$ is "let her alone" (R.V.) rather than "suffer ye her" (Vg., Wy life), as the next words shew.
 in Lc. xi. 7, xviii. 5, Gal. vi. 17, and is found in Aristotle; but as Wetstein points out, class. writers prefer maps-
 interference was unreasonable ( $\boldsymbol{i} i$;), and the woman should rather have been commended; her act was а кад $\grave{\nu}$ $\epsilon \rho \gamma o \nu$, one which possessed true moral beauty; cf. Jo. x. 32 (Westcott), 1 Tim. v. $10^{\text {a }}, 25$, vi. 18, Tit. iii. 8, I4, Heb. x. 24 ; the more usual phrase is ${ }^{6} \rho \gamma{ }^{2}$ àa日óv (Acts ix. 36, Rom. xiii. 3, Epll. ii. 10, 1 Tim. v. $10^{\text {b }}, 2$ Tim. iii. 17).
 -both perhaps answering to ${ }^{*}$ ㅋ.. The goodness of the act lay in the grateful love which it displayed (cf. Lc. vii.
 too costly to offer to One who had restored her brother to life. The Lord's tacit acceptance of supreme devotion as His due is not less remarkable than Mary's readiness to render it; cf. viii. 35, Mt. xxv. 40 ('̇ $\mu \circ \stackrel{\iota}{ }$

 a good act varies according to the relation in which it stands to Christ.
7. тúvrote qà $^{\rho}$ тoùs $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ oús кт .]
 àmò $\frac{\eta}{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$. The first and third clauses of this saying of Christ are preserved in almost identical words by Mt., Mc.,

Jo., but Mc. alone has kail ö́тау $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon .$. $\epsilon \hat{\delta}$ main al. There was no intention on the Lord's part to contrast services rendered to Himself in person with services rendered to the poor for His sake-the two are in His sight equivalents (Mt. xxv. 40, 45); His purpose is to point out that the former would very soon be impossible, whilst opportunities for the latter would abound to the end of time. "Opal $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon$; the will was not wanting to the Apostolic Church (Rom. xv. 26, Gal. ii. 10, 2 Cor. viii. I ff.); the faith of Christ yielded a new ground of sympathy with the
 all ages has made the Church a refuge of the destitute. As to the power to execute this goodwill see 2 Cor. viii. 3 , and for the juxtaposition of will and power cf. i. 40. 'Е $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ on тávтотє
 was said (cf. Jo. xvii. if ov̀кє́ть єiцi $\stackrel{\ominus}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{Q} \kappa \dot{\prime} \sigma \mu \varphi$ ), although in another sense the Lord could teach 'E $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ' $\mu \epsilon \theta$ ' $\hat{\imath} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon i \mu \iota \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a s$. Jerome: "videtur in hoc loco de praesentia dicere corporali." E $\bar{U}$ motềl (not єن่жоєє iv) occurs here only in the N.T., though fairly frequent in the lxx., where it usually stands for היִיִיב; the acc. commonly follows (egg. Gen. xxxii.



 whole context is instructive as to the Jewish conception of єúrooia.




 ACFHMUXE min ${ }^{\text {pl }} \mathrm{fffikq}$ vg syrriu hel arm aegg go aeth | onov] pr ort 124 604

 ${ }^{*} E \sigma \chi \in \nu$ sc. nôरु $\sigma a$, . For this use of
 xii. 4, xiv. I4, 'Jo.' viii. 6, Acts iv. I4, Heb. vi. 13; the infinitive is not always expressed, as Kypke shews, quoting e.g. Dion. Hal, ant. vii. p. 467
 the general seuse see 2 Cor. viii. 12
 € $\chi$ ¢. Mary could not prevent the Lord's Death; what she did He accounts as a supreme effort to do honour to His dead body. Прое́лавєу $\mu v \rho i \sigma a t$, prao-

 is used in class. writers with a case, or absolntely; for the inf. see Kypke adl. and Blass, Gr. p. 227, who compare Jos. ant, xviii. $7 \pi \rho \circ \lambda_{a} \beta \omega_{\nu} \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v$

 Biblical Gk., but occurs in Ierodotus and the comic poets. Fragrant unguents were used for anointing the dead body after it had been washed (Lucian de luct. 11 גovíaдयtes aùtoùs...
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a)-a ~ p r o c e s s ~ t o ~ b e ~ d i s t i n g u i s h e d ~$ from embalming, which, as we see from Jo. xix. 39, consisted of laying myrrh and aloes in the folds of the grave clothes Acc. to En. Petr. 6 the Lord's Body was washed, and Mc. (xvi. 1) relates how on Saturday night
 $\dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \dot{i} \psi \omega \sigma \omega$ aùrúv. But the Resurrection prevented the fulfilment of their design, and thus as it seems the only anointing which the Lord received was this anticipatory one at Bethany
a week before Ile lay in the tomb. Eis tà évraфıaテرáv' 'with a view to its preparation for burial.' 'Epraфıáکєи ( Gen. 1. 2 (LXX.) in connexion with the embalming of Jacob, and èvzaфıaбтウ́s is found in the papyri in this sense (Deissmann, B. St, p. 120 f.). But words derived from évóápos may be used to include everything belonging to the preparation of a dead body for the grave; cf. Test. xii. patr. Iud. 26


St John follows another tradition in his report of this saying: ä $\phi \epsilon_{s}$ aviryv,

 easier but less strougly supported

 account, but in other terms ( $\beta a \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma a$

 $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ ). The obscurity of the words may have led to those variations. For thicir general meaning comp. Futh.:
 нои Gávazov.
 by Jo., but reported by Mt., Mc., in almost identical words. For tò evar$\gamma^{\epsilon}{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda_{t o \nu}$ see i. r, $I_{4}$ f., viii. 35. The world-wide proclamation of the Gospel is explicitly foretold in xiii. Io; on this earlier occasion it is assumed, as if it werc a matter of course. Eis
 is new, as an equivalent for cis mávтa
 and for the phrase, Mc. viii. 36 . The thought of the róruos ns the field of
 eis $\mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ \sigma v \nu o \nu$ aút $\hat{s}$ s.

IO





the activities of Christ and the Church, though much more abundant and more fully developed in the Fourth Gospel, is prescnt in the oldest Synoptic sources. For кךpúqбєєv eis of. i. 39, I Thess. ii. 9 , and sce Blass, Gr. p. 124.
 second prediction (Thpht.: dúo $\pi \rho o-$


 fulfilment ; an incident marked by so striking a comment was naturally enshrined in the earliest tradition, and becanc the property of the Catholic Churrch in the Gospels of Mt. and Mc. That the saying has not been reported by Lc. and Jo. is an interesting indication of the independerce of those Evangelists. Kai ó $\epsilon \pi$., together with the preaching of the Gospel this story shall also be told, and become a commonplace of Christian tradition. Eis $\mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \nu 0 \nu$ củr $\eta$ s, ef. Acts x. 4 cis $\mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ \sigma v \nu o v ~ ' ̇ ̀ \omega \prime т t o v ~ t o v ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$. The word $\mu \nu \eta \mu$, which is of frequent occurrence in the lxx. as the equivalent of $\mathfrak{i n}$ ? זֶּ, or or is also found in early and late class. Gk., especially in the pl.
 erects a memorial for all time to her who had done her best to honour Him (i Regn. ii. 30 tò̀s doğ́govtás $\mu \mathrm{E}$ dugá $\sigma \omega)$. IIe who received not glory from men (Jo. v. 41) knew how to appreciate to the full the homage






 $\pi i \sigma t \epsilon \omega$.
io-if. Interview of Judas with the Peiests (Mt. xuvi. 14-16, Lc. xxii. 3-6).

IO. каі̆ 'Iov́ $\delta a s$ 'І $\sigma \kappa а \rho t \omega ' \theta ~ к т \lambda.] ~ J u-~$ das Iscariot is mentioned by Mc. only in this chapter ( $v 0.10,43$ ), and in the Apostolic list (iii. 19); for 'Iбкарьळ' $\theta$ -the only form of that name used by Mc.-see the note on the latter passage. As to the sequence, Mc. as usual connects by a simple кai, while Mt. uses rótc, and thus appears to place the application of Judas to the Priests immediately after the supper at Bethany. Some reason there must have been for this early grouping; if $J_{0}$. is right as to the date of the supper (see note on $r$. 3 ), the sequence in ML. Mc. is probably ethical; its purpose may be cither (a) to place in sharp contrast the piety of Mary and the baseness of Judas (Thpht. : iva $\delta \in i \xi_{n}$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ àaî́ctav tov 'Lov́ia), or (b) to indicate that the latter incident arose in some way out of the former; whether it was that the Lord's persistent reference to His death drove Judas to despair, or that he resented the expenditure of money which might have found its way into his own hands (Jo. xii. 4), or that the Lord's look or manner convinced him that his habit of pilfering and his treacherous intentions were known. Or (c) the arrangement of the narrative may be chieffy due to a desire to bring together the Lord's words about IIis approaching



  Eas | $\epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{cidar} \Psi$

burial, and the story of the treachery which precipitated the end. The last solution is perhaps the best, as being the simplest; but it does not necessarily exclude the first two; the first at least may have been also present to the thoughts of those who originally drew up the common tradition.

 ence to the position held by Judas in the Apostolate is not without meaning:


 art. is difficult to explain, especially as there is no trace of it in $v v .20,43$. ' $O$ eis naturally implies a contrast to $\delta$ érepos (cf. e.g. Lc. vii. 41, xvii. 34 f. ); here, if it is to stand, the contrast is apparently with oi $\lambda o u \pi o i$, 'that one, the only one, of the Twelre who proved a traitor or was capable of the act,' or 'the notorious member of the body,' as opposed to cis ris, an unknown individual; unless ó eis =
 in Enoch xx. ff. Another explanation, however, has been suggested which deserves consideration. Since Judas is frequently described in the Gospels as $\epsilon$ is $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \delta є к a$ (Mt. xxvi. 47, Mc. xiv. 10, 20, 43, Le. xxii. 47 (ef. 3), Jo. vi. 71), the article may be intended to mark the words as a familiar designation of the traitor-'that One of the Twelve' who is notorious.
 realised that in Jerusalem it was with this class rather than with the Scribes that the issue lay. Probably they were still sitting in the palace of Caiaphas ( $v$. I); with them were the heads of the Levitical Temple
 otparpyois, se, тồ if $\rho o \hat{v}$, ef. Acts iv. I, v. 24). His business with them was to arrange the terms of the Betrayal ( $\left.{ }^{\pi} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu \ldots \nu_{0} \pi a \rho a \delta o i ̀\right) ; ~ c f$. Bede: "ostendit cum non a principibus invitatum, non ulla necessitate constrictum, sed sponte propria sceleratae mentis inesse consilium." On the form mapaסồ see iv. 29, note. Even at this

 iii. Ig, is. 3 r , notes.
 The proposal came from Judas, not from the Priests, but it was received by them with more delight than they would care to shew-éxáp $\sigma \sigma a v$, not
 xix. 7; both words may be used of interior joy (Lc. i. 47, Jo. xvi. 22), but the former is the more suggestive of the inward feeling, the latter of its audible or visible expression. 'E $\pi \gamma \gamma-$ $\gamma_{\epsilon} \hat{\lambda} a \nu \tau o$ av่т $\hat{̣}$ : the promise was a response to a direct question from
 Mt. alone mentions the amount promised, which was therefore not a matter of common tradition; probably he was struck by its agreement, with the sum named in Zach. xi, 12 ff.

 doubtless paid in shekels or the equivalent tetradrachms which were current (Mt. xvii. 24, cf. Madden, p. 240, Hastings, D.B., iii. 428). For the loss of the 300 denarii Judas consoled himself by a compact which yielded 30 staters (perhaps two-fifths of what Mary had spent on the spikenard; sce Jos. ant. iii. 8. 2, Madden, p. 246). Jerome: "infelix





Judas damnum quod ex effusione unguenti se fecisse credebat vult Magistri pretio compensare." Small as this sum was, Judas seems to have been satisfied, the more so perhaps because it was paid on the spot (Mt.
 Master and the Eleven with the price of blood in his girdle.
 had transferred their anxieties to the traitor (cf. xii. 12, xiv. 1); it was for him now to contrive and plot. They had sought an opportunity of arresting an enemy; it was the busincss of Judas to seek an opportunity of betraying a friend. Häs aủzò̀ єv̉каípos mapaঠồ
 aủrò̀ параঠ̣̂̂. For єủkaipos cf. 2 Tim.
 Mc. vi. 21, note. The problem which presented itself to Judas was the same which had perplexed the Priestshow to elude the crowd of Galileans and other visitors at the Feast who were still with Jesus (Lc. qồ mapaסồval à่тò ${ }^{2}$ äтє $\rho$ ö $\chi \lambda о \nu$ ). But his position in the inner circle of disciples clearly gave him an advantage in dealing with it, which the Priests did not possess.
i2-16. Pheparations for the Pasceal Meal (Mt. xxyi. 17-19, Le. $x$ xii. 7-13).
 v. I, note. Lc. calls it $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{a} \zeta \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$, and in both Mc. and Lc. it is further defined as the day on which the Paschal lamb was killed (Mc. ӧтє



 є $\beta$ סoundos. The lamb was killed and eaten on Nisan 14 (Exod. xii. 6, Ler. xxiii. 5, Num. ix. 3, 5, II, xxviii. 16, 2 Chron. xxx. 2, I5, I Esdr. i. 1, rii. Io, 2 Esdr. vi. 19f., Ezech. xlv. 21), and though the $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ d $\} \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ began on Nisan 15 (Lev. xxiii. 6, Num. xxviii 17), yet unleavened bread was eaten from the evening of Nisan 14 (Exod. xii. 18), and by custom from noon on that day (J. Lightfoot ad $l$., Edersheim, Temple, p. 189). Later Jewish usage identified the first day of unleavened bread (יום ראשׁין לחי (המצות) with Nisan 15, but it is precarious on this ground to charge the Synoptists with inconsistency (J. Th. St. iii., p. 359). The phrase $\begin{aligned} & \text { vifel }\end{aligned}$ rò $\pi$. is from the Lxx. (Exod. xii. 21

 Өviecy does not necessarily convey the idea of sacrifice (ef. Lc. xy. 23, Jo. x, ro), yet the slaying of the mároxa was a sacrificial act performed in the Court of the Priests, normally by the head of the lousehold (Exod. xii. 6), but on occasions by Levites (2 Chron. xxx. 15 ff., xxxy. 3 ff., Ezr. vi. 19) ; see the ceremonial described in Edersheim, Temple, p. 190 ff. "EAvou 'it was customary to kill'; imperf. of repeated action (Burton, § 24).
 approached Jesus (Mt. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{o v}$ ), perhaps under the impression that He had overlooked the necessity for immediate preparation; Lc. seems to represent the Lord as taking the






oti $\Sigma 604$
cf．WM．，p．356，Burton，§ i71，and

 $\pi:$ ：so the three Synoptists below， $\bar{\epsilon} \tau$ ．
 again in Apoc．viii． 6.

13．àтoaté入入el dióo Mt，does not specify the number；Lc．on the other
 Пétpov каì＇Iwívŋ̀，a grouping which is frequent in the early clapters of the Aets，iii．I ff．，iv． 13 ff．，viii．I4． Edersheim（Life，p．487，Temple， p．190）supposes that the two were entrusted with the purchase and sacrifice of the lamb；bat the direc－ tions which the Lord gives relate only to the room and its arrangement． If the meal was（as the Synoptists imply）the Paschal supper，it seems possible that the lamb was provided
 the Lord and the Twelve shared the one which he had provided；if the household was a small one，such an arrangement would lave been in ac－ cordance with the spirit of Exod．xii． 4 （cf．Edersheim，Life，ii．p．483）．
 was therefore still outside，probably at or near Bethany．The two are

 $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$, cf．Euth．：$\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o v$




 remarkable direction $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$（Lc．
 was probably a servant（Deut．xxix． II（Io），Jos．ix．27，29， 33 （21，23， 27））：he had been sent to fetch a supply of water，probably from Siloam or Bir Eyû̃（Recovery，p． 10 ff．， D．$B^{2}{ }^{2}$ p． 1590 ff．）and for use at the Feast（cf．Jo．ii．6，xiii． 4 ff ），and entering the city on his return by a gate at the S．E．corner（cf．Neh．ii．I4 （exuen ，he crossed the path of the two，who were coming in from Bethany． Kєрápuoy víamos，an earthen pitcher filled with water；see WM．，p．235， and cf．к．oivou Jer．xlii．（xxxy．） 5 ；
 बтаvрóv，Gal．vi． 2,5 及．ßápך，фортiov． The man would act as an unconscious guide through the network of narrow and unfamiliar strcets to the ap－ pointed place；the two were to follow in silence，and euter the house into which they saw him pass（Lc．$\dot{k}$ ．avivê $\epsilon \epsilon_{s} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ oikià）．
Tertullian sees in the pitcher of water a prophecy of the great bap－ tismal rite which signalised the ap－ proach of Easter in the ancient Church （de bapt．19：＂diem solemniorem pascha praestat．．．nec incongruenter ad figuram interpretabitur quod．．． Dominus．．．paschae celebrandae locum de signo aquae ostendit＂）．
 messare is not for the servant whose part is fulfilled when he had led them









 $1242^{\mathrm{pe}} \operatorname{arm}+\epsilon \tau$. avt $\omega$ 107I
to the house, but for the lead of the house. Its terms are remarkable: $\delta$
 the Oxyrhynchus fragment, Lc. $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \in t$ Fot $\quad \dot{\delta} \%$, and seem to imply that Jesus was known, and His character as a Rabbi acknowledged by the oiko$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ótクs. The conjecture which makes him the father of Mark (cf. Acts xii. 12 ; Edersheim, Life, ii. p. 485) is interesting, but unsupported by any evidence beyond the fairt elue offered by Acts xii. 12 . On $\dot{\delta} \delta \delta \delta$. see iv. 38 , note.
 Kää̀v $u a$, Vg. refectio, better, as some O. L. authorities, refectorium or diversorium: the word belongs to the

 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta u \kappa \bar{\omega} s$ ), but the verb at least is used by good authors in a kindred sense (e.g. Plat. Gorg. 447 в $\pi a \rho$ '
 $\lambda \nu \mu a$, ' guest-room,' in Biblical Gk. cf. I Regn. i. I8(where see Driver's note', ix. 22 ( (? iv. 24 (fíp), Lc. ii. 7 , it is used in the
 Here the meaning is defined by 0.15 . Mov (Mc. only) claims perhaps right of use rather than ownership, 'the room for Me ,' which for the time is to be Minc. Even so, the language is remarkable, though not unique (cf. xi. 3) ; ald Mt. softens it into apòs
$\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \pi a \omega \bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{o} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{\chi} a$. The Lord's manner is clanged in this last week; He is now the revealed King of Israel (see
 Burton, § 318 f., Blass, Gr. p. 217.
 man will take you to the room ; aúrós (Lc. кâkeivos) is perhaps not cmphatic (cf. viii. 29, note), but it implies the readiness of the oikoঠequór力s to render personal service. 'Apáyazo $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \dot{\prime} \nu o \nu, \mathrm{Mc} . \mathrm{Lc} . ;$ Mt. is relatively vague throughout this section. On the form ápáyasod see Lob. Phrym. p. 297, WSchm., pp. 47, 51, and cf. what Rutherford says as to karáyazov (N. Phryn., p. 357) ; àváaatod is ä $\pi$. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in Biblical Gk., the usual word
 Jud. iii. 20), cf. Acts i. I3, ix. 37, 39, xx. 8. Each of these passages implies a room spacious enough for a considerable gathering, but the size varied of course with the character of the house. This upper room was є̇ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \dot{\iota} \nu o v$, i.e. carpeted (xi. 8), or more strictly perhaps provided with carpeted divans, see Smith's B. D. ${ }^{2}$, p. 1406 f. ; cf. Ezech, xxiii. 4 I ध́кá ${ }^{\prime}$ ov

 Aristoph. Ach. 1089 тà $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda a$ тáv $\tau^{\prime}$

 Field, Notes, p. 39, q. v.). 'H $\mu \boldsymbol{i v}$, ' for Me and you'; the Lord does not often use the pl. in this inclusive way,






but ef. ix. 39. The keeping of the Paschalfestival was absolutelycommon to Master and disciples.
 minute explicitness of one who had part in the transaction shews itself here : contrast Lc. $\dot{a}_{\pi \epsilon \lambda} \theta_{o}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . . . \epsilon \mathfrak{i} \rho o \nu$,
 the particulars were as the Master foretold-the servant with the pitcher, the oikoifeator prs ready to oblige, the large divan-spread upper room; cf. xi. Iff. For the second time in that week the Lord had shewn a superhuman knowledge of circunstances as yet umrealised; see Mason, Conditions, p. 159.

ทंтoí $\alpha a \sigma a \nu$ тò $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi a]$ Supposing the lamb to have been already slain and returned to the house, there still remained much to be done: the roasting of the lamb, the provision of the unleavened cakes, the bitter herbs, the Charoseth (see below), and the four cups of wine, the preparation of the room and the lamps; and in the preparation of the food there were many ritual niceties to be observed (cf. e.g. Edersheim, Temple, pp. 199, 204). Tò $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \chi a$ is here apparently the paschal meal (cf. $v .1$, note), but it implies the provision of the paschal lamb.

17-21. The Paschal Supper: tile Thaitor Indicated (Mt. xxvi. 20-25; Jc. xxii. 14, $21-23$; Jo. xiii. 2, 21-30).

 ó $\psi$ ias see i. 32 , vi. 47 , xiii. 35 , notes, The lambs were not slain in the Precinct till after the offering of the Evening Sacrifice (Temple, p. ıgof.;
 D: on this occasion offered an hour earlier than usual, the subsequent ceremonial must have lasted till late in the afternoon. The meal was in its original associations nocturnal (Exod. xii.
 motives of prudence would probably have provented the Master from making His way through the city before sunset. "E $\rho \chi \in \tau a \sim \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{c} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\delta} \omega^{\prime}-$ $\delta$ eka: muless of $\delta \omega \delta$ fka is here used loosely for of $\mu a \theta \eta r a i$, the two had returned to report that all was ready, and to guide the party to the place.
 rov] The meal has now begun (Jo.
 and the Lord reclining on the divans which were ready for their use ( $v$. 15). For àvakeí $\theta$ ac sec ii. 15 , note, vi. 26 ; Lc. uses here the correlative dyaritrreuv. It seems to have been part of the original ritual of the Passover to eat standing (cf. Exod. xii. II), but the recumbent posture had become customary, and was interpreted as a sign of the freedom from slavery which had been inaugurated by the Exodus (Temple, p. 201). The guests lay on their left side with their feet resting on the ground, and the couches seem to have been grouped in sets of two or three; when these were placed together, the contral position was that of greatest dignity; see J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvi., and cf. Jo. xiii. 23 ff., from which it appears that the Lord reclined between St Peter and St Jobn. On this occasion the arrangement was

## 






 a(c)ffi(k)q syrel(mgl (arm) Or (om אBCLPA vg aegg syrrsinpeahch(txt) arm aeth)

 $\Gamma \Delta \Pi \Sigma \Phi \min ^{\mathrm{pl}}$ om Mk
possibly $3+3+3+2+2$, or it may have been that there was but one set of three, that in which the Lord was.
 some way and the pedilatium had already taken place (see Jo. xii. 2 ff.); in Lc. the institution of the Eucharist also precedes the revelation of the traitor, but the ordcr of the older Gospels is hore almost certainly to be preferred, as Tatian already saw (Hill, p. 22I).
$\vec{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \dot{v} \mu \bar{i} \nu$ ö $\tau \iota$ є $\hat{i} \mathrm{~S} \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Hitherto they had known only that He should be delivered into the hands of His enemies (ix. 3I, x. 33), and probably no suspicion had been entertained of Judas; even Jo. vi. 70 is indefinite, and the event alone shewed its significance. It is difficult to remember this in view of the repeated reference to the trachery of Judas wherever his name is mentioned in the Gospel history (ef. iii. 19, note). Eis $\epsilon \xi \dot{\psi} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ revealed a new feature in the history of the Passion which was more intolerable than any, involving the Twelre in a horrible charge from which they could only escape when the traitor was made known. Jerome: " mittit crimen in numero ut conscius agat paenitentiam." '0 '̇ $\sigma \theta i \omega \nu \mu \epsilon$ ' $\epsilon \mu \frac{\hat{v}}{}$ is peculiar to Me.: the words probably refer to Ps. xl. (xli.) Io, which the Lord quoted (Jo. xiii. 18); cf. Lc.


19. $\left.{ }^{n} \rho \underline{\xi} a \nu \tau o \lambda v \pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta a t\right]$ The omis-
sion of the copulaadds to the dramatic power of the narrative. Gloom fell at once on the company (cf. x. 22, Mt. xvii. 23). Mt. adds $\sigma \phi \dot{\phi} \delta \rho a$, but the simple $\lambda u \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a i$ tells us enough ; cf. St Paul's account of a $\lambda \dot{v} \pi \eta$ катà $\theta \in \dot{c} \nu$, 2 Cor. vii. if. The àmodoyia came at once in the question which went round, Mウ́rı ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \dot{\prime}$; is it-yet surely it cannot be-I $\}$ (cf. iv. 2I, note). On fís катà
 Blass, $G r$.pp. 145, 179 ;cf. Apoc. xxi. 21 dà eif éreactos. Kat eis appears in the mxx. (Lev. xxy. io (A), I Esdr. i. 3 I , Isa. xxvii. I2 (kaт̀̀ $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ) $), 3$ Mace. v. 34, 4 Mace. xv. 12, 14); in such phrases the prep. appears to be used adverbially. See the discussion in Deissmann, B. St. p. 138 ff .
 Fourth Gospel fills in the picture. The question addressed to the Lord (à̀т $\hat{\varphi} v$. I9, Mt. кúpıє) was followed by a perplexed and perhaps suspicious look at one another (Jo. xiif. 22). When the Lord's answer came, it was given to Johu, and perhaps not audible beyond the neighbourhood of the divan on which He reclined between Peter and John. The form of the reply iu Jo. is so distinct from that in Mt. Me., that Tatian gives then separately, placing the Synoptic trar dition first; but it seems clear that an answer to the whole party would have rendered Petcr's enquiry (Jo., v. 23 f.) supertluous. John's account is probably the more precise, since it




[^88]was he who received the answer directly from the Lord.
 is probably to the sauce compound of dates, raisins, \&c., and vinegar" (Temple, pp. 204, 208), into which at a certain moment the master of the house dipped pieces of the unleavened cake with bitter herbs between them, which were then distributed to the company (J. Lightfoot on Mt.). The sign consisted in the singling out of Judas to receive the 'sop' from the Master's hands (Jo.
 Commentators who deny that the meal described in Jo. xiii. is the paschal supper regard the $\psi \omega \mu i=v$ as the "'tid-bit' which an Oriental host is accustomed to offer to any favourite guest" (Dr M. Dods on Jo. xiii. 25; cf. Bp Westcott ad l.). In Mt. Mc., where the paschal meal is clearly in view, it is natural to connect the sign with the Charoseth. 'О $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \beta$ ßatró $\mu \epsilon$ vos, present "used to describe vividly a future event," Burton $\$ \S 15,130$; the middle marks the act as that of Judas
 Toúßitov is perhaps a bowl (cf. Ar.

 rather than a dish (Vg. catinus; Wycliffe, Tindale, "plater," "platter"; A.V., R.V., "dish"; Euth. : égriv eĩoos minakos); on the accent see Chandler § 350. M $\epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu 0 \hat{u}$ eis tò év $\tau \rho$. The act is difficult to realise under the circumstances of the paschal feast, and in connexion with the Charoseth; but the words, esp. in Mc., who alone has $\bar{\epsilon} y$, point to the baseness of the
treachery which sacrificed an intimate friond. To dip into the same dish was a token of iutimacy, ef. Ruth ii.

 This weighty saying is given in identical words by Mt. Mc., and in a shorter form by Lc. 'Y $\pi$ áyєt, 'goeth His way,' used frequently in Jo. of the Lord's Death (viii. I4, 21 f., xiii. 3, 33 ff., xiv. 4 ff .) as beginning His return to the Father (vii. 33, xiv. 6, xvi. 10, 17) ; cf.


 the last journey of death, e.g. 2 Regn. xii. 23, cf. BDB., p. 234) partly misses

 the Divine purpose expressed in the symbolism and predictions of the O.T.; cf. Mc. ix. 13. The saying has no flavour of Pharisaic fatalism; it is not a blind àá ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \eta$, but a Personal Will, long revealed and accepted, which the Son of Man consciously obeys (Phil. ii. 8). Пєpì aùtoû : cf. ix.
 and see the note there.
 Divine purpose does not palliate the traitor's sin or relieve him of responsibility in any degree. Ovai is not vindictive, or of the nature of a curse; it reveals a misery which Love itself could not prevent (cf. xiii. 17); cf. Ephrem, eo. conc. exp.: "quibus verbis iniquum hunc proditorem in caritate deplorabat." $\Delta i^{\prime}$ ovi...rapaoiiסotat: the traitor was the last link in the chain which comnected purpose and result, so that $\delta \dot{a}$ in this context





 arm me aeth (om o I. NaBD $2^{\text {po }}$ affik syrin the) \|aptov] pr cov ME min ${ }^{\text {nann }} \mid$
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(Mt. Mc. Le.) is more exact than vinó or dád would have been ; besides the good Will of God which decreed the Passion and of which he had no knowledge, there was behind his act the instigation of Satan (Le. xxii. 3, Jo. siii. 2). working on his passions. Origen in Mt.: "non dixit....a quo traditur, sed per quem traditur, ostendens...Indam ministrum esse traditionis" Yet his intervention was delibcrate, and his responsibility therefore complete. The Divine necessity for the Passion was no excuse for the free agent who brought it about: "non et malum oportuerit esse. nam et lomimum tradi oportebat, sed vae traditori" (Tert. praescr. 30).
 plies ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \nu$ with кадóry: in the apodosis of a conditional clause where the supposition is contrary to fact, áv is sometimes omitted ; cf. WM., p. 383 , Burton, $\$ 249$, and on $\epsilon l$ ovk for $\epsilon i \mu \eta^{\prime}$ in the protasis, cf. Burton $\S 469$ r., Blass, Gr. p. 254; for кадд̀v...ei cf, ix. 42 ff., notes. The blessing of birth is turned into a curse by a sin which leaves no hope of a true repentance. Jerone: "simpliciter dictum est multo melius esse non subsistere quam male subsistere." The form of the saying is Rabbinical, of. Chagigah ed. Streane, p. 55 "it were better for him that he had not come into the world." A somewhat similar saying, but less severe, is attributed to the Lord in
ix. 42 ; the two are brought together by Clem. R., I Cor. 46.
22-25. Institution of the Euoilarisp (Mt. xxvi. 26-29, Le. xxii. 17-20; cf. I Cor. xi. 23-25).
 Another stage in the Paschal meal has been reached. The eating of the lamb seems to have been by custom reserved to the end (Edersheim, Temple, p. 208-9); the food up to this point consisted only of the unlearened cakes and bitter herbs, and possibly the Chagigah (see Edersheim, op. cit., p. 186, Streane, Chag., p. 35 f., notes).
$\lambda a \beta \omega ̀$ ä $\rho \tau о \nu$ ктд.] Jerome: "ad verum paschae tranggreditur sacramentum." The Lord took one of the cakes (for äpros a bread-cake, of. viii. 14) which were placed before Him as president, and gave thanks ( $\mathrm{E} \mathbf{\lambda} \lambda \times \gamma_{j}^{\prime} \sigma a s$ Mt. Mc. =eixapıбтj́gas, Le. Paul, cf. vi. 4I, note, and see J. Th. St. iii. p. 163), probably in the customary form ; fraction (cf. Acts ii. 46, xx. 7, II, I Cor. x. 16, xi. 24, Ign. Eph. 20) accompanied or inmediately followed (vi. 41) the benediction (cf. Burton \& $141-3$ ), and then distribution. Cf.

 procedure as a whole corresponded to the preliminaries of the two miracles of the loaves, but on this occasion the broken bread was given to the disciples primarily for theirown use; they




22 то $\sigma \omega \mu a \mu \circ]+q u o d$ pro multis confringitur in remissionem peccatorum a

must first 'take and eat' before they gave to the multitude (contrast vi. l.c., viii. 6). $\Lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ Mt. Mc.; Mt. adds $\phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, Lc. and Paul omit both directions. Comp. Cant. v. I $\phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \pi \lambda \eta-$

 Mc. Le.; Paul (cf. Le.), тои̂tó $\mu \mathrm{o}$
 words would have recalled those spoken at the supper at Bethany six days before (xiv. 8), and perhaps also the teaching at Capernaum just before the previous Passover (Jo. vi. 48 ff ). The bread which is now given (тоито) is identified with ( $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma r i v$ ) the Body of His Flesh (Col, i. 22) ; to eat it is to partake in the great Sacrifice (тò v̇лє̀ $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu$, cf. x. 45). St Paul adds (and the words have found their way into all but the 'Western' texts of Lc., see WH., Notes, p. 63): тои̂то
 for whatever reason, this clause had no place in the primitive tradition.
23. каіे $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$ тотipıov] $\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{V}$. rightly, "He took a cup." So Mt. Mc. ; Paul (cf. Lc.) identifies the cup with that which followed the meal
 Talmud prescribes four cups at the Paschal feast (J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvi. 27) ; the third was known as the ${ }^{\text {Biוֹ }}$ or "cup of blessing" (cf. I Cor. x. 16), and it has been usual to regard this as the Cup of the Eucharist. If with WH. (Notes, p. 64) we hold that Lc. xxii. $19{ }^{\text {b }}$, 20 was "absent from the original text of Lc.," it seems to follow that acc. to Lc.'s tradition the blessing of the Cup preceded that of the Bread (cf. Didache 9 трйтоע $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\imath}{\text { той }} \pi о т \eta$ piov, and see J. Th. St. iii. p. 362),
and on this hypothesis the Eucharistic Cup must probably be placed at an earlier stage. But Le.'s order in this narrative is somewhat discredited by the fact that he places the institution of the Eucharist before the detection of the traitor (sce note on $v .18$ ); and St Paul's $\mu \in \mathfrak{r a ̀}$ тò $\delta \in \iota \pi \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a$, written in A.D. 57 , or acc. to Harnack in A.D. 53, must be held to be decisive. On $\epsilon \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ रapıoríasas see last note. The gift of the Cup had been foreshadowed in the discourse at Capcrnaum (Jo. vi.

 whose account adberes generally to Mc.'s, shapes these words into the com-
 sponding to $\lambda \dot{a} \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, ф́́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$. Пávтєs: no such inclusive direction is given in the case of the Bread, which represents a gift equally necessary to the life of the soul (Jo. vi. 53). The R. C. commentator Knabenbauer suggests that $\pi$ ávtes was added "quoniam quidem alias non unum poculum omnibus destinabatur," but the ritual of the Paschal meal (cf. Edersheim, Temple, p. 204) renders this explanation improbable. Perhaps the solution is to be sought in the words which accompanied the gift of the Cup (see v. 24, note).
24. кaì єitєข aùroís] There is no reason to regard Mc.'s einev as differing in substance from Mt.'s $\lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \omega \nu$. Mc. does not say that the words followed the delivery or the drinking of the cup, although the insertion of
 the words from the gift.
 So Mt., Mc.; Paul (cf. Le.): төûto тè





t' $\mu \hat{\oplus}$ ait $\mu a r t$. The original words are clearly based on Exod. xxiv. 8 î̀ov̀ nò
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\nu} \mu a_{s} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тоט́т $\omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, i.e. the blood which ratified the 'Book of the Covenant' (see Westcott on Heb. ix. 20). A new covenant (Jer, xxxviii. (xxxi.) 3 I ff.) was on the point of being ratified by the Blood of a better Sacrifice with a greater Israel, whose representatives all drank of it, as the whole congregation (Пeb. ix. 19) had been sprinkled with the blood slied under the mountain of the Lawgiving. On the Biblical sense of $\delta$ oat $\dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ see Westcott, Hebrews, p. 298 ff ; the present context excepted, it is used in the N.T. with a distinct reference to the Christian dispeusation only in 2 Cor. iii. 6, 14 ( $\delta$. кauท'), and Hebrews vii. 22, viii. 6 (c. креítт $\boldsymbol{\text { b }}$ ),
 ( $\delta$. alaivos). The two genitives ( $\mu o v$, тins íaOjंкпs), both dependent on aija, indicate different relations (WM., p. 239) ; the Blood is Christ's, and in another seuse it is that of the Covenant which it seals and executes. For the comparison of wine to blood cf. Gen. xlix. 11 , Isa. lxiii. 1 ff. ; the Blood of the Covenant was the fruit of "the holy Vine of David" (Did. 9 , ed. Taylor, p. 69).
 "Which is being shed on behalf of many"; the shedding is imminent, and regarded as already present (Burton, § 135). The O. L. and many MSS. of the Vulgate render effundetur, and the future still stands in the canon of the Roman mass. On the form $\chi^{\text {vivecu see Blass, Gr. p. 41, }}$
 x. 45, note: Mt. adds here $\epsilon i s a ̈ \phi \epsilon \sigma \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$, a result which is elsewhere connected witi repentance and bap-
tism (Me. i. 4, Le. xxiv. 47, Aets ii. 38, v. 31), but ultimately rests on the Covenant ratified by the Sacrifice of Christ (Eph. i. 7, Col. i. 14, Heb. ix. 22).

The Gospels (if we except the doubtfully genuine words in Lc.) shew no trace of the direction tovita
 xi. 24, 25). While the theory of a Pauline origin of the Eucharist (Hastings, D. B. ii. p. 638) is excluded by the position assigued to the institution in the early Jewish-Christian sources on which Mc. and Mt. drew, it is possible that the command which secures the permanence of the Eucharist may belong to the special revelation bestowed on St Paul (consalt, but with caution, McGiffert, Apostolic Age, p. 68, note).

For an Apostolic interpretation of the words routó $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, тò aí á $\mu \mathrm{ov}$, see 1 Cor. x. 15,16 , xi. 27 , 29 ; the belief of the second century is perhaps most characteristically expressed in Did. 9 ff. ; Ignatius, Smyrn. 6, Philad. 4, Trall. 8, Rom. 7; Justin, apol. i. 66, dial. 70, 117; Iren. iv. I8. 4 f., 33. 2, v. 2. 2 f. A true note is struck by Euth. : $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\jmath}_{s}$

 E.P., v. 67. 13 "this Bread hath in it more than the substance which our eyes behold, this Cup...availeth to the endlcss life and welfare both of soul and body...to me which take them theyare the Body and Blood of Christ; His promise in witness hereof sufficeth, His word He knoweth which way to accomplish." For a catena of patristic teaching on the subject see Pusey, Doctrine of the Real Presence, p. 355 ff ; the ante-Nicene teaching is collected in J. Th. St. iii. p. 16ı ff.





 DKN「Ф $\min ^{\text {mu }}$
 A mysterious saying not to be lightly dismissed as a "poetic utterance" (Bruce). The Lord solemnly foretells that this shall be His last Passover, His last meal. But his prophecy looks beyond His Death to a day of reassembling round another board
 saying recalls the parables of Le. xiv. 16 ff ., Mt. xxii. I ff. ; in Le. (xxii. 29 f .) it is expanded into the form

 Baбideía $\mu o v$. The Messianic Kingdom is a banquet at which Christ and His elect will drink in a new and glorious way of the fruit of the mystical Vine (Jo. xv. I ff.); for illustrations from Jewish sources seo Wünsche, p. 334. Kavór力s (see ii. 21, note) is the characteristic mark of all that belongs to the kingdom of God
 inaugurates a kavì kriots, in which at length all things are to become now (Apoc. xxi. 5). The saying has a partial fulfilment in the Eucharists of the universal Church; its ultimate accomplishment belongs to the risen life, for which the Bridegroom has "kept the good wine" (cf. Apoc. xix. 9). Origen: "implebitur in regno Dei hoc pascha et manducabit [panem] Jesus cum discipulis suis et bibet...veram escam et verum potum manducabimus et bibemus in regno Dei, acdificantes per ea et coufortantes verissimam illam vitam." The reading of D av่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \bar{\partial} \nu$ is noteworthy. Гivjua, as distinguished from $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\nu} \mu \mathrm{a}$
(Mt. iii. 7), is 'a fruit of the earth'; see WH., Notes, p. 148, WSchm., p. 55 f., Deissmann, B. St., p. 184 ; this use of the word is non-Attic, but not limited to Biblical Gk. (cf. Rutherford, p. 348, Deissmann (B. St., p. Iog, who
 $\pi a \rho a \delta \varepsilon i \sigma \omega \nu$ from a papyrus of в.c. 230).
 for wine (cf. Num. vi. 4, Hab. iii. 17, Isa. xxxii. 12), and as such it is suitable to a rite which was based on the law of the O.T.; moreover it occurred in the ordinary form for the benediction of the cup, "blessed be He that created the fruit of the vine" (J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvi.).

