

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

**PayPal** 

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *The Expositor* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles expositor-series-1.php

witness of the Spirit which is placed in the fore part of Calvin's doctrine of Scripture and which occupies such a large place in every confession of the Reformed Church, is either left out entirely or relegated to a very subordinate In more modern writers the transference referred to has had the curious result of almost banishing a doctrine of Scripture from many treatises on dogmatic, and substituting in its place a doctrine of inspiration, which becomes an explanation of how a literary record can in itself, and not because it conveys the Word of God, become perfect, infallible, and authoritative. But to trace and to explain the many divergences between the doctrine of the Reformers and of Prof. Robertson Smith, and what is commonly called the doctrine of the Princeton School, would require an article by itself. Perhaps what has been said may indicate the lines on which that article would run.

THOMAS M. LINDSAY.

## ON THE MEANING OF $\Pi PO\Sigma H \Lambda TTO\Sigma$ IN THE SEPTUAGINT.

IT seems to be a generally received opinion that the Greek word προσήλυτος has a twofold signification. The lexicons are uniformly in agreement upon this point. Thus Thayer: "προσήλυτος. 1. A new-comer, a stranger, alien (Schol. ad Apoll. Rhod., i. 834; LXX. often for א ב. ב. A proselyte"—and to the same effect Schleusner (who quotes in support of the first meaning Lex Cyrilli, MS. Bremen, προσήλυτος, ἔποικος, πάροικος, ξένος; and Hesychius, προσήλυτος, πάροικος, ἀλλοεθνής); Sophocles (who for the same meaning refers to some LXX. passages and to Philo. i. 160, 42; ii. 219, 27), the Thesaurus Linguæ Græcæ ab Hene. Stephano Constructus (which refers to Hesychius,

Schleusner, and Schol. ad Apoll. Rhod., i. 834), and Liddell and Scott.

It will be plainly seen from these quotations that for  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\eta\lambda\nu\tau\sigma\sigma$  = stranger (advena) there are three chief supposed authorities: the LXX., Philo, and the Scholiast on Apollonius of Rhodes.

Now of these three, an examination of Philo's use of the word proves clearly that he is in some cases simply paraphrasing a passage from the LXX., in others using the word in the sense "proselyte."

With regard to the Scholiast, he certainly seems to use the word as meaning "stranger," "foreigner," for he combines it with μετοίκους. But here it may be noticed—firstly, that this is too isolated a case to bear much weight; secondly, that if, as we propose to show, the word originally meant "proselyte," it would be natural that it should soon draw to itself something of the meaning involved in such words as "stranger," "advena," "alien"; a proselyte generally being, as a matter of necessity, a "stranger in a strange land."

For προσήλυτος = "stranger," we are thus thrown back upon the LXX. This version uses the word as equivalent to the Hebrew i, and it will clear the ground if something be said first of this latter word. In Biblical Hebrew i = a sojourner, or stranger living under the protection of a tribe or family, who has, therefore, no inherited rights. In the Mishna the word means simply a proselyte in the technical sense of the word. (See Schürer, Geschichte des Judischen Volkes im Zeitalter Jesu Christi, ii., p. 566, n. 292.) In the so-called Priestly Code, the word may be seen developing into this latter sense. (See Robertson Smith, O. T. in J. C., 2nd ed., p. 342, n. 1.)

Now to translate  $\final{norm}$ , the LXX. uses sometimes  $\pi\rho o\sigma \acute{\eta}$ λυτος sometimes πάροικος, and it is generally asserted that
the two words are synonymous. Schürer, e.g., takes this

for granted. Geiger, Urschrift, p. 353 ff., devotes a page and a half to illustrate it. And yet the version itself, when carefully examined, tells a very different tale. A consideration of the following facts will, I believe, lead to the certain conclusions (1) that  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\eta}\lambda\nu\tau\sigma\varsigma$  is not synonymous with  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\sigma\iota\kappa\sigma\varsigma$ ; (2) that it does not mean "advena," "stranger," "sojourner," in the sense of the old Hebrew  $\exists ;$  (3) that its original meaning, so far as the extant literature enables us to judge, was "proselyte."