26-31. Dhparture to the Mount of Oliveg. ग'he Desertion and DeNLAL FORETOLD. (Mt. Exvi. 30-35, Lc. xxii. $3 \mathrm{I}-39$; cf. Jo. xiii. $36-38$, xiv. 3I, xviii. I.)
26. каi $\left.\dot{\nu} \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s\right]$ The singing of Psalms followed the meal; Wycliffe: "the ympne seid," Tindale: "when they had sayd grace"; cf. Victor:

 For this use of $\dot{\imath} \mu v e i v, \tilde{v}_{\mu \nu o s}$ cf. Ps.


 Jos. ant. vii, 12.3 ó $\Delta a v i o ̂ n s . . . v ̃ \mu v o v s$ avestágato. The Psahms which were sung at the end of the Paschal supper, after the filling of the fourth cup, were probably those which formed the second part of the 'Hallel,' viz. : Pss. exy.-cxviii.; see Edersheim, Temple, p. 210, J. Lightfoot ad l., Schöttgen i., p. 231, Schürer, II.i.p. 291,
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note. Others suppose that Ps. cxxxyi. is intended. Bede thinks of the intercessory prayer of Jo, xvii. For an interesting but grotesque attempt to place an original hymn in the lips of Christ and the Eleren, see Acta Joh. (Apocr. anecd., ed. James, ii. p. 10). That the Gospels contained a reference to this Paschal hymn is mentioned by Justin (dial. Io6 $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$


 a fulfilment of Ps. xxi. (xxii.) 23 .
 movement seems to correspond to that
 (so Tatian, Hill, p. 226); the discourses of Jo. xv., xvi., and the prayer of Jo. xvii. were inttcred either on the way to the Kidron or possibly in the Precinct (cf. Westcott on Jo. xy. i, 2). On $\tau$ ò ö́pos $\tau$. $\bar{\ell} \lambda$. see xi, l , note; on
 * $\theta$ os. The nightly departure for the Mount had become habitual, and the Eleven felt no surprise when they were summoned to leave the katá$\lambda_{\nu \mu a}$ : no provision had been made for spending tle night in Jerusalem.
27. кai $\lambda$ é yet airois ó 'I. кт入.] Mt.
 Jo. appear to place the conversation in the supper-room, but the three traditions (Mt.-Me. Lc. Jo.) are distinct and independent. The Fayûm fragment (acc. to Zahn's reconstruction, Kanon, ii. p. 785) leaves the point open: [íu $\mu \eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ dé $a \dot{v} \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$


 ravirn. The frequent warnings against

бка́y $\delta a \lambda a$ (iv. 17, ix. 42 ff., cf. Mt. xxiv. Io, Le. vii. $23, \mathrm{~J}$. xvi. I) gave to this prophecy a terrible significance. Moreover, hitherto this fate had overtaken only the enemies of Jesus (vi. 3, Mt. xv. 12) or dislogal followers (Jo. vi. 61) ; but now the Apostles themselves are warned that they will fall without exception, and that very night.
 firms His prophecy by a quotation from the O.T.: cf. ix. i2 f., xiv. 21. The words which are cited differ materially from the B text of Zach, xiii. 7
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \beta a \tau a$ (cf. Tert. de fug. it "evollite oves"); the $A$ text comes nearcr
 $\pi \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho . ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \pi o i \mu \nu \eta s$ (cf. Mt.), and it has on the whole the

 while the loose reference in Barn.

 seems to blend B's matágate with A's conclusion. But all known forms of the Greek text agree with the M.T. in beginning the clause with an inperative, whilst Mt. and Mc. concur in $\pi a \tau a ́ \xi \omega$. The latter reading is possibly due to a collection of testimonia from which the common tradition drew (cf. i. 2, note) ; it is noteworthy that it is found not only in Mt. Mc., but in the Fayûm fragment, whieh gives [кarà] tò $\gamma \rho a \phi$ é̀ Пarág $\omega$
 $\pi \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma[0 \nu \tau a t]$. On the import of the prophecy see Kirkpatrick, Doctrine of the Prophets, p. 465. The genoral law was to find its most terrible ful-
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#### Abstract
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filment in the dispersion of the flock (Lc. xii. 32) of the Good Shepherd.
 'AdAá contrasts the hope of the Resurrection with the deepening gloom of the Passion; the Lord rarely mentions the one without the other (cf. viii. 3I, ix. 3I, x. 34).

 the inf. see Burton § $406-7$. With
 cf. xvi. 7, Mt. xxviii. 10, I6, Er. Petr. 12; it was natural that the Eleven should return to Galilee after the Passover, and the Lord reassures them by promising to be there before them. Of this return to the North Le. says nothing, whilst Mc. (so far as we can judge from his unfinished work) and Mt. are equally silent as to appearances in Jerusalem subsequent to the day of the Resurrection. But their silence is not unnatural in view of the Galican character of thoir record, which is abandoned only in the case of the narrative of the Passion and Resurrection. Ou $\pi \rho \circ \alpha, \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau u \alpha$ see x . 32 , note.
 Peter is stung to the quick by the suggestion of disloyalty, and repudiates it for himself. His speech is
well characterised by Euth.: $\delta \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 he ought rather to have prayed


 $\rho \eta \kappa \epsilon$. Ei kai, "even admittiug that it is truc"; the Fayum fragment has sai el, which emphasises the improbability (Burton § 280). At all erents there will be one exception to the
 ning the apodosis see WM., p. $55^{2}$; on the ellipse of. Blass, Gr. p. 291. The expansion of this characteristic saying in Mt. is instructive. In Lc., whose report however may relate to another occasion, Peter says Kipuє,


 brings the three sayings into one (Hill, p. 223 f.).
 Peter's boast is turned into the prophecy of a greater downfall: "promissio eius audax...facta est ei causa at non solum scandalizaretur, verum etiam ter denegaret" (Origen). "Thou (emplatic $\sigma v$, answering to Peter's
 morning watch, shalt deny me not once but thrice." According to the Jewish reckoning the day of the
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Passion has already begun ( $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o v$ ) ; it commenced with the night of the Paschal Supper. Dís (cf. v. 72) is peculiar to Mc. among the canonical Gospels, but it is supported by the Fayûm papyrus. The word is suggestive, cf. Bengel: "valdo notabilis circumstantia primo cantu Petrum se non collecturum esse." The papyrus substitutes ${ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho v{ }^{\prime} \nu$ for the old
 N. Phryn., p. 307 f.; d̀єккш $\omega$ occur in another papyrus of c. A.d. Ioo (Fayam Touns, p. 275), and кокки́Úн (Theocr.

 aं $] \pi a \rho v[\eta ; \eta]$ (Zahn, l.c.). Comp. 3 Macc.
 of $\rho f \rho$ os, and see the references to the second cockcrowing in Ar. Eccl. 390, Juv. ix. I06: for the time indicated, see the note on ${ }^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \kappa т о р о \phi \omega v i a$ (supra, xiii. 35). On ȧтapvウ́णך cf. viii. 34 note; on $\pi \rho i \nu \vec{\eta}$ with the inf. see Burton $\$ 380 \mathrm{f}$.
 profession of confidence is intensified by his mortification : he continued to talk (è $\lambda a ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$, cf. vy. ll.) with excessive vehemence (cf. vinєp $\epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega}$, vii. 37);

 consciously, no doubt, yet in point of fact, as Origen says, he gave the
lie to the Master: "dominum nostrum profitebatur facere mendacem per ea quae sibi confidens dicebat." The protest was probably uttered more than once (è $\lambda \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \iota$ ), as passionate remarks are apt to be. 'Eà $\nu$ ס $\delta \dot{\eta} \eta \mathrm{kr} \lambda$., "though it be necessary"; Mt.'s кầ suggests the improbability of this contingency (Burton § 281). The idea of sharing the Lord's Death had originated, as it seems, with the less demonstrative Thomas (Jo. xi. 16); it was afterwards to pass in St Paul's writings into the language of theology (Rom. vi. 2 ff., Col. ii. 12, iii. I ff.; the word ovvamo $\theta$. occurs in the $\lambda$ óyos cited in 2 Tim. ii. it). Both ovvAaveiv and $\sigma v a \pi o \theta a v e i v$ are classical forms; for the latter cf. Plat. Phaed. 88 тои
 Sir. xix. 10 àkíkoas $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$; avvato-
 future see Blass, Gr., p. 204 f.
 had been included in the first prophecy of impending failure, and Peter's passionate protest stirred the rest to similar (Mt. ícoics) professions of loyalty. In Lc. and Jo. Peter only is warned and the other ten do not appear.

32-42. The Agony in Gethisemane (Mt. xxyi. 36-46, Lc. xxii. 40-46: cf. Jo, xviii. I ff.).




#### Abstract

  33 тоע $\Pi \epsilon \tau \rho \circ \nu]$ om тои $\stackrel{N}{*}^{*}$ alpaue


 $\left.\nu \in i^{i}\right]$ The name is not given by Lc．
 but the latter mentions that the place was a garden which lay on the further side of the Kidron

 Krreon，Syr．${ }^{\text {pesh．pronch }}$ ＂nichts Anderes sein kann als ＂ p．152；see his note on the length－ ening of the second vowel）；ef．$\Gamma$ e $\theta_{\epsilon}$－ $\rho \epsilon \mu \mu \omega^{\prime} \nu$（Jos．xxi．24），Гє $\theta_{\chi}{ }^{\circ} \beta \in \rho$（ 4 Regn．xiv．25）．On the other hand the forms Г $\eta \sigma a \mu a \nu \epsilon i, ~ \Gamma є \sigma \sigma \eta \mu a \nu \epsilon$ i，sug－ gest the name in its more usual spelling denotes，the estate（ $x$ coiov，praedium， villa，cf． 2 Chron．xxvii． 27 év roîs $\chi$ रूíoss rốv olvov，Acts iv． 34 ктйторєs $\chi \omega \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\omega} \omega \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ oikt $\left.\omega \nu\right)$ may at one time have had an oil press upon it，but it was now apparently one of the private gardens which were to be found in the out－ skirts of Jerusalen（cf．Jo．xix．41）， and（doubtless by the favour of its owner）it had been a favourite resort of Jesus（Jo．mod入ákis auvíx $\theta_{7}$＇1．
 is no reason to doubt that the enclo－ sure still known as the Latin Geth－ semane occupies the site of that which was already identified with the Garden of the Agony in the fourth century；cf．Eus．onom．s．v．
 тоцєíAlat anoudá̧ovatv：Jerome，lib． interpr．＂est autem ad radices montis Oliveti nunc ecclesia desuper aedifi－ cata＂：Silvia，peregr．p．62，describes in detail the Holy－Week procession to Gethsomane，the reading of the

Gospel on the spot，the wailing and weeping of the excited crowd of pilgrims．The church has disap－ peared，but the traditional spot is marked by olive trees of venerable age，whether planted by Christian hands，or sprung from the roots of those which Titus cut down（Jos． B．J．vi．I．I）．
 appear to have entered the garden
 aủrov），but eight of the Eleven were bidden to rest near the entrance， that the Master might retire for prayer．In this there was probably nothing unusual ；cf．i．35，vi． 46.
 Burton，§ 32 I ff．，who translates， ＂while I pray＂；so A．V．，R．Y．， though both render the parallel
 ＂till I have eaten and drunken．＂ The Vg ．has donec orem，on which see Madvig，$\$ \S 339.2 b, 360$ I．In Mt．we see the Lord pointing to the spot which He will make Itis oratory （ $\hat{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta_{\grave{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{i}$ ）．It is such a detail as might have been expected in Mc， who however omits it．

33．каì тара入ац阝ávєє кт入．］This again was not an entirely new step： the eight would remember the Trans－ figuration，when，as now，the pur－ pose of the retirement was to pray （Le．ix．28）；Thpht．：$\pi a \rho a \lambda . ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тойs

 ßável see iv．36，v．40，ix．2，x．32， notes；ou the order of the names
 v．37，ix．2，notes，and，on the repe－ tition of the article，the notes on

##  






v．37，ix．2．Mc．sets each indivi－ duality before the mind separately，
 vioùs Zefeiaiou）brings Peter promi－ nently into the foreground．
 poveiv］Wycliffe：＂began for to drede and to henge．＂The shadow of death begins to fall upon Him as He passes with the Three into the depths of the olive－grove．Mt．writes
 the word is peculiarly Marcan，see note on ix．15－strikes another note， that of amazed awe．It is unnecessary either to abandon in this place the proper sense of $\theta \dot{a} \mu \beta a s$ ，or to find with Meyer a truer psychology in Mt．＇s $\lambda u \pi \epsilon \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a$ ．The Lord was overwhelmed with sorrow（see next verse），but His first feeling was one of terrified sur－ prise．Long as He had foreseen the Passion，when it came clearly into view its terrors exceeded His antici－ pations．His human soul received a
 and the last lesson of obedienco began with a sensation of inconceivable awe．With this there came another， that of overpowering mental distress
 verb occurs only once again in the
 $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，where see Lightfoot＇s full note）， and does not appear in the axx．，but it is used by Aquila（Job xviii．2o，Lxx． arєvájelv）and Symmachus（Ps．lx．$=$
 lxx．є́v r̂̀ èkatáaধt，Eccl．vii． 17 （16），



than once ；see esp．Phaedr． 251 D ：
 àmopoйøa $\lambda u \tau t a ̣ ̂$ ．These references
 sequel to ${ }^{\kappa} \kappa \theta a \mu \beta \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a t$ ，representing the distress which follows a great shock，＂the coufused，restless，half－ distracted state＂（Lightfoot）which may be worse than the sharp pain of a fully realised sorrow．Bede： ＂timet Christus，cum Petrus non timcat．＂The reading of D seems to lave arisen from a confusion of $\dot{\alpha} \delta \eta$－
 is a true form meaning＇to be listless， the reverse of a кך $\bar{\delta} \epsilon \mu \omega^{\prime}$, ，＇

34．каї 入є́yє九 aúvoís Пєрí入vтоs ктд．］ The Lord reveals to His three wit－ nesses a part of His distress．His words recall Ps．xli．（xlii．）6， 52 ，xlii．
 in an earlier utterance of the Holy Week He had referred to the rest of the refrain（ ${ }^{*} v a \tau i{ }^{\prime}$ ouvtapácotis $\mu \epsilon$ ， cf ． Jo．xii．27；see Kirkpatrick on Ps． xli．）．But His sorrow exceeds the Psalmist＇s ；it is écos $\theta a \nu$ árov，a sorrow which well－nigh kills．Comp．Jon．ir．
 $\pi \underset{T}{\square})$ ．$\Lambda s$ for the cause of this over－ whelming grief，Jerome＇s remark， ＂contristatur．．．anima．．．non propter mortem，sed usque mortem，＂is doubt－ less true，but the narrative does not encourage the view which prevails in many patristic commentaries，that the Lord＇s sorrow and prayers were only for the sins and woes of men（cf． e．g．Ambr．in Lc．＂cum in se nihil haberet quod doleret nostris tamen angebatur aerumnis＇）．His human
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soul shrank from the Cross, and the fact adds to our sense of the greatness of His sacrifice.

Though the Gospels yield abundant evidence of the presence of human emotions in our Lord (e.g. iii. 5, vi. 6, x. 14, Jo. xi. 33), this direct mention of His 'soul' has no parallel in them if we except Jo. xii. 27 ; for in such passages as x. 45, Jo. x. il $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ is the individual life (see Cremer s.v.) rather than the seat of the emotions. The present passage was from the first eagerly used for polemical purposes both by Christians (Iren. i. 8. 2, iii. 22. 2) and unbelievers (Orig. c. Cels. ii. 24).
 Three are placed where they can see and hear (ef. $v .35$ ), for they are to be witnesses of the Agony. For the same reason they are to keep themselves awake (cf. Lc. ix. 32); but $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i т \epsilon$ (Mt. $\gamma \rho . \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \hat{v}$ ) has besides an ethical meaniug, as in xiii. 35 ff ; a great crisis was near, which demanded a wakeful spirit ( $v, 3^{8}$ ). Origen: "maneamus ubi praecepit Jesus (1 Cor. vii. 20)...ut cum eo pariter vigilemus fui non dormit neque dormitat castodiens Israel." On the tenses see Blass, Gr. p. 196.
35. каі $\pi \rho о є \lambda \theta \omega ̀ \nu$ никро́v кт $\lambda$.] The Lord went forward (for $\pi \rho o \epsilon \lambda \theta$., ef. vi. 33 , Acts xii. 10) into the olivegrore, as if to isolate Himself from the Three, who conld not share His present sorrow; comp. the noteworthy parallel in Gen. xxii. 5. Muxpóy (Le.,
$\dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\lambda} \lambda\left(\theta o v \beta_{0} \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu\right)$ is more frequently used of time than of space (cf. Cant.

 $\chi \rho \dot{v} \nu \boldsymbol{v} \mu$., 关ть $\mu$. $\chi \rho$.), but Meyer cites
 $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. There He fell upon His
 $x$ vii. 3,17, Lc. v. 12, xvii. 16) on the earth (Mc. only; cf. Jud. xiii. 20
 see WM., p. 470); the imperf. $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ (Mt. $\tilde{\epsilon} \pi \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ) describes the prostration as taking place under the eyes of the narrator (cf. WM., p. 226). Lc. speaks only of kneeling ( $\theta$ fis rì yóvara ), a not infrequent attitude in prayer (cf. Acts vii. 60 , ix. $40, \mathrm{xx} .36$, xxi. 5 ; see note on xi. 25).

трогचи́хєто iva ктд.] The Lord's habit of prayer has already been noticed in i. 35, vi. 46 (see notes): on the prayers of the Agony comp. Heb. v. 7 with Westcott's notes. "Iva... $\eta^{\prime}$ $\tilde{\omega}^{\rho} \rho a$ is a note peculiar to Me., summarising and interpreting the prayers which follow. For $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon v^{\prime} \in \sigma \theta a t$ ' $v a$, cf. xiii. I8, note ; $\bar{\eta} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho$, the appointed time ( $v .4 \mathrm{I}$, Jo. xvii. I), cf. $\boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{\rho a}$
 $\delta o \xi_{a \sigma} \theta \hat{\eta}$ Jo. xii. $23, \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} . ~ a \tilde{\imath} \tau \eta$ ib.
 comp, the phrase $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} \rho a\left(\tau \bar{\eta} \mathrm{~s}\right.$ ) $\sigma \nu v \tau \epsilon \lambda_{\text {fias }}$ in Dan. xi. 40, 45 (Lxx.), and Jo. xvi.

 pass by without bringing its allotted suffering.' Ei סvyatóv ধ̇ovcu, cf. xiii. 22, note, and see note on next verse.




    $\theta e \lambda \epsilon t$ Dacffiq arm aegg aeth

 words of the prayer are given with minor variations by the three Synoptists. Mt. begins $\pi \dot{a} \tau \in \rho \mu \nu$, Lc. пáré, Mc., as in v. 4I, vii. 34, preserves the Aramaic word uttered by Jesus (Nֹㅜㅜㄹ, Dalman, Gr. p. 157; Worte, i. p. 257). 'o $\pi a \pi$ 'f $\rho$ is either ( 1 ) an interpretative note due to the Evangelist or his source, and nearly equivalent to ö '̇ढтav $\pi a \pi \dot{j} \rho$, or (2) a part of the original prayer, ef. SH. on Rom. viii. 15 ("it seems better to suppose that our Lord Himself, using familiarly both languages ... found Himself impelled spontaneously to repeat the word"), and Schöttgen ad loc., who quotes instances of a similar duplication, e.g. מרי בירי (where the second word represents the Galilean pronunciation of кíp $\varphi$ ), and vaí, à $\mu \dot{\eta} v_{\text {, }}$ Apoc. i. 7, ef. xxii. 2o. Or, accepting Schöttgen's explanation, we may re-
 familiar to the bilingual Palestinian Church, which naturally found its way in place of the simple 'A $\boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ' or $\dot{\phi}$ $\pi a \pi i p$ into the earliest cycle of oral teaching, and thence into this Gospel. Dr Chase (Lord's Prayer in the Early Church, p. 24) suggests that the words were the current equivalent of the initial חátep of the Lord's Prayer in its shorter form (Le. xi. 2), and that they are used with a reference to that Prayer both by Mc. and in Rom. l.c., Gal. iv. 6.
тáváa óvvará col] Mt, el óvvatóv
 x. 27. The Lord realises in His own
case the truth He had impressed on the 'I'welve. Seeing that nothing is per se impossible to the Father, He can pray, even on the eve of the Passion, that it may be averted. In perfect faith He believes that even now it is possible to defeat Judas and the Sanhedrin (Mt. xxvi. 53), to resist Pilate and the power of Rome (Jo. xix. II), even to defy death (Jo. x. 17, 18) ; and He asks (but with a reservation which will immediately appear) for deliverance in whatever way. Паре́veүкє, ' 'carry past,' i.e. cause it to pass by ; so Le., Mt., $\pi a \rho \in \lambda \theta$ át $\omega$ : cf.
 фєроу, where B has ai $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu a i$ à̀tต̀ mapeyivodro, and see the illustrations from Plutarch in Field, Notes, p. 39 .
 Jo. the reference to the Cup of the Passion comes further on, in the incident of Simon and Malchus (Jo. xviii. ni). The Cap corresponds to 'the hour' in $v .35$.
 Mt. has $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ here, see Blass, Gr. p. 268. On this use of $\tau i$ where a classical writer would have written ${ }_{o} \mathrm{t} \pi \iota$ see WM., p. 210, and Blass, Gr. p. 175, who cites a saying of Euergetes
 $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \in \omega($ (cf. his comm, on Aets xiii. 25). The interrogative sense of tis in such cases does not perhaps wholly disappear; we may paraphrase : 'however, the question is not (ov, not $\mu \dot{\prime}^{\prime}$ ) what is My will,' \&c. Mt. ( $\pi \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \nu{ }^{\circ} \dot{o}_{X}$ ws...
 $\mu o v$ à̉ $\lambda$ à tò $\sigma o ̀ v ~ \gamma(\nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \omega)$ avoid the
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colloquial $\tau i$, regarding it perhaps as unsuitable in a solemn prayer. The words, as a whole, seem to look back to the Lord's Prayer as given by Mt.
 and Mt.'s second version of them ( 0.42 ) the resemblance is closer. The Divinc Will, which is the expression of the Divine righteousness and love, limits the exercise of the Divine power, and therefore supplies a necessary check to the expectations which might otherwise arise from belief in the omnipotence of God ; cf. I Jo. v. 14. The practical teaching of this passage is well stated by Origen: "quare proprium est omnis hominis fidelis primum quidem nolle pati aliquid doloris, maxime quod ducit usque ad mortem; si autem sic voluerit Deus, acquiescere etiam contra voluntatem suam." The words occupy an important place in the history of the doctrine of the Person of Christ. The Church found in Christ's ov $\tau^{i}$ є $\gamma^{\omega}$ $\theta$ $\hat{\prime} \lambda \omega \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau i ́ \sigma \dot{d}$ conclusive evidence of the existence in our Lord of a true human will, distinct from the Divine Will, although even in this supreme crisis absolutely submissive to it; for a catena of the patristic passages see Petav. de Incarn. ix. 6. 4 sqq., and comp. esp. John of Damascus, de fide

 ס̀̇ кal̀ $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau о ~ т @ ̣ ̂ ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~$





 $\tilde{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda_{\epsilon l}$ aujuip, On the difficult
questions connected with the personality of the Lord's human nature the student may consult Dorner (E. T. II. i., p. 201 ff.), and Westcott on Jo. i. 14. 'Ey' $\theta_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega$ identifies the Person of Christ with the action of His human will, but does not necessarily affirm that the personality resides in His humanity.
 The Lord rises again (Le. ápactàs àmò $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in v \chi \hat{\eta} s)$, and returning to the Three finds that His warning ( 0.34 ) has been in vain; all are asleep (cf. xiii. 36). Lc. explains their sleep as resulting $\dot{d} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \lambda_{u} \pi \pi \eta^{\prime}$, i.e. from the exhaustion produced by their deepening realisation of the Passion (cf. v. 19, Jo. xvi. 20). Peter is addressed as the first of the Three; but the rebuke is partly personal, as Mc. at least is aware ( $\Sigma_{i}^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$, ка $\theta \in \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$; oíк
 Mc, has not used the personal name इijov since Pctcr's call to the Apostolate (iii. 16), and its appearance here is certainly suggestive; cf. Jo. xxi. r 5 ff. $\Sigma i \mu \omega \nu$ 'I $\omega \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \nu$, where the refercnce to natural, perhaps hereditary, character is still more plainly emphasised. For the time he is 'Peter' no more; the new character which he owes to association with Jesus is in abeyance. He who was ready to die with the Master ( $v .3$ ) has been proved not to possess the strength of will (ovik $\tilde{z} \sigma \mathcal{X}^{v o \sigma a s}$ ) requisite for resisting sleep during the third part of a single watch ( $\mu$ iav ш $\boldsymbol{\omega} a \nu$ ) ; cf.

 $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{u}$.


## 

## 

$\kappa \tau \lambda$.$] "Watch ye, and pray that"$ \&c. (R.V. mg.). The Lord now addresses the Threo and not Simon only. He reveals the dceper purpose of His injunction; wakefulness of spirit was chicfly important as necessary to

 ready, as they took their places in Gethsemane, He had said $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \rho \overline{\text { eite }}$ (v. 34), and $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \mu \bar{\eta}$ єi $\sigma \in \lambda 0 \epsilon i \nu$
 peats this now, for there was still
 reference to the Lord's Prayer. Dr Chase (Lord's Prayer, p. 6i f.) points out that the Syriac versions use the same verb in different conjugations for eio $\phi \epsilon \in \epsilon \varepsilon \nu$ in Mt. vi. I3, Le. xi. 4 , and $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ here. Similarly, no doubt, one root would have been used in the Hebrew or Aramaic originals of both

 חєt 1 arpós is used in the O.T. " of the trying or proving of God by nian, but more commonly of the trying or proving of man by God" (Hatch, Essays, p. 71); and since God tries men by affliction, the word acquires the latter meaning (e.g. Sir. ii. I єтoi$\mu a \sigma o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \cup \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ бov $\epsilon i s \pi \epsilon \iota a \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu)$. The N.I'. writers also employ it with this connotation (cf. Lc. xxii. 28 , Acts xx. 19, Gal. iv. 14, i Pet. i. 6, and sce Mayor's note on Jas. i. 2), but not exclusively, for the Gospel reveals another form of temptation which does not come from GoD (James i. 13), and is not limited to the infliction of suffering. Пєєрá\}єvөaı vimo тầ $\sigma a \tau a \nu \bar{a}$ (i. 13, note) is 'to be solicited to commit sin' (cf. Jas. i. I3 f.), and the context shews that this sense predominates here and in the Lord's Prayer. With e e $\lambda \in \varepsilon$ ề eis $\pi$. comp. $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ (Jas, i. 2), $\epsilon^{2} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ (I Tim.
vi. g); the present phrase implies that the temptation may be escaped by an act of the will (cf. i Cor. x. I3, Jas. v. 7). Jcrome, Bede: "non ait...ne tentemini sed ne intretis in tentationem, hoc est, ne tentatio vos superet et intra suos casses teneat."

тò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a \quad \pi \rho \dot{\partial} \theta \nu \mu o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] So Mt. A saying of peculiar interest, especially as finding a place in the older Synoptists, since it anticipates the teaching of St Paul and St John. It is quoted already by Polycarp (Phil. 7), whether from the Gospels or from current tradition. On the coutrast $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a, ~ \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi$, sec Westcott on Jo. iii. 6, and SH. on Rom. viii. 9 . It begins in the O.I. (see e.g. Num. xvi. 22, exvii. 16, Isa. xxxi. 3), where 'the flesh' is man "as belonging to the sphere of matorial life," under the limitations of a corporeal nature, frail, mortal, and in fact impure (Gen. vi. 12); and 'the spirit' is the vital force (Gen. vi. 17) which in man is directly dependent on the Spirit of God (Gen. ii. 7) and the organ of communication with GoD and the spiritual world; cf. Schultz, O. T. Theology, E. T., II. p. 242 ff. In the Eleven the human spirit was already under the influence of the Spirit of GoD through their intercourse with Christ (Jo. xiv. 17, see Westcott's note). It was therefore $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \theta_{\nu \mu \nu \nu}$ (cf. 2 Chron, xxix.
 $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \circ \theta u \mu i a$ rồ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon i \nu)$, willing and eager (cf. Le. xxii. 33 є́тоцно́s єіни), through the energy of the רוּ (Ps. li. 14). But its mpo日vuia was not a match for the vis inertiae of its colleague, the frail flesh (cf. Rom. vi.


 the 'flesh' is regarded as not merely weak and impotent, but actively op-










posed to the 'spirit,' Gal. y. $17 \mathrm{ff} .$, the seat of the lower eimıturiac which wage war upon the true life of men ( I Pet. ii. II); the contrast between the two has become sharper and deeper through the mission of the Holy Spirit, Who gives new force and a new direction to the spiritual side of human nature (Rom. viii. 9 ff.).
39. каі̀ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{d} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \eta u ́ \xi a \tau o$ $k \pi \lambda$.] The iujunction to pray is again confirmed by example. The Lord's second prayer was substantially a re-
 cincuv), yet not ideutical with it, 'the same petition' rather than "the same words"; the answer to the first prayer secms to have been vouchsafed in a growing consciousness of the Father's Will, and the second prayer assumes

 oou (Mt.). The last clause is taken verbally from Mt. vi. 10 ; cf. Acts xxi. 14. On т̀̀ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mu a($ fov̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ) sce iii. 35, note: Lightfoot, Revision, p. 106. Пробगúgaтo...єiँผ́y: part. of identical action, Burton, § 139.

4o. каі̀ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{e} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega}^{\prime} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] Returning to the Thrce He again finds them asleep, their eyes weighed down with
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o l$, sc. $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \nu \varphi($ Lc. ix. 32 ); ef. Joel ii.


 Transfiguration (Lc. l.c.) the Three
had experienced the same overpowering drowsiness and the same inability to give expression to their thoughts ; with oú ${ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \delta \in \sigma a \nu \tau i$ àmoк $\rho$.
 (note). They were as men in a dream who could not say what they would. On the earlier occasion these werc

 cause was grief (sec note on $v .37$ ).
41. каї $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ т \grave{̀}$ трі́тоу кт入.] A third interval of prayer had inter-
 aùzòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ cimáv). Tatian connects with this third prayer the narrative of the Bloody Sweat, guided perhaps by its position in the third Gospel. But the inference from position is precarious, for (1) St Luke recognises only one praycr in Gethsemane, and (2) the narrative in question, though a precious and probably genume relic of primitive tradition, seems to have had no place in the original Le. (cf. WH., Notes, p. 66 f.).
 for watchfulness and prayer has gone by, and the injunction is not repeated: in place of it comes a permission to sleep. The permission is surely ironical: 'sleep then, since it is your will to do so; rest, if you can'; ef. Thpht. :








 avaiavea $\left.\theta_{\epsilon} . . \eta_{\gamma} \gamma_{\kappa \epsilon \nu}\right]$ ecce adpropinquavit qui me tradit. et post pusillum excitavit illos et dixit iam ora est ecce traditur filius hominis in manu peccatorum surgite



 $\eta \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \varphi \Delta C$
 סvivar $\theta_{\epsilon}$. The Lord did not hesitate to use irony (cf. vii. 9) when there was occasion for it ; exhortation and reproof had in this instance failed, and no other means of rousing the Three to a sense of duty remained. As Augustine ( $d e$ cons. ev. ini. 11) admits, "recte fieret, si esset necesse"; but who can say that the necessity did not exist? Tò Notmóy, 'ill future,' 'henceforth,' ef. i Cor. vii. 29, Heb. x. $\mathrm{I}_{3}=\epsilon$ i's tò $\lambda$., єis tà 入oıtá, 2 Macc. xi. 19, xii. 3r. 'Ye shall not be interrupted by any further call to prayer.'
 irony has produced the desired effect, the Apostles are roused, and the Lord at once reverts to His customary tone of serion's direction. 'Amé $\chi \in \iota$ (Mc. only) marks the transition. 'A $\underset{\text { ' } \epsilon-~}{\text { - }}$ $\chi^{c t y}$ is frequently used in the papyri in forms of receipt (see Deissmann, B. St. p. 229; Fayum Towns, general index s.v.; Herwerden, lex. supplet. et dial. s.v.) ; cf. Mt. vi. 2 (f., Le. vi. 24 , Phil. iv. I8. The impersonal $a^{2} \pi \epsilon \in \epsilon$ is peculiar to Mc., and only one other ex. has been discovered (Ps.-Anacr.

 the sense is doubtless correctly given by the Vg. sufficit, 'enough!' see Field, Notes, p. 39. The question remains whether $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \in \mathfrak{r e f e r s}$ to the
sleep of the Aposties, or to the ironical reproof. The latter seems the better interpretation; the Lord breaks off the momentary play of irony-it is as if He would say, 'this is no time for a lengthened exposure of the faults of friends; the enemy is at the gate.' The 'Western' text seeks to interpret àmé $\chi \notin$ by adding тà тénos from Le. xxii. 37 ; sce WH., Notes, p. 26 f., and
 тépas ${ }^{\prime} \chi$ ¢є. ' $\mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho a$, cf. $v, 35$, note; on $\eta \lambda \theta \in \nu$, 'js come,' sce Burton § 52 (р. 26 f.). Пapåíootaf ó viòs taû ảv$\theta \rho \omega \pi o v:$ the present is used even in ix. 3 I (note) as the equivalent of $\pi a \rho a-$ סoӨj́retal (x. 33), so vivid was the Lord's anticipation of the event ; here it points to the event as now imminent, as in xiv. 2 I. Eis rùs $\chi$. т $\omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega-$ $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, cf. cis $\chi$. à $\nu \theta_{\rho \omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \omega \nu$ (ix. 3I), тоís
 15, viii. 38 ; the word may be used technically, or in its deeper sense. In this context it would mean to the disciples 'the Gentiles,' i.e. the Roman officials; but in the Lord's own thought the Scribes and Priests were doubtless included. He had sought the company of simmers who were willing to receive Him, for He came to call them (ii. I6, 17) ; but to be delivered to the will of sinners who refused $H$ is call was one of the bitterest ingredients of His Cup.

 'lov́ס人s єîs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega ' \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ' $\alpha \dot{v} \tau о \hat{v}$ ö $\chi \lambda о s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \xi \dot{v} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$

 arm aeth Or (om NBCEGHLNSVXIAEY min ${ }^{\text {pl }}$ syr ${ }^{\mathrm{sin}}$ aegg go) | $\left.\boldsymbol{\epsilon s}\right]+\omega \nu$ EFGHM

 min $^{\text {nom }} \operatorname{pr} a \pi o \mathrm{D}$
ye, let us go.' 'They were still lying on the ground; He was standing by. At this moment the traitor and his party are seen to be approaching
 oidou's points back to the revelation of the supper-chamber (xiv. 18 ff .), which Peter and John at least had understood. The call to 'go' ends the scene in Gethsemane, but cannot be intended to suggest flight, for the Lord had always reserved Himself for this 'hour,' and had now finally embraced the Divine Will concerning it; cf.

 Gerat. On the arrival of Judas the Lord went forth to meet lim (Jo. xviii. 4), and called the Three to accompany Him.
43-50. Arrival of the Traitor. Arrest of Jesua (Mt. xxyi. 47-56, Le. xxii. 47-53, Jo. xviii. 2-12).
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] The words idoi...ग้үүוкє $\nu$ had hardly left the Lord's lips (cf. v. 35, note), when Judas arrived (mapayiveral, venit, cf. Mt. iii. 1, Jo. iii. 23; in the Lxx. the verb is with rare exceptions an equivalent of Niz). Lc. adopts the original plirase ëtı aưr. $\lambda a \lambda$. (MI. Mc.), but seems to connect it with another saying (cf. Le. xxii. 46 with Mt. xxyi. 41, Mc. xiv. 38). Jo. explains how it came to pass that Judas sought the


 was matter of notoriety among the

Twelve that the garden would be visited after the Paschal meal. Eis
 the phrase appears to belong in this place to the original tradition, for it is common to the three Synoptists; "the literary reflection of the chronic horror of the Apostolic Church that such a thing should be possible" (Bruce). There is force in the remark of Euth.: ov'k $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a, \sigma \chi$ ivourat

 Cf. Origen, c. Cels. ii. 15.
 first, as guide to the party ( $\pi \rho \circ \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \chi$ єтo aúroús, le., Acts i. I6), but was closely followed ( $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ avitoî) by an armed crowd. Their arms (arda, Jo.) consisted only of $\mu$ áxalpal (used here probably in the stricter sense), short swords or knives, such as even private persons carried (infra, v. 47, Lc. xxii. 36, $3^{8}$; cf. Gen. xxii. 6, 10, Jud. iii 16 ff ., where see Moore's note), and $\xi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a$, stout sticks (cf. Jos. B. J. ii. 9.4), or perhaps clubs, such as the fullers of Jerusalem used in their work (cf. Hegesippus ap. Eus. H. E. ii. 23)such weapons in fact as could be hastily collected by an irregular body of men called out to deal e.g. with a brigand ( 0.48 , ws $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \lambda \eta \sigma \pi \eta v$ ). But the men who followed Judas did not belong to the of ${ }_{\lambda}$ dos who thronged Jesus in the temple courts; they came from (WM., p. 457) the Sanhedrists ( $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ d $\rho_{\chi}$ к. т. $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu . к . \tau . \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$.






 $\left.604 \mathrm{al}^{\text {pauc }}\right]$ a $\pi \alpha \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ACEGHKMNSUYWbXГ $\triangle \Pi \Sigma \Phi \Psi$ min $^{\mathrm{pl}}$ a $\alpha a \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~F} \min ^{\text {panc }}$
 ре́тas: each of the orders is regarded as separately responsible). These дंлпрє́тає were probably members of the temple police (Jo. vii. 32, Acts จ. 26 ; see Schürer, i. i. p. 264 f., Edersheim, Temple, p. 119); if the vuктoфvidakes could not be withdrawn from the Precinct, the fi $\mu \in \rho \circ \phi \dot{\prime} \lambda a x e s$ were doubtless available in emergencies. With them were regular troops from the Antonia, whose assistance had doubtless been secured through the influence of the IIigh
 maniple,' or perhaps 'the cohort,'
 Westcott on Jo. xviii. 3, 12); but of these the Synoptists seem to know nothing. The ${ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda$ nos included personal servants of the High Priest ( $v .47$ ) and individuals who were attracted by curiosity or some other interest (v. 51) ; Lc. adds that members of the Sanhedrin were also present (xxii. 52). Mc. mentions the three orders in the Sinhedrin scparately ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi$. $\kappa a \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \rho$. каі̀ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho$., cf. Jo.), for their action was due to a concurrence of class interests rathor than to a formal vote of the whole body; cf. viii. 3 , x. 33, xi. 18, 27 , xiv. I , xv. . Renan goes beyoud the evidence when he writes (Vie, p. 305) "le mandat d'arrestation émanait ... du Sanhédrin."
 Such details might have been arranged after the departure of Judas from the supper. Eíroquov is a word condeuned by Phrynichus, who classes
it with кi $\beta \delta \eta \lambda a \dot{a}_{\mu} \mu \theta \bar{\eta}$ (Rutherford, $p$. 493); but in the later prose style it is used freely (e.g. Diod. Sic. xx. 52 тò $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon і \mu \in \nu о \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \sigma v ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \nu)$, and it occurs in the Lxx. (Jud. xx. 38, 40, B, Isa. v. 26, xlix. 22, lxii. ıo, cf. Ign. Smyrn. 1); more precisely than $\sigma \eta$ $\mu \kappa i o v$, which Mt. has here, it denotes a signal or token agreed upon between two parties, a tessera. It was Judas again (cf. v. Io, note) who took the initiative ; the token was of his proposing. On the omission of the augment in the plup. $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \in \kappa \epsilon$ see WM., p. 85, Blass, Gr. p. 37.

The ov́conuoy was a kiss, the customary mode of saluting a Rabbi; see Wünsche, p. 339. Фı入tiv osculari is frequent in the Lxx. (e.g. Gen. xxvii. 26, xlviii. so, Prov. vii. 13, Cant. i. 2, viii. 1), as in class. Gk., but the N. T. uses it only in this context ; $\phi i \lambda \eta \mu a$, however, occurs in the Epistles (Paul ${ }^{4}$, I Pet. ${ }^{1}$, where the kiss consecrated by the Gospel becomes the rúarquov of


Aútes éorty, 'he is the man'; of. Blass, Gr. p. 264.

крат $\eta$ батє avíós ктג.] The undertaking of Judas was fulfilled by the kiss, which betrayed the Lord to His enemica; the rest belonged to the agents of the Sarhedrists. Yet he volunteers advice: 'seize and carry Him off securely.' The words reveal the interest which Judas, when committed to the scheme, had learnt to take in its success. It might even now be frustrated by the escape of Jesus before there was time to arrest Him, or by a rescue on the way to the city or
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in the streets; hence the double direction. For крatriy 'to arrest,' cf. vi. 17; for ánáyet ' to carry off in custody,' see xiv. 53, xv. 16, Mt. xxvii. 31, Acts xii. r9. 'A $\quad$ ' $\phi \pi \lambda \omega$ s caute, Tlindale "warely" (Acts xvi. 23), cf. d $\sigma \phi{ }^{2} i-$ $\zeta \in \sigma \theta a L$, Acts xvi. 24, Jos. B. J. iii, 8. 8 ф $\rho о \nu \rho \epsilon i \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \mathfrak{a}$ má $\sigma \eta s$ à $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i a s$. There must be no risk of miscarriage, and Jesus had often shewn a supernatural power of eluding His enemies; "tamquam si dicat, 'nisi diligenter eum tenentes abduxeritis, cum voluerit effugiet vos.'" (Origen.)
 No sooner had Judas reached the spot than he approached Jesus; not a monent was lost. Mt.'s єji $\theta$ 'ecs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu$ is comparativcly tame. He uttered the name of attachment by which he had so long been used to accost Jesus (ix. 5, note), and sealed it by a fervent kiss ( $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \phi i \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, Mt. Mc.). Karaфideíy is frequent in the lxx. where, like $\phi$ ineiv osculari, it usually represents $\mathcal{P} \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{Z}}$, and perhaps implies no particular vehemence or fervour. But the proper force of the compound verb (cf. Xen. mem. ii. 6 .
 apparent in N. T. usage, cf. Lc. vii. 38 , 45, xv. 20, Acts xx. 37 ; comp. v. 40 note. The kiss was not repeated; contrast Lc. vii. 38, 45 катєфi $\lambda \in t$, ov
 خouv. Le., as if he shrank from realising the scene, contents himself by saying $\eta \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\varphi}{ }^{\text {'I }} \boldsymbol{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \phi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$
aùróv. There is much difficulty in harmonising the accounts of our Lords answer. Acc. to Mt. He
 the work for which thou art here,' cf. Jo. xiii. 27) ; acc. to Lc., 'Iovióa,
 $\delta i \delta \omega s$; acc. to $J_{o}$, who omits the incident of the kiss, the Lord comes forward and asks the party riva 乡ךтeire; Both Tatian and Augustine (de cons. ev. iii. 15) place these evidently distinct sayings in the order Lc., Mt., Jo., but a satisfactory adjustment is hardly possible without fuller knowledge. Such a moment of surprise and terror would naturally leave different impressions on the minds of the witnesses. If Mc. represents Peter's testimony, his silence at this point is suggestive. That Apostle, we may imagine, was torn by a conflict of feelings which left his memory a blank in reference to the Master's words; the treachery of Judas, the arrest of Jesus, filled his thoughts.
 was effected without resistance on the Master's part. For éme $\beta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \iota_{\nu}$
 sense see Jo. vii. 30,44 , Acts iv. 3, v. 18 , xii. 1 , xxi. 27 ; in the Lxx. the

 followed by the acc., which is also the usual construction in the N. T.;
$47^{47} \epsilon \hat{i} \varsigma \delta \hat{\epsilon}[\tau i s] \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O s \quad \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$






 VWb $\Gamma$ II $a^{\text {al }}$
see however Esth. vi. 2 Émıßancív $\chi$ fipas 'Apra $\xi \in p \xi q$, and the frequent emifanciv rive (e.g. Esth. i. 1). On the form є́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda a \nu$ cf. WH., Notes, p. 165.
 Mc.'s vague phrase (cf. xiv. 69 f, xv. 35 , Jo. xviii. 22) becomes in Mt. tis $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \mathrm{fta}$ ' I $\eta \sigma o \hat{0}$, and in Le. cis ris $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \xi a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, Jo. only supplying the name ( (氵ipuy Métpos). On fis tis see Blass, Gr. pp. 144, I78. During the early days of the Church of Jerusalem when the evangelical tradition was being formed, prudential reasons (cf. Jo. xviii. 26) may have suggested reticence as to the name of the offender and even the fact of his comerion with the Christian body. In the Gospels we see the reserve gradually breaking down, and finally abandoned when the danger had ceased. $\Sigma_{\pi a-}$
 'having drawn his kuife' (see v. 43, note; the art. connects the weapon with the subject of the verb, cf. Mt. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu$. avi $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ) 'out of its sheath' ( $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$, Jo. xpili. II). The verb is used both in act. and mid., and with reference
 (B, A), Ps. xxxvi. (xxxvii) 14, cli. 7 ; Mt. has here dimé $\pi$ agev. The Apostles, who had a couple of knives at hand (Lc. xxii. 38), when they saw violence offered to the Master eagerly asked, Kúptє, $\epsilon i$ $\pi a \tau a ́ \xi o \mu \epsilon \nu$ èv $\mu a \chi a i \rho a$ (Lc.); Peter, true to his impetnous nature, did not wait for the answer; to draw his knife and strike at the
nearest of the party was the work of a moment.
 fcll on the Iligh Priest's slave ( $\delta o u \lambda^{2} o v$, Mt. Mc. Lc. Jo.; to own no slaves was a peculiarity of the Essenes, Jos. ant. xviii. 1. 5). The sufferer was a
 phen (DaIman, p. 104)-a common name, for Josephns mentions five persons who bore it (see Niese's index). He was doubtless foremost in the business of the arrest, and thus provoked his punishment. Lc. and Jo. mention that the ear which was 'takeu off' (à $\phi \in \hat{\epsilon}_{\epsilon \nu}$ Mt. Mc. Lc., à $\pi \epsilon$ 'коұey Jo.) was the right one. 'תráplov Mc. Jo. ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ tion Mt. Lc.) is a dim. of the New Comedy, which had perhaps become colloquial ; cf. $\gamma$ voaukápıo (2 Tim. iii. 6), кuvápov (Mc. vii. 27), $\pi a \delta \delta \dot{\rho} \rho \circ \nu(J o . ~ v i . ~ 9) ; ~ B l a s s, ~ G r . ~ p . ~$ 63 f. For the Lord's remonstrance with Peter, which Mc. omits, see Mt. xxvi. 52-54, Le. xxii. 5I, Jo. xviii. in; the substance is well given by Ephrem: "cuius verbum gladius est gladium non indiget." Lc. alone adds

48. ws $\frac{\xi}{} \pi i \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Lord remonstrates not against the arrest, but against the manner in which it was effected. Why this armed multitude? He was not a $\lambda \eta \sigma \pi r^{\prime} s$ (cf. Jo. xviii. 14), but a religious teacher.
 If His teaching or conduct merited punishment, He had given them









abundant opportunities of arresting Him publicly in the Precinct. For other exx. in Biblical Greek of the class. $\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$, 'to arrest,' cf. Jer. xliii. (xxxvi.) 26, xliv. (xxxvii.) 13, Jo. xviii. 12, Acts i. 16 , xii. 3.

It is possible that the $\sigma \pi \in i p a$ (see note on $v .43$ ) had been obtained from the Procurator on the plea that Jesus was a dangerous insurgent (cf. Lc. xxiii 2), and robbery and other outrages would readily be associated with the career of such a leader (Lc. xxiii. 19, Jo. xviii. 40; cf. Polyc.

 $\left.\lambda_{\eta \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu}^{\nu} \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau \epsilon s\right)$.
 46 f., iii. 2 ; the Lord had visited the Precinct oll three consecutive days in that week alone. ${ }^{*} H \mu \eta \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i \mu a ̂ s, ~$ eram apud vos; Lc. övtos $\mu \circ v \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ : on $\pi \rho \sigma_{s}$ with acc., apud, see WM., p. 504, and cf. ix. 19, note. This familiar intercourse, this daily presence in the Precinct, was now a thing of the past ( $\eta \mu \mu \nu$ : on the form see
 Vg. et non me tenuistis; the kai is not really adversative, see note on vii. 24. The Lord does not upbraid them with the cowardice which had been at the root of their inaction during the earlier days of the Holy Week; their own consciences would supply the
 The treachery of Judas, the secrecy
of the arrest, belonged to the order of events foreshadowed by the Spirit of prophecy. Mt. supplies the ellipse:
 the context suggests $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \dot{\prime} \lambda \theta a \tau \epsilon$, or ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủk '̇крат $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon$. For similar exx. of the elliptic a ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ "va see Jo. i. 8 , ix. 3 , xiii. 18 ; it is akin to the use of iva in $v .23$, but there the word mentally supplied gives the dependent clause the force of an imperative, which is not to be thought of here. Ai $\gamma \rho a \phi a i$, cf. xii, 24, Lc. xxiv. 27 ff., Jo. v. 39, Acts xvii. 2 f., 2 Pet. iii. I6. Mt. adds $\tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \omega \nu$, but perhaps without intending to limit the reference to the prophetic books of the Canou.
 $r \in s]$ Sc. oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i$ (Mt.), both the three in Gethsemane and the eight without. The sheep were scattered ( $v .27$ ), the Shepherd was left alone (Jo. xvi. 32); cf. Bede: "impletur sermo Domini quem dixerat quod omnes discipuli scandalizarentur in
 the position of máveधs calls attention to the fulfilment of Christ's warning (0. 27): not even Peter formed an exception to the general desertion, All fled. Yet two at least recovered themselves so far as to follow afterwards, if at a safe distance ( $v .54, \mathrm{~J}$. xviii. 15).

5I-52. The Yonng Man who Followed. (Mc. only.)














 oc $\gamma \rho$. rat of $\pi p$. $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{K} \Pi \quad 60_{4} 3^{\text {pe }}$ alperpauc lett syresh arm acth Or
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] One there was, not an Apostle, who followed boldly and at once, going along with the Lord ( $\sigma v \nu \eta к о \lambda о$ outer av่̉ย̣̂, cf. 2 Macc. ii. 4, 6, Mc. v. 37, Lc. xxiii. 49) until he was seized by the $\dot{\imath} \pi \eta \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \tau a$. . His attire would excite attention, a $\sigma \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \dot{\omega} y \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \gamma v \mu \nu o \hat{v}$ ie. a linen garment or wrap, see J. Lightfoot ad l. and Moore on Jud. xiv. 12, 13 ; cf. Prov. xxix. 42 (xxxi. 24),
 (ITP) for sale; I Macc. x 64 (A)
 present case the $\sigma \iota \nu \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu$ was either a light summer 'square' hastily caught up, or, possibly, a night-dress; cf. Galen cited by Wetstein, $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \mu \nu \dot{o} s$
 סóva, and Field, Notes, p. 40. In either case Bengel's inference is just : "locuples igitur ert." 'E $\pi \grave{\imath} \gamma \nu \mu \nu 0 \hat{v}$ is in this case 'on the naked body'; for a more restricted sense of $\gamma v \mu \nu o s_{s}$ see Tob. i. 16, Isar. xx. 2 ff., 2 Mace. xi. 12.
52. кaтa入ı $\pi \omega \nu$ т $\dot{\eta} \nu$ atvóvya] The incident recalls Joseph's flight from the wife of Potiphar (Gen. xxxix. I2 ff). The $\sigma \omega \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$, if of the nature of an i $\mu$ átoo, a rectangular wrap and not a
closefitting garment, could easily be detached.

The veavíckos has been identified with St John (Ambry., Clurys., Bede), James the brother of the Lord (Epiph. haver. lxxyiii.), a resident in the house where the Lord had eaten the Passover (Thpht.), or the Evangelist himself (many recent commentators). The last two views are not incompatible, if John Mark was the son of the
 been suggested that Gethsemane was the property of his mother Mary (Exp. Iv. iii. p. 225). That the incident was drawn by Mark from his own recollection or from his stores of local knowledge may be regarded as ertain ; it formed no part of the common tradition or (as we may assume) of St Peter's preaching.

53-65. Trial before the High Priest (Mt. xxvi. $57-68$, Le. xxii. $54^{\text {a }}, 63-71$; cl. Jo. xviii. 12-14, 19-24).
 They followed the traitor's advice ( $\boldsymbol{c}$. 44), and for greater security bound their Prisoner first (Jo. ढ̈ồ $\sigma a y$ aùròv к ai ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (\%apov). He was taken from
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Gethsemane direct to the house of the
 olkiav (Mc. infra, Jo. fis tì̀ aùdウ̀ $\nu$ ) тои $\mathfrak{a} \rho_{\chi \iota є \rho}(\omega)$, who that year was Caiaphas (Mt. Jo.); acc. to Jo., they led Him first to Annas, who as an ex-High Priest and father-in-law of Caiaphas (Jo.) was possibly still an inmate of the official residence (sec Westcott on Jo. xviii. 15). Annas ( High Priest A.d. 7--14; Joseph Caia-
 ó kal Kacáфas, Jos. ant. xviii. 2. 2) held the office A.D. $18-36$. At the house of the latter, notwithstanding the early hour, the whole hierarchy (Mc. тávtes of àp才ıepfis, cf Acts iv. 6) were assembled, and with them were members of the other orders which composed the Sanhedrin. Me. pictures the assembly as flocking together
 Notes, p. 40), Mt. represents them as already in session when Jesus arrived ( $\sigma v \nu \eta \chi^{\prime} \vartheta_{\eta \sigma a \nu)}$; all were probably on or near the spot, awaiting the result of Judas's mission. With ouvé $\rho \chi$ - av̀r $\omega_{\varphi}$ cf. Jo. xi. 33, and see WM., p. 269, and Field, l.c.
 Peter's flight (v. 50) was checked perhaps by the recollection of his boast, and he followed the party, but
 viii. 3 , xi. $13, \mathrm{x}$. 40). On arriving at the High Priest's house Peter passed into the av̀ $\lambda \dot{\prime}$ (Vg. atrium), i.e. the open court round which the chambers were built, and which was entered through a $\pi \rho o a v i \lambda t o \nu($ infra $\boldsymbol{c}$. 68) opening into the street; av̉dy is con-
stantly used in the lxx. for the or court of the Tabernacle (Exod. xxvii. 9) or Temple (3 Regn. vi. 36), but also in reference to a large private house ( 2 Regn. xvii. 18, 4 Regu. xx. 4,
 He gained admission through the influence of St John, who was an acquaintance of the High Priest (Jo. xviii. 15 ff.), and had entered with Jesus ( $\sigma v \nu \neq \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'I $\eta \sigma \partial \hat{v}, \mathrm{Jo}$ ). His purpose was to see how the trial
 while he took up his place with the members of the Levitical guard ( $\mu \in \tau \dot{\text { a }}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vin $\eta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, see note on $v .43$ ) who had been engaged in the arrest, and were warming themselves over a
 Jo.) in the court ( ${ }^{\prime} \nu \mu \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma$ $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ avi $\lambda \bar{j} s$ Lc.). Peter sat (Mt. Mc. Lc.) or stood (Jo.) among them, glad of the heat after his long exposure to the night air, but forgetful that the blaze lit up his features ( $\pi$ pòs $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\dot{c}}$ ф $\hat{\omega} s$, so Mc. Le.), and exposed him to the scrutiny of enemies; cf. Bengel: "saepe sub cura corporis neglegitur anima." The altitude of Jerusalem causes the nights to be cold; the mean anncal temperature is variously given as $66^{\circ}$ or $62^{\circ}$, and the two or three hours which precede sunrise are everywhere the coldest. For other Biblical references to the use of fires in Jerusalem for the purpose of giving warnth see Isa.
 $\bar{\epsilon} \theta є \rho \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \theta \eta \nu$ каіे єỉiov $\pi \hat{v} \rho$, Jer. xliii.

 For the form ${ }^{\eta} \nu$ avvка $\theta$. see WM., p. 438 .

 ＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}$ м $\alpha \rho \tau v \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha ı ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o ́ \nu, ~ к \alpha i ~ o u ́ \chi ~$




[^89]55．oi $8 \dot{\epsilon}$ àpXıєpeis кт入．］While Peter sits in the aj̀ $\lambda$ ，the Lord is standing in one of the chambers above （ 0.66 ）before His judges．It was a full（ $\left.\delta \lambda_{o \nu}\right)$ if informal meeting of the Sanhedrin（Edersheim，Life，ii．p．553）． For the word $\sigma u v \epsilon \delta \rho \iota o \nu$ see xiii． 9 ： here and in $x v$ ．i it is used in an ex－ clusive sense of the national council （cf．Acts iv．15，v．2r ff．，vi． 12 ff．，xxii． 30，xxiii．I ff．），the $\begin{gathered}\text { Dַנְחֶרְין of the }\end{gathered}$ Talmud，on the history and character of which see Schürer，II．i． 163 ff．As a first step Caiaphas appears to have examined Jesus as to His disciples and teaching（Jo．xviii．Igff．）．The day had begun to dawn（Lc．xxii．66），when the actual trial took place．Witnesses had meanwhile been brought together， but when they came to give their evidence，the result was disappointing， indeed practically nothing（ $\zeta_{\zeta}^{\prime} \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau о v \nu . .$. $\mu а р т и \rho i ́ a \nu . . . к а i ~ o v ̀ \chi ~ \eta u ̛ p \iota \sigma \kappa o v) ; ~ i t ~ f a i l e d ~$ to establish a capital offence，which was the purpose in view（eis rà Aava－

 all；＂sic omnia irreprehensibiliter et dixit et fecit ut nullam verisimili－ tudinem reprehensionis invenirent in eo＂（Origen）．On $\theta a y a r o u ̂ v ~ s e e ~ x i i i . ~$

situation at the moment to which the narrative refers．

56．то入入оi ү̀̀ $\rho$ Є＇廿еvðouартúpouv ${ }_{k r \lambda}$ ．］Of witnesses there was no lack， but their evidence was palpably false； they contradicted one another．＊Iras
 testimonia non erant，they did not correspond；see J．Lightfoot ad l． No two witnesses could be found to bear the joint testimony which was legally requisite to justify a capital sentence（Deut．xix．15）．The pro－ posal to render tros＇adequate＇ （Erasmus，Grotius）is unnecessary， and without support．On kai in this sequence see on $\boldsymbol{v} .49$ ．

 conditions seemed to be satisfied at last；the scene recalls 3 Regn．xx．

 The Lord had been heard to say that He would overthrow the Temple． Similarly Stephen was charged with having aftirned that He would do so


 tion arises how this idea impressed itself on the Jews．Did the words





 60 as to $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o \nu \mathrm{DMS} \mathrm{\Psi} \min ^{\mathrm{mu}} \mathrm{pr}$ eqt $\eta \Psi$
spoken at the first Passover of the Ministry (Jo. ii. I9) rankle in the minds of the hearers till they were used as evidence against Him three years afterwards? Or were they repeated in a fuller form during the teaching of the Holy Week? or did the witnesses base their testimony on a distorted report by Judas of words spoken to the Twelve on the Mount of Olives (xiii. 2, note) ? Mt. gives the testimony in the simpler form

 $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a L$. It has been suggested (Bruce) that this "comes nearest to what the witnesses actually said," and that Mc. "puts into their mouths, to a certain extent, the sense" afterwards attached to the saying of Christ. But this is not after Mc.'s manner; when he repeats a saying in a longer form, there is reason to regard the longer form as original. Some such saying as this is possibly behind the words of Stephen (Acts vii. 48 oủ $\chi$ ò zu $\psi \iota \sigma$ тos
 (Acts xvii. 24 ; cf. 2 Cor. v. i, Heb. ix. I1, 24). On the history and mean-
 Lightfoot's note on Col. ii. I1.

If the Lord said the words as they stand in Mc., He said what the event has proved to be true; His death destroyed the old order, and His resurrection created the new. In
 in wresting the logion from its context and giving it a meaning which His character and manner of life
proved to bo impossible; cf. Jerome in $M t$ : : "falsus testis est, qui non in eodem sensu dicta intellegit quo dicuntur." On $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \rho . ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ see ii. I and viii, 31, note; and with oikodo$\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ cf. Mt. xvi. 18 ; the Western $\dot{a}^{2} \nu a \sigma \tau \dot{\gamma} \sigma \omega$ recalls the $\epsilon \cdot \gamma \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega}$ of Jo. ii. ig.
59. кai ovidè oũтడs кт入.] Mt. omits this verse; in Mc. it looks back to v. 56, and cxpresses the disappointment felt by the Sanhedrists when even this last resource failed them. For oủdé oűtos cf. Isa. Iviii. 5, i Cor. xiv. 2I: ' not even under these circumstances' (oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ as in v. 3 , vi. 3 I , xii. 10 , xiii. 32 , xpi. 13). Mc. does not explain the nature of the aviбóтŋs; possibly the witnesses broke down under examination or contradicted one another as to matters of detail.
 Caiaphas rose, for greater solemuity, in the assembly (cf, iii. 3 cis tò $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \nu$ ), and endeavoured to extort a statement from Jesus, urging that His silence suggested that He had no answer to make and that the witness was true. The rendering of the Vg. and several of the O.L. authorities $(f f, q ;$ cf. $a, c, k$ ), which brings the two questions into one;"non respondes quicquam ad ea quae tibi obiciuntur ab his?", is, as Blass points out (Gr. p. 176 n .), impossible, since it would require d̀ $\pi о \kappa \rho$. $\pi \rho$ òs $\boldsymbol{a}$ (cf. Mt.
 which intensifies the negation; cf. iii. 27 and see Blass, Gr. p. 256. Ti=
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the value of this testimony? what construction is to be put upon it? Kaтарартиреi": frequent in the Orators and used by the lxx. (3 Regn. xx. (xxi.) Io, Job xp. 6, Prov. xxy. 18, Dan. vi. 24 (25)); in the N. T. only in the Synoptic accounts of the Passion (Mt. xxvi. $62=$ Mc. xiv. 60 ; Mt. xxvii. 13).
 refused the opportunity of either denying the charge, or justifying the words if they were His. This was not the time for serious instruction, nor were these the men to whom it could be profitably addressed; nor could He admit the authority of an assembly which was following up an unjust arrest by the employment of perjured witnesses. It was a kaupòs $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a} \nu$, and He kept silence ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \star \dot{\prime} \pi a$, imperf.) accordingly. Of. Origen in Mt.: "discimus ex hoc loco contemnere calumniantium et falsorum testium voces ut nec responsione nostra dignos cos habeamus, nec defendere nosmetipsos ubi non sunt convenientia quae dicuntur adversus nos." The Lord's silence before His judges afterwards recalled to the minds of the disciples Isa liii. 7; ef. Acts viii. 32 ff., I Pet. ii. 23. The classical à áeкрьva $\mu \eta \nu$ occurs in the Lxx. and N.T. but rarely (Lxx. ${ }^{5}$, Mt. ${ }^{1}$,
 where taking its place; àтexpová $\eta \nu$ itself was a substitute for the earlicr $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$, á $\pi \eta \mu \in \iota \dot{\psi} \dot{d} \mu \eta \nu$ (Rutherford, p. 186 f.).

тá入ıv ó àpXtєрєús кт入.] A sccond
and successful attempt to obtain an answer; to the direct question "Art Thou the Chisist?" solemenly put to

 $\sigma \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \chi \rho$.) by the ecclesiastical head of the nation, Jesus at once replies.


 'Thoul' as in xv. 2; cf Rom. xiv. 4, Jas. iv. r2; $\dot{\delta} \chi$ puctós, see notes on viii.
 the title is based on the doxology
 (cf. Schöttgen on Rom. ix. 5, Dalman, i. p. I63 f., Burkitt in J. Th. St., v. p. 453). The High Priest admits the Divine Sonship of Messiah ; the Christ was the Son of God, since He inherited the promises made to David (2 Sam. vii. 14, Ps. ii. 7, lxxxix. 26 f.). The alteruative to this inference is that Caiaphas is quoting words which were attributed to Jesus (cf. Mt. xxvii. 43) and demanding that He shonld either admit or deny them; but the form of the sentence favours the view that Caiaphas himself identifred the Messial with the Son. In the Psalms of Solomon the $\chi$ ouctoेs kúpoos is merely Son of David (cf. James and Ryle, p. liv. ff.); but Enoch cr. 2 and 4 Esdr. vii. 28 f., xir. 9 recognise His Divine Sonship, and the idea seems to have been familiar during the Lord's lifetime; see Jo. i. 49, xi. 27, Mt. xvi. 16 (cf. Mc. viii. 29). The Messianic Sonship was perhaps not regarded as specifically different






 $2^{\text {Pe }}$ a arm Or $\mid$ tov $\chi_{\text {utrupa }} S$ syr ${ }^{\text {vesh }}$ arm ${ }^{\text {cod }}$
from the Sonship of Israel; see the Rabbinical refereuces in Edersheim, Life, ii. pp. 716, 719, Weber, Jüd. Theol., p. I53, and on the whole subject consult Schürer, II. ii. p. 158 ff.; Hastings, $D . B$. iv. p. 570 ff.

 phrase vù єintas (Mt. xxvi. 25, 64), or
 Lc. xxiii. $3=$ Jo. xviii. 37), has since Erasmus usually been regarded as an idiomatic affirmative, on the strength of certain classical and Rabbinical parallels; but it has been shewn by Dr Thayer (in the Joumal of Bibl. Literature, xiii. p. 40 ff .) that the balance of ancient opinion is against this view, and that the words mean simply what they say, while the context, the tonc, and the circumstances must in each case determine the exact inference which is to be drawn from them. Mc. has seen in this sì citras a direct affirmation, and interprets it accordingly; lut it is possible that the Lord purposely preferred the vagucr form ; cf. Origen in Mt. (cited by Thayer): "quia non erat dignus princeps ille sacerdotum Christi doctrina, propterea non eum docet, nec dicit quia Ego sum, sed verbum oris eius accipiens in redargutionem ipsius convertit dicens Tu dixisti, ut eo modo videretur argui non doceri"

каì oै $\psi \in \sigma \theta \in \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \nu$ vióv кт入.] The words point to Dasl vii, 13 Th. ìoò $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$

 (cf. xiii. 26, note), and Ps. cix. (cx.) I,

кátov éк $\delta \in \xi \in t \omega ̄ \nu$ pou. Both passages seem to have been regarded by the Jews as Mcssianic (cf. xii. 36, note, and for Dan. l.c. see Edersheim, Life, ii. p. 733 f .), and to ciaim that they would be fulfilled in Himself was equivalent to an assertion of His Messiahship. But the words of Jesus are also a solemn warning that His position and that of His judges would one day be reversed, and a final but ineffectual summons to repentance





 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{2}$ єis катáкрıбtv. Mt. prefixes
 $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ : the vision of the Son of Man sitting on the Right Hand of the

 technically used for God, cf. Thpht.,
 and see Dalman, Worte, i. p. 164 f.) began from the year of the Crucifixion (cf. Acts ii. 33 f., vii. 55, Rom. viii. 34, IIeb. i. 3 f., I Pet iii. 22, Apoc. ii. 21, xii. 5, 'Me.' xvi. 19), and is to be followed in duc course by the vision which all must see of His Return (A poc. i. 7). The Jewish leaders by their rejection of His Messiahship secured His exaltation (Phil. ii. 9) and their own ultimate confusion.
 This old sigu of mourning or horror is mentioned first in Gen. xxxyii. 29 ;




 íárıa (cf. Mt., and so more than forty times in the Lxx.), but roves xifunas occurs in Judith xiv. 19, Ep. Jer. 3r, 2 Macc. iv. 38 , and is strictly accurate in the present case: of. Maimonides ap. Buxtorf: "laceratio non fit in interula seu indusio linteo nee in pallio exteriori ; in reliquis vestibus... omnibus fit." What was originally a natural act of passionate grief is reduced in the Talmud to minute and stringent rules: "laceratio fit stando ( $\boldsymbol{v}$. 60 ), a collo anterius non posterius, non ad latus neque ad fimbrias...longitudo rupturae palmus est." The law forbade the High Priest to rend his garment in private troubles (Lev. x. 6 , xxi. 10), but whea acting as a judge, he was required by custom to express in this way his horror of any blasphemy uttered in lis presence (cf. J. Lightfoot on Mt.). On the form diapígas see WH., Notes, p. 163, WSchm., p. 56; on रevêves pl., see vi. 9, note.
 relief of the embarrassed judge is manifest. If trustworthy evidence was not forthcoming, the necessity for it had now been superseded; the Prisonerhadincriminated Himself. On хрєíà ёұєьу тьдós see ii. 17 , xi. 3 , notes. 64. 方кои́бatє $\uparrow \hat{\eta} s \beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a s$ ] WH. places a mark of interrogation after $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi$. , but perhaps unnecessarily; cf.
 gen. ret after akovery is on the whole less usual than the acc., but cf. Le. xv. 25, Acts vii. 34 ; in Acts xxii. 1 both person and thing are in the gen.
 gen. is perhaps more realistic than
the acc (cf. Buttwam, Gr. p. I44 f.). On $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta_{\mu i a}$ see iii. 28 , vii, 22 , notes. The blasphemy in this case is the claim to Messianic honours and powers, which is assumed to be groundless. ri upiv фaiveral;] 'What is your

 The formula as prescribed in Sanhedrin iii. 7 (see Edersheim, Life ii. p. 561 note) is ${ }^{2}$, answer is either (for life) or למיתה (for death) as the case may be. On this occasion the conclusion was foregone; no one proposed to test the claim of Jesus before condemning it as blasphemous; all condemned Him to be worthy of death. Kaтє́кр, aùтò光yo cumstances more exact than katé-
 not pass a capital sentence (see on xv.
 Death was the legal penalty of blasphemy (Lev. xxiv. 16, i Kings xxi. lo ff.), and stoning the manner of execation in such cases (i K. l.c., Jo. x. 30 ff ., Acts vii. 55 ff ). חávés, i.e. all who were present ( $\pi$ ávras $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ -
 Victor) ; those who, like Joseph (Le. xxiii. 5I) and Nicodemus (Jo, vii. 50 ff ., were opposed to the whole plot against Jesus would not have been summoned to this meeting.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Mt. abridges: ті́tє évétтtvaav
 covering of the Lord's Face; Lc., who retains the latter particular, substitutes












of x .34 includes both indignities （ $\epsilon \mu \pi a i \xi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ каì $\epsilon \mu \pi \tau v ́ \sigma o v \sigma \nu)$ ）among those which Jesus would receive at the hands of the Gentiles，and it was fulfilled by the Procurator＇s soldiers （xv．19，20）；but certain of the Sanhe－ drists anticipated this pagan outrage． In Ev．Petr． 4 the Jews are unjustly charged with the subsequent mockery：


 тais $\delta$ ै $\psi \epsilon \sigma$ ．See the remarkable paral－ lel cited by Wetstein from Seneca de consol．13：＂ducebatur Athenis ad supplicium Aristides，cui quisquis oc－ currerat deiciebat oculos et ingemis－ cebat．．．tanquam in ipsam iustitiam animadverteutes；inventus est tamen qui in faciem eius inspucret．＂＇$E \mu$－ Trúєı conspuere is a late equivalent in the lxx．（Num．xii．I4，Deut．xxy． 9）and N．T．of the Attic кatantúsı； ef．Rutherford，N．Phryn．，p．66．Пєрt－ ка入и́тттєц（Exod．xxviii．20， 3 Regn． vii．17）：with reference，perhaps，to the Roman practice of covering the heads of the condemned（Cic．pro Rabir．（ed．Heitland）iv． 13 ＂ i lictor， ．．．caput obnulito，arbori infelici sus－ pendito＂；ib．v．I6＂obductio capitis et nomeu ipsum crucis absit＂），as well as for the purpose of concealing from Him the persons of His tormentors．

каі̀ кодафiそॄu avíón кт入．］So Mt．； Le．סє́povtes（cf．Mc．xii．3，xiii．9）．

Ko入aфiگєcv is specific ：the blows were inflicted with the fist（kó入aфos，Att． кóvOUvえos；cf．Ter．Adelph．ii．2． 36 ＂colaphis tuber est totum caput＂）． Профض＇тєugov as it stands alone in Mc．is scarcely intelligible ；Mt．gives a cluc to its meaning（ $\pi \rho \circ \phi . \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ ，
 Thy supernatural powers，Messiah，to detect the offender．＇Our Lord was not the first prophet in Isracl who had been smitten on the face；ef， r Kings xxii．24，Mic．y．I．On the Jewish conception of Messiah as a Prophet see Stanton，J．and Chr． Messiah，p． 126 ff．，and cf．vi．4，note．
 tinguishes this class of offenders（oi ס̀́ é $\rho a ́ \pi \iota \sigma a \nu)$ ，but without identifying them．They were the members of the Temple guard who had effected the arrest（o．43，note），and were still in charge of their Prisoner（cf．Le．of ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}, \boldsymbol{y}$－ ठoes of avyézovtes aùtáv）．Embolden－ ed by the conduct of their superiors， they added their own form of insult． For $\dot{\rho} a \pi i \zeta \epsilon c \nu, ~ \dot{\rho} a ́ \pi \iota \sigma \mu a$ sce Lobeck， Phryn．，p．175，and Rutherford＇s im－ portant discussion（ $N$ ．Phryn．，p． 257 ff．）；the words are used in reference to blows delivered by a stick（ $\rho a \pi i s$ ）， or by the palm of the open hand；in the latter case the Attic form was $\epsilon \pi i$ кóp $\rho \eta=\pi a \tau a ́ \xi a$, ，but later writers，be－ ginning with Plutarch，use $\epsilon \pi i \boldsymbol{k}$ ．$\dot{\rho} a \pi i-$ Kev．In two at least of the three ixx．

# $67 \mu i ́ \alpha ~ т \omega \bar{\nu} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ тои $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \omega \mathrm{s},{ }^{67} \kappa \alpha i$ íठойб $\alpha$ то̀ $\nu$ <br>  

  кає $2^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$

instances of $\rho a \pi i \zeta \epsilon c u$, it refers to a blow on the face by the hand of another person (I Esdr. iv. 30, Hos. xi. 4), and pátıoдa is used in the same sense
 єis jamiopara. The Yg. adopts this meaning here (alapis eum caedebant); the English versions vary (Wycliffe, "beeten him with strokis or boffatis"; Tindale, Cranmer, "boffeted him on the face"; Geneva, "smote him with their rods of office"; K.V. offers the alternative "blows of their hands" (text), "strokes of rods" (marg.). Cf. Field, Notes, p. 105 (on Jo. xviii. 22).
 has been changed in many sccondary uncials and cursives into $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda \lambda o v$ or ${ }_{\xi} \beta a \lambda o \nu$ (see app. crit.) ; the confusion of $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ and $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu$ is one of the commonest in mss. Field (Notes, p. 4o) supports the latter reading by arguments which deserve considera-
 ßavov, cf. Nestle, T. C. p. 266), supported as it is by the great majority of the older and better authorities, claims preference; and it finds a parallel in a papyrus of the first century which has the phrase noyơv-入ots Xaßeiv tova (Blass, Gr. p. II8). Moreover, 'they caught Hinl with blows' is more realistic than 'they struck Him,' and therefore more true to Mc.'s usual manner. Cf. Origen in Mt.: " et nunc qui iniuriant unum aliquem de ecclesia et faciunt ei haec, in faciem exspuunt Christi, et Christum colaphis caedentes castigant et pugnis."

66-72. Petler denies the Master thrice (Mt. xxvi. 69-75, Lc. sxii. 56 -62, Jo. xviii. 17, 25-27).

66, 67. övтus той П. ка́тш èv тй $a \ddot{\lambda} \hat{\eta} k \tau \lambda$.] The story of Peter's adyenture in the court of the High Priest's official residence (cf. $v .54$, note), which had been interrupted by the account of the trial, is now re-
 outside the council chamber, and below it, in the open area beneath the room where the Sanhedrin had met, and he sat there ( $c .54$ ) by the charcoal fire. While he is there a servant maid ( $\mu i a \operatorname{\pi aı\delta i\sigma \kappa \eta ,~Mt.,~\pi .~\tau ıs,~Le.),~}$ one of the High Priest's domestics (Mc.), comes to the fire ( ${ }^{(5 \rho} \rho_{\chi \epsilon \tau a t}$ ); she notices Peter sitting in the firelight
 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \hat{s}: ~ c f . ~ v . ~ 54), ~ a n d ~$ after gazing at him intently for a moment ( $\epsilon \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \dot{\psi} \psi \alpha a$ avi $\tau \hat{\varphi})$, she crosses to the place where he is sitting ( $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ aùr $\hat{c}, \mathrm{Mt}$.) and charges him with belunging to the party of Jesus. Haioiok $\eta$ is a slave-girl employed in domestic service (Gen. xii. I6, xvi. Iff., Lc. xii. 45 , Acts xii. I3, xvi, 16), the female equivalent of mais in the sense of $\delta$ où $\lambda$ os (Ps. cxv. 7 (cxvi. I6), Eccl. ii. 7, Sap. ix. 5 , Esth. vii. $4=$ ה wider meaning ( $=\kappa o ́ \rho \eta, v \in \hat{a} \nu \iota s$ ) disappears in Biblical Gk., see Lightfoot on Gal. iv. 22. For $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ cf. viii. 25, x. 21, 27, notes. The first glance revealed the presence of a stranger; closer attention enabled her to recognise Peter. St John tells us why-she was the portress who at his desire had
 Acts xii. 13). For Na乡apqvós, the less common form which Mc. uniformly adopts, see i. 24, note. The order rov̂ Nă̧apqŋoû...roû 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ suits an excited,
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 $r^{20}$ e $\left.\mathrm{syr}^{\mathrm{sin}} \mathrm{me}\right)$
hurried, utterance; 'that Nazarene... Jesus.' 'Нєө $\quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тồ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ gives an exact description of Peter's relation to the Lord (iii. I4, cf. Acts iv. 13); on ${ }^{7} \sigma \theta a$ see WM., p. gb. All the Evangelists give the words of the matoi ok\%, but with much variation (Mt. $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{v}$ गु $\sigma \theta a \mu \epsilon \tau$ à 'I. тô̂ $\Gamma a \lambda \epsilon \iota \lambda a i o v$, Le.

 тоv;).
 Mad Peter been called to go with the Master to judgement and death, probally he would gladly have done so. The trial came in an unexpected form, and discovered a weak point-his lack of moral courage (cf. Gal. ii. in ff.).
 Again the Gospels vary, Mt. being nearest to Mc., and Jo. most remote (Mt. oik oi da ri 入éy ts, Le. oùk oỉa
 $\mu a \partial \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a \dot{u} \tau o \hat{\nu}$ ), and again the words as given by Mc. seem specially appropriate; the eager repetition oüт $\boldsymbol{o i \delta} \delta a$ out $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$. betrays the effort to hide embarrassment, and the order of the words $\sigma \dot{v}$ ri $^{i} \lambda$. suggests unusual emodion (unless we punctuate with WII.
 oida and émiorapat differ as nov and scion, though the Vg. reverses the distinction here: ' I neither know nor understand what you are saying;' ic.

1 am neither conscious of the fact, nor is the statement intelligible to me. Or oi $\delta a$ may refer to the Master as in Lc. oùk aỉסa aùтóv. 'Eтiqтадая occurs here only in the Gospels, and rarely in the Epistles (Paul, Heb. ${ }^{1}$, Jas. ${ }^{1}$, Jude ${ }^{1}$ ), but is frequent in the Acts, where it appears in connexion and partial contrast with $\gamma \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (Acts xix. 15); oi da and $\dot{\epsilon \pi}$ ioraual appear together again in Jude io. Bias (Gr. p. 265) rejects out $\tau \epsilon \ldots$.. $\tilde{v}^{x} \tau \epsilon$ as inadmissible in the case of 'two perfectly synonymous' verbs, but the objection disappears when their meanings are seen to be distinct.
 Mt. $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{O} \nu \tau a \delta \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon i \xi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu a$. The $\pi v \lambda \omega \dot{v}$ is properly the gateway of a mansion (Gen. xiii. 19, Lc. xvi. 20, Acts xii. 13 f.), a temple (3 Regn. vi. 8), or a city ( 3 Regin. xvii. so, Apoc. xxi.
 is doubtless the vestibule by which access was gained to the aux $\eta$, and which was contiguous to the $\pi u \lambda \omega^{\prime} \nu$ Peter left the fire, and retreated into the comparative darkness of the vestibole, but only to fall again into the hands of his persecutor. Jo., who apparently connects the first denial with the moment of Peter's admission to the aud $\dot{\prime}$, places the second at the fire ( 0.25 ).