In other words, in the great majority of cases where occurs in the Hebrew text, the Greek translators have not simply translated into the exact Greek equivalent, but have read into the word the later meaning which it has in the Mishna.

The references are always to the Hebrew text.

A. The LXX. translates מֵׁ in the sense of "a sojourner" by πάροικος.

So Gen. xv. 13, Israel in Egypt.

,, xxiii. 4, Abraham at Shechem.

Exod. ii. 22, Moses in Midian.

" xviii. 3, Moses in Midian.

Deut. xiv. 21, "גַּבֶרי.

" xxiii. 8, Israel in Egypt.

2 Sam. i. 13, Amalekite (but 'A προσήλυτος.)

1 Chron. xxix. אנרים אנדוני Luc. has  $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \upsilon \tau o \iota$ .

Ps. xxxix. 13, גָר אנכי.

למה תהיה כגר ,Jer. xiv. 8

Ps. cxix. 19, גַר אנכי.

In all these passages בול clearly cannot mean a proselyte, but must denote members of a tribe or nation sojourning in a strange land. The only possible exception is Deut. xiv. 21. But to the LXX. translator it would be repugnant, or rather impossible, to think of a proselyte partaking of גבלה, in contradiction to the commandment laid down in

Lev. xvii. 15. He therefore took בֵּ as = a sojourner, and synonymous with the parallel נָכֵרִי.

On the other hand, the LXX. translates in the sense of "proselyte" by προσήλυτος.

So in Exod. xii. 48, must be circumcised to keep Passover.

- ,, , 49, one law for home-born and גָּר.
- ,, xx. 10, must keep the Sabbath.
- " xxii. 20, not to be oppressed.
- ,, xxiii. 92, not to be oppressed.
- ,, ,, 12, Sabbath to be kept that מא may rest.

Lev. xvi. 29, not to work on day of Atonement.

- , xvii. 8, may offer sacrifices.
- ,, ,, 10, not to eat blood.
- ,, ,, 12, not to eat blood.
- ,, ,, 13, to let out blood of game.
- ,, ,, 15, is unclean if he eats נבלה.
- ,, xviii. 26, to keep statutes previously mentioned.
- " xix. 33, not to be oppressed.
- ,, ,, 10, gleanings for גר.
- ,, ,, 34, to be equal with  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\delta}\chi\theta\omega\nu$ .
- ,, xx. 2, not to give his seed to Moloch.
- ,, xxii. 18, may present offerings.
- ,, xxiii. 22, gleanings.
- " xxiv. 16, not to blaspheme.
- ,, ,, 22, one lex talionis for אזרח and אזרח.
- " xxv. 23, metaphorical.
- ", " 35, metaphorical.
- ,, ,, 47<sup>2</sup>, Israelite may be sold to him.

Num. ix. 142, one law of Passover for אזרח and מורח.

- ,, xv. 14, may offer sacrifice.
- ",,  $15^2$ , one law, etc.
- " " 16, one law, etc.
- ", ", 26, atonement to be made for him.
- ,, ,, 29, one law, etc.
- " 30, to be punished, if he defies the law.

Num. xix. 10, ashes of heifer.

,, xxxv. 15, may use cities of refuge.

Deut. i. 16, "his proselyte" (strange).

,, v. 14, must keep Sabbath.

,, x. 18<sup>2</sup> (Heb. once only), Jahveh loves the ב.

,, ,, 19, love the גר.

" xiv. 29, may eat tithes.

,, xvi. 11, may rejoice at Feast of Weeks.

,, ,, 14, may rejoice at Feast of Booths.

,, xxiv. 14, wages not to be held back.

,, ,, 17, judgment not to be wrested.

,, ,, 19, gleanings.

,, ,, 20, gleanings.

,, ,, 21, gleanings.

,, xxvi. 11, to rejoice at firstfruits.

",", 12, to eat tithes.

", ", 13, to eat tithes.

,, xxvii. 19, judgment not to be wrested.

,, xxviii. 43, threat that the שֵׁ will obtain supremacy over Israelite.

,, xxix. 10, present at reading of law.