 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The portress (cf. v. 66, note), who has returned to her post, recognises and points Peter out to the idlers in the vestibule. Mt. ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$, another maid, not the portress; cf. Thpht.:




 in order to harmonise Mc. with Jo., suggests that the maid was at the fire, and that Peter, overhearing her remark, turned to defend himself: "rediens et rursus ad ignem stans resistebat negando verbis eorum." Aug. adds: "liquido...colligitur collatis de hac re omnibus cvangelistarum testimoniis non ante ianuam secundo Petrum negasse sed intra in atrio ad ignem; Matthacum autem et Marcum ... regressum eius brevitatis causa tacuisse." He does not feel the difficulty of reconciling Mt.'s ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ with Mc.'s $\dot{\eta} \pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \times \eta$, which in his Latin codex is simply ancilla; and Le.'s ${ }^{\pi}$ tefos is taken to be one of the bystanders who joins in the attack on Peter. The last supposition, which is supported by Jo.'s eimov, is not improbahle; the loquacity of the maid would naturally communicate itself to some of the company. Mc.'s account places Peter's conduct in the least favourable light; if the remark came only from the maid to whom he had alrcady replied, and was ad-
dressed to those about her and not to the Apostle, his second denial was without excuse.
70. ó ठ̀̀ $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \eta ु \rho \nu \epsilon i \tau o] ~ M t . ~ a d d s ~$
 words of the denial: oúc oida rò $\nu$ ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \nu$ (Lc. Jo. ойк єiцi). Thpht.:

 бодаи ка่ $\boldsymbol{\jmath}$.


 interval Peter's Galilean accent had attracted attention and confirmed the suspicions of the bystanders. At length they accosted Peter ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda$ Aóves, Mt.), or, according to Lc., one of them affirmed (sucuvpi乌tro) in his presence that he was assuredly what he had denied himself to be. Kaì jà $\rho$ (Vg. nam $e L_{\text {, }}$, of. Ellicott on 2 Thess. iii. Io) Fancidaîos cî, Mc. (Le.), 'for, besides other considerations, thou art fron Galilee'; Mt. кai yà $\rho \dot{\eta}$
 which these words assume in some mss. of Mc. see the app. crit. On the dialectic peculiarities of Galilean A ramaic comp. Neubauer, géogr. $d u$ Talmud, p. I84f., Dialects of Palestine in Stud. Bibl. i. p. 49 ff.; Dalman, Gr. p. 4 f., 3I ff., 42 ff., Worte, i. p. 64, and the older literature mentioned by Schürer II. i. p. ro, note; and for an earlier reference to local differenees of pronunciation in Palestine see Jud. xii. 8. Jo., whose acqusintance with






#### Abstract

      arm ${ }^{\text {cold }}$ aөth $\mid$ om orl...a a a $\alpha \nu \eta \sigma \eta D_{142}{ }^{*}$ a


the High Priest gave him special opportunities of knowing the fact, states that at this crisis a slave of Caiaphas who was a relative of Malchus, clinched the charge with


 Peter, growing desperate as he sees the meshes closing round him, invokes an anathema ou himself if his denials are false. 'Aví $\theta \mu a$, àvat $\theta \mu a \tau i \xi_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ are Lxx. equivalents for Num. xviii. 14, xxi. 3f., Deut. xiii. 15 (16) ff. ; an $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \mu a$ (a late collateral form of $\dot{\lambda} \nu \dot{\nu} \hat{\theta} \eta \eta \mu a$ as $\epsilon \tilde{v} \rho \varepsilon \mu a$ of $\epsilon \bar{v} p \eta \mu a$, cf. H. H. A. Kennedy, Sources, p. II7, and SH. on Rom. ix. 3) is an object devoted to destruction; see the discussion in Driver's Deuteronomy, p. 98 f . and the interesting illustration which he cites from the Moabite stone, and cf. Lightfoot on Gal. i. 8, 9. The practice of laying oneself under a conditional anathema is exemplified in Acts xxiii. 12 (à $\nu \in \theta \in \mu a ́ r ı \sigma a \nu$ éavrovis). In Mt., Mc., the verb is used absolutely; ef. Vg. coepit anathematizare, Kuglish versions from Wyclife onwards, "he began to curse"; but the usage of the words shews that the imprecation was directed against himself. Mt. employs the stronger
 3). On the alteruative forms opuviva, ${ }_{\partial \mu \nu v ं \epsilon t}$ (Mt.), see WH., Notes, p. 168 f.,

WSchm. p. 123, Blass, Gr. p. 47 f.
 the indirect denial of the Lord has grown into the direct: 'I am not one of His' into 'I know Him not'; the former, indeed, involved the latter: "negavit ipsum cum se negavit eius


 $\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega$.


 aủroû), for the second time a cock crew.' 'Ек ঠevtépou (Jos. v. 2, Mt. xxvi. 42, Jo. ix. 24, Acts x. 15, Heb. ix. 28 , a non-classical phrase $=(\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\circ})$ Sétrepov, cf. Blass on Acts, l.c.) is here peculiar to Mc., corresponding to $\delta i s$ in $c$. 30 and below in this verse ( $72^{\text {b }}$ ). On the textual history of the passage see WH., Intr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 243,330 ,
 v. 30, note.

 tov̂ $\dot{\rho}$. The second cockerowing recalled to Peter's mind the forgotten saying. Mc., according to the best text (see $v .68, a p p$. crit.), has not referred to an earlier cockcrowing; Peter may not have noticed the first, but from the lapse of time he would recognise that this was the secondthe údeкторофшvia of the third watch
 $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega \dot{\prime}$ е́к $\lambda \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu$.



 $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau 0($ vel $\tau \omega) \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{E}) \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{X} \Gamma \Delta \Pi \Sigma \min ^{\text {feseomn }} \mid \pi \kappa \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{AB}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{NX} \Gamma \Delta I I \Sigma \Psi$ minpt (affkq) Fg gyrr arm go (aeth) Or] crotuaбaures NCL
(xiii. 35). For $\hat{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a$ of a particular saying of Jesus cf. ix. 32, Lc. ii. 50 , Jo. v. 47. It is instructive to note that in quoting the saying Mc. does not quite verbally reproduce his own report of it (v. 30). On à a ${ }^{2} \mu \nu \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \kappa \in \sigma \theta a i ́ t i$ see WM., p. 256, Blass, $\operatorname{Gr}$. p. IO2.

 the second century onwards Mc.'s $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \omega \nu$ has been felt to be a difficulty. (a) The 'Western' text substitutes kal ${ }^{\prime \prime} p \xi a \tau a \quad \kappa \lambda a \dot{L} \epsilon L \nu$ (Vg. et coepit fere), cf. Thpht., Euth., émıs.


 $\mu \in \nu=\Omega \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кє $\phi a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ is supported with great learning by Dr Field (Notes, p. 4 Iff .), but he fails to produce any instance in which $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used in this sense without í $\mu$ átoo (cf. e.g.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \beta a \lambda \epsilon i s ~ \sigma \epsilon a v \tau \hat{\omega})$ or some explanatory word. (c) There is more to be said for the interpretation adopted by the A.V. and R.V. (text): "when be thought thereon." Wetstein cites from Galen
 and the analogy of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \chi \iota \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, èveXeir (vi. г9) affords some justification for understanding $\epsilon_{\pi} \pi \beta \in \lambda \hat{\beta}^{\nu} \nu$ in this sense. (d) The word is used by late writers intransitively in such phrases
 meaning sermonem excipiens, and Mc. may have employed it here in some such sense; Peter's weeping was his answer to the Lord's words
recalled to his memory by the second cockcrowing. On the whole it must be confessed that the word remains one of the unsolved enigmas of Mc.'s rocabulary; but of current interpretations the choice seems to lie between (c) and ( $d$ ). "Eкגautv, the weeping continued some while; Mt.'s and Lc.'s échavafy, even with the added тıкр $\bar{s}$, is less suggestive.
XV. i-l 5 . The Trial before the Procuhator (Mt. xxvii. I-26, Le. xxiii. $\mathrm{I}-3,18-25$, Jo. xviii. 28-40, хіх. 4-16).
I. eìvis $\pi \rho \omega i]$ At daybreak, as soon as it was morning; Mt. $\pi \rho \omega i ́ a s$
 For ev'Oús in this sense ef. i. io, 21 , 23. The precise meaning of $\pi \rho \omega$ i must be determined by the context; in this case, since the second cockcrowing was past and the Orucifixion followed at the third hour (o. 25), it is natural to understand the hour of daybreak-from 5 to 6 am .
 consilium facientes, R.V. "held a consultation." Mommsen (cited by Deissmann, B. St. p. 238) shews that the late and rare word $\sigma v \mu \beta o v i n o \nu$ was used as a technical term to represent the Latin consilium; cf. Plut.
 Boùncov кадойбь. Deissmann quotes from an Egyptian inscription of the

 lical Greek the word occurs only in
 (NV, ovvéס $\mathrm{N}_{10 \nu}$ A), Mt. xii. 14, xxii. 15 ,



[^90]xxvii. 1,7 , xxviii. 12 , Mc. iii. 6 , xy. 1 , Acts Xxy. 12 ; in the first and last of these passages (see Blass on Acts l.c.) it answers to concilium, but in the rest the abstract sense is to be preferred. Mc.'s лоьєiv $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$. is equivalent to Mt.'s $\lambda a \beta \varepsilon i \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu \beta$. This seems not to have been realised by the ( 3 Alexandrian) correctors, who have changed
 crit.).

The consultation was held between the hierarchy on the one hand, and the rest of the Sanhedrin on the other ( $\mu \in \tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho$. каі̀ $\gamma p$.; contrast xiv. 53) ; the priesthood led by Caiaphas now openly take the lead, as they have done in fact since the affair of the Temple market. The purpose of their deliberations would be to resolve on a way of giving effect to the judgement of the Sanhedrin (xiv. 64) ; cf. Mt. кarà тoû 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}$ wate
 סpıov. Mt. тávess: the three orders were agreed, the result was practically the act of the whole Sanhedrin, though there were individuals who held aloof from the proceedings (Lc. xxiii. 5 1, Jo. xix. 39 , ef. vii. 50f.). On the irregular and informal character of the whole trial see Edershoim, Life, i. . p. 553 ff.
 Sanhedrists' resolve was immediately followed by action. There was no time to be lost; the Feast had begun (cf. xiv. 2), and the multitudes would presently assemble; they must place the Lord in the hands of the Procurator before a rescue could be attempted. $\Delta \dot{j} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ Mt., Mc. He had been bound on His arrest (Jo. xpiii. 12), but the manacles or cords
had probably been removed while Ho was in the High Priest's house ; now that the streets had to be traversed again, they were replaced. Origen : 'Christus... volens tradidit se ad rincula, seponens in se divinitatis
 which overtook these betrayers was

 Anбav ố à̀ $\chi^{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \sigma_{i}^{(T h p h t .) . ~ \amalg є ı \lambda a ́ \tau \varphi: ~ M t . ~ a d d s ~}$ $\tau \bar{\varphi} \dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (cf. Tac. ann. xv. 44), Jo. substitutes cis rò $\pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega \rho เ o \nu$ (cf. $v .16$ infra).

Since the fall of Archelaus in A.D. 6 Judaea had been under a procurator (eniтpotos) who governed it subject to the supervision of the legalus of Syria; ef. Jos. ant. xvii. 13. 5, xviii. I. I, B. J. ii. 8. I, and compare Marquardt, Staatsverwaltung, i. p. 250 ff., Schürer I. ii. p. 44 ff. Pontius Pilatus-Mc.usesonly the cognomen(Le. iii. 1, Acts iv. 27, 1 Tim. vi. 13; cf. Tac. ann. xv. 44 "Christus Tiberio imperitante per procuratorem Pontium Pilatum supplicio adfectus erat"), the fifth Procurator, eutered upon his office in a.d. 25-6, and held it for ten years. A fortunate accident enables us to compare with the portrait which the Gospels draw of this man the estimates formed by Josephus and Philo; cf. Jos. ant. xviii. passim, B. J. ii. 9. 2 ff. Phil. de leg. 38. The latier cites a letter of Agrippa I. in which Pilate is described as $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ фúvov
 גıktos, and a terrible picture is drawn of the blots upon his official life, tas
 aikiás, tàs è ín $\eta$ fías, toùs ákpítous кai







[^91] ture of his administration is well illus－ trated by Lc．xiii．I．But the picture is perhaps overdrawn；see Renan， Fie，p． 413 ff ．The Pilate of the Gospels is not altogether wanting in the sense of justice which charac－ terised the better class of Roman officials；and if he is compared with the Jewish leaders，the result is dis－ tinctly in his favour．

The Procurator resided at Caesarea by the sea（Acts xxiii． 23 ff．，Jos．B．J． ii．9．2），but he spent the Paschal week in Jerusalem，where his presence might be needed in case of an out－ break of fanaticism ；cf．Jos．B．J．iit 14．8，15．5．As to the quarters he occupied at Jerusalem see xv．I6，note．
 The preliminaries are related by Jo． The Sanhedrists are too punctilious to enter the pagan Procurator＇s louse during the Paschal season，and the interview takes place outside．He asks the nature of the charge，and gathers from their answer that the Prisoner is accused of a capital of－ fence．Then he calls Jesus into the praetorium；the Lord stands before him（Mt．），and the Procurator en－ quires，$\Sigma \dot{v} \in \epsilon_{i} \kappa_{\kappa \tau \lambda}$ ．（Mt．Mc．Lc．Jo．）． Many causes may have cooperated to suggest this question－the tradition of the coming of the Magi（Mt．ii． iff．），the report of the Lord＇s preach－
ing concerning the Kingdom of GoD， the cries raised at the Triumphal Entry；or it may refer simply to His claim of Messiahship，for ó $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon{ }^{\prime} s$ т $\omega \nu$＇Iovoaicy is merely $\delta$ xpıotós interprcted from the stand－ point of a Roman official．According to Lc．the Priests had already accused Jesus of sedition（ク＂ $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ ауто катクуорєї


 words are possibly intended to express at the outset the substance of the charge upon which He was tried before the Procurator．On oi＇Iou－ סaioc see vii．3，note；the term is appropriate on the lips of an alien；to the Priests and Scribes the Christ is ó Bacı入єùs＇I $\sigma \rho a \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda$（infra，0．32）． ＂The form of the sentence（ $\sigma \dot{v}, \epsilon_{i} . .$. ） suggests a feeling of surprise in the questioner＂（Westcott）；sce however xiv．6r，Lc．vii．ig f．，where the pronoun appears merely to emphasise the identity ：＇art thou the person．．．？＇
 answer is given more filly by $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ ．（ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 the whole conversation between Jesus and Pilate．$\Sigma \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon$＇yess neither affirms nor denies（cf．xiv．62，note；Thpht．：
 leaves the matter to Pilate＇s judge－ ment（see，however，Blass，Gr．p．260）． But according to Jo．，the Lord pro－

$\mathrm{arm}^{\text {vid }} 6 \tau \eta \nu$ єopт. D
ceeded to reveal the sense in which He


 The contrast between His reply to Pilate and that to Caiaphas (xiv. 62) is of great interest; in dealing with Pilate He appeals to conscience only, and makes no reference to the Messianic hopes raised by the O.T.

3-5. каіे катךүópovy av̀rồ кт入.] Pilate and Jesus are now again standing outside the Praetorium (cf. Jo. xviii. 38 ); the Priests and other members of the Sanhedrin (Mt. каi $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu)$ are still there, and the crowd has begun to assemble (Lc. kai roùs है $\chi \lambda o v s$ ). Pilate, satisficd of the innocence of Jesus, announces, Ovi̊̀̀v
 (Le., cf. Jo.). He is answered by a storm of fresh accusations ( $\pi \Delta \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ), which are audaciously contrary to fact (cf. Lc. xxiii. 2, 5). The Lord prescrves a strict silence, as He had done when false witnesses gave contradictory evidence before Caiaphas (xiv. 60, 61, notes). To Pilate this self restraint was incomprehensible; he invited answers from the Prisoner, and, when He remained silent, expressed great astonishment ( $\theta$ avpá-


 the charges were many-nóra answers to mod $\dot{\alpha}, v .3$, -and to not one of them did the Lord vouchsafe a reply. Ilis reserve was the more remarkable, because He had answered Pilate before; but now His lips were sealed (oủкє่ть oùò̀̀ à àєкрi ${ }^{\prime} \eta$, Vg. amplius nihil respondit). Cf. Origen: "nec enim erat dignum respondere ut S. M. ${ }^{2}$
dubitanti ntrum debeat adversus accusationes eorum falsas respondere"; see also his remarks in c. Cels. praef. (ad init.). Ambrose: "bene tacet qui defensione non indiget."
 feast' = at the Passover, Vg. per diem festum, Wyclife, "by a solemne day"; cf. Ps. xciv. (xcv.) 8 кatà
 cott's note on Heb. iii. 8: кađà गì غ́poty occurs in Jos. ant. xx. 9. 3 . The alternative rendering (Fritzsche)
 évauróv) is perhaps less probable, notwithstanding the absence of the article; the Passover was so clearly in view that coprý required no definition. Of the custom (Mt. $\epsilon^{i} \omega \theta_{\epsilon \epsilon} \dot{\delta} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$, Jo. $\left.\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma v \nu \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon \in a} \dot{\tau} \mu \hat{i} \nu\right)$ there seems to be no other evidence than that which the Gospels furnish. Mc.'s àme入vev (cf. éroict, v. 8) does not compel us to look further back than Pilate's own term of office for the origin of the custom; a precedent of the kind would ripen into a claim almost at once. The commentators find a partial parallel in Livy's account of the lectisternium ( Y . 13 "vinctis quoque demta in eos dies vincula")-a passage which shews at least that the practice was not foreign to Roman feeling. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \nu$ rap\#тоиิขтo, 'for whose lifo (or liberty) they begged.' חapareiofat is usually to deprecate censure or punishment,


 Aaveiv, or with an acc. of the person addressed, Esth. vii. 7 тapprêiro т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ Ba ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma a \nu$. Here it is followed by au acc. of the object desired (WM.,
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p. 284), like the uncompounded verb; cf. Le. xxiii. 25 ô $\boldsymbol{y}$ groûpro, Acts iii. 14
 Mt's ${ }_{\eta} \theta_{c} \lambda_{0 y}$ colours the fact by suggresting that the request implied a choice. The alternative reading ofrnep ìroúvto (sec app.crit.) is defended by Field, Notes, p. 43, cf. Burgon-Miller, Causes, p. 32. "Oatє $\rho$ occurs nowhere else in the N.T. (Blass, Gr. p. 36, who on grammatical grounds prefers (p. 207) the reading of D ).
 The form of the sentence is remarkable, when it is compared with the notices of Barabbas in the other Gospels: "there was the man known
 B. as one might have here expected. When the Marcan tradition was being formed the name of Barabbas was stillperhaps remembered at J erusalem as that of a once formidable person (Mt. ס. é $\pi(\sigma \eta \mu o v$ ). The name was probably secondary, a surname, or, as the form suggests, a patronymic (for ${ }_{\delta} \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu$ os in this connexion see Mt. i. 16, ix. 9 ; on the other hand cf. Le. xxii. 47, Jo. ix. II, where the personal name follows); the man was commonly called א very usual name in the Talmudists" (J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvii. 16) and borne by two Rabbis, R. Samuel Bar Abba, and R. Nathan Bar Abba. According to Jcrome in Mt., "in evangelio quod scribitur iuxta Hebraeos filius magistri eorum interpretatur"; cf. the schol. in cod. S (cited by Tischendorf on Mt. xxvii. 17) $\delta$

uiós. The conclusion has been drawn that another tradition gave the name as Bar-Rabba (Renan, Vie, p. 419, cf. liilgenfeld, ec. sec. Hebr. etc., p. 28, WH., Notes, p. 20, Resch, p. 339, Nestle, T. C. p. 259). According to some, apparently most, of the copies of Mt. known to Origen (in Mt. l.c.), the personal name of Bar-Abba was the same as our Lord's, and the reading 'Iqoôv tòv Bapaßßâv survives in four cursive mss. of Mt., and in the Sinaitic Syriac and the Armenian versions of Mt.; but it probably originated in an early error (see WH. l.c. and the supplementary note in WH. ${ }^{2}$ p. 144). Nothing is actually known of this Bar-Abba beyond the facts mentioned in the Gospels. He was a $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{J}_{0}.\right)$ who had been engaged with others in a notable disturbance of the peace within the city (Lc. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \quad \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ) in which blood had been shed, and who was now in custody with his comrades on the double clarge of faction and murder ( $\delta$ à̀ a ááriv kaì фóvoz, Lc.). Eráos is cither 'standing,' 'posture' (uxx., Heb. ix. 8), or 'faction,' 'disturbance' (Acts xv. 2, xix. 40, xxiii. 7, Io, xxiv. 5) ; the latter meaning exclusively appears in aractá̧ecv(Judith vii. 15,2 Macc. iv. 30 , xiv. 6) and its derivative aтaczaoтi's. ETaбiaoti's (aт. $\lambda_{\kappa \gamma}$. in Biblical Gk.) occurs also in Josephus, but is non-classical; cf. Moeris: $\sigma$ тaбtetìs 'Atrikés, atagt-
 oforcs) characterises the men: they were such desperate characters that they lad gone to the length of












murder. Пєто七ŋ́кєєтау: ef. $\delta \in \delta \dot{\omega} \kappa є \iota$ xiv. 44, тарабє $\delta \dot{\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma а \nu, ~ v . ~ 10 ; ~ s c e ~ W S c h m . ~}$ p. 99. For фóvov noctiv, facere homicidium, ef. Deut. xxii. 8.
 crowd, which had begun to assemble before the visit to Antipas ( $x .3$, note), now forced its way up to the headquarters of the Procurator (cf. Acts

 $\lambda a o \hat{u}$, and demanded the release of a prisoner according to Pilate's usual practice at the Passover $\left(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{o}^{i} \epsilon=\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \downarrow\right.$ $\pi о \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, of. à ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \lambda \nu \epsilon \nu, v .6$, note). Another tradition represents the Procurator as taking the initiative by reminding the crowd of the custom (Jo. xviii. 39 芴тьग
 Mc. alone suggests that he was influenced by their attitude and cries. 'Avaßop, proclivis which falls in readily with the context (cf. $v v .13,14$ ), but misses a feature in the story which is of some importance; the advance of the crowd was no less menacing than their shouts. 'Avaßvạv, àvaßīpat are liable to be confused in mss., see Fritzsche ad l., who refers to 2 Regn. xxiii. 9, 4 Regn. iii. 21, Hos. viii. 9.
 Pilate's proposal was an answer to the demands of the populace, who seem to have been animated by the
desire of claiming a right, rather than by any special goodwill towards Jesus. Possibly the majority consisted of citizens, and not of the Galileans who had welcomed their Prophet in the
 the construction see vi. 25, x. 36, notes. The full form of the question is given

 8aiov (Me., Jo.) is doubtless original ; the cynicism of the Roman finds pleasure iu connecting that title with this harmless dreamer, as he considers Jesus to bc.
 $k r \lambda$.] $\Lambda$ note belonging to the carliest tradition (Me., Mt.), added to explain Pilate's motive. From the first he was aware of the feeling which lay at the root of the Sanhedrists' animosity to Jesus, and this knowledge was partly intuitive, partly due to impressions lelt on Pilate by their conduct ( $\epsilon$ yivo$\left.\sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu, \mathrm{Mt} . \not \ddot{p}^{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon\right)$. The pretence of loyalty to the Emperor was too flimsy to deceive a man of the world, and be detected under this disguise the vulgar vice of envy. The Prophet of Gatilee had earned a reputation, and gained a hold upon the conscience of the nation which the priestly rulers at Jerusalem failed to secure, and His success explained their resentment. But the people were free from the











 syr ${ }^{\text {hel (mg) }}$ (arm)
prejudices of the hierarchy, and might be trusted to demand the release of Jesus, especially when the alternative was such as Pilate proposed. $\Delta i a ̀$ фӨо́но : cf. Sap. ii, 24, 3 Macc. vi. 7, Phil. i. is. On the pluperfect after ${ }_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma_{i} \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ see Bless, Gr. p. 200.
 ${ }_{0} \chi^{\lambda}{ }^{\text {av }} \kappa \pi \lambda$.] An interval followed during which the hierarchy brought their influence to bear upon a crowd already perhaps divided upon the personal question submitted to them. What arguments were used to lead them to prefer Barabbas ( $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ to ${ }^{2}$ B.) is matter for conjecture; if Barabbus was a Jerusalemite, and the crowd consisted largely of his follow-townsmen, an appeal may have been made to local prejudice; but there may have been also a lurking sympathy with the araotaotai, which the Sanhedrists knew how to evoke. They would pose as advocates of Barabbas rather than as enemies of Jesus; to obtain the release of the one was to condemn
 $\sigma \omega \sigma t \nu)$. With them were the elders (Mt.), who represented the people, and whose influence perhaps secured the triumph of the less popular Sadducean aristocracy. 'Avafeiter in the metaphorical sense (=àamєiӨєt, Hesych.), a word of the later Gk. which occurs again Lc. xxiii. 5 and is occasionally
used by Aq. and Symm., though not by the Lxx.
 Otis $\kappa 7 \lambda$.] After a space Pilate put the question again and received the answer "Barabbas" (Mt.). His next move was to test the popular feeling
 (deliberative subjunctive, cf. Burton, § 168 ), 'what in that case would you have me do with Him,' \&c. For the construction moteì $\tau \iota \nu a ́$ at $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ see Bless, Gr. p. 90; the more usual phrase is

 note on r. 9. Mt. has in both in-

 aưтóy] There was now no hesitation: again the Procurator was answered by it shout in which all joined (Mt.). Perhaps the crowd were nettled by Pilate's imputation ( ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\rho} \nu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda$.), perhaps they resented his desire to dietate their answer, and with the fickle cruelty of an irresponsible multitude they clamoured for the death of one whose release they had a few minutes before been disposed to demand ( $v .8$ ). Lc. represents the cry as repeated
 Etaípou ataúpou; cf. Jo. xix. 6, 15). Etauooiv in class. Gk. is 'to fence with a palisade,' àvactaupoū̀ being reserved from Herodotus downwards for the







#### Abstract

  


punishment of impaling；but orau－ $\rho o u v v$ is used in Esth．vii．9，viii． 13 for （cf．Deut．xxi．23，Gal．iii． 13 ）， and in the later sense by Polybius．
 Pilate，still reluctant，condescends to expostulate．Tí $\gamma$ à $\rho$ є́moiquєи какóv； Vg．quid enim mali fecit？where $\gamma$ à $\rho$ （WM．，p．559）looks back to oraú－ $\rho \omega \sigma o v$, and invites an explanation： ＇what evil has he donc？－for that there has been wrongdoing is implied in your demand for punishment．＇But a mob has no reasons to give beyond its own will，and the only answer is a louder and wilder clamour（ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega \bar{s}$ ，
 $\mu$ еүа́даıs）．
 Pilate＇s choice is made at last；his scruples，though quickencd by his wife＇s message（Mt．xxvii．19），are overruled by the immediate necossity of pacifying the mob．Bovincotac，a rare word in the Gospels（Mt．${ }^{2}, \mathrm{Mc} .{ }^{1}$ ， Le．${ }^{2}$, Jo．${ }^{1}$ ），implies more strongly than $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon c \nu$ the deliberate exercise of voli－ tion；see Lightfoot on Philem． 13.
 Latinism which occurs in Polybius， Appian，and Diogenes Laertius，and onco in the lxx．（Jer．xxxi．（xlviii）
 éroípoev；unless the passage should be punctuated oửi nò ix．aùrề；ov̉久 $\kappa т \lambda$.$) ；cf．Acts xvii． 9$ 入aßóvtes tò inayóy，with Blass＇s note．Either at this juncture or just before the final surrender（see next note）Pilate went
through the ceremony of washing his hands（Mt．xxvii．24，Ev．Petr．1，where see note）．
 Join＇s circumstantial account（xix． I－16）we can see the order of the events which followed．Pilate seems to have pronounced no formal sentence （see Westcott on Jo．xix．16；Le．＇s eтєкрицеи should probably be taken as expressing the substantial result of his decision），and ceven made a last effort to save Jesus by an appeal ad misericordiam．The scourging was perhaps intended to be a compro－
 átodíro．But the Procurator＇s ecce homo had no further effect than to olicit from the l＇riests the real
 A second private interview between Pilate and Jesus followed，and then another attempt on Pilate＇s part to escape from lis false position．It was frustrated by the menace＇Eà tovitov
 upon which Pilate finally gave way．
$\phi \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma a s]$＇When he had scourged Him＇：aor．of antecedent action，Burton § 134 ；cf．Vg．tradidit Iesum fagellis caesum．Фрaүє入入oìv， flagellare，a Latinism which has found its wayalso into Mt．；Jo．uses $\mu a \sigma \tau \nprec o \tilde{\nu} \nu$ ， Ev．Petr．$\mu a \sigma \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota . \quad \Phi \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta$ ，фра－ $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda 10 \nu(J o . i i .15), \phi \lambda a \gamma^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ are cited in the lexicons from late Greek wri－ ters；of $\phi \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \nu$ no example seems to have been found excepting in this context and in Christian writings（e．g．
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Er. Nic. 9, 16, Test. wiii. patr., Ben. 2). The punishment of scourging usually preceded crucifixion; cf. Jos. B.J. ii.
 $\rho \omega \sigma \in \nu:$ ib. infra, $\mu a \sigma \tau i \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma a i ́ t \in \pi \rho o ̀$


 $\mu a \sigma \tau \tau \gamma \omega \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau a \quad \gamma є \pi \rho$ о́тєрод, and for an earlier instance of this Roman barbarity see Liyy, xxxiii. $3^{6}$, "alios verberatos crucibus adfixit." It was inflicted with the horribile flagellum, reserved for slaves and condemned provincials (Cic. pro Rabir. 4 "Porcia lex virgas ab omnium civium corpore amovit; hic misericors flagella retulit"), a lash usually composed of leather thongs (contrast Jo. ii. 15) loaded at intervals with bone or metal (see the Class. Dictionaries s.v. flagram, and cf. Lipsius de crucec. 3). The sufferer was sometimes lashed to a column; see Lipsius, c. 4, and Westcott on Jo. xix. I.
 stage in the тapádo.ts, cf. xip. 1o, 44, xv. I , Io. The Lord is now delivered to the soldiers, whose business it is to execute the sentence (cf. oi orpaтьิิтat...тарадаßóvтеs, Mt.), or from another point of view to the Priests and people (Jo. xix. 16, 17, Et. Petr. 3), to whose will the soldiers readily gave effect. Cf. Thpht.: rò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega-$



16-20 $0^{\circ}$. The Lord is Mocked by the Phocurator's soldiers (Mt. xxvii. 27-31 ${ }^{1}$, Jo. xix 2-3).


 xxiii. II). They were members of the $\sigma \pi \epsilon i p a$ which was quartered in the Antouia (Acts xxi. 31 ; cf. supra
xiv. 43, note), and belonged to the auxilia (Marquardt, v. p. 388), who were of provincial birth-not Jews, since the Jews were exempt from the conscription, but other Palestinians and foreigners, serving under Roman orders and at the disposal of the Procurator (Schürer i. ii. p. 49 If.). The soldiers in question were probably the conturion (infrav. 39 ff .) and the handful of men sent with him to carry out the sentence. After the scourging, which had been inflicted outside, they brought the Lord 'within the court which is (known as) Praetorium' ( ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aù $\bar{\eta} s$ of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi, \nu \pi \rho$. - on the gender of the relative see WM., p. 206-Mt. tis тò $\pi \rho$.). A difficulty has been found in Mc.'s identification of the aùn' with the praetorium, and Blass (Exp. T. х. 186) proposes $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ av̉入ท̀s toṽ $\pi$ pactopiov, relying on Jerome's atrium praetorii; whilst others regard ö éarev $\pi \rho$. as a gloss from Mt. But the explanatory clause is quite in Mc.'s manner (iii. 17 , vii. 11,34 , xii. 42 , xv. 42), and the most public part of the pratorium may well have been known by the Latin uame of the whole. The word praetorium (as Lightfoot has shewn, Philippians, p. 97) may mean (1) headquarters in a camp, or (2) the residence of a governor, or other mansion. In the Gospels and Acts it bears the second sense, cf. Acts xxiii.
 the palace built by Herod the Great at Ciesarea, which was used by the Procurators as their official residence. It has been inferred (Schürer I. ii. p. 48) that Herod's palace at Jerusalem, a fortified building on the Westeru hill, served as the praetorium when the Procurator visited the Holy City; certainly it was sometimes so used (cf. e.g. Jos. D.J. ii. i4. $8 \Phi \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ os



eius arm 1 om $\pi \lambda \epsilon \xi(\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \mathrm{D}$ (cf. cdff)

 aủ̉ $\hat{\eta} s$ tov̀s $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ avir $\hat{\omega})$, and apparently by Pilate himself (Philo, Leg, ad Cai.
 Baci入fiots). But Westcott (on Jo. xviii. 28, xix. 13) regards the Antonia as the scene of the trial, and there is much to be said in favour of his view; the proximity of this great fortress to the Temple and its means of communication with the Precinct (Acts xxi. 35, cf. supra, v. 8, note) accord with the picture presented by the Gospels, while on the other hand it is difficult to reconcile their account with the other hypothesis; a procession of the Sanhedrists across the city would have been at once indecorous and dangerous. Moreover, the citadel was the natural headquarters of the oneipa, and or the occasion of the Passover would have scrved the purpose of the Procurator's visit better than Herod's palace. For an account of the Antonia see Jos. ant. xy. II. 4, B.J. i. 5. 4, v. 5.8; and for a summary of the traditional evidence which connects it with the Praetorium, see Sir C. Wilson's art. Jerusalem in Smith's B.D. ${ }^{2}$, p. 1655.
 cohort had been concerncd in the arrest (Jo. xviii. 3, 12), and were therefore interested in the trial and its issue. $\Sigma \pi \epsilon i p a$ (1) a coil, (2) a band of men, is used ill inscriptions for Aíagos (Deissmann, B. St., p. I86), and by Polybius and later writers for the Roman cohort (Polyb. xi, 2 I т feis

 perhaps (see Westentt on Jo. l.c.) for the maniple; in the N.T., however, the areipa secms to be the cohors, for it is commanded by a yiniapरos i.e. a tribunus cohortis (Jo. xviii 12, Acts xxi. 31) ; cf. Vg . conoocant totam cohortem. The strength of the cohort varied with that of the legion, but it would in any case reach several hundreds; ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \eta \nu \tau$ r. $\sigma \pi$. must of course be taken loosely for all who were at hand or not on duty at the time. On the whole subject see Marquardt v.p.453ff.
 $\kappa \pi \lambda$.] They had first stripped off His own clothing (Mt., cf. $v .10$ ), except perhaps the $\chi$ itóv (cf. Jo. xix. 23).
 more precisely, $\chi^{\lambda а \mu и ́ \delta а ~ к о к к і г \eta \nu ~(c f . ~}$ Hor. sat. ii. 6. 102, 100) ; i.e. the garment was a scarlet (Apoc. xvii. 4, xvii. 16) paludamentum or sagum (see Trench, syn. 4)-the cloak of one of the soldiers, possibly a cast-off and faded rag, but with colour enough left in it to suggest the royal purple (cf. Dan. v. 7 ff., 29, I Mace. x. 20, xi. 58, xiv. 43 f.). The Romans of an earlier time ov̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda о \nu \tau o ~ \pi о \rho \phi u ́ \rho a \nu(\mathrm{I}$ Масс. viii. 14), but the Augastan age was not indifferent to such Eastern luxuries; the Lord, moreover, is regarded by LIis mockers as a pretender to an Oriental throne. 'Evotóvoretvis a late form of $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{v} \dot{\epsilon} \in \boldsymbol{y}$ which occurs in the
 oкоута íцäs кóккıขа); in the N.T. it appears again in Lc. xvi. ig éved\&ớакєто торфи́рау.





[^92] (i.e., to Jonathan)...бréфavov रpvoov̀ע:

 $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$. The proper badge of Oriental royalty was the $\delta t a \delta i \eta \mu a$ : see Isa. lxii. 3, Estl. vi. 8 ( ${ }^{\text {c.a }}$ ), 1 Mace. i. 9, xi. 13, and cf. Apoc. six. 12 ; the $\sigma \pi \epsilon-$ фavos was the victor's wreath, which was presented to royal personages as a tribute to military prowess, or as a festive decoration (see Trench, syn. xxiii.). If this distinction is to be maintained here the soldiers seem to have had in view the laurel wreath of the Imperator; see Westcott on Jo. xix. 2, who refers to Suetonius (Tib. 17 "triumphum ipse distulit...mihilominus urbem praetextatus et laurea coronatus intravit"). The wreath which they plaited (for $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \sigma \tau \in ́ \phi a \nu o \nu$ cf. Isa. xxyiii. 5) was of thorns (а́кауөtyov, Vg. spineam, cf. Isa. xxxiv. 13, $=\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} к а \nu ө \hat{\omega} \nu$ Mt. Jo.), i.e. composed of twigs broken off from some thorny plant which grew on waste ground hard by (iv. 7), not improbably the Zizyphus spina-Christi or nubk trec, of which "the thorns are long, sharp and recurved, and often create a festering wound" (Tristram, N.H. p. 430, adding "I have noticed dwarf bushes of the $Z$. growing outside the walls of Jernsalem"). Twigs of nubk may have been used in callous thoughtlessness rather than out of sheer brutality"there were thorns on the twigs, but that did not matter" (Bruce). On the other hand G. E. Post in Hastings D. B. iv. prefers the Calycotome
villosa, which is easily plaited into the shape of a crown.
 According to Ev. Petr. the Lord was seated on an extemporised $\beta \bar{\eta} \mu a$, as a King sitting in judgment (éкá $\theta_{\imath \sigma a \nu}$


 кaì eition Kpivov $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{i v})$; that He was placed on a seat to receive the mockery of homage is at least not improbable. A reed was placed in His right hand to represent a sceptre (Mt.). Cf. the remarkable parallel cited by Wetstein from Philo, in






 Another interesting illustration will be found in Field, Notes, p. 21 f. Xaîf, $\beta$. т. 'ı, have rex Iudaeorum, in imitation of the well-known have Caesar. St John by using the im-
 cf. Westcott ad l.) recalls the scene yet more vividly.
 Mc. represents the mimic homage as mingled with brutal insult; in Mt. the brutality follows tho mimicry. Pseudo-Peter adds some further de-






 $\alpha$ u่той.











 Vg. ponere genua $=\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ тà $\gamma$., yovveteciv, see Lc. xxii. 4I, Acts vii. 60.
 Their bumour spent itself, or the time allowed for their savage sport came to an end, or there was no in-

 the sagum was taken off and the Lord's own outer clothing restored. Of the crown and the wreath there is no mention, but they were doubtless cast aside when they had served their purpose. The prophecy of $x .34$ had now been fulfilled. For éx $\delta \iota \delta \dot{v} \sigma \kappa \in \Delta$ $\tau \iota \nu$ á ть see Blass, Gr. p. 92.

20b-22. The Way to the Choss (Mt. xxvii. $31^{\text {b }}-33$, Lc. xxiii. $26-33^{\text {a }}$, Jo. xix. 16, 17).
 lead Him forth'; of. Jo., दॄ $\xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ :

 (N'Mis) is usually followed by a reference to the place which is left (cf. e.g.

 тîs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s, 3$ Regn. xx. (xxi.) 13
 фu入aкis). Here we may supply cither

the latter is supported by Heb. xiii.
 tradition indicates the route: the name of Via Dolorosa, given to the lane which crosses the city and leads to the Church of the Holy Sepulchre, appears to be later than the 12th century (Robinson, Later Researches, p. 170).

The condemned carried their own crosses to the place of execution; cf. Plutarch, de ser. Dei vind.: т $\hat{\omega} \nu$

 accordingly started with this burden
 مòv $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon v)$; cf. viii. 34, note. As the ancient commentators point out, there is no inconsistency here between the Fourth Gospel and the Synoptists (Jerome: "intellegendum est quod egrediens de praetorio Iesus ipse portaverit, postea obvium habuerint Simonem cui portandam crucem imposuerint").

 $\pi \delta \dot{\pi}$ тเva. The words suggest that the man came into sight as they issued from the gate. He was on his way from the country (árs' àpoî, Mc., Le.,
 villa would better represent $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ тố dं $\gamma \rho o \mathrm{t}$, cf. v. L4, vi. 36 , xiii. 16), and was passing by ( $\pi a \rho a ́ \gamma o v \tau a, ~ c f . ~ i . ~ 16, ~$ ii. 14) when the soldiers seized (Le.,



 their service．＇A $\gamma \gamma a \rho \in \dot{v} \varepsilon \nu$ ，angariare （cf．the Aramaic א א א，Dalman，Gr． p．147），a word of Persian origin；see
 $\pi \omega \nu$（the service of the royal couriers）
 Persian ä $\gamma$ rapoc were impressed，the verbaj $\gamma$ apevecuwas used in reference to compulsory service of any kind．Hatch （Essays，p．37）was able to quote an instance of a $\gamma \gamma a \rho \in \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota$ from an Egyp－ tian inscription of a．d． 49 ；Deissmann （B．St．p．87）has since discovered it in a papyrus of B．c． 252 ，so that the word had long been established in Egyptian Gk．，though it has no place in the Lxx．；even the remarkable form évyapcu̇єiv（see app．crit．）finds a parallel in a papyrus of a．d． 340 which has écyapias（Deissmamn，B．St． p． 182 ）．Besides this context，in which it is common to Mt．，Me．，the verb is used in MI．v． 4 I ，where compulsory service is clearly intended．

The man＇s name was Simon（cf．i． 16，note），and he was of Cyrene（Mt．， Mc．，Lc．）．Cyrene received a Jewish settlement in the time of Ptolemy I． （Jos．c．$A p$ ．ii． 4 ；ef． 1 Macc．xv．23）， and the Jews formed an influential section of the inhabitants（Jos，ant． xiv．7．2）．At Jerusalem the name of Cyrene was associated with one of the synagogues（Acts vi．9），and Jewish inhabitants of Cyrenaica were among the worshippers at the Feast of Pen－ tecost in the year of the Crucifixion （Acts ii．10），whilst a Lucius of Cyrene appears among the prophets and teachers of the Church of Antioch about A．d． 48 （ib．xiii．I）．Whether this Simon had become a resident at Jerusalem，or was a visitor at the Pass－ over（cf．Lc．xxiv．I8），it is impossible to decide．Mc．alone further describes
him as＂the father of Alexander and Rufus．＂An Alexander is mentioned in Acts xix．33，I Tim．i．20， 2 Tim．iv． I4，but in each case he is an antagonist of St Paul．Rufus has with some probability been identified with the person who is saluted in Rom．xvi．13； see SH．ad $l$ ．，who point out that the
 the Romar Rnfus implies eminence in the Roman Church ；to his mother also，who if the identification is correct was probably the wife or widow of Simon，St Paul bears high testimony
 wrote for Roman Christians，and the sons of Simole were well known at Rome，his reference to Alexander and Rufus is natural enough．In aly case it implies that the sons became disciples of repute whose identity would be recoguised by the original readers of the Gospel．See further Zahn，Einl．ii．p． 25 1．Origen points out the practical teaching of the incident： ＂non autern solum Salvatorem con－ veniebat accipere crucen suam，sed et nos conveniebat portare eam，salu－ tarem nobis angariam adimplentes．＂ An early form of Docetism taught that Simon was crucified instead of Jesus（Irell．i．24．4）．
 the use of aypecv is perhaps intended to recall viii． 34 （Mt．xvi．24）；Lc． represents Simon as passive in the




 $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{y}$ iov，Jo．cis tòv $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ．Kpaviou тótov
 transliteration represents the Aram． N⿵冂⿱八刀：


#### Abstract

22 фероибu] a a ovary D $13698462^{\text {pe }}$ latterek (perducunt, adducunt, duxerunt) |    


xpavior in Jud. ix. 53, 4 Regn. ix. 35 ; for the form Гo入yöáv (Mc. ouly), cf. By $\theta$ oaıóáy vi. 45, viii. 22 (WH., Notes, p. 160 , WSchm., p. 63 f.). K $\rho a-$ yiou тó $\frac{1}{o s}$ (Vg. calvariae locus, whence the 'Calvary' of the English versions in Lc.) answers precisely to $\Gamma 0 \lambda \gamma$. tónos, and enabled the Greek reader to picture to himself the low skullshaped mound (see Meyer-Weiss on Mt. xxyii. 32) where crucifixions were wont to take place. A curious legend connected the calvariae locus with the burial place of Adam's skull, and with the saying in Eph. v. I4; see Jerome on Mt. xxvii., who wisely remarks: "favorabilis interpretatio...nec tamen vera." The place seems to have been known in the fourth century (Eus.
 toîs Bopeiocs roû Slòy öpous. Cyril.
 $\mu \epsilon \rho_{0 \nu}$ фаиуо́ $\mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$. Silv. peregr. p. 54 "in ccclesia maiore quae appellatur Martyrio quae est in Golgotha"). From Jo. we learn that, though outside the walls ( $v .20$, note), it was near the city (Jo. xix. 20), apparently among the gardens or paradises of the wealthier inhabitants ( $i$. 4 . 4 ). It seems to have been ascertained that the present Church of the Holy Sepulchre is beyond the second of the ancient walls (Encycl. Bibl. ii. 1753, 2430). But a knoll near Jeremial's Grotto and the road to Damascens is by some recent investigators regarded as the true site, and the question as a wholo is still sub indice; for a brief discussion of the various theories see Smith
B. D. ${ }^{2}$ p. 1655. On $_{\circ}^{\circ}$ द̇ $\sigma \tau \tau \nu \mu \theta$. see v. 4 I , note. Mc.'s фépovazy has been thought to imply that the Lord needed support; cf. i. 32, ii. 3, and contrast Heb. i. 3. But the word may mean simply to lead, as a prisoncr to execution or a victim to the sacrifice: cf. Jo. xxi. 18, Acts xiv. 13 .

23-32. The Crucifision and first three Hours on the Cross (Mt. xxvii. $34-44$, Lc. xxiii. $33^{\mathrm{b}}-43$, Jo. xir. 18-26).
 'conative imperfect' (Burton, §§ 23) prepares the reader for the refusal by which the offer was mot; Mt., less
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu v \rho \nu \iota \sigma \mu$ évos ( $\mathrm{V} g$. murratum vinum), wine drugged with myrrh, was usually offered to condemned malcfactors (J. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvii 34, Wünsche, p. 354 ; cf. Sanhedr. 43. 1), through the charity, it is said, of the women of Jerusalem (cf. Le. xxiii. 27 ff ), the intention bcing to deaden the sense of pain : cf. Prov. xxiv. 74 $=$ xxxi. 6 ठíठore $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \nu$ тoís èv $\lambda$ útats, кaì oivov tivelv toís év ódúvas. Mt. describes the potion as oivov $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 tal reference to Ps. Ixviii. (lxix.) 22, Lam. iii. I5; as Cyril (cat. xiii. 29) points out, gall and myrrh possess a
 катámıкроs ì oни́pva), and Mt. with the prophecy in view may have described the myrrh as $\chi$ ont. Ps. Peter (c. 5) confuses this draught of drugged wine which was refused with the posca (infra, 0.36 ) which was accepted,

# 24 оưк ${ }^{\text {é }} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$. ${ }^{24}$ каi $\sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ aùtòv каi $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon \rho i ́-$  

  604 roji aloonn (k) syrr arm $\mid \beta a \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ KLMY min monn

and mistakes the purpose of both offers. On the other hand BurgonMiller, Traditional Text, p. 253, with equal improbability resard the oivoy (or ó $\xi$ os, as they read) $\mu$. $\chi$. as distinct from the oivoy $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu$. The auswer of Macarius Magnes (ii. 17) to a pagan objector indicates the trne line of defence for the Christian apologist in

 $\Sigma^{\mu} \nu \rho \nu i!\epsilon \varepsilon \nu$, 'to drug with myrrh,' appears to be $\tilde{\pi} \pi$. $\lambda e \gamma$.; the verl) occurs elsewhere as an intrans., 'to resemble myrrh.' On the nse of aromatic wines see Pliny, H. N. xiv. 15, 19. The Lord tasted the mixture (Mt.), but deelined to drink it; He had need of the full use of Yis human faculties, and the pain which was before Him belonged to the cup which the Father's Will had appointed (xiv. 36 ff ), of which He would abate nothing. For os $\delta \epsilon$ without a preceding òs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, cf. Jo. ㄷ. II, and see app. crit.
24. каi acaupoû́t aitóv] Mc. keeps the realistic present through nearly the whole of this context

 poûcty). The process of crucifixion is sufficiently described in the Bible Dictionaries s. wo. cross, crucifixion, which may also be consulted for the bibliography of the subject. The Lord's Hands were nailed to the patibulum (Jo. xx. 20, 25, Ev. Petr. 6); whether the Feet were also nailed does not appear, though Christian writers from Justin (dial. 97) downwards have affrned it, influenced perhaps by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s} .}$ xxi. (xxii.) 17. The
work was done by the soldiers on duty (Jo. xix. 23), but the guilt lay at the door of the Jewish people (Acts

 cf. I Thess. ii. 15 , Apoc. i. 7).
 ${ }_{k \tau \lambda .] ~ T h e ~ L o r d ' s ~ c l o t h i u g, ~ w h i c h ~ h a d ~}^{\text {a }}$ been removed before crucifixion (cf. Col. ii. 55 ), is now divided by the quaternion of soldiers on duty (Jo.


 lots. St John, who was au eyewitness, recollects the exact procedure, and, whether consciously or not, corrects the impression which the Synoptists convey, that the whole was distributed by lot; the Fourth Gospel also alone supplies the reference to Ps. xxi. (xxii.) 19, which must lave been in the minds of all; the words received a striking fulfilment at the death of the Sun of David, whatever may have been their primary meaniug (cf. Cheyne, Bk. of Psalms, p. 64). Ps. Peter draws a remarkable picturo of


 cast with dice which they had brought to pass the time ; the game known as $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \beta \circ \lambda i \nu \delta a$ may be interded, cf. D. Heinsii exerc. ad Nonn. paraphr.
 $\mu \dot{i}$, P's. xxi. l.c.; the clothing was the object to which the lottery was directed (WM., p. 508; cf. v. 21, vi. 34, x. 11). Tís ri âpp, Vg. quis quid tolleret, a blending of two interroga-
 familiar in class. Gk., but rare in the




[^93]N.T.; cf. Lc. xix. 15 in cod. A (iva $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega}$ тis $\tau^{\prime} \delta_{i \epsilon \pi \rho а \gamma \mu a r \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \tau o) ~ a n d ~ s e e ~}^{\text {a }}$ Blass, Gr. p. 173, Field, Notes, p. 43 f.
 it was the third hour when they crucified him'-a note of time in which érravipwaav looks back to oravpoûбav (v. 24), and кaí coordinates (Blass, Gr. p. 262 ; cf. app. crit.) the arrival of the hour with the act. This mention of the third hour is peculiar to Mc., and appears to be inconsistent with Jo. xix. 14. Attempts were early made to remove tho difficulty either by ehanging т $\rho i \neq \eta$ into $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta$ (cf. Acta Pil. ap. Tisch. Ev. apocr. 283 f. : due-

 Ps. lixvii., who suggests that rрír has arisen ont of a confusion between $\bar{F}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ ), or by less satisfactory methods (cf. e.g. Aug. cons. ev. iii. 42 "intellegitur ergo fuisse hora tertia cum clamaverunt Judaei ut Dominus cruciffgeretur, et veracissime demonstratur tunc eos crucifixisse quando clamaverunt"). The problem cannot be said to have been solved yet; Bp. Westcott's contention that St John followed the modern Western reckon-
 been considerably shaken by recent research (see Prof. Ramsay in Exp. Iv. vii. p. 216, v. iii. p. 457, and ef. A. Wright, N. T. problems, p. 147 ff.). It may be noticed that while $J$ o. is perhaps intentionally vague ( $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ёктך), Mc. is precise. In Jerusalem there could be no uncertainty about the principal divisions of the day (cf. Acts ii. 15 , iii. ), even if the intermediate hours were not strictly noted.
 detail which Mc. stops to note. The cross bore an inscription (ex cropa $\phi \dot{\prime}$, xii. 16), setting forth the charge on which the Crucified had been condemned (for aitia, Vg. causa, cf. Ar. Ach. 285 f. Xo. எè $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ о $\mathfrak{v i v}$ ката$\lambda \in \dot{\prime} \sigma о \mu \in \nu . . \Delta \mathrm{I}$. àvti moías aitias; and Acts xiii. 28, xxy. 18). The technical name for this record was titulus ( $\tau$ itגos, Jo.): the board (aapis) on which it was written was carried before the criminal or affixed to him (Suet. Calig. 32 "praccedente titulo qui causam paenae indicaret"). Other examples of tituli remain; e.g. Suctonius (Domit. ro) mentions a sufferer who bore the inscription Impie Locvevs, and the Viemnose letter in Eus. II.E. v. I spcaks of a martyr who was preceded in the amphitheatre by a board on which was apparently inscribed hic • est • attalivs • chres-

 خos ox Xotortavós). The title on the Lord's cross was written by Pilate in Aramaic and Greek, as well as in the ollicial Latin (Jo.), so as to be intelligible to all Jews-Hellenists from the provinces as well as any Palestinians who were not bilingual. The text of the inscription as given by the Evangelists varies remarkably


 т. 'I. (Jo.). The words io ßaбideis $\tau \omega \nu$ 'Ioudai $\omega \nu$, on which all agree, form the airia; it was ustal to prefix the name, and we may accept the evidence of St John, who saw the titulus,






 go aeth (om NABC*,3DX min ${ }^{\text {satmu }}$ is syr ${ }^{\sin }$ the) $\left.\quad 29 \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi o \rho \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \nu o r\right] \pi a \rho a \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ E Eus
that the local designation was addod. The Latin text therefore may probably have been-with or without a preliminary hic est-nesvs - nazarents - rex • ivdagorym. In the last two words the grim irony of Pilate is apparent; Ps. Peter misses their point by representing the inscription as the work of the Jews, and reading
 (see $v .2$, note).
 The two had been His companions on the way to Golgotha (Lc.), and were now hanging one. on either side of Пlim (Jo. ̇̇ซтav́p $\omega \sigma a \nu \ldots a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega v s$ ôvo,
 'In $\sigma$ ôv ${ }^{\prime}$ ); to St Jolin the spectacle may well have recalled words spoken by Jesus not many weeks before (Mc. x. 37 ff .). Viewed in the light of Lake's uarrative (xxiii. 39 ff.) it reminds the reader also of Mt. xxv. 39; the Cross which divides the penitent from the obdurate anticipates the $\theta$ póvos
 yous. I'hcy were outlaws and doubtless desperate men (cf. xi. 17, xiv. 48, Le. x. 30, Jo. x. I, 2 Cor. xi. 26); possibly they had been members of the band led by Barabbas (Trench, Studies, p. 293). Yet the $\lambda_{\eta} \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime} s$ might be of very different moral calibre from the $k \lambda \epsilon_{\pi} \pi \eta s-$ one who had been driven into crime by the circumstances of his life or of the times. It may be assumed that this was so in the case of the peuitent.

tovi $\pi$ upós) revealed his latent capacity for a nobler life as well as the malignity of his comrade. The secondary uncials (see app.crit.) add a reference to Isa. liii. 12 , borrowed perhaps from Lc. xxii. 37, which Burgon-Miller (Causes of Corruption, p. 75 ff.) vigorously defend; but see WH., Notes, p. 27. As Alford points out, it is not after Mc.'s mamer to adduce prophetic testimony. A curious gloss in the 0 . L. ms. $c$ supplies the names of the $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau a i$ : "unum a dextris nomine Zoathan et alium a sinistris nomine Chammatha." In the Acts of Pilate (ed. Tisch. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 245, 308) they are Dysmas and Gestus, in the Arabic Gospel of the Infancy (p. 184), Titus and Dumachus ( $\Theta$ сонáxos), while $l$ gives Ioathas and Maggatras; see Thilo, cod. apocr. N. T. i. pp. 143, 580, Wordsworth and White ad $l$. and on Le. xxiii. 32, and ef. Nestle, T. C. p. 266.
29. каі oi тараторєчо́цєуон ктд.] Either country folk on their way to the city (cf. v. 21), or citizens whose business called them into the country (Lc. xxiv. 13). Neither class would have much knowledge of Jesus beyond hearsay, and common report credited him with dangerous fanaticism. Oi
 Thren. ii. 15 тávtes oi $\pi а \rho a \pi$. ó $\delta \dot{y} y .$.
 Evangelists seem to have specially in view Ps. xxi. (xxii.) 8 пúvtєs oi $\theta$ tw-






 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\jmath}{ }^{\prime} / \sigma \rho \alpha \hat{\lambda} \lambda \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ vûv $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ тồ $\sigma \tau \alpha \cup \rho o \hat{v}$,



 $+\epsilon \sigma \tau i v$ rofi $\mid$ катaßa L
 spared neither words nor gestures of derision ; cf. (besides the passages cited above) 4 Regn. xix. 2I, Job xyi. 5, Sir. xiii. 7.
oùà ó ката入ข̀шv кт入.] Oủá, va, vah, expresses admiration, real or ironical, not, as ov̉at, commiseration ; e.g. avd Aüyovarte (Dio Cass.), émaivecoóv $\mu \in$,
 'wah homo impudens' (Plaut.). On $\delta$ катадข́шу ктл. see xiv. 58, note: with the construction cf. Lc. vi. 25 ovai $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ oi $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 c$, A poc. xviii. го,
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$. $\Sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \nu$ $\sigma \in c \nu \tau o ́ \nu: ~ i n ~ M t . ~$ the ground of this raillery appears ( $\epsilon$ i viòs $\in i$ тoû $\theta \in o \hat{\imath}$ ); the Sanhedrists had spread the report of the Lord's answer to the question of Caiaphas (xiv. 6I f.). The jest was the harder to endure since it appealed to a consciousness of power held back only by the self-restraint of a sacrificed will. Hilary: "nom erat difficile de cruce descendere, sed sacramentum erat paternae voluntatis explendum."
 Sauhedrists condescended to share the savage sport of the populace; menbers of the priestly aristocracy were seen in company with scribes and elders (Mt.) deriding the Sufferer, not indeed directly addressing Him, or mingling with the crowd, but remarking to one another ( $\pi \rho \dot{\partial} \dot{s}$ à $\lambda \lambda \hat{p} \lambda o v s$ ) on His in-
ability to save Himself. "E $\sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} .$. oworas: the verb is used in two shades of meaning: 'He saved others from disease, He cannot save Himself from dying'; or with Justin we may understand $\tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ in reference to Lazarus (ap. i. $3^{8}$ ó veкроѝs àveqєipas $\dot{\rho} v a \dot{a} \sigma \theta \omega$ eavóv). Even in the act of mocking, they bear witness to the truth of His mireculous powers. The Lord had not claimed the character of a $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, as His frequent saying $\dot{\eta}$
 fact that His touch or word gave new life to men was nevertheless notorious. It could not be denied, though it might be discredited or used against Him.


 éкरोкrós. Unable to induce Pilate to remove or alter the tirios, they give their own complexion to it, substituting 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta h$ for $+\hat{\omega} y$ 'Iou $\delta a i \omega \nu$, and explaining $\dot{\delta}$ ßar. by $\dot{o} \chi^{\rho \iota \sigma t o ́ s, ~ o r ~} \dot{o}$ ék $\lambda \epsilon \kappa$ ós.s. If He will even now ( $\nu \hat{v} v$ ) substantiate His claim of Messiahship by a miracle wrought in His own behulf, they profess themselves ready

 Jo. iv. 48, vi. 30) ; to which Jerome well replies: "resurresit et non credidistis; ergo si etiam de cruce descenderet, similiter non crederetis."





 om syr ${ }^{\text {din }}$

In Mt. they proceed with strange obtaseness to quote Ps. xxii. 8 (cf. Edersheinv, Life, ii. p. 7x8).

каi oi $\sigma \cup \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau а \nu \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o t ~ к т \lambda] ~ S o$.

 traditious are distinct but not inconsistent; the pl. in Mt. Mc. is used with sufficient accuracy if one of the two spoke, at least for the time, on behalf of both (cf. Mt. viii. 28 ff ., xx. 30 ff ., with the corresponding accounts in Mc., Le.). Lc.'s fuller statement explains weviotsov: in the month of the $\lambda$ дgonis the raillery which he had borrowed from the crowd became a reproach; the Lord professed to have power to save His fellow-sufferers as well as Himself ( $\sigma \in a v \dot{c}^{2} \nu$ кai $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ ), and would not use it.

It is interesting to note that $\sigma \nu \nu$ $\sigma \pi a v \rho o v \sigma \theta a$, used of the $\lambda_{\eta \sigma \tau a}$ by Mt. Me. Jo., is applied by St Paul (Rom. vi. 6, Gal. ii. 20) to the sharing of the Cross by the members of Christ in Baptism.

33-37. The last threy Hours on the Gross (Mt. xxvii. 45-50, Le. xxili. $44-45^{\mathrm{a}}, 46$, Jo. xix. $28-30$ ).
 $\bar{\eta} \nu \tilde{\eta} \delta \eta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho a \tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta$, but the use of $\dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon i$ with numerals is characteristic of the Third Gospel and the Acts (cf. Lc. iii. 23, ix. 14, 28, xxii. 59, Lets i. 15 , ii. 4I, x. 3, xix. 7). Mit, like Mc., speaks definitely (arà éкктs
 Ps. Peter is doubtless right in interpreting ỡ $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ as Judaea (бкóтоя

"tantummodo super omnem terran Judaeam"). Though the phrase is usually employed in a wider sense (cf. e.g. Gen. i. 26, xi. 9, Ps. xxxii. (xxxiii.) 8, Lc. xxi. 35, Apoc. xiii. 3), the compilers of the original tradition had probably in viow the limited darkness of Exod. x. 22 ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ єєєто
 t̀pépas), and in adopting the words thought only of the land of Israel. Lc. explains that the darkness which fell on the land at the Crucifixion was due to a failure of the sun's light (éк入eítoyтos rov̂ $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda i o u$ ); in Acta Pilati (ed. Tisch., p. 234), the Jews, in defiance of astronomy, attribute it to an

 which, as Origen points out, could not have occurred at the time of the Paschal full moon. On the obscuration of the sun's light mentioned by Phlegon see Orig. in Mi., c. Cels. ii. 33. Irenaeus (iv. 33 12) refers to
 Boías); acc. to Ps. Peter, men went about with lamps, supposing that the sun had set and it was already night. The original account (Mt. Mc.) seems to be satisfied by the hypothesis of an extraordinary gloom due to uatural causes and coinciding with the last three hours of the Passion. The purpose of the darkness was variously explained by the Gk. and Latin fathers; cf. Cyril. Hier.: द' $\xi \in \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ ó
 Jerome: "videtur mihi clarissimum lumen mundi...retraxisse radios ne... pendentem videret Dominum"; Leo:

 $\sigma \alpha \beta a \chi \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon 1$; ó є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \cup o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ' $O$ $\theta_{\epsilon}$ о́s $\mu о \nu$










 i (me in opprobrium dedisti) k (me maledixisti: cf. J. Th. St. i. p. 278 ff.) Mac Magn (i 12) 35 om кац...акочбартеs $\Delta$ om акоибаитєs C
"in vos, Iudaei, caclum et terra

 ब $\eta \mu \in$ ह̂ov.
 The only word uttered on the Cross which finds a place in the earliest. tradition as given by Mt. Mc. : for the other six recorded words see Le. xxiii. 34 (WH., Notes, p. 67 f.), 43, 46 ; Jo. xix. 26, 28, 3 . The present word shares with the final one ( 0.37 , Lc. xxiii. 46) the distinction of having been spoken in a loud voice-a cry or shout ( $\epsilon \beta \dot{\sigma} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ) rather than, like our Lord's ordinary sayings (cf. Mt. xii. 19), a calm and deliberate utterance. The cry is given by both Gospols in the transliterated form

 the Hebrew vocalization of the first word has taken the place of the pure Aramaic n., Worte i. p. 42 f., Kautesch, p. II, n.), answering to the Heb. of Ps.
 root pex, Syr. عصת, see Dan. iv. 12, 20, 25, where it is rendered by
 S. M. ${ }^{2}$
which cod. D substitutes for $\sigma a-$ $\beta a \chi \theta a v e i$ and the rendering wiveiózás $\mu \epsilon$, see the next note. Both Mt. and Mc. append a version which is practically that of the Lxx. (o $\theta_{\text {cós }}$
 but omit the words $\pi$ pó $\chi_{\chi \in s} \mu o t$ which have nothing correspondiug to them in the M. T. and apparently were not reprosented in the ILeb. text of our Lord's time (Jerome ad $l$.: "intende miti in hebraeis codicibus non habetur et adpositum vox Domini declarat quae illud etiam in evangelio praetermisit"; in Hexaplaric mss. the words are obelised, cf. Field, Hexapla, ad l.). The remarkable rendering in Ev. Petr. (í $\delta$ ívauís $\mu o v$ $\dot{\eta}$ dúvapus катé $\lambda e c \psi$ ás $\mu \epsilon$ ) seems to presuppose the 'Western' reading ทi $\lambda \in i \quad \eta \lambda \in i$, and to treat
 mov with the remarks of Eusebius, d.e., p. 494.
 The ronark was probably meant for banter, cf. $\boldsymbol{v}$. 3 If. On the connexion in Jewish thought of Elijah with the Messiah see vi. I5, viii. 28, ix. II f., notes; Jlijah was moreover regarded as a deliverer in tine
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of trouble, cf. Wünsche, p. 356. It would seem that the word which was taken for an invocation of Elijah
 not tion has led Resch (Paralleltexte, p. 357 f.; but cf. Dalman, Worte, i. p. 43) to the conclusion that the Lord cited the words of the Psalm in Heb, and that the remarkable form Gaфөavei in cod. 1 r represents the Heb. Text, p. Io6 f., who suggests , for which he thinks D's àéiórás $\mu \epsilon$ may be an equivalent. The problem is discussed further by Konig and Nestle in Exp. T. xi. pp. 237 f., 287 f., 334 ff., but with no assured result. It is remarkable that in Macarius Magnes the objector knew both wyeiסьбas and єंүкатє́дєтєs and regarded them as distinct utterances: ó $\delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} .{ }_{i v a}$
 $\delta$ ofas $\mu \mathrm{f}$; In Mt. the T. R. reads $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda i$ while retaining $\sigma a \beta a \chi \theta a v i$; cf. Epiph. haer. lxix. 68 cited by Resch: $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$



36. $\delta \rho a \mu \omega \dot{\nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon} k \tau \lambda$.] The three accounts of this incident vary considerably ; St John's, as we might expect, is the fullest and probably it is also the most exact. Near the Cross there lay a vessel full of sour wine (okev̂os
 otyou of Num, vi. I, which was the or-
dinary drink of labourers in the field (Ruth ii. 14), and of the lower class of soldiers (Plutarch, Cato maior, p.

 $\eta \eta_{T \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu}$, and known by them as posca (Plaut. mil. iii 2. 25, trucul. ii. 7. 48); on this occasion it had probably been brought by the quaternion on guard, and ace. to Le. (xxiii. 36) a drink of it had already been offered by them to Christ in derision. The Lord, who had refused the drugged wine at the beginning of His sufferings, now exclaimed 'I thirst'; upon which one of the by-standers ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Mc}$., eis $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ à̀r $\hat{\nu} \nu, \mathrm{Mt}$.$) ran to the wine jar, and$ gave Him drink. The sponge is mentioned here only in Biblical Gk., but it is mentioned by Gk. writers from Homer (Od. i. In i) downwards, and must have been familiar in countries bordering on the Mediterranean. The reed on which the sponge was raised (Mt., Mc.) is described by Jo. as 'hyssop,' a plant prescribed by the Law for use in certain ritual acts (Lev. xiv. 4 ff., Num. xix. 6 ff.; cf.
 represents the Heb. בits, a wailplant, acc. to I Kings iv. 33, and therefore not of great size; but a stalk three or four feet in length would probably have sufficed to reach the lips of the Crucified. On the identification of the plant see the Bible Dictionaries s.c., and Tristram, N. H., p. 457 f., who inclines to the caper (Capparis spi-



 $\operatorname{arm}^{\text {zoh }}$ go
nosa). The stem stripped of its thorns passed for a reed, but St John, who stood by the Cross and paid close attention to everything (Jo. xix. 25 , 35), remembered that it belonged to the hyssop. For $\pi \in \rho \iota \theta \in i v a i ́ t u y ' ~ \tau \iota, ~ ' t o ~$ put upon,' cf. Prov. vii. 3, I Cor. xii. 23, and supra, $v .17$; the phrase is common here to Mt., Mc., Jo.; Vg. circumponens calamo. $\Delta р а \mu \dot{\nu} \ldots \gamma є \mu i \sigma a s$ ... $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \in i$ s, without an intervening conjunction (see app. cril.), is rough even for Mc.; yet see x. 30, xiv. 23, 67, xv. 21. 'Enóctsev is perhaps an allusion to Ps. Inviii. (lxix.) 22 cis $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$
 xix. 28 iva т $\tau \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \eta \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ "Афєтє ктл.] Mt. distinctly assigns this saying to the rest of the party, who desire the man to desist and wait for Elijah to inter-
 The independence of the two Evangelists at this point is significant. Archbishop Benson (Apocalypse, p. 146) would detach $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ in this context from the subject of the verb, and render it "one saying." But there is no example of so loose a constructiou elsewhere in the Gospels, and it is impossible to admit it here. Aug.'s "unde intellegimus et illum et ceteros hoc dixisse" does not touch the heart of the difference; Mc.'s ä $\phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is a rebuke addressed by one of the company to the rest, whilst Mt.'s äфes, if it is to be pressed, inverts the situation ; if Mt.'s account is to be preferred, the mockery was kept up to the end. See however WM. p. 356 n . for another explanation of äpes. Ei
 sc. ànò тoû $\sigma \tau a v \rho o \hat{v}$, cf. v. 46 , Lc. Exiii.

53, Acts xiii. 29; Mt., $\sigma \omega ́ \sigma \omega \nu$ av่тóv: on кate $\lambda_{\epsilon} i \nu$ as a technical word see 2. 46 , note.
37. ó ò̀ ’Iproûs àфci's кт入.] Mt.
 reference to the cry at the ninth hour
 cf. Dem. de cor. p. 339 ó кй $\rho v \xi . .$.

 каì тодд̀̀ каì $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ ф $\omega \nu \hat{\eta}$. Two final utterances are recorded (Jo. EैTe


 $\theta \epsilon \mu a \iota \tau \dot{o} \pi \nu \varepsilon \hat{v} \mu \dot{a} \mu о \nu$ ); the second seems to be especially intended by Mt., Mc.; it was uttered in a loud voice, and its contents comect it with the moment of departure. Like the other lond cry it is taken from the Psalms (Ps. xxx. (xxxi.) 6). 'E ${ }^{\prime} \in \in \pi \nu \in v \sigma \in \nu$, so Lc.; the aor. calls attention to the
 $\zeta \epsilon \nu, v .36$. The word does not occur elsewhere in Biblical Gk.; in classical writers it is the opposite of $\epsilon \mu \pi \nu \epsilon i \nu$, and used absolutely, 'to expire,' or followed by $\beta$ iov or $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$. Mt. (à $\varnothing \bar{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$
 attention to the fact that the Death of the Lord was a voluntary surrender, not a submission to physical necessity; see Westcott on Jo. xix. 30, and cf. Orig. in Jo. t. xix. 16 ws $\beta$ абь $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ss

 єühoyon civai tootiv. On Ps. Peter's $\dot{a} \nu \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \phi \theta \eta$ see note $a d l$.

38-41. Events which immediately followed the Death of Jesus (Mt. xxvii. 51-56, Le. xxiii. $45^{\mathrm{L}}, 47$ 49, Jo. xix. 3I-37).
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38．каі̀ т̀̀ каталє́та⿱䒑аактд．］There were two curtains in the vaós，the outer one，through which access was gained to the Holy Place，and the inner， which covered theentrance to the Holy of Holies（Edersheim，Temple，p． 35 f．）． See Heb．ix．3，where the writer， who however has the Tabernacle and not the Temple in his thoughts，
 кататє́тпо $\mu a$ ，and cf．Philo gig． 12
 the latter is called simply tò kata $\frac{1}{6}$－
 the other（Heb．${ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{p}$ ）being properly but not uniformly distinguished as rò ка́ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$（sec Westcott on Heb．vi．19）． The rending of the inner curtain of the Temple is reported by Mt．，Mc．， Lc．；Mt．seems to connect it with an earthquake which followed the Lord＇s Death，Lc．places it before the end； cf．Ps．Peter ：aủ т̀̀ кататє́табна．The Gospel according to the Hebrews，as represented by Jerome（in Mt．，cf．ad Hedib．120）， had another version of the incident：
 infinitae magnitudinis fractum esse atque divisum．＂The mystical import of the rent veil is pointed out in Heb． x．19ff．；cf．Victor：tua 入ounov eip－


 $\mu а к \rho о ́ \theta \in \nu, ~ v . ~ 6, ~ n o t e . ~$
 centurio Mt．and Lc．use éкaтóvтархоs （－xys），which was familiar through the lxx．，where it answers to nixipu Mc．prefers a Latinism alreadyemploy－

 freely used by Ps．Peter（ev． 8 ff ．），who like Mc．does not employ éкатóvтap $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\text {os．}}$ On the centurions see Marquardt， p ． 357 ff ．The traditional name of this centurion was Longinus（Acta Pilati， ed．Tisch．，p．288）；the same name is also given to the soldier who pierced the side of Christ and the prefect charged with the execution of St Paul（D．C．B．，s．v．）．In the fourth century Longinus the centurion was already believed to have subsequently become a saint and a martyr（Chrys． hom．in Mt．ad l．）；but the testimony which the Gospels attribute to him is merely that of a man who was able to rise above the prejudices of the crowd and the thoughtless brutality of the soldiers，and to recognise in Jesus an innocent man（Lc．），or possibly a supernatural person（Mt．，Mc．）．Yiòs $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ is certainly more than dikalos， but the centurion，who borrowed the words from the Jewish Priests（Mt． xxvii．4I ff．），could scarcely lave understood them even in the Messianic sense；his idea is perhaps analogous to that ascribed to Nebuchadnezzar in Dan．iii．25，where is an extraordinary，superhuman being． This impression was produced on the centurion when he saw the Lord
 $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$, cf．Origen：＂miratus est in his quae dicta fuerant ab eo ad Deum cum clamore et magnitudine sensuum＂）， or（Mt．）when he saw the earthquake and other occurrences（ $\boldsymbol{\text { à }}$ yı ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ）， or（Lc．）reflected on the whole trans－




 rofi alsatmu cffknq vged, coddpt syrr arm me go | Mapta $\left.\mathrm{I}^{\circ}\right]$ Maptap $\operatorname{BC}$ I alram
 and sayings of Jesus, so unique in his experience of crucifixions, culminating in the supernatural strength of the last cry, the phenomena which attended the Passion-the darkness, the earthquake, perhaps also the report of the event in the Temple, impressed the Roman officer with the sense of a presence of more than human greatness. The Roman in him felt the righteousness of the Sufferer, the Oriental ( $v .16$, note) recognised His Divinity. Mt. ineludes the other soldiers (oi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ avirov...
 evartias, Vg. ex adverso, a phrase used in class. Gk. and frequent in Lxx. ; ef. ó ${ }^{\prime} \xi \in \mathcal{\epsilon} \nu .$, Tit. ii. 8. Being on duty, he had stood facing the crosses, and nothing had escaped him.
 were others besides the centurion who viewed the crucifixion seriously, and were prescent throughout. 'There were also women'-many women (Mt.) -'looking on at a long distance,' where they could be safe from the ribaldry of the crowd, and yet watch the Figure on the Cross-not the "daugliters of Jerusaiem" who had bewailed Jesus on the way to Golgotha, but followers from Galilee. Mt., Mc., mention three by name ( $\boldsymbol{e}$, ais kai... кai....kai, both...and...and).
 forms Mapia, Maptá $\mu$, sec WSchm., p. gin.) the Magdalene had been the subject of a remarkable miracle (Luc.
 cf. 'Mc.' xvi. g), and had in consequence devoted her property and
time to the work of personal attendance on Jesus (Lc. l.c.). The epithet Mayoa $\lambda \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$, which everywherc distinguishes her from other women of the same name, is doubtless local (cf. Syr. ${ }^{\text {8in. }} \sim \mathcal{L} \downarrow$ ), like 'A $\delta \rho a \mu v \nu$ тдиós, NaGapquós; she may have belonged to the Magdala now represented by el-Mejdel, at the south cud of Geunesaret (vi. 53); cf. Newbauer, géogr. du Talm., p. 216 f. A confused story in the Talmud represents this Mary as a woman's hairdresser (מנדלא נשטיא); see Chagigah, ed. Streane, p. I8, and cf. Laible, J. Chr. in the Talmud, tr. by Streane, p. 16 f , and Wünsche p. 359; a graver error in western Christian tradition has identified her with the $\gamma v \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\text { a }} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ós of Le. vii. 37 ff . For other references to her in the N.T. and tradition see note on xvi. 9 .

 каi 'I $\omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi \quad \mu$, Jo. M. $\dot{\eta}$ тои̂ K $\lambda \omega \pi \hat{a}$. She is called $\hat{\eta}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta}$ tos (infr. v. 47),
 $\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \mathrm{M}$. (in contrast to the Magdalene) Mt. xxvii. 61, xxviii. I. If by $\dot{\dagger}$ $\mathrm{K} \lambda \omega \pi \hat{a}$ is meant ' the wife of CL, , and K $\lambda \omega \pi a a_{s}={ }^{\prime}$ A $\lambda$ фaios ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ? ${ }^{2}$ ), this Mary was the mother of the second James in the Apostolic lists (cf. iii. I 8 , note); but it is against the identification that the extant Syriac versions render 'Aдф. by $a l u, \quad \rightarrow \leqslant a l u$, but $\mathrm{k} \lambda \omega \pi$. by Kando, mando (Lightfoot, Galatians, p. 267 ; Syr. ${ }^{\text {sin. }}$ and Syr. ${ }^{\text {cu }}$ are unhappily wanting in Jo. xix. 25). A Clopas is mentioned by flegesippus (cf. Eus. H.E. iii. in,


 бо́лица.
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22, 32, iv. 22), who was brother of Joseph the husband of Mary the Virgin, and father of the Symeon who succeeded James the Just in the presidency of the Church of Jerusalem (cf. Mayor, St James, p. xvi f.). To $\hat{v} \mu \kappa \kappa \rho o \hat{v}$, sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda ı \kappa i a g$ (cf. Lc. xix. 3) ; Deissmann, however ( $B$. St. p. 144 f.), offers some evidence of the word being used in reference to age ( $\mu$ ккоós = minor). Whether from stature or age this James was thus distinguished in the Church of Jerusalem. 'I $\omega \sigma \hat{\eta}$ ros: see vi. 3 , note.

каі $\Sigma a \lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \mu]$ Mt. каì $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ viō $Z_{\epsilon} \beta \epsilon \delta a i o v$, but according to the Gospel acc. to the Egyptians Salome
 $\tau \in \kappa о \hat{\imath} \sigma a)$; Jo. (apparently, see West-
 aúroû. See notes on i. 19, x. 35 ff. The name, which is given only by Mc. (here and xvi. r), is left without identification, for it was well known in the Church, and among women connected with the Gospel narrative it was unique. It is the Heb. fem. name aibé with a Gk. ending, like Mapaíuvך (Dalman, Gr. p. 122, cf. Blass, Gr. p. 30). The name belonged to several members of the Herod family; see vi. 22, note, and cf. the indices to Josephus (ed. Niese).
 ${ }_{\kappa т \lambda}$.] Of. Lc. viii. 2, where besides

Mary of Magdala are mentioned 'I $\omega$ áva

 These were doubtless among the
 names had less significance than those which Me. mentions; they probably returned to their homes in Galilee after the Passover, and thus faded out of the memory of the Christian

 $\tau \omega \nu$ av̇raîs. Their ministry continued
 $\nu o v \sigma a t$ aù $\tau \hat{\varphi})$; Jerome: "ceteris relinquentibus Dominum mulieres in officio perseverant...et ideo meruerunt primue videre resurgentem." For
 note; for avdavaßaivel cf. Gen. I. 7, Exod. xii. 38, I Esdr. viii. 5, Acts xiii. 31 .

42-47. The Burial of the Lord (Mt. xxvii. 57-6r, Le. xxiii. 50-55, Jo. xix. $3^{8-42 \text { ). }}$
 already 3 p.m. when the Lord expired, and some interval must be allowed for the subsequent interview of Joseph with Pilate ( 0.43 ff ), so that sunset was not far off when all was ready for the burial. 'Oqía is a relative term (ef. i. 32 , iv. 35 , vi. 47 , xiv. 17 , notes), and an hour before sunset would be relatively late in view of the approaching Sabbath.