" xxxi. 12, present at reading of law.

Josh. viii. 33, present at reading of law.

" ,, 35, present at reading of law.

,, xx. 9, may use cities of refuge.

1 Chron. xxii. 2, gathered by David for service in the Temple building.

 ii. 16, gathered by Solomon for service in the Temple building.

2 , xxx. 25, rejoice at Hezekiah's Passover.

Ps. xciv. 6, אַלְמֶנָה וְנֶּר יָהַרֹנוּ.

,, exlvi. 9, שמר את־גרים.

Jer. vii. 6 not to be oppressed.

Ezek. xiv. 7,

Ezek. xxii. 7,

,, ,, 29,

" xlvii. 22, to inherit with the Israelites,

,, ,, 23, to inherit with the Israelites.

Zach. vii. 10, not to be oppressed.

Mal. iii. 5, have been oppressed.

The only cases here which could cause doubt are Lev. xxv. 23, 35; Deut. i. 16; and Exod. xii. 48. But there is another group of passages which forms a remarkable exception to the rule, those namely where  $\Box$  is used of the Israelites in Egypt and yet is rendered by  $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau o \iota$ , not by  $\pi \acute{a}\rho o \iota \kappa o \iota$ , as we should expect. They are—

Exod. xxii. 20.

Lev. xix. 34.

.. xxiii. 9.

Deut. x. 19.

B. Again the LXX. translates i used of a sojourner by παροίκους, Ps. cv. 12.
 παροικῶν, Judg. xix. 1.

παροικοῦντες, 2 Sam. iv. 3.

παρώκει, Judg. xix. 16.

παρώκησαν, 1 Chron. xvi. 19.

παρώκει, Judg. xvii. 7.

παροικεῖ, Deut. xviii. 16.

Ezra i. 4.

διατρίβετε, Jer. xxxv. 7.

γείτονες, Job. xix. 15.

συσκήνου, Exod. iii. 22.

προσκειμένω, Lev. xxv. 6.

ὄντων, Lev. xxv. 45.

```
But when used of a proselyte, by—
                 προσελθόντι, Exod. xii. 49.
                 προσκείμενος, Lev. xvi. 29.
                                    xvii. 10.
                                          12.
                                          13.
                 προσγενόμενος, Lev. xviii. 26.
                 προσπορευόμενος, Lev. xix. 34.
                 γεγενημένων, Lev. xx. 2.
                 προσκείμενος, Num. xv. 15.
                                          16.
                                          26.
                                          29.
                                     xix. 10.
                                 Josh, xx. 9.
                 προσηλύτους τούς)
                                     2 Chron. xv. 9.
                   παροικοῦντας
                 παροικοῦσι, Ezek. xlvii. 22.
                                          23.
                 προσήλυτος,
  C. Further, the LXX. translates in sense of "to so-
journ" by-
         παροικείν.
                                           παροικείν.
        Gen. xii, 10.
                                          Ruth i. 1.
             xix. 9.
                                          2 Kings viii. 1.
             xx. 1.
                                                        2.
             xxi. 23.
                                         Ps. cv. 23.
              ,, 34.
                                         Isa. xvi. 4.
                                           ,, lii. 4.
             xxvi. 3.
                                         Jer. xliv. 14. (?)
             xxxii. 5.
             xxxv. 27.
                                              l. 40.
             xlvii. 4.
                                         Lam. iv. 15.
        Exod. vi. 4.
                                      Cf. also Judg. v. 17.
        Deut. xxvi. 5.
                                         Ps. v. 5.
        Judg. xvii. 8.
                                           ,, xv. 1.
                                           " lxi. 5.
                    9.
```

In the last chapters of Jeremiah LXX. uses

κατοικείν xlii. 15 = LXX. xlix.  $15 \begin{cases} A. & \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \epsilon \iota v. \\ \Sigma. & \pi a \rho o \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} v. \end{cases}$ 

ένοικεῖν, xlii. 17 ,, xlix. 17. οἰκεῖν, xliii. 2 .. l. 2.

But the same version translates אור when used of a proselyte by—

προσέρχομαι, Exod. xii. 48.