   

 for inmediate action on the part of Joseph : the day was the eve of a Sabbath. Парабкєл', 'preparation,' had become a technical name for Friday, which is still so called in the Greek East; cf. Jos. ant. xvi. 6. $2 \stackrel{\text { è }}{\nu}$
 Did. 8 тєтра́д́a каі̀ тараскєиq̆ข. Mt. (xxvii. 62) uses it without explanation; Mc. for the benefit of his Western
 word already employed in Judith viii. 6 and in the titles of Psalms xci. (xcii.) $\boldsymbol{N}$, xcii. (xciii.) NB. Jo. (xix. I4) calls the day of the Crucitixion tapark. тov тá $\sigma \chi a$, but further on (xix. 3I) he describes it as immediately preceding the Sabbath; on the problem raised by his account see Westcott, Introduction to the Gospels, p- 329 ff. The Jews had already taken steps to provide for the removal of the bodies before the Sabbath (Jo. v. 3I ff., cf. Eo. Petr. 2, 5, notes); had they not been anticipated, the Lord's Body would have been committed to the common grave provided for criminals who had been hanged (cf. Lightfoot on Mt. xxvii. 58: cf. Eo. Petr. 2), and acc. to Dent. sxi. 23 , this would have happened before nightfall.
 Eusebiusonom.s.o.,followed by Jerome de situ, identifies Arimathaea with

 on the name see Driver ad $l$.), a Ramathaim or Ramah in Mt Ephraim which is possibly identical wither-Ram a few miles N.of Jerusalem. Eus., however, places it near Diospolis (Lydda), cf. i Mace. xi. 34 Au $\delta \delta a ̀$ kà 'PaӨaucir
(v. 1. 'PapaӨé $)$. On the breathing ('Ap.) see WH., Intr., p. 313. 'A $\pi$ d' $^{\text {'A } \rho ., ~}$ even if not preceded by the art., is probably to be connected with 'I $\omega \sigma$ ท' $\phi$, not with $\epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} v$, ©f. Lc. Jo., and comp. Jos.
 Moyos; for other instances of $\mathfrak{a} \pi \grave{o}$ in this sense cf. Jo. i. 45 , Acts vi. 9 (Blass, Gr. p. 122). Joseph was a $\beta$ ovdevtís (Mc. Le. ; the word passed into Rabbinic, see Dalman, Gr. p. 148), a senator i.e. a member of the Sanhedrin, as appears from Le.'s statement ( $v .51$ ) that he had not consented to the resolution which condemned Jesus. Mc.'s $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\cup} \sigma \chi \boldsymbol{\eta}-$ $\mu \omega \nu$ seems to answer to Mt.'s $\pi \lambda o v=\sigma o s$, cf. Acts xiii. 50 , xvii. 12 : this sense of the word is severely condemned by

 and Rutherford adds that it "seems confined to Christian writers," but he overlooks the exx. cited by Wetstein from Plutarch and Josephus; the latter (nit. 9) writing of the state of


 Similarly honesti homines are contrasted by Pliny with the plebs.



 statements seem to describe successive stages in the man's religious history. Originally he had beon in the position of Simeon (Le. ii. 25); there were not a few such in Jerusalem at the begimning of the century (Lc. ii. 38). The preaching of Jesus, perhaps at the first passover, made him a secret disciple; after the Resurrection











he became a member of the Church

 ${ }_{k \tau} \lambda$.] Acc. to Ps. Peter, Joseph is a friend of Pilate, and his petition is tendered immediately after the senthence las been pronounced; Pilate refers him to Herod, but the Body is ultimately given to Joseph by the Jews (Lv. Petr. 2, 6). To $\lambda \mu \eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$ as creates quite a different impression of Joseph's act. He summons up his courage to face the Procurator (on the phrase see Field, Notes, p. 44). The circumstances of the Passion, which wrecked the brave resolutions of the Apostles, made this secret disciple bold. The apr. part. has almost the force of an adv., cf. Vg. audacter introit; see Field, lc.
 $\kappa_{\alpha \pi \lambda .] ~ P e c u l i a r ~ t o ~ M c . ~ P i l a t e ~ w o n-~}^{\text {m }}$ dered whether Jesus was already dead, and was not satisfied until he had ascertained the fact from the responsible officer. Өaváá̧єı ci (cf. I Jo. iii. 13) leaves the fact slightly doubtful ; contrast $\theta$. oft in Jo. iv. 27, Gal. i. 6. The perfect ref $\theta v \eta \kappa \in \nu$ represents the Death as an existing state, whilst $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \operatorname{Gavev}$ in the indirect question which follows refers to it as momentary effect; 'is He dead?' Pilate asks himself, but to the centurin he says 'did you see Hin n
die V' (cf. WM., pp. 339, 679). Death seldom supervened so soon in the case of the crucified; they lived for two or three days, and in some cases died at last of starvation rather than of their wounds (Ens. H. E. viii. 8). Cf. Origen : "miraculum denim drat quonim post tres horas receptus est qua forte biduum victurus rat in cruces." Our Lord died first of the three, cf. Jo. xix. 33.
45. каi $\gamma^{\nu}$ oùs à àò тои̃ кєитvpímpos $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The centurion had returned to head-quarters, and was able to report the fact (cf. v. 39). Upon this Pilate granted the Body (donavit corpus), as Mc. says in language which savours of an official character (cf. Mt. $\epsilon \in \epsilon \in \notin \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a t$ is used especially of royal or Divine bounty, see Gen. xxx. so, I Esdr. i. 7, viii. 55, Esth. viii. 1, 2 Pet. i. 3 f. (the only other example in the N.T.). Пт $\hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a}$ has the same ring; the Body which 'saw no corruption' is not elsewhere called 'a corpse' (cf. vi. 29, Apoc. xi. 8 f.), but to Pilate it would appear merely in that light; $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ( ( $о \hat{0}$ 'I I $\sigma o \hat{v}, ~ a u ̛ \tau o \hat{u})$ is substituted in Mt. Le. Jo. er $\omega \mu a$ is used of the carcases of animals, e.g. Jud. xiv. 8 тò $\pi \tau$. тồ $\lambda$ éouros: when employed for the dead body of a human being it carries a tone of contempt (cf. egg. Sap. iv. $19 \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ä̃ $\tau \mu o v$,
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Ezech. vi. 5, A). The majority of the uncial mss. avoid the word here, and borrow $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ from Mt. Le. Jo.; and the Latin versions similarly prefer corpus to cadaver.
46. каі à áopávas $\sigma u$ doóva $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] On his way back to Golgotha Joseph provides himself with linen; on $\sigma u-$ $\delta \omega^{\prime} y$ see xiv. 51 ; the word is used here of linen in the piece, not of a garment; it was still, as Mt. says, кäapá, fresh and unused (cf. xi. 2, note). His next task was to remove the Body from the Cross. Ka $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$, cf. v. 36 , Acts xiii. 29; the word is common in this sense, cf, e.g. Jos. x. 27 кa $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{i \lambda \psi}$


 B. J. iv. 5. 2 тò̀s àvaftavooupévovs
 rev. Other examples will be found in Field, Notes, p. 44. The Romans used detrahere in a similarsense; cf. Petron. sat. iii. "miles...cruces servabat ne quis ad sepulturam corpora detraheret." In this work Joseph was probably not alone; though the little crowd of assistants with which the poetry of Rubens' great picture has surrounded him is imaginary, $S t$ John's account (v. 39 f.) leads us to suppose that his brother Sanhedrist Nicodemus was already on the spot. Nicodemus had brought a large supply of the spices used for embalming
 wis $\lambda i t \rho a s$ ésatól, a hundred pounds of aromaties made up in a compact roll). The Body was then taken by the two men ( $\boldsymbol{\text { haßavo }}$ Jo.), bathed perhaps (Ps. Peter, cf. Acts ix. 37), and wrapped
 $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu, \mathrm{Mc}$., єौ $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$, Ps. Pet.; cf. i legn. xxi. 9) in the linen between the folds of which the spices were freely crumbled ( $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \frac{2}{a} \rho \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, J_{0}$.), and finally bound with strips of cloth ( $\epsilon \circ \eta \sigma a \nu$ dOoviots, Jo.), after the Jewish manner of burying (Jo.). The picture may be completed by comparing what is said of Lazarus in Jo. xi. 44, and the account of the grave clothes in Jo. xx. 7: the Hands and Feet were bound with
 was covered with a face-cloth (rovSáptov). All was now ready for the interment.
 Mc. knows only that the tomb was artificially constructed, cut out of a rock, the resting-place of some rich citizen; cf. Isa. xxii. 16 eגaró $\mu \eta \sigma a s$


 rock-hewn chambers abound on the S., W., and N.W. of the city ; see Robinson, Researches, i. p. 517 ff., and Mr Fergusson's art. Tombs in Smith's $B . D$. This tomb was a new one which had never been used (Mt. Lc. Jo.), and had been prepared by Joseph for his own burial (Mt.) ; it was in a garden adjacent to the place of crucifixion (Jo.). The garden was presumably the property of Joseph, a 'paradise'; according to Eo Petr. 6 the spot bore the name K $\hat{\eta} \pi$ os ' $I \omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \phi$. On the custom of burying in gardens see 4 Regn. xxi. 18, 26, 2 Esdr. xiii. 16. For $\bar{\epsilon} \theta_{\eta \kappa є \nu}$ the more technical word катє́Anкєи las beeu substituted in most of the mss.











$\kappa а \grave{̀} \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa i \lambda \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \lambda i \theta o \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.] AiBov


 sents the matter as requiring the services of all who were present ( $\dot{0} \mu o \hat{v}$
 was afterwards, at the desire of the Jews, sealed and guarded (Mt.), cf. Ev. Petr. 6. The opening was usually closed with a stone, if any of the loculi were occupied; cf. Jo. xi. $3^{8}$

 was rolled to the opening ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu \lambda i \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ here only and in the corresponding context in Mt.; cf. Jos, x. 18 кvдібare
 Mr Latham (Risen Master, p. 33, and illustr. 1 ; cf. E. Pierotti, Jerusalem Explored (E. Tr., 1864), ii., plate lvi. fig. 3) imarines "a massive circular disc of stone, much like a grindstone of four feet diameter," rolled along "a furrow grooved out of the rocky soil"; but кv入iєu $\lambda i \theta_{o \nu}$ does not in itself suggest more than the rolling of a mass of stone along the ground: cf. I Regn. xiv. 33, Prov. xxvi. 27, Zech. ix. I6. Mv ${ }^{2} \mu a$ and $\mu \nu \eta \mu i o \nu$ scem to be employed indiscriminately (cf. v. 2 ff.), unless $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ is here a loculus; the Vg . uses monumentum for both words. According to Jo. (v. 42) the Body was placed in Joseph's tomb on account of its proximity to the Cross-till the

Sabbath was over, perhaps, and not with a view to a permanent interment; cf. Jo. xx. 13, 15 .
 Magdalene and the other Mary (c.4o) had remained on the spot, and were watching the action of Joseph and Nicodemus; of. Origen: "caritas duarum Mariarum colligarit eas ad monumentum novum, propter corpus Iesu quod fuerat ibi." Ambrose: "sexus nutat, devotio calet." They sat opposite to the place of sepulture (Mt., à áćvavтı тồ ráфov), and saw the Body carried in, so that they knew where it lay. Té $\theta_{\epsilon \epsilon \tau a i}$ Le. $\epsilon^{\prime} \tau \in \theta_{\eta}:$ for the perf. cf. $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \in \nu(v .44)$. Their thought was, 'He is there (contrast xyi.
 Him when the sabbath is past.' Victor remarks : àvaүкаia каі̆ катà $\theta \in \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\eta}$


 тоîs $\mu a \theta_{\eta \tau a i ̂ s . ~ M a p i a ~}^{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta}$ tos sc. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \eta \rho(v .40$ ) ; the 'Western' text substitutes 'lakćßou (sce app. crit.).
XVI. 1-8. Visit of the Women to the Tomb on tie Third Day (Mt. xxviii. 1-8, Lc. xxiv. I-IO; ef. Jo. xx. Iff.).
 When the Sabbath was over (i.e. after sunset on the day which followed the Crucifixion), the three women named in xv. 40 went forth to purchase aromatics for the anoiuting of the
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Body (Mc. Lc.). According to D the purchase apparently took place on Friday (before the Sabbath began). They had probably seen Joseph and Nicodemus use spices freely in the process of wrapping it for burial (Jo. xix. 4o, cf. xv. 46 f., notes), but they desired to add more externally, and to apply fragrant oils (Lc. кai $\mu$ ípa, cf.
 dent at Bethany (xiv. 3 ff.) was perhaps fresh in their memory, and suggested this final ministry. For dacivecoat 'to intervene' in reference to intervals of time cf. Acts xxy. 13, xxvii. 9; the verb is used in this sense from Demosthenes downwards. For abó$\mu a t a$, ef. 2 Chr. xvi. I4 ${ }^{\text {c̈ }} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ aquav aùò̀
 $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta \mu \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu \quad \mu \nu \rho \in \psi \hat{\omega} \nu$, and the list of spices in Sir. xxiv. I 5 ; and see xiv. 3 , 8 , notes.
2. $\left.\lambda i ́ a \nu \pi \rho \omega i t \frac{\hat{\eta}}{\mu L a ̂} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma a \beta \beta . \kappa \tau \lambda.\right]$





 the canovical accounts, notwithstanding a remarkable independence of expression, point one way. The last hours of Saturday night were already giving place to the first signs of dawn when the three women started
for Joseph's garden; the morning watch had begun, but it was yet dark. Mc. adds àvarєiגaptos toû tiniov, words which are scarcely less inconsistent with his own diav $\pi \rho \omega i$ than with Jo.s akatias ${ }^{\text {entiti }}$ ov̈örs. The harmonists have from the first been exercised by the apparent contradiction, as the reading of $D$ and some other 'Western' authorities seems to shew : see note on i. 32 , and cf. Aug. cons. ev. iii. 65"oriente iam sole, id est, cum caelum ab orientis parte iam albesceret." But the correction (for such it seems to be) gives little relief; the same moment of time can hardly be described as diav $\pi \rho \omega i$ and as 'sunrise.' It is better to regard Mc.'s note as a compressed statement of two facts; the two women started just before daybreak and ar-

 т $\omega \nu$ (Acts xx. 7, I Cor. xvi. 2), on the first day after the Sabbath (Bede: "prima sabbatorum prima dies est a die sabbatorum"), cis being used by a common Hebraism for $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ (WM., p. 31I, Blass, Gr. p. 140) ; cf. $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi$ raßßáтov, $v .9$, where however $\sigma \dot{\beta} \beta \beta a-$ rov is probably used for 'the week,' as in Le. xviii. 12.
3. Ё̀tєyov т $\rho o ̀ s$ éavtás кт入.] Mc. only. On the way they renembered the stone which they had seen Joseph







#### Abstract

  $\mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{pe}} \mathrm{e} f \mathrm{f} \mathrm{n}$ syrr ${ }^{\text {（dinn｜her }}$（Eus）subito autem ad horam tertiam tencbrae diei（leg．diei tenebrac）factae sunt per totum orben terrae et descenderunt de caelis angeli ct sur－ gent（leg．surgentes，nisi forte surgente cf．F．C．Burkitt，Itala，p．94）in claritate vivi dei simul ascenderunt cum eo et continuo lux facta est tunc illae accesserunt ad  $\mathrm{NBL}]$ алокєк． $\mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{X} Г \Delta \Pi \Sigma$ minoran vid  jots $\delta \in \xi$ tots syr ${ }^{\text {hier }}$


roll to the entrance of the tomb and leave there，and they began to talk （ $\AA \lambda \epsilon$ you）about it．It would require more than the strength of three women to remove it．Ps．Peter（c．xi．）expands тis à $\pi о к \nu \lambda i \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ктд．into a set speech which is at once feeble and confused． For $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ e ́ a v t a ́ s=\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda i ́ \lambda a s, ~ c f . ~$ xi．3I，xiv．4，notes．＇Aтоктגíte， the opposite of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma к и \lambda i \epsilon i v(x y .46)$ ； the form кu入itcy begins in Aristo－ phanes to take the place of the older
 known to Bibl．Gk．The compound a่токид．occurs in Gen．xxix．3ff．in reference to the removal of a stone from the mouth of a well．＇E $\kappa \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s$ Өípas：Lc less exactly，àmò tồ $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i o v(c f$. W M．，p．454）．
 time they are near the knoll out of the side of which the tomb had been cut；the sun has risen，and involun－ tarily looking up at the mention of the stone they see that it has been displaced．The change from dinoкv－ $\lambda_{i \in t y}$ to the rarer and more difticult àvacunicty is evidence of Mc．＇s care for accuracy in detail；the stone was not rolled right away，but rolled back so as to leave the opening frec；cf．Eo．


$\eta$ yoi $\gamma \eta$ ．The perf．，as in xv．44，47，adds to the vividncss of the narrative： we hear the women exclaim＇Avakeкu＇－入ıarat－their tis diлoкu入íct；has been answcred，and their wish，idle as it had seemed，is realised．${ }^{\text {s }} \mathrm{H} \nu \gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \gamma$ as $\sigma \phi \dot{0} \delta \rho a$ either accounts for their being able to see what had occurred before they reached the spot，or it explains why the sight arrested their attention． Mt．attributes the removal of the stone to the descent of an Angel，accom－ panied by an earthquake；the Angel sits upon the stone which he has rolled away，and is there apparently when the women arrive．It is impossible to feel any confidence in Thpht．＇s attempt to reconcile the two accounts ：évס氏́ $\chi$ eтa

 кai ci⿱㇒木е $\lambda$ Góvta．A remarkable gloss follows $v .3$ in $k$（see app．crit）；of． the story in Eo．Petr． 9.

 not mention the fact of their entering； see last note．In Jo．Mary Magda－ lene arrives at the tomh alone，and all the circumstances are different． The attempt to harmonise these int－ dependent narratives is beset with difficulty；see however Tatian＇s scheme （IIIll，p． 252 ff ），and the provisional
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arrangement proposed by Bp Westcott (St John, p. 288 f.). On the special appearance to Mary Magdalene, which characterises the Johannine tradition, see below, 0.9 f., notes.

According to Mc. the women on entering were startled and awestricken (є́к $\theta a \mu \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a ц$, cf. ix. 15 , xiv. 33 , notes) to see a young man sitting év roîs
 Jo. xxi. 6), on the right hand side of the tomb, clad in a loug robe ( $\sigma$ rod $\eta$, cf. xii. 38 , note) of dazzling whiteness ( $\lambda \in u \kappa \eta \dot{\eta}$, ef. ix. 3, note). Mt., who identifies the veaviokos as an Angel, has a fuller description: ${ }^{7} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta$

 men sce two men standing over then in flashing raiment ('̇ $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ av̇rais $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \tau o v \sigma \eta)$. The very diversity of the accounts strengthens the probability that the story rests upon a basis of truth; the impressions of the witnesses differed, but they were agreed upou the main facts. The conception of the Angel as a young man clad in bright attire finds an interesting parallel in 2 Macc.



 $\mu$ evor. Similarly Josephus (ant. v. 8. 2) describes the Angel who appeared to Manoah's wife as фívтaбнa
 Cf. also Ee. Petr. 9, II. On ка家$\mu \in \nu \sigma \nu$ see WM., p. 434 ; $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ̈ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ $\sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \nu$, Blass, Gr. pp. 92, 113 , and ef. xiv. $5 \mathrm{I}, \Delta$ poc. vii. I3, $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{I}$; on $\sigma$ тo $\lambda \dot{\eta}$

入eukj́ sec ix. 3, and Apoc. vi. in, vii. 9, I3.
6. $\delta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ aùrais $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The Angel is not an apparition merely (vi. 50) ; he speaks to the women and
 fears. Lc. follows another tradition of the Angel's words, but Mt. is in substantial agreement with Me.; Mc.'s account, however; derives peculiar life and freshness from the absence of conjunctions in the first five clauses.
 has just mentioned the terror which struck the guards at the sight of the Angel; but the contrast would have no meaning for the women, and can scarcely have found a place in the
 only) strikes a familiar note in the memories of these Galilean women (cf. i. 24, x. 47, xiv. 67, notes) ; Tò $\nu$ é $\sigma \tau a v \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu(M \mathrm{Mt} . \mathrm{Mc}$.) rather than rò $\nu$ araupw $\theta$ кiva, for the event is recent, and the Person is still living; cf. I Cor. i. 23 , Gal. iii. i, and contrast Jo. xix. 20, 2 Cor. xiii. 4, Apoc. xi. 8, where the aor. suffices to express the historical circumstance. ' ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{f} \rho \theta \eta$, the Resurrection is an acconplished fact, the moment is already past; contrast éy'ryeprai in I Cor. xy. 4, 20, where the purpose is to emphasise the abiding truth of the Lord's risen life. ${ }^{*}$ I $\delta \in \dot{\delta}$ то́лоs $\kappa т \lambda$. 'here is the loculus where the Body lay; you can see for yourselves that it is not there' (Jerome: "ut si meis verbis non creditis vacuo credatis sepulchro"). In Mt. the Angel reminds the women that the Lord had foretold the issue of the








 de $\Lambda C L \Gamma \Delta I I$ minomnid go
 passing reference is expanded into a citation of the prophecy ( $\mu v \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta_{\eta r e}$ ws èdà $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ vi $\mu i \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. .), the Evangelist
 av่rou. But the prophecy was addressed, so far as we kuow, to the Twelve only, and the reference to it, or at least the citation, probably formed no part of the earliest tradition.
 p. 551) recalls their thoughts from the wonder and awe of the anmouncement which they had just received to the duty which lay immediately before them; it "breaks off the discourse and turns to a new matter" (Alford). They must go with speed (Taxi, Mt.) and deliver a message to the disciples. Mc. adds кal т $\hat{̣}$ Пє́тp $\omega$, 'and in particular to Peter'; cf. Acts i. 14 avv रvvaı ${ }^{\prime}$ iv каi Mapıá, and the less complete parallel in i. 5 it 'Iovoaía $\chi$ cipa
 Peter is named, both as the first of the Eleven, and probably also to assure him that his denials are forgiven (Thpht. : wis корvpaios........iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ бкау-
 oîa à $\rho \eta \neq a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s-\mathrm{cf}$. Bede: "vocatur ex nomine ne desperaret ex negatione"); cf. I Cor. xy. 5 ẅ $\phi \theta_{\eta} \mathrm{K} \eta \phi \bar{a}$, cita tois $\delta \omega \dot{\delta}$ cka. The message would open of course with the tidings of the
 but its purpose was to turn the steps of the Apostles to Galilee whither
the Master would precede them.
 Mc.) ; cf. xiv. 28, note; the reminder is nccessary, for the words of Christ would be forgotten for the while in the excitement of the great events which had occurred. It is more difficult to understand why the matter should have been so urgent if a week at least was to intervene before the Risen Christ left Jerusalem (Jo. xx. 26). Perhaps it was important to dispel at the outset any expectations of an immediate setting up of the Kingdom of God in a visible form at Jernsalem (cf. Acts i. 6). Kat凶̀s $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$ úaiv: Mt., with a complete change of reference, î̀ò̀ єituov yû̀v.
 picture is true to psychological probability. At first the Augel's words only increased their terror; they turned and fled from the tomb, trembling and unable for the moment to colleet their thoughts or control themselves. On ёкбтaбıs see v. 42 note, and cf. Le. v. 26, Acts iii. 10, x. Io;
 p. 44 f. and Deissmann, B. St. p. 293), кareǐєц, cf. Jos. ant. v. 1,18 катá-
 exx. see Field ad $l$. As they came to themselves and began to realise the truth, joy mingled with their fear and
 $\left.\chi^{\alpha} a \bar{a} s \mu \epsilon \gamma^{a} \lambda \eta s\right)$, and their flight was changed into an eager haste to de-







liver their message ( $\tilde{\epsilon} \dot{\partial} \rho a \mu o \nu \dot{a} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda a$ ктл.). But Mc.'s narrative comes to an abrupt end before this second stage of feeling has been reached; fear still prevails, and the shock has been too severe to permit them to say a word
 cirav is too general a statement to
 Lc. x. 4); until their terrors had subsided they had no thought for the Angel's message and no tongue to tell it. According to Le. xxiv. 9 it was delivered by them afterwards; cf. $v v$. 1o, 1 , notes, and Jo. xx. I8. With the abrupt ending comp. ix.
 however is not exact, and it is perhaps improbable that the Evangelist deliberately concluded a paragraph with éфoßoûvтo $\gamma$ á (cf. WH., Notes, p. 46). As Mr Burkitt suggests (Two Lectures, p. 28), some object may have followed the verb. For an instance of a broken sentence at the end of an imperfect document see I Esdr. ir. 55, compared with 2 Esdr. xviii. I3.

9-If. The Appearance to Mary Magdalene (Jo. xx. II-18).
9. àvactàs $\hat{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{E} \pi \rho \omega i ́ k r \lambda$.] The sequence is suddenly broken, and Mary Magdalenc, who is one of the three women mentioned in xvi. i, becomes, as in $J_{0}$. xx., the subject of a distinct narrative which in form at least is not consistent with tise Marcan tradition. She is introduced to the reader, as if she had not been named before ( $\pi a \rho$ ) ifs $\kappa \tau \lambda$.); alone of the three she sees the Lord, and announces the Resur-
rection to the Eleven, and no explanation is given of this unexpected tarn in the events. Lastly, the paragraph has evidently been detached from some document in which the Lord has been the subject of the preceding sentence; in its present position ó 'Inбôs is imperatively required (cf. WH., Notes, p. 51). On the general question of the nuthorship of the fragment xvi. 9-20 and its relation to the Gospel, see the Introduction. חowt is doubtless to be taken with àvarтás, not with équivn, and thus it determines the time when the Resurrection took place-on the third day, as the Lord had foretold, though before daybreak, perhaps in the earliest hour of the morning watch.
 $\sigma a \beta \beta$ ár $\omega \nu$ ( $v$. I, note); the use of $\pi \rho \bar{\omega}-$ ros in this phrase is apparently unique, though we have $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta$ मे $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho a$ т $\bar{\nu}$ a $\} \dot{\imath} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ in xiv. 12, Mt. xxvi. 17. The Gospels moreover seem to prefer $\sigma \dot{\beta} \beta$ Bara in this connexion, but cf. I Cor.
 occurs here only in reference to an appearance of the risen Christ; see, however, Num. xxiii. 4 '́ 'фávy ó $\theta$ eàs
 A more usual term is ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi e_{\eta}$, Lc. xxiv.
 Acts i. 3, and ${ }^{\circ} \psi \in \sigma \theta \in \quad$. 7, supra. That the Lord appeared first to the Magdalene may have been inferred from the narrative of Jo. xx . IIff. St Paul's K $\eta \phi \hat{a}$ fỉza rois $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa a$ (I Cor. xv. 5) determines only the relative order of the appearance to Peter and the other Apostles.



  $\mu \in \tau$ avtou] pr autocs D tocs $\mu$. avtys syr ${ }^{\text {hier }}$

 was known also to Lc. (viii. 2 á $\phi$ ' ifs $\delta$.
 here only: for mapá with the gen. indicating the quarter from which a movement proceeds see viii. If, xii. 2 , xiv. 43 , and on its distinction from á $\boldsymbol{q}_{\dot{\prime}}$ cf. WM., p. 456 f. 'E $\pi \tau$ dà $\delta a u$ óvta ('septenarii spiritus," Tertullian, cited above p. 95) recalls Mt. xii. 45, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 striking contrast in Apoc iii. I rà $\begin{gathered}\text {. } \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$

 $\pi \nu є ข \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. To Celsus it appeared to be a fatal objection to the Christian faith that the earliest witness of the Resurrection should have been, on the shewing of the Gospels themseives, a $\gamma v v \dot{\eta} \pi a ́ p o t \sigma \tau \rho o s . ~ T h e ~ o b j e c t i o n ~ r e-~$ peats itself, though the tone is widely different, in the last words of Renan's chapter on Jésus au tombeau: "pourvoir divin de l'amour! moments sacrés où la passion d'une hallucinée donue au monde un Dieu ressuscité!" But the hallucination of the Magdalene belongs to the $\mu \omega \rho \grave{\nu}$ тov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{u}$, which is at once wiser and stronger than men. Renan, however, has ludicrously overestimated the place which Mary Magdalene holds among the witnesses of the Resurrection; cf. Les Apôtres, p. 13, "la gloire de la résurrection appartient donc à Marie de Magdala; après Jésus, c'est Marie qui a le plus fait pourla fondation du christianisme." So far was this from being recognised by the Apostolic age that St Paul does not even mention her in his summary of the evidence ( r Cor. xy. 5 ff.).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf. Jo., $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \ldots . . \dot{\gamma} \gamma \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda о v \sigma a$ tois $\mu a \theta_{\eta}$ rais. Both accounts are singularly devoid of the amimation which such a moment would suggest; contrast ëdpa $\frac{1}{\circ} \nu$, Mt. xxviii. 8, and praecurrens, which some O.L. texts substitute here. 'Eкєivn, illa, cf. v. 13: the pronoun is neither emphatic nor antithetic, mercly indicating the subject, as in Jo. v. 46, vii. 45-a nonMarcan use ; cf. Blass, Gr. p. 168. Mc. seems also to have avoided the colourless ropev́ $\sigma \theta a t$, which occurs abundantiy in the other Gospels, and thrice in this context; in ix. 30 , if genuine, it has the specific sense of taking a journey. Tois $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ à̉roû $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \boldsymbol{f}$ vots: 'to those who had been with Him,' ef. ii. 19, iii. 14, Jo. siii. 33, xvii. 12, Acts iv. 13. In their strictest sense the words describe only the Apostolic body, yet see Acts i. 2 r ; all the other $\mu$ aitirai who were in Jerusalem at the time were probably in the company (comp. a. I2, note, Acts i. 13 ff.). Though Jerusalem was keeping the Feast, the disciples were occupied in mourning and bewailing their loss; ef. Jo. xvi. 20
 combination $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \imath \nu \quad к а i \quad \kappa \lambda a i \epsilon \iota \nu$ is frequent, cf. 2 Regu. xix. i, 2 Esdr. xi. 4, xviii. 9, Le. vi. 25 , Jas. iv. 9, Apoc. xyiii. 11, 15, 19; the present passage is apparently imitated by




 $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{u}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta \mathrm{a}$ ).
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#### Abstract

  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma a \nu \alpha u \tau \eta\left(-\tau \omega \mathrm{D}^{*}\right) \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{I} 2$ om $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau o v \sigma c y I \mathrm{syr}^{\mathrm{hiet}} \mathrm{arm}$


 According to Jo．，Mary＇s report was conveyed in the words＇Eẃpaka rò kuplov．＇This writer＇s account goes further：Mary can testify that the －Master is alive（ $\zeta \hat{\eta}$ ）；what she had seen was not a mere vision．This was the constant belief of the eye－ witnesses：Lc．xxiv．5，23，Acts i．3， xxy．19，Rom．vi．Io，Apoc．i． 18 ， ii．8．${ }^{9} \mathrm{E} \theta \in \dot{d} \theta_{\eta}$ ：this word，which is not used in the genuine work of Mc． but occurs frequently in $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ ．，seems to point to the beauty and wonderful－ ness of what she saw ；ef．Jo．i．I4，32， Acts i．II，xxii．9，i Jo．i．ı，iv．I2，I4． For the aor．pass．see Mt．vi．I，xxiii． 5 ． Our writer uses $\theta \in a \sigma \theta a \iota$ agrain in $v$ ．I4， but in the middle．
$\eta \pi i \sigma \pi \eta \sigma a y]$ Of this result Jo．says nothing；Lc．connects it with the message of the women（xxiv．I I é申áy－
 orove avizais）－the occasion is possibly the same，for no Evangelist mentions both visits；cf．V．8，note．＇ATんUTє $\hat{\imath}$ ， which is common in class．Gk，occurs but seldom in the N．T．（Lc．ev． 2 ，act． ， Paul ${ }^{2}$ ，I Pet．${ }^{1}$ ，and twice in this frag－ ment，$v v . ~ I 1,16$ ）；the stronger $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon c-$ Afiv is more firequent in Biblical Gk， （Lxx．${ }^{48}$ ，N．＇I．${ }^{14}$ ）；the relative meanings of the two may be studied in Heb．iii． I2， 18 f ．，iv．II，where $\dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i a$ is seen to pass readily into antiOeta．＇The disciples had reached only the first stage；sec o．I4，note．

I2－I3．Appearanoe to two Dis－ cIPLES ON THEIR WAY INTO THE coUnthy（Lc．xxiv．I 3－32）．

writer knows only that this manifes－ tation was subsequent to that which was fouchsafed to the Magdalene（cf． $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau о$ ，c．9）；from Lc．we learn that it took place on the same day（ $\frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ avi $\tilde{\eta}$
 is not a Marcan phrase，but oceurs frequently in Lc．and Jo．（Le．ev． 5 ，act． 4 ， Jo．${ }^{12}$ ）．The two belonged to the company of the Eleven，for $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ avi $\omega \bar{\nu}$ apparently looks back to excivo in the proceding verse；in Lc．，where the same phrase occurs，the reference is less distinct，but the Apostolic party are probably intended（cf．v．ro）． They were walking when they met Him，on their way to the country（eis


 ＇Eんuaov＇s．A walk of about seven English miles brought them to this place，which cannot therefore have been Emmaus Nicopolis，now Am－ wâs， 22 miles from Jerusalem on the Jaffa road（I Macc．iii．40，57，iv．3， Jos．ant．xiii．I．3，etc．；cf．Eus．
 see Neubaner，géogr．du T．，p． 100 f．） Josephus（B．J．vii．6．6）mentions a $\chi \omega \rho i o y$ of the same name，distant from Jerusalen ata8iovs тpiáкоvтa （v．l．є́ $\xi \dot{\eta} \kappa \circ=\tau a)$ which may be identical with Lc．＇s ка́ц $\quad$ Caspari suggests Mozah（Josh．xviii．26），which in some mss．of the LXX．appears as
 site is necessarily undetermined，but el－Kubeibeh，Kulonieh，and el－Kham－ asa have been proposed，places which lie respectively N．W．，W．，and S．W．of






the city. Of these Kulonieh, or rather the adjacent Beit Mizza (Mozah), seems to have the best claim.
Lc. gives the name of one of the two disciples as $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\epsilon о́} \mathrm{\pi as}, \mathrm{i.e} .\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{\lambda єí} \mathrm{\pi ar-}$ pos (cf. 'Aytitas = 'Aytitarpas, Apoc. ii. 13, and see Lightfoot, Galatians, p. 267).
 mation analogous to that described in ix. 2, but the account in Le. forbids this; there was clearly nothing in tle Lord's appearance to distinguish Him from any other wayfaring man. The words must be explained as contrasting the Magdalene's impression ( $v .9$ ) with that received by the two ; to her He had seemed to be a кптоиpós (Jo. xx. I5), to them He appeared in the light of a auvodomóops. Lc. explains that their inability to recognise Him was due to their own infatuation (xxiv. 16) ; when that was removed, they krew Him at once
 have been expected in this comexion, but $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, as Lightfoot suggests, may have been "avoided instinctively, as it might imply an illusion or an imposture" (Philippians, p. 129). For the Gnostic notion that the Lord's humanity possessed the power of assuming different forms see Acta Johannis, I ff. (ed. James, p. 3). A similar property is ascribed to St Thomas (Acta Thomae, 34, ed. Tisclı,


 поis кт $\lambda$.] Vg. et illi euntes nuntiaverunt ceteris (cf. éкivi, $\boldsymbol{v}$. Io, note ; on the crasis кák, see Gregory, prolegg. i.
p. 96). The circumstances are given by Lc. (xxiv. 33 f ). Oủbè ékeivors emiotevoay. The writer of the fragment is evidently not indebted to Lc. for his knowledge of the facts, for according to Le. the two were met by their brethren at Jerusalem with the
 Those who shared this conviction would certainly not have been unwilling to find a confirmation of their hopes in the tidings from Emmans. At the same time there may have been and probably was (cf. Mt. xxviii. 16, Jo. xx 24 f.) another current of feeling which was adverse to the testimony of Simon, and those who were under its influenco would have rejected the story of the two. Aug. is possibly right in his view of this apparent discrepancy: "quid intellegendum est nisi aliquos ibi fuisse qui hoc nollent credere?" Ov̇ठ́́ takes up and accentuates the negative implied
 not fare better than the solitary woman who had been the first to announce the Resurrection.

I4-18. Appearances to the Eleven (Lc. xxiv. 36-43, Jo. xx. 19-23, Mt. xxviii. 16-20: ef. I Cor. xv. 5 ff.).
 after manifestations vouchsafed to an individual and to two disciples not of Apostolic rank, the Lord revealed Itimself to the Apostolic college. The paragraph which follows seems to be a summary of the various narratives within the writer's knowledge which spoke of appearances to that body. It is without note of time or

place, and $v .19$ suggests that it is intended to cover the whole period between the evening of the Resurrec-tion-day and the Ascension. "Yorepoy 8́', another non-Marcan phrase, completes the series started by $\pi \rho \omega-$ rov (0.9) and continued by $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ ò



 $\left.\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma_{\chi} a \tau o \nu\right) \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. 'Avakeçéfvots avitoís тois évofka ép.: the first visit of the risen Christ to the Eleven themselves was paid when they were at table. This circumstance agrees with the time of day (Lc. xxiv. 29, 33, Jo. xx. 19), and moreover seems to be implied in Le. xxiv. 4I, where they answer the Lord's question ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \in \tau \in \epsilon$ $\beta \rho \omega ́ \sigma t \mu o \nu$ by producing some cooked fish (ix $\begin{gathered}\text { vios óntồ } \mu \text { épos). Aitois roís }\end{gathered}$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa а, ~ i p s i s$ (not illis, Yg .) undecim: aúrois contrasts the Eleven as a body with the isolated witnesses who had brought reports of the earlier manifestations. The use of oity $\overline{\text { In }}$. (cf. Lc.) does not decide the question whether the writer was aware of the absence of Thomas: 'the Eleven' are the Apostolic body regarded as an unit, cf. the use of oi $\delta \omega^{\prime} \delta \in \kappa a$ in $\mathrm{J}_{0 .} \mathrm{xx} .24$,
 a favourite word with St John, especially in reference to the self-manifestations of Christ (Jo. i. 3I, ii. i1, vii. 4, xxi. 1 bis, 14, 1 Jo. i. $2 b i s$, ii. 28 , iii. 5,8 ).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] The writer is still upon the note which he struck in $v v$. if, 13. He shews himself independent both of Jo., whose account scems to leave no place for this rebuke, and Lc., who represents the Eleven as disbelieving their own senses (vo. 37, 41); in our
fragment a middle course is taken which agrees with the previous con-
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma a \nu)$. ' $\Omega v e i \delta u \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is not used elsewhere of a censure pronounced by the Lord on the Apostles. He 'reproached'Bethsaida, Chorazin and Capernaum for their impenitence (Mt. xi. 20), but His unfavourable judgements on His disciples are expressed in rebukes (viii. 33), not in reproaches. It may have been that something sharper than rebuke (cf. xiv. 41, note) was necessary to rouse them from the faithless despondency into which they had been plunged by the Crucifixion; but the use of the word is more probably one sign among many of a handling less dulicate and psychologically exact than that to which we are accustomed in the canonical gospels. Th̀ àmıatia aù $\tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa$ каi $\sigma \kappa \lambda$. Nowhere clse is $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta$ poкapoía laid to the charge of the Apostles (cf. x. 5), or even dimıctia:
 xiv. 3I, xvi. 8); their faith is immature, wanting in promptncss, and sometimes on the point of collapse (Mc. iv. 4o, xi. 22, Lc. xxii. 32 ); there is a real danger lest they should drift into final unbelief (Jo. xx. $27 \mu \eta$ finov
 sense they are not. Similarly the Lord complains of the callousness (viii. 17), rather than of the hardness of their hearts; the latter state goes along with impenitence (Rom. ii. 5), and implies the absence or failure of love. The words are harsher than any which the Lord is elsewhere reported to have used towards His disciples, although it is possible, as has been suggested, that a peculiarly drastic treatment was necessary at this moment. "Ort, for that ; cf. WM.,






they had seen Him in His risen state;
 ${ }^{6}$ lave Him ia remembrance as (not raised merely but) risen.' See note on v. 6.

Jerome (c. Pelag. ii. 15) found here in some copies of the Gospel, chiefly Greek, the remarkable addition: "Et illi satisfaciebant dicentes, Saeculum istud iniquitatis et incredulitatis sub Satana est qui (codd. quae) non sinit per immundos spiritas ueram dei apprehendi uirtutem. ideirco iam munc reuela iustitiam tuan." The Greek text of this passage with its context has now come to light in the Freer ms. of the Gospels (W), which

 aìv oivios $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ àvopias кai $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ditıotias











 $\mu \eta \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \nu, ~ i ̀ v a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ở $\rho a \nu \hat{Q}$




On the text and interpretation of this fragment and its relation to the Marcan Appendix see Two new Gospel fragments in Lietzmann's Kleine Texte (E. tr., Cambridge, 1908), pp. 9-12.
 'The words are in strange contrast to
the stern reproof of the previous verse; the extreme compression which the writer of the fragment practises has led hin to connect two occasions which were separated by more than a week. At the first interview the Eleven were entrusted with a now mission ( $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \omega$ tipas, Jo.), but the particulars were reserved for the meeting in Galilec (Mt.). On the whole the present passage follows the lines of the Galilean charge; mopev$\theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ к \tau \lambda$. corresponds to Mt.'s тор.
 each account there is a reference to baptism as connected with the world. wide teaching. Yet there is no indication of dependence on Mt ; oul writer pursues his own course ( $v v$. 17 f .), and probably fuses later instructions with those which belong to the interview among the Galilean hills.

In Act. Pil. A (c. xiv.) these verses ( $15-18$ ) are quoted with the preface

 $\mu \in \nu о \nu \operatorname{Ma\mu i\lambda X}$ (al. Maцßín); see the note on this in Thilo, p. 617 ff .

That the Eleven were to be the leralds of the Gospel to the world, as the Master had been its leerald in Galilee (i. 14), was a revelation reserved for the days after the Resurrection; but the catholic mission of the Gospel had been foretold before the Passion, in nearly the same words that are used here (Mt. xxyi. 13, Mc. xiv. 9, notes). חáon $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ктiбєь has, however, a Pauline ring: in Mc. кtious is used only in the phrase $\alpha \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{d} \chi^{\prime} \hat{\eta} s$ ктiбє由s (x. 6, xiii. 19, notes); in St Paul we find it in its present connexion (Col. i. 23 roû củayye入íau oũ








кriбєє, where see Lightfoot's note). חáar $\dot{\eta}$ ктiots is 'the whole creation' (R.V.), as in Rom. viii. 22 : cf. Judith ix. $12 \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon \bar{v} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$ ктícés $\sigma o v$, xvi.
 3 Macc. ii. 2, 7, vi. 2. Here probably the plirase $=\pi a ́ \sigma \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ oiкov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ (Euth.) sc. to all men, cf. rávta тà $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta_{\nu} \eta$, Mt.; not however without an outlook upon the inanimate world, to which the Gospel offers the hopic of an àmoкатáबragts тávт $\omega \nu$ (Rom. l.c., 2 Pet. iii. I3).
 Vg. qui crediderit et baptizatus fuerit: the nor. participles describe nets which aro past in relation to the time of the principal verb, for both the acceptance of the Gospel and the ministration of baptism precede salvation (cf. Burton § 134 f.). Bantıoffís, pass., corresponds to $\beta$ antiloytes in Mt. ; converts were to receive baptism at the hands of the Eleven or of other disciples; the middle is used (Acts xxii. 16) where the voluntary submission of the recipient is chiefly in wiew. For $\sigma \omega \mathfrak{\omega} \xi \sigma \theta a c$ in the decper sense of gaining restoration to spiritual health see viii. $35\left(2^{\text {n }}\right)$, x. 26 , xiii. I 3 , notes. The connexion between mírts and owrmpia is illustrated in the Gospels by the miracles of healing, and in the Epistles takes its place as an axiom of Christian soteriology; baptism is less commonly but as distinctly associated with 'salvation' in the Apostolic

 доитрой падегүєнєб́as: cf. Le.'s use of
 aetar is of course not an unconditional promise of final restoration; cf. Euth.:


 is no need to repeat the reference to
 neglect of the sacrament of faith, but in itself it is sulficient to secure condemmation. Throughout the fragment this writer lays the greatest emphasis on the primary obligation of belicf and the sinfulness of unbelief. The present words are strougly Joliannine in tone (cf. Jo. jii. 18), though кataxpives does not belong to the vocabuLary of the Fourth Gospel. Neither the nature nor the ground of the sentence on umbelicf appears here; the latter comes into sight in Jo. iii. ig f.


 $\sigma \epsilon$. The promise is not limited to the Apostles; tois $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i \sigma a \sigma \omega$ includes their converts, and indeed seems specially to point to them ( Vg . eos qui crediderint, cf. $v$. I6). That it was fulfilled is evident from casial references in the Lpp. of St Paul, e.g. I Cor. xii. 28, Gal. iii. 5, though the former passage shows that the aqueia did not, even in the Apostles' age, attend every believer (roís $\pi$., not $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau c$ ). Their purpose was to be 'signs' of the Divine mission of the Chureh, not to accedit the faith of the individual. On $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$ see xiii. 22 , note; standing by itself as it does here, the word is characteristic of St Joln ( $\mathrm{Jo}^{16}$ ). In бqueia...тaviтa the pronoun is quasipredicative: 'these are the signs which shall follow.'





17 om $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a t s$ גa入. кatvacs $p \mid$ om katvats $\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{~L} \Delta \Psi$ arm me (hab $\mathrm{AC}^{2} \mathrm{DX}$ rell


'sign' had already 'followed' the Apostles in their Galilean mission (vi. 13), and the Seventy also (Lc.x. 17 ff.); indeed, the Name liad been occasionally used in this way by believers who were not even formally disciples (ix. 38). The post-Apostolic Church belicved itself to retain this power : cf. e.g. Justin, dial. 30 ajuepay каi





$\left.\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a, s \lambda_{a \lambda}{ }_{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma t \nu\right] \quad$ Cf. Acts ii.

 $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a t s$, x. 46 च̈коvà $\gamma \grave{\mathrm{a}} \rho$ à̇т $\bar{\nu} \lambda a$ $\lambda о \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \nu$ у $\lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a t s, i b$. xix. 6, 1 Cor. xii.
 $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, and the full treatment of the subject $i b$. c. xiv. Late in the second century Irenaeus (cf. Eus. II. E. v. 7) bears witness: $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a t s$. For various opinions as to the $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o \lambda a \lambda i a$ of the primitive Church see Stanley, Corinthians, p. 243 ff., Plumptre's art. Gift of Tongues in Smith's B. D. (iii. 1555 ff ) and A. Robertson's art. in Hastings (iv. p. 793 ff ), McGiffert, Hist. of Christianily, pp. 50 ff ., 52 I ff, A. Wright, Some N.T. problems, p. 277 ff. Kavais may have been suggested by the analogy
 the O. T. каı̀̀̀ $\frac{a}{a} \sigma \mu a$.



vं $\mu \mathrm{a} s$ où $\mu \dot{\eta}$ à $\dot{\delta} \mathrm{\delta} \times \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$. The incident in Acts xxviii. 3 f., though not a direct illustration, belongs to this class of $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$. More exact fulfilments are described by not-canonical writers, e.g. Papias according to Eus. H.E. iii. 39 tells of Barsabbas wis $\delta \eta \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \circ \mathrm{o}$
 ขтонкivaдтоs. The legend of St. John and the cup of poison in Act. Joh. (lisch. p. 270) may owe its origin to the saying which our fragment embodies: such stories abounded at a later time, cf. Thpht.: $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{c} \gamma \bar{a} \rho$ каì фápuaka $\pi$ cóvtes ס̀à tŷs toú бтavpoú
 the use made of this passage by pagan objectors in the fourth century see Macar. Magm. iii. 16 o $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathcal{v}^{\prime} \omega \nu$


 St Paul's doctrine of Love (I Cor. xiii. 8 ff.) suggests an answer to the dilemma. The classical $\theta a v a \sigma t \mu o s ~ o c c u r s ~$ here only in Biblical Gk., which elsewhere uses the poctieal $\theta a v a r \eta \phi$ ópos (Lxx. ${ }^{5}$, Jas. iii. 8).
 $\kappa_{\tau} \lambda$.] The Twelve had been commissioned to heal the sick, but while the Lord was with them they seem to have used unction, leaving to Him the imposition of hands (vi. I3, note). After the Ascension both signs were employed (see Acts ix. 12 , xxviii. 8 , Jas. v. 14), and the latter still lingers in the unctio extrema of the West and the $\epsilon v_{\chi} \in \lambda a s o y$ of the Eastern Church; an office for the anointing of the sick was provided in the first







English Prayerbook, but disappeared in 1552 . It is interesting to note the concurrence of the same two signs in the ceremonial which followed Baptism (cf. Mason, Confirmation, p. 12 f.). The classical ка $\lambda \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \nu$ occurs here only in the N. T.: cf. I Esdr. ii. 18 ; for ä $\rho \rho \omega \sigma$ os see vi. 5,13 .

19-20. The Ascension, and its sequel (Lc. xxiv. 50 ff., Acts i. 9; cf. I Pet. iii. 22, Rom. viii. 34, Heb. viii. I).
 On $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ oviv followed by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ see WM., p. 556, n.;' while oiv looks back to the preceding narrative with its usual consequential force, $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu . . . \delta \epsilon$ (v. zo) contrasts the new life into which the Lord passed by the Ascension with the work of those whom He left on earth. Mc. very seldom uses either oủy (x. 9, xi. 3I, xiii. 25 , xv. 12), or $\mu \grave{c} \nu . . . \delta e ́(x i i .5$, xiv. 21,28 ); $\dot{\delta}$ кúptos 'Inoous is without example in the Gospels, with the possible exception of Le. xxiv. 3 , though common in the Acts and occurring occasionally in St Paul ( I Cor. xi. 23, xvi. 23). M $\operatorname{crà}$ т̀̀ $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$ avंтois: the phraso secms to connect the preceding verses (1518) with the Ascension, as though they were an outhine of the farewell

 Acts i. 9 тaṽтa єimஸ̀ $\ldots \hat{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \theta \eta$. But, regard being had to the general character of the fragment, $\mu \in \tau$ c̀ rò $\lambda$. nay be interpreted, 'after the series of interviews with the Eleven of which a specimen has been given'; cf. Euth.: $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ тò $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ oủ $\mu$ óvov тò̀s $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s$


 $\eta(\mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$. This verse is cited by Ircuaeus (iii. 10. 6) with tho preamble "in fine autem evangelii ait Marcus"; see Introduction.
àuє $\lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \phi \theta_{\eta} \epsilon$ is $\tau \dot{\prime} \nu$ ovipavóv $\kappa \tau \lambda$.] Cf . Acts i. 2, 11, 22, 1 Tim. iii. 16. The use of $\dot{a} \nu a \lambda \eta \mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{va}$ for the Ascension was perhaps suggested by 4 Regn.

 58. Other N.T. terms are àvaß̄̀va (Jo. vi. 62, xx. 17 bis, perhaps from Ps. xxiii. (xxiv.) 3), èmap $\theta \hat{\eta} p a l(A c t s ~ i . ~ 9), ~$ торєиӫ̀pat єis oùpavóv (I Pet. iii. 22), $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \in \epsilon \operatorname{vat}$ тoùs oujpavoús (Heb. iv. 14), д́ $\rho \pi a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ y ~(A p o c . ~$ xii. 5). The Creeds generally employ àvaßaivєı (ascendere) or àv́́pХєб $\theta a t$, possibly because áve $\lambda_{\eta} \mu \phi \theta_{\eta}$ (adsumptus est) would have admitted a Docetic interpretation (Apostles' Creed, p. 71 f.) ; but the festival of the Ascension was known in the East
 $\left.\tau \bar{\eta} s a_{v a \lambda} \dot{\eta} \psi \in \omega s\right)$.

When the author of the fragment adds каі̀ ধ́ка́ $\mathcal{A}_{l \sigma \in \nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$. he passes beyond the ficld of history into that of Christian theology. The belicf that the risen and ascended Christ stands or sits at the Right Hand of God is one of the earliest and most cherished of Christian ideas (Acts vii. 55 f., Ron. viii. 34, Eph. i. 20, Col. iii. 1, Heb. i. 3, viii. 1, x. 12, xii. 2, I Pet. iii. 22, Apoc. iii. 21), based on the Lord's own use of Ps. cx. I (xii. 36, xiv. 62), and it is not unlikely that the writer has adopted here a primitive formula, or cehoes a creed-like



##  таутаұой，тои̂ кирíou биveproüvtos каì тòv 入óqov $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta a \iota o \hat{v} \nu \tau o s ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ è $\left.\pi \alpha к о \lambda o v \theta o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu.\right] ~$

 MSUVXI $\Delta \Psi$ come aeth（om $\mathrm{AC}^{2}$ I 33 almu $\mathrm{a}^{3} \mathrm{q}$ vg syrr arm）
 ката M．（aүtov）$\epsilon \operatorname{va\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda tov~}$ min $^{\text {mu }}$ om MSX
the Epistles use $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \in \xi \cdot \hat{a}$ in this con－ nexion．The Creeds show the same variation（Haln ${ }^{3}$ ，p．384）．
 Another rapid summary．The writer passes over without mention the re－ turn to Jerusalem，and the founding of the Palestinian Churches，and hurries on to the fulfilment of the Catholic mission confided to the Eleven after the Resurrection（ 0,15 ）；the con－ trast to Le，xxiv． 52 f ，is instructive． ＇Exfivor are here clearly the Eicven （b．14），but the Eleven reinforced by accessions to the Apostolate and by the self－propagating life of the Ecclesia．＇ $\mathbf{E} \xi \in \lambda \theta_{0}{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，from Jerusa－ lem in the first instance（Acts i．8）； but the word may include all the fresh departures by which the Gospel was carried from one region to an－ other（cf．Acts xp． 40 ，xvi．3，10， 40 ， xx．I， 2 Cor．ii．J3，Phil．iv．I5），till the Kingdom of God seemed to have been proclaimed everywhere．＇Екípu－ $\xi a \nu \pi a v \tau a \chi o \hat{v}$ clearly does not belong to the earliest form of Gospel－tradition， but it might have been written as early as the period of St Paul＇s Roman imprisonment（Col．i．23）．Cf．Clem．R．


 ö̀ov то̀ ко́天 $\mu$ оу：Justin，apol．i． 45



то̂̂ кupiov ouvep $\gamma$ oivtos кт入．］इuv－ epryeiv，avefpoós are used by St Paul of
human cooperation（e．g．Rom．xvi．3，9， 21，I Cor．iii．9，xvi．16），but not of the cooperation of the ascended Lord，－ a thought which is expressed in other ways．B $\in \beta_{a t o i n}$ is another Panline word（Rom．xv．8，i Cor：i．6，8），and the phrase $\beta \epsilon \beta a i \omega \sigma t s$ тov̂ єvayүє入iou（Phil． i．7）comes very near to our author＇s
 meaning of $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta a i \omega \sigma$ of ef．Deissmann， $B$ ．$S t$ ．，p． 104 ff．The whole context has also a striking affinity to Heb．ii．


 aqueiocs．An instance of the com－ bination of $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{v}$ and $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon i v$ is cited by Wetstein from Plutarch ：rô
 $\eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ кмi $\pi i \sigma \tau \tau v$. On the participles see
 again in I Tim．v．1o，24，I Pet．ii． 2 I．

In the Apostolic age，probably within the experience of the writer， the cooperation of the ascended Christ was manifested＂by the ac－ companying signs＇which had been promised to it．Other ages need and receive in other ways indications no less fruitful or sure of His continual Prescnce with the workers of His Church（Mt．xxviii．20）．Cf．Bede： ＂numquid quia ista signa non facimus minime credimus？．．．sancta quippe ec－ clesia quotidie spiritaliter facit quod tunc per apostolos corporaliter facie－ bat．．．．．．miracula tanto maiora sunt quanto magis spiritalia．＂


## INDEX OF GREEK WORDS USED IN THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MARK．

An asterisk denotes that the word is not used elsewhere in the N．T．

$\alpha^{\alpha} \beta \beta_{\alpha}$ xiv． $3^{6}$
${ }^{*}$＂A $\beta$ циááp ii．${ }^{25}$
＇ $\mathrm{A} \beta$ рай $\mu$ xii．${ }^{26}$（ Lxx ．）
áyatotoleiv iii． 4
aya日ós x． 17,18 bis
dүарактєty x．14， 41 ，xiv． 4
dyamậv x．21，xii． $30-31$ bis（Lxx．）， 33 bis
а́үатптós i．11，ix． 7 ，xii． 6
dyyapeúetv xf． 21
 xiii． 27,32
àyetr i．38，xiii．II，xiv． $42^{2}$

aycos i．8， 24 ，iii．29，vi．20，viii． 38 ， xii． 36 ，xiii．II
ápuapos ii， 21
ayvoeity ix． 32
ayopd vi． 56 ，vii． 4 ，xii． $3^{8}$
dyopá̧etv vi． 36,37, xi． 55, xv． 46, xvi．I
＊dүреє́́єєv xii．I 3
ăyplos i． 6
diypós $\nabla$. r $_{4}$ ，vi． 36,56, x．29， 30, xi． 8 ， xiii．16，xy．2I，xri． 12
dyputveєv xiii． 33
dं $6 \in \lambda \phi \eta^{\prime}$ iii． 35 ，vi． 3 ，x．29． 30
d＇6e入фós i．16，19，iii． $17,3 \mathrm{I}, 32,33$ ， 34,35, v． 37 ，vi． $3,17,18$, x． 29 ， 30，xii． 19 ter， 20 ，xiii． 12 lis

diSúvatos x． 27
áGvuos xir． $1,{ }_{12}$

alpa 下． 25,29 ，xiv．${ }^{2}+$
aipetv ii． $3,9,11,12,21$ ，iv． 15,25 ， vi． $8,29,43$ ，viii． 8 ， $19,20,34$ ，xi． ${ }_{23}$ ，xiii． $15, ~ т 6, x v . ~ 21, ~ 24, ~ x v i . ~ I 8 ~$
aiteîv vi． $22,23,24,25, x .35,38$ ，xi． $24, \mathrm{xy}, 8,43$
aitia xv． 26
alब̛́v iii．29，iv．19，x． 30 ，xi． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$
alóvios iii． $29, x .{ }^{2}$ ， 30
àkd́Өaptas i． $23,25,27$ ，iii．11， $30, \mathrm{v}$ ， $2,8,13$ ，vi． 7, vii． 25, ix． 25
äкагөa iч． 7 bis，${ }^{2} 8$

äкартоs iv． 19
áкoŕ i．28，vii． 35 ，xiii． 7
iкодоw日eiv i． 18 ，ii． $1+$ bis， 15 ，iii． 7 ， จ． 24 ，vi． I ，viii． 34 bis，ix． $\mathbf{3}^{8}$ ，ㅍ 21 ， $28,32,5^{2}$ ，xi．9，xiv． 13,54 ，xv． 4I，8vi． 17
 $15,16,18,20,23,24,33$, v． 27 ，vi． $2,11,14,16,20$ lis，29，55，vii．I4， 25,37 ，viii． 18 ，ix． $7, \times$ x 4 ， 47 ， 81 ． 14，18，xii．28， 29 （Lxx．），37，ziii． 7 ， xiv． $1 \mathrm{I}, 5^{8,} 64, \mathrm{xv} .35$ ，xwi．II
akpls i． 6
äкрои xiii． 27
áкupov̀v vii． 13
àaßaotpos，该 xiv． $3^{\text {bis }}$
àa入áţ้̌v v． $3^{8}$
＊ä入a入os vii．37，ix．17， 25
aidas ix． 50 ter
ditecús i． $16,{ }^{17}$
ब $\lambda$ кіфєє vi．13，xvi．I
＊${ }^{\text {à }}$ ектороф $\omega v i a$ xii． 35
dлќкт $\omega \rho$ хiv． 30,72 bis

à $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta_{\text {ela }}$ v．33，xii．14， $3{ }^{2}$


dityeotau ix． 49
d $\lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ I．$_{44}, 45$ ，ii． 17 bis， 22 ，iii． 26 ， 27,29 ，iv．17， 22 ，v．19， 26,39 ，vi． 9 ， 52，vii． $5,15,19,25$ ，viii． 33 ，ix．13， 22,37, x． $8,27,40,43,45$ ，xi． 23 ， 32，צii． $14,25,27$ ，siii． 7 ， 1 I bis， 20 ， 24 ，xiv． $28,29,36,49$ ，xvi． 7
＊à $\lambda \lambda a \times o \hat{u}$ i． $3^{8}$
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega v$ iv． 4 I ，viii． 16 ，ix． $3+$ ， $50, \mathrm{xv}$ ． 3 I
ad入os iv． $5,7,8,18,36$ ，vi． 15 ，vii． 4 ， viii． 28 ，х． 1 ， 12 ，xi． 8 ，xí． $4,5,9$ ， 31，32，xiv． 58 ，xv． 3 I， 4 t
ä̀vots $จ .3,4$ bis
＂A入фaĩos ii． $1_{4}$ ，iii．IS
дрдірт $\eta \mu$ аіі． 28,29
duартla i． 4,5 ，ii． $5,7,9$ ， 10
драртшло́s ii． 15 ， 16 đis， 17 ，viii． 38 ， xiv． 41
duryv iii． 28 ，viii． 12, ix．$I, 4 I, x, 15$ ， 29 ，xi． 23 ，xii． 43 ，xiii． 30 ，xiv． 9 ， 18 ， 25,30
ä $\mu \pi \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda o s}$ xiy． 25
à $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega ́ v$ xii． $1,2,8,9$ bis
${ }^{*}{ }^{\circ} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \phi \iota \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \in เ \nu$ i． 16
＊${ }^{2} \mu \phi \circ \delta \mathrm{ov} \times$ i． 4
äv iii． 29,35, v．28，vi． $10,14,56 \mathrm{bis}$ ， viii． 35 ，ix． $\mathrm{I}, 37 \mathrm{bis}, 4 \mathrm{I}, 4^{2}$ ，x． II ， $15,43.44$ ，xi． 23 ，xii． 36 （Lxx．），xili． 20，xiv． 44 ，xvi． 18
òvá（ $\mu e ́ \sigma o v$ ）vii． 31
ávaßalvetv i．10，iii． 13 ，iv． $7,8,32$ ， vi． $5 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{x} .32,33$ ，xワ． 8
dvaß入єтEเV vi． 4 I ，vii． 34 ，viii． $24, \mathrm{x}$ ． 51，52，xvi． 4
ảváyaiov xiv． 15
ávayıvக́oxeเv ii．${ }_{25}$ ，xii． 10 ， 26 ，xiii． 14
ávayкáǵetv vi． 45

dıaкєî́dal vi． 26 ，xiv． 18 ，xvi． 14
duak入 ใvetv vi． 39
đ̌vakpá̧̧eเv i．23，vi． 49
＊dvaкu入íєヶv xvi． 4
diva入aرßáveodar xvi． 19
＊dua入os ix． 50

d．yaтavietv ví． 3 I ，xiv． 4 I
＊dvamniệr x． 50
àvaлimitiv vi．40，viii． 6
divareletv xv．II
d．váनтarts xii．18， 23
＊ávartevalelv viii．I2
dvactipab i． 35 ，ii．14，iii． 26 ，v． $4^{2,}$ vii．24，viii． $3^{I}$ ，ix． $9,10,27,3 \mathrm{I}$ ， x．I，34，xii． 23,25 ，xiy． 57,60 ， xvi． 9
avarย $\lambda$ र̇Ev iv． 6 ，xvi． 2
duaфє́petv ix． 2
đ้vaxcofîv iii． 7
＇Avoptas i．26，29，iii．18，xiii． 3
ävєนกร iv．37， $39 \mathrm{dis}, 4^{\mathrm{I}}$ ，vi．48， 5 I ， xiii． 27
dvéxéotai ix． 19
dvทip vi． $20,44, \mathrm{x} .2,12$
ăv $\theta$ рштоs i． 17,23 ，ii． 10,27 dis， 28 ， iii． $1,3,5,28$ ，iv． 26, v． 2,8 ，vii． $7,8,11,15$ bis， $18,20,21,23$ ，vili． $24,27,31,33,36,37,38, \mathrm{ix} .9,12$ ， 31 bis ，x． $7,9,27,33,45$, xi．2， 30 ， 32 ，xii． 1,14, xiii． 26,34 ，xiv． 13 ， 2I quater，41，62，71，xv． 39
ävıттos vii． 2
dvoíyeobar vii． 35
d̀v $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a$ viii． 37
divet x． 45
ávo日ev XV． $3^{8}$
àmayץ $\lambda \lambda_{\text {elv }}$ V．It，19，vi．30，xYi．10， 13

dтalpertat ii． 20
áralós xiii． 28
dmavtq̣v xiv． 13
àmapveivdat viii 34，xiv． $30,35,72$
《̛tas i． 27 ，viii． 25 ，xi． 32 ，xvi． 15
dimátๆ iv． 19
 20,24, vi． $28,32,36,37,46$ ，vii． 24 ， 30，viii．I3，ix． $43, x .22$, xi．4，xii． 12，xiv．10， 12,39 ，xvi． 13
ajtexetv vii． 6 ，xiv． $4{ }^{1}$

ámเซтía vi．6，ix．24，xvi．$I_{4}$
dituros ix． 19
dтб i．9，42，i．20， 2 I ，iii． 7 lis， 8 bis， 22 ，iv． 25, v．6． $17,29,34,35$, vi． 33 ， 43 ，vii． $1,4,6,17,28,33$ ，viii． 3,11 ， $15, x .6,46$, xi． 12,13 ，xii． $2,34,38$ ， xiii． $19,27,28$ ，xiv． $35,36,54$ ，xv． $21,30,32,3^{8}, 40,43,45$, xvi． 8

àmoóquєîv xii．I



 20，2I，22，XV．． 44
aтокаӨเテтávєレ iii． 5 ，viii． 25 ，ix． 12

dтоко́ттеเv ix．43， 45
 4,29 ，ix． $5,6,17,19$, x． $3,24,51$ ， xi．$I_{4}, 22,29,30,33$ ，xii． $28,29,34$ ， 35 ，xiv． $40,48,60,61$, xv． $2,4,5,9$ ， 12
áто́крифоs iv． 22
व゙токтє（vєเข iii．4，vi．19，viii．3I， ix． 31 lis，x． 34 ，xii． $5\left(\mathrm{I}^{\circ}\right), 7,8$ ， xiv． 1
amoктеvVúyal xii． $5\left(2^{\circ}\right)$

ȧто入а $\mu$ ßávetv vii． 33
aтто入入úvaц i． 24 ，ii． 22 ，iii． 6 ，iv． $3^{8}$ ， viíi． 35 bis，ix． $22,4 \mathrm{I}$, xi． 18 ，xii． 9
á $\pi \mathrm{o}$ 入úcıv vi． 36,45 ，viii． $3,9, x .2,4$ ， II，I2，xv．6，9，II， 15

d่тореโิv vi． 20

＊а́тоотєүáb̧єเข ii． 4.
 IC，vi． $7,17,27$ ，viii．26，ix．37，xi． 1,3, xii． $2,3,4,5,6,13$ ，xiii． 27 ， xiv． 13
dтобтєєiv x．I9
ímóбтo入os iii．14，vi． 30
ámoтíन $\sigma \epsilon \sigma$ ใa vi． $4^{6}$
ámoф́́petv xv．I
ăттеб日al i． 4 I ，iii．10，v．27，28，30， 3 I ， vi． 56 bis，vii． 33 ，viii． 22, x． 13
àmádela xiv． 4
äpa iv．4I，xi． 13
deyúplov xiv．II
＂Apelpa日ale．x7． 43
ふ̉péoretv vi． 22
ápıotepós x． 37
appє̂tdan xiv．68，70
áppworos vi． 5,13 ，xvi． 18
dрбๆv x． 6 （ $L x x$ ．）
ăpros ii．26，iii． 20, vi． $8,37,38,4$ r， 44,52 ，vii． $2,5,27$, viii．4， 5,6 ， 14 bis，16，17，19，xiv． 22
áprúetv ix． go $^{0}$
äpXetv x． $4^{2}$
ÄpXeatai i． 45 ，ii． 23, iч． 1, v． 17,20 ， vi． $2,7,34,55$ ，viii． $11,31,32, x$ ． $28,32,41,47, x i .15$, xii． 1 ，xiii．5， xiv．19， $33,65,69,7 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xv} .8$ ， 18

cipxlepeús ii．26，viii．3I，x．33，xi． 18 ， 27 ，xiv． $1,10,43,47,53,54,55,60$ ， $6 \mathrm{r}, 63,66, \mathrm{xv} .1,3,10,11,31$
ápxเซuváyшүos $\nabla .22,35,36,3^{8}$
${ }^{a} p \chi^{\omega} \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ iii． 22
ápopна xvi．I


d $\sigma \theta \in v e i ̃ v$ vi． 56
dの日evท่s xiv． $3^{8}$
ciokós ii． 22 quater

cं $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu$ ќs xii． $3^{8}$

áनúvetos vii， 18

dтьpágeเv xii． 4
duruos vi． 4
aủ $\lambda$ ท́ xiv． 54,66 ，xv． 16
aủgáveodal iv． 8
aưтó́цатоs iv． 28
aúrós passim；nom．，i．8，ii． 25 ，iii． $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ， iv． 27,38 ，v． 40 ，vi． $17,45,47$ ，viii． 29 ，
x． 12 ，xii． 36,37 ，xiv． 15,44, xF． 43
ćфаєpeíy xiv． 47
dфє $\delta \rho \omega v$ vii． 19


áфlévar i．18，20，31，ii．5．7，9， 10 bis， iii．28，iv． 12 （Lxx．）， 36, v．19， 37 ， vii． $8,12,27$ ，viii． $13, x .14,28,29$ ， xi． 6,25 bis，xii． $12,19,20,22$ ，xiii． 2，34，xiv．6， 50, xv． 36,37
＊${ }^{3} \phi p$ fifty ix． 18,20
áфробúvŋ vii． 22


## $\beta$ Áfos iv． 5

Báklecv ii．22，iv．26，vii．27，30，33， ix．22， $42,45,47$, xi． 23 ，xii． 4 I bis， $4^{2,} 43$ bis， 44 bis，xv． 24
$\beta a \pi t$ lyev i．$^{\text {i．}} 5,8 \mathrm{bis}, 9$, vi．14， 24 ， x． 38 bis， 39 bis，xvi． 16

ßatтьन ${ }^{\text {ós }}$ vii． 4

Bapaß阝âs xv．7，Ir， 15
Bapөододаios iii． 18
＊Baptцдаіоs x． 46
Baravigetv v．7，vi． $4^{8}$
ßaбideia i． 15, iii． $\mathbf{2}_{4}$ lis，iv．II， 26 ， 30，vi．23，ix．1，47，x．14，15，23， 24,25 ，xi．го，xii． 34 ，xiii． 8 ，xiv． 25 xy． 43
Baride＇s vi．14，22，25，26，27，xiii．9， xv．2，9，12，18，26， $3^{2}$
ßaotáfelv xiv． 13
Bátos（o）xii． 26

Beßaioúv xvi． 20
Beє $\lambda_{\zeta} \epsilon \beta$ ßú入 iii． 22

B $\ddagger$ Øraıfá［ $\nu$ ］vi．45，viii． 22

$\beta$ Bliov x． 4 （Lxx．）
$\beta$（ $\beta$ रios xii． 26
$\beta$ los xii． 44

$\beta$ גaनtávelv iv． 27

$\beta$ лаофпріа iii． 28 ，vii．22，хiv． 64
阝入éтeเv iv． 12 bis（Lxx．）， 24, v． 3 I ，viii． 15，18，23， 24, xii． 14,38 ，xiii． 2,5 ， 9．23， 33
Boâv i． $3+$ XT： 34


ßббке．V v．II，I4
Boúdea日al xi．I5
Boudevtýs xy． 43
Bpovid iii． 57
$\beta$ $\beta \omega \bar{\mu}$ а vii． 19
үп̧̆oфu入ákเov xii． 41 lis， 43
Ta入e vi．2I，vii．3I，ix． 30 ，xiv． 28 ，xy． 41，xvi． 7
Talel

yaцєiv vi．17，x．II，12，xii．${ }^{2} 5$
үанічєєtau xii． 25
$\gamma \mathrm{d}$ p i． $16,22,38$ ，ii． 55 ，iii． 10,21 ，iv． 22,25, v． $8,28,42$, vi． $14,17,18$ ， $20,31,48,50,52$ ，vii． $3,10,21,27$ ， viii． $35,36,37,38$ ，ix． $6 \mathrm{bis}, 31,34$ ， $39,40,4 \mathrm{I}, 49, \mathrm{x} .14,22,27,45$ ，xi． 13，18 bis， 32, xii．12，14，23， 25,44 ， xiii． $8,11,19,22,33,35$, xiv． $2,5,7$ ， $40,56,7 \mathrm{O}$ ，xv．10， 14, xvi． $4,8 \mathrm{bis}$
үаотір хiii．${ }^{2} 7$
feevaa ix．43，45， 47



$\gamma^{\in \in v e ́ \sigma t a ~ v i . ~} 21$

yevváotar xiv． 21

ývos vii．26，ix． 29
Teparquós $\nabla$ ．I
yevertat ix．I
Yecopós xii． $1,2 b i s, 7,9$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{\eta}_{\mathrm{ii}} \mathrm{io}$ ，iv．I， $5 \mathrm{lis}, 8,20,26,28$ ， 3 I bis， vi． 47 ， 53 ，viii． 6 ，ix． 3,20 ，xiii． 27 ， 3I，xiv．35，xy． 33
Y（vétai i．4，9，11，17，32，ii．15， 21 ， 23， 27 ，iv．4，10， $11,17,19,22,32$ ， $35,37,39$, v． $14,16,33$ ，vi． $2 b_{i 2}$ ， 14， $21,26,35,47$, ix． $3,6,7,21$ ， 26，33，50，x．43，xi．19，23，xii． 10 （ tsx.$)$ ，I1（ Lxx．），xiii．7， 18 ， 19 bis， 28，29，30，xiv．4，17．xv．33，42， xvi． 10
 vii． 24 ，干iii． 17 ，ix． 30 ，xii． 12 ，xiii． 28，29，xv．10， 45
$y^{\lambda} \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$ vii． 33,35, xvi． 17
yvadeús ix． 3
Гo人 Yodí［v］xv． 22
Yoveís xiii． 12
Ybou xv． 19
Youvacteiv i． 40, x． 17
үрацнатеús i．22，ii．6，16，iii．22，vii． I，5，viii． 3 I ，ix． $\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{J} 4, \mathrm{x} .33$ ，xi． I8， 27, xii． $28,32,3 \overline{3}, 38$ ，xiv． 1,43 ， $53, \mathrm{xv} . \mathrm{I}, 3^{2}$
 xi． 17 ，xii．19，xiv． 21,27
रpaфt ${ }^{\text {xii．} 10,24, ~ x i v . ~} 49$
ypayopeir xiii． $34,35,37$ ，xiv． $34,37,38$
रu $\mu$ vós xiv． 51,52
ү $\cup \vee$ ท่ v． 25,33, vi． 17,18 ，vii． 25,26, x． 2，11，xii．19 bis（Lxx．），20，22， 23 bis， xir．3．Xv． 40
$\gamma^{\omega} \boldsymbol{v i a}$ xii．ro
Saupoviţcoal i．32，v．15，16， 18
Saupóvov i． 34 bis，39，iii． 15,22 bis，vi． ³，vii．26，29，30，ix．38，xri，9， 17
8áктuдos vii． 33
＊$\Delta a \lambda \mu a v o v \theta \dot{\text { º }}$ viii．го
סараі̧єเv v． 4
8aravăv v． 26
$\Delta$ avef 8 ii．${ }^{2} 5$, x． 47,48 ，xi． 10 ，xii． 35 ， 36， 37
Sé i．32，ii． $10,20,21,22$, iii． 4,29 ， vii． 7 ， 36 ，ix． $25,50, ~ x .3$ r，xi．8， 17，xii． 26 ，xiii． $7,14,18$ ，xiv． 1,4 ， $9,38,52,55,62$, xv．6， 7, 14 bis， $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ， $36,39,40,44$ ，xvi． $9,13.14,17,20$
$\delta_{\text {fei }}$ viii． 3 I ，ix．II，xiii． $7,10, \mathrm{I} 4$ ，xiv． 35
Selevíwar i．44；xiv． 15
$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\text {dos }}$ iv． 40
Beiv trans．，iii．27，₹．3，4，vi．17，xi． $2,4, \mathrm{xr} .1,7$

Seimvov vi．21，xii． 39
Śќка x． 41
$\Delta \in \kappa \alpha ́ \pi o \lambda u s$ ． $20(\dot{\eta} \Delta$.$) ，vii． 31$
$\delta_{6 ́ v}$ हpov viii． 24
$\delta 6 \xi$ bos x． $37.4^{0}$ ，xii． $3^{6}$ ，xiv．62，xv． 27 ， xvi． 5,19
Sépєt xii．3，5，xiii． 9
бер $\mu$ а́тьтоs i． 6

סєб $\mu$ о́s vii． 35
Sєûpo，סе̂̂te i．17，vi．3r，x．21，xii． 7
Séjtepos xii．2I， 3 I，xiv， 72

8qudprov vi． 37, xii． 15 ，xiv． 5
Sıá w．gen．，ii．ı，23，v．қ，vi．2，vii．31， ix． $30, x .25$ ，xi， 16 ，xiv． 21,58 ，xi． 20 ；w．acc．，ii．4， 18,27 bis，iii． 9 ， iv． $5,6,17$, v． 4 ，vi． $6,14,17,26$ ， vii． 5,29 ，xi． 24 ， 3 1，xii． 24 ，xiii．г3， 20，xv． 10
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$\pi \epsilon v \theta$ epd i． 30
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$\pi \lambda$ дovekia vii． 22
$\pi \lambda \eta^{\theta o s}$ iii． 7,8
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26 ，xiv． $24,56, x y, 3,41$
то入ute入ทंs xiv． 3
тоmpía vii． 22
movppós vii．22， 23
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mó́ós vi． $3^{8}$ ，viii． 5 ，19．20，ix． 21 ，xv． 4
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$\pi \rho l y$ xiv． 30,72
т $\rho \sigma_{\text {i．}} 2$（Lxx．）
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тро́бкацроs iv． 17
 viii．1，34，x． $4^{2}$ ，xii＋ 43 ，xv． 44
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тробкиขєட้̂ จ． 6

$\pi р о \sigma \mu \ell \varepsilon เ v$ viii． 2
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बfßeの日at vii． 7 （Lxx．）
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otavpoūv xV．13，14，15，20，24，25，27， xvi． 6
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＊$\sigma v \nu \lambda v \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ iii． 5
ouvariyetv iv．5， 19
бuvторєv́єの日al x．I
бvvorapá⿱㇒日धtv ix． 20
avvaraupoûซtal xv． 32
$\sigma v v \tau$ елeĩ $\theta$ al xiii． 4
бuvtทpeî vi． 20
ovvipé Xetv vi． 33
ouvtpißetv v． 4 ，xiv． 3
Eúpos vii． 26
＊$\quad$ úcompor xip． $4+$
जфó $\delta \rho a$ xvi． 4
$\sigma$ фupls viii．8， 20

$\sigma \times$（oran ii． 21
$\sigma \not{ }^{4} \in \epsilon v$ iii． 4, v． $23,28,34$ ，vi． 56 ，viii． 35 bis，x． 26,52 ，xiii． 13,20 ，xv． 30 ，
31，xvi． 16
бڤ̈ца v．29，xiv．8，22，xv． 43
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ v \in i v$ V．I 5

```
* Ta入єi日á V. 41
тарá \(\sigma\) eo \(\theta\) ar vi. 50
taxú ix. 39
tévor ii. 5 , vii. 27, x. \(24,29,30\), xii.
    19, xiii. 12 bis
тยKT \(\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{y}\) vi. 3
```



TANos iii．26，xiii．7， 13
тe入ćvఛร ii． 15,16 bis
тeไஸ́vLov ii．$I_{4}$
tépas xili． 22
TErorapes ii．3，xiii． 27
тебоєра́коита i． 13
тетартоs vi． 48
тєтракьох（ג10ヶ viii．9， 20
＊трдаvүஸ̂s viii． 25
тпрєî̀ vii． 9
tlíeval iv． 21 bis，30，vi．29，56，viii． 25，x．16，xii． 36 （LxX．），xจ．19， 46 ， 47，xvi． 6
T（ג入elv ii． 23
＊Tíralos x． 46
тᄂцдi．vii． 6 （Lxx．）， 10 （Lxx．），x． 19 （Lxx．）
T（S，trs passim
тосоиtos iv．33，vi． 2 ，vii．13，ix．37， x． 14 ，xiii． 19
то $\lambda \mu \hat{i} v$ xii． 34, xy． 43
то́тоs i． 35,45, vi．II， $31,32,35$ ，xiii． 8，xY．22，xyi． 6
то́тє ii． 20 ，iii． 27 ，xiii． $14,21,26,27$
тра́ттє̆a vii．28，xi． 15
трáx $\quad$ 入os ix． 42
т $p$ fîs viii． $2,3 \mathrm{I}$ ，ix． 5,3 ，x． 34 ，xiv． 58 ， xv． 29


триákorta iv．8， 20
трıakórlol xiv． 5

＊tpifelv ix． 18
Tpis xiv． $30,7{ }^{2}$
тpitov adv．xiv． 4 I
тplitos xii． 21 ，xv． 25
тро́доя хиі． 8
трúp入ıov хіт． 20

тúrTeเv XV． 19
Túpos iii．8，vii．24，3I
тиф入ós viii． 22,23, X． $46,49,51$
iytris v． 34
ưSwp i．8，10，ix．22， 4 I ，xiv． 13
viós i． 1 ， 1 I ，ii． $10,19,28$ ，iii． $11, \mathrm{r} 7$ ， 28 ，v．7，vi． 3 ，viii． $3 \mathrm{I}, 38$ ，ix． 7,9 ，
$12,17,3 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{x} .33,35,45,46,47,48$ ， xii． 6 bis， 35,37, xiii． 26 （Lxx．），32， xiv． 21 bis，4I，6I， 62 （Lxx．），xp． 39
$\dot{i} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ xiv． 26
i̛Táyet i．44，ii． 11 ，Y．19，34，vi．31， 33,38 ，vii． 29 ，viii． $33, \mathrm{x} .21,52$ ， xi．2，xiy．13，2I，xvi． 7
บ่такоข์ยเข i． 27 ，iv． 41

บ่ $\pi$ Ép w．gen．ix． $4^{0}$ ，xiv． 24
＊ บтєрŋфavla vii． 22
＊írtр
บтワрєтทุ xiv． 54,65
 26，viii． 3 I，xiii． 13 ，xvi．Iг；（2）$w$ ． acc．iv． 21 bis， 32