" Lev. xix. 33.

,, Num. ix. 14.

, Isa. liv. 15 (mistranslation).

προσγίνομαι, Num. xv. 14.

προσηλευτεύω, Ezek. xiv. 7.

πρόσκειμαι, Lev. xvii. 8.

It should be added that the LXX. c. 10 times translates סגור סים מגרים or מגרים, where they mean sojourning, by παροικείν or its derivatives.

The distinction so clearly drawn by the LXX. translators between ב" a sojourner," and ב" a proselyte," and again between גור, when it means "to sojourn," and גור, when it is used of a proselyte, is also carried out in the Targums, the Syriac, and the Ethiopic.

The Targums seem to follow the LXX.

Thus A, דייר = sojourner =  $\pi \acute{a} \rho o \iota \kappa o \varsigma =$ ייר c. 6 times.

Ps. xxxix. 13.

In Deut. xiv. 21 הותב ערל is no doubt used for the same reason that the LXX. renders by πάροικος, because it was

inconceivable that a proselyte should be represented as eating, τίς (Aq. however has προσήλυτος).

In 2 Sam. i. 13 the Targum, differing from the LXX., sees in the Amalekite who brought news of the battle of Mount Gilboa a proselyte in the technical sense of the term. So also the Syriac and Aquila.

But גיורא = proselyte =  $\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda v \tau o \varsigma = \xi$ . 68 times.

,,=  $,,=\gamma\epsilon\iota\acute{\omega}\rholpha\varsigma=$  , twice.

In Lev. xxv. 23, 35 the LXX. has προσήλυτος where we might have expected πάροικος. Here the Targum has דיירין; Syriac, למבי:

In Deuteronomy xxviii. 43 the Targum again differs from the LXX. The latter saw in the passage a threat that the proselytes should be exalted over the Israelites. The Targum intensifies the denunciation when it renders by תותב ערכ.

B. The Targum renders בול sojourn = some derivative of παροικείν, by derivatives of the roots יתב or בור c. 6

- $=\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$  once.
- $= \gamma \epsilon i \tau o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$  once.
- =πρόσκειμαι twice.
- $=\epsilon i\mu i$  once,

but when used of a proselyte by איתנייר, c. 16 times.

C. The Targum renders  $\exists \exists = \text{to sojourn} = \pi \alpha \rho o \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ 

של יתב τ יתב c. 25 times. In the last chapters of Jeremiah, where LXX. has for לנור, κατοικεῖν, ἐνοικεῖν, or οἰκεῖν, Targum has לאתותבא.

On the other hand, it renders גוּר, when used of a proselyte, by איתגייר c. 6 times.

It 10 times renders מגור or ביגרים some derivative of 
παροικεῖν, by a derivative of גור or יתב.

In the case of the two following versions the Hexateuch only is referred to.

The usage in the case of the Syriac is rather peculiar. In Genesis, Exodus, Deuteronomy, Joshua it renders וֹנֵל in either sense by בּבֹב or בּבֹב (אַב  $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda v \tau o s = \mu \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$ , Deut. x. 18; xiv. 29).

But in Leviticus and Numbers-

 $\exists$  = sojourner = דייר (only Lev. xix. 34; xxv. 23; xxxv. 47).

 $=\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \lambda v \tau \sigma \varsigma =$  ביורא $=2 \Delta \Delta \Delta \omega$ , 26 times.

is commonly rendered by عطن, occasionally by عدا.

The Ethiopic translator follows the same principles.

בּוֹר = a sojourner = πάροικος = דייר = גַּרְאָהָ 4 times; but λημ represents προσήλυτος in Deut. xxviii. 43 (see above under Targ.); Exod. xx. 10 (προσήλυτος ὁ παροικῶν).

Notice also that ΔΛΛ is used to represent ΔΨΊΠ= πάροικος in Gen. xxiii. 4; Lev. xxv. 6, 23, 35, 47²; Num. xxxv. 15.

On the other hand-

בר proselyte =  $\pi \rho \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau \sigma \varsigma = \kappa \gamma$  about 58 times.