íтóסŋна i． 7

ப́móxplots xii．Is
ข̇токрıти́s vii． 6
＊ข́ro入ท́vıov xii．I


บ́णтерєโิ 又． 21

ÿatepov xvi． 14
íqŋ̣入ós ix． 2

фalveodal xiv．$\sigma_{f}$ ，x＋i． 9
фavepós iii．I2，iv．22，vi． 14
фаvepoû́धal iv．22，xvi．12， 14
фavepus i． 45
фর́vтабиa vi 49
Фaptáaîos ii．${ }^{16}$ ， 18 lis， 24 ，iii． 6 ，vii． I，3，5，viii．II，I5，ix．If，X．2， zii． 13
ф́́yүos xiii．${ }^{2} 4$
фереt i．32，ii，3，iv．8，vi．27，28， vii． 32 ，viii． 22 ，ix． $17,19,20$ ，xi． 2 ， 7 ，xii． $15, ~ ז 6$, रv． 22

姆 ix．12，38，x．20，29，xil．24，xiv． 29
$\phi$ dóvos xy． 10
фulêv xiv． 44

 ápxus，viii． 27

фoßễolat iv． $4 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{I}, 33,36$ ，vi． 20 ， 50 ，ix． $3^{2}, \mathrm{x} .3^{2}$ ，xi．${ }_{18} \mathrm{~B}^{2}$ ，xii． $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ， xvi． 8
$\phi 6$ Bos iv． 4 I

фovev́ety x． 19 （lxx．）
фóvos vii． 21, xv． 7
фрaүe入入ovิv xv． 15
фра．$\gamma^{\prime \prime o ́ s ~ x i i . ~ I ~(L x x .) ~}$
中povêt viii． 33
фulak＇vi．17，28， 48

фú入入ov xi．I3 bis，xiii． 28
фvtev́etv zii．I
中心⿴\zh11⿰亻弋一 i． 26 ，ix．35，x． 49 ter，xiv． 30 ， 72 lis，xч． 35
ф由ví i． 3 （Lxx．），II，26，v．7．ix．7，xv． 34， 37
фw̄s Xiv， 54

Xalperv xiv．II，xq． 18
xa入âv ii． 4
xa入кiov vii． 4
xahkós vi．8，xil． 41
Xapá iv． 16
$\mathrm{X} \in \mathrm{i} \lambda \mathrm{os}$ vii． 6 （r．xx．）
Xєاんळ゙v xiii． 18
Xelp i． $3^{1}, 4$ 1，iii． $1,3,5$ bis， $\mathrm{V} .23,41$ ， जi． 2,5 ，vii． $2,3,5,32$ ，viii． 23 bis， 25 ，ix．27， 3 ， 43 bis，x．16，xiv． 41 ， 46，xvi． 18 bis
Xerporto（ $\eta$ тоs xiv． 58
$X \in(\rho \omega \nu$ ii． 21, V． 26
Xrpa xii．40，42， 43
$\chi^{\text {（ }}$ íap Xos vi． 2 I
Xıтふ́v vi． 9, xiv． 63
$\mathrm{X}^{\lambda \omega}{ }^{\text {após vi．}} 39$
Xoipos v．it，i2，13， 16
Xортá̧eเv vi． 2 ，vii． 27 ，viii． 4,8
Xópтos iv．28，vi． 39
Xô̂s vi． 11
Xpela ii． 17,25 ，xi．3，xiv． 63
Хрŋŋца х． 23
Xpıotós，ó Xplortós i． 1,34 ，viii．29，ix． 41，xiil．35，xili． 2 T ，xiv．6I，xv． 32
Xpóvos ii．19，ix． 21

$\chi^{\omega} \rho a$ i． 5, v． 1, IO，vi． 55


X $\omega$ píov xiv． $3^{2}$
Xwpis iv． 34
廿єvборартчрєîv x． 19 （Lxx．），xiv． 56,57

廿ev§óxprotos xiií． 22
中ncour vii． 28
чuxy iii．4，viii． 35 bis， 36,37, x． 45 ，
xii． 30 （Lxx．），Kiv． 34
© ix． 19
$\mathbf{S}^{\delta} \delta \in$ vi． 3 ，viii． 4 ，ix， 1,5 ，xi．3，xiii． 2 ， 21 ，xiv． 32,34 ，xvi． 6
由8ty xiii． 8
＂̈ра vi． 35 bis，xi．if，xili．if， 32 ，xiv． $35,37,4$ I，XT． $25,33 \mathrm{bis}, 34$
ws（ s ）adv．i．Io， 22 ，iv． $26,27,31,36$ ，v． 13，vi． 15,34 ，vii．6，viii． 9,24, x．I， I5，xii．25， 3 I（LxX．）， 33 （Lxx．），xili． 34 ，xiv． 48 ；（2）conj．ix． 2 I，xiv． 72
©бavvá xi．9， 10
ङのaútws xii． 2 I ，xiv． $3^{1}$

$\ddot{\omega} \sigma$ TE i． 27,45 ，ii． $2,12,28$ ，iii． 10,20 ， iv． $1,32,37$, ix． 26, X． 8 ，xv． 5
बTáplov xiv． 47


## INDEX TO THE INTRODUCTION AND NOTES．

Abiathar and Ahimelech， 48
abomination of desolation， 304
absolution， 37 f ．
＇Ain－et－Tabigah，17；＇Ain－et－T＇in，ib．， 140
Alexander， 378
Alphaeus，39，6г， 3 S9
anacoluthon，． 32
Andrew，St，i4 f．，6o
angels，their appearance， 397 ；Jewish conception of， 28 I ；our Lord＇s teach－ ing in reference to，ib．
Annas， 355
Antipas，Herod，I 2，II9 ff．， $17^{\circ}$
Antonia，the， 375
aorist，see tenses
Apocalypse of c．xiii．， 297
apodosis wanting， 2
Apostles，number of the，58；Greek
names among the， 60
apparitions，belief in， $13^{8}$
Aramaic words，xlii，xlvii，109， 161 ；
Aramaic，not the original language
of this Gospel，xliff．
Arimathaea， 391
Ariston，Aristion，exi
Ascension，Greek terms for the， 407
attitude in prayer，26：， 343 ；in teach－ ing， 296 f ．
aurium apertio， 16 I
authority，note of，in teaching and actions of our Lord and His disciples， I8，22，37， 58 f．， 516,317
dB阝a ó татt́p 344


d $\gamma a \pi \hat{q} \nu, \phi 1 \lambda \epsilon{ }^{2} \mu 225,285$
dүапптоs 10，195， 269
dうүapeúetv 377
diүopá I4I；$\dot{\alpha} \pi^{*} \dot{a} \gamma o \rho \hat{a} s 144$

dүpos 97，I3I，250，306， 377



$\mu \omega \nu \quad 328$

 aíw，aiducos 6 S
dка $\theta a \rho \sigma t a, \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\partial} \theta a \rho \tau о s ~ 19$
drovin 22， 162

ג்кои́єте 7 If ．
dкрis 5 f．
d．$\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta a \sigma \tau \rho o s, \dot{\eta} 321$

ä入as，àa入ov 213
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \rho 34^{\circ}$

à入á 238， 339
d $\lambda \lambda a \chi o u ̋ 27$
ä̀vats 93
$\dot{a} \mu \dot{d} \rho \tau \eta \mu a, \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a \operatorname{} 67$
$\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda$ ds 40 f ．
d $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \lambda t \gamma \omega \dot{\dot{y}} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad 67$
$a ̈ \mu \phi \circ \delta \sigma \nu 248$ f．
ávaßoivelv 73 f ．（of vegetation）， 234 （of
a journey）
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-$ ，$\dot{\iota} \alpha-, \dot{\epsilon} \mu-, \beta \lambda \in \epsilon^{\pi} \epsilon \ell \nu 174,225,295$, 298
avaraton $33^{\circ}$


àjакра́јєL 19
àva－，d $\pi 0-$, кu入isu 396
d̀va入a $\mu \beta \alpha \alpha^{2} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a l 407$
àvaraúє $\sigma$ Өal 129

àváctaбLs，$\hat{\eta} 280$

d．vaरшpeiy 54
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a{ }_{18}$


$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda o s{ }^{213}$ f．

à $\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho і \theta \eta \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \nu \alpha \mu \eta \nu 69, ~ 180,358$
ả $\pi$ é $\chi \in \subset 348$

áncoria 403
वंлд накрбөеу 94



a $\pi$ оди́ecy（of the wife） 219

àmogtáotov 216


а́тобтє $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu 17$
ánootєpєî̀ 224 f．
diтотá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a<136$
ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a i{ }^{1} 73$

dpa 9I

ăprov фаүє̂́n 63
$\dot{d} \rho \chi \geqslant 1$
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi$ tepeîs，oi $\operatorname{lxxx\vee }$ ff．，179， 257



áaびगetos 15 I
aं $\sigma \phi \mathrm{a} \lambda \hat{2}$ 今 35 I
aù入力 355
aútcá $\gamma a \theta o \nu, 7 b, 224$
aúroнatos 84
autós è $\sigma \pi t^{2} 350$
ci $\phi \in \delta \rho \dot{\omega} \nu 15^{2}$
द́quals 4， 34 f ．
abl $\boldsymbol{c}_{1} \nu \quad 25$

áqpijecv 198

дхецрот olytos 357
＇Babylon，＇xxi
baptism，of John，263；of the Spirit，7； of blood， 237 f ；Christian b．，con－ nected with salvation， 405 ；infant b．， 222
Baptist，the，food and clothing of， 5 f ； head of， 128
Barabbas， 370
Barnabas，his connexion with St Mark， $x \vee f$ ．
Bartimaevs， 242 f ．
Bede，his commentary on St Mark， cxvf．
Beelzebub，Beelzebul， 64
Bethphage and Bethany， 246
Bethsaida，136， $1 \boldsymbol{7}_{2}$
blasphemy，35，154， 360
blessing，form of， 134 ；cup of， 335
broken sentence at end of book， 399
brothers of our Lord， 69 ff ．，II 2 f ．
burial，manner of， $\mathbf{3 2 5}, 393$
＇bush，the，＇ 282

ßámтig $\mu a, \beta a \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ 4, ~ 145$
Bapөo入ouaĩos 60
Bартсиаїоs 242 f ．
Baбavijet 94 f．， 137
ßaбi入cla，$\dot{\eta}$ тố $\theta \in \circ 0013$
Baginet＇s（of the tetrarch）lxxxiv， 1 I 9
$\beta$ átos，ó 282
उє $\beta$ ciovín 408

Bep入ion a
Btos 294
$\beta \lambda a \sigma r a ̈ \nu{ }_{4}$


Boavnpyes $\mathrm{xxx}^{6} 60$
Boyetir 199
ßoú入coAal，$\theta \in$ Accy 373
ßоv入єvт $力$ s 39I
Caesarea Philippi， 175 f．；C．by the sea， I75， 374
Caiaphas， 355
＇Calvary，＇ 379
camel，the，proverb in reference to， 229
Capernaum，lexxi，lxxxiii，17， 204
catholic mission of the Church， 301 ， 325,404
centurion at the Cross，the， 388
chagigah， 334
charoseth， 333
chief priests，their attitude towards our Lord，lxaxv， 257
＇children，＇ 2 I9 f．
Christ，anger，sorrow，surprise，indigna－ tion，awe，ascribed to， $52,115,220$ ， 342 ；His human spirit， 36,168 ；His soul， 343 ；the seat of His personality， 345；His limitations，104， 1 I4 4 ．， 316 ； His supernatural knowledge， 36,248 ； tone of authority，18，22， 37 ；awe in． spired by Him， 95 ；hostility to wards Him， $9^{8,} 114,257$ f．，273，371 f．；St Mark＇s conception of His person and office，xc fi．；see Son，the
civil power，our Lord＇s attitude and teaching in reference to the， 276
cloud，symbol of the Divine Presence， 190 f ．
commentaries on this Gospel，cxiv fi．
comparative for superlative， 86,204
conjunctive，deliberative， 85 f．， 126 ； pres．and aor．， 84
constructio ad sensum，xIviii，67，198， 305；c．praegnans，51， 100
＇corner stone，＇the， 27 If ．
covenant，the new， 336
covering the head of a condemned person，Roman custom of， 36 I
cross，carried by the condemned， 377
crucifixion，mantuer of， 380 ；hour of the， $3^{8 \mathrm{I}}$ ；wholesale crrcifixions， 182
cup，metaphor of the， 236 f．， 344 ；cups of the Paschal supper， 335
Cyrene， 378
xa入кós 293
$\chi \in \omega \rho \theta \in \sigma l a 220,406 \mathrm{f}$ ．
$\chi \in\llcorner\rho о \pi$ аi $\eta$ tos 357
$\chi^{\text {id }}$ lap $\rho$ os 125,350
$\chi 0 \lambda 1379$ f．
Хорта́ふєбөai 135
$\chi$ ботоs $85 ; \chi \cdot \chi \lambda \omega \rho \sigma$ м 133
X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{1}$ єโ้aı 208
$\chi$ брeî̀ 33
$\chi$ wion 341
Dalmanutha， 166 f ．
darkness at the Crucifixion， 384 f ．
dative of instrument， 7,150
David and Christ， 48,243 f．， 251,288 f．
Decapolis，the， 100,160 ff．
demonology，Jewish， 25
denarius，the， $532,275,323$
display，doom of barren， 254 f ．
disturbances in Palestine， 298 f ．
dogs，house， 157 f ．
doves sold in the Precinct， 256
drowsiness under tension of spirit， 347
Dumachus， 382
dust，symbol of throwing off，II8
Dysmas， $3^{82}$
$\delta a \iota \mu \nu \backslash \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, \delta \alpha u \delta \nu t o{ }_{24} \mathrm{f}$ ．
$\delta \in \hat{\imath} 178$
deciás 90
סєpecy 268，300
$\delta \epsilon \hat{\jmath} \rho 0, \delta \in \hat{u} \tau \epsilon 15,129$
$\delta \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a L 118,221$
סquáploy 132，275，323
$\delta \iota a \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 174$
$\delta \iota \alpha \gamma i \nu \in \sigma \theta a<394$
$\delta \iota a \theta \uparrow \kappa \eta 336$

סıaxpive $\sigma$ Oal 260
$\delta<a \lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \sigma \mu \mathrm{~s}$ I53

$\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \leqslant$ I Io
$\delta \iota a \phi q \mu l \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1$

$\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, \delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{q} \nu, \delta \iota \alpha \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{\varphi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 47， 88
$\delta i m \gamma \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \downarrow, 98$
$\delta i^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^{32}$
Síkatos 42 f．， 123
díktvò If f ．
סокеて̂D I38， 239
85入os 154

סoínos 267 f ．， 317
tivaples IIt，II4，120， 359
غ́váa 0 as 199， 237
860 8ío II5
¿úaкo入os，juбкó入的 228

$\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a i \quad 392$
ed－Delhemiyah， 167
el－Batihah， 129
el－Ghaweir， 140
eliders，the， 144,179
elect，the， $308 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{J} 3 \mathrm{~B}$
Elijah and the Baptist，5；E．expected， I2I，193， 385 f ．
ellipsis before iva，soi $f$ ．
Eloi，Eloi，ebe．， 385
Emmaus， 401
cndings，alternative，of St Mark，ciii ff．； the longer，cix f．；the shorter，civff．； abrupt end of the original work， 399
entertaining，times for， 291
enthusiasm，popular，danger to our
Lord＇s work from， $13^{6}$
Ephphatha， 161
Eucharist，doctrine of the， $33^{6}$
Euthymius Zigabenus，his commentary on St Mark，cxvi
excommunication，Jewish， 270
exorcism， 405 f ．

єүњ́ єінє 139， 359
$\epsilon i$（in imprecations）168；$\epsilon i$ àpa 253；$\epsilon i$ кal，кal el 339

cis 17,28 ；єis $\mu a \rho \tau$ úptoy aúzois 31,118 ，

cis $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ oniow $306 ; \epsilon i s, \dot{\varepsilon}$, ＇at the rate of，＇ 74

$\epsilon l \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha u$, द̇ $\xi \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a i, 96 \mathrm{f}, 202$
єiтєข 85
єโरау $16_{5}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \epsilon \xi \in \hat{\omega} \nu \quad 238 ; ~ \tau о \hat{v} \theta \epsilon 0 \hat{0} 407$

$\epsilon_{x} \delta 1 \delta 0 \sigma \theta a l 266$
éкейоя ille 400
єкөад阝єі̂б $\theta \alpha, \quad 342$


éктeluelv rì Xe Xeipa 29， 70
е́кф́úcг 3 I4
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \chi^{\prime \prime \nu \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 33^{6}$
＇Eлau＇uv，ס 246 f．
${ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu L_{5}$ I 56
è $\lambda \omega l^{2} 8_{5}$
$\epsilon \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \in \epsilon \nu 174,225$
$\hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\beta} p \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ зо

 $\beta o \lambda a \hat{i s} 26_{5}$
t＇vaүка入i乌cの日at 205， 22 I
¿̈v $\delta є \kappa a$ ，ol 403

évet $\overline{\text { eip }} 393$

$\epsilon \nu \in \chi \in \square 120$
${ }^{4} \mu \nu v \chi^{a} 26$
tyozos à $\mu a \rho t \dot{\eta} \mu a t o s 68$ ；Gavdrou 360

ѐvтафtá $\mu$ ós 325


є́乡avaré $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ constr． 73
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \nu \tau \not{\eta} \mathrm{~s} 126$
ย $\xi \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a i$（of Divine mission） 27


t $k \omega$ ，ol 76
coprit anarthr． 369
ध่ $\pi a \iota \sigma \chi \tilde{v} \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ I 85
етако入ои日сі̀ 408


е́тє $\lambda^{\prime} \theta \in \nu \tau 0 \quad 169$
е́ $\pi \iota \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega$ intr． $8 \mathrm{~g}, 366 ;$ тàs $\chi$ eîpas 35I


$\epsilon \pi \iota \pi l \pi \tau \epsilon \downarrow$（constr．） $5^{6}$


غ̇ส $\pi \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu \quad 20$
 164
є $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in \cup \tau \eta)^{\prime} \mathrm{xxiv}$
E $\rho \chi \in \sigma 0 a 1$（of Divine visitations） 27 ；$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \chi^{\delta-}$
$\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s, 0 \dot{O} 2$
$\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$
É $\sigma$ Хatov adv． 279

 2 f．， 238
єй Totety $3^{2} 4$
evarye $\lambda$ iov I f．， $13,183,325,40_{4}$
єنंठокєì IO
eủgús xlviii， 8
eúkaцpeî̀ 129


єن่入o $\begin{gathered}\eta \tau o ́ s, ~ o ́ ~ \\ 358\end{gathered}$
$\epsilon \dot{b} \sigma \chi \dot{\gamma} \mu \omega \nu 391$
é $\phi \phi a \theta a ́$ I6I

tos donec 34 I
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{y}$


faith connected with salvation， 404 f． its work for others， 34 ；dependence of miracles upon，II 4
fasts，Jewish， 43 ；fasting，45， 202
Faytum fragment，the， $33^{8} \mathrm{ff}$ ．
forgiveness of sins，4， 37 f．， $67 \mathrm{f}$. ， 26 r f．
forty dajs，II
four winds，the， 313
Galilee， 8 ；ses of， 14 ；roads of，lxxxiii， 39， 55 ；evangelisation of， 27 f ．，I I 5 f ．
Galilean opinion in reference to our Lord， 119 ff．， 176 f．；dialect， 364 ； women， 389 ff．
garments，rending of the， 359 f ．
Gaulanitis， 160
Gehenns， 210 f ．
Gennesaret， 140 f．
genitive，of time，93，307；of price， 323 ； of object， 1 16， 259 ；double， 171
geographical notes in this Gospel，1xxxi－ lxxxiv
Gerasa（Gergesa，Gadara），gif．
Gnostic use of St Mark，xxxi，xxxiii
Golgotha， 378 f ．
goodness，the standard of， 223 f ．
greatness，the standard of， 239
Ta入є $\lambda$ aía，$\dot{\eta}$ lxxxi， 8
 280 f ．
रépovev 308
रéevja 210 f ．
Г $\epsilon \theta \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \nu \in l$ ，Г $\eta \sigma a \mu a \nu \in 1$ 341
үє $\boldsymbol{\nu \in \dot { a }} 3{ }^{5} 5$
$\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \in a 124$
үє $\nu \eta \mu a 337$
I $\epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \tau ~ 140 \mathrm{f}$ ．
（tyos 202
үєи́є $\sigma \theta a c$ gavátou 186

「odroodd 379
रดขvтєтєi้ 28
ү $\rho a \mu \mu a \tau \in i s$, oi lxxxv，i8f．
خ $\rho a \phi \dot{\eta}, \dot{\eta} 271$ ；$\gamma \rho a \phi a i$ ，ai 280， 353
रюๆүорє议 317
hatred excited by Christians， 303
head－line of the Gospel， $1 x v$ ，xc， I
Hermas，xxy，cix
Hermon， 187
Herodians，the， 53 f．， $2 ヶ 3$ f．
Herodias， 125 f．，
Holy Spirit，the，blasphemy against， 67 f．；promise of， $3^{2}$
hosamna， 250 f.
housetop，uses of the，zo6
hymn after the Eucharist， 337 f．
Jairus，rol
James the son of Zebedee， $15 \mathrm{f} ., 59 \mathrm{f}$ ； the son of Alphaeus，6I；the brother of the Lord，113；the Little， $39^{\circ}$
Idumaea， 54 f ，

Jebel Kuruntul， 1 I
Jeremiah expected by the Jews， 177
Jericho， 241 f ．
Jerusalem，climate of， 355 ；attitude of towards our Lord，lxxxvii，xeii $f$ ．， 371 f．
Jisr Uenât Yakíb， 175
impostors，religious， 298
infinitive of object， $25^{2}$
influitive of purpose， 72,105 ；pres．and aor．， 72 f．
John，St， 15 f．， 59 f．；remark by， 206 ； his account of the Feeding of the 5000 ， 129 ff．
John，St，the Baptist，see Baptist
Joseph，St，not mentioned by St Mark， If 2
Joses，II3
journeyings of our Lord，Ixxxii ff．
Trenaeus，xxxii f．，xxxv ff．
irony，use of 148,347 f．
Judaea，4， 367
Judas Iscariot，his origin， 62 ；his bar－ gain， 327 f．；his responsibility， 333 f．
Jude，St， 113
Julian，sneer of， 232
Justa and Bernice，I57
Justin，xxy f．，cix，59，112，376
＇Iáelpos ior
＇Iáкшßos I5 f．， 59 f．，61，113， $39^{\circ}$
tapai perf．pass． 104
ííe，idou 70
lєp $\delta \nu$ ，vab́s 252
＇Ієробблина 233
＇Iєрободขuєítal 4
＇In $\sigma 0 \hat{0}$ dat． 41



Yua，telic 76，194
＇Iovóaĩot，ol 143
＇Iбкарь́́O 62
loos 357
ioqupbs，ì 66
＇Twávis 16
＇ $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ，＇ $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \phi$ I $13,3^{89}$
Kerioth， 62
Kersa，Kursi， 92
Khan Minych， 17
Kingdom of God， 13
kinship，our Lord＇s teaching in refe－ rence to， 69 f ．
Kyric eleison， 244
$\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho l \zeta \epsilon \ell \nu, \epsilon_{\kappa} \alpha \theta \in \rho l \sigma \partial \eta 29 \mathrm{f}$ ．
каөє入єầ 393
$\kappa \alpha \theta \in \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \mathrm{y}$ IO 8
кdoou 289
$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \xi \operatorname{\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a\pi \tau ає~} 2$

каuvos，peos 22， 46

кацро́s бúксуу 254
како入оүєì $\mathrm{I}_{4} 8$
 286

Kapavaîos 6If．

катà $\mu \dot{\text { únas }} 75$
катaүc入ầv 10 S

катакеิิбӨat 23， 40
катакбттєє 93
катакирєєи́єьу 239
ката入анßávety 197
ката入еL $\psi \geqslant 279$
каràvua $33^{\circ}$
катарартлреì $35^{8}$
катарті延и 16
катафілєî̀ 351
катยраעтו 247， 292

катео $\theta \in \epsilon \downarrow$, кат $\epsilon \sigma \theta \in L \nu, 72,291$
катєи入оүєív 221 f．
катаlкךоіs 92
каvиаті乌еаөat 73
Kaфаруаои́ $\mu$ I7
кеутиpiay 388
кєра́цтои ӥ $\delta$ атог 329
кєфальö̂ 268
$\kappa \eta \nu \sigma 05,274 \mathrm{f}$ ．

к $\boldsymbol{\rho} \cup \sigma \sigma \in \iota \nu$ ． 3 ．
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \in \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} 223$
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu \dot{\sigma} \mu \mathrm{~s}, \dot{\delta}_{3} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu \rho \mu i a, \dot{\eta} \quad 269 \mathrm{f}$.
ко $\quad$ рápt $\quad 293$ f．

коккоя 86

$\kappa 0 \lambda \lambda v \beta \iota \sigma \tau \nmid s=255$
ко入оßои̂v 308；ко入opodáкти入os xxvi f．
кота́ऽєе 90
кора́नtor 109
кор $\beta$ ау $\mathrm{I}_{4} 8 \mathrm{f}$ ．
к $\delta \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ 184， 325,404
$\kappa \delta \phi$ но5，$\sigma$ фupis 135， 165 f．， 172
кра́ßaттоs 34
кра̧́et 56
кра́aтє $\delta$ о 103

крl $\mu a \quad 292$

кriots $216 \mathrm{f} ., 4 \mathrm{f}_{4} \mathrm{f}$ ．
$\kappa \cup \lambda i \in \sigma \theta a l 198$
кu入入ós 210
киша́рьоу 157 f ．
Kupquaios 378
Kúplos，$\delta$ кúplas 288
кшubтohes 27

## 430 INDEX TO THE INTRODUCTION AND NOTES．

Latinisms in St Mark，xIvii，1，95， 127 ， 145,373 f．， $3^{88}$
Law，Christ＇s attitude towards the， 30 f. ； the oral， 148 ff．
laying on of hands upon the sick，102， 406 ；in blessing， 220
leases for rent in kind， 266
leaven as a symbol，i6g f．
legion，the Roman， 95
leprosy， 28
Levi，39，61
longer ending of St Mark，see endings
＇looking up to heaven，＇litargical use of the phrase， 134
lots，manner of casting， 380
入aficì part
$\lambda a \hat{i} \lambda a \psi 89$
入a入eíl metá tıvos 139
$\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \in \tau \nu 156$
入є $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {tẃn }} 95$
入еттоу 293
Aeveis 39

$\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta y^{2} 25,382$
入ıноl каl 入оцно́́ 299
入́́үos，oे 33， 77
入o七тоу，$\tau$ б́ 348
$\lambda u ́ т p o \nu 240 \mathrm{f}$.
$\lambda u ́ \chi^{\nu o s}, \lambda \cup \chi \nu i a$ 81 f．
Machaeras 122， 124,126
madness，charge of，xci， 64
Malchus， 352
Marcus，xiii f．
Mark， St ，in the Acts，xiv－xix；in the Pauline Epistles，xix f．；in I Peter， xx－xxiii；in early tradition，xxiii－ xxvii ；father of， $33^{\circ}$ ；mother of，xivf．， 354 ；his connexion with Alexandria， Aquileia and Venice，xviii $f$ ．，xxyiii

Gospel according to，the，traces of in the Apostolic fathers，xxix f．； in other writings of the second century，xxx－xxxiii；compara－ tive neglect of，xxxiv；its order among the Gospels，xxyrf．；its symbol，zxxvi－xxxviii；its place of origin，xxxix；date of composi－ tion，xxxix f．；original language， xl－xliii；yocabulary，xliv－xlvii； style，xlvii－l ；contents，li－lvii ； plan，lvii－lxii；sources，lxii－lxv； relation to the other Synoptists， Ixvi－lxxv；alternative endings of，ciii－cxiii；new fragment， 404
Use of the O．T．by，lexvi－luxx； external conditions of our Lord＇s life as drawn by，lxxxi－lyxxix； conception of His Person and office in，xe－xey

Text of，anthorities for the，mgs．， xavi－xcix ；versions，xcix－cii
Commentaries upon，exiv－cxviii market，the Temple， 255 f ．
marriage，law of， 2 I 8 f．；levirate， 278
Mary，of Magdala， 389,399 f．；mother
of James， 367
Matthew，St，6I
measure of spiritual profit， 83
el Mejdel，140， 167
Messiah，Jewish conception of the，177， 358 f ．
ministry in Galilee，terminus a quo of the， 12
＇Moses，＇ 30 f．， $148,28 \mathrm{I}$. ；M．at the Transfiguration， 189 f．
mountains，removing， 259 f ．
mss．of this Gospel，xevi－xcix； 404
mustard plant，the， 86
$\mu a \theta \eta \tau \eta_{2} 41$
Matbaíos 61
$\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \leq \xi 56,10_{4} \mathrm{f}$ ．
нáтŋу I47
мá $\chi$ aıpa 349
$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau$ á 124 f ．
$\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon р \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \cup \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a\llcorner$ IO9


$\mu є т а \mu о р ф о б \sigma \theta a<~ 187 ~ f . ~$
$\mu \in \tau$ ánola 4
$\mu$ 名 elliptical 320 ；interrogative 44
$\mu \eta \delta e ́ ~ n e ~ q u i d e m ~ 175 ~$
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau 68_{1}$
цкко́s 390
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau$ ós 16
$\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha, \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ 92，128， 393 ff.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu$ бороу 326
$\mu o \gamma^{i \lambda a ́ \lambda o s ~} 160,163$
$\mu \delta \delta \cos 82$
$\mu 0 \iota \chi \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota,-\chi \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota,-\chi \epsilon u ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 218$
$\mu о \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu о S 212$
$\mu \circ \rho \phi \eta$ 402
$\mu \dot{\nu}$ as os oucós 209 f ．
миріјен 325
$\mu \nu \sigma \tau$ ท่pLov 75 f ．
$\mathrm{M} \omega v \sigma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{M} \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ decl． 189
Nazareth， 7 f．，ino
negative repeated，xlviii，30，33， 357
nominative absolute， 199
non－Marcan words and phrases in the
last twelve verses，ex，399，401， 403

## Najapét 7

Najapnios 7，19， 362 f．
vá $\rho \delta \mathrm{os} \pi / \sigma \tau เ \kappa \bar{\eta} 321$
pexpol anarthr， 280
peos，ratyos 22,46


vovvex $\hat{\text { es }} 287$

oil used in healing， $119,406 \mathrm{f}$ ．
Olives，Mt of， 346 f．
oratio variata， $117,29^{\circ}$
order of this Gospel generally chrono－ logical，lviii－lxi
Oxyrhynchan logia，the，45，52，114， 330
ódob a aoceiv 47

otōa，see $\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$
oikia 29I
оікобо $\mu$ 方 295
3入irov 129
вуєьסL丂єน 385 f．， 403

ă ${ }^{\circ}$ os $3^{86}$
öpla $99,155,214$
opкlicer constr． 95
ори⿳⺈⿴囗十一 97
$\dot{\delta} \sigma о \nu \ldots \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{o \nu} \mathrm{I} 62$
б $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho 370$
\％rav woith ind．56， $23^{8}$
ört interrogative $42,193,202$ ；recita－ tive 13 ，passim
oủa $3^{8} 3$
ousi zo6 f．， 333
oúde ne quidem $3{ }^{6} 6$
oủk．．．àná 206
oblus sic，siccine？ 15 I
б́ $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ òs $\pi 0 \nu \eta \rho \delta_{s} 154$
 289
d $\psi \epsilon, 3 \psi 1 a 88,137,253,258,39 a$
ब̈́ives 300

© ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mu} 88$
$\dot{\omega}$ бaútws nal 279
む́táploy $35^{2}$
Palestine，political and religious state of，lxxxiv ff．；wild animals of，if
parable，the， 65 ；reasons for parabolic teaching， 75 ff．
paragraphing of this Gospel，liff．
participle，the timeless，3，98， 120
Passion，stages of the，foretold， 234
passover，ceremonial of the， 330 ff ．
Paul，St，his connexion with St Mark， xV fi．，xix $f$ ；Pauline words used by St Mark，xlvi
Pella， 305 f ．
Peraea，55， 214
perfect，see tenses
personification of nature， 90
Peter，St，his faults of natural character， $180,189,362 \mathrm{ff}$ ．；his connexion with St Mark，xivf．，xxff．，lxiif．

Pharisees，the，their devices， $42,47 \mathrm{f}$ ．， 53， $142,167,273$ ；their rapacity， 291
phenomena，apocalyptic use of， $3 \mathrm{II}, 3 \mathrm{I} 5$
Philip the Apostle，6o；the tetrarch， $13^{6}, 176$ ；the first husband of Hero－ dias， 122
Phoenicia，lxxxiv，55， 155 f．
physician，Jewish estimate of the， 102 f ．
plan of this Gospel，lvii ff．
poison，drinking with impunity， 406
police，the Temple， 349 f．
pollution，canons in reference to， 150 f ．
Pontius Pilate， $3^{67} \mathrm{f}$ ．
poverty，in Judaea，lxxxviii；voluntary， 226
praetorium at Jerusalem，site of the， 374 f ．
precinct of the Temple， 252
present，see tenses
procurator，the office of， 367

таибоу 219 f ．
$\pi a i \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta 3^{62}$
manaios $4^{6}$
$\pi d \lambda_{t} 39$
$\pi$ тávтоөєу $3^{2}$
тapá tivos，ol 63
т $\alpha \rho \alpha \beta о \lambda$ 亿 65
$\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \kappa \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ constr． $11 G$
тараүеı 14
тарaүlve $\sigma \theta a l 349$
тарабь $\delta \dot{\prime}$ al 62,85 （intrans．），203， 327
$\pi а р а и т \epsilon i \sigma 0 a \iota ~ 37 \circ$
таракои́єч 106 f ．
таралац阝и́veı 8 S


тарабкєий 391
таратпрєír 50 f ．
$\pi a p \epsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \hat{\nu} \nu, \pi a \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \quad 343$ f．
таребтт $к а{ }_{5}$
тародотоя 150
таррŋаig 179 f ．
$\pi$ âs 4
табха 319
matpls inof．
$\pi \epsilon$ є́ $\eta$ ，ä $\lambda \nu \sigma$ เs 93
$\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta} 130$

$\pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \delta s 34 \sigma$
тev $\begin{aligned} & i \nu \\ & \text { xai к入aleay } 401\end{aligned}$
те́рау，$\tau 6,55,88,100,136,214$
mepl quod attinet ad $3^{81}$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 52， 10 ご， 253
терітатєі̂̀ 146
тєрібоєина $16_{5}$
$\pi \epsilon \rho t \tau \rho \in \chi \in \tau \nu 1_{4} \mathrm{I}$
$\pi \in \rho l \chi \omega \rho o s 22$
IIETjos 59
$\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\partial} \eta{ }^{2} 72 \mathrm{f}$ ．
$\pi \dot{\eta} p \mathrm{a}$ п1 6
тьनтevecy constr． 13 $^{2}$ f．， 264
$\pi$ tatıs constr． 259
тлavằ 283，298́；аंто－ 310

тגп̈ршна 46，135，171
$\pi$ גodapioy 55

$\pi \nu ., \sigma \dot{d} \rho \xi 346 \mathrm{f}$ ．
$\pi \nu i \gamma G 1 \nu$, auy－，diтa－ $73,80,97$
тоє $\overline{\text { İD }}$＇rppoint，＇ 59
moios，ris 283 f ．
nodiol，ol 20 r

ториріа 154， 275

торфúpa 375
$\pi$ тбos．．．шs 198
тотато́s 295
тотทำоу $145,208,236$ f．， 344
тратт $\omega$ рьу 374
тра नid 133
$\pi р \in \sigma$ קitт
троáyeli constr． 233
троайдон 363
троєтлєiv 3 го
$\pi р о \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta$ Oи constr． 1 го
$\pi \rho 6$ evas $34^{6}$
$\pi \rho о \lambda а \mu \beta a \nu є ⿺ 辶 ⿱ 亠 乂, ~ c o n s t r . ~ 325$

$\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta$ тоу 39 I
т $\rho \sigma \sigma \alpha / \tau \eta \mathrm{s} 243$

трбокаироs 79
тробкалєїбөии 58
троткартєреї̀ 55 f．
тробкефалдстон 89

$\pi \rho о \sigma о \rho \mu i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a t 1_{4} \mathrm{I}$
$\pi \rho о \sigma ф є \rho \epsilon і$ 219
$\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi o r, \beta \backslash \hat{\epsilon} \pi \in \epsilon \bar{\prime}$ єis 274
трофd $\sigma \epsilon \mathrm{z}$ gг f ．
$\pi \rho \omega \mathfrak{l} 35$ ；єílis $\pi \rho$ ． 366 ；रiav $\pi \rho .395$
 125


$\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a \mathrm{I} 28,39^{2 \mathrm{f}}$ ．
$\pi \tau \omega \chi{ }^{0} 293$
$\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{I}_{43} \mathrm{f}$ ．
$\pi \bar{\omega}$ रas 247

$\phi \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \sigma \mu a 138$
фéryos 311
$\phi$ ниỗ $\theta$ all 20

Фоиіккаба 156 f ．
фраує $\lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \quad 373 \mathrm{f}$ ．




Quarantania，II
Rabbi，a title of our Lord， 189 ；render－ ings into Greek， 89
release of a prisoner at the Passover， 369
repetitions in prayer， 347
responsibility of hearers，8r ff．
resurrection of the body，our Lord＇s teaching upon the， 280 ff．
rewards，eternal， 236 ff．；temporal， 23 If． roads，see Galilec，Sidon
robbers in Judaea，lxxxviii；robbers cru－ cified with our Lord，legendary names of， 382
rock－tombs near Jerusalem， 393 f．
Rufus， $37^{8}$
及apßoverl $2+5$
か́ános dyraфov 45

papts 229

Sablath，law of the， 47 ff；flight on the， 307
Sablatic zone， 246
sacrifice，rewards of， 230 ff．
Sadducees，the，lxxyv， 170,277 ff．
saliva，use of，in healing，161， 173
Salome，16， 125 f．， 390
salt，sacrificial use of， 213
salutation，forms of， 196
Satan，II， 65 f．；the name applied to St Peter，I8o f．
＇scandals，＇ 208 ff．
scourging， 300 f ．， 373 f ．
Scribes，the， $1 \times x \times y$, i 8 f．， $35,64,283$ ， 290 ff ．
sections in the Law，system of，281 f． Septuagint，St Mark＇s use of the，lxxvii ff． service the condition of greatness， 240
Session at the Right Hand of God， 359 ， 407 f ．
shewbread，the， 49
Sidon，road from，to Decapolis，Ixxxiv， 159
signs which follow believers， 405 f．
Simon Peter，14，59；his house，23， $3^{2}$ ；
his wife， 23 ；S．the leper， 321 ；S．of Cyrene， 378
sin，an eternal， 68 ；lists of sins， 153
sinlessness of our Lord， 223 f ．
＇sinners，＇ 40 f．
sisters of our Lord，69，ir3
slavery， $35^{2}$
soldiers，Roman，in Palestine， 374
Son，the， $3^{1} G$ ；of God，xe，xciiif．$, 2,389$ ； of Man，xc f．，xeiv，37， 3 12；of Dhsid， 243，251， 289
sonship，Messianic， 177,358 f．
soteriology of this Gospel，xey
sources of this Gospel，lxii ff．
sowing，parables relating to， 87
Spirit，the Holy，see Holy Spirit
spirit，human，of Christ，see Christ
spirits，unclean， 19 ff．， 25,92 ff．
stone，the，at the door of the tomb， 394 ff．
style of St Mark，xlvii ff．
subscriptions to this Gospel，xxvi
synagogue，the， 17 f．， 28 ，III， 300
Synoptie Gospels，comparison of St Mark
with the other，lxvi ff．
syathetical apposition， 5
$\sigma \alpha \beta a \chi \theta a \nu \in l 385$




इatavâs，ó aatavàs II
$\sigma \omega \% \delta \omega \nu 354,393$
$\sigma \kappa a \nu \delta \alpha \lambda i \zeta_{\epsilon 匕} 79,113,208 \mathrm{ff}$ ．
oкev̂os 256
oкzpry $19{ }^{\circ}$
бклдрокардіа 2п6，4о3
$\sigma к и \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \% 106$
$\sigma \mu \nu \rho \nu \dot{i} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a<\quad 379$
бтара́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad 2 \mathrm{I}$ ， 197 f ．
ө $\pi \in$ ípa 375
бтєкои入áтшр 127
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a, \sigma \pi o ́ p o s 8_{4}$
$\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a 429,130$
बтby
$\sigma \pi \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu{ }_{4} 4$
$\sigma \tau a \theta$ วิ้ $\alpha$, ，атท̂̀aц 65
$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s, \sigma \tau \dot{a} \sigma \iota s 7^{\circ}$
बт $\alpha u \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 372$ f．
$\sigma \tau$ ধ́ $\phi$ avos 375
$\sigma \tau \iota$ bás 250

$\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta{ }^{\circ} 29^{\circ}$
बTvरमásed 227
бט̀ 入é $\gamma \epsilon$ เs 359， $3^{68}$


$\sigma u \mu \pi b \sigma t o y ~ I 33$

avpavaßaincty 390

аиvepreî̀ 408
ouv乌クTTe占 constr． 21


бvעтрipeatal 93
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