= proselyte =  $\gamma \epsilon \iota \acute{\omega} \rho a \varsigma =$ ג'ורא =  $\gamma \epsilon \iota \acute{\omega} \rho a \varsigma =$  ביורא

In conclusion, some explanation is needed of two passages in which the LXX. translates μ by γειώρας. Why, if προσήλυτος has been uniformly used to translate μ when it was thought to signify proselyte, do the translators go out of their way to import a New-Hebrew and Aramaic word

in these two instances? There is here, it must be confessed. a difficulty, which is made much of by those who hold that προσήλυτος and πάροικος are synonymous terms. Geiger, for instance, sees here a proof that in these two places only did the translators see in 73 a proselyte in the technical sense of the word. Hence the unusual word. where implement for them "a sojourner." But this certainly goes too far. It creates numerous difficulties. A test case is perhaps the usage of the Greek translator of Deuteronomy. In twenty places he translates  $\exists by \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau o s$ ; in two only does he use  $\pi \acute{a}\rho oi\kappa o_s$ . In neither of these two could I possibly mean "proselyte." In xxiii. 8 it is used of Israel in Egypt; in xiv. 21 it is said that מבלה may be given to the 33. Now suppose that the two words are synonymous. Why does not the translator use them more impartially? Or if he prefers  $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau o \varsigma$ , why does he go out of his way to use  $\pi \acute{a}\rho o \iota \kappa o s$  just in the two places where the meaning "proselyte" is not admissible? The remaining case in Deuteronomy (x. 19) has already been explained. What we want is some explanation which will cover all the facts. But until that is forthcoming it is surely more simple to assume that the use of γειώρας in Exodus xii. 19, Isaiah xiv. 1, is due to some exceptional cause, than to be forced to the conclusion that  $\pi \acute{a}\rho o \iota \kappa o \varsigma$  and  $\pi \rho o \sigma \acute{\eta} \lambda \upsilon \tau o \varsigma$  are synonymous terms. This supposition makes the distribution of the two terms an insoluble enigma. It forces us to ask-

- 1. Why the translators use  $\pi \acute{a}\rho o\iota \kappa os$  about eleven times, in all of which the meaning "proselyte" is inadmissible?
- 2. Why they never use προσήλυτος in such cases except in the passages referred to above, viz., Leviticus xxv. 23, 35; Deuteronomy i. 16; Exodus xii. 48, xxii. 20, xxiii. 9; Leviticus xix. 34; Deuteronomy x. 19, of which the first two are metaphorical, and the last four admit of an easy and simple explanation?
  - 3. Why they use  $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \nu \tau o s$  about seventy five times,

some sixty of which occur in the legal codes, where might well be supposed to mean "proselyte"; and why in these codes they never use  $\pi \acute{a}\rho o\iota \kappa os$  except in two or three passages where the meaning "proselyte" is impossible?

4. Why they make so remarkable a distinction in their renderings of τις and the participle τς, employing as a rule παροικεῖν or a cognate word, where they are used of sojourning in general; but where the subject is τς = προσήλυτος, using such verbs as προσέρχομαι, προσηίνομαι, προσηλευτεύω, πρόσκειμαι, προσπορεύομαι, which are so admirably calculated to express the position of one who was a proselyte in the religious sense?

W. C. ALLEN.

## JUDGMENT ACCORDING TO TYPE.

Two at least of the chief convictions which sustain the heart of Humanity rest, in the last issue, on a basis of pure reason. One is the belief that the soul is immortal; the other is the belief that it will be judged. We repudiate the opposite because the annihilation of the spiritual and the confusion of the moral are unthinkable. "For my own part," says Mr. Fiske, "I believe in the immortality of the soul, not in the sense in which I accept the demonstrable truths of science, but as a supreme act of faith in the reasonableness of God's work." It is incredible that when the long evolution of nature has come to a head the flower should be flung away. This were to reduce design to a fiasco. "What can be more in the essential nature of things," writes Mr. W. R. Greg, in his Enigmas of Life, a very honest book, "than that the mere entrance into the spiritual state will effect a severance of souls?" It is incredible that the present failure of justice should end in no redress, and the immense wrongs of this life have no